CHEVRONTEXACO CORP Form 10-K March 17, 2003

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

þ

0

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-368-2

ChevronTexaco Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

94-0890210 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

6001 Bollinger Canyon Road,

<u>San Ramon, California 94583</u>

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code (925) 842-1000

575 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report.)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common stock par value \$.75 per share Preferred stock purchase rights New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Pacific Exchange

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). b

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter \$93,724,828,928 (As of June 30, 2002)

Number of Shares of Common Stock outstanding as of February 28, 2003 1,068,317,395

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

(To The Extent Indicated Herein)

Notice of the 2003 Annual Meeting and 2003 Proxy Statement, to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in connection with the company s 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (in Part III)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item		Page No.
	PART I	
1.	Business	3
1.	(a) General Development of Business	3
	(b) Description of Business and Properties	5
	Capital and Exploratory Expenditures	6
		7
	Petroleum Exploration and Production	
	Liquids and Natural Gas Production	7
	Acreage	9
	Reserves and Contract Obligations	10
	Development Activities	11
	Exploration Activities	11
	Review of Ongoing Exploration and Production Activities in Key Areas	12
	Petroleum Natural Gas and Natural Gas Liquids	17
	Petroleum Refining	17
	Petroleum Refined Products Marketing	19
	Petroleum Transportation	20
	Chemicals	21
	Coal	22
	Other Activities Synthetic Crude Oil	22
	Power and Gasification	22
	Research and Technology	22
	Environmental Protection	23
	Website Access to SEC Reports	23
2	Compliance with Certification Requirements	23 24
2.	Properties	24 24
3. 4.	Legal Proceedings Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	24
4.	Executive Officers of the Registrant at March 1, 2003	24
	PART II	25
5.	Market for the Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	26
6.	Selected Financial Data	26
7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	26
7.	Operations	26
7A. 8.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	26 26
o. 9.	Financial Statement and Supplementary Data Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and	20
9.	Financial Disclosure	27
	PART III	
10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	27
11.	Executive Compensation	27
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	27
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	27
14.	Controls and Procedures	27

Item		Page No.
	PART IV	
15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K	29
	Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	30
	Signatures	31
	Certifications	32

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS RELEVANT TO FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

FOR THE PURPOSE OF SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Annual Report on Form 10-K of ChevronTexaco Corporation contains forward-looking statements relating to ChevronTexaco s operations that are based on management s current expectations, estimates and projections about the petroleum, chemicals and other energy-related industries. Words such as anticipates, expects, intends, plans, targets, projects, believes, seeks, estimates and similar are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond our control and are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. Unless legally required, ChevronTexaco undertakes no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are crude oil and natural gas prices; refining margins and marketing margins; chemicals prices and competitive conditions affecting supply and demand for aromatics, olefins and additives products; actions of competitors; the competitiveness of alternate energy sources or product substitutes; technological developments; the results of operations and financial condition of equity affiliates; the ability of the company s Dynegy equity affiliate to successfully execute its recapitalization and restructuring plans and the results of Dynegy s re-audit of its 1999-2001 financial statements; inability or failure of the company s joint-venture partners to fund their share of operations and development activities; potential failure to achieve expected production from existing and future oil and gas development projects; potential delays in the development, construction or start-up of planned projects; potential disruption or interruption of the company s production or manufacturing facilities due to accidents, political events, severe weather or war; potential liability for remedial actions under existing or future environmental regulations and litigation; significant investment or product changes under existing or future environmental regulations and litigation dealing with gasoline composition and characteristics); potential liability resulting from pending or future litigation; and the possibility of changed accounting rules under generally accepted accounting principles promulgated by rule-setting bodies. In addition, such statements could be affected by general domestic and international economic and political conditions. Unpredictable or unknown factors not discussed herein also could have material adverse effects on forward-looking statements.

PART I

Item 1. Business

(a) General Development of Business

Summary Description of ChevronTexaco

ChevronTexaco Corporation¹, a Delaware corporation, manages its investments in subsidiaries and affiliates, and provides administrative, financial and management support to U.S. and foreign subsidiaries that engage in fully integrated petroleum operations, chemicals operations, coal mining, power and energy services. The company operates in the United States and approximately 180 other countries. Petroleum operations consist of exploring for, developing and producing crude oil and natural gas; refining crude oil into finished petroleum products; marketing crude oil, natural gas and the many products derived from petroleum; and transporting crude oil, natural gas and petroleum products by pipelines, marine vessels, motor equipment and rail car. Chemicals operations include the manufacture and marketing, by an affiliate, of commodity petrochemicals and plastics for industrial uses, and the manufacture and marketing, by a consolidated subsidiary, of fuel and lubricating oil additives.

In this report, exploration and production of crude oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas may be referred to as E&P or upstream activities. Refining, marketing and transportation may be referred to as RM&T or downstream activities. A list of the company s major subsidiaries is presented on pages E-4 to E-6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. As of December 31, 2002, ChevronTexaco had 53,014 employees (excluding 13,024 service station employees), down about 2,700 from year-end 2001. Approximately 29,000, or 44 percent, of the company s employees, including service station employees, were employed in U.S. operations, of which approximately 3,700 were unionized.

Overview of Petroleum Industry

Petroleum industry operations and profitability are influenced by many factors, over some of which individual petroleum companies have little control. Governmental policies, particularly in the areas of taxation, energy and the environment, have a significant impact on petroleum activities, regulating where and how companies conduct their operations and formulate their products and, in some cases, limiting their profits directly. Prices for crude oil and natural gas, petroleum products and petrochemicals are determined by supply and demand for these commodities. The members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) are typically the world s swing producers of crude oil, and their production levels are a major factor in determining worldwide supply. Demand for crude oil and its products and for natural gas is largely driven by the conditions of local, national and worldwide economies, although weather patterns and taxation relative to other energy sources also play a significant part. Natural gas is generally produced and consumed on a country or regional basis. Variations in the components of refined products sales due to seasonality are not primary drivers of changes in the company s overall earnings.

Strong competition exists in all sectors of the petroleum and petrochemical industries. There is competition within the industries and also with other industries in supplying the energy, fuel and chemical needs of industry and individual consumers. ChevronTexaco competes with fully integrated major

¹ Incorporated in Delaware in 1926 as Standard Oil Company of California, the company adopted the name Chevron Corporation in 1984 and ChevronTexaco Corporation in 2001. As used in this report, the term ChevronTexaco and such terms as the company, the corporation, our, we, and us may refer to ChevronTexaco Corporation, one or more of its consolidated subsidiaries, or to all of them taken as a whole, but unless it is stated otherwise, does not include affiliates of ChevronTexaco i.e., those companies accounted for by the equity method (generally owned 50 percent or less), or investments accounted for by the cost method. All of these terms are used for convenience only, and are not intended as a precise description of any of the separate companies, each of which manages its own affairs.

Table of Contents

petroleum companies, as well as independent and national petroleum companies for the acquisition of oil and gas leases and other properties, and for the equipment and labor required to develop and operate those properties. In its downstream business, ChevronTexaco also competes with fully integrated major petroleum companies and other independent refining and marketing entities in the sale or purchase of various goods or services in many national and international markets.

Operating Environment

Refer to pages FS-2 and FS-3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion on the company s current business environment and outlook.

Texaco Merger Transaction

On October 9, 2001, Texaco Inc. (Texaco) became a wholly owned subsidiary of Chevron Corporation (Chevron) pursuant to a merger transaction, and Chevron changed its name to ChevronTexaco Corporation. The combination was accounted for as a pooling of interests, and each share of Texaco common stock was converted on a tax-free basis into the right to receive 0.77 shares of ChevronTexaco common stock. In the merger, ChevronTexaco issued approximately 425 million shares of common stock, representing about 40 percent of the outstanding ChevronTexaco common stock after the merger. Further discussion of the

Table of Contents

Texaco merger transaction, including merger-related expenses, is contained on pages FS-3 and FS-27 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ChevronTexaco Strategic Direction

ChevronTexaco s primary objective is to achieve sustained financial returns that will enable it to outperform its competitors. The company has set as a goal to generate the highest total stockholder return among a designated peer group for the five-year period 2000-2004. British Petroleum, ExxonMobil and Royal Dutch Shell among the world's largest integrated petroleum companies comprise the company's designated competitor peer group for this purpose. The company had the second highest total stockholder return in this peer group for the 2000-2002 period.

As a foundation for attaining this goal, the company has established four key priorities:

Operational excellence through safe, reliable, efficient and environmentally sound operations;

Cost reduction by lowering unit costs through innovation and technology;

Capital stewardship by investing in the best project opportunities and executing them successfully (safer, faster, and at lower cost); and

<u>Profitable growth</u> through leadership in developing new business opportunities in both existing and new markets. Supporting these four priorities is a focus on:

<u>Organizational Capability</u>: Having the right people, processes and culture to achieve and sustain industry-leading performance in the four priorities described above.

The Corporate Strategic Plan builds on this framework with strategies focused on appropriately balancing financial returns and growth. The company is currently conducting a rigorous evaluation of its entire portfolio of assets and expects to finalize this review later this year. As a result of this evaluation, the company anticipates exploring potential asset transactions to increase the efficiency and profitability of continuing operations and enhancing the economic value of its asset base. The company expects that its worldwide exploration and production business will continue to be its most important business, with development of its large proved and unproved natural gas reserves constituting perhaps the largest opportunity over time to expand the company s base of production and to capture economic value from emerging natural gas market opportunities. The company is also seeking to deliver improved and competitive returns from its worldwide downstream businesses.

(b) Description of Business and Properties

The company s largest business segments are exploration and production, and refining, marketing and transportation. Chemicals is also a significant segment, conducted mainly by the company s affiliate Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPChem). The petroleum activities of the company are widely dispersed geographically. The company has petroleum operations in North America, South America, Europe, Africa, Middle East, Central and Far East Asia, and Australia.

CPChem has operations in the United States, Puerto Rico, Belgium, China, South Korea, Singapore, Saudi Arabia, Qatar and Mexico. ChevronTexaco s wholly owned Oronite fuel and lube oil additives business has operations in the United States, Mexico, France, the Netherlands, Singapore, India, Japan and Brazil.

An equity affiliate, Dynegy Inc. (Dynegy), owns operating divisions engaged in power generation, natural gas liquids and regulated energy delivery. ChevronTexaco owns approximately 26 percent of Dynegy s common stock and also holds \$1.5 billion aggregate principal amount of Dynegy s preferred stock. Until recently, Dynegy also conducted a large electricity and natural gas trading and marketing business, as well as broadband trading. ChevronTexaco sold essentially all of its U.S. natural gas production to Dynegy, which then sold it into the market. Following the collapse of the merchant energy sector in 2002, Dynegy experienced a marked reduction in liquidity. Its debt ratings were downgraded and a sharp decline in its stock price

Table of Contents

occurred. In 2002, Dynegy announced its intent to exit the energy trading business. As a result of those changes, ChevronTexaco is re-establishing a natural gas marketing business to market the company s U.S. natural gas production and to purchase supply for its requirements. Refer to page FS-8 for further information relating to the company s investment in Dynegy.

Tabulations of segment sales and other operating revenues, earnings, income taxes and assets, by United States and International geographic areas, for the years 2000 to 2002, may be found in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements beginning on page FS-31 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, similar comparative data for the company s investments in and income from equity affiliates and property, plant and equipment are contained in Notes 13 and 14 on pages FS-34 to FS-36.

The company s worldwide operations can be affected significantly by changing economic, tax, regulatory and political environments in the various countries in which it operates, including the United States. Environmental regulations and government policies concerning economic development, energy and taxation may have a significant effect on the company s operations. Management evaluates the economic and political risk of initiating, maintaining or expanding operations in any geographical area. The company monitors political events worldwide and the possible threat these may pose to its activities particularly the company s oil and gas exploration and production operations and the safety of the company s employees. The company is carefully monitoring the potential for disruption of its operations in the event of hostilities in Iraq. Approximately five percent of the company s worldwide net oil-equivalent production for 2002 came from the Partitioned Neutral Zone (PNZ), which is located between the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the State of Kuwait.

Capital and Exploratory Expenditures

A discussion of the company s capital and exploratory expenditures is contained on page FS-9 to FS-10 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Petroleum Exploration and Production

Liquids and Natural Gas Production

The following table summarizes the company s and its affiliates net production of crude oil and natural gas liquids, and natural gas, and oil-equivalent production for 2002 and 2001.

Net Production⁽¹⁾ Of Crude Oil And Natural Gas Liquids And Natural Gas

	Crude Oil & Natural Gas Liquids (Thousands of Barrels per Day)		Natural Gas (Millions of Cubic Feet per Day)		Memo: Oil-Equivalent (BOE) (Thousands of Barrels per Day) ⁽²⁾	
	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001
United States:						
California	243	249	125	116	264	268
Gulf of Mexico	182	187	801	1,023	316	357
Texas	91	87	566	598	185	187
Wyoming	12	11	199	220	45	48
Other States	74	80	714	749	193	205
Total United States	602	614	2,405	2,706	1,003	1,065
Africa:	171	1.0			144	1.00
Angola	164	168		1	164	168
Nigeria	127	158	74	43	139	165
Republic of Congo	16 8	20 9			16	20 9
Democratic Republic of Congo Asia-Pacific:	8	9			8	9
Indonesia	263	304	147	134	288	326
Partitioned Neutral Zone (PNZ) ⁽³⁾	140	144	147	10	142	146
Australia	52	45	264	235	96	84
China	27	24	204	233	27	24
Kazakhstan	27	17	85	67	36	24
Thailand	18	16	87	75	33	28
Philippines	7	1	105	9	25	3
Papua New Guinea	6	7	105	,	6	7
Other International:	0				Ŭ	
United Kingdom (North Sea)	113	115	361	350	173	173
Canada	70	64	140	167	93	92
Argentina	55	57	71	56	67	66
Denmark	42	39	102	100	59	56
Norway	15	17	3	4	16	18
Venezuela	4	4	7	4	4	5
Colombia			222	203	37	34
Trinidad			107	100	18	17
Netherlands				1		
Total International	1,149	1,209	1,790	1,559	1,447	1,469
Total Consolidated Operations	1,751	1,823	4,195	4,265	2,450	2,534

Equity in Affiliates ⁽⁴⁾	146	136	181	152	176	161
Total Including Affiliates	1,897	1,959	4,376	4,417	2,626	2,695
Memo: Other produced volumes ⁽⁵⁾	97	105			97	105

(1) Net production excludes royalty interests owned by others.

(2) Natural gas converted to oil-equivalent gas (OEG) barrels at 6 MCF = 1 OEG barrel.

(3) Located between the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the State of Kuwait.

(4) Affiliates include TCO in Kazakhstan and Hamaca in Venezuela.

(5) Represents total field production under the Boscan operating service agreement in Venezuela.

Table of Contents

In 2002, ChevronTexaco conducted its exploration and production operations in the United States and approximately 25 other countries. Worldwide net crude oil and natural gas liquids production, including that of affiliates but excluding volumes produced under operating service agreements, decreased by about 3 percent from the 2001 levels. Net worldwide production of natural gas, including affiliates, decreased about 1 percent in 2002.

Net liquids and natural gas production in the United States was down about 2 percent and 11 percent, respectively. The decline in U.S. natural gas production in 2002 reflected decreases in the Gulf of Mexico, primarily attributable to declines in mature fields. Early in 2001, production from a number of fields was accelerated via increased drilling at a time of high natural gas prices. In addition to normal field declines, production disruptions caused by tropical storms in the Gulf of Mexico reduced net crude oil and natural gas liquids production by 10,000 barrels per day and 60 million cubic feet of natural gas per day on an annualized basis.

International net liquids production, including affiliates, decreased about 4 percent, while net natural gas production rose 15 percent from 2001. In Nigeria, the decline in net liquids production between years was primarily attributable to OPEC production constraints. In Indonesia, about 25,000 barrels per day of the year to year decline was attributable to changes in certain production-sharing contract terms and 10,000 barrels per day was related to the expiration of a production sharing agreement. The increase in international natural gas volumes occurred primarily in the Philippines, due to a full year of new production from the Malampaya Field.

For the past five years, the company s worldwide oil-equivalent production has followed a downward trend with 2002 production at 91 percent of 1998 levels, equivalent to an average annual decline rate between 1 and 2 percent. During this time period, increases in international oil-equivalent production have been more than offset by decreases in the United States.

For 2003, the company currently anticipates lower oil-equivalent production rates in the United States as a result of lower capital expenditures in recent years and natural field declines. The company expects this to be more than offset by capacity increases in international areas resulting in worldwide oil-equivalent production capacity in 2003 slightly higher than actual production levels achieved in 2002. The ultimate level of production in 2003 remains uncertain due to unanticipated production interruptions, OPEC constraints and other economic factors.

Acreage

At December 31, 2002, the company owned or had under lease or similar agreements undeveloped and developed oil and gas properties located throughout the world. The geographical distribution of the company s acreage is shown in the next table.

Acreage⁽¹⁾ At December 31, 2002

	Undeveloped ⁽²⁾		Developed ⁽²⁾		Developed and Undeveloped	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
United States	9,172	6,604	8,529	4,002	17,701	10,606
Africa	23,373	7,783	482	142	23,855	7,925
Asia-Pacific	48,697	21,450	1,846	664	50,543	22,114
Other International	36,104	18,788	2,830	1,197	38,934	19,985
Total International	108,174	48,021	5,158	2,003	113,332	50,024
Total Consolidated Companies	117,346	54,625	13,687	6,005	131,033	60,630
Equity in Affiliates	1,063	503	84	38	1,147	541
Total Including Affiliates	118,409	55,128	13,771	6,043	132,180	61,171

(Thousands of Acres)

(1) Gross acreage includes the total number of acres in all tracts in which the company has an interest. Net acreage is the sum of the company s fractional interests in gross acreage.

(2) Undeveloped acreage is acreage where wells have not been drilled or completed to permit commercial production, and may contain undeveloped proved reserves. Developed acreage is spaced or assignable to productive wells.

Refer to Table IV on page FS-51 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for data about the company s average sales price per unit of oil and gas produced, as well as the average production cost per unit for 2002, 2001 and 2000. The following table summarizes gross and net productive wells at year-end 2002 for the company and its affiliates.

Productive Oil And Gas Wells At December 31, 2002

		Productive ⁽¹⁾ Oil Wells		ive ⁽¹⁾ Tells
	Gross ⁽²⁾	Net ⁽²⁾	Gross ⁽²⁾	Net ⁽²⁾
United States	57,432	33,364	14,199	6,906
Africa	1,650	593	18	8
Asia-Pacific	8,571	7,633	241	127
Other International	2,420	1,520	415	169
Total International	12,641	9,746	674	304
		, 		
Total Consolidated Companies	70.073	43,110	14,873	7,210
Equity in Affiliates	164	61	,	.,
Total Including Affiliates	70,237	43,171	14,873	7,210
	70,207	.2,171	1.,075	7,210

Edgar Filing: CHEVRONTEXACO CORP - Form 10-K								
Multiple completion wells included above:	1,154	782	794	573				
(1) Includes wells producing or capable of producing and injection wells oil and gas are classified as oil wells.	s temporarily functioni	ng as producing well	s. Wells that produ	ce both				

(2) Gross wells include the total number of wells in which the company has an interest. Net wells are the sum of the company s fractional interests in gross wells.

Table of Contents

Reserves and Contract Obligations

Table V on pages FS-51 and FS-52 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K sets forth the company s net proved oil and gas reserves, by geographic area, as of December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000. During 2003, the company will file estimates of oil and gas reserves with the Department of Energy, Energy Information Agency. Those estimates are consistent with the reserve data reported on page FS-52 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In 2002, ChevronTexaco s worldwide oil and equivalent-gas (OEG) barrels of net proved reserves additions exceeded production, with a replacement rate of 114 percent of net production, including sales and acquisitions. Excluding sales and acquisitions, the replacement rate was 112 percent of net production. In Africa, the reserves replacement rate increased to 521 percent, compared with 268 percent in 2001, due primarily to reserves additions in West Africa. In the United States, the addition of reserve quantities for the Kern River Field in California s San Joaquin Valley, due to improved recovery from reservoir management, was more than offset by downward revisions in gas fields in the Mid-Continent, CO_2 projects in the Permian Basin, and a number of fields in the Gulf of Mexico. The following table summarizes the company s net additions to net proved reserves of crude oil and natural gas liquids, and natural gas, compared with net production during 2002.

Reserves Replacement 2	002
-------------------------------	-----

	Additio	Net ditions to Net eserves Production				Memo: BOE Replacement % Excluding
	Liquids (mmbbls) ⁽¹⁾	Gas (bcf) ⁽²⁾	Liquids (mmbbls) ⁽¹⁾	Gas (bcf) ⁽²⁾	BOE Replacement % ⁽⁴⁾	Sales and Acquisitions ⁽⁴⁾
United States	36	(92)	220	878	6%	0%
Africa	547	453	115	27	521%	521%
Asia-Pacific	(7)	662	195	257	43%	43%
Other International ⁽³⁾	260	499	162	435	146%	146%
Total Worldwide	836	1,522	692	1,597	114%	112%

(1) mmbbls = millions of barrels

- (2) bcf = billions of cubic feet
- (3) Includes equity in affiliates

(4) Natural gas converted to oil-equivalent gas (OEG) barrels at 6 MCF = 1 OEG barrel.

The company sells crude oil and natural gas from its producing operations under a variety of contractual arrangements. Most contracts generally commit the company to sell quantities based on production from specified properties, but certain gas sales contracts specify delivery of fixed and determinable quantities. During 2002, Dynegy purchased substantially all natural gas and natural gas liquids produced by the company in the United States, excluding Alaska, and supplied natural gas and natural gas liquids feedstocks to the company s U.S. refineries and chemical plants. The company reached an agreement with Dynegy to terminate existing natural gas purchase and sale contracts and other related contracts at the end of January 2003. See page FS-8 for further information on Dynegy. Outside the United States, the company is contractually committed to deliver approximately 400 billion cubic feet of natural gas through 2020 from Australian and Philippine reserves, and approximately 1,300 billion cubic feet of natural gas from 2006 through 2020 from Australian and Philippine reserves. The company believes it can satisfy these contracts from quantities available from production of the company s proved developed Australian, Colombian and Philippine reserves. The contracts discussed above include variable-pricing terms.

Development Activities

Details of the company s development expenditures and costs of proved property acquisitions for 2002, 2001 and 2000 are presented in Table I on page FS-48 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The table below summarizes the company s net interest in productive and dry development wells completed in each of the past three years and the status of the company s development wells drilling at December 31, 2002. A development well is a well drilled within the proved area of an oil or gas reservoir to the depth of a stratigraphic horizon known to be productive. Wells drilling include wells temporarily suspended.

Development Well Activity

		Net Wells Completed ⁽¹⁾						
	Wells Drilling At 12/31/02		2002		2001		2000	
	Gross ⁽²⁾	Net ⁽²⁾	Prod	Dry	Prod	Dry	Prod	Dry
United States	60	32	638	16	866	21	919	14
Africa	6	2	27		22		39	
Asia-Pacific	3	2	470		555		501	1
Other International	39	23	140		109	2	113	
Total International	48	27	637		686	2	653	1
Total Consolidated Companies	108	59	1,275	16	1,552	23	1,572	15
Equity in Affiliates	4	2	20		17		33	
Total Including Affiliates	112	61	1,295	16	1,569	23	1,605	15
-		_				_		

(1) Indicates the number of wells completed during the year regardless of when drilling was initiated. Completion refers to the installation of permanent equipment for the production of oil or gas or, in the case of a dry well, the reporting of abandonment to the appropriate agency.

(2) Gross wells include the total number of wells in which the company has an interest. Net wells are the sum of the company s fractional interests in gross wells.

Exploration Activities

The following table summarizes the company s net interests in productive and dry exploratory wells completed in each of the last three years and the number of exploratory wells drilling at December 31, 2002. Exploratory wells are wells drilled to find and produce oil or gas in unproved areas and include delineation wells, which are wells drilled to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be productive of oil or gas in another reservoir or to extend a known reservoir beyond the proved area. Wells drilling include wells temporarily suspended. The company had \$450 million of suspended exploratory wells included in properties, plant and equipment at year-end 2002, a decrease of \$238 million from 2001. Decreases in Nigeria, Angola and China were partially offset by increases in the United States. The wells are suspended pending a final determination of the commercial potential of the related oil and gas deposits. The ultimate disposition of these well costs is dependent on: (1) decisions on additional major capital expenditures, (2) the results of additional exploratory drilling that is underway or firmly planned, and in some cases, (3) securing final regulatory approvals for development.

Exploratory Well Activity

			Net Wells Completed ⁽¹⁾						
	Drill	Wells Drilling At 12/31/02		2002		01	2000		
	Gross ⁽²⁾	Net ⁽²⁾	Prod.	Dry	Prod	Dry	Prod	Dry	
United States	24	14	57	22	101	32	69	30	
Africa	1	_	6	1	8	2	2	4	
Asia-Pacific Other International	2 2	1	4 7	1 9	31 6	8 10	15 7	11 7	
Total International	5	2	17	11	45	20	24	22	
Total Consolidated Companies	29	16	74	33	146	52	93	52	
Equity in Affiliates			4		140	52		52	
Total Including Affiliates	29	16	78	33	160	52	93	52	

- (1) Indicates the number of wells completed during the year regardless of when drilling was initiated. Completion refers to the installation of permanent equipment for the production of oil or gas or, in the case of a dry well, the reporting of abandonment to the appropriate agency.
- (2) Gross wells include the total number of wells in which the company has an interest. Net wells are the sum of the company s fractional interests in gross wells.

Details of the company s exploration expenditures and costs of unproved property acquisitions for 2002, 2001 and 2000 are presented in Table I on page FS-48 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Review of Ongoing Exploration and Production Activities in Key Areas

ChevronTexaco s 2002 key upstream activities not discussed in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations beginning on page FS-2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are presented below. The comments include reference to net production, which excludes partner shares and royalty interests. Total production includes these components. In addition to the activities discussed, ChevronTexaco was active in other geographic areas, but these activities were less significant.

Consolidated Operations

A) United States

United States exploration and production activities are concentrated in approximately 700 fields located mainly in the Gulf of Mexico, Texas, New Mexico, the Rocky Mountains, California and Alaska.

Gulf of Mexico: In the Gulf of Mexico Shelf, average daily net production rates were 124,000 barrels of crude oil, one billion cubic feet of natural gas, and 15,300 barrels of natural gas liquids.

In the deepwater, the company has interests in three significant developments: (1) 57 percent-owned and operated Genesis, which averaged 31,000 barrels of net oil equivalent per day; (2) 50 percent-owned and operated Typhoon, which averaged 17,700 barrels of net oil equivalent per day; and (3) 50 percent-owned and operated Petronius, which averaged 26,500 barrels of net oil equivalent per day. Exploration programs resulted in two significant discoveries, for which evaluation continued into 2003: (1) 58 percent-owned and operated Tahiti; and

(2) 33 percent-owned Great White. Appraisal work is ongoing at the 2001 Trident and 2000 Blind Faith discoveries, and production began at Boris, a 2001 discovery, in February 2003.

In December, ChevronTexaco submitted an application to the U.S. Department of Transportation to construct and operate a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) receiving and regasification terminal located approximately 50 miles offshore in the Gulf of Mexico. The Port Pelican development, 100 percent owned

Table of Contents

by ChevronTexaco, includes plans to construct in phases. Phase 1 is expected to initially process approximately 800 million cubic feet of natural gas per day and connect to existing infrastructure to deliver natural gas to the Gulf Coast. Phase 2 would expand the terminal to accommodate a total of 1.6 billion cubic feet of natural gas per day. Phase 1 is expected to be operational in 2006.

Mid-Continent: Onshore operations in the mid-continent United States are concentrated in Texas, Wyoming, Oklahoma, Colorado, Utah and New Mexico. Net natural gas production averaged 830 million cubic feet per day, while net production of crude oil and natural gas liquids averaged 30,000 barrels per day. Capital spending was focused on natural gas development in Wyoming, east and south Texas and coalbed methane activity, located mainly in Utah.

Permian: Permian operations are located primarily in southeast New Mexico and west Texas. In 2002, net daily production averaged 118,000 barrels of crude oil and natural gas liquids and 270 million cubic feet of natural gas.

California: During 2002, average net daily production from the company s San Joaquin Valley fields was about 244,000 barrels of crude oil and natural gas liquids and 129 million cubic feet of natural gas. Approximately 208,000 barrels per day of the crude oil production was heavy oil (defined as roughly 15 API gravity or lower).

Alaska: ChevronTexaco has a 25 percent interest in the Point Thomson Field where development has progressed into the preliminary engineering phase. The field is a large high-pressure gas condensate reservoir located on the eastern North Slope that has been delineated with 15 wells. In the Greater Prudhoe Bay area, the company and its partners completed an alignment of the interest in 2002.

Offshore Florida: In July, ChevronTexaco settled a breach of contract case with the federal government and relinquished all interest in the Destin Dome 56 Unit leases, offshore Florida. ChevronTexaco received \$46 million from the federal government for its share of the settlement.

B) Africa

Nigeria: ChevronTexaco s principal subsidiary in Nigeria, Chevron Nigeria Limited (CNL), operates and holds a 40 percent interest in 11 concessions, predominantly in the swamp and near-offshore regions of the Niger Delta. CNL operates under a joint venture arrangement with the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC), which owns the remaining 60 percent interest. ChevronTexaco s subsidiaries Chevron Oil Company Nigeria Limited (COCNL) and Texaco Overseas Nigeria Petroleum Company Unlimited (TOPCON) each hold a 20 percent interest in six additional concessions. TOPCON operates these concessions under a joint venture agreement with NNPC, which owns the remaining 60 percent interest.

In 2002, net daily production from the 33 CNL-operated fields averaged 115,100 barrels of oil and 3,100 barrels of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG). TOPCON shut in one of its fields in 2002. Net production from the five remaining fields operated by TOPCON during the year averaged approximately 8,700 barrels of oil per day.

In the third quarter 2001, preliminary design started for Phase 3 of the Escravos gas project, which includes adding a second gas plant and expanding processing capacity to 680 million cubic feet per day, and is targeted for completion in 2005. ChevronTexaco holds a 40 percent working interest in the Escravos gas project, which processed 236 million cubic feet of natural gas per day during 2002.

Front-end engineering and design have been completed for a proposed gas-to-liquids (GTL) facility and site preparation in Escravos is at an advanced stage. The proposed 33,000 barrels-per-day GTL project is the company s first to use the Sasol Chevron Global Joint Venture s technology and operational expertise. Project start-up is expected to be in 2006. ChevronTexaco holds a 38 percent interest.

The company also continued activities in the deepwater Agbami development. Unitization efforts between Block 216 and Block 217 participants progressed during 2002 and unit agreements are expected in 2003. Initial production is expected in 2007.

Table of Contents

Angola: ChevronTexaco is the largest producer in Angola and the first to produce in the deepwater. Cabinda Gulf Oil Company Limited (CABGOC), a wholly owned subsidiary of ChevronTexaco, is operator of two concessions, Blocks 0 and 14, off the coast of Angola s Cabinda enclave. Block 0, in which CABGOC has a 39 percent interest, is a 2,155-square-mile concession adjacent to the Cabinda coastline. Block 14, in which CABGOC has a 31 percent interest, is a 1,580-square-mile deepwater concession located west of Block 0.

In Block 0, the company operates in three areas A, B and C comprised of 21 fields producing 136,000 barrels of net crude oil per day during 2002. Area A, containing 16 fields currently producing, averaged net daily production of approximately 85,000 barrels of crude oil and 1,000 barrels of liquefied petroleum gas in 2002. Area B, with three fields producing, averaged net production of 42,000 barrels of crude oil per day. Area C averaged net production of 9,000 barrels of crude oil per day from two producing fields.

In Block 14, net production in 2002 from the Kuito Field, Angola s first deepwater producing area, averaged approximately 20,500 barrels of crude oil per day. The Benguela Belize-Lobito Tomboco development plans were approved by partners in early 2003. The project includes the phased development of the Benguela, Belize, Lobito and Tomboco fields. In 2002, Block 14 exploration activities included two successful discoveries, Gabela-1 and Negage-1. Development studies for the Gabela and Negage fields have commenced and appraisal drilling decisions are to be made during 2003.

ChevronTexaco has two other concessions in Angola. The company is the operator of Block 2 with a 20 percent interest and 6,500 barrels per day of crude oil production in 2002. It is also a non-operator in Block FST, with a 17 percent interest and 1,900 barrels per day of crude oil production.

Republic of Congo: ChevronTexaco has interests in two license areas, Haute Mer and Marine VII Kitina/ Sounda, in offshore Congo and adjacent to the company s concessions in Cabinda. The company has a 30 percent interest in the Haute Mer exploration permit and a 29 percent interest in the Marine VII and Sounda exploration permits. Net production from ChevronTexaco s concessions in the Republic of Congo averaged 15,800 barrels of oil per day in 2002. Appraisal drilling of the deepwater Moho and Bilondo development was completed in 2002. A development decision for Moho and Bilondo, where the company has a 30 percent interest, is anticipated in mid-2003.

Chad-Cameroon: ChevronTexaco is a 25 percent partner in a project to develop landlocked oil fields in southern Chad and transport crude oil by pipeline to the coast of Cameroon for export to world markets. At the end of 2002, the overall development project was about 70 percent complete. Pipeline completion and first production are expected in mid-2003.

Equatorial Guinea: ChevronTexaco is a 65 percent partner and operator of the L Block offshore the Republic of Equatorial Guinea. Processing and interpretation of the seismic studies have been completed and a location has been selected for the first exploration well, Ballena-1, which is scheduled to begin drilling in March 2003.

C) Asia-Pacific

China: ChevronTexaco has a 33 percent interest in Block 16/08, located in the Pearl River Mouth Basin. Six fields in Block 16/08 had a total production average of 72,100 barrels of oil per day in 2002. The company has a 25 percent interest in QHD-32-6 in Bohai Bay, which achieved first oil in 2001. All six platforms were on production by October 2002, with a total production average of 35,100 barrels of oil per day. Also in October, ChevronTexaco entered into a unitization agreement with China National Offshore Oil Corp. to jointly develop the BZ25-1/25-1S (Bozhong) oil field, in which the company holds a 16 percent interest.

Indonesia: ChevronTexaco s interests in Indonesia are managed by two wholly owned subsidiaries, P.T. Caltex Pacific Indonesia (CPI) and Amoseas Indonesia (AI). CPI accounts for approximately 40 percent of Indonesia s total crude oil output and holds an interest in five production-sharing contracts. One production-sharing contract expired in 2002 and reduced 2002 net production by approximately 10,000



Table of Contents

barrels of crude oil per day. AI is a power generation company that operates the Darajat geothermal contract area in West Java and a cogeneration facility in support of CPI s operation in North Duri. In addition to the above interest, ChevronTexaco has a 25 percent non-operated interest in South Natura Sea Block B.

ChevronTexaco s net share of production during 2002 was 288,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day. CPI continues to implement enhanced oil recovery projects to extract more oil from its existing reservoirs. The Duri Field in the Rokan Block, under steamflood since 1985, is the largest steamflood project in the world, with total production averaging 228,000 barrels of oil per day in 2002. ChevronTexaco s net production from South Natuna Sea Block B in 2002 was about 13,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day.

Thailand: The company operates Block B8/32 in the Gulf of Thailand with a 52 percent interest. The company holds a 33 percent interest in adjacent exploration Blocks 7, 8 and 9, which are currently inactive pending resolution of border issues between Thailand and Cambodia. Government representatives from both nations are in active negotiations to resolve border issues. Block B8/32 produces oil and natural gas from three fields: Tantawan, Maliwan and Benchamas. Net daily production during 2002 from the company s interests in Thailand was 87 million cubic feet of natural gas and 18,200 barrels of crude oil. A partial upgrade of Benchamas processing capacity was completed during 2002, increasing total capacity to approximately 57,000 barrels of oil per day. Five of the six exploration wells drilled in 2002 were successful, extending the productive areas in Block B8/32 significantly. During 2003, an exploration program is planned to continue to evaluate the remaining portions of the concession.

Cambodia: In early 2002, the company was awarded a 70 percent interest and operatorship in Block A, which covers one million acres and is located offshore Cambodia in the Gulf of Thailand. The company plans to drill two exploration wells in 2003.

Australia: ChevronTexaco has a one-sixth interest in the North West Shelf (NWS) Project in offshore Western Australia. Net daily production from the project during 2002 averaged 18,800 barrels of condensate, 264 million cubic feet of natural gas, 20,000 barrels of crude oil and 3,900 barrels of liquefied petroleum gas. Approximately 60 percent of the natural gas was sold, primarily under long-term contracts, in the form of liquefied natural gas (LNG) to major utilities in Japan and South Korea. The remaining natural gas was sold to the Western Australia domestic market. The Train 4 LNG expansion project is currently under construction, which is planned to increase LNG capacity by about 50 percent in mid-2004. The NWS Venture was selected by China to be the sole supplier of LNG for the proposed Guangdong LNG Terminal Project, and a conditional 25-year LNG Sale and Purchase Agreement (SPA) for approximately 3.9 trillion cubic feet of natural gas, equivalent to about 400 million cubic feet per day, was signed in October 2002. A 30-year LNG SPA conditional contract was signed in 2002 with a Japanese customer for approximately 1.5 trillion cubic feet of natural gas.

The company is operator and has a 57 percent interest in the undeveloped Gorgon area gas field offshore northwest Australia. ChevronTexaco is actively pursuing long-term gas sales from Gorgon to Australian industrial customers and in international LNG markets including China, South Korea, and the west coast of North America.

In 2002, ChevronTexaco drilled a successful appraisal well in the Jansz gas field, offshore Western Australia, where the company holds a 50 percent interest.

Philippines: The company holds a 45 percent interest in the Malampaya gas field located about 50 miles offshore of the Palawan Island. The Malampaya gas-to-power project represents the first offshore production of natural gas in the Philippines. Net daily production was 105 million cubic feet of natural gas and 7,400 barrels of crude oil and condensate. The Malampaya gas project, which represents a significant investment for ChevronTexaco, makes available indigenous fuel for power generation.

Middle East: Saudi Arabia Texaco, a ChevronTexaco subsidiary, holds a concession to produce onshore crude oil from the Partitioned Neutral Zone (PNZ), located between the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the State of Kuwait. The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the State of Kuwait each own an undivided 50 percent of the PNZ s hydrocarbon resources. The company, by virtue of its concession, has

Table of Contents

the rights to the Kingdom s undivided 50 percent interest in the hydrocarbon resources located in the onshore PNZ, on which it pays a royalty and other taxes on hydrocarbons produced. During 2002, average net production was 139,800 barrels of crude oil per day. The company also has exploration agreements in Bahrain and Qatar. The company is carefully monitoring the potential for disruption of its operations in the event of hostilities in Iraq. Approximately five percent of the company s worldwide net oil-equivalent production for 2002 came from the PNZ.

Caspian Region: The company holds a 20 percent equity interest in the Karachaganak Field located in northwest Kazakhstan. The Karachaganak Field s net daily production for 2002 was 18,400 barrels of condensate and 85 million cubic feet of natural gas from the existing production facilities. The current phase of the Karachaganak development includes the building of processing and liquid export facilities and is scheduled to be completed in early 2004.

D) Other International Areas

Europe: The company holds producing interests in 25 fields in Denmark, Norway and the United Kingdom with combined net daily production of 170,700 barrels of oil and 467 million cubic feet of natural gas during 2002. This includes the Alba Field in the United Kingdom North Sea, where ChevronTexaco is operator and holds a 21 percent equity interest, which had total daily production of 61,200 barrels of crude oil and 11 million cubic feet of natural gas. The Alba Extreme South project achieved first oil production in October 2002, contributing an average total of about 44,100 barrels of oil-equivalent per day to the Alba Field from its start-up. In February 2002, production commenced from the Jade development, in which ChevronTexaco holds a 20 percent interest. This field achieved a total daily average (ten-month) production of 14,000 barrels of oil and 145 million cubic feet of natural gas. ChevronTexaco holds a 32 percent interest in the Britannia Field, which it operates jointly with ConocoPhillips. Total daily production averaged 28,000 barrels of crude oil and 598 million cubic feet of natural gas. The Captain Field total production averaged 57,300 barrels of crude oil per day during 2002. ChevronTexaco is operator and holds an 85 percent interest.

Canada: Total production from the Hibernia Field offshore Newfoundland, in which ChevronTexaco holds an interest of about 27 percent, averaged approximately 181,000 barrels of crude oil per day. Average net daily production from the company s onshore Canadian operations was approximately 43,400 barrels of oil equivalent during 2002.

Venezuela: The company operates the onshore Boscan Field under an Operating Services Agreement and receives operating expense reimbursement and capital recovery, plus interest and an incentive fee. Development drilling continued in 2002. Total Boscan crude oil production, subject to Venezuela s OPEC production restrictions, averaged 97,300 barrels per day during 2002, compared with a capacity of 115,000 barrels per day. The company is also the operator and has a 27 percent interest in the LL-652 Field in Lake Maracaibo. Net production from LL-652 during 2002 averaged 4,300 barrels of oil equivalent per day. The Venezuelan general strike, which began in December 2002, did not have a significant impact on either Boscan or LL-652 production for the year. In January 2003, production from Boscan was reduced by about 50,000 barrels per day as a result of the general strike. Production had returned to pre-strike levels in February. Also in February 2003, ChevronTexaco was awarded the license for offshore Block 2 in the northeastern Plataforma Deltana. Block 2 contains the undeveloped Loran gas field. The company plans to begin exploration and delineation program of Block 2 to determine commerciality.

Argentina: ChevronTexaco operates in Argentina as Chevron San Jorge S.R.L. Chevron San Jorge holds more than 6.1 million exploration and production acres in the Neuquén and Austral basins of Argentina, with working interest shares ranging from 19 to 100 percent in operated license areas. In addition, the company holds a 14 percent interest in Oleoductos del Valle S.A., a major oil pipeline from the Neuquén producing area to the Atlantic coast. Net production during 2002 in the Neuquén and Austral areas averaged over 66,600 barrels of oil equivalent per day.

Table of Contents

Brazil: ChevronTexaco holds working interests ranging from 20 to 68 percent in seven deepwater blocks offshore Brazil totaling approximately 4.3 million acres. Deepwater exploration is concentrated in the Campos and Santos basins. During 2002, the company participated in drilling two exploratory wells, without commercial success. In 2003, ChevronTexaco plans to participate in up to three exploration wells.

Colombia: ChevronTexaco s Colombian subsidiary, ChevronTexaco Petroleum Company, and Ecopetrol, the Colombian national oil company, signed an agreement in February 2003 for incremental natural gas production from the Guajira region. Natural gas production currently averages 510 million cubic feet per day. The new agreement, effective through 2016, will enable both companies to develop and produce additional reserves.

Affiliate operations

Caspian Region: The Tengizchevroil (TCO) partnership includes the Tengiz and Korolev oil fields located in western Kazakhstan where ChevronTexaco holds a 50 percent interest. In 2002, total crude oil production from TCO increased for the ninth consecutive year, averaging 285,000 barrels of oil per day. By late 2002, TCO had begun exporting all of its crude oil from Tengiz to the Russian port city of Novorossiysk via the Caspian Pipeline Consortium (CPC) pipeline. In January 2003, TCO partners agreed to proceed with the Second Generation Program and Sour Gas Injection Project; completion is targeted for mid-2006. These two projects are expected to increase TCO s crude oil production capacity from the current rate of about 285,000 barrels per day to between 430,000 and 500,000 barrels per day.

Venezuela: ChevronTexaco has a 30 percent interest in the Hamaca integrated oil production and upgrading project located in Venezuela s Orinoco Belt. Development drilling and major facility construction at Hamaca continued throughout 2002. At the completion of the crude oil upgrading facilities in mid-2004, peak heavy oil production will be upgraded to 180,000 barrels of lighter, higher-value crude per day. The general strike in Venezuela did not materially impact project construction, although oil production was halted in December 2002 and January 2003. Production has since resumed and is ramping back up to pre-strike levels.

Petroleum Natural Gas and Natural Gas Liquids

The company sells natural gas and natural gas liquids from its producing operations under a variety of contractual arrangements. During 2002, the company s equity affiliate Dynegy purchased substantially all natural gas and natural gas liquids produced by the company in the United States, excluding Alaska, and supplied natural gas and natural gas liquids feedstocks to the company s U.S. refineries and chemical plants. Following Dynegy s decision to exit the gas marketing and trading business, the company reached an agreement with Dynegy to terminate the existing natural gas purchase and sale contracts at the end of January 2003. ChevronTexaco formed a new unit, ChevronTexaco Natural Gas, with Dynegy providing transitional support until ChevronTexaco Natural Gas becomes fully operational, currently planned for April 2003 business. The company s existing natural gas processing and liquids arrangements with Dynegy were not affected by the early termination of natural gas purchase and sales contracts, and will continue as an ongoing commercial relationship. Refer to page FS-8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for further comments on Dynegy.

Outside the United States, the majority of the company s natural gas sales occur in the United Kingdom, Australia, Canada, Latin America, and in the company s affiliate operations in Kazakhstan. International natural gas liquids sales take place in the company s Canadian upstream operations, with lower sales levels in Africa, Australia and Europe.

Refer to Selected Operating Data on page FS-6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for further information on the company s natural gas and natural gas liquids sales volumes.

Table of Contents

Petroleum Refining

Distillation operating capacity utilization in 2002, adjusted for sales and closures, averaged 94 percent in the United States (including asphalt plants) and 91 percent worldwide (including affiliates), compared with 88 percent in the United States and 87 percent worldwide in the prior year. ChevronTexaco s capacity utilization at its U.S. fuels refineries averaged 98 percent in 2002, compared with 90 percent in 2001. ChevronTexaco s capacity utilization of its wholly owned U.S. cracking and coking facilities, which are the primary facilities used to convert heavier products to gasoline and other light products, averaged 85 percent in 2002, compared with 84 percent in the year earlier. The company processed imported and domestic crude oil in its U.S. refining operations. Imported crude oil accounted for 70 percent of ChevronTexaco s U.S. refinery inputs in 2002.

Prior to October 2001, the company also had interests in eight U.S. refineries with a combined capacity of about 1.3 million barrels per day through its investments in the Equilon and Motiva affiliates. These investments were sold, as required by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, in February 2002.

The daily refinery inputs over the last three years for the company and affiliate refineries are shown in the following table:

Petroleum Refineries: Locations, Capacities And Inputs

(Inputs and Capacities are in Thousands of Barrels Per Day)

		Decem	December 31, 2002			Refinery Inputs		
	Locations	Number	Operable Capacity	2002	2001	2000		
Pascagoula	Mississippi	1	295	329	332	313		
El Segundo	California	1	260	251	213	219		
Richmond	California	1	225	187	229	203		
El Paso ⁽¹⁾	Texas	1	65	61	61	60		
Honolulu	Hawaii	1	54	53	54	51		
Salt Lake City	Utah	1	45	43	44	44		
Other ⁽²⁾		2	96	55	50	53		
Total Consolidated Compa	anies United States	8	1,040	979	983	943		
Equity in Affiliates ⁽³⁾	Various Locations	—			353	447		
Total Including Affiliates	United States	8	1,040	979	1,336	1,390		
Pembroke	United Kingdom	1	210	204	202	215		
Cape Town	South Africa	1	112	74	71	65		
Batangas	Philippines	1	76	59	65	65		
Colón ⁽⁴⁾	Panama			27	54	44		
Burnaby, B.C.,	Canada	1	52	51	52	51		
Escuintla ⁽⁴⁾	Guatemala			11	16	16		
Total Consolidated Compa	anies International	4	450	426	460	456		
Equity in Affiliates	Various Locations	11	785	674	676	694		
Total Including Affiliates	International	15	1,235	1,100	1,136	1,150		
Total Including Affiliates	Worldwide	23	2,275	2,079	2,472	2,540		
			_,	_,	_,	_,		

- (1) Capacity and input amounts for El Paso represent ChevronTexaco s share.
- (2) Refineries in Perth Amboy, New Jersey and Portland, Oregon, which are primarily asphalt plants.

- (3) Represents ChevronTexaco interests in Equilon and Motiva refineries, which were placed in trust on October 9, 2001, as required by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, and disposed of in February 2002.
- (4) ChevronTexaco ceased refining operations at the Panama and Guatemala refineries on July 21, 2002 and September 12, 2002, respectively. The Guatemala facility was converted to terminal operations in 2002 while the Panama facility is expected to be converted to a terminaling facility in 2003.

Petroleum Refined Products Marketing

Product Sales: The company markets petroleum products throughout much of the world. The principal brands for identifying these products are Chevron, Texaco and Caltex.

The following table shows the company s and its affiliates refined product sales volumes, excluding intercompany sales, over the past three years:

Refined Products Sales Volumes^(1,2)

(Thousands of Barrels Per Day)

	2002	2001	2000
United States			
Gasolines	733	709	717
Jet Fuel	389	424	402
Gas Oils and Kerosene	262	245	237
Residual Fuel Oil	94	183	167
Other Petroleum Products ⁽³⁾	132	122	128
Total United States	1,610	1,683	1,651
International			
Gasolines	519	533	455
Jet Fuel	164	185	156
Gas Oils and Kerosene	619	702	629
Residual Fuel Oil	413	503	573
Other Petroleum Products ⁽³⁾	543	531	708
Total International	2,258	2,454	2,521
Total Worldwide	3,868	4,137	4,172

(1) Includes equity in affiliates

(2) Excludes Equilon and Motiva pre-merger

(3) Principally naphtha, lubricants, asphalt and coke

In the United States, the company supplies, directly or through dealers and jobbers, more than 7,900 Chevron-branded motor vehicle retail outlets, of which about 1,200 are company-owned or -leased stations. The company s gasoline market area is concentrated in the southern, southwestern and western states. According to the *Lundberg Share of Market Report*, ChevronTexaco ranks among the top three gasoline marketers in 14 states.

In Canada primarily British Columbia the company s Chevron-branded products are sold in 166 stations (mainly owned or leased).

Table of Contents

ChevronTexaco operates a network of over 8,100 service stations in more than 30 countries that cover the Asia-Pacific region, Southern and East Africa, and the Middle East. ChevronTexaco uses the Caltex brand name in these areas.

In Europe, the company has marketing operations in the United Kingdom, Ireland, the Netherlands, Belgium and Luxembourg. The company operates in Denmark and Norway through its 50 percent-owned affiliate, HydroTexaco, using the HydroTexaco brand. In West Africa, the company operates in Cameroon, the Canary Islands, Cote d Ivoire, Nigeria, Republic of Congo, Togo and Benin. In these regions, the company mainly uses the Texaco brand name.

ChevronTexaco operates in approximately 40 countries across the Caribbean, Central America, and South America, with a significant presence in Brazil. In this region, the company uses the Texaco brand name.

In addition to the above activities, the company manages other marketing businesses globally. In global aviation fuel marketing, the company markets 450,000 barrels per day of aviation fuel in 80 countries, representing a worldwide market share of about 12 percent. The company is the leading marketer of jet fuels in North America and is tied for third in the Asia-Pacific region, Latin America, and the Caribbean. ChevronTexaco markets residual fuel oils and marine lubricants in over 100 countries, and motor lubricants in more than 180 countries.

Petroleum Transportation

Pipelines: ChevronTexaco owns and operates an extensive system of crude oil, refined products, chemicals, natural gas liquids and natural gas pipelines in the United States. The company also has direct or indirect interests in other U.S. and international pipelines. The company s ownership interests in pipelines are summarized in the following table:

Pipeline Mileage At December 31, 2002

	Net Mileage ⁽¹⁾
United States:	
Crude oil ⁽²⁾	2,334
Natural gas	2,016
Petroleum products	4,322
Total United States	8,672
International:	
Crude oil ⁽²⁾	288
Natural gas	56
Petroleum products	329
Total International	673
Worldwide	9,345

(1) Partially owned pipelines are included at the company s equity percentage.

⁽²⁾ Includes gathering lines related to the transportation function. Excludes gathering lines related to the U.S. and international production activities.

The Caspian Pipeline Consortium (CPC) was formed to build a crude oil export pipeline from the Tengiz Field in Kazakhstan to the Russian Black Sea port of Novorossiysk. In the second half of 2002, TCO fully transitioned to exporting its crude oil through the CPC. The company has a 15 percent

Table of Contents

ownership interest in CPC. The system capacity is 600,000 barrels of oil per day. In the second half of 2003, CPC plans to begin transporting condensate from the Karachaganak Field in Kazakhstan.

Tankers: ChevronTexaco s controlled seagoing fleet at December 31, 2002, is summarized in the following table. All controlled tankers were utilized in 2002. In addition, at any given time, the company has 30 to 40 vessels under a voyage basis or as time charters of less than one year.

Controlled Tankers At December 31, 2002

		U.S. Flag		Foreign Flag	
	Number	Cargo Capacity (Millions of Barrels)	Number	Cargo Capacity (Millions of Barrels)	
Owned	3	0.8	6	10.6	
Bareboat Charter			14	20.8	
Time-Charter*			8	6.1	
Total	3	0.8	28	37.5	

* Greater than one year.

Federal law requires that cargo transported between U.S. ports be carried in ships built and registered in the United States, owned and operated by U.S. entities and manned by U.S. crews. At year-end 2002, the company s U.S. flag fleet was engaged primarily in transporting refined products between the Gulf Coast and East Coast, and refined products from California refineries to terminals on the West Coast, Alaska and Hawaii.

The foreign flag vessels were engaged primarily in transporting crude oil from the Middle East, Indonesia, Mexico and West Africa to ports in the United States, Europe and Asia. Refined products also were transported by tanker worldwide.

The Federal Oil Pollution Act of 1990 requires the scheduled phase-out, by year-end 2010, of all single hull tankers trading to U.S. ports or transferring cargo in waters within the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone. This has resulted in the utilization of double-hull tankers. During 2002, ChevronTexaco operated a total of 19 double-hull tankers and expects to take delivery of three additional double-hull tankers in 2003, also to be operated under long-term bareboat charters. The company is a member of many oil-spill response cooperatives in areas in which it operates around the world.

Chemicals

Chevron Phillips Chemical Company (CPChem) is a 50-50 joint venture with ConocoPhillips Corporation. CPChem owns or has joint venture interests in 32 manufacturing facilities and six research and technical centers in the United States, Puerto Rico, Belgium, China, Mexico, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Korea and Qatar.

An olefins and polyolefins complex in Qatar was completed and is currently undergoing commissioning. The complex is owned and operated by Qatar Chemical Company, Ltd., a joint venture between CPChem, with a 49 percent interest, and Qatar General Petroleum, which owns the remaining 51 percent.

A 50-50 joint venture with BP Solvay to build a new high-density polyethylene (HDPE) facility at a CPChem site in the Houston area is on track for start-up in 2003. The jointly owned 700-million-pounds-per-year HDPE facility will be the largest of its kind in the world and will use CPChem proprietary manufacturing technology.

ChevronTexaco s Oronite fuel and lubricant additives business is a leading developer, manufacturer and marketer of performance additives for fuels and lubricating oils. The company owns and operates

Table of Contents

facilities in the United States, France, the Netherlands, Singapore, Japan and Brazil and has equity interests in facilities in India and Mexico.

Coal

The company s coal mining and marketing subsidiary, The Pittsburg & Midway Coal Mining Co. (P&M), owned and operated two surface mines and one underground mine at year-end 2002. In addition, final reclamation activities were underway at two mines prior to their planned closure. P&M also owns an approximate 30 percent interest in Inter-American Coal Holding N.V., which has interests in coal mining operations in Venezuela. Sales of coal from P&M s wholly owned mines and from its affiliates were 14.9 million tons, a decrease of 8 percent from 2001 primarily the result of reduced demand. At year-end 2002, P&M controlled approximately 186 million tons of developed and undeveloped coal reserves, including significant reserves of environmentally desirable low-sulfur fuel. The company is contractually committed to deliver approximately 13 million tons of coal per year through the end of 2005. The company believes it can satisfy these contracts from existing coal reserves.

Other Activities Synthetic Crude Oil

In Canada, ChevronTexaco has a 20 percent interest in the Athabasca Oil Sands Project where bitumen production began in December 2002. Production was temporarily suspended in early 2003 and is expected to resume in late March. The bitumen will be upgraded into synthetic crude oil using hydroprocessing technology at a synthetic crude unit, expected to begin operations in the second quarter 2003. Bitumen production is expected to reach an average rate of 155,000 barrels of per day in 2005.

Power and Gasification

ChevronTexaco participates in its power and gasification business through ownership, equity investments with others and licensing of proprietary technology. The company s electrical power business includes conventional power generation projects, as well as cogeneration facilities. Cogeneration produces thermal energy, such as steam, and electric power. ChevronTexaco has used steam produced in cogeneration in its upstream operations onshore California and in Indonesia. The company uses its proprietary gasification technology to convert a wide variety of hydrocarbon feedstocks into a clean synthesis gas. The synthetic gas can be used as a feedstock for basic chemicals or to generate electricity in low-emission power plants. ChevronTexaco licenses this technology, operates owned gasification facilities and invests in projects using the technology. The company has licensed its gasification technology to more than 70 plants worldwide.

Research and Technology

The company s core hydrocarbon technology efforts support the upstream, downstream and power and gasification businesses. These activities include heavy oil recovery and upgrading, deepwater exploration and production, shallow water production operations, gas-to-liquids processing, hydrocarbon gasification to power, and new and improved refinery processes.

Additionally, ChevronTexaco s Technology Ventures Company focuses upon the identification, growth, and commercialization of emerging technologies that have the potential to change or transform the way that energy is produced or consumed. The range of business spans early-stage venture capital investing in emerging technologies to developing joint venture companies in new energy systems such as advanced batteries for distributed power and transportation systems and hydrogen fuel storage.

The company has largely completed the implementation of a new information technology infrastructure encompassing computing, data management, security, and connectivity of partners, suppliers, and employees. The architecture, known as Net Ready, provides the foundation for the company to cost effectively and rapidly integrate advances in computing and network-based technology.

ChevronTexaco s research and development expenses were \$221 million, \$209 million and \$211 million for the years 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Because some of the investments the company makes in the areas described above are in new or unproven technologies and business processes, ultimate success is not certain. Although not all initiatives may prove to be economically viable, the company s overall investment in this area is not significant to the company s consolidated financial position.

Environmental Protection

Virtually all aspects of the company s businesses are subject to various federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. These regulatory requirements continue to change and increase in both number and complexity, and govern not only the manner in which the company conducts its operations, but also the products it sells. ChevronTexaco expects more environmental-related regulations in the countries where it has operations. Most of the costs of complying with the many laws and regulations pertaining to its operations are embedded in the normal costs of conducting its business.

In 2002, the company s U.S. capitalized environmental expenditures were \$271 million, representing approximately 8 percent of the company s total consolidated U.S. capital and exploratory expenditures. These environmental expenditures include capital outlays to retrofit existing facilities, as well as those associated with new facilities. The expenditures are predominantly in the petroleum segment and relate mostly to air and water quality projects and activities at the company s refineries, oil and gas producing facilities and marketing facilities. For 2003, the company estimates U.S. capital expenditures for environmental control facilities will be \$287 million. The future annual capital costs of fulfilling this commitment are uncertain and will be governed by several factors, including future changes to regulatory requirements.

Further information on environmental matters and their impact on ChevronTexaco, and the company s 2002 environmental expenditures, remediation provisions and year-end environmental reserves are contained in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on pages FS-13 and FS-14 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Web Site Access to SEC Reports

The company s Internet web site can be found at *http://www.chevrontexaco.com/*. Information contained on the company s Internet web site is not part of this report.

The company s Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available on the company s web site, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Alternatively, you may access these reports at the SEC s Internet web site: http://www.sec.gov/.

Compliance with Certification Requirements

The certifications by the company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the SEC regulations under it, are contained on pages 32 and 33 of this report. The certifications by such officers of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, have been submitted to the SEC as additional correspondence accompanying this report.

Item 2. Properties

The location and character of the company s oil, natural gas and coal properties and its refining, marketing, transportation and chemicals facilities are described above under Item 1. Business. Information required by the Securities Exchange Act Industry Guide No. 2 (Disclosure of Oil and Gas Operations) is also contained in Item 1 and in Tables I through VII on pages FS-48 to FS-54 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Note 14, Properties, Plant and Equipment, to the company s financial statements is on page FS-36 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

A. Richmond Refinery Notices of Violation, Bay Area Air Quality Management District

Chevron Products Company s (a division of Chevron U.S.A. Inc.) Richmond, California, refinery has been issued approximately 40 Notices of Violation during the calendar years 2001 and 2002, which allege non-compliance with the regulations of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. The Notices of Violation address a variety of issues related to air emissions including, but not limited to, leaks of volatile organic compounds from the facility s processing equipment. The company has determined that the Notices of Violation will likely result in the payment of a civil penalty in excess of \$100,000.

B. Clean Air Act New Source Review Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Negotiations

EPA has initiated a national enforcement initiative involving the refining industry, focused on New Source Review requirements under the Clean Air Act. In response to this initiative, ChevronTexaco and EPA are negotiating the potential or actual settlement of any environmental claims at the Company s refineries that may fall within the scope of the initiative. If a settlement is consummated, it is reasonably anticipated to involve the payment of civil penalties exceeding \$100,000.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Executive Officers of the Registrant at March 1, 2003

Name and Age	_	Executive Office Held	Major Area of Responsibility
D. J. O Reilly	56	Chairman of the Board since 2000 Director since 1998 Vice Chairman from 1998 to 2000 President of Chevron Products Company from 1994 to 1998	Chief Executive Officer
P. J. Robertson	56	Executive Committee Member since 1994 Vice Chairman of the Board since 2002 Vice President from 1994 to 2001 President of Chevron Overseas Petroleum Inc. from 2000 to 2002	Worldwide Exploration and Production Activities
D. W. Callahan	60	Executive Committee Member since 1997 Executive Vice President since 2000 Vice President since 1999 President of Chevron Chemical Company from 1999 to 2000 Executive Committee Member since 1999	Chemicals, Coal, Power and Gasification, Technology
C. A. James	48	Vice President and General Counsel since 2002 Executive Committee Member since 2002	Law
G. L. Kirkland	52	President of ChevronTexaco Overseas Petroleum Inc. since 2002 Vice President since 2002 President of Chevron U.S.A. Production Company from 2000 to 2002 Executive Committee Member from 2000 to 2001	Overseas Exploration and Production
J. S. Watson	46	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 2000 Vice President since 1998 Executive Committee Member since 2000	Finance
R. I. Wilcox	57	President, ChevronTexaco Exploration & Production Company since 2002 Vice President since 2002	North American Exploration and Production
P. A. Woertz	49	Executive Vice President since 2001 Vice President since 1998 President of Chevron Products Company from 1998 to 2001 Executive Committee Member since 1998	Worldwide Refining, Marketing and Transportation Activities

The Executive Officers of the Corporation consist of the Chairman of the Board, the Vice Chairman of the Board, and such other officers of the Corporation who are either Directors or members of the Executive Committee, or who are chief executive officers of principal business units. Except as noted below, all of the Corporation s Executive Officers have held one or more of such positions for more than five years.

D. W. Callahan	Senior Vice President, Chevron Chemical Company 1991
	President, Chevron Chemical Company 1999
C. A. James	Partner, Jones Day a major U.S. law firm 1992
	Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice 2001
	Vice President and General Counsel 2002

Table of Contents

G. L. Kirkland	General Manager, Asset Management, Chevron Nigeria Limited 1996
	Chairman and Managing Director, Chevron Nigeria Limited 1996
	President, Chevron USA Production Company 2000
J. S. Watson	President, Chevron Canada Limited 1996
	Vice President, Strategic Planning, Chevron Corporation 1998
	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Chevron Corporation 2000
R. I. Wilcox	Vice President and General Manager, Marine Transportation, Chevron Shipping Company 1996
	General Manager, Asset Management, Chevron Nigeria Limited 1999
	Chairman and Managing Director, Chevron Nigeria Limited 2000
	Corporate Vice President and President, ChevronTexaco Exploration & Production Company 2002
P. A. Woertz	President, Chevron International Oil Company 1996
	Vice President, Logistics and Trading, Chevron Products Company 1996
	President, Chevron Products Company 1998

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

The information on ChevronTexaco s common stock market prices, dividends, principal exchanges on which the stock is traded and number of stockholders of record is contained in the Quarterly Results and Stock Market Data tabulations, on page FS-46 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected financial data for years 1998 through 2002 are presented on page FS-47 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The index to Management s Discussion and Analysis, Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data is presented on page FS-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The company s discussion of interest rate, foreign currency and commodity price market risk is contained in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial and Derivative Instruments beginning on page FS-11 and Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements Financial and Derivative Instruments beginning on page FS-30.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The index to Management s Discussion and Analysis, Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data is presented on page FS-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.



Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information on Directors appearing under the heading Election of Directors Nominees For Directors in the Notice of the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and 2003 Proxy Statement, to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in connection with the company s 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. See Executive Officers of the Registrant on pages 25 and 26 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for information about Executive Officers of the company.

The information contained under the heading Stock Ownership Information Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in the Notice of the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and 2003 Proxy Statement, to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in connection with the company s 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. ChevronTexaco believes all filing requirements were complied with during 2002.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information appearing under the headings Executive Compensation and Directors Compensation in the Notice of the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and 2003 Proxy Statement, to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in connection with the company s 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is incorporated herein by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information appearing under the headings Stock Ownership Information Directors and Executive Officers Stock Ownership and Stock Ownership Information Other Security Holders in the Notice of the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and 2003 Proxy Statement, to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in connection with the company s 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The information contained under the heading Equity Compensation Plan Information in the Notice of the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and 2003 Proxy Statement, to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with the company s 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information appearing under the heading Board Operations Certain Business Relationships Between ChevronTexaco and its Directors and Officers in the Notice of the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and 2003 Proxy Statement, to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in connection with the company s 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 14. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

 $ChevronTexaco\ Corporation\ s\ Chief\ Executive\ Officer\ and\ Chief\ Financial\ Officer\ ,\ after\ evaluating\ the\ effectiveness\ of\ the\ Company\ s\ disclosure\ controls\ and\ procedures\ (as\ defined\ in\ Rules\ 13a-14(c)\ and$

15d-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)), as of a date (the Evaluation Date) within 90 days prior to the filing date of this Annual Report, have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and designed to ensure that material information relating to the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries required to be included in the Company s periodic filings under the Exchange Act would be made known to them by others within those entities.

(b) Changes in internal controls

Since the Evaluation Date, there have not been any significant changes in the Company s internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the company s disclosure controls and procedures, nor any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in such disclosure controls and procedures requiring corrective actions.

28

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K (a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements:

	Page(s)
Report of Independent Accountants	
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	FS-18
Report of Independent Public Accountants Arthur	
Andersen LLP	FS-18
Consolidated Statement of Income for the three years	
ended December 31, 2002	FS-19
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for	
the three years ended December 31, 2002	FS-20
Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2002 and	
2001	FS-21
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three	
years ended December 31, 2002	FS-22
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity for the	
three years ended December 31, 2002	FS-23 to FS-24
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	FS-25 to FS-45

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

We have included on page 30 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, Financial Statement Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts.

(3) Exhibits:

The Exhibit Index on pages E-1 and E-2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K lists the exhibits that are filed as part of this report. (b) Reports on Form 8-K:

(1) A Current Report on Form 8-K was filed by the company on November 20, 2002. In this report, ChevronTexaco filed a press release dated November 19, 2002, announcing that the company amended the Rights Agreement, dated as of November 23, 1998, as amended, between ChevronTexaco and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as rights agent. The Rights Agreement was amended so that ChevronTexaco s Series A Preferred Stock Purchase Rights will expire on November 23, 2003, five years earlier than November 23, 2008, the initial expiration date of the agreement.

(2) A Current Report on Form 8-K was filed by the company on January 31, 2003. In this report, ChevronTexaco filed a press release announcing preliminary unaudited fourth quarter 2002 net income of \$904 million.

(3) A Current Report on Form 8-K was filed by the company on February 18, 2003. This report included a Second Supplemental Indenture among ChevronTexaco Capital Company, as Issuer, ChevronTexaco Corporation, as Guarantor, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee, dated as of February 12, 2003.

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (\$ MILLIONS)

20022001Employee Termination Benefits: Balance at January 1 Additions charged to expense\$ 659 71 763 71 763 763 70 71Payments(400) (105)Balance at December 31\$ 330 5 659Other Merger-related Expenses: Balance at January 1 (Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense (11)Balance at December 31\$ 127 (11)S\$ 127 (12)Balance at December 31\$ 127 (12)S\$ 127 (12)Balance at December 31\$ 127 (12)S\$ 127 (12)Balance at December 31\$ 46 (12)	ember 31,
Balance at January 1 \$ 659 \$ 1 Additions charged to expense 71 763 Payments (400) (105) Balance at December 31 \$ 330 \$ 659 Other Merger-related Expenses: Balance at January 1 \$ 127 \$ (Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense (11) 128 Payments (70) (1)	2000
Balance at January 1 \$ 659 \$ 1 Additions charged to expense 71 763 Payments (400) (105) Balance at December 31 \$ 330 \$ 659 Other Merger-related Expenses: Balance at January 1 \$ 127 \$ (Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense (11) 128 Payments (70) (1)	
Additions charged to expense 71 763 Payments (400) (105) Balance at December 31 \$ 330 \$ 659 Other Merger-related Expenses: Balance at January 1 \$ 127 \$ (Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense (11) 128 Payments (70) (1)	\$ 130
Payments (400) (105) Balance at December 31 \$ 330 \$ 659 Other Merger-related Expenses: Balance at January 1 \$ 127 \$ (Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense (11) 128 Payments (70) (1)	15
Other Merger-related Expenses: Balance at January 1 \$ 127 \$ (Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense (11) 128 Payments (70) (1)	(144)
Balance at January 1\$ 127\$(Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense(11)128Payments(70)(1)	\$ 1
Balance at January 1\$ 127\$(Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense(11)128Payments(70)(1)	
(Deductions) additions (credited) charged to expense(11)128Payments(70)(1)	
Payments (70) (1)	\$
Balance at December 31 \$ 46 \$ 127	
	\$
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts:	
Balance at January 1 \$ 183 \$ 136	\$ 113
Additions charged to expense 131 116	74
Bad debt write-offs (89) (69)	(51)
Balance at December 31 \$ 225 \$ 183	\$ 136
Deferred Income Tax Valuation Allowance:*	
Balance at January 1 \$1,512 \$1,574	\$1,588
Additions charged to deferred income tax expense 776 339	326
Deductions credited to deferred income tax expense (548) (401)	(340)
Balance at December 31 \$1,740 \$1,512	\$1,574
Inventory Valuation Allowance:	
Balance at January 1 \$ \$ 4	\$
Additions	4
Deductions (4)	
Balance at December 31 \$	\$ 4

* See also Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements on page FS-37

Edgar Filing: CHEVRONTEXACO CORP - Form 10-K

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 17th day of March, 2003.

CHEVRONTEXACO CORPORATION

By

DAVID J. O REILLY*

David J. O Reilly Chairman of the Board

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 17th day of March, 2003.

Principal Executive Officers (And Directors)

Table of Contents

DAVID J. O REILLY*

David J. O Reilly Chairman of the Board

PETER J. ROBERTSON*

Peter J. Robertson Vice Chairman of the Board

Principal Financial Officer

JOHN S. WATSON*

John S. Watson Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Principal Accounting Officer

STEPHEN J. CROWE*

Stephen J. Crowe Vice President and Comptroller SAMUEL H. ARMACOST*

Directors

Samuel H. Armacost

ROBERT J. EATON*

Robert J. Eaton

SAM GINN*

Sam Ginn

CARLA A. HILLS*

Carla A. Hills

FRANKLYN G. JENIFER*

Franklyn G. Jenifer

J. BENNETT JOHNSTON*

J. Bennett Johnston SAM NUNN*

Sam Nunn CHARLES R. SHOEMATE*

Charles R. Shoemate

FRANK A. SHRONTZ*

Frank A. Shrontz

THOMAS A. VANDERSLICE*

Thomas A. Vanderslice

CARL WARE*

Carl Ware

JOHN A. YOUNG*

John A. Young

/s/ LYDIA I. BEEBE

*By:

Lydia I. Beebe Attorney-in-Fact

31

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATIONS

I, David J. O Reilly, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of ChevronTexaco Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this Annual Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Annual Report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this Annual Report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Annual Report;

4. The registrant s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Annual Report is being prepared;

b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this Annual Report (the Evaluation Date); and

c) presented in this Annual Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant s auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal controls; and

6. The registrant s other certifying officer and I have indicated in this Annual Report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

/s/ DAVID J. O REILLY

David J. O Reilly Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date March 17, 2003

Table of Contents

I, John S. Watson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of ChevronTexaco Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this Annual Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Annual Report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this Annual Report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Annual Report;

4. The registrant s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Annual Report is being prepared;

b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this Annual Report (the Evaluation Date); and

c) presented in this Annual Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant s auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal controls; and

6. The registrant s other certifying officer and I have indicated in this Annual Report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

/s/ JOHN S. WATSON

John S. Watson Vice-President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Date March 17, 2003

INDEX TO MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENT AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

	Page(s)
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	FS-2 to FS-17
Report of Independent Accountants	FS-18
Report of Independent Public Accountants	FS-18
Consolidated Statement of Income	FS-19
Report of Management	FS-19
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	FS-20
Consolidated Balance Sheet	FS-21
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	FS-22
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity	FS-23 to FS-24
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	FS-25 to FS-45
Quarterly Results and Stock Market Data	FS-46
Five-Year Financial Summary	FS-47
Supplemental Information on Oil and Gas Producing Activities	FS-47 to FS-54

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>

Item 1. Business (a) General Development of Business **Capital and Exploratory Expenditures** Petroleum -- Exploration and Production Liquids and Natural Gas Production Acreage **Reserves and Contract Obligations Development Activities Exploration Activities** Review of Ongoing Exploration and Production Activities in Key Areas Petroleum -- Natural Gas and Natural Gas Liquids Petroleum -- Refining Petroleum -- Refined Products Marketing Petroleum -- Transportation Chemicals Coal Other Activities -- Synthetic Crude Oil Power and Gasification Research and Technology **Environmental Protection** Web Site Access to SEC Reports **Compliance with Certification Requirements** Item 2. Properties Item 3. Legal Proceedings Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders PART II Item 5. Market for the Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters Item 6. Selected Financial Data Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure PART III Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant Item 11. Executive Compensation Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions Item 14. Controls and Procedures PART IV Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND OUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (\$ MILLIONS) **SIGNATURES** MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS **OF OPERATIONS REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS** CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

```
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
QUARTERLY RESULTS AND STOCK MARKET DATA
FIVE-YEAR FINANCIAL SUMMARY
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES
EXHIBIT INDEX
Form 10-K
EXHIBIT 3.2
EXHIBIT 10.12
Exibit 12.1
EXHIBIT 21.1
EXHIBIT 23.1
EXHIBIT 23.2
EXHIBIT 24.1
EXHIBIT 24.2
EXHIBIT 24.3
EXHIBIT 24.4
EXHIBIT 24.5
EXHIBIT 24.6
EXHIBIT 24.7
EXHIBIT 24.8
EXHIBIT 24.9
EXHIBIT 24.10
EXHIBIT 24.11
EXHIBIT 24.12
EXHIBIT 24.13
EXHIBIT 24.14
EXHIBIT 24.15
EXHIBIT 24.16
EXHIBIT 99.1
```

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

KEY FINANCIAL RESULTS

Millions of dollars, except per-share amounts	2002	2001	2000
Net Income	\$ 1,132	\$ 3,288	\$ 7,727
Per Share:			
Net Income Basic	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.10	\$ 7.23
Diluted	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.09	\$ 7.21
Dividends*	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.65	\$ 2.60
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	\$98,691	\$104,409	\$117,095
Return on:			
Average Capital Employed	3.2%	7.8%	17.3%
Average Stockholders Equity	3.5%	9.8%	24.5%
Average blockholders Equity	5.5 /0	7.070	24.370

*Chevron Corporation dividend pre-merger.

A summary of the company s net income by major operating area follows:

NET INCOME (LOSS) BY MAJOR OPERATING AREA

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Exploration and Production			
United States	\$ 1,717	\$ 1,779	\$3,453
International	2,839	2,533	3,702
Total Exploration and Production	4,556	4,312	7,155
Refining, Marketing and Transportation			
United States	(398)	1,254	721
International	31	560	414
Total Refining, Marketing and Transportation	(367)	1,814	1,135
Chemicals	86	(128)	40
All Other	(3,143)	(2,710)	(603)
Net Income*	\$ 1,132	\$ 3,288	\$7,727
* Includes Foreign Currency (Losses) Gains:	\$ (43)	\$ 191	\$ 182

Net income in each period presented includes amounts for matters that management characterizes as special items, as described in the table below.

SPECIAL ITEMS

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Asset Write-Offs and Revaluations	\$(2,642)	\$(1,709)	\$(301)
Asset Dispositions, Net	(149)	49	\$(301) 72
Prior-Year Tax Adjustments	60	(5)	107
Environmental Remediation Provisions, Net	(160)	(78)	(264)
Merger-related Expenses	(386)	(1,136)	
Extraordinary Loss from Merger-Related Asset Sales		(643)	
Other, Net	(57)		8
Total Special Items	\$(3,334)	\$(3,522)	\$(378)

Because of their nature and sufficiently large amounts, the special items in the table above are identified separately to help explain the changes in net income and segment income between periods as well as to help distinguish the underlying trends for the company s businesses. The categories Merger-related expenses and Extraordinary Loss from Merger-Related Asset Sales are amounts in 2001 and 2002 that are described in detail in the Texaco Merger Transaction section on page FS-3. Other special items are discussed in detail for each major operating area in the Results of Operations section beginning on page FS-4.

BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT AND OUTLOOK

As shown in the Special Items table, large special-item charges adversely affected net income in 2002 and 2001. In 2002, \$2.3 billion of the \$3.3 billion of net charges related to the company s investment in its Dynegy Inc. affiliate. Refer to pages FS-7 and FS-8 for discussion of these matters. Approximately one half of the \$3.5 billion of net charges in 2001 related to asset impairments, primarily the result of downward revisions to crude oil and natural gas reserve quantities. These items are discussed in the U.S. and international exploration and production analyses of segment income beginning on page FS-4. Other major charges against earnings in 2002 and 2001 related to the Texaco merger transaction, which is discussed on page FS-3.

Apart from the effects of special items, ChevronTexaco s earnings depend largely on the profitability of its upstream exploration and production and downstream refining, marketing and transportation businesses. Overall earnings trends are typically less affected by results from the company s commodity chemicals sector and investments in other businesses. Key components of the company s competitive position, particularly given the capital-intensive infrastructure and the commodity-based nature of many of its products, are the ability to invest capital in projects that provide adequate financial returns and managing operating expenses successfully. The company also continuously evaluates opportunities to acquire assets or operations complementary to its asset base to help sustain the company s growth. During 2003, the company intends to evaluate and determine which assets in its overall post-merger portfolio are key to providing long-term value. Accordingly, certain asset dispositions may result.

Comments related to earnings trends for the company s major business areas are as follows:

Upstream Year-to-year changes in exploration and production earnings align most closely with industry price levels for crude oil and natural gas. Crude oil and natural gas prices are subject to certain external factors, over which the company has no control, including product demand connected with global economic conditions, industry inventory levels, weather-related damages and disruptions, competing fuel prices, and the regional supply interruptions that may be caused by military conflicts or political uncertainty. Longer-term trends in earnings for this segment are also a function of a range of factors in addition to price trends, including the company s ability to find or acquire reserves and efficiently produce them.

Average worldwide industry prices for crude oil in 2002 were little changed from 2001. However, the company s average natural gas realization in the United States fell about one third from the prior year and contributed to the decline in the company s U.S segment income between periods. Segment income in 2002 for

Table of Contents

international operations reflected relatively little change in prices for both crude oil and natural gas.

During 2002, industry price levels for crude oil trended upward from the \$20 per-barrel level to about \$30. In early March 2003, the spot price for West Texas Intermediate (WTI), a benchmark crude oil was quoted between \$35 and \$40 per barrel a 12-year high. Benchmark prices for Henry Hub U.S. natural gas started 2002 in the low-\$2 range per thousand cubic feet and also trended upward during the year, to about the \$5 level. Through mid-March 2003, the benchmark natural gas price was volatile and averaged about \$7 per thousand cubic feet for that period. The relatively strong prices for crude oil in early 2003 in part reflected the geopolitical uncertainty in Iraq and Venezuela. The higher U.S. natural gas price was primarily attributable to falling inventory storage levels reflecting withdrawals to meet the demands of a cold winter over much of the United States.

Segment income during 2002 was also dampened by lower worldwide oil-equivalent production down 3 percent from 2001 levels. Part of the production decline was the result of OPEC quotas, which accounted for a decrease in Nigeria of about 30,000 barrels of crude oil per day in 2002. Storms in the Gulf of Mexico reduced 2002 oil-equivalent production by about 20,000 barrels per day. The impact of revised terms on a production-sharing contract in Indonesia lowered 2002 net oil-equivalent production by about 25,000 barrels per day. Absent these effects, worldwide oil-equivalent production was at about the same level in both years. The expected production level in 2003 and beyond is uncertain, in part because of the possibility of additional quota adjustments by OPEC and the potential for local civil unrest and changing geopolitics that could cause production disruptions. Capital expenditures are weighted heavily to international areas due to the greater number of economic opportunities.

Downstream Refining, marketing and transportation earnings are closely tied to regional demand and industry refining and marketing margins. Other, company-specific, factors influencing the company s profitability in this segment include the operating efficiencies of its refinery network, including any downtime due to operating incidents and maintenance.

Industry margins worldwide were strong in the early part of 2001 but trended downward worldwide during the year, as worldwide demand for refined products weakened. By early 2002, ChevronTexaco margins were at their lowest levels since the mid-1990s, as weak market conditions would not allow feedstock costs to be fully recovered from consumers of refined products. As a result, worldwide earnings plummeted between 2001 and 2002 to below break-even. Additionally, the decline in earnings from 2001 included the absence of ongoing earnings from U.S. downstream assets that were sold as a condition of the merger. Into early 2003, U.S. refined products margins strengthened on the combined effects of the general strike in Venezuela, colder-than-normal winter weather and low inventory levels. Based on current industry and economic conditions, the company does not expect a rebound of earnings for this segment in 2003 to levels similar to 2001 and 2000.

Chemicals Earnings for the company s Oronite subsidiary improved in 2002, and losses from the 50 percent-owned Chevron Phillips Chemical Co. LLC affiliate were lower. Demand and margins for commodity chemicals have been at low levels for a protracted period, and significant improvement is not expected in the near future.

TEXACO MERGER TRANSACTION

Basis of Presentation On October 9, 2001, Texaco Inc. (Texaco) became a wholly owned subsidiary of Chevron Corporation (Chevron) pursuant to a merger transaction, and Chevron changed its name to ChevronTexaco Corporation. Certain operations that were jointly owned by the combining companies are consolidated in the accompanying financial statements. These operations are primarily those of the Caltex Group of Companies, which was previously owned 50 percent each by Chevron and Texaco. The combination was accounted for as a pooling of interests, and the accompanying audited consolidated financial statements for all periods are presented as if Chevron and Texaco had always been combined.

Merger Effects Under mandate of the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) as a condition to FTC approval of the merger, the company sold its interests in Equilon and Motiva joint ventures engaged in U.S. downstream businesses in February 2002, resulting in cash proceeds of \$2.2 billion, including dividends due. Indemnification by ChevronTexaco against certain Equilon and Motiva contingent liabilities at the date of sale are discussed in the Guarantees, Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations, and Other Contingencies section beginning on page FS-10. Other mandated asset dispositions were also completed during 2002. Net income and cash proceeds from these other sales were not material. Net income during 2001 for all assets that were sold as a condition of the merger was approximately \$375 million. The net loss on assets sold under the FTC mandate is presented in the 2001 income statement as an extraordinary item.

The company incurred before-tax merger-related expenses of \$1.563 billion (\$1.136 billion after tax) and \$576 million (\$386 million after tax) in 2001 and 2002, respectively. Major expenses included employee severance payments; incremental pension and medical plan benefit costs associated with workforce reductions; legal, accounting, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filing and investment banker fees; employee and office relocations; and costs for the elimination of redundant facilities and operations. No significant merger-related expenses are anticipated for 2003.

Included in merger-related expenses were accruals of \$891 million and \$60 million in 2001 and 2002, respectively, for severance-related benefits for approximately 4,500 employees and other merger-related expenses that will not benefit future operations.

Activity for this accrual balance is summarized in the table below:

Millions of dollars	Amount
Additions 2001 Payments 2001	\$ 891 (105)
Balance at December 31, 2001	786
Additions 2002 Payments 2002	60 (470)
Balance at December 31, 2002	\$ 376

Of the 4,500 employees to be terminated, approximately 450 remained on the payroll at December 31, 2002. The year-end 2002 accrual balance is not expected to be extinguished for approximately two years, reflecting a severance payment deferral option exercised by some employees.

OPERATING DEVELOPMENTS

Operating developments and events during 2002 and early 2003 included:

Worldwide Oil and Gas Reserves and Production The company added approximately 1.1 billion barrels of oil-equivalent reserves during 2002. These additions equated to 114 percent of production for the year. Included were nearly 600 million barrels of oil-equivalent from major discoveries and extensions in Africa, Australia, Europe and China. Additionally, 500 million barrels were added through improved recovery and expansion projects, primarily in Africa, Eurasia and California. Worldwide oil-equivalent production declined in 2002 about 3 percent, compared with 2001 and about 4 percent compared with 2000. The decreases between years reflect lower U.S. production levels, partially offset by increased international production.

U.S. Gulf of Mexico Two deepwater discoveries were made Tahiti and Great White and are in the process of being evaluated. ChevronTexaco, with a 58 percent interest, operates the Tahiti prospect. The company has a one-third non-operated interest in Great White. In December, the company submitted an application to construct and operate the Port Pelican Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) receiving and regasification terminal, located approximately 50 miles offshore in the Gulf of Mexico. Phase 1 of the project is designed to process up to 800 million cubic feet of natural gas per day and will connect to existing infrastructure along the Gulf Coast. ChevronTexaco s interest is 100 percent. LNG projects like Port Pelican can help offset the effect of an expected long-term decline in the industry s U.S. natural gas production.

Angola The eighth and ninth discoveries Gabela and Negage were announced in ChevronTexaco-operated deepwater Block 14. These latest discoveries will be followed by geological and engineering studies to assess their reserve potential. The company, as operator, holds a 31 percent interest in Block 14.

Nigeria A second oil discovery Usan was made in the non-operated deepwater Nigeria Block OPL 222, where the company holds a 30 percent interest. The prospect is approximately 60 miles offshore in a water depth greater than 2,000 feet. The company also confirmed its deepwater Block OPL 213 Aparo oil discovery (100 percent company interest) with a successful appraisal well. The Aparo discovery shares a structure with an adjacent concession and will likely become part of a joint oil development.

U.K. North Sea The company announced first oil from Alba Extreme South, the latest phase in the field s development in which ChevronTexaco, as operator, has a 21 percent interest. The phased development expansion is expected near-term to add more than 50,000 barrels of oil per day to Alba production (100 percent field basis), offsetting existing production declines and maintaining a plateau rate of up to 100,000 barrels of oil per day. The Caledonia Field produced first oil in February 2003 with total production expected to average about 10,000 barrels of crude oil per day during 2003. ChevronTexaco is operator with a 27 percent interest.

Tengiz Following a delay in late 2002, Tengizchevroil (TCO) announced in early 2003 that its partners had approved the detailed engineering and construction of the Second Generation Program and Sour Gas Injection Project. These two projects are expected to increase TCO s oil production capacity from the current rate of about 285,000 barrels per day to between 430,000 and 500,000 barrels per day. Current development plans call for the two projects to be completed mid-2006. ChevronTexaco has a 50 percent ownership interest in TCO.

Australia The People s Republic of China selected the North West Shelf Venture, in which ChevronTexaco has a one-sixth interest, as the sole supplier of LNG to the proposed Guangdong LNG project in southern China. A conditional 25-year LNG Sale and Purchase Agreement for more than 3.9 trillion cubic feet of natural gas (equivalent to about 400 million cubic feet per day) was signed in October.

China The company entered into a unitization agreement with China National Offshore Oil Corp (CNOOC) in October to jointly develop the Bozhong Field in Bohai Bay. This is the first unitization agreement between CNOOC and a foreign partner. ChevronTexaco holds an approximate 16 percent interest.

U.S. Refining An expansion project to produce low sulfur motor gasoline and diesel at the company s Pascagoula, Mississippi, refinery will become operational during the first quarter of 2003.

Chemicals In Qatar, a world-scale olefins and polyolefins complex is currently being commissioned. The facility is owned and operated by Qatar Chemical Company, a joint venture between Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPChem), the company s 50 percent-owned petrochemical affiliate, and its partner Qatar General Petroleum. CPChem has signed agreements to develop a second petrochemical complex in Qatar. The project will include a world-scale olefins facility, along with derivatives units. Final approvals are anticipated in mid-2004.

U.S. Natural Gas Marketing The company s natural gas purchase and sale agreements with its 26 percent-owned Dynegy affiliate were terminated at the end of January 2003. Under the transition arrangements, Dynegy is to act in an agency role for the company until the contracts

become managed by ChevronTexaco Natural Gas a new wholesale natural gas marketing unit that is expected to be fully operational in April 2003. The contract terminations followed Dynegy s decision to exit the gas trading and marketing business as part of a companywide restructuring plan. See page FS-8 for information related to the company s investment in Dynegy.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Major Business Areas The following section presents the results of operations for the company s business segments as well as for the departments and companies managed at the corporate level. To aid in the understanding of changes in segment income between periods, the discussion is in two parts - first, on underlying trends and second, for special items that tended to obscure these trends.

U.S. Exploration and Production

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Segment Income	\$1,717	\$ 1,779	\$3,453
Special Items Included in Segment Income:			
Asset Write-Offs and Revaluations	(183)	(1,168)	(176)
Asset Dispositions		49	(107)
Environmental Remediation Provisions	(31)		
Prior-Year Tax Adjustments		8	
Total Special Items	\$ (214)	\$(1,111)	\$ (283)

Segment income in 2002 reflected significantly lower natural gas realizations and an 11 percent decrease in natural gas production. Also contributing to the earnings decline was lower liquids production, which dropped 2 percent from 2001 levels. Lower earnings in 2001 from 2000 partially resulted from significantly lower liquids realizations and lower oil-equivalent production, offset by higher natural gas prices.

Table of Contents

The average natural gas realization was \$2.89 per thousand cubic feet in 2002, compared with \$4.38 and \$3.87 in 2001 and 2000, respectively. The company s average 2002 U.S. liquids realization was \$21.34 per barrel, compared with \$21.33 in 2001 and \$25.61 in 2000.

Net oil-equivalent production averaged 1.002 million barrels per day in 2002, down 6 percent from 2001 and 13 percent from 2000. The net liquids component for 2002 averaged 602,000 barrels per day, down 2 percent from 2001 and 10 percent from 2000. Net natural gas production averaged 2.4 billion cubic feet per day in 2002, down 11 percent from 2001 and 17 percent from 2000. The company s 2002 production of crude oil and natural gas was constrained by tropical storms that occurred in September and October in the Gulf of Mexico. The storms reduced the company s 2002 oil-equivalent production by about 20,000 barrels per day, split equally between liquids and natural gas. The negative impact on the company s net income was about \$100 million, including casualty losses for the uninsured portion of property damages and associated costs. In addition to the impacts of the storms on 2002 production, the lower oil-equivalent production reflected normal field declines and the absence of production from assets sold in 2001, partially offset by new and enhanced production in the deepwater and other areas of the Gulf of Mexico. The reductions in net natural gas production reflected, in part, steep decline rates in areas of the Gulf of Mexico Shelf that were brought onto production in late 2000 and early 2001 to take advantage of a period of high natural gas prices.

Special items during the three years included asset write-offs and revaluations resulting mainly from asset impairments caused by write-downs in proved oil and gas reserve quantities for various fields. In 2001, a \$1.0 billion impairment was recorded for the Midway Sunset Field in California s San Joaquin Valley, upon determining lower-than-projected oil recovery from the field s steam-injection process.

International Exploration and Production

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Segment Income*	\$2,839	\$2,533	\$3,702
*Includes Foreign Currency Gains Special Items Included in Segment Income:	\$ 90	\$ 181	\$ 97
Asset Write-Offs and Revaluations Asset Dispositions	\$ (100)	\$ (247)	\$ 80
Prior-Year Tax Adjustments	(37)	(125)	
Total Special Items	\$ (137)	\$ (372)	\$ 80

The earnings improvement in 2002 versus 2001 was marginally affected by a combination of higher liquids realizations and natural gas production and lower exploration and income tax expense, offset in part by lower liquids production and natural gas realizations and higher depreciation expense. The earnings decline in 2001 versus 2000 reflected lower average liquids realizations, the effect of which was partially offset by a 3 percent increase in oil-equivalent production and higher natural gas prices.

The average liquids realization, including equity affiliates, was \$23.06 per barrel in 2002, compared with \$22.17 in 2001 and \$26.04 in 2000. The average natural gas realization was \$2.14 per thousand cubic feet in 2002, compared with \$2.36 in 2001 and \$2.09 in 2000.

Daily net liquids production of 1.295 million barrels in 2002 decreased about 4 percent from 1.345 million barrels in 2001 and about 3 percent from 1.330 million barrels in 2000. Production decreases during 2002 in Indonesia, primarily due to changes in contractual terms, and Nigeria, primarily due to OPEC constraints, were slightly offset by increased production in Kazakhstan. During 2001, increases in Kazakhstan more than offset lower volumes from Indonesia.

Net natural gas production of 1.971 billion cubic feet per day in 2002 was up 15 percent from 2001 and more than 26 percent from 2000. A major factor in the 2002 production increase was a full year of new production from the Malampaya Field in the Philippines. Other geographic areas with production increases were Kazakhstan, Nigeria and Australia. These increases were slightly offset by lower production from mature fields in Canada. In 2001, areas with production increases were Kazakhstan, Trinidad and Tobago, South Korea and Canada.

Special-item charges in 2002 for asset write-offs and revaluations were for asset impairments associated with write-downs in quantities of proved oil and gas reserves for fields in Africa and Canada. Special items in 2001 included a \$247 million impairment of the LL-652 Field in Venezuela, as slower-than-expected reservoir repressurization resulted in a reduction in the projected volumes of oil recoverable during the company s remaining contract period of operation.

U.S. Refining, Marketing and Transportation

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Segment (Loss) Income	\$(398)	\$1,254	\$ 721
Special Items Included in Segment (Loss) Income:			
Asset Write-Offs and Revaluations	\$ (66)	\$	\$
Environmental Remediation Provisions	(92)	(78)	(191)
Litigation and Regulatory	(57)		(62)
Total Special Items	\$(215)	\$ (78)	\$(253)

The U.S. refining, marketing and transportation segment loss in 2002 was primarily the result of significantly lower refined product margins. Results for 2001 and 2000 included earnings of \$375 million and \$215 million, respectively, associated with assets sold as a condition of the merger, which included the company s Equilon and Motiva joint ventures. In addition, sales volumes for operations that were retained following the merger were down in 2002, primarily due to lower fuel oil trading activity and jet fuel sales volumes. The decline in jet fuel sales volumes reflected the weakened travel industry. The earnings increase in 2001 reflected significantly higher gasoline sales margins especially early in the year - partially offset by weaker distillate sales margins and higher operating expenses. Higher sales volumes also contributed to the improvement in 2001.

Excluding the company s share of sales volumes associated with assets sold as a condition of the merger, refined products sales volumes of 1.610 million barrels per day in 2002 decreased about 4 percent from 2001 and about 2 percent from 2000. The average U.S. refined products sales realization of \$34.33 per barrel in 2002 was down from the 2001 average of \$36.26 per barrel and down from \$39.32 per barrel in 2000.

Special items in 2002 included environmental remediation provisions and asset write-downs for certain refining and marketing assets and a \$57 million charge connected with a lawsuit related to groundwater contamination caused by MTBE (methyl tertiary butyl ether), an additive used in the manufacture of certain gasoline.

SELECTED OPERATING DATA

	2002	2001	2000
U.S. Exploration and Production			
Net Crude Oil and Natural Gas Liquids Production (MBPD)	602	614	667
Net Natural Gas Production (MMCFPD)	2,405	2,706	2,910
Natural Gas Sales (MMCFPD) ¹	5,463	7,830	7,302
Natural Gas Liquids Sales (MBPD) ¹	241	185	170
Revenues from Net Production			
Liquids (\$/Bbl)	\$21.34	\$21.33	\$25.61
Natural Gas (\$/MCF)	\$ 2.89	\$ 4.38	\$ 3.87
International Exploration and Production ¹			
Net Crude Oil and Natural Gas Liquids Production (MBPD)	1,295	1,345	1,330
Net Natural Gas Production (MMCFPD)	1,971	1,711	1,556
Natural Gas Sales (MMCFPD)	3,131	2,675	2,398
Natural Gas Liquids Sales (MBPD)	131	115	67
Revenues from Liftings			
Liquids (\$/Bbl)	\$23.06	\$22.17	\$26.04
Natural Gas (\$/MCF)	\$ 2.14	\$ 2.36	\$ 2.09
Other Produced Volumes (MBPD) ²	97	105	123
U.S. Refining, Marketing and Transportation ^{1,3}			
Gasoline Sales (MBPD)	733	709	717
Other Refined Products Sales (MBPD)	877	974	934
Refinery Input (MBPD)	979	983	943
Average Refined Products Sales Price (\$/Bbl)	\$34.33	\$36.26	\$39.32
International Refining, Marketing and Transportation ¹			
Refined Products Sales (MBPD)	2,258	2,454	2,521
Refinery Input (MBPD)	1,100	1,136	1,150

MBPD = Thousands of barrels per day; MMCFPD = Millions of cubic feet per day;

Bbl = Barrel; MCF = Thousands of cubic feet.

¹ Includes equity in affiliates, except as explained in footnote 3.

² Represents total field production under the Boscan operating service agreement in Venezuela, and in 2000 included a Colombian operating service agreement.

³ Excludes Equilon and Motiva pre-merger.

International Refining, Marketing and Transportation

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Segment Income*	\$ 31	\$560	\$ 414
*Includes Foreign Currency (Losses) Gains: Special Items Included in Segment Income:	\$ (176)	\$ 23	\$ 107
Asset Write-Offs and Revaluations	(136)	(46)	(112)
Prior-Year Tax Adjustments		8	
Total Special Items	\$(136)	\$ (38)	\$(112)

The international refining, marketing and transportation segment includes the company s consolidated refining and marketing businesses, international marine operations, international supply and trading activities, and equity earnings of affiliates, primarily in the Asia-Pacific region.

Earnings in 2002 included foreign currency losses of \$176 million, compared with gains of \$23 million and \$107 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively. Currency losses in 2002 occurred mainly in Brazil, New Zealand, Australia, South Korea and the United Kingdom.

Income in most of the company s operating areas declined in 2002, with the exception of Latin America, where earnings increased. In the areas with lower earnings, supply-demand fundamentals did not allow the immediate recovery of rising crude oil costs in the marketplace, with product price changes lagging those for feedstock costs. This condition reflected weak demand, stiff competition and, in some areas, regulated price environments. Lower refining margins in 2002 reflected continued excess refining capacity in these regions. The improved results in Latin America for 2002 were primarily from reduced operating expenses, offset slightly by lower product margins and lower volumes. Results from the company s international shipping operations also declined in 2002, compared with 2001, primarily on lower freight rates.

After excluding the effects of foreign currency gains in both 2001 and 2000 for the segment as a whole, results for 2001 were significantly improved because of improved marketing margins, particularly early in the year. Partially offsetting the marketing improvement were higher operating costs and somewhat lower refining margins.

Total international refined products sales volumes were 2.258 million barrels per day in 2002, down about 8 percent from 2.454 million in 2001 and about 10 percent from 2.521 million in 2000. Weak economic conditions continued to dampen demand in 2002.

The special item amount in 2002 was for a write-down of the company s investment in its publicly traded Caltex Australia affiliate to its fair value, as a result of protracted weak business conditions in the Australian downstream markets. The write-down was based on management s judgment that the decline in the investment s fair value below its carrying value was deemed to be other than temporary. Special items in 2001 included the impairment of refinery assets in Central America. The special item asset write-offs and revaluations in 2000 included impairments of marketing assets in eastern Europe and Central America.

Chemicals

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Segment Income (Loss)*	\$86	\$(128)	\$ 40
*Includes Foreign Currency Gains (Losses): Special Items Included in Segment Income (Loss):	\$ 3	\$ (3)	\$ (2)
Asset Write-Offs and Revaluations	\$	\$ (96)	\$(90)

Chemicals includes the company s Oronite subsidiary, the petrochemicals business prior to its contribution to CPChem in July 2000 and equity earnings in CPChem from that date. Results for all years reflect a protracted period of generally weak demand for commodity chemicals and industry over-capacity. Results for both CPChem and the company s Oronite subsidiary improved in 2002 primarily on lower feedstock and utility costs.

Special items in 2001 and 2000 included write-downs of the CPChem Puerto Rico operations. There were no special items in 2002.

All Other

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Segment Charges*	\$(3,143)	\$(2,710)	\$(603)
*Includes Foreign Currency Gains (Losses):	\$ 40	\$ (10)	\$ (20)
Special Items Included in Segment Charges:			
Asset Write-Offs and Revaluations	\$(2,157)	\$ (152)	\$ 77
Asset Dispositions	(149)		99
Prior-Year Tax Adjustments	97	104	107
Environmental Remediation	(37)		(73)
Merger-Related Expenses	(386)	(1,136)	
Extraordinary Loss from Merger-Related Asset Sales		(643)	
Other			70
Total Special Items	\$(2,632)	\$(1,827)	\$ 280

All Other consists of the company s equity interest in Dynegy, coal mining operations, power and gasification ventures, worldwide cash management and debt financing activities, corporate administrative costs, insurance operations, real estate activities, and technology companies.

Aside from the effect of special items, the change between 2001 and 2002 reflected primarily the favorable effect of lower corporate charges, including an increase in favorable tax adjustments of \$245 million and lower net interest expense of \$71 million, offset, in part, by a decline in the company s share of Dynegy s operating results.

During 2002, Dynegy results were severely affected by a downturn in the energy merchant sector, which was characterized by lower liquidity levels, reduced power prices and credit concerns. Special items in 2002 included \$2.306 billion related to Dynegy, composed of \$1.626 billion for the write-down of the company s investment in Dynegy common and preferred stock to their estimated fair values and \$680 million for the company s share of items Dynegy classified as special for asset write-downs and revaluations and a loss on an asset sale. Refer to page FS-8 for further information relating to the company s investments in Dynegy.

Consolidated Statement of Income In the following table, amounts for special items by income statement category are shown to assist in the explanation of changes in those categories between periods. In addition to the effects of special items shown in the table, separately disclosed on the face of the Consolidated Income Statement, are merger-related expenses, write-downs of investments in equity affiliates and the extraordinary after-tax loss on the sale of assets mandated as a condition of the merger. These matters are discussed elsewhere in this discussion and in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page FS-27.

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Income from equity affiliates	\$ 111	\$ 1,144	\$ 1,077
Memo: Special charges, before tax	693	123	141
Other income	\$ 247	\$ 692	\$ 958
Memo: Special gains, before tax		84	356
Operating expenses	\$ 7,848	\$ 7,650	\$ 8,323
Memo: Special charges, before tax	259	25	394

Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 4,155	\$ 3,984	\$ 3,626
Memo: Special charges, before tax	180	139	94
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 5,231	\$ 7,059	\$ 5,321
Memo: Special charges, before tax	298	2,294	561
Interest and debt expense	\$ 565	\$ 833	\$ 1,110
Memo: Special charges, before tax			4
Minority interest	\$ 57	\$ 121	\$ 111
Memo: Special gains, before tax			9
Taxes other than on income	\$16,689	\$15,156	\$15,827
Memo: Special charges, before tax		12	
Income tax expense	\$ 3,024	\$ 4,360	\$ 6,322
Memo: Special gains	604	1,193	451

Explanations are provided below of variations between years for the amounts in the table above after consideration of the effects of special items as well as for other income statement categories.

Sales and other operating revenues were \$99 billion in 2002, compared with \$104 billion in 2001 and \$117 billion in 2000. Revenues from worldwide upstream operations decreased 27 percent on lower prices for natural gas, particularly in the United States. Sales volumes of natural gas were also down in the United States. Downstream sales and other operating revenues were about 6 percent higher in 2002, primarily on higher prices for refined products in the fourth quarter of 2002. Total sales and other operating revenues in 2001 declined from 2000 on lower average realizations for crude oil and refined products.

Income from equity affiliates declined in 2002, reflecting the absence of earnings from assets sold as a condition of the merger and lower earnings from Dynegy. Equity income increased marginally in 2001 on the strength of improved earnings for Equilon, Motiva and Dynegy, partially offset by lower earnings for TCO and LG-Caltex and by larger losses from CPChem.

Other income decreased in 2002 as a result of lower interest income, changes in net foreign exchange gains and losses, and net gains and losses from asset sales.

Foreign currency losses in 2002 were \$43 million, compared with gains of \$191 million and \$182 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively. In 2002, net gains from fluctuations of the U.S. dollar against the Argentine peso, which began to float against the dollar during the year, were more than offset by losses related to currencies of most other countries in which the company has significant operations.

Purchased crude oil and products costs of \$57 billion in 2002 were 5 percent lower than 2001 primarily due to lower natural gas prices and sales volumes and about 18 percent lower than 2000 primarily due to lower crude oil and natural gas prices and lower natural gas volumes.

Table of Contents

Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses benefited from merger synergy savings, which were substantially offset by increases related to pension expense, payroll and other employee benefits.

Exploration expenses were \$0.6 billion in 2002, compared with \$1.0 billion in 2001 and \$0.9 billion in 2000. In 2002, well write-offs and other exploration expenses were \$358 million and \$90 million lower, respectively, compared with 2001. The reduced expenses in 2002 reflect, in part, the high-grading of the company s exploration portfolio following the merger. In 2001, well write-offs were \$184 million higher compared with 2000, which more than offset declines in other exploration expenses.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense increased for international upstream operations in 2002, but this effect was slightly offset by lower expenses in the U.S. upstream segment. In 2001, the absence of charges relating to chemicals assets contributed to the CPChem joint venture that was formed in mid-2000 were essentially offset by increases in amounts for other segments.

Interest and debt expense was \$0.6 billion in 2002, compared with \$0.8 billion in 2001 and \$1.1 billion in 2000. The declines between periods primarily reflected lower average interest rates on debt.

Income tax expense for each year corresponded to effective tax rates of 45 percent in each year after taking into account the effect of special items. See also Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

INFORMATION RELATED TO INVESTMENT IN DYNEGY INC.

ChevronTexaco owns approximately 26 percent of the common stock of its Dynegy affiliate, an energy merchant engaged in power generation, natural gas liquids and regulated energy delivery. The company also holds \$1.5 billion aggregate principal amount of Dynegy preferred stock, which is due to be redeemed at par in November 2003.

As a result of a collapse of the U.S. merchant-energy sector during 2002, Dynegy experienced a significant reduction in the value of its common stock, as well as a marked reduction in available liquidity. This resulted in limited access by Dynegy to the capital markets and an increasing use of its assets as collateral for its liabilities. Dynegy is also the subject of regulatory investigations and is the defendant in a number of lawsuits seeking large damage amounts. During 2002, debt ratings of Dynegy securities were downgraded below investment-grade level. Dynegy s ability to meet its obligations in a timely fashion depends in part on completion of its announced plans for recapitalization and restructuring of the business. The company does not currently anticipate that Dynegy will have sufficient liquidity to redeem the preferred stock when due.

ChevronTexaco s net income for 2002 included special charges of \$2.306 billion related to Dynegy. These charges were composed of \$1.626 billion for the company s write-down of the combined investment in Dynegy common and preferred stock, and \$680 million for the company s share of Dynegy s own special items during the year. The write-down of the company s investments in Dynegy during 2002 was required because the declines in the fair values of the common and preferred stock investments below their respective carrying values were deemed to be other than temporary.

Additional write-downs of the investments in Dynegy would be required to the extent the fair values of the Dynegy securities at the end of any subsequent period were below their respective carrying values at that time, and the declines in value were deemed to be other than temporary. In the event Dynegy records losses in future periods, the company s share of those losses would be recorded first against any remaining carrying value of the common stock and then against the carrying value of the preferred stock.

At December 31, 2002, the remaining book value of the company s investment in Dynegy was \$347 million composed of \$300 million for the preferred stock and \$47 million for the common stock. The market value of ChevronTexaco s share of Dynegy common stock was \$114 million, based on equivalent closing market prices. No quoted market price exists for the preferred stock. Refer to Dynegy Preferred Stock Investment on page FS-12 for a description of the methodology used to estimate the fair value at December 31, 2002.

For the common and preferred stock, if future declines in the fair value are deemed other than temporary, a charge would be recorded against income. However, the effect of a temporary decline, or any increase, in fair value of the preferred stock would be recorded in Other comprehensive income and would be recognized in income at the time of redemption or disposition of the security, or in the event of a further other-than-temporary decline in its fair value.

At December 31, 2002, the carrying value of the common stock investment was approximately \$500 million below the company s proportionate amount of Dynegy net equity. This difference will be accreted to income over the estimated economic life of the underlying net assets in the absence of any future impairment of the investment.

At the request of Dynegy, its independent accountant is conducting a re-audit of the 1999-2001 financial statements, which has resulted thus far in certain restatements of prior periods. To date, ChevronTexaco s share of the known restatements has not been material and, accordingly, prior periods in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have not been restated. Upon conclusion of the audit, the independent accountants will perform reviews of the 2002 quarterly financial statements. The results of these audits and reviews may require further restatements by Dynegy. ChevronTexaco will evaluate the effects on its Consolidated Financial Statements at that time.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities were \$3.8 billion and \$3.2 billion at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Cash provided by operating activities in 2002 was \$9.9 billion, compared with \$11.5 billion in 2001 and \$13.5 billion in 2000. The 2002 decline in cash provided by operating activities mainly reflected lower earnings in the U.S. upstream and worldwide downstream businesses. Cash provided by asset sales was \$2.3 billion in 2002, \$0.3 billion in 2001 and \$1.2 billion in 2000. In February 2002, the company received proceeds of \$2.2 billion, including dividends due, from the FTC-mandated sale of the company s investments in Equilon and Motiva. In 2002, these proceeds and cash provided by operating activities generated sufficient funds for the company s capital and exploratory expenditure program, the payment of dividends to stockholders, and a reduction in overall debt levels.

Table of Contents

Dividends ChevronTexaco made payments of \$2.991 billion, \$2.858 billion and \$2.789 billion for dividends or distributions for common stock, preferred stock and minority interests in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Debt, Lease and Minority Interest Obligations ChevronTexaco s total debt and capital lease obligations were \$16.3 billion at December 31, 2002, down from \$17.4 billion at year-end 2001. The company also had minority interest obligations of \$303 million, up from \$283 million at December 31, 2001.

The company s debt and capital lease obligations due within one year, consisting primarily of commercial paper and the current portion of long-term debt, totaled \$9.5 billion at December 31, 2002, down from \$11.6 billion at the end of 2001. Of these totals, \$4.1 billion and \$3.2 billion were reclassified to long-term at the end of each period, respectively. Settlement of these obligations is not expected to require the use of working capital in 2003, as the company has the intent and the ability, as evidenced by committed credit facilities, to refinance them on a long-term basis. The company s practice has been to continually refinance its commercial paper, maintaining levels it believes appropriate.

At year-end 2002, ChevronTexaco had \$4.1 billion in committed credit facilities with various major banks, which permit the refinancing of short-term obligations on a long-term basis. These facilities support commercial paper borrowings and also can be used for general credit requirements. No borrowings were outstanding under these facilities during the year or at year-end 2002.

During 2002, the company increased the total value of shelf registrations on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) by \$2.0 billion, to \$4.8 billion. At December 31, 2002, the company had three existing effective shelf registrations on file with the SEC that together would permit additional registered debt offerings up to an aggregate of \$2.8 billion of securities.

The company issued \$2.0 billion of 3.5 percent Guaranteed Notes Due 2007 under a shelf registration in 2002. The proceeds from this issue are expected to be used to retire commercial paper. Repayments of long-term debt in 2002 included \$250 million of Texaco North Sea U.K. notes, \$285 million of Texaco Capital Inc. bonds, \$192 million of New Zealand debt, \$120 million of Philippine debt, \$114 of South African debt and \$100 million of ChevronTexaco Corporation 8.11 percent notes. The change in long-term debt during 2002 also included a noncash reduction of \$100 million in the company-guaranteed Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) debt.

In February 2003, the company redeemed \$200 million of Texaco Capital Inc. bonds originally due in 2033. Under a shelf registration also in February, the company issued \$750 million of 3.375 percent bonds due in February 2008. The company plans to use the proceeds from this issuance to pay down outstanding commercial paper borrowings.

ChevronTexaco s senior debt is rated AA by Standard and Poor s Corporation and Aa2 by Moody s Investor Service. Bonds issued by Texaco Inc. are rated Aa3. ChevronTexaco s U.S. commercial paper is rated A-1+ by Standard and Poor s and Prime 1 by Moody s, and the company s Canadian commercial paper is rated R-1 (middle) by Dominion Bond Rating Service. All of these ratings denote high-quality, investment-grade securities.

The company s future debt level is dependent primarily on results of operations, the capital-spending program and cash that may be generated from asset dispositions. The company believes it has substantial borrowing capacity to meet unanticipated cash requirements, and during periods of low prices for crude oil and natural gas and narrow margins for refined products and commodity chemicals, it has the flexibility to increase borrowings and/or modify capital-spending plans to continue paying the common stock dividend and maintain the company s high-quality debt ratings.

Pension Obligations Based on the expected changes in plan asset values and pension obligations in 2003, the company does not believe any significant funding of its pension plans will be required during the year. Additional funding may ultimately be required in subsequent periods if investment returns are insufficient to offset increases in the plans obligations. Refer also to the discussion of pension accounting in Application of Critical Accounting Policies beginning on page FS-15.

Capital and exploratory expenditures for 2002 totaled \$9.3 billion, including the company s equity share of affiliates expenditures. Capital and exploratory expenditures were \$12.0 billion in 2001 and \$9.5 billion in 2000. The company s equity share of affiliates expenditures, which did not require cash outlays by the company, were \$1.4 billion, \$1.7 billion and \$1.2 billion in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Expenditures of \$6.3 billion in 2002 for exploration and production activities represented 68 percent of total outlays in 2002, compared with 59 percent in 2001 and 66 percent in 2000. International exploration and production spending of \$4.4 billion was 70 percent of worldwide exploration and production activities. Expenditures in 2001 and 62 percent in 2000, reflecting the company s continuing focus on international exploration and production activities in 2002 included lower additional investments in equity affiliates than in 2001, reflecting the absence of the company s share of expenditures in its Equilon and Motiva investments, which were sold as a condition of the merger. The 2001 expenditures included additional investments in TCO and Dynegy, including the purchase of \$1.5 billion of Dynegy preferred stock.

The company estimates 2003 capital and exploratory expenditures will be \$8.5 billion, which is about 8 percent lower than expenditures in 2002. Included in this amount is about \$1.6 billion in affiliates expenditures. About \$6.4 billion, or 75 percent of the total, is budgeted for exploration and production activities, with \$4.7 billion of that outside the United States. Worldwide exploration and production expenditures will target the most promising exploratory prospects in Nigeria, Angola and deepwater Gulf of Mexico and major development projects in Kazakhstan, Venezuela and Africa. Worldwide downstream spending is estimated to be \$1.3 billion, with about \$1.1 billion of the amount on refining and marketing and \$200 million on transportation projects. Investments in chemicals are budgeted at \$300 million. Estimates for power and related businesses are \$300 million, down from about \$600 million in 2002. The remaining \$200 million is primarily for emerging technologies and information technology infrastructure.

Capital and Exploratory Expenditures

			2002			2001			2000
Millions of dollars	U.S.	Inter- national	Total	U.S.	Inter- national	Total	U.S.	Inter- national	Total
Exploration and Production Refining, Marketing and	\$1,888	\$4,395	\$6,283	\$2,420	\$4,709	\$ 7,129	\$2,354	\$3,897	\$6,251
Transportation	750	882	1,632	873	1,271	2,144	919	1,121	2,040
Chemicals	272	37	309	145	34	179	135	51	186
All Other	811	220	1,031	2,570	6	2,576	891	152	1,043
Total	\$3,721	\$5,534	\$9,255	\$6,008	\$6,020	\$12,028	\$4,299	\$5,221	\$9,520
Total, Excluding Equity in Affiliates	\$3,268	\$4,634	\$7,902	\$4,934	\$5,382	\$10,316	\$3,594	\$4,697	\$8,291

FINANCIAL RATIOS

Current Ratio current assets divided by current liabilities. Generally, two items adversely affect ChevronTexaco s current ratio, but in the company s opinion do not affect its liquidity. First, current assets in all years included inventories valued on a LIFO basis, which at year-end 2002 were lower than replacement costs, based on average acquisition costs during the year, by nearly \$1.6 billion. Second, the company benefits from lower interest rates available on short-term debt by continually refinancing its commercial paper; however, the company s proportionately large amount of short-term debt keeps its current ratio at relatively low levels.

Interest Coverage Ratio income before income tax expense, plus interest and debt expense and amortization of capitalized interest, divided by before-tax interest costs. ChevronTexaco s interest coverage ratio was lower in 2002, primarily due to lower before-tax income partially offset by lower interest expense as a result of lower interest rates.

Debt Ratio total debt divided by total debt plus equity. This ratio was 34 percent at December 31, 2002, compared to 33.9 percent a year earlier.

Financial Ratios

		At December 31		
	2002	2001	2000	
Current Ratio	0.9	0.9	1.1	
Interest Coverage Ratio	7.6	9.6	12.5	
Total Debt/Total Debt Plus Equity	34.0%	33.9%	32.3%	

GUARANTEES, OFF-BALANCE-SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS, AND OTHER CONTINGENCIES

Direct or Indirect Guarantees*

Millions of dollars

	Total	2003	2004 2006	2007	After 2007
Guarantees of non-consolidated affiliates or joint venture					
obligations	\$1,038	\$346	\$131	\$50	\$511
Guarantees of obligations of third parties	437	218	73	15	131
Guarantees of Equilon debt and leases	369	23	70	22	254

*The above amounts exclude indemnifications of contingencies associated with the sales of the company s interests in Equilon and Motiva.

At December 31, 2002, the company and its subsidiaries provided guarantees, either directly or indirectly, of \$1.038 billion for notes and other contractual obligations of affiliated companies and \$806 million for third parties, as discussed by major category below. There are no amounts being carried as liabilities for the company s obligations under these guarantees.

Of the guarantees issued in regard to affiliates operations, \$775 million relates to borrowings for capital projects or general corporate purposes. These guarantees were undertaken to achieve lower interest rates and generally cover the construction period of the capital projects. Approximately 50 percent of the amounts guaranteed will expire within the 2003 2006 period, with the remaining guarantees expiring by the end of 2015. Under the terms of the guarantees, the company would be required to perform should an affiliate be in default of its loan terms, generally for the full amounts disclosed. There are no provisions for recourse to third parties, and no assets are held as collateral for these guarantees.

The company provides guarantees of \$263 million relating to obligations in connection with pricing of power purchase agreements for certain of its cogeneration affiliates. Under the terms of these guarantees, the company may be required to make payments under certain conditions if the affiliates do not perform under the agreements. There are no provisions for recourse to third parties, and no assets are held as collateral for these pricing guarantees.

Guarantees of \$437 million have been issued in regard to obligations of third parties, including approximately \$100 million of construction loans to host governments in the company s international upstream operations. The remaining guarantees of \$337 million were provided principally as conditions of sale of the company s interest in certain operations, to provide a source of liquidity to the guaranteed parties and in connection with company marketing programs. No amounts of the company s obligations under these guarantees are recorded as liabilities. Approximately half of the total amounts guaranteed will expire in 2003, with the remainder expiring after 2007. The company would be required to perform under the terms of the guarantees should an entity be in default of its loan or contract terms, generally for the full amounts disclosed. Approximately \$200 million of the guarantees have recourse provisions, which enable the company to recover any payments made under the terms of the guarantees from securities held over the guaranteed parties assets.

Guarantees of \$369 million relate to Equilon debt and leases. In connection with the February 2002 disposition of its interest in Equilon, Shell Oil Company agreed to indemnify the company against any claims arising out of these guarantees. The company has not recorded a liability for these guarantees. Guarantees on approximately 30 percent of the debt and leases will expire within the 2003 2007 period, with the guarantees of the remaining amounts expiring by 2024.

Indemnities The company also provided certain indemnities of contingent liabilities of Equilon and Motiva to Shell Oil Com-

Table of Contents

pany and Saudi Refining Inc. in connection with the February 2002 sale of the company s interests in those investments. The indemnities cover contingent general liabilities, certain contingent environmental liabilities and liabilities associated with the Unocal patent litigation. The company would be required to perform should the contingent general liabilities become actual liabilities within 18 months of the sale and could be required to make maximum future payments of \$300 million. The company has not recorded liabilities for these contingencies. There are no recourse provisions enabling recovery of any amounts from third parties nor are any assets held as collateral. Within five years of the February 2002 sale, at the buyer s option, the company also may be required to purchase certain assets from Shell Oil Company for their net book value, as determined at the time of the company s purchase.

The indemnities pertaining to the contingent environmental liabilities relate to assets originally contributed by Texaco to the Equilon and Motiva joint ventures and environmental conditions that existed prior to the formation of Equilon and Motiva or that occurred during the periods of ChevronTexaco s ownership interests in the joint ventures. In general, the environmental conditions or events that are subject to these indemnities must have arisen prior to December 12, 2001. Claims relating to Equilon must be asserted no later than February 13, 2009, and claims relating to Motiva must be asserted no later than February 13, 2012. Under the terms of the indemnities, there is no maximum limit on the amount of potential future payments. The company has not recorded any liabilities for possible claims under these indemnities. The amounts indemnified are to be net of amounts recovered from insurance carriers and others and net of liabilities recorded by Equilon or Motiva prior to September 30, 2001, for any applicable incident. The company holds no assets as collateral. During 2002, the company made no payments under the above indemnities.

Securitization In other off-balance-sheet arrangements, the company securitizes certain retail and trade accounts receivable in its U.S. downstream business through the use of qualifying special purpose entities (SPEs). At December 31, 2002, approximately \$1 billion, representing about 11 percent of ChevronTexaco s total current accounts receivable balance, were securitized. ChevronTexaco s total estimated financial exposure under these arrangements at December 31, 2002, was approximately \$75 million. These arrangements have the effect of accelerating ChevronTexaco s collection of the securitized amounts. In the event of the SPEs experiencing major defaults in the collection of receivables, ChevronTexaco believes that it would have no loss exposure connected with third-party investments in these securitization arrangements.

Long-Term Unconditional Purchase Obligations and Commitments, Throughput Agreements and Take-or-Pay Agreements. The company and its subsidiaries have entered into long-term unconditional purchase obligations and commitments, throughput agreements, and take-or-pay agreements, some of which relate to suppliers financing arrangements. These agreements typically provide goods and services, such as pipeline and storage capacity, utilities, and petroleum products to be used or sold in the ordinary course of the company s business. The aggregate amounts of estimated payments that will be required over the life of the agreements is approximately \$9 billion. The most significant take-or-pay agreement calls for the company to purchase approximately 55,000 barrels per day of refined products from an equity affiliate refiner in Thailand. This purchase agreement is in conjunction with the financing of a refinery owned by the affiliate, which is due in 2009. The future estimated commitments under this contract are: 2003 \$800 million; 2004 \$800 million; 2005 \$900 million; 2006 \$900 million; 2007 \$900 million; 2008 and 2009 \$1.8 billion.

The following table summarizes the company s significant contractual obligations:

Contractual Obligations

Millions of dollars				Paym	ents Due by Perio
	Total	2003	2004 2006	2007	After 2007
On balance sheet:					
Short-term debt	\$ 3,786	\$3,786	\$	\$	\$
Redeemable long-term debt	787	787			
Current portion of long-term debt and					
capital leases	785	785			
Long-term debt ^{1,2}	10,666		1,592	2,192	6,882
Noncancelable capital lease obligations	245		83	21	141
Redemption of subsidiary s preferred					
shares	234	75	124		35
Off balance sheet:					
Noncancelable operating lease obligations	2,203	360	869	214	760
Unconditional purchase obligations	1,373	393	603	84	293

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: CHEVRONTEXACO CORP - Form 10-K							
Throughput and take-or-pay agreements	7,481	927	3,037	1,012	2,505		

¹ Includes \$4.110 billion of short-term debt that the company expects to continually refinance and will not have to repay until after 2007. ² Includes guarantees of \$385 million of LESOP debt, \$25 million due in 2004 and \$360 million due after 2007.

Minority Interests Preferred shares issued by subsidiary companies to third parties are accounted for as minority interest. MVP Production Inc., a subsidiary, has variable rate cumulative preferred shares of \$75 million owned by one minority holder. The shares are voting and are redeemable in 2003. Texaco Capital LLC, a wholly owned finance subsidiary, has issued \$65 million of deferred preferred shares. Dividends of \$59 million, equivalent to an interest rate of 7.17 percent compounded annually, will be paid at the redemption date of February 28, 2005, unless earlier redemption occurs.

FINANCIAL AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Commodity Derivative Instruments ChevronTexaco is exposed to market risks related to the volatility of crude oil, refined products, natural gas and refinery feedstock prices. The company uses derivative commodity instruments to manage its exposure to price volatility on a small portion of its activity, including firm commitments and anticipated transactions for the purchase or sale of crude oil, feedstock purchases for company refineries, crude oil and refined products inventories, and fixed-price contracts to sell natural gas and natural gas liquids.

ChevronTexaco also uses derivative commodity instruments for trading purposes, and the results of this activity were not



Table of Contents

material to the company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows in 2002.

The company s positions are monitored and managed on a daily basis by an internal risk control group to ensure compliance with the company s risk management policy that has been approved by the company s Board of Directors.

The derivative instruments used in the company s risk management and trading activities consist mainly of futures contracts traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange and the International Petroleum Exchange, crude oil and natural gas swap contracts, options, and other derivative products entered into principally with major financial institutions and other oil and gas companies. Virtually all derivatives beyond those designated as normal purchase and normal sale contracts are recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet with resulting gains and losses reflected in income. Fair values are derived principally from market quotes and other independent third-party quotes.

The aggregate effect on derivative activity of a hypothetical 15 percent change in prices for natural gas, crude oil and petroleum products would not be material to the company s financial position, net income or cash flows. The hypothetical loss on the related commodity contracts was estimated by calculating the cash value of the contracts as the difference between the hypothetical and contract delivery prices, multiplied by the contract amounts.

Foreign Currency The company enters into forward exchange contracts, generally with terms of 180 days or less, to manage some of its foreign currency exposures. These exposures include revenue and anticipated purchase transactions, including foreign currency capital expenditures and lease commitments forecasted to occur within 180 days. The forward exchange contracts are recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet with resulting gains and losses reflected in income.

The aggregate effect on foreign currency swaps of a hypothetical adverse change of 10 percent to year-end exchange rates (a weakening of the U.S. dollar) would not be material to the company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Interest Rates The company enters into interest rate swaps as part of its overall strategy to manage the interest rate risk on its debt. Under the terms of the swaps, net cash settlements are based on the difference between fixed-rate and floating-rate interest amounts calculated by reference to agreed notional principal amounts. Interest rate swaps hedging a portion of the company s fixed-rate debt are accounted for as fair value hedges, whereas interest rate swaps relating to a portion of the company s floating-rate debt are recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet with resulting gains and losses reflected in income. During 2002, no new swaps were initiated in connection with debt issues in the year. At year-end 2002, the weighted average maturity of interest rate swaps was approximately 5.6 years.

A hypothetical 10 percent increase in interest rates upon the interest rate swaps would cause the fair value of the receive fixed swaps to decline. The aggregate effect of these changes would not be material to the company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Dynegy Preferred Stock Investment The company s \$1.5 billion investment in mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock of Dynegy was carried at an estimated fair value of \$300 million at December 31, 2002. Because the investment is not publicly traded, an estimate of its fair value was required. This estimate was based on a comparison to the pricing of marketable Dynegy bonds and an added factor for an estimated liquidity discount. Other methodologies could have resulted in a higher or lower fair-value estimation. See also page FS-8 for further information about Dynegy.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

ChevronTexaco enters into a number of business arrangements with related parties, principally its equity affiliates. These arrangements include long-term supply or offtake agreements. In the United States, long-term agreements have been in place with Dynegy for the purchase of substantially all natural gas and natural gas liquids produced by the company in the United States, excluding Alaska, and the supply of natural gas and natural gas liquids feedstocks to the company s U.S. refineries and chemicals plants. In 2003, ChevronTexaco and Dynegy agreed to terminate the natural gas sale and purchase agreements at the end of January 2003. Internationally, there are long-term purchase agreements in place with the company s refining affiliate in Thailand. See page FS-11 for further discussion. Management believes the foregoing agreements and others have been negotiated on terms consistent with those that would have been negotiated with an unrelated party.

LITIGATION AND OTHER CONTINGENCIES

Unocal Patent Litigation Chevron, Texaco and four other oil companies (refiners) filed suit in 1995 contesting the validity of a patent (393 patent) granted to Unocal Corporation (Unocal) for certain reformulated gasoline blends. ChevronTexaco sells reformulated gasolines in California in certain months of the year. In March 2000, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld a September 1998 District Court decision that Unocal s patent was valid and enforceable and assessed damages of 5.75 cents per gallon for gasoline produced during the summer of 1996, which infringed on the claims of the patent. In February 2001, the U.S. Supreme Court concluded it would not review the

lower court s ruling, and the case was sent back to the District Court for an accounting of all infringing gasoline produced after August 1, 1996. The District Court has now ruled that the per-gallon damages awarded by the jury are limited to infringement that occurs in California only. Additionally, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) granted two petitions by the refiners to re-examine the validity of Unocal s 393 patent and has now twice rejected all of the claims in the 393 patent. The District Court judge requested further briefing and advised that she would not enter a final judgment in this case until the USPTO had completed its re-examination of the 393 patent. During 2002, the USPTO also rejected the validity of another Unocal patent, the 126 patent, which could affect a larger share of U.S. gasoline production. Separately, the FTC has issued an administrative complaint alleging that Unocal s conduct in this matter represented an unfair method of competition, which may make Unocal s patents unenforceable.

Unocal has obtained additional patents that could affect a larger share of U.S. gasoline production. ChevronTexaco believes these additional patents are invalid, unenforceable and/or not infringed. The company s financial exposure in the event of unfavorable conclusions to the patent litigation and regulatory reviews may include royalties, plus interest, for production of gasoline that is proved to have infringed the patents. The competitive and financial effects on the company s refining and marketing operations, while presently indeterminable, could be material. ChevronTexaco has been accruing in the normal course of business any future estimated liability for potential infringement of the 393 patent covered by the 1998 trial court s ruling. In 2000, prior to the merger, Chevron and Texaco made payments to

Table of Contents

Unocal totaling approximately \$30 million for the original court ruling, including interest and fees.

Environmental The company is subject to loss contingencies pursuant to environmental laws and regulations that in the future may require the company to take action to correct or ameliorate the effects on the environment of prior release of chemicals or petroleum substances, including MTBE, by the company or other parties. Such contingencies may exist for various sites including, but not limited to: Superfund sites and refineries, oil fields, service stations, terminals, and land development areas, whether operating, closed or sold. The following table displays the annual changes to the company s before-tax environmental remediation reserves, including those for Superfund sites. In 2002, the company recorded additional provisions for estimated remediation costs at refined products marketing sites and various closed or divested facilities in the United States.

Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000
Balance at January 1 Expense Provisions Expenditures	\$1,160 229 (299)	\$1,234 216 (290)	\$1,079 429 (274)
Balance at December 31	\$ 1,090	\$1,160	\$1,234

Under provisions of the Superfund law, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has designated ChevronTexaco a potentially responsible party, or has otherwise involved the company, in the remediation of 420 hazardous waste sites. The company made provisions or payments in 2002 and prior years for 293 of these sites. No single site is expected to result in a material liability for the company. For the remaining sites, investigations are not yet at a stage where the company is able to quantify a probable liability or determine a range of reasonably possible exposures. The Superfund law provides for joint and several liability for all responsible parties. Any future actions by the EPA and other regulatory agencies to require ChevronTexaco to assume other potentially responsible parties costs at designated hazardous waste sites are not expected to have a material effect on the company s consolidated financial position or liquidity. Remediation reserves at year-end 2002, 2001 and 2000 for Superfund sites were \$95 million, \$62 million and \$73 million, respectively.

Another issue involving the company is the petroleum industry s use of methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) as a gasoline additive and its potential environmental impact through seepage into groundwater. Along with other oil companies, the company is a party to lawsuits and claims related to the use of the chemical MTBE in certain oxygenated gasolines. These actions may require the company to correct or ameliorate the alleged effects on the environment of prior release of MTBE by the company or other parties. Additional lawsuits and claims related to the use of MTBE, including personal-injury claims, may be filed in the future. The company s ultimate exposure related to these lawsuits and claims is not currently determinable, but could be material to net income in any one period. ChevronTexaco has worked to reduce the use of MTBE in gasoline it manufactures in the United States. The state of California has directed that MTBE be phased out of the manufacturing process by the end of 2003, and the company intends to comply with this mandate. By May 2003, the company plans to market branded gasoline that uses ethanol as an oxygenate instead of MTBE in southern California and will complete the changeover in northern California later in the year.

It is likely that the company will continue to incur additional liabilities, beyond those recorded, for environmental remediation relating to past operations. These future costs are indeterminable due to such factors as the unknown magnitude of possible contamination, the unknown timing and extent of the corrective actions that may be required, the determination of the company s liability in proportion to other responsible parties, and the extent to which such costs are recoverable from third parties. While the amount of future costs may be material to the company s results of operations in the period in which they are recognized, the company does not expect these costs will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position or liquidity. Also, the company does not believe its obligations to make such expenditures have had, or will have, any significant impact on the company s competitive position relative to other petroleum or chemicals companies.

The company maintains additional reserves for dismantlement, abandonment and restoration of its worldwide oil and gas and coal properties at the end of their productive lives. Many of these costs are related to environmental issues. Expense provisions are recognized on a unit-of-production basis. The reserves balance at year-end 2002 was \$2.3 billion and is included in Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization in the company s Consolidated Balance Sheet. Please refer to pages FS-16 to FS-17 for information relating to the company s 2003 implementation of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Standard No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations.

For the company s other ongoing operating assets, such as refineries and chemicals facilities, no provisions are made for exit or cleanup costs that may be required when such assets reach the end of their useful lives, unless a decision to sell or otherwise abandon the facility has been made.

See Environmental Matters on page FS-14 for additional information related to environmental matters.

Income Taxes The company estimates its income tax expense and liabilities annually. These liabilities generally are not finalized with the individual taxing authorities until several years after the end of the annual period for which income taxes have been estimated. The U.S. federal income tax liabilities have been settled through 1996 for ChevronTexaco (formerly Chevron), through 1993 for ChevronTexaco Global Energy Inc. (formerly Caltex), and through 1991 for Texaco. California franchise tax liabilities have been settled through 1991 for Chevron and through 1987 for Texaco. Settlement of open tax years, as well as tax issues in other countries where the company conducts its businesses, is not expected to have a material effect on the consolidated financial position or liquidity of the company, and in the opinion of management, adequate provision has been made for income and franchise taxes for all years under examination or subject to future examination.

Equity Redetermination For oil and gas producing operations, ownership agreements may provide for periodic reassessments of equity interests in estimated oil and gas reserves. These activities, individually or together, may result in gains or losses that could be material to earnings in any given period. One such equity redetermination process has been under way since 1996 for ChevronTexaco s interests in four producing zones at the Naval Petroleum Reserve at Elk Hills, California, for the time when the remaining interests in these zones were owned by the U.S. Department of Energy. A wide range of estimates exist for a possible net settlement amount for the four zones. ChevronTexaco currently estimates its maximum possible net before-tax liability at approximately \$200 million. At the same time, a possible maximum net amount that could be owed to ChevronTexaco is

Table of Contents

estimated at about \$50 million. The timing of the settlement and the exact amount within this range of estimates are uncertain.

Global Operations Areas in which the company and its affiliates have major operations include the United States of America, Canada, Australia, the United Kingdom, Norway, Denmark, France, Partitioned Neutral Zone between Kuwait and Saudi Arabia, Republic of Congo, Democratic Republic of Congo, Angola, Nigeria, Chad, Equatorial Guinea, Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, China, Thailand, Venezuela, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Trinidad and Tobago, South Korea, the Philippines, Singapore, and South Africa. The company s TCO affiliate operates in Kazakhstan. The company s CPChem affiliate manufactures and markets a wide range of petrochemicals and plastics on a worldwide basis, with manufacturing facilities in existence or under construction in the United States, Puerto Rico, Singapore, China, South Korea, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Mexico and Belgium. The company s Dynegy affiliate has operations in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom and other European countries.

The company s operations, particularly exploration and production, can be affected by other changing economic, regulatory and political environments in the various countries in which it operates, including the United States. For instance, in December 2002, Caltex Oil (SA)(Pty) Limited (Caltex Oil (SA)) announced the signing of a shareholders agreement with a South African consortium of Black Economic Empowerment partners. The agreement is intended to ultimately provide the consortium a 25 percent equity interest in all aspects of Caltex s operations in South Africa. It is uncertain as to whether any additional actions will be taken by host governments in other countries to increase public ownership of the company s partially or wholly owned businesses.

In certain locations, host governments have imposed restrictions, controls and taxes, and in others, political conditions have existed that may threaten the safety of employees and the company s continued presence in those countries. Internal unrest or strained relations between a host government and the company or other governments may affect the company s operations. Those developments have, at times, significantly affected the company s related operations and results and are carefully considered by management when evaluating the level of current and future activity in such countries.

Suspended Wells The company also suspends the costs of exploratory wells pending a final determination of the commercial potential of the related oil and gas fields. The ultimate disposition of these well costs is dependent on the results of future drilling activity and/or development decisions. If the company decides not to continue development, the costs of these wells are expensed. At December 31, 2002, the company had \$450 million of suspended exploratory wells included in properties, plant and equipment, a decrease of \$238 million from 2001 and \$332 million from 2000.

Other Contingencies ChevronTexaco receives claims from and submits claims to customers, trading partners, U.S. federal, state and local regulatory bodies, host governments, contractors, insurers, and suppliers. The amounts of these claims, individually and in the aggregate, may be significant and may take lengthy periods to resolve.

The company and its affiliates also continue to review and analyze their operations and may close, abandon, sell, exchange, acquire or restructure assets to achieve operational or strategic benefits and to improve competitiveness and profitability. These activities, individually or together, may result in gains or losses in future periods.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Virtually all aspects of the businesses in which the company engages are subject to various federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. These regulatory requirements continue to increase in both number and complexity over time and govern not only the manner in which the company conducts its operations, but also the products it sells. Most of the costs of complying with laws and regulations pertaining to company operations and products are embedded in the normal costs of doing business.

Accidental leaks and spills requiring cleanup may occur in the ordinary course of business. In addition to the costs for environmental protection associated with its ongoing operations and products, the company may incur expenses for corrective actions at various owned and previously owned facilities and at third-party-owned waste-disposal sites used by the company. An obligation may arise when operations are closed or sold or at non-ChevronTexaco sites where company products have been handled or disposed of. Most of the expenditures to fulfill these obligations relate to facilities and sites where past operations followed practices and procedures that were considered acceptable at the time but now require investigative and/or remedial work to meet current standards. Using definitions and guidelines established by the American Petroleum Institute, ChevronTexaco estimated its worldwide environmental spending in 2002 at \$1.324 billion for its consolidated companies. Included in these expenditures were \$399 million of environmental capital expenditures and \$925 million of costs associated with the control and abatement of hazardous substances and pollutants from ongoing operations.

For 2003, total worldwide environmental capital expenditures are estimated at \$458 million. These capital costs are in addition to the ongoing costs of complying with environmental regulations and the costs to remediate previously contaminated sites.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In May 2002, the SEC issued a proposed rule: Disclosure in Management s Discussion and Analysis about the Application of Critical Accounting Policies. Although the SEC had not issued a final rule by mid-March 2003, the following discussion has been prepared on the basis of the guidelines in the SEC rule proposal.

If adopted as proposed, the rule would require disclosures connected with estimates a company makes in applying its accounting policies. However, such discussion would be limited to critical accounting estimates, or those that management believes meet two criteria in the proposal: First, the accounting estimate must require a company to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate is made. Second, different estimates that the company reasonably could have used for the accounting estimate in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, must have a material impact on the presentation of the company s financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations.

Beside estimates that meet the critical estimate criteria, the company makes many other accounting estimates in preparing its financial statements and related disclosures. All estimates, whether or not deemed critical, affect reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses as well as disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Estimates are based on experience and other information available prior to the issuance of the financial statements. Materially different results can occur as circumstances

Table of Contents

change and additional information becomes known, including for estimates not deemed critical under the SEC rule proposal.

For example, the recording of deferred tax assets requires an assessment under the accounting rules that the future realization of the associated tax benefits be more likely than not. Another example is the estimation of oil and gas reserves under SEC rules that require ... geological and engineering data (that) demonstrate with reasonable certainty (reserves) to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, i.e., prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made. Refer to Table V Reserve Quantity Information on pages FS-51 and FS-52 for the changes in these estimates for the three years ending December 31, 2002, and to Table VII Changes in the Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows From Proved Reserves on page FS-54 for estimates of proved-reserve values for each year-end 2000 2002, which were based on year-end prices at the time.

Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements includes a description of the successful efforts method of accounting for oil and gas exploration and production activities. The estimates of crude oil and natural gas reserves are important to the timing of expense recognition for costs incurred.

The upcoming discussion of the critical accounting policy for Impairment of Property, Plant and Equipment and Investments in Affiliates includes reference to conditions under which downward revisions of proved reserve quantities could result in impairments of oil and gas properties.

The commentary should be read in conjunction with disclosures elsewhere in this discussion and in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements related to estimates, uncertainties, contingencies and new accounting standards. Significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page FS-25. The development and selection of accounting estimates, including those deemed critical, and the associated disclosures in this discussion have been discussed by management with the audit committee of the Board of Directors.

The areas of accounting and the associated critical estimates made by the company are as follows:

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans The determination of pension plan expense and the requirements for funding the company s major pension plans are based on a number of actuarial assumptions. Two critical assumptions are the rate of return on pension plan assets and the discount rate applied to pension plan obligations. For other postretirement employee benefit (OPEB) plans, which provide for certain health care and life insurance for qualifying retired employees and which are not funded, critical assumptions in determining OPEB expense are the discount rate applied to benefit obligations and the assumed health care cost-trend rates used in the calculation of benefit obligations.

Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page FS-39 includes information for the three years ending December 31, 2002, on the components of pension and OPEB expense and the underlying discount rate assumptions as well as the funded status for the company s pension plans at the end of 2002 and 2001.

To determine the estimate of long-term rate of return on pension assets, the company employs a process that incorporates actual historical asset-class returns and an assessment of expected future performance, which takes into consideration external actuarial advice. For example, at December 31, 2002, the estimated long-term rate of return on U.S. pension plan assets, which accounted for the majority of the company s pension plan assets, was 7.8 percent, as compared with rates of 8.8 percent and 10.0 percent at the end of 2001 and 2000, respectively. The year-end market-related value of the U.S. pension plan assets used in the determination of pension expense was based on the market values in the preceding three months, as opposed to the maximum allowable period of five years under the pension accounting rules. Management considers the three-month time period long enough to minimize the effects of distortions from day-to-day market volatility and yet still be contemporaneous to the end of the year.

The discount rate used in the determination of pension benefit obligations and pension expense is based on high-quality fixed income investment interest rates. At December 31, 2002, the company calculated the U.S. pension obligations using a 6.8 percent discount rate. The discount rates used at the ends of 2001 and 2000 were 7.3 percent and 7.5 percent, respectively.

An increase in the expected return on pension plan assets or the discount rate would reduce pension plan expense and vice versa. Total pension expense for 2002 was \$457 million. As an indication of interest-rate sensitivity to the determination of pension expense, a 1 percent increase in the expected return on assets of the company s main U.S. pension plan, which accounted for a significant majority of the companywide pension obligation, would have reduced total pension plan expense for 2002 by approximately \$30 million. A 1 percent increase in the discount rate for this same plan would have reduced total benefit plan expense by approximately \$130 million. The actual rates of return on plan assets and discount rates may vary significantly from estimates because of unanticipated changes in the world s financial markets.

Based on the expected changes in pension plan asset values and pension obligations in 2003, the company does not believe any significant funding of the pension plans will be required during the year. For the U.S. plans, this determination was made in accordance with the minimum funding standard of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA).

Pension expense is included on the Consolidated Statement of Income in Operating expenses or Selling, general and administrative expenses and applies to all business segments. Depending upon the funding status of the different plans, either a long-term prepaid asset or long-term liability is recorded for plans with overfunding or underfunding, respectively. Any unfunded accumulated benefit obligation in excess of recorded liabilities is recorded in other comprehensive income. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page FS-40 for the pension-related balance sheet effects at the end of 2002 and 2001.

For the company s OPEB plans, expense for 2002 was \$199 million and was also recorded as Operating expenses or Selling, general and administrative expenses in all business segments. The discount rate applied to the company s OPEB obligations at December 31, 2002, was 6.75 percent the same discount rate used for U.S. pension obligations. The assumed health care cost-trend rates used to calculate OPEB obligations at December 31, 2002, start with a 12 percent cost increase over the previous year in 2002, gradually dropping over five years to a long-term ultimate rate-increase assumption of 4.5 percent for 2007 and thereafter. The 12 percent rate assumption for 2002 was provided by external consultants. The 4.5 percent rate-increase assumption and duration to reach that rate is a company estimate.

As an indication of discount-rate sensitivity to the determination of OPEB expense in 2002, the impact of a 1 percent increase in the discount rate for the company s main U.S. OPEB plan, which accounted for the significant majority of the companywide OPEB obligation, would not have been significant. The sensitivity of OPEB expense to discount rate changes may vary in

Table of Contents

the future depending on the combination of factors that enter into the determination of OPEB expense in any one period.

Impairment of Property, Plant and Equipment and Investments in Affiliates The company assesses its property, plant and equipment (PP&E) for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. Such indicators include changes in the company s business plans, changes in commodity prices and for oil and gas properties significant downward revisions of estimated proved reserve quantities. If the carrying value of an asset exceeds the future undiscounted cash flows expected from the asset, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess of carrying value of the asset over its fair value.

Determination as to whether and how much an asset is impaired involves management estimates on highly uncertain matters such as future commodity prices, the effects of inflation and technology improvements on operating expenses, production profiles and the outlook for global or regional market supply-and-demand conditions for crude oil, natural gas, commodity chemicals and refined products. However, the impairment reviews and calculations are based on assumptions that are consistent with the company s business plans and long-term investment decisions.

The amount and income statement classification of major impairments of PP&E for the three years ending December 31, 2002, are included in the commentary on the business segments elsewhere in this discussion, as well as in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page FS-28. An estimate as to the sensitivity to earnings for these periods if other assumptions had been used in the impairment reviews and impairment calculations is not practicable, given the broad range of the company s PP&E and the number of assumptions involved in the estimates. That is, favorable changes to some assumptions might have avoided the need to impair any assets in these periods, whereas unfavorable changes might have caused an additional unknown number of other assets to become impaired.

Investments in common stock of affiliates that are accounted for under the equity method, as well as investments in other securities of these equity investees, are reviewed for impairment when the fair value of the investment falls below the company s carrying value. When such a decline is deemed to be other than temporary, an impairment charge is recorded for the difference between the investment s carrying value and its estimated fair value at the time. In making the determination as to whether a decline is other than temporary, the company considers such factors as the duration and extent of the decline the investee s financial performance and the company s ability and intention to retain its investment for a period that will be sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in the investment s market value.

In 2002, the company recorded impairments of its investments in Dynegy and Caltex Australia. The impairment of Dynegy is discussed in MD&A in the explanation of 2002 results for All Other on page FS-7, in Information Related to Investment in Dynegy Inc. on page FS-8, and in Notes 4 and 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The impairment of Caltex Australia is discussed in the explanation of 2002 results for international downstream on page FS-6 and is included in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The impairment charges taken in 2002 for Dynegy and Caltex Australia common stock investments were based on fair values determined from publicly quoted prices. The impairment charges recorded for the company s investment in Dynegy preferred stock was based upon the company s own estimate of the instrument s fair value at the time, since ChevronTexaco was the sole preferred stock shareholder and the instrument was not publicly traded. In making its estimate, reference was made to, among other things, the pricing of marketable Dynegy bonds and an added factor for an estimated liquidity discount. See also page FS-12 for further information relating to the company s investment in Dynegy preferred stock.

Different effects on company earnings would have resulted from making different assumptions related to the investments in Dynegy and Caltex Australia. While the qualitative factors considered in making the assessments as to whether declines in fair value were other than temporary were not subject to sensitivity analysis, different assumptions might have resulted in no impairments being recorded, a greater or lesser amount of impairment charge, and/or a difference in the timing of any impairment charges.

Contingent Losses Management also makes judgments and estimates in recording liabilities for claims, litigation, tax matters and environmental remediation. Actual costs can frequently vary from estimates for a variety of reasons. For example, the costs from settlement of claims and litigation can vary from estimates based on differing interpretations of laws, opinions on culpability and assessments on the amount of damages. Similarly, liabilities for environmental remediation are subject to change because of changes in laws, regulations and their interpretation; the determination of additional information on the extent and nature of site contamination; and improvements in technology.

Under the accounting rules, a liability is recorded for these types of contingencies if management determines the loss to be both probable and estimable. The company generally records these losses as Operating Expenses or Selling, general and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Income. Refer to the business segment discussions elsewhere in this discussion and in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page FS-28 for the effect on earnings from losses associated with certain litigation and environmental remediation and tax matters for the three years ended December 31, 2002.

An estimate as to the sensitivity to earnings for these periods if other assumptions had been used in recording these liabilities is not practical because of the number of contingencies that must be assessed, the number of underlying assumptions and the wide range of reasonably possible

Table of Contents

outcomes, both in terms of the probability of loss and the estimates of such loss.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (FAS 143). This new standard was adopted effective January 1, 2003, and applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. Adoption of FAS 143 primarily affects the company s accounting for oil and gas producing assets. FAS 143 differs in several significant respects from current accounting and reporting of the assets, liabilities and expenses related to these obligations. In the first quarter 2003, the company will report an after-tax loss of \$200 million to \$250 million for the cumulative effect of this change in accounting principle, including the company s share of the effect of adoption by its equity affiliates. The effect of adoption also included an increase of total assets and total liabilities of \$2.6 billion and \$2.8 billion, respectively.

Table of Contents

Other than the cumulative-effect change, the effect of the new accounting standard on 2003 net income is not expected to be materially different from what the result would have been under FAS 19 accounting. Upon adoption, legal obligations, if any, to retire downstream and chemical, long-lived assets generally were not recognized because of indeterminate settlement dates for the asset retirement. Therefore, insufficient information exists to estimate the potential settlement dates and to apply the net-present-value techniques to estimate the fair value of the retirement obligation.

In July 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated With Exit or Disposal Activities (FAS 146). The standard requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. Examples of costs covered by the standard include lease termination costs and certain employee severance costs that are associated with a restructuring, discontinued operations, a plant closing, or other exit or disposal activity. The statement replaces EITF (Emerging Issues Task Force of the FASB) Issue No. 94-3, Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (Including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring). FAS 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN 45). The disclosure provisions of FIN 45 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, and are included in Note 21, Other Contingencies and Commitments, whereas the recognition and measurement requirements are to be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. As these requirements relate to future events, the effect cannot be determined.

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure (FAS 148), which amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. FAS 148 permits two additional transition methods for entities that adopt the fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and amends the disclosure requirements in both annual and interim financial statements. ChevronTexaco will continue to apply Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for stock options. The amended disclosure requirements of FAS 148 have been incorporated into Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46). FIN 46 amended ARB 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, and established standards for determining under what circumstances a variable interest entity (VIE) should be consolidated with its primary beneficiary. FIN 46 also requires disclosures about VIEs that the company is not required to consolidate but in which it has a significant variable interest. The consolidation requirements of FIN 46 apply immediately to VIEs created after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements apply to older entities in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. Certain of the disclosure requirements apply in all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003, regardless of when the VIE was established. The company does not expect that adoption of FIN 46 will have a significant impact on its results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF CHEVRONTEXACO CORPORATION:

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors who have ceased operations, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) on page 29 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ChevronTexaco Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, based on our audits and the report of other auditors who have ceased operations, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) on page 29 presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the company s management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. The consolidated financial statements give retroactive effect to the merger of Texaco Inc. on October 9, 2001, in a transaction accounted for as a pooling of interests, as described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. We did not audit the financial statements or financial statement schedule of Texaco Inc., which statements reflect total revenues of \$51,130 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. Those statements and schedule were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations and whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion expressed herein, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for Texaco Inc., is based solely on the report of the other auditors. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the report of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/S/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP San Francisco, California March 7, 2003

THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS A COPY OF A REPORT PREVIOUSLY ISSUED BY ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP AND HAS NOT BEEN REISSUED BY ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

TO SHAREHOLDERS, TEXACO INC.:

We have audited the consolidated balance sheet of Texaco Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiary companies as of December 31, 2000, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders equity, comprehensive income and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2000. These financial statements (not presented separately herein) are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above (not presented separately herein) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Texaco Inc. and subsidiary companies as of December 31, 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2000 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule listed in Item 14 on Texaco Inc. s 2000 Form 10-K (not presented separately herein) is the responsibility of the Company s management and is presented for purposes of complying with the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and is not part of the basic financial statements. This schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, fairly states in all material respects the financial data required to be set forth therein in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP New York, New York February 22, 2001

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

		Year ended December 31			
Millions of dollars, except per-share amounts	2002	2001	2000		
REVENUES AND OTHER INCOME					
Sales and other operating revenues*	\$98,691	\$104,409	\$117,095		
Income from equity affiliates	111	1,144	1,077		
Other income	247	692	958		
TOTAL REVENUES AND OTHER INCOME	99,049	106,245	119,130		
COSTS AND OTHER DEDUCTIONS					
Purchased crude oil and products	57,249	60,549	69,814		
Operating expenses	7,848	7,650	8,323		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4,155	3,984	3,626		
Exploration expenses	591	1,039	949		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	5,231	7,059	5,321		
Write-down of investments in equity affiliates	1,932				
Merger-related expenses	576	1,563			
Interest and debt expense	565	833	1.110		
Taxes other than on income*	16,689	15,156	15,827		
Minority interests	57	121	111		
TOTAL COSTS AND OTHER DEDUCTIONS	94,893	97,954	105,081		
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE	4,156	8,291	14,049		
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	3,024	4,360	6,322		
NET INCOME BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY ITEM	\$ 1,132	\$ 3,931	\$ 7,727		
Extraordinary loss, net of income tax	<i>• 1,102</i>	(643)	ф <i>(,,,=</i>)		
NET INCOME	\$ 1,132	\$ 3,288	\$ 7,727		
PER-SHARE AMOUNTS					
NET INCOME BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY					
ITEM BASIC	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.71	\$ 7.23		
DILUTED	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.70	\$ 7.21		
NET INCOME BASIC	\$ 1.07 \$ 1.07	\$ 3.10	\$ 7.23		
DILUTED	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.09	\$ 7.23		
	ψ 1.07	φ 3.07	ψ 7.21		
*Includes consumer excise taxes:	\$ 7,006	\$ 6,546	\$ 6,601		

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF CHEVRONTEXACO CORPORATION:

Management of ChevronTexaco is responsible for preparing the accompanying financial statements and for ensuring their integrity and objectivity. The statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and fairly represent the transactions and financial position of the company. The financial statements include amounts that are based on management s best

estimates and judgments.

The company s statements have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent accountants, selected by the Audit Committee and approved by the stockholders. Management has made available to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP all the company s financial records and related data, as well as the minutes of stockholders and directors meetings.

Management of the company has established and maintains a system of internal accounting controls that is designed to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded, transactions are properly recorded and executed in accordance with management s authorization, and the books and records accurately reflect the disposition of assets. The system of internal controls includes appropriate division of responsibility. The company maintains an internal audit department that conducts an extensive program of internal audits and independently assesses the effectiveness of the internal controls.

The Audit Committee is composed of directors who are not officers or employees of the company. It meets regularly with members of management, the internal auditors and the independent accountants to discuss the adequacy of the company s internal controls, its financial statements, and the nature, extent and results of the audit effort. Both the internal auditors and the independent accountants have free and direct access to the Audit Committee without the presence of management.

/s/ David J. O Reilly

DAVID J. O REILLY

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

March 7, 2003

/s/ John S. Watson

JOHN S. WATSON

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer /s/ Stephen J. Crowe

STEPHEN J. CROWE

Vice President and Comptroller

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Year	Year ended December 31			
Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000		
NET INCOME	\$ 1,132	\$3,288	\$7,727		
Unrealized holding gain on securities					
Net (loss) gain arising during period					
Before income taxes	(149)	3	87		
Income taxes	52		(30)		
Reclassification to net income of net realized loss (gain)					
Before income taxes	217		(154)		
Income taxes	(76)		54		
Total	44	3	(43)		
Net derivatives gain on hedge transactions					
Before income taxes	52	3			
Income taxes	(18)				
Total	34	3			
Minimum pension liability adjustment					
Before income taxes	(1,208)	14	(28)		
Income taxes	423	(5)	9		
Total	(785)	9	(19)		
Currency translation adjustment					
Unrealized net change arising during period	15	(11)	(14)		
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) GAIN, NET OF TAX	(692)	4	(76)		
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 440	\$3,292	\$7,651		

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

	At December	
lions of dollars, except per-share amounts	2002	2001
SETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,957	\$ 2,11
Marketable securities	824	1,03
Accounts and notes receivable (less allowance: 2002 \$181; 2001 \$152)	9,385	8,27
Inventories:		
Crude oil and petroleum products	2,019	2,20
Chemicals	193	20
Materials, supplies and other	551	53
	2,763	2,94
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,847	1,76
Assets held for sale merger related		2,18
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	17,776	18,32
Long-term receivables, net	1,338	1,22
Investments and advances	11,097	12,25
Properties, plant and equipment, at cost	105,231	99,86
Less: Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	61,076	56,97
	44,155	42,88
Deferred charges and other assets	2,993	2,88
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 77,359	\$77,57
ABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Short-term debt	\$ 5,358	\$ 8,42
Accounts payable	8,455	6,42
Accrued liabilities	3,364	3,39
Federal and other taxes on income	1,626	1,39
Other taxes payable	1,073	1,00
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	19,876	20,65
Long-term debt	10,666	8,70
Capital lease obligations	245	28
Deferred credits and other noncurrent obligations	4,474	4,39
Noncurrent deferred income taxes	5,619	6,13
Reserves for employee benefit plans	4,572	3,16
Minority interests	303	28
	45,755	43,61
TOTAL LIABILITIES		
Preferred stock (authorized 100,000,000 shares, \$1.00 par value, none issued)	853	84
Preferred stock (authorized 100,000,000 shares, \$1.00 par value, none issued) Common stock (authorized 4,000,000,000 shares, \$0.75 par value; 1,137,021,057 shares issued)	853 4.833	85 4.81
Preferred stock (authorized 100,000,000 shares, \$1.00 par value, none issued) Common stock (authorized 4,000,000,000 shares, \$0.75 par value; 1,137,021,057 shares issued) Capital in excess of par value	4,833	4,8
Preferred stock (authorized 100,000,000 shares, \$1.00 par value, none issued) Common stock (authorized 4,000,000,000 shares, \$0.75 par value; 1,137,021,057 shares issued) Capital in excess of par value Retained earnings	4,833 30,942	4,81 32,70
Preferred stock (authorized 100,000,000 shares, \$1.00 par value, none issued) Common stock (authorized 4,000,000,000 shares, \$0.75 par value; 1,137,021,057 shares issued) Capital in excess of par value	4,833	85 4,81 32,76 (30 (75

TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	31,604	33,958
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 77,359	\$77,572

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year ended December 31			
Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$ 1,132	\$ 3,288	\$ 7,727	
Adjustments	. ,			
Write-down of investments in equity affiliates, before tax	1,932			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	5,231	7.059	5,321	
Dry hole expense	288	646	462	
Distributions more than (less than) income from equity affiliates	374	(489)	(26)	
Net before-tax gains on asset retirements and sales	(33)	(116)	(371)	
Net foreign currency loss (gain)	5	(110)	(130)	
Deferred income tax (credits) charges	(81)	(768)	521	
Extraordinary before-tax loss on merger-related asset dispositions	(01)	787	521	
	1 1 2 5		01	
Net decrease in operating working capital	1,125	643	91	
Minority interest in net income	57	121	111	
Other, net	(89)	408	(239)	
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	9,941	11,457	13,467	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Capital expenditures	(7,597)	(9,713)	(7,629)	
Proceeds from asset sales	2,341	298	1,229	
Net sales (purchases) of marketable securities	209	(183)	80	
Net sales (purchases) of other short-term investments	207	56	(84)	
Distribution from Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC		50	835	
Other, net			(73)	
NET CASH USED FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(5,047)	(9,542)	\$ (5,642)	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net (repayments) borrowings of short-term obligations	(1,810)	3,830	(3,254)	
Proceeds from issuances of long-term debt	2,045	412	1,293	
Repayments of long-term debt and other financing obligations				
Redemption of Market Auction Preferred Shares	(1,356)	(2,856)	(1,241)	
		(300)		
Redemption of subsidiary preferred stock		(463)		
Issuance of preferred stock by subsidiaries		12		
Dividends paid	(* 0 < *)	(2 = 2 2 2)	(a. < < i)	
Common stock	(2,965)	(2,733)	(2,664)	
Preferred stock		(6)	(15)	
Dividends paid to minority interests	(26)	(119)	(110)	
Net sales (purchases) of treasury shares	43	128	(1,498)	
NET CASH USED FOR FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(4,069)	(2,095)	(7,489)	
EFFECT OF FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH				
AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	15	(31)	(5)	
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	840	(211)	331	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	2,117	2,328	1,997	

Edgar Filing: CHEVRONTEXACO CORP - Form 10-K						
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT YEAR END	\$ 2,957	\$ 2,117	\$ 2,328			
See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.						

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	200	2	200	1	200	00
Shares in thousands; amounts in millions of dollars	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
PREFERRED STOCK		\$		\$		\$
MARKET AUCTION						
PREFERRED SHARES			1	\$ 300	1	\$ 300
Balance at January 1 Redemptions			(1)	\$ 300 (300)	I	\$ 500
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31		\$		\$	1	\$ 300
COMMON STOCK						
Balance at January 1	1,137,021	\$ 853	1,149,521	\$ 862	1,149,521	\$ 1,724
Retirement of Texaco treasury			(10 500)			
stock Change in par value			(12,500)	(9)		(862)
Change in par value						(802)
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31	1,137,021	\$ 853	1,137,021	\$ 853	1,149,521	\$ 862
CAPITAL IN EXCESS OF PAR						
Balance at January 1		\$ 4,811		\$ 5,505		\$ 4,621
Retirement of Texaco treasury						
stock				(739)		
Change in common stock par value		22		4.5		862
Treasury stock transactions		22		45		22
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31		\$ 4,833		\$ 4,811		\$ 5,505
RETAINED EARNINGS						
Balance at January 1		\$32,767		\$32,206		\$27,148
Net income		1,132		3,288		7,727
Cash dividends						
Common stock		(2,965)		(2,733)		(2,664)
Preferred stock						
Market Auction Preferred Shares				(6)		(17)
Tax benefit from dividends paid on				(0)		(17)
unallocated ESOP shares and other		8		12		12
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31		\$30,942		\$32,767		\$32,206

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY Continued

	2	2002		20	001		2	000	
Shares in thousands; amounts in millions of dollars	Shares	А	mount	Shares	Ĺ	Amount	Shares	1	Amount
ACCUMULATED OTHER									
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS Currency translation adjustment									
Balance at January 1		\$	(223)		\$	(212)		\$	(198)
Change during year		Ψ	15		Ψ	(11)		Ψ	(1)(14)
Balance at December 31		\$	(208)		\$	(223)		\$	(212)
Minimum pension liability adjustment		A	(01)		<i>•</i>	(100)		<i></i>	(01)
Balance at January 1		\$	(91) (795)		\$	()		\$	(81)
Change during year			(785)			9			(19)
Balance at December 31		\$	(876)		\$	(91)		\$	(100)
Unrealized net holding gain on securities		\$	5		\$	2		\$	45
Balance at January 1 Change during year		Φ	44		¢	2		¢	(43)
change during year						5			(45)
Balance at December 31		\$	49		\$	5		\$	2
Net derivatives gain on hedge transactions									
Balance at January 1		\$	3		\$			\$	
Change during year			34			3			
Balance at December 31		\$	37		\$	3		\$	
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31		\$	(998)		\$	(306)		\$	(310)
DEFERRED COMPENSATION AND									
BENEFIT PLAN TRUST									
DEFERRED COMPENSATION									
Balance at January 1		\$	(512)		\$	(681)		\$	(712)
Net reduction of ESOP debt and other			100			106			35
Restricted stock									
Awards						(35)			(30)
Amortization and other						12			26
Vesting upon merger						86			
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31			(412)			(512)			(681)
BENEFIT PLAN TRUST (COMMON						(2.15)			
STOCK)	7,084		(240)	7,084		(240)	7,084		(240)
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31	7,084	\$	(652)	7,084	\$	(752)	7,084	\$	(921)
DALANCE AT DECEMBER 31									
TREASURY STOCK AT COST									
	69,800	\$ (3,415)	84,835	\$	(4,273)	67,282	\$	(2,816)
TREASURY STOCK AT COST Balance at January 1 Purchases	69,800 38	\$ (3,415) (3)	141	\$	(4,273) (9)	67,282 19,517		(2,816) (1,580)
TREASURY STOCK AT COST Balance at January 1 Purchases Retirement of Texaco treasury stock	38	\$ ((3)	141 (12,500)	\$	(9) 748	19,517		(1,580)
TREASURY STOCK AT COST Balance at January 1 Purchases		\$ (141	\$	(9)			

TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AT DECEMBER 31	\$31,604	\$33,958	\$33,369

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Millions of dollars, except per-share amounts

NOTE 1.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation Merger of Chevron and Texaco On October 9, 2001, Texaco Inc. (Texaco) became a wholly owned subsidiary of Chevron Corporation (Chevron) pursuant to a merger transaction, and Chevron changed its name to ChevronTexaco Corporation (ChevronTexaco). The combination was accounted for as a pooling of interests.

These Consolidated Financial Statements give retroactive effect to the merger, with all periods presented as if Chevron and Texaco had always been combined. Certain reclassifications have been made to conform the separate presentations of Chevron and Texaco. The reclassifications had no impact on the amount of net income or stockholders equity.

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of all majority-owned, controlled subsidiaries after the elimination of significant intercompany accounts and transactions. Included in the consolidation are the accounts of the Caltex Group of Companies (Caltex), a joint venture owned 50 percent each by Chevron and Texaco prior to the merger and accounted for under the equity method by both companies.

General ChevronTexaco manages its investments in and provides administrative, financial and management support to U.S. and foreign subsidiaries and affiliates that engage in fully integrated petroleum operations, chemicals operations and coal mining activities. In addition, ChevronTexaco holds investments in power generation and gasification businesses. Collectively, these companies operate in approximately 180 countries. Petroleum operations consist of exploring for, developing and producing crude oil and natural gas; refining crude oil into finished petroleum products; marketing crude oil, natural gas and the many products derived from petroleum; and transporting crude oil, natural gas and petroleum products by pipelines, marine vessels, motor equipment and rail car. Chemicals operations include the manufacture and marketing of commodity petrochemicals, plastics for industrial uses, and fuel and lube oil additives.

In preparing its Consolidated Financial Statements, the company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in the financial statements as well as amounts included in the notes thereto, including discussion and disclosure of contingent liabilities. While the company uses its best estimates and judgments, actual results could differ from these estimates as future confirming events occur.

The nature of the company s operations and the many countries in which it operates subject it to changing economic, regulatory and political conditions. The company does not believe it is vulnerable to the risk of near-term severe impact as a result of any concentration of its activities.

Subsidiary and Affiliated Companies The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of controlled subsidiary companies more than 50 percent owned. Investments in and advances to affiliates in which the company has a substantial ownership interest of approximately 20 percent to 50 percent, or for which the company exercises significant influence but not control over policy decisions, are accounted for by the equity method. As part of that accounting, the company recognizes gains and losses that arise from the issuance of stock by an affiliate that results in changes in the company s proportionate share of the dollar amount of the affiliate s equity currently in income. Deferred income taxes are provided for these gains and losses.

Investments are assessed for possible impairment when there are indications that the fair value of the investment may be below the company s carrying value. When such a condition is deemed to be other than temporary, the carrying value of the investment is written down to its fair value, and the amount of the write-down is included in net income. In making the determination as to whether a decline is other than temporary, the company considers such factors as the duration and extent of decline, the investee s financial performance, and the company s ability and intention to retain its investment for a period that will be sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in the investment s market value. The new cost basis of investments in the common stock of equity investees is not changed for subsequent recoveries in fair value. Subsequent recoveries in the carrying value of other investments are reported in Other comprehensive income.

For other than goodwill, differences between the company s carrying value of an equity investment and its underlying equity in the net assets of the affiliate are amortized to income generally over the estimated economic life of the underlying net assets. Differences attributable to goodwill are subject to assessment for impairment.

Derivatives The majority of the company s activity in commodity derivative instruments is intended to manage the price risk posed by physical transactions. For some of this derivative activity, generally limited to large, discrete or infrequently occurring transactions, the company may elect to apply fair value or cash flow hedge accounting. For other similar derivative instruments, generally because of the short-term nature of the contracts and their limited use, the company has elected not to apply hedge accounting, and changes in the fair value of those contracts are reflected in current income. For the company s trading activity, gains and losses from the derivative instruments are reported in current income. For derivative instruments relating to foreign currency exposures, gains and losses are reported in current income. Interest rate swaps hedging a portion of the company s fixed rate debt are accounted for as fair value hedges, whereas interest rate swaps relating to a portion of the company s floating-rate debt are recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet with resulting gains and losses reflected in income.

Short-Term Investments All short-term investments are classified as available for sale and are in highly liquid debt or equity securities. Those investments that are part of the company s cash management portfolio with original maturities of three months or less are reported as Cash equivalents. The balance of the short-term investments is reported as Marketable securities. Short-term investments are marked-to-market with any unrealized gains or losses included in Other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Continued

Inventories Crude oil, petroleum products and chemicals are generally stated at cost, using a Last-In, First-Out (LIFO) method. In the aggregate, these costs are below market. Materials, supplies and other inventories generally are stated at average cost.

Properties, Plant and Equipment The successful efforts method is used for oil and gas exploration and production activities. All costs for development wells, related plant and equipment, and proved mineral interests in oil and gas properties are capitalized. Costs of exploratory wells are capitalized pending determination of whether the wells found proved reserves. Costs of wells that are assigned proved reserves remain capitalized. Costs also are capitalized for wells that find commercially producible reserves that cannot be classified as proved, pending one or more of the following: (1) decisions on additional major capital expenditures, (2) the results of additional exploratory wells that are under way or firmly planned, and (3) securing final regulatory approvals for development. Otherwise, well costs are expensed if a determination as to whether proved reserves were found cannot be made within one year following completion of drilling. All other exploratory wells and costs are expensed.

Long-lived assets, including proved oil and gas properties, are assessed for possible impairment by comparing their carrying values with the undiscounted future net before-tax cash flows. Events that can trigger assessments for possible impairments include write-downs of proved reserves based on field performance, significant decreases in the market value of an asset, and significant change in the extent or manner of use or physical change in an asset. Impaired assets are written down to their estimated fair values, generally their discounted future net before-tax cash flows. For proved oil and gas properties in the United States, the company generally performs the impairment review on an individual field basis. Outside the United States, reviews are performed on a country, concession or field basis, as appropriate. Impairment amounts are recorded as incremental Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense.

Depreciation and depletion (including provisions for future abandonment and restoration costs) of all capitalized costs of proved oil and gas producing properties, except mineral interests, are expensed using the unit-of-production method by individual field as the proved developed reserves are produced. Depletion expenses for capitalized costs of proved mineral interests are recognized using the unit-of-production method by individual field as the related proved reserves are produced. Periodic valuation provisions for impairment of capitalized costs of unproved mineral interests are expensed.

Depreciation and depletion expenses for coal are determined using the unit-of-production method as the proved reserves are produced. The capitalized costs of all other plant and equipment are depreciated or amortized over their estimated useful lives. In general, the declining-balance method is used to depreciate plant and equipment in the United States; the straight-line method generally is used to depreciate international plant and equipment and to amortize all capitalized leased assets.

Gains or losses are not recognized for normal retirements of properties, plant and equipment subject to composite group amortization or depreciation. Gains or losses from abnormal retirements are recorded as expenses and from sales as Other income.

Expenditures for maintenance, repairs and minor renewals to maintain facilities in operating condition are generally expensed as incurred. Major replacements and renewals are capitalized.

Environmental Expenditures Environmental expenditures that relate to ongoing operations or to conditions caused by past operations are expensed. Expenditures that create future benefits or contribute to future revenue generation are capitalized.

Liabilities related to future remediation costs are recorded when environmental assessments and/or cleanups are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Other than for assessments, the timing and magnitude of these accruals are generally based on the company s commitment to a formal plan of action, such as an approved remediation plan or the sale or disposal of an asset. For the company s U.S. and Canadian marketing facilities, the accrual is based on the probability that a future remediation commitment will be required. For oil, gas and coal producing properties, a provision is made through depreciation expense for anticipated abandonment and restoration costs at the end of a property s useful life. See also Note 18 related to Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, which became effective for ChevronTexaco on January 1, 2003.

For Superfund sites, the company records a liability for its share of costs when it has been named as a potentially responsible party (PRP) and when an assessment or cleanup plan has been developed. This liability includes the company s own portion of the costs and also the company s portion of amounts for other PRPs when it is probable that they will not be able to pay their share of the cleanup obligation.

The company records the gross amount of its liability based on its best estimate of future costs using currently available technology and applying current regulations as well as the company s own internal environmental policies. Future amounts are not discounted. Recoveries or reimbursements are recorded as assets when receipt is reasonably assured.

Currency Translation The U.S. dollar is the functional currency for substantially all of the company s consolidated operations and those of its equity affiliates. For those operations, all gains or losses from currency translations are currently included in income. The cumulative translation effects for those few entities, both consolidated and affiliated, using functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar are included in the currency translation adjustment in Stockholders equity.

Revenue Recognition Revenues associated with sales of crude oil, natural gas, coal, petroleum and chemicals products, and all other sources are recorded when title passes to the customer, net of royalties, discounts and allowances, as applicable. Revenues from natural gas production from properties in which ChevronTexaco has an interest with other producers are generally recognized on the basis of the company s net working interest (entitlement method).

Stock Compensation At December 31, 2002, the company had stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 20. The company accounts for those plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if

Table of Contents

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES Continued

the company had applied the fair value-recognition provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation:

		Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000	
Net income, as reported	\$1,132	\$3,288	\$7,727	
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income determined under APB No. 25, net of related tax effects Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under	(1)	68	(1)	
fair-value-based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(48)	(154)	(39)	
Pro forma net income	\$1,083	\$3,202	\$7,687	
Earnings per share:				
Basic as reported	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.10	\$ 7.23	
Basic pro forma	\$ 1.02	\$ 3.02	\$ 7.19	
Diluted as reported	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.09	\$ 7.21	
Diluted pro forma	\$ 1.02	\$ 3.01	\$ 7.18	

NOTE 2.

TEXACO MERGER TRANSACTION AND EXTRAORDINARY ITEM

The following table presents summarized financial data for the combined company for periods prior to the merger.

	Nine months ended September 30	Year ended December 31
Millions of dollars	2001	2000
Revenues and other income		
Chevron	\$37,213	\$ 52,129
Texaco ¹	39,469	53,520
Adjustments/eliminations ²	8,103	13,481
ChevronTexaco	\$84,785	\$119,130
Net income		
Chevron	\$ 4,092	\$ 5,185
Texaco ¹	2,214	2,542
Net income, before extraordinary item	\$ 6,306	\$ 7,727
Extraordinary loss net of income tax ³	(496)	
ChevronTexaco	\$ 5,810	\$ 7,727

¹ Includes certain reclassification adjustments to conform to historical Chevron presentation.

² Consolidation of former equity operations and intercompany eliminations.

³ Loss associated with the sales of the company s interests in Equilon and Motiva.

At the time of the merger, each share of Texaco common stock was converted, on a tax-free basis, into the right to receive 0.77 shares of ChevronTexaco common stock. Approximately 425 million additional shares of common stock were issued, representing about 40 percent of the outstanding ChevronTexaco common stock after the merger.

As a condition of approving the merger, the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) required the divestment of certain Texaco assets: Texaco s investments in its U.S. refining, marketing and transportation affiliates, Equilon Enterprises LLC (Equilon) and Motiva Enterprises LLC (Motiva), as well as other interests in U.S. natural gas processing and transportation facilities and general aviation fuel marketing.

At the time of the merger, Texaco placed its interests in Equilon and Motiva in trust, as required by the FTC. Because the company no longer exercised significant influence over these investments, the associated accounting method was changed from equity to cost basis.

Net income for 2001 included a loss of \$643, net of a tax benefit of \$144 (\$0.61 per common share diluted), related to the disposition of assets that were required as a condition of the FTC approval of the merger and other assets that were made duplicative by the merger. The after-tax loss on these dispositions was reported as an extraordinary item in accordance with pooling-of-interests accounting requirements.

Included in the total after-tax loss was a loss of \$564 connected with the sale of interests in Equilon and Motiva. Proceeds from the sale, which closed in February 2002, were approximately \$2,200.

For both assets that were being sold by order of the FTC and other assets that were being disposed of because they were made duplicative by the merger, the total net book value at year-end 2001 was \$2,181. This amount was included in Current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2001, as Assets held for sale merger related. Net income for 2001 associated with all such assets sold as a result of the merger was approximately \$375. The corresponding amount in 2002 was not significant.

NOTE 3.

EMPLOYEE TERMINATION BENEFITS AND OTHER RESTRUCTURING COSTS

In connection with the merger, the company incurred significant incremental expenses, which included: employee severance payments; incremental pension and medical plan benefits associated with workforce reductions; legal, accounting, SEC filing and investment banker fees; employee and office relocations; and the elimination of redundant facilities and operations. In 2002, before-tax merger-related expenses were \$576 (\$386 after tax). In 2001, such expenses were \$1,563 (\$1,136 after tax). Included in these amounts were accruals of \$891 and \$60 in 2001 and 2002, respectively, for severance-related benefits for approximately 4,500 employees and other merger-related expenses that will not benefit future operations.

Activity for this merger-related accrual balance is summarized in the table below:

Millions of dollars	Amount
Additions 2001 Payments 2001	\$ 891 (105)
Balance at December 31, 2001	786
Additions2002Payments2002	60 (470)
Balance at December 31, 2002	\$ 376

Of the 4,500 employees, approximately 450 remained on the payroll at December 31, 2002. About 130 of the remaining employees are expected to terminate their employment in the first quarter 2003. The year-end 2002 accrual balance is not expected to be extinguished for approximately two years, reflecting a severance payment deferral option exercised by certain employees. The company does not expect to incur significant amounts for merger-related expenses in 2003.

NOTE 4.

SPECIAL ITEMS AND OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Net income for each period presented includes amounts categorized by the company as special items, which management separately identifies to assist in the identification and explanation of the trend of results.

Listed in the following table are categories of these items and their net increase (decrease) to net income, after related tax effects.

	Ye	Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000	
pecial Items				
Asset write-offs and revaluations				
Exploration and production				
Impairments U.S.	\$ (183)	\$(1,168)	\$(176)	
International	(100)	(247)		
Refining, marketing and transportation		· · · ·		
Impairments U.S.	(66)			
International	(136)	(46)	(112)	
Chemicals				
Manufacturing facility impairment U.S.		(32)	(90)	
Other asset write-offs		(64)		
All other				
Mining asset write-off		(152)		
Equity share of Dynegy s write-offs and revaluations	(531)	. ,		
Other Dynegy-related	(1,626)		77	
	(2,642)	(1,709)	(301)	
sset dispositions, net				
Pipeline interests Dynegy	(149)			
Oil and gas assets U.S.		49	(107)	
Oil and gas assets International			80	
Real estate and other			99	
	(149)	49	72	
Prior-year tax adjustments	60	(5)	107	
Environmental remediation provisions, net	(160)	(78)	(264)	
Aerger-related expenses	(386)	(1,136)		
Extraordinary loss on merger-related asset sales		(643)		
ther, net				
Litigation and regulatory issues	(57)		(62)	
Tax benefits on asset sales	(37)		70	
			70	
	(57)		8	
otal Special Items	\$(3,334)	\$(3,522)	\$(378)	

In 2002, the company recorded write-downs of \$1,626 of its investment in Dynegy common and preferred stock and \$136 of its investment in its publicly traded Caltex Australia affiliate to their respective estimated fair values. The write-downs were required because the declines in the fair values of the investments below their carrying values were deemed to be other than temporary. Refer to Note 13 for additional information on the company s investment in Dynegy and Caltex Australia.

Also in 2002, impairments of \$183 were recorded for various U.S. exploration and production properties and \$100 for international projects, reflecting lower expected recovery of proved oil reserves. Impairments in 2001 included \$1,022 for the Midway Sunset Field in California the result of a write-down in proved oil reserve quantities upon determination of a lower-than-projected oil recovery from the field s steam injection process. A \$247 impairment of the LL-652 Field in Venezuela was also recorded in 2001 as slower-than-expected reservoir repressurization resulted in a reduction in the projected volumes of oil recoverable during the company s remaining contract period of operation. Asset impairments included in Asset write-offs and revaluations were for assets held for use.

The aggregate effects on income statement categories from special items are reflected in the following table, including ChevronTexaco s proportionate share of special items related to equity affiliates.

	Y	Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000	
Revenues and other income				
Income from equity affiliates	\$ (693)	\$ (123)	\$ (141)	
Other income		84	356	
Total revenues and other income	(693)	(39)	215	
Costs and other deductions				
Operating expenses	259	25	394	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	180	139	94	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	298	2,294	561	
Merger-related expenses	576	1,563		
Taxes other than on income		12		
Write-down of investments in equity affiliates	1,932			
Interest and debt expense			4	
Minority interest			(9)	
Total costs and other deductions	3,245	4,033	1,044	
Income before income tax expense	(3,938)	(4,072)	(829)	
Income tax expense	(604)	(1,193)	(451)	
Net income before extraordinary item	\$(3,334)	\$(2,879)	\$ (378)	
Extraordinary loss, net of income tax		(643)		
Net income	\$(3,334)	\$(3,522)	\$ (378)	

Other financial information is as follows:

	Ŋ	Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000	
Total financing interest and debt costs Less: Capitalized interest	\$632 67	\$955 122	\$1,218 108	

Interest and debt expense	\$565	\$833	\$1,110
Research and development expenses	\$221	\$209	\$ 211
Foreign currency (losses) gains*	\$ (43)	\$191	\$ 182

*Includes \$(66), \$12 and \$66 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, for the company s share of equity affiliates foreign currency (losses) gains.

The excess of market value over the carrying value of inventories for which the LIFO method is used was \$1,578, \$1,580 and \$2,339 at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Market value is generally based on average acquisition costs for the year.

NOTE 5.

INFORMATION RELATING TO THE CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Net decrease in operating working capital is composed of the following:

	Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000
(Increase) decrease in accounts and notes receivable	\$(1,135)	\$ 2,472	\$(2,162)
Decrease (increase) in inventories	185	(294)	120
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets	92	(211)	73
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,845	(742)	1,327
Increase (decrease) in income and other taxes payable	138	(582)	733
Net decrease in operating working capital	\$ 1,125	\$ 643	\$ 91
Net cash provided by operating activities includes the following cash payments for interest and income taxes:			
Interest paid on debt (net of capitalized interest)	\$ 533	\$ 873	\$ 1,095
Income taxes paid	\$ 2,916	\$ 5,465	\$ 4,883
Net (purchases) sales of marketable securities consists of the following gross amounts:			
Marketable securities purchased	\$(5,789)	\$(2,848)	\$(6,671)
Marketable securities sold	5,998	2,665	6,751
Net sales (purchases) of marketable securities	\$ 209	\$ (183)	\$ 80

The major components of Capital expenditures and the reconciliation of this amount to the capital and exploratory expenditures, excluding equity in affiliates, presented in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) are detailed in the following table.

	Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000
Additions to properties, plant and equipment ¹	\$6,262	\$ 6,445	\$6,173
Additions to investments	1,138	$2,902_2$	1,118
Current-year dry-hole expenditures	252	418	402
Payments for other liabilities and assets, net	(55)	(52)	(64)
Capital expenditures	7,597	9,713	7,629
Expensed exploration expenditures	303	393	487
Payments of long-term debt and other financing obligations, net	2	2103	175
Capital and exploratory expenditures, excluding equity affiliates	7,902	10,316	8,291
Equity in affiliates expenditures	1,353	1,712	1,229
Capital and exploratory expenditures, including equity affiliates	\$9,255	\$12,028	\$9,520

 1 Net of noncash items of \$195 in 2002 and \$63 in 2001.

² Includes \$1,500 for investment in Dynegy preferred stock.

³ Represents a deferred payment related to 1993 acquisition of an interest in the Tengizchevroil joint venture.

In 2000, Chevron contributed \$2,800 of net noncash assets to Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPChem). The investment is accounted for under the equity method.

NOTE 6.

SUMMARIZED FINANCIAL DATA CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.

Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (CUSA) is a major subsidiary of ChevronTexaco Corporation. CUSA and its subsidiaries manage and operate most of ChevronTexaco s U.S. businesses and assets related to the exploration and production of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids and also those associated with refining, marketing, supply and distribution of products derived from petroleum, other than natural gas liquids, excluding most of the pipeline operations of ChevronTexaco. CUSA also holds divisions overseeing or operating global businesses such as aviation fuel, lubricants, shipping and trading, and divisions providing administrative, technical and other services to affiliated companies. CUSA holds ChevronTexaco s investment in the CPChem joint venture and Dynegy, which are accounted for using the equity method.

In 2002, ChevronTexaco implemented a legal reorganization in which certain ChevronTexaco subsidiaries transferred assets to or under CUSA and other ChevronTexaco companies were merged with and into CUSA. The summarized financial information for CUSA and its consolidated subsidiaries presented in the table below gives retroactive effect to the reorganization in a manner similar to a pooling of interests, with all periods presented as if the companies had always been combined and the reorganization had occurred on January 1, 2000. However, the financial information included below may not reflect the financial position and operating results in the future or the historical results in the periods presented had the reorganization actually occurred on January 1, 2000.

		Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000	
Sales and other operating revenues	\$66,899	\$57,318	\$62,559	
Total costs and other deductions	68,583	56,117	57,952	
Net (loss) income	(1,897)	1,265	3,702	

	At Dec	ember 31
	2002	2001
Current assets	\$12,852	\$10,584
Other assets	24,554	25,433
Current liabilities	19,164	11,370
Other liabilities	12,976	14,935
Net equity	5,266	9,712
Memo: Total debt	\$ 8,137	\$ 9,768

CUSA s net loss of \$1,897 for 2002 included net charges of \$2,555 for asset write-downs and dispositions, of which \$2,306 was related to Dynegy.

NOTE 7.

SUMMARIZED FINANCIAL DATA CHEVRON TRANSPORT CORPORATION LTD.

Table of Contents

Chevron Transport Corporation Ltd. (CTC), incorporated in Bermuda, is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of ChevronTexaco Corporation. CTC is the principal operator of ChevronTexaco s international tanker fleet and is engaged in the marine transportation of crude oil and refined petroleum products. Most of CTC s shipping revenue is derived from providing transportation services to other ChevronTexaco companies. ChevronTexaco Corporation has guaranteed this subsidiary s obligations in con-

Table of Contents

NOTE 7. SUMMARIZED FINANCIAL DATA CHEVRON TRANSPORT CORPORATION LTD. Continued

nection with certain debt securities issued by a third party. Summarized financial information for CTC and its consolidated subsidiaries is presented as follows:

	Ye	Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000	
Sales and other operating revenues	\$850	\$859	\$728	
Total costs and other deductions	922	793	777	
Net (loss) income	(79)	67	(47)	

	At Dec	At December 31	
	2002	2001	
Current assets	\$ 273	\$196	
Other assets	464	527	
Current liabilities	334	280	
Other liabilities	344	311	
Net equity	59	132	

During 2002, CTC s paid-in capital increased by \$6 from additional capital contributions and settlements.

There were no restrictions on CTC s ability to pay dividends or make loans or advances at December 31, 2002.

NOTE 8.

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Retained earnings at December 31, 2002 and 2001, included approximately \$1,559 and \$2,015, respectively, for the company s share of undistributed earnings of equity affiliates.

Upon the merger of Chevron and Texaco, the authorized common stock of ChevronTexaco was increased from 2 billion shares of \$0.75 par value to 4 billion shares of \$0.75 par value. Under the terms of the merger agreement, approximately 425 million shares of ChevronTexaco common stock were issued in exchange for all of the outstanding shares of Texaco common stock based upon an exchange ratio of 0.77 of a ChevronTexaco share for each Texaco share. Texaco s common stock held in treasury was canceled at the effective time of the merger.

In 1998, in connection with the renewal of Chevron's Stockholder Rights Plan, Chevron declared a dividend distribution on each outstanding share of its common stock of one Right to purchase participating preferred stock. Since this distribution in 1998, all newly issued shares of the corporation's common stock have been accompanied by a preferred stock purchase Right, including the shares issued in connection with the merger between Chevron and Texaco. Following the merger, the Chevron Stockholder Rights Plan has continued as the Stockholder Rights Plan of ChevronTexaco. The Rights issued under the plan become exercisable, unless redeemed earlier by ChevronTexaco, if a person or group acquires or obtains the right to acquire 10 percent or more of the outstanding shares of common stock, either event occurring without the prior consent of ChevronTexaco. The ChevronTexaco Series A Participating Preferred Stock that the holder of a Right is entitled to receive and the purchase price payable upon exercise of the ChevronTexaco Right are both subject to adjustment. The person or group who acquired 10 percent or more of the outstanding shares of ChevronTexaco would not be entitled to this purchase.

In November 2002, the Stockholder Rights agreement was amended so that the Rights will expire in November 2003, five years earlier than the initial expiration date in November 2008.

The Rights may be redeemed by the company at 1 cent per Right prior to the expiration date. The Rights do not have voting or dividend rights and until they become exercisable have no dilutive effect on the earnings per share of the company. Five million shares of the company s preferred stock were designated Series A Participating Preferred Stock and reserved for issuance upon exercise of the Rights. No event during 2002 made the Rights exercisable.

Until June 2001, there were 1,200 shares of Texaco cumulative variable rate preferred stock, called Market Auction Preferred Shares (MAPS), outstanding, with an aggregate value of \$300. The MAPS were redeemed in June 2001, at a liquidation preference of \$250,000 per share, plus premium and accrued and unpaid dividends.

At December 31, 2002, 30 million shares of ChevronTexaco s authorized but unissued common stock were reserved for issuance under the ChevronTexaco Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), which was approved by the stockholders in 1990. To date, all of the plan s common stock requirements have been met from the company s treasury stock, and there have been no issuances of reserved shares.

NOTE 9.

FINANCIAL AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Commodity Derivative Instruments ChevronTexaco is exposed to market risks related to price volatility of crude oil, refined products, natural gas and refinery feedstock.

The company uses derivative commodity instruments to manage this exposure on a small portion of its activity, including: firm commitments and anticipated transactions for the purchase or sale of crude oil; feedstock purchases for company refineries; crude oil and refined products inventories; and fixed price contracts to sell natural gas and natural gas liquids.

The company also uses derivative commodity instruments for limited trading purposes.

The company maintains a policy of requiring that an International Swaps and Derivatives Association Agreement govern derivative contracts with certain counterparties to mitigate credit risk. Depending on the nature of the derivative transaction, bilateral collateral arrangements may also be required. When the company is engaged in more than one outstanding derivative transaction with the same counterparty and also has a legally enforceable netting agreement with that counterparty, the net mark-to-market exposure represents the netting of the positive and negative exposures with that counterparty and a reasonable measure of the company s credit risk. It is the company s policy to use other netting agreements with certain counterparties with which it conducts significant transactions.

The fair values of the outstanding contracts are reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Accounts and notes receivable, Accounts payable, Long-term receivables net, and Deferred credits and other noncurrent obligations. Gains and losses on the company s risk management activities are reported as either Sales and other operating revenues or Purchased crude oil and products, whereas trading gains and losses are reported as Other income. These activities are reported under Operating activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Table of Contents

NOTE 9. FINANCIAL AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS Continued

Foreign Currency The company enters into forward exchange contracts, generally with terms of 180 days or less, to manage some of its foreign currency exposures. These exposures include revenue and anticipated purchase transactions, including foreign currency capital expenditures and lease commitments, forecasted to occur within 180 days. The forward exchange contracts are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet with resulting gains and losses reflected in income.

The fair values of the outstanding contracts are reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Accounts and notes receivable or Accounts payable, with gains and losses reported as Other income. These activities are reported under Operating activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Interest Rates The company enters into interest rate swaps as part of its overall strategy to manage the interest rate risk on its debt. Under the terms of the swaps, net cash settlements are based on the difference between fixed-rate and floating-rate interest amounts calculated by reference to agreed notional principal amounts. Interest rate swaps related to a portion of the company s fixed-rate debt are accounted for as fair value hedges, whereas interest rate swaps related to a portion of the company s floating-rate debt are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet with resulting gains and losses reflected in income.

During 2002, no new swaps were initiated. At year-end 2002, the interest rate swaps outstanding related to fixed-rate debt, and their weighted average maturity was approximately 5.6 years.

Fair values of the interest rate swaps are reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Accounts and notes receivables or Accounts payable, with gains and losses reported directly in income as part of Interest and debt expense. These activities are reported under Operating activities in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Concentrations of Credit Risk The company s financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of its cash equivalents, marketable securities, derivative financial instruments and trade receivables. The company s short-term investments are placed with a wide array of financial institutions with high credit ratings. This diversified investment policy limits the company s exposure both to credit risk and to concentrations of credit risk. Similar standards of diversity and creditworthiness are applied to the company s counterparties in derivative instruments.

The trade receivable balances, reflecting the company s diversified sources of revenue, are dispersed among the company s broad customer base worldwide. As a consequence, concentrations of credit risk are limited. The company routinely assesses the financial strength of its customers. When the financial strength of a customer is not considered sufficient, Letters of Credit are the principal security obtained to support lines of credit.

Fair Value Fair values are derived either from quoted market prices or, if not available, the present value of the expected cash flows. The fair values reflect the cash that would have been received or paid if the instruments were settled at year-end.

Long-term debt of \$7,296 and \$6,599 had estimated fair values of \$7,971 and \$7,097 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

For interest rate swaps, the notional principal amounts of \$665 and \$930 had estimated fair values of \$70 and \$2 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The company holds cash equivalents and U.S. dollar marketable securities in domestic and offshore portfolios. Eurodollar bonds, floating-rate notes, time deposits and commercial paper are the primary instruments held. Cash equivalents and marketable securities had fair values of \$2,506 and \$2,449 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Of these balances, \$1,682 and \$1,446 at the respective year-ends were classified as cash equivalents that had average maturities under 90 days. The remainder, classified as marketable securities, had average maturities of approximately 3.9 years.

The company s \$1,500 investment in redeemable, convertible preferred stock of its Dynegy affiliate had an estimated fair value of \$300 at December 31, 2002.

NOTE 10.

OPERATING SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHIC DATA

ChevronTexaco separately manages its exploration and production; refining, marketing and transportation; and chemicals businesses. All Other activities include corporate administrative costs, worldwide cash management and debt financing activities, the company s investment in Dynegy, coal mining operations, power and gasification operations, technology investments, insurance operations, real estate activities, and expenses and net losses associated with the merger. The company s primary country of operation is the United States of America, its country of domicile. Other components of the company s operations are reported as International (outside the United States).

Segment Earnings The company evaluates the performance of its operating segments on an after-tax basis, without considering the effects of debt financing interest expense or investment interest income, both of which are managed by the company on a worldwide basis. Corporate administrative costs and assets are not allocated to the operating segments. However, operating segments are billed for the direct use of corporate services. Nonbillable costs and merger effects remain at the corporate level. After-tax segment income (loss) is presented in the following table:

	Ye	Year ended December 31		
	2002	2001	2000	
Exploration and Production				
United States	\$ 1,717	\$ 1,779	\$3,453	
International	2,839	2,533	3,702	
Total Exploration and Production	4,556	4,312	7,155	
Refining, Marketing and Transportation				
United States	(398)	1,254	721	
International	31	560	414	
Total Refining, Marketing and Transportation	(367)	1,814	1,135	
Chemicals				
United States	13	(186)	(31)	
International	73	58	71	
Total Chemicals	86	(128)	40	
Total Segment Income	4,275	5,998	8,330	
Merger-related expenses	(386)	(1,136)		
Extraordinary loss		(643)		
Interest expense	(406)	(552)	(766)	
Interest income	72	147	139	
Other	(2,423)	(526)	24	
Net Income	\$ 1,132	\$ 3,288	\$7,727	

Table of Contents

NOTE 10. OPERATING SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHIC DATA Continued

Segment Assets Segment assets do not include intercompany investments or intercompany receivables. At December 31, 2001, All Other also included \$2,181 for merger-related assets held for sale. Segment assets at year-end 2002 and 2001 follow:

	At De	cember 31
	2002	2001
Exploration and Production		
United States	\$11,671	\$12,718
International	26,172	24,177
Fotal Exploration and Production	37,843	36,895
Refining, Marketing and Transportation		
United States	9,681	8,902
International	17,699	16,426
Fotal Refining, Marketing and Transportation	27,380	25,328
Chemicals		
United States	2,154	2,059
international	698	701
Fotal Chemicals	2,852	2,760
Total Segment Assets	68,075	64,983
All Other		
United States	5,364	8,950
International	3,920	3,639
Total All Other	9,284	12,589
Total Assets United States	28,870	32,629
Fotal Assets International	48,489	44,943
Fotal Assets	\$77,359	\$77,572

Segment Sales and Other Operating Revenues Operating segment sales and other operating revenues, including internal transfers, for the years 2002, 2001 and 2000 are presented in the following table. Sales from the transfer of products between segments are at prices that approximate market.

Revenues for the exploration and production segment are derived primarily from the production of crude oil and natural gas. Revenues for the refining, marketing and transportation segment are derived from the refining and marketing of petroleum products, such as gasoline, jet fuel, gas oils, kerosene, lubricants, residual fuel oils and other products derived from crude oil. This segment also generates revenues from the transportation and trading of crude oil and refined products. Revenues for the chemicals segment are derived from the manufacture and sale of additives for lubricants and fuel. Prior to the July 2000 formation of CPChem, chemicals segment revenues were derived from the manufacture and sale of petrochemicals, plastic resins, and lube oil and fuel additives. Subsequent to the formation of the joint venture, only revenues from the manufacture and sale of lube oil and fuel additives were included. Revenues from All Other activities include coal mining operations, power and gasification operations, technology investments, insurance operations and real estate activities.

Other than the United States, the only country where ChevronTexaco generates significant revenues is the United Kingdom, which amounted to \$10,816, \$10,350 and \$12,101 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

		Year ended December 31					
	2002	2001*	2000*				
Exploration and Production							
United States	\$ 4,500	\$ 11,874	\$ 13,397				
Intersegment	4,326	3,167	3,542				
Total United States	8,826	15,041	16,939				
nternational	5,637	9,127	9,052				
Intersegment	8,377	7,376	6,189				
Total International	14,014	16,503	15,241				
Fotal Exploration and Production	22,840	31,544	32,180				
Refining, Marketing and Transportation							
Jnited States	33,880	29,294	31,926				
Excise Taxes	3,990	3,954	3,837				
Intersegment	163	392	414				
Total United States	38,033	33,640	36,177				
International	45,759	45,248	52,501				
Excise Taxes	3,006	2,580	2,737				
Intersegment	43	452	930				
Total International	48,808	48,280	56,168				
Fotal Refining, Marketing and Transportation	86,841	81,920	92,345				
Chemicals							
Jnited States	323	335	1,985				
Excise Taxes			1				
Intersegment	109	89	137				
Total United States	432	424	2,123				
nternational	638	670	701				
Excise Taxes	10	12	26				
Intersegment	68	65					
Total International	716	747	727				
Fotal Chemicals	1,148	1,171	2,850				
All Other							
United States	911	1,278	933				
Intersegment	212	60	90				
Total United States	1,123	1,338	1,023				

International Intersegment	37	37 9	(1) 19
Total International	37	46	18
Total All Other	1,160	1,384	1,041
Segment Sales and Other Operating Revenues			
United States	48,414	50,443	56,262
International	63,575	65,576	72,154
Total Segment Sales and Other Operating Revenues	111,989	116,019	128,416
Elimination of Intersegment Sales	(13,298)	(11,610)	(11,321)
Total Sales and Other Operating Revenues	\$ 98,691	\$104,409	\$117,095

 \ast $\,$ 2001 and 2000 include certain reclassifications to conform to 2002 presentation.

Table of Contents

NOTE 10. OPERATING SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHIC DATA Continued

Segment Income Taxes Segment income tax expenses for the years 2002, 2001 and 2000 are as follows:

	Year ended December 31						
	2002	2001	2000				
Exploration and Production							
United States	\$ 862	\$ 965	\$1,901				
International	3,433	3,569	4,363				
Total Exploration and Production	4,295	4,534	6,264				
Refining, Marketing and Transportation							
United States	(254)	744	383				
International	138	260	152				
Total Refining, Marketing and Transportation	(116)	1,004	535				
Chemicals							
United States	(17)	(78)	31				
International	17	23	30				
Total Chemicals		(55)	61				
All Other*	(1,155)	(1,123)	(538)				
Total Income Tax Expense*	\$ 3,024	\$ 4,360	\$6,322				

* 2001 excludes tax of \$144 for extraordinary item.

Other Segment Information Additional information for the segmentation of major equity affiliates is contained in Note 13. Information related to properties, plant and equipment by segment is contained in Note 14.

NOTE 11.

LITIGATION

Unocal Chevron, Texaco and four other oil companies (refiners) filed suit in 1995 contesting the validity of a patent (393 patent) granted to Unocal Corporation (Unocal) for certain reformulated gasoline blends. ChevronTexaco sells reformulated gasolines in California in certain months of the year. In March 2000, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld a September 1998 District Court decision that Unocal s patent was valid and enforceable and assessed damages of 5.75 cents per gallon for gasoline produced during the summer of 1996, which infringed on the claims of the patent. In February 2001, the U.S. Supreme Court concluded it would not review the lower court s ruling, and the case was sent back to the District Court for an accounting of all infringing gasoline produced after August 1, 1996. The District Court has now ruled that the per-gallon damages awarded by the jury are limited to infringement that occurs in California only. Additionally, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) granted two petitions by the refiners to re-examine the validity of Unocal s 393 patent and has twice rejected all of the claims in the 393 patent. The District Court judge requested further briefing and advised that she would not enter a final judgment in this case until the USPTO also had completed its re-examination of the 393 patent. During 2002, the USPTO also rejected the validity of another Unocal patent, the 126 patent, which could affect a larger share of U.S. gasoline production. Separately, the FTC has issued an administrative complaint alleging that Unocal s conduct in this matter represented an unfair method of competition, which may make Unocal s patents unenforceable.

Unocal has obtained additional patents that could affect a larger share of U.S. gasoline production. ChevronTexaco believes these additional patents are invalid, unenforceable and/or not infringed. The company s financial exposure in the event of unfavorable conclusions to the patent litigation and regulatory reviews may include royalties, plus interest, for production of gasoline that is proved to have infringed the patents. The competitive and financial effects on the company s refining and marketing operations, while presently indeterminable, could be material. ChevronTexaco has been accruing in the normal course of business any future estimated liability for potential infringement of the 393 patent covered by the 1998 trial court s ruling. In 2000, prior to the merger, Chevron and Texaco made payments to Unocal totaling approximately \$30 million for the original court ruling, including interest and fees.

MTBE Another issue involving the company is the petroleum industry s use of methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) as a gasoline additive and its potential environmental impact through seepage into groundwater. Along with other oil companies, the company is a party to lawsuits and claims related to the use of the chemical MTBE in certain oxygenated gasolines. These actions may require the company to correct or ameliorate the alleged effects on the environment of prior release of MTBE by the company or other parties. Additional lawsuits and claims related to the use of MTBE, including personal-injury claims, may be filed in the future. The company s ultimate exposure related to these lawsuits and claims is not currently determinable, but could be material to net income in any one period. ChevronTexaco has worked to reduce the use of MTBE in gasoline it manufactures in the United States. The state of California has directed that MTBE be phased out of the manufacturing process by the end of 2003, and the company intends to comply with this mandate. By May 2003, the company plans to market branded gasoline that uses ethanol as an oxygenate instead of MTBE in southern California and will complete the changeover in northern California later in the year.

NOTE 12.

LEASE COMMITMENTS

Certain noncancelable leases are classified as capital leases, and the leased assets are included as part of Properties, plant and equipment, at cost. Such leasing arrangements involve tanker charters, crude oil production and processing equipment, service stations, and other facilities. Other leases are classified as operating leases and are not capitalized. The payments on such leases are recorded as expense. Details of the capitalized leased assets are as follows:

	At Dec	cember 31
	2002	2001
Exploration and production	\$ 176	\$ 172
Refining, marketing and transportation	843	848
Total	1,019	1,020
Less: Accumulated amortization	595	567
Net capitalized leased assets	\$ 424	\$ 453



NOTE 12. LEASE COMMITMENTS Continued

Rental expenses incurred for operating leases during 2002, 2001 and 2000 were as follows:

		Year ended December 31				
	2002	2001	2000			
Minimum rentals Contingent rentals	\$1,270 4	\$1,132 14	\$1,062 35			
Total Less: Sublease rental income	1,274 53	1,146 76	1,097 77			
Net rental expense	\$1,221	\$1,070	\$1,020			

Contingent rentals are based on factors other than the passage of time, principally sales volumes at leased service stations. Certain leases include escalation clauses for adjusting rentals to reflect changes in price indices, renewal options ranging from one to 25 years, and/or options to purchase the leased property during or at the end of the initial or renewal lease period for the fair market value or other specified amount at that time.

At December 31, 2002, the estimated future minimum lease payments (net of noncancelable sublease rentals) under operating and capital leases, which at inception had a noncancelable term of more than one year, were as follows:

	At Decemb	per 31
	Operating Leases	Capital Leases
Year: 2003	\$ 360	\$ 74
2004	321	84
2005	285	48
2006	263	45
2007	215	38
Thereafter	759	566
Total	\$2,203	\$855
Less: Amounts representing interest and executory costs		265
Net present values		590
Less: Capital lease obligations included in short-term debt		345
Long-term capital lease obligations		\$245

NOTE 13.

INVESTMENTS AND ADVANCES

Equity in earnings, together with investments in and advances to companies accounted for using the equity method, and other investments accounted for at or below cost, are as follows:

		and Advances ember 31		Equity in Earnings Year ended December 31				
	2002	2001	2002	2001	2000			
Exploration and Production								
Tengizchevroil	\$ 2,949	\$ 2,459	\$ 490	\$ 332	\$ 376			
Other	876	808	116	205	163			
Total Exploration and Production	3,825	3,267	606	537	539			
Refining, Marketing and Transportation								
Equilon ¹				274	151			
Motiva ¹				276	154			
LG-Caltex Oil Corporation	1,513	1,491	46	60	80			
Caspian Pipeline Consortium	1,014	928	66	38	22			
Star Petroleum Refining Company Ltd.	449	394	(25)	(56)	(4)			
Caltex Australia Ltd.	109	267	(20)	16	13			
Other	994	755	110	92	117			
Total Refining, Marketing and Transportation	4,079	3,835	177	700	533			
Chemicals								
Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC	1,710	1,587	2	(229)	(114)			
Other	21	17	4	2	(9)			
Total Chemicals	1,731	1,604	6	(227)	(123)			
All Other								
Dynegy Inc.	347	2,628	(679)	188	127			
Other	6812	507	1	(54)	1			
Total Equity Method	\$10,663	\$11,841	\$ 111	\$1,144	\$1,077			
Other at or Below Cost	434	411		. ,	. ,			
Total Investments and Advances	\$11,097	\$12,252						
Total U.S	\$ 3,216	\$ 5,370	\$(559)	\$ 693	\$ 562			
Total International	\$ 7,881	\$ 6,882	\$ 670	\$ 451	\$ 515			

¹ Placed in trust at the time of the merger and accounting changed from the equity method to the cost basis. Interests were classified as Assets held for sale merger related at December 31, 2001.

² Includes \$96 for Star Petroleum Refining Company Ltd.

Descriptions of major affiliates during 2002 are as follows:

Tengizchevroil Tengizchevroil (TCO) is a joint venture formed in 1993 to develop the Tengiz and Korolev oil fields in Kazakhstan over a 40-year period. Chevron s ownership was 45 percent during 1999 and 2000. In January 2001, the company purchased an additional 5 percent interest. Upon formation of the joint venture, the company incurred an obligation of \$420, payable to the Republic of Kazakhstan upon attainment of a dedicated export system with the capability of the greater of 260,000 barrels of oil per day or TCO s production capacity. As a part of the January 2001 transaction, the company paid \$210 of the \$420 obligation. The \$420 was also included in the carrying value of the original investment, as the company believed, beyond a reasonable doubt, that its full payment would be made.

Table of Contents

NOTE 13. INVESTMENTS AND ADVANCES Continued

Equilon Enterprises LLC and Motiva Enterprises LLC Until February 2002, the company had equity interests in Equilon and Motiva joint ventures engaged in U.S. refining and marketing activities. Under mandate of the FTC as a condition of the merger, the company s ownership interests were placed in trust on October 9, 2001. The trust completed the dispositions of the company s investments in Equilon and Motiva in February 2002. See Note 2 for additional information on Equilon and Motiva.

LG-Caltex Oil Corporation ChevronTexaco owns 50 percent of LG-Caltex, a joint venture formed in 1967 between the LG Group and Caltex, to engage in importing, refining and marketing of petroleum products in South Korea.

Star Petroleum Refining Company Ltd. ChevronTexaco has a 64 percent equity ownership interest in Star Petroleum Refining Company Limited (SPRC), which owns the Star refinery at Ma Ta Phut, Thailand. The Petroleum Authority of Thailand owns the remaining 36 percent of SPRC.

Caltex Australia Ltd. ChevronTexaco has a 50 percent equity ownership interest in Caltex Australia Limited (CAL). The remaining 50 percent of CAL is publicly owned. During 2002, the company wrote down its investment in CAL by \$136 to its estimated fair value at September 30, 2002. At December 31, 2002, the fair value of ChevronTexaco s share of CAL common stock was \$163. The aggregate carrying value of the company s investment in CAL was approximately \$100 lower than the amount of underlying equity in CAL net assets.

Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC ChevronTexaco owns 50 percent of CPChem, formed in July 2000 when Chevron merged most of its petrochemicals businesses with those of Phillips Petroleum Company. Because CPChem is a limited liability company, ChevronTexaco records the provision for income taxes and related tax liability applicable to its share of the venture s income separately in its consolidated financial statements. At December 31, 2002, the company s carrying value of its investment in CPChem was approximately \$40 lower than the amount of underlying equity in CPChem s net assets.

Dynegy Inc. ChevronTexaco s Dynegy affiliate owns operating divisions engaged in power generation, natural gas liquids and regulated energy delivery. ChevronTexaco owns approximately 26 percent of Dynegy s common stock and also holds \$1,500 aggregate principal amount of Dynegy preferred stock. During 2002, the company wrote down its investments in Dynegy common and preferred stock to their estimated fair market values. The market value of ChevronTexaco s share of Dynegy common stock at December 31, 2002, was \$114, based on equivalent closing market prices, and the estimated fair value of the preferred stock was \$300. At December 31, 2002, the company s carrying value of the common-stock investment in Dynegy was approximately \$500 lower than the amount of underlying equity in Dynegy s net assets available to common shareholders.

Sales and other operating revenues on the Consolidated Statement of Income include \$6,218, \$13,868 and \$15,741 with major affiliated companies for 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Purchased crude oil and products include \$1,720, \$3,859 and \$4,824 with major affiliated companies for 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Accounts and notes receivable on the Consolidated Balance Sheet include \$615 and \$481 due from affiliated companies at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Accounts payable include \$161 and \$168 due to major affiliated companies at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The 2001 amounts exclude balances with Equilon and Motiva.

The following table provides summarized financial information on a 100 percent basis for Equilon, Motiva and all other equity affiliates, as well as ChevronTexaco s total share.

	Equilon ¹				Motiv	a ¹	Other Affiliates			ChevronTexaco Share		
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000
Total revenues Income (loss) before income tax	\$	\$36,501	\$50,010	\$	\$14,459	\$19,446	\$31,877	\$69,549	\$56,602	\$15,049	\$46,649	\$48,925
expense		604	228		771	461	(1,517)	646	2,420	94	1,430	1,230
Net income (loss)		397	148		486	300	(1,540)	(74)	1,689	111	1,144	1,077
At December 31												
Current assets	\$	\$	\$ 3,134	\$	\$	\$ 1,381	\$16,808	\$17,015	\$18,442	\$ 6,270	\$ 5,922	\$ 8,456

Noncurrent assets Current liabilities Noncurrent liabilities		6,830 4,587 897		5,110 1,150 2,017	40,884 14,414 24,129	40,191 14,688 23,255	34,620 16,109 20,905	15,849 5,158 5,668	16,276 4,757 5,600	16,965 7,820 6,263
Net equity	\$ \$	\$ 4,480	\$ \$	\$ 3,324	\$19,149	\$19,263	\$16,048	\$11,2932	\$11,841	\$11,338

¹ Accounted for under the equity method pre-merger and the cost basis post-merger.

² Differs by \$630 from \$10,663 shown in the preceding table for Investments and Advances Total Equity Method. Relates primarily to differences for Dynegy Inc. and Caltex Australia Ltd., as described above.

NOTE 14.

PROPERTIES, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT ¹

				At I	December 31					Year e	nded Dece	mber 31
	Gross	Investment	at Cost	I	Net Investme	ent	Ad	ditions at C	Cost ²	Depr	Depreciation Expense	
	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000
Exploration and Production	¢ 20.007	¢ 20 50 0	¢ 27 2 42	¢ 10 477	¢ 10 5 (0	¢ 12 002	¢1.(79	¢ 1.072	¢ 1 021	¢1.007	¢ 2,500	¢ 0.120
United States International	\$ 39,986 36,382	\$38,582 33,273	\$37,342 30,396	\$10,457 18,908	\$10,560 17,743	\$12,093 16,938	\$1,658 3,343	\$1,973 2,900	\$1,931 3,019	\$1,806 2,132	\$3,508 2,085	\$2,138 1,787
Total Exploration and Production	76,368	71,855	67,738	29,365	28,303	29,031	5,001	4,873	4,950	3,938	5,593	3,925
Refining, Marketing and Transportation												
United States International	13,423 11,194	12,944 10,878	12,557 10,635	6,296 6,310	6,237 6,349	6,176 6,367	671 411	626 566	484 457	570 530	476 555	516 651
Total Refining, Marketing and Transportation	24,617	23,822	23,192	12,606	12,586	12,543	1,082	1,192	941	1,100	1,031	1,167
Chemicals												
United States International	614 731	602 698	610 672	317 420	321 405	342 395	16 37	10 31	78 42	21 21	22 19	77 18
Total Chemicals	1,345	1,300	1,282	737	726	737	53	41	120	42	41	95
All Other ³	2,901	2,883	3,005	1,447	1,267	1,657	285	174	202	151	394	134
Total United States Total	56,806	54,954	53,485	18,404	18,367	20,275	2,575	2,780	2,695	2,544	4,391	2,825
International	48,425	44,906	41,732	25,751	24,515	23,693	3,846	3,500	3,518	2,687	2,668	2,496
Total	\$105,231	\$99,860	\$95,217	\$44,155	\$42,882	\$43,968	\$6,421	\$6,280	\$6,213	\$5,231	\$7,059	\$5,321

¹ Net of accumulated abandonment and restoration costs of \$2,263, \$2,155 and \$2,259 at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

² Net of dry hole expense related to prior years expenditures of \$36, \$228 and \$60 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

³ Primarily coal, real estate assets and management information systems.

NOTE 15.

TAXES

	Year ended December 31					
	2002	2001	2000			
Taxes on income						
U.S. federal						
Current	\$ (72)	\$ 946	\$1,238			
Deferred	(414)	(643)	363			
State and local	21	276	185			
Total United States	(465)	579	1,786			
International						
Current	3,156	3,764	4,378			
Deferred	333	17	158			
Total International	3,489	3,781	4,536			
Total taxes on income	\$3,024	\$4,360	\$6,322			

In 2002, the before-tax loss, including related corporate and other charges, for U.S. operations was \$2,140, compared with before-tax income of \$1,778 in 2001 and \$5,823 in 2000. For international operations, before-tax income was \$6,296, \$6,513 and \$8,226 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. U.S. federal income tax expense was reduced by \$208, \$202 and \$165 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, for business tax credits.

The above table does not include a current U.S. tax benefit of \$2 and a U.S. deferred tax benefit of \$142 associated with the extraordinary item in 2001.

The company s effective income tax rate varied from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate because of the following:

	Year ended December 31			
	2002	2001	2000	
U.S. statutory federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%	
Effect of income taxes from international operations in excess of taxes at the U.S. statutory				
rate	29.6	19.0	11.0	
State and local taxes on income, net of U.S. federal income tax benefit	1.1	2.2	0.9	
Prior-year tax adjustments	(7.0)	1.1	(0.6)	
Tax credits	(5.0)	(2.4)	(1.2)	
Effects of enacted changes in tax laws/rates on deferred tax liabilities	2.0		. ,	
Impairment of investments in equity affiliates	12.4			
Other		(1.7)	0.2	
Consolidated companies	68.1	53.2	45.3	
Effect of recording income from certain equity affiliates on an after-tax basis	4.7	(0.6)	(0.3)	
Effective tax rate	72.8%	52.6%	45.0%	

The increase in the 2002 effective tax rate was due to a number of factors. The primary reason was that U.S. before-tax income (generally subject to a lower tax rate) was a significantly smaller percentage of overall before-tax income in 2002 compared with 2001. Prior-year tax adjustments arose from revisions to deferred tax valuation allowances and other tax related accruals. The impairment of the investments in Dynegy and Caltex Australia were capital losses for which no offsetting capital gains were available.

The company records its deferred taxes on a tax-jurisdiction basis and classifies those net amounts as current or noncurrent based on the balance sheet classification of the related assets or liabilities.

The reported deferred tax balances are composed of the following:

	At Dece	At December 31		
	2002	2001		
eferred tax liabilities				
Properties, plant and equipment	\$ 7,818	\$ 7,478		
Inventory	14	50		
Investments and other	521	1,334		
Total deferred tax liabilities	8,353	8,862		
eferred tax assets				
Abandonment/environmental reserves	(902)	(913)		
Employee benefits	(1,414)	(863)		
Tax loss carryforwards	(747)	(692)		
AMT/other tax credits	(380)	(511)		
Other accrued liabilities	(234)	(158)		
Miscellaneous	(1,927)	(2,164)		
Total deferred tax assets	(5,604)	(5,301)		
eferred tax assets valuation allowance	1,740	1,512		
tal deferred taxes, net	\$ 4,489	\$ 5,073		

The valuation allowance relates to foreign tax credit carry-forwards, tax loss carryforwards and temporary differences that are not expected to be realized.

At December 31, 2002 and 2001, deferred taxes were classified in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as follows:

	At Dece	At December 31	
	2002	2001	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ (760)	\$ (671)	
Deferred charges and other assets	(455)	(399)	
Federal and other taxes on income	85	11	
Noncurrent deferred income taxes	5,619	6,132	
Total deferred income taxes, net	\$4,489	\$5,073	

It is the company s policy for subsidiaries included in the U.S. consolidated tax return to record income tax expense as though they filed separately, with the parent recording the adjustment to income tax expense for the effects of consolidation. Income taxes are accrued for retained

earnings of international subsidiaries and corporate joint ventures intended to be remitted. Income taxes are not accrued for unremitted earnings of international operations that have been or are intended to be reinvested indefinitely.

Undistributed earnings of international consolidated subsidiaries and affiliates for which no deferred income tax provision has been made for possible future remittances totaled approximately \$10,108 at December 31, 2002. Substantially all of this amount represents earnings reinvested as part of the company s ongoing business. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of taxes that might be payable on the eventual remittance of such earnings. On remittance, certain countries impose withholding

NOTE 15. TAXES Continued

taxes that, subject to certain limitations, are then available for use as tax credits against a U.S. tax liability, if any.

Taxes other than on income were as follows:

	Year ended December 31			
	2002	2001	2000	
ted States				
Excise taxes on products and merchandise	\$ 3,990	\$ 3,954	\$ 3,909	
Import duties and other levies	12	8	25	
Property and other miscellaneous taxes	348	410	345	
Payroll taxes	141	148	139	
Taxes on production	179	225	238	
Total United States	4,670	4,745	4,656	
mational				
Excise taxes on products and merchandise	3,016	2,592	2,692	
Import duties and other levies	8,587	7,461	8,073	
Property and other miscellaneous taxes	291	268	271	
Payroll taxes	46	79	69	
Taxes on production	79	11	66	
Total International	12,019	10,411	11,171	
al taxes other than on income	\$16,689	\$15,156	\$15,827	

NOTE 16.

SHORT-TERM DEBT

	At December 31		
	2002	2001	
Commercial paper*	\$ 7,183	\$ 8,664	
Notes payable to banks and others with originating terms of one year or less	713	1,036	
Current maturities of long-term debt	740	1,095	
Current maturities of long-term capital leases	45	45	
Redeemable long-term obligations			
Long-term debt	487	488	
Capital leases	300	301	
Subtotal	9,468	11,629	
Reclassified to long-term debt	(4,110)	(3,200)	
Total short-term debt	\$ 5,358	\$ 8,429	

* Weighted-average interest rates at December 31, 2002 and 2001, were 1.47 percent and 1.99 percent, respectively, including the effect of interest rate swaps. Redeemable long-term obligations consist primarily of tax-exempt variable-rate put bonds that are included as current liabilities because they become redeemable at the option of the bondholders during the year following the balance sheet date.

The company periodically enters into interest rate swaps on a portion of its short-term debt. See Note 9 for information concerning the company s debt-related derivative activities.

At December 31, 2002, the company had \$4,110 of committed credit facilities with banks worldwide, which permit the company to refinance short-term obligations on a long-term basis. The facilities support the company s commercial paper borrowings. Interest on borrowings under the terms of specific agreements may be based on the London Interbank Offered Rate, the Reserve Adjusted Domestic Certificate of Deposit Rate or bank prime rate. No amounts were outstanding under these credit agreements during 2002 or at year-end.

At December 31, 2002 and 2001, the company classified \$4,110 and \$3,200, respectively, of short-term debt as long-term. Settlement of these obligations is not expected to require the use of working capital in 2003, as the company has both the intent and ability to refinance this debt on a long-term basis.

NOTE 17.

LONG-TERM DEBT

ChevronTexaco has three shelf registrations on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission that together would permit the issuance of \$2,050 of debt securities pursuant to Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933. The company s long-term debt outstanding at year-end 2002 and 2001 are as follows:

	At December 31	
	2002	2001
3.5% guarantees due 2007	\$ 1,992	\$
6.625% notes due 2004	499	499
5.5% note due 2009	439	393
7.327% amortizing notes due 2014 ¹	410	430
8.11% amortizing notes due 2004 ²	350	450
6% notes due 2005	299	299
9.75% debentures due 2020	250	250
5.7% notes due 2008	224	201
8.5% notes due 2003	200	200
7.75% debentures due 2003	199	199
8.625% debentures due 2031	199	199
8.625% debentures due 2032	199	199
7.5% debentures due 2043	198	198
6.875% debentures due 2023	196	196
7.09% notes due 2007	150	150
8.25% debentures due 2006	150	150
8.625% debentures due 2010	150	150
8.875% debentures due 2021	150	150
8.375% debentures due 2022		199
Medium-term notes, maturing from 2003 to 2043 (7.1%) ³	277	360
Other foreign currency obligations $(5.1\%)^3$	87	193
Other long-term debt $(3.9\%)^3$	678	1,534
Total including debt due within one year	7,296	6,599
Debt due within one year	(740)	(1,095)
Reclassified from short-term debt	4,110	3,200
Total long-term debt	\$10,666	\$ 8,704

¹ Guarantee of ESOP debt.

- ² Debt assumed from ESOP in 1999.
- ³ Less than \$150 individually; weighted-average interest rates at December 31, 2002.

Consolidated long-term debt maturing after December 31, 2002, is as follows: 2003 \$740; 2004 \$818; 2005 \$550; 2006 \$224; and 2007 \$2,192; after 2007 \$2,772.

In February 2003, the company redeemed \$200 of Texaco Capital Inc. bonds originally due in 2033. Also in February, the company issued \$750 of 3.375 percent bonds due in February 2008 under a shelf registration. The proceeds from this issuance were used to pay down commercial paper borrowings.

NOTE 18.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (FAS 143). This new standard was adopted effective January 1, 2003, and applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. Adoption of FAS 143 primarily affects the company s accounting for oil and gas producing assets. FAS 143 differs in several significant respects from current accounting under FAS 19, Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies. Adoption of FAS 143 affects future accounting and reporting of the assets, liabilities and expenses related to these obligations. In the first quarter 2003, the company will report an after-tax loss of \$200 to \$250 for the cumulative effect of this change in accounting principle, including the company s share of the effect of adoption hy its equity affiliates. The effect of adoption also included an increase of total assets and total liabilities of \$2.6 billion and \$2.8 billion, respectively. Other than the cumulative-effect change, the effect of the new accounting standard on 2003 net income is not expected to be materially different from what the result would have been under FAS 19 accounting. Upon adoption, legal obligations, if any, to retire downstream and chemical long-lived assets generally were not recognized because of indeterminate settlement dates for the asset retirement. Therefore, insufficient information exists to estimate the potential settlement dates and to apply the net-present-value techniques to estimate the fair value of the retirement obligation.

In July, the FASB issued Statement No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities (FAS 146). The standard requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. Examples of costs covered by the standard include lease termination costs and certain employee severance costs that are associated with a restructuring, discontinued operations, a plant closing, or other exit or disposal activity. The statement replaces EITF (Emerging Issues Task Force of the FASB) Issue No. 94-3, Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring). FAS 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002.

In November, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN 45). The disclosure provisions of FIN 45 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, and are included in Note 21. The recognition and measurement requirements are to be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. As these requirements relate to future events, the effect cannot be determined.

In December, the FASB issued Statement No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure (FAS 148), which amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. FAS 148 permits two additional transition methods for entities that adopt the fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and amends the disclosure requirements in both annual and interim financial statements. ChevronTexaco will continue to apply Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for stock options. The amended disclosure requirements of FAS 148 have been incorporated into Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46). FIN 46 amended ARB 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, and established standards for determining under what circumstances a variable interest entity (VIE) should be consolidated with its primary beneficiary. FIN 46 also requires disclosures about VIEs that the company is not required to consolidate but in which it has a significant variable interest. The consolidation requirements of FIN 46 apply immediately to VIEs created after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements apply to older entities in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. Certain of the disclosure requirements apply in all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003, regardless of when the VIE was established. The company does not expect that adoption of FIN 46 will have a significant impact on the results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

NOTE 19.

EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits The company has defined benefit pension plans for many employees and provides for certain health care and life insurance plans for some active and qualifying retired employees. The company typically funds only those defined benefit plans where legal funding is required. In the United States, this includes all qualified plans subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) minimum funding standard. The company does not fund domestic nonqualified plans or international plans that are not subject to any legal funding requirements. The aggregated funded status for the funded and unfunded pension plans is depicted in the following table.

The company s annual contribution for medical and dental benefits are limited to the lesser of actual medical and dental claims or a defined fixed per-capita amount. Life insurance benefits are paid by the company, and annual contributions are based on actual plan experience. Nonfunded pension and postretirement benefits are paid directly when incurred; accordingly, these payments are not reflected as changes in plan assets in the following table.

NOTE 19. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS Continued

The status of the company s pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans for 2002 and 2001 is as follows:

		Pension 1	Benefits			
	20	2002 2001		Other	Benefits	
	U.S.	Int'l.	U.S.	Int'l.	2002	2001
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATION						
Benefit obligation at January 1	\$ 5,180	\$1,848	\$4,977	\$1,736	\$ 2,526	\$ 2,247
Service cost	112	47	111	47	25	21
Interest cost	334	143	355	136	178	165
Plan participants contributions	2	3	2	2		
Plan amendments	298	9	12	13		(10)
Actuarial loss	410	36	341	108	307	244
Foreign currency exchange rate changes		154		(94)	5	(9)
Benefits paid	(1,028)	(123)	(532)	(110)	(176)	(158)
Curtailment	(_,===)	()	(47)	()	()	(3)
Special termination benefits			47	14		29
Plan divestiture			.,	(4)		_/
Acquisitions/joint ventures		46	(86)	(1)		
Benefit obligation at December 31	5,308	2,163	5,180	1,848	2,865	2,526
CHANCE IN BLAN ACCETC						
CHANGE IN PLAN ASSETS	4 400	1 5 4 7	5 009	1 757		
Fair value of plan assets at January 1	4,400	1,547	5,098	1,757		
Actual return on plan assets	(284)	(139)	(221)	(90)		
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	14	179	2	(56)		
Employer contribution	14	117	2	26		
Plan participants contributions	2	1	2	2		
Expenses	(0.40)	(0.4)	(6)	(00)		
Benefits paid	(942)	(94)	(475)	(88)		
Plan divestiture				(4)		
Acquisitions/joint ventures		34				
Fair value of plan assets at December 31	3,190	1,645	4,400	1,547		
FUNDED STATUS	(2,118)	(518)	(780)	(301)	(2,865)	(2,526)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	1,686	793	837	493	414	93
Unrecognized prior-service cost	363	74	129	70	(21)	(24)
Unrecognized net transitional assets		(1)		(7)		
Total recognized at December 31	\$ (69)	\$ 348	\$ 186	\$ 255	\$(2,472)	(2,457)
AMOUNTS RECOGNIZED IN THE CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET AT DECEMBER 31						
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ 164	\$ 652	\$ 568	\$ 574	\$	\$
Accrued benefit liability ¹	(1,928)	(324)	(529)	(334)	(2,472)	(2,457)
Intangible asset	360	8	10	12	(_,.,_)	(2,137)
Accumulated other comprehensive income ²	1,335	12	137	3		
Net amount recognized	\$ (69)	\$ 348	\$ 186	\$ 255	\$(2,472)	\$(2,457)

Weighted-average assumptions as of December						
31						
Discount rate	6.8%	7.1%	7.3%	7.7%	6.8%	7.3%
Expected return on plan assets	7.8%	8.3%	8.8%	8.9%		
Rate of compensation increase	4.0%	5.1%	4.0%	5.4%	4.1%	4.1%
-						

Includes additional minimum pension liabilities of \$1,695 and \$20 in 2002 for U.S. and International, respectively, and \$147 and \$15 in 2001 for U.S. and International, respectively. As a result, recorded liabilities reflect the amount of unfunded accumulated benefit obligations. The additional minimum pension liabilities are offset by intangible assets and a charge to Accumulated other comprehensive income.

² Accumulated other comprehensive income includes deferred income taxes of \$467 and \$4 in 2002 for U.S. and International, respectively, and \$48 and \$1 in 2001 for U.S. and International, respectively. This item is presented net of these taxes in the Consolidated Statement of Stockholder s Equity.

NOTE 19. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS Continued

The components of net periodic benefit cost for 2002, 2001 and 2000 were:

			Pensior	Benefits					
	2	002	2	001	20	000	(Other Benef	its
	U.S.	Int'l.	U.S.	Int'l.	U.S.	Int'l.	2002	2001	2000
Service cost	\$ 112	\$47	\$ 111	\$ 47	\$ 118	\$ 47	\$ 25	\$ 21	\$ 20
Interest cost	334	143	355	136	363	133	178	165	161
Expected return on plan assets	(288)	(138)	(443)	(170)	(503)	(167)			
Amortization of transitional assets		(3)	(2)	(4)	(31)	(6)			
Amortization of prior-service costs	32	12	25	12	30	12	(3)	(1)	(1)
Recognized actuarial losses (gains)	32	27	13	7	10	(2)	(1)	(6)	(10)
Settlement losses (gains)	146	1	12		(61)	1			
Curtailment losses (gains)			26		(20)	2		20	(15)
Special termination benefit recognition			47	14		6		29	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 368	\$ 89	\$ 144	\$ 42	\$ (94)	\$ 26	\$199	\$228	\$155

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were \$5,761, \$5,327 and \$3,283, respectively, at December 31, 2002, and \$2,496, \$2,187 and \$1,269, respectively, at December 31, 2001.

For postretirement benefit measurement purposes, one set of health care cost-trend rates was used for pre-age 65 and 65-and-over retirees. Starting in 2002, with approximately a 12 percent cost increase over the previous year, the trend rates gradually drop to 4.5 percent for 2007 and beyond. A one-percentage-point change in the assumed health care cost-trend rates would have had the following effects:

	1 Percent Increase	1 Percent Decrease
Effect on total service and interest cost components	\$24	\$ (20)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	\$285	\$(237)

Employee Savings Investment Plan Eligible employees of ChevronTexaco and certain of its subsidiaries participate in the ChevronTexaco Employee Savings Investment Plan (ESIP). In 2002, the Employees Thrift Plan of Texaco Inc., Employees Savings Plan of ChevronTexaco Global Energy Inc. (formerly Caltex Corporation), Stock Plan of ChevronTexaco Global Energy Inc. and Employees Thrift Plan of Fuel and Marine Marketing LLC were merged into the ChevronTexaco ESIP. Charges to expense for these plans were \$161, \$157 and \$63 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOP) Within the ChevronTexaco Employee Savings Investment Plan, the company has established an employee stock ownership plan. In December 1989, Chevron established a leveraged employee stock ownership plan (LESOP) as a constituent part of the ESOP. The LESOP provides partial pre-funding of the company s future commitments to the ESIP, which will result in annual income tax savings for the company.

In 1988, Texaco established a leveraged employee stock ownership plan as a component of the Employees Thrift Plan of Texaco Inc. The thrift plan LESOP loan was satisfied in December 2000. During 2002 the Employees Thrift Plan of Texaco Inc. was subsumed into the ChevronTexaco ESIP.

As permitted by American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement of Position 93-6, Employers Accounting for Employee Stock Ownership Plans, the company has elected to continue its practices, which are based on Statement of Position 76-3, Accounting Practices for Certain Employee Stock Ownership Plans, and subsequent consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force of the Financial Accounting Standards Board. The debt of the LESOPs is recorded as debt, and shares pledged as collateral are reported as Deferred compensation and benefit plan trust in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity. The company reports compensation expense equal to LESOP debt principal repayments less dividends received by the LESOPs. Interest incurred on the LESOP debt is recorded as interest expense. Dividends paid on LESOP shares are reflected as a reduction of retained earnings. All LESOP shares are considered outstanding for earnings-per-share computations.

Expense recorded for the LESOPs was \$98, \$75 and \$26 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, including \$32, \$43 and \$48 of interest expense related to LESOP debt. All dividends paid on the LESOP shares held are used to service the LESOP debt. The dividends used were \$49, \$86 and \$77 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

The company made LESOP contributions of \$102, \$75 and \$1 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, to satisfy LESOP debt service in excess of dividends received by the LESOP. The LESOP shares were pledged as collateral for the debt. Shares are released from a suspense account and allocated to the accounts of plan participants, based on the debt service deemed to be paid in the year in proportion to the total of current-year and remaining debt service. The charge (credit) to compensation expense was \$66, \$32 and \$(22) in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. LESOP shares as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, were as follows:

Thousands	2002	2001
Allocated shares Unallocated shares	12,513 7,743	12,541 8,836
Total LESOP shares	20,256	21,377

Benefit Plan Trust Texaco established a benefit plan trust for funding obligations under some of its benefit plans. At year-end 2002, the trust contained 7.1 million shares of ChevronTexaco treasury

NOTE 19. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS Continued

stock. The company intends to continue to pay its obligations under the benefit plans. The trust will sell the shares, or use the dividends from the shares, to pay benefits only to the extent that the company does not pay such benefits. The trustee will vote the shares held in the trust as instructed by the trust s beneficiaries. The shares held in the trust are not considered outstanding for earnings-per-share purposes until distributed or sold by the trust in payment of benefit obligations.

Management Incentive Plans ChevronTexaco has two incentive plans, the Management Incentive Plan (MIP) and the Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) for officers and other regular salaried employees of the company and its subsidiaries who hold positions of significant responsibility. The plans were expanded in 2002 to include former employees of Texaco and Caltex. The MIP is an annual cash incentive plan that links awards to performance results of the prior year. The cash awards may be deferred by conversion to stock units or other investment fund alternatives. Awards under the LTIP may take the form of, but are not limited to, stock options, restricted stock, stock units and nonstock grants. Texaco also had a cash incentive program and a Stock Incentive Plan (SIP) that included stock options, restricted stock and other incentive awards for executives, directors and key employees. Awards under the Caltex LTIP were in the form of performance units and stock appreciation rights. Charges to expense for the combined management incentive plans, excluding expense related to LTIP and SIP stock options and restricted stock awards, that are discussed in Note 20, were \$48, \$101 and \$83 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Other Incentive Plans The company has a program that provides eligible employees with an annual cash bonus if the company achieves certain financial and safety goals. Charges for the program were \$158, \$154 and \$230 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

NOTE 20.

STOCK OPTIONS

The company applies APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for its stock-based compensation programs, which are described below. Stock-based compensation (credit) expense recognized in connection with these programs was \$(2), \$111 and \$23 in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

The pro forma effect on net income and earnings per share, had the company applied the fair-value-recognition provisions of FAS No. 123, are shown in Note 1.

Broad-Based Employee Stock Options In 1998, Chevron granted to all its eligible employees an option that varied from 100 to 300 shares of stock or equivalents, dependent on the employee s salary or job grade. These options vested after two years in February 2000. Options for 4,820,800 shares were awarded at an exercise price of \$76.3125 per share. Outstanding option shares were 3,064,367 at year-end 2000. Exercises of 653,096 and forfeitures of 44,960 reduced the outstanding option shares to 2,366,311 at the end of 2001. In 2002, exercises of 295,985 and forfeitures of 61,151 reduced the outstanding option shares to 2,009,175 at the end of the year. The options expire February 11, 2008. The company recorded (credit) expense of \$(2), \$1 and \$(2) for these options in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

The fair value of each option share on the date of grant under FAS No. 123 was estimated at \$19.08 using the average results of Black-Scholes models for the preceding 10 years. The 10-year averages of each assumption used by the Black-Scholes models were: a risk-free interest rate of 7.0 percent, a dividend yield of 4.2 percent, an expected life of seven years and a volatility of 24.7 percent.

Long-Term Incentive Plan Stock options granted under the LTIP extend for 10 years from the date of grant. Effective with options granted in June 2002, one third of the options vest on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant. Prior to this change, options granted by Chevron vested one year after the date of grant, while options granted by Texaco under its SIP vested over a two-year period at a rate of 50 percent each year. The maximum number of shares that may be granted each year is 1 percent of the total outstanding shares of common stock as of January 1 of such year.

On the closing of the merger on October 9, 2001, outstanding options granted under the Texaco SIP were converted to ChevronTexaco options at the merger exchange rate of 0.77. These options retained a provision for restored options. This feature enables a participant who exercises a stock option by exchanging previously acquired common stock or who has shares withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations to receive new options equal to the number of shares exchanged or withheld. The restored options are fully exercisable six months after the date of grant, and the exercise price is the fair market value of the common stock on the day the restored option is granted. Restricted shares granted under the former Texaco plan contained a performance element that had to be satisfied in order for all or a specified portion of the shares to vest. Upon the merger, all restricted shares became vested and converted to ChevronTexaco shares at the merger exchange ratio of 0.77. Apart from the restored options, no further awards may be granted under the former Texaco plans. Amounts charged to compensation expense in 2002, 2001 and 2000, including the former Texaco plans, were \$0, \$110 and \$25, respectively. Restricted performance shares granted under SIP were as

follows:

	2002	2001	2000
Shares (thousands)	\$	392	409
Weighted-average fair value		\$91.05	\$73.40

The fair market value of each stock option granted is estimated on the date of grant under FAS No. 123 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	2002	2001	2000
ChevronTexaco plans:			
Expected life in years	7	7	7
Risk-free interest rate	4.6%	4.1%	5.8%
Volatility	21.6%	24.4%	25.6%
Dividend yield	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Texaco plans:			
Expected life in years	2	2	2
Risk-free interest rate	1.6%	3.9%	6.4%
Volatility	24.1%	25.9%	33.8%
Dividend yield	3.1%	3.1%	3.0%

NOTE 20. STOCK OPTIONS Continued

The Black-Scholes weighted-average fair value of the ChevronTexaco options granted during 2002, 2001 and 2000 was \$18.59, \$20.45 and \$22.34 per share, respectively, and the weighted-average fair value of the SIP restored options granted during 2002 and the Texaco options granted during 2001 and 2000 was \$10.29, \$12.90 and \$11.56 per share.

A summary of the status of stock options awarded under the company s LTIP, as well as the former Texaco plans, for 2002, 2001 and 2000 follows:

	Options (thousands)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 1999	18,923	\$73.99
Granted	3,763	77.18
Exercised	(1,460)	53.99
Restored	456	78.42
Forfeited	(812)	84.18
Outstanding at December 31, 2000	20,870	\$75.67
Granted	3,777	89.84
Exercised	(8,209)	78.16
Restored	6,766	89.77
Forfeited	(584)	85.76
Outstanding at December 31, 2001	22,620	\$81.13
Granted	3,291	86.15
Exercised	(1,818)	73.01
Restored	1,274	89.38
Forfeited	(745)	\$88.10
Outstanding at December 31, 2002	24,622	\$82.66
Exercisable at December 31		
2000	16,021	\$74.95
2001	19,028	\$79.64
2002	21,445	\$82.14

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding, including those from former Texaco plans, at December 31, 2002:

		Option	s Outstanding	Options Ex	tercisable
Range of	Number	Weighted- Average Remaining	Weighted- Average	Number	Weighted- Average
Exercise	Outstanding	Contractual Life	Exercise	Exercisable	Exercise
Prices	(thousands)	(years)	Price	(thousands)	Price

\$31 to \$41	1	0.2	\$38.56	1	\$38.56
41 to 51	1,824	1.9	45.56	1,824	45.56
51 to 61	24	3.7	56.15	24	56.15
61 to 71	716	3.9	66.30	710	66.28
71 to 81	4,212	5.5	79.10	4,212	79.10
81 to 91	13,798	6.9	86.90	10,627	87.11
91 to 101	4,047	6.4	91.67	4,047	91.67
¢21 +- ¢101	24 (22	6.1	¢ 9 2 ((21.445	¢ 92 14
\$31 to \$101	24,622	6.1	\$82.66	21,445	\$82.14

NOTE 21.

OTHER CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

Income Taxes The company estimates its income tax expense and liabilities annually. These liabilities generally are not finalized with the individual taxing authorities until several years after the end of the annual period for which income taxes have been estimated. The U.S. federal income tax liabilities have been settled through 1996 for ChevronTexaco (formerly Chevron), 1993 for ChevronTexaco Global Energy Inc. (formerly Caltex), and 1991 for Texaco. California franchise tax liabilities have been settled through 1987 for Texaco. Settlement of open tax years, as well as tax issues in other countries where the company conducts its businesses, is not expected to have a material effect on the consolidated financial position or liquidity of the company, and in the opinion of management, adequate provision has been made for income and franchise taxes for all years under examination or subject to future examination.

Guarantees At December 31, 2002, the company and its subsidiaries provide guarantees, either directly or indirectly, of \$1,038 for notes and other contractual obligations of affiliated companies and \$806 for third parties, as discussed by major category below. There are no amounts being carried as liabilities for the company s obligations under these guarantees.

Of the guarantees provided to affiliates, \$775 relate to borrowings for capital projects or general corporate purposes. These guarantees were undertaken to achieve lower interest rates and generally cover the construction period of the capital projects. Approximately 50 percent of the amounts guaranteed will expire within the 2003 2006 period, with the remaining guarantees expiring by the end of 2015. Under the terms of the guarantees, the company would be required to perform should an affiliate be in default of its loan terms, generally for the full amounts disclosed. There are no recourse provisions, and no assets are held as collateral for these guarantees.

The company provides guarantees of \$263 relating to obligations in connection with pricing of power purchase agreements for certain of its cogeneration affiliates. Under the terms of these guarantees, the company may be required to make payments under certain conditions if the affiliates do not perform under the agreements. There are no provisions for recourse to third parties, and no assets are held as collateral for these pricing guarantees.

Guarantees of \$437 have been provided to third parties, including approximately \$100 of construction loans to host governments in the company s international upstream operations. The remaining guarantees of \$337 were provided principally as conditions of sale of the company s interest in certain operations, to provide a source of liquidity to the guaranteed parties and in connection with company marketing programs. No amounts of the company s obligations under these guarantees are recorded as liabilities. Approximately half of the total amounts guaranteed will expire in 2003, with the remainder expiring after 2007. The company would be required to perform under the terms of the guarantees should an entity be in default of its loan or contract terms, generally for the full amounts disclosed. Approximately \$200 of the guarantees have recourse provisions that enable the company to recover any payments made under the terms of the guarantees from securities held over the guaranteed parties assets.

Guarantees of \$369 relate to Equilon debt and leases. In connection with the February 2002 disposition of its interest in Equilon, Shell Oil Company agreed to indemnify the company against any claims arising out of these guarantees. The company has not recorded a liability for these guarantees. Guarantees on approximately 30 percent of the debt and leases will expire within the 2003 2007 period, with the guarantees of the remaining amounts expiring by 2024.

Indemnities The company also provided certain indemnities of contingent liabilities of Equilon and Motiva to Shell Oil Com-

Table of Contents

NOTE 21. OTHER CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS Continued

pany Saudi Refining Inc. in connection with the February 2002 sale of the company s interests in those investments. The indemnities cover contingent general liabilities, certain contingent environmental liabilities and liabilities associated with the Unocal patent litigation. The company would be required to perform should the contingent general liabilities become actual liabilities within 18 months of the sale and could be required to make maximum future payments of \$300. The company has not recorded liabilities for these contingencies. There are no recourse provisions enabling recovery of any amounts from third parties nor are any assets held as collateral. Within five years of the February 2002 sale, at the buyer s option, the company also may be required to purchase certain assets from Shell Oil Company for their net book value, as determined at the time of the company s purchase.

The indemnities pertaining to the contingent environmental liabilities relate to assets originally contributed by Texaco to the Equilon and Motiva joint ventures and environmental conditions that existed prior to the formation of Equilon and Motiva or that occurred during the periods of ChevronTexaco s ownership interests in the joint ventures. In general, the environmental conditions or events that are subject to these indemnities must have arisen prior to December 12, 2001. Claims relating to Equilon must be asserted no later than February 13, 2009, and claims relating to Motiva must be asserted no later than February 13, 2012. Under the terms of the indemnities, there is no maximum limit on the amount of potential future payments. The company has not recorded any liabilities for possible claims under these indemnities. The amounts indemnified are to be net of amounts recovered from insurance carriers and others and net of liabilities recorded by Equilon or Motiva prior to September 30, 2001, for any applicable incident. The company holds no assets as collateral. During 2002, the company made no payments under the indemnities.

Securitization In other off-balance-sheet arrangements, the company securitizes certain retail and trade accounts receivable in its downstream business through the use of qualifying special purpose entities (SPEs). At December 31, 2002, approximately \$1,000, representing about 11 percent of ChevronTexaco s total current accounts receivables balance, were securitized. ChevronTexaco s total estimated financial exposure under these arrangements at December 31, 2002, was approximately \$75. These arrangements have the effect of accelerating ChevronTexaco s collection of the securitized amounts. In the event of the SPEs experiencing major defaults in the collection of receivables, ChevronTexaco would have no loss exposure connected with third-party investments in these securitization arrangements.

Long-Term Unconditional Purchase Obligations and Commitments, Throughput Agreements and Take-or-Pay Agreements The company and its subsidiaries have certain other contingent liabilities relating to long-term unconditional purchase obligations and commitments, throughput agreements, and take-or-pay agreements, some of which relate to suppliers financing arrangements. The agreements typically provide goods and services, such as pipeline and storage capacity, utilities, and petroleum products, to be used or sold in the ordinary course of the company s business. The aggregate amounts of required payments under these various commitments are 2003 \$1,320; 2004 \$1,257; 2005 \$1,274; 2006 \$1,109; 2007 \$1,096; 2008 and after \$2,798. Total payments under the agreements were \$1,188 in 2002, \$1,509 in 2001 and \$1,506 in 2000. The most significant take-or-pay agreement calls for the company to purchase approximately 55,000 barrels per day of refined products from an equity affiliate refiner in Thailand. This purchase agreement is in conjunction with the financing of a refinery owned by the affiliate and expires in 2009. The future estimated commitments under this contract are: 2003 \$800; 2004 \$800; 2005 \$900; 2006 \$900; 2007 \$900; 2008 and 2009 \$1,800.

Minority Interests The company has commitments related to preferred shares of subsidiary companies, which are accounted for as minority interest. MVP Production Inc., a subsidiary, has variable rate cumulative preferred shares of \$75 owned by one minority holder. The shares are voting and are redeemable in 2003. Texaco Capital LLC, a wholly owned finance subsidiary, has issued \$65 of Deferred Preferred Shares, Series C. Dividends amounting to \$59 on Series C, at a rate of 7.17 percent compounded annually, will be paid at the redemption date of February 28, 2005, unless earlier redemption occurs. Early redemption may result upon the occurrence of certain specific events.

Environmental The company is subject to loss contingencies pursuant to environmental laws and regulations that in the future may require the company to take action to correct or ameliorate the effects on the environment of prior release of chemical or petroleum substances, including MTBE, by the company or other parties. Such contingencies may exist for various sites, including but not limited to: Superfund sites and refineries, oil fields, service stations, terminals, and land development areas, whether operating, closed or sold. The amount of such future cost is indeterminable due to such factors as the unknown magnitude of possible contamination, the unknown timing and extent of the corrective actions that may be required, the determination of the company s liability in proportion to other responsible parties, and the extent to which such costs are recoverable from third parties. While the company has provided for known environmental obligations that are probable and reasonably estimable, the amount of additional future costs may be material to results of operations in the period in which they are recognized. The company does not expect these costs will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or liquidity. Also, the company does not believe its obligations to make such expenditures have had, or will have, any significant impact on the company s competitive position relative to other U.S. or international petroleum or chemicals concerns.

The company believes it has no material market or credit risks to its operations, financial position or liquidity as a result of its commodities and other derivatives activities, including forward exchange contracts and interest rate swaps. However, the results of operations and the

financial position of certain equity affiliates may be affected by their business activities involving the use of derivative instruments.

Global Operations Areas in which the company and its affiliates have significant operations include the United States of America, Canada, Australia, the United Kingdom, Norway, Denmark, France, Partitioned Neutral Zone between Kuwait and Saudi Arabia, Republic of Congo, Angola, Nigeria, Chad, Equatorial Guinea, Democratic Republic of Congo, South Africa,

Table of Contents

NOTE 21. OTHER CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS Continued

Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Singapore, China, Thailand, Venezuela, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Trinidad and Tobago and South Korea. The company s Tengizchevroil affiliate operates in Kazakhstan. The company s Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC affiliate manufactures and markets a wide range of petrochemicals and plastics on a worldwide basis, with manufacturing facilities in existence or under construction in the United States, Puerto Rico, Singapore, China, South Korea, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Mexico and Belgium. The company s Dynegy affiliate has operations in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom and other European countries.

The company s operations, particularly exploration and production, can be affected by other changing economic, regulatory and political environments in the various countries in which it operates, including the United States. For instance, in December 2002, Caltex Oil (SA) (Pty) Limited (Caltex Oil (SA)) announced the signing of a shareholders agreement with a South African consortium of Black Economic Empowerment partners. The agreement is intended to ultimately provide the consortium a 25 percent equity interest in all aspects of Caltex s operations in South Africa. It is uncertain as to whether any additional actions will be taken by host governments in other countries to increase public ownership of the company s partially- or wholly-owned businesses.

In certain locations, host governments have imposed restrictions, controls and taxes, and in others, political conditions have existed that may threaten the safety of employees and the company s continued presence in those countries. Internal unrest or strained relations between a host government and the company or other governments may affect the company s operations. Those developments have, at times, significantly affected the company s related operations and results, and are carefully considered by management when evaluating the level of current and future activity in such countries.

Equity Redetermination For oil and gas producing operations, ownership agreements may provide for periodic reassessments of equity interests in estimated oil and gas reserves. These activities, individually or together, may result in gains or losses that could be material to earnings in any given period. One such equity redetermination process has been under way since 1996 for ChevronTexaco s interests in four producing zones at the Naval Petroleum Reserve at Elk Hills in California, for the time when the remaining interests in these zones were owned by the U.S. Department of Energy. A wide range remains for a possible net settlement amount for the four zones. ChevronTexaco currently estimates its maximum possible net before-tax liability at less than \$200. At the same time, a possible maximum net amount that could be owed to ChevronTexaco is estimated at more than \$50. The timing of the settlement and the exact amount within this range of estimates are uncertain.

Other Contingencies ChevronTexaco receives claims from and submits claims to customers, trading partners, U.S. federal, state and local regulatory bodies, host governments, contractors, insurers, and suppliers. The amounts of these claims, individually and in the aggregate, may be significant and take lengthy periods to resolve.

The company and its affiliates also continue to review and analyze their operations and may close, abandon, sell, exchange, acquire or restructure assets to achieve operational or strategic benefits and to improve competitiveness and profitability. These activities, individually or together, may result in gains or losses in future periods.

NOTE 22.

EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (EPS) includes the effects of deferrals of salary and other compensation awards that are invested in ChevronTexaco stock units by certain officers and employees of the company and is based upon net income less preferred stock dividend requirements. Diluted EPS includes the effects of these deferrals as well as the dilutive effects of outstanding stock options awarded under the company s stock option programs (see Note 20, Stock Options). The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted EPS:

	2002				2001		2000		
	Net Income	Shares (millions)	Per-Share Amount	Net Income	Shares (millions)	Per-Share Amount	Net Income	Shares (millions)	Per-Share Amount
Net income	\$1,132			\$3,288			\$7,727		
Weighted-average common shares outstanding		1,060.7			1,059.3			1,066.6	
Dividend equivalents paid on Chevron stock units	3			2			2		

Eug	ai riiiig.					11 10-K		
Deferred awards held as Chevron stock units		0.8			0.8			0.9
Preferred stock dividends				(6)			(15)	
Basic EPS Computation	\$1,135	1,061.5	\$ 1.07	\$3,284	1.060.1	\$ 3.10	\$7,714	1,067.5
Dilutive effects of stock options, restricted	<i><i><i>ϕ</i></i> 1,100</i>	1,00110	ψ 1.07	¢ <i>3</i> ,201	1,000.1	φ 5.10	ψ/,/11	1,007.5
stock and convertible debentures	2	1.9		4	2.8		3	2.4
Diluted EPS Computation	\$1,137	1,063.4	\$ 1.07	\$3,288	1,062.9	\$ 3.09	\$7,717	1,069.9

FS-45

\$ 7.23

\$ 7.21

QUARTERLY RESULTS AND STOCK MARKET DATA

Unaudited

		20	002			20	001	
Millions of dollars, except per-share amounts	4TH Q	3RD Q	2ND Q	1ST Q	4TH Q	3RD Q	2ND Q	1ST Q
REVENUES AND OTHER								
INCOME	\$ \$< 0.43	# 25 (01	# 25 222	# 20.044	¢ 01 000	¢ 25, 420	¢ 20.002	¢ 20.057
Sales and other operating revenues ¹ Income (loss) from equity affiliates	\$26,943 111	\$25,681 (193)	\$25,223 81	\$20,844 112	\$21,239 (38)	\$25,430 320	\$28,883 574	\$28,857 288
Other income	4	15	29	112	259	217	89	127
TOTAL REVENUES AND OTHER INCOME	27,058	25,503	25,333	21,155	21,460	25,967	29,546	29,272
COSTS AND OTHER DEDUCTIONS								
Purchased crude oil and products,								
operating and other expenses	19,462	18,187	17,681	14,513	15,634	17,502	20,267	19,819
Depreciation, depletion and								
amortization	1,271	1,514	1,241	1,205	3,562	1,172	1,168	1,157
Taxes other than on income ¹	4,403	4,369	4,137	3,780	3,556	4,023	3,793	3,784
Merger-related expenses	163	111	119	183	1,407	83	48	25
Write-down of equity affiliates	22	1,230	702	10	21	10	24	20
Minority interests Interest and debt expense	22 141	13 117	10 160	12 147	31 171	18 186	34 217	38 259
Interest and debt expense	141	117	100	14/	1/1	180	217	239
TOTAL COSTS AND OTHER DEDUCTIONS	25,462	25,541	24,050	19,840	24,361	22,984	25,527	25,082
		·						
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAX	1,596	(38)	1,283	1,315	(2,901)	2,983	4,019	4,190
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	1,000	(00)	1,200	1,010	(2,501)	2,905	1,017	1,190
(CREDIT)	692	866	876	590	(526)	1,218	1,911	1,757
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE								
EXTRAORDINARY ITEM	\$ 904	\$ (904)	\$ 407	\$ 725	\$ (2,375)	\$ 1,765	\$ 2,108	\$ 2,433
EXTRAORDINARY LOSS, NET OF INCOME TAX					(147)	(496)		
NET INCOME (LOSS) ²	\$ 904	\$ (904)	\$ 407	\$ 725	\$ (2,522)	\$ 1,269	\$ 2,108	\$ 2,433
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER								
SHARE BEFORE								
EXTRAORDINARY ITEM								
BASIC	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.85)	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.68	\$ (2.24)	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.99	\$ 2.30
DILUTED	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.85)	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.68	\$ (2.24)	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.99	\$ 2.29
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER								
SHARE BASIC	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.85)	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.68	\$ (2.38)	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.99	\$ 2.30
DILUTED	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.85)	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.68	\$ (2.38)	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.99	\$ 2.29

DIVIDENDS PAID PER SHARE ³ COMMON STOCK PRICE	\$	0.70	\$	0.70	\$	0.70	\$	0.70	\$	0.70	\$	0.65	\$	0.65	\$	0.65
RANGE HIGH	\$	75.43	\$	88.93	\$	91.04	\$	91.60	\$	93.77	\$	93.61	\$	98.49	\$	93.45
LOW	\$	65.41	\$	65.64	\$	83.55	\$	80.80	\$	82.00	\$	78.60	\$	84.59	\$	78.44
¹ Includes consumer excise taxes:	\$	1,785	\$	1,782	\$	1,749	\$	1,690	\$	1,633	\$	1,680	\$	1,624	\$	1,609
¹ Includes consumer excise taxes: ² Net charges for special items and merger effects included in NET	\$	1,785	\$	1,782	\$	1,749	\$	1,690	\$	1,633	\$	1,680	\$	1,624	\$	1,609
² Net charges for special items and	\$ \$	1,785 (161)	•	1,782 (2,141)	\$ \$	1,749 (826)	\$ \$	1,690 (206)	Ţ	1,633 (3,020)	\$ \$	1,680 (445)	\$ \$	1,624 (36)	\$ \$	1,609 (21)

The company s common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (trading symbol: CVX) and on the Pacific Exchange. As of March 7, 2003, stockholders of record numbered approximately 247,000. Through October 9, 2001, the common stock traded under the name of Chevron Corporation (trading symbol: CHV).

There are no restrictions on the company s ability to pay dividends.

FIVE-YEAR FINANCIAL SUMMARY

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$31,604	\$ 33,958	\$ 33,369	\$29,791	\$28,867
TOTAL LIABILITIES	45,755	43,614	44,252	45,589	41,257
Other noncurrent liabilities	14,968	13,971	14,770	14,761	14,523
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	10,911	8,989	12,821	13,145	11,675
Other current liabilities	14,518	12,225	13,567	11,620	9,480
Short-term debt	5,358	8,429	3,094	6,063	5,579
FOTAL ASSETS	77,359	77,572	77,621	75,380	70,124
Noncurrent assets	59,583	59,245	59,708	58,337	55,967
DECEMBER 31) Current assets	\$17,776	\$ 18,327	\$ 17,913	\$17,043	\$14,157
COMBINED BALANCE SHEET DATA (AT					
CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE*	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.65	\$ 2.60	\$ 2.48	\$ 2.44
DILUTED	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.09	\$ 7.21	\$ 3.00	\$ 1.75
NET INCOME PER SHARE BASIC	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.10	\$ 7.23	\$ 3.01	\$ 1.76
DILUTED	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.70	\$ 7.21	\$ 3.00	\$ 1.75
EXTRAORDINARY ITEM BASIC	\$ 1.07	\$ 3.71	\$ 7.23	\$ 3.01	\$ 1.76
NET INCOME PER SHARE BEFORE					
NET INCOME	\$ 1,132	\$ 3,288	\$ 7,727	\$ 3,247	\$ 1,917
	A 1 1 2 3			• • • • • • •	• • • • • =
INCOME BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY ITEM EXTRAORDINARY LOSS, NET OF INCOME TAX	\$ 1,132	\$ 3,931 (643)	\$ 7,727	\$ 3,247	\$ 1,917
	3,024	4,500	0,322	2,505	515
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES INCOME TAX EXPENSE	4,156 3,024	8,291 4,360	14,049 6,322	5,812 2,565	2,836 919
TOTAL COSTS AND OTHER DEDUCTIONS	94,893	97,954	105,081	79,901	70,422
FOTAL REVENUES AND OTHER INCOME	99,049	106,245	119,130	85,713	73,258
Fotal sales and other operating revenues Income from equity affiliates and other income	\$98,691 358	\$104,409 1,836	\$117,095 2,035	\$84,004 1,709	\$71,937 1,321
COMBINED STATEMENT OF INCOME DATA REVENUES AND OTHER INCOME	¢00.701	¢ 104 400	¢ 117 005	¢04.004	¢ 71 027
Millions of dollars, except per-share amounts	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998

*Chevron dividend pre-merger.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES

Unaudited

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 69, Disclosures About Oil and Gas Producing Activities (FAS 69), this section provides supplemental information on oil and gas exploration and producing activities of the company in seven separate tables. Tables I through IV provide historical cost information pertaining to costs incurred in exploration, property acquisitions and development; capitalized costs; and results of operations. Tables V through VII present information on the company s estimated net proved reserve quantities, standardized measure of estimated discounted future net cash flows related to proved reserves, and changes in estimated discounted future net cash flows. The Africa geographic area includes activities principally in Nigeria, Angola, Chad, Republic of Congo and Democratic Republic of Congo. The Asia-Pacific geographic area includes activities principally in Australia, China, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Partitioned Neutral Zone between Kuwait and Saudi Arabia, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines and Thailand. The Other geographic category includes activities in the United Kingdom, Canada, Denmark, the Netherlands, Norway, Trinidad and Tobago, Colombia, Venezuela, Brazil, Argentina, and other countries. Amounts shown for affiliated companies are ChevronTexaco s 50 percent equity share of Tengizchevroil (TCO), an exploration and production partnership operating in the Republic of Kazakhstan, and a 30 percent equity share of Hamaca, an exploration and production partnership operating in 2000. The company increased its ownership in TCO from 45 percent to 50 percent in January 2001.

TABLE I COSTS INCURRED IN EXPLORATION, PROPERTY ACQUISITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT

		C	Consolidated Com	npanies		Affi Com		
Millions of dollars	U.S.	Africa	Asia-Pacific	Other	Total	TCO ²	Hamaca	Worldwide
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002								
Exploration								
Wells	\$ 477	\$131	\$ 48	\$ 92	\$ 748	\$	\$	\$ 748
Geological and geophysical	95	69	43	53	260			260
Rentals and other	35	29	38	43	145			145
Total exploration	607	229	129	188	1,153			1,153
Property acquisitions								
Proved ³	106				106			106
Unproved	51	6	2	1	60			60
Total property acquisitions	157	6	2	1	166			166
Development	1,091	661	1,017	926	3,695	447	353	4,495
TOTAL COSTS INCURRED	\$1,855	\$896	\$1,148	\$1,115	\$5,014	\$447	\$353	\$5,814
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001								
Exploration								
Wells	\$ 620	\$172	\$ 186	\$ 197	\$1,175	\$	\$	\$1,175
Geological and geophysical	46	35	42	65	188			188
Rentals and other	65	48	15	98	226			226
Total exploration	731	255	243	360	1,589			1,589
Property acquisitions								
Proved ³	25	4			29	362		391
Unproved	50	38	12		100	108		208
Total property acquisitions	75	42	12		129	470		599
Development	1,754	551	1,168	494	3,967	266	275	4,508
TOTAL COSTS INCURRED	\$2,560	\$848	\$1,423	\$ 854	\$5,685	\$736	\$275	\$6,696
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000								
Exploration								
Wells	\$ 526	\$139	\$ 179	\$ 63	\$ 907	\$	\$	\$ 907
Geological and geophysical	60	35	67	105	267			267
Rentals and other	73	43	55	83	254			254
Total exploration	659	217	301	251	1,428			1,428

Property acquisitions							
Proved ³	162	1	278	1	442		442
Unproved	66	9		184	259		259
Total property acquisitions	228	10	278	185	701		701
Development	1,453	435	1,067	718	3,673	240	3,913
TOTAL COSTS INCURRED	\$2,340	\$662	\$1,646	\$1,154	\$5,802	\$240	\$ \$6,042

¹ Includes costs incurred whether capitalized or expensed. Excludes support equipment expenditures.

² Includes acquisition costs for an additional 5 percent interest in 2001.
 ³ Includes wells, equipment and facilities associated with proved reserves. Does not include properties acquired through property exchanges.

Table of Contents

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES Continued

Unaudited

TABLE II CAPITALIZED COSTS RELATED TO OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES

		Co	Affiliated Companies					
Millions of dollars	U.S.	Africa	Asia-Pacific	Other	Total	TCO	Hamaca*	Worldwide
AT DECEMBER 31, 2002								
Unproved properties	\$ 1,362	\$ 330	\$ 259	\$ 1,134	\$ 3,085	\$ 108	\$	\$ 3,193
Proved properties and related								
producing assets	37,441	5,993	10,172	10,367	63,973	1,975	144	66,092
Support equipment	774	447	2,188	377	3,786	338		4,124
Deferred exploratory wells	106	130	103	111	450			450
Other uncompleted projects	502	1,406	1,597	497	4,002	676	683	5,361
GROSS CAPITALIZED COSTS	40,185	8,306	14,319	12,486	75,296	3,097	827	79,220
Unproved properties valuation	961	80	90	277	1,408	24		1,432
Proved producing properties					,			,
depreciation and depletion	27,115	3,251	5,392	5,457	41,215	270	9	41,494
Future abandonment and restoration	999	508	304	392	2,203	24		2,227
Support equipment depreciation	557	289	1,145	223	2,214	138		2,352
Accumulated provisions	29,632	4,128	6,931	6,349	47,040	456	9	47,505
NET CAPITALIZED COSTS	\$10,553	\$4,178	\$ 7,388	\$ 6,137	\$28,256	\$2,641	\$ 818	\$31,715
AT DECEMBER 31, 2001								
Unproved properties	\$ 1,178	\$ 304	\$ 565	\$ 1,168	\$ 3,215	\$ 108	\$	\$ 3,323
Proved properties and related	φ 1,170	φ <i>5</i> 01	φ 305	φ 1,100	\$ 5,215	φ 100	Ψ	\$ 3,3 2 3
producing assets	35,665	5,487	10,332	9,435	60,919	1,878	88	62,885
Support equipment	766	390	2,177	313	3,646	293	00	3,939
Deferred exploratory wells	91	390	128	79	688	270		688
Other uncompleted projects	1,080	750	654	472	2,956	245	376	3,577
GROSS CAPITALIZED COSTS	38,780	7,321	13,856	11,467	71,424	2,524	464	74,412
Unproved properties valuation	807	86	73	222	1,188			1,188
Proved producing properties	007	00	, 0		1,100			1,100
depreciation and depletion	25,844	2,998	4,733	4,827	38,402	219	3	38,624
Future abandonment and restoration	1,016	449	281	342	2,088	19		2,107
Support equipment depreciation	452	160	1,122	162	1,896	123		2,019
Accumulated provisions	28,119	3,693	6,209	5,553	43,574	361	3	43,938
NET CAPITALIZED COSTS	\$10,661	\$3,628	\$ 7,647	\$ 5,914	\$27,850	\$2,163	\$ 461	\$30,474
AT DECEMBER 31, 2000		.	.	.		• • •	• • •	
Unproved properties	\$ 1,233	\$ 176	\$ 540	\$ 1,219	\$ 3,168	\$ 378	\$ 63	\$ 3,609

Proved properties and related producing assets	34,587	5,050	8,905	8,702	57,244	1,158	71	58,473
	721	,	2,126	272	3,485	254	42	3,781
Support equipment		366	,			234	42	,
Deferred exploratory wells	182	354	120	126	782			782
Other uncompleted projects	741	693	674	605	2,713	136		2,849
GROSS CAPITALIZED COSTS	37,464	6,639	12,365	10,924	67,392	1,926	176	69,494
Unproved properties valuation	317	69	66	170	622			622
Proved producing properties								
depreciation and depletion	23,528	2,700	3,986	3,940	34,154	131		34,285
Future abandonment and restoration	1,071	413	274	317	2,075	13		2,088
Support equipment depreciation	380	141	1,224	172	1,917	97	1	2,015
Accumulated provisions	25,296	3,323	5,550	4,599	38,768	241	1	39,010
NET CAPITALIZED COSTS	\$12,168	\$3,316	\$ 6,815	\$ 6,325	\$28,624	\$1,685	\$ 175	\$30,484

* Existing costs were transferred from a consolidated subsidiary to an affiliate at year-end 2000. Previously reported in Consolidated Companies Other. FS-49

Table of Contents

TABLE III RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES

The company s results of operations from oil and gas producing activities for the years 2002, 2001 and 2000 are shown in the following table. Net income from exploration and production activities as reported on pages FS-4 and FS-5 reflects income taxes computed on an effective rate basis. In accordance with FAS No. 69, income taxes in Table III are based on statutory tax rates, reflecting allowable deductions and tax credits. Interest income and expense are excluded from the results reported in Table III and from the net income amounts on pages FS-4 and FS-5.

		Cons	Affiliated Companies					
Millions of dollars	U.S.	Africa	Asia-Pacific	Other	Total	TCO	Hamaca	Worldwide
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002								
Revenues from net production							·	
Sales	\$ 2,737	\$ 1,121	\$ 1,410	\$2,080	\$ 7,348	\$ 955	\$44	\$ 8,347
Transfers	4,425	1,663	3,090	1,202	10,380			10,380
Total	7,162	2,784	4,500	3,282	17,728	955	44	18,727
Production expenses	(2,321)	(439)	(958)	(683)	(4,401)	(166)	(4)	(4,571)
Proved producing properties: depreciation,								
depletion and abandonment provision	(1,577)	(352)	(673)	(694)	(3,296)	(91)	(5)	(3,392)
Exploration expenses	(216)	(106)	(109)	(160)	(591)			(591)
Unproved properties valuation	(35)	(14)	(9)	(67)	(125)			(125)
Other (expense) income ²	(359)	(179)	(399)	59	(878)	(5)	(12)	(895)
Results before income taxes	2,654	1,694	2,352	1,737	8,437	693	23	9,153
Income tax expense	(933)	(1,202)	(1,434)	(677)	(4,246)	(208)		(4,454)
RESULTS OF PRODUCING								
OPERATIONS	\$ 1,721	\$ 492	\$ 918	\$1,060	\$ 4,191	\$ 485	\$ 23	\$ 4,699
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001								
Revenues from net production								
Sales	\$ 5.024	\$ 1,147	\$ 1,264	\$2,181	\$ 9,616	\$ 673	\$6	\$10,295
Transfers	3,991	1,913	2,796	1,107	9,807			9,807
Total	9,015	3,060	4,060	3,288	19,423	673	6	20,102
Production expenses	(2,442)	(447)	(856)	(687)	(4,432)	(142)	(6)	(4,580)
Proved producing properties: depreciation,								
depletion and abandonment provision	(1,614)	(344)	(498)	(658)	(3,114)	(80)	(1)	(3,195)
Exploration expenses	(424)	(132)	(234)	(298)	(1,088)			(1,088)
Unproved properties valuation	(38)	(33)	(9)	(77)	(157)			(157)
Other (expense) income ²	(1,653)	(110)	(209)	(5)	(1,977)	9	2	(1,966)
Results before income taxes	2,844	1,994	2,254	1,563	8,655	460	1	9,116
Income tax expense	(1,074)	(1,455)	(1,432)	(620)	(4,581)	(138)		(4,719)
RESULTS OF PRODUCING								
OPERATIONS	\$ 1,770	\$ 539	\$ 822	\$ 943	\$ 4,074	\$ 322	\$ 1	\$ 4,397
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000								
Revenues from net production								
Sales	\$ 5,878	\$ 2.804	\$ 1,404	\$2,310	\$12,396	\$ 710	\$	\$13,106
Transfers	4,387	650	3,203	1,409	9,649			9,649
Total	10,265	3,454	4,607	3,719	22,045	710		22,755
Production expenses	(2,182)	(405)	(865)	(727)	(4,179)	(114)		(4,293)
Proved producing properties: depreciation,	(1 -)	()	()	× · · /		. ,		
depletion and abandonment provision	(1,558)	(337)	(585)	(676)	(3,156)	(53)		(3,209)

Exploration expenses Unproved properties valuation Other (expense) income ²	(395) (49) (631)	(166) (16) 45	(176) (7) (13)	(217) (75) 237	(954) (147) (362)	(56)	(954) (147) (418)
Results before income taxes Income tax expense	5,450 (1,927)	2,575 (1,974)	2,961 (1,724)	2,261 (984)	13,247 (6,609)	487 (146)	13,734 (6,755)
RESULTS OF PRODUCING OPERATIONS	\$ 3,523	\$ 601	\$ 1,237	\$1,277	\$ 6,638	\$ 341	\$ \$ 6,979

¹ The value of owned production consumed as fuel has been eliminated from revenues and production expenses, and the related volumes have been deducted from net production in calculating the unit average sales price and production cost. This has no effect on the results of producing operations.

² Includes net sulfur income, foreign currency transaction gains and losses, certain significant impairment write-downs, miscellaneous expenses, etc. Also includes net income from related oil and gas activities that do not have oil and gas reserves attributed to them (for example, net income from technical and operating service agreements) and items identified in the Management s Discussion and Analysis on pages FS-4 and FS-5.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES Continued

Unaudited

TABLE IV RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES UNIT PRICES AND COS[†]S

		Cor	nsolidated Comp	Affiliated				
	U.S.	Africa	Asia-Pacific	Other	Total	TCO	Hamaca	Worldwide
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002								
Average sales prices								
Liquids, per barrel	\$21.34	\$24.33	\$21.76	\$23.31	\$22.36	\$18.16	\$18.91	\$22.03
Natural gas, per thousand cubic feet	2.89	0.04	2.67	2.11	2.62	0.57		2.55
Average production costs, per barrel	6.41	3.70	4.41	4.05	5.08	2.79	1.58	4.92
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 Average sales prices Liquids, per barrel Natural gas, per thousand cubic feet Average production costs, per barrel	\$21.33 4.38 6.35	\$23.70 0.04 3.39	\$20.11 3.04 4.20	\$22.59 2.51 4.17	\$21.68 3.78 5.01	\$13.31 0.47 2.54	\$12.45 13.09	\$21.08 3.69 4.86
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 Average sales prices								
Liquids, per barrel	\$25.61	\$26.58	\$ 22.97	\$27.34	\$25.35	\$20.14	\$	\$25.09
Natural gas, per thousand cubic feet	3.87	0.03	2.57	2.29	3.39	0.13	Ŧ	3.33
Average production costs, per barrel	5.23	3.04	4.17	4.49	4.55	2.91		4.48

¹ The value of owned production consumed as fuel has been eliminated from revenues and production expenses, and the related volumes have been deducted from net production in calculating the unit average sales price and production cost. This has no effect on the results of producing operations.

² Natural gas converted to crude oil-equivalent gas (OEG) barrels at a rate of 6 MCF = 1 OEG barrel.

TABLE V RESERVE QUANTITY INFORMATION

The company s estimated net proved underground oil and gas reserves and changes thereto for the years 2002, 2001 and 2000 are shown in the following table. Proved reserves are estimated by company asset teams composed of earth scientists and reservoir engineers. These proved reserve estimates are reviewed annually by the company s Reserves Advisory Committee to ensure that rigorous professional standards and the reserves definitions prescribed by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission are consistently applied throughout the company.

Proved reserves are the estimated quantities that geologic and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Due to the inherent uncertainties and the limited nature of reservoir data, estimates of underground reserves are subject to change as additional information becomes available.

Proved reserves do not include additional quantities that may result from extensions of currently proved areas or from applying secondary or tertiary recovery processes not yet tested and determined to be economic.

Proved developed reserves are the quantities expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods.

Net reserves exclude royalties and interests owned by others and reflect contractual arrangements and royalty obligations in effect at the time of the estimate.

TABLE V RESERVE QUANTITY INFORMATION CONTINUED

ChevronTexaco operates, under a risked service agreement, Venezuela s Block LL-652, located in the northeast section of Lake Maracaibo. ChevronTexaco is accounting for LL-652 as an oil and gas activity and, at December 31, 2002, had recorded 17 million barrels of proved crude oil reserves.

No reserve quantities have been recorded for the company s other service agreements the Boscan Field in Venezuela and a long-term purchase agreement associated with a service agreement for the Chuchupa Field in Colombia for the period 2005 2016.

NET PROVED RESERVES OF CRUDE OIL, CONDENSATE AND NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS

							Millio	ns of barrel
		Conse	olidated Compa	nies		Affil	iates	
	U.S.	Africa	Asia- Pacific	Other	Total	TCO	Hamaca	World- wide
RESERVES AT JANUARY 1, 2000	2,854	1,344	1,887	946	7,031	1,233		8,264
Changes attributable to:								
Revisions	(26)	48	109	14	145	105		250
Improved recovery	83	20	69	9	181			181
Extensions and discoveries	85	92	40	57	274	7	374	655
Purchases ¹	8	131		3	142			142
Sales ²	(146)			(96)	(242)			(242)
Production	(244)	(130)	(211)	(111)	(696)	(35)		(731)
RESERVES AT DECEMBER 31,								
2000	2,614	1,505	1,894	822	6,835	1,310	374	8,519
Changes attributable to:								
Revisions	(225)	45	135	(60)	(105)	46	(2)	(61)
Improved recovery	79	35	47	51	212		. ,	212
Extensions and discoveries	67	88	34	40	229	88	115	432
Purchases ¹	1				1	146		147
Sales ²	(11)				(11)			(11)
Production	(224)	(129)	(204)	(108)	(665)	(49)		(714)
RESERVES AT DECEMBER 31,								
2001	2,301	1,544	1,906	745	6,496	1,541	487	8,524
Changes attributable to:	y	7-)		-,	7-		-)-
Revisions	(116)	164	(114)	17	(49)	199		150
Improved recovery	99	82	22	36	239			239
Extensions and discoveries	48	301	85	8	442			442
Purchases ¹	8			-	8			8
Sales ²	(3)				(3)			(3)
Production	(220)	(115)	(195)	(109)	(639)	(51)	(2)	(692)
RESERVES AT DECEMBER 31,								
2002	2,117	1,976	1,704	697	6,494	1,689	485	8,668
Developed reserves								
At January 1, 2000	2,266	980	1,314	636	5,196	790		5,986
At December 31, 2000	2,083	976	1,276	538	4,873	795		5,668
At December 31, 2000	1,887	923	1,491	517	4,818	1,007	38	5,863
At December 31, 2002	1,766	1,042	1,297	529	4,634	999	63	5,696
111 December 51, 2002	1,700	1,074	1,271	54)	7,037	,,,,	0.5	5,070

[Additional columns below]

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

NET PROVED RESERVES OF NATURAL GAS

Billions of cubic feet

							Billo	its of cubic fee
		Cons	olidated Com	panies		Affil	iates	
	U.S.	Africa	Asia- Pacific	Other	Total	TCO	Hamaca	World- wide
RESERVES AT JANUARY 1,	7.002	224	1.000	0.155	15 500	1 501		17.1(0)
2000	7,993	326	4,088	3,175	15,582	1,581		17,163
Changes attributable to:	02	450	209	(7	017	126		1.042
Revisions	92 17	450	308	67	917	126		1,043
Improved recovery			226	5	22	0	22	22
Extensions and discoveries	990	1	236	143	1,370	9	33	1,412
Purchases ¹	262	12			274			274
Sales ²	(367)	(1 -)	(100)	(70)	(437)	(2.2)		(437)
Production	(1,064)	(17)	(190)	(329)	(1,600)	(33)		(1,633)
RESERVES AT DECEMBER 31,								
2000	7,923	772	4,442	2,991	16,128	1,683	33	17,844
Changes attributable to:	.,		,	,	-, -	,		- , -
Revisions	(20)	780	330	(10)	1,080	317		1,397
Improved recovery	24	7	11	16	58			58
Extensions and discoveries	587	329	164	445	1,525	130	9	1,664
Purchases ¹	41	022	6	6	53	187	-	240
Sales ²	(180)		0	Ŭ	(180)	107		(180)
Production	(988)	(16)	(194)	(360)	(1,558)	(55)		(1,613)
DECEDVEC AT DECEMBER 21								
RESERVES AT DECEMBER 31, 2001	7,387	1,872	4,759	3,088	17,106	2,262	42	19,410
Changes attributable to:								
Revisions	(598)	277	390	92	161	293	1	455
Improved recovery	21	42	4	10	77			77
Extensions and discoveries	395	134	260	103	892			892
Purchases ¹	93		8		101			101
Sales ²	(3)				(3)			(3)
Production	(878)	(27)	(257)	(369)	(1,531)	(66)		(1,597)
RESERVES AT DECEMBER 31,								
2002	6,417	2,298	5,164	2,924	16,803	2,489	43	19,335
Developed reserves								
At January 1, 2000	6,733	276	2,342	2,368	11,719	1,011		12,730
At December 31, 2000	6,408	294	3,108	2,300	12,157	1,011		13,176
At December 31, 2000	6,246	444	3,100	2,347	12,137	1,019	6	13,170
At December 31, 2001 At December 31, 2002	5,636	582	3,170	2,231	12,091 11,571	1,477	6	13,051
11 December 51, 2002	2,000	504	5,170	2 ,107	11,0/1	1,1/1	U	10,001

- ¹ Includes reserves acquired through property exchanges.
- ² Includes reserves disposed of through property exchanges.

INFORMATION ON CANADIAN OIL SANDS NET PROVED RESERVES NOT INCLUDED ABOVE:

In addition to conventional liquids and natural gas proved reserves, ChevronTexaco has significant interests in proved oil sands reserves in Canada associated with the Athabasca project. For internal management purposes, ChevronTexaco views these reserves and their development as an integral part of total upstream operations. However, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission regulations define these reserves as mining-related and not a part of conventional oil and gas reserves. Net proved oil sands reserves were 183 million barrels as of December 31, 2002. Production began in late 2002.

The oil sands reserves are not considered in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows for conventional oil and gas reserves, which is found on page FS-53.

FS-52	
-------	--

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES Continued

Unaudited

TABLE VISTANDARDIZED MEASURE OF DISCOUNTED FUTURE NET CASH FLOWS RELATED TO PROVED OIL AND
GAS RESERVES

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, related to the preceding proved oil and gas reserves, is calculated in accordance with the requirements of FAS No. 69. Estimated future cash inflows from production are computed by applying year-end prices for oil and gas to year-end quantities of estimated net proved reserves. Future price changes are limited to those provided by contractual arrangements in existence at the end of each reporting year. Future development and production costs are those estimated future expenditures necessary to develop and produce year-end estimated proved reserves based on year-end cost indices, assuming continuation of year-end economic conditions. Estimated future income taxes are calculated by applying appropriate year-end statutory tax rates. These rates reflect allowable deductions and tax credits and are applied to estimated future pretax net cash flows, less the tax basis of related assets. Discounted future net cash flows are calculated using 10 percent midperiod discount factors. Discounting requires a year-by-year estimate of when future expenditures will be incurred and when reserves will be produced.

The information provided does not represent management s estimate of the company s expected future cash flows or value of proved oil and gas reserves. Estimates of proved reserve quantities are imprecise and change over time as new information becomes available. Moreover, probable and possible reserves, which may become proved in the future, are excluded from the calculations. The arbitrary valuation prescribed under FAS No. 69 requires assumptions as to the timing and amount of future development and production costs. The calculations are made as of December 31 each year and should not be relied upon as an indication of the company s future cash flows or value of its oil and gas reserves.

		Cons	solidated Compar	nies		Affiliated (Companies	
Millions of dollars	U.S.	Africa	Asia-Pacific	Other	Total	TCO	Hamaca	Worldwide
AT DECEMBER 31, 2002								
Future cash inflows from								
production	\$ 77,912	\$ 52,513	\$ 59,550	\$26,531	\$216,506	\$ 52,457	\$ 9,777	\$278,740
Future production and								
development costs	(29,948)	(9,889)	(18,591)	(7,838)	(66,266)	(10,336)	(2,308)	(78,910)
Future income taxes	(16,231)	(25,060)	(17,781)	(6,797)	(65,869)	(11,899)	(2,540)	(80,308)
Undiscounted future net cash								
flows	31,733	17,564	23,178	11,896	84,371	30,222	4,929	119,522
10 percent midyear annual	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,
discount for timing of								
estimated cash flows	(13,872)	(8,252)	(9,971)	(3,691)	(35,786)	(18,964)	(3,581)	(58,331)
STANDARDIZED MEASURE OF DISCOUNTED FUTURE NET CASH FLOWS	\$ 17,861	\$ 9,312	\$ 13,207	\$ 8,205	\$ 48,585	\$ 11,258	\$ 1,348	\$ 61,191
AT DECEMBER 31, 2001								
Future cash inflows from								
production	\$ 54,238	\$ 28,019	\$ 43,389	\$20,432	\$146,078	\$ 29,433	\$ 5,922	\$181,433
Future production and								
development costs	(30,871)	(10,106)	(20,845)	(8,873)	(70,695)	(8,865)	(1,093)	(80,653)
Future income taxes	(7,981)	(10,476)	(9,858)	(4,370)	(32,685)	(5,805)	(1,642)	(40,132)
Undiscounted future net cash								
flows	15,386	7,437	12,686	7,189	42,698	14,763	3,187	60,648
T 11 (0) 1								. – .

9504 \$ 2						
8,504 \$ 3	.828 \$ 6,829	\$ 4,587	\$ 23,748	\$ 5,642	\$ 754	\$ 30,144
27.945 \$ 34	.856 \$ 47.351	\$27.426	\$237.578	\$ 30,350	\$ 3.917	\$271,845
,			. ,		. ,	
			(64,210)	(7,250)	(679)	(72,139)
33,614) (16	(13,245)) (7,481)	(70,464)	(6,440)	(1,101)	(78,005)
64,026 10	,709 15,690	12,479	102,904	16,660	2,137	121,701
27,747) (4	,186) (6,764)) (4,405)	(43,102)	(11,180)	(1,431)	(55,713)
36,279 \$ 6	,523 \$ 8,926	\$ 8,074	\$ 59,802	\$ 5,480	\$ 706	\$ 65,988
	30,305) (8, 33,614) (16, 54,026 10, 27,747) (4,	30,305) (8,023) (18,416) 33,614) (16,124) (13,245) 54,026 10,709 15,690 27,747) (4,186) (6,764)	30,305) (8,023) (18,416) (7,466) 33,614) (16,124) (13,245) (7,481) 54,026 10,709 15,690 12,479 27,747) (4,186) (6,764) (4,405)	30,305) (8,023) (18,416) (7,466) (64,210) 33,614) (16,124) (13,245) (7,481) (70,464) 54,026 10,709 15,690 12,479 102,904 27,747) (4,186) (6,764) (4,405) (43,102)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE VIICHANGES IN THE STANDARDIZED MEASURE OF DISCOUNTED FUTURE NET CASH FLOWS FROM PROVEDRESERVES

The changes in present values between years, which can be significant, reflect changes in estimated proved reserve quantities and prices and assumptions used in forecasting production volumes and costs. Changes in the timing of production are included with Revisions of previous quantity estimates.

	Con	solidated Comp	anies	Affiliated Companies			Worldwide			
Millions of dollars	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	
PRESENT VALUE AT JANUARY 1	\$ 23,748	\$ 59,802	\$ 41,750	\$ 6,396	\$ 6,186	\$4,100	\$ 30,144	\$ 65,988	\$ 45,850	
Sales and transfers of oil and gas produced, net of										
production costs	(13,327)	(15,161)	(17,866)	(829)	(531)	(596)	(14,156)	(15,692)	(18,462)	
Development costs incurred	3,695	3,967	3,673	800	541	240	4,495	4,508	3,913	
Purchases of reserves	181	40	2,055	000	778	240	181	4,508	2,055	
Sales of reserves	(42)	(366)	(5,010)		110		(42)	(366)	(5,010)	
Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery,					40.4	1.110		. ,		
less related costs	7,472	2,747	8,710		484	1,112	7,472	3,231	9,822	
Revisions of previous quantity estimates Net changes in prices,	104	524	(428)	917	400	1,284	1,021	924	856	
development and	11.011	(50.005)	20.250	< 	(2.455)			((2,452))	2 0.01 <i>5</i>	
production costs	41,044	(59,995)	29,358	6,722	(2,457)	457	47,766	(62,452)	29,815	
Accretion of discount Net change in income tax	3,987 (18,277)	10,144 22,046	7,027 (9,467)	895 (2,295)	876 119	582 (993)	4,882 (20,572)	11,020 22,165	7,609 (10,460)	
Net change for the year	24,837	(36,054)	18,052	6,210	210	2,086	31,047	(35,844)	20,138	
PRESENT VALUE AT DECEMBER 31	\$ 48,585	\$ 23,748	\$ 59,802	\$12,606	\$ 6,396	\$6,186	\$ 61,191	\$ 30,144	\$ 65,988	

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ChevronTexaco Corporation, dated October 9, 2001, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference.
3.2*	By-Laws of Chevron Texaco Corporation, as amended September 26, 2001.
4.1	Rights Agreement dated as of November 23, 1998, between ChevronTexaco Corporation and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services L.L.C., as Rights Agent, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 23, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference.
4.2	Amendment No. 1 to Rights Agreement dated as of October 15, 2000, between ChevronTexaco Corporation and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services L.L.C., as Rights Agent, filed as Exhibit 4.2 of the Amendment No. 1 on Form 8-A/ A filed by ChevronTexaco Corporation on December 7, 2000, and incorporated herein by reference.
4.3	Amendment No. 2 to Rights Agreement, dated as of November 19, 2002, between ChevronTexaco Corporation and Mellon Investor Services L.L.C., as Rights Agent, filed as Exhibit 4.3 of the Amendment No. 2 on Form 8-A/ A filed by ChevronTexaco Corporation on November 20, 2002, and incorporated herein by reference.
	Pursuant to the Instructions to Exhibits, certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt securities of the corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries are not filed because the total amount of securities authorized under any such instrument does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the corporation and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. A copy of such instrument will be furnished to the Commission upon request.
10.1	ChevronTexaco Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2001, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.2	Management Incentive Plan of ChevronTexaco Corporation, as amended effective October 9, 2001, filed as Appendix A to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement dated April 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.3	ChevronTexaco Corporation Excess Benefit Plan, amended and restated as of July 1, 1996, filed as Exhibit 10 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 1997, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.4	ChevronTexaco Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated effective April 30, 1997, filed as Appendix A to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement dated March 21, 1997, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.5	ChevronTexaco Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan, including March 27, 2002 amendments, filed as Appendix B to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement dated April 15, 2002, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.6	ChevronTexaco Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Management Employees, as amended and restated effective April 1, 2002, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2002, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.7	Employment Agreement dated as of December 4, 2001 between ChevronTexaco Corporation and Glenn Tilton, filed as Exhibit 10.12 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference.

E-1

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.8	Texaco Inc. Stock Incentive Plan, adopted May 9, 1989, as amended May 13, 1993, and May 13, 1997, filed as Exhibit 10.13 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.9	Supplemental Pension Plan of Texaco Inc., dated June 26, 1975, filed as Exhibit 10.14 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.10	Supplemental Bonus Retirement Plan of Texaco Inc., dated May 1, 1981, filed as Exhibit 10.15 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.11	Texaco Inc. Director and Employee Deferral Plan approved March 28, 1997, filed as Exhibit 10.16 to ChevronTexaco Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and incorporated herein by reference.
10.12*	ChevronTexaco Corporation 1998 Stock Option Program for U.S. Dollar Payroll Employees
12.1*	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (page E-3).
21.1*	Subsidiaries of ChevronTexaco Corporation (page E-4 to E-6).
23.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (page E-7).
23.2*	Notice of inability to obtain Consent from Arthur Andersen LLP (page E-8)
24.1 to	Powers of Attorney for directors and certain officers of ChevronTexaco Corporation,
24.16*	authorizing the signing of the Annual Report on Form 10-K on their behalf.
99.1*	Definitions of Selected Financial Terms (page E-9).

* Filed herewith.

On October 9, 2001, the company changed its name from Chevron Corporation to ChevronTexaco Corporation. Filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to that date may be found under the company s former name.

Copies of above exhibits not contained herein are available, to any security holder upon written request to the Secretary s Department, ChevronTexaco Corporation, 6001 Bollinger Canyon Road, San Ramon, California 94583.

E-2