

STEWART INFORMATION SERVICES CORP

Form 10-Q

May 04, 2011

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011**

**or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 001-02658**

**STEWART INFORMATION SERVICES CORPORATION**  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**74-1677330**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**1980 Post Oak Blvd., Houston TX**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**77056**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(713) 625-8100**

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting  
company

(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

On April 30, 2011, the following shares of each of the issuer's classes of common stock were outstanding:

Edgar Filing: STEWART INFORMATION SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Common, \$1 par value	18,196,983
Class B Common, \$1 par value	1,050,012

---

**FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT  
QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2011  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>1. Financial Statements</u>	1
<u>2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	12
<u>3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	22
<u>4. Controls and Procedures</u>	22
<b><u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>1. Legal Proceedings</u>	23
<u>1A. Risk Factors</u>	25
<u>5. Other Information</u>	25
<u>6. Exhibits</u>	25
<u>Signature</u>	26
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-31.3</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.3</u>	

As used in this report, we, us, our, the Company and Stewart mean Stewart Information Services Corporation and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.

---

**Table of Contents****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS**

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(\$000 omitted, except per share)	
<b>Revenues</b>		
Title insurance:		
Direct operations	139,230	129,505
Agency operations	191,809	202,571
Real estate information	31,385	11,542
Investment income	3,861	4,782
Investment and other gains net	132	2,913
	366,417	351,313
<b>Expenses</b>		
Amounts retained by agencies	158,447	168,735
Employee costs	117,926	114,103
Other operating expenses	59,129	64,387
Title losses and related claims	31,200	26,337
Depreciation and amortization	4,830	5,936
Interest	1,278	1,558
	372,810	381,056
Loss before taxes and noncontrolling interests	(6,393)	(29,743)
Income tax expense (benefit)	3,131	(1,538)
Net loss	(9,524)	(28,205)
Less net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	769	758
<b>Net loss attributable to Stewart</b>	<b>(10,293)</b>	<b>(28,963)</b>
<b>Comprehensive loss:</b>		
Net loss	(9,524)	(28,205)
Other comprehensive (loss) earnings, net of taxes of \$1,161 and \$1,484	(389)	1,990
Comprehensive loss	(9,913)	(26,215)
Less comprehensive earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	769	758
Comprehensive loss attributable to Stewart	(10,682)	(26,973)

Basic and diluted average shares outstanding (000)	18,829	18,257
Basic and dilutive loss per share attributable to Stewart	(0.55)	(1.59)

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 1 -

---

**Table of Contents****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	As of March 31,2011	As of December 31, 2010
	(\$000 omitted)	
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	103,842	144,564
Cash and cash equivalents statutory reserve funds	8,028	9,926
	111,870	154,490
Short-term investments	32,789	33,457
Investments in debt and equity securities available-for-sale, at fair value:		
Statutory reserve funds	392,174	396,317
Other	60,174	54,007
	452,348	450,324
Receivables:		
Notes	10,332	10,747
Premiums from agencies	40,151	45,399
Income taxes	6,778	651
Other	53,716	41,323
Allowance for uncollectible amounts	(20,038)	(19,438)
	90,939	78,682
Property and equipment, at cost		
Land	6,469	6,445
Buildings	23,844	23,769
Furniture and equipment	251,414	250,355
Accumulated depreciation	(221,437)	(219,000)
	60,290	61,569
Title plants, at cost	77,450	77,397
Real estate, at lower of cost or net realizable value	2,433	3,266
Investments in investees, on an equity method basis	16,979	17,608
Goodwill	206,861	206,861
Intangible assets, net of amortization	7,967	8,228
Other assets	54,396	49,324
	1,114,322	1,141,206
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Notes payable	9,117	8,784
Convertible senior notes	64,382	64,338
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	70,495	95,666

Edgar Filing: STEWART INFORMATION SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Estimated title losses	493,240	495,849
Deferred income taxes	31,426	28,236
	668,660	692,873
Contingent liabilities and commitments		
<b>Stockholders' equity</b>		
Common and Class B Common Stock and additional paid-in capital	151,711	143,264
Retained earnings	272,373	282,666
Accumulated other comprehensive earnings	13,221	13,610
Treasury stock 476,227 common shares, at cost	(4,330)	(4,330)
Stockholders' equity attributable to Stewart	432,975	435,210
Noncontrolling interests	12,687	13,123
Total stockholders' equity (19,122,929 and 18,375,058 shares outstanding)	445,662	448,333
	1,114,322	1,141,206

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 2 -

---



**Table of Contents****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011                      2010 (\$000 omitted)	
Reconciliation of net loss to cash (used) provided by operating activities:		
Net loss	(9,524)	(28,205)
Add (deduct):		
Depreciation and amortization	4,830	5,936
Provision for bad debt	996	1,445
Investment and other gains net	(132)	(2,913)
Payments for title losses in excess of provisions	(5,099)	(11,718)
Insurance recoveries of title losses	1,581	4,823
(Increase) decrease in receivables net	(8,677)	57,444
Increase in other assets net	(2,501)	(736)
Decrease in payables and accrued liabilities net	(23,742)	(13,664)
Increase (decrease) in net deferred income taxes	2,030	(809)
Net (earnings) loss from equity investees	(69)	238
Dividends received from equity investees	717	470
Other net	958	1,292
<b>Cash (used) provided by operating activities</b>	<b>(38,632)</b>	<b>13,603</b>
Investing activities:		
Proceeds from investments available-for-sale matured and sold	22,267	58,042
Purchases of investments available-for-sale	(21,531)	(44,949)
Proceeds from redemptions of investments pledged		9,275
Purchases of property and equipment and title plants net	(4,249)	(2,914)
Increases in notes receivable	(180)	(73)
Collections on notes receivable	538	166
Change in cash and cash equivalents due to sale and deconsolidation of subsidiaries (see below)		(1,844)
Cash paid for acquisitions of subsidiaries and other net		(8)
Net cash received for other assets, cost-basis investments, equity investees and other	58	
<b>Cash (used) provided by investing activities</b>	<b>(3,097)</b>	<b>17,695</b>
Financing activities:		
Payments on notes payable	(1,143)	(2,161)
Payments on line of credit		(9,628)
Proceeds from notes payable	500	114
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(1,251)	(1,003)
<b>Cash used by financing activities</b>	<b>(1,894)</b>	<b>(12,678)</b>
Effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates	1,003	65
<b>(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>(42,620)</b>	<b>18,685</b>

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	154,490	116,100
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>111,870</b>	<b>134,785</b>
Supplemental information:		
Settlement of wage and hour litigation through issuance of Common Stock	7,582	
Changes in financial statement amounts due to sale and deconsolidation of subsidiaries:		
Note receivable		2,500
Investments in investees, on an equity method basis		5,316
Goodwill		(5,831)
Title plants		(1,048)
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation		(1,560)
Intangible asset, net of amortization		2,827
Other net		(878)
Liabilities		1,344
Noncontrolling interests		336
Investment and other gains net		(1,162)
Change in cash and cash equivalents due to sale and deconsolidation of Subsidiaries		1,844

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 1**

**Interim financial statements.** The financial information contained in this report for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and as of March 31, 2011, is unaudited. This report should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

**A. Management's responsibility.** The accompanying interim financial statements were prepared by management, who is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. These financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), including management's best judgments and estimates. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of this information for all interim periods, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, have been made. The Company's results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year and actual results could differ from those estimates.

**B. Consolidation.** The condensed consolidated financial statements include all subsidiaries in which the Company owns more than 50% voting rights in electing directors. All significant intercompany amounts and transactions have been eliminated and provisions have been made for noncontrolling interests. Unconsolidated investees, in which the Company typically owns 20% through 50% of the equity, are accounted for by the equity method.

**C. Reclassifications.** Certain amounts in the 2010 interim financial statements have been reclassified for comparative purposes. Net losses, as previously reported, were not affected.

**NOTE 2**

**Investments in debt and equity securities.** The amortized costs and fair values follow:

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amortized costs	Fair values	Amortized costs	Fair values
	(\$000 omitted)			
Debt securities:				
Municipal	36,582	37,109	39,589	40,185
Corporate and utilities	228,068	228,080	228,270	229,972
Foreign	160,350	161,376	155,977	157,745
U.S. Government	19,414	20,841	20,792	22,422
Equity securities	5,005	4,942		
	449,419	452,348	444,628	450,324

Gross unrealized gains and losses were:

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Gains	Losses	Gains	Losses
	(\$000 omitted)			
Debt securities:				
Municipal	1,016	489	1,235	639
Corporate and utilities	3,972	3,961	4,574	2,872
Foreign	1,469	443	1,861	93
U.S. Government	1,428	2	1,634	4
Equity securities	17	78		
	7,902	4,973	9,304	3,608

**Table of Contents**

Debt securities as of March 31, 2011 mature, according to their contractual terms, as follows (actual maturities may differ due to call or prepayment rights):

	Amortized costs (\$000 omitted)	Fair values
In one year or less	37,179	37,394
After one year through five years	187,883	189,547
After five years through ten years	174,752	175,247
After ten years	44,600	45,218
	444,414	447,406

As of March 31, 2011, gross unrealized losses on investments and the fair values of the related securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, were:

	Less than 12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Losses	Fair values	Losses	Fair values (\$000 omitted)	Losses	Fair values
Debt securities:						
Municipal	489	13,804			489	13,804
Corporate and utilities	3,956	114,973	4	233	3,961	115,206
Foreign	443	103,610			443	103,610
U.S. Government	1	3,177	1	120	2	3,297
Equity securities	79	3,676			78	3,676
	4,968	239,240	5	353	4,973	239,593

The unrealized loss positions were primarily caused by interest rate fluctuations. The number of investments in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011 was 94. Since the Company does not intend to sell and will more-likely-than-not maintain each debt security until its anticipated recovery, and no significant credit risk is deemed to exist, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

As of December 31, 2010, gross unrealized losses on investments and the fair values of the related securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, were:

	Less than 12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Losses	Fair values	Losses	Fair values (\$000 omitted)	Losses	Fair values
Debt securities:						
Municipal	638	14,391	1	25	639	14,416
Corporate and utilities	2,868	95,354	4	235	2,872	95,589
Foreign	93	55,773			93	55,773
U.S. Government	4	3,711			4	3,711
	3,603	169,229	5	260	3,608	169,489



**Table of Contents**

The Company believes its investment portfolio is diversified and expects no material loss to result from the failure to perform by issuers of the debt securities it holds. Investments made by the Company are not collateralized. Foreign debt securities primarily include Canadian government bonds and United Kingdom treasury bonds.

**NOTE 3**

**Fair value measurements.** The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal, or most advantageous, market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Fair Value Measurements Topic establishes a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of observable inputs when possible. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data; and

Level 3 unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair values of the assets or liabilities, including certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

As of March 31, 2011, financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Level 1	Level 2 (\$000 omitted)	Fair value measurements
Short-term investments	32,789		32,789
Investments available-for-sale:			
Debt securities:			
Municipal		37,109	37,109
Corporate and utilities		228,080	228,080
Foreign	161,376		161,376
U.S. Government	20,841		20,841
Equity securities	4,942		4,942
	219,948	265,189	485,137

As of March 31, 2011, Level 1 financial instruments consist of short-term investments, U.S. and foreign government bonds and equity securities. Level 2 financial instruments consist of municipal and corporate bonds. The municipal bonds are valued using a third-party pricing service, and the corporate bonds are valued using actual transaction levels, independent broker/dealer quotes or information, or a combination thereof. When no relevant broker/dealer information can be obtained, the third-party service price will be used. The third-party pricing service for both municipal and corporate bonds determines a consensus price derived from prices provided by various broker/dealers that meet certain statistical requirements within a predefined statistical deviation. If a consensus price cannot be determined, then, by using a recognized pricing model, a theoretical value, based on where similar bonds, as defined by credit quality and market sector have traded, is used.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 4**

**Investment income.** Gross realized investment and other gains and losses follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011            2010 (\$000 omitted)	
Realized gains	851	3,194
Realized losses	(719)	(281)
	132	2,913

Expenses assignable to investment income were insignificant. There were no significant investments as of March 31, 2011 that did not produce income during the year.

Proceeds from the sales of investments available-for-sale follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011            2010 (\$000 omitted)	
Proceeds from sales of investments available-for-sale	15,789	50,743

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, investment and other gains net included realized gains of \$1.2 million from the sale of debt and equity investments available-for-sale, \$1.2 million from the sale of interests in subsidiaries and \$0.5 million from the change in fair value for the cash settlement option related to the convertible senior notes.

**NOTE 5**

**Share-based incentives.** The Company accounts for its stock option plan in accordance with the Compensation Stock Compensation Topic of the FASB ASC and uses the modified prospective method under which share-based compensation expense is recognized for new share-based awards granted, and any outstanding awards that are modified, repurchased or canceled subsequent to January 1, 2006. Compensation expense is based on the fair value of the options, which is estimated using the Black-Scholes Model. All options expire 10 years from the date of grant and are granted at the closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. There are no unvested awards since all options are immediately exercisable.

There were no options granted for option awards during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and, accordingly, no compensation expense has been reflected in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of the Company's stock option plan follows:

	Options	Weighted- average exercise prices (\$)
December 31, 2010	183,700	23.80
Forfeited	(25,000)	20.01
March 31, 2011	158,700	24.39

As of March 31, 2011, the weighted-average remaining contractual life of options outstanding was 2.4 years and there was no aggregate intrinsic value of dilutive options.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company granted 51,000 shares of fully vested, unrestricted Common Stock with a fair value of \$0.6 million, which was recorded as compensation expense. During the same period, the Company also granted 37,000 shares of restricted Common Stock with a fair value of \$0.4 million. The restricted Common Stock awards will vest at 20% over five years beginning March 10, 2012. Compensation expense associated with restricted stock awards will be recognized over this vesting period.

**NOTE 6**

**Earnings per share.** The Company's basic earnings per share attributable to Stewart was calculated by dividing net loss attributable to Stewart by the weighted-average number of shares of Common Stock and Class B Common Stock outstanding during the reporting periods.

To calculate diluted earnings per share, net income and number of shares are adjusted for the effects of any dilutive shares. Using the if-converted method, net income is adjusted for interest expense, net of any tax effects, applicable to the Convertible Senior Notes. The number of shares is adjusted by adding the number of dilutive shares, assuming they are issued, during the same reporting period. The treasury stock method is used to calculate the dilutive number of shares related to the Company's stock option plan.

As the Company reported a net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no calculations of diluted per share amounts under the treasury stock method.

Also, since the Company reported a net loss after adjustments related to the if-converted method for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no calculations of diluted per share amounts.

**NOTE 7**

**Contingent liabilities and commitments.** As of March 31, 2011, the Company was contingently liable for guarantees of indebtedness owed primarily to banks and others by certain third parties. The guarantees primarily relate to business expansion and expire no later than 2019. As of March 31, 2011, the maximum potential future payments on the guarantees amounted to \$5.4 million. Management believes that the related underlying assets and available collateral, primarily corporate stock and title plants, would enable the Company to recover any amounts paid under the guarantees. The Company believes no reserve is needed since no payment is expected on these guarantees.



**Table of Contents**

In the ordinary course of business the Company guarantees the third-party indebtedness of certain of its consolidated subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2011, the maximum potential future payments on the guarantees were not more than the related notes payable recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. The Company also guarantees the indebtedness related to lease obligations of certain of its consolidated subsidiaries. The maximum future obligations arising from these lease-related guarantees are not more than the Company's future minimum lease payments. In addition, as of March 31, 2011 the Company had unused letters of credit amounting to \$3.5 million, primarily related to performance bonds and workers' compensation self-insurance coverage.

**NOTE 8**

**Segment information.** The Company's two reportable segments are title insurance-related services (Title) and real estate information (REI). Selected statement of operations information related to these segments follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011                  2010 (\$000 omitted)	
Revenues:		
Title	335,032	339,771
REI	31,385	11,542
	366,417	351,313
Intersegment revenues:		
Title	34	62
REI	659	553
	693	615
Depreciation and amortization:		
Title	4,221	5,217
REI	609	719
	4,830	5,936
(Loss) earnings before taxes and noncontrolling interests:		
Title	(23,310)	(31,199)
REI	16,917	1,456
	(6,393)	(29,743)

Selected balance sheet information as of March 31 and December 31, respectively, related to these segments follows:

	2011	2010
	(\$000 omitted)	
Identifiable assets:		
Title	1,049,947	1,082,083
REI	64,375	59,123

1,114,322

1,141,206

- 9 -

---

**Table of Contents**

Revenues generated in the United States and all international operations follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(\$000 omitted)	
United States	346,451	333,847
International	19,966	17,466
	366,417	351,313

**NOTE 9**

**Regulatory and legal developments.** Stewart Title Guaranty Company (STGC) and Stewart Title Guaranty de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (STGM) are defendants in a lawsuit pending in the State District Court of Harris County, Texas, *Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. v. Stewart Title Guaranty Company*. The lawsuit was filed in 2008 and concerns 16 owners' and 16 lenders' title insurance policies on 16 parcels of land in Mexico issued by STGM and reinsurance agreements by STGC. Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. asserted claims against STGC under reinsurance of the lenders' policies. Thereafter, K.R. Playa VI, S de R.L. de C.V., the owner of the parcels, asserted claims against STGC and under the owners' policies. In the second quarter of 2010, the State District Court ruled that it had jurisdiction over STGM and denied STGM's plea in abatement requesting a stay of the lawsuit in Harris County pending the determination of the Mexican courts.

The lawsuit was tried on breach of the title insurance policies and reinsurance agreements. A jury trial began February 15, 2011. After a 10 week trial, the jury returned a verdict of no damages, favorable to STGC and STGM on April 29, 2011. Post-verdict motions remain to be heard prior to the entry of a final judgment.

In January 2009, an action was filed by individuals against Stewart Title Guaranty Company, Stewart Title of California, Inc., Cuesta Title Company and others in the Superior Court of California for the County of San Luis Obispo alleging that the plaintiffs have suffered damages relating to loans they made through Hurst Financial Corporation to an individual named Kelly Gearhart and entities controlled by Gearhart. Thereafter, several other lawsuits making similar allegations, including a lawsuit filed by several hundred individuals, were filed in San Luis Obispo Superior Court, and one such lawsuit was removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The defendants vary from case to case but Stewart Information Services Corporation, Stewart Title Company and Stewart Title Insurance Company have also each been sued in at least one of the cases. Each of the complaints alleges some combination of the following purported causes of action: breach of contract, negligence, fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, constructive fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, financial elder abuse, violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, negligent misrepresentation, conversion, conspiracy, alter ego, specific performance and declaratory relief. The Company has demurred to or moved to dismiss the complaints in the actions where responses to the complaints have been due. Although the San Luis Obispo Superior Court has sustained demurrers to certain causes of action and certain individuals and entities and dismissed Stewart Information Services Corporation from one case without leave to amend, the Court has overruled the demurrers as to some causes of action. The United States District Court for the Central District of California granted the Company's motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint as to the claim for violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, with prejudice, and remanded the remainder of the case to the San Luis Obispo Superior Court. Discovery has commenced. Several of the cases were the subject of a court-ordered mediation on February 21-22, 2011. The mediation was adjourned without reaching a settlement, but the mediation process remains open and may be resumed in the future. The Company filed a motion to coordinate the cases for pretrial purposes, which was heard on April 14, 2011.

**Table of Contents**

At the hearing, the Court issued a minute order assigning all the cases to a single judge and directed the parties to submit a proposed order governing other aspects of pretrial coordination. No trial dates have been set. The Company intends to vigorously defend itself against the allegations and does not believe that the outcome of these matters will materially affect its consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In February 2008, an antitrust class action was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York against Stewart Title Insurance Company, Monroe Title Insurance Corporation, Stewart Information Services Corporation, several other unaffiliated title insurance companies and the Title Insurance Rate Service Association, Inc. (TIRSA). The complaint alleges that the defendants violated Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act by collectively filing proposed rates for title insurance in New York through TIRSA, a state-authorized and licensed rate service organization.

Complaints were subsequently filed in the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York and in the United States District Courts in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Ohio, Florida, Massachusetts, Arkansas, California, Washington, West Virginia, Texas and Delaware. All of the complaints make similar class action allegations, except that certain of the complaints also allege violations of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and various state antitrust and consumer protection laws. The complaints generally request treble damages in unspecified amounts, declaratory and injunctive relief and attorneys' fees. To date, 78 such complaints have been filed, each of which names the Company and/or one or more of its affiliates as a defendant (and have been consolidated in the aforementioned states), of which seven have been voluntarily dismissed.

As of April 12, 2011, the Company has obtained dismissals of the claims in Arkansas, California, Delaware, Florida, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania (where the court dismissed the damages claims and granted defendants summary judgment on the injunctive claims), Texas and Washington. The Company filed a motion to dismiss in West Virginia (where all proceedings have been stayed and the docket closed). The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal in Ohio to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit and the dismissals in Delaware, New Jersey and Pennsylvania to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. The dismissals in New York and Texas have been affirmed by the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second and Fifth Circuits, respectively, and on October 4, 2010, the United States Supreme Court denied the plaintiffs' petitions for review of those decisions. The plaintiffs have appealed to the Second Circuit the dismissal of the RESPA claims by the court in New York. Although the Company cannot predict the outcome of these actions, it intends to vigorously defend itself against the allegations and does not believe that the outcome will materially affect its consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

The Company is also subject to other claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of its business, most of which involve disputed policy claims. In some of these lawsuits, the plaintiff seeks exemplary or treble damages in excess of policy limits. The Company does not expect that any of these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition or results of operations. Along with the other major title insurance companies, the Company is party to a number of class action lawsuits concerning the title insurance industry. The Company believes that it has adequate reserves for the various litigation matters and contingencies discussed above and that the likely resolution of these matters will not materially affect its consolidated financial condition or results of operations. The Company is subject to administrative actions and litigation relating to the basis on which premium taxes are paid in certain states. Additionally, the Company has received various other inquiries from governmental regulators concerning practices in the insurance industry. Many of these practices do not concern title insurance. The Company believes that it has adequately reserved for these matters and does not anticipate that the outcome of these inquiries will materially affect its consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

The Company is also subject to various other administrative actions and inquiries into its business conduct in certain of the states in which it operates. While the Company cannot predict the outcome of the various regulatory and administrative matters, it believes that it has adequately reserved for these matters and does not anticipate that the outcome of any of these matters will materially affect its consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**MANAGEMENT'S OVERVIEW**

We reported a net loss attributable to Stewart of \$10.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared with a net loss attributable to Stewart of \$29.0 million for the same period in 2010. On a basic and diluted per share basis, our net loss attributable to Stewart was \$0.55 for the first three months of 2011 compared with a net loss attributable to Stewart of \$1.59 for the first three months of 2010. Revenues were \$366.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared with \$351.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Although the first quarter is historically a slow three months in the real estate industry, with limited home sales activity and commercial transactions, results from operations improved significantly in the first quarter 2011 due to increased home sales and commercial transactions and fewer refinancing transactions. By comparison, the first quarter 2010 had high refinancing activity and augmented home sales due to the \$8,000 homebuyer tax credit that was originally set to expire in June 2010, somewhat offset by delays in closings related to implementation of a new HUD-1 Settlement Statement.

Total revenues increased 4.3% in the first quarter 2011 compared with the same period in 2010, but were down 18.5% sequentially from the fourth quarter 2010. Total expenses decreased 2.2% and 14.0% from the first and fourth quarters 2010, respectively.

Our Real Estate Information services segment (REI) achieved significant growth and profitability. REI revenues increased 171.9% and 34.7%, respectively, from the first and fourth quarters 2010. REI pre-tax profit was \$16.9 million in the first quarter 2011 compared to \$1.5 million in the same quarter of 2010 and rose 55.5% sequentially from the fourth quarter 2010.

Total title revenues in the first quarter 2011 decreased 0.3% compared with the same quarter in 2010 and declined 19.7% sequentially from the fourth quarter 2010. The decline resulted predominantly from a significant reduction in refinance activity as mortgage interest rates rose almost 100 basis points from mid-November 2010 to the end of March 2011 after remaining at historically low levels for most of 2010. As a result, there was an estimated \$156 billion in total U.S. residential refinance activity in the first quarter 2011 compared to \$198 billion in the first quarter 2010, a reduction of 21.3%. Revenues from direct operations for the first quarter 2011 increased 7.5% compared to the same quarter in 2010 and declined 19.9% compared to the fourth quarter 2010.

Losses from title policy claims increased 18.5% for the first quarter 2011 compared to the same quarter 2010 and decreased 31.6% compared to the fourth quarter 2010. As a percentage of title revenues, title losses were 9.4%, 7.9% and 11.1% in the first quarter 2011, first quarter 2010 and the fourth quarter 2010, respectively. The first quarter 2011 included charges of \$5.7 million relating primarily to changes associated with legal costs for the Citigroup matter (see Item 1, Legal Proceedings), and the fourth quarter 2010 included a \$5.1 million reserve strengthening charge.

Excluding the impact of the reserve strengthening charges and large losses in these periods, title losses were 7.7% in the first quarters 2011 and 2010 and 9.8% in the fourth quarter 2010. Cash claim payments decreased 5.6% from the first quarter 2010 and 7.0% from the fourth quarter 2010, resulting in a return to a lower provisioning rate for title losses from the year 2010 level. We experienced no agency defalcation losses individually exceeding \$1.0 million this quarter and only five such claims have been reported (averaging less than \$1.5 million each) in the previous seven quarters.

**Table of Contents**

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

Actual results can differ from our accounting estimates. While we do not anticipate significant changes in our estimates, there is a risk that such changes could have a material impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations for future periods.

**Title loss reserves**

Our most critical accounting estimate is providing for title losses associated with issued title insurance policies. Our liability for estimated title losses as of March 31, 2011 comprises both known claims (\$141.3 million) and our estimate of claims that may be reported in the future (\$351.9 million). The amount of the reserve represents the aggregate future payments (net of recoveries recognized) that we expect to incur on policy and escrow losses and in costs to settle claims.

Provisions for title losses, as a percentage of title operating revenues, were 9.4% and 7.9% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Actual loss payment experience, including the impact of payments on large losses as well as changes in estimates for large losses, is the primary reason for increases or decreases in our loss provision. A change of 100 basis points in this percentage, a reasonably likely scenario based on our historical loss experience, would have increased or decreased our provision for title losses and pretax operating results approximately \$3.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Our method for recording the reserves for title losses on both an interim and annual basis begins with the calculation of our current loss provision rate, which is applied to our current premiums resulting in a title loss expense for the period. This loss provision rate is set to provide for losses on current year policies, including the costs of administering, investigating, and/or defending claims, and is determined using moving average ratios of recent actual policy loss payment experience (net of recoveries recognized) to premium revenues.

At each quarter end, our recorded reserve for title losses begins with the prior period's reserve balance for claim losses, adds the current period provision to that balance and subtracts actual paid claims, resulting in an amount that our management compares to its actuarially-based calculation of the ending reserve balance to provide for future title losses. The actuarially-based calculation is a paid loss development calculation where loss development factors are selected based on company data and input from our third-party actuaries. We also obtain input from third-party actuaries in the form of a reserve analysis utilizing generally accepted actuarial methods. While we are responsible for determining our loss reserves, we utilize this actuarial input to assess the overall reasonableness of our reserve estimation. If our recorded reserve amount is within a reasonable range (+/- 3.0%) of our actuarially-based reserve calculation and the actuary's point estimate, but not at the point estimate, our management assesses the major factors contributing to the different reserve estimates in order to determine the overall reasonableness of our recorded reserve, as well as the position of the recorded reserves relative to the point estimate and the estimated range of reserves. The major factors considered can change from period to period and include items such as current trends in the real estate industry (which management can assess although there is a time lag in the development of this data for use by the actuary), the size and types of claims reported and changes in our claims management process. If the recorded amount is not within a reasonable range of our third-party actuary's point estimate, we will adjust the recorded reserves in the current period and reassess the provision rate on a prospective basis. Once our reserve for title losses is recorded, it is reduced in future periods as a result of claims payments and may be increased or reduced by revisions to our estimate of the overall level of required reserves.

Large claims (those exceeding \$1.0 million on a single claim), including large title losses due to independent agency defalcations, are analyzed and reserved for separately due to the higher dollar amount of loss, lower volume of claims reported and sporadic reporting of such claims. Large title losses due to independent agency defalcations typically occur when the independent agency misappropriates funds from escrow accounts under its control.

**Table of Contents**

Such losses are usually discovered when the independent agency fails to pay off an outstanding mortgage loan at closing (or immediately thereafter) from the proceeds of the new loan. Once the previous lender determines that its loan has not been paid off timely, it will file a claim against the title insurer. It is at this point that the title insurance underwriter is alerted to the potential theft and begins its investigation. As is industry practice, these claims are considered a claim on the newly issued title insurance policy since such policy insures the holder (in this case, the new lender) that all previous liens on the property have been satisfied. Accordingly, these claim payments are charged to policy loss expense. These incurred losses are typically more severe in terms of dollar value compared with traditional title policy claims because the independent agency is often able over time to conceal misappropriation of escrow funds relating to more than one transaction through the constant volume of funds moving through its escrow accounts. As long as new funds continue to flow into escrow accounts, an independent agent can mask one or more defalcations. In declining real estate markets, lower transaction volumes result in a lower incoming volume of funds, making it more difficult to cover up the misappropriation with incoming funds. Thus, when the defalcation is discovered, it often relates to several transactions. In addition, the overall decline in an independent agency's revenues, profits and cash flows increases the agency's incentive to improperly utilize the escrow funds from real estate transactions.

Internal controls relating to independent agencies include, but are not limited to, pre-signing and periodic audits, site visits and reconciliations of policy inventories and premiums. The audits and site visits cover examination of the escrow account bank reconciliations and an examination of a sample of closed transactions. In some instances, we are limited in our scope by attorney agents who cite client confidentiality. Certain states have mandated a requirement for annual reviews of all agents by their underwriter. We also determine whether our independent agencies have appropriate internal controls as defined by the American Land Title Association and us. However, even with adequate internal controls in place, their effectiveness can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override at the independent agencies. To aid in the selection of agencies to review, we have developed an agency risk model that aggregates data from different areas to identify possible problems. This is not a guarantee that all agencies with deficiencies will be identified. In addition, we are typically not the only underwriter for which an independent agency issues policies, and agencies may not always provide complete financial records for our review.

Due to the inherent uncertainty in predicting future title policy losses, significant judgment is required by both our management and our third party actuaries in estimating reserves. As a consequence, our ultimate liability may be materially greater or less than current reserves and/or our third party actuary's calculated estimate.

**Agency revenues**

We recognize revenues on title insurance policies written by independent agencies (agencies) when the policies are reported to us. In addition, where reasonable estimates can be made, we accrue for revenues on policies issued but not reported until after period end. We believe that reasonable estimates can be made when recent and consistent policy issuance information is available. Our estimates are based on historical reporting patterns and other information about our agencies. We also consider current trends in our direct operations and in the title industry. In this accrual, we are not estimating future transactions. We are estimating revenues on policies that have already been issued by agencies but not yet reported to or received by us. We have consistently followed the same basic method of estimating unreported policy revenues for more than 10 years.

Our accruals for revenues on unreported policies from agencies were not material to our consolidated assets or stockholders' equity as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The differences between the amounts our agencies have subsequently reported to us compared to our estimated accruals are substantially offset by any differences arising from prior years' accruals and have been immaterial to consolidated assets and stockholders' equity during each of the three prior years. We believe our process provides the most reliable estimate of the unreported revenues on policies and appropriately reflects the trends in agency policy activity.

- 14 -

**Table of Contents**

**Goodwill and other long-lived assets**

Our evaluation of goodwill is normally completed annually in the third quarter using June 30 balances, but an evaluation may also be made whenever events may indicate impairment. This evaluation is based on a combination of a discounted cash flow analysis (DCF) and market approaches that incorporate market multiples of comparable companies and our own market capitalization. The DCF model utilizes historical and projected operating results and cash flows, initially driven by estimates of changes in future revenue levels, and risk-adjusted discount rates. Our projected operating results are primarily driven by anticipated mortgage originations, which we obtain from projections by industry experts. Fluctuations in revenues, followed by our ability to appropriately adjust our employee count and other operating expenses, are the primary reasons for increases or decreases in our projected operating results. Our market-based valuation methodologies utilize (i) market multiples of earnings and/or other operating metrics of comparable companies and (ii) our market capitalization and a control premium based on market data and factors specific to our ownership and corporate governance structure (such as our Class B Common Stock). To the extent that our future operating results are below our projections, or in the event of continued adverse market conditions, an interim review for impairment may be required, which may result in an impairment of goodwill. We evaluate goodwill separately for our two reporting units (Title and REI). Goodwill is assigned to these reporting units at the time the goodwill is initially recorded. Once assigned to a reporting unit, the goodwill is pooled and no longer attributable to a specific acquisition. All activities within a reporting unit are available to support the carrying value of the goodwill.

We also evaluate the carrying values of title plants and other long-lived assets when events occur that may indicate impairment. The process of determining impairment for our goodwill and other long-lived assets relies on projections of future cash flows, operating results, discount rates and overall market conditions, including our market capitalization. Uncertainties exist in these projections and they are subject to changes relating to factors such as interest rates and overall real estate and financial market conditions, our market capitalization and overall stock market performance. Actual market conditions and operating results may vary materially from our projections. Based on this evaluation, we estimate and expense to current operations any loss in value of these assets. As part of our process, we obtain input from third-party appraisers regarding the fair value of our reporting units. While we are responsible for assessing whether an impairment of goodwill exists, we utilize the input from third-party appraisers to assess the overall reasonableness of our conclusions. There were no impairment write-offs of goodwill or other long-lived assets during the three months ended March 31, 2011 or 2010.

**Operations.** Our business has two main operating segments: title insurance-related services and real estate information (REI). These segments are closely related due to the nature of their operations and common customers. Our primary business is title insurance and settlement-related services. We close transactions and issue title policies on homes and commercial and other real properties located in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and international markets through policy-issuing offices and agencies. Our REI segment provides electronic delivery of data, products and services related to real estate and mortgage loss mitigation, default services, post-closing services, automated county clerk land records, property ownership mapping, geographic information systems, property information reports, document preparation, background checks and expertise in Internal Revenue Code Section 1031 tax-deferred property exchanges.



**Table of Contents**

**Factors affecting revenues.** The principal factors that contribute to changes in operating revenues for our title and REI segments include:

mortgage interest rates;

ratio of purchase transactions compared with refinance transactions;

ratio of closed orders to open orders;

home prices;

volume of distressed property transactions;

consumer confidence;

demand by buyers;

number of households;

availability of loans for borrowers;

premium rates;

market share;

opening of new offices and acquisitions;

number of commercial transactions, which typically yield higher premiums; and

government or regulatory initiatives, including tax incentives

To the extent inflation causes increases in the prices of homes and other real estate, premium revenues are also increased. Conversely, falling home prices cause premium revenues to decline. Premiums are determined in part by the insured values of the transactions we handle. These factors may override the seasonal nature of the title insurance business. Historically, our first quarter is the least active and our third and fourth quarters are the most active in terms of title insurance revenues.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Comparisons of our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 with the three months ended March 31, 2010 follow. Factors contributing to fluctuations in our results of operations are presented in the order of their monetary significance and we have quantified, when necessary, significant changes. Results from our REI segment are included in our discussions regarding the three months ended March 31, 2011 and when relevant, we have discussed REI segment's results separately.

Our statements on home sales and loan activity are based on published industry data from sources including Fannie Mae, the National Association of Realtors®, the Mortgage Bankers Association and Freddie Mac. We also use information from our direct operations.

**Operating environment.** Data as of March 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 indicates annualized sales of new homes, seasonally adjusted, decreased 21.9%, and sales of existing homes, seasonally adjusted, decreased 6.3%. March 2011 existing home sales were a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 5.1 million versus 5.4 million a year earlier. New and existing home prices and sales transactions have declined since the expiration of the homebuyer tax credit in the second quarter of 2010; even though interest rates continue to be relatively low by historical standards, general economic conditions conducive to the housing market such as low unemployment, increasing household formation,

and a comparatively low inventory of unsold homes, are not present. In addition, rates have generally increased over the first quarter 2011 which could have a negative impact on transaction volumes. One-to-four family residential lending increased from an estimated \$303 billion in the first quarter of 2010 to \$473 billion in the fourth quarter of 2010 (most recent actual data available), primarily driven by an estimated \$170 billion increase in refinancing originations from the first quarter of 2010 to the fourth quarter of 2010 (most recent data available). Commercial lending activity industry-wide improved by 88% in the fourth quarter of 2010 (most recent data available) compared with the same period of 2009.

According to Fannie Mae and other industry experts, the real estate and related lending markets continue to face challenges due to weakened consumer confidence, partially resulting from high unemployment. Mortgage originations are forecasted to decrease from \$1.5 trillion in 2010 to \$1.0 trillion in 2011 primarily due to an expected reduction in refinance originations by 61%, or \$643 billion.

- 16 -

---

**Table of Contents**

**Title revenues.** Revenues from direct title operations increased \$9.7 million, or 7.5%, in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 primarily due to an increased number of closings and an increase in commercial and large transactions. The largest revenue increases were in Texas and California. These revenue increases were offset somewhat by decreases in New York. Revenues from commercial and other large transactions increased \$5.5 million, or 28.3%, in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010.

Direct orders closed increased 1.3% in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 and the average revenue per file closed increased 5.9% for the same period. Our increase in average revenue per closing is driven by a change in the type of order closed, with the first quarter of 2011 experiencing more large commercial closings, more residential sale transactions and fewer residential refinancings than in the first quarter of 2010. Also, the first quarter of 2010 was negatively impacted by revised and expanded HUD-1 rules. On average, refinance premium rates are 60% of the title premium revenue of a similarly priced sale transaction. Direct operating revenues, excluding large commercial policies, increased 3.5% in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010. The average revenue per closing, excluding large commercial policies, increased 2.2% in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010.

Revenues from independent agencies decreased \$10.8 million, or 5.3%, in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010. This decrease is largely due to the decline in transaction volumes from large agents as a result of fewer refinancing transactions occurring in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010. The largest decreases in revenues from agencies during the three months ended March 31, 2011 were in California, Florida, Virginia and New Jersey, partially offset by increases in New York.

Since the beginning of the downturn in real estate markets, the median home sale price has fallen 28.9% from August 2007 to March 31, 2011, which has resulted in lower premium revenue per resale closing. As a consequence, in 2009 we began a review of our premium rates in all states. Where possible, we are seeking to raise rates or to modify agency splits (to increase the percent of premium paid to the underwriter) to levels necessary to improve profitability from our agency operations. To date, we have increased title premium rates in 27 states and have increased remittance rates with our independent agencies in 38 states. As these efforts were ongoing during most of 2010, we have not yet realized a full year of increased remittances.

**REI revenues.** Real estate information operating revenues increased \$19.8 million, or 171.9%, in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily due to a significant increase in demand for our loan modification services. Demand for these services is dependent on the number and scale of governmental programs and lender projects and can fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter.

**Investment income.** Investment income decreased \$0.9 million, or 19.3%, in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010, primarily due to decreases in the average yield. Certain investment gains and losses, which are included in our results of operations in investment and other gains net, were realized as part of the ongoing management of our investment portfolio for the purpose of improving performance.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, investment and other gains net included realized gains of \$1.2 million from the sale of debt and equity investments available-for-sale, \$1.2 million from the sale of interests in subsidiaries and \$0.5 million from the change in fair value for the cash settlement option related to the convertible senior notes.

**Retention by agencies.** Amounts retained by title agencies are based on agreements between agencies and our title underwriters. The average retention percentage may vary from year-to-year due to the geographical mix of agency operations, the volume of title revenues and, in some states, laws or regulations. On average, amounts retained by independent agencies, as a percentage of revenues generated by them, were 82.6% and 83.3% in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

We actively increased remittance rates with many of our independent agencies, increasing the amount of premiums remitted by our independent agencies to our underwriters in 2010 and continuing into 2011. As these efforts were ongoing during most of 2010, we have not yet realized a full year of increased remittances. The fluctuation in remittance rates for the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 was also affected by the uneven recovery of real estate markets across the nation; those states with higher agency retention percentages experienced a disproportionate decrease in transaction activity in the first quarter of 2011. The geographic shifts in business activity may cause some quarter-to-quarter remittance rate fluctuation. However as the year progresses, the overall remittance rate should remain on an upward trajectory as we complete this nationwide transition to higher remittance levels. In addition, we expect the mix of agency business to normalize as real estate markets continue to stabilize nationally resulting in lower average retention percentages in the aggregate.

**Employee costs.** Our employee costs and certain other operating expenses are sensitive to inflation. Employee costs in the first quarter 2011 were down 2.3 percent sequentially from the fourth quarter 2010 and up 3.4 percent from the first quarter 2010. The increase in employee costs in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same quarter in the prior year corresponded to the increase in revenues, especially in the REI segment and affiliated direct and commercial operations. Headcount has declined by over 150 since March 31, 2010 and approximately 70 since year end 2010. We anticipate a continued reduction in employee costs in 2011 as we complete deployment of a new and more efficient title production system and begin implementation of our new underwriter policy and claims management system.

**Other operating expenses.** Other operating expenses include costs that are fixed in nature, costs that follow, to varying degrees, changes in transaction volumes and revenues and costs that fluctuate independently of revenues. Costs that are fixed in nature include attorney fees, equipment rental, insurance, professional fees, rent and other occupancy expenses, repairs and maintenance, technology costs, telephone and title plant rent. Costs that follow, to varying degrees, changes in transaction volumes and revenues include fee attorney splits, bad debt expenses, certain REI expenses, copy supplies, delivery fees, outside search fees, postage, premium taxes and title plant expenses. Costs that fluctuate independently of revenues include auto expenses, general supplies, litigation defense and settlement costs, promotion costs and travel.

In the first quarter of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010, other operating expenses for the combined business segments decreased \$5.3 million, or 8.2%. Costs fixed in nature decreased \$3.5 million, or 11.4%, in the first quarter of 2011, primarily due to decreases in insurance, rent and other occupancy expenses related to office closures in prior years, and other cost reduction efforts. Costs that follow, to varying degrees, changes in transaction volumes and revenues decreased \$0.7 million, or 3.1%, in the first quarter of 2011. This decrease was primarily related to decreases in bad debt expense, attorney fees and title plant expenses, partially offset by increases in premium taxes, postage and delivery. Costs that fluctuate independently of revenues decreased \$1.1 million, or 9.6%, in the first quarter of 2011, primarily due to a decrease in litigation-related costs.

**Title losses.** Provisions for title losses, as a percentage of title revenues, were 9.4% and 7.9% for the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The first quarter of 2011 included \$5.7 million related to changes associated with legal costs for existing large title claims, primarily the Citigroup matter discussed in note 9. Excluding the impact of large losses, title losses were 7.7% of title revenues for both periods. The fourth quarter 2010 included a \$5.1 million reserve strengthening charge. Provisions for title losses, as a percentage of title revenues, were 11.1% in the fourth quarter of 2010 and excluding the impact of the reserve strengthening charge in the period were 9.8%. Cash claim payments decreased 5.6% from the first quarter 2010 and 7.0% from the fourth quarter 2010, resulting in a return to a lower provisioning rate for title losses from the year 2010 level.

**Income taxes.** Our effective tax rates were (43.7%) and 5.0% for the first three months of 2011 and 2010, respectively, based on losses before taxes and after deducting earnings of noncontrolling interests, which aggregated \$7.2 million and \$30.5 million for the first three months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our effective income tax rate for the first three months of 2011 was impacted by a net \$2.8 million increase in the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance will be evaluated for reversal, subject to certain potential limitations, as we return to profitability.



**Table of Contents**

In addition, income tax expense recorded in the first three months of 2011 includes foreign, state and federal income taxes associated with subsidiary earnings that are not included in our U.S. consolidated federal tax return.

Our effective income tax rate for the first quarter of 2010 was impacted by a \$7.2 million increase in the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. The net income tax benefit recorded in the first quarter of 2010 is principally related to foreign tax benefit and is partially offset by state tax expense and U.S. federal income taxes associated with subsidiary earnings that are not included in our consolidated federal tax return.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Our liquidity and capital resources represent our ability to generate cash flow to meet our obligations to our shareholders, customers (payments to satisfy claims on title policies), vendors, employees, lenders and others. As of March 31, 2011, our cash and investments, including amounts reserved pursuant to statutory requirements, aggregated \$597.0 million.

A substantial majority of our consolidated cash and investments as of March 31, 2011 was held by Stewart Title Guaranty Company (Guaranty) and its subsidiaries. The use and investment of these funds, dividends to the holding company, and cash transfers between Guaranty and its subsidiaries and the holding company are subject to certain legal and regulatory restrictions. In general, Guaranty may use its cash and investments in excess of its legally-mandated statutory premium reserve (established in accordance with requirements under Texas law) to fund its insurance operations, including claims payments. Guaranty may also, subject to certain limitations and upon regulatory approval, pay dividends to the holding company and/or provide funds to its subsidiaries (whose operations consist principally of field title offices) for their operating and debt service needs.

A summary of our net consolidated cash flows for the three months ended March 31 follows:

	2011	2010
	(dollars in millions)	
Net cash (used) provided by operating activities	(38.6)	13.6
Net cash (used) provided by investing activities	(3.1)	17.7
Net cash used by financing activities	(1.9)	(12.7)

**Operating activities**

Our principal sources of cash from operations are premiums on title policies, title service-related transactions and loan modification services. Our independent agencies remit cash to us net of their contractual retention. Our principal cash expenditures for operations are employee costs, operating costs and title claims payments.

Our decreased cash flow from operations for the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the one-time receipt of a \$50.9 million income tax refund in the first quarter of 2010. Excluding the impact of this cash receipt, net cash used in operations was essentially the same as in the prior year. Although REI and revenues from direct title operations for the first quarter 2011 increased from the first quarter of 2010, payment for much of these services will not be received until the second quarter. Net agency revenues were essentially flat in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. However, improvements in our average cash remittance rate from 16.7% in the first quarter of 2010 to 17.4% in the first quarter of 2011 had a favorable impact on our cash flow. Cash payments on title claims were also improved with payments totaling \$35.9 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$38.1 million in the first quarter of 2010.

**Table of Contents**

Our business continues to be labor intensive, although we have made significant progress in automating our services. We have centralized order processing into Regional Production Centers, which allows us to more easily adjust staffing levels as order volumes fluctuate. There are typically delays between changes in market conditions and changes in staffing levels; therefore, employee costs do not change at the same rate as revenues change.

The insurance regulators of the states in which our underwriters are domiciled require our statutory premium reserves to be fully funded, segregated and invested in high-quality securities and short-term investments. As of March 31, 2011, cash and investments funding the statutory premium reserve aggregated \$400.2 million and our statutory estimate of claims that may be reported in the future totaled \$351.9 million. In addition to this restricted cash and investments, we had unrestricted cash and investments (excluding equity method investments) of \$117.4 million, which are available for underwriter operations, including claims payments.

**Investing activities**

Cash from investing activities was generated principally by proceeds from investments matured and sold in the amounts of \$22.3 million and \$58.0 million for the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. We used cash for the purchases of investments in the amounts of \$21.5 million and \$44.9 million for the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The cash from sales and maturities not reinvested was used principally to fund operations.

Capital expenditures were \$4.2 million and \$2.9 million for the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. We maintain investment in capital expenditures at a level that enables us to implement technologies increasing our operational and back-office efficiencies. Notwithstanding this, we also continue to aggressively manage cash flow and, therefore, overall capital spending will continue to be at relatively reduced levels.

**Financing activities and capital resources**

Total debt and stockholders' equity were \$73.4 million and \$445.7 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2011. We repaid \$1.1 million and \$2.2 million of debt in accordance with the underlying terms of the debt instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We also have available a \$10.0 million bank line of credit, expiring in June 2011, under which no borrowings were outstanding at March 31, 2011. We anticipate renewing the bank line of credit prior to its expiration.

As previously disclosed and in accordance with a settlement agreement in the amount of \$7.6 million, we issued 635,863 shares of Common Stock in January 2011 to settle our wage and hour class action lawsuits filed in California state and federal courts against our subsidiary Stewart Title California, Inc. We did not receive any proceeds from the issuance of these shares.

**Effect of changes in foreign currency rates**

The effect of changes in foreign currency rates on the consolidated statements of cash flows was a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$1.0 million for the first quarter of 2011 as compared to an increase of \$0.1 million for the first quarter of 2010. Our principal foreign operating unit is in Canada, and, on average, the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar increased during the first three months of 2011.

\*\*\*\*\*

Throughout 2010 and continuing in 2011, we have worked to increase title premium rates charged and premium remittance rates to our underwriters. As of March 31, 2011, we have increased title premium rates in 27 states and have increased remittance rates with our independent agencies in 38 states. In addition, we have continued to reduce our employee count and other operating costs.

- 20 -

**Table of Contents**

We anticipate improved operating results, and thus cash flow, in 2011 from the impact of these actions and from our REI businesses and will continue to seek rate increases or modify agency splits where appropriate, as well as aggressively seek opportunities to lower operating costs. We will also continue to identify new lines of business to enter into in order to improve our results of operations and cash flows.

We believe we have sufficient liquidity and capital resources to meet the cash needs of our ongoing operations. However, if we determine that supplemental debt, including additional convertible debentures, or equity funding is warranted to provide additional liquidity for unforeseen circumstances or strategic acquisitions, we may pursue those sources of cash. Other than scheduled maturities of debt, operating lease payments, purchase agreements and anticipated claims payments in 2011, we have no material commitments. We expect that cash flows from operations and cash available from our underwriters, subject to regulatory restrictions, will be sufficient to fund our operations, including claims payments. However, to the extent that these funds are not sufficient, we may be required to borrow funds on terms less favorable than we currently have, or seek funding from the equity market, which may not be successful or may be on terms that are dilutive to existing shareholders.

**Contingent liabilities and commitments.** As of March 31, 2011, we were contingently liable for guarantees of indebtedness owed primarily to banks and others by certain third parties. The guarantees primarily relate to business expansion and expire no later than 2019. As of March 31, 2011, the maximum potential future payments on the guarantees amounted to \$5.4 million. We believe that the related underlying assets and available collateral, primarily corporate stock and title plants, would enable us to recover any amounts paid under the guarantees. We believe no reserve is needed since no payment is expected on these guarantees.

In the ordinary course of business we guarantee the third-party indebtedness of certain of our consolidated subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2011, the maximum potential future payments on the guarantees were not more than the related notes payable recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. We also guarantee the indebtedness related to lease obligations of certain of our consolidated subsidiaries. The maximum future obligations arising from these lease-related guarantees are not more than our future minimum lease payments. In addition, as of March 31, 2011, we had unused letters of credit amounting to \$3.5 million, primarily related to litigation bonds and workers compensation coverage.

**Other comprehensive earnings (loss).** Unrealized gains and losses on investments and changes in foreign currency exchange rates are reported net of deferred taxes in accumulated other comprehensive earnings, a component of stockholders' equity, until realized. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, net unrealized investment losses of \$1.7 million, which increased our comprehensive loss, were primarily related to temporary decreases in market values of corporate and government bond investments. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, net unrealized investment gains of \$1.0 million, which decreased our comprehensive loss, were primarily related to temporary increases in market values of corporate bond investments. Changes in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily related to our Canadian operations, decreased comprehensive loss by \$1.4 million, net of taxes, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and decreased comprehensive loss \$1.0 million, net of taxes, for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

**Off-balance sheet arrangements.** We do not have any material source of liquidity or financing that involves off-balance sheet arrangements, other than our contractual obligations under operating leases. We also routinely hold funds in segregated escrow accounts pending the closing of real estate transactions and have qualified intermediaries in tax-deferred property exchanges for customers pursuant to Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company holds the proceeds from these transactions until a qualifying exchange can occur. See Note 18 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

**Forward-looking statements.** Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements relate to future, not past, events and often address our expected future business and financial performance. These statements often contain words such as expect, anticipate, intend, plan, believe, seek, will or other similar words.



**Table of Contents**

Forward-looking statements by their nature are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to be materially different than those expressed in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, among other things, the severity and duration of current financial and economic conditions; continued weakness or further adverse changes in the level of real estate activity; changes in mortgage interest rates and availability of mortgage financing; our ability to respond to and implement technology changes, including the completion of the implementation of our enterprise systems; the impact of unanticipated title losses on the need to further strengthen our policy loss reserves; any effect of title losses on our cash flows and financial condition; the impact of our increased diligence and inspections in our agency operations; changes to the participants in the secondary mortgage market and the rate of refinancings that affect the demand for title insurance products; our ability to successfully consummate acquisitions, and our ability to successfully integrate and manage acquired businesses should opportunities arise; regulatory non-compliance, fraud or defalcations by our title insurance agencies or employees; our ability to timely and cost-effectively respond to significant industry changes and introduce new products and services; the outcome of litigation claims by large classes of claimants; the impact of changes in governmental and insurance regulations, including any future reductions in the pricing of title insurance products and services; our dependence on our operating subsidiaries and underwriters as a source of cash flow; the continued realization of expected expense savings resulting from our expense reduction steps taken since 2008; our ability to access the equity and debt financing markets when and if needed; our ability to grow our international operations; our ability to respond to the actions of our competitors; failure to comply with financial covenants contained in our debt instruments; and inability to make scheduled payments on or refinance our indebtedness. These risks and uncertainties, as well as others, are discussed in more detail in our documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and our Current Reports on Form 8-K. We expressly disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements contained in this report to reflect events or circumstances that may arise after the date hereof, except as may be required by applicable law.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

There have been no material changes during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 in our investment strategies, types of financial instruments held or the risks associated with such instruments that would materially alter the market risk disclosures made in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Our principal executive officers and principal financial officer are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures. They evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of March 31, 2011, and have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures are adequate and effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officers and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process, under the supervision of our principal executive officers and principal financial officer, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officers and principal financial officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2011. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management believes that, as of March 31, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

**Table of Contents**

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Due to such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. As a result, no corrective actions were required or undertaken.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Stewart Title Guaranty Company (STGC) and Stewart Title Guaranty de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (STGM) are defendants in a lawsuit pending in the State District Court of Harris County, Texas, *Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. v. Stewart Title Guaranty Company*. The lawsuit was filed in 2008 and concerns 16 owners and 16 lenders title insurance policies on 16 parcels of land in Mexico issued by STGM and reinsurance agreements by STGC. Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. asserted claims against STGC under reinsurance of the lenders policies. Thereafter, K.R. Playa VI, S de R.L. de C.V., the owner of the parcels, asserted claims against STGC and under the owners policies. In the second quarter of 2010, the State District Court ruled that it had jurisdiction over STGM and denied STGM's plea in abatement requesting a stay of the lawsuit in Harris County pending the determination of the Mexican courts. The lawsuit was tried on breach of the title insurance policies and reinsurance agreements. A jury trial began February 15, 2011. After a 10 week trial, the jury returned a verdict of no damages, favorable to STGC and STGM on April 29, 2011. Post-verdict motions remain to be heard prior to the entry of a final judgment.

In January 2009, an action was filed by individuals against Stewart Title Guaranty Company, Stewart Title of California, Inc., Cuesta Title Company and others in the Superior Court of California for the County of San Luis Obispo alleging that the plaintiffs have suffered damages relating to loans they made through Hurst Financial Corporation to an individual named Kelly Gearhart and entities controlled by Gearhart. Thereafter, several other lawsuits making similar allegations, including a lawsuit filed by several hundred individuals, were filed in San Luis Obispo Superior Court, and one such lawsuit was removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The defendants vary from case to case but Stewart Information Services Corporation, Stewart Title Company and Stewart Title Insurance Company have also each been sued in at least one of the cases. Each of the complaints alleges some combination of the following purported causes of action: breach of contract, negligence, fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, constructive fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, financial elder abuse, violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, negligent misrepresentation, conversion, conspiracy, alter ego, specific performance and declaratory relief. We have demurred to or moved to dismiss the complaints in the actions where responses to the complaints have been due. Although the San Luis Obispo Superior Court has sustained demurrers to certain causes of action and certain individuals and entities and dismissed us from one case without leave to amend, the Court has overruled the demurrers as to other causes of action. The United States District Court for the Central District of California granted our motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint as to the claim for violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, with prejudice, and remanded the remainder of the case to the San Luis Obispo Superior Court.

**Table of Contents**

Discovery has commenced. Several of the cases were the subject of a court-ordered mediation on February 21-22, 2011. The mediation was adjourned without reaching a settlement, but the mediation process remains open and may be resumed in the future. We filed a motion to coordinate the cases for pretrial purposes, which was heard on April 14, 2011. At the hearing, the Court issued a minute order assigning all the cases to a single judge and directed the parties to submit a proposed order governing other aspects of pretrial coordination. No trial dates have been set. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves against the allegations and do not believe that the outcome of these matters will materially affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In February 2008, an antitrust class action was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York against Stewart Title Insurance Company, Monroe Title Insurance Corporation, Stewart Information Services Corporation, several other unaffiliated title insurance companies and the Title Insurance Rate Service Association, Inc. (TIRSA). The complaint alleges that the defendants violated Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act by collectively filing proposed rates for title insurance in New York through TIRSA, a state-authorized and licensed rate service organization.

Complaints were subsequently filed in the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York and in the United States District Courts in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Ohio, Florida, Massachusetts, Arkansas, California, Washington, West Virginia, Texas and Delaware. All of the complaints make similar class action allegations, except that certain of the complaints also allege violations of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and various state antitrust and consumer protection laws. The complaints generally request treble damages in unspecified amounts, declaratory and injunctive relief and attorneys' fees. To date, 78 such complaints have been filed, each of which names us and/or one or more of our affiliates as a defendant (and have been consolidated in the aforementioned states), of which seven have been voluntarily dismissed.

As of April 12, 2011, we have obtained dismissals of the claims in Arkansas, California, Delaware, Florida, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania (where the court dismissed the damages claims and granted defendants summary judgment on the injunctive claims), Texas and Washington. We filed a motion to dismiss in West Virginia (where all proceedings have been stayed and the docket closed). The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal in Ohio to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit and the dismissals in Delaware, New Jersey and Pennsylvania to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. The dismissals in New York and Texas have been affirmed by the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second and Fifth Circuits, respectively, and on October 4, 2010, the United States Supreme Court denied the plaintiffs' petitions for review of those decisions. The plaintiffs have appealed to the Second Circuit the dismissal of the RESPA claims by the court in New York. Although we cannot predict the outcome of these actions, we intend to vigorously defend ourselves against the allegations and do not believe that the outcome will materially affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We are also subject to other claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of our business, most of which involve disputed policy claims. In some of these lawsuits, the plaintiff seeks exemplary or treble damages in excess of policy limits. We do not expect that any of these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. Along with the other major title insurance companies, we are party to a number of class action lawsuits concerning the title insurance industry. We believe that we have adequate reserves for the various litigation matters and contingencies discussed above and that the likely resolution of these matters will not materially affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We are subject to administrative actions and litigation relating to the basis on which premium taxes are paid in certain states. Additionally, we have received various other inquiries from governmental regulators concerning practices in the insurance industry. Many of these practices do not concern title insurance. We believe that we have adequately reserved for these matters and do not anticipate that the outcome of these inquiries will materially affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

We are also subject to various other administrative actions and inquiries into our business conduct in certain of the states in which we operate. While we cannot predict the outcome of the various regulatory and administrative matters, we believe that we have adequately reserved for these matters and do not anticipate that the outcome of any of these matters will materially affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have been no changes during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 to our risk factors as listed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

**Item 5. Other Information**

We had a book value per share of \$23.31 and \$24.40 as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. As of March 31, 2011, our book value per share was based on approximately \$445.6 million in stockholders' equity and 19,122,929 shares of Common and Class B Common Stock outstanding. As of December 31, 2010, our book value per share was based on approximately \$448.3 million in stockholders' equity and 18,375,058 shares of Common and Class B Common Stock outstanding.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

Those exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed in the Index to Exhibits immediately preceding the exhibits filed herewith and such listing is incorporated herein by reference.

- 25 -

---

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, I have duly caused this report to be signed on our behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

May 4, 2011

Date

Stewart Information Services Corporation

Registrant

By: /s/ J. Allen Berryman  
J. Allen Berryman, Executive Vice  
President,  
Chief Financial Officer, Secretary,  
Treasurer and Principal Financial  
Officer

- 26 -

---

**Table of Contents**

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit

- 3.1 - Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, dated May 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference in this report from Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 5, 2009)
  - 3.2 - Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, dated April 30, 2010 (incorporated by reference in this report from Exhibit 3.2 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010)
  - 3.3 - By-Laws of the Registrant, as amended March 13, 2000 (incorporated by reference in this report from Exhibit 3.2 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000)
  - 4.1 - Rights of Common and Class B Common Stockholders (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2 hereto)
  - 4.2 - Indenture related to 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014, dated as of October 15, 2009, by and between the Registrant, the Guarantors party thereto, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 15, 2009)
  - 4.3 - Form of 6.0% Convertible Senior Note due 2014 (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 15, 2009)
  - 31.1 \* - Certification of Co-Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
  - 31.2 \* - Certification of Co-Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
  - 31.3 \* - Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
  - 32.1 \* - Certification of Co-Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
  - 32.2 \* - Certification of Co-Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
  - 32.3 \* - Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- \* Filed herewith