MID AMERICA APARTMENT COMMUNITIES INC Form 10-Q August 04, 2005
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005
OR
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to
Commission File Number: 1-12762
MID-AMERICA APARTMENT COMMUNITIES, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)
<u>TENNESSEE</u> 62-1543819

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

(State of Incorporation)

#### 6584 POPLAR AVENUE, SUITE 300

•	<b>4173</b>	TDTTT(	~ m	-	TECCET	20120
I١	/I H.IN	/IPHIS	<b>&gt;</b> . II	HAINI	JESSEE	38 L38

(Address of principal executive offices)

## (901) 682-6600

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

x Yes o No

## APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

<u>Class</u> Common Stock, \$.01 par value Number of Shares Outstanding <u>at July 19, 2005</u> 21,552,638

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 2.

Item 3.

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements					
Consolidated Bala	nce Sheets as of June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2004					
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (Unaudited)						
Consolidated State	ements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2005					
and 2004 (Unaudit	red)					
Notes to Consolida	ated Financial Statements (Unaudited)					
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations					
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk					
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures					
PART II - OTHE	R INFORMATION					
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings					

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

## Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2005 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2004 (Dollars in thousands)

	Ju	ıne 30, 2005	De	ecember 31, 2004
Assets:				
Real estate assets:				
Land	\$	170,103	\$	163,381
Buildings and improvements		1,681,448		1,625,194
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		44,021		41,682
Capital improvements in progress		1,861		6,519
		1,897,433		1,836,776
Less accumulated depreciation		(435,505)		(399,762)
		1,461,928		1,437,014
Land held for future development		1,366		1,366
Commercial properties, net		7,137		7,429
Investments in and advances to real estate joint ventures		4,416		14,143
Real estate assets, net		1,474,847		1,459,952
Cash and cash equivalents		6,616		9,133
Restricted cash		7,266		6,041
Deferred financing costs, net		15,837		16,365
Other assets		10,914		16,837
Goodwill		5,051		5,400
Assets held for sale		-		8,579
Total assets	\$	1,520,531	\$	1,522,307
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity:				
Liabilities:				
Notes payable	\$	1,086,647	\$	1,083,473
Accounts payable		2,439		767
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		44,402		43,381
Security deposits		6,274		5,821
Liabilities associated with assets held for sale		-		164
Total liabilities		1,139,762		1,133,606
Minority interest		31,305		31,376
8.625% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock,				
400,000 shares authorized, 400,000 shares issued and outstanding		-		10,000
Shareholders' equity:				
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized,				
\$176,862,500 or \$25 per share liquidation preference:				
9.25% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock,				
3,000,000 shares authorized, 474,500 shares issued and outstanding		5		5
8.30% Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock,				
6,200,000 shares authorized, 6,200,000 shares issued and outstanding		62		62
Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 50,000,000 shares authorized;				
21,518,146 and 20,856,791 shares issued and outstanding at				
June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively		215		209
Additional paid-in capital		654,320		634,520
Other		(3,673)		(3,252)
Accumulated distributions in excess of net income		(289,036)		(269,482)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(12,429)		(14,737)
Total shareholders' equity		349,464		347,325

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity

\$ 1,520,531

\$ 1,522,307

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)

	Three months ended		Six months	ended
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Operating revenues:				
Rental revenues	\$ 69,846	\$ 63,353	\$ 138,487	\$ 126,271
Other property revenues	2,913	2,564	5,595	5,002
Total property revenues	72,759	65,917	144,082	131,273
Management fee income	103	149	221	294
Total operating revenues	72,862	66,066	144,303	131,567
Property operating expenses:				
Personnel	8,715	7,799	17,072	15,409
Building repairs and maintenance	2,661	2,326	4,968	4,427
Real estate taxes and insurance	9,589	8,824	19,031	17,805
Utilities	3,840	3,316	7,771	6,871
Landscaping	1,975	1,810	3,922	3,559
Other operating	3,485	3,132	6,875	6,167
Depreciation	18,404	16,874	36,453	33,880
Total property operating expenses	48,669	44,081	96,092	88,118
Property management expenses	2,892	3,014	5,700	5,567
General and administrative expenses	2,163	2,515	4,819	4,886
Income from continuing operations before non-operating				
items	19,138	16,456	37,692	32,996
Interest and other non-property income	130	136	287	279
Interest expense	(14,473)	(12,030)	(28,205)	(24,371)
Loss on debt extinguishment	(90)	(299)	(94)	(217)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	(489)	(405)	(949)	(865)
Minority interest in operating partnership income	(778)	(534)	(1,038)	(954)
Income (loss) from investments in unconsolidated entities	(193)	(33)	125	(74)
Incentive fee from unconsolidated entity	1,723	-	1,723	-
Net gain (loss) on insurance and other settlement proceeds	(16)	1,228	(9)	2,856
Gain on sale of non-depreciable assets	334	_	334	_
Gain on disposition within unconsolidated entities	3,034	_	3,034	_
Income from continuing operations	8,320	4,519	12,900	9,650
Discontinued operations:	-,-	,	,	.,
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations before				
asset impairment, settlement proceeds and gain on sale	22	(53)	(113)	(129)
Asset impairment on discontinued operations	(149)	-	(243)	-
Net gain (loss) on insurance and other settlement proceeds on	(- 17)		(= 10)	
discontinued operations	_	526	(25)	526
Net income	8,193	4,992	12,519	10,047
Preferred dividend distribution	3,635	3,706	7,348	7,412
Net income available for common shareholders	\$ 4,558	\$ 1,286	\$ 5,171	\$ 2,635
	, ,	, ,	, .	, ,
Weighted average shares outstanding (in thousands):				
Basic	21,351	20,275	21,140	20,157
Effect of dilutive stock options	274	310	279	318
Diluted	21,625	20,585	21,419	20,475
<del>-</del>	,020	_ = =,====	,	-5,
Net income available for common shareholders	\$ 4,558	\$ 1,286	\$ 5,171	\$ 2,635
Discontinued property operations	127	(473)	381	(397)
Income from continuing operations available for common		. /		. ,
shareholders	\$ 4,685	\$ 813	\$ 5,552	\$ 2,238

\$ 0.22	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.11
(0.01)	0.02	(0.02)	0.02
\$ 0.21	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.13
\$ 0.22	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.11
(0.01)	0.02	(0.02)	0.02
\$ 0.21	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.13
	(0.01) \$ 0.21 \$ 0.22 (0.01)	(0.01) 0.02 \$ 0.21 \$ 0.06 \$ 0.22 \$ 0.04 (0.01) 0.02	\$ 0.21 \$ 0.04 \$ 0.26 (0.02) \$ 0.21 \$ 0.04 \$ 0.26 (0.01) 0.02 (0.02)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

# MID-AMERICA APARTMENT COMMUNITIES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (Dollars in thousands)

(=	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Loss (gain) from discontinued operations before asset impairment, settlement	\$ 12,519	\$ 10,047
proceeds and gain on sale	113	(129)
Depreciation and amortization of deferred financing costs	37,402	34,745
Amortization of unearned stock compensation	392	844
Amortization of debt premium	(932)	(1,128)
(Income) loss from investments in unconsolidated entities Minority interest in operating partnership income Loss on debt extinguishment Gain on sale of non-depreciable assets Gain on disposition within unconsolidated entities Incentive fee from unconsolidated entity Net (gain) loss on insurance and other settlement proceeds on discontinued operations Asset impairment on discontinued operations	(125) 1,038 94 (334) (3,034) (1,723) 25 243	74 954 217 - - - (526)
Net (gain) loss on insurance and other settlement proceeds Changes in assets and liabilities:	9	(2,856)
Restricted cash Other assets Accounts payable Accrued expenses and other liabilities Security deposits Net cash provided by operating activities	(1,225) 5,555 1,672 3,367 453 55,509	4,163 8,217 (38) 444 171 55,199
Cash flows from investing activities:	20,000	55,177
Purchases of real estate and other assets Improvements to existing real estate assets Distributions from real estate joint venture	(47,314) (11,046) 14,755	(47,041) (16,873) 684
Contributions to real estate joint ventures	-	(5,222)

Proceeds from disposition of real estate assets  Net cash used in investing activities  Cash flows from financing activities:		8,432 (35,173)		4,358 (64,094)
Net change in credit lines		(26,337)		100,900
Proceeds from notes payable Principal payments on notes payable Payment of deferred financing costs Proceeds from issuances of common shares and units Distributions to unitholders Dividends paid on common shares		19,486 (1,320) (493) 20,951 (3,067) (24,725)		70,804 (105,817) (2,964) 8,520 (3,116) (23,265)
Dividends paid on preferred shares  Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities  Net (increase) decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(7,348) (22,853) (2,517)		(7,412) 37,650 28,755
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		9,133		10,152
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period  Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	\$	6,616	\$	38,907
Interest paid	\$	29,344	\$	26,188
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:  Conversion of units to common shares  Issuance of restricted common shares	\$ \$	20 813	\$ \$	121 109
Marked-to-market adjustment on derivative instruments Fair value adjustment on debt assumed	\$ \$ \$	2,308 2,277	\$ \$ \$	12,290 1,211
Reclass of preferred stock from equity to liabilities	\$	10,000	2	-

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc.

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements** 

June 30, 2005 and 2004 (Unaudited)

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the management of Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. (the Company ) in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Company s accounting policies in effect as of December 31, 2004, as set forth in the annual consolidated financial statements of the Company as of such date. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements have been included and all such adjustments were of a normal recurring nature. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited financial statements and notes thereto included in its annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2004.

#### EARNINGS PER SHARE

The computation of basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The computation of diluted earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the shares resulting from the assumed exercise of all dilutive outstanding options using the treasury stock method. For periods where the Company reports a net loss available for common shareholders, the effect of dilutive shares is excluded from earnings per share calculations because including such shares would be anti-dilutive.

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is presented on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

#### STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Upon shareholder approval at the May 24, 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the Company adopted the 2004 Stock Plan to provide incentives to attract and retain independent directors, executive officers and key employees. This plan replaced the 1994 Restricted Stock and Stock Option Plan under which no further awards may be granted as of January 31, 2004.

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123), which requires either the (i) fair value of employee stock-based compensation plans be recorded as a component of compensation expense in the statement of operations as of the date of grant of awards related to such plans, or (ii) impact of such fair value on net income and earnings per share be disclosed on a pro forma basis in a note to financial statements for awards granted after December 15, 1994, if the accounting for such awards continues to be in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25). The Company will continue such accounting for employee stock options under the provisions of APB 25.

The following table reflects the effect on net income if the fair value method of accounting allowed under SFAS No. 123 had been used by the Company along with the applicable assumptions utilized in the Black-Scholes option pricing model calculation for those periods in which grants were issued (dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 2004			Six M June 2005	,	1ded 2004			
Net income	\$	8,193	\$	4,992	\$	12,519	\$	10,047	
Preferred dividend distribution	3,635		3,706		7,348	,	7,412		
Net income available for	3,032	,	3,700	,	7,5 1	5	,,,,,	_	
common shareholders	4,558	₹	1,286	5	5,17	1	2,63	5	
Add: Stock-based employee	1,550	,	1,200	,	3,17		2,00.	5	
compensation expense included									
in reported net income	_		_		_		_		
Less: Stock-based employee									
compensation expense from									
employee stock purchase plan discount	14		13		14		13		
Less: Stock-based employee									
compensation expense determined									
under fair value method of accounting	26		33		56		77		
Pro forma net income available for									
common shareholders	\$	4,518	\$	1,240	\$	5,101	\$	2,545	
Average common shares outstanding - Basic	21,35	51	20,27	75	21,14	40	20,13	57	
Average common shares outstanding - Diluted	21,62		,	20,585		21,419		20,475	
	,		,		,		,.		
Net income available per common share:									
Basic as reported	\$	0.21	\$	0.06	\$	0.24	\$	0.13	
Basic pro forma	\$	0.21	\$	0.06	\$	0.24	\$	0.13	
Diluted as reported	\$	0.21	\$	0.06	\$	0.24	\$	0.13	
Diluted pro forma	\$	0.21	\$	0.06	\$	0.24	\$	0.12	
Assumptions:(1)									
Risk free interest rate	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		
Expected life - Years	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		
	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		
Expected dividends	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		
Risk free interest rate Expected life - Years Expected volatility	N/A N/A		N/A N/A		N/A N/A		N/A N/A		

<sup>(1)</sup> No grants were issued in the periods shown.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123 (revised December 2004), Share-Based Payment (Statement 123(R)). Statement 123(R) replaces FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. Statement 123(R) will require compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements. With limited exceptions, the amount of compensation cost will be measured based on the grant-date fair value of the equity or the liability instruments issued. In addition, liability awards will be remeasured each reporting period. Compensation cost will be recognized over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. Statement 123(R) is effective as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. The Company plans to adopt Statement 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 and does not believe it will have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition or results of operations taken as a whole.

In March 2005, the SEC issued SAB 107 to provide public companies additional guidance in applying the provisions of Statement 123(R). Among other things, SAB 107 describes the SEC staff's expectations in determining the assumptions that underlie the fair value estimates and discusses the interaction of Statement 123(R) with certain existing SEC guidance. The guidance is also beneficial to users of financial statements in analyzing the information provided under statement (123)R. SAB 107 will be applied upon the adoption of Statement 123(R).

RECLASSIFICATION
------------------

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to 2005 presentation. The reclassifications had no effect on net income available for common shareholders.

#### 2. SHARE AND UNIT INFORMATION

At June 30, 2005, 21,518,146 common shares and 2,633,065 operating partnership units were outstanding, a total of 24,151,211 shares and units. Additionally, the Company had outstanding options for 425,900 shares of common stock at June 30, 2005, of which 261,063 were anti-dilutive. At June 30, 2004, 20,385,306 common shares and 2,671,232 operating partnership units were outstanding, a total of 23,056,538 shares and units. Additionally, the Company had outstanding options for 711,821 shares of common stock at June 30, 2004, of which 499,582 were anti-dilutive.

#### 3. SEGMENT INFORMATION

At June 30, 2005, the Company owned or had an ownership interest in 130 multifamily apartment communities, including the apartment community owned by the Company s joint venture, in 12 different states from which it derives all significant sources of earnings and operating cash flows. The Company s operational structure is organized on a decentralized basis, with individual property managers having overall responsibility and authority regarding the operations of their respective properties. Each property manager individually monitors local and area trends in rental rates, occupancy percentages, and operating costs. Property managers are given the on-site responsibility and discretion to react to such trends in the best interest of the Company. The Company s chief operating decision maker evaluates the performance of each individual property based on its contribution to net operating income in order to ensure that the individual property continues to meet the Company s return criteria and long-term investment goals. The Company defines each of its multifamily communities as an individual operating segment. It has also determined that all of its communities have similar economic characteristics and also meet the other criteria which permit the communities to be aggregated into one reportable segment, which is acquisition and operation of the multifamily communities owned.

The revenues, profits and assets for the aggregated communities are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

		ee months d June 3		ı		nonths d June 30	2004	ı		
Multifamily rental revenues Other multifamily revenues Segment revenues	\$ 2,979 75,28		\$ 2,67 69,1		\$ 5,789 150,6		\$ 5,22 137,			
Reconciling items to consolidated revenues: Joint ventures' revenues including discontinued operations Discontinued operations revenues Management fee income Total revenues	(2,550) (2,416) 20 (810) 103 149 \$ 72,862 \$ 66,066		(810) (571) 149 221		(571) 221		(4,84 (1,65 294 \$	,		
Multifamily net operating income Reconciling items to net income	\$	43,841	\$	40,590	\$	87,826	\$	80,782		
Joint ventures net operating income Discontinued operations net operating (income) loss Depreciation Property management expenses General and administrative expenses Interest and other non-property income Interest expense Loss on debt extinguishment Amortization of deferred financing costs Minority interest in operating partnership income	(1,28 44 (18,4 (2,89 (2,16 130 (14,4 (90) (489) (778)	(104) (122) (133) (173)	(1,3) (393 (16,3) (3,0) (2,5) 136 (12,4) (299) (405) (534)	) 874) 14) 15) 030)	(3,27 113 (36,4 (5,70 (4,81 287 (28,2 (94) (949) (1,03	53) (0) (9) (05)	(2,65) (801) (33,5) (5,50) (4,85) 279 (24,7) (217) (865) (954)	) 3880) 57) 366) 371) )		
Income (loss) from investments in unconsolidated entities	(193)		(33)		125	,	(74)			
Incentive fee from unconsolidated entity Net gain on insurance and other settlement proceeds Gain on sale of non-depreciable assets Gain on disposition within unconsolidated entities Gain (loss) from discontinued operations before asset	1,723 (16) 334 3,034		1,22 - -	8	1,723 (9) 334 3,034		(9) 334		2,85 -	6
impairment, settlement proceeds and gain on sale Asset impairment on discontinued operations Net gain (loss) on insurance and settlement proceeds on	22 (149)	)	(53)		(113) (243)		(129	)		
discontinued operations Preferred dividend distribution	(3,63	(5)	526	)6)	(25) (7,34	.8)	526 (7,4)	12)		
Net income available for common shareholders	\$	4,558	\$	1,286	\$	5,171	\$	2,635		

	June 30, 2005		Decembe	r 31, 2004	
Assets:					
Multifamily real estate assets	\$	1,942,939	\$	1,950,444	
Accumulated depreciation - multifamily assets	(438,8	17)	(412,847)		
Segment assets	1,504,	122	1,537,597		
Reconciling items to total assets:					
Joint ventures multifamily real estate assets, net	(42,19	4)	(92,034)		
Land held for future development	1,366		1,366		
Commercial properties, net	7,137		7,429		
Investment in and advances to real estate joint ventures	4,416		14,143		
Cash and restricted cash	13,882	2	15,174		
Other assets	31,802	2	38,602		
Non-real estate assets held for sale	-		30		
Total assets	\$	1,520,531	\$	1,522,307	

#### 4. PROPERTIES HELD FOR SALE AND DISPOSITIONS

As part of the Company s disposition strategy to selectively dispose of mature assets that no longer meet the Company s investment criteria and long-term strategic objectives, the Company sold the Eastview apartments, a 432-unit community located in Memphis, Tennessee on April 1, 2005. The community was considered a discontinued operation for the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The Company recorded impairment charges of approximately \$94,000 in the first quarter of 2005 and \$149,000 in the second quarter of 2005 related to the Eastview apartments. On October 1, 2004, the Company sold the Island Retreat apartments, a 112-unit community in St. Simon s Island, Georgia. As a result of this sale, the Company recognized a gain of approximately \$5,825,000. The community was considered a discontinued operation for the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The revenues and net income (loss) reported in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the above transactions were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2005		2004		2005		2004	1
Revenues	\$	(20)	\$	810	\$	571	\$	1,654
Net income (loss)	\$	(127)	\$	473	\$	(381)	\$	397

No properties were classified as held for sale at June 30, 2005. At December 31, 2004, the Eastview apartments were classified as held for sale. The major classes of assets and liabilities reported in assets held for disposition and in liabilities associated with assets held for disposition in the accompanying consolidated financial statements were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	June 30	, 2005	<b>December 31, 2004</b>		
Land	\$	-	\$	700	
Buildings and improvements	-		12,303		
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	-		1,133		
Other assets	-		65		
Accumulated depreciation	-		(5,622)		
Assets held for disposition	\$	-	\$	8,579	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$	-	\$	129	
Security deposits	-		35		
Liabilities associated with					
assets held for disposition	\$	-	\$	164	

#### 5. UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITY DISPOSITIONS

In 2002, the Company entered into a joint venture with Crow Holdings, Mid-America CH/Realty LP ( CH/Realty ), in which the Company maintained a 33.33% ownership interest and was paid a 4% management fee. CH/Realty acquired three properties over the course of 2002 and early 2003. One property was subsequently sold in the fourth quarter of 2004. The remaining properties, Seasons at Green Oaks and Preston Hills, were sold on May 31, 2005, and June 16, 2005, respectively.

The Company s share of the gain from the sale of the remaining properties in CH/Realty was approximately \$3.0 million and is reported as gain on disposition within unconsolidated entities on the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The sale of the remaining properties resulted in the winding up of CH/Realty and the earnings of the joint venture resulted in a promote fee for the Company of approximately \$1.7 million which is reported on the accompanying consolidated financial statements as incentive fee from unconsolidated entity.

At June 30, 2005, the Company was still a participant in a second joint venture with Crow Holdings, Mid-America Ch/Realty II LP ( CH/Realty II ), in which the Company also maintains a 33.33% ownership interest and is paid a 4% management fee. CH/Realty II owned one property as of June 30, 2005.

#### 6. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In the normal course of business, the Company uses certain derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, the interest rate risk associated with the Company s variable rate debt or as hedges in anticipation of future debt transactions to manage well-defined interest rate risk associated with the transaction.

The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. Further, the Company has a policy of entering into contracts with major financial institutions based upon their credit rating and other factors. When viewed in conjunction with the underlying and offsetting exposure that the derivatives are designated to hedge, the Company has not sustained any material loss from those instruments nor does it anticipate any material adverse effect on its net income or financial position in the future from the use of derivatives.

The Company requires that derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges be effective in reducing the interest rate risk exposure that they are designated to hedge. This effectiveness is essential for qualifying for hedge accounting. Instruments that meet the hedging criteria are formally designated as hedging instruments at the inception of the derivative contract. The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as fair value or cash flow hedges to specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions. The Company also formally assesses, both at the inception of the hedging relationship and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives used are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. When it is determined that a derivative has ceased to be a highly effective hedge, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively.

All of the Company s derivative financial instruments are reported at fair value and represented on the balance sheet, and are characterized as cash flow hedges. These transactions hedge the future cash flows of debt transactions through interest rate swaps that convert variable payments to fixed payments and interest rate caps that limit the exposure to rising interest rates. The unrealized gains/losses in the fair value of these hedging instruments are reported on the balance sheet with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income, with any ineffective portion of the hedging transactions reclassified to earnings. During the three month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, the ineffective portion of the hedging transactions was not significant.

#### 7. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Total comprehensive income and its components for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30 2005					Six months ended June 30 2005		), 2004	
Net income Marked-to-market adjustment	\$	8,193	\$	4,992	\$	12,519	\$	10,047	
on derivative instruments Total comprehensive income (loss)	(8,993 \$	(800)	16,8 \$	51 21,843	2,308 \$	3 14,827	12,2 \$	90 22,337	

#### 8. 8 5/8% SERIES G CUMULATIVE REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

In 2002, the Company issued 8 5/8% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series G) with a \$25.00 per share liquidation preference and a preferential cumulative annual distribution of \$2.15625 per share, payable monthly. The Company has outstanding 400,000 Series G shares issued in a direct placement with private investors (Investors) for which it received aggregate proceeds of \$10 million. On or after November 15, 2004, the Company or the Investors may give the required one year notice to redeem or put, respectively, all or part of the Series G shares beginning on or after November 15, 2005 in increments of \$1 million. In the event the Investors elect to put all or a part of the Series G to the Company, the Company has the option to redeem all or a portion of the shares of the Series G in shares of common stock of the Company in lieu of cash.

In accordance with EITF D-98: Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities, as of March 31, 2005, the Company classified the Series G outside of permanent equity as the Company determined that in the event of a put by the Investors, there were two possible circumstances which were not wholly in control of the Company that could require the Series G to be redeemed by the Company for cash as opposed to common stock, and thus the Series G should be presented outside of permanent equity. These circumstances were the delisting of the Company s common stock from the New York Stock Exchange and the failure to complete a registration of the Company s common stock exchanged for the Series G. The December 31, 2004 consolidated balance sheet was adjusted to conform to such presentation.

On May 26, 2005, the Company gave the required one year notice to redeem all of the issued and outstanding Series G shares on May 26, 2006. As a result, in accordance with Statement No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and* 

Equity (Statement 150), the Company has classified the Series G as a liability within notes payable as of May 26, 2005, on the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Statement 150 also requires that all subsequent dividend payments be classified as interest expense on the consolidated financial statements.

9. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

REAL ESTATE ACQUISITIONS

In July 2005, the Company purchased the Waterford Forest apartments, a 384-unit community located in the Research Triangle area of North Carolina and the Boulder Ridge apartments, a 478-unit community located in the North Dallas/Fort Worth metropolitan area of Texas.

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company s consolidated financial statements, and the notes thereto, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires the Company to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates and assumptions based upon historical experience and various other factors and circumstances. The Company believes that its estimates and assumptions are reasonable in the circumstances; however, actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions under different future conditions.

The Company believes that the estimates and assumptions that are most important to the portrayal of its financial condition and results of operations, in that they require the most subjective judgments, form the basis of accounting policies deemed to be most critical. These critical accounting policies include capitalization of expenditures and depreciation of assets, impairment of long-lived assets, including goodwill, and fair value of derivative financial instruments.

Capitalization of Expenditures and Depreciation of Assets

The Company carries its real estate assets at their depreciated cost. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which range from 8 to 40 years for land improvements and buildings, 5 years for furniture, fixtures, and equipment, and 3 to 5 years for computers and software, all of which are judgmental determinations. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred while significant improvements, renovations, and replacements are capitalized. The cost to complete any deferred repairs and maintenance at properties acquired by the Company in order to elevate the condition of the property to the Company s standards are capitalized as incurred.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets Including Goodwill

The Company accounts for long-lived assets in accordance with the provisions of Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (Statement 144) and evaluates its goodwill for impairment under Statement No. 14Coodwill and Other Intangible Assets (Statement 142). The Company evaluates its goodwill for impairment on an annual basis in the Company s fiscal fourth quarter, or sooner if a goodwill impairment indicator is identified. The Company periodically evaluates its long-lived assets, including its investments in real estate and goodwill, for indicators that would suggest that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. The judgments regarding the existence of such indicators are based on factors such as operating performance, market conditions, and legal factors.

In accordance with Statement 144, long-lived assets, such as real estate assets, and equipment, and purchased intangibles subject to amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated. The assets and liabilities of a disposed group classified as held for sale are presented separately in the appropriate asset and liability sections of the balance sheet.

Goodwill is tested annually for impairment, and is tested for impairment more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the asset s fair value. This determination is made at the reporting unit level and consists of two steps. First, the Company determines the fair value of a reporting unit and compares it to its carrying amount. In the apartment industry, the primary method used for determining fair value is to divide annual operating cash flows by an appropriate capitalization rate. The Company determines the appropriate capitalization rate by reviewing the prevailing rates in a property s market or submarket. Second, if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for any excess of the carrying amount of the reporting unit s goodwill over the implied fair value of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation, in accordance with Statement No. 141, Business Combinations. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill.

Fair Value of Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company utilizes certain derivative financial instruments, primarily interest rate swaps and caps, during the normal course of business to manage, or hedge, the interest rate risk associated with the Company s variable rate debt or as hedges in anticipation of future debt transactions to manage well-defined interest rate risk associated with the transaction. The valuation of the derivative financial instruments under Statement No. 133, as amended, requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the fair value of the instruments.

In order for a derivative contract to be designated as a hedging instrument, the relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item must be highly effective. While the Company s calculation of hedge effectiveness contains some subjective determinations, the historical correlation of the cash flows of the hedging instruments and the underlying hedged item are measured by the Company before entering into the hedging relationship and have been found to be highly correlated.

The Company performs ineffectiveness tests using the change in the variable cash flows method at the inception of the hedge and for each reporting period thereafter, through the term of the hedging instruments. Any amounts determined to be ineffective are recorded in earnings. The change in fair value of the interest rate swaps and caps designated as cash flow hedges are recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income in the statement of shareholders equity.

#### OVERVIEW OF THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

The Company s operating results for the first six months of 2005 benefited from strengthened property performance by the Company s same store portfolio from increased revenues and from acquisitions made throughout 2004 and 2005. Property performance was somewhat offset by an increase in interest expense caused by larger debt balances due to the expanding portfolio and an increase in interest rates. The Company also benefited from the sale of the remaining two properties in one of its joint ventures with Crow Holdings, the Company s share of which resulted in gain on sale and incentive fees totaling approximately \$4.8 million.

The following is a discussion of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report. These financial statements include all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to reflect a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented, and all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

The total number of apartment units the Company owned or had an ownership interest in, including the properties owned by its 33.33% unconsolidated joint venture, at June 30, 2005 was 37,365 in 130 communities compared to 36,952 units in 130 communities owned at June 30, 2004. The average monthly rental per apartment unit for the Company s 100% owned apartment units not in lease-up was \$688 at June 30, 2005 compared to \$667 at June 30, 2004. Occupancy for these same apartment units at June 30, 2005 and 2004 was 94.2% and 93.0%, respectively.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

COMPARISON OF THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005 TO THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Property revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2005, increased by approximately \$6,842,000 from the three months ended June 30, 2004, due to (i) a \$3,970,000 increase in property revenues from the five properties acquired in the last three quarters of 2004 (the Partial 2004 Acquisitions), (ii) a \$1,480,000 increase in property revenues from the communities held throughout both periods, and (iii) a \$1,392,000 increase in property revenues from the two properties acquired in the first two quarters of 2005 (the 2005 Acquisitions).

Property operating expenses include costs for property personnel, building repairs and maintenance, real estate taxes and insurance, utilities, landscaping and other property related costs. Property operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2005, increased by approximately \$3,058,000 from the three months ended June 30, 2004, due primarily to increases of property operating expenses of (i) \$1,596,000 from the Partial 2004 Acquisitions, (ii) \$875,000 from the communities held throughout both periods, and (iii) \$587,000 from the 2005 Acquisitions.

Depreciation expense increased by approximately \$1,530,000 primarily due to the increases of depreciation expense of (i) \$1,786,000 from the Partial 2004 Acquisitions, (ii) \$638,000 from the 2005 Acquisitions, and (iii) \$137,000 from

the communities held throughout both periods. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in depreciation expense of \$1,031,000 from the expiration of the amortization of fair market value of leases of 13 communities acquired by the Company in 2003.

Property management expenses decreased by approximately \$122,000 from the second quarter of 2004 to the second quarter of 2005 partially due to decreased property employee incentives. General and administrative expenses decreased by approximately \$352,000 over this same period partially due to decreased management incentives.

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2005, increased by approximately \$2,443,000 from the same period in 2004. This increase was due to the increase in debt balances and average interest rates from the second quarter of 2004 to the second quarter of 2005. Debt outstanding at June 30, 2004 was approximately \$1,018,000,000 at an average interest rate of 4.8%. Debt outstanding at June 30, 2005 was approximately \$1,087,000,000 with an average interest rate of 5.3%.

COMPARISON OF THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005 TO THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Property revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2005, increased by approximately \$12,809,000 from the six months ended June 30, 2004, due to (i) a \$8,278,000 increase in property revenues from the six properties acquired in 2004 (the 2004 Acquisitions), (ii) a \$2,469,000 increase in property revenues from the communities held throughout both periods, and (iii) a \$2,062,000 increase in property revenues from the 2005 Acquisitions.

Property operating expenses include costs for property personnel, building repairs and maintenance, real estate taxes and insurance, utilities, landscaping and other property related costs. Property operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2005, increased by approximately \$5,401,000 from the six months ended June 30, 2004, due primarily to increases of property operating expenses of (i) \$3,354,000 from the 2004 Acquisitions, (ii) \$1,253,000 from the communities held throughout both periods, and (iii) \$794,000 from the 2005 Acquisitions.

Depreciation expense increased by approximately \$2,573,000 primarily due to the increases of depreciation expense of (i) \$3,593,000 from the 2004 Acquisitions, (ii) \$935,000 from the 2005 Acquisitions, and (iii) \$218,000 from the communities held throughout both periods. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in depreciation expense of \$2,173,000 from the expiration of the amortization of fair market value of leases of 13 communities acquired by the Company in 2003.

Property management expenses increased by approximately \$133,000 from the first half of 2004 to the first half of 2005 partially due to increased personnel expense related to property acquisitions. General and administrative expenses remained relatively flat, decreasing approximately \$67,000 over this same period as decreases in management incentives in the second quarter of 2005 from the second quarter of 2004 more than offset the increase in the first quarter of 2005 from the first quarter of 2004 from timing differences in the funding of the Company s corporate charity, The Open Arms Foundation.

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2005, increased by approximately \$3,834,000 from the same period in 2004. This increase was due to the increase in debt balances and average interest rates from the first half of 2004 to the first half of 2005. Debt outstanding at June 30, 2004 was approximately \$1,018,000,000 at an average interest rate of 4.8%. Debt outstanding at June 30, 2005 was approximately

\$1,087,000,000 with an average interest rate of 5.3%.

#### FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS AND NET INCOME

Funds from operations (FFO) represents net income (computed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP) excluding extraordinary items, minority interest in operating partnership income, gain on disposition of real estate assets, plus depreciation of real estate, and adjustments for joint ventures to reflect FFO on the same basis. This definition of FFO is in accordance with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust s (NAREIT) definition. Disposition of real estate assets includes sales of real estate included in discontinued operations as well as proceeds received from insurance and other settlements from property damage.

The Company's policy is to expense the cost of interior painting, vinyl flooring, and blinds as incurred for stabilized properties. During the stabilization period for acquisition properties, these items are capitalized as part of the total repositioning program of newly acquired properties, and, thus are not deducted in calculating FFO.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income or any other GAAP measurement of performance, as an indicator of operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow from operating, investing, and financing activities as a measure of liquidity. The Company believes that FFO is helpful to investors in understanding the Company's operating performance in that such calculation excludes depreciation expense on real estate assets. The Company believes that GAAP historical cost depreciation of real estate assets is generally not correlated with changes in the value of those assets, whose value does not diminish predictably over time, as historical cost depreciation implies. The Company s calculation of FFO may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs.

The following table is a reconciliation of FFO to net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (dollars and shares in thousands):

Three months

Six months

	ended June 30,			ended June 30,				
	2005		2004		2005	5	2004	ļ
Net income	\$	8,193	\$	4,992	\$	12,519	\$	10,047
Depreciation of real estate assets	18,06	9	16,53	8	35,7	87	33,2	10
Net gain on insurance and other settlement proceeds	16		(1,228	3)	9		(2,85	56)
Gain on dispositions within unconsolidated entities	(3,03	4)	-		(3,03)	34)	-	
Net (gain)loss on insurance and other settlement								
proceeds of discontinued operations	-		(526)		25		(526	)
Depreciation of real estate assets of discontinued								
operations (1)	-		224		-		451	
Depreciation of real estate assets of unconsolidated entities	115		447		247		898	

Preferred dividend distribution	(3,635)	(3,706)	(7,348)	(7,412)
Minority interest in operating partnership income	778	534	1,038	954
Funds from operations	\$ 20,502	\$ 17,275	\$ 39,243	\$ 34,766
Weighted average shares and units:				
Basic	23,984	22,946	23,774	22,832
Diluted	24,258	23,256	24,053	23,150

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts represent depreciation taken before communities classified as discontinued operations.

Net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, was approximately \$3,201,000 and \$2,472,000, respectively above the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 mainly due to the gain on sale and incentive fee related to the disposition of properties in the Company s joint venture with Crow Holdings and increases in property operations which were only partially offset by increases in interest expense over both periods.

FFO over the same periods increased approximately \$3,227,000 and \$4,477,000 for the second quarter and half year periods, respectively mainly related to increases in property operations which were only partially offset by increases in interest expense over both periods.

#### **TRENDS**

Property performance over the past two years has been pressured by an imbalance between supply and demand for apartment units in many of the Company s markets. The economic downturn and the related low interest rate environment have combined to contribute to a temporary decline in demand for apartment units, while allowing delivery levels of newly constructed apartment units to remain consistent with, and in some cases above, historical averages.

The recent economic environment has impacted demand in two main ways: first by producing lower job growth, which reduced the number of potential renters in most of the Company s markets, and second by producing lower interest rates which has increased the affordability of single family housing, prompting more renters to purchase homes.

On the supply side, the declining interest rates have provided an incentive to developers to construct new apartment units in many of the Company's markets, especially in the larger metropolitan markets. Delivery of these new units during this period of weakened apartment demand has increased competition, adding pressure to apartment occupancy levels and pricing in a number of the Company's markets.

As part of its strategy to create continued stable and growing performance, the Company maintains a portfolio of properties diversified across large metropolitan markets, mid-sized markets, and smaller tier markets, as defined by population levels. During the economic downturn, the Company s smaller-tier and mid-sized markets produced more stable performance while its larger metropolitan markets proved more susceptible to declining job formation and apartment supply imbalances.

The Company is beginning to see indications of stronger job growth in many of its markets, which could indicate an improvement in the general economic environment. As (and if) the economic environment improves, the Company expects to see more household formations and increasing interest rates, which the Company believes will combine to increase the number of apartment renters and decrease the construction of new apartment units.

While increasing interest rates will increase the Company s cost of borrowing, the Company expects that this increase in demand will also generate stronger property performance across the Company s portfolio. The Company s large-tier markets, which have been under the most pressure during the economic downturn, should begin to absorb the oversupply of new apartment units and return to historical occupancy and pricing levels, while the Company s smaller-tier and mid-sized markets will also benefit from improving market fundamentals which support continued stable growth.

Over the long term, general demographic trends are expected to favor apartment owners, as immigration growth, combined with the increasing demand for rental housing from the echo boomers (children of the baby boomers) is expected to produce more apartment renters over the next ten years. The Company believes its portfolio location throughout the Southeast and South central regions of the country position it well to take advantage of these improving demographic trends.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash flow provided by operating activities remained relatively flat at \$55.5 million for the first six months of 2005 from \$55.2 million for the first six months of 2004.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased during the first six months of 2005 from the first six months of 2004 to approximately \$35.2 million from \$64.1 million mainly related to distributions of cash from a joint venture with Crow Holdings following the sale of two properties in the second quarter of 2005.

Capital improvements to existing real estate assets during the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 totaled approximately \$11.0 million and \$16.9 million, respectively. Improvements for the first six months of 2004 include approximately \$4.8 million of capital expenditures resulting from casualty losses while the comparable period in 2005 contains only approximately \$630,000 of capital expenditures resulting from casualty losses. Excluding the impact of casualty losses, the Company expects to increase capital improvements to existing real estate assets for the full year of 2005 over 2004 by 6.9%.

Net cash used by financing activities was approximately \$22.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of approximately \$37.7 million during the same period in 2004. During the first six months of 2005 the Company decreased its borrowings from credit lines and individual mortgages by approximately \$6.9 million compared to an increase of approximately \$171.7 million for the same period in 2004. The Company made principal payments on notes payable of approximately \$1.3 million in the first six months of 2005 compared to approximately \$105.8 million for the same period of 2004, mainly due to \$104.3 million of debt pay-offs. The Company received proceeds from issuances of common shares and units of approximately \$21.0 million in the first six months of 2005 compared to approximately \$8.5 million for the same period in 2004 mainly due to an increase in shares issued through the Company s Direct Stock Purchase Plan.

The weighted average interest rate at June 30, 2005, for the \$1.1 billion of debt outstanding was 5.3% compared to 4.8% on \$1.0 billion of debt outstanding at June 30, 2004. The Company utilizes both conventional and tax exempt debt to help finance its activities. Borrowings are made through individual property mortgages and secured credit facilities. The Company utilizes fixed rate borrowings, interest rate swaps and interest rate caps to manage its current and future interest rate risk.

At June 30, 2005, the Company had secured credit facilities relationships with Prudential Mortgage Capital which is credit enhanced by the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA), FNMA, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie MAC), and a group of banks led by AmSouth Bank. Together, these credit facilities provided a total borrowing capacity of \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2005, with an availability to borrow of \$945 million. At June 30, 2005, the Company had total borrowings outstanding under these credit facilities of \$856 million.

Each of the Company s secured credit facilities is subject to various covenants and conditions on usage, and are subject to periodic revaluation of collateral. If the Company were to fail to satisfy a condition to borrowing, the available credit under one or more of the facilities could not be drawn, which could adversely affect the Company s liquidity. In the event of a reduction in real estate values the amount of available credit could be reduced. Moreover, if the Company were to fail to make a payment or violate a covenant under a credit facility, after applicable cure periods one or more of its lenders could declare a default, accelerate the due date for repayment of all amounts outstanding and/or foreclose on properties securing such facilities. Any such event could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Approximately 71% of the Company s outstanding obligations at June 30, 2005 were borrowed through facilities with/or credit enhanced by FNMA (the FNMA Facilities ). The FNMA Facilities have a combined line limit of \$950 million, \$834 million of which was available to borrow at June 30, 2005. Various traunches of the facilities mature from 2010 through 2014. The FNMA Facilities provide for both fixed and variable rate borrowings. The interest rate on the majority of the variable portion renews every 90 days and is based on the FNMA Discount Mortgage Backed Security ( DMBS ) rate on the date of renewal, which has typically approximated three-month LIBOR less an average spread of 0.04% over the life of the FNMA Facilities, plus a credit enhancement fee of 0.62%.

The Company also had secured borrowings with Union Planters Bank at June 30, 2005 totaling \$40 million.

The Company had \$10 million of unsecured borrowings with Compass Bank that expired on May 5, 2005, which the Company did not renew.

On May 26, 2005, the Company gave the required one year notice to redeem all of the issued and outstanding shares of its 8 5/8% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series G) on May 26, 2006. As a result, in accordance with Statement No. 150ccounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity, the Company has classified the Series G as a liability within notes payable as of May 26, 2005, on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

As of June 30, 2005, the Company had interest rate swaps in effect totaling a notional amount of approximately \$559 million. To date, these swaps have proven to be highly effective hedges. The Company also had entered into future interest rate swaps totaling a notional amount of \$150 million. These swaps go into effect in the fourth quarter of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006. The Company also had interest rate cap agreements totaling a notional amount of approximately \$23 million in effect as of June 30, 2005.

Summary details of the debt outstanding at June 30, 2005, follows in the table below:

					Outst		8			
					Balar			Average	Average	Average
	Line		Line	:	Notio	nal		Interest	Rate	Contract
	Limi	it	Ava	ilability	Amou	unt		Rate	Maturity	Maturity
COMBINED DEBT										
Fixed Rate or Swapped										
Conventional					\$		781,387,528	5.7%	12/05/2010	01/26/2011
Tax Exempt					87,41	0,00	00	4.7%	06/06/2015	06/06/2015
Preferred Series G					10,00	0,00	00	8.6%	05/26/2006	05/26/2006
Subtotal Fixed Rate or Sv	apped	1			878,7	97,5	528	5.6%	04/28/2011	06/13/2011
Variable Rate										
Conventional					174,4	19,3	380	3.9%	08/18/2005	09/26/2012
Tax Exempt					10,85	5,00	)4	3.3%	07/22/2005	05/30/2020
Conventional - Capped					11,72	0,00	00	3.9%	03/01/2009	03/01/2009
Tax Exempt - Capped					10,85	5,00	00	3.2%	04/25/2008	04/25/2008
Subtotal Variable Rate					207,8	49,3	384	3.8%	08/15/2005	03/28/2013
<b>Total Combined Debt Outstan</b>	ding				\$	1	,086,646,912	5.3%	03/26/2010	10/16/2011
UNDERLYING DEBT										
Individual Property Mortgages/E	Bonds									
Conventional Fixed Rate					\$		141,125,528	5.0%	10/12/2014	10/12/2014
Tax Exempt Fixed Rate					34,44	5.00		5.7%	04/12/2026	04/12/2026
Tax Exempt Variable Rate					4,760	.004	1	3.5%	07/31/2005	06/01/2028
Preferred Series G					10,00	-		8.6%	05/26/2006	05/26/2006
FNMA Credit Facilities					.,	-,				
Tax Free Borrowings	\$	88.280.000	\$	69.915.000	69,91	5.00	00	3.2%	07/15/2005	03/01/2014
Conventional Borrowings		,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,-	- ,				
Fixed Rate Borrowings	110.0	000,000	110.	000,000	110,0	00.0	000	7.2%	01/10/2009	01/10/2009
Variable Rate Borrowings		*		175,000	595,1			3.9%	08/24/2005	03/27/2013
Subtotal FNMA Facilities		000,000		090,000	775,0			4.3%	02/11/2006	09/20/2012
Freddie Mac Credit Facility	, .	000,000		44,000	81,14			3.7%	07/17/2005	07/01/2011
AmSouth Credit Facility		00,000		04,725	155,3			5.3%	07/31/2005	05/24/2007
Union Planters Bank	,	,	,-	,	40.00		00	4.4%	07/30/2005	04/01/2009
Total Underlying Debt Outstan	nding				\$	. ,	,086,646,912	4.4%	10/26/2007	03/17/2013
HEDGING INSTRUMENTS										
Interest Rate Swaps										
LIBOR indexed					\$		506,000,000	5.8%	05/03/2010	
LIBOR indexed - Forward In	terest	Rate Swan			150,0	00.0		5.1%	11/10/2012	
BMA indexed	torest.	rtate 5 wap			52,96			4.1%	05/17/2008	
Total Interest Rate Swaps					\$	٥,٥٠	708,965,000	5.5%	09/21/2010	
Interest Rate Caps										
LIBOR indexed					\$		11,720,000	6.0%	10/03/2008	
BMA indexed					э 10.85	5 00		6.0%	10/03/2008	
Total Interest Rate Caps					\$	ا0,00	22,575,000	6.0%	10/03/2008	
Total Interest Rate Caps					φ		22,373,000	0.0 /0	10/03/2000	

The Company believes that it has adequate resources to fund its current operations, annual refurbishment of its properties, and incremental investment in new apartment properties. The Company is relying on the efficient operation of the financial markets to finance debt maturities, and also is heavily reliant on the creditworthiness of FNMA, which provides credit enhancement for approximately \$775 million of the Company s debt. The interest rate market for FNMA DMBS, which in the Company s experience is highly correlated with three-month LIBOR

interest rates, is also an important component of the Company s liquidity and interest rate swap effectiveness. In the event that the FNMA DMBS market becomes less efficient, or the credit of FNMA becomes impaired, the Company would seek alternative sources of debt financing.

For the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company s net cash provided by operating activities exceeded improvements to existing real estate assets, distributions to unitholders, and dividends paid on common and preferred shares by approximately \$9.3 million. This compares to coverage of approximately \$4.5 million for the same period in 2004. While the Company has sufficient liquidity to permit distributions at current rates through additional borrowings, if necessary, any significant deterioration in operations could result in the Company s financial resources to be insufficient to pay distributions to shareholders at the current rate, in which event the Company would be required to reduce the distribution rate.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

At June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance, special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. The Company s joint venture with Crow Holdings was established to acquire multifamily properties. In addition, the Company does not engage in trading activities involving non-exchange traded contracts. As such, the Company is not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market, or credit risk that could arise if it had engaged in such relationships. The Company does not have any relationships or transactions with persons or entities that derive benefits from their non-independent relationships with the Company or its related parties other than what is disclosed in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data - Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 11 in the Company s 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K/A.

The Company s investment in its real estate joint venture is unconsolidated and is recorded on the equity method as the Company does not have a controlling interest.

INSURANCE

In the opinion of management, property and casualty insurance is in place that provides adequate coverage to provide financial protection against normal insurable risks such that it believes that any loss experienced would not have a significant impact on the Company s liquidity, financial position, or results of operations.

INFLATION

Substantially all of the resident leases at the communities allow, at the time of renewal, for adjustments in the rent payable thereunder, and thus may enable the Company to seek rent increases. Almost all leases are for one year or less. The short-term nature of these leases generally serves to reduce the risk to the Company of the adverse effects of inflation.

IMPACT OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29* (Statement 153). Statement 153 was a result of a joint effort by the FASB and the IASB to improve financial reporting by eliminating certain narrow differences between their existing accounting standards. Statement 153 amends APB Opinion No. 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. Statement 153 shall be applied prospectively and is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company does not believe the adoption of Statement 153 will have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition or results of operations taken as a whole.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123 (revised December 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (Statement 123(R)). Statement 123(R) replaces FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. Statement 123(R) will require compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements. With limited exceptions, the amount of compensation cost will be measured based on the grant-date fair value of the equity or the liability instruments issued. In addition, liability awards will be remeasured each reporting period. Compensation cost will be recognized over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. Statement 123(R) is effective as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. The Company will adopt Statement 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 and does not believe it will have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition or results of operations taken as a whole.

In March 2005, the SEC issued SAB 107 to provide public companies additional guidance in applying the provisions of Statement 123(R). Among other things, SAB 107 describes the SEC staff's expectations in determining the assumptions that underlie the fair value estimates and discusses the interaction of Statement 123(R) with certain existing SEC guidance. The guidance is also beneficial to users of financial statements in analyzing the information provided under statement (123)R. SAB 107 will be applied upon the adoption of Statement 123(R).

In March 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143 (Interpretation 47). Interpretation 47 clarifies that the term conditional asset retirement obligation as used in FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, (Statement 143) refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or

method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and/or method of settlement. Thus, the timing and/or method of settlement may be conditional on a future event. Accordingly, an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation if the fair value of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The fair value of a liability for the conditional asset retirement obligation should be recognized when incurred-generally upon acquisition, construction, or development and/or through the normal operation of the asset. Uncertainty about the timing and/or method of settlement of a conditional asset retirement obligation should be factored into the measurement of the liability when sufficient information exists. Statement 143 acknowledges that in some cases, sufficient information may not be available to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. Interpretation 47 also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. Interpretation 47 is effective no later than the end of fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005 (December 31, 2005, for calendar-year enterprises). Retrospective application for interim financial information is permitted but is not required. The Company does not believe the adoption of Interpretation 47 will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations taken as a whole.

In June 2005, the FASB ratified EITF 04-5: *Consolidating Limited Partnerships* (EITF 04-5 provides a framework for determining whether a general partner is required to consolidate limited partners. The new framework is significantly different than the guidance in SOP 78-9 and would make it more difficult for a general partner to overcome the presumption that it controls the limited partnership, requiring the limited partner to have substantive kick-out or participating rights. Kick-out rights are the right to dissolve or liquidate the partnership or to otherwise remove the general partner without cause and participating rights is the right to effectively participate in significant decisions made in the ordinary course of the partnership s business. EITF 04-5 became effective immediately for all newly formed limited partnerships and existing limited partnerships which are modified. The guidance will become effective for existing limited partnerships which are not modified the beginning of the first reporting period in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company does not believe the adoption of EITF 04-5 will have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial condition or results of operations taken as a whole.

#### RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), which are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created thereby. These statements include, but are not limited to, statements about anticipated growth rate of revenues and expenses, anticipated rental concessions, planned asset dispositions, disposition pricing, and planned acquisitions. Actual results and the timing of certain events could differ materially from those projected in or contemplated by the forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including a continued downturn in general economic conditions or the capital markets, competitive factors including overbuilding or other supply/demand imbalances in some or all of our markets, changes in interest rates, and other items that are difficult to control such as insurance rates, increases in real estate taxes, and other general risks inherent in the apartment business. Although the Company believes that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this report on Form 10-Q will prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the objectives and plans of the Company will be achieved.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

This information has been omitted as there have been no material changes in the Company s market risk as disclosed in the 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K/A except for changes as discussed in the Liquidity and Capital resources section in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s

management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management necessarily applied its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures which, by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance regarding management's control objectives. The Company also has an investment in an unconsolidated entity which is not under its control. Consequently, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures with respect to this entity are necessarily more limited than those it maintains with respect to its consolidated subsidiaries.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2005 in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) that is required to be included in the Company s Exchange Act filings.

#### CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

During the three months ended June 30, 2005, there were no significant changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Special Note Regarding Analyst Reports

Investors should also be aware that while the Company s management does, from time to time, communicate with securities analysts, it is against the Company s policy to disclose to them any material non-public information or other confidential commercial information. Accordingly, shareholders should not assume that the Company agrees with any statement or report issued by any analyst irrespective of the content of the statement or report. Furthermore, the Company has a policy against issuing or confirming financial forecasts or projections issued by others. Thus, to the extent that reports issued by securities analysts contain any projections, forecasts or opinions, such reports are not the responsibility of, nor are they endorsed by Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc.

PART II	OTHE	R INFORM	IATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The annual meeting of the shareholders of the Company was held on May 19, 2005.

Messrs. H. Eric Bolton, Jr., Alan B. Graf, Jr. and Ralph Horn were elected to serve as directors by a plurality of votes cast at the meeting. Shares on this proposal were voted as follows:

	For	Withheld
H. Eric Bolton, Jr.	19,413,292	179,078
Alan B. Graf, Jr.	19,052,138	540,232
Ralph Horn	19,314,393	277,977

KPMG LLP was ratified as the Company s independent public accountants for the 2005 fiscal year by a majority of the shares represented at the meeting. Shares on this proposal were voted as follows:

	For	Against	Abstain
KPMG LLP	19,017,508	535,363	39,498

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) The following exhibits are filed as part of this report.

Exhibit Numbers 3.1+	Exhibit Description Amended and Restated Charter of Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. dated as of January 10, 1994, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on January 25, 1994
3.2*****	Articles of Amendment to the Charter of Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. dated as of January 28, 1994, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on January 28, 1994
3.3**	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Charter Designating and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of a Series of Preferred Stock dated as of October 9, 1996, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on October 10, 1996
3.4*****	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Charter dated November 17, 1997, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on November 18, 1997
3.5***	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Charter Designating and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of a Series of Shares of Preferred Stock dated as of November 17, 1997, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on November 18, 1997
3.6****	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Charter Designating and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of a Series of Shares of Preferred Stock dated as of June 26, 1998, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on June 30, 1998
3.7@	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Charter Designating and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of A Series of Shares of Preferred Stock dated as of December 24, 1998, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on December 30, 1998
3.8****	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Charter Designating and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of a Series of Shares of Preferred Stock dated as of October 11, 2002, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on October 14, 2002
3.9@	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Charter Designating and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of a Series of Shares of Preferred Stock dated as of October 28, 2002, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on October 28, 2002
3.10@	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Charter Designating and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of a Series of Shares of Preferred Stock dated as of August 7, 2003, as filed with the Tennessee Secretary of State on August 7, 2003
3.11*	Bylaws of Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc.
4.1+	Form of Common Share Certificate
4.2**	Form of 9.5% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock Certificate
4.3***	Form of 8 7/8% Series B Cumulative Preferred Stock Certificate
4.4***	Form of 9 3/8% Series C Cumulative Preferred Stock Certificate
4.5@	Form of 9.5% Series E Cumulative Preferred Stock Certificate
4.6****	Form of 9 1/4% Series F Cumulative Preferred Stock Certificate
4.7@	Form of 8.30% Series G Cumulative Preferred Stock Certificate
4.8@	Form of 8.30% Series H Cumulative Preferred Stock Certificate
4.9+++	Shareholder Protection Rights Agreement dated March 1, 1999

10.1###	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mid-America Apartments, L.P., a Tennessee limited partnership
10.2+++	Employment Agreement between the Registrant and H. Eric Bolton, Jr.
10.3+++	Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Simon R.C. Wadsworth
10.4#	Fourth Amended and Restated 1994 Restricted Stock and Stock Option Plan
10.5+++	Revolving Credit Agreement (Amended and Restated) between the Registrant and AmSouth Bank dated March 16, 1998
10.6+++	Sixth Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement between the Registrant and AmSouth Bank dated November 12, 1999
10.7##	Seventh Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement between the Registrant and AmSouth Bank dated July 21, 2000
10.8###	Eighth Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement between the Registrant and AmSouth Bank dated April 19, 2001
10.9@	AmSouth Revolving Credit Agreement (Amended and Restated) dated July 17, 2003
10.10@@@	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated May 19, 2004
10.11+++	Master Credit Facility Agreement between the Registrant and WMF Washington Mortgage Corp. dated November 10, 1999
10.12@	Second Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement by and among Prudential Multifamily Mortgage, Inc., Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. and Mid-America Apartments, L.P., dated March 30, 2004
10.13@@@	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated March 31, 2004
10.14@@@	Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated April 30, 2004
10.15@@@	Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated August 3, 2004
10.16@@@	Fourth Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated August 31, 2004
10.17@@@	Fifth Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated October 1, 2004
10.18@@@	Sixth Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated December 1, 2004
10.19@@@	Seventh Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated December 15, 2004
10.20@	Third Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement by and among Prudential Multifamily Mortgage, Inc., Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc., Mid-America Apartments, L.P. and Mid-America Apartments of Texas, L.P., dated March 31, 2004
10.21@@@	Second Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated as of August 3, 2004
10.22@@@	Third Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated as of December 1, 2004
10.23+	Note Purchase Agreement of the Operating Partnership and the Registrant and Prudential Insurance Company of America
10.24+	Amendment 1 to Note Purchase Agreement of the Operating Partnership and the Registrant and Prudential Insurance Company of America
10.25@	Master Reimbursement Agreement by and among Fannie Mae, Mid-America Apartments, L.P. and Fairways-Columbia, L.P. dated June 1, 2001
10.26@	Amendment No. 1 to Master Reimbursement Agreement by and among Fannie Mae, Mid-America Apartments, L.P. and Fairways-Columbia, L.P. dated December 24, 2002
10.27@	Amendment No. 2 to Master Reimbursement Agreement by and among Fannie Mae, Mid-America Apartments, L.P. and Fairways-Columbia, L.P. dated May 30, 2003

10.28@@@	Consent, Modification, Assumption of Indemnity Obligations and Release Agreement dated November 4, 2004 (Sunset Valley Apartments, Texas)
10.29@@@	Consent, Modification, Assumption of Indemnity Obligations and Release Agreement dated November 4, 2004 (Village Apartments, Texas)
10.30@@@	Consent, Modification, Assumption of Indemnity Obligations and Release Agreement dated November 4, 2004 (Coral Springs Apartments, Florida)
10.31@@@	Credit Agreement dated September 28, 1998 by and among Jefferson Village, L.P., Jefferson at Sunset Valley, L.P. and JPI Coral Springs, L.P.
10.32@@	Credit Agreement by and among Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc., Mid-America Apartments L.P. and Mid-America Apartments of Texas, L.P. and Financial Federal Savings Bank dated June 29, 2004
10.33@@@	Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan for Outside Company Directors as Amended Effective March 16, 2005
10.34@@@	Mid-America Apartment Communities Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Retirement Plan
	as Amended Effective March 16, 2005
11.1	Statement re: computation of per share earnings (included within the Form 10-Q)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
@	Filed as Exhibit to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-3 (333-112469) filed with the Commission on February 4, 2004
@@	Filed as an Exhibit to the 2003 Annual Report of the Registrant on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003
@@@	Filed as an Exhibit to the 2004 Annual Report of the Registrant on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2004
*	Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (SEC File No. 33-69434) filed on January 21, 1994
**	Filed as Exhibit 1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Commission on October 11, 1996
***	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 8-A/A filed with the Commission on November 19, 1997
****	Filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Commission on June 26, 1998
****	Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 8-A/A filed with the Commission on October 11, 2002
*****	Filed as an exhibit to the 1996 Annual Report of the Registrant on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996
+	Filed as an exhibit to the 1997 Annual Report of the Registrant on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997
+++	Filed as an exhibit to the 1999 Annual Report of the Registrant on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999
#	Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant s Proxy Statement filed on April 24, 2002

### Filed as an exhibit to the 2000 Annual Report of the Registrant on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000
### Filed as an exhibit to the 2001 Annual Report of the Registrant on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001
#### Filed as an exhibit to the Quarterly Report of the Registrant on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2004

<b>~</b> •					
٧i	or	าล	fıı	res	

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MID-AMERICA APARTMENT COMMUNITIES, INC.

Date: August 4, 2005 /s/Simon R.C. Wadsworth

Simon R.C. Wadsworth
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)