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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(dollars in thousands, except share data)

|  | (unaudited) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | December 31,$2010$ |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 193,360 | \$ | 94,941 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in banks |  | 68,444 |  | 242,837 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 261,804 |  | 337,778 |
| Fed funds sold |  | 60 |  | 9,038 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value |  | 1,937,169 |  | 1,729,794 |
| Securities held to maturity, fair values of \$282,472 and \$291,994, respectively |  | 278,192 |  | 290,020 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale |  | 75,615 |  | 83,905 |
| Loans covered by loss share agreement |  | 1,462,677 |  | 1,582,747 |
| Non-covered loans, net of unearned income |  | 5,737,969 |  | 4,452,585 |
| Total loans, net of unearned income |  | 7,200,646 |  | 6,035,332 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(169,988)$ |  | $(136,100)$ |
| Loans, net |  | 7,030,658 |  | 5,899,232 |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  | 670,465 |  | 726,871 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 275,502 |  | 208,403 |
| Goodwill |  | 349,113 |  | 234,228 |
| Other assets |  | 573,842 |  | 507,497 |
| Total Assets |  | 1,452,420 |  | 0,026,766 |


| Liabilities |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Deposits: | $1,322,546$ | $\$$ |
| Noninterest-bearing | $7,750,976$ | $7,036,768$ |
| Interest-bearing | $9,073,522$ | $7,915,106$ |
| Total deposits | 215,778 | 220,328 |
| Short-term borrowings | 463,016 | 432,251 |
| Long-term debt | 193,116 | 155,624 |
| Other liabilities | $9,945,432$ | $8,723,309$ |
| Total Liabilities |  |  |

## Shareholders Equity

31,163 28,080
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| Common stock, $\$ 1$ par value | $50,000,000$ shares authorized; $31,163,070$ and $28,079,841$ shares issued, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| respectively |  |  |  |
| Additional paid-in-capital | $1,133,651$ | 956,864 |  |
| Retained earnings | 361,440 | 361,055 |  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 26,269 | 14,680 |  |
| Treasury stock at cost 948,520 and $1,205,228$ shares, respectively | $(45,535)$ | $(57,222)$ |  |
| Total Shareholders Equity | $1,506,988$ | $1,303,457$ |  |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | $\$ 11,452,420$ | $\$ 10,026,766$ |  |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

|  | For The Three Months <br> Ended <br> June 30, | For The Six Months Ended <br> June 30, |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Deposits | 18,126 | 26,010 | 37,062 | 49,065 |
| Short-term borrowings | 141 | 196 | 268 | 390 |
| Long-term debt | 2,895 | 4,872 | 4,518 | 10,037 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest expense | 21,162 | 31,078 | 41,848 | 59,492 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | 75,965 | 70,139 | 154,714 | 139,345 |
| Provision for loan losses | 9,990 | 12,899 | 15,461 | 26,100 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 65,975 | 57,240 | 139,253 | 113,245 |

Noninterest Income

| Service charges on deposit accounts | 6,343 | 6,376 | 11,855 | 12,277 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ATM/debit card fee income | 2,966 | 2,557 | 5,879 | 4,882 |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 748 | 717 | 1,473 | 1,426 |
| Gain on sale of loans, net | 9,389 | 10,625 | 18,281 | 17,999 |
| Gain on acquisitions |  | 4,492 | 4,813 | 8,302 |
| Title income | 2,624 | 1,671 | 5,266 | 2,816 |
| Broker commissions | 4,426 | 3,945 | 8,227 | 7,293 |
| Other income |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30,988 | 30,704 | 59,283 | 59,057 |


| Noninterest Expense |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 46,048 | 39,578 | 89,678 | 75,390 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 12,067 | 8,121 | 21,179 | 15,714 |
| Franchise and shares tax | 1,018 | 916 | 1,999 | 1,496 |
| Communication and delivery | 2,495 | 2,377 | 5,023 | 4,764 |
| Marketing and business development | 2,718 | 1,482 | 4,804 | 2,938 |
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| Data processing |  | 4,755 |  | 3,333 |  | 7,774 |  | 6,161 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Printing, stationery and supplies |  | 807 |  | 794 |  | 1,637 |  | 1,511 |
| Amortization of acquisition intangibles |  | 1,183 |  | 1,269 |  | 2,352 |  | 2,279 |
| Professional services |  | 4,228 |  | 4,209 |  | 7,355 |  | 7,746 |
| Other expenses |  | 17,387 |  | 13,696 |  | 32,638 |  | 24,776 |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 92,706 |  | 75,775 |  | 174,439 |  | 42,775 |
| Income before income tax expense |  | 4,257 |  | 12,169 |  | 24,097 |  | 29,527 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense |  | (929) |  | 3,329 |  | 4,264 |  | 7,684 |
| Net Income | \$ | 5,186 | \$ | 8,840 | \$ | 19,833 | \$ | 21,843 |
| Preferred Stock Dividends |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings Available to Common Shareholders Basic | \$ | 5,186 | \$ | 8,840 | \$ | 19,833 | \$ | 21,843 |
| Earnings Allocated to Unvested Restricted Stock |  | (87) |  | (189) |  | (378) |  | (433) |
| Earnings Available to Common Shareholders Diluted | \$ | 5,099 | \$ | 8,651 | \$ | 19,455 | \$ | 21,410 |
| Earnings per common share Basic | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.72 | \$ | 0.89 |
| Earnings per common share Diluted | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.72 | \$ | 0.88 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.68 | \$ | 0.68 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.
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# IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (unaudited) 

(dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)


The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)

$\left.\begin{array}{l|cc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { For The Six Months } \\ \text { Ended June }\end{array} \\ & 30 \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$

## Cash Flows from Investing Activities

| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 20,410 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Proceeds from maturities, prepayments and calls of securities available for sale | 228,042 | 24,811 |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | $(130,559)$ | $(419,630$ |
| Proceeds from maturities, prepayments and calls of securities held to maturity | 19,563 | 25,024 |
| Purchases of securities held to maturity | $(7,203)$ | $(70,601)$ |
| FDIC Reimbursement of recoverable covered asset losses | 89,604 | 211,508 |
| (Increase) decrease in loans receivable, net | $(275,961)$ | 35,094 |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment | 1,926 | 367 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | $(25,345)$ |  |
| Proceeds from disposition of real estate owned | 29,614 | $(18,186)$ |
| Investment in new market tax credit entities | $(9,425)$ | 16,077 |
| Cash received in excess of cash paid in acquisition | 80,490 | $(1,818)$ |
| Other investing activities, net | $(1,417)$ |  |


| Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities | 19,338 | 89,553 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

| (Decrease) increase in deposits | $(42,552)$ | 528,648 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Net change in short-term borrowings | $(39,082)$ | $(63,382)$ |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 1,668 | 39,417 |
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| Repayments of long-term debt |  | $(45,326)$ |  | $(188,769)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends paid to common shareholders |  | $(18,312)$ |  | $(16,142)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of treasury stock for stock options exercised |  | 5,739 |  | 1,131 |
| Payments to repurchase common stock |  | $(1,423)$ |  | $(1,196)$ |
| Common stock issued |  |  |  | 328,980 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements |  | 1,378 |  | 528 |
| Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities |  | $(137,910)$ |  | 629,215 |
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | $(75,974)$ |  | 949,339 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period |  | 337,778 |  | 175,397 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period |  | 261,804 | \$ 1,124,736 |  |
| Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Acquisition of real estate in settlement of loans | \$ | 56,122 | \$ | 12,041 |
| Common stock issued in acquisition |  | 181,140 | \$ |  |
| Exercise of stock options with payment in company stock | \$ |  | \$ | 48 |
| Supplemental Disclosures |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits and borrowings | \$ | 43,330 | \$ | 73,537 |
| Income taxes, net |  | 30,225 | \$ | 17,109 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

## IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

## Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and note disclosures for IBERIABANK Corporation ( the Company ) previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( The SEC ) in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## Principles of Consolidation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of IBERIABANK Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries, IBERIABANK, Lenders Title Company ( LTC ), IBERIA Capital Partners LLC ( ICP ), IB Aircraft Holdings, LLC, IBERIA Asset Management Inc. ( IAM ), and IBERIA CDE, LLC ( CDE ). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. All normal, recurring adjustments which, in the opinion of management are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements, have been included. Certain amounts reported in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

## Nature of Operations

The Company offers commercial and retail banking products and services to customers throughout locations in six states through IBERIABANK. The Company also operates mortgage production offices in twelve states through IBERIABANK Mortgage Company ( IMC ), and offers a full line of title insurance and closing services throughout Arkansas and Louisiana through LTC and its subsidiaries. ICP provides equity research, institutional sales and trading, and corporate finance services. IB Aircraft Holdings, LLC owns a fractional share of an aircraft used by management of the Company and its subsidiaries. IAM provides wealth management and trust services for commercial and private banking clients. CDE is utilized to purchase tax credits.

## Segments

In prior years, the Company strategically managed and reported the results of its business through three operating segment levels: IBERIABANK, IBERIABANK $f s b$, and LTC. The Company s IBERIABANK and IBERIABANK $f s b$ segments offered commercial and retail banking products and services to customers throughout locations in six states. IBERIABANK provided these products and services in Louisiana, Alabama, and Florida, while IBERIABNK $f s b$ provided similar services in Arkansas, Tennessee, and Texas. As a Louisiana-chartered commercial bank and a member of the Federal Reserve System, IBERIABANK is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of Financial Institutions of the State of Louisiana, IBERIABANK s chartering authority, and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB ), IBERIABANK s primary federal regulator. As a federal savings association, IBERIABANK $f s b$ was subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS ).

The IBERIABANK and IBERIABANK $f s b$ segments were considered reportable segments based on quantitative thresholds applied for reportable segments provided by ASC Topic 280, and were disclosed separately. The Company s LTC segment did not meet the thresholds provided, but was reported because management believed information about this segment would be useful to readers of the Company s consolidated financial statements. A fourth reportable column, entitled Other, included the results of operations and financial condition of the Company s other subsidiaries, as well as the activities of the Company s holding company, which include corporate business activities, including payment of employee salary and benefits and marketing, business development, legal, professional, and other corporate expenses. Certain expenses not directly attributable to a specific segment were allocated to segments based on pre-determined means that reflected utilization.

Upon the merger of the Company s two financial institution subsidiaries, IBERIABANK and IBERIABANK $f s b$, at the close of business on December 31, 2010, all of the Company s banking operations are considered by management to be aggregated in one reportable operating segment. Because the overall banking operations comprise substantially all of the consolidated operations and none of the Company sother subsidiaries, either individually or in the aggregate, meet quantitative materiality thresholds provided by ASC Topic 280, no separate segment disclosures are presented in these unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are susceptible to significant change in the near term are the allowance for loan losses, valuation of and accounting for loans covered by loss sharing arrangements with the FDIC and the related loss share receivable, valuation of goodwill, intangible assets and other purchase accounting adjustments, and share-based compensation.
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## Note 2 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

## International Financial Reporting Standards ( IFRS )

In November 2009, the SEC issued a proposed roadmap regarding the potential use by U.S. issuers of financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS. IFRS is a comprehensive series of accounting standards published by the International Accounting Standards Board ( IASB ). Under the proposed roadmap, the Company may be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with IFRS as early as 2014. The SEC will make a determination later in 2011 regarding the mandatory adoption of IFRS. The Company is currently assessing the impact that this potential change would have on its operating results and financial condition, and will continue to monitor the development of the potential implementation of IFRS.

## ASU No. 2010-20, ASU No. 2011-01, and ASU No. 2011-02

In 2010, the Company adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses, which require the Company to provide new disclosures in its financial statements to improve the transparency of financial reporting by requiring enhanced disclosures about the Company s allowance for credit losses as well as the credit quality of the Company s loan portfolio. The additional disclosures required are incorporated in Notes 6 and 7 in these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

In January 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued ASU No. 2011-01, Receivables (Topic 310): Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20, that temporarily delays the effective date of the disclosures about troubled debt restructurings ( TDRs ) that are included in ASU No. 2010-20. The TDR disclosure guidance will be coordinated with the FASB s proposed guidance for determining what constitutes a TDR and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15,2011 . As a result, the enhanced disclosures required will be included in the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the threeand nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor s Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring, which clarifies the evaluation criteria a creditor should use when evaluating whether a credit restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. In order to be a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that a) the restructuring constitutes a concession and $b$ ) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The ASU further clarifies the guidance on a creditor sevaluation of whether it has granted a concession. The Company adopted the provisions of this ASU in the current quarter. Although the adoption of the ASU did not have a material impact on the Company s identified TDRs in these unaudited consolidated financial statements, the clarification in this ASU may result in the Company identifying more loan modifications as troubled debt restructurings in future periods, which may impact the Company s provision for loan losses and allowance for loan losses in future periods.

## ASU No. 2010-29

In January 2011, the Company adopted the provisions of ASU No. 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations, which provides guidance on the disclosures reported in an entity s financial statements regarding business acquisitions and clarifies the acquisition date that should be used for reporting the pro forma financial information disclosures in Topic 805 when comparative financial statements are presented. The ASU specifies that if an entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination or combinations that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments in this ASU also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures currently required under Topic 805 to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings.

The Company has provided the disclosures required by ASU No. 2010-29 in Note 4 of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## Note 3 Earnings Per Share

ASC Topic 260 clarifies share-based payment awards that entitle holders to receive non-forfeitable dividends before vesting should be considered participating securities and thus included in the calculation of basic earnings per share. These awards are included in the calculation of basic earnings per share under the two-class method. The two-class method allocates earnings for the period between common shareholders and other security holders. The participating awards receiving dividends will be allocated the same amount of income as if they were outstanding shares.
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The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

|  | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 20112010 |  |  |  | For the Six Mon 2011 | Ended June 30, 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ | 5,186,000 | \$ | 8,840,000 | \$ 19,833,000 | \$ 21,843,000 |
| Distributed and undistributed earnings to unvested restricted stock |  | $(91,000)$ |  | $(188,000)$ | $(379,000)$ | $(432,000)$ |
| Distributed and undistributed earnings to common shareholders Basf ${ }^{(l)}$ |  | 5,095,000 |  | 8,652,000 | 19,454,000 | 21,411,000 |
| Undistributed earnings reallocated to unvested restricted stock |  | 4,000 |  | $(1,000)$ | 1,000 | $(1,000)$ |
| Distributed and undistributed earnings to common shareholders Diluted | \$ | 5,099,000 | \$ | 8,651,000 | \$ 19,455,000 | \$ 21,410,000 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding Basfe ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 28,015,846 |  | 26,804,334 | 27,405,797 | 24,549,042 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted |  | 27,677,313 |  | 26,506,308 | 27,124,910 | 24,293,237 |
| Earnings per common share Basfl | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.33 | 0.72 | 0.89 |
| Earnings per common share Diluted | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.33 | 0.72 | 0.88 |
| Earnings per unvested restricted stock share Basie) | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.35 | 0.80 | 0.87 |
| Earnings per unvested restricted stock share Diluted | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | 0.35 | 0.79 | 0.87 |

(1) Total earnings available to common shareholders include distributed earnings of $\$ 10,093,000$, or $\$ 0.37$ per weighted average share, and an undistributed loss of $\$ 4,998,000$, or $\$ 0.18$ per weighted average share for the three months ended June 30,2011 . Total earnings available to common shareholders include distributed earnings of $\$ 8,940,000$, or $\$ 0.34$ per weighted average share, and an undistributed loss of $\$ 288,000$, or $\$ 0.01$ per weighted average share for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Total earnings available to common shareholders include distributed earnings of $\$ 19,076,000$, or $\$ 0.71$ per weighted average share, and undistributed earnings of $\$ 378,000$, or $\$ 0.01$ per weighted average share for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Total earnings available to common shareholders include distributed earnings of $\$ 17,870,000$ or $\$ 0.74$ per weighted average share, and undistributed earnings of $\$ 3,541,000$, or $\$ 0.15$ per weighted average share for the six months ended June 30, 2010.
(2) Total earnings available to unvested restricted stock include distributed earnings of $\$ 180,000$, or $\$ 0.35$ per weighted average share, and an undistributed loss of $\$ 89,000$, or $\$ 0.17$ per weighted average share, under the two-class method for the three months ended June $30,2011$. Total earnings available to unvested restricted stock include distributed earnings of $\$ 194,000$, or $\$ 0.36$ per weighted average share, and an undistributed loss of $\$ 6,000$, or $\$ 0.01$ per weighted average share, under the two-class method for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Total earnings available to unvested restricted stock include distributed earnings of $\$ 372,000$, or $\$ 0.78$ per weighted average share, and undistributed earnings of $\$ 7,000$, or $\$ 0.02$ per weighted average share, under the two-class method for the six months ended June $30,2011$. Total earnings available to unvested restricted stock include distributed earnings of $\$ 361,000$, or $\$ 0.72$ per weighted average share, and undistributed earnings of $\$ 72,000$ or $\$ 0.14$ per weighted average share, under the two-class method for the six months ended June 30 , 2010.
(3) Weighted average basic shares outstanding include 517,596 and 535,801 shares of unvested restricted stock for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and 476,896 and 498,286 shares of unvested restricted stock for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
For the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the calculations for basic shares outstanding exclude: (a) the weighted average shares owned by the Recognition and Retention Plan ( RRP ) of 576,608 and 556,551 , respectively, and (b) the weighted average shares in Treasury Stock of $1,021,432$ and $1,254,757$ respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the calculations for basic shares outstanding exclude: (a) the weighted average shares owned by the Recognition and Retention Plan ( RRP ) of 567,520 and 547,763, respectively, and (b) the weighted average shares in Treasury Stock of 1,094,453 and 1,303,255, respectively.

The effect from the assumed exercise of 544,511 and 471,620 stock options was not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, because such amounts would have had an antidilutive effect on earnings per share.
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## Note 4 Acquisition Activity

## OMNI BANCSHARES, Inc.

On May 31, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of OMNI BANCSHARES, Inc. ( OMNI ), the holding company of OMNI BANK, headquartered in Metairie, Louisiana with 14 offices in the New Orleans and Baton Rouge, LA markets. The Company completed the acquisition in order to further expand its banking operations into the New Orleans Metropolitan area. The Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of the former OMNI shareholders for total consideration of $\$ 46,407,000$, which resulted in goodwill of $\$ 63,518,000$, as shown in the following table:

| (dollars in thousands) | Number of Shares | Amount |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Equity Consideration | 698,768 | $\$ 41,053$ |
| Common Stock issued | 41,979 | 513 |
| Options issued | $\mathbf{4 1 , 5 6 6}$ |  |
| Total Equity Consideration | $\$ 4,832$ |  |
| Non-Equity Consideration | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |
| Change in Control Payments | $\mathbf{4 , 8 4 1}$ |  |
| Cash | $\mathbf{\$ 4 6 , 4 0 7}$ |  |
| Total Non-Equity Consideration | $\mathbf{( 1 7 , 1 1 1 )}$ |  |
| Total Consideration Paid <br> Fair Value of Net Liabilities Assumed including Identifiable <br> Intangible Assets <br> Goodwill | $\mathbf{\$ ~ 6 3 , 5 1 8}$ |  |

The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with ASC Topic 805. Both the purchased assets and liabilities assumed were recorded at their respective acquisition date fair values. Identifiable intangible assets, including core deposit intangible assets, were recorded at fair value. Because the consideration paid was greater than the net fair value of the acquired assets and liabilities, the Company recorded goodwill as part of the acquisition.

Fair values, including the fair value assigned to loans, are preliminary and subject to refinement as information relative to the closing date fair values becomes available. Under current accounting principles, information regarding the Company s estimate of loan fair values may be adjusted for a period of up to one year as the Company continues to refine its estimate of expected future cash flows in the acquired portfolio. Determining the fair value of assets and liabilities, particularly illiquid assets and liabilities, is a complicated process involving significant judgment regarding estimates and assumptions used to calculate estimated fair value. The Company may incur losses on the acquired loans that are materially different from losses the Company originally projected. Fair value adjustments based on updated loss estimates could materially affect the goodwill recorded on the acquisition.

The Company s operating results for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 include the operating results of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities for the 30 days subsequent to the May 31, 2011 acquisition date. The revenue from the assets acquired and income before income taxes totaled $\$ 2,318,000$ and $\$ 704,000$, respectively, for the 30-day period.

The acquired assets and liabilities, as well as the adjustments to record the assets and liabilities at fair value, are presented in the following table. The table also includes intangible assets other than goodwill created in the acquisition, namely, core deposit intangible assets.
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| (dollars in thousands) | As Acquired |  | Fair Value Adjustments |  | As recorded <br> by <br> IBERIABANK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 54,683 | \$ |  | \$ | 54,683 |
| Investment securities |  | 91,808 |  | (789)(a) |  | 91,019 |
| Loans |  | 503,695 |  | $(36,499)$ (b) |  | 467,196 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 24,759 |  | $(2,843)$ (c) |  | 21,916 |
| Core deposit intangible |  |  |  | 829(d) |  | 829 |
| Other assets |  | 56,872 |  | $(10,780)(\mathrm{e})$ |  | 46,092 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 731,443 | \$ | $(50,082)$ | \$ | 681,735 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits |  | 504,615 |  | 1,812(f) |  | 506,427 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits |  | 129,181 |  |  |  | 129,181 |
| Borrowings |  | 58,168 |  | 196(g) |  | 58,364 |
| Other liabilities |  | 3,971 |  | 903(h) |  | 4,874 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 695,935 | \$ | 2,911 | \$ | 698,846 |
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## Explanation of Certain Fair Value Adjustments

(a) The adjustment represents the write down of the book value of OMNI s investments to their estimated fair value based on fair values on the date of acquisition.
(b) The adjustment represents the write down of the book value of OMNI s loans to their estimated fair value based on current interest rates and expected cash flows which includes an estimate of expected loan losses inherent in the portfolio.
(c) The adjustment represents the write down of the book value of OMNI s OREO properties to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date based on their appraised value, as adjusted for costs to sell.
(d) The adjustment represents the value of the core deposit base assumed in the acquisition. The core deposit asset was recorded as an identifiable intangible asset and will be amortized on an accelerated basis over the average life of the deposit base, estimated to be 10 years.
(e) The adjustment represents the write down of the book value of OMNI s property, equipment, and other assets to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date based on their appraised value.
(f) The adjustment is necessary because the weighted average interest rate of OMNI s CD s exceeded the cost of similar funding at the time of acquisition. The fair value adjustment will be amortized to reduce interest expense over the life of the portfolio, which is estimated at 60 months.
(g) The adjustment is necessary because the interest rate of OMNI s fixed rate borrowings exceeded current interest rates on similar borrowings. The Company paid off the borrowings acquired from OMNI during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and amortized the fair value adjustment to reduce interest expense in the corresponding period.
(h) The adjustment is necessary because the fair value of the Company s liability under assumed lease agreements from OMNI is lower than the total cash payments remaining under the existing leases.

## Cameron Bancshares, Inc.

On May 31, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Cameron Bancshares, Inc. ( Cameron ), the holding company of Cameron State Bank, headquartered in Lake Charles, Louisiana, with 22 offices and 48 ATMs in the Lake Charles region. The Company completed the acquisition in order to expand its banking operations into the Lake Charles, Louisiana area. The Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of the former Cameron shareholders for total consideration of $\$ 143,241,000$, which resulted in goodwill of $\$ 51,315,000$, as shown in the following table:

| (dollars in thousands) | Number of Shares | Amount |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Equity Consideration | $2,384,461$ | $\$ 140,087$ |
| Common Stock issued |  |  |
| Options issued |  |  |

## Total Equity Consideration

\$ 140,087
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| Non-Equity Consideration | 3,144 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Change in Control Payments 10 <br> Cash $\mathbf{3 , 1 5 4}$ <br> Total Non-Equity Consideration $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 3 , 2 4 1}$ <br> Total Consideration Paid <br> Fair Value of Net Assets Acquired including Identifiable <br> Intangible Assets <br> Goodwill $\mathbf{9 1 , 9 2 6}$ $\mathbf{\$ 5 1 , 3 1 5}$ |  |

The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with ASC Topic 805. Both the purchased assets and liabilities assumed were recorded at their respective acquisition date fair values. Identifiable intangible assets, including core deposit intangible assets, were recorded at fair value. Because the consideration paid was greater than the net fair value of the acquired assets and liabilities, the Company recorded goodwill as part of the acquisition.

Fair values, including the fair value assigned to loans, are preliminary and subject to refinement as information relative to the closing date fair values becomes available. Under current accounting principles, information regarding the Company s estimate of loan fair values may be adjusted for a period of up to one year as the Company continues to refine its estimate of expected future cash flows in the acquired portfolio. Determining the fair value of assets and liabilities, particularly illiquid assets and liabilities, is a complicated process involving significant judgment regarding estimates and assumptions used to calculate estimated fair value. The Company may incur losses on the acquired loans that are materially different from losses the Company originally projected. Fair value adjustments based on updated loss estimates could materially affect the goodwill recorded on the acquisition.
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The Company s operating results for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 include the operating results of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities for the 30 days subsequent to the May 31, 2011 acquisition date. The revenue from the assets acquired and income before income taxes totaled $\$ 3,304,000$ and $\$ 1,740,000$, respectively, for the 30 -day period.

The acquired assets and liabilities, as well as the adjustments to record the assets and liabilities at fair value, are presented in the following table.

| (dollars in thousands) | As Acquired |  | Fair Value Adjustments |  | As recorded by <br> IBERIABANK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 29,191 | \$ |  | \$ | 29,191 |
| Investment securities |  | 223,720 |  | (35)(a) |  | 223,685 |
| Loans |  | 404,618 |  | 6,585(b) |  | 411,203 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 710 |  |  |  | 710 |
| Core deposit intangible |  |  |  | 5,178(c) |  | 5,178 |
| Other assets |  | 44,525 |  | $(3,896)(\mathrm{d})$ |  | 37,660 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 702,492 | \$ | 7,832 | \$ | 710,324 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits |  | 402,090 |  | 818(e) |  | 402,908 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits |  | 164,363 |  |  |  | 164,363 |
| Borrowings |  | 46,804 |  | 2,198(f) |  | 49,002 |
| Other liabilities |  | 2,124 |  |  |  | 2,124 |
| Total Liabilities <br> Explanation of Certain Fair Value Adjustments | \$ | 615,381 | \$ | 3,016 | \$ | 618,397 |

(a) The adjustment represents the write down of the book value of Cameron s investments to their estimated fair value based on fair values on the date of acquisition which includes an estimate of expected future loan losses.
(b) The adjustment represents the write up of the book value of Cameron $s$ loans to their estimated fair value based on current interest rates and expected cash flows, which includes an estimate of expected loan losses inherent in the portfolio.
(c) The adjustment represents the value of the core deposit base assumed in the acquisition. The core deposit asset was recorded as an identifiable intangible asset and will be amortized on an accelerated basis over the average life of the deposit base, estimated to be 10 years.
(d) The adjustment represents the write down of the book value of Cameron s property, equipment, and other assets to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date based on their appraised value.
(e) The adjustment is necessary because the weighted average interest rate of Cameron $\mathrm{s} C D \mathrm{~s}$ exceeded the cost of similar funding at the time of acquisition. The fair value adjustment will be amortized to reduce interest expense on a declining basis over the life of the portfolio, which is estimated at 69 months.
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(f) The adjustment is necessary because the interest rate of Cameron sfixed rate borrowings exceeded current interest rates on similar borrowings. The Company paid off the borrowings acquired from Cameron during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and amortized the fair value adjustment to reduce interest expense in the corresponding period.

## Purchase of certain assets of Florida Trust Company

On February 22, 2011, the Company announced the signing of a definitive agreement for IBERIABANK to purchase certain assets of the Florida Trust Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank of Florida Corporation. Florida Trust Company operates offices in Naples and Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. The Florida Trust Company will become part of the trust and asset management division of IBERIABANK.

Under terms of the agreement, IBERIABANK paid the Bank of Florida Corporation $\$ 700,000$ and will pay a contingent payment of up to $\$ 700,000$ for the acquisition of the assets of Florida Trust Company. The contingent payment will be paid approximately one year after the consummation of the transaction and will be determined based on the amount of revenue realized by IBERIABANK during that period generated from the former Florida Trust Company clients.

The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with ASC Topic 805. The acquisition resulted in additional intangible assets of $\$ 1,400,000$ included in the Company s consolidated balance sheet as of June 30 , 2011, of which $\$ 52,000$ was goodwill.
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## Supplemental pro forma information

The following pro forma information for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 reflects the Company s estimated consolidated results of operations as if the acquisitions of OMNI, Cameron, and Florida Trust Company occurred at January 1, 2010, unadjusted for potential cost savings.

| (dollars in thousands, except per share data) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Interest and noninterest income | $\$ 289,788$ | $\$ 302,817$ |
| Net income | 22,346 | 27,782 |
| Earnings per share | basic | 0.75 |
| Earnings per share | diluted | 0.74 |
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## Note 5 Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair values of investment securities, with gross unrealized gains and losses, consist of the following:

| (dollars in thousands) | Amortized Cost |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | 36,047 | \$ | 78 | \$ | (7) | \$ | 36,118 |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations |  | 521,471 |  | 3,476 |  | $(1,032)$ |  | 523,915 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | 143,680 |  | 2,776 |  | (826) |  | 145,630 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | 1,206,899 |  | 22,796 |  | $(1,656)$ |  | 1,228,039 |
| Other securities |  | 3,390 |  | 77 |  |  |  | 3,467 |
| Total securities available for sale |  | 1,911,487 | \$ | 29,196 | \$ | $(3,514)$ |  | 1,937,169 |
| Securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations | \$ | 180,325 | \$ | 2,615 | \$ |  | \$ | 182,940 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | 67,324 |  | 1,264 |  | (378) |  | 68,210 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | 30,543 |  | 779 |  |  |  | 31,322 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ | 278,192 | \$ | 4,658 | \$ | (378) | \$ | 282,472 |
| December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations | \$ | 424,180 | \$ | 2,414 | \$ | $(3,794)$ | \$ | 422,800 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | 39,896 |  | 668 |  | (395) |  | 40,169 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | 1,255,624 |  | 19,508 |  | $(11,263)$ |  | 1,263,869 |
| Other securities |  | 2,882 |  | 74 |  |  |  | 2,956 |
| Total securities available for sale |  | 1,722,582 | \$ | 22,664 | \$ | $(15,452)$ |  | 1,729,794 |
| Securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations | \$ | 180,479 | \$ | 2,549 | \$ | (68) | \$ | 182,960 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | 75,768 |  | 480 |  | $(1,728)$ |  | 74,520 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | 33,773 |  | 741 |  |  |  | 34,514 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ | 290,020 | \$ | 3,770 | \$ | $(1,796)$ | \$ | 291,994 |

Securities with carrying values of $\$ 1,543,819,000$ and $\$ 1,230,358,000$ were pledged to secure public deposits and other borrowings at June 30 , 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to 1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, 2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and 3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value above amortized cost. In analyzing an issuer s financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and industry analysts reports.
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Information pertaining to securities with gross unrealized losses at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, follows:

|  | Less Than Twelve Months Gross |  |  |  | Over Twelve Months |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dollars in thousands) |  | realized Losses |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |  | nrealized Losses |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | (7) | \$ | 5,006 | \$ | \$ |  | (7) |  | 5,006 |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations |  | $(1,032)$ |  | 99,475 |  |  |  | $(1,032)$ |  | 99,475 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | (826) |  | 17,821 |  |  |  | (826) |  | 17,821 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | $(1,344)$ |  | 249,276 | (312) | 17,746 |  | $(1,656)$ |  | 267,022 |
| Total securities available for sale |  | $(3,209)$ |  | 371,578 | \$ (312) | \$ 17,746 |  | $(3,521)$ |  | 389,324 |
| Securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | (274) |  | 19,907 | (104) | 1,225 |  | (378) |  | 21,132 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total securities held to maturity |  | (274) | \$ | 19,907 | \$ (104) | \$ 1,225 |  | (378) |  | 21,132 |
| December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations |  | $(3,794)$ |  | 195,785 | \$ | \$ |  | $(3,794)$ |  | 195,785 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | (395) |  | 6,771 |  |  |  | (395) |  | 6,771 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | $(10,678)$ |  | 528,280 | (585) | 20,908 |  | $(11,263)$ |  | 549,188 |
| Total securities available for sale |  | $(14,867)$ |  | 730,836 | \$ (585) | \$ 20,908 |  | $(15,452)$ |  | 751,744 |
| Securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations | \$ | (68) | \$ | 7,075 | \$ | \$ |  | (68) |  | 7,075 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | $(1,526)$ |  | 36,646 | (202) | 1,128 |  | $(1,728)$ |  | 37,774 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ | $(1,594)$ | \$ | 43,721 | \$ (202) | \$ 1,128 |  | $(1,796)$ |  | 44,849 |

At June 30, 2011, 147 debt securities have unrealized losses of $1.0 \%$ of the securities amortized cost basis and $0.2 \%$ of the Company s total amortized cost basis. The unrealized losses for each of the 147 securities relate to market interest rate changes. Seven of the 147 securities have been in a continuous loss position for over twelve months. These seven securities have an aggregate amortized cost basis and unrealized loss of $\$ 19,387,000$ and $\$ 416,000$, respectively. The seven securities were issued by either Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) or by state and political subdivisions (Municipals). The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac securities are rated AAA.

At December 31, 2010, 142 debt securities have unrealized losses of $2.1 \%$ of the securities amortized cost basis and $0.9 \%$ of the Company s total amortized cost basis. The unrealized losses for each of the 142 securities relate to market interest rate changes. Ten of the 142 securities have been in a continuous loss position for over twelve months. These ten securities have an aggregate amortized cost basis and unrealized loss of $\$ 22,822,000$ and $\$ 787,000$, respectively. The ten securities were issued by either Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) or by state and political subdivisions (Municipals). The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac securities are rated AAA.

The Company has assessed the nature of the losses in its portfolio as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 to determine if there are losses that are deemed other-than-temporary. In its analysis of these securities, management considered numerous factors to determine whether there were instances where the amortized cost basis of the debt securities would not be fully recoverable, including, but not limited to:
the length of time and extent to which the fair value of the securities was less than their amortized cost,
whether adverse conditions were present in the operations, geographic area, or industry of the issuer,
the payment structure of the security, including scheduled interest and principal payments, including the issuer $s$ failures to make scheduled payments, if any, and the likelihood of failure to make scheduled payments in the future,
changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency, and
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subsequent recoveries or additional declines in fair value after the balance sheet date.
Management believes it has considered these factors, as well as all relevant information available, when determining the expected future cash flows of the securities in question. Except for the bond discussed below, in each instance, management has determined the cost basis of the securities would be fully recoverable. Management also has the intent and ability to hold debt securities until their maturity or anticipated recovery if the security is classified as available for sale. In addition, management does not believe the Company will be required to sell debt securities before the anticipated recovery of the amortized cost basis of the security.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value by maturity of investment securities at June 30, 2011 are shown in the following table. Securities are classified according to their contractual maturities without consideration of principal amortization, potential prepayments or call options. Accordingly, actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities.

|  |  |  | Securitie vailable for |  |  |  | Securities Held to Matu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |
| (dollars in thousands) | Average Yield |  | Amortized Cost |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ | Average Yield | Amortized Cost | Fair <br> Value |
| Within one year or less | 0.50\% | \$ | 71,876 | \$ | 72,009 | 1.30\% | \$ 10,971 | \$ 11,043 |
| One through five years | 1.83 |  | 273,929 |  | 277,367 | 2.18 | 175,682 | 178,372 |
| After five through ten years | 2.79 |  | 530,910 |  | 540,072 | 3.28 | 24,153 | 24,732 |
| Over ten years | 3.04 |  | 1,034,772 |  | 1,047,721 | 3.45 | 67,386 | 68,325 |
| Totals | 2.70\% |  | 1,911,487 |  | 1,937,169 | 2.54\% | \$ 278,192 | \$ 282,472 |

The following is a summary of realized gains and losses from the sale of securities classified as available for sale.

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Six Months Ended June 30, } \\ 2011 & 2010\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Realized gains | \$ | 1,426 | \$ | 60 | \$ | 1,427 | \$ | 982 |
| Realized losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net realized gains (losses) | \$ | 1,426 |  | 60 | \$ | 1,427 | \$ |  |

In addition to the gains above, the Company realized certain immaterial gains on the calls of held to maturity securities.
The following is a summary of the changes in other comprehensive income ( OCI ) as a result of investment portfolio activity.

|  | Six Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period, net | $\$, 310$ | $\$$ |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale | 10,376 |  |
| Reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses realized in net <br> income | 19,898 | 19,698 |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) | $(1,427)$ | $(982)$ |
| Tax effect | 18,471 | 18,716 |
| Net of tax change | 6,465 | 6,551 |
| Balance at end of period, net | 12,006 | 12,165 |
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## Note 6 Loans Receivable

Loans receivable at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consist of the following:

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Residential mortgage loans: | $\$ 546,339$ | $\$$ | 616,550 |
| Residential 1-4 family | 17,694 | 14,822 |  |
| Construction/ Owner Occupied | 564,033 | 631,372 |  |
| Total residential mortgage loans |  |  |  |
| Commercial loans: | $3,398,830$ | $2,647,107$ |  |
| Real estate | $1,762,719$ | $1,515,856$ |  |
| Business | $5,161,549$ | $4,162,963$ |  |
| Total commercial loans | 247,103 | 255,322 |  |
| Consumer loans: | $1,006,113$ | 834,840 |  |
| Indirect automobile | 221,848 | 150,835 |  |
| Home equity | $1,475,064$ | $1,240,997$ |  |
| Other | $\mathbf{\$ 7 , 2 0 0 , 6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 3 5 , 3 3 2}$ |

In 2009, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of CapitalSouth Bank ( CSB ) , and certain assets and assumed certain deposit and other liabilities of Orion Bank ( Orion ) and Century Bank (Century ). In 2010, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain deposit and other liabilities of Sterling Bank. The loans and foreclosed real estate that were acquired in these transactions are covered by loss share agreements between the FDIC and IBERIABANK, which afford IBERIABANK significant loss protection. Under the loss share agreements, the FDIC will cover $80 \%$ of covered loan and foreclosed real estate losses up to certain thresholds for all four acquisitions and $95 \%$ of losses that exceed those thresholds for CSB, Orion, and Century only.

Because of the loss protection provided by the FDIC, the risks of the CSB, Orion, Century, and Sterling loans and foreclosed real estate are significantly different from those assets not covered under the loss share agreement. Accordingly, the Company presents loans subject to the loss share agreements as covered loans in the information below and loans that are not subject to the loss share agreement as non-covered loans.
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## Non-covered Loans

The following is a summary of the major categories of non-covered loans outstanding.


The following tables provide an analysis of the aging of non-covered loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Past Due ${ }^{(1)}$

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-59 } \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6 0 - 8 9} \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | Greater <br> than 90 <br> days | Total past due | Current | Discount ${ }^{(2)}$ | Total noncovered loans, net of unearned income |  | corded <br> stment > <br> 90 <br> ays and cruing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | \$ 284 | \$ 637 | \$ 6,457 | \$ 7,378 | \$ 322,338 | \$ | \$ 329,716 | \$ | 540 |
| Subprime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate Construction | 1,662 | 244 | 2,844 | 4,750 | 336,300 |  | 341,050 |  | 227 |
| Real Estate Other | 7,068 | 812 | 47,378 | 55,258 | 2,226,664 | $(29,906)$ | 2,252,016 |  | 1,424 |
| Commercial Business | 11,787 | 1,008 | 27,219 | 40,014 | 1,564,245 |  | 1,604,259 |  | 509 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indirect Automobile | 909 | 220 | 803 | 1,932 | 245,171 |  | 247,103 |  |  |
| Home Equity | 2,604 | 687 | 1,418 | 4,709 | 737,851 |  | 742,560 |  |  |
| Credit Card | 126 | 60 | 431 | 617 | 43,821 |  | 44,438 |  |  |
| Other | 281 | 294 | 9,784 | 10,359 | 166,468 |  | 176,827 |  |  |
| Total | \$ 24,721 | \$ 3,962 | \$ 96,334 | \$ 125,017 | \$ 5,642,858 | \$ (29,906) | \$ 5,737,969 | \$ | 2,700 |
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(1) Past due loans include loans on nonaccrual status as of the period indicated. Nonaccrual loans are presented separately in the Nonaccrual loans section below.
(2) As discussed in Note 4 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements, the fair value of loans acquired from OMNI and Cameron is preliminary and subject to refinement in subsequent periods. Past due information includes loans acquired from OMNI and Cameron at the gross contractual balance outstanding at June 30, 2011. Inclusion of these loans on a gross basis elevates past due balances compared to December 31, 2010 and compared to the actual carrying balance of these loans.
Included in certain loan categories in the table above are troubled debt restructurings of $\$ 60,506,000$ at June 30, 2011. Of that amount, $\$ 33,307,000$ were current and $\$ 27,199,000$ were past due greater than 30 days.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had $\$ 54,558,000$ in commercial real estate loans, $\$ 2,403,000$ in commercial business loans, $\$ 3,520,000$ in home equity loans, and $\$ 25,000$ in other consumer loans classified as TDRs.
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|  |  | Past Due |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & 30-59 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 0 - 8 9} \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | Greater than 90 days |  | Total past due | Current | Total loans, net of unearned income |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rded } \\ & \text { ent > } 90 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { uing } \end{aligned}$ |
| December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | \$ 421 | \$ 1,002 | \$ 6,196 | \$ | 7,620 | \$ 362,366 | 369,986 | \$ | 280 |
| Subprime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate Construction |  | 486 | 9,850 |  | 10,336 | 254,912 | 265,248 |  | 13 |
| Real Estate Other | 3,568 | 1,975 | 24,788 |  | 30,331 | 1,486,165 | 1,516,496 |  | 1,018 |
| Commercial Business | 406 |  | 1,993 |  | 2,399 | 1,338,953 | 1,341,352 |  | 144 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indirect Automobile | 1,002 | 165 | 1,046 |  | 2,213 | 253,109 | 255,322 |  |  |
| Home Equity | 2,464 | 1,199 | 986 |  | 4,648 | 551,101 | 555,749 |  |  |
| Credit Card | 146 | 94 | 378 |  | 618 | 42,298 | 42,916 |  |  |
| Other | 303 | 80 | 5,713 |  | 6,096 | 99,420 | 105,516 |  |  |
| Total | \$8,310 | \$ 5,001 | \$ 50,950 | \$ | 64,261 | \$ 4,388,324 | \$ 4,452,585 | \$ | 1,455 |
| Included in certain loan categories in the table above are troubled debt restructurings ( TDRs ) of $\$ 17,471,000$ at December 31, 2010. Of that amount, $\$ 10,215,000$ were current and $\$ 7,257,000$ were past due. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All TDRs at December 31, 2010 were commercial loans, including $\$ 1,047,000$ of construction loans, $\$ 16,368,000$ of commercial real estate loans, and $\$ 56,000$ of commercial business loans.

## Nonaccrual Loans

Interest income on loans is accrued over the term of the loans based on the principal balance outstanding. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment of the related loan yield, using the effective interest method.

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Mortgage, credit card and other personal loans are typically charged down to net collateral value, less cost to sell, no later than 180 days past due. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of loans. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual status or charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. The impairment loss is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan $s$ effective interest rate, the loan $s$ observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

In general, all interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual status or charged off is reversed against interest income. Interest on nonaccrual loans is accounted for on the cash-basis method or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for a return to accrual status. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.
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The following table provides an analysis of non-covered loans on nonaccrual status. Nonaccrual loans in the table include loans acquired from OMNI and Cameron at their gross contractual balance outstanding at June 30, 2011.

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential |  |  |  |
| Prime | \$ 5,917 | \$ | 5,916 |
| Subprime |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |
| Real Estate Construction | 2,617 |  | 9,837 |
| Real Estate Other | 45,954 |  | 23,770 |
| Business | 26,711 |  | 1,849 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |
| Indirect Automobile | 803 |  | 1,046 |
| Home Equity | 1,418 |  | 986 |
| Credit Card | 431 |  | 378 |
| Other | 9,784 |  | 5,714 |
| Total | \$ 93,635 | \$ | 49,496 |

The nonaccrual loans in the table above include $\$ 29,266,000$ and $\$ 2,504,000$ of TDRs on nonaccrual status at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

## Covered Loans

Loans acquired are recorded at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820, exclusive of the shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. The fair value estimates associated with the loans include estimates related to the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows, as well as the appropriate discount rate. At the time of acquisition, the Company estimated the fair value of the total acquired loan portfolio by segregating the total portfolio into loan pools with similar characteristics, which included:
whether the loan was performing according to contractual terms at the time of acquisition
the loan type based on regulatory reporting guidelines, namely whether the loan was a mortgage, consumer, or commercial loan
the nature of collateral
the interest rate type, whether fixed or variable rate
the loan payment type, primarily whether the loan was amortizing or interest-only
From these pools, the Company used certain loan information, including outstanding principal balance, estimated expected losses, weighted average maturity, weighted average term to re-price (if a variable rate loan), weighted average margin, and weighted average interest rate to estimate the expected cash flow for each loan pool.

Over the life of the acquired loans, the Company continues to estimate cash flows expected to be collected on each loan pool. The Company evaluates, at least semi-annually, whether the present value of the cash flows from the loan pools, determined using the effective interest rates, has decreased and if so, recognizes a provision for loan loss in its consolidated statement of income. For any increases in cash flows expected to
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be collected, the Company adjusts the amount of accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the loan s or pool s remaining life. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company increased its allowance for loan losses to reserve for estimated additional losses in a limited number of loan pools at June 30, 2011. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the increase in the allowance was recorded by a charge to the provision for loan losses of $\$ 2,639,000$ and $\$ 4,409,000$ and an increase of $\$ 19,922,000$ and $\$ 26,706,000$, respectively, in the indemnification asset for the portion of the losses recoverable from the FDIC in accordance with the loss sharing agreements.
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The carrying amount of the acquired covered loans at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consisted of loans determined to be impaired at the time of acquisition, which are accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 310-30, and loans that were considered to be performing at the acquisition date, accounted for by analogy to ASC Topic 310-30, as detailed in the following tables.

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASC } \\ \text { 310-30 } \\ \text { Loans } \end{gathered}$ | June 30, 2011 <br> Non-ASC <br> 310-30 <br> Loans |  | Total Covered Loans |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Covered loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgage loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 48,069 | \$ | 186,248 | \$ | 234,317 |
| Total residential mortgage loans | 48,069 |  | 186,248 |  | 234,317 |
| Commercial loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 132,798 |  | 672,966 |  | 805,764 |
| Business | 4,162 |  | 154,298 |  | 158,460 |
| Total commercial loans | 136,960 |  | 827,264 |  | 964,224 |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity | 49,594 |  | 213,960 |  | 263,554 |
| Other | 582 |  |  |  | 582 |
| Total consumer loans | 50,176 |  | 213,960 |  | 264,136 |
| Total covered loans receivable | \$ 235,205 | \$ | 1,227,472 | + | 1,462,677 |
| (dollars in thousands) | ASC |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mber 31, } 20 \\ & \text { m-ASC } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Covered loans | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310-30 } \\ \text { Loans } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 1 0 - 3 0} \\ & \text { Loans } \end{aligned}$ |  | al Covered Loans |
| Residential mortgage loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 50,566 | \$ | 210,820 | \$ | 261,386 |
| Total residential mortgage loans | 50,566 |  | 210,820 |  | 261,386 |
| Commercial loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 146,331 |  | 719,032 |  | 865,363 |
| Business | 6,119 |  | 168,385 |  | 174,504 |
| Total commercial loans | 152,450 |  | 887,417 |  | 1,039,867 |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity | 59,689 |  | 219,402 |  | 279,091 |
| Other | 543 |  | 1,860 |  | 2,403 |
| Total consumer loans | 60,232 |  | 221,262 |  | 281,494 |
| Total covered loans receivable | \$ 263,248 | \$ | 1,319,499 | \$ | 1,582,747 |

Included in certain loan categories in the table above are TDRs of $\$ 76,910,000$ at June 30, 2011. Of that amount, $\$ 47,004,000$ were current and $\$ 29,906,000$ were past due greater than 30 days.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had $\$ 10,935,000$ in mortgage loans, $\$ 46,374,000$ in commercial real estate loans, $\$ 2,276,000$ in commercial business loans, $\$ 17,314,000$ in home equity loans, and $\$ 11,000$ in other consumer loans classified as TDRs.

## ASC 310-30 loans

The Company acquired certain impaired loans through the CSB, Orion, Century, and other previous acquisitions which are subject to ASC Topic 310-30. The Company s allowance for loan losses for all acquired loans subject to ASC Topic 310-30 would reflect only those losses incurred after acquisition.
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The carrying amount of the loans acquired during 2010 are detailed in the following table.

|  | Acquired <br> Impaired <br> Loans | Acquired <br> Performing <br> Loans | Total <br> Covered <br> Loans |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | $\$ 49,823$ | $\$ 205,154$ | $\$ 254,977$ |  |
| Contractually required principal and interest at acquisition | $(30,890)$ | $(61,836)$ | $(92,726)$ |  |
| Nonaccretable difference (expected losses and foregone interest) | 18,933 | 143,318 | 162,251 |  |
| Cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition | $(207)$ | $(10,843)$ | $(11,050)$ |  |
| Accretable yield | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 7 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 2 , 4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 1 , 2 0 1}{ }^{(\mathbf{1 0}}$ |  |
| Basis in acquired loans at acquisition |  |  |  |  |

(1) Excludes overdraft balances included in total loans at the acquisition date.

The following is a summary of changes in the accretable yields of acquired loans during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.
(dollars in thousands)

|  | Acquired <br> Impaired <br> Loans | Acquired <br> Performing <br> Loans | Total <br> Acquired Loan <br> Portfolio |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 | $\$ 82,381$ | $\$ 626,190$ | $\$$ | 708,571 |
| Balance, beginning of period <br> Decrease in expected cash flows based on actual cash flow and <br> changes in cash flow assumptions | $(12,655)$ | $(6,784)$ | $(19,439)$ |  |
| Net transfers from (to) nonaccretable difference to accretable <br> yield | 35,926 | $(252,522)$ | $(216,596)$ |  |
| Accretion | $(20,232)$ | $(74,109)$ | $(94,341)$ |  |
| Balance, end of period | $\mathbf{\$ 8 5 , 4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 2 , 7 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 8 , 1 9 5}$ |

(dollars in thousands)

| June 30, 2010 | Acquired <br> Impaired <br> Loans | Acquired <br> Performing <br> Loans | Total <br> Acquired Loan <br> Portfolio |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 6,598$ | $\$ 222,986$ | $\$$ | 229,584 |
| Decrease in expected cash flows based on actual cash flow and <br> changes in cash flow assumptions | $(11,544)$ | $(21,152)$ | $(32,696)$ |  |
| Transfers from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield <br> Accretion | 54,526 | 122,571 | 177,097 |  |
| Balance, end of period | $(14,056)$ | $(51,802)$ | $(65,858)$ |  |

Accretable yield during the first six months of 2011 decreased primarily as a result of a change in prepayment speed assumptions during the first six months of 2011.
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The following is a summary of the year to date activity in the FDIC loss share receivable for the periods indicated.

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 726,871$ | $\$ 1,034,734$ |
| Increase due to loan loss provision recorded on FDIC covered loans | 26,706 | 25,337 |
| (Amortization) Accretion | $(40,228)$ | 621 |
| Submission of reimbursable losses to the FDIC | $(48,416)$ | $(237,848)$ |
| Change due to a decrease in cash flow assumptions | 8,526 | 14 |
| Other | $(2,994)$ | 14 |
|  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period | $\mathbf{\$ 6 7 0 , 4 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 2 2 , 8 5 8}$ |

## Note 7 Allowance for Loan Losses and Credit Quality

## Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision charged to earnings, and for loans covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC, through a charge to earnings and an indemnification asset, the FDIC loss share receivable. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Allowances for impaired loans are generally determined based on collateral values or the present value of estimated cash flows. Changes in the allowance related to impaired loans are charged or credited to the provision for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level which, in management $s$ opinion, is adequate to absorb credit losses inherent in the portfolio. The Company utilizes both peer group analysis, as well as a historical analysis of the Company s portfolio to validate the overall adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. In addition to these objective criteria, the Company subjectively assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses with consideration given to current economic conditions, changes to loan policies, the volume and type of lending, composition of the portfolio, the level of classified and criticized credits, seasoning of the loan portfolio, payment status and other factors.

In connection with acquisitions, the Company acquires certain loans considered impaired and accounts for these loans under the provisions of ASC Topic 310, which require the initial recognition of these loans at the present value of amounts expected to be received. The allowance for loan losses previously associated with these loans does not carry over. Any deterioration in the credit quality of these loans subsequent to acquisition would be considered in the allowance for loan losses. For any increases in cash flows expected to be collected, the Company adjusts the amount of accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the loan s or pool s remaining life.

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses, in total and for the covered loan and non-covered loan portfolios, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 136,100$ | $\$ 55,768$ |
| Provision charged to operations | 15,461 | 26,100 |
| Provision recorded through FDIC loss share receivable | 26,706 | 25,337 |
| Transfer of balance to OREO | $(7,325)$ |  |
| Loans charged-off | $(5,962)$ | $(14,596)$ |
| Recoveries | 5,008 | 3,391 |
| Balance, end of period | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 9 , 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
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| (dollars in thousands) | Covered <br> Loans | June 30, 2011 <br> Non-covered loans |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 73,640 | \$ | 62,460 | \$ 136,100 |
| Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements | 31,115 |  | 11,052 | 42,167 |
| Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements | $(26,706)$ |  |  | $(26,706)$ |
| Net provision for loan losses | 4,409 |  | 11,052 | 15,461 |
| Increase in FDIC loss share Receivable or discount | 26,706 |  |  | 26,706 |
| Transfer of balance to OREO | $(7,325)$ |  |  | $(7,325)$ |
| Loans charged-off | (225) |  | $(5,737)$ | $(5,962)$ |
| Recoveries | 510 |  | 4,498 | 5,008 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 97,715 | \$ | 72,273 | \$ 169,988 |
| (dollars in thousands) | Covered <br> Loans |  | $\text { e 30, } 2010$ covered oans | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 145 | \$ | 55,623 | \$ 55,768 |
| Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements | 32,696 |  | 18,741 | 51,437 |
| Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements | $(25,337)$ |  |  | $(25,337)$ |
| Net provision for loan losses | 7,359 |  | 18,741 | 26,100 |
| Increase in FDIC loss share receivable | 25,337 |  |  | 25,337 |
| Loans charged-off | $(1,094)$ |  | $(13,502)$ | $(14,596)$ |
| Recoveries | 11 |  | 3,380 | 3,391 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 31,758 | \$ | 64,242 | \$ 96,000 |
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A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans, by loan portfolio type, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

## (dollars in thousands)

|  | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial Business | Consumer | Mortgage | Unallocated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | 31,390 | \$ 16,473 | \$ 13,332 | \$ 1,265 | \$ | \$ 62,460 |
| (Reversal of) Provision for loan losses | 2,377 | 4,117 | 4,578 | (20) |  | 11,052 |
| Increase in FDIC loss share receivable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans charged off | $(1,357)$ | (523) | $(3,737)$ | (120) |  | $(5,737)$ |
| Recoveries | 3,218 | 129 | 1,104 | 47 |  | 4,498 |
| Balance, end of period | 35,628 | 20,196 | 15,277 | 1,172 |  | 72,273 |
| Allowance on loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,760 | \$ 47 | \$ | \$ 31 | \$ | \$ 1,838 |
| Allowance on loans collectively evaluated for impairment | 33,508 | 20,149 | 15,277 | 1,141 |  | 70,435 |
| Loans, net of unearned income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 2,593,066 | \$ 1,604,259 | \$ 1,210,928 | \$ 329,716 | \$ | \$ 5,737,969 |
| Balance, end of period: Loans individually evaluated for impairment | 36,703 | 3,648 | 237 | 703 |  | 41,291 |
| Balance, end of period: Loans collectively evaluated for impairment | 2,556,363 | 1,600,611 | 1,210,691 | 329,013 |  | 5,696,678 |
| Balance, end of period: Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 16,549 | 49,766 | 8,726 |  |  | 75,041 |
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|  | Commercial Real Estate |  | Commercial Business |  | Consumer |  | Mortgage |  | Unallocated | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 30,771 | \$ | 12,845 | \$ | 10,664 | \$ | 1,343 | \$ | \$ | 55,623 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 10,662 |  | 3,283 |  | 4,592 |  | 204 |  |  | 18,741 |
| Increase in FDIC loss share receivable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans charged off |  | $(8,259)$ |  | (466) |  | $(4,544)$ |  | (233) |  |  | $(13,502)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 2,241 |  | 52 |  | 1,031 |  | 56 |  |  | 3,380 |
| Balance, end of period |  | 35,415 |  | 15,714 |  | 11,743 |  | 1,370 |  |  | 64,242 |
| Allowance on loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 4,460 | \$ | 1,293 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | 5,753 |
| Allowance on loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 30,955 |  | 14,421 |  | 11,743 |  | 1,370 |  |  | 58,489 |
| Loans, net of unearned income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period |  | ,723,108 |  | ,154,548 |  | 928,653 |  | 430,013 | \$ |  | 4,236,322 |
| Balance, end of period: Loans individually evaluated for impairment |  | 29,967 |  | 5,501 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,468 |
| Balance, end of period: Loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 693,141 |  | ,149,047 |  | 928,653 |  | 430,013 |  |  | 4,200,854 |
| Balance, end of period: Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  | 680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses for covered loans, by loan portfolio type, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:
(dollars in thousands)

|  | Commercial <br> Real Estate | Commercial <br> Business | Consumer | Mortgage | Unallocated | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | $\$$ | 26,439 | $\$$ | 6,657 | $\$$ | 12,201 | $\$ 28,343$ |
| Balance, beginning of period | 4,697 | 400 | 696 | $(1,384)$ | $\$$ | 73,640 |  |
| (Reversal of) Provision for loan losses | 33,849 | 2,630 | 2,543 | $(12,316)$ | 4,409 |  |  |
| (Decrease) Increase in FDIC loss share receivable | $(4,576)$ | $(54)$ | $(1,483)$ | $(1,212)$ | 26,706 |  |  |
| Transfer of balance to OREO | $(164)$ |  | $(41)$ | $(20)$ | $(7,325)$ |  |  |
| Loans charged off | 275 |  | 133 | 102 | $(225)$ |  |  |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  | 510 |  |  |
|  | 60,520 | 9,633 | 14,049 | 13,513 |  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period |  |  |  |  | 97,715 |  |  |

Allowance on loans individually evaluated for impairment

| Allowance on loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 60,520 |  | 9,633 | 14,049 | 13,513 |  | 97,715 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans, net of unearned income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 805,764 | \$ | 158,460 | \$ 264,136 | \$ 234,317 | \$ | \$ 1,462,677 |
| Balance, end of period: Loans individually evaluated for impairment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period: Loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 805,764 |  | 158,460 | 264,136 | 234,317 |  | 1,462,676 |
| Balance, end of period: Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  | 132,798 |  | 4,162 | 50,176 | 48,069 |  | 235,205 |
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## (dollars in thousands)
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## Credit Quality

The Company utilizes an asset risk classification system in compliance with guidelines established by the Federal Reserve Board as part of its efforts to improve commercial asset quality. Special mention loans are defined as loans where known information about possible credit problems of the borrower cause management to have some doubt as to the ability of these borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms and which may result in future disclosure of these loans as nonperforming. For assets with identified credit issues, the Company has two primary classifications for problem assets: substandard and doubtful. Substandard assets have one or more defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Doubtful assets have the weaknesses of substandard assets with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full questionable and there is a high probability of loss based on currently existing facts, conditions and values. Loans classified as Pass do not meet the criteria set forth for special mention, substandard, or doubtful classification and are not considered criticized.

The Company s investment in non-covered loans by credit quality indicator as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is presented in the following table.

| (dollars in thousands) | Construction |  |  | Commercial Real Estate Other |  |  | Commercial Business |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credit quality indicator by asset risk classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cember 31, } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ecember 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ecember 31, } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pass | \$ 295,838 | \$ | 236,830 | \$ 2,076,248 | \$ | 1,422,506 | \$ 1,547,578 | \$ | 1,322,977 |
| Special Mention | 36,762 |  | 17,918 | 77,182 |  | 25,524 | 41,831 |  | 7,455 |
| Substandard | 8,280 |  | 10,204 | 124,583 |  | 68,005 | 14,602 |  | 8,105 |
| Doubtful | 170 |  | 296 | 3,909 |  | 461 | 248 |  | 2,815 |
| Total | 341,050 |  | 265,248 | 2,281,922 |  | 1,516,496 | 1,604,259 |  | 1,341,352 |
| Discount |  |  |  | $(29,906)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-covered commercial loans, net | \$ 341,050 | \$ | 265,248 | \$ 2,252,016 | \$ | 1,516,496 | \$ 1,604,259 | \$ | 1,341,352 |


| Credit risk by payment status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mortgage } \\ & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mortgage } \\ & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | Subprime <br> December 31, <br> 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current | \$ 322,338 | \$ | 362,366 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Past due greater than 30 days | 7,378 |  | 7,620 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ 329,716 | \$ | 369,986 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  | Indirect Au | tom | obile |  | Credi | Ca |  |
| Credit risk by payment status | June 30, <br> 2011 |  | cember 31, $2010$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | mber 31, $2010$ |
| Current | \$ 245,171 | \$ | 253,109 |  | 43,821 | \$ | 42,298 |
| Past due greater than 30 days | 1,932 |  | 2,213 |  | 617 |  | 618 |
| Total | \$ 247,103 | \$ | 255,322 |  | 44,438 | \$ | 42,916 |


|  | Home Equity |  |  | Consumer Other |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, <br> 2011 |  | ember 31, 2010 | June 30, <br> 2011 |  | mber 31, <br> 2010 |
| Current | \$ 737,851 | \$ | 551,101 | \$ 166,468 | \$ | 99,420 |
| Past due greater than 30 days | 4,709 |  | 4,648 | 10,359 |  | 6,096 |
| Total | \$ 742,560 | \$ | 555,749 | \$ 176,827 | \$ | 105,516 |
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As discussed in Note 4 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements, the fair value of loans acquired from OMNI and Cameron is preliminary and subject to refinement in subsequent periods as information subject to the closing date fair values becomes available. Credit quality information in the table above includes loans acquired from OMNI and Cameron at the gross contractual balance outstanding at June 30, 2011. Inclusion of these loans elevates classified assets compared to December 31, 2010 and compared to the actual carrying balance of these oans.
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The Company s investment in covered loans by credit quality indicator as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is presented in the following table. Loan discounts in the table below represent the adjustment of acquired loans to fair value at the time of acquisition in accordance with ASC Topic 805, as adjusted for income accretion and changes in cash flow estimates in subsequent periods.

| (dollars in thousands) | Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 | Real Estate |  |  |  |  |
| Credit quality indicator by asset risk classification | Construction | Other | Business |  | Total |
| Pass | \$ 88,595 | \$ 349,457 | \$ 104,394 | \$ | 542,446 |
| Special Mention | 18,953 | 66,289 | 5,968 |  | 91,210 |
| Substandard | 222,772 | 373,129 | 37,369 |  | 633,270 |
| Doubtful | 727 | 23,079 | 3,631 |  | 27,437 |
| Total | \$ 331,047 | \$ 811,954 | \$ 151,362 |  | 1,294,363 |
| Discount |  |  |  |  | $(330,139)$ |
| Covered commercial loans, net |  |  |  | \$ | 964,224 |


| Credit risk by payment status | Mortgage <br> Pubprime |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Current | $\$ 268,461$ | $\$$ | Total |
| Past due greater than 30 days | 95,955 |  | $\$ 268,461$ |
|  |  | 95,955 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 3 6 4 , 4 1 6}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 6 4 , 4 1 6}$ |
| Discount |  |  | $\mathbf{( 1 3 0 , 0 9 9 )}$ |
| Covered mortgage loans, net |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 4 , 3 1 7}$ |  |


| Credit risk by payment status | Indirect <br> Automobile | Credit Card |  | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current | \$ | \$ 933 | \$ 213,419 | \$ 2,473 | \$ 216,825 |
| Past due greater than 30 days |  | 91 | 51,496 | 76,629 | 128,216 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 1,024 | \$ 264,915 | \$ 79,102 | \$ 345,041 |

Discount
$(80,905)$

Covered consumer loans, net \$ 264,136
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| (dollars in thousands) | Commercial |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2010 | Real Estate |  |  |  |
| Credit quality indicator by asset risk classification | Construction | Other | Business | Total |
| Pass | \$ 144,423 | \$ 385,910 | \$ 126,176 | \$ 656,509 |
| Special Mention | 27,783 | 104,228 | 7,475 | 139,486 |
| Substandard | 245,872 | 402,397 | 39,462 | 687,731 |
| Doubtful | 5,245 | 17,951 | 1,390 | 24,586 |
| Total | \$ 423,323 | \$ 910,486 | \$ 174,503 | \$ 1,508,312 |
| Discount |  |  |  | $(468,445)$ |
| Covered commercial loans, net |  |  |  | \$ 1,039,867 |


| Credit risk by payment status | Mortgage <br> Subprime |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | | Total |
| :---: |
| Current |
| Past Due greater than 30 days |
|  |
| Total |
| Discount |
|  |
| Covered mortgage loans, net |


| Credit risk by payment status | Indirect <br> Automobile | Credit Card |  | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current | \$ | \$ 1,079 | \$ 169,264 | \$ 67,099 | \$ 237,442 |
| Past Due greater than 30 days |  | 76 | 63,635 | 79,950 | 143,661 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 1,155 | \$ 232,899 | \$ 147,049 | \$ 381,103 |
| Discount |  |  |  |  | $(99,609)$ |
| Covered consumer loans, net |  |  |  |  | \$ 281,494 |
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## Impaired Loans

Information on the Company s investment in impaired loans is presented in the following tables for the periods indicated.


(1) Interest income recognized on impaired loans represents income recognized before loans were placed on nonaccrual status.
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| (dollars in thousands) | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |  |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Recorded Investment | Interest <br> Income Recognized ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income <br> Recognized ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| With no related allowance recorded |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Prime | \$ 6,219 | \$ | 23 | \$ 4,256 | \$ | 20 |
| Residential Subprime |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | 32,104 |  | 134 | 17,567 |  | 59 |
| Business | 6,294 |  | 251 | 1,901 |  | 25 |
| Consumer Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indirect automobile | 1,162 |  | 10 | 1,283 |  | 13 |
| Credit card | 393 |  |  | 233 |  |  |
| Home equity | 1,690 |  | 40 | 1,168 |  | 3 |
| Other | 6,114 |  | 11 | 5,505 |  | 61 |
| With an allowance recorded |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Real Estate | 17,269 |  | 192 | 24,244 |  | 157 |
| Business | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage Loans | 6,219 |  | 23 | 4,256 |  | 20 |
| Commercial Loans | 55,714 |  | 577 | 43,712 |  | 241 |
| Consumer Loans | 9,359 |  | 61 | 8,189 |  | 77 |

As of June 30, 2011, the Company was not committed to lend additional funds to any customer whose loan was classified as impaired or as a troubled debt restructuring.

## Note 8 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

## Goodwill

Changes to the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the six months ended June 30, 2011 are provided in the following table.

| (dollars in thousands) | Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | $\$ 227,080$ |
| Goodwill acquired during the year | 7,148 |
| Goodwill impairment |  |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | $\$ 234,228$ |
| Goodwill acquired during the year | 114,885 |
| Goodwill impairment |  |

Balance, June 30, 2011
\$ 349,113
The goodwill acquired during the year ended December 31, 2010 was a result of the Sterling acquisition on July 23, 2010. The goodwill acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was a result of the OMNI, Cameron, and Florida Trust Company acquisitions. Footnote 4 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements provides additional information on the three acquisitions during 2011.
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Goodwill is allocated to the Company s subsidiaries as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IBERIABANK | $\$ 340,385$ | $\$$ | 225,500 |
| Lenders Title Company | 8,728 | 8,728 |  |
| Balance, end of period | $\$ 349,113$ | $\$$ | 234,228 |

The Company performed the required annual impairment tests of goodwill as of October 1, 2010. The Company sannual impairment test did not indicate impairment at any of the Company s reporting units as of the testing date, and subsequent to that date, management is not aware of any events or changes in circumstances since the impairment test that would indicate that goodwill might be impaired.

## Title plant

The Company had title plant assets totaling \$6,722,000 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. No events or changes in circumstances occurred during the first six months of 2011 to suggest the carrying value of the title plant was not recoverable.

## Intangible assets subject to amortization

The Company s purchase accounting intangible assets which are subject to amortization include core deposit intangibles, amortized on a straight line or accelerated basis over a 10 year average life, and mortgage servicing rights, amortized over the remaining servicing life of the loans, with consideration given to prepayment assumptions. The definite-lived intangible assets had the following carrying values.

|  |  | June 30, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net |  |  |  |  |  |

During 2011, the Company recorded $\$ 1,348,000$ in intangible assets related to the assets acquired in the Florida Trust Company acquisition. The customer relationship intangible asset represents the portion of the purchase price assigned to the fair value of expected future cash flows of the current Florida Trust Company clients. The intangible asset will be amortized on an accelerated basis over 9.5 years.

During 2011, the Company recorded $\$ 6,007,000$ in core deposit intangible assets related to the deposits acquired in the Omni and Cameron acquisitions.

## Note 9 Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned and foreclosed property totaled $\$ 117,741,000$ and $\$ 69,217,000$ at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Other real estate owned consists of the following:

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate owned acquired by foreclosure | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
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At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, other real estate is segregated into covered and non-covered properties as follows:

| June 30, 2011 | Non-covered properties | Covered properties | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate owned acquired by foreclosure | \$ 35,696 | \$ 74,850 | \$ 110,546 |
| Other foreclosed property | 18 |  | 18 |
| Real estate acquired for development or resale | 7,177 |  | 7,177 |
| Total other real estate owned and foreclosed property | \$ 42,891 | \$ 74,850 | \$ 117,741 |
| (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2010 | Non-covered properties | Covered properties | Total |
| Real estate owned acquired by foreclosure | \$ 13,840 | \$ 50,568 | \$ 64,408 |
| Other foreclosed property | 9 | 154 | 163 |
| Real estate acquired for development or resale | 4,646 |  | 4,646 |
| Total other real estate owned and foreclosed property | \$ 18,495 | \$ 50,722 | \$ 69,217 |

## Note 10 On-Balance Sheet Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In the course of its business operations, the Company is exposed to certain risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk. The Company manages its risks through the use of derivative financial instruments, primarily through management of exposure due to the receipt or payment of future cash amounts based on interest rates. The Company s derivative financial instruments manage the differences in the timing, amount, and duration of expected cash receipts and payments.

The Company accounts for its derivative financial instruments in accordance with ASC Topic 815, which requires that all derivatives be recognized as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet at fair value.

The primary types of derivatives used by the Company include interest rate swap agreements and interest rate lock commitments.

## Interest rate swap agreements

As part of its activities to manage interest rate risk due to interest rate movements, the Company has engaged in interest rate swap transactions to manage exposure to interest rate risk through modification of the Company s net interest sensitivity to levels deemed to be appropriate. The Company utilizes these interest rate swap agreements to convert a portion of its variable-rate debt to a fixed rate (cash flow hedge). The notional amount on which the interest payments are based is not exchanged. The Company had notional amounts of $\$ 70,000,000$ and $\$ 95,000,000$ in derivative contracts on its debt at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In addition to using derivative instruments as an interest rate risk management tool, the Company also enters into derivative instruments to help its commercial customers manage their exposure to interest rate fluctuations. To mitigate the interest rate risk associated with these customer contracts, the Company enters into offsetting derivative contract positions. The Company manages its credit risk, or potential risk of default by its commercial customers, through credit limit approval and monitoring procedures. At June 30, 2011, the Company had notional amounts of $\$ 274,688,000$ on interest rate contracts with corporate customers and $\$ 274,688,000$ in offsetting interest rate contracts with other financial institutions to mitigate the Company s rate exposure on its corporate customers contracts. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010, the Company had notional amounts of $\$ 201,327,000$ and $\$ 247,292,000$, respectively, on both interest rate contracts with corporate customers and offsetting contracts with other financial institutions.

Because the swap agreements used to manage interest rate risk have been designated as hedging exposure to variable cash flows of a forecasted transaction, the effective portion of the derivative $s$ gain or loss is initially reported as a component of other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings or when the hedge is terminated. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss is reported in earnings immediately.
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In applying hedge accounting for derivatives, the Company establishes a method for assessing the effectiveness of the hedging derivative and a measurement approach for determining the ineffective aspect of the hedge upon the inception of the hedge. These methods are consistent with the Company s approach to managing risk.

For interest rate swap agreements that are not designated as hedging instruments, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recognized in earnings immediately.
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## Rate lock commitments

The Company enters into commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the prospective loan is determined prior to funding ( rate lock commitments ). Rate lock commitments on mortgage loans that are intended to be sold are considered to be derivatives. Accordingly, such commitments, along with any related fees received from potential borrowers, are recorded at fair value as derivative assets or liabilities, with changes in fair value recorded in net gain or loss on sale of mortgage loans. The fair value of rate lock commitments was immaterial during the first six months of 2011 and 2010.

## Equity-indexed certificates of deposit

Beginning in the second quarter of 2010, IBERIABANK offers its customers a certificate of deposit that provides the purchaser a guaranteed return of principal at maturity plus potential return, which allows IBERIABANK to identify a known cost of funds. The rate of return is based on the performance of ten large cap U.S. stocks in the S\&P 500 stock index, representing a variety of industry segments. Because it is based on an equity index, the rate of return represents an embedded derivative that is not clearly and closely related to the host instrument. ASC Topic 815 therefore requires the certificate of deposit be separated into two components: a zero coupon certificate of deposit (the host instrument) and a written option purchased by the depositor (an embedded derivative). The discount on the zero coupon deposit is amortized over the life of the deposit, and the written option is carried at fair value on the Company s consolidated balance sheet, with changes in fair value recorded through earnings. IBERIABANK offsets the risks of the written option by purchasing an option with terms that mirror the written option and that is also carried at fair value on the Company s consolidated balance sheet. At June 30, 2011, the Company had equity-indexed certificates of deposit of $\$ 123,880,000$ with offsetting written options having a notional amount of $\$ 123,880,000$. At June 30 , 2010, the Company had equity-indexed certificates of deposit of $\$ 11,399,000$ with offsetting written options having a notional amount of $\$ 11,399,000$.

At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the information pertaining to outstanding derivative instruments, excluding interest rate lock commitments, is as follows.


| Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC
Topic 815
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { Interest rate contracts } & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Other } \\ \text { liabilities }\end{array} & \$ 18,795\end{array}\right) \$ 19,778$

At June 30, 2011, the Company was required to post $\$ 1,210,000$ in collateral for its derivative transactions. The Company does not anticipate additional assets will be required to be posted as collateral, nor does it believe additional assets would be required to settle its derivative instruments immediately if contingent features were triggered at June 30, 2011. As permitted by generally-accepted accounting principles, the Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral against recognized fair value amounts of derivatives executed with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement.

At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the information pertaining to the effect of the derivative instruments on the consolidated financial statements is as follows.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | Location of Gain |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Location of Gain |  |  | $\text { (Loss) Recognized in }{ }_{(L)}^{A}$ | Amoun Loss) | of Gain cognized |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (Loss) Reclassified |  |  |  |  | tive |
|  | Amoun (L | of Gain <br> ss) | from Accumulated | Amount (Loss) Re from Acc | of Gain classified umulated | (Ineffective Portiofln and Amount | neffect <br> a | e Portion d unt |
| (dollars in thousands) | Recognized <br> (Effectiv | OCI, net of es Portion) | OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | OCI into (Effe Port | Income <br> tive <br> ion) | Excluded from <br> Effectiveness Testing) | Exclu <br> Effec <br> Tes | from veness ing) |
| Derivatives in ASC Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | 2011 | 2010 |  | 2011 | 2010 |  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ 8,953 | \$ 6,617 | Interest income (expense) | \$ (856) | \$ (811) | Other income (expense) | \$ | \$ |
| Total | \$ 8,953 | \$ 6,617 |  | \$ (856) | \$ (811) |  | \$ | \$ |

## Location of Gain (Loss)

## Recognized in Income on



During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company has not reclassified into earnings any gain or loss as a result of the discontinuance of cash flow hedges because it was probable the original forecasted transaction would not occur by the end of the originally specified term.

At June 30, 2011, the fair value of derivatives that will mature within the next twelve months is $\$ 95,000$. The Company does not expect to reclassify any amount from accumulated other comprehensive income into interest income over the next twelve months for derivatives that will be settled.

Changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps designated as hedging the variability of cash flows associated with long-term debt are reported in other comprehensive income. These amounts subsequently are reclassified into interest income and interest expense as a yield adjustment in the same period in which the related interest on the long-term debt affects earnings. As a result of these interest rate swaps, interest expense was decreased by $\$ 856,000$ and $\$ 811,000$ for the six months ended June, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following is a summary of the balance and changes in the accumulated derivative gain or loss included as a component of other comprehensive income as of and for the six-month periods ended June 30.

| (dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of year, net | $\$ 9,370$ | $\$ 12,040$ |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges | $(641)$ | $(8,343)$ |
| Tax effect | 224 | 2,920 |
| Net of tax change | $(417)$ | $(5,423)$ |
| Balance at end of end of period, net | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 9 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ ~ 6 , 6 1 7}$ |
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## Note 11 Share-based Compensation

The Company has various types of share-based compensation plans. These plans are administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, which selects persons eligible to receive awards and determines the number of shares and/or options subject to each award, the terms, conditions and other provisions of the awards.
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## Stock option plans

The Company issues stock options under various plans to directors, officers and other key employees. The option exercise price cannot be less than the fair value of the underlying common stock as of the date of the option grant and the maximum option term cannot exceed ten years. The stock options granted were issued with vesting periods ranging from one-and-a half to seven years. At June 30, 2011, future option or restricted stock awards of 178,265 shares could be made under approved incentive compensation plans.

The stock option plans also permit the granting of Stock Appreciation Rights ( SARs ). SARs entitle the holder to receive, in the form of cash or stock, the increase in the fair value of Company stock from the date of grant to the date of exercise. No SARs have been issued under the plans.

The following table represents the compensation expense that is included in salaries and employee benefits expense and related income tax benefits in the accompanying unaudited consolidated statements of income related to stock options for the periods indicated below.

| (dollars in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 20112010 |  |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 20112010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation expense related to stock options | \$ 212 | \$ | 325 | \$ 572 |  | 581 |
| Income tax benefit related to stock options | 74 |  | 114 | 200 |  | 203 |
| Impact on basic earnings per share | 0.01 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.02 |
| Impact on diluted earnings per share | 0.01 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.02 |

The Company reported $\$ 1,378,000$ and $\$ 528,000$ of excess tax benefits as financing cash inflows during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to the exercise and vesting of share-based compensation grants. Net cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options were $\$ 5,739,000$ and $\$ 1,131,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.
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The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of share-based awards with the following weighted-average assumptions for the indicated periods:

For the Six Months Ended

|  | For the Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected dividends |  | 2.2\% |  | 2.3\% |  | 2.2\% |  | 2.2\% |
| Expected volatility |  | 31.7\% |  | 33.8\% |  | 31.6\% |  | 26.1\% |
| Risk-free interest rate |  | 3.6\% |  | 3.5\% |  | 3.6\% |  | 4.1\% |
| Expected term (in years) |  | 6.4 |  | 5.8 |  | 6.1 |  | 6.4 |
| Weighted-average grant-date fair value | \$ | 16.41 | \$ | 16.76 | \$ | 16.44 | \$ | 16.12 |

The assumptions above are based on multiple factors, including historical stock option exercise patterns and post-vesting employment termination behaviors, expected future exercise patterns and the expected volatility of the Company s stock price.

At June 30, 2011, there was $\$ 4,941,000$ of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.6 years.

The following table represents the activity related to stock options during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

|  | Number of shares | Weighted average exercise price |  | Weighted average remaining contract life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding options, December 31, 2010 | 1,301,539 | \$ | 45.52 |  |
| Granted | 94,196 |  | 63.09 |  |
| Exercised | $(188,192)$ |  | 32.44 |  |
| Forfeited or expired | $(21,867)$ |  | 57.29 |  |
| Outstanding options, June 30, 2011 | 1,185,676 | \$ | 48.78 | 4.5 Years |
| Outstanding exercisable at June 30, 2011 | 856,944 | \$ | 45.83 | 3.2 Years |
| Outstanding options, December 31, 2009 | 1,259,874 | \$ | 43.05 |  |
| Granted | 118,366 |  | 59.80 |  |
| Exercised | $(51,048)$ |  | 22.16 |  |
| Forfeited or expired | (716) |  | 57.87 |  |
| Outstanding options, June 30, 2010 | 1,326,476 | \$ | 45.34 | 5.0 Years |
| Outstanding exercisable at June 30, 2010 | 937,661 | \$ | 40.62 | 3.7 Years |

At June 30, 2011, the aggregate intrinsic value of shares underlying outstanding stock options and underlying exercisable stock options was $\$ 11,629,000$ and $\$ 10,986,000$. Total intrinsic value of options exercised was $\$ 5,000,000$ for the six months ended June $30,2011$.

## Restricted stock plans

The Company issues restricted stock under various plans for certain officers and directors. A supplemental stock benefit plan adopted in 1999 and the 2001, 2005, 2008, and 2010 Incentive Plans allow grants of restricted stock. The plans allow for the issuance of restricted stock awards that may not be sold or otherwise transferred until certain restrictions have lapsed. The holders of the restricted stock receive dividends and have the right to vote the shares. The fair value of the restricted stock shares awarded under these plans is recorded as unearned share-based compensation, a contra-equity account. The unearned compensation related to these awards is amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period (generally three to seven years). The total share-based compensation expense for these awards is determined based on the market price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant applied to the total number of shares granted and is amortized over the vesting period.
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As of June 30, 2011, unearned share-based compensation associated with these awards totaled $\$ 25,381,000$.
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The following table represents the compensation expense that was included in salaries and employee benefits expense in the accompanying unaudited consolidated statements of income related to restricted stock grants for the periods indicated below.

|  | For the Three Months <br> Ended June 30, | For the Six Months <br> Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Compensation expense related to restricted stock | $\$ 1,685$ | $\$ 1,568$ | $\$ 3,363$ | $\$ 2,962$ |

The following table represents unvested restricted stock award activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

|  | For the Six Months <br> Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, |  |
|  | 2011 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Balance, beginning of period | 539,195 | 550,518 |
| Granted | 120,675 | 114,467 |
| Forfeited | $(27,561)$ | $(3,133)$ |
| Earned and issued | $(101,891)$ | $(92,104)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period | $\mathbf{5 3 0 , 4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 9 , 7 4 8}$ |

The weighted average grant date fair value of the restricted stock granted during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was $\$ 56.17$ and $\$ 59.85$, respectively.

## Phantom stock awards

As part of the 2008 Incentive Compensation Plan and 2009 Phantom Stock Plan, the Company issues phantom stock awards to certain key officers and employees. The award is subject to a vesting period of five to seven years and is paid out in cash upon vesting. The amount paid per vesting period is calculated as the number of vested share equivalents multiplied by the closing market price of a share of the Company s common stock on the vesting date. Share equivalents are calculated on the date of grant as the total award s dollar value divided by the closing market price of a share of the Company s common stock on the grant date. Award recipients are also entitled to a dividend equivalent on each unvested share equivalent held by the award recipient. A dividend equivalent is a dollar amount equal to the cash dividends that the participant would have been entitled to receive if the participant s share equivalents were issued in shares of common stock. Dividend equivalents will be deemed to be reinvested as share equivalents that will vest and be paid out on the same date as the underlying share equivalents on which the dividend equivalents were paid. The number of share equivalents acquired with a dividend equivalent shall be determined by dividing the aggregate of dividend equivalents paid on the unvested share equivalents by the closing price of a share of the Company s common stock on the dividend payment date.

The following table represents share and dividend equivalent share award activity during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

|  | Number of share equivalents | Dividend equivalents | Total share equivalents | Value of share equivalents ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 119,194 | 3,741 | 122,935 | \$ 7,269,000 |
| Granted | 121,824 | 2,746 | 124,570 | 7,180,000 |
| Forfeited share equivalents | (153) | (1) | (154) | $(9,000)$ |
| Vested share equivalents | $(3,341)$ | (217) | $(3,558)$ | $(206,000)$ |
| Balance, June 30, 2011 | 237,524 | 6,269 | 243,793 | \$ 14,052,000 |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 67,361 | 1,886 | 69,247 | \$ 3,565,000 |
| Granted | 48,467 | 1,307 | 49,774 | 2,562,000 |


| Forfeited share equivalents |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vested share equivalents | $(1,107)$ | $(65)$ | $(1,172)$ | $(60,000)$ |
| Balance, June 30, $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 , 8 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$} \mathbf{6 , 0 6 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

${ }^{(1)}$ Value of share equivalents is calculated based on the market price of the Company s stock at the end of the respective periods. The market price of the Company s stock was $\$ 57.64$ and $\$ 51.48$ on June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
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During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded $\$ 833,000$ and $\$ 98,000$, respectively, in compensation expense based on the number of share equivalents vested at the end of the period and the current market price of $\$ 57.64$ and $\$ 51.48$ per share of common stock.

## Note 12 Commitments and Contingencies

## Off-balance sheet commitments

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The same credit policies are used in these commitments as for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties is represented by the contractual amount of the financial instruments. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of guarantees under commercial and standby letters of credit was $\$ 492,000$. This amount represents the unamortized fee associated with these guarantees and is included in the consolidated balance sheet of the Company. This fair value will decrease over time as the existing commercial and standby letters of credit approach their expiration dates.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had the following financial instruments outstanding, whose contract amounts represent credit risk:

|  | Contract Amount |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |  |
| Commitments to grant loans | $\$ 240,078$ | $\$$ | 152,545 |
| Unfunded commitments under lines of credit | $1,614,658$ | $1,121,895$ |  |
| Commercial and standby letters of credit | 49,220 | 33,446 |  |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to be drawn upon, the total commitment amounts generally represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer s creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management $s$ credit evaluation of the counterparty.

Unfunded commitments under commercial lines-of-credit, revolving credit lines and overdraft protection agreements are commitments for possible future extensions of credit to existing customers. Many of these types of commitments do not contain a specified maturity date and may not be drawn upon to the total extent to which the Company is committed.

## Legal proceedings

The nature of the business of the Company $s$ banking and other subsidiaries ordinarily results in a certain amount of claims, litigation, investigations and legal and administrative cases and proceedings, all of which are considered incidental to the normal conduct of business. Some of these claims are against entities or assets of which the Company is a successor or acquired in business acquisitions, and certain of these claims will be covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted against it in its currently outstanding legal proceedings and, with respect to such legal proceedings, intends to continue to defend itself vigorously, litigating or settling cases according to management s judgment as to what is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders

The Company assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. Where it is probable that the Company will incur a loss and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company records a liability in its consolidated financial statements. These legal reserves may be increased or decreased to reflect any relevant developments on a quarterly basis. Where a loss is not probable or the amount of loss is not estimable, the Company does not accrue legal reserves. While the outcome of legal proceedings is inherently uncertain, based on information currently available, advice of counsel and available insurance coverage, the Company s management believes that it has established adequate legal reserves. Any liabilities arising from pending legal proceedings are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, if unfavorable, may be material to the Company s consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows.
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IBERIABANK and the Company have been named as defendants in two putative class actions relating to the imposition of overdraft fees on customer accounts. The first such case, Eivet v. IBERIABANK, is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida and presently bears Case No. 1:10-CV-23790-JLK. The case was originally filed elsewhere, but was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida for coordinated pre-trial proceedings as part of a multi-district litigation ( MDL ) involving numerous defendant banks, In re Checking Account Overdraft Litigation, Case No. 09-MD-02036-JLK. Plaintiff challenges IBERIABANK s practices relating to the imposition of overdraft fees and non-sufficient fund fees on consumer checking accounts. Plaintiff alleges that IBERIABANK s methodology for posting transactions to customer accounts is designed to maximize the generation of overdraft fees and
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brings claims for breach of contract and of a covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unconscionability, conversion, unjust enrichment and violations of state unfair trade practices laws. Plaintiff seeks a range of remedies, including restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, punitive damages and attorneys fees.

The second of the two cases, Sachar v. IBERIABANK Corporation, Case No. 60CV2011-0770, was filed in Pulaski County, Arkansas Circuit Court on February 18, 2011. Plaintiff asserts that IBERIABANK Corporation engaged in the practice of re-sequencing customers accounts in high-to-low order by posting the largest transactions first and the smallest transactions last which is alleged to increase the number of overdraft fees. The complaint seeks damages for allegedly deceptive trade practices under Arkansas state law, for breach of contract, for unjust enrichment, for conversion, and for injunctive relief.

On May 12, 2011, the Company entered into a provisional settlement agreement with the legal counsel for the plaintiffs in the two putative class actions. The joint settlement amount of $\$ 2,500,000$ is predicated on the (1) the judge $s$ accepting this settlement as fair and (2) the judge s certifying a national class. All plaintiffs have consented to the settlement amount. A motion of approval of the settlement is pending before a federal judge in charge of the multi-district litigation in the Southern District of Florida. At June 30, 2011, the Company recorded a liability for the settlement amount and related expenses of $\$ 2,750,000$ in its unaudited consolidated balance sheet, with a corresponding amount recorded as noninterest expense in its consolidated statements of income for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011.

## Note 13 Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows the provisions of ASC Topic 820 when determining fair value. ASC Topic 820 clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to develop those assumptions and measure fair value. The hierarchy requires the Company to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.
A description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value follows, as well as the classification of such instruments within the valuation hierarchy.

## Securities available for sale

Securities are classified within Level 1 where quoted market prices are available in an active market. Inputs include securities that have quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. If quoted market prices are unavailable, fair value is estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, at which point the securities would be classified within Level 2 of the hierarchy. Examples may include certain collateralized mortgage and debt obligations. The Company s portfolio includes only one Level 3 security as of June 30, 2011. An other-than-temporary impairment was recorded on this security during the year ended December 31, 2010, and thus the security was recorded at management $s$ estimate of the security $s$ fair value based on the input assumptions discussed in detail below.

## Mortgage loans held for sale

As of June 30, 2011, the Company has $\$ 75,615,000$ of conforming mortgage loans held for sale. Mortgage loans originated and held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. The Company obtains quotes or bids on these loans directly from purchasing financial institutions. Typically these quotes include a premium on the sale and thus these quotes indicate the fair value of the held for sale loans is greater than cost. At June 30, 2011, the entire balance of $\$ 75,615,000$ is recorded at cost.

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-Q

## Impaired loans

Loans are measured for impairment using the methods permitted by ASC Topic 310. Fair value of impaired loans is measured by either the loans obtainable market price, if available (Level 1), the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent (Level 2), or the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loans effective interest rate (Level 3). Fair value of the collateral is determined by appraisals or independent valuation.

## Other real estate owned

As of June 30, 2011, the Company has $\$ 117,741,000$ in OREO and foreclosed property, which includes all real estate, other than bank premises used in bank operations, owned or controlled by the Company, including real estate acquired in settlement of loans. Properties are recorded at the balance of the loan or at estimated fair value less estimated selling costs, whichever is less, at the date acquired. Fair values of OREO at June 30, 2011 are determined by sales agreement or appraisal, and costs to sell are based on estimation per the terms
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and conditions of the sales agreement or amounts commonly used in real estate transactions. Inputs include appraisal values on the properties or recent sales activity for similar assets in the property s market, and thus OREO measured at fair value would be classified within Level 2 of the hierarchy. In accordance with the OREO treatment described, the Company included property write-downs of $\$ 2,866,000$ and $\$ 1,582,000$ in earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and $\$ 3,772,000$ and $\$ 1,907,000$ for the six-month periods then ended.

## Derivative financial instruments

The Company utilizes interest rate swap agreements to convert a portion of its variable-rate debt to a fixed rate (cash flow hedge). The Company also enters into commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the prospective loan is determined prior to funding ( rate lock commitments ). Rate lock commitments on mortgage loans that are intended to be sold are considered to be derivatives. Fair value of the interest rate swap and interest rate lock commitments are estimated using prices of financial instruments with similar characteristics, and thus the commitments are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company has segregated all financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis into the most appropriate level within the fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used to determine the fair value at the measurement date in the table below.

| (dollars in thousands) |  |  | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recurring Basis Description | June 30, 2011 |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable | Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | ificant ervable <br> puts <br> vel 3) |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available -for-sale securities | \$ | 1,937,169 | \$ 40,653 | \$ | 1,895,434 | \$ | 1,082 |
| Derivative instruments |  | 40,683 |  |  | 40,683 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 1,977,852 | \$ 40,653 | \$ | 1,936,117 | \$ | 1,082 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative instruments |  | 26,909 |  |  | 26,909 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 26,909 | \$ | \$ | 26,909 | \$ |  |

Between December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011, available for sale securities with a market value of $\$ 51,263,000$ at June 30, 2011 were transferred into the Level 2 fair value measurement category in the table above from the Level 1 category as disclosed at December 31, 2010. The four securities were issued by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac and were included in the Level 1 category at December 31, 2010 because their fair value was based on a trade price for the identical mortgage-backed security. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of these securities was based on a trade price for similar assets, namely similar mortgage-backed securities.

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings (or changes in net assets) for the first six months of 2011 related to assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are reported in noninterest income or other comprehensive income as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Noninterest income | Other comprehensive <br> income |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total gains (losses) included in earnings <br> (or changes in net assets) | $\$$ | 1,425 | $\$$ |  |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets <br> still held at June 30, 2011 | $\$$ | $\$$ | 11,589 |  |
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The Company has segregated all financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis into the most appropriate level within the fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used to determine the fair value at the measurement date in the table below.

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2011 |  | Fair Value Measurements Using |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonrecurring Basis |  |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable <br> Inputs |  | Significant <br> Unobservable |
|  |  |  |  | uts <br> el 2) | Inputs <br> (Level 3) |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ | 15,902 | \$ | \$ | 15,902 | \$ |
| OREO |  | 6,683 |  |  | 6,683 |  |
| Total | \$ | 22,585 | \$ | \$ | 22,585 | \$ |

The table above excludes assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis that were acquired as part of the OMNI, Cameron, and Florida Trust Company acquisitions completed in the current period that are discussed further in Note 4 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements. These assets and liabilities were recorded at their fair value upon acquisition in accordance with generally-accepted accounting principles and were not re-measured during the period subsequent to acquisition.

In accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310, the Company records loans considered impaired at their fair value. A loan is considered impaired if it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Fair value is measured at the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans. Impaired non-covered loans with an outstanding balance of $\$ 17,740,000$ were recorded at their fair value at June 30, 2011. These loans include a reserve of $\$ 1,838,000$ included in the Company sallowance for loan losses.

The Company did not record any liabilities at fair value for which measurement of the fair value was made on a nonrecurring basis during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

ASC Topic 825 provides the Company with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The fair value option established by this Statement permits the Company to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each reporting date subsequent to implementation. The Company has currently chosen not to elect the fair value option for any items that are not already required to be measured at fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and as such has not included any gains or losses in earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

## Note 14 Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of a financial instrument is the current amount that would be exchanged between willing parties, other than in a forced liquidation. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company s various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument. ASC Topic 825 excludes certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

## Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate their fair value.

## Investment securities
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Fair value equals quoted market prices in an active market. If quoted market prices are unavailable, fair value is estimated using pricing models or quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics.

## Loans

The fair value of non-covered mortgage loans receivable was estimated based on present values using entry-value rates at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, weighted for varying maturity dates. Other non-covered loans receivable were valued based on present values
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using entry-value interest rates at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 applicable to each category of loans. Fair values of mortgage loans held for sale are based on commitments on hand from investors or prevailing market prices. Covered loans are recorded in the consolidated financial statements at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820, exclusive of the shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. The fair value estimates associated with the loans include estimates related to expected prepayments and the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Accrued Interest Payable: The carrying amount of accrued interest approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these financial instruments.

FDIC Loss Share Receivable: The fair value is determined to be projected cash flows from loss sharing agreements based on expected reimbursements for losses at the applicable loss sharing percentages based on the terms of the loss share agreements. Cash flows are discounted to reflect the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursements from the FDIC.

## Deposits

The fair value of NOW accounts, money market deposits and savings accounts was the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. Certificates of deposit were valued using a weighted average rate calculated based upon rates at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

## Short-term borrowings

The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings maturing within ninety days approximate their fair values.

## Long-term debt

The fair values of long-term debt are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company s current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

## Derivative instruments

Fair values for interest rate swap agreements are based upon the amounts required to settle the contracts.

## Off-balance sheet items

The Company has outstanding commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These off-balance sheet financial instruments are generally exercisable at the market rate prevailing at the date the underlying transaction will be completed. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of guarantees under commercial and standby letters of credit was immaterial.

The estimated fair values and carrying amounts of the Company s financial instruments are as follows:

|  | June 30, 2011 <br> Carrying <br> Amount |  | Fair <br> Value | December 31, 2010 <br> Carrying <br> Amount | Fair <br> Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | $\$ 261,804$ | $\$ 261,804$ | $\$ 337,778$ | $\$ 337,778$ |  |
| Financial Assets | $2,215,361$ | $2,219,641$ | $2,019,814$ | $2,021,788$ |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $7,276,261$ | $7,424,182$ | $6,119,237$ | $6,362,961$ |  |
| Investment securities | 670,465 | 382,909 | 726,871 | 392,484 |  |
| Loans and loans held for sale | 40,683 | 40,683 | 37,320 | 37,320 |  |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 38,125 | 38,128 | 34,250 | 34,250 |  |
| Derivative instruments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest receivable | $\$ 9,073,522$ | $\$ 8,955,619$ | $\$ 7,915,106$ | $\$ 7,764,569$ |  |
| Financial Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Short-term borrowings | 215,778 | 215,778 | 220,328 | 220,328 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Long-term debt | 463,016 | 459,329 | 432,251 | 441,902 |
| Derivative instruments | 26,909 | 26,909 | 22,904 | 22,904 |
| Accrued interest payable | 7,880 | 7,880 | 8,583 | 8,583 |

The fair value estimates presented herein are based upon pertinent information available to management as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.
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Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
The following discussion and analysis is intended to assist readers in understanding the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of IBERIABANK Corporation (the Company ) and its wholly owned subsidiaries, IBERIABANK, Lenders Title Company ( LTC ), IBERIA Capital Partners, LLC ( ICP ), IB Aircraft Holdings, LLC, IBERIA Asset Management Inc. ( IAM ), and IBERIA CDE, LLC, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements, accompanying footnotes and supplemental financial data included herein.

The Company offers commercial and retail banking products and services to customers in locations in six states through IBERIABANK. The Company also operates mortgage production offices in 12 states through IBERIABANK s subsidiary, IBERIABANK Mortgage Company ( IMC ), and offers a full line of title insurance and closing services throughout Arkansas and Louisiana through LTC and its subsidiaries. ICP provides equity research, institutional sales and trading, and corporate finance services. IB Aircraft Holdings, LLC owns a fractional share of an aircraft used by management of the Company and its subsidiaries. IAM provides wealth management and trust services for commercial and private banking clients. CDE is utilized to purchase tax credits.

## EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

During 2010, the Company had solid growth in its balance sheet, both organically and through acquisitions, and continued growth in most core earnings drivers. The Company fortified its capital position, enhanced its liquidity, expanded its customer base, created a new noninterest income channel through its capital markets group, and expanded its wealth management business. In addition, the Company successfully integrated and converted its recent Florida acquisitions to IBERIABANK s processes and systems.

During the first six months of 2011, the Company continued to fortify its balance sheet and improve its overall capital position, as well as experiencing growth through acquisitions, the opening of new branch locations, and organic growth at many of the Company s existing branches.

The most significant activity affecting the Company s consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2011 and the results of operations for the three- and six-month periods then ended were the completion of three acquisitions during the second quarter:

OMNI BANCSHARES, Inc. ( OMNI ), the holding company of OMNI Bank. As part of the acquisition, IBERIABANK acquired 14 branches in the New Orleans, Louisiana, area, expanding the Company s presence in the largest MSA in Louisiana.

Cameron Bancshares, Inc. ( Cameron ), the holding company of Cameron State Bank, which added another 22 branches and 48 ATMs in the Lake Charles, Louisiana area in southwestern Louisiana.

Assets of Florida Trust Company ( FTC ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank of Florida Corporation. Florida Trust Company operated offices in Naples and Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. The asset acquisition expanded the trust and asset management division of IBERIABANK into Florida.
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A summary of the major categories of assets acquired and liabilities assumed (all recorded at fair value at the time of acquisition), as well as the intangible assets created, in the OMNI, Cameron, and FTC acquisitions, is shown in the following table.

| (dollars in thousands) | OMNI | Cameron | FTC | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assets | $\$ 54,683$ | $\$ 29,191$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | 83,874 |
| Cash | 91,019 | 223,685 |  | 314,704 |  |
| Investment securities | 467,196 | 411,203 |  | 878,399 |  |
| Loans | 21,916 | 710 | 22,626 |  |  |
| Other real estate owned | 829 | 5,178 | 6,007 |  |  |
| Core deposit intangible | 63,518 | 51,315 | 52 | 114,885 |  |
| Goodwill | 45,718 | 37,660 | 1,348 | 84,726 |  |
| Other assets |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{7 4 4 , 8 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 5 8 , 9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 5 0 5 , 2 2 1}$ |  |
| Total Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities | $\$ 506,427$ | $\$ 402,908$ |  | 909,335 |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 129,181 | 164,363 |  | 293,544 |  |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 58,364 | 49,002 |  | 107,366 |  |
| Borrowings | 4,874 | 2,124 |  | 6,998 |  |
| Other liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 6 9 8 , 8 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 1 8 , 3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 1 7 , 2 4 3}$ |  |

As part of the OMNI and Cameron acquisitions, the Company issued 3,083,229 shares of its common stock during the second quarter of 2011, resulting in additional equity of $\$ 181.1$ million. Other equity consideration included the issuance of 41,979 stock options for a fair value of $\$ 0.5$ million. Non-equity consideration included in the acquisitions totaled $\$ 9.4$ million, which included cash paid for fractional shares and change in control agreements. Of the $\$ 9.4$ million, $\$ 4.6$ million was paid in cash during the second quarter, with the difference recorded as an adjustment to either net assets acquired or in the financial statements of the Company at June 30, 2011. See Note 4 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements for additional information on the acquisitions.

In addition to the balance sheet growth from the acquisitions, the Company also experienced loan growth of $\$ 286.9$ million during the first six months of 2011 from its legacy branches.

The three acquisitions in 2011 also significantly impacted the Company s consolidated statements of income for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011. Beyond the additional interest income and expense recorded from OMNI and Cameron, the Company incurred $\$ 6.6$ million in noninterest expenses during the second quarter for acquisition-related activities, including marketing, occupancy, professional services, data processing, and conversion expenses that negatively impacted earnings for the period.

The Company s financial position and operating results are more fully discussed below.
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## Balance Sheet Position and Results of Operations

The Company s income available to common shareholders for the second quarter of 2011 totaled $\$ 5.2$ million, or $\$ 0.18$ per common share on a diluted basis, a $41.3 \%$ decrease compared to the $\$ 8.8$ million earned during the second quarter of 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company s income available to common shareholders decreased $\$ 2.0$ million, or $9.2 \%$, from the same period of 2010 . Earnings per share of $\$ 0.72$ for the six months of 2011 were $18.7 \%$ lower than for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

On a per share basis, earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased $44.5 \%$ from the $\$ 0.33$ per diluted share earned in the second quarter of 2010. The decrease in per share earnings was primarily attributable to two factors. First, income available to common shareholders was affected by the significant acquisition-related expenses of $\$ 6.6$ million incurred during the second quarter of 2011 . Additionally, diluted earnings per share were also affected by the dilutive impact of additional common shares issued by the Company during June 2011 related to the OMNI and Cameron acquisitions. The 3.1 million shares issued during the current period increased total common shares issued $11.0 \%$ on a period end basis and $3.9 \%$ on a quarter-to-date average basis.

Key components of the Company s 2011 financial condition and performance are summarized below.

Total assets at June 30,2011 were $\$ 11.5$ billion, up $\$ 1.4$ billion, or $14.2 \%$, from $\$ 10.0$ billion at December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily the result of the OMNI and Cameron acquisitions, which increased total assets $\$ 1.5$ billion. Excluding these acquisitions, total assets decreased $\$ 79.6$ million, primarily a result of an increase in the Company s allowance for loan losses to reserve for loan growth and a change in asset quality.

Total loans at June 30, 2011 were $\$ 7.2$ billion, an increase of $\$ 1.2$ billion, or $19.3 \%$, from $\$ 6.0$ billion at December 31, 2010. Of the $\$ 1.2$ billion in growth, $75.4 \%$ was a result of the loans acquired in the OMNI and Cameron acquisitions. The Company had organic loan growth (defined as total growth excluding loans at the acquired entities at the time of acquisition) of $\$ 286.9$ million, or $4.8 \%$, during the first six months of 2011. Because of the loss protection provided by the FDIC, the risks of the loans and foreclosed real estate acquired in the CapitalSouth Bank, Orion Bank, Century Bank, and Sterling Bank acquisitions, which are covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC, are significantly different from those assets not covered under loss share agreements. Accordingly, the Company presents loans subject to the loss share agreements as covered loans in the information below and loans that are not subject to the loss share agreement as non-covered loans. Organic loan growth during the first six months of 2011 was driven by an increase in non-covered loans. Total non-covered loans increased $\$ 407.0$ million, or $9.1 \%$, during 2011. Covered loans decreased $\$ 120.1$ million, or $7.6 \%$, from December 31, 2010, as loans were paid down or charged off and submitted for reimbursement.

Total customer deposits increased $\$ 1.2$ billion, or $14.6 \%$, from December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily the result of deposits acquired from OMNI and Cameron. By product type, the Company s noninterest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 443.8$ million, or $50.5 \%$, while interest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 714.6$ million, or $10.2 \%$. Interest-bearing deposit growth was driven by demand deposits, as time deposits decreased from December 31, 2010 excluding deposits acquired from OMNI and Cameron. Although deposit competition remained intense through the first six months of 2011, the Company continued to generate strong organic growth across its many deposit products. Organic deposit growth was driven by growth in the Company s Houston and Lafayette markets.

Shareholders equity increased $\$ 203.5$ million, or $15.6 \%$, to $\$ 1.5$ billion at June 30,2011 . The increase is the result of $\$ 181.1$ million in additional capital issued as part of the OMNI and Cameron acquisitions, net income of $\$ 19.8$ million, and other comprehensive income of $\$ 11.6$ million, offset partially by $\$ 19.4$ million in dividends paid on the Company s common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net interest income increased $\$ 5.8$ million, or $8.3 \%$, for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period of 2010, and $\$ 15.4$ million, or $11.0 \%$, for the six months ended June 30,2011 compared to the same six months of 2010 . This increase is largely attributable to a $\$ 9.9$ million decrease in interest expense for the quarter and $\$ 17.6$ million for the year as the Company continues to pay down its long-term debt using available funds. Net interest income was also positively affected by a $\$ 253.6$ million increase in quarterly average net earning assets, due primarily to the inclusion of OMNI and Cameron in the current three- and six-month periods. The
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Company s net interest margin ratio on a tax equivalent basis increased 23 basis points to $3.28 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from $3.05 \%$ at June 30,2010 due to changes in the volume and mix of the Company s assets and liabilities. Net interest margin was positively affected by a 47 basis point decrease in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

Noninterest income increased $0.9 \%$ during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010. The increase was primarily driven by $\$ 1.4$ million in gains on sales of investments and an increase of $\$ 1.0$ million in broker commissions. Offsetting the increases in gains on sales of investments and broker commissions was a decrease of $\$ 1.2$ million in gains on the sale of held-for-sale loans, primarily from IMC. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, noninterest income increased $\$ 0.2$ million, or $0.4 \%$,
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from the same period of 2010. The increase was a result of a $\$ 2.4$ million increase in broker commissions and a $\$ 1.0$ million increase in debit card fee income, offset partially by a $\$ 3.8$ million decrease in gains on the Company s acquisitions. The Company recorded no gains on the three acquisitions completed in 2011.

Noninterest expense increased $\$ 16.9$ million, or $22.3 \%$, for the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010 and $\$ 31.7$ million, or $22.2 \%$, for the six-month period. Acquisition-related expenses drove the increase over the prior year. Total acquisition-related expenses for the second quarter were $82.1 \%$ higher than the same period of 2010. The increase was also attributed to higher salary and employee benefit costs of $\$ 5.8$ million and $\$ 14.3$ million for the three- and six-month periods, as well as increased occupancy, equipment, and other branch expenses resulting from the Company s expanded footprint. In addition to personnel and other costs related to the expanding size of the Company, noninterest expenses were driven higher in 2011 by a $\$ 2.8$ million settlement of outstanding litigation.

The Company recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 10.0$ million during the second quarter of 2011, compared to a provision of $\$ 12.9$ million in the second quarter of 2010. The provision was primarily the result of loan growth and a decrease in asset quality from December 31, 2010, as the Company had net charge-offs of only $\$ 1.0$ million during the first six months of 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans was $2.36 \%$, compared to $2.26 \%$ at December 31, 2010 .

In June 2011, the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of $\$ 0.34$ per common share, consistent with all four quarterly dividends in 2010 and the first quarter of 2011.
The Company s focus is that of a high performing institution. Management believes that improvement in core earnings drives shareholder value and has adopted a mission statement that is designed to provide guidance for management, our associates and Board of Directors regarding the sense of purpose and direction of the Company. We are very shareholder and client focused, expect high performance from our associates, believe in a strong sense of community and strive to make the Company a great place to work.

During 2011, the Company experienced solid organic loan growth during the six months ended June 30, 2011, despite the challenges the entire industry continues to face. The Company believes it remains well positioned for future growth opportunities, as evidenced by its liquidity, core funding, and capitalization levels.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

## EARNING ASSETS

Interest income associated with earning assets is the Company s primary source of income. Earning assets are composed of interest or dividend-earning assets, including loans, securities, short-term investments and loans held for sale. Earning assets averaged $\$ 9.4$ billion during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, an increase of $\$ 81.6$ million, or $0.9 \%$, from June 30, 2010. The following discussion highlights the Company s major categories of earning assets.

## Loans and Leases

The loan portfolio increased $\$ 1.2$ billion, or $19.3 \%$, to $\$ 7.2$ billion at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 6.0$ billion at December 31, 2010. The increase was driven by non-covered loan growth of $\$ 1.3$ billion during the first six months of 2011. Excluding loans acquired during 2011, organic loan growth was $\$ 407.0$ million during $2011,9.1 \%$ higher than at the end of 2010 . Loan growth was tempered by a decrease in loans covered by loss share agreements of $\$ 120.1$ million, or $7.6 \%$.
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## Non-covered Loans

The following is a summary of the major categories of non-covered loans outstanding:

## NON-COVERED LOANS RECEIVABLE BY LOAN TYPE

| (dollars in thousands) <br> Non-covered Loans | June 30, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential mortgage loans: |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 312,022 | \$ | 355,164 |
| Construction/ Owner-occupied | 17,694 |  | 14,822 |
| Total residential mortgage loans | 329,716 |  | 369,986 |
| Commercial loans: |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 2,593,066 |  | 1,781,744 |
| Business | 1,604,259 |  | 1,341,352 |
| Total commercial loans | 4,197,325 |  | 3,123,096 |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |
| Indirect automobile | 247,103 |  | 255,322 |
| Home equity | 742,560 |  | 555,749 |
| Other | 221,265 |  | 148,432 |
| Total consumer loans | 1,210,928 |  | 959,503 |
| Total non-covered loans receivable | \$ 5,737,969 | \$ | 4,452,585 |

The carrying amount of the covered loans at June 30, 2011 consisted of loans accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 310-30 and loans not subject to ASC Topic 310-30 as detailed in the following table.

## COVERED LOANS RECEIVABLE BY LOAN TYPE

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { (dollars in thousands) } & \begin{array}{c}\text { ASC } \\
\mathbf{3 1 0 - 3 0} \\
\text { Loans }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Non- ASC } \\
\mathbf{3 1 0 - 3 0} \\
\text { Loans }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Covered } \\
\text { Loans }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Covered Loans \& \$ \& 48,069 \& \$ \& 186,248\end{array}\right) \$\)| 234,317 |
| :--- |
| Residential mortgage loans: |


| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indirect automobile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 49,594 |  | 213,959 |  | 263,553 |
| Other |  | 582 |  |  |  | 582 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 50,176 |  | 213,960 |  | 264,136 |
| Total covered loans receivable | \$ | 235,205 | \$ | 1,227,472 | \$ | 1,462,677 |

Commercial real estate and commercial business loans generally have shorter repayment periods and more frequent repricing opportunities than residential 1-4 family loans. Total commercial loans increased $\$ 1.0$ billion, or $24.0 \%$, during the first six months of 2011, with $\$ 1.1$ billion in non-covered IBERIABANK loan growth and a decrease in covered commercial loans of $\$ 75.6$ million, or $7.3 \%$. The Company s focus on growing its commercial loan portfolio continued in 2011 as commercial loans as a percentage of total loans increased to almost $72 \%$ of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2011.

The Company increased its investment in commercial real estate loans by $\$ 751.7$ million during the first six months of 2011 . Non-covered commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 811.3$ million, or $45.5 \%$, with the Lafayette, LA, Birmingham, AL, Memphis, TN, and Houston, TX markets experiencing the largest growth in their commercial loan portfolios. The Company s underwriting standards generally provide
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for loan terms of three to five years, with amortization schedules of generally no more than twenty years. Low loan-to-value ratios are maintained and usually limited to no more than $80 \%$. In addition, the Company obtains personal guarantees of the principals as additional security for most commercial real estate loans.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company s commercial business loans totaled $\$ 1.8$ billion, or $24.5 \%$ of the Company s total loan portfolio. This represents a $\$ 246.9$ million, or $16.3 \%$, increase from December 31, 2010. The Company originates commercial business loans on a secured and, to a lesser extent, unsecured basis. The Company s commercial business loans may be structured as term loans or revolving lines of credit. Term loans are generally structured with terms of no more than three to five years, with amortization schedules of generally no more than seven years. The Company s commercial business term loans are generally secured by equipment, machinery or other corporate assets. The Company also provides for revolving lines of credit generally structured as advances upon perfected security interests in accounts receivable and inventory. Revolving lines of credit generally have an annual maturity. The Company obtains personal guarantees of the principals as additional security for most commercial business loans.

On a market basis, growth in the non-covered portfolio was due primarily to IBERIABANK s newer markets, as the Mobile, Alabama market grew its loan portfolio $\$ 34.5$ million, or $43.9 \%$. IBERIABANK s Houston, Texas market increased its loan portfolio $76.2 \%$, or $\$ 152.9$ million, in 2011. In IBERIABANK s more mature markets, Baton Rouge, Louisiana commercial loan growth of $\$ 53.8$ million, or $21.6 \%$, and Lafayette, Louisiana s growth of $\$ 47.4$ million, or $17.9 \%$, also contributed to the overall commercial loan portfolio increase. Offsetting these increases was a decrease in the Northeast Arkansas markets, which were due primarily to loan payments.

## Mortgage Loans

Residential 1-4 family loans comprise most of the Company s mortgage loans. The vast majority of the Company s residential 1-4 family mortgage loan portfolio is secured by properties located in its market areas and originated under terms and documentation which permit their sale in the secondary market. Larger mortgage loans of private banking clients and prospects are generally retained to enhance relationships, and also due to the expected shorter durations and relatively lower servicing costs associated with loans of this size. The Company does not originate or hold high loan to value, negative amortization, option ARM, or other exotic mortgage loans in its portfolio.

The Company continues to sell the majority of conforming mortgage loan originations in the secondary market and recognize the associated fee income rather than assume the rate risk associated with these longer term assets. The Company also releases the servicing of these loans upon sale. Total residential mortgage loans decreased $\$ 67.3$ million, or $10.7 \%$, compared to December 31, 2010. Of the total mortgage loan decrease from December 31, 2010, $\$ 40.3$ million, or $59.8 \%$, was a result of a decrease in non-covered mortgage loans, as loans were paid down and new mortgage loan originations slowed.

## Consumer Loans

The Company offers consumer loans in order to provide a full range of retail financial services to its customers. The Company originates substantially all of such loans in its primary market areas. At June $30,2011, \$ 1.5$ billion, or $20.5 \%$, of the Company s total loan portfolio was comprised of consumer loans, compared to $\$ 1.2$ billion, or $20.6 \%$ at the end of 2010 . The $\$ 234.1$ million increase in total consumer loans compared to December 31, 2010 was driven by home equity loan growth of $\$ 171.3$ million and other personal consumer loan growth of $\$ 66.6$ million, both primarily a result of acquisitions, offset by a decrease in the Company s indirect automobile portfolio. Consumer loan growth in the Company s non-covered loan portfolio was impacted by the Company stightened underwriting standards, a response to a weakened national and regional economy.

Consistent with December 31, 2010, home equity loans comprised the largest component of the Company s consumer loan portfolio at June 30, 2011. The balance of home equity loans increased $20.5 \%$ from $\$ 834.8$ million at December 31, 2010 to $\$ 1.0$ billion at June 30, 2011. Non-covered IBERIABANK home equity loans increased $\$ 186.8$ million, or $33.6 \%$, during the first six months of 2011.

Indirect automobile loans comprised the second largest component of the Company s consumer loan portfolio. Independent automobile dealerships originate these loans and forward applications to Company personnel for approval or denial. The Company relies on the dealerships, in part, for loan qualifying information. To that extent, there is risk inherent in indirect automobile loans associated with fraud or negligence by the automobile dealership. To limit this risk, an emphasis is placed on established dealerships that have demonstrated reputable behavior, both within the communities we serve and through long-term relationships with the Company. The balance of indirect automobile loans decreased $\$ 8.2$ million during the first six months of 2011, from $\$ 255.3$ million at December 31, 2010 to $\$ 247.1$ million at June 30, 2011, as the Company retained its focus on prime or low risk paper. The indirect portfolio decreased to $3.4 \%$ of the total loan portfolio.
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The remainder of the consumer loan portfolio at June 30, 2011 was composed of direct automobile loans, credit card loans and other consumer loans, and comprised $3.1 \%$ of the overall loan portfolio. At June 30, 2011, the Company s direct automobile loans totaled $\$ 34.3$ million, a $\$ 3.1$ million increase over December 31, 2010. The Company s credit card loans totaled $\$ 45.5$ million, a $3.2 \%$ increase from December 31, 2010, and the Company s other personal consumer loans amounted to $\$ 142.1$ million, an $88.2 \%$ increase from December 31, 2010.
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## Mortgage Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale decreased $\$ 8.3$ million, or $9.9 \%$, to $\$ 75.6$ million at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 83.9$ million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in the balance during the first six months of 2011 was a result of slower originations during the first and second quarters of 2011. The Company originated $\$ 643$ million in mortgage loans during the first six months of 2011 . Originations were offset by sales of mortgage loans totaling $\$ 652$ million during the first six months of the year. The first six months of the year has traditionally been a slower period for the Company s mortgage origination business.

Loans held for sale have primarily been fixed rate single-family residential mortgage loans under contract to be sold in the secondary market. In most cases, loans in this category are sold within thirty days. Buyers generally have recourse to return a purchased loan to the Company under limited circumstances. Recourse conditions may include fraud in the origination, breach of representations or warranties, and documentation deficiencies. At June 30, 2011, the Company has $\$ 2.8$ million in loans that have recourse conditions for which a buyer has notified the Company of potential recourse action. The Company has recorded a reserve of $\$ 0.7$ million for the potential repurchase at June 30, 2011. During the first six months of 2011, an insignificant number of loans were returned to the Company.

## Asset Quality

Over time, the Company s loan portfolio has transitioned to be more representative of a commercial bank. Accordingly, there is the potential for a higher level of return for investors, but also the potential for higher charge-off and nonperforming levels. As a result, in previous years management has tightened underwriting guidelines and procedures, adopted more conservative loan charge-off and nonaccrual guidelines, rewritten the loan policy and developed an internal loan review function to address the changing risk of the Company s loan portfolio. As a result of management $s$ enhancements to underwriting risk/return dynamics within the loan portfolio over time, the credit quality of the Company s assets has remained strong. Despite declines in asset quality in portions of the Company $s$ total loan portfolio, management believes asset quality remains favorable when compared to its peers. Management also believes that historically it has recognized and disclosed significant problem loans quickly and taken prompt action in addressing material weaknesses in those credits. Deterioration in asset quality during 2011 was primarily attributable to a limited number of larger isolated credits and not a significant shift in overall portfolio quality, and this impact is described in the Covered Loans section below. Consistent with prior years, the Company s purchase and assumption of assets and liabilities of CSB, Orion, Century, and Sterling significantly impacted overall asset quality. Management seeks to recognize and disclose significant problem loans quickly and take prompt action to address material weaknesses in those credits. The Company will continue to closely monitor the risk-adjusted level of return within the loan portfolio.

Written underwriting standards established by the Board of Directors and management govern the lending activities of the Company. The commercial credit department, in conjunction with senior lending personnel, underwrites all commercial business and commercial real estate loans. The Company provides centralized underwriting of all residential mortgage, construction and consumer loans. Established loan origination procedures require appropriate documentation including financial data and credit reports. For loans secured by real property, the Company generally requires property appraisals, title insurance or a title opinion, hazard insurance and flood insurance, where appropriate.

Loan payment performance is monitored and late charges are assessed on past due accounts. A centralized department administers delinquent loans. Every effort is made to minimize any potential loss, including instituting legal proceedings, as necessary. Commercial loans of the Company are periodically reviewed through a loan review process. All other loans are also subject to loan review through a periodic sampling process.

The Company utilizes an asset risk classification system in compliance with guidelines established by the Federal Reserve Board as part of its efforts to monitor commercial asset quality. In connection with examinations of insured institutions, both federal and state examiners also have the authority to identify problem assets and, if appropriate, classify them. There are three classifications for problem assets: substandard, doubtful and loss. Substandard assets have one or more defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the insured institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Doubtful assets have the weaknesses of substandard assets with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full questionable and there is a high probability of loss based on currently existing facts, conditions and values. An asset classified as loss is not considered collectable and of such little value that continuance as an asset of the Company is not warranted. Commercial loans with adverse classifications are reviewed by the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors at least monthly. Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when they are 90 days or more past due, unless in the judgment of management, the probability of timely collection of interest is deemed to be sufficient to warrant further accrual. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, previously accrued but unpaid interest for the current year is deducted from interest income. Prior year interest is charged-off to the allowance for loan losses.

Real estate acquired by the Company as a result of foreclosure or by deed-in-lieu of foreclosure is classified as other real estate owned ( OREO ) until sold, and is carried at the balance of the loan at the time of acquisition or at estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell, whichever is
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less.

Under generally accepted accounting principles, the Company is required to account for certain loan modifications or restructurings as troubled debt restructurings. In general, the modification or restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring if the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that the Company would not otherwise consider under current market conditions. Debt restructurings or loan modifications for a borrower do not necessarily constitute troubled debt restructurings, however, and troubled debt restructurings do not necessarily result in nonaccrual loans.
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## Nonperforming Assets

The Company defines nonperforming assets as nonaccrual loans, accruing loans more than 90 days past due, and OREO and foreclosed property.

Due to the significant difference in the accounting for the covered loans and the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, the Company believes that asset quality measures excluding the covered loans are generally more meaningful. Purchased impaired loans with loss share agreements had evidence of deterioration in credit quality prior to acquisition, and thus the fair value of these loans as of the acquisition date included an estimate of credit losses. These covered loans, as well as acquired loans with no evidence of credit deterioration at acquisition, are accounted for on a pool basis, and these pools are considered to be performing. Purchased impaired loans with loss share agreements were not classified as nonperforming assets at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010, as the loans are considered to be performing under FASB ASC Topic 310-30. As a result, interest income, through the accretion of the difference between the carrying value of the loans and the expected cash flows, is being recognized on all purchased loans accounted for under FASB ASC Topic 310-30. Therefore, management has included asset quality measures that exclude covered loans in the table in this section.

Nonperforming assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements totaled $\$ 139.2$ million at June 30 , 2011, an increase of $\$ 69.8$ million, or $100.5 \%$, from December 31, 2010. The significant increase in total nonperforming assets was primarily the result of the acquired OMNI and Cameron portfolios during the second quarter of 2011. Purchased impaired loans from OMNI and Cameron added $\$ 37.7$ million in nonperforming loans and $\$ 22.6$ million in OREO at June 30, 2011. Excluding these assets, total nonperforming assets increased $\$ 9.4$ million, or $13.6 \%$, and were driven by an increase in nonperforming loans. The following table sets forth the composition of the Company s non-covered nonperforming assets, including accruing loans past due 90 or more days, as of the dates indicated.

## NONPERFORMING ASSETS AND TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans: |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 75,282 | \$ | 35,457 |
| Mortgage | 5,917 |  | 5,917 |
| Loans to individuals | 12,436 |  | 8,122 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 93,635 |  | 49,496 |
| Accruing loans 90 days or more past due | 2,700 |  | 1,455 |
| Total nonperforming loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | 96,335 |  | 50,951 |
| Foreclosed property | 42,891 |  | 18,496 |
| Total nonperforming assets ${ }^{(1)}$ | 139,226 |  | 69,447 |
| Troubled debt restructurings in compliance with modified terms ${ }^{(2)}$ | 31,230 |  | 14,968 |
| Total nonperforming assets and troubled debt restructurings ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 170,456 | \$ | 84,415 |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans ${ }^{(1)(3)}$ | 1.68\% |  | 1.14\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets ${ }^{(1)(3)}$ | 1.49\% |  | 0.91\% |
| Nonperforming assets and troubled debt restructurings to total assets ${ }^{(1)(3)}$ | 1.83\% |  | 1.10\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans ${ }^{(3)(4)}$ | 75.0\% |  | 122.59\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans ${ }^{(4)}$ | 1.26\% |  | 1.40\% |

(1) Nonperforming loans and assets include accruing loans 90 days or more past due.
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(2) Troubled debt restructurings in compliance with modified terms for June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 above do not include $\$ 29,266,000$ and $\$ 2,504,000$ in troubled debt restructurings included in total nonaccrual loans above.
(3) Total loans and total assets exclude loans and assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements discussed below.
(4) The allowance for loan losses excludes the portion of the allowance related to covered loans discussed below.

Nonperforming loans were $1.68 \%$ of total non-covered loans at June 30, 2011, 54 basis points higher than at December 31, 2010. If covered loans meeting nonperforming criteria are included, nonperforming loans would have been $10.5 \%$ of total loans before discounts (i.e., based on the contractual unpaid principal balance) at June 30, 2011, and $14.40 \%$ at December 31, 2010. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans was $75.0 \%$ at June 30, 2011 and $122.59 \%$ at December 31, 2010. Including covered assets, the
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allowance coverage of total loans before application of covered loan discounts would have been $2.19 \%$ at June 30, 2011 and $2.02 \%$ at December 31, 2010. The increase in nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans is also due to the addition of $\$ 37.7$ million in nonperforming loans from OMNI and Cameron. The decrease in allowance coverage of nonperforming loans is due to the inclusion of these acquired loans as well. Because these acquired impaired loans were recorded at fair value at acquisition, the loans are discounted, thus partially reducing the impact of future losses affecting the Company s results of operations.

In addition to the OMNI and Cameron loans, the increase in nonperforming assets from December 31, 2010 is also a result of additional nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2011, as these nonaccrual loans increased $\$ 6.9$ million, or $14.0 \%$. The increase can be attributable to the placement of troubled debt restructurings at December 31, 2010 on nonaccrual during 2011. The nonaccrual loan increase was primarily the result of six credits which totaled $\$ 13.7$ million at December 31, 2010. The six credits were put on nonaccrual status during the first quarter of 2011 based on their payment history. These credits have been reviewed for impairment and had specific reserves on their outstanding balance at June 30, 2011 to cover probable losses. The increase in nonaccrual loans was primarily from these isolated credits and did not reflect a significant decline in overall portfolio quality.

Nonperforming asset balances as a percentage of total assets have remained at a relatively low level. Total nonperforming assets were $1.49 \%$ of non-covered assets at June 30, 2011, 58 basis points above December 31, 2010. In response to both loan growth and a slight decline in asset quality during the first quarter of 2011, the Company increased its reserve for loan losses. Although the reserve coverage of total non-covered loans decreased to $1.26 \%$ at June 30, 2011, 14 basis points below December 31, 2010, total non-covered loans at June 30, 2011 include $\$ 74.5$ million of loans that were impaired at the time of acquisition, and an additional $\$ 804.0$ million in acquired loans from OMNI and Cameron, all of which were recorded at their fair value upon acquisition. Excluding these loans, the allowance for loan losses would have been $1.47 \%$ of total non-covered loans, an increase of seven basis points from December 31, 2010.

Loans defined as troubled debt restructurings ( TDRs ) not included in nonperforming assets increased to $\$ 31.2$ million at June 30, 2011 and consisted of acquired TDRs from OMNI and Cameron. Of the $\$ 31.2$ million, $\$ 31.1$ million, or $99.7 \%$, of total TDRs were acquired during the second quarter of 2011 . The remaining $\$ 0.1$ million was comprised of three legacy credits. Total TDRs not covered by loss share agreements totaled $\$ 60.5$ million at June 30, 2011, $\$ 43.0$ million, or $246.3 \%$, higher than December 31, 2010. $\$ 38.0$ million, or $88.3 \%$, of the total increase was a result of the acquired TDRs. Three credits totaling $\$ 6.0$ million accounted for the remaining increase in balance from year-end, offset by balance decreases and removals during the first six months of 2011.

Management continually monitors loans and transfers loans to nonaccrual status when warranted. The Company had gross chargeoffs of $\$ 6.0$ million during the first six months of 2011, $\$ 2.7$ million of which were charged off in the second quarter. Offsetting these chargeoffs were recoveries of $\$ 5.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. As a result, net charge-offs of $\$ 1.0$ million represented $0.03 \%$ of average loans during the six months ended June 30, 2011. Net chargeoffs were significantly affected by one large recovery during the first quarter of 2011. Net charge-offs on non-covered loans during the first six months of 2010 were $\$ 1.2$ million, or $0.05 \%$ of average loans.

At June 30, 2011, excluding loans covered by the FDIC loss share agreements, the Company had $\$ 153.8$ million of assets classified as substandard, $\$ 4.3$ million of assets classified as doubtful, and no assets classified as loss. At such date, the aggregate of the Company sclassified assets amounted to $1.39 \%$ of total assets, $2.20 \%$ of total loans, and $2.77 \%$ of non-covered loans. At December 31, 2010, the aggregate of the Company s classified assets, $\$ 105.8$ million, amounted to $1.05 \%$ of total assets, $1.75 \%$ of total loans, and $2.37 \%$ of non-covered loans. $\$ 50.1$ million, or $31.5 \%$, of total classified assets were added during the second quarter from the Company s OMNI and Cameron acquisitions. A reserve for loan losses has been recorded for all substandard loans at June 30, 2011 according to the Company sallowance policy.

In addition to the problem loans described above, excluding covered loans, there were $\$ 156.8$ million of loans classified special mention at June 30, 2011, which in management s opinion were subject to potential future rating downgrades. Special mention loans are defined as loans where known information about possible credit problems of the borrower cause management to have some doubt as to the ability of these borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms and which may result in future disclosure of these loans as nonperforming.
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## Past Due Loans

Past due status is based on the contractual terms of loans. At June 30, 2011, loans past due 30 days or more excluding covered loans were $2.16 \%$ of total loans (before the application of discounts on acquired OMNI and Cameron loans), an increase of 72 basis points from December 31, 2010. Including covered loans, loans past due 30 days or more would have been $11.58 \%$ of total loans before discount adjustments at June 30, 2011 and $14.54 \%$ at December 31, 2010. Past due loans are presented in the following table.

## PAST DUE NON-COVERED LOANS TO TOTAL NON-COVERED LOANS

|  | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IBERIABANK Corporation |  |  |
| (Excluding FDIC Covered Loans) | $0.54 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ |
| $30+$ days past due | $1.62 \%$ | $1.11 \%$ |
| Non-accrual loans | $\mathbf{2 . 1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 4 \%}$ |
| Total past due loans |  |  |

Past due non-covered loans (including nonaccrual loans) increased $\$ 60.8$ million, or $94.5 \%$, from December 31, 2010 and was the result of the OMNI and Cameron loans added during the quarter and the larger commercial nonaccrual credits mentioned previously. Accruing loans past due increased $\$ 16.6$ million, or $112.5 \%$, from December 31, 2010. $\$ 8.0$ million, or $48.0 \%$ of the total increase, was a result of the acquired impaired loans from OMNI and Cameron. The remaining $\$ 8.6$ million were past due loans from the Company s legacy portfolio. Of the $\$ 8.6$ million, $\$ 8.0$ million, or $91.5 \%$, were loans past due less than 90 days.

## Covered Loans

The loans and foreclosed real estate that were acquired in the CSB, Orion, Century, and Sterling acquisitions in 2009 and 2010 are covered by loss share agreements between the FDIC and IBERIABANK, which afford IBERIABANK significant loss protection. As a result of the loss protection provided by the FDIC, the risk of loss on the acquired loans and foreclosed real estate is significantly different from those assets not covered under the loss share agreements.

At their acquisition dates, covered assets were recorded at their fair value, which included an estimate of credit losses. The Company estimated the fair value of the total acquired loan portfolios by segregating the total portfolio into loan pools with similar characteristics, which included loan performance at the time of acquisition, loan type based on regulatory reporting guidelines, the nature of collateral, interest rate type, and loan payment type. Covered assets were segregated by pools with evidence of credit deterioration and pools considered to be performing at the time of acquisition. From these pools, the Company used certain loan information, including outstanding principal balance, weighted average maturity, weighted average term to re-price (if a variable rate loan), weighted average margin, and weighted average interest rate to estimate the expected cash flow for each loan pool. Each loan pool was then recorded at fair value based on the Company s estimate of cash flows expected to be collected on each loan pool sharing common risk characteristics.

Although covered loans are not included in the Company s nonperforming assets, in accordance with bank regulatory reporting standards, both acquired loans considered impaired at the time of acquisition and those performing at the time of acquisition that meet the Company s definition of a nonperforming loan at each balance sheet date are discussed below. Included in the discussion are all covered loans that are contractually past due based on the number of days past due. Certain measures of the asset quality of covered loans are discussed below. Loan balances are reported before consideration of applied loan discounts, as these discounts were recorded based on the estimated cash flow of the total loan pool and not on a specific loan basis. Because of the loss share agreements, balances discussed below are for general comparative purposes only and do not represent the Company s risk of loss on covered assets. Because these assets are covered by the loss share agreements with the FDIC, at least $80 \%$ of incurred losses are reimbursable from the FDIC.

Total covered loans past due at June 30, 2011 totaled $\$ 775.9$ million before discounts, a decrease of $\$ 140.6$ million, or $15.3 \%$, from December 31, 2010. Past due loans included $\$ 697.3$ million in loans that would otherwise meet the Company s definition of nonaccrual loans and $\$ 78.6$ million in accruing loans past due greater than 30 days. Of the $\$ 78.6$ million in accruing loans past due, $\$ 58.2$ million, or $74.1 \%$, were past due less than 90 days. The indemnification agreements on covered assets include a provision for recapture of a portion of interest if the interest is included in total losses on the covered asset.
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Of the $\$ 140.6$ million decrease in covered loans past due, loans past due 30 to 89 days decreased $\$ 39.8$ million, or $40.6 \%$, while nonperforming loans (defined as accruing loans greater than 90 days past due and nonaccrual loans) decreased $\$ 100.7$ million, or $12.3 \%$. The decrease in nonperforming loans was a result of a decrease of $\$ 31.3$ million, or $60.6 \%$, in accruing loans past due 90 or more days and a decrease of $\$ 69.4$ million, or $9.1 \%$, in nonaccrual loans. These decreases were a result primarily of chargeoffs of loan balances during the year and submission to the FDIC for loss reimbursement.

## Allowance for Loan Losses

The determination of the allowance for loan losses, which represents management s estimate of probable losses inherent in the Company s credit portfolio, involves a high degree of judgment and complexity. The Company establishes general reserves on the Company s loan portfolios described in detail below and specific reserves for estimated losses on delinquent and other problem loans when it is determined that losses are probable on such loans. Management s determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on various factors, including an evaluation of the portfolio, past loss experience, current economic conditions, the volume and type of lending conducted by the
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Company, composition of the portfolio, the amount of the Company sclassified assets, seasoning of the loan portfolio, the status of past due principal and interest payments, and other relevant factors. Changes in such estimates may have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

The foundation of the allowance for the Company s commercial segment is the credit risk rating of each relationship within the portfolio. The credit risk of each borrower is assessed, and a risk grade is assigned. The portfolios are further segmented by facility or collateral ratings. The dual risk grade for each loan is determined by the relationship manager and other approving officers and changed from time to time to reflect an ongoing assessment of the risk. Grades are reviewed on specific loans by senior management and as part of the Company s internal loan review process. The commercial loan loss allowance is determined for all pass-rated borrowers based upon the borrower risk rating, the expected default probabilities of each rating category, and the outstanding loan balances by risk grade. For borrowers that are rated special mention or below, the higher of the migration analysis or Company established minimum reserve percentages apply. In addition, consideration is given to historical loss experience by internal risk rating, current economic conditions, industry performance trends, geographic or borrower concentrations within each portfolio segment, the current business strategy and credit process, loan underwriting criteria, loan workout procedures, and other pertinent information.

Reserves are determined for each impaired commercial loan based on management s evaluation of the borrower s overall financial condition, resources, and payment record; the prospects for support from any financially responsible guarantors; and the realizable value of any collateral. Reserves are established for these loans based upon an estimate of probable losses for the individual loans deemed to be impaired. This estimate considers all available evidence including the present value of the expected future cash flows and the fair value of collateral less disposal costs. Loans for which impaired reserves are provided are excluded from the general reserve calculations described above to prevent duplicate reserves.

The allowance also consists of reserves for unimpaired loans that encompass qualitative economic factors and specific market risk components. The foundation for the general consumer allowance is a review of the loan portfolios and the performance of those portfolios. This review is accomplished by first segmenting the portfolio into homogenous pools. Residential mortgage loans, direct consumer loans, consumer home equity, indirect consumer loans, credit card, and the business banking portfolio each are considered separately. The historical performance of each of these pools is analyzed by examining the level of charge-offs over a specific period of time. The historical average charge-off level for each pool is updated at least quarterly.

In addition to this base analysis, the consumer portfolios are also analyzed for specific risks within each segment. The risk analysis considers the Company s current strategy for each segment, the maturity of each segment, expansion into new markets, the deployment of newly developed products and any other significant factors impacting that segment. Current regional and national economic factors are an important dimension of the assessment and impact each portfolio segment. The general economic factors are evaluated and adjusted quarterly, if necessary.

Loan portfolios tied to acquisitions made during the year are incorporated into the Company sallowance process. If the acquisition has an impact on the level of exposure to a particular segment, industry or geographic market, this increase in exposure is factored into the allowance determination process. Generally, acquisitions have higher levels of risk of loss based on differences in credit culture and portfolio management practices.

Acquired loans follow the reserve standard set in ASC Topic No. 310-30. At acquisition, the Company reviews each loan or loan pool to determine whether there is evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination and if it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the loan s contractual terms. The Company considers expected prepayments and estimates the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows for each loan meeting the criteria above, and determines the excess of the loan s scheduled contractual principal and contractual interest payments over all cash flows expected at acquisition as an amount that should not be accreted (nonaccretable difference). The remaining amount, representing the excess of the loan s or pool s cash flows expected to be collected over the book value of the loan, is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan or pool (accretable yield). The Company records a discount on these loans at acquisition to record them at the present value of their estimated realizable cash flow. As a result, acquired loans subject to ASC Topic No. 310-30 are excluded from the calculation of loan loss reserves at the acquisition date.

Loans acquired in 2010 and 2011 (the CSB, Orion, Century, Sterling, OMNI, and Cameron acquisitions) were recorded at their acquisition date fair value, which was based on expected cash flows and included an estimation of expected future loan losses. Under current accounting principles, information regarding the Company s estimate of loan fair values may be adjusted for a period of up to one year as the Company continues to refine its estimate of expected future cash flows in the acquired portfolio. Within a one-year period, if the Company discovers that it has materially underestimated the loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the acquisition date, it will retroactively reduce or eliminate the gain recorded on the acquisition. If the Company determines that losses arose after the acquisition date, the additional losses are reflected as a provision for loan losses. Because acquired impaired loans follow the reserve standard set in ASC Topic No. 310-30, and acquired performing loans follow the same standard by analogy, the Company estimates the current amount and timing of expected principal, interest, and other cash
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flows for each loan or loan pool and compares the total expected cash flow of the loan or loan pools to the book value of the loan pools. If the expected cash flow is below the recorded book value, the Company records an allowance on the loan pool through an adjustment to its provision for loan losses and the FDIC loss share receivable. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded an allowance for loan losses of $\$ 97.7$ million to reserve for the portion of probable losses arising in the covered loan portfolio after the respective acquisition dates. Because the Company has addressed deterioration in the covered loan portfolio on a pool basis, the Company has recorded an allowance for the full amount of expected losses in loan pools identified as having evidence of additional deterioration arising after acquisition. For loan pools that have exhibited an improvement in asset quality since acquisition, the Company will accrete the improvement in cash flows into income over the life of the loan pool.
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Based on facts and circumstances available, management of the Company believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at June 30, 2011 to cover probable losses in the Company s loan portfolio. However, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary, and the Company s results of operations could be adversely affected, if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used by management in determining the allowance for loan losses.

The following tables set forth the activity in the Company sallowance for loan losses during the periods indicated.

## SUMMARY OF ACTIVITY IN THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

| (dollars in thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 136,100 | \$ | 55,768 |
| Provision charged (reversed) to operations |  | 15,461 |  | 26,100 |
| Provision recorded through the FDIC loss share receivable |  | 26,706 |  | 25,337 |
| Transfer of covered loan balance to OREO |  | $(7,325)$ |  |  |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural |  | $(1,521)$ |  | $(9,445)$ |
| Mortgage |  | (140) |  | (326) |
| Loans to individuals |  | $(4,301)$ |  | $(4,825)$ |
| Total charge-offs |  | $(5,962)$ |  | $(14,596)$ |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural |  | 3,493 |  | 2,298 |
| Mortgage |  | 149 |  | 59 |
| Loans to individuals |  | 1,366 |  | 1,034 |
| Total recoveries |  | 5,008 |  | 3,391 |
| Net charge-offs |  | 954 |  | 11,205 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 169,988 | \$ | 96,000 |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming assets ${ }^{(1)}$ (2) |  | 51.9\% |  | 87.0\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of period ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 1.26\% |  | 1.52\% |
| Net charge-offs (recoveries) to average loans |  | 0.05\% |  | 0.44\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ Nonperforming assets include accruing loans 90 days or more past due.
(2) The allowance for loan losses in the calculation does not include the allowance allocated to covered assets.

## Table of Contents

| (dollars in thousands) | Covered <br> Loans | June 30, 2011 <br> Non-covered loans |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 73,640 | \$ | 62,460 | \$ 136,100 |
| Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements | 31,115 |  | 11,052 | 42,167 |
| Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements | $(26,706)$ |  |  | $(26,706)$ |
| Net provision for loan losses | 4,409 |  | 11,052 | 15,461 |
| Transfer of balance to OREO | $(7,325)$ |  |  | $(7,325)$ |
| Increase in FDIC loss share receivable | 26,706 |  |  | 26,706 |
| Loans charged-off | (225) |  | $(5,737)$ | $(5,962)$ |
| Recoveries | 510 |  | 4,498 | 5,008 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 97,715 | \$ | 72,273 | \$ 169,988 |
| (dollars in thousands) | Covered <br> Loans |  | 30, 2010 -covered loans | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 145 | \$ | 55,623 | \$ 55,768 |
| Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements | 32,696 |  | 18,741 | 51,437 |
| Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements | $(25,337)$ |  |  | $(25,337)$ |
| Net provision for loan losses | 7,359 |  | 18,741 | 26,100 |
| Increase in FDIC loss share receivable | 25,337 |  |  | 25,337 |
| Loans charged-off | $(1,094)$ |  | $(13,502)$ | $(14,596)$ |
| Recoveries | 11 |  | 3,380 | 3,391 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 31,758 | \$ | 64,242 | \$ 96,000 |

The allowance for loan losses totaled $\$ 170.0$ million at June 30, 2011, or $2.36 \%$ of total loans, at June 30, 2011, $\$ 33.9$ million higher than at December 31, 2010. The allowance as a percentage of loans was 10 basis points above the $2.26 \%$ at December 31, 2010.

The increase in the allowance was primarily related to increased reserves on the covered loan portfolio based on the Company s estimate of expected cash flows from these portfolios at June 30, 2011. The allowance for loan losses on covered loans increased $\$ 24.1$ million from December 31, 2010, or $71.0 \%$ of the total increase over December 31, 2010. Expected cash flows on certain of the Company s acquired loan pools decreased during the first six months of 2011, and thus a reserve was established to cover additional expected losses in these portfolios. The total increase in the allowance for covered loans based on these cash flows was recorded as a $\$ 4.4$ million provision for loan losses in the Company s consolidated statement of income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and a $\$ 26.7$ million increase in the Company s FDIC loss share receivable.

The allowance for loan losses on the non-covered portion of the Company s loan portfolio increased due to a decline in asset quality and additional specific reserves on commercial credits at IBERIABANK. Excluding net charge-off activity, the Company recorded a provision of $\$ 9.8$ million to reserve for loan growth and changes in asset quality during the year to address the increased risk of loss inherent in the Company s legacy loan portfolio at June 30, 2011.

Because of the increase in the allowance during the first six months of 2011, the allowance for loan losses covers $75.0 \%$ of nonperforming loans. The allowance for loan losses on non-covered loans covers total past due loans $57.8 \%$ at June 30,2011 , a decrease compared to the December 31, 2010 coverage of $97.2 \%$. The decrease is primarily attributable to the acquired loans from OMNI and Cameron impaired at the time of acquisition (which were discounted on the acquisition date).
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## FDIC Loss Share Receivable

As part of the three FDIC-assisted acquisitions during 2009 and the Sterling acquisition during 2010, the Company recorded a $\$ 1.1$ billion receivable from the FDIC, which represents the fair value of the expected reimbursable losses covered by the loss share agreements. The FDIC loss share receivable decreased $\$ 56.4$ million, or $7.8 \%$, during the first six months of 2011 as the Company moved current expected reimbursements resulting from loan charge-offs to the receivable due from the FDIC, included in other assets discussed below. Offsetting the decreases due to reimbursements was a $\$ 26.7$ million increase due to additional estimated losses on certain covered loan pools during the first six months of 2011.

The following table sets forth the activity in the FDIC loss share receivable asset for the periods indicated.

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 726,871 | \$ 1,034,734 |
| Increase due to loan loss provision recorded on FDIC covered loans | 26,706 | 25,337 |
| (Amortization) Accretion | $(40,228)$ | 621 |
| Submission of reimbursable losses to the FDIC | $(48,916)$ | $(237,848)$ |
| Change due to a decrease in cash flow assumptions on OREO | 8,526 |  |
| Other | $(2,994)$ | 14 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 670,465 | \$ 822,858 |
| Investment Securities |  |  |

The following table shows the carrying values of securities by category as of the dates indicated.

## CARRYING VALUE OF SECURITIES

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 36,118 | 2\% | \$ | \% |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations | 523,915 | 24 | 422,800 | 21 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 145,630 | 7 | 40,169 | 2 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 1,228,039 | 55 | 1,263,869 | 63 |
| Other securities | 3,467 |  | 2,956 |  |
| Total securities available for sale | 1,937,169 | 88 | 1,729,794 | 86 |
| Securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations | 180,325 | 8 | 180,479 | 9 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 67,324 | 3 | 75,768 | 4 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 30,543 | 1 | 33,773 | 1 |
| Total securities held to maturity | 278,192 | 12 | 290,020 | 14 |
| Total securities | \$ 2,215,361 | 100\% | \$ 2,019,814 | 100\% |

All of the Company s mortgage-backed securities are agency securities. The Company does not hold any Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac preferred stock, corporate equity, collateralized debt obligations, collateralized loan obligations, structured investment vehicles, private label collateralized mortgage obligations, sub-prime, Alt-A, or second lien elements in its investment portfolio.
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Investment securities increased by $\$ 195.5$ million, or $9.7 \%$, to $\$ 2.2$ billion at June 30, 2011. The increase was due to the acquisition of $\$ 314.7$ million in securities from OMNI and Cameron during the second quarter of 2011. Partially offsetting the increase from the acquisitions were maturities and calls of both available for sale and held to maturity investments during the first six months of 2011.

The following table summarizes activity in the Company s investment securities portfolio during the first six months of 2011. There were no transfers of securities between investment categories during the year.

| (dollars in thousands) | Available for Sale | Held to Maturity |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$$ | $1,729,794$ | $\$$ |
| Acquisitions | 313,729 | 290,020 |  |
| Purchases | 130,559 | 978 |  |
| Sales, net of gains | $(18,990)$ | 7,203 |  |
| Principal maturities, prepayments and calls, net of gains | $(228,042)$ | $(19,514)$ |  |
| Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts | $(8,351)$ | $(495)$ |  |
| Increase (Decrease) in market value | 18,470 |  |  |
| Balance, end of period |  |  |  |

As a result of the Company s analysis, no declines in the market value of the Company s investment securities are deemed to be other-than-temporary at June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011, the Company s investment portfolio did not contain any securities that are directly backed by subprime or Alt-A mortgages.

Funds generated as a result of sales and prepayments are used to fund loan growth and purchase other securities. The Company continues to monitor market conditions and take advantage of market opportunities with appropriate rate and risk return elements. Note 5 of the unaudited consolidated financial statements provides further information on the Company s investment securities.

## Short-term Investments

Short-term investments result from excess funds that fluctuate daily depending on the funding needs of the Company and are currently invested overnight in interest-bearing deposit accounts at the FHLB of Dallas and Atlanta, the total balance of which earns interest at the current FHLB discount rate.

The balance in interest-bearing deposits at other institutions decreased $\$ 174.4$ million, or $71.8 \%$, from $\$ 242.8$ million at December 31, 2010 to $\$ 68.4$ million at June 30, 2011. The Company has deployed these deposits to fund loan growth and pay down its long-term debt, all in an attempt to improve the average rate earned on interest-earning assets. The decrease in the Company s deposits is consistent with a decrease in customer deposits lost from the deposits acquired in the Florida acquisitions. The Company scash activity is further discussed in the Liquidity section below.
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## Other Assets

The following table details the changes in other asset balances at the dates indicated.

## OTHER ASSETS COMPOSITION

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 20111, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | Amount |  | Increase/ <br> (Decrease) <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Earning Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB and FRB stock | \$ | 64,034 | \$ | 57,280 | \$ | 6,754 | 11.8\% |
| Fed funds sold |  | 60 |  | 9,038 |  | $(8,978)$ | (99.3) |
| Other interest-bearing assets ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 3,412 |  | 3,358 |  | 54 | 1.6 |
| Total earning assets |  | 67,506 |  | 69,676 |  | $(2,170)$ | (3.1) |
| Other Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premises and equipment |  | 275,502 |  | 208,403 |  | 67,099 | 32.2 |
| Bank-owned life insurance |  | 91,084 |  | 72,536 |  | 18,548 | 25.6 |
| Goodwill |  | 349,113 |  | 234,228 |  | 114,885 | 49.0 |
| Core deposit intangibles |  | 26,654 |  | 22,975 |  | 3,679 | 16.0 |
| Title plant and other intangible assets |  | 8,047 |  | 6,722 |  | 1,325 | 19.7 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 38,125 |  | 34,250 |  | 3,875 | 11.3 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 117,741 |  | 69,218 |  | 48,523 | 70.1 |
| Derivative market value |  | 40,683 |  | 37,320 |  | 3,363 | 9.0 |
| Receivable due from (to) the FDIC |  | $(28,973)$ |  | 42,494 |  | $(71,467)$ | (168.2) |
| Investment in new market tax credit entities |  | 120,175 |  | 112,296 |  | 7,879 | 7.0 |
| Other |  | 92,860 |  | 49,049 |  | 43,811 | 89.3 |
| Total |  | ,198,517 | \$ | 959,167 |  | 239,350 | 25.0\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ Other interest-bearing assets are composed primarily of trust preferred common securities.
The $\$ 6.8$ million increase in FHLB and FRB stock is the result of $\$ 12.1$ million in additional stock purchases and $\$ 4.9$ million in stock acquired from OMNI and Cameron, offset by $\$ 10.3$ million in repurchases of stock during the first six months of 2011. The repurchases are mandatory for eligible stock based on FHLB regulations.

Fed funds sold decreased $\$ 9.0$ million since December 31, 2010. Fed funds sold represent short-term excess liquidity, and the balance varies based on the daily requirements of short-term liquidity needed by the Company and its subsidiaries for loan growth and other operating activities.

The minimal increase in other interest-bearing assets is a result of $\$ 0.5$ million in securities acquired from Omni, offset by the repayment of a portion of the Company s trust preferred securities during the first six months of 2011.

The $\$ 67.1$ million increase in premises and equipment in the first six months of 2011 was a result of acquired branches, property, and equipment of $\$ 49.3$ million from OMNI, Cameron, and Sterling, as well as additional capitalized expenditures at the Company s branches during the first and second quarters of 2011. The investment in additional branch property is part of the Company s growth strategy and expansion into new markets.

The $\$ 18.5$ million increase in the Company s bank-owned life insurance balance was a result of policies acquired from OMNI and Cameron during the second quarter of 2011. The Company acquired $\$ 17.1$ million in policies from these acquisitions, with the remaining increase from earnings on policies during the first six months of 2011.
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The $\$ 114.9$ million increase in goodwill and the $\$ 1.3$ million increase in other intangible assets were a result of the acquisitions of OMNI, Cameron and certain assets of Florida Trust Company during the second quarter of 2011. See Note 4 to these unaudited consolidated financial statements for additional information on these intangible assets.

The $\$ 3.7$ million increase in core deposit intangibles was due to $\$ 6.0$ million in intangibles acquired from OMNI and Cameron, offset by amortization expense of $\$ 2.4$ million during the first six months of 2011.

The $\$ 3.9$ million increase in accrued interest receivable from December 31, 2010 is attributable to an increase in earning assets during the first six months of 2011, offset partially by the timing of interest payments during the year.

Other real estate includes all real estate, other than bank premises used in bank operations, that is owned or controlled by the Company, including real estate acquired in settlement of loans and former bank premises no longer used. The $\$ 48.5$ million increase in the Company s OREO balance from December 31, 2010 was a result of $\$ 22.6$ million in OREO acquired from OMNI and Cameron, as well as the foreclosures of numerous OREO properties during the first six months of 2011 . Most of the foreclosed properties were covered by loss share agreements. Covered OREO properties increased $\$ 24.1$ million, or $47.6 \%$, during 2011. Non-covered OREO increased $\$ 1.8$ million, or $9.6 \%$, excluding acquired OREO, and was a result of two former bank properties moved to OREO during the second quarter.
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The $\$ 3.4$ million increase in the market value of the Company s derivatives was primarily attributable to additional customer derivative and equity-indexed CD derivative product agreements during the first six months of 2011, as fair value adjustments on existing customer agreements decreased slightly during the first six months of 2011.

The balance due to the Company from the FDIC in accordance with the loss share agreements decreased $\$ 71.5$ million during the first six months of 2011. The decrease in the balance was a result of the repayment from the FDIC of losses submitted at December 31, 2010. The Company s submission of losses in the first and second quarters has slowed some as many loan pools have shown improvement in cash flows. The balance due from the FDIC includes the reimbursable portion of incurred losses and reimbursable expenses.

The $\$ 7.9$ million increase in the Company s investments in new market tax credits is a result of an additional investment in tax credit entities during the second quarter of 2011, offset partially by the amortization of the tax credits as they are recognized in the Company s income tax provision calculation.

The $\$ 43.8$ million increase in other assets since December 31, 2010 was primarily the result of two events. First, the Company acquired assets in the OMNI and Cameron acquisitions, including income taxes receivable and numerous prepaid assets. In addition, the Company s current income tax receivable increased by $\$ 30.1$ million during 2011 as a result of estimated tax payments made to its various income tax jurisdictions during the first six months of 2011.

## FUNDING SOURCES

Deposits obtained from clients in its primary market areas are the Company s principal source of funds for use in lending and other business purposes. The Company attracts local deposit accounts by offering a wide variety of accounts, competitive interest rates and convenient branch office locations and service hours. Increasing core deposits through acquisitions and the development of client relationships is a continuing focus of the Company. Borrowings have become an increasingly important funding source as the Company has grown. Other funding sources include short-term and long-term borrowings, subordinated debt and shareholders equity. The following discussion highlights the major changes in the mix of deposits and other funding sources during the first six months of 2011.

## Deposits

During the first six months of 2011, deposits increased $\$ 1.2$ billion, or $14.6 \%$, totaling $\$ 9.1$ billion at June 30 , 2011, as total interest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 714.6$ million, or $10.2 \%$, and noninterest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 443.8$ million, or $50.5 \%$, from December 31, 2010. Deposits acquired from OMNI and Cameron accounted for all of the growth, as deposits decreased $\$ 44.5$ million, or $3.8 \%$, excluding the acquired deposits. The majority of the decrease is attributable to deposit runoff in Florida, as higher-priced certificates of deposits matured and were not renewed due to continued rate reductions.

From a product perspective, noninterest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 443.8$ million, or $50.5 \%$, with OMNI and Cameron acquired deposits accounting for $66.1 \%$ of the increase. The Company also acquired $\$ 909.3$ million in interest-bearing deposits from OMNI and Cameron. The increase in interest-bearing deposits was driven by double-digit growth in demand deposits, but was offset partially by a $\$ 16.1$ million decrease in total certificates of deposit. The majority of the decrease can be traced to the Company s Florida market, where higher-priced certificates of deposit acquired from Orion, Century, and Sterling matured and were not renewed.

The following tables set forth the composition of the Company s deposits at the dates indicated.

## DEPOSIT COMPOSITION
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From a market perspective, organic deposit growth was seen primarily in IBERIABANK s newer Houston, Texas and Mobile, Alabama markets, as well as the Lafayette and Baton Rouge, Louisiana markets. Houston experienced growth of $\$ 55.9$ million, $43.2 \%$ growth from December 31, 2010 deposit levels. Mobile s total deposits increased $\$ 22.8$ million, or $25.0 \%$. The Lafayette, Louisiana market contributed deposit growth of $\$ 65.6$ million, or $5.3 \%$. Market growth was offset by deposit runoff in all seven of the Company s Florida markets, with the largest decreases in the Sarasota ( $\$ 88.2$ million, or $15.0 \%$ ), Southeast Florida ( $\$ 66.9$ million, or $11.3 \%$ ), and Bradenton ( $\$ 28.6$ million, or $12.2 \%$ ) markets.
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## Short-term Borrowings

The Company may obtain advances from the FHLB of Dallas based upon the common stock it owns in the FHLB of Dallas and certain of its real estate loans and investment securities, provided certain standards related to the Company s creditworthiness have been met. These advances are made pursuant to several credit programs, each of which has its own interest rate and range of maturities. The level of short-term borrowings can fluctuate significantly on a daily basis depending on funding needs and the source of funds chosen to satisfy those needs.

During the first six months of 2011, the Company continued to pay down its short-term debt using available funds in order to reduce future interest expense. Total short-term borrowings decreased $\$ 4.6$ million, or $2.1 \%$, to $\$ 215.8$ million at June 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 220.3$ million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in borrowings was a result of a decrease in the Company s securities sold under agreements to repurchase, offset by a $\$ 10.0$ million increase in short-term FHLB advances outstanding at June 30, 2011. Total short-term debt was $2.2 \%$ of total liabilities and $31.8 \%$ of total borrowings at June 30, 2011, which compares favorably to $2.5 \%$ and $33.8 \%$, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

On an average basis, short-term borrowings during the second quarter of 2011 were $\$ 222.5$ million, compared to $\$ 236.4$ million at December 31, 2010. The decrease can be attributed to a decrease in the Company s securities sold under agreements to repurchase, offset by a small increase in short-term FHLB advances during the second quarter of 2011. The weighted average rates paid on short-term borrowings were $0.25 \%$ and $0.40 \%$ for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $0.24 \%$ and $0.39 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

## Long-term Debt

The Company s long-term borrowings increased $\$ 30.8$ million, or $7.1 \%$, to $\$ 463.0$ million at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 432.3$ million at December 31, 2010. The increase in borrowings from December 31, 2010 is a result of the debt assumed from OMNI and Cameron, which included $\$ 107.3$ million in FHLB advances, $\$ 15.5$ million in junior subordinated debentures (trust preferred securities), and $\$ 8.1$ million in additional notes payable. Offsetting the additions from acquired debt were repayments of maturing long-term FHLB advances, trust preferred securities, and the acquired notes during 2011.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company repaid $\$ 7.6$ million of trust preferred securities acquired in 2007. The Company paid $\$ 6.8$ million to repay the advances, incurring $\$ 0.3$ million in prepayment penalties that are included in the Company s statement of operation for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Because the Company prepaid the debt, the fair value discount recorded on the acquired debt was also written off, resulting in a reduction of interest expense of $\$ 1.0$ million during the six months ended June 30,2011 . The redemption of the debt in the first quarter will save an additional $\$ 0.3$ million in interest expense over the final six months of 2011.

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company also redeemed $\$ 7.5$ million of trust preferred securities that were also acquired in 2007. The Company paid $\$ 8.5$ million to repay the advances and accrued interest, incurring $\$ 0.4$ million in prepayment penalties that are included in the Company s statements of operation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The redemption of the debt in the second quarter will save $\$ 0.4$ million in interest expense over the final six months of 2011.

Also during the second quarter of 2011, the Company paid $\$ 8.3$ million in cash to repay an outstanding note payable and accrued interest assumed from OMNI.

Despite the acquired debt, on average, the Company s long-term debt decreased to $\$ 419.8$ million for the first six months of 2011. Average long-term debt for the second quarter was $4.7 \%$ of total liabilities at June 30, 2011, lower than the quarterly average at the end of 2010 of $4.8 \%$. On a period-end basis, long-term debt was $4.7 \%$ of total liabilities at June 30, 2011, a decrease from the $5.0 \%$ at December 31, 2010.

The Company s long-term borrowings at June 30, 2011 included $\$ 297.7$ million in fixed-rate advances from the FHLB of Dallas and Atlanta which cannot be paid off without incurring substantial prepayment penalties. The Company s remaining debt consists of $\$ 111.9$ million of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company and $\$ 53.4$ million in notes payable on investments in the Company s new market tax credit entities. The debentures are issued to statutory trusts that were funded by the issuance of floating rate capital securities of the trusts and qualify as Tier 1 Capital for regulatory purposes. Interest is payable quarterly and may be deferred at any time at the election of the Company for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods. During any deferral period, the Company is subject to certain restrictions, including being prohibited from declaring dividends to its common shareholders. During the first six months of 2011, the Company did not issue additional trust preferred securities. The securities are redeemable by the Company in whole or in part after five years, or earlier under certain circumstances.
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## SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Shareholders equity provides a source of permanent funding, allows for future growth and provides the Company with a cushion to withstand unforeseen adverse developments. At June 30, 2011, shareholders equity totaled $\$ 1.5$ billion, an increase of $\$ 203.5$ million, or $15.6 \%$, compared to $\$ 1.3$ billion at December 31, 2010. The following table details the changes in shareholders equity during the first six months of 2011.

## CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| (dollars in thousands) | Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 1,303,457$ |
| Net income | 19,833 |
| Common stock issued | 181,140 |
| Reissuance of treasury stock under management incentive plans, net of shares | 5,695 |
| surrendered | $(19,448)$ |
| Cash dividends declared- common stock | 11,589 |
| Increase in other comprehensive income | 4,722 |
| Share-based compensation cost |  |

## Balance, end of period

## \$ 1,506,988

In addition to total comprehensive income earned during 2011 of $\$ 31.4$ million, the Company issued 3,083,229 shares of the Company s common stock on May 31, 2011 to acquire all of the outstanding common stock of the former OMNI and Cameron shareholders. The shares were issued at a price of $\$ 58.75$, resulting in additional total equity of $\$ 181.1$ million.

Offsetting the significant additions to equity noted above were dividend payments to common shareholders of $\$ 19.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company paid dividends of $\$ 0.34$ per common share in each of the first two quarters of 2011, resulting in a payout to shareholders of over $98 \%$ of net income earned in the period.

Capital adequacy is further exemplified by the Company s improvement in its equity to assets ratio. The ratio of $13.16 \%$ at June 30 , 2011 is 16 basis points above December 31, 2010.

In April 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a share repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to 300,000 shares of the Company s outstanding common stock, or approximately $1.0 \%$ of total shares outstanding. In August of 2011, the Company announced the Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of up to 900,000 additional shares, or $3.0 \%$ of the outstanding common stock shares at June 30 , 2011. Stock repurchases may be made from time to time, on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, at the discretion of the management of the Company. The timing of these repurchases will depend on market conditions and other requirements. Consistent with prior share repurchase programs, the Company currently anticipates the share repurchase program will extend over a one-year time frame, or earlier if the shares have been repurchased. The share repurchase program does not obligate the Company to repurchase any dollar amount or number of shares, and the program may be extended, modified, suspended, or discontinued at any time.

Stock repurchases generally are affected through open market purchases, and may be made through unsolicited negotiated transactions. During the first six months of 2011, the Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock on the open market.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES

Federal regulations impose minimum regulatory capital requirements on all institutions with deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Federal Reserve Board ( FRB ) imposes similar capital regulations on bank holding companies. Compliance with bank and bank holding company regulatory capital requirements, which include leverage and risk-based capital guidelines, are monitored by the Company on an ongoing basis. Under the risk-based capital method, a risk weight is assigned to balance sheet and off-balance sheet items based on regulatory guidelines. At June 30, 2011, the Company exceeded all regulatory capital ratio requirements with a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of $12.01 \%$, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $16.06 \%$ and a total risk-based capital ratio of $17.32 \%$.

At June 30, 2011, IBERIABANK also exceeded all regulatory capital ratio requirements with a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of $9.75 \%$, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $13.08 \%$ and total risk-based capital ratio of $14.34 \%$, respectively.

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-Q

## Table of Contents

Throughout the first six months of 2011, the Company s regulatory capital ratios and those of IBERIABANK were in excess of the levels established for well-capitalized institutions as well, as shown in the following graph and table.

| (dollars in thousands) |  |  | At June 30, 2011 |  | At December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entity | Well- <br> Capitalized <br> Minimums | Actual | Excess Capital | Actual | Excess Capital |
| Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 Leverage Ratio | Consolidated | 5.00\% | 12.01\% | \$ 703,571 | 11.24\% | \$ 628,956 |
|  | IBERIABANK | 5.00 | 9.75 | 473,590 | 8.14 | 266,609 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | Consolidated | 6.00 | 16.06 | 755,166 | 18.48 | 764,921 |
|  | IBERIABANK | 6.00 | 13.08 | 526,098 | 14.39 | 402,734 |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | Consolidated | 10.00 | 17.33 | 549,788 | 19.74 | 597,089 |
|  | IBERIABANK | 10.00 | 14.34 | 322,745 | 15.65 | 271,420 |

Despite a decrease in capital ratios from December 31, 2010 as a result of the acquisition of OMNI and Cameron during the second quarter, the Company s ratios are well above required levels and management believes its capital position remains at the top of its peer group. The overall decline in ratios from December 31, 2010 can be attributed to these acquisitions and not an indicator of potential problems with capital position, liquidity, availability of borrowings, or the ability to raise additional capital, as evidenced by the increase in the dollar amount of excess capital since December 31, 2010.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company reported income available to common shareholders for the second quarter of 2011 of $\$ 5.2$ million, compared to $\$ 8.8$ million earned during the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 3.7$ million, or $41.3 \%$. Earnings per share ( EPS ) on a diluted basis were $\$ 0.18$ for the second quarter of 2011 , representing a $44.5 \%$ decrease from the $\$ 0.33$ earned for the second quarter of 2010 . During the second quarter of 2011, net interest income increased $\$ 5.8$ million, or $8.3 \%$, as interest expense decreased $\$ 9.9$ million, or $31.9 \%$. Income available to common shareholders was also positively impacted by a $\$ 2.9$ million decrease in the Company s provision for loan losses, but was negatively impacted by a $\$ 16.9$ million increase in noninterest expenses. The $\$ 7.9$ million decrease in income before income taxes contributed to a decrease in income tax expense of $\$ 4.3$ million from the second quarter of 2010.

The quarterly trends noted above are consistent on a year-to-date basis. An increase in net interest income of $\$ 15.4$ million, driven by a decrease of $\$ 17.6$ million in interest expense, was offset by a $22.2 \%$, or $\$ 31.7$ million, increase in noninterest expenses. For the six months ended June 30 , 2011, income available to common shareholders of $\$ 19.8$ million was $\$ 2.0$ million, or $9.2 \%$, lower than the same period of 2010 . On a per share basis, earnings were $\$ 0.72$ per diluted share, an $18.7 \%$ decrease from the $\$ 0.88$ per share for the six months ended June 30, 2010. In addition to the decrease in earnings, per-share earnings were affected by the Company s issuance of an additional 3.1 million shares in May 2011 in connection with the OMNI and Cameron acquisitions.

The following discussion provides additional information on the Company s operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to the same three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 by significant income statement caption.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest realized on earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities and is also the driver of core earnings. As such, it is subject to constant scrutiny by management. The rate of return and relative risk associated with earning assets are weighed to determine the appropriateness and mix of earning assets. Additionally, the need for lower cost funding sources is weighed against relationships with clients and future growth requirements. The Company s average interest rate spread, which is the difference between the yields earned on earning assets and the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, was $3.09 \%$ during the second quarter of 2011 and $2.82 \%$ during the second quarter of 2010. On a year-to-date basis, the Company s interest rate spread of $3.23 \%$ was 34 basis points above the $2.89 \%$ earned during the six months of 2010. The Company s net interest margin on a taxable equivalent (TE) basis, which is net interest income (TE) as a percentage of average earning assets, was $3.28 \%$ and $3.05 \%$ during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $3.41 \%$ and $3.10 \%$ for the six month periods then ended.
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Net interest income increased $\$ 5.8$ million, or $8.3 \%$, during the three months ended June 30, 2011 to $\$ 76.0$ million from $\$ 70.1$ million during the three months ended June 30, 2010. The improvement in net interest income was the result of an $\$ 81.6$ million increase in average earning assets, a decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities of 47 basis points, and a decrease in average interest bearing liabilities of $\$ 171.9$ million, or $2.2 \%$. Net interest income was negatively affected by a 21 basis point decrease in the average yield of interest-earning assets. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the $11.0 \%$ increase in net interest income over the same six-month period of 2010 was also driven by lower interest expense, both as a result of a $\$ 181.1$ million, or $2.3 \%$, decrease in average interest-bearing liabilities and a 43 basis point decrease in interest rates between periods. Offsetting these positive trends was a nine basis point decrease in earning asset yield.
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Average loans made up $69.0 \%$ of average earning assets during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and $60.0 \%$ for the same three-month period in 2010. Average loans increased $\$ 895.7$ million, or $16.0 \%$, since June 30,2010 , and was the result of loan growth in the Company s non-covered loan portfolio, both from OMNI and Cameron acquired loans and organic loan growth. Average investment securities made up $21.6 \%$ of average earning assets at June 30, 2011 compared to $16.8 \%$ at June 30, 2010. The increase in loans and investment securities (both in absolute dollars and as a percentage of total earning assets) is a result of management $s$ decision over the past 15 months to reduce its lower-yielding excess liquidity (defined as fed funds sold and interest-bearing cash) by investing in higher-yielding loans, investment securities, and paying down its short- and long-term debt in efforts to improve net interest income. Other significant components of earning assets during the three months ended June 30, 2011 included the Company s FDIC loss share receivable ( $7.1 \%$ of average earning assets) and excess liquidity ( $1.1 \%$ of earning assets on average). During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company s FDIC loss share receivable and excess liquidity were $21.6 \%$ of average earning assets, with excess liquidity alone accounting for $11.9 \%$ of total earning assets.

Average interest-bearing deposits made up $91.7 \%$ of average interest-bearing liabilities during the second quarter of 2011 compared to $89.5 \%$ during the second quarter of 2010. Average short- and long-term borrowings made up the remaining $2.9 \%$ and $5.4 \%$ of average interest-bearing liabilities during the three months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to $2.5 \%$ and $8.0 \%$ during the three months ended June 30, 2010.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net interest income was positively impacted by a decrease in interest expense of $\$ 17.6$ million, or $29.7 \%$, from the six months of 2010, a result of decreases in both the rates paid on average liabilities and average liability balances.

Average loans for the six month period ended June 30, 2011 were $67.8 \%$ of total earning assets, an increase from the six month average of $61.9 \%$. Similar to the quarterly trend noted above, the investment portfolio made up $21.8 \%$ of total earnings assets during 2011, an increase from $17.0 \%$ in 2010. The additional investments made in these earning assets decreased the average excess liquidity for the first six months of 2011 to $1.7 \%$ of earning assets, a sharp decline from $9.1 \%$ of total earning assets in 2010.

The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, information regarding (i) the total dollar amount of interest income of the Company from earning assets and the resultant average yields; (ii) the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant average rate; (iii) net interest income; (iv) net interest spread; and (v) net interest margin. Information is based on average daily balances during the indicated periods. Investment security market value adjustments and trade-date accounting adjustments are not considered to be earning assets and, as such, the net effect is included in nonearning assets. Tax equivalent (TE) yields are calculated using a marginal tax rate of $35 \%$.
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## Average Balances, Net Interest Income and Interest Yields / Rates

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, information regarding (i) the total dollar amount of interest income of the Company from earning assets and the resultant average yields; (ii) the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant average rate; (iii) net interest income; (iv) net interest spread; and (v) net interest margin. Information is based on average daily balances during the indicated periods. Investment security market value adjustments and trade-date accounting adjustments are not considered to be earning assets and, as such, the net effect of the adjustments is included in nonearning assets. Tax equivalent (TE) yields are calculated using a marginal tax rate of $35 \%$.


Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-Q


[^0]
## Table of Contents

The following table displays the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities between the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The table distinguishes between (i) changes attributable to volume (changes in average volume between periods times the average yield/rate for the two periods), (ii) changes attributable to rate (changes in average rate between periods times the average volume for the two periods), and (iii) total increase (decrease).

## SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME

| (dollars in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011/2010 Change Attributable To |  |  |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011/2010 Change Attributable To |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Rate |  | Total ncrease ecrease) | Volume | Rate | Total Increase (Decrease) |
| Earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage loans | \$ $(5,224)$ | \$ $(2,239)$ | \$ | $(7,463)$ | \$ $(11,956)$ | \$ 1,571 | \$ $(10,385)$ |
| Commercial loans (TE) | 16,984 | 2,640 |  | 19,624 | 23,685 | 15,374 | 39,059 |
| Consumer and other loans | 4,630 | $(4,165)$ |  | 465 | 9,426 | (243) | 9,183 |
| Loans held for sale | (292) | (24) |  | (316) | (372) | 319 | (53) |
| Investment securities (TE) | 3,212 | $(2,009)$ |  | 1,203 | 6,340 | $(5,231)$ | 1,109 |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 393 | $(17,567)$ |  | $(17,174)$ | (129) | $(40,720)$ | $(40,849)$ |
| Other earning assets | (656) | 227 |  | (429) | (742) | 403 | (339) |
| Total net change in income on earning assets | 19,047 | $(23,137)$ |  | $(4,090)$ | 26,252 | $(28,527)$ | $(2,275)$ |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOW accounts | 214 | (702) |  | (488) | 122 | $(1,298)$ | $(1,176)$ |
| Savings and money market accounts | 1,751 | $(6,258)$ |  | $(4,507)$ | 3,654 | $(12,306)$ | $(8,652)$ |
| Certificates of deposit | $(1,951)$ | (938) |  | $(2,889)$ | $(3,336)$ | 1,160 | $(2,176)$ |
| Borrowings | $(1,502)$ | (530) |  | $(2,032)$ | $(3,253)$ | $(2,387)$ | $(5,640)$ |
| Total net change in expense on interest- bearing liabilities | $(1,488)$ | $(8,428)$ |  | $(9,916)$ | $(2,813)$ | $(14,831)$ | $(17,644)$ |
| Change in net interest spread | \$ 20,535 | \$ (14,709) | \$ | 5,826 | \$ 29,065 | \$ (13,696) | \$ 15,369 |

Interest income includes interest income earned on earning assets as well as applicable loan fees earned. Interest income that would have been earned on nonaccrual loans had they been on accrual status is not included in the data reported above.

The increase in yields on earning assets was driven by higher yields on the Company s loan portfolio, but was offset by lower investment security yields and a higher amortization of the Company s FDIC loss share receivable (that resulted in a negative yield).

For the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the decrease in yield on the Company s average earning assets drove the decrease in interest income, as average balance increases in the commercial and consumer loan portfolios, as well as a balance increase in the investment portfolio, partially offset the rate decreases. Average loan balances increased $\$ 895.7$ million, or $16.0 \%$, over June 30, 2010. The increase can be attributed to the non-covered loan growth since June 30, 2010.

Interest income growth was slowed by an 11 basis point decrease in the yield on the Company s loan portfolio and a 49 basis point decrease in yield on the Company s investment securities. The amortization of the loss share receivable was $\$ 18.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, which can be attributable to the related increase in expected cash flow from the covered assets. As expected cash flow on the covered loan and OREO portfolios increases, the fair value of the FDIC loss share receivable decreases, with the difference recorded as an adjustment to earnings. The negative yield during the three months ended June 30, 2011 of $10.88 \%$ was well below the negative yield of $0.49 \%$ during the same period of 2010 .
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Average investment securities increased $\$ 467.9$ million during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010, as the Company acquired investments from OMNI and Cameron and purchased higher-yielding investment securities with available cash to improve earning asset yields. Despite a decrease of 49 basis points from June 30, 2010, investment securities yielded $2.74 \%$ during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The $2.74 \%$ earned on the securities was well above the yield on interest bearing cash and fed funds sold of $0.27 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 .

Driven by a decrease of 47 basis points in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities during the three months ended June 30, 2011, interest expense decreased $\$ 9.9$ million, or $31.9 \%$, from the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on the Company s
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long-term debt was a result of a $\$ 217.4$ million decrease in average long-term debt from the second quarter of 2010 and a rate decrease of 30 basis points. Despite an increase of $\$ 17.3$ million in average interest-bearing deposits, interest expense on the Company s deposits decreased $\$ 7.9$ million, or $30.3 \%$, from the second quarter of 2010 , as the average rate paid on these deposits decreased 44 basis points to $1.02 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011. Higher-yielding deposits acquired from the 2009 and 2010 Alabama and Florida acquisitions either matured or were repriced during 2010 and 2011, contributing to the basis point decrease.

On a year-to-date basis, the decrease in yield on the Company s average earning assets also drove the decrease in interest income, as average balance increases in the largest components of earning assets partially offset the rate decreases. Average loan balances increased $\$ 605.1$ million, or $10.7 \%$, over June 30,2010 . The increase can be attributed to the non-covered loan growth since June 30,2010 . Despite a 61 basis point increase in the yield on the Company s loan portfolio, interest income growth was slowed in the current year by a decrease in yield on the Company s loss share receivable.

Driven by a decrease of 43 basis points in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities during the six months ended June 30, 2011, interest expense decreased $\$ 17.6$ million, or $29.7 \%$, from the six months ended June 30 , 2010. The decrease in interest expense on the Company s long-term debt was a result of a $\$ 268.1$ million decrease in average long-term debt from the second quarter of 2010 and a rate decrease of 76 basis points. Despite an increase of $\$ 65.3$ million in average interest-bearing deposits, interest expense on the Company s deposits decreased $\$ 12.0$ million, or $24.5 \%$, from the first six months of 2010, as the average rate paid on these deposits decreased 35 basis points to $1.06 \%$ for the six months of 2011.

## Provision for Loan Losses

Management of the Company assesses the allowance for loan losses monthly and will make provisions for loan losses as deemed appropriate in order to maintain the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Increases in the allowance for loan losses are achieved through provisions for loan losses that are charged against income. Adjustments to the allowance may also result from purchase accounting associated with loans acquired.

On a consolidated basis, the Company recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 10.0$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, a decrease of $\$ 2.9$ million, or $22.5 \%$, from the second quarter of 2010 . The Company s provision of $\$ 10.0$ million was recorded to replace net chargeoffs taken on the Company s non-covered loan portfolio during the three months ended June 30, 2011 of $\$ 1.9$ million, as an additional provision on covered assets of $\$ 2.6$ million to account for a change in expected cash flow on a limited number of loan pools, to record $\$ 4.8$ million to cover non-acquisition loan growth, and to record $\$ 0.7$ million to account for a net deterioration in asset quality. The decrease in the provision from the prior year is partially the result of an improvement in overall asset quality over the past 12 months, but also a result of a decrease in net chargeoffs between the two periods. The provision allocated to net chargeoff replacement for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was $\$ 4.1$ million lower than for the same quarter of 2010.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the provision for loan losses was $\$ 15.5$ million, $40.8 \%$ lower than for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010. The $\$ 10.6$ million decrease from 2010 was primarily the result of a decrease of $\$ 10.3$ million in net chargeoffs, as well as a $\$ 3.0$ million decrease in the provision recorded on the Company s covered loan portfolio to account for a change in expected cash flow on certain loan pools. Offsetting these decreases was a $\$ 6.9$ million increase in provision over the prior year for loan growth.

As part of the total $\$ 11.1$ million provision recorded on the non-covered loan portfolio during the six months ended June 30, 2011, $\$ 3.0$ million was recorded to account for a change in asset quality. Non-covered loans past due in the consolidated loan portfolio totaled $\$ 125.0$ million at June 30, 2011, an increase of $\$ 60.8$ million from December 31, 2010. Past due loans, including nonaccrual loans, were $2.16 \%$ of total loans (before acquired loan discount adjustments) at June 30, 2011, a 72 basis point increase from December 31, 2010. Although a significant portion of the increase in non-covered past due loans during the year was a result of acquired impaired loans from OMNI and Cameron, which the Company accounts for under ASC 310-30, the change in asset quality warranted additional provision expense in the current period.

Net charge-offs on the consolidated portfolio were $\$ 1.7$ million for the second quarter of 2011 , or an annualized chargeoff percentage of $0.10 \%$. The net charge-offs were a result of $\$ 6.0$ million in charge-offs and $\$ 5.0$ million in recoveries for the second quarter of 2011. Annualized net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2010 were $0.44 \%$ of the consolidated loan portfolio, and were a result of charge-offs of $\$ 7.8$ million and recoveries of $\$ 1.6$ million.

The Company believes the allowance was adequate at June 30, 2011 to cover probable losses in the Company's loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of outstanding loans, net of unearned income, increased 10 basis points from $2.26 \%$ at December 31, 2010 to $2.36 \%$ at June 30, 2011.
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Excluding loans covered by the FDIC loss share agreements, the Company s allowance was $1.26 \%$ of non-covered loans at June $30,2011$. Excluding acquired OMNI and Cameron loans accounted for in accordance with ASC 310-30, the Company sallowance on its non-covered loans would have been $1.47 \%$ of total non-covered loans, two basis points above December 31, 2010. On the same basis, the Company s allowance at June 30, 2011 was $75.0 \%$ of total nonperforming loans. Excluding loans accounted for under ASC 310-30, the Company s allowance for loan losses on its non-covered loans would cover nonperforming loans $90.5 \%$. The ratios compare favorably to December 31, 2010 ratios of $1.40 \%$ of total non-covered loans and $122.6 \%$ of nonperforming loans.
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## Noninterest Income

The Company s operating results included noninterest income of $\$ 31.0$ million for the three months ended June $30,2011, \$ 0.3$ million, or $0.9 \%$, higher than the $\$ 30.7$ million earned for the same period in 2010. For the first six months of 2011, noninterest income increased $0.4 \%$ from the same six month period in 2010. The following table illustrates the primary components of noninterest income for the periods indicated.

## NONINTEREST INCOME

\left.|  | Three Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent |  |  |
| Increase |  |  |
| (Decrease) |  |  |$\right)$

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased less than $1 \%$ in the second quarter compared to the same period last year, and $3.4 \%$, or $\$ 0.4$ million, for the year-to-date period, due primarily to a $\$ 0.9$ million decrease in non-sufficient fund ( NSF ) fees. These NSF fees are lower for the current three- and six-month periods as a result of a decrease in average NSF balances when compared to the same periods of 2010. Analysis and service fees from the Company s customer base remained consistent with the comparable 2010 period.

ATM/debit card fee income for the quarter increased $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 1.0$ million for the three-and six-month periods, respectively, over the comparable 2010 periods primarily due to the expanded cardholder base and increased usage by customers.

Income earned from bank owned life insurance increased slightly during the first six months of 2011, consistent with market performance and current yields. The additional policies acquired from OMNI and Cameron in the second quarter of 2011 contributed to higher revenue over the comparable 2010 periods.

Improved margin on the sales of mortgage loan originations, fueled in part by loan refinancings, increased gains on sales of loans $\$ 0.3$ million during 2011. Proceeds from mortgage loan sales during the first six months of 2011 were $\$ 670.1$ million.

A $\$ 0.1$ million gain on sales of assets was recorded in 2011. The gain was primarily from the disposal of former bank property and equipment no longer in use. The loss taken on the sale of assets in 2010 was primarily the result of the disposal of automobiles at the former Orion branches.

Gains on sales of investments increased $\$ 1.4$ million during the three months ended June 30,2011 and $\$ 0.5$ million during the first six months of 2011 when compared to 2010, as sales volume increased from the same period of 2010. There were no significant sales of investment securities during the second quarter of 2010. Gains were recorded on the sale of $\$ 21.0$ million in securities in the current year, compared to the sale of $\$ 33.9$ million in agency and mortgage-backed securities.

The Company recorded a gain of $\$ 3.8$ million during 2010 on the FDIC-assisted Orion transaction from 2009 due to additional settlement items with the FDIC. There were no gains on acquisitions recorded during the first six months of 2011.

As a result of a decrease in title insurance activity, title income decreased $\$ 0.3$ million during the quarter.
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Broker commissions increased $\$ 0.9$ million, or $57.0 \%$, compared to the second quarter of 2010 , and increased $\$ 2.4$ million, or $82.6 \%$, from the comparable six-month period of 2010. The increase is a result of the Company s expanded client base, including the current year s expansion in Arkansas and Florida. Broker commissions during 2011 also include income from the Company s issuance of an equity-linked CD product, which commenced in July 2010.

Other noninterest income decreased $\$ 1.1$ million for the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010, but increased $\$ 0.3$ million in the current six-month period. The decrease in quarter-to-date income was a result of a decrease in noninterest loan income generated from the Company s covered loans. The increase in year-to-date income was primarily the result of additional income from the Company s investment in new market tax credit entities. In addition to the tax credits the Company receives, income is generated on the investment the Company has made in these entities. Other noninterest income in 2011 was also positively impacted by additional higher trust department income, which can be attributed to the increased customer base and growth of the business.
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## Noninterest Expense

The Company s operating results for the three months ended June 30, 2011 include noninterest expenses of $\$ 92.7$ million, $\$ 16.9$ million above the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, noninterest expenses of $\$ 174.4$ million were $22.2 \%$ higher than the same period of 2010. Ongoing attention to expense control is part of the Company s corporate culture. However, the Company s continued focus on growth through new branches, acquisitions and product expansion have caused related increases in several components of noninterest expense.

The following table illustrates the primary components of noninterest expense for the periods indicated.

## NONINTEREST EXPENSE

\left.|  | Three Months Ended |  |  | Six Months Ended |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase |  |  |  |  |  |$\right)$

Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 6.5$ million during for the second quarter of 2011 and $\$ 14.3$ million for the year over the comparable periods in 2010. The increases were primarily the result of increased staffing due to the growth of the Company. 2011 expenses include the full impact of additional Sterling personnel, as well as personnel from the Company s new branches. Expense for second quarter and year-to-date 2011 periods also include one month of salaries and employee benefits expense from the former OMNI and Cameron employees. Salaries and employee benefits in 2011 also included increased share-based incentive compensation due to additional restricted stock, phantom stock, and option grants in 2010 and 2011.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased $\$ 3.9$ million during the second quarter and $\$ 5.5$ million for the first six months of 2011 over the comparable 2010 periods due primarily to the cost of facilities associated with the Company s expansion. These increased costs include repairs and maintenance on branches, depreciation, utilities, rentals and property taxes. Occupancy expense for 2011 include $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 1.4$ million of acquisition-related expenses during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively, which include the full expensing of vacated lease liabilities acquired from OMNI and Cameron. Similar expenses were only $\$ 0.1$ million for the same periods of 2010.

Franchise and shares tax expense increased $\$ 0.1$ million during the second quarter of 2011 and $\$ 0.5$ million during the current year over 2010. This increase in 2011 was due to an increase in shares tax expense as a result of a higher assessment base for the shares tax calculation for IBERIABANK. The higher assessment resulted from increased equity at IBERIABANK at the end of December 31, 2010 when compared to the previous year.

The Company s expansion in 2010 led to an increase in communication and delivery and printing and supplies expenses. Communication and delivery expenses increased $5.4 \%$, or $\$ 0.3$ million, from the first six months of 2010 to the same period of 2011. The increase in these expenses was a result of higher postage expenses from customer mailings. In addition, postage and courier expenses increased as a result of the increase in the Company s number of branches and locations across multiple states. Data line and telephone expenses were also higher in 2011 as a result of the expanded Company footprint.

Data processing charges increased $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 1.6$ million for the three- and six-month periods, respectively, over the comparable periods of 2010, as the size of the Company has led to higher processing volume and additional maintenance expenses. Acquisition-related data processing charges totaled $\$ 2.2$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30,2011 , an increase of $\$ 1.4$ million
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and $\$ 1.5$ million over the comparable 2010 periods. Acquisition-related expenses include system conversion and integration expenses.
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Marketing and business development expenses increased $\$ 1.2$ million during the second quarter of 2011 and $\$ 1.9$ million in the current year-to-date period over 2010 as a result of additional expenses associated with business development and community relations. The Company continues to aggressively market itself in its newer markets, including those in Florida, Alabama, and Texas. During 2011, the Company also incurred acquisition-related costs of $\$ 0.6$ million during 2011 related to the Company s OMNI and Cameron acquisitions. The $\$ 0.6$ million is an increase of $\$ 0.3$ million, or $84.5 \%$, from the first six months of 2010.

The core deposit intangible assets created in the Sterling, OMNI, and Cameron acquisitions in 2010 and 2011 contributed to the $\$ 0.1$ million increase in amortization expense of the Company s intangible assets in the six-month period of 2011 when compared to 2010 . For the three months ended June 30, 2011, amortization expense is $\$ 0.1$ million lower than the three months ended June 30,2010 as a result of the completion of amortization related to the LBA and Alliance Bank core deposit intangible assets in the first quarter of 2011. Total quarterly amortization of these assets was $\$ 0.1$ million in previous periods.

Despite the growth of the Company over the past 12 months, professional services expense was $\$ 0.4$ million lower for the current six-month period compared to the same period last year and was consistent between the current and prior second quarters. The decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the previous year was primarily a result of legal, audit and consulting expenses incurred as part of the Company s acquisitions. Merger-related professional services were $\$ 1.4$ million, or $42.4 \%$, higher during the first six months of 2010 when compared to the first six months of 2011. Given the timing of the recent OMNI and Cameron acquisitions at the end of the second quarter, the Company anticipates additional acquisition-related costs will be incurred during the third quarter of 2011 as it relates to the these acquisitions.

In 2011, other noninterest expenses increased $\$ 3.7$ million over the first three months of 2010 and $\$ 7.9$ million over the first six months of 2010. The year-to-date increase is a result of a $\$ 1.5$ million, or $27.9 \%$, increase in credit and other loan-related expenses due to the expanded size of the loan portfolio and the number of loans with noted credit issues. The increase in credit-related expenses stems primarily from the Company s covered loan portfolio. The credit quality issues inherent in the portfolio covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC drove appraisal and inspection, collections, and credit bureau expenses higher in 2011.

Net costs of OREO properties also increased $\$ 1.1$ million ( $103.0 \%$ ) and $\$ 2.2$ million ( $132.0 \%$ ) for the three- and six-month periods of 2011 over 2010, as write-downs taken on OREO properties increased $\$ 1.3$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and $\$ 2.0$ million for the first six months of 2011. The second quarter of 2011 includes $\$ 0.3$ million in write-downs of former bank properties. For the six months of 2011, former bank property write-downs were $\$ 0.4$ million. There were no similar write-downs of bank properties during the first six months of 2010. Gains on the sale of OREO properties in both the second quarter and for 2011 in total offset the write-downs recorded. During the second quarter of 2011, gains on the sale of OREO properties increased $\$ 0.5$ million from the comparable 2010 period. For the year, gains were $\$ 0.1$ million higher than in 2010. The remaining increase in net OREO expenses was a result of additional property taxes, insurance, and appraisal fees on these properties, driven primarily by the additional properties in the portfolio during the current year.

Outsourced operations increased $\$ 0.3$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million for the quarter- and year-to-date periods over 2010 at the Company s wealth management subsidiary as operating activities increased in the latter part of 2010 and into 2011.

The most significant reason for the increase in other operating expenses over the periods ended June 30, 2010 was a result of the $\$ 2.8$ million potential settlement liability recorded in the Company s financial statements for the periods ended June 30, 2011. The settlement was related to two class action lawsuits related to overdraft fees for electronic transfers in a high to low processing sequence on the posting of items for processing. The Company s practice of sorting these items is a common practice in the industry, and although the Company maintains its position, management feels the negotiated settlement saves the potential costs of protracted litigation and avoids any misunderstanding with clients. For additional information on the potential settlement and its effect on the unaudited consolidated financial statements, see Note 12 to these financial statements.

## Income Taxes

Income tax expense decreased $\$ 4.3$ million, or $127.9 \%$, for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to a tax benefit of $\$ 0.9$ million, compared to a tax expense of $\$ 3.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, income tax expense decreased $\$ 3.4$ million, or $44.5 \%$, to $\$ 4.3$ million, from $\$ 7.7$ million in 2010 . The effective tax rate was a benefit of $21.8 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and an expense of $27.4 \%$ of earnings before taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2010. For the corresponding six-month periods, the Company s effective tax rates were $17.7 \%$ and $26.0 \%$. The difference between the effective tax rate and the statutory tax rate primarily relates to variances in items that are non-taxable or non-deductible, primarily the effect of tax-exempt income, the non-deductibility of part of the amortization of acquisition intangibles, and various tax credits taken.
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The difference in the effective tax rates for the periods presented is primarily the result of the relative tax-exempt interest income levels during the respective periods for each of the Company s subsidiaries. The tax rate for the current quarter and year-to-date period is lower than in the corresponding periods of 2010 as a result of the effect of the Company s investment in tax credits on earnings before taxes. Income tax expense is calculated on annualized year-to-date income earnings before tax. The decrease in earnings in the current periods increases the effect the Company s tax credits has on the calculation of income tax expense.

The Company s consolidated effective tax rates were also positively impacted in the current year by the Company s Lenders Title and ICP subsidiaries, as well as the holding company, as these entities all had income tax benefits during the first and second quarters of 2011 from net losses for the periods. The effective tax rate on these entities is higher than IBERIABANK seffective tax rate (which is affected by the tax credit mentioned previously) and thus the consolidated effective tax rate has decreased $31.9 \%$ when compared to the prior year.
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## LIQUIDITY

The Company s liquidity, represented by cash and cash equivalents, is a product of its operating, investing and financing activities. The Company manages its liquidity with the objective of maintaining sufficient funds to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers and to take advantage of earnings enhancement opportunities. The primary sources of funds for the Company are deposits, borrowings, repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, as well as funds provided from operations. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year or less at June 30, 2011 totaled $\$ 2.1$ billion. Based on past experience, management believes that a significant portion of maturing deposits will remain with the Company. Additionally, the majority of the investment security portfolio is classified by the Company as available-for-sale which provides the ability to liquidate securities as needed. Due to the relatively short planned duration of the investment security portfolio, the Company continues to experience significant cash flows on a normal basis.

Total cash outflows totaled $\$ 76.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, a decrease of $\$ 1.0$ billion from net cash inflow of $\$ 949.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The following table summarizes the Company s cash flows for the periods indicated.

## CASH FLOW ACTIVITY BY TYPE

|  | Six Months Ended <br> June 30, 2011 |  | Six Months Ended <br> June 30, 2010 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (dollars in thousands) | $\$$ | 42,598 | $\$$ | 230,571 |
| Cash flow provided by operations |  | 19,338 | 89,553 |  |
| Cash flow provided by investing activities | $(137,910)$ | 629,215 |  |  |
| Cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | $\$$ | $\mathbf{( 7 5 , 9 7 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 9 , 3 3 9}$ |

The Company had operating cash inflow of $\$ 42.6$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2011, $\$ 188.0$ million lower than in the same period of 2010 . Operating cash flow in the current year was positively affected by net income of $\$ 19.8$ million and net cash inflow from mortgage loans of $\$ 8.4$ million. Operating cash flow in 2010 was positively affected by a decrease in other assets (primarily fed funds sold) from the prior year.

Cash flow from investing activities decreased $\$ 70.2$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010, primarily due to a decrease in cash reimbursements from the FDIC of $\$ 121.9$ million and a net cash outflow from loans of $\$ 276.0$ million. Operating cash flow in 2010 was negatively affected by $\$ 153.9$ million in net cash outflow for investment security purchases. During 2010, the Company used a portion of its available cash to invest in higher-yielding earning assets. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net cash inflow from investment security activity was $\$ 130.3$ million, $\$ 284.1$ million higher than in the same period of 2010 . Also positively affecting current year cash flow was net cash received in excess of cash paid for acquisitions of $\$ 80.5$ million.

Net financing cash flows decreased $\$ 767.1$ million during the six months ended June 30,2011 when compared to 2010, primarily due to a decrease in cash from customer deposits of $\$ 42.6$ million in the current year, which results in a $\$ 571.2$ million difference in net deposit cash flow between the two periods. The decrease in customer deposits during 2011 was primarily the result of the maturities of higher-priced certificates of deposit that were not renewed. These deposits were located primarily in the Company s Florida markets and were acquired in the 2009 and 2010 Florida acquisitions. Also contributing to the difference in financing cash flows were proceeds received from the Company s common stock offering in March 2010 of $\$ 329.0$ million. The Company s issuance of shares for the OMNI and Cameron acquisitions in 2011 had no effect on cash flow.

Despite a decrease in total cash and cash equivalents from December 31, 2010, the Company believes it has adequate liquidity to fund ongoing operations. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents is a strategic move by the Company to invest available funds in higher yielding investment vehicles, namely investment securities and loans, and pay down short- and long-term debt when it is advantageous. The Company has adequate availability of funds from deposits, borrowings, repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities to provide the Company working capital.

While scheduled cash flows from the amortization and maturities of loans and securities are relatively predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and prepayments of loan and investment securities are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-Q

The FHLB of Dallas provides an additional source of liquidity to make funds available for general requirements and also to assist with the variability of less predictable funding sources. At June 30, 2011, the Company had $\$ 307.7$ million of outstanding advances from the FHLB of Dallas. Additional advances available at June 30, 2011 from the FHLB amounted to $\$ 1.1$ billion. The Company and IBERIABANK also have various funding arrangements with commercial banks providing up to $\$ 115.0$ million in the form of federal funds and other lines of credit. At June 30, 2011, there was no balance outstanding on these lines and all of the funding was available to the Company.
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Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. Excess liquidity is generally invested in short-term investments such as overnight deposits. On a longer-term basis, the Company maintains a strategy of investing in various lending and investment security products. The Company uses its sources of funds primarily to meet its ongoing commitments and fund loan commitments. The Company has been able to generate sufficient cash through its deposits, as well as borrowings, and anticipates it will continue to have sufficient funds to meet its ongoing liquidity requirements.

## ASSET/ LIABILITY MANAGEMENT AND MARKET RISK

The principal objective of the Company s asset and liability management function is to evaluate the interest rate risk included in certain balance sheet accounts, determine the appropriate level of risk given the Company $s$ business focus, operating environment, capital and liquidity requirements and performance objectives, establish prudent asset concentration guidelines and manage the risk consistent with Board approved guidelines. Through such management, the Company seeks to reduce the vulnerability of its operations to changes in interest rates. The Company sactions in this regard are taken under the guidance of the Senior Management Planning Committee. The Senior Management Planning Committee normally meets monthly to review, among other things, the sensitivity of the Company sassets and liabilities to interest rate changes, local and national market conditions and interest rates. In connection therewith, the Senior Management Planning Committee generally reviews the Company s liquidity, cash flow needs, maturities of investments, deposits, borrowings and capital position.

The objective of interest rate risk management is to control the effects that interest rate fluctuations have on net interest income and on the net present value of the Company s earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Management and the Board are responsible for managing interest rate risk and employing risk management policies that monitor and limit this exposure. Interest rate risk is measured using net interest income simulation and asset/liability net present value sensitivity analyses. The Company uses financial modeling to measure the impact of changes in interest rates on the net interest margin and predict market risk. Estimates are based upon numerous assumptions including the nature and timing of interest rate levels including yield curve shape, prepayments on loans and securities, deposit decay rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits, reinvestment/replacement of asset and liability cash flows and others. These analyses provide a range of potential impacts on net interest income and portfolio equity caused by interest rate movements.

Included in the modeling are instantaneous parallel rate shift scenarios that are utilized to establish exposure limits. These scenarios are known as rate shocks because all rates are modeled to change instantaneously by the indicated shock amount, rather than a gradual rate shift over a period of time that has traditionally been more realistic.

The Company s interest rate risk model indicated that the Company was slightly asset sensitive in terms of interest rate sensitivity. Based on the Company s interest rate risk model at June 30, 2011, the table below illustrates the impact of an immediate and sustained 100 and 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates on net interest income.

## CHANGE IN NET INTEREST INCOME FROM INTEREST RATE CHANGES

| Shift in Interest Rates (in bps) | \% Change in Projected <br> Net Interest <br> Income |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| +200 | $2.1 \%$ |
| +100 | 0.7 |
| -100 | 0.8 |
| -200 | 0.1 |

The influence of using the forward curve as of June 30, 2011 as a basis for projecting the interest rate environment would approximate a $0.3 \%$ increase in net interest income. The computations of interest rate risk shown above do not necessarily include certain actions that management may undertake to manage this risk in response to anticipated changes in interest rates.

The rate environment is a function of the monetary policy of the FRB. The principal tools of the FRB for implementing monetary policy are open market operations, or the purchases and sales of U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities. The FRB s objective for open market operations has varied over the years, but the focus has gradually shifted toward attaining a specified level of the federal funds rate to achieve the long-run goals of price stability and sustainable economic growth. The federal funds rate is the basis for overnight funding and drives the short end of the yield curve. Longer maturities are influenced by FRB purchases and sales and also expectations of monetary policy going forward. The FRB began to increase the targeted level for the federal funds rate in June 2004 after reaching a then-low of $1.00 \%$ in mid-2003. The targeted fed funds rate decreased three times in 2007 by 100 total basis points and ended 2007 at $4.25 \%$. In response to growing concerns about
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the banking industry and customer liquidity, the fed funds rate decreased seven times to a new all-time low of $0.25 \%$ at the end of 2008 . The fed funds rate remained at $0.25 \%$ through June 30, 2011. The decrease in the fed funds rate has resulted in compressed net interest margin for the Company, as assets have repriced more quickly than the Company s liabilities. Although management believes that the Company is not significantly affected by changes in interest rates over an extended period of time, any flattening of the yield curve will exert downward pressure on the net interest margin and net interest income.

As part of its asset/liability management strategy, the Company has emphasized the origination of commercial and consumer loans, which typically have shorter terms than residential mortgage loans and/or adjustable or variable rates of interest. The majority of fixed-rate, long-term residential loans are sold in the secondary market to avoid assumption of the rate risk associated with longer duration assets in the current low rate environment. As of June 30, 2011, 49\% of the Company s total loan portfolio had adjustable interest rates. IBERIABANK had no significant concentration to any single loan component or industry segment.
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The Company s strategy with respect to liabilities in recent periods has been to emphasize transaction accounts, particularly noninterest or low interest-bearing transaction accounts, which are not sensitive to changes in interest rates. At June 30, 2011, 68.8\% of the Company s deposits were in transaction and limited-transaction accounts, compared to $64.1 \%$ at December 31, 2010. Noninterest-bearing transaction accounts totaled $14.6 \%$ of total deposits at June 30, 2011, compared to $11.1 \%$ of total deposits at December 31, 2010.

As part of an overall interest rate risk management strategy, off-balance sheet derivatives may also be used as an efficient way to modify the repricing or maturity characteristics of on-balance sheet assets and liabilities. Management may from time to time engage in interest rate swaps to effectively manage interest rate risk. The interest rate swaps of the Company were executed to modify net interest sensitivity to levels deemed appropriate.

## IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

The consolidated financial statements and related financial data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which generally require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in relative purchasing power over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, the majority of the Company s assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates generally have a more significant impact on the Company s performance than does the effect of inflation. Although fluctuations in interest rates are neither completely predictable nor controllable, the Company regularly monitors its interest rate position and oversees its financial risk management by establishing policies and operating limits. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as the prices of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation to a larger extent than interest rates. Although not as critical to the banking industry as to other industries, inflationary factors may have some impact on the Company s growth, earnings, total assets and capital levels. Management does not expect inflation to be a significant factor in 2011.
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## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are presented at December 31, 2010 in Part II, Item 7A of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2011. Additional information at June 30, 2011 is included herein under Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15e of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of June 30, 2011 was carried out under the supervision, and with the participation of, the Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely manner to material information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ).

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed by the Company under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the CEO and the CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Disclosure controls include review of internal controls that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are properly authorized, assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use and transactions are properly recorded and reported. During the most recent fiscal quarter, the Company implemented a change in the internal controls over financial reporting that is reasonably likely to materially affect overall internal control over financial reporting.

In the current fiscal quarter, the Company implemented a new loss share accounting system to track and review its acquired loans subject to reimbursement from the FDIC based on loss share agreements. Although the implementation of the new system does not change the Company s accounting for the loans that are covered by loss share agreement, the system enhances the Company $s$ internal controls over financial reporting by providing the Company a more robust accounting model to track, review and report information on these loans. This change in internal control implemented in the current quarter was not a result of an identified material weakness in internal control. This change in internal control has been included in the Company s evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2011 and as a result its conclusion on the overall effectiveness of internal controls at June 30, 2011.

Any control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that its objectives are achieved. The design of a control system inherently has limitations, including the controls cost relative to their benefits. Additionally, controls can be circumvented. No cost-effective control system can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, will be detected.
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See Legal Proceedings in Note 12 Commitments and Contingencies to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated by reference in this Item I, for litigation disclosure that supplements the Legal Proceedings disclosure in Note 20 Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors disclosed by the Company in its Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2011.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable.

## Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information
None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No. 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit No. 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit No. 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit No. 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## IBERIABANK Corporation

Date: August 9, 2011

Date: August 9, 2011

By: /s/ Daryl G. Byrd
Daryl G. Byrd
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Anthony J. Restel
Anthony J. Restel
Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer


[^0]:    (1) Annualized.
    (2) Fully taxable equivalent (TE) calculations include the tax benefit associated with related income sources that are tax-exempt using a marginal tax rate of $35 \%$.
    (3) Balances exclude unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale and impact of trade date accounting.

