

FNB CORP/FL/
Form 10-Q
August 05, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-31940

F.N.B. CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Florida
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

25-1255406
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

One F.N.B. Boulevard,
Hermitage, PA
(Address of principal executive offices)

16148
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

724-981-6000

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated Filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class
Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value

Outstanding at July 31, 2011
127,024,866 Shares

Table of Contents

F.N.B. CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

June 30, 2011

INDEX

	PAGE
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	43
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	62
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	62
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	63
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	63
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	64
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	64
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	64
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	64
<u>Signatures</u>	65

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

Dollars in thousands, except par value

	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 172,401	\$ 115,556
Interest bearing deposits with banks	16,732	16,015
Cash and Cash Equivalents	189,133	131,571
Securities available for sale	820,847	738,125
Securities held to maturity (fair value of \$1,038,434 and \$959,414)	1,010,672	940,481
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	9,922	12,700
Loans, net of unearned income of \$45,019 and \$42,183	6,702,595	6,088,155
Allowance for loan losses	(109,224)	(106,120)
Net Loans	6,593,371	5,982,035
Premises and equipment, net	126,061	115,956
Goodwill	567,378	528,720
Core deposit and other intangible assets, net	34,580	32,428
Bank owned life insurance	208,714	208,051
Other assets	296,485	269,848
Total Assets	\$ 9,857,163	\$ 8,959,915
Liabilities		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing demand	\$ 1,267,554	\$ 1,093,230
Savings and NOW	3,853,257	3,423,844
Certificates and other time deposits	2,276,408	2,129,069
Total Deposits	7,397,219	6,646,143
Other liabilities	103,492	97,951
Short-term borrowings	728,300	753,603
Long-term debt	221,061	192,058
Junior subordinated debt	203,941	204,036
Total Liabilities	8,654,013	7,893,791
Stockholders Equity		
Common stock \$0.01 par value		
Authorized 500,000,000 shares		
Issued 127,240,016 and 114,902,454 shares	1,267	1,143
Additional paid-in capital	1,219,663	1,094,713
Retained earnings	16,348	6,564
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(30,716)	(33,732)
Treasury stock 215,117 and 155,369 shares at cost	(3,412)	(2,564)
Total Stockholders Equity	1,203,150	1,066,124

Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 9,857,163	\$ 8,959,915
--	--------------	--------------

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

Unaudited

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest Income				
Loans, including fees	\$ 85,189	\$ 81,092	\$ 169,899	\$ 160,378
Securities:				
Taxable	10,975	11,323	21,489	22,576
Nontaxable	1,882	1,819	3,829	3,710
Dividends	12	18	131	37
Other	97	109	178	206
Total Interest Income	98,155	94,361	195,526	186,907
Interest Expense				
Deposits	14,054	16,776	28,649	34,330
Short-term borrowings	1,634	2,031	3,467	4,162
Long-term debt	1,655	2,091	3,283	4,637
Junior subordinated debt	2,118	1,982	4,150	3,892
Total Interest Expense	19,461	22,880	39,549	47,021
Net Interest Income	78,694	71,481	155,977	139,886
Provision for loan losses	8,551	12,239	16,779	24,203
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	70,143	59,242	139,198	115,683
Non-Interest Income				
Impairment losses on securities		(1,313)		(9,539)
Non-credit related losses on securities not expected to be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income)		711		7,251
Net impairment losses on securities		(602)		(2,288)
Service charges	15,666	14,662	30,001	28,384
Insurance commissions and fees	3,664	3,849	7,810	8,173
Securities commissions and fees	2,130	1,771	4,102	3,328
Trust fees	3,947	3,188	7,657	6,346
Gain on sale of securities	38	47	92	2,437
Gain on sale of residential mortgage loans	376	808	1,143	1,375
Bank owned life insurance	1,372	1,247	2,604	2,312
Other	2,065	3,473	4,281	8,651
Total Non-Interest Income	29,258	28,443	57,690	58,718
Non-Interest Expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	36,528	33,392	74,910	66,517
Net occupancy	5,060	4,840	10,970	10,378
Equipment	4,925	4,606	9,400	9,139

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Amortization of intangibles	1,805	1,679	3,601	3,366
Outside services	5,377	5,885	10,577	11,407
FDIC insurance	1,870	2,641	4,589	5,263
Merger related	161		4,307	
Other	12,643	10,041	24,572	22,457
Total Non-Interest Expense	68,369	63,084	142,926	128,527
Income Before Income Taxes	31,032	24,601	53,962	45,874
Income taxes	8,670	6,679	14,425	11,972
Net Income	\$ 22,362	\$ 17,922	\$ 39,537	\$ 33,902
Net Income per Share Basic	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.30
Net Income per Share Diluted	0.18	0.16	0.32	0.30
Cash Dividends per Share	0.12	0.12	0.24	0.24
See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements				

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

Unaudited

	Compre- hensive Income	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Total
Balance at January 1, 2011		\$ 1,143	\$ 1,094,713	\$ 6,564	\$ (33,732)	\$ (2,564)	\$ 1,066,124
Net income	\$ 39,537			39,537			39,537
Change in other comprehensive income, net of tax	3,016				3,016		3,016
Comprehensive income	\$ 42,553						
Common stock dividends (\$0.24/share)				(29,753)			(29,753)
Issuance of common stock		124	123,180			(848)	122,456
Restricted stock compensation			1,832				1,832
Tax expense of stock-based compensation			(62)				(62)
Balance at June 30, 2011		\$ 1,267	\$ 1,219,663	\$ 16,348	\$ (30,716)	\$ (3,412)	\$ 1,203,150
Balance at January 1, 2010		\$ 1,138	\$ 1,087,369	\$ (12,833)	\$ (30,633)	\$ (1,739)	\$ 1,043,302
Net income	\$ 33,902			33,902			33,902
Change in other comprehensive income, net of tax	5,275				5,275		5,275
Comprehensive income	\$ 39,177						
Common stock dividends (\$0.24/share)				(27,584)			(27,584)
Issuance of common stock		3	2,671			(778)	1,896
Restricted stock compensation			1,418				1,418
Tax expense of stock-based compensation			(205)				(205)
Balance at June 30, 2010		\$ 1,141	\$ 1,091,253	\$ (6,515)	\$ (25,358)	\$ (2,517)	\$ 1,058,004

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

Dollars in thousands

Unaudited

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 39,537	\$ 33,902
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	11,867	15,238
Provision for loan losses	16,779	24,203
Deferred taxes	2,183	(1,457)
Gain on sale of securities	(92)	(2,437)
Other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities		2,288
Tax expense of stock-based compensation	62	205
Net change in:		
Interest receivable	1,312	866
Interest payable	(620)	(923)
Trading securities	110,490	
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	2,778	5,522
Bank owned life insurance	(638)	(1,624)
Other, net	17,588	4,735
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	201,246	80,518
Investing Activities		
Net change in loans	(226,196)	(145,861)
Securities available for sale:		
Purchases	(138,672)	(261,012)
Sales	10,883	59,455
Maturities	162,150	163,233
Securities held to maturity:		
Purchases	(299,545)	(195,733)
Maturities	117,207	116,277
Purchase of bank owned life insurance	(26)	(22)
Increase in premises and equipment	(6,843)	(3,393)
Net cash received in business combinations	23,375	
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(357,667)	(267,056)
Financing Activities		
Net change in:		
Non-interest bearing deposits, savings and NOW accounts	288,317	144,500
Time deposits	(79,887)	9,936
Short-term borrowings	(50,414)	66,275
Increase in long-term debt	37,592	64,795
Decrease in long-term debt	(17,864)	(183,838)
Decrease in junior subordinated debt	(95)	(338)

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	66,148	3,314
Tax expense of stock-based compensation	(62)	(205)
Cash dividends paid	(29,752)	(27,584)
Net cash flows provided by financing activities	213,983	76,855
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	57,562	(109,683)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	131,571	310,550
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 189,133	\$ 200,867

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Dollars in thousands, except share data

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2011

BUSINESS

F.N.B. Corporation (the Corporation) is a diversified financial services company headquartered in Hermitage, Pennsylvania. Its primary businesses include community banking, consumer finance, wealth management and insurance. The Corporation also conducts commercial leasing and merchant banking activities. The Corporation operates its community banking business through a full service branch network in Pennsylvania and Ohio and through a loan production office in Pennsylvania. The Corporation operates its wealth management and insurance businesses within the existing branch network. It also conducts selected consumer finance business in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Corporation's accompanying consolidated financial statements and these notes to the financial statements include subsidiaries in which the Corporation has a controlling financial interest. The Corporation owns and operates First National Bank of Pennsylvania (FNBPA), First National Trust Company, First National Investment Services Company, LLC, F.N.B. Investment Advisors, Inc., First National Insurance Agency, LLC, Regency Finance Company (Regency), F.N.B. Capital Corporation, LLC and Bank Capital Services, LLC, and includes results for each of these entities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all adjustments that are necessary, in the opinion of management, to fairly reflect the Corporation's financial position and results of operations in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. Events occurring subsequent to the date of the balance sheet have been evaluated for potential recognition or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements through the date of the filing of the consolidated financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. The interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of operating results the Corporation expects for the full year. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The accounting and reporting policies of the Corporation conform with GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant changes include the allowance for loan losses, securities valuations, goodwill and other intangible assets and income taxes.

COMMON STOCK

On May 18, 2011, the Corporation completed a public offering of 6,037,500 shares of common stock at a price of \$10.70 per share, including 787,500 shares of common stock purchased by the underwriters pursuant to an over-allotment option, which the underwriters exercised in full. The net proceeds of the offering after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses were \$62,803.

Table of Contents**MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS**

On January 1, 2011, the Corporation completed its acquisition of Comm Bancorp, Inc. (CBI), a bank holding company based in Clarks Summit, Pennsylvania. On the acquisition date, CBI had \$625,570 in assets, which included \$445,271 in loans, and \$561,796 in deposits. The transaction, valued at \$75,547, resulted in the Corporation paying \$17,202 in cash and issuing 5,940,742 shares of its common stock in exchange for 1,719,820 shares of CBI common stock. The assets and liabilities of CBI were recorded on the Corporation's balance sheet at their fair values as of January 1, 2011, the acquisition date, and CBI's results of operations have been included in the Corporation's consolidated statement of income since that date. CBI's banking affiliate, Community Bank and Trust Company, was merged into FNBPA on January 1, 2011. Based on a preliminary purchase price allocation, the Corporation recorded \$38,658 in goodwill and \$4,785 in core deposit intangible as a result of the acquisition. The Corporation has not yet finalized its determination of the fair values of certain acquired assets and liabilities and will adjust goodwill upon completion of the valuation process. None of the goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes.

Pending Acquisition

On June 15, 2011, the Corporation announced the signing of a definitive merger agreement to acquire Parkvale Financial Corporation (PFC), a savings and loan holding company with approximately \$1,800,000 in assets based in Monroeville, Pennsylvania. The transaction is valued at approximately \$130,000. Under the terms of the merger agreement, PFC shareholders will be entitled to receive 2.178 shares of F.N.B. Corporation common stock for each share of PFC common stock. PFC's banking affiliate, Parkvale Savings Bank, will be merged into FNBPA. The transaction is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2012, pending regulatory approvals, the approval of shareholders of PFC and the satisfaction of other closing conditions.

Acquired Loans

Loans acquired in acquisitions after December 31, 2010 are recorded at fair value with no carryover of the related allowance for loan losses. Determining the fair value of the loans involves estimating the amount and timing of principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected on the loans and discounting those cash flows at a market rate of interest.

The excess of expected cash flows at acquisition over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loan. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the expected cash flows to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the non-accretable yield. The non-accretable yield represents estimated future credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loan. Subsequent decreases in expected cash flows that are attributable, at least in part, to credit quality are recognized as impairments through a charge to the provision for loan losses resulting in an increase in the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent improvements in expected cash flows result in an increase to the accretable yield that is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loan using the interest method. The Corporation's evaluation of the amount of future cash flows that it expects to collect is performed in a similar manner as that used to determine its allowance for loan losses. Charge-offs of the principal amount on acquired loans would be first applied to the non-accretable discount portion of the fair value adjustment.

Acquired loans that met the criteria for non-accrual of interest prior to acquisition may be considered performing upon acquisition, regardless of whether the customer is contractually delinquent, if the Corporation can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of the expected cash flows on such loans and if the Corporation expects to fully collect the new carrying value of the loans. As such, the Corporation may no longer consider the loan to be non-accrual or non-performing and may accrue interest on these loans, including the impact of any accretable discount.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS*Comprehensive Income*

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income*, with the intention of increasing the prominence of other comprehensive income in the financial statements. The FASB has eliminated the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and will require it be presented either in a single continuous

Table of Contents

statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The single statement format would include the traditional income statement and the components and total other comprehensive income as well as total comprehensive income. In the two statement approach, the first statement would be the traditional income statement which would immediately be followed by a separate statement which includes the components of other comprehensive income, total other comprehensive income and total comprehensive income. These requirements should be applied retrospectively and are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

Amendments to Fair Value Measurements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurements*, to improve the comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). The amendments explain how to measure fair value. They do not require additional fair value measurements and are not intended to establish valuation standards or affect valuation practices. The amendments result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in GAAP and IFRSs. Some of the amendments clarify the application of existing fair value measurement requirements and others change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. Many of the previous fair value requirements are not changed by this standard. The amendments in this standard are to be applied prospectively and are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, *A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*, to address diversity in practice concerning determining whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. This update specifies that in evaluating whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude both that a concession has been granted by the creditor and that the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. Also, ASU No. 2011-02 provides clarifying guidance in determining whether a concession has been granted and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. In addition, the update precludes a creditor from using the effective interest rate test in the debtor's guidance on restructuring of payables when evaluating whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring. These requirements are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to restructurings made during the period from the beginning of the annual period of adoption to the date of adoption. Adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, *Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations*, to address diversity in practice concerning pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. This update specifies that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The update also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination(s) included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. These requirements are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, *Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*, to provide financial statement users with greater transparency about credit quality of financing receivables and allowance for credit losses. This update requires additional disclosures as of the end of a reporting period and additional disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period that will assist financial statement users in assessing credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses.

Table of Contents

The additional disclosures are required to be provided on a disaggregated basis. ASU No. 2010-20 defines two levels of disaggregation and provides additional implementation guidance to determine the appropriate level of disaggregation of information. The disclosures should facilitate evaluation of the nature of the credit risk inherent in a portfolio of financing receivables, how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses.

The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period were effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010 and are included in this Report. Adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the financial statements, results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.

SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of securities are as follows:

Securities Available For Sale:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
June 30, 2011				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 332,046	\$ 1,329	\$ (91)	\$ 333,284
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	234,465	6,683		241,148
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	184,665	2,320		186,985
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	34	1		35
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	43,910	1,048	(1)	44,957
Collateralized debt obligations	19,288		(12,683)	6,605
Other debt securities	6,859		(913)	5,946
Total debt securities	821,267	11,381	(13,688)	818,960
Equity securities	1,593	332	(38)	1,887
	\$ 822,860	\$ 11,713	\$ (13,726)	\$ 820,847
December 31, 2010				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 299,861	\$ 1,395	\$ (688)	\$ 300,568
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	205,443	6,064		211,507
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	146,977	1,081	(192)	147,866
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	37	1		38
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	57,830	934	(26)	58,738
Collateralized debt obligations	19,288		(13,314)	5,974
Other debt securities	12,989		(1,744)	11,245
Total debt securities	742,425	9,475	(15,964)	735,936
Equity securities	1,867	381	(59)	2,189
	\$ 744,292	\$ 9,856	\$ (16,023)	\$ 738,125

Table of Contents

Securities Held To Maturity:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
June 30, 2011				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 4,666	\$ 229	\$	\$ 4,895
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	758,325	26,724	(1,556)	783,493
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	62,673	609	(164)	63,118
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	28,347	243	(908)	27,682
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	152,574	3,383	(311)	155,646
Collateralized debt obligations	2,502		(508)	1,994
Other debt securities	1,585	25	(4)	1,606
	\$ 1,010,672	\$ 31,213	\$ (3,451)	\$ 1,038,434
December 31, 2010				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 4,925	\$ 212	\$	\$ 5,137
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	688,575	23,878	(3,079)	709,374
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	71,102	511	(889)	70,724
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	33,950	328	(1,331)	32,947
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	137,210	1,735	(1,630)	137,315
Collateralized debt obligations	3,132		(778)	2,354
Other debt securities	1,587	18	(42)	1,563
	\$ 940,481	\$ 26,682	\$ (7,749)	\$ 959,414

The Corporation classifies securities as trading securities when management intends to sell such securities in the near term. Such securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains (losses) reflected through the consolidated statement of income. The Corporation acquired securities in conjunction with the CBI acquisition that the Corporation classified as trading securities. The Corporation both acquired and sold these trading securities during the first quarter of 2011. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Corporation did not hold any trading securities.

Gross gains and gross losses were realized on sales of securities as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Gross gains	\$ 38	\$ 47	\$ 288	\$ 2,437
Gross losses			(196)	
	\$ 38	\$ 47	\$ 92	\$ 2,437

The gross gains for the six months ended June 30, 2010 included a gain of \$2,291 relating to the sale of a \$6,016 U.S. government agency security and \$52,625 of mortgage backed securities. These securities were sold to better position the balance sheet.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2011, the amortized cost and fair value of securities, by contractual maturities, were as follows:

	Available for Sale		Held to Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 40,243	\$ 40,720	\$ 6,339	\$ 6,438
Due from one to five years	289,370	290,091	16,728	17,443
Due from five to ten years	12,671	12,996	36,047	36,875
Due after ten years	59,819	46,985	102,213	103,385
	402,103	390,792	161,327	164,141
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities	234,465	241,148	758,325	783,493
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	184,665	186,985	62,673	63,118
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	34	35	28,347	27,682
Equity securities	1,593	1,887		
	\$ 822,860	\$ 820,847	\$ 1,010,672	\$ 1,038,434

Maturities may differ from contractual terms because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties. Periodic payments are received on mortgage-backed securities based on the payment patterns of the underlying collateral.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, securities with a carrying value of \$705,270 and \$651,299, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, trust deposits and for other purposes as required by law. Securities with a carrying value of \$576,986 and \$676,083 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, were pledged as collateral for short-term borrowings.

Following are summaries of the fair values and unrealized losses of securities, segregated by length of impairment:

Securities available for sale:

	Less than 12 Months		Greater than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2011						
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 57,646	\$ (91)	\$	\$	\$ 57,646	\$ (91)
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	1,193	(1)			1,193	(1)
Collateralized debt obligations			6,605	(12,683)	6,605	(12,683)
Other debt securities			5,946	(913)	5,946	(913)
Equity securities	28	(1)	636	(37)	664	(38)
	\$ 58,867	\$ (93)	\$ 13,187	\$ (13,633)	\$ 72,054	\$ (13,726)

December 31, 2010

U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 117,140	\$ (688)	\$	\$	\$ 117,140	\$ (688)
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	22,616	(192)			22,616	(192)
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	3,322	(26)			3,322	(26)
Collateralized debt obligations			5,974	(13,314)	5,974	(13,314)
Other debt securities	4,024	(62)	7,221	(1,682)	11,245	(1,744)

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Equity securities			648	(59)	648	(59)
	\$ 147,102	\$ (968)	\$ 13,843	\$ (15,055)	\$ 160,945	\$ (16,023)

Table of Contents

Securities held to maturity:

	Less than 12 Months		Greater than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2011						
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$ 180,079	\$ (1,556)	\$	\$	\$ 180,079	\$ (1,556)
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	27,885	(164)			27,885	(164)
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations			9,395	(908)	9,395	(908)
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	16,519	(311)			16,519	(311)
Collateralized debt obligations			1,994	(508)	1,994	(508)
Other debt securities			1,324	(4)	1,324	(4)
	\$ 224,483	\$ (2,031)	\$ 12,713	\$ (1,420)	\$ 237,196	\$ (3,451)

December 31, 2010

Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$ 156,544	\$ (3,079)	\$	\$	\$ 156,544	\$ (3,079)
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations	39,074	(889)			39,074	(889)
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	2,551	(12)	10,739	(1,319)	13,290	(1,331)
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions	47,125	(1,415)	2,319	(215)	49,444	(1,630)
Collateralized debt obligations			2,354	(778)	2,354	(778)
Other debt securities			1,288	(42)	1,288	(42)
	\$ 245,294	\$ (5,395)	\$ 16,700	\$ (2,354)	\$ 261,994	\$ (7,749)

As of June 30, 2011, securities with unrealized losses for less than 12 months include 4 investments in U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations, 17 investments in residential mortgage-backed securities (15 investments in agency mortgage-backed securities and 2 investments in agency collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)), 15 investments in states of the U.S. and political subdivisions and 1 investment in an equity security. Securities with unrealized losses of greater than 12 months include 2 investments in residential mortgage-backed securities (non-agency CMOs), 13 investments in collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), 5 investments in other debt securities and 2 investments in equity securities as of June 30, 2011. The Corporation does not intend to sell the debt securities and it is not more likely than not the Corporation will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis.

The Corporation's unrealized losses on CDOs relate to investments in trust preferred securities (TPS). The Corporation's portfolio of TPS consists of single-issuer and pooled securities. The single-issuer securities are primarily from money-center and large regional banks. The pooled securities consist of securities issued primarily by banks and thrifts, with some of the pools including a limited number of insurance companies. Investments in pooled securities are all in mezzanine tranches except for one investment in a senior tranche, and are secured by over-collateralization or default protection provided by subordinated tranches. The non-credit portion of unrealized losses on investments in TPS is attributable to temporary illiquidity and the uncertainty affecting these markets, as well as changes in interest rates.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

The Corporation evaluates its investment securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on a quarterly basis. Impairment is assessed at the individual security level. The Corporation considers an investment security impaired if the fair value of the security is less than its cost or amortized cost basis.

When impairment of an equity security is considered to be other-than-temporary, the security is written down to its fair value and an impairment loss is recorded as a loss within non-interest income in the consolidated statement of income. When impairment of a debt security is considered to be other-than-temporary, the amount of the OTTI recorded as a loss within non-interest income and thereby recognized in earnings depends on whether the Corporation intends to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis.

Table of Contents

If the Corporation intends to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and its fair value.

If the Corporation does not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not the Corporation will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, OTTI shall be separated into the amount representing credit loss and the amount related to all other market factors. The amount related to credit loss shall be recognized in earnings. The amount related to other market factors shall be recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

The Corporation performs its OTTI evaluation process in a consistent and systematic manner and includes an evaluation of all available evidence. Documentation of the process is as extensive as necessary to support a conclusion as to whether a decline in fair value below cost or amortized cost is temporary or other-than-temporary and includes documentation supporting both observable and unobservable inputs and a rationale for conclusions reached. In making these determinations for pooled TPS, the Corporation consults with third-party advisory firms to provide additional valuation assistance.

This process considers factors such as the severity, length of time and anticipated recovery period of the impairment, recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, recent events specific to the issuer, including investment downgrades by rating agencies and economic conditions in its industry, and the issuer's financial condition, repayment capacity, capital strength and near-term prospects.

For debt securities, the Corporation also considers the payment structure of the debt security, the likelihood of the issuer being able to make future payments, failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest and principal payments, whether the Corporation has made a decision to sell the security and whether the Corporation's cash or working capital requirements or contractual or regulatory obligations indicate that the debt security will be required to be sold before a forecasted recovery occurs. For equity securities, the Corporation also considers its intent and ability to retain the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery in fair value. Among the factors that the Corporation considers in determining its intent and ability to retain the security is a review of its capital adequacy, interest rate risk position and liquidity. The assessment of a security's ability to recover any decline in fair value, the ability of the issuer to meet contractual obligations, the Corporation's intent and ability to retain the security, and whether it is more likely than not the Corporation will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis require considerable judgment.

Debt securities with credit ratings below AA at the time of purchase that are repayment-sensitive securities are evaluated using the guidance of ASC Topic 325, *Investments - Other*. All other securities are required to be evaluated under ASC Topic 320, *Investments - Debt Securities*.

The Corporation invested in TPS issued by special purpose vehicles (SPVs) which hold pools of collateral consisting of trust preferred and subordinated debt securities issued by banks, bank holding companies, thrifts and insurance companies. The securities issued by the SPVs are generally segregated into several classes known as tranches. Typically, the structure includes senior, mezzanine and equity tranches. The equity tranche represents the first loss position. The Corporation generally holds interests in mezzanine tranches. Interest and principal collected from the collateral held by the SPVs are distributed with a priority that provides the highest level of protection to the senior-most tranches. In order to provide a high level of protection to the senior tranches, cash flows are diverted to higher-level tranches if the principal and interest coverage tests are not met.

The Corporation prices its holdings of TPS using Level 3 inputs in accordance with ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, and guidance issued by the SEC. In this regard, the Corporation evaluates current available information in estimating the future cash flows of these securities and determines whether there have been favorable or adverse changes in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously projected. The Corporation considers the structure and term of the pool and the financial condition of the underlying issuers. Specifically, the evaluation incorporates factors such as over-collateralization and interest coverage tests, interest rates and appropriate risk premiums, the timing and amount of interest and principal payments and the allocation of payments to the various tranches. Current estimates of cash flows are based on the most recent trustee reports, announcements of deferrals or defaults, and assumptions regarding expected future default rates, prepayment and recovery rates and other relevant information. In constructing these assumptions, the Corporation considers the following:

Table of Contents

that current defaults would have no recovery;

that some individually analyzed deferrals will cure at rates varying from 10% to 90% after the deferral period ends;

recent historical performance metrics, including profitability, capital ratios, loan charge-offs and loan reserve ratios, for the underlying institutions that would indicate a higher probability of default by the institution;

that institutions identified as possessing a higher probability of default would recover at a rate of 10% for banks and 15% for insurance companies;

that financial performance of the financial sector continues to be affected by the economic environment resulting in an expectation of additional deferrals and defaults in the future;

whether the security is currently deferring interest; and

the external rating of the security and recent changes to its external rating.

The primary evidence utilized by the Corporation is the level of current deferrals and defaults, the level of excess subordination that allows for receipt of full principal and interest, the credit rating for each security and the likelihood that future deferrals and defaults will occur at a level that will fully erode the excess subordination based on an assessment of the underlying collateral. The Corporation combines the results of these factors considered in estimating the future cash flows of these securities to determine whether there has been an adverse change in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously projected.

The Corporation's portfolio of trust preferred CDOs consists of 13 pooled issues and five single issue securities. One of the pooled issues is a senior tranche; the remaining 12 are mezzanine tranches. At June 30, 2011, the 13 pooled TPS had an estimated fair value of \$8,599 while the single-issuer TPS had an estimated fair value of \$7,271. The Corporation has concluded from the analysis performed at June 30, 2011 that it is probable that the Corporation will collect all contractual principal and interest payments on all of its single-issuer and pooled TPS sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis of the securities.

The Corporation did not record any impairment losses on securities for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The Corporation recognized net impairment losses on securities of \$2,288 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 due to the write-down of securities that the Corporation deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

At June 30, 2011, all 12 of the pooled trust preferred security investments on which OTTI has been recognized are classified as non-performing investments.

The following table presents a summary of the cumulative credit-related OTTI charges recognized as components of earnings for securities for which a portion of an OTTI is recognized in other comprehensive income:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Beginning balance of the amount related to credit loss for which a portion of OTTI was recognized in other comprehensive income	\$ (18,332)	\$ (16,051)
Additions related to credit loss for securities with previously recognized OTTI		(2,235)
Additions related to credit loss for securities with initial OTTI		(46)

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Ending balance of the amount related to credit loss for which a portion of OTTI was recognized in other comprehensive income	\$ (18,332)	\$ (18,332)
--	-------------	-------------

TPS continue to experience price volatility as the secondary market for such securities remains limited. Write-downs in 2010 were based on the individual securities' credit performance and its ability to make its contractual principal and interest payments. Should credit quality deteriorate to a greater extent than projected, it is possible that additional write-downs may be required. The Corporation monitors actual deferrals and defaults as well as expected future deferrals and defaults to determine if there is a high probability for expected losses and contractual shortfalls of interest or principal, which could warrant further impairment. The Corporation evaluates its entire portfolio each quarter to determine if additional write-downs are warranted.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information relating to the Corporation's TPS as of June 30, 2011:

Deal Name	Class	Current Par Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Lowest Credit Ratings	Number of Issuers Currently Performing	Actual Defaults	Actual Deferrals	Projected Recovery Rates	Expected Defaults (%)
								(as a percent of original collateral)	(as a percent of original collateral)	on Current Deferrals (1)	
Pooled TPS:											
P1	C1	\$ 5,500	\$ 2,266	\$ 1,044	\$ (1,222)	C	42	20	19	37	10
P2	C1	4,889	2,746	780	(1,966)	C	41	14	20	31	12
P3	C1	5,561	4,218	1,494	(2,724)	C	51	12	6	18	14
P4	C1	3,994	2,852	860	(1,992)	C	51	15	9	34	13
P5	MEZ	483	358	197	(161)	C	16	19	13	63	11
P6	MEZ	1,909	1,087	589	(498)	C	21	17	19	35	9
P7	B3	2,000	726	302	(424)	C	21	29	9	34	9
P8	B1	3,028	2,386	816	(1,570)	C	50	14	22	38	13
P9	C	5,048	756	154	(602)	C	33	14	32	41	14
P10	C	507	461	84	(377)	C	50	13	14	29	11
P11	C	2,010	787	114	(673)	C	41	15	16	29	12
P12	A4L	2,000	645	171	(474)	C	25	16	23	51	13
<i>Total OTTI</i>		36,929	19,288	6,605	(12,683)		442	16	16	36	12
P13 (3)	SNR	2,384	2,502	1,994	(508)	BBB	18	13	16	37	9
<i>Total Not OTTI</i>		2,384	2,502	1,994	(508)		18	13	16	37	9
Total Pooled TPS		\$ 39,313	\$ 21,790	\$ 8,599	\$ (13,191)		460	16	16	36	12
Single Issuer TPS:											
S1		\$ 2,000	\$ 1,948	\$ 1,612	\$ (336)	BB+	1				
S2		2,000	1,912	1,641	(271)	BBB+	1				
S3		2,000	2,000	1,917	(83)	B+	1				
S4		1,000	999	777	(222)	BB+	1				
S5		1,300	1,328	1,324	(4)	BB+	1				
Total Single Issuer TPS		\$ 8,300	\$ 8,187	\$ 7,271	\$ (916)		5				
Total TPS		\$ 47,613	\$ 29,977	\$ 15,870	\$ (14,107)		465				

- (1) Some current deferrals will cure at rates varying from 10% to 90% after five years.
- (2) Expected future defaults as a percent of remaining performing collateral.
- (3) Excess subordination represents the additional defaults in excess of both current and projected defaults that the CDO can absorb before the bond experiences any credit impairment. The P13 security had excess subordination as a percent of current collateral of 60.77% as of June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

States of the U.S. and Political Subdivisions

The Corporation's municipal bond portfolio of \$197,531 as of June 30, 2011 is highly rated with an average rating of AA and 99.7% of the portfolio rated A or better. General obligation bonds comprise 100% of the portfolio. Geographically, these support the Corporation's footprint as 77.6% of the securities are from municipalities located throughout Pennsylvania. The average holding size of the securities in the municipal bond portfolio is \$936. Finally, this portfolio is supported by underlying insurance as 83.6% of the securities have credit support.

Non-Agency CMOs

The Corporation purchased \$161,151 of non-agency CMOs from 2003 through 2005. These securities, which are classified as held to maturity, have a book value of \$28,347 at June 30, 2011. Paydowns during the first six months of 2011 amounted to \$5,604, an annualized paydown rate of 33.0%. At the time of purchase, these securities were all rated AAA, with an original average loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of 66.1% and original credit score of 724. At origination, the credit support, or the amount of loss the collateral pool could absorb before the AAA securities would incur a credit loss, ranged from 2.0% to 7.0%. The current credit support range is now 3.2% to 20.1%, due to paydowns and good credit performance through the first half of 2008. Beginning in the second half of 2008, national delinquencies, an early warning sign of potential default, began to accelerate on the collateral pools. The slight upward trend of the rate of delinquencies throughout 2010 continued into the first quarter of 2011 and have leveled off during the second quarter. All CMO holdings are current with regards to principal and interest.

The rating agencies monitor the underlying collateral performance of these non-agency CMOs for delinquencies, foreclosures and defaults. They also factor in trends in bankruptcies and housing values to ultimately arrive at an expected loss for a given piece of defaulted collateral. Based on deteriorating performance of the collateral, many of these types of securities have been downgraded by the rating agencies. For the Corporation's portfolio, six of the ten non-agency CMOs have been downgraded with one being downgraded this quarter.

The Corporation determines its credit related losses by running scenario analysis on the underlying collateral. This analysis applies default assumptions to delinquencies already in the pipeline, projects future defaults based in part on the historical trends for the collateral, applies a rate of severity and estimates prepayment rates. Because of the limited historical trends for the collateral, multiple default scenarios were analyzed including scenarios that significantly elevate defaults over the next 12 - 18 months. Based on the results of the analysis, the Corporation's management has concluded that there are currently no credit-related losses in its non-agency CMO portfolio.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information relating to the Corporation's non-agency CMOs as of June 30, 2011:

Security	Original Year	Book Value	Credit Rating		Credit Support %		Delinquency %			Subordination Data			Total Delinquency %	LTV	Credit Score
			S&P	Moody	Original	Current	30 Day	60 Day	90 Day	Foreclosure %	OREO %	Bankruptcy %			
1	2003	\$ 3,247	AAA	n/a	2.5	5.8	1.4	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.5	3.4	52.1	738
2	2003	2,265	AAA	n/a	4.3	16.3	2.0	1.2	5.0	2.6	0.3	1.2	12.2	56.0	710
3	2003	1,519	AAA	n/a	2.0	6.5	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.3	0.2	1.1	5.1	47.3	742
4	2003	1,522	AAA	n/a	2.7	18.2	0.8	0.0	1.6	2.2	0.0	1.1	5.7	50.4	n/a
5	2004	3,720	AAA	Baa2	7.0	20.1	0.9	1.2	2.0	7.0	1.2	0.8	13.0	55.8	689
6	2004	2,631	AA+	n/a	5.3	10.4	0.6	0.0	2.4	3.1	0.0	1.9	8.0	46.5	734
7	2004	1,260	n/a	A1-	2.5	8.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	5.9	56.0	736
8	2004	1,881	AAA	Baa2	4.4	9.3	1.3	0.5	0.5	2.6	0.5	1.1	6.5	55.1	733
9	2005	6,518	CCC	Caa1	5.1	5.0	3.6	2.1	12.2	5.4	0.5	2.5	26.2	65.5	706
10	2005	3,784	CCC	B3	4.7	3.2	3.5	2.2	3.8	9.1	1.2	1.6	21.4	65.8	726
		\$ 28,347			4.1	9.5							57.3	719	

Table of Contents

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK STOCK

The Corporation is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Pittsburgh. The FHLB requires members to purchase and hold a specified minimum level of FHLB stock based upon their level of borrowings, collateral balances and participation in other programs offered by the FHLB. Stock in the FHLB is non-marketable and is redeemable at the discretion of the FHLB. Both cash and stock dividends on FHLB stock are reported as income.

Members do not purchase stock in the FHLB for the same reasons that traditional equity investors acquire stock in an investor-owned enterprise. Rather, members purchase stock to obtain access to the low-cost products and services offered by the FHLB. Unlike equity securities of traditional for-profit enterprises, the stock of FHLB does not provide its holders with an opportunity for capital appreciation because, by regulation, FHLB stock can only be purchased, redeemed and transferred at par value.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Corporation's FHLB stock totaled \$26,057 and \$26,564, respectively, and is included in other assets on the balance sheet. The Corporation accounts for the stock in accordance with ASC Topic 325, which requires the investment to be carried at cost and evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value.

The Corporation periodically evaluates its FHLB investment for possible impairment based on, among other things, the capital adequacy of the FHLB and its overall financial condition. The Federal Housing Finance Agency, the regulator of the FHLB, requires it to maintain a total capital-to-assets ratio of at least 4.0%. At March 31, 2011, the FHLB's capital ratio of 8.1% exceeded the regulatory requirement. Failure by the FHLB to meet this regulatory capital requirement would require an in-depth analysis of other factors including:

the member's ability to access liquidity from the FHLB;

the member's funding cost advantage with the FHLB compared to alternative sources of funds;

a decline in the market value of FHLB's net assets relative to book value which may or may not affect future financial performance or cash flow;

the FHLB's ability to obtain credit and source liquidity, for which one indicator is the credit rating of the FHLB;

the FHLB's commitment to make payments taking into account its ability to meet statutory and regulatory payment obligations and the level of such payments in relation to the FHLB's operating performance; and

the prospects of amendments to laws that affect the rights and obligations of the FHLB.

At June 30, 2011, the Corporation believes its holdings in the stock are ultimately recoverable at par value and, therefore, determined that FHLB stock was not other-than-temporarily impaired. In addition, the Corporation has ample liquidity and does not require redemption of its FHLB stock in the foreseeable future.

LOANS

Following is a summary of loans, net of unearned income:

June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
------------------	----------------------

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Commercial	\$ 3,776,287	\$ 3,337,992
Direct installment	1,039,270	1,002,725
Residential mortgages	676,574	622,242
Indirect installment	535,191	514,369
Consumer lines of credit	542,470	493,881
Commercial leases	93,273	79,429
Other	39,530	37,517
	\$ 6,702,595	\$ 6,088,155

Table of Contents

Commercial is comprised of both commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans. Direct installment is comprised of fixed-rate, closed-end consumer loans for personal, family or household use, such as home equity loans and automobile loans. Residential mortgages consist of conventional mortgage loans for non-commercial properties. Indirect installment is comprised of loans written by third parties, primarily automobile loans. Consumer lines of credit includes home equity lines of credit (HELOC) and consumer lines of credit that are either unsecured or secured by collateral other than home equity. Commercial leases consist of loans for new or used equipment. Other is primarily comprised of mezzanine loans and student loans.

Unearned income on loans was \$45,019 and \$42,183 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The loan portfolio consists principally of loans to individuals and small- and medium-sized businesses within the Corporation's primary market area of Pennsylvania and northeastern Ohio. The portfolio also includes commercial loans in Florida, which totaled \$180,232 or 2.7% of total loans as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$195,281 or 3.2% of total loans as of December 31, 2010. In addition, the portfolio contains consumer finance loans to individuals in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky, which totaled \$163,150 or 2.4% of total loans as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$162,805 or 2.7% of total loans as of December 31, 2010.

The composition of the Corporation's commercial loan portfolio in Florida consisted of the following as of June 30, 2011: unimproved residential land (7.9%), unimproved commercial land (18.5%), improved land (3.2%), income producing commercial real estate (50.8%), residential construction (6.2%), commercial construction (12.1%) and owner-occupied (1.3%). The weighted average loan-to-value ratio for this portfolio based on most recent appraisals was 81.7% as of June 30, 2011.

The majority of the Corporation's loan portfolio consists of commercial loans. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, commercial real estate loans were \$2,353,519 and \$2,115,492, or 35.1% and 34.7% of total loans, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, approximately 49.0% of the commercial real estate loans were owner-occupied, while the remaining 51.0% were non-owner-occupied. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Corporation had commercial construction loans of \$225,614 and \$202,018, respectively, representing 3.4% and 3.3%, respectively, of total loans for those periods.

CREDIT QUALITY

Management monitors the credit quality of the Corporation's loan portfolio on an ongoing basis. Measurement of delinquency and past due status are based on the contractual terms of each loan.

Non-performing loans include non-accrual and restructured loans. Past due loans are reviewed on a monthly basis to identify loans for non-accrual status. The Corporation places a loan on non-accrual status and discontinues interest accruals generally when principal or interest is due and has remained unpaid for 90 to 180 days depending on the loan type. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all unpaid interest recognized in the current year is reversed and interest accrued in prior years is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Non-accrual loans may not be restored to accrual status until all delinquent principal and interest have been paid and the ultimate collectibility of the remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured. Restructured loans are loans in which the borrower has been granted a concession on the interest rate or the original repayment terms due to financial distress. Non-performing assets also include debt securities on which OTTI has been taken in the current or prior periods.

Following is a summary of non-performing assets:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Non-accrual loans	\$ 107,091	\$ 115,589
Restructured loans	20,146	19,705
Total non-performing loans	127,237	135,294
Other real estate owned (OREO)	35,793	32,702
Total non-performing loans and OREO	163,030	167,996
Non-performing investments	6,605	5,974

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Total non-performing assets	\$ 169,635	\$ 173,970
-----------------------------	------------	------------

Table of Contents

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Asset quality ratios:		
Non-performing loans as a percent of total loans	1.90%	2.22%
Non-performing loans + OREO as a percent of total loans + OREO	2.42%	2.74%
Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets	1.72%	1.94%

Following is an age analysis of the Corporation's past due loans, by class:

	30-89 Days Past Due	>90 Days Past Due and Still Accruing	Non-Accrual	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans
June 30, 2011						
Commercial	\$ 14,152	\$ 10,060	\$ 97,174	\$ 121,386	\$ 3,654,901	\$ 3,776,287
Direct installment	8,528	2,458	3,586	14,572	1,024,698	1,039,270
Residential mortgages	12,192	2,775	3,832	18,799	657,775	676,574
Indirect installment	4,297	260	908	5,465	529,726	535,191
Consumer lines of credit	857	479	851	2,187	540,283	542,470
Commercial leases	1,339	78	740	2,157	91,116	93,273
Other	45	5		50	39,480	39,530
	\$ 41,410	\$ 16,115	\$ 107,091	\$ 164,616	\$ 6,537,979	\$ 6,702,595
December 31, 2010						
Commercial	\$ 17,101	\$ 3,020	\$ 106,724	\$ 126,845	\$ 3,211,147	\$ 3,337,992
Direct installment	8,603	2,496	3,285	14,384	988,341	1,002,725
Residential mortgages	9,127	2,144	3,272	14,543	607,699	622,242
Indirect installment	5,659	394	750	6,803	507,566	514,369
Consumer lines of credit	1,581	571	588	2,740	491,141	493,881
Commercial leases	1,551	9	970	2,530	76,899	79,429
Other					37,517	37,517
	\$ 43,622	\$ 8,634	\$ 115,589	\$ 167,845	\$ 5,920,310	\$ 6,088,155

The Corporation utilizes the following categories to monitor credit quality within its commercial loan portfolio:

Pass	in general, the condition of the borrower and the performance of the loan is satisfactory or better
Special Mention	in general, the condition of the borrower has deteriorated although the loan performs as agreed
Substandard	in general, the condition of the borrower has significantly deteriorated and the performance of

	the loan could further deteriorate if deficiencies are not corrected
Doubtful	in general, the condition of the borrower has significantly deteriorated and the collection in full

of both principal and interest is highly questionable or improbable

The use of these internally assigned credit quality categories within the commercial loan portfolio permits management's use of migration and roll rate analysis to estimate a quantitative portion of credit risk. The Corporation's internal credit risk grading system is based on past experiences with similarly graded loans and conforms with regulatory categories. In general, loan risk ratings within each category are reviewed on an ongoing basis according to the Corporation's policy for each class of loans. Each quarter, management analyzes the resulting ratings, as well as other external statistics and factors such as delinquency, to track the migration performance of the commercial loan portfolio. Loans that migrate toward the Pass credit category or within the Pass credit category generally have a lower risk of loss and therefore a lower risk factor compared to loans that migrate toward the Substandard or Doubtful credit categories which generally have a higher risk of loss and therefore a higher risk factor applied to those related loan balances.

Table of Contents

Following is a table showing commercial loans by credit quality category:

	Commercial Loan Credit Quality Categories				
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
June 30, 2011					
Commercial PA	\$ 3,293,685	\$ 114,414	\$ 182,169	\$ 5,787	\$ 3,596,055
Commercial FL	78,207	16,545	85,480		180,232
Commercial leases	91,937	518	818		93,273
	\$ 3,463,829	\$ 131,477	\$ 268,467	\$ 5,787	\$ 3,869,560
December 31, 2010					
Commercial PA	\$ 2,887,682	\$ 80,409	\$ 170,714	\$ 3,906	\$ 3,142,711
Commercial FL	83,444	38,664	73,173		195,281
Commercial leases	77,945	505	979		79,429
	\$ 3,049,071	\$ 119,578	\$ 244,866	\$ 3,906	\$ 3,417,421

The Corporation uses payment status and delinquency migration analysis within the consumer and other loan classes to enable management to estimate a quantitative portion of credit risk. Each month, management analyzes payment activity, as well as other external statistics and factors such as volume, to determine how consumer loans are performing.

Following is a table showing consumer and other loans by payment activity:

	Consumer Loan Credit Quality by Payment Status		
	Performing	Non-Performing	Total
June 30, 2011			
Direct installment	\$ 1,027,412	\$ 11,858	\$ 1,039,270
Residential mortgages	662,749	13,825	676,574
Indirect installment	534,211	980	535,191
Consumer lines of credit	541,402	1,068	542,470
Other	39,530		39,530
December 31, 2010			
Direct installment	\$ 991,921	\$ 10,804	\$ 1,002,725
Residential mortgages	608,642	13,600	622,242
Indirect installment	513,619	750	514,369
Consumer lines of credit	493,075	806	493,881
Other	37,517		37,517

Loans are designated as impaired when, in the opinion of management, based on current information and events, the collection of principal and interest in accordance with the loan contract is doubtful. Typically, the Corporation does not consider loans for impairment unless a sustained period of delinquency (i.e. 90-plus days) is noted or there are subsequent events that impact repayment probability (i.e. negative financial trends, bankruptcy filings, imminent foreclosure proceedings, etc.). Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of a similar nature and on an individual loan basis for other loans. If a loan is impaired, a specific valuation allowance is allocated, if necessary, so that the loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Consistent with the Corporation's existing method of income recognition for loans, interest on impaired loans, except those classified as non-accrual, is recognized as income using the accrual method. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of information pertaining to loans considered to be impaired or restructured, by class of loans:

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
At or For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011					
With no specific allowance recorded:					
Commercial	\$ 65,121	\$ 85,778	\$	\$ 63,828	\$ 55
Direct installment	11,858	12,172		11,689	132
Residential mortgages	13,825	14,182		14,297	142
Indirect installment	980	1,039		865	4
Consumer lines of credit	1,068	1,070		1,137	4
Commercial leases	740	740		912	
Other					
With a specific allowance recorded:					
Commercial	33,627	33,765	8,440	35,460	22
Direct installment					
Residential mortgages					
Indirect installment					
Consumer lines of credit					
Commercial leases					
Other					
Total:					
Commercial	98,748	119,543	8,440	99,288	77
Direct installment	11,858	12,172		11,689	132
Residential mortgages	13,825	14,182		14,297	142
Indirect installment	980	1,039		865	4
Consumer lines of credit	1,068	1,070		1,137	4
Commercial leases	740	740		912	
Other					

Table of Contents

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
At or For the Year Ended December 31, 2010					
<u>With no specific allowance recorded:</u>					
Commercial	\$ 70,832	\$ 95,725	\$	\$ 81,394	\$ 98
Direct installment	4,542	4,669		5,613	77
Residential mortgages	8,032	8,055		8,233	260
Indirect installment	750	1,930		833	
Consumer lines of credit	589	604		584	
Commercial leases	979	979		749	
Other					
<u>With a specific allowance recorded:</u>					
Commercial	37,532	39,250	10,313	38,070	
Direct installment	6,262	6,340	626	4,503	275
Residential mortgages	5,568	5,568	557	4,252	246
Indirect installment					
Consumer lines of credit	217	217	22	138	9
Commercial leases					
Other					
<u>Total:</u>					
Commercial	108,364	134,975	10,313	119,464	98
Direct installment	10,804	11,009	626	10,116	352
Residential mortgages	13,600	13,623	557	12,485	506
Indirect installment	750	1,930		833	
Consumer lines of credit	806	821	22	722	9
Commercial leases	979	979		749	
Other					

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio at a specific point in time. This estimate includes losses associated with specifically identified loans, as well as estimated probable credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. Additions are made to the allowance through both periodic provisions charged to income and recoveries of losses previously incurred. Reductions to the allowance occur as loans are charged off. Management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance at least quarterly, and in doing so relies on various factors including, but not limited to, assessment of historical loss experience, delinquency and non-accrual trends, portfolio growth, underlying collateral coverage and current economic conditions. This evaluation is subjective and requires material estimates that may change over time.

The components of the allowance for loan losses represent estimates based upon ASC Topic 450, *Contingencies*, and ASC Topic 310, *Receivables*. ASC Topic 450 applies to homogeneous loan pools such as consumer installment, residential mortgages and consumer lines of credit, as well as commercial loans that are not individually evaluated for impairment under ASC Topic 310. ASC Topic 310 is applied to commercial loans that are individually evaluated for impairment.

Under ASC Topic 310, a loan is impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable that the loan will not be repaid according to its original contractual terms, including both principal and interest. Management performs individual assessments of impaired loans to determine the existence of loss exposure and, where applicable, the extent of loss exposure based upon the present value of expected future cash flows available to pay the loan, or based upon the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs where a loan is collateral dependent.

Table of Contents

In estimating loan loss contingencies, management considers numerous factors, including historical charge-off rates and subsequent recoveries. Management also considers, but is not limited to, qualitative factors that influence the Corporation's credit quality, such as delinquency and non-performing loan trends, changes in loan underwriting guidelines and credit policies, as well as the results of internal loan reviews. Finally, management considers the impact of changes in current local and regional economic conditions in the markets that the Corporation serves. Assessment of relevant economic factors indicates that the Corporation's primary markets historically tend to lag the national economy, with local economies in the Corporation's primary market areas also improving or weakening, as the case may be, but at a more measured rate than the national trends. Regional economic factors influencing management's estimate of reserves include uncertainty of the labor markets in the regions the Corporation serves as well as the impact of unemployment trends in these areas, which have fluctuated in response to the recent economic cycle. Homogeneous loan pools are evaluated using similar criteria that are based upon historical loss rates for various loan types. Historical loss rates are adjusted to incorporate changes in existing conditions that may impact, both positively or negatively, the degree to which these loss histories may vary. This determination inherently involves a high degree of uncertainty and considers current risk factors that may not have occurred in the Corporation's historical loan loss experience.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were \$17,804 and \$3,626 of loans, respectively, that were impaired loans acquired with no associated allowance for loan losses as they were accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 310-30, *Receivables - Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*.

Following is a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 107,612	\$ 109,592	\$ 106,120	\$ 104,655
Charge-offs	(7,570)	(8,636)	(14,903)	(16,285)
Recoveries	631	845	1,228	1,467
Net charge-offs	(6,939)	(7,791)	(13,675)	(14,818)
Provision for loan losses	8,551	12,239	16,779	24,203
Balance at end of period	\$ 109,224	\$ 114,040	\$ 109,224	\$ 114,040
Allowance for loan losses to:				
Total loans, net of unearned income			1.63%	1.91%
Non-performing loans			85.84%	76.19%

Following is a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses by loan class for the three months ended June 30, 2011:

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charge-Offs	Recoveries	Provision for Loan Losses	Balance at End of Period
Commercial	\$ 76,408	\$ (3,739)	\$ 189	\$ 5,100	\$ 77,958
Direct installment	14,767	(2,274)	205	2,217	14,915
Residential mortgages	4,514	(169)	23	112	4,480
Indirect installment	5,761	(604)	156	392	5,705
Consumer lines of credit	4,612	(422)	44	562	4,796
Commercial leases	1,254	(120)	13	126	1,273
Other	296	(242)	1	42	97
	\$ 107,612	\$ (7,570)	\$ 631	\$ 8,551	\$ 109,224

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses by loan class for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charge-Offs	Recoveries	Provision for Loan Losses	Balance at End of Period
Commercial	\$ 74,606	\$ (7,028)	\$ 329	\$ 10,051	\$ 77,958
Direct installment	14,941	(4,502)	434	4,042	14,915
Residential mortgages	4,578	(407)	31	278	4,480
Indirect installment	5,941	(1,537)	294	1,007	5,705
Consumer lines of credit	4,743	(818)	87	784	4,796
Commercial leases	1,070	(205)	30	378	1,273
Other	241	(406)	23	239	97
	\$ 106,120	\$ (14,903)	\$ 1,228	\$ 16,779	\$ 109,224

Following is a summary of the individual and collective allowance for loan losses and corresponding loan balances by class as of June 30, 2011:

	Allowance		Loans	Loans Outstanding	
	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment		Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment
Commercial	\$ 8,440	\$ 69,518	\$ 3,776,287	\$ 115,156	\$ 3,661,131
Direct installment		14,915	1,039,270		1,039,270
Residential mortgages		4,480	676,574		676,574
Indirect installment		5,705	535,191		535,191
Consumer lines of credit		4,796	542,470		542,470
Commercial leases		1,273	93,273		93,273
Other		97	39,530		39,530
	\$ 8,440	\$ 100,784	\$ 6,702,595	\$ 115,156	\$ 6,587,439

BORROWINGS

Following is a summary of short-term borrowings:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 563,196	\$ 611,902
Federal funds purchased	20,000	
Subordinated notes	135,104	131,458
Other short-term borrowings	10,000	10,243
	\$ 728,300	\$ 753,603

Securities sold under repurchase agreements is comprised of customer repurchase agreements, which are borrowings from commercial customers of FNBPA which are generally renewable on a daily basis. Securities are pledged to these customers in an amount equal to the outstanding balance.

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of long-term debt:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	\$ 137,052	\$ 118,700
Subordinated notes	74,233	72,745
Other subordinated debt	9,168	
Convertible debt	608	613
	\$ 221,061	\$ 192,058

The Corporation's banking affiliate has available credit with the FHLB of \$2,016,214 of which \$137,052 was used as of June 30, 2011. These advances are secured by loans collateralized by 1-4 family mortgages and FHLB stock and are scheduled to mature in various amounts periodically through the year 2019. Effective interest rates paid on these advances range from 0.99% to 4.79% for both the six months ended June 30, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010.

JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBT

The Corporation has four unconsolidated subsidiary trusts (collectively, the Trusts): F.N.B. Statutory Trust I, F.N.B. Statutory Trust II, Omega Financial Capital Trust I and Sun Bancorp Statutory Trust I. One hundred percent of the common equity of each Trust is owned by the Corporation. The Trusts were formed for the purpose of issuing Corporation-obligated mandatorily redeemable capital securities (TPS) to third-party investors. The proceeds from the sale of TPS and the issuance of common equity by the Trusts were invested in junior subordinated debt securities (subordinated debt) issued by the Corporation, which are the sole assets of each Trust. Since third-party investors are the primary beneficiaries, the Trusts are not consolidated in the Corporation's financial statements. The Trusts pay dividends on the TPS at the same rate as the distributions paid by the Corporation on the junior subordinated debt held by the Trusts. Omega Financial Capital Trust I and Sun Bancorp Statutory Trust I were acquired as a result of a previous acquisition.

Distributions on the subordinated debt issued to the Trusts are recorded as interest expense by the Corporation. The TPS are subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon repayment of the subordinated debt. The TPS are eligible for redemption, at any time, at the Corporation's discretion. The subordinated debt, net of the Corporation's investment in the Trusts, qualifies as Tier 1 capital under the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) guidelines. The Corporation has entered into agreements which, when taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee the obligations under the TPS subject to the terms of each of the guarantees.

The following table provides information relating to the Trusts as of June 30, 2011:

	F.N.B. Statutory Trust I	F.N.B. Statutory Trust II	Omega Financial Capital Trust I	Sun Bancorp Statutory Trust I
Trust preferred securities	\$ 125,000	\$ 21,500	\$ 36,000	\$ 16,500
Common securities	3,866	665	1,114	511
Junior subordinated debt	128,866	22,165	35,899	17,011
Stated maturity date	3/31/33	6/15/36	10/18/34	2/22/31
Interest rate	3.56%	1.90%	2.47%	10.20%
	variable;	variable;	variable;	
	LIBOR plus	LIBOR plus	LIBOR plus	
	325 basis points	165 basis points	219 basis points	

Table of Contents**DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS**

The Corporation is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Corporation principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Corporation manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its assets and liabilities. The Corporation's existing interest rate derivatives result from a service provided to certain qualifying customers. The Corporation manages its derivative instruments in order to minimize its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions.

The Corporation periodically enters into interest rate swap agreements to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its commercial loan customers. These agreements provide the customer the ability to convert from variable to fixed interest rates. The Corporation then enters into positions with a derivative counterparty in order to offset its exposure on the fixed components of the customer agreements. These agreements meet the definition of derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments under ASC Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. The interest rate swap agreement with the loan customer and with the counterparty are reported at fair value in other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet with any resulting gain or loss recorded in current period earnings as other income.

At June 30, 2011, the Corporation was party to 169 swaps with customers with notional amounts totaling approximately \$570,268 and 169 swaps with derivative counterparties with notional amounts totaling approximately \$570,268. The following table presents the fair value of the Corporation's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheet:

	Balance Sheet	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
	Location		
Interest Rate Products:			
Asset derivatives	Other assets	\$ 29,333	\$ 25,631
Liability derivatives	Other liabilities	29,326	25,043

The following table presents the effect of the Corporation's derivative financial instruments on the income statement:

	Income Statement	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	Location	2011	2010
Interest rate products	Other income	\$ (582)	\$ (288)

The Corporation has agreements with each of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Corporation defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Corporation could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. The Corporation also has agreements with certain of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision if the Corporation fails to maintain its status as a well capitalized institution, then the counterparty could terminate the derivative positions and the Corporation would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements. Certain of the Corporation's agreements with its derivative counterparties contain provisions where if a material or adverse change occurs that materially changes the Corporation's creditworthiness in an adverse manner the Corporation may be required to fully collateralize its obligations under the derivative instrument.

Interest rate swap agreements generally require posting of collateral by either party under certain conditions. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of counterparty derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for non-performance risk related to these agreements, was \$30,123. At June 30, 2011, the Corporation has posted collateral with derivative counterparties with a fair value of \$28,449, of which none is cash collateral. Additionally, if the Corporation had breached its agreements with its derivative counterparties it would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements at the termination value and would be required to pay an additional \$1,674 in excess of amounts previously posted as collateral with the respective counterparty.

Table of Contents

The Corporation has entered into interest rate lock commitments to originate residential mortgage loans held for sale and forward commitments to sell residential mortgage loans to secondary market investors. These arrangements are considered derivative instruments. The fair values of the Corporation's rate lock commitments to customers and commitments with investors at June 30, 2011 are not material.

COMMITMENTS, CREDIT RISK AND CONTINGENCIES

The Corporation has commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit that involve certain elements of credit risk in excess of the amount stated in the consolidated balance sheet. The Corporation's exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the customer is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The credit risk associated with loan commitments and standby letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and is subject to normal credit policies. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements.

Following is a summary of off-balance sheet credit risk information:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 1,782,204	\$ 1,550,256
Standby letters of credit	108,432	101,185

At June 30, 2011, funding of 78.5% of the commitments to extend credit was dependent on the financial condition of the customer. The Corporation has the ability to withdraw such commitments at its discretion. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Based on management's credit evaluation of the customer, collateral may be deemed necessary. Collateral requirements vary and may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Corporation that may require payment at a future date. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is quantified on a quarterly basis, through the review of historical performance of the Corporation's portfolios and allocated as a liability on the Corporation's balance sheet.

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are involved in various pending and threatened legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages and other relief are asserted. These actions include claims brought against the Corporation and its subsidiaries where the Corporation or a subsidiary acted as one or more of the following: a depository bank, lender, underwriter, fiduciary, financial advisor, broker or was engaged in other business activities. Although the ultimate outcome for any asserted claim cannot be predicted with certainty, the Corporation believes that it and its subsidiaries have valid defenses for all asserted claims. Reserves are established for legal claims when losses associated with the claims are judged to be probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage and established reserves, the Corporation does not anticipate, at the present time, that the aggregate liability, if any, arising out of such legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's consolidated financial position. However, the Corporation cannot determine whether or not any claims asserted against it will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations in any future reporting period.

STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS*Restricted Stock*

The Corporation issues restricted stock awards, consisting of both restricted stock and restricted stock units, to key employees under its Incentive Compensation Plans (Plans). The grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards is equal to the price of the Corporation's common stock on the grant date. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Corporation issued 384,847 and 500,707 restricted stock awards with aggregate weighted average grant date fair values of \$3,887 and \$3,890, respectively, under these Plans. As of June 30, 2011, the Corporation had available up to 2,418,983 shares of common stock to issue under these Plans.

Table of Contents

Under the Plans, more than half of the restricted stock awards granted to management are earned if the Corporation meets or exceeds certain financial performance results when compared to its peers. These performance-related awards are expensed ratably from the date that the likelihood of meeting the performance measure is probable through the end of a four-year vesting period. The service-based awards are expensed ratably over a three-year vesting period. The Corporation also issues discretionary service-based awards to certain employees that vest over five years.

The unvested restricted stock awards are eligible to receive cash dividends or dividend equivalents which are ultimately used to purchase additional shares of stock. Any additional shares of stock received as a result of cash dividends are subject to forfeiture if the requisite service period is not completed or the specified performance criteria are not met. These awards are subject to certain accelerated vesting provisions upon retirement, death, disability or in the event of a change of control as defined in the award agreements.

Share-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards was \$1,780 and \$1,418 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the tax benefit of which was \$623 and \$496, respectively.

The following table summarizes certain information concerning restricted stock awards:

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2011	Weighted Average Grant Price	2010	Weighted Average Grant Price
Unvested awards outstanding at beginning of period	1,309,489	\$ 8.52	854,440	\$ 10.57
Granted	384,847	10.10	500,707	7.77
Vested	(171,446)	13.59	(95,281)	15.05
Forfeited	(1,397)	9.20	(32,008)	9.21
Dividend reinvestment	31,860	10.17	32,427	8.30
Unvested awards outstanding at end of period	1,553,353	8.39	1,260,285	9.09

The total fair value of awards vested was \$1,761 and \$698 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

As of June 30, 2011, there was \$6,933 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock awards including \$207 that is subject to accelerated vesting under the Plan's immediate vesting upon retirement provision for awards granted prior to the adoption of ASC Topic 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, on January 1, 2006. The components of the restricted stock awards as of June 30, 2011 are as follows:

	Service- Based Awards	Performance- Based Awards	Total
Unvested awards	563,747	989,606	1,553,353
Unrecognized compensation expense	\$ 2,315	\$ 4,618	\$ 6,933
Intrinsic value	\$ 5,835	\$ 10,242	\$ 16,077
Weighted average remaining life (in years)	2.21	2.67	2.50

Stock Options

The Corporation did not grant stock options during the six months ended June 30, 2011 or 2010. All outstanding stock options were granted at prices equal to the fair market value at the date of the grant, are primarily exercisable within ten years from the date of the grant and were fully vested as of January 1, 2006. The Corporation issues shares of treasury stock or authorized but unissued shares to satisfy stock options exercised. Shares issued upon the exercise of stock options were 8,389 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. No stock options were exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes certain information concerning stock option awards:

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2011	Weighted Average Exercise Price	2010	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	Shares		Shares	
Options outstanding at beginning of period	770,610	\$ 14.28	968,090	\$ 13.67
Exercised	(8,389)	2.68		
Forfeited	(157,296)	12.18	(152,184)	11.42
Options outstanding and exercisable at end of period	604,925	14.99	815,906	14.09

The intrinsic value of outstanding and exercisable stock options at June 30, 2011 was \$(2,800), since the fair value of the stock subject to the options was less than the exercise price.

Warrants

In conjunction with its participation in the U.S. Department of the Treasury (UST) Capital Purchase Program (CPP), the Corporation issued to the UST a warrant to purchase up to 1,302,083 shares of the Corporation's common stock. Pursuant to Section 13(H) of the Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, the number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrant was reduced in half to 651,042 shares on June 16, 2009, the date the Corporation completed a public offering. The warrant, which expires in 2019, has an exercise price of \$11.52 per share.

RETIREMENT AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

The Corporation sponsors the Retirement Income Plan (RIP), a qualified noncontributory defined benefit pension plan that covered substantially all salaried employees hired prior to January 1, 2008. The RIP covers employees who satisfy minimum age and length of service requirements. During 2006, the Corporation amended the RIP such that effective January 1, 2007 benefits were earned based on the employee's compensation each year. The plan amendment resulted in a remeasurement that produced a net unrecognized service credit of \$14,079, which had been amortized over the average period of future service of active employees of 13.5 years. The Corporation's funding guideline has been to make annual contributions to the RIP each year, if necessary, such that minimum funding requirements have been met. The Corporation amended the RIP on October 20, 2010 to be frozen effective December 31, 2010, at which time the Corporation recognized the remaining previously unrecognized prior service credit of \$10,543 as a reduction to expense.

The Corporation also sponsors two supplemental non-qualified retirement plans. The ERISA Excess Retirement Plan provides retirement benefits equal to the difference, if any, between the maximum benefit allowable under the Internal Revenue Code and the amount that would be provided under the RIP, if no limits were applied. The Basic Retirement Plan (BRP) is applicable to certain officers whom the Board of Directors designates. Officers participating in the BRP receive a benefit based on a target benefit percentage based on years of service at retirement and a designated tier as determined by the Board of Directors. When a participant retires, the basic benefit under the BRP is a monthly benefit equal to the target benefit percentage times the participant's highest average monthly cash compensation during five consecutive calendar years within the last ten calendar years of employment. This monthly benefit was reduced by the monthly benefit the participant receives from Social Security, the RIP, the ERISA Excess Retirement Plan and the annuity equivalent of the three percent automatic contributions to the qualified 401(k) defined contribution plan and the ERISA Excess Lost Match Plan. The BRP was frozen as of December 31, 2008. The ERISA Excess Retirement Plan was frozen as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The net periodic benefit cost for the defined benefit plans includes the following components:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 14	\$ 922	\$ 21	\$ 1,810
Interest cost	1,585	1,748	3,284	3,516
Expected return on plan assets	(1,764)	(1,873)	(3,655)	(3,745)
Amortization:				
Unrecognized net transition asset	(22)	(23)	(45)	(46)
Unrecognized prior service cost (credit)	2	(295)	3	(594)
Unrecognized loss	264	745	558	1,441
Net periodic pension benefit cost	\$ 79	\$ 1,224	\$ 166	\$ 2,382

The Corporation's subsidiaries participate in a qualified 401(k) defined contribution plan under which eligible employees may contribute a percentage of their salary. The Corporation matched 50 percent of an eligible employee's contribution on the first 6 percent that the employee deferred through December 31, 2010. Beginning in 2011, the Corporation matches 100% of the first four percent that the employee defers. Employees are eligible to participate upon their first day of employment or having attained age 21, whichever is later. In 2007, the Corporation began making an automatic two percent contribution and may make an additional contribution of up to two percent depending on the Corporation achieving its performance goals for the plan year. Effective January 1, 2008, in lieu of the RIP benefit, the automatic contribution for substantially all new full-time employees was increased from two percent to four percent. Beginning in 2011, substantially all employees will receive an automatic contribution of three percent of compensation at the end of the year. The Corporation's contribution expense was \$4,069 and \$2,500 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Corporation also sponsors an ERISA Excess Lost Match Plan for certain officers. This plan provides retirement benefits equal to the difference, if any, between the maximum benefit allowable under the Internal Revenue Code and the amount that would have been provided under the qualified 401(k) defined contribution plan, if no limits were applied.

The Corporation sponsors a pre-Medicare eligible postretirement medical insurance plan for retirees of certain affiliates between the ages of 62 and 65. During 2006, the Corporation amended the plan such that only employees who were age 60 or older as of January 1, 2007 are eligible for employer paid coverage. The Corporation has no plan assets attributable to this plan and funds the benefits as claims arise. Benefit costs related to this plan are recognized in the periods in which employees provide service for such benefits. The Corporation reserves the right to terminate the plan or make plan changes at any time.

The net periodic postretirement benefit cost includes the following components:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest cost	\$ 14	\$ 16	\$ 29	\$ 33
Amortization of unrecognized loss	2		2	
Net periodic postretirement benefit cost	\$ 16	\$ 16	\$ 31	\$ 33

Table of Contents**INCOME TAXES**

The Corporation bases its provision for income taxes upon income before income taxes, adjusted for the effect of certain tax-exempt income and non-deductible expenses. In addition, the Corporation reports certain items of income and expense in different periods for financial reporting and tax return purposes. The Corporation recognizes the tax effects of these temporary differences currently in the deferred income tax provision or benefit. The Corporation computes deferred tax assets or liabilities based upon the differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using the applicable marginal tax rate.

The Corporation must evaluate the probability that it will ultimately realize the full value of its deferred tax assets. Realization of the Corporation's deferred tax assets is dependent upon a number of factors including the existence of any cumulative losses in prior periods, the amount of taxes paid in available carry-back periods, expectations for future earnings, applicable tax planning strategies and assessment of current and future economic and business conditions. The Corporation establishes a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that the Corporation will not be able to realize a benefit from its deferred tax assets, or when future deductibility is uncertain.

At June 30, 2011, the Corporation anticipates that it will not utilize state net operating loss carryforwards and other net deferred tax assets at certain of its subsidiaries and has recorded a valuation allowance against the state deferred tax assets. The Corporation believes that, except for the portion which is covered by the valuation allowance, it is more likely than not the Corporation will realize the benefits of its deferred tax assets, net of the valuation allowance, at June 30, 2011 based on the level of historical taxable income and taxes paid in available carry-back periods.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The components of comprehensive income, net of related tax, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 22,362	\$ 17,922	\$ 39,537	\$ 33,902
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized (losses) gains on securities:				
Arising during the period, net of tax expense of \$1,515, \$1,613, \$1,467 and \$2,091	2,814	2,996	2,725	3,883
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$13, \$(194), \$32 and \$52	(25)	360	(60)	(97)
Pension and postretirement amortization, net of tax expense of \$94, \$671, \$189 and \$802	174	1,246	351	1,489
Other comprehensive income	2,963	4,602	3,016	5,275
Comprehensive income	\$ 25,325	\$ 22,524	\$ 42,553	\$ 39,177

The accumulated balances related to each component of other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax are as follows:

June 30	2011	2010
Non-credit related loss on debt securities not expected to be sold	\$ (8,244)	\$ (9,508)
Unrealized net gain (loss) on other available for sale securities	7,022	6,274
Unrecognized pension and postretirement obligations	(29,494)	(22,124)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (30,716)	\$ (25,358)

Table of Contents**EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding net of unvested shares of restricted stock.

Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income adjusted for interest expense on convertible debt by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, adjusted for the dilutive effect of potential common shares issuable for stock options, warrants, restricted shares and convertible debt, as calculated using the treasury stock method. Adjustments to the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding are made only when such adjustments dilute earnings per common share.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income basic earnings per share	\$ 22,362	\$ 17,922	\$ 39,537	\$ 33,902
Interest expense on convertible debt	5	5	10	10
Net income after assumed conversion diluted earnings per share	\$ 22,367	\$ 17,927	\$ 39,547	\$ 33,912
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	123,254,895	113,878,018	121,732,522	113,814,527
Net effect of dilutive stock options, warrants, restricted stock and convertible debt	839,894	437,156	800,164	374,751
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	124,094,789	114,315,174	122,532,686	114,189,278
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.30
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.30

For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, 336,573 and 720,194 shares of common stock, respectively, related to stock options and warrants were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price of the shares was greater than the average market price of the common shares and therefore, the effect would be antidilutive. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, 356,393 and 889,474 shares of common stock, respectively, related to stock options and warrants were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price of the shares was greater than the average market price of the common shares and therefore, the effect would be antidilutive.

CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Following is a summary of supplemental cash flow information:

Six Months Ended June 30	2011	2010
Interest paid on deposits and other borrowings	\$ 39,128	\$ 47,944
Income taxes paid	2,000	16,250
Transfers of loans to other real estate owned	14,608	9,904
Financing of other real estate owned sold	281	613

Table of Contents**BUSINESS SEGMENTS**

The Corporation operates in four reportable segments: Community Banking, Wealth Management, Insurance and Consumer Finance.

The Community Banking segment provides services traditionally offered by full-service commercial banks, including commercial and individual demand, savings and time deposit accounts and commercial, mortgage and individual installment loans.

The Wealth Management segment provides a broad range of personal and corporate fiduciary services including the administration of decedent and trust estates. In addition, it offers various alternative products, including securities brokerage and investment advisory services, mutual funds and annuities.

The Insurance segment includes a full-service insurance agency offering all lines of commercial and personal insurance through major carriers. The Insurance segment also includes a reinsurer.

The Consumer Finance segment primarily makes installment loans to individuals and purchases installment sales finance contracts from retail merchants. The Consumer Finance segment activity is funded through the sale of the Corporation's subordinated notes at the finance company's branch offices.

The following tables provide financial information for these segments of the Corporation. The information provided under the caption "Parent and Other" represents operations not considered to be reportable segments and/or general operating expenses of the Corporation, and includes the parent company, other non-bank subsidiaries and eliminations and adjustments which are necessary for purposes of reconciliation to the consolidated amounts.

	Community Banking	Wealth Management	Insurance	Consumer Finance	Parent and Other	Consolidated
At or for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011						
Interest income	\$ 88,207	\$ 3	\$ 33	\$ 8,492	\$ 1,420	\$ 98,155
Interest expense	15,718			1,064	2,679	19,461
Net interest income	72,489	3	33	7,428	(1,259)	78,694
Provision for loan losses	6,812			1,656	83	8,551
Non-interest income	20,726	6,368	3,001	549	(1,386)	29,258
Non-interest expense	54,319	4,649	2,772	4,381	443	66,564
Intangible amortization	1,615	83	107			1,805
Income tax expense (benefit)	8,495	585	56	769	(1,235)	8,670
Net income (loss)	21,974	1,054	99	1,171	(1,936)	22,362
Total assets	9,657,270	20,485	19,366	169,765	(9,723)	9,857,163
Total intangibles	576,786	11,799	11,564	1,809		601,958
At or for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010						
Interest income	\$ 85,242	\$ 3	\$ 52	\$ 8,124	\$ 940	\$ 94,361
Interest expense	19,215			1,200	2,465	22,880
Net interest income	66,027	3	52	6,924	(1,525)	71,481
Provision for loan losses	10,488			1,577	174	12,239
Non-interest income	19,307	5,234	3,199	612	91	28,443
Non-interest expense	50,123	4,174	2,969	3,874	265	61,405
Intangible amortization	1,485	87	107			1,679
Income tax expense (benefit)	6,291	351	64	752	(779)	6,679
Net income (loss)	16,947	625	111	1,333	(1,094)	17,922

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Total assets	8,643,089	20,110	20,750	166,441	(17,330)	8,833,060
Total intangibles	538,553	12,142	11,991	1,809		564,495

Table of Contents

	Community Banking	Wealth Management	Insurance	Consumer Finance	Parent and Other	Consolidated
At or for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011						
Interest income	\$ 175,990	\$ 6	\$ 66	\$ 16,562	\$ 2,902	\$ 195,526
Interest expense	32,112			2,181	5,256	39,549
Net interest income	143,878	6	66	14,381	(2,354)	155,977
Provision for loan losses	13,648			2,984	147	16,779
Non-interest income	40,807	12,306	6,559	1,071	(3,053)	57,690
Non-interest expense	114,376	9,582	6,063	8,705	599	139,325
Intangible amortization	3,221	167	213			3,601
Income tax expense (benefit)	14,331	918	126	1,452	(2,402)	14,425
Net income (loss)	39,109	1,645	223	2,311	(3,751)	39,537
Total assets	9,657,270	20,485	19,366	169,765	(9,723)	9,857,163
Total intangibles	576,786	11,799	11,564	1,809		601,958
At or for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010						
Interest income	\$ 168,706	\$ 6	\$ 107	\$ 16,126	\$ 1,962	\$ 186,907
Interest expense	39,692			2,457	4,872	47,021
Net interest income	129,014	6	107	13,669	(2,910)	139,886
Provision for loan losses	20,995			2,897	311	24,203
Non-interest income	41,955	10,219	6,882	1,186	(1,524)	58,718
Non-interest expense	102,788	8,163	5,906	7,912	392	125,161
Intangible amortization	2,977	175	214			3,366
Income tax expense (benefit)	11,523	678	310	1,461	(2,000)	11,972
Net income (loss)	32,686	1,209	559	2,585	(3,137)	33,902
Total assets	8,643,089	20,110	20,750	166,441	(17,330)	8,833,060
Total intangibles	538,553	12,142	11,991	1,809		564,495

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Corporation uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Securities available for sale and derivatives are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, the Corporation may be required to record at fair value other assets on a nonrecurring basis, such as mortgage loans held for sale, certain impaired loans, OREO and certain other assets.

Fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are not adjusted for transaction costs. Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability rather than an entity-specific measure.

In determining fair value, the Corporation uses various valuation approaches, including market, income and cost approaches. ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, which are developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Corporation. Unobservable inputs reflect the Corporation's assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, which are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

Table of Contents

The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). The fair value hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

- Level 1 valuation is based upon unadjusted quoted market prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.
- Level 2 valuation is based upon quoted market prices for similar instruments traded in active markets, quoted market prices for identical or similar instruments traded in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by market data.
- Level 3 valuation is derived from other valuation methodologies including discounted cash flow models and similar techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in determining fair value.

A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies the Corporation uses for financial instruments recorded at fair value on either a recurring or nonrecurring basis:

Securities Available For Sale

Securities available for sale consists of both debt and equity securities. These securities are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. At June 30, 2011, approximately 98.4% of these securities used valuation methodologies involving market-based or market-derived information, collectively Level 1 and Level 2 measurements, to measure fair value. The remaining 1.6% of these securities were measured using model-based techniques, with primarily unobservable (Level 3) inputs.

The Corporation closely monitors market conditions involving assets that have become less actively traded. If the fair value measurement is based upon recent observable market activity of such assets or comparable assets (other than forced or distressed transactions) that occur in sufficient volume, and do not require significant adjustment using unobservable inputs, those assets are classified as Level 1 or Level 2; if not, they are classified as Level 3. Making this assessment requires significant judgment.

The Corporation uses prices from independent pricing services and, to a lesser extent, indicative (non-binding) quotes from independent brokers, to measure the fair value of investment securities. The Corporation validates prices received from pricing services or brokers using a variety of methods, including, but not limited to, comparison to secondary pricing services, corroboration of pricing by reference to other independent market data such as secondary broker quotes and relevant benchmark indices, and review of pricing by Corporate personnel familiar with market liquidity and other market-related conditions.

The Corporation determines the valuation of its investments in trust preferred debt securities with the assistance of a third-party independent financial consulting firm that specializes in advisory services related to illiquid financial investments. The consulting firm provides the Corporation appropriate valuation methodology, performance assumptions, modeling techniques, discounted cash flows, discount rates and sensitivity analyses with respect to levels of defaults and deferrals necessary to produce losses. Additionally, the Corporation utilizes the firm's expertise to reassess assumptions to reflect actual conditions. See the Securities footnote for information on how the Corporation reassesses assumptions to determine the valuation of its trust preferred debt securities. Accessing the services of a financial consulting firm with a focus on financial instruments assists the Corporation in accurately valuing these complex financial instruments and facilitates informed decision-making with respect to such instruments.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Corporation determines its fair value for derivatives using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects contractual terms of the derivative, including the period to maturity and uses observable market based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities.

Table of Contents

The Corporation incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own non-performance risk and the respective counterparty's non-performance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of non-performance risk, the Corporation considers the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees.

Although the Corporation has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of June 30, 2011, the Corporation has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Corporation has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Residential Mortgage Loans Held For Sale

These loans are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. Under lower of cost or fair value accounting, periodically, it may be necessary to record nonrecurring fair value adjustments. Fair value, when recorded, is based on independent quoted market prices and is classified as Level 2.

Impaired Loans

The Corporation reserves for commercial and commercial real estate loans that the Corporation considers impaired as defined in ASC Topic 310 at the time the Corporation identifies the loan as impaired based upon the present value of expected future cash flows available to pay the loan, or based upon the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs where a loan is collateral dependent. Collateral may be real estate and/or business assets including equipment, inventory and accounts receivable.

The Corporation determines the value of real estate based on appraisals by licensed or certified appraisers. The value of business assets is generally based on amounts reported on the business's financial statements. Management must rely on the financial statements prepared and certified by the borrower or its accountants in determining the value of these business assets on an ongoing basis which may be subject to significant change over time. Based on the quality of information or statements provided, management may require the use of business asset appraisals and site-inspections to better value these assets. The Corporation may discount appraised and reported values based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of valuation or management's knowledge of the borrower and the borrower's business. Since not all valuation inputs are observable, the Corporation classifies these nonrecurring fair value determinations as Level 2 or Level 3 based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The Corporation reviews and evaluates impaired loans no less frequently than quarterly for additional impairment based on the same factors identified above.

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO is comprised of commercial and residential real estate properties obtained in partial or total satisfaction of loan obligations plus some bank owned real estate. OREO acquired in settlement of indebtedness is recorded at the lower of carrying amount of the loan or fair value less costs to sell. Subsequently, these assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. Accordingly, it may be necessary to record nonrecurring fair value adjustments. Fair value is generally based upon appraisals by licensed or certified appraisers and other market information and is classified as Level 2 or Level 3.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	000000000 Level 1	000000000 Level 2	000000000 Level 3	000000000 Total
June 30, 2011				
Assets measured at fair value:				
Available for sale debt securities:				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$	\$ 333,284	\$	\$ 333,284
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities		241,148		241,148
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations		186,985		186,985
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations		35		35
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions		44,957		44,957
Collateralized debt obligations			6,605	6,605
Other debt securities			5,946	5,946
		806,409	12,551	818,960
Available for sale equity securities:				
Financial services industry	375	1,011	467	1,853
Insurance services industry	34			34
	409	1,011	467	1,887
	409	807,420	13,018	820,847
Derivative financial instruments		29,333		29,333
	\$ 409	\$ 836,753	\$ 13,018	\$ 850,180
Liabilities measured at fair value:				
Derivative financial instruments		\$ 29,326		\$ 29,326
		\$ 29,326		\$ 29,326

Table of Contents

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
December 31, 2010				
Assets measured at fair value:				
Available for sale debt securities:				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$	\$ 300,568	\$	\$ 300,568
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency mortgage-backed securities		211,507		211,507
Agency collateralized mortgage obligations		147,866		147,866
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations		38		38
States of the U.S. and political subdivisions		58,738		58,738
Collateralized debt obligations			5,974	5,974
Other debt securities			11,245	11,245
		718,717	17,219	735,936
Available for sale equity securities:				
Financial services industry	470	1,313	375	2,158
Insurance services industry	31			31
	501	1,313	375	2,189
	501	720,030	17,594	738,125
Derivative financial instruments		25,631		25,631
	\$ 501	\$ 745,661	\$ 17,594	\$ 763,756
Liabilities measured at fair value:				
Derivative financial instruments		\$ 25,043		\$ 25,043
		\$ 25,043		\$ 25,043

The following table presents additional information about assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which the Corporation has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

	Collateralized Debt Obligations	Other Debt Securities	Equity Securities	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 5,974	\$ 11,245	\$ 375	\$ 17,594
Total gains (losses) realized/unrealized:				
Included in earnings		(48)		(48)
Included in other comprehensive income	631	844	92	1,567
Redemptions		(6,095)		(6,095)
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3				
Balance at end of period	\$ 6,605	\$ 5,946	\$ 467	\$ 13,018

Table of Contents

	Collateralized Debt Obligations	Other Debt Securities	Equity Securities	Total
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 4,824	\$ 10,430	\$ 333	\$ 15,587
Total gains (losses) realized/unrealized:				
Included in earnings	(2,281)			(2,281)
Included in other comprehensive income	3,431	815	42	4,288
Purchases, issuances, and settlements				
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3				
Balance at end of period	\$ 5,974	\$ 11,245	\$ 375	\$ 17,594

The Corporation reviews fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of the valuation attributes may result in reclassification of certain financial assets or liabilities. Such reclassifications are reported as transfers in/out of Level 3 at fair value at the beginning of the period in which the changes occur.

The amount of total losses included in earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and for the full year of 2010 attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held as of those dates was \$0, \$2,281 and \$2,281, respectively. These losses are included in net impairment losses on securities reported as a component of non-interest income.

In accordance with GAAP, from time to time, the Corporation measures certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of lower of cost or fair value accounting or write-downs of individual assets. Valuation methodologies used to measure these fair value adjustments were previously described. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis still held in the balance sheet, the following table provides the hierarchy level and the fair value of the related assets or portfolios:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
June 30, 2011				
Impaired loans	\$	\$ 428	\$ 6,306	\$ 6,734
Other real estate owned		2,016	12,710	14,726
December 31, 2010				
Impaired loans		1,157	26,082	27,239
Other real estate owned		18,429	12,337	30,766

Impaired loans measured or re-measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2011 had a carrying amount of \$10,137 and an allocated allowance for loan losses of \$3,921 at June 30, 2011. The allocated allowance is based on fair value of \$6,734 less estimated costs to sell of \$518. The allowance for loan losses includes a provision applicable to the current period fair value measurements of \$3,587 which was included in the provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the allowance and provision for loan losses include losses of \$3,861 on fair value measurements or re-measurements applicable to impaired loans occurring during the period.

OREO with a carrying amount of \$17,362 was written down to \$12,850 (fair value of \$14,702 less estimated costs to sell of \$1,852), resulting in a loss of \$4,512, which was included in earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2010 include losses of \$2,600 on fair value measurements or re-measurements applicable to OREO occurring during the period.

Table of Contents

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each financial instrument:

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Accrued Interest Receivable and Accrued Interest Payable. For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Securities. For both securities available for sale and securities held to maturity, fair value equals the quoted market price from an active market, if available, and is classified within Level 1. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities or pricing models, and is classified as Level 2. Where there is limited market activity or significant valuation inputs are unobservable, securities are classified within Level 3. Under current market conditions, assumptions used to determine the fair value of Level 3 securities have greater subjectivity due to the lack of observable market transactions.

Loans. The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. The fair value of variable and adjustable rate loans approximates the carrying amount.

Bank Owned Life Insurance. The Corporation owns both general account and separate account bank owned life insurance (BOLI). The fair value of general account BOLI is based on the insurance contract cash surrender value. The fair value of separate account BOLI equals the quoted market price of the underlying securities, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities. In connection with the separate account BOLI, the Corporation has purchased a stable value protection product that mitigates the impact of market value fluctuations of the underlying separate account assets.

Deposits. The estimated fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date because of the customers' ability to withdraw funds immediately. The fair value of fixed-maturity deposits is estimated by discounting future cash flows using rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Short-Term Borrowings. The carrying amounts for short-term borrowings approximate fair value for amounts that mature in 90 days or less. The fair value of subordinated notes is estimated by discounting future cash flows using rates currently offered.

Long-Term and Junior Subordinated Debt. The fair value of long-term and junior subordinated debt is estimated by discounting future cash flows based on the market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to the Corporation for debt of the same remaining maturities.

Loan Commitments and Standby Letters of Credit. Estimates of the fair value of these off-balance sheet items were not made because of the short-term nature of these arrangements and the credit standing of the counterparties. Also, unfunded loan commitments relate principally to variable rate commercial loans, typically non-binding, and fees are not normally assessed on these balances.

Nature of Estimates. Many of the estimates presented herein are based upon the use of highly subjective information and assumptions and, accordingly, the results may not be precise. Management believes that fair value estimates may not be comparable to other financial institutions due to the wide range of permitted valuation techniques and numerous estimates which must be made. Further, because the disclosed fair value amounts were estimated as of the balance sheet date, the amounts actually realized or paid upon maturity or settlement of the various financial instruments could be significantly different.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments are as follows:

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 189,133	\$ 189,133	\$ 131,571	\$ 131,571
Securities available for sale	820,847	820,847	738,125	738,125
Securities held to maturity	1,010,672	1,038,434	940,481	959,414
Net loans, including loans held for sale	6,603,293	6,645,714	5,994,735	6,035,129
Bank owned life insurance	208,714	208,714	208,051	208,051
Accrued interest receivable	26,034	26,034	25,345	25,345
Financial Liabilities				
Deposits	7,397,219	7,431,243	6,646,143	6,677,301
Short-term borrowings	728,300	728,300	753,603	754,211
Long-term debt	221,061	226,402	192,058	197,397
Junior subordinated debt	203,941	150,516	204,036	141,061
Accrued interest payable	7,287	7,287	6,866	6,866

Table of Contents

PART I.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's Discussion and Analysis represents an overview of the consolidated results of operations and financial condition of the Corporation and highlights material changes to the financial condition and results of operations at and for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011. This Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained herein and the Corporation's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis included in its 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011. The Corporation's results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

IMPORTANT CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain statements in this Report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, relating to present or future trends or factors affecting the banking industry and, specifically, the financial operations, markets and products of the Corporation. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as believe, plan, expect, anticipate, intend, outlook, estimate, forecast, will, should, project, goal, and other similar words and expressions. These forward-looking statements involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. There are a number of important factors that could cause the Corporation's future results to differ materially from historical performance or projected performance. These factors include, but are not limited to: (1) a significant increase in competitive pressures among financial institutions; (2) changes in the interest rate environment that may reduce net interest margins; (3) changes in prepayment speeds, loan sale volumes, charge-offs and loan loss provisions; (4) general economic conditions; (5) various monetary and fiscal policies and regulations of the U.S. government that may adversely affect the businesses in which the Corporation is engaged; (6) technological issues which may adversely affect the Corporation's financial operations or customers; (7) changes in the securities markets; (8) risk factors mentioned in the reports and registration statements the Corporation files with the SEC which are available on the Corporation's website at www.fnbcorporation.com and on the SEC website at www.sec.gov; (9) housing prices; (10) job market; (11) consumer confidence and spending habits and (12) estimates of fair value of certain the Corporation's assets and liabilities. Although the Corporation believes that the assumptions made in connection with the forward-looking statements are reasonable, the Corporation cannot assure that these assumptions and expectations are correct. All information provided in this Report is based on information only as of the date provided and the Corporation undertakes no obligation to revise these forward-looking statements or to reflect events or circumstances after the date this Report is filed with the SEC.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A description of the Corporation's critical accounting policies is included in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of the Corporation's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011 under the heading Application of Critical Accounting Policies. There have been no significant changes in critical accounting policies or the assumptions and judgments utilized in applying these policies since the year ended December 31, 2010.

OVERVIEW

The Corporation is a diversified financial services company headquartered in Hermitage, Pennsylvania. Its primary businesses include community banking, consumer finance, wealth management and insurance. The Corporation also conducts leasing and merchant banking activities. The Corporation operates its community banking business through a full service branch network with offices in Pennsylvania and Ohio and through a loan production office in Pennsylvania. The Corporation operates its wealth management and insurance businesses within the community banking branch network. It also conducts selected consumer finance business in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*****Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010***

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$22.4 million or \$0.18 per diluted share, compared to net income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 of \$17.9 million or \$0.16 per diluted share. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Corporation's return on average equity was 7.69% and its return on average assets was 0.91%, compared to 6.83% and 0.81%, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

In addition to evaluating its results of operations in accordance with GAAP, the Corporation routinely supplements its evaluation with an analysis of certain non-GAAP financial measures, such as return on average tangible equity and return on average tangible assets. The Corporation believes these non-GAAP financial measures provide information useful to investors in understanding the Corporation's operating performance and trends, and facilitate comparisons with the performance of the Corporation's peers. The non-GAAP financial measures used by the Corporation may differ from the non-GAAP financial measures other financial institutions use to measure their results of operations. Non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, the Corporation's reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP. The following tables summarize the Corporation's non-GAAP financial measures for the periods indicated derived from amounts reported in the Corporation's financial statements (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
<u>Return on average tangible equity:</u>		
Net income (annualized)	\$ 89,695	\$ 71,886
Amortization of intangibles, net of tax (annualized)	4,707	4,376
	\$ 94,402	\$ 76,262
Average total stockholders' equity	\$ 1,166,305	\$ 1,052,569
Less: Average intangibles	(603,552)	(565,294)
	\$ 562,753	\$ 487,275
Return on average tangible equity	16.77%	15.65%
<u>Return on average tangible assets:</u>		
Net income (annualized)	\$ 89,695	\$ 71,886
Amortization of intangibles, net of tax (annualized)	4,707	4,376
	\$ 94,402	\$ 76,262
Average total assets	\$ 9,866,025	\$ 8,874,430
Less: Average intangibles	(603,552)	(565,294)
	\$ 9,262,473	\$ 8,309,136
Return on average tangible assets	1.02%	0.92%

Table of Contents

The following table provides information regarding the average balances and yields earned on interest earning assets and the average balances and rates paid on interest bearing liabilities (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,					
	2011			2010		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Assets						
Interest earning assets:						
Interest bearing deposits with banks	\$ 167,924	\$ 97	0.23%	\$ 159,874	\$ 109	0.27%
Taxable investment securities (1)	1,566,447	10,975	2.75	1,411,524	11,323	3.16
Non-taxable investment securities (2)	199,882	2,870	5.74	187,692	2,773	5.91
Loans (2) (3)	6,623,337	86,212	5.22	5,938,142	81,821	5.52
Total interest earning assets (2)	8,557,590	100,154	4.69	7,697,232	96,026	5.00
Cash and due from banks	166,216			139,760		
Allowance for loan losses	(109,489)			(113,531)		
Premises and equipment	126,527			116,156		
Other assets	1,125,181			1,034,814		
	\$ 9,866,025			\$ 8,874,430		
Liabilities						
Interest bearing liabilities:						
Deposits:						
Interest bearing demand	\$ 2,905,795	2,626	0.36	\$ 2,437,887	2,598	0.46
Savings	982,921	474	0.19	859,650	412	0.19
Certificates and other time	2,315,829	10,954	1.90	2,219,194	13,766	2.49
Customer repurchase agreements	588,564	758	0.51	618,554	1,120	0.72
Other short-term borrowings	144,301	876	2.40	126,972	911	2.84
Long-term debt	206,201	1,655	3.22	228,959	2,091	3.66
Junior subordinated debt	203,934	2,118	4.16	204,455	1,982	3.89
Total interest bearing liabilities (2)	7,347,545	19,461	1.06	6,695,671	22,880	1.37
Non-interest bearing demand	1,249,029			1,028,632		
Other liabilities	104,146			97,558		
	8,700,720			7,821,861		
Stockholders equity	1,166,305			1,052,569		
	\$ 9,867,025			\$ 8,874,430		
Excess of interest earning assets over interest bearing liabilities	\$ 1,210,045			\$ 1,001,561		
Fully tax-equivalent net interest income		80,693			73,146	
Tax-equivalent adjustment		(1,999)			(1,665)	
Net interest income		\$ 78,694			\$ 71,481	

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Net interest spread	3.63%	3.63%
Net interest margin (2)	3.78%	3.81%

- (1) The average balances and yields earned on taxable investment securities are based on historical cost.
- (2) The interest income amounts are reflected on a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis, a non-GAAP measure, which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35% for each period presented. The yields on earning assets and the net interest margin are presented on an FTE and annualized basis. The rates paid on interest bearing liabilities are also presented on an annualized basis. The Corporation believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.
- (3) Average balances include non-accrual loans. Loans consist of average total loans less average unearned income. The amount of loan fees included in interest income on loans is immaterial.

Table of Contents*Net Interest Income*

Net interest income, which is the Corporation's principal source of revenue, is the difference between interest income from earning assets (loans, securities, interest bearing deposits with banks and federal funds sold) and interest expense paid on liabilities (deposits, customer repurchase agreements and short- and long-term borrowings). For the three months ended June 30, 2011, net interest income, which comprised 72.9% of net revenue (net interest income plus non-interest income) compared to 71.5% for the same period in 2010, was affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates, the shape of the yield curve, the level of non-accrual loans and changes in the amount and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

Net interest income, on an FTE basis, increased \$7.5 million or 10.3% from \$73.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$80.7 million for the same period of 2011. Average earning assets increased \$860.4 million or 11.2% and average interest bearing liabilities increased \$651.9 million or 9.7% from the three months ended June 30, 2010 due to organic growth in investments, loans, deposits and customer repurchase agreements combined with the acquisition of CBI. The Corporation's net interest margin decreased from 3.81% for the second quarter of 2010 to 3.78% for the second quarter of 2011 due to loan yields declining faster than deposit rates, increased liquidity, including the impact of investing the May 2011 capital raise proceeds and securities, and higher cash balances invested on an overnight basis. Details on changes in tax equivalent net interest income attributed to changes in interest earning assets, interest bearing liabilities, yields and cost of funds are set forth in the preceding table.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding changes in net interest income attributable to changes in the volumes of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and changes in the rates for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Volume	Rate	Net
Interest Income			
Interest bearing deposits with banks	\$ 5	\$ (17)	\$ (12)
Securities	1,145	(1,396)	(251)
Loans	8,169	(3,778)	4,391
	9,319	(5,191)	4,128
Interest Expense			
Deposits:			
Interest bearing demand	387	(359)	28
Savings	57	5	62
Certificates and other time	579	(3,391)	(2,812)
Customer repurchase agreements	(52)	(310)	(362)
Other short-term borrowings	114	(149)	(35)
Long-term debt	(196)	(240)	(436)
Junior subordinated debt	(5)	141	136
	884	(4,303)	(3,419)
Net Change	\$ 8,435	\$ (888)	\$ 7,547

- (1) The amount of change not solely due to rate or volume changes was allocated between the change due to rate and the change due to volume based on the net size of the rate and volume changes.
- (2) Interest income amounts are reflected on an FTE basis which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35% for each period presented. The Corporation believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

Interest income, on an FTE basis, of \$100.2 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased by \$4.1 million or 4.3% from the same period of 2010 primarily due to increased earning assets resulting from a combination of organic growth and the CBI acquisition, partially offset by lower yields. The increase in earning assets was primarily driven by a \$685.2 million or 11.5% increase in average loans during the second quarter of

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

2011. Loans acquired from CBI totaled \$445.3 million on the acquisition date. The yield on earning assets decreased 31 basis points from the three months ended June 30, 2010 to 4.69% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, reflecting the decreases in market interest rates and competitive pressure.

Table of Contents

Interest expense of \$19.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$3.4 million or 14.9% from the same period of 2010 due to lower rates paid partially offset by growth in interest bearing liabilities resulting from a combination of organic growth and the acquisition of CBI. The rate paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased 31 basis points to 1.06% during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010, reflecting changes in interest rates and a favorable shift in mix. The growth in average interest bearing liabilities was primarily attributable to growth in deposits and customer repurchase agreements, which increased by \$877.2 million or 12.2% for the second quarter of 2011, compared to the same period of 2010, driven by success with ongoing marketing campaigns designed to attract new customers combined with customer preferences to keep funds in banks due to uncertainties in the market and the acquisition of CBI. This growth was partially offset by a \$22.8 million or 9.9% reduction in long-term debt primarily associated with the pre-payment and maturities of certain higher cost borrowings during the first quarter of 2010.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is determined based on management's estimates of the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses needed to absorb probable losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio, after giving consideration to charge-offs and recoveries for the period.

The provision for loan losses of \$8.6 million during the second quarter of 2011 decreased \$3.7 million from the same period in 2010. During the second quarter of 2011, net charge-offs decreased \$0.9 million from the same period of 2010. The Corporation recognized lower net charge-offs in its Florida portfolio, which decreased \$1.7 million compared to the second quarter of 2010, and higher net charge-offs in its Pennsylvania portfolio, which increased \$0.9 million compared to the second quarter of 2010. The allowance for loan losses decreased \$4.8 million to \$109.2 million at June 30, 2011 from June 30, 2010. While the economy is recovering from the recession, the duration of the slow economic environment remains a challenge for borrowers, particularly in the Corporation's Florida portfolio. The \$8.6 million provision for loan losses for the second quarter of 2011 was comprised of \$2.2 million relating to FNBPA's Florida region, \$1.7 million relating to Regency and \$4.7 million relating to the remainder of the Corporation's portfolio, which is predominantly in Pennsylvania. During the second quarter of 2011, net charge-offs were \$6.9 million or 0.42% (annualized) of average loans compared to \$7.8 million or 0.53% (annualized) of average loans for the same period in 2010. The net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2011 were comprised of \$0.2 million or 0.35% (annualized) of average loans relating to FNBPA's Florida region, \$1.4 million or 3.62% (annualized) of average loans relating to Regency and \$5.3 million or 0.34% (annualized) of average loans relating to the remainder of the Corporation's portfolio. For additional information relating to the allowance and provision for loan losses, refer to the Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Non-Interest Income

Total non-interest income of \$29.3 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased \$0.8 million or 2.9% from the same period of 2010. Increases in service charges, securities commissions and fees, trust income and income from BOLI combined with lower OTTI charges were partially offset by decreases in insurance commissions and fees, gain on sale of securities and other non-interest income. The variances in these non-interest income items are further explained in the following paragraphs.

There were no net impairment losses on securities for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to losses of \$0.6 million for the same period of 2010.

Service charges on loans and deposits of \$15.7 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased \$1.0 million or 6.8% from the same period of 2010, reflecting increases of \$0.7 million in income from interchange fees and \$0.6 million in other service charges due to a combination of new account growth and the CBI acquisition. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$0.2 million in overdraft fees resulting from changes in the pattern of consumer behavior and the implementation of Regulation E, which was effective for new accounts on July 1, 2010 and existing accounts on August 15, 2010. For information relating to the impact of the new regulations on the Corporation's income from interchange fees, refer to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Insurance commissions and fees of \$3.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased by \$0.2 million or 4.8% from the same period of 2010 primarily as a result of lower contingent and commission revenues resulting from current industry trends.

Table of Contents

Securities commissions of \$2.1 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased by \$0.4 million or 20.3% from the same period of 2010 primarily due to positive results from initiatives started towards the end of 2010, combined with increased volume, organic growth, improved market conditions and the CBI acquisition.

Trust fees of \$3.9 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased by \$0.8 million or 23.8% from the same period of 2010 due to increased volume, organic growth, improved market conditions and the CBI acquisition. Assets under management increased by \$181.0 million or 8.1% to \$2.4 billion over this same period.

Gain on the sale of residential mortgage loans of \$0.4 million for the second quarter of 2011 decreased from \$0.8 million for the same period of 2010 as a result of fewer loans sold, reflecting the national trends for residential real estate loans. For the second quarter of 2011, the Corporation sold \$28.2 million of residential mortgage loans compared to \$37.2 million for the same period of 2010 as part of its ongoing strategy of generally selling 30-year residential mortgage loans.

Income from BOLI of \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$0.1 million or 10.0% from the same period of 2010.

Other income of \$2.1 million for the second quarter of 2011 decreased \$1.4 million or 40.5% from the same period of 2010. The primary item contributing to this decrease was a \$1.6 million gain relating to the successful harvesting of a mezzanine financing relationship by the Corporation's merchant banking subsidiary during the second quarter of 2010. Partially offsetting this decrease was an increase of \$0.2 million in fees earned through an interest rate swap program for larger commercial customers who desire fixed rate loans while the Corporation benefits from a variable rate asset, thereby helping to reduce volatility in its net interest income.

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense of \$68.4 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased \$5.3 million or 8.4% from the same period of 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to increases in salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, amortization of intangibles, merger-related expenses and other non-interest expense, partially offset by decreases in outside services and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance. These variances in non-interest expense items are further explained in the following paragraphs.

Salaries and employee benefits of \$36.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$3.1 million or 9.4% from the same period of 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to higher salary and employee benefit expense due to the CBI acquisition and merit increases combined with higher incentive compensation, restricted stock expense and 401(k) contribution expense. These increases were partially offset by lower retirement plan expense as a result of the Corporation freezing the RIP at December 31, 2010, as discussed in the Retirement and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans footnote.

Occupancy and equipment expense of \$10.0 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased \$0.5 million or 5.7% from the same period of 2010 primarily due to higher expenses associated with the CBI acquisition.

Amortization of intangibles expense of \$1.8 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased \$0.1 million or 7.5% from the same period of 2010 due to higher intangible balances due to the CBI acquisition.

Outside services expense of \$5.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$0.5 million or 8.6% from the same period of 2010, primarily resulting from a decrease of \$0.5 million in fees associated with ATM services due to new contract pricing combined with a decrease of \$0.3 million in courier expenses resulting from the elimination of courier service related to check imaging of daily cash letters. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$0.3 million related to services provided other relating to various projects.

FDIC insurance of \$1.9 million for the second quarter of 2011 decreased \$0.8 million or 29.2% from the same period of 2010 primarily due to new assessment methodology effective during the second quarter of 2011, partially offset by the impact of the CBI acquisition.

The Corporation recorded \$0.2 million in merger-related costs associated with the CBI acquisition and the pending PFC acquisition during the second quarter of 2011. No merger-related costs were recorded during the same period of 2010.

Table of Contents

Other non-interest expense increased to \$12.6 million for the second quarter of 2011 from \$10.0 million for the second quarter of 2010, primarily resulting from an increase of \$2.0 million due to OREO expenses to reflect the current valuations and property maintenance costs, mainly relating to the Corporation's Florida real estate loan portfolio. Additionally, marketing expense, loan related expense and state capital stock tax expense increased \$0.3 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, during that same time period mainly due to the acquisition of CBI.

Income Taxes

The Corporation's income tax expense of \$8.7 million for the second quarter of 2011 increased \$2.0 million or 29.8% from the same period of 2010. The effective tax rate of 27.94% for the second quarter of 2011 increased slightly from 27.15% for the same period of 2010 primarily due to higher taxable income for the second quarter of 2011. Both periods' tax rates are lower than the 35.0% federal statutory tax rate due to the tax benefits primarily resulting from tax-exempt income on investments and loans, tax credits and income from BOLI.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$39.5 million or \$0.32 per diluted share, compared to net income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 of \$33.9 million or \$0.30 per diluted share. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Corporation's return on average equity was 6.94% and its return on average assets was 0.82%, compared to 6.51% and 0.78%, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The following tables summarize the Corporation's non-GAAP financial measures for the periods indicated derived from amounts reported in the Corporation's financial statements (dollars in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
<u>Return on average tangible equity:</u>		
Net income (annualized)	\$ 79,729	\$ 68,366
Amortization of intangibles, net of tax (annualized)	4,720	4,412
	\$ 84,449	\$ 72,778
Average total stockholders' equity	\$ 1,148,065	\$ 1,049,846
Less: Average intangibles	(599,516)	(566,134)
	\$ 548,549	\$ 483,712
Return on average tangible equity	15.40%	15.05%
<u>Return on average tangible assets:</u>		
Net income (annualized)	\$ 79,729	\$ 68,366
Amortization of intangibles, net of tax (annualized)	4,720	4,412
	\$ 84,449	\$ 72,778
Average total assets	\$ 9,780,993	\$ 8,810,141
Less: Average intangibles	(599,516)	(566,134)
	\$ 9,181,477	\$ 8,244,007

Return on average tangible assets

0.92%

0.88%

Table of Contents

The following table provides information regarding the average balances and yields earned on interest earning assets and the average balances and rates paid on interest bearing liabilities (dollars in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2011			2010		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate
Assets						
Interest earning assets:						
Interest bearing deposits with banks	\$ 152,687	\$ 178	0.24%	\$ 178,029	\$ 206	0.23%
Taxable investment securities (1)	1,546,078	21,594	2.74	1,348,390	22,576	3.30
Non-taxable investment securities (2)	203,039	5,839	5.75	192,710	5,650	5.86
Loans (2) (3)	6,582,006	171,879	5.26	5,914,051	161,778	5.51
Total interest earning assets (2)	8,483,810	199,490	4.73	7,633,180	190,210	5.01
Cash and due from banks	154,453			138,179		
Allowance for loan losses	(108,877)			(110,908)		
Premises and equipment	126,915			116,743		
Other assets	1,124,692			1,032,947		
	\$ 9,780,993			\$ 8,810,141		
Liabilities						
Interest bearing liabilities:						
Deposits:						
Interest bearing demand	\$ 2,845,081	5,307	0.38	\$ 2,406,500	5,547	0.46
Savings	976,618	952	0.20	851,018	824	0.20
Certificates and other time	2,327,922	22,390	1.94	2,219,065	27,959	2.54
Customer repurchase agreements	617,088	1,677	0.54	607,677	2,308	0.76
Other short-term borrowings	143,918	1,790	2.47	129,839	1,854	2.84
Long-term debt	202,644	3,283	3.27	245,846	4,637	3.80
Junior subordinated debt	203,947	4,150	4.10	204,540	3,892	3.84
Total interest bearing liabilities (2)	7,317,218	39,549	1.09	6,664,485	47,021	1.42
Non-interest bearing demand	1,212,229			999,441		
Other liabilities	103,481			96,369		
	8,632,928			7,760,295		
Stockholders equity	1,148,065			1,049,846		
	\$ 9,780,993			\$ 8,810,141		
Excess of interest earning assets over interest bearing liabilities						
	\$ 1,166,592			\$ 968,695		
Fully tax-equivalent net interest income		159,941			143,189	
Tax-equivalent adjustment		(3,964)			(3,303)	
Net interest income		\$ 155,977			\$ 139,886	

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Net interest spread	3.64%	3.59%
Net interest margin (2)	3.79%	3.77%

- (1) The average balances and yields earned on taxable investment securities are based on historical cost.
- (2) The interest income amounts are reflected on a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis, a non-GAAP measure, which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35% for each period presented. The yields on earning assets and the net interest margin are presented on an FTE and annualized basis. The rates paid on interest bearing liabilities are also presented on an annualized basis. The Corporation believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.
- (3) Average balances include non-accrual loans. Loans consist of average total loans less average unearned income. The amount of loan fees included in interest income on loans is immaterial.

Table of Contents*Net Interest Income*

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net interest income, which comprised 73.0% of net revenue compared to 70.4% for the same period in 2010, was affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates, the shape of the yield curve, the level of non-accrual loans and changes in the amount and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

Net interest income, on an FTE basis, increased \$16.8 million or 11.7% from \$143.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$159.9 million for the same period of 2011. Average earning assets increased \$850.6 million or 11.1% and average interest bearing liabilities increased \$652.7 million or 9.8% from the six months ended June 30, 2010 due to organic growth in investments, loans, deposits and customer repurchase agreements combined with the acquisition of CBI. The Corporation's net interest margin increased from 3.77% for the first six months of 2010 to 3.79% for the first six months of 2011 as deposit rates declined faster than loan yields along with an improved funding mix with higher transaction account balances and lower long-term debt. Details on changes in tax equivalent net interest income attributed to changes in interest earning assets, interest bearing liabilities, yields and cost of funds are set forth in the preceding table.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding changes in net interest income attributable to changes in the volumes of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and changes in the rates for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Volume	Rate	Net
Interest Income			
Interest bearing deposits with banks	\$ (30)	\$ 2	\$ (28)
Securities	2,831	(3,624)	(793)
Loans	15,808	(5,707)	10,101
	18,609	(9,329)	9,280
Interest Expense			
Deposits:			
Interest bearing demand	736	(976)	(240)
Savings	120	8	128
Certificates and other time	1,338	(6,907)	(5,569)
Customer repurchase agreements	35	(666)	(631)
Other short-term borrowings	187	(251)	(64)
Long-term debt	(751)	(603)	(1,354)
Junior subordinated debt	(11)	269	258
	1,654	(9,126)	(7,472)
Net Change	\$ 16,955	\$ (203)	\$ 16,752

- (1) The amount of change not solely due to rate or volume changes was allocated between the change due to rate and the change due to volume based on the net size of the rate and volume changes.
- (2) Interest income amounts are reflected on an FTE basis which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35% for each period presented. The Corporation believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

Interest income, on an FTE basis, of \$199.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$9.3 million or 4.9% from the same period of 2010 primarily due to increased earning assets resulting from a combination of organic growth and the CBI acquisition, partially offset by lower yields. The increase in earning assets was primarily driven by a \$668.0 million or 11.3% increase in average loans during the first six months of 2011. Loans acquired from CBI totaled \$445.3 million on the acquisition date. The yield on earning assets decreased 28 basis points from the six months ended June 30, 2010 to 4.73% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, reflecting the decreases in market interest rates and competitive pressure.

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Interest expense of \$39.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$7.5 million or 15.9% from the same period of 2010 due to lower rates paid partially offset by growth in interest bearing liabilities resulting from a combination of organic growth and the acquisition of CBI. The rate paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased 33

Table of Contents

basis points to 1.09% during the first six months of 2011 compared to the first six months of 2010, reflecting changes in interest rates and a favorable shift in mix. The growth in average interest bearing liabilities was primarily attributable to growth in deposits and customer repurchase agreements, which increased by \$895.2 million or 12.6% for the first six months of 2011, compared to the same period of 2010, driven by success with ongoing marketing campaigns designed to attract new customers combined with customer preferences to keep funds in banks due to uncertainties in the market and the acquisition of CBI. This growth was partially offset by a \$43.2 million or 17.6% reduction in long-term debt primarily associated with the pre-payment and maturities of certain higher cost borrowings during the first quarter of 2010.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses of \$16.8 million during the first six months of 2011 decreased \$7.4 million from the same period in 2010. During the first six months of 2011, net charge-offs decreased \$1.1 million from the same period of 2010 as the Corporation recognized lower net charge-offs in its Florida portfolio, which decreased \$1.5 million compared to the first six months of 2011. The allowance for loan losses decreased \$4.8 million to \$109.2 million at June 30, 2011 from June 30, 2010. While the economy is recovering from the recession, the duration of the slow economic environment remains a challenge for borrowers, particularly in the Corporation's Florida portfolio. The \$16.8 million provision for loan losses for the first six months of 2011 was comprised of \$3.8 million relating to FNBPA's Florida region, \$3.0 million relating to Regency and \$10.0 million relating to the remainder of the Corporation's portfolio, which is predominantly in Pennsylvania. During the first six months of 2011, net charge-offs were \$13.7 million or 0.42% (annualized) of average loans compared to \$14.8 million or 0.51% (annualized) of average loans for the same period in 2010. The net charge-offs for the first six months of 2011 were comprised of \$1.3 million or 1.44% (annualized) of average loans relating to FNBPA's Florida region, \$3.0 million or 3.76% (annualized) of average loans relating to Regency and \$9.4 million or 0.30% (annualized) of average loans relating to the remainder of the Corporation's portfolio. For additional information relating to the allowance and provision for loan losses, refer to the Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Non-Interest Income

Total non-interest income of \$57.7 million for the first six months of 2011 decreased \$1.0 million or 1.8% from the same period of 2010. Increases in service charges, securities commissions and fees, trust income, gain on sale of loans and income from BOLI combined with lower OTTI charges were more than offset by decreases in insurance commissions and fees, gain on sale of securities and other non-interest income. The variances in these non-interest income items are further explained in the following paragraphs.

There were no net impairment losses on securities for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to losses of \$2.3 million for the same period of 2010.

Service charges on loans and deposits of \$30.0 million for the first six months of 2011 increased \$1.6 million or 5.7% from the same period of 2010, reflecting increases of \$1.4 million in income from interchange fees and \$0.8 million in other service charges due to a combination of new account growth and the CBI acquisition. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$0.6 million in overdraft fees resulting from changes in the pattern of consumer behavior and the implementation of Regulation E, which was effective for new accounts on July 1, 2010 and existing accounts on August 15, 2010. For information relating to the impact of the new regulations on the Corporation's income from interchange fees, refer to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Insurance commissions and fees of \$7.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased by \$0.4 million or 4.4% from the same period of 2010 primarily as a result of lower contingent and commission revenues resulting from current industry trends.

Securities commissions of \$4.1 million for the first six months of 2011 increased by \$0.8 million or 23.2% from the same period of 2010 primarily due to positive results from initiatives started towards the end of 2010, combined with increased volume, organic growth, improved market conditions and the CBI acquisition.

Trust fees of \$7.7 million for the first six months of 2011 increased by \$1.3 million or 20.7% from the same period of 2010 due to increased volume, organic growth, improved market conditions and the CBI acquisition. Assets under management increased by \$181.0 million or 8.1% to \$2.4 billion over this same period.

Table of Contents

Gain on sale of securities of \$0.1 million for the first six months of 2011 decreased \$2.3 million or 96.2% from the same period of 2010 primarily as a result of the Corporation selling a \$6.0 million U.S. government agency security and \$53.8 million of mortgage-backed securities during the first quarter of 2010 to better position the balance sheet

Gain on the sale of residential mortgage loans of \$1.1 million for the first six months of 2011 decreased from \$1.4 million for the same period of 2010 as a result of fewer loans sold, reflecting national trends for residential real estate loans. For the first six months of 2011, the Corporation sold \$70.9 million of residential mortgage loans compared to \$74.7 million for the same period of 2010 as part of its ongoing strategy of generally selling 30-year residential mortgage loans.

Income from BOLI of \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$0.3 million or 12.6% from the same period of 2010.

Other income of \$4.3 million for the first six months of 2011 decreased \$4.4 million or 50.5% from the same period of 2010. The primary items contributing to this decrease were \$3.5 million less in recoveries on impaired loans acquired in previous acquisitions and a \$1.6 million gain relating to the successful harvesting of a mezzanine financing relationship by the Corporation's merchant banking subsidiary during the first half of 2010. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase of \$0.8 million in fees earned through an interest rate swap program for larger commercial customers who desire fixed rate loans while the Corporation benefits from a variable rate asset, thereby helping to reduce volatility in its net interest income.

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense of \$142.9 million for the first six months of 2011 increased \$14.4 million or 11.2% from the same period of 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to increases in salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, amortization of intangibles, FDIC insurance and merger-related expenses partially offset by decreases in outside services and other non-interest expense. These variances in non-interest expense items are further explained in the following paragraphs.

Salaries and employee benefits of \$74.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$8.4 million or 12.6% from the same period of 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to higher salary expense due to the CBI acquisition and merit increases combined with higher incentive compensation, restricted stock expense and 401(k) contribution expense. These increases were partially offset by lower retirement plan expense as a result of the Corporation freezing the RIP at December 31, 2010, as discussed in the Retirement and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans footnote.

Occupancy and equipment expense of \$20.4 million for the first six months of 2011 increased \$0.9 million or 4.4% from the same period of 2010 primarily due to the CBI acquired branch offices.

Amortization of intangibles expense of \$3.6 million for the first six months of 2011 increased \$0.2 million or 7.0% from the same period of 2010 due to higher intangible balances due to the CBI acquisition.

Outside services expense of \$10.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$0.8 million or 7.3% from the same period of 2010, primarily resulting from a decrease of \$0.3 million in fees associated with ATM services due to new contract pricing combined with a decrease of \$0.7 million in courier expenses resulting from the elimination of courier service related to check imaging of daily cash letters. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$0.4 million related to services provided other relating to various projects. FDIC insurance of \$4.6 million for the first six months of 2011 decreased \$0.7 million or 12.8% from the same period of 2010 due to the new assessment methodology effective during the second quarter of 2011, partially offset by the impact of the CBI acquisition.

The Corporation recorded \$4.3 million in merger-related costs associated with the CBI acquisition and the pending PFC acquisition during the first six months of 2011. No merger-related costs were recorded during the same period of 2010.

Other non-interest expense of \$24.6 million for the first six months of 2011 increased \$2.1 million or 9.4% from the same period of 2010. During the first six months of 2011, OREO expenses increased \$2.4 million to reflect the current valuations and property maintenance costs, primarily associated with the Corporation's Florida real estate loan

Table of Contents

portfolio. Additionally, other expenses, including loan related, marketing, state capital stock taxes, supplies and postage increased a combined \$1.7 million during the first six months of 2011 mainly due to the acquisition of CBI. During the first six months of 2010, the Corporation recorded charges of \$2.3 million associated with the prepayment of certain higher cost borrowings to better position the balance sheet.

Income Taxes

The Corporation's income tax expense of \$14.4 million for the first six months of 2011 increased \$2.5 million or 20.5% from the same period of 2010. The effective tax rate of 26.73% for the first six months of 2011 increased slightly from 26.10% for the same period of 2010 primarily due to higher taxable income for the first six months of 2011. Both periods' tax rates are lower than the 35.0% federal statutory tax rate due to the tax benefits primarily resulting from tax-exempt income on investments and loans, tax credits and income from BOLI.

LIQUIDITY

The Corporation's goal in liquidity management is to satisfy the cash flow requirements of customers and the operating cash needs of the Corporation with cost-effective funding. The Board of Directors of the Corporation has established an Asset/Liability Management Policy in order to achieve and maintain earnings performance consistent with long-term goals while maintaining acceptable levels of interest rate risk, a well-capitalized balance sheet and adequate levels of liquidity. The Board of Directors of the Corporation has also established a Contingency Funding Policy to address liquidity crisis conditions. These policies designate the Corporate Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) as the body responsible for meeting these objectives. The ALCO, which includes members of executive management, reviews liquidity on a periodic basis and approves significant changes in strategies that affect balance sheet or cash flow positions. Liquidity is centrally managed on a daily basis by the Corporation's Treasury Department.

FNBPA generates liquidity from its normal business operations. Liquidity sources from assets include payments from loans and investments as well as the ability to securitize, pledge or sell loans, investment securities and other assets. Liquidity sources from liabilities are generated primarily through the 235 banking offices of FNBPA in the form of deposits and customer repurchase agreements. The Corporation also has access to reliable and cost-effective wholesale sources of liquidity. Short-term and long-term funds can be acquired to help fund normal business operations as well as serve as contingency funding in the event that the Corporation would be faced with a liquidity crisis.

The principal sources of the parent company's liquidity are its strong existing cash resources plus dividends it receives from its subsidiaries. These dividends may be impacted by the parent's or its subsidiaries' capital needs, statutory laws and regulations, corporate policies, contractual restrictions, profitability and other factors. Cash on hand at the parent at June 30, 2011 was \$141.5 million compared to \$91.6 million at December 31, 2010. This increase is primarily the result of the \$62.8 million of net proceeds from the stock offering completed on May 18, 2011. Management believes these are appropriate levels of cash for the Corporation given the current environment. Two metrics that are used to gauge the adequacy of the parent company's cash position are the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and Months of Cash on Hand (MCH). The LCR is defined as the sum of cash on hand plus cash inflows over the next 12 months divided by cash outflows over the next 12 months. The LCR was 2.4x on June 30, 2011 and 2.0x on December 31, 2010 versus a policy guideline of $\geq 1.0x$. The MCH is defined as the number of months of corporate expenses that can be covered by the cash on hand. The MCH was 18 months on June 30, 2011 and 12 months on December 31, 2010 versus a policy guideline of ≥ 3 months. Regency also has a \$25.0 million committed line of credit with a major domestic bank. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$10.0 million was outstanding. In addition, the Corporation also issues subordinated notes through Regency on a regular basis. Subordinated notes increased \$5.1 million or 2.5% during the first six months of 2011 to \$209.3 million at June 30, 2011.

The liquidity position of the Corporation continues to be strong as evidenced by its ability to generate strong growth in deposits and customer repurchase agreements. On average, deposits and customer repurchase agreements increased \$125.1 million or 6.3% annualized for the quarter. The growth in transaction deposit accounts and customer repurchase agreements was due to new client acquisition and higher customer balances. This was partially offset by the continued planned decline in time deposits given the Corporation's overall positive liquidity position. FNBPA had unused wholesale credit availability of \$3.0 billion or 31.6% of bank assets at June 30, 2011 and \$2.9 billion or 33.1% of bank assets at December 31, 2010. These sources include the availability to borrow from the FHLB, the FRB, correspondent bank lines and access to certificates of deposit issued through brokers. FNBPA has identified certain liquid assets, including overnight cash, unpledged securities and loans, which could be sold to meet funding needs. Included in these liquid assets are overnight balances and unpledged government and agency securities which totaled

Table of Contents

5.3% and 4.6% of bank assets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. FNBPA also offers an offshore, non-collateralized, interest-bearing savings account. This account has reduced the security pledging requirements of FNBPA as customers move from repurchase agreements to this account. Consequently, the lower pledging requirements have resulted in a higher level of unpledged government and agency securities available for contingency funding needs. The account balance was \$129.8 million and \$30.4 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Another metric for measuring liquidity risk is the liquidity gap analysis. The following liquidity gap analysis (in thousands) for the Corporation as of June 30, 2011 compares the difference between cash flows from existing assets and liabilities over future time intervals. Management seeks to limit the size of the liquidity gaps so that sources and uses of funds are reasonably matched in the normal course of business. A matched position lays a better foundation for dealing with the additional funding needs during a potential liquidity crisis. The twelve-month cumulative gap to total assets of 2.6% and (1.1)% as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, compares to an internal guideline of between 5.0% and (5.0)%.

	Within 1 Month	2-3 Months	4-6 Months	7-12 Months	Total 1 Year
Assets					
Loans	\$ 191,685	\$ 309,253	\$ 449,661	\$ 741,671	\$ 1,692,270
Investments	78,148	127,050	199,970	258,406	663,574
	269,833	436,303	649,631	1,000,077	2,355,844
Liabilities					
Non-maturity deposits	47,567	95,134	142,701	285,402	570,804
Time deposits	127,234	244,617	396,199	546,720	1,314,770
Borrowings	46,908	30,024	43,145	91,527	211,604
	221,709	369,775	582,045	923,649	2,097,178
Period Gap (Assets - Liabilities)	\$ 48,124	\$ 66,528	\$ 67,586	\$ 76,428	\$ 258,666
Cumulative Gap	\$ 48,124	\$ 114,652	\$ 182,238	\$ 258,666	
Cumulative Gap to Total Assets	0.5%	1.2%	1.8%	2.6%	

In addition, the ALCO regularly monitors various liquidity ratios and stress scenarios of the Corporation's liquidity position. Management believes the Corporation has sufficient liquidity available to meet its normal operating and contingency funding cash needs.

MARKET RISK

Market risk refers to potential losses arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices and commodity prices. The Securities footnote discusses impairment charges the Corporation has taken on its investment portfolio during 2010 relating to the pooled TPS. The Securities footnote also discusses the ongoing process management utilizes to determine whether impairment exists.

The Corporation is primarily exposed to interest rate risk inherent in its lending and deposit-taking activities as a financial intermediary. To succeed in this capacity, the Corporation offers an extensive variety of financial products to meet the diverse needs of its customers. These products sometimes contribute to interest rate risk for the Corporation when product groups do not complement one another. For example, depositors may want short-term deposits while borrowers desire long-term loans.

Changes in market interest rates may result in changes in the fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments, cash flows and net interest income. The ALCO is responsible for market risk management which involves devising policy guidelines, risk measures and limits, and managing the amount of interest rate risk and its effect on net interest income and capital. The Corporation uses derivative financial instruments for interest rate risk management purposes and not for trading or speculative purposes.

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Interest rate risk is comprised of repricing risk, basis risk, yield curve risk and options risk. Repricing risk arises from differences in the cash flow or repricing between asset and liability portfolios. Basis risk arises when asset

Table of Contents

and liability portfolios are related to different market rate indexes, which do not always change by the same amount. Yield curve risk arises when asset and liability portfolios are related to different maturities on a given yield curve; when the yield curve changes shape, the risk position is altered. Options risk arises from embedded options within asset and liability products as certain borrowers have the option to prepay their loans when rates fall while certain depositors can redeem their certificates of deposit early when rates rise.

The Corporation uses a sophisticated asset/liability model to measure its interest rate risk. Interest rate risk measures utilized by the Corporation include earnings simulation, economic value of equity (EVE) and gap analysis.

Gap analysis and EVE are static measures that do not incorporate assumptions regarding future business. Gap analysis, while a helpful diagnostic tool, displays cash flows for only a single rate environment. EVE's long-term horizon helps identify changes in optionality and longer-term positions. However, EVE's liquidation perspective does not translate into the earnings-based measures that are the focus of managing and valuing a going concern. Net interest income simulations explicitly measure the exposure to earnings from changes in market rates of interest. In these simulations, the Corporation's current financial position is combined with assumptions regarding future business to calculate net interest income under various hypothetical rate scenarios. The ALCO reviews earnings simulations over multiple years under various interest rate scenarios on a periodic basis. Reviewing these various measures provides the Corporation with a comprehensive view of its interest rate profile.

The following repricing gap analysis (in thousands) as of June 30, 2011 compares the difference between the amount of interest earning assets (IEA) and interest bearing liabilities (IBL) subject to repricing over a period of time. A ratio of more than one indicates a higher level of repricing assets over repricing liabilities for the time period. Conversely, a ratio of less than one indicates a higher level of repricing liabilities over repricing assets for the time period.

	Within 1 Month	2-3 Months	4-6 Months	7-12 Months	Total 1 Year
Interest Earning Assets (IEA)					
Loans	\$ 2,245,488	\$ 519,132	\$ 391,927	\$ 611,536	\$ 3,768,083
Investments	87,348	148,443	253,361	309,131	798,283
	2,332,836	667,575	645,288	920,667	4,566,366
Interest Bearing Liabilities (IBL)					
Non-maturity deposits	1,888,096				1,888,096
Time deposits	140,651	245,504	395,584	545,865	1,327,604
Borrowings	656,517	28,765	9,007	23,252	717,541
	2,685,264	274,269	404,591	569,117	3,933,241
Period Gap	\$ (352,428)	\$ 393,306	\$ 240,697	\$ 351,550	\$ 633,125
Cumulative Gap	\$ (352,428)	\$ 40,878	\$ 281,575	\$ 633,125	
IEA/IBL (Cumulative)	0.87	1.01	1.08	1.16	
Cumulative Gap to IEA	(4.1)%	0.5%	3.3%	7.4%	

The cumulative twelve-month IEA to IBL ratio changed to 1.16 for June 30, 2011 from 1.13 for December 31, 2010.

The allocation of non-maturity deposits to the one-month maturity category is based on the estimated sensitivity of each product to changes in market rates. For example, if a product's rate is estimated to increase by 50% as much as the market rates, then 50% of the account balance was placed in this category.

The following net interest income metrics were calculated using rate ramps which move market rates in a parallel fashion gradually over 12 months, whereas the EVE metrics utilized rate shocks which represent immediate rate changes that move all market rates by the same amount.

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

The variance percentages represent the change between the net interest income or EVE calculated under the particular rate scenario versus the net interest income or EVE that was calculated assuming market rates as of June 30, 2011.

The Corporation completed a core deposit study in early 2011 in which a statistical analysis of the behavior of these funds was conducted using data over nearly six years. The study provided historical behaviors of pricing

Table of Contents

elasticity, in terms of both rate change magnitude (beta) and the time lag in response to a change in market rates, for various core deposit categories. The study also provided estimates of the average lives of these categories. The ALCO reviewed the study extensively and made changes to its modeling assumptions for the betas, lags and average lives of deposits and repurchase agreements. In all cases, the revised assumptions were more conservative than those values indicated by the historical study. That is, while rate lag and life assumptions were increased, they were still less than those implied by the study. The beta assumptions, in aggregate, were largely unchanged from prior quarter and were more than those implied by the study. The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011 provided interest rate risk metrics using both old and new assumptions so that the user could see the effect of this assumption change.

The following table presents an analysis of the potential sensitivity of the Corporation's net interest income and EVE to changes in interest rates.

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	ALCO Guidelines
Net interest income change (12 months):			
+ 300 basis points	2.1%	0.1%	+/-5.0%
+ 200 basis points	1.4%	0.0%	+/-5.0%
+ 100 basis points	0.6%	(0.1)%	+/-5.0%
100 basis points	0.3%	0.2%	+/-5.0%
Economic value of equity:			
+ 300 basis points	(0.9)%	(8.5)%	
+ 200 basis points	(0.1)%	(5.2)%	
+ 100 basis points	0.4%	(2.2)%	
100 basis points	(4.9)%	1.4%	

The Corporation's strategy is to manage to a relatively neutral interest rate risk position. Currently, rising rates are expected to have a modest, positive effect on net interest income. The Corporation has maintained a relatively stable net interest margin over the last five years despite market rate volatility.

During the first six months of 2011, the ALCO utilized several strategies to maintain the Corporation's interest rate risk position at a relatively neutral level. For example, the Corporation successfully achieved growth in longer-term certificates of deposit. The average life of the certificates of deposit portfolio increased to 16.9 months as of June 30, 2011 from 14.6 months as of December 31, 2010. This was due to the CBI acquisition and also due to the fact that new volumes of certificates of deposit in the first six months of 2011 had an average original term of 18 months. On the lending side, the Corporation regularly sells long-term fixed-rate residential mortgages to the secondary market and has been successful in the origination of consumer and commercial loans with short-term repricing characteristics. Total variable and adjustable-rate loans increased from 58.4% of total loans as of December 31, 2010 to 58.7% of total loans as of June 30, 2011. The investment portfolio is used, in part, to manage the Corporation's interest rate risk position. The duration of the investment portfolio is relatively low at 2.4 years at June 30, 2011 and 2.5 years at December 31, 2010. The investment portfolio is expected to generate approximately \$375.6 million in cash flow over the remainder of 2011. Finally, the Corporation has made use of interest rate swaps to lessen its interest rate risk position. The \$121.9 million in notional swap principal originated during the first six months of 2011 contributed to the increase in adjustable loans and contributed to the current total of \$570.3 million of notional principal under the swap program. For additional information regarding interest rate swaps, see the Derivative Instruments footnote.

OCC Bulletin 2000-16 mandates that banks have their asset/liability models independently validated on a periodic basis. The Corporation's Asset/Liability Management Policy states that the model will be validated at least every three years. A leading asset/liability consulting firm issued a report as of December 31, 2009 after conducting a validation of the model for FNBPA. The model received an "Excellent" rating, which according to the consultant, indicates that the overall model implementation meets FNBPA's earnings performance assessment and interest rate risk analysis needs.

The Corporation recognizes that all asset/liability models have some inherent shortcomings. Asset/liability models require certain assumptions to be made, such as prepayment rates on interest earning assets and pricing impact on non-maturity deposits, which may differ from actual experience. These business assumptions are based upon the Corporation's experience, business plans and available industry data. While management believes such assumptions to

Table of Contents

be reasonable, there can be no assurance that modeled results will be achieved. Furthermore, the metrics are based upon the balance sheet structure as of the valuation date and do not reflect the planned growth or management actions which could be taken.

RISK MANAGEMENT

The key to effective risk management is to be proactive in identifying, measuring, evaluating and monitoring risk on an ongoing basis. Risk management practices support decision-making, improve the success rate for new initiatives, and strengthen the market's confidence in the Corporation and its affiliates.

The Corporation supports its risk management process through a governance structure involving its Board of Directors and senior management. The Corporation's Risk Committee, which is comprised of various members of the Board of Directors, oversees management execution of business decisions within the Corporation's desired risk profile. The Risk Committee has the following key roles:

assist management with the identification, assessment and evaluation of the types of risk to which the Corporation is exposed;

monitor the effectiveness of risk functions throughout the Corporation's business and operations; and

assist management with identifying and implementing risk management best practices, as appropriate, and review strategies, policies and procedures that are designed to identify and mitigate risks to the Corporation.

FNBPA has a Risk Management Committee comprised of senior management to provide day-to-day oversight to specific areas of risk with respect to the level of risk and risk management structure. FNBPA's Risk Management Committee reports on a regular basis to the Corporation's Risk Committee regarding the enterprise risk profile of the Corporation and other relevant risk management issues.

The Corporation's audit function performs an independent assessment of the internal control environment. Moreover, the Corporation's audit function plays a critical role in risk management, testing the operation of internal control systems and reporting findings to management and to the Corporation's Audit Committee. Both the Corporation's Risk Committee and FNBPA's Risk Management Committee regularly assess the Corporation's enterprise-wide risk profile and provide guidance to senior management on actions needed to address key risk issues.

DEPOSITS AND CUSTOMER REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

Following is a summary of deposits and customer repurchase agreements (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Non-interest bearing	\$ 1,267,554	\$ 1,093,230
Savings and NOW	3,853,257	3,423,844
Certificates of deposit and other time deposits	2,276,408	2,129,069
Total deposits	7,397,219	6,646,143
Customer repurchase agreements	563,196	611,902
Total deposits and customer repurchase agreements	\$ 7,960,415	\$ 7,258,045

Total deposits and customer repurchase agreements increased by \$702.4 million or 9.7% to \$8.0 billion at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010, primarily as a result of the CBI acquisition combined with an organic increase in transaction accounts, which are comprised of non-interest bearing, savings and NOW accounts (which includes money market deposit accounts) and customer repurchase agreements. The increase in transaction accounts is a result of the Corporation's ongoing marketing campaigns designed to attract new customers to the Corporation's local approach to banking.

Table of Contents**NON-PERFORMING ASSETS**

Non-performing assets, which is comprised of non-performing loans, OREO and non-performing investments, totaled \$169.6 million at June 30, 2011 compared to \$174.0 million at December 31, 2010. The composition of non-performing loans and OREO changed during the first six months of 2011 as non-accrual loans decreased \$8.5 million, while restructured loans and OREO increased \$0.4 million and \$3.1 million, respectively. Additionally, non-performing investments increased \$0.6 million during this same period. The decrease in non-accrual loans was driven by a \$10.3 million decrease in non-accrual loans in the Corporation's Florida portfolio, partially offset by increases of \$2.1 million and \$0.2 million in non-accrual loans in the Corporation's Pennsylvania and Regency portfolios, respectively. The increase in restructured loans was primarily the result of an increase of \$1.9 million relating to the Corporation's Pennsylvania portfolio from modifying residential loans to help homeowners retain their residences. Additionally, OREO associated with the Corporation's Florida portfolio increased \$3.0 million primarily the result of successful foreclosure efforts during 2011.

The following tables provide additional information relating to non-performing loans for the Corporation's loan portfolios (dollars in thousands):

	FNBPA (PA)	FNBPA (FL)	Regency	Total
June 30, 2011				
Non-performing loans	\$ 75,905	\$ 44,890	\$ 6,442	\$ 127,237
Other real estate owned (OREO)	10,472	23,868	1,453	35,793
Total past due loans	113,804	44,913	5,899	164,616
Non-performing loans/total loans	1.19%	24.91%	3.95%	1.90%
Non-performing loans + OREO/ total loans + OREO	 1.36%	 33.69%	 4.80%	 2.42%
December 31, 2010				
Non-performing loans	\$ 71,961	\$ 55,222	\$ 8,111	\$ 135,294
Other real estate owned (OREO)	10,520	20,860	1,322	32,702
Total past due loans	103,255	57,721	6,869	167,845
Non-performing loans/total loans	1.26%	28.28%	4.98%	2.22%
Non-performing loans + OREO/ total loans + OREO	 1.44%	 35.20%	 5.75%	 2.74%

FNBPA (PA) reflects FNBPA's total portfolio excluding the Florida portfolio which is presented separately.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2011 increased \$3.1 million or 2.9% from December 31, 2010 as the provision for loan losses during the six months ended June 30, 2011 of \$16.8 million exceeded net charge-offs of \$13.7 million. The increased lending activity in the Corporation's Pennsylvania portfolio resulted in a \$0.6 million increase in the allowance for loan losses for this portfolio over this same time period. Meanwhile, the duration of the slow economic environment in the Corporation's Florida portfolio continues to be a challenge as the allowance for loan losses for the Florida portfolio was \$20.0 million or 11.11% of total loans in that portfolio at June 30, 2011 compared to \$17.5 million or 8.95% of that portfolio at December 31, 2010. Based on data collected from reappraisals during 2010 on certain properties in the Florida portfolio, along with Florida market data, the information suggests that Florida land valuations have not yet fully stabilized. The allowance for the Florida land-related portfolio at June 30, 2011 was \$9.7 million or 18.1% of the land-related portfolio compared to \$7.6 million or 12.12% of the land-related portfolio at December 31, 2010.

During the first six months of 2011, the Corporation reduced its Florida land-related portfolio including OREO by \$4.2 million or 5.4%, reducing total land-related exposure including OREO to \$73.9 million. In addition, the condominium portfolio including OREO has been reduced to zero as the Corporation sold the remaining property that made up this category during the first quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans increased from 78.44% as of December 31, 2010 to 85.84% as of June 30, 2011. While the allowance for loan losses increased \$3.1 million or 2.9% since December 31, 2010, non-performing loans decreased \$8.1 million or 6.0% over the same period. Additionally, loans acquired from CBI were reduced by the difference between the contractual and expected cash flows, which represents a credit mark on the acquired portfolio of \$26.6 million. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses and credit mark to total loans and credit mark was 2.04% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The following tables provide additional information relating to the provision and allowance for loan losses for the Corporation's loan portfolios (dollars in thousands):

	FNBPA (PA)	FNBPA (FL)	Regency	Total
At or for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011				
Provision for loan losses	\$ 4,655	\$ 2,240	\$ 1,656	\$ 8,551
Allowance for loan losses	82,353	20,018	6,853	109,224
Net loan charge-offs	5,346	160	1,433	6,939
Net loan charge-offs (annualized)/ average loans	0.34%	0.35%	3.62%	0.42%
Allowance for loan losses/total loans	1.30%	11.11%	4.20%	1.63%
Allowance for loan losses/ non-performing loans	108.50%	44.59%	106.38%	85.84%
At or for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010				
Provision for loan losses	\$ 7,939	\$ 1,271	\$ 1,597	\$ 10,807
Allowance for loan losses	81,797	17,485	6,838	106,120
Net loan charge-offs	6,870	12,901	1,543	21,314
Net loan charge-offs (annualized)/ average loans	0.48%	25.05%	3.78%	1.40%
Allowance for loan losses/total loans	1.43%	8.95%	4.20%	1.74%
Allowance for loan losses/ non-performing loans	113.67%	31.66%	84.30%	78.44%

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND REGULATORY MATTERS

The access to, and cost of, funding for new business initiatives, including acquisitions, the ability to engage in expanded business activities, the ability to pay dividends, the level of deposit insurance costs and the level and nature of regulatory oversight depend, in part, on the Corporation's capital position.

The assessment of capital adequacy depends on a number of factors such as asset quality, liquidity, earnings performance, changing competitive conditions and economic forces. The Corporation seeks to maintain a strong capital base to support its growth and expansion activities, to provide stability to current operations and to promote public confidence.

The Corporation has an effective shelf registration statement filed with the SEC. Pursuant to this registration statement, the Corporation may, from time to time, issue and sell in one or more offerings any combination of common stock, preferred stock, debt securities or TPS. Through June 30, 2011, the Corporation has issued 30,187,500 common shares in public equity offerings under this registration statement. The capital offering completed in May 2011 increased the Corporation's capital by \$62.8 million, which increased all consolidated capital ratios. FNBPA has not received a benefit from this offering as the capital was retained at the parent company to provide future flexibility, primarily to address the capital needs related to the pending PFC acquisition scheduled for early 2012.

Capital management is a continuous process with capital plans for the Corporation and FNBPA updated annually. Both the Corporation and FNBPA are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. From time to time, the Corporation issues shares initially acquired by the Corporation as treasury stock under its various benefit plans. The Corporation may continue to grow through acquisitions, which can

Table of Contents

potentially impact its capital position. The Corporation may issue additional common stock in to order maintain its well-capitalized status.

The Corporation and FNBPA are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Quantitative measures established by regulators to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and FNBPA to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of leverage ratio (as defined). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions, by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporation's consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Corporation and FNBPA must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Corporation's and FNBPA's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

The Corporation's management believes that, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Corporation and FNBPA met all capital adequacy requirements to which either of them was subject.

As of June 30, 2011, the most recent notification from the federal banking agencies categorized the Corporation and FNBPA as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since the notification which management believes have changed this categorization.

Following are the capital ratios as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for the Corporation and FNBPA (dollars in thousands):

	Actual		Well-Capitalized Requirements		Minimum Capital Requirements	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
June 30, 2011						
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets):						
F.N.B. Corporation	\$ 949,842	13.3%	\$ 715,069	10.0%	\$ 572,055	8.0%
FNBPA	828,556	11.8	699,808	10.0	559,847	8.0
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets):						
F.N.B. Corporation	831,759	11.6	429,041	6.0	286,027	4.0
FNBPA	731,714	10.5	419,885	6.0	279,923	4.0
Leverage Ratio:						
F.N.B. Corporation	831,759	9.0	463,441	5.0	370,753	4.0
FNBPA	731,714	8.1	449,690	5.0	359,752	4.0
December 31, 2010						
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets):						
F.N.B. Corporation	\$ 836,228	12.9%	\$ 648,244	10.0%	\$ 518,595	8.0%
FNBPA	768,040	12.3	626,183	10.0	500,946	8.0
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets):						
F.N.B. Corporation	737,755	11.4	388,946	6.0	259,297	4.0
FNBPA	689,495	11.0	375,710	6.0	250,473	4.0
Leverage Ratio:						
F.N.B. Corporation	737,755	8.7	424,362	5.0	339,490	4.0
FNBPA	689,495	8.3	414,734	5.0	331,787	4.0

Table of Contents**DODD-FRANK WALL STREET REFORM AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 2010**

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) became law. The Dodd-Frank Act broadly affects the financial services industry by establishing a framework for systemic risk oversight, creating a resolution authority for institutions determined to be systemically important, mandating higher capital and liquidity requirements, requiring banks to pay increased fees to regulatory agencies and containing numerous other provisions aimed at strengthening the sound operation of the financial services sector and will fundamentally change the system of regulatory oversight as is described in more detail under Part I, Item 1, Business Government Supervision and Regulation included in the Corporation's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011. Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to further rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact to the Corporation or across the financial services industry.

On June 29, 2011, the FRB, pursuant to its authority under the Dodd-Frank Act, issued rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers having assets over \$10 billion, adopting a per-transaction interchange cap base of \$0.21 plus a 5-basis point fraud loss adjustment per transaction. The FRB deemed such fees reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of a transaction to the issuer. While the Corporation is not subject to these rules so long as it does not have assets in excess of \$10 billion, the Corporation's activities as a debit card issuer may nevertheless be indirectly impacted by the change in the applicable debit card market caused by these regulations, which may lead the Corporation to match any new lower fee structure implemented by larger financial institutions to remain competitive. Such lower fees could impact the revenue the Corporation earns from debit interchange fees, which were equal to \$15.2 million for 2010 and \$8.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

However, upon completion of the merger between the Corporation and PFC, the combined company will have assets in excess of \$10 billion and will be subject to the FRB rules concerning debit card interchange fees. The Corporation estimates that the combined company's revenues earned from interchange fees could decrease by as much as \$8.0 million or more per year prior to the implementation of any mitigation strategies that are currently being analyzed by the Corporation.

If the Corporation maintains the current asset position of \$9.9 billion through December 31, 2011, the impact of the above debit card regulations will not apply until July 1, 2013. If the Corporation exceeds the \$10 billion asset threshold on December 31, 2011, the new regulations will become effective for the Corporation on July 1, 2012.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information called for by this item is provided under the caption *Market Risk* in Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. There are no material changes in the information provided under Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included in the Corporation's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES. The Corporation's management, with the participation of the Corporation's principal executive and financial officers, evaluated the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13(a) 15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, the Corporation's management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date at the reasonable assurance level as discussed below to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in the reports it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Corporation's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

LIMITATIONS ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CONTROLS. The Corporation's management, including the CEO and the CFO, does not expect that the Corporation's disclosure controls and internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems,

Table of Contents

no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Corporation have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. In addition, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by management override of the controls.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS. The CEO and the CFO have evaluated the changes to the Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the Corporation's fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011, as required by paragraph (d) of Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and have concluded that there were no such changes that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are involved in various pending and threatened legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages and other relief are asserted. These actions include claims brought against the Corporation and its subsidiaries where the Corporation or a subsidiary acted as one or more of the following: a depository bank, lender, underwriter, fiduciary, financial advisor, broker or was engaged in other business activities. Although the ultimate outcome for any asserted claim cannot be predicted with certainty, the Corporation believes that it and its subsidiaries have valid defenses for all asserted claims. Reserves are established for legal claims when losses associated with the claims are judged to be probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage and established reserves, the Corporation does not anticipate, at the present time, that the aggregate liability, if any, arising out of such legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's consolidated financial position. However, the Corporation cannot determine whether or not any claims asserted against it will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations in any future reporting period.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Corporation, like other financial companies, is subject to a number of risks that may adversely affect its financial condition or results of operations, many of which are outside of the Corporation's direct control, though efforts are made to manage those risks while optimizing returns. Among the principal risks assumed are:

Credit Risk, which is the risk of loss due to loan and lease customers or other counter-parties not being able to meet their financial obligations under agreed upon terms;

Market Risk, which is the risk of loss due to changes in the market value of assets and liabilities due to changes in market interest rates, equity prices and credit spreads;

Liquidity Risk, which is the risk of loss due to the possibility that funds may not be available to satisfy current or future obligations resulting from external macro market issues, investor and customer perception of financial strength, and events unrelated to the company such as war, terrorism or financial institution markets specific issues; and

Operational Risk, which is the risk of loss due to human error, inadequate or failed internal systems and controls, violations, or non-compliance with, laws, rules, regulations, prescribed best practices, or ethical standards, external influences, fraudulent activities, disasters and securities risks.

More information on risk is set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, included in the Corporation's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011. Additional information regarding Risk Factors can also be found in the Liquidity, Market Risk and Risk

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/FL/ - Form 10-Q

Management sections of Management's Discussion and Analysis in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

NONE

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

NONE

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

NONE

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302. (filed herewith).

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302. (filed herewith).

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906. (filed herewith).

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906. (filed herewith).

101 The following materials from F.N.B. Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. *

* This information is deemed furnished, not filed.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

F.N.B. Corporation

Dated: August 5, 2011

/s/ Stephen J. Gurgovits
Stephen J. Gurgovits
Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: August 5, 2011

/s/ Vincent J. Calabrese
Vincent J. Calabrese
Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: August 5, 2011

/s/ Timothy G. Rubritz
Timothy G. Rubritz
Corporate Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer)