

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION INC - Form 10-Q

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The total number of shares of common stock outstanding as of August 4, 2017 was 16,248,640.

Index

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION, INC.
FORM 10-Q
INDEX

	Page
<u>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>33</u>

Index

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Current Period Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	As of June 30, 2017	As of December 31, 2016
	(Unaudited)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	\$ 157,128	\$ 146,351
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$6,608 in 2017 and \$8,077 in 2016	6,201	6,949
Prepaid expenses	7,241	5,327
Income tax receivable	3,120	—
Total current assets	173,690	158,627
Property and equipment, net	93,685	97,687
Assets held for sale	—	2,100
Investments	14,672	14,611
Goodwill	33,899	33,899
Other assets, net	8,949	8,696
Total assets	\$ 324,895	\$ 315,620
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 6,411	\$ 6,853
Accrued liabilities	12,492	14,124
Deferred revenue	20,802	20,639
Income tax payable	—	559
Total current liabilities	39,705	42,175
Deferred income taxes	10,848	8,775
Total liabilities	50,553	50,950
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; Authorized shares - 10,000; no shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value; Authorized shares - 100,000; 16,248 issued and outstanding in 2017; 16,109 issued and outstanding in 2016	162	161
Additional paid-in capital	178,394	177,061
Retained earnings	95,786	87,448
Total stockholders' equity	274,342	264,670
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 324,895	\$ 315,620

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION, INC.
 Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)
 (In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended		June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
Revenue	\$72,196	\$ 76,745	\$ 147,884	\$ 160,711
Costs and expenses:				
Instructional costs and services	29,834	28,903	58,790	58,611
Selling and promotional	14,008	14,984	29,443	31,453
General and administrative	16,632	16,909	34,388	33,578
Loss on disposals of long-lived assets	678	464	1,168	725
Depreciation and amortization	4,726	4,825	9,470	9,714
Total costs and expenses	65,878	66,085	133,259	134,081
Income from operations before interest income and income taxes	6,318	10,660	14,625	26,630
Interest income	15	37	26	74
Income before income taxes	6,333	10,697	14,651	26,704
Income tax expense	2,525	4,172	6,374	10,439
Equity investment income	21	71	61	671
Net income	\$3,829	\$ 6,596	\$ 8,338	\$ 16,936
Net Income per common share:				
Basic	\$0.24	\$ 0.41	\$0.51	\$ 1.06
Diluted	\$0.23	\$ 0.41	\$0.51	\$ 1.05
Weighted average number of common shares:				
Basic	16,240,956	16,059,358	16,214,304	16,048,977
Diluted	16,360,176	16,195,713	16,339,919	16,155,176

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION, INC.
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)
 (In thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016
	(Unaudited)	
Operating activities		
Net income	\$8,338	\$16,936
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	9,470	9,714
Stock-based compensation	2,696	2,681
Equity investment income	(61)	(671)
Deferred income taxes	2,073	2,833
Loss on disposals of long-lived assets	1,168	725
Other	28	36
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for bad debt	748	1,453
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,803)	773
Income tax receivable	(3,120)	(2,814)
Accounts payable	(442)	690
Accrued liabilities	(2,428)	(238)
Income taxes payable	(559)	—
Deferred revenue	163	(3,331)
Net cash provided by operating activities	16,271	28,787
Investing activities		
Capital expenditures	(3,781)	(6,904)
Capitalized program development costs and other assets	(1,902)	(836)
Proceeds from sale of real property	1,493	—
Equity investment	—	(950)
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,190)	(8,690)
Financing activities		
Cash paid for repurchase of common stock	(1,402)	(630)
Cash received from issuance of common stock	98	7
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	—	(1,116)
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,304)	(1,739)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	10,777	18,358
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	146,351	105,734
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	157,128	124,092
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Income taxes paid	7,980	12,218

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

Index

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of the Business

American Public Education, Inc., or APEI, which together with its subsidiaries is referred to as the “Company,” is a provider of online and campus-based postsecondary education to approximately 86,000 students through the operations of two subsidiary institutions:

American Public University System, Inc., or APUS, provides online postsecondary education directed primarily at the needs of the military and public safety communities through American Military University, or AMU, and American Public University, or APU. APUS is regionally accredited by the Higher Learning Commission.

National Education Seminars, Inc., which is referred to herein as Hondros College of Nursing, or HCN, provides nursing education to students at five campuses in the State of Ohio as well as online to serve the needs of the nursing and healthcare communities. HCN is nationally accredited by the Accrediting Council of Independent Colleges and Schools, or ACICS, and the RN-to-BSN Program is accredited by the Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education. In June 2016, HCN was notified that its Diploma in Practical Nursing and Associates Degree in Nursing Programs have been granted pre-accreditation candidacy status by the National League for Nursing Commission for Nursing Education Accreditation.

The Company’s institutions are licensed or otherwise authorized, or are in the process of obtaining such licenses or authorizations, to offer postsecondary education programs by state authorities to the extent the institutions believe such licenses or authorizations are required, and are certified by the United States Department of Education, or ED, to participate in student financial aid programs authorized under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, or Title IV programs.

The Company’s operations are organized into two reportable segments:

• American Public Education Segment, or APEI Segment. This segment reflects the operational activities at APUS, other corporate activities, and minority investments.

• Hondros College of Nursing Segment, or HCN Segment. This segment reflects the operational activities of HCN.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of presentation and accounting

The accompanying unaudited interim Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified for comparative purposes to conform with the current presentation.

Principles of consolidation

The accompanying unaudited interim Consolidated Financial Statements include accounts of APEI and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Unaudited Interim Financial Information

The unaudited interim Consolidated Financial Statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statement presentations. In the opinion of management, these statements include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary to present a fair statement of the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position, and cash flows. Operating results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2017. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes in its audited financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, or Annual Report.

Index

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in these unaudited interim Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Restricted Cash

Cash and cash equivalents includes funds held for students for unbilled educational services that were received from Title IV programs. As a trustee of these Title IV program funds, the Company is required to maintain and restrict these funds pursuant to the terms of each subsidiary institution's program participation agreement with ED. Restricted cash on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets was approximately \$1.6 million at both June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Changes in restricted cash that represent funds held for students as described above are included in cash flows from operating activities on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows because these restricted funds are related to a core activity of its operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company considers the applicability and impact of all Accounting Standards Updates, or ASUs, issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB. ASUs issued, but not listed below, were assessed and determined to be either not applicable or expected to have minimal impact on our consolidated financial position and/or results of operations.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The standard is a comprehensive model to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in FASB Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, 605, Revenue Recognition, as well as other various sections of the ASC. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is to recognize revenue when promised goods or services are transferred to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled for those goods or services. The authoritative guidance provides a five-step analysis of transactions to determine when and how revenue is recognized. More judgment and estimates may be required within the revenue recognition process than are required under existing U.S. GAAP. The standard also includes a cohesive set of disclosure requirements including comprehensive information about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. ASU 2014-09 was initially intended to be effective for fiscal years, and the interim periods within these fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2016. In August, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date. This update defers for one year the effective date of ASU 2014-09. The deferral will result in this standard being effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. Entities must use either a full retrospective approach for all periods presented in the period of adoption or a modified retrospective approach.

The FASB has issued three ASUs in addition to ASU 2015-14 that amend certain aspects of ASU 2014-09.

- ASU No. 2016-08, Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross Versus Net), issued in March 2016, clarified certain aspects of the principal versus agent guidance.
- ASU No. 2016-10, Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing, issued in April 2016, clarifies guidance related to identifying performance obligations and licensing implementation.
- ASU No. 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Narrow Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients, issued in May 2016, provides amendments and practical expedients in the areas of assessing collectability, presentation of sales taxes received from customers, noncash consideration, contract modification and clarification of

using the full retrospective approach to adopt ASU 2014-09.

The Company is continuing to evaluate the impact the new revenue recognition standard will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements through a complete analysis of each revenue stream including the recommended five-step evaluation process, comparing historical accounting policies and practices to the new standard, and a management approved implementation plan. The Company expects to adopt the provisions of this standard in the first quarter of 2018 using the modified retrospective approach.

In November 2015 the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes. The standard requires that deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities be classified as non-current on the balance sheet rather than being separated into current and non-current. This standard was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. The guidance permitted either retrospective or prospective

Index

application. The Company adopted this ASU effective January 1, 2017 and it was applied retrospectively. As a result, the \$5.1 million current deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2016 was reclassified against the \$13.9 million non-current deferred tax liability on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets in these Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which changes how entities account for certain aspects of share-based payments to employees. This guidance requires excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies to be recognized as income tax expense or benefit in the income statement, and could introduce volatility to the Company's provision for income taxes. Excess tax benefits must be presented as an operating activity on the statement of cash flows rather than a financing activity. ASU 2016-09 requires companies to make an accounting policy election at the time of adoption to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest (consistent with existing U.S. GAAP) or account for forfeitures when they occur. The forfeiture election provision must be applied using a retrospective transition approach, with a cumulative-effect adjustment recorded to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted ASU 2016-09 effective January 1, 2017 and elected to apply the cash flow guidance prospectively; therefore, prior periods have not been adjusted. The Company also elected to continue to estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest using the forfeiture option. The adoption of ASU 2016-09 increased the Company's income tax expense by approximately \$0.5 million for the three months ending March 31, 2017. There was no impact in the three months ended June 30, 2017. The company anticipates an increase reported in income tax expense between \$0.6 million and \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2018 due to expiring stock options with an exercise price greater than the current stock price. Other increases in income tax expense may occur throughout the year for the vesting of restricted stock, determined by the stock price at the end of each reporting period.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment, which eliminates step two from the goodwill impairment test. Instead, if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss should be recognized in an amount equal to the excess, but limited to the total amount of goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. The guidance must be applied on a prospective basis and disclosure of the nature of and reason for the change in accounting principle is required upon transition. ASU 2017-04 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting, which provides guidance about which changes to the terms and conditions of share-based payment awards require an entity to apply modification accounting. ASU 2017-09 is effective for all entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. The guidance should be applied prospectively to an award modified on or after the adoption date. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

There have been no other applicable material pronouncements issued since the filing of the Company's Annual Report.

Note 3. Property and Equipment

All property and equipment is recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation, except the acquired assets of HCN, which were recorded at fair value at the acquisition date. Depreciation and amortization are calculated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Different depreciation and amortization methods are used for tax purposes. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred, while other costs are capitalized if they

extend the useful life of the asset.

The Company's Partnership At a Distance™ system, or PAD, is a customized student information and services system used by APUS to manage admissions, online orientation, course registrations, tuition payments, grade reporting, progress toward degrees, and various other functions. Costs associated with this system have been capitalized in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification, or FASB ASC, Subtopic 350-40, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use, and classified as property and equipment. These costs are amortized over the estimated useful life of five years. The company also capitalizes certain costs for academic program development. These costs are transferred to property and equipment upon completion of each program and amortized over an estimated life not to exceed three years.

The carrying amounts of long-lived assets are reviewed whenever certain events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Losses incurred on long-lived assets are reported as loss on disposals of long-lived assets in these unaudited interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

Note 4. Assets Held for Sale

Assets held for sale at December 31, 2016 represented excess real property located in Charles Town, West Virginia for our APEI Segment, which was no longer in use due to the relocation of employees to a new facility. Long-lived assets are classified as held for sale when the assets are expected to be sold within the next 12 months and meet the other relevant held-for-sale criteria. As such, the property was recorded at the lower of the carrying value or fair value, less cost to sell, until the asset was sold.

During the three months ended June 30, 2017, our APEI segment sold the asset held for sale with a net book value of \$2.1 million for a net sales price of \$1.5 million, and recorded a loss on sale of \$0.6 million included in loss on long-lived assets in these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Note 5. Investments

In February 2013, the Company made a \$4.0 million investment in preferred stock of Fidelis Education, Inc., or Fidelis Education, representing approximately 22% of its fully diluted equity. On February 1, 2016, the Company made an additional \$950,000 investment in preferred stock of Fidelis Education, increasing its investment in Fidelis Education to approximately 23% of its fully diluted equity. Fidelis Education offers a learning relationship management platform that has the goal of improving education advising and career mentoring services offered to students as they pursue college degrees. In connection with the investment, the Company is entitled to certain rights, including the right to representation on the Board of Directors of Fidelis Education. The Company accounts for its investments in Fidelis Education under the equity method of accounting. Therefore, the Company recorded the investments at cost and recognizes its share of earnings or losses in Fidelis Education in the periods for which they are reported with a corresponding adjustment in the carrying amount of the investment.

On September 30, 2012, the Company made a \$6.8 million investment in preferred stock of NWHW Holdings, Inc., or NWHW Holdings, a holding company that operates an information technology training company, New Horizons Worldwide, Inc., or New Horizons, representing approximately 20% of the fully diluted equity of NWHW Holdings. During the three months ended September 30, 2016, the Company received a dividend of \$3.0 million from NWHW Holdings. The Company accounts for its investment in NWHW Holdings using the equity method of accounting, and therefore recorded a corresponding reduction in the carrying amount of its investment.

Note 6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

In connection with its November 1, 2013 acquisition of HCN, the Company applied ASC 805, Business Combinations, using the acquisition method of accounting. The Company recorded \$38.6 million of goodwill, representing the excess of the purchase price over the amount assigned to the net assets acquired and the fair value assigned to identified intangible assets, and recorded \$8.1 million of identified intangible assets.

In conjunction with the preparation of the Company's September 30, 2016 financial statements, the Company completed an interim goodwill assessment and recorded a \$4.7 million impairment. Subsequently, the Company completed its annual goodwill assessment and determined that the fair value exceed the carrying value. In connection with the preparation of these unaudited interim Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company completed a qualitative assessment of goodwill that included a review of all the events and circumstances listed in FASB ASC 350, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other, in addition to other entity-specific factors. For example, the Company considered that although HCN student enrollment decreased for the three months ended June 30, 2017 as compared to the same period in 2016, revenue increased due to an increase in revenue per student related to a change in student mix and other factors. The Company also considered that the competitive marketplace in the HCN industry did not change and

that the capital markets for education companies increased in recent periods. Lastly, the Company considered the quantitative analysis performed at the last annual goodwill assessment that determined that the fair value exceeded the carrying value. After review of events and circumstances it was concluded that goodwill was not impaired as of June 30, 2017.

Index

Note 7. Net Income Per Common Share

Basic net income per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per common share increases the shares used in the per share calculation by the dilutive effects of options and restricted stock awards. Stock options are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share when their effect is anti-dilutive. There were 129,009 and 131,867 anti-dilutive stock options excluded from the calculation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively, compared to 243,962 and 246,809 anti-dilutive stock options excluded from the calculation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

Note 8. Income Taxes

The Company is subject to U.S. Federal income taxes as well as income taxes of multiple state jurisdictions. For Federal and state tax purposes, the tax years from 2013 to 2016 remain open to examination.

The Company recognized tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016 of \$2.5 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, or effective tax rates of 39.7% and 38.7%, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, the Company recognized tax expense of \$6.4 million and \$10.4 million, respectively, or effective tax rates of and 43.3% and 38.1%, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate is primarily due to the implementation of ASU 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718) which resulted in approximately \$0.5 million in additional income tax expense in the three months ended March 31, 2017. There was no impact in the three months ended June 30, 2017. Under ASU 2016-09, excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies associated with stock based compensation must be recognized in the income statement and in operating activities on the statements of cash flows. Previously, the excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies were recorded in additional paid-in capital under stockholders' equity on the balance sheet and under financing activities on the statements of cash flow. Because expiring stock options with an exercise price greater than the current stock price expired during the three months ended March 31, 2017, the reversal of the tax benefit that was reported when the stock options were issued is now recorded in the income statement.

Note 9. Stock-Based Compensation

On March 31, 2017 the Company's Board of Directors adopted the American Public Education, Inc. 2017 Omnibus Incentive Plan, or 2017 Incentive Plan, and on May 12, 2017, or the Effective Date, the Company's stockholders approved the 2017 Incentive Plan, at which time the 2017 Incentive Plan became effective. Upon effectiveness of the 2017 Incentive Plan, the Company ceased making awards under the American Public Education, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan, or the 2011 Incentive Plan. The 2017 Incentive Plan allows the Company to grant up to 1,675,000 shares, as well as shares of the Company's common stock that were available for issuance under the American Public Education, Inc. 2011 Incentive Plan as of the Effective Date. In addition, the number of shares of common stock available under the 2017 Incentive Plan will be increased from time to time by the number of shares subject to outstanding awards granted under the 2011 Incentive Plan, the American Public Education, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan and the American Public Education, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan that terminate by expiration or forfeiture, cancellation or otherwise without issuance of such shares following the Effective Date. Prior to 2012, the Company issued a mix of stock options and restricted stock, but since 2011 the Company has not issued any stock options.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Awards

Stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants is expensed over the vesting period using the straight-line method for Company employees and the graded-vesting method for members of the Board of Directors, and is measured using the Company's stock price on the date of grant. The Company estimates forfeitures of share-based awards at the time of grant and revises such estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from original estimates. The table below summarizes the restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards activity for the six months ended June 30, 2017 (unaudited):

Index

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Price and Fair Value
Non-vested, December 31, 2016	437,971	\$ 21.54
Shares granted	278,137	\$ 23.36
Vested shares	(184,258)	\$ 25.88
Shares forfeited	(18,460)	\$ 21.38
Non-vested, June 30, 2017	513,390	\$ 21.22

Option Awards

The fair value of each option award is estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Prior to 2012, the Company calculated the expected term of stock option awards using the “simplified method” in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletins No. 107 and 110 because the Company lacked historical data and was unable to make reasonable assumptions regarding the future. The Company makes assumptions with respect to expected stock price volatility based on the average historical volatility of peers with similar attributes. In addition, the Company determines the risk-free interest rate by selecting the U.S. Treasury five-year constant maturity, quoted on an investment basis in effect at the time of grant for that business day. Estimates of fair value are subjective and are not intended to predict actual future events, and subsequent events are not indicative of the reasonableness of the original estimates of fair value made under FASB ASC Topic 718. Options previously granted vest ratably over periods of three to five years and expire seven to ten years from the date of grant. Option activity is summarized as follows (unaudited):

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Outstanding, December 31, 2016	259,969	\$ 34.68	0.53	246
Options granted	—	\$ —		
Awards exercised	(14,002)	\$ 6.99		
Awards forfeited	(117,501)	\$ 34.87		
Outstanding, June 30, 2017	128,466	\$ 37.52	0.48	\$ —
Exercisable, June 30, 2017	128,466	\$ 37.52	0.48	\$ —

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Stock-based compensation expense charged against income during the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 is as follows (unaudited):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	(In thousands)			
Instructional costs and services	\$417	\$373	\$729	\$803
Selling and promotional	184	172	359	356
General and administrative	849	634	1,608	1,522

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION INC - Form 10-Q

Stock-based compensation expense in operating income	1,450	1,179	2,696	2,681
Tax benefit	(574)	(467)	(1,068)	(1,062)
Stock-based compensation expense, net of tax	\$876	\$712	\$1,628	\$1,619

11

Index

As of June 30, 2017, there was \$8.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost, representing unrecognized compensation cost associated with non-vested restricted stock and restricted stock units. The total remaining cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.2 years.

Note 10. Segment Information

The Company has two operating segments that are managed in the following reportable segments:

- American Public Education Segment, or APEI Segment; and
- Hondros College of Nursing Segment, or HCN Segment.

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 280, Segment Reporting, the chief operating decision-maker has been identified as the Company's Chief Executive Officer. The Company's Chief Executive Officer reviews operating results to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance for the APEI Segment and HCN Segment.

A summary of financial information by reportable segment is as follows (unaudited):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	(In thousands)			
Revenue:				
American Public Education Segment	\$64,304	\$69,529	\$132,433	\$145,794
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	7,892	7,216	15,451	14,917
Total Revenue	\$72,196	\$76,745	\$147,884	\$160,711
Depreciation and amortization:				
American Public Education Segment	\$4,376	\$4,490	\$8,782	\$9,069
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	350	335	688	645
Total Depreciation and amortization	\$4,726	\$4,825	\$9,470	\$9,714
Income from operations before interest income and income taxes:				
American Public Education Segment	\$5,663	\$10,315	\$13,590	\$25,552
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	655	345	1,035	1,078
Total Income from operations before interest income and income taxes	\$6,318	\$10,660	\$14,625	\$26,630
Interest income, net:				
American Public Education Segment	\$15	\$37	\$26	\$74
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	—	—	—	—
Total Interest income, net	\$15	\$37	\$26	\$74
Income tax expense:				
American Public Education Segment	\$2,279	\$4,036	\$5,968	\$10,011
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	246	136	406	428
Total Income tax expense	\$2,525	\$4,172	\$6,374	\$10,439
Capital expenditures:				
American Public Education Segment	\$1,976	\$3,444	\$3,542	\$6,298
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	135	321	239	606
Total Capital expenditures	\$2,111	\$3,765	\$3,781	\$6,904

Index

A summary of the Company's consolidated assets by reportable segment is as follows (current period unaudited):

	As of June 30, 2017	As of December 31, 2016
(In thousands)		
Assets:		
American Public Education Segment	\$275,989	\$267,260
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	48,906	48,360
Total Assets	\$324,895	\$315,620

Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company accrues for costs associated with contingencies including, but not limited to, regulatory compliance and legal matters when such costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities established to provide for contingencies are adjusted as further information develops, circumstances change, or contingencies are resolved. The Company bases these accruals on management's estimate of such costs, which may vary from the ultimate costs and expenses, associated with any such contingency.

From time to time the Company may be involved in litigation in the normal course of its business.

Note 12: Concentration

APUS students utilize various payment sources and programs to finance their educational expenses. These programs include funds from: Department of Defense, or DoD, tuition assistance programs; federal student aid from Title IV programs; and education benefit programs administered by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, or VA education benefits; as well as cash and other sources. Reductions in or changes to DoD tuition assistance, Title IV programs, VA education benefits, and other payment sources could have a significant impact on the Company's business, operations, financial condition and cash flows. A summary of APEI Segment revenue derived from APUS students by primary funding source for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016 is included in the table below (unaudited).

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
DoD tuition assistance programs	36.1%	35.6%	36.5%	36.0%
Title IV programs	26.5%	29.0%	26.7%	29.1%
VA education benefits	23.1%	22.4%	22.7%	22.0%
Cash and other sources	14.3%	13.0%	14.1%	12.9%

HCN students also utilize various payment sources and programs to finance their educational expenses, including Title IV programs and VA education benefits. For the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, approximately 82.8% and 85.0%, respectively, of the HCN Segment's revenue was derived from Title IV programs.

Note 13: Subsequent Event

On August 3, 2017, the Company received from the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts a Civil Investigative Demand, or CID, dated July 31, 2017, relating to an investigation of alleged unfair or deceptive acts or practices by AMU in connection with the recruitment and retention of students and the financing of education. The

CID requires the production of documents and information relating to recruitment, enrollment, job placement and other matters. The Company intends to cooperate with the Attorney General's office and cannot predict the eventual scope, duration or outcome of the investigation at this time. Furthermore, the Company cannot predict what affect, if any, the investigative demand will have on its financial position or results of operations.

Index

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, “we,” “our,” “us,” “the Company” and similar terms refer to American Public Education, Inc., or “APEI,” and its subsidiary institutions collectively unless the context indicates otherwise. The following discussion of our historical results of operations and our liquidity and capital resources should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes that appear elsewhere in this report and the audited financial information and related notes, as well as Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other disclosures, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, or the Annual Report.

Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. We intend such forward-looking statements, including statements regarding our operations, performance and financial condition, strategic initiatives, and the regulatory and competitive environments affecting our business, to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. We may, in some cases, use words such as “project,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “plan,” “expect,” “estimate,” “intend,” “should,” “would,” “could,” “potentially,” “will,” or “may,” or other words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes. We identify these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are based on our beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future performance, taking into account information currently available to us. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations can change as a result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to us or are within our control. If a change occurs, our business, financial condition and results of operations may vary materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. There are a number of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated by these forward-looking statements. These important factors include those that we discuss in this section of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, in the “Risk Factors” section of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, in the “Risk Factors” section of the Annual Report, and in our various filings with the SEC. You should read these factors and the other cautionary statements made in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in combination with the more detailed description of our business in the Annual Report as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this Quarterly Report. If one or more of these factors materialize, or if any underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results, performance or achievements may vary materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

Overview

Background

We are a provider of online and on-campus postsecondary education to approximately 86,000 students through two subsidiary institutions. Our subsidiary institutions offer programs designed to prepare individuals for productive contributions to their professions and society, and to offer opportunities that may advance students in their current professions or help them prepare for their next career. Our subsidiary institutions are licensed or otherwise authorized by state authorities, or are in the process of obtaining such licenses or authorizations, to offer postsecondary education programs to the extent the institutions believe such licenses or authorizations are required, and are certified by the United States Department of Education, or ED, to participate in student financial aid programs authorized under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, or Title IV programs.

Our wholly-owned operating subsidiary institutions include the following:

American Public University System, Inc., or APUS, provides online postsecondary education directed primarily at the needs of the military and public safety communities. APUS is an online university system, which includes: American Military University, or AMU, which is focused on educating military students, and American Public University, or APU, which is focused on educating non-military students.

Index

APUS has approximately 84,300 students and offers 108 degree programs and 103 certificate programs in diverse fields of study including business administration, health science, technology, criminal justice, education and liberal arts, as well as national security, military studies, intelligence, and homeland security. APUS is regionally accredited by the Higher Learning Commission, or HLC.

In connection with the previously disclosed organizational realignment of APUS, HLC requested that APUS submit an application to enable HLC to determine whether APUS's proposal to enter into a shared services model with APEI constitutes a change in organization or structure that requires HLC prior approval. On December 22, 2016, APUS submitted the requested change-of-structure application. HLC is currently reviewing APUS's application and as part of the review process conducted an on-site visit to APUS in early May 2017. On June 26, 2017, HLC notified APUS that HLC has delayed completing and issuing a report of its on-site visit because HLC staff believes that HLC's Criteria for Accreditation and related policies do not provide an explicit frame of reference for how the Criteria for Accreditation should be applied to a shared services model between an accredited institution and a related entity. On July 7, 2017, HLC notified APUS that at its June 29, 2017 meeting the HLC Board of Trustees authorized the commencement of a process to develop a framework for applying the Criteria of Accreditation to such shared services models through HLC's Change of Control, Structure or Organization process. HLC indicated that a group of HLC Board of Trustees members and HLC staff will present a proposed framework to the full HLC Board of Trustees for its consideration at its November 2017 meeting. HLC indicated that APUS will have an opportunity to update its application after a framework is approved, and HLC staff will issue its report after reviewing any such updates. The HLC Board of Trustees plans to consider APUS's application at its next scheduled meeting after adoption of the framework, which is scheduled to occur in February 2018. HLC had planned to visit APUS in February 2017 as part of a standard mid-cycle review. However, as a result of the change-of-structure application process, HLC postponed that mid-cycle review until the third quarter of 2018. We are unable to predict whether HLC will approve APUS's application and whether or not such approval will be subject to limitations or conditions. Further, we are unable to predict what changes, if any, HLC may require to APUS's organizational realignment and how such changes may impact our business, operations, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

In September 2016, ED began a program review of APUS's administration of the Title IV programs during the 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 award years. The program review remains open and ongoing. At this time, we cannot predict the outcome of the program review, when it will be completed, or whether ED will place any liability or other limitations on APUS as a result of the review.

In April 2017, APUS continued to strengthen its verification process by implementing new procedures for prospective non-military students, an effort that originated in April 2015 with the implementation of an admissions requirement for prospective students to complete a free, noncredit admissions assessment. APUS has made multiple changes to the assessment process since its original implementation and may further modify it in the future in order to better identify college-ready students. For example, we expect that in 2017 APUS will implement a process requiring enhanced verification of prospective non-military students' prior transcripts. Even if our admissions process initiatives are successful in achieving the desired result of identifying and enrolling students that are likely to succeed and improving the student experience, they could result in adverse impacts on APUS enrollments. These initiatives require significant time, energy and resources, and if our efforts are not successful, they may adversely impact our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

In July 2017, APUS began accepting applications for two applied doctoral programs in Strategic Intelligence and Global Security with the first cohorts beginning in January 2018. The programs meet the need for higher-level education and research combined with professional practice in these fields. We expect to incur start-up costs ranging from approximately \$0.8 million to \$1.1 million during the remainder of 2017. We cannot predict whether APUS's new programs will be successful or how they will impact our results of operations, cash flows, or financial condition.

In July 2017, the Council on Education for Public Health, or CEPH, notified APUS that the CEPH Board of Councilors acted in June 2017 to accredit the Master of Public Health program for a five-year term, extending until July 1, 2022.

For more information on the potential risks associated with these APUS initiatives, APUS more generally, and applicable accreditation matters, please refer to our Annual Report.

Index

National Education Seminars, Inc., which we refer to as Hondros College of Nursing, or HCN, provides nursing education to approximately 1,700 students at five campuses in the State of Ohio, as well as online. HCN offers a Diploma in Practical Nursing, or PN Program, and an Associate Degree in Nursing, or ADN Program. The campuses are located in the suburban areas of Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Dayton, and Toledo. HCN also offers an online Registered Nurse to Bachelor of Science in Nursing completion program, which we refer to as the RN-to-BSN Program, predominately to students in Ohio.

We acquired HCN on November 1, 2013. In January 2016, we received a letter from ED approving the change in ownership and control of HCN and granting HCN provisional certification to participate in Title IV programs until December 31, 2018. HCN received a fully executed Provisional Program Participation Agreement, or PPPA, in February 2016. While provisionally certified, HCN operates under the PPPA, which requires HCN to apply for and receive approval from the Secretary of Education before initiating any substantial changes, such as establishing an additional location at which at least 50% of an eligible program will be offered and Title IV program funds will be disbursed, offering academic programs at higher than the bachelor's degree level, or adding a new education program. In June 2016, ED approved HCN's application to open a new campus in suburban Toledo, Ohio. HCN had previously obtained required approvals from the Accrediting Council of Independent Colleges and Schools, or ACICS, the State of Ohio Board of Nursing, or OBN, and the Ohio State Board of Career Colleges and Schools. The new campus began operations in January 2017. HCN incurred expenses related to the start-up of the new campus. We cannot predict whether HCN's new campus will be successful or how it will impact our results of operations, cash flows, or financial condition.

Each of HCN's campuses is nationally accredited by ACICS. By decision dated December 12, 2016, the Secretary of ED withdrew and terminated ED's recognition of ACICS, as discussed more fully in "Regulatory and Legislative Activity" below in this Quarterly Report and in our Annual Report.

ACICS requires accredited institutions to submit annually certain campus-level and program-level data (e.g., retention rates, placement rates, and licensure exam pass rates) for purposes of monitoring student achievement against established requirements, including minimum "standards" and expected "benchmarks." To satisfy ACICS's standards, the retention rate, placement rate, and licensure exam pass rate each must exceed 60%. To satisfy ACICS benchmarks, each rate must exceed 70%. If ACICS determines that an institution's campus-level or program-level data do not satisfy one or more standards or benchmarks, ACICS may take certain actions. In January 2017, ACICS published a new policy, effective December 6, 2016, that defines in terms of metric ranges when a particular action will be taken at the campus and program levels, including placement on reporting status, issuance of a compliance warning, issuance of a show-cause directive, or issuance of an adverse action. In May 2017, ACICS published proposed changes to its Accreditation Criteria to clarify certain aspects of the new policy.

For the reporting year July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016, several HCN campuses and programs did not satisfy ACICS student achievement measures. As a result, HCN received notices from ACICS, particularly with respect to the PN Program at the Cleveland campus, which received a program-level Show-Cause Directive related to its placement rate that was later vacated; the Cleveland campus, which received a campus-level Compliance Warning related to its placement rates; the ADN Program at the Cleveland campus, which received a program-level Compliance Warning related to its placement rate; and the Cleveland and Dayton campuses, which were placed on campus-level Reporting status related to their retention rates. In response to some of these actions by ACICS, HCN was required to develop Improvement Plans. In addition, in connection with the campus-level Compliance Warning for the Cleveland campus, HCN was required to submit to ACICS certain information, which ACICS will consider at its August 2017 meeting. For more information related to these actions from ACICS and the required responses from HCN, see our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2017.

HCN has an in-process application for accreditation by Accrediting Bureau of Health Education Schools, or ABHES, a national accreditor for allied health schools that is recognized by ED for federal student financial aid purposes. ABHES policies require that institutions and programs applying for ABHES accreditation must advise ABHES immediately of any adverse or potentially adverse action, including a Show-Cause Directive, by another accrediting agency. HCN timely notified ABHES of the ACICS Show-Cause Directive for the PN Program at the Cleveland campus, of HCN's response, and when the Show-Cause Directive was vacated. ABHES also reserves the right not to grant initial accreditation if an institution is on probation or an equivalent status imposed by another accrediting agency. At this time, we cannot predict how ABHES will respond to ACICS's actions described above, including how they will impact any decision with respect to initial accreditation of HCN or any of HCN's programs or campuses as part of that initial accreditation decision.

Index

We believe HCN's revenue has been negatively impacted due to a period of decreased enrollment in HCN's ADN Program as a result of strengthened completion requirements in its PN Program, which is the primary source of students for its ADN Program. Additionally, in January 2016, HCN implemented curriculum changes that caused recruiting challenges, which we believe resulted in fewer new students choosing to pursue their studies at HCN during 2016.

To apply for licensure to practice nursing in Ohio, an applicant must have successfully completed a nursing education program that is approved by the OBN. Regulations of the OBN require that nursing education programs such as HCN's PN and ADN Programs have a pass rate on the relevant National Council Licensure Examination, or NCLEX, that is at least 95% of the national average for first-time candidates in a calendar year. If a program does not attain such pass rate, the program may face various consequences. On March 8, 2017, OBN placed HCN's ADN Program on provisional approval because the ADN Program has not met the OBN pass rate standard for four consecutive years, which we believe has been negatively affected by the pass rates at HCN's Cleveland campus over the last several years. The OBN will consider restoring a program to Full Approval status after a program is placed on provisional status due to low NCLEX scores if the program attains a pass rate that meets or exceeds 95% of the national average for first-time candidates for at least two consecutive years. If a program on provisional approval continues to fail to meet the requirements, the OBN may propose to continue provisional approval for a set time period or may propose to withdraw its approval of the program pursuant to an adjudication proceeding. HCN has been implementing changes, including the curriculum changes discussed in our Annual Report, that are designed to help increase NCLEX scores over time, but there is no assurance that these changes will be successful. Similarly, the actions HCN is taking to improve its student achievement measures may not be successful in resolving existing issues or may fail to prevent additional issues from arising with respect to other campuses or programs. Any consequences that result from failure to meet testing standards or student achievement measures may have an adverse impact on our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

For more information on the potential risks associated with these HCN initiatives and HCN more generally, please refer to our Annual Report.

Regulatory and Legislative Activity

As more fully explained in our Annual Report, service members of the United States Armed Forces are eligible to receive tuition assistance from their branch of service through the Uniform Tuition Assistance Program of the Department of Defense, or DoD tuition assistance programs. Service members may use the DoD tuition assistance programs to pursue postsecondary degrees at institutions that are accredited by accrediting agencies recognized by the Secretary of Education. Each institution participating in the DoD tuition assistance programs is required by a DoD rule to sign a DoD-developed standard Memorandum of Understanding, or MOU, outlining certain commitments and agreements between the institution and DoD prior to being permitted to participate in the DoD tuition assistance programs. Both APUS and HCN have signed the MOU. APUS is approved and HCN is currently awaiting DoD's approval to participate in the DoD tuition assistance programs. We do not anticipate that participation in the DoD tuition assistance programs will have a material impact on HCN's revenue or enrollments.

By signing the MOU, APUS agreed to, among other things, participate in DoD's Third Party Education Assessment. In January 2017, DoD announced that its Third Party Education Assessment will take the form of a new Voluntary Education Institutional Compliance Program, or ICP, which will replace the former process, the Military Voluntary Education Review. The ICP will utilize a sampling approach to review regularly the 2,700 educational institutions that participate in the DoD tuition assistance programs. Each year, DoD will select randomly 200 institutions and use a risk-based model to select 50 additional institutions. The risk-based model will take into account several data elements, including: rate of course completion; total verified complaints; changes in enrollment of students receiving tuition assistance; ratio of graduation rate relative to cost per course; changes in graduation rate; and total number of

enrollment transactions processed. The ICP will be an iterative process with three stages. After each stage, DoD will narrow the list of institutions subject to heightened review in the following stage. DoD will share the findings from each stage with other government agencies and regulators. An institution that is selected and has no issues will be exempt from random selection for three years and from risk-based selection for one year. By signing the MOU, APUS also agreed to participate in the ICP when requested. APUS was notified on May 8, 2017 that it is included in the first set of 250 institutions selected to participate in the ICP. On May 29, 2017, APUS submitted a self-assessment as part of the first stage of the ICP, and has not received a response. Within six months after receipt of any assessment report findings, institutions must resolve the findings and provide information to DoD regarding corrective actions taken. In instances when the resolution action cannot be completed within six months, the institution must submit a status report every three months until the finding is resolved. An educational institution that demonstrates an unwillingness to resolve a finding may be subject to

Index

penalties ranging from a written warning to revocation of the MOU and termination of the institution's participation in the DoD tuition assistance programs.

In early May 2017, the Coast Guard announced that the funding limit for active duty Coast Guard members using DoD tuition assistance for fiscal year 2017 was expected to be exhausted in mid to late May 2017, and that once these funds were exhausted, tuition assistance for active duty Coast Guard members would be suspended and no new tuition assistance applications would be accepted or authorized for the remainder of the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017. However, on May 19, 2017, the Coast Guard announced that additional funding for tuition assistance had been received and tuition assistance applications would continue to be processed under existing eligibility criteria. For more information related to the DoD tuition assistance programs and their potential risks, please refer to our Annual Report.

As more fully explained in our Annual Report, by decision dated December 12, 2016, the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, or ED, withdrew and terminated ED's recognition of ACICS. When the Secretary withdraws the recognition of an accrediting agency, a postsecondary educational institution may be allowed to continue its participation in the Title IV programs on a provisional basis for a period not to exceed 18 months from the date of the Secretary's decision to allow the institution to seek accreditation from another recognized accrediting agency. ED has indicated that during the period of provisional participation it will deem an ACICS-accredited institution to hold recognized accreditation and will require the institution to comply with certain conditions and restrictions. On December 21, 2016, HCN and ED executed a revised PPPA and addendum to the PPPA in which HCN agreed to comply with ED's conditions and requirements. HCN has an in-process application for accreditation by the Accrediting Bureau of Health Education Schools, or ABHES. ABHES is a national accreditor for allied health schools recognized by ED. As part of the accreditation application process, ABHES has scheduled a site visit to each HCN location for fall 2017.

On December 15, 2016, ACICS filed a motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction against ED in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. ACICS asked the court to stay the Secretary's decision terminating ACICS's recognition status, restore ACICS's recognition status, and enjoin ED from enforcing the requirements for ACICS-accredited institutions, including those set forth in ED's revised PPPA. On December 20, 2016, the court denied ACICS's request for a temporary restraining order, and on February 21, 2017, the court denied ACICS's request for a preliminary injunction. On March 31, 2017, ACICS filed a motion for summary judgment seeking to vacate the Secretary's decision terminating ACICS's recognition status and requesting that the court return ACICS's petition for continued recognition to ED for reconsideration. On April 28, 2017, ED filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. Briefing on the motions was completed on May 26, 2017, and the court may schedule a hearing to assist in its consideration of the motions.

As discussed more fully in our Annual Report, on November 1, 2016, ED published final regulations, which we refer to as the Borrower Defense Regulations, to, among other things, establish a new federal standard and a process for determining whether a Direct Loan borrower has a defense to repayment on a Direct Loan based on an act or omission of an institution. On January 19, 2017, ED published additional regulations to establish procedural rules governing the two-part borrower defense and recovery proceedings where ED asserts borrower defense claims on behalf of a group of borrowers. The regulations published January 19, 2017 also amend ED's existing regulations governing the process used to assess a fine, limitation, suspension, or termination against an institution by adding procedures through which ED may seek to recover a monetary liability assessed against an institution under ED's previous borrower defense regulations that remain in effect. The regulations published on January 19, 2017 were effective immediately. On June 16, 2017, ED published a notice in the Federal Register to announce that in light of the existence and potential consequences of pending litigation that had been brought in federal court to challenge the Borrower Defense Regulations, ED decided to postpone indefinitely the implementation of certain provisions of the Borrower Defense Regulations. Provisions postponed indefinitely include the portions of the Borrower Defense Regulations that would have (i) established a new federal standard and process for determining whether a borrower has a defense to

repayment on a Direct Loan based on an act or omission of an institution, (ii) prohibited institutions that participate in the Direct Loan program from using certain contractual provisions regarding dispute resolution, such as pre-dispute arbitration agreements or class action waivers, and required certain notifications and disclosures regarding the use of arbitration, and (iii) revised ED's financial responsibility standards and added related disclosure requirements. Certain other provisions of the Borrower Defense Regulations that are not the subject of pending litigation have not been delayed and became effective July 1, 2017. On June 16, 2017, ED announced that it intends to convene a negotiated rulemaking committee to develop proposed regulations to revise the Borrower Defense Regulations and to address certain other related matters. ED held two public hearings and solicited written comment from the public with respect to the agenda for the negotiated rulemaking committee, which is expected to meet for the first time in November or December 2017. Public comments were due by July 12, 2017 and we submitted written comments on the agenda for the negotiated rulemaking committee on July 12, 2017.

Under the Higher Education Act of 1965, or HEA, as amended, proprietary schools are generally eligible to

Index

participate in Title IV programs only in respect of educational programs that prepare students for “gainful employment in a recognized occupation.” On October 31, 2014, ED published final regulations related to gainful employment, referred to as the Final GE Regulations. On June 16, 2017, ED announced that it intends to convene a negotiated rulemaking committee to develop proposed regulations to revise the Final GE Regulations. ED held two public hearings and solicited written comment from the public with respect to the agenda for the negotiated rulemaking committee, which is expected to meet for the first time in November or December 2017. We submitted written comments on the agenda for the negotiated rulemaking committee on July 12, 2017. Previously, on March 7, 2017, ED announced that it would provide institutions subject to the Final GE Regulations additional time to comply with certain aspects of the Final GE Regulations. In particular, ED extended to July 1, 2017 the deadline for an institution to submit an alternative earnings appeal to the debt-to-earnings rates that were released by ED in January 2017. In addition, ED extended to July 1, 2017 the date by which an institution was required to update disclosures for each of their GE programs using the 2017 disclosure template published by ED on January 19, 2017. On June 30, 2017, ED announced that, in response to a court order, it would further extend the deadline for an institution to submit an alternative earnings appeal. ED will issue a notice in the Federal Register to implement the court order, including establishing new deadlines. On June 30, 2017, ED also announced that it will allow institutions until July 1, 2018 to comply with certain disclosure requirements in the Final GE Regulations, including requirements to include a link to the disclosure template in promotional materials and to distribute directly a copy of the disclosure template to prospective students. The June 30, 2017 announcement did not change the July 1, 2017 deadline for the requirement to provide a completed disclosure template, or a link thereto, on GE program web pages, and the Final GE Regulations as a whole have not been delayed or altered. Accordingly, pending additional guidance or instruction from ED, APUS and HCN must continue to comply with the other requirements of the Final GE Regulations.

Executive Order 13777, “Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda,” signed by the President on February 24, 2017, directs Federal agencies including ED to establish a Regulatory Reform Task Force to evaluate existing regulations and make recommendations to the agency head regarding the regulations. The first Progress Report from the ED Regulatory Reform Task Force identifies a list of over 150 ED regulations that will be reviewed by the Task Force. The Progress Report also notes that the Task Force will review 1,772 issues of policy-related guidance that are subject to the Executive Order. In connection with Executive Order 13777, on June 22, 2017, ED announced that it is seeking public input on regulations that may be appropriate for repeal, replacement, or modification. ED is accepting public comment through August 21, 2017.

Beginning July 1, 2017, in accordance with the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017, institutions that participate in the Title IV programs may award Pell Grant funds for up to 150% of a student’s standard scheduled Pell Grant in one award year. This provision, which commonly is referred to as “year-round Pell”, is intended to allow students to graduate more quickly and with less debt. To be eligible for the additional Pell Grant funds, a student must be otherwise eligible to receive Pell Grant funds and must be enrolled at least half-time in the payment period for which the student receives additional Pell Grant funds in excess of 100% of the student’s standard scheduled award.

We cannot predict the extent to which the aforementioned regulatory activity or any other potential regulatory or legislative activity may impact us or our institutions, nor can we predict the possible associated burdens and costs. Additional information regarding the regulatory and legislative environment and potential risks associated with it is available in our Annual Report.

Reportable Segments

Our operations are organized into two reportable segments:

American Public Education Segment, or APEI Segment. This segment reflects the operational activities of APUS, other corporate activities, and minority investments.

Hondros College of Nursing Segment, or HCN Segment. This segment reflects the operational activities of HCN.

Summary of Results

For the three month period ended June 30, 2017 our consolidated revenue decreased from \$76.7 million to \$72.2 million, or by 5.9%, over the comparable prior year period. Our operating margins decreased from 13.9% to 8.8% for the three month period ended June 30, 2017, over the comparable prior year period. For the six month period ended June 30, 2017, our consolidated revenue decreased from \$160.7 million to \$147.9 million, or by 8.0%, over the comparable prior year period. Our operating margins decreased from 16.6% to 9.9% for the six month period ended June 30, 2017, over the comparable prior year period.

Index

For the three month period ended June 30, 2017, APEI Segment revenue decreased from \$69.5 million to \$64.3 million, or by 7.5%, over the comparable prior year period. APEI Segment operating margins decreased from 14.8% to 8.8% for the three month period ended June 30, 2017, over the comparable prior year period. For the six month period ended June 30, 2017, APEI Segment revenue decreased from \$145.8 million to \$132.4 million, or by 9.2%, over the comparable prior year period. APEI Segment operating margins decreased from 17.5% to 10.3% for the six month period ended June 30, 2017, over the comparable prior year period. Net course registrations at APUS decreased 6.1% and 7.9% for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017, respectively, over the comparable prior year periods. Net course registrations represent the total number of courses for which students remain enrolled after the date by which they may drop a course without financial penalty.

For the three month period ended June 30, 2017, HCN Segment revenue increased from \$7.2 million to \$7.9 million, or by 9.4%, over the comparable prior year period. HCN Segment operating margins increased from 4.8% to 8.3% for the three month period ended June 30, 2017, over the comparable prior year period. For the six month period ended June 30, 2017, HCN Segment revenue increased from \$14.9 million to \$15.5 million, or by 3.6%, over the comparable prior year period. HCN Segment operating margins decreased from 7.2% to 6.7% for the six month period ended June 30, 2017, over the comparable prior year period. Enrollment at HCN for the three month period ended June 30, 2017 decreased from 1,770 to 1,720, or approximately 2.8%, and for the six month period ended June 30, 2017 decreased from 3,620 to 3,430, or approximately 5.2%, as compared to the same periods in 2016. HCN student enrollment represents the total number of students enrolled in a course immediately after the date by which students may drop a course without financial penalty.

We believe these changes in revenue and operating margins are primarily due to the factors discussed below in the “Results of Operations” section of this Management’s Discussion and Analysis.

Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates

For information regarding our Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates, see the “Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates” section of “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in our Annual Report.

We provide incentive-based compensation opportunities to certain employees through cash incentive and equity awards. The expense associated with these awards is reflected within our operating expenses. For the year ending December 31, 2017, our Compensation Committee approved an annual incentive arrangement generally applicable to senior management employees, with the aggregate amount of any awards payable dependent upon the achievement of certain Company financial and operational goals as well as individual performance goals. Given that the awards are generally contingent upon achieving annual objectives, determination regarding incentive awards is not expected to be made until after the results for the year ending December 31, 2017 are finalized. Because assessing actual performance against many of these objectives cannot generally occur until at or near year-end, determining the amount of expense that we incur in our interim financial statements for incentive-based compensation involves the judgment of management. Amounts accrued are subject to change in future interim periods if actual future financial results or operational performance are better or worse than expected. We recognized an aggregate of approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million of expense during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017, respectively, compared to \$0.8 million and \$1.7 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2016, respectively, associated with our 2017 and 2016 incentive-based compensation plans.

Index

Results of Operations

Below we have included a discussion of our operating results and material changes in our operating results during the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2016. Our revenue and operating results normally fluctuate as a result of seasonal or other variations in our enrollments and the level of expenses in our APEI and HCN Segments. Our student population varies as a result of new enrollments, graduations, student attrition, the success of our marketing programs, and other reasons that we cannot always anticipate. We expect quarterly fluctuations in operating results to continue as a result of various enrollment patterns and changes in expenses.

The higher education industry has experienced rapid changes due to technological developments, evolving student needs, regulatory challenges, an uncertain economy, increased competition, and challenges in the military markets. We believe that these factors have contributed to a decline in enrollments at our institutions and have had a negative impact on our results of operations. As discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in our Annual Report, we are undertaking certain strategic initiatives, including those discussed above in the “Overview” section of this Management’s Discussion and Analysis, that we believe over the long term may increase our ability to compete for new students, enroll students who are more college ready, and retain existing and future students. We cannot predict whether these initiatives will be successful over the long term and cannot guarantee that we will be able to reverse our revenue decline. Although we cannot predict what adjustments may be necessary or costs may be incurred as a result of our institutions’ decline in enrollments and revenue, any such adjustments and costs may have an adverse impact on our results of operations or financial condition. For example, in the quarter ending September 30, 2017, we anticipate increasing our selling and promotional expenses to test marketing channels that we believe may improve our reach to optimal online target audiences.

For more information on the initiatives discussed above, our operations, and related risk factors, please refer to our Annual Report and the “Overview” section of this Management’s Discussion and Analysis.

Our consolidated results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 reflect the operations of our APEI and HCN Segments. For a more detailed discussion of our results by reportable segment, refer to our Analysis of Operating Results by Reportable Segment.

Analysis of Consolidated Statements of Income

For the Consolidated Statements of Income, refer to our Financial Statements: Consolidated Statements of Income. The following table sets forth statements of income data as a percentage of revenue for each of the periods indicated (unaudited):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100 %
Costs and expenses:				
Instructional costs and services	41.3	37.7	39.8	36.5
Selling and promotional	19.4	19.5	19.9	19.6
General and administrative	23.1	22.0	23.3	20.9
Loss on disposals of long-lived assets	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.5
Depreciation and amortization	6.5	6.3	6.4	6.0
Total costs and expenses	91.2	86.1	90.2	83.5

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION INC - Form 10-Q

Income from operations before interest income and income taxes	8.8	13.9	9.8	16.5
Interest income	—	—	—	—
Income from operations before income taxes	8.8	13.9	9.8	16.5
Income tax expense	3.5	5.4	4.3	6.5
Equity investment gain	—	0.1	—	0.4
Net Income	5.3	% 8.6	% 5.5	% 10.4 %

21

Index

Three Months Ended June 30, 2017 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2016

Revenue. Our consolidated revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2017 was \$72.2 million, a decrease of \$4.5 million, or 5.9%, compared to \$76.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The revenue decrease is due to a \$5.2 million, or 7.5%, revenue decrease in our APEI segment, partially offset by a \$0.7 million revenue increase in our HCN segment. The APEI segment revenue decrease was primarily due to a 6.1% decrease in net course registrations.

Costs and expenses. Costs and expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2017 were \$65.9 million, a decrease of \$0.2 million, or 0.3%, compared to \$66.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The decrease in costs and expenses was primarily due to reductions in advertising expense, Title IV fees, and bad debt expense that were partially offset by increases in employee compensation costs, professional fees, and loss on disposals of long-lived assets in our APEI Segment. Costs and expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 91.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2017, from 86.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in costs and expenses as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to consolidated revenue decreasing at a rate greater than the decrease in our total costs and expenses.

Instructional costs and services expenses. Our instructional costs and services expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2017 were \$29.8 million, representing an increase of 3.2% from \$28.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in instructional costs and services expenses was primarily the result of increases in employee compensation expenses and classroom subscription services expense partially offset by decreases in instructional materials expense in our APEI Segment, as well as increases in employee compensation costs in our HCN segment. Instructional costs and services expenses as a percentage of revenue were 41.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2017, compared to 37.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in instructional costs and services expenses as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to the increase in instructional costs and services expenses during a period when consolidated revenue decreased.

Selling and promotional expenses. Our selling and promotional expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2017 were \$14.0 million, representing a decrease of 6.5% from \$15.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The decrease in selling and promotional expenses was primarily the result of a decrease in advertising expenses. Selling and promotional expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased to 19.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2017, from 19.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The decrease in selling and promotional expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to our selling and promotional expenses decreasing at a rate greater than the decrease in consolidated revenue.

General and administrative expenses. Our general and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2017 were \$16.6 million, representing a decrease of 1.6% from \$16.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was primarily related to decreases in bad debt expense and Title IV processing fees partially offset by increases in employee compensation costs and professional fees in our APEI Segment. Bad debt expense for the three months ended June 30, 2017 was \$0.9 million, or 1.3% of revenue, compared to \$1.8 million, or 2.3% of revenue in the prior year period. The decrease in bad debt expense was primarily due to changes in student mix, changes in admissions and verification, and changes in other processes. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 23.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2017, from 22.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to our consolidated revenue decreasing at a rate greater than the decrease in our general and administrative expenses.

Loss on disposals of long-lived assets. The loss on disposals of long-lived assets for the three months ended June 30, 2017 was \$0.7 million as compared to \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The increase is primarily due to the loss of \$0.6 million on the sale of real property held for sale in the three months ended June 30, 2017.

Depreciation and amortization expenses. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$4.7 million and \$4.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 6.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2017, from 6.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to consolidated revenue decreasing at a rate greater than the decrease in depreciation and amortization expenses.

Stock-based compensation expenses. Stock-based compensation expenses included in instructional costs and services, selling and promotional, and general and administrative expenses were \$1.5 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. For additional information regarding our stock-based and other compensation expenses, please refer to “Note 9. Stock-Based Compensation” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report.

Index

Income tax expense. We recognized income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016 of \$2.5 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, or effective tax rates of 39.7% and 38.7%, respectively. The increase in our effective tax rate is primarily related to permanent tax differences and changes in the apportionment of state taxes. For additional information please refer to “Note 8. Income Taxes” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report.

Equity investment income. Equity investment income was \$0.02 million and \$0.07 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively.

Net income. Our net income was \$3.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017, compared to net income of \$6.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016, a decrease of \$2.8 million, or 41.9%. This decrease was related to the factors discussed above.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2017 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2016

Revenue. Our consolidated revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was \$147.9 million, a decrease of \$12.8 million, or 8.0%, compared to \$160.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The revenue decrease is due to a \$13.4 million, or 9.2%, revenue decrease in our APEI segment, partially offset by a \$0.5 million revenue increase in our HCN segment. The APEI segment revenue decrease was a result of a 7.9% decrease in net course registrations.

Costs and expenses. Costs and expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2017 were \$133.3 million, a decrease of \$0.8 million, or 0.6%, compared to \$134.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The decrease in costs and expenses was primarily due to reductions in advertising expense, Title IV processing fees and bad debt expense that were partially offset by increases in employee compensation costs, professional fees, and loss on disposals of long-lived assets in our APEI Segment. Costs and expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 90.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2017, from 83.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in costs and expenses as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to our consolidated revenue decreasing at a rate greater than the decrease in our costs and expenses.

Instructional costs and services expenses. Our instructional costs and services expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2017 were \$58.8 million, representing an increase of 0.3% from \$58.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in instructional costs and services expenses was primarily the result of increases in classroom subscription services expense partially offset by decreases in instructional materials in our APEI Segment, as well as increases in employee compensation costs in our HCN segment. Instructional costs and services expenses as a percentage of revenue were 39.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2017, compared to 36.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in instructional costs and services expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to the increase in instructional costs and services expenses during a period when consolidated revenue decreased.

Selling and promotional expenses. Our selling and promotional expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2017 were \$29.4 million, representing a decrease of 6.4% from \$31.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The decrease in selling and promotional expenses was primarily the result of a decrease in advertising expenses. Selling and promotional expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 19.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2017, from 19.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in selling and promotional expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to our consolidated revenue decreasing at a rate greater than the decrease in our selling and promotional expenses.

General and administrative expenses. Our general and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2017 were \$34.4 million, representing an increase of 2.4% from \$33.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The

increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily related to employee compensation expenses and professional fees partially offset by decreases in Title IV processing fees and bad debt expense in our APEI Segment. Bad debt expense for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was \$2.3 million, or 1.6% of revenue, compared to \$3.9 million, or 2.4% of revenue in the prior year period. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 23.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2017, from 20.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to the increase in general and administrative expenses during a period when consolidated revenue decreased.

Loss on disposals of long-lived assets. The loss on disposals of long-lived assets for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was \$1.2 million as compared to \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The increase was primarily due to the loss of \$0.6 million on the sale of real property during the six months ended June 30, 2017.

Index

Depreciation and amortization expenses. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$9.5 million and \$9.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 6.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2017, from 6.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to consolidated revenue decreasing at a rate greater than the decrease in depreciation and amortization expense.

Stock-based compensation expenses. Stock-based compensation expenses included in instructional costs and services, selling and promotional, and general and administrative expenses, were \$2.7 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. For additional information regarding our stock-based and other compensation expenses, please refer to “Note 9. Stock-Based Compensation” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report.

Income tax expense. We recognized income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016 of \$6.4 million and \$10.4 million, respectively, or effective tax rates of 43.3% and 38.1%, respectively. The increase in our effective tax rate is primarily due to the implementation of ASU 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718) which resulted in \$0.5 million in additional income tax expense in the three months ended March 31, 2017. Under ASU 2016-09, excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies associated with stock based compensation must be recognized in the income statement and in operating activities on the statements of cash flow. Previously, the excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies were recorded in additional paid-in capital under stockholders’ equity on the balance sheet and under financing activities on the statements of cash flow. Because expiring stock options with an option price greater than the current stock price expired during the three months ended March 31, 2017, the reversal of the tax benefit that was reported when the stock options were issued is now recorded in the income statement.

Equity investment income. Equity investment income was \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. Equity investment income for the six months ended June 30, 2016 includes \$0.7 million in income related to our share of earnings from NWHW Holdings, Inc.’s favorable adjustment of its deferred tax asset valuation allowance.

Net income. Our net income was \$8.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017, compared to net income of \$16.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016, a decrease of \$8.6 million, or 50.8%. This decrease was related to the factors discussed above.

Analysis of Operating Results by Reportable Segment

The following table provides details on our operating results by reportable segment for the respective periods (unaudited):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	(In thousands)			
Revenue:				
American Public Education Segment	\$64,304	\$69,529	\$132,433	\$145,794
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	7,892	7,216	15,451	14,917
Total Revenue	\$72,196	\$76,745	\$147,884	\$160,711
Income from operations before interest income and income taxes:				
American Public Education Segment	\$5,663	\$10,315	\$13,590	\$25,552
Hondros College of Nursing Segment	655	345	1,035	1,078
Total Income from operations before interest income and income taxes	\$6,318	\$10,660	\$14,625	\$26,630

Index

APEI Segment

For the three months ended June 30, 2017, the \$5.2 million decrease to approximately \$64.3 million in revenue in our APEI Segment was primarily attributable to lower net course registrations. Net course registrations at APUS decreased 6.1% to approximately 77,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same period in 2016. We believe that the decrease in APUS's net course registrations for the three months ended June 30, 2017 was primarily attributable to challenges associated with competition for students and challenges in the military market, the continuing effects of prior periods of decreased registrations, and ongoing declines in new student net course registrations resulting in decreased returning student net course registrations. Income from operations before interest income and income taxes in our APEI Segment was \$5.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of 45.1% compared to the same period of 2016, primarily as a result of decreased revenue.

For the six months ended June 30, 2017, the \$13.4 million decrease to approximately \$132.4 million in revenue in our APEI Segment was primarily attributable to lower net course registrations. Net course registrations at APUS decreased 7.9% to approximately 163,700 during the six months ended June 30, 2017, compared to the same period in 2016. Because revenue is recognized over the length of the course, increases or decreases in net course registrations in a financial reporting report do not correlate directly with increases or decreases in revenue for that period because revenue recognized from courses is not necessarily recognized in the same financial reporting period as the course registrations occur. We believe that the decrease in APUS's net course registrations for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was primarily attributable to challenges associated with competition for students and challenges in the military market, the continuing effects of prior periods of decreased registrations, and ongoing declines in new student net course registrations resulting in decreased returning student net course registrations. Income from operations before interest income and income taxes in our APEI Segment was \$13.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of 46.8% compared to the same period of 2016, primarily as a result of decreased revenue.

HCN Segment

For the three months ended June 30, 2017, the \$0.7 million increase to approximately \$7.9 million in revenue in our HCN segment was primarily attributable to an increase in revenue per student related to a change in student mix and other factors. HCN student enrollment decreased 2.8% to approximately 1,720 during the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same period in 2016. We believe the decrease is due to a period of decreased enrollment in HCN's ADN Program as a result of strengthened completion requirements in the PN Program, the launch of the new curriculum in January 2016, and other factors. Income from operations before interest income and income taxes in our HCN Segment was \$0.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2017, an increase of 89.9% compared to the same period of 2016, primarily as a result of increased revenue.

For the six months ended June 30, 2017, the \$0.5 million increase to approximately \$15.5 million in revenue in our HCN segment was primarily attributable to an increase in revenue per student related to a change in student mix and other factors. HCN student enrollment decreased 5.2% to approximately 3,430 during the six months ended June 30, 2017 as compared to the same period in 2016. We believe the decrease is due to a period of decreased enrollment in HCN's ADN Program as a result of strengthened completion requirements in the PN Program, the launch of the new curriculum in January 2016, and other factors. Income from operations before interest income and income taxes in our HCN Segment was \$1.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2017, a decrease of approximately 4.0% compared to the same period of 2016, primarily as a result of increased expenses that include the Toledo campus start-up costs.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

We financed operating activities and capital expenditures during the six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016 with cash provided by operating income. Cash and cash equivalents were \$157.1 million and \$146.4 million at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, representing an increase of \$10.7 million, or 7.3%. Cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2017 increased by \$33.0 million from \$124.1 million, or 26.6%, as compared to June 30, 2016.

We derive a significant portion of our revenue from tuition assistance programs from the DoD. Generally, these funds are received within 60 days of the start of the courses to which they relate. Another significant source of revenue is derived from our participation in ED's Title IV programs, for which disbursements are governed by federal regulations. We have typically received disbursements under Title IV programs within 30 days of the start of the applicable course. These factors, together with the number of courses starting each month, affect our operating cash flow.

Index

We expect to continue to fund our costs and expenses through cash generated from operations. Based on our current level of operations, we believe that our cash flow from operations and our existing cash and cash equivalents will provide adequate funds for ongoing operations and planned capital expenditures for the foreseeable future. Capital expenditures could be higher in the future as a result of, among other things, expenditures for technology or other business capabilities, the opening of new campuses at HCN, the acquisition or lease of existing structures or potential new construction projects, and necessary tenant improvements that arise as a result of our ongoing evaluation of our space needs and opportunities for physical growth. We expect that we will continue to make expenditures to invest in strategic opportunities and to enhance our business capabilities. We will continue to explore opportunities to invest in the education industry, which could include purchasing or investing in other education-related companies or companies developing new technologies. We may need additional capital in connection with any change in our current level of operations, including if we were to pursue significant business acquisitions or investment opportunities, or determine to make other significant investments in our business.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$16.3 million and \$28.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively. The decrease in cash from operating activities was primarily due to lower net income, changes in working capital due to the timing of payments and receipts, and increased payments of additional compensation in the current year period.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$4.2 million and \$8.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively. This decrease was primarily related to decreased capital expenditures and equity investments partially offset by increased capitalized program development costs.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$1.3 million and \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively. The decrease in cash used in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was primarily related to a reduction in excess tax benefit due to the accounting change for stock based compensation. For additional information on our repurchases of our common stock, please refer to “Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities” of Part II of our Annual Report and “Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds - Repurchases” of Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have off-balance sheet financing arrangements, including any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities.

Contractual Commitments

There were no material changes to our contractual commitments outside of the ordinary course of our business during the six months ended June 30, 2017.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are subject to the impact of interest rate changes and may be subject to changes in the market values of future investments. We invest our excess cash in bank overnight deposits. We had no material derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments as of June 30, 2017.

Market Risk

We had no material derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments as of June 30, 2017. We maintain our cash and cash equivalents in bank deposit accounts, which may exceed federally insured limits. We have historically not experienced any losses in such accounts. We believe we are not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents. Due to the short-term duration of our investment portfolio and the low risk profile of our investments, an immediate 100 basis point change in interest rates would not have a material effect on the fair market value of our portfolio.

Index

Interest Rate Risk

We are subject to risk from adverse changes in interest rates, primarily relating to our investing of excess funds in cash equivalents bearing variable interest rates, which are tied to various market indices. Our future investment income will vary due to changes in interest rates. At June 30, 2017, a 10% increase or decrease in interest rates would not have a material impact on our future earnings, fair values, or cash flows related to investments in cash equivalents.

There has been no material change to our market risk or interest rate sensitivity during the three months ended June 30, 2017.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2017. Based upon the evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2017.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rules 13a-15(d) and 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Please see “Note 13. Subsequent Event” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks set forth in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, or the Annual Report, and all of the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our Annual Report, and the additional information in the other reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. If any of the risks contained in those reports actually occur, our business, results of operation, financial condition and liquidity could be harmed, the value of our securities could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment. With the exception of the following, there have been no material changes in the risk factors set forth in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report, as supplemented by the Risk Factors section of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2017.

Participation in the tuition assistance programs of the DoD requires compliance with numerous regulations, with which the failure to comply could lead to a loss of an ability to participate in these programs or other adverse events.

In order to participate in the DoD tuition assistance programs, institutions must, among other things, comply with a Memorandum of Understanding, or MOU, that specifies terms and conditions of participation in DoD tuition assistance programs. By signing the MOU, APUS agreed to participate in DoD's Third Party Education Assessment. In January 2017, DoD announced that its Third Party Education Assessment will take the form of a new Voluntary Education Institutional Compliance Program, or ICP, which will replace the former process, the Military Voluntary Education Review. The ICP will utilize a sampling approach to review regularly the 2,700 educational institutions that participate in the DoD tuition assistance programs. Each year, DoD will select randomly 200 institutions and use a risk-based model to select 50 additional institutions. The risk-based model will take into account several data elements, including: rate of course completion; total verified complaints; changes in enrollment of students receiving tuition assistance; ratio of graduation rate relative to cost per course; changes in graduation rate; and total number of enrollment transactions processed. The ICP will be an iterative process with three stages. After each stage, DoD will narrow the list of institutions subject for heightened review in the following stage. DoD will share the findings from each stage with other government agencies and regulators. An institution that is selected and has no

Index

issues will be exempt from random selection for three years and from risk-based selection for one year. By signing the MOU, APUS also agreed to participate in the ICP when requested. APUS was notified on May 8, 2017 that it is included in the first set of 250 institutions selected to participate in the ICP. On May 29, 2017, APUS submitted a self-assessment as part of the first stage of the ICP, and has not received a response. Within six months after receipt of any assessment report findings, institutions must resolve the findings and provide information to DoD about corrective actions taken. In instances when the resolution action cannot be completed within six months, the institution must submit a status report every three months until the finding is resolved. An educational institution that demonstrates an unwillingness to resolve a finding may be subject to a range of penalties from a written warning to revocation of the MOU and termination of the institution's participation in the DoD tuition assistance programs. If we are no longer able to receive funds from DoD tuition assistance programs, or if those programs are reduced, eliminated, or temporarily suspended, our enrollments and revenue could be significantly reduced, which would result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

If ACICS loses its ability to serve as an accrediting agency for Title IV program purposes and HCN is unable to obtain recognition from another recognized accrediting agency, HCN would lose its ability to participate in Title IV programs and DoD tuition assistance programs.

By decision dated December 12, 2016 the Secretary of ED withdrew and terminated ED's recognition of ACICS, which accredits HCN. As described below, ACICS has appealed the Secretary's decision in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. When the Secretary withdraws the recognition of an accrediting agency, a postsecondary educational institution may be allowed to continue its participation on a provisional basis in the Title IV programs for a period not to exceed 18 months from the date of the Secretary's decision to allow the institution to seek accreditation from another recognized accrediting agency. During this period of provisional participation, ED will deem an ACICS-accredited institution to hold recognized accreditation, and ED will require the institution to comply with additional conditions. ED will also impose certain additional requirements on ACICS-accredited institutions that do not meet certain milestones toward accreditation by another recognized accrediting agency. On December 21, 2016, HCN and ED executed a revised PPPA and addendum to the PPPA in which HCN agreed to comply with ED's conditions and requirements. HCN has an in process application for accreditation by ABHES, an accrediting agency that is recognized by ED.

On December 15, 2016, ACICS filed a motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction against ED in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. ACICS asked the court to stay the Secretary's decision terminating ACICS's recognition status, restore ACICS's recognition status, and enjoin ED from enforcing the requirements for ACICS-accredited institutions, including those set forth in ED's PPPA. On December 20, 2016, the court denied ACICS's request for a temporary restraining order, and on February 21, 2017, the court denied ACICS's request for a preliminary injunction. On March 31, 2017, ACICS filed a motion for summary judgment seeking to vacate the Secretary's decision terminating ACICS's recognition status and requesting that the court return ACICS's petition for continued recognition to ED for reconsideration. On April 28, 2017, ED filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. Briefing on the motions was completed as of May 26, 2017, and the court may schedule a hearing to assist in its consideration of the motions. If the court does not restore ACICS's recognition status, HCN would not be eligible to participate in Title IV programs beyond June 12, 2018, unless HCN becomes accredited by another accrediting agency recognized by ED within that period. In addition, the approval status and in some cases funding provided by other agencies could be adversely affected by the loss of accreditation by ACICS.

The ineligibility of HCN to participate in Title IV programs would have a material adverse effect on HCN's enrollments and on our revenue, results of operations, and financial condition.

ACICS adopted a more rigorous policy on student achievement measures, which resulted in the PN Program at the HCN Cleveland campus receiving a Program-Level Show-Cause Directive on March 9, 2017 that was later vacated,

the HCN Cleveland campus receiving a Compliance Warning on April 10, 2017, the Cleveland and Dayton campuses receiving a Reporting status on April 26, 2017, and the ADN Program at the HCN Cleveland campus receiving a Compliance Warning on April 27, 2017.

Beginning in 2012, ACICS, HCN's accreditor, established requirements, including minimum "standards" and expected "benchmarks," to measure student retention, graduate placement and licensure exam passage rates. To satisfy ACICS's standards, the retention rate, placement rate, and licensure exam pass rate each must exceed 60%. To satisfy ACICS's benchmarks, each rate must exceed 70%. If ACICS determines that an institution's campus-level or program-level data does not satisfy one or more standards or benchmarks, ACICS may take certain actions. In January 2017, ACICS published a new policy, effective December 6, 2016, that defines in terms of metric ranges when a particular action will be taken at the campus and program levels, including placement on reporting status, issuance of a compliance warning, issuance of a Show-Cause Directive, or issuance of an adverse action.

Index

For the reporting year July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016, several HCN campuses and programs did not satisfy ACICS student achievement measures. On March 9, 2017, HCN received a program-level Show-Cause Directive for the PN Program at the Cleveland campus. ACICS took such action under the new policy because the placement rates initially reported for the PN Program at the Cleveland campus were between 50 - 59.9% for two consecutive years. Subsequently, on March 21, 2017, ACICS notified HCN that ACICS had granted HCN's request to supplement the data that was used to calculate certain HCN placement rates, including the 2016 placement rate for the PN Program at the Cleveland campus that in part resulted in the Show-Cause Directive. On May 5, 2017, ACICS notified HCN that based on inclusion of the additional data, the 2016 placement rate for the PN Program at the Cleveland campus was 60%, which satisfies the ACICS "standard" but does not satisfy the ACICS "benchmark." As a result, ACICS vacated the program-level Show-Cause Directive. We anticipate that ACICS may take other action, such as placing HCN on a program-level Reporting status for the PN Program at the Cleveland campus. If a Reporting status is imposed, HCN may be required to develop and implement an Improvement Plan that includes specific activities that have been implemented to improve the programs that are impacting the program-level placement rate.

HCN received a notice from ACICS on April 10, 2017 that ACICS had issued a campus-level Compliance Warning for the Cleveland campus. ACICS took such action under the new policy because the campus-level placement rate for the Cleveland campus, which is calculated based on placements for the PN Program and the ADN Program, was between 50 - 59.9% for one year in 2016. The campus-level placement rate utilized to issue the Compliance Warning included the supplemental data discussed above. In response to the Compliance Warning, HCN was required to submit certain information to ACICS by June 1, 2017, including: an Improvement Plan that includes specific activities that have been implemented to improve the programs that are impacting campus-level placement rates; and additional placement rate information for the period July 1, 2016 to March 31, 2017. HCN submitted the required information to ACICS on June 1, 2017. According to the April 10, 2017 notice, ACICS will review the information at its August 2017 meeting.

ACICS notified HCN on April 26, 2017 that ACICS had placed the Cleveland and Dayton campuses on campus-level Reporting status. ACICS took such action under the new policy because the campus-level retention rate for each of the Cleveland and Dayton campuses was between 60 - 69.9% for one year in 2016. In response to being placed on Reporting status, HCN is required, with respect to each of the Cleveland and Dayton campuses, to implement an Improvement Plan that includes specific activities being considered for purposes of positively impacting campus-level retention rates. HCN staff for each campus may also be required to attend ACICS's Student Achievement Workshop/Webinar.

HCN received a notice from ACICS on April 27, 2017 that ACICS had issued a program-level Compliance Warning for the ADN Program at the Cleveland campus. ACICS took such action under the new policy because, taking into account the supplemental data discussed above, the program-level placement rate for the ADN Program at the Cleveland campus was between 50 - 59.9% for one year in 2016. In response to the Compliance Warning, HCN is required to develop an Improvement Plan that includes specific activities being considered and in progress for purposes of positively impacting the program-level placement rate.

If any of the HCN campuses or programs, whether addressed above or otherwise, fails to satisfy ACICS achievement measures, enrollment in such HCN campuses or programs could decline, or we could be forced to cease enrollments at those campuses or in those programs, which could have a material adverse impact on HCN's student enrollment, revenue, and cash flows. The actions HCN is taking to improve its student achievement measures may not be successful in resolving existing issues or may fail to prevent additional issues arising with respect to other campuses or programs.

By decision dated December 12, 2016, the Secretary of ED withdrew and terminated ED's recognition of ACICS, as discussed more fully above in this section and in our Annual Report. HCN has an in process application for

accreditation by the Accrediting Bureau of Health Education Schools, or ABHES, a national accreditor for allied health schools that is recognized by ED for federal student financial aid purposes. ABHES policies require that institutions and programs applying for ABHES accreditation must advise ABHES immediately of any adverse or potentially adverse action, including a Show-Cause Directive, by another accrediting agency. HCN timely notified ABHES of the ACICS Show-Cause Directive, HCN's response, and when the Show-Cause Directive was vacated. ABHES also reserves the right not to grant initial accreditation if an institution is on probation or an equivalent status imposed by another accrediting agency. At this time, we cannot predict how ABHES will respond to ACICS's actions described above, including how they will impact any decision with respect to initial accreditation of HCN or any of HCN's programs or campuses as part of that initial accreditation decision.

The Ohio Board of Board of Nursing, or the OBN, has placed HCN's ADN Program on provisional approval because the ADN Program has not met the OBN pass rate standard for four consecutive years, which could have an adverse effect on our enrollments or eventually on our ability to continue the program.

Index

To apply for licensure to practice nursing in Ohio, an applicant must have successfully completed a nursing education program that is approved by the OBN. Regulations of the OBN require that nursing education programs such as HCN's PN and ADN Programs have a pass rate on the relevant National Council Licensure Examination, or NCLEX, that is at least 95% of the national average for first-time candidates in a calendar year. If a program does not attain such pass rate, the program may face various consequences. On March 8, 2017, OBN placed HCN's ADN Program on provisional approval because the ADN Program has not met the OBN pass rate standard for four consecutive years, which we believe has been negatively affected by the pass rates at HCN's Cleveland campus over the last several years. The OBN will consider restoring a program to Full Approval status after a program is placed on provisional status due to low NCLEX scores if the program attains a pass rate that meets or exceeds 95% of the national average for first-time candidates for at least two consecutive years. If a program on provisional approval fails to meet and maintain the requirements of the OBN at the end of the time period established for provisional approval, the OBN may propose to continue provisional approval for a set time period or may propose to withdraw approval pursuant to an adjudication proceeding. HCN has been implementing changes, including the curriculum changes discussed in our Annual Report, that are designed to help increase NCLEX scores over time but there is no assurance that these changes will be successful. This situation could have an adverse impact on our ability to enroll students and eventually our ability to continue the program, any of which would have an adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

Index

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Repurchases

During the period ended June 30, 2017, we did not repurchase any shares of our common stock other than shares that were deemed to have been repurchased to satisfy employee minimum tax withholding requirements in connection with the vesting of restricted stock grants. The table and footnotes below provide details regarding our repurchase programs (unaudited):

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2)(3)
April 1, 2017 - April 30, 2017	—	\$	—	580,616	\$ 148,008
May 1, 2017 - May 31, 2017	—	—	—	592,232	148,008
June 1, 2017 - June 30, 2017	—	—	—	592,232	148,008
Total	—	\$	—	592,232	\$ 148,008

On December 9, 2011, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program for our common stock, under which we may annually purchase up to the cumulative number of shares issued or deemed issued under our equity (1)incentive and stock purchase plans. Repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market at prevailing market prices or in privately negotiated transactions based on business and market conditions. The stock repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time and will be funded using our available cash.

On May 14, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase up to \$20 million of shares of our common stock. On each of March 14, 2013, June 13, 2014, and June 12, 2015 our Board of Directors increased the authorization by an additional \$15 million of shares, for a cumulative increase of \$45 million of shares and a total (2)cumulative authorization of \$65 million shares. Subject to market conditions, applicable legal requirements and other factors, the repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or privately negotiated transactions. The authorization does not obligate us to acquire any shares, and purchases may be commenced or suspended at any time based on market conditions and other factors as we deem appropriate.

During the six month period ended June 30, 2017, we were deemed to have repurchased 59,310 shares of common (3)stock forfeited by employees to satisfy minimum tax-withholding requirements in connection with the vesting of restricted stock grants. These repurchases were not part of the stock repurchase program authorized by our Board of Directors as described in footnotes 1 and 2 to this table.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

31

Index

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No. Exhibit Description

10.1+	<u>American Public Education, Inc. 2017 Omnibus Incentive Plan (1)</u>
10.2+	<u>American Public Education, Inc. Executive Severance Plan (1)</u>
31.1	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
31.2	<u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
32.1	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
EX-101.INS **	XBRL Instance Document
EX-101.SCH **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
EX-101.CAL **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
EX-101.DEF **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
EX-101.LAB **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
EX-101.PRE **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
+	Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement
(1)	Incorporated by reference to exhibit filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33810), filed with the Commission on May 15, 2017.

32

Index

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION, INC.

August 8, 2017

/s/ Dr. Wallace E. Boston
Dr. Wallace E. Boston
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

August 8, 2017

/s/ Richard W. Sunderland, Jr.
Richard W. Sunderland, Jr.
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)