

HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD

Form 10-Q

April 29, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED March 31, 2011
Commission File Number 1-34073
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated**

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

31-0724920
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

41 South High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43287
Registrant's telephone number **(614) 480-8300**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting
company

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

There were 863,398,578 shares of Registrant's common stock (\$0.01 par value) outstanding on March 31, 2011.

HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INCORPORATED
INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010 67

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 68

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 69

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 70

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 71

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Overview 6

Discussion of Results of Operations 9

Risk Management and Capital:

Credit Risk 24

Market Risk 46

Liquidity Risk 49

Operational Risk 52

Compliance Risk 52

Capital 53

Business Segment Discussion 56

Additional Disclosures 66

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 115

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 115

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 115

Item 1A. Risk Factors 115

Item 6. Exhibits 115

Signatures 117

Exhibit 12.1

Exhibit 12.2

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 32.1

Exhibit 32.2

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

Glossary of Acronyms and Terms

The following listing provides a comprehensive reference of common acronyms and terms used throughout the document:

2010 Form 10-K	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010
ABL	Asset Based Lending
ACL	Allowance for Credit Losses
AFCRE	Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate
ALCO	Asset-Liability Management Committee
ALLL	Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses
ARM	Adjustable Rate Mortgage
ARRA	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009
ASC	Accounting Standards Codification
ATM	Automated Teller Machine
AULC	Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments
AVM	Automated Valuation Methodology
C&I	Commercial and Industrial
CDARS	Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service
CDO	Collateralized Debt Obligations
CFPB	Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection
CMO	Collateralized Mortgage Obligations
CPP	Capital Purchase Program
CRE	Commercial Real Estate
DDA	Demand Deposit Account
DIF	Deposit Insurance Fund
Dodd-Frank Act	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act
EESA	Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008
EPS	Earnings Per Share
ERISA	Employee Retirement Income Security Act
EVE	Economic Value of Equity
Fannie Mae	(see FNMA)
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FDICIA	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991
FFIEC	Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council
FHA	Federal Housing Administration
FHFA	Federal Housing Finance Agency
FHLB	Federal Home Loan Bank
FHLMC	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
FICA	Federal Insurance Contributions Act
FICO	Fair Isaac Corporation
FNMA	Federal National Mortgage Association
Franklin	Franklin Credit Management Corporation
Freddie Mac	(see FHLMC)
FSP	Financial Stability Plan
FTE	Fully-Taxable Equivalent
FTP	Funds Transfer Pricing

Table of Contents

GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America
GSE	Government Sponsored Enterprise
HASP	Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan
HCER Act	Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010
IPO	Initial Public Offering
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
LTV	Loan to Value
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
MRC	Market Risk Committee
MSR	Mortgage Servicing Rights
NALs	Nonaccrual Loans
NAV	Net Asset Value
NCO	Net Charge-off
NPAs	Nonperforming Assets
NSF / OD	Nonsufficient Funds and Overdraft
OCC	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
OCI	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
OCR	Optimal Customer Relationship
OLEM	Other Loans Especially Mentioned
OREO	Other Real Estate Owned
OTTI	Other-Than-Temporary Impairment
Plan	Huntington Bancshares Retirement Plan
Reg E	Regulation E, of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act
REIT	Real Estate Investment Trust
SAD	Special Assets Division
SBA	Small Business Administration
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
SERP	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan
Sky Financial	Sky Financial Group, Inc.
SRIP	Supplemental Retirement Income Plan
Sky Trust	Sky Bank and Sky Trust, National Association
TAGP	Transaction Account Guarantee Program
TARP	Troubled Asset Relief Program
TARP Capital	Series B Preferred Stock
TCE	Tangible Common Equity
TDR	Troubled Debt Restructured Loan
TLGP	Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program
Treasury	U.S. Department of the Treasury
UCS	Uniform Classification System
Unizan	Unizan Financial Corp.
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
VA	U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs
VIE	Variable Interest Entity
WGH	Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

When we refer to we, our, and us in this report, we mean Huntington Bancshares Incorporated and our consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context indicates that we refer only to the parent company, Huntington Bancshares Incorporated. When we refer to the Bank in this report, we mean our only bank subsidiary, The Huntington National Bank, and its subsidiaries.

Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

INTRODUCTION

We are a multi-state diversified regional bank holding company organized under Maryland law in 1966 and headquartered in Columbus, Ohio. Through the Bank, we have 145 years of servicing the financial needs of our customers. Through our subsidiaries, we provide full-service commercial and consumer banking services, mortgage banking services, automobile financing, equipment leasing, investment management, trust services, brokerage services, customized insurance service programs, and other financial products and services. Our over 600 banking offices are located in Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia. Selected financial services and other activities are also conducted in various other states. International banking services are available through the headquarters office in Columbus, Ohio and a limited purpose office located in the Cayman Islands and another limited purpose office located in Hong Kong. Our foreign banking activities, in total or with any individual country, are not significant.

This MD&A provides information we believe necessary for understanding our financial condition, changes in financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. The MD&A included in our 2010 Form 10-K should be read in conjunction with this MD&A as this discussion provides only material updates to the 2010 Form 10-K. This MD&A should also be read in conjunction with the financial statements, notes and other information contained in this report.

Our discussion is divided into key segments:

Executive Overview - Provides a summary of our current financial performance, and business overview, including our thoughts on the impact of the economy, legislative and regulatory initiatives, and recent industry developments. This section also provides our outlook regarding our expectations for the remainder of 2011.

Discussion of Results of Operations - Reviews financial performance from a consolidated Company perspective. It also includes a Significant Items section that summarizes key issues helpful for understanding performance trends. Key consolidated average balance sheet and income statement trends are also discussed in this section.

Risk Management and Capital - Discusses credit, market, liquidity, operational, and compliance risks, including how these are managed, as well as performance trends. It also includes a discussion of liquidity policies, how we obtain funding, and related performance. In addition, there is a discussion of guarantees and / or commitments made for items such as standby letters of credit and commitments to sell loans, and a discussion that reviews the adequacy of capital, including regulatory capital requirements.

Business Segment Discussion - Provides an overview of financial performance for each of our major business segments and provides additional discussion of trends underlying consolidated financial performance.

Additional Disclosures - Provides comments on important matters including forward-looking statements, critical accounting policies and use of significant estimates, recent accounting pronouncements and developments, and acquisitions.

A reading of each section is important to understand fully the nature of our financial performance and prospects.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW****Summary of 2011 First Quarter Results**

For the quarter, we reported net income of \$126.4 million, or \$0.14 per common share, compared with \$122.9 million, or \$0.05 per common share, in the prior quarter (*see Table 1*). The 2010 fourth quarter included a nonrecurring reduction of \$0.07 per common share for the deemed dividend resulting from the repurchase of \$1.4 billion in TARP Capital.

Fully-taxable equivalent net interest income was \$408.3 million for the quarter, down \$10.7 million, or 3%, from the 2010 fourth quarter. The decline primarily reflected the impact of fewer days and a decline in average investment securities. The fully-taxable equivalent net interest margin increased to 3.42% from 3.37%.

Total noninterest income declined \$27.3 million, or 10%. This reflected a \$30.5 million, or 57%, decline in mortgage banking income from the prior quarter primarily related to a 49% decline in mortgage originations. The anticipated decline was due to expected lower originations as mortgage interest rates increased late in the prior quarter. The decline was partially offset by a 5% increase in trust services income and a 21% increase in brokerage income.

Total noninterest expense declined \$3.9 million, or 1%, reflecting declines in legal costs as collection activities declined, consulting expenses, OREO and foreclosure expense, and several other expense categories. Partially offsetting these declines were \$17.0 million in additions to litigation reserves, seasonal increases in certain expenses, most notably personnel costs related to the annual FICA and other benefit expense resets, as well as annual merit increases for nonexecutives.

Credit quality performance in the current quarter continued to show significant improvement as NALs and criticized loans declined 18% and 13%, respectively. NCOs were \$165.1 million, or an annualized 1.73% of average total loans and leases, down from \$172.3 million, or 1.82%, in the 2010 fourth quarter. This helped drive a \$37.6 million, or 43%, decline in the provision for credit losses. While the ACL as a percentage of loans and leases was 3.07%, down from 3.39% at December 31, 2010, the ACL as a percentage of NALs increased to 185% from 166%.

On January 19, 2011, we repurchased for \$49.1 million the warrant to purchase 23.6 million common shares issued to the Treasury in connection with the CPP under the TARP. While the repurchase of this warrant had the positive effect of removing any possible future share dilutive impact, it negatively impacted our capital ratios. For example, the warrant repurchase negatively impacted our tangible common equity ratio by 9 basis points. Despite this impact, as a result of the first quarter's earnings, our March 31, 2011, capital ratios increased from the end of last year.

Business Overview**General**

Our general business objectives are: (1) grow revenue and profitability, (2) grow key fee businesses (existing and new), (3) improve credit quality, including lower NCOs and NPAs, (4) improve cross-sell and share-of-wallet across all business segments, (5) reduce noncore CRE exposure, and (6) continue to improve our overall management of risk. Throughout last year, and continuing into this year, we are taking advantage of what we view as an opportunity to make significant investments in strategic initiatives to position us for more profitable and sustainable long-term growth. This includes implementing our Fair Play banking philosophy value proposition for our customers, deepening product penetration, investing in expanding existing business, and launching new businesses.

This quarter, we are especially pleased with the increase in our net interest margin as this primarily reflected the benefit of continued growth in low cost noninterest-bearing demand deposits. These represent our most profitable deposits and the primary customer banking relationship. During the quarter, consumer checking account households grew at a 9% annualized rate, reflecting the traction we are gaining with customers in our markets as they increasingly embrace the benefits offered through our Fair Play banking philosophy with programs such as 24-Hour Grace on overdrafts.

Economy

Borrower and consumer confidence and the sustainability of the slow economic recovery remain major factors impacting growth opportunities for the rest of 2011. Some signs that our footprint states of Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia have been experiencing cyclical recovery in line with, and in certain instances stronger than, the national average over the past year include:

Increase in total payroll for all of our footprint states, with all but Indiana and West Virginia (two of our smaller regions) exceeding the national average.

Strong manufacturing growth providing a boost to the regional economy as evidenced by the first manufacturing payroll growth since the 1990 s.

Table of Contents

Decline in unemployment rates for all of our footprint states, except West Virginia.

Combined exports from our footprint states have risen 51% between the recession low in January 2009 and February 2011.

With the exception of Michigan, the FHFA House Price Index in the Huntington footprint states declined by less than the national average during the recession and all footprint states outperformed the FHFA House Price Index during 2010. Overall regional vacancy rates have shown signs of stabilization along with the national vacancy rate in 2010.

Unfortunately, during the 2011 first quarter a number of issues have emerged that could negatively impact the recovery. These include the continued instability in the Middle East with its ramifications on the cost of oil translating to higher gas prices, and the crisis in Japan which could negatively impact the production of consumer goods and services, most notably the electronics and automobile sectors. In addition, above average office vacancy rates in large metropolitan areas indicate the possibility for some continued softness in commercial real estate in 2011. For now, we continue to believe that the economy will remain relatively stable throughout 2011, with the potential for improvement in the latter half.

Legislative and Regulatory

Legislative and regulatory reforms continue to be adopted which impose additional restrictions on current business practices. Recent actions affecting us included an amendment to Reg E relating to certain overdraft fees for consumer deposit accounts and the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act.

Durbin Amendment The Durbin Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act instructed the Federal Reserve to establish the rate merchants pay banks for electronic clearing of debit card transactions (i.e., the interchange rate). Interchange fees accounted for about \$90 million, or just over 80%, of our electronic banking income last year, our fourth largest fee income activity. In the fourth quarter, the Federal Reserve put out a proposal for comment that would cap the interchange rate at either \$0.07 or \$0.12 per transaction. While these rates are not finalized, if they stand, we estimate that between 75%-85% of our interchange income could be lost. The new rate is scheduled to take effect July 21, 2011.

Recent Industry Developments

Foreclosure Documentation We are continuing to evaluate our foreclosure process and procedures given the recent consent orders entered into by some of the largest servicers regarding their foreclosure activities. We have determined that there is no reason to conclude that foreclosures were filed that should not have been filed. We have identified and are implementing process and control enhancements to ensure that our foreclosure processes are in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. We are consulting with counsel as necessary with respect to requirements imposed on the largest servicers in the consent orders and by the courts in which foreclosure proceedings are pending, which could impact our foreclosure actions.

Representation and Warranty Reserve We primarily conduct our loan sale and securitization activity with FNMA and FHLMC. In connection with these and other sale and securitization transactions, we make certain representations and warranties that the loans meet certain criteria, such as collateral type and underwriting standards. In the future, we may be required to repurchase individual loans and / or indemnify these organizations against losses due to material breaches of these representations and warranties. At March 31, 2011, we have a reserve for such losses of \$23.8 million, which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

Mortgage Servicing Rights MSR fair values are estimated based on residential mortgage servicing revenue in excess of estimated market costs to service the underlying loans. Historically, the estimated market cost to service has been stable. Due to changes in the regulatory environment related to loan servicing and foreclosure activities, costs to service may potentially increase, however the potential impact on the market costs to service remains uncertain. Certain large residential mortgage loan servicers entered into consent orders with banking regulators in April 2011, which require the banks to remedy deficiencies and unsafe or unsound practices and to enhance residential mortgage servicing and foreclosure processes. It is unclear what impact this may ultimately have on market costs to service. At March 31, 2011, we estimated a 25% increase to our loan servicing market cost assumption would result in a fair value impairment charge of approximately \$8 million.

Expectations

We are optimistic about our prospects for continued earnings growth for the rest of the year.

Net income is expected to grow from the current quarter level throughout the rest of the year as pretax, pre-provision income rebounds from the current quarter's level.

Table of Contents

We believe the momentum we are seeing in loan and deposit growth, coupled with a stable net interest margin, will contribute to growth in net interest income. Our C&I portfolio is expected to continue to show meaningful growth with much of this reflecting the positive impact from strategic initiatives to expand our commercial lending expertise into areas like specialty banking, asset based lending, and equipment financing, in addition to our long-standing continued support of small business lending. Growth in automobile loans is also expected to remain strong, aided by our recent expansion into new markets. Home equity and residential mortgages are likely to show only modest growth until there is more consumer confidence in the sustainability of the economic recovery. Our noncore CRE portfolio is expected to continue to decline, but likely at a slower rate.

We anticipate our core deposits will continue to grow, reflecting growth in consumer households and business relationships. Further, we expect the shift toward lower-cost noninterest-bearing demand deposit accounts will continue.

From a fee income perspective, first quarter results reflect for the most part the negative run rate impacts from the decline in mortgage banking income and deposit service charges. Mortgage banking income will likely show only modest, if any, growth throughout the rest of this year. Service charge income should begin to show modest growth later in this year as the benefits from our Fair Play banking philosophy continue to gain momentum commensurate with consumer household growth and increased product penetration.

Electronic banking income in the second half of the year could be negatively impacted by as much as \$45 million if the Federal Reserve's currently proposed interchange fee structure is implemented July 21, 2011 as planned. There are some congressional movements to block or postpone the implementation, but any outcome is uncertain at this time. We also expect to see continued growth in the earnings contribution from other key fee income activities including capital markets, treasury management services, and brokerage, reflecting the impact of our cross-sell and product penetration initiatives throughout the Company, as well as the positive impact from strategic initiatives.

Expense levels are expected to remain relatively stable with declines resulting from continued low credit costs and improved expense efficiencies, offset by continued investments in strategic initiatives.

Nonaccrual loans are expected to decline meaningfully throughout the year.

We anticipate an effective tax rate for the remainder of the year to approximate 35% of income before income taxes less approximately \$60.0 million of permanent tax differences over the remainder of 2011 primarily related to tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments, and general business credits.

Table of Contents

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section provides a review of financial performance from a consolidated perspective. It also includes a Significant Items section that summarizes key issues important for a complete understanding of performance trends. Key Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and Statement of Income trends are discussed. All earnings per share data are reported on a diluted basis. For additional insight on financial performance, please read this section in conjunction with the Business Segment Discussion.

Table of Contents**Table 1 Selected Quarterly Income Statement Data (1)**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	2011	2010			
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Interest income	\$ 501,877	\$ 528,291	\$ 534,669	\$ 535,653	\$ 546,779
Interest expense	97,547	112,997	124,707	135,997	152,886
Net interest income	404,330	415,294	409,962	399,656	393,893
Provision for credit losses	49,385	86,973	119,160	193,406	235,008
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	354,945	328,321	290,802	206,250	158,885
Service charges on deposit accounts	54,324	55,810	65,932	75,934	69,339
Mortgage banking income	22,684	53,169	52,045	45,530	25,038
Trust services income	30,742	29,394	26,997	28,399	27,765
Electronic banking income	28,786	28,900	28,090	28,107	25,137
Insurance income	17,945	19,678	19,801	18,074	18,860
Brokerage income	20,511	16,953	16,575	18,425	16,902
Bank owned life insurance income	14,819	16,113	14,091	14,392	16,470
Automobile operating lease income	8,847	10,463	11,356	11,842	12,303
Securities gains (losses)	40	(103)	(296)	156	(31)
Other income	38,247	33,843	32,552	28,784	29,069
Total noninterest income	236,945	264,220	267,143	269,643	240,852
Personnel costs	219,028	212,184	208,272	194,875	183,642
Outside data processing and other services	40,282	40,943	38,553	40,670	39,082
Net occupancy	28,436	26,670	26,718	25,388	29,086
Deposit and other insurance expense	17,896	23,320	23,406	26,067	24,755
Professional services	13,465	21,021	20,672	24,388	22,697
Equipment	22,477	22,060	21,651	21,585	20,624
Marketing	16,895	16,168	20,921	17,682	11,153
Amortization of intangibles	13,370	15,046	15,145	15,141	15,146
OREO and foreclosure expense	3,931	10,502	12,047	4,970	11,530
Automobile operating lease expense	6,836	8,142	9,159	9,667	10,066
Other expense	48,083	38,537	30,765	33,377	30,312
Total noninterest expense	430,699	434,593	427,309	413,810	398,093
Income before income taxes	161,191	157,948	130,636	62,083	1,644
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	34,745	35,048	29,690	13,319	(38,093)
Net income	\$ 126,446	\$ 122,900	\$ 100,946	\$ 48,764	\$ 39,737
Dividends on preferred shares	7,703	83,754	29,495	29,426	29,357
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 118,743	\$ 39,146	\$ 71,451	\$ 19,338	\$ 10,380
Average common shares basic	863,359	757,924	716,911	716,580	716,320

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Average common shares diluted ⁽¹⁾	867,237	760,582	719,567	719,387	718,593
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.01
Net income per common share diluted	0.14	0.05	0.10	0.03	0.01
Cash dividends declared per common share	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Return on average total assets	0.96%	0.90%	0.76%	0.38%	0.31%
Return on average common shareholders equity	10.3	3.8	7.4	2.1	1.1
Return on average common tangible shareholders equity ⁽³⁾	12.7	5.6	10.0	3.8	2.7
Net interest margin ⁽⁴⁾	3.42	3.37	3.45	3.46	3.47
Efficiency ratio ⁽⁵⁾	64.7	61.4	60.6	59.4	60.1
Effective tax rate	21.6	22.2	22.7	21.5	(2,317.1)
Revenue FTE					
Net interest income	\$ 404,330	\$ 415,294	\$ 409,962	\$ 399,656	\$ 393,893
FTE adjustment	3,945	3,708	2,631	2,490	2,248
Net interest income ⁽⁴⁾	408,275	419,002	412,593	402,146	396,141
Noninterest income	236,945	264,220	267,143	269,643	240,852
Total revenue⁽⁴⁾	\$ 645,220	\$ 683,222	\$ 679,736	\$ 671,789	\$ 636,993

(1) Comparisons for presented periods are impacted by a number of factors. Refer to Significant Items for additional discussion regarding these key factors.

Table of Contents

- (2) For all periods presented, the impact of the convertible preferred stock issued in 2008 and the warrants issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury in 2008 related to Huntington's participation in the voluntary Capital Purchase Program was excluded from the diluted share calculation because the result was more than basic earnings per common share (anti-dilutive) for the periods. The convertible preferred stock and warrants were repurchased in December 2010, and January 2011, respectively.
- (3) Net income excluding expense for amortization of intangibles for the period divided by average tangible shareholders' equity. Average tangible shareholders' equity equals average total shareholders' equity less average intangible assets and goodwill. Expense for amortization of intangibles and average intangible assets are net of deferred tax liability, and calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (4) On a fully-taxable equivalent (FTE) basis assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (5) Noninterest expense less amortization of intangibles and goodwill impairment divided by the sum of FTE net interest income and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses).

Significant Items

Definition of Significant Items

From time-to-time, revenue, expenses, or taxes, are impacted by items judged by us to be outside of ordinary banking activities and / or by items that, while they may be associated with ordinary banking activities, are so unusually large that their outsized impact is believed by us at that time to be infrequent or short-term in nature. We refer to such items as Significant Items. Most often, these Significant Items result from factors originating outside the Company; e.g., regulatory actions / assessments, windfall gains, changes in accounting principles, one-time tax assessments / refunds, litigation actions, etc. In other cases, they may result from our decisions associated with significant corporate actions out of the ordinary course of business; e.g., merger / restructuring charges, recapitalization actions, goodwill impairment, etc.

Even though certain revenue and expense items are naturally subject to more volatility than others due to changes in market and economic environment conditions, as a general rule volatility alone does not define a Significant Item. For example, changes in the provision for credit losses, gains / losses from investment activities, asset valuation writedowns, etc., reflect ordinary banking activities and are, therefore, typically excluded from consideration as a Significant Item.

We believe the disclosure of Significant Items provides a better understanding of our performance and trends to ascertain which of such items, if any, to include or exclude from an analysis of our performance; i.e., within the context of determining how that performance differed from expectations, as well as how, if at all, to adjust estimates of future performance accordingly. To this end, we adopted a practice of listing Significant Items in our external disclosure documents (e.g., earnings press releases, investor presentations, Forms 10-Q and 10-K).

Significant Items for any particular period are not intended to be a complete list of items that may materially impact current or future period performance.

Significant Items Influencing Financial Performance Comparisons

Earnings comparisons were impacted by the Significant Items summarized below.

1. **Litigation Reserve.** During the 2011 first quarter, \$17.0 million of additions to litigation reserves were recorded as other noninterest expense. This resulted in a negative impact of \$0.01 per common share.
2. **TARP Capital Purchase Program Repurchase.** During the 2010 fourth quarter, we issued \$920.0 million of our common stock and \$300.0 million of subordinated debt. The net proceeds, along with other available funds, were used to repurchase all \$1.4 billion of TARP capital that we issued to the Treasury under its TARP CPP in 2008. As part of this transaction, there was a deemed dividend that did not impact net income, but resulted in a negative impact of \$0.07 per common share for the 2010 fourth quarter.
3. **Franklin Relationship.** Our relationship with Franklin was acquired in the Sky Financial acquisition in 2007. On March 31, 2009, we restructured our relationship with Franklin. During the 2010 first quarter, a

\$38.2 million (\$0.05 per common share) net tax benefit was recognized, primarily reflecting the increase in the net deferred tax asset relating to the assets acquired from the March 31, 2009 restructuring.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the earnings impact of the above-mentioned significant items for periods affected by this Results of Operations discussion:

Table 2 Significant Items Influencing Earnings Performance Comparison

	March 31, 2011		Three Months Ended December 31, 2010		March 31, 2010	
	After-tax	EPS	After-tax	EPS	After-tax	EPS
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>						
Net income GAAP	\$ 126,446		\$ 122,900		\$ 39,737	
Earnings per share, after-tax		\$ 0.14		\$ 0.05		\$ 0.01
Change from prior quarter \$		0.09		(0.05)		0.57
Change from prior quarter %		180.0%		(50)%		N.R.%
Change from year-ago \$		\$ 0.13		\$ 0.61		\$ 6.80
Change from year-ago %		1,300%		109%		N.R.%
	Earnings		Earnings		Earnings	
Significant Items - favorable (unfavorable) impact:	(1)	EPS	(1)	EPS	(1)	EPS
Net tax benefit recognized (2)					38,222	0.05
Litigation reserves addition	(17,028)	(0.01)				
Preferred stock conversion deemed dividend				(0.07)		

N.R. not relevant. The numerator of the calculation is a positive value and the dominator is a negative value.

(1) Pretax unless otherwise noted.

(2) After-tax.

Pretax, Pre-provision Income Trends

One non-GAAP performance measurement that we believe is useful in analyzing our underlying performance trends is pretax, pre-provision income. This is the level of pretax earnings adjusted to exclude the impact of: (a) provision expense, (b) investment securities gains/losses, which are excluded because securities market valuations may become particularly volatile in times of economic stress, (c) amortization of intangibles expense, which is excluded because the return on tangible common equity is a key measurement we use to gauge performance trends, and (d) certain other items identified by us (*see Significant Items*) that we believe may distort our underlying performance trends.

The following table reflects pretax, pre-provision income for each of the past five quarters:

Table 3 Pretax, Pre-provision Income (1)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010			
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Income before income taxes	\$ 161,191	\$ 157,948	\$ 130,636	\$ 62,083	\$ 1,644
Add: Provision for credit losses	49,385	86,973	119,160	193,406	235,008
Less: Securities gains (losses)	40	(103)	(296)	156	(31)
Add: Amortization of intangibles	13,370	15,046	15,145	15,141	15,146
Less: Litigation reserves addition	(17,028)				
Total pretax, pre-provision income	\$ 240,934	\$ 260,070	\$ 265,237	\$ 270,474	\$ 251,829

Change in total pretax,
pre-provision income:

Prior quarter change	amount	\$ (19,136)	\$ (5,167)	\$ (5,237)	\$ 18,645	\$ 9,768
Prior quarter change	percent	(7)%	(2)%	(2)%	7%	4%

- (1) Pretax, pre-provision income is a non-GAAP financial measure. Any ratio utilizing this financial measure is also non-GAAP. This financial measure has been included as it is considered to be an important metric with which to analyze and evaluate our results of operations and financial strength. Other companies may calculate this financial measure differently.

Table of Contents

Pretax, pre-provision income was \$240.9 million in the 2011 first quarter, down \$19.1 million, or 7%, from the prior quarter. From a run-rate basis, the decline reflected:

\$8.8 million seasonal reduction in revenue as the current quarter had fewer days than the prior quarter. This included a \$7.0 million reduction in net interest income and a \$1.8 million reduction in service charge and electronic banking income.

\$6.9 million seasonal increase in noninterest expense, primarily associated with the annual reset of FICA and other payroll taxes.

Net Interest Income / Average Balance Sheet**2011 First Quarter versus 2010 First Quarter**

Fully-taxable equivalent net interest income increased \$12.1 million, or 3%, from the year-ago quarter. This reflected the benefit of a \$2.1 billion, or 5%, increase in average earning assets. The FTE net interest margin declined to 3.42% from 3.47%. The increase in average earning assets reflected a combination of factors including:

\$1.1 billion, or 3%, increase in average total loans and leases.

\$1.1 billion, or 13%, increase in average total available-for-sale and other securities, reflecting the deployment of cash from core deposit growth.

The 5 basis point decline in the FTE net interest margin reflected the impact of stronger deposit growth funding available-for-sale and other securities purchases at a lower incremental spread.

The following table details the change in our average loans and leases and deposits:

Table 4 Average Loans/Leases and Deposits 2011 First Quarter vs. 2010 First Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	First Quarter		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Loans/Leases				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,121	\$ 12,314	\$ 807	7%
Commercial real estate	6,524	7,677	(1,153)	(15)
Total commercial	19,645	19,991	(346)	(2)
Automobile	5,701	4,250	1,451	34
Home equity	7,728	7,539	189	3
Residential mortgage	4,465	4,477	(12)	
Other loans	559	723	(164)	(23)
Total consumer	18,453	16,989	1,464	9
Total loans and leases	\$ 38,098	\$ 36,980	\$ 1,118	3%
Deposits				
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,333	\$ 6,627	\$ 706	11%
Demand deposits interest-bearing	5,357	5,716	(359)	(6)
Money market deposits	13,492	10,340	3,152	30
Savings and other domestic time deposits	4,701	4,613	88	2
Core certificates of deposit	8,391	9,976	(1,585)	(16)
Total core deposits	39,274	37,272	2,002	5
Other deposits	2,390	2,951	(561)	(19)
Total deposits	\$ 41,664	\$ 40,223	\$ 1,441	4%

The \$1.1 billion, or 3%, increase in average total loans and leases primarily reflected:

\$1.5 billion, or 34%, increase in the average automobile portfolio. Automobile lending is a core competency and continued to be an area of growth. The growth from the year-ago quarter exhibited further penetration within our historical geographic footprint, as well as the positive impact of our expansion into Eastern Pennsylvania and five New England states. Origination quality remained high.

\$0.8 billion, or 7%, increase in the average C&I portfolio. Growth from the year-ago quarter reflected the benefits from our strategic initiatives including large corporate, asset based lending, and equipment finance. In addition, we continued to see growth in automobile floor plan lending as well as more traditional middle-market loans. This growth is evident despite line-of-credit utilization rates that remain well below historical norms.

\$0.2 billion, or 3%, increase in the average home equity portfolio, reflecting higher originations and continued slower runoff.

Table of Contents

Partially offset by:

\$1.2 billion, or 15%, decrease in average CRE loans reflecting the continued execution of our plan to reduce CRE exposure, primarily in the noncore CRE segment. This reduction will continue through 2011, reflecting normal amortization, paydowns, and refinancing.

Average total deposits increased \$1.4 billion, or 4%, from the year-ago quarter reflecting:

\$2.0 billion, or 5%, growth in average total core deposits. The drivers of this change were a \$3.2 billion, or 30%, growth in average money market deposits, and a \$0.7 billion, or 11%, growth in average noninterest-bearing demand deposits. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.6 billion, or 16%, decline in average core certificates of deposit and a \$0.4 billion, or 6%, decrease in average interest-bearing demand deposits. Contributing to the growth in noninterest-bearing demand deposits was 7% growth in the number of retail banking DDA households.

Partially offset by:

\$0.4 billion, or 23%, decline in average brokered deposits and negotiable CDs, reflecting a strategy of reducing such noncore funding.

2011 First Quarter versus 2010 Fourth Quarter

FTE net interest income decreased \$10.7 million, or 3%, from the 2010 fourth quarter. This reflected a 2% (8% annualized) decrease in average earning assets as the FTE net interest margin increased to 3.42% from 3.37%. The decrease in average earning assets reflected a combination of factors including:

\$0.6 billion, or 6% (25% annualized), decrease in average available-for-sale and other securities, primarily related to two funding requirements. The first was to fund the repurchase of TARP capital and related warrants and the second was the \$0.4 billion decline in noncore deposits.

\$0.4 billion, or 46%, decline in loans held for sale as our mortgage pipeline slowed considerably during the current quarter.

Partially offset by:

\$0.3 billion, or 1% (3% annualized), increase in average total loans and leases.

The net interest margin increased 5 basis points, reflecting the positive impacts of increases in lower cost deposits, improved deposit pricing, and day count, partially offset by the negative impacts of a reduction in swap income, lower loan yields, and the issuance of subordinated debt.

Table of Contents

The following table details the change in our average loans / leases and deposits:

Table 5 Average Loans/Leases and Deposits 2011 First Quarter vs. 2010 Fourth Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		2010		Change	
	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Amount	Percent		
Loans/Leases						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,121	\$ 12,767	\$ 354	3%		
Commercial real estate	6,524	6,798	(274)	(4)		
Total commercial	19,645	19,565	80			
Automobile	5,701	5,520	181	3		
Home equity	7,728	7,709	19			
Residential mortgage	4,465	4,430	35	1		
Other consumer	559	576	(17)	(3)		
Total consumer	18,453	18,235	218	1		
Total loans and leases	\$ 38,098	\$ 37,800	\$ 298	1%		
Deposits						
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,333	\$ 7,188	\$ 145	2%		
Demand deposits interest-bearing	5,357	5,317	40	1		
Money market deposits	13,492	13,158	334	3		
Savings and other domestic time deposits	4,701	4,640	61	1		
Core certificates of deposit	8,391	8,646	(255)	(3)		
Total core deposits	39,274	38,949	325	1		
Other deposits	2,390	2,755	(365)	(13)		
Total deposits	\$ 41,664	\$ 41,704	\$ (40)	%		

The \$0.3 billion, or 1% (3% annualized), increase in average total loans and leases primarily reflected:

\$0.4 billion, or 3% (11% annualized), growth in the average C&I portfolio. The growth in the C&I portfolio during the 2011 first quarter came from several business lines including large corporate, middle market, asset based lending, automobile floor plan lending, and equipment finance. On a geographic basis, nine of our eleven regions experienced loan growth in the quarter, adding to the diversity of the portfolio growth. Line-of-credit utilization rates remained low and little changed from the end of the prior quarter.

\$0.2 billion, or 3% (13% annualized), growth in the average automobile portfolio. We continue to originate high quality loans with acceptable returns. To date, we have seen no material change in our outlook for automobile originations as a result of the crisis in Japan. While the crisis in Japan has resulted in a selective slowdown in automobile production, we currently do not see this having a material negative impact on our automobile finance business. We focus on larger, multi-franchised, well-capitalized dealers that are rarely reliant on the success of one franchise to generate profitability. In addition, the slowdown is only impacting new automobile production, which is providing support to used automobile pricing and sales activity. More than half of our loan production represents used automobile financing.

Partially offset by:

\$0.3 billion, or 4% (16% annualized), decline in average CRE loans, primarily as a result of our ongoing strategy to reduce our exposure to the commercial real estate market. The decline in noncore CRE accounted

for 63% of the decline in the total CRE portfolio. The noncore CRE declines reflected paydowns, refinancing, and NCOs. The core CRE portfolio continued to exhibit high quality characteristics with minimal downgrade or NCO activity.

Average total deposits were little changed from the prior quarter reflecting:

\$0.3 billion, or 1% (3% annualized), growth in average total core deposits. The primary drivers of this growth were a 3% (10% annualized) increase in average money market deposits, partially reflecting funds from maturing CDs flowing into money market accounts given the low absolute level of rates on new CD offerings. The growth in average total core deposits also reflected 2% (8% annualized) growth in average noninterest-bearing demand deposits. Contributing to the growth in noninterest-bearing demand deposits was a 9% annualized prior quarter growth in consumer checking account households.

Partially offset by:

\$0.2 billion, or 10% (42% annualized), decline in average brokered deposits and negotiable CDs, reflecting a strategy of reducing such noncore funding.

Table of Contents

Tables 6 and 7 reflect quarterly average balance sheets and rates earned and paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Table 6 Consolidated Quarterly Average Balance Sheets

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		2010		Change 1Q11 vs. 1Q10		
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First	Amount	Percent
Assets							
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	\$ 130	\$ 218	\$ 282	\$ 309	\$ 348	\$ (218)	(63)%
Trading account securities	144	297	110	127	96	48	50
Loans held for sale	420	779	663	323	346	74	21
Available-for-sale and other securities:							
Taxable	9,108	9,747	8,876	8,369	8,027	1,081	13
Tax-exempt	445	449	365	389	443	2	
Total available-for-sale and other securities	9,553	10,196	9,241	8,758	8,470	1,083	13
Loans and leases: (1)							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	13,121	12,767	12,393	12,244	12,314	807	7
Commercial real estate:							
Construction	611	716	989	1,279	1,409	(798)	(57)
Commercial	5,913	6,082	6,084	6,085	6,268	(355)	(6)
Commercial real estate	6,524	6,798	7,073	7,364	7,677	(1,153)	(15)
Total commercial	19,645	19,565	19,466	19,608	19,991	(346)	(2)
Consumer:							
Automobile	5,701	5,520	5,140	4,634	4,250	1,451	34
Home equity	7,728	7,709	7,567	7,544	7,539	189	3
Residential mortgage	4,465	4,430	4,389	4,608	4,477	(12)	
Other consumer	559	576	653	695	723	(164)	(23)
Total consumer	18,453	18,235	17,749	17,481	16,989	1,464	9
Total loans and leases	38,098	37,800	37,215	37,089	36,980	1,118	3
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,231)	(1,323)	(1,384)	(1,506)	(1,510)	279	(18)
Net loans and leases	36,867	36,477	35,831	35,583	35,470	1,397	4
Total earning assets	48,345	49,290	47,511	46,606	46,240	2,105	5
Cash and due from banks	1,299	1,187	1,618	1,509	1,761	(462)	(26)
Intangible assets	665	679	695	710	725	(60)	(8)
All other assets	4,291	4,313	4,277	4,384	4,486	(195)	(4)
Total assets	\$ 53,369	\$ 54,146	\$ 52,717	\$ 51,703	\$ 51,702	\$ 1,667	3%

Liabilities and Shareholders Equity

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Deposits:								
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,333	\$ 7,188	\$ 6,768	\$ 6,849	\$ 6,627	\$ 706	11%	
Demand deposits interest-bearing	5,357	5,317	5,319	5,971	5,716	(359)	(6)	
Money market deposits	13,492	13,158	12,336	11,103	10,340	3,152	30	
Savings and other domestic deposits	4,701	4,640	4,639	4,677	4,613	88	2	
Core certificates of deposit	8,391	8,646	8,948	9,199	9,976	(1,585)	(16)	
Total core deposits	39,274	38,949	38,010	37,799	37,272	2,002	5	
Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more	606	737	690	661	698	(92)	(13)	
Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs	1,410	1,575	1,495	1,505	1,843	(433)	(23)	
Deposits in foreign offices	374	443	451	402	410	(36)	(9)	
Total deposits	41,664	41,704	40,646	40,367	40,223	1,441	4	
Short-term borrowings	2,134	2,134	1,739	966	927	1,207	130	
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	30	112	188	212	179	(149)	(83)	
Subordinated notes and other long-term debt	3,525	3,558	3,672	3,836	4,062	(537)	(13)	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	40,020	40,320	39,477	38,532	38,764	1,256	3	
All other liabilities	994	993	952	924	947	47	5	
Shareholders equity	5,022	5,645	5,520	5,398	5,364	(342)	(6)	
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 53,369	\$ 54,146	\$ 52,717	\$ 51,703	\$ 51,702	\$ 1,667	3%	

(1) For purposes of this analysis, NALs are reflected in the average balances of loans.

Table of Contents**Table 7 Consolidated Quarterly Net Interest Margin Analysis**

Fully-taxable equivalent basis (1)	2011 First	Average Rates (2)				2010 First
		Fourth	Third	Second	First	
Assets						
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	0.11%	0.63%	0.21%	0.20%	0.18%	
Trading account securities	1.37	1.98	1.20	1.74	2.15	
Loans held for sale	4.08	4.01	5.75	5.02	4.98	
Available-for-sale and other securities:						
Taxable	2.53	2.42	2.77	2.85	2.94	
Tax-exempt	4.70	4.59	4.70	4.62	4.37	
Total available-for-sale and other securities	2.63	2.52	2.84	2.93	3.01	
Loans and leases: (3)						
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	4.57	4.94	5.14	5.31	5.60	
Commercial real estate:						
Construction	3.36	3.07	2.83	2.61	2.66	
Commercial	3.93	3.92	3.91	3.69	3.60	
Commercial real estate	3.88	3.83	3.76	3.49	3.43	
Total commercial	4.34	4.56	4.64	4.63	4.76	
Consumer:						
Automobile	5.22	5.46	5.79	6.46	6.63	
Home equity	4.54	4.64	4.74	5.26	5.59	
Residential mortgage	4.76	4.82	4.97	4.70	4.89	
Other consumer	7.85	7.92	7.10	6.84	7.00	
Total consumer	4.90	5.04	5.19	5.49	5.73	
Total loans and leases	4.61	4.79	4.90	5.04	5.21	
Total earning assets	4.24%	4.29%	4.49%	4.63%	4.82%	
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity						
Deposits:						
Demand deposits - noninterest-bearing		%	%	%	%	
Demand deposits - interest-bearing	0.09	0.13	0.17	0.22	0.22	
Money market deposits	0.50	0.77	0.86	0.93	1.00	
Savings and other domestic deposits	0.81	0.90	0.99	1.07	1.19	
Core certificates of deposit	2.07	2.11	2.31	2.68	2.93	
Total core deposits	0.89	1.05	1.18	1.33	1.51	
Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more	1.08	1.21	1.28	1.37	1.44	
Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs	1.11	1.53	2.21	2.56	2.49	

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Deposits in foreign offices	0.20	0.17	0.22	0.19	0.19
Total deposits	0.90	1.06	1.21	1.37	1.55
Short-term borrowings	0.18	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.21
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	2.98	0.95	1.25	1.93	2.71
Subordinated notes and other long-term debt	2.34	2.15	2.15	2.05	2.25
Total interest-bearing liabilities	0.99%	1.11%	1.25%	1.41%	1.60%
Net interest rate spread	3.21%	3.16%	3.24%	3.22%	3.22%
Impact of noninterest-bearing funds on margin	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.25
Net interest margin	3.42%	3.37%	3.45%	3.46%	3.47%

(1) FTE yields are calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.

(2) Loan and lease and deposit average rates include impact of applicable derivatives, non-deferrable fees, and amortized deferred fees.

(3) For purposes of this analysis, NALs are reflected in the average balances of loans.

Table of Contents**Provision for Credit Losses**

(This section should be read in conjunction with the Credit Risk section.)

The provision for credit losses is the expense necessary to maintain the ALLL and the AULC at levels adequate to absorb our estimate of inherent credit losses in the loan and lease portfolio and the portfolio of unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit.

The provision for credit losses for the 2011 first quarter was \$49.4 million, down \$37.6 million, or 43%, from the prior quarter and down \$185.6 million, or 79%, from the year-ago quarter. Reflecting the resolution of problem credits for which reserves had previously been established, the current quarter's provision for credit losses was \$115.7 million less than total NCOs (see Credit Quality discussion).

Noninterest Income

The following table reflects noninterest income for each of the past five quarters:

Table 8 Noninterest Income

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011		2010		
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 54,324	\$ 55,810	\$ 65,932	\$ 75,934	\$ 69,339
Mortgage banking income	22,684	53,169	52,045	45,530	25,038
Trust services income	30,742	29,394	26,997	28,399	27,765
Electronic banking income	28,786	28,900	28,090	28,107	25,137
Insurance income	17,945	19,678	19,801	18,074	18,860
Brokerage income	20,511	16,953	16,575	18,425	16,902
Bank owned life insurance income	14,819	16,113	14,091	14,392	16,470
Automobile operating lease income	8,847	10,463	11,356	11,842	12,303
Securities gains (losses)	40	(103)	(296)	156	(31)
Other income	38,247	33,843	32,552	28,784	29,069
Total noninterest income	\$ 236,945	\$ 264,220	\$ 267,143	\$ 269,643	\$ 240,852

Table of Contents

The following table details mortgage banking income and the net impact of MSR hedging activity for each of the past five quarters:

Table 9 Mortgage Banking Income

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except as noted)</i>	2011	2010			
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Mortgage Banking Income					
Origination and secondary marketing	\$ 19,799	\$ 48,236	\$ 35,840	\$ 19,778	\$ 13,586
Servicing fees	12,546	11,474	12,053	12,178	12,418
Amortization of capitalized servicing	(9,863)	(13,960)	(13,003)	(10,137)	(10,065)
Other mortgage banking income	3,769	4,789	4,966	3,664	3,210
Sub-total	26,251	50,539	39,856	25,483	19,149
MSR valuation adjustment ⁽¹⁾	774	31,319	(12,047)	(26,221)	(5,772)
Net trading (losses) gains related to MSR hedging	(4,341)	(28,689)	24,236	46,268	11,661
Total mortgage banking income	\$ 22,684	\$ 53,169	\$ 52,045	\$ 45,530	\$ 25,038
Mortgage originations (in millions)	\$ 929	\$ 1,827	\$ 1,619	\$ 1,161	\$ 869
Average trading account securities used to hedge MSR's (in millions)	46	184	23	28	18
Capitalized mortgage servicing rights ⁽²⁾	202,559	196,194	161,594	179,138	207,552
Total mortgages serviced for others (in millions) ⁽²⁾	16,456	15,933	15,713	15,954	15,968
MSR % of investor servicing portfolio	1.23%	1.23%	1.03%	1.12%	1.30%
Net Impact of MSR Hedging					
MSR valuation adjustment ⁽¹⁾	\$ 774	\$ 31,319	\$ (12,047)	\$ (26,221)	\$ (5,772)
Net trading (losses) gains related to MSR hedging	(4,341)	(28,689)	24,236	46,268	11,661
Net interest income related to MSR hedging	99	713	32	58	169
Net (loss) gain of MSR hedging	\$ (3,468)	\$ 3,343	\$ 12,221	\$ 20,105	\$ 6,058

(1) The change in fair value for the period represents the MSR valuation adjustment, net of amortization of capitalized servicing.

(2) At period end.

2011 First Quarter versus 2010 First Quarter

Noninterest income decreased \$3.9 million, or 2%, from the year-ago quarter.

Table 10 Noninterest Income 2011 First Quarter vs. 2010 First Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	First Quarter		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 54,324	\$ 69,339	\$ (15,015)	(22)%

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Mortgage banking income	22,684	25,038	(2,354)	(9)
Trust services income	30,742	27,765	2,977	11
Electronic banking income	28,786	25,137	3,649	15
Insurance income	17,945	18,860	(915)	(5)
Brokerage income	20,511	16,902	3,609	21
Bank owned life insurance income	14,819	16,470	(1,651)	(10)
Automobile operating lease income	8,847	12,303	(3,456)	(28)
Securities gains (losses)	40	(31)	71	N.R.
Other income	38,247	29,069	9,178	32
Total noninterest income	\$ 236,945	\$ 240,852	\$ (3,907)	(2)%

N.R. Not relevant, as denominator of calculation is a loss in prior period compared with income in current period.

Table of Contents

The \$3.9 million, or 2%, decrease in total noninterest income from the year-ago quarter reflected:

\$15.0 million, or 22%, decline in service charges on deposit accounts, reflecting lower consumer service charges due to a combination of factors including the implementation of the amendment to Reg E, our Fair Play banking philosophy, and lower underlying activity levels.

\$3.5 million, or 28%, decline in automobile operating lease income reflecting the impact of a declining portfolio as a result of having exited that business in 2008.

\$2.4 million, or 9%, decrease in mortgage banking income. This primarily reflected a \$9.5 million reduction in MSR net hedging income (losses), as the current quarter reflected a \$3.6 million net loss, partially offset by a \$6.2 million, or 46%, increase in origination and secondary marketing income, as originations increased 7% from the year-ago quarter.

Partially offset by:

\$9.2 million, or 32%, increase in other income, of which \$7.5 million was associated with increased gains from the sale of SBA loans. Also contributing to the growth were increases from capital market activities and the sale of interest rate protection products.

\$3.6 million, or 15%, increase in electronic banking income, reflecting an increase in debit card transaction volume and new account growth.

\$3.6 million, or 21%, increase in brokerage income, primarily reflecting increased sales of investment products.

\$3.0 million, or 11%, increase in trust services income, reflecting increases in asset market values, net growth in accounts, and higher fees for income tax preparation.

2011 First Quarter versus 2010 Fourth Quarter

Noninterest income decreased \$27.3 million, or 10%, from the prior quarter.

Table 11 Noninterest Income 2011 First Quarter vs. 2010 Fourth Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011		2010		Change	
	First Quarter	2010 Fourth Quarter	2010 Fourth Quarter	Amount	Percent	
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 54,324	\$ 55,810	\$ 55,810	\$ (1,486)	(3)%	
Mortgage banking income	22,684	53,169	53,169	(30,485)	(57)	
Trust services income	30,742	29,394	29,394	1,348	5	
Electronic banking income	28,786	28,900	28,900	(114)		
Insurance income	17,945	19,678	19,678	(1,733)	(9)	
Brokerage income	20,511	16,953	16,953	3,558	21	
Bank owned life insurance income	14,819	16,113	16,113	(1,294)	(8)	
Automobile operating lease income	8,847	10,463	10,463	(1,616)	(15)	
Securities gains (losses)	40	(103)	(103)	143	N.R.	
Other income	38,247	33,843	33,843	4,404	13	
Total noninterest income	\$ 236,945	\$ 264,220	\$ 264,220	\$ (27,275)	(10)%	

N.R. Not relevant, as denominator of calculation is a loss in prior period compared with income in current period.

The \$27.3 million, or 10%, decrease in total noninterest income from the prior quarter reflected:

\$30.5 million, or 57%, decline in mortgage banking income. The decrease primarily resulted from a \$28.4 million, or 59%, reduction in origination and secondary marketing income. Mortgage originations declined to \$0.9 billion, or 49%, from \$1.8 billion in the prior quarter, reflecting a rise in mortgage interest rates late in the 2010 fourth quarter, thus decreasing refinancing and purchase activity. The decline also reflected a \$6.2 million reduction associated with MSR hedging activities as the current quarter reflected

\$3.6 million of MSR net hedging losses compared with \$2.6 million of such gains in the prior quarter.

Table of Contents

Partially offset by:

\$4.4 million, or 13%, growth in other income, reflecting a \$4.8 million increase in gains on the sale of SBA loans.

\$3.6 million, or 21%, growth in brokerage income, reflecting increased annuity sales.

Noninterest Expense*(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Item 1.)*

The following table reflects noninterest expense for each of the past five quarters:

Table 12 Noninterest Expense

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011		2010		
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Personnel costs	\$ 219,028	\$ 212,184	\$ 208,272	\$ 194,875	\$ 183,642
Outside data processing and other services	40,282	40,943	38,553	40,670	39,082
Net occupancy	28,436	26,670	26,718	25,388	29,086
Deposit and other insurance expense	17,896	23,320	23,406	26,067	24,755
Professional services	13,465	21,021	20,672	24,388	22,697
Equipment	22,477	22,060	21,651	21,585	20,624
Marketing	16,895	16,168	20,921	17,682	11,153
Amortization of intangibles	13,370	15,046	15,145	15,141	15,146
OREO and foreclosure expense	3,931	10,502	12,047	4,970	11,530
Automobile operating lease expense	6,836	8,142	9,159	9,667	10,066
Other expense	48,083	38,537	30,765	33,377	30,312
Total noninterest expense	\$ 430,699	\$ 434,593	\$ 427,309	\$ 413,810	\$ 398,093
Number of employees (FTE), at period-end	11,319	11,341	11,279	11,117	10,678

2011 First Quarter versus 2010 First Quarter

Noninterest expense increased \$32.6 million, or 8%, from the year-ago quarter.

Table 13 Noninterest Expense 2011 First Quarter vs. 2010 First Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	First Quarter		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Personnel costs	\$ 219,028	\$ 183,642	\$ 35,386	19%
Outside data processing and other services	40,282	39,082	1,200	3
Net occupancy	28,436	29,086	(650)	(2)
Deposit and other insurance expense	17,896	24,755	(6,859)	(28)
Professional services	13,465	22,697	(9,232)	(41)
Equipment	22,477	20,624	1,853	9
Marketing	16,895	11,153	5,742	51
Amortization of intangibles	13,370	15,146	(1,776)	(12)
OREO and foreclosure expense	3,931	11,530	(7,599)	(66)
Automobile operating lease expense	6,836	10,066	(3,230)	(32)
Other expense	48,083	30,312	17,771	59

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total noninterest expense	\$ 430,699	\$ 398,093	\$ 32,606	8%
Number of employees (FTE), at period-end	11,319	10,678	641	6%

21

Table of Contents

The \$32.6 million, or 8%, increase in total noninterest expense from the year-ago quarter reflected:

\$35.4 million, or 19%, increase in personnel costs, primarily reflecting a 6% increase in full-time equivalent staff in support of strategic initiatives, as well as higher benefit related expenses, including the reinstatement of our 401(k) plan matching contribution in the second quarter of last year.

\$17.8 million, or 59%, increase in other expense, primarily reflecting \$17.0 million of expense associated with additions to litigation reserves in the current quarter.

\$5.7 million, or 51%, increase in marketing expense, reflecting increases in branding and product advertising activities in support of strategic initiatives.

Partially offset by:

\$9.2 million, or 41%, decrease in professional services, reflecting a decline in costs related to collection activities and consulting expenses.

\$7.6 million, or 66%, decline in OREO and foreclosure expense, reflecting a 64% decline in OREO from the year-ago quarter.

\$6.9 million, or 28%, decline in deposit and other insurance expense.

\$3.2 million, or 32%, decline in automobile operating lease expense as that portfolio continued to run-off.

2011 First Quarter versus 2010 Fourth Quarter

Noninterest expense decreased \$3.9 million, or 1%, from the prior quarter.

Table 14 Noninterest Expense 2011 First Quarter vs. 2010 Fourth Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011 First Quarter	2010 Fourth Quarter	Change	
			Amount	Percent
Personnel costs	\$ 219,028	\$ 212,184	\$ 6,844	3%
Outside data processing and other services	40,282	40,943	(661)	(2)
Net occupancy	28,436	26,670	1,766	7
Deposit and other insurance expense	17,896	23,320	(5,424)	(23)
Professional services	13,465	21,021	(7,556)	(36)
Equipment	22,477	22,060	417	2
Marketing	16,895	16,168	727	4
Amortization of intangibles	13,370	15,046	(1,676)	(11)
OREO and foreclosure expense	3,931	10,502	(6,571)	(63)
Automobile operating lease expense	6,836	8,142	(1,306)	(16)
Other expense	48,083	38,537	9,546	25
Total noninterest expense	\$ 430,699	\$ 434,593	\$ (3,894)	(1)%
Number of employees (FTE), at period-end	11,319	11,341	(22)	%

The \$3.9 million, or 1%, decrease in total noninterest expense from the prior quarter reflected:

\$7.6 million, or 36%, decline in professional services, reflecting a decline in legal costs related to collection activities and consulting expenses.

\$6.6 million, or 63%, decline in OREO and foreclosure expense as OREO balances declined 18% in the current quarter.

\$5.4 million, or 23%, decline in deposit and other insurance expense.

Partially offset by:

\$9.5 million, or 25%, increase in other expense. This reflected the current quarter's \$17.0 million of expense associated with additions to litigation reserves, partially offset by the benefit of declines in fraud losses,

repurchase losses related to representations and warranties made on mortgage loans sold, and travel expense. \$6.8 million, or 3%, increase in personnel costs, primarily reflecting a seasonal \$6.9 million increase in FICA and other employment taxes.

Table of Contents

Provision for Income Taxes

(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Item 3.)

The provision for income taxes in the 2011 first quarter was \$34.7 million. This compared with a provision for income taxes of \$35.0 million in the 2010 fourth quarter and a benefit for income taxes of \$38.1 million in the 2010 first quarter. All three quarters include the benefits from tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments, and general business credits. At March 31, 2011, we had a net deferred tax asset of \$532.6 million. Based on both positive and negative evidence and our level of forecasted future taxable income, there was no impairment to the deferred tax asset at March 31, 2011. The total disallowed deferred tax asset for regulatory capital purposes decreased to \$89.9 million at March 31, 2011, from \$161.3 million at December 31, 2010.

The IRS completed audits of our consolidated federal income tax returns for tax years through 2007. The IRS, various states, and other jurisdictions remain open to examination, including Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania, West Virginia and Illinois. The IRS and the Commonwealth of Kentucky have proposed adjustments to our previously filed tax returns. We believe that our tax positions related to such proposed adjustments are correct and supported by applicable statutes, regulations, and judicial authority, and intend to vigorously defend them. It is possible the ultimate resolution of the proposed adjustments, if unfavorable, may be material to the results of operations in the period it occurs. However, although no assurance can be given, we believe the resolution of these examinations will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position.

Table of Contents**RISK MANAGEMENT AND CAPITAL**

Risk awareness, identification and assessment, reporting, and active management are key elements in overall risk management. We manage risk to an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile strategy through a control framework and by monitoring and responding to potential risks. We believe that our primary risk exposures are credit, market, liquidity, operational, and compliance risk. We hold capital proportionately against these risks. More information on risk can be found in the Risk Factors section included in Item 1A of our 2010 Form 10-K and subsequent filings with the SEC. Additionally, the MD&A included in our 2010 Form 10-K should be read in conjunction with this MD&A as this discussion provides only material updates to the 2010 Form 10-K. Our definition, philosophy, and approach to risk management have not materially changed from the discussion presented in the 2010 Form 10-K.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss if a counterparty is not able to meet the agreed upon terms of the financial obligation. The majority of our credit risk is associated with lending activities, as the acceptance and management of credit risk is central to profitable lending. We also have significant credit risk associated with our available-for-sale and other investment securities portfolio (*see Investment Securities Portfolio discussion*). While there is credit risk associated with derivative activity, we believe this exposure is minimal. The significant change in the economic conditions and the resulting changes in borrower behavior over the past several years resulted in our focusing significant resources to the identification, monitoring, and managing of our credit risk. In addition to the traditional credit risk mitigation strategies of credit policies and processes, market risk management activities, and portfolio diversification, we added more quantitative measurement capabilities utilizing external data sources, enhanced use of modeling technology, and internal stress testing processes. Our portfolio management policies demonstrate our commitment to maintaining an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile. To that end, we continue to expand resources in our credit risk management area.

Our portfolio has shown steadily improving credit quality trends across the entire loan and lease portfolio despite the continued weakness in the residential real estate market and the U.S. economy in general. Although NCOs and delinquencies remain elevated, the improving trend of our credit metrics is significant and sustained. We believe that early identification of problem loans and aggressive action plans for these problem loans, combined with high quality new loan originations, will result in continuing improvement. However, despite the improvement in credit metrics, additional risks emerged during the 2011 first quarter. These include the continued instability in the Middle East with its ramifications on the cost of oil, and the crisis in Japan that could negatively impact the production of consumer goods and services, most notably in the automobile sector. In the short term, we anticipate the rising price of gasoline will have a direct affect on the consumer confidence index, and will impact the finances of some of our retail and commercial borrowers. The pronounced downturn in the residential real estate market that began in early 2007 has resulted in significantly lower residential real estate values and higher delinquencies and NCOs, including loans to builders and developers of residential real estate. In addition, continued high unemployment, among other factors, has slowed any significant recovery. As a result, we have experienced higher than historical levels of delinquencies and NCOs in our loan portfolios since 2008. The value of our investment securities backed by residential and commercial real estate was also negatively impacted by a lack of liquidity in the financial markets and anticipated credit losses.

Loan and Lease Credit Exposure Mix

At March 31, 2011, our loans and leases totaled \$38.2 billion, little changed compared to \$38.1 billion at December 31, 2010.

At March 31, 2011, commercial loans and leases totaled \$19.6 billion, and represented 52% of our total credit exposure. Our commercial portfolio is diversified along product type, size, and geography within our footprint and is comprised of the following (*see Commercial Credit discussion*):

C&I C&I loans and leases are made to commercial customers for use in normal business operations to finance working capital needs, equipment purchases, or other projects. The majority of these borrowers are customers doing business within our geographic regions. C&I loans and leases are generally underwritten individually and secured with the assets of the company and/or the personal guarantee of the business owners. The financing of owner occupied facilities is considered a C&I loan even though there is improved real estate as collateral. This treatment is a function of the credit decision process, which focuses on cash flow from operations of the business to repay the debt. The

operation, sale, rental, or refinancing of the real estate is not considered the primary repayment source for these types of loans. As we look to grow our C&I portfolio, we have further developed our ABL capabilities by adding experienced ABL professionals to take advantage of market opportunities resulting in better leveraging of the manufacturing base in our primary markets. Also, our Equipment Finance area is targeting larger equipment financings in the manufacturing sector in addition to our core products. We also added a large corporate banking group with sufficient resources to ensure we appropriately recognize and manage the risks associated with these types of lending.

Table of Contents

CRE CRE loans consist of loans for income-producing real estate properties, real estate investment trusts, and real estate developers. We mitigate our risk on these loans by requiring collateral values that exceed the loan amount and underwriting the loan with projected cash flow in excess of the debt service requirement. These loans are made to finance properties such as apartment buildings, office and industrial buildings, and retail shopping centers, and are repaid through cash flows related to the operation, sale, or refinance of the property.

Construction CRE Construction CRE loans are loans to individuals, companies, or developers used for the construction of a commercial or residential property for which repayment will be generated by the sale or permanent financing of the property. Our construction CRE portfolio primarily consists of retail, residential (land, single family, and condominiums), office, and warehouse product types. Generally, these loans are for construction projects that have been presold or preleased, or have secured permanent financing, as well as loans to real estate companies with significant equity invested in each project. These loans are underwritten and managed by a specialized real estate lending group that actively monitors the construction phase and manages the loan disbursements according to the predetermined construction schedule.

Total consumer loans and leases were \$18.6 billion at March 31, 2011, and represented 48% of our total loan and lease credit exposure. The consumer portfolio was primarily diversified among home equity loans and lines-of-credit, residential mortgages, and automobile loans and leases (*see Consumer Credit discussion*).

Automobile Automobile loans and leases are primarily comprised of loans made through automotive dealerships and include exposure in selected states outside of our primary banking markets. No state outside of our primary banking markets represented more than 5% of our total automobile portfolio at March 31, 2011. Our automobile lease portfolio represents an immaterial portion of the total portfolio as we exited the automobile leasing business during the 2008 fourth quarter.

Home equity Home equity lending includes both home equity loans and lines-of-credit. This type of lending, which is secured by a first- or second- lien on the borrower's residence, allows customers to borrow against the equity in their home. Given the current low interest rate environment, many borrowers have utilized the line-of-credit home equity product as the primary source of financing their home. As a result, the proportion of the home equity portfolio secured by a first-lien has increased significantly in our portfolio over the past three years, positively impacting the portfolio's performance. We expect this positive impact to continue in the future. Real estate market values at the time of origination directly affect the amount of credit extended and, in the event of default, subsequent changes in these values impact the severity of losses. We actively manage the extension of credit and the amount of credit extended through a combination of criteria including debt-to-income policies and LTV policy limits.

Residential mortgage Residential mortgage loans represent loans to consumers for the purchase or refinance of a residence. These loans are generally financed over a 15- to 30- year term, and in most cases, are extended to borrowers to finance their primary residence. Generally, our practice is to sell a significant portion of our fixed-rate originations in the secondary market. As such, the majority of the loans in our portfolio are ARMs. These ARMs primarily consist of a fixed-rate of interest for the first 3 to 5 years, and then adjust annually. These loans comprised approximately 56% of our total residential mortgage loan portfolio at March 31, 2011. We are subject to repurchase risk associated with residential mortgage loans sold in the secondary market. This activity has increased recently reflecting the overall market conditions and GSE activity and an appropriate level of allowance has been established to address the repurchase risk inherent in the portfolio.

Other consumer This portfolio primarily consists of consumer loans not secured by real estate or automobiles, including personal unsecured loans.

Table of Contents**Table 15 Loan and Lease Portfolio Composition**

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		December 31,		2010		June 30,		March 31,	
	March 31,				September 30,					
Commercial: ⁽¹⁾										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,299	35%	\$ 13,063	34%	\$ 12,425	33%	\$ 12,392	34%	\$ 12,245	33%
Commercial real estate:										
Construction	587	2	650	2	738	2	1,106	3	1,443	4
Commercial	5,711	15	6,001	16	6,174	16	6,078	16	6,013	16
Total commercial real estate	6,298	17	6,651	18	6,912	18	7,184	19	7,456	20
Total commercial	19,597	52	19,714	52	19,337	51	19,576	53	19,701	53
Consumer:										
Automobile	5,802	15	5,614	15	5,385	14	4,847	13	4,403	12
Home equity	7,784	20	7,713	20	7,690	21	7,510	20	7,514	20
Residential mortgage	4,517	12	4,500	12	4,511	12	4,354	12	4,614	12
Other consumer	546	1	566	1	578	2	683	2	700	3
Total consumer	18,649	48	18,393	48	18,164	49	17,394	47	17,231	47
Total loans and leases	\$ 38,246	100%	\$ 38,107	100%	\$ 37,501	100%	\$ 36,970	100%	\$ 36,932	100%

(1) There were no commercial loans outstanding that would be considered a concentration of lending to a particular industry or group of industries.

The table below provides our total loan and lease portfolio segregated by the type of collateral securing the loan or lease:

Table 16 Loan and Lease Portfolio by Collateral Type

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		December 31,		2010		June 30,		March 31,	
	March 31,				September 30,					
Real estate	\$ 22,231	58%	\$ 22,603	59%	\$ 22,717	61%	\$ 22,666	61%	\$ 23,238	63%
Vehicles	7,333	19	7,134	19	6,652	18	6,054	16	5,583	15
Receivables/Inventory	3,819	10	3,763	10	3,524	9	3,511	9	3,503	9
Machinery/Equipment	1,787	5	1,766	5	1,763	5	1,812	5	1,792	5
Unsecured	1,159	3	1,117	3	1,018	3	1,027	3	997	3
Securities/Deposits	778	2	734	2	730	2	780	2	742	2
Other	1,139	3	990	2	1,097	2	1,120	4	1,077	3
Total loans and leases	\$ 38,246	100%	\$ 38,107	100%	\$ 37,501	100%	\$ 36,970	100%	\$ 36,932	100%

Commercial Credit

In commercial lending, on-going credit management is dependent on the type and nature of the loan. We monitor all significant exposures on an on-going basis. All commercial credit extensions are assigned internal risk ratings reflecting the borrower's probability-of-default and loss-given-default (severity of loss). This two-dimensional rating

methodology provides granularity in the portfolio management process. The probability-of-default is rated and applied at the borrower level. The loss-given-default is rated and applied based on the specific type of credit extension and the quality and lien position associated with the underlying collateral. The internal risk ratings are assessed at origination and updated at each periodic monitoring event. There is also extensive macro portfolio management analysis on an on-going basis. As an example, the retail properties class of the CRE portfolio and manufacturing loans within the C&I portfolio have each received more frequent evaluation at the individual loan level given the weak environment and our portfolio composition. We continually review and adjust our risk-rating criteria based on actual experience, which provides us with the current risk level in the portfolio and is the basis for determining an appropriate allowance amount for this portfolio.

Table of Contents

Our Credit Review group performs testing to provide an independent review and assessment of the quality and / or risk of new loan originations. This group is part of our Risk Management area, and conducts portfolio reviews on a risk-based cycle to evaluate individual loans, validate risk ratings, as well as test the consistency of credit processes. Similarly, to provide consistent oversight, a centralized portfolio management team monitors and reports on the performance of small business loans, which are included within the commercial loan portfolio.

All loans categorized as Classified (*see Note 3 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*) are managed by our SAD. The SAD is a specialized credit group that handles the day-to-day management of workouts, commercial recoveries, and problem loan sales. Its responsibilities include developing action plans, assessing risk ratings, and determining the adequacy of the allowance, the accrual status, and the ultimate collectability of the Classified loan portfolio.

Our commercial portfolio is diversified by customer size, as well as geographically throughout our footprint. No outstanding commercial loans and leases comprised an industry or geographic concentration of lending. Certain segments of our commercial portfolio are discussed in further detail below.

C&I PORTFOLIO

We manage the risks inherent in this portfolio through origination policies, concentration limits, on-going loan level reviews, recourse requirements, and continuous portfolio risk management activities. Our origination policies for this portfolio include loan product-type specific policies such as LTV and debt service coverage ratios, as applicable. While C&I borrowers have been challenged by the weak economy, quarterly levels of newly identified problem loans have declined, reflecting a combination of proactive risk identification as well as some relative improvement in the economic conditions. Nevertheless, some borrowers may no longer have sufficient capital to withstand the extended stress. As a result, these borrowers may not be able to comply with the original terms of their credit agreements. We continue to focus attention on the portfolio management process to proactively identify borrowers that may be facing financial difficulty and to assess all potential solutions. The impact of the economic environment is further evidenced by the level of line-of-credit activity, as borrowers continued to maintain relatively low utilization percentages.

As shown in the following table, C&I loans and leases totaled \$13.3 billion at March 31, 2011:

Table 17 Commercial and Industrial Loans and Leases by Class

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011			
	Commitments		Loans Outstanding	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Class:				
Owner occupied	\$ 4,288	22%	\$ 3,861	29%
Other commercial and industrial	15,244	78	9,438	71
Total	\$ 19,532	100%	\$ 13,299	100%

The difference in the composition between the commitments and loans and leases outstanding in the other commercial and industrial class results from a significant amount of working capital lines-of-credit and businesses have reduced these borrowings. The funding percentage associated with the lines-of-credit has been a significant indicator of credit quality. Generally, borrowers that fully utilize their line-of-credit consistently, over time, have a higher risk profile. This represents one of many credit risk factors we utilize in assessing the credit risk portfolio of individual borrowers and the overall portfolio.

CRE PORTFOLIO

We manage the risks inherent in this portfolio specific to CRE lending, focusing on the quality of the developer, and the specifics associated with each project. Generally, we: (1) limit our loans to 80% of the appraised value of the commercial real estate, (2) require net operating cash flows to be 125% of required interest and principal payments, and (3) if the commercial real estate is nonowner occupied, require that at least 50% of the space of the project be

released.

Each CRE loan is classified as either core or noncore. We separated the CRE portfolio into these categories in order to provide more clarity around our portfolio management strategies and to provide an additional level of transparency. We believe segregating the noncore CRE from core CRE improves our ability to understand the nature, performance prospects, and problem resolution opportunities, thus allowing us to continue to deal proactively with any emerging credit issues.

Table of Contents

A CRE loan is generally considered core when the borrower is an experienced, well-capitalized developer in our Midwest footprint, and has either an established meaningful relationship with us that generates an acceptable return on capital or demonstrates the prospect of becoming one. The core CRE portfolio was \$3.9 billion at March 31, 2011, representing 62% of total CRE loans. The performance of the core portfolio met our expectations based on the consistency of the asset quality metrics within the portfolio. Based on our extensive project level assessment process, including forward-looking collateral valuations, we continue to believe the credit quality of the core portfolio is stable. A CRE loan is generally considered noncore based on the lack of a substantive relationship outside of the loan product, with no immediate prospects for meeting the core relationship criteria. The noncore CRE portfolio declined from \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2010, to \$2.4 billion at March 31, 2011, and represented 38% of total CRE loans. Of the loans in the noncore portfolio at March 31, 2011, 53% were categorized as Pass, 95% had guarantors, 99% were secured, and 92% were located within our geographic footprint. However, it is within the noncore portfolio where most of the credit quality challenges exist. For example, \$0.3 billion, or 12%, of related outstanding balances, are classified as NALs. SAD administered \$1.2 billion, or 52%, of total noncore CRE loans at March 31, 2011. We expect to exit the majority of noncore CRE relationships over time through normal repayments and refinancings, possible sales should economically attractive opportunities arise, or the reclassification to a core CRE relationship if it expands to meet the core criteria.

The table below provides a segregation of the CRE portfolio as of March 31, 2011:

Table 18 Core Commercial Real Estate Loans by Property Type and Property Location

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011								Total Amount	%
	Ohio	Michigan	Pennsylvania	Indiana	Kentucky	Florida	West Virginia	Other		
Core portfolio:										
Retail properties	\$ 453	\$ 89	\$ 72	\$ 77	\$ 8	\$ 39	\$ 30	\$ 344	\$ 1,112	18%
Office	327	101	101	21	12		39	54	655	10
Multi family	267	86	39	32	29	1	39	58	551	9
Industrial and warehouse	238	60	22	44	3	30	6	83	486	8
Other commercial real estate	708	133	36	44		19	52	115	1,107	18
Total core portfolio	1,993	469	270	218	52	89	166	654	3,911	62
Total noncore portfolio	1,353	389	140	215	33	77	55	125	2,387	38
Total	\$ 3,346	\$ 858	\$ 410	\$ 433	\$ 85	\$ 166	\$ 221	\$ 779	\$ 6,298	100%

Table of Contents

Credit quality data regarding the ACL and NALs, segregated by core CRE loans and noncore CRE loans, is presented in the following table:

Table 19 Commercial Real Estate Core vs. Noncore Portfolios

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011					
	Ending Balance	Prior NCOs	ACL \$	ACL %	Credit Mark (1)	Nonaccrual Loans
Total core	\$ 3,911	\$ 12	\$ 140	3.58%	3.87%	\$ 30.6
Noncore SAD (2)	1,249	353	285	22.82	39.83	239.3
Noncore Other	1,138	14	95	8.35	9.46	35.9
Total noncore	2,387	367	380	15.92	27.12	275.2
Total commercial real estate	\$ 6,298	\$ 379	\$ 520	8.26%	13.46%	\$ 305.8
	December 31, 2010					
Total core	\$ 4,042	\$ 5	\$ 160	3.96%	4.08%	\$ 15.7
Noncore SAD (2)	1,400	379	329	23.50	39.80	307.2
Noncore Other	1,209	5	105	8.68	9.06	40.8
Total noncore	2,609	384	434	16.63	27.33	348.0
Total commercial real estate	\$ 6,651	\$ 389	\$ 594	8.93%	13.96%	\$ 363.7

(1) Calculated as (Prior NCOs + ACL \$) / (Ending Balance + Prior NCOs).

(2) Noncore loans managed by SAD, the area responsible for managing loans and relationships designated as Classified Loans.

As shown in the above table, the ending balance of the CRE portfolio at March 31, 2011, declined \$0.4 billion, or 5%, compared with December 31, 2010. Of this decline, 63% occurred in the noncore segment of the portfolio and was a result of payoffs and NCOs as we actively focus on the noncore portfolio to reduce our overall CRE exposure. This reduction demonstrates our continued commitment to maintaining an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile. We anticipate further noncore CRE declines in future periods based on our strategy to reduce our overall CRE exposure. The reduction in the core segment is a result of limited origination activity reflecting our strategy to reduce our overall CRE exposure. We will continue to support our core developer customers as appropriate, however, we do not believe that significant additional CRE activity is appropriate given our current exposure in CRE lending and the current economic conditions.

Also as shown above, substantial reserves for the noncore portfolio have been established. At March 31, 2011, the ACL related to the noncore portfolio was 15.92%. The combination of the existing ACL and prior NCOs represents the total credit actions taken on each segment of the portfolio. From this data, we calculate a credit mark that provides a consistent measurement of the cumulative credit actions taken against a specific portfolio segment. We believe the combined credit activity is appropriate for each of the CRE segments.

Retail Properties

Our portfolio of CRE loans secured by retail properties totaled \$1.7 billion, or approximately 4% of total loans and leases, at March 31, 2011. Loans within this portfolio segment declined \$0.1 billion, or 4%, from \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2010. Credit approval in this portfolio segment is generally dependent on preleasing requirements, and net operating income from the project must cover debt service by specified percentages when the loan is fully funded. The weakness of the economic environment in our geographic regions continued to impact the projects that secure the loans in this portfolio class. Lower occupancy rates, reduced rental rates, and the expectation these levels will remain stressed for the foreseeable future may adversely affect some of our borrowers' ability to repay these loans. We have increased the level of credit risk management activity on this portfolio segment, and we analyze our retail property loans in detail by combining property type, geographic location, and other data, to assess and manage our credit risks. We review the majority of this portfolio segment on a monthly basis.

Consumer Credit

Consumer credit approvals are based on, among other factors, the financial strength and payment history of the borrower, type of exposure, and the transaction structure. We make extensive use of portfolio assessment models to continuously monitor the quality of the portfolio, which may result in changes to future origination strategies. The on-going analysis and review process results in a determination of an appropriate allowance for our consumer loan and lease portfolio.

Table of Contents**AUTOMOBILE PORTFOLIO**

Our strategy in the automobile portfolio continued to focus on high quality borrowers as measured by both FICO and internal custom scores, combined with appropriate LTVs, terms, and a reasonable level of profitability. We discontinued automobile leasing in 2008 with the portfolio in run-off mode thereafter. Our strategy and operational capabilities allow us to appropriately manage the origination quality across the entire portfolio, including our newer markets. Although increased origination volume and the expansion into new markets can be associated with increased risk levels, we believe our strategy and operational capabilities significantly mitigate these risks.

We have continued to consistently execute our value proposition while taking advantage of market opportunities that allow us to grow our automobile loan portfolio. The significant growth in the portfolio over the past two years was accomplished while maintaining our consistently high credit quality metrics. As we further execute our strategies and take advantage of these opportunities, we are developing alternative plans to address any growth in excess of our established portfolio concentration limits, including both securitizations and loan sales.

RESIDENTIAL-SECURED PORTFOLIOS

The residential mortgage and home equity portfolios are primarily located within our footprint. The continued stress on home prices has caused the performance in these portfolios to remain weaker than historical levels. We continue to evaluate all of our policies and processes associated with managing these portfolios to provide as much clarity as possible. In the 2011 first quarter, we implemented a more conservative position regarding NCOs in our residential mortgage portfolio by accelerating the timing of charge-off recognition. In addition, we established an immediate charge-off process regardless of the delinquency status for short sale situations. Both of these policy changes resulted in accelerated recognition of charge-offs totaling \$6.8 million in the 2011 first quarter. These changes in our charge-off policies do not impact our commitment to providing assistance to our borrowers through our Home Savers Group. Our charge-off policies for the home equity portfolio remain unchanged.

Table 20 Selected Home Equity and Residential Mortgage**Portfolio Data**

(dollar amounts in millions)

	Home Equity				Residential Mortgage	
	Secured by first-lien		Secured by second-lien		03/31/11	12/31/10
	03/31/11	12/31/10	03/31/11	12/31/10		
Ending balance	\$ 3,194	\$ 3,041	\$ 4,590	\$ 4,672	\$ 4,517	\$ 4,500
Portfolio weighted average LTV ratio ⁽¹⁾	70%	70%	80%	80%	78%	77%
Portfolio weighted average FICO score ⁽²⁾	745	745	731	733	723	721

	Home Equity				Residential Mortgage	
	Secured by first-lien		Secured by second-lien		2011	2010
	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Originations	\$ 404	\$ 232	\$ 194	\$ 130	\$ 304	\$ 242
Origination weighted average LTV ratio ⁽¹⁾	71%	67%	82%	77%	82%	73%
Origination weighted average FICO score ⁽²⁾	767	766	756	753	755	764

(1) The LTV ratios for home equity loans and home equity lines-of-credit are cumulative and reflect the balance of any senior loans. LTV ratios reflect collateral values at the time of loan origination.

(2) Portfolio weighted average FICO scores reflect currently updated customer credit scores whereas origination weighted average FICO scores reflect the customer credit scores at the time of loan origination.

(3) Represents only owned-portfolio originations.

Home Equity Portfolio

Our home equity portfolio (loans and lines-of-credit) consists of both first-lien and second-lien mortgage loans with underwriting criteria based on minimum credit scores, debt-to-income ratios, and LTV ratios. We offer closed-end home equity loans which are generally fixed-rate with principal and interest payments, and variable-rate interest-only home equity lines-of-credit which do not require payment of principal during the 10-year revolving period of the line-of-credit.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2011, approximately 41% of our home equity portfolio was secured by first-lien mortgages. The credit risk profile is substantially reduced when we hold a first-lien position. During the 2011 first quarter, more than 65% of our home equity portfolio originations were secured by a first-lien mortgage. We focus on high quality borrowers primarily located within our footprint. The majority of our home equity line-of-credit borrowers consistently pay more than the required interest-only amount. Additionally, since we focus on developing complete relationships with our customers, many of our home equity borrowers are utilizing other products and services.

We believe we have underwritten credit conservatively within this portfolio. We have not originated home equity loans or lines-of-credit with an LTV at origination greater than 100%, except for infrequent situations with high quality borrowers. However, continued declines in housing prices have likely decreased the value of the collateral for this portfolio and it is likely some loans with an original LTV ratio of less than 100% currently have an LTV ratio greater than 100%.

For certain home equity loans and lines-of-credit, we may utilize an AVM or other model-driven value estimate during the credit underwriting process. We utilize a series of credit parameters to determine the appropriate valuation methodology. While we believe an AVM estimate is an appropriate valuation source for a portion of our home equity lending activities, we continue to re-evaluate all of our policies on an on-going basis, specifically related to recent FFIEC guidelines regarding property valuation. The intent of these guidelines is to ensure complete independence in the requesting and review of real estate valuations associated with loan decisions. We are committed to appropriate valuations for all of our real estate lending, and do not anticipate significant impacts to our loan decision process as a result of these guidelines. We update values as appropriate, and in compliance with applicable regulations, for loans identified as higher risk. Loans are identified as higher risk based on performance indicators and the updated values are utilized to facilitate our portfolio management, as well as our workout and loss mitigation functions.

We continue to make origination policy adjustments based on our assessment of an appropriate risk profile, as well as industry actions. In addition to origination policy adjustments, we take actions, as necessary, to manage the risk profile of this portfolio.

Residential Mortgage Portfolio

We focus on higher quality borrowers and underwrite all applications centrally, often through the use of an automated underwriting system. We do not originate residential mortgages that allow negative amortization or allow the borrower multiple payment options.

All residential mortgages are originated based on a completed full appraisal during the credit underwriting process. We update values on a regular basis in compliance with applicable regulations to facilitate our portfolio management, as well as our workout and loss mitigation functions.

Several government actions were enacted that impacted the residential mortgage portfolio, including various refinance programs which positively affected the availability of credit for the industry. We are utilizing these programs to enhance our existing strategy of working closely with our customers.

Credit Quality

We believe the most meaningful way to assess overall credit quality performance is through an analysis of credit quality performance ratios. This approach forms the basis of most of the discussion in the sections immediately following: NPAs and NALs, TDRs, ACL, and NCOs. In addition, we utilize delinquency rates, risk distribution and migration patterns, and product segmentation in the analysis of our credit quality performance.

Credit quality performance in the 2011 first quarter reflected continued improvement in the commercial loan portfolio relating to NCO activity, as well as some improvement in the consumer portfolio relating to delinquency trends and NCO activity in certain segments excluding any policy change impacts (*see Consumer Credit section*). Key credit quality metrics also showed improvement, including an 18% decline in NPAs and a 13% decline in the level of Criticized commercial loans compared to the prior quarter. New NPA inflows also declined and delinquency trends continued to improve compared to the prior quarter.

Our ACL declined \$115.7 million to \$1,175.4 million, or 3.07% of period-end loans and leases at March 31, 2011, from \$1,291.1 million, or 3.39% at December 31, 2010. Importantly, our ACL as a percent of period-end NALs increased to 185% from 166%, and the coverage ratio associated with NPAs also increased. These improved coverage ratios indicated a strengthening of our allowance position relative to troubled assets from the prior year-end. These

coverage ratios are a key component of our internal adequacy assessment process and provide an important consideration in the determination of the adequacy of the ACL.

Table of Contents

NPAs, NALs, AND TDRs

NPAs and NALs

NPAs consist of (1) NALs, which represent loans and leases no longer accruing interest, (2) impaired loans held for sale, (3) OREO properties, and (4) other NPAs. A C&I or CRE loan is generally placed on nonaccrual status no later than 90-days past due. With the exception of residential mortgage loans guaranteed by government organizations which continue to accrue interest, residential mortgage loans are placed on nonaccrual status no later than 180-days past due. A home equity loan is placed on nonaccrual status no later than 180-days past due. Automobile and other consumer loans are not placed on nonaccrual status, but are charged-off when the loan is 120-days past due. Any loan in our portfolio may be placed on nonaccrual status prior to the policies described above when collection of principal or interest is in doubt. When interest accruals are suspended, accrued interest income is reversed with current year accruals charged to earnings and prior year amounts generally charged-off as a credit loss. When, in our judgment, the borrower's ability to make required interest and principal payments has resumed and collectability is no longer in doubt, the loan or lease is returned to accrual status.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects period-end NALs and NPAs detail for each of the last five quarters:

Table 21 Nonaccrual Loans and Leases and Nonperforming Assets

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010			
	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	March 31,
Nonaccrual loans and leases:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 260,397	\$ 346,720	\$ 398,353	\$ 429,561	\$ 511,588
Commercial real estate	305,793	363,692	478,754	663,103	826,781
Residential mortgage	44,812	45,010	82,984	86,486	372,950
Home equity	25,255	22,526	21,689	22,199	54,789
Total nonaccrual loans and leases	636,257	777,948	981,780	1,201,349	1,766,108
Other real estate owned, net					
Residential	28,668	31,649	65,775	71,937	68,289
Commercial	25,961	35,155	57,309	67,189	83,971
Total other real estate owned, net	54,629	66,804	123,084	139,126	152,260
Impaired loans held for sale ⁽¹⁾				242,227	
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 690,886	\$ 844,752	\$ 1,104,864	\$ 1,582,702	\$ 1,918,368
Nonaccrual loans as a % of total loans and leases	1.66%	2.04%	2.62%	3.25%	4.78%
Nonperforming assets ratio ⁽²⁾	1.80	2.21	2.94	4.24	5.17
Nonperforming Franklin assets:					
Residential mortgage	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 297,967
Home equity					31,067
OREO	5,971	9,477	15,330	24,515	24,423
Impaired loans held for sale				242,227	
Total nonperforming Franklin assets	\$ 5,971	\$ 9,477	\$ 15,330	\$ 266,742	\$ 353,457

(1) The June 30, 2010, figure represents NALs associated with the transfer of Franklin-related residential mortgage and home equity loans to loans held for sale. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell.

(2) This ratio is calculated as NPAs divided by the sum of loans and leases, impaired loans held for sale, and net other real estate.

The \$153.9 million decline in NPAs primarily reflected:

\$86.3 million, or 25%, decline in C&I NALs, reflecting both NCO activity and problem credit resolutions, including payoffs. The decline was associated with loans throughout our footprint, with no specific geographic concentration. From an industry perspective, improvement in the manufacturing-related segment accounted for a significant portion of the decrease.

\$57.9 million, or 16%, decline in CRE NALs, reflecting both NCO activity and problem credit resolutions, including borrower payments and payoffs. This decline was a direct result of our on-going proactive management of these credits by our SAD. Also key to the decline was the significantly lower level of inflows. The level of inflows, or migration, is an important indicator of the future trend for the portfolio.

\$12.2 million, or 18%, decline in OREO, primarily reflecting continued declines in both the commercial and residential segments. Of this decline, only \$3.0 million was in the residential segment as the selling of residential properties remains challenging in our markets.

As part of our loss mitigation process, we reunderwrite, modify, or restructure loans when borrowers are experiencing payment difficulties, based on the borrower's ability to repay the loan.

Table of Contents

NPA activity for each of the past five quarters was as follows:

Table 22 Nonperforming Asset Activity

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Nonperforming assets, beginning of period	\$ 844,752	\$ 1,104,864	\$ 1,582,702	\$ 1,918,368	\$ 2,058,091
New nonperforming assets	192,044	237,802	278,388	171,595	237,914
Franklin-related impact, net	(3,506)	(5,853)	(251,412)	(86,715)	14,957
Returns to accruing status	(70,886)	(100,051)	(111,168)	(78,739)	(80,840)
Loan and lease losses	(128,730)	(126,047)	(151,013)	(173,159)	(185,387)
Other real estate owned gains (losses)	1,492	(5,117)	(5,302)	2,483	(4,160)
Payments	(87,041)	(191,296)	(210,612)	(140,881)	(107,640)
Sales	(57,239)	(69,550)	(26,719)	(30,250)	(14,567)
Nonperforming assets, end of period	\$ 690,886	\$ 844,752	\$ 1,104,864	\$ 1,582,702	\$ 1,918,368

Table of Contents**Table 23 Accruing Past Due Loans and Leases**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010			
	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	March 31,
Accruing loans and leases past due 90 days or more:					
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 475
Residential mortgage (excluding loans guaranteed by the U.S. government)	41,858	53,983	56,803	47,036	72,702
Home equity	24,130	23,497	27,160	26,797	29,438
Other consumer	7,578	10,177	11,423	9,533	10,598
Total, excl. loans guaranteed by the U.S. government	73,566	87,657	95,386	83,366	113,213
Add: loans guaranteed by the U.S. government	94,440	98,288	94,249	95,421	96,814
Total accruing loans and leases past due 90 days or more, including loans guaranteed by the U.S. government	\$ 168,006	\$ 185,945	\$ 189,635	\$ 178,787	\$ 210,027
Ratios: (1)					
Excluding loans guaranteed by the U.S. government, as a percent of total loans and leases	0.19%	0.23%	0.25%	0.23%	0.31%
Guaranteed by the U.S. government, as a percent of total loans and leases	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
Including loans guaranteed by the U.S. government, as a percent of total loans and leases	0.44	0.49	0.51	0.49	0.57

(1) Ratios are calculated as a percentage of related loans and leases.

TDR Loans

TDRs are modified loans in which a concession is provided to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. Loan modifications are considered TDRs when the concessions provided are not available to the borrower through either normal channels or other sources. However, not all loan modifications are TDRs. Our standards relating to loan modifications consider, among other factors, minimum verified income requirements, cash flow analysis, and collateral valuations. However, each potential loan modification is reviewed individually and the terms of the loan are modified to meet a borrower's specific circumstances at a point in time. All loan modifications, including those classified as TDRs, are reviewed and approved. Our ALLL is largely driven by updated risk ratings assigned to

commercial loans, updated borrower credit scores on consumer loans, and borrower delinquency history in both the commercial and consumer portfolios. As such, the provision for credit losses is impacted primarily by changes in borrower payment performance rather than the TDR classification. TDRs can be classified as either accrual or nonaccrual loans. Nonaccrual TDRs are included in NALs whereas accruing TDRs are excluded because the borrower remains contractually current.

In the workout of a problem loan, many factors are considered when determining the most favorable resolution. For consumer loans, we evaluate the ability and willingness of the borrower to make contractual or reduced payments, the value of the underlying collateral, and the costs associated with the foreclosure or repossession, and remarketing of the collateral. For commercial loans, we consider similar criteria and also evaluate the borrower's business prospects.

Table of Contents

Residential Mortgage loan TDRs Residential mortgage TDRs represent loan modifications associated with traditional first-lien mortgage loans in which a concession has been provided to the borrower. Residential mortgages identified as TDRs involve borrowers who are unable to refinance their mortgages through our normal mortgage origination channels or through other independent sources. Some, but not all, of the loans may be delinquent. Modifications can include adjustments to rates and/or principal. Modified loans identified as TDRs are aggregated into pools for analysis. Cash flows and weighted average interest rates are used to calculate impairment at the pooled-loan level. Once the loans are aggregated into the pool, they continue to be classified as TDRs until contractually repaid or charged-off. No consideration is given to removing individual loans from the pools.

Residential mortgage loans not guaranteed by a U.S. government agency such as the FHA, VA, and the USDA, including restructured loans, are reported as accrual or nonaccrual based upon delinquency status. NALs are those that are greater than 180-days contractually past due. Loans guaranteed by U.S. government organizations continue to accrue interest upon delinquency.

Residential mortgage loan TDR classifications resulted in an impairment adjustment of \$2.0 million during the 2011 first quarter. Prior to the TDR classification, residential mortgage loans individually had minimal ALLL associated with them because the ALLL is calculated on a total pooled-portfolio basis.

Other Consumer loan TDRs Generally, these are TDRs associated with home equity borrowings and automobile loans. We make similar interest rate, term, and principal concessions as with residential mortgage loan TDRs. The TDR classification for these other consumer loans resulted in an impairment adjustment of \$0.6 million during the 2011 first quarter.

Commercial loan TDRs Commercial accruing TDRs represent loans rated as Classified and are no more than 90-days past due on contractual principal and interest, but undergo a modification. Accruing TDRs often result from loans rated as Classified receiving an extension on the maturity of their loan, for example, to allow additional time for the sale or lease of underlying CRE collateral. Often, it is prudent to extend the maturity rather than foreclose on a commercial loan, particularly for borrowers who are generating cash flows to support contractual interest payments. These borrowers cannot obtain a loan with similar terms through other independent sources because of their current financial circumstances. Therefore, a concession is provided and the modification is classified as a TDR. The TDR remains in accruing status as long as the customer is current on payments and no loss is probable.

Commercial nonaccrual TDRs result from either: (1) an accruing commercial TDR being placed on nonaccrual status (at March 31, 2011, approximately \$12.8 million of our commercial nonaccrual TDRs represented this situation); or (2) a workout where an existing commercial NAL is restructured and a concession is given. The majority of these workouts restructure the NAL so that two or more new notes are created. The senior note is underwritten based upon our normal underwriting standards at current market rates and is sized so projected cash flows are sufficient to repay contractual principal and interest. The terms on the subordinate note(s) vary by situation, but often defer interest payments until after the senior note is repaid. Creating two or more notes often allows the borrower to continue a project or weather a temporary economic downturn and allows us to right-size a loan based upon the current expectations for a project's performance. The senior note is considered for return to accrual status if the borrower has sustained sufficient cash flows for a six-month period of time and we believe no loss is probable. This six-month period could extend before or after the restructure date. Subordinated notes created in the workout are charged-off immediately. Any interest or principal payments received on the subordinated notes are applied to the principal of the senior note first until the senior note is repaid. Further payments are recorded as recoveries on the subordinated note. At March 31, 2011, approximately \$25.0 million of our commercial nonaccrual TDRs resulted from such workouts. As the loans are already considered Classified, an adequate ALLL has been recorded when appropriate. Consequently, a TDR classification on commercial loans does not usually result in significant additional reserves. We consider removing the TDR status on commercial loans if the loan is at a market rate of interest and after the loan has performed in accordance with the restructured terms for a sustained period of time, generally one year.

Table of Contents

The table below provides a summary of our accruing and nonaccruing TDRs by loan type for each of the past five quarters:

Table 24 Accruing and Nonaccruing Troubled Debt Restructured Loans

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010			
	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	March 31,
Troubled debt restructured loans accruing:					
Residential mortgage	\$ 333,492	\$ 328,411	\$ 304,356	\$ 281,473	\$ 253,135
Other consumer	78,488	76,586	73,210	65,061	62,148
Commercial	206,462	222,632	157,971	141,353	117,667
Total troubled debt restructured loans accruing	618,442	627,629	535,537	487,887	432,950
Troubled debt restructured loans nonaccruing:					
Residential mortgage	8,523	5,789	10,581	11,337	9,415
Other consumer	14				
Commercial	37,858	33,462	33,236	90,266	122,759
Total troubled debt restructured loans nonaccruing	46,395	39,251	43,817	101,603	132,174
Total troubled debt restructured loans	\$ 664,837	\$ 666,880	\$ 579,354	\$ 589,490	\$ 565,124

ACL

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 3 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

We maintain two reserves, both of which in our judgment are adequate to absorb credit losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio: the ALLL and the AULC. Combined, these reserves comprise the total ACL. Our Credit Administration group is responsible for developing the methodology assumptions and estimates used in the calculation, as well as determining the adequacy of the ACL. The ALLL represents the estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the reported date. Additions to the ALLL result from recording provision expense for loan losses or increased risk levels resulting from loan risk-rating downgrades, while reductions reflect charge-offs, recoveries, decreased risk levels resulting from loan risk-rating upgrades, or the sale of loans. The AULC is determined by applying the transaction reserve process to the unfunded portion of the loan exposures adjusted by an applicable funding expectation.

A provision for credit losses is recorded to adjust the ACL to the level we have determined to be adequate to absorb credit losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio. The provision for credit losses in the 2011 first quarter was \$49.4 million, compared with \$87.0 million in the prior quarter and \$235.0 million in the year-ago quarter. The decline in provision expense reflects a combination of lower NCOs and the reduction of Criticized loans throughout the entire loan and lease portfolio.

We regularly assess the adequacy of the ACL by performing on-going evaluations of the loan and lease portfolio, including such factors as the differing economic risks associated with each loan category, the financial condition of specific borrowers, the level of delinquent loans, the value of any collateral and, where applicable, the existence of any guarantees or other documented support. We evaluate the impact of changes in interest rates and overall economic conditions on the ability of borrowers to meet their financial obligations when quantifying our exposure to credit

losses and assessing the adequacy of our ACL at each reporting date. In addition to general economic conditions and the other factors described above, we also consider the impact of declining residential real estate values and the diversification of CRE loans, particularly loans secured by retail properties.

Our ACL assessment process includes the on-going assessment of credit quality metrics, and a comparison of certain ACL adequacy benchmarks to current performance. While the total ACL balance has declined in recent quarters, all of the relevant benchmarks improved as a result of the asset quality improvement. The coverage ratios of NALs, Criticized, and Classified loans have significantly improved in recent quarters despite the decline in the ACL level.

Table of Contents

The table below reflects activity in the ALLL and the AULC for each of the last five quarters:

Table 25 Quarterly Allowance for Credit Losses Analysis

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Allowance for loan and lease losses, beginning of period	\$ 1,249,008	\$ 1,336,352	\$ 1,402,160	\$ 1,477,969	\$ 1,482,479
Loan and lease losses	(199,007)	(205,587)	(221,144)	(312,954)	(264,222)
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off	33,924	33,336	36,630	33,726	25,741
Net loan and lease losses	(165,083)	(172,251)	(184,514)	(279,228)	(238,481)
Provision for loan and lease losses	49,301	84,907	118,788	203,633	233,971
Allowance for assets sold			(82)	(214)	
Allowance for loan and lease losses, end of period	\$ 1,133,226	\$ 1,249,008	\$ 1,336,352	\$ 1,402,160	\$ 1,477,969
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit, beginning of period	\$ 42,127	\$ 40,061	\$ 39,689	\$ 49,916	\$ 48,879
Provision for (reduction in) unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit losses	84	2,066	372	(10,227)	1,037
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit, end of period	\$ 42,211	\$ 42,127	\$ 40,061	\$ 39,689	\$ 49,916
Total allowance for credit losses, end of period	\$ 1,175,437	\$ 1,291,135	\$ 1,376,413	\$ 1,441,849	\$ 1,527,885
Allowance for loan and lease losses as % of:					
Total loans and leases	2.96%	3.28%	3.56%	3.79%	4.00%
Nonaccrual loans and leases	178	161	136	117	84
Nonperforming assets	164	148	121	89	77
Total allowance for credit losses as % of:					
Total loans and leases	3.07%	3.39%	3.67%	3.90%	4.14%
Nonaccrual loans and leases	185	166	140	120	87
Nonperforming assets	170	153	125	91	80

The reduction in the ACL, compared with December 31, 2010, reflected a decline in the commercial portfolio ALLL as a result of NCOs on loans with specific reserves, and an overall reduction in the level of commercial Criticized loans. Commercial Criticized loans are commercial loans rated as OLEM, Substandard, Doubtful, or Loss. As shown in the table below, commercial Criticized loans declined \$0.4 billion from December 31, 2010, reflecting significant upgrade and payment activity.

Table 26 Criticized Commercial Loan Activity

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010			
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Criticized commercial loans, beginning of period	\$ 3,074,481	\$ 3,637,533	\$ 4,106,602	\$ 4,608,610	\$ 4,971,637
New additions / increases	169,884	289,850	407,514	280,353	306,499
Advances	61,516	52,282	75,386	79,392	91,450
Upgrades to Pass	(238,518)	(382,713)	(391,316)	(409,092)	(273,011)
Payments	(294,564)	(401,302)	(408,698)	(331,145)	(324,229)
Loan losses	(112,008)	(121,169)	(151,955)	(121,516)	(163,736)
Criticized commercial loans, end of period	\$ 2,660,792	\$ 3,074,481	\$ 3,637,533	\$ 4,106,602	\$ 4,608,610

Compared with December 31, 2010, the AULC was little changed.

The ACL coverage ratio associated with NALs was 185% at March 31, 2011, representing a continued improvement compared with recent prior periods. This improvement reflected substantial payments on C&I and CRE NALs. Although credit quality asset metrics and trends, including those mentioned above, continued to improve in the 2011 first quarter, the economic environment in our markets remained weak and uncertain as reflected by continued weak residential values, continued weakness in industrial employment in northern Ohio and southeast Michigan, and the significant subjectivity involved in commercial real estate valuations for properties located in areas with limited sale or refinance activities. Residential real estate values continued to be negatively impacted by high unemployment, increased foreclosure activity, and the elimination of home-buyer tax credits. In the near-term, we believe these factors will result in continued stress in our portfolios secured by residential real estate and an elevated level of NCOs compared to historic levels. Further, concerns continue to exist regarding conditions in both national and international markets (for example, the political turmoil in the Middle East and the natural disasters in Japan), the conditions of both the financial and credit markets, the unemployment rate, the impact of the Federal Reserve monetary policy, and continued uncertainty regarding federal, state, and local government budget deficits. We do not anticipate any meaningful change in the overall economy in the near-term. All of these factors are impacting consumer confidence, as well as business investments and acquisitions. Given the combination of these noted factors, we believe that our ACL coverage levels are reflective of the quality of our portfolio and the operating environment.

Table of Contents

The table below reflects the allocation of our ACL among our various loan categories during each of the past five quarters:

Table 27 Allocation of Allowance for Credit Losses (1)

<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011		December 31,		2010		June 30,		March 31,	
	March 31,				September 30,					
Commercial										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 299,564	35%	\$ 340,614	34%	\$ 353,431	33%	\$ 426,767	34%	\$ 459,011	33%
Commercial real estate	511,068	17	588,251	18	654,219	18	695,778	19	741,669	20
Total commercial	810,632	52	928,865	52	1,007,650	51	1,122,545	53	1,200,680	53
Consumer										
Automobile	50,862	15	49,488	15	44,505	14	41,762	13	56,111	12
Home equity	149,370	20	150,630	20	154,323	21	117,708	20	127,970	20
Residential mortgage	96,741	12	93,289	12	93,407	12	79,105	12	60,295	12
Other consumer	25,621	1	26,736	1	36,467	2	41,040	2	32,913	3
Total consumer	322,594	48	320,143	48	328,702	49	279,615	47	277,289	47
Total allowance for loan and lease losses	1,133,226	100%	1,249,008	100%	1,336,352	100%	1,402,160	100%	1,477,969	100%
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments	42,211		42,127		40,061		39,689		49,916	
Total allowance for credit losses	\$ 1,175,437		\$ 1,291,135		\$ 1,376,413		\$ 1,441,849		\$ 1,527,885	

(1) Percentages represent the percentage of each loan and lease category to total loans and leases.

NCOs

C&I and CRE loans are either charged-off or written down to fair value at 90-days past due. Automobile loans and other consumer loans are charged-off at 120-days past due. Home equity loans are charged-off to fair value at 120-days past due. Residential mortgages are charged-off to fair value at 150-days past due. Any loan in any portfolio may be charged-off prior to the policies described above if a loss confirming event has occurred. Loss confirming events include, but are not limited to, bankruptcy (unsecured), continued delinquency, foreclosure, or receipt of an asset valuation indicating a collateral deficiency and that asset is the sole source of repayment.

Table of Contents

Table 28 reflects NCO detail for each of the last five quarters. Table 29 displays the NCO Franklin-related impacts for each of the last five quarters.

Table 28 Quarterly Net Charge-off Analysis

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010		
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First	
Net charge-offs by loan and lease type:						
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 42,191	\$ 59,124	\$ 62,241	\$ 58,128	\$ 75,439	
Commercial real estate:						
Construction	28,400	11,084	17,936	45,562	34,426	
Commercial	39,283	33,787	45,725	36,169	50,873	
Commercial real estate	67,683	44,871	63,661	81,731	85,299	
Total commercial	109,874	103,995	125,902	139,859	160,738	
Consumer:						
Automobile	4,712	7,035	5,570	5,436	8,531	
Home equity ⁽¹⁾	26,715	29,175	27,827	44,470	37,901	
Residential mortgage ^{(2), (3)}	18,932	26,775	18,961	82,848	24,311	
Other consumer	4,850	5,271	6,254	6,615	7,000	
Total consumer	55,209	68,256	58,612	139,369	77,743	
Total net charge-offs	\$ 165,083	\$ 172,251	\$ 184,514	\$ 279,228	\$ 238,481	
Net charge-offs annualized percentages:						
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	1.29%	1.85%	2.01%	1.90%	2.45%	
Commercial real estate:						
Construction	18.59	6.19	7.25	14.25	9.77	
Commercial	2.66	2.22	3.01	2.38	3.25	
Commercial real estate	4.15	2.64	3.60	4.44	4.44	
Total commercial	2.24	2.13	2.59	2.85	3.22	
Consumer:						
Automobile	0.33	0.51	0.43	0.47	0.80	
Home equity ⁽¹⁾	1.38	1.51	1.47	2.36	2.01	
Residential mortgage ^{(2), (3)}	1.70	2.42	1.73	7.19	2.17	
Other consumer	3.47	3.66	3.83	3.81	3.87	
Total consumer	1.20	1.50	1.32	3.19	1.83	

Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	1.73%	1.82%	1.98%	3.01%	2.58%
---	--------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

- (1) The 2010 second quarter included net charge-offs totaling \$14,678 thousand associated with the transfer of Franklin-related home equity loans to loans held for sale and \$1,262 thousand of other Franklin-related net charge-offs.
- (2) The 2010 second quarter included net charge-offs totaling \$60,822 thousand associated with the transfer of Franklin-related residential mortgage loans to loans held for sale and \$3,403 thousand of other Franklin-related net charge-offs.
- (3) The 2010 fourth quarter included net charge-offs of \$16,389 thousand related to the sale of certain underperforming residential mortgage loans.

Table of Contents**Table 29 Quarterly NCOs Franklin-Related Impact**

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011	Fourth	2010		
	First		Third	Second	First
Total residential mortgage net charge-offs (recoveries):					
Franklin	\$ (3.1)	\$ (4.4)	\$ 3.4	\$ 64.2	\$ 8.1
Non-Franklin	22.0	31.2	15.6	18.6	16.2
Total	\$ 18.9	\$ 26.8	\$ 19.0	\$ 82.8	\$ 24.3
Total residential mortgage net charge-offs annualized percentages:					
Total	1.70%	2.42%	1.73%	7.19%	2.17%
Non-Franklin	1.98	2.82	1.42	1.74	1.57

Table of Contents

In assessing NCO trends, it is helpful to understand the process of how these loans are treated as they deteriorate over time. The allowance for loans are established at origination consistent with the level of risk associated with the original underwriting. As a part of our normal portfolio management process for commercial loans, the loan is reviewed and the allowance is increased or decreased as warranted. If the quality of a loan has deteriorated, it migrates to a lower quality risk rating, and a higher reserve amount is assigned. Charge-offs, if necessary, are generally recognized in a period after the allowance was established. If the previously established allowance exceeds that needed to satisfactorily resolve the problem loan, a reduction in the overall level of the allowance could be recognized. In summary, if loan quality deteriorates, the typical credit sequence is periods of allowance building, followed by periods of higher NCOs as the previously established allowance is utilized. Additionally, an increase in the allowance either precedes or is in conjunction with increases in NALs. When a loan is classified as NAL, it is evaluated for specific allowance or charge-off. As a result, an increase in NALs does not necessarily result in an increase in the allowance or an expectation of higher future NCOs.

C&I NCOs declined \$16.9 million, or 29%, reflected lower levels of large dollar NCOs in the current quarter as well as the results of our continued proactive credit risk management practices.

CRE NCOs increased \$22.8 million, or 51%, reflected an increase in loan sale activity in the current quarter combined with our continued aggressive treatment of problem loans, including conservative valuation of the underlying collateral. The majority of these NCOs were in the noncore portfolio as our core portfolio continued to perform well. Based on asset quality trends, we anticipate lower CRE NCOs in future quarters.

Automobile NCOs declined \$2.3 million, or 33%, reflected historically lower delinquency levels during the current quarter and high credit quality of originations. Also, the current quarter benefited from \$0.5 million of recoveries associated with a previously charged-off loan sale.

Home equity NCOs declined \$2.5 million, or 8%. This performance was consistent with our expectations for the portfolio given the economic conditions in our markets. We continue to manage the default rate through focused delinquency monitoring as virtually all defaults for second-lien home equity loans incur significant losses primarily due to insufficient equity in the collateral property.

Residential mortgage NCOs declined \$7.8 million, or 29%, included \$6.8 million of NCOs related to a more conservative loss recognition policy (*see Consumer Credit section*) and Franklin-related net recoveries of \$3.1 million in the current quarter, and the prior quarter included \$16.4 million of NCOs related to the sale of certain underperforming loans and Franklin-related net recoveries of \$4.4 million. Excluding these impacts, residential mortgage NCOs increased \$0.4 million, consistent with our expectations.

Table of Contents

Table 30 reflects NCO activity for the first three-month period of 2011 and the first three-month period of 2010. Table 31 displays the NCO Franklin-related impacts for the first three-month period of 2011 and the first three-month period of 2010.

Table 30 Year to Date Net Charge-off Analysis

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net charge-offs by loan and lease type:		
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 42,191	\$ 75,439
Commercial real estate:		
Construction	28,400	34,426
Commercial	39,283	50,873
Commercial real estate	67,683	85,299
Total commercial	109,874	160,738
Consumer:		
Automobile	4,712	8,531
Home equity	26,715	37,901
Residential mortgage	18,932	24,311
Other consumer	4,850	7,000
Total consumer	55,209	77,743
Total net charge-offs	\$ 165,083	\$ 238,481
Net charge-offs annualized percentages:		
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	1.29%	2.45%
Commercial real estate:		
Construction	18.59	9.77
Commercial	2.66	3.25
Commercial real estate	4.15	4.44
Total commercial	2.24	3.22
Consumer:		
Automobile	0.33	0.80
Home equity	1.38	2.01
Residential mortgage	1.70	2.17
Other consumer	3.47	3.87

Total consumer	1.20	1.83
Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	1.73%	2.58%

Table of Contents**Table 31 Year to Date NCOs Franklin-Related Impact**

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March	
	2011	31, 2010
Total home equity net charge-offs (recoveries):		
Franklin	\$	\$ 3.7
Non-Franklin	26.7	34.2
Total	\$ 26.7	\$ 37.9
Total home equity net charge-offs annualized percentages:		
Total	1.38%	2.01%
Non-Franklin	1.38	1.83
Total residential mortgage net charge-offs (recoveries):		
Franklin	\$ (3.1)	\$ 8.1
Non-Franklin	22.0	16.2
Total	\$ 18.9	\$ 24.3
Total residential mortgage net charge-offs annualized percentages:		
Total	1.70%	2.17%
Non-Franklin	1.98	1.57

Table of Contents

C&I NCOs decreased \$33.2 million, or 44%, primarily reflected significant credit quality improvement in the underlying portfolio as well as our on-going proactive credit management practices.

CRE NCOs decreased \$17.6 million, or 21%, primarily reflected significant credit quality improvement in the underlying portfolio as well as our on-going proactive credit management practices.

Automobile NCOs decreased \$3.8 million, or 45%, reflected our consistent high quality origination profile since the beginning of 2008, as well as a continued strong market for used automobiles. This focus on origination quality has been the primary driver for the improvement in this portfolio in the current period compared with the year-ago period. Origination quality remains high as measured by our vintage analysis.

Home equity NCOs declined \$11.2 million, or 30%. The first three-month period of 2010 included \$3.7 million of Franklin-related NCOs compared with no Franklin-related NCOs in the current period. Excluding the Franklin-related impacts, home equity NCOs decreased \$7.5 million compared with the first three-month period of 2010. The performance is consistent with our expectations for the portfolio.

Residential mortgage NCOs declined \$5.4 million, or 22%. The first three-month period of 2010 included \$8.1 million of Franklin-related NCOs, while the first three-month period of 2011 included \$6.8 million of NCOs related to a more conservative loss recognition policy (*see Consumer Credit section*) and Franklin-related net recoveries of \$3.1 million. Excluding these impacts, residential mortgage NCOs decreased \$1.0 million compared with the first three-month period of 2010. Delinquency trends continued to improve, indicating losses should remain manageable even with the economic stress on our borrowers.

AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE AND OTHER SECURITIES PORTFOLIO

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 4 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

During the first three-month period of 2011, we recorded \$4.2 million of credit OTTI losses. This amount was comprised of \$3.2 million related to the pooled-trust-preferred securities, \$0.8 million related to the CMO securities, and \$0.2 million related to the Alt-A mortgage-backed securities. Given the continued disruption in the housing and financial markets, we may be required to recognize additional credit OTTI losses in future periods with respect to our available-for-sale and other securities portfolio. The amount and timing of any additional credit OTTI will depend on the decline in the underlying cash flows of the securities. If our intent to hold temporarily impaired securities changes in future periods, we may be required to recognize noncredit OTTI through income, which will negatively impact earnings.

Alt-A mortgage-backed, Pooled-Trust-Preferred, and Private-Label CMO Securities

Our three highest risk segments of our investment portfolio are the Alt-A mortgage-backed, pooled-trust-preferred, and private-label CMO portfolios. The Alt-A mortgage-backed securities and pooled-trust-preferred securities are located within the asset-backed securities portfolio. The performance of the underlying securities in each of these segments continues to reflect the economic environment. Each of these securities in these three segments is subjected to a rigorous review of its projected cash flows. These reviews are supported with analysis from independent third parties.

The following table presents the credit ratings for our Alt-A mortgage-backed, pooled-trust-preferred, and private label CMO securities as of March 31, 2011:

Table 32 Credit Ratings of Selected Investment Securities (1)

(dollar amounts in millions)

	Amortized		Average Credit Rating of Fair Value Amount				
	Cost	Fair Value	AAA	AA +/-	A +/-	BBB +/-	<BBB-
Private-label CMO securities	\$ 124.4	\$ 115.5	\$ 21.3	\$ 6.6	\$ 5.3	\$ 13.5	\$ 68.8
Alt-A mortgage-backed securities	64.7	58.1	12.9	26.9			18.3
	229.2	107.5			25.4		82.1

Pooled-trust-preferred securities

Total at March 31, 2011	\$	418.3	\$	281.1	\$	34.2	\$	33.5	\$	30.7	\$	13.5	\$	169.2
Total at December 31, 2010	\$	435.8	\$	284.6	\$	41.2	\$	33.8	\$	29.7	\$	15.1	\$	164.8

(1) Credit ratings reflect the lowest current rating assigned by a nationally recognized credit rating agency.

Table of Contents

Negative changes to the above credit ratings would generally result in an increase of our risk-weighted assets, and a reduction to our regulatory capital ratios.

The following table summarizes the relevant characteristics of our pooled-trust-preferred securities portfolio at March 31, 2011. Each security is part of a pool of issuers and supports a more senior tranche of securities except for the I-Pre TSL II, MM Comm II and MM Comm III securities which are the most senior class.

Table 33 Trust-preferred Securities Data

March 31, 2011

(dollar amounts in thousands)

Deal Name	Par Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Lowest Credit Rating(2)	Currently Performing/Original(3)	Actual Deferrals Expected and Defaults as a % of		
							# of Issuers Defaults as a % of	Remaining Performing(4)	Subordination(4)
Alesco II ⁽¹⁾	\$ 41,241	\$ 31,540	\$ 11,118	\$ (20,422)	C	32/38	14%	16%	%
Alesco IV ⁽¹⁾	20,773	8,243	1,726	(6,517)	C	31/44	21	26	
ICONS	20,000	20,000	13,500	(6,500)	BB	28/29	3	14	55
I-Pre TSL II	36,916	36,817	25,395	(11,422)	A	28/29	3	16	68
MM Comm II	21,085	20,150	18,679	(1,471)	BB	4/7	5	3	17
MM Comm III	11,150	10,653	7,185	(3,468)	CC	7/11	7	12	39
Pre TSL IX ⁽¹⁾	5,026	4,035	1,617	(2,418)	C	34/49	27	24	
Pre TSL X ⁽¹⁾	17,595	9,915	3,322	(6,593)	C	35/55	40	31	
Pre TSL XI ⁽¹⁾	25,239	22,725	7,678	(15,047)	C	43/64	29	22	
Pre TSL XIII ⁽¹⁾	27,939	22,703	6,398	(16,305)	C	43/65	34	25	
Reg									
Diversified ⁽¹⁾	25,500	7,499	495	(7,004)	D	23/44	46	32	
Soloso ⁽¹⁾	12,500	3,906	501	(3,405)	C	43/69	29	23	
Tropic III	31,000	31,000	9,877	(21,123)	CC	25/45	39	26	23
Total	\$ 295,964	\$ 229,186	\$ 107,491	\$ (121,695)					

(1) Security was determined to have OTTI. As such, the book value is net of recorded credit impairment.

(2) For purposes of comparability, the lowest credit rating expressed is equivalent to Fitch ratings even where the lowest rating is based on another nationally recognized credit rating agency.

(3) Includes both banks and/or insurance companies.

(4) Excess subordination percentage represents the additional defaults in excess of both current and projected defaults that the CDO can absorb before the bond experiences credit impairment. Excess subordinated percentage is calculated by (a) determining what percentage of defaults a deal can experience before the bond has credit

impairment, and (b) subtracting from this default breakage percentage both total current and expected future default percentages.

Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss due to changes in market values of assets and liabilities. We incur market risk in the normal course of business through exposures to market interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, credit spreads, and expected lease residual values. We have identified two primary sources of market risk: interest rate risk and price risk.

Interest Rate Risk

OVERVIEW

Interest rate risk is the risk to earnings and value arising from changes in market interest rates. Interest rate risk arises from timing differences in the repricings and maturities of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (reprice risk), changes in the expected maturities of assets and liabilities arising from embedded options, such as borrowers' ability to prepay residential mortgage loans at any time and depositors' ability to redeem certificates of deposit before maturity (option risk), changes in the shape of the yield curve where interest rates increase or decrease in a non-parallel fashion (yield curve risk), and changes in spread relationships between different yield curves, such as U.S. Treasuries and LIBOR (basis risk).

Table of Contents**INCOME SIMULATION AND ECONOMIC VALUE ANALYSIS**

Interest rate risk measurement is performed monthly. Two broad approaches to modeling interest rate risk are employed: income simulation and economic value analysis. An income simulation analysis is used to measure the sensitivity of forecasted net interest income to changes in market rates over a one-year time period. Although bank owned life insurance, automobile operating lease assets, and excess cash balances held at the Federal Reserve Bank are classified as noninterest earning assets, and the net revenue from these assets is recorded in noninterest income and noninterest expense, these portfolios are included in the interest sensitivity analysis because they have attributes similar to interest-earning assets. EVE analysis is used to measure the sensitivity of the values of period-end assets and liabilities to changes in market interest rates. EVE analysis serves as a complement to income simulation modeling as it provides risk exposure estimates for time periods beyond the one-year simulation period.

The models used for these measurements take into account prepayment speeds on mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, and consumer installment loans, as well as cash flows of other assets and liabilities. Balance sheet growth assumptions are also considered in the income simulation model. The models include the effects of derivatives, such as interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and other types of interest rate options.

The baseline scenario for income simulation analysis, with which all other scenarios are compared, is based on market interest rates implied by the prevailing yield curve as of the period-end. Alternative interest rate scenarios are then compared with the baseline scenario. These alternative interest rate scenarios include parallel rate shifts on both a gradual and an immediate basis, movements in interest rates that alter the shape of the yield curve (e.g., flatter or steeper yield curve), and no changes in current interest rates for the entire measurement period. Scenarios are also developed to measure short-term repricing risks, such as the impact of LIBOR-based interest rates rising or falling faster than the prime rate.

The simulations for evaluating short-term interest rate risk exposure are scenarios that model gradual +/-100 and +/-200 basis points parallel shifts in market interest rates over the next one-year period beyond the interest rate change implied by the current yield curve. We assumed market interest rates would not fall below 0% over the next one-year period for the scenarios that used the -100 and -200 basis points parallel shift in market interest rates. The table below shows the results of the scenarios as of March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010. All of the positions were within the board of directors policy limits as of March 31, 2011, except for the -100 basis points scenario.

Table 34 Net Interest Income at Risk

Basis point change scenario	Net Interest Income at Risk (%)			
	-200	-100	+100	+200
Board policy limits	-4.0%	-2.0%	-2.0%	-4.0%
March 31, 2011	-3.5	-2.7	1.3	2.5
December 31, 2010	-3.2	-1.8	0.3	0.0

The net interest income at risk reported as of March 31, 2011 for the +200 basis points scenario shows a significant change to an asset sensitive near-term interest rate risk position compared with December 31, 2010. The ALCO's strategy is to be near-term asset-sensitive to a rising rate scenario. The primary factor contributing to this change is the termination of \$4.1 billion of interest rate swaps maturing through June 2012. The terminations also impacted exposure to declining interest rate scenarios, resulting in the -100 basis points scenario exceeding the board of directors policy limit. A key factor which impacts the exposure to declining interest rates is an assumption that rates paid on deposit products, such as money market accounts, savings accounts, and interest-bearing checking accounts, will not decline much further from current rates being paid. However, management would most likely lower the rates on these deposit products if the economic climate associated with a declining interest rate environment warranted such action. The ALCO recommended, and the board approved, an exception to the policy limit noting a low probability of rates going lower. The ALCO has no immediate plans to take any action at this time to bring the down 100 basis point scenario results within policy limits.

The following table shows the income sensitivity of select portfolios to changes in market interest rates. A portfolio with 100% sensitivity would indicate that interest income and expense will change with the same magnitude and

direction as interest rates. A portfolio with 0% sensitivity is insensitive to changes in interest rates. For the +200 basis points scenario, total interest-sensitive income is 41.6% sensitive to changes in market interest rates, while total interest-sensitive expense is 43.3% sensitive to changes in market interest rates. However, net interest income at risk for the +200 basis points scenario has a neutral near-term interest rate risk position because of the larger base of total interest-sensitive income relative to total interest-sensitive expense.

Table of Contents**Table 35 Interest Income/Expense Sensitivity**

	Percent of Total Earning Assets (1)	Percent Change in Interest Income/Expense for a Given Change in Interest Rates			
		Over / (Under) Base Case Parallel Ramp			
		-200	-100	+100	+200
Basis point change scenario					
Total loans	80%	-21.5%	-32.1%	46.1%	46.3%
Total investments and other earning assets	20	-18.9	-22.0	38.7	28.4
Total interest sensitive income		-20.4	-29.3	43.4	41.6
Total interest-bearing deposits	72	-9.9	-11.7	41.1	38.8
Total borrowings	11	-20.5	-38.4	71.5	72.1
Total interest-sensitive expense		-11.3	-15.4	45.2	43.3

(1) At March 31, 2011.

Table 36 Economic Value of Equity at Risk

	Economic Value of Equity at Risk (%)			
	-200	-100	+100	+200
Basis point change scenario				
Board policy limits	-12.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%	-12.0%
March 31, 2011	0.9	1.8	-3.5	-7.7
December 31, 2010	-0.5	1.3	-4.0	-8.9

The EVE at risk reported as of March 31, 2011, for the +200 basis points scenario shows a change to a lower long-term liability sensitive position compared with December 31, 2010. The primary factor contributing to this change is the termination of \$4.1 billion of interest rate swaps maturing through June 2012.

The following table shows the economic value sensitivity of select portfolios to changes in market interest rates. The change in economic value for each portfolio is measured as the percent change from the base economic value for that portfolio. For the +200 basis points scenario, total net tangible assets decreased in value 3.4% to changes in market interest rates, while total net tangible liabilities increased in value 2.6% to changes in market interest rates.

Table 37 Economic Value Sensitivity

	Percent of Total Net Tangible Assets (1)	Percent Change in Economic Value for a Given Change in Interest Rates			
		Over / (Under) Base Case Parallel Shocks			
		-200	-100	+100	+200
Basis point change scenario					
Total loans	72%	1.8%	1.2%	-1.4%	-2.8%
Total investments and other earning assets	18	4.6	2.7	-3.3	-6.6
Total net tangible assets (2)		2.2	1.4	-1.7	-3.4
Total deposits	78	-2.6	-1.4	1.5	2.8
Total borrowings	10	-1.6	-0.8	0.8	1.6
Total net tangible liabilities (3)		-2.5	-1.3	1.4	2.6

- (1) At March 31, 2011.
- (2) Tangible assets excluding ALLL.
- (3) Tangible liabilities excluding AULC.

MSRs

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 5 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

At March 31, 2011, we had a total of \$202.6 million of capitalized MSRs representing the right to service \$16.5 billion in mortgage loans. Of this \$202.6 million, \$119.2 million was recorded using the fair value method, and \$83.4 million was recorded using the amortization method. When we actively engage in hedging, the MSR asset is carried at fair value.

Table of Contents

MSR fair values are very sensitive to movements in interest rates as expected future net servicing income depends on the projected outstanding principal balances of the underlying loans, which can be greatly reduced by prepayments. Prepayments usually increase when mortgage interest rates decline and decrease when mortgage interest rates rise. We have employed strategies to reduce the risk of MSR fair value changes or impairment. In addition, we engage a third party to provide valuation tools and assistance with our strategies with the objective to decrease the volatility from MSR fair value changes. However, volatile changes in interest rates can diminish the effectiveness of these hedges. We typically report MSR fair value adjustments net of hedge-related trading activity in the mortgage banking income category of noninterest income. Changes in fair value between reporting dates are recorded as an increase or a decrease in mortgage banking income.

MSRs recorded using the amortization method generally relate to loans originated with historically low interest rates, resulting in a lower probability of prepayments and, ultimately, impairment. MSR assets are included in other assets and presented in Table 9.

Price Risk

Price risk represents the risk of loss arising from adverse movements in the prices of financial instruments that are carried at fair value and are subject to fair value accounting. We have price risk from trading securities, securities owned by our broker-dealer subsidiaries, foreign exchange positions, equity investments, investments in securities backed by mortgage loans, and marketable equity securities held by our insurance subsidiaries. We have established loss limits on the trading portfolio, on the amount of foreign exchange exposure that can be maintained, and on the amount of marketable equity securities that can be held by the insurance subsidiaries.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk of loss due to the possibility that funds may not be available to satisfy current or future commitments resulting from external macro market issues, investor and customer perception of financial strength, and events unrelated to us, such as war, terrorism, or financial institution market specific issues. We manage liquidity risk at both the Bank and the parent company.

Bank Liquidity and Sources of Liquidity

Our primary sources of funding for the Bank are retail and commercial core deposits. At March 31, 2011, these core deposits funded 74% of total assets. At March 31, 2011, total core deposits represented 95% of total deposits, an increase from 93% at the prior year-end.

Core deposits are comprised of interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing demand deposits, money market deposits, savings and other domestic deposits, consumer certificates of deposit both over and under \$250,000, and nonconsumer certificates of deposit less than \$250,000. Noncore deposits consist of brokered money market deposits and certificates of deposit, foreign time deposits, and other domestic deposits of \$250,000 or more comprised primarily of public fund certificates of deposit more than \$250,000.

Core deposits may increase our need for liquidity as certificates of deposit mature or are withdrawn before maturity and as nonmaturity deposits, such as checking and savings account balances, are withdrawn.

Demand deposit overdrafts that have been reclassified as loan balances were \$12.0 million, \$13.1 million, and \$15.5 million at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more and brokered deposits and negotiable CDs totaled \$1.8 billion, \$2.2 billion, and \$2.3 billion at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects deposit composition detail for each of the past five quarters:

Table 38 Deposit Composition

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		December 31,		2010		June 30,		March 31,	
	March 31,				September 30,					
By Type										
Demand deposits										
noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,597	18%	\$ 7,217	17%	\$ 6,926	17%	\$ 6,463	16%	\$ 6,938	17%
Demand deposits										
interest-bearing	5,532	13	5,469	13	5,347	13	5,850	15	5,948	15
Money market deposits	13,105	32	13,410	32	12,679	31	11,437	29	10,644	26
Savings and other domestic										
deposits	4,762	12	4,643	11	4,613	11	4,652	12	4,666	12
Core certificates of deposit	8,208	20	8,525	20	8,765	21	8,974	23	9,441	23
Total core deposits	39,204	95	39,264	93	38,330	93	37,376	95	37,637	93
Other domestic deposits of										
\$250,000 or more	531	1	675	2	730	2	678	2	684	2
Brokered deposits and										
negotiable CDs	1,253	3	1,532	4	1,576	4	1,373	3	1,605	4
Deposits in foreign offices	378	1	383	1	436	1	422		377	1
Total deposits	\$ 41,366	100%	\$ 41,854	100%	\$ 41,072	100%	\$ 39,849	100%	\$ 40,303	100%
Total core deposits:										
Commercial	\$ 12,785	33%	\$ 12,476	32%	\$ 12,262	32%	\$ 11,515	31%	\$ 11,844	31%
Personal	26,419	67	26,788	68	26,068	68	25,861	69	25,793	69
Total core deposits	\$ 39,204	100%	\$ 39,264	100%	\$ 38,330	100%	\$ 37,376	100%	\$ 37,637	100%

To the extent we are unable to obtain sufficient liquidity through core deposits, we may meet our liquidity needs through sources of wholesale funding. These sources include other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more, brokered deposits and negotiable CDs, deposits in foreign offices, short-term borrowings, FHLB advances, other long-term debt, and subordinated notes. At March 31, 2011, total wholesale funding was \$7.6 billion, a decrease from \$8.4 billion at December 31, 2010.

The Bank also has access to the Federal Reserve's discount window. These borrowings are secured by commercial loans and home equity lines-of-credit. The Bank is also a member of the FHLB, and as such, has access to advances from this facility. These advances are generally secured by residential mortgages, other mortgage-related loans, and available-for-sale securities. Information regarding amounts pledged, for the ability to borrow if necessary, and the unused borrowing capacity at both the Federal Reserve Bank and the FHLB, is outlined in the following table:

Table 39 Federal Reserve and FHLB Borrowing Capacity

<i>(dollar amounts in billions)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Loans and Securities Pledged:		
Federal Reserve Bank	\$ 9.9	\$ 9.7

FHLB		7.5		7.8
Total loans and securities pledged	\$	17.4	\$	17.5
Total unused borrowing capacity at Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB	\$	9.5	\$	8.8

We can also obtain funding through other methods including: (1) purchasing federal funds, (2) selling securities under repurchase agreements, (3) the sale or maturity of investment securities, (4) the sale or securitization of loans, (5) the sale of national market certificates of deposit, (6) the relatively shorter-term structure of our commercial loans and automobile loans, and (7) the issuance of common and preferred stock.

At March 31, 2011, we believe the Bank has sufficient liquidity to meet its cash flow obligations for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents***Parent Company Liquidity***

The parent company's funding requirements consist primarily of dividends to shareholders, debt service, income taxes, operating expenses, funding of nonbank subsidiaries, repurchases of our stock, and acquisitions. The parent company obtains funding to meet obligations from interest received from the Bank, interest and dividends received from direct subsidiaries, net taxes collected from subsidiaries included in the federal consolidated tax return, fees for services provided to subsidiaries, and the issuance of debt securities.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the parent company had \$0.6 billion in cash and cash equivalents.

Appropriate limits and guidelines are in place to ensure the parent company has sufficient cash to meet operating expenses and other commitments during 2011 without relying on subsidiaries or capital markets for funding.

During the 2010 fourth quarter, we completed a public offering and sale of 146.0 million shares of common stock at a price of \$6.30 per share, or \$920.0 million in aggregate gross proceeds. Also during the 2010 fourth quarter, we completed the public offering and sale of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.00% Subordinated Notes due 2020. We used the net proceeds from these transactions to repurchase our TARP Capital. On January 19, 2011, we repurchased the warrant we had issued to the Treasury at an agreed upon purchase price of \$49.1 million. The warrant had entitled the Treasury to purchase 23.6 million shares of common stock.

Based on the current dividend of \$0.01 per common share, cash demands required for common stock dividends are estimated to be approximately \$8.6 million per quarter. Based on the current dividend, cash demands required for Series A Preferred Stock are estimated to be approximately \$7.7 million per quarter.

Based on a regulatory dividend limitation, the Bank could not have declared and paid a dividend to the parent company at March 31, 2011, without regulatory approval. We do not anticipate that the Bank will need to request regulatory approval to pay dividends in the near future as we continue to build Bank regulatory capital above its already Well-capitalized level. To help meet any additional liquidity needs, we have an open-ended, automatic shelf registration statement filed and effective with the SEC, which permits us to issue an unspecified amount of debt or equity securities.

With the exception of the common and preferred dividends previously discussed, the parent company does not have any significant cash demands. There are no maturities of parent company obligations until 2013, when a debt maturity of \$50.0 million is payable.

Considering the factors discussed above, and other analyses that we have performed, we believe the parent company has sufficient liquidity to meet its cash flow obligations for the foreseeable future.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, we enter into various off-balance sheet arrangements. These arrangements include financial guarantees contained in standby letters of credit issued by the Bank and commitments by the Bank to sell mortgage loans.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. Most of these arrangements mature within two years and are expected to expire without being drawn upon. Standby letters of credit are included in the determination of the amount of risk-based capital that the parent company and the Bank are required to hold.

Through our credit process, we monitor the credit risks of outstanding standby letters of credit. When it is probable that a standby letter of credit will be drawn and not repaid in full, losses are recognized in the provision for credit losses. At March 31, 2011, we had \$0.6 billion of standby letters of credit outstanding, of which 77% were collateralized. Included in this \$0.6 billion are letters of credit issued by the Bank that support securities that were issued by our customers and remarketed by The Huntington Investment Company, our broker-dealer subsidiary.

We enter into forward contracts relating to the mortgage banking business to hedge the exposures we have from commitments to extend new residential mortgage loans to our customers and from our mortgage loans held for sale.

At March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, we had commitments to sell residential real estate loans of \$360.9 million, \$998.7 million, and \$600.9 million, respectively. These contracts mature in less than one year.

We do not believe that off-balance sheet arrangements will have a material impact on our liquidity or capital resources.

Table of Contents**Operational Risk**

As with all companies, we are subject to operational risk. Operational risk is the risk of loss due to human error; inadequate or failed internal systems and controls; violations of, or noncompliance with, laws, rules, regulations, prescribed practices, or ethical standards; and external influences such as market conditions, fraudulent activities, disasters, and security risks. We continuously strive to strengthen our system of internal controls to ensure compliance with laws, rules, and regulations, and to improve the oversight of our operational risk.

To mitigate operational risks, we have established a senior management Operational Risk Committee and a senior management Legal, Regulatory, and Compliance Committee. The responsibilities of these committees, among other duties, include establishing and maintaining management information systems to monitor material risks and to identify potential concerns, risks, or trends that may have a significant impact and ensuring that recommendations are developed to address the identified issues. Both of these committees report any significant findings and recommendations to the Risk Management Committee. Additionally, potential concerns may be escalated to our Board Risk Oversight Committee, as appropriate.

The goal of this framework is to implement effective operational risk techniques and strategies, minimize operational and fraud losses, and enhance our overall performance.

Representation and Warranty Reserve

We primarily conduct our loan sale and securitization activity with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. In connection with these and other securitization transactions, we make certain representations and warranties that the loans meet certain criteria, such as collateral type and underwriting standards. We may be required to repurchase individual loans and / or indemnify these organizations against losses due to a loan not meeting the established criteria. We have a reserve for such losses, which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities. The reserves were estimated based on historical and expected repurchase activity, average loss rates, and current economic trends. The level of mortgage loan repurchase losses depends upon economic factors, investor demand strategies and other external conditions containing a level of uncertainty and risk that may change over the life of the underlying loans. We do not believe we have sufficient information to estimate the range of reasonably possible loss related to representation and warranty exposure.

The table below reflects activity in the representations and warranties reserve:

Table 40 Summary of Reserve for Representations and Warranties on Mortgage Loans Serviced for Others

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010		
	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First	
Reserve for representations and warranties, beginning of period	\$ 20,170	\$ 18,026	\$ 10,519	\$ 5,920	\$ 5,916	
Acquired reserve for representations and warranties			7,000			
Reserve charges	(270)	(4,242)	(1,787)	(1,875)	(1,108)	
Provision for representations and warranties	3,885	6,386	2,294	6,474	1,112	
Reserve for representations and warranties, end of period	\$ 23,785	\$ 20,170	\$ 18,026	\$ 10,519	\$ 5,920	

Foreclosure Documentation

Recently, several high volume servicers have announced the execution of consent orders with various federal regulators. Those consent orders do not preclude the assessment of civil money penalties in the future by the regulators.

Compared to the high volume servicers, we service a relatively low volume of residential mortgage foreclosures, with approximately 3,900 foreclosure cases as of March 31, 2011, in states that require foreclosures to proceed through the courts. We have reviewed and are continuing to review our residential foreclosure process. We have no reason to

conclude that foreclosures were filed that should not have been filed. We have and are strengthening our processes and controls to ensure that our foreclosure processes do not have the deficiencies identified in those institutions which are the subject of the consent orders.

Compliance Risk

Financial institutions are subject to a myriad of laws, rules and regulations emanating at both the federal and state levels. These mandates cover a broad scope, including but not limited to, expectations on anti-money laundering, lending limits, client privacy, fair lending, community reinvestment, and other important areas. Recently, the volume and complexity of regulatory changes adds to the overall compliance risk. At Huntington, we take these mandates seriously and have invested in people, processes, and systems to help ensure we meet expectations. At the corporate level, we have a team of compliance experts and lawyers dedicated to ensuring our conformance. We provide, and require, training for our colleagues on a number of broad-based laws and regulations. For example, all of our employees are expected to take, and pass, courses on anti-money laundering and customer privacy. Those who are engaged in lending activities must also take training related to flood disaster protection, equal credit opportunity, fair lending, and / or a variety of other courses related to the extension of credit. We set a high standard of expectation for adherence to compliance management and seek to continuously enhance our performance in this regard.

Table of Contents**Capital**

(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Item 2.)

Capital is managed both at the Bank and on a consolidated basis. Capital levels are maintained based on regulatory capital requirements and the economic capital required to support credit, market, liquidity, and operational risks inherent in our business, and to provide the flexibility needed for future growth and new business opportunities. Shareholders' equity totaled \$5.0 billion at March 31, 2011, a slight increase from December 31, 2010, primarily reflecting an increase in retained earnings.

We believe our current level of capital is adequate.

TARP Capital

As discussed in our 2010 Form 10-K, we fully exited our TARP relationship during the 2011 first quarter by repurchasing the ten-year warrant we had issued to the Treasury as part of the TARP Capital for \$49.1 million. Refer to the 2010 Form 10-K for a complete discussion regarding the issuing and repayment of our TARP Capital.

Capital Adequacy

The following table presents risk-weighted assets and other financial data necessary to calculate certain financial ratios that we use to measure capital adequacy.

Table 41 Capital Adequacy

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		2010		
	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	March 31,
Consolidated capital calculations:					
Common shareholders' equity	\$ 4,676	\$ 4,618	\$ 3,867	\$ 3,742	\$ 3,678
Preferred shareholders' equity	363	363	1,700	1,696	1,692
Total shareholders' equity	5,039	4,981	5,567	5,438	5,370
Goodwill	(444)	(444)	(444)	(444)	(444)
Other intangible assets	(215)	(229)	(244)	(259)	(274)
Other intangible assets deferred tax liability (1)	75	80	85	91	95
Total tangible equity (2)	4,455	4,388	4,964	4,826	4,747
Preferred shareholders' equity	(363)	(363)	(1,700)	(1,696)	(1,692)
Total tangible common equity (2)	\$ 4,092	\$ 4,025	\$ 3,264	\$ 3,130	\$ 3,055
Total assets	\$ 52,949	\$ 53,820	\$ 53,247	\$ 51,771	\$ 51,867
Goodwill	(444)	(444)	(444)	(444)	(444)
Other intangible assets	(215)	(229)	(244)	(259)	(274)
Other intangible assets deferred tax liability (1)	75	80	85	91	95
Total tangible assets (2)	\$ 52,365	\$ 53,227	\$ 52,644	\$ 51,159	\$ 51,244
Tier 1 capital	\$ 5,179	\$ 5,022	\$ 5,480	\$ 5,317	\$ 5,090
Preferred shareholders' equity	(363)	(363)	(1,700)	(1,696)	(1,692)
Trust-preferred securities	(570)	(570)	(570)	(570)	(570)
REIT-preferred stock	(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Tier 1 common equity (2)	\$ 4,196	\$ 4,039	\$ 3,160	\$ 3,001	\$ 2,778
Risk-weighted assets (RWA)	\$ 43,024	\$ 43,471	\$ 42,759	\$ 42,486	\$ 42,522
Tier 1 common equity / RWA ratio (2)	9.75%	9.29%	7.39%	7.06%	6.53%
Tangible equity / tangible asset ratio (2)	8.51	8.24	9.43	9.43	9.26
Tangible common equity / tangible asset ratio (2)	7.81	7.56	6.20	6.12	5.96
Tangible common equity / RWA ratio (2)	9.51	9.26	7.63	7.37	7.18

- (1) Other intangible assets are net of deferred tax liability, and calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (2) Tangible equity, Tier 1 common equity, tangible common equity, and tangible assets are non-GAAP financial measures. Additionally, any ratios utilizing these financial measures are also non-GAAP. These financial measures have been included as they are considered to be critical metrics with which to analyze and evaluate financial condition and capital strength. Other companies may calculate these financial measures differently.

Table of Contents

Our consolidated TCE ratio was 7.81% at March 31, 2011, an increase from 7.56% at December 31, 2010. The 25 basis point increase from December 31, 2010, primarily reflected the combination of an increase in retained earnings and lower period-end tangible assets, partially offset by the repurchase of the TARP warrant during the current quarter.

Regulatory Capital

Regulatory capital ratios are the primary metrics used by regulators in assessing the safety and soundness of banks. We intend to maintain both our and the Bank's risk-based capital ratios at levels at which both would be considered Well-capitalized by regulators. The Bank is primarily supervised and regulated by the OCC, which establishes regulatory capital guidelines for banks similar to those established for bank holding companies by the Federal Reserve Board.

Regulatory capital primarily consists of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital. The sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital equals our total risk-based capital. The following table reflects changes and activity to the various components utilized in the calculation of our consolidated Tier 1, Tier 2, and total risk-based capital amounts during the first three-month period of 2011.

Table 42 Consolidated Regulatory Capital Activity

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Tier 1 Capital		Qualifying Core Capital (2)	Tier 1 Capital		Total Tier 1 Capital
	Common Shareholders Equity (1)	Preferred Shareholders Equity		Disallowed Goodwill & Intangible assets	Disallowed Other Adjustments (net)	
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 4,815.1	\$ 362.5	\$ 620.3	\$ (607.2)	\$ (168.9)	\$ 5,021.8
Earnings	126.4					126.4
Changes to disallowed adjustments				21.7	(0.7)	21.0
Dividends	(16.3)					(16.3)
Repurchase of TARP Capital warrant	(49.1)					(49.1)
Disallowance of deferred tax assets					71.3	71.3
Other	3.5					3.5
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 4,879.6	\$ 362.5	\$ 620.3	\$ (585.5)	\$ (98.3)	\$ 5,178.6
	Total risk-based capital					Total risk-based capital
	Qualifying ACL	Qualifying Subordinated Debt	Tier 2 Capital	Tier 1 Capital (from above)		
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 552.3	\$ 710.5	\$ 1,262.8	\$ 5,021.8	\$ 6,284.6	
Change in qualifying subordinated debt		(45.2)	(45.2)		(45.2)	
Change in qualifying ACL	(6.6)		(6.6)		(6.6)	
				156.8	156.8	

Changes to Tier 1 Capital (see above)

Balance at March 31, 2011	\$	545.7	\$	665.3	\$	1,211.0	\$	5,178.6	\$	6,389.6
---------------------------	----	-------	----	-------	----	---------	----	---------	----	---------

- (1) Excludes accumulated other comprehensive income and minority interest.
- (2) Includes minority interest.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our regulatory capital ratios at both the consolidated and Bank levels for each of the past five quarters:

Table 43 Regulatory Capital Ratios

		2011	2010			
		March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	March 31, 2010
Total risk-weighted assets (in millions)	Consolidated	\$ 43,024	\$ 43,471	\$ 42,759	42,486	\$ 42,522
	Bank	42,750	43,281	42,503	42,249	42,511
Tier 1 leverage ratio	Consolidated	9.80%	9.41%	10.54%	10.45%	10.05%
	Bank	7.23	6.97	6.85	6.54	5.99
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	Consolidated	12.04	11.55	12.82	12.51	11.97
	Bank	8.87	8.51	8.28	7.80	7.11
Total risk-based capital ratio	Consolidated	14.85	14.46	15.08	14.79	14.28
	Bank	13.11	12.82	12.69	12.23	11.53

The increase in our consolidated Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios compared with December 31, 2010 primarily reflected current quarter earnings, a reduction in the disallowed deferred tax asset, and a slight decline in risk-weighted assets, partially offset by the negative impact related to the repurchase of the TARP warrants.

At March 31, 2011, our Tier 1 and total risk-based capital in excess of the minimum level required to be considered Well-capitalized were \$2.6 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively. The Bank had Tier 1 and total risk-based capital in excess of the minimum level required to be considered Well-capitalized of \$1.2 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively, at March 31, 2011.

Other Capital Matters

Our strong capital ratios and expectations for continued earnings growth positions us to actively explore capital management opportunities, including raising our dividend.

Table of Contents**BUSINESS SEGMENT DISCUSSION****Overview**

This section reviews financial performance from a business segment perspective and should be read in conjunction with the Discussion of Results of Operations, Note 17 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and other sections for a full understanding of our consolidated financial performance.

Business segment results are determined based upon our management reporting system, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each of the business segments. The process is designed around our organizational and management structure and, accordingly, the results derived are not necessarily comparable with similar information published by other financial institutions.

Funds Transfer Pricing

We use an active and centralized FTP methodology to attribute appropriate net interest income to the business segments. The intent of the FTP methodology is to eliminate all interest rate risk from the business segments by providing matched duration funding of assets and liabilities. The result is to centralize the financial impact, management, and reporting of interest rate and liquidity risk in the Treasury / Other function where it can be centrally monitored and managed. The Treasury / Other function charges (credits) an internal cost of funds for assets held in (or pays for funding provided by) each business segment. The FTP rate is based on prevailing market interest rates for comparable duration assets (or liabilities), and includes an estimate for the cost of liquidity (liquidity premium). Deposits of an indeterminate maturity receive an FTP credit based on a combination of vintage-based average lives and replicating portfolio pool rates. Other assets, liabilities, and capital are charged (credited) with a four-year moving average FTP rate. The denominator in the net interest margin calculation has been modified to add the amount of net funds provided by each business segment for all periods presented.

Revenue Sharing

Revenue is recorded in the business segment responsible for the related product or service. Fee sharing is recorded to allocate portions of such revenue to other business segments involved in selling to, or providing service to, customers. Results of operations for the business segments reflect these fee sharing allocations.

Expense Allocation

The management accounting process that develops the business segment reporting utilizes various estimates and allocation methodologies to measure the performance of the business segments. Expenses are allocated to business segments using a two-phase approach. The first phase consists of measuring and assigning unit costs (activity-based costs) to activities related to product origination and servicing. These activity-based costs are then extended, based on volumes, with the resulting amount allocated to business segments that own the related products. The second phase consists of the allocation of overhead costs to all four business segments from Treasury / Other. We utilize a full-allocation methodology, where all Treasury / Other expenses, except those related to our insurance business, reported Significant Items (except for the goodwill impairment), and a small amount of other residual unallocated expenses, are allocated to the four business segments.

Treasury / Other

The Treasury / Other function includes revenue and expense related to our insurance business, and assets, liabilities, and equity not directly assigned or allocated to one of the four business segments. Assets include investment securities, bank owned life insurance, and the OREO properties acquired through the 2009 first quarter Franklin restructuring. The financial impact associated with our FTP methodology, as described above, is also included. Net interest income includes the impact of administering our investment securities portfolios and the net impact of derivatives used to hedge interest rate sensitivity. Noninterest income includes insurance income, miscellaneous fee income not allocated to other business segments, such as bank owned life insurance income and any investment security and trading asset gains or losses. Noninterest expense includes any insurance-related expenses, as well as certain corporate administrative, merger, and other miscellaneous expenses not allocated to other business segments. The provision for income taxes for the business segments is calculated at a statutory 35% tax rate, though our overall effective tax rate is lower. As a result, Treasury / Other reflects a credit for income taxes representing the difference between the lower actual effective tax rate and the statutory tax rate used to allocate income taxes to the business segments.

Table of Contents**Net Income by Business Segment**

We reported net income of \$126.4 million during the first three-month period of 2011. This compared with net income of \$39.7 million during the first three-month period of 2010. The segregation of net income by business segment for the first three-month period of 2011 and 2010 is presented in the following table:

Table 44 Net Income by Business Segment

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Retail and Business Banking	\$ 54,887	\$ 9,944
Regional and Commercial Banking	24,067	(349)
AFCRE	34,656	(40,637)
WGH	9,448	17,923
Treasury/Other	3,388	52,856
Total net income	\$ 126,446	\$ 39,737

Average Loans/Leases and Deposits by Business Segment

The segregation of total average loans and leases and total average deposits by business segment for the first three-month period of 2011, is presented in the following table:

Table 45 Average Loans/Leases and Deposits by Business Segment

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011					
	Retail and Business Banking	Regional and Commercial Banking	AFCRE	WGH	Treasury / Other	TOTAL
Average Loans/Leases						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,966	\$ 7,480	\$ 1,803	\$ 774	\$ 98	\$ 13,121
Commercial real estate	452	323	5,565	184		6,524
Total commercial	3,418	7,803	7,368	958	98	19,645
Automobile			5,701			5,701
Home equity	6,907	13	1	780	27	7,728
Residential mortgage	1,048	3		3,410	4	4,465
Other consumer	407	5	138	44	(35)	559
Total consumer	8,362	21	5,840	4,234	(4)	18,453
Total loans and leases	\$ 11,780	\$ 7,824	\$ 13,208	\$ 5,192	\$ 94	\$ 38,098
Average Deposits						
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 3,511	\$ 2,032	\$ 394	\$ 1,259	\$ 137	\$ 7,333
Demand deposits interest-bearing	4,406	91	44	811	5	5,357

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Money market deposits	8,297	1,280	257	3,658		13,492
Savings and other domestic deposits	4,533	14	11	143		4,701
Core certificates of deposit	8,202	29	4	152	4	8,391
Total core deposits	28,949	3,446	710	6,023	146	39,274
Other deposits	190	220	53	1,371	556	2,390
Total deposits	\$ 29,139	\$ 3,666	\$ 763	\$ 7,394	\$ 702	\$ 41,664

Table of Contents**Retail and Business Banking****Table 46 Key Performance Indicators for Retail and Business Banking**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)</i>	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Net interest income	\$ 235,845	\$ 203,405	\$ 32,440	16%
Provision for credit losses	23,694	67,974	(44,280)	(65)
Noninterest income	94,428	94,645	(217)	
Noninterest expense	222,137	214,777	7,360	3
Provision for income taxes	29,555	5,355	24,200	452
Net income	\$ 54,887	\$ 9,944	\$ 44,943	452%
Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	5,460	5,213	247	5%
Total average assets (in millions)	\$ 13,157	\$ 13,158	\$ (1)	
Total average loans/leases (in millions)	11,780	11,779	1	
Total average deposits (in millions)	29,139	28,371	768	3
Net interest margin	3.26%	2.90%	0.36%	12
NCOs	\$ 39,008	\$ 69,718	\$ (30,710)	(44)
NCOs as a % of average loans and leases	1.32%	2.37%	(1.05)%	(44)
Return on average common equity	15.2	2.9	12.3	424
Retail banking # DDA households (eop)	1,005,107	936,081	69,026	7
Business banking # business DDA relationships (eop)	122,271	114,335	7,936	7

N.R. Not relevant, as denominator of calculation is a loss in prior period compared with income in current period.

eop End of Period.

2011 First Three Months vs. 2010 First Three Months

Retail and Business Banking reported net income of \$54.9 million for the first three-month period of 2011, compared with net income of \$9.9 million in the first three-month period of 2010. As discussed further below, the \$44.9 million increase included a \$32.4 million, or 16%, increase in the net interest income and a \$44.3 million, or 65%, decline in the provision for credit losses, partially offset by a \$24.2 million increase in income taxes.

Net interest income increased \$32.4 million, or 16%, primarily reflecting a \$0.8 billion increase in average total deposits, a 39 basis point improvement in our deposit spread, and a 7% increase in the number of DDA households. These increases were the result of increased sales results throughout 2010 and 2011, particularly in our money market and checking account deposit products through the use of more targeted direct mail and advertising of 24-Hour Grace, part of our Fair Play banking philosophy.

Total average loans and leases were flat between the first three-month period of 2011, and the first three-month period in 2010. The CRE portfolio declined \$103 million and reflected our ongoing commitment to reduce our exposure to CRE loans. This CRE decrease was partially offset by a \$50 million increase in our C&I portfolio. We also sold SBA loans of \$38.0 million, and the gains are referenced below.

Provision for credit losses declined \$44.3 million, or 65%, reflecting lower NCOs and improvement in delinquencies. NCOs declined \$30.7 million, or 44%, and reflected a \$10.1 million decline in total commercial NCOs, and a \$20.7 million decline in total consumer NCOs. The decrease in NCOs reflected a lower level of large dollar charge-offs, improvement in delinquencies, and an improved credit environment.

Table of Contents

Noninterest income decreased \$0.2 million, or 0.2%, reflecting a \$14.6 million decline in deposit service charges resulting from the amendment to Reg E, the reduction or elimination of certain overdraft fees, and the introduction of our new 24-Hour Grace consumer checking feature. The decrease was partially offset by (1) \$3.6 million increase in electronic banking income, primarily reflecting an increased number of deposit accounts and transaction volumes, (2) \$2.3 million increase in mortgage banking income, (3) \$1.0 million increase in brokerage and insurance income, and (4) \$7.5 million increase in other income primarily related to recognition of additional gains on sales of SBA loans. Noninterest expense increased \$7.3 million, or 3%. This increase reflected: (1) \$5.4 million increase in personnel expense reflecting a 5% increase in full-time equivalent employees and salary increases, (2) \$5.5 million increase in marketing expenses related to branch and product advertising and direct mail efforts, and branch and ATM branding investments in support of strategic initiatives, and (3) \$1.0 million increase in equipment expenses related to branch refurbishment and rebrand strategies. These increases were partially offset by: (1) \$1.8 million improvement in OREO losses, (2) \$1.1 million of lower allocated expenses, and (3) \$1.4 million of lower amortization of intangibles expense.

Table of Contents**Regional and Commercial Banking****Table 47 Key Performance Indicators for Regional and Commercial Banking**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)</i>	Three Months Ended		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Net interest income	\$ 57,438	\$ 50,831	\$ 6,607	13%
Provision for credit losses	5,969	41,207	(35,238)	(86)
Noninterest income	29,238	25,393	3,845	15
Noninterest expense	43,681	35,554	8,127	23
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	12,959	(188)	13,147	(6,993)
Net income (loss)	\$ 24,067	\$ (349)	\$ 24,416	N.R.%
Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	568	449	119	27%
Total average assets (in millions)	\$ 8,722	\$ 8,143	\$ 579	7
Total average loans/leases (in millions)	7,824	7,322	502	7
Total average deposits (in millions)	3,666	3,130	536	17
Net interest margin	2.99%	2.77%	0.22%	8
NCOs	\$ 15,160	\$ 40,509	\$ (25,349)	(63)
NCOs as a % of average loans and leases	0.78%	2.21%	(1.43)%	(65)
Return on average common equity	14.1	(0.2)	14.3	N.R.

N.R. Not relevant, as denominator of calculation is a loss in prior period compared with income in current period.

2011 First Three Months vs. 2010 First Three Months

Regional and Commercial Banking reported net income of \$24.1 million in the first three-month period of 2011, compared with a net loss of \$0.3 million in the first three-month period of 2010. This \$24.4 million improvement reflected a \$35.2 million decline in provision for credit losses. The increased earnings also reflected significant improvement in our net interest income and noninterest income due to the successful execution of our strategic initiatives. Noninterest expense and total FTEs have increased as a result of these strategic investments.

Net interest income increased \$6.6 million, or 13%. The primary drivers of this increase are due to: (1) \$0.5 billion, or 7%, increase in average total loans and leases, (2) \$0.6 billion, or 22%, increase in average core deposits, and (3) net interest margin expanded 22 basis points. The commercial loan spread improved by 34 basis points primarily due to a lower cost of funds on our renewals. In addition, as the liquidity position of the Bank improved in 2010, the liquidity premium was lowered for new and renewed loans.

Average total loans and leases increased \$0.5 billion, or 7%, primarily reflecting the successful execution of our strategic initiatives, higher sales performance levels within our primary markets, and investments in additional leadership, expertise and sales talent. Seven out of our eleven markets experienced loan growth during this time period. Our core middle market loan portfolio average balance grew \$259 million from the 2010 first quarter. The majority of this growth was due to marketing efforts and community development within our Michigan and Cleveland markets. Our equipment finance portfolio grew \$180 million, or 21%, from the 2010 first quarter due to our focus on developing vertical strategies in business aircraft, rail, and syndications. Our large corporate portfolio grew \$279 million due to establishing relationships with targeted prospects within our footprint.

We have made significant investments in our sales process, with an emphasis on our Optimal Customer Relationship, or OCR, program which entails robust customer relationship planning, as well as a renewed investment in technology, including a referral tracking system and new customer relationship management system. These investments have

resulted in loan originations in the 2011 first quarter that increased 75% from the year-ago period. Total average deposits increased \$0.5 billion, or 17%, primarily reflecting a \$0.6 billion increase in core deposits. The increase in core deposits primarily reflected: (1) \$0.5 billion increase in commercial savings and money market deposits; and (2) \$0.1 billion increase in commercial demand deposits. These increases were primarily a result of strategic initiatives to deepen customer relationships, new and innovative product offerings, pricing discipline and sales & retention initiatives.

Table of Contents

The Commercial Relationship Manager sales teams were educated on the importance of liquidity solutions in partnering with Treasury Management to deliver customer-focused solutions. This partnership, combined with the value of depository solutions, enabled our relationship managers to shift from a lending focus to a broader solutions-based cross-selling approach, including depository solutions. Targeted money market promotions and sales campaigns for loans and other products were deployed in Regional and Commercial Banking. They served as an effective door opener to drive success in ultimately obtaining operating account supported with Treasury management solutions which generally produce longer relationships. Best practices from each region were shared and institutionalized. A money desk was created to assist commercial bankers with tailored pricing solutions for customers having complex large dollar depository needs. This additional support and expertise helped our bankers win relationships and encouraged their expanded prospecting efforts.

Provision for credit losses declined \$35.2 million, or 86%, reflecting improved credit quality of the portfolio, as well as a \$25.3 million decline in NCOs. Expressed as a percentage of related average balances, NCOs decreased to 0.78% in the 2011 first quarter from 2.21% in the 2010 first quarter. The decline was primarily driven by \$27.4 million lower C&I NCOs, partially offset by \$2.4 million higher CRE NCOs. The overall decline in NCOs was the result of proactive treatment of problem credits since mid-2009, an improved credit environment, and increased recoveries. Noninterest income increased \$3.8 million, or 15%, and primarily reflected: (1) \$1.2 million in derivatives revenue due to increased sales and trading activities, (2) \$1.3 million in brokerage income reflecting the transfer of our institutional sales business to Regional and Commercial Banking from WGH during the first three-month period of 2011, (3) \$1.1 million in capital markets income resulting from strategic investments made over the last year in these types of products and services, and (4) \$0.5 million increase of loan-related fees relating to the improved collection efforts. These increases were partially offset by a \$0.9 million decline in equipment operating lease income as lease originations were structured as direct finance leases beginning in the 2009 second quarter.

Noninterest expense increased \$8.1 million, or 23%, and reflected a \$7.7 million increase in personnel expense due to a 27% increase in full-time equivalent employees. This increase in personnel is attributable to our strategic investments in our core footprint markets, vertical strategies, and product capabilities.

Table of Contents**Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate****Table 48 Key Performance Indicators for Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)</i>	Three Months Ended		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Net interest income	\$ 87,849	\$ 77,044	\$ 10,805	14%
Provision for credit losses	4,784	117,639	(112,855)	(96)
Noninterest income	13,379	17,101	(3,722)	(22)
Noninterest expense	43,127	39,025	4,102	11
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	18,661	(21,882)	(40,543)	N.R.
Net income (loss)	\$ 34,656	\$ (40,637)	\$ 75,293	N.R.%
Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	282	242	40	17%
Total average assets (in millions)	\$ 13,165	\$ 12,749	\$ 416	3
Total average loans/leases (in millions)	13,208	12,817	391	3
Total average deposits (in millions)	763	636	127	20
Net interest margin	2.64%	2.38%	0.26%	11
NCOs	\$ 73,450	\$ 103,432	\$ (29,982)	(29)
NCOs as a % of average loans and leases	2.22%	3.23%	(1.01)%	(31)
Return on average common equity	19.2	(18.1)	37.3	N.R.
Automobile loans production (in millions)	\$ 795.3	\$ 677.7	\$ 117.6	17
Noninterest income	13,379	17,101	(3,722)	(22)
Operating lease income	8,847	12,303	(3,456)	(28)
Noninterest income, excluding operating lease income	\$ 4,532	\$ 4,798	\$ (266)	(6)
Noninterest expense	\$ 43,127	\$ 39,025	\$ 4,102	10.5
Operating lease expense	6,836	10,066	(3,230)	(32.1)
Noninterest expense, excluding operating lease expense	\$ 36,291	\$ 28,959	\$ 7,332	25

N.R. Not relevant, as denominator of calculation is a loss in prior period compared with income in current period.

2011 First Three Months vs. 2010 First Three Months

AFCRE reported net income of \$34.7 million for the first three-month period 2011, compared with a net loss of \$40.6 million in the same period in 2010. The \$75.3 million increase reflected a \$112.9 million decline to the provision for credit losses, primarily due to a reduction in reserves as the underlying credit quality of the loan portfolios continues to improve and / or stabilize. The comparable year-ago period included higher provisions for credit losses to increase reserves due to economic and CRE related weaknesses in our markets. Total NCOs declined \$30.0 million, or 29%, including a \$21.4 million decline in commercial real estate loan NCOs and a \$3.9 million

decline in consumer automobile-related NCOs. As a percentage of average balances, CRE NCOs decreased to 4.46% in the 2011 first quarter compared to 5.05% in the 2010 first quarter while automobile-related NCOs decreased to 0.33% as compared to 0.80% during the same periods.

Net interest income increased \$10.8 million, or 14%, reflecting a 26 basis point increase in the net interest margin and a 3% increase in average total loans and leases. The increase in the net interest margin primarily reflects the continuation of a risk-based pricing strategy in the CRE portfolio that began in early 2009.

Total average loans and leases reflected a \$1.5 billion increase in average consumer automobile loans and leases that resulted primarily from record loan origination levels in 2010 and continued strong origination levels in 2011. This growth reflects further penetration within our historical geographic footprint, as well as the positive impact of our expansion into eastern Pennsylvania and five New England states. This increase was partially offset by a \$1.0 billion decline in average commercial real estate loans resulting from the aggressive management of this portfolio and our ongoing commitment to reducing our commercial real estate exposure.

Table of Contents

Total average deposits increased \$0.1 billion, or 20%, reflecting our commitment to strengthening relationships with core customers and prospects as well as new commercial automobile dealer relationships developed in 2010 and 2011. Noninterest income, excluding operating lease income, decreased \$0.3 million. Increases in commercial real estate fee related income totaling \$3.0 million were primarily offset by a \$2.2 million reduction in valuation adjustments associated with certain securitized loans and related debt that are accounted for at fair value, a \$0.4 million decline in servicing-related income and a \$0.2 million decline in fee income received in connection with end of term automobile lease terminations.

Noninterest expense, excluding operating lease expense, increased \$7.3 million. This increase reflected a \$1.8 million increase in personnel expense, much of which related to increased loan origination activities, including the rebuilding of the commercial real estate team and automobile lending market expansion, and a \$5.3 million increase in allocated costs primarily related to higher production and other activity levels.

Net automobile operating lease income decreased \$0.2 million as this portfolio continues to run-off as a result of the discontinuation of all lease origination activities at the end of 2008.

Table of Contents**Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending****Table 49 Key Performance Indicators for Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)</i>	Three Months Ended		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Net interest income	\$ 48,900	\$ 37,927	\$ 10,973	29%
Provision for credit losses	14,938	(3,311)	18,249	(551)
Noninterest income	66,751	70,211	(3,460)	(5)
Noninterest expense	86,178	83,875	2,303	3
Provision for income taxes	5,087	9,651	(4,564)	(47)
Net income	\$ 9,448	\$ 17,923	\$ (8,475)	(47)%
Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	2,156	2,019	137	7%
Total average assets (in millions)	\$ 6,605	\$ 6,027	\$ 578	10
Total average loans/leases (in millions)	5,192	4,631	561	12
Total average deposits (in millions)	7,394	6,759	635	9
Net interest margin	2.30%	2.25%	0.05%	2
NCOs	\$ 21,367	\$ 13,302	\$ 8,065	61
NCOs as a % of average loans and leases	1.65%	1.15%	0.50%	43
Return on average common equity	5.8	12.6	(6.8)	(54)
Mortgage banking origination volume (in millions)	\$ 929	\$ 869	\$ 60	7
Noninterest income shared with other business segments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 9,874	\$ 8,178	\$ 1,696	21
Total assets under management (in billions) eop	15.0	13.2	1.8	14
Total trust assets (in billions) eop	61.6	52.5	9.1	17

(1) Amount is not included in noninterest income reported above.

eop End of Period.

2011 First Three Months vs. 2010 First Three Months

WGH reported net income of \$9.4 million for the first three-month period of 2011, compared with net income of \$17.9 million for the first three-month period of 2010. As discussed further below, the \$8.5 million decrease included an \$18.2 million increase in the provision for credit losses and a \$3.5 million decrease in noninterest income, partially offset by an \$11.0 million increase in net interest income and a \$4.6 million decrease in provision for income taxes. Net interest income increased by \$11.0 million, or 29%, primarily reflecting an increase in the net interest margin by 5 basis points to 2.30%. These increases were the result of lower market rates for deposit accounts which more than offset lower loan rates.

Total average loans and leases increased \$561 million, or 12%, for the first three-month period of 2011 when compared to the first three-month period of 2010. This reflected increased originations of adjustable rate mortgage loans in our portfolio. Total average deposits increased \$635 million, or 9%, during the same period. This increase was attributable to execution of strategic initiatives and reflected growth in money market deposits.

Table of Contents

Provision for credit losses increased by \$18.2 million. During the 2011 first quarter, fewer commercial loan upgrades favorably impacted the provision by approximately \$10.2 million when compared with the prior year quarter. During the 2011 first quarter, we implemented a more conservative position regarding NCOs by accelerating the timing of charge-off recognition. This policy change resulted in an \$8.1 million increase in NCOs when compared with the 2010 first quarter.

Noninterest income decreased by \$3.5 million, or 5%, reflecting a \$4.9 million decline in mortgage banking income due to a \$3.5 million net loss on MSR hedging compared with a \$6.1 million gain in the year-ago quarter and a \$2.5 million decrease in other income, partially offset by: (1) a \$1.3 million increase in brokerage income primarily reflecting increased sales revenue involving investment products and (2) a \$3.0 million increase in trust services reflecting a \$9.1 billion increase in total trust assets (including a \$1.8 billion increase in assets under management) due to improved market values and net growth in accounts, and higher fees for income tax preparation.

Noninterest expense increased by \$2.3 million, or 3%, from the year-ago period based on an increase in strategic initiatives investment including a 7% increase in full-time equivalent employees.

Table of Contents

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Forward-Looking Statements

This report, including MD&A, contains certain forward-looking statements, including certain plans, expectations, goals, projections, and statements, which are subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties. Statements that do not describe historical or current facts, including statements about beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are intended to be subject to the safe harbor provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Actual results could differ materially from those contained or implied by such statements for a variety of factors including: (1) worsening of credit quality performance due to a number of factors such as the underlying value of the collateral could prove less valuable than otherwise assumed and assumed cash flows may be worse than expected; (2) changes in economic conditions; (3) movements in interest rates; (4) competitive pressures on product pricing and services; (5) success, impact, and timing of our business strategies, including market acceptance of any new products or services introduced to implement our Fair Play banking philosophy; (6) changes in accounting policies and principles and the accuracy of our assumptions and estimates used to prepare our Consolidated Financial Statements; (7) extended disruption of vital infrastructure; and (8) the nature, extent, and timing of governmental actions and reforms, including the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as future regulations which will be adopted by the relevant regulatory agencies, including the newly created CFPB, to implement the Dodd-Frank Act's provisions. Additional factors that could cause results to differ materially from those described above can be found in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and documents subsequently filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and are based on information available at that time. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date the forward-looking statements were made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events except as required by federal securities laws. As forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties, caution should be exercised against placing undue reliance on such statements.

Risk Factors

Information on risk is discussed in the Risk Factors section included in Item 1A of our 2010 Form 10-K. Additional information regarding risk factors can also be found in the Risk Management and Capital discussion of this report.

Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Significant Estimates

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to establish critical accounting policies and make accounting estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect amounts recorded and reported in our financial statements. Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2010 Form 10-K as supplemented by this report lists significant accounting policies we use in the development and presentation of our financial statements. This MD&A, the significant accounting policies, and other financial statement disclosures identify and address key variables and other qualitative and quantitative factors necessary for an understanding and evaluation of our company, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

An accounting estimate requires assumptions about uncertain matters that could have a material effect on the financial statements if a different amount within a range of estimates were used or if estimates changed from period to period. Estimates are made under facts and circumstances at a point in time, and changes in those facts and circumstances could produce results that significantly differ from when those estimates were made.

Our most significant accounting estimates relate to our ACL, fair value measurements, and income taxes and deferred tax assets. These significant accounting estimates and their related application are discussed in our 2010 Form 10-K.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Developments

Note 2 to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements discusses new accounting pronouncements adopted during 2011 and the expected impact of accounting pronouncements recently issued but not yet required to be adopted. To the extent the adoption of new accounting standards materially affect financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity, the impacts are discussed in the applicable section of this MD&A and the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents
Item 1: Financial Statements
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except number of shares)</i>	2011	2010	
	March 31,	December 31,	March 31,
Assets			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1,208,820	\$ 847,888	\$ 1,310,640
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	129,999	135,038	364,082
Trading account securities	164,489	185,404	150,463
Loans held for sale (includes \$162,768, \$754,117 and \$319,166 respectively, measured at fair value)(1)	164,282	793,285	327,408
Available-for-sale and other securities	9,322,434	9,895,244	8,946,364
Loans and leases (includes \$458,851, \$522,717 and \$730,508 respectively, measured at fair value)(2)	38,245,836	38,106,507	36,931,681
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,133,226)	(1,249,008)	(1,477,969)
Net loans and leases	37,112,610	36,857,499	35,453,712
Bank owned life insurance	1,471,099	1,458,224	1,422,874
Premises and equipment	500,736	491,602	491,573
Goodwill	444,268	444,268	444,268
Other intangible assets	215,251	228,620	273,952
Accrued income and other assets	2,214,521	2,482,570	2,681,462
Total assets	\$ 52,948,509	\$ 53,819,642	\$ 51,866,798
Liabilities and shareholders equity			
Liabilities			
Deposits	\$ 41,366,487	\$ 41,853,898	\$ 40,303,467
Short-term borrowings	2,051,258	2,040,732	980,839
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	21,379	172,519	157,895
Other long-term debt (includes \$294,905, \$356,089 and \$573,018 respectively, measured at fair value)(2)	1,900,555	2,144,092	2,727,745
Subordinated notes	1,487,566	1,497,216	1,266,907
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,082,665	1,130,643	1,060,259
Total liabilities	47,909,910	48,839,100	46,497,112
Shareholders equity			
Preferred stock authorized 6,617,808 shares; 5.00% Series B Non-voting, Cumulative Preferred Stock, par value of \$0.01 and liquidation value per share of \$1,000			1,329,186
8.50% Series A Non-cumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock, par value of \$0.01 and liquidation value per share of \$1,000	362,507	362,507	362,507
Common stock	8,643	8,642	7,174

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Capital surplus	7,584,367	7,630,093	6,735,472
Less treasury shares, at cost	(8,647)	(8,771)	(9,019)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(203,921)	(197,496)	(133,473)
Retained (deficit) earnings	(2,704,350)	(2,814,433)	(2,922,161)
Total shareholders equity	5,038,599	4,980,542	5,369,686
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 52,948,509	\$ 53,819,642	\$ 51,866,798
Common shares authorized (par value of \$0.01)	1,500,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000
Common shares issued	864,279,984	864,195,369	717,382,476
Common shares outstanding	863,398,578	863,319,435	716,556,641
Treasury shares outstanding	881,406	875,934	825,835
Preferred shares issued	1,967,071	1,967,071	1,967,071
Preferred shares outstanding	362,507	362,507	1,760,578

(1) Amounts represent loans for which Huntington has elected the fair value option. See Note 12.

(2) Amounts represent certain assets and liabilities of a consolidated VIE for which Huntington has elected the fair value option. See Note 14.

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2011	2010
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>		
Interest and fee income		
Loans and leases		
Taxable	\$ 433,961	\$ 479,120
Tax-exempt	2,703	713
Investment securities		
Taxable	57,651	58,988
Tax-exempt	2,876	3,091
Other	4,686	4,867
Total interest income	501,877	546,779
Interest expense		
Deposits	75,796	128,302
Short-term borrowings	949	476
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	220	1,212
Subordinated notes and other long-term debt	20,582	22,896
Total interest expense	97,547	152,886
Net interest income	404,330	393,893
Provision for credit losses	49,385	235,008
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	354,945	158,885
Service charges on deposit accounts	54,324	69,339
Mortgage banking income	22,684	25,038
Trust services income	30,742	27,765
Electronic banking income	28,786	25,137
Insurance income	17,945	18,860
Brokerage income	20,511	16,902
Bank owned life insurance income	14,819	16,470
Automobile operating lease income	8,847	12,303
Net gains on sales of investment securities	4,205	6,430
Impairment losses on investment securities:		
Impairment recoveries (losses) on investment securities	9,876	(8,400)
Noncredit-related (recoveries) losses on securities not expected to be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income)	(14,041)	1,939
Net impairment losses on investment securities	(4,165)	(6,461)
Other income	38,247	29,069
Total noninterest income	236,945	240,852

Personnel costs	219,028	183,642
Outside data processing and other services	40,282	39,082
Net occupancy	28,436	24,755
Deposit and other insurance expense	17,896	29,086
Professional services	13,465	11,530
Equipment	22,477	20,624
Marketing	16,895	22,697
Amortization of intangibles	13,370	15,146
OREO and foreclosure expense	3,931	10,066
Automobile operating lease expense	6,836	11,153
Other expense	48,083	30,312
Total noninterest expense	430,699	398,093
Income before income taxes	161,191	1,644
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	34,745	(38,093)
Net income	126,446	39,737
Dividends on preferred shares	7,703	29,357
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 118,743	\$ 10,380
Average common shares basic	863,359	716,320
Average common shares diluted	867,237	718,593
Per common share:		
Net income basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.01
Net income diluted	0.14	0.01
Cash dividends declared	0.01	0.01

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
(Unaudited)

In thousands, (share amounts)	Preferred Stock				Common Stock		Capital Surplus	Treasury Stock	Accumulated		Retained Earnings (Deficit)
	Series B Shares	Series B Amount	Series A Shares	Series A Amount	Shares	Amount			Stock Amount	Other Comprehensive Loss	
Balance at the end of period	1,398	\$ 1,325,008	363	\$ 362,507	716,741	\$ 7,167	\$ 6,731,796	(980)	\$ (11,465)	\$ (156,985)	\$ (2,922,026)
Effect of change in accounting principle for valuation of variable interests, net of tax of										(4,249)	(3,462)
Balance at the end of period	1,398	\$ 1,325,008	363	\$ 362,507	716,741	\$ 7,167	\$ 6,731,796	(980)	\$ (11,465)	\$ (161,234)	\$ (2,925,488)
Net Income: (Loss)											39,737
Provision for loan loss impairment (charges) on debt not expected to be paid										(1,261)	
Net gains on available-for-sale securities arising during the period, net of tax provision for net realized gains										24,558	
Net gains (losses) on trading derivatives accumulated										3,298	
Net gains (losses) for pension and other post-retirement benefits										1,166	
Comprehensive loss on common stock					537	5	2,264				
Dividends on Series B stock		4,178									(4,178)
Dividends declared: Series B (\$1.01 per share)											(7,165)
Dividends declared: Series B (\$12.50 per share)											(17,476)
Dividends declared: Series A (\$21.25 per share)											(7,703)

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

of the fair value													
compensation													2,933
used													
activity				104	2		257						(17)
							(1,778)	154	2,446				129
of period	1,398	\$ 1,329,186	363	\$ 362,507	717,382	\$ 7,174	\$ 6,735,472	(826)	\$ (9,019)	\$ (133,473)	\$ (2,922,161)		
3 Months Ended													
2011													
ending of period	\$		363	\$ 362,507	864,195	\$ 8,642	\$ 7,630,093	(876)	\$ (8,771)	\$ (197,496)	\$ (2,814,433)		
Net Income:													
(Loss)													126,446
Provision for doubtful impairment													
(Provision for losses) on debt													
expected to be													9,127
Net gains													
on available-for-sale													
securities arising													
during the period, net of													
provision for net realized													(3,730)
gains (losses) on													
trading derivatives													(14,422)
accumulated													
provisions for pension													
and retirement													2,600
Comprehensive income													
from warrants													
on common stock													(49,100)
that has been declared:													
of \$0.01 per share)													(8,635)
on Series A (\$21.25 per													(7,703)
share)													
of the fair value													
compensation													3,625
used													
activity				85	1		76						(18)
							(327)	(5)	124				(7)
of period	\$		363	\$ 362,507	864,280	\$ 8,643	\$ 7,584,367	(881)	\$ (8,647)	\$ (203,921)	\$ (2,704,350)		

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2011	2010
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>		
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 126,446	\$ 39,737
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for credit losses	49,385	235,008
Depreciation and amortization	73,234	69,730
Change in current and deferred income taxes	22,694	(38,153)
Net sales (purchases) of trading account securities	20,915	(66,806)
Originations of loans held for sale	(625,250)	(634,129)
Principal payments on and proceeds from loans held for sale	1,207,216	765,286
Securities (gains) losses	(40)	31
Other, net	(119,639)	(54,571)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	754,961	316,133
Investing activities		
Increase (decrease) in interest bearing deposits in banks	13,107	7,570
Proceeds from:		
Maturities and calls of investment securities	449,045	673,751
Sales of investment securities	1,457,057	716,752
Purchases of investment securities	(1,287,517)	(1,582,391)
Net proceeds from sales of loans	162,778	
Net loan and lease activity, excluding sales	(470,891)	53,992
Proceeds from sale of operating lease assets	20,060	4,242
Purchases of premises and equipment	(26,587)	(13,233)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate	24,540	13,222
Other, net	92	599
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	341,684	(125,496)
Financing activities		
Increase (decrease) in deposits	(485,107)	(193,616)
Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	73,843	113,766
Maturity/redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(151,193)	(11,153)
Maturity/redemption of long-term debt	(107,870)	(278,257)
Repurchase of Warrant to the Treasury	(49,100)	
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(7,703)	(25,179)
Dividends paid on common stock	(8,618)	(7,144)
Other, net	35	242
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(735,713)	(401,341)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	360,932	(210,704)

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	847,888	1,521,344
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,208,820	\$ 1,310,640
Supplemental disclosures:		
Income taxes paid (refunded)	\$ 12,033	\$ 60
Interest paid	121,911	160,273
Non-cash activities		
Dividends accrued, paid in subsequent quarter	15,391	23,326

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Huntington Bancshares Incorporated****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Huntington reflect all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals which are, in the opinion of Management, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position, the results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented. These Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared according to the rules and regulations of the SEC and, therefore, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been omitted. The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Huntington's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K (2010 Form 10-K), which include descriptions of significant accounting policies, as updated by the information contained in this report, should be read in conjunction with these interim financial statements.

For statement of cash flows purposes, cash and cash equivalents are defined as the sum of Cash and due from banks which includes amounts on deposit with the Federal Reserve and Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements.

In conjunction with applicable accounting standards, all material subsequent events have been either recognized in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements or disclosed in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

2. ACCOUNTING STANDARDS UPDATE**Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-6 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820):**

Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The ASU amends Subtopic 820-10 with new disclosure requirements and clarification of existing disclosure requirements. New disclosures required include the amount of significant transfers in and out of levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements and the reasons for the transfers. In addition, the reconciliation for level 3 activity is required on a gross rather than net basis. The ASU provides additional guidance related to the level of disaggregation in determining classes of assets and liabilities and disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques. The amendments are effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the requirement to provide the reconciliation for level 3 activity on a gross basis which is effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 (See Note 12).

ASU 2010-20 Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. The ASU requires expanded disclosure about the credit quality of the loan portfolio in the notes to financial statements, such as aging information and credit quality indicators. Both new and existing disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment or class. The disaggregation of information is based on how the lender develops its ACL and how it manages its credit exposure. The disclosures related to period-end balances are effective for annual or interim reporting periods ending after December 15, 2010, and the disclosures of activity that occurs during the reporting period are effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 (See Note 3).

ASU 2011-02 Receivables (Topic 310), A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. The ASU amends Subtopic 310-40 to clarify existing guidance related to a creditor's evaluation of whether a restructuring of debt is considered a TDR. The amendments add additional clarity in determining whether a creditor has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The updated guidance and related disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements issued for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retroactively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. Early adoption is permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact of the guidance on Huntington's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**3. LOANS / LEASES AND ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES****Loan and Lease Portfolio Composition**

The following table provides a detail listing of Huntington's loan and lease portfolio at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Loans and leases:			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,299	\$ 13,063	\$ 12,245
Commercial real estate	6,298	6,651	7,456
Automobile	5,802	5,615	4,403
Home equity	7,784	7,713	7,514
Residential mortgage	4,517	4,500	4,614
Other consumer	546	564	700
Loans and leases	38,246	38,106	36,932
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,133)	(1,249)	(1,478)
Net loans and leases	\$ 37,113	\$ 36,857	\$ 35,454

As shown in the table above, the primary loan and lease portfolios are: C&I, CRE, automobile, home equity, residential mortgage, and other consumer. For ACL purposes, these portfolios are further disaggregated into classes. The classes within the C&I portfolio are: owner occupied and other C&I. The classes within the CRE portfolio are: retail properties, multi family, office, industrial and warehouse, and other CRE. The classes within the home equity portfolio are: first-lien loans and second-lien loans. The automobile, residential mortgage, and other consumer portfolios are not further segregated into classes.

The Bank has access to the Federal Reserve's discount window and advances from the FHLB Cincinnati. As of March 31, 2011, these borrowings and advances are generally secured by \$17.4 billion of loans and securities.

NALs and Past Due Loans

Loans are considered past due when the contractual amounts due with respect to principal and interest are not received within 30 days of the contractual due date. All classes within the C&I and CRE portfolios are placed on nonaccrual status no later than 90-days past due. Residential mortgage loans are placed on nonaccrual status no later than 180-days past due, with the exception of residential mortgages guaranteed by government organizations which continue to accrue interest. Both classes of the home equity portfolio are placed on nonaccrual status no later than 180-days past due. Automobile and other consumer loans are not placed on nonaccrual status, but are charged-off when the loan is 120-days past due. Any loan in any portfolio may be placed on nonaccrual status prior to the policies described above when collection of principal or interest is in doubt. For all classes within all loan portfolios, when a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, any accrued interest income is reversed with current year accruals charged to interest income, and prior year amounts charged-off as a credit loss.

For all classes within all loan portfolios, cash receipts received on NALs are applied entirely against principal until the loan or lease has been collected in full, after which time any additional cash receipts are recognized as interest income. Regarding all classes within the C&I and CRE portfolios, when, in Management's judgment, the borrower's ability to make required principal and interest payments resumes and collectability is no longer in doubt, and the loan has been brought current with respect to principal and interest, the loan or lease is returned to accrual status. Regarding all classes within all consumer loan portfolios, a NAL is returned to accrual status when the loan has been brought to less than 180-days past due with respect to principal and interest.

Table of Contents

The following table presents NALs by loan class:

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Commercial and industrial:		
Owner occupied	\$ 141	\$ 139
Other commercial and industrial	119	208
Total commercial and industrial	260	347
Commercial real estate:		
Retail properties	75	97
Multi family	42	45
Office	43	48
Industrial and warehouse	37	40
Other commercial real estate	109	134
Total commercial real estate	306	364
Automobile		
Home equity:		
Secured by first-lien	11	10
Secured by second-lien	14	12
Residential mortgage	45	45
Other consumer		
Total nonaccrual loans	\$ 636	\$ 778

Table of Contents

The following table presents an aging analysis of loans and leases, including past due loans, by loan class: ⁽¹⁾

March 31, 2011								90 or more days past due and accruing
<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Past Due 90 or more days	Total	Current	Total Loans and Leases		
Commercial and industrial:								
Owner occupied	\$ 17	\$ 14	\$ 71	\$ 102	\$ 3,759	\$ 3,861	\$	
Other commercial and industrial	33	12	87	132	9,306	9,438		
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 50	\$ 26	\$ 158	\$ 234	\$ 13,065	\$ 13,299	\$	
Commercial real estate:								
Retail properties	\$ 36	\$ 1	\$ 49	\$ 86	\$ 1,609	\$ 1,695	\$	
Multi family	9	3	31	43	1,029	1,072		
Office	14	1	38	53	995	1,048		
Industrial and warehouse	7	3	15	25	766	791		
Other commercial real estate	27	14	83	124	1,568	1,692		
Total commercial real estate	\$ 93	\$ 22	\$ 216	\$ 331	\$ 5,967	\$ 6,298	\$	
Automobile	\$ 38	8	\$ 5	\$ 51	\$ 5,751	\$ 5,802	\$	6
Home equity:								
Secured by first-lien	16	9	22	47	3,147	3,194		10
Secured by second-lien	31	14	28	73	4,517	4,590		14
Residential mortgage	121	39	181	341	4,176	4,517		136
Other consumer	7	2	2	11	535	546		2

December 31, 2010								90 or more days past due and accruing
<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Past Due 90 or more days	Total	Current	Total Loans and Leases		
Commercial and industrial:								
Owner occupied	\$ 16	\$ 9	\$ 80	\$ 105	\$ 3,718	\$ 3,823	\$	
Other commercial and industrial	35	36	110	181	9,059	9,240		
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 51	\$ 45	\$ 190	\$ 286	\$ 12,777	\$ 13,063	\$	

Commercial real estate:								
Retail properties	\$ 24	\$ 1	\$ 73	\$ 98	\$ 1,665	\$ 1,763	\$	
Multi family	9	8	32	49	1,073	1,122		
Office	21	6	36	63	1,060	1,123		
Industrial and warehouse	4	8	13	25	828	853		
Other commercial real estate	47	8	90	145	1,645	1,790		
Total commercial real estate	\$ 105	\$ 31	\$ 244	\$ 380	\$ 6,271	\$ 6,651	\$	
Automobile	\$ 48	12	\$ 8	\$ 68	\$ 5,547	\$ 5,615	\$	8
Home equity:								
Secured by first-lien	15	8	19	42	2,999	3,041		8
Secured by second-lien	36	17	27	80	4,592	4,672		16
Residential mortgage	115	58	197	370	4,130	4,500		152
Other consumer	7	2	3	12	552	564		2

(1) NALs are included in this aging analysis based on the loan's past due status.

Table of Contents**Allowance for Credit Losses**

Huntington maintains two reserves, both of which reflect Management's judgment regarding the adequate level necessary to absorb credit losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio: the ALLL and the AULC. Combined, these reserves comprise the total ACL. The determination of the ACL requires significant estimates, including the timing and amounts of expected future cash flows on impaired loans and leases, consideration of current economic conditions, and historical loss experience pertaining to pools of homogeneous loans and leases, all of which may be susceptible to change.

The adequacy of the ACL is based on Management's current judgments about the credit quality of the loan portfolio. These judgments consider on-going evaluations of the loan and lease portfolio, including such factors as the differing economic risks associated with each loan category, the financial condition of specific borrowers, the level of delinquent loans, the value of any collateral and, where applicable, the existence of any guarantees or other documented support. Further, Management evaluates the impact of changes in interest rates and overall economic conditions on the ability of borrowers to meet their financial obligations when quantifying our exposure to credit losses and assessing the adequacy of our ACL at each reporting date. In addition to general economic conditions and the other factors described above, additional factors also considered include: the impact of declining residential real estate values; the diversification of CRE loans, particularly loans secured by retail properties; and the amount of C&I loans to businesses in areas of Ohio and Michigan that have historically experienced less economic growth compared with other footprint markets. Also, the ACL assessment includes the on-going assessment of credit quality metrics, and a comparison of certain ACL adequacy benchmarks to current performance. Management's determinations regarding the adequacy of the ACL are reviewed and approved by the Company's board of directors.

The ACL is increased through a provision for credit losses that is charged to earnings, based on Management's quarterly evaluation of the factors previously mentioned, and is reduced by charge-offs, net of recoveries, and the ACL associated with securitized or sold loans.

The ALLL consists of two components: (1) the transaction reserve, which includes specific reserves related to loans considered to be impaired and loans involved in troubled debt restructurings, and (2) the general reserve. The transaction reserve component includes both (1) an estimate of loss based on pools of commercial and consumer loans and leases with similar characteristics and (2) an estimate of loss based on an impairment review of each C&I and CRE loan greater than \$1 million. For the C&I and CRE portfolios, the estimate of loss based on pools of loans and leases with similar characteristics is made through the use of a standardized loan grading system that is applied on an individual loan level and updated on a continuous basis. This loan grading system incorporates a probability-of-default (PD) factor and a loss-given-default (LGD) factor. The PD factor considers on-going reviews of the financial performance of the specific borrower, including cash flow, debt-service coverage ratio, earnings power, debt level, and equity position, in conjunction with an assessment of the borrower's industry and future prospects. The LGD factor considers analysis of the type of collateral and the relative LTV ratio. These reserve factors are developed based on credit migration models that track historical movements of loans between loan ratings over time and a combination of long-term average loss experience of our own portfolio and external industry data.

In the case of more homogeneous portfolios, such as automobile loans, home equity loans, and residential mortgage loans, the determination of the transaction reserve also incorporates PD and LGD factors, however, the estimate of loss is based on pools of loans and leases with similar characteristics. The PD factor considers current credit scores unless the account is delinquent, in which case a higher PD factor is used. The LGD factor considers analysis of the type of collateral and the relative LTV ratio. Credit scores, models, analyses, and other factors used to determine both the PD and LGD factors are updated frequently to capture the recent behavioral characteristics of the subject portfolios, as well as any changes in loss mitigation or credit origination strategies, and adjustments to the reserve factors are made as needed.

The general reserve consists of economic reserve and risk-profile reserve components. The economic reserve component considers the potential impact of changing market and economic conditions on portfolio performance. The risk-profile component considers items unique to our structure, policies, processes, and portfolio composition, as well as qualitative measurements and assessments of the loan portfolios including, but not limited to, management quality, concentrations, portfolio composition, industry comparisons, and internal review functions.

The estimate for the AULC is determined using the same procedures and methodologies as used for the ALLL. The loss factors used in the AULC are the same as the loss factors used in the ALLL while also considering a historical utilization of unused commitments. The AULC is reflected in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Table of Contents

The following table presents ALLL and AULC activity by portfolio segment:

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Automobile	Home Equity	Residential Mortgage	Other Consumer	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses: <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
ALLL balance at January 1, 2011:	\$ 340,614	\$ 588,251	\$ 49,488	\$ 150,630	\$ 93,289	\$ 26,736	\$ 1,249,008
Loan charge-offs	(53,736)	(76,648)	(9,975)	(28,322)	(23,021)	(7,305)	(199,007)
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off	11,544	8,965	5,263	1,608	4,089	2,455	33,924
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,141	(9,500)	6,086	25,455	22,384	3,735	49,301
Allowance for loans sold or transferred to loans held for sale							
ALLL balance at March 31, 2011:	\$ 299,563	\$ 511,068	\$ 50,862	\$ 149,371	\$ 96,741	\$ 25,621	\$ 1,133,226
AULC balance at January 1, 2011:	\$ 32,726	\$ 6,158	\$	\$ 2,348	\$ 1	\$ 894	\$ 42,127
Provision for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit	(2,020)	2,275		(107)		(64)	84
AULC balance at March 31, 2011:	\$ 30,706	\$ 8,433	\$	\$ 2,241	\$ 1	\$ 830	\$ 42,211
ACL balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 330,269	\$ 519,501	\$ 50,862	\$ 151,612	\$ 96,742	\$ 26,451	\$ 1,175,437
Portion of ALLL balance at March 31, 2011:							
Attributable to loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 43,824	\$ 62,161	\$ 945	\$ 1,780	\$ 12,103	\$ 483	\$ 121,296
Attributable to loans collectively evaluated for impairment	255,739	448,907	49,917	147,591	84,638	25,138	1,011,930
Total ALLL evaluated for impairment	\$ 299,563	\$ 511,068	\$ 50,862	\$ 149,371	\$ 96,741	\$ 25,621	\$ 1,133,226
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

ALLL associated with
portfolio loans acquired with
deteriorated credit quality
Loans and Leases at
March 31, 2011:

(dollar amounts in millions)

Ending balance	\$	13,299	\$	6,298	\$	5,802	\$	7,784	\$	4,517	\$	546	\$	38,246
Portion of ending balance:														
Individually evaluated for impairment		187		338		30		40		342		9		946
Collectively evaluated for impairment		13,112		5,960		5,772		7,744		4,175		537		37,300
Total loans evaluated for impairment	\$	13,299	\$	6,298	\$	5,802	\$	7,784	\$	4,517	\$	546	\$	38,246
Portfolio loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Portfolio loans purchased in the 2011 first quarter														
Portfolio loans with allowance sold or transferred to loans held for sale in the 2011 first quarter														
Portfolio loans without allowance sold or transferred to loans held for sale in the 2011 first quarter		86		48						83				217

Table of Contents

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Automobile	Home Equity	Residential Mortgage	Other Consumer	Total
ALLL at December 31, 2010 (dollar amounts in thousands)							
Portion of ALLL balance at December 31, 2010:							
Attributable to loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 63,307	\$ 65,130	\$ 1,477	\$ 1,498	\$ 11,780	\$ 668	\$ 143,860
Attributable to loans collectively evaluated for impairment	277,307	523,121	48,011	149,132	81,509	26,068	1,105,148
ALLL balance at December 31, 2010:	\$ 340,614	\$ 588,251	\$ 49,488	\$ 150,630	\$ 93,289	\$ 26,736	\$ 1,249,008
ALLL associated with portfolio loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Loans and Leases at December 31, 2010: (dollar amounts in millions)							
Ending balance	\$ 13,063	\$ 6,651	\$ 5,615	\$ 7,713	\$ 4,500	\$ 564	\$ 38,106
Portion of ending balance: Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 198	\$ 311	\$ 30	\$ 37	\$ 334	\$ 10	\$ 920
Collectively evaluated for impairment	12,865	6,340	5,585	7,676	4,166	554	37,186
Total loans evaluated for impairment	\$ 13,063	\$ 6,651	\$ 5,615	\$ 7,713	\$ 4,500	\$ 564	\$ 38,106
Portfolio loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

C&I and CRE loans are either charged-off or written down to fair value at 90-days past due. Automobile loans and other consumer loans are charged-off at 120-days past due. Home equity loans are charged-off to fair value at 120-days past due. Residential mortgages are charged-off to fair value at 150-days past due. Any loan in any portfolio may be charged-off prior to the policies described above if a loss confirming event occurred. Loss confirming events include, but are not limited to, bankruptcy (unsecured), continued delinquency, foreclosure, or receipt of an asset valuation indicating a collateral deficiency and that asset is the sole source of repayment.

Credit Quality Indicators

To facilitate the monitoring of credit quality for C&I and CRE loans, and for purposes of determining an appropriate ACL level for these loans, Huntington utilizes the following categories of credit grades:

Pass = Higher quality loans that do not fit any of the other categories described below.

OLEM = Potentially weak loans. The credit risk may be relatively minor yet represent a risk given certain specific circumstances. If the potential weaknesses are not monitored or mitigated, the asset may weaken or inadequately protect Huntington's position in the future.

Substandard = Inadequately protected loans by the borrower's ability to repay, equity, and/or the collateral pledged to secure the loan. These loans have identified weaknesses that could hinder normal repayment or collection of the debt. It is likely Huntington will sustain some loss if any identified weaknesses are not mitigated.

Doubtful = Loans that have all of the weaknesses inherent in those loans classified as Substandard, with the added elements of the full collection of the loan is improbable and that the possibility of loss is high.

Table of Contents

The categories above, which are derived from standard regulatory rating definitions, are assigned upon initial approval of the loan or lease and subsequently updated as appropriate.

Commercial loans categorized as OLEM, Substandard, or Doubtful are considered Criticized loans. Commercial loans categorized as Substandard or Doubtful are also considered Classified loans.

For all classes within all consumer loan portfolios, each loan is assigned a specific PD factor that is generally based on the borrower's most recent credit bureau score (FICO), which we update quarterly. A FICO credit bureau score is a credit score developed by Fair Isaac Corporation based on data provided by the credit bureaus. The FICO credit bureau score is the world's most used credit score and represents the standard measure of consumer credit risk used by lenders, regulators, rating agencies, and consumers. The higher the FICO credit bureau score, the better the odds of repayment and therefore, an indicator of lower credit risk.

Table of Contents

The following table presents loan and lease balances by credit quality indicator:

March 31, 2011

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Credit Risk Profile by UCS classification				Total
	Pass	OLEM	Substandard	Doubtful	
Commercial and industrial:					
Owner occupied	\$ 3,359	\$ 144	\$ 357	\$ 1	\$ 3,861
Other commercial and industrial	8,757	239	430	12	9,438
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 12,116	\$ 383	\$ 787	\$ 13	\$ 13,299
Commercial real estate:					
Retail properties	\$ 1,293	\$ 88	\$ 314	\$	\$ 1,695
Multi family	866	72	134		1,072
Office	826	119	103		1,048
Industrial and warehouse	623	71	97		791
Other commercial real estate	1,214	81	396	1	1,692
Total commercial real estate	\$ 4,822	\$ 431	\$ 1,044	\$ 1	\$ 6,298

Credit Risk Profile by FICO score (1)

	Credit Risk Profile by FICO score (1)				Total
	750+	650-749	<650	Other (2)	
Automobile	\$ 2,606	\$ 2,371	\$ 717	\$ 108	\$ 5,802
Home equity:					
Secured by first-lien	1,745	1,127	316	6	3,194
Secured by second-lien	2,159	1,752	678	1	4,590
Residential mortgage	1,976	1,620	775	146	4,517
Other consumer	200	227	100	19	546

December 31, 2010

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Credit Risk Profile by UCS classification				Total
	Pass	OLEM	Substandard	Doubtful	
Commercial and industrial:					
Owner occupied	\$ 3,265	\$ 159	\$ 393	\$ 6	\$ 3,823
Other commercial and industrial	8,435	265	525	15	9,240
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 11,700	\$ 424	\$ 918	\$ 21	\$ 13,063
Commercial real estate:					
Retail properties	\$ 1,284	\$ 128	\$ 350	\$	\$ 1,762
Multi family	899	79	144		1,122
Office	868	122	133		1,123
Industrial and warehouse	668	72	113		853
Other commercial real estate	1,221	88	481	1	1,791
Total commercial real estate	\$ 4,940	\$ 489	\$ 1,221	\$ 1	\$ 6,651

Credit Risk Profile by FICO score (1)

	750+	650-749	<650	Other (2)	Total
--	------	---------	------	-----------	-------

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Automobile	\$	2,516	\$	2,267	\$	725	\$	107	\$	5,615
Home equity:										
Secured by first-lien		1,644		1,082		314		1		3,041
Secured by second-lien		2,224		1,768		679		1		4,672
Residential mortgage		1,978		1,580		796		146		4,500
Other consumer		207		235		102		20		564

(1) Reflects currently updated customer credit scores.

(2) Reflects deferred fees and costs, loans in process, loans to legal entities, etc.

Table of Contents

Impaired Loans

For all classes within the C&I and CRE portfolios, all loans with an outstanding balance of \$1 million or greater are evaluated on a quarterly basis for impairment. Generally, consumer loans within any class are not individually evaluated on a regular basis for impairment.

Once a loan has been identified for an assessment of impairment, the loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement will not be collected. This determination requires significant judgment and use of estimates, and the eventual outcome may differ significantly from those estimates.

When a loan in any class has been determined to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured using the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's or lease's effective interest rate or, as a practical expedient, the observable market price of the loan or lease, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan or lease is collateral dependent. When the present value of expected future cash flows is used, the effective interest rate is the original contractual interest rate of the loan adjusted for any premium or discount. When the contractual interest rate is variable, the effective interest rate of the loan changes over time. A specific reserve is established as a component of the ALLL when a loan has been determined to be impaired. Subsequent to the initial measurement of impairment, if there is a significant change to the impaired loan's expected future cash flows, or if actual cash flows are significantly different from the cash flows previously estimated, Huntington recalculates the impairment and appropriately adjusts the specific reserve. Similarly, if Huntington measures impairment based on the observable market price of an impaired loan or the fair value of the collateral of an impaired collateral dependent loan, Huntington will adjust the specific reserve if there is a significant change in either of those bases.

When a loan within any class is impaired, interest income is recognized unless the receipt of principal and interest is in doubt when contractually due. If receipt of principal and interest is in doubt when contractually due, interest income is not recognized. Cash receipts received on nonaccruing impaired loans within any class are generally applied entirely against principal until the loan or lease has been collected in full, after which time any additional cash receipts are recognized as interest income. Cash receipts received on accruing impaired loans within any class are applied in the same manner as accruing loans that are not considered impaired.

Table of Contents

The following tables present impaired loan information: ^{(1), (2)}

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011			Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	
	Ending Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Balance	Interest Income Recognized
<i>With no related allowance recorded:</i>					
Commercial and industrial:					
Owner occupied	\$ 10.9	\$ 23.3	\$	\$ 12.2	\$
Other commercial and industrial	9.0	19.3		8.7	
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 19.9	\$ 42.6	\$	\$ 20.9	\$
Commercial real estate:					
Retail properties	\$ 8.6	\$ 25.0	\$	\$ 20.1	\$
Multi family	14.8	15.5		8.3	0.2
Office	1.3	2.7		1.9	
Industrial and warehouse	2.2	6.3		2.8	
Other commercial real estate	23.4	46.9		24.8	0.2
Total commercial real estate	\$ 50.3	\$ 96.4	\$	\$ 57.9	\$ 0.4
Automobile	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Home equity:					
Secured by first-lien					
Secured by second-lien					
Residential mortgage					
Other consumer					
<i>With an allowance recorded:</i>					
Commercial and industrial:					
Owner occupied	\$ 65.2	\$ 82.0	\$ 14.5	\$ 72.1	\$ 0.2
Other commercial and industrial	102.3	141.5	29.4	116.0	0.5
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 167.5	\$ 223.5	\$ 43.9	\$ 188.1	\$ 0.7
Commercial real estate:					
Retail properties	\$ 116.0	\$ 178.8	\$ 22.5	\$ 87.6	\$ 0.4
Multi family	29.8	33.7	7.1	37.3	0.2
Office	32.5	45.9	5.3	28.7	0.1
Industrial and warehouse	36.9	48.0	12.7	36.1	0.2
Other commercial real estate	72.7	94.0	14.6	69.8	0.1
Total commercial real estate	\$ 287.9	\$ 400.4	\$ 62.2	\$ 259.5	\$ 1.0
Automobile	\$ 29.6	\$ 29.6	\$ 0.9	\$ 29.7	\$ 0.7
Home equity:					

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Secured by first-lien	22.9	22.9	0.7	21.7	0.2
Secured by second-lien	16.9	16.9	1.1	16.8	0.2
Residential mortgage	342.0	360.6	12.1	338.1	3.5
Other consumer	9.2	9.2	0.5	9.4	0.2

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2010		
	Ending	Unpaid	Related
<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Balance	Principal	Allowance
<i>With no related allowance recorded:</i>		Balance	
Commercial and industrial:			
Owner occupied	\$ 13.8	\$ 26.6	\$
Other commercial and industrial	11.1	22.7	
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 24.9	\$ 49.3	\$
Commercial real estate:			
Retail properties	\$ 32.0	\$ 67.5	\$
Multi family	5.1	5.7	
Office	2.3	3.6	
Industrial and warehouse	3.3	6.9	
Other commercial real estate	26.7	58.9	
Total commercial real estate	\$ 69.4	\$ 142.6	\$
Automobile	\$	\$	\$
Home equity:			
Secured by first-lien			
Secured by second-lien			
Residential mortgage			
Other consumer			
<i>With an allowance recorded:</i>			
Commercial and industrial:			
Owner occupied	\$ 64.0	\$ 85.3	\$ 14.3
Other commercial and industrial	109.2	154.4	49.0
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 173.2	\$ 239.7	\$ 63.3
Commercial real estate:			
Retail properties	\$ 74.7	\$ 120.1	\$ 14.8
Multi family	38.8	39.3	7.8
Office	26.6	31.3	9.5
Industrial and warehouse	34.6	44.2	10.5
Other commercial real estate	66.6	104.4	22.5
Total commercial real estate	\$ 241.3	\$ 339.3	\$ 65.1
Automobile	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 1.5
Home equity:			
Secured by first-lien	20.5	20.6	0.5
Secured by second-lien	16.7	17.1	1.0
Residential mortgage	334.2	347.6	11.8

Other consumer	9.7	9.7	0.7
(1) These tables do not include loans fully charged-off.			
(2) All automobile, home equity, residential mortgage, and other consumer impaired loans are considered impaired due to their status as a TDR.			

Table of Contents**4. AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE AND OTHER SECURITIES**

Listed below are the contractual maturities (under 1 year, 1-5 years, 6-10 years, and over 10 years) of available-for-sale and other securities at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010		March 31, 2010	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury:						
Under 1 year	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
1-5 years	52,264	51,453	52,425	51,781	49,997	50,185
6-10 years						
Over 10 years						
Total U.S. Treasury	52,264	51,453	52,425	51,781	49,997	50,185
Federal agencies mortgage-backed securities:						
Under 1 year						
1-5 years	48,832	48,408				
6-10 years	614,519	622,263	656,176	664,793	738,661	741,492
Over 10 years	4,289,087	4,300,414	4,077,655	4,089,611	2,697,543	2,744,922
Total Federal agencies mortgage-backed securities	4,952,438	4,971,085	4,733,831	4,754,404	3,436,204	3,486,414
TLGP securities:						
Under 1 year	156,044	157,196	156,450	157,931		
1-5 years			25,230	25,536	663,486	665,236
6-10 years						
Over 10 years						
Total TLGP securities	156,044	157,196	181,680	183,467	663,486	665,236
Other agencies:						
Under 1 year	183,405	184,672	158,273	159,288	158,208	159,865
1-5 years	1,104,343	1,085,323	1,898,867	1,885,230	2,474,382	2,477,584
6-10 years	13,325	13,515	13,082	13,359	10,476	10,667
Over 10 years			500	499		
Total other agencies	1,301,073	1,283,510	2,070,722	2,058,376	2,643,066	2,648,116
Total U.S. Government backed agencies	6,461,819	6,463,244	7,038,658	7,048,028	6,792,753	6,849,951
Municipal securities:						
Under 1 year	855	855				
1-5 years	155,457	155,109	149,151	148,587	23,098	23,771
6-10 years	112,665	113,888	124,552	125,656	103,904	106,844
Over 10 years	170,903	170,295	182,341	181,472	298,242	300,827

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total municipal securities	439,880	440,147	456,044	455,715	425,244	431,442
Private-label CMO:						
Under 1 year						
1-5 years						
6-10 years	8,828	9,209	10,429	10,887		
Over 10 years	115,573	106,337	124,080	111,038	509,099	462,731
Total private-label CMO	124,401	115,546	134,509	121,925	509,099	462,731
Asset-backed securities:						
Under 1 year			19,669	19,694		
1-5 years	662,319	665,316	697,001	700,749	543,444	546,371
6-10 years	147,236	148,171	323,411	323,995	66,881	67,333
Over 10 years	293,944	165,599	301,326	162,684	369,727	219,079
Total asset-backed securities (1)	1,103,499	979,086	1,341,407	1,207,122	980,052	832,783
Covered bonds:						
Under 1 year						
1-5 years	556,500	545,069	379,711	367,209		
6-10 years						
Over 10 years						
Total covered bonds	556,500	545,069	379,711	367,209		
Corporate debt:						
Under 1 year						
1-5 years	414,667	409,032	329,988	323,389		
6-10 years						
Over 10 years						
Total corporate debt	414,667	409,032	329,988	323,389		
Other:						
Under 1 year	750	750	800	802	1,551	1,561
1-5 years	7,861	8,058	7,810	8,009	6,721	6,855
6-10 years	804	827	1,007	1,037	1,104	1,176
Over 10 years						
Non-marketable equity securities	308,457	308,457	308,722	308,722	304,915	304,915
Marketable equity securities	52,806	52,218	53,944	53,286	55,424	54,950
Total other	370,678	370,310	372,283	371,856	369,715	369,457
Total available-for-sale and other securities	\$ 9,471,444	\$ 9,322,434	\$ 10,052,600	\$ 9,895,244	\$ 9,076,863	\$ 8,946,364

(1) Amounts at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010 include automobile asset backed securities with a fair value of \$331 million, \$509 million and \$475 million, respectively which meet the eligibility requirements for the Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility, or TALF, administered by the Federal Reserve

Bank of New York.

Table of Contents

Other securities at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010 include \$165.6 million of stock issued by the FHLB of Cincinnati, \$37.4 million, \$37.4 million, and \$45.7 million, respectively of stock issued by the FHLB of Indianapolis, and \$105.5 million, \$105.7 million and \$ 93.6 million, respectively, of Federal Reserve Bank stock. Other securities also include corporate debt and marketable equity securities. Non-marketable equity securities are valued at amortized cost. At March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, Huntington did not have any material equity positions in FNMA or FHLMC.

The following tables provide amortized cost, fair value, and gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income by investment category at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	
March 31, 2011				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 52,264	\$	\$ (811)	\$ 51,453
Federal Agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities	4,952,438	61,338	(42,691)	4,971,085
TLGP securities	156,044	1,152		157,196
Other agencies	1,301,073	2,041	(19,604)	1,283,510
Total U.S. Government backed securities	6,461,819	64,531	(63,106)	6,463,244
Municipal securities	439,880	6,052	(5,785)	440,147
Private-label CMO	124,401	1,388	(10,243)	115,546
Asset-backed securities	1,103,499	4,854	(129,267)	979,086
Covered bonds	556,500	157	(11,588)	545,069
Corporate debt	414,667	114	(5,749)	409,032
Other securities	370,678	389	(757)	370,310
Total available-for-sale and other securities	\$ 9,471,444	\$ 77,485	\$ (226,495)	\$ 9,322,434

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	
December 31, 2010				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 52,425	\$	\$ (644)	\$ 51,781
Federal Agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities	4,733,831	71,901	(51,328)	4,754,404
TLGP securities	181,680	1,787		183,467
Other agencies	2,070,722	4,874	(17,220)	2,058,376
Total U.S. Government backed securities	7,038,658	78,562	(69,192)	7,048,028
Municipal securities	456,044	6,154	(6,483)	455,715
Private-label CMO	134,509	1,236	(13,820)	121,925
Asset-backed securities	1,341,407	6,563	(140,848)	1,207,122
Covered bonds	379,711		(12,502)	367,209
Corporate debt	329,988	24	(6,623)	323,389
Other securities	372,283	364	(791)	371,856

Total available-for-sale and other securities	\$ 10,052,600	\$ 92,903	\$ (250,259)	\$ 9,895,244
---	----------------------	------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Table of Contents

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	
March 31, 2010				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 49,997	\$ 188	\$	\$ 50,185
Federal Agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities	3,436,204	55,747	(5,537)	3,486,414
TLGP securities	663,486	2,260	(510)	665,236
Other agencies	2,643,066	6,841	(1,791)	2,648,116
Total U.S. Government backed securities	6,792,753	65,036	(7,838)	6,849,951
Municipal securities	425,244	6,282	(84)	431,442
Private-label CMO	509,099	220	(46,588)	462,731
Asset-backed securities	980,052	3,450	(150,719)	832,783
Covered bonds				
Corporate debt				
Other securities	369,715	301	(559)	369,457
Total available-for-sale and other securities	\$ 9,076,863	\$ 75,289	\$ (205,788)	\$ 8,946,364

The following tables provide detail on investment securities with unrealized losses aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Less than 12 Months		Over 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
March 31, 2011						
U.S. Treasury	\$ 51,453	\$ (811)	\$	\$	\$ 51,453	\$ (811)
Federal agencies:						
Mortgage-backed securities	1,799,899	(42,691)			1,799,899	(42,691)
TLGP securities						
Other agencies	964,978	(19,485)	10,558	(119)	975,536	(19,604)
Total U.S. Government backed securities	2,816,330	(62,987)	10,558	(119)	2,826,888	(63,106)
Municipal securities	200,747	(5,649)	3,684	(136)	204,431	(5,785)
Private-label CMO			82,798	(10,243)	82,798	(10,243)
Asset-backed securities	114,375	(766)	152,715	(128,501)	267,090	(129,267)
Covered bonds	421,634	(11,588)			421,634	(11,588)
Corporate debt	374,199	(5,749)			374,199	(5,749)
Other securities	4	(1)	2,790	(756)	2,794	(757)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 3,927,289	\$ (86,740)	\$ 252,545	\$ (139,755)	\$ 4,179,834	\$ (226,495)

Table of Contents

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Less than 12 Months		Over 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2010						
U.S. Treasury	\$ 51,781	\$ (644)	\$	\$	\$ 51,781	\$ (644)
Federal agencies:						
Mortgage-backed securities	1,424,431	(51,328)			1,424,431	(51,328)
TLGP securities						
Other agencies	1,217,074	(17,134)	4,771	(86)	1,221,845	(17,220)
Total U.S. Government backed securities	2,693,286	(69,106)	4,771	(86)	2,698,057	(69,192)
Municipal securities	201,370	(6,363)	3,700	(120)	205,070	(6,483)
Private-label CMO			85,617	(13,820)	85,617	(13,820)
Asset-backed securities	214,983	(2,129)	146,866	(138,719)	361,849	(140,848)
Covered bonds	367,209	(12,502)			367,209	(12,502)
Corporate debt	288,660	(6,623)			288,660	(6,623)
Other securities			41,218	(791)	41,218	(791)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 3,765,508	\$ (96,723)	\$ 282,172	\$ (153,536)	\$ 4,047,680	\$ (250,259)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Less than 12 Months		Over 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
March 31, 2010						
U.S. Treasury	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Federal agencies:						
Mortgage-backed securities	793,110	(5,537)			793,110	(5,537)
TLGP securities	304,272	(510)			304,272	(510)
Other agencies	975,445	(1,766)	4,669	(25)	980,114	(1,791)
Total U.S. Government backed securities	2,072,827	(7,813)	4,669	(25)	2,077,496	(7,838)
Municipal securities	4,000	(10)	3,820	(74)	7,820	(84)
Private-label CMO	17,122	(2,213)	457,082	(44,375)	474,204	(46,588)
Asset-backed securities	99,863	(8,080)	348,950	(142,639)	448,813	(150,719)
Covered bonds						
Corporate debt						
Other securities	39,686	(413)	1,196	(146)	40,882	(559)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 2,233,498	\$ (18,529)	\$ 815,717	\$ (187,259)	\$ 3,049,215	\$ (205,788)

The following table is a summary of realized securities gains and losses for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010
Gross gains on sales of securities	\$ 6,735	\$ 6,776
Gross (losses) on sales of securities	(2,530)	(346)
Net gain (loss) on sales of securities	4,205	6,430
Net OTTI recorded	(4,165)	(6,461)
Total securities gain (loss)	\$ 40	\$ (31)

Security Impairment

Huntington evaluates its investment securities portfolio on a quarterly basis for OTTI. Huntington assesses whether OTTI has occurred when the fair value of a debt security is less than the amortized cost basis at the balance sheet date. Under these circumstances, OTTI is considered to have occurred; (1) if Huntington intends to sell the security; (2) if it is more likely than not Huntington will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of the expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis.

For securities Huntington does not expect to sell or it is not more likely than not to be required to sell, credit-related OTTI, represented by the expected loss in principal, is recognized in earnings, while noncredit-related OTTI is recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI). For securities which Huntington does expect to sell, all OTTI is recognized in earnings. Noncredit-related OTTI results from other factors, including increased liquidity spreads and extension of the security. Presentation of OTTI is made in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income on a gross basis with a reduction for the amount of OTTI recognized in OCI.

Table of Contents

Huntington applied the related OTTI guidance on the debt security types listed below.

Alt-A mortgage-backed and private-label CMO securities are collateralized by first-lien residential mortgage loans. The securities are valued by a third party specialist using a discounted cash flow approach and proprietary pricing model. The model uses inputs such as estimated prepayment speeds, losses, recoveries, default rates that are implied by the underlying performance of collateral in the structure or similar structures, discount rates that are implied by market prices for similar securities, collateral structure types, and house price depreciation / appreciation rates that are based upon macroeconomic forecasts.

Pooled-trust-preferred securities are CDOs backed by a pool of debt securities issued by financial institutions. The collateral generally consists of trust-preferred securities and subordinated debt securities issued by banks, bank holding companies, and insurance companies. A full cash flow analysis is used to estimate fair values and assess impairment for each security within this portfolio. We engaged a third party specialist with direct industry experience in pooled-trust-preferred securities valuations to provide assistance in estimating the fair value and expected cash flows for each security in this portfolio. Relying on cash flows is necessary because there was a lack of observable transactions in the market and many of the original sponsors or dealers for these securities are no longer able to provide a fair value that is compliant with ASC 820.

For the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, the following tables summarize by debt security type, total OTTI losses, OTTI losses included in OCI, and OTTI recognized in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for securities evaluated for impairment as described above.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	Alt-A Mortgage-backed	Pooled- trust-preferred	Private- label CMO	Total
2011				
Total OTTI recoveries (losses) (unrealized and realized)	\$ 1,104	\$ 6,397	\$ 2,375	\$ 9,876
Unrealized OTTI (recoveries) losses recognized in OCI	(1,275)	(9,604)	(3,162)	(14,041)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$ (171)	\$ (3,207)	\$ (787)	\$ (4,165)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	Alt-A Mortgage-backed	Pooled- trust-preferred	Private- label CMO	Total
2010				
Total OTTI (losses) recoveries (unrealized and realized)	\$ (4,576)	\$ (649)	\$ (3,175)	\$ (8,400)
Unrealized OTTI losses (recoveries) recognized in OCI	3,934	(2,566)	571	1,939
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$ (642)	\$ (3,215)	\$ (2,604)	\$ (6,461)

The following table rolls forward the unrealized OTTI recognized in OCI on debt securities held by Huntington for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 100,838	\$ 124,408

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Reductions from sales		
Credit losses not previously recognized		8,123
Change in expected cash flows	(14,041)	(8,146)
Additional credit losses		1,962
Balance, end of period	\$ 86,797	\$ 126,347

Table of Contents

The following table rolls forward the OTTI recognized in earnings on debt securities held by Huntington for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 as follows.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 54,536	\$ 53,801
Reductions from sales		(2,481)
Credit losses not previously recognized		1,166
Additional credit losses	4,165	5,295
Balance, end of period	\$ 58,701	\$ 57,781

The fair values of these assets have been impacted by various market conditions. The unrealized losses were primarily the result of wider liquidity spreads on asset-backed securities and, increased market volatility on nonagency mortgage and asset-backed securities that are collateralized by certain mortgage loans. In addition, the expected average lives of the asset-backed securities backed by trust-preferred securities have been extended, due to changes in the expectations of when the underlying securities would be repaid. The contractual terms and / or cash flows of the investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost. Huntington does not intend to sell, nor does it believe it will be required to sell these securities until the fair value is recovered, which may be maturity and, therefore, does not consider them to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2011.

As of March 31, 2011, Management has evaluated all other investment securities with unrealized losses and all non-marketable securities for impairment and concluded no additional OTTI is required.

5. LOAN SALES AND SECURITIZATIONS**Residential Mortgage Loans**

For the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, Huntington sold \$1.3 billion and \$0.7 billion of residential mortgage loans with servicing retained, resulting in net pretax gains of \$32.7 million and \$14.8 million, respectively, recorded in other noninterest income.

A MSR is established only when the servicing is contractually separated from the underlying mortgage loans by sale or securitization of the loans with servicing rights retained. At initial recognition, the MSR asset is established at its fair value using assumptions consistent with assumptions used to estimate the fair value of existing MSRs carried at fair value in the portfolio. At the time of initial capitalization, MSRs are grouped into one of two categories depending on whether or not Huntington intends to actively hedge the asset. MSR assets are recorded using the fair value method if Huntington will actively engage in hedging the asset and recorded using the amortization method if no active hedging will be performed. MSRs are included in accrued income and other assets. Any increase or decrease in the fair value of MSRs carried under the fair value method, as well as amortization or impairment of MSRs recorded using the amortization method, is recorded as an increase or decrease in mortgage banking income, which is included in noninterest income.

The following tables summarize the changes in MSRs recorded using either the fair value method or the amortization method for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Fair Value Method:		
Fair value, beginning of period	\$ 125,679	\$ 176,427
Change in fair value during the period due to:		
Time decay (1)	(1,374)	(1,672)
Payoffs (2)	(5,872)	(6,877)
Changes in valuation inputs or assumptions (3)	774	(5,772)

Fair value, end of period \$ **119,207** \$ 162,106

- (1) Represents decrease in value due to passage of time, including the impact from both regularly scheduled loan principal payments and partial loan paydowns.
- (2) Represents decrease in value associated with loans that paid off during the period.
- (3) Represents change in value resulting primarily from market-driven changes in interest rates and prepayment spreads.

Table of Contents

Amortization Method: (<i>dollar amounts in thousands</i>)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Carrying value, beginning of year	\$ 70,516	\$ 38,165
New servicing assets created	15,453	8,797
Amortization and other	(2,617)	(1,516)
Carrying value, end of period	\$ 83,352	\$ 45,446
Fair value, end of period	\$ 100,438	\$ 49,513

MSRs do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. While sales of MSRs occur, the precise terms and conditions are typically not readily available. Therefore, the fair value of MSRs is estimated using a discounted future cash flow model. The model considers portfolio characteristics, contractually specified servicing fees and assumptions related to prepayments, delinquency rates, late charges, other ancillary revenues, costs to service, and other economic factors. Changes in the assumptions used may have a significant impact on the valuation of MSRs.

A summary of key assumptions and the sensitivity of the MSR value at March 31, 2011, to changes in these assumptions follows:

(<i>dollar amounts in thousands</i>)	Actual	Decline in fair value due to	
		10% adverse change	20% adverse change
Constant prepayment rate	10.27%	\$ (7,342)	\$ (13,495)
Spread over forward interest rate swap rates	514bps	(2,674)	(5,348)

MSR values are very sensitive to movements in interest rates as expected future net servicing income depends on the projected outstanding principal balances of the underlying loans, which can be greatly impacted by the level of prepayments. Huntington hedges the fair value portfolio of MSRs against changes in value attributable to changes in interest rates through a combination of derivative instruments and trading securities.

Total servicing fees included in mortgage banking income amounted to \$12.5 million and \$12.4 million for the three-month periods ending March 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

6. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

A rollforward of goodwill by business segment for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, was as follows:

(<i>dollar amounts in thousands</i>)	Retail & Business Banking	Regional & Commercial Banking	AFCRE	WGH	Treasury/ Other	Huntington Consolidated
	Balance, beginning of period	\$ 286,824	\$ 16,169	\$	\$ 98,951	\$ 42,324
Adjustments						
Balance, end of period	\$ 286,824	\$ 16,169	\$	\$ 98,951	\$ 42,324	\$ 444,268

Goodwill is not amortized but is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis at October 1st of each year or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. No events or changes in circumstances since the October 1, 2010, annual impairment test were noted that would indicate it was more likely than not a goodwill impairment exists.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, Huntington's other intangible assets consisted of the following:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
March 31, 2011			
Core deposit intangible	\$ 376,846	\$ (230,339)	\$ 146,507
Customer relationship	104,574	(36,831)	67,743
Other	25,164	(24,163)	1,001
Total other intangible assets	\$ 506,584	\$ (291,333)	\$ 215,251
December 31, 2010			
Core deposit intangible	\$ 376,846	\$ (219,311)	\$ 157,535
Customer relationship	104,574	(34,751)	69,823
Other	25,164	(23,902)	1,262
Total other intangible assets	\$ 506,584	\$ (277,964)	\$ 228,620
March 31, 2010			
Core deposit intangible	\$ 376,846	\$ (181,320)	\$ 195,526
Customer relationship	104,574	(28,193)	76,381
Other	25,164	(23,119)	2,045
Total other intangible assets	\$ 506,584	\$ (232,632)	\$ 273,952

The estimated amortization expense of other intangible assets for the remainder of 2011 and the next five years is as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Amortization Expense
2011	\$ 39,952
2012	46,075
2013	40,511
2014	35,858
2015	19,758
2016	6,606

Table of Contents**7. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

The components of other comprehensive income for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		
	Pretax	Tax (Expense) Benefit	After-tax
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>			
Noncredit-related impairment recoveries (losses) on debt securities not expected to be sold	\$ 14,041	\$ (4,914)	\$ 9,127
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities arising during the period	(5,725)	1,975	(3,750)
Less: Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) included in net income	(40)	14	(26)
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities	 8,276	 (2,925)	 5,351
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale equity securities	 70	 (24)	 46
Unrealized gains and losses on derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships arising during the period	(22,188)	7,766	(14,422)
Change in pension and post-retirement benefit plan assets and liabilities	4,000	(1,400)	2,600
 Total other comprehensive income	 \$ (9,842)	 \$ 3,417	 \$ (6,425)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010		
	Pretax	Tax (Expense) Benefit	After-tax
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>			
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle for consolidation of variable interest entities	\$ (6,365)	\$ 2,116	\$ (4,249)
Noncredit-related impairment recoveries (losses) on debt securities not expected to be sold	(1,939)	679	(1,260)
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities arising during the period	37,927	(13,404)	24,523
Less: Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) included in net income	31	(11)	20
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities	 36,019	 (12,736)	 23,283
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale equity securities	 21	 (7)	 14
	5,074	(1,776)	3,298

Unrealized gains and losses on derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships arising during the period			
Change in pension and post-retirement benefit plan assets and liabilities	1,794	(628)	1,166
Total other comprehensive income	\$ 36,543	\$ (13,031)	\$ 23,512

Table of Contents

Activity in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, were as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Unrealized gains and (losses) on debt securities	Unrealized gains and (losses) on equity securities	Unrealized gains and (losses) on cash flow hedging derivatives	Unrealized gains (losses) for pension and other post- retirement obligations	Total
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ (103,060)	\$ (322)	\$ 58,865	\$ (112,468)	\$ (156,985)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle for consolidation of variable interest entities	(4,249)				(4,249)
Period change	23,283	14	3,298	1,166	27,761
Balance, March 31, 2010	\$ (84,026)	\$ (308)	\$ 62,163	\$ (111,302)	\$ (133,473)
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ (101,290)	(427)	35,710	(131,489)	\$ (197,496)
Period change	5,351	46	(14,422)	2,600	(6,425)
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$ (95,939)	\$ (381)	\$ 21,288	\$ (128,889)	\$ (203,921)

8. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**Repurchase of Outstanding TARP Capital and Warrant to Repurchase Common Stock**

In 2008, Huntington received \$1.4 billion of equity capital by issuing to the Treasury 1.4 million shares of TARP Capital and a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 23.6 million shares of Huntington's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, at an exercise price of \$8.90 per share. As approved by the Federal Reserve Board, the Treasury, and our other banking regulators, on December 22, 2010, Huntington repurchased all 1.4 million shares of our TARP Capital held by the Treasury totaling \$1.4 billion. Huntington used the net proceeds from the issuance of common stock discussed below and \$300 million of 7.00% Subordinated Notes due 2020 and other funds to redeem the TARP Capital. On January 19, 2011, Huntington repurchased the warrant originally issued to the Treasury for a purchase price of \$49.1 million.

Share Repurchase Program

Huntington did not repurchase any shares for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. As a condition to participate in the TARP, Huntington could not have repurchased any additional shares without prior approval from the Treasury.

9. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is the amount of earnings (adjusted for dividends declared on preferred stock) available to each share of common stock outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is the amount of earnings available to each share of common stock outstanding during the reporting period adjusted to include the effect of potentially dilutive common shares. Potentially dilutive common shares include incremental shares issued for stock options, restricted stock units and awards, distributions from deferred compensation plans, and the conversion of Huntington's convertible preferred stock. Potentially dilutive common shares are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share in periods in which the effect would be antidilutive. For diluted earnings per share, net income available to common shares can be affected by the conversion of Huntington's convertible preferred stock. Where the effect of this conversion would be dilutive, net income available to common shareholders is adjusted by the

associated preferred dividends and deemed dividend. The calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010 was as follows:

Table of Contents

(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Basic earnings per common share:		
Net income	\$ 126,446	\$ 39,737
Preferred stock dividends, deemed dividend and accretion of discount	(7,703)	(29,357)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 118,743	\$ 10,380
Average common shares issued and outstanding	863,359	716,320
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.01
Diluted earnings per common share:		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 118,743	\$ 10,380
Effect of assumed preferred stock conversion		
Net income applicable to diluted earnings per share	\$ 118,743	\$ 10,380
Average common shares issued and outstanding	863,359	716,320
Dilutive potential common shares:		
Stock options and restricted stock units and awards	2,996	1,413
Shares held in deferred compensation plans	882	860
Conversion of preferred stock		
Dilutive potential common shares:	3,878	2,273
Total diluted average common shares issued and outstanding	867,237	718,593
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.01

Approximately 14.7 million and 21.1 million options to purchase shares of common stock outstanding at the end of March 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be antidilutive. The weighted average exercise price for these options was \$20.71 per share and \$18.46 per share at the end of each respective period.

10. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Huntington sponsors nonqualified and incentive share based compensation plans. These plans provide for the granting of stock options and other awards to officers, directors, and other employees. Compensation costs are included in personnel costs on the Consolidated Statements of Income. Stock options are granted at the closing market price on the date of the grant. Options granted typically vest ratably over three years or when other conditions are met. Options granted prior to May 2004 have a term of ten years. All options granted after May 2004 have a term of seven years. Huntington uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value share-based compensation expense. Forfeitures are estimated at the date of grant based on historical rates and reduce the compensation expense recognized. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the date of grant. Expected volatility is based on the estimated volatility of Huntington's stock over the expected term of the option. The expected dividend yield is based on the dividend rate and stock price at the date of the grant. The following table illustrates the weighted-average assumptions used in the option-pricing model for options granted in the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Assumptions	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Risk-free interest rate	2.49%	2.98%

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Expected dividend yield	0.58	0.97
Expected volatility of Huntington s common stock	32.5	60.0
Expected option term (years)	6.0	6.0
Weighted-average grant date fair value per share	\$ 2.35	\$ 2.24

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates total share-based compensation expense and related tax benefit for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Share-based compensation expense	\$ 3,625	\$ 2,933
Tax benefit	1,269	1,027

Huntington's stock option activity and related information for the three-month period ended March 31, 2011, was as follows:

<i>(amounts in thousands, except years and per share amounts)</i>	Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	21,862	\$ 15.96		
Granted	66	6.96		
Exercised	(34)	4.14		
Forfeited/expired	(649)	14.07		
Outstanding at March 31, 2011	21,245	\$ 16.01	2.8	\$ 8,159
Vested and expected to vest at March 31, 2011 (1)	20,920	\$ 16.16	2.8	\$ 7,779
Exercisable at March 31, 2011	16,481	\$ 19.03	2.0	\$ 2,411

(1) The number of options expected to vest includes an estimate of expected forfeitures.

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the amount by which the fair value of underlying stock exceeds the in-the-money option exercise price. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2011, cash received for the exercises of stock options was \$0.1 million and the tax benefit realized from stock option exercises was less than \$0.1 million.

There were no exercises of stock options for the three-month period ended March 31, 2010.

Huntington also grants restricted stock units and awards. Restricted stock units and awards are issued at no cost to the recipient, and can be settled only in shares at the end of the vesting period. Restricted stock awards provide the holder with full voting rights and cash dividends during the vesting period. Restricted stock units do not provide the holder with voting rights or cash dividends during the vesting period, but do accrue a dividend equivalent that is paid upon vesting, and are subject to certain service restrictions. The fair value of the restricted stock units and awards is the closing market price of the Huntington's common stock on the date of award.

The following table summarizes the status of Huntington's restricted stock units and restricted stock awards as of March 31, 2011, and activity for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011:

<i>(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	Restricted Stock Units	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share	Restricted Stock Awards (1)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Nonvested at January 1, 2011	5,511	\$ 5.78	466	\$ 5.24

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Granted	657		7.50		
Vested	(29)		9.78		
Forfeited	(52)		6.31		
Nonvested at March 31, 2011	6,087	\$	5.94	466	\$ 5.24

(1) Includes restricted stock awards granted under the Second Amended and Restated 2007 Stock and Long-Term Incentive Plan to certain executives as a portion of their annual base salary. These awards are 100% vested as of the grant date and are not subject to any requirement of future service. However, the shares are subject to restrictions regarding sale, transfer, pledge, or disposition until certain conditions are met.

The weighted-average grant date fair value of nonvested shares granted for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, were \$7.50, and \$4.62, respectively. The total fair value of awards vested was \$0.2 million during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively. As of March 31, 2011, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested awards was \$22.2 million with a weighted-average expense recognition period of 1.6 years.

Table of Contents

Of the remaining 44.1 million shares of common stock authorized for issuance at March 31, 2011, 27.8 million were outstanding and 16.3 million were available for future grants. Huntington issues shares to fulfill stock option exercises and restricted stock units from available authorized shares. At March 31, 2011, Management believes there are adequate authorized shares available to satisfy anticipated stock option exercises in 2011.

11. BENEFIT PLANS

Huntington sponsors the Plan, a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees hired or rehired prior to January 1, 2010. The Plan provides benefits based upon length of service and compensation levels. The funding policy of Huntington is to contribute an annual amount that is at least equal to the minimum funding requirements but not more than that deductible under the Internal Revenue Code. There is no required minimum contribution for 2011. Although not required, Huntington made a \$50 million contribution to the Plan in March 2011.

In addition, Huntington has an unfunded defined benefit post-retirement plan that provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits to retired employees who have attained the age of 55 and have at least 10 years of vesting service under this plan. For any employee retiring on or after January 1, 1993, post-retirement healthcare benefits are based upon the employee's number of months of service and are limited to the actual cost of coverage. Life insurance benefits are a percentage of the employee's base salary at the time of retirement, with a maximum of \$50,000 of coverage. The employer paid portion of the post-retirement health and life insurance plan was eliminated for employees retiring on and after March 1, 2010. Eligible employees retiring on and after March 1, 2010, who elect retiree medical coverage, will pay the full cost of this coverage. Huntington will not provide any employer paid life insurance to employees retiring on and after March 1, 2010. Eligible employees will be able to convert or port their existing life insurance at their own expense under the same terms that are available to all terminated employees. Beginning January 1, 2010, there were changes to the way the future early and normal retirement benefit is calculated under the Plan for service on and after January 1, 2010. While these changes did not affect the benefit earned under the Plan through December 31, 2009, there was a reduction in future benefits. In addition, employees hired or rehired on and after January 1, 2010, are not eligible to participate in the Plan.

The following table shows the components of net periodic benefit expense of the Plan and the Post-Retirement Benefit Plan:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Pension Benefits		Post Retirement Benefits	
	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	March 31,		March 31,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 5,413	\$ 5,051	\$	\$
Interest cost	7,518	7,217	405	433
Expected return on plan assets	(10,823)	(10,528)		
Amortization of transition asset	(1)	2		
Amortization of prior service cost	(1,442)	(1,442)	(338)	(338)
Amortization of gains	5,874	3,747	(106)	(175)
Settlements	1,750	1,725		
Benefit expense	\$ 8,289	\$ 5,772	\$ (39)	\$ (80)

The Bank, as trustee, held all Plan assets at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010. The Plan assets consisted of investments in a variety of Huntington mutual funds and Huntington common stock as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Fair Value	
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cash	\$ 26	% \$ %
Cash equivalents:		

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Huntington funds	money market	24,649	4	25	
Fixed income:					
Huntington funds	fixed income funds	143,813	27	133,330	28
Equities:					
Huntington funds		339,003	63	318,155	66
Other	equity mutual funds	4,326	1		
Huntington	common stock	26,068	5	26,969	6
Fair value of plan assets		\$ 537,885	100%	\$ 478,479	100%

Table of Contents

Investments of the Plan are accounted for at cost on the trade date and are reported at fair value. All of the Plan's investments at March 31, 2011, are classified as Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. In general, investments of the Plan are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate risk, credit risk, and overall market volatility. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investments, it is reasonably possible changes in the values of investments will occur in the near term and such changes could materially affect the amounts reported in the Plan assets.

The investment objective of the Plan is to maximize the return on Plan assets over a long time period, while meeting the Plan obligations. At March 31, 2011, Plan assets were invested 69% in equity investments and 31% in bonds, with an average duration of 3.6 years on bond investments. Although it may fluctuate with market conditions, Management has targeted a long-term allocation of Plan assets of 69% in equity investments and 31% in bond investments.

Huntington also sponsors other nonqualified retirement plans, the most significant being the SERP and the SRIP. The SERP provides certain former officers and directors, and the SRIP provides certain current officers and directors of Huntington and its subsidiaries with defined pension benefits in excess of limits imposed by federal tax law. The cost of providing these plans was \$0.7 million for each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010.

Huntington has a defined contribution plan that is available to eligible employees. In the 2009 first quarter, the Plan was amended to eliminate employer matching contributions effective on or after March 15, 2009. Prior to March 15, 2009, Huntington matched participant contributions, up to the first 3% of base pay contributed to the Plan. Half of the employee contribution was matched on the 4th and 5th percent of base pay contributed to the Plan. Effective May 1, 2010, Huntington reinstated the employer matching contribution to the defined contribution Plan. The cost of providing the Plan for the 2011 first quarter was \$3.7 million and there was no expense for the 2010 first quarter.

12. FAIR VALUES OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Huntington follows the fair value accounting guidance under ASC 820 and ASC 825.

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A three-level valuation hierarchy was established for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Transfers in and out of Level 1, 2, or 3 are recorded at fair value at the beginning of the reporting period.

Table of Contents

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Financial Instrument	Hierarchy	Valuation methodology
<i>Mortgage loans held for sale</i>	Level 2	Huntington elected to apply the fair value option for mortgage loans originated with the intent to sell which are included in loans held for sale. Mortgage loans held for sale are estimated using security prices for similar product types. At March 31, 2011, mortgage loans held for sale had an aggregate fair value of \$162.8 million and an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$157.9 million. Interest income on these loans is recorded in interest and fee income. Loans and leases. Included in mortgage banking income were net gains resulting from origination and sale of these loans, including net realized gains of \$32.8 million and \$15.1 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Of such gains, the change in fair value while held as loans were \$6.1 million and \$2.4 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
<i>Available-for-sale Securities & Trading Account Securities</i>	Level 1	Consist primarily of U.S. Treasury and money market mutual funds, which have quoted prices.
	Level 2	Consist of U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed and other agency securities, municipal securities, and other securities for which an active market is not available. Third party pricing services provide a fair value estimate based upon trades of similar financial instruments.
	Level 3	Consist of certain asset-backed securities, pooled-trust-preferred securities, private-label CMOs, and municipal securities for which fair value is estimated. Assumptions used to determine the fair value of these securities have greater subjectivity due to the lack of observable market transactions. Generally, there are only limited trades of similar instruments and a discounted cash flow approach is used to determine fair value.
<i>Automobile loans</i>	Level 3	Consists of automobile loan receivables measured at fair value. The key assumptions used to determine the fair value of the automobile loan receivables included projections of expected losses and prepayment of the underlying loans in the portfolio and a market assumption of interest rate spreads. The net gains and losses, before tax, from fair value changes reflected in interest and fee income, other and noninterest income for the three-month

periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$(2.5) million and \$7.6 million, respectively, which is net of a \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively net gain associated with instrument specific credit risk. Instrument specific credit risk was determined based on estimated credit losses inherent in the beginning period fair value calculation as compared to actual credit losses incurred during the period plus estimated credit losses inherent in the end of period fair value calculation.

<i>MSRs</i>	Level 3	MSRs do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. Although sales of MSRs do occur, the precise terms and conditions typically are not readily available. Fair value is determined on an income approach model based upon month-end interest rate curve and prepayment assumptions.
<i>Derivatives</i>	Level 1	Consist of exchange traded options and forward commitments to deliver mortgage-backed securities which are valued using quoted prices.
	Level 2	Consist of basic asset and liability conversion swaps and options, and interest rate caps. These derivative positions are valued using a discounted cash flow method that incorporates current market interest rates.
	Level 3	Consist primarily of interest rate lock agreements related to mortgage loan commitments. The determination of fair value includes assumptions related to the likelihood that a commitment will ultimately result in a closed loan, which is a significant unobservable assumption.
<i>Securitization trust notes payable</i>	Level 2	Consists of certain securitization trust notes payable related to the automobile loans measured at fair value. The notes payable are valued based on interest rates for similar financial instruments. The net gains and losses, before tax, from fair value changes reflected in interest expense - subordinated notes and other long-term debt and noninterest income for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$(2.2) million and \$3.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**Assets and Liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis**

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010 are summarized below:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			Netting Adjustments (1)	Balance at March 31, 2011
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Assets					
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$	\$ 162,768	\$	\$	\$ 162,768
Trading account securities:					
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		8,883			8,883
Federal agencies: Other agencies		49,742			49,742
Municipal securities		27,398			27,398
Other securities	75,610	2,856			78,466
	75,610	88,879			164,489
Available-for-sale and other securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	51,453				51,453
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		4,971,085			4,971,085
TLGP securities		157,196			157,196
Federal agencies: Other agencies		1,283,510			1,283,510
Municipal securities		304,871	135,276		440,147
Private-label CMO			115,546		115,546
Asset-backed securities		813,487	165,599		979,086
Covered bonds		545,069			545,069
Corporate debt		409,032			409,032
Other securities	52,218	9,635			61,853
	103,671	8,493,885	416,421		9,013,977
Automobile loans			458,851		458,851
MSRs			119,207		119,207
Derivative assets	4,113	294,166	2,996	(50,070)	251,205
Liabilities					
Securitization trust notes payable		294,905			294,905
Derivative liabilities	4,748	183,993	3,828		192,569
Other liabilities	8,408				8,408

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			Netting Adjustments (1)	Balance at December 31, 2010
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Assets					

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Mortgage loans held for sale	\$	\$ 754,117	\$	\$	\$ 754,117
Trading account securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	47,430				47,430
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		10,860			10,860
Federal agencies: Other agencies		24,853			24,853
Municipal securities		30,205			30,205
Other securities	69,017	3,039			72,056
	116,447	68,957			185,404
Available-for-sale and other securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	51,781				51,781
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		4,754,404			4,754,404
TLGP securities		183,467			183,467
Federal agencies: Other agencies (2)		2,058,376			2,058,376
Municipal securities		305,909	149,806		455,715
Private-label CMO			121,925		121,925
Asset-backed securities		1,044,438	162,684		1,207,122
Covered bonds		367,209			367,209
Corporate debt		323,389			323,389
Other securities	53,286	9,848			63,134
	105,067	9,047,040	434,415		9,586,522
Automobile loans			522,717		522,717
MSRs			125,679		125,679
Derivative assets	23,514	390,361	2,817	(70,559)	346,133
Liabilities					
Securitization trust notes payable		356,089			356,089
Derivative liabilities	3,990	233,399	1,851		239,240

(2) Amounts were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 in the 2010 fourth quarter due to lack of sufficient market activity for these securities.

Table of Contents

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			Netting Adjustments (1)	Balance at March 31, 2010
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Assets					
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$	\$ 319,166	\$	\$	\$ 319,166
Trading account securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	49,977				49,977
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		17,561			17,561
Federal agencies: Other agencies					
Municipal securities		17,262			17,262
Other securities	60,547	5,116			65,663
	110,524	39,939			150,463
Available-for-sale and other securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	50,185				50,185
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		3,486,414			3,486,414
TLGP securities	665,236				665,236
Federal agencies: Other agencies	2,620,700	27,416			2,648,116
Municipal securities		112,845	318,597		431,442
Private-label CMO			462,731		462,731
Asset-backed securities		613,704	219,079		832,783
Other securities	55,261	9,281			64,542
	3,391,382	4,249,660	1,000,407		8,641,449
Automobile loans	546,663		183,845		730,508
MSRs			162,106		162,106
Derivative assets	1,253	346,865	3,301	(53,458)	297,961
Liabilities					
Securitization trust notes payable	573,018				573,018
Derivative liabilities	722	232,216	4,134		237,072

(1) Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Company to settle positive and negative positions and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.

Table of Contents

The tables below present a rollforward of the balance sheet amounts for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, for financial instruments measured on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3. The classification of an item as Level 3 is based on the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 measurements may also include observable components of value that can be validated externally. Accordingly, the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three Months Ended March 31, 2011**

Available-for-sale securities

		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
	MSRs	instruments	securities	label	backed	loans	investments
				CMO	securities		
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 125,679	\$ 966	\$ 149,806	\$ 121,925	\$ 162,684	\$ 522,717	\$
Total gains / losses:							
Included in earnings	(6,472)	(1,704)		(442)	(3,270)	(2,511)	
Included in OCI				3,727	10,297		
Purchases							
Sales							
Repayments						(61,355)	
Issuances							
Settlements		(94)	(14,530)	(9,664)	(4,112)		
Transfers in / out of Level 3							
Balance, end of period	\$ 119,207	\$ (832)	\$ 135,276	\$ 115,546	\$ 165,599	\$ 458,851	\$

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or OCI) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date

\$ (6,472) \$ (1,798) \$ 3,727 \$ 10,297 \$ (2,511) \$

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three Months Ended March 31, 2010**

Available-for-sale securities

		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
	MSRs	instruments	securities	label	backed	loans	investments
				CMO	securities		
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 176,427	\$ (4,236)	\$ 11,515	\$ 477,319	\$ 407,098	\$	\$ 25,872
Total gains / losses:							
Included in earnings	(14,321)	3,392		(2,090)	(4,050)	5,259	
Included in OCI				10,690	4,187		
Purchases							

Sales					(1,838)		
Repayments						(1,433)	
Issuances							
Settlements	11	(16,555)	(23,188)	(2,245)			
Transfers in / out of Level 3 (1)		323,637		(184,073)	180,019	(25,872)	
Balance, end of period	\$ 162,106	\$ (833)	\$ 318,597	\$ 462,731	\$ 219,079	\$ 183,845	\$

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or OCI) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date

\$ (14,321) \$ 3,403 \$ 8,600 \$ 137 \$ 5,259 \$

(1) Transfers in / out of Level 3 include a transfer in of \$323.6 million relating to municipal securities, due to lack of observable market data, a transfer out of \$184.1 million of securities related to the consolidation of a 2009 automobile trust, and a transfer in of \$180.0 million of loans related to the consolidation of a 2009 automobile trust.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the classification of gains and losses due to changes in fair value, recorded in earnings for Level 3 assets and liabilities for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010:

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three Months Ended March 31, 2011**

	Available-for-sale securities						
	MSRs	Derivative instruments	Municipal securities	Private-label CMO	Asset-backed securities	Automobile loans	Equity investments
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Classification of gains and losses in earnings:							
Mortgage banking income (loss)	\$ (6,472)	\$ (1,704)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Securities gains (losses)				(788)	(3,377)		
Interest and fee income				346	107	(2,439)	
Noninterest income						(72)	
Total	\$ (6,472)	\$ (1,704)	\$	\$ (442)	\$ (3,270)	\$ (2,511)	\$

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three Months Ended March 31, 2010**

	Available-for-sale securities						
	MSRs	Derivative instruments	Municipal securities	Private-label CMO	Asset-backed securities	Automobile loans	Equity investments
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Classification of gains and losses in earnings:							
Mortgage banking income (loss)	\$ (14,321)	\$ 3,392	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Securities gains (losses)				(2,604)	(3,857)		
Interest and fee income				514	(193)	(1,220)	
Noninterest income						6,479	
Total	\$ (14,321)	\$ 3,392	\$	\$ (2,090)	\$ (4,050)	\$ 5,259	\$

Assets and Liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

Certain assets and liabilities may be required to be measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in periods subsequent to their initial recognition. These assets and liabilities are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis; however, they are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when there is evidence of impairment. At March 31, 2011, assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis were as follows:

Fair Value Measurements Using			
Quoted Prices In Active	Significant Other Observable	Significant Other Unobservable	Total Gains/(Losses) For the Three

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Fair Value at March 31, 2011	Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	Months Ended March 31, 2011
Impaired loans	\$ 48.2	\$	\$	\$ 48.2	\$ (9.6)
Accrued income and other assets	54.6			54.6	(0.5)

Periodically, Huntington records nonrecurring adjustments of collateral-dependent loans measured for impairment when establishing the allowance for credit losses. Such amounts are generally based on the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loan. Appraisals are generally obtained to support the fair value of the collateral and incorporate measures such as recent sales prices for comparable properties and cost of construction. In cases where the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the collateral less cost to sell, an impairment charge is recognized. At March 31, 2011, Huntington identified \$48.2 million of impaired loans for which the fair value is recorded based upon collateral value. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2011, nonrecurring fair value impairment of \$9.6 million were recorded within the provision for credit losses.

Other real estate owned properties are valued based on appraisals and third party price opinions, less estimated selling costs. At March 31, 2011, Huntington had \$54.6 million of OREO assets at fair value. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2011, fair value losses of \$0.5 million were recorded within noninterest expense.

Table of Contents**Fair values of financial instruments**

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of Huntington's financial instruments at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, are presented in the following table:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010		March 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial Assets:						
Cash and short-term assets	\$ 1,338,819	\$ 1,338,819	\$ 982,926	\$ 982,926	\$ 1,674,722	\$ 1,674,722
Trading account securities	164,489	164,489	185,404	185,404	150,463	150,463
Loans held for sale	164,282	164,282	793,285	793,285	327,408	327,408
Investment securities	9,322,434	9,322,434	9,895,244	9,895,244	8,946,364	8,946,364
Net loans and direct financing leases	37,112,610	35,782,739	36,857,499	35,403,910	35,453,712	33,356,786
Derivatives	251,205	251,205	346,133	346,133	297,971	297,971
Financial Liabilities:						
Deposits	(41,366,487)	(41,554,364)	(41,853,898)	(41,993,567)	(40,303,467)	(40,530,220)
Short-term borrowings	(2,051,258)	(2,012,436)	(2,040,732)	(1,982,545)	(980,839)	(968,271)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(21,379)	(21,379)	(172,519)	(172,519)	(157,895)	(157,895)
Other long-term debt	(1,900,555)	(1,914,565)	(2,144,092)	(2,157,358)	(2,727,745)	(2,726,066)
Subordinated notes	(1,487,566)	(1,406,068)	(1,497,216)	(1,377,851)	(1,266,907)	(1,075,132)
Derivatives	(192,569)	(192,569)	(239,240)	(239,240)	(237,072)	(237,072)

The short-term nature of certain assets and liabilities result in their carrying value approximating fair value. These include trading account securities, customers' acceptance liabilities, short-term borrowings, bank acceptances outstanding, FHLB advances, and cash and short-term assets, which include cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in banks, and federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements. Loan commitments and letters of credit generally have short-term, variable-rate features and contain clauses that limit Huntington's exposure to changes in customer credit quality. Accordingly, their carrying values, which are immaterial at the respective balance sheet dates, are reasonable estimates of fair value. Not all the financial instruments listed in the table above are subject to the disclosure provisions of ASC Topic 820.

Certain assets, the most significant being operating lease assets, bank owned life insurance, and premises and equipment, do not meet the definition of a financial instrument and are excluded from this disclosure. Similarly, mortgage and nonmortgage servicing rights, deposit base, and other customer relationship intangibles are not considered financial instruments and are not included above. Accordingly, this fair value information is not intended to, and does not, represent Huntington's underlying value. Many of the assets and liabilities subject to the disclosure requirements are not actively traded, requiring fair values to be estimated by Management. These estimations necessarily involve the use of judgment about a wide variety of factors, including but not limited to, relevancy of market prices of comparable instruments, expected future cash flows, and appropriate discount rates.

The following methods and assumptions were used by Huntington to estimate the fair value of the remaining classes of financial instruments:

Loans and Direct Financing Leases

Variable-rate loans that reprice frequently are based on carrying amounts, as adjusted for estimated credit losses. The fair values for other loans and leases are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses and employ interest rates currently being offered for loans and leases with similar terms. The rates take into account the position of the yield curve, as well as an adjustment for prepayment risk, operating costs, and profit. This value is also reduced by an estimate of probable losses and the credit risk associated in the loan and lease portfolio. The valuation of the loan portfolio reflected discounts that Huntington believed are consistent with transactions occurring in the market place.

Deposits

Demand deposits, savings accounts, and money market deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair values of fixed-rate time deposits are estimated by discounting cash flows using interest rates currently being offered on certificates with similar maturities.

Table of Contents**Debt**

Fixed-rate, long-term debt is based upon quoted market prices, which are inclusive of Huntington's credit risk. In the absence of quoted market prices, discounted cash flows using market rates for similar debt with the same maturities are used in the determination of fair value.

13. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Derivative financial instruments are recorded in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as either an asset or a liability (in accrued income and other assets or accrued expenses and other liabilities, respectively) and measured at fair value.

Derivatives used in Asset and Liability Management Activities

A variety of derivative financial instruments, principally interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and collars, are used in asset and liability management activities to protect against the risk of adverse price or interest rate movements. These instruments provide flexibility in adjusting Huntington's sensitivity to changes in interest rates without exposure to loss of principal and higher funding requirements. Huntington records derivatives at fair value, as further described in Note 12. Collateral agreements are regularly entered into as part of the underlying derivative agreements with Huntington's counterparties to mitigate counterparty credit risk. At March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, aggregate credit risk associated with these derivatives, net of collateral that has been pledged by the counterparty, was \$37.2 million, \$39.9 million, and \$24.7 million, respectively. The credit risk associated with interest rate swaps is calculated after considering master netting agreements.

At March 31, 2011, Huntington pledged \$194.6 million of investment securities and cash collateral to counterparties, while other counterparties pledged \$64.4 million of investment securities and cash collateral to Huntington to satisfy collateral netting agreements. In the event of credit downgrades, Huntington could be required to provide \$5.3 million of additional collateral.

The following table presents the gross notional values of derivatives used in Huntington's asset and liability management activities at March 31, 2011, identified by the underlying interest rate-sensitive instruments:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Fair Value Hedges	Cash Flow Hedges	Total
Instruments associated with:			
Loans	\$	\$ 5,305,000	\$ 5,305,000
Deposits	958,912		958,912
Subordinated notes	598,000		598,000
Other long-term debt	35,000		35,000
Total notional value at March 31, 2011	\$ 1,591,912	\$ 5,305,000	\$ 6,896,912

The following table presents additional information about the interest rate swaps used in Huntington's asset and liability management activities at March 31, 2011:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Notional Value	Average Maturity (years)	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Rate	
				Receive	Pay
Asset conversion swaps receive fixed generic	\$ 5,305,000	2.1	\$ 21,262	1.69%	0.73%
Liability conversion swaps receive fixed generic	1,591,912	4.3	46,740	2.53	0.37
Total swap portfolio	\$ 6,896,912	2.6	\$ 68,001	1.88%	0.65%

These derivative financial instruments were entered into for the purpose of managing the interest rate risk of assets and liabilities. Consequently, net amounts receivable or payable on contracts hedging either interest earning assets or interest bearing liabilities were accrued as an adjustment to either interest income or interest expense. The net amounts resulted in an increase to net interest income of \$33.9 million and \$58.0 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

In connection with securitization activities, Huntington purchased interest rate caps with a notional value totaling \$0.9 billion. These purchased caps were assigned to the securitization trust for the benefit of the security holders. Interest rate caps were also sold totaling \$0.9 billion outside the securitization structure. Both the purchased and sold caps are marked to market through income.

In connection with the sale of Huntington's Class B Visa shares, Huntington entered into a swap agreement with the purchaser of the shares. The swap agreement adjusts for dilution in the conversion ratio of Class B shares resulting from the Visa litigation. At March 31, 2011, the fair value of the swap liability of \$3.5 million is an estimate of the exposure liability based upon Huntington's assessment of the probability-weighted potential Visa litigation losses.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the fair values at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010 of Huntington's derivatives that are designated and not designated as hedging instruments. Amounts in the table below are presented gross without the impact of any net collateral arrangements.

Asset derivatives included in accrued income and other assets

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Interest rate contracts designated as hedging instruments	\$ 68,385	\$ 127,346	\$ 79,998
Interest rate contracts not designated as hedging instruments	225,781	263,015	266,867
Foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments	3,450	2,845	274
Total contracts	\$ 297,616	\$ 393,206	\$ 347,139

Liability derivatives included in accrued expenses and other liabilities

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Interest rate contracts designated as hedging instruments	\$ 384	\$	\$
Interest rate contracts not designated as hedging instruments	187,155	233,805	236,109
Foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments	3,491	3,107	
Total contracts	\$ 191,030	\$ 236,912	\$ 236,109

Fair value hedges are purchased to convert deposits and subordinated and other long-term debt from fixed-rate obligations to floating rate. The changes in fair value of the derivative are, to the extent that the hedging relationship is effective, recorded through earnings and offset against changes in the fair value of the hedged item.

The following table presents the change in fair value for derivatives designated as fair value hedges as well as the offsetting change in fair value on the hedged item for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Interest rate contracts		
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging deposits (1)	\$ (5,760)	\$ 3,312
Change in fair value of hedged deposits (1)	5,520	(3,156)
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging subordinated notes (2)	(9,154)	3,643
Change in fair value of hedged subordinated notes (2)	9,154	(3,643)
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging other long-term debt (2)	(579)	517
Change in fair value of hedged other long-term debt (2)	579	(517)

(1) Effective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized in Interest expense – deposits in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Any resulting ineffective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized in noninterest income in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

(2) Effective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized in Interest expense – subordinated notes and other long-term debt in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Any resulting ineffective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized in noninterest income in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

For cash flow hedges, interest rate swap contracts were entered into that pay fixed-rate interest in exchange for the receipt of variable-rate interest without the exchange of the contract's underlying notional amount, which effectively converts a portion of its floating-rate debt to a fixed-rate debt. This reduces the potentially adverse impact of increases in interest rates on future interest expense. Other LIBOR-based commercial and industrial loans were effectively converted to fixed-rate by entering into contracts that swap certain variable-rate interest payments for fixed-rate interest payments at designated times.

To the extent these derivatives are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, changes in the derivatives' fair value will not be included in current earnings but are reported as a component of OCI in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity. These changes in fair value will be included in earnings of future periods when earnings are also affected by the changes in the hedged cash flows. To the extent these derivatives are not effective, changes in their fair values are immediately included in noninterest income.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the gains and (losses) recognized in OCI and the location in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income of gains and (losses) reclassified from OCI into earnings for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010 for derivatives designated as effective cash flow hedges:

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Amount of gain or (loss) recognized in OCI on derivatives (effective portion)		Location of gain or (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into earnings (effective portion)	Amount of (gain) or loss reclassified from accumulated OCI into earnings (effective portion)	
	Three Months Ended March 31,			Three Months Ended March 31,	
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010		2011	2010
Interest rate contracts					
Loans	\$ (25,143)	\$ 25,762	Interest and fee income - loans and leases	\$ 16,504	\$ (35,655)
FHLB Advances			Interest expense - subordinated notes and other long-term debt		1,265
Subordinated notes			Interest expense - subordinated notes and other long-term debt	7	(410)
Total	\$ (25,143)	\$ 25,762		\$ 16,511	\$ (34,800)

During the next twelve months, Huntington expects to reclassify to earnings \$37.4 million of after-tax unrealized gains on cash flow hedging derivatives currently in OCI.

The following table details the gains and (losses) recognized in noninterest income on the ineffective portion on interest rate contracts for derivatives designated as cash flow hedges for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships		
Interest rate contracts		
Loans	\$ 465	\$ 867
FHLB Advances		

Derivatives used in trading activities

Various derivative financial instruments are offered to enable customers to meet their financing and investing objectives and for their risk management purposes. Derivative financial instruments used in trading activities consisted predominantly of interest rate swaps, but also included interest rate caps, floors, and futures, as well as foreign exchange options. Interest rate options grant the option holder the right to buy or sell an underlying financial instrument for a predetermined price before the contract expires. Interest rate futures are commitments to either purchase or sell a financial instrument at a future date for a specified price or yield and may be settled in cash or through delivery of the underlying financial instrument. Interest rate caps and floors are option-based contracts that entitle the buyer to receive cash payments based on the difference between a designated reference rate and a strike price, applied to a notional amount. Written options, primarily caps, expose Huntington to market risk but not credit risk. Purchased options contain both credit and market risk. The interest rate risk of these customer derivatives is mitigated by entering into similar derivatives having offsetting terms with other counterparties. The credit risk to these

customers is evaluated and included in the calculation of fair value.

The net fair values of these derivative financial instruments, for which the gross amounts are included in accrued income and other assets or accrued expenses and other liabilities at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, were \$46.2 million, \$46.3 million and \$44.0 million, respectively. The total notional values of derivative financial instruments used by Huntington on behalf of customers, including offsetting derivatives, were \$9.6 billion, \$9.8 billion and \$9.4 billion at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, respectively. Huntington's credit risks from interest rate swaps used for trading purposes were \$225.8 million, \$263.0 million and \$266.9 million at the same dates, respectively.

Table of Contents**Derivatives used in mortgage banking activities**

Huntington also uses certain derivative financial instruments to offset changes in value of its MSR's. These derivatives consist primarily of forward interest rate agreements and forward mortgage securities. The derivative instruments used are not designated as hedges. Accordingly, such derivatives are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in mortgage banking income. The following table summarizes the derivative assets and liabilities used in mortgage banking activities:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Derivative assets:			
Interest rate lock agreements	\$ 2,996	\$ 2,817	\$ 3,301
Forward trades and options	663	20,669	979
Total derivative assets	3,659	23,486	4,280
Derivative liabilities:			
Interest rate lock agreements	(282)	(1,445)	(241)
Forward trades and options	(1,257)	(883)	(722)
Total derivative liabilities	(1,539)	(2,328)	(963)
Net derivative asset (liability)	\$ 2,120	\$ 21,158	\$ 3,317

The total notional value of these derivative financial instruments at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, was \$2.4 billion, \$2.6 billion, and \$3.5 billion, respectively. The total notional amount at March 31, 2011, corresponds to trading assets with a fair value of \$5.6 million and trading liabilities with a fair value of \$1.8 million. Total MSR hedging gains and (losses) for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, were (\$4.2) million, and \$11.9 million, respectively. Included in total MSR hedging gains and losses for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010 were gains and (losses) related to derivative instruments of (\$3.7) million, and \$11.5 million, respectively. These amounts are included in mortgage banking income in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

14. VIEs**Consolidated VIEs**

Consolidated VIEs at March 31, 2011, consisted of the Franklin 2009 Trust and certain loan securitization trusts. Loan securitizations include automobile loan and lease securitization trusts formed in 2009, 2008, and 2006. Huntington has determined the trusts are VIEs. Through Huntington's continuing involvement in the trusts (including ownership of beneficial interests and certain servicing or collateral management activities), Huntington is the primary beneficiary. The carrying amount and classification of the trusts' assets and liabilities included in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet are as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011				Total
	Franklin 2009 Trust	2009 Trust	2008 Trust	2006 Trust	
Assets:					
Cash	\$	\$ 26,724	\$ 20,876	\$ 69,902	\$ 117,502
Loans and leases		458,851	245,332	1,057,647	1,761,830
Allowance for loan and lease losses			(2,134)	(9,202)	(11,336)

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Net loans and leases		458,851	243,198	1,048,445	1,867,996
Accrued income and other assets	5,971	2,087	1,041	4,297	13,396
Total assets	\$ 5,971	\$ 487,662	\$ 265,115	\$ 1,122,644	\$ 1,998,894
Liabilities:					
Other long-term debt	\$	\$ 294,905	\$ 103,002	\$ 746,421	\$ 1,144,328
Accrued interest and other liabilities	5,100	590	214	173	6,077
Total liabilities	\$ 5,100	\$ 295,495	\$ 103,216	\$ 746,594	\$ 1,150,405

Table of Contents**Trust-Preferred Securities**

Huntington has certain wholly-owned trusts that are not consolidated. The trusts have been formed for the sole purpose of issuing trust-preferred securities, from which the proceeds are then invested in Huntington junior subordinated debentures, which are reflected in Huntington's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as subordinated notes. The trust securities are the obligations of the trusts and are not consolidated within Huntington's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. A list of trust-preferred securities outstanding at March 31, 2011, follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Rate	Principal amount of subordinated note/ debenture issued to trust (1)	Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary (2)
Huntington Capital I	1.00%(3)	\$ 138,816	\$ 6,186
Huntington Capital II	0.94(4)	60,093	3,093
Huntington Capital III	6.69	114,079	10
BancFirst Ohio Trust Preferred	8.54	23,234	619
Sky Financial Capital Trust I	8.52	64,402	1,856
Sky Financial Capital Trust II	3.24(5)	30,929	929
Sky Financial Capital Trust III	1.29(6)	77,401	2,320
Sky Financial Capital Trust IV	1.28(6)	77,402	2,320
Prospect Trust I	3.55(7)	6,186	186
Total		\$ 592,542	\$ 17,519

(1) Represents the principal amount of debentures issued to each trust, including unamortized original issue discount.

(2) Huntington's investment in the unconsolidated trusts represents the only risk of loss.

(3) Variable effective rate at March 31, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 0.70.

(4) Variable effective rate at March 31, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 0.625.

(5) Variable effective rate at March 31, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 2.95.

(6) Variable effective rate at March 31, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 1.40.

(7) Variable effective rate at March 31, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 3.25.

Each issue of the junior subordinated debentures has an interest rate equal to the corresponding trust securities distribution rate. Huntington has the right to defer payment of interest on the debentures at any time, or from time-to-time for a period not exceeding five years, provided that no extension period may extend beyond the stated maturity of the related debentures. During any such extension period, distributions to the trust securities will also be deferred and Huntington's ability to pay dividends on its common stock will be restricted. Periodic cash payments and payments upon liquidation or redemption with respect to trust securities are guaranteed by Huntington to the extent of funds held by the trusts. The guarantee ranks subordinate and junior in right of payment to all indebtedness of the Company to the same extent as the junior subordinated debt. The guarantee does not place a limitation on the amount of additional indebtedness that may be incurred by Huntington.

Low Income Housing Tax Credit Partnerships

Huntington makes certain equity investments in various limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital, to facilitate the sale of additional affordable housing product offerings, and to assist in achieving goals associated with the Community Reinvestment Act. The primary activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development, and operation of multi family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants. Generally, these types of investments are funded through a combination of debt and equity.

Huntington does not have majority ownership in the limited partnership interests in these entities and is not the primary beneficiary. Huntington uses the equity method to account for the majority of its investments in these entities. These investments are included in accrued income and other assets. At March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, Huntington had commitments of \$313.7 million, \$316.0 million, and \$289.3 million, respectively, of which \$259.1 million, \$260.1 million, and \$203.3 million, respectively, were funded. The unfunded portion is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

Table of Contents**15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES****Commitments to extend credit**

In the ordinary course of business, Huntington makes various commitments to extend credit that are not reflected in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The contractual amounts of these financial agreements at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, were as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Contract amount represents credit risk:			
Commitments to extend credit			
Commercial	\$ 6,233	\$ 5,933	\$ 5,664
Consumer	5,552	5,406	5,080
Commercial real estate	554	546	922
Standby letters of credit	580	607	557

Commitments to extend credit generally have fixed expiration dates, are variable-rate, and contain clauses that permit Huntington to terminate or otherwise renegotiate the contracts in the event of a significant deterioration in the customer's credit quality. These arrangements normally require the payment of a fee by the customer, the pricing of which is based on prevailing market conditions, credit quality, probability of funding, and other relevant factors. Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the contract amounts are not necessarily indicative of future cash requirements. The interest rate risk arising from these financial instruments is insignificant as a result of their predominantly short-term, variable-rate nature.

Standby letters-of-credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. Most of these arrangements mature within two years. The carrying amount of deferred revenue associated with these guarantees was \$1.7 million, \$2.2 million, and \$2.7 million at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Through the Company's credit process, Huntington monitors the credit risks of outstanding standby letters-of-credit. When it is probable that a standby letter-of-credit will be drawn and not repaid in full, losses are recognized in the provision for credit losses. At March 31, 2011, Huntington had \$0.6 billion of standby letters-of-credit outstanding, of which 77% were collateralized. Included in this \$0.6 billion total are letters-of-credit issued by the Bank that support securities that were issued by customers and remarketed by The Huntington Investment Company, the Company's broker-dealer subsidiary.

Huntington uses an internal grading system to assess an estimate of loss on its loan and lease portfolio. This same grading system is used to monitor credit risk associated with standby letters-of-credit. Under this grading system as of March 31, 2011, approximately \$66.6 million of the standby letters-of-credit were rated strong with sufficient asset quality, liquidity, and good debt capacity and coverage; approximately \$454.3 million were rated average with acceptable asset quality, liquidity, and modest debt capacity; and approximately \$59.5 million were rated substandard with negative financial trends, structural weaknesses, operating difficulties, and higher leverage.

Commercial letters-of-credit represent short-term, self-liquidating instruments that facilitate customer trade transactions and generally have maturities of no longer than 90 days. The goods or cargo being traded normally secures these instruments.

Commitments to sell loans

Huntington enters into forward contracts relating to its mortgage banking business to hedge the exposures from commitments to make new residential mortgage loans with existing customers and from mortgage loans classified as loans held for sale. At March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, Huntington had commitments to sell residential real estate loans of \$360.9 million, \$998.7 million, and \$600.9 million, respectively. These contracts mature in less than one year.

Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state, city and foreign jurisdictions. Federal income tax audits have been completed through 2007. Various state and other jurisdictions remain open to examination for tax years 2005 and forward.

The IRS and the Commonwealth of Kentucky have proposed adjustments to the Company's previously filed tax returns. Management believes the tax positions taken by the Company related to such proposed adjustments were correct and supported by applicable statutes, regulations, and judicial authority, and intends to vigorously defend them. It is possible the ultimate resolution of the proposed adjustments, if unfavorable, may be material to the results of operations in the period it occurs. However, although no assurance can be given, Management believes the resolution of these examinations will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position.

Table of Contents

Huntington accounts for uncertainties in income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes. At March 31, 2011, Huntington had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$14.5 million in income tax liability related to tax positions. Total interest accrued on the unrecognized tax benefits amounted to \$2.3 million as of March 31, 2011. Due to the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may result in a payment that is materially different from the current estimate of the tax liabilities. However, any ultimate settlement is not expected to be material to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as a whole. Huntington recognizes interest and penalties on income tax assessments or income tax refunds in the financial statements as a component of its provision for income taxes. Huntington does not anticipate the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change within the next 12 months.

Litigation

The nature of Huntington's business ordinarily results in a certain amount of claims, litigation, investigations, and legal and administrative cases and proceedings, all of which are considered incidental to the normal conduct of business. When the Company determines it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted, it vigorously defends itself. The Company will consider settlement of cases when, in Management's judgment, it is in the best interests of both the Company and its shareholders to do so.

On at least a quarterly basis, Huntington assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. For matters where it is probable the Company will incur a loss and the amount can be reasonably estimated, Huntington establishes an accrual for the loss. Once established, the accrual is adjusted as appropriate to reflect any relevant developments. For matters where a loss is not probable or the amount of the loss cannot be estimated, no accrual is established.

In certain cases, exposure to loss exists in excess of the accrual to the extent such loss is reasonably possible, but not probable. Management believes an estimate of the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses, in excess of amounts accrued, for current legal proceedings is from \$0 to approximately \$150.0 million at March 31, 2011. For certain other cases, Management cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss at this time. Any estimate involves significant judgment, given the varying stages of the proceedings (including the fact that many of them are currently in preliminary stages), the existence of multiple defendants in several of the current proceedings whose share of liability has yet to be determined, the numerous unresolved issues in many of the proceedings, and the inherent uncertainty of the various potential outcomes of such proceedings. Accordingly, Management's estimate will change from time-to-time, and actual losses may be more or less than the current estimate.

While the final outcome of legal proceedings is inherently uncertain, based on information currently available, advice of counsel, and available insurance coverage, Management believes that the amount it has already accrued is adequate and any incremental liability arising from the Company's legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position as a whole. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, if unfavorable, may be material to the Company's consolidated financial position in a particular period.

The Bank is a defendant in three lawsuits, which collectively may be material, arising from its commercial lending, depository, and equipment leasing relationships with Cyberco Holdings, Inc. (Cyberco), based in Grand Rapids, Michigan. In November 2004, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the IRS raided the Cyberco facilities and Cyberco's operations ceased. An equipment leasing fraud was uncovered, whereby Cyberco sought financing from equipment lessors and financial institutions, including the Bank, allegedly to purchase computer equipment from Teleservices Group, Inc. (Teleservices). Cyberco created fraudulent documentation to close the financing transactions while, in fact, no computer equipment was ever purchased or leased from Teleservices which proved to be a shell corporation.

On June 22, 2007, a complaint in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan (District Court) was filed by El Camino Resources, Ltd, ePlus Group, Inc., and Bank Midwest, N.A., all of whom had lending relationships with Teleservices, against Cyberco and the Bank, alleging that Cyberco defrauded plaintiffs and converted plaintiffs' property through various means in connection with the equipment leasing scheme and alleges that the Bank aided and abetted Cyberco in committing the alleged fraud and conversion. The complaint further alleges that the Bank's actions amounted to statutory conversion entitling one of the plaintiffs to recover \$1.9 million from the

Bank as a form of unjust enrichment. In addition, plaintiffs claimed direct damages of approximately \$32.0 million and additional consequential damages in excess of \$20.0 million. On July 1, 2010, the District Court issued an Opinion and Order adopting in full a federal magistrate's recommendation for summary judgment in favor of the Bank on all claims except the unjust enrichment claim, and a partial summary judgment was entered on July 1, 2010. The Bank has requested an opportunity to file a motion for summary judgment on the remaining unjust enrichment claim against it, and the plaintiffs have requested an opportunity to file a motion for reconsideration regarding the partial summary judgment entered against them. Pre-motion conferences have not yet been scheduled.

Table of Contents

The Bank is also involved with the Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings of both Cyberco, filed on December 9, 2004, and Teleservices, filed on January 21, 2005. The Cyberco bankruptcy trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against the Bank on December 8, 2006, seeking over \$70.0 million he alleges was transferred to the Bank. The Bank responded with a motion to dismiss and all but the preference claims were dismissed on January 29, 2008. The Cyberco bankruptcy trustee alleges preferential transfers in the amount of \$9.7 million. Since January 2008, the case has not progressed due, principally, to the adversary proceeding in the Teleservices bankruptcy case.

The Teleservices bankruptcy trustee filed an adversary proceeding against the Bank on January 19, 2007, seeking to avoid and recover alleged transfers that occurred in two ways: (1) checks made payable to the Bank to be applied to Cyberco's indebtedness to the Bank, and (2) deposits into Cyberco's bank accounts with the Bank. In her motion, the Teleservices bankruptcy trustee alleges the fraudulent transfers to the Bank totaled approximately \$73.0 million and seeks judgment in that amount (which includes the \$9.7 million alleged to be preferential transfers by the Cyberco bankruptcy trustee). On March 17, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court issued an Opinion determining the alleged transfers made to the Bank were not received in good faith from the time period of April 30, 2004, through November 2004, and that the Bank had failed to show a lack of knowledge of the avoidability of the alleged transfers from November 17, 2003, through April 30, 2004. The Teleservices bankruptcy trustee's affirmative case to establish the alleged fraudulent conveyances to the Bank remains to be established.

In the pending bankruptcy cases of Cyberco and Teleservices, the Bank moved to substantively consolidate the two bankruptcy estates, principally on the ground that Teleservices was the alter ego and a mere instrumentality of Cyberco at all times. On July 2, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court issued an Opinion denying the Bank's motions for substantive consolidation of the two bankruptcy estates. The Bank has appealed this ruling and the appeal is pending.

16. PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The parent company condensed financial statements, which include transactions with subsidiaries, are as follows.

Balance Sheets <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	\$ 566,516	\$ 615,167	\$ 1,090,753
Due from The Huntington National Bank	953,074	954,565	954,205
Due from non-bank subsidiaries	214,213	225,560	258,009
Investment in The Huntington National Bank	3,625,966	3,515,597	3,182,944
Investment in non-bank subsidiaries	804,054	790,248	825,108
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	117,616	110,181	168,807
Total assets	\$ 6,281,439	\$ 6,211,318	\$ 6,479,826
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity			
Short-term borrowings	\$ 100	\$ 100	\$ 691
Long-term borrowings	937,434	937,434	637,434
Dividends payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities	305,306	293,242	472,015
Total liabilities	1,242,840	1,230,776	1,110,140
Shareholders' equity (2)	5,038,599	4,980,542	5,369,686
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 6,281,439	\$ 6,211,318	\$ 6,479,826

(1) Includes restricted cash of \$125,000.

(2) See Huntington's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity.

Table of Contents

Statements of Income <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended	
	2011	March 31, 2010
Income		
Dividends from		
The Huntington National Bank	\$	\$
Non-bank subsidiaries	6,000	18,000
Interest from		
The Huntington National Bank	20,185	21,016
Non-bank subsidiaries	2,696	3,463
Other	601	1,697
Total income	29,482	44,176
Expense		
Personnel costs	4,755	1,037
Interest on borrowings	8,694	5,541
Other	9,565	12,693
Total expense	23,014	19,271
Income before income taxes and equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	6,468	24,905
Income taxes	2,036	15,849
Income before equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	4,432	9,056
Increase in undistributed net income of:		
The Huntington National Bank	118,116	40,167
Non-bank subsidiaries	3,898	(9,486)
Net income	\$ 126,446	\$ 39,737

Table of Contents

Statements of Cash Flows <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended	
	2011	March 31, 2010
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 126,446	\$ 39,737
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	(124,104)	(48,681)
Depreciation and amortization	186	255
Other, net	4,285	36,682
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	6,813	27,993
Investing activities		
Repayments from subsidiaries	19,091	19,471
Advances to subsidiaries	(9,200)	(301,211)
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	9,891	(281,740)
Financing activities		
Payment of borrowings		(600)
Dividends paid on preferred stock		(25,179)
Dividends paid on common stock	(16,321)	(7,144)
Redemption of Warrant to the Treasury	(49,100)	
Other, net	66	884
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(65,355)	(32,039)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(48,651)	(285,786)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	615,167	1,376,539
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 566,516	\$ 1,090,753
Supplemental disclosure:		
Interest paid	\$ 8,694	\$ 5,541

17. SEGMENT REPORTING

During the 2010 fourth quarter, Huntington reorganized our business segments to better align certain business unit reporting with segment executives to accelerate cross-sell results and provide greater focus on the execution of strategic plans. We have four major business segments: Retail and Business Banking, Regional and Commercial Banking, Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate, and Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending. A Treasury / Other function includes our insurance business and other unallocated assets, liabilities, revenue, and expense. All periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period classification.

Segment results are determined based upon the Company's management reporting system, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each of the business segments. The process is designed around the Company's organizational and management structure and, accordingly, the results derived are not necessarily comparable with similar information published by other financial institutions. A description of each segment and table of financial

results is presented below.

Retail and Business Banking: The Retail and Business Banking segment provides a wide array of financial products and services including but not limited to loans, deposits, investment, and treasury management services to our consumer and small business customers. Huntington serves customers primarily through our traditional banking network of over 600 branches as well as our convenience branches located in grocery stores and retirement centers in Ohio, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Indiana, West Virginia, and Kentucky. In addition to our extensive branch network, customers can access Huntington through online banking, mobile banking, 24-hour telephone banking, and over 1,300 ATMs.

Huntington has established a Fair Play banking philosophy and is building a reputation for meeting the banking needs of consumers in a manner which makes them feel supported and appreciated. In 2010, Huntington brought innovation to the checking account by providing consumers with a 24-hour grace period to correct a shortfall in an account and avoid the associated overdraft fees. Huntington believes customers are recognizing this and other efforts as key differentiators and it is earning us more customers and deeper relationships.

Table of Contents

Business Banking is a dynamic and growing part of Huntington's business and we are committed to being the bank of choice for small businesses in our markets. Business Banking is defined as companies with revenues less than \$15 million and consists of approximately 130,000 businesses. Huntington continues to develop products and services that are designed specifically to meet the needs of small business. Huntington continues to look for ways to help companies find solutions to their capital needs, from our program helping businesses that had struggled in the economic downturn but are now showing several quarters of profitability, to our participation in the Small Business Administration programs. As of March 31, 2011, the SBA reported Huntington ranked first in our footprint and third in the nation in the number of SBA loans originated for the first six months of the SBA fiscal year.

Regional and Commercial Banking: This segment provides a variety of banking products and services to customers within our primary banking markets that generally have larger credit exposures and sales revenues compared with our Retail and Business Banking customers. Huntington products in this segment include commercial loans, international trade, treasury management, leasing, capital market services including interest rate risk protection products, and mezzanine investment capabilities. Regional and Commercial Banking also focuses on financial solutions for corporate and institutional customers including investment banking, sales and trading of securities, and retirement plan services. The Regional and Commercial Banking team has significantly expanded its equipment leasing capabilities, as well as focused on serving the commercial banking needs of key verticals including not-for-profit organizations, healthcare entities, and large corporations. Commercial bankers personally deliver these products and services directly and with cross-segment product partners. Huntington consistently strives to develop extensive relationships with clients creating defined relationship plans which identify needs and offer solutions.

The primary focus for Regional and Commercial Banking is our ability to gain a deeper relationship with our existing customers and to increase our market share through our unique customer solution strategy. This includes a comprehensive cross-sell approach to capture the untapped opportunities within our customer and prospect community. This strategy embodies a shift from credit-only focus, to a total customer solution approach with an increasing share-of-wallet.

The Regional and Commercial Banking business model includes eleven regional markets driven by local execution. These markets are supported by expertise in large corporate and middle market segments, by capabilities in treasury management and equipment finance, and by vertical strategies within the healthcare and not-for-profit industries. The commercial portfolio includes a distribution across industries and segments which resembles the market demographics of our footprint. A strategic focus of Regional and Commercial Banking is to target underpenetrated markets within our footprint and capitalize on opportunities in industries such as not-for-profit and healthcare. In addition, Regional and Commercial Banking expanded the leadership, investment, and capabilities for treasury management and equipment finance. With our investments in treasury management, Huntington differentiated itself through our implementation experience and the speed at which products and services are delivered to our customers. In equipment finance, Huntington distinguished itself through aggressive business development and local service delivery and by strategically aligning with our bank partners to drive market share. The increase in originations during the current period reflected the strategic decision to enter three new markets: business aircraft finance, rail industry finance, and lender finance.

Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate: This segment provides lending and other banking products and services to customers outside of our normal retail and commercial banking segments. Our products and services are delivered through highly specialized relationship-focused bankers and our cross segment product partners. Huntington creates well-defined relationship plans which identify needs where solutions are developed and customer commitments are obtained.

The Automotive Finance team services automobile dealerships, its owners, and consumers buying automobiles through these dealerships. Huntington has provided new and used automobile financing and dealer services throughout the Midwest since the early 1950s. This consistency in the market and our focus on working with strong dealerships, has allowed us to actively deepen relationships while building a strong reputation. Huntington has a dominant market share position within our Midwest footprint as evidenced by a #1 share in two of our core states: Ohio and Kentucky (AutoCount 2010). The Automotive team serves customers within our footprint and we have recently expanded into the New England area.

The Commercial Real Estate team serves professional real estate developers, and Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs). Huntington has a clear focus on experienced, well-managed, well-capitalized top tier real estate developers who are capable of operating in all economic phases of the real estate industry. Most of our customers are located within our footprint.

Table of Contents

Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending: This segment consists of our wealth management, government banking, and home lending businesses. In wealth management, Huntington provides financial services to high net worth clients in our primary banking markets and Florida. Huntington Wealth Advisors delivers a comprehensive solution through a unified sales team providing private banking, investment, insurance, and trust services. Aligned with the eleven regional commercial banking markets, this coordinated service model delivers products and services directly and through the other segment product partners. A fundamental point of differentiation is our commitment to be in the market, working closely with clients and their other advisors to identify needs, offer solutions and provide ongoing advice in an optimal client experience.

The Government Finance Group provides financial products and services to government and other public sector entities in our primary banking markets. A locally based team of relationship managers works with clients to meet their public finance, brokerage, trust, lending, and treasury management needs.

Home Lending originates and services consumer loans and mortgages for customers who are generally located in our primary banking markets. Consumer and mortgage lending products are primarily distributed through the Retail and Business Banking segment, as well as through commissioned loan originators. Closely aligned, our Community Development group serves an important role as it focuses on delivering on our commitment to the communities Huntington serves.

The segment also includes the related businesses of investment management, investment servicing, custody, corporate trust and retirement plan services. Huntington Asset Advisors provides investment management services through a variety of internal and external channels, including advising the Huntington Funds, our proprietary family of funds. Huntington Asset Services offers administrative and operational support to fund complexes, including fund accounting, transfer agency, administration, and distribution services. Our retirement plan services business offers fully bundled and third party distribution of a variety of qualified and non-qualified plan solutions, and the national settlements business focuses on providing banking solutions to the litigation settlement market.

Listed below is certain operating basis financial information reconciled to Huntington's March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, reported results by business segment:

Income Statements <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,					Huntington Consolidated
	Retail & Business Banking	Regional & Commercial Banking	AFCRE	WGH	Treasury/ Other	
2011						
Net interest income	\$ 235,845	57,438	87,849	48,900	(25,702)	\$ 404,330
Provision for credit losses	23,694	5,969	4,784	14,938		49,385
Noninterest income	94,428	29,238	13,379	66,751	33,149	236,945
Noninterest expense	222,137	43,681	43,127	86,178	35,576	430,699
Income taxes	29,555	12,959	18,661	5,087	(31,517)	34,745
Operating/reported net income (loss)	\$ 54,887	\$ 24,067	\$ 34,656	\$ 9,448	\$ 3,388	\$ 126,446
2010						
Net interest income	\$ 203,405	\$ 50,831	77,044	37,927	24,686	\$ 393,893
Provision for credit losses	67,974	41,207	117,639	(3,311)	11,499	235,008
Noninterest income	94,645	25,393	17,101	70,211	33,502	240,852
Noninterest expense	214,777	35,554	39,025	83,875	24,862	398,093
Income taxes	5,355	(188)	(21,882)	9,651	(31,029)	(38,093)

Operating/reported net income (loss)	\$ 9,944	\$ (349)	\$ (40,637)	\$ 17,923	\$ 52,856	\$ 39,737
--------------------------------------	----------	----------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

	March 31, 2011	Assets at December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2011	Deposits at December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>						
Retail & Business Banking	\$ 13,155	\$ 13,088	\$ 13,111	\$ 28,984	\$ 29,298	\$ 28,273
Regional & Commercial Banking	8,801	8,720	7,973	3,589	3,538	2,987
AFCRE	13,149	13,233	12,611	804	753	687
WGH	6,461	6,971	6,150	7,363	7,449	7,178
Treasury / Other	11,383	11,808	12,022	626	816	1,178
Total	\$ 52,949	\$ 53,820	\$ 51,867	\$ 41,366	\$ 41,854	\$ 40,303

Table of Contents

Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures for the current period can be found in the Market Risk section of this report, which includes changes in market risk exposures from disclosures presented in Huntington's 2010 Form 10-K.

Item 4: Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Huntington maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Huntington's Management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of Huntington's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon such evaluation, Huntington's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, Huntington's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have not been any significant changes in Huntington's internal controls over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Huntington's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

In accordance with the instructions to Part II, the other specified items in this part have been omitted because they are not applicable or the information has been previously reported.

Item 1: Legal Proceedings

Information required by this item is set forth in Note 15 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this report and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A: Risk Factors

Information required by this item is set forth in Part 1 Item 2.- Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Index

This report incorporates by reference the documents listed below that we have previously filed with the SEC. The SEC allows us to incorporate by reference information in this document. The information incorporated by reference is considered to be a part of this document, except for any information that is superseded by information that is included directly in this document.

This information may be read and copied at the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The SEC also maintains an Internet web site that contains reports, proxy statements, and other information about issuers, like us, who file electronically with the SEC. The address of the site is <http://www.sec.gov>. The reports and other information filed by us with the SEC are also available at our Internet web site. The address of the site is <http://www.huntington.com>. Except as specifically incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, information on those web sites is not part of this report. You also should be able to inspect reports, proxy statements, and other information about us at the offices of the NASDAQ National Market at 33 Whitehall Street, New York, New York.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Document Description	Report or Registration Statement	SEC File or Registration Number	Exhibit Reference
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated December 20, 2006 by and among Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, Penguin Acquisition, LLC and Sky Financial Group, Inc.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2006.	000-02525	2.1
3.1	Articles of Restatement of Charter.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993.	000-02525	3(i)
3.2	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Restatement of Charter.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 31, 2007	000-02525	3.1
3.3	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Restatement of Charter.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 7, 2008	000-02525	3.1
3.4	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Restatement of Charter.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 27, 2010	001-34073	3.1
3.5	Articles Supplementary of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as of April 22, 2008.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 22, 2008	000-02525	3.1
3.6	Articles Supplementary of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as of April 22, 2008.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 22, 2008	000-02525	3.2
3.7	Articles Supplementary of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as of November 12, 2008.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2008	001-34073	3.1
3.8	Articles Supplementary of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as of December 31, 2006.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006	000-02525	3.4
3.9	Bylaws of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as amended and restated, as of April 22, 2010.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 27, 2010.	001-34073	3.2
4.1	Instruments defining the Rights of Security Holders reference is made to Articles Fifth, Eighth, and Tenth of Articles of Restatement of Charter, as amended and supplemented. Instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt will be furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.			
10.1	* Second amendment to the 2007 Stock and Long-Term Incentive Plan	Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2010	001-34073	A

10.2	* Form of Executive Agreement for certain executive officers	Annual Meeting of Shareholders Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 30, 2010	001-34073	10.2
12.1	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.			
12.2	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.			
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification Chief Executive Officer.			
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification Chief Financial Officer.			
32.1	Section 1350 Certification Chief Executive Officer.			
32.2	Section 1350 Certification Chief Financial Officer.			
101**	The following material from Huntington's Form 10-Q Report for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders Equity, (iv) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.			

* Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Furnished, not filed.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
(Registrant)

Date: April 29, 2011

/s/ Stephen D. Steinour
Stephen D. Steinour
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

Date: April 29, 2011

/s/ Donald R. Kimble
Donald R. Kimble
Sr. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer