

BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO

Form 10-Q/A

March 31, 2004

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A
(Amendment No. 1)

QUARTERLY REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2003

Commission File Number 1-1136

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

22-079-0350
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

345 Park Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10154

(Address of principal executive offices)

Telephone: (212) 546-4000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At April 30, 2003, there were 1,938,328,170 shares outstanding of the Registrant's \$.10 par value Common Stock.

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note

This Amendment No. 1 to Bristol-Myers Squibb Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 includes unaudited restated consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, and for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002. The accompanying restated consolidated financial statements and supplementary data, including the notes to the accompanying restated consolidated financial statements, have been revised to reflect primarily the restatement, the addition of the Oncology Therapeutics Network as a separate business segment, disclosures of a milestone payment under the ImClone alliance and changes in legal proceedings and contingencies occurring subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003.

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (the Company) has restated, by means of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (2003 Form 10-K), its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002, and consolidated statements of earnings, cash flows and comprehensive income and retained earnings for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. The restatement affected periods prior to 2001. Note 2 included in the 2003 Form 10-K shows the impact of the restatement adjustments to retained earnings as of January 1, 2001, to reflect the impact of the restatement on periods prior to 2001. For information on the impact of the restatement on the years 2000 and 1999, reference is made to Item 6. Selected Financial Data in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. In addition, the restatement impacts the first three quarters of 2003. The restated amounts for the second and third quarters of 2003 and the comparable interim periods in 2002 are presented in the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly periods ended June 30, 2003 and September 30, 2003.

The restatement (i) corrects certain of the Company's historical accounting policies to conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and (ii) corrects certain errors made in the application of GAAP, including errors in tax contingency reserves that may be related to inappropriate accounting. The restatement includes adjustments to (i) earnings from continuing operations before minority interest and income taxes, (ii) minority interest, net of taxes, (iii) the provision for income taxes, (iv) earnings from discontinued operations and (v) cash and cash equivalents.

The restatement adjustments increased the Company's net earnings and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2003 by approximately \$31 million or \$0.02 per share, and increased net earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2002 by approximately \$11 million with no impact on diluted earnings per share.

The restatement adjustment to the Company's consolidated balance sheet decreased the amount of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2002 and March 31, 2003 by approximately \$1.6 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively, and increased marketable securities in each case by the same amount. The restatement adjustment to the statement of cash flows increased (decreased) the amount of net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002 by approximately \$0.4 billion and \$(0.4) billion, respectively.

For further discussion, see Item 8. Financial Statements Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements for Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, which discloses the nature of the restatement adjustments and shows the impact of the restatement adjustments on net earnings and the provision for income taxes, the impact of the income tax restatement adjustments on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002 and the cumulative impact of the adjustments on a condensed statement of earnings and condensed balance sheet for each annual period on a restated basis.

This Form 10-Q/A amends and restates Items 1, 2 and 4 of Part I and Items 1 and 6 of Part II of the original Form 10-Q, and no other information included in the original Form 10-Q is amended hereby. The explanatory caption at the beginning of each item of this Form 10-Q/A sets forth the nature of the revisions to that item.

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

The Company did not amend its Annual Report on Form 10-K or Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for periods affected by the restatement that ended prior to March 31, 2003, and the financial statements and related financial information contained in such reports should no longer be relied upon.

All referenced amounts in this Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for prior periods and prior period comparisons reflect the balances and amounts on a restated basis.

For a discussion of events and developments subsequent to March 31, 2003 through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, see the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly periods ended June 30, 2003 and September 30, 2003, and the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q/A

MARCH 31, 2003

	Page
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1.	
<u>Restated Financial Statements:</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statement of Earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income and Retained Earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002</u>	7
<u>Notes to Restated Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
<u>Report of Independent Accountants</u>	32
Item 2.	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	33
Item 3.	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	42
Item 4.	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	42
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	44
Item 1.	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	44
Item 4.	
<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	44
Item 6.	
<u>Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</u>	45
<u>Signatures</u>	46

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. RESTATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The restated consolidated financial statements and supplementary data, including the notes to the restated consolidated financial statements, set forth in this Item 1, have been revised to reflect the restatement occurring subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-Q.

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY
RESTATED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(UNAUDITED)

	Restated March 31, 2003	Restated December 31, 2002
(dollars in millions)		
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,357	\$ 2,367
Marketable securities	1,991	1,622
Receivables, net of allowances \$154 and \$129	3,186	2,968
Inventories:		
Finished goods	866	918
Work in process	511	416
Raw and packaging materials	157	216
Consignment inventory	26	58
Total Inventories	1,560	1,608
Prepaid expenses	1,550	1,495
Total Current Assets	10,644	10,060
Property, plant and equipment	8,840	8,706
Less: Accumulated depreciation	3,478	3,372
	5,362	5,334
Goodwill	4,836	4,836
Other intangible assets, net	1,848	1,904
Other assets	2,860	2,888
Total Assets	\$ 25,550	\$ 25,022

LIABILITIES		
Current Liabilities:		
Short-term borrowings	\$ 2,247	\$ 1,379
Accounts payable	1,507	1,551
Accrued expenses	2,378	2,537
Accrued rebates and returns	952	883
U.S. and foreign income taxes payable	693	525
Dividends payable	543	542
Accrued litigation liabilities	32	600
Deferred revenue on consigned inventory	174	470
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Current Liabilities	8,526	8,487
Other liabilities	1,488	1,518
Long-term debt	6,367	6,261
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Liabilities	16,381	16,266
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Commitments and contingencies		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Preferred stock, \$2 convertible series:		
Authorized 10 million shares; issued and outstanding 8,268 in 2003 and 8,308 in 2002, liquidation value of \$50 per share		
Common stock, par value of \$.10 per share:		
Authorized 4.5 billion shares; issued 2,200,856,808 in 2003 and 2,200,823,544 in 2002		
	220	220
Capital in excess of par value of stock	2,475	2,491
Restricted stock	(48)	(52)
Other accumulated comprehensive loss	(758)	(904)
Retained earnings	18,753	18,503
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	20,642	20,258
Less cost of treasury stock 262,617,400 common shares in 2003 and 263,994,580 in 2002	11,473	11,502
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Stockholders Equity	9,169	8,756
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 25,550	\$ 25,022
	<hr/>	<hr/>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY
RESTATED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EARNINGS
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months	
	Ended March 31,	
	Restated	Restated
	2003	2002
	(in millions, except per	
	share data)	
EARNINGS		
Net Sales	\$ 4,728	\$ 4,674
Cost of products sold	1,709	1,508
Marketing, selling and administrative	1,100	924
Advertising and product promotion	315	236
Research and development	475	497
Acquired in-process research and development		160
Gain on sales of businesses/product lines		(30)
Provision for restructuring and other items, net	12	(1)
Litigation (income)/charge, net	(21)	90
Equity in net income of affiliates	(22)	(29)
Other (income)/expense, net	(3)	47
	3,565	3,402
Earnings from Continuing Operations Before Minority Interest and Income Taxes	1,163	1,272
Provision for income taxes	316	334
Minority interest, net of taxes	55	85
Earnings from Continuing Operations	792	853
Discontinued Operations:		
Net gain on disposal		14
Net Earnings	\$ 792	\$ 867
Earnings Per Common Share		
Basic		
Earnings from Continuing Operations	\$.41	\$.44
Discontinued Operations:		
Net gain on disposal		.01
Net Earnings	\$.41	\$.45
Diluted		
Earnings from Continuing Operations	\$.41	\$.43

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Discontinued Operations:		
Net gain on disposal		.01
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net Earnings	\$.41	\$.44
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Average Common Shares Outstanding		
Basic	1,936	1,935
Diluted	1,940	1,952
Dividends declared per Common Share	\$.28	\$.28

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY
RESTATED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME AND RETAINED EARNINGS
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	Restated 2003	Restated 2002
	(dollars in millions)	
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME		
Net Earnings	\$ 792	\$ 867
Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss):		
Foreign currency translation, net of tax benefit of \$86 in 2003 and \$10 in 2002	149	(35)
Decline in market value of investments, net of tax benefit of \$1 in 2003	(2)	
Deferred losses on derivatives qualifying as hedges, net of tax benefit of \$5 in 2003 and \$4 in 2002	(1)	(13)
Total Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)	146	(48)
Comprehensive Income	\$ 938	\$ 819
RETAINED EARNINGS		
Retained Earnings, January 1	\$ 18,503	\$ 18,530
Net Earnings	792	867
Cash dividends declared	(542)	(543)
Retained Earnings, March 31	\$ 18,753	\$ 18,854

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

RESTATED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	Restated 2003	Restated 2002
	(dollars in millions)	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 792	\$ 867
Depreciation	105	105
Amortization	73	74
Litigation charges		90
Provision for restructuring and other items, net	12	(1)
Acquired in-process research and development		160
Gain on sales of businesses/product lines (including discontinued operations)		(54)
Other operating items	13	(18)
Receivables	(197)	159
Inventories	69	(26)
Deferred revenue on consigned inventory	(296)	(353)
Litigation settlement payments, net of receipts	(565)	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	6	(461)
Income taxes	44	(1,448)
Pension contribution to the U.S. retirement income plan		(150)
Other assets and liabilities	104	(30)
	<u>160</u>	<u>(1,086)</u>
Net Cash Provided by (Used In) Operating Activities		
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:		
Proceeds from sales and maturities of marketable securities	4,980	3,589
Purchases of marketable securities	(5,348)	(3,212)
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(190)	(211)
Investment in ImClone	(60)	
Proceeds from product divestitures		40
Business acquisitions (including purchase of trademarks/patents)	(2)	(186)
DuPont acquisition costs and liabilities	(3)	(242)
Other, net	3	50
	<u>(620)</u>	<u>(172)</u>
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:		
Short-term borrowings, net of repayments	923	88
Long-term debt borrowings	52	1
Issuances of common stock under stock plans	12	83
Purchases of treasury stock		(67)
Dividends paid	(542)	(543)
	<u>445</u>	<u>(438)</u>
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities		

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Effect of Exchange Rates on Cash	5	(5)
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(10)	(1,701)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	2,367	4,552
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 2,357	\$ 2,851

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Throughout these notes to the restated consolidated financial statements, all referenced amounts for prior periods and prior period comparisons reflect the balances and amounts on a restated basis.

Note 1. Basis of Presentation and New Accounting Standards

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (the Company) prepared these unaudited restated consolidated financial statements following the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim reporting. Under those rules, certain footnotes and other financial information that are normally required by GAAP for annual financial statements can be condensed or omitted. The Company is responsible for the restated consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A. These restated consolidated financial statements include all normal and recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, the results of its operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and March 31, 2002. For further discussion see Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements, below. These restated consolidated financial statements and the related notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (2003 Form 10-K). PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), the Company's independent accountants, have performed a review of the unaudited restated consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A, and their review report thereon accompanies this Form 10-Q/A.

Revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities can vary during each quarter of the year. Accordingly, the results and trends in these unaudited restated consolidated financial statements may not be the same as those for the full year.

The Company recognizes revenue when substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership have transferred to the customer. In the case of certain sales made by the Nutritionals and Other Healthcare segments and certain non-U.S. businesses within the Pharmaceuticals segment, revenue is recognized on the date of receipt by the purchaser. Revenues are reduced at the time of sale to reflect expected returns that are estimated based on historical experience. Additionally, provisions are made at the time of sale for all discounts, rebates and estimated sales allowances based on historical experience updated for changes in facts and circumstances, as appropriate. Such provisions are recorded as a reduction of revenue.

In the case of sales made to wholesalers (i) as a result of incentives, (ii) in excess of the wholesaler's ordinary course of business inventory level, (iii) at a time when there was an understanding, agreement, course of dealing or consistent business practice that the Company would extend incentives based on levels of excess inventory in connection with future purchases and (iv) at a time when such incentives would cover substantially all, and vary directly with, the wholesaler's cost of carrying inventory in excess of the wholesaler's ordinary course of business inventory level, substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership do not transfer upon shipment and, accordingly, such sales should be accounted for using the consignment model. The determination of when, if at all, sales to a wholesaler meet the foregoing criteria involves evaluation of a variety of factors and a number of complex judgments. Under the consignment model, the Company does not recognize revenue upon shipment of product. Rather, upon shipment of product the Company invoices the wholesaler, records deferred revenue at gross invoice sales price and classifies the inventory held by the wholesaler as consignment inventory at the Company's cost of such inventory. The Company recognizes revenue when the consignment inventory is no longer subject to incentive arrangements but not later than when such inventory is sold through to the wholesalers' customers, on a first-in first-out (FIFO) basis.

The Company's estimates of inventory at the wholesalers and deferred revenue on consigned inventory are based on the projected prescription demand-based sales for its products, as well as the Company's analysis of third-party information, including information obtained from certain wholesalers with respect to their inventory levels and sell-through to customers and third-party market research data, and the Company's internal information. The Company's estimates are subject to inherent limitations of estimates that rely on third-party data, as certain third-party information was itself in the form of estimates, and reflect other limitations.

In addition, the Company includes alliance revenue in net sales. The Company has agreements to promote pharmaceuticals discovered by other companies. Alliance revenue is based upon a percentage of the Company's copromotion partners' net sales and is earned when the copromotion partners ship the related product and title passes to their customer.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant assumptions are employed in estimates used in determining values of intangible assets, restructuring charges and accruals, sales rebate and return accruals, legal contingencies and tax assets and tax liabilities, as well as in estimates used in applying the revenue recognition policy and accounting for retirement and postretirement benefits (including the actuarial assumptions). Actual results could differ from the estimated results.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation and New Accounting Standards (Continued)

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation, including the reclassification of amounts relating to equity in net income of affiliates, which were formerly netted in minority interest, net of taxes and are now presented on a separate line in the consolidated statement of earnings (see Note 7. Alliances and Investments below).

In January 2003, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46 or Interpretation), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51. FIN 46 clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties; such entities are known as variable interest entities (VIEs). The FASB issued a revision to FIN 46 (FIN 46-R) in December 2003. FIN 46-R is effective for the interim period ending March 31, 2004 for all new or existing VIEs. The adoption of FIN 46 had no effect on the Company's financial statements.

If an entity does not meet the definition of a VIE under FIN 46, the Company accounts for the entity under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion Number 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock, which requires that the Company consolidates all majority (more than 50%) owned subsidiaries where it has the ability to exercise control. The Company accounts for 50% or less owned companies over which it has the ability to exercise significant influence using the equity method of accounting. The Company's share of net income or losses of equity investments is included in equity in net income of affiliates in the consolidated statement of earnings. The Company periodically reviews these equity investments for impairment and adjusts these investments to their fair value when a decline in market value is deemed to be other than temporary. During 2002, the Company recorded an asset impairment charge of \$379 million for an other-than-temporary decline in the market value of ImClone Systems Incorporated (ImClone).

Long-term investments in securities, which comprise marketable equity securities and securities and investments for which market values are not readily available, are included in other assets. Marketable equity securities are classified as available-for-sale and reported at fair value. Fair value is based on quoted market prices as of the end of the reporting period. Securities and investments for which market values are not readily available are carried at cost. Unrealized gains and losses are reported, net of their related tax effects, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity until sold. At the time of sale, any gains or losses are calculated by the specific identification method and recognized in other (income)/expense. Losses are also recognized in income when a decline in market value is deemed to be other than temporary.

In accordance with SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure*, the following table summarizes the Company's results on a pro forma basis as if it had recorded compensation expense based upon the fair value at the grant date for awards under these plans consistent with the methodology prescribed under SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	Restated 2003	Restated 2002
	(dollars in millions, except per share data)	
Net Earnings:		
As reported	\$ 792	\$ 867
Deduct : Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(26)	(45)
Pro forma	\$ 766	\$ 822
Basic earnings per share:		
As reported	\$.41	\$.45
Pro forma	.40	.42
Diluted earnings per share:		
As reported	\$.41	\$.44
Pro forma	.39	.42

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (FIN 45). FIN 45 requires a guarantor to recognize a liability at the inception of the guarantee for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee and include more detailed disclosure with

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation and New Accounting Standards (Continued)

respect to guarantees. The types of contracts the Company enters into that meet the scope of this interpretation are financial and performance standby letters of credit on behalf of wholly-owned subsidiaries. FIN 45 is effective for guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The initial adoption of this accounting pronouncement did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2002, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. EITF No. 00-21 provides guidance on how to determine when an arrangement that involves multiple revenue-generating activities or deliverables should be divided into separate units of accounting for revenue recognition purposes, and if this division is required, how the arrangement consideration should be allocated among the separate units of accounting. The guidance in the consensus is effective for revenue arrangements entered into in the fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company is currently waiting for the EITF to complete its deliberations on certain implementation provisions to finalize its evaluation of the effect that the adoption of EITF No. 00-21 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*. Under SFAS No. 143, the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation must be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. The provisions of SFAS No. 143 are effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The initial adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

The Company has restated its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002, and consolidated statements of earnings, cash flows, and comprehensive income and retained earnings for the year ended December 31, 2002 and for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The restatement affected periods prior to 2002. The impact of the restatement on such prior periods is reflected as an adjustment to retained earnings as of January 1, 2002 for the periods presented herein. The restatement (i) corrects certain of the Company's historical accounting policies to conform to GAAP and (ii) corrects certain errors made in the application of GAAP. Set forth below are the restatement adjustments included in the restatement of the previously issued financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, each of which is an error within the meaning of Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 20, *Accounting Changes*.

The following table presents the impact of the restatement adjustments described below on net earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002:

	Net Earnings for the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2003	2002
	(dollars in millions)	
As reported	\$ 761	\$ 856
WIC rebates accrual	4	(1)
Goods in transit	1	(1)
Other net sales adjustments	6	23
International pension and employee benefit plan accrual		1
Other marketing, selling and administrative adjustments	(4)	4
Intercompany foreign exchange gains and losses	44	(13)
Other restatement items	(8)	
Adjustments to minority interest, net of taxes		(1)
Provision for income taxes	(12)	(1)
As restated	\$ 792	\$ 867

Table of Contents**BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY****NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(UNAUDITED)****Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements (Continued)**

The restatement adjustments resulted in a cumulative net reduction of retained earnings of \$357 million as of January 1, 2003. As discussed above, the impact of the restatement on periods prior to 2002 is reflected as an adjustment to retained earnings as of January 1, 2002 for the periods presented herein. The following table presents the impact of the restatement adjustments on retained earnings from January 1, 2002 to January 1, 2003 (dollars in millions):

Retained earnings January 1, 2002, as previously reported	\$ 18,958
Cumulative effect of restatement adjustments prior to January 1, 2002	(428)
	<hr/>
Retained Earnings-January 1, 2002, as restated	18,530
2002 Net Earnings:	
As previously reported	\$ 2,066
Restatements	
WIC rebates accrual	(4)
Goods in transit	(5)
Other net sales adjustments	14
Other marketing, selling and administrative adjustments	8
Intercompany foreign exchange gains and losses	(28)
Other restatement items	8
Adjustments to minority interest, net of taxes	(6)
Provision for income taxes	84
	<hr/>
As restated	2,137
Cash dividends declared	(2,168)
Zimmer common stock dividend	4
	<hr/>
Retained Earnings January 1, 2003, as restated	\$ 18,503
	<hr/>

Adjustments to Net Sales and Related Adjustments to Cost of Products Sold

WIC rebates accrual: Historically, the Company accrued for rebates under the Women, Infants and Children (WIC) Program at the date the coupons were issued by the states. This was an error in the application of GAAP, which requires accrual at the date of sale of the product. The Company has corrected its policy to accrue WIC rebates at the date of sale.

Goods in transit: The Company corrected an error in the application of GAAP regarding the timing of revenue recognition for certain sales made by its Mead Johnson unit, its Other Healthcare unit and certain of its non-U.S. Pharmaceuticals units. The Company previously recorded revenue for products sold on the date of shipment but now records revenue, based on the terms of sale, on the date of receipt by the purchaser.

Other net sales adjustments: The Company corrected an error in accounting for managed health care and other sales rebate accrual amounts initially recorded in connection with the Company's previous restatement. The Company restated certain sales transactions made by certain of its Asia business units where revenue had been recognized in error prior to the transfer of substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership due to the existence of a right of return available to the purchaser of the product. The Company erroneously failed to adjust on a timely basis its accrual for sales returns, charge backs and other deductions for sales of products of a divested division made prior to its divestiture as required under GAAP.

Other Adjustments to Earnings from Continuing Operations Before Minority Interest and Income Taxes

International pension and employee benefit plan accrual: Historically, the Company erroneously accounted for certain of its international employee benefit plans under cash or other non-GAAP methods based on its belief that the impact of applying the accrual method required by GAAP was immaterial. In 2003, the Company had an actuarial analysis performed for each of the larger plans and determined that it had understated its benefits liabilities for these plans. The Company now accounts for all its pension and employee benefit plans under the accrual method. In addition, the Company failed to make the required accrual for one of its international employee benefit plans due to a misapplication of GAAP.

Other marketing, selling and administrative adjustments: The Company recorded a number of adjustments with respect to marketing, selling and administrative expense. The Company determined that there had been an error in application of its historical accounting policy for accruing for earned vacation not yet taken. The Company determined that it had not properly recorded an expense for

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements (Continued)

training and operational support relating to a contract with a third party in the period that it was incurred. The Company wrote off certain accounts that did not have adequate documentation supporting their existence. The Company also wrote off reserves for post-employment benefits other than pensions that had been retained in error for certain of its divested businesses. The Company incorrectly capitalized certain costs related to internally developed software due to a misapplication of GAAP. The Company also failed to adjust certain expense reserves on a timely basis to the actual amount of expense incurred as required by GAAP. The Company also corrected a number of smaller, immaterial errors in the application of GAAP.

Intercompany foreign exchange gains and losses: Historically, the Company deferred gains and losses for certain intercompany foreign exchange loan transactions by recording such gains and losses in other accumulated comprehensive loss on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. This was an error in the application of GAAP, which requires that, unless the intercompany transaction is a long-term investment, that is, where settlement is not planned in the foreseeable future, any foreign currency transaction gain or loss should be included in determining net income. The Company has corrected its policy to comply with GAAP.

Other restatement items: The Company has several foreign subsidiaries that operate in jurisdictions with hyperinflationary currencies and with respect to which the Company recorded restatement adjustments to correct errors relating to the accounting for deferred tax assets, liabilities and valuation allowances. As a result, the Company did not record foreign exchange gain or loss with respect to these deferred tax assets, which was an error. The Company erroneously overaccrued expenses relating to certain grants, which had been completed, by failing to adjust accruals to the actual amounts of the expenses incurred over the life of the grants. The Company failed to write-off an unreconciled account relating to its acquisition of the Dupont Pharmaceuticals business in 2001. The Company also failed to adjust certain expense reserves on a timely basis to the actual amount of expense incurred as required by GAAP. The Company also corrected a number of smaller, immaterial errors in the application of GAAP.

Adjustments to Minority Interest, Net of Taxes

The Company recorded duplicate deferred tax net assets related to tax attributes of certain partnership entities in which Sanofi-Synthelabo owns the majority controlling interest.

Adjustments to Provision for Income Taxes

Contingency reserves: In certain instances during the periods being restated, the Company made errors in recording its reserves for tax contingencies. The Company believes there may have been inappropriate adjustments to its tax contingency reserves in 2001 and 2002. The

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Company has completed a review and it has not been able to determine whether or not any of the errors in its tax contingency reserves being corrected in the restatement are related to inappropriate accounting.

U.S. federal and state tax items: The Company identified a number of errors related to current and deferred federal and state taxes, and corresponding current and deferred tax expense. These errors included (i) not establishing deferred tax assets and, to the extent necessary, corresponding valuation allowances for net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, (ii) not applying, or misapplying, the asset and liability approach for deferred taxes required under GAAP, (iii) not considering all relevant information at the date of issuance of the financial statements, and (iv) not timely adjusting for differences between tax provisions and filed tax returns.

Foreign tax items: The Company identified a number of errors related to current and deferred foreign taxes, and corresponding tax expense. These errors included (i) not establishing deferred tax assets and, to the extent necessary, corresponding valuation allowances for net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, (ii) not applying, or misapplying, the asset and liability approach for deferred taxes required under GAAP, (iii) not considering all information available at the date of issuance of the financial statements, (iv) not timely adjusting for filed tax returns, and (v) accounting for income taxes in certain jurisdictions on a cash basis.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY
NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements (Continued)

The following table presents the impact of the restatement adjustments described above on the provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002 (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
			% of Earnings Before Minority Interest and	
			Income Taxes	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Provision for Income Taxes, as previously reported	\$ 294	\$ 333	27.3%	27.1%
Other tax items:				
U.S.	5	47	(0.1)%	3.2%
Non-U.S.	17	(46)	%	(4.0)%
Provision for Income Taxes, as restated	\$ 316	\$ 334	27.2%	26.3%

The following table presents the impact of the income tax restatement adjustments described above on the Company's balance sheet at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 (dollars in millions):

	March 31, 2003		December 31, 2002	
	Contingency Reserves	Other Tax Items	Contingency Reserves	Other Tax Items
Assets:				
Prepaid expenses, current	\$	\$ (12)	\$	\$ 18
Other assets, non-current				92
Liabilities:				
U.S. and foreign income taxes payable	\$	\$ (1)	\$ (80)	\$ 122
Other liabilities, non-current			49	(27)

Adjustments to Cash and Cash Equivalents Classification

The Company has determined that certain investments under its cash management program were erroneously classified as cash equivalents on its consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, and statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002. Although the Company believes these investments are highly liquid, because the maturities for these investments exceeded three months, the previous presentation in cash and cash equivalents was an error and the Company has restated prior periods to present these investments as marketable securities. The restatement adjustment to the Company's consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 decreased the amount of cash and cash equivalents by approximately \$2.0 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively. The restatement adjustment to statements of cash flows increased (decreased) the amount of net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002 by approximately \$0.4 billion and \$(0.4) billion, respectively.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements (Continued)

Adjustments to Other Expense, Net Classification

The table below presents the restatement charges (credits) for certain amounts that had been classified in error and have been reclassified as part of the restatement from other expense, net to the appropriate line item in the consolidated statement of earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2003	2002
	(dollars in millions)	
Total adjustments to Other expense, net	\$ (63)	\$ (5)
Net Sales:		
Rebate accrual adjustment	\$	\$ 8
Cost of Products Sold:		
Royalty expense	\$ 8	\$ 14
Other, net ^(a)	13	(8)
	\$ 21	\$ 6
Marketing, Selling and Administrative:		
Amortization of capitalized software	\$ 10	\$ 6
Restricted stock grant amortization	6	5
Other, net ^(a)	4	(14)
	\$ 20	\$ (3)
Advertising and Product Promotion:		
Other, net ^(a)	\$	\$ (4)
Research and Development:		
Other, net ^(a)	\$ (1)	\$ (3)

Equity in Net Income of Affiliates:

ImClone - share in losses	\$ 23	\$ 1
---------------------------	-------	------

- (a) Certain items included in Other, net, are reclassifications of amounts that are not errors within the meaning of APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, but rather are amounts that have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements (Continued)

The following table presents the impact of the restatement adjustments on the Company's previously reported results for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002 on a condensed basis:

	March 31, 2003		March 31, 2002	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated
(dollars in millions, except per share data)				
STATEMENT OF EARNINGS:				
Net sales	\$ 4,711	\$ 4,728	\$ 4,661	\$ 4,674
Total Costs and Expenses	3,636	3,565	3,433	3,402
Earnings from Continuing Operations	\$ 761	\$ 792	\$ 842	\$ 853
Discontinued Operations:				
Net gain on disposal			14	14
Net Earnings	\$ 761	\$ 792	\$ 856	\$ 867
Basic Earnings per Common Share				
Continuing Operations	\$.39	\$.41	\$.43	\$.44
Discontinued Operations:				
Net gain on disposal			.01	.01
Net Earnings	\$.39	\$.41	\$.44	\$.45
Diluted Earnings per Common Share				
Continuing Operations	\$.39	\$.41	\$.43	\$.43
Discontinued Operations:				
Net gain on disposal			.01	.01
Net Earnings	\$.39	\$.41	\$.44	\$.44
	March 31, 2003		December 31, 2002	

	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated
(dollars in millions)				
BALANCE SHEET:				
ASSETS				
Current Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,328	\$ 2,357	\$ 3,978	\$ 2,367
Marketable securities	20	1,991	11	1,622
Other current assets	6,171	6,296	5,986	6,071
Total Current Assets	10,519	10,644	9,975	10,060
Other Assets	14,841	14,906	14,899	14,962
Total Assets	\$ 25,360	\$ 25,550	\$ 24,874	\$ 25,022
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities	\$ 8,298	\$ 8,526	\$ 8,220	\$ 8,487
Other liabilities	1,398	1,488	1,426	1,518
Long-term debt	6,367	6,367	6,261	6,261
Total Liabilities	16,063	16,381	15,907	16,266
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	9,297	9,169	8,967	8,756
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 25,360	\$ 25,550	\$ 24,874	\$ 25,022

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY
NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Note 3. Restructuring and Other Items

In the first quarter of 2003, the Company recorded a pre-tax charge of \$12 million, related to termination benefits for workforce reductions of 340 manufacturing employees in the Pharmaceuticals segment and downsizing and streamlining of worldwide manufacturing operations. In addition, the Company recorded \$10 million in cost of products sold for asset impairments and \$4 million in cost of products sold for accelerated depreciation of certain manufacturing facilities in North America expected to be closed by the end of 2004.

In the first quarter of 2002, an adjustment to prior year reserves of \$1 million was made to reflect reduced estimates of separation costs.

Restructuring charges and spending against accrued liabilities associated with prior and current actions are as follows:

	Employee		Total
	Termination	Other Exit Cost	
	<u>Liability</u>	<u>Liability</u>	
	(dollars in millions)		
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$ 243	\$ 41	\$ 284
Charges	71	38	109
Spending	(155)	(29)	(184)
Changes in estimate	(92)	(8)	(100)
	<u>67</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>109</u>
Balance at December 31, 2002	67	42	109
Charges	12		12
Spending	(23)	(17)	(40)
	<u>56</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>81</u>
Balance at March 31, 2003 (unaudited)	\$ 56	\$ 25	\$ 81

Table of Contents**BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY****NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(UNAUDITED)****Note 4. Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings per common share are computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per common share are computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the year, plus the incremental shares outstanding assuming the exercise of dilutive stock options. The computations for basic earnings per common share and diluted earnings per common share are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	Restated 2003	Restated 2002
	(in millions, except per share data)	
Earnings from Continuing Operations	\$ 792	\$ 853
Discontinued Operations:		
Net gain on disposal		14
Net Earnings	\$ 792	\$ 867
Basic:		
Average Common Shares Outstanding	1,936	1,935
Earnings from Continuing Operations	\$.41	\$.44
Discontinued Operations:		
Net gain on disposal		.01
Net Earnings	\$.41	\$.45
Diluted:		
Average Common Shares Outstanding	1,936	1,935
Incremental Shares Outstanding Assuming the Exercise of Dilutive Stock Options	4	17
	1,940	1,952
Earnings from Continuing Operations	\$.41	\$.43
Discontinued Operations:		
Net gain on disposal		.01
Net Earnings	\$.41	\$.44

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Weighted-average shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, which were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because they were not dilutive, were 120 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2003 and 81 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2002.

Table of Contents**BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY****NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(UNAUDITED)****Note 5. Goodwill**

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2002 and the three months ended March 31, 2003, were as follows:

	<u>Pharmaceuticals</u> <u>Segment</u>	<u>Nutritionals</u> <u>Segment</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>Healthcare</u> <u>Segment</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(dollars in millions)			
Balance as of December 31, 2001	\$ 4,810	\$ 119	\$ 190	\$ 5,119
Purchase accounting adjustments related to recent acquisitions:				
Change in exit cost estimate	(165)			(165)
Purchase price and allocation adjustments	(117)	(1)		(118)
Balance as of December 31, 2002 (restated) and March 31, 2003 (restated)	<u>\$ 4,528</u>	<u>\$ 118</u>	<u>\$ 190</u>	<u>\$ 4,836</u>

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, which the Company adopted in January 2002, goodwill was tested for impairment upon adoption of the standard and is required to be tested annually thereafter. The Company completed the assessment upon adoption, which indicated no impairment of goodwill. The Company uses a two-step process in testing for goodwill impairment. The first step is to identify a potential impairment, and the second step measures the amount of the impairment loss, if any. Goodwill is deemed to be impaired if the carrying amount of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its estimated fair value. The Company has completed its 2003 annual goodwill impairment assessment, which indicated no impairment of goodwill.

Note 6. Intangible Assets

As of March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, intangible assets consisted of the following:

	<u>March 31</u> <u>2003</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2002</u>
	(dollars in millions)	
Patents / Trademarks	\$ 209	\$ 214

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Licenses	362	554
Technology	1,783	1,783
	<u>2,354</u>	<u>2,551</u>
Accumulated Amortization	506	647
	<u>506</u>	<u>647</u>
Net Carrying Amount	\$ 1,848	\$ 1,904
	<u>\$ 1,848</u>	<u>\$ 1,904</u>

Amortization expense for intangible assets (the majority of which is included in cost of products sold) for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$59 million and \$66 million, respectively. Expected amortization expense through 2008 related to the current balance of intangible assets is as follows:

	(dollars in millions)	
	<u> </u>	
For the year ended December 31, 2003	\$	221
For the year ended December 31, 2004		194
For the year ended December 31, 2005		194
For the year ended December 31, 2006		194
For the year ended December 31, 2007		193
For the year ended December 31, 2008		189

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 7. Alliances and Investments

ImClone

The Company has a commercialization agreement that expires in 2018 with ImClone, a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing targeted cancer treatments, for the codevelopment and copromotion of ERBITUX* in the United States, Canada and Japan. In February 2004, the FDA approved the Biologics License Application (BLA) for ERBITUX* for use in combination with irinotecan in the treatment of patients with Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) expressing, metastatic colorectal cancer who are refractory to irinotecan-based chemotherapy and for use as a single agent in the treatment of patients with EGFR expressing, metastatic colorectal cancer who are intolerant to irinotecan-based chemotherapy. In accordance with the terms of the agreement, the Company paid ImClone \$200 million, of which \$140 million was paid in March 2002 and \$60 million was paid in March 2003. The Company paid \$250 million in March 2004 as a milestone payment for the initial approval of ERBITUX*. An additional \$250 million is payable upon achievement of a second milestone. Under the agreement, ImClone will receive a distribution fee based on a flat rate of 39% of product revenues in North America.

With respect to the \$200 million of milestone payments the Company paid ImClone in 2002 and 2003, \$160 million (or 80.1%) was expensed in the first quarter of 2002 as acquired in-process research and development, and \$40 million (or 19.9%) was recorded as an additional equity investment to eliminate the income statement effect of the portion of the milestone payment for which the Company has an economic claim through its 19.9% ownership interest in ImClone.

In the first quarter of 2003 and 2002, the Company recorded \$23 million and \$1 million, respectively, of net loss for its share of ImClone's losses. Included in 2003 was \$12 million charge reflecting the Company's estimate of its share of ImClone's net losses related to ImClone's recent announcement that it will need to restate its 2001 and later financial statements and possibly certain of its earlier financial statements for certain withholding tax liabilities associated with the exercise of warrants and options held by its current and former officers, directors and employees. The Company records its share of the results in equity in net income of affiliates in the consolidated statement of earnings.

The total equity investment in ImClone as of March 31, 2003 was \$79 million. On a per share basis, the carrying value of the ImClone investment and the closing market price of the ImClone shares as of March 31, 2003 were \$5.52 and \$16.54, respectively.

In the third quarter of 2002, the Company recorded a pre-tax charge of \$379 million for an other than temporary decline in the market value of ImClone based on the decline in value of ImClone's shares during 2002. The fair value of the equity investment in ImClone used to record the impairment was based on the market value of ImClone shares on September 30, 2002.

Sanofi-Synthelabo

The Company has agreements with Sanofi for the codevelopment and cocommercialization of: AVAPRO*/AVALIDE* (irbesartan), an angiotensin II receptor antagonist indicated for the treatment of hypertension, and PLAVIX* (clopidogrel), a platelet aggregation inhibitor. The worldwide alliance operates under the framework of two geographic territories: one in the Americas and Australia and the other in Europe and Asia. Two territory partnerships were formed to manage central expenses, such as marketing, research and development and royalties, and to supply finished product to the individual countries. At the country level, agreements either to copromote (whereby a partnership was formed between the parties to sell a single brand) or to comarket (whereby the parties operate and sell their brands independently of each other) are in place.

The Company acts as the operating partner for the territory covering the Americas (principally the United States, Canada, Puerto Rico, and Latin American countries) and Australia and owns the majority financial controlling interest in this territory. As such, the Company consolidates all country partnership results for this territory and records Sanofi's share of the results as a minority interest expense, net of taxes, which was \$52 million and \$83 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company recorded sales in this territory and in comarketing countries (Germany, Italy, Spain and Greece) of \$583 million and \$600 million, respectively.

Sanofi acts as the operating partner for the territory covering Europe and Asia and owns the majority financial controlling interest in this territory. In 2003, the Company accounts for the investment in partnership entities in this territory under the equity method and records its equity share of net income in the consolidated statement of earnings. The Company recorded its share of equity earnings in this territory of \$45 million and \$30 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

In 2001, the Company and Sanofi formed an alliance for the copromotion of irbesartan, as part of which the Company contributed the irbesartan distribution rights in the United States and Sanofi paid the Company a total of \$350 million in 2002 and 2001. The Company accounts for this transaction as a sale of an interest in a license and defers and amortizes the \$350 million into income over the expected useful life of the license, which is approximately eleven years. The Company amortized into other income \$8 million in each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2003 and 2002.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 7. Alliances and Investments (Continued)

Otsuka

The Company has a worldwide commercialization agreement with Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Otsuka), to codevelop and copromote ABILIFY* (aripiprazole) for the treatment of schizophrenia. The Company began copromoting the product with Otsuka in the United States and Puerto Rico in November 2002. The Company will also copromote the product in several European countries if marketing approval is received from the European authorities. The Company records alliance revenue for its 65% share of the net sales in these copromotion countries and records all expenses related to the product. The Company also has an exclusive right to sell ABILIFY* in a number of countries in Europe, Latin America, and Asia. In these countries, as sales commence, the Company will record 100% of the net sales and related cost of sales. The Company recorded \$37 million in alliance revenue related to ABILIFY* for the three months ended March 31, 2003.

Note 8. Divestitures and Discontinued Operations

Divestitures

During the first quarter of 2002, the Company completed the sale of two branded products resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$30 million.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations in the three months ended March 31, 2002 consist of an after-tax adjustment to increase the gain on the sale of Clairol as a result of a final purchase price settlement.

Table of Contents**BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY****NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(UNAUDITED)****Note 9. Business Segments**

The Company has four reportable segments: Pharmaceuticals, Oncology Therapeutics Network (OTN), Nutritionals, and Other Healthcare. The Pharmaceuticals segment is comprised of the global pharmaceutical and international (excluding Japan) consumer medicines businesses. The OTN segment is a specialty distributor of anticancer medicines and related products. OTN, which was previously included in the Pharmaceuticals segment, met the quantitative thresholds of a reportable segment. Accordingly, prior periods have been reclassified to conform with current year presentations. The Nutritionals segment consists of Mead Johnson Nutritionals, primarily an infant formula business. The Other Healthcare segment consists of the ConvaTec, Medical Imaging, and Consumer Medicines (United States and Japan) businesses.

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	Net Sales		Earnings from Continuing Operations Before Minority Interest and Income Taxes	
	Restated	Restated	Restated	Restated
	2003	2002	2003	2002
	(dollars in millions)			
Pharmaceuticals	\$ 3,365	\$ 3,442	\$ 1,024	\$ 1,136
Oncology Therapeutics Network	520	411	3	5
Nutritionals	458	458	100	143
Other Healthcare	385	363	74	98
Total Segments	4,728	4,674	1,201	1,382
Corporate/Other			(38)	(110)
Continuing Operations	\$ 4,728	\$ 4,674	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,272

Corporate/Other principally consists of interest expense, interest income, certain administrative expenses and allocations to the segments. In 2003, Pharmaceuticals and Corporate/Other include the following items: Pharmaceuticals income of \$21 million from a vitamins litigation settlement, \$4 million of accelerated depreciation expense for facilities expected to be abandoned and a \$10 million asset impairment charge; Corporate/Other a \$12 million restructuring charge. In 2002, Pharmaceuticals and Corporate/Other include the following items: Pharmaceuticals a \$160 million in-process research and development charge related to milestone payments to ImClone; Corporate/Other a \$90 million accrual for

BUSPAR litigation, an adjustment to prior year reserves of \$1 million to reflect reduced estimates of separation costs and a \$30 million gain on the sale of two branded products.

Note 10. Other (Income)/Expense, Net

The components of other (income)/expense, net are:

	Three Months	
	Ended March 31,	
	Restated	Restated
	2003	2002
	—	—
	(dollars in millions)	
Interest expense	\$ 81	\$ 98
Interest income	(20)	(23)
Foreign exchange transaction (gains)/losses	(40)	16
Other, net	(24)	(44)
	—	—
Other (income)/expense, net	\$ (3)	\$ 47
	—	—

Interest expense is primarily related to the \$5.0 billion debt issuance in conjunction with the DuPont and ImClone transactions. In addition, interest expense was reduced by net interest rate swap gains of \$22 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003. Interest income relates primarily to cash, cash equivalents and investments in marketable securities.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies

Information in this Note 11 pertaining to legal proceedings and contingencies has been updated for events and developments occurring subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-Q through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

Various lawsuits, claims, proceedings and investigations are pending against the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, the Company records accruals for such contingencies when it is probable that a liability will be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. These matters involve antitrust, securities, patent infringement, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), pricing, sales and marketing practices, environmental, health and safety matters, product liability and insurance coverage. The most significant of these matters are described below.

In the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company established reserves for liabilities of \$250 million, comprised of \$150 million in relation to wholesaler inventory issues and certain other accounting matters as discussed below under Other Securities Matters, and \$100 million in relation to pharmaceutical pricing and sales and marketing practices as discussed below under Pricing, Sales and Promotional Practices Litigation and Investigations. It is not possible at this time to reasonably assess the final outcome of these matters. In accordance with GAAP, the Company has determined that the above amounts represent minimum expected probable losses with respect to these groups of matters. Eventual losses related to these matters may exceed these reserves, and the further impact of either one of these groups of matters could be material. The Company does not believe that the top-end of the range for these losses can be estimated. With the exception of the above accruals and those for TAXOL[®], BUSPAR, environmental and product liability proceedings, the Company has not established reserves for the matters described below. There can be no assurance that there will not be an increase in the scope of these matters or that any future lawsuits, claims, proceedings or investigations will not be material. Management continues to believe, as previously disclosed, that during the next few years, the aggregate impact, beyond current reserves, of these and other legal matters affecting the Company is reasonably likely to be material to the Company's results of operations and cash flows, and may be material to its financial condition and liquidity.

PLAVIX* Litigation

The Company's U.S. territory partnership under its alliance with Sanofi is a plaintiff in two pending patent infringement lawsuits instituted in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York entitled Sanofi-Synthelabo, Sanofi-Synthelabo Inc., and Bristol-Myers Squibb Sanofi Pharmaceuticals Holding Partnership v. Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp., 02-CV-2255 (RWS) and Sanofi-Synthelabo, Sanofi-Synthelabo Inc. and Bristol-Myers Squibb Sanofi Pharmaceuticals Holding Partnership v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, LTD, and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc., 02-CV-3672 (RWS). Similar proceedings involving PLAVIX* also have been instituted outside the United States.

The suits were filed on March 21, 2002 and May 14, 2002, respectively, and are based on U.S. Patent No. 4,847,265, a composition of matter patent, which discloses and claims, among other things, the hydrogen sulfate salt of clopidogrel, which is marketed as PLAVIX*, and on U.S.

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Patent No. 5,576,328, which discloses and claims, among other things, the use of clopidogrel to prevent a secondary ischemic event. The plaintiffs later withdrew Patent No. 5,576,328 from the lawsuit. Plaintiffs' infringement position is based on defendants' filing of their Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) with the FDA, seeking approval to sell generic clopidogrel prior to the expiration of the composition of matter patent in 2011. The defendants responded by alleging that the patent is invalid and/or unenforceable. The cases were consolidated for discovery, and fact discovery closed on October 15, 2003.

Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, or Teva, a generic drug manufacturer, has filed an ANDA with the FDA claiming that Patent No. 5,576,328 relating to PLAVIX* is invalid and that two others will not be infringed by Teva. None of these patents is involved in the pending patent infringement litigation involving PLAVIX*. The Teva filing does not challenge the patent at issue in the PLAVIX* litigation and therefore is not expected to have any impact on that litigation; nor does it appear that Teva intends to commercialize a generic form of PLAVIX* prior to the expiration or termination of the patent at issue in the litigation, although there can be no assurance that this will continue to be the case.

Net sales of PLAVIX* were approximately \$2.5 billion in 2003 and are expected to grow substantially over the next several years. The Company anticipates that this revenue growth will be an important factor in offsetting expected decreases in sales of the Company's other products that recently have or will experience exclusivity losses during this period.

Currently, the Company expects PLAVIX* to have market exclusivity in the United States until 2011. If the composition of matter patent for PLAVIX* is found not infringed, invalid and/or unenforceable at the district court level, the FDA could then approve the defendants' ANDAs to sell generic clopidogrel, and generic competition for PLAVIX* could begin, before the Company has exhausted its appeals. Such generic competition would likely result in substantial decreases in the sales of PLAVIX* in the United States.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies (Continued)

Although the plaintiffs intend to vigorously pursue enforcement of their patent rights in PLAVIX*, it is not possible at this time reasonably to assess the outcome of these lawsuits, or, if the Company were not to prevail in these lawsuits, the timing of potential generic competition for PLAVIX*. However, if such generic competition were to occur, the Company believes it is very unlikely to occur before sometime in the year 2005. It also is not possible reasonably to estimate the impact of these lawsuits on the Company. However, loss of market exclusivity of PLAVIX* and the subsequent development of generic competition would be material to the Company's sales of PLAVIX* and results of operations and cash flows and could be material to its financial condition and liquidity.

VANLEV Litigation

In April, May and June 2000, the Company, its former chairman of the board and chief executive officer, Charles A. Heimbald, Jr., and its former chief scientific officer, Peter S. Ringrose, Ph.D., were named as defendants in a number of class action lawsuits alleging violations of federal securities laws and regulations. These actions have been consolidated into one action in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. The plaintiff claims that the defendants disseminated materially false and misleading statements and/or failed to disclose material information concerning the safety, efficacy and commercial viability of its product VANLEV during the period November 8, 1999 through April 19, 2000.

In May 2002, the plaintiff submitted an amended complaint adding allegations that the Company, its present chairman of the board and chief executive officer, Peter R. Dolan, its former chairman of the board and chief executive officer, Charles A. Heimbald, Jr., and its former chief scientific officer, Peter S. Ringrose, Ph.D., disseminated materially false and misleading statements and/or failed to disclose material information concerning the safety, efficacy, and commercial viability of VANLEV during the period April 19, 2000 through March 20, 2002. A number of related class actions, making essentially the same allegations, were also filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. These actions have been transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. The Company has filed a motion for partial judgment in its favor based upon the pleadings. The plaintiff has opposed the motion, in part by seeking again to amend its complaint, including another attempt to expand the proposed class period. The court has not ruled on the Company's motion to dismiss nor the plaintiff's motion for leave to amend. Discovery is ongoing. The plaintiff purports to seek compensatory damages, costs and expenses on behalf of shareholders.

It is not possible at this time reasonably to assess the final outcome of this litigation or reasonably to estimate the possible loss or range of loss with respect to this litigation. If the Company were not to prevail in final, non-appealable determinations of this litigation, the impact could be material.

Other Securities Matters

During the period March through May 2002, the Company and a number of its current and former officers were named as defendants in a number of securities class action suits. The suits variously alleged violations of federal securities laws and regulations in connection with three different matters: (1) VANLEV (as discussed above), (2) sales incentives and wholesaler inventory levels, and (3) ImClone, and ImClone's product, ERBITUX*. As discussed above, the allegations concerning VANLEV have been transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey and consolidated with the action pending there. The remaining actions have been consolidated and are pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Plaintiffs filed a consolidated class action complaint on April 11, 2003 against the Company and certain current and former officers alleging a class period of October 19, 1999 through March 10, 2003. The consolidated class action complaint alleges violations of federal securities laws in connection with, among other things, the Company's investment in and relationship with ImClone and ImClone's product, ERBITUX*, and certain accounting issues addressed in the 2002 Restatement, including issues related to wholesaler inventory and sales incentives, the establishment of reserves, and accounting for certain asset and other sales. The plaintiffs seek compensatory damages, costs and expenses. On August 1, 2003, the Company moved to dismiss the consolidated class action complaint. The plaintiffs have opposed the Company's motion to dismiss and the Company has replied. The motion remains pending before the court. Discovery in this matter is stayed pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. In addition, an action was filed in early October 2003, in New York State Court, making similar factual allegations and asserting a variety of claims including, among others, common law fraud and negligent misrepresentation. No discovery has been taken in this matter. On January 9, 2004, the Company moved to dismiss the complaint.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies (Continued)

Beginning in October 2002, a number of the Company's current and former officers and directors were named as defendants in three shareholder derivative suits pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. A number of the Company's current and former officers and directors were named as defendants in three shareholder derivative suits filed during the period March 2003 through May 2003 in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. In July 2003 the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey ordered the three shareholder derivative lawsuits that were filed in that court transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Subsequently, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York ordered all six federal shareholder derivative suits consolidated. Plaintiffs have filed a consolidated, amended, verified shareholder complaint against certain members of the board of directors, current and former officers and PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), the Company's independent auditors. The Company is a nominal defendant. The consolidated amended complaint alleges, among other things, violations of federal securities laws and breaches of fiduciary duty by certain individual defendants in connection with the Company's conduct concerning, among other things: safety, efficacy and commercial viability of VANLEV (as discussed above); the Company's sales incentives to certain wholesalers and the inventory levels of those wholesalers; the Company's investment in and relations with ImClone and ImClone's product ERBITUX®; and alleged anticompetitive behavior in connection with BUSPAR and TAXOL. The lawsuit also alleges malpractice (negligent misrepresentation and negligence) by PwC. The plaintiffs seek restitution and rescission of certain officers' and directors' compensation and alleged improper insider trading proceeds; injunctive relief; fees, costs and expenses; contribution from certain officers for alleged liability in the consolidated securities class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (as discussed above); and contribution and indemnification from PwC. No discovery has been taken in this matter. On December 19, 2003, the Company moved to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint. Two similar actions are pending in New York State court. Plaintiffs seek equitable relief, damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

The SEC and the U.S. Attorney's Office and a grand jury for the District of New Jersey are investigating the activities of the Company and certain current and former members of the Company's management in connection with the wholesaler inventory issues referenced above and certain other accounting issues. The Company is cooperating with these investigations.

It is not possible at this time reasonably to assess the final outcome of these litigations and investigations or reasonably to estimate the possible loss or range of loss with respect to these litigations and investigations. The Company is producing documents and actively cooperating with these investigations, which investigations could result in the assertion of civil and/or criminal claims against the Company and/or current or former members of the Company's management. If the Company were not to prevail in final, non-appealable determinations of these litigations and investigations, the impact could be material.

ERISA Litigation

In December 2002 and the first quarter of 2003, the Company and others were named as defendants in five class actions brought under ERISA in the U.S. District Courts for the Southern District of New York and the District of New Jersey. These actions have been consolidated in the Southern District of New York under the caption *In re Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. ERISA Litigation*, 02 CV 10129. An Amended Consolidated Complaint alleging a class period of January 1, 1999 through March 10, 2003, was served on August 18, 2003. The Amended Consolidated Complaint was brought on behalf of four named plaintiffs and a putative class consisting of all participants in the Bristol-Myers Squibb

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Company Savings and Investment Program (Savings Plan)-and their beneficiaries for whose benefit the Savings Plan held and/or acquired Company stock at any time during the class period (excluding the defendants, their heirs, predecessors, successors and assigns). The named defendants are the Company, the Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Savings Plan Committee (Committee), thirteen individuals who presently serve on the Committee or who served on the Committee in the recent past, Charles A. Heimbold, Jr. and Peter R. Dolan (the past and present Chief Executive Officer, respectively, of the Company). The Amended Consolidated Complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA during the class period, by, among other things, continuing to offer the Company Stock Fund and Company stock as investment alternatives under the Savings Plan; continuing to invest Company matching contributions in the Company Stock Fund and Company stock; and failing to disclose that the investments in Company stock were (allegedly) imprudent. The Savings Plan's purchases of Company stock after January 1, 1999 are alleged to have been transactions prohibited by ERISA. Finally, Defendants Heimbold and Dolan are alleged to have breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA by failing to monitor the actions of the Committee. These ERISA claims are predicated upon factual allegations similar to those raised in Other Securities Matters above, concerning, among other things: safety, efficacy and commercial viability of VANLEV; the Company's sales incentives to certain wholesalers and the inventory levels of those wholesalers; the Company's investment in and relations with ImClone and ImClone's product ERBITUX*; and alleged anticompetitive behavior in connection with BUSPAR and TAXOL®.

There has not been any significant discovery. On October 2, 2003, the Company and all other defendants moved to dismiss the Amended Consolidated Complaint. The plaintiffs have opposed the motion to dismiss, and the defendants have replied. It is not

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies (Continued)

possible at this time reasonably to predict the final outcome or reasonably to estimate the possible loss or range of loss with respect to the consolidated litigation. If the Company were not to prevail in final, non-appealable determinations of these matters, the impact could be material.

Pricing, Sales and Promotional Practices Litigation and Investigations

The Company, together with a number of other pharmaceutical manufacturers, is a defendant in several private class actions and in actions brought by the Nevada and Montana Attorneys General and the Counties of Suffolk, Westchester and Rockland, New York that are pending in federal and state courts relating to the pricing of certain Company products. The federal cases have been consolidated for pre-trial purposes under the caption *In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation*, MDL No. 1456 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (AWP Multidistrict Litigation).

On June 18, 2003, the Court in the AWP Multidistrict Litigation granted the private plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an amended Master Consolidated Complaint (Amended Master Complaint). The Amended Master Complaint contains two sets of allegations against the Company. First, it alleges that the Company's and many other pharmaceutical manufacturers' reporting of prices for certain drug products (20 listed drugs in the Company's case) had the effect of falsely overstating the Average Wholesale Price (AWP) published in industry compendia, which in turn improperly inflated the reimbursement paid to medical providers and others who prescribed and administered those products. Second, it alleges that the Company and certain other defendant pharmaceutical manufacturers conspired with one another in a program called the Together Rx Card Program to fix AWP's for certain drugs made available to consumers through the Program. The Amended Master Complaint asserts claims under the federal RICO and antitrust statutes and state consumer protection and fair trade statutes.

The Amended Master Complaint is brought on behalf of two main proposed classes, that are further divided into sub-classes: (1) all persons or entities who, from 1991 forward, (a) directly paid any portion of the price of a listed drug, which price was calculated with reference to AWP or (b) contracted with a pharmacy benefit manager to provide others with the drugs listed in the Amended Consolidated Complaint; and (2) all persons or entities who, from 2002 forward, paid or reimbursed any portion of the purchase price of a drug covered by the Together Rx Card Program based in whole or in part on AWP.

The Company and the other defendants moved to dismiss the Amended Master Complaint on the grounds it fails to state claims under the applicable statutes. These motions were denied on February 24, 2004, although the Court dismissed one of the plaintiffs' claims for failure to plead a cognizable RICO enterprise. Accordingly, the Company and the other defendants will be required to answer the Amended Master Complaint. In addition, the Company has been engaged in and will continue to engage in discovery in private class actions in the AWP Multidistrict Litigation.

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

The Nevada and Montana Attorneys General complaints assert claims similar to those in the Amended Master Complaint under state law, but also assert claims in the name of their respective States for alleged violations of state Medicaid fraud statutes. The Nevada and Montana Attorneys General cases were originally commenced in their respective state courts but were later removed to the AWP Multidistrict Litigation. Each Attorney General moved to have its case remanded to state court on the ground that there is no federal jurisdiction. On June 11, 2003, the Court in the AWP Multidistrict Litigation ruled that the Nevada action, in which the Company is named, should be remanded to state court on the ground that not all defendants had joined in the original removal petition. The case is now proceeding in Nevada state court. The Court retained jurisdiction over the Montana case. The defendants moved to dismiss the Montana and a second Nevada case, in which the Company is not named. Oral argument was heard on that motion on December 12, 2003, but no ruling has issued.

Finally, the Company is a defendant in related state court proceedings commenced in New York, New Jersey, California, Arizona and Tennessee, in proceedings by the Attorney General of Pennsylvania and in federal court proceedings commenced by the Counties of Suffolk, Westchester and Rockland, New York (collectively, the New York Counties AWP cases). Those proceedings were transferred to the AWP Multidistrict Litigation for pre-trial purposes, although plaintiffs in the California, Arizona and New Jersey actions sought to remand their cases to the state courts. The California remand motions were denied, the Arizona remand motion was granted, and any other remand motions remain pending. The New York Counties AWP cases allege RICO claims similar to those made in the Amended Master Consolidated Complaint in the AWP Multidistrict Litigation, however, the claims are on behalf of the counties as contributors to New York State's Medicaid obligations. Defendants in the first-filed Suffolk County case have moved to dismiss the amended complaint in that action. Oral argument was heard on that motion on December 12, 2003, but no ruling has issued. With respect to the case remanded to Arizona state court, defendants have filed motions to dismiss or for a stay. A hearing on these motions is currently scheduled for June 10, 2004, with merits discovery stayed until then.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies (Continued)

These cases are at a very preliminary stage, and the Company is unable to assess the outcome and any possible effect on its business and profitability, or reasonably estimate possible loss or range of loss with respect to these cases. If the Company were not to prevail in final, non-appealable determinations of these litigations and investigations, the impact could be material.

The Company, together with a number of other pharmaceutical manufacturers, also has received subpoenas and other document requests from various government agencies seeking records relating to its pricing, sales and marketing practices, and Best Price reporting for drugs covered by Medicare and/or Medicaid. The requests for records have come from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts, the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services in conjunction with the Civil Division of the Department of Justice, the Office of the Inspector General for the Office of Personnel Management in conjunction with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and several states. In addition, a request for information has come from the House Committee on Energy & Commerce in connection with an investigation that the Committee is currently conducting into Medicaid Best Price issues. Finally, the Company has received a civil investigative demand from the Attorney General for the State of Missouri relating to direct to consumer advertising for PRAVACHOL for the period of 2001-2003. The Company also received notice of a putative class action lawsuit involving the same issues, filed on February 23, 2004, in circuit court of Jackson County Missouri at Kansas City, captioned Richard Summers v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. The Company has not been served with this complaint.

On July 22, 2003, the Company announced that it had recently initiated an internal review of certain of its sales and marketing practices. That review focuses on whether these practices comply with applicable anti-kickback laws. It also includes an analysis of these practices with respect to compliance with (1) Best Price reporting and rebate requirements under the Medicaid program and certain other U.S. governmental programs, which reference the Medicaid rebate program and (2) applicable FDA requirements. The Company has met with representatives of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts to discuss the review. The Company has received a subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts. The Company's internal review is expected to continue until resolution of pending governmental investigations of related matters.

The Company is producing documents and actively cooperating with these investigations, which could result in the assertion of civil and/or criminal claims. The Company is unable to assess the outcome of, or to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss with respect to, these investigations, which could include the imposition of fines, penalties, administrative remedies and/or liability for additional rebate amounts. If the Company were not to prevail in final, non-appealable determinations of these litigations and investigations, the impact could be material.

CTLA4Ig Litigation

On August 17, 2000, Repligen Corporation (Repligen) and the University of Michigan instituted a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The suit alleged that Dr. Craig Thompson, formerly a professor at the University of

Michigan, had been involved in a collaboration with certain of the Company's scientists, and that Thompson's activity in the collaboration made him a rightful inventor on several patents that the Company later obtained covering soluble forms of CTLA4 and related methods of use. After conducting a trial, in September 2003 the District Court ruled that Repligen and the University of Michigan had failed to prove that Thompson made any inventive contribution to the patents in suit, and thus he was not entitled to be added as a sole or joint inventor on the Company's patents. Repligen and the University of Michigan appealed the District Court's decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

ERBITUX* Litigation

On October 28, 2003, a complaint was filed by Yeda Research and Development Company Ltd. (Yeda) against ImClone Systems and Aventis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. This action alleges and seeks that three individuals associated with Yeda should also be named as coinventors on U.S. Patent No. 6,217,866, which covers the therapeutic combination of any EGFR monoclonal antibody and anti-neoplastic agents, such as chemotherapeutic agents, for use in the treatment of cancer. If Yeda's action were successful, Yeda could be in a position to practice, or to license others to practice, the invention. This could result in product competition for ERBITUX* that might not otherwise occur. The Company, which is not a party to this action, is unable to predict the outcome at this stage in the proceedings.

Product Liability Litigation

The Company is a party to product liability lawsuits involving allegations of injury caused by the Company's pharmaceutical and over-the-counter medications. The majority of these lawsuits involve certain over-the-counter medications containing phenylpropanolamine (PPA), or the Company's SERZONE and STADOL NS prescription drugs. In addition to lawsuits, the Company also faces unfiled claims involving the same products.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies (Continued)

PPA. In May 2000, Yale University published the results of its Hemorrhagic Stroke Project, which concluded that there was evidence of a suggestion that PPA may increase the risk of hemorrhagic stroke in a limited population. In November 2000, the FDA issued a Public Health Advisory and requested that manufacturers of PPA-containing products voluntarily cease manufacturing and marketing them. At that time, the only PPA-containing products manufactured or sold by the Company were COMTREX (liquid gel formulations only) and NALDECON. On or about November 6, 2000, the Company, as well as other manufacturers of PPA containing products, discontinued the manufacture and marketing of PPA containing products and allowed customers to return any unused product that they had in their possession.

In January 2001, the Company was served with its first PPA lawsuit. The Company currently is a defendant in approximately 148 personal injury lawsuits, filed on behalf of approximately 355 plaintiffs, in federal and state courts throughout the United States. The majority of these lawsuits involve multiple defendants. Among other claims, plaintiffs allege that PPA causes hemorrhagic and ischemic strokes, that the defendants were aware of the risk, failed to warn consumers and failed to remove PPA from their products. Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages. All of the federal cases have been transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, *In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 1407. The District Court has denied all motions for class certification and there are no class action lawsuits pending against the Company in this litigation.

On June 18, 2003, the District Court issued a ruling effectively limiting the plaintiffs' claims to hemorrhagic and ischemic strokes. Rulings favorable for the defendants included the inadmissibility of expert testimony in cases alleging injuries occurring more than three days after ingestion of a PPA containing product and cases involving psychoses, seizures and cardiac injuries. The Company expects to be dismissed from additional cases in which its products were never used by the plaintiffs and where plaintiffs' alleged injury occurred more than three days after ingestion of a PPA containing product or where a plaintiff suffered from cardiac injuries or psychoses.

SERZONE. SERZONE (nefazodone hydrochloride) is an antidepressant that was launched by the Company in May 1994 in Canada and in March 1995 in the United States. In December 2001, the Company added a black box warning to its SERZONE label warning of the potential risk of severe hepatic events including possible liver failure and the need for transplantation and risk of death. Within several months of the black box warning being added to the package insert for SERZONE, a number of lawsuits, including several class actions, were filed against the Company. Plaintiffs allege that the Company knew or should have known about the hepatic risks posed by SERZONE and failed to adequately warn physicians and users of the risks. They seek compensatory and punitive damages, medical monitoring, and refunds for the costs of purchasing SERZONE.

At present, the Company has 182 lawsuits, on behalf of 2,038 plaintiffs, pending against it in federal and state courts throughout the United States. Twenty-four of these cases are pending in New York state court and have been consolidated for pretrial discovery. In addition, there are approximately 652 alleged, but unfiled, claims of injury associated with Serzone. In August 2002, the federal cases were transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, *In Re Serzone Products Liability Litigation*, MDL 1477. Although discovery is still at a very early stage it appears that very few of these cases involve liver failure. In June 2003, the District Court dismissed the class claims in all but two of the class action complaints. Although a number of the class action complaints filed against the Company had sought the certification of one or more personal injury classes, the remaining class action complaints do not seek the certification of personal injury classes. On January 30,

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

2004, the court issued an order setting the hearing on class certification for October 20, 2004. In addition to the cases filed in the United States, there are three national class actions filed in Canada.

STADOL NS. *STADOL NS* was approved in 1992 by the FDA as an unscheduled opioid analgesic nasal spray. In February 1995 the Company asked the FDA to schedule *STADOL NS* as a Schedule IV, low potential for abuse, drug due to post-marketing reports suggestive of inappropriate use of the product. On October 31, 1997, it became a Schedule IV drug. Since 1997, the Company has received a number of lawsuits involving *STADOL*. In late 2002, the number of filed suits increased due to newly passed tort reform legislation, which became effective on January 1, 2003. Most, if not all, of the plaintiffs in these new suits had previously asserted claims against the Company for their alleged injuries.

The Company currently is a party in 51 cases pending, on behalf of a total of approximately 908 plaintiffs, in federal and state courts throughout the United States. Plaintiffs claim that the Company committed fraud on the FDA and wrongfully promoted *STADOL NS* as non-addictive. Further, plaintiffs allege that the Company failed to adequately warn of the addiction and dependency risk associated with the use of *STADOL NS*. In addition to these lawsuits, there are approximately 9,600 alleged and unfiled claims of which approximately 80 are active. The majority of the cases and claims are pending in Mississippi.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies (Continued)

In addition to the cases filed in the United States, there are two class actions and one individual case filed in Canada.

BREAST IMPLANT LITIGATION. The Company, together with its subsidiary Medical Engineering Corporation (MEC) and certain other companies, remains a defendant in a number of claims and lawsuits alleging damages for personal injuries of various types resulting from polyurethane-covered breast implants and smooth-walled breast implants formerly manufactured by MEC or a related company. The vast majority of claims against the Company in direct lawsuits have been resolved through settlements or trial. Likewise, claims or potential claims against the Company registered in the nationwide class action settlement approved by the Federal District Court in Birmingham, Alabama (Revised Settlement), have been or will be resolved through the Revised Settlement. The Company has established accruals in respect of breast implant product liability litigation. The Company believes that any possible loss in addition to the amounts accrued will not be material.

The Company intends to vigorously defend its product liability lawsuits and believes that the majority of these cases and claims are without merit. While it is not possible at this time to reasonably assess the final outcome of the Company's pending product liability lawsuits and unfiled claims with certainty, management is of the opinion that the ultimate disposition of these matters should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position. The Company believes that it has adequate self-insurance reserves and commercially available excess insurance to cover potential loss related to its product liability cases and claims.

PLATINOL Litigation

On February 13, 2004, a class action complaint was filed by North Shore Hematology-Oncology Associates, P.C. against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. This is a putative class action brought on behalf of direct purchasers of PLATINOL that alleges that the Company violated federal antitrust laws by maintaining a monopoly in the U.S. market. The allegations focus on the Company's actions concerning U.S. Patent No. 5,562,925 (925 patent), including the procurement of the 925 patent, submission of information relating to the 925 patent for listing in the Orange Book, and initiation of previous lawsuits against potential generic manufacturers based on the 925 patent. Plaintiffs seek declaratory judgment and damages (including treble damages).

The Company markets PLATINOL under exclusive patent licenses from Research Corporation Technologies (RCT).

The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) also opened an investigation relating to PLATINOL. This matter was settled with the entry of a consent decree, which is in effect until April 14, 2013.

It is not possible at this time reasonably to assess the final outcome of this litigation or reasonably to estimate the possible loss or range of loss with respect to this litigation. If the Company were not to prevail in final, non-appealable determinations of this litigation, the impact could be material.

TAXOL[®] Litigation

In 2000, 2001 and 2002, a number of putative class actions were brought against the Company, alleging antitrust, consumer protection and similar claims concerning the Company's actions to obtain and enforce patent rights relating to TAXOL[®]. A number of state attorneys general brought similar claims, and certain insurers asserted similar claims without filing suits. All of these matters have been settled, and those that required court approval had been given final approval by the supervising court. The total amount of the settlements was \$144 million. Of that amount, \$135 million was accrued in 2002. The remaining \$9 million was accrued in 2003.

The FTC also opened an investigation relating to TAXOL[®]. This matter was settled with the entry of a consent decree, which is in effect until April 14, 2013.

An additional case based on the same allegations was brought by a small generic drug manufacturer in 2003. The Company moved to dismiss that case, and the court granted the motion in July 2003. The plaintiff sought reconsideration of this decision and was unsuccessful. The plaintiff has filed a notice of appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. It is not possible at this time reasonably to assess the final outcome of this suit or reasonably to estimate the possible loss or range of loss if the dismissal were reversed. If the dismissal were reversed, and if the Company were not to prevail in a final, non-appealable determination of the action, the impact could be material.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies (Continued)

BUSPAR Litigation

In 2001, a number of putative class actions were brought against the Company, alleging antitrust, consumer protection and similar claims concerning the Company's actions to obtain and enforce patent rights relating to BUSPAR. A number of state attorneys general brought similar claims, and certain insurers, generic drug manufacturers and chain drug stores asserted similar claims. All of these matters have been settled, and those that required court approval have been given final approval by the supervising court. The total amount of the settlements was \$551 million. Of that amount, \$35 million was accrued in 2001, and \$500 million was accrued in 2002. The remaining \$16 million was accrued in 2003.

The FTC also opened an investigation relating to BUSPAR. This matter was settled with the entry of a consent decree, which is in effect until April 14, 2013.

Environmental Proceedings

The following discussion describes (1) environmental proceedings with a governmental authority which may involve potential monetary sanctions of \$100,000 or more (the threshold prescribed by specific SEC rule), (2) a civil action or an environmental claim that could result in significant liabilities, (3) updates of ongoing matters, or the resolution of other matters, disclosed in recent public filings and (4) a summary of environmental remediation costs.

The preliminary results of an internal audit performed at the Company's facility in Hopewell, N.J. indicate that operations at the site's wastewater treatment plant and related discharges may not be in compliance with the New Jersey Water Pollution Control Act and its implementing regulations or the terms of the Company's discharge permits. The Company reported its findings to the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) in February 2004, and is currently engaged in settlement discussions with the State. None of the results of the audit suggest that there has been any adverse impact to public health. The Company has taken, and will continue to take, corrective actions to address identified deficiencies and to prevent future occurrences.

In January 2004, NJDEP sent the Company and approximately five other companies an information request letter relating to a site in North Brunswick Township, N.J. where waste materials from E.R. Squibb & Sons (Squibb), a wholly owned subsidiary of BMS, may have been disposed of from the 1940s through the 1960s. Fill material containing industrial waste and heavy metals in excess of residential standards was discovered in Fall 2003 during an expansion project at the North Brunswick Township High School. The school board and the Township, who are the current owners of the site, are preparing to submit a workplan to the NJDEP and have asked the Company to contribute to the cost of remediation. The Company is in discussions with NJDEP, the site owners and other potentially responsible parties. The site investigation is

ongoing, and no claims have been asserted against the Company.

In September 2003, the NJDEP issued an administrative enforcement Directive and Notice under the New Jersey Spill Compensation and Control Act requiring the Company and approximately 65 other companies to perform an assessment of natural resource damages and to implement unspecified interim remedial measures to restore conditions in the Lower Passaic River. The Directive alleges that the Company is liable because it historically sent bulk waste to the former Inland Chemical Company facility in Newark, New Jersey, and that releases of hazardous substances from this facility have migrated into Newark Bay and continue to have an adverse impact on the Lower Passaic River watershed. Subsequently, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) also issued a notice letter under the U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) to numerous parties but not including BMS seeking their cooperation in a study of conditions in substantially the same stretch of the Passaic River that is the subject of NJDEP's Directive. USEPA estimates this study will cost \$20 million. This study may also lead to clean-up actions, directed by USEPA and the Army Corps of Engineers.

The extent of any liability, under either the Directive or USEPA's notice letter, cannot yet be determined. Although the Company does not believe BMS has caused or contributed to any contamination in the Lower Passaic River watershed, the Company has informed NJDEP that it is willing to discuss their allegations against the Company. The NJDEP Directive states that if the responsible parties do not cooperate, the NJDEP may perform the damage assessment and restoration and take civil action to recover its remedial costs, treble damages for administrative costs, and penalties.

On October 16, 2003 the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) sent the Company a Letter of Violation (LOV) alleging that, over an unspecified period of time, emissions from certain digestion tanks at Mead Johnson's Zeeland, Michigan facility exceeded an applicable limit in the facility's renewable operating air permit. The LOV requires the Company to take corrective action and to submit a compliance program report. Although MDEQ has not demanded fines or penalties, further enforcement action could result in penalties or injunctive relief. The Company is contesting the allegations in the LOV.

Table of Contents

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

NOTES TO RESTATED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies (Continued)

In July 2003, the NJDEP advised Squibb that it believed the Company violated the Clean Air Act by failing to comply with Prevention of Significant Deterioration requirements in connection with its replacement of a gas turbine at the Company's cogeneration facility at the New Brunswick, New Jersey facility in 1997. On December 3, 2003, the Company settled this matter with the NJDEP by signing an Administrative Consent Order, which requires the Company to submit a permit application creating a facility-wide emissions cap and to pay an administrative fine of approximately \$28,000.

In May 2003, the Environmental Quality Board of Puerto Rico issued a notice to Bristol-Myers Squibb alleging five violations of the federal Resource Recovery and Conservation Act relating to recordkeeping or storage requirements for hazardous wastes at the Company's facility in Humacao. Based on its prior dealings with the EQB and the technical nature of the alleged violations, the Company believes that any penalties imposed will not be significant.

The Company is one of several defendants in a class action suit filed in superior court in Puerto Rico in February 2000 by residents alleging that air emissions from a government owned and operated wastewater treatment facility in Barceloneta have caused respiratory ailments and violated local air rules. The Company believes its wastewater discharges to the treatment facility are in material compliance with the terms of the Company's permit. The Company believes that this litigation will be resolved for an immaterial amount, nevertheless, this suit is still at an initial stage and, in the event of an adverse judgment, the Company's ultimate financial liability could be significantly greater than anticipated.

The Company is also responsible under various state, federal and foreign laws, including CERCLA, for certain costs of investigating or remediating contamination resulting from past industrial activity at the Company's current or former sites or at waste disposal or reprocessing facilities operated by third parties. The Company estimates these costs based on information obtained from the USEPA, the relevant agency, and/or studies prepared by independent consultants, including the total estimated costs for the site and the expected cost-sharing, if any, other potentially responsible parties (PRP), and the Company accrues liabilities when they are probable and reasonably estimable. The Company estimates its share of the total future costs for these sites is approximately \$58 million which represents the sum of best estimates or, where no simple estimate can reasonably be made, estimates of minimums of such costs (without taking into account any potential recoveries from other parties, which are not currently expected). The Company has paid less than \$4 million (excluding legal fees) in each of the last five years for investigation and remediation of such matters, including liabilities under CERCLA and other on-site remediations.

Although it is not possible to predict with certainty the outcome of these environmental proceedings or the ultimate costs of remediation, the Company does not believe that any reasonably possible expenditures that the Company may incur in excess of existing reserves will have a material adverse effect on its business, financial position, or results of operations.

Indemnification of Officers and Directors

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

The Company's corporate by-laws require that, to the extent permitted by law, the Company shall indemnify its officers and directors against judgments, fines, penalties and amounts paid in settlement, including legal fees and all appeals, incurred in connection with civil or criminal actions or proceedings, as it relates to their services to the Company and its subsidiaries. The by-laws provide no limit on the amount of indemnification. Indemnification is not permitted in the case of willful misconduct, knowing violation of criminal law, or improper personal benefit. As permitted under the laws of the state of Delaware, the Company has for many years purchased directors and officers insurance coverage to cover claims made against the directors and officers. The amounts and types of coverage have varied from period to period as dictated by market conditions. There are various excess policies that provide additional coverage. The litigation matters and regulatory actions described above involve certain of the Company's current and former directors and officers, all of whom are covered by the aforementioned indemnity and if applicable, certain prior period insurance policies. However, certain indemnification payments may not be covered under the Company's directors and officers insurance coverage. The Company cannot predict with certainty the extent to which the Company will recover from its insurers the indemnification payments made in connection with the litigation matters and regulatory actions described above.

On July 31, 2003, one of the Company's insurers, Federal Insurance Company, filed a lawsuit in New York Supreme Court against the Company and several current and former officers and members of the board of directors, seeking rescission, or in the alternative, declarations allowing Federal to avoid payment under certain Directors and Officers insurance policies and certain Fiduciary Liability insurance policies with respect to potential liability arising in connection with the matters described under the VANLEV Litigation, Other Securities Matters and ERISA Litigation sections above. No discovery has been taken in this matter. On October 3, 2003, another of the Company's insurers, SR International Business Insurance Co. Ltd. (SRI), informed the Company that it intended to try to avoid certain insurance policies issued to the Company on grounds of alleged material misrepresentation or non-

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Accountants

To the Board of Directors

and Stockholders of

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and its subsidiaries as of March 31, 2003, and the consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income and retained earnings and of cash flows for each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2003 and 2002. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated interim financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2002, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income and retained earnings and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated March 9, 2004, included in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the condensed accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2002 is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

As discussed in Note 2, Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements, the Company has restated previously issued financial statements.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
May 2, 2003, except as to Notes 2, 9 and 11,

for which the date is March 9, 2004

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth in this Item 2 has been revised to reflect the restatement occurring subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-Q, as well as to incorporate certain conforming changes.

Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (the Company) restated its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002, and consolidated statements of earnings, cash flows, and comprehensive income and retained earnings for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, and its financial statements for the first, second and third quarters of 2003, including comparable interim periods in 2002 (the 2003 Restatement). The restatement affected periods prior to 2001. The impact of the restatement on such prior periods is reflected as an adjustment to retained earnings as of January 1, 2001. The restatement is reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (2003 Form 10-K) and is reported in this Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 and in amendments to the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly periods ended June 30, 2003 and September 30, 2003. The 2003 Restatement (i) corrects certain of the Company's historical accounting policies to conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and (ii) corrects certain errors made in the application of GAAP.

In late October 2002, the Company determined that certain of its sales to certain wholesalers for its U.S. pharmaceuticals business should be accounted for under the consignment sales accounting model and, accordingly, determined to restate its sales and earnings for sales to these wholesalers. Following that determination, the Company also determined that it would correct certain of its historical accounting policies to conform the accounting to GAAP and certain known errors made in the application of GAAP that were previously not recorded because in each such case the Company believed the amount of any such error was not material to the Company's consolidated financial statements. In addition, as part of the restatement process, the Company investigated its accounting practices in certain areas that involve significant judgments and determined to restate additional items with respect to which the Company concluded errors were made in the application of GAAP, including certain revisions of inappropriate accounting. In March 2003, the Company completed the restatement of its financial statements for these items and restated its financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2001, including the corresponding interim periods, and the first and second quarters of 2002, including comparable prior interim periods in 2001 (the 2002 Restatement).

After completing the 2002 Restatement, the Company continued to identify and implement actions to improve the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting. In connection with this effort, the Company (i) has substantially strengthened the organization and personnel of the senior financial and control functions, (ii) adopted more rigorous policies and procedures with respect to its balance sheet review process, (iii) focused its internal audit function on financial reporting controls, (iv) engaged a consultant to assist in the evaluation and documentation of certain financial reporting and disclosure processes throughout the Company and (v) engaged a consultant to assist in a comprehensive and detailed review of certain of the Company's tax reporting and accounting. In addition, at the request of the Company's Audit Committee, the Company's independent auditors performed more extensive procedures with respect to the Company's interim financial information during 2003 and, based on the auditors' assessment of the Company's risk profile, expanded the scope and amount of field work to be performed for certain areas in connection with its audit of the Company for 2003. These actions contributed significantly to the Company identifying additional errors relating to prior periods not reflected in the 2002 Restatement. For a discussion of the individual restatement adjustments and the impact of such adjustments on the Company's previously issued financial statements, see Item 1. Restated Financial Statements Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements, above and Item 8. Financial Statements Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements for Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K.

In connection with their audits of the 2002 Restatement and the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company's independent auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), identified and communicated to the Company and its Audit

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Committee two material weaknesses (as defined under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)) relating to the Company's accounting and public financial reporting of significant matters and to its initial recording and management review and oversight of certain accounting matters. In addition, at that time, PwC identified and communicated to the Company and its Audit Committee a reportable condition (as defined under standards established by the AICPA) relating to the Company's internal controls over its financial reporting for income taxes. In 2003, the Company dedicated substantial resources to improving its controls over its accounting and financial disclosure and reporting, and PwC has not identified material weaknesses in connection with their audit of the 2003 financial statements. In addition, the Company has devoted substantial resources towards remedying the reportable condition in relation to taxes. The Company also retained a consultant to assist in a comprehensive and detailed review of certain aspects of its tax accounting and reporting. The Company examined its financial reporting for taxes in each significant jurisdiction where the Company or one of its subsidiaries was subject to tax. As a result of this

Table of Contents

review, a number of prior period errors were identified, which are reflected in the 2003 Restatement. In addition, the Company undertook a review to evaluate certain issues that had been raised concerning the manner in which the Company determined its provision for income taxes. The Company has determined that prior to 2000 there were certain inappropriate adjustments to tax contingency reserves made for the improper purpose of recording a provision for income taxes consistent with the Company's projected effective tax rate. In addition, there may have been inappropriate adjustments in 2001 and 2002. The Company has completed a review and has not been able to determine whether or not any of the errors relating to its tax contingency reserves being corrected in the restatement are related to inappropriate accounting. In connection with the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003, PwC has advised the Company and its Audit Committee that the reportable condition in the income tax accounting area remains, and the Company expects to complete remediation of this reportable condition by the end of 2004.

Throughout the following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Result of Operations, all referenced amounts for prior periods and prior period comparisons reflect the balances and amounts on a restated basis.

Results of Operations

Worldwide sales for the first quarter of 2003 increased 1% to \$4,728 million from \$4,674 million in 2002. This sales increase resulted from a 5% decrease in volume, a 3% increase due to foreign exchange rate fluctuations and a 3% increase due to changes in selling prices. International sales increased 15%, including a 9% favorable foreign exchange impact, and domestic sales decreased 6%. Sales for the first quarter of 2003 include \$255 million of deferred revenue that was reversed and recognized as sales, calculated net of sales discounts, rebates and other adjustments.

Historically, the Company recognized revenue for sales upon shipment of products to its customers. Under GAAP, revenue is recognized when substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership have transferred. In the case of certain transactions, the Company has determined that substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership do not transfer upon shipment for certain incentivized sales to two U.S. wholesalers, Cardinal Health, Inc. (Cardinal) and McKesson Corporation (McKesson) and, accordingly, such sales should be accounted for using the consignment model.

Under the consignment model, the Company does not recognize revenue upon shipment of product. Rather, upon shipment of product the Company invoices the wholesaler, records deferred revenue at gross invoice sales price and classifies the inventory held by the wholesalers as consignment inventory at the Company's costs of such inventory. The Company recognizes revenue (net of discounts, rebates, estimated sales allowances and accruals for returns) when the consignment inventory is no longer subject to incentive arrangements but not later than when such inventory is sold through to the wholesalers' customers, on a first-in first-out (FIFO) basis. For additional discussion of the Company's revenue recognition policy, see Item 1. Restated Financial Statements Note 1. Basis of Presentation and New Accounting Standards, to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A.

The Company determined that shipments of product to Cardinal and shipments of product to McKesson met the consignment model criteria set forth in the Company's revenue recognition policy as of July 1, 1999 and July 1, 2000, respectively, and, continued through December 2002 for McKesson and February 2003 for Cardinal. Accordingly, the consignment model was required to be applied to such shipments. All shipments to McKesson in the first quarter of 2003, other than those for the Oncology Therapeutics Network (OTN) business, and all shipments to Cardinal after February 2003 were accounted for as sales upon shipment.

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

At March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, the Company's aggregate cost of the pharmaceutical products held by Cardinal and McKesson that were accounted for using the consignment model (and, accordingly, were reflected as consignment inventory on the Company's consolidated balance sheet) was approximately \$26 million and \$58 million, respectively. The deferred revenue, recorded at gross invoice sales price, related to the inventory of pharmaceutical products accounted for using the consignment model was approximately \$174 million and \$470 million at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively. The deferred revenue and consignment inventory recorded under the consignment model will continue to be reflected on the Company's balance sheet until the related products are sold through to the wholesalers' customers. The sell-through to the wholesalers' customers was substantially complete by the end of 2003.

The Company has determined that, although sales incentives were offered to other wholesalers and there was a buildup of inventories at such wholesalers in certain periods, the consignment model criteria set forth in the Company's revenue recognition policy were not met. Accordingly, the Company recognized revenue when the products were shipped to these wholesalers. The Company estimates that, generally, in aggregate, the inventory of pharmaceutical products held by these other U.S. pharmaceutical wholesalers in excess of or below approximately one month of supply in the case of the Company's exclusive products (including PLAVIX* and AVAPRO*) and approximately two months in the case of the Company's non-exclusive products, was in the range of approximately \$100 million below this level of supply to \$100 million in excess of this level of supply at March 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

The Company's estimates of inventories by wholesalers are based on the projected prescription demand-based sales for its products, as well as the Company's analysis of third-party information, including information obtained from certain wholesalers with respect to their inventory levels and sell-through to customers and third-party market research data, and the Company's internal information. The Company's estimates are subject to inherent limitations of estimates that rely on third-party data, as certain third-party information was itself in the form of estimates, and reflect other limitations.

In April 2002, the Company disclosed a substantial buildup of wholesaler inventories in its U.S. pharmaceuticals business, and developed and subsequently undertook a plan to workdown in an orderly fashion these wholesaler inventory levels. To facilitate an orderly workdown, the Company's plan included continuing to offer sales incentives, at reduced levels, to certain wholesalers. With respect to McKesson and Cardinal, the Company entered into agreements for an orderly workdown that provided for these wholesalers to make specified levels of purchases and for the Company to offer specified levels of incentives through the first quarter of 2003 for McKesson and the third quarter of 2003 for Cardinal. The orderly workdown of inventories of its pharmaceutical products held by all U.S. pharmaceuticals wholesalers was substantially completed at the end of 2003.

The Company's financial results and prior period and quarterly comparisons are affected by the buildup and orderly workdown of wholesaler inventories, as well as the application of the consignment model to certain sales to certain wholesalers. In addition, with respect to sales not accounted for using the consignment model, the Company's financial results and prior period and quarterly comparisons are affected by fluctuations in the buying patterns of wholesalers, including the effect of incentives offered, and the corresponding changes in inventory levels maintained by these wholesalers. These wholesalers buying patterns and wholesaler inventory levels may not reflect underlying prescriber demand. For information on U.S. pharmaceuticals prescriber demand, reference is made to the table within Business Segments under the Pharmaceuticals section below, which sets forth a comparison of changes in net sales to the estimated total (both retail and mail order customers) prescription growth for certain of the Company's U.S. pharmaceutical products.

Earnings from continuing operations before minority interest and income taxes decreased 9% to \$1,163 million in 2003 from \$1,272 million in 2002 primarily as a result of increases in cost of products sold due to a change in product mix and increased advertising and promotion spending on in-line products. Net earnings from continuing operations in 2003 decreased 7% to \$792 million compared to \$853 million in 2002. The effective income tax rate on earnings from continuing operations, before minority interest and income taxes increased to 27.2% in 2003 from 26.3% in 2002. In 2003, basic earnings per share from continuing operations decreased 7% to \$.41 from \$.44 in 2002, while diluted earnings per share decreased 5% to \$.41 from \$.43 in 2002. Basic and diluted average shares outstanding for the first quarter were 1,936 million and 1,940 million, respectively, in 2003 compared to 1,935 million and 1,952 million, respectively, in 2002.

Business Segments

Pharmaceuticals

Sales for the Pharmaceuticals segment in the three months ended March 31, 2003 decreased 2% (foreign exchange had a 4% favorable impact) to \$3,365 million from \$3,442 million in 2002. Domestic pharmaceutical sales decreased 11% to \$1,914 million in 2003 from \$2,161 million in 2002, primarily due to generic competition for GLUCOPHAGE*IR and TAXOL®. U.S. sales for GLUCOPHAGE*IR and TAXOL® were \$51 million in the first quarter of 2003 as compared to \$211 million in 2002. Domestic pharmaceutical sales were also impacted by the buildup, in the fourth quarter of 2002, of inventory levels at those U.S. wholesalers not accounted for under the consignment model and the subsequent workdown and lower sales of PLAVIX*.

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

International sales for the Pharmaceuticals segment increased 13% to \$1,451 million in 2003, including a 10% favorable effect of foreign exchange, from \$1,281 million in 2002. Sales in Europe increased 14%, including a 15% favorable effect of foreign exchange. Strong growth in PRAVACHOL, AVAPRO* and PLAVIX* were offset by lower demand for VIDEX, ZERIT and CAPTOPRIL as well as price declines in Italy and the U.K. Japan realized sales growth of 16%, including a 10% favorable effect of foreign exchange, led by growth in TAXOL® sales.

Sales of selected products in the first quarter of 2003 were as follows:

Worldwide sales of PRAVACHOL, the Company's cholesterol-lowering agent, increased 13%, including a 7% favorable foreign exchange impact, to \$613 million in 2003, largely due to stronger sales in Europe.

Sales of PLAVIX*, a platelet aggregation inhibitor, declined 11% (foreign exchange had a 2% favorable impact) to \$408 million in 2003 from \$461 million in 2002. Domestic sales of PLAVIX* declined 18% to \$335 million. Sales of AVAPRO* increased 26% (foreign exchange had a 4% favorable impact) to \$175 million in 2003. PLAVIX* and AVAPRO* are cardiovascular products launched from the alliance between the Company and Sanofi-Synthelabo.

Table of Contents

PLAVIX* sales at the end of 2002 increased due, in part, to purchasing by some domestic wholesalers in anticipation of a January 2003 price increase. Consequently, there was a decline in first quarter 2003 PLAVIX* sales in the United States. The Company estimates that domestic prescription demand for PLAVIX* grew approximately 30% in the first quarter 2003 compared to the first quarter 2002. Given continued strong prescription demand and fluctuations in buying patterns of wholesalers, the full year 2003 reported sales of PLAVIX* were largely in line with overall prescription growth and wholesaler inventory levels at the end of 2003 and were approximately the same as at the end of 2002. In addition, the first quarter year-on-year comparison is not a fully valid measure of PLAVIX* domestic performance because the inventory workdown for PLAVIX* did not begin until the second quarter of 2002.

Sales of TAXOL® and PARAPLATIN, the Company's leading anti-cancer agents, were each \$209 million. International sales of TAXOL® increased 23%, including favorable foreign exchange effect of 15%, to \$192 million, led by strong sales growth in Japan, while domestic sales decreased 73% to \$17 million, due to generic competition. PARAPLATIN sales increased by 29% driven by sales in the United States.

Sales of SUSTIVA, an anti-retroviral agent, increased 18% (foreign exchange had a 5% favorable impact) to \$150 million in 2003.

Sales of ZERIT, an antiretroviral agent, were \$115 million in 2003, a decrease of 1% (foreign exchange had a 5% favorable impact).

Sales of the GLUCOPHAGE* franchise decreased 14% to \$247 million. GLUCOPHAGE*IR sales decreased 75% to \$37 million, while GLUCOVANCE* sales grew 89% to \$108 million, and GLUCOPHAGE*XR Extended Release tablets sales grew 28% to \$101 million. In April 2003, the Company announced that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the GLUCOPHAGE*XR (metformin HCl extended release tablets) 750 mg tablet. GLUCOPHAGE*XR 750 mg was developed to provide physicians with an additional option to make titration to higher doses more convenient, when needed and appropriate.

Recorded alliance revenue for ABILIFY* for the first three months of 2003 was \$37 million. The schizophrenia agent was introduced in the United States in November 2002 and has achieved a 4% weekly new prescription share of the U.S. antipsychotic market. Bristol-Myers Squibb and its partner, Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Ltd. received approval for a Supplemental New Drug Application for the use of ABILIFY* in the long-term treatment of schizophrenia. A filing for the use of ABILIFY* for the treatment of acute mania in patients with bipolar disorder was submitted to the FDA.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a comparison of reported net sales changes and the estimated total prescription growth (for both retail and mail order customers) for certain of the Company's U.S. pharmaceutical prescription products. The estimated prescription growth amounts are based on third-party data provided by IMS Health, a supplier of market research to the pharmaceutical industry. A significant portion of the Company's domestic pharmaceutical sales is made to wholesalers. Where changes in reported net sales differ from prescription growth, this change in net sales may not reflect underlying prescriber demand.

	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2003		March 31, 2002	
	% Change in U.S. Net Sales (Restated) ^(a)	% Change in Total U.S. Prescriptions ^(b)	% Change in U.S. Net Sales (Restated) ^(a)	% Change in Total U.S. Prescriptions ^(b)
	(unaudited)			
PRAVACHOL	3		2	10
PLAVIX*	(18)	30	79	39
AVAPRO/AVALIDE*	18	15	22	10
ZERIT	2	(21)	(20)	(13)
SUSTIVA	7	20		4
GLUCOVANCE*	91	9	22	110
GLUCOPHAGE*XR	28	6	**	**
VIDEX/VIDEX EC	(3)	5	42	8

** In Excess of 200%.

- (a) Reflects change in net sales in dollar terms, including change in average selling prices and wholesaler buying patterns.
 (b) Reflects change in total prescriptions in unit terms, based on third-party data.

Earnings before minority interest and income taxes for the Pharmaceuticals segment declined to \$1,024 million in the first quarter of 2003 from \$1,136 million in 2002. The decline in earnings before minority interest and income taxes is primarily the result of generic competition, the buildup in the prior period of inventory levels at those U.S. wholesalers not accounted for under the consignment model and the subsequent workdown, and higher cost of products sold due to a change in product mix and increased advertising and promotion spending on in-line products.

Oncology Therapeutics Network

Sales by OTN, a specialty distributor of anticancer medicines and related products, increased 27% to \$520 million in 2003 from \$411 million in 2002.

Earnings before minority interest and income taxes decreased to \$3 million in 2003 from \$5 million in 2002.

Nutritionals

Sales for the Nutritionals segment were \$458 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003, which is consistent with the three months ended March 31, 2002 (foreign exchange had a 1% unfavorable impact). International sales increased 7% (foreign exchange had a 3% unfavorable impact) and U.S. sales decreased 6%. Mead Johnson continues to be the leader in the U.S. infant formula market. ENFAMIL, the Company's largest-selling infant formula, had sales of \$165 million, a decrease of 8% from the prior year. Sales of ENFAGROW, a children's nutritional supplement, increased 58% to \$41 million.

Earnings before minority interest and income taxes for the Nutritionals segment decreased to \$100 million in 2003 from \$143 million in 2002 primarily due to a decrease in infant formula sales in the United States and the discontinuance of a copromotion arrangement for CEFZIL with the Pharmaceuticals segment.

Table of Contents*Other Healthcare*

Sales in the Other Healthcare segment increased 6% (foreign exchange had a 5% favorable impact) to \$385 million. The Other Healthcare segment is comprised of the ConvaTec, Medical Imaging and Consumer Medicines (United States and Japan) businesses.

ConvaTec sales for the three months ended March 31, 2003 increased 5%, including an 8% favorable impact from foreign exchange, to \$181 million. Sales of ostomy products increased 5% to \$111 million compared to prior year sales of \$106 million, while sales of modern wound care products increased 6% to \$68 million.

Medical Imaging sales for the three months ended March 31, 2003, increased 13%, including a 1% favorable impact from foreign exchange, to \$121 million. The increase in Medical Imaging sales was primarily due to a 15% increase in CARDIOLITE sales to \$75 million in 2003 from \$65 million in 2002.

Consumer Medicines sales for the three months ended March 31, 2003 decreased 1% to \$83 million, including a 3% favorable impact of foreign exchange, primarily due to lower U.S. sales of EXCEDRIN and KERI products.

Earnings before minority interest and income taxes for the Other Healthcare segment decreased to \$74 million in 2003 from \$98 million in 2002 primarily as a result of a decline in sales and increased advertising for EXCEDRIN QUICKTABS in the Consumer Medicines business.

Expenses

Total expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2003, as a percentage of sales, increased to 75.4% from 72.8% in 2002. During the first quarters of 2003 and 2002, the Company recorded several significant items that affected the comparability of the results of the periods presented herein:

	Three Months	
	Ended	
	March 31,	
	Restated	Restated
	2003	2002
	(unaudited, dollars)	
	in millions)	
Litigation charge, net ⁽¹⁾	\$ (21)	\$ 90
Restructuring and other items ⁽²⁾	26	(1)
Acquired in-process research and development		160
Gain on sales of businesses/produce lines		(30)

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

	5	219
Income taxes/ (benefit) on items above	2	(83)
	<u>\$ 7</u>	<u>\$ 136</u>

- (1) In 2003, the Company recognized \$21 million in pre-tax income from the settlement of antitrust litigation involving vitamins manufacturers.
- (2) Restructuring and other items consist of the following:

Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 (restated)

	Cost of Products Sold	Provision for Restructuring and Other	Total
	—	—	—
	(dollars in millions)		
Asset impairment charges	\$ 10	\$	\$ 10
Accelerated depreciation of assets	4		4
Termination benefits and other exit costs		12	12
	<u>\$ 14</u>	<u>\$ 12</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>

For additional information, see Item 1. Restated Financial Statements Note 3. Restructuring and Other Items, Note 7. Alliances and Investments, Note 8. Divestitures and Discontinued Operations and Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies, to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A.

Cost of products sold, as a percentage of sales, increased to 36.1% in 2003 from 32.3% in 2002. This increase is primarily due to increased sales of lower margin products from OTN and a decline in higher margin GLUCOPHAGE* IR and TAXOL® sales due to the continuing impact of generic competition in the United States. In 2003, cost of products sold included a \$10 million charge for asset impairment and \$4 million of accelerated depreciation of assets in manufacturing facilities in North America expected to be closed by the end of 2006.

Marketing, selling, and administrative expenses increased 19% to \$1,100 million in 2003 from \$924 million in 2002. As a percentage of sales, marketing, selling and administrative expenses increased to 23.3% in the first quarter of 2003 from 19.8% in 2002. This increase is primarily due to the additional sales representatives in the Pharmaceuticals segment supporting ABILIFY*, PRAVACHOL and AVAPRO*.

Table of Contents

Expenditures for advertising and promotion in support of new and existing products increased 33% to \$315 million in 2003 from \$236 million in 2002, primarily as a result of new promotional support for ABILIFY* and increased support for PRAVACHOL.

Research and development expenditures decreased 4% to \$475 million in 2003 from \$497 million in 2002. Pharmaceutical research and development spending decreased 7% from the prior year and, as a percentage of pharmaceutical sales, was 13.3% in the first quarter of 2003 and 14.0% in the first quarter of 2002. This decline is largely due to the timing of clinical trials and reductions in discovery spending, including the closure of a discovery facility in Wilmington, Delaware. Research and development spending levels for the full-year 2003 were comparable to 2002 spending levels.

Restructuring programs were implemented in the first quarter of 2003 to downsize and streamline worldwide manufacturing operations. The programs include costs for the termination of approximately 340 manufacturing employees in the Pharmaceuticals segment. As a result of these actions, the Company expects the annual benefit to earnings from continuing operations before minority interest and income taxes to be approximately \$28 million in future periods. For additional information on restructuring, see Item 1. Restated Financial Statements Note 3. Restructuring and Other Items.

Litigation income of \$21 million was from the settlement of anti-trust litigation involving vitamin manufacturers in 2003, compared to \$90 million of expense related to BUSPAR settlements in 2002. For additional information on litigation, see Item 1. Restated Financial Statements Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies.

Equity in net income of affiliates for the first three months of 2003 and 2002 was \$22 million and \$29 million, respectively. Equity in net income of affiliates principally related to the Company's joint venture with Sanofi and investment in ImClone. In 2003, the decrease in equity in net income of affiliates primarily reflects the loss on investment in ImClone partially offset by higher net income in the Sanofi joint venture. For additional information on equity in net income of affiliates, see Item 1. Restated Financial Statements Note 7. Alliances and Investments.

Other (income)/expense, net was \$3 million of income in the first quarter of 2003 compared to \$47 million of expense in the first quarter of 2002. Other (income)/expense, net primarily includes net interest expense, interest income, foreign exchange gains and losses, royalty income, and gains and losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment.

The effective income tax rate on earnings from continuing operations before minority interest and income taxes was 27.2% compared with 26.3% in 2002.

Developments

For a discussion of the Company's recent developments through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Developments in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

Financial Position

Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities totaled approximately \$4.3 billion at March 31, 2003 as compared to \$4.0 billion at December 31, 2002. The Company continues to maintain a high level of working capital, which was \$2.1 billion at March 31, 2003, increasing from \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2002. Approximately \$3.8 billion of such cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities was held by the Company's foreign subsidiaries, which the Company does not expect to repatriate in the foreseeable future. Repatriation to the United States would require additional tax provisions not reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Due to the complexities in the tax laws and the assumptions that would have to be made, it is not practicable to estimate the amounts of the income taxes that would have to be provided.

Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2003 primarily consisted of U.S. dollar denominated bank deposits with an original maturity of three months or less. Marketable securities at March 31, 2003 primarily consisted of U.S. dollar denominated floating rate instruments with a AAA/aaa credit rating. Due to the nature of these instruments, the Company considers it reasonable to expect that their fair market values will not be significantly impacted by a change in interest rates, and that they can be liquidated for cash at short notice.

Short-term borrowings were \$2.2 billion at March 31, 2003, compared with \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2002, primarily as a result of the issuance of commercial paper.

Table of Contents

Long-term debt increased to \$6.4 billion at March 31, 2003 from \$6.3 billion at December 31, 2002. In April 2003, Moody's Investors Service reduced the Company's long-term credit rating from Aa2 to A1. In March 2003, Moody's confirmed the Prime-1 short-term credit rating for the Company. There has been no change in Standard & Poor's AA long-term and A-1+ short-term credit rating for the Company.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$160 million in the three months ended March 31, 2003 as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$1,086 million in 2002. The increase in cash provided by operating activities for 2003 is mainly attributable to income tax outflows in 2002 of \$1,448 million, primarily related to the payment of taxes on the gain arising from the sale of the Clairol business.

During the three months ended March 31, 2003, the Company did not purchase any of its common stock. During the three months ended March 31, 2002, the Company purchased 1.5 million shares of its common stock at a cost of \$67 million.

For each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, dividends declared per common share were \$.28.

For further discussion of the Company's financial position, liquidity and capital resources through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Financial Position, Liquidity and Capital Resources, in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

Retirement Benefits

For a discussion of the Company's retirement benefits through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

Critical Accounting Policies

For a discussion of the Company's critical accounting policies through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

Outlook

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

For a discussion of the Company's outlook for 2004 through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Outlook for 2004 in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

Cautionary Factors that May Affect Future Results

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A (including documents incorporated by reference) and other written and oral statements the Company makes from time to time contain certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the fact they use words such as should, expect, anticipate, estimate, target, may, will, project, guidance, intend, plan, believe and other words and terms or any expression in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. One can also identify forward-looking statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and involve inherent risks and uncertainties, including factors that could delay, divert or change any of them, and could cause actual outcomes to differ materially from current expectations. These statements are likely to relate to, among other things, the Company's goals, plans and projections regarding its financial position, results of operations, market position, product development, product approvals, sales efforts, expenses, performance or results of current and anticipated products and the outcome of contingencies such as legal proceedings, and financial results, which are based on current expectations that involve inherent risks and uncertainties, including internal or external factors that could delay, divert or change any of them in the next several years.

Although it is not possible to predict or identify all factors, they may include but are not limited to the following:

New government laws and regulations, such as (i) health care reform initiatives in the United States at the state and federal level and in other countries; (ii) changes in the FDA and foreign regulatory approval processes that may cause delays in approving, or preventing the approval of, new products; (iii) tax changes such as the phasing out of tax benefits heretofore available in the United States and certain foreign countries; (iv) new laws, regulations and judicial decisions affecting pricing or marketing within or across jurisdictions; and (v) changes in intellectual property law.

Table of Contents

Competitive factors, such as (i) new products developed by competitors that have lower prices or superior performance features or that are otherwise competitive with Bristol-Myers Squibb's current products; (ii) generic competition as the Company's products mature and patents expire on products; (iii) technological advances and patents attained by competitors; (iv) problems with licensors, suppliers and distributors; and (v) business combinations among the Company's competitors or major customers.

Difficulties and delays inherent in product development, manufacturing and sale, such as (i) products that may appear promising in development but fail to reach market for any number of reasons, including efficacy or safety concerns, the inability to obtain necessary regulatory approvals and the difficulty or excessive cost to manufacture; (ii) failure of any of our products to achieve or maintain commercial viability; (iii) seizure or recall of products; (iv) the failure to obtain, the imposition of limitations on the use of, or loss of patent and other intellectual property rights; (v) failure of the Company or any of its vendors or suppliers to comply with Current Good Manufacturing Practices and other application regulations and quality assurance guidelines that could lead to temporary manufacturing shutdowns, product shortages and delays in product manufacturing; and (vi) other manufacturing or distribution problems.

Legal difficulties, including lawsuits, claims, proceedings and investigations, any of which can preclude or delay commercialization of products or adversely affect operations, profitability, liquidity or financial condition, including (i) intellectual property disputes; (ii) adverse decisions in litigation, including product liability and commercial cases; (iii) the inability to obtain adequate insurance with respect to this type of liability; (iv) recalls of pharmaceutical products or forced closings of manufacturing plants; (v) government investigations including those relating to wholesaler inventory, financial restatement and product pricing and promotion; (vi) claims asserting violations of securities, antitrust, federal and state pricing and other laws; (vii) environmental matters; and (viii) tax liabilities. There can be no assurance that there will not be an increase in scope of these matters or that any future lawsuits, claims, proceedings or investigations will not be material.

Increasing pricing pressures worldwide, including rules and practices of managed care groups and institutional and governmental purchasers, judicial decisions and governmental laws and regulations related to Medicare, Medicaid and healthcare reform, pharmaceutical reimbursement and pricing in general.

Fluctuations in buying patterns and inventory levels of major distributors, retail chains and other trade buyers, which may result from seasonality, pricing, wholesaler buying decisions (including the effect of incentives offered), the Company's wholesaler inventory management policies (including the workdown or other changes in wholesaler inventory levels) or other factors.

Greater than expected costs and other difficulties, including unanticipated effects and difficulties of acquisitions, dispositions and other events, including obtaining regulatory approvals in connection with evolving business strategies, legal defense costs, insurance expense, settlement costs and the risk of an adverse decision related to litigation.

Changes to advertising and promotional spending and other categories of spending that may affect sales.

Changes in product mix that may affect margins.

Changes in the Company's structure, operations, revenues, costs, staffing or efficiency resulting from acquisitions, divestitures, mergers, alliances, restructurings or other strategic initiatives.

Economic factors over which the Company has no control such as changes of business and economic conditions including, but not limited to, changes in interest rates and fluctuation of foreign currency exchange rates.

Changes in business, political and economic conditions due to political or social instability, military or armed conflict, nationalization of assets, debt or payment moratoriums, other restrictions on commerce, and actual or threatened terrorist attacks in the United States

or other parts of the world and related military action.

Changes in accounting standards promulgated by the FASB, the SEC or the AICPA, which may require adjustments to financial statements.

Table of Contents

Capacity, efficiency, reliability, security and potential breakdown, invasion, destruction or interruption of information systems.

Reliance of the Company on vendors, partners and other third parties to meet their contractual, regulatory and other obligations in relation to their arrangements with the Company.

Results of clinical studies relating to the Company's or a competitor's products.

Although the Company believes it has been prudent in its plans and assumptions, no assurance can be given that any goal or plan set forth in forward-looking statements can be achieved and readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements, which speak only as of the date made. The Company undertakes no obligation to release publicly any revisions to forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The market risk disclosures as of the date of the original filing have not materially changed from those appearing in the Company's 2002 Form 10-K. For further discussion of the Company's market risk through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K, see Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

In the three months ended March 31, 2003, the Company purchased \$1,049 million notional amount of foreign exchange euro put options, sold \$516 million notional amount of put options (primarily the euro), sold \$847 million notional amount of forward contracts (primarily euro and Canadian dollar) and bought \$151 million notional amount of Japanese yen forward contracts to partially hedge the exchange impact related to forecasted intercompany inventory purchases for up to the next 20 months.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Information pertaining to controls and procedures has been updated for events and developments occurring subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-Q through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

The Company restated its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002, and consolidated statements of earnings, cash flows, and comprehensive income and retained earnings for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, and its financial statements for the first, second and third quarters of 2003, including comparable interim periods in 2002 (the 2003 Restatement). For a discussion of the individual restatement adjustments and the impact of such adjustments on the Company's previously issued financial statements, see Item 1. Restated Financial Statements Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements, above and Item 8. Financial Statements Note 2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements for Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 in the Company's 2003 Form 10-K. Accordingly, the Company is reporting in this Amendment No. 1 to its Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003, its most recent evaluation of its disclosure controls and procedures which considered matters relating to the 2003 Restatement.

As of December 31, 2003, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-15 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures.

In making this evaluation, the Company has considered matters relating to the 2003 Restatement including actions taken by the Company within the past year to identify and enhance the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting. After completing the 2002 Restatement described below, the Company continued to identify and implement actions to improve the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting. In connection with this effort, the Company (i) has substantially strengthened the organization and personnel of the senior financial and control functions, (ii) adopted more rigorous policies and procedures with respect to its balance sheet review process, (iii) focused its internal audit function on financial reporting controls, (iv) engaged a consultant to assist in the evaluation and documentation of certain financial reporting and disclosure processes throughout the Company and (v) engaged a consultant to assist in a comprehensive and detailed review of certain of the Company's tax reporting and accounting. In addition, at the request of the Company's Audit Committee, the Company's independent auditors performed more extensive procedures with respect to the Company's interim financial information during 2003 and, based on the auditors' assessment of the Company's risk profile, expanded the scope and amount of field work to be performed for certain areas in connection with its audit of the Company for 2003. These actions contributed significantly to the Company identifying additional errors relating to prior periods not reflected in the 2002 Restatement.

In March 2003, the Company restated its financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2001, including the corresponding interim periods, and the first and second quarters of 2002, including comparable prior interim periods in 2001 (the

Table of Contents

2002 Restatement). In connection with their audits of the 2002 Restatement and the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company's independent auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), identified and communicated to the Company and its Audit Committee two material weaknesses (as defined under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)) relating to the Company's accounting and public financial reporting of significant matters and to its initial recording and management review and oversight of certain accounting matters. In addition, at that time, PwC identified and communicated to the Company and its Audit Committee a reportable condition (as defined under standards established by the AICPA) relating to the Company's internal controls over its financial reporting for income taxes. In connection with the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003, PwC has advised the Company and its Audit Committee that the reportable condition in the income tax accounting area remains. In 2003, the Company dedicated substantial resources to improving its controls over its accounting and financial disclosure and reporting, and PwC has not identified any material weaknesses in connection with their audit of 2003 financial statements. In addition, the Company has devoted substantial resources towards remedying the reportable condition in relation to taxes. The Company's efforts to strengthen its financial and internal controls continue, and the Company expects to complete remediation of the reportable condition by the end of 2004.

Based on this evaluation, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that as of the evaluation date, such disclosure controls and procedures were reasonably designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Other than as described above, since the evaluation date by the Company's management of its internal controls, there have not been any significant changes in the internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Information pertaining to legal proceedings has been updated for events and developments occurring subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-Q through the filing on March 15, 2004 of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K and can be found in Item 1. Restated Financial Statements Note 11. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies above. This Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003 has not been updated to reflect any events or developments occurring subsequent to March 15, 2004.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on May 6, 2003 for the purpose of:

- A. election of four directors;
- B. ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent auditors for 2003;
- C. approval of the Executive Performance Incentive Plan;
- D. approval of amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to declassify the Board of Directors;
- E. voting on a stockholder proposal relating to a shareholder rights plan;
- F. voting on a stockholder proposal relating to separation of chairman and chief executive officer positions;
- G. voting on a stockholder proposal relating to discretionary executive compensation; and
- H. voting on a stockholder proposal relating to an executive compensation review.

The following persons were elected to serve as directors and received the number of vote set opposite their respective names:

	<u>For</u>	<u>Withheld</u>
Robert E. Allen	1,560,564,991	83,485,737

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-Q/A

Lewis B. Campbell	1,509,551,189	134,499,539
Laurie H. Glimcher, M.D.	1,565,952,404	78,098,324
James D. Robinson	1,517,252,399	126,798,329

The terms of the following directors continued after such meeting: Vance D. Coffman, Peter R. Dolan, Ellen V. Futter, Louis V. Gerstner, Jr., Leif Johansson and Louis W. Sullivan M.D.

The appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP was ratified by a vote of 1,561,518,893 shares in favor of the appointment, with 67,745,896 shares voting against, 14,823,615 shares abstaining.

The Executive Performance Incentive Plan was approved by a vote of 1,455,961,118 shares in favor, with 163,330,550 shares voting against, 24,743,365 shares abstaining.

The amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation was approved by a vote of 1,573,985,356 in favor of the amendment, with 45,361,310 shares voting against, 24,694,239 shares abstaining.

The stockholder-proposed resolution relating to a shareholder rights plan received a vote of 891,594,209 shares in favor, with 387,028,719 shares voting against, 29,817,915 shares abstaining and 335,609,885 broker non-votes.

The stockholder-proposed resolution relating to separation of the chairman and chief executive officer positions received a vote of 512,338,895 shares in favor, with 764,104,536 shares voting against, 32,082,822 shares abstaining and 335,524,475 broker non-votes.

The stockholder-proposed resolution relating to discretionary executive compensation received a vote of 151,710,972 shares in favor, with 1,128,856,386 shares voting against, 27,963,680 shares abstaining and 335,519,690 broker non-votes.

The stockholder-proposed resolution relating to an executive compensation review received a vote of 167,888,971 shares in favor, with 1,107,767,211 shares voting against, 32,858,173 shares abstaining and 335,536,373 broker non-votes.

Table of Contents

Item 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

a) Exhibits (listed by number corresponding to the Exhibit Table of Item 601 in Regulation S-K).

<u>Exhibit Number and Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
10d. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Executive Performance Incentive Plan	E-10-1
15 Independent Accountants Awareness Letter	E-15-1
31a Section 302 Certification Letter	E-31-1
31b Section 302 Certification Letter	E-31-2
32a Section 906 Certification Letter	E-32-1
32b Section 906 Certification Letter	E-32-2

b) Reports on Form 8-K

On February 3, 2003, the Registrant filed a Form 8-K announcing that it has reached agreements in principle to settle substantially all of the antitrust litigation surrounding two of its drugs, BUSPAR and TAXOL®. Attached as an exhibit to such Form 8-K is its press release dated January 7, 2003.

On March 4, 2003, the Registrant filed a Form 8-K announcing that it expected to release the results of the restatement of its financial statements on Monday, March 10, 2003. The Registrant also announced that it expected to file its amended 2001 Form 10-K and its third quarter 2002 Form 10-Q on March 10, 2003. Attached as an exhibit to such Form 8-K is its press release dated February 27, 2003.

On March 11, 2003, the Registrant filed a Form 8-K in connection with the release of the results of the restatement of its financial statements and 2002 full-year results. Attached as an exhibit to such Form 8-K is its press release dated March 10, 2003.

* Indicates, in this Form 10-Q/A, brand names of products which are registered trademarks not owned by the Company or its subsidiaries. ERBITUX is a trademark of ImClone Systems Incorporated; AVAPRO/AVALIDE and PLAVIX are trademarks of Sanofi-Synthelabo S.A.; GLUCOPHAGE, GLUCOPHAGE XR and GLUCOVANCE are trademarks of Merck Sante S.A.S., an associate of Merck KGaA of Darmstadt, Germany; and ABILIFY is a trademark of Otsuka Pharmaceutical Company, Ltd.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

(REGISTRANT)

Date: March 31, 2004

By: /s/ Peter R. Dolan

Peter R. Dolan

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 31, 2004

By: /s/ Andrew R. J. Bonfield

Andrew R. J. Bonfield

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer