

INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 000-50667
INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Idaho
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

82-0499463
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

414 Church Street, Sandpoint, ID 83864
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(208) 263-0505

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No
The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Voting Common Stock, no par value per share, as of August 4, 2014 was 2,861,214 and the number of outstanding shares of Non-Voting Common Stock, no par value per share, was 3,839,688.

Table of Contents

Intermountain Community Bancorp
FORM 10-Q
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2014
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I — Financial Information

Item 1 — Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Consolidated Balance Sheets for June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 3

Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 4

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 5

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 6

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 7

Item 2 — Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 29

Item 3 — Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 47

Item 4 — Controls and Procedures 47

PART II — Other Information

Item 1 — Legal Proceedings 48

Item 1A — Risk Factors 48

Item 2 — Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 48

Item 3 — Defaults Upon Senior Securities 48

Item 4 — Mine Safety Disclosure 48

Item 5 — Other Information 48

Item 6 — Exhibits 48

Signatures 48

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32

EX-101

Table of Contents

PART I — Financial Information

Item - 1 Financial Statements

Intermountain Community Bancorp

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Interest-bearing | \$ 14,257 | \$ 44,946 |
| Non-interest bearing and vault | 8,020 | 7,851 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 22,277 | 52,797 |
| Restricted cash | 10,866 | 12,333 |
| Available-for-sale securities, at fair value | 261,190 | 251,638 |
| Held-to-maturity securities, at amortized cost | 26,109 | 28,286 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) of Seattle stock, at cost | 2,146 | 2,187 |
| Loans held for sale | 2,038 | 614 |
| Loans receivable, net | 520,280 | 514,834 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,657 | 4,170 |
| Office properties and equipment, net | 34,113 | 34,685 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | 19,649 | 21,655 |
| Bank-owned life insurance (“BOLI”) | 9,962 | 9,797 |
| Other real estate owned (“OREO”) | 3,684 | 3,684 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3,191 | 2,968 |
| Total assets | \$ 920,162 | \$ 939,648 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Interest bearing deposits | \$ 459,019 | \$ 470,257 |
| Noninterest bearing deposits | 234,869 | 235,793 |
| Total deposits | 693,888 | 706,050 |
| Securities sold subject to repurchase agreements | 77,847 | 99,888 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank | 14,000 | 4,000 |
| Unexercised stock warrant liability | 925 | 942 |
| Cashier checks issued and payable | 3,265 | 3,620 |
| Accrued interest payable | 200 | 219 |
| Other borrowings | 23,060 | 23,410 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 7,978 | 7,507 |
| Total liabilities | 821,163 | 845,636 |
| STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY | | |
| Common stock 30,000,000 authorized; 2,861,214 and 2,701,214 shares issued and 2,651,214 and 2,651,214 shares outstanding as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | 97,320 | 97,087 |
| Common stock - non-voting 10,000,000 shares authorized; 3,839,688 and 3,839,688 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | 31,941 | 31,941 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 1,276 | (1,182) |
| Accumulated deficit | (31,538) | (33,834) |
| Total stockholders’ equity | 98,999 | 94,012 |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$920,162 | \$939,648 |
|--|-----------|-----------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of ContentsIntermountain Community Bancorp
Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---|---------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Loans | \$6,536 | \$6,934 | \$12,650 | \$13,670 |
| Investments and cash equivalents | 1,646 | 1,580 | 3,289 | 3,172 |
| Total interest income | 8,182 | 8,514 | 15,939 | 16,842 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Deposits | 390 | 510 | 814 | 1,070 |
| Other borrowings | 387 | 441 | 746 | 866 |
| Total interest expense | 777 | 951 | 1,560 | 1,936 |
| Net interest income | 7,405 | 7,563 | 14,379 | 14,906 |
| Recovery of (provision for) loan loss | 3 | (247) | (99) | (426) |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 7,408 | 7,316 | 14,280 | 14,480 |
| Other income: | | | | |
| Fees and service charges | 1,212 | 1,319 | 2,333 | 2,398 |
| Commissions & fees from trust & investment advisory services | 557 | 645 | 1,098 | 1,172 |
| Loan related fee income | 403 | 586 | 707 | 1,197 |
| Net gain on sale of securities | 168 | 163 | 174 | 203 |
| Net gain on sale of other assets | 4 | 2 | 8 | 6 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses on investments (1) | — | (21) | — | (63) |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 86 | 85 | 165 | 170 |
| Fair value adjustment on cash flow hedge | — | 80 | — | 146 |
| Unexercised warrant liability fair value adjustment | 123 | (54) | 17 | 2 |
| Other | 34 | 40 | 82 | 153 |
| Total other income | 2,587 | 2,845 | 4,584 | 5,384 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 4,505 | 4,283 | 8,381 | 8,458 |
| Occupancy | 1,145 | 1,174 | 2,326 | 2,359 |
| Technology | 874 | 925 | 1,696 | 1,801 |
| Advertising | 136 | 180 | 285 | 294 |
| Fees and service charges | 109 | 85 | 200 | 179 |
| Printing, postage and supplies | 151 | 173 | 326 | 390 |
| Legal and accounting | 422 | 484 | 825 | 812 |
| FDIC assessment | 146 | 165 | 292 | 351 |
| OREO operations | 39 | 32 | (24) | 143 |
| Other expenses | 707 | 719 | 1,363 | 1,611 |
| Total operating expenses | 8,234 | 8,220 | 15,670 | 16,398 |
| Net income before income taxes | 1,761 | 1,941 | 3,194 | 3,466 |
| Income tax expense | (499) | — | (898) | — |
| Net income | 1,262 | 1,941 | 2,296 | 3,466 |
| Preferred stock dividend | — | 460 | — | 918 |
| Net income applicable to common stockholders | \$1,262 | \$1,481 | \$2,296 | \$2,548 |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Earnings per share — basic | \$0.19 | \$0.23 | \$0.35 | \$0.40 |
| Earnings per share — diluted | \$0.19 | \$0.23 | \$0.34 | \$0.39 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding — basic | 6,697,386 | 6,443,294 | 6,619,576 | 6,443,142 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding — diluted | 6,765,908 | 6,484,762 | 6,686,675 | 6,482,376 |

(1) Consisting of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$0 of total other-than-temporary impairment net losses, net of \$0, \$(21), \$0 and \$(63) recognized in other comprehensive income, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Intermountain Community Bancorp
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
 (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net income | \$1,262 | \$1,941 | \$2,296 | \$3,466 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gains/losses on investments, and mortgage backed securities ("MBS") available for sale, excluding non-credit loss on impairment of securities | 2,995 | (7,259) | 4,243 | (6,763) |
| Realized net gains reclassified from other comprehensive income | (168) | (163) | (174) | (203) |
| Non-credit loss on impairment on available-for-sale debt securities | — | 21 | — | 63 |
| Less deferred income tax benefit (provision) on securities | (1,120) | 2,931 | (1,611) | 2,734 |
| Net other comprehensive income (loss) | 1,707 | (4,470) | 2,458 | (4,169) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$2,969 | \$(2,529) | \$4,754 | \$(703) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsIntermountain Community Bancorp
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$2,296 | \$3,466 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 1,129 | 1,194 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 233 | 13 |
| Net amortization of premiums on securities | 2,631 | 3,291 |
| Provision for loan losses | 99 | 426 |
| Amortization of core deposit intangibles | 20 | 29 |
| Gain on sale of loans, investments, property and equipment | (542) | (978) |
| Impact of hedge dedesignation and current fair value adjustment | — | (147) |
| OTTI credit loss on available-for-sale investments | — | 63 |
| OREO valuation adjustments | — | 17 |
| Accretion of deferred gain on sale of branch property | (8) | (8) |
| Net accretion of loan and deposit discounts and premiums | — | (5) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance | (165) | (170) |
| Change in value of stock warrants | (17) | (2) |
| Change in: | | |
| Accrued interest receivable | (488) | (143) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 615 | 2,886 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | (20) | 257 |
| Accrued expenses and other cashiers checks | (355) | 254 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans originated for sale | 15,270 | 31,274 |
| Loans originated for sale | (16,324) | (29,895) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 4,374 | 11,822 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from redemption of FHLB Stock | 41 | 41 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (49,848) | (62,574) |
| Proceeds from sales, calls or maturities of available-for-sale securities | 26,007 | 34,798 |
| Principal payments on mortgage-backed securities | 16,033 | 33,058 |
| Purchases of held-to-maturity securities | (870) | — |
| Proceeds from sales, calls or maturities of held-to-maturity securities | 2,915 | 53 |
| Origination of loans, net of principal payments | (5,630) | (2,787) |
| Purchase of office properties and equipment and software | (543) | (1,164) |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 83 | 817 |
| Proceeds from sale of office properties and equipment | 3 | 13 |
| Net change in restricted cash | 1,468 | 683 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | (10,341) | 2,938 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net change in demand, money market and savings deposits | (5,047) | (32,033) |
| Net change in certificates of deposit | (7,115) | (17,380) |
| Net change in repurchase agreements | (22,041) | 8,867 |
| Payments on borrowings | (350) | — |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|
| Proceeds from new borrowings | 10,000 | — | |
| Retirement of treasury stock | — | (1 |) |
| Payment of preferred stock dividend | — | (675 |) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (24,553 |) | (41,222) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (30,520 |) | (26,462) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 52,797 | 66,939 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$22,277 | \$40,477 | |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest | \$1,580 | \$2,804 | |
| Noncash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Loans converted to other real estate owned | \$84 | \$394 | |
| Transfer from securities available-for-sale to securities held-to-maturity | — | 8,234 | |
| The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements. | | | |

Table of ContentsIntermountain Community Bancorp
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation:

The foregoing unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements furnished herein include adjustments, all of which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities known to exist as of the date the financial statements are published, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and assumptions are inherent in the preparation of Intermountain Community Bancorp's ("Intermountain's" or "the Company's") consolidated financial statements; accordingly, it is possible that the actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions, which could have a material effect on the reported amounts of Intermountain's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

2. Cash and Cash Equivalents:

The balances of the Company's cash and cash equivalents are as follows (in thousands):

| | 6/30/2014 | 12/31/2013 |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Unrestricted interest-bearing cash and cash equivalents | \$ 14,257 | \$ 44,946 |
| Unrestricted non interest-bearing and vault cash | \$ 8,020 | \$ 7,851 |
| Restricted non-interest bearing cash | \$ 10,866 | \$ 12,333 |

At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, unrestricted interest bearing cash was deposited at the Federal Reserve ("FRB") and Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle ("FHLB"). Unrestricted non-interest bearing cash includes overnight cash deposited at several of the Company's correspondent banks and balances kept in the vaults of its various branches. At June 30, 2014 restricted non-interest bearing cash consisted of the following:

At June 30, 2014, a \$95,000 reserve balance was required at the FRB; a \$1.6 million reserve balance was required to meet FRB reserve requirements on December 31, 2013;

At both June 30, 2014, \$195,000 was pledged to various correspondent banks to secure interest rate swap transactions and foreign currency exchange lines; at December 31, 2013 \$172,000 was pledged;

At both June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$1.1 million was held at the Company's subsidiary Bank to be used for future tenant improvements of the Sandpoint Center, as required by the agreement executed to sell the Sandpoint Center in 2009;

At both June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$9.5 million was held at the Company's subsidiary Bank as required by an intercompany agreement signed by the Company and the Bank as part of the Company's January 2012 capital raise, which represents a pledge of funds to the Bank to partially secure the loan made by the Bank to the third party who bought and subsequently leased the Sandpoint Center back to the Bank.

3. Investments:

The amortized cost and fair values of investments are as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Available-for-Sale | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value/ Carrying Value |
| June 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Corporate Bonds | \$2,000 | \$— | \$(6) |) \$1,994 |
| State and municipal securities | 63,182 | 1,690 | (321) |) 64,551 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - Agency Pass Throughs | 32,410 | 806 | (375) |) 32,841 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - Agency CMO's | 127,795 | 1,370 | (950) |) 128,215 |
| SBA Pools | 27,033 | 436 | (14) |) 27,455 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - Non Agency CMO's (investment grade) | 4,026 | — | (175) |) 3,851 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - Non Agency CMO's (below investment grade) | 2,387 | 39 | (143) |) 2,283 |
| | \$258,833 | \$4,341 | \$(1,984) |) \$261,190 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Corporate Bonds | \$4,000 | \$— | \$(85) |) \$3,915 |
| State and municipal securities | 51,335 | 469 | (1,765) |) 50,039 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - Agency Pass Throughs | 52,104 | 768 | (499) |) 52,373 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - Agency CMO's | 114,704 | 849 | (1,542) |) 114,011 |
| SBA Pools | 26,518 | 355 | (46) |) 26,827 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - Non Agency CMO's (investment grade) | 2,025 | — | (65) |) 1,960 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - Non Agency CMO's (below investment grade) | 2,654 | 31 | (172) |) 2,513 |
| | \$253,340 | \$2,472 | \$(4,174) |) \$251,638 |
| | Held-to-Maturity | | | |
| | Carrying Value / Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| June 30, 2014 | | | | |
| State and municipal securities | \$26,109 | \$1,255 | \$(10) |) \$27,354 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| State and municipal securities | \$28,286 | \$857 | \$(119) |) \$29,024 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the duration of Intermountain's unrealized losses on available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities as of the dates indicated (in thousands).

| | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| June 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Corporate Bonds | \$1,994 | \$(6) | \$— | \$— | \$1,994 | \$(6) |
| Residential mortgage-back securities | 52,366 | (1,017) | 29,865 | (626) | 82,231 | (1,643) |
| SBA Pools | 6,625 | (14) | — | — | 6,625 | (14) |
| State and municipal securities | 7,954 | (76) | 10,084 | (255) | 18,038 | (331) |
| Total | \$68,939 | \$(1,113) | \$39,949 | \$(881) | \$108,888 | \$(1,994) |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | \$3,915 | \$(85) | \$— | \$— | \$3,915 | \$(85) |
| Mortgage-backed securities & CMO's | 69,297 | (1,709) | 20,657 | (569) | 89,954 | (2,278) |
| SBA Pools | 7,206 | (46) | — | — | 7,206 | (46) |
| State and municipal securities | 36,615 | (1,760) | 1,586 | (124) | 38,201 | (1,884) |
| Total | \$117,033 | \$(3,600) | \$22,243 | \$(693) | \$139,276 | \$(4,293) |

At June 30, 2014, the amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities, by contractual maturity, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Available-for-Sale | | Held-to-Maturity | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| One year or less | \$— | \$— | \$1,371 | \$1,377 |
| After one year through five years | 1,528 | 1,544 | 3,971 | 4,134 |
| After five years through ten years | 5,856 | 5,834 | 15,196 | 15,915 |
| After ten years | 57,798 | 59,167 | 5,571 | 5,928 |
| Subtotal | 65,182 | 66,545 | 26,109 | 27,354 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 166,618 | 167,190 | — | — |
| SBA Pools | 27,033 | 27,455 | — | — |
| Total Securities | \$258,833 | \$261,190 | \$26,109 | \$27,354 |

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Intermountain's investment portfolios are managed to provide and maintain liquidity; to maintain a balance of high quality, diversified investments to minimize risk; to offset other asset portfolio elements in managing interest rate risk; to provide collateral for pledging; and to maximize returns. At June 30, 2014, the Company does not intend to sell any of its available-for-sale securities that have a loss position and it is not likely that it will be required to sell the available-for-sale securities before the anticipated recovery of their remaining amortized cost or maturity date. The unrealized losses on residential mortgage-backed securities without other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") were considered by management to be temporary in nature.

OTTI losses for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 were \$0 and \$63,000, respectively. The OTTI recognized on investment securities available for sale in 2013 relates to one non-agency collateralized mortgage obligation that was sold in the fourth quarter of 2013.

On June 30, 2013, six securities with an amortized cost of \$8,512,039 were transferred from the available-for-sale category to the held-to-maturity category of the portfolio. The fair market value of the securities at the time of transfer was \$8,234,244. The unrealized loss of \$277,795 will continue to be reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, and amortized over the remaining life of the securities as an adjustment to yield. Upon transfer to the held-to-maturity category,

Table of Contents

premium and discount accounts were adjusted to reflect the fair market value of the security. The resulting premiums and discounts are also being amortized as an adjustment to yield.

See Note 9 “Fair Value of Financial Instruments” for more information on the calculation of fair or carrying value for the investment securities.

4. Loans and Allowance for Loan Loss:

The components of loans receivable are as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2014 | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------|---|---|
| | Loans Receivable | % | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment |
| Commercial | \$ 118,353 | 22.4 | % \$ 4,520 | \$ 113,833 |
| Commercial real estate | 169,234 | 32.0 | 2,951 | 166,283 |
| Commercial construction | 12,293 | 2.3 | — | 12,293 |
| Land and land development loans | 34,216 | 6.5 | 1,994 | 32,222 |
| Agriculture | 105,545 | 20.0 | 2,369 | 103,176 |
| Multifamily | 13,310 | 2.5 | — | 13,310 |
| Residential real estate | 57,914 | 11.0 | 3,038 | 54,876 |
| Residential construction | 2,021 | 0.4 | — | 2,021 |
| Consumer | 8,860 | 1.7 | 54 | 8,806 |
| Municipal | 6,500 | 1.2 | — | 6,500 |
| Total loans receivable | 528,246 | 100.0 | % \$ 14,926 | \$ 513,320 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (7,683 |) | | |
| Deferred loan fees, net of direct origination costs | (283 |) | | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 520,280 | | | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 5.08 | % | | |
| | December 31, 2013 | | | |
| | Loans Receivable | % | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment |
| Commercial | \$ 113,736 | 21.8 | % \$ 4,713 | \$ 109,023 |
| Commercial real estate | 181,207 | 34.7 | 3,128 | 178,079 |
| Commercial construction | 7,383 | 1.4 | — | 7,383 |
| Land and land development loans | 28,946 | 5.5 | 2,487 | 26,459 |
| Agriculture | 96,584 | 18.5 | 2,868 | 93,716 |
| Multifamily | 18,205 | 3.5 | — | 18,205 |
| Residential real estate | 59,172 | 11.3 | 3,157 | 56,015 |
| Residential construction | 2,531 | 0.5 | — | 2,531 |
| Consumer | 9,033 | 1.7 | 33 | 9,000 |
| Municipal | 5,964 | 1.1 | — | 5,964 |
| Total loans receivable | 522,761 | 100.0 | % \$ 16,386 | \$ 506,375 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (7,687 |) | | |
| Deferred loan fees, net of direct origination costs | (240 |) | | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 514,834 | | | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 5.14 | % | | |

Table of Contents

The components of the allowance for loan loss by types are as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Total Allowance | Individually Evaluated Allowance | Collectively Evaluated Allowance | Total Allowance | Individually Evaluated Allowance | Collectively Evaluated Allowance |
| Commercial | \$1,817 | \$302 | \$1,515 | \$1,819 | \$398 | \$1,421 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,336 | 382 | 1,954 | 2,455 | 332 | 2,123 |
| Commercial construction | 257 | — | 257 | 177 | — | 177 |
| Land and land development loans | 941 | 77 | 864 | 1,067 | 257 | 810 |
| Agriculture | 819 | 17 | 802 | 726 | 17 | 709 |
| Multifamily | 24 | — | 24 | 33 | — | 33 |
| Residential real estate | 1,306 | 614 | 692 | 1,192 | 495 | 697 |
| Residential construction | 44 | — | 44 | 56 | — | 56 |
| Consumer | 114 | 18 | 96 | 136 | 7 | 129 |
| Municipal | 25 | — | 25 | 26 | — | 26 |
| Total | \$7,683 | \$1,410 | \$6,273 | \$7,687 | \$1,506 | \$6,181 |

A summary of current, past due and nonaccrual loans as of June 30, 2014 is as follows, (in thousands):

| | Current | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing | Nonaccrual | Total |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Commercial | \$115,831 | \$317 | \$— | \$2,205 | \$118,353 |
| Commercial real estate | 169,144 | 15 | — | 75 | 169,234 |
| Commercial construction | 12,293 | — | — | — | 12,293 |
| Land and land development loans | 34,089 | — | — | 127 | 34,216 |
| Agriculture | 105,187 | 152 | — | 206 | 105,545 |
| Multifamily | 13,310 | — | — | — | 13,310 |
| Residential real estate | 56,732 | 378 | — | 804 | 57,914 |
| Residential construction | 2,021 | — | — | — | 2,021 |
| Consumer | 8,852 | 5 | — | 3 | 8,860 |
| Municipal | 6,500 | — | — | — | 6,500 |
| Total | \$523,959 | \$867 | \$— | \$3,420 | \$528,246 |

Table of Contents

A summary of current, past due and nonaccrual loans as of December 31, 2013 is as follows, (in thousands):

| | Current | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing | Nonaccrual | Total |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|--|------------|-----------|
| Commercial | \$111,353 | \$952 | \$— | \$1,431 | \$113,736 |
| Commercial real estate | 181,028 | 12 | — | 167 | 181,207 |
| Commercial construction | 7,383 | — | — | — | 7,383 |
| Land and land development loans | 28,776 | 9 | — | 161 | 28,946 |
| Agriculture | 96,320 | 51 | — | 213 | 96,584 |
| Multifamily | 18,205 | — | — | — | 18,205 |
| Residential real estate | 58,238 | 241 | — | 693 | 59,172 |
| Residential construction | 2,531 | — | — | — | 2,531 |
| Consumer | 9,028 | 2 | — | 3 | 9,033 |
| Municipal | 5,964 | — | — | — | 5,964 |
| Total | \$518,826 | \$1,267 | \$— | \$2,668 | \$522,761 |

The following table provides a summary of Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDR") outstanding at period end by performing status, (in thousands).

| | June 30, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------|
| | Nonaccrual | Accrual | Total | Nonaccrual | Accrual | Total |
| Commercial | \$318 | \$1,143 | \$1,461 | \$249 | \$1,590 | \$1,839 |
| Commercial real estate | 35 | 2,165 | 2,200 | 38 | 1,931 | 1,969 |
| Land and land development loans | 43 | 1,861 | 1,904 | 46 | 2,063 | 2,109 |
| Agriculture | — | 2,162 | 2,162 | — | 2,483 | 2,483 |
| Residential real estate | 493 | 1,117 | 1,610 | 498 | 1,140 | 1,638 |
| Consumer | — | 28 | 28 | — | 9 | 9 |
| Total | \$889 | \$8,476 | \$9,365 | \$831 | \$9,216 | \$10,047 |

The Company's loans that were modified in the three and six month period ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 and considered a TDR are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Number | Pre-Modification | Post-Modification | Number | Pre-Modification | Post-Modification |
| | | Recorded Investment | Recorded Investment | | Recorded Investment | Recorded Investment |
| Commercial | 2 | \$ 20 | \$ 20 | 9 | \$ 446 | \$ 437 |
| Commercial real estate | 1 | 39 | 53 | 1 | 39 | 53 |
| Land and land development loans | 1 | 69 | 69 | 1 | 69 | 69 |
| Agriculture | 2 | 270 | 270 | 2 | 270 | 270 |
| Consumer | 1 | 23 | 23 | 1 | 23 | 23 |
| | 7 | \$ 421 | \$ 435 | 14 | \$ 847 | \$ 852 |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Pre-Modification Number Recorded | Post-Modification Recorded Investment | Pre-Modification Number Recorded | Post-Modification Recorded Investment |
| Commercial | 3 | \$ 2,243 | 7 | \$ 2,506 |
| Commercial real estate | 4 | 392 | 4 | 392 |
| Land and land development loans | 1 | 182 | 3 | 335 |
| Agriculture | — | — | 4 | 1,216 |
| Residential real estate | 3 | 225 | 3 | 167 |
| Consumer | — | — | 1 | 89 |
| | 11 | \$ 3,042 | 22 | \$ 4,763 |

The balances below provide information as to how the loans were modified as TDRs during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|
| | Adjusted Interest Rate Only | Other* | Adjusted Interest Rate Only | Other* |
| Commercial | \$— | \$20 | \$— | \$438 |
| Commercial real estate | — | 53 | — | 52 |
| Land and land development loans | 69 | — | 69 | — |
| Agriculture | — | 270 | — | 270 |
| Residential real estate | — | — | — | — |
| Consumer | 23 | — | 23 | — |
| | \$92 | \$343 | \$92 | \$760 |

(*) Other includes term or principal concessions or a combination of concessions, including interest rates.

| | Three months ended June 30, 2013 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | Adjusted Interest Rate Only | Other* | Adjusted Interest Rate Only | Other* |
| Commercial | \$1,350 | \$893 | \$1,350 | \$1,156 |
| Commercial real estate | — | 392 | — | 392 |
| Land and land development loans | — | 182 | 36 | 299 |
| Agriculture | — | — | 852 | 364 |
| Residential real estate | 147 | 20 | 147 | 20 |
| Consumer | — | — | — | 89 |
| | \$1,497 | \$1,487 | \$2,385 | \$2,320 |

(*) Other includes term or principal concessions or a combination of concessions, including interest rates.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had specific reserves of \$704,000 on TDRs, and there were no TDRs in default.

The allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded commitments are maintained at levels considered adequate by management to provide for probable loan losses as of the reporting dates. The allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded commitments are based on management's assessment of various factors affecting the loan portfolio, including problem loans, business conditions and loss experience, and an overall evaluation of the quality of the

underlying collateral. Changes in the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments during the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

13

Table of Contents

| | Allowance for Loan Losses for the three months ended June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|-----------|-------------------------------|
| | Balance, Beginning of Quarter | Charge-Offs Apr 1 through June 30, 2014 | Recoveries Apr 1 through June 30, 2014 | Provision | Balance, End of Quarter |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Commercial | \$1,838 | \$(160 |) \$17 | \$122 | \$1,817 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,370 | — | 4 | (38 |) 2,336 |
| Commercial construction | 340 | — | — | (83 |) 257 |
| Land and land development loans | 888 | — | 46 | 7 | 941 |
| Agriculture | 754 | — | 25 | 40 | 819 |
| Multifamily | 31 | — | — | (7 |) 24 |
| Residential real estate | 1,402 | (11 |) 12 | (97 |) 1,306 |
| Residential construction | 34 | — | 2 | 8 | 44 |
| Consumer | 98 | (46 |) 18 | 44 | 114 |
| Municipal | 24 | — | — | 1 | 25 |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$7,779 | \$(217 |) \$124 | \$(3 |) \$7,683 |

| | Allowance for Loan Losses for the six months ended June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Balance, Beginning of Period | Charge-Offs Jan 1 through June 30, 2014 | Recoveries Jan 1 through Jun 30, 2014 | Provision | Balance, End of Period |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Commercial | \$1,819 | \$(232 |) \$60 | \$170 | \$1,817 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,455 | (1 |) 7 | (125 |) 2,336 |
| Commercial construction | 177 | — | — | 80 | 257 |
| Land and land development loans | 1,067 | — | 52 | (178 |) 941 |
| Agriculture | 726 | — | 37 | 56 | 819 |
| Multifamily | 33 | — | — | (9 |) 24 |
| Residential real estate | 1,192 | (30 |) 36 | 108 | 1,306 |
| Residential construction | 56 | — | 4 | (16 |) 44 |
| Consumer | 136 | (87 |) 51 | 14 | 114 |
| Municipal | 26 | — | — | (1 |) 25 |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$7,687 | \$(350 |) \$247 | \$99 | \$7,683 |

Table of Contents

| Allowance for Loan Losses for the three months ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-----------|-------------------------------|
| | Balance, Beginning of Quarter | Charge-Offs Apr 1 through June 30, 2013 | Recoveries Apr 1 through June 30, 2013 | Provision | Balance, End of Quarter |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$1,763 | \$(132) |) \$310 | \$(41) |) \$1,900 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,814 | (48) |) 20 | (50) |) 2,736 |
| Commercial construction | 217 | — | 14 | — | 231 |
| Land and land development loans | 1,210 | (130) |) 49 | (173) |) 956 |
| Agriculture | 241 | — | 23 | 428 | 692 |
| Multifamily | 55 | — | — | (1) |) 54 |
| Residential real estate | 1,103 | (40) |) 45 | 87 | 1,195 |
| Residential construction | 35 | — | — | 9 | 44 |
| Consumer | 206 | (46) |) 52 | (9) |) 203 |
| Municipal | 34 | — | — | (3) |) 31 |
| Allowances for loan losses | \$7,678 | \$(396) |) \$513 | \$247 | \$8,042 |

| Allowance for Loan Losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Balance, Beginning of Period | Charge-Offs Jan 1 through June 30, 2013 | Recoveries Jan 1 through June 30, 2013 | Provision | Balance, End of Period |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$2,156 | \$(221) |) \$489 | \$(524) |) \$1,900 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,762 | (614) |) 27 | 561 | 2,736 |
| Commercial construction | 101 | — | 15 | 115 | 231 |
| Land and land development loans | 1,197 | (137) |) 64 | (168) |) 956 |
| Agriculture | 228 | — | 41 | 423 | 692 |
| Multifamily | 51 | — | — | 3 | 54 |
| Residential real estate | 1,144 | (40) |) 70 | 21 | 1,195 |
| Residential construction | 24 | — | — | 20 | 44 |
| Consumer | 202 | (110) |) 89 | 22 | 203 |
| Municipal | 78 | — | — | (47) |) 31 |
| Allowances for loan losses | \$7,943 | \$(1,122) |) \$795 | \$426 | \$8,042 |

Allowance for Unfunded Commitments

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|------------------------------|------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning of period | \$17 | \$17 | \$16 | \$15 |
| Adjustment | — | (2) |) 1 | — |
| Allowance — Unfunded Commitments at end of period | \$17 | \$15 | \$17 | \$15 |

Management's policy is to charge off loans or portions of loans as soon as an identifiable loss amount can be determined from evidence obtained, such as current cash flow information, updated appraisals or similar real estate evaluations, equipment, inventory or similar collateral evaluations, accepted offers on loan sales or negotiated discounts, and/or guarantor asset valuations. In situations where problem loans are dependent on collateral liquidation

for repayment, management obtains updated independent valuations, such as appraisals or broker opinions, generally no less frequently than once every twelve months and more frequently for larger or more troubled loans. In the time period between these independent valuations, the Company monitors market conditions for any significant event or events that would materially change the valuations, and updates them as appropriate. If the valuations suggest an increase in collateral values, the Company does not recover prior amounts charged off until the assets are actually sold

Table of Contents

and the increase realized. However, if the updated valuations suggest additional loss, the Company charges off the additional amount.

The following tables summarize impaired loans:

| | Impaired Loans | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | Recorded | Principal | Related |
| | Recorded | Principal | Related | Investment | Balance | Allowance |
| | Investment | Balance | Allowance | Investment | Balance | Allowance |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$1,707 | \$1,802 | \$302 | \$1,742 | \$1,896 | \$398 |
| Commercial real estate | 892 | 924 | 382 | 1,133 | 1,165 | 332 |
| Land and land development loans | 623 | 628 | 77 | 843 | 848 | 257 |
| Agriculture | 326 | 326 | 17 | 375 | 375 | 17 |
| Residential real estate | 2,245 | 2,403 | 614 | 1,094 | 1,095 | 495 |
| Consumer | 19 | 21 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 7 |
| Total | \$5,812 | \$6,104 | \$1,410 | \$5,195 | \$5,389 | \$1,506 |
| Without an allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$2,813 | \$3,758 | \$— | \$2,971 | \$3,780 | \$— |
| Commercial real estate | 2,059 | 2,401 | — | 1,995 | 2,377 | — |
| Land and land development loans | 1,371 | 1,466 | — | 1,644 | 1,799 | — |
| Agriculture | 2,043 | 2,076 | — | 2,493 | 2,524 | — |
| Residential real estate | 793 | 870 | — | 2,063 | 2,277 | — |
| Consumer | 35 | 54 | — | 25 | 43 | — |
| Total | \$9,114 | \$10,625 | \$— | \$11,191 | \$12,800 | \$— |
| Total: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$4,520 | \$5,560 | \$302 | \$4,713 | \$5,676 | \$398 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,951 | 3,325 | 382 | 3,128 | 3,542 | 332 |
| Land and land development loans | 1,994 | 2,094 | 77 | 2,487 | 2,647 | 257 |
| Agriculture | 2,369 | 2,402 | 17 | 2,868 | 2,899 | 17 |
| Residential real estate | 3,038 | 3,273 | 614 | 3,157 | 3,372 | 495 |
| Consumer | 54 | 75 | 18 | 33 | 53 | 7 |
| Total | \$14,926 | \$16,729 | \$1,410 | \$16,386 | \$18,189 | \$1,506 |

Table of Contents

| | Impaired Loans | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | |
| | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized (*) | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized (*) |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial | \$1,690 | \$59 | \$1,308 | \$52 |
| Commercial real estate | 1,045 | 30 | 1,294 | 39 |
| Land and land development loans | 699 | 25 | 1,351 | 25 |
| Agriculture | 381 | 16 | 15 | — |
| Residential real estate | 1,553 | 67 | 959 | 28 |
| Consumer | 13 | 1 | 136 | 6 |
| Total | \$5,381 | \$198 | \$5,063 | \$150 |
| Without an allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial | \$3,140 | \$258 | \$3,385 | \$297 |
| Commercial real estate | 1,923 | 147 | 2,977 | 232 |
| Land and land development loans | 1,546 | 35 | 929 | 31 |
| Agriculture | 2,359 | 83 | 3,056 | 189 |
| Residential real estate | 1,619 | 49 | 1,511 | 67 |
| Consumer | 27 | 2 | 36 | 2 |
| Total | \$10,614 | \$574 | \$11,894 | \$818 |
| Total: | | | | |
| Commercial | \$4,830 | \$317 | \$4,693 | \$349 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,968 | 177 | 4,271 | 271 |
| Land and land development loans | 2,245 | 60 | 2,280 | 56 |
| Agriculture | 2,740 | 99 | 3,071 | 189 |
| Residential real estate | 3,172 | 116 | 2,470 | 95 |
| Consumer | 40 | 3 | 172 | 8 |
| Total | \$15,995 | \$772 | \$16,957 | 968 |

(*) Interest Income on individually impaired loans is calculated using the cash-basis method, using year to date interest on loans outstanding at June 30.

Loan Risk Characteristics

The following is a recap of the risk characteristics associated with each of the Company's major loan portfolio segments.

Commercial Loans: Although the impacts of the soft recovery continue to heighten risk in the commercial portfolio, management does not consider the portfolio to present “concentration risk” at this time. Management believes there is adequate diversification by type, industry, and geography to mitigate excessive risk. The commercial portfolio includes a mix of term loan facilities and operating loans and lines made to a variety of different business types in the markets it serves. The Company utilizes SBA, USDA and other government-assisted or guaranteed financing programs whenever advantageous to further mitigate risk in this area. With the exception of the agricultural portfolio discussed in more detail below, there is no other significant concentration of industry types in its loan portfolio, and no dominant employer or industry across all the markets it serves. Underwriting focuses on the evaluation of potential future cash flows to cover debt requirements, sufficient collateral margins to buffer against devaluations, credit history of the business and its principals, and additional support from willing and capable guarantors.

Commercial Real Estate Loans: Recovering economic conditions and stabilizing commercial property values have reduced risk in this segment from prior quarters. In comparison to its national peer group, the Company has less overall exposure to commercial real estate and a stronger mix of owner-occupied (where the borrower occupies and operates in at least part of the building) versus non-owner occupied loans. The loans represented in this category are spread across the Company's footprint, and there are no significant concentrations by industry type or borrower. The most significant property types represented in the portfolio

Table of Contents

are office 22.9%, industrial 16.8%, health care 12.6% and retail 14.5%. The other 33.2% is a mix of property types with smaller concentrations, including religious facilities, auto-related properties, restaurants, convenience stores, storage units, motels and commercial investment land.

While 69.8% of the Company's commercial real estate portfolio is in its Northern Idaho/Eastern Washington region, this region is a large and diverse region with differing local economies and real estate markets. Given this diversity, and the diversity of property types and industries represented, management does not believe that this concentration represents a significant concentration risk.

Non-owner occupied commercial real estate loans are made only to projects with strong debt-service-coverage and lower loan-to-value ratios and/or to borrowers with established track records and the ability to fund potential project cash flow shortfalls from other income sources or liquid assets. Project due diligence is conducted by the Bank, to help provide for adequate contingencies, collateral and/or government guaranties. The Company has largely avoided speculative financing of investment properties, particularly of the types most vulnerable in the recent downturn, including investment office buildings and retail strip developments. Management believes geographic, borrower and property-type diversification, and prudent underwriting and monitoring standards applied by seasoned commercial lenders mitigate concentration risk in this segment.

Construction and Development Loans: After the aggressive reduction efforts of the past few years, the land development and commercial construction loan components pose much lower concentration risk for the total loan portfolio, and now total \$46.5 million, or 8.8% of the loan portfolio. The substantial portfolio reduction, combined with stabilizing real estate values, has reduced risk in this portfolio to a level where it no longer represents a significant concentration risk.

Agricultural Loans: The agricultural portfolio represents a larger percentage of the loans in the Bank's southern Idaho region. At the end of the period, agricultural loans and agricultural real estate loans totaled \$105.5 million or 20.0% of the total loan portfolio. The agricultural portfolio consists of loans secured by livestock, crops and real estate. Agriculture has typically been a cyclical industry with periods of both strong and weak performance. Current conditions remain strong but may weaken in the next few years because of rising input costs, weaker commodity prices, and potential water shortages. To mitigate credit risk, specific underwriting is applied to retain only borrowers that have proven track records in the agricultural industry. Many of Intermountain's agricultural borrowers are third or fourth generation farmers and ranchers with limited real estate debt, which reduces overall debt coverage requirements and provides extra flexibility and collateral for equipment and operating borrowing needs. In addition, the Bank has hired senior lenders with significant experience in agricultural lending to administer these loans. Further mitigation is provided through frequent collateral inspections, adherence to farm operating budgets, and annual or more frequent review of financial performance.

Multifamily: The multifamily segment comprises \$13.3 million or 2.5% of the total loan portfolio at the end of the period. This portfolio represents relatively low risk for the Company, as a result of the strong current market for multifamily properties and low vacancy rates across the Company's footprint.

Residential Real Estate, Residential Construction and Consumer: Residential real estate, residential construction and consumer loans total \$68.8 million or 13.0% of the total loan portfolio. Management does not believe they represent significant concentration risk. However, continuing soft employment conditions and reduced home equity is putting pressure on some borrowers in this portfolio.

Municipal loans: Municipal loans comprise \$6.5 million or 1.2% of the total loan portfolio. The small size of the portfolio and careful underwriting of the loans within it limit overall concentration risk in this segment.

Credit quality indicators

The risk grade analyses included as part of the Company's credit quality indicators for loans and leases are developed through review of individual borrowers on an ongoing basis. Each loan is evaluated at the time of origination and each subsequent renewal. Loans with principal balances exceeding \$500,000 are evaluated on a more frequent basis. Trigger events (such as loan delinquencies, customer contact, and significant collateral devaluation) also require an updated credit quality review. Loans with risk grades four through eight are evaluated at least annually with more frequent evaluations often done as borrower, collateral or market conditions change. In situations where problem loans are dependent on collateral liquidation for repayment, management obtains updated independent valuations, generally no less frequently than once every twelve months and more frequently for larger or more troubled loans.

Table of Contents

Other measurements used to assess credit quality, including delinquency statistics, nonaccrual and OREO levels, net chargeoff activity, and classified asset trends, are updated and evaluated monthly.

These risk grades are defined as follows:

Satisfactory — A satisfactory rated loan is not adversely classified because it does not display any of the characteristics for adverse classification.

Watch — A watch loan has a solid but vulnerable repayment source. There is loss exposure only if the primary repayment source and collateral experience prolonged deterioration. Loans in this risk grade category are subject to frequent review and change due to the increased vulnerability of repayment sources and collateral valuations.

Special mention — A special mention loan has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, such potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects or collateral position at some future date. Special mention loans are not adversely classified and do not warrant adverse classification.

Substandard — A substandard loan is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as substandard generally have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses, that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility of loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful — A loan classified doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in a loan classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values.

Loss — Loans classified loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuing to be carried as an asset is not warranted. This classification does not necessarily mean that there is no potential for recovery or salvage value, but rather that it is not appropriate to defer a full write-off even though partial recovery may be realized in the future.

Credit quality indicators by loan segment are summarized as follows:

| | Loan Portfolio Credit Grades by Type | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, 2014 | | | | | |
| | Satisfactory Grade 1-3 | Internal Watch Grade 4 | Special Mention Grade 5 | Substandard Grade 6 | Doubtful Grade 7 | Total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$85,213 | \$23,830 | \$2,762 | \$6,548 | \$— | \$118,353 |
| Commercial real estate | 125,877 | 38,436 | 1,085 | 3,836 | — | 169,234 |
| Commercial construction | 12,217 | 76 | — | — | — | 12,293 |
| Land and land development loans | 21,033 | 12,371 | — | 812 | — | 34,216 |
| Agriculture | 84,373 | 16,631 | 1,167 | 3,374 | — | 105,545 |
| Multifamily | 3,768 | 9,542 | — | — | — | 13,310 |
| Residential real estate | 46,706 | 8,140 | 200 | 2,868 | — | 57,914 |
| Residential construction | 2,021 | — | — | — | — | 2,021 |
| Consumer | 8,268 | 491 | 2 | 99 | — | 8,860 |
| Municipal | 6,420 | 80 | — | — | — | 6,500 |
| Loans receivable, net | \$395,896 | \$109,597 | \$5,216 | \$17,537 | \$— | \$528,246 |

Table of Contents

| Loan Portfolio Credit Grades by Type | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| | Satisfactory Grade 1-3 | Internal Watch Grade 4 | Special Mention Grade 5 | Substandard Grade 6 | Doubtful Grade 7 | Total |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$81,303 | \$23,741 | \$1,172 | \$7,520 | \$— | \$113,736 |
| Commercial real estate | 136,253 | 41,295 | — | 3,659 | — | 181,207 |
| Commercial construction | 7,292 | 51 | 40 | — | — | 7,383 |
| Land and land development loans | 14,187 | 13,718 | — | 1,041 | — | 28,946 |
| Agriculture | 77,402 | 14,466 | 678 | 4,038 | — | 96,584 |
| Multifamily | 6,368 | 8,086 | — | 3,751 | — | 18,205 |
| Residential real estate | 47,441 | 8,771 | — | 2,960 | — | 59,172 |
| Residential construction | 2,531 | — | — | — | — | 2,531 |
| Consumer | 8,469 | 474 | 3 | 87 | — | 9,033 |
| Municipal | 5,863 | 101 | — | — | — | 5,964 |
| Loans receivable, net | \$387,109 | \$110,703 | \$1,893 | \$23,056 | \$— | \$522,761 |

The following table summarizes non-performing assets and classified loans at the dates indicated:

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|---------------|----------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Loans past due in excess of 90 days and still accruing | \$— | \$— |
| Non-accrual loans | 3,420 | 2,668 |
| Total non-performing loans | 3,420 | 2,668 |
| Other real estate owned (“OREO”) | 3,684 | 3,684 |
| Total non-performing assets (“NPAs”) | \$7,104 | \$6,352 |
| Classified loans (1) | \$17,537 | \$23,056 |

1) Classified loan totals are inclusive of non-performing loans and may also include troubled debt restructured loans, depending on the grading of these restructured loans.

5. Other Borrowings:

The components of other borrowings are as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Term note payable (1) | \$8,279 | \$8,279 |
| Term note payable (2) | 8,248 | 8,248 |
| Term note payable (3) | 6,533 | 6,883 |
| Total other borrowings | \$23,060 | \$23,410 |

In January 2003, the Company issued \$8.0 million of Trust Preferred securities through its subsidiary, Intermountain Statutory Trust I. The debt associated with these securities bears interest on a variable basis tied to (1) the 90-day LIBOR (London Inter-Bank Offering Rate) index plus 3.25%, with interest only paid quarterly. The rate on this borrowing was 3.47% at June 30, 2014. The debt is callable by the Company quarterly and matures in March 2033. See Note A below.

(2) In March 2004, the Company issued \$8.0 million of Trust Preferred securities through its subsidiary, Intermountain Statutory Trust II. The debt associated with these securities bears interest on a variable basis tied to the 90-day

LIBOR index plus 2.8%, with interest only paid quarterly. The rate on this borrowing was 3.03% at June 30, 2014. The debt is callable by the Company quarterly and matures in April 2034. See Note A below:

Table of Contents

Intermountain's obligations under the debentures issued to the trusts referred to above constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by Intermountain of the Statutory Trusts' obligations under the Trust Preferred Securities. A) In accordance with ASC 810, Consolidation, the trusts are not consolidated and the debentures and related amounts are treated as debt of Intermountain.

In November 2013, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement with NexBank SSB ("Lender") providing for a term loan in the amount of \$7,000,000 (the "Loan Agreement"). It amended the agreement in June 2014 to lower the interest rate and adjust some of the reporting requirements. The loan now accrues interest at three-month LIBOR plus 3.25% per annum versus three-month LIBOR plus 4% under the original agreement. It has a maturity date of November 19, 2018. The rate on the loan at June 30, 2014 was 3.47%. The Company used the net proceeds of the loan as part of its full repayment to Treasury to redeem the preferred shares issued to Treasury under the CPP. Commencing December 1, 2013, monthly installments of principal in the amount of \$58,333.33, plus accrued interest are due and payable. The Company may prepay the loan (and all accrued interest) without fee or penalty. In connection with entering into the Loan Agreement, the Company issued to Lender a Promissory Note dated as of (3) of November 19, 2013 ("Note"). The obligations of the Company under the Loan Agreement and the Note are secured by a pledge of all of the common stock of the Company's subsidiary, Panhandle State Bank (the "Bank"), pursuant to a Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of November 19, 2013 (the "Pledge Agreement"). In the event of a default by the Company under the Loan Agreement, the Lender may declare the Note to be immediately due and payable and exercise or pursue any other remedy permitted under or conferred on Lender by operation of law. The Loan Agreement and the related Note include various covenants and agreements that are customary for loan agreements and promissory notes of this type, including certain financial and capital ratios. Under the Loan Agreement, the Company among other things must limit any indebtedness that it incurs during the life of the loan and is restricted from merging or being acquired without Lender approval. As of June 30, 2014, the Company believes that it had met all covenants and other conditions of the Loan Agreement.

6. Earnings Per Share:

The following table presents the basic and diluted earnings per share computations (numbers in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income - basic and diluted | \$1,262 | \$1,941 | \$2,296 | \$3,466 |
| Preferred stock dividend | — | 460 | | 918 |
| Net income applicable to common stockholders | \$1,262 | \$1,481 | \$2,296 | \$2,548 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 6,490,902 | 6,443,294 | 6,490,902 | 6,443,142 |
| Effect of unvested restricted stock awards considered participating securities | 206,484 | — | 128,674 | — |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding - basic | 6,697,386 | 6,443,294 | 6,619,576 | 6,443,142 |
| Dilutive effect of common stock options and warrants | 68,522 | 41,468 | 67,099 | 39,234 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding — diluted | 6,765,908 | 6,484,762 | 6,686,675 | 6,482,376 |
| Earnings per share — basic and diluted: | | | | |
| Earnings per share — basic | \$0.19 | \$0.23 | \$0.35 | \$0.40 |
| Effect of dilutive common stock options, warrants, restricted stock awards | — | — | (0.01 |) (0.01) |
| Earnings per share — diluted | \$0.19 | \$0.23 | \$0.34 | \$0.39 |

At June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, there were 1,381 and 6,269 anti-dilutive common stock options, respectively, not included in diluted earnings per share. At June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, there were 65,323 anti-dilutive common stock warrants-Series A not included in diluted earnings per share.

As part of the Company's January 2012 capital raise, warrants were issued for 1,700,000 shares, and on a reverse-split adjusted basis, 170,000 shares of non-voting common stock. The impacts of these warrants were included in diluted earnings per share, and were calculated using the treasury stock method.

Table of Contents

7. Stock-Based Compensation Plans:

The Company has historically maintained equity compensation plans that provided for the grant of awards to its officers, directors and employees. From January 2009 to May 2012, the Company did not maintain equity compensation plans. A new equity compensation plan was approved by shareholders in May 2012, and amended in April 2014, that allows for the grant of stock option and restricted stock awards. Restricted stock shares were granted under the current plan in 2013 and 2014. Approximately 1,400 awards also remain unexercised or unvested under the 1999 equity compensation plan that expired in 2009. These options have \$0 intrinsic value and will expire before the end of the calendar year.

Total stock-based compensation expense (benefit) recognized in the consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$158,867 and \$12,544, before income taxes, respectively. Total expense related to stock-based compensation for 2014 and 2013 is comprised of restricted stock expense.

As of June 30, 2014, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock grants was approximately \$705,416, which was expected to be recognized over a period of approximately 5 years. On April 2, 2014, the Board amended the 2012 Stock Option and Equity Compensation Plan to increase the number of common stock shares reserved for awards by 175,000 shares. The Board awarded 160,000 shares with vesting dependent upon completion of service and satisfaction of performance conditions over five years. Performance conditions will be determined by the compensation committee in February each year. An estimate for the expense related to the awards schedule to vest in year one is included in compensation expense. Because the performance conditions for awards scheduled to vest in years two through five have not yet been determined, no compensation expense or unearned compensation expense has been recognized for these awards.

Restricted stock transactions for the first six months of 2014 are summarized below. There were no restricted stock transactions in the first six months of 2013.

| | Six months ended June 30, 2014 | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Nonvested shares | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | 50,000 | \$15.22 |
| Shares granted | 160,000 | 17.00 |
| Shares vested | — | — |
| Shares forfeited and canceled | — | — |
| Balance, end of period | 210,000 | \$16.58 |

8. Income Taxes:

For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014, the Company recorded income tax expense of \$499,000 and \$898,000, respectively, as compared to no expense for the same periods last year. In each of these periods, the Company generated positive net income before income taxes, but for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013, recorded no expense as it offset current income against carryforward losses from prior years.

The Company had deferred tax assets totaling \$19.6 million at June 30, 2014 compared to \$21.7 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease in the net deferred tax asset reflects the impacts of additional earnings and an increase in the unrealized market value of the Company's investment securities.

At June 30, 2014, Intermountain assessed whether it was more likely than not that it would realize the benefits of its deferred tax asset. It determined that the positive evidence associated with a three-year cumulative positive income, improving national and regional economic conditions, significantly reduced credit and other balance sheet risk, and improving Company performance offset the negative evidence of losses in 2009 and 2010. Intermountain used an estimate of future earnings, future reversals of taxable temporary differences, and tax planning strategies to determine

whether it is more likely than not that the benefit of the deferred tax asset would be realized. In estimating the future earnings, management assumed moderately improving economic conditions. As such, its estimates included continued lower credit losses in 2014 and ensuing years as the Company's loan portfolio continues to turn over. It also assumed: (1) a compressed but stable net interest margin in 2014, with gradual improvement in future years, as the Company is able to convert some of its cash position to higher yielding instruments; (2) stable other income

Table of Contents

as increased trust and investment income offsets reductions in mortgage origination income; and (3) stable operating expenses as continued cost reduction strategies offset inflationary increases. The Company analyzes the deferred tax asset on a quarterly basis and may establish a new allowance at some future time depending on actual results and estimates of future profitability.

Intermountain has performed an analysis of its uncertain tax positions and has not recorded any potential penalties, interest or additional tax in its financial statements as of June 30, 2014. If Intermountain did incur penalties or interest, they would be reported in income tax expense. Intermountain's tax positions for the years after 2009 remain subject to review by the Internal Revenue Service. Intermountain does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change within the next twelve months.

9. Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

Intermountain is required to disclose the estimated fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities on and off the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate fair value. These fair value estimates are made at June 30, 2014 based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. Fair value estimates are intended to represent the price an asset could be sold at or the price a liability could be settled for. However, given there is no active market or observable market transactions for many of the Company's financial instruments, the Company has made estimates of many of these fair values which are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimated values.

The estimated fair value of the instruments as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Level | Fair Value Measurements as of | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | |
| | | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial assets: | | | | | |
| Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and federal funds sold | 1 | \$33,143 | \$33,143 | \$65,130 | \$65,130 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 2 | 261,190 | 261,190 | 251,638 | 251,638 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 2 | 26,109 | 27,354 | 28,286 | 29,024 |
| Loans held for sale | 2 | 2,038 | 2,038 | 614 | 614 |
| Loans receivable, net | 3 | 520,280 | 529,142 | 514,834 | 523,209 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2 | 4,657 | 4,657 | 4,170 | 4,170 |
| BOLI | 1 | 9,962 | 9,962 | 9,797 | 9,797 |
| Other assets | 2 & 3 | 2,146 | 2,146 | 2,060 | 2,060 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | | |
| Deposit liabilities | 3 | 693,888 | 662,993 | 706,050 | 670,895 |
| Borrowings | 3 | 114,907 | 115,014 | 127,298 | 127,656 |
| Accrued interest payable | 2 | 200 | 200 | 219 | 219 |
| Unexercised warrants | 3 | 925 | 925 | 942 | 942 |

Fair value is defined under ASC 820-10 as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Fair value estimates are based on quoted market prices, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value estimates are based on quoted market prices of similar assets or liabilities, or the present value of expected future cash flows and other valuation techniques. These valuations are significantly affected by discount rates, cash flow assumptions, and risk and other assumptions used. Therefore, fair value estimates may not be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and are not intended to reflect the proceeds that may be realizable in an immediate settlement of the instruments.

Fair value is determined at one point in time and is not representative of future value. These amounts do not reflect the total value of a going concern organization. Management does not have the intention to dispose of a significant

portion of its assets and liabilities and therefore, the unrealized gains or losses should not be interpreted as a forecast of future earnings and cash flows.

In support of these representations, ASC 820-10 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

23

Table of Contents

Level 1 inputs — Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs — Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair values requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair values of each class of financial instruments are as follows:

Cash, Cash Equivalents, Federal Funds and Certificates of Deposit

The carrying value of cash, cash equivalents, federal funds sold and certificates of deposit approximates fair value due to the relatively short-term nature of these instruments.

Securities

The fair values of securities are based principally on market prices and dealer quotes. Certain fair values are estimated using pricing models or are based on comparisons to market prices of similar securities. The Company obtained fair value measurements from an independent pricing service and internally validated these measurements. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus, prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

BOLI

The fair value of BOLI is equal to the cash surrender value of the life insurance policies.

Other Assets

Other assets include FHLB stock and interest rate swaps. The fair value of stock in the FHLB equals its carrying amount since such stock is only redeemable at its par value. The fair value of interest rate swaps are discussed below.

Loans Receivable and Loans Held For Sale

The fair value of performing mortgage loans, commercial real estate, construction, consumer and commercial loans is estimated by discounting the cash flows using interest rates that consider the interest rate risk inherent in the loans and current economic and lending conditions. See the discussion below for fair valuation of impaired loans. Non-accrual loans are assumed to be carried at their current fair value and therefore are not adjusted.

Deposits

The fair values for deposits subject to immediate withdrawal such as interest and non-interest bearing checking, savings and money market deposit accounts are discounted using market rates for replacement dollars and using Company and industry statistics for decay/maturity dates. The carrying amounts for variable-rate certificates of deposit approximate their fair value at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated by discounting future cash flows using interest rates currently offered on time deposits with similar remaining maturities.

Borrowings

The fair value of short-term borrowing under repurchase agreements is calculated using market rates for replacements and using the Bank's funding migration analysis.

The fair value of long-term FHLB Seattle advances and other long-term borrowings is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements with similar remaining terms. The carrying amounts of variable rate long-term borrowings and Trust Preferred instruments approximate their fair values due to the short period of time between repricing dates.

Accrued Interest

Table of Contents

The carrying amounts of accrued interest payable and receivable approximate their fair value.

Interest Rate Swaps

The Company historically has held interest rate swaps as a hedging strategy to help manage the Company's interest-rate-risk, although it has no swaps outstanding as of June 30, 2014. Derivative contracts are valued by the counter party and are periodically validated by management. The counter-party determines the fair value of interest rate swaps using a discounted cash flow method based on current incremental rates for similar types of arrangements.

Unexercised Warrant Liability

A liability for unexercised warrants was created as part of the Company's capital raise in January, 2012. The liability is carried at fair value and adjustments are made periodically through non-interest income to record changes in the fair value. The fair value is measured using warrant valuation modeling techniques, which seek to estimate the market price that the unexercised options would bring if sold. Assumptions used in calculating the value include the volatility of the underlying stock, the risk-free interest rate, the expected term of the warrants, the market price of the underlying stock and the dividend yield on the stock.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present information about the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value (in thousands).

| Description | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|--|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Securities: | | | | |
| Corporate Bonds | \$ 1,994 | \$— | \$ 1,994 | \$— |
| State and municipal bonds | 64,551 | — | 64,551 | — |
| Residential mortgage backed securities and SBA Pools | 194,645 | — | 194,645 | — |
| Total Assets Measured at Fair Value | \$261,190 | \$— | \$261,190 | \$— |
| Unexercised Warrants | \$925 | \$— | \$— | \$925 |
| Total Liabilities Measured at Fair Value | \$925 | \$— | \$— | \$925 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Securities: | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | \$3,915 | \$— | \$3,915 | \$— |
| State and municipal bonds | 50,039 | — | 50,039 | — |
| Residential mortgage backed securities and SBA Pools | 197,684 | — | 197,684 | — |
| Other Assets — Derivative | (127) | — | — | (127) |
| Total Assets Measured at Fair Value | \$251,511 | \$— | \$251,638 | \$(127) |
| Unexercised Warrants | \$942 | \$— | \$— | \$942 |
| Total Liabilities Measured at Fair Value | \$942 | \$— | \$— | \$942 |

Table of Contents

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| Description | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Quarter to Date | | | | |
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | |
| | Derivatives (net) | Unexercised Warrants | Residential MBS | Derivatives (net) | Unexercised Warrants |
| April 1, Balance | \$(43) | (1,048) | \$8,220 | \$(480) | \$(772) |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized): | | | | | |
| Included in earnings | 43 | 123 | (21) | 149 | (54) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | — | — | 48 | — | — |
| Principal Payments | — | — | (493) | — | — |
| Sales of Securities | — | — | — | — | — |
| June 30, 2014 | \$— | \$(925) | \$7,754 | \$(331) | \$(826) |

| Description | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Year to Date | | | | |
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | |
| | Derivatives (net) | Unexercised Warrants | Residential MBS | Derivatives (net) | Unexercised Warrants |
| January 1, Balance | \$(127) | \$(942) | \$10,242 | \$(573) | \$(828) |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized): | | | | | |
| Included in earnings | 127 | 17 | (22) | 242 | 2 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | — | — | 229 | — | — |
| Principal Payments | — | — | (833) | — | — |
| Sales of Securities | — | — | (1,862) | — | — |
| June 30, 2014 | \$— | \$(925) | \$7,754 | \$(331) | \$(826) |

The following tables present additional quantitative information about assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which the company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value, as of June 30, 2014:

| | Valuation Techniques | Unobservable Input | Range of Inputs |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Unexercised Warrants | Warrant valuation models | Estimated underlying stock price volatility Duration Risk-free rate | 35% 0.58 years 0.11% |

There were no material changes in the unobservable inputs since December 31, 2013.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

Intermountain may be required, from time to time, to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The following table presents the carrying value for these financial assets as of dates indicated (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| Description | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Loans(1) | \$ 13,516 | \$— | \$— | \$ 13,516 |
| Total Assets Measured at Fair Value | \$ 13,516 | \$— | \$— | \$ 13,516 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Loans(1) | \$ 14,880 | \$— | \$— | \$ 14,880 |
| OREO | 3,684 | — | — | 3,684 |
| Total Assets Measured at Fair Value | \$ 18,564 | \$— | \$— | \$ 18,564 |

(1) Represents impaired loans, net of allowance for loan loss, which are included in loans.

The following table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and for which the company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value at June 30, 2014:

| | Valuation Techniques | Unobservable Input | Range of Inputs |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Impaired Loans | Discounted cash flows and appraisal of collateral | Amount and timing of cash flows | No payment deferral to indefinite payment deferral |
| | | Discount Rate | 4% to 9% |
| | | Appraisal adjustments | 10% to 35% |
| | | Liquidation Expenses | 10% to 15% |

Impaired Loans

Periodically, the Company records nonrecurring adjustments to the carrying value of loans based on fair value measurements for partial charge-offs of the uncollectible portions of those loans. Nonrecurring adjustments also include certain impairment amounts for impaired loans when establishing the allowance for credit losses. Such amounts are generally based on either the estimated fair value of the cash flows to be received or the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loan less selling costs. Real estate collateral on these loans and the Company's OREO is typically valued using appraisals or other indications of value based on recent comparable sales of similar properties or assumptions generally observable in the marketplace. Management reviews these valuations and makes additional valuation adjustments, as necessary, including subtracting estimated costs of liquidating the collateral or selling the OREO. If the value of the impaired loan is determined to be less than the recorded investment in the loans, the impairment is recognized and the carrying value of the loan is adjusted to fair value through the allowance for loan and lease losses. The carrying value of loans fully charged off is zero. The related nonrecurring fair value measurement adjustments have generally been classified as Level 3 because of the significant assumptions required in estimating future cash flows on these loans, and the uncertain collateral values underlying the loans. Volatility and the lack of relevant and current sales data in the Company's market areas for various types of collateral create additional uncertainties and require the use of multiple sources and management judgment to make adjustments. Loans subject to nonrecurring fair value measurement were \$13.5 million at June 30, 2014 all of which were classified as Level 3.

OREO

OREO represents real estate which the Company has taken control of in partial or full satisfaction of loans. At the time of foreclosure, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") states that OREO is recorded at its fair value less cost to sell. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses. After foreclosure, management periodically performs valuations such that the real estate is carried at the lower of its new cost basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Fair value adjustments on other real estate owned are recognized within net loss on real estate owned as a component of non-interest expense. Fair value is determined from external appraisals and other valuations using judgments and estimates of external professionals. Many of these inputs are not observable and, accordingly, these measurements are classified as Level 3. The Company's OREO at June 30, 2014 totaled \$3.7 million, all of which was classified as Level 3.

All of the Company's OREO balance of \$3.7 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, was valued differently, however, because it is subject to an installment sales agreement. While the contract requires full payment of the balance recorded

Table of Contents

by the Company, because of the installment sales contract, accounting guidance requires the maintenance of the OREO balance on the Company's books and the establishment of a \$539,000 valuation reserve against the balance. The Company anticipates recovery of this reserve over the five-year period. In valuing the OREO, the Company discounted the expected cash flows from the installment sale at a rate similar to rates provided on loans similar to the subject transaction.

10. New Accounting Pronouncements:

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, "Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists." ASU 2013-11 provides guidance on the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits related to any disallowed portion of net operating loss carryforwards, similar tax losses, or tax credit carryforwards, if they exist. ASU 2013-11 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-04, "Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon foreclosure." ASU 2014-04 clarifies that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. ASU 2014-04 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2014, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04 No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The guidance in this update supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance throughout the industry topics of the codification. For public companies, this update will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently assessing the impact that this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements, but does not expect the guidance to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-11, "Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures". ASU 2014-11 changes the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions and repurchase financing arrangements. It also requires additional disclosures about repurchase agreements and other similar transactions. The new guidance aligns the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions and repurchase agreements executed as a repurchase financing with the accounting for other typical repurchase agreements. Going forward, these transactions would all be accounted for as secured borrowings. The ASU also requires new and expanded disclosures. This ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of ASU No. 2014-11 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, "Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period". ASU 2014-12 requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation, as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. The performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this ASU can be applied prospectively or retrospectively and are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently reviewing the requirements of ASU No. 2014-12, but does not expect the ASU to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

11. Subsequent Events:

On July 23, 2014, the Company, jointly with Columbia Banking System, Inc., (“Columbia”), the holding company for Columbia State Bank, announced the signing of a definitive agreement and plan of merger (“Merger Agreement”) whereby the Company and Columbia intend to merge in a transaction valued as of the date of signing at approximately \$121.5 million. Subject to the terms and conditions of the Merger Agreement, at the effective time of the merger, the Company’s shareholders will have the right to receive, at their election (but subject to customary proration and allocation procedures applicable to oversubscription and undersubscription for stock consideration), in respect of each share of Company common stock, cash, stock, or a unit consisting of a mix of (a) 0.6426 of a share of Columbia common stock and (b) \$2.2930 in cash, without interest, for consideration (the “Merger Consideration”). The aggregate Merger Consideration is expected to be approximately (a) 4.2 million shares of Columbia common

Table of Contents

stock and (b)\$16.5 million in cash. The per-share Merger Consideration payable at the effective time of the merger will be a function of the daily closing volume weighted average price of Columbia common stock for the twenty trading-day period beginning on the twenty-fifth day before the effective time of the merger. The combined company is expected to have approximately \$8.2 billion in assets with over 150 branches throughout Washington, Oregon and Idaho. The transaction was approved by the boards of directors of the Company and Columbia and is expected to close in the fourth quarter, subject to regulatory approval, approval by the shareholders of the Company and other customary conditions of closing.

Item 2 — Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This report contains forward-looking statements. For a discussion about such statements, including the risks and uncertainties inherent therein, see “Forward-Looking Statements.” Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes presented elsewhere in this report and in Intermountain’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions that are not historical facts, such as the statements in this report regarding expected or projected growth, asset quality and losses, other income and operating expenses, and other statements identified by words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “will likely,” “should,” “projects,” “seeks,” “estimates” similar meaning. These forward-looking statements are based on current beliefs and expectations of management and are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, these forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions with respect to future business strategies and decisions that are subject to change. In addition to the factors set forth in the sections titled “Risk Factors,” “Business” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”, as applicable, in this report, the following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results:

- deterioration in economic conditions that could result in increased loan and lease losses;
- inflation and interest rate levels, and market and monetary fluctuations;
- changes in market interest rates and spreads, which could adversely affect our net interest income and profitability;
- trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate and income tax policies of the federal government;
- growth and acquisition strategies;
- applicable laws and regulations and legislative or regulatory changes, including the ultimate financial and operational burden of financial regulatory reform legislation;
- our ability to attract new deposits and loans and leases;
- competitive market pricing factors;
- the effects of any adverse regulatory action;
- our ability to raise capital or incur debt on reasonable terms;
- the risks associated with lending and potential adverse changes in credit quality;
- risks associated with concentrations in real estate-related loans;
- declines in real estate values supporting loan collateral;
- increased loan delinquency rates;
- the timely development and acceptance of new products and services;
- the willingness of customers to substitute competitors’ products and services for our products and services;
- consolidation in the financial services industry in our markets, resulting in the creation of larger financial institutions who may have greater resources that could change the competitive landscape;
- technological changes;
- our ability to recruit and retain key management and staff;
- changes in estimates and assumptions used in financial accounting;

- our critical accounting policies and the implementation of such policies;
- potential interruption or breach in security of our systems;
- lower-than-expected revenue or cost savings or other issues in connection with mergers and acquisitions;
- changes in consumer spending, saving and borrowing habits;
- the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which Intermountain conducts its operations;
- stability of funding sources and continued availability of borrowings;
- our success in gaining regulatory approvals, when required;

Table of Contents

• results of regulatory examinations that could restrict growth; and
• our success at managing the risks involved in the foregoing.

General (Overview & History)

Intermountain Community Bancorp (“Intermountain” or the “Company”) is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Panhandle State Bank (“PSB” or “Bank”), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, was first opened in 1981 to serve the local banking needs of Bonner County, Idaho. PSB is regulated by the Idaho Department of Finance, the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, the Oregon Division of Finance and Corporate Securities and by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), its primary federal regulator and the insurer of its deposits.

Since opening in 1981, the Bank has continued to grow by opening additional branch offices throughout Idaho and has also expanded into the states of Oregon and Washington. Intermountain also operates Trust & Investment Services divisions, which provide investment, insurance, wealth management and trust services to its clients.

The slow pace of national and regional economic recovery has slowed the Company’s growth over the past several years. In response, Company management shifted its priorities to improving asset quality, raising additional capital, maintaining a conservative balance sheet and improving the efficiency of its operations.

Intermountain continues to offer banking and financial services that fit the needs of the communities it serves.

Lending activities include consumer, commercial, commercial real estate, construction, mortgage and agricultural loans. A full range of deposit services are available including checking, savings and money market accounts as well as various types of certificates of deposit. Trust and wealth management services, investment and insurance services, business cash management and electronic banking solutions round out the Company’s product offerings.

Intermountain seeks to differentiate itself by attracting, retaining and motivating highly experienced employees who are local market leaders, and supporting them with advanced technology, training and compensation systems. This approach allows the Bank to provide local marketing and decision-making to respond quickly to customer opportunities and build leadership in its communities. Simultaneously, the Bank has focused on standardizing and centralizing administrative and operational functions to improve risk management, efficiency and the ability of the branches to serve customers effectively.

The Company’s strengths include a strong, committed team of experienced banking officers, a loyal and low-cost deposit base, a sophisticated risk management system, and a strong operational and compliance infrastructure. These strengths will be integrated with those of Columbia (see “Recent Developments” below) to create additional opportunities in the Company’s market upon successful completion of the merger.

Recent Developments

On July 23, 2014, the Company, jointly with Columbia Banking System, Inc., (“Columbia”), the holding company for Columbia State Bank, announced the signing of a definitive agreement and plan of merger (“Merger Agreement”) whereby the Company and Columbia intend to merge in a transaction valued as of the date of signing at approximately \$121.5 million. See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the transaction.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of Intermountain conform to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”) and to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Intermountain’s management has identified the accounting policies described below as those that, due to the judgments, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies, are critical to an understanding of Intermountain’s Consolidated Financial Statements and Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Investments. Assets in the investment portfolio are initially recorded at cost, which includes any premiums and discounts. Intermountain amortizes premiums and discounts as an adjustment to interest income using the interest

yield method over the life of the security. The cost of investment securities sold, and any resulting gain or loss, is based on the specific identification method.

Management determines the appropriate classification of investment securities at the time of purchase.

Held-to-maturity securities are those securities that Intermountain has the intent and ability to hold to maturity, and are recorded at amortized cost. Available-for-sale securities are those securities that would be available to be sold in the future in response to liquidity needs, changes in market interest rates, and asset-liability management strategies, among others. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in stockholders' equity as a separate component of other comprehensive income, net of applicable deferred income taxes.

Table of Contents

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the Company transferred \$8.5 million in securities from its available-for-sale portfolio to its held-to-maturity portfolio, based on management's intent and ability to hold these securities to maturity. This transfer was recorded at fair market value and the unrealized loss at the date of transfer continues to be reported as accumulated other comprehensive income, net of applicable deferred income taxes, and will be amortized over the remaining life of the securities as an adjustment to yield. Upon transfer to the held-to-maturity category, premium and discount accounts were adjusted to reflect the fair market value of the security. The resulting premiums and discounts will also be amortized as an adjustment to yield.

Management evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary declines in fair value on a periodic basis. If the fair value of an investment security falls below its amortized cost and the decline is deemed to be other-than-temporary, the security's fair value will be analyzed based on market conditions and expected cash flows on the investment security. The unrealized loss is considered an other-than-temporary impairment. The Company then calculates a credit loss charge against earnings by subtracting the estimated present value of estimated future cash flows on the security from its amortized cost. The other-than-temporary impairment less the credit loss charge against earnings is a component of other comprehensive income.

Allowance For Loan Losses. In general, determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses requires significant judgment and the use of estimates by management. This analysis is designed to determine an appropriate level and allocation of the allowance for losses among loan types and loan classifications by considering factors affecting loan losses, including: specific losses; levels and trends in impaired and nonperforming loans; historical bank and industry loan loss experience; current national and local economic conditions; volume, growth and composition of the portfolio; regulatory guidance; and other relevant factors. Management monitors the loan portfolio to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance can increase or decrease based upon the results of management's analysis. The amount of the allowance for the various loan types represents management's estimate of probable incurred losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio based upon historical bank and industry loan loss experience for each loan type. The allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans is based on the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, and on the present value of expected cash flows for non-collateral dependent loans. For collateral dependent loans, this evaluation requires management to make estimates of the value of the collateral and any associated holding and selling costs, and for non-collateral dependent loans, estimates on the timing and risk associated with the receipt of contractual cash flows.

Management believes the allowance for loan losses was adequate at June 30, 2014. While management uses available information to provide for loan losses, the ultimate collectability of a substantial portion of the loan portfolio and the need for future additions to the allowance will be based on changes in economic conditions and other relevant factors. A slowdown in economic activity could adversely affect cash flows for both commercial and individual borrowers, as a result of which the Company could experience increases in nonperforming assets, delinquencies and losses on loans. The allowance requires considerable judgment on the part of management, and material changes in the allowance can have a significant impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Fair Value Measurements. ASC 820 "Fair Value Measurements" establishes a standard framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, clarifies the definition of "fair value" within that framework, and expands disclosures about the use of fair value measurements. A number of valuation techniques are used to determine the fair value of assets and liabilities in Intermountain's financial statements. These include quoted market prices for securities, interest rate swap valuations based upon the modeling of termination values adjusted for credit spreads with counterparties, and appraisals of real estate from independent licensed appraisers, among other valuation techniques. Fair value measurements for assets and liabilities where there exists limited or no observable market data are based primarily upon estimates, and are often calculated based on the economic and competitive environment, the characteristics of the asset or liability and other factors. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there are inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results of current or future values. Significant changes in the aggregate fair value of assets and liabilities required to be measured at fair value or for impairment will be recognized in the income statement under the framework established by GAAP. If impairment is determined, it could limit the

ability of Intermountain's banking subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to the Holding Company. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on fair value measurements.

Income Taxes. Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established to reduce the net carrying amount of deferred tax assets if it is determined to be more likely than not, that all or some portion of the potential deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company uses an estimate of future earnings, an evaluation of its loss carryback ability and tax planning strategies to determine whether or not the benefit of its net deferred tax asset may be realized. The analysis used to determine whether a valuation allowance is required and if so, the amount of the

Table of Contents

allowance, is based on estimates of future taxable income and the effectiveness of future tax planning strategies. These estimates require significant management judgment about future economic conditions and Company performance. Note 10, "New Accounting Pronouncements" in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, discusses new accounting pronouncements adopted by Intermountain and the expected impact of accounting pronouncements recently issued or proposed, but not yet required to be adopted.

Results of Operations

Overview. Intermountain recorded net income applicable to common stockholders of \$2.3 million, or \$0.34 per diluted share for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with net income of \$2.5 million, or \$0.39 per diluted share for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The reduction in net income for the period indicated over the comparable period last year resulted from decreases in interest and other income and a higher tax provision, which offset lower interest and other operating expenses. For the quarter ended June 30, 2014, Intermountain recorded net income applicable to common stockholders of \$1.3 million, or \$0.19 per diluted share, compared with net income of \$1.0 million, or \$0.16 per diluted share, and \$1.5 million, or \$0.23 per diluted share for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Higher net interest income, a lower loan loss provision and higher other income offset higher operating expenses to produce the improvement over first quarter 2014 results. Stabilizing net interest income and a lower loan loss provision in the second quarter of 2014 were offset by lower other income and a higher tax provision in the comparative results from the same quarter last year.

The annualized return on average assets ("ROAA") was 0.50% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to 0.74% in the same period last year, and the annualized return on average common equity ("ROAE") was 4.81% in 2014 and 5.85% in 2013, respectively.

Net Interest Income. The most significant component of earnings for the Company is net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from the Company's loan and investment portfolios, and interest expense on deposits, repurchase agreements and other borrowings. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, net interest income was \$14.4 million and \$14.9 million, respectively. The decrease in net interest income from last year reflects lower interest income on loans resulting primarily from declines in loan yields. Very low market rates and intense competition for strong borrowers continue to pressure both the Company's and its competitors' loan yields. Investment interest income was up, reflecting moderately higher investment yields and a significant reduction in premium amortization speeds on the Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. Interest expense on deposits continued to decrease as deposit rates declined in response to lower market rates, and CD volumes continued to contract. The decrease in interest expense on other borrowings from the same six-month period last year reflected lower rates paid, particularly on repurchase agreements.

Average interest-earning assets decreased by 2.4% to \$831.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$852.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Average loans increased \$318,000 during this period, and average investments and interest-earning cash equivalents decreased by \$21.0 million. This reduction reflected the use of cash and investments in late 2013 to redeem the Company's Capital Purchase Program ("CPP") preferred stock.

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$4.5 million, or 0.6%, for the six month period ended June 30, 2014 compared to June 30, 2013. Average deposit balances decreased \$10.8 million, or 1.5%, average Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances increased by \$6.8 million, or 126.4%, and average other borrowings increased \$8.5 million, or 9.4%. The decrease in deposits primarily reflects payoffs of higher rate retail CDs and the loss of savings balances associated with a terminated contract for secured credit cards. The increase in other borrowings resulted from the addition of a holding company loan used to redeem the Company's CPP preferred stock.

The net interest margin was 3.49% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to 3.51% in the comparable period of 2013. A decrease in the average yield on loans was offset by higher investment yields and lower deposit and borrowing costs.

The Company continues to operate in an unprecedented low rate environment, in which the Fed Funds target rate is less than 0.25% and the Federal Reserve continues to purchase mortgage assets to reduce longer rates. After increasing about 1% in the second quarter of 2013, yields on the 10-year US Treasury bond have retreated about 0.5%

in the first six months of 2014, as global economic conditions have remained tepid and the Federal Reserve continues with a largely accommodative monetary policy. The Company's short-term variable rate loans are tied primarily to the national prime rate or the overnight or one-month London Interbank Offering Rate (LIBOR), which has not moved. In addition, excess industry liquidity and continuing strong competition for quality borrowers dampened any short-term impact higher long-term market rates had on the loan portfolio. Investment portfolio yields have improved slightly, although strong market liquidity and demand for fixed income investments continues to dampen performance. Relative stabilization of the net interest margin does appear to indicate that relative declines in asset yields are slowing.

Higher market rates could improve yields and earnings in the Company's loan and investment portfolios in future quarters by increasing yields on variable rate loans, and on new loans and investments added to the portfolio. Management also continues to

Table of Contents

work diligently to redeploy cash assets into higher yielding loans and investments, and in particular is now focused on more rapid expansion of the loan portfolio to offset some of the pressure on yields. The Company also continues to focus on lowering its overall cost of funds, while maintaining transaction deposit balances from core relationship customers. The cost on interest-bearing liabilities dropped from 0.48% for the first six months of 2013 to 0.39% for the same period in 2014, reflecting a 0.07% drop in average deposit rates to 0.23% and a 0.42% drop in repurchase and other borrowing average rates to 1.37%. Management believes that some opportunities still remain to further lower funding costs. However, given the already low level of market rates and the Company's cost of funds, any future gains are likely to be less than those already experienced.

Provision for Loan Losses & Credit Quality. Management's policy is to establish valuation allowances for estimated losses by charging corresponding provisions against income. This evaluation is based upon management's assessment of various factors including, but not limited to, current and anticipated future economic trends, historical loan losses, delinquencies, underlying collateral values, and current and potential risks identified in the portfolio.

The provision for loan losses totaled \$99,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to a provision of \$426,000 for the comparable period last year. Lower provision costs reflect continued improvements in the quality of the Company's loan portfolio. For more information on provision and loan loss allowance activity for the periods indicated, see Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses."

Net chargeoffs declined to \$104,000 in the first six months of 2014, compared to \$327,000 in the first six months of 2013. In general, portfolio losses are no longer concentrated in any particular industry or loan type, as prior efforts to reduce exposure in construction, land development and commercial real estate loans have decreased the exposure in these segments considerably. The Company continues to resolve or liquidate its problem loans aggressively, particularly those with higher loss exposures, and now believes that the risk of future large losses is significantly reduced. The loan loss allowance to total loans ratio was 1.46% at June 30, 2014, compared to 1.52% at June 30, 2013. At the end of June 2014, the allowance for loan losses totaled 224.7% of non-performing loans compared to 167.6% at June 30, 2013. The increase in this coverage ratio reflects the reduction of non-performing loans over the prior period.

Given current economic uncertainty, management continues to evaluate and adjust the loan loss allowance carefully and frequently to reflect the most current information available concerning the Company's markets and loan portfolio. In its evaluation, management considers current economic and borrower conditions in both the pool of loans subject to specific impairment, and the pool subject to a more generalized allowance based on historical and other factors. When a loan is characterized as impaired, the Company performs a specific evaluation of the loan, focusing on potential future cash flows likely to be generated by the loan, current collateral values underlying the loan, and other factors such as government guarantees or guarantor support that may impact repayment. Based on this evaluation, it sets aside a specific reserve for this loan and/or charges down the loan to its net realizable value (selling price less estimated closing costs) if it is unlikely that the Company will receive any cash flow beyond the amount obtained from liquidation of the collateral. If the loan continues to be impaired, management periodically re-evaluates the loan for additional potential impairment, and charges it down or adds to reserves if appropriate. On the pool of loans not subject to specific impairment, management evaluates regional, bank and loan-specific historical loss trends to develop its base reserve level on a loan-by-loan basis. It then modifies those reserves by considering the risk grade of the loan, current economic conditions, the recent trend of defaults, trends in collateral values, underwriting and other loan management considerations, and unique market-specific factors such as water shortages or other natural phenomena.

General trending information with respect to non-performing loans, non-performing assets, and other key portfolio metrics is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Table of Contents

Credit Quality Trending

| | 6/30/2014 | 3/31/2014 | 12/31/2013 | 6/30/2013 | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Total non-performing loans ("NPLs") | \$3,420 | \$4,518 | \$2,668 | \$4,799 | |
| OREO | 3,684 | 3,768 | 3,684 | 4,512 | |
| Total non-performing assets ("NPAs") | \$7,104 | \$8,286 | \$6,352 | \$9,311 | |
| Classified loans (1) | \$17,537 | \$19,609 | \$23,056 | \$26,288 | |
| Troubled debt restructured loans (2) | \$9,365 | \$9,866 | \$10,047 | \$11,791 | |
| Total allowance related to non-accrual loans | \$45 | \$176 | \$84 | \$121 | |
| Interest income recorded on non-accrual loans (3) | \$78 | \$45 | \$187 | \$119 | |
| Non-accrual loans as a percentage of net loans receivable | 0.66 | % 0.89 | % 0.52 | % 0.92 | % |
| Total non-performing loans as a % of net loans receivable | 0.66 | % 0.89 | % 0.52 | % 0.92 | % |
| Allowance for loan losses ("ALLL") as a percentage of non-performing loans | 224.7 | % 172.8 | % 288.1 | % 167.6 | % |
| Total NPAs as a % of total assets (4) | 0.77 | % 0.91 | % 0.68 | % 1.00 | % |
| Total NPAs as a % of tangible capital + ALLL ("Texas Ratio") (4) | 6.66 | % 7.99 | % 6.25 | % 7.69 | % |
| Loan delinquency ratio (30 days and over) | 0.17 | % 0.17 | % 0.24 | % 0.22 | % |

(1) Classified loan totals are inclusive of non-performing loans and may also include troubled debt restructured loans, depending on the grading of these restructured loans.

(2) Includes accruing restructured loans of \$8.5 million and non-accruing restructured loans of \$889,000 as of June 30, 2014. No other funds are available for disbursement on restructured loans.

(3) Interest income on non-accrual loans based on year-to-date interest totals

(4) NPAs include both nonperforming loans and OREO

The increase in NPLs from year end reflects the addition of several commercial SBA loans that are expected to be resolved in the near future. The Company's special assets team continues to migrate loans through the collections process through multiple management strategies, including borrower workouts and individual asset sales to local and regional investors. The Company continues to monitor its non-accrual loans closely and revalue the collateral on a periodic basis. This re-evaluation may create the need for additional write-downs or additional loss reserves on these assets. Loan delinquencies (30 days or more past due) continue at very low levels.

The following table summarizes NPAs by type and provides trending information over the past year:

Nonperforming Asset Trending By Category

| | 6/30/2014 | 3/31/2014 | 6/30/2013 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Commercial loans | \$2,205 | \$2,966 | \$1,417 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 75 | 163 | 2,728 |
| Land and land development loans | 3,811 | 3,841 | 4,626 |
| Agriculture loans | 206 | 611 | 276 |
| Residential real estate loans | 804 | 702 | 173 |
| Consumer loans | 3 | 3 | 91 |
| Total NPAs by Categories | \$7,104 | \$8,286 | \$9,311 |

Land development assets continue to represent the highest segment of non-performing assets, and primarily reflect one large \$3.7 million OREO property, which was sold on an installment sales contract. While the contract requires full payment of the balance recorded by the Company, because of the installment sales contract, accounting guidance requires the maintenance of the OREO balance on the Company's books and the establishment of a \$539,000 valuation reserve against the balance. The Company anticipates recovery of this reserve over the five-year period. The

majority of NPAs are in northern Idaho, reflecting the OREO

34

Table of Contents

property noted above and the stronger market presence the Company holds in Northern Idaho. The overall level of NPAs remains below the average of the Company's peer group.

At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 classified loans (loans with risk grades 6, 7 or 8) by loan type are as follows:

| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|---|
| | Amount | % of Total | Amount | % of Total | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$6,548 | 37.3 | % \$7,520 | 32.6 | % |
| Commercial real estate loans | 3,836 | 21.9 | 3,659 | 15.9 | |
| Land and land development loans | 812 | 4.6 | 1,041 | 4.5 | |
| Agriculture loans | 3,374 | 19.2 | 4,038 | 17.5 | |
| Multifamily loans | — | — | 3,751 | 16.3 | |
| Residential real estate loans | 2,868 | 16.4 | 2,960 | 12.8 | |
| Consumer loans | 99 | 0.6 | 87 | 0.4 | |
| Total classified loans | \$17,537 | 100.0 | % \$23,056 | 100.0 | % |

Classified loans are loans for which management believes it may experience some problems in obtaining repayment under the contractual terms of the loan, and are inclusive of the Company's non-accrual loans. However, categorizing a loan as classified does not necessarily mean that the Company will experience any or significant loss of expected principal or interest.

Classified loans decreased from December 31, 2013 as the Company resolved or upgraded several credits during the first six months without any significant additional loss. As with NPAs, the geographical distribution of the Company's classified loans reflects the distribution of the Company's loan portfolio, with higher distributions in the "North Idaho/Eastern Washington" region, and decreased levels in southern Idaho.

Local economies continue to improve, but are still subject to risk from various world and national events, particularly as they impact local confidence and business investment. Within the local economies, there are relatively wide variations in the strength of different industry segments. Manufacturing, technology, and health-care businesses are strong and improving. Housing is also improving, although at a slower pace as mortgage rates have ticked up and affordability has dropped slightly. Agriculture continues to be strong overall, although risks are increasing as a result of moderating prices, increasing input costs and concerns over adequate water in future years. The Company has enhanced its monitoring of this portfolio and added to the loan loss reserves tied to this segment. For tourism, retail and government, the recovery has been slower, but is now accelerating.

Management continues to work towards reducing the level of non-performing assets, classified loans and loss exposures. It uses a variety of analytical tools and an integrated stress testing program involving both qualitative and quantitative modeling to assess the current and projected state of its credit portfolio. The results of this program are integrated with the Company's capital and liquidity modeling programs to manage and mitigate future risk in these areas as well.

Other Income.

The following tables detail dollar amount and percentage changes of certain categories of other income for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Table of Contents

| Other Income - Three Months Ended | June 30, 2014 (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2013 | Change | Percent Change |
|--|--|------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Fees and service charges | \$1,212 | \$1,319 | \$(107) | (8)% |
| Commissions & fees from trust & investment advisory services | 557 | 645 | (88) | (14) |
| Loan related fee income | 403 | 586 | (183) | (31) |
| Net gain on sale of securities | 168 | 163 | 5 | 3 |
| Net (loss) gain on sale of other assets | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Other-than-temporary credit impairment on investment securities ("OTTI") | — | (21) |) 21 | (100) |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 86 | 85 | 1 | 1 |
| Fair value adjustment on cash flow hedge | — | 80 | (80) | (100) |
| Unexercised warrant liability fair value adjustment | 123 | (54) |) 177 | (328) |
| Other income | 34 | 40 | (6) | (15) |
| Total | \$2,587 | \$2,845 | \$(258) | (9)% |
| Other Income - Six Months Ended | June 30, 2014 (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2013 | Change | Percent Change |
| Fees and service charges | \$2,333 | \$2,398 | \$(65) | (3)% |
| Commissions & fees from trust & investment advisory services | 1,098 | 1,172 | (74) | (6) |
| Loan related fee income | 707 | 1,197 | (490) | (41) |
| Net gain on sale of securities | 174 | 203 | (29) | (14) |
| Net (loss) gain on sale of other assets | 8 | 6 | 2 | 33 |
| Other-than-temporary credit impairment on investment securities ("OTTI") | — | (63) |) 63 | (100) |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 165 | 170 | (5) | (3) |
| Fair value adjustment on cash flow hedge | — | 146 | (146) | (100) |
| Unexercised warrant liability fair value adjustment | 17 | 2 | 15 | 750 |
| Other income | 82 | 153 | (71) | (46) |
| Total | \$4,584 | \$5,384 | \$(800) | (15)% |

Total other income was \$2.6 million and \$2.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. For the three-month comparable periods, decreases in fees and service charges, investment services income and loan-related fee income offset a positive fair value adjustment on unexercised warrants. For the comparable six-month periods, total other income was \$4.6 million in 2014 and \$5.4 million in 2013. A significant reduction in fees from mortgage origination activity was the biggest contributor to the decrease from the prior six-month period.

Fees and service charges earned on deposit accounts continue to be the Company's primary source of other income. Fees and service charges in the first six months of 2014 decreased by \$65,000 from the comparable 2013 period as changes in the Company's deposit account pricing and improved debit card income were offset by continued reductions in overdraft fee income.

Commissions and fees from trust and investment advisory services decreased by \$74,000 over the prior period as investment sales slowed in the first half of the year. The Company is targeting additional resources and marketing efforts in this area and anticipates stronger results in the second half of the year.

As noted above, loan related fee income was down from the same period last year, as a result of significantly weaker mortgage refinance activity. Higher mortgage rates are having a substantial impact on both refinance and purchase activity, although purchase activity experienced some seasonal increase in the second quarter which should continue

into the third quarter.

The Company recognized \$174,000 in gains on the sale of securities during the first half of 2014, down from \$203,000 in the first six months of last year. This reduction was offset, however, by a \$63,000 reduction in credit loss impairment on impaired

36

Table of Contents

securities for the period. The cash flow hedge that generated positive fair value adjustments in 2013 matured in the fourth quarter of last year, resulting in the reduction in the first half of 2014. The Company recognized a positive fair value adjustment taken on the Company's unexercised warrant liability in 2014, as a decrease in the Company's stock price decreased this liability. The liability was created by the issuance of three-year warrants for 1,700,000 shares, and on a reverse-split adjusted basis, 170,000 shares, to investors as part of the Company's January 2012 capital raise and must be fair-valued every quarter. As such, there are likely to be fluctuating adjustments in future periods.

BOLI income was down slightly from the prior year as yields declined modestly and the Company did not purchase or liquidate BOLI assets. Other non-interest income totaled \$82,000 for the first six months of 2014, compared to \$153,000 for the comparable prior period. The reduction reflects continued decreases in the Company's secured card contract as this contract terminated in the first quarter of 2013. The Company is seeking to replace the lost income from this contract with other card or payment-based initiatives.

Operating Expenses.

The following tables detail dollar amount and percentage changes of certain categories of other expense for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

| Other Expense - Three Months Ended | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | Change | Percent Change | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|----|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$4,505 | \$4,283 | \$222 | 5 | % |
| Occupancy expense | 1,145 | 1,174 | (29) | (2) |) |
| Technology | 874 | 925 | (51) | (6) |) |
| Advertising | 136 | 180 | (44) | (24) |) |
| Fees and service charges | 109 | 85 | 24 | 28 | |
| Printing, postage and supplies | 151 | 173 | (22) | (13) |) |
| Legal and accounting | 422 | 484 | (62) | (13) |) |
| FDIC assessment | 146 | 165 | (19) | (12) |) |
| OREO operations(1) | 39 | 32 | 7 | 22 | |
| Other expense | 707 | 719 | (12) | (2) |) |
| Total | \$8,234 | \$8,220 | \$14 | — | % |
| Other Expense - Six Months Ended | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | Change | Percent Change | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$8,381 | \$8,458 | \$(77) | (1) |)% |
| Occupancy expense | 2,326 | 2,359 | (33) | (1) |) |
| Technology | 1,696 | 1,801 | (105) | (6) |) |
| Advertising | 285 | 294 | (9) | (3) |) |
| Fees and service charges | 200 | 179 | 21 | 12 | |
| Printing, postage and supplies | 326 | 390 | (64) | (16) |) |
| Legal and accounting | 825 | 812 | 13 | 2 | |
| FDIC assessment | 292 | 351 | (59) | (17) |) |
| OREO operations(1) | (24) |) 143 | (167) | (117) |) |
| Other expense | 1,363 | 1,611 | (248) | (15) |) |
| Total | \$15,670 | \$16,398 | \$(728) | (4) |)% |

(1) Amount includes expenses, chargedowns and gains and losses on sale of OREO

Operating expenses for the second quarter of 2014 totaled \$8.2 million compared to \$8.2 million for the same quarter last year. Lower occupancy, technology, advertising and consulting expenses in the June 2014 quarter offset higher restricted stock expense. For the first half of 2014, operating expenses totaled \$15.7 million, down \$728,000, or 4.4%, from the same period last year. Lower salary, occupancy, technology, printing, FDIC assessment and OREO expense

offset modest increases in fees and service charges and legal expenses.

37

Table of Contents

At \$8.4 million, compensation and benefits expense decreased modestly from the same quarter in 2013, as lower commission, incentive compensation, unemployment insurance and medical benefits expense and higher deferred loan origination cost credits offset higher salary and stock compensation expenses. The Company continues to evaluate opportunities to improve staff efficiency while positioning itself for balance sheet growth.

Occupancy expenses were down from the prior six-month period as the Company continued to exercise caution in purchasing new fixed assets. The decrease in technology expenses reflects the ongoing benefits of the technology upgrades the Company made in 2013. The Company continues to review asset and software purchases carefully and re-negotiate contracts to further lower expense in this area.

Advertising expense was down modestly from the prior year, reflecting timing differences in the Company's marketing expenses. Fees and service charges were up slightly, while technology changes have lowered printing, postage and supply expenses. Legal and accounting fees increased over last year as a result of costs associated with the merger negotiations with Columbia Banking Systems, Inc. noted above. FDIC expenses decreased over the same quarter last year because of a decrease in the assessment base and a lower assessment rate.

OREO expenses reflect the collection of property improvement funds from a third party on the Company's larger remaining OREO property. Other expenses decreased \$248,000 from the same period in 2013, primarily as a result of decreased operational losses relating to electronic banking and debit card fraud activity. The Company continues to implement new tools and systems to reduce its exposure in this area.

Annualized operating expense as a percentage of average assets was 3.42% and 3.50% for the six-month periods ending June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. The Company's efficiency ratio (noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income) was 82.6% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, compared to 80.8% for the comparable period one year ago. Improving expense performance was offset by lower net interest and other income. With economic conditions likely to remain challenging in the near future, the Company continues to develop and implement additional efficiency and cost-cutting efforts to offset constrained asset and revenue growth.

Income Tax Provision.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 the Company recorded income tax expense of \$499,000 and \$898,000, as compared to no expense for the same periods last year. In each of these periods, the Company generated positive net income before income taxes, but for the periods ended June 30, 2013, recorded no expense as it offset current income against carryforward losses from prior years. The effective tax rates were 28.1% and 0.0% for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

The Company had deferred tax assets totaling \$19.6 million at June 30, 2014 compared to \$21.7 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease in the net deferred tax asset reflects the impacts of additional earnings and an increase in the unrealized market value of the Company's investment securities.

At June 30, 2014, Intermountain assessed whether it was more likely than not that it would realize the benefits of its deferred tax asset. It determined that the positive evidence associated with a three-year cumulative positive income, improving national and regional economic conditions, significantly reduced credit and other balance sheet risk, and improving Company performance offset the negative evidence of losses in 2009 and 2010. Intermountain used an estimate of future earnings, future reversals of taxable temporary differences, and tax planning strategies to determine whether it is more likely than not that the benefit of the deferred tax asset would be realized. In estimating the future earnings, management assumed moderately improving economic conditions. As such, its estimates included continued lower credit losses in 2014 and ensuing years as the Company's loan portfolio continues to turn over. It also assumed: (1) a compressed but stable net interest margin in 2014, with gradual improvement in future years, as the Company is able to convert some of its cash position to higher yielding instruments; (2) stable other income as increased trust and investment income offsets reductions in mortgage origination income; and (3) stable operating expenses as continued cost reduction strategies offset inflationary increases. The Company analyzes the deferred tax asset on a quarterly basis and may establish a new allowance at some future time depending on actual results and estimates of future profitability.

Intermountain has performed an analysis of its uncertain tax positions and has not recorded any potential penalties, interest or additional tax in its financial statements as of June 30, 2014. If Intermountain did incur penalties or

interest, they would be reported in income tax expense. Intermountain's tax positions for the years after 2009 remain subject to review by the Internal Revenue Service. Intermountain does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change within the next twelve months.

Financial Position

38

Table of Contents

Assets. At June 30, 2014, Intermountain's assets were \$920.2 million, down \$19.4 million from \$939.6 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease in assets reflected reductions in cash and cash equivalents, partially offset by increases in available-for-sale investments and net loans receivable.

Fed Funds Sold & Cash Equivalents. The Bank held \$14.3 million in interest-bearing cash equivalents at June 30, 2014. This compares to \$44.9 million in interest-bearing cash equivalents at December 31, 2013, as funds were used to increase available -for-sale investments and net loans receivable, and to offset decreased municipal repurchase balances.

Non-interest bearing and restricted cash totaled \$18.9 million at June 30, 2014, compared to \$20.2 million at December 31, 2013.

Investments. Intermountain's investment portfolio at June 30, 2014 was \$287.3 million, an increase of \$7.4 million from the December 31, 2013 balance of \$279.9 million. The increase reflects the purchase of additional short-duration securities and a reduction in prepayment speeds on the Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. Management remains cautious about the volatile investment environment and is working to further shorten the duration of its available-for-sale investment portfolio. As of June 30, 2014, the balance of the unrealized gain on investment securities, net of federal income taxes, was \$1.3 million, compared to an unrealized loss at December 31, 2013 of \$1.2 million. The change from an unrealized loss to an unrealized gain position reflected the positive impact on the value of the portfolio resulting from a small reduction in market interest rates during the period.

Loans Receivable. At June 30, 2014, net loans receivable totaled \$520.3 million, up \$5.5 million from \$514.8 million at December 31, 2013.

The following table sets forth the composition of Intermountain's loan portfolio at the dates indicated. Loan balances exclude deferred loan origination costs and fees and allowances for loan losses.

| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | |
|---|------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|---|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$118,353 | 22.4 | % \$113,736 | 21.8 | % |
| Commercial real estate loans | 169,234 | 32.0 | 181,207 | 34.7 | |
| Commercial construction loans | 12,293 | 2.3 | 7,383 | 1.4 | |
| Land and land development loans | 34,216 | 6.5 | 28,946 | 5.5 | |
| Agriculture loans | 105,545 | 20.0 | 96,584 | 18.5 | |
| Multifamily loans | 13,310 | 2.5 | 18,205 | 3.5 | |
| Residential real estate loans | 57,914 | 11.0 | 59,172 | 11.3 | |
| Residential construction loans | 2,021 | 0.4 | 2,531 | 0.5 | |
| Consumer loans | 8,860 | 1.7 | 9,033 | 1.7 | |
| Municipal loans | 6,500 | 1.2 | 5,964 | 1.1 | |
| Total loans | 528,246 | 100.0 | % 522,761 | 100.0 | % |
| Allowance for loan losses | (7,683 |) | (7,687 |) | |
| Deferred loan fees, net of direct origination costs | (283 |) | (240 |) | |
| Loans receivable, net | \$520,280 | | \$514,834 | | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 5.08 | % | 5.14 | % | |

The \$5.5 million increase from December 31, 2013 reflects new business development activity and seasonal increases in commercial, agricultural and construction lending, which offset reductions in commercial real estate and multi-family loans. Given the low interest rate environment and heavy competition in its markets, the Company has been cautious in originating new long-term commercial real estate and multi-family loans, particularly with ten or twenty-year fixed rates.

The commercial portfolio is diversified by industry with a variety of small business customers that held up relatively well during the economic downturn. Intermountain carries a higher proportion of SBA and USDA guaranteed loans than many of its peers, reducing the overall risk in this portfolio. Commercial customers continue to watch economic

conditions very closely, and have turned to cash more often to pay ongoing costs than borrowing. Quality commercial borrowers are highly sought after, resulting in keen competition and competitive pricing for these customers.

Table of Contents

The commercial real estate portfolio is also well-diversified and consists of a mix of owner and non-owner occupied properties, with relatively few truly non-owner-occupied investment properties. The Company has lower concentrations in this segment than most of its peers, and has underwritten these properties cautiously. In particular, it has limited exposure to speculative investment office buildings and retail strip malls, two of the higher risk segments in this category. This portfolio continues to perform well with relatively low delinquency and loss rates. The Company believes it has some opportunity to increase prudent lending in this area, but again competition is keen for these borrowers.

Commercial construction and development activity has picked up recently, as the survivors of the building recession are capitalizing on opportunities created by the lack of inventory resulting from five years of limited construction activity. The Company is approaching these loans cautiously, lending only to strong borrowers with substantial equity positions and backup liquidity. In addition, the Company is watching concentrations closely and carefully selecting its areas of focus.

Most agricultural markets continue to perform well. The sector has performed so well in recent years that many of the Company's best borrowing customers have used excess cash generated to reduce their overall borrowing position. Risks appear to be increasing in this portfolio as prices moderate, input costs rise and concerns increase over adequate water supplies in future years.

The residential and consumer portfolios consist primarily of first and second mortgage loans, unsecured loans to individuals, and auto, boat and RV loans. These portfolios have generally performed well with limited delinquencies and defaults. While these loans have generally been underwritten with conservative loan-to-values and strong debt-to-income ratios, the continued soft economy and lower home prices have resulted in some losses in this loan type.

Economic conditions and property values are demonstrating slow but steady improvement in most of the Company's markets, and as such, management expects that credit losses will continue to decrease. New borrowing activity is picking up, and loan demand in the Company's markets is stronger now than it has been in several years.

Geographic Distribution

As of June 30, 2014, the Company's loan portfolio by loan type and geographical market area was:

| Loan Portfolio by Location | North Idaho — Magic Eastern Valley | | Greater Boise Area | E. Oregon, SW Idaho, excluding Boise | Other | Total | % of Loan type to total Loans | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | Washington | Idaho | | | | | | % | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$80,344 | \$6,063 | \$9,583 | \$18,686 | \$3,677 | \$118,353 | 22.4 | % | |
| Commercial real estate loans | 118,130 | 9,004 | 9,373 | 16,312 | 16,415 | 169,234 | 32.0 | | |
| Commercial construction loans | 12,293 | — | — | — | — | 12,293 | 2.3 | | |
| Land and land development loans | 26,138 | 1,403 | 5,013 | 1,190 | 472 | 34,216 | 6.5 | | |
| Agriculture loans | 1,879 | 3,717 | 26,078 | 70,355 | 3,516 | 105,545 | 20.0 | | |
| Multifamily loans | 8,795 | 174 | 3,042 | 133 | 1,166 | 13,310 | 2.5 | | |
| Residential real estate loans | 41,175 | 3,535 | 4,176 | 6,905 | 2,123 | 57,914 | 11.0 | | |
| Residential construction loans | 2,021 | — | — | — | — | 2,021 | 0.4 | | |
| Consumer loans | 5,181 | 1,132 | 644 | 1,607 | 296 | 8,860 | 1.7 | | |

Edgar Filing: INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|---|
| Municipal loans | 5,203 | 1,297 | — | — | — | 6,500 | 1.2 | |
| Total | \$301,159 | \$26,325 | \$57,909 | \$115,188 | \$27,665 | \$528,246 | 100.0 | % |
| Percent of total loans in geographic area | 57.0 | % 5.0 | % 11.0 | % 22.0 | % 5.0 | % 100.0 | % | |
| Percent of total loans where real estate is the primary collateral | 69.6 | % 57.2 | % 51.1 | % 36.0 | % 72.9 | % 59.8 | % | |

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, the Company's loan portfolio by loan type and geographical market area was:

| Loan Portfolio by Location | North Idaho — Magic Eastern | | Greater Boise | E. Oregon, SW Idaho, excluding Boise | | Total | % of Loan type to total Loans | | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | Washington | Idaho | Area | Boise | Other | | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$80,582 | \$4,602 | \$9,745 | \$18,013 | \$794 | \$113,736 | 21.8 | % | |
| Commercial real estate loans | 128,248 | 9,862 | 9,299 | 15,465 | 18,333 | 181,207 | 34.7 | % | |
| Commercial construction loans | 7,028 | — | 317 | — | 38 | 7,383 | 1.4 | % | |
| Land and land development loans | 20,397 | 1,378 | 5,344 | 1,203 | 624 | 28,946 | 5.5 | % | |
| Agriculture loans | 2,003 | 3,440 | 26,143 | 61,034 | 3,964 | 96,584 | 18.5 | % | |
| Multifamily loans | 12,431 | 149 | 4,420 | 30 | 1,175 | 18,205 | 3.5 | % | |
| Residential real estate loans | 42,141 | 3,365 | 4,244 | 7,046 | 2,376 | 59,172 | 11.3 | % | |
| Residential construction loans | 2,337 | — | 77 | 117 | — | 2,531 | 0.5 | % | |
| Consumer loans | 5,400 | 1,149 | 730 | 1,506 | 248 | 9,033 | 1.7 | % | |
| Municipal loans | 4,627 | 1,337 | — | — | — | 5,964 | 1.1 | % | |
| Total | \$305,194 | \$25,282 | \$60,319 | \$104,414 | \$27,552 | \$522,761 | 100.0 | % | |
| Percent of total loans in geographic area | 58.4 | % 4.8 | % 11.5 | % 20.0 | % 5.3 | % 100.0 | % | | |
| Percent of total loans where real estate is the primary collateral | 70.1 | % 62.7 | % 52.1 | % 39.6 | % 85.8 | % 62.4 | % | | |

As indicated, 57.0% of the Company's loans are in northern Idaho and eastern Washington, with the next highest percentage in the rural markets of southwest Idaho outside of Boise. Economic trends and real estate valuations are showing consistent improvement in all the Company's markets now. The southwest Idaho and Magic Valley markets are largely agricultural areas which have not seen levels of price appreciation or depreciation as steep as other areas over the last few years. The "Other" category noted above largely represents loans made to local borrowers where the collateral is located outside the Company's communities. The mix in this category is relatively diverse, with the highest proportions in Oregon, Washington, California, Nevada and Arizona, but no single state comprising more than 2.9% of the total loan portfolio. Participation loans where Intermountain purchased part of the loan and was not the lead bank totaled \$6.1 million at June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the composition of Intermountain's loan originations for the periods indicated.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | % Change | 2014 | 2013 | % Change |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$ 11,404 | \$ 16,209 | (29.6)% | \$ 19,126 | \$ 23,168 | (17.4)% |
| Commercial real estate loans | 2,121 | 18,995 | (88.8) | 3,249 | 22,119 | (85.3) |
| Commercial construction loans | 1,825 | 1,257 | 45.2 | 3,412 | 6,641 | (48.6) |
| Land and land development loans | 6,326 | 2,000 | 216.3 | 7,462 | 2,203 | 238.7 |
| Agriculture loans | 9,137 | 10,229 | (10.7) | 21,747 | 19,096 | 13.9 |
| Multifamily loans | — | — | — | 330 | — | 100.0 |
| Residential real estate loans | 10,925 | 20,782 | (47.4) | 20,658 | 36,234 | (43.0) |
| Residential construction loans | 2,219 | 887 | 150.2 | 2,603 | 1,493 | 74.3 |
| Consumer loans | 951 | 873 | 8.9 | 1,218 | 1,640 | (25.7) |
| Municipal loans | 747 | 220 | 239.5 | 1,058 | 377 | 180.6 |
| Total loans originated | \$ 45,655 | \$ 71,452 | (36.1)% | \$ 80,863 | \$ 112,971 | (28.4)% |
| Renewed loans | \$ 55,733 | \$ 59,441 | (6.2)% | \$ 105,358 | \$ 104,869 | 0.5 % |

The decrease in new origination activity from the same six-month period last year primarily reflects reductions in commercial real estate and mortgage loan activity. As noted above, the Company has been cautious in originating new commercial real estate activity at low interest rates to protect its future interest rate risk position. Higher mortgage rates have negatively impacted both refinance and new purchase volumes. Otherwise, higher origination activity in agriculture and land development loans offset reductions in commercial and commercial construction loans. Renewal activity was up modestly from the prior year, reflecting slightly stronger borrowing demand from existing customers.

While 2014 origination activity continues to reflect muted economic growth, the Company is starting to see stronger market conditions and borrowing demand in its local markets. This is particularly true for commercial and construction activity. Improvements in agricultural production reflect both increased borrowing demand from existing customers and the development of new customers. Overall origination activity is likely to continue improving from earlier totals as the economy rebounds, but will still be under pressure from slow employment growth and aggressive industry competition for strong borrowers. Residential real estate activity will likely pick up in the next couple quarters, reflecting seasonal increases, but will not likely return to prior levels given the lack of refinance activity. The Company has chosen not to purchase loan pools or pursue additional participation loans in order to maintain a more conservative credit position.

Office Properties and Equipment. Office properties and equipment decreased \$572,000 from year end to \$34.1 million at June 30, 2014 as the Company continued to limit new purchase activity.

Other Real Estate Owned. OREO balances totaled \$3.7 million at both June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, consisting of one development property, which is being sold in an installment sales agreement over a five-year period. The following table details OREO activity during the first six months of 2014 and 2013.

Other Real Estate Owned Activity

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|------------------------|----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Balance, beginning of period, January 1 | \$ 3,684 | \$ 4,951 |
| Additions to OREO | 84 | 394 |
| Proceeds from sale of OREO | (83) | (817) |
| Valuation Adjustments in the period(1) | (1) | (16) |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Balance, end of period, June 30 | \$3,684 | \$4,512 |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|

Table of Contents

(1) Amount includes chargedowns and gains/losses on sale of OREO

Deferred Tax Asset. The Company had deferred tax assets totaling \$19.6 million at June 30, 2014 compared to \$21.7 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease in the net deferred tax asset reflects the impacts of additional earnings and an increase in the unrealized market value of the Company's investment securities.

BOLI and Other Assets. Bank-owned life insurance ("BOLI") and other assets increased to \$22.0 million at June 30, 2014 from \$19.7 million at year end, 2013. The increase reflected higher interest accrued on interest-bearing assets, and an increase in the balance of loans held for sale.

Deposits. Total deposits decreased \$12.2 million to \$693.9 million at June 30, 2014 from \$706.1 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease reflects seasonal reductions in checking, savings and money market funds, as customers used funds for tax and business operating purposes. The Company continues to focus on managing relationship customers closely, lowering its cost of funds, and moving CD customers seeking higher yields into our investment products. Overall, transaction account deposits comprised 79.2% of total deposits at June 30, 2014, up slightly from 78.5% at the prior year end.

The following table sets forth the composition of Intermountain's deposits at the dates indicated.

| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---|
| | Amount | % of total deposits | Amount | % of total deposits | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Non-interest bearing demand accounts | \$234,869 | 33.8 | % \$235,793 | 33.4 | % |
| Interest bearing demand accounts | 101,477 | 14.6 | 102,629 | 14.6 | |
| Money market 0.0% to 2.00% | 213,514 | 30.9 | 215,458 | 30.5 | |
| Savings and IRA 0.0% to 4.91% | 67,528 | 9.7 | 68,555 | 9.7 | |
| Certificate of deposit accounts (CDs) | 30,785 | 4.4 | 34,178 | 4.8 | |
| Jumbo CDs | 45,715 | 6.6 | 49,437 | 7.0 | |
| Total deposits | \$693,888 | 100.0 | % \$706,050 | 100.0 | % |
| Weighted average interest rate on certificates of deposit | | 1.09 | % | 1.19 | % |
| Core Deposits as a percentage of total deposits (1) | | 93.4 | % | 93.0 | % |
| Deposits generated from the Company's market area as a % of total deposits | | 100.0 | % | 100.0 | % |

(1) Core deposits consist of non-interest and interest bearing demand accounts, money market accounts, savings accounts, and certificate of deposit accounts of less than \$100,000 (excluding public deposits).

The Company's strong local, core funding base, high percentage of checking, money market and savings balances and careful management of its wholesale CD funding provide lower-cost, more reliable funding to the Company than many of its peers and add to the liquidity strength of the Bank. Maintaining the local funding base at a reasonable cost remains a critical priority for the Company's management and production staff.

Deposits by location are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| Deposits by Location | 6/30/2014 | % of total deposits | 12/31/2013 | % of total deposits |
|---|-----------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| North Idaho — Eastern Washington | \$350,371 | 50.5 % | \$359,655 | 51.1 % |
| Magic Valley Idaho | 66,504 | 9.6 | 65,634 | 9.3 |
| Greater Boise Area | 67,140 | 9.7 | 70,182 | 9.9 |
| Southwest Idaho — Oregon, excluding Boise | 163,901 | 23.6 | 167,496 | 23.7 |
| Administration, Secured Savings | 45,972 | 6.6 | 43,083 | 6.0 |
| Total | \$693,888 | 100.0 % | \$706,050 | 100.0 % |

The Company attempts to, and has been successful in balancing loan and deposit balances in each of the market areas it serves. Northern Idaho and eastern Washington deposits currently exceed those in the Company's southern Idaho and eastern Oregon

Table of Contents

markets, reflecting the longer presence it has had in these markets. The Company's deposit market share ranks third in overall market share in its core markets.

Borrowings. Deposit accounts are Intermountain's primary source of funds. Intermountain also relies upon advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle ("FHLB"), repurchase agreements and other borrowings to supplement its funding, reduce its overall cost of funds, and to meet deposit withdrawal requirements. These borrowings totaled \$114.9 million and \$127.3 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The decrease from year end reflects fluctuations in municipal repurchase activity, partially offset by increases in FHLB advances.

Interest Rate Risk

The results of operations for financial institutions may be materially and adversely affected by changes in prevailing economic conditions, including rapid changes in interest rates, declines in real estate market values and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government. Like all financial institutions, Intermountain's net interest income and its NPV (the net present value of financial assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet contracts), are subject to fluctuations in interest rates. Intermountain utilizes various tools to assess and manage interest rate risk, including an internal income simulation model that seeks to estimate the impact of various rate changes on the net interest income and net income of the bank. This model is validated by comparing results against various third-party estimations. Currently, the model and third-party estimates indicate that Intermountain's interest rate profile is neutral to slightly asset-sensitive. An asset-sensitive bank generally sees improved net interest income and net income in a rising rate environment, as its assets reprice more rapidly and/or to a greater degree than its liabilities. The opposite is true in a falling interest rate environment. When market rates fall, an asset-sensitive bank tends to see declining income. The Company has become less asset-sensitive over the preceding year, as many of its variable-rate loans have hit contractual floors and the duration of its liability portfolio has shortened.

The current highly unusual market and rate conditions have heightened interest rate risk for the Company and most other financial institutions. Continued very low market rates, keen competition for quality borrowers, and high demand for fixed income securities is negatively impacting net interest income and could continue to do so for a relatively long period of time. In addition, market values on the Company's available-for-sale securities portfolio are susceptible to potentially large negative impacts in the future should market rates increase, as they did in the second quarter of last year.

To minimize the long-term impact of fluctuating interest rates on net interest income, Intermountain promotes a loan pricing policy of utilizing variable interest rate structures that associates loan rates to Intermountain's internal cost of funds and to the nationally recognized prime or London Interbank Offered ("LIBOR") lending rates. While this strategy has had adverse impacts in the current unusually low rate environment, the approach historically has contributed to a relatively consistent interest rate spread over the long-term and reduces pressure from borrowers to renegotiate loan terms during periods of falling interest rates. Intermountain currently maintains over fifty percent of its loan portfolio in variable interest rate assets.

Additionally, the extent to which borrowers prepay loans is affected by prevailing interest rates. When interest rates increase, borrowers are less likely to prepay loans. When interest rates decrease, borrowers are generally more likely to prepay loans. Prepayment speeds were unusually high in the period from 2010 to early 2013, as borrowers refinanced into lower rates, paid down debt to improve their financial position, or liquidated assets as part of problem loan work-out strategies. These prepayments have slowed significantly over the past year, however, after market rates increased about one percent in 2013. Prepayments may affect the levels of loans retained in an institution's portfolio, as well as its net interest income.

On the liability side, Intermountain generally seeks to manage its interest rate risk exposure by maintaining a relatively high percentage of non-interest bearing demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, savings and money market accounts. These instruments tend to lag changes in market rates and may afford the Bank more protection in increasing interest rate environments than other short-term borrowings, but can also be changed relatively quickly in a declining rate environment. The Bank utilizes various deposit pricing strategies and other borrowing sources to manage its rate risk. As noted above, the duration of the Company's liabilities has shortened considerably over the past two years, as customers have preferred shorter-term deposit products and the Company has not replaced longer-term brokered and wholesale funding instruments as they have come due. This presents some additional risk in a rising rate environment. The Company is evaluating various alternatives to mitigate this risk, including the assumption of some longer-term fixed wholesale funding and the use of off-balance sheet interest rate swaps and caps.

Intermountain maintains an asset and liability management program intended to manage net interest income through interest rate cycles and to protect its income by controlling its exposure to changing interest rates. As part of this program, Intermountain uses a simulation model designed to measure the sensitivity of net interest income and net income to changes in interest rates. This simulation model is designed to enable Intermountain to generate a forecast of net interest income and net income given various interest rate forecasts and alternative strategies. The model is also designed to measure the anticipated impact that

Table of Contents

prepayment risk, basis risk, customer maturity preferences, volumes of new business and changes in the relationship between long-term and short-term interest rates have on the performance of the Company. The following table represents the estimated sensitivity of the Company's net interest income as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, compared to the established policy limits:

| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| 12 Month Cumulative% effect on NII | Policy Limit % | 6/30/2014 | Policy Limit % | 6/30/2013 |
| +100bp | +5.0 to -3.0 | 0.45% | +5.0 to -3.0 | 0.47% |
| +300bp | +10.0 to -8.0 | 3.14% | +10.0 to -8.0 | 2.97% |
| -100bp | +5.0 to -3.0 | (5.46)% | +5.0 to -3.0 | (5.01)% |
| -300bp | -10.0 to -8.0 | N/A | -10.0 to -8.0 | N/A |
| 24 Month Cumulative% effect on NII | Policy Limit % | 6/30/2014 | Policy Limit % | 6/30/2013 |
| +100bp | +8.0% to -6.0% | 1.77% | +8.0% to -6.0% | 1.75% |
| +300bp | +20.0% to -15.0% | 4.81% | +20.0% to -15.0% | 5.46% |
| -100bp | +8.0% to -6.0% | (8.13)% | +8.0% to -6.0% | (7.61)% |
| -300bp | +20.0% to -15.0% | N/A | +20.0% to -15.0% | N/A |

The results of modeling indicate that the estimated impact of changing rates on net interest income in a 100 and 300 basis point upward adjustment are within the guidelines established by management. The estimated impact of changing rates on net interest income in a 100 basis point downward adjustment in market interest rates is outside of the Company's guidelines over both a 12-month and 24-month period. A 300 basis point decrease in rates is not considered feasible at this time. The impacts of changing rates on the Company's modeled economic value of equity ("EVE") are within the Company's guidelines for both rising and falling rates. The Company has chosen not to take action to resolve the falling rate guideline exceptions, because of the current low level of market rates and the negative impact actions it could take would have on its exposure to rising rates.

The continuing low level of market rates, and particularly the Federal Funds target range of between 0.00 and 0.25% is unprecedented. This has created significant challenges for interest rate risk management over the past several years, and is reflected in the significant reduction in net interest income during this period. Given the unusual current market rate conditions and the potential for either a prolonged low-rate environment or rapidly rising rates at some point in the future, Company management continues to refine and expand its interest rate risk modeling, and is responding to the results by proactively managing both its on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet positions. Based on the results of its continuing evaluations, management believes that its interest rate risk position is relatively neutral, but that current economic and market conditions heighten overall interest rate risk for both the Company and the industry as a whole.

Intermountain is continuing to pursue strategies to manage the level of its interest rate risk while increasing its long-term net interest income and net income: 1) through the origination and retention of a diversified mix of variable and fixed-rate consumer, business, commercial real estate, and residential loans which generally have higher yields than alternative investments; 2) by prudently managing its investment portfolio to provide relative earnings stability in the face of changing rate environments; and 3) by increasing the level of its core deposits, which are generally a lower-cost, less rate-sensitive funding source than wholesale borrowings. There can be no assurance that Intermountain will be successful implementing any of these strategies or that, if these strategies are implemented, they will have the intended effect of reducing interest rate risk or increasing net interest income.

Liquidity and Sources of Funds

As a financial institution, Intermountain's primary sources of funds from assets include the collection of loan principal and interest payments, cash flows from various investment securities, and sales of loans, investments or other assets.

Liability financing sources consist primarily of customer deposits, repurchase obligations with local customers, advances from FHLB Seattle and correspondent bank borrowings.

The combined impact of liability and other asset changes resulted in an overall decrease of \$30.5 million in the Company's unrestricted cash position from December 31, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

The decrease in unrestricted cash resulted from changes in both asset and liability balances, including a \$9.6 million increase in available-for-sale investments, a \$5.4 million increase in net loans receivable, a \$22.0 million reduction in repurchase agreements and a \$12.2 million decrease in deposits. The conversion of cash into net loans receivable and investments reflects the Company's desire to move cash into higher-yielding assets, while the decreases in repurchase agreements and deposits are the result of seasonal fluctuations and reductions in higher-rate CDs.

Table of Contents

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, cash used by investing activities consisted primarily of loan advances and purchases of investments securities, which offset payments on loan receivables, sales of investment securities and principal payments on mortgage-back securities. During the same period, cash used by financing activities consisted primarily of decreases in CD deposit balances and repurchase agreements.

Securities sold subject to repurchase agreements totaled \$77.8 million at June 30, 2014. These borrowings are required to be collateralized by investments with a market value exceeding the face value of the borrowings. Under certain circumstances, Intermountain could be required to pledge additional securities or reduce the borrowings.

Intermountain's credit line with FHLB Seattle provides for borrowings up to a percentage of its total assets subject to general collateralization requirements. At June 30, 2014, the Company's FHLB Seattle credit line represented a total borrowing capacity of approximately \$140.7 million, of which \$14.9 million was being utilized. Additional collateralized funding availability at the Federal Reserve totaled \$30.9 million. Both of these collateral secured lines could be expanded more with the placement of additional collateral. Overnight-unsecured borrowing lines have been established at US Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, and Pacific Coast Bankers Bank ("PCBB"). At June 30, 2014, the Company had approximately \$45.0 million of overnight funding available from its unsecured correspondent banking sources. Intermountain and its subsidiary Bank maintain an active liquidity monitoring and management plan, and have worked aggressively over the past several years to expand sources of alternative liquidity. Given continuing volatile economic conditions, the Bank has taken additional protective measures to enhance liquidity, including issuance of new capital, movement of funds into more liquid assets and increased emphasis on relationship deposit-gathering efforts. Because of its relatively low reliance on non-core funding sources and the additional efforts undertaken to improve liquidity discussed above, management believes that the subsidiary Bank's current liquidity risk is moderate and manageable.

Management continues to monitor its liquidity position carefully and conducts periodic stress tests to evaluate future potential liquidity concerns in the subsidiary Bank. It has established contingency plans for potential liquidity shortfalls. Longer term, the Company intends to fund asset growth primarily with core deposit growth, and it has initiated a number of organizational changes and programs to spur this growth when needed.

Liquidity for the parent Company depends substantially on dividends from the Bank. The other primary sources of liquidity for the parent Company are capital or borrowings. Management projects that available resources will be sufficient to meet the parent Company's projected funding needs.

Capital Resources

Intermountain's total stockholders' equity was \$99.0 million at June 30, 2014, compared with \$94.0 million at December 31, 2013, as earnings and increases in the market value of the Company's investment portfolio bolstered capital. Stockholders' equity and tangible stockholders' equity was 10.8% of total assets at June 30, 2014 and 10.0% at December 31, 2013, respectively. Tangible common equity as a percentage of tangible assets was 10.8% at March 31, 2014 and 10.0% for December 31, 2013.

At June 30, 2014, Intermountain had unrealized gains of \$1.3 million, net of related income taxes, on investments classified as available-for-sale, as compared to unrealized losses of \$1.2 million, net of related income taxes, on investments classified as available-for-sale at December 31, 2013. The movement from an unrealized loss to an unrealized gain during this time period reflected the positive impact of slightly lower market interest rates on the value of the Company's securities portfolio.

Intermountain issued and has outstanding \$16.5 million of Trust Preferred Securities. The indenture governing the Trust Preferred Securities limits the ability of Intermountain under certain circumstances to pay dividends or to make other capital distributions. The Trust Preferred Securities are treated as debt of Intermountain. These Trust Preferred Securities can be called for redemption by the Company at 100% of the aggregate principal plus accrued and unpaid interest. See Note 5 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements."

Intermountain and the Bank are required by applicable regulations to maintain certain minimum capital levels and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier I capital to average assets. Intermountain and the Bank plan to maintain their capital resources and regulatory capital ratios through the retention of earnings and the management of the level and mix of assets. At June 30, 2014, Intermountain exceeded the minimum published regulatory capital requirements to be considered "well-capitalized" pursuant to Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council "FFIEC" regulations. The Company has also evaluated its projected capital position in relation to new higher capital standards issued by federal regulators in 2013, but effective over a phased time period from 2015 through 2020. Based on its initial evaluation, the Company would continue to meet the new higher requirements to be considered "well capitalized" after full phase-in. As with other future estimates, the Company cannot guarantee these future results.

Table of Contents

| | Actual | | Capital Requirements | | Well-Capitalized Requirements | | | |
|---|------------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets): | | | | | | | | |
| The Company | \$ 103,481 | 17.29 | % \$47,892 | 8 | % \$59,865 | 10 | % | |
| Panhandle State Bank | 103,800 | 17.31 | % 47,975 | 8 | % 59,968 | 10 | % | |
| Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets): | | | | | | | | |
| The Company | 95,995 | 16.04 | % 23,946 | 4 | % 35,919 | 6 | % | |
| Panhandle State Bank | 96,301 | 16.06 | % 23,987 | 4 | % 35,981 | 6 | % | |
| Tier I capital (to average assets): | | | | | | | | |
| The Company | 95,995 | 10.67 | % 35,978 | 4 | % 44,972 | 5 | % | |
| Panhandle State Bank | 96,301 | 10.71 | % 35,983 | 4 | % 44,979 | 5 | % | |

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

The Company, in the conduct of ordinary business operations routinely enters into contracts for services. These contracts may require payment for services to be provided in the future and may also contain penalty clauses for the early termination of the contracts. The Company is also party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Management does not believe that these off-balance sheet arrangements have a material current effect on the Company's financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources, but there is no assurance that such arrangements will not have a future effect. There have not been any material changes to the Off Balance Sheet Arrangements or Contractual Obligations since the filing of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

New Accounting Pronouncements

The "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements" in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Note 10 of this Report, discusses new accounting pronouncements adopted by Intermountain and the expected impact of accounting pronouncements recently issued or proposed.

Item 3 —Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As of June 30, 2014, there have not been any material changes to the information set forth under the caption "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 4 —Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: Intermountain's management, with the participation of Intermountain's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of Intermountain's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, Intermountain's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, Intermountain's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by Intermountain in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting: In the six months ended June 30, 2014, there were no changes in Intermountain's internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to

materially affect, Intermountain's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II — Other Information

47

Table of Contents

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Intermountain and Panhandle are parties to various claims, legal actions and complaints in the ordinary course of business. In Intermountain's opinion, all such matters are adequately covered by insurance, are without merit or are of such kind, or involve such amounts, that unfavorable disposition would not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of Intermountain.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Company believes that there have been no material changes from risk factors previously discussed under "Part I - Item A - Risk Factors" in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 (the "2013 Annual Report"). In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties discussed in our 2013 Annual Report. These factors, as well as those that we do not know about, that we currently believe are immaterial, or that we have not predicted, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and capital position, and could cause our actual results to differ materially from our historical results or the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report.

Item 2—Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 3—Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4—Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5—Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6—Exhibits

Exhibit No. Exhibit

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.

32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.

101* The following financial information from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 is formatted in XBRL: (i) the Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Cash Flows, (iv) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

* Furnished herewith

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INTERMOUNTAIN COMMUNITY BANCORP
(Registrant)

August 8, 2014
Date

By: /s/ Curt Hecker
Curt Hecker
President and Chief Executive Officer

August 8, 2014
Date

By: /s/ Doug Wright
Doug Wright
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer