James Hardie Industries plc Form 20-F June 27, 2013 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 20-F**

(Mark One)

" REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

 $\times\,$  ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended 31 March 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

" SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-15240

# JAMES HARDIE INDUSTRIES plc

# Formerly Known As James Hardie Industries SE

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

N/A

(Translation of Registrant s name into English)

**Ireland** 

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organisation)

**Europa House, Second Floor** 

**Harcourt Centre** 

Harcourt Street, Dublin 2, Ireland

(Address of principal executive offices)

Marcin Firek

(Contact name)

353 1411 6924 (Telephone) 353 1479 1128 (Facsimile)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

### Title of each class:

Common stock, represented by CHESS Units of Foreign Securities
CHESS Units of Foreign Securities
American Depositary Shares, each representing five units of CHESS
Units of Foreign Securities

Name of each exchange on which registered:

New York Stock Exchange\* New York Stock Exchange\* New York Stock Exchange

\*Listed, not for trading, but only in connection with the registered American Depositary Shares, pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.

None.

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

None.

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer s classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report 441,644,484 shares of common stock at 31 March 2013.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. x Yes " No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. "Yes x No

Note Checking the box will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See the definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer "

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP x International Financial Reporting Standards as issued Other "

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

by the International Accounting Standards Board  $\,\,^{\circ}$ 

If Other has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

" Item 17 " Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). "Yes x No

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Form 20-F Cross-Reference Index	Page iii
Section 1	1
Introduction	1
Selected Financial Data	1
<u>Information on the Company</u>	4
History and Development of the Company	4
Business Overview	6
Organisational Structure	15
Property, Plants and Equipment	15
Group Management Team	20
Board of Directors	23
Remuneration Report	28
Corporate Governance Report	65
Section 2	85
Reading this report	85
Management s Discussion and Analysis	87
Consolidated Financial Statements	115
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	121
Remuneration of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	166
Selected Quarterly Financial Data	167
Section 3	168
Risk Factors	168
Commitment to Provide Funding on a Long-Term Basis in Respect of Asbestos-Related	
<u>Liabilities of Former Subsidiaries</u>	184
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	192
Employees	197
<u>Listing Details</u>	198
Memorandum and Articles of Association	201
Material Contracts	209
Exchange Controls	209
<u>Taxation</u>	210
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	218
American Depositary Shares	220
Section 4	222
SHARE/CHESS Units of Foreign Securities Information	222
Glossary of Abbreviations and Definitions	225
<u>Exhibits</u>	231
<u>Signatures</u>	236

In this annual report, unless the context otherwise indicates, James Hardie Industries plc, a public limited company, or a European company incorporated and existing under the laws of Ireland, is referred to as JHI plc. JHI plc together with its direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries as of the time relevant to the applicable reference, are collectively referred to as the James Hardie Group. JHI plc and its current direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries are collectively referred to as we, us, our, JHI plc and its wholly owned subsidiaries, James Hardie or the Company.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

For certain information about the basis of preparing the financial information in this Annual Report, see Section 2, Reading this Report. In addition, this Annual Report contains statements that constitute forward-looking statements. For an explanation of forward-looking statements and the risks, uncertainties and assumptions to which they are subject, see Section 2, Reading this Report.

A Glossary of Abbreviations and Definitions has also been included under Section 4 of this Annual Report.

Information contained in or accessible through the websites mentioned in this Annual Report does not form part of this report unless we specifically state that it is incorporated by reference and forms part of this report. All references in this report to websites are inactive textual references and are for information only.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

# FORM 20-F CROSS REFERENCE INDEX

20-F Item Number and Description	Page
PART 1	
Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers	Not applicable
Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	Not applicable
Item 3. Key Information	
A. Selected Financial Data	1-4
B. Capitalisation and Indebtness	Not applicable
C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds	Not applicable
D. Risk Factors	168-184
Item 4. Information on the Company	
A. History and Development of the Company	4-6; 18-19
B. Business Overview	6-14
C. Organisational Structure	5; 15
D. Property, Plants and Equipment	15-19; 111
Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments	None
Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	
A. Operating Results	94-106
B. Liquidity and Capital Resources	107-113
C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc	13; 114
D. Trend Information	114
E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	114
F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations	113
G. Safe Harbor	85-86
Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees	
A. Directors and Senior Management	20-27
B. Compensation	28-64
C. Board Practices	23-27; 65-73
D. Employees	197-198
E. Share Ownership	49-52; 57-64
Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	
A. Major Shareholders	222-223
B. Related Party Transactions	68-69
C. Interests of Experts and Counsel	None
Item 8. Financial Information	
A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information	115-165; 184-192; 205-206
B. Significant Changes	None
Item 9. The Offer and Listing	
A. Offer and Listing Details	198-199
B. Plan of Distribution	Not Applicable
C. Markets	199-200
D. Selling Shareholders	Not Applicable
E. Dilution	Not Applicable
F. Expenses of the Issue	Not Applicable
Item 10. Additional Information	
A. Share Capital	Not Applicable
B. Memorandum and Articles of Association	201-208
C. Material Contracts	209
D. Exchange Controls	209-210

Table of Contents 7

iii

20-F Item Number and Description	Page
E. Taxation	210-217
F. Dividends and paying agents	Not Applicable
G. Statement by Experts	Not Applicable
H. Documents on Display	217
I. Subsidiary Information	Not Applicable
Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	218-220
Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities	
A. Debt Securities	Not Applicable
B. Warrants and Rights	Not Applicable
C. Other Securities	Not Applicable
D. American Depositary Shares	220-221
PART II	
Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	None
Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	None
Item 15. Controls and Procedures	78-81
Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert	71
Item 16B. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics	73
Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	166
Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees	None
Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	None
Item 16F. Change in Registrant s Certifying Accountant	None
Item 16G. Corporate Governance	83-84
Item 16H. Mine Safety Disclosures	17
PART III	
Item 17. Financial Statements	Not Applicable
Item 18. Financial Statements	115-165
Item 19. Exhibits	231-235
James Hardie FY 2013 20-F	iv
EQDALAGE CDOCC DEFEDENCE INDEX	

FORM 20-F CROSS REFERENCE INDEX

(Continued)

#### **SECTION 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

The Company is a world leader in manufacturing fibre cement siding and backerboard. Our current primary geographic markets include the United States (US), Australia, New Zealand, the Philippines, Europe and Canada.

Our fibre cement products are used in a number of markets, including new residential construction, manufactured housing, repair and remodeling and a variety of commercial and industrial applications.

We manufacture numerous types of fibre cement products with a variety of patterned profiles and surface finishes for a range of applications, including external siding and soffit lining, internal linings, facades and floor and tile underlay.

We employ approximately 2,700 people and generated net sales of US\$1.3 billion in fiscal year 2013.

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We have included in this annual report the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company, consisting of our consolidated balance sheets as of 31 March 2013 and 2012, and our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), changes in shareholders equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the years ended 31 March 2013, 2012 and 2011, together with the related notes thereto. The consolidated financial statements included in this annual report have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the US, or US GAAP.

The selected consolidated financial information summarised below for the five most recent fiscal years has been derived in part from the Company's financial statements. You should read the selected consolidated financial information in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and related notes contained in Section 2, Consolidated Financial Statements and with the information provided in Section 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis. Historic financial data is not necessarily indicative of our future results and you should not unduly rely on it

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

	2	013		2012 s of US do		2011		2010 per share data		2009
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:										
Net Sales										
USA and Europe Fibre Cement <sup>1</sup> Asia Pacific Fibre Cement <sup>2</sup>	\$	951.4 369.9	\$	862.0 375.5	\$	814.0 353.0	\$	828.1 296.5	\$	929.3 273.3
Total net sales	\$	1,321.3	\$	1,237.5	\$	1,167.0	\$	1,124.6	\$	1,202.6
Operating income (loss) <sup>3</sup>	\$	29.5	\$	155.5	\$	104.7	\$	(21.0)	\$	173.6
Interest expense		(5.5)		(11.2)		(9.0)		(7.7)		(11.2)
Interest income		7.9		3.8		4.6		3.7		8.2
Other income (expense) <sup>4</sup>		1.8		3.0		(3.7)		6.3		(14.8)
Income (loss) from operations before		22.7		151.1		06.6		(10.7)		155.0
income taxes		33.7		151.1		96.6		(18.7)		155.8
Income tax benefit (expense) <sup>5</sup>		11.8		453.2		(443.6)		(66.2)		(19.5)
Income (loss) from operations	\$	45.5	\$	604.3	\$	(347.0)	\$	(84.9)	\$	136.3
Net income (loss)	\$	45.5	\$	604.3	\$	(347.0)	\$	(84.9)	\$	136.3
Income (loss) from operations per	ф	0.10	Ф	1.20	ď.	(0.00)	d.	(0.20)	¢.	0.22
common share basic Net income (loss) per common	\$	0.10	\$	1.39	\$	(0.80)	\$	(0.20)	\$	0.32
share basic	\$	0.10	\$	1.39	\$	(0.80)	\$	(0.20)	\$	0.32
Income (loss) from operations per common share diluted	\$	0.10	\$	1.38	\$	(0.80)	\$	(0.20)	\$	0.31
Net income (loss) per common										
share diluted	\$	0.10	\$	1.38	\$	(0.80)	\$	(0.20)	\$	0.31
Dividends paid per share Weighted average number of common	\$	0.43	\$	0.04	\$		\$		\$	0.08
shares outstanding										
Basic		439.2		436.2		435.6		433.1		432.3
Diluted		440.6		437.9		435.6		433.1		434.5
Consolidated Cash Flow Information:										
Cash flows provided by (used in)	Φ.	100.2	Φ.	207.2	ф	1.47.0		102.1	ф	(45.0)
operating activities	\$	109.3	\$	387.2	\$	147.2	\$	183.1	\$	(45.2)
Cash flows used in investing activities Cash flows (used in) provided by	\$	(59.7)	\$	(49.9)	\$	(49.6)	\$	(50.5)	\$	(26.1)
financing activities Other Data:	\$	(158.7)	\$	(84.4)	\$	(89.7)	\$	(159.0)	\$	25.0
Depreciation and amortisation	\$	61.2	\$	65.2	\$	62.9	\$	61.7	\$	56.4
Adjusted EBITDA <sup>6</sup>	\$	90.7	\$	220.7	\$	167.6	\$	40.7	\$	230.0
Capital expenditures	\$	61.1	\$	35.8	\$	50.3	\$	50.5	\$	26.1
Volume (million square feet)										
USA and Europe Fibre Cement <sup>1</sup>		1,488.5		1,331.8		1,248.0		1,303.7		1,526.6
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement <sup>2</sup>		393.7		392.3		407.8		389.6		390.6
Average sales price per unit (per thousand square feet)										
USA and Europe Fibre Cement <sup>1</sup>	US\$	639	US	\$ 647	USS	652	US	\$ 635	US	\$ 609
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement <sup>2</sup>	A\$	911	A\$		A\$	916	A\$		A\$	

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

	2013	2012	 r ended 31 2011 s of US doll	 2010	2009
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Net current assets <sup>7</sup>	\$ 373.7	\$ 463.3	\$ 126.9	\$ 50.4	\$ 137.7
Total assets	\$ 2,107.6	\$ 2,310.0	\$ 1,960.6	\$ 2,178.8	\$ 1,891.7
Total debt <sup>8</sup>	\$	\$ 30.9	\$ 59.0	\$ 154.0	\$ 324.0
Common stock	\$ 227.3	\$ 224.0	\$ 222.5	\$ 221.1	\$ 219.2
Shareholders equity (deficit)	\$ 18.2	\$ 126.4	\$ (454.5)	\$ (117.9)	\$ (108.7)

On 1 April 2008, the Company realigned its operating segments by combining the previously reported segments of USA Fibre Cement and Other into one operating segment, USA and Europe Fibre Cement. The USA and Europe Fibre Cement segment manufactures fibre cement interior linings, exterior siding and related accessory products in the United States which are sold in the United States, Canada and Europe.

The segment also includes fibre reinforced concrete pipes manufactured and sold in the United States (through May 2008). Our Plant City, Florida Hardie Pipe Plant was closed and the business ceased operations in May 2008.

- The Asia Pacific Fibre Cement segment includes all fibre cement manufactured in Australia, New Zealand and the Philippines and sold in Australia, New Zealand, Asia, the Middle East (Israel, Kuwait, Qatar and United Arab Emirates) and various Pacific Islands.
- Operating income (loss) includes the following asbestos adjustments, Asbestos Injuries Compensation Fund (AICF) SG&A expenses, Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) related (expenses) recoveries, asset impairment charges, and New Zealand product liability expenses:

	Fiscal Years Ended 31 March									
		2013	2	2012	2	2011	2	010		2009
				(N	Aillions o	f US doll	ars)			
(Unfavourable) favourable asbestos adjustments	\$	(117.1)	\$	(15.8)	\$	(85.8)	\$	(224.2)	\$	17.4
AICF SG&A expenses	\$	(1.7)	\$	(2.8)	\$	(2.2)	\$	(2.1)	\$	(0.7)
ASIC related (expenses) recoveries	\$	(2.6)	\$	(1.1)	\$	8.7	\$	(3.4)	\$	(14.0)
Asset impairments	\$	(16.9)	\$	(14.3)	\$		\$		\$	
New Zealand product liability expenses <sup>9</sup>	\$	(13.2)	\$	(5.4)	\$		\$		\$	

For additional information on the asbestos adjustments, AICF SG&A expenses, ASIC related (expenses) recoveries, asset impairment charges and New Zealand product liability expenses, see Section 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis and Notes 7, 11 and 13 to our consolidated financial statements in Section 2.

- Other income (expense) in fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011 are due to changes in the fair value of interest rate swap contracts. Other income in fiscal year 2010 primarily includes a realised gain arising from the sale of restricted short-term investments held by AICF. Other expense in fiscal year 2009 consists of an other-than-temporary impairment charge related to restricted short-term investments held by AICF of US\$14.8 million. For additional information see Section 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis Results of Operations.
- Income tax benefit in fiscal year 2012 includes a benefit of US\$485.2 million recognised upon RCI s successful appeal of the Australian Taxation Office s ( ATO ) disputed 1999 amended tax assessment. Income tax expense in fiscal year 2011 includes a charge of US\$345.2 million resulting from the dismissal by the Federal Court of Australia of RCI s appeal of the ATO s disputed 1999 amended tax assessment.

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

Adjusted EBITDA represents income from operations before interest income, interest expense, income taxes, other non-operating income (expense), described in footnote four above, and depreciation and amortisation charges. The following table presents a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities, as this is the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure to Adjusted EBITDA for each of the periods indicated. Items comprising Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities, Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities and Change in operating assets and liabilities, net for fiscal years ended 31 March 2013, 2012 and 2011 are set forth in the consolidated statements of cash flows in Section 2 of this report.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

	Fiscal Years Ended 31 March						
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
		(Mi	llions of US dollar	rs)			
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 109.3	\$ 387.2	\$ 147.2	\$ 183.1	\$ (45.2)		
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by							
(used in) operating activities	(145.9)	(114.4)	(136.8)	(312.0)	(3.5)		
Change in operating assets and liabilities, net	82.1	331.5	(357.4)	44.0	185.0		
Net income (loss)	45.5	604.3	(347.0)	(84.9)	136.3		
Income tax (benefit) expense	(11.8)	(453.2)	443.6	66.2	19.5		
Interest expense	5.5	11.2	9.0	7.7	11.2		
Interest income	(7.9)	(3.8)	(4.6)	(3.7)	(8.2)		
Other (income) expense	(1.8)	(3.0)	3.7	(6.3)	14.8		
Depreciation and amortisation	61.2	65.2	62.9	61.7	56.4		
-							
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 90.7	\$ 220.7	\$ 167.6	\$ 40.7	\$ 230.0		

Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of financial performance under US GAAP and should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, income from operations, net income or net cash provided by (used in) operating activities, as defined by US GAAP, or as a measure of our profitability or liquidity. Not all companies calculate Adjusted EBITDA in the same manner as we have and, accordingly, Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable with other companies. We have included information concerning Adjusted EBITDA because we believe that this data is commonly used by investors to evaluate the ability of a company searnings from its core business operations to satisfy its debt, capital expenditure and working capital requirements. To permit evaluation of this data on a consistent basis from period to period, Adjusted EBITDA has been adjusted for non-cash charges, as well as non-operating income and expense items.

- 7 Total current assets less total current liabilities.
- Total debt at 31 March 2012 represents the amount owed by AICF under a secured standby loan facility with the government of New South Wales (Facility). On 3 April 2012, all amounts outstanding under the AICF loan facility were fully repaid. Because the Company consolidates AICF due to pecuniary and contractual interests in AICF as a result of the funding arrangements outlined in the Amended and Restated Final Funding Agreement (AFFA), any drawings, repayments or payments of accrued interest by AICF under the Facility impact the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. James Hardie Industries plc and its wholly-owned subsidiaries are not a party to, guarantor of, or security provider in respect of the Facility.
- The Company began separately disclosing New Zealand product liability expenses in fiscal year 2013 and did so for fiscal year 2012 for comparative purposes only.

#### INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

## History and Development of the Company

The Company was established in 1888 as an import business. In 1951, the Company became publicly owned as a listed company on the Australian Stock Exchange. After becoming a listed company, the Company built up a diverse portfolio of building and industrial products including a wide range of asbestos-based products. In the mid-1980s, we pioneered the development of asbestos-free fibre cement technology and began designing and manufacturing a wide range of fibre cement building products that made use of the benefits that came from the products durability, versatility and strength. Using the technical and manufacturing expertise developed in Australia, we expanded our operations, in particular to the United States, to become a specialised manufacturer of a wide range of fibre cement building materials.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

Our legal name was changed to James Hardie Industries N.V. from RCI Netherlands Holdings B.V. in July 2001 when our legal form was converted from a besloten vennootschap met beperkte aansprakelijkheid (B.V.), to a naamloze vennootschap (N.V.), or a public limited liability company whose stock, unlike a private limited liability company, may be transferred without executing a notarial deed if such company is listed on a recognised stock exchange. In February 2001, the shareholders of James Hardie Industries Limited (JHIL) agreed to exchange their shares for shares in James Hardie Industries N.V., which retained its primary listing on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX). In February 2010, our legal name was changed to James Hardie Industries SE when our legal form was converted from a Dutch N.V. to a Dutch Societas Europaea (SE) in connection with the implementation of Stage 1 of a two-stage re-domicile proposal (together, the re-domicile) to change our registered corporate domicile from The Netherlands to Ireland. On 17 June 2010, we implemented Stage 2 of the re-domicile and changed our registered corporate domicile to Ireland to become an Irish SE and became an Irish tax resident on 29 June 2010. On 15 October 2012, we converted from an Irish SE into an Irish public limited company (plc).

We conduct our operations under legislation in various jurisdictions. As an Irish plc we are governed by the Irish Companies Acts. In addition, we operate under the regulatory requirements of numerous jurisdictions and organisations, including the ASX, ASIC, the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ), the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ), the Irish Takeover Panel and various other rulemaking bodies.

Our corporate domicile is located in Ireland. The address of our registered office in Ireland is Europa House, Second Floor, Harcourt Centre, Harcourt Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. The telephone number there is +353 1411 6924. Our agent in the United States is CT Corporation. Its office is located at 3 Winners Circle, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Albany, New York 12205.

#### Corporate Restructuring

On 17 May 2011, we announced that we had commenced an internal reorganisation involving the simplification of our corporate structure, including some of the arrangements which were previously part of our Netherlands domicile. This internal reorganisation is being made to facilitate the ability to access and distribute surplus cash flows and earnings of our operating subsidiaries more efficiently, including for the purpose of making periodic contributions to AICF. As part of this restructure, the Company incurred a tax charge of US\$32.6 million on undistributed earnings of its US subsidiaries during fiscal year 2011, related to the remittance of US earnings as part of the internal reorganisation.

The following is a simplified diagram of our current corporate structure:

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

## Consolidation of AICF

In February 2007, our shareholders approved the AFFA entered into on 21 November 2006 to provide long-term funding to AICF. JHI plc owns 100% of James Hardie 117 Pty Ltd (the Performing Subsidiary ) that funds AICF subject to the provisions of the AFFA. We appoint three of AICF s directors and the New South Wales ( NSW ) Government appoints two of AICF s directors.

Under the terms of the AFFA, the Performing Subsidiary has an obligation to make payments to AICF on an annual basis. The amount of these annual payments is dependent on several factors, including our free cash flow (as defined in the AFFA), actuarial estimations, actual claims paid, operating expenses of AICF and the annual cash flow cap. JHI plc guarantees the Performing Subsidiary s obligation. As a result, for purposes of US GAAP, we consider JHI plc to be the primary beneficiary of AICF.

Although we have no legal ownership in AICF, for financial reporting purposes, our interest in AICF is considered variable and we consolidate AICF due to our pecuniary and contractual interests in AICF as a result of the funding arrangements outlined in the AFFA. Our consolidation of AICF results in a separate recognition of the asbestos liability and certain other asbestos-related assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet. Among other items, we record a deferred tax asset for the anticipated future tax benefit we believe is available to us that arises from amounts contributed to the asbestos fund by the Performing Subsidiary. Since fiscal year 2007, movements in the asbestos liability arising from changes in foreign currency or actuarial adjustments are classified as asbestos adjustments, and the income tax benefit arising from contributions to AICF is included within income tax benefit (expense) on our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) when realised. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements in Section 2.

#### **Business Overview**

#### General Overview of our Business

Based on net sales, we believe we are the largest manufacturer of fibre cement products and systems for internal and external building construction applications in the United States, Australia, New Zealand, and the Philippines. We market our fibre cement products and systems under various Hardie brand names, such as HardieBacker® boards, and other brand names such as Artisan® Lap and Artisan<sup>TM</sup> Accent Trim by James Hardie, Cemplank® and Prevail® siding (we also formerly marketed siding under the brand name Sentry<sup>TM</sup> siding), and Scyon advanced lightweight cement composite products such as Scyon Stria cladding. We believe that, in certain applications, our fibre cement products and systems provide a combination of distinctive performance, design and cost advantages when compared to other fibre cement products and alternative products and systems that use solid wood, engineered wood, vinyl, brick, stucco or gypsum wallboard. The sale of fibre cement products in the United States accounted for 70%, 67% and 68% of our total net sales in fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Our fibre cement products are used in a number of markets, including new residential construction (single and multi-family housing), manufactured housing (mobile and pre-fabricated homes), repair and remodeling and a variety of commercial and industrial applications (stores, warehouses, offices, hotels, motels, schools, libraries, museums, dormitories, hospitals, detention facilities, religious buildings and gymnasiums). We manufacture numerous types of fibre cement products with a variety of patterned profiles and surface finishes for a range of applications, including external siding and soffit lining, internal linings, facades, and floor and tile underlayments.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

In contrast to some other building materials, fibre cement provides durability attributes, such as strong resistance to moisture, fire, impact and termites, requires relatively little maintenance and can be used as a substrate to create a wide variety of architectural effects with textured and colored finishes.

The breakdown of our net sales by operating segment for each of our last three fiscal years is as follows:

		Fiscal Year Ended 31 March	ı
	2013	2012 (Millions of US dollars)	2011
USA and Europe Fibre Cement	\$ 951.4	\$ 862.0	\$ 814.0
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement	369.9	375.5	353.0
Total	\$ 1,321.3	\$ 1,237.5	\$ 1,167.0

#### Industry Overview

#### US Housing Industry and Fibre Cement Industry

In the United States, fibre cement is principally used in the residential building industry. Such usage fluctuates based on the level of new home construction and the repair and remodeling of existing homes. The level of activity is generally a function of interest rates and the availability of financing to homeowners to purchase a new home or make improvements to their existing homes, inflation, unemployment levels, demographic trends, gross domestic product growth and consumer confidence. Demand for building products is also affected by residential housing starts and existing home sales, the age and size of the housing stock and overall home improvement expenditures. According to the US Census Bureau, single family housing starts, which are one of the key drivers of the Company s performance, were up 27% to 565,900 for fiscal year 2013, compared to fiscal year 2012.

In the United States, the largest application for fibre cement products is in the external siding industry. Siding is a component of every building and it usually occupies more square footage than any other external building component, such as windows and doors. Selection of siding material is based on installed cost, durability, aesthetic appeal, strength, weather resistance, maintenance requirements and cost, insulating properties and other features. Different regions of the United States show a decided preference amongst siding materials according to economic conditions, weather, materials availability and local preference. The principal siding materials are vinyl, stucco, fibre cement, solid wood and brick. Vinyl has the largest share of the siding market.

#### International Fibre Cement Industry

In Australia and New Zealand, fibre cement building products are used in both the residential and commercial building industries with applications in external siding, internal walls, ceilings, floors, soffits and fences. The residential building industry represents the principal market for fibre cement products. We believe the level of activity in this industry is generally a function of interest rates, inflation, unemployment levels, demographic trends, gross domestic product growth and consumer confidence. Demand for fibre cement building products is also affected by the level of new housing starts and renovation activity.

Fibre cement products have, across a range of product applications, gained broader acceptance in Australia and New Zealand than in the United States, primarily due to earlier introduction in Australia and New Zealand.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### Australia

According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics total dwelling commencements in Australia increased from 146,500 in calendar year 2011 to 148,000 in calendar year 2012 and detached houses decreased from 91,800 in calendar year 2011 to 89,200 in calendar year 2012. Renovation activity, as measured by the Australian Bureau of Statistics by construction work done, has decreased approximately 10% from calendar year 2011 to calendar year 2012. The Housing Industry Association of Australia expects new housing construction and renovation activity to be up slightly over the short-to-medium term.

Former subsidiaries of ABN 60 Pty Limited (ABN 60) developed fibre cement in Australia as a replacement for asbestos cement in the early 1980s. Asbestos cement sheet production ceased in the early 1980s and asbestos cement pipe production ceased in 1987. Competition has intensified over the past decade in Australia. In addition to competition from solid wood, engineered wood, wallboard, masonry and brick, two Australian competitors have established fibre cement manufacturing facilities in Australia and fibre cement imports are also growing.

#### New Zealand

According to Statistics New Zealand, new dwellings consents in New Zealand increased from approximately 14,600 for the year ended March 2012 to 17,400 for the year ended March 2013. Residential renovation activity in New Zealand has increased from the year ended March 2012 to the year ended March 2013 for a total increase over this period of approximately 8%. InfoMetrics New Zealand expects new housing construction and renovation activity to increase further during calendar year 2013, consistent with the growth during calendar year 2012.

Competition continues to intensify in New Zealand as fibre cement imports have become more cost competitive and overseas manufacturers struggling with the global recession look for additional markets to add to their existing ones.

#### **Philippines**

In the Philippines and other Asian and Middle Eastern (Israel, Kuwait, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates) markets, fibre cement building products are used in both the residential and commercial building industries with applications in ceilings, internal walls and external siding, facades and soffits. The residential building industry represents the principal market for fibre cement products. In general, fibre cement products have, across a range of product applications, gained broader acceptance in these regions over the last decade. In the Philippines, additional imported fibre cement products have entered the market. However, in some of the developing markets, gypsum usage has increased and penetrated into fibre cement applications. Fibre cement and asbestos cement production facilities are located throughout Asia and exporting between countries is common practice. Unlike some of our competitors in the Asian market, we do not manufacture fibre cement products containing asbestos. We believe that fibre cement has good long-term growth potential because of the benefits of light-weight and framed construction compared to traditional masonry construction. In addition, we believe the opportunity to replace wood-based products, such as plywood, with more durable fibre cement will be attractive to some consumers in some of these markets.

# Europe

In Europe, fibre cement building products are used in both residential and commercial building applications in external siding, internal walls, floors, soffits and roofing. We compete in most segments except roofing and promote the use of fibre cement products against traditional masonry, gypsum-based products and wood-based products. Since we commenced selling our products in Europe in

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

fiscal year 2004, we have continued to work to grow demand for our products by building awareness among distributors, builders and contractors. Management believes that the growth outlook for fibre cement in Europe is favourable in light of stricter insulation requirements driving demand for advanced exterior cladding systems as well as better building practices increasing the use of fibre cement in interior applications.

#### **Products**

We manufacture fibre cement products in the United States, Australia, New Zealand and the Philippines. In fiscal year 2004, we commenced our European fibre cement business by distributing our fibre cement products in the United Kingdom and France. We also manufacture fibre cement pipes in Australia and previously manufactured fibre cement pipes and roofing products in the United States. In May 2008 and April 2006, we ceased operation of our pipes and roofing businesses, respectively, in the United States. Our total product offering is aimed at the building and construction markets, including new residential construction, manufactured housing, repair and remodeling and a variety of commercial and industrial building applications.

We offer a wide range of fibre cement products for both exterior and interior applications. In the United States and elsewhere, our products are typically sold as planks or flat sheets with a variety of patterned profiles and finishes. Planks are used for external siding while flat sheets are used for internal and external wall linings and floor and tile underlayments. Outside the United States, we also manufacture fibre cement products for use in other applications such as building facades, lattice, fencing, decorative columns, flooring, soffit lining and ceiling applications, some of which have not yet been introduced into the United States.

We developed a proprietary technology platform that enables us to produce thicker yet lighter-weight fibre cement products that are generally lighter and easier to handle than traditional building products. The first application of this technology in the United States has been our HardieTrim® board. HardieTrim board is a fibre cement trim product that is used on the exterior of residential and commercial construction to replace traditional wood and engineered wood trim. HardieTrim board was launched in fiscal year 1999, with the introduction of HardieTrim HLD board.

We believe that our products provide certain performance, design and cost advantages. The principal fibre cement attributes in exterior applications are durability and low maintenance, particularly when compared to competing wood and wood-based products, while offering comparable aesthetics. Our fibre cement products exhibit resistance to the damaging effects of moisture, fire, impact and termites compared to wood and wood-based products, which we believe has enabled us to gain a competitive advantage over competing products. Vinyl siding products generally have better durability characteristics than wood-based products, but typically cannot duplicate fibre cement s aesthetics and the characteristics necessary for effectively accepting paint applications.

Our fibre cement products provide strength and the ability to imprint patterns that closely resemble patterns and profiles of traditional materials such as wood and stucco. The surface properties provide an effective paint-holding finish, compared to wood and engineered wood products, such that the periods between necessary maintenance and repainting are generally longer. Compared to masonry construction, fibre cement is lightweight, physically flexible and can be cut using readily available tools. This makes fibre cement suitable for lightweight construction across a range of architectural styles. Fibre cement is well suited to both timber and steel-framed construction.

In our interior product range, we believe our ceramic tile underlayment products exhibit better handling and installation characteristics compared to fibreglass mesh cement boards. Compared to wood and wood-based products, our products provide the same general advantages that apply to external

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

applications. In addition, our fibre cement products exhibit less movement in response to exposure to moisture than many alternative competing products, providing a more consistent and durable substrate on which to install tiles. In internal lining applications where exposure to moisture and impact damage are significant concerns, our products provide superior moisture resistance and impact resistance than traditional gypsum wet area wallboard and other competing products.

In the United States, the following new products were released over the last five years:

During fiscal year 2009, we introduced two new siding profiles, HardieSoffit® Beaded Porch Panel and HardieShingle® Shingle Plank.

During fiscal year 2010, we introduced HardieZone® System siding products.

During fiscal year 2011, we introduced new HardieShingle<sup>®</sup> siding, HardieTrim<sup>®</sup> NT3<sup>®</sup> Boards, two new lap siding products, 12 Artisan<sup>®</sup> Accent Trim and HardieBacker<sup>®</sup> ProGrid cement board.

During fiscal year 2012, we introduced new profile HZ5® HardiePlank® siding, additional HardieShingle® siding profiles, new Improved Smooth HardieTrim® boards, new HardieTrim® Crown Mouldings and three new colors to the palette for James Hardie® products using ColorPlus® technology.

During fiscal year 2013, we introduced a new profile HZ10<sup>®</sup> HardiePlank<sup>®</sup> siding.

In Australia and New Zealand, new products released over the past five years in the Scyon lightweight advanced cement composite range include Axon cladding, Stria cladding, Secura Interior Flooring, and Secura Exterior Flooring and Axent trim, and Horizon Lining in the James Hardie product range; in Australia only, new products include: Matrix cladding; and additionally, in New Zealand only, the following new products were released: in the James Hardie product range ShingleSide panel, Linea Oblique cladding, CLD Cavity Battens, RAB PreClad Lining and homeRAB board (Rigid Air Barrier products), Horizon Lining, and RawForm Lining. In both countries, new product launches have been supported by the launch of energy efficiency related accessories such as HardieBreak thermal break tape as well as web based initiatives such as the ACCEL suite of online product information, calculator and application tools, the LookHome and LightHome e-zines as well as the SmarterGreen , SmarterPartner , SmarterDesign and The Smarter Small Home initiatives. In New Zealand, The Drawing Board online design tool provides an aid to smart design using James Hardie products.

In the Philippines, new products released over the past five years include Hardieplank Siding, Hardiefloor Systems and Hardiepattern Boards. The established Hardieflex board range has been extended to include Hardieflex Wet Area lining boards. In November 2012, Hardieflex Pro was launched which is primarily for wet area application.

# Seasonality

Our earnings are seasonal and typically follow activity levels in the building and construction industry. In the United States, the calendar quarters ending in December and March generally reflect reduced levels of building activity depending on weather conditions. In Australia and New Zealand, the calendar quarter ending in March is usually affected by a slowdown due to summer holidays. In the Philippines, construction activity diminishes during the wet season from June through September and during the last half of December due to the slowdown in business activity over the holiday period. Also, general industry patterns can be affected by weather, economic conditions, industrial disputes and other factors. See Section 3, Risk Factors.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 10

#### Raw Materials

The principal raw materials used in the manufacture of fibre cement are cellulose fibre (wood-based pulp), silica (sand), portland cement and water.

Cellulose Fibre. Reliable access to specialised, consistent quality, low cost pulp is critical to the production of fibre cement building materials. Cellulose fibre is sourced from New Zealand, the United States, Canada, and Chile and is processed to our specifications. It is further processed using our proprietary technology to provide the reinforcing material in the cement matrix of fibre cement. We have developed a high level of internal expertise in the production and use of wood-based pulps. This expertise is shared with our pulp producers, which have access to appropriate raw wood stocks, in order to formulate superior reinforcing pulps. The resulting pulp formulas are typically proprietary and are the subject of confidentiality agreements between the pulp producers and us. Moreover, we have obtained patents in the United States and in certain other countries covering certain unique aspects of our pulping formulas and processes that we believe cannot adequately be protected through confidentiality agreements. However, we cannot assure you that our intellectual property and other proprietary information will be protected in all cases. See Section 3, Risk Factors. We have entered into contracts that provide discounted pulp prices relative to various pulp indices and we purchase our pulp from several qualified suppliers in an attempt to mitigate price increases and supply interruptions.

Pulp has historically demonstrated more price sensitivity than other raw materials that we use in our manufacturing process. In fiscal year 2013, the average Northern Bleached Softwood Kraft (NBSK) pulp price relative to our US business was US\$878 per ton, an 8% decrease compared to fiscal year 2012.

Silica. High purity silica is sourced locally by the various production plants. In the majority of locations, we use silica sand as a silica source. In certain other locations, however, we process quartz rock and beneficiate silica sand to ensure the quality and consistency of this key raw material.

*Cement.* Cement is acquired in bulk from local suppliers and is supplied on a just-in-time basis to our manufacturing facilities. The silos at each fibre cement plant hold between one and three days of our cement requirements. We continue to evaluate options on agreements with suppliers for the purchase of cement that can fix our cement prices over longer periods of time.

Water. We use local water supplies and seek to process all wastewater to comply with environmental requirements.

# Sales, Marketing and Distribution

The principal markets for our fibre cement products are the United States, Australia, New Zealand, the Philippines, Canada, and in parts of Europe, including the United Kingdom and France. In addition, we sell fibre cement products in many other countries, including Belgium, China, Denmark, France, French Caribbean, Germany, Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, Malta, Mexico, the Middle East (Israel, Kuwait, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates), The Netherlands, Norway, various Pacific Islands, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sri Lanka, Switzerland, Taiwan, Turkey and Vietnam. Our brand name, customer education in comparative product advantages, differentiated product range and customer service, including technical advice and assistance, provide the basis for our marketing strategy. We offer our customers support through a specialised fibre cement sales force and customer service infrastructure in the United States, Australia, New Zealand, the Philippines and Europe (which is based out of The Netherlands). The customer service infrastructure includes inbound customer service support coordinated nationally in each country (customer service support for Canada is based out of the United States and customer service support for Europe is based out of The Netherlands),

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

and is complemented by outbound telemarketing capability. Within each regional market, we provide sales and marketing support to building products dealers and lumber yards and also provide support directly to the customers of these distribution channels, principally homebuilders and building contractors.

In the United States, we sell fibre cement products for new residential construction predominantly to distributors, which then sell these products to dealers or lumber yards. This two-step distribution process is supplemented with direct sales to dealers and lumber yards as a means of accelerating product penetration and sales. Repair and remodel products in the United States are typically sold through the large home center retailers and specialist distributors. Our top five US customers accounted for approximately 54% of our total USA and Europe Fibre Cement gross sales in fiscal year 2013. In Australia and New Zealand, both new construction and repair and remodel products are generally sold directly to distributor/hardware stores and lumber yards rather than through the two-step distribution process. In the Philippines, a network of thousands of small to medium size dealer outlets sells our fibre cement products to consumers, builders and real estate developers, although in recent years, do-it-yourself type stores have started to enter the Philippines market. Physical distribution of product in each country is primarily by road or sea transport, except in the United States where transportation is primarily by road and, to a lesser extent, by rail. Fibre cement products manufactured in Australia, New Zealand and the Philippines are exported to a number of markets in Asia, the Pacific, and the Middle East (Israel, Kuwait, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates) by sea transport.

We maintain dedicated regional sales management teams in our major sales territories. As of 31 May 2013, the sales teams (including telemarketing staff) consisted of approximately 298 people in the United States and Canada, 77 people in Australia, 22 people in New Zealand, 39 people in the Philippines, and 27 people in Europe. We also employ one person based in Hong Kong who functions as a regional export salesperson, and who covers markets such as South Korea, Hong Kong, Macau, China and the Middle East (Israel, Kuwait, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates). Our national sales managers and national account managers, together with the regional sales managers and sales representatives, maintain relationships with national and other major accounts. Our sales force includes skilled trades people who provide on-site technical advice and assistance. In some cases, sales forces manage specific product categories.

Despite the fact that distributors and dealers are generally our direct customers, we also aim to increase primary demand for our products by marketing our products directly to homeowners, architects and builders. We encourage them to specify and install James Hardie® products because of the quality and craftsmanship of our products. This pull through strategy, in turn, assists us in expanding sales for our distribution network as distributors benefit from the increasing demand for our products.

Geographic expansion of our fibre cement business has occurred in markets where framed construction is prevalent for residential applications or where there are opportunities to change building practices from masonry to framed construction. Expansion is also possible where there are direct substitution opportunities irrespective of the methods of construction. Our entry into the Philippines is an example of the ability to substitute fibre cement for an alternative product (in this case plywood). With the exception of our current major markets, as well as Japan and certain rural areas in Asia, Scandinavia, and Eastern Europe, most markets in the world principally utilise masonry construction for external walls in residential construction. Accordingly, further geographic expansion depends substantially on our ability to provide alternative construction solutions and for those solutions to be accepted in those markets.

Because fibre cement products were relatively new to the Philippines, the launch of our fibre cement products in the Philippines in fiscal year 1999 was accompanied by strategies to address the particular

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

needs of local customers and the building trade. For example, we established a carpenter training and accreditation program whereby Filipino carpenters who are unfamiliar with our products are taught installation techniques. Our training programs for counterclerks and carpenters are geared not only to educate them but also to develop them as brand advocates. We have also put greater emphasis on building our relationships with new home developers and builders in order to educate the market on the benefits of our products in this particular sector.

# Dependence on Trade Secrets and Research and Development

We pioneered the successful development of cellulose reinforced fibre cement and, since the 1980s, have progressively introduced products developed as a result of our proprietary product formulation and process technology. The introduction of differentiated products is one of the core components of our global business strategy. This product differentiation strategy is supported by our significant investment in research and development activities.

The following table sets forth our research and development expenditures for the three preceding fiscal years:

	Fiscal Years Ended 31 March				
(Millions of US dollars)	2013	2012	2011		
Research and Development Expenditures <sup>1</sup>	\$ 39.6	\$ 32.4	\$ 31.2		
Research and Development Expenditures as a percentage of					
total net sales	3.0%	2.6%	2.7%		

Our current patent portfolio is based mainly on fibre cement compositions, associated manufacturing processes and the resulting products. Our non-patented technical intellectual property consists primarily of our operating and manufacturing know-how, which is maintained as trade secret information. We have enhanced our abilities to effectively create, manage and utilise our intellectual property and have implemented a strategy that increasingly uses patenting, licensing, trade secret protection and joint development to protect and increase our competitive advantage. However, we cannot assure you that our intellectual property and other proprietary information will be protected in all cases. In addition, if our research and development efforts fail to generate new, innovative products or processes, our overall profit margins may decrease and demand for our products may fall.

In addition, the Company owns a variety of patents and licenses; industrial, commercial and financial contracts; and manufacturing processes. While the Company is dependent on the competitive advantage that these items provide as a whole, the Company is not dependent on any one of them individually and does not consider any one of them individually to be material. We do not materially rely on intellectual property licensed from any outside third parties. See Section 3, Risk Factors.

#### **Governmental Regulation**

As noted above, on 15 October 2012, we converted into an Irish plc from an Irish SE and are now governed by the Irish Companies Acts. Previously, as an Irish SE company, we were governed by the Irish Companies Acts and the SE Regulation, European Union Council Regulations and relevant European Union Directives. We also continue to operate under the regulatory requirements of numerous jurisdictions and organisations, including the ASX, ASIC, the NYSE, the SEC, the Irish

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

Included within research and development expenditures for fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011 is US\$2.4 million, US\$2.0 million and US\$3.2 million, respectively, classified as selling, general and administrative expenses.

Takeovers Panel and various other rulemaking bodies. See Section 3, Memorandum and Articles of Association for information regarding Irish Companies Acts and regulations to which we are subject.

#### **Environmental Regulation**

Our operations and properties are subject to extensive federal, state and local and foreign environmental protection and health and safety laws, regulations and ordinances. These environmental laws, among other matters, govern activities and operations that may have adverse environmental effects, such as discharges to air, soil and water, and establish standards for the handling of hazardous and toxic substances and the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes. In the United States, these environmental laws include, but are not limited to the:

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act;
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act;
Clean Air Act;
Occupational Safety and Health Act;
Mine Safety and Health Act;
Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act;
Clean Water Act;
Safe Drinking Water Act;
Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act;
Toxic Substances Control Act;

National Environmental Policy Act; and

Endangered Species Act,

as well as analogous state, regional and local regulations. Other countries also have statutory schemes relating to the protection of the environment.

Some environmental laws provide that a current or previous owner or operator of real property may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of environmental contamination on, under, or in that property or other impacted properties. In addition, persons who arrange, or are deemed to have arranged, for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances may also be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of environmental contamination at the disposal or treatment site, regardless of whether the affected site is owned or operated by such person. Environmental laws often impose liability whether or not the owner, operator or arranger knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such environmental contamination. Also, third parties may make claims against owners or operators of properties for personal injuries, property damage and/or for clean-up associated with releases of hazardous or toxic substances pursuant to applicable environmental laws and common law tort theories, including strict liability.

Environmental compliance costs in the future will depend, in part, on continued oversight of operations, expansion of operations and manufacturing activities, regulatory developments and future requirements that cannot presently be predicted.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### **Organisational Structure**

JHI plc is incorporated and domiciled in Ireland.

The table below sets forth our significant subsidiaries, all of which are wholly-owned by JHI plc, either directly or indirectly, as of 31 May 2013.

Name of Company	Jurisdiction of Establishment
James Hardie 117 Pty Ltd.	Australia
James Hardie Aust Holdings Pty Ltd.	Australia
James Hardie Austgroup Pty Ltd.	Australia
James Hardie Australia Management Pty Ltd.	Australia
James Hardie Australia Pty Ltd.	Australia
James Hardie Building Products Inc.	United States
James Hardie Europe B.V.	Netherlands
James Hardie Finance Holdings 3 Limited	Bermuda
James Hardie Holdings Limited	Ireland
James Hardie International Finance Limited	Ireland
James Hardie International Group Limited	Ireland
James Hardie International Holdings Limited	Ireland
James Hardie New Zealand	New Zealand
James Hardie NZ Holdings	New Zealand
James Hardie Philippines Inc.	Philippines
James Hardie Technology Limited.	Bermuda
James Hardie U.S. Investments Sierra LLC	United States
N.V. Technology Holdings, A Limited Partnership	Australia
RCI Holdings Pty Ltd.	Australia

## **Property, Plants and Equipment**

We estimate that our manufacturing plants are among the largest and lowest cost fibre cement manufacturing plants in the United States. We believe that the location of our plants positions us near attractive markets in the United States while minimising our transportation costs for product distribution and raw material sourcing.

Our manufacturing plants use significant amounts of water which, after internal recycling and reuse, are eventually discharged to publicly owned treatment works (with the exception of our Reno, Nevada facility and Summerville, South Carolina facility at which production was suspended in November 2008, which maintain closed loop systems). The discharge of process water is monitored by us, as well as by regulators. In addition, we are subject to regulations that govern the air quality and emissions from our plants. In the past, from time to time, we have received notices of discharges in excess of our water and air permit limits. In each case, we have addressed the concerns raised in those notices, including the payment of any associated minor fines and capital expenditures associated with preventing future discharges in excess of permitted levels.

#### **Plants and Process**

### Fibre Cement Building Products

We manufacture fibre cement building products in the United States and Asia Pacific. Annual design capacity is based on management s historical experience with our production process and is calculated assuming continuous operation, 24 hours per day, seven days per week, producing 5/16 medium

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

density product at a targeted operating speed. Annual design capacity is not necessarily reflective of our actual capacity utilisation rates for our fibre cement plants by region. Annual capacity utilisation is affected by factors such as demand, product mix, batch size, plant availability and production speeds and is usually less than annual design capacity. We manufacture products of varying thicknesses and density.

We currently have an annual flat sheet design capacity of 3,390 mmsf and 520 mmsf in the United States and Asia Pacific, respectively, for our fibre cement building products. Fiscal year 2013 capacity utilisation, based on this annual flat sheet design capacity, for our fibre cement building products plants was an average of 42% and 68% in the United States and Asia Pacific, respectively. As indicated above, annual flat sheet design capacity is based on management s estimates. No accepted industry standard exists for the calculation of our fibre cement manufacturing facility design and utilisation capacities.

We are expanding production capacity in anticipation of the continued improvement of the operating environment and we expect to incur significant capital expenditures in fiscal year 2014 and beyond to meet anticipated demand increases in major markets. Once the Fontana, California and Carole Park, Queensland capacity expansions are complete, our annual flat sheet design capacity will increase by 2% to 3,460 mmsf and 9% to 570 mmsf in the United States and Asia Pacific, respectively. See Capital Expenditures below.

#### Fibre Reinforced Concrete Pipes

**Fibre Cement Building Products** 

The Philippines

Australia

**Fibre Reinforced Concrete Pipes** 

Brisbane, Queensland (Meeandah)<sup>3</sup>

Manila

We manufacture fibre reinforced concrete pipes in Australia. Our current annual design capacity for our fibre reinforced concrete pipes plant is 50 thousand tons.

#### **Plant Locations**

The location of each of our fibre cement plants is set forth below:

United States Plants Operating	
Cleburne, Texas	
Peru, Illinois	
Plant City, Florida	
Pulaski, Virginia	
Reno, Nevada	
Tacoma, Washington	
Waxahachie, Texas	
United States Plants Suspended	
Blandon, Pennsylvania <sup>1</sup>	
Fontana, California <sup>2</sup>	
Summerville, South Carolina <sup>2</sup>	
Asia Pacific	
Australia	
Sydney, New South Wales (Rosehill)	
Brisbane, Queensland (Carole Park) <sup>3</sup>	
New Zealand	
Auckland	

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 16

- We suspended production at our Blandon, Pennsylvania plant in November 2007 and in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013 we announced that we will not re-open the plant.
- We suspended production at our Fontana, California and Summerville, South Carolina plants in December 2008 and November 2008, respectively. In fiscal year 2013, we announced that we were spending US\$34 million to refurbish and reconfigure the Fontana plant, which is scheduled to reopen early calendar year 2014.
- There are two manufacturing plants in Brisbane. Carole Park produces only flat sheets and Meeandah produces only pipes and columns. As announced in May 2013, we have purchased the land and buildings at the Carole Park facility and are expanding production capacity at this plant.

  While the same basic process is used to manufacture fibre cement building products at each facility, plants are designed to produce the appropriate mix of products to meet each geographic market s specific, projected needs. The facilities were constructed and are operated so production can be efficiently adjusted in response to increased consumer demand by increasing production capacity utilisation, enhancing the economies of scale or adding additional lines to existing facilities, or making corresponding reductions in production capacity in response to weaker demand.

Except for the Waxahachie, Texas facility, we own all of our fibre cement manufacturing facilities located in the United States. The lease for the Waxahachie, Texas facility expires on 31 March 2020, at which time we have an option to purchase the facility.

Two of our three Australian fibre cement manufacturing facilities (Rosehill, Sydney and Meeandah, Brisbane) are leased by us. The Rosehill lease expires on 23 March 2016, with an option to renew the lease for two further terms of 10 years expiring in March 2036. The Meeandah lease expires on 23 March 2019, and contains options to renew for two further terms of 10 years expiring in March 2039. As previously announced, in May 2013 we entered into an agreement to purchase the remaining Australian fibre cement manufacturing facility (Carole Park, Brisbane) as part of our Australian manufacturing capacity expansion. Our one New Zealand fibre cement manufacturing facility is leased by us. The lease for our New Zealand facility expires on 22 March 2016, at which time we have an option to renew the lease for two further terms of 10 years expiring in March 2036. There is no purchase option available under our leases related to our Australian and New Zealand facilities.

The land on which our Philippines fibre cement plant is located is owned by Ajempa Holding inc (Ajempa), a related party. Ajempa is 40% owned by James Hardie Philippines operating entity and 60% owned by the James Hardie Philippines Retirement fund. The James Hardie operating entity owns 100% of the fixed assets on the land owned by Ajempa.

# Mines

We lease silica quartz mine sites in Tacoma, Washington, Reno, Nevada and Victorville, California. The lease for our quartz mine in Tacoma, Washington expires in February 2014 (with options to renew). The lease for our silica quartz mine site in Reno, Nevada expires in January 2014 (with options to renew or purchase). The lease for our silica mine site in Victorville, California expires in June, 2015. Further, we own rights to an additional property in Victorville, California, however, as of 31 May 2013, we have not begun to mine this site.

As a mine operator, we are required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act ), and rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission implementing that section of the Dodd-Frank Act, to provide certain information concerning mine safety violations and other regulatory matters concerning the operation of our mines. During fiscal year 2013, we did not receive any notices, citations, orders, legal action or other communication from the US Department of Labor s Mine Safety and Health Administration that would necessitate additional disclosure under Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 17

## Capital Expenditures

The following table sets forth our capital expenditures for each year in the three-year period ended 31 March 2013.

	2013	Fiscal Years Ended 31 March 2012 (Millions of US dollars)	2011
USA and Europe Fibre Cement	\$ 43.2	\$ 26.7	\$ 39.5
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement	10.7	6.7	9.9
Research and Development and Corporate	7.2	2.4	0.9
Total Capital Expenditures	\$ 61.1	\$ 35.8	\$ 50.3

The Company did not have any material divestitures in the fiscal years ended 31 March 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The significant capital expenditure projects over the past three fiscal years in our USA and Europe Fibre Cement segment include:

enhancement of trim capability at our Peru, Illinois plant for US\$3.6 million in fiscal year 2011;

commencement of a new finishing capability on an existing product line in fiscal year 2009. As of 31 March 2013, we have incurred US\$23.9 million related to this project;

commencement of expenditures to enhance environmental compliance at our plants in fiscal year 2011. As of 31 March 2013, we have incurred US\$13.3 million related to this project;

an upgrade of our supply chain management IT systems for US\$4.3 million through fiscal year 2013;

a new finishing line at our Cleburne plant for US\$6.1 million which was primarily incurred in fiscal year 2011;

commencement of reconfiguration and re-commissioning of our Fontana, California plant in fiscal year 2013. As of 31 March 2013, we have incurred US\$4.1 million related to this project;

re-commissioning and upgrade of our Waxahachie, Texas plant for US\$5.0 million in fiscal year 2013;

expansion of a warehouse facility at our Pulaski, Virginia plant. As of March 2013, we have incurred US\$3.3 million related to this project;

installation of new packaging technology at our Pulaski, Virginia plant. As of 31 March 2013, we have incurred US\$2.8 million related to this project;

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

recommissioning and upgrade of a proprietary raw material manufacturing plant in Fontana, California for US\$1.8 million in fiscal year 2013; and

construction of a new warehouse facility at our Cleburne, Texas plant. As of 31 March 2013, we have incurred US\$2.8 million related to this project.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

In our Asia Pacific Fibre Cement segment, significant capital expenditures in the last three years include the installation of a new ball mill at our Carole Park plant in Australia in fiscal year 2013. As of 31 March 2013, we have incurred US\$2.5 million related to this project.

In our Research and Development segment, we purchased and fitted out a building for our new research and development facility in Naperville, Illinois for US\$4.8 million in fiscal year 2013.

We currently expect to spend approximately US\$150.0 million in fiscal year 2014 for capital expenditures, including facility upgrades and expansions, equipment to enhance environmental compliance, and the implementation of new fibre cement technologies. Part of the expected amount of spending in fiscal year 2014 includes additional capital expenditures on projects that were in progress during fiscal year 2013, including US\$29.9 million related to the reconfiguring and re-commissioning of our Fontana, California plant, which is expected to reopen in calendar year 2014; and the recommissioning of idled production assets at a number of our other US plants.

In May 2013, we purchased the land and buildings at our existing Carole Park, Brisbane manufacturing facility. In addition, we plan to construct new manufacturing capacity on the site. We expect to incur A\$89.0 million over a two-year period, with construction of the new manufacturing capacity commencing at the end of the first quarter of calendar year 2014. Production facilities are projected to be fully operational in the first half of calendar year 2015. We expect that this capital expenditure will allow us to service expected increases in demand for products and also enable incremental capacity expansions in the Asia Pacific Fibre Cement segment at low capital costs in the medium term, if required to meet future market demand. Production of building materials at our Rosehill plant in Sydney and of pipes at our Meeandah, Brisbane site will continue.

We expect to fund our capital expenditures through a combination of existing cash balances, unutilised committed credit facilities and anticipated future net operating cash flow.

Competitive pressures and market developments could require further increases in capital expenditures. Our financing for these capital expenditures is expected to come from cash from our future operations and from external debt to the extent that cash from operations does not cover our capital expenditures.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### **GROUP MANAGEMENT TEAM**

Our management is overseen by a Group Management Team (GMT), whose members cover the key areas of fibre cement research and development, production, manufacturing, sales, human resources, investor relations, finance and legal.

Members of the GMT as at 31 May 2013 (in alphabetical order) are:

Joe Blasko BSc, JD

General Counsel

Age 46

Joe Blasko joined James Hardie as General Counsel in June 2011. Mr Blasko reports to the Company s Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ).

Before joining James Hardie, Mr Blasko was Assistant General Counsel, and later, the General Counsel at Liebert Corporation, an Emerson Network Power Systems company and wholly-owned subsidiary of Emerson Electric Co. In his four years with Liebert/Emerson, Mr Blasko was responsible for establishing the legal department in Columbus, Ohio, managing and overseeing all legal matters and working closely with

the executive management team. In this role, Mr Blasko also had global responsibilities which required expertise across multiple jurisdictions. From 2004 to 2006, Mr Blasko was Associate General Counsel at The Scotts Miracle-Gro Company, serving as the effective general counsel to numerous corporate divisions within the organisation. From 1997 to 2004 Mr Blasko gained considerable regulatory and litigation expertise working at Vorys, Slater, Seymour and Pease LLP in Ohio.

Mr Blasko has a Juris Doctor from Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland, Ohio and a Bachelor of Science in Foreign Service from Georgetown University, with a specialty in Foreign Service, International Relations, Law and Organisations.

#### Russell Chenu BCom, MBA

Chief Financial Officer

Age 63

Russell Chenu joined James Hardie as Interim Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ) in October 2004 and was appointed CFO in February 2005.

Mr Chenu is an experienced corporate and finance executive who has held senior finance and management positions with a number of Australian publicly-listed companies. In a number of these senior roles, he has been engaged in significant strategic business planning and business change, including several turnarounds, new market expansions and management leadership initiatives.

Mr Chenu has a Bachelor of Commerce from the University of Melbourne and an MBA from Macquarie Graduate School of Management, Australia.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### Mark Fisher BSc, MBA

Age 42

Mark Fisher joined James Hardie in 1993 as a Production Engineer. Since then, he has worked for the Company as Finishing Manager, Production Manager and Product Manager at various locations; Sales and Marketing Manager; and as General Manager of our Europe Fibre Cement business. Mr Fisher was appointed Vice President Specialty Products in November 2004, then Vice President Research & Development in December 2005. In February 2008, his role was expanded to cover Engineering & Process Development.

In January 2010, he was appointed Executive General Manager International, responsible for research and development, engineering and the Company s non-US businesses.

Mr Fisher has a Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering and an MBA from University of Southern California.

#### Louis Gries BSc, MBA

Chief Executive Officer

Age 59

Louis Gries joined James Hardie as Manager of the Fontana fibre cement plant in California in February 1991 and was appointed President of James Hardie Building Products, Inc in December 1993. Mr Gries became Executive Vice President Operations in January 2003, responsible for operations, sales and marketing in our businesses in the Americas, Asia Pacific and Europe.

He was appointed Interim CEO in October 2004 and became CEO in February 2005.

In April 2012, the Company announced that effective 30 June 2012, Mr Gries would again assume responsibility for managing the US business. Before he joined James Hardie, Mr Gries worked for 13 years for USG Corp, including a variety of roles in research, plant quality and production, and product and plant management.

Mr Gries has a Bachelor of Science in Mathematics from the University of Illinois and an MBA from California State University, Long Beach, California.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

## Sean O Sullivan BA, MBA

Vice President Investor & Media Relations

Age 48

Sean O Sullivan joined James Hardie as Vice President Investor & Media Relations in December 2008. For the eight years prior to joining James Hardie, Mr O Sullivan was Head of Investor Relations at St. George Bank, where he established and led the investor relations function.

Mr O Sullivan s background includes thirteen years as a fund manager for GIO Asset Management, responsible for domestic and global investments. During this period, he spent time on secondment with a McKinsey and Co. taskforce that completed a major

study into the Australian financial services industry. Mr O Sullivan s final position at GIO was General Manager of Diversified Investments where his responsibilities included determining the asset allocation for over A\$10 billion in funds under management. After leaving GIO, Mr O Sullivan worked for Westpac Banking Corporation in funds management sales.

Mr O Sullivan has a Bachelor of Arts in Economics from Sydney University and an MBA from Macquarie Graduate School of Management.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

James Hardie s directors have widespread experience, spanning general management, finance, law and accounting. Each director also brings valuable international experience that assists with James Hardie s growth.

#### Michael Hammes BS, MBA

Age 71

Michael Hammes was elected as an independent Non-Executive Director of James Hardie in February 2007. He was appointed Chairman of the Board in January 2008 and is a member of the Audit Committee, the Remuneration Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee.

Experience: Mr Hammes has extensive commercial experience at a senior executive level. He has held a number of executive positions in the medical products, hardware and home improvement, and automobile sectors, including CEO and Chairman of

Sunrise Medical, Inc (2000-2007), Chairman and CEO of Guide Corporation (1998-2000), Chairman and CEO of Coleman Company, Inc (1993-1997), Vice Chairman of Black & Decker Corporation (1992-1993) and various senior executive roles with Chrysler Corporation (1986-1990) and Ford Motor Company (1979-1986).

Directorships of listed companies in the past five years: <u>Current</u> Director of Navistar International Corporation (since 1996) and Director of DynaVox Mayer-Johnson (listed in April 2010).

Other: Resident of the United States.

Last elected: August 2011

Term expires: August 2014

#### **Donald McGauchie AO**

Age 63

Donald McGauchie joined James Hardie as an independent Non-Executive Director in August 2003 and was appointed Acting Deputy Chairman in February 2007 and Deputy Chairman in April 2007. He is Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee and a member of the Remuneration Committee.

Experience: Mr McGauchie has wide commercial experience within the food processing, commodity trading, finance and telecommunication sectors. He also has extensive public policy experience, having previously held several high-level advisory

positions to the Australian Government.

Directorships of listed companies in the past five years: <u>Current</u> Chairman (since 2010) and Director (since 2010) of Australian Agricultural Company Limited; Chairman (since 2010) and Director (since 2003) of Nufarm Limited; Director of GrainCorp Limited (since 2009). <u>Former</u> - Chairman of Telstra Corporation Limited (2004-2009).

Other: Chairman Australian Wool Testing Authority (since 2005) and Director since 1999; Former Director of The Reserve Bank of Australia (2001-2011); resident of Australia.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 23

Last elected: August 2010

Term expires: August 2013

Brian Anderson BS, MBA, CPA

Age 62

Brian Anderson was appointed as an independent Non-Executive Director of James Hardie in December 2006. He is Chairman of the Audit Committee and a member of the Remuneration Committee.

*Experience*: Mr Anderson has extensive financial and business experience at both executive and board levels. He has held a variety of senior positions, with thirteen years at Baxter International, Inc, including Corporate Vice President of Finance, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (1997-2004) and, more recently,

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of OfficeMax, Inc (2004-2005). Earlier in his career, Mr Anderson was an Audit Partner of Deloitte & Touche LLP (1986-1991).

Directorships of listed companies in the past five years: Current Chairman (since 2010) and Director (since 2005) of A.M. Castle & Co.; Director of Pulte Homes Corporation (since 2005); Director (since 1999) and Lead Director (since April 2011) of W.W. Grainger, Inc.

Other: Resident of the United States.

Last elected: August 2012

Term expires: August 2015

David Dilger CBE, BA, FCA

Age 56

David Dilger was appointed as an independent Non-Executive Director of James Hardie in September 2009. He is a member of the Audit Committee and Remuneration Committee.

Experience: Mr Dilger has substantial experience in multinational manufacturing operations and a strong finance background. He has held a number of senior executive positions, including CEO of Greencore Group plc (1995-2008), CEO of Food Industries plc (1988-1991) and Chief Financial Officer of Woodchester

Investments (1984-1988). He serves on the Boards of a number of private companies (Sonoma Valley Limited and Java Republic Limited as well as the Irish Advisory Board of Ecclesiastical Insurance plc).

Directorships of listed companies in the past five years: Former Non-executive director of The Bank of Ireland plc (2003-2009) serving as Senior Independent Director (2007-2009).

Other: Former Chairman of Dublin Airport Authority plc (2009-2011); resident of Ireland.

Last elected: August 2010

Term expires: August 2013

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 24

## David Harrison BA, MBA, CMA

Age 66

David Harrison was appointed as an independent Non-Executive Director of James Hardie in May 2008. He is Chairman of the Remuneration Committee and a member of the Audit Committee.

*Experience*: Mr Harrison is an experienced Company director with a finance background, having served in corporate finance roles, international operations and information technology during 22 years with Borg Warner/General Electric Co. His previous experience includes ten years at Pentair, Inc., as Executive Vice President

and Chief Financial Officer (1994-1996 and 2000-2007) and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer roles at Scotts, Inc. and Coltec Industries, Inc. (1996-2000).

Directorships of listed companies in the past five years: Current Director National Oilwell Varco (since 2003): Former Director Navistar International Corporation (2007-2012).

Other: Resident of the United States.

Last elected: August 2010

Term expires: August 2013

### Alison Littley BA, FCIPS

Age 51

Alison Littley was appointed as an independent Non-Executive Director of James Hardie in February 2012. She is a member of the Audit Committee.

Experience: Ms Littley has substantial experience in multinational manufacturing and supply chain operations, and she brings a strong international leadership background building effective management teams and third party relationships. She has held a variety of positions, most recently as Chief Executive of Buying Solutions, a UK Government Agency responsible for procurement of goods and services on behalf of

UK government and public sector bodies (2006-2011). She has previously held senior management roles in Diageo plc (1999-2006) and Mars, Inc (1981-1999).

Directorships of listed companies in the past five years: None.

Other: Resident of the United Kingdom.

Last elected: August 2012

Term expires: August 2015

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### James Osborne BA Hons, LLB

Age 64

James Osborne was appointed as an independent Non-Executive Director of James Hardie in March 2009. He is a member of the Nominating and Governance Committee.

Experience: Mr Osborne is an experienced company director with a strong legal background and a considerable knowledge of international business operations in North America and Europe. His career includes 35 years with the leading Irish law firm, A&L Goodbody, in roles which included opening the firm s New York office in

1979 and serving as the firm s managing partner (1982-1994). He has served as a consultant to the firm since 1994. Mr Osborne also contributed to the listing of Ryanair in London, New York and Dublin and continues to serve on Ryanair s board.

Directorships of listed companies in the past five years: Current Director, Ryanair Holdings plc (since 1996); Former Chairman, Independent News & Media (2011-2012), Chairman, Newcourt Group plc (2004-2009).

Other: Chairman, Eason & Son Ltd (since August 2010), Chairman, Centric Health (since 2006); Chairman, Monaghan Mushrooms (since 2012); resident of Ireland.

Last elected: August 2012

Term expires: August 2015

## Rudolf van der Meer M.Ch.Eng

Age 68

Rudy van der Meer was elected as an independent Non-Executive Director of James Hardie in February 2007. He is a member of the Nominating and Governance Committee.

Experience: Mr van der Meer is an experienced former executive, with considerable knowledge of international business and the building and construction sector. During his 32-year association with Akzo Nobel N.V., he held a number of senior positions including CEO Coatings (2000-2005), CEO Chemicals (1993-2000), and member of the five person Executive Board (1993-2005).

Directorships of listed companies in the past five years: Current Chairman of the Supervisory Board of Imtech N.V. (since 2005); Director LyondellBasell Industries NV (since August 2010); Former Member of the Supervisory Board of Hagemeyer N.V. (2006-2008).

Other: Former Chairman of the Board of Energie Beheer Nederland B.V. (2006) 2013); Chairman of the Supervisory Board of VGZ Health Insurance (since May 2011); resident of The Netherlands.

Last elected: August 2011

Term expires: August 2014

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

Our CEO, Louis Gries, is an Executive Director on the Company s Board. Mr Gries biographical details appear in the Group Management Team Section.

None of the persons above has any familial relationship with each other or with the GMT. In addition, none of the individuals listed above is party to any arrangement or understanding with a major shareholder, customer, supplier or other entity, pursuant to which any of the above was selected as a director.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### REMUNERATION REPORT

This Remuneration Report explains James Hardie s executive remuneration framework, and has been adopted by the Board on the recommendation of the Remuneration Committee.

The Company is not required to produce a remuneration report or to submit it to shareholders under Irish or Australian rules or regulations. However, taking into consideration its large Australian shareholder base, James Hardie has voluntarily produced a remuneration report for non-binding shareholder approval for some years and currently intends to continue to do so. This document reports on the Company s remuneration policies and practices in fiscal year 2013 and also voluntarily includes an outline of the key changes for fiscal year 2014. Further details of these changes are set out in the 2013 Notice of Annual General Meeting ( AGM ).

During fiscal year 2013 the Remuneration Committee retained Aon Hewitt (in the US) and Guerdon Associates (in Australia) as its independent advisers.

#### 1. APPROACH TO SENIOR EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION

#### 1.1 Remuneration Philosophy

James Hardie s remuneration philosophy is to provide competitive remuneration, compared to US peer group companies exposed to the US housing market. Within this philosophy, the executive remuneration framework emphasises operational excellence and shareholder value creation through incentives which link executive remuneration with the interests of shareholders. The underlying policy of the executive remuneration framework is pay-for-performance. Remuneration received is aligned with performance achieved.

#### 1.2 Composition of Remuneration Packages

Remuneration packages for senior executives reflect this remuneration philosophy and comprise:

fixed pay and benefits ( Fixed Remuneration ); and

variable performance pay ( Variable Remuneration ). Variable Remuneration is based on both:

short-term incentives ( STI ); and

long-term incentives ( LTI ).

The Company s policy is to position senior executive Fixed Remuneration at the market median and total target direct remuneration (comprising Fixed Remuneration and target Variable Remuneration) at the market 75th percentile if stretch short and long-term target performance goals are met.

Performance goals for target Variable Remuneration are set with the expectation that the Company will deliver results in the top quartile of its listed, US peer group companies. Performance below this level will result in Variable Remuneration payments below target (and potentially zero for poor performance). Performance above this level will result in Variable Remuneration payments above target.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### 1.3 Setting Remuneration Packages

Remuneration decisions are based on the executive remuneration framework described in this Remuneration Report. The Remuneration Committee reviews and the Board approves this framework each year.

Each year the Remuneration Committee reviews and approves a list of peer group companies which it uses for comparative purposes in setting remuneration for senior executives. As the Company s main business and all of its senior executives are in the US, the peer group comprises US listed companies exposed to the US housing market. This same peer group is also used to determine relative performance for the year s LTI equity grants.

Remuneration packages for senior executives are evaluated each year to make sure that they continue to achieve the Company s philosophy and are competitive with their US peer group and developments in the market. In making individual decisions, the Remuneration Committee takes into account:

the senior executive s responsibilities and performance; and

the results of an annual remuneration positioning review provided by the Remuneration Committee s independent advisor. All aspects of the remuneration of the CEO and CFO are made by the Remuneration Committee and ratified by the Board. All aspects of the remuneration of the remaining senior executives are made by the Remuneration Committee on the recommendation of the CEO.

## 1.4 Senior Executives in fiscal year 2013

The Company s senior executives in fiscal year 2013 were:

Louis Gries, Chief Executive Officer

Joe Blasko, General Counsel

Russell Chenu, Chief Financial Officer

Mark Fisher, Executive General Manager International Nigel Rigby, Executive General Manager US, was a senior executive until his separation from the Company on 30 June 2012.

The executive remuneration framework described in this report also applies to the US Management Team, whose members work with the senior executives to manage the US business.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### 2. FISCAL YEAR 2013 COMPANY PERFORMANCE AND LINK WITH REMUNERATION POLICY

#### 2.1 Actual Performance

The Company s five-year EBIT and net income, and five-year A\$ total shareholder return (including dividends and capital returns) mapped against changes in US housing starts are shown in the graphs below:

EBIT<sup>1</sup> Net Income<sup>2</sup> (Millions of US dollars) (Millions of US dollars)

Readers are referred to Section 4, Glossary of Abbreviations and Definitions which includes the reconciliation of adjusted EBIT and adjusted Net Operating Profit to the respective US GAAP equivalent measurements.

#### 2.2 Market Conditions and Company Performance

The Company s executive remuneration framework provides a link between Company and individual performance and the Variable Remuneration received by senior executives.

Operating conditions in the US residential housing market improved during fiscal year 2013. According to the US Census Bureau, single family housing starts, which are one of the key drivers of the Company s performance, were 565,900 for fiscal year 2013, 27% above the prior year. Further, according to the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB), repair and remodel activity also increased slightly during fiscal year 2013.

Overall group operating earnings for fiscal year 2013 were stable compared to the prior year, reflecting stronger performance by our USA and Europe Fibre Cement segment, largely due to improved

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes asbestos, asset impairments, ASIC expenses and New Zealand product liability expenses.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Excludes asbestos, asset impairments, ASIC expenses, New Zealand product liability expenses and tax adjustments

conditions in the US housing market, partially offset by lower contributed earnings in our Asia Pacific business. The Company expects that the improvement in the US operating environment reflects a sustainable recovery in the US housing market, although the recovery is expected to occur over a protracted period. In anticipation of the ongoing recovery, during the year the Company funded capacity expansions and initiatives to support market and organisational development in the US.

Sales volume in the US business increased 12% over the prior year. However, increased spending on these capacity increases as well as the market and organisational initiatives, along with the weaker Asia Pacific business result, constrained the impact of the strong USA and Europe Fibre Cement segment top line performance on the overall profitability of the Company.

#### 2.3 Performance Linkage with Remuneration Policy

The Company sets performance goals and Variable Remuneration in the expectation that the Company will perform at or above a level equivalent to the 75th percentile of the Company s peer group. This approach supports the Company s growth aspirations and provides appropriate alignment with shareholders. This means that even in years where shareholders have benefited from substantial share price growth, as they did during fiscal year 2013, senior executives may receive STI or LTI outcomes that are below target.

During its annual review, the Remuneration Committee assessed the Company s performance in fiscal year 2013 against the background of the gradual recovery in the US and the slight downturn in Australian markets. This review included reviewing fiscal year 2013 performance against:

the Company s historical performance; the Company s peer group; the goals in the Company s Variable Remuneration STI and LTI plans; and the Scorecard, or key objectives and measures the Board expects to see achieved (the Scorecard). Based on that review, the Board and Remuneration Committee concluded that management s performance in fiscal year 2013: was below target and fiscal year 2012 on earnings measures and slightly above target on growth measures, resulting in STI Variable Remuneration outcomes being substantially below both target for fiscal year 2013 and amounts paid for fiscal year 2012; and

above target for fiscal years 2011-2013, although lower than performance in fiscal years 2010-2012. More details about this assessment, including the percentage of the maximum Variable Remuneration awarded to or forfeited by senior

was (taken together with performance in fiscal years 2011 and 2012) superior to the 75th percentile of its peer group of companies on long-term measures, such as those set out in the Scorecard, resulting in LTI Variable Remuneration being

executives is set out in Section 3 of this Remuneration Report below.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 31

#### 3. DESCRIPTION OF COMPANY S REMUNERATION ARRANGEMENTS

This section describes the Company s remuneration arrangements applying in fiscal year 2013.

#### 3.1 Overview of Variable Remuneration

The Company s Variable Remuneration incentive plans for senior executives in fiscal year 2013 were:

Duration	Plan Name	Amount	Form Incentive Paid
Short-term	Individual Performance Plan ( IP Plan )	20% of STI Target	Cash
(1 year)	Executive Incentive Plan ( EIP Plan )	80% of STI Target	Cash
Long-term (3-5 years)	Long Term Incentive Plan ( LTIP )	40% of LTI Target	Return on Capital Employed (ROCE) Restricted Stock Units (RSUs)
		30% of LTI Target	Relative Total Shareholder
			Return (TSR) RSUs
		30% of LTI Target	Scorecard LTI (cash)

#### 3.2 Short-Term Incentive Variable Remuneration

## 3.2.1 Overview of Short-Term Incentives

Each year, the Remuneration Committee approves a STI target for all senior executives, which is expressed as a percentage of base salary. The STI target is allocated between corporate goals (under the EIP Plan) and individual goals (under the IP Plan). There was no change to STI targets for senior executives in fiscal year 2013:

		% of STI	% of STI
	STI target as % of	target allocated to	target allocated to
Position	base salary	corporate goals	individual goals
Chief Executive Officer	125%	80%	20%
Chief Financial Officer	33%	0%	100%
Other senior executives	45-65%	80%	20%

## 3.2.2 Corporate Short Term Incentives Executive Incentive Plan

Each year, the Remuneration Committee approves a series of Payout Matrices for the US and Asia Pacific segments which provide a range of possible STI payouts depending on the Company s performance against performance hurdles which assess growth above market ( Growth Measure ) and earnings ( Return Measure ).

Each senior executive (other than the CFO) can receive between 0% and 300% of their STI target allocated to corporate goals under the EIP Plan based on the blended Payout Matrix results for the US and Asia Pacific segments.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 32

The Company uses two performance hurdles in the Payout Matrices to ensure that as management increases its top line growth focus, it does not do so at the expense of short- to medium-term returns. Management is encouraged to balance growth and earnings returns since achievement of strong rewards requires management to generate both strong earnings and growth above market. Higher returns on one measure at the expense of the other measure may result in a lower reward or no reward at all.

The Remuneration Committee believes that the STI Plan Payout Matrices are appropriate because they:

provide management with an incentive to achieve overall corporate goals;

balance growth with returns;

recognise the need to flexibly respond to strategic opportunities depending on our markets ability to recover from the currently prevailing uncertain economic environment;

incorporate indexing for factors beyond management s control; and

incorporate Remuneration Committee discretion to ensure appropriate outcomes.

From fiscal year 2013, the Remuneration Committee also determined that the following adjustment would be made to the Growth Measure of the US Payout Matrix based on the Company s performance against market tracking data from the largest participants in the wood-look products market (collectively, the Wood-Aesthetic Market Index or WMI):

if the Company meets or exceeds the performance of all three WMI participants, 0.2x will be added to the Growth Measure;

If the Company meets or exceeds the performance of two of the three WMI participants, there will be no change to the Growth Measure; and

If the Company fails to meet or exceed the performance of more than one of the three WMI participants, then 0.2x will be subtracted from the Growth Measure.

The purpose of this amendment was to further focus management on increasing the Company s share of the exterior cladding market at the expense of wood-look competitors, which is one of the Company s key strategies and, if successfully implemented, will create substantial value for shareholders.

To ensure that the Payout Matrices represent genuinely challenging targets aligned with the Company s executive remuneration philosophy, particularly in light of the gradual recovery in the US housing market, the Growth Measure and Return Measure are indexed to take into account changes in the US and Australian new housing starts, the US repair and remodel market and pulp prices. The targets for the Return Measure exclude costs related to legacy issues (including the impact of asbestos, ASIC proceedings, certain asset impairment charges and New Zealand product liability expenses) as well as the impact of exchange rate movements on the translation of earnings. The Remuneration Committee has reserved for itself discretion to change the STI paid on the basis of the Payout Matrices, and examples of instances when the Remuneration Committee would consider exercising this discretion include external factors outside of management s control and the impact of a management decision specifically endorsed by the Board. The Remuneration Committee will disclose the reasons for any such exercise of discretion in that year s Remuneration Report.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

The Company does not disclose the Growth Measure and Return Measure targets since these are commercial in confidence. However, achieving a target payment for fiscal year 2013 would have required performance in excess of the average of the performance for the previous three years on both the Growth Measure and the Return Measure.

3.2.3 Individual Short Term Incentives Individual Performance Plan

Each year, the Remuneration Committee approves a series of one-year STI goals which are used to assess the performance of senior executives. These include one-year achievement towards the three-year Scorecard goals as well as more specific STI one-year goals.

A senior executive can receive between 0% and 150% of their STI target allocated to individual goals under the IP Plan based on the Remuneration Committee s assessment of their contribution towards the Company s achievements on those one-year STI goals.

The Remuneration Committee believes that the IP Plan is appropriate because it links financial rewards to the senior executive s achievement of specific objectives that have benefited the Company and contributed to shareholder value and are not directly captured by the corporate component of the STI.

Board and Remuneration Committee assessment of management performance under STI Plans and for Fiscal Year 2013

The Company s results and the subsequent STI payouts for fiscal year 2013 were below STI target and fiscal year 2013 as a result of:

the US business performing slightly above target on the Growth Measure (which requires performance well above market), due to strong category and market share growth. This included exceeding volume growth of the other fibre cement manufacturers, vinyl and engineered wood, resulting in the activation of a 0.2x exterior kicker to the Growth Measure;

the US business performing substantially below the Return Measure due to lower average net sales price, higher manufacturing costs, an unfavourable shift in product mix and increased organisational costs to enhance capability in anticipation of an improved operating environment in the US:

Asia Pacific performing slightly below target on the Growth Measure, due to the Australia business achieving growth above its target in a declining market and the New Zealand and Philippines businesses achieving growth above market but below their respective targets; and

Asia Pacific performing below target on the Return and Growth Measures, due to lower returns in Australia and the Philippines business failing to offset improvements in the New Zealand business as a result of a competitive operating environment.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

In fiscal year 2013, after reviewing the charges for each individual plant, the Remuneration Committee exercised its discretion to exclude US\$20.3 million of asset impairment and related charges across the Company s Fontana, Waxahachie, Summerville, Blandon, Peru and Cleburne plants from the Return Measure on the STI Plan Payout Matrices for the US business, for the following reasons:

the Fontana, Waxahachie and Summerville charges related to work to re-commission idle assets at these plants in response to improving market demand to allow the Company to more cost-effectively pursue growth in key markets in a manner which is value accretive relative to operating the existing outdated assets at those plants. The Board and Remuneration Committee consider that management should not be disincentivised from such activities, which are clearly in the best interests of shareholders;

the Blandon charges are a result of an appraisal that was performed in fiscal year 2013. The plant was idled in late 2007 during the housing downturn and during fiscal year 2013 management determined that the plant will not be re-opened; and has proposed the sale of the land and buildings at the Company s Blandon plant;

the Peru charges relate to a prototype production line which was replaced by other lines with superior production capacity and economics and idled in fiscal year 2007, and which management now considers is unlikely to generate sufficient returns compared to existing and planned alternative capacity to support its carrying value; and

the Cleburne expenses are asset impairment related charges and are as a result of the obsolescence and disposal of equipment and related spare parts.

The percentage of the maximum STI Variable Remuneration awarded to or forfeited by senior executives for (individual and corporate) performance in fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012 was:

	Cash	STI <sup>1</sup>	
	Awarded	Forfeited	
	%	%	
L Gries			
Fiscal Year 2013	20	80	
Fiscal Year 2012	56	44	
J Blasko			
Fiscal Year 2013	25	75	
Fiscal Year 2012	56	44	
R Chenu			
Fiscal Year 2013	75	25	
Fiscal Year 2012	100	-	
M Fisher			
Fiscal Year 2013	22	78	
Fiscal Year 2012	58	42	
N Rigby			
Fiscal Year 2013	-	100	
Fiscal Year 2012	56	44	

Awarded = % of fiscal year 2012 or 2013 Cash STI maximum actually paid. Forfeited = % of fiscal year 2012 or 2013 Cash STI maximum foregone. STI amounts were paid in cash under the Executive Incentive Program and IP Plan.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 35

#### 3.2.4 Historical performance- Hybrid RSUs

Board and Remuneration Committee assessment of management performance under Hybrid RSU/Executive Incentive Plans for Fiscal Years 2011-2013

In June 2011, the Company granted Hybrid RSUs to senior executives on the basis of management sperformance against EBIT goals in fiscal year 2011. During fiscal year 2013, Hybrid RSUs, which are treated as STI transferred to LTI, vested. The Remuneration Committee reserved to itself the discretion to review fiscal year 2011 performance with the benefit of another two years trading and assess whether those results were obtained at the expense of long term sustainability. Senior executives were granted 61,363 Hybrid RSUs, a grant equivalent to 25% of target (and 8% of maximum) Variable Remuneration allocated to the Hybrid RSUs. The Remuneration Committee reviewed the Company s performance in fiscal years 2012 and 2013 as part of the fiscal years 2011-2013 Scorecard LTI assessment, and determined that it would not apply any negative discretion in respect of these grants.

### 3.3 Description of LTI Variable Remuneration

## 3.3.1 Overview of Long-Term Incentives

Each year, the Remuneration Committee approves a LTI target for all senior executives. The LTI target is allocated between three separate components to ensure that senior executive performance is assessed based on a wide range of factors:

40% to ROCE an indicator of growth in the value of the Company s capital efficiency over time;

30% to TSR RSUs an indicator of the Company s performance relative to its US peers; and

30% to Scorecard LTI an indicator of each senior executive s contribution to the Company achieving its long-term strategic goals. The LTI target amounts for the senior executives in fiscal year 2013 were:

Position		LTI target
Chief Executive Officer	US\$	3.1 million
Other senior executives	US\$ 250 000	0-US\$350 000

## 3.3.2 ROCE RSUs (40% of target LTI)

The Remuneration Committee introduced ROCE RSUs in fiscal year 2013 because the US housing market had stabilised to an extent which permitted the setting of multi-year financial metrics. The Remuneration Committee believes ROCE RSUs are an appropriate component of the LTI Plan because they:

allow the Remuneration Committee to replace the interim one-year metrics previously used during the US housing downturn with three-year financial metrics;

tie the reward s value to share price which provides alignment with shareholder interests;

## Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

ensure that the Company earns appropriate returns on the additional capital it spends in response to the improvement in the US housing market; and

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

allow the Remuneration Committee to disregard items impacting ROCE (both positive and negative) where those items are beyond management s direct influence and control.

In addition, a continuation of the Company s strong ROCE performance will create shareholder value.

The maximum payout for the ROCE RSUs is 200% of target LTI. This is a reduction from the 300% maximum payout for the Hybrid RSUs which the ROCE RSUs replaced in fiscal year 2013. However, given the challenging ROCE targets and the existence of negative discretion for the Remuneration Committee based on the quality of the returns balanced against management s delivery of market share growth and performance against the Scorecard, the Remuneration Committee expects that vesting of ROCE RSUs is unlikely to be higher than target (ie 50% vesting) in most years.

ROCE is determined by dividing EBIT by Capital Employed.

EBIT will be earnings before interest and taxation as reported in the Company s financial results, adjusted by:

deducting the earnings impact of legacy issues (such as asbestos adjustments, including foreign exchange impact on the Company s asbestos provision, New Zealand product liability expenses and ASIC expenses);

deducting leasehold expenses, since potential upcoming changes in international accounting standards could cause significant volatility in this component; and

adding back asset impairment charges in the relevant period, unless otherwise determined by the Remuneration Committee. Since management s performance will be assessed on the pre-impairment value of the Company s assets, the Remuneration Committee would not normally deduct the impact of any asset impairments from the Company s EBIT for the purposes of measuring ROCE performance.

Capital Employed will start with net working capital and fixed assets (net of accumulated depreciation), which already excludes legacy issue-related items such as asbestos-related assets and liabilities, as reported in the Company s financial results, adjusted by:

adding back asset impairment charges in the relevant period, unless otherwise determined by the Remuneration Committee, in order to align the Capital Employed with the determination of EBIT;

adding back leasehold assets for manufacturing facilities and other material leased assets, which the Remuneration Committee believes give a more complete measure of the Company s capital base employed in income generation; and

deducting all greenfield construction-in-progress, and any brownfield construction-in-progress projects involving capacity expansion that are individually greater than US\$20 million, until such assets reach commercial production and are transferred to the fixed asset register, in order to encourage management to invest in capital expenditure projects that are aligned with the long-term interests of the Company.

The resulting Capital Employed for each quarter of any fiscal year will be averaged to better reflect Capital Employed through a year rather than at a certain point in time.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 37

ROCE goals for the ROCE RSUs are based on historical results and take into account the expected impact of the recovery in the US housing market on EBIT and Capital Employed. Achievement in order to receive awards at LTI target (ie 50% vesting) will require improvement on the average of the performance of the Company for fiscal years 2010 to 2012 (after indexing for market improvements). The ROCE result in fiscal year 2013 was 18.0%

The goals for ROCE RSUs granted in fiscal year 2013 (for performance in fiscal years 2013 to 2015) were:

ROCE	% of ROCE RSUs vested
<u>&lt;</u> 18.5%	0%
<u>&gt;</u> 18.5%, but < 19.5%	25%
<u>&gt;</u> 19.5%, but < 20.5%	50%
$\geq 20.5\%$ , but $< 21.5\%$	75%
<u>&gt;</u> 21.5%	100%

At the conclusion of this three-year performance period, the Remuneration Committee will review management s performance based on the quality of the returns balanced against management s delivery of market share growth and performance against the Scorecard. Following this review, the Remuneration Committee can exercise negative discretion to reduce the number of shares received following vesting of the ROCE RSUs. This discretion can only be applied to reduce the number of shares which will vest.

#### 3.3.3 Relative TSR RSUs (30% of target LTI)

The Remuneration Committee believes that Relative TSR RSUs are an appropriate component of the LTI Plan because they provide alignment with shareholders even if macro conditions create substantial shareholder value, senior executives will only receive payouts if the total shareholder return of the Company shares exceeds a specified percentage of the Company speer group over a performance period.

The Company has used Relative TSR RSUs in its LTI Plan for some years. The Remuneration Committee made the following changes to the Relative TSR RSUs in fiscal year 2013:

decreased the maximum payout from 300% of target LTI to 200%;

shifted the payout schedule to commence payouts at the 40th percentile and provide maximum payouts at the 80th percentile; and

removed three companies from the peer group (since they had been excluded from the peer group used for benchmarking purposes). Total shareholder return measures changes in the share price of the Company and its peer group and assumes all dividends and capital returns are reinvested when paid.

The peer group for Relative TSR RSUs consists of the same peer group of companies exposed to the US housing market which the Company uses for compensation benchmarking purposes. The Remuneration Committee believes that US companies form a more appropriate peer group than ASX-listed companies as they are exposed to the same macro factors in the US housing market as the Company faces. The names of the companies comprising the peer group for each grant of Relative TSR RSUs are set out in section 8 of this Remuneration Report.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

The Company s relative TSR performance will be measured against the peer group over a three- to five-year period from grant date, with testing after the third year, and then every six months until the end of year five. To eliminate the impact of short-term price changes, the starting point and each test date are measured using an average 20 day closing price.

Relative TSR RSUs will vest based on the following straight-line schedule:

	70 of Itelative 1511
Performance against Peer Group	RSUs vested
<40 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	0%
40 <sup>th</sup> 79 Percentile	Sliding Scale
<u>&gt;80<sup>th</sup></u> Percentile	100%

% of Relative TSR

The Remuneration Committee considered whether to retain re-testing in fiscal year 2013, given some investors prefer a single test for relative performance measures. The Remuneration Committee concluded that, given that the Company will incur the same accounting expense irrespective of the vesting outcome, retaining Relative TSR RSUs is more effective from a cost-benefit perspective. However, the number of re-tests will be reduced for TSR grants made in fiscal year 2014. The Remuneration Committee will continue to monitor the appropriate number of re-tests each year.

3.3.4 Scorecard LTI (30% of target LTI)

The Remuneration Committee believes that the Scorecard LTI is an appropriate component of its LTI Plan because it:

allows the Remuneration Committee to set and reward executives on a balance of longer-term financial, strategic, business, customer and organisational development goals which it believes are important contributors to long-term creation of shareholder value;

ties the reward s value to the Company s share price over the medium-term; and

allows flexibility to apply rewards across different countries, while providing executives with liquidity to pay tax or other material commitments at a time that coincides with vesting of shares (via the other components of the LTI Plan) as payment is in cash. Each year, the Remuneration Committee approves a number of key management objectives, including for individual senior executives, and the measures it expects to see achieved in relation to these objectives. These objectives are incorporated into that year s grant of Scorecard LTI. The Remuneration Committee monitors progress against the Scorecard twice each year. At the end of the three-year period, the Remuneration Committee assesses the Company s performance on each key objective and each individual senior executive s contribution to those achievements (with scores between 0 and 100) (and the Board reviews that assessment). Senior executives may receive different ratings depending on the contribution they have made during the three-year period. Although most of the objectives in the Scorecard have quantitative targets, the Company considers some of the targets to be commercial-in-confidence.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

The Company has used Scorecard LTI in its LTI Plan for some years. Since the Company s three-year priorities change from year to year, the Remuneration Committee expects that changes will be made to the Scorecard goals from time to time. The following changes to the Scorecard LTI goals were made for fiscal year 2013:

Goal	Change	Reasons
Primary demand growth	Supplemented to include growth against wood-look products.	To provide greater focus on the
		market segments where
		the
		Company can increase fibre
		cement s share of the market.
Product Mix Shift	No change to the objective. But as the	Product mix shift is a
	Company develops new differentiated	key part of
	products, measurement of this goal will	the Company s product
	include those products.	leadership through creating new
		differentiated
		value-added
		products.
Managing during the	Replaced with a new goal Positioning the	Moving the Company to
	Company for potential recovery .	a more
Economic Crisis		appropriate financial
		leverage
		and being ready to grow
		as the
		US housing market
		recovers.
Legacy Issues	Removed.	Most of the Company s
		legacy
		issues which existed in
		FY2004
		or which subsequently
		have
		been addressed or
No anasifia vasiabtina has been applied to any single objective		resolved.

No specific weighting has been applied to any single objective and the final Scorecard assessment reflects an element of judgment by the Board. The Scorecard can only be applied by the Board to exercise negative discretion (ie, to reduce the amount of Scorecard LTI that will ultimately vest). It cannot be applied to enhance the maximum reward that can be received.

The amount received by senior executives is based on both the Company s share price performance over the three years from the grant date and the senior executive s Scorecard rating. At the start of the three-year performance period, the Company will calculate the number of shares the senior executives could have acquired if they received a maximum payout on the Scorecard LTI at that time (based on a 20 working day closing average). Depending on the senior executive s rating (between 0 and 100), between 0% and 100% of the senior executive s Scorecard LTI awards will vest at the end of the three-year performance period. Each senior executive will receive a cash payment based on the Company s share price at the end of the period (based on a 20 working day closing average) multiplied by the number of shares they could have acquired at the start of the performance period, adjusted downward in accordance with their Scorecard rating.

Further details related to the Scorecard for fiscal year 2013, including the method of measurement, historical performance against the proposed measures and the Board's expectations, were previously set out in the 2012 AGM Notice of Meeting. An assessment of the Company's Scorecard performance for fiscal years 2011-2013 is set out below. The Company will provide an explanation of the final assessment of performance under the Scorecard for fiscal years 2013-2015 at the conclusion of fiscal year 2015.

Board and Remuneration Committee assessment of management performance under Scorecard LTI for Fiscal Years 2011-2013

## Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

The Remuneration Committee s review of the Company s performance over fiscal years 2011-2013 against the Scorecard objectives, and the contribution of individual senior executives, resulted in senior

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

executives receiving a weighted average Scorecard rating of 50% (from a range of 50% to 55%). Although these ratings resulted in outcomes above target in respect of fiscal years 2011-2013, the amount of reward is lower than in respect of fiscal years 2010-2012, where the weighted average Scorecard rating was 61% (from a range of 50% to 83%).

The Remuneration Committee s assessment of the Company s performance over the fiscal years 2011-2013 based on the Scorecard objectives as determined in mid-2010 is:

Measure US Primary Demand Growth for Exteriors ( PDG )	Performance over period FY13: 6.8%  FY12: 10.6%  FY11: (6.2)%  I	Board Requirement Minimum: Maintain relative to exterior market  Stretch: Primary demand growth relative to exterior market	Reasons A key strategy for the Company is to maximise its market share growth/retention of the exterior cladding market for new housing and for repair & remodel segments, which it does by growing fibre cement s share of the exterior cladding market and by maintaining the Company s share of the fibre cement category.	Assessment of Management s Performance Growth above stretch target achieved over three year period. Negative result in FY11 recovered and improved in FY12 and FY13.
US Product Mix Shift	<sup>1</sup> Figures have been restated to reflect updated methodology calculation. Color Plus and Artisan penetration improved each year.	Board Minimum: 5% annual improvement in penetration of ColorPlus and Artisan products	The Company aims to maintain its leadership position across the fibre cement category of the exterior cladding market by developing new products/marketing/manufacturing approaches that will result in an improved mix of our products and gross margins.	Performance above stretch target, although ColorPlus improvement flattened in FY13, which is being addressed by the creation of a dedicated ColorPlus organisation.
US Zero To the Landfill ( ZTL )	Over the three years the Company made significant progress in reducing the amount of materials sent to landfill.	Stretch: 10% annual improvement in penetration of ColorPlus and Artisan products Minimum: 5% annual reduction of equivalent dumpsters sent to landfill  Stretch: 7% annual reduction of equivalent dumpsters sent to landfill	This measure is a primary contributor to the Company s environmental goals and improving material yield will reduce manufacturing costs. In addition, achieving important environmental, social and governance (ESG) goals reduces risk.	Good progress throughout period. Machine utilisations now at design and reliability close to being able to support the ZTL initiative. The elimination rate slowed as savings became more difficult to find. The goal was expanded in FY11 to a broader manufacturing efficiency goal.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

Measure Safety	Performance over period FY13: 1.57 28.9	<b>Board Requirement</b> No fatalities	Reasons Safety of Company employees is an essential ESG measure.	Assessment of Management s Performance Performance generally above stretch goals throughout period.
	FY12: 1.46 18.1 FY11: 1.74 18.8	Minimum: 10.4  Incident Rate ( IR ) (FY10 industry average) and 50 Severity Rate ( SR )  Stretch: 2.0 IR and 20 SR		Results below 2 IR and 20 SR are now expected.
Strategic Positioning	JH Europe re-set as a growth business with expanded products and reach. Established new R&D centre to provide platform for activity to reduce reliance on fibre cement. Shaped trim and pultruded fibreglass offerings developing.	The Board expects that management will continue to diversify to provide more balance and	Developing and, as appropriate, implementing, alternative strategic actions for sustainable growth beyond the Company s traditional markets will create shareholder value through increased profits and diversification for lower risk.	Progressing as planned and exceeded minimum goals.
Legacy Issues	All major legacy issues known to exist in FY10 concluded.	Minimum: Resolve or address the Dutch domicile and make substantial progress on others	Resolution of these issues is a fundamental component of the Company s ESG goals, paving the way to lower risk and more certainty for all stakeholders.	Performance met stretch goals. All major legacy issues concluded, largely in the Company s favour.
		Stretch: Resolve or address all legacy issues		Only remaining issue is conclusion of appeals of certain former directors and officers in the ASIC litigation (the Company is no longer involved in these proceedings).

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 42

Measure Managing During the	Performance over period Good results in downturn but the transition to market recovery has not gone as forecast, with EBIT	Board Requirement Maintain an adequate capital structure.	Reasons With the US building materials industry experiencing a downturn unprecedented in the past 60 years, managing the Company through this time so it can emerge at the end of this period in as strong or stronger	Assessment of Management s Performance Successful progress on capital structure and refinancing.
Economic	margins below target		competitive position in the overall industry is crucial.	Focus during the period was also
Crisis				expanded to include transition to market recovery, where performance has been below Board expectations.
Talent	The Company continues to have a	It is not possible to set a specific goal for this	Improving management	Performance met Board expectations.
Management/	strong management team.	measure beyond requiring that management	development and capability is important to	S::£:t
Development	cum.	capability be retained and grown.	the Company s future growth.	Significant improvements in FY13 offset inadequate FY12 outcomes.

More detailed information on LTI Plans

More detailed information about the Company s LTI plans, including certain information required under applicable US laws, is set out in section 8 of this Remuneration Report.

#### 3.4 Overview of Fixed Remuneration

Fixed remuneration consists of base salaries, non-cash benefits, participation in a defined contribution retirement plan and superannuation contributions.

## 3.4.1 Base Salaries

Base salary provides a guaranteed level of income that recognises the market value of the position and internal equities between roles, and the individual s capability, experience and performance. Base salaries for senior executives are positioned around the market median for positions of similar responsibility. Base salaries are reviewed by the Remuneration Committee each year, although increases are not automatic.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### 3.4.2 Non-Cash Benefits

James Hardie s executives may receive non-cash benefits such as a cost of living allowance, medical and life insurance benefits, car allowances, membership of executive wellness programs, long service leave and an annual financial planning allowance (which includes tax return preparation assistance).

#### 3.4.3 Retirement Plan/Superannuation

In every country in which it operates, the Company offers employees access to pension, superannuation or individual retirement savings plans consistent with the laws of the respective country.

We sponsor a US defined contribution plan, the James Hardie Retirement and Profit Sharing Plan, for our employees in the United States and a defined benefit pension plan, the James Hardie Australia Superannuation Plan, for our employees in Australia. The US defined contribution plan is a tax-qualified retirement and savings plan ( 401(k) Plan ) covering all US employees, subject to certain eligibility requirements. Participating employees may elect to reduce their current annual compensation by up to US\$17,500 in calendar year 2013 and have the amount of such reduction contributed to the 401(k) Plan, with a maximum eligible compensation limit of US\$255,000. In addition, we match employee contributions dollar for dollar up to a maximum of the first 6% of an employee s eligible compensation.

The James Hardie Australia Superannuation Plan is funded based on statutory requirements in Australia and is based primarily on the contributions and income derived thereon held by the plan on behalf of the member, and to a lesser degree, on the participants eligible compensation and years of credited service. Under Australian law, employees do not have to belong to their employer s superannuation fund.

#### 3.5 Relative Weightings of Fixed and Variable Remuneration in 2013

The Company s improved performance in fiscal year 2013 was reflected in an increase in the Variable Remuneration paid to senior executives in fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012:

	Fixed Remuneration <sup>1</sup>		,	Variable Remune	ration <sup>3</sup> Relative	
			ROCE RSUs	Scorecard LTI	TSR RSUs	
		Cash Incentive <sup>2</sup>	%	%	%	Total Variable%
L Gries						
Fiscal Year 2013	13	7	28	31	21	87
Fiscal Year 2012	12	18	12	29	29	88
J Blasko <sup>4</sup>						
Fiscal Year 2013	36	9	19	22	14	64
Fiscal Year 2012	70	30	-	-	-	30
R Chenu						
Fiscal Year 2013	54	10	13	14	9	46
Fiscal Year 2012	54	12	6	14	14	46
M Fisher	35	11	19	21	14	65
Fiscal Year 2013	30	24	8	19	19	70

Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

Fiscal Year 2012  $N Rig b y^5$ Fiscal Year 2013 100 - - - - - - - - Fiscal Year 2012 27 27 8 19 19 73

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 44

- Includes SG&A expenses incurred in the year indicated for base salary, non-cash benefits, expatriate benefits, other non-recurring benefits and superannuation/pension payments.
- Includes STI amounts incurred under the Executive Incentive Program and IP Plan. The cash payments for each fiscal year are paid in the June following the end of the fiscal year.
- Equity components include the total value of Hybrid RSUs, ROCE RSUs, Scorecard LTI and Relative TSR RSUs granted in fiscal year 2013.
- 4 Commenced employment 13 June 2011. Received relocation allowance in lieu of fiscal year 2012 LTI grants.
- <sup>5</sup> Ceased employment 30 June 2012 and did not receive any equity award grants in fiscal year 2013.

## 3.6 Variable Remuneration Payable in Future Years

Details of the accounting cost of the Variable Remuneration for fiscal year 2013 that may be paid to senior executives in future years are set out below. The minimum amount payable is nil in all cases. The maximum amount payable will depend on the share price at time of vesting, and is therefore not possible to determine. The table below is based on the fair value of the RSUs and Scorecard LTI according to US GAAP accounting standards and the Company s estimate of the Scorecard Rating to be applied to Scorecard LTI.

	Scorecard LTI <sup>1</sup> (US dollars)				ROCE RSUs <sup>2</sup> (US dollars)			Relative TSR RSUs <sup>3</sup> (US dollars)				
	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
L Gries	403,025	742,950	742,950	339,925	268,683	495,299	495,299	226,616	369,122	680,452	680,452	311,330
J Blasko	32,502	59,915	59,915	27,413	21,669	39,945	39,945	18,276	29,768	54,875	54,875	25,107
R Chenu	45,503	83,881	83,881	38,379	30,335	55,921	55,921	25,586	41,675	76,825	76,825	35,150
M Fisher	45,503	83,881	83,881	38,379	30,335	55,921	55,921	25,586	41,675	76,825	76,825	35,150
N Rigby 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	526,533	970,627	970,627	444,096	351,022	647,086	647,086	296,064	482,240	888,977	888,977	406,737

- Represents annual SG&A expense for Scorecard LTI granted in September 2012 based on the Remuneration Committee assumption of final Scorecard rating. The fair value of each award is adjusted for changes in the Company s common stock price at each balance sheet date until the final Scorecard rating is applied in September 2015, at which time the final value is based on the Company s share price and the senior executive s Scorecard rating at the time of vesting.
- Represents annual SG&A expense for the ROCE RSUs granted in September 2012. The fair value of each RSU is adjusted for changes in the Company's common stock price at each balance sheet date until the Remuneration Committee exercises negative discretion and some, all or none of the awards become vested in September 2015.
- Represents annual SG&A expense for the Relative TSR RSUs granted in September 2012 with fair market value estimated using the Monte Carlo option-pricing method.

## Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

4 Ceased employment 30 June 2012.

## 4. CHANGES TO REMUNERATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014

## 4.1 Overview of Remuneration for Fiscal Year 2014

The Board and the Remuneration Committee review the Company s existing remuneration arrangements each year.

There will be no increases in the CEO s base salary, target STI or target LTI in fiscal year 2014.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 45

The only change in the Company s STI Variable Compensation plans in fiscal year 2014 is the introduction of an additional adjustment to the US STI Payout Matrix, based on the Remuneration Committee s assessment of management s development and implementation of specific plans for the Company s interior products business.

The principal changes in the Company s LTI Variable Compensation plans are as follows:

updating the performance hurdles required to achieve vesting of the ROCE RSUs, and indexing those hurdles for changes in housing starts and the repair and remodel market; and

changing the payout schedule for the Relative TSR RSUs to increase the number of shares vesting at the 40th percentile and eliminating one of the four re-tests. The Remuneration Committee will continue to monitor the appropriate number of re-tests each year; and

updating the Scorecard objectives for fiscal year 2014.

The following section summarises the changes to the Executive Incentive Plan and the Scorecard LTI for fiscal year 2014.

## 4.2 Changes to STI Variable Compensation for Fiscal Year 2014 (Executive Incentive Plan)

The Board proposes to continue with the basic Payout Matrix approach balancing performance on the Growth Measure against performance on the Return Measure for the corporate portion of the Executive Incentive Plan described in section 3.2 of this Remuneration Report.

However, in fiscal year 2014 it will supplement the existing adjustment of up to 0.2x (up or down) based on the Company s performance against market tracking data from the largest participants in the WMI, with a further adjustment of up to 0.2x (up or down) based on the Remuneration Committee s assessment of management s development and implementation of specific plans for the Company s interior products business.

The Remuneration Committee believes that this amendment will provide specific focus on the Company s interior products business, which is not covered by the existing Payout Matrix, which focusses on the exterior products business only.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

## 4.3 Changes to LTI Variable Compensation for Fiscal Year 2014

## 4.3.1 Scorecard LTI

The Remuneration Committee uses the Scorecard to set strategic objectives for which performance can only be assessed over a period of time. These objectives change from year to year in line with the Company s strategic priorities. The changes to the objectives for fiscal year 2014, and the reasons for those changes are set out below:

Goal Product Mix Shift	Change Removed	Reasons Although this remains an important strategy for the Company and is reflected in a number of its one-year goals, the other goals are more important to the long-term value creation				
Talent Management/ Development	Renamed Build US organisational and leadership capability in support of the Company s growth targets	plans of the Company.  This goal has been renamed to provide a clear link between the Company s strategy and initiatives in this area.				
Manufacturing Reset	Renamed Manufacturing capacity planning and sourcing efficiency	The goal has been renamed to reflect the substantial progress on the manufacturing reset and a broader focus on having adequate capacity and effective machine utilisation.				
Effectively Manage Legacy Issues	Reinstated	New Zealand Ministry of Education representative action litigation commenced in fiscal year 2014.				
Positioning the Company for	Removed	The recovery in the US housing market means this goal can be removed.				
potential recovery Maintain Australia and New Zealand market position on	Added	The Asia Pacific business is a significant contributor to the Company s results				
core products and grow Scyon Australian capacity expansion	Added	This is an important component towards achieving the Company s long-term growth				
		plans in Australia.				

The other components of the Scorecard remain unchanged.

## 4.3.2 ROCE RSUs and Relative TSR RSUs

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 47

The 2013 AGM Notice of Meeting contains further details of the changes to the ROCE RSUs and Relative TSR RSUs.

#### 5. REMUNERATION PAID TO SENIOR EXECUTIVES

#### **5.1 Total Remuneration for Senior Executives**

Details of the remuneration of the senior executives in fiscal years 2013 and 2012 are set out below:

(US dollars)  Name	Base Pay	Primary  Noncash Bonuses <sup>2</sup> Benefits <sup>3</sup>		Post- employment Equity  Superan- nuation and  401(k) Ongoing Benefits Vesting <sup>4</sup>		Awards  Mark-to  Market <sup>5</sup>	Other Relocation Allowances, Expatriate Benefits, and Other Non- recurring <sup>6</sup>	Total		
L Gries <sup>1</sup>										
Fiscal Year 2013	\$ 950,966	\$ 638,875	\$ 92,873	\$ 14,826	\$ 5,066,796	\$ 1,024,436	\$ 63,087	\$ 7,851,859		
Fiscal Year 2012	956,825	1,959,285	106,960	14,700	4,832,467	1,469,093	104,000	9,443,330		
J Blasko <sup>7</sup> Fiscal Year 2013 Fiscal Year 2012	<b>306,577</b> 230,769	<b>91,693</b> 161,730	<b>54,401</b> 45,840	<b>15,817</b> 9,808	76,641	7,297	387,062	<b>552,426</b> 835,209		
R Chenu										
Fiscal Year 2013	930,969	225,378	86,947	87,677	658,609	92,031	103,314	2,184,925		
Fiscal Year 2012	953,735	339,510	96,474	88,800	895,737	287,159	105,133	2,766,548		
M Fisher										
Fiscal Year 2013	452,145	174,661	42,940	15,273	683,969	104,492	-	1,473,480		
Fiscal Year 2012	434,317	416,599	50,979	14,877	701,388	220,125	-	1,838,285		
N Rigby 8										
Fiscal Year 2013	150,721	-	14,105	-	213,985	36,268	116,741	531,820		
Fiscal Year 2012	509,711	529,939	28,469	-	734,313	171,637	-	1,974,069		
Total Compensation for Senior Executives										
Fiscal Year 2013	\$ 2,791,378	\$ 1,130,607	\$ 291,266	\$ 133,593	\$ 6,700,000	\$ 1,264,524	\$ 283,142	\$ 12,594,510		
Fiscal Year 2012	\$ 3,085,357	\$ 3,407,063	\$ 328,722	\$ 128,185	\$ 7,163,905	\$ 2,148,014	\$ 596,195	\$ 16,857,441		

L Gries base pay includes US\$149,058 and US\$143,325 in fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively, for his services on the JHI plc Board.

Includes STI amounts that were paid in cash under the Executive Incentive Program and IP Plan and over/under accruals from fiscal year 2012. Per the EIP and IP Plan, the cash payments for each fiscal year are paid in June following the end of the fiscal year.

Includes the aggregate amount of all noncash benefits received by the executive in the year indicated. Examples of noncash benefits that may be received by executives include medical and life insurance benefits, car allowances, membership in executive wellness programs, long service leave, financial planning and tax services.

Includes equity award expense for grants of Scorecard LTI awards, Relative TSR RSUs, ROCE RSUs and Hybrid RSUs. Relative TSR RSUs are valued using Monte Carlo simulation method. Hybrid RSUs, ROCE RSUs and Scorecard LTI awards are valued based on the Company s share price at each balance date as well as the Remuneration Committee s current expectation of the percentage of the RSUs or awards which will vest. The fair value of equity awards granted are included in compensation during the period in which the equity awards vest. For Hybrid RSUs, ROCE RSUs and Scorecard LTI awards, this amount excludes the equity award expense in fiscal years 2013 and 2012 resulting from changes in the Company s share

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

price, which is disclosed separately in the Equity Awards Mark-to-Market column.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 48

- The amount included in this column is the equity award expense in relation to Hybrid RSUs, ROCE RSUs and Scorecard LTI resulting solely from changes in the US dollar share price during fiscal years 2013 and 2012. During fiscal year 2013, there was a 25% appreciation in the Company s share price from US\$7.99 to US\$10.01.
- Includes a charge to recognise gross-up and tax paid on equity vested during fiscal years 2013 and 2012 for which a portion of the vesting period was while L Gries and R Chenu were seconded to The Netherlands.
- Commenced employment 13 June 2011. Received relocation allowance in lieu of fiscal year 2012 LTI grants.
- Separated from the Company on 30 June 2012. Ongoing equity award expense reflects awards not lapsed or forfeited following separation. Did not receive any equity award grants in fiscal year 2013.

## 5.2 Equity Holdings of Senior Executives

(a) Options

													Weighted Average
	Grant	Exercise Price per right	Holding at 1 April			Total Value at Grant <sup>1</sup>		_	Value at Exercise per right <sup>2</sup>		per right <sup>3</sup>	Holding at 31 March	Fair Value per
Name	Date <sup>10</sup>	(A\$)	2012	Granted	ф	(US\$)	Vested	Exercised	(A\$)	Lapsed	(AS)	2013	right <sup>4</sup>
L Gries	5-Dec-03 <sup>5</sup>	\$ 7.0500	325,000	325,000	\$	338,975	325,000	(325,000)	1.3500	-	-	-	1.0430
	21-Nov-06 <sup>6</sup>	\$ 8.4000	415,000	415,000	\$	888,100	415,000	-	-	-	-	415,000	2.1400
	21-Nov-066	\$ 8.4000	228,600	381,000		1,131,570	228,600	-	-	-	-	228,600	2.9700
	29-Aug-076	\$ 7.8300	445,000	445,000	\$	965,650	445,000	-	-	(70.540)	-	445,000	2.1700
1 D1 1	29-Aug-07 <sup>6</sup>	\$ 7.8300	437,000	437,000	<b>3</b>	1,302,260	364,458	-	-	(72,542)	-	364,458	2.9800
J Blasko	- 22 F.1 055	т. с 2000	- 02.000	-	ф	107.070	-	-	-	-	-	- 02 000	1 1610
R Chenu	22-Feb-05 <sup>5</sup>	\$ 6.3000	93,000	93,000	\$	107,973	93,000	-	-	-	-	93,000	1.1610
	21-Nov-066	\$ 8.4000	65,000	65,000	\$	139,100	65,000	-	-	-	-	65,000	2.1400
	21-Nov-06 <sup>6</sup>	\$ 8.4000	36,000	60,000	\$	178,200	36,000	-	-	-	-	36,000	2.9700
	29-Aug-076	\$ 7.8300	68,000	68,000	\$	147,560	68,000	-	-	-	-	68,000	2.1700
	29-Aug-07 <sup>6</sup>	\$ 7.8300	66,000	66,000	\$	196,680	55,044	-	-	(10,956)	-	55,044	2.9800
M Fisher	5-Dec-03 <sup>5</sup>	\$ 7.0500	132,000	132,000	\$	137,676	132,000	(132,000)	1.6339	-	-	-	1.0430
	14-Dec-046	\$ 5.9900	90,000	180,000	\$	183,276	180,000	(90,000)	3.9133	-	-	-	1.0182
	1-Dec-056	\$ 8.9000	190,000	190,000	\$	386,137	190,000	-	-	-	-	190,000	2.0323
	21-Nov-066	\$ 8.4000	158,500	158,500	\$	291,069	158,500	(90,000)	0.8700	-	-	68,500	1.8364
	10-Dec-076	\$ 6.3800	277,778	277,778	\$	275,084	277,778	(160,000)	2.8956	-	-	117,778	0.9903
N Rigby	5-Dec-036	\$ 7.0500	33,000	33,000	\$	34,419	33,000	(33,000)	0.5600	-	-	-	1.0430
	1-Dec-056	\$ 8.9000	190,000	190,000	\$	386,137	190,000	-	-	(190,000)	-	-	2.0323
	21-Nov-06 <sup>6</sup>	\$ 8.4000	158,500	158,500	\$	291,069	158,500	(158,500)	0.2500	-	-	-	1.8364

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

(b) Restricted Stock Units

							Total				
				Holding			Value at			Holding and	Weighted Average Fair Value
		Grant	Release	and Unvested at 1 April			Grant <sup>1</sup>			Unvested at 31 March	per
	Name	Date	Date	2012	Granted	<b>ተ 1</b>	(US\$)	Vested	Lapsed	2013	right <sup>4</sup>
L Gries		15-Sep-09 <sup>7</sup> 11-Dec-09 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-12	234,900	234,900		,176,849 564,865	-	-	234,900	\$ 5.0100
		7-Jun-10 <sup>8</sup>	15-Sep-12 7-Jun-12	81,746 360,267	81,746 360,267		2,142,760	(360,267)	-	81,746	\$ 6.9100 \$ 5.9477
		15-Sep-10 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-13	577,255	577,255		2,595,627	(300,207)	_	577,255	\$ 4.4965
		7-Jun-11 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-13	45,687	45,687	\$ 2	279,901	_	_	45,687	\$ 6.1265
		15-Sep-11 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-14	606,852	606,852		2,500,291	_	_	606,852	\$ 4.1201
		7-Jun-12 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-14	-	166,459		,199,137	_	_	166,459	\$ 7.2038
		14-Sep-12 <sup>7</sup>	14-Sep-15	_	273,732		,041,356	_	_	273,732	\$ 7.4575
		14-Sep-12 <sup>9</sup>	14-Sep-15	_	284,916		,645,360	_	_	284,916	\$ 9.2847
J Blasko		14-Sep-12 <sup>7</sup>	14-Sep-15	_	22,075	\$	164,624	-	_	22,075	\$ 7.4575
		14-Sep-12 <sup>9</sup>	14-Sep-15	_	22,977	\$	213,335	_	_	22,977	\$ 9.2847
R Chenu		15-Sep-09 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-12	45,675	45,675	\$	228,832	_	_	45,675	\$ 5.0100
Tt Chenn		11-Dec-09 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-12	15,895	15,895	\$	109,834	_	_	15,895	\$ 6.9100
		7-Jun-10 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-12	70,052	70,052	\$	416,648	(70,052)	-	-	\$ 5.9477
		15-Sep-10 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-13	72,157	72,157	\$	324,454	-	-	72,157	\$ 4.4965
		7-Jun-11 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-13	5,711	5,711	\$	34,988	-	-	5,711	\$ 6.1265
		15-Sep-11 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-14	68,516	68,516	\$	282,293	-	-	68,516	\$ 4.1201
		7-Jun-12 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-14	-	18,794	\$	135,388	-	-	18,794	\$ 7.2038
		14-Sep-12 <sup>7</sup>	14-Sep-15	-	30,905	\$	230,474	-	-	30,905	\$ 7.4575
		14-Sep-12 <sup>9</sup>	14-Sep-15	-	32,168	\$	298,670	-	-	32,168	\$ 9.2847
M Fisher		15-Sep-09 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-12	39,150	39,150	\$	196,142	-	-	39,150	\$ 5.0100
		11-Dec-09 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-12	13,624	13,624	\$	94,142	-	-	13,624	\$ 6.9100
		7-Jun-10 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-12	60,044	60,044	\$	357,124	(60,044)	-	-	\$ 5.9477
		15-Sep-10 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-13	67,003	67,003	\$	301,279	-	-	67,003	\$ 4.4965
		7-Jun-11 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-13	5,303	5,303	\$	32,489	-	-	5,303	\$ 6.1265
		15-Sep-11 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-14	68,516	68,516	\$	282,293	-	-	68,516	\$ 4.1201
		7-Jun-12 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-14	-	18,794	\$	135,388	-	-	18,794	\$ 7.2038
		14-Sep-12 <sup>7</sup>	14-Sep-15	-	30,905	\$	230,474	-	-	30,905	\$ 7.4575
		14-Sep-12 <sup>9</sup>	14-Sep-15	-	32,168	\$	298,670	-	-	32,168	\$ 9.2847
N Rigby		15-Sep-09 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-12	39,150	39,150	\$	196,142	-	(2,751)	36,399	\$ 5.0100
		11-Dec-09 <sup>7</sup>	15-Sep-12	13,624	13,624	\$	94,142	-	(958)	12,666	\$ 6.9100
		7-Jun-10 <sup>8</sup>	7-Jun-12	60,044	60,044	\$ \$	357,124	(60,044)	(20, 100)	43,057	\$ 5.9477
		15-Sep-10 <sup>7</sup> 7-Jun-11 <sup>8</sup>	15-Sep-13 7-Jun-13	72,157 5,711	72,157 5,711	\$ \$	324,454 34,988	-	(29,100) (1,783)	3,928	\$ 4.4965 \$ 6.1265
		15-Sep-11 <sup>7</sup>	7-Juli-13 15-Sep-14	78,304	78,304	\$	322,620		(78,304)	3,928	\$ 4.1201
		7-Jun-12 <sup>8</sup>									
		/-Jun-12	7-Jun-14	-	21,479	\$	154,730	-	(13,856)	7,623	\$ 7.2038

Total Value at Grant = Weighted Average Fair Value per right multiplied by number of rights granted.

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

- <sup>2</sup> Value at Exercise/right = Market Value of a share of the Company s stock price at Exercise less the Exercise price per right.
- Value at Lapse/right = Fair Market Value of a share of the Company s stock at Lapse less the Exercise price per right.
- Weighted Average Fair Value per right is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model or Monte Carlo option pricing method, depending on the plan the options were issued under.
- Options granted under the 2001 JHI plc Equity Incentive Plan ( 2001 Equity Incentive Plan ). All options have vested and become exercisable.
- Options granted under James Hardie Industries LTIP. These options are subject to performance hurdles. The holding as of 31 March 2013 represents all options which have vested and become exercisable.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 50

- Relative TSR RSUs granted under the LTIP. These RSUs are subject to performance hurdles and/or application of negative discretion.
- 8 Hybrid RSUs (formerly Executive Incentive Plan RSUs) are granted under LTIP. These RSUs are subject to application of negative discretion.
- 9 ROCE RSUs are granted under LTIP and are subject to performance hurdles and/or application of negative discretion.
- All options granted expire 10 years after the grant date.
- (c) Scorecard LTI

				Holding				
		Grant		at				Holding at
			Release	1 April				31 March
	Name	Date	Date	2012	Granted	Vested <sup>1</sup>	Lapsed	2013
L Gries		21-Jun-09	21-Jun-12	483,294	483,294	(280,310)	(202,984)	-
		29-Jun-10 <sup>2</sup>	29-Jun-13	442,424	442,424	-	-	442,424
		7-Jun-11	7-Jun-14	455,239	455,239	-	-	455,239
		14-Sep-12	14-Sep-15	-	320,531	-	-	320,531
J Blask o		14-Sep-12	14-Sep-15	-	25,849	-	-	25,849
R Chenu		21-Jun-09	21-Jun-12	93,974	93,974	(77,998)	(15,976)	-
		29-Jun-10 <sup>2</sup>	29-Jun-13	55,303	55,303	-	-	55,303
		7-Jun-11	7-Jun-14	51,398	51,398	-	-	51,398
		14-Sep-12	14-Sep-15	-	36,189	-	-	36,189
M Fisher		21-Jun-09	21-Jun-12	80,549	80,549	(53,162)	(27,387)	-
		29-Jun-10 <sup>2</sup>	29-Jun-13	51,353	51,353	-	-	51,353
		7-Jun-11	7-Jun-14	51,398	51,398	-	-	51,398
		14-Sep-12	14-Sep-15	-	36,189	-	-	36,189
N Rigby		21-Jun-09	21-Jun-12	80,549	80,549	(40,274)	(40,275)	-
		29-Jun-10 <sup>2</sup>	29-Jun-13	55,303	55,303	-	(18,368)	36,935
		7-Jun-11	7-Jun-14	58,740	58,740	-	(37,892)	20,848

Represents the number of Scorecard LTI awards vesting after the Remuneration Committee s application of the scorecard in respect of fiscal years 2010-2012. A detailed assessment of the reasons for the scorecard ratings was sent out in the fiscal year 2012 Remuneration Report.

# 5.3 Relevant Interests in JHI plc for Senior Executives

The Company s LTI plans and stock ownership guidelines (described below) provide a strong level of alignment between senior executives and shareholders. Changes in relevant interests of senior executives in JHI plc securities between 1 April 2012 and 31 March 2013 are set out below:

	CUFS at		Options at		RSUs at
CUFS at		Options at	_	RSUs at	
	31 March		31 March		31 March
1 April 2012	2013	1 April 2012	2013	1 April 2012	2013

Scorecard LTI awards in respect of fiscal years 2011-2013 will vest on 29 June 2013. A detailed assessment of the Remuneration Committee s assessment of management s performance is set out in section 2.3 of this Remuneration Report.

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

L Gries	689,922	469,150	1,850,600	1,453,058	1,906,707	2,271,547
R Chenu	152,420	199,884	328,000	317,044	278,006	289,821
J Blasko	-	-	-	-	-	45,052
M Fisher	158,964	165,221	848,278	376,278	253,640	275,463
$NRigby^{I}$	265,102	4,332	381,500	-	268,990	103,673

Separated from the Company on 30 June 2012.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 51

Based on 441,654,684 shares of common stock outstanding at 31 May 2013 (all of which are subject to CHESS Units of Foreign Securities (CUFS), no senior executive beneficially owned 1% or more of the outstanding shares of the Company at 31 May 2013. None of the shares held by senior executives have any special voting rights. There were no changes in the number of CUFS, options or RSUs held by senior executives between 31 March 2013 and 31 May 2013.

## **5.4 Stock Ownership Guidelines**

The Remuneration Committee believes that senior executives should hold James Hardie stock to further align their interests with those of the Company s shareholders. The Company has adopted stock ownership guidelines for the CEO, CFO and remaining senior executives, respectively, which require them to accumulate holdings of 3 times, 1.5 times and 1 times their base salary in the Company over a period of five years from 1 April 2009.

Until the stock ownership guidelines have been met, a senior executive is required to retain at least 75% of shares obtained under the Company s LTI Plans (net of taxes and other costs). The CEO and all other long-term executives have exceeded their respective stock ownership guidelines for some years.

The Company amended the stock ownership guidelines in fiscal year 2013 such that once a senior executive has met or exceeded their stock ownership guidelines, they are required to retain at least 25% of shares issued under the Company s long-term equity incentive plans through the vesting of RSUs (net of taxes and other costs) for a period of two years (by way of holding lock), after which time those shares can be sold (provided the senior executive remains above their stock ownership guideline). Details of the Company s policy regarding employees hedging James Hardie shares or grants under various equity incentive plans are set out in the Insider Trading section of the Corporate Governance Report within this annual report.

## 5.5 Loans

The Company did not grant loans to senior executives during fiscal year 2013. There are no loans outstanding to senior executives.

## 6. EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

Remuneration and other terms of employment for the CEO, CFO and senior executives are formalised in employment contracts. The main elements of these contracts are set out below.

#### 6.1 CEO s Employment Contract

Details of the terms of the CEO s employment contract are as follows:

Components	Details
Length of contract	Indefinite. The CEO is an at-will employee.
Base salary	US\$950,000 for fiscal year 2013 and 2014. Salary reviewed annually by the Board and there will
	be no base salary increase for fiscal year 2014.
Short-term incentive	Annual STI target is 125% of annual base salary for fiscal year 2013 and 2014. The quantum of
	STI target is reviewed annually by the Remuneration Committee in May.
Long-term incentive	On the approval of shareholders, a LTI incentive will be granted each year. The recommended value of LTI to be granted will be appropriate for this level of executive in the US. For fiscal years 2013 and 2014, the LTI target is unchanged at US\$3.1 million.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 52

**Components** Details

Defined Contribution Plan The CEO may participate in the US 401(k) defined contribution plan up to the annual US

Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) limit. The Company will match the CEO s contributions into the

plan up to the annual IRS limit.

Resignation The CEO may cease employment with the Company by providing written notice. If the CEO

retires with the approval of the Board then his unvested RSUs and awards will not be forfeited

and will be held until the next test date.

Termination by James Hardie The Company may terminate the CEO s employment for cause or not for cause. If the Company

terminates the CEO s employment, not for cause, or the CEO terminates his employment for

good reason the Company will pay the following:

(a) amount equivalent to 1.5 times the CEO s annual base salary at the time of termination; and

(b) amount equivalent to 1.5 times the CEO  $\,$  s average STI actually paid in up to the previous

three fiscal years as CEO; and

(c) continuation of health and medical benefits at the Company s expense for the duration of the

consulting agreement referenced below.

Post-termination Consulting

The Company will request the CEO, and the CEO will agree, to consult to the Company upon

The Company will request the CEO, and the CEO will agree, to consult to the Company upon termination for a minimum of two years, as long as the CEO maintains the Company s non-compete and confidentiality agreements and executes a release of claims following the effective date of termination. Under the consulting agreement, the CEO will receive the annual base salary and annual target incentive in exchange for this consulting and non-compete. Under the terms of equity incentive grants made to the CEO under the LTIP, the CEO s outstanding options will not expire during any post-termination consulting period. In addition, in the event of an agreed separation or agreed retirement, his unvested restricted stock units and awards will not be automatically forfeited. This arrangement is a standard arrangement for US executives and the Board considers that it is an appropriate restraint for Mr Gries given his intimate involvement in developing the Company s fibre cement business in the United States over the past 23 years.

The CEO also receives the Other benefits described in the summary of employment agreements for the senior executives (described below).

# 6.2 CFO s Employment Contract

Details of the CFO s employment contract are as follows:

**Components** Details

Length of contract Fixed period concluding 5 October 2013.

Base salary A\$900,279 for fiscal year 2013. Salary reviewed annually by the Board and there will be no A\$

base salary increase for fiscal year 2014.

Short-term incentive Annual STI target is 33% of annual base salary as set out in the CFO s employment contract,

based on personal goals. The CFO does not participate in the Executive Incentive Program for

his short-term incentive.

Long-term incentive The CFO will receive a LTI incentive with performance hurdles each year. The value of LTI to

be granted will be equivalent to at least US\$350,000.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 53

Short-term incentive

**Components** Details

Superannuation The CFO is entitled to superannuation contributions equal to 9% of his base salary. The

contribution to the CFO s superannuation fund will be the maximum contribution currently

allowed by law, with the balance paid to the CFO in cash.

Resignation or Termination of role The Company or CFO may cease the CFO s employment with the Company by providing three

months notice in writing.

Redundancy or diminution of role If the position of CFO is determined to be redundant or subject to a material diminution in status,

duties or responsibility, the Company or the CFO may terminate the CFO s employment. The Company will pay the CFO a severance payment equal to the greater of 12 months pay or the

remaining proportion of the term of the contract.

International Assignment Additional benefits due to international assignment: housing allowance, goods and services

allowance, moving and storage. The Company covers the extra personal tax burden imposed by residency in The United States and, prior to that, The Netherlands (tax equalisation) and the cost

of filing income tax returns.

The CFO also receives the Other benefits described in the summary of employment agreements for the senior executives (described below).

## **6.3** Other senior executives employment contracts

Details of employment contracts for senior executives are as follows:

ComponentsDetailsLength of contractIndefinite.

Base salary Base salary is subject to Remuneration Committee approval and reviewed annually in May.

An annual STI target is set at a percentage of the senior executive s salary. The STI target is

between 45% and 65% and reviewed annually.

Long-term incentive Senior executives will receive a LTI incentive with performance hurdles each year. The value of

LTI to be granted will be approved by the Remuneration Committee.

Defined Contribution Plan US senior executives may participate in the US 401(k) defined contribution plan up to the annual

IRS limit. The Company will match the senior executive s contributions into the plan up to the

annual IRS limit.

Resignation The senior executive may cease employment with the Company by providing 30 days written

notice.

Termination by James Hardie The Company may terminate the senior executive s employment for cause or not for cause. Other

than the post-termination consulting arrangement discussed below for a termination without cause or a resignation for good reason, no other termination payments are payable, except as

required under the terms of the applicable STI or LTI plans.

Post-termination Consulting Depending on the senior executive s individual contract, and the reasons for termination, the

Company may request the senior executive, and the senior executive will agree, to consult to the Company for two years upon termination, as long as they sign and comply with 1) a consulting agreement, which will require them to maintain non-compete and confidentiality obligations to the Company, and 2) a release of claims in a form acceptable to the Company. In exchange for the consulting agreement, the Company shall pay the senior executive s annual base salary as of

the termination date for each year of consulting.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 54

## Components

Other

#### **Details**

**Health, Welfare and Vacation Benefits:** Eligible to receive all health, welfare and vacation benefits offered to all US employees and also eligible to participate in the Company s Executive Health and Wellness program.

**Business Expenses:** Senior executives are entitled to receive reimbursement for all reasonable and necessary travel and other business expenses incurred or paid in connection with the performance of services under their employment.

**Automobile:** The Company will either lease an automobile for business and personal use by the senior executive, or, in the alternative, the executive will be entitled to an automobile allowance not to exceed US\$850 per month.

**Financial Planning:** The Company will reimburse senior executives for financial planning expenses incurred by the senior executive (including preparation of tax returns) up to a specified sum.

## 7. REMUNERATION FOR NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

Fees paid to non-executive directors are determined by the Board, with the advice of the Remuneration Committee s independent external remuneration advisers, within the maximum total amount of base and Committee fees approved by shareholders from time to time. The current maximum aggregate base and Committee fee pool of US\$2.0 million per annum was approved by shareholders in 2012. No additional Board fees are paid to executive Board directors.

#### 7.1 Remuneration Structure

Non-executive directors are paid a base fee for service on the Board. Additional fees are paid to the person occupying the positions of Chairman, Deputy Chairman and Board Committee Chairman and one of the directors who serves on a number of the Company s subsidiary boards. All directors fees are paid in cash.

During fiscal year 2013, the Remuneration Committee reviewed nonexecutive directors fees, using market data and taking into consideration the level of fees paid to chairmen and directors of companies with similar size, complexity of operations and responsibilities, and workload requirements. As a result of the review, the Remuneration Committee recommended an increase in non-executive director fees as set out below:

	FY13	FY14
Position	(US\$)	(US\$)
Chairman	\$ 343,980	\$ 376,019
Deputy Chairman	\$ 200,655	\$ 207,678
Board member	\$ 149,058	\$ 154,275
Audit Committee Chair	\$ 20,000	\$ 30,000
Remuneration Committee Chair	\$ 10,000	\$ 20,000
N&GC Committee Chair	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
Non-executive member of subsidiary boards	Euro 23,000	Euro 24,000

As the focus of the Board is on maintaining the long-term direction and well-being of James Hardie, there is no direct link between non-executive directors—remuneration and the short-term results of the Company.

# 7.2 Board Accumulation Policy

Non-executive directors are expected to accumulate a minimum of 1.5 times (and two times for the Chairman) their total base remuneration (excluding Board Committee fees) in the Company s shares

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 55

(either personally, in the name of their spouse, or through a personal superannuation or pension plan) over a reasonable time following their appointment. The Remuneration Committee monitors non-executive directors progress against this policy on a periodic basis.

# 7.3 Director Retirement Benefits

The Company does not provide any benefits for our non-executive Board directors upon termination of employment.

# 7.4 Total Remuneration for Non-Executive Directors for the Years Ended 31 March 2013 and 2012

The table below sets out the remuneration for those directors who served on the Board during the fiscal years ended 31 March 2013 and 31 March 2012:

(US dollars)	Primary Directors		Other		
Name		Fees		enefits <sup>2</sup>	Total
M Hammes					
Fiscal Year 2013	\$	343,980	\$	35,523	\$ 379,503
Fiscal Year 2012		330,750		34,457	365,207
DWC 1					
D McGauchie Fiscal Year 2013		210 (55		42.070	254 (22
Fiscal Year 2013		<b>210,655</b> 202,938		43,978	<b>254,633</b> 202,938
riscai Teai 2012		202,938		-	202,938
B Anderson					
Fiscal Year 2013		169,058		13,059	182,117
Fiscal Year 2012		163,325		-	163,325
D Dilger		150 547			150 546
Fiscal Year 2013 Fiscal Year 2012		178,546		12.064	178,546
Fiscal Year 2012		172,524		13,964	186,488
D Harrison					
Fiscal Year 2013		159,058		6,070	165,128
Fiscal Year 2012		153,325		2,238	155,563
A 71 v.1 3					
A Littley <sup>3</sup> Fiscal Year 2013		149,058		120	149,178
Fiscal Year 2012		13,387		120	13,387
riscai real 2012		13,367		-	13,367
J Osborne					
Fiscal Year 2013		149,058		-	149,058
Fiscal Year 2012		143,325		-	143,325
R van der Meer					
Fiscal Year 2013		149,058		19,127	168,185
Fiscal Year 2012		143,325		-	143,325
Total Compensation for Non-Executive					
Directors					
Fiscal Year 2013	\$	1,508,471	\$	117,877	\$ 1,626,348
Fiscal Year 2012	\$	1,322,899	\$	50,659	\$ 1,373,558

Amount includes base, Chairman, Deputy Chairman, Committee Chairman and service as a non-executive member of certain subsidiary boards.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 56

- Other Benefits includes the cost of non-executive directors fiscal compliance in Ireland and other costs connected with Board-related events. Some fiscal year 2012-related costs have been reflected in fiscal year 2013.
- Joined the Board on 27 February 2012.

# 7.5 Director Remuneration for the years ended 31 March 2013 and 2012

For Irish reporting purposes, the breakdown of director s remuneration between managerial services (which only relate to L Gries) and director services is:

	Years Ended 31 March				
(In US dollars)	2013	2012			
Managerial Services <sup>1</sup>	\$ 7,702,801	\$ 9,300,005			
Director Services <sup>2</sup>	1,775,406	1,516,883			
	\$ 9,478,207	\$ 10,816,888			
	\$ 9,478,207	\$ 10,816,888			

- Includes cash payments, non-cash benefits (examples include medical and life insurance benefits, car allowances, membership in executive wellness programs, long service leave, financial planning and tax services), 401(K) benefits, amounts expensed for outstanding equity awards and expatriate benefits for L Gries.
- Includes compensation for all non-executive directors, which includes base, Chairman, Deputy Chairman, Committee Chairman and service as a non-executive member of certain subsidiary boards fees and cost of non-employee directors fiscal compliance in Ireland, other costs connected with Board-related events and a proportion of the CEO s remuneration paid as fees for his service on the JHI plc Board in fiscal years 2013 and 2012.

## 7.6 Non-Executive Directors Interests in JHI plc

Non-executive directors relevant interests in JHI plc securities at 1 April 2012 and 31 March 2013 were:

	Number of	Number of
	Shares/CUFS at	Shares/CUFS at
	1 April 2012	31 March 2013
M Hammes <sup>1</sup>	32,847	37,847
D McGauchie <sup>2</sup>	20,372	20,372
B Anderson <sup>3</sup>	7,635	14,805
D Dilger <sup>4</sup>	25,000	25,000
D Harrison <sup>5</sup>	12,384	12,384
A Littley <sup>6</sup>	-	-
James Osborne	2,551	2,551
Rudy van der Meer	17,290	17,290

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 27,847 shares were held in the name of Mr and Mrs Hammes. 9,000 shares/CUFS held as American Depositary Receipts (ADRs).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 6,000 shares held for the McGauchie Superannuation Fund for which Mr McGauchie is a trustee and beneficiary.

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

- <sup>3</sup> 7,170 shares/CUFS held as ADRs in the name of Mr and Mrs Anderson.
- <sup>4</sup> 25,000 shares held for the David Dilger Approved Retirement Fund for which Mr Dilger is a beneficiary.
- 5 10,000 shares held as ADRs.
- <sup>6</sup> Joined the Board on 27 February 2012.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 57

Based on 441,654,684 shares of common stock outstanding at 31 May 2013 (all of which are subject to CUFS), no director beneficially owned 1% or more of the outstanding shares of the Company at 31 May 2013. None of the shares held by directors have any special voting rights. There were no changes in the number of CUFS or ADS held by directors between 31 March 2013 and 31 May 2013.

# 8. MORE DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT EQUITY GRANTS

The following additional information about the Company s equity grants includes the information required under Items 6.B and 6.E of the Form 20-F:

At 31 March 2013, we had the following equity award plans:

the Long-Term Incentive Plan 2006 ( LTIP ); and

the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan.

#### **8.1 LTIP**

The Company uses the LTIP as the plan for LTI grants to senior executives and selected members of senior management. Participants in the LTIP receive grants of RSUs and Scorecard LTI, each of which are subject to performance goals. Participants and award levels are approved by the Remuneration Committee based on local market standards, and the individual s responsibility, performance and potential to enhance shareholder value.

The LTIP was first approved at our 2006 AGM, and our shareholders have subsequently approved amendments to the LTIP in 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2012. Grants of options, RSUs and Scorecard LTI are on the same terms as those for the CEO.

## **Options**

Until fiscal year 2008, the Company issued options under the LTIP to members of the (then) Managing Board. The vesting of these options was subject to performance hurdles (all of which have now concluded) as outlined in the LTIP rules. Options which are exercisable as a result of meeting these performance hurdles expire 10 years from the date of issue unless the senior executive ceases employment with the Company. In November 2006 and August 2007, 1,132,000 and 1,016,000 options, respectively, were granted to Executives under the LTIP.

As at 31 May 2013, there were 1,677,102 of options outstanding under the LTIP, divided as follows:

		Opti	Options	
			Outstanding	
			as of	
Grant Type	Grant Date	Granted	31 May 2013	
TSR	November 2006	542,000	264,600	
ROCE	November 2006	590,000	480,000	
TSR	August 2007	503,000	419,502	
ROCE	August 2007	513,000	513,000	
	-			
Tot	al outstanding		1,677,102	

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 58

The key terms of these options were:

Performance period

Retesting

Exercise period

Performance condition

Three years (ROCE hurdle) to five years (TSR hurdle) from the grant date.

Yes, for the TSR options only, on the last Business Day of each six-month period following the

3<sup>rd</sup> Anniversary and before the 5<sup>th</sup> Anniversary.

Until ten years from the grant date. For the ROCE options:

ROCE performance against the following global peer group of building materials companies: Boral Limited, Valspar Corporation, Hanson plc, Rinker Group Limited (2006 grant only), Weyerhaeuser, Lafarge SA, CSR Limited, Cemex SA de CV, Nichiha Corp, Fletcher Building Limited, Martin Marietta Materials Inc, Saint Gobain, Eagle Materials Inc, Texas Industries, Wienerberger AG, Lousiana-Pacific Corporation, Florida Rock Industries Inc, CRH plc, USG Corporation, Vulcan Materials Co and The Siam Cement Plc.

For the TSR options:

TSR performance against a peer group of comparable companies in the S&P/ASX 100 at the time of grant excluding financial institutions, insurance companies, property trusts, oil and gas producers and mining companies, and adjusted to account for additions and deletions to S&P/ASX 100 during the relevant period.

For the ROCE options:

0% vesting if ROCE below 60th percentile of peer group.

50% vesting if ROCE at 60th percentile of peer group.

Between the 60th and 85th percentiles, vesting on a straight line basis.

100% vesting if ROCE is at 85th percentile of peer group.

For the TSR options:

0% vesting if TSR below 50th percentile of peer group.

50% vesting if TSR at 50th percentile of peer group.

Between 50th and 75th percentiles, vesting on a straight line basis.

100% vesting if TSR is at 75th percentile of peer group.

The ROCE tranche options vested 100% and the TSR tranche options vested 60% (2006 grant)

and 83.4% (2007 grant). No options have been exercised.

DCII

From fiscal year 2009, the Company commenced using RSUs granted under the LTIP. As of 31 May 2013, there were 3,424,936 RSUs outstanding under this plan, divided as follows:

Table of Contents 86

Vesting criteria

Vesting Performance

RSUs

Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

			Restricted Stock Units		
Grant Type	Grant Date	Granted	Vested as of 31 May 2013	Outstanding as of 31 May 2013	
TSR	September 2009	522,000	-	378,107	
TSR	December 2009	181,656		130,743	
TSR	September 2010	951,194	-	824,291	
Hybrid	June 2011	63,146	-	61,363	
TSR	September 2011	954,705	-	847,037	
Hybrid	June 2012	266,627	-	243,566	
TSR	September 2012	432,654	-	425,590	
ROCE	September 2012	450,336	-	442,983	
	Total outstanding			3,353,680	

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 59

Vesting criteria

The key terms of these RSUs were:

**Relative TSR RSUs** Relative TSR RSUs granted December 2009 and September 2010, 2011 and 2012.

Offered to Senior executives.

Performance period Three years to five years from the grant date.

Retesting Yes, on the last Business Day of each six month period following three years from grant date

and before five years from grant date. Until five years from the grant date.

Exercise period Until five years from the grant date.

Performance condition TSR performance against the following peer group of companies: Acuity Brands, Inc., Eagle

Materials, Inc, Headwaters, Inc, Lennox International, Inc, Louisiana-Pacific Corp., Martin Marietta Materials, Inc, Masco Corporation, MDU Resources Group, Inc, Mueller Water Products, Inc, NCI Building Systems, Inc, Owens Corning, Quanex Building Products Corp., Sherwin Williams, Simpson Manufacturing Co., Texas Industries, Inc, Trex, USG, Valmont Industries, Valspar Corporation, Vulcan Materials and Watsco, Inc. For 2010 onwards, the following companies were added to the peer group: American Woodmark Corp, Apogee Enterprises, Inc, Amstrong World Enterprises, Inc, Fortune Brands, Inc, Interface, Inc, Mohawk Industries, Inc and PGT Inc. For 2012 onwards, the following companies were removed from the

peer group: PGT Inc., MDU Resources Group and Interface, Inc.

For 2009 to 2011:

0% vesting if TSR below 50th percentile of peer group.

33% vesting if TSR at 50th percentile of peer group.

Between 50th and 75th percentile, vesting is on a straight line basis.

100% vesting if TSR is at 75th percentile of peer group.

For 2012:

0% vesting if TSR below 40th percentile of peer group.

Between 40th and 80th percentile, vesting is on a straight line basis.

100% vesting if TSR is at 80th percentile of peer group.

RSU exercise price Not applicable.

Expiration date RSUs convert to shares on vesting on a one-for-one basis.

Vesting Performance The 2009 Relative TSR RSUs vested 100%. The 2010 grants have not exceeded any

performance hurdles to date.

**Hybrid RSUs** 

Hybrid RSUs granted June 2010, 2011 and 2012. The number of Hybrid

(Previously referred to as Executive

**Incentive RSUs**)

RSUs issued is based on the Company s performance in first year.

Offered to

Senior executives.

Option Exercise Price Nil.

Vesting schedule A proportion will vest on the 2<sup>nd</sup> anniversary of the grant depending on the Board s exercise of

negative discretion to allow each between 0 and 100 of the RSUs to vest.

Expiration date RSUs convert to shares on vesting on a one-for-one basis.

Vesting Performance

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

Generally, Hybrid RSUs have vested 100%, except in circumstances where individuals have separated from the Company.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 60

**ROCE RSUs** ROCE RSUs granted September 2012.

< 18.5%

Offered to Senior executives.
Option Exercise Price Nil.

Vesting schedule ROCE westing

0%

<sup>3</sup> 18.5%, but < 19.5% 25%

<sup>3</sup> 19.5%, but < 20.5% 50%

<sup>3</sup> 20.5%, but < 21.5% 75%

<sup>3</sup> 21.5% 100%

A proportion of the resulting number of RSUs (based on the above vesting scale) will actually vest based on the Remuneration Committee s exercise of negative discretion. Three years.

Expiration date Scorecard LTI

From fiscal year 2010, the Company commenced using Scorecard LTI units granted under the LTIP. The terms of Scorecard LTI units are described earlier in this Remuneration Report, but vesting is subject to the Remuneration Committee s exercise of negative discretion. The cash payment paid to award recipients is based on JHI plc s share price on the vesting date (which was amended from fiscal year 2013 to be based on a 20 working day closing average price). As of 31 May 2013, there were 1,796,567 Scorecard LTI units outstanding under the LTIP, divided as follows:

			Scorecard LTI		
			Vested	Outstanding	
Grant Type	Grant Date	Granted	as of 31 May 2013	as of 31 May 2013	
Scorecard	June 2009	1,083,021	501,556	-	
TSR	September 2009	6,373	-	6,373	
Scorecard	June 2010	821,459	-	635,223	
Scorecard	June 2011	716,536	-	656,616	
Scorecard	September 2012	506,627	-	498,355	

Total outstanding 1,796,567

On 21 June 2012, 501,556 of the 1,083,021 Scorecard LTI units that were previously granted on 21 June 2009 as part of the fiscal year 2010 long-term incentive award became fully vested and the balance lapsed as a result of the Board s exercise of negative discretion. The cash amount paid to award recipients was based on JHI plc s common stock on the vesting date.

Under the terms of the LTIP, 506,627 and 716,536 Scorecard LTI units were granted during the years ended 31 March 2013 and 2012, respectively that provide recipients a cash incentive based on JHI plc s common stock price on the vesting date and each executives scorecard rating. The vesting of awards is measured on individual performance conditions based on certain performance measures. Compensation expense recognised for awards are based on the fair market value of JHI plc s common stock on the date of grant and recorded as a liability. The liability is adjusted for subsequent changes in JHI plc s common stock price at each balance sheet date.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

The key terms of the Scorecard LTI were:

Scorecard LTI (Cash) Cash-settled Awards granted June 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012.

Offered to Senior executives. Exercise Price Not applicable.

Performance period Three years from the grant date.

Payment schedule A cash payment based on the Company's share price at the end of the performance period

multiplied by the number of shares that could have been acquired at the start of the performance

period and the senior executive s Scorecard rating.

A proportion of the payment will be payable on the 3<sup>rd</sup> anniversary of the grant depending on

each senior executive s Scorecard rating between 0 and 100.

Expiration date Three years from the grant date.

Vesting Performance Individual results based on each senior executive s Scorecard rating. Actual results for each

executive are set out earlier in this Remuneration Report.

Other terms

The LTIP provides for plan participants early exercise of certain benefits or early payout under the plan in the event of a change in control, takeover by certain organisations or liquidation. For options, a change in control is deemed to have occurred if pursuant to a takeover bid or otherwise, any person together with their associates acquire shares, which when aggregated with shares already acquired by such person and their associates, comprise more than 30% of our issued shares. For restricted stock units, a change of control is deemed to occur if (1) a takeover bid is made to acquire all of the shares of the Company and it is recommended by the Board or becomes unconditional, (2) a transaction is announced which would result in one person owning all the issued shares in the Company, (3) a person owns or controls sufficient shares to enable them to influence the composition of the Board, or (4) a similar transaction occurs which the Board determines to be a control event. On a change of control, the Board can determine that all or some restricted stock units have vested on any conditions it determines. Any remaining restricted stock units lapse.

# 8.2 2001 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2001 Equity Incentive Plan is intended to promote the Company s long-term financial interests by encouraging management below senior executive level to acquire an ownership position in the Company and align their interests with our shareholders. Selected employees under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan are eligible to receive awards in the form of RSUs, nonqualified stock options, performance awards, restricted stock grants, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, phantom stock or other stock-based benefits. Award levels are determined based on the Remuneration Committee s review of local market standards and the individual s responsibility, performance and potential to enhance shareholder value.

The 2001 Equity Incentive Plan was first approved by our shareholders and Board in 2001 and reapproved until September 2021 at the 2011 Annual General Meeting. An aggregate of 45,077,100 shares of common stock were made available for issuance under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, subject to adjustment in the event of a number of prescribed events set out on the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan. All of the options and RSUs granted under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan to date vest at the rate of 25% on the 1st anniversary of the grant, 25% on the 2nd anniversary date and 50% on the 3rd anniversary date.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

## **Options**

Until fiscal year 2008, the Company issued the following number of options to purchase shares of our common stock issued under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan:

	Op	ons Outstanding as	
Grant Date	Granted	of 31 May 2013	
December 2002	4,037,000	<u>-</u>	
December 2003	6,179,583	298,000	
December 2004	5,391,100	285,750	
February 2005	273,000	93,000	
December 2005	5,224,100	1,382,000	
March 2006	40,200	15,000	
November 2006	3,499,490	768,730	
March 2007	330,900	2,100	
December 2007	5,031,310	624,254	
		2.462.024	

Total outstanding 3,468,834

#### RSUs

Since fiscal year 2009, the Company has issued restricted stock units, which are unfunded and unsecured contractual entitlements for shares to be issued in the future and may be subject to time vesting or performance hurdles prior to vesting. On vesting, restricted stock units convert into shares. We granted 265,988, 285,358 and 348,426 restricted stock units under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan in the years ended 31 March 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of 31 May 2013, there were 579,432 restricted stock units outstanding under this plan, divided as follows:

		Restricted Stock Units		
		Vested as of	Outstanding as	
Grant Date	Granted	31 May 2013	of 31 May 2013	
December 2009	278,569	221,824	-	
December 2010	348,426	162,113	139,299	
December 2011	281,556	71,042	188,010	
March 2012	3,802	951	2,851	
December 2012	265,988	-	249,272	

Total outstanding 579,432

#### Other terms

The 2001 Equity Incentive Plan is administered by our Remuneration Committee, and the Remuneration Committee or its delegate is authorised to determine (i) who may participate in the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, (ii) the number and types of awards made to each participant, and (iii) the terms, conditions and limitations applicable to each award. The Remuneration Committee has the exclusive power to interpret and adopt rules and regulations to administer the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, including a limited power to amend, modify or terminate the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan to meet any changes in legal requirements or for any other purpose permitted by law.

The purchase or exercise price of any award granted under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan may be paid in cash or other consideration at the discretion of our Remuneration Committee, including cashless exercises.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

The exercise price for all options is the market value of the shares on the date of grant. The Company may not reduce the exercise price of such an option or exchange such an option or stock appreciation right for cash, or other awards or a new option at a reduced exercise price without shareholder approval or as permitted under specific restructuring events.

No unexercised options or unvested RSUs issued under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan are entitled to dividends or dividend equivalent rights.

Although the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan permits the Remuneration Committee to grant stock options, performance awards, restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights or other stock based benefits, no such awards have been made, and the Remuneration Committee currently has no intention to issue such awards in the future.

The 2001 Equity Incentive Plan provides for the automatic acceleration of certain benefits and the termination of the plan under certain circumstances in the event of a change in control. A change in control will be deemed to have occurred if either (1) any person or group acquires beneficial ownership equivalent to 30% of our voting securities, (2) individuals who are currently members of our Board cease to constitute at least a majority of the members of our Board, or (3) there occurs the consummation of certain mergers (other than a merger that results in existing voting securities continuing to represent more than 5% of the voting power of the merged entity or a recapitalisation or reincorporation that does not result in a material change in the beneficial ownership of the voting securities of the Company), the sale of substantially all of our assets or our complete liquidation or dissolution.

# 9. COMMITTEE ADVISOR INDEPDENDENCE

The Remuneration Committee reviews the appointment of its advisors each year. Both Aon Hewitt (in the US) and Guerdon Associates (in Australia) provided the Remuneration Committee with written certification during fiscal year 2013 to support their re-appointment. In those certifications, the advisors:

confirmed that their pay recommendations were made without undue influence from any member of the Company s management; and

provided detailed responses to the six independence factors a Remuneration Committee should consider under relevant NYSE rules, and confirmed their independence based on these factors.

The Remuneration Committee reviewed these certifications before re-appointing each advisor for fiscal year 2014.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REPORT

These Corporate Governance Principles describe the corporate governance arrangements that have been followed by James Hardie from the commencement of the fiscal year 2013 and contain an overview of our corporate governance framework. These Corporate Governance Principles were approved by the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board in May 2013.

These Corporate Governance Principles, as well as our Articles of Association, Board and Board Committee charters and key Company policies, as updated from time to time, are available from the Investor Relations area of our website (www.jameshardie.com) or by requesting a printed copy from the company secretary at the Company s head office at 2nd Floor, Europa House, Harcourt Centre, Harcourt Street, Dublin 2, Ireland.

## Corporate Governance at James Hardie

#### Overview

James Hardie operates under the regulatory requirements of numerous jurisdictions and organisations, including the ASX, ASIC, the NYSE, the SEC, the Irish Takeover Panel and various other rulemaking bodies.

James Hardie s corporate governance framework is reviewed regularly and updated as appropriate to reflect what we believe is in our and our stakeholders interests, changes in law and current best practices.

Our corporate governance framework incorporates processes and policies designed to provide the Board with appropriate assurance about the operations and governance of the Company and thereby protect shareholder value. Further details of these processes and policies are set out in this Corporate Governance Report.

#### **Board Structure**

The responsibilities of our Board and Board Committees are formalised in our Articles of Association and our Board Committee charters, respectively. The Board has also reserved certain matters to itself.

# **Board of Directors**

The Board comprises eight non-executive directors and the CEO. The Board must have no less than three and not more than twelve directors, with the precise number to be determined by the Board.

Board directors may be elected by our shareholders at general meetings or by the Board if there is a vacancy. The Board and our shareholders have the right to nominate candidates for the Board. Board directors may be dismissed by our shareholders at a general meeting.

Irish law provides that the Board is responsible for the management and operation of James Hardie. The Board can, and has, delegated authority to the CEO to manage the corporation within specified authority levels. The Board has also reserved certain matters to itself, including:

appointing, removing and assessing the performance and remuneration of the CEO and CFO;

succession planning for the Board and senior management and defining the Company s management structure and responsibilities;

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 65

approving the overall strategy for the Company, including the business plan and annual operating and capital expenditure budgets;

convening and monitoring the operation of shareholder meetings and approving matters to be submitted to shareholders for their consideration:

approving annual and periodic reports, results announcements and related media releases, and notices of shareholder meetings;

approving the dividend policy and interim dividends and making recommendations to shareholders regarding the annual dividend;

reviewing the authority levels of the CEO and management;

approving the remuneration framework for the Company;

overseeing corporate governance matters for the Company;

approving corporate-level Company policies;

considering management s recommendations on various matters which are above the authority levels delegated to the CEO or management; and

any other matter which the Board considers ought to be approved by the Board.

The full list of those matters reserved to the Board are formalised in our Board reserved powers charter, which is available on our website (www.jameshardie.com, then select Investor Relations, then Library, then Board Reserved Powers). The Board Reserved Powers charter was reviewed by the Board during the year.

In discharging its duties, the Board aims to take into account the interests of James Hardie, its enterprise (including the interests of its employees), shareholders, other stakeholders and other parties involved in or with James Hardie.

## Operation of the Board

## **Board Meetings**

The Board meets at least five times a year or whenever the Chairman or three or more members have requested a meeting.

Meetings are generally held at the Company s offices in Ireland. At each physical meeting, the Board meets in executive session without management present for at least part of the meeting. The Board may also delegate some of its powers to a sub-committee of the Board or pass resolutions by written consent.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

The number of Board and Board Committee meetings held, and each director s attendance during fiscal year 2013, is set out below:

## **NOMINATING &**

NAME	BO	BOARD		AUDIT		REMUNERATION		GOVERNANCE	
	H	A	Н	A	H	A	H	A	
M Hammes	5	5	7	7	5	5	4	4	
B Anderson	5	5	7	7	5	5	-	-	
D Dilger	5	5	7	7	5	5	-	-	
D Harrison	5	5	7	7	5	5	-	-	
A Littley	5	5	7	7	-	-	-	-	
D McGauchie	5	5	-	-	5	5	4	4	
J Osborne	5	5	-	-	-	-	4	4	
R van der Meer	5	5	-	-	-	-	4	4	
L Gries	5	5	-	-	-	-	_	-	

- H = Number of meetings held during the time the Director held office or was a member of the Committee during the fiscal year.
- A = Number of meetings attended during the time the Director held office or was a member of the Committee during the fiscal year. Non-Committee members also attend Committee meetings from time to time; these attendances are not shown.

## **Director Qualifications**

Directors have skills, qualifications, experience and expertise which assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities and assist the Company in creating shareholder value. The skills, qualifications, experience and relevant expertise of each director, and his or her term of appointment, are summarised in the Board of Directors biography Section and also appear in the Investor Relations area of our website (www.jameshardie.com).

Directors must be able to devote a sufficient amount of time to prepare for, and effectively participate in, Board and Board Committee meetings. The Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the other commitments of Board directors each year.

## Succession Planning

The Board, together with the Nominating and Governance Committee, has developed, and periodically reviews with the CEO, management succession plans, policies and procedures for our CEO and other senior executives.

## Retirement and Tenure Policy

The Company does not have a retirement and tenure policy. The length of tenure of individual Board directors is considered as part of the Board s decision-making process when considering whether a director should be recommended by the Board for re-election.

# **Board Evaluation**

The Nominating and Governance Committee supervises the director evaluation process and makes recommendations to the Board. During fiscal year 2013, a purpose-designed survey was used by directors to self-assess the operation of the Board and each Board Committee, and the results were reviewed and discussed by the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 67

The Chairman and Deputy Chairman discussed with each Board director, and the Deputy Chairman discussed with the Chairman, his performance and contribution to the effectiveness of the Board. The Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board annually discuss the performance of the CEO and the CEO s direct reports, and the Chairman provides feedback to the CEO. The CEO uses the feedback as part of an annual review of his direct reports.

#### Director Re-election

The Board s overriding desire is to maximise its effectiveness by appointing the best candidates for vacancies and closely reviewing the performance of directors subject to re-election.

No director (other than the CEO) shall hold office for a continuous period of more than three years, or past the end of the third AGM following his or her appointment, whichever is longer, without submitting him or herself for re-election. A person appointed to the Board must submit him or herself for re-election at the next AGM.

Directors are not automatically nominated for re-election at the end of their term. Nomination for re-election is based on their individual performance and the Company s needs. The Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board discuss the performance of each director due to stand for re-election at the next AGM before deciding whether to recommend their re-election.

As part of this process, the Board and Nominating and Governance Committee considered the desired profile of the Board, including the right number, mix of skills, qualifications, experience, expertise, diversity and geographic location of its directors, to maximise the effectiveness of the Board.

## Independence

The Company requires the majority of directors on the Board and Board Committees, as well as the Chairman of the Board and Board Committees, to be independent, unless a greater number is required to be independent under the rules and regulations of the ASX, the NYSE or any other applicable regulatory body.

Each year the Board, with the assistance of the Nominating and Governance Committee, assesses each Board director and his or her responses to a lengthy questionnaire on matters relevant to his or her independence according to the rules and regulations of Irish law, the NYSE and SEC as well as the Corporate Governance Council Principles and Recommendations published by the ASX Corporate Governance Council (the Principles and Recommendations). Following this assessment, the Board has determined that each Board director is independent.

All directors are expected to bring their independent views and judgment to the Board and Board Committees and must declare any potential or actual conflicts of interest. The Board has not set materiality thresholds for assessing independence and considers all relationships on a case-by-case basis, considering the materiality of each potential or actual conflict of interest and the rules and regulations of the applicable exchange or regulatory body.

## **Related Party Transactions**

The Board considered the following specific matter prior to determining that each director was independent:

Brian Anderson is a director of Pulte Homes, a home builder in the United States. Pulte Homes does not buy any James Hardie products directly from the Company, although it does buy

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

James Hardie products through some of the Company s customers. Pulte Homes receives a rebate from the Company or the Company s suppliers in respect of some of its purchases in accordance with a rebate program applicable to similar home builders.

Any transactions mentioned above are conducted on an arms-length basis and in accordance with normal terms and conditions and are not material to any of the companies listed above or to James Hardie. The rebate program existed and was disclosed to the Board before Mr Anderson became a director. It is not considered that Mr Anderson had any influence over these transactions.

Further, David Dilger is a director of a number of James Hardie s subsidiaries and receives directors fees for such service approved by the Board of James Hardie Industries plc. As such, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 10A-3(b), Mr Dilger s service qualifies as an exemption from the listing standards for Audit Committees and is not subject to the disclosure requirements of Exchange Act Rule 10A-3(d).

#### Induction

The Company has an induction program for new directors. The program includes an overview of the Company s governance arrangements and directors duties in Ireland, the United States and Australia, plant and market tours to understand the Company s strategic plans and impart relevant industry knowledge, briefings on the Company s risk management and control framework, financial results and key risks and issues, and meeting other Board directors, the CEO and members of management. New directors are also provided with comprehensive orientation materials including relevant corporate documents and policies.

# **Board Continuing Development**

The Company operates within a challenging industry and geographical spread and a complex regulatory framework. The Company regularly schedules time at physical Board meetings to develop the Board s understanding of the Company s operations and regulatory environment, including updates on topical developments from management and external experts. An annual plant and market tour forms an important part of the Board s continuing development.

#### Letter of Appointment

Each incoming Board director receives a letter of appointment setting out the key terms and conditions of his or her appointment and the Company s expectations of them in that role. We do not provide any benefits for our non-executive Board directors upon termination of appointment.

# Chairman

The Board appoints one of its members as the Chairman. The Chairman must be an independent, non-executive director. The Chairman appoints the Deputy Chairman. The Chairman co-ordinates the Board s duties and responsibilities and acts as the main contact with the CEO.

The Chairman:

provides leadership to the Board;

chairs Board and shareholder meetings;

facilitates Board discussion;

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

monitors, evaluates and assesses the performance of the Company s Board and Board Committees; and

is a member of and attends meetings of all Board Committees.

The Chairman may not be the Chairman of the Audit Committee. The current Chairman is Mr Hammes and the current Deputy Chairman is Mr McGauchie.

#### Remuneration

A detailed description of the Company s remuneration policies for directors and executives, and the link to performance, is set out in the Remuneration Report above.

## Indemnification

The Company s Articles of Association provide for indemnification of any person who is (or keep indemnified any person who was) a Board director, the company secretary, or an employee or any other person deemed by the Board to be an agent of the Company, who suffers any loss as a result of any action in discharge of their duties, provided they acted in good faith in carrying out their duties. This indemnification will generally not be available if the person seeking indemnification acted with gross negligence or willful misconduct in performing their duties.

The Company and some of its subsidiaries have provided Deeds of Access, Insurance and Indemnity to Board directors and senior executives who are officers or directors of the Company or its subsidiaries.

#### **Evaluation of Management**

At least once a year, the CEO, the Remuneration Committee and the Board review the performance of each member of the GMT against performance measures approved by the Remuneration Committee and the Board. The CEO uses this feedback to assist in the annual review of members of the GMT. This process was followed during the fiscal year.

## Information for the Board

Board directors receive timely and necessary information to allow them to fulfill their duties, including access to senior executives if required. The Nominating and Governance Committee periodically reviews the format, timeliness and content of information provided to the Board.

In discharging their duties, Board directors are provided with direct access to senior executives and outside advisors and auditors. The Board, Board Committees and individual directors may all seek independent professional advice at the Company s expense for the proper performance of their duties.

The Board has regular discussions with the CEO regarding the Company s strategy and performance, including two sessions each year where Board members formally review the Company s strategy and progress. The Board and each Board Committee have also scheduled an annual calendar of topics to be covered to assist them to properly discharge all of their responsibilities.

Board directors receive access to all Board Committee papers and may attend any Board Committee meeting, whether or not they are members of the Board Committee. Board directors also receive the minutes which record each Board Committee s deliberations and findings, as well as oral reports from each Board Committee Chairman.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

## Delegation to the CEO

The Board has delegated to the CEO the power to manage the business of the Company to achieve the mission statement and corporate goals approved by the Board from time to time. This delegation is subject to a specified monetary cap for a range of matters, above which Board approval is required.

#### **Board Committees**

The committees of the Board comprise the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Remuneration Committee. The Board Committee charters are available from the Investor Relations area of our website (www.jameshardie.com, then select Investor Relations, then Corporate Governance, then Board Committees). The Board may also delegate some of its powers or specific decisions to ad hoc committees from time to time.

Each Board Committee meets at least quarterly and has scheduled an annual calendar of meeting and discussion topics to assist it to properly discharge all of its responsibilities.

#### Audit Committee

The Audit Committee oversees the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company s accounting and financial policies and controls. The key aspects of the terms of reference followed by our Audit Committee are set out in this Corporate Governance Report. The Audit Committee meets at least quarterly in a separate executive session with the external auditor and internal auditor, respectively.

Currently, the members of the Audit Committee are Mr Anderson (Chairman), Mr Dilger, Mr Hammes, Mr Harrison and Ms Littley.

All members of the Audit Committee must be financially literate and must have sufficient business, industry and financial expertise to act effectively as members of the Audit Committee. In addition, in accordance with the SEC rules, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board have determined that Mr Anderson, Mr Harrison and Mr Dilger are also audit committee financial experts and that all three individuals are independent.

The Audit Committee provides advice and assistance to the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities and, amongst other matters:

overseeing the Company s financial reporting process and reports on the results of its activities to the Board;

reviewing with management and the external auditor the Company s annual and quarterly financial statements and reports to shareholders;

discussing earnings releases as well as information and earnings guidance provided to analysts;

reviewing and assessing the Company s risk management policies and procedures;

having general oversight of the appointment and provision of all external audit services to the Company, the remuneration paid to the external auditor, and the performance of the Company s internal audit function;

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company s internal compliance and control procedures;

reviewing the Company s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; and

establishing procedures for complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters, including any complaints from whistleblowers.

## Conflicts of interest

The Audit Committee oversees the Company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics policy and other business-related conflict of interest issues as they arise.

## Reporting

The Audit Committee will inform the Board of any general issues that arise with respect to the quality or integrity of the Company s financial statements, the Company s compliance with legal or regulatory requirements, the Company s risk management systems, the performance and independence of the external auditor, or the performance of the internal audit function.

#### Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for:

identifying and recommending to the Board individuals qualified to become Board directors;

overseeing the evaluation of the Board and senior management;

assessing the independence of each Board director;

reviewing the conduct of the AGM; and

performing a leadership role in shaping the Company s corporate governance policies.

The current members of the Nominating and Governance Committee are Mr McGauchie (Chairman), Mr Hammes, Mr Osborne and Mr van der Meer.

## Remuneration Committee

The Remuneration Committee oversees the Company s overall remuneration structure, policies and programs, assesses whether the Company s remuneration structure establishes appropriate incentives for management and employees, and approves any significant changes in the Company s remuneration structure, policies and programs. It also:

administers and makes recommendations on the Company s incentive compensation and equity-based remuneration plans;

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

reviews the remuneration of Board directors;

reviews the remuneration framework for the Company; and

makes recommendations to the Board on the Company s recruitment, retention and termination policies and procedures for senior management.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 72

Members of the Remuneration Committee must qualify as non-employee directors for purposes of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), and outside directors for purposes of Section 162(m) of the US Internal Revenue Code.

Further details on the role of the Remuneration Committee are disclosed in the Remuneration Report above.

The current members of the Remuneration Committee are Mr Harrison (Chairman), Mr Anderson, Mr Dilger, Mr Hammes and Mr McGauchie.

#### **Policies and Processes**

As noted at the start of this Corporate Governance Report, we have a number of policies that address key aspects of our corporate governance. These include:

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics:

Complaints/Ethics Hotline;

Continuous Disclosure and Market Communication; and

Insider Trading.

Copies of all these policies are available in the Investor Relations area of our website (www.jameshardie.com).

## Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We seek to maintain high standards of integrity and we are committed to ensuring that James Hardie conducts its business in accordance with high standards of ethical behaviour. We require our employees to comply with the spirit and the letter of all laws and other statutory requirements governing the conduct of James Hardie s activities in each country in which we operate. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applies to all of our employees and directors. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics covers many aspects of Company policy that govern compliance with legal and other responsibilities to stakeholders. All directors and Company employees worldwide are reminded annually of the existence of the Code and asked to confirm that they have read it. The Audit Committee reviewed the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics policy during the fiscal year 2013.

We have not granted any waivers from the provisions of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics during fiscal year 2013.

## Complaints/Ethics Hotline

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics policy provides employees with advice about who they should contact if they have information or questions regarding violations of the policy. James Hardie has a telephone Ethics Hotline operated by an independent external provider which allows employees to report anonymously any concerns. All Company employees worldwide are reminded annually of the existence of the Ethics Hotline.

All complaints, whether to the Ethics Hotline or otherwise, are initially reported directly to the General Counsel and Director of Internal Audit (except in cases where the complaint refers to one of them). The most serious complaints are referred immediately to the Chairmen of the Audit Committee and Board. Less serious complaints are reported to the Audit Committee on a quarterly basis.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

Interested parties who have a concern about James Hardie s conduct, including accounting, internal accounting controls or audit matters, may communicate directly with the Company s Chairman (or Presiding Director for NYSE purposes), Deputy Chairman, Board directors as a group, the Chairman of the Audit Committee or Audit Committee members. These communications may be confidential or anonymous, and may be submitted in writing to the company secretary at the Company s head office at 2nd Floor, Europa House, Harcourt Centre, Harcourt Street, Dublin 2, Ireland or submitted by phone at Telephone +353 (0)1 411 6924. All concerns will be forwarded to the appropriate Board directors for their review and will be simultaneously reviewed and addressed by our General Counsel in the same way that other concerns are addressed. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics policy, which is described above, prohibits any employee from retaliating or taking any adverse action against anyone for raising or helping to resolve a concern about integrity.

## Continuous Disclosure and Market Communication

We strive to comply with all relevant disclosure laws and listing rules in Australia (ASX and ASIC) and the United States (SEC and NYSE).

Our Continuous Disclosure and Market Communication Policy aims to ensure timely communications so that investors can readily:

understand James Hardie s strategy and assess the quality of its management;

examine James Hardie s financial position and the strength of its growth prospects; and

receive any news or information that might reasonably be expected to materially affect the price or market for James Hardie securities.

The CEO is responsible for ensuring the Company complies with our continuous disclosure obligations. A Disclosure Committee comprised of the CEO, CFO, General Counsel and the Vice President Investor and Media Relations is responsible for all decisions regarding our market disclosure obligations outside of the Company s normal financial reporting calendar. For our quarterly and annual results releases, the CEO and CFO are supported by the Financial Statements Disclosure Committee, which provides assurance regarding our compliance with reporting processes and controls. The CEO, CFO and General Counsel discuss with the Audit Committee any issues arising out of meetings of the Financial Statements Disclosure Committee that affect the quarterly and annual results releases before they are approved by the Board. The Audit Committee reviewed the Company s disclosure practices under the Continuous Disclosure and Market Communication policy during fiscal year 2013. The Nominating and Governance Committee reviewed the Company s Continuous Disclosure and Market Communication policy in May 2013.

#### **Insider Trading**

All Company employees and directors are subject to our Insider Trading Policy. Company employees and directors may only buy or sell the Company s securities within four weeks beginning two days after the announcement of quarterly or full year results, or another period designated by the Board for this purpose, provided they are not in possession of material non-public price sensitive information. There are additional restrictions on trading for designated senior employees and directors, including a requirement that they receive prior clearance from the Company s compliance officer before dealing with their shares and general prohibitions on hedging any shares or options or selling any shares for short-swing profit. There is a general prohibition on hedging unvested shares, options or RSUs.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

The Board recognises that it is the individual responsibility of each James Hardie director and employee to ensure he or she complies with the spirit and the letter of insider trading laws and that notification to the compliance officer in no way implies approval of any transaction.

## Risk Management

## Overall Responsibility

The Audit Committee and the Board reviewed our risk management processes during the fiscal year.

The Audit Committee has oversight of the Company s risk management policies, procedures and controls. The Audit Committee reviews, monitors and discusses these matters with the CEO, CFO, General Counsel and Director of Internal Audit. The Audit Committee, CEO, CFO and General Counsel report periodically to the Board on the Company s risk management policies, processes and controls.

The Audit Committee is supported in its oversight role by the policies put in place by management to oversee and manage material business risks, as well as the roles played by the Corporate, US and Asia Pacific Risk Management Committees, as described below, and internal and external audit functions. The internal and external audit functions are separate from and independent of each other and each has a direct reporting line to the Audit Committee.

At a management level, the GMT (comprised of the CEO, CFO, General Counsel, Executive General Manager-International and the Vice President of Investor and Media Relations in fiscal year 2013) is the primary management forum for risk assessment and management within the Company.

## **Objective**

The Company considers that a sound framework of risk management policies, procedures and controls produces a system of risk oversight, risk management and internal control that is fundamental to good corporate governance and creation of shareholder value. The objective of the Company s risk management policies, procedures and controls is to ensure that:

our risk management systems are effective;

our principal strategic, operational and financial risks are identified;

effective systems are in place to monitor and manage risks; and

reporting systems, internal controls and arrangements for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations are adequate. Risk management does not involve avoiding all risks. The Company s risk management policies seek to strike a balance between ensuring that the Company continues to generate financial returns while simultaneously managing risks appropriately by setting appropriate strategies and objectives.

## Policies for Management of Material Business Risks

Management has put in place a number of key policies, processes and independent controls to provide assurance as to the integrity of our systems of internal control and risk management. In addition to the measures described elsewhere in this Corporate Governance Report, the more significant policies,

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

processes or controls adopted by the Company for oversight and management of material business risks are:

quarterly meetings of the corporate, US and Asia Pacific Risk Management Committees to assess the key strategic, operations, reporting and compliance risks facing the Company, the level of risk and the processes implemented to manage each of these key risks over the upcoming twelve months;

quarterly reporting to the GMT, Audit Committee, and annual reporting to the Board, of the Risk Management Committees assessment regarding the key strategic, operations, reporting and compliance risks facing the Company;

a program for the Audit Committee to review in detail each year all items identified by the Risk Management Committees as high level risks;

meetings of the Financial Statements Disclosure Committee to review all quarterly and annual financial statements and results;

a planning process involving the preparation of three-year strategic plans and a rolling twelve month forecast;

annual budgeting and monthly reporting to monitor performance;

an internal audit department with a reporting line direct to the Chairman of the Audit Committee;

regular monitoring of the Company s liquidity and status of finance facilities;

maintaining an appropriate insurance program;

maintaining policies and procedures in relation to treasury operations, including the use of financial derivatives;

issuing and revising standards and procedures in relation to environmental and health and safety matters;

a commitment to talent development, to ensure that the Company is developing sufficient employees to execute its business goals;

implementing and maintaining training programs in relation to legal issues such as trade practices/antitrust, trade secrecy, foreign corrupt practices and anti-bribery, employment law matters and intellectual property protection;

issuing procedures requiring significant capital and recurring expenditure to be approved at the appropriate levels; and

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

documenting detailed accounting policies, procedures and guidance for the group in a single group finance manual. A summary of policies, processes and controls that address key aspects of our corporate governance is available in the Investor Relations area of our website (www.jameshardie.com).

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 76

During the fiscal year, the Audit Committee and, through it, the Board received a number of reports on the operation and effectiveness of the policies, processes and controls described in this section. This included a review of the Company s current disclosure controls and processes, how they compare with best practices and the steps proposed by management to continue cultivating the Company s risk management culture.

## Risk Management Committee

The Risk Management Committee is divided into three separate committees, one for Corporate, one for the US business and one for the non-US business. This structure allows each committee to focus on individual risks in greater detail. Each Committee comprises a cross-functional group of employees and reviews and monitors the risks facing the Company in their area of responsibility. The Risk Committees are coordinated by the Director of Internal Audit and report on a quarterly basis to the GMT. The Risk Committees also provide quarterly reports to the Audit Committee on the procedures in place for identifying, monitoring, managing and reporting on the principal strategic, operational, financial and legal risks facing the Company.

#### Internal Audit

The Director of Internal Audit heads the internal audit department. The Internal Audit charter sets out the independence of the internal audit department, its scope of work, responsibilities and audit plan. The internal audit department s work-plan is approved annually by the Audit Committee. The Director of Internal Audit reports to the Chairman of the Audit Committee and meets quarterly with the Audit Committee in executive sessions.

#### External Audit

The external auditor reviews each quarterly and half-year consolidated financial statements and audits the full year consolidated financial statements. The external auditor attends each meeting of the Audit Committee, including an executive session where members of the Audit Committee are present. The Audit Committee has approved policies to ensure that all non-audit services performed by the external auditor, including the amount of fees payable for those services, receive prior approval. The Audit Committee also reviews the remuneration paid to the external auditor and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the maximum compensation to be paid to the external auditor.

The Audit Committee reviews and approves management representations made to the external auditor as part of the audit of the full year results.

#### Financial Statements Disclosure Committee

The Financial Statements Disclosure Committee is a management committee comprising senior finance, accounting, compliance, legal, tax, treasury and investor relations executives in the Company, which meets with the CEO, CFO and General Counsel prior to the Board s consideration of any quarterly or annual results. The Financial Statements Disclosure Committee is a forum for the CEO, CFO and General Counsel to discuss, and, on the basis of those discussions, report to the Audit Committee, about a range of risk management procedures, policies and controls, covering the draft results materials, business unit financial performance and the current status of legal, tax, treasury, accounting, compliance, internal audit, complaints and disclosure control matters.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

## CEO and CFO Certification of Financial Reports

Under SEC rules and the Company s internal control arrangements, our CEO and CFO provide certain certifications with respect to our full year financial statements, disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting. These certifications are more comprehensive and detailed than those required under the Australian Corporations Act and are considered appropriate given that the Company s financial reports are prepared in accordance with US GAAP.

The Board in turn receives quarterly assurance from the Financial Statements Disclosure Committee relating to the Company s disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting. This assurance is supported by written quarterly and annual sub-certifications from the general managers and Finance Directors of each business unit and the Corporate Controller, with annual certifications from the GMT.

#### Internal Controls and SOX 404

Each fiscal year, the members of the GMT, and key members of the Company s business and corporate functions, complete an internal control certificate that seeks to confirm that adequate internal controls are in place and are operating effectively, and evaluate any failings and weaknesses.

#### Company Books of Accounts

The Company is responsible for ensuring that it keeps proper books of account and appropriate accounting systems. The measures taken by the directors to secure compliance with the Company sobligation to keep proper books of account are the use of appropriate systems and procedures and employment of competent persons. We have appointed a Chief Financial Officer who makes regular reports to the Board and ensures compliance with the requirements of Section 202 of the Companies Act, 1990. The Company also has a Corporate Controller, who works closely with the Chief Financial Officer and makes regular reports to our Audit Committee. The books of account are kept at Europa House, Second Floor, Harcourt Center, Harcourt Street, Dublin 2, Republic of Ireland.

# Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

# **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognises that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and are subject to certain limitations, including the exercise of judgment by individuals, the difficulty in identifying unlikely future events, and the difficulty in eliminating misconduct completely. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level as of 31 March 2013, to ensure the information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act were recorded, processed, summarised and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and that such information was accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

# Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

We assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of 31 March 2013. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organisations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment using those criteria, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of 31 March 2013.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of 31 March 2013 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report below.

# Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this annual report on Form 20-F that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

# Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of James Hardie Industries plc:

We have audited James Hardie Industries plc s internal control over financial reporting as of 31 March 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). James Hardie Industries plc s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, James Hardie Industries plc maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of 31 March 2013 based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of James Hardie Industries plc as of 31 March 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), changes in shareholders—equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended 31 March 2013, and our report dated 23 May 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Irvine, California

23 May 2013

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 80

# Limitations of Control Systems

Our management does not expect that our internal risk management and control systems will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. No matter how well it is designed and operated, a control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system s objectives will be met.

The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

# Shareholders Participation

#### Listing Information

James Hardie securities trade as CUFS on the ASX and as ADS on the NYSE.

## **Annual General Meeting**

The 2012 AGM was held in Ireland and simultaneously broadcast to a meeting in Sydney, Australia. The 2013 AGM will be held in Ireland, and shareholders not present in Ireland who wish to participate in the meeting, including asking questions, can do so via a video webcast or teleconference of the meeting. Further details are set out in the 2013 AGM Notice of Meeting.

Each shareholder (other than an ADS holder) has the right to:

attend the AGM either in person or by proxy;

speak at the AGM; and

exercise voting rights, including at the AGM subject to their instructions on the Voting Instruction Form. While ADS holders cannot vote directly, ADS holders can direct the voting of their underlying shares through the ADS depositary.

The external auditor attends the AGM and is available to answer questions.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

#### Communication

We are committed to communicating effectively with our shareholders through a program that includes:

making management briefings and presentations accessible via a live webcast and/or teleconference following the release of quarterly and annual results;

audio webcasts of other management briefings and webcasts of the annual shareholder meeting;

a comprehensive Investor Relations website that displays all Company announcements and notices (promptly after they have been cleared by the ASX), major management and investor road show presentations;

site visits and briefings on strategy for investment analysts;

an email alert service to advise shareholders and other interested parties of announcements and other events; and

equality of access for shareholders and investment analysts to briefings, presentations and meetings and equality of media access to the Company, on a reasonable basis.

## Investor Website

We have a dedicated section on corporate governance as part of the Investor Relations area of our website (www.jameshardie.com). Information on this section of the website is progressively updated and expanded to ensure it presents the most up-to-date information on our corporate governance structure. Except where stated, the contents of the website are not incorporated into this annual report.

## **Compliance with Corporate Governance Requirements**

## ASX Principles and Recommendations

Listed Australian companies are encouraged to comply with the Principles and Recommendations. Except where otherwise stated, the Company has complied with the Principles and Recommendations for the entire period described in this annual report.

For the benefit of Australian holders, the Investor Relations area of our website (www.jameshardie.com) contains more detail about the ways in which we comply with the Principles and Recommendations.

## **Diversity**

One of the key areas of focus for the Board is talent management and development, to ensure that the Company has the capability to adequately enable its anticipated growth as part of its core business strategy. Management has put in place a number of different programs to achieve this objective and the importance placed on these programs is reflected in the fact that development of our people forms part of the Board s Balanced Scorecard assessment of management under the Company s long-term incentive compensation programs.

The Company s overriding desire is to maximise the effectiveness of the Board and workforce by appointing the best candidate for each role. The Board does not believe that achievement of this objective requires a formal, publicly stated diversity policy, gender diversity targets or reporting of the

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 82

gender percentages at various levels of the organisation (including to the exclusion of other indicators of diversity) and for this reason does not comply with Principle 3 and supporting recommendations of the ASX Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations.

James Hardie s Board and senior management reflect a wide range of geographic representation, skills, qualifications, expertise, background and racial and gender diversity. With operations in North America, Australia, New Zealand, Asia and Europe, the Board expects that achievement of the Company s core business strategy will involve continued development of a diverse workforce.

## NYSE Corporate Governance Rules

In accordance with the NYSE corporate governance standards, listed companies that are foreign private issuers (which includes James Hardie) are permitted to follow home-country practice in lieu of the provisions of the corporate governance rules contained in Section 303A of the Listed Company Manual, except that foreign private issuers are required to comply with Section 303A.06, Section 303A.11 and Section 303A.12(b) and (c), each of which is discussed below.

Section 303A.06 requires that all listed companies have an Audit Committee that satisfies the requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act.

Section 303A.11 provides that listed foreign private issuers must disclose any significant ways in which their corporate governance practices differ from those followed by US companies under the NYSE listing standards.

Section 303A.12(b) provides that each listed company s CEO must promptly notify the NYSE in writing after any executive officer of the listed company becomes aware of any material non-compliance with any applicable provisions of Section 303A. Section 303A.12(c) provides that each listed company must submit an executed written affirmation annually to the NYSE about its compliance with the NYSE s corporate governance listing standards and an interim written affirmation to the NYSE as and when required by the interim written affirmation form specified by the NYSE.

James Hardie presently complies with the mandatory NYSE listing standards and many of the non-compulsory standards including, for example, the requirement that a majority of our directors meet the independence requirements of the NYSE. In accordance with Section 303A.11, we disclose in this Corporate Governance Report any significant ways in which our corporate governance practices differ from those followed by US companies under the NYSE listing standards.

Two ways in which our corporate governance practices differ significantly from those followed by US domestic companies under NYSE listing standards should be noted:

In the US, an audit committee of a public company is required to be directly responsible for appointing the company s independent registered public accounting firm. Under Irish law, the independent registered public accounting firm is appointed by the shareholders where there is a new appointment. Otherwise, the appointment is deemed to continue unless the firm retires, is asked to retire or is unable to perform their duties; and

NYSE rules require each issuer to have an audit committee, a compensation committee (equivalent to a remuneration committee) and a nominating committee composed entirely of independent directors. As a foreign private issuer, we do not have to comply with this requirement. In our case, the Board Committee charters reflect Australian and Irish practices, in that we have a majority of independent directors on these committees, unless a higher number is mandatory. Notwithstanding this difference, our Board has determined

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 83

that all of the current members of our Audit Committee, Remuneration Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee presently qualify as independent in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC and the NYSE.

# Takeover Rules and Control Over the Company

James Hardie is subject to Irish takeover laws. The Irish Takeover Rules are built on several General Principles which are set out below. Also, the takeover threshold is set at 30%, meaning that a person (or persons acting in concert) who acquire more than 30% of voting rights must make a mandatory cash bid for all of the shares in the Company:

All holders of the securities of an offeree of the same class must be afforded equivalent treatment; moreover, if a person acquires control of a company, the other holders of securities must be protected.

The holders of the securities of an offeree must have sufficient time and information to enable them to reach a properly informed decision on the offer; where it advises the holders of securities, the board of the offeree must give its views on the effects of implementation of the offer on employment, considerations of employment and the locations of the offeree s places of business.

The board of an offeree must act in the interest of the Company as a whole and must not deny the holders of securities the opportunity to decide on the merits of the offer.

False markets must not be created in the securities of the offeree, of the offeror or of any other company concerned by the offer in such a way that the rise or fall of the prices of the securities becomes artificial and the normal functioning of the markets is distorted.

An offeror must announce an offer only after ensuring that he or she can pay in full any cash consideration, if such is offered, and after taking all reasonable measures to secure the implementation of any other type of consideration.

An offeree must not be hindered in the conduct of its affairs for longer than is reasonable by any offer for its securities.

A substantial acquisition of securities (whether such acquisition is to be effected by one transaction or a series of transactions) shall take place only at an acceptable speed and shall be subject to adequate and timely disclosure.

In addition to the operation of these rules, the Company may, from time to time, put in place appropriate retention arrangements to ensure that it

retains its key employees during periods of corporate change.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

## **SECTION 2**

#### READING THIS REPORT

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This annual report contains forward-looking statements. James Hardie may from time to time make forward-looking statements in its periodic reports filed with or furnished to the SEC, on Forms 20-F and 6-K, in its annual reports to shareholders, in offering circulars, invitation memoranda and prospectuses, in media releases and other written materials and in oral statements made by the company s officers, directors or employees to analysts, institutional investors, existing and potential lenders, representatives of the media and others. Statements that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements and such forward-looking statements made pursuant to the Safe Harbor Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Examples of forward-looking statements include:

ASIC:

statements about our future performance;

projections of our results of operations or financial condition;

statements regarding our plans, objectives or goals, including those relating to strategies, initiatives, competition, acquisitions, dispositions and/or our products;

expectations concerning the costs associated with the suspension or closure of operations at any of our plants and future plans with respect to any such plants;

expectations regarding the extension or renewal of our credit facilities including changes to terms, covenants or ratios;

expectations concerning dividend payments and share buy-backs;

statements concerning our corporate and tax domiciles and structures and potential changes to them, including potential tax charges;

statements regarding tax liabilities and related audits, reviews and proceedings;

statements regarding the possible consequences and/or potential outcome of the legal proceedings brought against two of our subsidiaries by the New Zealand Ministry of Education and the potential product liabilities, if any, associated with such proceedings;

statements as to the possible consequences of proceedings brought against us and certain of our former directors and officers by

# Edgar Filing: James Hardie Industries plc - Form 20-F

expectations about the timing and amount of contributions to AICF, a special purpose fund for the compensation of proven Australian asbestos-related personal injury and death claims;

expectations concerning indemnification obligations;

expectations concerning the adequacy of our warranty provisions and estimates for future warranty-related costs;

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F

statements regarding our ability to manage legal and regulatory matters (including but not limited to product liability, environmental, intellectual property and competition law matters) and to resolve any such pending legal and regulatory matters within current estimates and in anticipation of certain third-party recoveries; and

statements about economic conditions, such as economic or housing recovery, the levels of new home construction and home renovations, unemployment levels, changes in consumer income, changes or stability in housing values, the availability of mortgages and other financing, mortgage and other interest rates, housing affordability and supply, the levels of foreclosures and home resales, currency exchange rates, and builder and consumer confidence.

Words such as believe, anticipate, plan, expect, intend, target, estimate, project, predict, forecast, guideline, aim, may, objective, outlook and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements and all such forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the following cautionary statements.

Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations, estimates and assumptions and because forward-looking statements address future results, events and conditions, they, by their very nature, involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are unforeseeable and beyond our control. Such known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors may cause actual results, performance or other achievements to differ materially from the anticipated results, performance or achievements expressed, projected or implied by these forward-looking statements. These factors, some of which are discussed under Risk Factors in Section 3, include, but are not limited to: all matters relating to or arising out of the prior manufacture of products that contained asbestos by current and former James Hardie subsidiaries; required contributions to AICF, any shortfall in AICF and the effect of currency exchange rate movements on the amount recorded in our financial statements as an asbestos liability; governmental loan facility to AICF; compliance with and changes in tax laws and treatments; competition and product pricing in the markets in which we operate; the consequences of product failures or defects; exposure to environmental, asbestos, putative consumer class action or other legal proceedings; general economic and market conditions; the supply and cost of raw materials; possible increases in competition and the potential that competitors could copy our products; reliance on a small number of customers; a customer s inability to pay; compliance with and changes in environmental and health and safety laws; risks of conducting business internationally; compliance with and changes in laws and regulations; the effect of the transfer of our corporate domicile from The Netherlands to Ireland including changes in corporate governance and potential tax benefits; currency exchange risks; dependence on customer preference and the concentration of our customer base on large format retail customers, distributors and dealers; dependence on residential and commercial construction markets; the effect of adverse changes in climate or weather patterns; possible inability to renew credit facilities on terms favourable to us, or at all; acquisition or sale of businesses and business segments; changes in our key management personnel; inherent limitations on internal controls; use of accounting estimates; and all other risks identified in our reports filed with Australian, Irish and US securities agencies and exchanges (as appropriate). We caution you that the foregoing list of factors is not exhaustive and that other risks and uncertainties may cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and are statements of our current expectations concerning future results, events and conditions. We assume no obligations to update any forward-looking statements or information except as required by law.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 86

# Currency of presentation, exchange rates and certain definitions

The term fiscal year refers to our fiscal year ended 31 March of such year; the term dollars, US\$ or \$ refers to US dollars; the term A\$ refers Australian dollars; and the term NZ\$ refers to New Zealand dollars. Unless otherwise stated, all amounts in A\$ have been converted into US\$ at the 31 March 2013 exchange rate of A\$0.9597 to US\$1.0000 (assets and liabilities) and A\$0.9694 to US\$1.0000 (statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss)).

#### MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements in this annual report.

#### Overview

We intend this discussion to provide information that will assist in understanding our 31 March 2013 consolidated financial statements, the changes in significant items in those consolidated financial statements from year to year, and the primary reasons for those changes and the factors and trends which are anticipated to have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations in future periods. This discussion includes information about our critical accounting estimates and how these estimates affect our consolidated financial statements, and information about the consolidated financial results of each business segment to provide a better understanding of how each segment and its results affect our financial condition and results of operations as a whole.

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with US GAAP. Our discussion in this section includes several non-GAAP measures to provide additional information concerning our performance. We believe that these non-GAAP measures enhance an investor s overall understanding of our financial performance by being more reflective of our core operational activities and to be more comparable with our financial results over various periods. In addition, we use non-GAAP financial measures internally for strategic decision making, forecasting future results and evaluating current performance. Non-GAAP financial measures include:

Operating income excluding asbestos, asset impairments, ASIC expenses and New Zealand product liability expenses

Effective tax rate excluding asbestos, asset impairments, New Zealand product liability expenses and tax adjustments

Net income excluding asbestos, ASIC expenses, asset impairments, New Zealand product liability expenses and tax adjustments

General corporate costs excluding ASIC expenses, intercompany foreign exchange gain and recovery of RCI legal costs

Selling, general and administrative expenses excluding New Zealand product liability expenses

We have reconciled these non-GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable US GAAP financial measure for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 in the Glossary of Abbreviations and Definitions in Section 4 below. These non-GAAP financial measures are not prepared in accordance with US GAAP; therefore, the information is not necessarily comparable to other companies financial information and should be considered as a supplement to, not a substitute for, or superior to, the corresponding measures calculated in accordance with US GAAP.

James Hardie FY 2013 20-F 87

Our pre-tax results for fiscal year 2013 were affected by unfavourable asbestos adjustments of US\$117.1 million, AICF Selling, General and Administrative (SG&A) expenses of US\$1.7 million, asset impairments of US\$16.9 million, ASIC expenses of US\$2.6 million, and New Zealand product liability expenses of US\$13.2 million. For fiscal year 2012, our pre-tax results were affected by unfavourable asbestos adjustments of US\$15.8 million, AICF SG&A expenses of US\$2.8 million, asset impairments of US\$14.3 million, ASIC expenses of US\$1.1 million, and New Zealand product liability expenses of US\$5.4 million. Information regarding our asbestos-related matters, asset impairments, ASIC matters and New Zealand product liability expenses can be found in this discussion and Notes 7, 11 and 13 in our consolidated financial statements.

# The Company and the Building Product Markets

Based on net sales, we believe we are the largest manufacturer of fibre cement products and systems for internal and external building construction applications in the United State