

SEALED AIR CORP/DE
Form 10-K
February 28, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-12139

SEALED AIR CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
200 Riverfront Boulevard,
Elmwood Park, New Jersey
(Address of principal executive offices)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (201) 791-7600

65-0654331
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)
07407-1033
(Zip Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|---|--|
| Common Stock, par value \$0.10 per share | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

As of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, June 28, 2013, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$4,549,000,000, based on the closing sale price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

There were 196,199,006 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, issued and outstanding as of January 31, 2014.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be held on May 22, 2014, are incorporated by reference into Part II and Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Table of Contents

PART I

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 1. | <u>Business</u> | 5 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 13 |
| Item 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 27 |
| Item 2. | <u>Properties</u> | 27 |
| Item 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 28 |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 28 |
| | <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 29 |

PART II

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| Item 5. | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 31 |
| Item 6. | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 34 |
| Item 7. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 35 |
| Item 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 70 |
| Item 8. | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 73 |
| Item 9. | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 148 |
| Item 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 148 |
| Item 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | 148 |

PART III

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| Item 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 149 |
| Item 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 149 |
| Item 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 149 |
| Item 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 149 |
| Item 14. | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 149 |

PART IV

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| Item 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 150 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 157 |

Table of Contents

Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 concerning our business, consolidated financial condition and results of operations. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this report regarding our strategies, prospects, financial condition, costs, plans and objectives are forward-looking statements. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) encourages companies to disclose forward-looking statements so that investors can better understand a company's future prospects and make informed investment decisions. Some of our statements in this report, in documents incorporated by reference into this report and in our future oral and written statements may be forward-looking. These statements reflect our beliefs and expectations as to future events and trends affecting our business, our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. These forward-looking statements are based upon our current expectations concerning future events and discuss, among other things, anticipated future financial performance and future business plans. Forward-looking statements are necessarily subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are outside our control, that could cause actual results to differ materially from these statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by such words as anticipates, believes, plan, assumes, could, should, estimates, expects, intends, potential, seek, predict, may, will and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements include projections regarding our financial performance such as those in the Components of Change in Net Sales and Cost of Sales sections of our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) in Part I, Item 7.

The following are important factors that we believe could cause actual results to differ materially from those in our forward-looking statements: global economic and political conditions, changes in our credit ratings, changes in raw material pricing and availability, changes in energy costs, competitive conditions, success of our restructuring activities, currency translation and devaluation effects, the success of our financial growth, profitability, cash generation and manufacturing strategies and our cost reduction and productivity efforts, the effects of animal and food-related health issues, pandemics, consumer preferences, environmental matters, regulatory actions and legal matters, and the other information referenced below under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors. Except as required by the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Non-U.S. GAAP Information

In our MD&A, we present financial information in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). We also present financial information that does not conform to U.S. GAAP, which we refer to as non-U.S. GAAP, as our management believes it is useful to investors. In addition, non-U.S. GAAP measures are used by management to review and analyze our operating performance and, along with other data, as internal measures for setting annual budgets and forecasts, assessing financial performance, providing guidance and comparing our financial performance with our peers. The non-U.S. GAAP information has limitations as an analytical tool and should not be considered in isolation from or as a substitute for U.S. GAAP information. It does not purport to represent any similarly titled U.S. GAAP information and is not an indicator of our performance under U.S. GAAP. Further, non-U.S. GAAP financial measures that we present may not be comparable with similarly titled measures used by others. Investors are cautioned against placing undue reliance on these non-U.S. GAAP measures. Further, investors are urged to review and consider carefully the adjustments made by management to the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP financial measure to arrive at these non-U.S. GAAP financial measures.

Our management may assess our financial results, such as gross profit, operating profit and diluted net earnings per common share (EPS), both on a U.S. GAAP basis and on an adjusted non-U.S. GAAP basis. Examples of some other supplemental financial metrics our management will also use to assess our financial performance include Earnings before Interest Expense, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA), Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted EPS. These non-U.S. GAAP financial measures provide management with additional

Table of Contents

means to understand and evaluate the core operating results and trends in our ongoing business by eliminating certain one-time expenses and/or gains (which may not occur in each period presented) and other items that management believes might otherwise make comparisons of our ongoing business with prior periods and peers more difficult, obscure trends in ongoing operations or reduce management's ability to make useful forecasts. Our non-U.S. GAAP financial measures may also be considered in calculations of our performance measures set by the Organization and Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors for purposes of determining incentive compensation.

The non-U.S. GAAP financial metrics mentioned above exclude items we consider unusual or special items and also exclude their related tax effects. We evaluate the unusual or special items on an individual basis. Our evaluation of whether to exclude an unusual or special item for purposes of determining our non-U.S. GAAP financial measures considers both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the item, including, among other things (i) its nature, (ii) whether or not it relates to our ongoing business operations, and (iii) whether or not we expect it to occur as part of our normal business on a regular basis.

Another non-U.S. GAAP financial metric we present is our core income tax provision and/or core tax rate. Our core taxes are measures of our U.S. GAAP reported effective tax rate, which is adjusted for the same items applicable to our core taxes that are excluded from our adjusted net earnings and adjusted EPS metrics. We consider our core taxes as an indicator of the taxes on our core business. The tax situation and effective tax rate of a specific country where the excluded or special items occur will determine the impact (positive or negative) on our core taxes.

In our *Net Sales by Geographic Region*, *Components of Change in Net Sales by Segment Reporting Structure* and in some of the discussions and tables that follow, we exclude the impact of foreign currency translation when presenting net sales information, which we define as constant dollar. Changes in net sales excluding the impact of foreign currency translation are non-U.S. GAAP financial measures. As a worldwide business, it is important that we take into account the effects of foreign currency translation when we view our results and plan our strategies. Nonetheless, we cannot directly control changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Consequently, when our management looks at net sales to measure the performance of our business, we typically exclude the impact of foreign currency translation from net sales. We also may exclude the impact of foreign currency translation when making incentive compensation determinations. As a result, our management believes that these presentations may be useful to investors.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Sealed Air Corporation, a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, is a global leader in food safety and security, facility hygiene and product protection. We serve an array of end markets including food and beverage processing, food service, retail, healthcare and industrial, and commercial and consumer applications. Our focus is on achieving quality sales growth through leveraging our geographic footprint, technological know-how and leading market positions to bring measureable, sustainable value to our customers, employees and investors.

Sealed Air was founded in 1960. We conduct substantially all of our business through three wholly-owned subsidiaries, Cryovac, Inc., Sealed Air Corporation (US) and Diversey, Inc. (Diversey). Throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K, when we refer to Sealed Air, the Company, we, us or our, we are referring to Sealed Air Corporation and all of our subsidiaries, except where the context indicates otherwise. Please refer to Part II, Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for financial information about the Company and its subsidiaries, which is incorporated herein by reference. Also, when we cross reference to a Note, we are referring to our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, unless the context indicates otherwise.

We are a leading global innovator in the applications we serve and we differentiate ourselves through our:

extensive global reach, by which we leverage our strengths across our operations in 63 countries to reach customers in over 175 countries;

approximately 25,000 employees representing industry-leading expertise in food science, hygiene and sanitation solutions, and in package design, sales, service and engineering;

leading brands, such as Cryovac® packaging technology, Diversey and TASKI® brand cleaning and hygiene solutions and our Bubble Wrap® brand cushioning, Jiffy® protective mailers, and Instapak® foam-in-place systems;

technology leadership with an emphasis on proprietary technologies;

total systems offering that includes specialty materials and formulations, equipment systems and services; and

solid cash flow generation from premium solutions to meet our customers' needs, productivity improvements, working capital management and an asset-light business model.

In 2013, our operations generated approximately 65% of our revenue from outside the United States, including approximately 26% of our revenue from developing regions. These developing regions are Africa, Asia (excluding Japan and South Korea), Central and Eastern Europe, and Latin America.

Our Business Strategies

We seek to enhance our position as a leading global provider of innovative packaging and hygiene solutions that our customers use to improve safety, efficiency and sustainability within their operations by focusing on six strategic priorities:

1. Maintaining and extending our technological leadership, expertise and our sustainability value proposition.

We continue to focus on becoming a knowledge-based, market-driven company focused on helping our customers achieve their sustainability goals while delivering performance and cost competitiveness including enhancing top line growth and reducing costs; conserving energy, water and other resources; and mitigating risks. We are also focused on new value and pricing disciplines so we can share in the success we bring

them.

Table of Contents

2. Better aligning ourselves with the customers, markets and global mega-trends.

As part of our ongoing business portfolio review, we are committed to identifying those customers and markets that offer us the best opportunity to deliver solutions and services that are sufficiently differentiated and valued in the marketplace. In addition, we are committed to aligning our business with key global mega-trends, including e-commerce, infection control and the global movement of food. In particular, we will leverage our strengths to enhance our position with our food and beverage customers and by doing so, we improve access to a more secure food supply chain — one that is safer and more nutritious; more efficient and less wasteful.

3. Accelerating our penetration and rate of growth in developing regions.

With an international focus and extensive geographic footprint aligned to our growth opportunities, we will combine our local market knowledge with our broad portfolio and strengths in innovation and customer service to grow in developing regions. Urbanization, global trade, increased protein consumption and the ongoing conversion to safer and hygienically packaged foods and goods are key secular trends that underpin our confidence in our ability to grow rapidly in these parts of the world.

4. Focusing on cash flow generation and improved return on assets.

We are focused on generating substantial operating cash flow from our existing business so that we can continue to invest in new products and technologies, deleverage our balance sheet, continue to pay dividends, and support growth in our share price. We believe our ongoing process of critically analyzing our business portfolio and reallocating technical, human, and capital resources to the most promising market sectors from those sectors that are less strategic or have a lower level of financial performance will enhance our free cash flow generation performance and result in a higher return on assets, thus improving shareholder value.

5. Optimizing our cost base and operations to maximize profitability.

The size and scale of our global operations affords us a continuing opportunity to derive greater supply chain efficiencies by leveraging our purchasing power, optimizing our manufacturing and logistics footprint, improving our internal processes, and reducing complexity and cost. In addition to reducing the cost of our supply chain operations, we continue to focus on adapting the cost structure of our customer facing and back-office operations to the appropriate level required to adequately support our external customer base and run the business effectively.

6. Developing our people.

We recognize that a core strength of our business is our people. Therefore, we will continue to invest in the development of key skills in our diverse workforce while improving our ability to attract and retain new employees who are motivated by our company vision and the positive impact they have on the world.

Segments

Our segment reporting corresponds with management's current approach of allocating resources and assessing the performance of our segments. Our segments are aligned with groups of similar products.

In the third quarter of 2013, we renamed our global business divisions under our segment reporting structure, which did not have any impact to the reportable segment results. The following are the changes to the names of our three global business divisions:

Food Care, which was previously named Food & Beverage;

Diversey Care, which was previously named Institutional & Laundry; and

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

Product Care, which was previously named Protective Packaging.
See below for information concerning our reportable segments, and also Note 5, Segments.

Table of Contents

Descriptions of the Reportable Segments and Other Category

Food Care Segment

The Food Care division focuses on providing processors, retailers and food service operators a broad range of integrated system solutions that improve the management of contamination risk and facility hygiene during the food and beverage production process, extend product shelf life through packaging technologies, and improve merchandising, ease-of-use, and back-of-house preparation processes. Our systems are designed to be turn-key and reduce customers' total operating costs through improved operational efficiencies and reduced food waste, as well as lower water and energy use. As a result, processors are able to produce and deliver their products more cost-effectively, safely, efficiently, and with greater confidence through their supply chain with a trusted partner.

The business largely serves perishable food and beverage processors predominately in fresh red meat, smoked and processed meats, beverages, poultry and, dairy (solids and liquids) markets worldwide, and maintains a leading position in the applications it targets. Solutions are marketed under the Cryovac® and Diversey® trademarks and under sub-brands such as Cryovac Grip & Teat®, Cryovac Mirabella®, Simple Steps® and Secure Check®.

Our solutions incorporate equipment systems that are frequently integrated into customers' operations, consumables such as advanced flexible films, absorbent materials and trays, and a variety of pre- and post-sale services. Packaging equipment systems can incorporate various options for loading, filling and dispensing, and will also accommodate certain retort and aseptic processing conditions. Equipment solutions supported include vacuum shrink bag systems, flow-vac, thermoforming, skin, tray/lid and vertical pouch packaging systems. Services include graphic design, printing, training, field quality assurance and remote diagnostics. Facility hygiene solutions include clean-in-place and open plant systems that integrate cleaning chemicals, lubricants, floor care equipment and cleaning tools. Also offered are a wide range of value-added services such as application and employee training and auditing of hygiene, water and energy management to improve the operational efficiency of customers' processes and their cleaning efficacy.

Food Care focuses on providing comprehensive systems which protect our customers' products while adding value through increasing operational efficiency and reducing waste throughout the entire food and beverage supply chain. Food Care will partner with customers to provide integrated packaging and hygiene solutions that will consistently deliver food safety, shelf life extension, total cost optimization and innovative packaging formats which will enable our customers to enhance their brands in the marketplace.

Diversey Care Segment

The Diversey Care division represents the broad offering of Diversey®-branded total integrated system solutions for facility hygiene, food safety and security, and infection control to customers worldwide. The division is focused on serving five key institutional and industrial sectors globally, which include: food service operators, hospitality establishments and building service contractors, food retail outlets, and healthcare facilities.

Diversey Care integrates cleaning chemicals, floor care machines, cleaning tools and equipment, and a wide range of value-added services based on extensive expertise, including application and employee training, auditing of hygiene and appearance, food safety services and water and energy management. Diversey Care solutions aim to improve operational efficiency and mitigate risk by improving our customers' cleaning processes and methods and reducing the overall environmental footprint of commercial and industrial facilities. These solutions address kitchen hygiene, floor care, housekeeping and restroom care, and professional laundry. The product range of Diversey-branded solutions includes fully integrated lines of products and dispensing systems for hard surface cleaning, disinfecting and sanitizing, hand washing, deodorizing, mechanical and manual ware washing, hard surface and carpeted floor cleaning systems, cleaning tools and utensils, and fabric care for professional laundry applications comprising detergents, stain removers, bleaches and a broad range of dispensing equipment for process control and management information systems. Floor care machines are commercialized under the well-established Taski® brand.

Table of Contents

Diversey Care is focused on growth in developing regions, where increased urbanization and greater sanitation and hygiene requirements provide growth opportunities with regional and multinational customers across its five targeted market sectors. Diversey Care retains a very solid market position in developed economies and is focused on expanding its market presence by increasing the measurable value its extensive expertise and integrated solutions can provide. Its global footprint enables advantages in accessing the opportunity provided by large corporate and international accounts.

Product Care Segment

The Product Care division provides customers a broad portfolio of Product Care systems designed for use across a range of applications and industries globally. This division provides customers with a versatile range of Product Care solutions to meet cushioning, void fill, positioning/block-and-bracing, surface protection, retail display, containment and dunnage needs. Solutions are marketed under industry-leading brands that include Bubble Wrap® and AirCap® air cellular packaging, Cryovac® performance shrink films, Shanklin® shrink packaging systems, Instapak® polyurethane foam packaging systems, Jiffy® mailers, and Korrvu® suspension and retention packaging and sustainable offers in PakNatural® Loose fill and Restore Mushroom® packaging. Solutions are sold globally and supported by a network of 30 ASTM-approved Product Care design and testing centers, and one of the industry's largest sales and service team.

Today, Product Care solutions are largely sold through business supply distribution that sells to business/industrial end-users representing over 400 SIC codes. Additionally, solutions are sold directly to fabricators, OEMs/contract manufacturers, e-commerce/fulfillment operations, and at retail centers, where Product Care offers select products for consumer use.

Product Care is focused on sustainability, growth in developing regions, advancements in material science, automation and user ease-of-use interface and features.

Other Category

We also focus on growth by utilizing our technologies in new market segments. This category includes our medical applications and new ventures businesses and included the rigid medical packaging business, which we sold in 2013 and has been presented as discontinued operations. See Note 3, Divestitures, for further information.

Medical Applications

The goal of our Medical Applications business is to provide solutions offering superior protection and reliability to the medical, pharmaceutical and medical device industries. We sell medical applications products directly to medical device manufacturers and pharmaceutical companies and to the contract packaging firms that supply them. Medical Applications is focused on growth in the medical device and pharmaceutical solutions packaging markets. Our core product lines include customer designed flexible packaging materials for medical and drug delivery devices, specialty component films for ostomy and colostomy bags and PVC free film to package pharmaceutical solutions.

New Ventures

Our New Ventures business includes several development and innovative projects. These include technologies and solutions sourced from renewable materials and equipment systems that offer an automated packaging service for high-volume fulfillment or pick-and-pack operators.

Table of Contents

Global Operations

We operate through our subsidiaries and have a presence in the United States and the 62 other countries listed below, enabling us to distribute our products to our customers in over 175 countries.

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Argentina | Czech Republic | Indonesia | Netherlands | Saudi Arabia | Turkey |
| Australia | Denmark | Ireland | New Zealand | Singapore | Ukraine |
| Austria | Dominican Republic | Israel | Nigeria | Slovakia | United Arab Emirates |
| Barbados | Egypt | Italy | Norway | Slovenia | United Kingdom |
| Belgium | Finland | Jamaica | Pakistan | South Africa | Uruguay |
| Brazil | France | Japan | Peru | South Korea | Venezuela |
| Canada | Germany | Kenya | Philippines | Spain | Vietnam |
| Chile | Greece | Luxembourg | Poland | Sweden | |
| China | Guatemala | Malaysia | Portugal | Switzerland | |
| Colombia | Hungary | Mexico | Romania | Taiwan | |
| Costa Rica | India | Morocco | Russia | Thailand | |

In maintaining our foreign operations, we face risks inherent in these operations, such as currency fluctuations, inflation and political instability. Information on currency exchange risk appears in Part II, Item 7A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference. Other risks attendant to our foreign operations are set forth in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference. Information on the impact of currency exchange on our consolidated financial statements appears in Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Financial information showing net sales and total long-lived assets by geographic region for each of the three years ended December 31, 2013 appears in Note 5, Segments, which information is incorporated herein by reference. We maintain programs to comply with the various laws, rules and regulations related to the protection of the environment that we may be subject to in the many countries in which we operate. See Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Environmental Matters.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 25,000 employees worldwide. Approximately 7,000 of these employees were in the U.S., with approximately 150 of these employees covered by collective bargaining agreements. Of the approximately 16,750 employees who were outside the U.S., approximately 9,700 were covered by collective bargaining agreements. Outside of the U.S., many of the covered employees are represented by works councils or industrial boards, as is customary in the jurisdictions in which they are employed. We believe that our employee relations are satisfactory.

Marketing, Distribution and Customers

At December 31, 2013, we employed approximately 7,300 sales, marketing and customer service personnel throughout the world who sell and market our products to and through a large number of distributors, fabricators, converters, e-commerce and mail order fulfillment firms, and contract packaging firms as well as directly to end-users such as food processors, foodservice businesses, supermarket retailers, lodging, retail, pharmaceutical companies, healthcare facilities, medical device manufacturers, and other manufacturers.

To support our Food Care and New Ventures customers, we operate three Packforum® innovation and learning centers that are located in the U.S., France, and China. At Packforum® Centers, we assist customers in identifying the appropriate packaging materials and systems to meet their needs. We also offer ideation services, educational seminars, employee training and customized graphic design services to our customers.

Table of Contents

To assist our marketing efforts for our Product Care products and to provide specialized customer services, we operate 30 industrial Package Design Centers (PDCs) worldwide within our facilities. These PDCs are staffed with professional packaging engineers and outfitted with drop-testing and other equipment used to develop, test and validate cost-effective package designs to meet each Product Care customer's needs.

To support our equipment systems and the marketing of our total systems solutions, we provide field technical services to our customers worldwide. These services include system installation, integration and monitoring systems, repair and upgrade, operator training in the efficient use of our systems, qualification of various consumable and system combinations, and equipment layout and design.

Our Food Care applications are largely sold direct, while most of our Product Care products and a portion of our Diversey Care products and solutions are sold through business supply distributors.

We have no material long-term contracts for the distribution of our products. In 2013, no customer or affiliated group of customers accounted for 10% or more of our consolidated net sales.

Seasonality

Historically, net sales in our Food Care segment have tended to be slightly lower in the first quarter and slightly higher towards the end of the third quarter through the fourth quarter, due to holiday events. Net sales in our Diversey Care segment have tended to be slightly lower in the first quarter; second quarter sales represent a modest seasonal increase due to higher occupancy rates in European lodging; and the third and fourth quarters of the year are relatively the same level as the second quarter. Net sales in our Product Care segment have also tended to be slightly lower in the first quarter and higher in the mid-third quarter and through the fourth quarter due to the holiday shopping season. On a consolidated basis, there is little seasonality in the business, with net sales slightly lower in the first quarter and slightly higher towards the end of the third quarter through the fourth quarter. Our consolidated net earnings typically trend directionally the same as our net sales seasonality. Cash flow from operations has tended to be lower in the first quarter and higher in the fourth quarter, reflecting seasonality of sales and working capital changes, including the timing of certain annual incentive compensation payments.

Other factors may outweigh the effects of seasonal changes in our net earnings results including, but not limited to, changes in raw materials and other costs, foreign exchange rates, interest rates, taxes and the timing and amount of acquisition synergies and restructuring and other non-recurring charges.

Competition

Competition for most of our packaging products is based primarily on packaging performance characteristics, service and price. There are also other companies producing competing products that are well-established. Since competition is also based upon innovations in packaging technology, we maintain ongoing research and development programs to enable us to maintain technological leadership. We invest approximately double the industry average on research and development as a percentage of net sales per year as compared with our packaging peers.

There are other manufacturers of Food Care products, some of which are companies offering similar products that operate across regions and others that operate in a single region or single country. Competing manufacturers produce a wide variety of food packaging based on plastic, metals and other materials. We believe that we are one of the leading suppliers of (i) flexible food packaging materials and related systems in the principal geographic areas in which we offer those products, (ii) barrier trays for case-ready meat products in the principal geographic areas in which we offers those trays, and (iii) absorbent pads for food products to supermarkets and to meat and poultry processors in the United States.

Table of Contents

Our Food Care hygiene solutions and Diversey Care solutions face a wide spectrum of competitors across each product category. Competition is both global and regional in scope and includes numerous small, local competitors with limited product portfolios and geographic reach. We compete globally on premium product offerings and application expertise, innovative product and dispensing equipment offerings, value-added solution delivery, and strong customer service and support. We differentiate our offerings from competitors by becoming the preferred partner to our customers, and by providing innovative, industry-leading products to make their facilities safer and healthier for both maintenance staff and building occupants. We believe our integrated solutions approach, which includes the supply of machines, tools, chemicals, processes and training to customers to drive productivity improvements, reduce risk of food safety events and improve infection control to reduce healthcare acquired infections, is a unique competitive strength. Additionally, the quality, ease of use and environmental profile of our products are unique and have helped support long-standing, profitable relationships with many top customers.

Our Product Care products compete with similar products made by other manufacturers and with a number of other packaging materials that customers use to provide protection against damage to their products during shipment and storage. Among the competitive materials are various forms of paper packaging products, expanded plastics, corrugated die cuts, strapping, envelopes, reinforced bags, boxes and other containers, and various corrugated materials, as well as various types of molded foam plastics, fabricated foam plastics, mechanical shock mounts, and wood blocking and bracing systems. We believe that we are one of the leading suppliers of air cellular cushioning materials containing a barrier layer, inflatable packaging, suspension and retention packaging, shrink films for industrial and commercial applications, protective mailers, polyethylene foam and polyurethane foam packaging systems in the principal geographic areas in which we sell these products.

Competition for most of our Medical Applications products is based primarily on performance characteristics, service and price.

Raw Materials and Sourcing

Suppliers provide raw materials, packaging components, equipment, accessories and contract manufactured goods. Our principal raw materials are polyolefin and other petrochemical-based resins and films, caustic soda, solvents, waxes, phosphates, surfactants, chelates, fragrances and paper and wood pulp products. These raw materials represent approximately 40% of our consolidated cost of sales. We also purchase corrugated materials, cores for rolls of products such as films and Bubble Wrap[®] brand cushioning, inks for printed materials, bag-in-the-box containers, bottles, drums, pails, totes, aerosol cans, caps, triggers, valves, and blowing agents used in the expansion of foam packaging products. In addition, we offer a wide variety of specialized packaging equipment, some of which we manufacture or have manufactured to our specifications, some of which we assemble and some of which we purchase from suppliers. Equipment and accessories include industrial and food packaging equipment, dilution-control warewashing and laundry equipment, floor care machines and items used in the maintenance of a facility such as air care dispensers, floor care applicators, microfiber mops and cloths, buckets, carts and other cleaning tools and utensils.

The vast majority of the raw materials required for the manufacture of our products and all components related to our equipment and accessories generally have been readily available on the open market, in most cases are available from several suppliers and are available in amounts sufficient to meet our manufacturing requirements. However, we have some sole-source suppliers, and the lack of availability of supplies could have a material negative impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. Natural disasters such as hurricanes, as well as political instability and terrorist activities, may negatively impact the production or delivery capabilities of refineries and natural gas and petrochemical suppliers and suppliers of other raw materials. Due to by-product/co-product chemical relationships to the automotive and housing markets, several materials may become difficult to source. These factors could lead to increased prices for our raw materials, curtailment of supplies and allocation of raw materials by our suppliers. We source some materials used in our

Table of Contents

packaging products from materials recycled in our manufacturing operations or obtained through participation in recycling programs. Although we purchase some raw materials under long-term supply arrangements with third parties, these arrangements follow market forces and are in line with our overall global sourcing strategy, which seeks to balance the cost of acquisition and availability of supply.

We have a centralized supply chain organization, which includes centralized management of procurement and logistic activities. Our objective is to leverage our global scale to achieve sourcing efficiencies and reduce our total delivered cost across all our regions. We do this while adhering to strategic performance metrics and stringent sourcing practices.

Research and Development Activities

We maintain a continuing effort to develop new products and improve our existing products and processes, including developing new packaging, non-packaging and chemical equipment and applications using our intellectual property. From time to time, we also acquire and commercialize new packaging and other products or techniques developed by others. Our research and development projects rely on our technical capabilities in the areas of food science, materials science, chemistry, package design and equipment engineering. Our research and development expense was \$133 million in 2013, \$135 million in 2012 and \$105 million in 2011.

Our research and development activities are focused on end-use application. As a result, we operate:

two food science laboratories located in the U.S. and Italy;

six research and development laboratories focused on the development of cleaning and sanitation formulations, which are located in the U.S., Germany, the Netherlands, Switzerland, India and Brazil; and

eight equipment design centers in the U.S., Germany, Switzerland and Italy that focus on equipment and parts design and innovation to support the development of comprehensive systems solutions.

Patents and Trademarks

We are the owner or licensee of an aggregate of over 4,900 United States and foreign patents and patent applications, as well as an aggregate of over 10,300 United States and foreign trademark registrations and trademark applications that relate to many of our products, manufacturing processes and equipment. We believe that our patents and trademarks collectively provide a competitive advantage. We file annually an average of 320 United States and foreign patent applications and 480 United States and foreign trademark applications. None of our reportable segments is dependent upon any single patent or trademark alone. Rather, we believe that our success depends primarily on our sales and service, marketing, engineering and manufacturing skills and on our ongoing research and development efforts. We believe that the expiration or unenforceability of any of our patents, applications, licenses or trademark registrations would not be material to our business or consolidated financial condition.

Environmental, Health and Safety Matters

As a manufacturer, we are subject to various laws, rules and regulations in the countries, jurisdictions and localities in which we operate. These cover: the safe storage and use of raw materials and production chemicals; the release of materials into the environment; standards for the treatment, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes; or otherwise relate to the protection of the environment. We review environmental, health and safety laws and regulations pertaining to our operations and believe that compliance with current environmental and workplace health and safety laws and regulations has not had a material effect on our capital expenditures or consolidated financial condition.

Table of Contents

In some jurisdictions in which our packaging products are sold or used, laws and regulations have been adopted or proposed that seek to regulate, among other things, minimum levels of recycled or reprocessed content and, more generally, the sale or disposal of packaging materials. In addition, customer demand continues to evolve for packaging materials that incorporate renewable materials or that are otherwise viewed as being environmentally sound. Our new venture activities, described above, include the development of packaging products from renewable resources. We maintain programs designed to comply with these laws and regulations, to monitor their evolution, and to meet this customer demand. One advantage inherent in many of our products is that thin, lightweight packaging solutions reduce waste and transportation costs in comparison to available alternatives. We continue to evaluate and implement new technologies in this area as they become available.

Various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations regulate some of our products and require us to register certain products and comply with specified requirements. In the United States, we must register our sanitizing and disinfecting products with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We are also subject to various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations that regulate products manufactured and sold by us for controlling microbial growth on humans, animals and processed foods. In the United States, these requirements are generally administered by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). To date, the cost of complying with product registration requirements and FDA compliance has not had a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We also support our customers' interests in eliminating waste by offering or participating in collection programs for some of our products or product packaging and for materials used in some of our products. When possible, materials collected through these programs are reprocessed and either reused in our Product Care operations or offered to other manufacturers for use in other products. In addition, gains that we have made in internal recycling programs have allowed us to improve our net raw material yield, thus mitigating the impact of resin costs, while lowering solid waste disposal costs and controlling environmental liability risks associated with waste disposal.

Our emphasis on environmental, health and safety compliance provides us with risk reduction opportunities and cost savings through asset protection and protection of employees.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.sealedair.com. We make available, free of charge, on or through our website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports that we file or furnish pursuant to Sections 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file these materials with, or furnish them to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Introduction

The risks described below should be carefully considered before making an investment decision. These are the most significant risk factors, but they are not the only risk factors that should be considered in making an investment decision. This Form 10-K also contains and may incorporate by reference forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. See the Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K. Our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks. The trading price of our securities could decline due to any of these risks, and investors in our securities may lose all or part of their investment.

Table of Contents

Uncertain global economic conditions have had and could continue to have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Uncertain global economic conditions have had and may continue to have an adverse impact on our business in the form of lower net sales due to weakened demand, unfavorable changes in product price/mix, or lower profit margins. For example, global economic downturns have adversely impacted some of our end-users and customers, such as food processors, distributors, supermarket retailers, hotels, restaurants, retail establishments, other retailers, business service contractors and e-commerce and mail order fulfillment firms, and other end-users that are particularly sensitive to business and consumer spending.

During economic downturns or recessions, there can be a heightened competition for sales and increased pressure to reduce selling prices as our customers may reduce their volume of purchases from us. If we lose significant sales volume or reduce selling prices significantly, then there could be a negative impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations, profitability and cash flows.

Also, reduced availability of credit may adversely affect the ability of some of our customers and suppliers to obtain funds for operations and capital expenditures. This could negatively impact our ability to obtain necessary supplies as well as our sales of materials and equipment to affected customers. This also could result in reduced or delayed collections of outstanding accounts receivable.

The global nature of our operations exposes us to numerous risks that could materially adversely affect our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

We operate in 63 countries, and our products are distributed in those countries as well as in other parts of the world. A large portion of our manufacturing operations are located outside of the United States and a majority of our net sales are generated outside of the United States. Operations outside of the United States, particularly operations in developing regions, are subject to various risks that may not be present or as significant for our U.S. operations. Economic uncertainty in some of the geographic regions in which we operate, including developing regions, could result in the disruption of commerce and negatively impact cash flows from our operations in those areas.

Risks inherent in our international operations include:

foreign currency exchange controls and tax rates;

foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, including devaluations;

the potential for changes in regional and local economic conditions, including local inflationary pressures;

restrictive governmental actions such as those on transfer or repatriation of funds and trade protection matters, including antidumping duties, tariffs, embargoes and prohibitions or restrictions on acquisitions or joint ventures;

changes in laws and regulations, including the laws and policies of the United States affecting trade and foreign investment;

the difficulty of enforcing agreements and collecting receivables through certain foreign legal systems;

variations in protection of intellectual property and other legal rights;

more expansive legal rights of foreign unions or works councils;

changes in labor conditions and difficulties in staffing and managing international operations;

social plans that prohibit or increase the cost of certain restructuring actions;

the potential for nationalization of enterprises or facilities; and

unsettled political conditions and possible terrorist attacks against U.S. or other interests.

Table of Contents

In addition, there are potential tax inefficiencies and tax costs in repatriating funds from our non-U.S. subsidiaries.

These and other factors may have a material adverse effect on our international operations and, consequently, on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Although the Settlement agreement (as defined in Note 18, "Commitments and Contingencies") has been implemented and we have been released from the various asbestos-related, fraudulent transfer, successor liability, and indemnification claims made against us arising from a 1998 transaction with Grace (as defined below), if the courts were to refuse to enforce the injunctions or releases contained in the Plan (as defined below) and the Settlement agreement with respect to any claims and if Grace were unwilling or unable to defend and indemnify us for such claims, then we could be required to pay substantial damages, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. We are also a defendant in a number of asbestos-related actions in Canada arising from Grace's activities in Canada prior to the 1998 transaction.

On March 31, 1998, Sealed Air completed a multi-step transaction (the Cryovac transaction) involving W.R. Grace & Co. (Grace) which brought the Cryovac packaging business and the former Sealed Air's business under the common ownership of the Company. As part of that transaction, Grace and its subsidiaries retained all liabilities arising out of their operations before the Cryovac transaction (including asbestos-related liabilities), other than liabilities relating to Cryovac's operations, and agreed to indemnify the Company with respect to such retained liabilities. Since the beginning of 2000, we have been served with a number of lawsuits alleging that, as a result of the Cryovac transaction, we are responsible for alleged asbestos liabilities of Grace and its subsidiaries. While they vary, these suits all appear to allege that the transfer of the Cryovac business was a fraudulent transfer or gave rise to successor liability. On April 2, 2001, Grace and a number of its subsidiaries filed petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the Bankruptcy Court). In connection with Grace's Chapter 11 case, the Bankruptcy Court issued orders dated May 3, 2001 and January 22, 2002, staying all asbestos actions against the Company (the Preliminary Injunction). However, the official committees appointed to represent asbestos claimants in Grace's Chapter 11 case (the Committees) received the court's permission to pursue fraudulent transfer and other claims against the Company and its subsidiary Cryovac, Inc. based upon the Cryovac transaction. This proceeding was brought in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (the District Court) (Adv. No. 02-02210).

On November 27, 2002, we reached an agreement in principle with the Committees to resolve all current and future asbestos-related claims made against us and our affiliates in connection with the Cryovac transaction. The Settlement agreement provided for the resolution of the fraudulent transfer claims and successor liability claims, as well as indemnification claims by Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. and affiliated companies in connection with the Cryovac transaction. The parties to the agreement in principle signed the definitive Settlement agreement as of November 10, 2003 consistent with the terms of the agreement in principle. On June 27, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court signed an order approving the Settlement agreement. Although Grace is not a party to the Settlement agreement, under the terms of the order, Grace is directed to comply with the Settlement agreement subject to limited exceptions.

On September 19, 2008, Grace, the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants, the Asbestos PI Future Claimants Representative, and the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders filed, as co-proponents, a plan of reorganization (as filed and amended from time to time, the Plan) and several exhibits and associated documents, including a disclosure statement, with the Bankruptcy Court. The Plan provides for the establishment of two asbestos trusts under Section 524(g) of the United States Bankruptcy Code to which present and future asbestos-related personal injury and property damage claims are channeled. The Plan incorporates the Settlement agreement, including our payment of amounts contemplated by the Settlement agreement and the releases and injunctions contemplated by the Settlement agreement.

Table of Contents

On February 3, 2014 (the Effective Date), the Plan implementing the Settlement agreement became effective with Grace emerging from bankruptcy. In accordance with the Plan and the Settlement agreement, on the Effective Date, Cryovac, Inc. made aggregate cash payments in the amount of \$929.7 million to the WRG Asbestos PI Trust (the PI Trust) and the WRG Asbestos PD Trust (the PD Trust) and transferred 18 million shares of Sealed Air common stock to the PI Trust, in each case reflecting adjustments made in accordance with the Settlement agreement. Under the Plan, the Preliminary Injunction remained in place through the Effective Date and, on the Effective Date, the Plan and Settlement agreement injunctions and releases with respect to asbestos claims and certain other claims became effective. Thereafter, on February 19, 2014, Grace filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court indicating that the Preliminary Injunction had been replaced by the Plan injunctions as of the Effective Date and seeking to dismiss with prejudice the proceedings in which the Preliminary Injunction was issued. The Plan further provides for the channeling of existing and future asbestos claims to the PI Trust or the PD Trust, as applicable. In addition, under the Plan and the Settlement agreement, Grace is required to indemnify us with respect to asbestos and certain other liabilities. Notwithstanding the foregoing, and although we believe the possibility to be remote, if any courts were to refuse to enforce the injunctions or releases contained in the Plan and the Settlement agreement with respect to any claims, and if, in addition, Grace were unwilling or unable to defend and indemnify us for such claims, then we could be required to pay substantial damages, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Since November 2004, the Company and specified subsidiaries have been named as defendants in a number of cases, including a number of putative class actions, brought in Canada as a result of Grace's alleged marketing, manufacturing or distributing of asbestos or asbestos containing products in Canada prior to the Cryovac transaction in 1998. Grace has agreed to defend and indemnify us and our subsidiaries in these cases. A global settlement of these Canadian claims to be funded by Grace has been approved by the Canadian court, and the Plan provides for payment of these claims. We do not have any positive obligations under the Canadian settlement, but we are a beneficiary of the release of claims. The release in favor of the Grace parties (including us) became operative upon the effective date of a plan of reorganization in Grace's United States Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding. As filed, the Plan contemplates that the claims released under the Canadian settlement will be subject to injunctions under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. As indicated above, the Bankruptcy Court entered the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Order on January 31, 2011 and the Clarifying Order on February 15, 2011 and the District Court entered the Original District Court Confirmation Order on January 30, 2012 and the Amended District Court Confirmation Order on June 11, 2012. The Canadian Court issued an Order on April 8, 2011 recognizing and giving full effect to the Bankruptcy Court's Confirmation Order in all provinces and territories of Canada in accordance with the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Order's terms. As described above, the Plan became effective on February 3, 2014. In accordance with an order of the Canadian court, on the Effective Date the actions became permanently stayed until they are amended to remove the Grace parties as named defendants. Two actions were dismissed by the Manitoba court as against the Grace parties on February 19, 2014 and it is anticipated that the remaining actions will now also be dismissed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, and although we believe the possibility to be remote, if the Canadian courts refuse to enforce the final plan of reorganization in the Canadian courts, and if in addition Grace is unwilling or unable to defend and indemnify us and our subsidiaries in these cases, then we could be required to pay substantial damages, which we cannot estimate at this time and which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. For further information concerning these matters, see Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies.

As a result of the recent funding of the Settlement agreement, a large portion of our common stock was transferred to the PI Trust. Future sales of our common stock by the PI Trust could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

As of February 3, 2014, the PI Trust owned approximately 8.4% of our outstanding common stock as a result of the transfer of 18 million shares of our common stock pursuant to the Settlement agreement. In connection with the Settlement agreement, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the PI Trust with respect to these

Table of Contents

shares. The registration rights agreement, which includes customary piggyback and shelf registration provisions, requires us to file a registration statement for the resale of the shares of our common stock transferred to the PI Trust and to cooperate in certain underwritten offerings. Sales by the PI Trust of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market pursuant to registration rights or otherwise, or the perception that these sales might occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on or refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which are subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business, legislative, regulatory and other factors beyond our control. We may be unable to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we could face substantial liquidity problems and could be forced to reduce or delay investments and capital expenditures or to dispose of material assets or operations, seek additional debt or equity capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. We may not be able to effect any such alternative measures on commercially reasonable terms or at all and, even if successful, those alternative actions may not allow us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. The credit agreement governing the senior secured credit facilities, the indentures that govern our senior notes and the agreements covering our accounts receivable securitization programs restrict our ability to dispose of assets and use the proceeds from those dispositions and may also restrict our ability to raise debt or equity capital to be used to repay other indebtedness when it becomes due. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions or to obtain proceeds in an amount sufficient to meet any debt service obligations then due.

In addition, we conduct a substantial portion of our operations through our subsidiaries, certain of which are not guarantors of our indebtedness. Accordingly, repayment of our indebtedness is dependent on the generation of cash flow by our subsidiaries and their ability to make such cash available to us, by dividend, debt repayment or otherwise. Unless they are guarantors of our indebtedness, our subsidiaries do not have any obligation to pay amounts due on indebtedness or to make funds available for that purpose. Our subsidiaries may not be able to, or may not be permitted to, make distributions to enable us to make payments in respect of our indebtedness. Each subsidiary is a distinct legal entity, and, under certain circumstances, legal and contractual restrictions may limit our ability to obtain cash from our subsidiaries. While the indenture governing certain of our senior notes, these notes and the credit agreement governing the senior secured credit facilities limit the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to incur consensual restrictions on their ability to pay dividends or make other intercompany payments to us, these limitations are subject to qualifications and exceptions. In the event that we do not receive distributions from our subsidiaries, we may be unable to make required principal and interest payments on our indebtedness.

Our inability to generate sufficient cash flows to satisfy our debt obligations, or to refinance our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms or at all, would materially and adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities could terminate their commitments to loan money, the lenders could foreclose against the assets securing their borrowings and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

Table of Contents

The terms of our credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities and accounts receivable securitization programs and the indentures governing our senior notes restrict our current and future operations, particularly our ability to respond to changes or to take certain actions.

The indentures governing our senior notes and the credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities contain a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us and may limit our ability to engage in acts that may be in our long-term best interest, including restrictions on our ability to:

incur additional indebtedness;

pay dividends or make other distributions or repurchase or redeem capital stock;

prepay, redeem or repurchase certain debt;

make loans and investments;

sell assets;

incur liens;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

alter the businesses we conduct;

enter into agreements restricting our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends; and

consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets.

In addition, the restrictive covenants in the credit agreement governing our senior credit facilities require us to maintain a specified net leverage ratio. Our ability to meet this financial ratio can be affected by events beyond our control.

A breach of the covenants under the indenture governing our senior notes or under the credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities could result in an event of default under the applicable indebtedness. Such a default may allow the creditors to accelerate the related debt and may result in the acceleration of any other debt to which a cross-acceleration or cross-default provision applies. In addition, an event of default under the credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facilities would permit the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities to terminate all commitments to extend further credit under those facilities. Furthermore, if we were unable to repay the amounts due and payable under our senior secured credit facilities, those lenders could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. In the event our lenders or noteholders accelerate the repayment of our borrowings, we and our subsidiaries may not have sufficient assets to repay that indebtedness. As a result of these restrictions, we may be:

limited in how we conduct our business;

unable to respond to changing market conditions;

unable to raise additional debt or equity financing to operate during general economic or business downturns or to repay other indebtedness when it becomes due; or

unable to compete effectively or to take advantage of new business opportunities.

In addition, amounts available under our accounts receivable securitization programs can be impacted by a number of factors, including but not limited to our credit ratings, accounts receivable balances, the creditworthiness of our customers and our receivables collection experience.

Our variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly.

Borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities are at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness will increase even

Table of Contents

though the amount borrowed remained the same, and our net income and cash flows, including cash available for servicing our indebtedness, will correspondingly decrease. As of December 31, 2013, we had \$1.393 billion of borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities at variable interest rates. A 1/8% increase or decrease in the assumed interest rates on the senior secured credit facilities would result in a \$0.9 million increase or a \$0.9 million decrease in annual interest expense. In the future, we may enter into interest rate swaps that involve the exchange of floating for fixed rate interest payments in order to reduce interest rate volatility. However, we may not maintain interest rate swaps with respect to all of our variable rate indebtedness, and any swaps we enter into may not fully mitigate our interest rate risk.

Raw material pricing, availability and allocation by suppliers as well as energy-related costs may negatively impact our results of operations, including our profit margins.

We use petrochemical-based raw materials to manufacture many of our products. The prices for these raw materials are cyclical, and increases in market demand or fluctuations in the global trade for petrochemical-based raw materials and energy could increase our costs. In addition, the prices of many of the other key raw materials used in our businesses, such as caustic soda, solvents, waxes, phosphates, surfactants, polymers and resins, chelates and fragrances, are cyclical based on numerous supply and demand factors that are beyond our control. If we are unable to minimize the effects of increased raw material costs through sourcing, pricing or other actions, our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected. We also have some sole-source suppliers, and the lack of availability of supplies could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Natural disasters such as hurricanes, as well as political instability and terrorist activities, may negatively impact the production or delivery capabilities of refineries and natural gas and petrochemical suppliers and suppliers of other raw materials in the future. These factors could lead to increased prices for our raw materials, curtailment of supplies and allocation of raw materials by our suppliers, which could reduce revenues and profit margins and harm relations with our customers and which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

The full realization of our deferred tax assets, including primarily those related to the Settlement agreement, may be affected by a number of factors, including our earnings in the U.S.

We have deferred tax assets related to the Settlement agreement, other accruals not yet deductible for tax purposes, foreign tax credits, U.S. and foreign net operating loss carry forwards and investment tax allowances, employee benefit items and other items. We have established valuation allowances to reduce those deferred tax assets to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Our ability to utilize these deferred tax assets depends in part upon our ability to generate future taxable income during the periods in which these temporary differences reverse or our ability to carryback any losses created by the deduction of these temporary differences. We expect to realize these assets over an extended period. If we are unable to generate sufficient future taxable income in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions, or if there is a significant change in the time period within which the underlying temporary differences become taxable or deductible, we could be required to increase our valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets.

Our largest deferred tax asset relates to our Settlement agreement. The value of this net asset, which was \$373 million at December 31, 2013, reflects our anticipated tax benefit from the cash portion of the Settlement agreement and related accrued interest and the value of 18 million shares of our common stock at a post-split price of \$17.86 per share, which was the price when the Settlement agreement was reached in 2002. We intend to carry back a significant portion of the loss resulting from our deduction under the Settlement agreement. The efficiency of any amount carried back and the benefit there from, as well as the benefit from the amount carried forward, may depend upon, among other factors, our past and anticipated future earnings in the U.S. These factors, including any reduction in our anticipated U.S. earnings, could result in a significant increase in our effective tax rate and could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations in the periods

Table of Contents

in which any such condition occurs. In addition, changes in statutory tax rates or other legislation or regulation may change our deferred tax assets or liability balances, with either favorable or unfavorable impacts on our effective tax rate.

The effects of animal and food-related health issues such as bovine spongiform encephalopathy, also known as mad cow disease, foot-and-mouth disease and avian influenza or bird-flu, as well as other health issues affecting the food industry, may lead to decreased revenues.

We manufacture and sell food packaging products, among other products. Various health issues affecting the food industry have in the past and may in the future have a negative effect on the sales of food packaging products. In recent years, occasional cases of mad cow disease have been confirmed and incidents of bird-flu have surfaced in various countries. Outbreaks of animal diseases may lead governments to restrict exports and imports of potentially affected animals and food products, leading to decreased demand for our products and possibly also to the culling or slaughter of significant numbers of the animal population otherwise intended for food supply. Also, consumers may change their eating habits as a result of perceived problems with certain types of food. These factors may lead to reduced sales of food packaging products, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Demand for our products could be adversely affected by changes in consumer preferences.

Our sales depend heavily on the volumes of sales by our customers in the food processing and food service industries. Consumer preferences for food and packaging formats of prepackaged food can influence our sales, as can consumer preferences for fresh and unpackaged foods. Changes in consumer behavior, including changes in consumer preferences driven by various health-related concerns and perceptions, could negatively impact demand for our products.

The consolidation of customers may adversely affect our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Customers in the food service, food and beverage processing, building care, lodging, industrial distribution and healthcare sectors have been consolidating in recent years, and we believe this trend may continue. Such consolidation could have an adverse impact on the pricing of our products and services and our ability to retain customers, which could in turn adversely affect our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We experience competition in the markets for our products and services and in the geographic areas in which we operate.

Our packaging products compete with similar products made by other manufacturers and with a number of other types of materials or products. We compete on the basis of performance characteristics of our products, as well as service, price and innovations in technology. A number of competing domestic and foreign companies are well-established.

The market for our hygiene products is highly competitive. Our hygiene products businesses face significant competition from global, national, regional and local companies within some or all of our product lines in each sector that we serve. Barriers to entry and expansion in the institutional and industrial cleaning, sanitation and hygiene industry are low.

Our inability to maintain a competitive advantage could result in lower prices or lower sales volumes for our products. Additionally, we may not successfully implement our pricing actions. These factors may have an adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Concerns about greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and climate change and the resulting governmental and market responses to these issues could increase costs that we incur and could otherwise affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Numerous legislative and regulatory initiatives have been enacted and proposed in response to concerns about GHG emissions and climate change. We are a manufacturing entity that utilizes petrochemical-based raw materials to produce many of our products, including plastic packaging materials. Increased environmental legislation or regulation could result in higher costs for us in the form of higher raw materials and freight and energy costs. We could also incur additional compliance costs for monitoring and reporting emissions and for maintaining permits. It is also possible that certain materials might cease to be permitted to be used in our processes.

Disruption and volatility of the financial and credit markets could affect our external liquidity sources.

Our principal sources of liquidity are accumulated cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, cash flow from operations and amounts available under our lines of credit, including our senior secured credit facilities and our accounts receivable securitization programs. We may be unable to refinance any of our indebtedness, including our senior notes, our accounts receivable securitization programs and our senior secured credit facilities, on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Additionally, conditions in financial markets could affect financial institutions with which we have relationships and could result in adverse effects on our ability to utilize fully our committed borrowing facilities. For example, a lender under the senior secured credit facilities may be unwilling or unable to fund a borrowing request, and we may not be able to replace such lender.

Strengthening of the U.S. dollar and other foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations could materially impact our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Approximately 65% of our net sales in 2013 were generated outside the United States. We translate sales and other results denominated in foreign currency into U.S. dollars for our consolidated financial statements. During periods of a strengthening U.S. dollar, our reported international sales and net earnings could be reduced because foreign currencies may translate into fewer U.S. dollars.

Also, while we often produce in the same geographic markets as our products are sold, expenses are more concentrated in the U.S. than sales, so that in a time of strengthening of the U.S. dollar, our profit margins could be reduced. While we use financial instruments to hedge certain foreign currency exposures, this does not insulate us completely from foreign currency effects and exposes us to counterparty credit risk for non-performance. See Note 13, Derivatives and Hedging Activities.

We have recognized foreign exchange gains and losses related to the currency devaluations in Venezuela and its designation as a highly inflationary economy under U.S. GAAP. See Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Foreign Exchange Rates Venezuela.

In all jurisdictions in which we operate, we are also subject to laws and regulations that govern foreign investment, foreign trade and currency exchange transactions. These laws and regulations may limit our ability to repatriate cash as dividends or otherwise to the U.S. and may limit our ability to convert foreign currency cash flows into U.S. dollars.

New and stricter legislation and regulations may affect our business and consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Increased legislative and regulatory activity and burdens, and a more stringent manner in which they are applied (particularly in the United States), could significantly impact our business and the economy as a whole. For

Table of Contents

example, the Affordable Care Act (the ACA), which was adopted in 2010 and is being phased in over several years, significantly affects the provision of both healthcare services and benefits in the United States; the ACA may impact our cost of providing our employees and retirees with health insurance and/or benefits, and may also impact various other aspects of our business. We provide benefits to our employees which are competitive within the industries in which we operate. The ACA did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations in 2013, 2012 or 2011; however, we are continuing to assess the impact of the ACA on our healthcare benefit costs. The regulatory environment is still developing, and the potential exists for future legislation and regulations to be adopted. These developments, as well as the increasingly strict regulatory environment, may also adversely affect the customers to which, and the markets into which, we sell our products, and increase our costs and otherwise negatively affect our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations, including in ways that cannot yet be foreseen.

Our annual effective income tax rate can change materially as a result of changes in our mix of U.S. and foreign earnings and other factors, including changes in tax laws and changes made by regulatory authorities.

Our overall effective income tax rate is equal to our total tax expense as a percentage of total earnings before tax. However, income tax expense and benefits are not recognized on a global basis but rather on a jurisdictional or legal entity basis. Losses in one jurisdiction may not be used to offset profits in other jurisdictions and may cause an increase in our tax rate. Income tax provision changes in statutory tax rates and laws, as well as ongoing audits by domestic and international authorities, could affect the amount of income taxes and other taxes paid by us. For example, legislative proposals to change U.S. taxation of non-U.S. earnings could increase our effective tax rate. Also, changes in the mix of earnings (or losses) between jurisdictions and assumptions used in the calculation of income taxes, among other factors, could have a significant effect on our overall effective income tax rate. In addition, our effective tax rate would increase if we were unable to generate sufficient future taxable income in certain jurisdictions, or if we were otherwise required to increase our valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets.

We are subject to taxation in multiple jurisdictions. As a result, any adverse development in the tax laws of any of these jurisdictions or any disagreement with our tax positions could have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We are subject to taxation in, and to the tax laws and regulations of, multiple jurisdictions as a result of the international scope of our operations and our corporate and financing structure. We are also subject to transfer pricing laws with respect to our intercompany transactions, including those relating to the flow of funds among our companies. Adverse developments in these laws or regulations, or any change in position regarding the application, administration or interpretation thereof, in any applicable jurisdiction, could have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of our operations. In addition, the tax authorities in any applicable jurisdiction, including the United States, may disagree with the positions we have taken or intend to take regarding the tax treatment or characterization of any of our transactions. If any applicable tax authorities, including U.S. tax authorities, were to successfully challenge the tax treatment or characterization of any of our transactions, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of our operations.

Our performance and prospects for future growth could be adversely affected if new products do not meet sales or margin expectations.

Our competitive advantage is due in part to our ability to develop and introduce new products in a timely manner at favorable margins. The development and introduction cycle of new products can be lengthy and involve high levels of investment. New products may not meet sales or margin expectations due to many factors, including our inability to (i) accurately predict demand, end-user preferences and evolving industry standards; (ii) resolve technical and technological challenges in a timely and cost-effective manner; or (iii) achieve manufacturing efficiencies.

Table of Contents

A major loss of or disruption in our manufacturing and distribution operations or our information systems and telecommunication resources could adversely affect our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

If we experienced a natural disaster, such as a hurricane, tornado, earthquake or other severe weather event, or a casualty loss from an event such as a fire or flood, at one of our larger strategic facilities or if such event affected a key supplier, our supply chain or our information systems and telecommunication resources, then there could be a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. We are dependent on internal and third party information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information. In particular, we depend on our information technology infrastructure for fulfilling and invoicing customer orders, applying cash receipts, and placing purchase orders with suppliers, making cash disbursements, and conducting digital marketing activities, data processing and electronic communications among business locations.

We also seek opportunities to enhance our enterprise reporting systems (ERPs) and are currently in the process of implementing upgrades and new ERPs. As a result of these implementations, we may experience disruptions in fulfilling and invoicing customer orders, applying cash receipts, and placing purchase orders with suppliers, making cash disbursements, and, data processing and electronic communications among business locations, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We also depend on telecommunication systems for communications between company personnel and our customers and suppliers. Future system disruptions, security breaches or shutdowns could significantly disrupt our operations or result in lost or misappropriated information and may have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We recorded a significant amount of additional goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets as a result of the acquisition of Diversey, and we may never realize the full carrying value of these assets.

As a result of the acquisition of Diversey, we recorded a significant amount of additional goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets, including customer relationships, trademarks and developed technologies.

We test goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives for possible impairment annually during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Amortizable intangible assets are periodically reviewed for possible impairment whenever there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment may result from, among other things, (i) a decrease in our expected net earnings; (ii) adverse equity market conditions; (iii) a decline in current market multiples; (iv) a decline in our common stock price; (v) a significant adverse change in legal factors or business climates; (vi) an adverse action or assessment by a regulator; (vii) heightened competition; (viii) strategic decisions made in response to economic or competitive conditions; or (ix) a more-likely-than-not expectation that a reporting unit or a significant portion of a reporting unit will be sold or disposed of. In the event that we determine that events or circumstances exist that indicate that the carrying value of goodwill or identifiable intangible assets may no longer be recoverable, we might have to recognize a non-cash impairment of goodwill or other identifiable intangible assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We recorded impairment charges related to goodwill and other intangible assets in 2012. See Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets, for further discussion.

Product liability claims or regulatory actions could adversely affect our financial results or harm our reputation or the value of our brands.

Claims for losses or injuries purportedly caused by some of our products arise in the ordinary course of our business. In addition to the risk of substantial monetary judgments, product liability claims or regulatory actions

Table of Contents

could result in negative publicity that could harm our reputation in the marketplace or adversely impact the value of our brands or our ability to sell our products in certain jurisdictions. We could also be required to recall possibly defective products, or voluntarily do so, which could result in adverse publicity and significant expenses. Although we maintain product liability insurance coverage, potential product liability claims could be excluded or exceed coverage limits under the terms of our insurance policies or could result in increased costs for such coverage.

The relationship with S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc. (SCJ) is important to our Diversey Care segment, and any damage to this relationship could have a material adverse effect on this segment.

Diversey is party to various agreements with SCJ, including a brand license agreement (the BLA), a technology disclosure and license agreement, and supply and manufacturing agreements. Under the BLA, Diversey is granted a license in specified territories to sell certain SCJ products and use specified trade names owned by SCJ in the institutional and industrial channels of trade and, subject to certain limitations, in specified channels of trade in which both our Diversey Care segment and SCJ's consumer business operate. SCJ and its affiliates supply products under the BLA. Sales of these products have historically been significant to our Diversey Care segment. The BLA purports to limit Diversey's right to market products with non-SCJ brands that SCJ has not approved in certain channels of trade in specified countries. If we default under our agreements with SCJ and the agreements are terminated, SCJ fails to perform its obligations under these agreements, or our relationship with SCJ is otherwise damaged or severed, this could have a material adverse effect on our Diversey Care segment, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

The relationship with Unilever PLC (Unilever) is important to our Diversey Care segment and any damage to this relationship could have a material adverse effect on this segment.

In connection with Diversey's acquisition of the DiverseyLever business from Unilever in 2002, Diversey entered into various agreements with Unilever, including a license agreement and agency agreement. Pursuant to the license agreement, Unilever granted 31 Diversey subsidiaries a license to produce and sell professional size packs of Unilever's consumer brand cleaning products. In four countries (the United Kingdom, Ireland, Portugal and Brazil), the Diversey subsidiaries operate under an agency agreement with Unilever. In addition, Diversey also holds licenses to use some trademarks and technology of Unilever in the market for institutional and industrial cleaning, sanitation and hygiene products and related services. We believe that these agreements are significant to our Diversey Care segment. If we default under our agreements with Unilever and the agreements are terminated, Unilever fails to perform its obligations under these agreements, or our relationship with Unilever is otherwise damaged or severed, this could have a material adverse effect on our Diversey Care segment, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

If we are unable to retain key employees and other personnel, our consolidated financial condition or results of operations may be adversely affected.

Our success depends largely on the efforts and abilities of our management team and other key personnel. Their experience and industry contacts significantly benefit us, and we need their expertise to execute our business strategies. If any of our senior management or other key personnel cease to work for us and we are unable to successfully replace any departing senior management or key personnel, our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

We could experience disruptions in operations and/or increased labor costs.

In Europe and Latin America, most of our employees are represented by either labor unions or workers councils and are covered by collective bargaining agreements that are generally renewable on an annual basis. As is the case with any negotiation, we may not be able to negotiate acceptable new collective bargaining agreements, which could result in strikes or work stoppages by affected workers. Renewal of collective bargaining agreements could also result in higher wages or benefits paid to union members. A disruption in operations or higher ongoing labor costs could materially affect our business.

Table of Contents

We are subject to a variety of environmental and product registration laws that expose us to potential financial liability and increased operating costs.

Our operations are subject to a number of federal, state, local and foreign environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that govern, among other things, the manufacture of our products, the discharge of pollutants into the air, soil and water and the use, handling, transportation, storage and disposal of hazardous materials.

Many jurisdictions require us to have operating permits for our production and warehouse facilities and operations. Any failure to obtain, maintain or comply with the terms of these permits could result in fines or penalties, revocation or nonrenewal of our permits, or orders to cease certain operations, and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We generate, use and dispose of hazardous materials in our manufacturing processes. In the event our operations result in the release of hazardous materials into the environment, we may become responsible for the costs associated with the investigation and remediation of sites at which we have released pollutants, or sites where we have disposed or arranged for the disposal of hazardous wastes, even if we fully complied with environmental laws at the time of disposal. We have been, and may continue to be, responsible for the cost of remediation at some locations.

Some jurisdictions have laws and regulations that govern the registration and labeling of some of our products. We expect significant future environmental compliance obligations in our European operations as a result of a European Union (EU) Directive Registration, Evaluation, Authorization, and Restriction of Chemicals (EU Directive No. 2006/1907) enacted on December 18, 2006. The directive imposes several requirements related to the identification and management of risks related to chemical substances manufactured or marketed in Europe. The EU has also recently enacted a Classification, Packaging and Labeling regulation. Other jurisdictions may impose similar requirements.

We cannot predict with reasonable certainty the future cost to us of environmental compliance, product registration, or environmental remediation. Environmental laws have become more stringent and complex over time. Our environmental costs and operating expenses will be subject to evolving regulatory requirements and will depend on the scope and timing of the effectiveness of requirements in these various jurisdictions. As a result of such requirements, we may be subject to an increased regulatory burden, and we expect significant future environmental compliance obligations in our operations. Increased compliance costs, increasing risks and penalties associated with violations, or our inability to market some of our products in certain jurisdictions may have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

The legacy Diversey business had tendered various environmental indemnification claims to Unilever pursuant to the Unilever Acquisition Agreement (as defined below).

Under a previous acquisition agreement between the legacy Diversey business and Unilever (the Unilever Acquisition Agreement), Unilever made warranties to Diversey with respect to the facilities formerly owned by Unilever. In addition, Unilever agreed to indemnify Diversey for specified types of environmental liabilities if the aggregate amount of damages meets various dollar thresholds, subject to a cap of \$250 million in the aggregate. Diversey was required to notify Unilever of any environmental indemnification claims by May 3, 2008. Any environmental claims pending after this date, with respect to which Diversey has notified Unilever, remain subject to indemnification until remediation is completed in accordance with the Unilever Acquisition Agreement.

Diversey has previously tendered various environmental indemnification claims to Unilever in connection with former Unilever locations. Unilever has not indicated its agreement with Diversey's request for indemnification. We may file additional requests for reimbursement in the future in connection with pending indemnification claims. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to recover any amounts relating to these indemnification claims from Unilever.

Table of Contents

Our insurance policies may not cover all operating risks and a casualty loss beyond the limits of our coverage could adversely impact our business.

Our business is subject to operating hazards and risks relating to handling, storing, transporting and use of the products we sell. We maintain insurance policies in amounts and with coverage and deductibles that we believe are reasonable and prudent. Nevertheless, our insurance coverage may not be adequate to protect us from all liabilities and expenses that may arise from claims for personal injury or death or property damage arising in the ordinary course of business, and our current levels of insurance may not be maintained or available in the future at economical prices. If a significant liability claim is brought against us that is not adequately covered by insurance, we may have to pay the claim with our own funds, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

If we are not able to protect our trade secrets or maintain our trademarks, patents and other intellectual property, we may not be able to prevent competitors from developing similar products or from marketing their products in a manner that capitalizes on our trademarks, and this loss of a competitive advantage could decrease our profitability and liquidity.

Our ability to compete effectively with other companies depends, in part, on our ability to maintain the proprietary nature of our owned and licensed intellectual property. If we were unable to maintain the proprietary nature of our intellectual property and our significant current or proposed products, this loss of a competitive advantage could result in decreased sales or increased operating costs, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We rely on trade secrets to maintain our competitive position, including protecting the formulation and manufacturing techniques of many of our products. As such, we have not sought U.S. or international patent protection for some of our principal product formulas and manufacturing processes. Accordingly, we may not be able to prevent others from developing products that are similar to or competitive with our products.

We own a large number of patents and pending patent applications on our products, aspects thereof, methods of use and/or methods of manufacturing. There is a risk that our patents may not provide meaningful protection and patents may never be issued for our pending patent applications.

We own, or have licenses to use, all of the material trademark and trade name rights used in connection with the packaging, marketing and distribution of our major products both in the United States and in other countries where our products are principally sold. Trademark and trade name protection is important to our business. Although most of our trademarks are registered in the United States and in the foreign countries in which we operate, we may not be successful in asserting trademark or trade name protection. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the U.S. The costs required to protect our trademarks and trade names may be substantial.

We cannot be certain that we will be able to assert these intellectual property rights successfully in the future or that they will not be invalidated, circumvented or challenged. Other parties may infringe on our intellectual property rights and may thereby dilute the value of our intellectual property in the marketplace. Third parties, including competitors, may assert intellectual property infringement or invalidity claims against us that could be upheld. Intellectual property litigation, which could result in substantial cost to and diversion of effort by us, may be necessary to protect our trade secrets or proprietary technology or for us to defend against claimed infringement of the rights of others and to determine the scope and validity of others' proprietary rights. We may not prevail in any such litigation, and if we are unsuccessful, we may not be able to obtain any necessary licenses on reasonable terms or at all.

Any failure by us to protect our trademarks and other intellectual property rights may have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Cyber risk and the failure to maintain the integrity of our operational or security systems or infrastructure, or those of third parties with which we do business, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to an increasing number of information technology vulnerabilities, threats and targeted computer crimes which pose a risk to the security of our systems and networks and the confidentiality, availability and integrity of our data. Disruptions or failures in the physical infrastructure or operating systems that support our businesses and customers, or cyber attacks or security breaches of our networks or systems, could result in the loss of customers and business opportunities, legal liability, regulatory fines, penalties or intervention, reputational damage, reimbursement or other compensatory costs, and additional compliance costs, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. While we attempt to mitigate these risks, our systems, networks, products, solutions and services remain potentially vulnerable to advanced and persistent threats.

We also maintain and have access to sensitive, confidential or personal data or information in certain of our businesses that is subject to privacy and security laws, regulations and customer controls. Despite our efforts to protect such sensitive, confidential or personal data or information, our facilities and systems and those of our customers and third-party service providers may be vulnerable to security breaches, theft, misplaced or lost data, programming and/or human errors that could lead to the compromising of sensitive, confidential or personal data or information, improper use of our systems, software solutions or networks, unauthorized access, use, disclosure, modification or destruction of information, defective products, production downtimes and operational disruptions, which in turn could adversely affect our consolidated, financial condition and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We manufacture products in 122 facilities, with 35 of those facilities serving more than one of our business segments and our Other Category of products. The geographic dispersion of our manufacturing facilities is as follows:

| Geographic Region | Number of Manufacturing Facilities |
|---|---|
| North America | 51 |
| Europe | 30 |
| Latin America | 15 |
| Asia, Middle East, Africa and Turkey (AMAT) | 15 |
| Japan/Australia/New Zealand (JANZ) | 11 |
| Total | 122 |

Manufacturing Facilities by Reportable Segment and Other

Food Care: We produce Food Care products in 60 manufacturing facilities, of which 15 are in North America, 16 in Europe, 11 in Latin America, 11 in AMAT and 7 in JANZ.

Diversey Care: We produce Diversey Care products in 20 manufacturing facilities, of which 5 are in North America, 5 in Europe, 3 in Latin America, 5 in AMAT and 2 in JANZ.

Product Care: We produce Product Care products in 73 manufacturing facilities, of which 36 are in North America, 17 in Europe, 7 in Latin America, 10 in AMAT and 3 in JANZ.

Table of Contents

Other: We produce medical applications products in 3 manufacturing facilities, of which 1 is in North America and 2 are in Europe. We produce Other products in 3 manufacturing facilities, of which 2 are in North America and 1 is in Europe.

Other Property Information

We own the large majority of our manufacturing facilities. Some of these facilities are subject to secured or other financing arrangements. We lease the balance of our manufacturing facilities, which are generally smaller sites. Our manufacturing facilities are usually located in general purpose buildings that house our specialized machinery for the manufacture of one or more products. Because of the relatively low density of our air cellular, polyethylene foam and protective mailer products, we realize significant freight savings by locating our manufacturing facilities for these products near our customers and distributors.

We also occupy facilities containing sales, distribution, technical, warehouse or administrative functions at a number of locations in the U.S. and in many foreign countries. Some of these facilities are located on the manufacturing sites that we own and some on those that we lease. Stand-alone facilities of these types are generally leased. Our global headquarters are located in a leased property in Elmwood Park, New Jersey. For a list of those countries outside of the United States where we have operations, see *Global Operations* above.

We believe that our manufacturing, warehouse, office and other facilities are well maintained, suitable for their purposes and adequate for our needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The information set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Note 18, *Commitments and Contingencies*, under the caption *Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies* is incorporated herein by reference.

At December 31, 2013, we were a party to, or otherwise involved in, several federal, state and foreign environmental proceedings and private environmental claims for the cleanup of *Superfund* sites under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 and other sites. We may have potential liability for investigation and cleanup of some of these sites. It is our policy to accrue for environmental cleanup costs if it is probable that a liability has been incurred and if we can reasonably estimate an amount or range of costs associated with various alternative remediation strategies, without giving effect to any possible future insurance proceeds. As assessments and cleanups proceed, we review these liabilities periodically and adjust our reserves as additional information becomes available. At December 31, 2013, environmental related reserves were not material to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. While it is often difficult to estimate potential liabilities and the future impact of environmental matters, based upon the information currently available to us and our experience in dealing with these matters, we believe that our potential future liability with respect to these sites is not material to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We are also involved in various other legal actions incidental to our business. We believe, after consulting with counsel, that the disposition of these other legal proceedings and matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information appearing in the table below sets forth the current position or positions held by each of our executive officers, the officer's age as of January 31, 2014, the year in which the officer was first elected to the position currently held with us or with the former Sealed Air Corporation, now known as Sealed Air Corporation (US) and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and the year in which such person was first elected an officer.

All of our officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. We have employed all officers for more than five years except for Mr. Chammas, who was first elected an officer effective December 16, 2010, Ms. De Mayo, who was first elected an officer effective December 20, 2012, Mr. Finch who was first elected effective May 16, 2013, Dr. Kadri, who was first elected an officer effective January 1, 2013, Ms. Lowe, who was first elected an officer effective June 18, 2012, Mr. Peribere, who was first elected an officer effective September 1, 2012, Mr. Sagnak, who was first elected an officer effective January 3, 2012 and Mr. Stiehl who was first elected an officer effective January 1, 2013.

Before joining us in November 2010, Mr. Chammas was the Vice President, Worldwide Supply Chain, for the Wm. Wrigley Jr. Company, a confectionery company, from October 2008 through October 2010, and prior to that served in management positions of increasing responsibility in supply chain, operations and procurement with the Wm. Wrigley Jr. Company from January 2002 until October 2008.

Prior to joining the Company in December 2012, Ms. De Mayo was an Executive Vice President, Human Resources at Aptuit, Inc., a privately held services company providing drug development and discovery solutions to the pharmaceutical and biotech industry, from 2009 through 2012. Prior to that, Ms. De Mayo was the Vice President, Global Human Resources for Henry Schein, Inc., a global distributor of healthcare products and services to office-based practitioners, from 2005 until 2008.

Prior to joining the Company in May 2013, Mr. Finch was Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer for Zimmer Holdings, Inc., a global medical device company, from October 2009 until May 2013, and prior to that served in management positions of increasing responsibility with Zimmer from May 2005 until October 2009. Prior to joining Zimmer, Mr. Finch practiced law with the international law firm of Fulbright & Jaworski LLP (now, Norton Rose Fulbright).

Prior to joining the Company in January 2013, Dr. Kadri was the General Manager of the Dow Advanced Materials Division, a specialty materials provider in the Middle East and Africa, and the Europe, Middle East and Africa Commercial Director for Dow Water & Process Solutions, a global leader in sustainable separation and purification technology, from January 2010 until December 2012. Dr. Kadri joined Dow in 2009 as a Marketing Director for Dow Coating Materials, following the acquisition of Rohm and Haas, where she served as a Marketing Director for the construction, coatings and industrial division, since 2007.

Prior to joining the Company in June 2012, Ms. Lowe was the President of Carlisle Food Service Products, a subsidiary of Carlisle Companies Incorporated, a global diversified manufacturing company from August 2011 through June 2012. Ms. Lowe worked for Carlisle Companies Inc. for over ten years in a number of leadership positions including President of two business units, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer.

Prior to joining the Company in September 2012, Mr. Peribere worked at The Dow Chemical Company (Dow) from 1977 through August 2012. Mr. Peribere served in multiple managerial roles with Dow, most recently as Executive Vice President of Dow and President and Chief Executive Officer, Dow Advanced Materials, a unit of Dow, from 2010 through August 2012. Mr. Peribere currently serves as a board member of Xylem, Inc.

Prior to joining the Company in October 2011 in connection with the Diversey acquisition, Mr. Sagnak was Regional President - Asia Pacific, Africa, Middle East, Turkey and the Caucasian/Asian Republics (APAT) of Diversey since December 2010. Prior to that, he held several management positions at Diversey from 1995 through 2010 and with Unilever from 1990 through 1995.

Table of Contents

Prior to joining the Company in January 2013, Mr. Stiehl was a Vice President of Finance and Controller of the Aerostructures business unit of United Technologies Corporation from July 2012 through December 2012. Mr. Stiehl worked at Goodrich Corporation from 2006 through 2012. Mr. Stiehl also served as Senior Audit Manager with Deloitte and has worked in various accounting and finance positions for over twenty-five years with increasing levels of responsibilities.

There are no family relationships among any of our officers or directors.

| Name and Current Position | Age as of January 31, 2014 | First Elected to Current Position | First Elected an Officer |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Jerome A. Peribere | 59 | 2013 | 2012 |
| President, Chief Executive Officer and Director | | | |
| Carol P. Lowe | 48 | 2012 | 2012 |
| Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | | | |
| Emile Z. Chammas | 45 | 2010 | 2010 |
| Senior Vice President | | | |
| Norman D. Finch Jr. | 49 | 2013 | 2013 |
| Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary | | | |
| Carole M. De Mayo | 57 | 2012 | 2012 |
| Vice President | | | |
| Karl R. Deily | 56 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Vice President | | | |
| J. Ryan Flanagan | 50 | 2009 | 2009 |
| Vice President | | | |
| Ilham Kadri | 45 | 2013 | 2013 |
| Vice President | | | |
| Warren J. Kudman | 51 | 2009 | 2009 |
| Vice President | | | |
| Ruth Roper | 59 | 2004 | 2004 |
| Vice President | | | |
| Yagmar Sagnak | 47 | 2012 | 2012 |
| Vice President | | | |
| Tod S. Christie | 55 | 1999 | 1999 |
| Treasurer | | | |
| William G. Stiehl | 52 | 2013 | 2013 |
| Chief Accounting Officer and Controller | | | |

Table of Contents**Part II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information**

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol SEE. The table below shows the quarterly high and low closing sales prices of our common stock and cash dividends per share for 2013 and 2012.

| 2013 | High | Low | Dividends |
|----------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| First Quarter | \$ 24.28 | \$ 17.94 | \$ 0.13 |
| Second Quarter | 24.64 | 21.15 | 0.13 |
| Third Quarter | 30.57 | 24.45 | 0.13 |
| Fourth Quarter | 34.13 | 26.56 | 0.13 |
| 2012 | High | Low | Dividends |
| First Quarter | \$ 21.04 | \$ 17.38 | \$ 0.13 |
| Second Quarter | 19.95 | 14.90 | 0.13 |
| Third Quarter | 16.67 | 13.11 | 0.13 |
| Fourth Quarter | 17.55 | 15.24 | 0.13 |

As of January 31, 2014, there were approximately 5,237 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

Our Amended Credit Facility and the senior notes contain covenants that restrict our ability to declare or pay dividends. However, we do not believe these covenants are likely to materially limit the future payment of quarterly cash dividends on our common stock.

The following table shows our total cash dividends paid each year since we initiated quarterly cash dividend payments in 2006.

| | Total Cash Dividends Paid (In millions) | Total Cash Dividends Paid per Common Share |
|--------------|--|---|
| 2006 | \$ 48.6 | \$ 0.30 |
| 2007 | 64.6 | 0.40 |
| 2008 | 76.4 | 0.48 |
| 2009 | 75.7 | 0.48 |
| 2010 | 79.7 | 0.50 |
| 2011 | 87.4 | 0.52 |
| 2012 | 100.9 | 0.52 |
| 2013 | 102.0 | 0.52 |
| Total | \$ 635.3 | |

On February 18, 2014, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.13 per common share payable on March 21, 2014 to stockholders of record at the close of business on March 7, 2014. The estimated amount of this dividend payment is \$26 million based on 196 million shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of January 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

The dividend payments discussed above are recorded as reductions to cash and cash equivalents and retained earnings on our consolidated balance sheets. From time to time, we may consider other means of returning value to our stockholders based on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. There is no guarantee that our Board of Directors will declare any further dividends.

Common Stock Performance Comparisons

The following graph shows, for the five years ended December 31, 2013, the cumulative total return on an investment of \$100 assumed to have been made on December 31, 2008 in our common stock. The graph compares this return (SEE) with that of comparable investments assumed to have been made on the same date in: (a) the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index (Composite S&P 500) and (b) a self-constructed peer group.

The peer group includes us and the following companies: Agrium Inc., Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.; Ashland Inc.; Avery Dennison Corporation; Ball Corporation; Bemis Company, Inc.; Celanese Corporation; Crown Holdings, Inc.; Eastman Chemical Company; Ecolab Inc.; Huntsman Corporation; MeadWestvaco Corporation; Monsanto Company; The Mosaic Company; Owens-Illinois, Inc.; PPG Industries, Inc.; Praxair, Inc.; The Sherwin-Williams Company; and Sonoco Products Co.

Total return for each assumed investment assumes the reinvestment of all dividends on December 31 of the year in which the dividends were paid.

5 Year Compound Annual Growth Rate

SEE: 20.7%

Composite S&P 500: 17.7%

Peer Group: 23.1%

Table of Contents**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The table below sets forth the total number of shares of our common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, that we repurchased in each month of the quarter ended December 31, 2013, the average price paid per share and the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under our publicly announced plans or programs.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased (1) (a) | Average Price Paid Per Share (b) | Total Number of Share Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (c) | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (d) |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Balance as of September 30, 2013 | | \$ | | 15,546,142 |
| October 1, 2013 through October 31, 2013 | | | | 15,546,142 |
| November 1, 2013 through November 30, 2013 | | | | 15,546,142 |
| December 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013 | 14,461 | | | 15,546,142 |
| Total | 14,461 | \$ | | 15,546,142 |

- (1) We did not purchase any shares during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 pursuant to our publicly announced program (described below). We did acquire shares by means of (a) shares withheld from awards under our 2005 contingent stock plan pursuant to the provision thereof that permits tax withholding obligations or other legally required charges to be satisfied by having us withhold shares from an award under that plan and (b) shares reacquired pursuant to the forfeiture provision of our 2005 contingent stock plan. (See table below.) We report price calculations in column (b) in the table above only for shares purchased as part of our publicly announced program, when applicable, including commissions. For shares withheld for tax withholding obligations or other legally required charges, we withhold shares at a price equal to their fair market value. We do not make payments for shares reacquired by the Company pursuant to the forfeiture provision of the 2005 contingent stock plan as those shares are simply forfeited.

| Period | Shares withheld for tax obligations and charges (a) | Average withholding price for shares in column a (b) | Forfeitures under 2005 Contingent Stock Plan (c) | Total (d) |
|---------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| October 2013 | | | | |
| November 2013 | | | | |
| December 2013 | 13,641 | 30.85 | 1,000 | 14,461 |
| Total | 13,641 | \$ | 1,000 | 14,461 |

On August 9, 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase in the aggregate up to 20 million shares of our issued and outstanding common stock (described further under the caption, "Repurchases of Capital Stock," in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K). This program has no set expiration date. This program replaced our prior share repurchase program, which we terminated at that time.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

| | 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | Year Ended December 31, | | | 2009 |
|--|---------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | 2011 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 2010 | |
| | | (In millions, except per common share data) | | | |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations Data⁽³⁾: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 7,690.8 | \$ 7,559.2 | \$ 5,467.3 | \$ 4,490.1 | \$ 4,242.8 |
| Gross profit | 2,587.5 | 2,522.3 | 1,580.6 | 1,252.8 | 1,218.5 |
| Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets | | 1,892.3 | | | |
| Operating profit (loss) | 602.1 | (1,427.7) | 420.8 | 535.0 | 492.3 |
| Loss on debt redemption | (36.3) | (36.9) | | (38.5) | (3.4) |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income tax provision | 177.7 | (1,882.6) | 189.4 | 343.4 | 329.9 |
| Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 93.7 | (1,617.9) | 132.7 | 255.9 | 244.3 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations | 7.6 | 28.7 | 16.4 | | |
| Net gain on sale of discontinued operations | 22.9 | 178.9 | | | |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 124.2 | \$ (1,410.3) | \$ 149.1 | \$ 255.9 | \$ 244.3 |
| Basic and diluted net earnings per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.48 | \$ (8.39) | \$ 0.79 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.54 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.16 | 1.08 | 0.10 | | |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share basic | \$ 0.64 | \$ (7.31) | \$ 0.89 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.54 |
| Diluted | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.44 | \$ (8.39) | \$ 0.71 | \$ 1.44 | \$ 1.35 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.14 | 1.08 | 0.09 | | |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share diluted | \$ 0.58 | \$ (7.31) | \$ 0.80 | \$ 1.44 | \$ 1.35 |
| Common stock dividends | \$ 102.6 | \$ 101.4 | \$ 88.7 | \$ 80.9 | \$ 77.5 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets Data: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 992.4 | \$ 679.6 | \$ 703.6 | \$ 675.6 | \$ 694.5 |
| Goodwill | 3,114.6 | 3,151.2 | 4,168.2 | 1,945.9 | 1,948.7 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,016.9 | 1,131.6 | 2,027.6 | 78.0 | 58.4 |
| Total assets | 9,134.2 | 9,331.7 | 11,432.0 | 5,399.4 | 5,420.1 |
| Settlement agreement and related accrued interest | 925.1 | 876.9 | 831.2 | 787.9 | 746.8 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 4,116.4 | 4,540.8 | 4,966.7 | 1,399.2 | 1,626.3 |
| Total stockholders equity | 1,390.5 | 1,444.3 | 2,957.5 | 2,401.6 | 2,200.3 |
| Working capital (current assets less current liabilities) | 716.9 | 954.3 | 911.7 | 592.3 | 639.6 |
| Consolidated Cash Flows Data⁽³⁾: | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 624.8 | \$ 394.2 | \$ 363.1 | \$ 483.1 | \$ 552.0 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (105.5) | (114.9) | (2,365.7) | (96.9) | (70.3) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (319.8) | (585.1) | 2,016.4 | (373.0) | 90.3 |
| Other Financial Data: | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 283.4 | \$ 300.2 | \$ 182.7 | \$ 154.7 | \$ 154.5 |
| Share-based incentive compensation | 24.1 | 16.9 | 25.0 | 30.6 | 38.8 |
| Capital expenditures | 116.0 | 122.8 | 121.7 | 87.6 | 80.3 |

Table of Contents

- (1) Operating results for the rigid medical packaging business were reclassified to discontinued operations in 2013, 2012 and 2011 and related assets and liabilities were reclassified to assets and liabilities held for sale as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Operating results for Diversey Japan were reclassified to discontinued operations for the periods in 2012 and 2011 beginning October 3, 2011. See Note 3, *Divestitures*, for further information about the sale of our rigid medical packaging business in 2013 and the sale of our Diversey Japan in 2012. Results for 2010 and 2009 have not been revised for the sale of the rigid medical packaging business as the results were not considered material or the sale of the Diversey Japan because we acquired Diversey on October 3, 2011.
- (2) Includes the financial results of Diversey for the period beginning October 3, 2011 (acquisition date). See Note 4, *Acquisition of Diversey Holdings, Inc.*, for further information about the acquisition.
- (3) See Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*, for a discussion of the factors that contributed to our consolidated operating results and our consolidated cash flows for the three years ended December 31, 2013.

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

The information in this MD&A should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes set forth in Part II, Item 8, as well as the discussion included in Part I, Item 1A, *Risk Factors*, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All amounts and percentages are approximate due to rounding and all dollars are in millions, except per share amounts.

The results include the operating results of Diversey beginning October 3, 2011 (date of acquisition). All results prior to October 3, 2011 include historical Sealed Air results only.

On December 6, 2013, we completed the sale of the rigid medical packaging business, and accordingly the operating results were reclassified to discontinued operations, net of tax, on the consolidated statements of operations for 2013, 2012 and 2011. On November 14, 2012, we completed the sale of Diversey Japan, and accordingly the operating results were reclassified to discontinued operations, net of tax, on the consolidated statements of operations for 2012 and 2011. Also, the assets and liabilities of the rigid medical packaging business operations were reclassified to assets and liabilities held for sale as of December 31, 2012. See Note 3, *Divestitures*, for further details. All results and discussion included in this MD&A are presented on a continuing operations basis.

In the third quarter of 2013, we renamed our global business divisions under our segment reporting structure, which did not have any impact to the reportable segment results. The following are the changes to the names of our three global business divisions:

Food Care, which was previously named Food & Beverage;

Diversey Care, which was previously named Institutional & Laundry; and

Product Care, which was previously named Protective Packaging.

We also have an Other Category, which includes our medical applications and new ventures businesses. The changes to the segment names had no effect on our historical consolidated results of operations. See Note 5, *Segments*, for further details of our segment structure.

Overview

We are a global leader in food safety and security, facility hygiene and product protection. We serve an array of end markets including food and beverage processing, food service, retail, healthcare and industrial, and commercial and consumer applications. We have widely recognized and inventive brands such as Bubble Wrap® brand cushioning, Cryovac® brand food packaging solutions and Diversey® brand cleaning and hygiene

Table of Contents

solutions. Our focus is on achieving quality sales growth through leveraging our geographic footprint, technological know-how and leading market positions to bring measureable, sustainable value to our customers, employees and investors.

As of December 31, 2013, we employed approximately 7,300 sales, marketing and customer service personnel throughout the world who sell and market our products to and through a large number of distributors, fabricators, converters, e-commerce and mail order fulfillment firms, and contract packaging firms as well as directly to end-users such as food processors, foodservice businesses, supermarket retailers, lodging, retail pharmaceutical companies, healthcare facilities, medical device manufacturers, and other manufacturers. We have no material long-term contracts for the distribution of our products. In 2013, no customer or affiliated group of customers accounted for 10% or more of our consolidated net sales.

Historically, net sales in our Food Care segment have tended to be slightly lower in the first quarter and slightly higher towards the end of the third quarter through the fourth quarter, due to holiday events. Net sales in our Diversey Care segment have tended to be slightly lower in the first quarter; second quarter sales represent a modest seasonal increase due to higher occupancy rates in European lodging; and the third and fourth quarters of the year are relatively the same level as the second quarter. Net sales in our Product Care segment have also tended to be slightly lower in the first quarter and higher in the mid-third quarter and through the fourth quarter due to holiday events. On a consolidated basis, there is little seasonality in the business with net sales slightly lower in the first quarter and slightly higher towards the end of the third quarter through the fourth quarter. Our consolidated net earnings typically trend directionally the same as our net sales seasonality. Cash flow from operations has tended to be lower in the first quarter and higher in the fourth quarter, reflecting seasonality of sales and working capital changes, including the timing of certain annual incentive compensation payments.

Other factors may outweigh the effects of seasonal changes in our net earnings results including, but not limited to, changes in raw materials and other costs, foreign exchange rates, interest rates, taxes and the timing and amount of acquisition synergies and restructuring and other non-recurring charges.

Competition for most of our packaging products is based primarily on packaging performance characteristics, service and price. Competition is also based upon innovations in packaging technology and, as a result, we maintain ongoing research and development programs to enable us to maintain technological leadership. Our Food Care hygiene solutions and Diversey Care solutions businesses face a wide spectrum of competitors across each product category. Competition is both global and regional in scope and includes numerous small, local competitors with limited product portfolios and geographic reach. For more details, see Competition included in Part I, Item 1 Business.

Our net sales are sensitive to developments in our customers' business or market conditions, changes in the global economy, and the effects of foreign currency translation. Our costs can vary materially due to changes in input costs, including petrochemical-related costs (primarily resin costs), which are not within our control. Consequently, our management focuses on reducing those costs that we can control and using petrochemical-based and other raw materials as efficiently as possible. We also believe that our global presence helps to insulate us from localized changes in business conditions.

We manage our businesses to generate substantial operating cash flow. We believe that our operating cash flow will permit us to continue to spend on innovative research and development and to invest in our business by means of capital expenditures for property and equipment and acquisitions. Moreover, we expect that our ability to generate substantial operating cash flow should provide us with the flexibility to repay debt and to return capital to our stockholders.

2014 Outlook

We expect net sales to be relatively flat in 2014 compared to 2013 net sales of \$7.7 billion with organic growth of approximately 3% to 4% due to positive trends in volume and product price/mix. This is expected to be offset

Table of Contents

by the impact of product rationalization of approximately 1% to 2% and an estimated unfavorable impact of more than 2% from foreign currency translation. Adjusted EPS, excluding the impact of Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs) expense, is expected to be in the range of \$1.50 to \$1.60. This represents an estimated increase of 8% to 15% compared with 2013 Adjusted EPS of \$1.39, excluding SARs expense. Adjusted EPS guidance excludes the impact of special items. Our core tax rate for 2014 is expected to be approximately 25%. We also estimate interest expense to be approximately \$295 million (\$280 million of cash interest expense) and depreciation and amortization to be approximately \$315 million.

Adjusted EBITDA for 2014, including non-cash profit sharing expense and excluding the impact of SARs, is estimated to be in the range of \$1.050 billion to \$1.070 billion. This represents an estimated increase of 1% to 3% compared with 2013 Adjusted EBITDA of \$1.038 billion.

For 2014, we anticipate capital expenditures of approximately \$170 million, cash payments related to the Earnings Quality Improvement Program (EQIP) and the Integration and Optimization Program (IOP) of approximately \$150 million and cash taxes between \$100 million and \$120 million. As a result of higher capital expenditures and restructuring payments in 2014 as compared to 2013, we anticipate Free Cash Flow for 2014 to be approximately \$410 million.

Highlights of Financial Performance

Below are the highlights of our financial performance for the three years ended December 31, 2013.

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 vs. 2012 % Change | 2012 vs. 2011 % Change |
|--|--------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 7,690.8 | \$ 7,559.2 | \$ 5,467.3 | 2% | 38% |
| Gross profit | \$ 2,587.5 | \$ 2,522.3 | \$ 1,580.6 | 3% | 60% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>33.6%</i> | <i>33.4%</i> | <i>28.9%</i> | | |
| Operating profit (loss) | \$ 602.1 | \$ (1,427.7) | \$ 420.8 | #% | #% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>7.8%</i> | <i>(18.9)%</i> | <i>7.7%</i> | | |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders from continuing operations | \$ 93.7 | \$ (1,617.9) | \$ 132.7 | #% | #% |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share from continuing operations basic | \$ 0.48 | \$ (8.39) | \$ 0.79 | #% | #% |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share from continuing operations diluted | \$ 0.44 | \$ (8.39) | \$ 0.71 | #% | #% |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 194.6 | 192.8 | 167.0 | | |
| Diluted | 213.5 | 192.8 | 185.4 | | |
| Non-U.S. GAAP adjusted diluted net earnings per common share continuing operations ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1.23 | \$ 0.91 | \$ 1.22 | 35% | (25)% |
| Non-U.S. GAAP adjusted diluted net earnings per common share continuing operations, excluding the impact of SARs | \$ 1.39 | \$ 0.98 | \$ 1.22 | 42% | (20)% |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

Denotes a variance greater than or equal to 100%, or not meaningful.

⁽¹⁾ See Diluted Net Earnings per Common Share for a reconciliation of our U.S. GAAP EPS to our non-U.S. GAAP adjusted EPS.

Table of Contents**Diluted Net Earnings per Common Share**

The following table presents a reconciliation of our U.S. GAAP EPS to non-U.S. GAAP adjusted EPS.

| | 2013 | | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Net Earnings | EPS | Net Earnings | EPS | Net Earnings | EPS |
| U.S. GAAP net earnings (loss) and EPS available to common stockholders continuing operations | \$ 93.7 | \$ 0.44 | \$ (1,617.9) | \$ (8.39) | \$ 132.7 | \$ 0.71 |
| Special items, net of tax ⁽¹⁾ | 169.5 | 0.79 | 1,811.0 | 9.30 | 94.3 | 0.51 |
| Non-U.S. GAAP Adjusted net earnings and Adjusted EPS | \$ 263.2 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 193.1 | \$ 0.91 | \$ 227.0 | \$ 1.22 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding Diluted⁽²⁾ | | 213.5 | | 211.2 | | 185.4 |

⁽¹⁾ Special items are certain one-time costs/credits that are included in our U.S. GAAP reported results. For 2013, special items primarily included restructuring and other charges of \$74 million (\$59 million, net of taxes) and associated costs of \$26 million (\$18 million, net of taxes), related to both EQIP and IOP, \$50 million increase to the valuation allowance in connection with the deferred tax asset related to the Settlement agreement, loss on debt redemption of \$36 million (\$24 million, net of taxes), write down of non-strategic assets of \$5 million (\$3 million, net of taxes) and foreign currency exchange losses related to Venezuelan subsidiaries of \$13 million (\$11 million, net of taxes). For 2012, these items primarily included (i) impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets, (ii) restructuring charges and (iii) loss on debt redemption. For 2011, these items primarily include costs related to the acquisition and integration of Diversey and restructuring charges.

⁽²⁾ For 2012, for purposes of calculating Adjusted EPS, the dilutive impact of: (i) the effect of the assumed issuance of 18 million shares of common stock reserved for the Settlement agreement and (ii) the effect of non-vested restricted stock and restricted stock units using the treasury stock method was included because we reported adjusted net earnings for 2012. These shares differ from the shares used to calculate net loss per common share included in the consolidated statement of operations for U.S. GAAP reporting purposes because we reported a net loss for 2012, which does not include the effect of the items mentioned above as the effect was anti-dilutive. See Note 21, Net (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share, for details on the calculation of our U.S. GAAP basic and diluted EPS and Non-U.S. GAAP Information above, for further details.

Our U.S. GAAP and non-U.S. GAAP income taxes are as follows:

| | 2013 | | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Provision | Effective Tax Rate | (Benefit) Provision | Effective Tax Rate | Provision | Effective Tax Rate |
| U.S. GAAP Income Taxes | \$ 84.0 | 47.3% | \$ (264.7) | 14.1% | \$ 56.7 | 29.9% |
| Non-U.S. GAAP Income Taxes (Core Taxes) | \$ 73.5 | 21.8% | \$ 68.2 | 26.1% | \$ 99.7 | 30.5% |

Table of Contents**Foreign Currency Translation Impact on Consolidated Financial Results**

Since we are a U.S. domiciled company, we translate our foreign currency-denominated financial results into U.S. dollars. Due to the changes in the value of foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar, translating our financial results from foreign currencies to U.S. dollars may result in a favorable or unfavorable impact. Historically, the most significant currencies that have impacted the translation of our consolidated financial results are the euro, the Australian dollar, the Brazilian real, the British pound, the Canadian dollar and the Mexican peso.

As shown below, 65% of our consolidated net sales in 2013 were generated outside the U.S.

The following table presents the approximate favorable or (unfavorable) impact foreign currency translation had on some of our consolidated financial results:

| | 2013 vs. 2012 | 2012 vs. 2011 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Net sales | \$ (75.6) | \$ (146.1) |
| Cost of sales | 62.0 | 111.0 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 9.0 | 27.0 |
| Operating profit | \$ (4.6) | \$ (8.1) |

Net Sales by Geographic Region

Net sales by geographic region for three years ended December 31, 2013 as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | 2013 vs. 2012 | 2012 vs. 2011 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | % Change |
| North America | \$ 3,006.9 | \$ 2,952.4 | \$ 2,452.2 | 1.8% | 20.4% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>39.1%</i> | <i>39.1%</i> | <i>44.9%</i> | | |
| Europe | 2,447.8 | 2,416.5 | 1,581.9 | 1.2% | 52.8% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>31.8%</i> | <i>32.0%</i> | <i>28.9%</i> | | |
| Latin America | 824.3 | 799.7 | 545.7 | 3.1% | 46.5% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>10.7%</i> | <i>10.6%</i> | <i>10.0%</i> | | |
| AMAT⁽¹⁾ | 846.8 | 794.4 | 346.0 | 6.5% | #% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>11.0%</i> | <i>10.5%</i> | <i>6.3%</i> | | |
| JANZ⁽²⁾ | 565.0 | 596.2 | 541.5 | (5.2)% | 10.1% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>7.3%</i> | <i>7.9%</i> | <i>9.9%</i> | | |
| Total | \$ 7,690.8 | \$ 7,559.2 | \$ 5,467.3 | 1.7% | 38.3% |

Denotes a variance greater than or equal to 100%, or not meaningful

⁽¹⁾ AMAT = Asia, Middle East, Africa and Turkey

⁽²⁾ JANZ = Japan, Australia and New Zealand

Table of Contents

By geographic region, the components of the increase in net sales for 2013 compared with 2012 were as follows:

Change in net sales

| 2013 Compared with 2012 | | North America | Europe | Latin America | AMAT⁽¹⁾ | JANZ⁽²⁾ | Total |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Volume | Units | \$ 18.7 | \$ (2.2) | \$ 36.5 | \$ 54.3 | \$ 6.7 | \$ 114.0 |
| | <i>% change</i> | 0.6% | (0.1)% | 4.6% | 6.8% | 1.1% | 1.5% |
| Volume | Acquired businesses, net of (dispositions) | (1.2) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | | (0.5) |
| | <i>% change</i> | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Product price/mix | | 44.5 | (3.8) | 40.5 | 16.3 | (3.8) | 93.7 |
| | <i>% change</i> | 1.5% | (0.2)% | 5.1% | 2.0% | (0.6)% | 1.2% |
| Foreign currency translation | | (7.5) | 37.1 | (52.5) | (18.6) | (34.1) | (75.6) |
| | <i>% change</i> | (0.3)% | 1.5% | (6.6)% | (2.3)% | (5.7)% | (1.0)% |
| Total | | \$ 54.5 | \$ 31.4 | \$ 24.6 | \$ 52.3 | \$ (31.2) | \$ 131.6 |
| | <i>% change</i> | 1.8% | 1.2% | 3.1% | 6.5% | (5.2)% | 1.7% |
| Impact of foreign currency translation | | 7.5 | (37.1) | 52.5 | 18.6 | 34.1 | 75.6 |
| Total constant dollar change (Non-U.S. GAAP) | | \$ 62.0 | \$ (5.7) | \$ 77.1 | \$ 70.9 | \$ 2.9 | \$ 207.2 |
| <i>Constant dollar % change</i> | | 2.1% | (0.3)% | 9.7% | 8.8% | 0.5% | 2.7% |

Denotes a variance greater than or equal to 100%, or not meaningful.

(1) AMAT = Asia, Middle East, Africa and Turkey

(2) JANZ = Japan, Australia and New Zealand

By geographic region, the components of the increase in net sales for 2012 compared with 2011 were as follows:

Change in net sales

| 2012 Compared with 2011 | | North America | Europe | Latin America | AMAT⁽¹⁾ | JANZ⁽²⁾ | Total |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Volume | Units | \$ 45.5 | \$ (42.6) | \$ 38.8 | \$ 51.0 | \$ 2.3 | \$ 95.0 |
| | <i>% change</i> | 1.9% | (2.7)% | 7.1% | 14.7% | 0.4% | 1.7% |
| Volume | Acquired businesses, net of (dispositions) | 455.3 | 970.8 | 231.9 | 404.5 | 52.8 | 2,115.3 |
| | <i>% change</i> | 18.6% | 61.4% | 42.5% | #% | 9.8% | 38.7% |
| Product price/mix | | 0.5 | (0.7) | 33.2 | (1.1) | (4.2) | 27.7 |
| | <i>% change</i> | % | % | 6.1% | (0.3)% | (0.8)% | 0.5% |
| Foreign currency translation | | (1.2) | (92.8) | (49.9) | (6.0) | 3.8 | (146.1) |
| | <i>% change</i> | % | (5.9)% | (9.1)% | (1.7)% | 0.7% | (2.7)% |
| Total | | \$ 500.1 | \$ 834.7 | \$ 254.0 | \$ 448.4 | \$ 54.7 | \$ 2,091.9 |
| | <i>% change</i> | 20.4% | 52.8% | 46.5% | #% | 10.1% | 38.3% |
| Impact of foreign currency translation | | 1.2 | 92.8 | 49.9 | 6.0 | (3.8) | 146.1 |
| Total constant dollar change (Non-U.S. GAAP) | | \$ 501.3 | \$ 927.5 | \$ 303.9 | \$ 454.4 | \$ 50.9 | \$ 2,238.0 |
| <i>Constant dollar % change</i> | | 20.4% | 58.6% | 55.7% | #% | 9.4% | 40.9% |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

- # Denotes a variance greater than or equal to 100%, or not meaningful.
- ⁽¹⁾ AMAT = Asia, Middle East, Africa and Turkey
- ⁽²⁾ JANZ = Japan, Australia and New Zealand

Table of Contents**Net Sales by Segment Reporting Structure**

The following table presents net sales by our segment reporting structure:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | 2013 vs. 2012 % Change | 2012 vs. 2011 Change |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Net sales: | | | | | |
| Food Care | \$ 3,811.1 | \$ 3,739.6 | \$ 3,240.6 | 1.9% | 15.4% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | 49.6% | 49.5% | 59.3% | | |
| Diversey Care | 2,160.4 | 2,131.5 | 534.0 | 1.4% | # |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | 28.1% | 28.2% | 9.8% | | |
| Product Care | 1,608.0 | 1,578.4 | 1,594.4 | 1.9% | (1.0)% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | 20.9% | 20.9% | 29.2% | | |
| Other Category | 111.3 | 109.7 | 98.3 | 1.5% | 11.6% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | 1.4% | 1.5% | 1.8% | | |
| Total | \$ 7,690.8 | \$ 7,559.2 | \$ 5,467.3 | 1.7% | 38.3% |

Denotes a variance greater than or equal to 100%, or not meaningful.

Components of Change in Net Sales by Segment Reporting Structure

The following tables present the components of change in net sales by our segment reporting structure for 2013 compared with 2012 and 2012 compared with 2011. We also present the change in net sales excluding the impact of foreign currency translation, a non-U.S. GAAP measure, which we define as constant dollar. We believe using constant dollar measures aids in the comparability between periods as it eliminates the volatility of changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

Change in net sales

| 2013 Compared with 2012 | | Food Care | | Diversey Care | | Product Care | | Other Category | | Total Company | |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Volumes | Units | \$ 63.4 | 1.7% | \$ 11.3 | 0.5% | \$ 40.6 | 2.6% | \$ (1.3) | (1.2)% | \$ 114.0 | 1.5% |
| Volumes | Acquired business, net of (dispositions) | | | | | | | (0.5) | (0.5) | (0.5) | |
| Product price/mix ⁽¹⁾ | | 60.9 | 1.6 | 32.8 | 1.5 | (2.5) | (0.2) | 2.5 | 2.3 | 93.7 | 1.2 |
| Foreign currency translation | | (52.8) | (1.4) | (15.2) | (0.7) | (8.5) | (0.5) | 0.9 | 0.8 | (75.6) | (1.0) |
| Total change (U.S. GAAP) | | \$ 71.5 | 1.9% | \$ 28.9 | 1.3% | \$ 29.6 | 1.9% | \$ 1.6 | 1.4% | \$ 131.6 | 1.7% |
| Impact of foreign currency translation | | \$ 52.8 | 1.4 | \$ 15.2 | 0.7 | \$ 8.5 | 0.5 | \$ (0.9) | (0.8) | \$ 75.6 | 1.0 |
| Total constant dollar change (Non-U.S. GAAP) | | \$ 124.3 | 3.3% | \$ 44.1 | 2.0% | \$ 38.1 | 2.4% | \$ 0.7 | 0.6% | \$ 207.2 | 2.7% |

Table of Contents**Change in net sales**

| 2012 Compared with 2011 | | Food Care | | Diversey Care | | Product Care | | Other Category | | Total Company | |
|---|--|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Volumes | Units | \$ 51.1 | 1.6% | 2.9 | 0.5% | 21.5 | 1.4% | 19.5 | 19.8% | \$ 95.0 | 1.7% |
| Volumes | Acquired business, net of (dispositions) | 516.7 | 15.9 | 1,598.6 | # | | | | | 2,115.3 | 38.7 |
| Product price/mix ⁽¹⁾ | | 22.5 | 0.7 | 8.8 | 1.7 | (1.4) | (0.1) | (2.2) | (2.2) | 27.7 | 0.5 |
| Foreign currency translation | | (91.1) | (2.8) | (13.0) | (2.4) | (36.1) | (2.3) | (5.9) | (6.0) | (146.1) | (2.7) |
| Total change (U.S. GAAP) | | \$ 499.2 | 15.4% | \$ 1,597.3 | #% | \$ (16.0) | (1.0)% | \$ 11.4 | 11.6% | \$ 2,091.9 | 38.3% |
| Impact of foreign currency translation | | \$ 91.1 | 2.8 | \$ 13.0 | 2.4 | \$ 36.1 | 2.3 | \$ 5.9 | 6.0 | \$ 146.1 | 2.7 |
| Total constant dollar change (Non-U.S. GAAP) | | \$ 590.3 | 18.2% | \$ 1,610.3 | #% | \$ 20.1 | 1.3% | \$ 17.3 | 17.6% | \$ 2,238.0 | 40.9% |

Denotes a variance greater than or equal to 100%, or not meaningful.

⁽¹⁾ Our product price/mix reported above includes the net impact of our pricing actions and rebates as well as the period-to-period change in the mix of products sold. Also included in our reported product price/mix is the net effect of some of our customers purchasing our products in non-U.S. dollar or euro denominated countries at selling prices denominated in U.S. dollars or euros. This primarily arises when we export products from the U.S. and euro-zone countries. The impact to our reported product price/mix of these purchases in other countries at selling prices denominated in U.S. dollars or euros was not material in the periods included in the table above.

The following net sales discussion is on a constant dollar basis.

Food Care Segment Net Sales*2013 compared with 2012*

The \$124 million, or 3%, constant dollar increase in net sales in 2013 compared with 2012 was primarily due to:

higher unit volumes in AMAT of \$32 million, or 12%, and in Latin America of \$25 million, or 5%, due to an increase in beef production rates, hygiene standards as well as strong customer acceptance of new products; and

favorable product price/mix in Latin America of \$32 million, or 6%, and in North America of \$26 million, or 2%, reflecting favorable results from the progression of our pricing initiatives implemented to offset increases in raw material costs, specifically in Brazil, Argentina and in the U.S.

2012 compared with 2011

The \$590 million, or 18%, constant dollar increase in 2012 compared with 2011 was primarily due to:

a \$517 million incremental impact of net sales by the acquired businesses from the hygiene solutions business as a result of the acquisition of Diversey in the fourth quarter of 2011;

favorable product price/mix in Latin America of \$29 million, or 7%, primarily from the benefits of prior pricing actions that were implemented to offset rising raw materials costs and from formula-based contractual price adjustments in the packaging solutions

business; and

higher unit volumes in Latin America of \$28 million, or 7%, due to increased customer production rates for fresh red meat in the packaging solutions business.

Table of Contents

Diversey Care Segment Net Sales

2013 compared with 2012

The \$44 million, or 2%, constant dollar increase in net sales in 2013 compared with 2012 was primarily due to:

favorable product price/mix of \$25 million, or 2%. This increase is primarily due to our pricing actions in 2013 in all regions, primarily in Latin America and AMAT, which have more than offset input cost increases;

higher unit volumes in AMAT of \$21 million, or 6%, due to growth primarily in the hospitality and food service sectors; and

higher unit volumes in Latin America of \$11 million, or 6%, due to growth in food services, channel and retail sectors. These favorable factors were offset by:

lower unit volumes in Europe of \$13 million, or 1%, due to the economic challenges in this region.

2012 compared with 2011

The \$1,610 million constant dollar increase in net sales in 2012 compared with 2011 was primarily due to:

a \$1,599 million incremental impact of net sales by the acquired Diversey Care business as a result of the acquisition of Diversey in the fourth quarter of 2011; and

favorable product price/mix of \$9 million, or 2% , primarily in Latin America and AMAT regions in the fourth quarter of 2012 compared with the same period of 2011.

These factors were partially offset by a decrease in unit volumes in Europe of \$10 million, or 4%, reflecting a decline in consumer brands and lower equipment sales.

Product Care Segment Net Sales

2013 compared with 2012

The \$38 million, or 2%, constant dollar increase in net sales in 2013 compared with 2012 was primarily due to:

an increase in unit volumes of \$21 million, or 2% in North America, \$8 million, or 8% in JANZ and \$7 million, or 2% in Europe, primarily due to strong growth in the packaging systems sector.

favorable product price/mix of \$8 million, or 1% in North America reflecting results from the progression of our pricing initiatives implemented in North America to offset increases in raw material costs

These favorable factors were offset by:

unfavorable product price/mix of \$7 million, or 2% in Europe which was primarily experienced in our consumer based and large e-commerce customers.

2012 compared with 2011

The \$20 million, or 1%, constant dollar increase in net sales in 2012 compared with 2011 was primarily due to higher unit volumes in the U.S. of \$25 million, or 3%, due to expanded market presence and strengths in solutions targeting e-commerce applications. This growth was partially offset by lower unit volumes in Europe of \$11 million, or 3%, primarily due to lower customer demand reflecting the current economic challenges in the region.

Table of Contents**Cost of Sales**

Cost of sales for the three years ended December 31, 2013 was as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 vs. 2012 % Change | 2012 vs. 2011 % Change |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Cost of sales | \$ 5,103.3 | \$ 5,036.9 | \$ 3,886.7 | 1.3% | 29.6% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>66.4%</i> | <i>66.6%</i> | <i>71.1%</i> | | |
| <i>2013 compared with 2012</i> | | | | | |

Cost of sales was impacted by a favorable foreign currency translation impact of \$62 million. On a constant dollar basis, cost of sales increased \$128 million, or 3%. Some of the factors that contributed to the increase in cost of sales were:

higher raw material costs of \$38 million;

inflationary costs of \$46 million, primarily related to non-material inflation including salaries, wages and benefit expenses;

higher profit sharing expense of \$7 million due to achieving most of our 2013 financial performance goals;

higher freight costs of \$7 million; and

increased costs to support higher unit volumes.

These factors were partially offset by the favorable impact of:

incremental cost synergies associated with IOP of \$44 million; and

lower associated costs incurred with the implementation of IOP of \$9 million.

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales decreased in the last three years primarily reflecting manufacturing efficiency improvements and synergies from our restructuring programs.

We anticipate raw material costs will have an unfavorable impact on cost of sales in 2014 as compared with 2013. We have historically implemented and will continue to implement pricing actions as appropriate to offset increases in raw material costs along with increases in other input costs we may experience.

2012 compared with 2011

The \$1.2 billion increase in cost of sales in 2012 compared with 2011 was primarily due to the incremental impact of costs of sales from acquired businesses of \$1.2 billion from the Food Care hygiene solutions and Diversey Care businesses as a result of the acquisition of Diversey in the fourth quarter of 2011. Cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with 2011 was also impacted by a favorable foreign currency translation impact of \$111 million. Costs for raw materials and freight were \$15 million lower in 2012 compared with 2011.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three years ended December 31, 2013 are included in the table below.

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 vs. 2012 % Change | 2012 vs. 2011 % Change |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 1,749.2 | \$ 1,756.7 | \$ 1,004.4 | (0.4)% | 74.9% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>22.7%</i> | <i>23.2%</i> | <i>18.4%</i> | | |

Table of Contents

2013 compared with 2012

Selling, general and administrative expenses were impacted by a favorable foreign currency translation impact of \$9 million. On a constant dollar basis, selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$2 million, primarily due to:

an increase in inflationary costs of \$35 million, including the impact of salaries, wages and benefit expenses;

an increase in performance based annual incentive compensation of \$25 million and higher profit sharing expense of \$9 million, primarily due to achieving most of our 2013 financial performance goals; and

incremental costs incurred with the implementation of EQIP and IOP of \$13 million.

These factors were partially offset by the favorable impact of cost synergies associated with IOP of \$68 million.

2012 compared with 2011

The \$752 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses in 2012 compared with 2011 was primarily due to a \$796 million incremental impact of expenses from acquired businesses from the Food Care hygiene solutions and Diversey Care businesses as a result of the acquisition of Diversey in the fourth quarter of 2011, partially offset by the impact of favorable foreign currency translation of \$27 million and a decrease in share-based compensation of \$8 million primarily because we did not achieve some of our 2012 financial performance goals.

Amortization Expense of Intangible Assets Acquired

Amortization expense of intangible assets acquired for the three years ended December 31, 2013 was as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|---------|
| Amortization expense of intangible assets acquired | \$ 123.2 | \$ 132.7 | \$ 38.4 |

The decrease in amortization expense in 2013 as compared with 2012 was primarily due to the impact of the non-cash impairment charge recorded in 2012, which lowered the carrying value of certain intangible assets acquired, which in turn resulted in lower amortization expense in 2013. The increase in 2012 compared with 2011 was due to the amortization of the intangible assets acquired in connection with the acquisition of Diversey in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Impairment of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

During the third quarter of 2012, due to the continuing unfavorable economic conditions primarily in Europe and North America, we re-evaluated the near and long-term expected business performance of our Diversey business. Our Diversey business had experienced operating results that were significantly lower than expected during the first half of 2012 and lower than originally forecasted at the time of the acquisition of Diversey in 2011. Also during the third quarter of 2012, we started our annual multi-year strategic forecasting and planning process, which is prepared for all reporting units in the second half of each year. In connection with this process, we re-evaluated the near and long-term expected business performance of the Diversey business and considered the long-term market conditions and business trends within each of the Diversey regional reporting units. As a result of our re-evaluation, we determined that our European business was not expected to achieve any significant growth until late 2013 or 2014. Additionally, in North America, we were not able to pass along to our customers, increases in raw material costs that began in late 2011 and continued into 2012, consequently causing margins to be significantly lower than originally expected. Also, the impact of lower sales and increases in raw material costs in Latin America caused that region's operating results to be lower than expected. When we factored the impact of these unfavorable conditions into our strategic forecasting and planning process, we determined that

Table of Contents

these were significant indicators of potential impairment in accordance with ASC 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other. Accordingly, we performed an interim impairment test for both the goodwill and long-lived assets of the Diversey European, North American and Latin American reporting units.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we began to operate under our new business division structure, which created the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions (which is included in the Food Care segment) reporting units from the previous legacy Diversey segment. In connection with this new business division structure, we revised our multi-year forecast under the new reporting unit structure.

Included in the revised multi-year forecast was our expectation that there would be further economic weakness in Europe, particularly in Southern Europe, which was more severe than we initially forecasted during our third quarter 2012 interim impairment review. The Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units both derive a significant portion of their revenue from Europe. Also, included in the revised multi-year forecast for the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units were the reported results for these reporting units for the fourth quarter of 2012. The reported results for both the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units were lower than originally forecasted at the end of the third quarter of 2012. In particular, the Diversey Care reporting unit experienced lower volumes in its consumer brands and lower equipment sales in Europe as compared with the fourth quarter of 2011. In addition, the Diversey Care reporting unit continued to incur higher sales and marketing expenses compared with the fourth quarter of 2011. During the fourth quarter of 2012, several new members of our senior management team believed that a new and enhanced business strategy was required to successfully operate both the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions businesses. The combination of the factors mentioned above unfavorably impacted our near and long-term forecasted revenues and cash flows for the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units.

At December 31, 2012, we considered the factors mentioned above, including our new business division structure, and we determined that further indicators of impairment were present. Accordingly, we performed an interim assessment of impairment of our goodwill and long-lived assets for the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units.

In 2012, we recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of \$1,892.3 million of goodwill and other intangible assets.

During 2013, the profitability and operating performance of the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units showed improvement over the prior year profitability and operating performance. This operating performance improvement was factored into our annual multi-year strategic forecasting and planning process, which is prepared for all reporting units in the second half of each year. In connection with this process, we evaluated the near and long-term expected business performance of all the reporting units and considered the long-term market conditions and business trends within each of the reporting units.

Included in these long-term forecasts are improved cash flows for the Diversey Care reporting unit which is being driven by improved sales growth and reduced operating expenses. The forecasted long-term sales growth is due to a combination of expected price increases across many of the regions as well as volume growth. Volume growth is forecasted in the AMAT region and the North American region is expected to recover with low sales growth. In Europe the long-term forecasts reflect volume decreases in 2014 due to the continued economic weakness in that region but are expected to show improvement starting in 2015. Along with sales growth, various activities, including existing restructuring programs are expected to result in reduced operating costs and contribute to a stronger cash flow.

The Company is also forecasting improved cash flows for the Hygiene Solutions reporting unit due to improved sales growth primarily being driven from the emerging markets which includes the AMAT and Latin American regions, due to a combination of volume growth and expected price increases, and to a lesser extent sales growth in North America. Sales growth is expected to be flat in Europe in 2014 but is expected to show improvement starting in 2015; however, profitability in Europe is expected to improve due to product rationalization and price/

Table of Contents

mix improvements. Hygiene Solutions is also expecting overall improved profitability for the business resulting from improvements in sales and marketing costs which are expected to contribute to reduced operating costs and overall stronger cash flows.

See Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets, for details of our goodwill balance and the goodwill reviews performed in 2013 and 2012 and other related information.

Stock Appreciation Rights Expense

SARs expense for the three years ended December 31, 2013 is as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 vs. 2012 % | 2012 vs. 2011 % |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | Change | Change |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | #% | #% |
| Stock appreciation rights expense | \$ 38.1 | \$ 18.4 | \$ | #% | #% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | <i>0.5%</i> | <i>0.2%</i> | <i>#%</i> | | |

SARs expense increased \$20 million in 2013 as compared with 2012. SARs expense includes the impact of changes in the share price of our common stock. The increase of SARs expense in 2013 as compared with 2012 was primarily due to the increase in the share price of our common stock of approximately 94% in 2013 as compared with 2012. We continue to explore opportunities to mitigate the impact of the volatility SARs expense is having on our consolidated results of operations. See Note 19, Stockholders' Equity, for further details of our SARs program. The EPS impact of SARs expense was \$0.16 per share in 2013 and \$0.07 per share in 2012.

As of December 31, 2013, we had 1.3 million SARs outstanding, of which 0.8 million were unvested. The 0.8 million unvested SARs vest as follows: 0.5 million in 2014, and the remainder in the first quarter of 2015. Based on recent experience, we would expect vested SARs to be exercised within a few months of their vesting date.

Costs Related to the Acquisition and Integration of Diversey

We recorded transaction and integration costs directly related to the acquisition and integration of Diversey of \$1 million in 2013, \$7 million in 2012, and \$65 million in 2011. The transaction related costs were \$55 million in 2011 and primarily consist of financing commitment, legal, regulatory and appraisal fees. The remainder of the costs in all periods were integration costs primarily consisting of consulting fees. As discussed above, we have excluded these costs from our adjusted EPS calculations. See Note 4, Acquisition of Diversey Holdings, Inc., for further discussion of the acquisition.

Restructuring Activities***Earnings Quality Improvement Program (EQIP)***

As announced on May 1, 2013, we commenced with EQIP, which is an initiative to deliver meaningful cost savings and network optimization. The plan is estimated to generate annualized savings of approximately \$80 million by the end of 2015. Savings for 2013 were minimal. See Note 10, Restructuring Activities, for further discussion of the costs and liabilities associated with this program.

Integration and Optimization Program (IOP)

In December 2011, we initiated a restructuring program associated with the integration of Diversey's business following our acquisition of Diversey on October 3, 2011. This program is expected to be completed by the end of 2014. We achieved \$112 million of incremental cost synergies in 2013 related to this program compared with 2012. We achieved these synergies in cost of sales (\$44 million) and selling, general and administrative expenses (\$68 million) primarily in our Food Care and Diversey Care divisions.

Table of Contents

The actual timing of future costs and cash payments related to this program are subject to change due to a variety of factors that may cause a portion of the costs, spending and benefits to occur later than expected. In addition, changes in foreign exchange rates may impact future costs, spending and benefits. See Note 10, Restructuring Activities, for further discussion of the charges and liabilities associated with this program.

Operating Profit (Loss)

Management evaluates the performance of each reportable segment based on its operating profit (loss). Operating profit (loss) by our segment reporting structure for the three years ended December 31, 2013 was as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 vs. 2012 % Change | 2012 vs. 2011 % Change |
|--|----------|--------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Food Care | \$ 431.4 | \$ (170.9) | \$ 371.2 | \$ #% | #% |
| <i>As a % of Food Care net sales</i> | 11.3% | (4.6)% | 11.5% | | |
| Diversey Care | 57.9 | (1,278.4) | (14.8) | #% | #% |
| <i>As a % of Diversey Care net sales</i> | 2.7% | (60.0)% | (2.8)% | | |
| Product Care | 200.4 | 207.5 | 201.7 | (3.4)% | 2.9% |
| <i>As a % of Product Care net sales</i> | 12.5% | 13.1% | 12.7% | | |
| Other Category | (12.7) | (36.0) | (20.3) | 64.7% | (77.3)% |
| <i>As a % of Other Category net sales</i> | (11.4)% | (32.8)% | (20.7)% | | |
| Total | 677.0 | (1,277.8) | 537.8 | #% | #% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | 8.8% | (16.9)% | 9.8% | | |
| Costs related to the acquisition and integration of Diversey | 1.1 | 7.4 | 64.8 | (85.1)% | (88.6)% |
| Restructuring and other charges ⁽¹⁾ | 73.8 | 142.5 | 52.2 | (48.2)% | #% |
| Total operating profit (loss) | \$ 602.1 | \$ (1,427.7) | \$ 420.8 | \$ #% | #% |
| <i>As a % of net sales</i> | 7.8% | (18.9)% | 7.7% | | |

Denotes a variance greater than or equal to 100%, or not meaningful.

⁽¹⁾ Restructuring and other charges by our segment reporting structure were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Food Care | \$ 25.1 | \$ 72.0 | \$ 13.1 |
| Diversey Care | 32.2 | 53.1 | 39.5 |
| Product Care | 16.4 | 16.7 | (0.4) |
| Other Category | 0.1 | 0.7 | |
| Total | \$ 73.8 | \$ 142.5 | \$ 52.2 |

See Restructuring Activities above for further discussion of restructuring activities.

Food Care Segment Operating Profit (Loss)

2013 compared with 2012

Food Care's operating profit was \$431 million in 2013 compared with a \$171 million operating loss in 2012. The operating loss in 2012 included a \$543 million non-cash charge for impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets. Excluding the 2012 non-cash impairment charge, operating profit increased \$59 million in 2013. This increase was primarily due to the impact of cost synergies associated with IOP of \$58 million, impact of higher volumes of \$20 million, and favorable product/price mix and manufacturing efficiency improvements of \$25 million.

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

These factors were partially offset by higher performance based annual incentive compensation of \$21 million, higher selling, general and administrative expenses of \$13 million and higher SARs expense of \$3 million.

Table of Contents

2012 compared with 2011

The operating loss in 2012 includes the non-cash impairment charge related to goodwill and other intangible assets of \$543 million. See Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets, for further details. Excluding the non-cash impairment charge, operating profit was flat in 2012 as compared with 2011.

Diversey Care Segment Operating Profit (Loss)

2013 compared with 2012

Diversey Care's operating profit was \$58 million in 2013 compared with a \$1.3 billion operating loss in 2012. The operating loss in 2012 included a \$1.3 billion non-cash impairment charge of goodwill and other intangible assets. Excluding the 2012 non-cash impairment charge, operating profit increased \$10 million in 2013. This increase was primarily due to the impact of cost synergies associated with IOP of \$34 million, and favorable product/price mix and manufacturing efficiency improvements of \$20 million. These factors were partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative expenses of \$14 million, higher SARs expense of \$17 million, non-material inflation costs of \$12 million, and higher performance based annual incentive compensation of \$7 million.

2012 compared with 2011

The operating loss in 2012 includes the non-cash impairment charge related to goodwill and other intangible assets of \$1.3 billion. See Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets, for further details. Excluding the non-cash impairment charge, operating profit increased by \$62 million in 2012 compared to 2011, which was a result of the increase in net sales described above and lower raw materials costs being partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative costs.

Product Care Segment Operating Profit

2013 compared with 2012

Product Care operating profit declined 3% to \$200 million in 2013 from \$208 million in 2012. This decline of \$8 million was primarily due to unfavorable impact of \$14 million primarily related to non-material inflation, higher selling, general and administrative expenses of \$9 million, higher performance based annual incentive compensation of \$7 million, unfavorable product/price mix and manufacturing efficiency improvements of \$6 million and a LIFO adjustment of \$3 million. These factors were partially offset by incremental cost synergies associated with IOP of \$19 million and the impact of higher volumes of \$16 million.

2012 compared with 2011

Operating profit remained flat in 2012 as compared with 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense includes the stated interest rate on our outstanding debt, as well as the net impact of capitalized interest, the effects of interest rate swaps and the amortization of capitalized senior debt issuance costs and credit facility fees, bond discounts, and terminated treasury locks.

Table of Contents

Interest expense for the three years ended December 31, 2013 was as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 vs. 2012 Change | 2012 vs. 2011 Change |
|---|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Interest expense on the amount payable for the Settlement agreement | \$ 48.2 | \$ 45.7 | \$ 43.3 | \$ 2.5 | \$ 2.4 |
| Interest expense on our various debt instruments: | | | | | |
| 5.625% Senior Notes due July 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | | 19.2 | 20.7 | (19.2) | (1.5) |
| 12% Senior Notes due February 2014 ⁽²⁾ | 14.9 | 15.2 | 14.7 | (0.3) | 0.5 |
| Term Loan A due October 2016 ⁽³⁾ | 30.4 | 35.8 | 10.4 | (5.4) | 25.4 |
| 7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017 ⁽⁴⁾ | 7.6 | 33.3 | 33.1 | (25.7) | 0.2 |
| Term Loan B due October 2018 ⁽³⁾ | 37.1 | 64.0 | 17.5 | (26.9) | 46.5 |
| 8.125% Senior Notes due September 2019 ⁽³⁾ | 62.4 | 62.3 | 15.1 | 0.1 | 47.2 |
| 6.50% Senior Notes due December 2020 ⁽¹⁾ | 28.3 | 2.5 | | 25.8 | 2.5 |
| 8.375% Senior Notes due September 2021 ⁽³⁾ | 63.9 | 63.8 | 15.4 | 0.1 | 48.4 |
| 5.25% Senior Notes due April 2023 ⁽⁴⁾ | 17.8 | | | 17.8 | |
| 6.875% Senior Notes due July 2033 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.9 | | |
| Revolving Credit Facility ⁽³⁾ | 4.2 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 2.8 |
| Other interest expense | 20.2 | 13.4 | 18.4 | 6.8 | (5.0) |
| Less: capitalized interest | (4.9) | (5.5) | (4.2) | 0.6 | (1.3) |
| Total | \$ 361.0 | \$ 384.7 | \$ 216.6 | \$ (23.7) | \$ 168.1 |

(1) In November 2012, we issued \$425 million of 6.50% senior notes due 2020. Substantially all of the proceeds from this offering were used to purchase the outstanding amount (\$400 million) of the 5.625% Senior Notes due July 2013.

(2) We repaid the notes upon maturity on February 14, 2014.

(3) In connection with the acquisition of Diversey on October 3, 2011, we entered into a senior credit facility consisting of: (a) a \$1.1 billion multicurrency Term Loan A Facility, (b) a \$1.2 billion multicurrency Term Loan B Facility and (c) a \$700 million revolving credit facility. We also issued \$750 million of 8.125% Senior Notes and \$750 million of 8.375% Senior Notes.

(4) In March 2013, we issued \$425 million of 5.25% senior notes due 2023. Substantially all of the proceeds from this offering were used to purchase the outstanding amount (\$400 million) of the 7.875% Senior Notes due July 2017. See Note 10, Debt and Credit Facilities, and Loss on Debt Redemption below for further details.

Loss on Debt Redemption**2013**

In November 2013, we amended our senior secured credit facility (the Amended Credit Facility). The amendment refinanced the term loan B facilities with a \$525 million term loan B dollar tranche and a 128 million term loan B euro tranche. In connection therewith, among other things, (i) the interest margin on each tranche was decreased by 0.75%, (ii) the minimum Eurocurrency rate under the term loan B facilities was reduced from 1.00% to 0.75%, and (iii) the Amended Credit Facility provides for a six-month soft-call prepayment protection on the applicable term loan B tranches, requiring us to pay an amount equal to 1% of the aggregate principal if the facility is pre-paid under certain circumstances. We prepaid \$101 million and refinanced the remaining principal amount of \$697 million of the euro and U.S. dollar denominated portions of the original Term Loan B at 100% of their face value. We recognized a \$4 million pre-tax loss on debt redemption included in our results of operations for 2013, consisting of accelerated unamortized original issuance discount, unamortized fees, and fees associated with the transaction.

In March 2013, we issued \$425 million of 5.25% senior notes and used substantially all of the proceeds to retire the 7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017. We repurchased the 7.875% Senior Notes at fair value. The aggregate

Table of Contents

repurchase price was \$431 million, which included the principal amount of \$400 million, a 6% premium of \$23 million and accrued interest of \$8 million. We recognized a total net pre-tax loss of \$32 million, which included the premiums mentioned above.

2012

In November 2012, we issued \$425 million of 6.50% senior notes and used substantially all of the proceeds to retire the 5.625% Senior Notes due July 2013. We repurchased the 5.625% Senior Notes at fair value. The aggregate repurchase price was \$421 million, which included the principal amount of \$400 million, a 3% premium of \$13 million and accrued interest of \$8 million. We recognized a total net pre-tax loss of \$12 million, which included the premiums mentioned above, less a gain of \$1 million on the termination of a related interest rate swap.

In November 2012, we amended and refinanced our senior secured credit facility to (a) reduce Term Loan B interest rates, (b) gain additional flexibility on the financial covenant, and (c) amend certain other terms. As a result, we recognized a non-cash pre-tax loss of \$16 million for the accelerated unamortized original issuance discount of \$9 million and the unamortized capitalized lender fees for \$7 million. We also recorded new original issuance discount and non-lender fees for a total of \$2 million, which are included in the carrying amount of the debt instruments. In addition, we recorded a non-cash pre-tax loss of \$7 million of non-lender fees related to the transactions mentioned above.

See Note 12, Debt and Credit Facilities for details of our debt transactions.

Impairment of Equity Method Investments

2013

In 2013, we recognized an impairment of \$2 million in connection with an equity method investment included in our Other Category. This investment was not material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

2012

In September 2007, we established a joint venture that supports our Food Care segment. We account for the joint venture under the equity method of accounting with our proportionate share of net income or losses included in other expense, net, on the consolidated statements of operations.

During the first half of 2012, the joint venture performed below expectations, resulting in reduced cash flow and increasing debt obligations. Due to these events, we evaluated our equity method investment for impairment. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, based on reviewing undiscounted cash flow information, we determined that the fair value of our investment was less than its carrying value and that this impairment was other-than-temporary.

In connection with the establishment of the joint venture in 2007, we issued a guarantee in support of an uncommitted credit facility agreement that was entered into by the joint venture. Under the terms of the guarantee, if the joint venture were to default under the terms of the credit facility, the lender would be entitled to seek payment of the amounts due under the credit facility from us. However, as a result of the impairment, we have included the guarantee liability in other current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 as we believe it is probable that we will need to perform under this guarantee. As of December 31, 2013, the joint venture has performed its obligations under the terms of the credit facility and the debt holders have not requested that we perform under the terms of the guarantee.

As a result, in the second quarter of 2012 we recognized other-than-temporary impairment of \$26 million (\$18 million, net of taxes, or \$0.09 per diluted share). This impairment consisted of the recognition of a current

Table of Contents

liability for the guarantee of the uncommitted credit facility mentioned above of \$20 million and a \$4 million write-down of the carrying value of the investment to zero at June 30, 2012. We also recorded provisions for bad debt on receivables due from the joint venture to the Company of \$2 million, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses and impacted our Food Care division. We have no additional obligations to support the operations of the joint venture in the future.

Foreign Currency Exchange (Losses) Gains Related to Venezuelan Subsidiaries

Effective January 1, 2010, Venezuela was designated a highly inflationary economy. The foreign currency exchange gains and losses we recorded in 2013, 2012 and 2011 for our Venezuelan subsidiary were the result of two factors: 1) the significant changes in the exchange rates used to settle bolivar-denominated transactions and 2) the significant changes in the exchange rates used to remeasure our Venezuelan subsidiary's financial statements at the balance sheet date. We believe these gains and losses are attributable to the unstable foreign currency environment in Venezuela. See *Venezuela in Foreign Exchange Rates* of Item 7A, *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*, for further discussion on Venezuela.

Other Expense, Net

See Note 20, *Other Expense, net*, for the components and discussion of other expense, net.

Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate from continuing operations was 47.3% for 2013, primarily due to our increase of approximately \$50 million as a result of not funding the Settlement agreement before the end of 2013. The delay in funding required us to increase our valuation allowance for the deferred tax asset related to the Settlement agreement. Excluding that increase, our tax rate would have been approximately 19%. Our core tax rate for the year was 21.8% and benefited from earnings in jurisdictions with low tax rates and losses in jurisdictions, such as the U.S., with high tax rates, as well as various reorganizations and a retroactive reinstatement of certain tax provisions that were recorded as discrete items in 2013. On January 2, 2013, the President signed the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, retroactively reinstating and extending the research and development tax credit and certain foreign tax provisions from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013.

Our loss before income taxes from continuing operations for 2012 was reduced by an income tax benefit of \$265 million. Our effective income tax benefit rate for 2012 was 14% because our net loss resulted from an impairment charge, substantially all of which related to non-deductible goodwill, with no corresponding tax benefit. Our core tax rate for the year was 26%. Our tax provision for the year benefitted from earnings in jurisdictions with low tax rates and losses in jurisdictions, such as the U.S., with high tax rates, as well as favorable settlements of certain tax disputes totaling \$12 million in 2012. The favorable factors were partially offset by losses in jurisdictions where we did not have any tax benefit due to the applicable tax rate or valuation allowances.

Our effective income tax rate from continuing operations was 30% for 2011. As described below, the legacy-Diversey operations and the costs of the Diversey acquisition increased our 2011 effective tax rate. For 2011, our effective income tax rate was lower than the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the lower net effective income tax rate on foreign earnings, as well as income tax benefits from tax credits and the domestic manufacturing deduction, partially offset by state income taxes and, in 2011, nondeductible expenses incurred in connection with the Diversey acquisition.

We expect a core income tax rate of approximately 25% in 2014.

Our effective tax rate also depends on the realization of our deferred tax assets, net of our valuation allowances. We have deferred tax assets related to the Settlement agreement, other accruals not yet deductible for tax purposes, foreign tax credits, U.S. and foreign net operating loss carry forwards and investment tax allowances, employee benefit items, and other items.

Table of Contents

We have established valuation allowances to reduce our deferred tax assets to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Our ability to utilize our deferred tax assets depends in part upon our ability to generate future taxable income during the periods in which these temporary differences reverse or our ability to carry back any losses created by the deduction of these temporary differences. We expect to realize these assets over an extended period. If we are unable to generate sufficient future taxable income in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions, or if there is a significant change in the time period within which the underlying temporary differences become taxable or deductible, we could be required to increase our valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets. Conversely, if we have sufficient future taxable income in jurisdictions where we have valuation allowances, we may be able to reverse those valuation allowances.

Our largest deferred tax asset relates to our Settlement agreement as described in Note 15, *Commitments and Contingencies*. Our tax benefit with respect thereto depended upon when we funded the Settlement agreement. As noted above, the delay in funding the Settlement agreement until 2014 required us to increase our valuation allowance, resulting in an increased tax expense of approximately \$50 million in 2013. In addition, changes in statutory tax rates or other new legislation or regulation may change our deferred tax assets or liability balances, with either favorable or unfavorable impacts on our effective tax rate. See *Material Commitments and Contingencies* below for further discussion.

See Note 17, *Income Taxes*, for a reconciliation of the U.S. federal statutory rate to our effective tax rate, which also shows the major components of the year over year changes and other tax information.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Material Commitments and Contingencies

Settlement Agreement and Related Costs

We recorded a pre-tax charge of \$850 million in 2002, of which \$513 million represented a cash payment that we are required to make (subject to the satisfaction of the terms and conditions of the Settlement agreement) upon the effectiveness of a plan of reorganization in the bankruptcy of W. R. Grace & Co. We did not use cash in any period with respect to this liability.

On February 3, 2014, upon Grace's emergence from bankruptcy pursuant to a plan of reorganization, the Settlement agreement was implemented and our subsidiary, Cryovac, Inc., made the payments contemplated by the Settlement agreement, consisting of aggregate cash payments in the amount of \$930 million to the PI Trust and the PD Trust and the transfer of 18 million shares of Sealed Air common stock (the *Settlement Shares*) to the PI Trust, in each case reflecting adjustments made in accordance with the Settlement agreement. See Note 22, *Subsequent Events*, for further details.

On February 3, 2014, we funded the cash portion of the settlement payment by using \$555 million of accumulated cash and cash equivalents and utilized borrowings of \$260 million from our revolving credit facility and \$115 million from our accounts receivable securitization programs. See *Principal Sources of Liquidity* below. The cash payment of \$513 million accrued interest at a 5.5% annual rate, which was compounded annually, from December 21, 2002 to the February 3, 2014 date of payment. This accrued interest was \$413 million at December 31, 2013 and is recorded in Settlement agreement and related accrued interest on our consolidated balance sheet. The total liability on our consolidated balance sheet was \$925 million at December 31, 2013. In addition, the Settlement agreement provided for the issuance of the 18 million Settlement Shares. Since the impact of issuing the Settlement Shares was dilutive to our EPS, under U.S. GAAP, they were included in our diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding in our calculation of EPS to the extent that the impact of including these shares were dilutive. See Note 21, *Net (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share*, for details of our calculation of EPS.

Tax benefits resulting from the anticipated funding of the Settlement agreement were recorded as a \$373 million net deferred tax asset on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013. This deferred tax asset reflects the cash portion of the Settlement agreement and related accrued interest and the value of the 18 million shares of

Table of Contents

our common stock at the post-split price of \$17.86 per share, which was the price when the Settlement agreement was reached in 2002. The impact of the increase in share price from 2002 to more than \$30 per share on February 3, 2014 will be included as an increase to additional paid-in capital on our consolidated balance sheet when we realize the cash tax benefit as a result of our higher stock price and will have no impact to our consolidated statements of operations.

We intend to carry back a significant portion of the loss resulting from our deduction under the Settlement agreement. The efficiency of any amount carried back and the benefit therefrom, as well as the benefit from the amount carried forward, may depend upon, among other factors, our past and anticipated future earnings in the U.S.

If we are unable to generate sufficient U.S. taxable income we could be required to increase our valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset and we may not realize the full cash tax benefit relating to this asset. This could result in a significant increase in our effective tax rate and could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations in the periods in which these conditions occur. Changes in statutory tax rates or other new legislation or regulation may also change our deferred tax assets or liability balances, with either favorable or unfavorable impacts on our effective tax rate.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, we recorded an increase to the valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset related to the Settlement agreement, which resulted in an increase of approximately \$50 million to our income tax provision (approximately \$0.23 per diluted share).

The information set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies, under the caption Settlement Agreement and Related Costs is incorporated herein by reference.

Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies

The information set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies, under the caption Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies is incorporated herein by reference.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our principal contractual obligations and sets forth the amounts of required or contingently required cash outlays in 2013 and future years:

| | Payments Due by Years | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Total | 2014 | 2015-2016 | 2017-2018 | Thereafter |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 81.6 | \$ 81.6 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Current portion of long-term debt exclusive of debt discounts and lender fees | 201.5 | 201.5 | | | |
| Long-term debt, exclusive of debt discounts and lender fees | 4,145.2 | | 648.2 | 697.0 | 2,800.0 |
| Total debt ⁽¹⁾ | 4,428.3 | 283.1 | 648.2 | 697.0 | 2,800.0 |
| Interest payments due on long-term debt ⁽²⁾ | 1,980.6 | 247.6 | 474.9 | 447.2 | 810.9 |
| Operating leases | 194.9 | 64.4 | 77.3 | 29.9 | 23.3 |
| Cash portion of the Settlement agreement and related accrued interest ⁽³⁾ | 925.1 | 925.1 | | | |
| First quarter 2014 quarterly cash dividend declared | 25.5 | 25.5 | | | |
| Other principal contractual obligations | 434.3 | 182.5 | 179.6 | 55.5 | 16.7 |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 7,988.7 | \$ 1,728.2 | \$ 1,380.0 | \$ 1,229.6 | \$ 3,650.9 |

Table of Contents

- (1) These amounts include principal maturities (at face value) only. These amounts also include our contractual obligations under capital leases of \$1.1 million in 2014, \$1.0 million in 2015-2016 and \$0.3 million in 2017-2018.
- (2) Includes interest payments required under our senior notes issuances and Amended Credit Facility only. The interest payments included above for our Term Loan A and B were calculated using the following assumptions:
interest rates based on stated rates based on LIBOR as of December 31, 2013;
all non-U.S. dollar balances are converted using exchange rates as of December 31, 2013; and
assumes obligations are repaid when due.
- (3) On February 3, 2014, we funded this liability. See Note 22, *Subsequent Events* for further details.
- Current Portion of Long-Term Debt and Long-Term Debt* Represents the principal amount of the debt required to be repaid in each period.

Operating Leases The contractual operating lease obligations listed in the table above represent estimated future minimum annual rental commitments primarily under non-cancelable real and personal property leases as of December 31, 2013.

Other Principal Contractual Obligations Other principal contractual obligations include agreements to purchase an estimated amount of goods, including raw materials, or services, including energy, in the normal course of business. These obligations are enforceable and legally binding and specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased, minimum or variable price provisions and the approximate timing of the purchase. The amounts included in the table above represent estimates of the minimum amounts we are obligated to pay, or reasonably likely to pay under these agreements. We may purchase additional goods or services above the minimum requirements of these obligations and, as a result use additional cash.

Liability for Unrecognized Tax Benefits

At December 31, 2013, we had liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits and related interest and penalties of \$249 million, most of which is included in other liabilities and the remaining balance as a reduction to current deferred tax assets on the consolidated balance sheet. At December 31, 2013, we cannot reasonably estimate the future period or periods of cash settlement of these liabilities. See Note 17, *Income Taxes*, for further discussion.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have reviewed our off-balance sheet arrangements and have determined that none of those arrangements has a material current effect or is reasonably likely to have a material future effect on our consolidated financial statements, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Income Tax Payments

We currently expect to pay between \$100 million and \$120 million of income taxes in 2014.

Contributions to Defined Benefit Pension Plans

We maintain defined benefit pension plans for some of our U.S. and our non-U.S. employees. We currently expect our contributions to these plans be approximately \$30 million in 2014.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to loss contingencies resulting from environmental laws and regulations, and we accrue for anticipated costs associated with investigatory and remediation efforts when an assessment has indicated that a

Table of Contents

loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated. These accruals do not take into account any discounting for the time value of money and are not reduced by potential insurance recoveries, if any. We do not believe that it is reasonably possible that the liability in excess of the amounts that we have accrued for environmental matters will be material to our consolidated financial position and results of operations. We reassess environmental liabilities whenever circumstances become better defined or we can better estimate remediation efforts and their costs. We evaluate these liabilities periodically based on available information, including the progress of remedial investigations at each site, the current status of discussions with regulatory authorities regarding the methods and extent of remediation and the apportionment of costs among potentially responsible parties. As some of these issues are decided (the outcomes of which are subject to uncertainties) or new sites are assessed and costs can be reasonably estimated, we adjust the recorded accruals, as necessary. We believe that these exposures are not material to our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. We believe that we have adequately reserved for all probable and estimable environmental exposures.

Principal Sources of Liquidity

Our primary sources of cash are the collection of trade receivables generated from the sales of our products and services to our customers and amounts available under our existing lines of credit, including our Amended Credit Facility, and our accounts receivable securitization programs. Our primary uses of cash are payments for operating expenses, restructuring expenses, investments in working capital, capital expenditures, interest, taxes, dividends, debt obligations and other long-term liabilities. We believe that our current liquidity position and future cash flows from operations will enable us to fund our operations, including all of the items mentioned above in the next twelve months.

On February 3, 2014, we funded the \$930 million Settlement agreement and accrued interest liability using cash on hand and committed liquidity. To fund the cash payment, we used \$555 million of cash and cash equivalents and utilized borrowings of \$260 million from our revolving credit facility and \$115 million from our accounts receivable securitization programs. See Note 22, Subsequent Events, for further details. Also, on February 14, 2014, we repaid our 12% senior notes on their maturity date with available cash on hand and committed liquidity. See Note 12, Debt and Credit Facilities, for further details.

As of December 31, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$992 million, of which approximately \$540 million, or 54%, was located outside of the U.S. As of December 31, 2013, there were certain foreign government regulations restricting transfers on less than \$65 million of the cash located outside of the U.S. As of December 31, 2013, our U.S. cash balances and committed liquidity facilities available to U.S. borrowers were sufficient to fund our U.S. operating requirements and capital expenditures, current debt obligations and dividends. The Company does not expect that in the near term cash located outside of the U.S. will be needed to satisfy its obligations, dividends and other demands for cash in its U.S. operations. In connection with the funding of the Settlement agreement in 2014, we repatriated cash from our international operations and believe that the repatriation of cash should result in minimal cash taxes and no significant tax expense.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The following table summarizes our accumulated cash and cash equivalents:

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 992.4 | \$ 679.6 |

See Analysis of Historical Cash Flows below.

Lines of Credit

There were no amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2013 and 2012. There was \$60 million outstanding under various uncommitted lines of credit and \$22 million outstanding under various committed lines of credit extended to our international subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and \$39 million at December 31, 2012. See Note 12, Debt and Credit Facilities, for further details.

Table of Contents**Accounts Receivable Securitization Programs**

At December 31, 2013, we had \$209 million available to us under the programs. We did not utilize these programs in 2013 and 2012. See Note 9, Accounts Receivable Securitization Programs, for information concerning these programs.

Covenants

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we were in compliance with our financial covenants and limitations, as discussed in Covenants of Note 12, Debt and Credit Facilities.

Debt Ratings

Our cost of capital and ability to obtain external financing may be affected by our debt ratings, which the credit rating agencies review periodically. Below is a table that details our credit ratings by the various types of debt by rating agency.

| | Moody's Investor Services | Standard & Poor's |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Corporate Rating | Ba3 | BB |
| Senior Unsecured Rating | B1 | BB |
| Senior Secured Credit Facility Rating | Ba1 | BB+ |
| Outlook | Stable | Stable |

These credit ratings are considered to be below investment grade. If our credit ratings are downgraded, there could be a negative impact on our ability to access capital markets and borrowing costs could increase. A credit rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating organization. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Outstanding Indebtedness

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, our total debt outstanding consisted of the amounts set forth in the following table.

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 81.6 | \$ 39.2 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 201.5 | 1.8 |
| Total current debt | 283.1 | 41.0 |
| Total long-term debt, less current portion | 4,116.4 | 4,540.8 |
| Total debt | \$ 4,399.5 | \$ 4,581.8 |

See Note 12, Debt and Credit Facilities, for further details.

Analysis of Historical Cash Flow

The following table shows the changes in our consolidated cash flows from continuing operations in the three years ended December 31, 2013.

2013 2012 2011

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations | \$ 624.8 | \$ 394.2 | \$ 363.1 |
| Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations | (105.5) | (114.9) | (2,365.7) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities from continuing operations | (319.8) | (585.1) | 2,016.4 |

Table of Contents***Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities*****2013**

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations in 2013 of \$625 million was primarily attributable to net earnings adjusted to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities of \$511 million, which primarily included adjustments for depreciation and amortization, share-based incentive compensation expenses, profit sharing expense and loss on debt redemption. Net changes in operating assets and liabilities resulted in net cash provided by operating activities of \$114 million in 2013, primarily in trade receivables, net, inventories and accounts payable. In 2013, we reduced our day sales outstanding by three days, reduced our inventory days on hand by four days, and increased our days payables outstanding by two days.

2012

Net cash provided by continuing operating activities in 2012 was primarily attributable to net earnings adjusted to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities of \$407 million, which primarily included adjustments for depreciation and amortization, impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets, share-based incentive compensation expenses, profit sharing expenses impairment of equity method investment and deferred taxes. In 2012, our adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations included a \$319 million change in net deferred taxes. This amount primarily related to the impact of the deferred taxes recorded in connection with the non-cash impairment of other intangible assets, which is included in adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations to offset the impact of the non-cash tax benefit that is included in net (loss) earnings available to common stockholders from continuing operations. Net cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities resulted in a net cash use of \$13 million in 2012.

2011

Net cash provided by continuing operating activities in 2011 was primarily attributable to net earnings adjusted to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities of \$377 million, which primarily included adjustments for depreciation and amortization, costs related to the acquisition of Diversey and share-based incentive compensation expenses. Net cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities resulted in a net use of cash of \$14 million in 2011.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities**2013**

Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations in 2013 of \$106 million primarily consisted of capital expenditures of \$116 million, related to capacity expansions to support growth in net sales. Capital expenditure related to our restructuring programs were \$25 million in 2013.

2012

In 2012, we used net cash of \$115 million in investing activities, which was primarily due to capital expenditures of \$123 million.

2011

In 2011, we used net cash of \$2.4 billion in investing activities due to capital expenditures of \$122 million and the acquisition of Diversey for \$2.2 billion. See Note 4, Acquisition of Diversey Holdings, Inc. for further information.

We expect to continue to invest capital as we deem appropriate to expand our business, to maintain or replace depreciating property, plant and equipment, to acquire new manufacturing technology and to improve

Table of Contents

productivity and net sales growth. We expect total capital expenditures in 2014 to be approximately \$170 million, which include capital expenditures of \$34 million associated with the EQIP and IOP Programs. This projection is based upon our capital expenditure budget for 2014, the status of approved but not yet completed capital projects, anticipated future projects and historic spending trends.

Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities

2013

Net cash used in financing activities from continuing operations was primarily due to the following:

repurchase of \$400 million on 7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017 for \$431 million;

prepayments of \$152 million on Term Loan A;

prepayments of \$104 million on Term Loan B; and

payments of \$102 million of quarterly dividends.

These factors were partially offset by issuance of \$425 million of 5.25% Senior Notes due April 2023 and short term borrowings of \$53 million.

2012

Net cash used in financing activities was primarily due to the following:

repurchase of \$400 million on 5.625% Senior Notes due July 2013 for \$421 million;

prepayments of \$185 million on Term Loan A;

prepayments of \$1.1 billion on Term Loan B; and

payments of \$101 million of quarterly dividends,
partially offset by:

issuance of \$425 million of 6.50% Senior Notes due December 2020.

refinancing of \$80 million of Term Loan A; and

refinancing of \$801 million on Term Loan B.

2011

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to the following:

net proceeds of \$1.1 billion from Term Loan B;

net proceeds of \$946 million from Term Loan A;

issuance of \$750 million of 8.125% Senior Notes due September 2019;

issuance of \$750 million of 8.375% Senior Notes due September 2021; and

changes in restricted cash of \$263 million, which was used in connection with the acquisition of Diversey Holdings, Inc. partially offset by:

the repayment of existing indebtedness of Diversey of \$1.6 billion, in connection with the acquisition of Diversey;

the payment of our required 2011 and prepayment of our required 2012 Term Loan A Facility and Term Loan B Facility amortization payments totaling \$97 million;

payments of quarterly dividends of \$87 million;

Table of Contents

net payments of short-term borrowings of \$7 million;

payments of debt issuance costs of \$51 million in connection with the financing of the acquisition of Diversey; and

the acquisition of 0.5 million shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$13 million that were withheld from employees to satisfy their minimum tax withholding obligations under our 2005 contingent stock plan.

Free Cash Flow

In addition to net cash provided by operating activities, we use free cash flow as a useful measure of performance and as an indication of the strength and ability of our operations to generate cash. We define free cash flow as cash provided by operating activities less capital expenditures (which is classified as an investing activity). Free cash flow is not defined under U.S. GAAP. Therefore, it should not be considered a substitute for net income or cash flow data prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. Free cash flow does not represent residual cash available for discretionary expenditures, including certain debt servicing requirements or non-discretionary expenditures that are not deducted from this measure. We typically generate the majority of our annual free cash flow in the second half of the year. Below find details of free cash flow for three years ended December 31.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | Change | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 vs. 2012 | 2012 vs. 2011 |
| Cash flow provided by operating activities continuing operations | \$ 624.8 | \$ 394.2 | \$ 363.1 | \$ 230.6 | \$ 31.1 |
| Capital expenditures for property and equipment | (116.0) | (122.8) | (121.7) | 6.8 | (1.1) |
| Free cash flow | \$ 508.8 | \$ 271.4 | \$ 241.4 | \$ 237.4 | \$ 30.0 |

Changes in Working Capital

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | Change |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Working capital (current assets less current liabilities) | \$ 716.9 | \$ 954.3 | \$ (237.4) |
| Current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities) | 1.3x | 1.4x | |
| Quick ratio (current assets, less inventories divided by current liabilities) | 1.0x | 1.1x | |

The \$237 million, or 25%, decrease in working capital in the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to:

the classification of our 12% Senior Notes due 2014, and a portion of our Term Loan A and Term Loan B to current portion of long-term debt from long-term debt; and

a net decrease in working capital items, primarily accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable of \$171 million.

Changes in Stockholders' Equity

The \$54 million, or 4%, decrease in stockholders' equity in 2013 compared with 2012 was primarily due to dividends paid and accrued on our common stock of \$103 million and cumulative translation adjustment of \$110 million, partially offset by net earnings of \$124 million and an increase in treasury stock of \$26 million primarily due to the transfer of common stock from treasury stock as part of our 2012 profit sharing plan contribution made in 2013.

Table of Contents

Derivative Financial Instruments

Interest Rate Swaps

The information set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Note 12, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, under the caption Interest Rate Swaps is incorporated herein by reference.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

At December 31, 2013, we were party to foreign currency forward contracts, which did not have a significant impact on our liquidity.

The information set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Note 12, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, under the caption Foreign Currency Forward Contracts is incorporated herein by reference.

For further discussion about these contracts and other financial instruments, see Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Recently Issued Statements of Financial Accounting Standards, Accounting Guidance and Disclosure Requirements

We are subject to numerous recently issued statements of financial accounting standards, accounting guidance and disclosure requirements. Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Recently Issued Accounting Standards, which is contained in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, describes these new accounting standards and is incorporated herein by reference.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

Our estimates and assumptions are evaluated on an ongoing basis and are based on all available evidence, including historical experience and other factors believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. To derive these estimates and assumptions, management draws from those available sources that can best contribute to its efforts. These sources include our officers and other employees, outside consultants and legal counsel, third-party experts and actuaries. In addition, we use internally generated reports and statistics, such as aging of trade receivable, as well as outside sources such as government statistics, industry reports and third-party research studies. The results of these estimates and assumptions may form the basis of the carrying value of assets and liabilities and may not be readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from estimates under conditions and circumstances different from those assumed, and any such differences may be material to our consolidated financial statements.

We believe the following accounting policies are critical to understanding our consolidated results of operations and affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The critical accounting policies discussed below should be read together with our significant accounting policies set forth in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Recently Issued Accounting Standards.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

In the normal course of business, we extend credit to our customers if they satisfy pre-defined credit criteria. We maintain an accounts receivable allowance for estimated losses resulting from the failure of our customers to

Table of Contents

make required payments. An additional allowance may be required if the financial condition of our customers deteriorates. The allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained at a level that management assesses to be appropriate to absorb estimated losses in the accounts receivable portfolio. The allowance for doubtful accounts is reviewed quarterly, and changes to the allowance are made through the provision for bad debts, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses on our consolidated statements of operations. These changes may reflect changes in economic, business and market conditions. The allowance is increased by the provision for bad debts and decreased by the amount of charge-offs, net of recoveries.

The provision for bad debts charged against operating results is based on several factors including, but not limited to, a regular assessment of the collectability of specific customer balances, the length of time a receivable is past due and our historical experience with our customers. In circumstances where a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations is known, we record a specific provision for bad debt against amounts due thereby reducing the receivable to the amount we reasonably assess will be collected. If circumstances change, such as higher than expected defaults or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer's ability to pay, our estimates of recoverability could be reduced by a material amount.

Fair Value Measurements of Financial Instruments

In determining fair value of financial instruments, we utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible and consider counterparty credit risk in our assessment of fair value. We determine fair value of our financial instruments based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability in the principal or most advantageous market. When considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, the following fair value hierarchy distinguishes between observable and unobservable inputs, which are categorized in one of the following levels:

Level 1 Inputs: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities accessible to the reporting entity at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs: Other than quoted prices included in Level 1 inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs: Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at measurement date. Our fair value measurements for our financial instruments are subjective and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect our estimates. See Note 14, Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments, for further details on our fair value measurements.

Commitments and Contingencies – Litigation

On an ongoing basis, we assess the potential liabilities and costs related to any lawsuits or claims brought against us. We accrue a liability when we believe a loss is probable and when the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Litigation proceedings are evaluated on a case-by-case basis considering the available information, including that received from internal and outside legal counsel, to assess potential outcomes. While it is typically very difficult to determine the timing and ultimate outcome of these actions, we use our best judgment to determine if it is probable that we will incur an expense related to the settlement or final adjudication of these matters and whether a reasonable estimation of the probable loss, if any, can be made. In assessing probable losses, we consider insurance recoveries, if any. We expense legal costs, including those legal costs expected to be incurred in connection with a loss contingency, as incurred. We have in the past adjusted existing accruals as proceedings have continued, been settled or otherwise provided further information on which we could review the likelihood of outflows of resources and their measurability, and we expect to do so in future periods. Due to the inherent uncertainties related to the eventual outcome of litigation and potential insurance recovery, it is possible that disputed matters may be resolved for amounts materially different from any provisions or disclosures that we have previously made.

Table of Contents

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

For finite-lived intangible assets, such as customer relationships, contracts and intellectual property, and for other long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment, whenever impairment indicators are present, we perform a review for impairment. We calculate the undiscounted value of the projected cash flows associated with the asset, or asset group, and compare this estimated amount to the carrying amount. If the carrying amount is found to be greater, we record an impairment loss for the excess of book value over the fair value. In addition, in all cases of an impairment review, we re-evaluate the remaining useful lives of the assets and modify them as appropriate.

For indefinite lived intangible assets, such as in-process research and development and trademarks and trade names, each year and whenever impairment indicators are present, we determine the fair value of the asset and record an impairment loss for the excess of book value over fair value, if any. In addition, in all cases of an impairment review other than for in-process research and development assets, we re-evaluate whether continuing to characterize the asset as indefinite lived is appropriate.

Goodwill

Goodwill is reviewed for possible impairment at least annually on a reporting unit level during the fourth quarter of each year. A review of goodwill may be initiated before or after conducting the annual analysis if events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of goodwill may no longer be recoverable.

A reporting unit is the operating segment unless, at businesses one level below that operating segment the component level discrete financial information is prepared and regularly reviewed by management, and the component has economic characteristics that are different from the economic characteristics of the other components of the operating segment, in which case the component is the reporting unit.

While we are permitted to conduct a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is necessary to perform a two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test, for our annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2013, we performed a quantitative test for all of our reporting units.

The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. In step one, we compare the fair value of each of our reporting units with goodwill to its carrying value, including the goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, there is no indication of impairment and no further testing is required. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value, we must perform step two of the impairment test to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. In step two, the reporting unit's fair value is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including any unrecognized intangible assets, in a hypothetical analysis that calculates the implied fair value of goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit were being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is less than the carrying value, the difference is recorded as an impairment loss.

We use a fair value approach to test goodwill for impairment. We must recognize a non-cash impairment charge for the amount, if any, by which the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. We derive an estimate of fair values for each of our reporting units using a combination of an income approach and appropriate market approaches, each based on an applicable weighting. We assess the applicable weighting based on such factors as current market conditions and the quality and reliability of the data. Absent an indication of fair value from a potential buyer or similar specific transactions, we believe that the use of these methods provides a reasonable estimate of a reporting unit's fair value.

Fair value computed by these methods is arrived at using a number of factors, including projected future operating results, anticipated future cash flows, effective income tax rates, comparable marketplace data within a consistent industry grouping, and the cost of capital. There are inherent uncertainties, however, related to these factors and to our judgment in applying them to this analysis. Nonetheless, we believe that the combination of these methods provides a reasonable approach to estimate the fair value of our reporting units. Assumptions for sales, net earnings and cash flows for each reporting unit were consistent among these methods.

Table of Contents*Income Approach Used to Determine Fair Values*

The income approach is based upon the present value of expected cash flows. Expected cash flows are converted to present value using factors that consider the timing and risk of the future cash flows. The estimate of cash flows used is prepared on an unleveraged debt-free basis. We use a discount rate that reflects a market-derived weighted average cost of capital. We believe that this approach is appropriate because it provides a fair value estimate based upon the reporting unit's expected long-term operating and cash flow performance. The projections are based upon our best estimates of projected economic and market conditions over the related period including growth rates, estimates of future expected changes in operating margins and cash expenditures. Other significant estimates and assumptions include terminal value long-term growth rates, provisions for income taxes, future capital expenditures and changes in future cashless, debt-free working capital.

2013 Annual Goodwill Impairment Test

Critical assumptions that the Company used in performing the income approach for its reporting units included the following:

Applying a compounded annual growth rate for forecasted sales in our projected cash flows through 2016.

| Reporting Unit | Compounded Annual Growth Rate |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Diversey Care | 3.5% |
| Food Care Hygiene Solutions | 5.7% |
| Food Care Packaging Solutions | 2.7% |
| Product Care | 3.2% |
| Medical Applications | 8.3% |

Applying a terminal value growth rate of 3% for all of our reporting units to reflect our estimate of stable and perpetual growth.

Determining an appropriate discount rate to apply to our projected cash flow results. This discount rate reflects, among other things, certain risks due to the uncertainties of achieving the cash flow results and the growth rates assigned. The discount rates applied were as follows:

| Reporting Unit | Discount Rate |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Diversey Care | 10.5% |
| Food Care Hygiene Solutions | 11.6% |
| Food Care Packaging Solutions | 9.6% |
| Product Care | 10.0% |
| Medical Applications | 13.7% |

A weighting of the results of the income approach of 80% of our overall fair value calculation for each reporting unit.

Changes in any of these assumptions could materially impact the estimated fair value of our reporting units. Our forecasts take into account the near and long-term expected business performance, considering the long-term market conditions and business trends within the reporting units. For example, our current Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions forecasts include an assumption of a modest economic recovery for Europe beyond 2014. Given the significant amount of revenue we generate from the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions businesses in Europe, the failure to realize or capitalize from this economic recovery may impact our ability to recover the allocated goodwill in the future. For further discussion of the factors that could result in a change in our assumptions, see **Risk Factors** in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our other filings with the SEC.

Table of Contents

2012 Fourth Quarter Interim Goodwill Impairment Test

As discussed in Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets, a goodwill impairment charge was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012 related to the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units. The critical assumptions that the Company used in performing the income approach for these reporting units for the 2012 fourth quarter interim goodwill impairment review included the following:

Applying a compounded annual growth rate of 2.3% for forecasted sales for the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units in our projected future cash flows through 2017.

Applying a terminal value growth rate beyond 2017 of 3% for the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units to reflect our estimate of stable and perpetual growth.

Determining an appropriate discount rate to apply to our projected cash flow results. This discount rate reflects, among other things, certain risks due to the uncertainties of achieving the cash flow results and the growth rates assigned. The discount rates applied were 11.3% for the Diversey Care reporting unit and 12.2% for the Hygiene Solutions reporting unit.

A weighting of the results of the income approach of 80% of our overall fair value calculation.

Market Approaches Used to Determine Fair Values

Each year we consider various relevant market approaches that could be used to determine fair value.

The first market approach estimates the fair value of the reporting unit by applying multiples of operating performance measures to the reporting unit's operating performance (the Public Company Method). These multiples are derived from comparable publicly-traded companies with similar investment characteristics to the reporting unit, and such comparables are reviewed and updated as needed annually. We believe that this approach is appropriate because it provides a fair value estimate using multiples from entities with operations and economic characteristics comparable to our reporting units and the Company. The second market approach is based on the publicly traded common stock of the Company, and the estimate of fair value of the reporting unit is based on the applicable multiples of the Company (the Quoted Price Method). The third market approach is based on recent mergers and acquisitions of comparable publicly-traded and privately-held companies in our industries (the Mergers and Acquisition Method).

The key estimates and assumptions that are used to determine fair value under these market approaches include trailing and future 12-month operating performance results and the selection of the relevant multiples to be applied. Under the first and second market approaches, a control premium, or an amount that a buyer is usually willing to pay over the current market price of a publicly traded company, is applied to the calculated equity values to adjust the public trading value upward for a 100% ownership interest, where applicable.

In order to assess the reasonableness of the calculated fair values of our reporting units, we also compare the sum of the reporting units' fair values to our market capitalization and calculate an implied control premium (the excess of the sum of the reporting units' fair values over the market capitalization). We evaluate the control premium by comparing it to control premiums of recent comparable market transactions. If the implied control premium is not reasonable in light of these recent transactions, we will reevaluate our fair value estimates of the reporting units by adjusting the discount rates and/or other assumptions.

For the fourth quarter 2012 interim goodwill impairment review and the 2013 annual goodwill impairment review of the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units, we evaluated each of the above market approaches and determined that the Public Company and Quoted Price Methods provided the most reliable measures of fair value because they were deemed to be a reliable proxy for the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units. We applied a combined weighting of 20% to the two market approaches when determining the fair value of each of the reporting units. For the 2013 annual goodwill impairment review of the

Table of Contents

Packaging Solutions, Product Care and Medical Applications reporting units, we also evaluated each of the above market approaches and determined that the Public Company, the Quoted Price and the Mergers and Acquisition Methods provided the most reliable measures of fair value because they were deemed to be a reliable proxy for these reporting units. We applied a combined weighting of 20% to the three market approaches when determining the fair value of these reporting units.

2013 Compared to Fourth Quarter 2012 Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions Reporting Units

For our 2013 annual goodwill impairment test, we determined that our Diversey Care reporting unit had an estimated fair value in excess of its respective carrying value of approximately 27% which represents an increase from the negative 12% previously calculated as of the fourth quarter of 2012. The Hygiene Solutions reporting unit had an estimated fair value in excess of its respective carrying value of approximately 91% which represents an increase from the 8% previously calculated as of the fourth quarter of 2012.

The increase in fair value of the Diversey Care reporting unit was due to improved forecasted cash flows which was driven by improved sales growth and reduced operating expenses. The forecasted long-term sales growth is due to a combination of expected price increases across many of the regions as well as volume growth. Volume growth is forecasted in the AMAT region and the North American region is expected to recover with low sales growth. In Europe the long-term forecasts reflect volume decreases in 2014 due to the continued economic weakness in that region but are expected to show improvement starting in 2015. Along with sales growth, various activities, including existing restructuring programs are expected to continue to result in reduced operating costs and contribute to a stronger cash flow.

The Company is forecasting improved cash flows for the Hygiene Solutions reporting unit due to improved sales growth primarily being driven from the emerging markets which includes the AMAT and Latin American regions due to a combination of volume growth and expected price increases; and to a lesser extent sales growth in North America. Sales growth is expected to be flat in Europe in 2014 but is expected to show improvement starting in 2015; however, profitability in Europe is expected to improve due to product rationalization and price/mix improvements. Hygiene Solutions is also expecting overall improved profitability for the business resulting from improvements in sales and marketing costs which are expected to contribute to reduced operating costs and overall stronger cash flows.

In addition, discount rates decreased in the 2013 annual goodwill review compared to the 2012 fourth quarter goodwill review which contributed to the increased fair value. The discount rate for any given impairment test is based on current market data as of the respective valuation date and adjusted upward to incorporate certain risks due to performance of the reporting units. The improved operating performance of the reporting units in 2013 and management's expectation regarding improved long-term growth rates and overall profitability, contributed to the reduced risk component of the discount rates used in the 2013 valuation.

If our assumptions and related estimates change in the future, or if we change our reporting unit structure or other events and circumstances change (such as a sustained decrease in the price of our common stock, a decline in current market multiples, a significant adverse change in legal factors or business climates, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, heightened competition, strategic decisions made in response to economic or competitive conditions or a more-likely-than-not expectation that a reporting unit or a significant portion of a reporting unit will be sold or disposed of), we may be required to record impairment charges in future periods. Any impairment charges that we may take in the future could be material to our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In order to evaluate the sensitivity of the estimated fair values of our reporting units in the goodwill impairment test, we applied a hypothetical 10% decrease to the fair values of each reporting unit. This hypothetical 10% decrease resulted in an excess of fair value over carrying amount ranging from approximately 14% to approximately 279% of the carrying amounts. This hypothetical 10% decrease resulted in the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting

Table of Contents

units having the excess of fair value over carrying amount of 14% and 72%, respectively. We will continue to monitor goodwill on an annual basis and whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as significant adverse changes in business climate or operating results, changes in management's business strategy or significant declines in our stock price, indicate that there may be potential indicator of impairment.

See Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets, for details of our goodwill balance and the goodwill review performed in 2013 and 2012 and other related information.

Pensions

For a number of our U.S. employees and our international employees, we maintain defined benefit pension plans. Under current accounting standards, we are required to make assumptions regarding the valuation of projected benefit obligations and the performance of plan assets for our defined benefit pension plans.

The projected benefit obligation and the net periodic benefit cost are based on third-party actuarial assumptions and estimates that are reviewed and approved by management on a plan-by-plan basis each fiscal year. The principal assumptions concern the discount rate used to measure the projected benefit obligation, the expected future rate of return on plan assets and the expected rate of future compensation increases. We revise these assumptions based on an annual evaluation of long-term trends and market conditions that may have an impact on the cost of providing retirement benefits.

In determining the discount rate, we utilize market conditions and other data sources management considers reasonable based upon the profile of the remaining service life of eligible employees. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is determined by taking into consideration the weighted-average expected return on our asset allocation, asset return data, historical return data, and the economic environment. We believe these considerations provide the basis for reasonable assumptions of the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The rate of compensation increase is based on our long-term plans for such increases. The measurement date used to determine the benefit obligation and plan assets is December 31.

At December 31, 2013, the total projected benefit obligation for our U.S. pension plans was \$192 million, and the total net periodic benefit cost for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$1 million. At December 31, 2013, the total projected benefit obligation for our international pension plans was \$1.1 billion, and the total net periodic benefit cost for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$16 million.

In general, material changes to the principal assumptions could have a material impact on the costs and liabilities recognized on our consolidated financial statements. A 25 basis point change in the assumed discount rate and a 100 basis point change in the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets would have resulted in the following increases (decreases) in the projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2013 and the expected net periodic benefit cost for the year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions).

| United States | 25 Basis Point Increase | 25 Basis Point Decrease |
|---|---|---|
| <i>Discount Rate</i> | | |
| Effect on 2013 projected benefit obligation | \$ (4.5) | \$ 4.6 |
| Effect on 2014 expected net periodic benefit cost | (0.1) | |
| | 100 Basis Point Increase | 100 Basis Point Decrease |
| <i>Return on Assets</i> | | |
| Effect on 2014 expected net periodic benefit cost | \$ (1.7) | \$ 1.7 |

Table of Contents

| International | 25 Basis Point Increase | 25 Basis Point Decrease |
|---|---|---|
| <i>Discount Rate</i> | | |
| Effect on 2013 projected benefit obligation | \$ (45.1) | \$ 47.7 |
| Effect on 2014 expected net periodic benefit cost | (2.7) | 2.8 |
| | 100 Basis Point Increase | 100 Basis Point Decrease |
| <i>Return on Assets</i> | | |
| Effect on 2014 expected net periodic benefit cost | \$ (8.4) | \$ 8.4 |

Income Taxes

Estimates and judgments are required in the calculation of tax liabilities and in the determination of the recoverability of our deferred tax assets. Our deferred tax assets arise from net deductible temporary differences, tax benefit carry forwards and foreign tax credits. We evaluate whether our taxable earnings during the periods when the temporary differences giving rise to deferred tax assets become deductible or when tax benefit carry forwards may be utilized should be sufficient to realize the related future income tax benefits. For those jurisdictions where the expiration dates of tax benefit carry forwards or the projected taxable earnings indicate that realization is not likely, we provide a valuation allowance.

In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we estimate future taxable earnings, with consideration for the feasibility of ongoing planning strategies and the realizability of tax benefit carry forwards and past operating results, to determine which deferred tax assets are more likely than not to be realized in the future. Changes to tax laws, statutory tax rates and future taxable earnings can have an impact on valuation allowances related to deferred tax assets. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates in future periods, we may need to adjust the valuation allowance, which could have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In calculating our worldwide provision for income taxes, we also evaluate our tax positions for years where the statutes of limitations have not expired. Based on this review, we may establish reserves for additional taxes and interest that could be assessed upon examination by relevant tax authorities. We adjust these reserves to take into account changing facts and circumstances, including the results of tax audits and changes in tax law. If the payment of additional taxes and interest ultimately proves unnecessary or less than the amount of the reserve, the reversal of the reserves would result in tax benefits being recognized in the period when we determine the reserves are no longer necessary. If an estimate of tax reserves proves to be less than the ultimate assessment, a further charge to income tax provision would result. These adjustments to reserves and related expenses could materially affect our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

We recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the consolidated financial statements from such positions are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with tax authorities. See Note 17, *Income Taxes*, for further discussion.

Table of Contents**Summarized Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited, in millions, except share data)⁽¹⁾**

| | 2013 | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | First Quarter Revised (1) | Second Quarter Revised (1) | Third Quarter Revised (1) | Fourth Quarter |
| Net sales | \$ 1,828.9 | \$ 1,937.4 | \$ 1,912.0 | \$ 2,012.5 |
| Gross profit | 612.2 | 659.1 | 649.9 | 666.3 |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | 0.7 | 54.3 | 35.1 | 3.6 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 24.0 |
| Net earnings available to common stockholders | 2.7 | 56.3 | 37.6 | 27.6 |
| Basic net earnings per common share | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.02 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.12 |
| Net earnings per common share basic | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.14 |
| Diluted net earnings per common share | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.02 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.11 |
| Net earnings per common share diluted | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.13 |
| | | | | |
| | 2012 | | | |
| | First Quarter Revised (1) | Second Quarter Revised (1) | Third Quarter Revised (1) | Fourth Quarter Revised (1) |
| Net sales | \$ 1,822.8 | \$ 1,901.9 | \$ 1,878.6 | \$ 1,955.9 |
| Gross profit | 615.3 | 622.7 | 638.3 | 646.0 |
| Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations | (10.1) | (22.6) | (1,240.0) | (345.2) |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations | 4.1 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 186.9 |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders | (6.0) | (13.6) | (1,232.4) | (158.3) |
| Basic net earnings (loss) per common share | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (6.42) | \$ (1.79) |
| Discontinued operations | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.97 |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share basic | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (6.38) | \$ (0.82) |
| Diluted net earnings (loss) per common share | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (6.42) | \$ (1.79) |
| Discontinued operations | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.97 |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share diluted | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (6.38) | \$ (0.82) |

⁽¹⁾ On December 6, 2013, we completed the sale of the rigid medical packaging business. On November 14, 2012, we completed the sale of Diversey Japan. Operating results for the rigid medical packaging business and Diversey Japan were reclassified to discontinued operations for the periods since the first quarter of 2012, and, accordingly, all prior period information has been revised. See Note 3, Divestitures, for further information about the sales.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk from changes in the conditions in the global financial markets, interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices and the creditworthiness of our customers and suppliers, which may adversely affect our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. We seek to minimize these risks through regular operating and financing activities and, when deemed appropriate, through the use of derivative financial instruments. We do not purchase, hold or sell derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Interest Rates

From time to time, we may use interest rate swaps, collars or options to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates.

At December 31, 2013, we had \$100 million notional amount of outstanding interest rate swaps and no outstanding interest rate collars or options.

The information set forth in Item 8 of Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Note 13, *Derivatives and Hedging Activities*, under the caption *Interest Rate Swaps*, is incorporated herein by reference.

See Note 14, *Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments*, for details of the methodology and inputs used to determine the fair value of our fixed rate debt. The fair value of our fixed rate debt varies with changes in interest rates. Generally, the fair value of fixed rate debt will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. A hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates would result in a decrease of \$108 million in the fair value of the total debt balance at December 31, 2013. These changes in the fair value of our fixed rate debt do not alter our obligations to repay the outstanding principal amount or any related interest of such debt.

Foreign Exchange Rates

Operations

As a large global organization, we face exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These exposures may change over time as business practices evolve and could materially impact our consolidated financial condition and results of operations in the future. See Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*, above for the impacts foreign currency translation had on our operations.

Venezuela

Economic events in Venezuela have exposed us to heightened levels of foreign currency exchange risk.

Effective January 1, 2010, Venezuela was designated a highly inflationary economy under U.S. GAAP, and the U.S. dollar replaced the bolivar fuerte as the functional currency for our subsidiaries in Venezuela. Accordingly, all bolivar-denominated monetary assets and liabilities were re-measured into U.S. dollars using the then current exchange rate available to us, and any changes in the exchange rate were reflected in foreign currency exchange gains and losses related to our Venezuelan subsidiaries on the consolidated statements of operations.

The potential future impact to our consolidated financial condition and results of operations for bolivar-denominated transactions will depend on our access to U.S. dollars and on the exchange rates in effect when we enter into, remeasure and settle transactions. Therefore, it is difficult to predict the future impact until each transaction settles at its applicable exchange rate or gets remeasured into U.S. dollars.

Table of Contents

On February 8, 2013, the Venezuelan government announced a devaluation of the bolivar exchange rate from 4.3 bolivars to the U.S. dollar to 6.3 bolivars to the U.S. dollar. We used this official exchange rate of 6.3 bolivars to the U.S. dollar to re-measure the assets and liabilities of our Venezuelan subsidiaries for U.S. GAAP financial statement presentation as of December 31, 2013. As a result of the changes in the exchange rates, we recognized \$13 million of net losses for the year ended December 31, 2013 due to the remeasurement of our Venezuelan subsidiaries financial statements and the impact due to the settlement of bolivar-denominated transactions. Net losses on remeasurement were not material in 2012 and 2011.

On March 18, 2013, the Venezuelan government announced the creation of an alternative foreign currency mechanism called the Supplementary Foreign Currency Administration System, known as the SICAD. During December 2013, the Venezuelan government issued a new rule allowing the Central Bank to publish the average SICAD rate (previously it was prohibited by law to publish any rate different from the official exchange rate) which was 11.3 bolivars per U.S. dollar. As stated above, at December 31, 2013 we re-measured our Venezuelan subsidiaries financial statements using the official exchange rate of 6.3 bolivars to the U.S. dollar. However, we will continue to evaluate each reporting period the appropriate exchange rate to re-measure our financial statements based on the facts and circumstances at that time.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, less than 1% of our consolidated net sales and less than 5% of consolidated operating profit were derived from our businesses in Venezuela. As of December 31, 2013, we had net assets of \$54 million in Venezuela, which primarily consisted of cash and cash equivalents of \$47 million. Also, as of December 31, 2013, our Venezuelan subsidiaries had a negative cumulative translation adjustment balance of \$46 million.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

We use foreign currency forward contracts to fix the amounts payable or receivable on some transactions denominated in foreign currencies. A hypothetical 10% adverse change in foreign exchange rates at December 31, 2013 would have caused us to pay approximately \$108 million to terminate these contracts. Based on our overall foreign exchange exposure, we estimate this change would not materially affect our financial position and liquidity. The effect on our results of operations would be substantially offset by the impact of the hedged items.

Our foreign currency forward contracts are described in Note 13, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, which is contained in Part II, Item 8, and in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Derivative Financial Instruments Foreign Currency Forward Contracts, contained in Part II, Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

We may use other derivative instruments from time to time, such as foreign exchange options to manage exposure to changes in foreign exchange rates and interest rate and currency swaps related to certain financing transactions. These instruments can potentially limit foreign exchange exposure and limit or adjust interest rate exposure by swapping borrowings denominated in one currency for borrowings denominated in another currency. At December 31, 2013, we had no foreign exchange options or interest rate and currency swap agreements outstanding.

Outstanding Debt

Our outstanding debt is generally denominated in the functional currency of the borrower. We believe that this enables us to better match operating cash flows with debt service requirements and to better match the currency of assets and liabilities. The amount of outstanding debt denominated in a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar was \$398 million at December 31, 2013 and \$429 million at December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Customer Credit

We are exposed to credit risk from our customers. In the normal course of business we extend credit to our customers if they satisfy pre-defined credit criteria. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the failure of our customers to make required payments. An additional allowance may be required if the financial condition of our customers deteriorates. The allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained at a level that management assesses to be appropriate to absorb estimated losses in the accounts receivable portfolio.

Our customers may default on their obligations to us due to bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, operational failure or other reasons. Our provision for bad debt expense was \$12 million in 2013, \$14 million in 2012 and \$9 million in 2011. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$31 million at December 31, 2013 and \$26 million at December 31, 2012.

Pensions

Recent market conditions have resulted in an unusually high degree of volatility and increased risks and short-term liquidity concerns associated with some of the plan assets held by our defined benefit pension plans, which have impacted the performance of some of the plan assets. Based upon the annual valuation of our defined benefit pension plans at December 31, 2013, we expect our net periodic benefit costs to be approximately \$15 million in 2014. See Note 15, Profit Sharing, Retirement Savings Plans and Defined Benefit Pension Plans, for further details on our defined benefit pension plans.

Commodities

We use various commodity raw materials such as plastic resins and other chemicals and energy products such as electric power and natural gas in conjunction with our manufacturing processes. Generally, we acquire these components at market prices in the region in which they will be used and do not use financial instruments to hedge commodity prices. Moreover, we seek to maintain appropriate levels of commodity raw material inventories thus minimizing the expense and risks of carrying excess inventories. We do not typically purchase substantial quantities in advance of production requirements. As a result, we are exposed to market risks related to changes in commodity prices of these components.

Table of Contents**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

The following consolidated financial statements and notes are filed as part of this report.

Sealed Air Corporation

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 74 |
| Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | 75 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013</u> | 76 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013</u> | 77 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013</u> | 78 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013</u> | 79 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 81 |
| <u>Note 1 Organization and Nature of Operations</u> | 81 |
| <u>Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Recently Issued Accounting Standards</u> | 81 |
| <u>Note 3 Divestitures</u> | 89 |
| <u>Note 4 Acquisition of Diversey Holdings, Inc.</u> | 91 |
| <u>Note 5 Segments</u> | 92 |
| <u>Note 6 Inventories</u> | 95 |
| <u>Note 7 Property and Equipment, net</u> | 96 |
| <u>Note 8 Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets</u> | 96 |
| <u>Note 9 Accounts Receivable Securitization Programs</u> | 101 |
| <u>Note 10 Restructuring Activities</u> | 102 |
| <u>Note 11 Other Liabilities</u> | 104 |
| <u>Note 12 Debt and Credit Facilities</u> | 105 |
| <u>Note 13 Derivatives and Hedging Activities</u> | 109 |
| <u>Note 14 Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments</u> | 112 |
| <u>Note 15 Profit Sharing, Retirement Savings Plans and Defined Benefit Pension Plans</u> | 114 |
| <u>Note 16 Other Post-Employment Benefits and Other Employee Benefit Plans</u> | 122 |
| <u>Note 17 Income Taxes</u> | 124 |
| <u>Note 18 Commitments and Contingencies</u> | 126 |
| <u>Note 19 Stockholders Equity</u> | 135 |
| <u>Note 20 Other Expense, net</u> | 145 |
| <u>Note 21 Net Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share</u> | 146 |
| <u>Note 22 Subsequent Events</u> | 147 |
| Financial Statement Schedule: | |
| <u>II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013</u> | 156 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sealed Air Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sealed Air Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, cash flows and comprehensive income (loss), for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the consolidated financial statement, Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves. We have also audited Sealed Air Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 1992. Sealed Air Corporation's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements and the consolidated financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and consolidated financial statement schedule, and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately, and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sealed Air Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also in our opinion, Sealed Air Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 1992.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Short Hills, New Jersey

February 28, 2014

Table of Contents**SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In millions, except share data)**

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 992.4 | \$ 679.6 |
| Trade receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$31.4 in 2013 and \$25.6 in 2012 | 1,126.4 | 1,213.0 |
| Other receivables | 147.9 | 100.9 |
| Inventories | 688.4 | 728.9 |
| Deferred taxes | 377.7 | 393.0 |
| Assets held for sale | | 87.3 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 84.9 | 86.6 |
| Total current assets | 3,417.7 | 3,289.3 |
| Property and equipment, net | 1,134.5 | 1,194.2 |
| Goodwill | 3,114.6 | 3,151.2 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,016.9 | 1,131.6 |
| Non-current deferred taxes | 63.1 | 150.3 |
| Other assets, net | 387.4 | 415.1 |
| Total assets | \$ 9,134.2 | \$ 9,331.7 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 81.6 | \$ 39.2 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 201.5 | 1.8 |
| Accounts payable | 524.5 | 480.2 |
| Deferred taxes | 8.1 | 10.3 |
| Settlement agreement and related accrued interest | 925.1 | 876.9 |
| Accrued restructuring costs | 69.6 | 72.4 |
| Liabilities held for sale | | 8.9 |
| Other current liabilities | 890.4 | 845.3 |
| Total current liabilities | 2,700.8 | 2,335.0 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 4,116.4 | 4,540.8 |
| Non-current deferred taxes | 278.6 | 366.7 |
| Other liabilities | 647.9 | 644.9 |
| Total liabilities | 7,743.7 | 7,887.4 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.10 par value per share, 50,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued in 2013 and 2012 | | |
| Common stock, \$0.10 par value per share, 400,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued: 205,707,580 in 2013 and 204,660,621 in 2012; shares outstanding; 196,198,672 in 2013 and 194,557,669 in 2012 | 20.6 | 20.5 |
| Common stock reserved for issuance related to Settlement agreement, \$0.10 par value per share, 18,000,000 shares in 2013 and 2012 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,695.3 | 1,685.0 |
| Retained earnings | 276.4 | 254.8 |
| Common stock in treasury, 9,508,908 shares in 2013 and 10,102,952 shares in 2012 | (327.6) | (353.4) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes: | | |
| Unrecognized pension items | (146.2) | (142.3) |
| Cumulative translation adjustment | (134.4) | (24.1) |
| Unrealized gain on derivative instruments | 3.2 | 1.5 |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes | (277.4) | (164.9) |
| Total parent company stockholders' equity | 1,389.1 | 1,443.8 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,390.5 | 1,444.3 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 9,134.2 | \$ 9,331.7 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(In millions, except per share amounts)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net sales | \$ 7,690.8 | \$ 7,559.2 | \$ 5,467.3 |
| Cost of sales | 5,103.3 | 5,036.9 | 3,886.7 |
| Gross profit | 2,587.5 | 2,522.3 | 1,580.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 1,749.2 | 1,756.7 | 1,004.4 |
| Amortization expense of intangible assets acquired | 123.2 | 132.7 | 38.4 |
| Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets | | 1,892.3 | |
| Stock appreciation rights expense | 38.1 | 18.4 | |
| Costs related to the acquisition and integration of Diversey | 1.1 | 7.4 | 64.8 |
| Restructuring and other charges | 73.8 | 142.5 | 52.2 |
| Operating profit (loss) | 602.1 | (1,427.7) | 420.8 |
| Interest expense | (361.0) | (384.7) | (216.6) |
| Loss on debt redemption | (36.3) | (36.9) | |
| Impairment of equity method investment | (2.1) | (23.5) | |
| Foreign currency exchange losses related to Venezuelan subsidiaries | (13.1) | (0.4) | (0.3) |
| Other expense, net | (11.9) | (9.4) | (14.5) |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income tax provision (benefit) | 177.7 | (1,882.6) | 189.4 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 84.0 | (264.7) | 56.7 |
| Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 93.7 | (1,617.9) | 132.7 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations | 7.6 | 28.7 | 16.4 |
| Net gain on sale of discontinued operations | 22.9 | 178.9 | |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 124.2 | \$ (1,410.3) | \$ 149.1 |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share: | | | |
| Basic: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.48 | \$ (8.39) | \$ 0.79 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.16 | 1.08 | 0.10 |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share basic | \$ 0.64 | \$ (7.31) | \$ 0.89 |
| Diluted: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.44 | \$ (8.39) | \$ 0.71 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.14 | 1.08 | 0.09 |
| Net earnings (loss) per common share diluted | \$ 0.58 | \$ (7.31) | \$ 0.80 |
| Dividends per common share | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.52 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 194.6 | 192.8 | 167.0 |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

Diluted

213.5

192.8

185.4

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)****(In millions)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 124.2 | \$ (1,410.3) | \$ 149.1 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes: | | | |
| Recognition of deferred pension items, net of taxes of \$5.1 in 2013, \$23.0 in 2012 and \$1.0 in 2011 | (3.9) | (99.1) | 4.7 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments, net of taxes of \$0.9 in 2013, \$0.7 in 2012 and \$0.7 in 2011 | 1.7 | (0.6) | (1.4) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (110.3) | 79.9 | (38.1) |
| Comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | \$ 11.7 | \$ (1,430.1) | \$ 114.3 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity**

(In millions)

| | Common Stock | Common Stock Reserved for Issuance Related to the Settlement Agreement | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Common Stock in Treasury | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Taxes | Total Parent Company Stockholders Equity | Non- Controlling Interests | Total Stockholders Equity |
|--|-----------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 17.0 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1,152.7 | \$ 1,706.1 | \$ (362.7) | \$ (110.3) | \$ 2,404.6 | \$ (3.0) | \$ 2,401.6 |
| Effect of contingent stock transactions, net of taxes | 0.1 | | 27.4 | | (12.9) | | 14.6 | | 14.6 |
| Stock issued for share-based incentive compensation | | | 0.7 | | | | 0.7 | | 0.7 |
| Shares issued in connection with Diversy acquisition | 3.2 | | 509.7 | | | | 512.9 | | 512.9 |
| Recognition of deferred pension items, net of taxes | | | | | | 4.7 | 4.7 | | 4.7 |
| Foreign currency translation, net of taxes | | | | | | (38.1) | (38.1) | | (38.1) |
| Unrealized loss on derivative instruments, net of taxes | | | | | | (1.4) | (1.4) | | (1.4) |
| Noncontrolling interests | | | (0.9) | | | | (0.9) | (2.1) | (3.0) |
| Net earnings | | | | 149.1 | | | 149.1 | | 149.1 |
| Dividends on common stock | | | | (88.7) | | | (88.7) | | (88.7) |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 20.3 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1,689.6 | \$ 1,766.5 | \$ (375.6) | \$ (145.1) | \$ 2,957.5 | \$ (5.1) | \$ 2,952.4 |
| Effect of contingent stock transactions, net of taxes | 0.1 | | 17.0 | | (10.6) | | 6.5 | | 6.5 |
| Stock issued for share-based incentive compensation | 0.1 | | (13.3) | | 32.8 | | 19.6 | | 19.6 |
| Recognition of deferred pension items, net of taxes | | | | | | (99.1) | (99.1) | | (99.1) |
| Foreign currency translation, net of taxes | | | | | | 79.9 | 79.9 | | 79.9 |
| Unrealized loss on derivative instruments, net of taxes | | | | | | (0.6) | (0.6) | | (0.6) |
| Noncontrolling interests | | | (8.3) | | | | (8.3) | 5.6 | (2.7) |
| Net loss | | | | (1,410.3) | | | (1,410.3) | | (1,410.3) |
| Dividends on common stock | | | | (101.4) | | | (101.4) | | (101.4) |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 20.5 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1,685.0 | \$ 254.8 | \$ (353.4) | \$ (164.9) | \$ 1,443.8 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 1,444.3 |
| Effect of contingent stock transactions, net of taxes | 0.1 | | 22.2 | | | | 22.3 | | 22.3 |
| Stock issued for share-based incentive compensation | | | (10.8) | | 25.8 | | 15.0 | | 15.0 |
| Recognition of deferred pension items, net of taxes | | | | | | (3.9) | (3.9) | | (3.9) |
| Foreign currency translation, net of taxes | | | | | | (110.3) | (110.3) | | (110.3) |
| Unrealized gain on derivative instruments, net of taxes | | | | | | 1.7 | 1.7 | | 1.7 |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|--------|------------|
| Noncontrolling interests | | | (1.1) | | | | (1.1) | 0.9 | (0.2) |
| Net earnings | | | 124.2 | | | | 124.2 | | 124.2 |
| Dividends on common stock | | | (102.6) | | | | (102.6) | | (102.6) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 20.6 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1,695.3 | \$ 276.4 | \$ (327.6) | \$ (277.4) | \$ 1,389.1 | \$ 1.4 | \$ 1,390.5 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In millions)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders from continuing operations | \$ 93.7 | \$ (1,617.9) | \$ 132.7 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 283.4 | 300.2 | 182.7 |
| Share-based incentive compensation | 24.1 | 16.9 | 25.0 |
| Profit sharing expense | 34.7 | 19.0 | 18.7 |
| Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets | | 1,892.3 | |
| Impairment of equity method investment | 2.1 | 23.5 | |
| Costs related to the acquisition and integration of Diversey | 1.1 | 7.4 | 64.8 |
| Amortization of senior debt related items and other | 19.1 | 15.0 | 4.9 |
| Loss on debt redemption | 36.3 | 36.9 | |
| Provisions for bad debt | 11.6 | 16.8 | 8.5 |
| Provisions for inventory obsolescence | (0.3) | 15.5 | 9.2 |
| Deferred taxes, net | 7.0 | (318.6) | (60.9) |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based incentive compensation | | (0.4) | (2.6) |
| Net (gain) loss on disposals of property and equipment and other | (1.4) | 0.3 | (6.3) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Trade receivables, net | 35.5 | (26.4) | (102.0) |
| Inventories | 24.5 | 33.4 | (11.4) |
| Other assets | (49.0) | 10.8 | 43.9 |
| Accounts payable | 36.3 | (84.3) | (28.1) |
| Other liabilities | 66.1 | 53.8 | 90.3 |
| Changes in restricted cash | | | (6.3) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations | 624.8 | 394.2 | 363.1 |
| Cash flows from investing activities from continuing operations: | | | |
| Capital expenditures for property and equipment | (116.0) | (122.8) | (121.7) |
| Acquisition of Diversey, net of cash and cash equivalents acquired | | | (1,983.7) |
| Investment in Diversey preferred stock | | | (262.9) |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 11.6 | 7.8 | 10.4 |
| Other investing activities | (1.1) | 0.1 | (7.8) |
| Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations | (105.5) | (114.9) | (2,365.7) |
| Cash flows from financing activities from continuing operations: | | | |
| Net proceeds from (payments of) short-term borrowings | 53.2 | 7.2 | (7.0) |
| Payments of long-term debt | (658.3) | (1,759.1) | (1,753.6) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 425.1 | 1,306.5 | 3,662.2 |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (102.0) | (100.9) | (87.4) |
| Acquisition of common stock for tax withholding obligations under our 2005 contingent stock plan | (3.9) | (9.6) | (12.2) |
| Payments of debt issuance costs | (7.7) | (7.3) | (51.1) |
| Payments for debt extinguishment costs | (26.2) | (22.3) | |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based incentive compensation | | 0.4 | 2.6 |
| Changes in restricted cash | | | 262.9 |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities from continuing operations | (319.8) | (585.1) | 2,016.4 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|

Table of Contents

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (13.7) | 11.1 | 2.0 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations | 185.8 | (294.7) | 15.8 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities from discontinued operations | 6.4 | 2.8 | 16.3 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities from discontinued operations | 120.6 | 312.1 | (11.4) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities from discontinued operations | | (44.2) | 7.3 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents from discontinued operations | 127.0 | 270.7 | 12.2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | 679.6 | 703.6 | 675.6 |
| Net change during the period | 312.8 | (24.0) | 28.0 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 992.4 | \$ 679.6 | \$ 703.6 |
| Supplemental Cash Flow Information: | | | |
| Interest payments, net of amounts capitalized | \$ 289.7 | \$ 323.0 | \$ 134.8 |
| Income tax payments | \$ 114.8 | \$ 108.6 | \$ 105.6 |
| Restructuring payments | \$ 107.0 | \$ 103.4 | \$ 28.6 |
| Stock appreciation rights payments (less amounts included in restructuring payments) | \$ 46.0 | \$ 24.0 | |
| Non-cash items: | | | |
| Transfers of shares of our common stock from treasury as part of our 2012 and 2011 profit-sharing plan contributions | \$ 18.7 | \$ 18.7 | \$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 Organization and Nature of Operations

We are a global leader in food safety and security, facility hygiene and product protection. We serve an array of end markets including food and beverage processing, food service, retail, healthcare and industrial, and commercial and consumer applications. Our focus is on achieving quality sales growth through leveraging our geographic footprint, technological know-how and leading market positions to bring measurable, sustainable value to our customers, employees and investors.

We conduct substantially all of our business through three wholly-owned subsidiaries, Cryovac, Inc., Sealed Air Corporation (US) and Diversey, Inc. Throughout this report, when we refer to Sealed Air, the Company, we, our, or us, we are referring to Sealed Air Corporation and all of its subsidiaries, except where the context indicates otherwise.

In 2013, we renamed our global business divisions under our segment reporting structure. There was no impact to the reportable segment results. The following are the changes to the names of our three global business divisions:

Food Care, which was previously named Food & Beverage;

Diversey Care, which was previously named Institutional & Laundry; and

Product Care, which was previously named Protective Packaging.

We also have an Other Category, which includes our medical applications and new ventures businesses. The changes to the segment names had no effect on our historical consolidated results of operations. See Note 5, Segments, for further details of our segment structure.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of the Company and our subsidiaries. We have eliminated all significant intercompany transactions and balances in consolidation. All amounts are in millions, except per share amounts, and approximate due to rounding. Some prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications, individually and in the aggregate, had no impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The consolidated financial statements and information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (Form 10-K) include the financial results of Diversey for the periods beginning October 3, 2011 (date of acquisition). All results prior to October 3, 2011 include historical Sealed Air results only. The financial results included in this Form 10-K related to the acquisition method accounting for the Diversey transaction have been finalized. See Note 4, Acquisition of Diversey Holdings, Inc., for further information about the acquisition and related transactions and the acquisition accounting.

On December 6, 2013, we completed the sale of our rigid medical packaging business. The operating results for the rigid medical packaging business were reclassified to discontinued operations, net of tax, on the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and the assets and liabilities of the rigid medical packaging business were reclassified to assets and liabilities held for sale as of December 31, 2012. On November 14, 2012, we completed the sale of Diversey G.K. (Diversey Japan) (an indirect subsidiary of Diversey, Inc.). The operating results for Diversey Japan were reclassified to discontinued operations, net of tax, on the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Prior year disclosures in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements have been revised accordingly. See Note 3, Divestitures, for further information.

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2013, we identified a misclassification in our December 31, 2012 consolidated balance sheet included in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. This misclassification, which was corrected on our December 31, 2012 condensed consolidated balance sheet included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013, decreased our non-current deferred tax assets and non-current deferred tax liabilities by \$105.5 million, decreasing our non-current deferred tax assets from \$255.8 million to \$150.3 million and decreasing our non-current deferred tax liabilities from \$472.5 million to \$367.0 million. This misclassification had no impact on our net deferred tax asset balance as of December 31, 2012 and it did not impact our consolidated statements of operations or cash flows. Accordingly, we do not consider this correction to be material to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, including amounts recorded in connection with the acquisition of Diversey, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenue and expenses during the period reported. These estimates include, among other items, assessing the collectability of receivables, the use and recoverability of inventory, the estimation of fair value of financial instruments, assumptions used in the calculation of income taxes, useful lives and recoverability of tangible and goodwill and other intangible assets, assumptions used in our defined benefit pension plans, estimates related to self-insurance such as the aggregate liability for uninsured claims using historical experience, insurance and actuarial estimates and estimated trends in claim values, costs for incentive compensation and accruals for commitments and contingencies. We review these estimates and assumptions periodically using historical experience and other factors and reflect the effects of any revisions in the consolidated financial statements in the period we determine any revisions to be necessary. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Financial Instruments

We may use financial instruments, such as cross currency swaps, interest rate swaps, caps and collars, U.S. Treasury lock agreements and foreign currency exchange forward contracts and options relating to our borrowing and trade activities. We may use these financial instruments from time to time to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. We do not purchase, hold or sell derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We face credit risk if the counterparties to these transactions are unable to perform their obligations. Our policy is to have counterparties to these contracts that are rated at least BBB- by Standard & Poor's and Baa3 by Moody's.

We report derivative instruments at fair value and establish criteria for designation and effectiveness of transactions entered into for hedging purposes. Before entering into any derivative transaction, we identify our specific financial risk, the appropriate hedging instrument to use to reduce this risk, and the correlation between the financial risk and the hedging instrument. We use purchase orders and historical data as the basis for determining the anticipated values of the transactions to be hedged. We do not enter into derivative transactions that do not have a high correlation with the underlying financial risk we are trying to reduce. We regularly review our hedge positions and the correlation between the transaction risks and the hedging instruments.

We account for derivative instruments as hedges of the related underlying risks if we designate these derivative instruments as hedges and the derivative instruments are effective as hedges of recognized assets or liabilities, forecasted transactions, unrecognized firm commitments or forecasted intercompany transactions.

We record gains and losses on derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges in other comprehensive income, to the extent that hedges are effective and until the underlying transactions are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations, at which time we recognize the gains and losses in the consolidated statements of operations. We recognize gains and losses on qualifying fair value hedges and the related loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk in the consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

Generally, our practice is to terminate derivative transactions if the underlying asset or liability matures or is sold or terminated, or if we determine the underlying forecasted transaction is no longer probable of occurring. Any deferred gains or losses associated with derivative instruments are recognized on the consolidated statements of operations over the period in which the income or expense on the underlying hedged transaction is recognized.

See Note 13, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, for further details.

Fair Value Measurements of Financial Instruments

In determining fair value of financial instruments, we utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible and consider counterparty credit risk in our assessment of fair value. We determine fair value of our financial instruments based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability in the principal or most advantageous market. When considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, the following fair value hierarchy distinguishes between observable and unobservable inputs, which are categorized in one of the following levels:

Level 1 Inputs: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities accessible to the reporting entity at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs: Other than quoted prices included in Level 1 inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs: Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at measurement date. Our fair value measurements for our financial instruments are subjective and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect our estimates. See Note 14, Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments, for further details on our fair value measurements.

Foreign Currency Translation

In non-U.S. locations that are not considered highly inflationary, we translate the balance sheets at the end of period exchange rates with translation adjustments accumulated in stockholders' equity on our consolidated balance sheets. We translate the statements of operations at the average exchange rates during the applicable period.

We translate assets and liabilities of our operations in countries with highly inflationary economies at the end of period exchange rates, except that nonmonetary asset and liability amounts are translated at historical exchange rates. In countries with highly inflationary economies, we translate items reflected in the statements of operations at average rates of exchange prevailing during the period, except that nonmonetary amounts are translated at historical exchange rates.

Commitments and Contingencies – Litigation

On an ongoing basis, we assess the potential liabilities related to any lawsuits or claims brought against us. While it is typically very difficult to determine the timing and ultimate outcome of these actions, we use our best judgment to determine if it is probable that we will incur an expense related to the settlement or final adjudication of these matters and whether a reasonable estimation of the probable loss, if any, can be made. In assessing probable losses, we make estimates of the amount of insurance recoveries, if any. We accrue a liability when we believe a loss is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Due to the inherent uncertainties related to the eventual outcome of litigation and potential insurance recovery, it is possible that disputed matters may be resolved for amounts materially different from any provisions or disclosures that we have previously made. We expense legal costs, including those legal costs expected to be incurred in connection with a loss contingency, as incurred.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Our revenue earning activities primarily involve manufacturing and selling products, and we consider revenues to be earned when we have completed the process by which we are entitled to receive consideration. The following criteria are used for revenue recognition: persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, shipment has occurred, selling price is fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured.

Sales taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities are accounted for on a net basis and therefore are excluded from net sales on the consolidated statements of operations.

Charges for rebates and other allowances are recognized as a deduction from revenue on an accrual basis in the period in which the associated revenue is recorded. When we estimate our rebate accruals, we consider customer-specific contractual commitments including stated rebate rates and history of actual rebates paid. Our rebate accruals are reviewed at each reporting period and adjusted to reflect data available at that time. We adjust the accruals to reflect any differences between estimated and actual amounts. These adjustments impact the amount of net sales recognized by us in the period of adjustment. Charges for rebates and other allowances were approximately 9% of gross sales in 2013 and 2012 and 6% of gross sales in 2011. Charges for rebates and other allowances as a percentage of gross sales increased in 2012 as compared with 2011, primarily due to the incremental impact of rebates and other allowances associated with the acquired Diversey business in the fourth quarter of 2011. We expect 2014 rebates and other allowances to be approximately the same percentage of gross sales as in 2013.

Research and Development

We expense research and development costs as incurred. Research and development costs were \$133 million in 2013, \$135 million in 2012 and \$105 million in 2011.

Share-Based Incentive Compensation

Our primary share-based employee incentive compensation program is the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan. See Note 19, *Stockholders' Equity*, for further information on this plan.

We record share-based compensation awards exchanged for employee services at fair value on the date of grant and record the expense for these awards in selling, general and administrative expense on our consolidated statements of operations over the requisite employee service period. Share-based incentive compensation expense includes an estimate for forfeitures and anticipated achievement levels and is generally recognized over the expected term of the award on a straight-line basis.

Environmental Expenditures

We expense or capitalize environmental expenditures that relate to ongoing business activities, as appropriate. We expense costs that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations and which do not contribute to current or future net sales. We record liabilities when we determine that environmental assessments or remediation expenditures are probable and that we can reasonably estimate the associated cost or a range of costs.

Income Taxes

We file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. Our non-U.S. subsidiaries file income tax returns in their respective local jurisdictions. We provide for U.S. income taxes on those portions of our foreign subsidiaries' accumulated earnings that we believe are not reinvested indefinitely in our businesses. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of tax that might be payable on the portion of those accumulated earnings that we believe are reinvested indefinitely.

Table of Contents

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method to provide for income taxes on all transactions recorded in the consolidated financial statements. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and tax benefit carry forwards. We determine deferred tax assets and liabilities at the end of each period using enacted tax rates.

We recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such positions are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with tax authorities. We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense on our consolidated statements of operations.

See Note 17, *Income Taxes*, for further discussion.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Our policy is to invest cash in excess of short-term operating and debt service requirements in cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair value because of the short term maturity of the instruments. Our policy is to transact with counterparties that are rated at least A- by Standard & Poor's and A3 by Moody's. Some of our operations are located in countries that are rated below A- or A3. In this case, we try to minimize our risk by holding cash and cash equivalents at financial institutions with which we have existing global relationships whenever possible, diversifying counterparty exposures and minimizing the amount held by each counterparty and within the country in total.

Accounts Receivable Securitization Programs

We and a group of our U.S. operating subsidiaries maintain an accounts receivable securitization program under which they sell eligible U.S. accounts receivable to an indirectly wholly-owned subsidiary that was formed for the sole purpose of entering into this program. The wholly-owned subsidiary in turn may sell an undivided fractional ownership interest in these receivables with two banks and an issuer of commercial paper administered by these banks. The wholly-owned subsidiary retains the receivables it purchases from the operating subsidiaries.

In February 2013, we entered into a European accounts receivable securitization and purchase program with a special purpose vehicle, or SPV, two banks and a group of our European subsidiaries.

Our programs qualify as a secured borrowing. Any transfers of ownership interests of receivables under our receivables securitization programs to the issuer of commercial paper or to the participating banks are considered secured borrowings and will be recorded as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet.

See Note 9, *Accounts Receivable Securitization Programs* for further details.

Trade Receivables, Net

In the normal course of business, we extend credit to customers that satisfy pre-defined credit criteria. Trade receivables, which are included on the consolidated balance sheets are net of allowances for doubtful accounts. We maintain trade receivable allowances for estimated losses resulting from the failure of our customers to make required payments. An additional allowance may be required if the financial condition of our customers deteriorates.

Table of Contents

Inventories

We determine the cost of our legacy Sealed Air U.S. inventories on a last-in, first-out or LIFO cost flow basis. The cost of our U.S. equipment inventories and the balance of our U.S. inventories and most non-U.S. inventories is determined on a first-in, first-out or FIFO cost flow basis. We state inventories at the lower of cost or market.

Property and Equipment, Net

We state property and equipment at cost, except for the fair value of acquired property and equipment and property and equipment that have been impaired, for which we reduce the carrying amount to the estimated fair value at the impairment date. We capitalize significant improvements and charge repairs and maintenance costs that do not extend the lives of the assets to expense as incurred. We remove the cost and accumulated depreciation of assets sold or otherwise disposed of from the accounts and recognize any resulting gain or loss upon the disposition of the assets.

We depreciate the cost of property and equipment over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis as follows: buildings 20 to 40 years; machinery and equipment 5 to 10 years; and other property and equipment 2 to 10 years.

Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the aggregate of the following (1) consideration transferred, (2) the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and, (3) if the business combination is achieved in stages, the acquisition-date fair value of our previously held equity interest in the acquiree over the net of the acquisition-date amounts of the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed.

Identifiable intangible assets consist primarily of patents, licenses, trademarks, trade names, customer lists and relationships, non-compete agreements and technology based intangibles and other contractual agreements. We amortize finite lived identifiable intangible assets over the shorter of their stated or statutory duration or their estimated useful lives, generally ranging from 3 to 15 years, on a straight-line basis to their estimated residual values and periodically review them for impairment. Total identifiable intangible assets comprise 11% in 2013 and 12% in 2012 of our consolidated total assets.

We use the acquisition method of accounting for all business combinations and do not amortize goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite useful lives. Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are tested for possible impairment annually during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired.

Long-Lived Assets

Impairment and Disposal of Long-Lived Assets

For definite lived intangible assets, such as customer relationships, contracts, intellectual property, and for other long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment, whenever impairment indicators are present, we perform a review for impairment. We calculate the undiscounted value of the projected cash flows associated with the asset, or asset group, and compare this estimated amount to the carrying amount. If the carrying amount is found to be greater, we record an impairment loss for the excess of book value over the fair value. In addition, in all cases of an impairment review, we re-evaluate the remaining useful lives of the assets and modify them, as appropriate.

For indefinite lived intangible assets, such as in-process research and development and trademarks and trade names, each year and whenever impairment indicators are present, we determine the fair value of the asset and record an impairment loss for the excess of book value over the fair value, if any. In addition, in all cases of an

Table of Contents

impairment review other than for in-process research and development assets, we re-evaluate whether continuing to characterize the asset as indefinite lived is appropriate. See Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets.

Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations

We recognize a liability for a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred if the liability can be reasonably estimated. A conditional asset retirement obligation is a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within our control. In addition, we would record a corresponding amount by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset, which is depreciated over the useful life of such long-lived asset.

Self-Insurance

We retain the obligation for specified claims and losses related to property, casualty, workers compensation and employee benefit claims. We accrue for outstanding reported claims and claims that have been incurred but not reported based upon management's estimates of the aggregate liability for retained losses using historical experience, insurance company estimates and the estimated trends in claim values. Our estimates include management's and independent insurance companies' assumptions regarding economic conditions, the frequency and severity of claims and claim development patterns and settlement practices. These estimates and assumptions are monitored and evaluated on a periodic basis by management and are adjusted when warranted by changing circumstances. Although management believes it has the ability to adequately project and record estimated claim payments, actual results could differ significantly from the recorded liabilities.

Pensions

For a number of our U.S. employees and our international employees, we maintain defined benefit pension plans. We are required to make assumptions regarding the valuation of projected benefit obligations and the performance of plan assets for our defined benefit pension plans.

We review and approve the assumptions made by our third-party actuaries regarding the valuation of benefit obligations and performance of plan assets. The principal assumptions concern the discount rate used to measure future obligations, the expected future rate of return on plan assets, the expected rate of future compensation increases and various other actuarial assumptions. The measurement date used to determine benefit obligations and plan assets is December 31. In general, significant changes to these assumptions could have a material impact on the costs and liabilities recorded in our consolidated financial statements.

See Note 15, Profit Sharing, Retirement Savings Plans and Defined Benefit Pension Plans, for information about the combined company's benefit plans.

Net Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Non-vested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends are treated as participating securities and therefore included in computing earnings per common share using the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that calculates basic and diluted net earnings per common share for each class of common stock separately based on dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. The non-vested restricted stock issued under our 2005 Contingent Stock Plan are considered participating securities since these securities have non-forfeitable rights to dividends when we declare a dividend during the contractual vesting period of the share-based payment award and therefore included in our earnings allocation formula using the two-class method.

Table of Contents

When calculating diluted net earnings per common share, the more dilutive effect of applying either of the following is presented: (a) the two-class method (described above) assuming that the participating security is not exercised or converted, or, (b) the treasury stock method for the participating security. Our diluted net earnings per common share for all periods presented were calculated using the two-class method since such method was more dilutive.

See Note 21, Net (Loss) Earnings Per Common Share, for further discussion.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards***Adopted in 2013 and 2014***

In October 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2012-04, Technical Corrections and Improvement, which makes certain technical corrections (i.e., relatively minor corrections and clarifications) and conforming fair value amendments . The amendments affect various codification topics and apply to all reporting entities within the scope of those topics. This standard becomes effective for us upon issuance, except for amendments that are subject to transition guidance, which was effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this standard did not have any material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02, Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. This standard update, which amends the guidance on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, for impairment, provides companies with the option to first perform a qualitative assessment before performing the two-step quantitative impairment test. If the company determines, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is more likely than not to exceed its carrying amount, then the company would not need to perform the two-step quantitative impairment test. This standard does not revise the requirement to test indefinite-lived intangible assets annually for impairment. This standard was effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption allowed. The adoption of this standard did not have any material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities, which creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity's rights of offset and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The disclosure requirements are effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods therein, with retrospective application required. The new disclosures are designed to make financial statements that are prepared under U.S. GAAP more comparable to those prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards. The adoption of this standard did not have any material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations as they are disclosure requirements only.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, which requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, a company is required to present either on the statement of operations or in the notes significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income. The disclosure requirements are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012, prospectively. The adoption of this standard did not have any material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations as they are disclosure requirements only.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-10, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes. This standard update permits companies to use the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate as a U.S.

Table of Contents

benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes, in addition to the U.S. government (UST) and London Interbank Offered Rate. This amendment also removes the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. This amendment was effective on a prospective basis for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. There was no impact on our consolidated financial statements upon adoption of this standard update.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04, Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date. This standard update requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date, as the sum of the following: (a) the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and (b) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. This standard becomes effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2013. This standard update is required to be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented for those obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements that existed at the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact to our consolidated financial position or results of operations. Any future impact will depend on future activity that may qualify under this standard.

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity. This standard update requires an entity to release any cumulative translation adjustment into net income only if the sale or transfer results in the complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity in which the subsidiary or group of assets had resided. This standard update becomes effective prospectively for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2013. The impact of the adoption of this standard update on our consolidated financial statements will be based on any future activity that qualifies within this guidance.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This standard update provides explicit guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. This standard update becomes effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2013. The amendment should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Early adoptions and retrospective application are permitted. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact to our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Note 3 Divestitures*Sale of Rigid Medical Packaging Business*

On December 6, 2013, we completed the sale of the rigid medical packaging business to a private equity firm, Mason Wells Buyout Fund III, L.P. for gross proceeds of \$125 million, including certain purchase price adjustments. Net proceeds were \$122 million. We recorded a pre-tax gain on the sale of \$40 million (\$23 million net of tax) which is included in net earnings in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The rigid medical packaging business was included in our Other Category and was comprised of: Nelipak Holdings, located in the Netherlands and Ireland, Alga Plastics, located in the U.S. and ATE located in Costa Rica.

The results of the rigid medical packaging business are presented as discontinued operations, net of tax, in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and cash flows

Table of Contents

and related disclosures and, as such, have been excluded from both continuing operations and segment results for all years presented. Assets and liabilities of the rigid medical packaging business have been segregated as assets and liabilities held for sale in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012. The operating results of the retained portion of the previously reported Medical Applications business continues to be part of our Other Category.

Following is selected financial information included in net earnings from discontinued operations:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net sales | \$ 89.6 | \$ 88.9 | \$ 83.6 |
| Operating profit | \$ 11.4 | \$ 10.6 | \$ 8.6 |
| Earnings before income tax provision | \$ 11.1 | \$ 10.6 | \$ 8.6 |
| Income tax provision | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations | \$ 7.6 | \$ 7.8 | \$ 5.8 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations before income tax provision | \$ 40.2 | \$ | \$ |
| Income tax provision on sale | 17.3 | | |
| Net gain on sale of discontinued operations | \$ 22.9 | \$ | \$ |

The carrying value of the major classes of assets and liabilities for these discontinued operations were as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets: | |
| Trade receivables, net | \$ 11.7 |
| Other receivables | 0.4 |
| Inventories | 7.5 |
| Other current assets | 0.8 |
| Property and equipment, net | 18.6 |
| Goodwill | 40.2 |
| Intangible assets, net | 8.1 |
| Assets held for sale | \$ 87.3 |
| Liabilities: | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 3.6 |
| Other current liabilities | 3.9 |
| Other liabilities | 1.4 |
| Liabilities held for sale | \$ 8.9 |

There is no continuing involvement in the operations of the entities that make up the discontinued operations.

Sale of Diversey Japan

On November 14, 2012, we completed the sale of Diversey G.K. (Diversey Japan) (an indirect subsidiary of Diversey, Inc.) to an investment vehicle of The Carlyle Group (Carlyle) for gross proceeds of \$323 million, including certain purchase price adjustments. After transaction costs

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

of \$10 million, we used substantially of all the net proceeds of \$313 million to prepay a portion of our term loans outstanding under our senior secured credit facilities (see Note 12, Debt and Credit Facilities). We recorded a pre-tax gain on the sale of \$211 million (\$179 million net of tax) which is included in net earnings in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Diversey Japan was acquired as part of the acquisition of Diversey on October 3, 2011. See Note 4, Acquisition of Diversey Holdings, Inc. The Diversey Japan business was part of the Company's Diversey Care reportable segment. The results of the Diversey Japan business are presented as discontinued operations, net of tax, in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and Cash Flows and related disclosures and, as such, have been excluded from both continuing operations and segment results for all years presented.

Following is selected financial information included in net earnings from discontinued operations:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$ 273.5 | \$ 90.0 |
| Operating profit | \$ 34.1 | \$ 17.9 |
| Earnings before income tax provision | \$ 33.0 | \$ 18.1 |
| Income tax provision | 12.1 | 7.5 |
| Net earnings from discontinued operations | \$ 20.9 | \$ 10.6 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations before income tax provision | \$ 210.8 | \$ |
| Income tax provision on sale | 31.9 | |
| Net gain on sale of discontinued operations | \$ 178.9 | \$ |

In connection with the sale, we entered into several agreements to provide certain supply and transitional services to Diversey Japan after closing of the sale. While those agreements have generated revenues and cash flows for the Company, the amounts and the Company's continuing involvement in Diversey operations in Japan are not significant to the Company as a whole.

Note 4 Acquisition of Diversey Holdings, Inc.**Description of Transaction**

On October 3, 2011, we completed the acquisition of 100% of the outstanding stock of Diversey Holdings, Inc. Under the terms of the acquisition agreement, we paid in aggregate \$2.1 billion in cash consideration and an aggregate of approximately 31.7 million shares of Sealed Air common stock to the shareholders of Diversey. We financed the payment of the cash consideration and related fees and expenses through (a) borrowings under our credit facility, (b) proceeds from our issuance of senior notes and (c) cash on hand. In connection with the acquisition, we also used these borrowings and cash on hand to retire \$1.6 billion of existing indebtedness of Diversey. The new credit facility and notes are described in Note 12, Debt and Credit Facilities.

We acquired Diversey to position us to capture growth opportunities by developing end-to-end service-based solutions for the food processing and food service industries, to leverage combined research and development investments to develop broader growth initiatives in the food processing and food service industries and to improve access to under-developed markets and increase access to developing regions.

Table of Contents**Summary Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information**

The following table presents unaudited supplemental pro forma financial information as if the acquisition of Diversey had occurred on January 1, 2011 for the period presented below. The pro forma results provided below have been revised to reflect the discontinued operations of the rigid medical packaging business and the Diversey Japan business as if those had occurred on January 1, 2011 for the period presented below. The impact of this revision was not material to the results included below.

| | 2011 |
|---|-------------|
| Net sales | \$ 7,701.4 |
| Operating profit | \$ 578.4 |
| Net earnings from continuing operations | \$ 100.3 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | |
| Basic | 190.8 |
| Diluted | 209.2 |
| Net earnings per common share: | |
| Basic | \$ 0.53 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.48 |

For the year ended December 31, 2011, material non-recurring pro forma adjustments include the removal of costs related to the acquisition of Diversey of \$70 million, including \$6 million of acquisition costs included in legacy Diversey's consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and the removal of the step-up in inventories, net, of \$12 million.

Note 5 Segments

Our segment reporting corresponds with management's current approach of allocating resources and assessing the performance of our segments. Our segments are aligned with groups of similar products.

In 2013, we renamed our global business divisions under our segment reporting structure, which did not have any impact to the reportable segment results. The following are the changes to the names of our three global business divisions:

Food Care, which was previously named Food & Beverage;

Diversey Care, which was previously named Institutional & Laundry; and

Product Care, which was previously named Protective Packaging.

Table of Contents

The following tables show net sales, depreciation and amortization and operating profit (loss) by our segment reporting structure:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|
| Net sales | | | |
| Food Care | \$ 3,811.1 | \$ 3,739.6 | \$ 3,240.6 |
| Diversey Care | 2,160.4 | 2,131.5 | 534.0 |
| Product Care | 1,608.0 | 1,578.4 | 1,594.4 |
| Other Category | 111.3 | 109.7 | 98.3 |
| Total | \$ 7,690.8 | \$ 7,559.2 | \$ 5,467.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| Food Care | \$ 127.2 | \$ 142.2 | \$ 122.2 |
| Diversey Care | 129.8 | 127.3 | 35.1 |
| Product Care | 39.1 | 38.3 | 44.1 |
| Other Category | 11.4 | 9.3 | 6.9 |
| Total | \$ 307.5 | \$ 317.1 | \$ 208.3 |
| Operating profit (loss) | | | |
| Food Care | \$ 431.4 | \$ (170.9) | \$ 371.2 |
| Diversey Care | 57.9 | (1,278.4) | (14.8) |
| Product Care | 200.4 | 207.5 | 201.7 |
| Other Category | (12.7) | (36.0) | (20.3) |
| Total segments and other | 677.0 | (1,277.8) | 537.8 |
| Costs related to the acquisition and integration of Diversey | 1.1 | 7.4 | 64.8 |
| Restructuring and other charges ⁽²⁾ | 73.8 | 142.5 | 52.2 |
| Total | \$ 602.1 | \$ (1,427.7) | \$ 420.8 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes depreciation and amortization of \$283.4 million, \$300.2 million and \$182.7 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and amortization of share-based incentive compensation expense of \$24.1 million, \$16.9 million and \$25.0 million in years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

⁽²⁾ Restructuring and other charges by our segment reporting structure were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Food Care | \$ 25.1 | \$ 72.0 | \$ 13.1 |
| Diversey Care | 32.2 | 53.1 | 39.5 |
| Product Care | 16.4 | 16.7 | (0.4) |
| Other Category | 0.1 | 0.7 | |
| Total | \$ 73.8 | \$ 142.5 | \$ 52.2 |

The restructuring and other charges in 2013 primarily relate to our previously announced Earnings Quality Improvement Program (EQIP). The restructuring and other charges in 2011 and 2012 primarily relate to the Integration and Optimization Program. See Note 10, Restructuring Activities, for further discussion.

Table of Contents***Assets by Reportable Segments***

The following table shows assets allocated by our segment reporting structure. Only assets which are identifiable by segment and reviewed by our chief operating decision maker by segment are allocated to the reportable segment assets, which are trade receivables, net, and finished goods inventories, net. All other assets are included in Assets not allocated.

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Trade receivables, net, and finished goods inventories, net | | |
| Food Care | \$ 768.5 | \$ 811.9 |
| Diversey Care | 543.0 | 587.8 |
| Product Care | 310.1 | 331.3 |
| Other Category | 19.3 | 22.0 |
| Total segments and other | 1,640.9 | 1,753.0 |
| Assets not allocated | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 992.4 | 679.6 |
| Property and equipment, net | 1,134.5 | 1,194.2 |
| Goodwill | 3,114.6 | 3,151.2 |
| Intangibles, net | 1,016.9 | 1,131.6 |
| Assets held for sale | | 87.3 |
| Other | 1,234.9 | 1,334.8 |
| Total | \$ 9,134.2 | \$ 9,331.7 |

Allocation of Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets to Reportable Segments

Our management views goodwill and identifiable intangible assets as corporate assets, so we do not allocate their balances to the reportable segments. However, we are required to allocate their balances to each reporting unit to perform our annual impairment review, which we do during the fourth quarter of the year. See Note 8, Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets, for the allocation of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets and the changes in their balances in the year ended December 31, 2013 by our segment reporting structure, and the details of our impairment review.

Table of Contents**Geographic Information**

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Net sales ⁽¹⁾ : | | | |
| United States | 2,729.7 | 2,684.7 | 2,279.6 |
| Canada | 277.2 | 267.7 | 172.6 |
| Europe | 2,447.8 | 2,416.5 | 1,581.9 |
| Latin America | 824.3 | 799.7 | 545.7 |
| AMAT | 846.8 | 794.4 | 346.0 |
| JANZ | 565.0 | 596.2 | 541.5 |
| Total | 7,690.8 | 7,559.2 | 5,467.3 |
| Total long-lived assets ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ : | | | |
| United States | 2,918.0 | 2,985.0 | 4,536.3 |
| Canada | 93.0 | 105.8 | 48.0 |
| Europe | 1,591.5 | 1,643.2 | 1,945.3 |
| Latin America | 233.6 | 259.8 | 385.4 |
| AMAT | 650.0 | 699.8 | 815.8 |
| JANZ | 167.3 | 198.5 | 169.9 |
| Total | 5,653.4 | 5,892.1 | 7,900.7 |

(1) Net sales attributed to the geographic areas represent net sales to external customers. No non-U.S. country had net sales in excess of 10% of consolidated net sales or long-lived assets in excess of 10% of consolidated long-lived assets at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(2) Total long-lived assets are total assets excluding total current assets and deferred tax assets.

Note 6 Inventories

The following table details our inventories and the reduction of certain inventories to a LIFO basis:

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Inventories (at FIFO, which approximates replacement value): | | |
| Raw materials | \$ 116.6 | \$ 124.2 |
| Work in process | 110.9 | 117.0 |
| Finished goods | 514.5 | 539.1 |
| Subtotal (at FIFO) | 742.0 | 780.3 |
| Reduction of certain inventories to LIFO basis | (53.6) | (51.4) |
| Total | \$ 688.4 | \$ 728.9 |

We determine the value of our legacy Sealed Air non-equipment U.S. inventories by the last-in, first-out or LIFO inventory method. U.S. inventories determined by the LIFO method were \$101 million at December 31, 2013 and \$104 million at December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**Note 7 Property and Equipment, net**

The following table details our property and equipment.

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Land and improvements | \$ 135.8 | \$ 139.4 |
| Buildings | 729.6 | 704.8 |
| Machinery and equipment | 2,488.4 | 2,531.3 |
| Other property and equipment | 164.8 | 145.9 |
| Construction-in-progress | 107.1 | 85.7 |
| Property and equipment, gross | 3,625.7 | 3,607.1 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (2,491.2) | (2,412.9) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 1,134.5 | \$ 1,194.2 |

The following table details our interest cost capitalized and depreciation and amortization expense for property and equipment for the three years ended December 31, 2013.

| | 2013 | December 31, 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------------------|----------|
| Interest cost capitalized | \$ 4.9 | \$ 5.5 | \$ 4.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense for property and equipment | \$ 160.2 | \$ 167.5 | \$ 144.3 |

Note 8 Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets**Goodwill**

We review goodwill for impairment on a reporting unit basis annually during the fourth quarter of each year and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable. While we are permitted to conduct a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is necessary to perform a two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test, for our annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2013, we performed a quantitative test for all of our reporting units.

The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. In step one, we compare the fair value of each of our reporting units to its carrying value, including the goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, there is no indication of impairment and no further testing is required. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value, we must perform step two of the impairment test to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. In the step two, the reporting unit's fair value is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including any unrecognized intangible assets, in a hypothetical analysis that calculates the implied fair value of goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is less than the carrying value, the difference is recorded as an impairment loss.

2013 Annual Impairment Test

During the fourth quarter of 2013, we completed step one of our annual goodwill impairment test for our reporting units. We concluded that the fair values of these reporting units were above their carrying values and, therefore, there was no indication of impairment.

We estimated the fair value of these reporting units using a weighting of fair values derived from an income and market approaches. Under the income approach, we determine the fair value of a reporting unit based on the

Table of Contents

present value of estimated future cash flows. Cash flow projections are based on management's estimates of revenue growth rates and operating margins, taking into consideration industry and market conditions. The discount rate used is based on a weighted average cost of capital adjusted for the relevant risk associated with the characteristics of the business and the projected cash flows. The market approaches estimate fair value based on market multiples of revenue and earnings derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and investment characteristics as the reporting unit.

Third Quarter 2012 Interim Impairment Test

During the third quarter of 2012, we determined that sufficient indicators of potential impairment existed to require an interim goodwill impairment analysis for certain of our legacy-Diversey reporting units (North America, Europe and Latin America) included in the legacy-Diversey segment. These indicators included the recent business performance of those reporting units, combined with the long-term market conditions and business trends within the underlying regions. We estimated the fair value of these reporting units using a weighting of fair values derived from an income and market approach. Under the income approach, we determine the fair value of a reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. Cash flow projections are based on management's estimates of revenue growth rates and operating margins, taking into consideration industry and market conditions. The discount rate used is based on a weighted average cost of capital adjusted for the relevant risk associated with the characteristics of the business and the projected cash flows. The market approach estimates fair value based on market multiples of revenue and earnings derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and investment characteristics as the reporting unit. Based on the results of the step one impairment test, the fair value of the reporting units was substantially lower than the carrying value for those reporting units (regions mentioned above). As a result, we recorded an estimated \$1.1 billion goodwill impairment charge in the three months ended September 30, 2012, which is included in impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets in the consolidated statements of operations. At the time, the charge was included in the legacy-Diversey segment.

In addition, during the third quarter of 2012 and prior to performing the step one impairment test, we considered the same indicators of potential impairment noted above as related to the indefinite lived assets of those reporting units. When indicators of impairment are present, we determine the fair value of the indefinite lived assets and compare them to their carrying values. We estimate the fair value of these assets using a relief from royalty method under an income approach. The key assumptions for this method are revenue projections, a royalty rate as determined by management in consultations with valuation experts, and a discount rate, established as discussed above. Based on our analysis, the fair values of an indefinite lived tradename was lower than its carrying value. As a result, we recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$189 million associated with the Diversey tradename in the three months ended September 30, 2012, which is included in impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets in the consolidated statements of operations. At the time, the charge was included in the legacy-Diversey segment.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we concluded step two of our interim impairment test for the legacy-Diversey reporting units noted above. This process resulted in the reduction of the estimated pre-tax goodwill impairment charge by \$326 million. The reduction of the third quarter charge was due to the fair value of certain definite lived assets being less than their carrying value. While the discounted cash flows determined during the step one impairment review were less than the carrying value, the asset groups' undiscounted cash flows associated with those reporting units were in excess of the carrying values, as such there was no impairment of those reporting units' definite lived intangibles and long lived assets.

2012 Annual Impairment Test

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we completed step one of our annual goodwill impairment test for our legacy Sealed Air reporting units and Diversey's Asia Pacific, Africa and Turkey (APAT) reporting unit. We concluded that the fair values of these reporting units were above their carrying values and, therefore, for these reporting units there was no indication of impairment.

Table of Contents

New Reporting Units

In the fourth quarter of 2012, we began to operate under the new reporting structure, which resulted in a change in the composition of our reporting units. In the third quarter of 2013, we renamed our global business divisions under our segment reporting structure. There was no impact to the reportable segment results.

In connection with the new reporting structure in the fourth quarter of 2012, legacy-Diversey was divided into two reporting units, Hygiene Solutions (included in the Food & Beverage segment, renamed to Food Care segment) and Institutional & Laundry (its own segment, renamed to Diversey Care segment).

In addition, we combined (i) Sealed Air's legacy Food Packaging and Food Solutions into the Packaging Solutions reporting unit (included in the Food & Beverage segment, renamed to Food Care segment), and (ii) Sealed Air's legacy Protective Packaging, Shrink Packaging and Specialty Foam business of the former Specialty Materials reporting unit into the new Protective Packaging reporting unit (its own segment, renamed to Product Care segment).

Fourth Quarter 2012 Interim Impairment Test

At the end of the fourth quarter of 2012, based on the operating results under our new reporting structure, we determined that sufficient indicators of potential impairment existed to require an interim goodwill impairment analysis for our Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units. These indicators included the recent business performance of those reporting units as compared to the projections developed during the third quarter 2012 interim impairment review. We performed steps one and two of the impairment test for each of these two reporting units using the same approach as noted above.

Prior to performing the step one interim impairment test, we first evaluated the indefinite lived intangible assets allocated to the Diversey Care and Hygiene Solutions reporting units. On an annual basis, or when indicators of impairment are present, we determine the fair value of the indefinite lived assets and compare them to their carrying values. We estimate the fair value of these assets using a relief from royalty method under an income approach. Based on our analysis, the fair values of certain indefinite lived trademarks were lower than their carrying values. As a result, we recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$441 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, which is included in impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets in the consolidated statements of operations and reflected in the Food Care (\$140 million) and Diversey Care (\$301 million) segments.

We also evaluated the recoverability of long lived assets of these reporting units. When indicators of impairment are present, we test definite lived and long lived assets for recoverability by comparing the carrying value of an asset group to their undiscounted cash flows. We considered the lower than expected revenue and profitability levels over a sustained period of time, and downward revisions to our cash flow forecasts for a portion of these reporting units to be indicators of impairment for their long-lived assets. Based on the results of the recoverability test, we determined that the carrying value of certain asset groups of the Hygiene Solutions reporting unit were higher than their undiscounted cash flow. We then looked at specific long-lived assets in those asset groups and determined that the carrying value of the customer relationships intangible assets exceeded their fair value. We estimated the fair value of those assets, primarily using the excess earnings method under an income approach. The key assumptions for this method are a projection of future revenue and profitability as determined by management, the expected survivorship and discount rate, established as discussed above. As a result, we recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$149 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, which is included in the impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets in the consolidated statement of operations and reflected in the Food Care segment.

We also completed steps one and two of the interim goodwill impairment test for these reporting units. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2012, we recorded an additional goodwill impairment charge for the Hygiene Solutions reporting unit of \$174 million and \$97 million for the Diversey Care reporting unit, which is included in impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets in the consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2012 after completing our step one and step two interim goodwill impairment testing, we determined that the fair value of the Diversey Care reporting unit remained 12% below its carrying value. We also determined, prior to performing step one of the goodwill impairment review in the fourth quarter of 2012, that the undiscounted cash flows for the asset groups within the Diversey Care reporting unit, including customer relationships exceeded their carrying values. Accordingly, no impairment charge was required in 2012.

As part of the step two interim goodwill impairment testing, the Company estimated the fair value of the customer relationships included in the Diversey Care reporting unit using an excess earnings method under an income approach. The key assumptions for this method are a projection of future revenue and profitability as determined by management, the expected survivorship and discount rate. As a result, our step two analysis with respect to the Diversey Care reporting unit yielded fair values for our customer relationship intangible assets that were less than their carrying value. We also determined that there was no material fair value to assign to unrecognized intangible assets.

As a result of completing the Diversey Care reporting unit step two goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2012, we determined that the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill was less than its carrying value. Accordingly, we recorded an additional goodwill impairment charge for the Diversey Care reporting unit of \$97 million, which is included in impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets in the consolidated statements of operations. We determined that the remaining goodwill at December 31, 2012 of \$1,143.1 million allocated to the Diversey Care reporting unit after the completion of step two was recoverable.

Allocation of Goodwill to Reporting Units

Due to the changes in our segment reporting structure during the fourth quarter of 2012, we reassigned goodwill to our new reporting units using a relative fair value approach. Goodwill of the Food Care segment combines goodwill of two reporting units: Packaging Solutions, which includes former legacy Sealed Air's Food Packaging and Food Solutions packaging reporting units, and Hygiene Solutions, which was previously reported in the legacy-Diversey segment. Goodwill of the Diversey Care segment also includes a portion of the goodwill previously reported in the legacy-Diversey segment. Goodwill of the Product Care segment combines goodwill of the former legacy Sealed Air's Protective Packaging and Shrink Packaging reporting units and the specialty foam business of the former Specialty Materials reporting unit. The goodwill of the Other Category is represented by the goodwill of the Medical Applications reporting unit. The following table shows our goodwill balances by our new segment reporting structure:

| | Gross Carrying Value at | | Carrying Value at | Impact of Foreign Currency Translation Year Ended | Gross Carrying Value at | | Carrying Value at |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2012 | Accumulated Impairment | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2013 | Accumulated Impairment | December 31, 2013 |
| Food Care | \$ 837.7 | \$ (208.0) | \$ 629.7 | \$ (4.0) | \$ 833.7 | \$ (208.0) | \$ 625.7 |
| Diversey Care | 2,026.1 | (883.0) | 1,143.1 | (32.0) | 1,994.1 | (883.0) | 1,111.1 |
| Product Care | 1,372.7 | | 1,372.7 | 0.1 | 1,372.8 | | 1,372.8 |
| Other Category | 5.7 | | 5.7 | (0.7) | 5.0 | | 5.0 |
| Total | \$ 4,242.2 | \$ (1,091.0) | \$ 3,151.2 | \$ (36.6) | \$ 4,205.6 | \$ (1,091.0) | \$ 3,114.6 |

Table of Contents

The excess of estimated fair values over carrying value, including goodwill for each of our reporting units that had goodwill as of the 2013 annual impairment test were the following:

| Reporting Unit | % by Which Estimated Fair value exceeds Carrying Value As of December 31, 2013 |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Food Care Packaging Solutions | 321% |
| Food Care Hygiene Solutions | 91% |
| Diversey Care | 27% |
| Product Care | 35% |
| Medical Applications | 96% |

⁽¹⁾ The Medical Applications reporting unit as of our 2013 annual goodwill test date included the rigid medical packaging business which was disposed of on December 6, 2013. Included in the assets that were disposed of was approximately \$40.2 million of goodwill that was previously included in the Medical Applications reporting unit. See Note 3, Divestitures, for further details regarding this disposition. As noted in the table above, the Diversey Care reporting unit's fair value exceeded its carrying value by 27% as a result of our 2013 annual goodwill impairment review. However, as of the fourth quarter of 2012, the fair value of our Diversey Care reporting unit was below its carrying value by 12% because the fair value of the customer relationship intangible assets within the reporting unit determined in our step two test discussed above was below their carrying value. The asset groups containing these customer relationships were also tested for impairment and determined to have undiscounted cash flows that exceeded their carrying values. Accordingly, no impairment of the customer relationship intangible assets was required.

For our 2013 annual impairment test, the Hygiene Solutions reporting unit had an estimated fair value in excess of its respective carrying value of approximately 91% which represents an increase from the 8% previously calculated as of the fourth quarter of 2012.

As noted above, the fair value determined under step one of the goodwill impairment test completed in the fourth quarter of 2013 increased and there was no impairment of goodwill. However, if the fair value decreases in future periods, the Company may fail step one of the goodwill impairment test and be required to perform step two. In performing step two, the fair value would again have to be allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. Therefore, any potential goodwill impairment charge would be dependent upon the estimated fair value of the reporting unit at that time and the outcome of step two of the impairment test. The fair values of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including the intangible assets could vary depending on the various factors as discussed above.

The future occurrence of a potential indicator of additional impairment, such as a decrease in expected net earnings, adverse equity market conditions, a decline in current market multiples, a decline in our common stock price, a significant adverse change in legal factors or business climates, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition, strategic decisions made in response to economic or competitive conditions, or a more-likely-than-not expectation that a reporting unit or a significant portion of a reporting unit will be sold or disposed of, could require an interim assessment for some or all of the reporting units before the next required annual assessment. In the event of significant adverse changes of the nature described above, we might have to recognize an additional non-cash impairment of goodwill, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents**Identifiable Intangible Assets**

The following tables summarize our identifiable intangible assets with definite and indefinite useful lives:

| | December 31, 2013 | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Accumulated Impairment ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Net | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Accumulated Impairment ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Net |
| Customer relationships | \$ 961.3 | \$ (171.2) | (148.9) | \$ 641.2 | \$ 963.1 | \$ (105.7) | (148.9) | \$ 708.5 |
| Trademarks and trade names | 881.5 | (0.1) | (630.2) | 251.2 | 881.9 | (0.5) | (630.2) | 251.2 |
| Technology | 252.6 | (128.0) | (22.2) | 102.4 | 243.2 | (78.8) | (22.2) | 142.2 |
| Contracts | 44.0 | (21.9) | | 22.1 | 44.4 | (14.7) | | 29.7 |
| Total | \$ 2,139.4 | \$ (321.2) | \$ (801.3) | \$ 1,016.9 | \$ 2,132.6 | \$ (199.7) | \$ (801.3) | \$ 1,131.6 |

- (1) During the third quarter of 2012, we determined that sufficient indicators existed to require an interim impairment review of our Diversey tradename. Based on our analysis, the fair value of this intangible was lower than the carrying value, which resulted in a pre-tax impairment charge of \$189 million. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2012, we completed our annual impairment test for other indefinite lived intangibles and we performed an interim impairment review of our customer relationships and trademarks and trade names. As a result, we recorded a total impairment charge of \$779 million which is included in impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets on the consolidated statements of operations in the year ended December 31, 2012.
- (2) During the fourth quarter of 2012, we made a decision to suspend certain development efforts and abandon future product development work on a project included in our Other Category for segment reporting. As a result, we recorded an impairment of \$22 million (\$14 million, net of taxes), which is included in impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets on the consolidated statements of operations in the year ended December 31, 2012.

The intangible assets include \$251 million of trademarks and trade names that we have determined to have indefinite useful lives, acquired in connection with the acquisition of Diversey.

The following table shows the remaining estimated future amortization expense at December 31, 2013.

| Year | Amount |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2014 | \$ 111.4 |
| 2015 | 85.8 |
| 2016 | 84.8 |
| 2017 | 79.4 |
| Thereafter | 404.6 |
| Total | \$ 766.0 |

The remaining weighted average useful life of our finite-lived intangible assets was 9.5 years as of December 31, 2013.

Note 9 Accounts Receivable Securitization Programs**U.S. Accounts Receivables Securitization Program**

We and a group of our U.S. operating subsidiaries maintain an accounts receivable securitization program with two banks and issuers of commercial paper administered by these banks. As of December 31, 2013, the maximum purchase limit for receivable interests was \$125 million, subject to the availability limits described below.

Table of Contents

The amounts available from time to time under the program may be less than \$125 million due to a number of factors, including but not limited to our credit ratings, trade receivable balances, the creditworthiness of our customers and our receivables collection experience. During 2013, the level of eligible assets available under the program was lower than \$125 million primarily due to our current credit ratings. As a result, the amount available to us under the program was \$94 million at December 31, 2013. Although we do not believe that these restrictive provisions presently materially restrict our operations, if an additional event occurs that triggers one of these restrictive provisions, we could experience a further decline in the amounts available to us under the program or termination of the program.

This program expires annually in September and is renewable. In September 2013, before the expiration of the program, we extended the expiration date of the program to September 2014.

European Accounts Receivables Securitization Program

In February 2013, we entered into a European accounts receivable securitization and purchase program with a special purpose vehicle, or SPV, two banks and a group of our European subsidiaries and in the second quarter of 2013, we added two additional subsidiaries into the program. The maximum purchase limit for receivable interests is 95 million, (\$131 million USD equivalent) subject to availability limits. This program expires annually in February and is renewable. Before the expiration of the program, we extended the expiration date of the program to February 2015 and also added two additional subsidiaries into the program. The terms and provisions of this program are similar to our U.S. program discussed above. As of December 31, 2013, the amount available under this program was 84 million (\$115 million USD equivalent).

The European program is structured to be a securitization of certain trade receivables that are originated by certain of our European subsidiaries. We do not have an equity interest in the SPV. However, since we are considered the primary beneficiary of the SPV, it meets the criteria to be classified as a variable interest entity and is included in our consolidated financial statements. Any activity between the participating subsidiaries and the SPV is eliminated in consolidation. Loans from the banks to the SPV are classified as short-term borrowings in our financial statements.

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had no amounts outstanding under either the U.S. or European program, and we did not utilize these programs during 2013. Under limited circumstances, the banks and the issuers of commercial paper can end purchases of receivables interests before the above expiration dates. A failure to comply with debt leverage or various other ratios related to our receivables collection experience could result in termination of the receivables programs. We were in compliance with these ratios at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, as applicable.

Note 10 Restructuring Activities

The following table details our restructuring activities:

| | 2013 | | | | 2012 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | IOP | EQIP | Other | Total | IOP | EPC | Other | Total | IOP | EPC | Other | Total |
| Other associated costs | \$ 14.1 | \$ 11.4 | \$ | \$ 25.5 | \$ 22.2 | \$ 12.1 | \$ | \$ 34.3 | \$ | \$ 4.0 | \$ | \$ 4.0 |
| Restructuring charges | (7.0) | 80.8 | | 73.8 | 144.9 | (1.3) | (1.1) | 142.5 | 52.2 | 1.0 | (1.0) | 52.2 |
| Total | \$ 7.1 | \$ 92.2 | \$ | \$ 99.3 | \$ 167.1 | \$ 10.8 | \$ (1.1) | \$ 176.8 | \$ 52.2 | \$ 5.0 | \$ (1.0) | \$ 56.2 |

Table of Contents***Earnings Quality Improvement Program (EQIP)***

In May 2013, we announced the commencement of EQIP, which is an initiative to deliver meaningful cost savings and network optimization. The costs associated with this plan consist primarily of (i) a reduction in headcount (expected to be approximately 550-650 employees) and other costs associated with divisional realignment and connected profitability improvement programs, including severance and termination benefits for employees, expected to be approximately \$105 million to \$120 million, and (ii) costs and capital expenditures associated with incremental supply chain network optimization projects, including facility relocation and closures, expected to be approximately \$85 million to \$90 million. As a result, we currently estimate that we will incur total costs of approximately \$190 million to \$210 million in connection with implementation of this plan, including capital expenditures of approximately \$50 million to \$55 million. The plan is expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2015.

The associated costs included in the table above primarily consist of consulting and related costs incurred in connection with the reorganization and rebranding of the Company and its divisions, which were included in selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. The restructuring charges included in the table above primarily consist of termination and benefit costs.

The restructuring accrual, spending and other activity for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the accrual balance remaining at December 31, 2013 related to this program were as follows:

| | |
|--|----------------|
| EQIP restructuring accrual at December 31, 2012 | \$ |
| Accrual and accrual adjustments | 80.8 |
| Cash payments during 2013 | (26.2) |
| Effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates | 1.3 |
| EQIP restructuring accrual at December 31, 2013 | \$ 55.9 |

Cash payments including associated costs were \$38 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. We expect to pay \$46 million of the accrual balance remaining at December 31, 2013 within the next twelve months. This amount is included in accrued restructuring costs on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2013. The majority of the remaining accrual of \$10 million is expected to be paid in 2015 with minimal amounts to be paid out in 2016. This amount is included in other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2013.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures related to this program were \$11 million in 2013. Capital expenditures are mainly related to purchases for equipment for facility and supply chain network.

Integration and Optimization Program (IOP)

In December 2011, we initiated a restructuring program associated with the integration of Diversey's business following our acquisition of Diversey on October 3, 2011. The program primarily consists of (i) reduction in headcount, (ii) consolidation of facilities, and (iii) supply chain network optimization, and (iv) certain other capital expenditures. This program is expected to be completed by the end of 2014.

The associated costs in the table above primarily consist of consulting fees included in selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statement of operations in 2013. The associated costs in 2012 include asset impairment charges of \$12 million and professional and consulting fees of \$6 million and other costs for \$4 million. Asset impairment charges include (i) a \$4 million charge related to a facility closure in the U.S., reported in cost of sales in our Food Care segment, and (ii) an \$8 million charge related to a planned facility closure in France, reported in cost of sales in our Diversey Care segment.

Table of Contents

The restructuring charges included in the table above primarily consist of employee termination and benefits costs, including cash-settled stock appreciation rights that were previously issued to Diversey employees as a portion of the total consideration for the acquisition of Diversey of \$1 million in 2013, as compared to \$9 million in 2012. See Note 19, Stockholders Equity, for further details of these awards. These charges were included in restructuring and other charges on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The restructuring accrual, spending and other activity for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the accrual balance remaining at December 31, 2013 related to this program were as follows:

| | |
|--|-------------|
| IOP restructuring accrual at December 31, 2012 | \$ 88.2 |
| Revision to accrual | (10.9) |
| Additional accrual | 3.9 |
| Cash payments during 2013 | (55.3) |
| Effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates | (1.4) |
| IOP restructuring accrual at December 31, 2013 | \$ 24.5 |

Cumulative cash payments made in connection with this program through December 31, 2013 were \$202 million. We expect to pay \$24 million of the accrual balance remaining at December 31, 2013 within the next twelve months. This amount is included in other current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2013. The majority of the remaining accrual of \$1 million is expected to be paid out in 2015. This amount is included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2013.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures related to this program were \$14 million in 2013, \$14 million in 2012 and none in 2011. Capital expenditures are mainly related to purchases for equipment for facility and supply chain network.

Note 11 Other Liabilities

The following tables detail our other current liabilities and other liabilities at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Other current liabilities: | | |
| Accrued salaries, wages and related costs | \$ 300.6 | \$ 262.2 |
| Accrued operating expenses | 303.3 | 319.1 |
| Income taxes payable | 35.6 | 23.5 |
| Accrued customer volume rebates | 176.5 | 167.4 |
| Accrued interest | 67.4 | 63.4 |
| Accrued employee benefit liability | 7.0 | 9.7 |
| Total | \$ 890.4 | \$ 845.3 |

| | December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Other liabilities: | | |
| Accrued employee benefit liability | \$ 262.0 | \$ 291.5 |
| Other postretirement liability | 70.1 | 77.3 |
| Other various liabilities | 315.8 | 276.1 |

| | | |
|-------|----------|----------|
| Total | \$ 647.9 | \$ 644.9 |
|-------|----------|----------|

Table of Contents**Note 12 Debt and Credit Facilities**

Our total debt outstanding consisted of the amounts set forth on the following table:

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 81.6 | \$ 39.2 |
| Current portion of long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | 201.5 | 1.8 |
| Total current debt | 283.1 | 41.0 |
| 5.25% Senior Notes due April 2023 | 425.0 | |
| 12% Senior Notes due February 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | | 153.4 |
| Term Loan A Facility due October 2016, less unamortized lender fees of \$8.4 in 2013 and \$15.4 in 2012 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 634.8 | 843.9 |
| 7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017, less unamortized discount of \$5.5 in 2012 ⁽³⁾ | | 394.5 |
| Term Loan B Facility due October 2018, less unamortized lender fees of \$7.3 in 2013 and \$10.7 in 2012, and unamortized discount of \$10.8 in 2013 and \$15.6 in 2012 ⁽²⁾ | 681.6 | 771.6 |
| 8.125% Senior Notes due September 2019 | 750.0 | 750.0 |
| 6.50% Senior Notes due December 2020 | 424.1 | 425.0 |
| 8.375% Senior Notes due September 2021 | 750.0 | 750.0 |
| 6.875% Senior Notes due July 2033, less unamortized discount of \$1.4 in 2013 and 2012 | 448.6 | 448.6 |
| Other | 2.3 | 3.8 |
| Total long-term debt, less current portion | 4,116.4 | 4,540.8 |
| Total debt⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 4,399.5 | \$ 4,581.8 |

(1) The 12% Senior Notes due February 2014 were included in current portion of long-term debt as of December 31, 2013 and subsequently repaid upon the maturity on February 14, 2014. In addition, we reclassified \$50 million of Term Loan A installment payments to current portion of long term debt due to expected pre-payments of this debt in 2014.

(2) In 2013, we prepaid a portion of our 2014 Term Loan A (\$152 million) and Term Loan B (\$105 million) installments.

(3) During 2013, we purchased all of our outstanding \$400 million 7.875% Senior Notes due 2017. See below for further discussion.

(4) The weighted average interest rate on our outstanding debt was 6.2% as of December 31, 2013 and 6.4% as of December 31, 2012.

Senior Notes*2013 Activity*

In March 2013, we issued \$425 million of 5.25% senior notes and used substantially all of the proceeds to retire the 7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017. We repurchased the 7.875% Senior Notes at fair value. The aggregate repurchase price was \$431 million, which included the principal amount of \$400 million, a 6% premium of \$23 million and accrued interest of \$8 million. We recognized a total net pre-tax loss of \$32 million, which included the premiums mentioned above.

The 5.25% Senior Notes due April 2023 and their related guarantees were offered only to qualified institutional buyers under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and to non-U.S. persons in transactions outside the U.S. under Regulation S of the Securities Act. These notes have not been registered under the Securities Act, and, unless so registered, may not be offered or sold in the U.S. absent

Table of Contents

registration or an applicable exemption form, or in a transaction not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act and other applicable securities laws.

The 5.25% Senior Notes will mature on April 1, 2023 and interest is payable on April 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing October 1, 2013.

2012 Activity

In November 2012, we issued \$425 million of 6.50% Senior Notes and used substantially all of the proceeds to retire the 5.625% Senior Notes due July 2013. We repurchased the 5.625% Senior Notes at fair value. The aggregate repurchase price was \$421 million, which included the principal amount of \$400 million, a 3% premium of \$13 million and accrued interest of \$8 million. As a result, we recognized a net pre-tax loss of \$12 million, which included the premium mentioned above, less a gain of \$1 million on the termination of a related interest rate swap. The loss on debt redemption is included on our consolidated statements of operations.

The 6.50% senior notes due 2020 and their related guarantees were offered only to qualified institutional buyers under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and to non-U.S. persons in transactions outside the U.S. under Regulation S of the Securities Act. These notes have not been registered under the Securities Act, and, unless so registered, may not be offered or sold in the U.S. absent registration or an applicable exemption form, or in a transaction not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act and other applicable securities laws.

Credit Facility*2013 Activity**2013 Amended Credit Facility*

In November 2013, we amended our senior secured credit facility (the Amended Credit Facility). The amendment refinanced the term loan B facilities with a \$525 million term loan B dollar tranche and a 128 million term loan B euro tranche. In connection therewith, among other things, (i) the interest margin on each tranche was decreased by 0.75%, (ii) the minimum Eurocurrency rate under the term loan B facilities was reduced from 1.00% to 0.75%, and (iii) the Amended Credit Facility provides for a six-month soft-call prepayment protection on the applicable term loan B tranches, requiring us to pay an amount equal to 1% of the aggregate principal if the facility is pre-paid under certain circumstances. We prepaid \$101 million and refinanced the remaining principal amount of \$697 million of the euro and U.S. dollar denominated portions of the original Term Loan B at 100% of their face value. We recognized a \$4 million pre-tax loss on debt redemption included in our results of operations for 2013, consisting of accelerated unamortized original issuance discount, unamortized fees, and fees associated with the transaction.

*2012 Activity**2012 Amended Credit Facility*

In connection with the sale of Diversey Japan (see Note 3, Divestitures), and the repayment of existing indebtedness of the Company and to provide for ongoing liquidity requirements, on November 14, 2012, we entered into an amended senior secured credit facility (the 2012 Amended Credit Facility). The 2012 Amended Credit Facility consists of: (a) a multicurrency Term Loan A facility denominated in U.S. dollars, Canadian dollars, euros and Japanese yen, (2012 Amended Term Loan A Facility), (b) a multicurrency Term Loan B facility denominated in U.S. dollars and euros (2012 Amended Term Loan B Facility) and (c) a \$700 million revolving credit facility available in U.S. dollars, Canadian dollars, euros, and Australian dollars (2012 Amended Revolving Credit Facility). Our obligations under the Amended Credit Facility have been guaranteed by certain of Sealed Air's subsidiaries and secured by pledges of certain assets and the capital stock of certain subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

The 2012 Amended Term Loan A Facility and the Amended Revolving Credit Facility each have a five-year term with final maturity in October 2016 and bear interest at either LIBOR or the base rate (or an equivalent rate in the relevant currency) plus 250 basis points (bps) per annum in the case of LIBOR loans and 150 bps per annum in the case of base rate loans, provided that the interest rates shall be decreased to 225 bps and 125 bps, respectively, upon achievement of a specified leverage ratio. The 2012 Amended Term Loan B Facility has a seven-year term with final maturity in October 2018. Prior to their amendment in November 2013, discussed above, the U.S. dollar-denominated tranche bears interest at either LIBOR or the base rate plus 300 bps per annum in the case of LIBOR loans and 200 bps per annum in the case of base rate loans, and the euro-denominated tranche bears interest at either EURIBOR or the base rate plus 350 bps per annum in the case of EURIBOR loans and 250 bps per annum in the case of base rate loans. LIBOR and EURIBOR were subject to a 1.0% floor under the 2012 Amended Term Loan B Facility.

In connection with the sale of Diversey Japan, we prepaid \$90 million and refinanced the remaining principal amount of \$80 million of Japanese yen denominated balances owned of the original Term Loan A. As a result, we accelerated \$1 million of original unamortized lender fees included as a reduction of the pre-tax gain on the sale of Diversey Japan. We also carried forward \$1 million of unamortized lender fees in the carrying amount of the debt instrument. Incremental lender fees and non-lender fees related to the transactions mentioned above were insignificant. These non-lender fees are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet. We prepaid \$95 million of euro and U.S. dollar denominated portions of the original Term Loan A.

We prepaid \$1.1 billion and refinanced the remaining principal amount of \$801 million of the euro and U.S. dollar denominated portions of the original Term Loan B at 99.75% of the face value. As a result, we accelerated unamortized original issuance discounts of \$9 million and unamortized lender fees of \$7 million, which are included in loss on debt redemption on our consolidated statements of operations. We also recorded new original issuance discount and non-lender fees for a total of \$2 million, which are included in the carrying amount of the debt instruments. In addition, we recorded \$7 million of non-lender fees related to the transactions mentioned above. Those fees are included in loss on debt redemption on our consolidated statements of operations.

The amortization expense of the original issuance discount and lender and non-lender fees is calculated using the effective interest rate method over the lives of the respective debt instruments. Total amortization expense in 2012 related to the debt instruments above was \$23 million and is included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations.

The Amended Credit Facility provides for customary events of default, including failure to pay principal or interest when due, failure to comply with covenants, materially false representation or warranty made by the Company, certain insolvency or receivership events and a change in control. For certain events of default, the commitments of the lenders will be automatically terminated, and all outstanding obligations under the Amended Credit Facility may be declared immediately due and payable.

The Amended Revolving Credit Facility may be used for working capital needs and general corporate purposes, including the payment of the amounts required upon effectiveness of the Settlement agreement (defined below in Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies). We used our Amended Revolving Facility for a short time period in connection with the sale of Diversey Japan. Interest paid for the year ended December 31, 2012 under the Amended Revolving Credit Facility was insignificant. There were no amounts outstanding under the Amended Revolving Credit Facility at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

2011 Activity

Original Credit Facility

In connection with the funding of the cash consideration for the acquisition and the repayment of existing indebtedness of Diversey and to provide for ongoing liquidity requirements, on October 3, 2011, we entered into

Table of Contents

a senior secured credit facility (the Credit Facility). The Credit Facility consisted of: (a) a multicurrency Term Loan A facility denominated in U.S. dollars, Canadian dollars, euros and Japanese yen, (Term Loan A Facility), (b) a multicurrency Term Loan B Facility and (c) a \$700 million revolving facility available in U.S. dollars, Canadian dollars, euros and Australian dollars (Revolving Credit Facility). In connection with entering into the Credit Facility, we terminated our former global credit facility and European credit facility.

The amortization expense of the original issuance discount and lender and non-lender fees is calculated using the effective interest rate method over the lives of the respective debt instruments. Total amortization expense in 2011 related to the debt instruments above was \$7 million and is included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations.

Lines of Credit

The following table summarizes our available lines of credit and committed and uncommitted lines of credit, including the Revolving Credit Facility discussed above, and the amounts available under our accounts receivable securitization programs. We are not subject to any material compensating balance requirements in connection with our lines of credit.

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Used lines of credit | \$ 81.6 | \$ 39.2 |
| Unused lines of credit ⁽¹⁾ | 1,015.0 | 989.5 |
| Total available lines of credit | \$ 1,096.6 | \$ 1,028.7 |
| Available lines of credit committed ⁽²⁾ | \$ 721.9 | \$ 700.5 |
| Available lines of credit uncommitted | 374.7 | 328.2 |
| Total available lines of credit | \$ 1,096.6 | \$ 1,028.7 |
| Accounts receivable securitization programs committed ⁽²⁾ | \$ 209.0 | \$ 112.0 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes a \$700 million revolving senior secured credit facility that expires October 2016.

⁽²⁾ See Note 8, Accounts Receivable Securitization Programs, for further details.

Other Lines of Credit

Substantially all our short-term borrowings of \$82 million at December 31, 2013 and \$39 million at December 31, 2012 were outstanding under lines of credit available to several of our foreign subsidiaries. The following table details our other lines of credit.

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Available lines of credit | \$ 396.6 | \$ 328.3 |
| Unused lines of credit | 315.0 | 289.0 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 12.3% | 10.2% |

Covenants

Each issue of our outstanding senior notes imposes limitations on our operations and those of specified subsidiaries. The Amended Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants for credit facilities of this type, including limitations on our indebtedness, liens, investments, restricted payments, mergers

Table of Contents

and acquisitions, dispositions of assets, transactions with affiliates, amendment of documents and sale leasebacks, and a covenant specifying a maximum permitted ratio of Consolidated Net Debt to Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Facility). We were in compliance with the above financial covenants and limitations at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Debt Maturities

The following table summarizes the scheduled annual maturities for the next five years and thereafter of our long-term debt, including the current portion of long-term debt. This schedule excludes debt discounts, interest rate swaps and lender fees.

| Year | Amount |
|--------------|-------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 201.5 |
| 2015 | 256.6 |
| 2016 | 391.6 |
| 2017 | 2.1 |
| 2018 | 694.9 |
| Thereafter | 2,800.0 |
| Total | \$ 4,346.7 |

Note 13 Derivatives and Hedging Activities

We report all derivative instruments on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value and establish criteria for designation and effectiveness of transactions entered into for hedging purposes.

As a large global organization, we face exposure to market risks, such as fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. To manage the volatility relating to these exposures, we enter into various derivative instruments from time to time under our risk management policies. We designate derivative instruments as hedges on a transaction basis to support hedge accounting. The changes in fair value of these hedging instruments offset in part or in whole corresponding changes in the fair value or cash flows of the underlying exposures being hedged. We assess the initial and ongoing effectiveness of our hedging relationships in accordance with our policy. We do not purchase, hold or sell derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. Our practice is to terminate derivative transactions if the underlying asset or liability matures or is sold or terminated, or if we determine the underlying forecasted transaction is no longer probable of occurring.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

The primary purposes of our cash flow hedging activities are to manage the potential changes in value associated with the amounts receivable or payable on equipment and raw material purchases that are denominated in foreign currencies in order to minimize the impact of the changes in foreign currencies. We record gains and losses on foreign currency forward contracts qualifying as cash flow hedges in other comprehensive income to the extent that these hedges are effective and until we recognize the underlying transactions in net earnings, at which time we recognize these gains and losses in other expense, net, on our consolidated statements of operations.

Net unrealized after tax gains (losses) related to these contracts that were included in other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were immaterial. The unrealized amounts in other comprehensive income will fluctuate based on changes in the fair value of open contracts during each reporting period.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts Not Designated as Hedges

Our subsidiaries have foreign currency exchange exposure from buying and selling in currencies other than their functional currencies. The primary purposes of our foreign currency hedging activities are to manage the

Table of Contents

potential changes in value associated with the amounts receivable or payable on transactions denominated in foreign currencies and to minimize the impact of the changes in foreign currencies related to foreign currency denominated interest-bearing intercompany loans and receivables and payables. The changes in fair value of these derivative contracts are recognized in other expense, net, on our consolidated statements of operations and are largely offset by the remeasurement of the underlying foreign currency denominated items indicated above. These contracts have original maturities of less than 12 months.

Interest Rate Swaps

From time to time, we may use interest rate swaps to manage our mix of fixed and floating interest rates on our outstanding indebtedness.

At December 31, 2013, we had \$100 million notional amount of outstanding interest rate swaps, which did not materially impact our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In the third quarter of 2012, we terminated the swaps linked to the 12% Senior Notes, although the 12% Senior Notes remained outstanding. We received cash of \$2 million resulting from the gain on the termination of the swaps, which is being amortized over the remaining life of the 12% Senior Notes. At December 31, 2012, we had no interest rate swaps outstanding.

In the fourth quarter of 2011, we terminated or offset interest rate swaps on our 5.625% Senior Notes and a portion of our 12% Senior Notes. As a result, we received cash of \$7 million related to these terminations and recognized a reduction of interest expense of \$1 million and an increase of \$6 million in the carrying amount of our 12% Senior Notes and our 5.625% Senior Notes, which is being amortized over the remaining maturities of these notes and included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations.

At December 31, 2011, we recorded a mark-to-market adjustment to record an increase of \$2 million in the carrying amount of our 12% Senior Notes due to changes in interest rates and an offsetting increase to other assets at December 31, 2011 to record the fair value of the remaining outstanding interest rate swaps. There was no ineffective portion of the hedges recognized in earnings during the period.

As a result of our interest rate swap agreements, interest expense was reduced by \$1 million in 2012 and \$3 million in 2011.

Other Derivative Instruments

We may use other derivative instruments from time to time, such as foreign exchange options to manage exposure to foreign exchange rates and interest rate and currency swaps related to access to international financing transactions. These instruments can potentially limit foreign exchange exposure by swapping borrowings denominated in one currency for borrowings denominated in another currency. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had no foreign exchange options or interest rate and currency swap agreements outstanding.

See Note 14, Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments, for a discussion of the inputs and valuation techniques used to determine the fair value of our outstanding derivative instruments.

Table of Contents*Fair Value of Derivative Instruments*

The following table details the fair value of our derivative instruments included on our consolidated balance sheets.

| | Fair Value of Asset Derivatives ⁽¹⁾ | | Fair Value of (Liability) Derivatives ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts (cash flow hedges) | \$ 3.4 | \$ 0.5 | \$ (1.4) | \$ (0.8) |
| Interest rate swaps (fair value hedges) | | | (1.0) | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 7.1 | 4.9 | (49.1) | (29.6) |
| Total | \$ 10.5 | \$ 5.4 | \$ (51.5) | \$ (30.4) |

⁽¹⁾ Asset derivatives are included in other assets and liability derivatives are included in other liabilities. The following table details the effect of our derivative instruments on our consolidated statements of operations.

| | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivatives ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---|---|---------------|
| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | 2012 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ 2.3 | \$ |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | (8.5) | 4.6 |
| Total | \$ (6.2) | \$ 4.6 |

⁽¹⁾ Amounts recognized on the foreign currency forward contracts were included in other expense, net. Amounts recognized on the interest rate swaps were included in interest expense.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Fair Value Measurements and Other Financial Instruments*****Fair Value Measurements***

The fair value of our financial instruments, using the fair value hierarchy under U.S. GAAP detailed in Fair Value Measurements, of Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Recently Issued Accounting Standards, are included in the table below.

| | Total | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| December 31, 2013 | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 491.9 | \$ | \$ 491.9 | \$ |
| Derivative financial instruments net liability: | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 1.0 | \$ | \$ 1.0 | \$ |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ 40.0 | \$ | \$ 40.0 | \$ |
| | | | | |
| | Total | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 210.0 | \$ | \$ 210.0 | \$ |
| Derivative financial instruments net liability: | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ 25.0 | \$ | \$ 25.0 | \$ |

Cash Equivalents

Our cash equivalents at December 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of commercial paper and time deposits (fair value determined using Level 2 inputs). Since these are short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase, they present negligible risk of changes in fair value due to changes in interest rates.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Our foreign currency forward contracts are recorded at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets using an income approach valuation technique based on observable market inputs (Level 2).

Observable market inputs used in the calculation of the fair value of foreign currency forward contracts include foreign currency spot and forward rates obtained from an independent third party market data provider. In addition, other pricing data quoted by various banks and foreign currency dealers involving identical or comparable instruments are included.

Counterparties to these foreign currency forward contracts and interest rate swaps are rated at BBB or higher by Standard & Poor's and Baa2 or higher by Moody's. Credit ratings on some of our counterparties may change during the term of our financial instruments. We closely monitor our counterparties' credit ratings and if necessary, will make any appropriate changes to our financial instruments. The fair value generally reflects the estimated amounts that we would receive or pay to terminate the contracts at the reporting date.

Other Financial Instruments

The following financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts that approximate fair value: (1) trade receivables, net, (2) certain other current assets, (3) accounts payable and (4) other current liabilities. The carrying amounts reported on our consolidated balance sheets for the above financial instruments closely approximate their fair value due to the short-term nature of these assets and liabilities.

Other liabilities that are recorded at carrying value on our consolidated balance sheets include our senior notes. We utilize a market approach to calculate the fair value of our senior notes. Due to their limited investor base and the face value of some of our senior notes, they may not be actively traded on the date we calculate their fair

Table of Contents

value. Therefore, we may utilize prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving similar securities, reflecting U.S. Treasury yields to calculate the yield to maturity and the price on some of our senior notes. These inputs are provided by an independent third party and are considered to be Level 2 inputs.

We derive our fair value estimates of our various other debt instruments by evaluating the nature and terms of each instrument, considering prevailing economic and market conditions, and examining the cost of similar debt offered at the balance sheet date. We also incorporated our credit default swap rates and currency specific swap rates in the valuation of each debt instrument, as applicable.

These estimates are subjective and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and therefore we cannot determine them with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect our estimates.

The table below shows the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our total debt:

| | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| 12% Senior Notes due February 2014 | \$ 150.3 | \$ 150.6 | \$ 153.4 | \$ 172.0 |
| Term Loan A Facility due October 2016 ⁽¹⁾ | 684.5 | 684.5 | 843.9 | 843.9 |
| 7.875% Senior Notes due June 2017 | | | 394.5 | 424.8 |
| Term Loan B Facility due October 2018 ⁽¹⁾ | 681.6 | 681.6 | 771.6 | 771.6 |
| 8.125% Senior Notes due September 2019 | 750.0 | 841.4 | 750.0 | 846.8 |
| 6.50% Senior Notes due December 2020 | 424.1 | 456.7 | 425.0 | 463.1 |
| 8.375% Senior Notes due September 2021 | 750.0 | 853.1 | 750.0 | 858.5 |
| 5.25% Senior Notes due April 2023 | 425.0 | 414.7 | | |
| 6.875% Senior Notes due July 2033 | 448.6 | 431.2 | 448.6 | 421.7 |
| Other foreign loans | 85.0 | 84.9 | 44.2 | 44.0 |
| Other domestic loans | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Total debt | \$ 4,399.5 | \$ 4,599.1 | \$ 4,581.8 | \$ 4,847.0 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes borrowings denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars.

As of December 31, 2013, we did not have any non-financial assets and liabilities that were carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the consolidated financial statements or for which a fair value measurement was required. Included among our non-financial assets and liabilities that are not required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis are inventories, net property and equipment, goodwill, intangible assets and asset retirement obligations.

Credit and Market Risk

Financial instruments, including derivatives, expose us to counterparty credit risk for nonperformance and to market risk related to changes in interest or currency exchange rates. We manage our exposure to counterparty credit risk through specific minimum credit standards, establishing credit limits, diversification of counterparties, and procedures to monitor concentrations of credit risk.

We do not expect any of our counterparties in derivative transactions to fail to perform as it is our policy to have counterparties to these contracts that are rated at least BBB or higher by Standard & Poor's and Baa2 or higher by Moody's. Nevertheless, there is a risk that our exposure to losses arising out of derivative contracts could be material if the counterparties to these agreements fail to perform their obligations. We will replace counterparties if a credit downgrade is deemed to increase our risk to unacceptable levels.

Table of Contents

We regularly monitor the impact of market risk on the fair value and cash flows of our derivative and other financial instruments considering reasonably possible changes in interest and currency exchange rates and restrict the use of derivative financial instruments to hedging activities. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes and do not use leveraged derivative financial instruments.

We continually monitor the creditworthiness of our diverse base of customers to which we grant credit terms in the normal course of business and generally do not require collateral. We consider the concentrations of credit risk associated with our trade accounts receivable to be commercially reasonable and believe that such concentrations do not leave us vulnerable to significant risks of near-term severe adverse impacts. The terms and conditions of our credit sales are designed to mitigate concentrations of credit risk with any single customer. Our sales are not materially dependent on a single customer or a small group of customers.

Note 15 Profit Sharing, Retirement Savings Plans and Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Profit Sharing and Retirement Savings Plans

We have a qualified non-contributory profit sharing plan covering most of our U.S. employees. Contributions to this plan, which are made at the discretion of our Board of Directors, may be made in cash, shares of our common stock, or in a combination of cash and shares of our common stock. We also maintain qualified contributory retirement savings plans in which most of our U.S. employees are eligible to participate. The qualified contributory retirement savings plans generally provide for our contributions in cash based upon the amount contributed to the plans by the participants.

Our contributions to or provisions for the profit sharing plan and retirement savings plans are charged to operations and amounted to \$51 million in 2013, \$33 million in 2012 and \$32 million in 2011. In 2013, 0.9 million shares were contributed as part of our contribution to the 2012 profit sharing plan, and in 2012 0.9 million shares were contributed as part of our contribution to the 2011 profit sharing plan. These shares were issued out of treasury stock. No shares of our common stock were contributed in 2011.

We have various international defined contribution benefit plans which cover certain employees. We have expanded use of these plans in select countries where they have been used to supplement or replace defined benefit plans.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

We recognize the funded status of each defined pension benefit plan measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the projected benefit obligations of the employee benefit plans on the consolidated balance sheet, with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes. Each overfunded plan is recognized as an asset and each underfunded plan is recognized as a liability on our consolidated balance sheets. Subsequent changes in the funded status are recorded in unrecognized pension items, a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss, that is included in total stockholders' equity. The amount of unamortized pension items is recorded net of tax. The measurement date used by us to determine projected benefit obligations and plan assets is December 31.

We have amortized actuarial gains or losses over the average future working lifetime (or remaining lifetime of inactive participants if there are no active participants). We have used the corridor method, where the corridor is the greater of ten percent of the projected benefit obligation or fair value of assets at year end. If actuarial gains or losses do not exceed the corridor, then there is no amortization of gain or loss.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the components of our net periodic benefit cost for the three years ended December 31, for our pension plans charged to operations:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| U.S. and non-U.S. net periodic benefit cost included in cost of sales | \$ 5.8 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 5.5 |
| U.S. and non-U.S. net periodic benefit cost included in selling, general and administrative expenses | 11.1 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 16.9 | \$ 17.0 | \$ 15.9 |

The amount recorded in inventory as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was not material.

United States

A number of our U.S. employees, including some employees who are covered by collective bargaining agreements, participate in defined benefit pension plans. The following table presents our funded status for 2013 and 2012 for our U.S. pension plans. The measurement date for the defined benefit pension plans presented below is December 31 of each period.

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Change in benefit obligation: | | |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of period | \$ 210.1 | \$ 212.1 |
| Service cost | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Interest cost | 7.9 | 9.3 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | (11.3) | 6.4 |
| Benefits paid | (8.7) | (8.2) |
| Settlement/curtailment | (7.1) | (10.7) |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of period | \$ 192.2 | \$ 210.1 |
| Change in plan assets: | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period | 177.6 | 171.2 |
| Actual gain on plan assets | 14.7 | 22.0 |
| Employer contributions | 0.2 | 3.3 |
| Benefits paid | (8.7) | (8.2) |
| Settlement/curtailment | (7.1) | (10.7) |
| Other | 0.7 | |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of period | 177.4 | 177.6 |
| Underfunded status at end of year | \$ (14.8) | \$ (32.5) |

Amounts included on the consolidated balance sheets consisted of other liabilities of \$15 million in 2013 and \$33 million in 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the components of our net periodic benefit cost for the three years ended December 31, for our U.S. pension plans charged to operations:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.2 | \$ 1.1 |
| Interest cost | 7.9 | 9.3 | 4.8 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (11.1) | (11.2) | (5.1) |
| Amortization of net prior service cost | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 0.5 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 2.3 |

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss that have not yet been recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost at December 31, 2013, are:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Unrecognized prior service costs | \$ 0.2 |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | 2.1 |
| Total | \$ 2.3 |

Changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income in 2013 were as follows:

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Current year actuarial loss (gain) | \$ (14.1) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | (2.2) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (0.2) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income | \$ (16.5) |

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during 2014 are as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2014 |
| Unrecognized prior service costs | \$ 0.1 |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | 0.9 |
| Total | \$ 1.0 |

Information for plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets as of December 31, 2013 is as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$ 189.2 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 177.4 |

Actuarial Assumptions

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Discount rate | 4.6% | 3.8% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.0 | 3.5 |

Table of Contents

Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for the three years ended December 31, were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Discount rate | 3.8% | 4.6% | 4.9% |
| Expected long-term rate of return | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

We expect the following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service as appropriate, to be paid in the years indicated below:

| Year | Amount |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2014 | \$ 13.9 |
| 2015 | 10.8 |
| 2016 | 12.3 |
| 2017 | 12.6 |
| 2018 | 12.5 |
| Thereafter | 62.8 |
| Total | \$ 124.9 |

We expect to contribute \$3.5 million of cash to our U.S. defined benefit plans in 2014.

Plan Assets

We review the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets annually, taking into consideration our asset allocation, historical returns, and the current economic environment. The expected return on plan assets is calculated based on the fair value of plan assets at year end. To determine the expected return on plan assets, expected cash flows have been taken into account.

Our long-term objectives for plan investments are to ensure that (a) there is an adequate level of assets to support benefit obligations to participants over the life of the plans, (b) there is sufficient liquidity in plan assets to cover current benefit obligations, and (c) there is a high level of investment return consistent with a prudent level of investment risk. The investment strategy is focused on a long-term total return in excess of a pure fixed income strategy with short-term volatility less than that of a pure equity strategy. To accomplish this objective, we cause assets to be invested in a balanced and diversified mix of equity and fixed income investments. The target asset allocation will typically be 40-50% in equity securities, with a maximum equity allocation of 70%, and 50-60% in fixed income securities, with a minimum fixed income allocation of 30% including cash.

Table of Contents

The fair values of our U.S. pension plan assets, by asset category and by the level of fair values at December 31, 2013, are as follows:

| Asset Category | 2013 | | | | 2012 | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2.3 | \$ | \$ 2.3 | \$ | \$ 2.7 | \$ | \$ 2.7 | \$ |
| Fixed income funds ⁽²⁾ | 91.7 | | 91.7 | | 96.2 | | 96.2 | |
| Equity funds ⁽³⁾ | 77.2 | | 77.2 | | 72.2 | | 72.2 | |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 6.2 | | 6.1 | 0.1 | 6.5 | | 0.2 | 6.3 |
| Total | \$ 177.4 | \$ | \$ 177.3 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 177.6 | \$ | \$ 171.3 | \$ 6.3 |

- (1) Short-term investment fund that invests in a collective trust that holds short-term highly liquid investments with principal preservation and daily liquidity as its primary objectives. Investments are primarily comprised of certificates of deposit, U.S. government treasuries, commercial paper, and time deposits.
- (2) A diversified portfolio of publicly traded government and corporate bonds. There are no restrictions on these investments and they are valued at the net asset value of the shares held at year end, which are supported by the value of the underlying securities and by the unit prices of actual purchase and sale transactions occurring as of or close to the financial statement date.
- (3) A diversified portfolio of publicly traded domestic and international common stock. There are no restrictions on these investments, and they are valued at the net asset value of the shares held at year end, which are supported by the values of the underlying securities and by the unit prices of actual purchase and sale transactions occurring as of or close to the financial statement date.
- (4) More than 90% is invested in real estate funds with less than \$0.5 million invested in alternative investments such as private equity funds, hedge funds and commodities.

The following table shows the activity of our plan assets, which are measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs:

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 6.3 |
| Gains on assets sold during year | 0.8 |
| (Losses) on assets still held at end of year | (0.9) |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | (6.1) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 0.1 |

Table of Contents**International**

Some of our non-U.S. employees participate in defined benefit pension plans in their respective countries. The following table presents our funded status for 2013 and 2012 for our non-U.S. pension plans. The measurement date for the defined benefit pension plans presented below is December 31 of each period:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Change in benefit obligation: | | |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of period | \$ 1,011.8 | \$ 833.1 |
| Service cost | 11.0 | 14.9 |
| Interest cost | 35.5 | 37.3 |
| Actuarial loss | 34.0 | 149.8 |
| Settlement/curtailment | (5.6) | (6.8) |
| Benefits paid | (32.3) | (37.4) |
| Employee contributions | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Other | 0.4 | (0.6) |
| Foreign exchange impact | 12.0 | 17.7 |
| | | |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of period | \$ 1,070.4 | \$ 1,011.8 |
| Change in plan assets: | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period | 784.0 | 704.2 |
| Actual gain on plan assets | 56.3 | 66.1 |
| Employer contributions | 34.1 | 40.2 |
| Employee contributions | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Benefits paid | (32.3) | (37.4) |
| Settlement/curtailment | (5.5) | (6.5) |
| Other | (1.1) | (1.0) |
| Foreign exchange impact | 6.7 | 14.6 |
| | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of period | 845.8 | 784.0 |
| | | |
| Underfunded status at end of year | \$ (224.6) | \$ (227.8) |

Amounts included on the consolidated balance sheets consisted of:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Other assets | \$ 21.7 | \$ 33.4 |
| Other current liabilities | (4.6) | (4.5) |
| Other liabilities | (241.7) | (256.7) |
| | | |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (224.6) | \$ (227.8) |

The following table shows the components of our net periodic benefit cost for the three years ended December 31, for our non-U.S. pension plans charged to operations:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------|---------|--------|
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 11.0 | \$ 14.9 | \$ 8.1 |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Interest cost | 35.5 | 37.3 | 21.9 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (38.1) | (41.6) | (20.8) |
| Amortization of net prior service cost | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 7.8 | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 16.4 | \$ 15.7 | \$ 13.5 |

Table of Contents

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss that have not yet been recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost at December 31, 2013 are:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Unrecognized prior service costs | \$ 0.6 |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | 195.2 |
| Total | \$ 195.8 |

Changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income in 2013 were as follows:

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Current year actuarial loss | \$ 16.2 |
| Amortization of actuarial gain | (7.9) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (0.2) |
| Settlement/curtailment gain | (0.8) |
| Effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates | 3.9 |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income | \$ 11.2 |

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during 2014 are as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | \$ 9.5 |
| Total | \$ 9.5 |

Information for plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets as of December 31, 2013 is as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$ 829.3 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 617.5 |

Actuarial Assumptions

Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|-------------------------------|------|------|
| Discount rate | 3.8% | 3.7% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 2.9 | 2.8 |

Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for the three years ended December 31, were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Discount rate | 3.7% | 4.3% | 4.6% |
| Expected long-term rate of return | 5.0 | 5.9 | 5.8 |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Rate of compensation increase | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|

Table of Contents*Estimated Future Benefit Payments*

We expect the following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service as appropriate, to be paid in the years indicated:

| Year | Amount |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2014 | \$ 40.2 |
| 2015 | 35.3 |
| 2016 | 36.9 |
| 2017 | 38.8 |
| 2018 | 40.4 |
| Thereafter | 216.8 |
| Total | \$ 408.4 |

We expect to contribute approximately \$27 million of cash to our non-U.S. defined benefit plans in 2014.

Plan Assets

We review the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets annually, taking into consideration our asset allocation, historical returns, and the current economic environment.

Our long-term objectives for plan investments are to ensure that (a) there is an adequate level of assets to support benefit obligations to participants over the life of the plans, (b) there is sufficient liquidity in plan assets to cover current benefit obligations, and (c) there is a high level of investment return consistent with a prudent level of investment risk. The investment strategy is focused on a long-term total return in excess of a pure fixed income strategy with short-term volatility less than that of a pure equity strategy. To accomplish this objective, we cause assets to be invested primarily in a diversified mix of equity and fixed income investments.

The fair values of our non-U.S. pension plan assets, by asset category and by the level of fair values are as follows:

| Asset Category | 2013 | | | | 2012 | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 8.5 | \$ 6.7 | \$ 1.8 | \$ | \$ 7.6 | \$ 5.8 | \$ 1.8 | \$ |
| Fixed income funds ⁽²⁾ | 405.7 | | 405.7 | | 404.2 | | 404.2 | |
| Equity funds ⁽³⁾ | 341.0 | | 341.0 | | 290.0 | | 290.0 | |
| Other ⁽⁴⁾ | 90.6 | | 3.2 | 87.4 | 82.2 | | 20.4 | 61.8 |
| Total | \$ 845.8 | \$ 6.7 | \$ 751.7 | \$ 87.4 | \$ 784.0 | \$ 5.8 | \$ 716.4 | \$ 61.8 |

(1) Short-term investment fund that invests in a collective trust that holds short-term highly liquid investments with principal preservation and daily liquidity as its primary objectives. Investments are primarily comprised of certificates of deposit, government securities, commercial paper, and time deposits.

(2) Fixed income funds that invest in a diversified portfolio primarily consisting of publicly traded government bonds and corporate bonds. There are no restrictions on these investments, and they are valued at the net asset value of shares held at year end.

(3) Equity funds that invest in a diversified portfolio of publicly traded domestic and international common stock, with an emphasis in European equities. There are no restrictions on these investments, and they are valued at the net asset value of shares held at year end.

(4)

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

The majority of these assets are invested in real estate funds and other alternative investments. Also includes guaranteed insurance contracts, which consists of company and employee contributions and accumulated interest income at guaranteed stated interest rates and provides for benefit payments and plan expenses.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the activity of our plan assets, which are measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs.

| | |
|------------------------------------|---------|
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 61.8 |
| Gains on assets still held | 1.0 |
| Purchases, sales and settlements | 12.1 |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | 10.1 |
| Foreign exchange impact | 2.4 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 87.4 |

Note 16 Other Post-Employment Benefits and Other Employee Benefit Plans**Other Postretirement Benefit Plans Legacy Diversey**

In addition to providing pension benefits, we provide for a portion of healthcare, dental, vision and life insurance benefits for certain retired legacy Diversey employees, primarily in North America. Covered employees retiring on or after attaining age 55 and who have rendered at least ten years of service are entitled to post-retirement healthcare, dental and life insurance benefits. These benefits are subject to deductibles, co-payment provisions and other limitations.

Contributions made by us, net of Medicare Part D subsidies received in the U.S., are reported below as benefits paid. We may change the benefits at any time. We have elected to amortize the transition obligation over a 20-year period. The status of these plans, including a reconciliation of benefit obligations, a reconciliation of plan assets and the funded status of the plans, follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Change in benefit obligations: | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of period | \$ 79.9 | \$ 71.1 |
| Service cost | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Interest cost | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Plan participants' contributions | | |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | (6.0) | 9.2 |
| Benefits paid | (5.2) | (4.4) |
| Loss due to exchange rate movements | (0.1) | 0.1 |
| Plan amendments | 0.6 | (0.4) |
| Benefit obligation at end of period | \$ 73.1 | \$ 79.9 |
| Change in plan assets: | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period | \$ | \$ |
| Employer contribution | 5.2 | 4.4 |
| Plan participants' contribution | | |
| Benefits paid | (5.2) | (4.4) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of period | \$ | \$ |
| Net amount recognized: | | |
| Underfunded status | \$ (73.1) | \$ (79.9) |
| Net amount recognized in consolidated balance sheets consists of: | | |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Current liability | \$ (5.7) | \$ (5.2) |
| Noncurrent liability | (67.4) | (74.7) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (73.1) | \$ (79.9) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss consist of: | | |
| Net actuarial loss | \$ 6.9 | \$ 13.2 |
| Prior service credit | (12.1) | (13.4) |
| Total | \$ (5.2) | \$ (0.2) |

Table of Contents

The accumulated post-retirement benefit obligations were determined using a weighted-average discount rate of 4.5% at December 31, 2013 and 3.8% at December 31, 2012. The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three years ended December 31 were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 0.9 | \$ 1.0 | \$ 0.3 |
| Interest cost | 3.0 | 3.3 | 0.8 |
| Amortization of net loss | 0.4 | | |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (0.7) | (0.9) | (0.1) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 3.6 | \$ 3.4 | \$ 1.0 |

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2013 that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during the next fiscal year are as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Actuarial loss | \$ |
| Prior service (credit) | (0.8) |
| Transition (asset) obligation | |

Other Postretirement Benefit Plans Legacy Sealed Air

We generally do not offer our employees postretirement benefits other than programs that are required by the foreign countries in which we operate. In the U.S., we offer a postretirement healthcare program that is fully funded by the participating retired employees, except as noted below. These programs are not material to our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Healthcare Cost Trend Rates

For the year ended December 31, 2013, healthcare cost trend rates were assumed to be 4.0% for Belgian plans, 7.0% for U.S. plans in 2014 and decreasing to 5.0% by 2021, and 7.0% for Canadian plans in 2014 decreasing to 5.0% by 2018. The assumed healthcare cost trend rate has an effect on the amounts reported for the healthcare plans. A one percentage point change on assumed healthcare cost trend rates would have the following effect for the year ended December 31, 2013:

| | 1% Increase | 1% Decrease |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Effect on total of service and interest cost components | \$ 0.2 | \$ (0.2) |
| Effect on post-retirement benefit obligation | 2.3 | (2.7) |

The amortization of any prior service cost is determined using a straight-line amortization of the cost over the average remaining service period of employees expected to receive benefits under the plan.

Expected post-retirement benefits (net of Medicare Part D subsidies) for each of the next five years and succeeding five years are as follows:

| Year | Amount |
|------------|--------|
| 2014 | \$ 5.9 |
| 2015 | 5.6 |
| 2016 | 5.4 |
| 2017 | 5.3 |
| 2018 | 5.4 |
| Thereafter | 26.5 |

| | |
|-------|---------|
| Total | \$ 54.1 |
|-------|---------|

Table of Contents**Note 17 Income Taxes**

The components of earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income tax provision were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Domestic | \$ (95.1) | \$ (1,449.0) | \$ (77.6) |
| Foreign | 272.8 | (433.6) | 267.0 |
| Total | \$ 177.7 | \$ (1,882.6) | \$ 189.4 |

The components of the income tax provision (benefit) were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Current tax expense: | | | |
| Federal | \$ (4.3) | \$ (8.6) | \$ 35.4 |
| State and local | (3.9) | (6.5) | 5.5 |
| Foreign | 85.2 | 68.8 | 76.3 |
| Total current | 77.0 | 53.7 | 117.2 |
| Deferred tax expense (benefit) : | | | |
| Federal | 3.4 | (231.2) | (54.1) |
| State and local | 10.3 | (24.8) | (5.2) |
| Foreign | (6.7) | (62.4) | (1.2) |
| Total deferred tax benefit | 7.0 | (318.4) | (60.5) |
| Total provision (benefit) | \$ 84.0 | \$ (264.7) | \$ 56.7 |

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) consist of the following:

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Settlement agreement and related accrued interest ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 460.7 | \$ 442.3 |
| Restructuring reserves | 3.1 | 8.7 |
| Accruals not yet deductible for tax purposes | 68.3 | 74.1 |
| Net operating loss carry forwards | 127.0 | 132.6 |
| Foreign, federal and state credits and investment tax allowances | 32.7 | 53.2 |
| Employee benefit items | 130.6 | 129.8 |
| Other | 20.2 | 13.2 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 842.6 | 853.9 |
| Valuation allowance | (240.0) | (200.0) |
| Total deferred tax assets | 602.6 | 653.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (51.8) | (68.1) |
| Unremitted foreign earnings | (135.2) | (135.2) |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Intangibles | (256.0) | (274.3) |
| Other | (5.5) | (10.0) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (448.5) | (487.6) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 154.1 | \$ 166.3 |

- ⁽¹⁾ This deferred tax asset reflects the cash portion of the Settlement agreement and related accrued interest and the fair market value of 18 million shares of our common stock at a post-split price of \$17.86 per share based on the price when the Settlement agreement was reached in 2002. See Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies, for further discussion.

Table of Contents

In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we estimate future taxable earnings, with consideration for the feasibility of ongoing planning strategies and the realizability of tax benefit carry forwards and past operating results, to determine which deferred tax assets are more likely than not to be realized in the future. Changes to tax laws, statutory tax rates and future taxable earnings can have an impact on valuation allowances related to deferred tax assets.

The decrease in net deferred tax assets is attributable to the increase in our valuation allowance with respect to our deferred tax asset for the Settlement agreement, partially offset by additional accruals under the Settlement agreement and amortization of intangibles.

Based upon anticipated future results, we have concluded that it is more likely than not that we will realize the \$603 million balance of deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013, net of the valuation allowance of \$240 million. In addition to an \$88 million valuation allowance with respect to our deferred tax asset for the Settlement agreement, the valuation allowance primarily relates to the uncertainty of utilizing the following deferred tax assets: \$397 million of U.S. federal and foreign net operating loss carryforwards, or \$116 million on a tax-effected basis, \$18 million of foreign and federal tax credits and investment allowances, \$828 million of state net operating loss carry forwards, or \$39 million on a tax-effected basis, and \$23 million of state tax credits, or \$15 million net of federal tax benefits. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the valuation allowance increased by \$40 million, due to an increase in our valuation allowance with respect to the deferred tax asset for the Settlement agreement, partially offset by a reduced valuation allowance related to the use of foreign tax credits and state net operating losses. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the valuation allowance decreased by \$19 million, due to the use of foreign tax credits in connection with the sale of Diversey Japan, offset by an increase with respect to foreign net operating losses and our allowance with respect to the Settlement agreement. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the valuation allowance increased by \$176 million primarily due to \$162 million related to the acquisition of Diversey, \$3 million that was charged to the income tax provision and \$11 million resulting from a net increase to deferred tax assets with a 100% valuation allowance.

As of December 31, 2013, we have U.S. federal and foreign net operating loss carryforwards totaling \$397 million that expire during the following calendar years (in millions): 2014 \$4; 2015 \$7; 2016 \$7; 2017 \$12; 2018 \$27; 2019 \$6; 2020 and beyond \$169; and no expiration \$165. The state net operating loss carryforwards totaling \$828 million expire in various amounts over one to 20 years.

As of December 31, 2013, we have foreign and federal foreign tax credit carryforwards and investment allowances totaling \$18 million that expire during the following calendar years (in millions): 2014 \$1; 2015 \$1; 2016 \$0; 2017 \$2; 2018 \$0; 2019 \$2; 2020 and beyond \$2; and no expiration \$10. The state tax credit carryforwards, totaling \$23 million, expire in various amounts over one to 20 years.

Net deferred income taxes (credited) charged to stockholders' equity were \$(7) million in 2013, \$(25) million in 2012 and \$6 million in 2011.

The U.S. federal statutory corporate tax rate reconciles to our effective income tax rate as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-------|--------|-------|
| Statutory U.S. federal tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | (1.3) | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Foreign earnings taxed at lower effective rates | (4.1) | (0.8) | (8.4) |
| Nondeductible acquisition costs | | | 2.9 |
| U.S. domestic manufacturing deduction | | | (2.8) |
| Impairment | | (20.0) | |
| Reorganization tax benefit | (6.7) | | |
| Net change in valuation allowance | 22.7 | (2.2) | 0.8 |
| Net change in unrecognized tax benefits | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| Other | (0.3) | (0.3) | 1.8 |
| Effective income tax rate | 47.3% | 14.1% | 29.9% |

Table of Contents***Unrecognized Tax Benefits***

We are providing the following disclosures related to our unrecognized tax benefits and the effect on our effective income tax rate if recognized:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Unrecognized tax benefits at January 1, 2013 | \$ 233.2 |
| Additions for tax positions of current year | 7.5 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | 5.0 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | (23.8) |
| | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2013 | \$ 221.9 |

In 2013, we reduced our unrecognized tax benefit by \$11 million, primarily due to approximately \$5 million of payments made to foreign jurisdictions.

If the unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2013 were recognized, our income tax provision would decrease by \$185 million, resulting in a substantially lower effective tax rate. It is reasonably possible that within the next 12 months our unrecognized tax benefit position will decrease by approximately \$41 million.

We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax provision on the consolidated statements of operations. We had a liability of approximately \$28 million (of which \$14 million represents penalties) at January 1, 2013 and a liability of \$27 million (of which \$12 million represents penalties) at December 31, 2013 for the payment of interest and penalties (before any tax benefit). In 2013, interest and penalties of \$5 million were reversed in connection with the related tax accruals for uncertainties in prior years.

Income Tax Returns

The Internal Revenue Service (the Service) has concluded its examination of the legacy Sealed Air U.S. federal income tax returns for all years through 2008, except 2007 remains open to the extent of a capital loss carryback. Examination of legacy Diversey U.S. federal income tax returns has also been substantially completed through 2010, but the Service could challenge the Diversey U.S. income tax losses carried forward to subsequent periods. The Service is currently auditing the 2007 and 2010 consolidated U.S. federal income tax returns of legacy Sealed Air.

State income tax returns are generally subject to examination for a period of three to five years after their filing date. We have various state income tax returns in the process of examination.

Income tax returns in foreign jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from three to five years after their filing date and except where still under examination or where we are litigating, we have generally concluded all other income tax matters globally for years through 2006. Our foreign income tax returns are under examination in various jurisdictions in which we conduct business and we are litigating certain issues in several jurisdictions.

Note 18 Commitments and Contingencies***Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies******Settlement Agreement and Related Costs***

On November 27, 2002, we reached an agreement in principle with the Committees appointed to represent asbestos claimants in the bankruptcy case of W. R. Grace & Co., known as Grace or WRG, to resolve all current and future asbestos-related claims made against the Company and our affiliates in connection with the Cryovac

Table of Contents

transaction described below (as memorialized by the parties in the Settlement agreement and as approved by the Bankruptcy Court, the Settlement agreement). The Settlement agreement provided for the resolution of the fraudulent transfer claims and successor liability claims, as well as indemnification claims by Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. and affiliated companies, in connection with the Cryovac transaction. On December 3, 2002, our Board of Directors approved the agreement in principle. We received notice that both of the Committees had approved the agreement in principle as of December 5, 2002. The parties subsequently signed the definitive Settlement agreement as of November 10, 2003 consistent with the terms of the agreement in principle. For a description of the Cryovac transaction, asbestos-related claims and the parties involved, see Cryovac Transaction, Discussion of Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies, Fresenius Claims, Canadian Claims and Additional Matters Related to the Cryovac Transaction below.

We recorded a pre-tax charge of approximately \$850 million as a result of the Settlement agreement on our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2002. The charge consisted of the following items:

a charge of \$513 million covering a cash payment that we were required to make under the Settlement agreement upon the effectiveness of an appropriate plan of reorganization in the Grace bankruptcy. Because we could not predict when a plan of reorganization would become effective, we recorded this liability as a current liability on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002. Under the terms of the Settlement agreement, this amount accrued interest at a 5.5% annual rate from December 21, 2002 to the date of payment. We recorded this interest in interest expense on our consolidated statements of operations and in Settlement agreement and related accrued interest on our consolidated balance sheets. The accrued interest, which was compounded annually, was \$412 million at December 31, 2013 and \$364 million at December 31, 2012.

a non-cash charge of \$322 million representing the fair market value at the date we recorded the charge of nine million shares of Sealed Air common stock would issue under the Settlement agreement upon the effectiveness of an appropriate plan of reorganization in the Grace bankruptcy, which was adjusted to eighteen million shares due to our two-for-one stock split in March 2007. These shares were subject to customary anti-dilution provisions that adjusted for the effects of stock splits, stock dividends and other events affecting our common stock. The fair market value of our common stock was \$35.72 per pre-split share (\$17.86 post-split) as of the close of business on December 5, 2002. We recorded this amount on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002 as follows: \$0.9 million representing the aggregate par value of these shares of common stock reserved for issuance related to the Settlement agreement, and the remaining \$321 million, representing the excess of the aggregate fair market value over the aggregate par value of these common shares, in additional paid-in capital.

\$16 million of legal and related fees as of December 31, 2002.

As discussed below, on February 3, 2014, the Company's subsidiary, Cryovac, Inc., made the payments contemplated by the Settlement agreement, consisting of aggregate cash payments in the amount of \$929.7 million to the WRG Asbestos PI Trust and the WRG Asbestos PD Trust and the transfer of 18 million shares of Sealed Air common stock (the Settlement Shares) to the PI Trust, in each case reflecting adjustments made in accordance with the Settlement agreement. In connection with the issuance of the Settlement Shares and their transfer to the PI Trust by Cryovac, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 3, 2014 (the Registration Rights Agreement), with the PI Trust as initial holder of the Settlement Shares. Under the Registration Rights Agreement, the Company will be required to use reasonable best efforts to prepare and file with the SEC a shelf registration statement covering resales of the Settlement Shares on or prior to 60 days after the Effective Date, and to use reasonable best efforts to cause such shelf registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC as soon as reasonably practicable.

Table of Contents

Cryovac Transaction

On June 30, 1998, we completed a multi-step transaction that brought the Cryovac packaging business and the former Sealed Air Corporation's business under the common ownership of the Company. These businesses operate as subsidiaries of the Company, and the Company acts as a holding company. As part of that transaction, the parties separated the Cryovac packaging business, which previously had been held by various direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Company, from the remaining businesses previously held by the Company. The parties then arranged for the contribution of these remaining businesses to a company now known as W. R. Grace & Co., and the Company distributed the Grace shares to the Company's stockholders. As a result, W. R. Grace & Co. became a separate publicly owned company. The Company recapitalized its outstanding shares of common stock into a new common stock and a new convertible preferred stock. A subsidiary of the Company then merged into the former Sealed Air Corporation, which became a subsidiary of the Company and changed its name to Sealed Air Corporation (US).

Discussion of Cryovac Transaction Commitments and Contingencies

In connection with the Cryovac transaction, Grace and its subsidiaries retained all liabilities arising out of their operations before the Cryovac transaction, whether accruing or occurring before or after the Cryovac transaction, other than liabilities arising from or relating to Cryovac's operations. Among the liabilities retained by Grace are liabilities relating to asbestos-containing products previously manufactured or sold by Grace's subsidiaries prior to the Cryovac transaction, including its primary U.S. operating subsidiary, W. R. Grace & Co. Conn., which has operated for decades and has been a subsidiary of Grace since the Cryovac transaction. The Cryovac transaction agreements provided that, should any claimant seek to hold the Company or any of its subsidiaries responsible for liabilities retained by Grace or its subsidiaries, including the asbestos-related liabilities, Grace and its subsidiaries would indemnify and defend us.

Since the beginning of 2000, we have been served with a number of lawsuits alleging that, as a result of the Cryovac transaction, we are responsible for alleged asbestos liabilities of Grace and its subsidiaries, some of which were also named as co-defendants in some of these actions. Among these lawsuits are several purported class actions and a number of personal injury lawsuits. Some plaintiffs seek damages for personal injury or wrongful death, while others seek medical monitoring, environmental remediation or remedies related to an attic insulation product. Neither the former Sealed Air Corporation nor Cryovac, Inc. ever produced or sold any of the asbestos-containing materials that are the subjects of these cases. None of these cases has reached resolution through judgment, settlement or otherwise. As discussed below, Grace's Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding stayed all of these cases and the orders confirming Grace's plan of reorganization enjoin parties from prosecuting Grace-related asbestos claims against the Company.

While the allegations in these actions directed to us vary, these actions all appear to allege that the transfer of the Cryovac business as part of the Cryovac transaction was a fraudulent transfer or gave rise to successor liability. Under a theory of successor liability, plaintiffs with claims against Grace and its subsidiaries may attempt to hold us liable for liabilities that arose with respect to activities conducted prior to the Cryovac transaction by W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. or other Grace subsidiaries. A transfer would be a fraudulent transfer if the transferor received less than reasonably equivalent value and the transferor was insolvent or was rendered insolvent by the transfer, was engaged or was about to engage in a business for which its assets constitute unreasonably small capital, or intended to incur or believed that it would incur debts beyond its ability to pay as they mature. A transfer may also be fraudulent if it was made with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors. If a court found any transfers in connection with the Cryovac transaction to be fraudulent transfers, we could be required to return the property or its value to the transferor or could be required to fund liabilities of Grace or its subsidiaries for the benefit of their creditors, including asbestos claimants. We signed the Settlement agreement, described below, that provided for the resolution of these claims.

In the Joint Proxy Statement furnished to their respective stockholders in connection with the Cryovac transaction, both parties to the transaction stated that it was their belief that Grace and its subsidiaries were

Table of Contents

adequately capitalized and would be adequately capitalized after the Cryovac transaction and that none of the transfers contemplated to occur in the Cryovac transaction would be a fraudulent transfer. They also stated their belief that the Cryovac transaction complied with other relevant laws. However, if a court applying the relevant legal standards had reached conclusions adverse to us, these determinations could have had a materially adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

On April 2, 2001, Grace and a number of its subsidiaries filed petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in the District of Delaware (the Bankruptcy Court). Grace stated that the filing was made in response to a sharply increasing number of asbestos claims since 1999.

In connection with its Chapter 11 filing, Grace filed an application with the Bankruptcy Court seeking to stay, among others, all actions brought against the Company and specified subsidiaries related to alleged asbestos liabilities of Grace and its subsidiaries or alleging fraudulent transfer claims. The court issued an order dated May 3, 2001, which was modified on January 22, 2002, under which the court stayed all the filed or pending asbestos actions against us and, upon filing and service on us, all future asbestos actions (collectively, the Preliminary Injunction). Pursuant to the Preliminary Injunction, no further proceedings involving us could occur in the actions that were stayed except upon further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

Committees appointed to represent asbestos claimants in Grace's bankruptcy case received the court's permission to pursue fraudulent transfer and other claims against the Company and its subsidiary Cryovac, Inc., and against Fresenius, as discussed below. The claims against Fresenius are based upon a 1996 transaction between Fresenius and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. Fresenius is not affiliated with us. In March 2002, the court ordered that the issues of the solvency of Grace following the Cryovac transaction and whether Grace received reasonably equivalent value in the Cryovac transaction would be tried on behalf of all of Grace's creditors. This proceeding was brought in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (the District Court) (Adv. No. 02-02210).

In June 2002, the court permitted the U.S. government to intervene as a plaintiff in the fraudulent transfer proceeding, so that the U.S. government could pursue allegations that environmental remediation expenses were underestimated or omitted in the solvency analyses of Grace conducted at the time of the Cryovac transaction. The court also permitted Grace, which asserted that the Cryovac transaction was not a fraudulent transfer, to intervene in the proceeding. In July 2002, the court issued an interim ruling on the legal standards to be applied in the trial, holding, among other things, that, subject to specified limitations, post-1998 claims should be considered in the solvency analysis of Grace. We believe that only claims and liabilities that were known, or reasonably should have been known, at the time of the 1998 Cryovac transaction should be considered under the applicable standard.

With the fraudulent transfer trial set to commence on December 9, 2002, on November 27, 2002, we reached an agreement in principle with the Committees prosecuting the claims against the Company and Cryovac, Inc., to resolve all current and future asbestos-related claims arising from the Cryovac transaction. The parties subsequently signed the definitive Settlement agreement as of November 10, 2003 consistent with the terms of the agreement in principle. As discussed above, the Settlement agreement called for payment of nine million shares of our common stock and \$513 million in cash, plus interest on the cash payment at a 5.5% annual rate starting on December 21, 2002 and ending on the effective date of an appropriate plan of reorganization in the Grace bankruptcy, when we would be required to make the payment. These shares were subject to customary anti-dilution provisions that adjust for the effects of stock splits, stock dividends and other events affecting our common stock, and as a result, the number of shares of our common stock issued under the Settlement agreement increased to eighteen million shares upon the two-for-one stock split in March 2007.

On June 27, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court signed an order approving the Settlement agreement. Although Grace is not a party to the Settlement agreement, under the terms of the order, Grace is directed to comply with the Settlement agreement subject to limited exceptions. The order also provides that the Court will retain jurisdiction over any dispute involving the interpretation or enforcement of the terms and provisions of the Settlement agreement.

Table of Contents

As a condition to our obligation to make the payments required by the Settlement agreement, any final plan of reorganization had to be consistent with the terms of the Settlement agreement, including provisions for the trusts and releases referred to below and for an injunction barring the prosecution of any asbestos-related claims against us. The Settlement agreement provides that, upon the effective date of the final plan of reorganization and payment of the shares and cash, all present and future asbestos-related claims against us that arise from alleged asbestos liabilities of Grace and its affiliates (including former affiliates that became our affiliates through the Cryovac transaction) will be channeled to and become the responsibility of one or more trusts to be established under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code as part of a final plan of reorganization in the Grace bankruptcy. The Settlement agreement also resolved all fraudulent transfer claims against us arising from the Cryovac transaction as well as the Fresenius claims described below. The Settlement agreement provides for releases of all those claims upon payment. Under the agreement, we cannot seek indemnity from Grace for our payments required by the Settlement agreement. The order approving the Settlement agreement also provides that the Preliminary Injunction stay of proceedings involving us described above continued through the effective date of the final plan of reorganization, after which, upon implementation of the Settlement agreement, we have been released from the Grace asbestos liabilities asserted in those proceedings and their continued prosecution against us are enjoined. As more fully discussed below, the Settlement agreement became effective upon Grace's emergence from bankruptcy pursuant to a plan of reorganization that implements the terms of the Settlement agreement.

On September 19, 2008, Grace, the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants, the Asbestos PI Future Claimants Representative, and the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders filed, as co-proponents, a plan of reorganization that incorporated a settlement of all present and future asbestos-related personal injury claims against Grace (as filed and amended from time to time, the PI Settlement Plan). Amended versions of the PI Settlement Plan, related exhibits, and associated documents were filed with the Bankruptcy Court from time to time. The PI Settlement Plan provides for the establishment of two asbestos trusts under Section 524(g) of the United States Bankruptcy Code to which present and future asbestos-related personal injury and property damage claims are channeled. The PI Settlement Plan also incorporates the Settlement agreement, including our payment of amounts contemplated by the Settlement agreement.

On January 31, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered a memorandum opinion (as amended, the Bankruptcy Court Opinion) overruling certain objections to the PI Settlement Plan. The Bankruptcy Court entered orders on January 31, 2011 and February 15, 2011 (collectively, the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Order) confirming the PI Settlement Plan and requesting that the District Court issue and affirm the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Order, including the injunction under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Various parties appealed or otherwise challenged the Bankruptcy Court Opinion and the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Order, including without limitation with respect to issues relating to releases and injunctions contained in the PI Settlement Plan. On January 30, 2012 and June 11, 2012, the District Court issued memorandum opinions and orders (collectively with the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Orders, the Confirmation Orders) overruling all objections to the PI Settlement Plan and confirming the PI Settlement Plan in its entirety, including the approval and issuance of the injunctions under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code and the other injunctions, releases, and indemnifications set forth in the PI Settlement Plan and the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Order.

Five appeals to the Confirmation Orders (the Third Circuit Appeals) were filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (the Third Circuit Court of Appeals), and certain of the Third Circuit Appeals included challenges to releases and injunctions contained in the PI Settlement Plan. By separate opinions and orders, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals denied four of the Third Circuit Appeals and the appealing parties to these appeals did not pursue further appeals to the United States Supreme Court. On December 23, 2013, Grace filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court (the Lender Settlement Motion) seeking approval of a settlement to consensually resolve the remaining Third Circuit Appeal which had been brought by certain holders of Grace's prepetition bank debt (the Bank Lender Appeal).

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, the Lender Settlement Motion remained pending with the Bankruptcy Court and the Bank Lender Appeal remained pending with the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, and conditions to the effectiveness of the PI Settlement Plan and of the Settlement agreement remained open.

On January 17, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Lender Settlement Motion. On January 29, 2014, by agreement of the parties, the Bankruptcy Court dismissed with prejudice the fraudulent transfer action brought against the Company by the Committees appointed to represent asbestos claimants in Grace's bankruptcy. On February 3, 2014 (the Effective Date), the Third Circuit Court of Appeals dismissed the Bank Lender Appeal by agreement of Grace and bank lenders and, as a result, the approval of the PI Settlement Plan pursuant to the Confirmation Orders became final and not subject to appeal. Also on the Effective Date, the remaining conditions to the effectiveness of the PI Settlement Plan and the Settlement agreement were satisfied or waived by the relevant parties (including the Company), and the PI Settlement Plan implementing the Settlement agreement became effective with Grace emerging from bankruptcy on the Effective Date. In accordance with the PI Settlement Plan and the Settlement agreement, on the Effective Date, Cryovac, Inc. made aggregate cash payments in the amount of \$929.7 million to the WRG Asbestos PI Trust (the PI Trust) and the WRG Asbestos PD Trust (the PD Trust) and transferred 18 million shares of Sealed Air common stock to the PI Trust, in each case reflecting adjustments made in accordance with the Settlement agreement. Under the Plan and the Confirmation Orders, the Preliminary Injunction remained in place through the Effective Date and, on the Effective Date, the Plan and Settlement agreement injunctions and releases with respect to asbestos claims and certain other claims became effective. Thereafter, on February 19, 2014, Grace filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court indicating that the Preliminary Injunction had been replaced by the Plan injunctions as of the Effective Date and seeking to dismiss with prejudice the proceedings in which the Preliminary Injunction was issued. The Plan and the Confirmation Orders further provide for the channeling of existing and future asbestos claims to the PI Trust or the PD Trust, as applicable. In addition, under the Plan, the Confirmation Orders, and the Settlement agreement, Grace is required to indemnify us with respect to asbestos and certain other liabilities. Notwithstanding the foregoing, and although we believe the possibility to be remote, if any courts were to refuse to enforce the injunctions or releases contained in the PI Settlement Plan and the Settlement agreement with respect to any claims, and if, in addition, Grace were unwilling or unable to defend and indemnify the Company and its subsidiaries for such claims, then we could be required to pay substantial damages, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Fresenius Claims

In January 2002, we filed a declaratory judgment action against Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc., its parent, Fresenius AG, a German company, and specified affiliates in New York State court asking the court to resolve a contract dispute between the parties. The Fresenius parties contended that we were obligated to indemnify them for liabilities that they might incur as a result of the 1996 Fresenius transaction mentioned above. The Fresenius parties' contention was based on their interpretation of the agreements between them and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. in connection with the 1996 Fresenius transaction. In February 2002, the Fresenius parties announced that they had accrued a charge of \$172 million for these potential liabilities, which included pre-transaction tax liabilities of Grace and the costs of defense of litigation arising from Grace's Chapter 11 filing. We believe that we were not responsible to indemnify the Fresenius parties under the 1996 agreements and filed the action to proceed to a resolution of the Fresenius parties' claims. In April 2002, the Fresenius parties filed a motion to dismiss the action and for entry of declaratory relief in its favor. We opposed the motion, and in July 2003, the court denied the motion without prejudice in view of the November 27, 2002 agreement in principle referred to above. On the Effective Date, and in connection with the PI Settlement Plan and the Settlement agreement, we and the Fresenius parties exchanged mutual releases, releasing us from any and all claims related to the 1996 Fresenius transaction.

Table of Contents
Canadian Claims

In November 2004, the Company's Canadian subsidiary Sealed Air (Canada) Co./Cie learned that it had been named a defendant in the case of *Thundersky v. The Attorney General of Canada, et al.* (File No. CI04-01-39818), pending in the Manitoba Court of Queen's Bench. Grace and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. were also named as defendants. The plaintiff brought the claim as a putative class proceeding and sought recovery for alleged injuries suffered by any Canadian resident, other than in the course of employment, as a result of Grace's marketing, selling, processing, manufacturing, distributing and/or delivering asbestos or asbestos-containing products in Canada prior to the Cryovac Transaction. A plaintiff filed another proceeding in January 2005 in the Manitoba Court of Queen's Bench naming the Company and specified subsidiaries as defendants. The latter proceeding, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Manitoba v. The Attorney General of Canada, et al.* (File No. CI05-01-41069), sought the recovery of the cost of insured health services allegedly provided by the Government of Manitoba to the members of the class of plaintiffs in the *Thundersky* proceeding. In October 2005, we learned that six additional putative class proceedings had been brought in various provincial and federal courts in Canada seeking recovery from the Company and its subsidiaries Cryovac, Inc. and Sealed Air (Canada) Co./Cie, as well as other defendants including W. R. Grace & Co. and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn., for alleged injuries suffered by any Canadian resident, other than in the course of employment (except with respect to one of these six claims), as a result of Grace's marketing, selling, manufacturing, processing, distributing and/or delivering asbestos or asbestos-containing products in Canada prior to the Cryovac transaction. Grace and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the Company and its affiliates in respect of any liability and expense, including legal fees and costs, in these actions.

In April 2001, Grace Canada, Inc. had obtained an order of the Superior Court of Justice, Commercial List, Toronto (the Canadian Court), recognizing the Chapter 11 actions in the United States of America involving Grace Canada, Inc.'s U.S. parent corporation and other affiliates of Grace Canada, Inc., and enjoining all new actions and staying all current proceedings against Grace Canada, Inc. related to asbestos under the Companies Creditors Arrangement Act. That order has been renewed repeatedly. In November 2005, upon motion by Grace Canada, Inc., the Canadian Court ordered an extension of the injunction and stay to actions involving asbestos against the Company and its Canadian affiliate and the Attorney General of Canada, which had the effect of staying all of the Canadian actions referred to above. The parties finalized a global settlement of these Canadian actions (except for claims against the Canadian government). That settlement, which has subsequently been amended (the Canadian Settlement), will be entirely funded by Grace. The Canadian Court issued an Order on December 13, 2009 approving the Canadian Settlement. We do not have any positive obligations under the Canadian Settlement, but we are a beneficiary of the release of claims. The release in favor of the Grace parties (including us) became operative upon the effective date of a plan of reorganization in Grace's United States Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding. As filed, the PI Settlement Plan contemplates that the claims released under the Canadian Settlement will be subject to injunctions under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. As indicated above, the Bankruptcy Court entered the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Order on January 31, 2011 and the Clarifying Order on February 15, 2011 and the District Court entered the Original District Court Confirmation Order on January 30, 2012 and the Amended District Court Confirmation Order on June 11, 2012. The Canadian Court issued an Order on April 8, 2011 recognizing and giving full effect to the Bankruptcy Court's Confirmation Order in all provinces and territories of Canada in accordance with the Bankruptcy Court Confirmation Order's terms.

As described above, the PI Settlement Plan became effective on February 3, 2014. In accordance with the above-mentioned December 31, 2009 order of the Canadian court, on the Effective Date the actions became permanently stayed until they are amended to remove the Grace parties as named defendants. The above-mentioned actions in the Manitoba Court of Queen's Bench were dismissed by the Manitoba court as against the Grace parties on February 19, 2014 and it is anticipated that the remaining actions will now also be dismissed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, and although we believe the possibility to be remote, if the Canadian courts refuse to enforce the final plan of reorganization in the Canadian courts, and if in addition Grace is unwilling or unable to defend and indemnify the Company and its subsidiaries in these cases, then we could be required to pay substantial damages, which we cannot estimate at this time and which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Additional Matters Related to the Cryovac Transaction

In view of Grace's Chapter 11 filing, we may receive additional claims asserting that we are liable for obligations that Grace had agreed to retain in the Cryovac transaction and for which we may be contingently liable. To date, we are not aware of any material claims having been asserted or threatened against us.

Final determinations and accountings under the Cryovac transaction agreements with respect to matters pertaining to the transaction had not been completed at the time of Grace's Chapter 11 filing in 2001. We have filed claims in the bankruptcy proceeding that reflect the costs and liabilities that we have incurred or may incur that Grace and its affiliates agreed to retain or that are subject to indemnification by Grace and its affiliates under the Cryovac transaction agreements, other than payments to be made under the Settlement agreement. Grace has alleged that we are responsible for specified amounts under the Cryovac transaction agreements. On February 3, 2014, following Grace's emergence from bankruptcy, the Company (for itself and its affiliates, collectively, the Sealed Air Parties) and Grace (for itself and its affiliates, collectively, the Grace Parties) entered into a claims settlement agreement (the Claims Settlement) to resolve certain of the parties' claims against one another arising under the Cryovac transaction agreements (the Transaction Claims). Under the Claims Settlement, the Sealed Air Parties released and waived Transaction Claims against the Grace Parties other than asbestos-related claims, Fresenius-related claims, environmental claims, insurance claims, mass tort claims, non-monetary tax sharing agreement claims, certain claims listed in annexes to proofs of claim filed by the Sealed Air Companies in connection with the Grace bankruptcy, claims relating to certain matters described in the PI Settlement Plan, certain executory contract claims relating to certain leased sites or sites that were divided as part of the Cryovac transaction, and certain indemnification claims. Under the Claims Settlement, the Grace Parties released and waived Transaction Claims against the Sealed Air Companies other than non-monetary tax sharing agreement claims, certain executory contract claims relating to certain leased sites or sites that were divided as part of the Cryovac transaction, and certain indemnification claims. The Claims Settlement also provides that the Sealed Air Parties and the Grace Parties will share equally all fees and expenses relating to certain litigation brought by former Cryovac employees. Except to the extent that a claim is specifically referenced, the Claims Settlement does not supersede or affect the obligations of the parties under the PI Settlement Plan or our Settlement agreement.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to loss contingencies resulting from environmental laws and regulations, and we accrue for anticipated costs associated with investigatory and remediation efforts when an assessment has indicated that a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated. These accruals are not reduced by potential insurance recoveries, if any. We do not believe that it is reasonably possible that our liability in excess of the amounts that we have accrued for environmental matters will be material to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. Environmental liabilities are reassessed whenever circumstances become better defined or remediation efforts and their costs can be better estimated.

We evaluate these liabilities periodically based on available information, including the progress of remedial investigations at each site, the current status of discussions with regulatory authorities regarding the methods and extent of remediation and the apportionment of costs among potentially responsible parties. As some of these issues are decided (the outcomes of which are subject to uncertainties) or new sites are assessed and costs can be reasonably estimated, we adjust the recorded accruals, as necessary. We believe that these exposures are not material to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. We believe that we have adequately reserved for all probable and estimable environmental exposures.

Guarantees and Indemnification Obligations

We are a party to many contracts containing guarantees and indemnification obligations. These contracts primarily consist of:

product warranties with respect to certain products sold to customers in the ordinary course of business. These warranties typically provide that products will conform to specifications. We generally do not

Table of Contents

establish a liability for product warranty based on a percentage of sales or other formula. We accrue a warranty liability on a transaction-specific basis depending on the individual facts and circumstances related to each sale. Both the liability and annual expense related to product warranties are immaterial to our consolidated financial position and results of operations; and

licenses of intellectual property by us to third parties in which we have agreed to indemnify the licensee against third party infringement claims.

Development Grant Matter

On May 25, 2010, one of our Italian subsidiaries received a demand from the Italian Ministry of Economic Development for the total repayment of grant monies paid to two of our former subsidiaries in the amount of 5 million. With accrued interest the total value of the demand currently stands at 10 million (\$14 million equivalent at December 31, 2013). The grant monies had previously been certified as payable by the Italian authorities and the grant process was finalized and closed in 2006. We acquired the former subsidiaries in September 2001 as part of an acquisition. The substance of the repayment demand is that the former owners of the subsidiaries made fraudulent claims and used fraudulent documents to support their grant application prior to our acquisition. There is no suggestion that we or our Italian subsidiary were directly involved in the grant process, but as purchaser of the two companies, the Ministry is seeking repayment from our Italian subsidiary. Our Italian subsidiary submitted a total denial of liability in regard to this matter on June 30, 2010. A full hearing of the merits of the demand is scheduled for July 2014. At this interim stage of the proceedings we are not able to determine the eventual outcome of the case. Accordingly, we have not recorded a liability related to this matter. We do not expect this matter to be material to our full year consolidated financial condition or results of operations, however the amount may be material to an interim reporting period.

Other Principal Contractual Obligations

At December 31, 2013, we had other principal contractual obligations, which included agreements to purchase an estimated amount of goods, including raw materials, or services in the normal course of business, aggregating to approximately \$434 million. The estimated future cash outlays are as follows:

| Year | Amount |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2014 | \$ 182.5 |
| 2015 | 106.9 |
| 2016 | 72.7 |
| 2017 | 42.2 |
| 2018 | 13.3 |
| Thereafter | 16.7 |
| Total | \$ 434.3 |

Table of Contents**Leases**

We are obligated under the terms of various leases covering primarily warehouse and office facilities and production equipment, as well as smaller manufacturing sites that we occupy. We account for the majority of our leases as operating leases, which may include purchase or renewal options. At December 31, 2013, estimated future minimum annual rental commitments under non-cancelable real and personal property leases were as follows:

| Year | Amount |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2014 | \$ 64.4 |
| 2015 | 46.7 |
| 2016 | 30.6 |
| 2017 | 18.8 |
| 2018 | 11.1 |
| Thereafter | 23.3 |
| Total | \$ 194.9 |

Net rental expense was \$79 million in 2013, \$84 million in 2012 and \$52 million in 2011.

Note 19 Stockholders Equity**Dividends**

The following table shows our total cash dividends paid in the three years ended December 31:

| | Total Cash Dividends Paid | Total Cash Dividends Paid Per Common Share |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 2011 | \$ 87.4 | \$ 0.52 |
| 2012 | 100.9 | 0.52 |
| 2013 | 102.0 | 0.52 |
| Total | \$ 290.3 | |

On February 18, 2014, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.13 per common share payable on March 21, 2014 to stockholders of record at the close of business on March 7, 2014. The estimated amount of this dividend payment is \$26 million based on 196 million shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of January 31, 2014.

The dividend payments discussed above are recorded as reductions to cash and cash equivalents and retained earnings on our consolidated balance sheets. Our credit facility and our notes contain covenants that restrict our ability to declare or pay dividends. However, we do not believe these covenants are likely to materially limit the future payment of quarterly cash dividends on our common stock. From time to time, we may consider other means of returning value to our stockholders based on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. There is no guarantee that our Board of Directors will declare any further dividends.

Table of Contents**Common Stock**

The following is a summary of changes during the three years ended December 31, 2013 in shares of our common stock:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Changes in common stock: | | | |
| Number of shares, beginning of year | 204,660,621 | 202,528,616 | 169,272,636 |
| Shares issued as part of the consideration for the Diversey acquisition | | | 31,699,946 |
| Shares awarded for 2009 Two-Year PSU awards | | | 1,114,139 |
| Shares awarded for 2009 Three-Year PSU awards | | 1,155,018 | |
| Shares awarded for 2010 Three-year PSU awards | 472,865 | | |
| Restricted stock shares issued for new awards under the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan | 398,230 | 703,620 | 308,650 |
| Shares granted and issued under the Directors Stock Plan | 25,993 | 37,824 | 18,630 |
| Restricted stock shares issued for SLO awards | 51,321 | 135,343 | 24,515 |
| Shares issued for vested restricted stock units | 98,550 | 100,200 | 90,100 |
| Number of shares issued, end of year | 205,707,580 | 204,660,621 | 202,528,616 |
| Changes in common stock in treasury: | | | |
| Number of shares held, beginning of year | 10,102,952 | 10,466,431 | 9,967,129 |
| Purchase of shares during the period | | | |
| Profit sharing contribution partially paid in stock | (857,754) | (930,089) | |
| Restricted stock, withheld or forfeited | 263,710 | 566,610 | 499,302 |
| Number of shares held, end of year | 9,508,908 | 10,102,952 | 10,466,431 |

Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs)

In connection with the acquisition of Diversey, Sealed Air exchanged Diversey's cash-settled stock appreciation rights and stock options that were unvested as of May 31, 2011 and unexercised at October 3, 2011 into cash-settled stock appreciation rights based on Sealed Air common stock. At December 31, 2013, the weighted average remaining vesting life of outstanding SARs was less than one year.

Since these SARs are settled in cash, the amount of the related future expense will fluctuate based on the forfeiture activity and the changes in the assumptions used in a Black-Scholes valuation model which include Sealed Air's stock price, risk-free interest rates, expected volatility and a dividend yield. In addition, once vested, the related expense will continue to fluctuate due to the changes in the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes valuation model for any SARs that are not exercised until their respective expiration dates, the last of which is currently in March 2021.

We recognized SARs expense of \$38 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 related to SARs that were granted to Diversey employees who remained employees as of December 31, 2013. We recognized SARs expense of \$18 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 related to SARs that were granted to Diversey

Table of Contents

employees who remained employees as of December 31, 2012. Cash payments due to the exercise of these SARs were \$46 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$24 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013, the remaining liability for these SARs was \$34 million and is included in other current (\$21 million) and non-current liabilities (\$13 million) on our consolidated balance sheet.

In addition to the amounts discussed above, was the recognition of restructuring expense of \$1 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$9 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 for SARs that were part of the termination and benefit costs for Diversey employees under the Integration & Optimization Program. This expense was included in restructuring and other charges on our consolidated statements of operations. Cash payments upon the exercise of these SARs were \$2 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$19 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. The remaining liability for SARs included in the restructuring program was less than \$1 million as of December 31, 2013.

2005 Contingent Stock Plan

The 2005 Contingent Stock Plan is our sole long-term equity compensation program for officers and employees. The 2005 Contingent Stock Plan provides for awards of equity-based compensation, including restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance share units and cash awards measured by share price, to our executive officers and other key employees, as well as U.S.-based key consultants. During the three years ended December 31, 2013, under the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan, we granted restricted stock, restricted stock units and cash awards, in addition to the SLO and PSU awards described below. An employee or consultant selected by the Organization and Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors to receive an award may accept the award during the period specified by us, provided the participant's relationship to us has not changed.

Awards made under the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan are restricted as to disposition by the holders for a period of at least three years after award, except for SLO and PSU awards, which are described below. In the event of termination of employment of a participant before lapse of the restriction, the awards under the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan are forfeited on the date of termination unless (i) the termination results from the participant's death or permanent and total disability, or (ii) the Compensation Committee affirmatively determines not to seek forfeiture of the award in whole or in part. The forfeiture provision of the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan expires upon vesting of the awards, except that these provisions of the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan lapse sooner upon certain terminations of employment following a change in control.

For both restricted stock awards and units, we record compensation expense in selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of operations with a corresponding credit to additional paid-in capital within stockholders' equity based on the fair value of our common stock at the award grant date. For cash awards, we record a liability that is reflected in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and record compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the end of each reporting period. The amount of the liability for cash awards is remeasured at each reporting period based on the then current stock price and the effects of the stock price changes are recognized as compensation expense. At December 31, 2013, the liability related to cash awards was \$2.6 million.

Under our executive compensation program, we have the ability to grant to our executive officers and a small number of other key executives (1) stock leverage opportunity awards, known as SLO awards, as part of our annual incentive plan and (2) annual performance share unit awards, known as PSU awards, as part of our long term incentive program. Our executive officers and other key executives may also receive awards of restricted stock or restricted stock units from time to time.

Table of Contents

The following tables show the details of the non-vested awards under the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan, excluding SLO and PSU awards:

| Non-vested Restricted Stock Shares Awards | 2013 | Weighted-Average per Share Market Value on Grant Date |
|--|------------------|--|
| Number of non-vested restricted stock shares, beginning of year | 1,386,830 | \$ 20.08 |
| Restricted stock shares issued for new awards during the year | 398,230 | 27.12 |
| Restricted stock shares vested during the year | (347,610) | 21.97 |
| Restricted stock shares forfeited during the year | (40,100) | 21.43 |
| Number of non-vested restricted stock shares, end of year | 1,397,350 | \$ 21.76 |

The non-vested restricted stock shares included above had a weighted-average remaining contractual life of approximately 1.6 years at December 31, 2013.

| Non-vested Restricted Stock Units Awards | 2013 | Weighted-Average per Share Market Value on Grant Date |
|---|----------------|--|
| Number of non-vested restricted stock units, beginning of year | 420,800 | \$ 20.23 |
| Restricted stock units issued for new awards during the year | 187,595 | 27.94 |
| Restricted stock units vested during the year | (98,550) | 23.48 |
| Restricted stock units forfeited during the year | (3,500) | 18.17 |
| Number of non-vested restricted stock units, end of year | 506,345 | \$ 22.92 |

The non-vested restricted stock units included above had a weighted-average remaining contractual life of approximately 1.7 years at December 31, 2013.

| Non-vested Cash Awards | 2013 |
|--|----------------|
| Number of non-vested cash awards, beginning of year | 131,680 |
| Cash awards issued for new awards during the year | 58,348 |
| Cash awards vested during the year | (13,950) |
| Cash awards forfeited during the year | (5,230) |
| Number of non-vested cash awards, end of year | 170,848 |

The non-vested cash awards included above had a weighted-average remaining contractual life of approximately 1.6 years at December 31, 2013.

The 2005 Contingent Stock Plan permits minimum withholding of taxes and other charges that may be required by law to be paid attributable to awards by withholding a portion of the shares attributable to such awards.

Table of Contents

A summary of the changes in common shares available for awards under the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan follows:

| | 2013 ⁽¹⁾ | 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Number of shares available, beginning of year | 6,426,953 | 7,909,064 | 4,997,297 |
| Additional restricted stock shares available due to 2011 amendment to the 2005 Contingent Stock Plan | | | 4,000,000 |
| Restricted stock shares issued for new awards | (398,230) | (703,620) | (308,650) |
| Restricted stock units awarded | (187,595) | (191,700) | (133,650) |
| Restricted stock shares issued for SLO awards | (21,505) | (3,788) | (6,080) |
| Restricted stock units awarded for SLO awards | (51,033) | (6,795) | (28,516) |
| Shares issued for 2009 Two-year PSU awards | | | (1,114,139) |
| Shares issued for 2009 Three-year PSU awards | | (1,155,018) | |
| Shares issued for 2010 Three-year PSU awards | (472,865) | | |
| Restricted stock shares forfeited | 40,100 | 41,700 | 41,400 |
| Restricted stock units forfeited | 3,500 | 12,200 | 3,500 |
| Shares withheld for taxes | 223,610 | 524,910 | 457,902 |
| Number of shares available, end of year | 5,562,935 | 6,426,953 | 7,909,064 |
| Weighted average per share market value of awards on grant date | \$ 27.20 | \$ 17.19 | \$ 24.93 |

⁽¹⁾ The SLO and PSU awards are discussed below.

Directors Stock Plan

Non-cash compensation included on the consolidated statements of stockholders' equity includes expense associated with shares issued to non-employee directors in the form of awards under our 2002 Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, which our stockholders approved at the 2002 annual meeting. In May 2011, our stockholders approved an amendment to the 2002 Directors Stock Plan increasing the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the plan by 0.2 million shares to a total of 0.4 million shares.

The 2002 Directors Stock Plan provides for annual grants of shares to non-employee directors, and interim grants of shares to eligible directors elected at times other than at an annual meeting, as all or part of the annual or interim retainer fees for non-employee directors. During 2002, we adopted a plan that permits non-employee directors to elect to defer all or part of their annual retainer until the non-employee director retires from the Board of Directors. The non-employee director can elect to defer the portion of the annual retainer payable in shares of stock. If a non-employee director makes this election, the non-employee director may also elect to defer the portion, if any, of the annual retainer payable in cash. Cash dividends on deferred shares are credited to the non-employee director's deferred cash account on the applicable dividend payment date. We record the excess of fair value over the price at which shares are issued under this plan in selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of operations, and this expense was \$1 million in 2013, \$1 million in 2012 and \$0.7 million in 2011.

Table of Contents

A summary of the changes in shares available for the 2002 Directors Stock Plan follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Number of shares available, beginning of year | 161,399 | 223,156 | 49,548 |
| Additional shares available due to 2011 amendment to the Directors Stock Plan | | | 200,000 |
| Shares granted and issued | (25,993) | (37,824) | (18,630) |
| Shares granted and deferred | (21,642) | (23,933) | (7,762) |
| Number of shares available, end of year | 113,764 | 161,399 | 223,156 |
| Weighted average per share market value of stock on grant date | \$ 23.62 | \$ 16.26 | \$ 25.77 |

Other Common Stock Issuances

We have historically issued shares of our common stock under our 2005 Contingent Stock Plan to selected U.S.-based consultants as compensation under consulting agreements for research and development projects. We record the cost associated with these issuances on a straight-line basis based on each of the issuances' vesting schedule. Amortization expense related to these issuances was immaterial in each of the three years ended December 31, 2013.

Share-based Incentive Compensation

We record share-based incentive compensation expense in selling, general and administrative expenses on our consolidated statements of operations with a corresponding credit to additional paid-in capital within stockholders' equity based on the fair value of the share-based incentive compensation awards at the date of grant. We recognize an expense or credit reflecting the straight-line recognition, net of estimated forfeitures, of the expected cost of the program. For the various PSU awards programs described below, the cumulative amount accrued to date is adjusted up or down to the extent the expected performance against the targets has improved or worsened.

These share-based incentive compensation programs are described in more detail below.

The table below shows our total share-based incentive compensation expense:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2013 Three-year PSU Awards | \$ 4.4 | \$ | \$ |
| 2013 W VH Incentive Compensation ⁽¹⁾ | 2.5 | | |
| 2012 Three-year PSU Awards | 2.4 | 1.9 | |
| 2012 President & COO Four-year Incentive Compensation ⁽²⁾ | 0.6 | 0.2 | |
| 2011 Three-year PSU Awards | (1.2) | 1.7 | 3.0 |
| 2010 Three-year PSU Awards | 0.1 | 0.9 | 6.0 |
| 2009 Two-year PSU Awards | | | (0.7) |
| 2009 Three-year PSU Awards | | | 7.4 |
| SLO Awards | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Other long-term share-based incentive compensation programs | 12.5 | 11.5 | 9.0 |
| Total share-based incentive compensation expense⁽³⁾ | \$ 24.1 | \$ 16.9 | \$ 25.0 |
| Associated tax benefits recognized | \$ 7.8 | \$ 6.2 | \$ 9.3 |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

- ⁽¹⁾ On February 28, 2013, the Organization and Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors (O&C Committee) approved a change in the vesting policy regarding the existing 2011 Three-year PSU awards,

Table of Contents

and the newly granted 2013 three-year PSU awards, for William V. Hickey, our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The approved change will result in the full vesting of the awards, rather than a pro-rata portion vesting as of the date of his retirement (May 16, 2013). Mr. Hickey's awards will still be subject to the performance metrics stipulated in the plan documents, and will be paid-out in accordance with the original planned timing. As a result of these approved changes, the expense related to these awards was accelerated and recognized over the applicable service period up until the date of his retirement. We recognized share-based compensation expense related to these awards of \$2.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2013.

(2) The amount includes only the two initial equity awards. See below for further detail.

(3) The amounts do not include the expense related to our U.S. profit sharing contributions made in the form of our common stock as such these contributions are not considered share-based incentive compensation.

The following table shows the estimated amount of total share-based incentive compensation expense expected to be recognized on a straight-line basis over the remaining respective vesting periods by program at December 31, 2013:

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Total |
|---|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| 2013 Three-year PSU Awards | \$ 4.0 | \$ 4.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ 8.0 |
| 2012 Three-year PSU Awards | 1.7 | | | | 1.7 |
| 2012 President & COO Four-year Incentive Compensation | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | | 0.9 |
| SLO Awards | 0.7 | | | | 0.7 |
| Other long-term share-based incentive compensation programs | 12.5 | 8.0 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 24.2 |
| Total share-based incentive compensation expense ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 19.3 | \$ 12.3 | \$ 3.7 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 35.5 |

(1) The amounts do not include the expense related to our U.S. profit sharing contributions made in the form of our common stock as such these contributions are not considered share-based incentive compensation.

The discussion that follows provides further details of our share-based incentive compensation programs.

PSU Awards

As part of our long term incentive program adopted in 2008, during the first 90 days of each year, the Organization and Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, or Compensation Committee, has approved PSU awards for our executive officers and other selected key executives, which include for each officer or executive a target number of shares of common stock and performance goals and measures that will determine the percentage of the target award that is earned following the end of the performance period. Following the end of the performance period, participants will also receive a cash payment in the amount of the dividends (without interest) that would have been paid during the performance period on the number of shares that they have earned. As of December 31, 2013, we have accrued \$1 million for these dividends in other current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet.

2013 Three-year PSU Awards

In March 2013, the Compensation Committee approved awards with a three-year performance period beginning January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015 for the named executives. The Compensation Committee established principal performance goals, which are (1) total shareholder return (TSR) weighted at 35%, and (2) 2015 consolidated adjusted EBITDA margin weighted at 65%.

The targeted number of shares of common stock that can be earned is 536,771 shares for these 2013 PSU awards. The total number of shares to be issued for these awards can range from zero to 200% of the target number of shares depending on the level of achievement of the performance goals and measures.

Table of Contents

The expense included in the tables above was calculated using a grant date common stock share price of \$18.97 per share for the awards granted on February 14, 2013 (\$22.21 for the awards granted on February 28, 2013) for the 2015 consolidated adjusted EBITDA margin (these are considered performance conditions) and the Monte Carlo valuation of \$25.19 per share for the awards granted on February 14, 2013 (\$34.05 for the awards granted on February 28, 2013) for the TSR goal (this is considered a market condition). The expense calculation is based on management's estimate as of December 31, 2013 of the level of probable achievement of the performance goals and measures, which was determined to be at the target level, or 100% achievement (348,901 shares, net of forfeitures), for the 2015 consolidated adjusted EBITDA margin goal. The TSR portion of the plan is expensed at 100% (187,870 shares, net of forfeitures) of the grant date fair value as required by U.S. GAAP.

2012 Three-year PSU Awards

In March 2012, the Compensation Committee approved awards with a three-year performance period beginning January 1, 2012 for the named executive officers and for other officers and key executives. The Compensation Committee established principal performance goals, which are (1) three-year average return on invested capital (ROIC) weighted at 50%, (2) constant dollar growth of net trade sales weighted at 25% and (3) relative total shareholder return (TSR) weighted at 25%. An additional goal is a 2014 safety result of a total recordable incident rate (a workplace safety indicator) (TRIR) of 0.90 or better, excluding facilities acquired during the performance period.

The targeted number of shares of common stock that can be earned is 440,582 shares for these 2012 PSU awards (300,427 shares granted on March 27, 2012 and 140,155 shares granted to Jerome A. Peribere on September 1, 2012, as discussed below). The total number of shares to be issued for these awards can range from zero to 200% of the target number of shares depending on the level of achievement of the performance goals and measures, plus or minus 44,058 additional shares at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. These performance goals are outlined in further detail in the Proxy Statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The expense included in the tables above was calculated using a grant date common stock share price of \$19.72 per share for the awards granted on March 27, 2012 (\$14.27 for the award granted on September 1, 2012) for the three year average ROIC goal and net trade sales goal (these are considered performance conditions) and the Monte Carlo valuation of \$23.40 per share for the awards granted on March 27, 2012 (\$12.57 for the award granted on September 1, 2012) for the TSR goal (this is considered a market condition). The expense calculation is based on management's estimate as of December 31, 2013 of the level of probable achievement of the performance goals and measures, which was determined to be below the target level, or 92% achievement (202,668 shares, net of forfeitures), for the ROIC goal and below the threshold for minimum payment, or 0% achievement (0 shares), for the net trade sales growth goal. The TSR portion of the plan is expensed at 100% (110,145 shares, net of forfeitures) of the grant date fair value as required by U.S. GAAP.

2011 Three-year PSU Awards

In March 2011, the Compensation Committee approved awards with a three-year performance period beginning January 1, 2011. The Compensation Committee established principal performance goals, which are (1) three-year cumulative volume growth of net trade sales and (2) three-year average ROIC. These performance goals are outlined in further detail in the Proxy Statement for our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The targeted number of shares of common stock that can be earned is 316,030 shares for these 2011 PSU awards. If the threshold level is achieved for either of the two performance goals, then the number of shares earned for each participant can be increased (if the additional goal mentioned below is achieved) or decreased (if the additional goal mentioned below is not achieved) by up to 10% of the target level at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, or an aggregate of 31,603 shares for all participants. The additional goal is a 2013 safety result of a TRIR (a workplace safety indicator) of 1.20 or better, excluding facilities acquired during the performance period.

Table of Contents

The total number of shares to be issued for these awards can range from zero to 200% of the target number of shares depending on the level of achievement of the performance goals and measures, plus or minus the 31,603 additional discretionary shares mentioned above.

The expense included in the table above was calculated using a grant date common stock share price of \$26.18 per share on March 11, 2011 and is based on management's estimate as of December 31, 2013 of the level of probable achievement of the performance goals, which was determined to be below the target level, or 84% achievement (132,733 shares, net of forfeitures), for the ROIC goal and below the threshold for minimum payment, or 0% achievement (0 shares), for the volume goal.

2010 Three-year PSU Awards

In February 2013, we issued 472,865 shares of common stock for the 2010 three-year PSU awards. These awards were based on the achievement of the performance goals above the target level, or 116.5% achievement, in the three-year performance period of 2010 through 2012. We concurrently acquired 172,204 of these shares of common stock as withholding from employees to satisfy their minimum tax withholding obligations, as provided for in our 2005 contingent stock plan above.

2009 Three-year PSU Awards

In February 2012, we issued 1,155,018 shares of common stock for the 2009 three-year PSU awards. These awards were based on the achievement of the performance goals at the maximum level, or 200% achievement, in the three-year performance period of 2009 through 2011. We concurrently acquired 414,210 of these shares of common stock as withholding from employees to satisfy their minimum tax withholding obligations, as provided for in our 2005 Contingent Stock Plan. These acquired shares are held in common stock in treasury at a fair market value of \$9 million.

2009 Two-year PSU Awards

In February 2011, we issued 1,114,139 shares of common stock for the 2009 two-year PSU awards. These awards were based on the achievement of the performance goals at the maximum level, or 200% achievement, in the two-year performance period of 2009 through 2010. We concurrently acquired 408,751 of these shares of common stock as withholding from employees to satisfy their minimum tax withholding obligations, as provided for in our 2005 contingent stock plan. These acquired shares are held in common stock in treasury at a fair market value on the date acquired of \$12 million.

2012 President and Chief Operating Officer (COO) Four-year Incentive Compensation

On September 1, 2012, Jerome A. Peribere started with the Company as President and Chief Operating Officer. Under the terms of his agreement, he was granted equity awards which included the following: (1) initial equity awards under the Company's 2005 Contingent Stock Plan, which included two awards of performance share units under which he could earn up to 350,000 shares of common stock based on the Company's performance, (2) restricted stock under the Company's 2005 Contingent Stock Plan of which 50,000 shares were issued on his start date and 25,000 shares were issued on September 1, 2013 his first anniversary of his start date and (3) an award under the Company's 2012 Three-year PSU Award with a target award of 140,155 units. The awards are described in further detail in Mr. Peribere's employment agreement filed with the SEC as an exhibit with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 27, 2012.

For the awards (excluding the portions of the PSU awards related to the TSR goal) that are discussed above, the estimated amount of future share-based incentive compensation expense will fluctuate based on: (1) the expected level of achievement of the respective goals and measures considered probable in future quarters, which impacts the number of shares that could be issued; and (2) the future price of our common stock, which impacts the

Table of Contents

expense related to additional discretionary shares. Since the TSR metric is considered a market condition, the portion of the compensation expense related to the TSR metric will be recognized regardless of whether the market condition is satisfied provided that the requisite service has been provided.

Stock Leverage Opportunity Awards

Before the start of each performance year, each of our executive officers and other selected key executives is eligible to elect to receive all or a portion of his or her annual cash bonus for that year, in increments of 25% of the annual bonus, as an award of restricted stock or restricted stock units under the 2005 contingent stock plan in lieu of cash. The portion provided as an equity award may be given a premium to be determined by the Compensation Committee each year and will be rounded up to the nearest whole share. The stock price used in the calculation of the number of shares will be the closing sale price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the first trading day of the performance year. The award will be granted following the end of the performance year and after determination by the Compensation Committee of the amount of the annual bonus award for each executive officer and other selected key executive who has elected to take all or a portion of his or her annual bonus as an equity award, but no later than the March 15 following the end of the performance year.

The equity award will be made in the form of an award of restricted stock or restricted stock units that will vest on the second anniversary of the grant date or earlier in the event of death, disability or retirement from employment with us, and the shares subject to the award will not be transferable by the recipient until the later of vesting or the second anniversary of the grant date. If the recipient ceases to be employed by us before vesting, then the shares will be forfeited, except for certain circumstances following a change in control. The award will be made in the form of restricted stock unless the award would be taxable to the recipient before the shares become transferable by the recipient, in which case the award will be made in the form of restricted stock units. Recipients who hold SLO awards in the form of restricted stock receive dividends. Recipients who hold SLO awards in the form of restricted stock units receive a cash payment in the amount of the dividends (without interest) on the shares they have earned at about the same time that shares are issued to them following the period of restriction. As of December 31, 2013, we have accrued for these dividends in other current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet and the amount was immaterial.

The Compensation Committee set the SLO award premium at 25% for the years ending December 31, 2013 and 2012. The 2013 SLO target awards comprise an aggregate of 103,437 restricted stock shares and restricted stock units as of December 31, 2013. The 2012 SLO awards that were issued on March 13, 2013 comprised an aggregate of 72,538 restricted stock shares and restricted stock units.

We record compensation expense for these awards in selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of operations with a corresponding credit to additional paid-in capital within stockholders' equity, based on the fair value of the awards at the end of each reporting period, which reflects the effects of stock price changes.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, compensation expense related to the SLO awards was recognized based on the extent to which the performance goals and measures for our 2013, 2012 and 2011 annual cash bonuses were considered probable of achievement at each respective year end. The expense is recognized over a fifteen month period on a straight-line basis since a majority of the awards will vest at grant date, which will be no later than March 15, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, due to the retirement eligibility provision.

Table of Contents**Note 20 Other Expense, net**

The following table provides details of other expense, net:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest and dividend income | \$ 11.0 | \$ 12.0 | \$ 9.0 |
| Net foreign exchange transaction losses | (10.5) | (13.4) | (20.2) |
| Bank fee expense | (6.8) | (5.2) | (4.0) |
| Settlement agreement and related costs | (1.0) | (0.7) | (0.9) |
| Noncontrolling interests | 0.9 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| Costs associated with our accounts receivable securitization program | (0.7) | (0.7) | (0.7) |
| Gain on sale of a North American facility | | | 3.9 |
| Other, net | (4.8) | (4.0) | (4.8) |
| Other expense, net | \$ (11.9) | \$ (9.4) | \$ (14.5) |

*Impairment of Equity Method Investment**2013*

In 2013, we recognized an impairment of \$2 million in connection with an equity method investment included in our Other Category. This investment was not material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

2012

In September 2007, we established a joint venture that supports our Food Care segment. We account for the joint venture under the equity method of accounting with our proportionate share of net income or losses included in other expense, net, on the consolidated statements of operations.

During the first half of 2012, the joint venture performed below expectations, resulting in reduced cash flow and increasing debt obligations. Due to these events, we evaluated our equity method investment for impairment. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, based on reviewing undiscounted cash flow information, we determined that the fair value of our investment was less than its carrying value and that this impairment was other-than-temporary.

In connection with the establishment of the joint venture in 2007, we issued a guarantee in support of an uncommitted credit facility agreement that was entered into by the joint venture. Under the terms of the guarantee, if the joint venture were to default under the terms of the credit facility, the lender would be entitled to seek payment of the amounts due under the credit facility from us. However, as a result of the impairment, we have included the guarantee liability in other current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 as we believe it is probable that we will need to perform under this guarantee. As of December 31, 2013, the joint venture has performed its obligations under the terms of the credit facility and the debt holders have not requested that we perform under the terms of the guarantee.

As a result, in the second quarter of 2012 we recognized other-than-temporary impairment of \$26 million (\$18 million, net of taxes, or \$0.09 per diluted share). This impairment consisted of the recognition of a current liability for the guarantee of the uncommitted credit facility mentioned above of \$20 million and a \$4 million write-down of the carrying value of the investment to zero at June 30, 2012. We also recorded provisions for bad debt on receivables due from the joint venture to the Company of \$2 million, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses and impacted our Food Care segment. We have no additional obligations to support the operations of the joint venture in the future.

Table of Contents**Note 21 Net Earnings (Loss) per Common Share**

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per common share under the two-class method for the three years ended December 31, 2013 in millions, except per share data:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Basic Net Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share: | | | |
| Numerator | | | |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 124.2 | \$ (1,410.3) | \$ 149.1 |
| Distributed and allocated undistributed net earnings (loss) to non-vested restricted stockholders | (0.6) | (0.5) | (0.9) |
| Distributed and allocated undistributed net earnings (loss) to common stockholders | 123.6 | (1,410.8) | 148.2 |
| Distributed net earnings dividends paid to common stockholders | (101.3) | (100.4) | (86.8) |
| Allocation of undistributed net earnings (loss) to common stockholders | \$ 22.3 | \$ (1,511.2) | \$ 61.4 |
| Denominator | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic | 194.6 | 192.8 | 167.0 |
| Basic net earnings (loss) per common share: | | | |
| Distributed net earnings (loss) to common stockholders | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.52 |
| Allocated undistributed net earnings (loss) to common stockholders | 0.12 | (7.83) | 0.37 |
| Basic net earnings (loss) per common share: | \$ 0.64 | \$ (7.31) | \$ 0.89 |
| Diluted Net Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share: | | | |
| Numerator | | | |
| Distributed and allocated undistributed net earnings (loss) to common stockholders | \$ 123.6 | \$ (1,410.8) | \$ 148.2 |
| Add: Allocated undistributed net earnings (loss) to non-vested restricted stockholders | 0.1 | | 0.4 |
| Less: Undistributed net earnings (loss) reallocated to non-vested restricted stockholders | (0.1) | | (0.3) |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders diluted | \$ 123.6 | \$ (1,410.8) | \$ 148.3 |
| Denominator⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic | 194.6 | 192.8 | 167.0 |
| Effect of assumed issuance of Settlement agreement shares | 18.0 | | 18.0 |
| Effect of non-vested restricted stock units | 0.9 | | 0.4 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding diluted | 213.5 | 192.8 | 185.4 |
| Diluted net earnings (loss) per common share | \$ 0.58 | \$ (7.31) | \$ 0.80 |

⁽¹⁾ Provides for the following items if their inclusion is dilutive: (i) the effect of assumed issuance of 18 million shares of common stock reserved for the Settlement agreement as defined and (ii) the effect of non-vested restricted stock and restricted stock units using the treasury stock method. In calculating diluted net (loss) earnings per common share for 2012, our diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding excludes the effect of the items mentioned above as the effect was anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents***PSU Awards***

Since the PSU awards discussed in Note 19, *Stockholders' Equity*, are contingently issuable shares that are based on a condition other than earnings or market price, these shares will be included in the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding when they have met the performance conditions as of these dates and when their effect is dilutive. Certain shares related to the PSU awards were included in the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2011 because the target levels of their respective performance conditions were met as of December 31, 2011. Shares related to the PSU awards were not included in the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2012 because the effect was anti-dilutive. Shares related to the PSU awards were not included in the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2013 because the target levels of their respective performance conditions were not met as of December 31, 2013.

SLO Awards

The shares or units associated with the 2013 SLO awards are considered contingently issuable shares and therefore are not included in the basic or diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2013. These shares or units, discussed in Note 19, *Stockholders' Equity*, will not be included in the common shares outstanding until the final determination of the amount of annual incentive compensation is made in the first quarter of 2014. Once this determination is made, the shares or units will be included in the basic weighted average number of common shares outstanding if the employee is retirement eligible or in the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding regardless of whether the employee is retirement eligible if the impact to diluted net earnings per common share is dilutive. The numbers of shares or units associated with SLO awards for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were nominal and, for the years ended December 31, 2012, have not been included in the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding as the effect was anti-dilutive.

Note 22 Subsequent Events***Settlement agreement***

On February 3, 2014, the remaining conditions to the effectiveness of the PI Settlement Plan and the Settlement agreement were satisfied or waived by the relevant parties and the PI Settlement Plan became effective with Grace emerging from bankruptcy (the *Effective Date*). Pursuant to the Settlement agreement and the PI Settlement Plan, on the Effective Date, Cryovac paid cash consideration, consisting of cash payments in the aggregate amount of \$930 million, to the WRG Asbestos PI Trust and the WRG Asbestos PD Trust and transferred the Settlement Shares (described below) to the WRG Asbestos PI Trust, in each case reflecting adjustments made in accordance with the Settlement agreement. To fund the cash payment, we used \$555 million of cash and cash equivalents and utilized borrowings of \$260 million from our revolving credit facility and \$115 million from our accounts receivable securitization programs.

In connection with the issuance of the Settlement Shares and their transfer to the WRG Asbestos PI Trust by Cryovac, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 3, 2014 (the *Registration Rights Agreement*), with the WRG Asbestos PI Trust as initial holder of the Settlement Shares. Under the Registration Rights Agreement, the Company will be required to use reasonable best efforts to prepare and file with the SEC a shelf registration statement covering resales of the Settlement Shares on or prior to 60 days after February 3, 2014, and to use reasonable best efforts to cause such shelf registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC as soon as reasonably practicable.

12% Senior Notes

On February 14, 2014, we repaid our 12% Senior Notes on their maturity date using cash on hand and committed liquidity.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that our employees accumulate this information and communicate it to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer) and our Chief Financial Officer (our principal financial officer), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily must apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures under Rule 13a-15. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, supervised and participated in this evaluation. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended December 31, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness, as of the end of our 2013 fiscal year, of our internal control over financial reporting. The suitable recognized control framework on which management's evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting is based is the Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, known as COSO (1992). Based upon that evaluation under the COSO framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of our 2013 fiscal year was effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 has been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance*

Part of the information required in response to this Item is set forth in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the caption "Executive Officers of the Registrant," and the balance will be included in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the captions "Election of Directors," "Information Concerning Nominees," and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," except as set forth below. All such information is incorporated herein by reference.

We have adopted a Code of Conduct applicable to all of our directors, officers and employees and a supplemental Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Executives applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Controller, Treasurer, and all other employees performing similar functions for us. The Code of Conduct and the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Executives are posted on our website at www.sealedair.com. We will post any amendments to the Code of Conduct and the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Executives on our website. We will also post any waivers applicable to any of our directors or officers, including the senior financial officers listed above, from provisions of the Code of Conduct or the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Executives on our website.

Our Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines and charters for its three standing committees, the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Compensation Committee. Copies of the Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charters are posted on our website.

Our Audit Committee comprises directors Hank Brown, who serves as chair, Michael Chu, Patrick Duff and Kenneth P. Manning. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of the four members of the Audit Committee is an audit committee financial expert in accordance with the standards of the SEC and that each is independent, as defined in the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange applicable to us and as determined by the Board of Directors.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required in response to this Item will be set forth in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the captions "Director Compensation," "Executive Compensation," "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation," and "Compensation Risks." Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

Information required in response to this Item will be set forth in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the captions "Equity Compensation Plan Information" and "Voting Securities." Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

The information required in response to this Item will be set forth in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the captions "Independence of Directors" and "Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions." Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. *Principal Accounting Fees and Services*

The information required in response to this Item will be included in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the captions "Principal Independent Auditor Fees" and "Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures." Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules****(a) Documents filed as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:**

(1) Financial Statements

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(2) Financial Statement Schedule

See Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(3) Exhibits

Exhibit**Number****Description**

- | | |
|-----|---|
| 2.1 | Distribution Agreement dated as of March 30, 1998 among the Company, W. R. Grace & Co. Conn., and W. R. Grace & Co. (Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report March 31, 1998, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 2.2 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 31, 2011, by and among Sealed Air Corporation, Solution Acquisition Corp. and Diversey Holdings, Inc. (the schedules and exhibits have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K; a copy of any omitted schedule will be furnished supplementally to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request). (Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report May 31, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 3.1 | Unofficial Composite Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as currently in effect. (Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-108544, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company as currently in effect. (Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 4.1 | Indenture, dated as of February 6, 2009, of the Company, as Issuer, to U.S. Bank, National Association, as Trustee, regarding 12% Senior Notes Due 2014. (Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report February 6, 2009, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 4.2 | Form of Indenture between the Registrant and U.S. Bank, National Association, as Trustee. (Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-157851, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 4.3 | Indenture, dated as of June 18, 2009, of the Company, as Issuer, to U.S. Bank, National Association, as Trustee, regarding the Company's 7.875% Senior Notes Due 2017. (Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report June 12, 2009, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 4.4 | Indenture, dated as of October 3, 2011, among Sealed Air, the Guarantors named therein and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as Trustee, governing the 8.125% Senior Notes Due 2019 and 8.375% Senior Notes Due 2021. (Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report October 3, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 4.5 | Indenture, dated as of March 21, 2013, by and among Sealed Air Corporation, Guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association. (Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report March 21, 2013, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 4.6 | Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 20, 2013, by and among Sealed Air Corporation, Guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association. (Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report March 21, 2013, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 4.7 | Form of 8.125% Senior Note due 2019. (Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report October 3, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 4.8 | Form of 8.375% Senior Note due 2021. (Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report October 3, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 4.9 | Form of 5.25% senior note due 2023. (Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report March 21, 2013, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.1 | Employee Benefits Allocation Agreement dated as of March 30, 1998 among the Company, W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. and W. R. Grace & Co. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report March 31, 1998, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.2 | Tax Sharing Agreement dated as of March 30, 1998 by and among the Company, W. R. Grace & Co. Conn. and W. R. Grace & Co. (Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report March 31, 1998, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.3 | Agreement in Principle, dated November 27, 2002, by and among the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants, the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants, the Company, and the Company's subsidiary, Cryovac, Inc. (Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.4 | Settlement Agreement and Release, dated November 10, 2003, by and among the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants, the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants, the Company, and the Company's subsidiary, Cryovac, Inc. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Amendment No. 3 to its Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-108544, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.5 | Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors of the Company. (Annex E to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 1998 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.6 | Sealed Air Corporation 2002 Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, effective May 17, 2002. (Annex A to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.7 | Amendment dated April 15, 2004, to the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors of the Company. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.8 | Sealed Air Corporation 2002 Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended April 13, 2010. (Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.9 | Sealed Air Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors. (Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 10.10 | Sealed Air Corporation Executive Severance Plan (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report February 5, 2014, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.11 | Form of Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement. (Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-59195, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.12 | Form of Stock Purchase Agreement for use in connection with the Company's 2002 Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors. (Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.13 | Fees to be paid to the Company's Non-Employee Directors 2011. (Exhibit 10.48 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.14 | Fees to be paid to the Company's Non-Employee Directors 2012. (Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.15 | Fees to be paid to the Company's Non-Employee Directors 2013. (Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.16 | Fees to be paid to the Company's Non-Employee Directors 2014.* |
| 10.17 | 2005 Contingent Stock Plan of Sealed Air Corporation, as amended and restated on July 11, 2013 (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report July 11, 2013, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.18 | Sealed Air Corporation Annual Incentive Plan, adopted February 19, 2008 (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report February 19, 2008, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.19 | Performance-Based Compensation Program of the Company, as amended February 18, 2010. (Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report February 18, 2010, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.20 | Sealed Air Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Key Employees (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report June 25, 2013, file No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.21 | Sealed Air Corporation Policy on Recoupment of Incentive Compensation from Executives in the Event of Certain Restatements, as amended February 18, 2010. (Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report February 18, 2010, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.22 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, approved December 18, 2008, for awards pursuant to the Stock Leverage Opportunity provision of the Company's annual incentive plan. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report December 18, 2008, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.23 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, approved December 18, 2008, for awards pursuant to the Stock Leverage Opportunity provision of the Company's annual incentive plan. (Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report December 18, 2008, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 10.24 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, approved February 14, 2013, for awards pursuant to the Stock Leverage Opportunity provision of the Company's annual incentive plan. (Exhibit 10.30 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.25 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, as amended, under the amended 2005 Contingent Stock Plan of Sealed Air Corporation. (Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report December 18, 2008, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.26 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, as amended, under the amended 2005 Contingent Stock Plan of Sealed Air Corporation. (Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report December 18, 2008, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.27 | Form of Non-Compete and Confidentiality Agreement for exempt U.S. employees, substantially as executed by Emile Z. Chammas, Senior Vice President, of the Company. (Exhibit 10.29 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.28 | Form of Sealed Air Corporation Performance Share Units Award Grant 2011-2013 (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report April 7, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.29 | Form of Sealed Air Corporation Performance Share Units Award Grant 2012-2014 (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.30 | Form of Sealed Air Corporation Performance Share Units Award Grant 2012 to the Chief Executive Officer (Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.31 | Form of Sealed Air Corporation Performance Share Units Award Grant 2013-2015. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.32 | Commitment Letter, dated as of May 31, 2011, by and among Sealed Air Corporation and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report May 31, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.33 | Amended and Restated Commitment Letter, dated as of June 17, 2011, by and among Sealed Air Corporation, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Bank of America, N.A., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, BNP Paribas, BNP Paribas Securities Corp., The Royal Bank of Scotland plc and RBS Securities Inc. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report June 17, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.34 | Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 16, 2011, by and among the Company, as issuer, and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, for themselves and the other initial purchasers named therein, regarding Sealed Air Corporation's 8.125% Senior Notes Due 2019 and 8.375% Senior Notes Due 2021 (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report September 16, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.35 | Syndicated Facility Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2011, by and among Sealed Air, certain subsidiaries of Sealed Air party thereto, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as agent and the other agents party thereto. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report October 3, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 10.36 | Series A Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2011, by and among Diversey Holdings, Inc., Sealed Air and Solution Acquisition Corp. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report October 3, 2011, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.37 | Employment Agreement, dated August 29, 2012 between Jerome A. Peribere and the Company, as supplemented on October 11, 2012. (Exhibit 10.43 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 10.38 | Equity Interest Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2012, by and between Sealed Air Corporation, Sealed Air Netherlands Holdings V B.V., and DC Co., Ltd., as amended on November 9, 2012, and further amended November 14, 2012. (Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.39 | Restatement Agreement, dated November 15, 2012, by and among Sealed Air Corporation and certain subsidiaries of Sealed Air Corporation party thereto, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as agent and other agents party thereto. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report November 13, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.40 | Amended and Restated Syndicated Facility Agreement, dated November 15, 2012, by and among Sealed Air Corporation and certain subsidiaries of Sealed Air Corporation party thereto, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as agent and other agents party thereto. (Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report November 13, 2012, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.41 | Amendment No. 1, dated November 27, 2013, to the Amended and Restated Syndicated Facility Agreement by and among Sealed Air Corporation and certain subsidiaries of Sealed Air Corporation party thereto, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as agent, and the other parties thereto. (Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, Date of Report November 27, 2013, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.) |
| 10.42 | Employment Agreement, dated December 1, 2010, between Yagmur Sagnak and the Company. (Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013, File No. 1-12139, is incorporated herein by reference.)* |
| 12.1 | Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of the Company. |
| 23.1 | Consent of KPMG LLP. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Jerome A. Peribere, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a), dated February 28, 2014. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Carol P. Lowe, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a), dated February 28, 2014. |
| 32 | Certification of Jerome A. Peribere, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Carol P. Lowe, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, dated February 28, 2014. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |

Table of Contents

Exhibit

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |

* Compensatory plan or arrangement of management required to be filed as an exhibit to this report on Form 10-K. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed to be filed or part of any registration statement or other document filed for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

In lieu of filing certain instruments with respect to long-term debt of the kind described in Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K, the Company agrees to furnish a copy of such instruments to the SEC upon request.

Table of Contents

Schedule

SEALED AIR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**SCHEDULE II****Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves****Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011**

| Description | Balance at Beginning of Year | Charged to Costs and Expenses | Deductions (in millions of dollars) | Foreign Currency Translation and Other | Balance at End of Year |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---------------------------|
| Year ended December 31, 2013: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 25.6 | \$ 11.6 | \$ (5.4) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (0.4) | \$ 31.4 |
| Inventory obsolescence reserve | \$ 27.5 | \$ (0.3) | \$ (1.5) ⁽²⁾ | \$ (0.8) | \$ 24.9 |
| Year ended December 31, 2012: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 15.9 | \$ 14.3 | \$ (7.8) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 3.2 | \$ 25.6 |
| Inventory obsolescence reserve | \$ 23.3 | \$ 13.9 | \$ (14.1) ⁽²⁾ | \$ 4.4 | \$ 27.5 |
| Year ended December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 16.6 | \$ 8.9 | \$ (8.2) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (1.4) | \$ 15.9 |
| Inventory obsolescence reserve | \$ 22.5 | \$ 9.5 | \$ (7.9) ⁽²⁾ | \$ (0.8) | \$ 23.3 |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily accounts receivable balances written off, net of recoveries.⁽²⁾ Primarily items removed from inventory.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SEALED AIR CORPORATION

(Registrant)

By: /s/ JEROME A. PERIBERE
Jerome A. Peribere
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 28, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| | Signature | Title | Date |
|-----|--|--|---------------------------|
| By: | /s/ JEROME A. PERIBERE Jerome A. Peribere | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ CAROL P. LOWE Carol P. Lowe | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ WILLIAM G. STIEHL William G. Stiehl | Chief Accounting Officer and Controller | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ HANK BROWN Hank Brown | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ MICHAEL CHU Michael Chu | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ LAWRENCE R. CODEY Lawrence R. Codey | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ PATRICK DUFF Patrick Duff | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ JACQUELINE B. KOSECOFF Jacqueline B. Kosecoff | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ KENNETH P. MANNING Kenneth P. Manning | Director | February 28, 2014 |

Edgar Filing: SEALED AIR CORP/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-----|------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| By: | /s/ WILLIAM J. MARINO | Director | |
| | William J. Marino | | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ RICHARD L. WAMBOLD | Director | |
| | Richard L. Wambold | | February 28, 2014 |
| By: | /s/ JERRY R. WHITAKER | Director | |
| | Jerry R. Whitaker | | February 28, 2014 |