

NEOGENOMICS INC
Form 10-Q
July 29, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014.

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-35756

NEOGENOMICS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
**(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

74-2897368
**(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)**

**12701 Commonwealth Drive, Suite 9, Fort Myers,
Florida**
(Address of principal executive offices)
(239) 768-0600

33913
(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 23, 2014, the registrant had 50,174,681 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited) 4

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 14

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 27

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 28

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 28

Item 1A. Risk Factors 28

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 28

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities 28

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures 28

Item 5. Other Information 29

Item 6. Exhibits 30

SIGNATURES

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The information in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements and information within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) relating to NeoGenomics, Inc., a Nevada corporation (the Parent or the Parent Company), and its subsidiary, NeoGenomics Laboratories, Inc., a Florida corporation (NEO , NeoGenomics Laboratories or the Subsidiary) (collectively referred to as we , us , our , NeoGenomics , or the Company), which are subject to the safe harbor created by those sections. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future revenues, projected costs, prospects and plans and objectives of management. The words anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, may, plans, projects, will, would and similar words are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. We may not actually achieve the plans, intentions or expectations disclosed in our forward-looking statements and you should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, the risks set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2014.

Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about:

Our ability to implement our business strategy;

The expected reimbursement levels from governmental payers and private insurers and proposed changes to those levels;

The application, to our business and the services we provide, of existing laws, rules and regulations, including without limitation, Medicare laws, anti-kickback laws, Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) regulations, state medical privacy laws, federal and state false claims laws and corporate practice of medicine laws;

Regulatory developments in the United States including increasing downward pressure on health care reimbursement;

Our ability to maintain our license under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA);

Our ability to expand our operations and increase our market share;

Our ability to expand our service offerings by adding new testing capabilities;

Our ability to meet our future capital requirements;

Our ability to integrate acquired businesses;

The impact of internalization of testing by customers;

Our ability to compete with other diagnostic laboratories;

Our ability to hire and retain sufficient managerial, sales, clinical and other personnel to meet our needs;

Our ability to successfully scale our business, including expanding our facilities, our backup systems and infrastructure; and

The accuracy of our estimates regarding reimbursement, expenses, future revenues and capital requirements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement or statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all of such factors, nor can it assess the impact of each such factor on the business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****NEOGENOMICS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except share data)****(unaudited)**

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
<u>ASSETS</u>		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,023	\$ 4,834
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5,709 and \$4,540 respectively)	18,800	18,653
Inventories	2,616	2,301
Deferred income tax asset, net	588	588
Other current assets	1,055	1,115
Total current assets	28,082	27,491
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT (net of accumulated depreciation of \$16,877 and \$14,478, respectively)	12,974	9,694
INTANGIBLE ASSETS (net of accumulated amortization of \$516 and \$405, respectively)	2,466	2,577
OTHER ASSETS	116	154
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 43,638	\$ 39,916
<u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 6,081	\$ 4,177
Accrued compensation	3,411	2,337
Other accrued expenses and liabilities	651	741
Short-term portion of equipment capital leases	3,094	2,786
Revolving credit line	1,989	4,282
Total current liabilities	15,226	14,323
LONG TERM LIABILITIES		
Long-term portion of equipment capital leases	4,691	3,294
Deferred income tax liability, net	588	588
Total long term liabilities	5,279	3,882

TOTAL LIABILITIES	20,505	18,205
Commitments (Note I)		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common stock, \$.001 par value, (100,000,000 shares authorized; 50,003,799 and 49,118,373 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	50	49
Additional paid-in capital	43,244	42,200
Accumulated deficit	(20,161)	(20,538)
Total stockholders equity	23,133	21,711
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 43,638	\$ 39,916

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEOGENOMICS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
NET REVENUE	\$ 20,670	\$ 15,603	\$ 38,852	\$ 31,260
COST OF REVENUE	10,431	8,446	19,904	16,857
GROSS PROFIT	10,239	7,157	18,948	14,403
OPERATING EXPENSES				
General and administrative	5,870	4,064	10,924	8,239
Research and development	633	616	1,261	1,451
Sales and marketing	3,158	1,972	5,791	3,903
Total operating expenses	9,661	6,652	17,976	13,593
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	578	505	972	810
INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) NET	(253)	(232)	(518)	(517)
INCOME BEFORE TAXES	325	273	454	293
INCOME TAXES	51		78	17
NET INCOME	\$ 274	\$ 273	\$ 376	\$ 276
NET INCOME PER SHARE				
- Basic	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
- Diluted	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
WEIGHTED AVG NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING				
- Basic	49,890	48,793	49,590	47,529
- Diluted	53,733	53,744	53,551	52,297

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEOGENOMICS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 376	\$ 276
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for bad debts	1,814	1,387
Amortization of intangibles	111	111
Depreciation of property and equipment	2,400	2,052
Amortization of debt issue costs	25	24
Stock-based compensation options	246	384
Stock-based compensation warrants and restricted stock	35	262
Changes in assets and liabilities, net:		
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable, net of write-offs	(1,960)	(3,360)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(315)	121
(Increase) decrease in other current assets	34	12
(Increase) decrease in other assets	38	(87)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and other liabilities	2,494	(649)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	5,298	533
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,966)	(608)
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(1,966)	(608)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Advances (payments) on credit facility, net	(2,292)	(5,264)
Repayment of capital leases	(1,615)	(1,194)
Issuance of common stock and warrants for cash, net of transaction expenses	764	9,301
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(3,143)	2,843
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	189	2,768
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	4,834	1,868
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 5,023	\$ 4,636

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Interest paid	\$	498	\$	495
Income taxes paid	\$	170	\$	17
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Equipment leased under capital leases	\$	3,321	\$	1,402

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEOGENOMICS, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF JUNE 30, 2014

NOTE A NATURE OF BUSINESS AND BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

Nature of Business

NeoGenomics, Inc., a Nevada corporation (the Parent or the Parent Company), and its subsidiary, NeoGenomics Laboratories, Inc., a Florida corporation (NeoGenomics Laboratories or the Subsidiary) (collectively referred to as we us , our , NeoGenomics , or the Company), operates as a certified high complexity clinical laboratory in accordance with the federal government s Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act, as amended (CLIA), and is dedicated to the delivery of clinical diagnostic services to pathologists, oncologists, urologists, hospitals, and other laboratories throughout the United States.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information. These financial statements include the accounts of the Parent and the Subsidiary. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in the accompanying financial statements.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the Company s annual audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been condensed or omitted in these interim financial statements. Accordingly, the unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2014.

The results of operations presented in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for any future periods. In the opinion of management, these unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments and accruals, including normal recurring adjustments that are necessary for a fair statement of the results of all interim periods reported herein.

NOTE B SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates

The Company prepares its consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These principles require management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, together with amounts disclosed in the related notes to the consolidated financial statements. Actual results and outcomes may differ from management s estimates, judgments and assumptions. Significant estimates, judgments and assumptions used in these consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, those related to revenues, accounts receivable and related allowances, useful lives and recovery of long-term assets, income taxes, and the fair value of stock-based compensation. These estimates, judgments, and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of material

revisions in estimates are reflected in the consolidated financial statements prospectively from the date of the change in estimate.

Research and Development

Research and development (R&D) costs are expensed as incurred. R&D expenses consist of cash and equity compensation and benefits for R&D personnel, amortization of intangibles, supplies, inventory and payment for samples to complete validation studies. These expenses were incurred to develop new genetic tests.

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets with finite useful lives are recorded at cost, less accumulated amortization. We have three classes of intangible assets and each class of intangible assets is amortized over its estimated service period from service date through the weighted average patent expiration date of each class of patents or the period of economic benefit using the straight-line method. We periodically review the estimated pattern in which the economic benefits will be consumed and adjust the amortization period and pattern to match our estimate. The Company's intangible assets are related to our license agreement with Health Discovery Corporation.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Concentrations of credit risk with respect to revenue and accounts receivable are primarily limited to certain clients to whom the Company provides a significant volume of its services, and to specific payers of our services such as Medicare and individual insurance companies. The Company's client base consists of a large number of geographically dispersed clients diversified across various customer types. For the three months ended June 30, 2014, all of the affiliated client office locations from Florida Cancer Specialists (FCS) combined, represented approximately 9.6% of our revenue compared to 16.5% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, all of the affiliated client office locations from FCS combined, represented 12.0% of our revenue compared to 15.4% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2013. On April 22, 2014 we entered into a second amendment to the Strategic Laboratory Services Agreement with FCS, as described more fully in Note K to the consolidated financial statements. We anticipate that FCS will continue to internalize tests we currently perform for them, and our concentration as a percentage of revenue will decline. All other clients were less than 5% of total revenue individually. For the three months ended June 30, 2014, revenue derived from the state of Florida represented approximately 25.8% of revenue compared to 32.5% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, revenue derived from the state of Florida represented approximately 27.8% of revenue compared to 31.7% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Net Income Per Common Share

Basic net income per share is computed using the treasury stock method by dividing the net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the applicable period, plus the dilutive effect of potential common stock. Potential common stock consists of shares issuable pursuant to stock options and warrants.

Income Taxes

We compute income taxes in accordance with ASC Topic 740 Income Taxes. Under ASC-740, deferred taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences by applying enacted statutory rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. Also, the effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that included the enactment date. Temporary differences between financial and tax reporting arise primarily from the use of different depreciation methods and lives for property and equipment, and the timing of recognition of bad debts and various other expenses that have been accrued for financial statement purposes but are not currently deductible for income tax purposes.

Each reporting period we evaluate tax positions that have been taken or are expected to be taken in our tax returns, and record a liability for uncertain tax positions, if deemed necessary. We follow a two-step approach to recognizing and

measuring uncertain tax positions. First, tax positions are recognized if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. Second, the tax position is measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon settlement. We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2014 we do not believe we had any significant uncertain tax positions nor did we have any provision for interest or penalties related to such positions.

Table of Contents

NOTE C REVOLVING CREDIT AND SECURITY AGREEMENT

On March 26, 2012, the Parent Company, NeoGenomics Laboratories (Borrower), and CapitalSource Finance LLC (Capital Source) entered into a First Amendment (the Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated April 26, 2010 (the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement or the Credit Facility). The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement amended and restated the original Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated February 1, 2008, as amended, among the Parent Company, Borrower and CapitalSource (the Original Credit Agreement). The terms of the Amendment and the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement are substantially similar except that the Amendment, among other things:

- I.) Increased the maximum principal amount of the revolving credit facility (the Facility Cap) to \$8.0 million from \$5.0 million; provided, that the Borrower may request to increase the Facility Cap twice during the term of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement in increments of \$1.0 million to a maximum of \$10,000,000;

 - II.) Extended the term of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement to March 26, 2015;

 - III.) Revised the definition of Minimum Termination Fee to be:
 - a. 2.5% of the Facility Cap if the Revolver Termination (as defined in the Agreement) is at any time before March 26, 2013;

 - b. 1.5% of the Facility Cap if the Revolver Termination is after March 26, 2013 but before March 26, 2014;

 - c. 0.5% of the Facility Cap if the Revolver Termination is on or after March 26, 2014; and

 - d. That there shall be no Minimum Termination Fee if the Revolver Termination occurs within five (5) days of the end of the term.

 - IV.) Modified the definition of Permitted Indebtedness and Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio ; and

 - V.) Amended Section 3.1 of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by deleting the LIBOR shall be not less than 2.0% and replacing it with the LIBOR shall be not less than 1.0% .
- We paid Capital Source a commitment fee of \$80,000 in connection with the Amendment.

On January 25, 2013 the Borrower and CapitalSource entered into the Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated April 26, 2010. The Second Amendment:

I.) Increased the Facility Cap to \$10.0 million from \$9.0 million; provided, that the Borrower may request to increase the Facility Cap twice during the term of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement in increments of \$1.0 million to a maximum of \$12,000,000 on or after January 31, 2013;

II.) Amended Annex 1 of the Credit Facility as follows:

a) Deleted Section 2 of the Annex 1 in its entirety and replaced it with the following:

2. Minimum Cash Velocity

For each Test Period, measured as of the last day of each calendar month ending on or after December 31, 2012, Collections of Accounts of Borrowers collectively shall not be less than the Cash Velocity Percentage of Borrowers net revenue for the Revenue Period less the bad debt expense recognized on the income statement for such Revenue Period.

b) Added the following definition to the definitions set forth in such Annex in the appropriate alphabetic order:

Cash Velocity Percentage means (a) 80% for the period beginning December 31, 2012 and ending on March 31, 2013 and (b) 87.5% at all other times.

We paid Capital Source a commitment fee of \$10,000 in connection with the Second Amendment.

On January 24, 2014 the Borrower and CapitalSource entered into a Third Amendment (the Third Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. The terms of the Third Amendment amended Annex I of the credit agreement to delete the definition of Cash Velocity Percentage in its entirety and to replace it with the following:

Table of Contents

Cash Velocity Percentage shall mean (a) 80% for the period beginning December 31, 2012 and ending on March 31, 2013, (b) 75% for the period beginning December 1, 2013 and ending on March 31, 2014 and (c) 87.5% at all other times.

We paid Capital Source a commitment fee of \$5,000 in connection with the Third Amendment.

Interest on outstanding advances under the Credit Facility are payable monthly in arrears on the first day of each calendar month. At June 30, 2014, the effective rate of interest was 4.25%, and the available credit under the Credit Facility was approximately \$8.0 million and the outstanding borrowing was \$2.0 million, after netting compensating cash on hand.

NOTE D INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Weighted Average Amortization Period	June 30, 2014		
		COST	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Support Vector Machine (SVM) technology	108 months	\$ 500	\$ 139	\$ 361
Laboratory developed test (LDT) technology	164 months	\$ 1,482	\$ 242	\$ 1,240
Flow Cytometry and Cytogenetics technology	202 months	\$ 1,000	\$ 135	\$ 865
Total		\$ 2,982	\$ 516	\$ 2,466

	Weighted Average Amortization Period	December 31, 2013		
		COST	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Support Vector Machine (SVM) technology	108 months	\$ 500	\$ 112	\$ 388
Laboratory developed test (LDT) technology	164 months	\$ 1,482	\$ 188	\$ 1,294
Flow Cytometry and Cytogenetics technology	202 months	\$ 1,000	\$ 105	\$ 895
Total		\$ 2,982	\$ 405	\$ 2,577

We recorded approximately \$55,000 in straight-line amortization expense of intangibles for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and approximately \$111,000 in straight-line amortization expense of intangibles for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as research and development expenses in the consolidated statement of operations. We will record all amortization of intangibles in that category until the time that we have products, services or cost savings directly attributable to these intangible assets that would require that it be recorded

in cost of goods sold.

The estimated amortization expense related to amortizable intangible assets for the remainder of 2014, and each of the five succeeding fiscal years and thereafter as of June 30, 2014 is as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

Year Ending December 31,	
Remainder of 2014	\$ 112
2015	223
2016	223
2017	223
2018	223
2019	223
Thereafter	1,239
Total	\$ 2,466

NOTE E REVENUE RECOGNITION AND CONTRACTUAL ADJUSTMENTS

The Company recognizes revenues when (a) the price is fixed or determinable, (b) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (c) the service is performed and (d) collectability of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

The Company's specialized diagnostic services are performed based on a written test requisition form or electronic equivalent, and revenues are recognized once the diagnostic services have been performed, and the results have been delivered to the ordering physician. These diagnostic services are billed to various payers, including Medicare, commercial insurance companies, other directly billed healthcare institutions such as hospitals and clinics, and individuals. The Company reports revenues from contracted payers, including Medicare, certain insurance companies and certain healthcare institutions, based on the contractual rate, or in the case of Medicare, published fee schedules. The Company reports revenues from non-contracted payers, including certain insurance companies and individuals, based on the amount expected to be collected. The difference between the amount billed and the amount estimated to be collected from non-contracted payers is recorded as an allowance to arrive at the reported net revenues. The expected revenues from non-contracted payers are based on the historical collection experience of each payer or payer group, as appropriate. The Company records revenues from patient pay tests net of a large discount and as a result recognizes minimal revenue on those tests. The Company regularly reviews its historical collection experience for non-contracted payers and adjusts its expected revenues for current and subsequent periods accordingly.

The table below shows the adjustments made to gross service revenue to arrive at net revenues (in thousands), the amount reported on our statement of operations.

	Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Gross Service Revenues	\$ 57,805	\$ 43,141	\$ 99,004	\$ 84,466
Total Contractual Adjustments and Discounts	(37,135)	(27,538)	(60,152)	(53,206)
Net Revenues	\$ 20,670	\$ 15,603	\$ 38,852	\$ 31,260

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, we were able to grow revenue by 32.5% on a year over year basis, despite a \$1,050,000 reduction in revenue recorded to account for a conservative interpretation of recently issued policy edits from the National Correct Coding Initiative (NCCI) relating to the appropriate number of billing units for certain FISH testing reimbursable by Medicare. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, we were able to grow revenue by 24.3% on a year over year basis, despite a \$1,750,000 reduction in revenue recorded to account for a conservative interpretation of the NCCI FISH edits. The National Correct Coding Initiative NCCI FISH testing edits

were issued in December 2013, effective as of January 1, 2014, and created a contradiction with respect to long-established billing practices for FISH testing. The new FISH edits suggest that the number of billable units that laboratories should bill for certain multi-probe FISH tests is less than the previously established guidance which is still in effect. The Company and The American Clinical Laboratory Association (ACLA) have asked the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to provide further guidance with respect to this contradictory new policy, and CMS officials have acknowledged the need to issue a clarification, but have yet to do so. A favorable clarification from CMS with respect to these NCCI FISH edits would result in us being able to bill in future periods for all or a portion of the previously unbilled \$1,750,000 of FISH testing services that were foregone in the first six months of 2014.

Table of Contents**NOTE F NET INCOME PER SHARE**

The following table provides the computation of basic and diluted net income per share for the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2014 and 2013: (in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014		Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income	\$ 274	\$ 273	\$ 376	\$ 276
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	49,890	48,793	49,590	47,529
Effect of potentially dilutive securities	3,843	4,951	3,961	4,768
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	53,733	53,744	53,551	52,297
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, 672,000 and 426,500 outstanding options were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share due to anti-diluted affects as compared to 2,500 and 119,000 options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 that were excluded in the calculation of diluted earnings per share due to anti-diluted affects.

NOTE G EQUITY**Stock Options**

As of June 30, 2014, options to purchase 5,814,794 shares of our common stock were outstanding. The exercise prices of these options range from \$0.25 to \$4.30 per share.

Common Stock Warrants

On February 7, 2014 Gulfpointe Capital exercised 83,333 warrants to purchase shares of NeoGenomics common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. The Company received proceeds of \$62,500 from the exercise.

On March 12, 2014 Douglas M. VanOort exercised 375,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoGenomics common stock at an exercise price of \$1.05 per share. The Company received proceeds of \$393,750 from the exercise. On March 16, 2014, 250,000 warrants issued to Douglas M. VanOort expired unvested.

As of June 30, 2014, warrants to purchase 650,000 shares of our common stock were outstanding. The exercise prices of these warrants range from \$1.43 to \$1.50 per share.

NOTE H RESTRICTED STOCK AWARDS

On April 15, 2014, the Company granted 125,000 shares of restricted stock to Douglas M. VanOort. Such restricted shares vest on the third anniversary of the grant date so long as Mr. VanOort remains Chairman and Chief Executive

Officer of the Company. The fair market value of the grant of restricted stock on award date was deemed to be \$381,250 or \$3.05 per share, which was the closing price of the Company's common stock on the day before the grant as approved by the board of directors.

On April 15, 2014 the Company granted each of the four independent directors 3,000 shares of restricted stock for a total of 12,000 shares. Such restricted stock will vest ratably over each of the next four quarters so long as the director still serves as a member of the board of directors. The fair market value of each grant of restricted stock on award date was deemed to be \$9,150 or \$3.05 per share, which was the closing price of the Company's common stock on the day before the grant as approved by the board of directors.

Table of Contents

NOTE I COMMITMENTS

NeoGenomics entered into a master lease agreement with Pacific Western Equipment Finance for the leasing of up to \$2.0 million of equipment on an equipment leasing line. The lease has a term of 36 months and a lease rate factor of 0.03076. We committed to purchase approximately \$1.7 million of equipment during the six months ended June 30, 2014. The lease contains \$1 buyout options at the end of the term.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 we also entered into lease schedules with several vendors for approximately \$250,000 and \$787,000 for the purchase of laboratory equipment, computer equipment and computer software, some of which have yet to be delivered to us. The leases have 36 month terms with \$1 buyout options at the end of the terms and interest rates in the range between 1.0% and 13.9%.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014 we also entered into an equipment finance agreement for approximately \$227,000 for the purchase of furniture. The equipment finance agreement has a 60 month term and an interest rate of 8.9%.

NOTE J OTHER RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, Steven C. Jones, a director of the Company, earned approximately \$65,000 and \$62,500, respectively, for various consulting work performed in connection with his duties as Executive Vice President of Finance. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, Steven C. Jones, a director of the Company, earned approximately \$127,500 and \$125,000, respectively, for various consulting work performed in connection with his duties as Executive Vice President of Finance. Mr. Jones also received \$47,500 and \$80,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 as payment of his annual bonus compensation for the previous fiscal years, respectively.

NOTE K FLORIDA CANCER SPECIALISTS AGREEMENT

On April 22, 2014, we entered into a Second Amended and Restated Strategic Laboratory Services Agreement (the Agreement) with Florida Cancer Specialists, P.L. (FCS). Under the terms of the Agreement, FCS agreed that, subject to certain exceptions, it would first offer to have us perform all cytogenetics and molecular testing services on cancer specimens from FCS 's 72 practice locations before either performing such services in its own laboratory or referring such specimens to other laboratories. FCS also agreed, subject to certain exceptions, that it would first offer to have us perform any other cancer genetic testing services not otherwise performed by FCS 's internal laboratory before referring such specimens to other laboratories. We agreed to perform all accessioning and customer service functions and provide certain other services relating to cancer genetics testing for all of FCS 's practice locations. The Agreement extends the current contract through December 31, 2015, but will automatically renew for additional one year terms thereafter, unless either party gives the other party six months prior written notice of non-renewal.

NOTE L SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 8, 2014, NeoGenomics Laboratories, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the registrant NeoGenomics entered into a membership interest purchase agreement with Path Labs, LLC d/b/a Path Logic, a Delaware limited liability company (Path Logic), and Path Labs Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (PL Holdings), whereby NeoGenomics Laboratories acquired all of the outstanding equity ownership interests in Path Logic from PL Holdings for a purchase price of \$6.0 Million less its capital lease liabilities assumed. These capital lease liabilities were estimated to be approximately \$150,000, therefore consideration was approximately \$5.85 Million. NeoGenomics Laboratories paid the purchase price using cash on hand and borrowings on its revolving credit facility.

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form 10-Q

On July 8, 2014 NeoGenomics Laboratories, (Borrower) Path Labs, LLC, (New Borrower) and CapitalSource entered into a Joinder and Fourth Amendment (the Fourth Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. The fourth amendment added the New Borrower to the credit agreement and allowed for them to borrow under the facility. All other terms of the credit agreement remained unchanged.

END OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

NeoGenomics, Inc., a Nevada corporation (referred to individually as the Parent Company or collectively with its subsidiary as NeoGenomics, we, us, our or the Company in this Form 10-Q) is the registrant for SEC reporting purposes. Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol NEO.

Introduction

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements, and the notes thereto included herein. The information contained below includes statements of the Company's or management's beliefs, expectations, hopes, goals and plans that, if not historical, are forward-looking statements subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements. For a discussion on forward-looking statements, see the information set forth in the introductory note to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the caption "Forward Looking Statements", which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Overview

We operate a network of cancer-focused testing laboratories whose mission is to improve patient care through exceptional genetic and molecular testing services. Our vision is to become America's premier cancer testing laboratory by delivering uncompromising quality, exceptional service and innovative products and services. The Company has laboratory locations in Ft. Myers and Tampa, Florida; Irvine, West Sacramento and Fresno, California; and Nashville, Tennessee, and currently offers the following types of testing services:

- a) Cytogenetics testing – the study of normal and abnormal chromosomes and their relationship to disease. Cytogenetic studies are often utilized to answer diagnostic, prognostic and predictive questions in the treatment of hematological malignancies and solid tumors;
- b) Fluorescence In-Situ Hybridization (FISH) testing – a branch of cancer genetics that focuses on detecting and locating the presence or absence of specific DNA sequences and genes on chromosomes. FISH helps bridge abnormality detection between the chromosomal and DNA sequence levels;
- c) Flow cytometry testing – a rapid way to measure the characteristics of cell populations. Cells from peripheral blood, bone marrow aspirate, lymph nodes, and other areas are labeled with selective fluorescent antibodies and quantified according to their surface antigens. These fluorescent antibodies bind to specific cell surface antigens and are used to identify malignant cell populations. Flow cytometry is typically performed in conjunction with morphology testing which looks at smears on glass slides for abnormal cell populations;
- d) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) testing – the process of identifying cell proteins in a tissue section utilizing the principle of antibodies binding specifically to antigens. Specific surface cytoplasmic or nuclear markers are characteristic of cellular events such as proliferation or cell death (apoptosis). IHC is also widely used to understand the distribution and localization of differentially expressed proteins; and
- e) Molecular testing – a rapidly emerging cancer diagnostic tool focusing on the analysis of DNA and RNA, as well as the structure and function of genes at the molecular level. Molecular testing employs multiple technologies including bi-directional Sanger sequencing analysis, DNA fragment length analysis, real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) RNA analysis and Next-Generation sequencing.

All of these testing services are widely utilized to determine the diagnosis and prognosis of various types and subtypes of cancer and to help predict a patient's potential response to specific therapies. NeoGenomics offers testing services on both a tech-only basis, where NeoGenomics performs the technical component of the testing (specimen set-up, staining, imaging, sorting and categorization of cells, chromosomes, genes or DNA) and the client physician performs the related professional interpretation component (analyzing the laboratory data, viewing the cells, developing the diagnosis or prognosis as well as preparing and writing the final report), as well as on a full service or global basis where NeoGenomics performs both the technical component and our medical staff provides the professional interpretation component.

Table of Contents

Our Focus: Grow, Innovate, Diversify and Get Lean

Grow

We plan to continue growing organically by providing high complexity, cancer-related laboratory testing services to hospitals, community-based pathology practices, and clinicians throughout the United States. We currently perform analyses for hematopoietic cancers such as leukemia and lymphoma (blood and lymphoid tumors) and solid tumor cancers such as breast, lung, colon, and bladder cancer. For hematopoietic cancers, we typically analyze bone marrow aspirate and peripheral blood specimens. For solid tumor cancers, we typically analyze tissue samples or urine.

The cancer testing services we offer to community-based pathologists are designed to be a natural extension of, and complementary to, the services that they perform within their own practices. We believe our relationship as a non-competitive partner to community-based pathology practices empowers them to expand their breadth of testing and provide a menu of services that matches or exceeds the level of service found in academic centers of excellence around the country. Community-based pathology practices typically order our services on a tech-only basis, which allows them to participate in the diagnostic process by performing the professional interpretation services without having to make the investment in laboratory personnel or equipment needed to perform the technical component of the tests.

In areas where we do not provide services to community-based pathology practices, we may directly serve oncology, dermatology, urology and other clinician practices that prefer to have a direct relationship with a laboratory for cancer-related genetic and molecular testing services. We typically service these types of clients with a global service offering where we perform both the technical and professional components of the tests ordered. Increasingly, however, larger clinician practices have begun to internalize pathology testing services, and our tech-only service offering allows these larger clinician practices to also participate in the diagnostic process by performing the professional interpretation services on testing they do not perform in their own laboratory.

In July 2014 we acquired Path Labs, LLC d/b/a Path Logic a leading provider of specialized anatomic pathology services to Hospitals and physicians in Northern California. Path Logic provides high-quality Anatomic Pathology services with significant expertise in the sub-specialties of renal pathology, dermatopathology, women's health and gastrointestinal and genitourinary pathology. For 2013, Path Logic reported revenue of approximately \$10 million and employed approximately 65 people. We estimate that \$3.0 to 4.0 million of revenue opportunities can be realized in relatively short order as a result of our existing customers and Path Logic's customers having access to each other's testing menus and capabilities. In addition, as redundant costs are eliminated during the remainder of this year, we expect the acquisition of Path Logic to be accretive to our earnings within six months.

We will also look to grow our business through other mergers or acquisitions if the right opportunity becomes available. We are focused on opportunities that would be complementary to our menu of services and would be accretive to our earnings in a short timeframe.

Innovate

We are committed to being an innovative leader in oncology testing. Our goal is to develop new assays to help physician clients better manage their patients and to enable them to practice evidence-based medicine tailored specifically for each of their patients. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 we introduced an additional 30 new molecular tests and cancer profiles. Our clients have been very receptive to our new molecular offerings and we believe that we have the most comprehensive clinical molecular test menu of any laboratory in the United States. We are also seeing increasing interest in our molecular menu from several pharmaceutical firms. Molecular testing is a

rapidly growing part of oncology testing, which allows us to determine specific subtypes of cancer, as well as predict responses to certain therapeutics by isolating certain genetic mutations in DNA and RNA. We also introduced a number of NeoTYPE™ profiles that combine multiple molecular tests into multi-gene tests targeting specific types of cancer to help pathologists and oncologists determine cancer subtypes on difficult cases. We use next generation sequencing and bi-directional sanger sequencing analysis which we believe is superior to many of the molecular tests being offered by our competitors because we are able to pick up mutations that other methods would not detect. We believe that we are well-positioned to capitalize on this rapidly growing area.

Table of Contents

We are working on developing a proprietary NeoLAB™ (Liquid Alternative to Biopsy) Prostate cancer test that is performed on blood plasma and urine rather than on prostate tissue biopsies. There are two goals for this test, a) to diagnose the presence of cancer in patients with BPH (Benign prostatic hyperplasia) and b) to distinguish high-grade from low-grade cancer in patients with prostate cancer. We completed a preliminary patient study in June 2013, and the results were recently published in March 2014 in the Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers journal. In addition, in February 2014, we completed a follow up study with additional patient samples which confirmed the published preliminary data from the first trial. We are also expanding our work to include patient samples from outside the United States. While further validation work needs to be completed, we continue to be encouraged about the potential for this new test. The NeoLAB™ test is available for ordering for patients who want to participate in the ongoing clinical trial agreement on the condition that their treating physician must provide clinical utilization and follow-up data to us as part of the testing process. We are targeting to test another 600-800 patients in this manner, and to add another 200 patients as part of a trial currently underway in Europe. We are planning a full launch of the NeoLAB™ prostate test in 2015.

Our 10 color flow cytometry service offering has been very well received as it provides approximately 60% more data than previous flow cytometry platforms and allows for better operating efficiencies. In addition, over the last year we believe we have vastly improved our immunohistochemistry offering, brought up a new digital imaging platform and launched several new FISH tests including a new test to aid in the diagnosis of Barrett's Esophagus that we are offering on a semi-exclusive basis. We expect these new tests to drive substantial growth in the future. We also expect to continue to make investments in R&D that will allow us to commercialize a number of new and innovative genetic tests as we move forward.

In January 2012, we entered into a license agreement with Health Discovery Corporation (HDC) to license certain Support Vector Machine / Recursive Feature Elimination technology (SVM-RFE). We believe SVM-RFE techniques will allow us to combine and analyze data from genomics, proteomics and digital imaging to develop practical, cost-effective and reliable new assays and other proprietary tests. Using this technology, we believe we will be able to offer a whole line of advanced tests that will help physicians better manage the treatment options for cancer patients. We have prioritized the development of better tests for the diagnosis and prediction of clinical behavior in prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer, breast cancer, leukemia/lymphoma and other solid tumors as part of the license agreement. We intend to launch a test for prostate cancer in 2015. We are also developing a Cytogenetics Interpretation System using the SVM technology that we believe will result in substantial cost savings and open up the opportunity for sub-licensing revenue in future years.

Diversify

Our third focus in 2014 is diversification. In November 2013, we announced an exclusive alliance with Covance Central Laboratories (Covance) to provide comprehensive anatomic pathology, histology and specialty laboratory testing services for clinical trials. Covance is the largest contract research organization servicing the needs of the pharmaceutical industry. Through this alliance, Covance's clients will gain access to fully integrated anatomic pathology and histology (APH) services, including immunohistochemistry (IHC), fluorescence in-situ hybridization (FISH) and molecular testing. Covance will establish a laboratory at NeoGenomics' Fort Myers, Florida facility and together with NeoGenomics, will provide a full range of APH, tissue based biomarkers and other specialty testing services. The companies will then expand joint capabilities globally at Covance's central laboratory locations in Shanghai, China; Geneva, Switzerland; and Singapore. As part of the alliance, Covance will have access to NeoGenomics' extensive medical and scientific networks, which includes more than 500 pathologists. NeoGenomics gains access to Covance's broad market reach, established client relationships, and extensive clinical trials experience. We believe this alliance will provide seamless global testing services supporting oncology and companion diagnostics strategies for biopharmaceutical firms around the world. We have expanded our facility in Fort Myers, Florida to

provide the capacity to grow this alliance with Covance and to provide quality testing for global clinical trials. NeoGenomics has ongoing clinical trials with international pharmaceutical firms and working along with Covance will allow us to work on trials on a global basis.

We have been able to diversify our product lines with over 70 new molecular tests and profiles launched over the last two years. Among the new products launched during 2014 were Calreticulin Mutation Analysis and 23 new and innovative NeoTYPE Cancer Profiles based on next-generation sequencing (NGS). We believe these new advanced cancer-profiling tools offer oncologists and pathologists a more targeted and comprehensive ability to tailor cancer testing to an individual patient's needs than has ever been available before.

Table of Contents

Get Lean

We are focused on becoming more efficient and reducing our cost per test. Our best practice teams work with our information technology teams to make improvements in efficiencies to our lab processes. We are using information systems and technology to move NeoGenomics further along the path of being a fully digital lab, that uses on-line ordering, bar coding, specimen tracking, and other tools to create a streamlined, seamless, and efficient lab. We also completed a facility upgrade to our Fort Myers, Florida lab location and we expect this upgrade to increase our efficiencies and reduce our cost per test. These Lean initiatives are having an impact on our cost structure. During the first six months of 2014, we have reduced our average cost of goods sold per test by 9.4% versus the comparable period in 2013.

Competitive Strengths

Turnaround Times

We strive to provide industry leading turnaround times for test results to our clients nationwide. By providing information to physicians in a rapid manner, they can begin treating their patients as soon as possible. We believe our average 4-5 day turnaround time for our cytogenetics testing services, our average 3-4 day turnaround time for FISH testing services, our 5-7 day turnaround time for molecular testing and our average 1 day turnaround time for flow cytometry testing services are industry-leading benchmarks for national laboratories. Our consistent timeliness of results is a competitive strength and a driver of additional testing requests by our referring physicians. Quick turnaround times allow for the performance of other adjunctive tests within an acceptable diagnosis window in order to augment or confirm results and more fully inform treatment options. We believe that our rapid turnaround times are a key differentiator of NeoGenomics versus other national laboratories, and our clients often cite them as a key factor in their relationship with us.

Medical Team

Our team of medical professionals and Ph.Ds. are specialists in the field of genetics and oncology. Our medical team is led by our Chief Medical Officer, Dr. Maher Albitar, a renowned hematopathologist with extensive experience in molecular and genetic testing. Prior to joining NeoGenomics, Dr. Albitar was Medical Director for Hematopathology and Oncology at the Quest Nichols Institute and Chief R&D Director for Hematopathology and Oncology for Quest Diagnostics. He also served as Section Chief for Leukemia at the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. In addition to Dr. Albitar, we employ several other full-time M.D.s and Ph.Ds.

Extensive Tech-Only Service Offerings

We launched the first tech-only FISH testing services in the United States in 2006, and we currently have the most extensive menu of tech-only FISH services in the country. We also offer tech-only flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry testing services. These types of testing services generally allow the professional interpretation component of a test to be billed separately from the technical component. Our NeoFISH™, NeoFLOW™ and other tech-only service offerings allow properly trained and credentialed community-based pathologists to extend their own practices by performing professional interpretations services, which allows them to better service the needs of their local clientele without the need to invest in the lab equipment and personnel required to perform the technical component of genetic and molecular testing.

Our tech-only services are designed to give pathologists the option to choose, on a case by case basis, whether they want to order just the technical information and images relating to a specific test so they can perform the professional

interpretation, or order global services and receive a comprehensive test report which includes a NeoGenomics Pathologist's interpretation of the test results. Our clients appreciate the flexibility to access NeoGenomics medical staff for difficult or complex cases or when they are otherwise unavailable to perform professional interpretations. We believe this innovative approach to serving the needs of pathology clients results in longer term, more committed client relationships that are more akin to strategic partnerships. Our extensive tech-only service offerings have differentiated NeoGenomics and allowed us to compete more effectively against larger, more entrenched competitors in our niche of the industry.

Table of Contents

Global Service Offerings

We also offer a full set of global services to meet the needs of those clients who are not credentialed and trained in interpreting genetic tests and who are looking for specialists to interpret the testing results for them. In our global service offerings, our lab performs the technical component of the tests and our M.D.s and Ph.Ds. provide the interpretation services. Our professional staff is also available for post testing consultative services. These clients rely on the expertise of our medical team to give them the answers they need in a timely manner to help inform their diagnoses and treatment decisions. Many of our tech-only clients also rely on our medical team for difficult or challenging cases by ordering our global testing services on a case by case basis or our medical team can serve as a backup to our clients who need overflow or weekend coverage. Our Genetic Pathology Solutions (GPS) report summarizes all relevant case data from our global services on one summary report. When providing global services, NeoGenomics performs both the technical and professional component of the test, which results in a higher reimbursement level.

Client Education Programs

We believe we have one of the most extensive client education programs in the genetic and molecular testing industry. We train pathologists how to use and interpret genetic testing services so that they can better interpret technical data and render their diagnosis. Our educational programs include an extensive library of on-demand training modules, online courses, and custom tailored on-site training programs that are designed to prepare clients to utilize our tech-only services. Each year, we also regularly sponsor seminars and webinars on emerging topics of interest in our field. Our medical staff is involved in many aspects of our training programs.

Superior Testing Platforms

We use some of the most advanced testing platforms in the laboratory industry. The use of bi-directional sequencing in our molecular testing allows us to detect multiple mutations which can be missed with single point mutation analysis. Many laboratories rely on more limited kits which only look at single points on a gene. We also have launched next generation sequencing in 2014. Our automated FISH and Cytogenetics tools allow us to deliver the highest quality testing to our clients.

Laboratory Information System (LIS)

We believe we have a state-of-the-art Laboratory Information System (LIS) that interconnects our locations and provides flexible reporting solutions to clients. This system allows us to standardize testing and deliver uniform test results and images throughout our network, regardless of the location that any specific portion of a test is performed within our network. This allows us to move specimens and image analysis work between locations to better balance our workload. Our LIS also allows us to offer highly specialized and customizable reporting solutions to our tech-only clients. For instance, our tech-only NeoFISH™ and NeoFLOW™ applications allow our community-based pathologist clients to tailor individual reports to their specifications and incorporate only the images they select and then issue and sign-out such reports from our system with their own logos at the top. Our customized reporting solution even allows our clients to incorporate test results performed on ancillary tests not performed at NeoGenomics into summary report templates. This feature has been well-received by clients.

National Direct Sales Force

Our direct sales force has been trained extensively in cancer genetic testing and consultative selling skills to service the needs of clients. Our sales representatives (Territory Business Managers) are organized into three regions

(Northeast, Central and West). These sales representatives all utilize our custom Customer Relationship Management System to manage their territories, and we have integrated all of the important customer care functionality within our LIS into Salesforce.com so that our Territory Business Managers can stay informed of emerging issues and opportunities within their regions.

Geographic Locations

Many high complexity laboratories within the cancer testing niche have frequently operated a core facility on either the West Coast or the East Coast of the United States to service the needs of their customers around the country. We believe our clients and prospects desire to do business with a laboratory with national breadth and a local presence. We have six facilities, three large laboratory locations in Fort Myers, Florida, West Sacramento, California and Irvine,

Table of Contents

California and three smaller laboratory locations in Fresno, California, Nashville, Tennessee and Tampa, Florida. Our objective is to operate one lab with six locations in order to deliver standardized, high quality, test results. We intend to continue to develop and open new laboratories and/or expand our current facilities as market situations dictate and business opportunities arise.

Scientific Pipeline

In the past few years our field has experienced a rapid increase in tests that are tied to specific genomic pathways. These predictive tests are typically individualized for a small sub-set of patients with a specific subtype of cancer. The therapeutic target in the genomic pathways is typically a small molecule found at the level of the cell surface, within the cytoplasm and/or within the nucleus. These genomic pathways, known as the Hallmarks of Cancer, contain a target-rich environment for small-molecule anti-therapies. These anti-therapies target specific mutations in the major cancer pathways such as the Proliferation Pathway, the Apoptotic Pathway, the Angiogenic Pathway, the Metastasis Pathway, and the Signaling Pathways and Anti-Signaling Pathways.

We are working with the technology we licensed from HDC to develop new proprietary cancer tests, streamline our workflow, and reduce our costs.

Seasonality

The majority of our testing volume is dependent on patients being treated by hematology/oncology professionals and other healthcare providers. Volume of testing generally declines during the vacation seasons, year-end holiday periods and other major holidays, particularly when those holidays fall during the middle of the week. In addition, the volume of testing tends to decline due to adverse weather conditions, such as heavy snow, excessively hot or cold spells or hurricanes, tornados in certain regions, consequently reducing revenues and cash flows in any affected period. Therefore, comparison of the results of successive periods may not accurately reflect trends for future periods.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions and select accounting policies that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

While many operational aspects of our business are subject to complex federal, state and local regulations, the accounting for our business is generally straightforward with net revenues primarily recognized upon completion of the testing process. Our revenues are primarily comprised of laboratory tests, and approximately one-half of total operating costs and expenses consist of employee compensation and benefits. Due to the nature of our business, several of our accounting policies involve significant estimates and judgments. These accounting policies have been described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of ContentsResults of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 as Compared to the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

The following table presents the consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of revenue:

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
NET REVENUE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
COST OF REVENUE	50.5%	54.1%	51.2%	53.9%
GROSS PROFIT	49.5%	45.9%	48.8%	46.1%
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
General and administrative	28.4%	26.0%	28.1%	26.4%
Research and development	3.0%	4.0%	3.3%	4.6%
Sales and marketing	15.3%	12.6%	14.9%	12.5%
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	46.7%	42.6%	46.3%	43.5%
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	2.8%	3.3%	2.5%	2.6%
INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) NET	(1.2)%	(1.5)%	(1.3)%	(1.6)%
NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	1.6%	1.8%	1.2%	1.0%
INCOME TAXES	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%
NET INCOME	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%	0.9%

Revenue**Supplemental Information on Customer Requisitions Received and Tests Performed**

(in thousands, except test and requisition amount)

	For the three months ended June 30,			For the six months ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	% Inc (Dec)	2014	2013	% Inc (Dec)
Requisitions Rec'd (cases)	29,354	20,875	40.6%	54,058	41,479	30.3%
Number of Tests Performed	45,475	32,519	39.8%	84,209	64,607	30.3%
Avg. # of Tests / Requisition	1.55	1.56	(0.6)%	1.56	1.56	0.0%
Total Testing Revenue	\$ 20,670	\$ 15,603	32.5%	\$ 38,852	\$ 31,260	24.3%

Avg Revenue/Requisition	\$ 704	\$ 747	(5.8)%	\$ 719	\$ 754	(4.6)%
Avg Revenue/Test	\$ 455	\$ 480	(5.3)%	\$ 461	\$ 484	(4.6)%

Our increase in test counts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 when compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily the result of adding new client accounts. We have been able to gain market share due to our expanded testing menu and better service levels compared to other labs. Revenue increased by 32.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 when compared to the comparable period in 2013, because of the increase in clients described above. Our existing clients continue to respond favorably to our expanded Molecular testing menu and an increase in Molecular test orders also helped us to achieve 39.8% growth in our testing volumes over last year's second quarter. Average revenue per test for the three month period ended June 30, 2014 declined 5.3% from the comparable period in 2013 as a result of the NCCI FISH edits. The National Correct Coding Initiative NCCI FISH testing edits were issued in December 2013, effective as of January 1, 2014, and created a contradiction with respect to long-established billing practices for FISH testing. The new FISH edits suggest that the number of billable units that laboratories should bill for certain multi-probe FISH tests is less than the previously established guidance which is still in effect. The Company and The American Clinical Laboratory Association (ACLA) have asked the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to provide further guidance with respect to this contradictory new policy, and CMS officials have acknowledged the need to issue a clarification, but have yet to do so. A favorable clarification from CMS with respect to these NCCI FISH edits would result in us being able to bill in future periods for all or a portion of the previously unbilled \$1,750,000 of FISH testing services that were foregone in the first six months of 2014.

Table of Contents

Revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2014 increased 24.3% when compared with the comparable period last year. Testing volumes for the first six months of 2014 were up 30.3% compared to last year, however average revenue per test declined 4.6%. The price decline is primarily related to the NCCI FISH edits.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Profit

Cost of revenue includes payroll and payroll related costs for performing tests, depreciation of laboratory equipment, rent for laboratory facilities, laboratory reagents, probes and supplies, and delivery and courier costs relating to the transportation of specimens to be tested. Our cost of revenue, gross profit and test metrics for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	For the three months ended June 30,			For the six months ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change
Cost of revenue	\$ 10,431,000	\$ 8,446,000	\$ 1,985,000	\$ 19,904,000	\$ 16,857,000	\$ 3,047,000
Cost of revenue as a % of revenue	50.5%	54.1%		51.2%	53.9%	
Gross Profit	\$ 10,239,000	\$ 7,157,000	\$ 3,082,000	\$ 18,948,000	\$ 14,403,000	\$ 4,545,000
Gross Profit as a % of revenue	49.5%	45.9%		48.8%	46.1%	
Cost of Revenue per Test	\$ 229	\$ 260	\$ (31)	\$ 236	\$ 261	\$ (25)
Gross Profit per Test	\$ 226	\$ 220	\$ 6	\$ 225	\$ 223	\$ 2

Overall cost of revenue increased due to the increases in our testing volumes. Cost as a percentage of revenue decreased by approximately 360 margin points for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and by 270 margin points for the six months ended June 30, 2014. This was driven by improved capacity planning and utilization along with several process improvements in the laboratory. We also saw growth in lower priced and lower cost molecular tests. We have completed a facility upgrade to our Fort Myers, Florida lab location and we expect this upgrade to reduce our cost per test. The new laboratory design was aided by our Lean process teams and uses Lean principles to improve our operating efficiency. We are implementing Lean process initiatives, bar coding and scanning technology, new and improved instrumentation to further automate our laboratories, and new IT enhancements that will help us process more tests more effectively and efficiently. We believe that we will continue to see a reduction in average cost per test in future periods based on the activities of our best practice teams.

Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expenses relate primarily to the employee related costs of our sales management, sales representatives, sales and marketing consultants, marketing, and customer service personnel.

**For the three months
ended
June 30,**

**For the six months ended
June 30,**

	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change
Sales and marketing	\$ 3,158,000	\$ 1,972,000	\$ 1,186,000	\$ 5,791,000	\$ 3,903,000	\$ 1,888,000
As a % of revenue	15.3%	12.6%		14.9%	12.5%	

Sales and marketing expenses increased approximately 60.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2013. Sales and marketing expenses increased approximately 48.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013. This was the result of growing our sales team as well as increased commissions on increased revenue.

We expect our overall sales and marketing expenses in dollars to increase modestly with increased test volumes. As a percentage of revenue we expect our expenses to come down slightly in future quarters.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses relate to billing, bad debts, finance, human resources, information technology and other administrative functions. They primarily consist of employee related costs (such as salaries, fringe benefits, and stock-based compensation expense), professional services, facilities expense, and depreciation and administrative-related costs allocated to general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

	For the three months ended June 30,			For the six months ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change
General and administrative	\$ 5,870,000	\$ 4,064,000	\$ 1,806,000	\$ 10,924,000	\$ 8,239,000	\$ 2,685,000
As a % of revenue	28.4%	26.0%		28.1%	26.4%	

General and administrative expenses increased by 44.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2013. General and administrative expenses increased approximately 32.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in general and administrative expenses is primarily a result of adding information technology and billing personnel to support the increase in our testing volumes, corporate bonuses, office expenses, depreciation as well as increases in professional and corporate fees.

Bad debt expense increased by approximately 44.0%, or approximately \$284,000 to \$930,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to approximately \$646,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013. As a percentage of revenue, bad debt expense for the three months ended June 30, 2014 was 4.5% compared to 4.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2013. Bad debt expense increased by approximately 30.8%, or approximately \$427,000 to \$1,814,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to approximately \$1,387,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013. As a percentage of revenue bad debt expense for the six months ended June 30, 2014 was 4.7% compared to 4.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2013. This increase was primarily a result of increased revenue for the corresponding period although there is also a slight increase in bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue based on the aging of our accounts receivable by payer category.

We expect our overall general and administrative expenses to increase in dollars, as we add personnel, increase our billing and collections activities; incur additional expenses associated with the expansion of our facilities and backup systems; incur additional bad debt expense related to increasing sales, and as we continue to build our physical infrastructure to support our anticipated growth. As a percentage of revenue, we expect general and administrative expenses to fall slightly in future quarters.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses relate to cost of developing new proprietary and non-proprietary genetic tests as well as cost related to our licensing agreement with Health Discovery Corporation, including amortization of the licensed technology.

	For the three months ended June 30,			For the six months ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change
Research and development	\$ 633,000	\$ 616,000	\$ 17,000	\$ 1,261,000	\$ 1,451,000	\$ (190,000)
As a % of revenue	3.0%	4.0%		3.3%	4.6%	

Research and development expenses increased approximately 2.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in research and development expenses is primarily the result of an increase in supplies. Research and development expenses decreased approximately 13.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013. The decrease is primarily a result of a reduction in stock-based compensation expense for non-employee stock options and warrants.

We expect our research and development expenses to fluctuate in future quarters because of increases or decreases in our stock price and the corresponding stock compensation expense for non-employee stock options and warrants. Increases in our stock price result in additional expense and decreases in our stock price can result in recovery of previously recorded expense.

Table of Contents**Interest and Other (Income) Expense**

Interest and other (income) expense primarily consists of the interest expense we incur on our borrowing arrangements (primarily comprised of interest payable on advances under our revolving credit facility with Capital Source and interest paid on capital lease obligations) offset by the interest income we earn on cash deposits. Interest and other (income) expense increased from approximately \$232,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 to \$253,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014. Interest and other (income) expense increased from approximately \$517,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$518,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Net Income

The following table provides the net income for each period along with the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share for the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income	\$ 274,000	\$ 273,000	\$ 376,000	\$ 276,000
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	49,890,000	48,793,000	49,590,000	47,529,000
Effect of potentially dilutive securities	3,843,000	4,951,000	3,961,000	4,768,000
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	53,733,000	53,744,000	53,551,000	52,297,000
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01

Non-GAAP Measures

Adjusted EBITDA is defined by NeoGenomics as net income from continuing operations before (i) interest expense, (ii) tax expense, (iii) depreciation and amortization expense, (iv) non-cash stock-based compensation and warrant amortization expense and (v) other extraordinary or non-recurring charges. NeoGenomics believes that Adjusted EBITDA provides a more consistent measurement of operating performance and trends across reporting periods by excluding these cash and non-cash items of expense not directly related to ongoing operations from income. Adjusted EBITDA also assists investors in performing analysis that is consistent with financial models developed by research analysts.

Adjusted EBITDA as defined by NeoGenomics is not a measurement under GAAP and may differ from non-GAAP measures used by other companies. There are limitations inherent in non-GAAP financial measures such as Adjusted EBITDA because they exclude a variety of charges and credits that are required to be included in a GAAP presentation, and do not therefore present the full measure of NeoGenomics recorded costs against its net revenue. Accordingly, investors should consider non-GAAP results together with GAAP results in analyzing NeoGenomics

financial performance.

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of GAAP net income to Non-GAAP EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the three and six months ending June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income (Per GAAP)	\$ 274,000	\$ 273,000	\$ 376,000	\$ 276,000
<i>Adjustments to Net Income:</i>				
Interest expense (income), net	256,000	232,000	521,000	517,000
Income taxes	51,000		78,000	17,000
Amortization of intangibles	55,000	55,000	111,000	111,000
Depreciation and amortization	1,249,000	1,063,000	2,400,000	2,052,000
EBITDA	1,885,000	1,623,000	3,486,000	2,973,000
<i>Further Adjustments to EBITDA:</i>				
Non-cash stock-based compensation	197,000	202,000	281,000	646,000
Adjusted EBITDA (non-GAAP)	\$ 2,082,000	\$ 1,825,000	\$ 3,767,000	\$ 3,619,000

Trade Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The following tables present the dollars and percentage of the Company's gross accounts receivable from customers outstanding by aging category at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

NEOGENOMICS AGING OF RECEIVABLES BY PAYER GROUP

June 30, 2014

Group	0-30	%	31-60	%	61-90	%	91-120	%	>120	%	Total	%
Commercial	\$ 3,169,873	13%	\$ 2,833,936	12%	\$ 1,358,798	5%	\$ 654,722	3%	\$ 715,460	3%	\$ 8,732,789	9%
Finance	1,031,793	4%	1,315,573	5%	825,710	3%	835,173	4%	4,628,033	19%	8,636,282	19%
Healthcare	21,274	0%	80,541	0%	92,587	1%	80,814	0%	604,724	2%	879,940	2%
Healthcare	660,709	3%	843,028	4%	427,377	2%	308,027	1%	2,709,484	11%	4,948,625	11%
Healthcare	5	0%	(390)	0%	(3,762)	0%	9,232	0%	12,100	0%	17,185	0%
Healthcare	1,294,064	5%		%		%		%		%	1,294,064	5%
	\$ 6,177,718	25%	\$ 5,072,688	21%	\$ 2,700,710	11%	\$ 1,887,968	8%	\$ 8,669,801	35%	\$ 24,508,885	35%

December 31, 2013

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form 10-Q

Group	0-30	%	31-60	%	61-90	%	91-120	%	>120	%	Total
	\$ 2,716,164	11%	\$ 1,728,152	7%	\$ 1,232,594	6%	\$ 581,713	3%	\$ 905,057	4%	\$ 7,163,680
Commercial											
Expense	341,364	2%	985,446	4%	740,250	3%	557,269	2%	3,883,242	17%	6,507,571
Paid	21,509	0%	75,820	0%	76,713	0%	87,291	0%	285,383	2%	546,716
Care	349,224	2%	1,016,452	5%	1,169,982	5%	636,039	3%	3,057,915	13%	6,229,612
Pay	8,562	0%		%	11,459	0%	1,661	0%	88,416	0%	110,098
led											
ue	2,634,940	11%		%		%		%		%	2,634,940
	\$ 6,071,763	26%	\$ 3,805,870	16%	\$ 3,230,998	14%	\$ 1,863,973	8%	\$ 8,220,013	36%	\$ 23,192,617

Table of Contents

The following table represents our allowance balances at each balance sheet date presented and that allowance as a percentage of gross accounts receivable:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	Change
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 5,709,000	\$ 4,540,000	\$ 1,169,000
As a % of total accounts receivable	23.2%	19.6%	

At June 30, 2014 our allowance for doubtful accounts increased \$1,169,000 as compared to December 31, 2013. The increase is attributed to the overall increase in our accounts receivable balance. As a percentage of total accounts receivable the allowance for doubtful accounts increased to 23.2% at June 30, 2014 from 19.6% at December 31, 2013. This increase is the result of leaving claims open longer in an effort to collect them. The corresponding allowance increases at the same time increasing our allowance for doubtful accounts.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table presents a summary of our cash flows provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 as well as the period ending cash and cash equivalents and working capital.

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 5,298,000	\$ 533,000
Investing activities	(1,966,000)	(608,000)
Financing activities	(3,143,000)	2,843,000
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	189,000	2,768,000
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	\$ 4,834,000	\$ 1,868,000
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 5,023,000	\$ 4,636,000
Working Capital (1), end of period	\$ 12,857,000	\$ 11,107,000

(1) Defined as current assets minus current liabilities.

Our net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2014 is driven primarily by net income, depreciation, bad debt expense and by an increase in accounts payable and other liabilities partially offset by an increase in our accounts receivable. We have had strong cash collections for the six months ended June 30, 2014 which has caused the rate of increase in accounts receivable to decline when compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013.

We used approximately \$2.0 million in cash to purchase or develop property and equipment during the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$0.6 million for the comparable period in 2013.

Our cash used by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2014 consisted primarily of pay downs on our revolving credit facility with Capital Source and repayments on capital leases. Our cash provided from financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2013 consisted primarily of the issuance of common stock, net of transaction costs partially offset by pay downs on our revolving credit facility with Capital Source.

On March 26, 2012, the Parent Company, NeoGenomics Laboratories (together with the Parent Company, the Borrower), and CapitalSource Finance LLC (Capital Source) entered into a First Amendment (the Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated April 26, 2010 (the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement or the Credit Facility). The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement amended and restated the original Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated February 1, 2008, as amended, by and among the Parent Company, Borrower and CapitalSource (the Original Credit Agreement). The terms of the Amendment and the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement are substantially similar except that the Amendment, among other things:

- I.) Increased the maximum principal amount of the revolving credit facility (the Facility Cap) to \$8.0 million from \$5.0 million; provided, that the Borrower may request to increase the Facility Cap twice during the term of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement in increments of \$1.0 million to a maximum of \$10,000,000;

Table of Contents

II.) Extended the term of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement to March 26, 2015;

III.) Revised the definition of Minimum Termination Fee to be:

- a. 2.5% of the Facility Cap if the Revolver Termination (as defined in the Agreement) is at any time before March 26, 2013;
- b. 1.5% of the Facility Cap if the Revolver Termination is after March 26, 2013 but before March 26, 2014;
- c. 0.5% of the Facility Cap if the Revolver Termination is on or after March 26, 2014; and
- d. That there shall be no Minimum Termination Fee if the Revolver Termination occurs within five (5) days of the end of the term.

IV.) Modified the definition of Permitted Indebtedness and Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio ; and

V.) Amended Section 3.1 of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by deleting the LIBOR shall be not less than 2.0% and replacing it with the LIBOR shall be not less than 1.0% .
We paid Capital Source a commitment fee of \$80,000 in connection with the Amendment.

On July 27, 2012 the Facility Cap was increased from \$8.0 million to \$9.0 million.

On January 25, 2013 the Borrower and CapitalSource entered into a Second Amendment (the Second Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. The terms of the Second Amendment:

I.) Increased the Facility Cap to \$10.0 million from \$9.0 million; provided, that the Borrower may request to increase the Facility Cap twice during the term of the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement in increments of \$1.0 million to a maximum of \$12,000,000 on or after January 31, 2013;

II.) Amended Annex 1 of the Credit Facility as follows:

a) Deleted Section 2 of the Annex 1 in its entirety and replaced it with the following:
2. Minimum Cash Velocity

For each Test Period, measured as of the last day of each calendar month ending on or after December 31, 2012, Collections of Accounts of Borrowers collectively shall not be less than the Cash Velocity Percentage of Borrowers

net revenue for the Revenue Period less the bad debt expense recognized on the income statement for such Revenue Period.

- b) Added the following definition to the definitions set forth in such Annex in the appropriate alphabetic order:

Cash Velocity Percentage means (a) 80% for the period beginning December 31, 2012 and ending on March 31, 2013 and (b) 87.5% at all other times.

We paid Capital Source a commitment fee of \$10,000 in connection with the Second Amendment.

On January 24, 2014 the Borrower and CapitalSource entered into a Third Amendment (the Third Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. The terms of the Third Amendment amended the Annex I of the credit agreement to delete the definition of Cash Velocity Percentage in its entirety and to replace it with the following:

Cash Velocity Percentage shall mean (a) 80% for the period beginning December 31, 2012 and ending on March 31, 2013, (b) 75% for the period beginning December 1, 2013 and ending on March 31, 2014 and (c) 87.5% at all other times.

We paid Capital Source a commitment fee of \$5,000 in connection with the Third Amendment.

On July 8, 2014 the Borrower, Path Labs, LLC, (New Borrower) and CapitalSource entered into a Joinder and Fourth Amendment (the Fourth Amendment) to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. The fourth amendment added the New Borrower to the credit agreement and allowed for them to borrow under the facility. All other terms of the credit agreement remained unchanged.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2014 we were in compliance with all covenants to the Credit Facility.

We had over \$13.0 million in cash on hand and borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014. We had cash on hand of approximately \$5.0 million as of June 30, 2014, and the available credit under the Credit Facility was approximately \$8.0 million. The outstanding borrowing under our credit facility was \$2.0 million after netting compensating cash on hand. As such, we believe we have adequate resources to meet our operating commitments.

On July 8, 2014, NeoGenomics Laboratories, Inc., a Florida corporation (Neo Labs), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the registrant NeoGenomics, Inc., a Nevada corporation (the NeoGenomics), entered into a membership interest purchase agreement with Path Labs, LLC d/b/a Path Logic, a Delaware limited liability company (Path Logic), and Path Labs Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (PL Holdings), whereby Neo Labs acquired all of the outstanding equity ownership interests in Path Logic from PL Holdings for a purchase price of \$6.0 Million less its capital lease liabilities assumed. These capital lease liabilities were estimated to be approximately \$150,000, therefore consideration was approximately \$5.85 Million. Neo Labs paid the purchase price using cash on hand and borrowings on its revolving credit facility. This has reduced our cash on hand and our borrowing capacity subsequent to June 30, 2014.

Capital Expenditures

We currently forecast capital expenditures in order to execute on our business plan. The amount and timing of such capital expenditures will be determined by the volume of business, but we currently anticipate that we will need to purchase approximately \$7.0 million to \$8.0 million of additional capital equipment during the next year. We plan to fund these purchases primarily through capital lease financing arrangements. If we are unable to obtain such funding, we will need to borrow on our revolving credit facility or pay cash for these items.

Related Party Transactions

Consulting Agreements

During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, Steven C. Jones, a director of the Company, earned approximately \$65,000 and \$62,500, respectively, for various consulting work performed in connection with his duties as Executive Vice President of Finance. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, Steven C. Jones, a director of the Company, earned approximately \$127,500 and \$125,000, respectively, for various consulting work performed in connection with his duties as Executive Vice President of Finance. Mr. Jones also received \$47,500 and \$80,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 as payment of his annual bonus compensation for the previous fiscal years, respectively.

ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We do not invest in or trade instruments which are sensitive to market risk. We also do not have any material foreign operations or foreign sales so we have no exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk.

We do have exposure to both rising and falling interest rates on our Revolving Credit Facility with CapitalSource Bank. At June 30, 2014, advances of approximately \$2.0 million under our Revolving Credit Facility Agreement with CapitalSource Bank were subject to interest charges based on the 12 month LIBOR rates plus 3.25% and the LIBOR rate is capped at a minimum of 1%.

As such, a one percentage point increase in LIBOR rates would increase our monthly interest expense by \$1,666 and a decrease from current LIBOR rates would have no impact on our monthly interest expense as LIBOR is currently less than the 1% Cap on the agreement.

See Note C to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained herein for information on our revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

As required by SEC Rule 15d-15, our management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time the Company is engaged in legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe any current legal proceedings are material to our business. No material proceedings were terminated during the quarter ended June 30, 2014.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

Current and prospective investors are encouraged to review the risks set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2014.

ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable

ITEM 4 MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable

ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION

None

Table of Contents**ITEM 6 EXHIBITS**

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
2.1	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement by and among NeoGenomics Laboratories, Inc., Path Labs, LLC, and Path Labs Holdings, LLC, dated July 8, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 11, 2014)
31.1	Certification by Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/ 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification by Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/ 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.3	Certification by Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/ 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification by Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101	The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (iv) related notes.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 29, 2014

NEOGENOMICS, INC.

By: */s/ Douglas M. VanOort*
Name: Douglas M. VanOort
Title: Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer

By: */s/ George Cardoza*
Name: George Cardoza
Title: Chief Financial Officer

By: */s/ Edwin F. Weidig III*
Name: Edwin F. Weidig III
Title: Director of Finance and
Principal Accounting Officer