

MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL INC

Form 10-Q

August 10, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(mark one)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2009

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from _____ to _____.

**Commission File Number: 001-31950
MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

16-1690064
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1550 Utica Avenue South, Suite 100,
Minneapolis, Minnesota
(Address of principal executive offices)

55416
(Zip Code)

(952) 591-3000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
Not applicable
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of August 3, 2009, 82,520,229 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, were outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------------|--|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Deficit</u> | 7 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 41 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 41 |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|-----------------|--|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 42 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 43 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 43 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 43 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 44 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 45 |
| | <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 46 |
| | <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| | <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| | <u>EX-32.1</u> | |
| | <u>EX-32.2</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
UNAUDITED**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands, except share data)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents (substantially restricted) | 3,973,685 | 4,077,381 |
| Receivables, net (substantially restricted) | 1,098,388 | 1,264,885 |
| Trading investments (substantially restricted) | 13,260 | 21,485 |
| Available-for-sale investments (substantially restricted) | 357,432 | 438,774 |
| Property and equipment | 143,712 | 156,263 |
| Intangible assets | 12,644 | 14,548 |
| Goodwill | 432,591 | 434,337 |
| Other assets | 189,560 | 234,623 |
| Total assets | \$ 6,221,272 | \$ 6,642,296 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Payment service obligations | \$ 5,079,941 | \$ 5,437,999 |
| Debt | 909,046 | 978,881 |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits | 132,500 | 130,900 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 110,415 | 121,586 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 12,671 | 12,454 |
| Total liabilities | 6,244,573 | 6,681,820 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 14) | | |
| MEZZANINE EQUITY | | |
| Participating Convertible Preferred Stock-Series B, \$0.01 par value, 800,000 shares authorized, 495,000 shares issued and outstanding | 496,695 | 458,408 |
| Participating Convertible Preferred Stock-Series B-1, \$0.01 par value, 500,000 shares authorized, 272,500 shares issued and outstanding | 303,392 | 283,804 |
| Total mezzanine equity | 800,087 | 742,212 |
| STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT | | |
| Preferred shares undesignated, \$0.01 par value, 5,000,000 authorized, none issued | | |
| Preferred shares junior participating, \$0.01 par value, 2,000,000 authorized, none issued | | |
| | 886 | 886 |

Edgar Filing: MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Common shares, \$0.01 par value, 1,300,000,000 shares authorized, 88,556,077 shares issued | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 6,268 | 62,324 |
| Retained loss | (640,730) | (649,254) |
| Unearned employee benefits | (81) | (424) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (36,569) | (42,707) |
| Treasury stock: 6,036,846 and 5,999,175 shares at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively | (153,162) | (152,561) |
| Total stockholders' deficit | (823,388) | (781,736) |
| Total liabilities, mezzanine equity and stockholders' deficit | \$ 6,221,272 | \$ 6,642,296 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF (LOSS) INCOME
UNAUDITED**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)</i> | Three Months Ended June | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2009 | 30, 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| REVENUE | | | | |
| Fee and other revenue | \$278,493 | \$281,881 | \$546,637 | \$ 544,678 |
| Investment revenue | 8,455 | 34,498 | 20,146 | 96,063 |
| Net securities gains (losses) | 4,233 | (30,291) | 4,289 | (337,591) |
| Total revenue | 291,181 | 286,088 | 571,072 | 303,150 |
| Fee commissions expense | 121,764 | 129,098 | 240,308 | 246,330 |
| Investment commissions expense | 354 | (5,385) | 753 | 91,504 |
| Total commissions expense | 122,118 | 123,713 | 241,061 | 337,834 |
| Net revenue (losses) | 169,063 | 162,375 | 330,011 | (34,684) |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | 47,639 | 68,136 | 99,271 | 120,435 |
| Transaction and operations support | 71,166 | 51,335 | 115,650 | 103,364 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 14,962 | 14,288 | 29,324 | 28,506 |
| Occupancy, equipment and supplies | 12,237 | 12,391 | 23,263 | 23,613 |
| Interest expense | 26,649 | 24,008 | 53,689 | 38,797 |
| Valuation gain on embedded derivatives | | (31,203) | | (31,203) |
| Debt extinguishment loss | | | | 1,499 |
| Total expenses | 172,653 | 138,955 | 321,197 | 285,011 |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (3,590) | 23,420 | 8,814 | (319,695) |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (273) | 8,259 | 290 | 25,999 |
| NET (LOSS) INCOME | \$ (3,317) | \$ 15,161 | \$ 8,524 | \$(345,694) |
| BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER COMMON SHARE | | | | |
| | \$ (0.40) | \$ (0.11) | \$ (0.60) | \$ (4.51) |
| Net (loss) income as reported | \$ (3,317) | \$ 15,161 | \$ 8,524 | \$(345,694) |
| Preferred stock dividends | (27,116) | (23,994) | (52,834) | (25,816) |
| Accretion recognized on preferred stock | (2,540) | | (5,041) | |
| NET LOSS AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS | \$ (32,973) | \$ (8,833) | \$ (49,351) | \$(371,510) |

**WEIGHTED-AVERAGE OUTSTANDING
COMMON SHARES**

82,504

82,464

82,493

82,447

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

4

Table of Contents**MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
UNAUDITED**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 30, 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| NET (LOSS) INCOME | \$ (3,317) | \$ 15,161 | \$ 8,524 | \$ (345,694) |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | | | | |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Net holding gains (losses) arising during the period, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$1,553 and \$(351) for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$3,745 and \$5,406 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively | 2,533 | (572) | 6,111 | 8,821 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net realized (gains) included in net income, net of tax (expense) of \$(26) and \$(163), for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$(53) and \$(15,206) for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively | (42) | (266) | (86) | (24,810) |
| | 2,491 | (838) | 6,025 | (15,989) |
| Net unrealized (losses) gains on derivative financial instruments: | | | | |
| Net holding (losses) gains arising during the period, net of tax (benefit) expense of \$(304) and \$733, for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$(478) and \$48 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively | (496) | 1,196 | (780) | 79 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net unrealized losses included in net income, net of tax benefit of \$8, for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and \$11,006 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 | | 13 | | 17,957 |
| | (496) | 1,209 | (780) | 18,036 |
| Pension and postretirement benefit plans: | | | | |
| Reclassification of prior service (credit) costs recorded to net income, net of tax benefit of \$0 | (1) | 321 | (2) | 333 |

and \$196 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$0 and \$204 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively

Reclassification of net actuarial loss recorded to net income, net of tax benefit of \$359 and \$258 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$718 and \$499 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively

| | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| | 585 | 421 | 1,171 | 814 |
|--|-----|-----|-------|-----|

Unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses), net of tax expense (benefit) of \$816 and \$(148), for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively and \$(169) and \$1,271 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively

| | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 1,331 | (242) | (276) | 2,073 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|

Other comprehensive income

| | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|-------|-------|
| | 3,910 | 871 | 6,138 | 5,267 |
|--|-------|-----|-------|-------|

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

| | | | | |
|--|--------|----------|----------|-------------|
| | \$ 593 | \$16,032 | \$14,662 | \$(340,427) |
|--|--------|----------|----------|-------------|

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

5

Table of Contents**MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
UNAUDITED**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 30, 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (3,317) | \$ 15,161 | \$ 8,524 | \$ (345,694) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used in operating activities: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 14,962 | 14,288 | 29,324 | 28,506 |
| Investment impairment charges | 848 | 9,124 | 2,929 | 54,398 |
| Provision for deferred income taxes | 610 | | 305 | |
| Net (gain) loss on sales and maturities of investments | (3,073) | (36) | (3,073) | 256,298 |
| Unrealized losses on trading investments | 4,790 | 21,203 | 6,435 | 26,895 |
| Valuation gains on trading investment put options | (6,798) | | (10,580) | |
| Net amortization of investment premiums and discounts | 216 | 761 | 428 | 490 |
| Impairment of goodwill | 3,758 | | 3,758 | |
| Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps | | (33,508) | | |
| Unrealized gain on embedded derivatives | | (31,203) | | (31,203) |
| Signing bonus amortization | 8,554 | 9,007 | 17,083 | 17,097 |
| Amortization of debt discount and deferred financing costs | 2,496 | 2,454 | 4,946 | 2,613 |
| Debt extinguishment loss | | | | 1,499 |
| Provision for uncollectible receivables | 11,530 | 2,484 | 15,207 | 5,508 |
| Non-cash compensation and pension expense | 3,893 | 2,324 | 6,454 | 4,050 |
| Other non-cash items, net | (213) | 5,128 | 3,019 | 491 |
| Changes in foreign currency translation adjustments | 1,331 | (242) | (276) | 2,073 |
| Change in other assets | (10,764) | (16,873) | (14,303) | (53,436) |
| Change in accounts payable and other liabilities | 23,236 | 46,133 | 24,233 | 28,373 |
| Total adjustments | 55,376 | 31,044 | 85,889 | 343,652 |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents (substantially restricted) | (68,903) | 138,580 | 103,696 | (2,933,085) |
| Change in trading investments (substantially restricted) | 17,900 | | 17,900 | |
| Change in receivables, net (substantially restricted) | 7,265 | (178,682) | 151,290 | (556,728) |
| Change in payment service obligations | 12,774 | (19,606) | (358,058) | (1,125,913) |

| | | | | |
|---|--------|----------|-------|-------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 21,095 | (13,503) | 9,241 | (4,617,768) |
|---|--------|----------|-------|-------------|

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

| | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Proceeds from sales of investments classified as available-for-sale | | | | 2,896,011 |
| Proceeds from maturities of investments classified as available-for-sale | 58,678 | 26,011 | 81,538 | 446,096 |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (9,148) | (11,883) | (16,319) | (17,437) |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | | (3,210) | |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 49,530 | 14,128 | 62,009 | 3,324,670 |

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

| | | | | |
|--|----------|-------|----------|-----------|
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | | | | 733,750 |
| Transaction costs for issuance and amendment of debt | | | | (47,805) |
| Payment on debt | (625) | (625) | (1,250) | (625) |
| Payment on revolving credit facility | (70,000) | | (70,000) | (100,000) |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | | | | 760,000 |
| Transaction costs for issuance of preferred stock | | | | (52,222) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (70,625) | (625) | (71,250) | 1,293,098 |

NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Beginning of period

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|----|----|----|----|
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | End | | | | |
| of period | | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT
UNAUDITED**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Loss | Accumulated | | Treasury Stock | Total |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | | | | Unearned Employee Benefits | Other Comprehensive Loss | | |
| December 31, 2008 | \$ 886 | \$ 62,324 | \$(649,254) | \$(424) | \$(42,707) | \$(152,561) | \$(781,736) |
| Net income | | | 8,524 | | | | 8,524 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | | (52,834) | | | | | (52,834) |
| Accretion on preferred stock | | (5,041) | | | | | (5,041) |
| Employee benefit plans | | 1,819 | | 343 | | (601) | 1,561 |
| Net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities | | | | | 6,025 | | 6,025 |
| Net unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments | | | | | (780) | | (780) |
| Amortization of prior service cost for pension and postretirement benefits, net of tax | | | | | (2) | | (2) |
| Amortization of unrealized losses on pension and postretirement benefits, net of tax | | | | | 1,171 | | 1,171 |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (276) | | (276) |
| June 30, 2009 | \$ 886 | \$ 6,268 | \$(640,730) | \$(81) | \$(36,569) | \$(153,162) | \$(823,388) |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of MoneyGram International, Inc. (MoneyGram or the Company) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included and are of a normal recurring nature. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for future periods. For further information, refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Company has reviewed and evaluated subsequent events and transactions for material events through August 7, 2009, the date the financial statements are issued.

Note 2 Unrestricted Assets

Through its wholly owned subsidiary and licensed entity MoneyGram Payment Systems, Inc. (MPSI), the Company is regulated by various state agencies that generally require MPSI to maintain liquid assets and investments with a rating of A or higher (permissible investments) in an amount generally equal to payment service obligations, as defined by each state, for regulated payment instruments, namely teller checks, agent checks, money orders and money transfers. The regulatory payment service obligation measure varies by state, but in all cases is substantially lower than the Company s payment service obligations as disclosed in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as the Company is not regulated by state agencies for payment service obligations resulting from outstanding cashier s checks or for amounts payable to agents and brokers. Regulatory requirements also require MPSI to maintain positive net worth, with one state also requiring that MPSI maintain positive tangible net worth.

In connection with the Company s senior credit facility (the Senior Facility), senior secured second lien notes (the Notes), one clearing bank agreement and special purpose entities (SPEs), the Company has certain financial covenants that require it to maintain pre-defined ratios of certain assets to payment service obligations. The financial covenants under the Senior Facility and Notes are described in Note 8 *Debt*. One clearing bank agreement has financial covenants that include the maintenance of total cash, cash equivalents, receivables and investments in an amount at least equal to payment service obligations, as disclosed in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, as well as the maintenance of a minimum 103 percent ratio of total assets held at that bank to instruments estimated to clear through that bank. Financial covenants related to the SPEs include the maintenance of specified ratios, typically greater than 100 percent, of cash, cash equivalents and investments held in the SPE to the outstanding payment instruments issued by the related financial institution customer.

The regulatory and contractual requirements do not require the Company to specify individual assets held to meet payment service obligations, nor is the Company required to deposit specific assets into a trust, escrow or other special account. Rather, the Company must maintain a pool of liquid assets sufficient to comply with the requirements. No third party places limitations, legal or otherwise, on the Company regarding the use of its individual liquid assets. The Company is able to withdraw, deposit or sell its individual liquid assets at will, with no prior notice or penalty, provided the Company maintains a total pool of liquid assets sufficient to meet the regulatory and contractual requirements.

The Company is not regulated by state agencies for payment service obligations resulting from outstanding cashier s checks; however, the Company restricts a portion of the funds related to these payment instruments due to contractual arrangements and Company policy. Assets restricted for regulatory or contractual reasons are not available to satisfy working capital or other financing requirements. Consequently, the Company considers a significant amount of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and investments to be restricted to satisfy the liability to pay the face amount of regulated payment service obligations upon presentment. The Company has unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, receivables and investments to the extent those assets exceed all payment service obligations. These amounts are

generally available; however, management considers a portion of these amounts as providing additional assurance that regulatory requirements are maintained during the normal fluctuations in the value of investments. The following table shows the total amount of unrestricted assets at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

8

Table of Contents

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents (substantially restricted) | \$ 3,973,685 | \$ 4,077,381 |
| Receivables, net (substantially restricted) | 1,098,388 | 1,264,885 |
| Trading investments (substantially restricted) | 13,260 | 21,485 |
| Put options related to trading investments | 24,049 | 26,505 |
| Available-for-sale investments (substantially restricted) | 357,432 | 438,774 |
| | 5,466,814 | 5,829,030 |
| Amounts restricted to cover payment service obligations | (5,079,941) | (5,437,999) |
| Excess in unrestricted assets | \$ 386,873 | \$ 391,031 |

The Company is in compliance with its contractual and financial regulatory requirements as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Note 3 Acquisitions and Disposals

Raphaels Bank On February 2, 2009, the Company acquired the French assets of R. Raphaels & Sons PLC (Raphaels Bank) for a purchase price of \$3.2 million. The acquisition of Raphaels Bank provides the Company with five money transfer stores in and around Paris, France that have been integrated into the Company's French retail operations. The preliminary purchase price allocation as of June 30, 2009 includes \$2.0 million of goodwill assigned to the Company's Global Funds Transfer segment. The purchase price allocation is preliminary pending the completion of the valuation of fixed assets, intangible assets and deferred taxes. The operating results of Raphaels Bank subsequent to the acquisition date are included in the Company's Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Income. The financial impact of the acquisition is not material to the Consolidated Balance Sheets or Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income.

FSMC, Inc. On May 15, 2009, the Company's subsidiary FSMC, Inc. (FSMC) entered into an asset purchase agreement with Solutran, Inc. to sell certain assets and rights for a price of \$4.5 million. As a result of the pending sale, the Company recorded a goodwill impairment of \$0.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009. The sale was completed in the third quarter of 2009. FSMC is a component of the Company's Payment Systems segment. The operating results of FSMC are not material to the Company's Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, and the assets and liabilities are not material to the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009.

ACH Commerce On May 28, 2009, the Company entered into a Letter of Intent to sell assets of its ACH Commerce business to a third party. The transaction is expected to close in the last half of 2009. ACH Commerce is a component of the Company's Payment Systems segment. The operating results of ACH Commerce are not material to the Company's Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and the assets and liabilities are not material to the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009.

Note 4 Fair Value Measurement

Following is a description of the Company's valuation methodologies for assets and liabilities measured at fair value:

Cash equivalents The estimated fair values for cash equivalents approximate their carrying values due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. Accordingly, cash equivalents are classified as Level 1.

Investments Trading and available-for-sale investments are valued using quoted market prices for identical or similar securities where possible, including broker quotes. If market quotes are not available, or broker quotes could not be corroborated by market observable data, the Company will value a security using a pricing service and externally developed cash flow models.

For U.S. government agencies, residential mortgage-backed securities collateralized by U.S. government agency securities, fair value measures are generally obtained from independent sources, including a pricing service. As market quotes are generally not readily available or accessible for these specific securities, the pricing service generally

measures fair value through the use of pricing models and observable inputs for similar assets and market data. Accordingly, these securities are classified as Level 2 financial instruments.

Table of Contents

The Company periodically corroborates the valuations provided by the pricing service through internal valuations utilizing externally developed cash flow models, comparison to actual transaction prices for sold securities and any broker quotes received on the same security.

For residential mortgage-backed securities, other asset-backed securities, investments in limited partnerships and trading investments, market quotes are generally not available. If available, the Company will utilize a fair value measurement from a pricing service. The pricing service utilizes a pricing model based on market observable data and indices, such as quotes for comparable securities, yield curves, default indices, interest rates and historical prepayment speeds. If a fair value measurement is not available from the pricing service, the Company will utilize a broker quote if available. Due to a general lack of transparency in the process that the brokers use to develop prices, most valuations that are based on brokers' quotes are classified as Level 3. If no broker quote is available, or if such quote cannot be corroborated by market data or internal valuations, the Company performs internal valuations utilizing externally developed cash flow models. These pricing models are based on market observable spreads and, when available, observable market indices. The pricing models also use inputs such as the rate of future prepayments and expected default rates on the principal, which are derived by the Company based on the characteristics of the underlying structure and historical prepayment speeds experienced at the interest rate levels projected for the underlying collateral. The pricing models for certain asset-backed securities also include significant non-observable inputs such as internally assessed credit ratings for non-rated securities combined with externally provided credit spreads. Observability of market inputs to the valuation models used for pricing certain of the Company's investments has deteriorated with the disruption to the credit markets as overall liquidity and trading activity in these sectors has been substantially reduced. Accordingly, securities valued using a pricing model have consistently been classified as Level 3 financial instruments since January 1, 2008.

Other Financial Instruments Other financial instruments consist of put options related to trading investments. The fair value of the put options related to trading investments are valued using the expected cash flows from the instruments assuming their exercise in June 2010 and discounted at a rate corroborated by market data for a financial institution comparable to the put option counter-party, as well as the Company's interest rate on its Notes. The discounted cash flows of the put option are then reduced by the estimated fair value of the trading investments. Given the subjectivity of the discount rate and the estimated fair value of the trading investments, the Company has classified its put options related to trading investments as Level 3 financial instruments. The Company has elected under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (FAS) No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, to apply fair value accounting to its put options relating to trading investments. As a result, the fair value of the put options will be remeasured each period, with the change in fair value recognized in earnings.

Debt Debt is carried at amortized cost; however, the Company estimates the fair value of debt for disclosure purposes only under FAS 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. The fair value of debt is estimated using market quotations, where available, credit ratings, observable market indices and other market data. As of June 30, 2009, the fair value of the Company's Tranche A loan, Tranche B loan and revolving credit facility under the Senior Facility is estimated at \$84.9 million, \$214.8 million and \$62.2 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, the fair value of the Second Lien Notes is estimated at \$445.0 million.

Following are the Company's financial assets recorded at fair value by hierarchy level as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008; the Company had no financial liabilities recorded at fair value for either period. The amount shown as Cash equivalents (substantially restricted) does not reflect the entire balance in the Cash and cash equivalents (substantially restricted) line in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as cash is not subject to fair value measurement.

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | | Total |
|--|----------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Financial Assets | | | | |
| Cash equivalents (substantially restricted) | \$2,436,368 | \$ | \$ | \$2,436,368 |
| Trading investments (substantially restricted) | | | 13,260 | 13,260 |

Edgar Filing: MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Put options related to trading investments | | | 24,049 | 24,049 |
| Available-for-sale investments (substantially restricted) | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | | 7,361 | | 7,361 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities agencies | | 327,366 | | 327,366 |
| Other asset-backed securities | | | 22,705 | 22,705 |
| Total financial assets | \$2,436,368 | \$334,727 | \$60,014 | \$2,831,109 |

Table of Contents

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | December 31, 2008 | | | Total |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Financial Assets | | | | |
| Cash equivalents (substantially restricted) | \$2,501,780 | \$ | \$ | \$2,501,780 |
| Trading investments (substantially restricted) | | | 21,485 | 21,485 |
| Put options related to trading investments | | | 26,505 | 26,505 |
| Available-for-sale investments (substantially restricted) | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | | 17,449 | | 17,449 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities agencies | | 391,798 | | 391,798 |
| Other asset-backed securities | | | 29,528 | 29,528 |
| Total financial assets | \$2,501,780 | \$409,247 | \$77,518 | \$2,988,545 |

The tables below provide a roll-forward for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 of the financial assets classified in Level 3 which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | |
|--|---|--|---|------------------|---|--|---|------------------|
| | Put Options Related to Trading | Available- for-Sale Investments | Level 3 Financial Assets | Total | Put Options Related to Trading | Available- for-Sale Investments | Level 3 Financial Assets | Total |
| Beginning Balance | \$ 19,840 | \$ 30,287 | \$ 25,254 | \$ 75,381 | \$ 21,485 | \$ 26,505 | \$ 29,528 | \$ 77,518 |
| Principal paydowns | (1,790) | (13,036) | (161) | (14,987) | (1,790) | (13,036) | (297) | (15,123) |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | | | (848) | (848) | | | (2,929) | (2,929) |
| Unrealized gains instruments still held at the reporting date | | 6,798 | | 6,798 | | 10,580 | | 10,580 |
| Unrealized losses instruments still held at the reporting date | (4,790) | | (1,540) | (6,330) | (6,435) | | (3,597) | (10,032) |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 | \$ 13,260 | \$ 24,049 | \$ 22,705 | \$ 60,014 | \$ 13,260 | \$ 24,049 | \$ 22,705 | \$ 60,014 |

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | Trading Investments | Available- for-Sale Investments | Total Level 3 Financial Assets | Trading Investments | Available- for-Sale Investments | Total Level 3 Financial Assets |
| | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Beginning Balance | \$ 56,413 | \$64,580 | \$ 120,993 | \$ 62,105 | \$ 2,478,832 | \$ 2,540,937 |
| Sales and settlements | | | | | (2,355,014) | (2,355,014) |
| Realized losses | | | | | (13,760) | (13,760) |
| Principal paydowns | | (3,399) | (3,399) | | (3,399) | (3,399) |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | | (8,920) | (8,920) | | (54,398) | (54,398) |
| Unrealized gains instruments still held at the reporting date | | 3,611 | 3,611 | | 3,611 | 3,611 |
| Unrealized losses instruments still held at the reporting date | (21,203) | | (21,203) | (26,895) | | (26,895) |
| Balance at June 30, 2008 | \$ 35,210 | \$55,872 | \$ 91,082 | \$ 35,210 | \$ 55,872 | \$ 91,082 |

Table of Contents**Note 5 Investment Portfolio**

The Company's portfolio is invested in cash and cash equivalents, trading investments and available-for-sale investments, all of which are substantially restricted as described in Note 2 *Unrestricted Assets*. Components of our investment portfolio as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Cash | \$1,537,317 | \$1,575,601 |
| Money markets | 2,436,368 | 1,626,788 |
| Time deposits | | 874,992 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3,973,685 | 4,077,381 |
| Trading investments | 13,260 | 21,485 |
| Available-for-sale investments | 357,432 | 438,774 |
| Total investment portfolio | \$4,344,377 | \$4,537,640 |

Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash, money-market securities and time deposits. Cash primarily consists of interest-bearing deposit accounts and non-interest bearing transaction accounts. The Company's money-market securities are invested in seven funds, all of which are AAA rated and are comprised of U.S. Treasury bills, notes or other obligations issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government and its agencies, as well as repurchase agreements secured by such instruments.

Trading Investments Trading investments consist of: one auction rate security collateralized by commercial paper with a rating of A-1/P-1 and original maturities of less than 28 days; one auction rate security collateralized by perpetual preferred stock issued by the monoline insurer and paying a discretionary dividend; and perpetual preferred stock of a monoline insurer paying a discretionary dividend. During the second quarter of 2009, the perpetual preferred stock was called at par. As a result, the Company recorded a gain of \$3.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The combined fair value of the trading investments on June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$13.3 million and \$21.5 million, respectively, on a par value of \$44.4 million and \$62.3 million, respectively. Due to the continued disruption of the credit markets and concerns regarding the capital position of the monoline insurers and their intent to pay dividends on their preferred stock, the Company recorded an unrealized loss on its trading investments of \$4.8 million and \$6.4 million in Net securities gains (losses) in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$21.2 million and \$26.9 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. The Company has received all contractual interest payments, including the penalty rate payments, as of the date of this filing.

The fair value of put options received in November 2008 under a buy-back program sponsored by the trading firm that sold the Company its trading investments was \$24.0 million and \$26.5 million as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, and is reflected in the Other assets line in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company recognized a gain of \$6.8 million and \$10.6 million in the Net securities gains (losses) line in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income from the increase in the fair value of the put options during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. These valuation gains offset the unrealized losses recognized on the trading investments for the period. The fair value of the put options will be remeasured each period through earnings and should continue to significantly offset any further unrealized losses recognized in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income related to the Company's trading investments.

Available-for-sale Investments Available-for-sale investments consist of mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and agency debenture securities. After other-than-temporary impairment charges, the amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale investments are as follows at June 30, 2009:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands, except net average price)</i> | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Net Average Price |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Residential mortgage-backed securities-agencies | \$316,932 | \$10,434 | \$ | \$327,366 | \$103.92 |
| Other asset-backed securities | 18,488 | 4,217 | | 22,705 | 3.48 |
| U.S. government agencies | 6,655 | 706 | | 7,361 | 81.79 |
| Total | \$342,075 | \$15,357 | \$ | \$357,432 | \$ 36.57 |

After other-than-temporary impairment charges, the amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale investments were as follows at December 31, 2008:

Table of Contents

| <i>(Amounts in thousands, except net average price)</i> | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Net Average Price |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Residential mortgage-backed securities agencies | \$385,276 | \$6,523 | \$ (2) | \$391,797 | \$102.37 |
| Other asset-backed securities | 27,703 | 1,825 | | 29,528 | 4.43 |
| U.S. government agencies | 16,463 | 986 | | 17,449 | 91.84 |
| Total | \$429,442 | \$9,334 | \$ (2) | \$438,774 | \$ 41.05 |

Gains and Losses and Other-Than-Temporary Impairments At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, net unrealized gains of \$15.4 million and \$9.3 million, respectively, are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in Accumulated other comprehensive loss. No deferred tax liability is currently recognized for the net unrealized gains due to the deferred tax position described in Note 13 *Income Taxes*. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, gains of less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, and during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, gains of \$0.3 million and \$24.8 million, respectively, were reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings in connection with the sale, maturity or paydown of the underlying securities during the period. Net securities gains (losses) were as follows:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Gross realized gains | \$ | \$ 36 | \$ | \$ 34,200 |
| Gross realized losses | (2) | | (2) | (290,498) |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | (848) | (9,124) | (2,929) | (54,398) |
| Net securities losses from available-for-sale investments | (850) | (9,088) | (2,931) | (310,696) |
| Unrealized losses from trading investments | (4,790) | (21,203) | (6,435) | (26,895) |
| Valuation gain from put options related to trading investments | 6,798 | | 10,580 | |
| Gain on call related to trading securities | 3,075 | | 3,075 | |
| Net securities gains (losses) | \$ 4,233 | \$(30,291) | \$ 4,289 | \$(337,591) |

The Company realigned its portfolio during the first quarter of 2008, resulting in the sale of securities with a fair value of \$3.2 billion (after other-than-temporary impairment charges) for proceeds of \$2.9 billion and a net realized loss of \$256.3 million which is reflected in the six months ended June 30, 2008. This net realized loss was the result of further deterioration in the markets during the first quarter of 2008 and the short timeframe over which the Company sold its securities. Proceeds from the sales were reinvested in cash and cash equivalents. Other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$0.8 million and \$2.9 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$9.1 million and \$54.4 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, were the result of further deterioration in the market.

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 94 percent and 93 percent, respectively, of the available-for-sale portfolio was invested in debentures of U.S. government agencies or securities collateralized by U.S government agency debentures. These securities have always had the implicit backing of the U.S. government. During 2008, the U.S.

government took action to place certain agencies under conservatorship and provide unlimited lines of credit through the U.S. Treasury. These actions served to provide greater comfort to the market regarding the intent of the U.S. government to back the securities issued by its agencies. The Company expects to receive full par value of these securities upon maturity or pay-down, as well as all interest payments. The Other asset-backed securities continue to have market exposure. The Company has factored this risk into its fair value estimates, with the average price of an asset-backed security at \$0.03 per dollar of par at June 30, 2009.

Investment Ratings In rating the securities in its investment portfolio, the Company uses ratings from Moody's Investor Service (Moody's), Standard & Poors (S&P) and Fitch Ratings (Fitch). If the rating agencies have split ratings, the Company uses the highest rating from either Moody's or S&P for disclosure purposes. Securities issued or backed by U.S. government agencies are included in the AAA rating category. Investment grade is defined as a security having a Moody's equivalent rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa or an S&P or Fitch equivalent rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB. The Company's investments at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 had the following ratings:

Table of Contents

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | | December 31, 2008 | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|
| | Number of Securities | Fair Value | Percent of Investments | Number of Securities | Fair Value | Percent of Investments |
| AAA, including U.S. agencies | 36 | \$334,349 | 94% | 42 | \$409,672 | 94% |
| AA | 1 | 250 | 0% | 3 | 5,064 | 0% |
| A | 4 | 2,647 | 1% | 5 | 2,919 | 1% |
| BBB | 1 | 33 | 0% | 2 | 543 | 0% |
| Below investment grade | 68 | 20,153 | 5% | 68 | 20,576 | 5% |
| Total | 110 | \$357,432 | 100% | 120 | \$438,774 | 100% |

Had the Company used the lowest rating from either Moody's or S&P in the information presented above, investments rated A or better would have been reduced by \$2.5 million and \$3.5 million, as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Contractual Maturities The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale securities at June 30, 2009, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations, sometimes without call or prepayment penalties. Maturities of mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities depend on the repayment characteristics and experience of the underlying obligations.

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|---|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| After one year through five years | \$ 1,002 | \$ 1,078 | \$ 1,003 | \$ 1,073 |
| After five years through ten years | 5,653 | 6,283 | 15,460 | 16,376 |
| Mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities | 335,420 | 350,071 | 412,979 | 421,325 |
| Total | \$342,075 | \$357,432 | \$429,442 | \$438,774 |

Fair Value Determination Following are the sources of pricing used by the Company for its fair value estimates as a result of its valuation process:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | | December 31, 2008 | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|
| | Number of Securities | Fair Value | Percent of Investments | Number of Securities | Fair Value | Percent |
| Third party pricing service | 45 | \$330,179 | 93% | 52 | \$405,955 | 93% |
| Broker pricing | 38 | 11,868 | 3% | 43 | 15,195 | 3% |
| Internal pricing | 27 | 15,385 | 4% | 25 | 17,624 | 4% |
| Total | 110 | \$357,432 | 100% | 120 | \$438,774 | 100% |

Assessment of Unrealized Losses At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had no or nominal unrealized losses in its available-for-sale portfolio, with no unrealized losses aged 12 months or more, after the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment charges.

Note 6 Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company historically used interest rate swaps to hedge the variability of cash flows from its floating rate debt and floating rate commission payments to financial institution customers of the Payment Systems segment, primarily relating to the official check product. In connection with the restructuring of the official check business initiated in the first quarter of 2008, the Company terminated certain of its financial institution customer relationships. The termination of the relationships resulted in the recognition of a net loss of \$27.7 million on its commissions swaps during the six months ended June 30, 2008 as the forecasted commission payments being hedged no longer occurred. This loss was recorded in *Investment commissions expense* in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income. Additionally, the Company's Senior Facility was deemed extinguished as a result of the modifications made to the Senior Facility in connection with the recapitalization. As a result, the Company recognized a net loss of \$2.0 million on its interest rate swaps during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The loss was recorded in *Interest expense* in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income. The Company terminated its commission and debt interest rate swaps in the second quarter of 2008.

Historically, the Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts with 12-month durations to hedge forecasted foreign currency money transfer transactions. The Company designated these forward contracts as cash flow hedges. The Company recognized a gain of \$0.7 million and \$2.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and a loss of \$2.0 million and \$3.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, in the *Fee and other revenue* line of the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income upon the final settlement of these cash flow hedges.

Table of Contents

There were no outstanding cash flow hedges as of June 30, 2009. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had \$0.8 million of unrealized losses on its cash flow hedges recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The notional amount of outstanding cash flow hedges as of December 31, 2008 was \$18.1 million.

The Company also uses forward contracts to hedge income statement exposure to foreign currency exchange risk arising from its assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. While these forward contracts economically hedge foreign currency risk, they are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes. The Transaction and operations support line in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income reflects a \$1.1 million and \$4.7 million loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, from the effect of changes in foreign exchange rates on foreign-denominated receivables and payables, which is net of an \$8.2 million and \$2.4 million loss from the related forward contracts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. A gain of less than \$0.1 million and a loss of \$0.5 million was recognized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, from the effect of changes in foreign exchange rates, which is net of losses of \$0.3 million and \$5.0 million from the related forward contracts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had \$84.0 million and \$98.4 million, respectively, of outstanding notional amounts relating to its forward contracts.

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company reflects the following fair values for all of its forward contract instruments in its Consolidated Balance Sheets:

| | Balance Sheet Location | June 30, 2009 | | | December 31, 2008 | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | Asset Fair Value | Liability Fair Value | Net Fair Value | Asset Fair Value | Liability Fair Value | Net Fair Value |
| Forward contracts | Other assets | \$ 96,313 | \$ (97,145) | \$ (832) | \$ 134,389 | \$ (135,588) | \$ (1,199) |
| Forward contracts | Receivables, net | 12,304 | (11,051) | 1,253 | 17,897 | (15,444) | 2,453 |
| Total | | \$ 108,617 | \$ (108,196) | \$ 421 | \$ 152,286 | \$ (151,032) | \$ 1,254 |

Note 7 Goodwill

Following is a roll forward of the Company's goodwill:

| (Amounts in thousands) | Global Funds Transfer | Payment Systems | Total Goodwill |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2008 | \$429,281 | \$5,056 | \$434,337 |
| Goodwill acquired | 2,012 | | 2,012 |
| Impairment charge | (3,176) | (582) | (3,758) |
| Balance as of June 30, 2009 | \$428,117 | \$4,474 | \$432,591 |

The addition of goodwill relates to the acquisition of Raphaels Bank in the first quarter of 2009. In the second quarter of 2009, the Company decided to discontinue offering certain bill payment products which it had replaced with new product offerings. As a result, the Company recognized a \$3.2 million charge to impair all goodwill related to the discontinued products, which were a component of the Global Funds Transfer segment. Also in the second quarter of

2009, the Company recognized a \$0.6 million goodwill impairment charge in connection with the sale of FSMC. Goodwill impairment charges are recorded in the Transaction and operations support line of the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income.

Table of Contents**Note 8 Debt**

Following is a summary of the Company's outstanding debt as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|--|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Amount | Weighted-Average Interest Rate | Amount | Weighted-Average Interest Rate |
| Senior Tranche A loan, due 2013 | \$100,000 | 5.75% | \$100,000 | 6.33% |
| Senior Tranche B loan, net of unamortized discount, due 2013 | 234,046 | 7.25% | 233,881 | 7.78% |
| Senior revolving credit facility, due 2013 | 75,000 | 5.75% | 145,000 | 6.27% |
| Second lien notes, due 2018 | 500,000 | 13.25% | 500,000 | 13.25% |
| Total debt | \$909,046 | | \$978,881 | |

Senior Facility The Company may elect an interest rate for the Senior Facility at each reset period based on the U.S. prime bank rate or the Eurodollar rate. Through 2008, the Company elected the Eurodollar rate as its basis. Effective with its first interest payment in 2009, the Company elected the U.S. bank prime rate as its basis. Amortization of the debt discount on the Tranche B loan recorded in Interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income was \$0.7 million and \$1.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$0.7 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, the Company has \$162.4 million of availability under the revolving credit facility, including outstanding letters of credit which reduce the amount available under the revolving credit facility. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company repaid \$70.0 million of the revolving credit facility. In August 2009, the Company repaid \$30.0 million of the amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2009. This payment will be recorded in the third quarter of 2009 and will reduce the amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility to \$45.0 million.

Second Lien Notes Prior to March 25, 2011, the Company has the option to capitalize interest at a rate of 15.25 percent. If interest is capitalized, 0.50 percent of the interest is payable in cash and 14.75 percent is capitalized into the outstanding principal balance. The Company elected to pay its interest through June 30, 2009 and anticipates that it will continue to pay the interest on the Notes for the foreseeable future.

Debt Covenants The Senior Facility has certain financial covenants, including an interest coverage ratio and a senior secured debt ratio. Under the Senior Facility, the Company must maintain a minimum interest coverage ratio of 1.5:1 through September 30, 2010, 1.75:1 from December 31, 2010 through September 30, 2012 and 2:1 from December 31, 2012 through maturity. The Company is not permitted to have a senior secured debt ratio in excess of 6.5:1 through September 30, 2009, 6.0:1 from December 31, 2009 through September 30, 2010, 5.5:1 from December 31, 2010 through September 30, 2011, 5.0:1 from December 31, 2011 through September 30, 2012 and 4.5:1 from December 31, 2012 through maturity. Both the Senior Facility and the Notes also contain a covenant requiring the Company to maintain a minimum liquidity ratio of at least 1:1 for certain assets to outstanding payment service obligations. The Company is in compliance with all covenants.

Deferred Financing Costs Amortization of deferred financing costs recorded in Interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income was \$1.8 million and \$3.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$1.8 million and \$1.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company recognized a debt extinguishment loss of \$1.5 million in connection with the modification of the Senior Facility and expensed \$0.4 million of unamortized deferred financing costs upon the termination of its \$150.0 million revolving credit facility with JPMorgan.

Interest Paid in Cash The Company paid \$24.0 million and \$48.5 million of interest for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$26.7 million and \$32.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30,

2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Pensions and Other Benefits**

Net periodic benefit expense for the Company's defined benefit pension plan and combined supplemental executive retirement plans (SERPs) includes the following components:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Service cost | \$ 223 | \$ 329 | \$ 446 | \$ 655 |
| Interest cost | 3,165 | 3,185 | 6,330 | 6,327 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2,351) | (2,560) | (4,702) | (5,137) |
| Curtailement loss | | 500 | | 500 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 87 | 605 | 174 | 712 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 944 | 678 | 1,888 | 1,313 |
| Net periodic benefit expense | \$ 2,068 | \$ 2,737 | \$ 4,136 | \$ 4,370 |

Benefits paid through the defined benefit pension plan and the combined SERPs were \$4.1 million and \$4.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$8.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. No contributions were made to the defined benefit pension plan during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. The Company made contributions to the combined SERP's totaling \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 and \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The net loss for the defined benefit pension plan and combined SERPs that the Company amortized from

Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Net periodic benefit expense was \$0.9 million (\$0.6 million, net of tax) and \$1.9 million (\$1.2 million, net of tax), during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively and \$0.7 million (\$0.4 million net of tax) and \$1.3 million (\$0.8 million net of tax), during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. The prior service costs for the defined benefit pension plan and combined SERPs amortized from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Net periodic benefit expense was not material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and was \$0.6 million (\$0.4 million, net of tax), and 0.7 million (\$0.4 million, net of tax), for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company recorded a curtailment loss of \$0.5 million and prior service costs of \$0.5 million under the Company's combined SERPs related to the departure of the Company's former chief executive officer and another executive officer.

Net periodic benefit expense for the Company's defined benefit postretirement plans include the following components:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Service cost | \$ 143 | \$ 137 | \$ 286 | \$ 272 |
| Interest cost | 209 | 207 | 418 | 411 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (88) | (88) | (176) | (176) |
| Net periodic benefit expense | \$ 264 | \$ 256 | \$ 528 | \$ 507 |

Benefits paid through, and contributions made to, the defined benefit postretirement plans were \$0.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

The net loss and prior service credit amortized from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Net periodic benefit expense for the defined benefit postretirement plans were not material during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Contribution expense for the 401(k) defined contribution plan was \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to \$0.9 million and \$1.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. In addition, the Company made a discretionary profit sharing contribution to the 401(k) defined contribution plan of \$2.0 million in each of the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents**Note 10 Mezzanine Equity**

Following is a summary of mezzanine equity activity related to Participating Convertible Preferred Stock during the six months ended June 30, 2009:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Participating Convertible Preferred Stock | |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Series B | Series B-1 |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$458,408 | \$283,804 |
| Dividends accrued | 34,075 | 18,759 |
| Accretion | 4,212 | 829 |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 | \$496,695 | \$303,392 |

Note 11 Stockholders Deficit

Common Stock On May 12, 2009, the stockholders of the Company approved the increase of the number of authorized shares of common stock to 1,300,000,000. Following is a summary of common stock issued and outstanding:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Common shares issued | 88,556 | 88,556 |
| Treasury stock | (6,037) | (5,999) |
| Restricted stock | (15) | (92) |
| Common shares outstanding | 82,504 | 82,465 |

Treasury Stock Following is a summary of treasury stock share activity during the six months ended June 30, 2009:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Treasury Stock |
|---|---------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 5,999 |
| Shares surrendered for withholding taxes upon release or forfeiture of restricted stock | 38 |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 | 6,037 |

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss The components of Accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Net unrealized gain on securities classified as available-for-sale | \$ 15,357 | \$ 9,332 |
| Net unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments | | 780 |
| Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments | 5,092 | 5,368 |
| Prior service cost for pension and postretirement benefits, net of tax | (421) | (419) |

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Unrealized losses on pension and postretirement benefits, net of tax | (56,597) | (57,768) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$(36,569) | \$(42,707) |

Note 12 Stock-Based Compensation

On May 12, 2009, the stockholders of the Company approved a modification of the 2005 Omnibus Incentive Plan to increase the authorization for the issuance of awards from 7,500,000 shares of common stock to 47,000,000 shares of common stock. As of June 30, 2009, the Company has remaining authorization to issue awards of up to 32,650,218 shares of common stock.

On January 21, 2009, the Company granted an option to purchase 4,700,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.50 to the Executive Chairman of the Board. On May 12, 2009, the Company granted an additional option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.59 to the Executive Chairman of the Board. On May 6, 2009, the Company granted an option to purchase 8,000,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.74 to the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Under the terms of the 2009 option grants, 50 percent of the award becomes exercisable through the passage of time (the Time-based Tranche). For the options awarded to the Executive Chairman, the Time-based Tranche becomes exercisable over a four-year period in an equal number of shares each year. For the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Time-based Tranche becomes exercisable over a five-year period, with 15 percent vesting immediately and the remainder vesting at rates of 10 to 20 percent each year. The remaining 50 percent of the 2009 awards (the Performance-based Tranches) becomes exercisable upon the achievement within five years of grant of the earlier of a) a pre-defined common stock price for any period of 20 consecutive trading days, b) a change in control of the Company resulting in a pre-defined per share consideration or c) in the event the Company's common stock does not trade on a U.S. exchange or trading market, a public offering resulting in the Company's common stock meeting pre-defined equity values. These options have a term of 10 years and contain certain forfeiture provisions, including the continuation of vesting terms for the twelve month period immediately following termination by the Company without cause or voluntary termination for good reason, as defined by the option agreement.

Table of Contents

For purposes of determining the fair value of these options, the Company utilized the Black-Scholes single option pricing model for the Time-based Tranches and a combination of Monte-Carlo simulation and the Black-Scholes single option pricing model for the Performance-based Tranches. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the daily price of the Company's common stock since June 30, 2004. The Company used the simplified method to estimate the expected term of the award and historical information to estimate the forfeiture rate. Under the simplified method, the expected term represents the median between the expected vesting timeframe and the contractual term of the award. The forfeiture rate, which has been estimated at zero for the 2009 option awards, represents the number of shares that will be forfeited by the grantee due to termination of employment. In estimating the expected term and forfeiture rate, the Company considered historical activity and any expectations regarding future activity which could impact these assumptions. The risk-free rate for the Black-Scholes model is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods within the expected term of the option, while the risk-free rate for the Monte-Carlo simulation is based on the five-year U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant. Compensation cost, net of expected forfeitures, is recognized using a straight-line method over the vesting or service period. Following are the weighted-average grant-date fair value and assumptions utilized to estimate the grant-date fair value of the options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2009. No stock options were granted in 2008.

| | | |
|---|-------|-----------|
| Expected dividend yield | | 0.0% |
| Expected volatility | 72.8% | 74.0% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.3% | 2.5% |
| Expected life | | 6.2 years |
| Weighted-average grant-date fair value per option | \$ | 1.01 |

Following is a summary of stock option activity for 2009:

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$'000) |
|---|------------|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 2,970,126 | \$20.49 | | |
| Granted | 13,700,000 | 1.65 | | |
| Exercised | | | | |
| Forfeited | (295,584) | 22.66 | | |
| Options outstanding at June 30, 2009 | 16,374,542 | \$ 4.69 | 8.70 years | \$ 1,826 |
| Vested or expected to vest at June 30, 2009 | 14,241,247 | \$ 5.14 | 8.54 years | \$ 1,532 |
| Options exercisable at June 30, 2009 | 3,194,161 | \$16.57 | 4.43 years | \$ 24 |

As of June 30, 2009, the Company's outstanding stock options had unrecognized compensation expense of \$11.8 million and a remaining weighted-average vesting period of 2.77 years.

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Income Taxes**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company had \$0.3 million of tax benefit on a pre-tax loss of \$3.6 million, resulting in an effective income tax rate of 7.6 percent. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company had \$0.3 million of tax expense on pre-tax income of \$8.8 million, resulting in an effective income tax rate of 3.3 percent. The effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 reflects benefits recognized on tax positions with respect to part of the net securities losses from 2008 and 2007. The Company continues to evaluate additional available tax positions related to the net securities losses. The Company received a federal income tax refund of \$43.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Company paid \$0.2 million of federal and state income taxes for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, the Company had \$8.3 million of tax expense on pre-tax income of \$23.4 million, resulting in an effective income tax rate of 35.3 percent. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company had \$26.0 million of tax expense on a pre-tax loss of \$319.7 million, resulting in a negative effective income tax rate of 8.1 percent. The effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 reflects a \$6.1 million expense resulting from non-deductible severance costs for the Company's former chief executive officer. In addition, both periods reflect the \$31.2 million unrealized gain from embedded derivatives, which is not a taxable item. The effective income tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2008 also reflects a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$16.1 million recorded in the first quarter of 2008 relating to other-than-temporary charges on securities.

For both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized \$0.2 million in interest and penalties for unrecognized tax benefits, compared to \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. The Company records interest and penalties for unrecognized tax benefits in Income tax (benefit) expense in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had accrued \$3.6 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, in interest and penalties within Accounts payable and other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings The Company is involved in various claims, litigations and government inquiries that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of the Company's business. All of these matters are subject to uncertainties and outcomes that are not predictable with certainty. The Company accrues for these matters as any resulting losses become probable and can be reasonably estimated. Further, the Company maintains insurance coverage for many claims and litigations alleged. Management does not believe that after final disposition any of these matters is likely to have a material adverse impact on our financial position.

Federal Securities Class Actions The Company and certain of its officers and directors are parties to a consolidated class action case in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota captioned *In re MoneyGram International, Inc. Securities Litigation*. The Consolidated Complaint was filed on October 3, 2008, and alleges against each defendant violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) and Rule 10b-5 under the Exchange Act and alleges against Company officers violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The Consolidated Complaint alleges failure to adequately disclose, in a timely manner, the nature and risks of the Company's investments, as well as unrealized losses and other-than-temporary impairments related to certain of the Company's investments. The complainant seeks recovery of losses incurred by stockholder class members in connection with their purchases of the Company's securities. On May 20, 2009, the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss.

ERISA Class Action On April 22, 2008, Delilah Morrison, on behalf of herself and all other MoneyGram 401(k) Plan participants, brought an action in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. The complaint alleges claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), including claims that the defendants breached fiduciary duties by failing to manage the plan's investment in Company stock, and by continuing to offer Company stock as an investment option when the stock was no longer a prudent investment. The complaint also alleges that defendants failed to provide complete and accurate information regarding Company stock sufficient to advise plan participants of the risks involved with investing in Company stock and breached fiduciary duties by failing to avoid conflicts of interests and to properly monitor the performance of plan fiduciaries and

fiduciary appointees. Finally, the complaint alleges that to the extent that the Company is not a fiduciary, it is liable for knowingly participating in the fiduciary breaches as alleged. On August 7, 2008, plaintiff amended the complaint to add an additional plaintiff, name additional defendants and additional allegations. For relief, the complaint seeks damages based on what the most profitable alternatives to Company stock would have yielded, unspecified equitable relief, costs and attorneys' fees. On March 25, 2009, the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss.

Stockholder Derivative Claims On January 22, 2008, Russell L. Berney filed a complaint in Los Angeles Superior Court against the Company and its officers and directors, Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., and PropertyBridge, Inc. and two of its officers, alleging false and negligent misrepresentation, violations of California securities laws and unfair business practices with regard to disclosure of the Company's investments. The complaint also alleges derivative claims against the Company's Board of Directors relating to the Board's oversight of disclosure of the Company's investments and with regard to the Company's negotiations with Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and Euronet Worldwide, Inc. The complaint seeks monetary damages, disgorgement, restitution or rescission of stock purchases, rescission of agreements with third parties, constructive trust and declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as attorneys' fees and costs. In July 2008, an amended complaint was filed asserting an additional claim for declaratory relief.

Table of Contents

SEC Inquiry By letter dated February 4, 2008, the Company received notice from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that it is conducting an informal, non-public inquiry relating to the Company s financial statements, reporting and disclosures related to the Company s investment portfolio and offers and negotiations to sell the Company or its assets. The SEC s notice states that it has not determined that any violations of the securities laws have occurred. On February 11, 2008 and November 5, 2008, the Company received additional letters from the SEC requesting certain information. The Company is cooperating with the SEC on a voluntary basis.

Other Matters The Company is in discussions with the staff of the Federal Trade Commission regarding customer complaints that third parties have used its money transfer services inappropriately in conjunction with consumer fraud activities. These discussions cover potential consumer redress and the Company s business practices in addressing these activities. Any potential resolution could require the Company to change its business practices and/or to make payments. In the second quarter, the Company accrued \$12.0 million toward a proposed resolution based upon facts and circumstances known at this time. There can be no assurance that the Company will reach an agreement with the staff of the Federal Trade Commission or that this matter will not result in future litigation. The Company also continues to cooperate with another government entity in a separate matter involving complaints of third-party fraud-induced money transfers.

Credit Facilities At June 30, 2009, the Company has overdraft facilities through its Senior Facility consisting of \$12.6 million of letters of credit to assist in the management of investments, the clearing of payment service obligations and international regulatory needs. All of these letters of credit are outstanding as of June 30, 2009. At June 30, 2009, the Company also has \$162.4 million of availability under the Senior Facility.

Minimum Commission Guarantees In limited circumstances, as an incentive to new or renewing agents, the Company may grant minimum commission guarantees for a specified period of time at a contractually specified amount. Under the guarantees, the Company will pay to the agent the difference between the contractually specified minimum commission and the actual commissions earned by the agent. Expense related to the guarantee is recognized in the Fee commissions expense line in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income.

As of June 30, 2009, the liability for minimum commission guarantees is \$1.1 million and the maximum amount that could be paid under the minimum commission guarantees is \$11.7 million over a weighted-average remaining term of 1.7 years. The maximum payment is calculated as the contractually guaranteed minimum commission times the remaining term of the contract and, therefore, assumes that the agent generates no money transfer transactions during the remainder of its contract. However, under the terms of certain agent contracts, the Company may terminate the contract if the projected or actual volume of transactions falls beneath a contractually specified amount. With respect to minimum commission guarantees that expired in 2008, the Company paid \$0.6 million or approximately 15 percent of the estimated maximum payment for the year.

Note 15 Earnings per Common Share

Following are the potential common shares excluded from diluted earnings per common share as their effect would be anti-dilutive:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Shares related to stock options | 13,015 | 3,890 | 9,835 | 3,973 |
| Shares related to restricted stock | 21 | 130 | 42 | 163 |
| Shares related to preferred stock | 358,771 | 317,326 | 358,771 | 317,326 |
| | 21 | | | |

Table of Contents**Note 16 Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (FAS) No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (FAS 141(R)). FAS 141(R) changes how business combinations are accounted for and disclosed, including the elimination of capitalized transaction costs and accounting for contingent consideration. The Company adopted FAS 141(R) effective January 1, 2009 with no material impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating* (FSP EITF 03-6-1). FSP EITF 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in computing earnings per share under the two-class method described in FAS 128, *Earnings per Share*. FSP EITF 03-6-1 requires companies to treat unvested share-based payment awards that have non-forfeitable rights to dividend or dividend equivalents as a separate class of securities in calculating earnings per share. The Company adopted FSP EITF 03-6-1 effective January 1, 2009 with no material impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In January 2009, the FASB issued FSP EITF 99-20-1, *Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20* (FSP EITF 99-20-1). FSP EITF 99-20-1 conforms the application of other-than-temporary impairment guidance on beneficial interests in securitized financial assets to the impairment model in FAS 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*. The Company adopted FSP EITF 99-20-1 on January 1, 2009 with no material impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1). FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends FAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods as well as in annual financial statements. The FSP also amends Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. The Company adopted FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 effective for the interim period ending June 30, 2009 and has included the required disclosures in the Notes to its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2). This FSP amends FAS No. 115 and FAS No. 124, *Accounting for Certain Investments Held by Not-for-Profit Organizations*, and EITF Issue No. 99-20, *Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interest and Beneficial Interest That Continue to Be Held by a Transferor in Securitized Assets* to make the other-than-temporary impairments guidance more operational and to improve the presentation of other-than-temporary impairments in the financial statements. This FSP will replace the existing requirement that the entity's management assert it has both the intent and ability to hold an impaired debt security until recovery with a requirement that management assert it does not have the intent to sell the security and that it is more likely than not management will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. This FSP requires increased disclosure about the credit and noncredit components of impaired debt securities that are not expected to be sold, as well as increased disclosures regarding expected cash flows, credit losses and an aging of securities with unrealized losses. The Company adopted FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 effective for the interim period ending June 30, 2009 with no material impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly* (FSP FAS 157-4). FSP FAS 157-4 amends FAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, and provides additional guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly for fair value measurements. FSP FAS 157-4 shall be applied prospectively with retrospective application not permitted. The Company adopted FSP FAS 157-4 effective for the interim period ending June 30, 2009 with no material impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP 141(R)-1, *Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies* (FSP 141(R)-1). FSP 141(R)-1 amends and clarifies FAS 141(R) to

address application issues associated with initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. FSP 141(R)-1 is effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted FSP 141(R)-1 effective January 1, 2009 with no material impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* (FAS 166), which amends the derecognition guidance in FAS No. 140 and eliminates the exemption from consolidation for qualifying special-purpose entities. This statement is effective for financial asset transfers occurring after the beginning of an entity’s first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of FAS 166 on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (FAS 167), which amends the consolidation guidance applicable to variable interest entities. This statement is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity’s first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of FAS 167 on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued FAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (FAS 165), which provides guidance to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. FAS 165 requires entities to disclose the date through which subsequent events were evaluated as well as the rationale for why that date was selected.

Table of Contents

The Company adopted the provisions of FAS 165 effective for the interim period ending June 30, 2009 with no material impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FAS No. 168, *the FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (FAS 168). With the issuance of FAS 168, the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) becomes the single source of authoritative United States accounting and reporting standards applicable for all nongovernmental entities, with the exception of guidance issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Codification does not change current accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP), but changes the referencing of financial standards and is intended to simplify user access to authoritative GAAP by providing all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. The Codification is effective with the Company's third quarter of 2009. At that time, all references made to GAAP will use the new Codification numbering system prescribed by the FASB. The Codification is not intended to change or alter existing GAAP; therefore, it is not expected to have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Note 17 Segment Information

The Company conducts its business through two reportable segments, Global Funds Transfer and Payment Systems, which are determined based upon factors such as the type of customers, the nature of products and services provided and the distribution channels used to provide those services. The Company's largest agent in the Global Funds Transfer segment, Walmart Stores, Inc. (Walmart), accounted for approximately 28.8 percent and 25.9 percent of the Company's total fee and investment revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Other unallocated expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 included \$17.7 million of executive severance and related costs and the six months ended June 30, 2008 also included \$7.7 million of costs relating to the recapitalization. The following table reconciles segment operating income (loss) to (Loss) income before income taxes as reported in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Global Funds Transfer | | | | |
| Money transfer, including bill payment | \$249,833 | \$254,744 | \$491,953 | \$ 488,600 |
| Retail money order and other | 19,910 | 17,508 | 37,482 | 2,651 |
| | 269,743 | 272,252 | 529,435 | 491,251 |
| Payment Systems | | | | |
| Official check and payment processing | 14,152 | 8,032 | 26,757 | (195,691) |
| Other | 6,099 | 5,665 | 12,462 | 7,359 |
| | 20,251 | 13,697 | 39,219 | (188,332) |
| Other | 1,187 | 139 | 2,418 | 231 |
| Total revenue | \$291,181 | \$286,088 | \$571,072 | \$ 303,150 |
| Operating income (loss) | | | | |
| Global Funds Transfer | \$ 10,905 | \$ 30,620 | \$ 47,631 | \$ 26,948 |
| Payment Systems | 9,442 | 3,904 | 16,694 | (310,949) |
| Total segment operating income (loss) | 20,347 | 34,524 | 64,325 | (284,001) |

Edgar Filing: MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Interest expense | (26,649) | (24,008) | (53,689) | (38,797) |
| Debt extinguishment loss | | | | (1,499) |
| Valuation gain on embedded derivatives | | 31,203 | | 31,203 |
| Other unallocated expenses | 2,712 | (18,299) | (1,822) | (26,601) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | \$ (3,590) | \$ 23,420 | \$ 8,814 | \$(319,695) |

23

Table of Contents

The following table presents depreciation and amortization expense and capital expenditures by segment:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | |
| Global Funds Transfer | \$12,352 | \$13,839 | \$25,504 | \$23,323 |
| Payment Systems | 2,610 | 449 | 3,820 | 5,183 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$14,962 | \$14,288 | \$29,324 | \$28,506 |
| Capital expenditures | | | | |
| Global Funds Transfer | \$ 6,022 | \$10,979 | \$12,311 | \$14,485 |
| Payment Systems | 2,803 | 58 | 3,193 | 2,418 |
| Total capital expenditures | \$ 8,825 | \$11,037 | \$15,504 | \$16,903 |

The following table presents revenue by major geographic area:

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| United States | \$201,649 | \$190,876 | \$401,100 | \$122,231 |
| International | 89,532 | 95,212 | 169,972 | 180,919 |
| Total revenue | \$291,181 | \$286,088 | \$571,072 | \$303,150 |

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes of MoneyGram International, Inc. (MoneyGram, the Company, we, us and our). This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. MoneyGram's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated due to various factors discussed under Forward-Looking Statements and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Executive Management Changes On January 21, 2009, the Board of Directors appointed Anthony P. Ryan as President and Chief Executive Officer and Pamela H. Patsley as Executive Chairman of the Board. In the first quarter of 2009, we announced the departure of David J. Parrin, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and Mary A. Dutra, Executive Vice President, Global Payment Processing and Settlement (effective September 24, 2009). In the second quarter of 2009, we announced the departure of Cindy Stemper, Executive Vice President Human Resources. On July 16, 2009, we announced the retirement of Teresa H. Johnson, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary effective September 30, 2009. On July 30, 2009, we announced that we named Jeffrey R. Woods as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. The Company is in the process of identifying replacements for the open executive positions.

Table 1 Results of Operations

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | % Change | Six Months Ended | | % Change |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | | 2009 | 2008 | |
| | (unaudited) | (unaudited) | | (unaudited) | (unaudited) | |
| Revenue | | | | | | |
| Fee and other revenue | \$278,493 | \$281,881 | (1%) | \$546,637 | \$ 544,678 | 0% |
| Investment revenue | 8,455 | 34,498 | (75%) | 20,146 | 96,063 | (79%) |
| Net securities gains (losses) | 4,233 | (30,291) | 114% | 4,289 | (337,591) | 101% |
| Total revenue | 291,181 | 286,088 | 2% | 571,072 | 303,150 | 88% |
| Fee commissions expense | 121,764 | 129,098 | (6%) | 240,308 | 246,330 | (2%) |
| Investment commissions expense | 354 | (5,385) | 107% | 753 | 91,504 | (99%) |
| Total commissions expense | 122,118 | 123,713 | (1%) | 241,061 | 337,834 | (29%) |
| Net revenue (losses) | 169,063 | 162,375 | 4% | 330,011 | (34,684) | 1051% |
| Expenses | | | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | 47,639 | 68,136 | (30%) | 99,271 | 120,435 | (18%) |
| Transaction and operations support | 71,166 | 51,335 | 39% | 115,650 | 103,364 | 12% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 14,962 | 14,288 | 5% | 29,324 | 28,506 | 3% |
| Occupancy, equipment and supplies | 12,237 | 12,391 | (1%) | 23,263 | 23,613 | (1%) |
| Interest expense | 26,649 | 24,008 | 11% | 53,689 | 38,797 | 38% |
| Valuation gain on embedded derivatives | | (31,203) | 100% | | (31,203) | 100% |
| Debt extinguishment loss | | | | | 1,499 | (100%) |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|----------|--------------|-------|
| Total expenses | 172,653 | 138,955 | 24% | 321,197 | 285,011 | 13% |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (3,590) | 23,420 | (115%) | 8,814 | (319,695) | 103% |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (273) | 8,259 | (103%) | 290 | 25,999 | (99%) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (3,317) | \$ 15,161 | (122%) | \$ 8,524 | \$ (345,694) | 102% |

Following are significant items affecting operating results during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2008:

Fee and other revenue decreased 1 percent in the second quarter of 2009 to \$278.5 million due to the decline in the Euro exchange rate and money transfer (including bill payment) average fees, partially offset by money transfer transaction volume growth. Money transfer volume (including bill payment) grew 1 percent. In the second quarter of 2009, the rate of growth in money transfer volume slowed, reflecting continued slowing economic conditions and a growing volume base.

Investment revenue decreased \$26.0 million, or 75 percent, in the second quarter of 2009 due to lower yields earned on our realigned investment portfolio and a substantial decrease in our investment balances from the termination of official check financial institution customers.

Table of Contents

We recorded \$4.2 million of net securities gains in the second quarter of 2009 from valuation gains on put options and a gain from the call of a trading investment, partially offset by unrealized losses on trading investments and other-than-temporary impairments on asset-backed securities. This is compared to \$30.3 million of net securities losses in the second quarter of 2008 from unrealized losses on trading investments and other-than-temporary impairments.

Total commissions expense decreased \$1.6 million, or 1 percent, in the second quarter of 2009. Commissions expense for the second quarter of 2008 included an unrealized gain of \$29.3 million from changes in the value of interest rate swaps related to the official check business. The decline in the federal funds rate, lower investment balances and repricing initiatives reduced investment commissions expense by \$23.6 million in the second quarter of 2009. Fee and other commissions expense decreased \$7.3 million from the decline in the Euro exchange rate and lower average commission rates, partially offset by money transfer transaction volume growth.

Interest expense increased to \$26.6 million in the second quarter of 2009 from \$24.0 million in 2008 as a result of a gain of \$4.2 million related to the termination of interest rate swaps related to our floating rate debt in the second quarter of 2008, partially offset by lower interest expense from the paydown of debt in May 2009.

Total expenses in the second quarter of 2009 increased \$33.7 million, or 24 percent, over 2008. Total expenses in 2008 reflect an unrealized gain of \$31.2 million from changes in the fair value of embedded derivatives in our preferred stock. Compensation and benefits decreased \$20.5 million in the second quarter of 2009 due to \$17.7 million of executive severance and related costs recorded in the second quarter of 2008. Transaction and operations expense increased \$19.8 million from a \$12.0 million accrual related to discussions with the Federal Trade Commission and a \$9.0 million increase in our provision for loss, primarily from the closure of an agent.

In the second quarter of 2009, we had a tax benefit of \$0.3 million on a pre-tax loss of \$3.6 million, reflecting benefits recognized on tax positions with respect to part of the net securities losses from 2008 and 2007.

A significant amount of our internationally originated transactions and settlements with international agents are conducted in the Euro. In addition, the operating expenses of most of our international subsidiaries are denominated in the Euro. During the second quarter of 2009, the average Euro to U.S. Dollar exchange rate decreased to 1.36 from 1.56 in the second quarter of 2008. The decline in the Euro exchange rate (net of hedging activities) reduced total revenue by \$8.5 million, commissions expense by \$5.0 million and operating expenses by \$5.0 million, for a net increase to our income before taxes of \$1.5 million.

Table 2 Net Fee Revenue Analysis

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | June 30, | | % Change | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2009 | 2008 | | 2009 | 2008 | |
| Fee and other revenue | \$ 278,493 | \$ 281,881 | (1%) | \$ 546,637 | \$ 544,678 | 0% |
| Fee commissions expense | (121,764) | (129,098) | 6% | (240,308) | (246,330) | 2% |
| Net fee revenue | \$ 156,729 | \$ 152,783 | 3% | \$ 306,329 | \$ 298,348 | 3% |
| Fee commissions expense as a % of fee and other revenue | 43.7% | 45.8% | | 44.0% | 45.2% | |

Fee and other revenue consists of fees on money transfer (including bill payment), money orders and official check. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, fee and other revenue remained relatively flat from 2008 as the decline in the Euro exchange rate and lower average fees were offset by money transfer volume growth. Money transfer average fees decreased from lower face values per transaction and corridor mix. Money transfer transaction volume increased 1 percent and 3 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 2008. Money transfer (including bill payment) volume growth slowed in the second quarter of 2009, reflecting continued slowing economic conditions and a growing volume base. The decline in the Euro exchange rate, net of hedging activities, reduced revenue by \$8.5 million and \$15.1 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, while lower average fees reduced revenue by \$4.0 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. Transaction volume growth resulted in incremental revenue of \$7.7 million and \$21.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. See Table 6 *Global Funds Transfer Segment* for further information regarding money transfer revenue and transaction volume.

Fee commissions expense consists primarily of fees paid to our third-party agents for the money transfer service. We generally do not pay fee commissions on our money order products. Fee commissions expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, decreased \$7.3 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, from 2008 due to the decline in the Euro exchange rate and lower average commission rates from lower average face values per transaction and corridor mix, partially offset by money transfer transaction volume growth. The decline in the Euro exchange rate, net of hedging activities, reduced commissions expense by \$5.0 million and \$9.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, while lower average commission rates reduced commissions expense by \$2.4 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. Transaction volume growth resulted in incremental commissions expense of \$1.6 million and \$6.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Net fee revenue increased 3 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to 2008, due to the decline in commissions expense compared to flat revenue.

Table of Contents**Table 3 Net Investment Revenue Analysis**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|--------|------------------|-------------|--------|
| | June 30, | | % | June 30, | | % |
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | 2009 | 2008 | Change |
| Investment revenue | \$ 8,455 | \$ 34,498 | (75%) | \$ 20,146 | \$ 96,063 | (79%) |
| Investment commissions expense (1) | (354) | 5,385 | (107%) | (753) | (91,504) | 99% |
| Net investment revenue | \$ 8,101 | \$ 39,883 | (80%) | \$ 19,393 | \$ 4,559 | 325% |
| Average balances: | | | | | | |
| Cash equivalents and investments | \$4,251,978 | \$5,178,328 | (18%) | \$4,322,589 | \$4,997,793 | (14%) |
| Payment service obligations (2) | \$3,061,485 | \$4,050,191 | (24%) | \$3,089,243 | \$4,334,531 | (29%) |
| Average yields earned and rates paid (3): | | | | | | |
| Investment yield | 0.80% | 2.68% | | 0.94% | 3.87% | |
| Investment commission rate | 0.05% | (0.53%) | | 0.05% | 4.25% | |
| Net investment margin | 0.76% | 3.10% | | 0.90% | 0.18% | |

(1) Investment commissions expense includes payments made to financial institution customers based on short-term interest rate indices on the outstanding balances of official checks sold by that financial institution.

(2) Commissions are paid to financial institution customers based upon average outstanding balances generated by the sale of official

checks only.
The average balance in the table reflects only the payment service obligations for which commissions are paid.

- (3) Average yields/rates are calculated by dividing the applicable amount of Net investment revenue by the applicable amount shown in the Average balances section, divided by the number of days in the period presented and multiplied by the number of days in the year. The Net investment margin is calculated by dividing Net investment revenue by the Cash equivalents and investments average balance, divided by the number of days in the period presented and multiplied by the number of days in the year.

Investment revenue decreased \$26.0 million, or 75 percent, and \$75.9 million, or 79 percent, in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 2008 due to lower yields earned on our realigned investment portfolio and the decrease in our investment balances from the termination of official check financial institution

customers. With the realignment of the portfolio in the first quarter of 2008, our portfolio is now comprised primarily of lower yielding cash equivalents and government agency securities. See Note 5 *Investment Portfolio* of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our investment portfolio.

Investment commissions expense was \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to revenue of \$5.4 million in 2008, which includes an unrealized gain of \$29.3 million from increases in the fair value of interest rate swaps related to the official check business. These swaps were terminated in June 2008, resulting in a \$27.7 million net loss recorded in commissions expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

See Note 6 *Derivative Financial Instruments* of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the interest rate swaps. Investment commissions paid to financial institution customers decreased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 from the decline in the federal funds rate, lower investment balances upon which commissions were paid and lower commissions rates from the official check repricing initiated in the first quarter of 2008. The federal funds rate has been so low during 2009 that most of our financial institution customers were in a negative commission position, in that we do not owe any commissions to our customers. While the majority of our contracts require that the financial institution customers pay us for the negative commission amount, we have opted at this time to impose certain per item and other fees rather than require payment of the negative commission amount. We continue to monitor the negative commissions and may decide to require payment of negative commissions at a future date.

Net investment margins of 0.76 percent and 0.90 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, reflect the federal funds rate environment and lower investment balances discussed above. The net investment margins for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 reflect the impact of the interest rate swaps, as discussed above.

Table 4 Summary of Gains, Losses and Impairments

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|--|-------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | 2009 | 2008 | Change |
| Gross realized gains | \$ | \$ 36 | \$ (36) | \$ | \$ 34,200 | \$ (34,200) |
| Gross realized losses | (2) | | (2) | (2) | (290,498) | 290,496 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | (848) | (9,124) | 8,276 | (2,929) | (54,398) | 51,469 |
| Net securities losses from available-for-sale investments | (850) | (9,088) | 8,238 | (2,931) | (310,696) | 307,765 |
| Unrealized losses from trading investments | (4,790) | (21,203) | 16,413 | (6,435) | (26,895) | 20,460 |
| Valuation gain from put options related to trading investments | 6,798 | | 6,798 | 10,580 | | 10,580 |
| Gain on call related to trading securities | 3,075 | | 3,075 | 3,075 | | 3,075 |
| Net securities gains (losses) | \$ 4,233 | \$(30,291) | \$34,524 | \$ 4,289 | \$(337,591) | \$341,880 |

Net securities gains for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 reflect a \$6.8 million and \$10.6 million, respectively, valuation gain from put options related to trading investments, offset by \$4.8 million and \$6.4 million of unrealized losses on our trading investments from continued deterioration in the markets. In addition, the call of a trading investment in the second quarter resulted in a \$3.1 million gain in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. Other-than-temporary impairments on our other asset-backed securities was \$0.8 million and \$2.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. See Note 5 *Investment Portfolio* of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

During the first quarter of 2008, we completed the realignment of our investment portfolio, resulting in the sale of securities with a fair value of \$3.2 billion (after other-than-temporary impairment charges) at December 31, 2007 for proceeds of \$2.9 billion and a net realized loss of \$256.3 million. This net realized loss was the result of further

deterioration in the markets during the first quarter of 2008 and the short timeframe over which securities were sold. Proceeds from the sales were reinvested in cash and cash equivalents. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$9.1 million and \$54.4 million, respectively, on our available-for-sale securities and unrealized losses of \$21.2 million and \$26.9 million, respectively, on our trading investments as the result of further deterioration in the market and the accumulation of ratings downgrades.

Expenses

Compensation and benefits Compensation and benefits includes salaries and benefits, management incentive programs and other employee related costs. Compensation and benefits decreased \$20.5 million, or 30 percent, and \$21.2 million, or 18 percent, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008. Severance costs were \$18.6 million and \$15.2 million lower in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 as the same periods in 2008 included severance related to the Company's former Chief Executive Officer. In addition, incentive compensation was \$3.0 million and \$6.3 million lower in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, as annual incentives were accrued at a lower tier than in 2008 and the discretionary profit sharing plan was suspended for 2009. Partially offsetting these expense reductions is a \$1.5 million and \$0.3 million increase in stock-based compensation during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. Stock-based compensation increased from the issuance of stock options to executives, partially offset by lower expense from historical grants due to the normal vesting of the awards. In addition, stock-based compensation expense in 2008 reflected a \$0.6 million benefit from the forfeiture of restricted stock upon the termination of executives. The decline in the Euro exchange rate, which is reflected in each of the amounts discussed above, decreased compensation and benefits expense by approximately \$1.5 million and \$2.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 2008.

Table of Contents

Transaction and operations support Transaction and operations support expenses include marketing costs, professional fees and other outside service costs, telecommunications and forms expense related to our products. Transaction and operations support costs increased \$19.8 million, or 39 percent, and \$12.3 million, or 12 percent, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008. In the second quarter of 2009, we recorded a \$12.0 million accrual related to the potential resolution of ongoing discussions with the staff of the Federal Trade Commission (*FTC*). Our provision for loss increased \$9.0 million and \$9.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, primarily related to the closure of an international agent. In addition, we recorded goodwill impairment charges of \$3.8 million during the second quarter of 2009 from the decision to discontinue the offering of certain bill payment products in the Global Funds Transfer segment which have been replaced by new product offerings, as well as the pending sale of a non-core business in the Payment Systems segment. Foreign exchange rate movements on our foreign denominated assets and liabilities, net of hedging activities, added \$1.1 million and \$4.2 million of expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, while professional fees increased \$1.0 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, from the implementation of the European Union Payment Services directive. Partially offsetting these incremental expenses is a decrease in marketing costs by \$4.9 million and \$9.2 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, due to controlled spending and the timing of marketing initiatives. In addition, the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 included \$1.2 million and \$7.7 million of transaction costs related to the recapitalization. The decline in the Euro exchange rate, which is reflected in each of the amounts discussed above, decreased transaction and operations support by approximately \$2.6 million and \$3.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 2008.

The Company continues to invest in business process changes, including additional systems and processes to detect and prevent consumer fraud and enhance our transaction and agent monitoring.

Depreciation and amortization Depreciation and amortization includes depreciation on point of sale equipment, agent signage, computer hardware and software, capitalized software development costs, office furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements and amortization of intangible assets. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$0.7 million, or 5 percent, and \$0.8 million, or 3 percent, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008 from capital investments in agent equipment, software and other fixed assets to support the growth of the business. The decline in the Euro exchange rate, which is reflected in each of the amounts discussed above, decreased depreciation and amortization by approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 2008.

Occupancy, equipment and supplies Occupancy, equipment and supplies includes facilities rent and maintenance costs, software and equipment maintenance costs, freight and delivery costs and supplies. Occupancy, equipment and supplies expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 1 percent, and \$0.4 million, or 1 percent, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008 from controlled spending and the timing of the roll-out of new agents and locations, offset by higher software and equipment maintenance costs to support the growth of the business. The decline in the Euro exchange rate, which is reflected in each of the amounts discussed above, decreased occupancy, equipment and supplies by approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to 2008.

Interest expense Interest expense increased to \$26.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$24.0 million in 2008, which includes a \$4.2 million unrealized gain from increases in the fair value of interest rate swaps. These swaps were terminated in June 2008, resulting in a \$2.0 million net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2008. See Note 6 *Derivative Financial Instruments* of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the loss on interest rate swaps. Interest expense for the second quarter of 2009 benefited from the paydown of \$70.0 million of debt in May 2009. Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 increased over the same period in 2008 due to the higher outstanding debt and higher interest rates resulting from the recapitalization.

Income taxes For the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company had \$0.3 million of tax benefit on a pre-tax loss of \$3.6 million, resulting in an effective income tax rate of 7.6 percent. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company had \$0.3 million of tax expense on pre-tax income of \$8.8 million, resulting in an effective income tax

rate of 3.3 percent. The effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 reflects benefits recognized on tax positions with respect to part of the net securities losses from 2008 and 2007. The Company continues to evaluate additional available tax positions related to the net securities losses. The Company received a federal income tax refund of \$43.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Company paid \$0.2 million of federal and state income taxes for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, the Company had \$8.3 million of tax expense on pre-tax income of \$23.4 million, resulting in an effective income tax rate of 35.3 percent. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company had \$26.0 million of tax expense on a pre-tax loss of \$319.7 million, resulting in a negative effective income tax rate of 8.1 percent. The effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 reflects a \$6.1 million expense resulting from non-deductible severance costs for the Company's former Chief Executive Officer. In addition, both periods reflect the \$31.2 million unrealized gain from embedded derivatives, which is not a taxable item. The effective income tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2008 also reflects a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$16.1 million recorded in the first quarter of 2008 relating to other-than-temporary charges on securities.

Acquisitions and Disposals

Raphaels Bank On February 2, 2009, we acquired the French assets of R. Raphaels & Sons PLC (Raphaels Bank) for a purchase price of \$3.2 million. The acquisition of Raphaels Bank provides us with five money transfer stores in and around Paris, France that have been integrated into our French retail operations. The preliminary purchase price allocation as of June 30, 2009 includes \$2.0 million of goodwill assigned to our Global Funds Transfer segment. The purchase price allocation is preliminary pending the completion of the valuation of fixed assets, intangible assets and deferred taxes. The operating results of Raphaels Bank subsequent to the acquisition date are included in our Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income. The financial impact of the acquisition is not material to the Consolidated Balance Sheets or Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income.

FSMC, Inc. On May 15, 2009, our subsidiary FSMC, Inc. (FSMC) entered into an asset purchase agreement with Solutran, Inc. to sell certain assets and rights for a price of \$4.5 million. As a result of the pending sale, we recorded a goodwill impairment of \$0.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009. The sale was completed in the third quarter of 2009. FSMC is a component of our Payment Systems segment. The operating results of FSMC are not material to our Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, and the assets and liabilities are not material to our Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009.

ACH Commerce On May 28, 2009, we entered into a Letter of Intent to sell assets of our ACH Commerce business to a third party. The transaction is expected to close in the last half of 2009. ACH is a component of our Payment Systems segment. The operating results of ACH Commerce are not material to our Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and the assets and liabilities are not material to our Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009.

Segment Performance

We measure financial performance by our two business segments—Global Funds Transfer and Payment Systems. The business segments are determined based upon factors such as the type of customers, the nature of products and services provided and the distribution channels used to provide those services. Through our agent network and retail locations, the Global Funds Transfer segment provides our retail consumers with money transfer services, domestic money orders and bill payment services. The Payment Systems segment provides official check services and money orders for financial institutions and controlled disbursements processing for our business customers. Segment pre-tax operating income and segment operating margin are used to evaluate performance and allocate resources.

We manage our investment portfolio on a consolidated level, with no specific investment security assigned to a particular segment. However, average investable balances are allocated to our segments based upon the average balances generated by that segment's sale of payment instruments. Investment revenue and net securities gains (losses) are allocated based upon the allocation of average investable balances. The derivatives portfolio is also managed on a consolidated level and each derivative instrument is utilized in a manner that can be identified to a particular segment. Interest rate swaps used to hedge variable rate commissions are identified with the official check product in the Payment Systems segment, while forward foreign exchange contracts are identified with the money transfer product in the Global Funds Transfer segment. Interest rate swaps related to variable rate debt were identified to Corporate activities, with the related income (expense) included in unallocated interest expense. Other unallocated expenses include pension and benefit obligation expense, director deferred compensation plan expense, executive severance, legal costs and other corporate costs not related to the performance of the segments.

Table of Contents**Table 5 Segment Information**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | 2009 | 2008 | Change |
| Operating income (loss) | | | | | | |
| Global Funds Transfer | \$ 10,905 | \$ 30,620 | \$(19,715) | \$ 47,631 | \$ 26,948 | \$ 20,683 |
| Payment Systems | 9,442 | 3,904 | 5,538 | 16,694 | (310,949) | 327,643 |
| Total segment operating income (loss) | 20,347 | 34,524 | (14,177) | 64,325 | (284,001) | 348,326 |
| Interest expense | 26,649 | 24,008 | 2,641 | 53,689 | 38,797 | 14,892 |
| Debt extinguishment loss | | | | | 1,499 | (1,499) |
| Valuation gain on embedded derivatives | | (31,203) | 31,203 | | (31,203) | 31,203 |
| Other unallocated expenses | (2,712) | 18,299 | (21,011) | 1,822 | 26,601 | (24,779) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | \$ (3,590) | \$ 23,420 | \$(27,010) | \$ 8,814 | \$(319,695) | \$ 328,509 |

Table 6 Global Funds Transfer Segment

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | % Change | 2009 | 2008 | % Change |
| Money transfer (including bill payment) | | | | | | |
| Fee and other revenue | \$ 249,726 | \$ 254,715 | (2%) | \$ 491,846 | \$ 491,600 | 0% |
| Investment revenue | 107 | 375 | (71%) | 107 | 1,081 | (90%) |
| Net securities losses | | (346) | 0% | | (4,081) | 0% |
| Total money transfer revenue | 249,833 | 254,744 | (2%) | 491,953 | 488,600 | 1% |
| Retail money order and other | | | | | | |
| Fee and other revenue | 18,072 | 16,727 | 8% | 33,914 | 33,659 | 1% |
| Investment revenue | 1,254 | 5,021 | (75%) | 2,976 | 13,870 | (79%) |
| Net securities gains (losses) | 584 | (4,240) | 114% | 592 | (44,878) | 101% |
| Total retail money order and other revenue | 19,910 | 17,508 | 14% | 37,482 | 2,651 | 1314% |
| Total Global Funds Transfer revenue | | | | | | |
| Fee and other revenue | 267,798 | 271,442 | (1%) | 525,760 | 525,259 | 0% |
| Investment revenue | 1,361 | 5,396 | (75%) | 3,083 | 14,951 | (79%) |
| Net securities gains (losses) | 584 | (4,586) | 113% | 592 | (48,959) | 101% |

Edgar Filing: MONEYGRAM INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------|------------|------------|------|
| Total Global Funds Transfer revenue | 269,743 | 272,252 | (1%) | 529,435 | 491,251 | 8% |
| Commissions expense | 121,318 | 128,551 | (6%) | 239,221 | 245,114 | (2%) |
| Net revenue | \$ 148,425 | \$ 143,701 | 3% | \$ 290,214 | \$ 246,137 | 18% |
| Operating income | \$ 10,905 | \$ 30,620 | (64%) | \$ 47,631 | \$ 26,948 | 77% |
| Operating margin | 4.0% | 11.2% | | 9.0% | 5.5% | |

Total revenue for the Global Funds Transfer segment is comprised primarily of fees on money transfers (including bill payment), as well as fees on retail money orders, investment revenue and securities gains and losses. Total revenue decreased \$2.5 million, or 1 percent, during the three months ended June 30, 2009 from lower money transfer fee and other revenue and investment revenue, partially offset by net securities losses recorded and allocated to this segment in 2008. Fee and other revenue increased \$38.2 million, or 8 percent, during the six months ended June 30, 2009 due to net securities losses recorded on our investment portfolio and allocated to this segment in 2008, partially offset by lower investment revenue. See further discussion of investment revenue under Table 3 Net Investment Revenue Analysis and of net securities gains under Table 4 Summary of Gains, Losses and Impairments.

Table of Contents

Money transfer fee and other revenue (including bill payment) decreased \$5.0 million, or 2 percent, during the three months ended June 30, 2009, while money transfer transaction volume (including bill payment) increased 1 percent. Money transfer fee and other revenue (including bill payment) remained flat during the six months ended June 30, 2009, while money transfer transaction volume (including bill payment) increased 3 percent. For both periods, the transaction volume growth was offset by the impact of the decline in the Euro exchange rate, net of hedging activities, and lower average fees from lower average face values and corridor mix. Transaction growth for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 resulted in incremental fee and other revenue of \$7.7 million and \$21.5 million, respectively, while the decline in the Euro exchange rate (net of hedging activities) decreased fee and other revenue by \$8.5 million and \$15.1 million, respectively. Lower average fees decreased our revenue by \$4.0 million and \$5.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. In 2009, the rate of growth in money transfer (including bill payment) volume slowed compared to 2008, reflecting continued slowing economic conditions and a growing volume base.

Our domestic originated transactions, which contribute lower revenue per transaction, increased 2 percent and 4 percent in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008. Internationally originated transactions (outside of North America) increased 2 percent for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. Transaction volume to Mexico decreased 9 percent and 6 percent in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, reflecting continued deterioration in the U.S. housing market and immigration concerns. Mexico represented 8 percent of our total transactions in both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

The money transfer agent base expanded 15 percent to approximately 180,000 locations in 2009, primarily due to expansion in international markets. At June 30, 2009, money transfer agents are located in the following geographic regions: 45,500 locations in Western Europe and the Middle East; 38,100 locations in North America; 25,800 locations in Latin America (including 12,700 in Mexico); 24,100 locations in Eastern Europe; 20,800 locations in the Indian subcontinent; 17,800 locations in Asia Pacific; and 7,900 locations in Africa.

Fee and other revenue for retail money order and other products increased 8 percent and 1 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, due to our repricing initiatives. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008, we implemented a phased repricing initiative and undertook a review of the risk versus reward for our money order only agents. In undertaking these initiatives, we expected fee revenue to increase, but volumes and total retail money order revenue to decline in the future from the attrition of money order agents. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, retail money order volumes declined 18 percent.

Fee commissions expense consists primarily of fees paid to our third-party agents for the money transfer service. We generally do not pay fee commissions on our money order products. Fee commissions expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, decreased \$7.2 million, or 6 percent, and \$6.0 million, or 2 percent, respectively, from 2008 due to the decline in the Euro exchange rate and lower average commission rates from lower average face values per transaction and corridor mix, partially offset by money transfer transaction volume growth. The decline in the Euro exchange rate, net of hedging activities, reduced commissions expense by \$5.0 million and \$9.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, while lower average commission rates reduced commissions expense by \$2.4 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. Transaction volume growth resulted in incremental commissions expense of \$1.6 million and \$6.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively.

Operating income of \$10.9 million and operating margin of 4.0 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2009, decreased from operating income of \$30.6 million and operating margin of 11.2 percent primarily from recording a \$12.0 million accrual related to the potential resolution of on-going discussions with the staff of the FTC and an increase in our provision for loss of \$9.0 million as a result of the closure of an international agent. Operating income of \$47.6 million and operating margin of 9.0 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2009, increased from operating income of \$26.9 million and operating margin of 5.5 percent, primarily from the net securities losses recorded and allocated to this segment in the first half of 2008.

Table of Contents**Table 7 Payment Systems Segment**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, 2009 | June 30, 2008 | % Change | June 30, 2009 | June 30, 2008 | % Change |
| Official check and payment processing revenue (losses) | | | | | | |
| Fee and other revenue | \$ 4,820 | \$ 4,679 | 3% | \$ 8,820 | \$ 8,111 | 9% |
| Investment revenue | 6,160 | 28,637 | (78%) | 14,716 | 79,785 | (82%) |
| Net securities gains (losses) | 3,172 | (25,284) | 113% | 3,221 | (283,587) | 101% |
| Total official check and payment processing revenue (losses) | 14,152 | 8,032 | 76% | 26,757 | (195,691) | 114% |
| Other revenue | | | | | | |
| Fee and other revenue | 5,876 | 5,621 | 5% | 12,032 | 11,009 | 9% |
| Investment revenue | 153 | 465 | (67%) | 360 | 1,395 | (74%) |
| Net securities gains (losses) | 70 | (421) | 117% | 70 | (5,045) | 101% |
| Total other revenue | 6,099 | 5,665 | 8% | 12,462 | 7,359 | 69% |
| Total Payment Systems revenue (losses) | | | | | | |
| Fee and other revenue | 10,696 | 10,300 | 4% | 20,852 | 19,120 | 9% |
| Investment revenue | 6,313 | 29,102 | (78%) | 15,076 | 81,180 | (81%) |
| Net securities gain (losses) | 3,242 | (25,705) | 113% | 3,291 | (288,632) | 101% |
| Total Payment Systems revenue (losses) | 20,251 | 13,697 | 48% | 39,219 | (188,332) | 121% |
| Commissions expense | 800 | (4,839) | 117% | 1,840 | 92,719 | (98%) |
| Net revenue (losses) | \$ 19,451 | \$ 18,536 | 5% | \$ 37,379 | \$ (281,051) | 113% |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ 9,442 | \$ 3,904 | 142% | \$ 16,694 | \$ (310,949) | 105% |
| Operating margin | 46.6% | 28.5% | | 42.6% | NM | |
| Average yields earned and rates paid (1): | | | | | | |
| Investment yield | 0.81% | 2.70% | | 0.96% | 3.87% | |
| Investment commission rate | 0.05% | (0.53%) | | 0.05% | 4.23% | |
| Net investment margin | 0.76% | 3.19% | | 0.91% | (0.49%) | |

NM = Not meaningful

(1) The Investment yield is calculated by dividing investment revenue by average invested funds, divided

by the number of days in the period presented and multiplied by the number of days in the year. The

Investment commission rate is calculated by dividing investment commissions expense by average payment service obligations, divided by the number of days in the period presented and multiplied by the number of days in the year.

The Net investment margin is calculated by dividing net investment revenue by average invested funds, divided by the number of days in the period presented and multiplied by the number of days in the year. Not all of the components of these calculations are shown in this table.

Total revenue for the Payment Systems segment includes investment revenue, net securities gains and losses, per-item fees charged to our official check financial institution customers and fees earned on our rebate processing business. Total revenue increased \$6.6 million, or 48 percent, and \$227.6 million, or 121 percent, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008, reflecting significant net securities losses recorded in 2008 and allocated to this segment. Investment revenue decreased in both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 due to lower yields earned on our realigned investment portfolio and the decrease in our investment

balances allocated to this segment. Fee and other revenue increased slightly due to the repricing of official check financial institution customers.

Commissions expense includes payments made to financial institution customers based on official check average investable balances and short-term interest rate indices. Commissions expense was \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to revenue of \$4.8 million in 2008, which includes an unrealized gain of \$29.3 million from increases in the fair value of swaps related to the official check business. These swaps were terminated in June 2008, resulting in a \$27.7 million net loss recorded in commissions expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008. See Note 6 *Derivative Financial Instruments* of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the loss on interest rate swaps. Investment commissions decreased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 from the decline in the federal funds rate, lower investment balances upon which commissions were paid and lower commission rates from the official check repricing initiated in the first quarter of 2008. The federal funds rate has been so low that most of our financial institution customers were in a negative commission position, meaning that we do not owe any commissions to our customers. While the majority of our contracts require that the financial institution customers pay us for the negative commissions amount, we have opted at this time to impose certain per-item and other fees rather than require payment of the negative commissions amount. We continue to monitor the negative commissions and may decide to require payment of negative commissions at a future date.

Operating income of \$9.4 million and \$16.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 increased from operating income of \$3.9 million and an operating loss of \$310.9 million in 2008, reflecting the items described above. Operating margin increased to 46.6 percent and 42.6 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, reflecting the items described above.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have various resources available to us for purposes of managing liquidity and capital needs, including our cash, cash equivalents, investments, credit facilities and letters of credit.

Liquidity

We utilize our cash and cash equivalents as the main tools to manage our daily operating liquidity needs. Our primary operating liquidity need relates to the monies required to settle our payment instruments and related fees and commissions on a daily basis. Our second primary operating liquidity need relates to the funding of the routine operating activities of the business. To meet these needs, we must have sufficient highly liquid assets to meet our obligations at all times and be able to move funds on a global and timely basis. We also have a primary objective to maintain excess liquidity beyond our operating needs to provide cushion through the normal fluctuations in, and timing of, our payment service assets and liabilities, as well as to provide liquidity for the investment in the infrastructure and growth of the business.

On average, we pay approximately \$1.0 billion a day to settle our payment instruments and make related settlements with our agents and financial institutions. We generally receive a similar amount on a daily basis from our agents and financial institutions for the face amount and related fees of our payment instruments sold. We use the incoming funds from sales of new payment instruments to settle previously sold payment instruments that are presented for payment. In simple terms, the face amount of an instrument sold today is used to settle the face amount of an instrument sold yesterday and presented for payment today. This pattern of cash flows allows us to settle our payment instruments without the need for short-term financing or routine divesting from our portfolio. Our daily net cash settlements tend to follow a pattern whereby certain days of the week are typically net cash inflow days, while other days are typically net cash outflow days. On the days with a net cash outflow, we utilize our cash equivalents to fund the shortfall. On the net cash inflow days, excess cash is reinvested in cash equivalents.

The timely remittance of funds by our agents and financial institution customers is an important component of our liquidity and allows for the pattern of cash flows described above. If the timing of the remittance of funds to us deteriorated, it would alter our pattern of cash flows and could require us to utilize our short-term portfolio for settlements with our agents more frequently. In the current economic conditions, there is a higher risk that the timing of remittances to us could lengthen or that an agent or financial institution customer could default on its remittance obligations. We are managing this risk by closely monitoring the remit patterns of our agents and financial institution customers and acting quickly when we detect deterioration in remittance timing or an alteration in payment patterns. Options available to us include the ability to deactivate an agent or financial institution customer's equipment at any time, thereby not allowing them to initiate further money transfers or issue further instruments.

The incoming cash flows related to fees paid by our consumers and income earned on our investment portfolio provide the funds for commission payments to our agents and financial institutions, as well as our operating and capital expenditure cash needs. Substantially all of our commission payments and a significant amount of our operating expenses are tied to transaction volumes. If transaction volumes and the related fee revenue declined, our commission payment needs would decline approximately in tandem. Operating expenses would also decline, but not at the same rate or in the same amount as fee revenue.

To ensure that we maintain adequate liquidity to meet our operating needs at all times, including during the current economic recession, we keep a significant portion of our portfolio in cash and cash equivalents. As of June 30, 2009, 91 percent of our investment portfolio is comprised of cash and cash equivalents. As shown in Table 8 *Unrestricted Assets* below, we have unrestricted assets of \$386.9 million. These assets would be available to us for purposes of investment in the infrastructure and growth of our business; however, we consider a portion of our unrestricted assets as additional assurance that regulatory and contractual requirements are maintained through the normal fluctuations of our payment service assets and obligations. We believe that we have sufficient assets and liquidity to operate and grow our business for the next 12 months. Should our liquidity needs exceed our operating cash flows, we believe that our external financing sources, including availability under our senior credit facility (the *Senior Facility*) will be sufficient to meet any shortfalls. Depending on market conditions and prices, our financial liquidity and other factors, and subject to limitations contained in our credit agreement and indenture, we may seek from time to time to repurchase our senior secured second lien notes (the *Notes*) and our common stock in open market purchases,

privately negotiated purchases or otherwise, and we may seek to repay all or part of our Senior Facility. The amounts involved in any such transactions, individually or in the aggregate, may be material and may be funded from available cash or from additional borrowings. In May 2009, we repaid \$70.0 million under the revolving credit facility portion of the Senior Facility. In August 2009, the Company repaid \$30.0 million of the amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2009. This payment will be recorded in the third quarter of 2009 and will reduce the amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility to \$45.0 million.

Table of Contents

We move and receive money through a network of clearing and cash management banks. The relationships with these clearing banks and cash management banks are a critical component of our ability to move monies on a global and timely basis. We have agreements with 13 clearing banks that provide clearing and processing functions for official checks, money orders and share drafts, with two of these banks expected to be consolidated in 2009 due to an acquisition. Due to concerns over the impact of the credit market disruption on our business, we agreed with certain of our clearing banks to make funding changes, including providing additional intra-day funding, during the first quarter of 2008. These changes reduce the clearing banks' exposure if we were unable to settle our obligations with them. At no time in the past have we failed to settle with our clearing banks in full. As a result of the credit market disruption, financial institutions in general began to reduce their credit exposure to preserve their capital base. Three banks that clear official checks gave us notice in 2008 that they will not renew their clearing agreements when those agreements expire in mid-2009. The loss of our clearing arrangements with these three clearing banks has not had an adverse effect on our official check business as we are moving the affected clearing volume to the remaining clearing banks. In the second half of 2008, one clearing bank extended its agreement with us for a five-year period and another large bank extended its agreement with us for a three-year period. After the exit of the three banks in 2009, we will have five official check clearing banks, all of which are able to increase their clearing activity for us as needed. We believe these relationships provide sufficient capacity for our official check business. We rely on two banks to clear our retail money orders. We entered into a new five-year agreement with the smaller of our two money order clearing banks in early 2009 and are in the process of negotiating a new agreement with our primary money order clearing bank. We also maintain contractual relationships with a variety of domestic and international cash management banks for ACH and wire transfer services for the movement of consumer funds and agent settlements. There are a limited number of international cash management banks with a network large enough to manage cash settlements for our entire agent base. In the first half of 2008, our current international cash management bank informed us of its intent to terminate our relationship. We have successfully completed the process of securing a new primary international cash management banking relationship and have completed many of the conversions.

For certain of our financial institution customers, we established individual special purpose entities (SPEs) upon the origination of our relationship. Along with operational processes and certain financial covenants, these SPEs provide the financial institutions with additional assurance of our ability to clear their official checks. Under these relationships, the cash, cash equivalents, investments and payment service obligations related to the financial institution customer are all held by the SPE. In most cases, the fair value of the cash, cash equivalents and investments must be maintained in excess of the payment service obligations. As the financial institution customer sells our payment service instruments, the face amount of the instrument and any fees are paid into the SPE. As payment service instruments issued by the financial institution customer are presented for payment, the cash and cash equivalents within the SPE are used to settle the instrument. As a result, cash and cash equivalents within SPEs are generally not available for use outside of the SPE. We remain liable to satisfy the obligations, both contractually and under the Uniform Commercial Code, as the issuer and drawer of the official checks regardless of the existence of the SPEs. Accordingly, we consolidate all of the assets and liabilities of these SPEs in our Consolidated Balance Sheets, with the individual assets and liabilities of the SPEs classified in a manner similar to our other assets and liabilities. The combined SPEs hold 4 percent of our \$4.3 billion portfolio as of June 30, 2009 as compared to 6 percent at December 31, 2008.

Contractual and Regulatory Capital

Our capital needs derive from our Senior Facility and the Notes, certain clearing bank contracts, the SPEs and state regulatory requirements as set forth below, and are based on a requirement to maintain certain assets in a defined ratio to our payment service obligation. We monitor our compliance with these capital needs by monitoring our unrestricted assets measure, which we define as cash, cash equivalents, agent receivables, trading and available-for-sale investments and put options related to trading investments in excess of our payment service obligations. As our cash, receivables and payment service obligations generally move in tandem, our unrestricted assets serve as our capital base. Due to the continuous nature of the sales and settlement of our payment instruments described above, we are able to maintain this capital base to provide for long-term capital needs. Our primary capital objective is to have unrestricted assets in an amount which allows us to maintain compliance with all contractual and regulatory

requirements during the normal fluctuations in the value of our assets and liabilities. Assets restricted for regulatory or contractual reasons are not available to satisfy working capital or other investing or financing needs.

Our Senior Facility, the Notes, one clearing bank contract and the SPEs contain certain financial covenants that require us to maintain pre-defined ratios of certain assets to payment service obligations as presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. One clearing bank contract has financial covenants that include the maintenance of total cash, cash equivalents, receivables and investments in an amount at least equal to total outstanding payment service obligations, as well as the maintenance of a minimum 103 percent ratio of total assets held at that bank to instruments estimated to clear through that bank. Financial covenants related to the SPEs include the maintenance of specified ratios, typically greater than 100 percent, of cash, cash equivalents and investments held in the SPE to outstanding payment instruments issued by the related financial institution. In addition, under limited circumstances, the financial institution customers who are beneficiaries of the SPEs have the right to either demand liquidation of the assets in the SPEs or to replace us as the administrator of the SPE. Such limited circumstances consist of material, and in most cases continued, failure to uphold our warranties and obligations pursuant to the underlying agreements with the financial institutions.

Table of Contents

In addition, through our wholly owned subsidiary and licensed entity, MoneyGram Payment Systems, Inc. (*MPSI*), we are regulated by various state agencies that generally require us to maintain a pool of liquid assets and investments with a rating of A or higher in an amount generally equal to the regulatory payment service obligation measure, as defined by the state, for our regulated payment instruments, namely teller checks, agent checks, money orders and money transfers. The regulatory requirements are similar to, but less restrictive than, our internal unrestricted assets measure set forth in Table 8 *Unrestricted Assets* below. The regulatory payment service obligation measure varies by state, but in all cases is substantially lower than our payment service obligations as disclosed in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as we are not regulated by state agencies for payment service obligations resulting from outstanding cashier's checks or for amounts payable to agents and brokers. All states require *MPSI* to maintain positive net worth, with one state also requiring *MPSI* to maintain positive tangible net worth of \$100.0 million.

We are in compliance with all contractual and financial state regulatory requirements. The regulatory and contractual requirements do not require us to specify individual assets held to meet our payment service obligations, nor are we required to deposit specific assets into a trust, escrow or other special account. Rather, we must maintain a pool of liquid assets. Provided we maintain a total pool of liquid assets sufficient to meet the regulatory and contractual requirements, we are able to withdraw, deposit or sell our individual liquid assets at will, with no prior notice or penalty or limitations.

Table 8 Unrestricted Assets

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents (substantially restricted) | \$ 3,973,685 | \$ 4,077,381 |
| Receivables, net (substantially restricted) | 1,098,388 | 1,264,885 |
| Trading investments (substantially restricted) | 13,260 | 21,485 |
| Put options related to trading investments | 24,049 | 26,505 |
| Available-for-sale investments (substantially restricted) | 357,432 | 438,774 |
| | 5,466,814 | 5,829,030 |
| Amounts restricted to cover payment service obligations | (5,079,941) | (5,437,999) |
| Excess in unrestricted assets | \$ 386,873 | \$ 391,031 |

In completing the recapitalization in March 2008, we contemplated that our investments classified as trading investments and other asset-backed securities might decline further in value. Accordingly, the capital we raised assumed a zero value for these securities. As a result, further unrealized losses and impairments on these securities are already funded and would not cause us to seek additional capital or financing. We believe that our current investment portfolio and operating cash flows are sufficient to ensure on-going compliance with contractual and regulatory requirements in the future as a result of the realignment of the portfolio and the recapitalization. Should capital needs exceed our investment portfolio and operating cash flows, we believe our external financing sources, including availability under the Senior Facility, will be sufficient to meet any shortfalls. We do not anticipate the use of our Senior Facility to maintain compliance in the future. In August 2009, we repaid \$30.0 million of the amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2009. This payment will be recorded in the third quarter of 2009 and will reduce our unrestricted assets.

Other Funding Sources and Requirements

Contractual Obligations The following table includes aggregated information about our contractual obligations that impact our liquidity and capital needs. The table includes information about payments due under specified contractual obligations, aggregated by type of contractual obligation.

Table 9 Contractual Obligations

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Total | Payments due by period | | | More than 5 years |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | |
| Debt, including interest payments | \$1,608,260 | \$ 98,015 | \$194,753 | \$567,975 | \$747,517 |
| Operating leases | 54,401 | 12,684 | 28,017 | 10,559 | 3,141 |
| Other obligations | 612 | 612 | | | |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$1,663,273 | \$111,311 | \$222,770 | \$578,534 | \$750,658 |

Debt consists of amounts outstanding under the term loan and revolving credit facility at June 30, 2009, as disclosed in Note 8 *Debt* of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, as well as related interest payments, facility fees and annual commitment fees. Included in our Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2009 is \$909.0 million of debt, net of unamortized discounts of \$12.8 million, and \$0.1 million of accrued interest on the debt.

Table of Contents

The above table reflects the principal and interest that will be paid through the maturity of the debt using the rates in effect on June 30, 2009. At June 30, 2009, we had outstanding borrowings under the Senior Facility of \$421.9 million. Our outstanding debt has a floating interest rate indexed to either the U.S. prime bank rate or the Eurodollar rate based on our election. For disclosure purposes, the interest rate for future periods has been assumed to be 5.75 to 7.25 percent, which are the rates in effect on June 30, 2009 based on the U.S. prime bank rate. We have a quarterly principal payment of \$0.6 million on the Tranche B loan, with the remainder of the principal due in full in March 2013. In August 2009, we repaid \$30.0 million of the amount outstanding at June 30, 2009 under the revolving credit facility portion of the Senior Facility. This payment will be recorded in the third quarter of 2009. At June 30, 2009, we had outstanding borrowings under the Notes of \$500.0 million. The interest expense on the Notes is payable quarterly at a rate of 13.25 percent. Prior to March 25, 2011, we can elect to capitalize the interest when due, but if so elected, the interest rate increases to 15.25 percent. We have paid the interest payments due on the Notes and Table 9 *Contractual Obligations* assumes that we will continue to pay interest as due. Operating leases consist of various leases for buildings and equipment used in our business. Other obligations are unfunded capital commitments related to our limited partnership interests included in our investment portfolio. We have other commitments as described further below that are not included in Table 9.

The Series B Stock has a cash dividend rate of 10 percent. At our option, dividends may be accrued through March 25, 2013 at a rate of 12.5 percent in lieu of paying a cash dividend. Due to restrictions in our debt agreements, we are accruing the dividends and expect that dividends will be accrued and not paid in cash for the foreseeable future. While no cash dividends have been declared as of June 30, 2009, we have accrued dividends of \$129.4 million in our Consolidated Balance Sheets as accumulated and unpaid dividends are included in the redemption price of the Series B Stock regardless of whether dividends have been declared.

We have a funded, noncontributory pension plan that is frozen to both future benefit accruals and new participants. Our funding policy has historically been to contribute at least the minimum contribution required by applicable regulations. We were not required to and did not make a contribution to the funded pension plan during 2008. The fair value of the pension plan assets declined by \$30.6 million during the year as a result of the severe market deterioration in 2008, reducing the pension plan's funded status by approximately 20 percent. This decline in the funded status will accelerate minimum required contributions in the future, beginning with an estimated minimum required contribution of \$3.0 million for 2009. We also have certain unfunded pension and postretirement plans that require benefit payments over extended periods of time. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we paid benefits totaling \$1.2 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, related to these unfunded plans. Benefit payments under these unfunded plans are expected to be \$2.2 million for the remainder of 2009. Expected contributions and benefit payments under these plans are not included in the table above.

As of June 30, 2009, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits is \$12.7 million. As there is a high degree of uncertainty regarding the timing of potential future cash outflows associated with liabilities relating to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. (FIN) 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the amount and period in which these liabilities might be paid. In limited circumstances, we may grant minimum commission guarantees as an incentive to new or renewing agents, for a specified period of time at a contractually specified amount. Under the guarantees, we will pay to the agent the difference between the contractually specified minimum commission and the actual commissions earned by the agent. As of June 30, 2009, the minimum commission guarantees had a maximum payment of \$11.7 million over a weighted average remaining term of 1.7 years. The maximum payment is calculated as the contractually guaranteed minimum commission times the remaining term of the contract and, therefore, assumes that the agent generates no money transfer transactions during the remainder of its contract. As of December 31, 2008, the liability for minimum commission guarantees was \$1.3 million. Minimum commission guarantees are not reflected in the table above.

Table of Contents**Analysis of Cash Flows****Table 10 Cash Flows Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (3,317) | \$ 15,161 | \$ 8,524 | \$ (345,694) |
| Total adjustments to reconcile net income | 55,376 | 31,044 | 85,889 | 343,652 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities before changes in payment service assets and obligations | 52,059 | 46,205 | 94,413 | (2,042) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents (substantially restricted) | (68,903) | 138,580 | 103,696 | (2,933,085) |
| Change in receivables, net (substantially restricted) | 7,265 | (178,682) | 151,290 | (556,728) |
| Change in trading investments (substantially restricted) | 17,900 | | 17,900 | |
| Change in payment service obligations | 12,774 | (19,606) | (358,058) | (1,125,913) |
| Net change in payment service assets and obligations | (30,964) | (59,708) | (85,172) | (4,615,726) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 21,095 | \$ (13,503) | \$ 9,241 | \$ (4,617,768) |

Operating activities provided net cash of \$21.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009. Cash generated from our operations in the three months ended June 30, 2009 was used to pay \$24.0 million and \$70.6 million of interest and principal, respectively, on our debt. These expenditures were offset by proceeds of \$58.7 million from the maturity of available-for-sale investments and \$17.9 million from a trading security that was called, both of which were reinvested in cash equivalents. Operating activities used net cash of \$13.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The use of cash is primarily related to the termination of our interest rate swaps for \$29.7 million and the payment of \$26.7 million of interest expense. These expenditures were offset by proceeds of \$26.0 million from the maturity of available-for-sale investments and \$12.8 million from interest from available-for-sale investments, both of which were reinvested in cash equivalents, as well as receipt of a federal tax refund of \$24.7 million.

Operating activities provided net cash of \$9.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Cash generated from our operations in the six months ended June 30, 2009 was used to pay \$48.5 million and \$71.3 million of interest and principal, respectively, on our debt, \$11.9 million in signing bonuses to agents and normal operating expenditures. These expenditures were offset by proceeds of \$81.5 million from the maturity of available-for-sale investments and \$17.9 million from a trading security that was called, both of which were reinvested in cash and cash equivalents. We received a \$43.5 million federal income tax refund during the first quarter of 2009. Operating activities used net cash of \$4.6 billion during the six months ended June 30, 2008 primarily from the investment of \$4.6 billion of net proceeds from the sale and maturity of investments and the recapitalization into cash and cash equivalents.

Table 11 Cash Flows Provided By Investing Activities**Three Months Ended****Six Months Ended**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | June 30, | | June 30, | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net investment activity | \$58,678 | \$ 26,011 | \$ 81,538 | \$3,342,107 |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (9,148) | (11,883) | (16,319) | (17,437) |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | | (3,210) | |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | \$49,530 | \$ 14,128 | \$ 62,009 | \$3,324,670 |

Investing activities provided cash of \$49.5 million and \$62.0 million during the three and six months ended June 30 2009, respectively, primarily from proceeds from the normal maturity of available-for-sale investments of \$58.7 million and \$81.5 million, respectively. We paid \$3.2 million in February 2009 in connection with the acquisition of Raphaels Bank to expand our network in France for the Global Funds Transfer segment. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, investing activities provided cash of \$3.3 billion through \$2.9 billion of proceeds from the sale of securities to realign the investment portfolio and \$446.1 million of proceeds from normal maturities of available-for-sale investments. These proceeds were reinvested in cash and cash equivalents as reflected in Table 10 *Cash Flows Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities*.

Table of Contents**Table 12 Cash Flows (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net proceeds from the issuance of debt | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 685,945 |
| Payment on debt | (625) | (625) | (1,250) | (625) |
| Payment on revolving credit facility | (70,000) | | (70,000) | (100,000) |
| Net proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock | | | | 707,778 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | \$(70,625) | \$(625) | \$(71,250) | \$1,293,098 |

Financing activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 used \$0.6 million of cash for the \$0.6 million quarterly payment on Tranche B of the Senior Facility and \$70.0 million for a payment on the revolving credit facility. For the first quarter of 2008, the recapitalization completed on March 25, 2008 generated proceeds of \$685.9 million, net of transaction costs of \$47.8 million, from the issuance of debt and proceeds of \$707.8 million, net of transaction costs of \$52.2 million, from the issuance of preferred stock. A portion of these proceeds was used to pay \$100.0 million on the revolving credit facility. The remaining proceeds were invested in cash and cash equivalents as reflected in Table 10 *Cash Flows Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities*.

Mezzanine Equity and Stockholders Deficit

Under the terms of the equity instruments and debt issued in connection with our 2008 recapitalization, we are limited in our ability to pay dividends on our common stock. No dividends were paid on our common stock in 2008 and we do not anticipate declaring any dividends on our common stock during 2009.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. On a regular basis, management reviews the accounting policies, assumptions and estimates to ensure that our financial statements are presented fairly and in accordance with GAAP.

Critical accounting policies are those policies that management believes are most important to the portrayal of our financial position and results of operations, and that require management to make estimates that are difficult, subjective or complex. There were no changes to our critical accounting policies during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. For further information regarding our critical accounting policies, refer to Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 16 *Recent Accounting Pronouncements* of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of recent accounting pronouncements.

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may contain forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operation, plans, objectives, future performance and business of MoneyGram International, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Statements preceded by, followed by or that include words such as may, will, expect, anticipate, continue, estimate, project, believes or similar expressions are intended to identify some of the forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are included, along with this statement, for purposes of complying with the safe harbor provisions of that Act. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the

forward-looking statements due to, among others, the risks and uncertainties described in Part I, Item 1A under the caption **Risk Factors** of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as well as the various factors described below. Since it is not possible to foresee all such factors, you should not consider these factors to be a complete list of all risks or uncertainties. We undertake no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements for any reason, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by federal securities law.

Substantial Debt Service and Dividend Obligations. Our substantial debt service and dividend obligations and our covenant requirements may adversely impact our ability to obtain additional financing and to operate and grow our business and may make us more vulnerable to negative economic conditions.

Table of Contents

Significant Dilution to Common Stockholders and Control of New Investors. The Series B Stock issued to the Investors at the closing of the recapitalization, dividends accrued on the Series B Stock post-closing and special voting rights provided to the Investors designees on the Company's Board of Directors significantly dilute the interests of our common stockholders and give the Investors control of the Company.

Sustained Financial Market Disruptions. Disruption in global capital and credit markets may adversely affect our liquidity, our agents' liquidity, our access to credit and capital, our agents' access to credit and capital and our earnings on our investment portfolio.

Sustained Negative Economic Conditions. Negative economic conditions generally and in geographic areas or industries that are important to our business may cause a decline in our transaction volume and/or revenue, and we may be unable to timely and effectively reduce our operating costs or take other actions in response to a significant decline.

International Migration Patterns. A material slow down or complete disruption of international migration patterns could adversely affect our money transfer volume and growth rate.

Retention of Global Funds Transfer Agents and Billers. We may be unable to maintain retail agent or biller relationships or we may experience a reduction in transaction volume from these relationships.

Interest Rate Fluctuations. Fluctuations in interest rates may negatively affect the net investment margin of our Official Check and Money Order businesses.

Repricing of our Official Check and Money Order Businesses. We may be unable to operate our official check and money order businesses profitably as a result of our revised pricing strategies, and our revised pricing strategies could lead to the loss of customers we wish to retain.

Stockholder and other Litigation and Related Risks. Stockholder lawsuits and other litigation or government investigations of the Company or its agents could result in material settlements, fines, penalties or legal fees.

Maintenance of Banking Relationships. We may be unable to maintain existing or establish new banking relationships, including the Company's domestic and international clearing bank relationships, which could adversely affect our business, results of operation and our financial condition.

Loss of Key Employees. We may be unable to attract and retain key employees.

Failure to Maintain Sufficient Capital. We may be unable to maintain sufficient capital to pursue our growth strategy, fund key strategic initiatives, and meet evolving regulatory requirements.

Credit Risks. If we are unable to manage credit risks from our retail agents and official check financial institution customers, which risks may increase during negative economic conditions, our business could be harmed.

Fraud Risks. If we are unable to manage fraud risks from consumers or certain agents, which risks may increase during negative economic conditions, our business could be harmed.

Development of New and Enhanced Products and Related Investment. We may be unable to successfully and timely implement new or enhanced technology and infrastructure, delivery methods and product and service offerings and to invest in new products or services and infrastructure.

Intellectual Property. If we are unable to adequately protect our brand and other intellectual property rights and avoid infringing on third-party intellectual property rights, our business could be harmed.

Competition. We may be unable to compete effectively against our large competitors, niche competitors or new competitors that may enter the markets in which we operate.

U.S. and International Regulation. Failure by us or our agents to comply with the laws and regulatory requirements in the U.S. and abroad, or changes in laws, regulations or other industry practices and standards could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Operation in Politically Volatile Areas. Offering money transfer services through agents in regions that are politically volatile or, in a limited number of cases, are subject to certain Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) restrictions could cause contravention of U.S. law or regulations by us or our agents, subject us to fines and penalties and cause us reputational harm.

Table of Contents

Network and Data Security. A significant security or privacy breach in our facilities, networks or databases could harm our business.

Systems Interruption. A breakdown, catastrophic event, security breach, improper operation or other event impacting our systems or processes or the systems or processes of our vendors, agents and financial institution customers could result in financial loss, loss of customers, regulatory sanctions and damage to our brand and reputation.

Technology Scalability. We may be unable to scale our technology to match our business and transactional growth.

Company Retail Locations and Acquisitions. If we are unable to manage risks associated with running Company-owned retail locations and acquiring businesses, our business could be harmed.

International Risks. Our business and results of operation may be adversely affected by political, economic or other instability in countries that our important to our business.

Tax Matters. An unfavorable outcome with respect to the audit of our tax returns or tax positions, or a failure by us to establish adequate reserves for tax events, could adversely affect our results of operations.

Internal Controls. Our inability to maintain compliance with the internal control provisions of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Overhang of Convertible Preferred Stock to Float. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock or the perception that significant sales could occur following the conversion of preferred stock, may depress the trading price of our common stock.

Change of Control Restrictions. An Agreement between the Investors and Walmart could prevent an acquisition of the Company.

Anti-Takeover Provisions. Our capital structure, our charter documents or specific provisions of Delaware law may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a merger or change of control of our Company.

NYSE Delisting. We may be unable to continue to satisfy the NYSE criteria for listing on the exchange.

Other Factors. Additional risk factors may be described in our other filings with the SEC from time to time. Actual results may differ materially from historical and anticipated results. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made, and we undertake no obligation to update such statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after such date.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in our market risk since December 31, 2008. For further information on market risk, refer to Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Enterprise Risk Management in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures As of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the interim principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and interim principal

financial officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

Legal Proceedings We are involved in various claims, litigations and government inquiries that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of our business. All of these matters are subject to uncertainties and outcomes that are not predictable with certainty. We accrue for these matters as any resulting losses become probable and can be reasonably estimated. Further, we maintain insurance coverage for many claims and litigations alleged. Management does not believe that after final disposition any of these matters is likely to have a material adverse impact on our financial position.

Federal Securities Class Actions The Company and certain of its officers and directors are parties to a consolidated class action case in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota captioned *In re MoneyGram International, Inc. Securities Litigation*. The Consolidated Complaint was filed on October 3, 2008, and alleges against each defendant violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) and Rule 10b-5 under the Exchange Act and alleges against Company officers violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The Consolidated Complaint alleges failure to adequately disclose, in a timely manner, the nature and risks of the Company's investments, as well as unrealized losses and other-than-temporary impairments related to certain of the Company's investments. The complainant seeks recovery of losses incurred by stockholder class members in connection with their purchases of the Company's securities. On May 20, 2009, the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss.

ERISA Class Action On April 22, 2008, Delilah Morrison, on behalf of herself and all other MoneyGram 401(k) Plan participants, brought an action in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. The complaint alleges claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), including claims that the defendants breached fiduciary duties by failing to manage the plan's investment in Company stock, and by continuing to offer Company stock as an investment option when the stock was no longer a prudent investment. The complaint also alleges that defendants failed to provide complete and accurate information regarding Company stock sufficient to advise plan participants of the risks involved with investing in Company stock and breached fiduciary duties by failing to avoid conflicts of interests and to properly monitor the performance of plan fiduciaries and fiduciary appointees. Finally, the complaint alleges that to the extent that the Company is not a fiduciary, it is liable for knowingly participating in the fiduciary breaches as alleged. On August 7, 2008, plaintiff amended the complaint to add an additional plaintiff, name additional defendants and additional allegations. For relief, the complaint seeks damages based on what the most profitable alternatives to Company stock would have yielded, unspecified equitable relief, costs and attorneys' fees. On March 25, 2009, the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss.

Stockholder Derivative Claims On January 22, 2008, Russell L. Berney filed a complaint in Los Angeles Superior Court against the Company and its officers and directors, Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., and PropertyBridge, Inc. and two of its officers, alleging false and negligent misrepresentation, violations of California securities laws and unfair business practices with regard to disclosure of the Company's investments. The complaint also alleges derivative claims against the Company's Board of Directors relating to the Board's oversight of disclosure of the Company's investments and with regard to the Company's negotiations with Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and Euronet Worldwide, Inc. The complaint seeks monetary damages, disgorgement, restitution or rescission of stock purchases, rescission of agreements with third parties, constructive trust and declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as attorneys' fees and costs. In July 2008, an amended complaint was filed asserting an additional claim for declaratory relief.

SEC Inquiry By letter dated February 4, 2008, the Company received notice from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that it is conducting an informal, non-public inquiry relating to the Company's financial statements, reporting and disclosures related to the Company's investment portfolio and offers and negotiations to sell the Company or its assets. The SEC's notice states that it has not determined that any violations of the securities laws have occurred. On February 11, 2008 and November 5, 2008, the Company received additional letters from the SEC requesting certain information. The Company is cooperating with the SEC on a voluntary basis.

Other Matters The Company is in discussions with the staff of the Federal Trade Commission regarding customer complaints that third parties have used our money transfer services inappropriately in conjunction with consumer

fraud activities. These discussions cover potential consumer redress and our business practices in addressing these activities. Any potential resolution could require us to change our business practices and/or to make payments. In the second quarter, we accrued \$12.0 million toward a proposed resolution based upon facts and circumstances known at this time. There can be no assurance that we will reach an agreement with the staff of the Federal Trade Commission or that this matter will not result in future litigation. We also continue to cooperate with another government entity in a separate matter involving complaints of third-party fraud-induced money transfers.

Table of Contents**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Except as set forth below, there have been no changes in the risk factors set forth in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. For further information, refer to Part I, Item IA, "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The following risk factor has been restated in its entirety, as follows:

If we cannot meet the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) continued listing requirements, the NYSE may delist our common stock.

Our common stock is currently listed on the NYSE. The NYSE requires us to maintain an average closing price of our common stock of \$1.00 or higher over 30 consecutive trading days as well as to maintain average market capitalization and stockholders' equity of at least \$75 million. In December 2008, we received notice from the NYSE that our stock price was below listing requirements. In March 2009, the NYSE notified us that our share price was above the NYSE's minimum listing requirements.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On November 18, 2004, our Board of Directors authorized a plan to repurchase, at our discretion, up to 2,000,000 shares of MoneyGram common stock on the open market. On August 18, 2005, our Board of Directors increased its share buyback authorization by 5,000,000 shares to a total of 7,000,000 shares. On May 9, 2007, our Board of Directors increased its share buyback authorization by an additional 5,000,000 shares to a total of 12,000,000 shares. These authorizations were announced publicly in our press releases issued on November 18, 2004, August 18, 2005 and May 9, 2007, respectively.

The repurchase authorization is effective until such time as the Company has repurchased 12,000,000 common shares. MoneyGram common stock tendered to the Company in connection with the exercise of stock options or vesting of restricted stock are not considered repurchased shares under the terms of the repurchase authorization. As of December 31, 2008, we have repurchased 6,795,000 shares of our common stock under this authorization and have remaining authorization to repurchase up to 5,205,000 shares. The Company did not repurchase any shares during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. However, the Company may consider repurchasing shares from time-to-time, subject to limitations in our debt agreements.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The stockholders of the Company voted on seven items at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 12, 2009

1. An amendment to the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized shares of common stock of the Company was approved based on the following votes:

| | |
|---------|-------------|
| For | 399,773,288 |
| Against | 16,967,535 |
| Abstain | 99,825 |

2. An amendment to the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse stock split at the discretion of our Board of Directors was approved based on the following votes:

| | |
|---------|-------------|
| For | 414,317,586 |
| Against | 2,449,528 |
| Abstain | 73,534 |

3. An amendment to the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to provide for proportional voting of directors was approved based on the following votes:

| | |
|------------------|-------------|
| For | 395,806,689 |
| Against | 657,633 |
| Abstain | 46,223 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 20,330,104 |

4. An amendment to the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to declassify our Board of Directors and to provide for one-year terms of office for all directors was approved based on the following votes:

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------|-------------|
| For | 414,838,034 |
| Against | 1,849,297 |
| Abstain | 153,317 |

5. Amendments to the MoneyGram International, Inc. 2005 Omnibus Incentive Plan were approved based on the following votes:

| | |
|------------------|-------------|
| For | 382,814,391 |
| Against | 13,646,419 |
| Abstain | 49,734 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 20,330,105 |

6. As a result of the approval of the declassification of our Board, the following nine Directors; all of the members of our Board, were elected to serve one-year terms based on the following votes:

| Nominee | For | Against | Abstain |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Thomas M. Hagerty | 405,758,014 | 10,728,036 | 354,598 |
| Jess T. Hay | 404,226,048 | 12,260,657 | 353,943 |
| Scott L. Jaeckel | 404,704,187 | 11,777,202 | 359,259 |
| Seth W. Lawry | 404,334,149 | 12,140,065 | 366,433 |
| Pamela H. Patsley | 405,776,626 | 10,711,490 | 352,532 |
| Ganesh B. Rao | 405,421,975 | 11,198,917 | 219,756 |
| Othón Ruiz Montemayor | 405,269,148 | 11,349,028 | 222,472 |
| Anthony P. Ryan | 406,580,073 | 10,056,215 | 204,361 |
| Albert M. Teplin | 405,273,212 | 11,355,062 | 212,375 |

7. The ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the independent registered accounting firm for the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the 2009 fiscal year received the following votes:

| | |
|---------|-------------|
| For | 416,058,740 |
| Against | 625,820 |
| Abstain | 156,087 |

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits are filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MoneyGram International, Inc.
(Registrant)

August 7, 2009

By: /s/ Jean C. Benson
Senior Vice President and Controller
(principal accounting officer and interim
principal financial officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|--|
| 4.1 | Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of MoneyGram International, Inc. as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 12, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed June 3, 2009). |
| 10.1 | Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated May 6, 2009, between MoneyGram International, Inc. and Anthony P. Ryan (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 12, 2009). |
| 10.2 | Severance Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2009, between MoneyGram International, Inc. and Anthony P. Ryan (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.02 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 12, 2009). |
| 10.3 | Employee Trade Secret, Confidential Information and Post-Employment Restriction Agreement, dated May 6, 2009, between MoneyGram Payment Systems, Inc. and Anthony P. Ryan (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.03 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 12, 2009). |
| 10.4 | Agreement and Release, dated May 6, 2009, between MoneyGram International, Inc. and Anthony P. Ryan (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.04 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 12, 2009). |
| 10.5 | Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of May 12, 2009, between MoneyGram International, Inc. and Pamela H. Patsley (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 18, 2009). |
| 10.6 | Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated May 12, 2009, between MoneyGram International, Inc. and Pamela H. Patsley (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.02 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 18, 2009). |
| 10.7 | Separation Agreement and Release of All Claims, dated as of July 16, 2009, between MoneyGram International, Inc. and Teresa H. Johnson (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 16, 2009). |
| 10.8 | Offer Letter, dated July 28, 2009, between MoneyGram International, Inc. and Jeffrey R. Woods (incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2009). |
| *31.1 | Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| *31.2 | Section 302 Certification of Principal Accounting Officer |
| *32.1 | Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer |

*32.2 Section 906 Certification of Principal Accounting Officer

* Filed herewith.

46