EATON VANCE OHIO MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 27, 2012

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Form N-CSR

#### **CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED**

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21226

# **Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

September 30, 2012

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

# Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

# Annual Report

September 30, 2012

Municipal II (EIV)	California II (EIA)	Massachusetts (MAB)	Michigan (MIW)
New Jersey (EMJ)	New York II (NYH)	Ohio (EIO)	Pennsylvania (EIP)

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2012

# Eaton Vance

# Municipal Bond Funds

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund II California Municipal Bond Fund II Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund Michigan Municipal Bond Fund New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund II Ohio Municipal Bond Fund Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	4 5 7 8 9 10 11
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	12
Financial Statements	13
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	64
Federal Tax Information	65
Notice to Shareholders	66
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	67
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	68
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	70
Management and Organization	73
Important Notices	76

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### Economic and Market Conditions

In the early months of the period, investors appeared to worry about intensifying debt problems in Europe, high U.S. unemployment, a stagnant housing market, and the lingering Congressional deadlock that left businesses perplexed about the direction of tax and regulatory policy. The S&P 500 Index,<sup>2</sup> which had fallen sharply in the late summer of 2011, continued to drift down through November of 2011.

Beginning in mid-December of 2011, however, an equity rally took hold and continued into early April 2012, fueled by stronger economic growth in the fourth quarter, falling unemployment, and what the markets perceived as a successful restructuring of Greek debt. Then in May 2012, the third annual mid-year economic slowdown arrived, instigated by renewed concerns in Europe, slowing growth in China, and continuing political uncertainty in the United States with the upcoming presidential election. Despite a slowdown in consumer spending and weakening employment data, however, stocks moved upward intermittently from June through the end of the period in part because investors anticipated that worsening economic news would prompt the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) to initiate another round of quantitative easing to stimulate the economy. This also drove U.S. Treasury yields to all time lows in July 2012. The Fed proved the markets right when it initiated a new, open-ended round of quantitative easing just weeks before period-end.

Against this backdrop, municipal bonds rallied during the one-year period ending September 30, 2012, led by the long end of the yield curve. The Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the United States returned 8.32% for the period, while the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), returned 12.21%. As yields on high quality bonds fell, investors moved out on the yield curve, buying longer maturity municipal bonds to potentially take advantage of higher yields. In their quest for income during a period of historically low interest rates, investors also favored lower quality, higher yielding issues over higher quality bonds. As a result, longer duration, lower credit quality bonds were the best performers in the municipals space during the period.

Municipal bonds offered higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries during the period. The ratio of 30-year AAA<sup>6</sup> municipal yields to 30-year Treasury yields which

historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt began the period at 122.4%, making municipal bonds very attractive relative to Treasuries. Investor recognition of this anomaly was likely the main factor that drove the ratio down to 101.6% by period-end, and the increased interest in municipal bonds caused them to outperform Treasuries for the one-year period ending September 30, 2012.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, all of the Funds shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 12.21% return of the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher) with maturities of ten years or more, in order to capture their generally higher yields and greater income payments at the long end of the yield curve. Management tends to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures and interest-rate swaps in seeking to provide downside protection.

The Funds use of leverage was the most significant contributor to performance versus the Index for the period. In managing these closed-end mutual funds, management employs leverage in seeking to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down

markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key positive contributor to the Funds relative performance versus the Index.

An overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, which were the best performing coupon in the Index during the period, also helped performance for all Funds.

As a risk management tactic within the overall Fund strategy mentioned above, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period, however, municipal bonds strongly outperformed Treasuries. As a result, the hedging strategy did not have a notable effect on relative performance versus the Index for most Funds the exceptions being the New Jersey and Pennsylvania Funds, where hedging was a slight detractor from performance versus the Index.

#### See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

#### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II s shares at NAV had a total return of 18.56%, outpacing the 12.21% return of the Index. The main drivers of outperformance versus the Index were leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. The chief detractors from performance versus the Index were underweights in three areas that did well during the period: bonds with maturities of 20 years or longer, issues rated A and below, and California municipal issues.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II s shares at NAV returned 21.62%, surpassing the 12.21% return of the Index. In addition to leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, contributors to performance versus the Index included an overweighting and security selection in local general obligation bonds. Underweighted positions in bonds with maturities of

20 years or longer and in issues rated A and below detracted from relative performance versus the Index. Underweighting hospital and industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds the two strongest-performing municipal sectors during the period hurt results versus the Index as well.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 18.26%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Key contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, and an overweighting and security selection in the education sector, which performed well during the period. Notable detractors from performance versus the Index included underweights in three areas: bonds with maturities of

20 years or more, bonds rated A and below, and hospital and IDR issues.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 13.69%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds were the major contributors to relative outperformance versus the Index. The key detractors from performance versus the Index were underweighted positions in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more, bonds rated A and below, and hospital and IDR issues.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 17.69%, outpacing the 12.21% return of the Index. The Fund s relative outperformance was driven primarily by leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. Significant detractors from performance versus the Index were underweighted positions in three areas: bonds with maturities of

20 years or more, bonds rated A and below, and

hospital and IDR issues. The Fund s hedging strategy was also a slight detractor from performance versus the Index.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II s shares at NAV returned 15.47%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Key contributors to results versus the Index included leverage, an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, and an overweighting in IDR bonds. Relative detractors from performance versus the Index included underweighted positions in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more, in bonds rated A and below, and in hospital issues.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 19.50%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds were the key drivers of results versus the Index. Relative underweights in three areas dragged on performance versus the Index: bonds with maturities of 20 years or more, bonds rated A and below, and hospital and IDR issues.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 16.76%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. The Fund s relative outperformance versus the Index was driven by leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. Detractors from performance versus the Index included an underweighting in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more and in bonds rated BBB and below. The Fund s hedge was also a slight detractor from performance versus the Index.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	<b>Five Years</b>	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	18.56%	4.59%	6.29%
Fund at Market Price		11.59	6.67	6.70
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%

#### % Premium/Discount to NAV

Distributions <sup>4</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.874
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.67%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.72%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.46%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.40%

% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)	20.19%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)	19.38
Fund Profile	

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	9.4%	BBB	8.0%
AA	68.1	D	0.8
А	13.7		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Since

3.81%

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

				Since
% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	21.62%	4.79%	5.88%
Fund at Market Price		18.36	6.26	6.06
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				1.72%

Distributions <sup>4</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.793
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.75%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.86%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.66%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	9.71%

% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	
APS	29.32%
RIB	11.28

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	16.2%	BBB	5.3%
AA	59.9	BB	1.7
А	16.9		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Since

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	18.26%	7.02%	7.08%
Fund at Market Price		21.87	8.19	7.47
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				3.71%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.840
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.28%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				8.58%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.09%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.27%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				30.14%
RIB				7.39
Fund Profile				

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	17.6%	BBB	4.8%
AA	44.6	Not Rated	6.5
А	26.5		

#### See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at

NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

# Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

				Since
% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	13.69%	6.19%	6.58%
Fund at Market Price		24.85	9.19	7.26
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				6.45%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.889
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.91%

Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.51%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.56%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.94%

#### % Total Leverage<sup>5</sup> APS

Fund Profile

#### See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Since

36.93%

### New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

				Since
% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	17.69%	5.55%	6.79%
Fund at Market Price		19.58	7.46	7.12
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%

#### % Premium/Discount to NAV

Distributions <sup>4</sup>	 
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.812
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.33%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.01%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.17%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.74%
-	
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	

10 I Otal Develage	
APS	31.34%
RIB	7.66

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	5.4%	А	29.1%
AA	59.9	BBB	5.6

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility,

3.07%

current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	15.47%	4.89%	6.41%
Fund at Market Price		14.89	6.13	6.46
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				0.52%
				0.5270
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.784
Distribution Rate at NAV				4.94%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				8.34%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				4.92%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.30%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				22.93%
RIB				15.36
Fund Profile				

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	19.4%	BBB	11.8%
AA	45.4	Not Rated	2.4
А	21.0		

#### See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at

NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

# Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

				Since
% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	19.50%	4.35%	5.43%
Fund at Market Price		25.85	8.27	6.47
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%

#### % Premium/Discount to NAV

Distributions <sup>4</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.772
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.59%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.14%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.08%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.31%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	

10 I Otal Develage	
APS	31.74%
RIB	2.93

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	4.9%	BBB	8.0%
AA	59.7	Not Rated	1.0
А	26.4		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

10.14%

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	16.76%	6.21%	6.76%
Fund at Market Price		28.88	9.74	7.71
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				9.13%

\$ 0.873
6.04%
9.59%
5.53%
8.78%
\$

% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	
APS	32.64%
RIB	3.07

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AA	50.6%	BBB	5.9%
А	38.3	Not Rated	5.2

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at

NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- <sup>1</sup> The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of large-cap stocks commonly used as a measure of U.S. stock market performance. Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

<sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.

- <sup>4</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of ordinary income, tax-exempt income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes. Subsequent distributions declared, but not reflected in Fund Performance, reflect a reduction of the monthly distribution for Michigan Municipal Bond Fund.
- <sup>5</sup> Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund is required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its APS, which could be reduced if Fund asset values decline. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- <sup>6</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor s or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment grade quality.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 163.4%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 12.6% Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> Houston, TX, Higher Education Finance Corp., (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.25%, 7/1/37 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (State University Educational Facilities), 4.00%, 5/15/28 North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Tennessee School Bond Authority, 5.50%, 5/1/38 University of Virginia, 5.00%, 6/1/40 Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, (Middlebury College), 5.00%, 11/1/40	\$ 2,200 1,745 2,710 1,500 1,500 1,175 500 1,000 1,500 750	\$ 2,488,618 2,040,359 3,301,430 1,596,120 1,705,305 1,303,216 585,685 1,175,470 1,740,675 859,065
		\$ 16,795,943
Electric Utilities 1.9% South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Unified Government Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	\$ 1,420 685	1,690,510 777,489 <b>2,467,999</b>
General Obligations16.9%Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), $5.25\%$ , $1/1/37^{(1)}$ Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, $5.75\%$ , $7/1/32$ Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), $5.00\%$ , $8/15/37$ Hawaii, $5.00\%$ , $12/1/29$ Hawaii, $5.00\%$ , $12/1/30$ Mississippi, $5.00\%$ , $10/1/36^{(1)}$ New York, $5.00\%$ , $2/15/34^{(1)}$ New York, NY, $5.00\%$ , $8/1/31$ Oregon, $5.00\%$ , $8/1/36$ Pasadena, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), $5.00\%$ , $2/15/35$ Virginia Beach, VA, $4.00\%$ , $4/1/27$ Virginia Beach, VA, $4.00\%$ , $4/1/28$	\$ 1,680 2,500 1,280 2,500 1,000 1,725 2,750 3,500 1,000 645 220 230	\$ 1,906,581 3,052,775 1,493,990 3,042,700 1,211,560 2,017,077 3,200,175 4,193,210 1,181,090 765,725 249,425 259,346

\$ 22,573,654

Value

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)

<ul> <li>Hospital 5.1%</li> <li>Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35</li> <li>Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27</li> <li>Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33</li> <li>Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36</li> <li>Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38</li> <li>Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/39</li> <li>Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32</li> <li>Orange County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Orlando Health, Inc.), 5.00%, 10/1/42</li> </ul>	\$ 900 545 500 1,285 1,850 5,000 960 500	\$ 930,852 569,934 506,185 1,375,580 530,987 1,360,850 982,598 541,485 <b>\$ 6,798,471</b>
Industrial Development Revenue 0.8% St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,075,236 <b>\$ 1,075,236</b>
Insured Education 6.9% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59 Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 University of South Alabama, (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/1/38	\$ 2,500 1,555 3,900	\$ 3,160,825 1,748,224 4,276,623 <b>\$ 9,185,672</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 7.3% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39 Chelan County, WA, Public Utility District No. 1, (Columbia River), (NPFG), 0.00%, 6/1/23 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$ 1,000 6,335 2,205 1,595	\$ 1,150,750 4,512,167 2,247,975 1,912,772 \$ 9,823,664

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations 14.1% Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Coast Community College District, CA, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/33 Goodyear, AZ, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/26 Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Philadelphia, PA, (AGC), 7.00%, 7/15/28 Washington, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/25 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	750 17,000 1,350 2,750 1,250 5,500	1,016,108 5,588,070 1,384,965 3,125,127 1,462,650 6,343,975 <b>8,920,895</b>
<ul> <li>Insured Hospital 22.1%</li> <li>Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32</li> <li>California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38<sup>(1)</sup></li> <li>Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39</li> <li>Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/44</li> <li>Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/14<sup>(1)</sup></li> <li>Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36<sup>(1)</sup></li> <li>Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35</li> <li>Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47)</li> <li>Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41</li> <li>Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32</li> <li>New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36<sup>(1)</sup></li> <li>New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38</li> <li>Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39</li> </ul>	\$	1,750 1,500 1,695 450 2,200 3,000 1,490 2,500 1,750 750 2,090 1,000 500 2,245 1,545 <b>Principal</b> <b>Amount</b> <b>s omitted</b> )	1,931,440 1,684,275 1,802,955 478,746 2,359,236 3,388,560 1,604,208 2,690,274 1,885,362 808,013 2,382,495 1,084,080 537,565 2,509,439 1,814,263 Value
Insured Hospital (continued) Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33	\$	2,300	2,544,881 <b>9,505,792</b>
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39	\$	1,340	1,505,999 <b>1,505,999</b>

Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.7% Essex County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (NPFG), 5.50%, 10/1/30 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,000 875 3,250 1,500	\$	1,356,800 1,007,501 3,576,073 1,656,870
		\$	7,597,244
Insured Other Revenue 1.5% Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 2,540 1,000	\$ \$	734,898 1,229,320 <b>1,964,218</b>
Insured Solid Waste 1.0% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$ 740 425	\$	878,484 499,545
		\$	1,378,029
Insured Special Tax Revenue 3.8% Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 3,000 14,620 8,395	\$	2,539,200 1,248,402 1,299,546
		\$	5,087,148

14

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Student Loan 1.3%			
Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$	1,565	\$ 1,787,856
			\$ 1,787,856
Insured Transportation 23.7%			
Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39	\$	1,585	\$ 1,786,786
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22		7,800	5,061,576
Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>		1,305 10,000	1,453,039
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGW), 5.00%, //1/4107 Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24		1,000	11,269,700 1,164,480
Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29		535	608,514
Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail -1st Tier), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/20 <sup>(2)</sup>		13,885	1,378,642
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38		1,040	1,186,661
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29		255	294,816
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39		290	335,092
North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48		1,750	2,036,510
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, (AGM), 5.25%, 7/15/30		2,540	3,296,818
Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42		1,785	1,797,317
			\$ 31,669,951

Insured Water and Sewer 8.7%		
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/26	\$ 670	\$ 773,327
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/27	420	482,752
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/38	660	737,979
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	1,635	1,826,066
District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	1,250	1,457,838
Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33	435	503,404
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/35	1,000	1,367,030
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40	2,205	2,673,982
Pearland, TX, Waterworks and Sewer Systems, (NPFG), 3.50%, 9/1/31	1,795	1,836,195

#### \$ 11,658,573

Principal	
Amount	
(000 s omitted)	Value

Security

Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.4% New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30	\$ 2,235 5,000	\$ 2,630,930 5,988,750 <b>\$ 8,619,680</b>
Other Revenue 1.2% Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,601,028 <b>\$ 1,601,028</b>
Senior Living / Life Care 0.2% Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 235	\$ 273,855 \$ 273,855
Special Tax Revenue 7.3% Homewood, AL, City Board of Education, 5.00%, 4/1/32 Illinois, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 Illinois, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/33 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/33 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/30 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/33 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36 New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1)(3)</sup>	\$ 1,880 425 405 435 750 110 125 105 80 3,800	\$ 2,174,070 492,031 466,520 498,562 1,010,002 130,593 147,846 122,987 93,075 4,669,440 <b>\$ 9,805,126</b>
<ul> <li>Transportation 11.0%</li> <li>Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35</li> <li>Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28</li> <li>Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38</li> <li>Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41</li> <li>New York Liberty Development Corp., (4 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 11/15/31</li> <li>New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37</li> <li>New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42</li> </ul>	\$ 1,715 465 865 2,115 1,070 510 555	\$ 1,942,529 557,428 990,036 2,334,114 1,220,956 580,186 627,588

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation (continued) Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.00%, 7/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup> South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank, 5.25%, 10/1/40 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/37	\$ 420 375 1,400 1,000 2,500	\$	471,404 416,542 1,507,506 1,131,470 2,910,175
		\$	14,689,934
Water and Sewer 2.8% Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/37	\$ 1,000 215 355 205 910 720	\$ \$	1,146,270 230,332 380,599 230,656 1,013,685 789,480 <b>3,791,022</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments163.4%(identified cost \$204,144,279)		\$ 2	18,576,989
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (33.4)%		\$ (	(44,702,175)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (30.0)%		\$ (	40,102,550)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 1	33,772,264

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGCAssured Guaranty Corp.AGMAssured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.				
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.				
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company				
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.				
PSF	Permanent School Fund				
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.				
At September 30, 2012, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:					

New York

12.6% 87.4%

Others, representing less than 10% individually 87.4% The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 59.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.5% to 21.6% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Defaulted security. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.

<sup>(3)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,819,440

16

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 166.8%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 16.9% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/22 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/23 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28 University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	\$ $\begin{array}{c} 1,370\\ 395\\ 160\\ 70\\ 65\\ 380\\ 890\\ 115\\ 1,200\\ 330\\ 210\\ 145\\ 405\\ 425\\ 450\\ 1,000\\ \end{array}$	1,517,385 465,977 184,176 83,397 76,844 425,547 1,039,342 141,722 1,413,060 376,111 239,646 160,912 480,330 501,279 528,822 1,146,310
		\$ 8,780,860
Electric Utilities 8.7% Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/29 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/30 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/31 Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/30 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$ 745 1,050 420 125 1,000 675	\$ 878,497 1,093,690 497,070 147,466 1,173,350 753,806
		\$ 4,543,879
Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
General Obligations 14.4% California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,542,697

Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32	100
I ····································	110
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33	110

112,041 121,771

Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.50%, 8/1/39 Menlo Park City School District, 5.00%, 7/1/30 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	120 120 145 520 260 1,850 720 455 350 410	131,848 133,392 160,225 584,678 318,617 2,136,805 847,289 537,396 414,666 480,368 <b>\$7,521,793</b>
Hospital 14.7% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Stanford Hospital and Clinics), 5.00%, 8/15/51 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Cottage Health System), 5.25%, 11/1/30 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 1,330 1,425 750 800 1,000 355 555 750	\$ 1,455,898 1,558,751 883,470 894,872 1,147,790 376,868 578,676 751,710 <b>\$ 7,648,035</b>
Insured Education 9.8% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/32 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35	\$ 420 1,000	\$ 463,819 1,104,330

See Notes to Financial Statements.

17

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Education (continued) California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> California State University, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/1/33	\$	2,000 1,335	2,185,600 1,340,887
Insured Electric Utilities 8.4% Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (NPFG), 5.00%, 2/1/32 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 <sup>(1)</sup> Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	\$	1,475 1,500 1,000	\$ 5,094,636 1,495,473 1,751,850 1,145,020 4,392,343
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 12.0% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36 Clovis Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/1/20 Orange County Water District, Certificates of Participation, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 8/15/34	\$	1,150 1,025 3,130 395	1,577,087 1,405,665 2,749,235 528,293 <b>6,260,280</b>
Insured General Obligations 23.8% Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39 Arcadia Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/38 Arcadia Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/40 Carlsbad Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/32 Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35 El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG), 4.45%, 8/1/36 Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32 Union Elementary School District, (Election of 1999), (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22	\$	725 7,125 2,525 1,500 6,675 575 1,250 1,040 3,200	\$ 832,329 1,832,693 579,967 1,680,045 1,957,243 598,144 1,420,513 1,191,850 2,295,552
			\$ 12,388,336

	Principal
	Amount
Security	(000 s omitted) Value

Insured Hospital 6.4% California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,250 1,750	\$ 1,357,038 1,964,987 <b>\$ 3,322,025</b>
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 7.1% Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,250 1,750	\$ 1,745,700 1,925,577 <b>\$ 3,671,277</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 10.7% Cathedral City Public Financing Authority, (Housing Redevelopment), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.50%, 7/1/27 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/32	\$ 1,400 1,535 430 5,655 3,215 1,225	\$ 1,417,220 1,306,132 461,450 482,880 497,682 1,401,045 \$ 5,566,409
Insured Transportation 3.8% San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/27 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33	\$ 3,520 330	\$ 1,635,216 349,774 \$ 1,984,990
Insured Water and Sewer 8.6% Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 7/1/37 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38 Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	\$ 1,235 100 1,600 445 665	\$ 1,320,524 115,030 1,840,480 491,667 691,739 \$ 4,459,440

See Notes to Financial Statements.

18

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue6.3%Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/31San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$	1,490 1,300	\$	1,713,127 1,542,476
Transportation 12.9% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29	\$	1,190	\$ \$	<b>3,255,603</b> 1,439,852
Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27 Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Los Angeles Harbor Department, 5.00%, 8/1/25 San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/20	Ŷ	540 1,060 1,250 635 1,000	Ŷ	641,531 1,204,754 1,522,838 707,631 1,193,470
			\$	6,710,076
Water and Sewer 2.3% Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$	1,050	\$ \$	1,215,658 <b>1,215,658</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments166.8%(identified cost \$78,832,496)			\$	86,815,640
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (49.4)%			\$ (	(25,700,770)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (17.4)%			\$	(9,052,282)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$	52,062,588

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 54.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.5% to 19.3% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$409,754.

19

# Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 157.5%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 6.0% Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33 Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$	585 640	\$ 802,790 881,433 <b>\$ 1,684,223</b>
Education 26.3% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Middlesex School), 5.00%, 9/1/33 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.50%, 7/1/36 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38	\$	750 750 2,000 1,000 870 1,025	\$ 761,603 857,077 2,342,520 1,205,450 979,533 1,248,071 \$ 7,394,254
Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.0% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Massachusetts College of Pharmacy), Prerefunded to 7/1/13, 5.75%, 7/1/33 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Western New England College), Prerefunded to 12/1/12, 6.125%, 12/1/32	\$	500 600	\$ 525,870 612,156 <b>\$ 1,138,026</b>
General Obligations       12.0%         Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24       Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21         Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36       Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/26         Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31       Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32         Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33       Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36         Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36       Vinchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36	\$	200 395 565 250 225 205 340 510 160	<ul> <li>\$ 232,222</li> <li>472,938</li> <li>672,073</li> <li>302,455</li> <li>267,381</li> <li>242,919</li> <li>405,749</li> <li>597,695</li> <li>188,118</li> <li>\$ 3,381,550</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Hospital 14.6%</li> <li>Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37</li> <li>Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Lahey Clinic Medical Center), 5.25%, 8/15/28</li> <li>Security</li> </ul>	\$ (000	775 400 Principal Amount 5 s omitted)	\$ 849,609 431,232 Value
Hospital (continued) Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/39 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Southcoast Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/29	\$	500 750 370 1,000	\$ 554,765 820,215 370,540 1,085,460 \$ 4,111,821
Insured Education 17.9% Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston College), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Massachusetts College of Pharmacy), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$	700 750 1,105 750 750	\$ 973,210 858,585 1,397,085 1,010,512 784,830 \$ <b>5,024,222</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 4.4% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$	1,095	\$ 1,239,759 <b>\$ 1,239,759</b>
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 7.2% Massachusetts College Building Authority, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 5/1/26	\$	2,900	\$ 2,040,788 <b>\$ 2,040,788</b>
Insured         General Obligations         13.3%           Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30         Revere, (AGC), 5.00%, 4/1/39	\$	1,900 1,000	\$ 2,647,593 1,094,240 \$ 3,741,833
Insured Hospital 0.9% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25	\$	220	\$ 245,432 \$ 245,432

# Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.0% Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27	\$	1,000	\$ 1,396,560 \$ 1 396 560
Insured Other Revenue 2.0% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42	\$	415	\$ 1,396,560 \$ 551,336 \$ 551,336
Insured Special Tax Revenue 16.1% Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (NPFG), 5.50%, 7/1/28 Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts, Special Obligation, Dedicated Tax Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,225 400 1,160 750 2,595 1,460	\$ 1,253,077 550,120 1,331,204 963,428 221,587 226,008 \$ 4,545,424
Insured Water and Sewer 4.6% Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/36	\$	960	\$ 1,308,970 <b>\$ 1,308,970</b>
Other Revenue 3.3% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/29	\$	320 490	<ul> <li>\$ 368,167 551,480</li> <li>\$ 919,647</li> </ul>
Senior Living / Life Care 2.6% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31	\$	745	\$ 745,030 <b>\$ 745,030</b>

Special Tax Revenue5.3%Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$	95 1,210		\$ 111,232 1,388,523
			:	\$ 1,499,755
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation 8.8% Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37 Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28 Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34	\$	1,000 500 250 435	\$	1,122,260 555,635 296,630 501,137
Water and Sewer 3.2% Boston Water & Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/27	\$	750	\$ \$	<b>2,475,662</b> 898,447
			\$	898,447
Total Tax-Exempt Investments157.5%(identified cost \$38,373,002)			\$	44,342,739
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (48.2)%			\$ (	(13,575,305)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (9.3)%			\$	(2,629,734)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$	28,137,700

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC AGM	Assured Guaranty Corp. Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 45.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.2% to 19.0% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$510,513.

21

# Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

#### Tax-Exempt Investments 155.0%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Education 1.1% Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Hillsdale College), 5.00%, 3/1/35	\$ 250		254,030 <b>254,030</b>
Electric Utilities 2.1% Michigan Public Power Agency, 5.00%, 1/1/43	\$ 450	\$ \$	480,375 <b>480,375</b>
Escrowed / Prerefunded 9.3% Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Chelsea Community Hospital), Prerefunded to 5/15/15, 5.00%, 5/15/30 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Oakwood Hospital System), Prerefunded to 4/1/13, 5.75%, 4/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Trinity Health Corp.), Prerefunded to 12/1/12, 5.375%, 12/1/30	\$ 400 1,000 640	1	447,876 ,028,160 645,806 2,121,842
General Obligations9.7%Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.125%, 5/1/31Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.25%, 5/1/33Howell Public Schools, 4.50%, 5/1/29Livingston County, 4.00%, 6/1/28Livingston County, 4.00%, 6/1/30St. Clair County, (Convention Center), 3.75%, 4/1/42	\$ 170 205 165 620 230 245 375		195,231 235,346 189,095 698,932 252,936 265,541 367,125 2,204,206
Hospital 19.5% Grand Traverse Hospital, 5.375%, 7/1/35 Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, (Bronson Health Care Group), 5.25%, 5/15/33 Kent Hospital Finance Authority, (Spectrum Health System), 5.00%, 1/15/31 Michigan Finance Authority, (Oakwood Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (McLaren Health Care), 5.00%, 6/1/35	\$ 750 500 750 500 750	\$	856,770 557,375 850,365 560,800 828,975

Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Trinity Health Corp.), 5.00%, 12/1/48

700 773,885

#### \$ 4.428.170

		\$ 4,4	428,170
Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Education 2.1% Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.125%, 10/1/33	\$ 435		482,572 <b>482,572</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 7.1% Michigan Strategic Fund, (Detroit Edison Co.), (XLCA), 5.25%, 12/15/32 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26	\$ 500 1,000	1,	501,765 119,390 <b>621,155</b>
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 36.4% Central Michigan University, (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/13, 5.05%, 10/1/32 Lansing Building Authority, (NPFG), Prerefunded to 6/1/13, 5.00%, 6/1/29 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (St. John Health System), (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 5/15/28 Michigan House of Representatives, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/22 Michigan House of Representatives, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/23 Reed City Public Schools, (AGM), Prerefunded to 5/1/14, 5.00%, 5/1/29	\$ 750 1,500 1,150 1,750 2,615 1,300	1, 1, 1, 2, 1,	786,270 548,765 154,347 391,845 006,777 398,202
Insured General Obligations 21.8% Grand Rapids and Kent County Joint Building Authority, (DeVos Place), (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/1/27 Greenville Public Schools, (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/1/25 Okemos Public School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 5/1/19 Pinconning Area Schools, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/33 Royal Oak, (AGC), 6.25%, 10/1/28	\$ 1,960 375 1,330 1,000 1,000	\$ 1, 1, 1, 1,	<b>286,206</b> 162,005 376,354 136,392 082,710 200,280 <b>957,741</b>
Insured Hospital 6.0% Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Mid-Michigan Obligation Group), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/15/32 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, (William Beaumont Hospital), (NPFG), 5.25%, 11/15/35	\$ 500 855	\$	500,570 855,932 <b>356,502</b>

22

# Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 7.7% Michigan Building Authority, (AGM), (FGIC), 0.00%, 10/15/29 Michigan Building Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/15/30	\$	1,000 3,100	\$ 445,720 1,302,465
			\$ 1,748,185
Insured Special Tax Revenue 1.9% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	3,005 1,165	\$ 256,597 180,342
			\$ 436,939
Insured Utilities 6.8% Lansing Board of Water and Light, (Water Supply, Steam and Electric Utility), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/25 Lansing Board of Water and Light, (Water Supply, Steam and Electric Utility), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/26	\$	1,000 510	\$ 1,018,630 519,149
			\$ 1,537,779
Insured Water and Sewer 13.5% Detroit Sewer Disposal System, (NPFG), 4.50%, 7/1/35 Detroit Water Supply System, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/30 Grand Rapids Water Supply System, (AGC), 5.00%, 1/1/29	\$	500 1,425 1,000	\$ 491,230 1,425,941 1,148,650
			\$ 3,065,821
Special Tax Revenue 5.1% Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36	\$	1,000	\$ 1,163,440
			\$ 1,163,440
Water and Sewer 4.9% Grand Rapids, Sanitary Sewer System, 5.00%, 1/1/28 Port Huron, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 10/1/31	\$	650 250	\$ 846,866 279,355
			\$ 1,126,221

Total Tax Exempt Investments 155.0% (identified cost \$32,050,961)	\$ 35,271,184
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (58.6)%	\$ (13,325,499)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 3.6%	\$ 813,273
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	\$ 22,758,958

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGCAssured Guaranty Corp.AGMAssured Guaranty Municipal Corp.AMBACAMBAC Financial Group, Inc.FGICFinancial Guaranty Insurance CompanyNPFGNational Public Finance Guaranty Corp.XLCAXL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Michigan municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 66.6% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.4% to 27.2% of total investments.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

23

# New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

#### Tax-Exempt Investments 161.5%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 6.0% New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.25%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Ramapo College), 4.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Ramapo College), 5.00%, 7/1/37 Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/39	\$	750 750 360 250	\$ 798,060 814,665 408,596 279,618
			\$ 2,300,939
General Obligations4.4%Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 1/15/27	\$	1,375	\$ 1,673,045
			\$ 1,673,045
Hospital 10.5% Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Atlanticare Regional Medical Center), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hunterdon Medical Center), 5.125%, 7/1/35 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/21 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (South Jersey Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/46	\$	180 685 515 250 750 1,345	<pre>\$ 186,170 700,049 545,205 260,373 911,107 1,395,895 \$ 3,998,799</pre>
Housing 2.9% New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single Family Housing), 4.375%, 4/1/28	\$	995	\$ 1,085,465
			\$ 1,085,465
Insured Education 6.5% New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Rowan University), (AGM), (FGIC), 3.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (William Paterson University), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/34 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (William Paterson University), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	\$	920 1,145 275	\$ 928,731 1,249,241 300,753 <b>\$ 2,478,725</b>

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Electric Utilities 3.9% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26	\$ 335 1,000	\$	357,881 1,119,390
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.5% Garden State Preservation Trust, (AGM), Prerefunded to 11/1/15, 5.80%, 11/1/21	\$ 500	\$ \$ \$	<b>1,477,271</b> 582,120 <b>582,120</b>
Insured General Obligations 45.9% Bayonne, (AGM), 0.00%, 7/1/23 Bayonne, (AGM), 5.50%, 7/1/39 Delaware Township, Hunterdon County, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/35 Delaware Township, Hunterdon County, (AGC), 5.10%, 10/15/36 Delaware Township, Hunterdon County, (AGC), 5.15%, 10/15/37 Delaware Township, Hunterdon County, (AGC), 5.20%, 10/15/38 Hudson County Improvement Authority, (Harrison Parking), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/39 Hudson County Improvement Authority, (Harrison Redevelopment), (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/15/38 Irvington Township, (AGM), 0.00%, 7/15/26 Jackson Township School District, (NPFG), 2.50%, 6/15/27 Jersey City, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/15/29 Lakewood Township, (AGC), 5.75%, 11/1/31 Monroe Township Board of Education, Middlesex County, (AGC), 4.75%, 3/1/34 Nutley School District, (NPFG), 4.75%, 7/15/30	\$ 2,415 1,000 320 340 360 382 1,500 2,000 5,235 2,370 1,000 700 1,015 110	\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,696,417\\ 1,137,110\\ 365,312\\ 389,548\\ 412,988\\ 438,555\\ 1,680,750\\ 556,360\\ 3,188,953\\ 2,341,133\\ 1,127,090\\ 829,010\\ 1,113,688\\ 121,303\\ \end{array}$
Nutley School District, (NPFG), 4.75%, 7/15/31 West Deptford Township, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/26 West Deptford Township, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/27	410 850 635		450,959 949,595 706,533

#### \$ 17,505,304

Insured Hospital 11.3%		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,168,160
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	170	182,772

See Notes to Financial Statements.

24

# New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
<b>Insured</b> Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38	\$	250 1,500	\$ 268,783 1,676,685
			\$ 4,296,400
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 13.8% Essex County Improvement Authority, (NPFG), 5.50%, 10/1/30 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 9/1/28 Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27	\$	1,000 1,300 500 1,250	\$ 1,356,800 1,496,859 656,210 1,745,700
			\$ 5,255,569
Insured Special Tax Revenue 11.8% Garden State Preservation Trust, (AGM), 0.00%, 11/1/21 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/26 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/27 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,000 975 2,380 1,120 3,775 2,095	\$ 796,450 1,036,523 1,386,683 620,211 322,347 324,306
			\$ 4,486,520
Insured Transportation 18.1% New Jersey Turnpike Authority, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.25%, 1/1/29 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/1/27 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/33 South Jersey Transportation Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 11/1/33	\$	1,500 3,875 720 180	\$ 1,966,905 3,928,483 810,094 210,868 \$ 6,916,350
Insured Water and Sewer 8.6% Middlesex County Improvement Authority, (Perth Amboy), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners, (FGIC), (NPFG), 2.50%, 12/1/32	\$	4,500 610	\$ 2,815,290 480,851
			\$ 2 206 141

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.7% New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.25%, 10/1/38	\$	600	\$ \$	656,964 <b>656,964</b>
Student Loan 2.9% New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, 5.625%, 6/1/30	\$	965	\$ <b>\$</b>	1,098,691 <b>1,098,691</b>
Transportation 9.5% Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.50%, 6/15/31 South Jersey Port Authority, (Marine Terminal), 5.10%, 1/1/33	\$	590 210 1,150 1,325	\$	668,275 235,240 1,389,051 1,332,433 <b>3,624,999</b>
Water and Sewer 2.2% North Hudson Sewerage Authority, 5.00%, 6/1/29	\$	725	\$ \$	841,000 <b>841,000</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments161.5%(identified cost \$54,601,171)			\$	61,574,302
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (51.4)%			\$ (	(19,600,880)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (10.1)%			\$	(3,833,460)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$	38,139,962

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGCAssured Guaranty Corp.AGMAssured Guaranty Municipal Corp.AMBACAMBAC Financial Group, Inc.BHACBerkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.FGICFinancial Guaranty Insurance CompanyNPFGNational Public Finance Guaranty Corp.XLCAXL Capital Assurance, Inc.

New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New Jersey municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 75.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 3.3% to 28.9% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,343,483.

26

# New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 160.3%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 3.9% New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39 New York Environmental Facilities Corp., (New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority), 5.00%, 6/15/32	\$	750 500	\$ 867,893 515,835
Education 15.9% Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23 Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/24 Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/25 New York City Industrial Development Agency, (St. Francis College), 5.00%, 10/1/34 New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41 New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/28 New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40	\$	60 35 25 350 1,275 1,275 610 325 1,000	<pre>\$ 1,383,728 \$ 68,783 39,774 28,269 361,574 1,489,735 1,465,026 693,491 379,493 1,148,340 \$ 5,674,485</pre>
Electric Utilities 1.7% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/30	\$	570	\$ 592,960 <b>\$ 592,960</b>
Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.1% Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Huntington Hospital), Prerefunded to 11/1/12, 5.875%, 11/1/32	\$	750	\$ 753,930 <b>\$ 753,930</b>
General Obligations8.1%Arlington Central School District, 4.00%, 12/15/29Arlington Central School District, 4.00%, 12/15/30Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	380 375 770 1,000	\$ 419,364 412,099 877,130 1,163,700

#### \$ 2,872,293

Security	Principa Amoun (000 s omitte	t
<ul> <li>Hospital 6.4%</li> <li>New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26</li> <li>New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32</li> <li>New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20</li> <li>New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/26</li> <li>Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28</li> </ul>	\$ 13: 18( 23: 33: 1,13:	200,430 283,725 362,111
Industrial Development Revenue 1.3% New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35	\$ 39(	\$ 458,000 <b>\$ 458,000</b>
Insured Education 24.8% New York Dormitory Authority, (Barnard College), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/24 New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34 New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s University), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/37 Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/32	\$ 1,440 2,250 345 500 855 5,425	2,519,730 381,242 601,833 517,895 928,064 2,292,985
Insured Electric Utilities 3.4% Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33 Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 6.00%, 5/1/33	\$ 500 500	,
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.5% New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School), (XLCA), Prerefunded to 7/1/13, 5.125%, 7/1/30	\$ 855	\$ 886,054 <b>\$ 886,054</b>

27

# New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations 14.5% Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24 Hauppauge Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 7/15/24 Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24 New York, (AGM), 5.00%, 4/1/22 Sachem Central School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 4.25%, 10/15/28 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/22 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24	\$ 535 560 250 250 185 190 1,000 410 185 190 210 220 350	\$ 637,163 673,299 278,980 281,815 205,563 211,204 1,145,610 433,640 216,071 219,610 241,015 250,785 391,457
		\$ 5,186,212
Insured Hospital 3.2% New York City Health and Hospitals Corp., (AGM), 5.50%, 2/15/20 New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	\$ 500 500	\$ 599,225 544,240 <b>\$ 1,143,465</b>
Insured Housing 2.9% New York City Housing Corp., (NPFG), 4.95%, 11/1/33	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,030,560
		\$ 1,030,560
Insured Other Revenue 9.7% New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (American Museum of Natural History), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/44 New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (NPFG), 4.75%, 3/1/46 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$ 700 1,575 950	\$ 746,452 1,612,532 1,104,271 <b>\$ 3,463,255</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 7.2% New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20	\$ 510	\$ 653,432

Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/35	(000	1,700 Principal Amount	406,487 Value
security	(000	s omitted)	value
Insured Special Tax Revenue (continued) Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/29 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/32	\$	2,240 385 690	\$ 346,752 417,810 747,180 \$ 2,571,661
Insured Transportation 10.3% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/31 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/1/27 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	1,000 2,500	\$ 1,129,840 2,534,619 \$ 3,664,459
Insured Water and Sewer 4.1% Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.375%, 11/1/28 Suffolk County Water Authority, (NPFG), 4.50%, 6/1/25	\$	905 350	\$ 1,077,909 369,800 \$ 1,447,709
Other Revenue 9.3% Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/40	\$	1,100 2,500	\$ 474,793 2,855,025 \$ <b>3,329,818</b>
Special Tax Revenue 14.9% New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/33 New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26	\$	500 2,750 650 530	\$ 614,400 3,326,235 760,559 627,080 \$ <b>5,328,274</b>
Transportation 14.1% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/34 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37	\$	2,000 350 65 1,175	\$ 2,252,040 389,361 71,973 1,336,703

28

### New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation (continued) Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/37 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 340 500	\$	395,784 586,760
		\$	5,032,621
Total Tax-Exempt Investments160.3%(identified cost \$52,165,213)		\$	57,175,984
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (37.2)%		\$ (	(13,250,497)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (23.1)%		\$	(8,256,428)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	35,669,059

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 51.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.5% to 19.3% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,109,019.

# Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 147.3%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
<ul> <li>Bond Bank 13.8%</li> <li>Cuyahoga County Port Authority, (Garfield Heights), 5.25%, 5/15/23</li> <li>Ohio Economic Development, (Ohio Enterprise Bond Fund), 6.00%, 12/1/34</li> <li>Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control, (Water Quality), 5.00%, 6/1/30</li> <li>Rickenbacker Port Authority, (OASBO Expanded Asset Pooled Financing Program), 5.375%, 1/1/32</li> </ul>	\$	1,060 700 1,250 1,140	\$ 1,060,000 826,994 1,495,950 1,435,739 \$ <b>4,818,683</b>
Education 7.6% Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Kenyon College), 5.00%, 7/1/44 Ohio State University, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Wright State University, 5.00%, 5/1/31	\$	305 1,325 500	\$ 329,269 1,750,259 566,120 <b>\$ 2,645,648</b>
Electric Utilities 1.6% Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, (Buckeye Power, Inc.), 6.00%, 12/1/40	\$	500	\$ 570,795 <b>\$ 570,795</b>
General Obligations 7.8% Beavercreek City School District, 5.00%, 12/1/30 County of Franklin, 5.00%, 12/1/27 Napoleon City School District, (School Facilities, Construction and Improvement), 5.00%, 12/1/36 South-Western City School District Franklin and Pickaway County, (School Facilities, Construction and Improvement), 4.50%, 12/1/31	\$	900 500 500 500	\$ 1,032,435 580,025 567,450 558,770 \$ 2,738,680
Hospital 8.6% Miami County, (Upper Valley Medical Center), 5.25%, 5/15/26 Middleburg Heights, (Southwest General Health Center), 5.25%, 8/1/36	\$	500 500	\$ 533,875 555,775

Middleburg Heights, (Southwest General Health Center),		
5.25%, 8/1/41	755	832,644
Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Summa Health System), 5.75%, 11/15/40	460	519,400
Ohio Hospital Facility Revenue, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32	500	565,030

\$ 3,006,724

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Education 19.1% Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/26 Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/29 Miami University, (AMBAC), (AGM), 3.25%, 9/1/26 Ohio University, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/2/1/33 University of Akron, Series B, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 University of Cincinnati, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Youngstown State University, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/33	\$ 1,000 360 2,000 500 1,000 315 1,000	2, 1, 1,	163,710 413,078 045,280 547,840 079,650 317,073 127,290 <b>693,921</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 19.9% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.25%, 2/15/33 Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/27 Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/38 Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/25 Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/27 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26	\$ 700 2,750 1,000 1,635 5,000 500	1, 1, 2,	782,306 465,145 286,970 026,044 842,900 559,695 <b>963,060</b>
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 9.6% Cincinnati Technical and Community College, (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/13, 5.00%, 10/1/28 Cuyahoga Community College District, (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 12/1/12, 5.00%, 12/1/32 Ohio University, (AGM), Prerefunded to 12/1/13, 5.25%, 12/1/23	\$ 500 1,575 1,170	1, 1,	523,410 603,996 238,655 <b>366,061</b>
Insured General Obligations 30.4% Brookfield Local School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/15/30 Cincinnati City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Cleveland Municipal School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/27 Milford Exempt Village School District, (AGC), 5.25%, 12/1/36 Olentangy Local School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/36 Plain School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/1/27 St. Marys City School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/26 Sylvania City School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/32 Wapakoneta City School District, (AGM), 4.75%, 12/1/35	\$ 200 500 1,000 1,000 1,400 2,400 750 500 1,000 2,000	1, 1, 1, 1,	220,840 677,405 039,150 108,380 587,068 338,528 816,472 551,700 085,000 199,060

\$ 10,623,603

# Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Hospital 7.4% Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children s Hospital), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/15/32 Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children s Hospital), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 5/15/28 Lorain County, (Catholic Healthcare Partners), (AGM), 17.943%, 2/1/29 <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>	\$	425 1,500 440	\$ 434,155 1,533,810 605,739
			\$ 2,573,704
Insured Special Tax Revenue 10.3% Hamilton County Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/23 Hamilton County Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/24 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,245 3,665 4,145 1,070	\$ 807,768 2,267,755 353,942 165,636
			\$ 3,595,101
Insured Transportation 3.1% Cleveland, Airport System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/30 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 <sup>(4)(5)</sup>	\$	480 500	\$ 539,117 546,855 <b>\$ 1,085,972</b>
Other Revenue 1.1% Summit County Port Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/31	\$	350	\$ 403,508 <b>\$ 403,508</b>
Special Tax Revenue 1.3% Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority, (Reference & Capital Improvement), 5.00%, 12/1/31	\$	380	\$ 446,375 <b>\$ 446,375</b>
Transportation 3.3% Ohio Turnpike Commission, 5.00%, 2/15/31	\$	1,000	\$ 1,149,700

#### \$ 1,149,700

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer 2.4% Hamilton County, Sewer System, 5.00%, 12/1/32	\$ 750	\$	858,443
		\$	858,443
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 147.3% (identified cost \$45,576,053)		\$ 5	51,539,978
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (48.6)%		\$ (1	7,001,145)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 1.3%		\$	446,037
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 3	4,984,870

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGCAssured Guaranty Corp.AGMAssured Guaranty Municipal Corp.AMBACAMBAC Financial Group, Inc.CIFGCIFG Assurance North America, Inc.FGICFinancial Guaranty Insurance CompanyNPFGNational Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Ohio municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 67.7% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.1% to 21.4% of total investments.

- (1) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At September 30, 2012, the aggregate value of these securities is \$605,739 or 1.7% of the Fund s net assets applicable to common shares.
- (2) Security has been issued as a leveraged residual interest bond with a variable interest rate. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at September 30, 2012.
- (3) Security is subject to a shortfall agreement which may require the Fund to pay amounts to a counterparty in the event of a significant decline in the market value of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond. In case of a shortfall, the maximum potential amount of payments the Fund could ultimately be required to make under the agreement is \$1,320,000. However, such shortfall payment would be reduced by the proceeds from the sale of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(4)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(5)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$296,855.

31

# Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 153.9%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Education 24.3% Allegheny County Higher Education Building Authority, (Duquesne University), 5.50%, 3/1/31 General Authority of South Central Pennsylvania, (York College of Pennsylvania), 5.50%, 11/1/31 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Saint Joseph s University), 5.00%, 11/1/40 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Pennsylvania), 4.75%, 7/15/35 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Pennsylvania), 4.75%, 7/15/35 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Ursinus College), 5.00%, 1/1/29 State Public School Building Authority, (Northampton County Area Community College), 5.50%, 3/1/31 University of Pittsburgh, 5.25%, 9/15/29 Washington County Industrial Development Authority, (Washington and Jefferson College), 5.25%, 11/1/30	\$	$1,050 \\ 1,500 \\ 440 \\ 925 \\ 2,900 \\ 560 \\ 750 \\ 500 \\ 575 \\$	\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,215,743\\ 1,759,170\\ 486,961\\ 1,029,396\\ 3,141,802\\ 631,814\\ 877,395\\ 607,100\\ 648,709 \end{array}$
			\$	10,398,090
Electric Utilities 1.2% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/29	\$	500	\$ \$	515,350 <b>515,350</b>
General Obligations 2.9% Delaware Valley Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32	\$	1,000		1,221,110 <b>1,221,110</b>
Hospital 17.6% Chester County Health and Education Facilities Authority, (Jefferson Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/40 Dauphin County General Authority, (Pinnacle Health System Project), 5.00%, 6/1/42 Franklin County Industrial Development Authority, (The Chambersburg Hospital), 5.375%, 7/1/42 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Monroe County Hospital Authority, (Pocono Medical Center), 5.125%, 1/1/37 Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Saint Luke s Hospital), 5.50%, 8/15/33 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (UPMC Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/31 Philadelphia Hospitals and Higher Education Facilities Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/32 South Fork Municipal Authority, (Conemaugh Health System), 5.50%, 7/1/29	\$	750 600 1,000 1,455 1,250 250 675 750 250	\$	829,762 648,966 1,096,110 1,489,251 1,300,762 273,620 749,662 876,390 276,278

Value

#### Principal Amount

(000	s omitted)	
(000	s onnitieu)	

Insured Education 16.8% Chester County Industrial Development Authority, Educational Facility, (Westtown School), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/1/31 Lycoming County Authority, (Pennsylvania College of Technology), (AGC), 5.50%, 10/1/37 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Drexel University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/1/37 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Temple University), (NPFG), 4.50%, 4/1/36 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Sciences in Philadelphia), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/1/37 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/27 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/29 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/32	\$ 1,000 500 1,665 1,305 500 500 375 875	\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,001,540\\ 550,890\\ 1,797,018\\ 1,352,319\\ 545,535\\ 559,500\\ 416,134\\ 959,752 \end{array}$
		\$	7,182,688
Insured Electric Utilities 1.9% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/35	\$ 750	\$ <b>\$</b>	801,225 801,225
Insured General Obligations 27.7% Bethlehem Area School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/25 Centennial School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 12/15/37 Central Greene School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Erie School District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/30 Harrisburg School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 McKeesport School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/21 Norwin School District, (AGM), 3.25%, 4/1/27 Reading School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 3/1/35 Scranton School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/15/38 Shaler Area School District, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/33	\$ $1,250 \\ 660 \\ 1,350 \\ 1,000 \\ 500 \\ 2,555 \\ 1,500 \\ 1,500 \\ 1,000 \\ 2,550$		1,449,287 774,035 1,468,152 459,540 551,325 1,777,309 1,521,585 1,643,370 1,083,220 1,128,273
Insured Hospital 8.1% Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, (UPMC Health System), (NPFG), 6.00%, 7/1/24 Centre County Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/44 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Washington County Hospital Authority, (Washington Hospital), (AMBAC), 5.125%, 7/1/28	\$ 250 500 1,620 865	\$ \$	331,590 531,940 1,754,071 865,623 <b>3,483,224</b>

32

# Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 2.6% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Project), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,000	\$ 1,123,880 <b>\$ 1,123,880</b>
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.5% Commonwealth Financing Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development, (One Benjamin Franklin), (AGM), 4.75%, 2/15/27	\$	500 1,215	\$ 564,095 1,340,461 <b>\$ 1,904,556</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 3.0% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	10,835 2,450	\$ 925,201 379,260 <b>\$ 1,304,461</b>
Insured Transportation 8.8% Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AGC), 5.375%, 6/15/29 Pittsburgh and Allegheny County Sports and Exhibition Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 2/1/31 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	295 1,000 2,100	\$ 327,695 1,135,420 2,296,791 \$ 3,759,906
Insured Utilities 2.2% Philadelphia Gas Works, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/1/37	\$	890	\$ 928,181 \$ 928,181
Insured Water and Sewer 13.1% Allegheny County Sanitation Authority, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/32	\$	300 1,500	\$ 336,717 1,681,965

Allegheny County Sanitation Authority, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 12/1/22 Bucks County Water and Sewer Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35 Erie Sewer Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/26 Erie Sewer Authority, Series A, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/25 Erie Sewer Authority, Series B, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/25 Saxonburg Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 3/1/35		500 1,920 1,430 2,155 150	)	565,610 964,685 759,301 1,144,262 158,614 \$ 5,611,154
Other Revenue 2.5% Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, Federal Grant Receipts, 5.00%, 6/1/27	\$	900		\$ 1,050,390 <b>\$ 1,050,390</b>
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Senior Living / Life Care 0.5% Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Foulkeways at Gwynedd), 5.00%, 12/1/24	\$	200	\$ \$	208,708 <b>208,708</b>
Special Tax Revenue 0.3% Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	110	\$ \$	130,456 <b>130,456</b>
Transportation12.6%Allegheny County Port Authority, 5.75%, 3/1/29Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 1.00%, 12/1/30Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.25%, 12/1/31Philadelphia Airport, 5.25%, 6/15/27	\$	1,500 465 730 500 1,000 500	\$ \$	1,799,475 526,691 817,739 490,450 1,171,810 578,615 <b>5,384,780</b>
Water and Sewer 3.3% Philadelphia, Water and Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/36 Philadelphia, Water and Wastewater Revenue, 5.25%, 1/1/32	\$	500 765	\$ \$	565,805 865,544 <b>1,431,349</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments153.9%(identified cost \$60,408,829)			\$	65,836,405
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (50.8)%			\$ (	21,725,490)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (3.1)%			\$	(1,319,904)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$	42,791,011

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
CIFG	CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

33

### Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Pennsylvania municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 57.7% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.7% to 22.3% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,246,791.

34

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Statements of Assets and Liabilities

Assets	Municipal Fund II	Cal	lifornia Fund II	Mas	sachusetts Fund	Mi Fu	chigan nd
Investments							
Identified cost	\$ 204,144,279	\$	78,832,496	\$	38,373,002	\$	32,050,961
Unrealized appreciation	14,432,710		7,983,144		5,969,737		3,220,223
Investments, at value	\$ 218,576,989	\$	86,815,640	\$	44,342,739	\$	35,271,184
Cash	\$ 79,544	\$		\$	224,291	\$	413,500
Restricted cash*	217,000		130,000		50,000		19,000
Interest receivable	2,705,240		881,100		498,480		443,139
Receivable for investments sold	15,288						
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	7,250		3,890		1,750		375
Receivable from the transfer agent	15,509		3,457		2,834		1,170
Deferred debt issuance costs	51,954		20,281		3,231		
Total assets	\$ 221,668,774	\$	87,854,368	\$	45,123,325	\$	36,148,368
Liabilities							
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 42,905,000	\$	9,885,000	\$	3,330,000	\$	
Due to custodian	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		81,896		- , ,		
Payable to affiliates:			- )				
Investment adviser fee	100,271		38,397		19,504		16,189
Interest expense and fees payable	87,316		20,574		8,293		
Accrued expenses	101,748		65,143		52,523		47,722
Total liabilities	\$ 43,194,335	\$	10,091,010	\$	3,410,320	\$	63,911
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative	+	Ŧ		+	-,,	-	
unpaid dividends	\$ 44,702,175	\$	25,700,770	\$	13,575,305	\$	13,325,499
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 133,772,264	\$	52,062,588	\$	28,137,700	\$	22,758,958
Sources of Net Assets							
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares							
authorized	\$ 100,074	\$	38,837	\$	17,678	\$	15,139
Additional paid-in capital	141,764,108		54,996,711		25,047,268		21,443,350
Accumulated net realized loss	(22,433,809)		(11,057,287)		(2,978,119)		(1,977,455)
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investmen							
income	(83,533)		109,944		76,021		62,300
Net unrealized appreciation	14,425,424		7,974,383		5,974,852		3,215,624
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 133,772,264	\$	52,062,588	\$	28,137,700	\$	22,758,958
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding							
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)	1,788 (1)		1,028		543		533
Common Shares Outstanding	10,007,361		3,883,713		1,767,822		1,513,921
Net Asset Value Per Common Share Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 13.37	\$	13.41	\$	15.92	\$	15.03

\* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

<sup>(1)</sup> Comprised of 894 Series A shares and 894 Series B shares.

35

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Statements of Assets and Liabilities continued

	September 30, 2012							
Assets	New Jersev Fu	ind New	York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pen	nsylvania Fund		
Investments						•		
Identified cost	\$ 54,601,171	\$	52,165,213	\$ 45,576,053	\$	60,408,829		
Unrealized appreciation	6,973,131		5,010,771	5,963,925		5,427,576		
Investments, at value	\$ 61,574,302	\$	57,175,984	\$ 51,539,978	\$	65,836,405		
Cash	\$ 163,485	\$		\$	\$			
Restricted cash*	240,000		80,000	68,000		185,000		
Interest receivable	618,303		728,964	560,460		736,420		
Receivable for investments sold	5,000			211,568				
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	9,375		2,750	2,000		7,500		
Receivable from the transfer agent	12,488		2,585	3,336		3,553		
Deferred debt issuance costs	6,808		4,184					
Total assets	\$ 62,629,761	\$	57,994,467	\$ 52,385,342	\$	66,768,878		
Liabilities								
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 4,790,000	\$	8,875,000	\$ 250,000	\$	2,040,000		
Due to custodian	+ .,	Ŧ	95,588	68,950	Ŧ	119.053		
Payable to affiliates:			,	,		- ,		
Investment adviser fee	27,160		25,305	23,330		29,824		
Interest expense and fees payable	15,144		18,959	627		6,244		
Accrued expenses	56,615		60,059	56,420		57,256		
Total liabilities	\$ 4,888,919	\$	9,074,911	\$ 399,327	\$	2,252,377		
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative	. , ,			. ,		<i>, ,</i>		
unpaid dividends	\$ 19,600,880	\$	13,250,497	\$ 17,001,145	\$	21,725,490		
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 38,139,962	\$	35,669,059	\$ 34,984,870	\$	42,791,011		
Sources of Net Assets								
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$ 26.057	\$	25,662	\$ 25,349	\$	29,586		
Additional paid-in capital	36,906,519		36,344,495	35,878,381		41,911,061		
Accumulated net realized loss	(5,884,604)		(5,768,439)	(6,990,707)		(4,804,041)		
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	91,457		48,532	102,076		204,908		
Net unrealized appreciation	7,000,533		5,018,809	5,969,771		5,449,497		
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 38,139,962	\$	35,669,059	\$ 34,984,870	\$	42,791,011		
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding								
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)	784		530	680		869		
Common Shares Outstanding	2,605,694		2,566,181	2,534,904		2,958,606		
Net Asset Value Per Common Share Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued								
and outstanding	\$ 14.64	\$	13.90	\$ 13.80	\$	14.46		

\* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Statements of Operations

				Year Ended Sep	tember	30, 2012		
Investment Income	Μ	lunicipal Fund II	Cali	fornia Fund II	Mass	achusetts Fund	Mi	chigan Fund
Interest	\$	9,864,738	\$	3,751,679	\$	1,862,116	\$	1,645,379
Total investment income	\$	9,864,738	\$	3,751,679	\$	1,862,116	\$	1,645,379
E.								
Expenses Investment adviser fee	\$	1,177,000	\$	454,721	\$	231,560	\$	194,754
Trustees' fees and expenses	φ	9,007	φ	434,721 3.787	φ	2,174	¢	194,734
Custodian fee		109,728		53,913		34,899		33,397
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		19,032		18,952		18,917		18,957
Legal and accounting services		263,497		45,144		38,240		33,804
Printing and postage		26,648		43,144		9,749		55,804 9,679
Interest expense and fees		350,226		68,183		25,246		9,079
Preferred shares service fee		67,311		38,701		20,240		20,064
Miscellaneous		65,391		37,622		31,054		20,004
	¢	<b>2,087,840</b>	¢	· · ·	¢	· · · · · ·	¢	340,755
Total expenses Deduct	Þ	2,087,840	\$	733,150	\$	412,280	\$	340,755
	¢	700	¢	222	¢	105	¢	1(7
Reduction of custodian fee	\$ \$	722 <b>722</b>	\$ ¢	233	\$ \$	195 <b>195</b>	\$ \$	167
Total expense reductions	\$	122	\$	233	\$	195	\$	167
Net expenses	\$	2,087,118	\$	732,917	\$	412,085	\$	340,588
Net investment income	\$	7,777,620	\$	3,018,762	\$	1,450,031	\$	1,304,791
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)								
Net realized gain (loss)								
Investment transactions	\$	952,223	\$	(268,458)	\$	(128,884)	\$	(75,554)
Extinguishment of debt	Ψ	(18,589)	Ψ	(200,150)	Ψ	(120,001)	Ψ	(75,551)
Financial futures contracts		(1,133,236)		(602,058)		(166,145)		(87,118)
Swap contracts		(92,995)		(56,184)		(26,736)		(20,924)
Net realized loss	\$	(292,597)	\$	(926,700)	\$	(321,765)	\$	(183,596)
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	Ŷ	(,,,)	Ψ	(, 20, . 00)	Ŷ	(021)/00)	Ψ	(100,0)
Investments	\$	13,910,576	\$	7,123,212	\$	3,228,304	\$	1,690,371
Financial futures contracts	Ψ	337,421	Ψ	214,211	Ψ	30,942	Ψ	(4,599)
Swap contracts		352,540		212,993		101,355		79,322
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	14,600,537	\$	7,550,416	\$	3,360,601	\$	1,765,094
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$	14,307,940	\$	6,623,716	\$	3,038,836	\$	1,581,498
Distributions to proformed showsholdows								
Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income	\$	(108,522)	\$	(62,425)	\$	(32,805)	\$	(32,152)
rom net myesunent meonie	φ	(100,522)	φ	(02,423)	φ	(32,003)	φ	(32,132)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	21,977,038	\$	9,580,053	\$	4,456,062	\$	2,854,137

77

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Statements of Operations continued

Investment Income	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
Interest	\$ 2,679,039	\$ 2,550,377	\$ 2,380,088	\$ 3,011,344
Total investment income	\$ 2,679,039	\$ 2,550,377	\$ 2,380,088	\$ 3,011,344
Expenses				
Investment adviser fee	\$ 323,389	\$ 310,900	\$ 276,591	\$ 355,709
Trustees' fees and expenses	2,832	2,762	2,497	3,072
Custodian fee	44,517	43,035	39,740	44,652
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees	18,957	19,002	19,003	19,173
Legal and accounting services	39,507	45,547	40,696	41,492
Printing and postage	10,988	10,679	12,022	12,927
Interest expense and fees	44,453	75,275	2,543	17,474
Preferred shares service fee	29,514	19,951	25,598	32,713
Miscellaneous	33,592	35,652	32,377	33,961
Total expenses	\$ 547,749	\$ 562,803	\$ 451,067	\$ 561,173
Deduct				
Reduction of custodian fee	\$ 311	\$ 170	\$ 208	\$ 321
Total expense reductions	\$ 311	\$ 170	<b>\$ 208</b>	\$ 321
Net expenses	\$ 547,438	\$ 562,633	\$ 450,859	\$ 560,852
Net investment income	\$ 2,131,601	\$ 1,987,744	\$ 1,929,229	\$ 2,450,492
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)				
Net realized gain (loss)				
Investment transactions	\$ (5,318)	\$ 632,533	\$ (180,680)	\$ (529,341)
Financial futures contracts	(1,146,334)	(262,031)	(325,977)	(366,195)
Swap contracts	(38,748)	(61,996)	(23,249)	(30,998)
Net realized gain (loss)	\$ (1,190,400)	\$ 308,506	\$ (529,906)	\$ (926,534)
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	¢ (1,1) 0,100)	¢ 000,000	¢ (c_),000)	¢ ()20,001)
Investments	\$ 4,328,756	\$ 2,386,304	\$ 4,431,360	\$ 4,813,722
Financial futures contracts	540,567	49,361	86,273	(38,190)
Swap contracts	146,892	235,027	88,135	117,513
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 5,016,215	\$ 2,670,692	\$ 4,605,768	\$ 4,893,045
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$ 3,825,815	\$ 2,979,198	\$ 4,075,862	\$ 3,966,511
Distributions to preferred shareholders				
From net investment income	\$ (48,133)	\$ (32,137)	\$ (41,252)	\$ (52,771)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 5,909,283	\$ 4,934,805	\$ 5,963,839	\$ 6,364,232

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended September 30, 2012								
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Μ	Iunicipal Fund	II Cali	ifornia Fund II	Mas	sachusetts Fund	Fu	chigan nd	
From operations		•							
Net investment income	\$	7,777,620	\$	3,018,762	\$	1,450,031	\$	1,304,791	
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt,									
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		(292,597)		(926,700)		(321,765)		(183,596)	
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments,									
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		14,600,537		7,550,416		3,360,601		1,765,094	
Distributions to preferred shareholders									
From net investment income		(108,522)		(62,425)		(32,805)		(32,152)	
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	21,977,038	\$	9,580,053	\$	4,456,062	\$	2,854,137	
Distributions to common shareholders	<i>•</i>	(0.740.040)	¢	(2,000,007)	¢	(1.402.001)	¢	(1.2.15.2.10)	
From net investment income	\$	(8,740,049)	\$	(3,080,987)	\$	(1,483,981)	\$	(1,345,340)	
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(8,740,049)	\$	(3,080,987)	\$	(1,483,981)	\$	(1,345,340)	
Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	227,531	\$	28,782	\$	31,194	\$	17,365	
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	Դ \$	227,531 227,531	э \$	28,782 28,782	э \$	<b>31,194</b>	Դ \$	17,365 17,365	
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	ወ	227,331	Φ	20,702	Φ	51,194	Φ	17,505	
Net increase in net assets	\$	13,464,520	\$	6,527,848	\$	3,003,275	\$	1,526,162	
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares									
At beginning of year	\$	120,307,744	\$	45,534,740	\$	25,134,425	\$	21,232,796	
At end of year	\$	133,772,264	\$	52,062,588	\$	28,137,700	\$	22,758,958	
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment inc included in net assets applicable to common shares	ome								
At end of year	\$	(83,533)	\$	109,944	\$	76,021	\$	62,300	
						,			

39

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

			Year Ended Sept	tem	ber 30, 2012		
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Ne	ew Jersey Fu	v York Fund II		hio Fund	Pen	nsylvania Fund
From operations							
Net investment income	\$	2,131,601	\$ 1,987,744	\$	1,929,229	\$	2,450,492
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, financial futures							
contracts and swap contracts		(1,190,400)	308,506		(529,906)		(926,534)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments,							
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		5,016,215	2,670,692		4,605,768		4,893,045
Distributions to preferred shareholders							
From net investment income		(48,133)	(32,137)		(41,252)		(52,771)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	5,909,283	\$ 4,934,805	\$	5,963,839	\$	6,364,232
Distributions to common shareholders							
From net investment income	\$	(2,110,164)	\$ (2,011,158)	\$	(1,955,405)	\$	(2,581,543)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(2,110,164)	\$ (2,011,158)	\$	(1,955,405)	\$	(2,581,543)
Capital share transactions							
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	154,738	\$ 28,780	\$	54,834	\$	36,125
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	154,738	\$ 28,780	\$	54,834	\$	36,125
Net increase in net assets	\$	3,953,857	\$ 2,952,427	\$	4,063,268	\$	3,818,814
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares							
At beginning of year	\$ 3	34,186,105	\$ 32,716,632	\$	30,921,602	\$	38,972,197
At end of year	\$ 3	38,139,962	\$ 35,669,059	\$	34,984,870	\$	42,791,011
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares At end of year	\$	91,457	\$ 48,532	\$	102,076	\$	204,908

40

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

			er 30, 2011	M:	Aichigan			
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	М	unicipal Fund	II Cali	fornia Fund II	Mas	sachusetts Fund	Fu	0
From operations		•						
Net investment income	\$	9,266,701	\$	3,314,138	\$	1,546,235	\$	1,381,043
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt,								
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		(6,577,476)		(4,047,797)		(1, 120, 707)		(371,914)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments,								
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		255,940		1,097,057		274,726		(378,552)
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(153,850)		(87,975)		(46,254)		(45,419)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	2,791,315	\$	275,423	\$	654,000	\$	585,158
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$	(9,538,162)	\$	(3,338,981)	\$	(1,481,919)	\$	(1,342,118)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(9,538,162)	\$	(3,338,981)	\$	(1,481,919)	\$	(1, 342, 118)
Capital share transactions								
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	240,533	\$	68,979	\$	42,595	\$	4,962
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	240,533	\$	68,979	\$	42,595	\$	4,962
Net decrease in net assets	\$	(6,506,314)	\$	(2,994,579)	\$	(785,324)	\$	(751,998)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$	126,814,058	\$	48,529,319	\$	25,919,749	\$	21,984,794
At end of year		120,307,744	\$	45,534,740	\$	25,134,425	\$	21,232,796
	Ψ		Ŷ	10,000 1,7 10	Ψ	20,10 1,120	Ŷ	
Accumulated undistributed net investment income								
included in net assets applicable to common shares								
At end of year	\$	993,508	\$	244,156	\$	149,686	\$	145,749

41

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

			Y	Year Ended Sept	temb	oer 30, 2011		
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Ne	w Jersey Fu	nd New	York Fund II	O	hio Fund	Pen	nsylvania Fund
From operations								
Net investment income	\$	2,315,111	\$	2,225,131	\$	2,058,345	\$	2,636,633
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt,								
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		(913,795)		(1,555,448)		(578,575)		(1,067,018)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments,								
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		(2,139,373)		(43,377)		(1,329,984)		(268,752)
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(67,619)		(45,630)		(58,829)		(73,587)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations	\$	(805,676)	\$	580,676	\$	90,957	\$	1,227,276
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$ (	(2,381,054)	\$	(2,226,059)	\$	(1,951,904)	\$	(2,564,189)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (	(2,381,054)	\$	(2,226,059)	\$	(1,951,904)	\$	(2,564,189)
Capital share transactions								
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	150,425	\$	33,998	\$	56,745	\$	52,778
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	150,425	\$	33,998	\$	56,745	\$	52,778
Net decrease in net assets	\$	(3,036,305)	\$	(1,611,385)	\$	(1,804,202)	\$	(1,284,135)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$ 3	37,222,410	\$	34.328.017	\$ 3	32,725,804	\$	40,256,332
At end of year		34,186,105	\$	32,716,632		30,921,602	\$	38,972,197
	Ψ	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Ψ	02,710,002	Ψ.		Ψ	
Accumulated undistributed net investment income								
included in net assets applicable to common shares								
At end of year	\$	130,194	\$	118,562	\$	215,700	\$	409,982

42

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

#### Statements of Cash Flows\*

	Year	r Ended September 30	. 2012
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		California Fund II	New York Fund II
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 21,977,038	\$ 9,580,053	\$ 4,934,805
Distributions to preferred shareholders	108,522	62,425	32,137
Net increase in net assets from operations excluding distributions to preferred shareholders	\$ 22,085,560	\$ 9,642,478	\$ 4,966,942
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by			
operating activities:			
Investments purchased	(34,339,474)	(14,398,705)	(10,423,471)
Investments sold	45,665,502	13,207,810	13,273,681
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)	(842,413)	(441,067)	(140,569)
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	13,463	2,510	3,099
Increase in restricted cash	(217,000)	(130,000)	(80,000)
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	(11,886)	(43,005)	75,815
Increase in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	(7,250)	(3,890)	(2,750)
Decrease (increase) in receivable from the transfer agent	6,127	2,056	(46)
Decrease in payable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	(27,203)	(17,610)	(4,375)
Decrease in payable for open swap contracts	(352,540)	(212,993)	(235,027)
Increase in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee	6,727	2,756	402
Increase (decrease) in interest expense and fees payable	(18,269)	3,058	(3,568)
Increase in accrued expenses	11,194	6,110	8,557
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments	(13,910,576)	(7,123,212)	(2,386,304)
Net realized (gain) loss from investments	(952,223)	268,458	(632,533)
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt	18,589		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 17,128,328	\$ 764,754	\$ 4,419,853
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	\$ (8,512,518)	\$ (3,052,205)	\$ (1,982,378)
Cash distributions paid to preferred shareholders	(107,756)	(61,998)	(31,906)
Proceeds from secured borrowings	2,500,000	(- )/	2,200,000
Repayment of secured borrowings	(14,590,000)		(5,145,000)
Increase in due to custodian	( )/	81,896	95,588
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (20,710,274)	\$ (3,032,307)	\$ (4,863,696)
Net decrease in cash	\$ (3,581,946)	\$ (2,267,553)	\$ (443,843)
Cash at beginning of year	\$ 3,661,490	\$ 2,267,553	\$ 443,843
Cash at end of year	\$ 79,544	\$	\$
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:			
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions	\$ 227,531	\$ 28,782	\$ 28,780
Cash paid for interest and fees	355,032	¢ 20,782 62,615	\$ 28,780 75,744
Cash paid for interest and ices	555,052	02,015	75,744

\* Statement of Cash Flows is not required for Massachusetts Fund, Michigan Fund, New Jersey Fund, Ohio Fund and Pennsylvania Fund.

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

**Financial Highlights** 

	Municipal Fund II Year Ended September 30,									
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$	<b>2012</b> 12.040	\$	<b>2011</b> 12.720	\$	<b>2010</b> 12.880		<b>2009</b> 11.030	\$	<b>2008</b> 15.470
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	0.778 1.437	\$	0.929 (0.638)	\$	0.961 (0.164)	\$	0.943 1.813	\$	1.037 (4.159)
From net realized gain		(0.011)		(0.015)		(0.018)		(0.058)		(0.168) (0.117)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$	2.204	\$	0.276	\$	0.779	\$	2.698	\$	(3.407)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income From net realized gain	\$	(0.874)	\$	(0.956)	\$	(0.939)	\$	(0.848)	\$	(0.747) (0.286)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(0.874)	\$	(0.956)	\$	(0.939)	\$	(0.848)	\$	(1.033)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$	13.370	\$	12.040	\$	12.720	\$	12.880	\$	11.030
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$	13.880	\$	13.280	\$	14.010	\$	13.370	\$	11.650
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>		18.56%		2.45%		6.26%		26.08%		(23.08)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>		11.59%		2.60%		12.78%		23.88%		(13.61)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares); <sup>(3)</sup>	\$	133,772	\$	120,308	\$	126,814	\$	128,150	\$	109,648
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup> Total expenses before custodian fee reduction		1.37% 0.28% 1.65%		1.50% 0.35% 1.85%		1.22% 0.38% 1.60%		1.28% 0.87% 2.15%		1.09% 0.93% 2.02%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The action protocol allocated and an actional including the second se		1.37% 6.14% 16%		1.49% 8.23% 12%		1.22% 7.86% 13%		1.27% 9.05% 22%	J _1	1.05% 7.40% 54%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rat are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	108	based on he	t ass	sets, includi	ng a	amounts rei	alec	i to preferre	u si	lares,
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup> Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees		1.02% 0.20% 1.22% 1.02%		1.07% 0.25% 1.32% 1.07%		0.89% 0.28% 1.17% 0.89% 5.75%		0.89% 0.61% 1.50% 0.89%		0.69% 0.60% 1.29% 0.67%
Net investment income Senior Securities: Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup> Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ \$	4.54% 1,788 99,818 25,000		5.89% 1,788 92,287 25,000		5.75% 1,788 95,926 25,000		6.32% 1,788 96,674 25,000		4.73% 1,788 86,356 25,000

 Approximate market value per preferred share<sup>(6)</sup>
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,0

(1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

<sup>(3)</sup> Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

<sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

(5) Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.

<sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

44

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	California Fund II Year Ended September 30,							
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	<b>2012</b> \$ 11.730	<b>2011</b> \$ 12.520	<b>2010</b> \$ 12.940	<b>2009</b> \$ 11.310	<b>2008</b> \$ 15.020			
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.777 1.712	\$ 0.855 (0.761)	\$ 0.898 (0.433)	\$ 0.877 1.601	\$ 0.983 (3.583)			
From net investment income From net realized gain	(0.016)	(0.023)	(0.027)	(0.084)	(0.233) (0.053)			
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.473	\$ 0.071	\$ 0.438	\$ 2.394	\$ (2.886)			
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income From net realized gain	\$ (0.793)	\$ (0.861)	\$ (0.858)	\$ (0.764)	\$ (0.693) (0.131)			
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.793)	\$ (0.861)	\$ (0.858)	\$ (0.764)	\$ (0.824)			
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.410	\$ 11.730	\$ 12.520	\$ 12.940	\$ 11.310			
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.630	\$ 12.260	\$ 13.250	\$ 12.500	\$ 10.250			
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	21.62%	1.31%	3.93%	23.06%	(19.81)%			
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	18.36%	0.06%	13.86%	31.17%	(23.40)%			
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 52,063	\$ 45,535	\$ 48,529	\$ 50,080	\$ 43,718			
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup> Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.36% 0.14% 1.50%	1.47% 0.15% 1.62%	1.39% 0.16% 1.55%	1.51% 0.37% 1.88%	1.23% 0.42% 1.65%			
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income	1.36% 6.16%	1.47% 7.75%	1.38% 7.47%	1.50% 8.23%	1.19% 7.11%			
Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ra are as follows:	15% tios based on ne	34% t assets, includin	17% g amounts rela	17% ted to preferred	22% d shares,			
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>								
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.89% 0.09%	0.92% 0.09%	0.89% 0.11%	0.93% 0.23%	0.76% 0.26%			
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income	0.98% 0.89% 4.04%	1.01% 0.92% 4.84%	1.00% 0.89% 4.81%	1.16% 0.93% 5.07%	1.02% 0.74% 4.42%			
Senior Securities:								
Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup> Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	1,028 \$ 75,645 \$ 25,000	1,028 \$ 69,295 \$ 25,000	1,028 \$ 72,208 \$ 25,000	1,028 \$ 73,719 \$ 25,000	1,028 \$ 67,578 \$ 25,000			

 Approximate market value per preferred share<sup>(6)</sup>
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,000
 \$ 25,0

(1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

<sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

(5) Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.

<sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

45

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	Massachusetts Fund Year Ended September 30,							
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008			
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.230	\$ 14.710	\$ 14.660	\$ 12.130	\$ 15.090			
Income (Loss) From Operations	<b>*</b> • • • • • •	<b>•</b> • • • <b>•</b>	<b>*</b> • • • • •	<b>*</b> • • • • • •	<b>*</b> • • • • • •			
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.821 1.728	\$ 0.876 (0.400)	\$ 0.882 0.036	\$ 0.901 2.486	\$ 0.981			
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders <sup>(1)</sup>	1.720	(0.490)	0.050	2.480	(2.981)			
From net investment income	(0.019)	(0.026)	(0.031)	(0.099)	(0.289)			
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.530	\$ 0.360	\$ 0.887	\$ 3.288	\$ (2.289)			
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders								
From net investment income	\$ (0.840)	\$ (0.840)	\$ (0.837)	\$ (0.758)	\$ (0.671)			
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.840)	\$ (0.840)	\$ (0.837)	\$ (0.758)	\$ (0.671)			
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.920	\$ 14.230	\$ 14.710	\$ 14.660	\$ 12.130			
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 16.510	\$ 14.320	\$ 15.160	\$ 15.250	\$ 13.780			
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	18.26%	3.06%	6.43%	28.42%	(15.70)%			
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	21.87%	0.64%	5.44%	17.59%	(2.46)%			
Ratios/Supplemental Data								
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 28,138	\$ 25,134	\$ 25,920	\$ 25,771	\$ 21,311			
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>								
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.46%	1.54%	1.45%	1.69%	1.41%			
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.09%	0.11%	0.09%	0.23%	0.71%			
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.55%	1.65%	1.54%	1.92%	2.12%			
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.46%	1.54%	1.45%	1.68%	1.38%			
Net investment income	5.44%	6.60%	6.29%	7.41%	6.83%			
Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratio	2%	27% t assats includin	27%	43% tad to proform	12%			
are as follows:	allos based oll lie	t assets, metudin	ig amounts rela	ted to preferre	u shares,			
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and								
preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>								
Expenses excluding interest and fees	0.97%	0.97%	0.94%	1.03%	0.88%			
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.06%	0.07%	0.05%	0.14%	0.45%			
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.03%	1.04%	0.99%	1.17%	1.33%			
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	0.97%	0.97%	0.94%	1.03%	0.87%			
Net investment income	3.61%	4.18%	4.06%	4.53%	4.27%			
Senior Securities:								
Total preferred shares outstanding	543	543	543	543	543			
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 76,820	\$ 71,288	\$ 72,737	\$ 72,462	\$ 64,287			
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- <sup>(3)</sup> Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- <sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (5) Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- <sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

46

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	Michigan Fund Year Ended September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.040	\$ 14.540	\$ 14.730	\$ 12.570	\$ 15.150
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.862 1.038	\$ 0.913 (0.496)	\$ 0.928 (0.208)	\$ 0.925 2.110	\$ 0.975 (2.590)
From net investment income	(0.021)	(0.030)	(0.036)	(0.113)	(0.295)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 1.879	\$ 0.387	\$ 0.684	\$ 2.922	\$ (1.910)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$ (0.889)	\$ (0.887)	\$ (0.874)	\$ (0.762)	\$ (0.670)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.889)	<b>\$ (0.887)</b>	\$ (0.874)	\$ (0.762)	\$ (0.670)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.030	\$ 14.040	\$ 14.540	\$ 14.730	\$ 12.570
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 16.000	\$ 13.610	\$ 14.430	\$ 13.900	\$ 10.400
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	13.69%	3.25%	5.16%	25.29%	( <b>12.66</b> )% <sup>(3)</sup>
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	24.85%	0.85%	10.60%	42.90%	$(21.97)\%^{(3)}$
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common	\$ 22,759	\$ 21,233	\$ 21,985	\$ 22,276	\$ 19,007
shares): <sup>(4)</sup> Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	1.54%	1.58%	1.49%	1.70%	1.49% 0.54%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover	1.54% 1.54% 5.90% 19%	1.58% 1.58% 6.76% 5%	1.49% 1.49% 6.55% 2%	1.70% 1.69% 7.30% 9%	2.03% 1.48% 6.72% 11%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common share shares, are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shar and preferred shares): <sup>(4)</sup>	s. The ratios based	÷ /-	= / -		
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	0.96%	0.96%	0.92%	1.00%	0.93% 0.33%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Senior Securities:	0.96% 0.96% 3.68%	0.96% 0.96% 4.09%	0.92% 0.92% 4.04%	1.00% 1.00% 4.30%	1.26% 0.92% 4.16%
Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup> Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup> Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	533 \$ 67,701 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	533 \$ 64,837 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	533 \$ 66,248 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	533 \$ 66,794 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	540 \$ 60,199 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) During the year ended September 30, 2008, the investment adviser fully reimbursed the Fund for a realized loss on the disposal of an investment security which did not meet investment guidelines. The loss had no effect on total return.
- <sup>(4)</sup> Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- <sup>(5)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (6) Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- <sup>(7)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

47

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	New Jersey Fund				
			ed September		
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.180	\$ 14.410	\$ 14.620	\$ 11.980	\$ 15.690
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.820	\$ 0.895	\$ 0.943	\$ 0.926	\$ 0.982
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	1.471	(1.179)	(0.207)	2.740	(3.393)
Distributions to preferred shareholders <sup>(1)</sup>					
From net investment income	(0.019)	(0.026)	(0.031)	(0.088)	(0.196)
From net realized gain				(0.016)	(0.114)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.272	\$ (0.310)	\$ 0.705	\$ 3.562	\$ (2.721)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (0.812)	\$ (0.920)	\$ (0.915)	\$ (0.819)	\$ (0.706)
From net realized gain				(0.103)	(0.283)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.812)	\$ (0.920)	\$ (0.915)	\$ (0.922)	\$ ( <b>0.989</b> )
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.640	\$ 13.180	\$ 14.410	\$ 14.620	\$ 11.980
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.090	\$ 13.370	\$ 15.350	\$ 14.730	\$ 11.880
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	17.69%	(1.80)%	5.10%	31.84%	(18.15)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	19.58%	(6.49)%	11.12%	33.95%	(13.88)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 38,140	\$ 34,186	\$ 37,222	\$ 37,628	\$ 30,776
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.39%	1.42%	1.36%	1.53%	1.33%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.12%	0.15%	0.17%	0.46%	1.16%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.51%	1.57%	1.53%	1.99%	2.49%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.39%	1.41%	1.36%	1.52%	1.28%
Net investment income	5.87%	6.96%	6.79%	7.81%	6.72%
Portfolio Turnover	16%	4%	8%	39%	48%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rate as follows:	atios based on ne	et assets, includin	g amounts rela	ted to preferred	l shares,
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	0.90%	0.90%	0.88%	0.93%	0.84%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.08%	0.09%	0.11%	0.28%	0.73%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	0.98%	0.99%	0.99%	1.21%	1.57%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	0.90%	0.89%	0.88%	0.92%	0.81%
Net investment income	3.81%	4.38%	4.39%	4.75%	4.24%
Senior Securities:					
Total preferred shares outstanding	784	784	784	784	812
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 73,649	\$ 68,605	\$ 72,478	\$ 72,996	\$ 62,907
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
		,	,	,	,

Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

(1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

<sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

(5) Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.

<sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

48

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	New York Fund II Year Ended September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.760	\$ 13.400	\$ 13.620	\$ 11.530	\$ 15.240
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.775	\$ 0.868	\$ 0.847	\$ 0.857	\$ 0.938
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	1.162	(0.621)	(0.167)	2.087	(3.483)
Distributions to preferred shareholders <sup>(1)</sup> From net investment income	(0, 0, 1, 2)	(0.019)	(0.021)	(0.0(())	(0.227)
From net investment income	(0.013)	(0.018)	(0.021)	(0.066)	(0.237) (0.049)
	¢ 1.024	¢ 0.220	¢ 0.( <b>5</b> 0	¢ 2.070	. ,
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 1.924	\$ 0.229	\$ 0.659	\$ 2.878	\$ (2.831)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (0.784)	\$ (0.869)	\$ (0.879)	\$ (0.788)	\$ (0.699)
From net realized gain					(0.180)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.784)	\$ (0.869)	\$ (0.879)	\$ (0.788)	<b>\$ (0.879)</b>
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.900	\$ 12.760	\$ 13.400	\$ 13.620	\$ 11.530
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.970	\$ 12.890	\$ 14.000	\$ 13.610	\$ 10.580
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	15.47%	2.16%	5.20%	26.71%	(19.25)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	14.89%	(1.21)%	9.99%	37.98%	(21.80)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 35,669	\$ 32,717	\$ 34,328	\$ 34,847	\$ 29,459
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.42%	1.47%	1.41%	1.51%	1.33%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.22%	0.28%	0.28%	0.63%	0.46%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.64%	1.75%	1.69%	2.14%	1.79%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.42%	1.46%	1.41%	1.50%	1.28%
Net investment income	5.80%	7.07%	6.49%	7.67%	6.67%
Portfolio Turnover	18%	17%	13%	30%	44%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The r are as follows:	atios based on ne	et assets, includin	g amounts rela	ted to preferred	l shares,
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.02%	1.03%	1.01%	1.03%	0.83%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.16%	0.20%	0.20%	0.43%	0.29%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.18%	1.23%	1.21%	1.46%	1.12%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.02%	1.02%	1.01%	1.02%	0.80%
Net investment income	4.18%	4.98%	4.65%	5.24%	4.17%
Senior Securities:					
Total preferred shares outstanding	530	530	530	530	530
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 92,301	\$ 86,730	\$ 89,770	\$ 90,749	\$ 80,583
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

(1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

<sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

(5) Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.

<sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

49

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	Ohio Fund Year Ended September 30,					
	2012			/	2000	
	2012	2011	2010	2009 0 11 220	2008	
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.220	\$ 12.960	\$ 12.980	\$ 11.330	\$ 14.970	
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders <sup>(1)</sup> From net investment income	\$ 0.762 1.606 (0.016)	\$ 0.814 (0.759) (0.023)	\$ 0.828 (0.058) (0.028)	\$ 0.846 1.592 (0.101)	\$ 0.948 (3.665) (0.298)	
	· /	· · · ·	. ,	· /	· · · ·	
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.352	\$ 0.032	\$ 0.742	\$ 2.337	\$ (3.015)	
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.762)	\$ (0.687)	\$ (0.625)	
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.762)	\$ (0.687)	\$ (0.625)	
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.800	\$ 12.220	\$ 12.960	\$ 12.980	\$ 11.330	
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.200	\$ 12.780	\$ 14.100	\$ 13.250	\$ 11.250	
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	19.50%	0.65%	6.04%	22.05%	(20.51)%	
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	25.85%	(3.25)%	13.01%	25.48%	(13.81)%	
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup> Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup> Total expenses before custodian fee reduction Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees Net investment income Portfolio Turnover The artice are acted above are based as not exerts and is a percention.	\$ 34,985 1.35% 0.01% 1.36% 1.35% 5.83% 12%	\$ 30,922 1.42% 0.02% 1.44% 1.42% 6.98% 10%	\$ 32,726 1.36% 0.02% 1.38% 1.36% 6.61% 11%	\$ 32,710 1.57% 0.10% 1.67% 1.57% 7.87% 18%	\$ 28,495 1.35% 0.29% 1.64% 1.33% 6.82% 22%	
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The r are as follows:	atios based on ne	et assets, includin	g amounts relat	ted to preferred	d shares,	
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>						
Expenses excluding interest and fees	0.89%	0.90%	0.88%	0.95%	0.83%	
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.06%	0.18%	
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	0.90%	0.91%	0.89%	1.01%	1.01%	
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	0.89%	0.90%	0.88%	0.95%	0.82%	
Net investment income	3.85%	4.43%	4.30%	4.77%	4.19%	
Senior Securities:	(00	(00)	(00)	(0)	075	
Total preferred shares outstanding	680	680	680	680	875	
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 76,450	\$ 70,474	\$ 73,128	\$ 73,104	\$ 57,579	
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- <sup>(3)</sup> Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- <sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
- (5) Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- <sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

50

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	Pennsylvania Fund Year Ended September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.180	\$ 13.640	\$ 13.900	\$ 12.030	\$ 15.270
Income (Loss) From Operations	¢ 0.820	\$ 0.893	¢ 0.070	¢ 0.000	\$ 0.995
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	\$ 0.829 1.342	\$ 0.893 (0.460)	\$ 0.878 (0.270)	\$ 0.889 2.123	\$ 0.995 (3.047)
Distributions to preferred shareholders <sup>(1)</sup> From net investment income	(0.018)	(0.025)	(0.030)	(0.071)	(0.236)
From net realized gain	(0.018)	(0.025)	(0.050)	(0.045)	(0.230) (0.076)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.153	\$ 0.408	\$ 0.578	\$ 2.896	\$ (2.364)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income From net realized gain	\$ (0.873)	\$ (0.868)	\$ (0.838)	\$ (0.753) (0.273)	\$ (0.693) (0.183)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.873)	<b>\$ (0.868)</b>	\$ (0.838)	\$ (1.026)	\$ (0.876)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.460	\$ 13.180	\$ 13.640	\$ 13.900	\$ 12.030
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.780	\$ 13.030	\$ 14.230	\$ 14.600	\$ 13.400
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	16.76%	3.63%	4.53%	27.36%	(16.07)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	28.88%	(1.79)%	3.82%	20.09%	0.88%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 42,791	\$ 38,972	\$ 40,256	\$ 40,956	\$ 35,413
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.33%	1.41%	1.36%	1.52%	1.30%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.04%	0.08%	0.07%	0.17%	1.03%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.37%	1.49%	1.43%	1.69%	2.33%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.33%	1.40%	1.36%	1.51%	1.28%
Net investment income	5.98%	7.19%	6.67%	7.80%	6.86%
Portfolio Turnover	11%	12%	19%	8%	28%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The r are as follows:					
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	0.070	0.00%	0.079	0.010	0.010
Expenses excluding interest and fees	0.87%	0.88%	0.87%	0.91%	0.81%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.03%	0.05%	0.05%	0.10%	0.64%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	0.90%	0.93%	0.92%	1.01%	1.45%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	0.87%	0.88%	0.87%	0.90%	0.80%
Net investment income Senior Securities:	3.91%	4.51%	4.28%	4.68%	4.26%
Total preferred shares outstanding	869	869	869	869	1.040
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 74,242	\$ 69,847	\$ 71,327	\$ 72,133	\$ 59,091
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

(1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

<sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

(5) Calculated by subtracting the Fund s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.

<sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

51

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements

#### 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II (Municipal Fund II), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II (California Fund II), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund (Massachusetts Fund), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund (Michigan Fund), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund (New Jersey Fund), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II (New York Fund II), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund (Ohio Fund) and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund (Pennsylvania Fund), (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies, except for Municipal Fund II, which is a diversified, closed-end management investment company. Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap rates provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Fund's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in municipal obligations, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

At September 30, 2012, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and current year deferred capital losses which will reduce the respective Fund s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The current year deferred capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Funds next taxable year and are treated as realized prior to the utilization of the capital loss carryforward. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards and the amounts of the current year deferred capital losses are as follows:

Expiration Date	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Ma Fu	ssachusetts nd	Michigan Fund
September 30, 2013	\$	\$	\$	179,329	\$ 384,407
September 30, 2016	658,427	52,500			1,883
September 30, 2017	2,011,041	1,365,711		94,578	
September 30, 2018	11,539,291	3,330,399		1,054,999	579,696
September 30, 2019	1,277,303	1,539,887		225,669	515,704
Total capital loss carryforward	\$ 15,486,062	\$ 6,288,497	\$	1,554,575	\$ 1,481,690
Current year deferred capital losses	\$ 7,301,218	\$ 4,990,165	\$	1,439,462	\$ 573,761

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Expiration Date	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
September 30, 2013 September 30, 2016 September 30, 2017 September 30, 2018 September 30, 2019	\$ 244,927 2,060,337 1,369,694	\$ 41,818 1,233,356 1,545,637 1,548,104	\$ 321,978 83,319 1,620,085 3,381,936 669,118	\$ 1,949,047 925,899
Total capital loss carryforward	\$ 3,674,958	\$ 4,368,915	\$ 6,076,436	\$ 2,874,946
Current year deferred capital losses	\$ 2,266,743	\$ 1,493,090	\$ 1,081,868	\$ 2,071,926

As of September 30, 2012, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Fund files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Fund maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Fund s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.

E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**G** Indemnifications Under each Fund s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.

H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Funds may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Fund may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Fund buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Fund (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Bond held by the SPV to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest trates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued in their value, the payable for

floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 10) at September 30, 2012. Interest expense related to the Funds liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust.

53

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At September 30, 2012, the amounts of the Funds Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 42,905,000	\$ 9,885,000	\$ 3,330,000
Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	0.18 - 0.43	0.18 - 0.23	0.18 - 0.25
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 54,936,846	\$ 12,230,286	\$ 4,684,236

			Ohio				
	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Fund	Pennsylvania Fund			
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 4,790,000	\$ 8,875,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 2,040,000			
Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	0.25 - 0.38	0.18 - 0.25	0.21 - 0.25	0.19 - 0.25			
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 6,365,426	\$ 12,210,470	\$ 546,855	\$ 3,420,671			
For the year ended September 30, 2012, the Funds overage Floating Pat	a Notes outstanding and th	a avaraga interast rata	including fees and a	mortization of			

For the year ended September 30, 2012, the Funds average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	Munic Fund	•	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 48,59	99,413	\$ 9,885,000	\$ 3,330,000
Average Interest Rate		0.72%	0.69%	0.76%
	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 4,790,000	\$ 10,350,041	\$ 250,000	\$ 2,040,000
Average Interest Rate	0.93%	0.73%	1.02%	0.86%

The Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds had no shortfalls as of September 30, 2012.

The Funds may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Funds investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

I Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the purchase price (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the

54

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.

J Interest Rate Swaps Pursuant to interest rate swap agreements, a Fund makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. Payments received or made are recorded as realized gains or losses. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Fund is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.

K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

L Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

#### 2 Auction Preferred Shares

Each Fund issued Auction Preferred Shares (APS) on January 15, 2003 in a public offering. The underwriting discounts and other offering costs incurred in connection with the offering were recorded as a reduction of the paid-in capital of the common shares of each respective Fund. Dividends on the APS, which accrue daily, are cumulative at rates which are reset every seven days by an auction, unless a special dividend period has been set. If the APS auctions do not successfully clear, the dividend payment rate over the next period for the APS holders is set at a specified maximum applicable rate until such time as the APS auctions are successful. The maximum applicable rate on the APS is 110% (150% for taxable distributions) of the greater of the 1) AA Financial Composite Commercial Paper Rate or 2) Taxable Equivalent of the Short-Term Municipal Obligation Rate on the date of the auction. The stated spread over the reference benchmark rate is determined based on the credit rating of the APS. Series of APS are identical in all respects except for the reset dates of the dividend rates.

The APS are redeemable at the option of each Fund at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, on any dividend payment date. The APS are also subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, if a Fund is in default for an extended period on its asset maintenance requirements with respect to the APS. If the dividends on the APS remain unpaid in an amount equal to two full years dividends, the holders of the APS as a class have the right to elect a majority of the Board of Trustees. In general, the holders of the APS and the common shares have equal voting rights of one vote per share, except that the holders of the APS, as a separate class, have the right to elect at least two members of the Board of Trustees. The APS have a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends. Each Fund is required to maintain certain asset coverage with respect to the APS as defined in the Funds By-laws and the 1940 Act. Each Fund pays an annual fee up to 0.15% of the liquidation value of the APS to broker/dealers as a service fee if the auctions are unsuccessful; otherwise, the annual fee is 0.25%.

#### 3 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders, after payment of any dividends on any outstanding APS. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards from prior years, if any). Distributions to common shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions to preferred shareholders are recorded daily and are payable at the end of each dividend period. The dividend rates for APS at September 30, 2012, and the amount of dividends accrued (including capital gains, if any) to APS shareholders, average APS dividend rates, and dividend rate ranges for the year then ended were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	Municipal Fund II			
	(Series A)	(Series B)	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
APS Dividend Rates at September 30, 2012 Dividends Accrued to APS Shareholders Average APS Dividend Rates Dividend Rate Ranges (%)	0.27% \$ 54,288 0.24% 0.11 - 0.40	0.27% \$ 54,234 0.24% 0.11 - 0.40	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\% \\ \$ & 62,425 \\ & 0.24\% \\ 0.11 - 0.40 \end{array}$	0.27% \$ 32,805 0.24% 0.11 - 0.40	0.27% \$ 32,152 0.24% 0.11 - 0.38

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
APS Dividend Rates at September 30, 2012	0.27%	0.27%	0.27%	0.27%
Dividends Accrued to APS Shareholders	\$ 48,133	\$ 32,137	\$ 41,252	\$ 52,771
Average APS Dividend Rates	0.25%	0.24%	0.24%	0.24%
Dividend Rate Ranges (%)	0.11 - 0.38	0.11 - 0.38	0.11 - 0.40	0.11 - 0.40

Beginning February 13, 2008 and consistent with the patterns in the broader market for auction-rate securities, the Funds APS auctions were unsuccessful in clearing due to an imbalance of sell orders over bids to buy the APS. As a result, the dividend rates of the APS were reset to the maximum applicable rates. The table above reflects such maximum dividend rates for each series as of September 30, 2012.

The Funds distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	Year Ended Sept California Fund II	tember 30, 2012 Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Distributions declared from: Tax-exempt income Ordinary income	\$ 8,837,775 \$ 10,796	\$ 3,139,020 \$ 4,392	\$ 1,516,786 \$	\$ 1,377,492 \$
	New Jersey Fund	Year Ended Sep New York Fund II	tember 30, 2012 Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
<b>Distributions declared from:</b> Tax-exempt income Ordinary income	\$ 2,158,297 \$	\$ 2,042,548 \$ 747	\$ 1,996,065 \$ 592	\$ 2,634,314 \$
	Municipal Fund II	Year Ended Sept California Fund II	tember 30, 2011 Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Distributions declared from: Tax-exempt income	\$ 9,690,853	\$ 3,412,033	\$ 1,528,173	\$ 1,387,537

Ordinary income	\$ 1,159	\$ 14,923	\$ \$

	Year Ended September 30, 2011					
	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund		
Distributions declared from:	¢ 0 401 000	¢ 2 2 ( ) ( ) 1	¢ 2 000 207	¢ 0.500.720		
Tax-exempt income	\$ 2,431,398	\$ 2,260,691	\$ 2,009,397	\$ 2,598,732		
Ordinary income	\$ 17,275	\$ 10,998	\$ 1,336	\$ 39,044		

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

During the year ended September 30, 2012, the following amounts were reclassified due to differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for accretion of market discount.

		unicipal ınd II	 lifornia nd II	Mas Fune	sachusetts d		/lichigan 'und
Change in: Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed (distributions in access of) net investment income	\$ \$	6,090	\$ 9,562	\$ \$	6,910 (6,910)	\$	10,748 (10,748)
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income	\$	(6,090)	\$ (9,562)	\$		(6,910)	- ) 1

	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Change in: Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed net investment income These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of	\$ 12,041 \$ (12,041)	\$ 14,479 \$ (14,479)	\$ 46,196 \$ (46,196)	\$ 21,252 \$ (21,252)

As of September 30, 2012, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 358,161	\$ 110,714	\$ 76,326	\$ 62,799
Capital loss carryforward and deferred capital losses	\$ (22,787,280)	\$ (11,278,662)	\$ (2,994,037)	\$ (2,055,451)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 14,339,376	\$ 8,195,758	\$ 5,990,770	\$ 3,293,620
Other temporary differences	\$ (2,175)	\$ (770)	\$ (305)	\$ (499)

	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 92,337	\$ 49,029	\$ 103,221	\$ 205,398
Capital loss carryforward and deferred capital losses	\$ (5,941,701)	\$ (5,862,005)	\$ (7,158,304)	\$ (4,946,872)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 7,057,630	\$ 5,112,375	\$ 6,137,368	\$ 5,592,328
Other temporary differences	\$ (880)	\$ (497)	\$ (1,145)	\$ (490)
	· · · ·	1.1		<b>C 1 1</b>

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, the timing of recognizing distributions to shareholders, futures contracts, accretion of market discount, expenditures on defaulted bonds and residual interest bonds.

4 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.55% of each Fund s average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund, and the amount of any outstanding APS issued by the Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the liquidation value of a Fund s APS then outstanding and the amount payable by the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the APS

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation. For the year ended September 30, 2012, the investment adviser fees were as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 1,177,000	\$ 454,721	\$ 231,560	\$ 194,754
	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 323,389	\$ 310,900	\$ 276,591	\$ 355,709

Officers and Trustees of the Funds who are members of EVM s organization receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended September 30, 2012, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

#### 5 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Purchases	\$ 34,339,474	\$ 12,216,245	\$ 898,229	\$ 6,642,469
Sales	\$ 45,573,815	\$ 12,348,238	\$ 692,906	\$ 6,721,003
	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
Purchases	\$ 11,830,394	\$ 10,423,471	\$ 5,891,845	\$ 6,683,193
Sales 6 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest	\$ 9,473,583	\$ 13,245,990	\$ 6,311,274	\$ 7,469,361

Common shares issued pursuant to the Funds dividend reinvestment plan for the years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 were as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Year Ended September 30, 2012	17,109	2,340	2,043	1,181
Year Ended September 30, 2011	19,997	6,283	3,205	372
		.,	-,	
	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Year Ended September 30, 2012	11,044	2,145	4,058	2,539
Year Ended September 30, 2011	11,653	2,773	4,815	4,284

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

#### 7 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at September 30, 2012, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Aggregate cost	\$ 161,332,613	\$ 68,734,882	\$ 35,021,969	\$ 31,977,564
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 23,264,575 (8,925,199)	\$ 9,217,963 (1,022,205)	\$ 6,147,425 (156,655)	\$ 3,514,045 (220,425)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 14,339,376	\$ 8,195,758	\$ 5,990,770	\$ 3,293,620
	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
Aggregate cost	\$ 49,726,672	\$ 43,188,609	\$ 45,152,610	\$ 58,204,077
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 7,369,639 (312,009)	\$ 5,526,073 (413,698)	\$ 6,738,763 (601,395)	\$ 6,533,314 (940,986)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 7,057,630	\$ 5,112,375	\$ 6,137,368	\$ 5,592,328

8 Overdraft Advances

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Funds are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Fund s assets to the extent of any overdraft. At September 30, 2012, California Fund II, New York Fund II, Ohio Fund and Pennsylvania Fund had payments due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$81,896, \$95,588, \$68,950 and \$119,053, respectively. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at September 30, 2012. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 10) at September 30, 2012. The Funds average overdraft advances during the year ended September 30, 2012 were not significant.

#### 9 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and interest rate swaps and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at September 30, 2012 is as follows:

### **Futures Contracts**

Fund	Expiration Month/Year	Contracts	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value	Appr	reciation reciation)
Municipal II		58					
California II	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond 25	Short	\$ (8,656,464)	\$ (8,663,750)	\$	(7,286)
	12/12	U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 28	Short	\$ (3,318,118)	\$ (3,337,109)	\$	(18,991)
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	(4,192,730)	(4,182,500)		10,230
Massachusetts		14					
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (2,096,365)	\$ (2,091,250)	\$	5,115
Michigan		3					
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (443,526)	\$ (448,125)	\$	(4,599)
New Jersey		75					
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (11,230,527)	\$ (11,203,125)	\$	27,402
New York II		22					
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (3,294,288)	\$ (3,286,250)	\$	8,038
Ohio		16					
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (2,395,846)	\$ (2,390,000)	\$	5,846
Pennsylvania		60					
•	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (8,984,421)	\$ (8,962,500)	\$	21,921
At September 30, 2012, tl	he Funds had sufficient of	cash and/or securities to cover comm	nitments under	these contracts.			

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Funds hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. To hedge against this risk, the Funds entered into interest rate swap contracts. The Funds also purchase and sell U.S.

Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Asset Derivative: Futures Contracts Total	\$ \$	\$ 10,230 <sup>(1)</sup> \$ 10,230	\$ 5,115 <sup>(1)</sup> \$ 5,115	\$ \$
Liability Derivative: Futures Contracts	\$ (7,286) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (18,991) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	\$ (4,599) <sup>(1)</sup>

Net Unrealized

Total
-------

\$ (7,286)	\$ (18,991)	\$	\$ (4,599)
------------	-------------	----	------------

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Asset Derivative: Futures Contracts Total	\$ 27,402 <sup>(1)</sup> \$ 27,402	\$ 8,038 <sup>(1)</sup> \$ 8,038	\$ 5,846 <sup>(1)</sup> <b>\$ 5,846</b>	\$ 21,921 <sup>(1)</sup> \$ 21,921

(1) Amount represents cumulative unrealized appreciation or (depreciation) on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended September 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Municipal Fund II	California Fund II	Massachusetts Fund	Michigan Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (1,226,231) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (658,242) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (192,881) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (108,042) <sup>(1)</sup>
	\$ 689,961 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 427,204 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 132,297 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 74,723 <sup>(2)</sup>
	New Jersey Fund	New York Fund II	Ohio Fund	Pennsylvania Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (1,185,082) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (324,027) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (349,226) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (397,193) <sup>(1)</sup>
Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ 687,459 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 284,388 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 174,408 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 79,323 <sup>(2)</sup>

(1) Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.

<sup>(2)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts. The average notional amounts of futures contracts and interest rate swaps outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2012, which are indicative of the volume of these derivative types, were approximately as follows:

	Municipal	California	Massachusetts	Michigan
	Fund II	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Average Notional Amount: Futures Contracts Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 9,046,000 \$ 231,000	\$ 5,300,000 \$ 139,000	\$ 1,654,000 \$ 66,000	\$ 569,000 \$ 52,000
	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Fund	Fund II	Fund	Fund
Average Notional Amount: Futures Contracts Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 7,500,000 \$ 96,000	\$ 2,615,000 \$ 154,000	\$ 2,438,000 \$ 58,000	\$ 4,385,000 \$ 77,000

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

#### 10 Fair Value Measurements

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments) In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At September 30, 2012, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Funds investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

Municipal Fund II Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 218,576,989	\$	\$ 218,576,989
Total Investments	\$	\$ 218,576,989	\$	\$ 218,576,989
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (7,286)	\$	\$	\$ (7,286)
Total	\$ (7,286)	\$	\$	\$ (7,286)
California Fund II Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 86,815,640	\$	\$ 86,815,640
Total Investments	\$	\$ 86,815,640	\$	\$ 86,815,640
Futures Contracts	\$ 10,230	\$	\$	\$ 10,230
Total	\$ 10,230	\$ 86,815,640	\$	\$ 86,825,870
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (18,991)	\$	\$	\$ (18,991)

Total	\$ (18,991)	\$	\$	\$ (18,991)
Massachusetts Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 44,342,739	\$	\$ 44,342,739
Total Investments	\$	\$ 44,342,739	\$	\$ 44,342,739
Futures Contracts	\$ 5,115	\$	\$	\$ 5,115
Total	\$ 5,115	\$ 44,342,739	\$	\$ 44,347,854

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Michigan Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 35,271,184	\$	\$ 35,271,184
Total Investments	\$	\$ 35,271,184	\$	\$ 35,271,184
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (4,599)	\$	\$	\$ (4,599)
Total	\$ (4,599)	\$	\$	\$ (4,599)
New Jersey Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 61,574,302	\$	\$ 61,574,302
Total Investments	\$	\$ 61,574,302	\$	\$ 61,574,302
Futures Contracts	\$ 27,402	\$	\$	\$ 27,402
Total	\$ 27,402	\$ 61,574,302	\$	\$ 61,601,704
New York Fund II Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 57,175,984	\$	\$ 57,175,984
Total Investments	\$	\$ 57,175,984	\$	\$ 57,175,984
Futures Contracts	\$ 8,038	\$	\$	\$ 8,038
Total	\$ 8,038	\$ 57,175,984	\$	\$ 57,184,022
			·	, . ,.
Ohio Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 51,539,978	\$	\$ 51,539,978
Total Investments	\$	\$ 51,539,978	\$	\$ 51,539,978
Futures Contracts	\$ 5,846	\$	\$	\$ 5,846
Total	\$ 5,846	\$ 51,539,978	\$	\$ 51,545,824
		. , ,		. , ,
Pennsylvania Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 65,836,405	s	\$ 65,836,405
Total Investments	\$	\$ 65,836,405 \$ 65,836,405	\$	\$ 65,836,405 \$ 65,836,405
Futures Contracts	\$ \$ 21,921	\$ 00,000,400 \$	\$	\$ 21,921
i utureo contracto	$\psi = 1, j = 1$	Ψ	Ψ	$\psi = 21, 721$

Total\$ 21,921\$ 65,836,405\$ 65,858,326The Funds held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2011 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. At September 30, 2012, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.\$ 65,856,405\$ \$ 65,858,326

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund (collectively, the Funds), including the portfolios of investments, as of September 30, 2012, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of cash flows of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of September 30, 2012, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2012, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the cash flows of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 16, 2012

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in January 2013 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2012. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Funds. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

Exempt-Interest Dividends. The Funds designate the following percentages of dividends from net investment income as exempt-interest dividends:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II	99.88%
Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II	99.86%
Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund	100.00%
Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund	100.00%
Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund	100.00%
Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II	99.96%
Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund	99.97%
Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	100.00%

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notice to Shareholders (Unaudited)

At the August 8, 2011 Board Meeting, the Trustees approved the following defensive investing policy: During unusual market conditions, the Funds may invest up to 100% of assets in cash or cash equivalents temporarily, which may be inconsistent with a Fund s investment objective(s) and other policies.

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

The Funds held their Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 20, 2012. The following action was taken by the shareholders:

Item 1: The election of William H. Park, Lynn A. Stout and Ralph F. Verni as Class I Trustees of each Fund for a three-year term expiring in 2015, Scott E. Eston as a Class II Trustee of each Fund for a one-year term expiring in 2013 and Harriett Tee Taggart as a Class III Trustee of each Fund for a two-year term expiring in 2014. Mr. Verni was elected solely by APS shareholders.

	Nominee for	Nominee for		Nominee for	Nominee for
	Class I Trustee	Class I Trustee	Nominee for	Class II Trustee	Class III Trustee
	Elected by All	Elected by All	Class I Trustee Elected by APS	Elected by All	Elected by All
	Shareholders:	Shareholders:	Shareholders:	Shareholders:	Shareholders:
Fund	William H. Park	Lynn A. Stout	Ralph F. Verni	Scott E. Eston	Harriett Tee Taggart
Municipal Fund II					
For	9,207,685	9,167,292	1,170	9,193,491	9,191,959
Withheld	343,201	383,594	49	357,395	358,927
California Fund II					
For	3,452,421	3,432,375	624	3,452,421	3,452,421
Withheld	240,212	260,258	51	240,212	240,212
Massachusetts Fund					
For	1,671,433	1,671,433	452	1,671,433	1,669,940
Withheld	23,929	23,929	32	23,929	25,422
Michigan Fund					
For	1,452,043	1,444,060	413	1,451,182	1,450,751
Withheld	12,778	20,761	1	13,639	14,070
New Jersey Fund					
For	2,467,970	2,463,762	548	2,467,970	2,467,970
Withheld	82,783	86,991	13	82,783	82,783
New York Fund II					
For	2,322,749	2,354,398	292	2,322,749	2,355,064
Withheld	119,297	87,648	25	119,297	86,982
Ohio Fund					
For	2,397,294	2,392,866	492	2,398,387	2,324,022
Withheld	35,335	39,763	17	34,242	108,607
Pennsylvania Fund					
For	2,771,442	2,766,658	657	2,775,101	2,769,173
Withheld	74,287	79,071	4	70,628	76,556

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Fund offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders may elect to have distributions automatically reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Fund. You may elect to participate in the Plan by completing the Dividend Reinvestment Plan Application Form. If you do not participate, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (AST) as dividend paying agent. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by AST, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that the Fund s transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent s service fee for handling distributions will be paid by the Fund. Plan participants will be charged their pro rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan

This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan.

The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan.

Please print exact name on account

Shareholder signature

Date

Shareholder signature

Date

Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign.

#### YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY.

This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company

P.O. Box 922

Wall Street Station

New York, NY 10269-0560

#### Number of Employees

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company, and has no employees.

#### Number of Shareholders

As of September 30, 2012, Fund records indicate that there are 67, 25, 18, 25, 22, 37, 48 and 101 registered shareholders for Municipal Fund II, California Fund II, Massachusetts Fund, Michigan Fund, New Jersey Fund, New York Fund II, Ohio Fund and Pennsylvania Fund, respectively, and approximately 4,301, 1,271, 797, 823, 1,124, 1,061, 1,317 and 1,529 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks and financial intermediaries for Municipal Fund II, California Fund II, Massachusetts Fund, Michigan Fund, New Jersey Fund, New York Fund II, Ohio Fund and Pennsylvania Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1-800-262-1122

#### NYSE MKT symbols

Municipal Bond Fund II	EIV
California Municipal Bond Fund II	EIA
Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund	MAB
Michigan Municipal Bond Fund	MIW
New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund	EMJ
New York Municipal Bond Fund II	NYH
Ohio Municipal Bond Fund	EIO
Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	EIP

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Board of Trustees Contract Approval

#### Overview of the Contract Review Process

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuation is approved at least annually by the fund s board of trustees, including by a vote of a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the fund (Independent Trustees), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval.

At a meeting of the Boards of Trustees (each a Board ) of the Eaton Vance group of mutual funds (the Eaton Vance Funds ) held on April 23, 2012, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of existing advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Eaton Vance Funds for an additional one-year period. In voting its approval, the Board relied upon the affirmative recommendation of the Contract Review Committee of the Board, which is a committee comprised exclusively of Independent Trustees. Prior to making its recommendation, the Contract Review Committee reviewed information furnished by each adviser to the Eaton Vance Funds (including information specifically requested by the Board) for a series of meetings of the Contract Review Committee held between February and April 2012, as well as information considered during prior meetings of the committee. Such information included, among other things, the following:

Information about Fees, Performance and Expenses

An independent report comparing the advisory and related fees paid by each fund with fees paid by comparable funds;

An independent report comparing each fund s total expense ratio and its components to comparable funds;

An independent report comparing the investment performance of each fund (including, where relevant, yield data, Sharpe ratios and information ratios) to the investment performance of comparable funds over various time periods;

Data regarding investment performance in comparison to benchmark indices and customized peer groups, in each case as approved by the Board with respect to the funds;

For each fund, comparative information concerning the fees charged and the services provided by each adviser in managing other accounts (including mutual funds, other collective investment funds and institutional accounts) using investment strategies and techniques similar to those used in managing such fund;

Profitability analyses for each adviser with respect to each fund; Information about Portfolio Management and Trading

Descriptions of the investment management services provided to each fund, including the investment strategies and processes employed, and any changes in portfolio management processes and personnel;

Information about the allocation of brokerage and the benefits received by each adviser as a result of brokerage allocation, including information concerning the acquisition of research through client commission arrangements and the fund s policies with respect to soft dollar arrangements;

Data relating to portfolio turnover rates of each fund;

The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;

Information about each adviser s processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions, and other policies and practices of each adviser with respect to trading; Information about each Adviser

Reports detailing the financial results and condition of each adviser;

Descriptions of the qualifications, education and experience of the individual investment professionals whose responsibilities include portfolio management and investment research for the funds, and information relating to their compensation and responsibilities with respect to managing other mutual funds and investment accounts;

Copies of the Codes of Ethics of each adviser and its affiliates, together with information relating to compliance with and the administration of such codes;

Copies of or descriptions of each adviser s policies and procedures relating to proxy voting, the handling of corporate actions and class actions;

Information concerning the resources devoted to compliance efforts undertaken by each adviser and its affiliates on behalf of the funds (including descriptions of various compliance programs) and their record of compliance with investment policies and restrictions, including policies with respect to market-timing, late trading and selective portfolio disclosure, and with policies on personal securities transactions;

Descriptions of the business continuity and disaster recovery plans of each adviser and its affiliates;

A description of Eaton Vance Management s procedures for overseeing third party advisers and sub-advisers, including with respect to regulatory and compliance issues, investment management and other matters;

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

Other Relevant Information

Information concerning the nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment management services provided by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates;

Information concerning management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants by each adviser or the funds administrator; and

#### The terms of each advisory agreement.

In addition to the information identified above, the Contract Review Committee considered information provided from time to time by each adviser throughout the year at meetings of the Board and its committees. Over the course of the twelve-month period ended April 30, 2012, with respect to one or more funds, the Board met ten times and the Contract Review Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, the Portfolio Management Committee and the Compliance Reports and Regulatory Matters Committee, each of which is a Committee comprised solely of Independent Trustees, met ten, nineteen, seven, eight and fourteen times respectively. At such meetings, the Trustees participated in investment and performance reviews with the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of each adviser relating to each fund. The Board and its Committees considered the investment and trading strategies used in pursuing each fund s investment objective, including, where relevant, the use of derivative instruments, as well as risk management techniques. The Board and its Committees also evaluated issues pertaining to industry and regulatory developments, compliance procedures, fund governance and other issues with respect to the funds, and received and participated in reports and presentations provided by Eaton Vance Management and other fund advisers with respect to such matters.

For funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolios, the Board considered similar information about the portfolio(s) when considering the approval of advisory agreements. In addition, in cases where the fund s investment adviser has engaged a sub-adviser, the Board considered similar information about the sub-adviser when considering the approval of any sub-advisory agreement.

The Contract Review Committee was assisted throughout the contract review process by Goodwin Procter LLP, legal counsel for the Independent Trustees. The members of the Contract Review Committee relied upon the advice of such counsel and their own business judgment in determining the material factors to be considered in evaluating each advisory and sub-advisory agreement and the weight to be given to each such factor. The conclusions reached with respect to each advisory and sub-advisory agreement were based on a comprehensive evaluation of all the information provided and not any single factor. Moreover, each member of the Contract Review Committee may have placed varying emphasis on particular factors in reaching conclusions with respect to each advisory agreement.

### Results of the Process

Based on its consideration of the foregoing, and such other information as it deemed relevant, including the factors and conclusions described below, the Contract Review Committee concluded that the continuation of the investment advisory agreements of the following funds:

#### Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

#### Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

(the Funds ), each with Eaton Vance Management (the Adviser ), including their fee structures, is in the interests of shareholders and, therefore, the Contract Review Committee recommended to the Board approval of each agreement. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Contract Review Committee as well as the factors considered and conclusions reached by the Contract Review Committee with respect to each agreement. Accordingly, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Fund.

#### Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering whether to approve the investment advisory agreements of the Funds, the Board evaluated the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Funds by the Adviser.

The Board considered the Adviser s management capabilities and investment process with respect to the types of investments held by each Fund, including the education, experience and number of its investment professionals and other personnel who provide portfolio management, investment research, and similar services to the Funds. In particular, the Board considered, where relevant, the abilities and experience of such investment personnel in analyzing factors such as credit risk, tax efficiency, and special considerations relevant to investing in municipal bonds. The Board considered the Adviser s large municipal bond team, which includes portfolio managers and credit specialists who provide services to the Funds. The Board also took into account the resources dedicated to portfolio management and other services, including the compensation methods of the Adviser to recruit and retain investment personnel, and the time and attention devoted to each Fund by senior management.

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

The Board reviewed the compliance programs of the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof. Among other matters, the Board considered compliance and reporting matters relating to personal trading by investment personnel, selective disclosure of portfolio holdings, late trading, frequent trading, portfolio valuation, business continuity and the allocation of investment opportunities. The Board also evaluated the responses of the Adviser and its affiliates to requests in recent years from regulatory authorities such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

The Board considered shareholder and other administrative services provided or managed by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates, including transfer agency and accounting services. The Board evaluated the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large family of funds.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, among others, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Adviser, taken as a whole, are appropriate and consistent with the terms of the investment advisory agreements.

#### Fund Performance

The Board compared each Fund s investment performance to a relevant universe of similarly managed funds identified by an independent data provider and appropriate benchmark indices and, where relevant, a peer group of similarly managed funds, and assessed each Fund s performance on the basis of total return and current income return. The Board s review included comparative performance data for the one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2011 for each Fund. The Board considered the impact of extraordinary market conditions in recent years on each Fund s performance relative to its peer universe in light of, among other things, the Adviser s efforts to generate competitive levels of tax exempt current income over time through investments in higher quality municipal bonds with longer maturities. The Board noted that the Adviser had taken action to restructure each Fund s portfolio as part of a long-term strategy for managing interest rate risk, consistent with each Fund s objective of providing current income, and that performance had improved relative to peer funds over recent periods. The Board concluded that each Fund s performance had been satisfactory on the basis of current income return, and that it was appropriate to continue to monitor the effectiveness of the actions taken by the Adviser to improve Fund performance on the basis of total return, which it noted had improved for periods ended as of December 31, 2011.

#### Management Fees and Expenses

The Board reviewed contractual investment advisory fee rates payable by each Fund (referred to as management fees ). As part of its review, the Board considered the management fees and each Fund s total expense ratio for the year ended September 30, 2011, as compared to a group of similarly managed funds selected by an independent data provider. The Board also considered factors that had an impact on Fund expense ratios, as identified by management in response to inquiries from the Contract Review Committee, as well as actions taken by management in recent years to reduce expenses at the Eaton Vance fund complex level, including the negotiation of reduced fees for transfer agency and custody services.

After reviewing the foregoing information, and in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded that the management fees charged for advisory and related services are reasonable.

#### Profitability

The Board reviewed the level of profits realized by the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof in providing investment advisory and administrative services to each Fund and to all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Board considered the level of profits realized without regard to revenue sharing or other payments by the Adviser and its affiliates to third parties in respect of distribution services. The Board also considered other direct or indirect benefits received by the Adviser and its affiliates in connection with their relationships with the Funds, including the benefits of research services that may be available to the Adviser as a result of securities transactions effected for the Funds and other investment advisory clients.

The Board concluded that, in light of the foregoing factors and the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered, the profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates are reasonable.

#### Economies of Scale

In reviewing management fees and profitability, the Board also considered the extent to which the Adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and each Fund, on the other hand, can expect to realize benefits from economies of scale as the assets of each Fund increase. The Board acknowledged the difficulty in accurately measuring the benefits resulting from the economies of scale with respect to the management of any specific fund or group of funds. The Board reviewed data summarizing the increases and decreases in the assets of each Fund and of all Eaton Vance Funds as a group over various time periods, and evaluated the extent to which the total expense ratio of each Fund and the profitability of the Adviser and its affiliates may have been affected by such increases or decreases. Based upon the foregoing, the Board concluded that each Fund currently shares in the benefits from economies of scale. The Board also considered the fact that the Funds are not continuously offered and concluded that, in light of the level of the Adviser s profits with respect to each Fund, the implementation of breakpoints in each Fund s advisory fee schedule is not appropriate at this time.

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Management and Organization

**Fund Management.** The Trustees and officers of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II (EIV), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II (EIA), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund (MAB), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund (MIW), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund (EMJ), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II (NYH), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund (EIO), and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund (EIIP) (the Funds) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Funds affairs. The Trustees and Officers of the Funds are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The Noninterested Trustees consist of those Trustees who are not interested persons of the Trust, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 186 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

	Position(s)	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Interested Trustee	with the Funds	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Thomas E. Faust Jr.	Class II	Until 2013.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD.
1958	Trustee	3 years. Trustee	Trustee and/or officer of 186 registered investment companies. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV, which are affiliates of the Funds.
		since 2007.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. <sup>(1)</sup> Director of EVC and Hexavest Inc.
Noninterested Trustees			
Scott E. Eston	Class II	Until 2013.	Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief
1956	Trustee	1 year.	Operating Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer,
		Trustee since 2011.	GMO Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coopers and Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. None.
Benjamin C. Esty <sup>(A)</sup>	Class II Trustee	Until 2013.	Roy and Elizabeth Simmons Professor of Business Administration and Finance Unit Head, Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration.
1963		3 years.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. <sup>(1)</sup> None.
		Trustee since 2005.	

Allen R. Freedman 1940	Class II Trustee	Until 2013. 3 years. Trustee since 2007.	Private Investor. Former Chairman (2002-2004) and a Director (1983-2004) of Systems & Computer Technology Corp. (provider of software to higher education). Formerly, a Director of Loring Ward International (fund distributor) (2005-2007). Former Chairman and a Director of Indus International, Inc. (provider of enterprise management software to the power generating industry) (2005-2007). Former Chief Executive Officer of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2000).
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(1)</sup> Director of Stonemor Partners, L.P. (owner and operator of cemeteries). Formerly, Director of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2011).
William H. Park	Class I	Until 2015.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial
1947	Trustee	3 years.	Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief
		Trustee since 2003.	management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm) (1972-1981).

Directorships in the Last Five Years.<sup>(1)</sup> None.

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Management and Organization continued

	Position(s)	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Noninterested Trustees (	with the Funds continued)	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Ronald A. Pearlman	Class III	Until 2014.	Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center. Formerly, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) and Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy), U.S. Department of the
1940	Trustee	3 years.	Treasury (1983-1985). Formerly, Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress (1988-1990).
		Trustee since 2003.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. <sup>(1)</sup> None.
Helen Frame Peters	Class III	Until 2014.	Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief
1948	Trustee	3 years.	Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm)
		Trustee since 2008.	(1991-1998).
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> <sup>(1)</sup> Formerly, Director of BJ s Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009).
Lynn A. Stout 1957	Class I Trustee	Until 2015. 3 years.	Distinguished Professor of Corporate and Business Law, Jack G. Clarke Business Law Institute, Cornell University Law School. Formerly, the Paul Hastings Professor of Corporate and Securities Law (2006-2012) and Professor of Law (2001-2006), University of California at Los Angeles School of Law.
		Trustee since 2002.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. <sup>(1)</sup> None.
Harriett Tee Taggart	Class III	Until 2014.	Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP
1948	Trustee	2 years.	(investment management firm) (1983-2006).
		Trustee since 2011.	<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).
Ralph F. Verni <sup>(A)</sup>	Chairman of the Board and	Until 2015.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life.
1943	Class I Trustee	3 years.	Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000).
		Chairman of the Board since 2007 and Trustee	Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly, Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp. (2002-2006).

		since 2005.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. <sup>(1)</sup> None.
Principal Officers who a	are not Trustees		
Name and Year of	Position(s) with the	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Birth	Funds	Service	During Past Five Years
Cynthia J. Clemson	President of EIA, MIW, NYH, EIO	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1963	and EIP		
Thomas M. Metzold	President of MAB, EIV	Since 2010	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1958	and EMJ		
Payson F. Swaffield	Vice President	Since 2011	Vice President and Chief Income Investment Officer of EVM and BMR.
1956			
Barbara E. Campbell	Treasurer	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Management and Organization continued

	Position(s)	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of Birth Principal Officers who ar	with the Funds e not Trustees (continue	Service ed)	During Past Five Years
Maureen A. Gemma	Vice President, Secretary and	Vice President since 2011,	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1960	Chief Legal Officer	Secretary since 2007 and Chief Legal Officer since 2008	
Paul M. O Neil	Chief Compliance	Since 2004	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1953	Officer		

(1) During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except Mr. Eston and Ms. Taggart) also served as trustees of one or more of the following Eaton Vance funds (which operated in the years noted): Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (launched in 2002 and terminated in 2009); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009).

(A) APS Trustee.

### Eaton Vance Funds

### IMPORTANT NOTICES

**Privacy.** The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy (Privacy Policy) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management s Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

**Delivery of Shareholder Documents.** The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. *Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise.* If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

**Portfolio Holdings.** Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

**Proxy Voting.** From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. A Fund also may purchase shares of its common stock in the open market when they trade at a discount to net asset value or at other times if the Fund determines such purchases are advisable. There can be no assurance that a Fund will take such action or that such purchases would reduce the discount. If applicable, a Fund may also redeem or purchase its outstanding auction preferred shares (APS) in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

**Closed-End Fund Information.** The Eaton Vance closed-end funds make certain fund performance data and information about portfolio characteristics (such as top holdings and asset allocation) available on the Eaton Vance website after the end of each month. Certain fund performance data for the funds, including total returns, are posted to the website shortly after the end of each month. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds .

### Investment Adviser and Administrator

### **Eaton Vance Management**

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

### Custodian

### State Street Bank and Trust Company

200 Clarendon Street

Boston, MA 02116

### Transfer Agent

### American Stock Transfer & Trust Company

59 Maiden Lane

Plaza Level

New York, NY 10038

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

### Deloitte & Touche LLP

200 Berkeley Street

Boston, MA 02116-5022

### **Fund Offices**

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1557-11/12 CE-8IMBIISRC

### Item 2. Code of Ethics

Not required in this filing.

### Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

### Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

### (a) (d)

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant s fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012 by the registrant s principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/11	9/30/12
Audit Fees	\$ 24,500	\$ 26,990
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 3,915	\$ 3,915
Tax Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 7,900	\$ 8,100
All Other Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 300	\$ 310
Total	\$ 36,615	\$ 39,315

- <sup>(1)</sup> Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant s financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees and specifically include fees for the performance of certain agreed-upon procedures relating to the registrant s auction preferred shares.
- <sup>(2)</sup> Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation.
- <sup>(3)</sup> All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the registrant s principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.

(e)(1) The registrant s audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant s principal accountant (the Pre-Approval Policies ). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant s audit committee at least annually. The registrant s audit committee maintains full responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant s principal accountant.

(e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant s audit committee pursuant to the de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01 (c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

(f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant s fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/11	9/30/12
Registrant	\$ 12,115	\$ 8,410
Eaton Vance <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 226,431	\$ 606,619

<sup>(1)</sup> The investment adviser to the registrant, as well as any of its affiliates that provide ongoing services to the registrant, are subsidiaries of Eaton Vance Corp.

(h) The registrant s audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant s principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant s investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant s independence.

### Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Helen Frame Peters, Lynn A. Stout and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant s audit committee.

### Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

### Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy ), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies ) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is

generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund's shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser's personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at <u>http://www.sec.gov</u>.

### Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

#### **Portfolio Management**


Cynthia J. Clemson, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II, William H. Ahern, Jr., portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II and Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, Craig R. Brandon, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II and Adam A. Weigold, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund are responsible for the overall and day-to-day management of each Fund s investments.

Ms. Clemson has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1991 and is a Vice President of Eaton Vance Management (EVM) and Boston Management and Research (BMR). Mr. Ahern has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1993 and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Brandon has been an Eaton Vance analyst since 1998 and a portfolio manager since 2004, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Weigold has been a credit analyst with Eaton Vance since 1991 and a portfolio manager since 2007, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. This information is provided as of the date of filing of this report.

The following tables show, as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in the accounts managed within each category. The table also shows the number of accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account, if any, and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in those accounts.

Cynthia J. Clemson	Number of All Accounts	 al Assets of Accounts	Number of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee	Accounts Perfor	Assets of s Paying a rmance See
Registered Investment Companies	10	\$ 2,411.7	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
William H. Ahern, Jr.					
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 2,513.2	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	1	\$ 23.2	0	\$	0
Craig R. Brandon					
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 1,452.1	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Adam A. Weigold					
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 1,091.8	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	1	\$ 23.2	0	\$	0

The following table shows the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end.



*Potential for Conflicts of Interest.* It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager s management of a Fund s investments on the one hand and investments of other accounts for which a portfolio manager is responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities among the Fund and other accounts he or she advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions between a Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the Fund. In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may compensate the investment adviser based on the performance of the securities held by that account. The existence of such a performance based fee may create additional conflicts of interest for a portfolio manager will endeavor to exercise his or her discretion in a manner that he or she believes is equitable to all interested persons. EVM has adopted several policies and procedures designed to address these potential conflicts including a code of ethics and policies which govern the investment adviser s trading practices, including among other things the aggregation and allocation of trades among clients, brokerage allocation, cross trades and best execution.

### **Compensation Structure for EVM**

Compensation of EVM s portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus, and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting of options to purchase shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock and/or restricted shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock. EVM s investment professionals also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to EVM s employees. Compensation of EVM s investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect at or shortly after the October 31st fiscal year end of EVC.

*Method to Determine Compensation.* EVM compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of managed funds and accounts versus the benchmark(s) stated in the prospectus, as well as an appropriate peer group (as described below). In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to relative risk-adjusted performance. Risk-adjusted performance measures include, but are not limited to, the Sharpe Ratio. Performance is normally based on periods ending on the September 30th preceding fiscal year end. Fund performance is normally evaluated primarily versus peer groups of funds as determined by Lipper Inc. and/or Morningstar, Inc. When a fund s peer group as determined by Lipper or Morningstar is deemed by EVM s management not to provide a fair comparison, performance may instead be evaluated primarily against a custom peer group. In evaluating the performance of a fund and its manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer and shorter periods. For funds that are tax-managed or otherwise have an objective of after-tax returns, performance is measured net of taxes. For other funds, performance is evaluated on a pre-tax basis. For funds with an investment objective other than total return (such as current income), consideration will also be given to the fund s success in achieving its objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis, based on averages or weighted averages among managed funds and accounts. Funds and accounts that have performance-based advisory fees are not accorded disproportionate weightings in measuring aggregate portfolio manager performance.

The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as heading an investment group or providing analytical support to other portfolios) will include consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the managers performance in meeting them.

EVM seeks to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. EVM participates in investment-industry compensation surveys and utilizes survey data as a factor in determining salary, bonus and stock-based compensation levels for portfolio managers and other investment professionals. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of EVM and its parent company. The overall annual cash bonus pool is based on a substantially fixed percentage of pre-bonus operating income. While the salaries of EVM sportfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors as described herein. For a high performing portfolio manager, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may represent a substantial portion of total compensation.

### Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

No such purchases this period.

### Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No Material Changes.

### Item 11. Controls and Procedures

(a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed,

summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

### Item 12. Exhibits

- (a)(1) Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
- (a)(2)(i) Treasurer s Section 302 certification.
- (a)(2)(ii) President s Section 302 certification.
- (b) Combined Section 906 certification.

### Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson Cynthia J. Clemson President

Date: November 9, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Barbara E. Campbell Barbara E. Campbell Treasurer

Date: November 9, 2012

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson Cynthia J. Clemson President

Date: November 9, 2012