

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC  
Form 10-K  
February 24, 2010

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(MARK ONE)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED: DECEMBER 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 1-13447

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

MARYLAND 22-3479661  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation of organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1211 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 2902  
New York, New York 10036  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 696-0100  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share	New York Stock Exchange
7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

---

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No .

At June 30, 2009, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$8,193,939,370.

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding on February 24, 2010 was 553,156,865.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

The registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. Portions of such proxy statement are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

---

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.  
2009 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

		PAGE
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>BUSINESS</u>	1
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	18
<u>ITEM 1B.</u>	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	36
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	36
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	36
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u>	36
PART II		
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	37
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	40
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	41
<u>ITEM 7A.</u>	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	63
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	65
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	65
<u>ITEM 9A.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	65
<u>ITEM 9B.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	66
PART III		
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	66

<u>ITEM 11.</u>	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	66
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	66
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	66
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	66
PART IV		
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	67
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>		67
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>		F-1
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		II-1

---

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this annual report, and certain statements contained in our future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC” or the “Commission”), in our press releases or in our other public or shareholder communications may not be based on historical facts and are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements, which are based on various assumptions (some of which are beyond our control), may be identified by reference to a future period or periods or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as “may,” “will,” “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “continue,” or similar terms or variations on those terms or the negative of those terms. Actual results could differ materially from those set forth in forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to:

- changes in interest rates,
- changes in the yield curve,
- changes in prepayment rates,
- the availability of mortgage-backed securities and other securities for purchase,
- the availability of financing and, if available, the terms of any financing,
  - changes in the market value of our assets,
  - changes in business conditions and the general economy,
  - our ability to consummate any contemplated investment opportunities,
- risks associated with the investment advisory business of our wholly owned subsidiaries, including:
  - o the removal by clients of assets managed,
  - o their regulatory requirements, and
  - o competition in the investment advisory business,
  - risks associated with the broker-dealer business of our subsidiary,
  - changes in government regulations affecting our business, and
- our ability to maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes

No forward-looking statements can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially and we caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. For a discussion of the risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements, please see the information under the caption “Risk Factors” described in this Form 10-K. We do not undertake, and specifically disclaim any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

THE COMPANY

Background

We own, manage, and finance a portfolio of real estate related investment securities, including mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations (or CMOs), agency callable debentures, and other securities representing interests in or obligations backed by pools of mortgage loans. Our principal business objective is to generate net income for distribution to our stockholders from the spread between the interest income on our investment securities and the cost of borrowings to finance our acquisition of investment securities and from dividends we receive from our subsidiaries. Our wholly-owned subsidiaries offer diversified real estate, asset management and other financial services. We are a Maryland corporation that commenced operations on February 18, 1997. We are self-advised and self-managed. We acquired Fixed Income Discount Advisory Company (or FIDAC) on June 4, 2004 and Merganser Capital Management, Inc. (or Merganser) on October 31, 2008. FIDAC and Merganser manage a number of investment vehicles and separate accounts for which they earn fee income. Our subsidiary, RCap Securities Inc. (or RCap), operates as a broker-dealer, and was granted membership in the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (or FINRA) in January 2009.

We have elected and believe that we are organized and have operated in a manner that qualifies us to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (or REIT) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the Code). If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income that is distributed to our stockholders. Therefore, substantially all of our assets, other than FIDAC, Merganser and RCap, which are our taxable REIT subsidiaries, consist of qualified REIT real estate assets (of the type described in Section 856(c)(5)(B) of the Code). We have financed our purchases of investment securities with the net proceeds of equity offerings and borrowings under repurchase agreements whose interest rates adjust based on changes in short-term market interest rates.

As used herein, “Annaly,” the “Company,” “we,” “our” and similar terms refer to Annaly Capital Management, Inc., unless the context indicates otherwise.

Assets

Under our capital investment policy, at least 75% of our total assets must be comprised of high-quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. High quality securities means securities that (1) are rated within one of the two highest rating categories by at least one of the nationally recognized rating agencies, (2) are unrated but are guaranteed by the United States government or an agency of the United States government, or (3) are unrated but we determine them to be of comparable quality to rated high-quality mortgage-backed securities.

The remainder of our assets, comprising not more than 25% of our total assets, may consist of other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality, but which are at least “investment grade” (rated “BBB” or better by Standard & Poor’s Corporation (or S&P) or the equivalent by another nationally recognized rating agency) or, if not rated, we determine them to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated “BBB” or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including but without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics.

We may acquire mortgage-backed securities backed by single-family residential mortgage loans as well as securities backed by loans on multi-family, commercial or other real estate related properties. To date, substantially all of the mortgage-backed securities that we have acquired have been backed by single-family residential mortgage loans.

To date, substantially all of the mortgage-backed securities that we have acquired have been agency mortgage-backed securities which, although not rated, carry an implied “AAA” rating. Agency mortgage-backed securities are mortgage-backed securities for which a government agency or federally chartered corporation, such as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC or Freddie Mac), the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA or Fannie Mae), or the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA or Ginnie Mae), guarantees payments of principal or interest on the securities. Agency mortgage-backed securities consist of agency pass-through certificates and CMOs issued or guaranteed by an agency. Pass-through certificates provide for a pass-through of the monthly interest and principal payments made by the borrowers on the underlying mortgage loans. CMOs divide a pool of mortgage loans into multiple tranches with different principal and interest payment characteristics.



At December 31, 2009, approximately 21% of our investment securities were adjustable-rate pass-through certificates, approximately 74% of our investment securities were fixed-rate pass-through certificates or CMOs, and approximately 5% of our investment securities were CMO floaters. Our adjustable-rate pass-through certificates are backed by adjustable-rate mortgage loans and have coupon rates which adjust over time, subject to interest rate caps and lag periods, in conjunction with changes in short-term interest rates. Our fixed-rate pass-through certificates are backed by fixed-rate mortgage loans and have coupon rates which do not adjust over time. CMO floaters are tranches of mortgage-backed securities where the interest rate adjusts in conjunction with changes in short-term interest rates. CMO floaters may be backed by fixed-rate mortgage loans or, less often, by adjustable-rate mortgage loans. In this Form 10-K, except where the context indicates otherwise, we use the term “adjustable-rate securities” or “adjustable-rate investment securities” to refer to adjustable-rate pass-through certificates, CMO floaters, and Agency debentures. At December 31, 2009, the weighted average yield on our portfolio of earning assets was 4.51% and the weighted average term to next rate adjustment on adjustable rate securities was 33 months.

We may also invest in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), FHLMC, and FNMA debentures. We refer to the mortgage-backed securities and agency debentures collectively as “Investment Securities.” We intend to continue to invest in adjustable rate pass-through certificates, fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities, CMO floaters, and Agency debentures. We may also invest on a limited basis in derivative securities which include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics. We have not and will not invest in real estate mortgage investment conduit (REMIC) residuals and other CMO residuals.

#### Borrowings

We attempt to structure our collateralized borrowings to have interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods that, on an aggregate basis, correspond generally to the interest rate adjustment indices and periods of our adjustable-rate investment securities. However, periodic rate adjustments on our collateralized borrowings are generally more frequent than rate adjustments on our investment securities. At December 31, 2009, the weighted average cost of funds for all of our collateralized borrowings was 2.11%, with the effect of swaps, the weighted average original term to maturity was 217 days, and the weighted average term to next rate adjustment of these collateralized borrowings was 170 days.

We generally expect to maintain a ratio of debt-to-equity of between 8:1 and 12:1, although the ratio may vary from time to time depending upon market conditions and other factors that our management deems relevant. For purposes of calculating this ratio, our equity is equal to the value of our investment portfolio on a mark-to-market basis, less the book value of our obligations under repurchase agreements and other collateralized borrowings. At December 31, 2009, our ratio of debt-to-equity was 5.7:1.

On February 9, 2010, we issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 4% convertible senior notes due 2015 (Convertible Senior Notes) for net proceeds following underwriting expenses of approximately \$484.8 million. Interest on the notes will be paid semi-annually at a rate of 4% per year and the notes will mature on February 15, 2015 unless earlier repurchased or converted. The notes will be convertible into shares of common stock at an initial conversion rate of 46.6070 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$21.456 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances.

## Hedging

To the extent consistent with our election to qualify as a REIT, we enter into hedging transactions to attempt to protect our investment securities and related borrowings against the effects of major interest rate changes. This hedging would be used to mitigate declines in the market value of our investment securities during periods of increasing or decreasing interest rates and to limit or cap the interest rates on our borrowings. These transactions would be entered into solely for the purpose of hedging interest rate or prepayment risk and not for speculative purposes. In connection with our interest rate risk management strategy, we hedge a portion of our interest rate risk by entering into derivative financial instrument contracts. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$21.5 billion in interest rate swaps, which in effect modify the cash flows on repurchase agreements.

## Our Subsidiaries

FIDAC, an investment advisor registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, is a fixed-income investment management company specializing in managing fixed income investments in residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities and collateralized debt obligations for various investment vehicles and separate accounts. FIDAC also has experience in managing and structuring debt financing associated with various asset classes and serves as a liquidation agent of collateralized debt obligations. FIDAC commenced active investment management operations in 1994. At September 30, 2009, FIDAC was the adviser or sub-adviser for investment vehicles and separate accounts. The team managing Annaly plays the same roles at FIDAC.

Merganser, an investment advisor registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, has expertise in a variety of fixed income strategies and focuses on managing each portfolio based on each client's specific investment principles. Merganser serves a diverse group of clients in a variety of disciplines nationwide including pension, public, operating, Taft-Hartley and endowment funds as well as defined contribution plans. Merganser's investment team maintains a careful balance of risk management and performance by employing fundamental security analysis and by trading in an environment supported by state-of-the-art technology, infrastructure and operations.

RCap operates as a broker-dealer and was granted membership in the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (or FINRA) in January 2009.

## Compliance with REIT and Investment Company Requirements

We constantly monitor our investment securities and the income from these securities and, to the extent we enter into hedging transactions, we monitor income from our hedging transactions as well, so as to ensure at all times that we maintain our qualification as a REIT and our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

## Executive Officers of the Company

The following table sets forth certain information as of February 25, 2010 concerning our executive officers:

Name	Age	Position held with the Company
Michael A.J. Farrell	58	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President
Wellington J. Denahan-Norris	46	Vice Chairman of the Board, Chief Investment Officer and Chief Operating Officer
Kathryn F. Fagan	43	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

R. Nicholas Singh	50	Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer
James P. Fortescue	36	Managing Director and Head of Liabilities
Kristopher Konrad	35	Managing Director and Co-Head Portfolio Management
Rose-Marie Lyght	36	Managing Director and Co-Head Portfolio Management
Jeremy Diamond	46	Managing Director
Ronald Kazel	42	Managing Director

3

---

Mr. Farrell and Ms. Denahan-Norris have an average of 25 years experience in the investment banking and investment management industries where, in various capacities, they have each managed portfolios of mortgage-backed securities, arranged collateralized borrowings and utilized hedging techniques to mitigate interest rate and other risk within fixed-income portfolios. Ms. Fagan is a certified public accountant and, prior to becoming our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, served as Chief Financial Officer and Controller of a publicly owned savings and loan association. Mr. Singh joined Annaly in February 2005. Prior to that, he was a partner in the law firm of McKee Nelson LLP. Mr. Fortescue joined Annaly in 1997. Mr. Konrad joined Annaly in 1997. Ms. Lyght joined Annaly in April 1999. Mr. Diamond joined Annaly in March 2002. Mr. Kazel joined Annaly in December 2001. We and our subsidiaries had 87 full-time employees at December 31, 2009.

#### Distributions

To maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must distribute substantially all of our taxable income to our stockholders each year. We have done this in the past and intend to continue to do so in the future. We also have declared and paid regular quarterly dividends in the past and intend to do so in the future. We have adopted a dividend reinvestment plan to enable holders of common stock to reinvest dividends automatically in additional shares of common stock.

## BUSINESS STRATEGY

### General

Our principal business objective is to generate income for distribution to our stockholders, primarily from the net cash flows on our investment securities. Our net cash flows result primarily from the difference between the interest income on our investment securities and borrowing costs of our repurchase agreements and from dividends we receive from our subsidiaries. To achieve our business objective and generate dividend yields, our strategy is:

- § to acquire mortgage-backed securities that we believe:
  - we have the necessary expertise to evaluate and manage;
  - we can readily finance;
  - are consistent with our balance sheet guidelines and risk management objectives; and
- provide attractive investment returns in a range of scenarios;

§ to finance purchases of mortgage-backed securities with the proceeds of equity offerings and, to the extent permitted by our capital investment policy, to utilize leverage to increase potential returns to stockholders through borrowings;

§ to attempt to structure our borrowings to have interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods that, on an aggregate basis, generally correspond to the interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods of our adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities;

§ to seek to minimize prepayment risk by structuring a diversified portfolio with a variety of prepayment characteristics and through other means; and

§ to issue new equity or debt and increase the size of our balance sheet when opportunities in the market for mortgage-backed securities are likely to allow growth in earnings per share.

We believe we are able to obtain cost efficiencies through our facilities-sharing arrangement with FIDAC and RCap and by virtue of our management's experience in managing portfolios of mortgage-backed securities and arranging collateralized borrowings. We will strive to become even more cost-efficient over time by:

§ seeking to raise additional capital from time to time in order to increase our ability to invest in mortgage-backed securities;

§ striving to lower our effective borrowing costs by seeking direct funding with collateralized lenders, rather than using financial intermediaries, and investigating the possibility of using commercial paper and medium term note programs;

§ improving the efficiency of our balance sheet structure by investigating the issuance of uncollateralized subordinated debt, preferred stock and other forms of capital; and

§ utilizing information technology in our business, including improving our ability to monitor the performance of our investment securities and to lower our operating costs.



## Mortgage-Backed Securities

### General

To date, substantially all of the mortgage-backed securities that we have acquired have been agency mortgage-backed securities which, although not rated, carry an implied “AAA” rating. Agency mortgage-backed securities are mortgage-backed securities where a government agency or federally chartered corporation, such as FHLMC, FNMA or GNMA, guarantees payments of principal or interest on the securities. Agency mortgage-backed securities consist of agency pass-through certificates and CMOs issued or guaranteed by an agency.

Even though to date we have only acquired mortgage backed securities with an implied “AAA” rating, under our capital investment policy, we have the ability to acquire securities of lower quality. Under our policy, at least 75% of our total assets must be high quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. High quality securities are securities (1) that are rated within one of the two highest rating categories by at least one of the nationally recognized rating agencies, (2) that are unrated but are guaranteed by the United States government or an agency of the United States government, or (3) that are unrated or whose ratings have not been updated but that our management determines are of comparable quality to rated high quality mortgage-backed securities.

Under our capital investment policy, the remainder of our assets, comprising not more than 25% of total assets, may consist of mortgage-backed securities and other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality, but which are at least “investment grade” (rated “BBB” or better by S&P or the equivalent by another nationally recognized rating organization) or, if not rated, we determine them to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated “BBB” or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics. We intend to structure our portfolio to maintain a minimum weighted average rating (including our deemed comparable ratings for unrated mortgage-backed securities) of our mortgage-backed securities of at least single “A” under the S&P rating system and at the comparable level under the other rating systems.

Our allocation of investments among the permitted investment types may vary from time-to-time based on the evaluation by our board of directors of economic and market trends and our perception of the relative values available from these types of investments, except that in no event will our investments that are not high quality exceed 25% of our total assets.

We intend to acquire only those mortgage-backed securities that we believe we have the necessary expertise to evaluate and manage, that are consistent with our balance sheet guidelines and risk management objectives and that we believe we can readily finance. Since we generally hold the mortgage-backed securities we acquire until maturity, we generally do not seek to acquire assets whose investment returns are attractive in only a limited range of scenarios. We believe that future interest rates and mortgage prepayment rates are very difficult to predict. Therefore, we seek to acquire mortgage-backed securities which we believe will provide acceptable returns over a broad range of interest rate and prepayment scenarios.

At December 31, 2009, our mortgage-backed securities consisted of pass-through certificates and collateralized mortgage obligations issued or guaranteed by FHLMC, FNMA or GNMA. We have not, and will not, invest in REMIC residuals and other CMO residuals.

## Description of Mortgage-Backed Securities

The mortgage-backed securities that we acquire provide funds for mortgage loans made primarily to residential homeowners. Our securities generally represent interests in pools of mortgage loans made by savings and loan institutions, mortgage bankers, commercial banks and other mortgage lenders. These pools of mortgage loans are assembled for sale to investors (like us) by various government, government-related and private organizations.

Mortgage-backed securities differ from other forms of traditional debt securities, which normally provide for periodic payments of interest in fixed amounts with principal payments at maturity or on specified call dates. Instead, mortgage-backed securities provide for a monthly payment, which consists of both interest and principal. In effect, these payments are a “pass-through” of the monthly interest and principal payments made by the individual borrower on the mortgage loans, net of any fees paid to the issuer or guarantor of the securities. Additional payments result from prepayments of principal upon the sale, refinancing or foreclosure of the underlying residential property, net of fees or costs which may be incurred. Some mortgage-backed securities, such as securities issued by GNMA, are described as “modified pass-through”. These securities entitle the holder to receive all interest and principal payments owed on the mortgage pool, net of certain fees, regardless of whether the mortgagors actually make mortgage payments when due.

The investment characteristics of pass-through mortgage-backed securities differ from those of traditional fixed-income securities. The major differences include the payment of interest and principal on the mortgage-backed securities on a more frequent schedule, as described above, and the possibility that principal may be prepaid at any time due to prepayments on the underlying mortgage loans or other assets. These differences can result in significantly greater price and yield volatility than is the case with traditional fixed-income securities.

Various factors affect the rate at which mortgage prepayments occur, including changes in interest rates, general economic conditions, the age of the mortgage loan, the location of the property and other social and demographic conditions. Generally prepayments on mortgage-backed securities increase during periods of falling mortgage interest rates and decrease during periods of rising mortgage interest rates. We may reinvest prepayments at a yield that is higher or lower than the yield on the prepaid investment, thus affecting the weighted average yield of our investments.

To the extent mortgage-backed securities are purchased at a premium, faster than expected prepayments result in a faster than expected amortization of the premium paid. Conversely, if these securities were purchased at a discount, faster than expected prepayments accelerate our recognition of income.

CMOs may allow for shifting of prepayment risk from slower-paying tranches to faster-paying tranches. This is in contrast to mortgage pass-through certificates where all investors share equally in all payments, including all prepayments, on the underlying mortgages.

## FHLMC Certificates

FHLMC is a privately-owned government-sponsored enterprise created pursuant to an Act of Congress on July 24, 1970. The principal activity of FHLMC currently consists of the purchase of mortgage loans or participation interests in mortgage loans and the resale of the loans and participations in the form of guaranteed mortgage-backed securities. FHLMC guarantees to each holder of FHLMC certificates the timely payment of interest at the applicable pass-through rate and ultimate collection of all principal on the holder’s pro rata share of the unpaid principal balance of the related mortgage loans, but does not guarantee the timely payment of scheduled principal of the underlying mortgage loans. The obligations of FHLMC under its guarantees are solely those of FHLMC and are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. If FHLMC were unable to satisfy these obligations, distributions to holders of FHLMC certificates would consist solely of payments and other recoveries on the underlying mortgage loans and, accordingly, defaults and delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans would adversely affect monthly distributions to holders of FHLMC certificates.



FHLMC certificates may be backed by pools of single-family mortgage loans or multi-family mortgage loans. These underlying mortgage loans may have original terms to maturity of up to 40 years. FHLMC certificates may be issued under cash programs (composed of mortgage loans purchased from a number of sellers) or guarantor programs (composed of mortgage loans acquired from one seller in exchange for certificates representing interests in the mortgage loans purchased).

7

---

FHLMC certificates may pay interest at a fixed rate or an adjustable rate. The interest rate paid on adjustable-rate FHLMC certificates (FHLMC ARMs) adjusts periodically within 60 days prior to the month in which the interest rates on the underlying mortgage loans adjust. The interest rates paid on certificates issued under FHLMC's standard ARM programs adjust in relation to the Treasury index. Other specified indices used in FHLMC ARM programs include the 11th District Cost of Funds Index published by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, LIBOR and other indices. Interest rates paid on fully-indexed FHLMC ARM certificates equal the applicable index rate plus a specified number of basis points. The majority of series of FHLMC ARM certificates issued to date have evidenced pools of mortgage loans with monthly, semi-annual or annual interest adjustments. Adjustments in the interest rates paid are generally limited to an annual increase or decrease of either 100 or 200 basis points and to a lifetime cap of 500 or 600 basis points over the initial interest rate. Certain FHLMC programs include mortgage loans which allow the borrower to convert the adjustable mortgage interest rate to a fixed rate. Adjustable-rate mortgages which are converted into fixed-rate mortgage loans are repurchased by FHLMC or by the seller of the loan to FHLMC at the unpaid principal balance of the loan plus accrued interest to the due date of the last adjustable rate interest payment.

#### FNMA Certificates

FNMA is a privately-owned, federally-chartered corporation organized and existing under the Federal National Mortgage Association Charter Act. FNMA provides funds to the mortgage market primarily by purchasing home mortgage loans from local lenders, thereby replenishing their funds for additional lending. FNMA guarantees to the registered holder of a FNMA certificate that it will distribute amounts representing scheduled principal and interest on the mortgage loans in the pool underlying the FNMA certificate, whether or not received, and the full principal amount of any such mortgage loan foreclosed or otherwise finally liquidated, whether or not the principal amount is actually received. The obligations of FNMA under its guarantees are solely those of FNMA and are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. If FNMA were unable to satisfy its obligations, distributions to holders of FNMA certificates would consist solely of payments and other recoveries on the underlying mortgage loans and, accordingly, defaults and delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans would adversely affect monthly distributions to holders of FNMA.

FNMA certificates may be backed by pools of single-family or multi-family mortgage loans. The original term to maturity of any such mortgage loan generally does not exceed 40 years. FNMA certificates may pay interest at a fixed rate or an adjustable rate. Each series of FNMA ARM certificates bears an initial interest rate and margin tied to an index based on all loans in the related pool, less a fixed percentage representing servicing compensation and FNMA's guarantee fee. The specified index used in different series has included the Treasury Index, the 11th District Cost of Funds Index published by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, LIBOR and other indices. Interest rates paid on fully-indexed FNMA ARM certificates equal the applicable index rate plus a specified number of basis points. The majority of series of FNMA ARM certificates issued to date have evidenced pools of mortgage loans with monthly, semi-annual or annual interest rate adjustments. Adjustments in the interest rates paid are generally limited to an annual increase or decrease of either 100 or 200 basis points and to a lifetime cap of 500 or 600 basis points over the initial interest rate. Certain FNMA programs include mortgage loans which allow the borrower to convert the adjustable mortgage interest rate of the ARM to a fixed rate. Adjustable-rate mortgages which are converted into fixed-rate mortgage loans are repurchased by FNMA or by the seller of the loans to FNMA at the unpaid principal of the loan plus accrued interest to the due date of the last adjustable rate interest payment. Adjustments to the interest rates on FNMA ARM certificates are typically subject to lifetime caps and periodic rate or payment caps.

#### GNMA Certificates

GNMA is a wholly owned corporate instrumentality of the United States within the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The National Housing Act of 1934 authorizes GNMA to guarantee the timely payment of the principal of and interest on certificates which represent an interest in a pool of mortgages insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or partially guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs and other loans eligible

for inclusion in mortgage pools underlying GNMA certificates. Section 306(g) of the Housing Act provides that the full faith and credit of the United States is pledged to the payment of all amounts which may be required to be paid under any guaranty by GNMA.

At present, most GNMA certificates are backed by single-family mortgage loans. The interest rate paid on GNMA certificates may be a fixed rate or an adjustable rate. The interest rate on GNMA certificates issued under GNMA's standard ARM program adjusts annually in relation to the Treasury index. Adjustments in the interest rate are generally limited to an annual increase or decrease of 100 basis points and to a lifetime cap of 500 basis points over the initial coupon rate.

#### Single-Family and Multi-Family Privately-Issued Certificates

Single-family and multi-family privately-issued certificates are pass-through certificates that are not issued by one of the agencies and that are backed by a pool of conventional single-family or multi-family mortgage loans. These certificates are issued by originators of, investors in, and other owners of mortgage loans, including savings and loan associations, savings banks, commercial banks, mortgage banks, investment banks and special purpose "conduit" subsidiaries of these institutions.

While agency pass-through certificates are backed by the express obligation or guarantee of one of the agencies, as described above, privately-issued certificates are generally covered by one or more forms of private (i.e., non-governmental) credit enhancements. These credit enhancements provide an extra layer of loss coverage in the event that losses are incurred upon foreclosure sales or other liquidations of underlying mortgaged properties in amounts that exceed the equity holder's equity interest in the property. Forms of credit enhancements include limited issuer guarantees, reserve funds, private mortgage guaranty pool insurance, over-collateralization and subordination.

Subordination is a form of credit enhancement frequently used and involves the issuance of classes of senior and subordinated mortgage-backed securities. These classes are structured into a hierarchy to allocate losses on the underlying mortgage loans and also for defining priority of rights to payment of principal and interest. Typically, one or more classes of senior securities are created which are rated in one of the two highest rating levels by one or more nationally recognized rating agencies and which are supported by one or more classes of mezzanine securities and subordinated securities that bear losses on the underlying loans prior to the classes of senior securities. Mezzanine securities, as used in this Form 10-K, refers to classes that are rated below the two highest levels, but no lower than a single "B" rating under the S&P rating system (or comparable level under other rating systems) and are supported by one or more classes of subordinated securities which bear realized losses prior to the classes of mezzanine securities. Subordinated securities, as used in this Form 10-K, refers to any class that bears the "first loss" from losses from underlying mortgage loans or that is rated below a single "B" level (or, if unrated, we deem it to be below that level). In some cases, only classes of senior securities and subordinated securities are issued. By adjusting the priority of interest and principal payments on each class of a given series of senior-subordinated mortgage-backed securities, issuers are able to create classes of mortgage-backed securities with varying degrees of credit exposure, prepayment exposure and potential total return, tailored to meet the needs of sophisticated institutional investors.

#### Collateralized Mortgage Obligations and Multi-Class Pass-Through Securities

We may also invest in CMOs and multi-class pass-through securities. CMOs are debt obligations issued by special purpose entities that are secured by mortgage loans or mortgage-backed certificates, including, in many cases, certificates issued by government and government-related guarantors, including, GNMA, FNMA and FHLMC, together with certain funds and other collateral. Multi-class pass-through securities are equity interests in a trust composed of mortgage loans or other mortgage-backed securities. Payments of principal and interest on underlying collateral provide the funds to pay debt service on the CMO or make scheduled distributions on the multi-class pass-through securities. CMOs and multi-class pass-through securities may be issued by agencies or instrumentalities of the U.S. Government or by private organizations. The discussion of CMOs in the following paragraphs is similarly applicable to multi-class pass-through securities.



In a CMO, a series of bonds or certificates is issued in multiple classes. Each class of CMOs, often referred to as a “tranche,” is issued at a specific coupon rate (which, as discussed below, may be an adjustable rate subject to a cap) and has a stated maturity or final distribution date. Principal prepayments on collateral underlying a CMO may cause it to be retired substantially earlier than the stated maturity or final distribution date. Interest is paid or accrues on all classes of a CMO on a monthly, quarterly or semi-annual basis. The principal and interest on underlying mortgages may be allocated among the several classes of a series of a CMO in many ways. In a common structure, payments of principal, including any principal prepayments, on the underlying mortgages are applied to the classes of the series of a CMO in the order of their respective stated maturities or final distribution dates, so that no payment of principal will be made on any class of a CMO until all other classes having an earlier stated maturity or final distribution date have been paid in full.

Other types of CMO issues include classes such as parallel pay CMOs, some of which, such as planned amortization class CMOs (“PAC bonds”), provide protection against prepayment uncertainty. Parallel pay CMOs are structured to provide payments of principal on certain payment dates to more than one class. These simultaneous payments are taken into account in calculating the stated maturity date or final distribution date of each class which, as with other CMO structures, must be retired by its stated maturity date or final distribution date but may be retired earlier. PAC bonds generally require payment of a specified amount of principal on each payment date so long as prepayment speeds on the underlying collateral fall within a specified range.

Other types of CMO issues include targeted amortization class CMOs (or TAC bonds), which are similar to PAC bonds. While PAC bonds maintain their amortization schedule within a specified range of prepayment speeds, TAC bonds are generally targeted to a narrow range of prepayment speeds or a specified prepayment speed. TAC bonds can provide protection against prepayment uncertainty since cash flows generated from higher prepayments of the underlying mortgage-related assets are applied to the various other pass-through tranches so as to allow the TAC bonds to maintain their amortization schedule.

A CMO may be subject to the issuer’s right to redeem the CMO prior to its stated maturity date, which may diminish the anticipated return on our investment. Privately-issued CMOs are supported by private credit enhancements similar to those used for privately-issued certificates and are often issued as senior-subordinated mortgage-backed securities. We will only acquire CMOs or multi-class pass-through certificates that constitute debt obligations or beneficial ownership in grantor trusts holding mortgage loans, or regular interests in REMICs, or that otherwise constitute qualified REIT real estate assets under the Internal Revenue Code (provided that we have obtained a favorable opinion of our tax advisor or a ruling from the IRS to that effect).

#### Adjustable-Rate Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates and Floating Rate Mortgage-Backed Securities

Some of the mortgage pass-through certificates we acquire are adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates. This means that their interest rates may vary over time based upon changes in an objective index, such as:

- LIBOR or the London Interbank Offered Rate. The interest rate that banks in London offer for deposits in London of U.S. dollars.
- Treasury Index. A monthly or weekly average yield of benchmark U.S. Treasury securities, as published by the Federal Reserve Board.
- CD Rate. The weekly average of secondary market interest rates on six-month negotiable certificates of deposit, as published by the Federal Reserve Board.

These indices generally reflect short-term interest rates. The underlying mortgages for adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates are adjustable-rate mortgage loans (“ARMs”).

We also acquire CMO floaters. One or more tranches of a CMO may have coupon rates that reset periodically at a specified increment over an index such as LIBOR. These adjustable-rate tranches are sometimes known as CMO floaters and may be backed by fixed or adjustable-rate mortgages.

There are two main categories of indices for adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters: (1) those based on U.S. Treasury securities, and (2) those derived from calculated measures such as a cost of funds index or a moving average of mortgage rates. Commonly utilized indices include the one-year Treasury note rate, the three-month Treasury bill rate, the six-month Treasury bill rate, rates on long-term Treasury securities, the 11th District Federal Home Loan Bank Costs of Funds Index, the National Median Cost of Funds Index, one-month or three-month LIBOR, the prime rate of a specific bank, or commercial paper rates. Some indices, such as the one-year Treasury rate, closely mirror changes in market interest rate levels. Others, such as the 11th District Home Loan Bank Cost of Funds Index, tend to lag changes in market interest rate levels. We seek to diversify our investments in adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters among a variety of indices and reset periods so that we are not at any one time unduly exposed to the risk of interest rate fluctuations. In selecting adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters for investment, we will also consider the liquidity of the market for the different mortgage-backed securities.

We believe that adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters are particularly well-suited to our investment objective of high current income, consistent with modest volatility of net asset value, because the value of adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters generally remains relatively stable as compared to traditional fixed-rate debt securities paying comparable rates of interest. While the value of adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters, like other debt securities, generally varies inversely with changes in market interest rates (increasing in value during periods of declining interest rates and decreasing in value during periods of increasing interest rates), the value of adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters should generally be more resistant to price swings than other debt securities because the interest rates on these securities move with market interest rates.

Accordingly, as interest rates change, the value of our shares should be more stable than the value of funds which invest primarily in securities backed by fixed-rate mortgages or in other non-mortgage-backed debt securities, which do not provide for adjustment in the interest rates in response to changes in market interest rates.

Adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters typically have caps, which limit the maximum amount by which the interest rate may be increased or decreased at periodic intervals or over the life of the security. To the extent that interest rates rise faster than the allowable caps on the adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters, these securities will behave more like fixed-rate securities. Consequently, interest rate increases in excess of caps can be expected to cause these securities to behave more like traditional debt securities than adjustable-rate securities and, accordingly, to decline in value to a greater extent than would be the case in the absence of these caps.

Adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters, like other mortgage-backed securities, differ from conventional bonds in that principal is to be paid back over the life of the security rather than at maturity. As a result, we receive monthly scheduled payments of principal and interest on these securities and may receive unscheduled principal payments representing prepayments on the underlying mortgages. When we reinvest the payments and any unscheduled prepayments we receive, we may receive a rate of interest on the reinvestment which is lower than the rate on the existing security. For this reason, adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters are less effective than longer-term debt securities as a means of "locking in" longer-term interest rates. Accordingly, adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters, while generally having less risk of price decline during periods of rapidly rising interest rates than fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities of comparable maturities, have less potential for capital appreciation than fixed-rate securities during periods of declining interest rates.

As in the case of fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities, to the extent these securities are purchased at a premium, faster than expected prepayments would accelerate our amortization of the premium. Conversely, if these securities were purchased at a discount, faster than expected prepayments would accelerate our recognition of income.



As in the case of fixed-rate CMOs, floating-rate CMOs may allow for shifting of prepayment risk from slower-paying tranches to faster-paying tranches. This is in contrast to mortgage pass-through certificates where all investors share equally in all payments, including all prepayments, on the underlying mortgages.

### Other Floating Rate Instruments

We may also invest in structured floating-rate notes issued or guaranteed by government agencies, such as FNMA and FHLMC. These instruments are typically structured to reflect an interest rate arbitrage (i.e., the difference between the agency's cost of funds and the income stream from specified assets of the agency) and their reset formulas may provide more attractive returns than other floating rate instruments. The indices used to determine resets are the same as those described above.

### Mortgage Loans

As of December 31, 2009, we have not invested directly in mortgage loans, but we may from time-to-time invest a small percentage of our assets directly in single-family, multi-family or commercial mortgage loans. We expect that the majority of these mortgage loans would be ARM pass-through certificates. The interest rate on an ARM pass-through certificate is typically tied to an index (such as LIBOR or the interest rate on Treasury bills), and is adjustable periodically at specified intervals. These mortgage loans are typically subject to lifetime interest rate caps and periodic interest rate or payment caps. The acquisition of mortgage loans generally involves credit risk. We may obtain credit enhancement to mitigate this risk; however, there can be no assurances that we will be able to obtain credit enhancement or that credit enhancement would mitigate the credit risk of the underlying mortgage loans.

### Capital Investment Policy

#### Asset Acquisitions

Our capital investment policy provides that at least 75% of our total assets will be comprised of high quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. The remainder of our assets (comprising not more than 25% of total assets), may consist of mortgage-backed securities and other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality but which are at least "investment grade" (rated "BBB" or better) or, if not rated, are determined by us to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated "BBB" or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics.

Our capital investment policy requires that we structure our portfolio to maintain a minimum weighted average rating (including our deemed comparable ratings for unrated mortgage-backed securities) of our mortgage-backed securities of at least single "A" under the S&P rating system and at the comparable level under the other rating systems. To date, substantially all of the mortgage-backed securities we have acquired have been pass-through certificates or CMOs issued or guaranteed by FHLMC, FNMA or GNMA which, although not rated, have an implied "AAA" rating.

We intend to acquire only those mortgage-backed securities that we believe we have the necessary expertise to evaluate and manage, that we can readily finance and that are consistent with our balance sheet guidelines and risk management objectives. Since we expect to hold our mortgage-backed securities until maturity, we generally do not seek to acquire assets whose investment returns are only attractive in a limited range of scenarios. We believe that future interest rates and mortgage prepayment rates are very difficult to predict and, as a result, we seek to acquire mortgage-backed securities which we believe provide acceptable returns over a broad range of interest rate and prepayment scenarios.

Among the asset choices available to us, our policy is to acquire those mortgage-backed securities which we believe generate the highest returns on capital invested, after consideration of the following:

- §                   the amount and nature of anticipated cash flows from the asset;
- §                   our ability to pledge the asset to secure collateralized borrowings;

§ the increase in our capital requirement determined by our capital investment policy resulting from the purchase and financing of the asset; and

§ the costs of financing, hedging and managing the asset.

Prior to acquisition, we assess potential returns on capital employed over the life of the asset and in a variety of interest rate, yield spread, financing cost, credit loss and prepayment scenarios.

We also give consideration to balance sheet management and risk diversification issues. We deem a specific asset which we are evaluating for potential acquisition as more or less valuable to the extent it serves to increase or decrease certain interest rate or prepayment risks which may exist in the balance sheet, to diversify or concentrate credit risk, and to meet the cash flow and liquidity objectives our management may establish for our balance sheet from time-to-time. Accordingly, an important part of the asset evaluation process is a simulation, using risk management models, of the addition of a potential asset and our associated borrowings and hedges to the balance sheet and an assessment of the impact this potential asset acquisition would have on the risks in and returns generated by our balance sheet as a whole over a variety of scenarios.

We believe that adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters are particularly well-suited to our investment objective of high current income, consistent with modest volatility of net asset value, because the value of adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters generally remains relatively stable as compared to traditional fixed-rate debt securities paying comparable rates of interest. We have, however, purchased a significant amount of fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities and may continue to do so in the future if, in our view, the potential returns on capital invested, after hedging and all other costs, would exceed the returns available from other assets or if the purchase of these assets would serve to reduce or diversify the risks of our balance sheet.

We may purchase the stock of mortgage REITs or similar companies when we believe that these purchases would yield attractive returns on capital employed. When the stock market valuations of these companies are low in relation to the market value of their assets, these stock purchases can be a way for us to acquire an interest in a pool of mortgage-backed securities at an attractive price. We do not, however, presently intend to invest in the securities of other issuers for the purpose of exercising control or to underwrite securities of other issuers.

We may acquire newly issued mortgage-backed securities, and also may seek to expand our capital base in order to further increase our ability to acquire new assets, when the potential returns from new investments appears attractive relative to the return expectations of stockholders. We may in the future acquire mortgage-backed securities by offering our debt or equity securities in exchange for the mortgage-backed securities.

We generally intend to hold mortgage-backed securities for extended periods. In addition, the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code limit in certain respects our ability to sell mortgage-backed securities. We may decide however to sell assets from time to time, for a number of reasons, including our desire to dispose of an asset as to which credit risk concerns have arisen, to reduce interest rate risk, to substitute one type of mortgage-backed security for another, to improve yield or to maintain compliance with the 55% requirement under the Investment Company Act, or generally to re-structure the balance sheet when we deem advisable. Our board of directors has not adopted any policy that would restrict management's authority to determine the timing of sales or the selection of mortgage-backed securities to be sold.

We do not invest in REMIC residuals or other CMO residuals.

As a requirement for maintaining REIT status, we will distribute to stockholders aggregate dividends equaling at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and by excluding any net capital gain) for each taxable year. We will make additional distributions of capital when the return

expectations of the stockholders appear to exceed returns potentially available to us through making new investments in mortgage-backed securities. Subject to the limitations of applicable securities and state corporation laws, we can distribute capital by making purchases of our own capital stock or through paying down or repurchasing any outstanding uncollateralized debt obligations.

Our asset acquisition strategy may change over time as market conditions change and as we evolve.

## Credit Risk Management

Although we do not expect to encounter credit risk in our Investment Securities, we face credit risk on the portions of our portfolio which are not Investment Securities. In addition, our use of repurchase agreements and interest rate swaps creates exposure to credit risk relating to potential losses that could be recognized if the counterparties to these instruments fail to perform their obligations under the contracts. In the event of a default by the counterparty, we could have difficulty obtaining our MBS pledged as collateral for swaps. We review credit risk and other risk of loss associated with each investment and determine the appropriate allocation of capital to apply to the investment under our capital investment policy. Our management will monitor the overall portfolio risk and determine appropriate levels of provision for loss.

## Capital and Leverage

We expect generally to maintain a debt-to-equity ratio of between 8:1 and 12:1, although the ratio may vary from time-to-time depending upon market conditions and other factors our management deems relevant, including the composition of our balance sheet, haircut levels required by lenders, the market value of the mortgage-backed securities in our portfolio and “excess capital cushion” percentages (as described below) set by our board of directors from time to time. For purposes of calculating this ratio, our equity (or capital base) is equal to the value of our investment portfolio on a mark-to-market basis less the book value of our obligations under repurchase agreements and other collateralized borrowings. For the calculation of this ratio, equity includes the Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, which is not included in equity under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Our goal is to strike a balance between the under-utilization of leverage, which reduces potential returns to stockholders, and the over-utilization of leverage, which could reduce our ability to meet our obligations during adverse market conditions. Our capital investment policy limits our ability to acquire additional assets during times when our debt-to-equity ratio exceeds 12:1. At December 31, 2009, our ratio of debt-to-equity was 5.7:1. Our capital base represents the approximate liquidation value of our investments and approximates the market value of assets that we can pledge or sell to meet over-collateralization requirements for our borrowings. The unpledged portion of our capital base is available for us to pledge or sell as necessary to maintain over-collateralization levels for our borrowings.

We are prohibited from acquiring additional assets during periods when our capital base is less than the minimum amount required under our capital investment policy, except as may be necessary to maintain REIT status or our exemption from the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “Investment Company Act”). In addition, when our capital base falls below our risk-managed capital requirement, our management is required to submit to our board of directors a plan for bringing our capital base into compliance with our capital investment policy guidelines. We anticipate that in most circumstances we can achieve this goal without overt management action through the natural process of mortgage principal repayments. We anticipate that our capital base is likely to exceed our risk-managed capital requirement during periods following new equity offerings and during periods of falling interest rates and that our capital base could fall below the risk-managed capital requirement during periods of rising interest rates.

The first component of our capital requirements is the current aggregate over-collateralization amount or “haircut” the lenders require us to hold as capital. The haircut for each mortgage-backed security is determined by our lenders based on the risk characteristics and liquidity of the asset. Should the market value of our pledged assets decline, we will be required to deliver additional collateral to our lenders to maintain a constant over-collateralization level on our borrowings.

The second component of our capital requirement is the “excess capital cushion.” This is an amount of capital in excess of the haircuts required by our lenders. We maintain the excess capital cushion to meet the demands of our lenders for additional collateral should the market value of our mortgage-backed securities decline. The aggregate excess capital

cushion equals the sum of liquidity cushion amounts assigned under our capital investment policy to each of our mortgage-backed securities. We assign excess capital cushions to each mortgage-backed security based on our assessment of the mortgage-backed security's market price volatility, credit risk, liquidity and attractiveness for use as collateral by lenders. The process of assigning excess capital cushions relies on our management's ability to identify and weigh the relative importance of these and other factors. In assigning excess capital cushions, we also give consideration to hedges associated with the mortgage-backed security and any effect such hedges may have on reducing net market price volatility, concentration or diversification of credit and other risks in the balance sheet as a whole and the net cash flows that we can expect from the interaction of the various components of our balance sheet.

Our capital investment policy stipulates that at least 25% of the capital base maintained to satisfy the excess capital cushion must be invested in AAA-rated adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities or assets with similar or better liquidity characteristics.

A substantial portion of our borrowings are short-term or variable-rate borrowings. Our borrowings are implemented primarily through repurchase agreements, but in the future may also be obtained through loan agreements, lines of credit, dollar-roll agreements (an agreement to sell a security for delivery on a specified future date and a simultaneous agreement to repurchase the same or a substantially similar security on a specified future date) and other credit facilities with institutional lenders and issuance of debt securities such as commercial paper, medium-term notes, CMOs and senior or subordinated notes. We enter into financing transactions only with institutions that we believe are sound credit risks and follow other internal policies designed to limit our credit and other exposure to financing institutions.

We expect to continue to use repurchase agreements as our principal financing device to leverage our mortgage-backed securities portfolio. We anticipate that, upon repayment of each borrowing under a repurchase agreement, we will use the collateral immediately for borrowing under a new repurchase agreement. We have not at the present time entered into any commitment agreements under which the lender would be required to enter into new repurchase agreements during a specified period of time, nor do we presently plan to have liquidity facilities with commercial banks. We may, however, enter into such commitment agreements in the future. We enter into repurchase agreements primarily with national broker-dealers, commercial banks and other lenders which typically offer this type of financing. We enter into collateralized borrowings only with financial institutions meeting credit standards approved by our board of directors, and we monitor the financial condition of these institutions on a regular basis.

A repurchase agreement, although structured as a sale and repurchase obligation, acts as a financing under which we effectively pledge our mortgage-backed securities as collateral to secure a short-term loan. Generally, the other party to the agreement makes the loan in an amount equal to a percentage of the market value of the pledged collateral. At the maturity of the repurchase agreement, we are required to repay the loan and correspondingly receive back our collateral. While used as collateral, the mortgage-backed securities continue to pay principal and interest which are for our benefit. In the event of our insolvency or bankruptcy, certain repurchase agreements may qualify for special treatment under the Bankruptcy Code, the effect of which, among other things, would be to allow the creditor under the agreement to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and to foreclose on the collateral without delay. In the event of the insolvency or bankruptcy of a lender during the term of a repurchase agreement, the lender may be permitted, under applicable insolvency laws, to repudiate the contract, and our claim against the lender for damages may be treated simply as an unsecured creditor. In addition, if the lender is a broker or dealer subject to the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, or an insured depository institution subject to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, our ability to exercise our rights to recover our securities under a repurchase agreement or to be compensated for any damages resulting from the lender's insolvency may be further limited by those statutes. These claims would be subject to significant delay and, if and when received, may be substantially less than the damages we actually incur.

Substantially all of our collateralized borrowing agreements require us to deposit additional collateral in the event the market value of existing collateral declines, which may require us to sell assets to reduce our borrowings. We have designed our liquidity management policy to maintain a cushion of equity sufficient to provide required liquidity to respond to the effects under our borrowing arrangements of interest rate movements and changes in market value of our mortgage-backed securities, as described above. However, a major disruption of the repurchase or other market that we rely on for short-term borrowings would have a material adverse effect on us unless we were able to arrange alternative sources of financing on comparable terms.

Our articles of incorporation and bylaws do not limit our ability to incur borrowings, whether secured or unsecured.





## Interest Rate Risk Management

To the extent consistent with our election to qualify as a REIT, we follow an interest rate risk management program intended to protect our portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and related debt against the effects of major interest rate changes. Specifically, our interest rate risk management program is formulated with the intent to offset the potential adverse effects resulting from rate adjustment limitations on our mortgage-backed securities and the differences between interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods of our adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities and related borrowings.

Our interest rate risk management program encompasses a number of procedures, including the following:

§ we attempt to structure our borrowings to have interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods that, on an aggregate basis, generally correspond to the interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods of our adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities; and

§ we attempt to structure our borrowing agreements relating to adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities to have a range of different maturities and interest rate adjustment periods (although substantially all will be less than one year).

We adjust the average maturity adjustment periods of our borrowings on an ongoing basis by changing the mix of maturities and interest rate adjustment periods as borrowings come due and are renewed. Through use of these procedures, we attempt to minimize the differences between the interest rate adjustment periods of our mortgage-backed securities and related borrowings that may occur.

We purchase from time-to-time interest rate swaps. We may enter into interest rate collars, interest rate caps or floors, and purchase interest-only mortgage-backed securities and similar instruments to attempt to mitigate the risk of the cost of our variable rate liabilities increasing at a faster rate than the earnings on our assets during a period of rising interest rates or to mitigate prepayment risk. We may hedge as much of the interest rate risk as our management determines is in our best interests, given the cost of the hedging transactions and the need to maintain our status as a REIT. This determination may result in our electing to bear a level of interest rate or prepayment risk that could otherwise be hedged when management believes, based on all relevant facts, that bearing the risk is advisable.

We seek to build a balance sheet and undertake an interest rate risk management program which is likely to generate positive earnings and maintain an equity liquidation value sufficient to maintain operations given a variety of potentially adverse circumstances. Accordingly, our interest rate risk management program addresses both income preservation, as discussed above, and capital preservation concerns. For capital preservation, we monitor our “duration.” This is the expected percentage change in market value of our assets that would be caused by a 1% change in short and long-term interest rates. To monitor weighted average duration and the related risks of fluctuations in the liquidation value of our equity, we model the impact of various economic scenarios on the market value of our mortgage-backed securities and liabilities. At December 31, 2009, we estimate that the duration of our assets was 2.04 years and giving effect to the swap transactions, our weighted average duration was 0.83 years. We believe that our interest rate risk management program will allow us to maintain operations throughout a wide variety of potentially adverse circumstances. Nevertheless, in order to further preserve our capital base (and lower our duration) during periods when we believe a trend of rapidly rising interest rates has been established, we may decide to increase hedging activities or to sell assets. Each of these actions may lower our earnings and dividends in the short term to further our objective of maintaining attractive levels of earnings and dividends over the long term.

We may elect to conduct a portion of our hedging operations through one or more subsidiary corporations, each of which we would elect to treat as a “taxable REIT subsidiary.” To comply with the asset tests applicable to us as a REIT, we could own 100% of the voting stock of such subsidiary, provided that the value of the stock that we own in all

such taxable REIT subsidiaries does not exceed 25% of the value of our total assets at the close of any calendar quarter. A taxable subsidiary, such as FIDAC, Merganser, and RCap, would not elect REIT status and would distribute any net profit after taxes to us. Any dividend income we receive from the taxable subsidiaries (combined with all other income generated from our assets, other than qualified REIT real estate assets) must not exceed 25% of our gross income.

We believe that we have developed a cost-effective asset/liability management program to provide a level of protection against interest rate and prepayment risks. However, no strategy can completely insulate us from interest rate changes and prepayment risks. Further, as noted above, the federal income tax requirements that we must satisfy to qualify as a REIT limit our ability to hedge our interest rate and prepayment risks. We monitor carefully, and may have to limit, our asset/liability management program to assure that we do not realize excessive hedging income, or hold hedging assets having excess value in relation to total assets, which could result in our disqualification as a REIT, the payment of a penalty tax for failure to satisfy certain REIT tests under the Internal Revenue Code, provided the failure was for reasonable cause. In addition, asset/liability management involves transaction costs which increase dramatically as the period covered by the hedging protection increases. Therefore, we may be unable to hedge effectively our interest rate and prepayment risks.

#### Prepayment Risk Management

We seek to minimize the effects of faster or slower than anticipated prepayment rates through structuring a diversified portfolio with a variety of prepayment characteristics, investing in mortgage-backed securities with prepayment prohibitions and penalties, investing in certain mortgage-backed security structures which have prepayment protections, and balancing assets purchased at a premium with assets purchased at a discount. We monitor prepayment risk through periodic review of the impact of a variety of prepayment scenarios on our revenues, net earnings, dividends, cash flow and net balance sheet market value.

#### Future Revisions in Policies and Strategies

Our board of directors has established the investment policies and operating policies and strategies set forth in this Form 10-K. The board of directors has the power to modify or waive these policies and strategies without the consent of the stockholders to the extent that the board of directors determines that the modification or waiver is in the best interests of our stockholders. Among other factors, developments in the market which affect our policies and strategies or which change our assessment of the market may cause our board of directors to revise our policies and strategies.

#### Potential Acquisitions, Strategic Alliances and Other Investments

From time-to-time we have explored possible transactions to enhance our operations and growth, including entering into new businesses, acquisitions of other businesses or assets, investments in other entities, joint venture arrangements, or strategic alliances. We entered into the broker-dealer business during the first quarter of January 2009, through our subsidiary RCap, which was granted membership in FINRA in January 2009. On October 31, 2008 we consummated our acquisition of Merganser which is a registered investment advisor. We own approximately 45.0 million shares of common stock of Chimera Investment Corporation, or Chimera. Chimera is a publicly traded, specialty finance company that acquires, manages, and finances, directly or through its subsidiaries, residential mortgage loans, residential mortgage-backed securities, real estate related securities and various other asset classes. Chimera is externally managed by FIDAC and has elected and qualify to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. We own approximately 4.5 million shares of common stock of CreXus Investment Corp., or CreXus. CreXus is a publicly traded, specialty finance company that acquires, manages, and finances, directly or through its subsidiaries, commercial mortgage loans and other commercial real estate debt, commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, and other commercial real estate-related assets. CreXus is externally managed by FIDAC and intends to elect and qualify to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. We also own an investment fund.

We may, from time-to-time, continue to explore possible new businesses, acquisitions, investments, joint venture arrangements and strategic alliances which may enhance our operations and assist our and our subsidiaries' growth.

Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan

We have adopted a dividend reinvestment and share purchase plan. Under the dividend reinvestment feature of the plan, existing shareholders can reinvest their dividends in additional shares of our common stock. Under the share purchase feature of the plan, new and existing shareholders can purchase shares of our common stock. We have an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 which registered 100,000,000 shares that could be issued under the plan. We still sell shares covered by this registration statement under the plan.

#### At the Market Sales Programs

We have entered into an ATM Equity Offeringsm Sales Agreement with Merrill Lynch & Co. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (or Merrill Lynch), relating to the sale of shares of our common stock from time to time through Merrill Lynch. We have also entered into a ATM Equity Sales Agreement with UBS Securities LLC (or UBS Securities), relating to the sale of shares of our common stock from time to time through UBS Securities. Under these agreements, sales of the shares, if any, will be made by means of ordinary brokers' transaction of the New York Stock Exchange at market prices.

#### Legal Proceedings

From time-to-time, we are involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

#### Employees

As of December 31, 2009, we and our subsidiaries had 87 full time employees. None of our employees are subject to any collective bargaining agreements. We believe we have good relations with our employees.

#### Available Information

Our investor relations website is [www.annaly.com](http://www.annaly.com). We make available on this website under "Financial Reports and SEC filings," free of charge, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such materials to the SEC.

### COMPETITION

We believe that our principal competition in the acquisition and holding of the types of mortgage-backed securities we purchase are financial institutions such as banks, savings and loans, life insurance companies, institutional investors such as mutual funds and pension funds, and certain other mortgage REITs. Some of our competitors have greater financial resources and access to capital than we do. Our competitors, as well as additional competitors which may emerge in the future, may increase the competition for the acquisition of mortgage-backed securities, which in turn may result in higher prices and lower yields on assets.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our stock involves a number of risks. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider all of the risks described in this Form 10-K. If any of the risks discussed in this Form 10-K actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. If this were to occur, the trading price of our stock could decline significantly and you may lose all or part of your investment.

#### Risks Associated with Recent Adverse Developments in the Mortgage Finance and Credit Markets

Volatile market conditions for mortgages and mortgage-related assets as well as the broader financial markets have resulted in a significant contraction in liquidity for mortgages and mortgage-related assets, which may adversely affect the value of the assets in which we invest.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the markets for mortgages and mortgage-related assets, including Mortgage-Backed Securities, as well as the broader financial markets and the economy generally. Beginning in the summer of 2007, significant adverse changes in financial market conditions resulted in a deleveraging of the entire global financial system and the forced sale of large quantities of mortgage-related and other financial assets. Concerns over economic recession, geopolitical issues, unemployment, the availability and cost of financing, the mortgage market and a declining real estate market contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and markets. As a result of these conditions, many traditional mortgage investors suffered severe losses in their residential mortgage portfolios and several major market participants failed or have been impaired, resulting in a significant contraction in market liquidity for mortgage-related assets. This illiquidity negatively affected both the terms and availability of financing for all mortgage-related assets. Further increased volatility and deterioration in the markets for mortgages and mortgage-related assets as well as the broader financial markets may adversely affect the performance and market value of our Mortgage-Backed Securities. If these conditions persist, institutions from which we seek financing for our investments may tighten their lending standards or become insolvent, which could make it more difficult for us to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all. Our profitability may be adversely affected if we are unable to obtain cost-effective financing for our investments. Continued adverse developments in the broader residential mortgage market may adversely affect the value of the assets in which we invest.

Since the summer of 2007, the residential mortgage market in the United States experienced a variety of difficulties and changed economic conditions, including defaults, credit losses and liquidity concerns. Certain commercial banks, investment banks and insurance companies have announced extensive losses from exposure to the residential mortgage market. These losses have reduced financial industry capital, leading to reduced liquidity for some institutions. These factors have impacted investor perception of the risk associated with Mortgage-Backed Securities in which we invest. As a result, values for Mortgage-Backed Securities in which we invest have experienced a certain amount of volatility. Further increased volatility and deterioration in the broader residential mortgage and Mortgage-Backed Securities markets may adversely affect the performance and market value of our investments. Any decline in the value of our investments, or perceived market uncertainty about their value, would likely make it difficult for us to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all, or maintain our compliance with terms of any financing arrangements already in place.

The Mortgage-Backed Securities in which we invest are classified for accounting purposes as available-for-sale. All assets classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. As a result, a decline in fair values may reduce the book value of our assets. Moreover, if the decline in fair value of an available-for-sale security is other-than-temporarily impaired, such decline will reduce earnings. If market conditions result in a decline in the fair value of our Mortgage-Backed Securities, our financial position and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and related efforts, along with any changes in laws and regulations affecting the relationship between Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the U.S. Government, may adversely affect our business.

Due to increased market concerns about Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's ability to withstand future credit losses associated with securities held in their investment portfolios, and on which they provide guarantees, without the direct support of the U.S. Government, on July 30, 2008, Congress passed the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, or the HERA. Among other things, the HERA established the Federal Housing Finance Agency, or FHFA, which has broad regulatory powers over Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. On September 6, 2008, the FHFA placed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac into conservatorship and, together with the Treasury, established a program designed to boost investor confidence in Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's debt and mortgage-backed securities. As the conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the FHFA controls and directs the operations of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and may (1) take over the assets of and operate Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac with all the powers of the shareholders, the directors and the officers of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and conduct all business of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; (2) collect all obligations and money due to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; (3) perform all functions of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac which are consistent with the conservator's appointment; (4) preserve and conserve the assets and property of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; and (5) contract for assistance in fulfilling any function, activity, action or duty of the conservator. A primary focus of this new legislation is to increase the availability of mortgage financing by allowing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to continue to grow their guarantee business without limit, while limiting net purchases of Mortgage-Backed Securities to a modest amount through the end of 2009. It is currently planned for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to reduce gradually their Mortgage-Backed Securities portfolios beginning in 2010.

In addition to FHFA becoming the conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the Treasury and FHFA have entered into Preferred Stock Purchase Agreements (PSPAs) between the Treasury and Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac pursuant to which the Treasury will ensure that each of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac maintains a positive net worth. On December 24, 2009, the U.S. Treasury amended the terms of the U.S. Treasury's PSPAs with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to remove the \$200 billion per institution limit established under the PSPAs until the end of 2012. The U.S. Treasury also amended the PSPAs with respect to the requirements for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to reduce their portfolios.





Although the Treasury has committed capital to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, there can be no assurance that these actions will be adequate for their needs. If these actions are inadequate, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could continue to suffer losses and could fail to honor their guarantees and other obligations. The future roles of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could be significantly reduced and the nature of their guarantees could be considerably diminished. Any changes to the nature of the guarantees provided by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could redefine what constitutes Mortgage-Backed Securities and could have broad adverse market implications.

On November 25, 2008, the Federal Reserve announced that it will initiate a program to purchase \$100 billion in direct obligations of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the FHLBs and \$500 billion in agency Mortgage-Backed Securities backed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. The Federal Reserve stated that its actions were intended to reduce the cost and increase the availability of credit for the purchase of houses, and were meant to support housing markets and foster improved conditions in financial markets more generally. On March 18, 2009, the Federal Reserve increased the size of this program to \$200 billion and \$1.25 trillion, respectively. The Federal Reserve has announced that it intends to wind up this program on March 31, 2010.

The problems faced by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac resulting in their being placed into federal conservatorship have stirred debate among some federal policy makers regarding the continued role of the U.S. Government in providing liquidity for mortgage loans. Following expiration of the current authorization, each of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could be dissolved and the U.S. Government could determine to stop providing liquidity support of any kind to the mortgage market. The future roles of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could be significantly reduced and the nature of their guarantee obligations could be considerably limited relative to historical measurements. Any changes to the nature of their guarantee obligations could redefine what constitutes a Mortgage-Backed Securities and could have broad adverse implications for the market and our business, operations and financial condition. If Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac were eliminated, or their structures were to change radically (i.e., limitation or removal of the guarantee obligation), we may be unable to acquire additional Mortgage-Backed Securities and our existing Mortgage-Backed Securities could be materially and adversely impacted.

We could be negatively affected in a number of ways depending on the manner in which related events unfold for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. We rely on our Mortgage-Backed Securities as collateral for our financings under our repurchase agreements. Any decline in their value, or perceived market uncertainty about their value, would make it more difficult for us to obtain financing on acceptable terms or at all, or to maintain our compliance with the terms of any financing transactions. Further, the current credit support provided by the Treasury to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and any additional credit support it may provide in the future, could have the effect of lowering the interest rates we expect to receive from Mortgage-Backed Securities, thereby tightening the spread between the interest we earn on our Mortgage-Backed Securities and the cost of financing those assets. A reduction in the supply of Mortgage-Backed Securities could also negatively affect the pricing of Mortgage-Backed Securities by reducing the spread between the interest we earn on our portfolio of Mortgage-Backed Securities and our cost of financing that portfolio.

As indicated above, recent legislation has changed the relationship between Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the U.S. Government and requires Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to reduce the amount of mortgage loans they own or for which they provide guarantees on Mortgage-Backed Securities. Future legislation could further change the relationship between Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the U.S. Government, and could also nationalize or eliminate such entities entirely. Any law affecting these GSEs may create market uncertainty and have the effect of reducing the actual or perceived credit quality of securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. As a result, such laws could increase the risk of loss on investments in Mortgage-Backed Securities guaranteed by Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac. It also is possible that such laws could adversely impact the market for such securities and spreads at which they trade. All of the foregoing could materially and adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

Mortgage loan modification programs, future legislative action and changes in the requirements necessary to qualify for refinancing a mortgage with Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, the assets in which we invest.

During the second half of 2008, in 2009, and so far in 2010, the U.S. government, through the Federal Housing Administration, or FHA, and the FDIC, implemented programs designed to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures including the Hope for Homeowners Act of 2008, which allows certain distressed borrowers to refinance their mortgages into FHA-insured loans. The programs may also involve, among other things, the modification of mortgage loans to reduce the principal amount of the loans or the rate of interest payable on the loans, or to extend the payment terms of the loans. Members of the U.S. Congress have indicated support for additional legislative relief for homeowners, including an amendment of the bankruptcy laws to permit the modification of mortgage loans in bankruptcy proceedings. These loan modification programs, future legislative or regulatory actions, including amendments to the bankruptcy laws, that result in the modification of outstanding mortgage loans, as well as changes in the requirements necessary to qualify for refinancing a mortgage with Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, our Investment Securities. Depending on whether or not we purchased an instrument at a premium or discount, the yield we receive may be positively or negatively impacted by any modification.

The U.S. Government's pressing for refinancing of certain loans may affect prepayment rates for mortgage loans in Mortgage-Backed Securities.

In addition to the increased pressure upon residential mortgage loan investors and servicers to engage in loss mitigation activities, the U.S. Government is pressing for refinancing of certain loans, and this encouragement may affect prepayment rates for mortgage loans in Mortgage-Backed Securities. In connection with government-related securities, in February 2009 President Obama unveiled the Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan, which, in part, calls upon Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to loosen their eligibility criteria for the purchase of loans in order to provide access to low-cost refinancing for borrowers who are current on their mortgage payments but who cannot otherwise qualify to refinance at a lower market rate. The major change was to permit an increase in the loan-to-value, or LTV, ratio of a refinancing loan eligible for sale up to 105%. In July 2009, the FHFA authorized Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to raise the present LTV ratio ceiling of 105% to 125%. The charters governing the operations of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac prohibit purchases of loans with loan to value ratios in excess of 80% unless the loans have mortgage insurance (or unless other types of credit enhancement are provided in accordance with the statutory requirements). The FHFA, which regulates Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, determined that new mortgage insurance will not be required on the refinancing if the applicable entity already owns the loan or guarantees the related Mortgage-Backed Securities. Additionally, the Treasury reports that in some cases a new appraisal will not be necessary upon refinancing. The Treasury estimates that up to 5,000,000 homeowners with loans owned or guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac may be eligible for this refinancing program, which is scheduled to terminate in June 2010.

The HERA authorized a voluntary FHA mortgage insurance program called HOPE for Homeowners, or H4H Program, designed to refinance certain delinquent borrowers into new FHA-insured loans. The H4H Program targets delinquent borrowers under conventional mortgage loans, as well as under government-insured or -guaranteed mortgage loans, that were originated on or before January 1, 2008. Holders of existing mortgage loans being refinanced under the H4H Program must accept a write-down of principal and waive all prepayment fees. While the use of the program has been extremely limited to date, Congress continues to amend the program to encourage its use. The H4H Program is effective through September 30, 2011.

To the extent these and other economic stabilization or stimulus efforts are successful in increasing prepayment speeds for residential mortgage loans, such as those in Mortgage-Backed Securities, that could potentially harm our income and operating results, particularly in connection with loans or Mortgage-Backed Securities purchased at a premium or our interest-only securities.

The actions of the U.S. government, Federal Reserve and Treasury, including the establishment of the TALF and the PPIP, may adversely affect our business.

The TALF was first announced by the Treasury on November 25, 2008, and has been expanded in size and scope since its initial announcement. Under the TALF, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York makes non-recourse loans to borrowers to fund their purchase of eligible assets, currently certain asset backed securities but not residential mortgage-backed securities. The nature of the eligible assets has been expanded several times. The Treasury has stated that through its expansion of the TALF, non-recourse loans will be made available to investors to certain fund purchases of legacy securitization assets. On March 23, 2009, the Treasury in conjunction with the FDIC, and the Federal Reserve, announced the PPIP. The PPIP aims to recreate a market for specific illiquid residential and commercial loans and securities through a number of joint public and private investment funds. The PPIP is designed to draw new private capital into the market for these securities and loans by providing government equity co-investment and attractive public financing.

It is not possible to predict how the TALF, the PPIP, or other recent U.S. Government actions will impact the financial markets, including current significant levels of volatility, or our current or future investments. To the extent the market does not respond favorably to these initiatives or they do not function as intended, our business may not receive any benefits from this legislation. In addition, the U.S. government, Federal Reserve, Treasury and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken or are considering taking other actions to address the financial crisis. We cannot predict whether or when such actions may occur, and such actions could have a dramatic impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

There can be no assurance that the actions of the U.S. Government, the Federal Reserve, the Treasury and other governmental and regulatory bodies for the purpose of stabilizing the financial markets, including the establishment of the TALF and the PPIP, or market response to those actions, will achieve the intended effect, that our business will benefit from these actions or that further government or market developments will not adversely impact us.

In response to the financial issues affecting the banking system and the financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions, the U.S. Government, the Federal Reserve, the Treasury and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken action to attempt to stabilize the financial markets. Significant measures include the enactment of the Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, or the EESA, to, among other things, establish the Troubled Asset Relief Program, or the TARP; the enactment of the HERA, which established a new regulator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; the establishment of the TALF; and the establishment of the PPIP.

There can be no assurance that the EESA, HERA, TALF, PPIP or other recent U.S. Government actions will have a beneficial impact on the financial markets, including on current levels of volatility. To the extent the market does not respond favorably to these initiatives or these initiatives do not function as intended, our business may not receive the anticipated positive impact from the legislation. There can also be no assurance that we will be eligible to participate in any programs established by the U.S. Government such as the TALF or the PPIP or, if we are eligible, that we will be able to utilize them successfully or at all. In addition, because the programs are designed, in part, to provide liquidity to restart the market for certain of our targeted assets, the establishment of these programs may result in increased competition for attractive opportunities in our targeted assets. It is also possible that our competitors may utilize the programs which would provide them with attractive debt and equity capital funding from the U.S. Government. In addition, the U.S. Government, the Federal Reserve, the Treasury and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken or are considering taking other actions to address the financial crisis. We cannot predict

whether or when such actions may occur, and such actions could have a dramatic impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities and competition may limit our ability to acquire desirable investments in our target assets and could also affect the pricing of these securities.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities. Our profitability depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire our target assets at attractive prices. In acquiring our target assets, we will compete with a variety of institutional investors, including other REITs, specialty finance companies, public and private funds (including other funds managed by FIDAC), commercial and investment banks, commercial finance and insurance companies and other financial institutions. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. Several other REITs have recently raised, or are expected to raise, significant amounts of capital, and may have investment objectives that overlap with ours, which may create additional competition for investment opportunities. Some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that may not be available to us, such as funding from the U.S. Government, if we are not eligible to participate in programs established by the U.S. Government. Many of our competitors are not subject to the operating constraints associated with REIT tax compliance or maintenance of an exemption from the Investment Company Act. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, competition for investments in our target assets may lead to the price of such assets increasing, which may further limit our ability to generate desired returns. We cannot assure you that the competitive pressures we face will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, as a result of this competition, desirable investments in our target assets may be limited in the future and we may not be able to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time, as we can provide no assurance that we will be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objectives.

#### Risks Related to Our Business

An increase in the interest payments on our borrowings relative to the interest we earn on our investment securities may adversely affect our profitability

We earn money based upon the spread between the interest payments we earn on our investment securities and the interest payments we must make on our borrowings. If the interest payments on our borrowings increase relative to the interest we earn on our investment securities, our profitability may be adversely affected. The interest payments on our borrowings may increase relative to the interest we earn on our adjustable-rate investment securities for various reasons discussed in this section.

- Differences in timing of interest rate adjustments on our investment securities and our borrowings may adversely affect our profitability

We rely primarily on short-term borrowings to acquire investment securities with long-term maturities. Accordingly, if short-term interest rates increase, this may adversely affect our profitability.

Most of the investment securities we acquire are adjustable-rate securities. This means that their interest rates may vary over time based upon changes in an objective index, such as:

- LIBOR. The interest rate that banks in London offer for deposits in London of U.S. dollars.
- Treasury Rate. A monthly or weekly average yield of benchmark U.S. Treasury securities, as published by the Federal Reserve Board.
- CD Rate. The weekly average of secondary market interest rates on six-month negotiable certificates of deposit, as published by the Federal Reserve Board.

These indices generally reflect short-term interest rates. On December 31, 2009, approximately 26% of our investment securities were adjustable-rate securities.



The interest rates on our borrowings similarly vary with changes in an objective index. Nevertheless, the interest rates on our borrowings generally adjust more frequently than the interest rates on our adjustable-rate investment securities. For example, on December 31, 2009, our adjustable-rate investment securities had a weighted average term to next rate adjustment of 33 months, while our borrowings had a weighted average term to next rate adjustment of 170 days. Accordingly, in a period of rising interest rates, we could experience a decrease in net income or a net loss because the interest rates on our borrowings adjust faster than the interest rates on our adjustable-rate investment securities.

- Interest rate caps on our investment securities may adversely affect our profitability

Our adjustable-rate investment securities are typically subject to periodic and lifetime interest rate caps. Periodic interest rate caps limit the amount an interest rate can increase during any given period. Lifetime interest rate caps limit the amount an interest rate can increase through maturity of an investment security. Our borrowings are not subject to similar restrictions. Accordingly, in a period of rapidly increasing interest rates, we could experience a decrease in net income or experience a net loss because the interest rates on our borrowings could increase without limitation while the interest rates on our adjustable-rate investment securities would be limited by caps.

- Because we acquire fixed-rate securities, an increase in interest rates may adversely affect our profitability

In a period of rising interest rates, our interest payments could increase while the interest we earn on our fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities would not change. This would adversely affect our profitability. On December 31, 2009, approximately 74% of our investment securities were fixed-rate securities.

An increase in prepayment rates may adversely affect our profitability

The mortgage-backed securities we acquire are backed by pools of mortgage loans. We receive payments, generally, from the payments that are made on these underlying mortgage loans. When borrowers prepay their mortgage loans at rates that are faster than expected, this results in prepayments that are faster than expected on the mortgage-backed securities. These faster than expected prepayments may adversely affect our profitability. We often purchase mortgage-backed securities that have a higher interest rate than the market interest rate at the time. In exchange for this higher interest rate, we must pay a premium over the market value to acquire the security. In accordance with accounting rules, we amortize this premium over the term of the mortgage-backed security. If the mortgage-backed security is prepaid in whole or in part prior to its maturity date, however, we must expense all or a part of the remaining unamortized portion of the premium that was prepaid at the time of the prepayment. This adversely affects our profitability.

Prepayment rates generally increase when interest rates fall and decrease when interest rates rise, but changes in prepayment rates are difficult to predict. Prepayment rates also may be affected by conditions in the housing and financial markets, general economic conditions and the relative interest rates on fixed-rate and adjustable-rate mortgage loans.

We often purchase mortgage-backed securities that have a higher coupon rate than the prevailing market interest rates. In exchange for a higher coupon rate, we typically pay a premium over par value to acquire these mortgage-backed securities. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (or GAAP), we amortize the premiums on our mortgage-backed securities over the life of the related mortgage-backed securities. If the mortgage loans securing these mortgage-backed securities prepay at a more rapid rate than anticipated, we will have to amortize our premiums on an accelerated basis which may adversely affect our profitability. Defaults on mortgage loans underlying Agency mortgage-backed securities typically have the same effect as prepayments because of the underlying Agency guarantee. On February 10, 2010, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac announced their intention to significantly increase their purchases of delinquent loans from the pools of mortgages collateralizing their Agency

mortgage-backed securities beginning in March 2010, which could materially impact the rate of principal prepayments on our Agency mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. As of December 31, 2009, we had net purchase premiums of \$1.2 billion, or 2.0% of current par value, on our Agency mortgage-backed securities.

We may seek to reduce prepayment risk by acquiring mortgage-backed securities at a discount. If a discounted security is prepaid in whole or in part prior to its maturity date, we will earn income equal to the amount of the remaining discount. This will improve our profitability if the discounted securities are prepaid faster than expected.

We also can acquire mortgage-backed securities that are less affected by prepayments. For example, we can acquire CMOs, a type of mortgage-backed security. CMOs divide a pool of mortgage loans into multiple tranches that allow for shifting of prepayment risks from slower-paying tranches to faster-paying tranches. This is in contrast to pass-through or pay-through mortgage-backed securities, where all investors share equally in all payments, including all prepayments. As discussed below, the Investment Company Act of 1940 imposes restrictions on our purchase of CMOs. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 21% of our mortgage-backed securities were CMOs and approximately 79% of our mortgage-backed securities were pass-through or pay-through securities.

While we seek to minimize prepayment risk to the extent practical, in selecting investments we must balance prepayment risk against other risks and the potential returns of each investment. No strategy can completely insulate us from prepayment risk.

An increase in interest rates may adversely affect our book value

Increases in interest rates may negatively affect the market value of our investment securities. Our fixed-rate securities, generally, are more negatively affected by these increases. In accordance with accounting rules, we reduce our book value by the amount of any decrease in the market value of our investment securities.

Failure to procure funding on favorable terms, or at all, would adversely affect our results and may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of shares of our common stock.

The current dislocation and weakness in the broader mortgage markets could adversely affect one or more of our potential lenders and could cause one or more of our potential lenders to be unwilling or unable to provide us with financing. This could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce our liquidity. If one or more major market participants fails or otherwise experiences a major liquidity crisis, as was the case for Bear Stearns & Co. in March 2008 and Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. in September 2008, it could negatively impact the marketability of all fixed income securities, including Agency RMBS, and this could negatively impact the value of the securities we acquire, thus reducing our net book value. Furthermore, if any of our potential lenders or any of our lenders are unwilling or unable to provide us with financing, we could be forced to sell our assets at an inopportune time when prices are depressed.

Our strategy involves significant leverage

We seek to maintain a ratio of debt-to-equity of between 8:1 and 12:1, although our ratio may at times be above or below this amount. We incur this leverage by borrowing against a substantial portion of the market value of our investment securities. By incurring this leverage, we can enhance our returns. Nevertheless, this leverage, which is fundamental to our investment strategy, also creates significant risks.

- Our leverage may cause substantial losses

Because of our significant leverage, we may incur substantial losses if our borrowing costs increase. Our borrowing costs may increase for any of the following reasons:

- short-term interest rates increase;
- the market value of our investment securities decreases;
- interest rate volatility increases; or
- the availability of financing in the market decreases.

- Our leverage may cause margin calls and defaults and force us to sell assets under adverse market conditions

25

---

Because of our leverage, a decline in the value of our investment securities may result in our lenders initiating margin calls. A margin call means that the lender requires us to pledge additional collateral to re-establish the ratio of the value of the collateral to the amount of the borrowing. Our fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities generally are more susceptible to margin calls as increases in interest rates tend to more negatively affect the market value of fixed-rate securities.

If we are unable to satisfy margin calls, our lenders may foreclose on our collateral. This could force us to sell our investment securities under adverse market conditions. Additionally, in the event of our bankruptcy, our borrowings, which are generally made under repurchase agreements, may qualify for special treatment under the Bankruptcy Code. This special treatment would allow the lenders under these agreements to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and to liquidate the collateral under these agreements without delay.

- Liquidation of collateral may jeopardize our REIT status

To continue to qualify as a REIT, we must comply with requirements regarding our assets and our sources of income. If we are compelled to liquidate our investment securities, we may be unable to comply with these requirements, ultimately jeopardizing our status as a REIT and our failure to qualify as a REIT will have adverse tax consequences.

- We may exceed our target leverage ratios

We seek to maintain a ratio of debt-to-equity of between 8:1 and 12:1. However, we are not required to stay within this leverage ratio. If we exceed this ratio, the adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations from the types of risks described in this section would likely be more severe.

- We may not be able to achieve our optimal leverage

We use leverage as a strategy to increase the return to our investors. However, we may not be able to achieve our desired leverage for any of the following reasons:

- we determine that the leverage would expose us to excessive risk;
- our lenders do not make funding available to us at acceptable rates; or
- our lenders require that we provide additional collateral to cover our borrowings.
- We may incur increased borrowing costs which would adversely affect our profitability

Currently, all of our collateralized borrowings are collateralized borrowings in the form of repurchase agreements. If the interest rates on these repurchase agreements increase, it would adversely affect our profitability.

Our borrowing costs under repurchase agreements generally correspond to short-term interest rates such as LIBOR or a short-term Treasury index, plus or minus a margin. The margins on these borrowings over or under short-term interest rates may vary depending upon:

- the movement of interest rates;
- the availability of financing in the market; or
- the value and liquidity of our investment securities.

If we are unable to renew our borrowings at favorable rates, our profitability may be adversely affected

Since we rely primarily on short-term borrowings, our ability to achieve our investment objectives depends not only on our ability to borrow money in sufficient amounts and on favorable terms, but also on our ability to renew or replace on a continuous basis our maturing short-term borrowings. If we are not able to renew or replace maturing borrowings, we would have to sell our assets under possibly adverse market conditions.

26

---

If a counterparty to our repurchase transactions defaults on its obligation to resell the underlying security back to us at the end of the transaction term, or if the value of the underlying security has declined as of the end of that term, or if we default on our obligations under the repurchase agreement, we will lose money on our repurchase transactions.

When we engage in repurchase transactions, we generally sell securities to lenders (repurchase agreement counterparties) and receive cash from these lenders. The lenders are obligated to resell the same securities back to us at the end of the term of the transaction. Because the cash we receive from the lender when we initially sell the securities to the lender is less than the value of those securities (this difference is the haircut), if the lender defaults on its obligation to resell the same securities back to us, we may incur a loss on the transaction equal to the amount of the haircut (assuming there was no change in the value of the securities). We would also lose money on a repurchase transaction if the value of the underlying securities has declined as of the end of the transaction term, as we would have to repurchase the securities for their initial value but would receive securities worth less than that amount. Further, if we default on one of our obligations under a repurchase transaction, the lender can terminate the transaction and cease entering into any other repurchase transactions with us. Repurchase agreements generally contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any one agreement, the lenders under our other agreements could also declare a default. Any losses we incur on our repurchase transactions could adversely affect our earnings and thus our cash available for distribution to shareholders.

Any repurchase agreements that we use to finance our assets may require us to provide additional collateral or pay down debt.

Our repurchase agreements involve the risk that the market value of the securities pledged or sold by us to the repurchase agreement counterparty may decline in value, in which case the counterparty may require us to provide additional collateral or to repay all or a portion of the funds advanced. We may not have additional collateral or the funds available to repay our debt at that time, which would likely result in defaults unless we are able to raise the funds from alternative sources, which we may not be able to achieve on favorable terms or at all. Posting additional collateral would reduce our liquidity and limit our ability to leverage our assets. If we cannot meet these requirements, the counterparty could accelerate its indebtedness, increase the interest rate on advanced funds and terminate our ability to borrow funds from them, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and ability to implement our investment strategy. In addition, in the event that the counterparty files for bankruptcy or becomes insolvent, our securities may become subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, thus depriving us, at least temporarily, of the benefit of these assets. Such an event could restrict our access to bank credit facilities and increase its cost of capital. Repurchase agreement counterparties may also require us to maintain a certain amount of cash or set aside assets sufficient to maintain a specified liquidity position that would enhance our ability to satisfy its collateral obligations. As a result, we may not be able to leverage our assets as fully as we would choose, which could reduce our return on assets. In the event that we are unable to meet these collateral obligations, our financial condition and prospects could deteriorate rapidly.

Our hedging strategies expose us to risks

Our policies permit us to enter into interest rate swaps, caps and floors and other derivative transactions to help us mitigate our interest rate and prepayment risks described above. We have used interest rate swaps and interest rate caps to provide a level of protection against interest rate risks, but no hedging strategy can protect us completely. Interest rate hedging may fail to protect or could adversely affect us because, among other things: interest rate hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates; available interest rate hedges may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought; and the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability.

- Our hedging strategies may not be successful in mitigating the risks associated with interest rates

We cannot assure you that our use of derivatives will offset the risks related to changes in interest rates. It is likely that there will be periods in the future during which we will incur losses on our derivative financial instruments that will not be fully offset by gains on our portfolio. The derivative financial instruments we select may not have the effect of reducing our interest rate risk. In addition, the nature and timing of hedging transactions may influence the effectiveness of these strategies. Poorly designed strategies or improperly executed transactions could significantly increase our risk and lead to material losses. In addition, hedging strategies involve transaction and other costs. Our hedging strategy and the derivatives that we use may not adequately offset the risk of interest rate volatility or that our hedging transactions may not result in losses.

- Our use of derivatives may expose us to counterparty risks

We enter into interest rate swap and cap agreements to hedge risks associated with movements in interest rates. If a swap counterparty cannot perform under the terms of an interest rate swap, we would not receive payments due under that agreement, we may lose any unrealized gain associated with the interest rate swap, and the hedged liability would cease to be hedged by the interest rate swap. We may also be at risk for any collateral we have pledged to secure our obligations under the interest rate swap if the counterparty become insolvent or file for bankruptcy. Similarly, if a cap counterparty fails to perform under the terms of the cap agreement, in addition to not receiving payments due under that agreement that would off-sets our interest expense, we would also incur a loss for all remaining unamortized premium paid for that agreement.

We may face risks of investing in inverse floating rate securities

We may invest in inverse floaters. The returns on inverse floaters are inversely related to changes in an interest rate. Generally, income on inverse floaters will decrease when interest rates increase and increase when interest rates decrease. Investments in inverse floaters may subject us to the risks of reduced or eliminated interest payments and losses of principal. In addition, certain indexed securities and inverse floaters may increase or decrease in value at a greater rate than the underlying interest rate, which effectively leverages our investment in such securities. As a result, the market value of such securities will generally be more volatile than that of fixed rate securities.

Our investment strategy may involve credit risk

We may incur losses if there are payment defaults under our investment securities.

To date, substantially all of our mortgage-backed securities have been agency certificates and agency debentures which, although not rated, carry an implied “AAA” rating. Agency certificates are mortgage pass-through certificates where Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae guarantees payments of principal and interest on the certificates. Agency debentures are debt instruments issued by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, or the FHLB.

Even though we have only acquired “AAA” securities so far, pursuant to our capital investment policy, we have the ability to acquire securities of lower credit quality. Under our policy:

- 75% of our total assets must be high quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. High quality securities are securities (1) that are rated within one of the two highest rating categories by at least one of the nationally recognized rating agencies, (2) that are unrated but are guaranteed by the United States government or an agency of the United States government, or (3) that are unrated or whose ratings have not been updated but that our management determines are of comparable quality to rated high quality mortgage-backed securities;





- the remaining 25% of total assets, may consist of mortgage-backed securities and other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality, but which are at least “investment grade” (rated “BBB” or better by Standard & Poor’s Corporation (“S&P”) or the equivalent by another nationally recognized rating organization) or, if not rated, we determine them to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated “BBB” or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics; and
- We seek to structure our portfolio to maintain a minimum weighted average rating (including our deemed comparable ratings for unrated mortgage-backed securities) of our mortgage-backed securities of at least single “A” under the S&P rating system and at the comparable level under the other rating systems.

If we acquire securities of lower credit quality, we may incur losses if there are defaults under those securities or if the rating agencies downgrade the credit quality of those securities.

We have not established a minimum dividend payment level

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to make distributions to our stockholders in amounts such that all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year (subject to certain adjustments) is distributed. This enables us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Code. We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected for the reasons described in this section. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time.

Because of competition, we may not be able to acquire mortgage-backed securities at favorable yields

Our net income depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire mortgage-backed securities at favorable spreads over our borrowing costs. In acquiring mortgage-backed securities, we compete with other REITs, investment banking firms, savings and loan associations, banks, insurance companies, mutual funds, other lenders and other entities that purchase mortgage-backed securities, many of which have greater financial resources than us. As a result, in the future, we may not be able to acquire sufficient mortgage-backed securities at favorable spreads over our borrowing costs.

We are dependent on our key personnel

We are dependent on the efforts of our key officers and employees, including Michael A. J. Farrell, our Chairman of the board of directors, Chief Executive Officer and President, Wellington J. Denahan-Norris, our Vice Chairman, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Investment Officer, and Kathryn F. Fagan, our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. The loss of any of their services could have an adverse effect on our operations. Although we have employment agreements with each of them, we cannot assure you they will remain employed with us.

We and our shareholders are subject to certain tax risks

- Our failure to qualify as a REIT would have adverse tax consequences

We believe that since 1997 we have qualified for taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. We plan to continue to meet the requirements for taxation as a REIT. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis

of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 75% of our gross income must come from real estate sources and 95% of our gross income must come from real estate sources and certain other sources that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. We are also required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and by excluding any net capital gain). Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. Furthermore, Congress and the Internal Revenue Service (or IRS) might make changes to the tax laws and regulations, and the courts might issue new rulings that make it more difficult or impossible for us to remain qualified as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Also, unless the IRS granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we would remain disqualified as a REIT for four years following the year we first fail to qualify. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would have to pay significant income taxes and would therefore have less money available for investments or for distributions to our stockholders. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities. In addition, the tax law would no longer require us to make distributions to our stockholders.

A REIT that fails the quarterly asset tests for one or more quarters will not lose its REIT status as a result of such failure if either (i) the failure is regarded as a de minimis failure under standards set out in the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) the failure is greater than a de minimis failure but is attributable to reasonable cause and not willful neglect. In the case of a greater than de minimis failure, however, the REIT must pay a tax and must remedy the failure within 6 months of the close of the quarter in which the failure was identified. In addition, the Internal Revenue Code provides relief for failures of other tests imposed as a condition of REIT qualification, as long as the failures are attributable to reasonable cause and not willful neglect. A REIT would be required to pay a penalty of \$50,000, however, in the case of each failure.

- We have certain distribution requirements

As a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and by excluding any net capital gain). The required distribution limits the amount we have available for other business purposes, including amounts to fund our growth. Also, it is possible that because of the differences between the time we actually receive revenue or pay expenses and the period we report those items for distribution purposes, we may have to borrow funds on a short-term basis to meet the 90% distribution requirement.

- We are also subject to other tax liabilities

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. Any of these taxes would reduce our operating cash flow.

- Limits on ownership of our common stock could have adverse consequences to you and could limit your opportunity to receive a premium on our stock

To maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, not more than 50% in value of the outstanding shares of our capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the federal tax laws to include certain entities). Primarily to facilitate maintenance of our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, our charter will prohibit ownership, directly or by the attribution provisions of the federal tax laws, by any person of more than 9.8% of the lesser of the number or value of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock and will prohibit ownership, directly or by the attribution provisions of the federal tax laws, by any person of more than 9.8% of the lesser of the number or value of the issued and outstanding shares of any class or series of our preferred stock. Our board of directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, may waive or modify the ownership limit with respect to one or more persons who would not be treated as "individuals" for purposes of the federal tax laws if it is satisfied, based upon information required to be provided by the party seeking the waiver and upon an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the board of directors, that ownership in excess of this limit will not otherwise jeopardize our status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

The ownership limit may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control and, therefore, could adversely affect our shareholders' ability to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price for our common stock in connection with a change in control.



- A REIT cannot invest more than 25% of its total assets in the stock or securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries; therefore, our taxable subsidiaries cannot constitute more than 25% of our total assets

A taxable REIT subsidiary is a corporation, other than a REIT or a qualified REIT subsidiary, in which a REIT owns stock and which elects taxable REIT subsidiary status. The term also includes a corporate subsidiary in which the taxable REIT subsidiary owns more than a 35% interest. A REIT may own up to 100% of the stock of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. A taxable REIT subsidiary may earn income that would not be qualifying income if earned directly by the parent REIT. Overall, at the close of any calendar quarter, no more than 25% of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries.

The stock and securities of our taxable REIT subsidiaries are expected to represent less than 25% of the value of our total assets. Furthermore, we intend to monitor the value of our investments in the stock and securities of our taxable REIT subsidiaries to ensure compliance with the above-described 25% limitation. We cannot assure you, however, that we will always be able to comply with the 25% limitation so as to maintain REIT status.

- Taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to tax at the regular corporate rates, are not required to distribute dividends, and the amount of dividends a taxable REIT subsidiary can pay to its parent REIT may be limited by REIT gross income tests

A taxable REIT subsidiary must pay income tax at regular corporate rates on any income that it earns. Our taxable REIT subsidiaries will pay corporate income tax on their taxable income, and their after-tax net income will be available for distribution to us. Such income, however, is not required to be distributed.

Moreover, the annual gross income tests that must be satisfied to ensure REIT qualification may limit the amount of dividends that we can receive from our taxable REIT subsidiaries and still maintain our REIT status. Generally, not more than 25% of our gross income can be derived from non-real estate related sources, such as dividends from a taxable REIT subsidiary. If, for any taxable year, the dividends we received from our taxable REIT subsidiaries, when added to our other items of non-real estate related income, represented more than 25% of our total gross income for the year, we could be denied REIT status, unless we were able to demonstrate, among other things, that our failure of the gross income test was due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect.

The limitations imposed by the REIT gross income tests may impede our ability to distribute assets from our taxable REIT subsidiaries to us in the form of dividends. Certain asset transfers may, therefore, have to be structured as purchase and sale transactions upon which our taxable REIT subsidiaries recognize taxable gain.

- If interest accrues on indebtedness owed by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its parent REIT at a rate in excess of a commercially reasonable rate, or if transactions between a REIT and a taxable REIT subsidiary are entered into on other than arm's-length terms, the REIT may be subject to a penalty tax

If interest accrues on an indebtedness owed by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its parent REIT at a rate in excess of a commercially reasonable rate, the REIT is subject to tax at a rate of 100% on the excess of (i) interest payments made by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its parent REIT over (ii) the amount of interest that would have been payable had interest accrued on the indebtedness at a commercially reasonable rate. A tax at a rate of 100% is also imposed on any transaction between a taxable REIT subsidiary and its parent REIT to the extent the transaction gives rise to deductions to the taxable REIT subsidiary that are in excess of the deductions that would have been allowable had the transaction been entered into on arm's-length terms. We will scrutinize all of our transactions with our taxable REIT subsidiaries in an effort to ensure that we do not become subject to these taxes. We may not be able to avoid application of these taxes.



## Risks of Ownership of Our Common Stock

We may change our policies without stockholder approval

Our board of directors and management determine all of our policies, including our investment, financing and distribution policies. They may amend or revise these policies at any time without a vote of our stockholders. Policy changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock or our ability to pay dividends or distributions.

Our governing documents and Maryland law impose limitations on the acquisition of our common stock and changes in control that could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us

### Maryland Business Combination Act

The Maryland General Corporation Law establishes special requirements for “business combinations” between a Maryland corporation and “interested stockholders” unless exemptions are applicable. An interested stockholder is any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our then-outstanding voting stock. Among other things, the law prohibits for a period of five years a merger and other similar transactions between us and an interested stockholder unless the board of directors approved the transaction prior to the party’s becoming an interested stockholder. The five-year period runs from the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder. The law also requires a super majority stockholder vote for such transactions after the end of the five-year period. This means that the transaction must be approved by at least:

- 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares; and
- two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares other than shares held by the interested stockholder or an affiliate of the interested stockholder with whom the business combination is to be effected.

As permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law, we have elected not to be governed by the Maryland business combination statute. We made this election by opting out of this statute in our articles of incorporation. If, however, we amend our articles of incorporation to opt back in to the statute, the business combination statute could have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if our acquisition would be in our stockholders’ best interests.

### Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act

Maryland law provides that “control shares” of a Maryland corporation acquired in a “control share acquisition” have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of the stockholders. Two-thirds of the shares eligible to vote must vote in favor of granting the “control shares” voting rights. “Control shares” are shares of stock that, taken together with all other shares of stock the acquirer previously acquired, would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of voting power:

- One-tenth or more but less than one third of all voting power;
- One-third or more but less than a majority of all voting power; or
- A majority or more of all voting power.



Control shares do not include shares of stock the acquiring person is entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained stockholder approval. A “control share acquisition” means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

If a person who has made (or proposes to make) a control share acquisition satisfies certain conditions (including agreeing to pay expenses), he may compel our board of directors to call a special meeting of stockholders to consider the voting rights of the shares. If such a person makes no request for a meeting, we have the option to present the question at any stockholders’ meeting.

If voting rights are not approved at a meeting of stockholders then, subject to certain conditions and limitations, we may redeem any or all of the control shares (except those for which voting rights have previously been approved) for fair value. We will determine the fair value of the shares, without regard to voting rights, as of the date of either:

- the last control share acquisition; or
  - the meeting where stockholders considered and did not approve voting rights of the control shares.

If voting rights for control shares are approved at a stockholders' meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares of stock entitled to vote, all other stockholders may obtain rights as objecting stockholders and, thereunder, exercise appraisal rights. This means that you would be able to force us to redeem your stock for fair value. Under Maryland law, the fair value may not be less than the highest price per share paid in the control share acquisition. Furthermore, certain limitations otherwise applicable to the exercise of dissenters' rights would not apply in the context of a control share acquisition. The control share acquisition statute would not apply to shares acquired in a merger, consolidation or share exchange if we were a party to the transaction. The control share acquisition statute could have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if our acquisition would be in our stockholders' best interests.

The market price and trading volume of our shares of common stock may be volatile and issuances of large amounts of shares of our common stock could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

As of February 22, 2010, 553,156,865 shares of our common stock were outstanding. If we issue a significant number of shares of common stock or securities convertible into common stock in a short period of time, there could be a dilution of the existing common stock and a decrease in the market price of the common stock.

The market price of our shares of common stock may be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations. In addition, the trading volume in our shares of common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. We cannot assure you that the market price of our shares of common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future. Some of the factors that could negatively affect our share price or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our shares of common stock include those set forth under "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" as well as:

- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results or business prospects;
- changes in our earnings estimates or publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry;
- an inability to meet or exceed securities analysts' estimates or expectations;
- increases in market interest rates;
- hedging or arbitrage trading activity in our shares of common stock;
- capital commitments;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- adverse market reaction to any increased indebtedness we incur in the future;
- additions or departures of management personnel;



- actions by institutional shareholders;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- changes in our distribution policy;
- general market and economic conditions; and
- future sales of our shares of common stock or securities convertible into, or exchangeable or exercisable for, our shares of common stock.

Holders of our shares of common stock will be subject to the risk of volatile market prices and wide fluctuations in the market price of our shares of common stock. In addition, many of the factors listed above are beyond our control. These factors may cause the market price of our shares of common stock to decline, regardless of our financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects. It is impossible to assure you that the market prices of our shares of common stock will not fall in the future.

The repurchase right in our Convertible Senior Notes triggered by a fundamental change could discourage a potential acquiror

If we undergo certain fundamental changes, such as the acquisition of 50% of the voting power of all shares of our common equity entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, holders of our Convertible Senior Notes may require us to repurchase all or a portion of their notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be purchased plus any accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the repurchase date. We will pay for all notes so repurchased with shares of our common stock using a price per share equal to the average daily volume-weighted average price of our common stock for the 20 consecutive trading days ending on the trading day immediately prior to the occurrence of the fundamental change. The issuance of these shares of common stock upon certain fundamental changes could discourage a potential acquiror.

Broad market fluctuations could negatively impact the market price of our shares of common stock.

The stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many companies in industries similar or related to ours and that have been unrelated to these companies' operating performance. These broad market fluctuations could reduce the market price of our shares of common stock. Furthermore, our operating results and prospects may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors or may be lower than those of companies with comparable market capitalizations, which could lead to a material decline in the market price of our shares of common stock.

#### Regulatory Risks

Loss of Investment Company Act exemption would adversely affect us

We intend to conduct our business so as not to become regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. If we fail to qualify for this exemption, our ability to use leverage would be substantially reduced, and we would be unable to conduct our business as described in this Form 10-K.

We currently rely on the exemption from registration provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act. Section 3(c)(5)(C) as interpreted by the staff of the SEC, requires us to invest at least 55% of our assets in "mortgages and other liens on and interest in real estate" (or Qualifying Real Estate Assets) and at least 80% of our assets in Qualifying Real Estate Assets plus real estate related assets. The assets that we acquire, therefore, are limited

by the provisions of the Investment Company Act and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Investment Company Act. If the SEC determines that any of these securities are not qualifying interests in real estate or real estate related assets, adopts a contrary interpretation with respect to these securities or otherwise believes we do not satisfy the above exceptions, we could be required to restructure our activities or sell certain of our assets. We may be required at times to adopt less efficient methods of financing certain of our mortgage assets and we may be precluded from acquiring certain types of higher yielding mortgage assets. The net effect of these factors will be to lower our net interest income. If we fail to qualify for exemption from registration as an investment company, our ability to use leverage would be substantially reduced, and we would not be able to conduct our business as described. Our business will be materially and adversely affected if we fail to qualify for this exemption.

Compliance with proposed and recently enacted changes in securities laws and regulations increase our costs

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange have increased the scope, complexity and cost of corporate governance, reporting and disclosure practices. We believe that these rules and regulations will make it more costly for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage. These rules and regulations could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified members of management and our board of directors, particularly to serve on our audit committee.

35

---

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our executive and administrative office is located at 1211 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 2902 New York, New York 10036, telephone 212-696-0100. This office is leased under a non-cancelable lease expiring December 31, 2015.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we are involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

We did not submit any matters to a vote of our stockholders during the fourth quarter of 2009.

36

---

## PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock began trading publicly on October 8, 1997 and is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol "NLY." As of February 22, 2010, we had 553,156,865 shares of common stock issued and outstanding which were held by approximately 317,979 beneficial holders.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low, and closing sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange composite tape and the cash dividends declared per share of our common stock.

	Stock Prices		
	High	Low	Close
First Quarter ended March 31, 2009	\$ 16.29	\$ 12.07	\$ 13.87
Second Quarter ended June 30, 2009	\$ 15.56	\$ 13.21	\$ 15.14
Third Quarter ended September 30, 2009	\$ 19.74	\$ 14.96	\$ 18.14
Fourth Quarter ended December 31, 2009	\$ 18.99	\$ 16.74	\$ 17.35
	High	Low	Close
First Quarter ended March 31, 2008	\$ 21.00	\$ 14.16	\$ 15.32
Second Quarter ended June 30, 2008	\$ 17.95	\$ 15.51	\$ 15.51
Third Quarter ended September 30, 2008	\$ 17.00	\$ 12.92	\$ 13.45
Fourth Quarter ended December 31, 2008	\$ 16.12	\$ 11.21	\$ 15.87
	Common Dividends Declared Per Share		
First Quarter ended March 31, 2009	\$	0.75	
Second Quarter ended June 30, 2009	\$	0.69	
Third Quarter ended September 30, 2009	\$	0.60	
Fourth Quarter ended December 31, 2009	\$	0.50	
First Quarter ended March 31, 2008	\$	0.48	
Second Quarter ended June 30, 2008	\$	0.55	
Third Quarter ended September 30, 2008	\$	0.55	
Fourth Quarter ended December 31, 2008	\$	0.50	

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to distribute to our stockholders all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year (subject to certain adjustments). This will enable us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Code. We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected for the reasons described under the caption "Risk Factors." All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. No dividends can be paid on our common stock unless we have paid full cumulative dividends on our preferred stock. From the date of



issuance of our preferred stock through December 31, 2009, we have paid full cumulative dividends on our preferred stock.

37

---

## SHARE PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph and table set forth certain information comparing the yearly percentage change in cumulative total return on our common stock to the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor's Composite 500 stock Index or S&P 500 Index, and the Bloomberg REIT Mortgage Index, or BBG REIT index, an industry index of mortgage REITs. The comparison is for the period from December 31, 2004 to December 31, 2009 and assumes the reinvestment of dividends. The graph and table assume that \$100 was invested in our common stock and the two other indices on December 31, 2004. Upon written request we will provide stockholders with a list of the REITs included in the BBG REIT Index.

	12/31/2004	12/30/2005	12/29/2006	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009
Annaly Capital Management	100	76	95	124	123	145
S&P 500 Index	100	116	134	141	92	113
BBG REIT Index	100	108	125	83	65	71

The information in the share performance graph and table has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but neither its accuracy nor its completeness can be guaranteed. The historical information set forth above is not necessarily indicative of future performance. Accordingly, we do not make or endorse any predictions as to future share performance.

## EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

We have adopted a long term stock incentive plan for executive officers, key employees and nonemployee directors (the Incentive Plan). The Incentive Plan authorizes the Compensation Committee of the board of directors to grant awards, including incentive stock options as defined under Section 422 of the Code (ISOs) and options not so qualified (NQSOs). The Incentive Plan authorizes the granting of options or other awards for an aggregate of the greater of 500,000 shares or 9.5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock up to a ceiling of 8,932,921 shares. For a description of our Incentive Plan, see Note 13 to the Financial Statements.

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2009 concerning shares of our common stock authorized for issuance under our existing Incentive Plan.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under Incentive Plan (excluding previously issued)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	7,271,503	\$ 15.20	166,850 (1)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	7,271,503	\$ 15.20	166,850

(1) The Incentive Plan authorizes the granting of options or other awards for an aggregate of the greater of 500,000 or 9.5% of the outstanding shares on a fully diluted basis of our common stock up to a ceiling of 8,932,921 shares.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data are derived from our audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the more detailed information contained in the Financial Statements and Notes thereto and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA  
(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

Statement of Operations Data	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	For the Year Ended December 31, 2005
Interest income:					
Interest income	\$ 2,922,499	\$ 3,115,428	\$ 2,355,447	\$ 1,221,882	\$ 705,046
Securities loaned	103	-	-	-	-
Total interest income	2,922,602	3,115,428	2,355,447	1,221,882	705,046
Interest expense:					
Repurchase agreements	1,295,670	1,888,912	1,926,465	1,055,013	568,560
Securities borrowed	92	-	-	-	-
Total interest expense	1,295,762	1,888,912	1,926,465	1,055,013	568,560
Net interest income	1,626,840	1,226,516	428,982	166,869	136,486
Other income (loss):					
Investment advisory and service fees	48,952	27,891	22,028	22,351	35,625
Gain (loss) on sale of investment securities	99,128	10,713	19,062	(3,862 )	(53,238 )
Gain on termination of interest rate swaps	-	-	2,096	10,674	-
Income from trading securities	-	9,695	19,147	3,994	-
Dividend income from available-for-sale equity securities	17,184	2,713	91	-	-
Loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities	-	(31,834 )	(1,189 )	(52,348 )	(83,098 )
Loss on receivable from Prime Broker	(13,613 )	-	-	-	-
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps	349,521	(768,268 )	-	-	-
Total other income (loss)	501,172	(749,090 )	61,235	(19,191 )	(100,711 )
Expenses:					
Distribution fees	1,756	1,589	3,647	3,444	8,000

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

General and administrative expenses	130,152	103,622	62,666	40,063	26,278
Total Expenses	131,908	105,211	66,313	43,507	34,278
Impairment of intangible for customer relationships	-	-	-	2,493	-
Income before loss on equity method investment, income taxes and noncontrolling interest	1,996,104	372,215	423,904	101,678	1,497
Loss on equity method investment	252	-	-	-	-
Income taxes	34,381	25,977	8,870	7,538	10,744
Income (loss) before noncontrolling interest	1,961,471	346,238	415,034	94,140	(9,247 )
Noncontrolling interest	-	58	650	324	-
Net income (loss)	1,961,471	346,180	414,384	93,816	(9,247 )
Dividends on preferred stock	18,501	21,177	21,493	19,557	14,593
Net income available (loss related) to common shareholders	\$1,942,970	\$325,003	\$392,891	\$74,259	\$(23,840 )
Total assets	\$69,376,190	\$57,597,615	\$54,002,514	\$30,715,980	\$16,063,422
6.00% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock	\$63,114	\$96,042	\$111,466	\$111,466	-
Basic net income (loss) per average common share	\$3.55	\$0.64	\$1.32	\$0.44	\$(0.19 )
Diluted net income (loss) per average common share	\$3.52	\$0.64	\$1.31	\$0.44	\$(0.19 )
Dividends declared per common share	\$2.54	\$2.08	\$1.04	\$0.57	\$1.04

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

We are a REIT that owns and manages a portfolio of principally mortgage-backed securities. Our principal business objective is to generate net income for distribution to our stockholders from the spread between the interest income on our investment securities and the costs of borrowing to finance our acquisition of investment securities and from dividends we receive from our subsidiaries. Our wholly-owned subsidiaries offer diversified real estate, asset management and other financial services. FIDAC and Merganser are our wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiaries that are registered investment advisors that generate advisory and service fee income. RCap is our wholly-owned broker dealer taxable REIT subsidiary which generates fee income.

We are primarily engaged in the business of investing, on a leveraged basis, in mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations and other mortgage-backed securities representing interests in or obligations backed by pools of mortgage loans issued or guaranteed by Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac"), Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") and the Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") (collectively, "Mortgage-Backed Securities"). We also invest in Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"), Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae debentures. The Mortgage-Backed Securities and agency debentures are collectively referred to herein as "Investment Securities."

Under our capital investment policy, at least 75% of our total assets must be comprised of high-quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. High quality securities means securities that (1) are rated within one of the two highest rating categories by at least one of the nationally recognized rating agencies, (2) are unrated but are guaranteed by the United States government or an agency of the United States government, or (3) are unrated but we determine them to be of comparable quality to rated high-quality mortgage-backed securities.

The remainder of our assets, comprising not more than 25% of our total assets, may consist of other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality, but which are at least "investment grade" (rated "BBB" or better by Standard & Poor's Corporation ("S&P") or the equivalent by another nationally recognized rating agency) or, if not rated, we determine them to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated "BBB" or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics.

We may acquire Mortgage-Backed Securities backed by single-family residential mortgage loans as well as securities backed by loans on multi-family, commercial or other real estate related properties. To date, substantially all of the Mortgage-Backed Securities that we have acquired have been backed by single-family residential mortgage loans.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Pursuant to the current federal tax regulations, one of the requirements of maintaining our status as a REIT is that we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and by excluding any net capital gain) to our stockholders, subject to certain adjustments.

The results of our operations are affected by various factors, many of which are beyond our control. Our results of operations primarily depend on, among other things, our net interest income, the market value of our assets and the supply of and demand for such assets. Our net interest income, which reflects the amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of discounts, varies primarily as a result of changes in interest rates, borrowing costs and prepayment speeds, the behavior of which involves various risks and uncertainties. Prepayment speeds, as reflected by the Constant Prepayment Rate, or CPR, and interest rates vary according to the type of investment, conditions in financial markets, competition and other factors, none of which can be predicted with any certainty. In general, as prepayment speeds on our Mortgage-Backed Securities portfolio increase, related purchase premium amortization increases, thereby reducing the net yield on such assets. The CPR on our Mortgage-Backed Securities portfolio averaged 19% ,13% and 15% for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Since changes in interest rates may significantly affect our activities, our operating results depend, in large part, upon our ability to effectively manage interest rate risks and prepayment risks while maintaining our status as a REIT.

The table below provides quarterly information regarding our average balances, interest income, yield on assets, average repurchase agreement balances, interest expense, cost of funds, net interest income and net interest rate spreads for the quarterly periods presented.

Quarter Ended	Average Investment Securities Held (1)	Total Interest Income	Yield on Average Investment Securities	Average Balance of Repurchase Agreements	Interest Expense	Average Cost of Funds	Net Interest Income	Net Interest Rate Spread
December 31, 2009	\$58,554,200	\$2,922,602	4.99%	\$52,361,607	\$1,295,762	2.47%	\$1,626,840	2.52%
September 30, 2009	\$60,905,025	\$744,523	4.89%	\$54,914,435	\$307,777	2.24%	\$436,746	2.65%
June 30, 2009	\$56,420,189	\$710,401	5.04%	\$50,114,663	\$322,596	2.57%	\$387,805	2.47%
March 31, 2009	\$54,763,268	\$716,015	5.23%	\$48,497,444	\$378,625	3.12%	\$337,390	2.11%

(1) Does not reflect unrealized gains/(losses).

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

The following table presents the CPR experienced on our Mortgage-Backed Securities portfolio, on an annualized basis, for the quarterly periods presented.

Quarter Ended	CPR
December 31, 2009	19%
September 30, 2009	21%
June 30, 2009	19%
March 31, 2009	16%

42

---



We believe that the CPR in future periods will depend, in part, on changes in and the level of market interest rates across the yield curve, with higher CPRs expected during periods of declining interest rates and lower CPRs expected during periods of rising interest rates.

We continue to explore alternative business strategies, alternative investments and other strategic initiatives to complement our core business strategy of investing, on a leveraged basis, in high quality Investment Securities. No assurance, however, can be provided that any such strategic initiative will or will not be implemented in the future.

For the purposes of computing ratios relating to equity measures, throughout this report, equity includes Series B preferred stock, which has been treated under GAAP as temporary equity. In this “Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”, net income attributable to controlling interest is referred to as net income.

### Recent Developments

The liquidity crisis which commenced in August 2007 continues through the fourth quarter of 2009. During this period of market dislocation, fiscal and monetary policymakers have established new liquidity facilities for primary dealers and commercial banks, reduced short-term interest rates, and passed legislation that is intended to address the challenges of mortgage borrowers and lenders. This legislation, the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, seeks to forestall home foreclosures for distressed borrowers and assist communities with foreclosure problems. Although these aggressive steps are intended to protect and support the US housing and mortgage market, we continue to operate under difficult market conditions.

Subsequent to June 30, 2008, there were increased market concerns about Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae’s ability to withstand future credit losses associated with securities held in their investment portfolios, and on which they provide guarantees, without the direct support of the U.S. Government. In September 2008, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac were placed into the conservatorship of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, or FHFA, their federal regulator, pursuant to its powers under The Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008, a part of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008. As the conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the FHFA controls and directs the operations of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and may (1) take over the assets of and operate Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac with all the powers of the shareholders, the directors, and the officers of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and conduct all business of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; (2) collect all obligations and money due to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; (3) perform all functions of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac which are consistent with the conservator’s appointment; (4) preserve and conserve the assets and property of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; and (5) contract for assistance in fulfilling any function, activity, action or duty of the conservator.

In addition to FHFA becoming the conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the Treasury and FHFA have entered into Preferred Stock Purchase Agreements (PSPAs) between the Treasury and Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac pursuant to which the Treasury will ensure that each of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac maintains a positive net worth. On December 24, 2009, the U.S. Treasury amended the terms of the U.S. Treasury’s PSPAs with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to remove the \$200 billion per institution limit established under the PSPAs until the end of 2012. The U.S. Treasury also amended the PSPAs with respect to the requirements for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to reduce their portfolios.

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, or EESA, was also enacted. The EESA provides the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury with the authority to establish a Troubled Asset Relief Program, or TARP, to purchase from financial institutions up to \$700 billion of equity or preferred securities, residential or commercial mortgages and any securities, obligations, or other instruments that are based on or related to such mortgages, that in each case was originated or issued on or before March 14, 2008, as well as any other financial instrument that the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury, after consultation with the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, determines the purchase of which is necessary to promote financial market stability, upon transmittal of such determination, in writing, to the appropriate committees of the U.S. Congress. The EESA also provides for a program that would allow companies to insure their troubled assets.

In addition, the U.S. Government, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or Federal Reserve, and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken or are considering taking other actions to address the financial crisis. The Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility, or TALF, was first announced by the U.S. Department of Treasury, or the Treasury, on November 25, 2008, and has been expanded in size and scope since its initial announcement. Under the TALF, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York makes non-recourse loans to borrowers to fund their purchase of eligible assets, currently certain asset-backed securities but not residential mortgage-backed securities. On March 23, 2009, the U.S. Treasury announced preliminary plans to expand the TALF beyond non-mortgage ABS to include legacy securitization assets, including non-Agency RMBS and CMBS that were originally rated AAA and issued prior to January 1, 2009. On May 1, 2009, the Federal Reserve published the terms for the expansion of TALF to CMBS and announced that, beginning in June 2009, up to \$100 billion of TALF loans would be available to finance purchases of CMBS. The Federal Reserve has also announced that, beginning in July 2009, eligible legacy CMBS may also be purchased under the TALF. Many legacy CMBS, however, have had their ratings downgraded, and at least one rating agency, S&P, has announced that further downgrades are likely in the future as property values have declined. These downgrades may significantly reduce the quantity of legacy CMBS that are TALF eligible. There can be no assurance that we will be able to utilize this program successfully or at all.

In addition, on March 23, 2009 the government announced that the Treasury in conjunction with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC, and the Federal Reserve, would create the Public-Private Investment Program, or PPIP. The PPIP aims to recreate a market for specific illiquid residential and commercial loans and securities through a number of joint public and private investment funds. The PPIP is designed to draw new private capital into the market for these securities and loans by providing government equity co-investment and attractive public financing. As these programs are still in early stages of operations, it is not possible for us to predict how these programs will impact our business.

There can be no assurance that the EESA, TALF, PPIP or other policy initiatives will have a beneficial impact on the financial markets, including current extreme levels of volatility. We cannot predict whether or when such actions may occur or what impact, if any, such actions could have on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The liquidity crisis could adversely affect one or more of our lenders and could cause one or more of our lenders to be unwilling or unable to provide us with additional financing. This could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce liquidity. If one or more major market participants fails, it could negatively impact the marketability of all fixed income securities, including agency mortgage securities, and this could negatively impact the value of the securities in our portfolio, thus reducing its net book value. Furthermore, if many of our lenders are unwilling or unable to provide us with additional financing, we could be forced to sell our Investment Securities at an inopportune time when prices are depressed. Even with the current situation in the mortgage sector we do not anticipate having difficulty converting our assets to cash or extending financing, due to the fact that our investment securities have an actual or implied "AAA" rating and principal payment is guaranteed.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on the amounts reported in our financial statements. These financial statements are prepared in conformity with GAAP. In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could have a material effect on our financial statements. The following is a summary of our policies most affected by management's judgments, estimates and assumptions.

**Fair Value of Investment Securities:** All assets classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value, based on market prices. Although we generally intend to hold most of our Investment Securities until maturity, we may, from time to time, sell any of our Investment Securities as part our overall management of our portfolio. Accordingly, we are required to classify all of our Investment Securities as available-for-sale. Our policy is to obtain fair values from independent sources. Fair values from independent sources are compared to internal prices for reasonableness. Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. The determination of whether a security is other-than-temporarily impaired involves judgments and assumptions based on subjective and objective factors. Consideration is given to (1) our intent to sell the Investment Securities, (2) whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the Investment Securities before recovery, or (3) whether we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the Investment Securities. Further, the security is analyzed for credit loss (the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis). The credit loss, if any, will then be recognized in the statement of earnings, while the balance of impairment related to other factors will be recognized in other comprehensive income ("OCI").

**Interest Income:** Interest income is accrued based on the outstanding principal amount of the Investment Securities and their contractual terms. Premiums and discounts associated with the purchase of the Investment Securities are amortized or accreted into interest income over the projected lives of the securities using the interest method. Our policy for estimating prepayment speeds for calculating the effective yield is to evaluate historical performance, Wall Street consensus prepayment speeds, and current market conditions. If our estimate of prepayments is incorrect, we may be required to make an adjustment to the amortization or accretion of premiums and discounts that would have an impact on future income.

**Derivative Financial Instruments/Hedging Activity:** Prior to the fourth quarter of 2008, we designated interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges, whereby the swaps were recorded at fair value on our balance sheet as assets and liabilities with any changes in fair value recorded in OCI. In a cash flow hedge, a swap would exactly match the pricing date of the relevant repurchase agreement. Through the end of the third quarter of 2008 we continued to be able to effectively match the swaps with the repurchase agreements therefore entering into effective hedge transactions. However, due to the volatility of the credit markets, it is no longer practical to match the pricing dates of both the swaps and the repurchase agreements.

As a result, we voluntarily discontinued hedge accounting after the third quarter of 2008 through a combination of de-designating previously defined hedge relationships and not designating new contracts as cash flow hedges. The de-designation of cash flow hedges requires that the net derivative gain or loss related to the discontinued cash flow hedge should continue to be reported in accumulated OCI, unless it is probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within an additional two-month period of time thereafter. We continue to hold repurchase agreements in excess of swap contracts and have no indication that interest payments on the hedged repurchase agreements are in jeopardy of discontinuing. Therefore, the deferred losses related to these derivatives that have been de-designated will not be recognized immediately and will remain in OCI. These losses are reclassified into earnings during the contractual terms of the swap agreements starting as of October 1, 2008. Changes in the unrealized gains or losses on the interest rate swaps subsequent to September 30, 2008 are reflected in our statement of operations.

**Repurchase Agreements:** We finance the acquisition of our Investment Securities through the use of repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried at their contractual amounts, including accrued interest, as specified in the respective agreements. Repurchase agreements entered into by RCap are matched with reverse repurchase agreements and are recorded on trade date with the duration of such repurchase agreements mirroring those of the matched reverse repurchase agreements. These repurchase agreements entered into by RCap are recorded at the contract amount and margin calls are filled by RCap as required based on any deficiencies in collateral versus the contract price. The repurchase agreements are recorded at the contract amount and margin calls are filled by RCap as required based on any deficiencies in collateral versus the contract price. RCap generates income from the spread between what is earned on the reverse repurchase agreements and what is paid on the repurchase agreements. Intercompany transactions are eliminated in the statement of financial condition, statement of operations, and statement of cash flows. Cash flows related to RCap's repurchase agreements are included in cash flows from operating activity.

**Income Taxes:** We have elected to be taxed as a REIT and intend to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the Code), with respect thereto. Accordingly, we will not be subjected to federal income tax to the extent of our distributions to shareholders and as long as certain asset, income and stock ownership tests are met. We, FIDAC, Merganser, and RCap have made separate joint elections to treat FIDAC, Merganser, and RCap as taxable REIT subsidiaries. As such, FIDAC, Merganser, and RCap are taxable as domestic C corporations and subject to federal and state and local income taxes based upon their taxable income.

**Impairment of Goodwill and Intangibles:** Our acquisition of FIDAC and Merganser were accounted for using the purchase method. The cost of FIDAC and Merganser were allocated to the assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets and the liabilities assumed, based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The excess of cost over the fair value of the net assets acquired was recognized as goodwill. Goodwill and finite-lived intangible assets are periodically reviewed for potential impairment. This evaluation requires significant judgment.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

##### General Principles

##### Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (ASC 105)

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued The Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (Codification) which revises the framework for selecting the accounting principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements that are presented in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”). The objective of the Codification is to establish the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB. Codification was effective September 30, 2009. In adopting the Codification, all non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification is superseded and deemed non-authoritative. Codification requires any references within the consolidated financial statements be modified from FASB issues to ASC. However, in accordance with the FASB Accounting Standards Codification Notice to Constituents (v 2.0), we will not reference specific sections of the ASC but will use broad topic references.

Our recent accounting pronouncements section has been reformatted to reflect the same organizational structure as the ASC. Broad topic references will be updated with pending content as they are released.

##### Assets

##### Investments in Debt and Equity Securities (ASC 320)

New guidance was provided to make impairment guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments (“OTTI”) on debt and equity securities in financial statements. This guidance was also the result of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) mark-to-market study mandated under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”). The SEC’s recommendation was to “evaluate the need for modifications (or the elimination) of current OTTI guidance to provide for a more uniform system of impairment testing standards for financial instruments.” The guidance revises the OTTI evaluation methodology. Previously the analytical focus was on whether we had the “intent and ability to retain its investment in the debt security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.” Now the focus is on whether we have (1) the intent to sell the Investment Securities, (2) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the Investment Securities before recovery, or (3) it does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the Investment Securities. Further, the security is analyzed for credit loss, (the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis). The credit loss, if any, will then be recognized in the statement of operations, while the balance of impairment related to other factors will be recognized in Other Comprehensive Income. This guidance became effective for all interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009 with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009 and we decided to early adopt. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we did not have unrealized losses in Investment Securities that were deemed other-than-temporary.

##### Broad Transactions

Business Combinations (ASC 805)

This guidance establishes principles and requirements for recognizing and measuring identifiable assets and goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in a business combination at their fair value at acquisition date. ASC 805 alters the treatment of acquisition-related costs, business combinations achieved in stages (referred to as a step acquisition), the treatment of gains from a bargain purchase, the recognition of contingencies in business combinations, the treatment of in-process research and development in a business combination as well as the treatment of recognizable deferred tax benefits. ASC 805 is effective for business combinations closed in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and is applicable to business acquisitions completed after January 1, 2009. We did not make any business acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2009. The adoption of ASC 805 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

#### Consolidation (ASC 810)

On January 1, 2009, FASB amended the guidance concerning noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements, which requires us to make certain changes to the presentation of its financial statements. This guidance requires us to classify noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as “minority interest”) as part of consolidated net income and to include the accumulated amount of noncontrolling interests as part of stockholders’ equity. Similarly, in its presentation of stockholders’ equity, we distinguish between equity amounts attributable to controlling interest and amounts attributable to the noncontrolling interests – previously classified as minority interest outside of stockholders’ equity. In addition to these financial reporting changes, this guidance provides for significant changes in accounting related to noncontrolling interests; specifically, increases and decreases in its controlling financial interests in consolidated subsidiaries will be reported in equity similar to treasury stock transactions. If a change in ownership of a consolidated subsidiary results in loss of control and deconsolidation, any retained ownership interests are re-measured with the gain or loss reported in net earnings.

Effective January 1, 2010, the consolidation standards have been amended by ASU 2009-17. This amendment updates the existing standard and eliminates the exemption from consolidation of a Qualified Special Purpose Entity (“QSPE”). The update requires an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise’s variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity (VIE). The analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a VIE as the enterprise that has both: a) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity’s economic performance and b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity which could potentially be significant to the VIE. The update requires enhanced disclosures to provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprises involvement in a VIE. Further, ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary on a VIE are required. At this time, the amendment has no material effect on our financial statements.

On January 27, 2010, the FASB voted to indefinitely defer the effective date of ASU 2009-17 for a reporting enterprises interest in entities for which it is industry practice to issue financial statements in accordance with investment company standards (ASC 946). This deferral is expected to most significantly affect reporting entities in the investment management industry. We are evaluating the effect of this update, however, as it stands, the update would have no material effect on the financial statements.

#### Derivatives and Hedging (ASC 815)

Effective January 1, 2009 and adopted by us prospectively, the FASB issued additional guidance attempting to improve the transparency of financial reporting by mandating the provision of additional information about how derivative and hedging activities affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. This guidance changed the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosure about (1) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (2) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and (3) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. To adhere to this guidance, qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts, gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements must be made. This disclosure framework is intended to better convey the purpose of derivative use in terms of the risks that an entity is intending to manage. We discontinued hedge accounting as of September 30, 2008, and therefore the effect of the adoption of this guidance was an increase in footnote disclosures.

#### Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820)

In response to the deterioration of the credit markets, FASB issued guidance clarifying how Fair Value Measurements should be applied when valuing securities in markets that are not active. The guidance provides an illustrative

example, utilizing management's internal cash flow and discount rate assumptions when relevant observable data do not exist. It further clarifies how observable market information and market quotes should be considered when measuring fair value in an inactive market. It reaffirms the notion of fair value as an exit price as of the measurement date and that fair value analysis is a transactional process and should not be broadly applied to a group of assets. The guidance was effective upon issuance including prior periods for which financial statements had not been issued. The implementation of this guidance did not have a material effect on the fair value of our assets since our methodology is consistent with the new guidance.



In October 2008 the EESA was signed into law. Section 133 of the EESA mandated that the SEC conduct a study on mark-to-market accounting standards. The SEC provided its study to the U.S. Congress on December 30, 2008. Part of the recommendations within the study indicated that “fair value requirements should be improved through development of application and best practices guidance for determining fair value in illiquid or inactive markets.” As a result of this study and the recommendations therein, on April 9, 2009, the FASB issued additional guidance for determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased when compared with normal market activity for the asset or liability (or similar assets or liabilities). The guidance gives specific factors to evaluate if there has been a decrease in normal market activity and if so, provides a methodology to analyze transactions or quoted prices and make necessary adjustments to fair value. The objective is to determine the point within a range of fair value estimates that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions. This guidance became effective for us June 30, 2009 with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 31, 2009. The adoption does not have a major impact on the manner in which we estimate fair value, nor does it have any impact on our financial statement disclosures.

In August 2009, FASB provided further guidance (ASU 2009-05) regarding the fair value measurement of liabilities. The guidance states that a quoted price for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market is a Level 1 fair value measurement. If the value must be adjusted for factors specific to the liability, then the adjustment to the quoted price of the asset shall render the fair value measurement of the liability a lower level measurement. This guidance has no material effect on the fair valuation of our liabilities.

In September 2009, FASB issued guidance (ASU 2009-12) on measuring the fair value of certain alternative investments. This guidance offers investors a practical expedient for measuring the fair value of investments in certain entities that calculate net asset value (NAV) per share. If an investment falls within the scope of the ASU, the reporting entity is permitted, but not required to use the investment’s NAV to estimate its fair value. This guidance has no material effect on the fair valuation of our assets. We do not hold any assets qualifying under this guidance.

In January 2010, FASB issued guidance (ASU 2010-06) which increases disclosure regarding the fair value of assets. The key provisions of this guidance include the requirement to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 including a description of the reason for the transfers. Previously this was only required of transfers between Level 2 and Level 3 assets. Further, reporting entities are required to provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities; a class is potentially a subset of the assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position. Additionally, disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements are required for either Level 2 or Level 3 assets. This portion of the guidance is effective for the Company for December 31, 2009. The guidance also requires that the disclosure on any Level 3 assets presents separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. In other words, Level 3 assets are presented on a gross basis rather than as one net number. However, this last portion of the guidance is not effective for us until December 15, 2010. Adoption of this guidance results in increased footnote disclosure.

#### Financial Instruments (ASC 821-10-50)

On April 9, 2009, the FASB issued guidance which requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods as well as in annual financial statements. The guidance became effective for us on June 30, 2009. The adoption did not have any impact on financial reporting as all financial instruments are currently reported at fair value in both interim and annual periods.

#### Subsequent Events (ASC 855)

General standards governing accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. ASC 855 also provides guidance on the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date. We adopted the guidance effective June 30, 2009, and adoption had no impact on our consolidated financial statements. We evaluated subsequent events through February 24, 2010.

#### Transfers and Servicing (ASC 860-10-50)

In February 2008 FASB issued guidance addressing whether transactions where assets purchased from a particular counterparty and financed through a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty can be considered and accounted for as separate transactions, or are required to be considered “linked” transactions and may be considered derivatives. This guidance requires purchases and subsequent financing through repurchase agreements be considered linked transactions unless all of the following conditions apply: (1) the initial purchase and the use of repurchase agreements to finance the purchase are not contractually contingent upon each other; (2) the repurchase financing entered into between the parties provides full recourse to the transferee and the repurchase price is fixed; (3) the financial assets are readily obtainable in the market; and (4) the financial instrument and the repurchase agreement are not coterminous. This guidance was effective January 1, 2009 and the implementation did not have a material effect on our financial statements.

On June 12, 2009, the FASB issued guidance an amendment update to the accounting standards governing the transfer and servicing of financial assets. This amendment updates the existing standard and eliminates the concept of a Qualified Special Purpose Entity (“QSPE”); clarifies the surrendering of control to effect sale treatment; and modifies the financial components approach – limiting the circumstances in which a financial asset or portion thereof should be derecognized when the transferor maintains continuing involvement. It defines the term “Participating Interest.” Under this standard update, the transferor must recognize and initially measure at fair value all assets obtained and liabilities incurred as a result of a transfer, including any retained beneficial interest. Additionally, the amendment requires enhanced disclosures regarding the transferors risk associated with continuing involvement in any transferred assets. The amendment is effective beginning January 1, 2010. We have determined the amendment has no material effect on the financial statements.

## Results of Operations:

## Net Income Summary

For the year ended December 31, 2009, our net income was \$2.0 billion or \$3.55 basic income per average share related to common shareholders, as compared to \$346.2 million net income or \$0.64 basic net income per average share for the year ended December 31, 2008. and net income was \$414.4 million or \$1.32 basic net income per average share related to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2007. Net income per average share increased by \$2.91 per average share available to common shareholders and total net income increased \$1.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2008. We attribute the increase in total net income for the year ended December 31, 2009 from the year ended December 31, 2008 in part to recording of unrealized gains related to interest rate swaps of \$349.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, comparison to an unrealized loss of \$768.3 million which was recorded in for the year ended December 31, 2008. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2009, also increased due to net interest income increasing by \$400.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, due to the improved interest rate spread. For the year ended December 31, 2009, net gain on sale of Mortgage-Backed Securities was \$99.1 million, as compared to a net gain of \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

We attribute the decrease in total net income for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily to recording of unrealized losses related to interest rate swaps in the fourth quarter of 2008. An unrealized loss of \$768.3 million was recorded in the income statement for the year ended December 31, 2008, as the result of de-designation of cash flow hedges. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2008, we recorded changes in the fair values in our interest rate swaps in the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in our Statement of Financial Condition. The interest rate spread increased from 0.70% for the year ended December 31, 2007, to 1.81% for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008, net gain on sale of Mortgage-Backed Securities was \$10.7 million, as compared to a net gain of \$19.1 million in 2007. The table below presents the net income (loss) summary for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007.

Net Income Summary  
(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Interest income			
Investments	\$ 2,922,499	\$ 3,115,428	\$ 2,355,447
Securities loaned	103	-	-
Total interest income	2,922,602	3,115,428	2,355,447
Interest expense			
Repurchase agreements	1,295,670	1,888,912	1,926,465
Securities borrowed	92	-	-
Total interest expense	1,295,762	1,888,912	1,926,465
Net interest income	1,626,840	1,226,516	428,982
Other income (loss):			
Investment advisory and service fees	48,952	27,891	22,028
Gain on sale of investment securities	99,128	10,713	19,062
Gain on termination of interest rate swaps	-	-	2,096

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Income from trading securities	-	9,695	19,147
Dividend income from available-for-sale equity securities	17,184	2,713	91
Loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities	-	(31,834 )	(1,189 )
Loss on receivable from Prime Broker	(13,613 )	-	-
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps	349,521	(768,268 )	-
Total other income (loss)	501,172	(749,090 )	61,235
Expenses:			
Distribution fees	1,756	1,589	3,647
General and administrative expenses	130,152	103,622	62,666
Total expenses	131,908	105,211	66,313
Income before loss on equity method investment, income taxes and noncontrolling interest	1,996,104	372,215	423,904
Loss on equity method investment	252	-	-
Income taxes	34,381	25,977	8,870
Net income	1,961,471	346,238	415,034
Noncontrolling interest	-	58	650
Net income attributable to controlling interest	1,961,471	346,180	414,384
Dividends on preferred stock	18,501	21,177	21,493
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1,942,970	\$ 325,003	\$ 392,891
Weighted average number of basic common shares outstanding	546,973,036	507,024,596	297,488,394
Weighted average number of diluted common shares outstanding	553,129,907	507,024,596	306,263,766
Basic net income per average common share	\$ 3.55	\$ 0.64	\$ 1.32
Diluted net income per average common share	\$ 3.52	\$ 0.64	\$ 1.31
Average total assets	\$ 65,224,198	\$ 58,540,508	\$ 41,834,831
Average equity	\$ 8,644,228	\$ 6,679,431	\$ 3,710,821
Return on average total assets	3.01	% 0.59	% 0.99
Return on average equity	22.69	% 5.18	% 11.17

### Interest Income and Average Earning Asset Yield

We had average earning assets of \$58.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009. We had average earning assets of \$56.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008. We had average earning assets of \$40.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2007. Our primary source of income is interest income. Our interest income was \$2.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$3.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$2.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2007. The yield on average investment securities was 4.99%, 5.57%, and 5.77% for the respective years. The prepayment speeds increased to an average of 19% CPR for the year ended December 31, 2009 from an average of 10% CPR for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, interest income declined by \$193.0 million, due to the decline in yield on average investment securities of 58 basis points. Interest income increased by \$760.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, due to the increased average assets of \$15.2 billion.

### Interest Expense and the Cost of Funds

Our largest expense is the cost of borrowed funds. We had average borrowed funds of \$52.4 billion and total interest expense of \$1.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009. We had average borrowed funds of \$50.3 billion and total interest expense of \$1.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008. We had average borrowed funds of \$38.0 billion and total interest expense of \$1.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2007. Our average cost of funds was 2.47% for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 3.76% for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 5.07% for the year ended December 31, 2007. The cost of funds rate decreased by 129 basis points and the average borrowed funds increased by \$2.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2008. The cost of funds rate decreased by 131 basis points and the average borrowed funds increased by \$12.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased by \$593.1 million over the prior year due to the substantial decrease in the average cost of funds rate. Interest expense for the year-ended December 31, 2008 remained constant at \$1.9 billion when compared to December 31, 2007. Our average cost of funds was 2.14% above average one-month LIBOR and 1.36% above average six-month LIBOR for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our average cost of funds was 1.08% below average one-month LIBOR and 0.70% below average six-month LIBOR for the year ended December 31, 2008. Our average cost of funds was 0.12% below average one-month LIBOR and 0.12% below average six-month LIBOR for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The table below shows our average borrowed funds and average cost of funds as compared to average one-month and average six-month LIBOR for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005 and the four quarters in 2009.

51

---

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Average Cost of Funds

(Ratios for the four quarters in 2009 have been annualized, dollars in thousands)

	Average Borrowed Funds	Interest Expense	Average Cost of Funds	Average One- Month LIBOR	Average Six-Month LIBOR	Average One-Month LIBOR Relative to Average Six-Month LIBOR	Average Cost of Funds Relative to Average One-Month LIBOR	Average Cost of Funds Relative to Average Six-Month LIBOR
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 52,361,607	\$ 1,295,762	2.47%	0.33%	1.11%	0.78%	2.14%	1.36%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	\$ 50,270,226	\$ 1,888,912	3.76%	2.68%	3.06%	(0.38%)	1.08%	0.70%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	\$ 37,967,215	\$ 1,926,465	5.07%	5.19%	5.19%	(0.00%)	(0.12%)	(0.12%)
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	\$ 21,399,130	\$ 1,055,013	4.93%	5.03%	5.21%	(0.18%)	(0.10%)	(0.28%)
For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	\$ 17,408,828	\$ 568,560	3.27%	3.33%	3.72%	(0.39%)	(0.06%)	(0.45%)
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 55,919,885	\$ 286,764	2.05%	0.24%	0.52%	0.28%	1.81%	1.53%
For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2009	\$ 54,914,435	\$ 307,777	2.24%	0.27%	0.84%	(0.57%)	1.97%	1.40%
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	\$ 50,114,663	\$ 322,596	2.57%	0.37%	1.39%	(1.02%)	2.20%	1.18%
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009	\$ 48,497,444	\$ 378,625	3.12%	0.46%	1.74%	(1.28%)	2.66%	1.38%

Net Interest Income

Our net interest income, which equals interest income less interest expense, totaled \$1.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008 and \$429.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Our net interest income increased by \$400.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008 because of the increased interest rate spread. Our net interest rate spread for the year ended December 31, 2009 was 2.52%, which was 71 basis points greater than the interest rate spread of for the year ended December 31, 2008 of 1.81%. This 71 basis point increase in interest rate spread for 2009 over the spread for 2008 was the result of the decrease in the average cost of funds of 129 basis points, which was only partially offset by a decrease in average yield on average interest earning assets of 58 basis points. Our net interest income increased for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, because of the increased average asset base of \$12.2 billion in 2008 and the increased interest rate spread of 111 basis points.

The table below shows our interest income by average Investment Securities held, total interest income, yield on average interest earning assets, average balance of repurchase agreements, interest expense, average cost of funds, net interest income, and net interest rate spread for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005 and the four quarters in 2009.

52

---

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Net Interest Income

(Ratios for the four quarters in 2009 have been annualized, dollars in thousands)

	Average Investment Securities Held	Total Interest Income	Yield on Average Interest Earning Assets	Average Balance of Repurchase Agreements	Interest Expense	Average Cost of Funds	Net Interest Income	Net Interest Rate Spread
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$58,554,200	\$2,922,602	4.99%	\$52,361,607	\$1,295,762	2.47%	\$1,626,840	2.52%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	\$55,962,519	\$3,115,428	5.57%	\$50,270,226	\$1,888,912	3.76%	\$1,226,516	1.81%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	\$40,800,148	\$2,355,447	5.77%	\$37,967,215	\$1,926,465	5.07%	\$428,982	0.70%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	\$23,029,195	\$1,221,882	5.31%	\$21,399,130	\$1,055,013	4.93%	\$166,869	0.38%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	\$18,543,749	\$705,046	3.80%	\$17,408,827	\$568,560	3.27%	\$136,486	0.53%
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2009	\$62,128,320	\$751,663	4.84%	\$55,919,885	\$286,764	2.05%	\$464,899	2.79%
For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2009	\$60,905,025	\$744,523	4.89%	\$54,914,435	\$307,777	2.24%	\$436,746	2.65%
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	\$56,420,189	\$710,401	5.04%	\$50,114,663	\$322,596	2.57%	\$387,805	2.47%
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009	\$54,763,268	\$716,015	5.23%	\$48,497,444	\$378,625	3.12%	\$337,390	2.11%

Investment Advisory and Service Fees

FIDAC and Merganser are registered investment advisors specializing in managing fixed income securities. At December 31, 2009, FIDAC and Merganser had under management approximately \$11.5 billion in net assets and \$19.1 billion in gross assets, compared to \$7.0 billion in net assets and \$15.3 billion in gross assets at December 31, 2008. FIDAC had \$3.1 billion in net assets and \$15.4 billion in gross assets at December 31, 2007. Net investment



advisory and service fees for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 totaled \$47.2 million, \$26.3 million, and \$18.4 million, respectively, net of fees paid to third parties pursuant to distribution service agreements for facilitating and promoting distribution of shares or units to FIDAC's clients. Gross assets under management will vary from time to time because of changes in the amount of net assets FIDAC and Merganser manage as well as changes in the amount of leverage used by the various funds and accounts FIDAC manages.

#### Gains and Losses on Sales of Investment Securities and Interest Rate Swaps

For the year ended December 31, 2009, we sold Investment Securities with a carrying value of \$4.6 billion for aggregate net gain of \$99.1 million. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold Investment Securities with a carrying value of \$15.1 billion for an aggregate net gain of \$10.7 million. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we sold investment securities with an aggregate historical amortized value of \$4.9 billion for a net gain of \$19.1 million. In addition, for the year ended December 31, 2007, we had a \$2.1 million gain on the termination of interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$900 million. We do not expect to sell assets on a frequent basis, but may from time to time sell existing assets to move into new assets, which our management believes might have higher risk-adjusted returns, or to manage our balance sheet as part of our asset/liability management strategy.

#### Income from Trading Securities

During the year ended December 31, 2009, there was no income from trading securities. Gross income from trading securities totaled \$9.7 million, and \$19.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

#### Dividend Income from Available-For-Sale Equity Securities

Dividend income from our investment in Chimera totaled \$17.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 and \$91,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

### Loss on Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Securities

At each quarter end, we review each of our securities to determine if an other-than-temporary impairment charge would be necessary. We will take these charges if we determine that we do not intend to hold securities that were in an unrealized loss position for a period of time, to maturity if necessary, sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or beyond the cost of the investments or we are required to sell for regulatory or other reasons. For the year ended December 31, 2009 there was no loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 the loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities totaled \$31.8 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative (or G&A) expenses were \$130.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$103.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$62.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. G&A expenses as a percentage of average total assets was 0.20%, 0.18%, and 0.15% for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The increase in G&A expenses of \$26.6 million for the year December 31, 2009 was primarily the result of increased compensation costs related to us and our subsidiaries. Staff increased from 39 at the end of 2007 to 65 at the end of 2008 and 87 at the end of 2009.

The table below shows our total G&A expenses as compared to average total assets and average equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005 and the four quarters in 2009.

G&A Expenses and Operating Expense Ratios  
(ratios for the quarters have been annualized, dollars in thousands)

	Total G&A Expenses	Total G&A Expenses/Average Assets		Total G&A Expenses/Average Equity	
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 130,152	0.20	%	1.51	%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	\$ 103,622	0.18	%	1.55	%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	\$ 62,666	0.15	%	1.69	%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	\$ 40,063	0.17	%	2.00	%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	\$ 26,278	0.14	%	1.63	%
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 36,880	0.21	%	1.55	%
For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2009	\$ 33,344	0.19	%	1.47	%
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	\$ 30,046	0.19	%	1.41	%
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009	\$ 29,882	0.20	%	1.54	%

### Net Income and Return on Average Equity

Our net income was \$2.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$346.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$414.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Our return on average equity was 22.69% for the year ended December 31, 2009, 5.18% for the year ended December 31, 2008, and 11.17% for the year ended December 31, 2007. Net income increased by \$1.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to the improved interest rate spread, resulting in an increase in net interest income of \$400.3 million, the unrealized gain on interest rate swaps of \$349.5 million, and the gain on sale of Mortgage-Backed Securities of \$99.1 million. We attribute the decrease in total net income for the year ended December 31, 2008 from the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily to the de-designation of interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges in the fourth quarter of 2008, which resulted in an unrealized loss of \$768.3 million being recorded in the income statement for the year ended December 31, 2008. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2008 and the

de-designation of cash flow hedges, we recorded these changes in the market values of interest rate swaps in the Statement of Financial Condition.

The table below shows our net interest income, net investment advisory and service fees, gain (loss) on sale of Mortgage-Backed Securities and termination of interest rate swaps, loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities, income from trading securities, G&A expenses, income taxes, impairment of intangibles for customer relationships, noncontrolling interest, each as a percentage of average equity, and the return on average equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005, and the four quarters in 2009.

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Components of Return on Average Equity

(Ratios for the quarters have been annualized)

	Net Interest Income/Average Equity	Net Advisory Fees/Average Equity	Gain/(Loss) on Sale of Mortgage-backed Securities and Realized and Unrealized Gain/(Loss) on Receivable Prime Broker/Average Equity	Loss on temporarily impaired securities or Loss from trading securities/Average Equity	Income from securities/Average Equity	Dividend income from available-for-sale equity securities and Loss on G&A Expenses/Average Equity	Income Taxes/Average Equity	Impairment of intangible for customer relationships/Average Equity	Non-controlling interest/Average Equity	Return on Equity
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	18.82 %	0.55 %	5.19 %	(0.16 %)	-	0.20 %	(1.51 %)	(0.40 %)	-	22.69 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	18.36 %	0.39 %	(11.34 %)	(0.48 %)	0.15 %	0.04 %	(1.55 %)	(0.39 %)	-	5.18 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	11.56 %	0.50 %	0.57 %	(0.03 %)	0.52 %	0.00 %	(1.69 %)	(0.24 %)	(0.02 %)	11.17 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	8.32 %	0.94 %	0.34 %	(2.61 %)	0.20 %	-	(2.00 %)	(0.38 %)	(0.12 %)	4.68 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	8.45 %	1.71 %	(3.30 %)	(5.15 %)	-	-	(1.63 %)	(0.67 %)	-	(0.57 %)
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2009	19.58 %	0.61 %	12.79 %	(0.57 %)	-	0.31 %	(1.55 %)	(0.44 %)	-	30.73 %
For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2009	19.30 %	0.63 %	(5.66 %)	-	-	0.24 %	(1.47 %)	(0.42 %)	12.60 %	12.60 %
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	18.30 %	0.53 %	10.97 %	-	-	0.15 %	(1.41 %)	(0.37 %)	28.17 %	28.17 %
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009	17.41 %	0.38 %	2.09 %	-	-	0.05 %	(1.54 %)	(0.33 %)	18.06 %	18.06 %

Financial Condition

Investment Securities, Available for Sale

Substantially all of our Mortgage-Backed Securities at December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 were adjustable-rate or fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities backed by single-family mortgage loans. Substantially all of the mortgage assets underlying these mortgage-backed securities were secured with a first lien position on the underlying single-family properties. Substantially all of our mortgage-backed securities were FHLMC, FNMA or GNMA mortgage pass-through certificates or CMOs, which carry an implied "AAA" rating. All of our agency debentures are callable and carry an implied "AAA" rating. We carry all of our earning assets at fair value.

We accrete discount balances as an increase in interest income over the life of discount investment securities and we amortize premium balances as a decrease in interest income over the life of premium investment securities. At December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 we had on our balance sheet a total of \$49.2 million, \$64.4 million and \$77.4 million, respectively, of unamortized discount (which is the difference between the remaining principal value and current historical amortized cost of our investment securities acquired at a price below principal value) and a total of \$1.3 billion, \$619.5 million and \$405.8 million, respectively, of unamortized premium (which is the difference between the remaining principal value and the current historical amortized cost of our investment securities acquired at a price above principal value).

We received mortgage principal repayments of \$13.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$8.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$6.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2007. The average prepayment speed for the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was 19%, 13%, and 15%, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the average CPR increased to 19% from 13% during the year ended December 31, 2008, due to an increase in refinancing activity. Given our current portfolio composition, if mortgage principal prepayment rates were to increase over the life of our mortgage-backed securities, all other factors being equal, our net interest income would decrease during the life of these mortgage-backed securities as we would be required to amortize our net premium balance into income over a shorter time period. Similarly, if mortgage principal prepayment rates were to decrease over the life of our mortgage-backed securities, all other factors being equal, our net interest income would increase during the life of these mortgage-backed securities as we would amortize our net premium balance over a longer time period.

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

The table below summarizes certain characteristics of our Investment Securities at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005 and September 30, 2009, June 30, 2009, and March 31, 2009.

	Investment Securities (dollars in thousands)					Fair	Weighted
	Principal	Net	Amortized	Amortized	Fair Value	Value/Principal	Average
	Amount	Premium	Cost	Cost/Principal		Amount	Yield
At December 31, 2009	\$ 62,508,927	\$ 1,247,717	\$ 63,756,644	102.00%	\$ 65,721,477	105.14%	4.51%
At December 31, 2008	\$ 54,508,672	\$ 555,043	\$ 55,063,715	101.02%	\$ 55,645,940	102.09%	5.15%
At December 31, 2007	\$ 52,569,598	\$ 328,376	\$ 52,897,974	100.62%	\$ 53,133,443	101.07%	5.75%
At December 31, 2006	\$ 30,134,791	\$ 140,709	\$ 30,275,500	100.47%	\$ 30,217,009	100.27%	5.63%
At December 31, 2005	\$ 15,915,801	\$ 220,637	\$ 16,136,438	101.39%	\$ 15,929,864	100.09%	4.68%
At September 30, 2009	\$ 64,253,006	\$ 1,126,493	\$ 65,379,499	101.75%	\$ 67,463,376	105.00%	4.70%
At June 30, 2009	\$ 63,300,232	\$ 924,873	\$ 64,225,105	101.46%	\$ 65,782,019	103.92%	4.75%
At March 31, 2009	\$ 56,718,404	\$ 668,295	\$ 57,386,699	101.18%	\$ 58,785,456	103.64%	4.98%

The table below summarizes certain characteristics of our Investment Securities at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005 and September 30, 2009, June 30, 2009, and March 31, 2009. The index level for adjustable-rate Investment Securities is the weighted average rate of the various short-term interest rate indices, which determine the coupon rate.

Adjustable-Rate Investment Security Characteristics  
(dollars in thousands)

	Principal Amount	Weighted Average Coupon Rate	Weighted Average Term to Next Adjustment	Weighted Average Lifetime Cap	Weighted Average Asset Yield	Principal Amount at Period End as % of Total Investment Securities
At December 31, 2009	\$ 16,196,473	4.55%	33 months	10.09%	3.23%	25.91%
At December 31, 2008	\$ 19,540,152	4.75%	36 months	10.00%	3.93%	35.85%
At December 31, 2007	\$ 15,331,447	5.90%	39 months	9.89%	5.63%	29.16%

At December 31, 2006	\$ 8,493,242	5.72%	19 months	9.76%	5.57%	28.18%
At December 31, 2005	\$ 9,699,133	4.76%	22 months	10.26%	4.74%	60.94%
At September 30, 2009	\$ 18,561,525	4.59%	33 months	10.11%	3.37%	28.89%
At June 30, 2009	\$ 19,657,988	4.64%	34 months	10.12%	3.49%	31.06%
At March 31, 2009	\$ 19,558,480	4.66%	34 months	10.06%	3.74%	34.48%

Fixed-Rate Investment Security Characteristics  
(dollars in thousands)

	Principal Amount	Weighted Average Coupon Rate	Weighted Average Asset Yield	Principal Amount at Period End as % of Total Investment Securities
At December 31, 2009	\$ 46,312,455	5.78%	4.95%	74.09%
At December 31, 2008	\$ 34,968,520	6.13%	5.84%	64.15%
At December 31, 2007	\$ 37,238,151	6.00%	5.80%	70.84%
At December 31, 2006	\$ 21,641,549	5.83%	5.65%	71.82%
At December 31, 2005	\$ 6,216,668	5.37%	4.60%	39.06%
At December 31, 2004	\$ 5,579,030	5.24%	3.89%	29.17%
At September 30, 2009	\$ 45,691,481	5.89%	5.14%	71.11%
At June 30, 2009	\$ 43,642,244	5.94%	5.32%	68.94%
At March 31, 2009	\$ 37,159,924	6.08%	5.64%	65.52%

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we held Investment Securities with coupons linked to various indices. The following tables detail the portfolio characteristics by index.

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Adjustable-Rate Investment Securities by Index  
December 31, 2009

	One-Month Libor	Six- Month Libor	Twelve Month Libor	12-Month Moving Average	11th District Cost of Funds	1-Year Treasury Index	Monthly Federal Cost of Funds	Other Indexes(1)
Weighted Average Term to Next Adjustment	1 mo.	16 mo.	45 mo.	1 mo.	7 mo.	50 mo.	1 mo.	12 mo.
Weighted Average Annual Period Cap	6.40%	1.58%	2.01%	0.42%	0.77%	1.95%	0.00%	1.82%
Weighted Average Lifetime Cap at December 31, 2009	7.04%	11.20%	10.85%	8.12%	0.51%	10.98%	13.43%	11.71%
Investment Principal Value as Percentage of Investment Securities at December 31, 2009	4.59%	1.40%	15.77%	1.10%	0.76%	2.15%	0.09%	0.05%

(1) Combination of indexes that account for less than 0.05% of total investment securities.

Adjustable-Rate Investment Securities by Index  
December 31, 2008

	One- Month Libor	Six- Month Libor	Twelve Month Libor	12-Month Moving Average	11th District Cost of Funds	1-Year Treasury Index	Monthly Federal Cost of Funds	Other Indexes(1)
Weighted Average Term to Next Adjustment	1 mo.	25 mo.	55 mo.	1 mo.	1 mo.	37 mo.	1 mo.	14 mo.
Weighted Average Annual Period Cap	6.28%	1.95%	1.98%	0.00%	1.26%	1.93%	0.00%	1.94%
Weighted Average Lifetime Cap at December 31, 2008	7.07%	10.87%	10.92%	8.86%	11.35%	10.86%	13.44%	11.98%
Investment Principal Value as Percentage of Investment Securities at December 31, 2008	8.11%	2.53%	19.32%	0.99%	0.60%	4.12%	0.11%	0.07%

(1) Combination of indexes that account for less than 0.05% of total investment securities.

Reverse Repurchase Agreements

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we lent \$259.0 million and \$562.1 million, respectively, to Chimera in a weekly reverse repurchase agreement. This amount is included in the principal amount which approximates fair value in our Statement of Financial Condition. The interest rate at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was at the rate of 1.72% and 1.43%, respectively. The collateral for this loan is mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$314.3 million and \$680.8 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

At December 31, 2009, RCap, in its ordinary course of business, financed through matched reverse repurchase agreements, at market rates, \$69.7 million for a fund that is managed by FIDAC. At December 31, 2009, RCap had



an outstanding reverse repurchase agreement with a non-affiliate of \$425.0 million.

Receivable from Prime Broker on Equity Investment

The net assets of the investment fund we owned are subject to English bankruptcy law, which governs the administration of Lehman Brothers International (Europe) (in administration) (“LBIE”), as well as the law of New York, which governs the contractual documents. We invested approximately \$45.0 million in the fund and have redeemed approximately \$56.0 million. The current assets of the fund still remain at LBIE and affiliates of LBIE and the ultimate recovery of such amount remains uncertain. We have entered into the Claims Resolution Agreement between LBIE and certain eligible offerees effective December 29, 2009 with respect to these assets (the “CRA”).

Certain of our assets subject to the CRA are held directly at LBIE and we have valued such assets in accordance with the valuation date set forth in the CRA and the pricing information provided to the Company by LBIE. The valuation date with respect to these assets as set forth in the CRA is September 19, 2008.

Certain of our assets subject to the CRA are not held directly at LBIE and are believed to be held at affiliates of LBIE. Given the great degree of uncertainty as to the status of our assets that are not directly held by LBIE and are believed to be held at affiliates of LBIE, the Company has valued such assets at an 80% discount. The value of the net assets that are not directly held by LBIE and are believed to be held at affiliates of LBIE is determined on the basis of the best information available to us from time to time, legal and professional advice obtained for the purpose of determining the rights, and on the basis of a number of assumptions which we believe to be reasonable.

We can provide no assurance, however, that it will recover all or any portion of any of the net assets of the investment fund following completion of LBIE's administration (and any subsequent liquidation).

### Borrowings

As of December 31, 2009, our debt has consisted entirely of borrowings collateralized by a pledge of our Investment Securities. These borrowings appear on our balance sheet as repurchase agreements. At December 31, 2009, we had established uncommitted borrowing facilities in this market with 30 lenders in amounts which we believe are in excess of our needs. All of our Investment Securities are currently accepted as collateral for these borrowings. However, we limit our borrowings, and thus our potential asset growth, in order to maintain unused borrowing capacity and thus increase the liquidity and strength of our balance sheet. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the term to maturity of our borrowings ranged from one day to 10 years. Additionally, we have entered into structured borrowings giving the counterparty the right to call the balance prior to maturity. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the term to maturity of our borrowings ranged from one day to three years. Additionally, we have entered into structured borrowings giving the counterparty the right to call the balance prior to maturity. At December 31, 2009, the weighted average cost of funds for all of our borrowings was 2.11%, with the effect of the interest rate swaps, and the weighted average term to next rate adjustment was 170 days. At December 31, 2008, the weighted average cost of funds for all of our borrowings was 4.08%, with the effect of the interest rate swaps, and the weighted average term to next rate adjustment was 238 days.

On February 9, 2010, the Company issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of its 4% convertible senior notes due 2015 ("Convertible Senior Notes") for net proceeds following underwriting expenses of approximately \$484.8 million. Interest on the notes will be paid semi-annually at a rate of 4% per year and the notes will mature on February 15, 2015 unless earlier repurchased or converted. The notes will be convertible into shares of Common Stock at an initial conversion rate of 46.6070 shares of Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$21.456 per share of Common Stock, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances.

### Liquidity

Liquidity, which is our ability to turn non-cash assets into cash, allows us to purchase additional investment securities and to pledge additional assets to secure existing borrowings should the value of our pledged assets decline. Potential immediate sources of liquidity for us include cash balances and unused borrowing capacity. Unused borrowing capacity will vary over time as the market value of our investment securities varies. Our non-cash assets are largely actual or implied AAA assets, and accordingly, we have not had, nor do we anticipate having, difficulty in converting our assets to cash. Our balance sheet also generates liquidity on an on-going basis through mortgage principal repayments and net earnings held prior to payment as dividends. Should our needs ever exceed these on-going sources of liquidity plus the immediate sources of liquidity discussed above, we believe that in most circumstances our investment securities could be sold to raise cash. The maintenance of liquidity is one of the goals of our capital investment policy. Under this policy, we limit asset growth in order to preserve unused borrowing capacity for liquidity management purposes.

Borrowings under our repurchase agreements increased by \$7.9 billion to \$54.6 billion at December 31, 2009, from \$46.7 billion at December 31, 2008. Even though borrowing increased over the year, leverage declined from 6.4:1 to 5.7:1.

We anticipate that, upon repayment of each borrowing under a repurchase agreement, we will use the collateral immediately for borrowing under a new repurchase agreement. We have not at the present time entered into any commitment agreements under which the lender would be required to enter into new repurchase agreements during a specified period of time, nor do we presently plan to have liquidity facilities with commercial banks.



Under our repurchase agreements, we may be required to pledge additional assets to our repurchase agreement counterparties (i.e., lenders) in the event the estimated fair value of the existing pledged collateral under such agreements declines and such lenders demand additional collateral (a “margin call”), which may take the form of additional securities or cash. Similarly, if the estimated fair value of investment securities increases due to changes in market interest rates of market factors, lenders may release collateral back to us. Specifically, margin calls result from a decline in the value of our Mortgage-Backed Securities securing our repurchase agreements, prepayments on the mortgages securing such Mortgage-Backed Securities and to changes in the estimated fair value of such Mortgage-Backed Securities generally due to principal reduction of such Mortgage-Backed Securities from scheduled amortization and resulting from changes in market interest rates and other market factors. Through December 31, 2009, we did not have any margin calls on our repurchase agreements that we were not able to satisfy with either cash or additional pledged collateral. However, should prepayment speeds on the mortgages underlying our Mortgage-Backed Securities and/or market interest rates suddenly increase, margin calls on our repurchase agreements could result, causing an adverse change in our liquidity position.

The following table summarizes the effect on our liquidity and cash flows from contractual obligations for repurchase agreements, interest expense on repurchase agreements, the non-cancelable office lease and employment agreements at December 31, 2009. The table does not include the effect of net interest rate payments under our interest rate swap agreements. The net swap payments will fluctuate based on monthly changes in the receive rate. At December 31, 2009, the interest rate swaps had a net negative fair value of \$527.9 million.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	Within One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More than Five Years	Total
Contractual Obligations					
Repurchase agreements	\$48,718,129	\$3,530,000	\$950,000	\$1,400,000	\$54,598,129
Interest expense on repurchase agreements, based on rates at 12-31-09	238,568	263,722	136,699	145,909	784,898
Long-term operating lease obligations	1,993	4,250	3,847	-	10,090
Employment contracts	88,682	4,181	-	-	92,683
Total	\$49,047,372	\$3,802,153	\$1,090,546	\$1,545,909	\$55,485,800

#### Stockholders' Equity

During the year ended December 31, 2009, 423,160 options were exercised under the Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan, or Incentive Plan, for an aggregate exercise price of \$4.9 million. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 7,550 shares of restricted stock were issued under the Incentive Plan.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, 1.4 million shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into 2.8 million shares of common stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we raised \$141.8 million by issuing 8.4 million shares through the Direct Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Program.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we raised \$93.7 million by issuing 5.8 million shares through our Direct Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Program.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, 300,000 options were exercised under the Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan, or Incentive Plan, for an aggregate exercise price of \$2.8 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, 634,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock converted into 1.3 million shares of common stock.

On May 13, 2008 we entered into an underwriting agreement pursuant to which we sold 69,000,000 shares of our common stock for net proceeds following underwriting expenses of approximately \$1.1 billion. This transaction settled on May 19, 2008.

On January 23, 2008 we entered into an underwriting agreement pursuant to which we sold 58,650,000 shares of our common stock for net proceeds following underwriting expenses of approximately \$1.1 billion. This transaction settled on January 29, 2008.

On August 3, 2006, we entered into an ATM Equity Offering(sm) Sales Agreement with Merrill Lynch & Co. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, relating to the sale of shares of our common stock from time to time through Merrill Lynch. Sales of the shares, if any, are made by means of ordinary brokers' transaction on the New York Stock Exchange. During the year ended December 31, 2009, there were no shares issued pursuant to this program. During the year ended December 31, 2008, 588,000 shares of the Company's common stock were issued pursuant to this program, totaling \$11.5 million in net proceeds.

On August 3, 2006, we entered into an ATM Equity Sales Agreement with UBS Securities LLC, relating to the sale of shares of our common stock from time to time through UBS Securities. Sales of the shares, if any, are made by means of ordinary brokers' transaction on the New York Stock Exchange. During the year ended December 31, 2009, there were no shares issued pursuant to this program. During the year ended December 31, 2008, 3.8 million shares of the Company's common stock were issued pursuant to this program, totaling \$60.3 million in net proceeds.

#### Unrealized Gains and Losses

With our "available-for-sale" accounting treatment, unrealized fluctuations in market values of assets do not impact our GAAP or taxable income but rather are reflected on our balance sheet by changing the carrying value of the asset and stockholders' equity under "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)." As a result of the de-designation of interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, unrealized gains and losses in our interest rate swaps impact our GAAP income.

As a result of this mark-to-market accounting treatment, our book value and book value per share are likely to fluctuate far more than if we used historical amortized cost accounting. As a result, comparisons with companies that use historical cost accounting for some or all of their balance sheet may not be meaningful.

The table below shows unrealized gains and losses on the Investment Securities, available-for-sale equity securities and interest rate swaps in our portfolio prior to de-designation.

	Unrealized Gains and Losses				
	(dollars in thousands)				
	At December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Unrealized gain	\$2,093,709	\$785,087	\$379,348	\$112,596	\$5,027
Unrealized loss	(202,392 )	(532,857 )	(531,545 )	(188,708 )	(211,601 )
Net Unrealized (loss) gain	\$1,891,317	\$252,230	\$(152,197 )	\$(76,112 )	\$(206,574 )

Unrealized changes in the estimated net fair value of investment securities have one direct effect on our potential earnings and dividends: positive changes increase our equity base and allow us to increase our borrowing capacity while negative changes tend to limit borrowing capacity under our capital investment policy. A very large negative change in the net fair value of our investment securities might impair our liquidity position, requiring us to sell assets with the likely result of realized losses upon sale.

## Leverage

Our debt-to-equity ratio at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was 5.7:1, 6.4:1 and 8.7:1, respectively. We generally expect to maintain a ratio of debt-to-equity of between 8:1 and 12:1, although the ratio may vary from this range from time to time based upon various factors, including our management's opinion of the level of risk of our assets and liabilities, our liquidity position, our level of unused borrowing capacity and over-collateralization levels required by lenders when we pledge assets to secure borrowings.

60

---

Our target debt-to-equity ratio is determined under our capital investment policy. Should our actual debt-to-equity ratio increase above the target level due to asset acquisition or market value fluctuations in assets, we would cease to acquire new assets. Our management will, at that time, present a plan to our board of directors to bring us back to our target debt-to-equity ratio; in many circumstances, this would be accomplished over time by the monthly reduction of the balance of our Mortgage-Backed Securities through principal repayments.

#### Asset/Liability Management and Effect of Changes in Interest Rates

We continually review our asset/liability management strategy with respect to interest rate risk, mortgage prepayment risk, credit risk and the related issues of capital adequacy and liquidity. Our goal is to provide attractive risk-adjusted stockholder returns while maintaining what we believe is a strong balance sheet.

We seek to manage the extent to which our net income changes as a function of changes in interest rates by matching adjustable-rate assets with variable-rate borrowings. In addition, we have attempted to mitigate the potential impact on net income of periodic and lifetime coupon adjustment restrictions in our portfolio of investment securities by entering into interest rate swaps. At December 31, 2009, we had entered into swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$21.5 billion. We agreed to pay a weighted average pay rate of 3.85% and receive a floating rate based on one month LIBOR. At December 31, 2008, we had entered into swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$17.6 billion. We agreed to pay a weighted average pay rate of 4.66% and receive a floating rate based on one month LIBOR. We may enter into similar derivative transactions in the future by entering into interest rate collars, caps or floors or purchasing interest only securities.

Changes in interest rates may also affect the rate of mortgage principal prepayments and, as a result, prepayments on mortgage-backed securities. We seek to mitigate the effect of changes in the mortgage principal repayment rate by balancing assets we purchase at a premium with assets we purchase at a discount. To date, the aggregate premium exceeds the aggregate discount on our mortgage-backed securities. As a result, prepayments, which result in the expensing of unamortized premium, will reduce our net income compared to what net income would be absent such prepayments.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Further, we have not guaranteed any obligations of unconsolidated entities nor do we have any commitment or intent to provide funding to any such entities. As such, we are not materially exposed to any market, credit, liquidity or financing risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

#### Capital Resources

At December 31, 2009, we had no material commitments for capital expenditures.

#### Inflation

Virtually all of our assets and liabilities are financial in nature. As a result, interest rates and other factors drive our performance far more than does inflation. Changes in interest rates do not necessarily correlate with inflation rates or changes in inflation rates. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and our dividends are based upon our net income as calculated for tax purposes; in each case, our activities and balance sheet are measured with reference to historical cost or fair market value without considering inflation.





## Other Matters

We calculate that at least 75% of our assets were qualified REIT assets, as defined in the Code for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. We also calculate that our revenue qualifies for the 75% source of income test and for the 95% source of income test rules for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 and for each quarter therein. Consequently, we met the REIT income and asset test. We also met all REIT requirements regarding the ownership of our common stock and the distribution of our net income. Therefore, for the years ended of December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, we believe that we qualified as a REIT under the Code.

We at all times intend to conduct our business so as not to become regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the Investment Company Act. If we were to become regulated as an investment company, then our use of leverage would be substantially reduced. The Investment Company Act exempts entities that are “primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate” (qualifying interests). Under current interpretation of the staff of the SEC, in order to qualify for this exemption, we must maintain at least 55% of our assets directly in qualifying interests and at least 80% of our assets in qualifying interests plus other real estate related assets. In addition, unless certain mortgage securities represent all the certificates issued with respect to an underlying pool of mortgages, the Mortgage-Backed Securities may be treated as securities separate from the underlying mortgage loans and, thus, may not be considered qualifying interests for purposes of the 55% requirement. We calculate that as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we were in compliance with this requirement.

## ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

## MARKET RISK

Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The primary market risk to which we are exposed is interest rate risk, which is highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control. Changes in the general level of interest rates can affect our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred in connection with our interest-bearing liabilities, by affecting the spread between our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the level of interest rates also can affect the value of our Mortgage-Backed Securities and our ability to realize gains from the sale of these assets. We may utilize a variety of financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, caps, floors, inverse floaters and other interest rate exchange contracts, in order to limit the effects of interest rates on our operations. When we use these types of derivatives to hedge the risk of interest-earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities, we may be subject to certain risks, including the risk that losses on a hedge position will reduce the funds available for payments to holders of securities and that the losses may exceed the amount we invested in the instruments.

Our profitability and the value of our portfolio (including interest rate swaps) may be adversely affected during any period as a result of changing interest rates. The following table quantifies the potential changes in net interest income and portfolio value, should interest rates go up or down 25, 50 and 75 basis points, assuming the yield curves of the rate shocks will be parallel to each other and the current yield curve. All changes in income and value are measured as percentage changes from the projected net interest income and portfolio value at the base interest rate scenario. The base interest rate scenario assumes interest rates at December 31, 2009 and various estimates regarding prepayment and all activities are made at each level of rate shock. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

Change in Interest Rate	Projected Percentage Change in Net Interest Income	Projected Percentage Change in Portfolio Value, with Effect of Interest Rate Swaps
-75 Basis Points	2.38%	0.42%
-50 Basis Points	1.56%	0.24%
-25 Basis Points	0.77%	0.02%
Base Interest Rate	-	-
+25 Basis Points	(1.30%)	(0.27%)
+50 Basis Points	(2.87%)	(0.49%)
+75 Basis Points	(4.44%)	(0.67%)

## ASSET AND LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Asset and liability management is concerned with the timing and magnitude of the repricing of assets and liabilities. We attempt to control risks associated with interest rate movements. Methods for evaluating interest rate risk include an analysis of our interest rate sensitivity "gap," which is the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within a given time period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest-rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities exceeds interest-rate sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income, while a positive gap would tend

to result in an increase in net interest income. During a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income, while a positive gap would tend to affect net interest income adversely. Because different types of assets and liabilities with the same or similar maturities may react differently to changes in overall market rates or conditions, changes in interest rates may affect net interest income positively or negatively even if an institution were perfectly matched in each maturity category.

The following table sets forth the estimated maturity or repricing of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities at December 31, 2009. The amounts of assets and liabilities shown within a particular period were determined in accordance with the contractual terms of the assets and liabilities, except adjustable-rate loans, and securities are included in the period in which their interest rates are first scheduled to adjust and not in the period in which they mature and does include the effect of the interest rate swaps. The interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities in the table could vary substantially based on actual prepayment experience.

	Within 3 Months (dollars in thousands)	4-12 Months	More than 1 Year to 3 Years	3 Years and Over	Total
<b>Rate Sensitive Assets:</b>					
Investment Securities (Principal)	\$4,382,076	\$3,134,226	\$7,180,432	\$47,812,193	\$62,508,927
Cash Equivalents	1,504,568	-	-	-	1,504,568
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	753,757	-	-	-	753,757
Securities Borrowed	29,077	-	-	-	29,077
<b>Total Rate Sensitive Assets</b>	<b>6,669,478</b>	<b>3,134,226</b>	<b>7,180,432</b>	<b>47,812,193</b>	<b>64,796,329</b>
<b>Rate Sensitive Liabilities:</b>					
Repurchase Agreements, with the effect of swaps	25,948,432	6,009,947	11,345,250	11,294,500	54,598,129
Securities Loaned	29,057	-	-	-	29,057
<b>Total Rate Sensitive Liabilities</b>	<b>25,977,489</b>	<b>6,009,947</b>	<b>11,345,250</b>	<b>11,294,500</b>	<b>54,627,186</b>
Interest rate sensitivity gap	\$(19,308,011)	\$(2,875,721 )	\$(4,164,818 )	\$36,517,693	\$10,169,143
Cumulative rate sensitivity gap	\$(19,308,011)	\$(22,183,732)	\$(26,348,550)	\$10,169,143	
Cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap as a percentage of total rate-sensitive assets	(31 %)	(35 %)	(42 %)	16 %	

Our analysis of risks is based on management's experience, estimates, models and assumptions. These analyses rely on models which utilize estimates of fair value and interest rate sensitivity. Actual economic conditions or implementation of investment decisions by our management may produce results that differ significantly from the estimates and assumptions used in our models and the projected results shown in the above tables and in this report. These analyses contain certain forward-looking statements and are subject to the safe harbor statement set forth under the heading, "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements."

ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our financial statements and the related notes, together with the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon, are set forth on pages F-1 through F-27 of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (the "CEO") and Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO"), reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this annual report. Based on that review and evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, (1) were effective in ensuring that information regarding the Company and its subsidiaries is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, by our employees, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and (2) were effective in providing reasonable assurance that information the Company must disclose in its periodic reports under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods prescribed by the SEC's rules and forms.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect its internal control over financial reporting.

Management Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. As a result, even systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and presentation of financial statements. Moreover, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. In making this assessment, the Company's management used criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on management's assessment, the Company's management believes that, as of December 31, 2009, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-1 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

#### ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

### PART III

#### ITEM 10 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 10 as to our directors is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2009. The information regarding our executive officers required by Item 10 appears in Part I of this Form 10-K. The information required by Item 10 as to our compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2009.

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics within the meaning of Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is publicly available on our website at [www.annaly.com](http://www.annaly.com). If we make substantive amendments to this Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, we intend to disclose these events on our website.

The information regarding certain matters pertaining to our corporate governance required by Item 407(c)(3), (d)(4) and (d)(5) of Regulation S-K is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2009.

#### ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2009.

#### ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2009.

#### ITEM 13 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2009.



ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2009.

66

---

PART IV

ITEM 15 EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) Documents filed as part of this report:

1. Financial Statements.
2. Schedules to Financial Statements:

All financial statement schedules not included have been omitted because they are either inapplicable or the information required is provided in our Financial Statements and Notes thereto, included in Part II, Item 8, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3. Exhibits:

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
-------------------	---------------------

- |     |  |
|-----|--|
| 3.1 | Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 1997).  |
| 3.2 | Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration Statement 333-74618) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 12, 2002).  |
| 3.3 | Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Form 8-K (filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 3, 2006).   |
| 3.4 | Form of Articles Supplementary designating the Registrant's 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's 8-A filed April 1, 2004).  |
| 3.5 | Articles Supplementary of the Registrant's designating an additional 2,750,000 shares of the Company's 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, as filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on October 15, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's 8-K filed October 4, 2004). |
| 3.6 | Articles Supplementary designating the Registrant's 6% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's 8-K filed April 10, 2006).  |
| 3.7 | Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 1997).  |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 17, 1997).  |
| 4.2 | Specimen Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-74618) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 5, 2001).   |
| 4.3 | Specimen Series A Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the SEC on April 1, 2004).   |
| 4.4 |  |

- Specimen Series B Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 10, 2006).
- 4.5 Form of Indenture with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration Statement) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 8, 2010).
- 4.6 Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2010, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2010).
- 4.7 Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2010, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2010).
- 4.8 Form of 4.00% Convertible Senior Note due 2015 (included in Exhibit 4.2 hereto) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2010).

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

- 10.1 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 1997).\*
- 10.2 Form of Master Repurchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 1997).
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of June 4, 2004, between the Registrant and Michael A.J. Farrell (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2005).\*
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2008, between the Registrant and Wellington J. Denahan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 26, 2008).\*
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of June 4, 2004, between the Registrant and Kathryn F. Fagan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2005).\*
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of June 4, 2004, between the Registrant and James P. Fortescue (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2005).\*
- 10.7 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 23, 2006, between the Registrant and Jeremy Diamond (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2006).\*
- 10.8 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 23, 2006, between the Registrant and Ronald D. Kazel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2006).\*
- 10.9 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of April 21, 2006, between the Registrant and Rose-Marie Lyght (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2006).\*
- 10.10 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of June 4, 2004, between the Registrant and Kristopher R. Konrad (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2005).\*
- 10.11 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated January 23, 2006, between the Registrant and R. Nicholas Singh (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2006).\*
- 12.1 Computation of ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends.
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Registrant.
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 Certification of Michael A.J. Farrell, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Kathryn F. Fagan, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Michael A.J. Farrell, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Kathryn F. Fagan, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 101.INS XBRL Instance Document\*A\*  
Exhibit 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document\* \*  
Exhibit 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document\*\*

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Exhibit 101.DEF XBRL      Additional Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document Created\*\*  
Exhibit 101.LAB XBRL      Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document\* \*  
Exhibit 101.PRE XBRL      Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document\* \*

68

---

\* Exhibit Numbers 10.1 and 10.3-10.11 are management contracts or compensatory plans required to be filed as Exhibits to this Form 10-K.

\*\* Submitted electronically herewith. Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007; (iii) Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	F-1
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 and 2008:	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Annaly Capital Management, Inc.  
New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting at Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the



results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

New York, New York

February 24, 2010

F-1

---

## Part I

## Item 1. Financial Statements

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION  
 DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008  
 (dollars in thousands)

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,504,568	\$ 909,353
Reverse repurchase agreements with affiliate	328,757	562,119
Reverse repurchase agreements	425,000	-
Mortgage-Backed Securities, at fair value	64,805,725	55,046,995
Agency debentures, at fair value	915,752	598,945
Investment with affiliates	242,198	52,795
Securities borrowed	29,077	-
Receivable for Mortgage-Backed Securities sold	732,134	75,546
Accrued interest and dividends receivable	318,919	282,532
Receivable from Prime Broker	3,272	16,886
Receivable for advisory and service fees	12,566	6,103
Intangible for customer relationships, net	10,491	12,380
Goodwill	27,917	27,917
Interest rate swaps, at fair value	5,417	-
Other assets	14,397	6,044
Total assets	\$ 69,376,190	\$ 57,597,615
 <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Repurchase agreements	\$ 54,598,129	\$ 46,674,885
Payable for Investment Securities purchased	4,083,786	2,062,030
Accrued interest payable	89,460	199,985
Dividends payable	414,851	270,736
Securities loaned	29,057	-
Accounts payable and other liabilities	10,005	8,380
Interest rate swaps, at fair value	533,362	1,102,285
Total liabilities	59,758,650	50,318,301
 <b>6.00% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock:</b>		
4,600,000 shares authorized 2,604,614 and 4,496,525 shares issued and outstanding, respectively.	63,114	96,042
Commitments and contingencies	-	-
 <b>Stockholders' Equity:</b>		
<b>7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock:</b>		
7,412,500 shares authorized, issued and outstanding	177,088	177,088
Common stock: par value \$.01 per share; 987,987,500 shares	5,531	5,415

authorized, 553,134,877 and, 541,475,366 issued and  
 outstanding,  
 respectively

Additional paid-in capital	7,817,454		7,633,438
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,891,317		252,230
Accumulated deficit	(336,964	)	(884,899
			)
Total stockholders' equity	9,554,426		7,183,272
Total liabilities, Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock and stockholders' equity	\$ 69,376,190		\$ 57,597,615

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-2

---

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008, AND 2007  
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007
Interest income			
Investments	\$ 2,922,499	\$ 3,115,428	\$ 2,355,447
Securities loaned	103	-	-
Total interest income	2,922,602	\$ 3,115,428	\$ 2,355,447
Interests expense			
Repurchase agreements	1,295,670	1,888,912	1,926,465
Securities borrowed	92	-	-
Total interest expense	1,295,762	1,888,912	1,926,465
Net interest income	1,626,840	1,226,516	428,982
Other income (loss):			
Investment advisory and service fees	48,952	27,891	22,028
Gain on sale of Investment Securities	99,128	10,713	19,062
Gain on termination of interest rate swaps	-	-	2,096
Income from trading securities	-	9,695	19,147
Dividend income from available-for-sale equity securities	17,184	2,713	91
Loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities	-	(31,834 )	(1,189 )
Loss on receivable from Prime Broker	(13,613 )	-	-
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps	349,521	(768,268 )	-
Total other income (loss)	501,172	(749,090 )	61,235
Expenses:			
Distribution fees	1,756	1,589	3,647
General and administrative expenses	130,152	103,622	62,666
Total expenses	131,908	105,211	66,313
Income before loss on equity method investments, income taxes and noncontrolling interest	1,996,104	372,215	423,904
Loss on equity method investment	252	-	-
Income taxes	34,381	25,977	8,870
Net income	1,961,471	346,238	415,034

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Noncontrolling interest	-	58	650
Net income attributable to controlling interest	1,961,471	346,180	414,384
Dividends on preferred stock	18,501	21,177	21,493
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1,942,970	\$ 325,003	\$ 392,891
Net income available per share to common shareholders:			
Basic	\$ 3.55	\$ 0.64	\$ 1.32
Diluted	\$ 3.52	\$ 0.64	\$ 1.31
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:			
Basic	546,973,036	507,024,596	297,488,394
Diluted	553,130,643	507,024,596	306,263,766
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$ 1,961,471	\$ 346,180	\$ 414,384
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	1,513,397	319,226	322,264
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps	224,818	64,080	(378,380 )
Reclassification adjustment for net (gains) loss included in net income	(99,128 )	21,121	(19,969 )
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1,639,087	404,427	(76,085 )
Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest	\$ 3,600,558	\$ 750,607	\$ 338,299

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008, AND 2007

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock Par Value	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Total
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2006	177,088	2,053	2,615,016	(76,112 )	(175,004 )	2,543,041
Net income attributable to controlling interest	-	-	-	-	414,384	-
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	(76,085 )	-	-
Comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	338,299
Exercise of stock options	-	-	576	-	-	576
Stock option expense and long-term compensation expense	-	-	1,355	-	-	1,355
Net proceeds from follow-on offerings	-	1,829	2,483,700	-	-	2,485,529
Net proceeds from ATM program	-	56	80,862	-	-	80,918
Net proceeds from direct purchase and dividend reinvestment	-	80	116,413	-	-	116,493
Preferred Series A dividends declared \$1.97 per share	-	-	-	-	(14,593 )	(14,593 )
Preferred Series B dividends declared \$1.50 per share	-	-	-	-	(6,900 )	(6,900 )
Common dividends declared, \$1.04 per share	-	-	-	-	(339,780 )	(339,780 )
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2007	177,088	4,018	5,297,922	(152,197 )	(121,893 )	5,204,938
Net income attributable to controlling interest	-	-	-	-	346,180	-
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	404,427	-	-
Comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	750,607
Exercise of stock options and stock grants	-	3	2,777	-	-	2,780
Stock option expense and long-term compensation expense	-	-	2,534	-	-	2,534
Conversion of Series B cumulative convertible Preferred Stock	-	13	15,411	-	-	15,424
Stock granted in acquisition	-	2	3,123	-	-	3,125
Net proceeds from follow-on offerings	-	1,277	2,146,266	-	-	2,147,543
	-	44	71,788	-	-	71,832

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Net proceeds from ATM program						
Net proceeds from direct purchase and dividend reinvestment	-	58	93,617	-	-	93,675
Preferred Series A dividends declared \$1.97 per share	-	-	-	-	(14,594 )	(14,594 )
Preferred Series B dividends declared \$1.50 per share	-	-	-	-	(6,583 )	(6,583 )
Common dividends declared, \$2.08 per share	-	-	-	-	(1,088,009)	(1,088,009)
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2008	\$ 177,088	\$ 5,415	\$ 7,633,438	\$ 252,230	\$ (884,899 )	\$ 7,183,272
Net income	-	-	-	-	1,961,471	-
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	1,639,087	-	-
Comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	3,600,558
Exercise of stock options and stock grants	-	4	4,911	-	-	4,915
Stock option expense and long-term compensation expense	-	-	4,514	-	-	4,514
Conversion of Series B cumulative convertible Preferred Stock	-	28	32,900	-	-	32,928
Net proceeds from direct purchase and dividend reinvestment	-	84	141,691	-	-	141,775
Preferred Series A dividends declared \$1.97 per share	-	-	-	-	(14,593 )	(14,593 )
Preferred Series B dividends declared \$1.50 per share	-	-	-	-	(3,908 )	(3,908 )
Common dividends declared, \$2.54 per share	-	-	-	-	(1,395,035)	(1,395,035)
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2009	\$ 177,088	\$ 5,531	\$ 7,817,454	\$ 1,891,317	\$ (336,964 )	\$ 9,554,426

See notes to consolidated financial statements

F-4

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008, AND 2007  
(dollars in thousands)

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 1,961,471	\$ 346,238	\$ 415,034
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Net income attributable to non controlling interest	-	(58 )	(650 )
Amortization of Mortgage Backed Securities premiums and discounts, net	253,683	99,603	65,185
Amortization of intangibles	2,316	4,133	1,377
Amortization of trading securities premiums and discounts	-	(3 )	(11 )
(Gain) loss on sale of Investment Securities	(99,128 )	(10,713 )	(19,062 )
Gain on termination of interest rate swaps	-	-	(2,096 )
Stock option and long-term compensation expense	4,514	2,534	1,355
Unrealized (gain) loss on interest rate swaps	(349,521 )	768,268	-
Loss on investment with affiliate, equity method	252	-	-
Net realized gain on trading investments	-	(12,578 )	(4,430 )
Unrealized depreciation (appreciation) on trading investments	-	2,994	(11,013 )
Loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities	-	31,834	1,189
Increase in accrued interest and dividend receivable	(35,574 )	(8,405 )	(123,322 )
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(8,780 )	340	(2,264 )
Purchase of trading securities	-	(13,048 )	(18,479 )
Proceeds from sale of trading securities	-	30,986	23,640
Purchase of trading securities sold, not yet purchased	-	(22,290 )	(13,620 )
Proceeds from trading securities sold, not yet purchased	-	21,483	21,489
Proceeds from repurchase agreements from Broker Dealer	301,505,728	-	-
Payments on repurchase agreements from Broker Dealer	(291,820,728)	-	-
Proceeds from reverse repo from Broker Dealer	(3,595,580 )	-	-
Payments on reverse repo from Broker Dealer	3,100,827	-	-
Proceeds from securities borrowed	152,027	-	-
Payments on securities borrowed	(181,104 )	-	-
Proceeds from securities loaned	197,100	-	-
Payments on securities loaned	(168,043 )	-	-
(Increase) decrease in advisory and service fees receivable	(6,462 )	345	(420 )
(Decrease) increase in interest payable	(110,524 )	(57,623 )	173,610
	1,624	(28,867 )	17,872



Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and other liabilities			
Loss on receivable from Prime Broker	13,613	(16,886 )	-
Reduction of net assets in the fund	-	(28,704 )	-
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,817,711	1,109,583	525,384
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of Mortgage-Backed Securities	(24,992,434 )	(25,281,183 )	(32,832,687 )
Proceeds from sale of Investment Securities	4,029,801	15,491,408	4,847,909
Principal payments of Mortgage-Backed Securities	13,796,269	8,619,102	6,831,406
Agency debentures called	602,000	(500,000 )	-
Purchase of agency debentures	(918,765 )	-	(256,241 )
Investment in affiliates	(157,995 )	(26,283 )	(54,324 )
Payments on reverse repurchase agreements	(10,051,980 )	(562,119 )	-
Proceeds from reverse repurchase agreements	10,355,095	-	-
Investment to purchase subsidiary	-	(12,628 )	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(7,338,009 )	(2,271,703 )	(21,463,937 )
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from repurchase agreements	327,758,745	434,042,799	393,750,907
Principal payments on repurchase agreements	(329,520,501)	(433,414,474)	(375,218,367)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	4,914	2,780	576
Proceeds from termination of interest rate swaps	-	-	2,096
Proceeds from direct purchase and dividend reinvestment	141,775	93,675	116,493
Net proceeds from follow-on offerings	-	2,147,543	2,485,529
Net proceeds from ATM programs	-	71,832	80,918
Noncontrolling interest	-	(1,574 )	(3,750 )
Dividends paid	(1,269,420 )	(975,068 )	(263,671 )
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(2,884,487 )	1,967,513	20,950,731
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	595,215	805,393	12,178
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	909,353	103,960	91,782
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,504,568	\$ 909,353	\$ 103,960
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$ 1,406,287	\$ 1,946,535	\$ 1,752,855
Taxes paid	\$ 42,268	\$ 18,866	\$ 10,272
Noncash investing activities:			
Receivable for Investment Securities Sold	\$ 732,134	\$ 75,546	\$ 276,737
Payable for Investment Securities Purchased	\$ 4,083,786	\$ 2,062,030	\$ 1,677,131
Net change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities and interest rate swaps, net of reclassification adjustment	\$ 1,639,087	\$ 404,427	\$ (76,085 )
Noncash financing activities:			
Dividends declared, not yet paid	\$ 414,851	\$ 270,736	\$ 136,618

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

---

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

---

1. ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Annaly Capital Management, Inc. (“Annaly” or the “Company”) was incorporated in Maryland on November 25, 1996. The Company commenced its operations of purchasing and managing an investment portfolio of mortgage-backed securities on February 18, 1997, upon receipt of the net proceeds from the private placement of equity capital, and completed its initial public offering on October 14, 1997. The Company is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Fixed Income Discount Advisory Company (“FIDAC”) is a registered investment advisor and is a wholly owned taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company. On June 27, 2006, the Company made a majority equity investment in an affiliated investment fund (the “Fund”), which is now wholly owned by the Company. During the third quarter of 2008, the Company formed RCap Securities Inc. (“RCap”). RCap was granted membership in the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) on January 26, 2009, and operates as broker-dealer. RCap is a wholly owned taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company. On October 31, 2008, the Company acquired Merganser Capital Management, Inc. (“Merganser”). Merganser is a registered investment advisor and is a wholly owned taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company.

A summary of the Company’s significant accounting policies follows:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, FIDAC, Merganser, RCap and the Fund. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The noncontrolling interest in the earnings of the Fund is reflected as noncontrolling interest in the consolidated financial statements.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents** - Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and cash held in money market funds on an overnight basis.

**Reverse Repurchase Agreements** - The Company may invest its daily available cash balances via reverse repurchase agreements to provide additional yield on its assets. These investments will typically be recorded as short term investments and will generally mature daily. Reverse repurchase agreements are recorded at cost and are collateralized by mortgage-backed securities pledged by the counterparty to the agreement. Reverse repurchase agreements entered into by RCap are part of the subsidiary’s daily matched book trading activity. These reverse repurchase agreements are recorded on trade date at the contract amount, are collateralized by mortgage backed securities and generally mature within 90 days. Margin calls are made by RCap as appropriate based on the daily valuation of the underlying collateral versus the contract price. RCap generates income from the spread between what is earned on the reverse repurchase agreements and what is paid on the matched repurchase agreements. Cash flows related to RCap’s matched book activity are included in cash flows from operating activity.

**Securities borrowed and loaned transactions** – RCap records securities borrowed and loaned transactions at the amount of cash collateral advanced or received. Securities borrowed transactions require RCap to provide the counterparty with collateral in the form of cash, or other securities. RCap receives collateral in the form of cash or other securities for securities loaned transactions. For these transactions, the fees received or paid by RCap are recorded as interest income or expense. On a daily basis, RCap monitors the market value of securities borrowed or loaned against the collateral value and RCap may require counterparties to deposit additional collateral or return collateral pledged, when appropriate.

**Mortgage-Backed Securities and Agency Debentures** - The Company invests primarily in mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations and other mortgage-backed securities representing interests in or obligations backed by pools of mortgage loans, and certificates guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage

Association (“GNMA”) (collectively, “Mortgage-Backed Securities”). The Company also invests in agency debentures issued by Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“FHLMC”), and Federal National Mortgage Association (“FNMA”). The Mortgage-Backed Securities and agency debentures are collectively referred to herein as “Investment Securities.”

F-6

---

The Company is required to classify its Investment Securities as either trading investments, available-for-sale investments or held-to-maturity investments. Although the Company generally intends to hold most of its Investment Securities until maturity, it may, from time to time, sell any of its Investment Securities as part of its overall management of its portfolio. Accordingly, the Company classifies all of its Investment Securities as available-for-sale. All assets classified as available-for-sale are reported at estimated fair value, based on market prices from independent sources, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The Company's investment in Chimera Investment Corporation ("Chimera") is accounted for as available-for-sale equity securities. The Company's investment in CreXus Investment Corp. ("CreXus") is accounted for under the equity method.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. The Company determines if it (1) has the intent to sell the Investment Securities, (2) is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the securities before recovery, or (3) does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the Investment Securities. Further, the security is analyzed for credit loss (the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis). The credit loss, if any, will then be recognized in the statement of earnings, while the balance of impairment related to other factors will be recognized in other comprehensive income ("OCI"). There were no losses on other-than-temporarily impaired securities for the year ended December 31, 2009. The loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities was \$31.8 million and \$1.2 million during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The estimated fair value of Investment Securities, available-for-sale equity securities, receivable from prime broker and interest rate swaps is equal to their carrying value presented in the consolidated statements of financial condition. Cash and cash equivalents, reverse repurchase agreements, securities borrowed, receivable for Mortgage-Backed Securities sold, accrued interest and dividends receivable, receivable for advisory and service fees, repurchase agreements with maturities shorter than one year, payable for Investment Securities purchased, securities loaned, dividends payable, accounts payable and other liabilities, and accrued interest payable, generally approximates fair value at December 31, 2009 due to the short term nature of these financial instruments. The estimated fair value of long term structured repurchase agreements is reflected in the Note 9 to the financial statements.

Interest income is accrued based on the outstanding principal amount of the Investment Securities and their contractual terms. Premiums and discounts associated with the purchase of the Investment Securities are amortized into interest income over the projected lives of the securities using the interest method. The Company's policy for estimating prepayment speeds for calculating the effective yield is to evaluate historical performance, consensus prepayment speeds, and current market conditions. Dividend income on available-for-sale equity securities is recorded on the ex-date on an accrual basis.

Investment Securities transactions are recorded on the trade date. Purchases of newly-issued securities are recorded when all significant uncertainties regarding the characteristics of the securities are removed, generally shortly before settlement date. Realized gains and losses on sales of Investment Securities are determined on the specific identification method.

Derivative Financial Instruments/Hedging Activity - Prior to the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company designated interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges, whereby the swaps were recorded at fair value on the balance sheet as assets and liabilities with any changes in fair value recorded in OCI. In a cash flow hedge, a swap would exactly match the pricing date of the relevant repurchase agreement. Through the end of the third quarter of 2008 the Company continued to be able to effectively match the swaps with the repurchase agreements therefore entering into effective hedge transactions. However, due to the volatility of the credit markets, it was no longer practical to match the pricing dates of both the swaps and the repurchase agreements.

As a result, the Company voluntarily discontinued hedge accounting after the third quarter of 2008 through a combination of de-designating previously defined hedge relationships and not designating new contracts as cash flow hedges. The de-designation of cash flow hedges requires that the net derivative gain or loss related to the discontinued cash flow hedge should continue to be reported in accumulated OCI, unless it is probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within an additional two-month period of time thereafter. The Company continues to hold repurchase agreements in excess of swap contracts and has no indication that interest payments on the hedged repurchase agreements are in jeopardy of discontinuing. Therefore, the deferred losses related to these derivatives that have been de-designated will not be recognized immediately and will remain in OCI. These losses are reclassified into earnings during the contractual terms of the swap agreements starting as of October 1, 2008. Changes in the unrealized gains or losses on the interest rate swaps subsequent to September 30, 2008 are reflected in the Company's statement of operations.

**Credit Risk** – The Company has limited its exposure to credit losses on its portfolio of Investment Securities by only purchasing securities issued by FHLMC, FNMA, or GNMA and agency debentures issued by the FHLB, FHLMC and FNMA. The payment of principal and interest on the FHLMC, and FNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities are guaranteed by those respective agencies, and the payment of principal and interest on the GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. Principal and interest on agency debentures are guaranteed by the agency issuing the debenture. Substantially all of the Company's Investment Securities have an actual or implied "AAA" rating. The Company faces credit risk on the portions of its portfolio which are not Investment Securities.

**Market Risk** - The current situation in the mortgage sector and the current weakness in the broader mortgage market could adversely affect one or more of the Company's lenders and could cause one or more of the Company's lenders to be unwilling or unable to provide additional financing. This could potentially increase the Company's financing costs and reduce liquidity. If one or more major market participants fails, it could negatively impact the marketability of all fixed income securities, including government mortgage securities. This could negatively impact the value of the securities in the Company's portfolio, thus reducing its net book value. Furthermore, if many of the Company's lenders are unwilling or unable to provide additional financing, the Company could be forced to sell its Investment Securities at an inopportune time when prices are depressed. Even with the current situation in the mortgage sector, the Company does not anticipate having difficulty converting its assets to cash or extending financing terms due to the fact that its Investment Securities have an actual or implied "AAA" rating and principal payment is guaranteed by FHLMC, FNMA, or GNMA.

**Trading Securities and Trading Securities sold, not yet purchased** - Trading securities and trading securities sold, not yet purchased, are presented in the consolidated statements of financial conditions as a result of consolidating the financial statements of the Fund, and are carried at fair value. The realized and unrealized gains and losses, as well as other income or loss from trading securities, are recorded in the income from trading securities balance in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Trading securities sold, not yet purchased, represent obligations of the Fund to deliver the specified security at the contracted price, and thereby create a liability to purchase the security in the market at prevailing prices.

**Repurchase Agreements** - The Company finances the acquisition of its Investment Securities through the use of repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried at their contractual amounts, including accrued interest, as specified in the respective agreements.

**Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock** - The Series B Preferred Stock contains fundamental change provisions that allow the holder to redeem the Series B Preferred Stock for cash if certain events occur. As redemption under these provisions is not solely within the Company's control, the Company has classified the Series B Preferred Stock as temporary equity in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition. The Company has analyzed whether the embedded conversion option should be bifurcated and has determined that bifurcation is not necessary.

Income Taxes - The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT and intends to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), with respect thereto. Accordingly, the Company will not be subjected to federal income tax to the extent of its distributions to shareholders and as long as certain asset, income and stock ownership tests are met. The Company and each of its subsidiaries, FIDAC, Merganser, and RCap have made separate joint election to treat the subsidiaries as a taxable REIT subsidiary. As such, each of the taxable REIT subsidiaries are taxable as a domestic C corporation and subject to federal, state, and local income taxes based upon its taxable income. The affiliated investment fund is a partnership and the income and expense flow through to the Company.

F-8

---

Use of Estimates - The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. All assets classified as available-for-sale and interest rate swaps are reported at their estimated fair value, based on market prices. The Company's policy is to obtain fair values from independent sources. Fair values from independent sources are compared to internal prices for reasonableness. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Goodwill and Intangible assets - The Company's acquisitions of FIDAC and Merganser were accounted for using the purchase method. Under the purchase method, net assets and results of operations of acquired companies are included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. In addition, the costs of FIDAC and Merganser were allocated to the assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets, and the liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The excess of purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired was recognized as goodwill. Goodwill and intangible assets are periodically (but not less frequently than annually) reviewed for potential impairment. Intangible assets with an estimated useful life are expected to amortize over a 10.5 year weighted average time period. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, there were no impairment losses.

Stock Based Compensation - The Company is required to measure and recognize in the consolidated financial statements the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions. The compensation cost is reassessed based on the fair value of the equity instruments issued.

The Company recognizes compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award (that is, over the requisite service period of the last separately vesting portion of the award). The Company estimated fair value using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

#### A Summary of Recent Accounting Pronouncements Follows:

##### General Principles

##### Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued The Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) which revises the framework for selecting the accounting principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements that are presented in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). The objective of the Codification is to establish the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB. Codification was effective for interim and annual periods ended after September 15, 2009. In adopting the Codification, all non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification is superseded and deemed non-authoritative. Codification requires any references within the Company's consolidated financial statements be modified from FASB issues to ASC. However, in accordance with the FASB Accounting Standards Codification Notice to Constituents (v 2.0), the Company will not reference specific sections of the ASC but will use broad topic references.

The Company's recent accounting pronouncements section has been reformatted to reflect the same organizational structure as the ASC. Broad topic references will be updated with pending content as they are released.

F-9

---



## Assets

### Investments in Debt and Equity Securities (ASC 320)

New guidance was provided to make impairment guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments (“OTTI”) on debt and equity securities in financial statements. This guidance was also the result of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) mark-to-market study mandated under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”). The SEC’s recommendation was to “evaluate the need for modifications (or the elimination) of current OTTI guidance to provide for a more uniform system of impairment testing standards for financial instruments.” The guidance revises the OTTI evaluation methodology. Previously the analytical focus was on whether the company had the “intent and ability to retain its investment in the debt security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.” Now the focus is on whether the company (1) has the intent to sell the Investment Securities, (2) is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the Investment Securities before recovery, or (3) does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the Investment Securities. Further, the security is analyzed for credit loss, (the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis). The credit loss, if any, will then be recognized in the statement of operations, while the balance of impairment related to other factors will be recognized in OCI. This guidance became effective for all of the Company’s interim and annual reporting periods ending June 30, 2009 with early adoption permitted for periods ending March 31, 2009 and the Company decided to early adopt. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company did not have unrealized losses in Investment Securities that were deemed other-than-temporary.

### Broad Transactions

#### Business Combinations (ASC 805)

This guidance establishes principles and requirements for recognizing and measuring identifiable assets and goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in a business combination at their fair value at acquisition date. ASC 805 alters the treatment of acquisition-related costs, business combinations achieved in stages (referred to as a step acquisition), the treatment of gains from a bargain purchase, the recognition of contingencies in business combinations, the treatment of in-process research and development in a business combination as well as the treatment of recognizable deferred tax benefits. ASC 805 is effective for business combinations closed in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and is applicable to business acquisitions completed after January 1, 2009. The Company did not make any business acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2009. The adoption of ASC 805 did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

#### Consolidation (ASC 810)

On January 1, 2009, FASB amended the guidance concerning noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements, which requires the Company to make certain changes to the presentation of its financial statements. This guidance requires the Company to classify noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as “minority interest”) as part of consolidated net income and to include the accumulated amount of noncontrolling interests as part of stockholders’ equity. Similarly, in its presentation of stockholders’ equity, the Company distinguishes between equity amounts attributable to controlling interest and amounts attributable to the noncontrolling interests – previously classified as minority interest outside of stockholders’ equity. In addition to these financial reporting changes, this guidance provides for significant changes in accounting related to noncontrolling interests; specifically, increases and decreases in its controlling financial interests in consolidated subsidiaries will be reported in equity similar to treasury stock transactions. If a change in ownership of a consolidated subsidiary results in loss of control and deconsolidation, any retained ownership interests are re-measured with the gain or loss reported in net earnings.

Effective January 1, 2010, the consolidation standards have been amended by ASU 2009-17. This amendment updates the existing standard and eliminates the exemption from consolidation of a Qualified Special Purpose Entity (“QSPE”). The update requires an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise’s variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity (“VIE”). The analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a VIE as the enterprise that has both: a) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity’s economic performance and b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity which could potentially be significant to the VIE. The update requires enhanced disclosures to provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprises involvement in a VIE. Further, ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE are required. At this time, the amendment has no material effect on the Company financial statements.

On January 27, 2010, the FASB voted to indefinitely defer the effective date of ASU 2009-17 for a reporting enterprises interest in entities for which it is industry practice to issue financial statements in accordance with investment company standards (ASC 946). This deferral is expected to most significantly affect reporting entities in the investment management industry. The Company is evaluating the effect of this update, however, as it stands, the update would have no material effect on the financial statements.

F-10

---

### Derivatives and Hedging (ASC 815)

Effective January 1, 2009 and adopted by the Company prospectively, the FASB issued additional guidance attempting to improve the transparency of financial reporting by mandating the provision of additional information about how derivative and hedging activities affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. This guidance changed the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosure about (1) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (2) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and (3) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. To adhere to this guidance, qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts, gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements must be made. This disclosure framework is intended to better convey the purpose of derivative use in terms of the risks that an entity is intending to manage. The effect of the adoption of this guidance was an increase in financial statement note disclosures.

### Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820)

In response to the deterioration of the credit markets, FASB issued guidance clarifying how Fair Value Measurements should be applied when valuing securities in markets that are not active. The guidance provides an illustrative example, utilizing management's internal cash flow and discount rate assumptions when relevant observable data do not exist. It further clarifies how observable market information and market quotes should be considered when measuring fair value in an inactive market. It reaffirms the notion of fair value as an exit price as of the measurement date and that fair value analysis is a transactional process and should not be broadly applied to a group of assets. The guidance was effective upon issuance including prior periods for which financial statements had not been issued. The implementation of this guidance did not have a material effect on the fair value of the Company's assets since the Company previous methodology is consistent with the new guidance.

In October 2008 the EESA was signed into law. Section 133 of the EESA mandated that the SEC conduct a study on mark-to-market accounting standards. The SEC provided its study to the U.S. Congress on December 30, 2008. Part of the recommendations within the study indicated that "fair value requirements should be improved through development of application and best practices guidance for determining fair value in illiquid or inactive markets." As a result of this study and the recommendations therein, on April 9, 2009, the FASB issued additional guidance for determining fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased when compared with normal market activity for the asset or liability (or similar assets or liabilities). This guidance became effective for the Company June 30, 2009 with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 31, 2009. The adoption does not have a major impact on the manner in which we estimate fair value, nor does it have any impact on our financial statement disclosures.

In August 2009, FASB provided further guidance (ASU 2009-05) regarding the fair value measurement of liabilities. The guidance states that a quoted price for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market is a Level 1 fair value measurement. If the value must be adjusted for factors specific to the liability, then the adjustment to the quoted price of the asset shall render the fair value measurement of the liability a lower level measurement. This guidance has no material effect on the fair valuation of the Company's liabilities.

In September 2009, FASB issued guidance (ASU 2009-12) on measuring the fair value of certain alternative investments. This guidance offers investors a practical expedient for measuring the fair value of investments in certain entities that calculate net asset value ("NAV") per share. If an investment falls within the scope of the ASU, the reporting entity is permitted, but not required to use the investment's NAV to estimate its fair value. This guidance has no material effect on the fair valuation of the Company's assets, as the Company does not hold any assets qualifying under this guidance.



In January 2010, FASB issued guidance (ASU 2010-06) which increases disclosure regarding the fair value of assets. The key provisions of this guidance include the requirement to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 including a description of the reason for the transfers. Previously this was only required of transfers between Level 2 and Level 3 assets. Further, reporting entities are required to provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities; a class is potentially a subset of the assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position. Additionally, disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements are required for either Level 2 or Level 3 assets. This portion of the guidance is effective for the Company for December 31, 2009. The guidance also requires that the disclosure on any Level 3 assets presents separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. In other words, Level 3 assets are presented on a gross basis rather than as one net number. However, this last portion of the guidance is not effective for the Company until December 15, 2010. Adoption of this guidance results in increased financial statement note disclosure for the Company.

#### Financial Instruments (ASC 820)

On April 9, 2009, the FASB issued guidance which requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods as well as in annual financial statements. The guidance became effective for the Company on June 30, 2009. The adoption did not have any impact on financial reporting as all financial instruments are currently reported at fair value in both interim and annual periods.

#### Subsequent Events (ASC 855)

ASC 855 provides general standards governing accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. ASC 855 also provides guidance on the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date. The Company adopted the guidance effective June 30, 2009, and adoption had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company evaluated subsequent events through February 24, 2010.

#### Transfers and Servicing (ASC 860-10-50)

In February 2008 FASB issued guidance addressing whether transactions where assets purchased from a particular counterparty and financed through a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty can be considered and accounted for as separate transactions, or are required to be considered "linked" transactions and may be considered derivatives. This guidance requires purchases and subsequent financing through repurchase agreements be considered linked transactions unless all of the following conditions apply: (1) the initial purchase and the use of repurchase agreements to finance the purchase are not contractually contingent upon each other; (2) the repurchase financing entered into between the parties provides full recourse to the transferee and the repurchase price is fixed; (3) the financial assets are readily obtainable in the market; and (4) the financial instrument and the repurchase agreement are not coterminous. This guidance was effective for the Company on January 1, 2009 and the implementation did not have a material effect on the financial statements of the Company.

On June 12, 2009, the FASB issued guidance an amendment update to the accounting standards governing the transfer and servicing of financial assets. This amendment updates the existing standard and eliminates the concept of a Qualified Special Purpose Entity ("QSPE"); clarifies the surrendering of control to effect sale treatment; and modifies the financial components approach – limiting the circumstances in which a financial asset or portion thereof should be derecognized when the transferor maintains continuing involvement. It defines the term "Participating Interest". Under this standard update, the transferor must recognize and initially measure at fair value all assets obtained and liabilities incurred as a result of a transfer, including any retained beneficial interest. Additionally, the amendment requires

enhanced disclosures regarding the transferors risk associated with continuing involvement in any transferred assets. The amendment is effective beginning January 1, 2010. The Company believes the amendment has no material effect on the financial statements.

F-12

---

## 2. MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

The following tables present the Company's available-for-sale Mortgage-Backed Securities portfolio as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 which were carried at their fair value:

December 31, 2009	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	Federal National Mortgage Association (dollars in thousands)	Government National Mortgage Association	Total Mortgage- Backed Securities
Mortgage-Backed Securities, gross	\$ 18,973,616	\$ 41,836,554	\$ 779,109	\$ 61,589,279
Unamortized discount	(20,210 )	(28,167 )	-	(48,377 )
Unamortized premium	301,700	974,861	20,382	1,296,943
Amortized cost	19,255,106	42,783,248	799,491	62,837,845
Gross unrealized gains	717,749	1,318,066	21,944	2,057,759
Gross unrealized losses	(27,368 )	(61,739 )	(772 )	(89,879 )
Estimated fair value	\$ 19,945,487	\$ 44,039,575	\$ 820,663	\$ 64,805,725
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain (dollars in thousands)	Gross Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value
Adjustable rate	\$ 16,345,988	\$ 513,820	\$ (68,488 )	\$ 16,791,320
Fixed rate	46,491,857	1,543,939	(21,391 )	48,014,405
Total	\$ 62,837,845	\$ 2,057,759	\$ (89,879 )	\$ 64,805,725
December 31, 2008	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	Federal National Mortgage Association (dollars in thousands)	Government National Mortgage Association	Total Mortgage- Backed Securities
Mortgage-Backed Securities, gross	\$ 19,898,430	\$ 32,749,123	\$ 1,259,118	\$ 53,906,671
Unamortized discount	(26,733 )	(36,647 )	(787 )	(64,167 )
Unamortized premium	212,354	381,433	25,694	619,481
Amortized cost	20,084,051	33,093,909	1,284,025	54,461,985
Gross unrealized gains	297,366	468,824	14,606	780,796
Gross unrealized losses	(71,195 )	(123,443 )	(1,148 )	(195,786 )
Estimated fair value	\$ 20,310,222	\$ 33,439,290	\$ 1,297,483	\$ 55,046,995
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain (dollars in thousands)	Gross Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value
Adjustable rate	\$ 19,509,017	\$ 287,249	\$ (178,599 )	\$ 19,617,667

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Fixed rate	34,952,968	493,547	(17,187 )	35,429,328
Total	\$ 54,461,985	\$ 780,796	\$ (195,786 )	\$ 55,046,995

F-13

---



Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Actual maturities of Mortgage-Backed Securities are generally shorter than stated contractual maturities because actual maturities of Mortgage-Backed Securities are affected by the contractual lives of the underlying mortgages, periodic payments of principal, and prepayments of principal. The following table summarizes the Company's Mortgage-Backed Securities on December 31, 2009 and 2008, according to their estimated weighted-average life classifications:

Weighted-Average Life	December 31, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost
	(dollars in thousands)			
Less than one year	\$2,796,707	\$2,762,873	\$4,147,646	\$4,181,282
Greater than one year and less than five years	55,780,372	54,070,493	37,494,312	37,102,706
Greater than or equal to five years	6,228,646	6,004,479	13,405,037	13,177,997
Total	\$64,805,725	\$62,837,845	\$55,046,995	\$54,461,985

The weighted-average lives of the Mortgage-Backed Securities at December 31, 2009 and 2008 in the table above are based upon data provided through subscription-based financial information services, assuming constant principal prepayment rates to the reset date of each security. The prepayment model considers current yield, forward yield, steepness of the yield curve, current mortgage rates, mortgage rate of the outstanding loans, loan age, margin and volatility. The actual weighted average lives of the Mortgage-Backed Securities could be longer or shorter than estimated.

The following table presents the gross unrealized losses, and estimated fair value of the Company's Mortgage-Backed Securities by length of time that such securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

	Unrealized Loss Position For:					
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2009	\$ 4,818,239	\$ (22,869 )	\$ 2,802,920	\$ (67,010 )	\$ 7,621,159	\$ (89,879 )
December 31, 2008	\$ 4,631,897	\$ (65,790 )	\$ 4,267,448	\$ (129,996 )	\$ 8,899,345	\$ (195,786 )

The decline in value of these securities is solely due to market conditions and not the quality of the assets. Substantially all of the Mortgage-Backed Securities are "AAA" rated or carry an implied "AAA" rating. The investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired because the Company currently has the ability and intent to hold the investments to maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or beyond the cost of the investments or we are required to sell for regulatory or other reasons. Also, the Company is guaranteed payment of the principal amount of the securities by the government agency which created them.

The adjustable rate Mortgage-Backed Securities are limited by periodic caps (generally interest rate adjustments are limited to no more than 1% every nine months) and lifetime caps. The weighted average lifetime cap was 10.1% at December 31, 2009 and 10.0% at December 31, 2008.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company sold \$4.6 billion of Mortgage-Backed Securities, resulting in a realized gain of \$99.1 million. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company sold \$15.1 billion of Mortgage-Backed Securities, resulting in a realized gain of \$10.7 million.

F-14

---

### 3. AGENCY DEBENTURES

At December 31, 2009, the Company owned agency debentures with a carrying value of \$915.8 million, including an unrealized loss of \$3.0 million. At December 31, 2008, the Company owned agency debentures with a carrying value of \$598.9 million including an unrealized loss of \$2.8 million.

### 4. AVAILABLE FOR SALE EQUITY SECURITIES

All of the available-for-sale equity securities are shares of Chimera and are reported at fair value. The Company owned approximately 45.0 million shares of Chimera at a fair value of approximately \$174.5 million at December 31, 2009 and approximately 15.3 million shares of Chimera at fair value of approximately \$52.8 million at December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the investment in Chimera had an unrealized gain of \$35.7 million and \$4.0 million, respectively. Chimera is externally managed by FIDAC pursuant to a management agreement.

Although the Company has the intent and ability to retain the investment in Chimera indefinitely, the Company determined that an other-than-temporarily impaired charge of \$31.8 million was appropriate in the third quarter of 2008 and is reflected in the income statement for the year ended of December 31, 2008. This determination is based on the extent of the decline in value of the Chimera shares combined with the current state of the mortgage and credit markets.

### 5. INVESTMENT IN AFFILIATE, EQUITY METHOD

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company acquired 4,527,778 shares of CreXus Investment Corp. ("CreXus") common stock at a price of \$15.00 per share. The Company owns 25% of CreXus and accounts for its investment using the equity method. CreXus is externally managed by FIDAC pursuant to a management agreement. The quoted fair value of the Company's investment in CreXus was \$63.2 million at December 31, 2009.

### 6. REVERSE REPURCHASE AGREEMENT

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had lent \$259.0 million and \$562.1 million, respectively, to Chimera in a reverse repurchase agreement which is callable weekly. This amount is included in the principal amount which approximates fair value in the Company's Statements of Financial Condition. The interest rate at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was at the rate of 1.72% and 1.43%, respectively. The collateral for this loan is mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$314.3 million and \$680.8 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

At December 31, 2009, RCap, in its ordinary course of business, financed through matched reverse repurchase agreements, at market rates, \$69.7 million for a fund that is managed by FIDAC. At December 31, 2009, RCap had an outstanding reverse repurchase agreement with a non-affiliate of \$425.0 million.

The Company reports cash flows on reverse repurchase agreements as investment activities in the Statements of Cash Flows. RCap reports cash flows on reverse repurchase agreements as operating activities in the Statements of Cash Flows.

### 7. RECEIVABLE FROM PRIME BROKER

The net assets of the investment fund owned by the Company are subject to English bankruptcy law, which governs the administration of Lehman Brothers International (Europe) (in administration) ("LBIE"), as well as the law of New York, which governs the contractual documents. The Company invested approximately \$45.0 million in the fund and has redeemed approximately \$56.0 million. The current assets of the fund still remain at LBIE and affiliates of LBIE

and the ultimate recovery of such amount remains uncertain. The Company has entered into the Claims Resolution Agreement (the "CRA") between LBIE and certain eligible offerees effective December 29, 2009 with respect to these assets.

F-15

---

Certain of the Company's assets subject to the CRA are held directly at LBIE and the Company has valued such assets in accordance with the valuation date set forth in the CRA and the pricing information provided to the Company by LBIE. The valuation date with respect to these assets as set forth in the CRA is September 19, 2008.

Certain of the Company's assets subject to the CRA are not held directly at LBIE and are believed to be held at affiliates of LBIE. Given the great degree of uncertainty as to the status of the Company's assets that are not directly held by LBIE and are believed to be held at affiliates of LBIE, the Company has valued such assets at an 80% discount, or \$3.3 million. The value of the net assets that are not directly held by LBIE and are believed to be held at affiliates of LBIE is determined on the basis of the best information available to us from time to time, legal and professional advice obtained for the purpose of determining the rights, and on the basis of a number of assumptions which we believe to be reasonable.

The Company can provide no assurance, however, that it will recover all or any portion of any of the net assets of the investment fund following completion of LBIE's administration (and any subsequent liquidation).

## 8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 – inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets and liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 – inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 – inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to overall fair value.

Available for sale equity securities are valued based on quoted prices (unadjusted) in an active market. Mortgage-Backed Securities and interest rate swaps are valued using quoted prices for similar assets and dealer quotes. The dealer will incorporate common market pricing methods, including a spread measurement to the Treasury curve or interest rate swap curve as well as underlying characteristics of the particular security including coupon, periodic and life caps, rate reset period and expected life of the security. Management ensures that current market conditions are represented. Management compares similar market transactions and comparisons to a pricing model. The Company's Investment Securities characteristics are as follows:

	Weighted Average Coupon on Fixed Rate Securities	Weighted Average Coupon on Adjustable Rate Securities	Weighted Average Yield	Weighted Average Lifetime Cap on Adjustable Rate Securities	Weighted Average Term to Next Adjustment on Adjustable Rate Securities
At December 31, 2009	5.78%	4.55%	4.51%	10.09%	33 months
At December 31, 2008	6.13%	4.75%	5.15%	10.00%	36 months

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

The Company's financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis are valued as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(dollars in thousands)		
At December 31, 2009			
Assets:			
Mortgage-Backed Securities	\$ -	\$64,805,725	-
Agency debentures	-	915,752	-
Available for sale equity securities	174,533	-	-
Interest rate swaps		5,417	
Liabilities:			
Interest rate swaps	-	533,362	-
At December 31, 2008			
Assets:			
Mortgage-Backed Securities	\$ -	\$55,046,995	-
Agency debentures	-	598,945	-
Available for sale equity securities	52,795	-	-
Liabilities:			
Interest rate swaps	-	1,102,285	-

The classification of assets and liabilities by level remains unchanged at December 31, 2009, when compared to the previous quarter.

## 9. REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

The Company had outstanding \$54.6 billion and \$46.7 billion of repurchase agreements with weighted average borrowing rates of 2.11% and 4.08%, after giving effect to the Company's interest rate swaps, and weighted average remaining maturities of 170 days and 238 days as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Investment Securities pledged as collateral under these repurchase agreements and interest rate swaps had an estimated fair value of \$57.9 billion at December 31, 2009 and \$51.8 billion at December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the repurchase agreements had the following remaining maturities:

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(dollars in thousands)	
1 day	\$ -	\$ -
Within 30 days	38,341,206	32,025,186
30 to 59 days	7,163,255	5,205,352
60 to 89 days	192,005	209,673
90 to 119 days	139,966	254,674
Over 120 days	8,761,696	8,980,000
Total	\$ 54,598,128	\$ 46,674,885

The Company did not have an amount at risk greater than 10% of the equity of the Company with any counterparty as of December 31, 2009 or December 31, 2008.

The Company has entered into repurchase agreements which provide the counterparty with the right to call the balance prior to maturity date. These repurchase agreements totaled \$7.0 billion and the fair value of the option to call was (\$352.4 million) at December 31, 2009. The repurchase agreements totaled \$8.1 billion and the fair value of the

option to call was (\$574.3) at December 31, 2008. Management has determined that the call option is not required to be bifurcated as it is deemed clearly and closely related to the debt instrument, therefore the fair value of the option is not recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

F-17

---

The structured repurchase agreements are modeled and priced as pay fixed versus receive floating interest rate swaps whereby the fixed receiver has the option to cancel the swap after an initial lockout period. Therefore the structured repurchase agreements are priced as a combination of an interest rate swaps with an embedded call options.

The Company reports cash flows repurchase agreements as investment activities in the Statements of Cash Flows. RCap reports cash flows on repurchase agreements as financing activities in the Statements of Cash Flows

## 10. INTEREST RATE SWAPS

In connection with the Company's interest rate risk management strategy, the Company economically hedges a portion of its interest rate risk by entering into derivative financial instrument contracts. As of December 31, 2009, such instruments are comprised of interest rate swaps, which in effect modify the cash flows on repurchase agreements. The use of interest rate swaps creates exposure to credit risk relating to potential losses that could be recognized if the counterparties to these instruments fail to perform their obligations under the contracts. In the event of a default by the counterparty, the Company could have difficulty obtaining its Mortgage-Backed Securities pledged as collateral for swaps. The Company does not anticipate any defaults by its counterparties.

The Company's swaps are used to lock in the fixed rate related to a portion of its current and anticipated future 30-day term repurchase agreements.

The location and fair value of derivative instruments reported in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Location on Statement of Financial Condition	Notional Amount (dollars in thousands)	Net Estimated Fair Value/Carrying Value (dollars in thousands)
December 31, 2009	Liabilities	\$ 18,823,300	\$ 533,362
December 31, 2009	Assets	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 5,417
December 31, 2008	Liabilities	\$ 17,615,750	\$ 1,102,285

The effect of derivatives on the Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income is as follows:

	Location on Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income Interest Expense (dollars in thousands)	Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Interest Rate Swaps
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 743,982	\$ 349,521
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	\$ 327,402	\$ (768,268 )

The weighted average pay rate at December 31, 2009 was 3.85% and the weighted average receive rate was 0.25%. The weighted average pay rate at December 31, 2008 was 4.66% and the weighted average receive rate was 1.88%.

## 11. PREFERRED STOCK AND COMMON STOCK

### (A) Common Stock Issuances



During the year ended December 31, 2009, 423,160 options were exercised under the Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan, or Incentive Plan, for an aggregate exercise price of \$4.9 million. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 7,550 shares of restricted stock were issued under the Incentive Plan.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, 1.4 million shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into 2.8 million shares of common stock, respectively.

F-18

---

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company raised \$141.8 million by issuing 8.4 million shares, through the Direct Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Program.

On May 13, 2008 the Company entered into an underwriting agreement pursuant to which it sold 69,000,000 shares of its common stock for net proceeds following underwriting expenses of approximately \$1.1 billion. This transaction settled on May 19, 2008.

On January 23, 2008 the Company entered into an underwriting agreement pursuant to which it sold 58,650,000 shares of its common stock for net proceeds following underwriting expenses of approximately \$1.1 billion. This transaction settled on January 29, 2008.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company raised \$93.7 million by issuing 5.8 million shares, through the Direct Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Program.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, 300,000 options were exercised under the Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan, or Incentive Plan, for an aggregate exercise price of \$2.8 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, 634,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into 1.3 million shares of common stock, respectively.

On August 3, 2006, the Company entered into an ATM Equity Offering(sm) Sales Agreement with Merrill Lynch & Co. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, relating to the sale of shares of the Company's common stock from time to time through Merrill Lynch. Sales of the shares, if any, are made by means of ordinary brokers' transaction on the New York Stock Exchange. During the year ended December 31, 2009, there were no shares issued pursuant to this program. During the year ended December 31, 2008, 588,000 shares of the Company's common stock were issued pursuant to this program, totaling \$11.5 million in net proceeds.

On August 3, 2006, the Company entered into an ATM Equity Sales Agreement with UBS Securities LLC, relating to the sale of shares of the Company's common stock from time to time through UBS Securities. Sales of the shares, if any, will be made by means of ordinary brokers' transaction on the New York Stock Exchange. During the year ended December 31, 2009, there were no shares issued pursuant to this program. During the year ended December 31, 2008, 3.8 million shares of the Company's common stock were issued pursuant to this program, totaling \$60.3 million in net proceeds.

#### (B) Preferred Stock

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had issued and outstanding 7,412,500 shares of Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock"), with a par value \$0.01 per share and a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared). The Series A Preferred Stock must be paid a dividend at a rate of 7.875% per year on the \$25.00 liquidation preference before the common stock is entitled to receive any dividends. The Series A Preferred Stock is redeemable at \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) exclusively at the Company's option commencing on April 5, 2009 (subject to the Company's right under limited circumstances to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock earlier in order to preserve its qualification as a REIT). The Series A Preferred Stock is senior to the Company's common stock and is on parity with the Series B Preferred Stock with respect to dividends and distributions, including distributions upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up. The Series A Preferred Stock generally does not have any voting rights, except if the Company fails to pay dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock for six or more quarterly periods (whether or not consecutive). Under such circumstances, the Series A Preferred Stock, together with the Series B Preferred Stock, will be entitled to vote to elect two additional directors to the Board, until all unpaid dividends have been paid or declared and set apart for payment. In addition, certain material and adverse changes to the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock cannot be made without the affirmative vote of holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares

of Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock. Through December 31, 2009, the Company had declared and paid all required quarterly dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock.

F-19

---

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had issued and outstanding 2,604,614 and 4,496,525, respectively, shares of Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock"), with a par value \$0.01 per share and a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared). The Series B Preferred Stock must be paid a dividend at a rate of 6% per year on the \$25.00 liquidation preference before the common stock is entitled to receive any dividends.

The Series B Preferred Stock is not redeemable. The Series B Preferred Stock is convertible into shares of common stock at a conversion rate that adjusts from time to time upon the occurrence of certain events, including if the Company distributes to its common shareholders in any calendar quarter cash dividends in excess of \$0.11 per share. Initially, the conversion rate was 1.7730 shares of common shares per \$25 liquidation preference. At December 31, 2008, the conversion ratio was 2.0650 shares of common stock per \$25 liquidation preference. Commencing April 5, 2011, the Company has the right in certain circumstances to convert each Series B Preferred Stock into a number of common shares based upon the then prevailing conversion rate. The Series B Preferred Stock is also convertible into common shares at the option of the Series B preferred shareholder at anytime at the then prevailing conversion rate. The Series B Preferred Stock is senior to the Company's common stock and is on parity with the Series A Preferred Stock with respect to dividends and distributions, including distributions upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up. The Series B Preferred Stock generally does not have any voting rights, except if the Company fails to pay dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock for six or more quarterly periods (whether or not consecutive). Under such circumstances, the Series B Preferred Stock, together with the Series A Preferred Stock, will be entitled to vote to elect two additional directors to the Board, until all unpaid dividends have been paid or declared and set apart for payment. In addition, certain material and adverse changes to the terms of the Series B Preferred Stock cannot be made without the affirmative vote of holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock. Through December 31, 2009, the Company had declared and paid all required quarterly dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 1.4 million shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into 2.8 million shares of common stock. During the year ended December 31, 2008, 634,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into 1.3 million shares of common stock.

(C) Distributions to Shareholders

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company declared dividends to common shareholders totaling \$1.4 billion or \$2.54 per share, of which \$414.9 million were paid to shareholders on January 28, 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company declared dividends to Series A Preferred shareholders totaling approximately \$14.6 million or \$1.97 per share, and Series B shareholders totaling approximately \$3.9 million or \$1.50 per share, which were paid to shareholders on December 31, 2009.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company declared dividends to common shareholders totaling \$1.1 billion or \$2.08 per share, of which \$270.7 million were paid to shareholders on January 29, 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company declared dividends to Series A Preferred shareholders totaling approximately \$14.6 million or \$1.97 per share, and Series B shareholders totaling approximately \$6.6 million or \$1.50 per share, which were paid to shareholders on December 31, 2008.

12. NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

The following table presents a reconciliation of the net income and shares used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007.

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	For the years ended (amounts in thousands) December 31, 2007
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$ 1,961,471	\$ 346,180	\$ 414,384

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Less: Preferred stock dividends	18,501	21,177	21,493
Net income available to common shareholders, prior to			
adjustment for Series B dividends, if necessary	1,942,970	325,003	392,891
Add: Preferred Series B dividends, if Series B shares are dilutive	3,908	-	6,900
Net income available to common shareholders, as adjusted	\$ 1,946,877	\$ 325,003	\$ 399,791
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding-basic	546,973	507,025	297,488
Add: Effect of dilutive stock options and Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock	6,158	-	8,775
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding-diluted	553,131	507,025	306,263

F-20

---

Options to purchase 2.8 million shares of common stock, were outstanding and considered anti-dilutive as their exercise price and option expense exceeded the average stock price for the year ended December 31, 2009. Options to purchase 5.2 million shares of common stock, were outstanding and considered anti-dilutive as their exercise price and option expense exceeded the average stock price for the year ended December 31, 2008. The Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock was anti-dilutive for the year ended December 31, 2008.

### 13. LONG-TERM STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

The Company has adopted a long term stock incentive plan for executive officers, key employees and non-employee directors (the "Incentive Plan"). The Incentive Plan authorizes the Compensation Committee of the board of directors to grant awards, including non-qualified options as well as incentive stock options as defined under Section 422 of the Code. The Incentive Plan authorizes the granting of options or other awards for an aggregate of the greater of 500,000 shares or 9.5% of the diluted outstanding shares of the Company's common stock, up to ceiling of 8,932,921 shares. Stock options are issued at the current market price on the date of grant, subject to an immediate or four year vesting in four equal installments with a contractual term of 5 or 10 years. The grant date fair value is calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model.

	For the year ended		December 31, 2008	
	December 31, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding at the beginning of year	5,180,164	\$ 15.87	3,437,267	\$ 15.23
Granted	2,535,750	13.26	2,043,700	16.02
Exercised	(423,161 )	11.72	(293,243 )	9.59
Forfeited	(10,000 )	15.61	(2,550 )	15.84
Expired	(11,250 )	17.32	(5,010 )	20.67
Options outstanding at the end of period	7,271,503	\$ 15.20	5,180,164	\$ 15.87
Options exercisable at the end of the period	1,869,678	\$ 16.96	2,119,964	\$ 16.36

The weighted average remaining contractual term was approximately 7.6 years for stock options outstanding and approximately 4.7 years for stock options exercisable as of December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, there was approximately \$13.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.0 years.

The weighted average remaining contractual term was approximately 7.6 years for stock options outstanding and approximately 5.6 years for stock options exercisable as of December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2008, there was approximately \$9.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.0 years.

## 14. INCOME TAXES

As a REIT, the Company is not subject to federal income tax on earnings distributed to its shareholders. Most states recognize REIT status as well. The Company has decided to distribute the majority of its income and retain a portion of the permanent difference between book and taxable income arising from Section 162(m) of the Code pertaining to employee remuneration.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries recorded \$9.7 million of income tax expense for income attributable to those subsidiaries, and the portion of earnings retained based on Code Section 162(m) limitations. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded \$24.7 million of income tax expense for a portion of earnings retained based on Section 162(m) limitations.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, FIDAC recorded \$4.0 million of income tax expense for income attributable to FIDAC, and the portion of earnings retained based on Code Section 162(m) limitations. During the year ended December 31, 2008, Merganser recorded \$94,000 of income tax expense for income attributable to Merganser. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded \$21.9 million of income tax expense for a portion of earnings retained based on Section 162(m) limitations. The effective tax rate was 53% for the year ended December 31, 2008.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company did not record income tax expense for income attributable to FIDAC, its taxable REIT subsidiary, and the portion of earnings retained based on Code Section 162(m) limitations. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recorded \$9.0 million of income tax expense for a portion of earnings retained based on Section 162(m) limitations. The effective tax rate was 51% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The Company's effective tax rate was 52%, 53%, and 51%, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. These rates were calculated based on the Companies estimated taxable income after dividends paid deduction and differ from the federal statutory rate as a result of state and local taxes and permanent difference pertaining to employee remuneration as discussed above.

The statutory combined federal, state, and city corporate tax rate is 45%. This amount is applied to the amount of estimated REIT taxable income retained (if any, and only up to 10% of ordinary income as all capital gain income is distributed) and to taxable income earned at the taxable subsidiaries. Thus, as a REIT, the Company's effective tax rate is significantly less as it is allowed to deduct dividend distributions.

## 15. LEASE COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company has a non-cancelable lease for office space, which commenced in May 2002 and was to expire in December 2009. The Company amended this lease to increase the amount of space it leases and extended it to December 2015. Merganser has a non-cancelable lease for office space, which commenced on May 2003 and expires in May 2014. The Company's aggregate future minimum lease payments total \$10.1 million. The following table details the lease payments, net of sub-lease receipts.

Year Ending December	Lease Commitment	Sublease Income (dollars in thousands)	Net Amount
2010	\$ 2,049	\$ 56	\$ 1,993
2011	2,120	-	2,120
2012	2,130	-	2,130
2013	2,170	-	2,170
Thereafter	1,677	-	1,677
	\$ 10,146	\$ 56	\$ 10,090

From time to time, the Company is involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements and therefore no accrual is required as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Merganser's prior owners may receive additional consideration as an earn-out during 2012 if Merganser meets specific performance goals under the merger agreement. The Company cannot currently calculate how much consideration will be paid under the earn-out provisions because the payment amount will vary depending upon whether and the extent to which Merganser achieves specific performance goals. Any amounts paid under this provision will be recorded as additional goodwill.

F-22

---



## 16. INTEREST RATE RISK

The primary market risk to the Company is interest rate risk. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond the Company's control. Changes in the general level of interest rates can affect net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred in connection with the interest-bearing liabilities, by affecting the spread between the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the level of interest rates also can affect the value of the Investment Securities and the Company's ability to realize gains from the sale of these assets. A decline in the value of the Investment Securities pledged as collateral for borrowings under repurchase agreements could result in the counterparties demanding additional collateral pledges or liquidation of some of the existing collateral to reduce borrowing levels. Liquidation of collateral at losses could have an adverse accounting impact, as discussed in Note 1.

The Company seeks to manage the extent to which net income changes as a function of changes in interest rates by matching adjustable-rate assets with variable-rate borrowings. The Company may seek to mitigate the potential impact on net income of periodic and lifetime coupon adjustment restrictions in the portfolio of Investment Securities by entering into interest rate agreements such as interest rate caps and interest rate swaps. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swaps to pay a fixed rate and receive a floating rate of interest, with a total notional amount of \$21.5 billion and \$17.6 billion, respectively.

Changes in interest rates may also have an effect on the rate of mortgage principal prepayments and, as a result, prepayments on Mortgage-Backed Securities. The Company will seek to mitigate the effect of changes in the mortgage principal repayment rate by balancing assets purchased at a premium with assets purchased at a discount. To date, the aggregate premium exceeds the aggregate discount on the Mortgage-Backed Securities. As a result, prepayments, which result in the expensing of unamortized premium, will reduce net income compared to what net income would be absent such prepayments.

## 17. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had lent \$259.0 million and \$562.1 million, respectively, to Chimera in a reverse repurchase agreement that is callable weekly. This amount is included in the principal amount which approximates fair value in the Company's Statement of Financial Condition. The interest rate at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was at the rate of 1.72% and 1.43%, respectively.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had \$8.8 billion of repurchase agreements outstanding with RCap. The weighted average interest rate is 0.35% and the terms are one to two months. These agreements are collateralized by agency mortgage backed securities, with an estimated market value of \$9.2 billion. For the year ended December 31, 2009, RCap had \$18.0 million in interest income from the Company.

On April 15, 2009, the Company purchased approximately 25.0 million shares of Chimera common stock at a price of \$3.00 for aggregate proceeds of approximately \$74.9 million. On May 27, 2009, the Company purchased approximately 4.7 million shares of Chimera common stock at a price of \$3.22 for aggregate proceeds of approximately \$15.2 million. On October 29, 2008, the Company purchased approximately 11.7 million shares of Chimera common stock at a price of \$2.25 per share for aggregate proceeds of approximately \$26.3 million. Chimera is managed by FIDAC, and the Company owns approximately 6.8% of Chimera's common stock.

## 18. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 9, 2010, the Company issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of its 4% convertible senior notes due 2015. Interest on the notes will be paid semi-annually at a rate of 4% per year and the notes will mature on February 15, 2015 unless earlier repurchased or converted. The notes will be convertible into shares of Annaly's

common stock. The notes will be convertible at an initial conversion rate of 46.6070 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$21.456 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances.

F-23

---

## 19. SUMMARIZED QUARTERLY RESULTS (UNAUDITED)

The following is a presentation of the quarterly results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2009.

	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2009
	(dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
Interest income				
Investments	\$716,015	\$710,401	\$744,523	\$751,560
Securities loaned	-	-	-	103
Total interest income	716,015	710,401	744,523	751,663
Interest expense				
Investments	378,625	322,596	307,777	286,672
Securities borrowed	-	-	-	92
Total interest expense	378,625	322,596	307,777	286,764
Net interest income	337,390	387,805	436,746	464,899
Other income (loss)				
Investment advisory and service fees	7,761	11,736	14,620	14,835
Gain on sale of Mortgage-Backed Securities	5,023	2,364	591	91,150
Dividend income from available-for-sale equity securities	918	3,221	5,398	7,647
Loss from Prime Broker	-	-	-	(13,613)
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps	35,545	230,207	(128,687)	212,456
Total other income (loss)	49,247	247,528	(108,078)	312,475
Expenses				
Distribution fees	428	432	478	418
General and administrative expenses	29,882	30,046	33,344	36,880
Total expenses	30,310	30,478	33,822	37,298
Income before loss on equity method investments and income taxes	356,327	604,855	294,846	740,076
Loss on equity method investment	-	-	-	252
Income taxes	6,434	7,801	9,657	10,489
Net income	349,893	597,054	285,189	729,335
Dividends on preferred stock	4,626	4,625	4,625	4,625
Net income available to common shareholders	\$345,267	\$592,429	\$280,564	\$724,710
Net income available per share to common shareholders:				

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Basic	\$0.64	\$1.09	\$0.51	\$1.31
Diluted	\$0.63	\$1.08	\$0.51	\$1.30

Weighted average number of common shares  
outstanding:

Basic	542,903,110	544,344,844	547,611,480	552,917,499
Diluted	548,551,328	550,099,709	553,376,285	559,332,320

F-24

---

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

The following is a presentation of the quarterly results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008.

	March 31, 2008	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008
	(dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
Interest income	\$791,128	\$773,359	\$810,659	\$740,282
Interest expense	537,606	442,251	458,250	450,805
Net interest income	253,522	331,108	352,409	289,477
Other income:				
Investment advisory and service fees	6,598	6,406	7,663	7,224
Gain (loss) on sale of Investment Securities	9,417	2,830	(1,066 )	(468 )
Income (loss) from trading securities	1,854	2,180	7,671	(2,010 )
Dividend income from available-for-sale equity securities	941	580	580	612
Loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities	-	-	(31,834 )	-
Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps	-	-	-	(768,268 )
Total other income (loss)	18,810	11,996	(16,986 )	(762,910 )
Expenses:				
Distribution fees	633	370	299	287
General and administrative expenses	23,995	27,215	25,455	26,957
Total expenses	24,628	27,585	25,754	27,244
Income (loss) before income taxes	247,704	315,519	309,669	(500,677 )
Income taxes	4,610	7,527	7,538	6,302
Net income (loss)	243,094	307,992	302,131	(506,979 )
Noncontrolling interest	58	-	-	-
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest	243,036	307,992	302,131	(506,979 )
Dividends on preferred stock	5,373	5,334	5,335	5,135
Net income (loss) available (related) to common shareholders	\$237,663	\$302,658	\$296,796	\$(512,114 )
Weighted average number of basic common shares outstanding	443,812,432	503,758,079	538,706,131	541,099,147
Weighted average number of diluted common shares outstanding	452,967,457	512,678,975	547,882,488	541,099,147
Net income available to common shareholders per average common share:				
Basic	\$0.54	\$0.60	\$0.55	\$(0.95 )
Diluted	\$0.53	\$0.59	\$0.54	\$(0.95 )



## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the city of New York, State of New York.

## ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.

Date: February 24, 2010

By: /s/ Michael A. J. Farrell  
 Michael A. J. Farrell  
 Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ KEVIN P. BRADY Kevin P. Brady	Director	February 24, 2010
/s/ KATHRYN F. FAGAN Kathryn F. Fagan	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (principal financial and accounting officer)	February 24, 2010
/s/ MICHAEL A.J. FARRELL Michael A. J. Farrell	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, President and Director (principal executive officer)	February 24, 2010
/s/ JONATHAN D. GREEN Jonathan D. Green	Director	February 24, 2010
/s/ MICHAEL E. HAYLON Michael E. Haylon	Director	February 24, 2010
/s/ JOHN A. LAMBIASE John A. Lambiase	Director	February 24, 2010
/s/ E. WAYNE NORDBERG E. Wayne Nordberg	Director	February 24, 2010
/s/ DONNELL A. SEGALAS Donnell A. Segalas	Director	February 24, 2010
/s/ WELLINGTON DENAHAN-NORRIS Wellington Denahan-Norris	Vice Chairman of the Board, Chief Investment Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Director	February 24, 2010