

TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX

Form 10-Q

July 29, 2013

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.**
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

.. **Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.**
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-34657

TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

75-2679109
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

2000 McKinney Avenue, Suite 700,
Dallas, Texas, U.S.A.
(Address of principal executive officers)

75201
(Zip Code)

214/932-6600
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of large accelerated filer and accelerated filer Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer
Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Small Reporting Company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

On July 23, 2013, the number of shares set forth below was outstanding with respect to each of the issuer's classes of common stock:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share	40,867,316
--	------------

Table of Contents

Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc.

Form 10-Q

Quarter Ended June 30, 2013

Index

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income and Other Comprehensive Income - Unaudited</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - Unaudited</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity - Unaudited</u>	5
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Unaudited</u>	6
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Unaudited</u>	7
	<u>Financial Summaries - Unaudited</u>	30
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	32
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	44
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	47
<u>Part II. Other Information</u>		
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	47
Item 5.	<u>Exhibits</u>	49
	<u>Signatures</u>	50

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME UNAUDITED**

(In thousands except per share data)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest income				
Loans	\$ 106,418	\$ 94,291	\$ 209,600	\$ 186,065
Securities	773	1,203	1,712	2,510
Federal funds sold	13	4	19	5
Deposits in other banks	60	48	112	97
Total interest income	107,264	95,546	211,443	188,677
Interest expense				
Deposits	3,228	3,482	6,473	6,954
Federal funds purchased	206	240	418	521
Repurchase agreements	5	4	9	7
Other borrowings	143	492	356	927
Subordinated notes	1,829		3,658	
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	633	688	1,267	1,399
Total interest expense	6,044	4,906	12,181	9,808
Net interest income	101,220	90,640	199,262	178,869
Provision for credit losses	7,000	1,000	9,000	4,000
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	94,220	89,640	190,262	174,869
Non-interest income				
Service charges on deposit accounts	1,749	1,624	3,450	3,228
Trust fee income	1,269	1,232	2,510	2,346
Bank owned life insurance (BOLI) income	463	588	961	1,109
Brokered loan fees	4,778	4,128	9,522	7,779
Swap fees	981	622	2,633	1,419
Other	1,888	2,268	3,333	3,771
Total non-interest income	11,128	10,462	22,409	19,652
Non-interest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	45,191	30,230	78,732	59,249
Net occupancy expense	4,135	3,679	7,992	7,283
Marketing	4,074	3,174	8,046	5,997
Legal and professional	4,707	3,330	8,647	7,321
Communications and technology	3,347	2,720	6,469	5,203
Allowance and other carrying costs for OREO	482	3,812	912	7,154
Other	6,798	7,028	13,636	14,042
Total non-interest expense	68,734	53,973	124,434	106,249

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Income from continuing operations before income taxes	36,614	46,129	88,237	88,272
Income tax expense	12,542	16,506	31,021	31,568
Income from continuing operations	24,072	29,623	57,216	56,704
Income (loss) from discontinued operations (after-tax)	1	(1)		3
Net income	24,073	29,622	57,216	56,707
Preferred stock dividends	2,438		2,519	
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 21,635	\$ 29,622	\$ 54,697	\$ 56,707
Other comprehensive income				
Change in net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities arising during period, before tax	\$ (1,028)	\$ (625)	\$ (1,752)	\$ (912)
Income tax benefit related to net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	(360)	(219)	(613)	(319)
Other comprehensive loss net of tax	(668)	(406)	(1,139)	(593)
Comprehensive income	\$ 23,405	\$ 29,216	\$ 56,077	\$ 56,114
Basic earnings per common share				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.78	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.50
Net income	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.78	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.50
Diluted earnings per common share				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.76	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.45
Net income	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.76	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.45

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands except share data)

	June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 90,992	\$ 111,938
Interest-bearing deposits	142,928	94,410
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	85,120	
Securities, available-for-sale	75,861	100,195
Loans held for sale	2,838,234	3,175,272
Loans held for sale from discontinued operations	298	302
Loans held for investment (net of unearned income)	7,510,662	6,785,535
Less: Allowance for loan losses	79,428	74,337
Loans held for investment, net	7,431,234	6,711,198
Premises and equipment, net	11,915	11,445
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	280,067	316,201
Goodwill and intangible assets, net	21,639	19,883
Total assets	\$ 10,978,288	\$ 10,540,844
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Liabilities:		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$ 2,928,735	\$ 2,535,375
Interest bearing	4,702,902	4,576,120
Interest bearing in foreign branches	348,961	329,309
Total deposits	7,980,598	7,440,804
Accrued interest payable	1,023	650
Other liabilities	102,676	91,581
Federal funds purchased	307,515	273,179
Repurchase agreements	27,079	23,936
Other borrowings	1,300,036	1,650,046
Subordinated notes	111,000	111,000
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	113,406	113,406
Total liabilities	9,943,333	9,704,602
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock	150,000	
Common stock, \$.01 par value:		
Authorized shares 100,000,000		
Issued shares 40,862,898 and 40,727,996 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	408	407
Additional paid-in capital	445,270	450,116
Retained earnings	437,152	382,455
Treasury stock (shares at cost: 417 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012)	(8)	(8)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes	2,133	3,272

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Total stockholders' equity	1,034,955	836,242
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 10,978,288	\$ 10,540,844

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

(In thousands except share data)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock			Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Taxes		Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Shares	Amount		
Balance at December 31, 2011		\$	37,666,708	\$ 376	\$ 349,458	\$ 261,783	(417)	\$ (8)	\$ 4,722	\$ 616,331
Comprehensive income:										
Net income (unaudited)						56,707				56,707
Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes of \$319 (unaudited)									(593)	(593)
Total comprehensive income (unaudited)										56,114
Tax benefit related to exercise of stock-based awards (unaudited)					3,261					3,261
Stock-based compensation expense recognized in earnings (unaudited)					3,553					3,553
Issuance of stock related to stock-based awards (unaudited)			447,721	5	1,441					1,446
Balance at June 30, 2012 (unaudited)		\$	38,114,429	\$ 381	\$ 357,713	\$ 318,490	(417)	\$ (8)	\$ 4,129	\$ 680,705
Balance at December 31, 2012		\$	40,727,996	\$ 407	\$ 450,116	\$ 382,455	(417)	\$ (8)	\$ 3,272	\$ 836,242
Comprehensive income:										
Net income (unaudited)						57,216				57,216
Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes of \$613 (unaudited)									(1,139)	(1,139)
										56,077

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Total comprehensive income (unaudited)											
Tax expense related to exercise of stock-based awards (unaudited)											(659)
Stock-based compensation expense recognized in earnings (unaudited)											1,843
Issuance of preferred stock (unaudited)	6,000,000	150,000									144,987
Preferred stock dividend (unaudited)											(2,519)
Issuance of stock related to stock-based awards (unaudited)			134,902	1							(1,016)
Balance at June 30, 2013 (unaudited)	6,000,000	\$ 150,000	40,862,898	\$ 408	\$ 445,270	\$ 437,152	(417)	\$ (8)	\$ 2,133	\$ 1,034,955	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS UNAUDITED**

(In thousands)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Operating activities		
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 57,216	\$ 56,704
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Provision for credit losses	9,000	4,000
Depreciation and amortization	2,494	2,378
Amortization and accretion on securities	14	24
Bank owned life insurance (BOLI) income	(961)	(1,109)
Stock-based compensation expense	11,250	3,553
Tax benefit (expense) from stock-based award exercises	(659)	3,261
Excess tax benefits (expense) from stock-based compensation arrangements	1,882	(4,971)
Originations of loans held for sale	(27,736,248)	(22,189,120)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	28,073,286	21,861,172
Gain on sale of assets	(439)	(785)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	33,892	(17,904)
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	2,237	(1,882)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities of continuing operations	452,964	(284,679)
Net cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations	4	8
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	452,968	(284,671)
Investing activities		
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(6)
Maturities and calls of available-for-sale securities	12,245	13,425
Principal payments received on available-for-sale securities	10,323	14,392
Net increase in loans held for investment	(729,034)	(664,215)
Purchase of premises and equipment, net	(2,292)	(2,377)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	3,660	4,172
Cash paid for acquisition	(2,445)	
Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations	(707,543)	(634,609)
Financing activities		
Net increase in deposits	539,794	1,104,033
Proceeds from issuance of stock related to stock-based awards	(1,016)	1,446
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	144,987	
Preferred dividends paid	(2,085)	
Net decrease in other borrowings	(346,867)	(18,663)
Excess tax benefits (expense) from stock-based compensation arrangements	(1,882)	4,971
Net increase (decrease) in federal funds purchased	34,336	(140,414)
Net cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations	367,267	951,373
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	112,692	32,093
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	206,348	110,558

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	319,040	\$	142,651
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$	11,808	\$	9,520
Cash paid during the period for income taxes		38,112		34,072
Non-cash transactions:				
Transfers from loans/leases to OREO and other repossessed assets		912		3,410
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				

Table of Contents

TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED

(1) OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Nature of Business

Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc. (the Company), a Delaware financial holding company, was incorporated in November 1996 and commenced doing business in March 1998, but did not commence banking operations until December 1998. The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Texas Capital Bank, National Association (the Bank). The Bank currently provides commercial banking services to its customers largely in Texas and concentrates on middle market commercial businesses and successful professionals and entrepreneurs.

Basis of Presentation

The accounting and reporting policies of Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc. conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and to generally accepted practices within the banking industry. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc. and its subsidiary, the Bank. Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared without audit. Certain information and footnote disclosures presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted. In the opinion of management, the interim financial statements include all normal and recurring adjustments and the disclosures made are adequate to make interim financial information not misleading. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, the financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, for the year ended December 31, 2012, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 21, 2013 (the 2012 Form 10-K). Operating results for the interim periods disclosed herein are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year or any future period.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The allowance for loan losses, the fair value of stock-based compensation awards, the fair values of financial instruments and the status of contingencies are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include amounts due from banks and federal funds sold.

Securities

Securities are classified as trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. Management classifies securities at the time of purchase and re-assesses such designation at each balance sheet date; however, transfers between categories from this re-assessment are rare.

Trading Account

Securities acquired for resale in anticipation of short-term market movements are classified as trading, with realized and unrealized gains and losses recognized in income. To date, we have not had any activity in our trading account.

Table of Contents

Held-to-Maturity and Available-for-Sale

Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity when we have the positive intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are stated at amortized cost. Debt securities not classified as held-to-maturity or trading and marketable equity securities not classified as trading are classified as available-for-sale.

Available-for-sale securities are stated at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses reported in a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. The amortized cost of debt securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity, or in the case of mortgage-backed securities, over the estimated life of the security. Such amortization and accretion is included in interest income from securities. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary are included in gain (loss) on sale of securities. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

All securities are available-for-sale as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Loans

Loans Held for Investment

Loans held for investment (which include equipment leases accounted for as financing leases) are stated at the amount of unpaid principal reduced by deferred income (net of costs). Interest on loans is recognized using the simple-interest method on the daily balances of the principal amounts outstanding. Loan origination fees, net of direct loan origination costs, and commitment fees, are deferred and amortized as an adjustment to yield over the life of the loan, or over the commitment period, as applicable.

A loan held for investment is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the loan agreement. Reserves on impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the underlying collateral. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued when there is a clear indication that the borrower's cash flow may not be sufficient to meet payments as they become due, which is generally when a loan is 90 days past due. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all previously accrued and unpaid interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized on a cash basis as long as the remaining book balance of the asset is deemed to be collectible. If collectability is questionable, then cash payments are applied to principal. A loan is placed back on accrual status when both principal and interest are current and it is probable that we will be able to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the loan agreement.

Loans Held for Sale

We purchase legal ownership interests in mortgage loans for sale in the secondary market through our mortgage finance division. The ownership interests are purchased from unaffiliated mortgage originators who are seeking additional funding through sale of the undivided ownership interests to facilitate their ability to originate loans. The mortgage originator has no obligation to offer and we have no obligation to purchase these interests. The originator closes mortgage loans consistent with underwriting standards established by approved investors, and, at the time of the sale to the investor, our ownership interest and that of the originator are delivered by us to the investor selected by the originator and approved by us. We typically purchase up to a 99% ownership interest with the originator financing the remaining percentage. These loans are held by us for an interim period, usually less than 30 days and more typically 10-20 days. Accordingly, because we intend to sell the loans, they are classified as held for sale and are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, determined on an individual loan basis. Because of conditions in agreements with originators designed to reduce transaction risks, under the form-based rules of Accounting Standards Codification 860, *Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets* (ASC 860), the ownership interests do not qualify as participating interests. Under ASC 860, the ownership interests are deemed to be loans to the originator, although we have an actual, legal ownership interest in the underlying residential mortgage loans to individual borrowers.

Table of Contents

Due to market conditions or events of default by the investor or the originator, we could be required to purchase the remaining interests in the underlying mortgage loans and transfer them to our loans held for investment portfolio at fair value. Mortgage loans transferred to our loans held for investment portfolio could require future allocations of the allowance for loan losses or be subject to charge off in the event the loans become impaired.

We sell participations in our ownership interests to other financial institutions. These qualify as participating interests under ASC 860 and are appropriately netted from our loans held for sale balance on the balance sheet.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged against income. The allowance for loan losses includes specific reserves for impaired loans and a general reserve for estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date, but not yet identified with specific loans. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance when management believes that the collectibility of the principal is unlikely and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based on an assessment of the current loan portfolio, including known inherent risks, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers' ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral and current economic conditions.

Repossessed Assets

Repossessed assets, which are included in other assets on the balance sheet, consist of collateral that has been repossessed. Collateral that has been repossessed is recorded at fair value less selling costs through a charge to the allowance for loan losses, if necessary. Write-downs are provided for subsequent permanent declines in value and are recorded in other non-interest expense.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned (OREO), which is included in other assets on the balance sheet, consists of real estate that has been foreclosed. Real estate that has been foreclosed is recorded at the fair value of the real estate, less selling costs, through a charge to the allowance for loan losses, if necessary. Subsequent write-downs required for declines in value are recorded through a valuation allowance, or taken directly to the asset, charged to other non-interest expense.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from three to ten years. Gains or losses on disposals of premises and equipment are included in results of operations.

Marketing and Software

Marketing costs are expensed as incurred. Ongoing maintenance and enhancements of websites are expensed as incurred. Costs incurred in connection with development or purchase of internal use software are capitalized and amortized over a period not to exceed five years. Capitalized internal use software costs are included in other assets in the consolidated financial statements.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are acquired assets that lack physical substance but can be distinguished from goodwill because of contractual or other legal rights or because the asset is capable of being sold or exchanged either on its own or in combination with a related contract, asset, or liability. Our intangible assets relate primarily to loan customer relationships. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized on an accelerated basis over their estimated life. Intangible assets are tested for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value.

Segment Reporting

We have determined that all of our lending divisions and subsidiaries meet the aggregation criteria of ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, since all offer similar products and services, operate with similar processes, and have similar customers.

Table of Contents

Stock-based Compensation

We account for all stock-based compensation transactions in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation – Stock Compensation* (ASC 718), which requires that stock compensation transactions be recognized as compensation expense in the statement of operations based on their fair values on the measurement date, which is the date of the grant.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Unrealized gains or losses on our available-for-sale securities (after applicable income tax expense or benefit) are included in accumulated other comprehensive income, net. Accumulated comprehensive income, net for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is reported in the accompanying consolidated statements of changes in stockholders' equity and consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiary file a consolidated federal income tax return. We utilize the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the difference between the values of the assets and liabilities as reflected in the financial statements and their related tax basis using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to be recovered or settled. As changes in tax law or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. A valuation reserve is provided against deferred tax assets unless it is more likely than not that such deferred tax assets will be realized.

Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is based on net income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period excluding non-vested stock. Diluted earnings per common share include the dilutive effect of stock options and non-vested stock awards granted using the treasury stock method. A reconciliation of the weighted-average shares used in calculating basic earnings per common share and the weighted average common shares used in calculating diluted earnings per common share for the reported periods is provided in Note 2 – Earnings Per Common Share.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820), defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. In general, fair values of financial instruments are based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows.

Table of Contents**(2) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE**

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands except per share data):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Numerator:				
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 24,072	\$ 29,623	\$ 57,216	\$ 56,704
Preferred stock dividends	2,438		2,519	
Net income from continuing operations available to common shareholders	21,634	29,623	54,697	56,704
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	1	(1)		3
Net income	\$ 21,635	\$ 29,622	\$ 54,697	\$ 56,707
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted average shares	40,813,918	38,012,677	40,784,769	37,903,976
Effect of employee stock-based awards ⁽¹⁾	415,049	674,343	436,219	697,924
Effect of warrants to purchase common stock	494,558	454,524	496,464	437,132
Denominator for dilutive earnings per share - adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions	41,723,525	39,141,544	41,717,452	39,039,032
Basic earnings per common share from continuing operations	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.78	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.50
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.78	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.50
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.76	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.45
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.76	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.45

(1) Stock options, SARs and RSUs outstanding of 99,500 at June 30, 2013 and 10,000 at June 30, 2012 have not been included in diluted earnings per share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive for the periods presented.

(3) SECURITIES

Securities are identified as either held-to-maturity or available-for-sale based upon various factors, including asset/liability management strategies, liquidity and profitability objectives, and regulatory requirements. Held-to-maturity securities are carried at cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums or accretion of discounts. Available-for-sale securities are securities that may be sold prior to maturity based upon asset/liability management decisions. Securities identified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value. Unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities are recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity, net of taxes. Amortization of premiums or accretion of discounts on mortgage-backed securities is periodically adjusted for estimated prepayments. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary are included in gain (loss) on sale of securities. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

At June 30, 2013, our net unrealized gain on the available-for-sale securities portfolio value was \$3.3 million compared to \$5.0 million at December 31, 2012. As indicated by the difference in the gain as a percent of the amortized cost, the reduction in the total unrealized gain was

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

due almost entirely to the reduction in the balances of the securities held. As a percent of outstanding balances, the unrealized gain was 4.33% and 5.02%, respectively, for the periods presented.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of securities (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	June 30, 2013 Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Available-for-Sale Securities:				
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 47,009	\$ 3,128	\$	\$ 50,137
Municipals	18,051	320		18,371
Equity securities ⁽¹⁾	7,519		(166)	7,353
	\$ 72,579	\$ 3,448	\$ (166)	\$ 75,861

	Amortized Cost	December 31, 2012 Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Available-for-Sale Securities:				
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 57,342	\$ 4,239	\$	\$ 61,581
Corporate securities	5,000	80		5,080
Municipals	25,300	594		25,894
Equity securities ⁽¹⁾	7,519	121		7,640
	\$ 95,161	\$ 5,034	\$	\$ 100,195

(1) Equity securities consist of Community Reinvestment Act funds.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities are presented below by contractual maturity (in thousands, except percentage data):

	Less Than One Year	After One Through Five Years	June 30, 2013 After Five Through Ten Years	After Ten Years	Total
Available-for-sale:					
Residential mortgage-backed securities:⁽¹⁾					
Amortized cost	\$ 781	\$ 10,418	\$ 17,507	\$ 18,303	\$ 47,009
Estimated fair value	833	11,069	18,786	19,449	50,137
Weighted average yield ⁽³⁾	4.25%	4.98%	5.09%	2.59%	4.08%
Municipals:⁽²⁾					
Amortized cost	7,880	10,171			18,051
Estimated fair value	8,002	10,369			18,371
Weighted average yield ⁽³⁾	5.64%	5.72%			5.68%
Equity securities:⁽⁴⁾					
Amortized cost	7,519				7,519
Estimated fair value	7,353				7,353
Total available-for-sale securities:					
Amortized cost					\$ 72,579
Estimated fair value					\$ 75,861

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2012				Total
	Less Than One Year	After One Through Five Years	After Five Through Ten Years	After Ten Years	
Available-for-sale:					
Residential mortgage-backed securities:⁽¹⁾					
Amortized cost	\$ 656	\$ 5,698	\$ 23,111	\$ 27,877	\$ 57,342
Estimated fair value	690	6,113	24,948	29,830	61,581
Weighted average yield ⁽³⁾	4.20%	5.29%	4.86%	3.41%	4.19%
Corporate securities:					
Amortized cost	5,000				5,000
Estimated fair value	5,080				5,080
Weighted average yield ⁽³⁾	7.38%				7.38%
Municipals:⁽²⁾					
Amortized cost	6,575	16,448	2,277		25,300
Estimated fair value	6,646	16,895	2,353		25,894
Weighted average yield ⁽³⁾	5.75%	5.66%	6.01%		5.72%
Equity securities:⁽⁴⁾					
Amortized cost	7,519				7,519
Estimated fair value	7,640				7,640
Total available-for-sale securities:					
Amortized cost					\$ 95,161
Estimated fair value					\$ 100,195

(1) Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

(2) Yields have been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis assuming a 35% federal tax rate.

(3) Yields are calculated based on amortized cost.

(4) These equity securities do not have a stated maturity.

Securities with carrying values of approximately \$54.4 million were pledged to secure certain borrowings and deposits at June 30, 2013. Of the pledged securities at June 30, 2013, approximately \$7.7 million were pledged for certain deposits, and approximately \$46.7 million were pledged for repurchase agreements.

The following table discloses, as of June 30, 2013, our investment securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and those that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 or more months (in thousands):

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Equity securities	\$ 7,353	\$ (166)	\$	\$	\$ 7,353	\$ (166)

At June 30, 2013, there was one investment position in an unrealized loss position. This security is a publicly traded equity fund and is subject to market pricing volatility. We do not believe these unrealized losses are other than temporary as (1) we do not have the intent to sell of the securities in the table above; and (2) it is not probable that we will be unable to collect the amounts contractually due. We have not identified any issues related to the ultimate repayment of principal as a result of credit concerns on these securities.

At December 31, 2012, we did not have any investment securities in an unrealized loss position.

Table of Contents**(4) LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES**

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, loans were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial	\$ 4,470,861	\$ 4,106,419
Construction	969,071	737,637
Real estate	2,015,045	1,892,451
Consumer	24,026	19,493
Leases	77,111	69,470
Gross loans held for investment	7,556,114	6,825,470
Deferred income (net of direct origination costs)	(45,452)	(39,935)
Allowance for loan losses	(79,428)	(74,337)
Total loans held for investment, net	7,431,234	6,711,198
Loans held for sale	2,838,234	3,175,272
Total	\$ 10,269,468	\$ 9,886,470

Commercial Loans and Leases. Our commercial loan and lease portfolio is comprised of lines of credit for working capital and term loans and leases to finance equipment and other business assets. Our energy production loans are generally collateralized with proven reserves based on appropriate valuation standards. Our commercial loans and leases are underwritten after carefully evaluating and understanding the borrower's ability to operate profitably. Our underwriting standards are designed to promote relationship banking rather than making loans on a transaction basis. Our lines of credit typically are limited to a percentage of the value of the assets securing the line. Lines of credit and term loans typically are reviewed annually and are supported by accounts receivable, inventory, equipment and other assets of our clients' businesses.

Real Estate Loans. A portion of our real estate loan portfolio is comprised of loans secured by properties other than market risk or investment-type real estate. Market risk loans are real estate loans where the primary source of repayment is expected to come from the sale or lease of the real property collateral. We generally provide temporary financing for commercial and residential property. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Our real estate loans generally have maximum terms of five to seven years, and we provide loans with both floating and fixed rates. We generally avoid long-term loans for commercial real estate held for investment. Real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy. Appraised values may be highly variable due to market conditions and the impact of the inability of potential purchasers and lessees to obtain financing and lack of transactions at comparable values.

Construction Loans. Our construction loan portfolio consists primarily of single- and multi-family residential properties and commercial projects used in manufacturing, warehousing, service or retail businesses. Our construction loans generally have terms of one to three years. We typically make construction loans to developers, builders and contractors that have an established record of successful project completion and loan repayment and have a substantial investment in the borrowers' equity. However, construction loans are generally based upon estimates of costs and value associated with the completed project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from other lenders, sales of developed property, or an interim loan commitment from us until permanent financing is obtained. The nature of these loans makes ultimate repayment extremely sensitive to overall economic conditions. Borrowers may not be able to correct conditions of default in loans, increasing risk of exposure to classification, non-performing status, reserve allocation and actual credit loss and foreclosure. These loans typically have floating rates and commitment fees.

Loans Held for Sale. Our loans held for sale consist of ownership interests purchased in single-family residential mortgages funded through our mortgage finance group. These loans are typically on our balance sheet for 10 to 20 days or less. We have agreements with mortgage lenders and purchase legal interests in individual loans they originate. All loans are underwritten consistent with established programs for permanent financing with financially sound investors. Substantially all loans are conforming loans. Loans held for sale as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are net of \$309.4 million and \$436.0 million, respectively, of participations sold.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2013, a substantial majority of the principal amount of the loans held for investment in our portfolio was to businesses and individuals in Texas. This geographic concentration subjects the loan portfolio to the general economic conditions within this area. The risks created by this concentration have been considered by management in the determination of the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses. Management believes the allowance for loan losses is appropriate to cover estimated losses on loans at each balance sheet date.

At June 30, 2013, we had a blanket floating lien based on certain real estate loans used as collateral for FHLB borrowings.

The reserve for loan losses is comprised of specific reserves for impaired loans and an estimate of losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date, but not yet identified with specified loans. We regularly evaluate our reserve for loan losses to maintain an appropriate level to absorb estimated loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Factors contributing to the determination of reserves include the credit worthiness of the borrower, changes in the value of pledged collateral, and general economic conditions. All loan commitments rated substandard or worse and greater than \$500,000 are specifically reviewed for loss potential. For loans deemed to be impaired, a specific allocation is assigned based on the losses expected to be realized from those loans. For purposes of determining the general reserve, the portfolio is segregated by product types to recognize differing risk profiles among categories, and then further segregated by credit grades. Credit grades are assigned to all loans. Each credit grade is assigned a risk factor, or reserve allocation percentage. These risk factors are multiplied by the outstanding principal balance and risk-weighted by product type to calculate the required reserve. A similar process is employed to calculate a reserve assigned to off-balance sheet commitments, specifically unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit, and any needed reserve is recorded in other liabilities. Even though portions of the allowance may be allocated to specific loans, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off.

We have several pass credit grades that are assigned to loans based on varying levels of risk, ranging from credits that are secured by cash or marketable securities, to watch credits which have all the characteristics of an acceptable credit risk but warrant more than the normal level of monitoring. Within our criticized/classified credit grades are special mention, substandard, and doubtful. Special mention loans are those that are currently protected by sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower, but that are potentially weak and constitute an additional credit risk. The loan has the potential to deteriorate to a substandard grade due to the existence of financial or administrative deficiencies. Substandard loans have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Some substandard loans are inappropriately protected by sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower and of the collateral pledged and may be considered impaired. Substandard loans can be accruing or can be on nonaccrual depending on the circumstances of the individual loans. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in substandard loans with the added characteristics that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is extremely high. All doubtful loans are on nonaccrual.

The reserve allocation percentages assigned to each credit grade have been developed based primarily on an analysis of our historical loss rates. The allocations are adjusted for certain qualitative factors for such things as general economic conditions, changes in credit policies and lending standards. Historical loss rates are adjusted to account for current environmental conditions which we believe are likely to cause loss rates to be higher or lower than past experience. Each quarter we produce an adjustment range for environmental factors unique to us and our market. Changes in the trend and severity of problem loans can cause the estimation of losses to differ from past experience. In addition, the reserve considers the results of reviews performed by independent third party reviewers as reflected in their confirmations of assigned credit grades within the portfolio. The portion of the allowance that is not derived by the allowance allocation percentages compensates for the uncertainty and complexity in estimating loan and lease losses including factors and conditions that may not be fully reflected in the determination and application of the allowance allocation percentages. We evaluate many factors and conditions in determining the unallocated portion of the allowance, including the economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas, credit quality trends and general growth in the portfolio. The allowance is considered appropriate, given management's assessment of potential losses within the portfolio as of the evaluation date, the significant growth in the loan and lease portfolio, current economic conditions in the Company's market areas and other factors.

The methodology used in the periodic review of reserve adequacy, which is performed at least quarterly, is designed to be dynamic and responsive to changes in portfolio credit quality. The changes are reflected in the general reserve and in specific reserves as the collectability of larger classified loans is evaluated with new

Table of Contents

information. As our portfolio has matured, historical loss ratios have been closely monitored, and our reserve adequacy relies primarily on our loss history. Currently, the review of reserve adequacy is performed by executive management and presented to our board of directors for their review, consideration and ratification on a quarterly basis.

The following tables summarize the credit risk profile of our loan portfolio by internally assigned grades and nonaccrual status as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

June 30, 2013

	Commercial	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Grade:						
Pass	\$ 4,361,145	\$ 964,837	\$ 1,911,825	\$ 23,686	\$ 68,837	\$ 7,330,330
Special mention	34,357	4,131	40,615	331	3,206	82,640
Substandard-accruing	57,782	103	41,806		5,003	104,694
Non-accrual	17,577		20,799	9	65	38,450
Total loans held for investment	\$ 4,470,861	\$ 969,071	\$ 2,015,045	\$ 24,026	\$ 77,111	\$ 7,556,114

December 31, 2012

	Commercial	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Grade:						
Pass	\$ 4,013,538	\$ 703,673	\$ 1,816,027	\$ 19,436	\$ 68,327	\$ 6,621,001
Special mention	33,137	11,957	12,461		919	58,474
Substandard-accruing	44,371	4,790	40,897		104	90,162
Non-accrual	15,373	17,217	23,066	57	120	55,833
Total loans held for investment	\$ 4,106,419	\$ 737,637	\$ 1,892,451	\$ 19,493	\$ 69,470	\$ 6,825,470

Table of Contents

The following table details activity in the reserve for loan losses by portfolio segment for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012. Allocation of a portion of the reserve to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

June 30, 2013 (in thousands)	Commercial	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 21,547	\$ 12,097	\$ 30,893	\$ 226	\$ 2,460	\$ 7,114	\$ 74,337
Provision for loan losses	13,139	615	(2,905)	11	343	(2,528)	8,675
Charge-offs	4,474		131	45			4,650
Recoveries	745		15	45	261		1,066
Net charge-offs (recoveries)	3,729		116		(261)		3,584
Ending balance	\$ 30,957	\$ 12,712	\$ 27,872	\$ 237	\$ 3,064	\$ 4,586	\$ 79,428

Period end amount allocated to:

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 2,934	\$	\$ 548	\$ 1	\$ 10	\$	\$ 3,493
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	28,023	12,712	27,324	236	3,054	4,586	75,935
Ending balance	\$ 30,957	\$ 12,712	\$ 27,872	\$ 237	\$ 3,064	\$ 4,586	\$ 79,428

June 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Commercial	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 17,337	\$ 7,845	\$ 33,721	\$ 223	\$ 2,356	\$ 8,813	\$ 70,295
Provision for loan losses	2,058	2,179	(806)	62	440	(463)	3,470
Charge-offs	1,510		615		121		2,246
Recoveries	350		456	8	71		885
Net charge-offs (recoveries)	1,160		159	(8)	50		1,361
Ending balance	\$ 18,235	\$ 10,024	\$ 32,756	\$ 293	\$ 2,746	\$ 8,350	\$ 72,404

Period end amount allocated to:

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 5,121	\$	\$ 1,232	\$ 111	\$ 48	\$	\$ 6,512
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	13,114	10,024	31,524	182	2,698	8,350	65,892
Ending balance	\$ 18,235	\$ 10,024	\$ 32,756	\$ 293	\$ 2,746	\$ 8,350	\$ 72,404

Our recorded investment in loans as of June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012 related to each balance in the allowance for loans losses by portfolio segment and disaggregated on the basis of our impairment methodology was as follows (in thousands):

June 30, 2013 (in thousands)	Commercial	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 19,475	\$	\$ 23,666	\$ 9	\$ 65	\$ 43,215
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	4,451,387	969,071	1,991,378	24,017	77,046	7,512,899
Total	\$ 4,470,862	\$ 969,071	\$ 2,015,044	\$ 24,026	\$ 77,111	\$ 7,556,114

December 31, 2012 (in thousands)	Commercial	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
-------------------------------------	------------	--------------	-------------	----------	--------	-------

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 15,373	\$ 18,179	\$ 32,512	\$ 57	\$ 120	\$ 66,241
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	4,091,046	719,458	1,859,939	19,436	69,350	6,759,229
Total	\$ 4,106,419	\$ 737,637	\$ 1,892,451	\$ 19,493	\$ 69,470	\$ 6,825,470

June 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Commercial	Construction	Real Estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 19,248	\$ 19,959	\$ 30,648	\$ 269	\$ 252	\$ 70,376
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	3,787,084	569,593	1,754,652	20,522	68,731	6,200,582
Total	\$ 3,806,332	\$ 589,552	\$ 1,785,300	\$ 20,791	\$ 68,983	\$ 6,270,958

We have traditionally maintained an unallocated reserve component to allow for uncertainty in economic and other conditions affecting the quality of the loan portfolio. The unallocated portion of our loan loss reserve has decreased since December 31, 2012. We believe the level of unallocated reserves at June 30, 2013 is warranted due to the ongoing weak economic environment which has produced more frequent losses, including those

Table of Contents

resulting from fraud by borrowers, that do not correlate to historical loss rates for specific product types or credit risk grades. Our methodology used to calculate the allowance considers historical losses, however, the historical loss rates for specific product types or credit risk grades may not fully incorporate the effects of continued weakness in the economy. In addition, a substantial portion of losses realized over the past several years were related to commercial real estate loans. Continuing uncertainty and illiquidity in the commercial real estate market has produced and continues to cause material changes in appraised values that can influence our impairment calculations on currently impaired loans and on pass-rated loans that may experience weakness if economic conditions and valuations do not stabilize.

Generally we place loans on non-accrual when there is a clear indication that the borrower's cash flow may not be sufficient to meet payments as they become due, which is generally when a loan is 90 days past due. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all previously accrued and unpaid interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized on a cash basis as long as the remaining unpaid principal amount of the loan is deemed to be fully collectible. If collectability is questionable, then cash payments are applied to principal. The table below summarizes our non-accrual loans by type and purpose as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial		
Business loans	\$ 15,909	\$ 15,373
Energy loans	1,668	
Construction		
Market risk		17,217
Real estate		
Market risk	9,591	11,054
Commercial	7,692	8,617
Secured by 1-4 family	3,516	3,395
Consumer	9	57
Leases	65	120
 Total non-accrual loans	 \$ 38,450	 \$ 55,833

As of June 30, 2013, non-accrual loans included in the table above included \$16.4 million related to loans that met the criteria for restructured.

Table of Contents

A loan held for investment is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the loan agreement. In accordance with *FASB ASC 310 Receivables*, we have also included all restructured loans in our impaired loan totals. The following tables detail our impaired loans, by portfolio class as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

June 30, 2013

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:					
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 4,667	\$ 7,066	\$	\$ 3,042	\$
Energy	1,668	3,498		278	
Construction					
Market risk				6,104	114
Real estate					
Market risk	8,682	8,682		8,839	
Commercial	7,692	7,692		8,003	
Secured by 1-4 family	2,557	2,556		2,600	
Consumer					
Leases					
Total impaired loans with no allowance recorded	\$ 25,266	\$ 29,494	\$	\$ 28,866	\$ 114
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 13,140	\$ 13,140	\$ 2,934	\$ 14,979	\$
Energy				1,749	
Construction					
Market risk				321	
Real estate					
Market risk	3,776	3,776	243	9,153	
Commercial				954	
Secured by 1-4 family	959	1,065	305	729	
Consumer	9	9	1	39	
Leases	65	65	10	85	
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	\$ 17,949	\$ 18,055	\$ 3,493	\$ 28,009	\$
Combined:					
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 17,807	\$ 20,206	\$ 2,934	\$ 18,021	\$
Energy	1,668	3,498		2,027	
Construction					
Market risk				6,425	114
Real estate					
Market risk	12,458	12,458	243	17,992	
Commercial	7,692	7,692		8,957	
Secured by 1-4 family	3,516	3,621	305	3,329	
Consumer	9	9	1	39	
Leases	65	65	10	85	
Total impaired loans	\$ 43,215	\$ 47,549	\$ 3,493	\$ 56,875	\$ 114

Table of Contents

December 31, 2012

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:					
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 2,938	\$ 2,938	\$	\$ 1,409	\$
Construction					
Market risk	17,217	17,217		18,571	677
Real estate					
Market risk	9,061	9,061		7,944	
Commercial	6,604	6,604		6,451	
Secured by 1-4 family	2,632	2,632		1,827	
Consumer					
Leases					
Total impaired loans with no allowance recorded	\$ 38,452	\$ 38,452	\$	\$ 36,202	\$ 677
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 12,435	\$ 18,391	\$ 2,983	\$ 15,484	\$
Construction					
Market risk	962	962	14	321	
Real estate					
Market risk	11,439	11,439	535	11,811	
Commercial	2,013	2,013	89	671	
Secured by 1-4 family	763	763	275	1,632	
Consumer	57	57	16	59	
Leases	120	120	18	182	
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	\$ 27,789	\$ 33,745	\$ 3,930	\$ 30,160	\$
Combined:					
Commercial					
Business loans	\$ 15,373	\$ 21,329	\$ 2,983	\$ 16,893	\$
Construction					
Market risk	18,179	18,179	14	18,892	677
Real estate					
Market risk	20,500	20,500	535	19,755	
Commercial	8,617	8,617	89	7,122	
Secured by 1-4 family	3,395	3,395	275	3,459	
Consumer	57	57	16	59	
Leases	120	120	18	182	
Total impaired loans	\$ 66,241	\$ 72,197	\$ 3,930	\$ 66,362	\$ 677

Table of Contents

Average impaired loans outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 totaled \$56.9 million and \$69.7 million, respectively.

The table below provides an age analysis of our past due loans that are still accruing as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days and Accruing ⁽¹⁾	Total Past Due	Current	Total
Commercial						
Business loans	\$ 17,967	\$ 3,889	\$ 5,422	\$ 27,278	\$ 3,536,331	\$ 3,563,609
Energy	4,316	7,915		12,231	877,444	889,675
Construction						
Market risk	285			285	959,808	960,093
Secured by 1-4 family					8,978	8,978
Real estate						
Market risk	9,394	1,948	2,211	13,553	1,482,063	1,495,616
Commercial	1,764			1,764	398,727	400,491
Secured by 1-4 family		480		480	97,659	98,139
Consumer	3,275	383		3,658	20,359	24,017
Leases		36		36	77,010	77,046
Total loans held for investment	\$ 37,001	\$ 14,651	\$ 7,633	\$ 59,285	\$ 7,458,379	\$ 7,517,664

- (1) Loans past due 90 days and still accruing includes premium finance loans of \$4.2 million. These loans are generally secured by obligations of insurance carriers to refund premiums on cancelled insurance policies. The refund of premiums from the insurance carriers can take 180 days or longer from the cancellation date.

Restructured loans are loans on which, due to the borrower's financial difficulties, we have granted a concession that we would not otherwise consider for borrowers of similar credit quality. This may include a transfer of real estate or other assets from the borrower, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of the two. Modifications of terms that could potentially qualify as a restructuring include reduction of contractual interest rate, extension of the maturity date at a contractual interest rate lower than the current rate for new debt with similar risk, or a reduction of the face amount of debt, or forgiveness of either principal or accrued interest. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we have \$4.8 million and \$10.4 million, respectively, in loans considered restructured that are not on nonaccrual. As of June 30, 2013 these loans did not have an unfunded commitment total compared to \$599,000 at December 31, 2012. Of the nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$16.4 million and \$19.6 million, respectively, met the criteria for restructured. These loans have no unfunded commitments at their respective balance sheet date. A loan continues to qualify as restructured until a consistent payment history or change in borrower's financial condition has been evidenced, generally no less than twelve months. Assuming that the restructuring agreement specifies an interest rate at the time of the restructuring that is greater than or equal to the rate that we are willing to accept for a new extension of credit with comparable risk, then the loan no longer has to be considered a restructuring if it is in compliance with modified terms in calendar years after the year of the restructure.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, loans that have been restructured during 2013 and 2012, respectively, (in thousands):

June 30, 2013			
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Restructuring Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post- Restructuring Outstanding Recorded Investment
Commercial business loans	1	\$ 1,945	\$ 1,898
Total new restructured loans in 2013	1	\$ 1,945	\$ 1,898
June 30, 2012			
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Restructuring Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post- Restructuring Outstanding Recorded Investment
Commercial business loans	1	\$ 802	\$ 791
Real estate market risk	2	1,726	1,177
Total new restructured loans in 2012	3	\$ 2,528	\$ 1,968

The restructured loans generally include terms to reduce the interest rate and extend payment terms. We have not forgiven any principal on the above loans. The restructuring of the loans did not have a significant impact on our allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013.

The following tables provide information on how loans were modified as a restructured loan during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2013	2012
Extended maturity	\$	\$ 1,968
Combination of maturity extension and payment schedule adjustment	1,898	
Total	\$ 1,898	\$ 1,968

As of June 30, 2013, we did not have any loans that were restructured within the last 12 months that subsequently defaulted.

(5) OREO AND VALUATION ALLOWANCE FOR LOSSES ON OREO

The table below presents a summary of the activity related to OREO (in thousands):

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$ 14,426	\$ 32,601	\$ 15,991	\$ 34,077
Additions	912	875	912	3,397
Sales	(1,902)	(2,471)	(3,396)	(3,728)

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Valuation allowance for OREO	(164)	(2,700)	(164)	(3,556)
Direct write-downs	(219)	(423)	(290)	(2,308)
Ending balance	\$ 13,053	\$ 27,882	\$ 13,053	\$ 27,882

(6) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit which involve varying degrees of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is

Table of Contents

represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's credit-worthiness on a case-by-case basis.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

The table below summarizes our financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Commitments to extend credit	\$ 2,891,481
Standby letters of credit	107,388

(7) REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory (and possibly additional discretionary) actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's and the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's and the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's and the Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of June 30, 2013, that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

Financial institutions are categorized as well capitalized or adequately capitalized, based on minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the tables below. As shown in the table below, the Company's capital ratios exceed the regulatory definition of adequately capitalized as of June 30, 2013 and 2012. Based upon the information in its most recently filed call report, the Bank meets the capital ratios necessary to be well capitalized.

We believe we have at all times applied the appropriate risk weights (i.e., a 50%, or 20% for FHA/VA, risk weighting) to the ownership interests in mortgage loans owned by us. However, there can be no assurances that we will not ultimately be required to change the applicable risk weight on these assets, either retroactively or prospectively. Changes in risk weights applicable to these assets held by the Bank could cause our Bank to report historical deficiencies relative to well-capitalized or minimum capital standards and cause us to raise additional capital or reduce asset balances to maintain minimum and well-capitalized levels of regulatory capital that could adversely affect future operating results. We will determine if our business practices could be changed to maintain the risk weights historically applied, if necessary, and if those changes would be consistent with operating and financial risks acceptable to the Bank. The Company's ownership in mortgage loans, in the capital ratios to be included in regulatory filings and reflected below beginning with the first quarter of 2013, utilize 100% risk weighting consistent with our understanding of the OCC's current interpretation of the supplemental call reports instructions issued in early April 2013 that require reporting of such assets as loans to nondepository financial institutions.

Table of Contents

During the first six months of 2013, we downstreamed an additional \$190 million to the Bank and applied a 100% risk weight to the loans held for sale portfolio. With the 100% risk weighting for June 30, 2013, the Bank remains well capitalized and we have \$89 million in the parent company and \$50 million in an available line of credit to support the Bank's future capital needs. As is typical in the mortgage business, month-end and quarter-end balances are generally much higher than average balances. The difference between the quarter-end balance and the average balance for the second quarter required approximately \$43 million in additional capital using the 100% risk weight applied.

	June 30,	
	2013	2012
Risk-based capital:		
Tier 1 capital	9.60%	9.54%
Total capital	11.26%	10.48%
Leverage	11.11%	9.02%

On August 1, 2012 we completed a sale of 2.3 million shares of our common stock in a public offering. Net proceeds from the sale totaled \$87.0 million. The additional equity was used for general corporate purposes, including retirement of \$15.0 million of debt and additional capital to support continued loan growth at our bank.

On September 21, 2012, we issued \$111.0 million of subordinated notes. The notes mature in September 2042 and bear interest at a rate of 6.50% per annum, payable quarterly. The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes including funding regulatory capital infusions into the Bank. The indenture contains customary financial covenants and restrictions.

On March 28, 2013, we completed a sale of 6.0 million shares of 6.5% non-cumulative preferred stock in a public offering. Net proceeds from the sale totaled \$145.0 million. The additional equity is being used for general corporate purposes, which may include funding regulatory capital infusions into the Bank.

(8) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The fair value of our stock option and stock appreciation right (SAR) grants are estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide the best single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

Stock-based compensation consists of SARs and RSUs that were granted from 2007 through 2013.

(in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Stock-based compensation expense recognized:				
SARs	\$ 145	\$ 187	\$ 282	\$ 375
RSUs	805	1,416	1,561	3,178
Total compensation expense recognized	\$ 950	\$ 1,603	\$ 1,843	\$ 3,553

(in thousands)	June 30, 2013	
	Options	SARs and RSUs
Unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested awards	\$	\$ 10,837
Weighted average period over which expense is expected to be recognized, in years	N/A	3.54

Table of Contents

In connection with the 2010 Long-term Incentive Plan, the Company has issued cash-based performance units. A summary of the compensation cost for these units is as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Cash-based performance units	\$ 8,368	\$ 2,358	\$ 9,407	\$ 2,902

The compensation cost for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2013, includes approximately \$4.1 million related to a charge taken to reflect the financial effect of the organizational changes announced during the second quarter of 2013 and includes assumptions about future payouts that may or may not happen. Additionally, there was another \$2.2 million of charges related to the increased probability that certain performance targets for executive cash based incentives will be met, reflecting the increase in our stock price.

(9) DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Subsequent to the end of the first quarter of 2007, we and the purchaser of our residential mortgage loan division (RML) agreed to terminate and settle the contractual arrangements related to the sale of the division, which had been completed as of the end of the third quarter of 2006. Historical operating results of RML are reflected as discontinued operations in the financial statements.

During the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the income and loss from discontinued operations was \$338 and \$675, net of taxes, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the loss and income from discontinued operations was \$301 and \$3,100, net of taxes, respectively. The 2013 loss is primarily related to continuing legal and salary expenses incurred in dealing with the remaining loans and requests from investors related to the repurchase of previously sold loans. We still have approximately \$298,000 in loans held for sale from discontinued operations that are carried at the estimated market value at quarter-end, which is less than the original cost. We plan to sell these loans, but timing and price to be realized cannot be determined at this time due to market conditions. In addition, we continue to address requests from investors related to repurchasing loans previously sold. While the balances as of June 30, 2013 include a liability for exposure to additional contingencies, including risk of having to repurchase loans previously sold, we recognize that market conditions may result in additional exposure to loss and the extension of time necessary to complete the discontinued mortgage operation.

(10) FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820), defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under ASC 820 as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

We determine the fair market values of our financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy as prescribed in ASC 820. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value as provided below.

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets include U.S. Treasuries that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

- Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets include U.S. government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities, corporate securities, municipal bonds, and Community Reinvestment Act funds. This category includes derivative assets and liabilities where values are obtained from independent pricing services.

Table of Contents

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair values requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category also includes impaired loans and OREO where collateral values have been based on third party appraisals; however, due to current economic conditions, comparative sales data typically used in appraisals may be unavailable or more subjective due to lack of market activity.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

June 30, 2013

	Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available for sale securities: ⁽¹⁾			
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$	\$ 50,137	\$
Municipals		18,371	
Equity securities		7,353	
Loans ^{(2) (4)}			12,392
OREO ^{(3) (4)}			13,053
Derivative asset ⁽⁵⁾		11,124	
Derivative liability ⁽⁵⁾		(11,124)	

December 31, 2012

Available for sale securities: ⁽¹⁾			
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$	\$ 61,581	\$
Corporate securities		5,080	
Municipals		25,894	
Equity securities		7,640	
Loans ^{(2) (4)}			11,639
OREO ^{(3) (4)}			15,991
Derivative asset ⁽⁵⁾		28,465	
Derivative liability ⁽⁵⁾		(28,465)	

- (1) Securities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, generally monthly.
- (2) Includes impaired loans that have been measured for impairment at the fair value of the loan's collateral.
- (3) OREO is transferred from loans to OREO at fair value less selling costs.
- (4) Fair value of loans and OREO is measured on a nonrecurring basis, generally annually or more often as warranted by market and economic conditions.
- (5) Derivative assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, generally quarterly.

Level 3 Valuations

Financial instruments are considered Level 3 when their values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial instruments also include those for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. Currently, we measure fair value for certain loans on a nonrecurring basis as described below.

Loans

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, certain impaired loans were reevaluated and reported at fair value through a specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral. The \$12.4 million total above includes impaired loans at June 30, 2013 with a carrying value of \$12.4 million that did not have specific allowance allocations for a total reported fair value of \$12.4 million based on collateral valuations utilizing Level 3 valuation inputs. Fair values were based on third party appraisals; however, based on the current economic conditions, comparative sales data typically used in the appraisals may be unavailable or more subjective due to the lack of real estate market activity.

Table of Contents*OREO*

Certain foreclosed assets, upon initial recognition, are valued based on third party appraisals less estimated selling costs. At June 30, 2013, OREO with a carrying value of \$17.7 million was reduced by specific valuation allowance allocations totaling \$4.6 million for a total reported fair value of \$13.1 million based on valuations utilizing Level 3 valuation inputs. Fair values are based on third party appraisals; however, based on the current economic conditions, comparative sales data typically used in the appraisals may be unavailable or more subjective due to the lack of real estate market activity.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Generally accepted accounting principles require disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized on the balance sheet, for which it is practical to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. This disclosure does not and is not intended to represent the fair value of the Company.

A summary of the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 319,040	\$ 319,040	\$ 206,348	\$ 206,348
Securities, available-for-sale	75,861	75,861	100,195	100,195
Loans held for sale	2,838,234	2,838,234	3,175,272	3,175,272
Loans held for sale from discontinued operations	298	298	302	302
Loans held for investment, net	7,431,234	7,435,054	6,711,198	6,714,031
Derivative asset	11,124	11,124	28,465	28,465
Deposits	7,980,598	7,980,929	7,440,804	7,441,240
Federal funds purchased	307,515	307,515	273,179	273,179
Borrowings	1,327,115	1,327,115	1,673,982	1,673,983
Subordinated notes	111,000	108,673	111,000	112,757
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	113,406	113,406	113,406	113,406
Derivative liability	11,124	11,124	28,465	28,465

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair value, which is characterized as a Level 1 asset in the fair value hierarchy.

Securities

The fair value of investment securities is based on prices obtained from independent pricing services which are based on quoted market prices for the same or similar securities, which is characterized as a Level 2 asset in the fair value hierarchy. We have obtained documentation from the primary pricing service we use about their processes and controls over pricing. In addition, on a quarterly basis we independently verify the prices that we receive from the service provider using two additional independent pricing sources. Any significant differences are investigated and resolved.

Loans, net

Loans are characterized as Level 3 assets in the fair value hierarchy. For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are generally based on carrying values. The fair value for all other loans is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. The carrying amount of accrued interest approximates its fair value. The carrying amount of loans held for sale approximates fair value.

Table of Contents*Derivatives*

The estimated fair value of the interest rate swaps are obtained from independent pricing services, which is characterized as a Level 2 asset in the fair value hierarchy. On a quarterly basis, we independently verify the fair value using an additional independent pricing source.

Deposits

Deposits are characterized as Level 3 liabilities in the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amounts for variable-rate money market accounts approximate their fair value. Fixed-term certificates of deposit fair values are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities.

Federal funds purchased, other borrowings, subordinated notes and trust preferred subordinated debentures

The carrying value reported in the consolidated balance sheet for federal funds purchased and other short-term, floating rate borrowings approximates their fair value, which is characterized as a Level 1 asset in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of any fixed rate short-term borrowings and trust preferred subordinated debentures are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on similar borrowings, which is characterized as a Level 3 liability in the fair value hierarchy. The subordinated notes are publicly traded and are valued based on market prices, which is characterized as a Level 2 liability in the fair value hierarchy.

(11) DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of derivative positions outstanding is included in other assets and other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

During 2013 and 2012, we entered into certain interest rate derivative positions that are not designated as hedging instruments. These derivative positions relate to transactions in which we enter into an interest rate swap, cap and/or floor with a customer while at the same time entering into an offsetting interest rate swap, cap and/or floor with another financial institution. In connection with each swap transaction, we agree to pay interest to the customer on a notional amount at a variable interest rate and receive interest from the customer on a similar notional amount at a fixed interest rate. At the same time, we agree to pay another financial institution the same fixed interest rate on the same notional amount and receive the same variable interest rate on the same notional amount. The transaction allows our customer to effectively convert a variable rate loan to a fixed rate. Because we act as an intermediary for our customer, changes in the fair value of the underlying derivative contracts substantially offset each other and do not have a material impact on our results of operations.

The notional amounts and estimated fair values of interest rate derivative positions outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are presented in the following tables (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Notional Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Notional Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Non-hedging interest rate derivative:				
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	\$ 659,039	\$ 11,281	\$ 523,216	\$ 28,469
Commercial loan/lease interest rate swaps	(659,039)	(11,281)	(523,216)	(28,469)
Commercial loan/lease interest rate caps	(51,192)	(157)	(42,380)	(4)
Commercial loan/lease interest rate caps	51,192	157	42,380	4

Table of Contents

The weighted-average receive and pay interest rates for interest rate swaps outstanding at June 30, 2013 were as follows:

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Weighted-Average Interest Rate Received	Weighted-Average Interest Rate Paid	Weighted-Average Interest Rate Received	Weighted-Average Interest Rate Paid
Non-hedging interest rate swaps	4.86%	3.16%	4.76%	3.11%

The weighted-average strike rate for outstanding interest rate caps was 1.95% at June 30, 2013 and 2.06% at December 31, 2012.

Our credit exposure on interest rate swaps and caps is limited to the net favorable value and interest payments of all swaps and caps by each counterparty. In such cases collateral may be required from the counterparties involved if the net value of the swaps and caps exceeds a nominal amount considered to be immaterial. Our credit exposure, net of any collateral pledged, relating to interest rate swaps and caps was approximately \$11.1 million at June 30, 2013 and approximately \$28.5 million at December 31, 2012, all of which relates to bank customers. Collateral levels are monitored and adjusted on a regular basis for changes in interest rate swap and cap values. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had \$10.4 million and \$17.1 million, respectively, in cash collateral pledged for these derivatives included in interest-bearing deposits.

(12) STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

On August 1, 2012, we completed a sale of 2.3 million shares of our common stock in a public offering. Net proceeds from the sale totaled \$87.0 million. The additional equity was used for general corporate purposes, including retirement of \$15.0 million of debt and additional capital to support continued loan growth at our bank.

On March 28, 2013, we completed a sale of 6.0 million shares of 6.5% non-cumulative preferred stock, par value \$0.01, with a liquidation preference of \$25 per share, in a public offering. Dividends on the preferred stock are not cumulative and will be paid when declared by our board of directors to the extent that we have lawfully available funds to pay dividends. If declared, dividends will accrue and be payable quarterly, in arrears, on the liquidation preference amount, on a non-cumulative basis, at a rate of 6.50% per annum. We paid \$2.1 million in dividends on the preferred stock on June 15, 2013. Holders of preferred stock will not have voting rights, except with respect to authorizing or increasing the authorized amount of senior stock, certain changes in the terms of the preferred stock, certain dividend non-payments and as otherwise required by applicable law. Net proceeds from the sale totaled \$145.0 million. The additional equity is being used for general corporate purposes, including funding regulatory capital infusions into the Bank.

(13) NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

ASU 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210) Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities (ASU 2013-01) amends Topic 210, Balance Sheet to clarify that the scope of ASU 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities would apply to derivatives including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging. ASU 2013-01 was effective January 1, 2013 and did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

ASU 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (ASU 2013-02) amends Topic 220, Comprehensive Income to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Entities are required to separately present significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income for each component of accumulated other comprehensive income and to disclose, for each affected line item in the income statement, the amount of accumulated other comprehensive income that has been reclassified into that line item. ASU 2013-02 was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 13, 2012 and did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Table of Contents**QUARTERLY FINANCIAL SUMMARY UNAUDITED**

Consolidated Daily Average Balances, Average Yields and Rates

(In thousands)

	For the three months ended June 30, 2013			For the three months ended June 30, 2012		
	Average Balance	Revenue/ Expense ⁽¹⁾	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Revenue/ Expense ⁽¹⁾	Yield/ Rate
Assets						
Securities taxable	\$ 60,063	\$ 594	3.97%	\$ 91,623	\$ 948	4.16%
Securities non-taxable ⁽²⁾	18,843	275	5.85%	26,817	393	5.89%
Federal funds sold	54,448	13	0.10%	8,077	4	0.20%
Deposits in other banks	91,177	60	0.26%	60,416	48	0.32%
Loans held for sale	2,406,246	22,440	3.74%	2,062,449	21,087	4.11%
Loans	7,152,323	83,978	4.71%	5,950,913	73,204	4.95%
Less reserve for loan losses	75,006			71,779		
Loans, net of reserve	9,483,563	106,418	4.50%	7,941,583	94,291	4.78%
Total earning assets	9,708,094	107,360	4.44%	8,128,516	95,684	4.73%
Cash and other assets	402,898			386,867		
Total assets	\$ 10,110,992			\$ 8,515,383		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity						
Transaction deposits	\$ 1,051,199	\$ 233	0.09%	\$ 694,463	\$ 198	0.11%
Savings deposits	3,340,420	2,292	0.28%	2,664,598	2,107	0.32%
Time deposits	397,868	407	0.41%	584,581	831	0.57%
Deposits in foreign branches	340,713	296	0.35%	444,478	346	0.31%
Total interest bearing deposits	5,130,200	3,228	0.25%	4,388,120	3,482	0.32%
Other borrowings	727,158	354	0.20%	1,420,965	736	0.21%
Subordinated notes	111,000	1,829	6.61%			
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	113,406	633	2.24%	113,406	688	2.44%
Total interest bearing liabilities	6,081,764	6,044	0.40%	5,922,491	4,906	0.33%
Demand deposits	2,914,341			1,864,456		
Other liabilities	91,608			69,468		
Stockholders equity	1,023,279			658,968		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 10,110,992			\$ 8,515,383		
Net interest income						
		\$ 101,316			\$ 90,778	
Net interest margin						
			4.19%			4.49%
Net interest spread						
			4.04%			4.40%
Additional information from discontinued operations:						
Loans held for sale	\$ 299			\$ 389		

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Borrowed funds	299	389
Net interest income	\$ 6	\$ 6
Net interest margin - consolidated	4.19%	4.49%

- (1) The loan averages include loans on which the accrual of interest has been discontinued and are stated net of unearned income.
- (2) Taxable equivalent rates used where applicable.

Table of Contents**QUARTERLY FINANCIAL SUMMARY UNAUDITED**

Consolidated Daily Average Balances, Average Yields and Rates

(In thousands)

	For the six months ended June 30, 2013			For the six months ended June 30, 2012		
	Average Balance	Revenue/ Expense ⁽¹⁾	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Revenue/ Expense ⁽¹⁾	Yield/ Rate
Assets						
Securities taxable	\$ 65,611	\$ 1,323	4.07%	\$ 100,313	\$ 1,989	3.99%
Securities non-taxable ⁽²⁾	20,499	598	5.88%	27,662	802	5.83%
Federal funds sold	39,698	19	0.10%	7,463	5	0.13%
Deposits in other banks	84,982	112	0.27%	54,943	97	0.36%
Loans held for sale	2,384,566	45,081	3.81%	2,049,536	42,402	4.16%
Loans	6,998,400	164,519	4.74%	5,805,953	143,663	4.98%
Less reserve for loan losses	74,726			71,020		
Loans, net of reserve	9,308,240	209,600	4.54%	7,784,469	186,065	4.81%
Total earning assets	9,519,030	211,652	4.48%	7,974,850	188,958	4.76%
Cash and other assets	402,299			387,438		
Total assets	\$ 9,921,329			\$ 8,362,288		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity						
Transaction deposits	\$ 1,027,598	\$ 486	0.10%	\$ 629,891	\$ 338	0.11%
Savings deposits	3,293,806	4,589	0.28%	2,600,005	4,190	0.32%
Time deposits	400,476	821	0.41%	604,702	1,751	0.58%
Deposits in foreign branches	338,004	577	0.34%	426,950	675	0.32%
Total interest bearing deposits	5,059,884	6,473	0.26%	4,261,548	6,954	0.33%
Other borrowings	883,497	783	0.18%	1,487,841	1,455	0.20%
Subordinated notes	111,000	3,658	6.65%			0.00%
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	113,406	1,267	2.25%	113,406	1,399	2.48%
Total interest bearing liabilities	6,167,787	12,181	0.40%	5,862,795	9,808	0.34%
Demand deposits	2,723,196			1,782,423		
Other liabilities	91,076			73,789		
Stockholders equity	939,270			643,281		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 9,921,329			\$ 8,362,288		
Net interest income						
		\$ 199,471			\$ 179,150	
Net interest margin						
			4.23%			4.52%
Net interest spread						
			4.09%			4.43%
Additional information from discontinued operations:						
Loans held for sale	\$ 300			\$ 391		

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Borrowed funds	300	391
Net interest income	\$ 12	\$ 14
Net interest margin - consolidated	4.23%	4.52%

- (1) The loan averages include loans on which the accrual of interest has been discontinued and are stated net of unearned income.
- (2) Taxable equivalent rates used where applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements and financial analysis contained in this document that are not historical facts are forward looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Act). In addition, certain statements may be contained in our future filings with SEC, in press releases, and in oral and written statements made by or with our approval that are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Forward looking statements describe our future plans, strategies and expectations and are based on certain assumptions. Words such as believes, anticipates, expects, intends, targeted, continue, remain, should, may and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control that may cause actual results to differ materially from those in such statements. The important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (1) Changes in interest rates and the relationship between rate indices, including LIBOR and Fed Funds
- (2) Changes in the levels of loan prepayments, which could affect the value of our loans or investment securities
- (3) Changes in general economic and business conditions in areas or markets where we compete
- (4) Competition from banks and other financial institutions for loans and customer deposits
- (5) The failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of and provisions made to the allowance for credit losses and differences in assumptions utilized by banking regulators which could have retroactive impact
- (6) The loss of senior management or operating personnel and the potential inability to hire qualified personnel at reasonable compensation levels
- (7) Changes in government regulations including changes as a result of the recent economic crisis. On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a significant overhaul of many aspects of the regulation of the financial services industry. Many of the related regulations are still not written so the potential impact is still unknown
- (8) Claims and litigation, whether founded or unfounded, may result in significant financial liability if legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to us.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made. We have no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements as a result of new information or future events. In light of these assumptions, risks and uncertainties, the events discussed in any forward-looking statements in this quarterly report might not occur.

Results of Operations

Except as otherwise noted, all amounts and disclosures throughout this document reflect continuing operations. See Part I, Item 1 herein for a discussion of discontinued operations at Note (9) Discontinued Operations.

Summary of Performance

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

We reported net income of \$24.1 million and net income available to common shareholders of \$21.6 million, or \$0.52 per diluted common share, for the second quarter of 2013 compared to net income and net income available to common shareholders of \$29.6 million, or \$.76 per diluted common share, for the second quarter of 2012. The dividend on preferred shares reduced income available to common shareholders by \$2.4 million,

Table of Contents

or \$0.06 per share, during the second quarter of 2013. The second quarter of 2013 was the first full quarter for the preferred dividends, and the dividend amount going forward will be consistent. Return on average common equity was 9.94% and return on average assets was 0.95% for the second quarter of 2013, compared to 18.08% and 1.40%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2012. Net income and net income available to common shareholders for the six months ended June 30, 2013 totaled \$57.2 million and \$54.7 million, respectively, or \$1.31 per diluted common share, compared to net income and net income available to common shareholders of \$56.7 million, or \$1.45 per diluted common share, for the same period in 2012. Return on average common equity was 11.74% and return on average assets was \$1.16% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 17.73% and 1.36%, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Net income decreased \$5.6 million, or 19%, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The \$5.6 million decrease during the three months ended June 30, 2013, was primarily the result of a \$10.6 million increase in net interest income, a \$666,000 increase in non-interest income and a \$4.0 million decrease in income tax expense, offset by a \$6.0 million increase in the provision for credit losses and a \$14.7 million increase in non-interest expense. The \$512,000 increase in net income during the six months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily the result of a \$20.4 million increase in net interest income, a \$2.7 million increase in non-interest income and a \$547,000 decrease in income tax expense, offset by a \$5.0 million increase in the provision for credit losses and an \$18.2 million increase in non-interest expense.

Details of the changes in the various components of net income are further discussed below.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income was \$101.2 million for the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$90.6 million for the second quarter of 2012. The increase was due to an increase in average earning assets of \$1.6 billion as compared to the second quarter of 2012. The increase in average earning assets included a \$1.2 billion increase in average loans held for investment and a \$343.8 million increase in loans held for sale, offset by a \$39.5 million decrease in average securities. For the quarter ended June 30, 2013, average net loans and securities represented 98% and 1%, respectively, of average earning assets compared to 98% and 2% in the same quarter of 2012.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$151.7 million from the second quarter of 2012, which included a \$742.1 million increase in interest bearing deposits and a \$111.0 million increase in subordinated notes, offset by a \$701.4 million decrease in other borrowings. Demand deposits increased from \$1.9 billion at June 30, 2012 to \$2.9 billion at June 30, 2013. The average cost of interest bearing deposits decreased from .32% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 to .25% for the same period of 2013. The change in funding composition decreased the cost of interest bearing deposits and borrowed funds to .29% in the second quarter of 2013 compared to .29% in the second quarter of 2012.

Net interest income was \$199.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$178.9 million for the same period of 2012. The increase was due to an increase in average earning assets of \$1.5 billion as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in average earning assets included a \$1.2 billion increase in average loans held for investment and a \$335.0 million increase in loans held for sale, offset by a \$41.9 million decrease in average securities. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, average net loans and securities represented 98% and 1%, respectively, of average earning assets compared to 98% and 2% in the same quarter of 2012.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$305.0 million compared to the first six months of 2013, which included a \$798.3 million increase in interest bearing deposits and a \$111.0 million increase in subordinated notes, offset by a \$604.3 million decrease in other borrowings. Demand deposits increased from \$1.8 billion at June 30, 2012 to \$2.7 billion at June 30, 2013. The average cost of interest bearing deposits decreased from .33% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 to .26% for the same period of 2013. The change in funding composition decreased the cost of interest bearing deposits and borrowed funds to .25% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to .29% in the same period of 2012.

The following table presents the changes (in thousands) in taxable-equivalent net interest income and identifies the changes due to differences in the average volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the changes due to changes in the average interest rate on those assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents

	Three months ended June 30, 2013/2012			Six months ended June 30, 2013/2012		
	Net Change	Change Volume	Due To ⁽¹⁾ Yield/Rate	Net Change	Change Volume	Due To ⁽¹⁾ Yield/Rate
Interest income:						
Securities ⁽²⁾	\$ (472)	\$ (441)	\$ (31)	\$ (870)	\$ (901)	\$ 31
Loans held for sale	1,353	3,680	(2,327)	2,679	6,623	(3,944)
Loans held for investment	10,774	15,101	(4,327)	20,856	28,875	(8,019)
Federal funds sold	9	23	(14)	14	22	(8)
Deposits in other banks	12	25	(13)	15	53	(38)
Total	11,676	18,388	(6,712)	22,694	34,672	(11,978)
Transaction deposits	35	105	(70)	148	212	(64)
Savings deposits	185	554	(369)	399	1,082	(683)
Time deposits	(424)	(265)	(159)	(930)	(593)	(337)
Deposits in foreign branches	(50)	(79)	29	(98)	(143)	45
Borrowed funds	1,392	(358)	1,750	2,854	(593)	3,447
Total	1,138	(43)	1,181	2,373	(35)	2,408
Net interest income	\$ 10,538	\$ 18,431	\$ (7,893)	\$ 20,321	\$ 34,707	\$ (14,386)

(1) Changes attributable to both volume and yield/rate are allocated to both volume and yield/rate on an equal basis.

(2) Taxable equivalent rates used where applicable.

Net interest margin, the ratio of net interest income to average earning assets, was 4.19% for the second quarter of 2013 compared to 4.49% for the second quarter of 2012. This 30 basis point decrease was a result of a decrease in interest income as a percent of earning assets offset by a reduction in funding costs. Funding cost including demand deposits and borrowed funds decreased from .22% for the second quarter of 2012 to .16% for the second quarter of 2013. The cost of subordinated debt issued in September 2012 and the trust preferred as a percent of total earning assets was .10% for the second quarter of 2013. Total cost of funding, including all deposits and stockholders' equity increased slightly to .24% for the second quarter of 2013 compared to .23% for the second quarter of 2012.

Non-interest Income

The components of non-interest income were as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 1,749	\$ 1,624	\$ 3,450	\$ 3,228
Trust fee income	1,269	1,232	2,510	2,346
Bank owned life insurance (BOLI) income	463	588	961	1,109
Brokered loan fees	4,778	4,128	9,522	7,779
Swap fees	981	622	2,633	1,419
Other	1,888	2,268	3,333	3,771
Total non-interest income	\$ 11,128	\$ 10,462	\$ 22,409	\$ 19,652

Non-interest income increased \$666,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012. This increase is primarily related to an increase of \$650,000 in brokered loan fees due to an increase in our mortgage finance volume. Swap fee income increased \$359,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012 due to an increase in swap transactions. Swap fees are fees related to customer swap transactions and are received from the institution that is our counterparty on the transaction. See Note 11 - Derivative Financial Instruments for further discussion.

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Non-interest income increased \$2.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012. This increase is primarily related to an increase of \$1.7 million in brokered loan fees due to an increase in our mortgage finance volume. Swap fee income increased \$1.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012 due to an increase in swap transactions. Swap fees are fees related to customer swap transactions and are received from the institution that is our counterparty on the transaction. See Note 11 Derivative Financial Instruments for further discussion.

Table of Contents

While management expects continued growth in non-interest income, the future rate of growth could be affected by increased competition from nationwide and regional financial institutions. In order to achieve continued growth in non-interest income, we may need to introduce new products or enter into new lines of business or expand existing lines of business. Any new product introduction or new market entry could place additional demands on capital and managerial resources.

Non-interest Expense

The components of non-interest expense were as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 45,191	\$ 30,230	\$ 78,732	\$ 59,249
Net occupancy expense	4,135	3,679	7,992	7,283
Marketing	4,074	3,174	8,046	5,997
Legal and professional	4,707	3,330	8,647	7,321
Communications and technology	3,347	2,720	6,469	5,203
Allowance and other carrying costs for OREO	482	3,812	912	7,154
Other	6,798	7,028	13,636	14,042
Total non-interest expense	\$ 68,734	\$ 53,973	\$ 124,434	\$ 106,249

Non-interest expense for the second quarter of 2013 increased \$14.7 million, or 27%, to \$68.7 million from \$54.0 million in the second quarter of 2012. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$15.0 million increase in salaries and employee benefits. Of this increase, approximately \$7.7 million related to a charge taken to reflect the financial effect of the planned organizational change announced during the second quarter of 2013 related to the retirement and transition of our CEO and includes assumptions about future payouts that may or may not occur. These payouts, when and if realized, will be directly linked to our performance and stock price, but are required to be estimated at the time of the event. Additionally, there was another \$2.2 million of charges related to the increased probability that certain company financial performance targets for executive cash-based incentives will be met. These incentives are expensed based on current stock prices, which have increased since the grants were made. The remaining \$5.1 million increase was primarily due to general business growth and costs of cash-based incentives resulting from the increase in the price of our common stock.

Marketing expense for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$900,000, or 28%, compared to the same quarter in 2012, which was primarily due to general business growth and treasury management programs.

Legal and professional expense for the three months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$1.4 million compared to the same quarter in 2012 due to general business growth.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, allowance and other carrying costs for OREO decreased \$3.3 million, to \$482,000, \$383,000 of which related to deteriorating values of assets held in OREO. Of the \$383,000 valuation expense in the second quarter of 2013, \$219,000 related to direct write-downs of the OREO balance and \$164,000 related to increasing the valuation allowance for the second quarter of 2013.

Non-interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$18.2 million, or 17%, to \$124.4 million from \$106.2 million compared to the same period in 2012. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$19.5 million increase in salaries and employee benefits. Of this increase, approximately \$7.7 million related to a charge taken to reflect the financial effect of the planned organizational change announced during the second quarter of 2013 related to the retirement and transition of our CEO and includes assumptions about future payouts that may or may not occur. These payouts, when and if realized, will be directly linked to our performance and stock price, but are required to be estimated at the time of the event. Additionally, there was another \$2.2 million of charges related to the increased probability that certain company financial performance targets for executive cash-based incentives will be met. These incentives are expensed based on current stock prices. The remaining \$9.6 million increase was primarily due to general business growth and incentive expense directly related to our performance and the increase in the price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Marketing expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$2.0 million, or 34%, compared to the same period in 2012, which was primarily due to general business growth and treasury management programs.

Legal and professional expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$1.3 million compared to the same period in 2012 due to general business growth.

Communications and data processing expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$1.3 million compared to the same period in 2012 as a result of general business growth.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, allowance and other carrying costs for OREO decreased \$6.2 million, to \$912,000, \$454,000 of which related to deteriorating values of assets held in OREO. Of the \$454,000 valuation expense in the first six months of 2013, \$290,000 related to direct write-downs of the OREO balance and \$164,000 related to increasing the valuation allowance for the second quarter of 2013.

Analysis of Financial Condition**Loan Portfolio**

Total loans net of allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013 increased \$383.0 million from December 31, 2012 to \$10.3 billion. All loan categories within loans held for investment increased for a combined \$730.6 million increase. Loans held for sale decreased \$337.0 million from December 31, 2012 as a result of seasonal trends and rising long-term interest rates.

Loans were as follows as of the dates indicated (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial	\$ 4,470,861	\$ 4,106,419
Construction	969,071	737,637
Real estate	2,015,045	1,892,451
Consumer	24,026	19,493
Leases	77,111	69,470
Gross loans held for investment	7,556,114	6,825,470
Deferred income (net of direct origination costs)	(45,452)	(39,935)
Allowance for loan losses	(79,428)	(74,337)
Total loans held for investment, net	7,431,234	6,711,198
Loans held for sale	2,838,234	3,175,272
Total	\$ 10,269,468	\$ 9,886,470

We continue to lend primarily in Texas. As of June 30, 2013, a substantial majority of the principal amount of the loans held for investment in our portfolio was to businesses and successful professionals and entrepreneurs in Texas. This geographic concentration subjects the loan portfolio to the general economic conditions in Texas. The risks created by these concentrations have been considered by management in the determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Management believes the allowance for loan losses is appropriate to cover estimated losses on loans at each balance sheet date.

We originate a substantial majority of all the loans held for investment. We also participate in syndicated loan relationships, both as a participant and as an agent. As of June 30, 2013, we have \$1.2 billion in syndicated loans, \$315.2 million of which we acted as agent. All syndicated loans, whether we act as agent or participant, are underwritten to the same standards as all other loans originated by us. In addition, as of June 30, 2013, none of our syndicated loans were on non-accrual.

Loans held for sale consist of legal ownership interests purchased in single-family residential mortgages funded through our mortgage finance group. These loans are typically on our balance sheet for 10 to 20 days or less. We have agreements with mortgage lenders and purchase legal

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

ownership interest in individual loans they originate. All loans are underwritten consistent with established programs for permanent financing with financially sound investors. Substantially all loans are conforming loans or loans eligible for sale to federal agencies or government sponsored entities.

Table of Contents

Summary of Loan Loss Experience

The provision for credit losses is a charge to earnings to maintain the reserve for loan losses at a level consistent with management's assessment of the loan portfolio in light of current economic conditions and market trends. We recorded a provision of \$7.0 million during the second quarter of 2013 compared to \$1.0 million in the second quarter of 2012 and \$2.0 million in the first quarter of 2013. Despite experiencing improvements in credit quality, we have seen levels of reserves and provision increase due to growth in the portfolio. We do continue to maintain an unallocated reserve component to allow for continued uncertainty in economic and other conditions affecting the quality of the loan portfolio. We believe the level of unallocated reserves at June 30, 2013 continues to be warranted due to the ongoing weak economic environment which has produced more frequent losses, including those resulting from fraud by borrowers.

The reserve for loan losses is comprised of specific reserves for impaired loans and an estimate of losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date, but not yet identified with specified loans. We regularly evaluate our reserve for loan losses to maintain an appropriate level to absorb estimated loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Factors contributing to the determination of reserves include the credit worthiness of the borrower, changes in the value of pledged collateral, and general economic conditions. All loan commitments rated substandard or worse and greater than \$500,000 are specifically reviewed for loss potential. For loans deemed to be impaired, a specific allocation is assigned based on the losses expected to be realized from those loans. For purposes of determining the general reserve, the portfolio is segregated by product types to recognize differing risk profiles among categories, and then further segregated by credit grades. Credit grades are assigned to all loans. Each credit grade is assigned a risk factor, or reserve allocation percentage. These risk factors are multiplied by the outstanding principal balance and risk-weighted by product type to calculate the required reserve. A similar process is employed to calculate a reserve assigned to off-balance sheet commitments, specifically unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit. Even though portions of the allowance may be allocated to specific loans, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off.

The reserve allocation percentages assigned to each credit grade have been developed based primarily on an analysis of our historical loss rates. The allocations are adjusted for certain qualitative factors for such things as general economic conditions, changes in credit policies and lending standards. Changes in the trend and severity of problem loans can cause the estimation of losses to differ from past experience. In addition, the reserve considers the results of reviews performed by independent third party reviewers as reflected in their confirmations of assigned credit grades within the portfolio. The portion of the allowance that is not derived by the allowance allocation percentages compensates for the uncertainty and complexity in estimating loan and lease losses including factors and conditions that may not be fully reflected in the determination and application of the allowance allocation percentages. We evaluate many factors and conditions in determining the unallocated portion of the allowance, including the economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas, credit quality trends and general growth in the portfolio. The allowance is considered appropriate, given management's assessment of potential losses within the portfolio as of the evaluation date, the significant growth in the loan and lease portfolio, current economic conditions in the Company's market areas and other factors.

The methodology used in the periodic review of reserve adequacy, which is performed at least quarterly, is designed to be dynamic and responsive to changes in portfolio credit quality. The changes are reflected in the general reserve and in specific reserves as the collectability of larger classified loans are evaluated with new information. As our portfolio has matured, historical loss ratios have been closely monitored, and our reserve adequacy relies primarily on our loss history. The review of reserve adequacy is performed by executive management and presented to our board of directors for their review, consideration and ratification on a quarterly basis.

The combined reserve for credit losses, which includes a liability for losses on unfunded commitments, totaled \$83.6 million at June 30, 2013, \$78.2 million at December 31, 2012 and \$75.4 million at June 30, 2012. Due to the growth in loans, the total reserve percentage decreased to 1.11% at June 30, 2013 from 1.15% and 1.21% of loans held for investment at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The total reserve percentage had increased in 2010 as a result of the effects of national and regional economic conditions on borrowers and values of assets pledged as collateral. The combined reserve percentage has trended down as we recognized losses on loans for which there were specific or general allocations of reserves and as we have experienced improvement in our overall credit quality. The overall reserve for loan losses continues to result from consistent application of the loan loss reserve methodology as described above. At June 30, 2013, we

Table of Contents

believe the reserve is sufficient to cover all expected losses in the portfolio and has been derived from consistent application of the methodology described above. Should any of the factors considered by management in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses change, our estimate of inherent losses in the portfolio could also change, which would affect the level of future provisions for loan losses.

Activity in the reserve for loan losses is presented in the following table (in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30, 2013	Year ended December 31, 2012	Six months ended June 30, 2012
Reserve for loan losses:			
Beginning balance	\$ 74,337	\$ 70,295	\$ 70,295
Loans charged-off:			
Commercial	4,474	6,708	1,510
Real estate - term	131	899	615
Consumer	45	49	
Equipment leases		204	121
Total charge-offs	4,650	7,860	2,246
Recoveries:			
Commercial	745	832	350
Real estate - construction		10	
Real estate - term	15	812	456
Consumer	45	33	8
Equipment leases	261	108	71
Total recoveries	1,066	1,795	885
Net charge-offs	3,584	6,065	1,361
Provision for loan losses	8,675	10,107	3,470
Ending balance	\$ 79,428	\$ 74,337	\$ 72,404
Reserve for off-balance sheet credit losses:			
Beginning balance	\$ 3,855	\$ 2,462	\$ 2,462
Provision for off-balance sheet credit losses	325	1,393	530
Ending balance	\$ 4,180	\$ 3,855	\$ 2,992
Total reserve for credit losses	\$ 83,608	\$ 78,192	\$ 75,396
Total provision for credit losses	\$ 9,000	\$ 11,500	\$ 4,000
Reserve for loan losses to loans held for investment ⁽²⁾	1.06%	1.10%	1.16%
Net charge-offs to average loans ^{(1) (2)}	0.10%	0.10%	0.05%
Total provision for credit losses to average loans ⁽²⁾	0.26%	0.19%	0.14%
Recoveries to total charge-offs	22.92%	22.84%	39.40%
Reserve for off-balance sheet credit losses to off-balance sheet credit commitments	0.14%	0.14%	0.14%
Combined reserves for credit losses to loans held for investment ⁽²⁾	1.11%	1.15%	1.21%
Non-performing assets:			
Non-accrual loans ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 38,450	\$ 55,833	\$ 56,433
OREO ⁽⁴⁾	13,053	15,991	27,882
Other repossessed assets	19	42	19

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 51,522	\$ 71,866	\$ 84,334
Restructured loans	\$ 4,765	\$ 10,407	\$ 13,943
Loans past due 90 days and still accruing ⁽³⁾	7,633	3,674	4,421
Reserve as a percent of non-performing loans	2.1x	1.3x	1.3x

(1) Interim period ratios are annualized.

(2) Excludes loans held for sale.

(3) At June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, loans past due 90 days and still accruing includes premium finance loans of \$4.2 million, \$2.8 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. These loans are generally secured by obligations of insurance carriers to refund premiums on cancelled insurance policies. The refund of premiums from the insurance carriers can take 180 days or longer from the cancellation date.

Table of Contents

- (4) At June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, OREO balance is net of \$4.6 million, \$5.6 million and \$9.0 million valuation allowance, respectively.
- (5) As of June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, non-accrual loans included \$16.4 million, \$19.6 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, in loans that met the criteria for restructured.

Non-performing Assets

Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans and leases and repossessed assets. The table below summarizes our non-accrual loans by type (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012
Non-accrual loans:			
Commercial	\$ 17,577	\$ 15,373	\$ 19,248
Construction		17,217	19,959
Real estate	20,799	23,066	16,705
Consumer	9	57	269
Leases	65	120	252
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 38,450	\$ 55,833	\$ 56,433

The table below summarizes the non-accrual loans as segregated by loan type and type of property securing the credit as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Non-accrual loans:	
Commercial	
Lines of credit secured by the following:	
Various single family residences and notes receivable	\$ 2,157
Oil and gas properties	1,668
Assets of the borrowers	12,221
Other	1,531
Total commercial	17,577
Real estate	
Secured by:	
Commercial property	6,075
Unimproved land and/or undeveloped residential lots	9,298
Single family residences	2,083
Other	3,343
Total real estate	20,799
Consumer	9
Leases (commercial leases primarily secured by assets of the lessor)	65
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 38,450

Generally, we place loans on non-accrual when there is a clear indication that the borrower's cash flow may not be sufficient to meet payments as they become due, which is generally when a loan is 90 days past due. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all previously accrued and unpaid interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized on a cash basis as long as the remaining unpaid principal amount of the loan is deemed to be fully collectible. If collectability is questionable, then cash payments are applied to principal. As of June 30, 2013, none of our non-accrual loans were earning on a cash basis.

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the original loan agreement. All loans classified as restructured loans are also considered impaired. Reserves on impaired loans are measured based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the underlying collateral.

At June 30, 2013, we had \$7.6 million in loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest. At June 30, 2013, \$4.2 million of the loans past due 90 days and still accruing are premium finance loans. These loans are primarily secured by obligations of insurance carriers to refund premiums on cancelled insurance policies. The refund of premiums from the insurance carriers can take 180 days or longer from the cancellation date.

Table of Contents

Restructured loans are loans on which, due to the borrower's financial difficulties, we have granted a concession that we would not otherwise consider. This may include a transfer of real estate or other assets from the borrower, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of the two. Modifications of terms that could potentially qualify as a restructuring include reduction of contractual interest rate, extension of the maturity date at a contractual interest rate lower than the current rate for new debt with similar risk, or a reduction of the face amount of debt, or forgiveness of either principal or accrued interest. As of June 30, 2013, we have \$4.8 million in loans considered restructured that are not on nonaccrual. Of the nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2013, \$16.4 million met the criteria for restructured. A loan continues to qualify as restructured until a consistent payment history or change in borrower's financial condition has been evidenced, generally no less than twelve months. A loan is placed back on accrual status when both principal and interest are current and it is probable that we will be able to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the loan agreement.

Potential problem loans consist of loans that are performing in accordance with contractual terms but for which we have concerns about the borrower's ability to comply with repayment terms because of the borrower's potential financial difficulties. We monitor these loans closely and review their performance on a regular basis. At June 30, 2013 and 2012, we had \$9.3 million and \$9.4 million, respectively, in loans of this type which were not included in either non-accrual or 90 days past due categories.

The table below presents a summary of the activity related to OREO (in thousands):

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$ 14,426	\$ 32,601	\$ 15,991	\$ 34,077
Additions	912	875	912	3,397
Sales	(1,902)	(2,471)	(3,396)	(3,728)
Valuation allowance for OREO	(164)	(2,700)	(164)	(3,556)
Direct write-downs	(219)	(423)	(290)	(2,308)
Ending balance	\$ 13,053	\$ 27,882	\$ 13,053	\$ 27,882

The following table summarizes the assets held in OREO at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Unimproved commercial real estate lots and land	\$ 3,116
Commercial buildings	844
Undeveloped land and residential lots	7,200
Multifamily lots and land	282
Single family residences	611
Other	1,000
Total OREO	\$ 13,053

When foreclosure occurs, fair value, which is generally based on appraised values, may result in partial charge-off of a loan upon taking property, and so long as property is retained, subsequent reductions in appraised values will result in valuation adjustment taken as non-interest expense. In addition, if the decline in value is believed to be permanent and not just driven by market conditions, a direct write-down to the OREO balance may be taken. We generally pursue sales of OREO when conditions warrant, but we may choose to hold certain properties for a longer term, which can result in additional exposure related to the appraised values during that holding period. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we recorded \$454,000 and \$5.9 million in valuation expense, respectively. Of the \$454,000 recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2013, \$164,000 related to direct write-downs and \$290,000 related to increasing the valuation allowance.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

In general terms, liquidity is a measurement of our ability to meet our cash needs. Our objective in managing our liquidity is to maintain our ability to meet loan commitments, purchase securities or repay deposits and other liabilities in accordance with their terms, without an adverse impact on our current or future earnings. Our liquidity strategy is guided by policies, which are formulated and monitored by our senior management and our Balance Sheet Management Committee (BSMC), and which take into account the demonstrated marketability of assets, the sources and stability of funding and the level of unfunded commitments. We regularly evaluate all of our various funding sources with an emphasis on accessibility, stability, reliability and cost-effectiveness. For the year ended December 31, 2012 and for six months ended June 30, 2013, our principal source of funding has been our customer deposits, supplemented by our short-term and long-term borrowings, primarily from federal funds purchased and Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings.

Our liquidity needs for support of growth in loans held for investment have been fulfilled through growth in our core customer deposits. Our goal is to obtain as much of our funding for loans held for investment and other earnings assets as possible from deposits of these core customers. These deposits are generated principally through development of long-term relationships with customers and stockholders, with a significant focus on treasury management products. In addition to deposits from our core customers, we have access to incremental deposits through brokered retail certificates of deposit, or CDs. These CDs are generally of short maturities, 30 to 90 days, and are used to supplement temporary differences in the growth in loans, including growth in loans held for sale or other specific categories of loans, compared to customer deposits. The following table summarizes our period-end and average year-to-date core customer deposits and brokered deposits (in millions):

	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2012
Deposits from core customers	\$ 7,980.6	\$ 6,490.2	\$ 7,440.8
Deposits from core customers as a percent of total deposits	100.0%	97.4%	100.0%
Brokered deposits	\$	\$ 170.1	\$
Brokered deposits as a percent of total deposits	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Average deposits from core customers ⁽¹⁾	\$ 7,783.1	\$ 5,879.9	\$ 6,336.0
Average deposits from core customers as a percent of total quarterly average deposits ⁽¹⁾	100.0%	97.3%	98.3%
Average brokered deposits ⁽¹⁾	\$	\$ 164.1	\$ 108.0
Average brokered deposits as a percent of total quarterly average deposits ⁽¹⁾	0.0%	2.7%	1.7%

(1) Annual averages presented for December 31, 2012.

We have access to, and have periodically utilized, sources of brokered deposits of not less than an additional \$3.5 billion. Customer deposits (total deposits minus brokered CDs) increased by \$1.5 billion from June 30, 2012 and increased by \$539.8 million from December 31, 2012.

Additionally, we have borrowing sources available to supplement deposits and meet our funding needs. Such borrowings are generally used to fund our loans held for sale, due to their liquidity, short duration and interest spreads available. These borrowing sources typically include federal funds purchased from our downstream correspondent bank relationships (which consist of banks that are smaller than our bank) and from our upstream correspondent bank relationships (which consist of banks that are larger than our bank), customer repurchase agreements, treasury, tax and loan notes, and advances from the FHLB and the Federal Reserve. The following table summarizes our borrowings as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Table of Contents

Federal funds purchased	\$ 307,515
Customer repurchase agreements	27,079
FHLB borrowings	1,300,036
Subordinated notes	111,000
Trust preferred subordinated debentures	113,406
 Total borrowings	 \$ 1,859,036
 Maximum outstanding at any month-end during the year	 \$ 1,859,036

The following table summarizes our other borrowing capacities in excess of balances outstanding at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

FHLB borrowing capacity relating to loans	\$ 58,479
FHLB borrowing capacity relating to securities	12,558
 Total FHLB borrowing capacity	 \$ 71,037
 Unused federal funds lines available from commercial banks	 \$ 703,000

Our equity capital averaged \$939.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to \$643.3 million for the same period in 2012. We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock since we commenced operations and have no plans to do so in the near future.

Our capital ratios remain above the levels required to be well capitalized and have been enhanced with the additional capital raised since 2008 and will allow us to grow organically with the addition of loan and deposit relationships.

On March 27, 2012, we entered into a loan agreement which provides for a non-revolving amortizing line of credit up to \$50 million that matures on March 27, 2014. At December 31, 2012, we had \$35.0 million of unused capacity on this line. During the first quarter of 2013, we modified the line of credit to increase the capacity to \$50.0 million. The loan proceeds may be used for general corporate purposes including funding regulatory capital infusions into the Bank. The loan agreement contains customary financial covenants and restrictions. At June 30, 2013, no borrowings were outstanding.

During the second quarter of 2012, we filed a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with the SEC which was effective June 25, 2012. The registration statement covers issuances of up to \$250.0 million of debt or equity securities. On August 1, 2012, we completed a sale of 2.3 million shares of our common stock in a public offering. Net proceeds from the sale totaled \$87.0 million. The additional equity was used for general corporate purposes, including retirement of \$15.0 million of debt discussed above and additional capital to support continued loan growth at our bank.

On September 21, 2012, we issued \$111.0 million of subordinated notes. The notes mature in September 2042 and bear interest at a rate of 6.50% per annum, payable quarterly. The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes including funding regulatory capital infusions into the Bank. The loan agreement contains customary financial covenants and restrictions.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we filed a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with the SEC which was effective October 25, 2012. The registration statement covers issuances of up to \$250.0 million of debt or equity securities. On March 28, 2013, we completed a sale of 6.0 million shares of our preferred stock in a public offering. Net proceeds from the sale totaled \$145.1 million. The additional equity is being used for general corporate purposes, including funding regulatory capital infusions into the Bank.

Table of Contents**Commitments and Contractual Obligations**

The following table presents significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations to third parties by payment date. Payments for borrowings do not include interest. Payments related to leases are based on actual payments specified in the underlying contracts. As of June 30, 2013, our significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations to third parties were as follows (in thousands):

	Within One Year	After One but Within Three Years	After Three but Within Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Deposits without a stated maturity ⁽¹⁾	\$ 7,228,740	\$	\$	\$	\$ 7,228,740
Time deposits ⁽¹⁾	675,713	73,529	2,545	71	751,858
Federal funds purchased ⁽¹⁾	307,515				307,515
Customer repurchase agreements ⁽¹⁾	27,079				27,079
FHLB borrowings ⁽¹⁾	1,300,000		36		1,300,036
Operating lease obligations ^{(1) (2)}	10,948	21,372	20,256	49,396	101,972
Subordinated notes ⁽¹⁾				111,000	111,000
Trust preferred subordinated debentures ⁽¹⁾				113,406	113,406
Total contractual obligations	\$ 9,549,995	\$ 94,901	\$ 22,837	\$ 273,873	\$ 9,941,606

(1) Excludes interest.

(2) Non-balance sheet item.

Critical Accounting Policies

SEC guidance requires disclosure of critical accounting policies. The SEC defines critical accounting policies as those that are most important to the presentation of a company's financial condition and results, and require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

We follow financial accounting and reporting policies that are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The more significant of these policies are summarized in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. Not all these significant accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments. However, the policy noted below could be deemed to meet the SEC's definition of critical accounting policies.

Management considers the policies related to the allowance for loan losses as the most critical to the financial statement presentation. The total allowance for loan losses includes activity related to allowances calculated in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310, *Receivables*, and ASC 450, *Contingencies*. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to current earnings. The amount maintained in the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of the loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is comprised of specific reserves assigned to certain classified loans and general reserves. Factors contributing to the determination of specific reserves include the credit-worthiness of the borrower, and more specifically, changes in the expected future receipt of principal and interest payments and/or in the value of pledged collateral. A reserve is recorded when the carrying amount of the loan exceeds the discounted estimated cash flows using the loan's initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral for certain collateral dependent loans. For purposes of determining the general reserve, the portfolio is segregated by product types in order to recognize differing risk profiles among categories, and then further segregated by credit grades. See Summary of Loan Loss Experience for further discussion of the risk factors considered by management in establishing the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is a broad term for the risk of economic loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of a financial instrument. These changes may be the result of various factors, including interest rates, foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, or equity prices. Additionally, the financial instruments subject to market risk can be classified either as held for trading purposes or held for other than trading.

We are subject to market risk primarily through the effect of changes in interest rates on our portfolio of assets held for purposes other than trading. The effect of other changes, such as foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, and/or equity prices do not pose significant market risk to us.

The responsibility for managing market risk rests with the Balance Sheet Management Committee, which operates under policy guidelines established by our board of directors. The negative acceptable variation in net interest revenue due to a 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates is generally limited by these guidelines to +/- 5%. These guidelines also establish maximum levels for short-term borrowings, short-term assets and public and brokered deposits. They also establish minimum levels for unpledged assets, among other things. Compliance with these guidelines is the ongoing responsibility of the BSMC, with exceptions reported to our board of directors on a quarterly basis.

Interest Rate Risk Management

Our interest rate sensitivity is illustrated in the following table. The table reflects rate-sensitive positions as of June 30, 2013, and is not necessarily indicative of positions on other dates. The balances of interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities are presented in the periods in which they next reprice to market rates or mature and are aggregated to show the interest rate sensitivity gap. The mismatch between repricings or maturities within a time period is commonly referred to as the gap for that period. A positive gap (asset sensitive), where interest rate sensitive assets exceed interest rate sensitive liabilities, generally will result in the net interest margin increasing in a rising rate environment and decreasing in a falling rate environment. A negative gap (liability sensitive) will generally have the opposite results on the net interest margin. To reflect anticipated prepayments, certain asset and liability categories are shown in the table using estimated cash flows rather than contractual cash flows. The Company employs interest rate floors in certain variable rate loans to enhance the yield on those loans at times when market interest rates are extraordinarily low. The degree of asset sensitivity, spreads on loans and net interest margin may be reduced until rates increase by an amount sufficient to eliminate the effects of floors. The adverse effect of floors as market rates increase may also be offset by the positive gap, the extent to which rates on deposits and other funding sources lag increasing market rates and changes in composition of funding.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Sensitivity Gap Analysis****June 30, 2013**

(In thousands)

	0-3 mo Balance	4-12 mo Balance	1-3 yr Balance	3+ yr Balance	Total Balance
Assets:					
Securities ⁽¹⁾	\$ 14,288	\$ 22,836	\$ 22,385	\$ 16,352	\$ 75,861
Total variable loans	8,937,436	24,581	458	9,341	8,971,816
Total fixed loans	725,355	336,708	207,786	152,981	1,422,830
Total loans ⁽²⁾	9,662,791	361,289	208,244	162,322	10,394,646
Total interest sensitive assets	\$ 9,677,079	\$ 384,125	\$ 230,629	\$ 178,674	\$ 10,470,507
Liabilities:					
Interest bearing customer deposits	\$ 4,648,965	\$	\$	\$	\$ 4,648,965
CDs & IRAs	158,057	168,694	73,529	2,618	402,898
Total interest bearing deposits	4,807,022	168,694	73,529	2,618	5,051,863
Repurchase agreements, Federal funds purchased, FHLB borrowings	1,634,594		36		1,634,630
Subordinated notes				111,000	111,000
Trust preferred subordinated debentures				113,406	113,406
Total borrowings	1,634,594		36	224,406	1,859,036
Total interest sensitive liabilities	\$ 6,441,616	\$ 168,694	\$ 73,565	\$ 227,024	\$ 6,910,899
GAP	\$ 3,235,463	\$ 215,431	\$ 157,064	\$ (48,350)	\$
Cumulative GAP	3,235,463	3,450,894	3,607,958	3,559,608	3,559,608
Demand deposits					\$ 2,928,735
Stockholders equity					1,034,955
Total					\$ 3,963,690

(1) Securities based on fair market value.

(2) Loans include loans held for sale and are stated at gross.

The table above sets forth the balances as of June 30, 2013 for interest bearing assets, interest bearing liabilities, and the total of non-interest bearing deposits and stockholders equity. While a gap interest table is useful in analyzing interest rate sensitivity, an interest rate sensitivity simulation provides a better illustration of the sensitivity of earnings to changes in interest rates. Earnings are also affected by the effects of changing interest rates on the value of funding derived from demand deposits and stockholders equity. We perform a sensitivity analysis to identify interest rate risk exposure on net interest income. We quantify and measure interest rate risk exposure using a model to dynamically simulate the effect of changes in net interest income relative to changes in interest rates and account balances over the next twelve months based on three interest rate scenarios. These are a most likely rate scenario and two shock test scenarios.

Edgar Filing: TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES INC/TX - Form 10-Q

The most likely rate scenario is based on the consensus forecast of future interest rates published by independent sources. These forecasts incorporate future spot rates and relevant spreads of instruments that are actively traded in the open market. The Federal Reserve's Federal Funds target affects short-term borrowing; the prime lending rate and the LIBOR are the basis for most of our variable-rate loan pricing. The 10-year mortgage rate is also monitored because of its effect on prepayment speeds for mortgage-backed securities. These are our primary interest rate exposures. We are currently not using derivatives to manage our interest rate exposure.

The two shock test scenarios assume a sustained parallel 200 basis point increase or decrease, respectively, in interest rates. As short-term rates continued to fall during 2009 and remained low through 2012, we could not assume interest rate decreases of any amount as the results of the decreasing rates scenario would not be meaningful. We will continue to evaluate these scenarios as interest rates change, until short-term rates rise above 3.0%.

Table of Contents

Our interest rate risk exposure model incorporates assumptions regarding the level of interest rate or balance changes on indeterminable maturity deposits (demand deposits, interest bearing transaction accounts and savings accounts) for a given level of market rate changes. These assumptions have been developed through a combination of historical analysis and future expected pricing behavior. Changes in prepayment behavior of mortgage-backed securities, residential and commercial mortgage loans in each rate environment are captured using industry estimates of prepayment speeds for various coupon segments of the portfolio. The impact of planned growth and new business activities is factored into the simulation model. This modeling indicated interest rate sensitivity as follows (in thousands):

Anticipated Impact Over the Next Twelve Months
as Compared to Most Likely Scenario

200 bp Increase
June 30, 2013

Change in net interest income	\$73,855
-------------------------------	----------

The simulations used to manage market risk are based on numerous assumptions regarding the effect of changes in interest rates on the timing and extent of repricing characteristics, future cash flows, and customer behavior. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, the model cannot precisely estimate net interest income or precisely predict the impact of higher or lower interest rates on net interest income. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors.

Table of Contents**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2013, and concluded that those disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in our internal controls or in other factors known to us that could materially affect these controls subsequent to their evaluation, nor any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses. While we believe that our existing disclosure controls and procedures have been effective to accomplish these objectives, we intend to continue to examine, refine and formalize our disclosure controls and procedures and to monitor ongoing developments in this area.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Except as set forth below, there have been no material change in the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's 2012 Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Additional risk factors relating to the Subordinated Notes issued September 21, 2012 previously disclosed in the Prospectus Supplement dated September 18, 2012 and to the Preferred Stock offering completed on March 28, 2013 previously disclosed in the Prospectus Supplement dated March 21, 2013 and the 8-K filed on March 22, 2013 are incorporated by reference.

Our growth plans are dependent on the availability of capital and funding. Our historical ability to raise capital through the sale of common stock and debt securities may become limited by market conditions beyond our control, as has been evidenced with the economic downturn and issues affecting the financial services industry. Due to changes in regulation, trust preferred securities are no longer viable as a source of long-term debt capital, and treatment of trust preferred as capital may be changed by regulation prior to the maturity of the trust preferred. Change in capital treatment of trust preferred may require the Company to issue securities at times and with maturity, conditions, and rates that are disadvantageous. Pricing of capital, in terms of interest or dividend requirements or dilutive impact on earnings available to shareholders, has increased dramatically, and an increase in costs of capital and availability of funding can have a direct impact on operating performance and the ability to achieve growth objectives. Costs of funding could also increase dramatically and affect our growth objectives, as well as our financial performance. Additionally, the FDIC's guarantee on non-interest bearing deposits was not extended past December 31, 2012; as a result, we could be adversely affected in our ability to attract and maintain non-interest bearing deposits as a source of cost-effective funding. Adverse changes in operating performance or financial condition or changes in statutory or regulatory requirements could make raising additional capital difficult or extremely expensive. Regulators may change capital and liquidity requirements including previous interpretations of practices related to risk weights that could require an increase to the allocation of capital to assets held by the Bank, and they could require banks to make retroactive adjustment to financial statements to reflect such changes. Changes in risk weights applicable to assets held by the Bank, if applied retroactively could cause our Bank to report historical deficiencies relative to well-capitalized or minimum capital standards and cause us to raise additional capital or reduce asset balances to maintain minimum and well-capitalized levels of regulatory capital. Balances shown as loans held for sale are comprised of ownership interests in mortgage loans to which we have historically assigned a risk weight to mortgage loans of 50% (or 20% for loans guaranteed by the FHA or VA). The Company will pursue all available remedies to any determination by the OCC that the mortgage loan interests owned should be assigned to the 100% risk weight category associated with loans to mortgage companies that are secured by a pledge of mortgage loans as collateral. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in challenging any such determination, and the OCC could require a change in the applicable risk weight on these assets retroactively. It is not certain that any change that the Company may make in the structure of our program would result in a return to historical risk weights applied to the ownership interests in mortgage assets or that such change would not increase operating and financial risks related to this business and the assets generated by it.

During the first six month of 2013, we downstreamed an additional \$190 million to the Bank and applied a 100% risk weight to the loans held for sale portfolio. We believe we have at all times applied the appropriate risk weights to the ownership interests in mortgage loans owned by us and are prepared to defend that position. Based on the facts and circumstances related to the structure of our program, we believe it supports a 50% (or 20% for FHA/VA) risk weighting. We will determine if our business practices could be changed to maintain

Table of Contents

the risk weights historically applied and if those changes would be consistent with operating and financial risks acceptable to the Bank. Beginning with the reports for March 31, 2013, the risk weight applied to the Company's ownership in mortgage loans, in the capital ratios included herein and in regulatory filings, is shown using a 100% risk weight. That presentation, which is being challenged by the Company, is consistent with our understanding of the OCC's current interpretation of the supplemental call reports instructions issued in early April 2013 that require reporting of such assets as loans to nondepository financial institutions. At June 30, 2013 we had \$89 million in the parent company and an available line of credit of \$50 million to support the Bank's future capital needs. As is typical in the mortgage business, month-end and quarter-end balances are generally much higher than average balances. The difference between the quarter-end balance and the average balance for the second quarter of 2013 required approximately \$43 million in additional capital using the 100% risk weight applied.

Table of Contents

ITEM 5. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

101 The following materials from Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TEXAS CAPITAL BANCSHARES, INC.

Date: July 26, 2013

/s/ Peter B. Bartholow
Peter B. Bartholow
Chief Financial Officer
(Duly authorized officer and principal financial officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
101	The following materials from Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements ***

*** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.