

ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 14, 2006

ZOO  
UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number 0-18672**

**ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)**

**Delaware**

**51-0448969**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**207 South Street, Boston, Massachusetts**

**02111**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: **(617) 423-1072**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value, as of August 11, 2006 was 9,346,966 shares.

1

---

**ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
INDEX**

<b>Part I.</b>	<b>Financial Information</b>	<b>Page</b>
Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (unaudited)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6-10
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10-17
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	17
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	17-18
<b>Part II.</b>	<b>Other Information</b>	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	18-24
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	
Item 6.	Exhibits	25
	Signatures	26
	Exhibit Index	27
	Exhibits	28-31

**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
**(unaudited)**

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,526,639	\$ 9,081,122
Accounts receivable, net of reserves for doubtful accounts, returns, and allowances of \$1,324,721 at June 30, 2006 and \$1,294,637 at December 31, 2005	2,253,422	2,630,859
Inventories	4,157,224	5,073,178
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	124,965	301,265
Total current assets	11,062,250	17,086,424
Property, plant and equipment, net	2,524,635	2,600,660
Certificate of deposit in debt service reserve account	212,714	-
Total assets	\$ 13,799,599	\$ 19,687,084
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 139,208	\$ 4,889,928
Accounts payable	1,495,256	3,140,593
Accrued expenses	833,393	788,427
Total current liabilities	2,467,857	8,818,948
Long-term debt, less current portion	3,507,406	-
Total liabilities	5,975,263	8,818,948
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 25,000,000 shares; issued 9,355,366 shares at June 30, 2006 and issued 9,355,366 shares at December 31, 2005, including shares held in treasury	93,554	93,554
Additional paid-in capital	31,138,996	31,015,977
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(23,859,661)	(20,627,318)
Accumulated other comprehensive income - currency translation adjustment income (loss)	458,769	393,245
Treasury stock, at cost stock (8,400 shares),	(7,322)	(7,322)
Total stockholders' equity	7,824,336	10,868,136
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 13,799,599	\$ 19,687,084

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**Consolidated Statements of Operations**  
**(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net sales	\$ 4,518,233	\$ 6,524,374	\$ 9,798,943	\$ 12,960,916
Costs of goods sold	4,295,669	5,142,880	8,610,622	10,047,233
Gross profit	222,564	1,381,494	1,188,320	2,913,683
Operating expenses:				
Selling	878,022	1,074,524	1,781,967	2,194,614
General and administrative	697,780	1,731,049	1,546,688	2,553,506
Research and development	557,112	695,408	1,188,873	1,444,706
Total operating expenses	2,132,914	3,500,981	4,517,527	6,192,826
Operating income (loss)	(1,910,350)	(2,119,487)	(3,329,206)	(3,279,143)
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	57,868	34,543	138,190	81,524
Interest (expense)	(54,978)	(61,132)	(145,704)	(126,915)
Gain on sale of investment in InterMute, Inc.		3,495,516		3,495,516
Other, net	49,964	71,973	104,378	(54,120)
Total other income (expense), net	52,854	3,540,900	96,864	3,396,005
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1,857,496)	1,421,413	(3,232,342)	116,862
Income taxes	—	—	—	—
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,857,496)	\$ 1,421,413	\$ (3,232,342)	\$ 116,862
Earnings (loss) per common share:				
Basic	\$ (0.20)	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.35)	\$ 0.01
Diluted	\$ (0.20)	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.35)	\$ 0.01
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares				
Basic	9,346,966	9,163,847	9,346,966	9,066,028
Diluted	9,346,966	9,396,546	9,346,966	9,426,115

See accompanying notes.

**ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(Unaudited)

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Operating activities:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,232,342)	\$ 116,862
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Gain on Sale of Investment in InterMute, Inc.	0	(3,495,516)
Depreciation	111,966	140,575
Stock based compensation	123,018	0
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	440,986	668,618
Inventories	915,954	(1,320,726)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(36,414)	333,569
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,600,372)	(574,923)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(3,277,204)	(4,131,541)
<b>Investing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from Sale of Investment in InterMute	0	3,495,516
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(35,941)	(17,354)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(35,941)	3,478,162
<b>Financing activities:</b>		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(1,243,313)	(106,242)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	0	418,637
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,243,313)	312,395
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	1,975	(3,250)
Net change in cash	(4,554,483)	(344,234)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	9,081,122	9,438,596
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 4,526,639	\$ 9,094,362
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 54,978	\$ 61,132
Income taxes	\$ —	\$ —

See accompanying notes.

**ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**(Unaudited)**

**(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*(a) Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation*

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Zoom Technologies, Inc. (the "Company") presented herein have been prepared pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission for quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2005 included in the Company's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The accompanying financial statements are unaudited. However, the condensed balance sheet as of December 31, 2005 was derived from audited financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements include all adjustments (consisting of normal, recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of results for these interim periods.

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts and operations of the Company and include the accounts of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Zoom Telephonics, Inc. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

*(b) Stock-Based Compensation*

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (R), "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" using the modified-prospective method. Under this method, compensation cost is recognized for all share-based payments granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2006, as well as for any unvested awards that were granted prior thereto. Compensation cost for unvested awards granted prior to January 1, 2006 is recognized using the same estimate of the grant-date fair value and the same attribution method used to determine the pro forma disclosures under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." Compensation cost for awards granted after January 1, 2006 is based on the estimated fair value of the awards on their grant date and is generally recognized over the required service period. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for its stock option plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and Related Interpretations." No stock-based compensation expense was recognized in operations for these plans, since all options granted under them had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The effect of adopting SFAS No. 123 (R) was to increase compensation cost and the reported net loss for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 by \$61 thousand, or \$0.01 per basic and diluted share and for the six months ended June 30, 2006 by \$123 thousand, or \$0.01 per basic and diluted share.

The unrecognized stock-based compensation cost related to non-vested stock awards as of June 30, 2006 was \$99,331. Such amount will be recognized in operations over a weighted average remaining period of 4 quarters.

The fair value of each option granted was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. There were no stock options granted in the second quarter of 2006. The fair value of options granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and the three months ended March 31, 2006 were estimated using the

following assumptions:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Weighted-average expected stock-price volatility	n.a.	91.0%	66.2%	92.8%
Weighted-average expected option life	n.a.	2.5 years	2 years	2.47 years
Average risk-free interest rate	n.a.	3.62%	4.29%	3.59%
Average dividend yield	n.a.	0	0	0

6

---



Pro-forma information required under SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* before the adoption of SFAS No.123(R) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2005</b>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2005</b>
Net income (loss), as reported	\$ 1,421,413	\$ 116,862
Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method	(169,175)	(258,741)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ 1,252,238	\$ (141,879)
Net income (loss) per share:		
Basic - as reported	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.01
Diluted - as reported	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.01
Basic - pro forma	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.02)
Diluted - pro forma	\$ 0.13	\$ (0.02)

*(c) Recently Issued or Proposed Accounting Pronouncements*

*Share-Based Payments*

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued FASB Statement No. 123(R), “Share Based Payment: an amendment of FASB Statements No. 123 and 95” (“SFAS No. 123(R)”). FASB Statement 123(R) requires companies to recognize in the income statement, effective for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005, the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees, but expresses no preference for a type of valuation model. Effective January 1, 2006 the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective application.

**(2) Liquidity**

On June 30, 2006 the Company had working capital of \$8.6 million, including \$4.5 million in cash and cash equivalents.

On January 10, 2001 the Company obtained a mortgage for \$6 million on the real estate property located at 201 and 207 South Street, Boston, Massachusetts. The loan was scheduled to be paid in full on January 10, 2006 and the final payment was deferred during negotiations for a new mortgage. On March 30, 2006 the Company paid the lender \$1.2 million to reduce the then balance of \$4.9 million, and refinanced the remaining \$3.7 million with a new mortgage. Payments on the new mortgage are based on a 15 year amortization period with initial interest at 7.75%, adjusted along with the federal prime rate. The rate of interest at June 30, 2006 was 8.25%. The mortgage matures April 10, 2007 but may be extended at Zoom’s option to April 10, 2008 if Zoom makes the election to extend the loan and pays the lender an extension fee of \$36,750 by March 10, 2007 and prior to and following such election Zoom is not in default under the loan. As required by the lender the Company has deposited six months of principal and interest (\$212,714 as of June 30, 2006) in a Certificate of Deposit held by the lender as a debt service reserve account. The new mortgage contains certain customary financial and non-financial covenants including requirements to maintain tangible net worth of \$7.0 million and to maintain cash and cash equivalents, free from any and all encumbrances, of

not less than \$1.0 million. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2006. The Company's tangible net worth at June 30, 2006 was \$7.8 million. The Company believes it should be able to sell its owned buildings on favorable terms for any required additional liquidity. If the Company were to sell the portion of its owned buildings that include the principal headquarters, it would expect to be able to lease back a portion of the sold property or otherwise find suitable space for its principal headquarters on satisfactory terms.

On March 16, 2005 the Company entered into a one year Loan and Security Agreement with Silicon Valley Bank that provides for a revolving line of credit of up to \$2 million. The revolving line of credit terminated, as scheduled, on March 15, 2006. There were no borrowings under the line for the entire one year contract. The Company is currently discussing a new one year line with Silicon Valley Bank. There can be no assurance as to the outcome of these negotiations.

To conserve cash and manage liquidity during the past few years, the Company has implemented expense reductions, including the reduction of employee headcount and overhead costs. The employee headcount was 130 at June 30, 2005 and 116 at June 30, 2006. The Company continues to implement cost cutting initiatives including the reduction of employee headcount and overhead costs, and most recently, the planned move of most of its manufacturing operations to a dedicated facility in Tijuana, Mexico starting on approximately September 1, 2006. In connection therewith on June 30, 2006 the Company notified 40 employees currently working at Zoom in Boston that they would be terminated on approximately August 31, 2006. One-time severance benefits approximating \$108,000 have been accrued for this termination and charged to operations as of June 30, 2006. For a further discussion of this transaction and potential cost savings, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” under Part I and “Risk Factors” in Item 1A under Part II below. The Company plans to continue to assess its cost structure as it relates to the Company’s revenues and cash position in 2006, and may make further changes if the actions are deemed necessary.

The Company believes that its current level of working capital combined with the anticipated proceeds from the planned sale of the Company’s headquarters building will provide it with sufficient resources to fund its normal operations through June 30, 2007. The Company also believes that it may obtain additional liquidity from additional consideration it may receive from its prior sale of interest in InterMute. However, the Company cannot assure that it can sell its building on favorable terms and on a timely basis, if at all, nor can it assure that it will receive any additional proceeds from the sale of its interest in InterMute. The Company’s \$3.7 million mortgage loan contains financial covenants including the requirement that the Company maintain a tangible net worth of at least \$7.0 million. As of June 30, 2006 the Company’s tangible net worth was \$7.8 million. If the Company continues to incur operating losses that are not otherwise offset by proceeds from the timely sale of the Company’s headquarters facility or any additional consideration the Company may receive from its prior sale of its interest in InterMute, the Company could be in default of this covenant before the loan matures in April 2007. In such event, the lender would have the right to demand payment in full of the loan and the Company would have no right to exercise its option to extend the loan. The Company is also under continuing pressures from its customers to reduce prices and provide more advantageous terms of sale, and one of its significant retailer customers has notified the Company that they would like to purchase modems from the Company on a consignment basis. Such a change, if implemented, could require the Company to repurchase the customer’s inventory of modems that the Company had previously sold to that customer, which the Company estimates to be approximately \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2006. If the Company is not able to sell its building on a timely basis or obtain significant additional proceeds from the sale of its interest in InterMute, the Company’s liquidity could be significantly impaired and the Company may not have sufficient resources to fund its normal operations over the next twelve months. Longer-term, if the Company is unable to increase its revenues, reduce or otherwise adequately control its expenses, or raise capital, the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern and achieve its intended business objectives would be adversely affected..

### (3) Earnings (loss) per share

The reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share computations for the Company’s reported net income (loss) is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Basic:				
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,857,496)	\$ 1,421,413	\$ (3,232,342)	\$ 116,862
Weighted average shares outstanding	9,346,966	9,163,847	9,346,966	9,066,028
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ (.20)	\$ 0.16	\$ (.35)	\$ 0.01
Diluted:				
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,857,496)	\$ 1,421,413	\$ (3,232,342)	\$ 116,862

Edgar Filing: ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-Q

Weighted average shares outstanding	9,346,966	9,163,847	9,346,966	9,066,028
Net effect of dilutive stock options based on the Treasury stock method using average market price	-	232,699	-	360,087
Weighted average shares outstanding	9,346,966	9,396,546	9,346,966	9,426,115
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ (.20)	\$ 0.15	\$ (.35)	\$ 0.01

8

---

Potential common shares for which inclusion would have the effect of increasing diluted earnings per share (i.e., antidilutive) are excluded from the computation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. Options to purchase 1,226,200 shares of common stock at June 30, 2006, were outstanding but not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 as their effect would be antidilutive.

#### (4) Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:	June 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
Raw materials	\$	1,910,976	\$	2,333,949
Work in process		501,925		648,034
Finished goods		1,744,323		2,091,195
Total	\$	4,157,224	\$	5,073,178

#### (5) Comprehensive Income (Loss)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,857,496)	\$ 1,421,413	\$ (3,232,342)	\$ 116,862
Foreign currency translation adjustment	59,882	(61,240)	65,524	(96,837)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (1,797,614)	\$ 1,360,173	\$ (3,166,818)	\$ 20,025

#### (6) Long-Term Debt

On January 10, 2001 the Company obtained a mortgage for \$6 million on the real estate property located at 201 and 207 South Street, Boston, Massachusetts. The loan was scheduled to be paid in full on January 10, 2006 and the final payment was deferred during negotiations for a new mortgage. On March 30, 2006 the Company paid the lender \$1.2 million to reduce the then balance of \$4.9 million, and refinanced the remaining \$3.7 million with a new mortgage. Payments on the new mortgage are based on a 15 year amortization period with the initial interest at 7.75%, adjusted along with the federal prime rate. The rate of interest at June 30, 2006 was 8.25%. The mortgage matures April 10, 2007 but may be extended at Zoom's option to April 10, 2008 if Zoom makes the election to extend the loan and pays the lender an extension fee of \$36,750 by March 10, 2007 and prior to and following such election Zoom is not in default under the loan. Zoom has classified the scheduled principal payments due after June 30, 2007 as long-term debt because the Company intends to extend the maturity date to April 10, 2008 unless other more financially advantageous arrangements are obtained. As required by the lender the Company has deposited six months of principal and interest (\$212,714 as of June 30, 2006) in a Certificate of Deposit held by the lender as a debt service reserve account. The new mortgage contains certain customary financial and non-financial covenants including requirements to maintain tangible net worth of \$7.0 million and to maintain cash and cash equivalents, free from any and all encumbrances, of not less than \$1.0 million. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2006.

#### (7) Commitments

During the six month period ended June 30, 2006, other than the renewal of the mortgage on the Company's headquarters buildings discussed in Note 2 and Note 6 above there were no material changes to the Company's commitments and contractual obligations compared to those disclosed in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

#### (8) Segment and Geographic Information

The Company's operations are classified as one reportable segment. The Company's net sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, for North America and those outside North America were comprised as follows:

9

---

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2006</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2005</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2006</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2005</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
North America	\$ 2,478,515	55%	\$ 3,191,152	49%	\$ 5,430,638	55%	\$ 5,771,297	45%
Turkey	391,042	9%	615,474	9%	1,052,264	11%	2,137,211	16%
UK	888,340	20%	1,660,733	26%	1,794,706	18%	2,949,089	23%
All Other	760,336	16%	1,057,015	16%	1,521,335	16%	2,103,319	16%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,518,233</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 6,524,374</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 9,798,943</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 12,960,916</b>	<b>100%</b>

### (9) Customer Concentrations

Relatively few customers have accounted for a substantial portion of the Company's net sales. In the second quarter of 2006 the Company's net sales to its top three customers accounted for 35% of its total net sales, with the Company's net sales to a North American retailer accounting for 19% of total net sales. The remaining 16% was split between two other customers, a Turkish distributor and a United Kingdom retailer, at 9% and 7% respectively. For the first six months of 2006 the Company's net sales to its top three customers accounted for 34% of the Company's net sales, with the Company's net sales to a North American retailer accounting for 13% of total net sales. The remaining 20% was split between two other customers, a Turkish distributor and a North American distributor, at 11% and 10% respectively.

In the second quarter of 2005 the Company's net sales to its top three customers accounted for 39% of its total net sales, with the Company's sales to a large U.S. retailer accounting for 21% of the total net sales. The remaining 18% was divided fairly equally between the other two customers, both with less than a 10% share. In the first six months of 2005 the Company's net sales to its top three customers accounted for 40% of its total net sales.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

*The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the safe harbor statement and the risk factors contained in Item 1A of Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as well those set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and our other filings with the SEC. Readers should also be cautioned that results of any reported period are often not indicative of results for any future period.*

### Overview

We derive our net sales primarily from sales of Internet related hardware products, principally broadband and dial-up modems and related products, to retailers, distributors, Internet Service Providers and Original Equipment Manufacturers. We sell our products through a direct sales force and through independent sales agents. Our employees are primarily located at our headquarters in Boston, Massachusetts, our support office in Boca Raton, Florida, and our sales office in the United Kingdom. We typically design our hardware products, though we do sometimes use another company's design if it meets our requirements. Electronic assembly and testing of the Company's products in accordance with our specifications is typically done in China.

We have traditionally performed most of the packaging and distribution effort at our production and warehouse facility on Summer Street in Boston, Massachusetts, which has also engaged in firmware programming and in testing. Our headquarters is about a mile away, on South Street in Boston. Our lease for our Summer Street facility is

scheduled to expire in August 2006. On June 30, 2006 we announced our plans to move most of our Summer Street operations to a dedicated facility in Tijuana, Mexico commencing approximately September 1, 2006, and we do not plan to renew our Summer Street lease.

For many years we derived a majority of our net sales from the retail after-market sale of dial-up modems to customers seeking to add or upgrade a modem for their personal computers. In recent years the size of this market and our sales to this market have declined, as personal computer manufacturers have incorporated a modem as a built-in component in most consumer personal computers and as increasing numbers of consumers world-wide have switched to broadband Internet access. The general consensus of communications industry analysts is that after-market sales of dial-up modems will continue to decline. There is also consensus among industry analysts that the installed base for broadband Internet connection devices, such as cable modems and DSL modems, will grow rapidly during the decade. In response to increased and forecasted worldwide demand for faster connection speeds and increased modem functionality, we have invested and continue to invest resources to advance our product line of broadband modems, especially DSL modems.



We continually seek to improve our product designs and manufacturing approach in order to reduce our costs. We pursue a strategy of outsourcing rather than internally developing our modem chipsets, which are application-specific integrated circuits that form the technology base for our modems. By outsourcing the chipset technology, we are able to concentrate our research and development resources on modem system design, leverage the extensive research and development capabilities of our chipset suppliers, and reduce our development time and associated costs and risks. As a result of this approach, we are able to quickly develop new and innovative products while maintaining a relatively low level of research and development expense as a percentage of net sales. We also outsource aspects of our manufacturing to contract manufacturers as a means of reducing our costs of production, and to provide us with greater flexibility in our production capacity.

Over the past several years our net sales have declined. In response to declining sales volume, we have cut costs by reducing staffing and some overhead costs. On March 31, 2005 our total headcount of full-time employees, including temporary workers, was 143 which was reduced to 116 at June 30, 2006. As described above, in connection with the move of our Summer Street manufacturing operations to Tijuana, Mexico, we did not renew our Summer Street lease and on June 30, 2006 we communicated termination notices to 40 Summer Street employees.

Generally our gross margin for a given product depends on a number of factors including the type of customer to whom we are selling. The gross margin for retailers tends to be higher than for some of our other customers; but the sales, support, and overhead costs associated with retailers also tend to be higher. Zoom's sales to certain countries, including Turkey, Vietnam, and Saudi Arabia, are currently handled by a single distributor for each country who handles the support and marketing costs within the country. Gross margin for sales to these distributors tends to be low, since lower pricing to these distributors helps them to cover the support and marketing costs that they cover. Our gross margin for broadband modems tends to be lower than for dial-up modems for a number of reasons, including that retailers are currently a more significant channel for our dial-up modems than for our broadband modems, that a higher percentage of our DSL sales come from low-margin countries, and that there is stronger competition in the DSL market than in the dial-up market.

In the second quarter of 2006 our net sales were down 31.0% compared to the second quarter of 2005. In the first six months of 2006 our net sales were down 24% compared to the first six months of 2005. The main reason for the sales decreases was the decline in dial-up modem and DSL modem sales. While we have generally experienced growth in our DSL modem sales, a significant portion of these sales is currently concentrated with a small number of customers, and this reduces the predictability of our results. In Turkey Zoom has had a relatively high share of the small but growing DSL market, but our sales in 2006 have been declining. We attribute this decline to a number of factors including increased competition and the lack of a significant DSL promotion by Turkish Telecom in 2006. We are working hard to reverse this trend, but plans by Turkish Telecom to dramatically increase their bundling of DSL modems with their service are likely to significantly reduce our sales to Turkey. We are also continuing our efforts to expand our DSL customer base and product line, and to enter new markets. Because of our significant customer concentration, however, our net sales and operating results have fluctuated and in the future could fluctuate significantly due to changes in political or economic conditions or the loss, reduction of business, or less favorable terms for any of our significant customers.

Since 1999 we had a minority interest in a privately held software company, InterMute, Inc. In June 2005 InterMute was acquired by Trend Micro Inc., a U.S. subsidiary of Trend Micro Japan. In connection with the acquisition, in June 2005 we received a payment of approximately \$3.5 million in exchange for our investment. We recorded a non-operating gain of \$3.5 million in our second quarter of 2005 in connection with this sale. We may also receive up to \$3.0 million in additional payments in 2006 if certain conditions and performance targets are met. We will not record gains from these additional payments, if any, until and unless they are fully earned.

Our cash and cash equivalents balance at June 30, 2006 was \$4.5 million compared to \$9.1 million at December 31, 2005. This reduction of \$4.6 million was due primarily to funding our \$3.2 million net loss for the first six months of

2006, and to a \$1.4 million cash reduction from the refinancing of our mortgage loan which included a principal pay-down of \$1.2 million and the deposit of \$0.2 million in a debt service reserve account.

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Following is a discussion of what we view as our more significant accounting policies and estimates. As described below, management judgments and estimates must be made and used in connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. We have identified areas where material differences could result in the amount and timing of our net sales, costs, and expenses for any period if we had made different judgments or used different estimates.

**Revenue (Net Sales) Recognition.** We primarily sell hardware products to our customers. The hardware products include dial-up modems, DSL modems, cable modems, voice over IP products, and wireless and wired networking equipment. We earn a small amount of royalty revenue that is included in our net sales, primarily from internet service providers. We generally do not sell software. We began selling services in 2004. We introduced our Global Village VoIP service in late 2004, but sales of those services to date have not been material.

**We derive our net sales primarily from the sales of hardware products to four types of customers:**

- computer peripherals retailers,
- computer product distributors,
- internet service providers, and
- original equipment manufacturers (OEMs)

We recognize hardware net sales for our customers at the point when the customers take legal ownership of the delivered products. Legal ownership passes from Zoom to the customer based on the contractual FOB point specified in signed contracts and purchase orders, which are both used extensively. Many of our customer contracts or purchase orders specify FOB destination. We verify the delivery date on all significant FOB destination shipments made during the last 10 business days of each quarter.

Our net sales of hardware include reductions resulting from certain events which are characteristic of the sales of hardware to retailers of computer peripherals. These events are product returns, certain sales and marketing incentives, price protection refunds, and consumer mail-in and in-store rebates. Each of these is accounted for as a reduction of net sales based on detailed management estimates, which are reconciled to actual customer or end-consumer credits on a monthly or quarterly basis.

Our 2006 VoIP service revenues were recorded as the end-user-customer consumed billable VoIP services. The end-user-customer became a service customer by electing to sign up for the Global Village billable service on the Internet. Zoom recorded revenue either as billable services were consumed or as a monthly flat-fee service was billed.

**Product Returns.** Products are returned by retail stores and distributors for inventory balancing, contractual stock rotation privileges, and warranty repair or replacements. We estimate the sales and cost value of expected future product returns of previously sold products. Our estimates for product returns are based on recent historical trends plus estimates for returns prompted by, among other things, new product introductions, announced stock rotations and announced customer store closings. Management reviews historical returns, current economic trends, and changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products when estimating sales return allowances. The estimate for future returns is recorded as a reserve against accounts receivable, a reduction of net sales, and the corresponding change to inventory reserves and cost of sales. Product returns as a percentage of total net sales were 9.7% and 8.3 %, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to 7.7 % and 7.0%, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

**Price Protection Refunds.** We have a policy of offering price protection to certain of our retailer and distributor customers for some or all their inventory. Under the price protection policies, when we reduce our prices for a product, the customer receives a credit for the difference between the original purchase price and our reduced price for their unsold inventory of that product. Our estimates for price protection refunds are based on a detailed understanding and tracking by customer and by sales program. Estimated price protection refunds are recorded in the same period as the announcement of a pricing change. Information from customer inventory-on-hand reports or from direct communications with the customers is used to estimate the refund, which is recorded as a reduction of net sales and a

reserve against accounts receivable. Reductions in our net sales due to price protection were \$0.2 million in 2003, \$0.1 million in 2004, and \$0.2 million in 2005. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the reduction in our net sales due to price protection was \$0.02 million and \$0.04 million, respectively compared to \$0.11 million and \$0.15 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

**Sales and Marketing Incentives.** Many of our retailer customers require sales and marketing support funding, usually set as a percentage of our sales in their stores. The incentives were reported as reductions in our net sales and were \$1.5 million in 2003, \$1.3 million in 2004, and \$1.1 million in 2005. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the reduction in our net sales due to sales and marketing incentives was \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively compared to \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

**Consumer Mail-In and In-Store Rebates.** Our estimates for consumer mail-in and in-store rebates are based on a detailed understanding and tracking by customer and sales program, supported by actual rebate claims processed by the rebate redemption centers plus an accrual for an estimated lag in processing at the redemption centers. The estimate for mail-in and in-store rebates is recorded as a reserve against accounts receivable and a reduction of net sales in the same period that the rebate obligation was triggered. Reductions in our net sales due to the consumer rebates were \$2.1 million in 2003, \$1.4 million in 2004, and \$0.8 million in 2005. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the reduction in our net sales due to consumer rebates was \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively compared to \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

To ensure that the sales, discounts, and marketing incentives are recorded in the proper period, we perform extensive tracking and documenting by customer, by period, and by type of marketing event. This tracking includes reconciliation to the accounts receivable records for deductions taken by our customers for these discounts and incentives.

**Accounts Receivable Valuation.** We establish accounts receivable valuation allowances equal to the above-discussed net sales adjustments for estimates of product returns, price protection refunds, consumer rebates, and general bad debt reserves. These allowances are reduced as actual credits are issued to the customer's accounts. Our bad-debt write-offs were less than \$0.03 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006.

**Inventory Valuation and Cost of Goods Sold.** Inventory is valued on a standard cost basis where the material standards are periodically updated for current material pricing. Allowances for obsolete inventory are established by management based on usability reviews performed each quarter. Our allowances against the inventory of a particular product range from 0% to 100%, based on management's estimate of the probability that the material will not be consumed or that it will be sold below cost. In the second quarter of 2006 we recorded an additional \$0.2 million charge for inventory reserves related to some slow-moving VoIP products. On July 1, 2006 Europe began a program for "RoHS" or Restriction on Hazardous Substances, which seeks to minimize lead and other hazardous substances. In the second quarter of 2006 we established a \$0.1 million inventory obsolescence reserve for some non-RoHS components that were purchased for use in European countries that now require RoHS.

**Valuation and Impairment of Deferred Tax Assets.** As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements we estimate our income tax expense and deferred income tax position. This process involves the estimation of our actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in our consolidated balance sheet. We then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. To the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we establish a valuation allowance. Changes in the valuation allowance are reflected in the statement of operations.

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes and any valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax assets. We have recorded a 100% valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. It is management's estimate that, after considering all the available objective evidence, historical and prospective, with greater weight given to historical evidence, it is more likely than not that these assets will not be realized. If we establish a record of continuing profitability, at some point we will be required to reverse the valuation allowance and restore the deferred asset value to the balance sheet, recording an equal income tax benefit which will increase net income in that period(s).

On December 31, 2005 we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$31,854,000. These federal net operating losses are available to offset future taxable income, and are due to expire in years ranging from 2018 to 2025. On December 31, 2005 we had state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$22,253,000. These state net operating losses are available to offset future taxable income, and are primarily due to expire in years ranging from 2006 to 2010.

## Results of Operations

**Summary.** Net sales were \$4.5 million for our second quarter ended June 30, 2006, down 30.7% from \$6.5 million in the second quarter of 2005. We had a net loss of \$1.9 million for the second quarter of 2006, compared to net income of \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2005. Our operating loss for the second quarter of 2006 was \$1.9 million, a small improvement over the operating loss of \$2.1 million for the second quarter of 2005. The net income of \$1.4 million for the second quarter of 2005 was comprised of other income of \$3.5 million, due to the gain from the sale of our interest in InterMute, offset by the operating loss of \$2.1 million. Loss per diluted share was \$0.20 for the second quarter of 2006 compared to a gain per diluted share of \$0.15 for the second quarter of 2005.

Net sales were \$9.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, down 24.4% from \$13.0 million in the first six months of 2005. We had a net loss of \$3.2 million for the first six months of 2006, compared to a net gain of \$0.1 million in the first six months of 2005. Our operating loss for the first six months of both 2006 and 2005 was \$3.3 million. Other income for the first six months of 2006 was \$0.1 million compared to \$3.4 million for the first six months of 2005, due primarily to the gain from the sale of our interest in InterMute. Loss per diluted share was \$0.35 for the first six months of 2006 compared to income per diluted share of \$0.01 for the first six months of 2005.

**Net Sales.** Our net sales for the first quarter of 2006 decreased 30.7% from the first quarter of 2005, primarily due to a 33% decrease in dial-up modem sales and a 37% decrease in DSL modem sales. Dial-up modem net sales declined to \$2.1 million in the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$3.2 million in the second quarter of 2005, primarily due to the continued decline of the dial-up modem after-market. DSL modem net sales decreased from \$3.2 million in the second quarter of 2005 to \$1.8 million in the second quarter of 2006 primarily as a result of decreased DSL sales to our Turkish distributor as discussed above, reduced DSL sales at retail in the U.K. due to the decision of a large U.K. retailer to discontinue carrying most of our DSL product line, and the loss of Granville Technologies, a former large DSL and dial-up modem customer in the United Kingdom that went out of business in mid-2005.

Our net sales for the first six months of 2006 decreased 24.4% from the first six months of 2005, primarily due to a 29% decrease in dial-up modem sales and a 29% decrease in DSL modem sales. Dial-up modem net sales declined to \$4.2 million in the first six months of 2006 compared to \$5.9 million in the first six months of 2005, primarily due to a decrease of both dial-up modem unit sales, primarily resulting from the continued decline of the dial-up modem after-market, and to lower dial-up modem average selling prices. DSL modem net sales decreased to \$4.4 million in the first six months of 2006 compared to \$6.3 million in the first six months of 2005, primarily as a result of decreased DSL sales to our Turkish distributor as discussed above, reduced DSL sales at retail in the U.K. due to the decision of a large U.K. retailer to discontinue carrying most of our DSL product line, and the loss of Granville Technologies, a former large DSL and dial-up modem customer in the United Kingdom that went out of business in mid-2005. Net sales in our other product sales categories, which include cable modems, VoIP, and wireless networking equipment, increased \$0.3 million or 39% from \$0.8 million for the first six months of 2005 to \$1.2 million in the first six months of 2006, primarily due to increased wireless product sales.

Our net sales in North America were \$2.5 million in the second quarter of 2006, a decrease from \$3.2 million in the second quarter of 2005. Our net sales in Turkey were \$0.4 million in the second quarter of 2006, a decrease from \$0.6 million in the second quarter of 2005. Our net sales in the U.K. were \$0.9 million in the second quarter of 2006, a decline from \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2005. The decline in net sales in the U.K. and Turkey as discussed above. Our net sales other than North America, Turkey and the U.K. were \$0.8 million in the second quarter of 2006, a decrease from \$1.1 million in the second quarter of 2005. Our net sales in North America were \$5.4 million in the first six months of 2006, a decline from \$5.8 million in the first six months of 2005. Our net sales in Turkey were \$1.1 million in the first six months of 2006 and \$2.1 million in the first six months of 2005. Our net sales in the U.K. were \$1.8 million in the first six months of 2006, a decline from \$2.9 million in the first six months of 2005. Our net sales in countries other than North America, Turkey and the U.K. were \$1.5 million for the first six months of 2006 and \$2.1 million for the first six months of 2005.

In the second quarter of 2006 our net sales to our top three customers accounted for 35% of our total net sales, with our net sales to a North American retailer accounting for 19% of total net sales. The remaining 16% was split between two other customers our Turkish distributor and a United Kingdom retailer, at 9% and 7%, respectively. For the first six months of 2006, our net sales to our top three customer accounted for 34% of our net sales, with our net sales to a North American retailer accounting for 13% of total net sales. The remaining 20% was split between two other customers, our Turkish distributor and a North American distributor, at 11% and 10%, respectively.

In the second quarter of 2005 our net sales to our top three customers accounted for 39% of our total net sales, with our sales to a large U.S. retailer accounting for 21% of the total net sales. The remaining 18% was divided fairly equally between the other two customers, both with less than a 10% share. In the first six months of 2005 our net sales to our top three customers accounted for 40% of our total net sales. Because of our significant customer concentration, our net sales and operating income has fluctuated and could in the future fluctuate significantly due to changes in political or economic conditions or the loss, reduction of business, or less favorable terms for any of our significant customers.

**Gross Profit.** Our total gross profit was \$0.2 million in the second quarter of 2006, a decline from \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2005. Our gross margin percent of net sales decreased to 4.9% in the second quarter of 2006 from 21.2% in the second quarter of 2005. Gross margins were lower primarily because of the negative effect of fixed manufacturing overhead spread over the lower sales, increased charges for inventory obsolescence due to VoIP and RoHS as discussed above, lower product margins at standard cost due to pricing, cost, and sales mix changes, and a \$0.1 million severance charge for our planned third quarter of 2006 closing of our Boston production facility, which was announced and communicated to all affected employees on June 30, 2006.

Our total gross profit was \$1.2 million in the first six months of 2006, a decline from \$2.9 million in the first six months of 2005. Our gross margin percent of net sales decreased to 12.1% in the first six months of 2006 from 22.5% in the first six months of 2005. Gross margins were lower primarily because of lower absorption of fixed manufacturing overhead due to lower sales in the first six months of 2006 compared to the first six months of 2005, lower product margins at standard cost due to pricing, cost, and sales mix changes, increased obsolescence charges, and the \$0.1 million severance charge.



**Selling Expense.** Selling expense decreased \$0.2 million to \$0.9 million or 19.4% of net sales in the second quarter of 2006 from \$1.1 million or 16.5% of net sales in the second quarter of 2005. Selling expense was lower primarily because of lower personnel and related costs resulting from employee headcount reductions, lower sales, and lower product delivery expense.

Selling expense decreased \$0.4 million to \$1.8 million or 18.2% of net sales in the first six months of 2006 from \$2.2 million or 16.9% of net sales in the first six months of 2005. Selling expense was lower primarily because of lower personnel and related costs resulting from employee headcount reductions, lower sales, and lower product delivery expense.

**General and Administrative Expense.** General and administrative expense was \$0.7 million or 15.4% of net sales in the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$1.7 million or 26.5% of net sales in the second quarter of 2005. General and administrative expense in the second quarter of 2005 included \$1.1 million of bad debt expense for the business failure of Granville Technologies. Excluding the \$1.1 million bad debt expense, the second quarter year-over-year expense was relatively constant.

General and administrative expense decreased \$1.0 million to \$1.5 million, or 15.8% of net sales in the first six months of 2006 from \$2.6 million or 19.7% of net sales in the first six months of 2005. The decrease of \$1.0 million was primarily due to the \$1.1 million bad debt charge to general and administrative expense for Granville Technologies in 2005.

**Research and Development Expense.** Research and development expense decreased \$0.1 million to \$0.6 million or 12.3% of net sales in the second quarter of 2006 from \$0.7 million or 10.7% of net sales in the second quarter of 2005. Research and development costs decreased primarily as a result of lower personnel costs due to headcount reductions and lower product license and approval fees. Development and support continues on all of our major product lines with particular emphasis on VoIP products and service, DSL products, wireless products, and the new iHIFI product line.

Research and development expense decreased \$.2 million to \$1.2 million or 11.1% of net sales in the first six months of 2006 from \$1.4 million or 11.1% of net sales in the first six months of 2005. Research and development costs increased primarily in salary expense, depreciation, outside services and product evaluation materials.

**Other Income (Expense), Net.** Other income (expense), net was net income of \$0.05 million in the second quarter of 2006, primarily from interest and rental income, compared to net income of \$3.5 million in the second quarter of 2005. The \$3.5 million income gain resulted primarily from the sale of our interest in InterMute to Trend Micro in 2005.

Other income net was \$3.2 million in the first six months of 2006, compared to \$0.1 million in the first six months of 2005. The reason for the \$3.3 million increase in net income was primarily from the \$3.5 million gain from the sale of our interest in the InterMute transaction.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

On June 30, 2006 we had working capital of \$8.6 million, including \$4.5 million in cash and cash equivalents. In the first six months of 2006, operating activities used \$3.3 million in cash. Our net loss in the first six months of 2006 was \$3.2 million. Uses of cash from operations included a decrease of accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1.6 million. Sources of cash from operations included a decrease of accounts receivable of \$0.4 million and inventory of \$0.9 million.

In the first six months of 2006 net cash used in financing activities was \$1.2 million, due primarily to the refinancing of the mortgage on our headquarters buildings. Our original mortgage was a 5-year balloon mortgage that was scheduled to be due and payable on January 10, 2006. The balloon payment was deferred until March 30, 2006 when a mortgage amendment was agreed and signed. On that date, we paid the lender \$1.2 million to reduce the then outstanding balance of \$4.9 million, and refinanced the remaining \$3.7 million with a new mortgage. Payments on the new mortgage are based on a 15 year amortization period with initial interest at 7.75%, adjusted along with the federal prime rate. The rate of interest as of June 30, 2006 was 8.25%. The mortgage matures April 10, 2007 but may be extended at Zoom's option to April 10, 2008 if Zoom makes the election to extend and pays the lender an extension fee of \$36,750 by March 10, 2007 and prior to and following such election Zoom is not in default under the loan. As required by the lender we deposited six months of principal and interest (\$212,714 as of June 30, 2006) in a Certificate of Deposit held by the lender as a debt service reserve account. The new mortgage contains certain customary financial and non-financial covenants, including the requirement to maintain a tangible net worth of \$7.0 million and an amount of cash and cash equivalents, free from any and all encumbrances, in an amount of not less than \$1.0 million. We were in compliance with those covenants as of June 30, 2006. We believe we should be able to sell our owned buildings on favorable terms if we require any additional liquidity. If we were to sell the portion of our owned buildings that include the principal headquarters, we expect we will be able to lease back a portion of the sold property or otherwise find suitable space for our principal headquarters on satisfactory terms.

On March 15, 2006 our one year revolving line of credit with Silicon Valley Bank terminated. There were no borrowings under the line for the entire one year contract. Accordingly, we do not currently have a line of credit from which we can borrow. We are in discussions with Silicon Valley Bank for a new one year line of credit. There can be no assurance as to the outcome of these discussions.

In June 2005 InterMute, Inc., a software company in which we have a minority interest, was acquired by Trend Micro Inc., a U.S. subsidiary of Trend Micro Japan. In connection with the acquisition, in June 2005, we received a payment of approximately \$3.5 million in exchange for our investment. We recorded a non-operating gain of \$3.5 million in our second quarter of 2005 in connection with this sale. We may also receive up to \$3.0 million in additional payments in 2006 if certain conditions and performance targets are met.

To conserve cash and manage our liquidity, we continue to implement cost cutting initiatives including the reduction of employee headcount and overhead costs, and most recently, the move of most of our manufacturing operations to a dedicated facility in Tijuana, Mexico starting on approximately September 1, 2006. In connection therewith on June 30, 2006 we notified 40 employees currently working at Zoom in Boston that they would be terminated on approximately August 31, 2006. One-time severance benefits approximating \$108,000 have been accrued for this termination and charged to operations as of June 30, 2006. We expect to incur approximately \$250,000 of costs, including the aforementioned severance benefits, in connection with the move. We estimate that the move of our manufacturing facility should save approximately \$2 million over our year 2005 production costs at year 2005's production volumes. We anticipate that our initial savings will be less than \$2 million per year as our production volumes are currently lower than 2005 levels and as a result of the initial expenses we will incur to effect the move. Savings are expected to come primarily from savings in costs for personnel, facilities, and shipping. We cannot assure that we will be able to achieve these estimated cost savings. See "Risk Factors" in Item 1A under Part II below. We plan to continue to assess our cost structure as it relates to our revenues and cash position in 2006, and we may make further changes if the actions are deemed necessary.

We believe that the anticipated proceeds from the planned sale of our headquarters building will provide us with sufficient resources to fund our normal operations over the next 12 months, through June 30, 2007. We also believe that we may obtain additional liquidity from additional consideration we may receive from our prior sale of our interest in InterMute. However, we cannot assure that we can sell our building on favorable terms and on a timely basis, if at all, nor can we assure that we will receive any additional proceeds from the sale of our interest in InterMute. Our \$3.7 million mortgage loan contains financial covenants including the requirement that we maintain a tangible net worth of at least \$7.0 million. As of June 30, 2006 our tangible net worth was \$7.8 million. If we continue to incur operating losses that are not otherwise offset by proceeds from the timely sale of our headquarters facility or any additional consideration we may receive from our prior sale of our interest in InterMute, we could be in default of this covenant before the loan matures in April 2007. In such event, the lender would have the right to demand payment in full of the loan and we would have no right to exercise our option to extend the loan. We are also under continuing pressures from our customers to reduce prices and provide more advantageous terms of sale, and one of our significant retailer customers has notified us that they would like to purchase our modems on a consignment basis. Such a change, if implemented, could require us to repurchase the customer's inventory of our modems that we had previously sold to that customer, which we estimate to be approximately \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2006. If we are not able sell our building on a timely basis or obtain significant additional proceeds from the sale of our interest in InterMute, our liquidity could be significantly impaired and we may not have sufficient resources to fund our normal operations over the next twelve months. Longer-term, if we are unable to increase our revenues, reduce or otherwise adequately control our expenses, or raise capital, our ability to continue as a going concern and achieve our intended business objectives would be adversely affected. See the safe harbor statement contained herein and the "Risk Factors" in Item 1A under Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q below, Zoom's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and Zoom's other filings with the SEC, for further information with respect to events and uncertainties that could harm our business, operating results, and financial condition.



## Commitments

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, there were no material changes to our capital commitments and contractual obligations from those disclosed in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 except that, as described above, in March 2006 we paid our mortgage lender \$1.2 million to reduce the then balance of \$4.8 million, and refinanced the remaining balance of our mortgage loan with a new \$3.7 million mortgage with a 15 year amortization for one year and a Maturity Date of April 10, 2007 and as announced in June 2006, commencing approximately September 1, 2006, we plan to move most of our manufacturing operations to a dedicated facility in Tijuana, Mexico.

### **"Safe Harbor" Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.**

*Some of the statements contained in this report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our or our industry's actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to statements regarding: Zoom's plans, expectations and intentions, including statements relating to Zoom's prospects and plans relating to sales of and markets for its products; Zoom's expected benefits and cost savings resulting from the move of its manufacturing facilities to Mexico; Zoom's ability to sell its owned buildings or receive additional proceeds from the sale of its interest in InterMute for additional liquidity; Zoom's sufficiency of capital resources; and Zoom's financial condition or results of operations.*

*In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "would," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "projects," "predicts," "potential" and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Given these uncertainties you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Also, these forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this report. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained in this report to reflect any change in our expectations or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any of our forward-looking statements are based. Factors that could cause or contribute to differences in our future financial results include those discussed in the risk factors set forth in Item 1A of Part II below as well as those discussed elsewhere in this report and in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements.*

## **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We own financial instruments that are sensitive to market risks as part of our investment portfolio. The investment portfolio is used to preserve our capital until it is required to fund operations, including our research and development activities. None of these market-risk sensitive instruments are held for trading purposes. We do not own derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. The investment portfolio contains instruments that are subject to the risk of a decline in interest rates. Investment Rate Risk - Our investment portfolio consists entirely of money market funds, which are subject to interest rate risk. Due to the short duration and conservative nature of these instruments, we do not believe that it has a material exposure to interest rate risk. The 15-year amortization mortgage of our headquarters building is a variable rate loan with the interest rate adjusted annually. A 1% point change in the interest rate would result in a decrease or increase of approximately \$37,000 of interest expense per year. Our market risks have not changed substantially since December 31, 2005.

#### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, as ours are designed to do, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of June 30, 2006 we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in enabling us to record, process, summarize and report information required to be included in our periodic SEC filings within the required time period.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

*This report contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, such as statements of our objectives, expectations and intentions. The cautionary statements made in this report should be read as applicable to all forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this report. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed herein. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those discussed below, as well as those discussed elsewhere in this report.*

#### **Our liquidity may be significantly impaired if we are not able to sell our headquarters facility.**

We are currently seeking to sell our headquarters facility. The facility is subject to a \$3.7 million mortgage. The mortgage matures April 10, 2007 but may be extended at our option to April 10, 2008 if we make the election to extend the loan and pay the lender an extension fee of \$36,750 by March 10, 2007 and if prior to and following such election we are not in default under the loan. The mortgage contains financial and non-financial covenants, including the requirement to maintain a minimum tangible net worth of \$7.0 million and to maintain cash and cash equivalents, free from any and all encumbrances, of not less than \$1.0 million. As of June 30, 2006 our tangible net worth was \$7.8 million. If we continue to incur losses that are not otherwise offset by proceeds from the timely sale of our headquarters facility, we could be in default of this covenant before the loan matures in March 2007. In such event, the lender would have the right to demand payment in full of the loan and we would have no right to exercise our option to extend the loan. If we are not able to sell our building on a timely basis, our liquidity could be significantly impaired and we may not have sufficient resources to fund our normal operations over the next twelve months. Moreover, if we do sell our headquarters facility, we believe that we will be able to lease back a portion of the sold property or otherwise find suitable space for our principal headquarters on satisfactory terms. If we fail to lease back a portion of the sold property or to find suitable space for our principal headquarters, our business would be harmed.

#### **To stay in business we may require future additional funding which we may be unable to obtain on favorable terms, if at all.**

In addition to obtaining funds to refinance or repay our mortgage, over the next twelve months we may require additional financing for our operations either to fund losses beyond those we anticipate or to fund growth in our inventory and accounts receivable. Our revolving credit facility expired on March 15, 2006 and we currently have no line of credit from which we can borrow. We are currently in discussions for a new one-year line of credit. Additional financing may not be available to us on a timely basis if at all, or on terms acceptable to us. If we fail to obtain acceptable additional financing when needed, we may be required to further reduce planned expenditures or forego business opportunities, which could reduce our net sales, increase our losses, and harm our business. Moreover, additional equity financing could dilute the per share value of our common stock held by current shareholders, while additional debt financing could restrict our ability to make capital expenditures or incur additional indebtedness, all of which would impede our ability to succeed.

#### **Delays, unanticipated costs, interruptions in production or other problems in connection with the transfer of our manufacturing operations to Mexico or the continuing operation of that facility could harm our business.**

Effective on approximately September 1, 2006 we plan to transfer most of our manufacturing operations from Boston, Massachusetts to Tijuana, Mexico. We may experience delays, unanticipated costs or interruptions in production, or other problems in moving our manufacturing operations to Mexico. We currently anticipate to incur approximately \$250,000 in costs in connection with the move of our manufacturing operations to Mexico. Delays, interruptions in production or other problems in effecting the move could lead to increased or unexpected costs, reduced margins, delays in product deliveries, order cancellations, and lost revenue, all of which could harm our business, results of operation, and liquidity. Our conduct of business in Mexico is subject to the additional challenges and risks associated with international operations, including those related to integration of operations across different cultures and languages, currency risk, and economic, legal, political and regulatory risks.



**Our reliance on a business processing outsourcing partner to conduct our operations in Mexico could materially harm our business and prospects.**

In connection with the move of most of our manufacturing operations to Mexico, we will rely on a business processing outsourcing partner to hire, subject to our oversight, the production team for our manufacturing operation, provide the selected facility described above, and coordinate some of the start-up and ongoing manufacturing logistics relating to Mexico. Our outsourcing partner's related functions include acquiring the necessary Mexican permits, providing the appropriate Mexican operating entity, assisting in customs clearances, and providing other general assistance and administrative services in connection with the start-up and ongoing operation of the Mexican facility. Our outsourcing partner's performance of these obligations efficiently and effectively will be critical to the success of our operations in Mexico. Failure of our outsourcing partner to perform its obligations efficiently and effectively could result in delays, unanticipated costs or interruptions in production, delays in deliveries to our customers or other harm to our business, results of operation, and liquidity. Moreover, if our outsourcing arrangement is not successful, we cannot assure our ability to find an alternative production facility or outsourcing partner to assist in our operations in Mexico or our ability to operate successfully in Mexico without outsourcing or similar assistance.

**Our international operations are subject to a number of risks that could harm our business.**

Currently our operations are significantly dependent on our operations outside the United States, particularly sales of our products and the production of most of our products. In the first six months of 2005, sales outside of North America were approximately 55% of our net sales in 2005. In the first six months of 2006, sales outside North America were 45% of our net sales. The inherent risks of international operations could harm our business, results of operation, and liquidity. The types of risks faced in connection with international operations and sales include, among others:

- regulatory and communications requirements and policy changes;
- favoritism toward local suppliers;
- delays in the rollout of broadband services by cable and DSL service providers;
- local language and technical support requirements;
- difficulties in inventory management, accounts receivable collection and the management of distributors or representatives;
- cultural differences;
- reduced control over staff and other difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;
- reduced protection for intellectual property rights in some countries;
- political and economic changes and disruptions;
- governmental currency controls;
- shipping costs;
- currency exchange rate fluctuations, including, as a result of the move of our manufacturing operations to Mexico, changes in value of the Mexican Peso relative to the US dollar; and

- import, export, and tariff regulations.

**Our reliance on a limited number of customers for a large portion of our revenues could materially harm our business and prospects.**

Relatively few customers have accounted for a substantial portion of our net sales. In the first six months of 2006, our net sales to three companies constituted 34% of our total net sales. Our customers generally do not enter into long-term agreements obligating them to purchase our products. We may not continue to receive significant revenues from any of these or from other large customers. Because of our significant customer concentration, our net sales and operating income could fluctuate significantly due to changes in political or economic conditions or the loss, reduction of business, or less favorable terms for any of our significant customers. For example, in the first half of 2006, DSL sales to our Turkish distributor, one of our top three customers, have declined significantly from \$2.2 million in the first six months in 2005 to \$1.1 million in the first six months in 2006. We attribute this decline due to increased competition and the lack of a DSL promotion by Turkish Telecom in 2006. We are working hard to reverse this trend, but plans by Turkish Telecom to increase their bundling of DSL modems with their service may significantly reduce our sales to Turkey. We cannot guarantee that we will increase our sales to our Turkish distributor. A reduction or delay in orders from any of our significant customers, or a delay or default in payment by any significant customer could materially harm our business, results of operation and liquidity.

**Our net sales, operating results and liquidity have been and may in the future be adversely affected because of the decline in the retail market for dial-up modems.**

The dial-up modem industry has been characterized by declining average selling prices and a declining retail market. The decline in average selling prices is due to a number of factors, including technological change, lower component costs, and competition. The decline in the size of the retail market for dial-up modems is primarily due to the inclusion of dial-up modems as a standard feature contained in new PCs, and the advent of broadband products. Decreasing average selling prices and reduced demand for our dial-up modems have resulted and may in the future result in decreased net sales for dial-up modems. If we fail to replace declining revenue from the sales of dial-up modems with the sales of our other products, including our broadband modems, our business, results of operation and liquidity will be harmed.

**Less advantageous terms of sale of our products could harm our business.**

One of our significant retail customers has notified us that they want to purchase our modems on a consignment basis. Such a change, if implemented could require us to repurchase the customer's inventory of our modems that we had previously sold to that customer, which we estimate to be approximately \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2006. The customer has also indicated that they plan to reduce the number of brands of modems they sell, and that they cannot assure that they will continue to sell our products. If we obtain less advantageous terms of sale or experience other reductions in purchases of our products from significant customers, our business, results of operation and liquidity will be harmed.

**We believe that our future success will depend in large part on our ability to more successfully penetrate the broadband modem markets, which have been challenging markets, with significant barriers to entry.**

With the shrinking of the dial-up modem market, we believe that our future success will depend in large part on our ability to more successfully penetrate the broadband modem markets, DSL and cable, and the VoIP market. These markets have been challenging markets, with significant barriers to entry that have adversely affected our sales to these markets. Although some cable and DSL modems are sold at retail, the high volume purchasers of these modems are concentrated in a relatively few large cable, telecommunications, and Internet service providers which offer broadband modem services to their customers. These customers, particularly cable services providers, also have extensive and varied approval processes for modems to be approved for use on their network. These approvals are expensive, time consuming, and continue to evolve. Successfully penetrating the broadband modem market therefore presents a number of challenges including:

- the current limited retail market for broadband modems;
- the relatively small number of cable, telecommunications and Internet service provider customers that make up a substantial part of the market for broadband modems;
  - the significant bargaining power of these large volume purchasers;
- the time consuming, expensive, uncertain and varied approval process of the various cable service providers; and
- the strong relationships with cable service providers enjoyed by incumbent cable equipment providers like Motorola and Scientific Atlanta.

Our sales of broadband products have been adversely affected by all of these factors. Sales of our broadband products in European countries have fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate due to approvals and delays in the deployment by service providers of cable and DSL service in these countries. We cannot assure that we will be able to successfully

penetrate these markets.

**Our failure to meet changing customer requirements and emerging industry standards would adversely impact our ability to sell our products and services.**

The market for PC communications products and high-speed broadband access products and services is characterized by aggressive pricing practices, continually changing customer demand patterns, rapid technological advances, emerging industry standards and short product life cycles. Some of our product and service developments and enhancements have taken longer than planned and have delayed the availability of our products and services, which adversely affected our sales and profitability in the past. Any significant delays in the future may adversely impact our ability to sell our products and services, and our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected. Our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to:

- identify and respond to emerging technological trends and industry standards in the market;
- develop and maintain competitive products that meet changing customer demands;
- enhance our products by adding innovative features that differentiate our products from those of our competitors;

- bring products to market on a timely basis;
- introduce products that have competitive prices;
- manage our product transitions, inventory levels and manufacturing processes efficiently;
- respond effectively to new technological changes or new product announcements by others; and
- meet changing industry standards.

Our product cycles tend to be short, and we may incur significant non-recoverable expenses or devote significant resources to sales that do not occur when anticipated. Therefore, the resources we devote to product development, sales and marketing may not generate material net sales for us. In addition, short product cycles have resulted in and may in the future result in excess and obsolete inventory, which has had and may in the future have an adverse affect on our results of operations. In an effort to develop innovative products and technology, we have incurred and may in the future incur substantial development, sales, marketing, and inventory costs. If we are unable to recover these costs, our financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected. In addition, if we sell our products at reduced prices in anticipation of cost reductions and we still have higher cost products in inventory, our business would be harmed and our results of operations and financial condition would be adversely affected.

**We have been selling our VoIP service for a limited period and there is no guarantee that this service will gain broad market acceptance.**

We have only recently introduced our VoIP service. Given our limited history with offering this service, there are many difficulties that we may encounter, including technical hurdles, multiple and changing regulations and industry standards, and other problems that we may not anticipate. To date, we have not generated significant revenue from the sale of our VoIP products and services, and there is no guarantee that we will be successful in generating significant revenues.

**We may be subject to product returns resulting from defects, or from overstocking of our products. Product returns could result in the failure to attain market acceptance of our products, which would harm our business.**

If our products contain undetected defects, errors, or failures, we could face:

- delays in the development of our products;
- numerous product returns; and
- other losses to us or to our customers or end users.

Any of these occurrences could also result in the loss of or delay in market acceptance of our products, either of which would reduce our sales and harm our business. We are also exposed to the risk of product returns from our customers as a result of contractual stock rotation privileges and our practice of assisting some of our customers in balancing their inventories. Overstocking has in the past led and may in the future lead to higher than normal returns.

**Our failure to effectively manage our inventory levels could materially and adversely affect our liquidity and harm our business.**

Due to rapid technological change and changing markets we are required to manage our inventory levels carefully to both meet customer expectations regarding delivery times and to limit our excess inventory exposure. In the event we

fail to effectively manage our inventory our liquidity may be adversely affected and we may face increased risk of inventory obsolescence, a decline in market value of the inventory, or losses from theft, fire, or other casualty. We incurred a \$0.3 million inventory obsolescence charge in the three months ended June 30,2006 for slow-moving VoIP products and products that did not conform to the R0HS standards.

**We may be unable to produce sufficient quantities of our products because we depend on third party manufacturers. If these third party manufacturers fail to produce quality products in a timely manner, our ability to fulfill our customer orders would be adversely impacted.**

We use contract manufacturers to partially manufacture our products. We use these third party manufacturers to help ensure low costs, rapid market entry, and reliability. Any manufacturing disruption could impair our ability to fulfill orders, and failure to fulfill orders would adversely affect our sales. Although we currently use four contract manufacturers for the bulk of our purchases, in some cases a given product is only provided by one of these companies. The loss of the services of any of our significant third party manufacturers or a material adverse change in the business of or our relationships with any of these manufacturers could harm our business. Since third parties manufacture our products and we expect this to continue in the future, our success will depend, in part, on the ability of third parties to manufacture our products cost effectively and in sufficient quantities to meet our customer demand.

We are subject to the following risks because of our reliance on third party manufacturers:

- reduced management and control of component purchases;
- reduced control over delivery schedules, quality assurance and manufacturing yields;
- lack of adequate capacity during periods of excess demand;
- limited warranties on products supplied to us;
- potential increases in prices;
- interruption of supplies from assemblers as a result of a fire, natural calamity, strike or other significant event; and
  - misappropriation of our intellectual property.

**We may be unable to produce sufficient quantities of our products because we obtain key components from, and depend on, sole or limited source suppliers.**

We obtain certain key parts, components, and equipment from sole or limited sources of supply. For example, we purchase most of our dial-up and broadband modem chipsets from Conexant Systems, Agere Systems, and Ikanos Communications. Integrated circuit product areas covered by at least one of these companies include dial-up modems, DSL modems, cable modems, networking, routers, and gateways. In the past we have experienced delays in receiving shipments of modem chipsets from our sole source suppliers. We may experience similar delays in the future. In addition, some products may have other components that are available from only one source. We believe the market for chipsets is currently experiencing shortages and there are increased lead times for some chipsets. If we are unable to obtain a sufficient supply of components from our current sources, we would experience difficulties in obtaining alternative sources or in altering product designs to use alternative components. Resulting delays or reductions in product shipments could damage relationships with our customers and our customers could decide to purchase products from our competitors. Inability to meet our customers' demand or a decision by one or more of our customers to purchase products from our competitors could harm our operating results.

**The market for high-speed communications products and services has many competing technologies and, as a result, the demand for our products and services is uncertain.**

The market for high-speed communications products and services has a number of competing technologies. For instance, Internet access can be achieved by:

- using a standard telephone line and appropriate service for dial-up modems;
  - ISDN modems, or DSL modems, possibly in combination;
- using a cable modem with a cable TV line and cable modem service;
- using a router and some type of modem to service the computers connected to a local area network; or
  - other approaches, including wireless links to the Internet.

Although we currently sell products that include these technologies, the market for high-speed communication products and services is fragmented and evolving. The introduction of new products by competitors, market acceptance of products based on new or alternative technologies, or the emergence of new industry standards could render and have in the past rendered our products less competitive or obsolete. If any of these events occur, we may be unable to sustain or grow our business. Industry analysts believe that the market for our dial-up modems will continue to decline. If we are unable to increase demand for and sales of our broadband modems, we may be unable to sustain or grow our business.

**We face significant competition, which could result in decreased demand for our products or services.**

We may be unable to compete successfully. A number of companies have developed, or are expected to develop, products that compete or will compete with our products. Furthermore, many of our current and potential competitors have significantly greater resources than we do. Intense competition, rapid technological change and evolving industry standards could result in less favorable selling terms to our customers, decrease demand for our products or make our products obsolete.



**Changes in existing regulations or adoption of new regulations affecting the Internet could increase the cost of our products or otherwise affect our ability to offer our products and services over the Internet.**

Congress has adopted legislation that regulates certain aspects of the Internet, including online content, user privacy, taxation, liability for third-party activities and jurisdiction. In addition, a number of initiatives pending in Congress and state legislatures would prohibit or restrict advertising or sale of certain products and services on the Internet, which may have the effect of raising the cost of doing business on the Internet generally. Federal, state, local and foreign governmental organizations are considering other legislative and regulatory proposals that would regulate the Internet. We cannot predict whether new taxes will be imposed on our services, and depending on the type of taxes imposed, whether and how our services would be affected thereafter. Increased regulation of the Internet may decrease its growth and hinder technological development, which may negatively impact the cost of doing business via the Internet or otherwise harm our business.

**New regulations to reduce the use of hazardous materials in products scheduled to be implemented in 2006 could increase our manufacturing costs and harm our business.**

The European Union and the US have announced plans to reduce the use of hazardous materials, such as lead, in electronic equipment. The implementation of these new requirements, currently scheduled to begin in Europe in 2006 and the US in 2007, would require us and other electronics companies to change or discontinue many products. We believe that our transition process to comply with these new requirements is difficult, and will typically increase our product costs by from zero to \$.50 per unit, depending on the product. In addition, we may incur additional costs involved with the disposal of inventory or with returned products that do not meet the new requirements, which could further harm our business. In the first six months of 2006, we incurred an additional \$0.1 million in costs due to establishment of obsolescence reserves for the eventual disposal of some of our modem components as a result of these new requirements.

**Changes in current or future laws or governmental regulations and industry standards that negatively impact our products, services and technologies could harm our business.**

The jurisdiction of the Federal Communications Commission, or the FCC, extends to the entire United States communications industry including our customers and their products and services that incorporate our products. Our products are also required to meet the regulatory requirements of other countries throughout the world where our products and services are sold. Obtaining government regulatory approvals is time-consuming and very costly. In the past, we have encountered delays in the introduction of our products, such as our cable modems, as a result of government certifications. We may face further delays if we are unable to comply with governmental regulations. Delays caused by the time it takes to comply with regulatory requirements may result in cancellations or postponements of product orders or purchases by our customers, which would harm our business.

In addition to reliability and quality standards, the market acceptance of our VoIP products and services is dependent upon the adoption of industry standards so that products from multiple manufacturers are able to communicate with each other. Standards are continuously being modified and replaced. As standards evolve, we may be required to modify our existing products or develop and support new versions of our products. The failure of our products to comply, or delays in compliance, with various existing and evolving industry standards could delay or interrupt volume production of our products, which could harm our business.

**Future legislation or regulation of Internet telephony could restrict our VoIP business, prevent us from offering service, or increase our cost of doing business.**

VoIP services currently have different regulations from traditional telephony in most countries including the US. Regulatory bodies including the FCC and regulators in various states and countries may impose surcharges, taxes or

new regulations upon providers of Internet telephony. These surcharges could include access charges payable to local exchange carriers to carry and terminate traffic, contributions to the Universal Service Fund (USF) or other charges. The imposition of any such additional fees, charges, taxes and regulations on IP communications services could materially increase our costs and may limit or eliminate our competitive pricing. Regulations requiring compliance with the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA) or provision of the same type of 911 services as required for traditional telecommunications providers could also place a significant financial burden on us depending on the technical changes required to accommodate the requirements. In May 2005 the FCC issued an order requiring interconnected VoIP providers to deliver 911 calls to the customer's local emergency operator as a standard feature of the service. We believe our VoIP products are capable of meeting the FCC requirements. In the event our VoIP products do not meet the FCC requirements, we may need to modify our products, which could increase our costs.

In many countries outside the US in which we operate or our services are sold, we cannot be certain that we will be able to comply with existing or future requirements, or that we will be able to continue to be in compliance with any such requirements. Our failure to comply with these requirements could materially adversely affect our ability to continue to offer our VoIP services in these jurisdictions.

**Fluctuations in the foreign currency exchange rates in relation to the U.S. Dollar could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.**

Changes in currency exchange rates that increase the relative value of the U.S. dollar may make it more difficult for us to compete with foreign manufacturers on price, may reduce our foreign currency denominated sales when expressed in dollars, or may otherwise have a material adverse effect on our sales and operating results. A significant increase in our foreign currency denominated sales would increase our risk associated with foreign currency fluctuations. A weakness in the U.S. dollar relative to the Mexican Peso and various Asian currencies including the Chinese renminbi could increase our product costs.

**Our future success will depend on the continued services of our executive officers and key product development personnel.**

The loss of any of our executive officers or key product development personnel, the inability to attract or retain qualified personnel in the future, or delays in hiring skilled personnel could harm our business. Competition for skilled personnel is significant. We may be unable to attract and retain all the personnel necessary for the development of our business. In addition, the loss of Frank B. Manning, our president and chief executive officer, or Peter Kramer, our executive vice president, some other member of the senior management team, a key engineer or salesperson, or other key contributors, could harm our relations with our customers, our ability to respond to technological change, and our business.

**We may have difficulty protecting our intellectual property.**

Our ability to compete is heavily affected by our ability to protect our intellectual property. We rely primarily on trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures, patents, copyrights, trademarks, and licensing arrangements to protect our intellectual property. The steps we take to protect our technology may be inadequate. Existing trade secret, trademark and copyright laws offer only limited protection. Our patents could be invalidated or circumvented. We have more intellectual property assets in some countries than we do in others. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries in which our products are or may be developed, manufactured or sold may not protect our products or intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. This may make the possibility of piracy of our technology and products more likely. We cannot assure that the steps that we have taken to protect our intellectual property will be adequate to prevent misappropriation of our technology.

**We could infringe the intellectual property rights of others.**

Particular aspects of our technology could be found to infringe on the intellectual property rights or patents of others. Other companies may hold or obtain patents on inventions or may otherwise claim proprietary rights to technology necessary to our business. We cannot predict the extent to which we may be required to seek licenses. We cannot assure that the terms of any licenses we may be required to seek will be reasonable. We are often indemnified by our suppliers relative to certain intellectual property rights; but these indemnifications do not cover all possible suits, and there is no guarantee that a relevant indemnification will be honored by the indemnifying.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

The Company held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders on June 23, 2006. At the Annual Meeting, the stockholders elected five directors to serve for the ensuing year and until their successors are duly elected. The voting results with respect to the election of directors were as follows:

Proposal 1 - In the election of directors, each nominee was elected by a vote of the stockholders as follows:

<b>Nominee</b>	<b>For</b>	<b>Withheld</b>
Frank B. Manning	8,659,944	105,606
Peter R. Kramer	8,675,405	90,145
Bernard Furman	8,675,775	89,775
J. Ronald Woods	8,675,575	89,975
Joseph Donovan	8,675,375	90,175

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibit No. Exhibit Description

- |      |  |
|------|--|
| 31.1 | CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** |
| 31.2 | CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** |
| 32.1 | CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** |
| 32.2 | CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** |

\*\*Filed herewith

**SIGNATURES**

**Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.**

**ZOOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(Registrant)**

**Date: August 14, 2006**

**By: /s/ Frank B. Manning  
Frank B. Manning, President**

**Date: August 14, 2006**

**By: /s/ Robert Crist  
Robert Crist, Vice President of Finance and Chief  
Financial Officer (Principal Financial and  
Accounting Officer)**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit No. Exhibit Description

- |      |  |
|------|--|
| 31.1 | CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** |
| 31.2 | CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** |
| 32.1 | CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** |
| 32.2 | CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** |

\*\*Filed herewith