

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC  
Form 10-K  
March 02, 2015

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934  
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_

COMMISSION FILE NO. 1-12494 (CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.)  
COMMISSION FILE NO. 333-182515-01 (CBL & ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.  
CBL & ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware (CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.)

Delaware (CBL & Associates Limited Partnership)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)

2030 Hamilton Place Blvd., Suite 500

Chattanooga, TN

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 423.855.0001

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.:

Title of each Class

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

7.375% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par  
value

6.625% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par  
value

62-1545718

62-1542285

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

37421

(Zip Code)

Name of each exchange on  
which registered

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.: None

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.		
CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

---

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.							
Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller Reporting Company	<input type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership							
Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Smaller Reporting Company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

The aggregate market value of the 166,849,805 shares of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.'s common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2014 was \$3,170,146,295, based on the closing price of \$19.00 per share on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2014. (For this computation, the registrant has excluded the market value of all shares of its common stock reported as beneficially owned by executive officers and directors of the registrant; such exclusion shall not be deemed to constitute an admission that any such person is an "affiliate" of the registrant.)

As of February 23, 2015, 170,524,039 shares of common stock were outstanding.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.'s Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III.

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and CBL & Associates Limited Partnership. Unless stated otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references to the "Company" mean CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and its subsidiaries. References to the "Operating Partnership" mean CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and its subsidiaries. The terms "we," "us" and "our" refer to the Company or the Company and the Operating Partnership collectively, as the context requires. The Company is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") whose stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange. The Company is the 100% owner of two qualified REIT subsidiaries, CBL Holdings I, Inc. and CBL Holdings II, Inc. At December 31, 2014, CBL Holdings I, Inc., the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, owned a 1.0% general partner interest in the Operating Partnership and CBL Holdings II, Inc. owned an 84.3% limited partner interest for a combined interest held by the Company of 85.3%.

As the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, the Company's subsidiary, CBL Holdings I, Inc., has exclusive control of the Operating Partnership's activities. Management operates the Company and the Operating Partnership as one business. The management of the Company consists of the same individuals that manage the Operating Partnership. The Company's only material asset is its indirect ownership of partnership interests of the Operating Partnership. As a result, the Company conducts substantially all its business through the Operating Partnership as described in the preceding paragraph. The Company also issues public equity from time to time and guarantees certain debt of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership holds all of the assets and indebtedness of the Company and, through affiliates, retains the ownership interests in the Company's joint ventures. Except for the net proceeds of offerings of equity by the Company, which are contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for partnership units on a one-for-one basis, the Operating Partnership generates all remaining capital required by the Company's business through its operations and its incurrence of indebtedness.

We believe that combining the two annual reports on Form 10-K for the Company and the Operating Partnership provides the following benefits:

- enhances investors' understanding of the Company and the Operating Partnership by enabling investors to view the business as a whole in the same manner that management views and operates the business;
- eliminates duplicative disclosure and provides a more streamlined and readable presentation, since a substantial portion of the disclosure applies to both the Company and the Operating Partnership; and
- creates time and cost efficiencies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports.

To help investors understand the differences between the Company and the Operating Partnership, this report provides separate consolidated financial statements for the Company and the Operating Partnership. Noncontrolling interests, shareholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of the Company and those of the Operating Partnership. A single set of notes to consolidated financial statements is presented that includes separate discussions for the Company and the Operating Partnership, when applicable. A combined Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section is also included that presents combined information and discrete information related to each entity, as applicable.

In order to highlight the differences between the Company and the Operating Partnership, this report includes the following sections that provide separate financial information for the Company and the Operating Partnership:

- consolidated financial statements;
- certain accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements, including Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Note 6 - Mortgage and Other Indebtedness, Note 7 - Shareholders' Equity and Partners' Capital and Note 8 - Redeemable Interests and Noncontrolling Interests;
- selected financial data in Item 6 of this report;
- controls and procedures in Item 9A of this report; and
- certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer included as Exhibits 31.1 through 32.4.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
<u>Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
 <u>PART I</u>	
<u>1. Business</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>2. Properties</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>46</u>
 <u>PART II</u>	
<u>5. Market For Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>9B. Other Information</u>	<u>85</u>
 <u>PART III</u>	
<u>10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>86</u>
 <u>PART IV</u>	
<u>15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>Index to Financial Statements and Schedules</u>	<u>90</u>
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	<u>160</u>

### Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements included or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may be deemed “forward looking statements” within the meaning of the federal securities laws. All statements other than statements of historical fact should be considered to be forward-looking statements. In many cases, these forward looking statements may be identified by the use of words such as “will,” “may,” “should,” “could,” “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “intends,” “projects,” “goals,” “objectives,” “targets,” “predicts,” “plans,” “seeks,” or similar expressions. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the factors discussed throughout this report.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in any forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or results and we can give no assurance that these expectations will be attained. It is possible that actual results may differ materially from those indicated by these forward-looking statements due to a variety of known and unknown risks and uncertainties. In addition to the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A of this report, such known risks and uncertainties include, without limitation:

- general industry, economic and business conditions;
- interest rate fluctuations;
- costs and availability of capital and capital requirements;
- costs and availability of real estate;
- inability to consummate acquisition opportunities and other risks associated with acquisitions;
- competition from other companies and retail formats;
- changes in retail demand and rental rates in our markets;
- shifts in customer demands;
- tenant bankruptcies or store closings;
- changes in vacancy rates at our Properties;
- changes in operating expenses;
- changes in applicable laws, rules and regulations;
- sales of real property;
- changes in our credit ratings; and
- the ability to obtain suitable equity and/or debt financing and the continued availability of financing in the amounts and on the terms necessary to support our future refinancing requirements and business.

This list of risks and uncertainties is only a summary and is not intended to be exhaustive. We disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect actual results or changes in the factors affecting the forward-looking information.

## PART I

## ITEM 1. BUSINESS

## Background

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. ("CBL") was organized on July 13, 1993, as a Delaware corporation, to acquire substantially all of the real estate properties owned by CBL & Associates, Inc., which was formed by Charles B. Lebovitz in 1978, and by certain of its related parties. On November 3, 1993, CBL completed an initial public offering (the "Offering"). Simultaneously with the completion of the Offering, CBL & Associates, Inc., its shareholders and affiliates and certain senior officers of the Company (collectively, "CBL's Predecessor") transferred substantially all of their interests in its real estate properties to CBL & Associates Limited Partnership (the "Operating Partnership") in exchange for common units of limited partner interest in the Operating Partnership. The interests in the Operating Partnership contain certain conversion rights that are more fully described in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements. The terms "we," "us" and "our" refer to the Company or the Company and the Operating Partnership collectively, as the context requires.

## The Company's Business

We are a self-managed, self-administered, fully integrated REIT. We own, develop, acquire, lease, manage, and operate regional shopping malls, open-air centers, outlet centers, associated centers, community centers and office properties. Our Properties are located in 27 states, but are primarily in the southeastern and midwestern United States. We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

We conduct substantially all of our business through the Operating Partnership. We are the 100% owner of two qualified REIT subsidiaries, CBL Holdings I, Inc. and CBL Holdings II, Inc. CBL Holdings I, Inc. is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2014, CBL Holdings I, Inc. owned a 1.0% general partner interest and CBL Holdings II, Inc. owned an 84.3% limited partner interest in the Operating Partnership, for a combined interest held by us of 85.3%.

As of December 31, 2014, we owned interests in the following Properties:

	Malls <sup>(1)</sup>	Associated Centers	Community Centers	Office Buildings <sup>(2)</sup>	Total
Consolidated Properties	72	25	6	8	111
Unconsolidated Properties <sup>(3)</sup>	9	4	5	5	23
Total	81	29	11	13	134

<sup>(1)</sup> Category consists of regional malls, open-air centers and outlet centers (including one mixed-use center) (the "Malls").

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes CBL's corporate office building.

<sup>(3)</sup> The Operating Partnership accounts for these investments using the equity method because one or more of the other partners have substantive participating rights.

At December 31, 2014, we had interests in the following Properties under development ("Construction Properties"):

	Consolidated Properties		Unconsolidated Properties	
	Malls	Community Centers	Malls	Community Centers
Development	—	1	—	1
Expansions	1	—	—	2
Redevelopment	3	—	1	—

We also hold options to acquire certain development properties owned by third parties.



As of December 31, 2014, we owned mortgages on five Properties, each of which is collateralized by either a first mortgage, a second mortgage or by assignment of 100% of the ownership interests in the underlying real estate and related improvements (the “Mortgages”).

The Malls, Associated Centers, Community Centers, Office Buildings, Construction Properties and Mortgages are collectively referred to as the “Properties” and individually as a “Property.”

We conduct our property management and development activities through CBL & Associates Management, Inc. (the "Management Company") to comply with certain requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code"). The Operating Partnership owns 100% of the Management Company's outstanding preferred stock and common stock.

The Management Company manages all but nine of the Properties. Governor's Square and Governor's Plaza in Clarksville, TN, Kentucky Oaks Mall in Paducah, KY and Fremaux Town Center in Slidell, LA are all owned by unconsolidated joint ventures and are managed by a property manager that is affiliated with the third party partner, which receives a fee for its services. The third party partner of each of these Properties controls the cash flow distributions, although our approval is required for certain major decisions. The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City in Oklahoma City, OK, The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg in Gettysburg, PA, The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso in El Paso, TX, The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta in Woodstock, GA and The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass in Simpsonville, KY are owned by consolidated joint ventures and managed by a property manager that is affiliated with the third party partner, which receives a fee for its services.

Revenues are primarily derived from leases with retail tenants and generally include fixed minimum rents, percentage rents based on tenants' sales volumes and reimbursements from tenants for expenditures related to real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance and other recoverable operating expenses, as well as certain capital expenditures. We also generate revenues from management, leasing and development fees, advertising, sponsorships, sales of peripheral land at the Properties and from sales of operating real estate assets when it is determined that we can realize an appropriate value for the assets. Proceeds from such sales are generally used to retire related indebtedness or reduce outstanding balances on our credit facilities.

The following terms used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K will have the meanings described below:

GLA – refers to gross leasable area of retail space in square feet, including Anchors and Mall tenants.

Anchor – refers to a department store, other large retail store or theater greater than or equal to 50,000 square feet.

Junior Anchor - non-traditional department store, retail store or theater comprising more than 20,000 square feet and less than 50,000 square feet.

Freestanding – Property locations that are not attached to the primary complex of buildings that comprise the Mall shopping center.

Outparcel – land used for freestanding developments, such as retail stores, banks and restaurants, which are generally on the periphery of the Properties.

### Significant Markets and Tenants

#### Top Five Markets

Our top five markets, based on percentage of total revenues, were as follows for the year ended December 31, 2014:

Market	Percentage of Total Revenues
St. Louis, MO	7.8%
Chattanooga, TN	3.9%
Madison, WI	3.3%
Lexington, KY	2.8%
Winston-Salem, NC	2.5%

## Top 25 Tenants

Our top 25 tenants based on percentage of total revenues were as follows for the year ended December 31, 2014:

Tenant	Number of Stores	Square Feet	Percentage of Total Revenues
Limited Brands, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	163	835,221	3.21%
Signet Jewelers Limited <sup>(2)</sup>	217	321,661	2.84%
Foot Locker, Inc.	138	576,776	2.24%
Ascena Retail Group, Inc. <sup>(3)</sup>	183	916,598	2.19%
AE Outfitters Retail Company	81	496,925	2.01%
The Gap, Inc.	70	768,850	1.69%
Genesco Inc. <sup>(4)</sup>	195	307,846	1.67%
Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc. <sup>(5)</sup>	26	1,429,353	1.63%
JC Penney Company, Inc. <sup>(6)</sup>	65	7,412,922	1.27%
Aeropostale, Inc.	91	333,310	1.26%
Luxottica Group, S.P.A. <sup>(7)</sup>	125	271,139	1.26%
Abercrombie & Fitch, Co.	59	395,863	1.26%
Express Fashions	44	359,278	1.17%
Finish Line, Inc.	62	319,706	1.13%
Charlotte Russe Holding, Inc.	53	344,591	1.09%
Forever 21 Retail, Inc.	23	437,415	1.07%
The Buckle, Inc.	50	255,561	0.99%
Best Buy Co., Inc. <sup>(8)</sup>	63	548,048	0.99%
New York & Company, Inc.	43	290,321	0.89%
Sun Capital Partners, Inc. <sup>(9)</sup>	45	627,939	0.89%
The Children's Place Retail Stores, Inc.	62	270,839	0.80%
Claire's Stores, Inc.	112	139,241	0.80%
Barnes & Noble Inc.	19	579,099	0.76%
Cinemark	10	524,772	0.76%
Shoe Show, Inc.	51	621,150	0.73%
	2,050	19,384,424	34.60%

(1) Limited Brands, LLC operates Victoria's Secret, Bath & Body Works and PINK.

Signet Jewelers Limited operates Kay Jewelers, Marks & Morgan, JB Robinson, Shaw's Jewelers, Osterman's

(2) Jewelers, LeRoy's Jewelers, Jared Jewelers, Belden Jewelers, Rogers Jewelers and Ultra Diamonds. In May 2014, Signet Jewelers acquired Zale Corporation, which operates Zale, Peoples and Piercing Pagoda.

(3) Ascena Retail Group, Inc. operates Justice, dressbarn, maurices, Lane Bryant and Catherines.

(4) Genesco Inc. operates Journey's, Underground by Journey's, Hat World, Lids, Hat Zone, and Cap Factory stores.

(5) Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc. operates Dick's Sporting Goods and Golf Galaxy Stores.

JC Penney Company, Inc. owns 32 of these stores. JC Penney plans to close four stores in 2015 including three leased locations and one location that was recently sold to a third party as a redevelopment opportunity. The four (6) stores are included in the above chart as the stores were in operation as of December 31, 2014 and JC Penney remains obligated for rent under the terms of the respective leases.

(7) Luxottica Group, S.P.A. operates Lenscrafters, Sunglass Hut and Pearle Vision.

(8) Best Buy Co., Inc. operates Best Buy and Best Buy Mobile.

(9) Sun Capital Partners, Inc. operates Gordmans, Limited Stores, Fazoli's Restaurants, Smokey Bones, Johnny Rockets, Shopko Stores and Bar Louie Restaurants.

Growth Strategy

Our objective is to achieve growth in funds from operations (see page 81 for a discussion of funds from operations) and reduce our overall cost of debt and equity by maximizing cash flows through a variety of methods as further discussed below.

## Leasing, Management and Marketing

Our objective is to maximize cash flows from our existing Properties through: aggressive leasing that seeks to increase occupancy and facilitate an optimal merchandise mix, originating and renewing leases at higher gross rents per square foot compared to the previous lease, merchandising, marketing, sponsorship and promotional activities and actively controlling operating costs and resulting tenant occupancy costs.

## Redevelopments

Redevelopments represent situations where we capitalize on opportunities to add incremental square footage or increase the productivity of previously occupied space through aesthetic upgrades, retenanting and/or changing the retail use of the space. Many times, redevelopments result from acquiring possession of Anchor space and subdividing it into multiple spaces. The following presents the redevelopments we completed during 2014 and those under construction at December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Actual/Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Completed in 2014:						
Mall Redevelopment:						
College Square - Longhorn Steakhouse & T.J. Maxx	Morristown, TN	30,271	\$3,078	\$2,858	April-14	10.6%
Fayette Mall - Sears Redevelopment	Lexington, KY	114,297	68,517	55,693	Fall-14/ Spring-15	8.1%
Monroeville Mall - Dick's Sporting Goods	Pittsburgh, PA	86,000	8,649	6,532	August-14	8.6%
Northgate Mall - Burlington	Chattanooga, TN	63,000	7,538	6,353	September-14	7.7%
		293,568	87,782	71,436		
Associated Center Redevelopment:						
West Towne Crossing - Nordstrom Rack	Madison, WI	30,750	5,693	5,708	October-14	10.3%
Total redevelopment completed		324,318	\$93,475	\$77,144		
Currently under construction:						
Mall Redevelopment:						
CoolSprings Galleria - Sears Redevelopment <sup>(3)</sup>	Nashville, TN	182,163	\$66,398	\$28,292	Spring-15/Summer-16	7.0%
Janesville Mall - JCP Redevelopment	Janesville, WI	149,522	15,925	545	Fall-15	8.3%
Meridian Mall - Gordmans	Lansing, MI	50,000	7,372	2,995	Fall-15	10.2%
Northgate Mall - Streetscape/ULTA	Chattanooga, TN	50,852	8,989	3,848	Fall-14/Summer-15	10.5%
Total redevelopment under construction		432,537	\$98,684	\$35,680		

(1) Total cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

- (3) This Property is a 50/50 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

Our total cost of the redevelopment projects completed in 2014 was \$77.1 million. Our total investment upon completion of redevelopment projects that are under construction as of December 31, 2014 is projected to be \$98.7 million, of which our share is \$65.5 million.

### Renovations

Renovations usually include remodeling and upgrading existing facades, uniform signage, new entrances and floor coverings, updating interior décor, resurfacing parking lots and improving the lighting of interiors and parking lots. Renovations can result in attracting new retailers, increased rental rates, sales and occupancy levels and maintaining the Property's market dominance. Our 2014 renovation program included upgrades at five of our malls including Governor's Square in Clarksville, TN; Volusia Mall in Daytona Beach, FL; Richland Mall in Waco, TX; Janesville Mall in Janesville, WI and Old Hickory Mall in Jackson, TN. Our 2015 renovation program includes five of our malls. Renovations are scheduled to be completed at Dakota Square Mall in Minot, ND; Janesville Mall in Janesville, WI; Laurel Park Place in Lavonia, MI; Monroeville Mall in Pittsburgh, PA and Sunrise Mall in Brownsville, TX. Renovation expenditures for 2014 and 2015 also include certain capital expenditures related to the parking decks at West County Center.

We invested \$27.3 million in renovations in 2014. The total investment in the renovations that are scheduled for 2015 is projected to be \$22.5 million for the five malls listed above as well as \$14.0 million for repairs to the parking decks at West County Center.

### Development of New Retail Properties and Expansions

In general, we seek development opportunities in middle-market trade areas that we believe are under-served by existing retail operations. These middle-markets must also have sufficient demographics to provide the opportunity to effectively maintain a competitive position. The following presents the new developments we opened during 2014 and those under construction at December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Actual/Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Completed in 2014:						
Outlet Center:						
The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(3)</sup>	Simpsonville, KY	374,597	\$77,234	\$76,013	July-14	12.1%
Community Center:						
Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(3)</sup>	Slidell, LA	341,002	55,030	52,408	March-14	8.4%
Total Properties opened		715,599	\$132,264	\$128,421		
Currently under construction:						
Community Centers:						
Ambassador Town Center <sup>(3)</sup>	Lafayette, LA	438,057	\$61,456	\$2,611	Spring-16	8.8%
Parkway Plaza	Fort Oglethorpe, GA	134,050	17,325	13,001	Spring-15	8.5%
Total Properties under development		572,107	\$78,781	\$15,612		

(1) Total cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

(3) This Property is a 65/35 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.





Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

We can also generate additional revenues by expanding a Property through the addition of department stores, mall stores and large retail formats. An expansion also protects the Property's competitive position within its market. The following presents the expansions we completed during 2014 and those under construction at December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Actual/Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Completed in 2014:						
Mall/Outlet Center Expansions:						
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso - Phase II <sup>(3)</sup>	El Paso, TX	44,014	\$7,663	\$6,747	August-14	12.0%
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City - Phase III <sup>(3)</sup>	Oklahoma City, OK	18,182	3,713	3,041	August-14	12.8%
Parkdale Mall - shops	Beaumont, TX	6,500	1,439	1,152	September-14	10.2%
		68,696	12,815	10,940		
Community Center Expansion:						
Hammock Landing - Carmike <sup>(4)</sup>	West Melbourne, FL	47,000	12,232	9,931	August-14	7.5%
The Promenade - Ross, Bed Bath & Beyond, Ashley Furniture <sup>(5)</sup>	D'Iberville, MS	68,400	8,373	6,843	Spring/Fall-14	10.3%
		115,400	20,605	16,774		
Total expansions opened		184,096	\$33,420	\$27,714		
Currently under construction:						
Outlet Center Expansion:						
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta - Parcel Development <sup>(3)</sup>	Woodstock, GA	9,600	\$3,542	\$594	Spring-15	9.3%
Community Center Expansions:						
Fremaux Town Center - Phase II <sup>(6)</sup>	Slidell, LA	279,791	38,334	11,779	Fall-15	9.6%
Hammock Landing - Academy Sports <sup>(4)</sup>	West Melbourne, FL	63,092	9,903	4,175	Spring-15	8.6%
		342,883	48,237	15,954		
Total expansions under construction		352,483	\$51,779	\$16,548		

(1) Total cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

(3) This Property is a 75/25 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(4) This Property is a 50/50 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(5) This Property is a 85/15 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(6) This Property is a 65/35 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

The total cost of the new Properties and expansions that opened in 2014 was \$33.4 million, of which our share is \$23.2 million. The cost of the new Properties under construction as of December 31, 2014 is projected to be \$51.8 million, of which our share is \$32.5 million.

7

---

## Shadow Development Pipeline

We are continually pursuing new development opportunities and have projects in various stages of pre-development. Our shadow pipeline consists of projects for Properties on which we have completed initial project analysis and design but which have not commenced construction as of December 31, 2014. The following presents our shadow development pipeline at December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Estimated Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
<b>Outlet Center Expansions:</b>					
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta - Phase II <sup>(2)</sup>	Woodstock, GA	35,000	\$5,000 - \$6,000	Fall-15	12% - 13%
The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass - Phase II <sup>(3)</sup>	Simpsonville, KY	50,000	\$9,000 - \$10,000	Fall-15	11% - 12%
		85,000	\$14,000 - \$16,000		
<b>Mall Redevelopment:</b>					
Hickory Point Mall - JCP Redevelopment	Forsyth, IL	100,000	\$3,000 - \$4,000	Fall-15	8% - 9%
<b>Total Shadow Pipeline</b>		<b>185,000</b>	<b>\$17,000 - \$20,000</b>		

(1) Total cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) This Property is a 75/25 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(3) This Property is a 65/35 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

## Acquisitions

We believe there is opportunity for growth through acquisitions of regional malls and other associated properties that complement our portfolio. We selectively acquire properties we believe can appreciate in value through our development, leasing and management expertise.

## Environmental Matters

A discussion of the current effects and potential future impacts on our business and Properties of compliance with federal, state and local environmental regulations is presented in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the subheading "Risks Related to Real Estate Investments."

## Competition

The Properties compete with various shopping facilities in attracting retailers to lease space. In addition, retailers at our Properties face competition from discount shopping centers, outlet centers, wholesale clubs, direct mail, television shopping networks, the internet and other retail shopping developments. The extent of the retail competition varies from market to market. We work aggressively to attract customers through marketing promotions and campaigns. Many of our retailers have adopted an omni-channel approach which leverages sales through both on-line and in-store retailing channels.

## Seasonality

The shopping center business is, to some extent, seasonal in nature with tenants typically achieving the highest levels of sales during the fourth quarter due to the holiday season, which generally results in higher percentage rent income in the fourth quarter. Additionally, the Malls earn most of their "temporary" rents (rents from short-term tenants) during the holiday period. Thus, occupancy levels and revenue production are generally the highest in the fourth quarter of each year. Results of operations realized in any one quarter may not be indicative of the results likely to be experienced over the course of our fiscal year.

## Recent Developments

Impairment Losses

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a loss on impairment totaling \$18.5 million. Of this total, \$17.8 million is attributable to three Property dispositions, \$0.1 million is from the sale of an outparcel and \$0.6 million is included in discontinued operations and relates to the true-up of a Property sold in 2013. See Note 4 and Note 15 for additional information.

8

---

#### Dispositions

We sold a mall, the expansion portion of an associated center and a community center in 2014 for an aggregate gross sales price of \$18.6 million, less commissions and closing costs generating an aggregate \$17.9 million of net proceeds. Additionally, we recognized \$89.4 million of gain on extinguishment of debt when we transferred the title to three Malls to their respective lenders in settlement of \$164.0 million in non-recourse debt. See [Note 4](#) for further information.

#### Financing and Capital Markets Activity

We continue to progress in our strategy to build a high-quality unencumbered pool of Properties in addition to balancing our leverage structure. Highlights of financing and capital markets activity for the year ended December 31, 2014 include the following:

- completed a \$300.0 million offering of 2024 Notes (as defined below) via our Operating Partnership;
- retired four loans with an aggregate principal balance of \$285.9 million using borrowings from our credit facilities;
- recognized gain on extinguishment of debt of \$89.4 million related to the transfer of three Non-core Malls to their respective lenders in settlement of \$164.0 million of non-recourse debt;
- closed on a \$126.0 million loan secured by our Coastal Grand - Myrtle Beach 50/50 joint venture. The 10-year non-recourse loan bears interest at 4.09% and was used to retire the existing \$75.2 million loan, which bore interest at 5.09% and was scheduled to mature in October 2014;
- obtained permanent financing for The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass through a 10-year \$77.5 million non-recourse loan, of which the Company's share is \$50.4 million, which bears interest at a fixed-rate of 4.045% and replaces a \$47.9 million variable-rate construction loan; and
- increased our quarterly dividend by 8.2% in the fourth quarter of 2014 to \$0.265 per share from \$0.245 per share.

The Operating Partnership issued \$450 million of senior unsecured notes in November 2013 that bear interest at 5.25% payable semiannually beginning June 1, 2014 and mature on December 1, 2013 (the "2023 Notes"). In October 2014, the Operating Partnership issued \$300 million of senior unsecured notes that bear interest at 4.60% and mature on October 15, 2024 (the "2024 Notes" and, collectively with the 2023 Notes, the "Notes"). See [Note 6](#) to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

#### Equity

##### Common Stock and Common Units

Our authorized common stock consists of 350,000,000 shares at \$0.01 par value per share. We had 170,260,273 and 170,048,144 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Operating Partnership had 199,532,908 and 199,593,731 common units outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

##### Preferred Stock

Our authorized preferred stock consists of 15,000,000 shares at \$0.01 par value per share. See [Note 7](#) to the consolidated financial statements for a description of our outstanding cumulative redeemable preferred stock.

##### Financial Information About Segments

See [Note 11](#) to the consolidated financial statements for information about our reportable segments.

##### Employees

CBL does not have any employees other than its statutory officers. Our Management Company currently has 610 full time and 209 part time employees. None of our employees are represented by a union.

##### Corporate Offices

Our principal executive offices are located at CBL Center, 2030 Hamilton Place Boulevard, Suite 500, Chattanooga, Tennessee, 37421 and our telephone number is (423) 855-0001.

##### Available Information

There is additional information about us on our web site at [cblproperties.com](http://cblproperties.com). Electronic copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as any amendments to those reports, are available free of charge by visiting the "investor relations" section of our web site. These reports are posted as soon as reasonably practical after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The information on our web site is not, and should not be considered, a part of this Form 10-K.



## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Set forth below are certain factors that may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Any one or more of the following factors may cause our actual results for various financial reporting periods to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us, or on our behalf. See “Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” contained herein on [page 1](#).

### RISKS RELATED TO REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

Real property investments are subject to various risks, many of which are beyond our control, that could cause declines in the operating revenues and/or the underlying value of one or more of our Properties.

A number of factors may decrease the income generated by a retail shopping center property, including:

- national, regional and local economic climates, which may be negatively impacted by loss of jobs, production slowdowns, adverse weather conditions, natural disasters, acts of violence, war or terrorism, declines in residential real estate activity and other factors which tend to reduce consumer spending on retail goods;
- adverse changes in levels of consumer spending, consumer confidence and seasonal spending (especially during the holiday season when many retailers generate a disproportionate amount of their annual profits);
- local real estate conditions, such as an oversupply of, or reduction in demand for, retail space or retail goods, and the availability and creditworthiness of current and prospective tenants;
- increased operating costs, such as increases in repairs and maintenance, real property taxes, utility rates and insurance premiums;
- delays or cost increases associated with the opening of new or renovated properties, due to higher than estimated construction costs, cost overruns, delays in receiving zoning, occupancy or other governmental approvals, lack of availability of materials and labor, weather conditions, and similar factors which may be outside our ability to control;
- perceptions by retailers or shoppers of the safety, convenience and attractiveness of the shopping center;
- the willingness and ability of the shopping center’s owner to provide capable management and maintenance services; and
- the convenience and quality of competing retail properties and other retailing options, such as the internet.

In addition, other factors may adversely affect the value of our Properties without affecting their current revenues, including:

- adverse changes in governmental regulations, such as local zoning and land use laws, environmental regulations or local tax structures that could inhibit our ability to proceed with development, expansion or renovation activities that otherwise would be beneficial to our Properties;
- potential environmental or other legal liabilities that reduce the amount of funds available to us for investment in our Properties;
- any inability to obtain sufficient financing (including construction financing and permanent debt), or the inability to obtain such financing on commercially favorable terms, to fund repayment of maturing loans, new developments, acquisitions, and property expansions and renovations which otherwise would benefit our Properties; and
- an environment of rising interest rates, which could negatively impact both the value of commercial real estate such as retail shopping centers and the overall retail climate.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly affect our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our Properties and harm our financial condition.

Substantially all of our total consolidated assets consist of investments in real properties. Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to quickly sell one or more Properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. The real estate market is affected by many factors, such as general economic conditions, availability of financing, interest rates and other factors, including supply and demand for space, that are beyond our control. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any Property for the price or on the terms we set, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be

acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a Property. In addition, current economic and capital market conditions might make it

10

---



more difficult for us to sell Properties or might adversely affect the price we receive for Properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers might experience increased costs of debt financing or other difficulties in obtaining debt financing. Moreover, there are some limitations under federal income tax laws applicable to REITs that limit our ability to sell assets. In addition, because our Properties are generally mortgaged to secure our debts, we may not be able to obtain a release of a lien on a mortgaged Property without the payment of the associated debt and/or a substantial prepayment penalty, which restricts our ability to dispose of a Property, even though the sale might otherwise be desirable. Furthermore, the number of prospective buyers interested in purchasing shopping centers is limited. Therefore, if we want to sell one or more of our Properties, we may not be able to dispose of it in the desired time period and may receive less consideration than we originally invested in the Property.

Before a Property can be sold, we may be required to make expenditures to correct defects or to make improvements. We cannot assure you that we will have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements, and if we cannot do so, we might not be able to sell the Property, or might be required to sell the Property on unfavorable terms. In acquiring a property, we might agree to provisions that materially restrict us from selling that property for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as limitations on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that property. These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our Properties could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We may elect not to proceed with certain development or expansion projects once they have been undertaken, resulting in charges that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the period in which the charge is taken.

We intend to pursue development and expansion activities as opportunities arise. In connection with any development or expansion, we will incur various risks, including the risk that development or expansion opportunities explored by us may be abandoned for various reasons including, but not limited to, credit disruptions that require the Company to conserve its cash until the capital markets stabilize or alternative credit or funding arrangements can be made.

Developments or expansions also include the risk that construction costs of a project may exceed original estimates, possibly making the project unprofitable. Other risks include the risk that we may not be able to refinance construction loans which are generally with full recourse to us, the risk that occupancy rates and rents at a completed project will not meet projections and will be insufficient to make the project profitable, and the risk that we will not be able to obtain Anchor, mortgage lender and property partner approvals for certain expansion activities.

When we elect not to proceed with a development opportunity, the development costs ordinarily are charged against income for the then-current period. Any such charge could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the period in which the charge is taken.

Certain of our Properties are subject to ownership interests held by third parties, whose interests may conflict with ours and thereby constrain us from taking actions concerning these Properties which otherwise would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

We own partial interests in 16 malls, 8 associated centers, 8 community centers and 7 office buildings. Governor's Square and Governor's Plaza in Clarksville, TN, Kentucky Oaks Mall in Paducah, KY and Fremaux Town Center in Slidell, LA are all owned by unconsolidated joint ventures and are managed by a property manager that is affiliated with the third party partner, which receives a fee for its services. The third party partner of each of these Properties controls the cash flow distributions, although our approval is required for certain major decisions. The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City in Oklahoma City, OK, The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg in Gettysburg, PA, The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso in El Paso, TX, The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta in Woodstock, GA and The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass in Simpsonville, KY are owned by consolidated joint ventures and managed by a property manager that is affiliated with the third party partner, which receives a fee for its services.

Where we serve as managing general partner (or equivalent) of the entities that own our Properties, we may have certain fiduciary responsibilities to the other owners of those entities. In certain cases, the approval or consent of the other owners is required before we may sell, finance, expand or make other significant changes in the operations of such Properties. To the extent such approvals or consents are required, we may experience difficulty in, or may be prevented from, implementing our plans with respect to expansion, development, financing or other similar transactions with respect to such Properties.

With respect to those Properties for which we do not serve as managing general partner (or equivalent), we do not have day-to-day operational control or control over certain major decisions, including leasing and the timing and amount of distributions, which could result in decisions by the managing entity that do not fully reflect our interests. This includes decisions relating to the requirements that we must satisfy in order to maintain our status as a REIT for tax purposes. However, decisions relating to sales, expansion and disposition of all or substantially all of the assets and financings are subject to approval by the Operating Partnership.

Bankruptcy of joint venture partners could impose delays and costs on us with respect to the jointly owned retail Properties.

In addition to the possible effects on our joint ventures of a bankruptcy filing by us, the bankruptcy of one of the other investors in any of our jointly owned shopping centers could materially and adversely affect the relevant Property or Properties. Under the bankruptcy laws, we would be precluded from taking some actions affecting the estate of the other investor without prior approval of the bankruptcy court, which would, in most cases, entail prior notice to other parties and a hearing in the bankruptcy court. At a minimum, the requirement to obtain court approval may delay the actions we would or might want to take. If the relevant joint venture through which we have invested in a Property has incurred recourse obligations, the discharge in bankruptcy of one of the other investors might result in our ultimate liability for a greater portion of those obligations than we would otherwise bear.

We may incur significant costs related to compliance with environmental laws, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and the funds available to us to pay dividends.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of petroleum, certain hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in such real estate. Such laws typically impose such liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such substances. The costs of remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial. The presence of such substances, or the failure to promptly remove or remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's or operator's ability to lease or sell such real estate or to borrow using such real estate as collateral. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances may also be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at the disposal or treatment facility, regardless of whether such facility is owned or operated by such person. Certain laws also impose requirements on conditions and activities that may affect the environment or the impact of the environment on human health. Failure to comply with such requirements could result in the imposition of monetary penalties (in addition to the costs to achieve compliance) and potential liabilities to third parties. Among other things, certain laws require abatement or removal of friable and certain non-friable asbestos-containing materials in the event of demolition or certain renovations or remodeling. Certain laws regarding asbestos-containing materials require building owners and lessees, among other things, to notify and train certain employees working in areas known or presumed to contain asbestos-containing materials. Certain laws also impose liability for release of asbestos-containing materials into the air and third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury or property damage associated with asbestos-containing materials. In connection with the ownership and operation of properties, we may be potentially liable for all or a portion of such costs or claims.

All of our Properties (but not properties for which we hold an option to purchase but do not yet own) have been subject to Phase I environmental assessments or updates of existing Phase I environmental assessments. Such assessments generally consisted of a visual inspection of the Properties, review of federal and state environmental databases and certain information regarding historic uses of the Property and adjacent areas and the preparation and issuance of written reports. Some of the Properties contain, or contained, underground storage tanks used for storing petroleum products or wastes typically associated with automobile service or other operations conducted at the Properties. Certain Properties contain, or contained, dry-cleaning establishments utilizing solvents. Where believed to be warranted, samplings of building materials or subsurface investigations were undertaken. At certain Properties, where warranted by the conditions, we have developed and implemented an operations and maintenance program that establishes operating procedures with respect to asbestos-containing materials. The cost associated with the development and implementation of such programs was not material. We have also obtained environmental insurance coverage at certain of our Properties.

We believe that our Properties are in compliance in all material respects with all federal, state and local ordinances and regulations regarding the handling, discharge and emission of hazardous or toxic substances. As of December 31, 2014, we have recorded in our consolidated financial statements a liability of \$2.9 million related to potential future asbestos abatement activities at our Properties which are not expected to have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations. We have not been notified by any governmental authority, and are not otherwise aware, of any material noncompliance, liability or claim relating to hazardous or toxic substances in connection with

any of our present or former Properties. Therefore, we have not recorded any liability related to hazardous or toxic substances. Nevertheless, it is possible that the environmental assessments available to us do not reveal all potential environmental liabilities. It is also possible that subsequent investigations will identify material contamination, that adverse environmental conditions have arisen subsequent to the performance of the environmental assessments, or that there are material environmental liabilities of which management is unaware. Moreover, no assurances can be given that (i) future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability or (ii) the current environmental condition of the Properties has not been or will not be affected by tenants and occupants of the Properties, by the condition of properties in the vicinity of the Properties or by third parties unrelated to us, the Operating Partnership or the relevant Property's partnership.

Possible terrorist activity or other acts of violence could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Future terrorist attacks in the United States, and other acts of violence, including terrorism or war, might result in declining consumer confidence and spending, which could harm the demand for goods and services offered by our tenants and the values of our Properties, and might adversely affect an investment in our securities. A decrease in retail demand could make it difficult for us to renew or re-lease our Properties at lease rates equal to or above historical rates and, to the extent our tenants are affected, could adversely affect their ability to continue to meet obligations under their existing leases. Terrorist activities also could directly affect the value of our Properties through damage, destruction or loss. Furthermore, terrorist acts might result in increased volatility in national and international financial markets, which could limit our access to capital or increase our cost of obtaining capital.

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND THE MARKET FOR OUR STOCK

Declines in economic conditions, including increased volatility in the capital and credit markets, could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

An economic recession can result in extreme volatility and disruption of our capital and credit markets. The resulting economic environment may be affected by dramatic declines in the stock and housing markets, increases in foreclosures, unemployment and costs of living, as well as limited access to credit. This economic situation can, and most often will, impact consumer spending levels, which can result in decreased revenues for our tenants and related decreases in the values of our Properties. A sustained economic downward trend could impact our tenants' ability to meet their lease obligations due to poor operating results, lack of liquidity, bankruptcy or other reasons. Our ability to lease space and negotiate rents at advantageous rates could also be affected in this type of economic environment. Additionally, access to capital and credit markets could be disrupted over an extended period, which may make it difficult to obtain the financing we may need for future growth and/or to meet our debt service obligations as they mature. Any of these events could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The market price of our common stock or other securities may fluctuate significantly.

The market price of our common stock or other securities may fluctuate significantly in response to many factors, including:

- actual or anticipated variations in our operating results, funds from operations, cash flows or liquidity;
- changes in our earnings estimates or those of analysts;
- changes in our dividend policy;
- impairment charges affecting the carrying value of one or more of our Properties or other assets;
- publication of research reports about us, the retail industry or the real estate industry generally;
- increases in market interest rates that lead purchasers of our securities to seek higher dividend or interest rate yields;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- adverse market reaction to the amount of our outstanding debt at any time, the amount of our maturing debt in the near and medium term and our ability to refinance such debt and the terms thereof or our plans to incur additional debt in the future;
- additions or departures of key management personnel;
- actions by institutional security holders;
- proposed or adopted regulatory or legislative changes or developments;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- changes in our credit ratings;
- the occurrence of any of the other risk factors included in, or incorporated by reference in, this report; and
- general market and economic conditions.

Many of the factors listed above are beyond our control. Those factors may cause the market price of our common stock or other securities to decline significantly, regardless of our financial performance and condition and prospects. It is impossible to provide any assurance that the market price of our common stock or other securities will not fall in the future, and it may be difficult for holders to sell such securities at prices they find attractive, or at all.



Competition could adversely affect the revenues generated by our Properties, resulting in a reduction in funds available for distribution to our stockholders.

There are numerous shopping facilities that compete with our Properties in attracting retailers to lease space. In addition, retailers at our Properties face competition for customers from:

- discount shopping centers;
- outlet malls;
- wholesale clubs;
- direct mail;
- television shopping networks; and
- shopping via the internet.

Each of these competitive factors could adversely affect the amount of rents and tenant reimbursements that we are able to collect from our tenants, thereby reducing our revenues and the funds available for distribution to our stockholders.

We compete with many commercial developers, real estate companies and major retailers for prime development locations and for tenants. New regional malls or other retail shopping centers with more convenient locations or better rents may attract tenants or cause them to seek more favorable lease terms at, or prior to, renewal.

Increased operating expenses and decreased occupancy rates may not allow us to recover the majority of our common area maintenance (CAM) and other operating expenses from our tenants, which could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and funds available for future distributions.

Energy costs, repairs, maintenance and capital improvements to common areas of our Properties, janitorial services, administrative, property and liability insurance costs and security costs are typically allocable to our Properties' tenants. Our lease agreements typically provide that the tenant is liable for a portion of the CAM and other operating expenses. While historically our lease agreements provided for variable CAM provisions, the majority of our current leases require an equal periodic tenant reimbursement amount for our cost recoveries which serves to fix our tenants' CAM contributions to us. In these cases, a tenant will pay a single specified rent amount, or a set expense reimbursement amount, subject to annual increases, regardless of the actual amount of operating expenses. The tenant's payment remains the same regardless of whether operating expenses increase or decrease, causing us to be responsible for any excess amounts or to benefit from any declines. As a result, the CAM and tenant reimbursements that we receive may or may not allow us to recover a substantial portion of these operating costs.

Additionally, in the event that our Properties are not fully occupied, we would be required to pay the portion of any operating, redevelopment or renovation expenses allocable to the vacant space(s) that would otherwise typically be paid by the residing tenant(s). Our cost recovery ratio was 98.9% for 2014.

The loss of one or more significant tenants, due to bankruptcies or as a result of consolidations in the retail industry, could adversely affect both the operating revenues and value of our Properties.

Regional malls are typically anchored by well-known department stores and other significant tenants who generate shopping traffic at the mall. A decision by an Anchor tenant or other significant tenant to cease operations at one or more Properties could have a material adverse effect on those Properties and, by extension, on our financial condition and results of operations. The closing of an Anchor or other significant tenant may allow other Anchors and/or tenants at an affected Property to terminate their leases, to seek rent relief and/or cease operating their stores or otherwise adversely affect occupancy at the Property. In addition, key tenants at one or more Properties might terminate their leases as a result of mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, dispositions or bankruptcies in the retail industry. The bankruptcy and/or closure of one or more significant tenants, if we are not able to successfully re-tenant the affected space, could have a material adverse effect on both the operating revenues and underlying value of the Properties involved, reducing the likelihood that we would be able to sell the Properties if we decided to do so, or we may be required to incur redevelopment costs in order to successfully obtain new anchors or other significant tenants when such vacancies exist.

Our Properties may be subject to impairment charges which can adversely affect our financial results.

We periodically evaluate long-lived assets to determine if there has been any impairment in their carrying values and record impairment losses if the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts or if there are other indicators of impairment. If it is determined that an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment charge is equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial results in the accounting period in which the adjustment is made. Our estimates of undiscounted



cash flows expected to be generated by each Property are based on a number of assumptions such as leasing expectations, operating budgets, estimated useful lives, future maintenance expenditures, intent to hold for use and capitalization rates. These assumptions are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, but not limited to, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates and costs to operate each Property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the future cash flows estimated in our impairment analyses may not be achieved. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a loss on impairment of real estate totaling \$18.5 million. As described in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements, we recognized a total of \$17.8 million in impairment of real estate, attributable to three 2014 Property dispositions as well as \$0.1 million from the sale of an outparcel. Additionally for the year ended December 31, 2014, as described in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, we recorded a loss on impairment of real estate of \$0.6 million, which is included in discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations, related to the true-up of a Property that was sold at the end of 2013.

Inflation or deflation may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Increased inflation could have a pronounced negative impact on our mortgage and debt interest and general and administrative expenses, as these costs could increase at a rate higher than our rents. Also, inflation may adversely affect tenant leases with stated rent increases, which could be lower than the increase in inflation at any given time. Inflation could also have an adverse effect on consumer spending which could impact our tenants' sales and, in turn, our percentage rents, where applicable.

Deflation can result in a decline in general price levels, often caused by a decrease in the supply of money or credit. The predominant effects of deflation are high unemployment, credit contraction and weakened consumer demand. Restricted lending practices could impact our ability to obtain financings or refinancings for our Properties and our tenants' ability to obtain credit. Decreases in consumer demand can have a direct impact on our tenants and the rents we receive.

Certain agreements with prior owners of Properties that we have acquired may inhibit our ability to enter into future sale or refinancing transactions affecting such Properties, which otherwise would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

Certain Properties that we originally acquired from third parties had unrealized gain attributable to the difference between the fair market value of such Properties and the third parties' adjusted tax basis in the Properties immediately prior to their contribution of such Properties to the Operating Partnership pursuant to our acquisition. For this reason, a taxable sale by us of any of such Properties, or a significant reduction in the debt encumbering such Properties, could result in adverse tax consequences to the third parties who contributed these Properties in exchange for interests in the Operating Partnership. Under the terms of these transactions, we have generally agreed that we either will not sell or refinance such an acquired Property for a number of years in any transaction that would trigger adverse tax consequences for the parties from whom we acquired such Property, or else we will reimburse such parties for all or a portion of the additional taxes they are required to pay as a result of the transaction. Accordingly, these agreements may cause us not to engage in future sale or refinancing transactions affecting such Properties which otherwise would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders, or may increase the costs to us of engaging in such transactions.

Uninsured losses could adversely affect our financial condition, and in the future our insurance may not include coverage for acts of terrorism.

We carry a comprehensive blanket policy for general liability, property casualty (including fire, earthquake and flood) and rental loss covering all of the Properties, with specifications and insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. However, even insured losses could result in a serious disruption to our business and delay our receipt of revenue. Furthermore, there are some types of losses, including lease and other contract claims, as well as some types of environmental losses, that generally are not insured or are not economically insurable. If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occurs, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a Property, as well as the anticipated future revenues from the Property. If this happens, we, or the applicable Property's partnership, may still remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the Property.

The general liability and property casualty insurance policies on our Properties currently include coverage for losses resulting from acts of terrorism, whether foreign or domestic. While we believe that the Properties are adequately insured in accordance with industry standards, the cost of general liability and property casualty insurance policies that include coverage for acts of terrorism has risen significantly subsequent to September 11, 2001. The cost of coverage for acts of terrorism is currently mitigated by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act ("TRIA"). In January 2015, Congress reinstated TRIA under the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015 ("TRIPRA") and extended the program through December 31, 2020. Under TRIPRA, the amount of terrorism-related insurance losses triggering the federal insurance threshold will be raised gradually from its current level of \$100 million in 2014 to \$200 million in 2020. Additionally, the bill increases insurers' co-payments for losses exceeding their deductibles, in annual steps, from 15% in 2014 to 20% in 2020. Each of these changes may have the effect of increasing the cost to insure against acts of terrorism for property owners, such as the Company, notwithstanding the other provisions

of TRIPRA. Further, if TRIPRA is not continued beyond 2020 or is significantly modified, we may incur higher insurance costs and experience greater difficulty in obtaining insurance that covers terrorist-related damages. Our tenants may also have similar difficulties.

#### RISKS RELATED TO DEBT AND FINANCIAL MARKETS

A deterioration of the capital and credit markets could adversely affect our ability to access funds and the capital needed to refinance debt or obtain new debt.

We are significantly dependent upon external financing to fund the growth of our business and ensure that we meet our debt servicing requirements. Our access to financing depends on the willingness of lending institutions to grant credit to us and conditions in the capital markets in general. An economic recession may cause extreme volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets. We rely upon our largest credit facilities as sources of funding for numerous transactions. Our access to these funds is dependent upon the ability of each of the participants to the credit facilities to meet their funding commitments. When markets are volatile, access to capital and credit markets could be disrupted over an extended period of time and many financial institutions may not have the available capital to meet their previous commitments. The failure of one or more significant participants to our credit facilities to meet their funding commitments could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. This may make it difficult to obtain the financing we may need for future growth and/or to meet our debt service obligations as they mature. Although we have successfully obtained debt for refinancings of our maturing debt, acquisitions and the construction of new developments in the past, we cannot make any assurances as to whether we will be able to obtain debt in the future, or that the financing options available to us will be on favorable or acceptable terms.

Our indebtedness is substantial and could impair our ability to obtain additional financing.

At December 31, 2014, our total share of consolidated and unconsolidated debt outstanding was approximately \$5,346.3 million, which represented approximately 54.3% of our total market capitalization at that time. Our total share of consolidated and unconsolidated debt maturing in 2015, 2016 and 2017, giving effect to all maturity extensions that are available at our election, was approximately \$696.0 million, \$610.7 million and \$805.6 million, respectively. Our leverage could have important consequences. For example, it could:

- result in the acceleration of a significant amount of debt for non-compliance with the terms of such debt or, if such debt contains cross-default or cross-acceleration provisions, other debt;
- result in the loss of assets due to foreclosure or sale on unfavorable terms, which could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, which could hinder the Company's ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code;
- materially impair our ability to borrow unused amounts under existing financing arrangements or to obtain additional financing or refinancing on favorable terms or at all;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow to paying principal and interest on our indebtedness, reducing the cash flow available to fund our business, to pay dividends, including those necessary to maintain our REIT qualification, or to use for other purposes;
- increase our vulnerability to an economic downturn;
- limit our ability to withstand competitive pressures; or
- reduce our flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions.

If any of the foregoing occurs, our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected, and the trading price of our common stock or other securities could decline significantly.

Rising interest rates could both increase our borrowing costs, thereby adversely affecting our cash flows and the amounts available for distributions to our stockholders, and decrease our stock price, if investors seek higher yields through other investments.

An environment of rising interest rates could lead holders of our securities to seek higher yields through other investments, which could adversely affect the market price of our stock. One of the factors that may influence the price of our stock in public markets is the annual distribution rate we pay as compared with the yields on alternative investments. Numerous other factors, such as governmental regulatory action and tax laws, could have a significant impact on the future market price of our stock. In addition, increases in market interest rates could result in increased

borrowing costs for us, which may adversely affect our cash flow and the amounts available for distributions to our stockholders.

As of December 31, 2014, our total share of consolidated and unconsolidated variable rate debt was \$786.1 million. Increases in interest rates will increase our cash interest payments on the variable rate debt we have outstanding from time to time. If we do not have sufficient cash flow from operations, we might not be able to make all required payments of principal and interest on our debt, which could result in a default or have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, and which might adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to shareholders. These significant debt payment obligations might also require us to use a significant portion of our cash flow from operations to make interest and principal payments on our debt rather than for other purposes such as working capital, capital expenditures or distributions on our common equity.

Adverse changes in our credit ratings could negatively affect our borrowing costs and financing ability.

In May 2013, we received an investment grade rating of Baa3 with a stable outlook from Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"). In July 2013, we also received an issuer default rating ("IDR") of BBB- with a stable outlook and a senior unsecured notes rating of BBB- from Fitch Ratings ("Fitch"). However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain these ratings. In conjunction with the receipt of our rating from Moody's, we made a one-time irrevocable election to use our credit rating to determine the interest rate on our three unsecured credit facilities. With this election and so long as we maintain our current credit ratings, borrowings under our three unsecured credit facilities bear interest at LIBOR plus 140 basis points. We also have an unsecured term loan that bears interest at LIBOR plus 150 basis points based on our current credit ratings. If both of our credit ratings decline, the interest rate on our unsecured credit facilities and unsecured term loan would bear interest at LIBOR plus 175 basis points and LIBOR plus 200 basis points, respectively, which would increase our borrowing costs. Additionally, a downgrade in our credit ratings may adversely impact our ability to obtain financing and limit our access to capital.

Our hedging arrangements might not be successful in limiting our risk exposure, and we might be required to incur expenses in connection with these arrangements or their termination that could harm our results of operations or financial condition.

From time to time, we use interest rate hedging arrangements to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility, but these arrangements might expose us to additional risks, such as requiring that we fund our contractual payment obligations under such arrangements in relatively large amounts or on short notice. Developing an effective interest rate risk strategy is complex, and no strategy can completely insulate us from risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. We cannot assure you that our hedging activities will have a positive impact on our results of operations or financial condition. We might be subject to additional costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs, if we terminate these arrangements. In addition, although our interest rate risk management policy establishes minimum credit ratings for counterparties, this does not eliminate the risk that a counterparty might fail to honor its obligations. The covenants in our credit facilities might adversely affect us.

Our credit facilities require us to satisfy certain affirmative and negative covenants and to meet numerous financial tests, and also contain certain default and cross-default provisions as described in more detail in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements. Our credit facilities also restrict our ability to enter into any transaction that could result in certain changes in our ownership or structure as described under the heading "Change of Control/Change in Management" in the agreements to the credit facilities. The financial covenants under the unsecured credit facilities require, among other things, that our debt to total asset value ratio, as defined in the agreements to our unsecured credit facilities, be less than 60%, that our ratio of unencumbered asset value to unsecured indebtedness, as defined, be greater than 1.60, that our ratio of unencumbered net operating income ("NOI") to unsecured interest expense, as defined, be greater than 1.75, and that our ratio of earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") to fixed charges (debt service), as defined, be greater than 1.50. Compliance with each of these ratios is dependent upon our financial performance. The debt to total asset value ratio is based, in part, on applying a capitalization rate to EBITDA as defined in the agreements to our credit facilities. Based on this calculation method, decreases in EBITDA would result in an increased debt to total asset value ratio, assuming overall debt levels remain constant. If any future failure to comply with one or more of these covenants resulted in the loss of these credit facilities and we were unable to obtain suitable replacement financing, such loss could have a material, adverse impact on our financial position and results of operations.

RISKS RELATED TO THE OPERATING PARTNERSHIP'S NOTES

CBL has no significant operations and no material assets other than its indirect investment in the Operating Partnership; therefore, the limited guarantee of the Notes does not provide material additional credit support. The limited guarantee provides that the Notes are guaranteed by CBL for any losses suffered by reason of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the Operating Partnership or its affiliates. However, CBL has no significant operations and no material assets other than its indirect investment in the Operating Partnership. Furthermore, the limited guarantee of the Notes is effectively subordinated to all existing and future liabilities and preferred equity of the Company's subsidiaries (including the Operating Partnership (except as to the Notes) and any entity the Company accounts for under the equity method of accounting) and any of the Company's secured debt, to the extent of the value of the assets securing any such indebtedness. Due to the narrow scope of

the limited guarantee, the lack of significant operations or assets at CBL other than its indirect investment in the Operating Partnership and the structural subordination of the limited guarantee to the liabilities and any preferred equity of the Company's subsidiaries, the limited guarantee does not provide material additional credit support. Our substantial indebtedness could materially and adversely affect us and the ability of the Operating Partnership to meet its debt service obligations under the Notes.

Our level of indebtedness and the limitations imposed on us by our debt agreements could have significant adverse consequences to holders of the Notes, including the following:

- our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our debt service obligations with respect to the Notes and our other indebtedness, which would enable the lenders and other debtholders to accelerate the maturity of their indebtedness, or be insufficient to fund other important business uses after meeting such obligations;

- we may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms;

- we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or earlier acceleration, if applicable, or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of our original indebtedness or otherwise be generally unfavorable; because a significant portion of our debt bears interest at variable rates, increases in interest rates could materially increase our interest expense;

- increases in interest rates could also materially increase our interest expense on future fixed rate debt;

- we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our Properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms;

- we may default on our other unsecured indebtedness;

- we may default on our secured indebtedness and the lenders may foreclose on our Properties or our interests in the entities that own the Properties that secure such indebtedness and receive an assignment of rents and leases; and

- we may violate restrictive covenants in our debt agreements, which would entitle the lenders and other debtholders to accelerate the maturity of their indebtedness.

If any one of these events were to occur, our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects, as well as the Operating Partnership's ability to satisfy its obligations with respect to the Notes, could be materially and adversely affected. Furthermore, foreclosures could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, a circumstance which could hinder the Company's ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code.

The structural subordination of the Notes may limit the Operating Partnership's ability to meet its debt service obligations under the Notes.

The Notes are the Operating Partnership's unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness and rank equally with the Operating Partnership's existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness, and are effectively junior to all liabilities and any preferred equity of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries and to all of the Operating Partnership's indebtedness that is secured by the Operating Partnership's assets, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness. While the indenture governing the Notes limits our ability to incur additional secured indebtedness in the future, it will not prohibit us from incurring such indebtedness if we are in compliance with certain financial ratios and other requirements at the time of its incurrence. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding with respect to us, the holders of any secured indebtedness will be entitled to proceed directly against the collateral that secures the secured indebtedness. Therefore, such collateral will not be available for satisfaction of any amounts owed under our unsecured indebtedness, including the Notes, until such secured indebtedness is satisfied in full.

The Notes also are effectively subordinated to all liabilities, whether secured or unsecured, and any preferred equity of the subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding with respect to any such subsidiary, the Operating Partnership, as an equity owner of such subsidiary, and therefore holders of our debt, including the Notes, will be subject to the prior claims of such subsidiary's creditors, including trade creditors, and preferred equity holders. Furthermore, while the indenture governing the Notes limits the ability of our subsidiaries to incur additional unsecured indebtedness in the future, it does not prohibit our subsidiaries from incurring such indebtedness if such subsidiaries are in compliance with certain financial ratios and other requirements at the time of its incurrence.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service obligations.

Our ability to meet our debt service obligations on and to refinance our indebtedness and to fund our operations, working capital, acquisitions, capital expenditures and other important business uses, depends on our ability to generate sufficient cash flow in the future. To a certain extent, our cash flow is subject to general economic, industry, financial, competitive, operating, legislative, regulatory and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.



We cannot be certain that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future sources of cash will be available to us in an amount sufficient to enable us to meet our debt service obligations on our indebtedness, including the Notes, or to fund our other important business uses. Additionally, if we incur additional indebtedness in connection with future acquisitions or development projects or for any other purpose, our debt service obligations could increase significantly and our ability to meet those obligations could depend, in large part, on the returns from such acquisitions or projects, as to which no assurance can be given.

We may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness, including the Notes, at or prior to maturity. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness or obtain additional financing will depend on, among other things:

- our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects and market conditions at the time; and
- restrictions in the agreements governing our indebtedness.

As a result, we may not be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including the Notes, on favorable terms, or at all. If we do not generate sufficient cash flow from operations, and additional borrowings or refinancings are not available to us, we may be unable to meet all of our debt service obligations, including payments on the Notes. As a result, we would be forced to take other actions to meet those obligations, such as selling Properties, raising equity or delaying capital expenditures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on us. Furthermore, we cannot be certain that we will be able to effect any of these actions on favorable terms, or at all.

Despite our substantial outstanding indebtedness, we may still incur significantly more indebtedness in the future, which would exacerbate any or all of the risks described above.

We may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although the agreements governing our revolving credit facilities, term loans and certain other indebtedness do, and the indenture governing the Notes does, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions and, under certain circumstances, debt incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. To the extent that we incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future, the risks associated with our substantial leverage described above, including our inability to meet our debt service obligations, would be exacerbated. Federal and state statutes allow courts, under specific circumstances, to void guarantees and require holders of indebtedness and lenders to return payments received from guarantors.

Under the federal bankruptcy law and comparable provisions of state fraudulent transfer laws, a guarantee, such as the limited guarantee provided by CBL or any future guarantee of the Notes issued by any subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, could be voided and required to be returned to the guarantor, or to a fund for the benefit of the creditors of the guarantor, if, among other things, the guarantor, at the time it incurred the indebtedness evidenced by its guarantee (i) received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for the incurrence of the guarantee and (ii) one of the following was true with respect to the guarantor:

- was insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of the incurrence of the guarantee;
- was engaged in a business or transaction for which the guarantor's remaining assets constituted unreasonably small capital; or
- intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay those debts as they mature.

In addition, any claims in respect of a guarantee could be subordinated to all other debts of that guarantor under principles of "equitable subordination," which generally require that the claimant must have engaged in some type of inequitable conduct, the misconduct must have resulted in injury to the creditors of the debtor or conferred an unfair advantage on the claimant, and equitable subordination must not be inconsistent with other provisions of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.

The measures of insolvency for purposes of these fraudulent transfer laws will vary depending upon the law applied in any proceeding to determine whether a fraudulent transfer has occurred. Generally, however, a guarantor would be considered insolvent if:

- the sum of its debts, including contingent liabilities, was greater than the fair saleable value of all of its assets;
- the present fair saleable value of its assets was less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liability on its existing debts, including contingent liabilities, as they became absolute and mature; or
- it could not pay its debts as they become due.

The court might also void such guarantee, without regard to the above factors, if it found that a guarantor entered into its guarantee with actual or deemed intent to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors.

A court would likely find that a guarantor did not receive reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for its guarantee unless it benefited directly or indirectly from the issuance or incurrence of such indebtedness. This risk may be increased if any subsidiary of the Operating Partnership guarantees the Notes in the future, as no additional consideration would be received at the time such guarantee is issued. If a court voided such guarantee, holders of the indebtedness and lenders would no longer have a claim against such guarantor or the benefit of the assets of such guarantor constituting collateral that purportedly secured such guarantee. In addition, the court might direct holders of the indebtedness and lenders to repay any amounts already received from a guarantor.

The indenture governing the Notes contains restrictive covenants that may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue certain of our business strategies.

The indenture governing the Notes contains financial and operating covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to take specific actions, even if we believe them to be in our best interest, including, subject to various exceptions, restrictions on our ability to:

- consummate a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets; and
- incur secured and unsecured indebtedness.

In addition, our revolving credit facilities, term loans and certain other debt agreements require us to meet specified financial ratios and the indenture governing the Notes requires us to maintain at all times a specified ratio of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt. These covenants may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue our business strategies. Our ability to comply with these and other provisions of the indenture governing the Notes, our revolving credit facility and certain other debt agreements may be affected by changes in our operating and financial performance, changes in general business and economic conditions, adverse regulatory developments or other events beyond our control. The breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our indebtedness, which could result in the acceleration of the maturity of such indebtedness. If any of our indebtedness is accelerated prior to maturity, we may not be able to repay such indebtedness or refinance such indebtedness on favorable terms, or at all. There is no prior public market for the Notes, so if an active trading market does not develop or is not maintained for the Notes, holders of the Notes may not be able to resell them on favorable terms when desired, or at all.

Prior to the offering of each of the 2023 Notes and the 2024 Notes, there was no public market for such Notes and we cannot be certain that an active trading market will ever develop for the Notes or, if one develops, will be maintained. Furthermore, we do not intend to apply for listing of the Notes on any securities exchange or for quotation of the Notes on any automated dealer quotation system. The underwriters informed us that they intend to make a market in the Notes. However, the underwriters may cease their market making at any time without notice to or the consent of existing holders of the Notes. The lack of a trading market could adversely affect a holder's ability to sell the Notes when desired, or at all, and the price at which a holder may be able to sell the Notes. The liquidity of the trading market, if any, and future trading prices of the Notes will depend on many factors, including, among other things, prevailing interest rates, our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects, the market for similar securities and the overall securities market, and may be adversely affected by unfavorable changes in these factors. It is possible that the market for the Notes will be subject to disruptions which may have a negative effect on the holders of the Notes, regardless of our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations or prospects.

#### RISKS RELATED TO GEOGRAPHIC CONCENTRATIONS

Since our Properties are located principally in the Southeastern and Midwestern United States, our financial position, results of operations and funds available for distribution to shareholders are subject generally to economic conditions in these regions.

Our Properties are located principally in the southeastern and midwestern United States. Our Properties located in the southeastern United States accounted for approximately 46.4% of our total revenues from all Properties for the year ended December 31, 2014 and currently include 38 malls, 16 associated centers, 9 community centers and 12 office buildings. Our Properties located in the midwestern United States accounted for approximately 31.3% of our total revenues from all Properties for the year ended December 31, 2014 and currently include 26 malls and 4 associated centers. Our results of operations and funds available for distribution to shareholders therefore will be subject generally to economic conditions in the southeastern and midwestern United States. While we already have Properties located in eight states across the southwestern, northeastern and western regions, we will continue to look for

opportunities to geographically diversify our portfolio in order to minimize dependency on any particular region; however, the expansion of the portfolio through both acquisitions and developments is contingent on many factors including consumer demand, competition and economic conditions.

Our financial position, results of operations and funds available for distribution to shareholders could be adversely affected by any economic downturn affecting the operating results at our Properties in the St. Louis, MO; Chattanooga, TN; Madison, WI; Lexington, KY; and Winston-Salem, NC metropolitan areas, which are our five largest markets.

Our Properties located in the St. Louis, MO; Chattanooga, TN; Madison, WI; Lexington, KY; and Winston-Salem, NC metropolitan areas accounted for approximately 7.8%, 3.9%, 3.3%, 2.8% and 2.5%, respectively, of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014. No other market accounted for more than 2.5% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014. Our financial position and results of operations will therefore be affected by the results experienced at Properties located in these metropolitan areas.

#### RISKS RELATED TO INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENTS

Ownership interests in investments or joint ventures outside the United States present numerous risks that differ from those of our domestic investments.

International development and ownership activities yield additional risks that differ from those related to our domestic Properties and operations. These additional risks include, but are not limited to:

- impact of adverse changes in exchange rates of foreign currencies;
- difficulties in the repatriation of cash and earnings;
- differences in managerial styles and customs;
- changes in applicable laws and regulations in the United States that affect foreign operations;
- changes in foreign political, legal and economic environments; and
- differences in lending practices.

Our international activities are currently limited in their scope. We have an investment in a mall operating and real estate development company in China that is immaterial to our consolidated financial position. However, should our investments in international joint ventures or investments grow, these additional risks could increase in significance and adversely affect our results of operations.

#### RISKS RELATED TO DIVIDENDS

We may change the dividend policy for our common stock in the future.

Depending upon our liquidity needs, we reserve the right to pay any or all of a dividend in a combination of cash and shares of common stock, to the extent permitted by any applicable revenue procedures of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). In the event that we pay a portion of our dividends in shares of our common stock pursuant to such procedures, taxable U.S. stockholders would be required to pay tax on the entire amount of the dividend, including the portion paid in shares of common stock, in which case such stockholders may have to use cash from other sources to pay such tax. If a U.S. stockholder sells the common stock it receives as a dividend in order to pay its taxes, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our common stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold federal tax with respect to our dividends, including dividends that are paid in common stock. In addition, if a significant number of our stockholders sell shares of our common stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, such sales would put downward pressure on the market price of our common stock.

The decision to declare and pay dividends on our common stock in the future, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our earnings, taxable income, funds from operations, liquidity, financial condition, capital requirements, contractual prohibitions or other limitations under our indebtedness and preferred stock, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, Delaware law and such other factors as our Board of Directors deems relevant. Any dividends payable will be determined by our Board of Directors based upon the circumstances at the time of declaration. Any change in our dividend policy could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Since we conduct substantially all of our operations through our Operating Partnership, our ability to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock depends on the distributions we receive from our Operating Partnership.

Because we conduct substantially all of our operations through our Operating Partnership, our ability to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock will depend almost entirely on payments and distributions we receive on our interests in our Operating Partnership. Additionally, the terms of some of the debt to which our Operating Partnership is a party may limit its ability to make some types of payments and other distributions to us. This in turn may limit our ability to make some types of

21

---

payments, including payment of dividends to our stockholders, unless we meet certain financial tests. As a result, if our Operating Partnership fails to pay distributions to us, we generally will not be able to pay dividends to our stockholders for one or more dividend periods.

#### RISKS RELATED TO FEDERAL INCOME TAX LAWS

We conduct a portion of our business through taxable REIT subsidiaries, which are subject to certain tax risks. We have established several taxable REIT subsidiaries including our Management Company. Despite our qualification as a REIT, our taxable REIT subsidiaries must pay income tax on their taxable income. In addition, we must comply with various tests to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and our income from and investments in our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally do not constitute permissible income and investments for these tests. While we will attempt to ensure that our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not adversely affect our REIT qualification, we cannot provide assurance that we will successfully achieve that result. Furthermore, we may be subject to a 100% penalty tax, or our taxable REIT subsidiaries may be denied deductions, to the extent our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries are not deemed to be arm's length in nature.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, our funds available for distribution to stockholders will be reduced. We intend to continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. Although we believe that we are organized and operate in such a manner, no assurance can be given that we currently qualify and in the future will continue to qualify as a REIT. Such qualification involves the application of highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify. In addition, no assurance can be given that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to qualification or its corresponding federal income tax consequences. Any such change could have a retroactive effect.

If in any taxable year we were to fail to qualify as a REIT, we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and we would be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. Unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we also would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification was lost. As a result, the funds available for distribution to our stockholders would be reduced for each of the years involved. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities and our ability to raise additional capital. In addition, we would no longer be required to make distributions to our stockholders. We currently intend to operate in a manner designed to qualify as a REIT. However, it is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our Board of Directors, with the consent of a majority of our stockholders, to revoke the REIT election. Any issuance or transfer of our capital stock to any person in excess of the applicable limits on ownership necessary to maintain our status as a REIT would be deemed void ab initio, and those shares would automatically be transferred to a non-affiliated charitable trust.

To maintain our status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Internal Revenue Code to include certain entities) at any time during the last half of a taxable year. Our certificate of incorporation generally prohibits ownership of more than 6% of the outstanding shares of our capital stock by any single stockholder determined by vote, value or number of shares (other than Charles Lebovitz, Executive Chairman of our Board of Directors and our former Chief Executive Officer, David Jacobs, Richard Jacobs and their affiliates under the Internal Revenue Code's attribution rules). The affirmative vote of 66 2/3% of our outstanding voting stock is required to amend this provision.

Our Board of Directors may, subject to certain conditions, waive the applicable ownership limit upon receipt of a ruling from the IRS or an opinion of counsel to the effect that such ownership will not jeopardize our status as a REIT. Absent any such waiver, however, any issuance or transfer of our capital stock to any person in excess of the applicable ownership limit or any issuance or transfer of shares of such stock which would cause us to be beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons, will be null and void and the intended transferee will acquire no rights to the stock. Instead, such issuance or transfer with respect to that number of shares that would be owned by the transferee in excess of the ownership limit provision would be deemed void ab initio and those shares would automatically be

transferred to a trust for the exclusive benefit of a charitable beneficiary to be designated by us, with a trustee designated by us, but who would not be affiliated with us or with the prohibited owner. Any acquisition of our capital stock and continued holding or ownership of our capital stock constitutes, under our certificate of incorporation, a continuous representation of compliance with the applicable ownership limit.



In order to maintain our status as a REIT and avoid the imposition of certain additional taxes under the Internal Revenue Code, we must satisfy minimum requirements for distributions to shareholders, which may limit the amount of cash we might otherwise have been able to retain for use in growing our business.

To maintain our status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, we generally will be required each year to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our taxable income after certain adjustments. However, to the extent that we do not distribute all of our net capital gains or distribute at least 90% but less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, as adjusted, we will be subject to tax on the undistributed amount at regular corporate tax rates, as the case may be. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which certain distributions paid by us during each calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income for such calendar year, 95% of our capital gain net income for the calendar year and any amount of such income that was not distributed in prior years. In the case of property acquisitions, including our initial formation, where individual Properties are contributed to our Operating Partnership for Operating Partnership units, we have assumed the tax basis and depreciation schedules of the entities contributing Properties. The relatively low tax basis of such contributed Properties may have the effect of increasing the cash amounts we are required to distribute as dividends, thereby potentially limiting the amount of cash we might otherwise have been able to retain for use in growing our business. This low tax basis may also have the effect of reducing or eliminating the portion of distributions made by us that are treated as a non-taxable return of capital.

Complying with REIT requirements might cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

In order to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the nature of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our shareholders and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to our shareholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Thus, compliance with REIT requirements may cause us to forego opportunities we would otherwise pursue. In addition, the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code impose a 100% tax on income from "prohibited transactions." "Prohibited transactions" generally include sales of assets that constitute inventory or other property held for sale in the ordinary course of business, other than foreclosure property. This 100% tax could impact our desire to sell assets and other investments at otherwise opportune times if we believe such sales could be considered "prohibited transactions."

Our holding company structure makes us dependent on distributions from the Operating Partnership.

Because we conduct our operations through the Operating Partnership, our ability to service our debt obligations and pay dividends to our shareholders is strictly dependent upon the earnings and cash flows of the Operating Partnership and the ability of the Operating Partnership to make distributions to us. Under the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, the Operating Partnership is prohibited from making any distribution to us to the extent that at the time of the distribution, after giving effect to the distribution, all liabilities of the Operating Partnership (other than some non-recourse liabilities and some liabilities to the partners) exceed the fair value of the assets of the Operating Partnership. Additionally, the terms of some of the debt to which our Operating Partnership is a party may limit its ability to make some types of payments and other distributions to us. This in turn may limit our ability to make some types of payments, including payment of dividends on our outstanding capital stock, unless we meet certain financial tests or such payments or dividends are required to maintain our qualification as a REIT or to avoid the imposition of any federal income or excise tax on undistributed income. Any inability to make cash distributions from the Operating Partnership could jeopardize our ability to pay dividends on our outstanding shares of capital stock and to maintain qualification as a REIT.

#### **RISKS RELATED TO OUR ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE**

The ownership limit described above, as well as certain provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws, and certain provisions of Delaware law, may hinder any attempt to acquire us.

There are certain provisions of Delaware law, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our amended and restated bylaws, and other agreements to which we are a party that may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us. These provisions may also inhibit a change in control that some, or a majority, of our stockholders might believe to be in their best interest or that could give our

stockholders the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market prices for their shares. These provisions and agreements are summarized as follows:

The Ownership Limit – As described above, to maintain our status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Internal Revenue Code to include certain entities) during the last half of a taxable year. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation generally prohibits ownership of more than 6% of the outstanding shares of our capital stock by any single stockholder determined by value (other than Charles Lebovitz, David Jacobs, Richard Jacobs and their affiliates under the Internal Revenue Code's attribution rules). In addition to preserving our status as a REIT, the

ownership limit may have the effect of precluding an acquisition of control of us without the approval of our Board of Directors.

**Removal for Cause** – Our stockholders can only remove directors for cause and only by a vote of 75% of the outstanding voting stock. This provision makes it more difficult to change the composition of our Board of Directors and may have the effect of encouraging persons considering unsolicited tender offers or other unilateral takeover proposals to negotiate with our Board of Directors rather than pursue non-negotiated takeover attempts.

**Advance Notice Requirements for Stockholder Proposals** – Our amended and restated bylaws establish advance notice procedures with regard to stockholder proposals relating to the nomination of candidates for election as directors or new business to be brought before meetings of our stockholders. These procedures generally require advance written notice of any such proposals, containing prescribed information, to be given to our Secretary at our principal executive offices not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the meeting.

**Vote Required to Amend Bylaws** – A vote of 66<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>% of our outstanding voting stock (in addition to any separate approval that may be required by the holders of any particular class of stock) is necessary for stockholders to amend our bylaws.

**Delaware Anti-Takeover Statute** – We are a Delaware corporation and are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. In general, Section 203 prevents an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as a person owning 15% or more of a company's outstanding voting stock) from engaging in a “business combination” (as defined in Section 203) with us for three years following the date that person becomes an interested stockholder unless:

- (a) before that person became an interested holder, our Board of Directors approved the transaction in which the interested holder became an interested stockholder or approved the business combination; upon completion of the transaction that resulted in the interested stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owns 85% of our voting stock outstanding at the time the transaction commenced
- (b) (excluding stock held by directors who are also officers and by employee stock plans that do not provide employees with the right to determine confidentially whether shares held subject to the plan will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer); or
- (c) following the transaction in which that person became an interested stockholder, the business combination is approved by our Board of Directors and authorized at a meeting of stockholders by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of our outstanding voting stock not owned by the interested stockholder.

Under Section 203, these restrictions also do not apply to certain business combinations proposed by an interested stockholder following the announcement or notification of certain extraordinary transactions involving us and a person who was not an interested stockholder during the previous three years or who became an interested stockholder with the approval of a majority of our directors, if that extraordinary transaction is approved or not opposed by a majority of the directors who were directors before any person became an interested stockholder in the previous three years or who were recommended for election or elected to succeed such directors by a majority of directors then in office.

Certain ownership interests held by members of our senior management may tend to create conflicts of interest between such individuals and the interests of the Company and our Operating Partnership.

- **Tax Consequences of the Sale or Refinancing of Certain Properties** – Since certain of our Properties had unrealized gain attributable to the difference between the fair market value and adjusted tax basis in such Properties immediately prior to their contribution to the Operating Partnership, a taxable sale of any such Properties, or a significant reduction in the debt encumbering such Properties, could cause adverse tax consequences to the members of our senior management who owned interests in our predecessor entities. As a result, members of our senior management might not favor a sale of a Property or a significant reduction in debt even though such a sale or reduction could be beneficial to us and the Operating Partnership. Our amended and restated bylaws provide that any decision relating to the potential sale of any Property that would result in a disproportionately higher taxable income for members of our senior management than for us and our stockholders, or that would result in a significant reduction in such Property's debt, must be made by a majority of the independent directors of the Board of Directors. The Operating Partnership is required, in the case of such a sale, to distribute to its partners, at a minimum, all of the net cash proceeds from such

sale up to an amount reasonably believed necessary to enable members of our senior management to pay any income tax liability arising from such sale.

Interests in Other Entities; Policies of the Board of Directors – Certain entities owned in whole or in part by members of our senior management, including the construction company that built or renovated most of our Properties, may continue to perform services for, or transact business with, us and the Operating Partnership.

- Furthermore, certain Property tenants are affiliated with members of our senior management. Our amended and restated bylaws provide that any contract or transaction between us or the Operating Partnership and one or more of our directors or officers, or between us or the Operating Partnership and any other entity in which one or more of our directors or officers are directors or officers or

have a financial interest, must be approved by our disinterested directors or stockholders after the material facts of the relationship or interest of the contract or transaction are disclosed or are known to them. Our code of business conduct and ethics also contains provisions governing the approval of certain transactions involving the Company and employees (or immediate family members of employees, as defined therein) that are not subject to the provision of the amended and restated bylaws described above. Such transactions are also subject to the Company's related party transactions policy in the manner and to the extent detailed in the proxy statement filed with the SEC for the Company's 2014 annual meeting. Nevertheless, these affiliations could create conflicts between the interests of these members of senior management and the interests of the Company, our shareholders and the Operating Partnership in relation to any transactions between us and any of these entities.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Item 7 for additional information pertaining to the Properties' performance.

##### Malls

We owned a controlling interest in 72 Malls and non-controlling interests in 9 Malls as of December 31, 2014. The Malls are primarily located in middle markets and generally have strong competitive positions because they are the only, or the dominant, regional mall in their respective trade areas. The Malls are generally anchored by two or more department stores and a wide variety of mall stores. Anchor tenants own or lease their stores and non-anchor stores lease their locations. Additional freestanding stores and restaurants that either own or lease their stores are typically located along the perimeter of the Malls' parking areas.

We classify our regional Malls into four categories:

(1) Stabilized Malls - Malls that have completed their initial lease-up and have been open for more than three complete calendar years.

Non-stabilized Malls - Malls that are in their initial lease-up phase. After three complete calendar years of operation, they are reclassified on January 1 of the fourth calendar year to the Stabilized Mall category. The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass, which opened in July 2014, The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta, which opened in July 2013, (2) and The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City, which opened in August 2011, were classified as Non-stabilized Malls as of December 31, 2014. The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta and The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City were classified as Non-stabilized Malls as of December 31, 2013.

Non-core Malls - Malls where we have determined that the current format of the Property no longer represents the best use of the Property and we are in the process of evaluating alternative strategies for the Property, which may include major redevelopment or an alternative retail or non-retail format, or after evaluating alternative strategies for the Property, we have determined that the Property no longer meets our criteria for long-term investment.

Similar criteria apply to the classification of an Associated Center or Community Center as a Non-core Property. The steps taken to reposition Non-core Properties, such as signing tenants to short-term leases, which are not included in occupancy percentages, or leasing to regional or local tenants, which typically do not report sales, may (3) lead to metrics which do not provide relevant information related to the condition of Non-core Properties.

Therefore, traditional performance measures, such as occupancy percentages and leasing metrics, exclude Non-core Properties. Madison Square was classified as a Non-core Mall as of December 31, 2014. Columbia Place, Citadel Mall, Chapel Hill Mall and Madison Square were classified as Non-core Malls as of December 31, 2013. Additionally, Madison Plaza, an Associated Center adjacent to Madison Square, was classified as a Non-core Property as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. The foreclosure of Citadel Mall was completed in the first quarter of 2014. Chapel Hill Mall and Columbia Place were conveyed to the respective lenders holding the non-recourse mortgage loans secured by these Properties, in the third and fourth quarters of 2014, respectively.

Lender Malls - Properties for which we are working or intend to work with the lender on the terms of the loan secured by the related Property. As of December 31, 2014, Gulf Coast Town Center and Triangle Town Center were classified as Lender Malls. Additionally, Triangle Town Place, an Associated Center adjacent to Triangle Town Center, was classified as a Lender Property as of December 31, 2014. Lender Properties are excluded from our same-center pool because they are under cash management agreements with the respective servicers. As such, the respective servicer controls the cash flow of these Properties.

We own the land underlying each Mall in fee simple interest, except for Walnut Square, WestGate Mall, St. Clair Square, Brookfield Square, Bonita Lakes Mall, Meridian Mall, Stroud Mall, Wausau Center and EastGate Mall. We lease all or a portion of the land at each of these Malls subject to long-term ground leases.

The following table sets forth certain information for each of the Malls as of December 31, 2014:

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Percentage Anchors & Junior Anchors <sup>(5)</sup>
<b>TIER 1</b>								
Sales > \$375 per square foot								
Acadiana Mall Lafayette, LA	1979/2005	2004	100	% 991,196	298,933	\$435	97	% Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Asheville Mall Asheville, NC	1972/1998	2000	100	% 974,465	266,561	384	97	% Barnes & Noble, Belk, Dillard's for Men, Children & Home, Dillard's for Women, H&M, JC Penney, Sears
CoolSprings Galleria <sup>(6)</sup> Nashville, TN	1991	1994	50	% 1,055,582	417,016	473	97	% Belk, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, former Sears <sup>(7)</sup>
Cross Creek Mall Fayetteville, NC	1975/2003	2013	100	% 1,032,995	297,102	491	100	% Belk, H&M <sup>(8)</sup> , JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Dakota Square Mall Minot, ND	1980/2012	2008	100	% 813,111	159,300	476	99	% Barnes & Noble, Carmike Cinema, Herberger's, JC Penney, Scheels, Sears, Sleep Inn & Suites - Splashdown
Fayette Mall Lexington, KY	1971/2001	2014	100	% 1,173,744	475,467	544	99	% Dakota Super Slides, Target Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, H&M, JC Penney, Macy's
Friendly Center and The Shops at Friendly <sup>(6)</sup>	1957/ 2006/ 2007	2014	50	% 1,137,632	491,066	450	96	% Barnes & Noble, BB&T, Belk, Belk Home Store, The Grande

Greensboro, NC									Cinema, Harris Teeter, Macy's, REI, Sears, Whole Foods
Governor's Square <sup>(6)</sup> Clarksville, TN	1986	1999	47.5	%	732,075	242,312	382	98	% Belk, Best Buy, Carmike Cinema, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Ross, Sears Barnes & Noble, Belk for Men, Kids & Home, Belk for Women, Dillard's for Men, Kids & Home, Dillard's for Women, Forever 21, JC Penney, Sears
Hamilton Place Chattanooga, TN	1987	1998	90	%	1,160,868	333,489	395	98	% Dillard's, H&M <sup>(8)</sup> , JC Penney, Macy's, Ross, Sears Herberger's, Keating Furniture, JC Penney, Scheels, Target Beall's, Cinemark, Dillard's, Foot Locker, Forever 21, JC Penney, Joe Brand, Macy's, Macy's Home Store, Sears
Jefferson Mall Louisville, KY	1978/2001	1999	100	%	903,158	250,264	382	96	%
Kirkwood Mall Bismarck, ND	1970/2012	2002	100	%	849,808	234,239	376	93	%
Mall del Norte Laredo, TX	1977/2004	1993	100	%	1,168,422	383,597	559	96	%



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Percentage Anchors & Junior Anchors <sup>(5)</sup>
Oak Park Mall <sup>(6)</sup> Overland Park, KS	1974/2005	1998	50	% 1,607,803	429,948	437	99	% Academy Sports & Outdoors, Barnes & Noble, Dillard's for Women, Dillard's for Men, Children & Home, H&M, JC Penney, Macy's, Nordstrom, XXI Forever
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso El Paso, TX	2007/2012	2014	75	% 433,045	411,004	398	95	% H&M
St. Clair Square <sup>(9)</sup> Fairview Heights, IL	1974/1996	1993	100	% 1,077,319	300,064	383	97	% Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Sunrise Mall Brownsville, TX	1979/2003	2000	100	% 750,791	236,034	413	94	% A'gaci, Beall's, Cinemark, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears Dillard's for Men & Home, Dillard's for Women, Dillard's for Children, H&M <sup>(8)</sup> , JC Penney, Macy's, Sears Barnes & Noble, Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Macy's, Nordstrom, XXI Forever Boston Store, Dick's Sporting Goods, Forever 21, JC Penney, Sears
Volusia Mall Daytona Beach, FL	1974/2004	2013	100	% 1,083,762	247,329	383	97	%
West County Center <sup>(6)</sup> Des Peres, MO	1969/2007	2002	50	% 1,207,630	335,747	460	97	%
West Towne Mall Madison, WI	1970/2001	2013	100	% 828,602	271,130	520	98	%

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Total Tier 1 Malls					18,982,008	6,080,602	\$445	97	%	
TIER 2										
Sales of \$300 to \$375 per square foot										
Arbor Place Atlanta (Douglasville), GA	1999	N/A	100	%	1,163,324	308,894	\$346	96	%	Bed Bath & Beyond, Belk, Dillard's, Forever 21, H & M, JC Penney, Macy's, Regal Cinemas, Sears Barnes & Noble,
Brookfield Square <sup>(10)</sup> Brookfield, WI	1967/2001	2008	100	%	1,008,340	268,266	347	99	%	Boston Store, H&M, JC Penney, Sears Dick's Sporting Goods,
Burnsville Center Burnsville, MN	1977/1998	N/A	100	%	1,043,136	379,316	333	94	%	Gordmans, H&M, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears Barnes & Noble,
CherryVale Mall Rockford, IL	1973/2001	2007	100	%	845,250	330,665	335	97	%	Bergner's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Coastal Grand-Myrtle Beach <sup>(6)</sup> Myrtle Beach, SC	2004	2007	50	%	1,038,576	342,601	365	96	%	Bed Bath & Beyond, Belk, Cinemark Theater, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, H&M <sup>(8)</sup> , JC Penney, Sears Barnes & Noble, Boston Store, Dick's Sporting Goods,
East Towne Mall Madison, WI	1971/2001	2004	100	%	788,120	229,366	326	99	%	Gordman's, JC Penney, Sears, Steinhafels
EastGate Mall <sup>(11)</sup> Cincinnati, OH	1980/2003	1995	100	%	855,143	274,431	310	87	%	Dillard's, JC Penney, Kohl's, Sears
Frontier Mall Cheyenne, WY	1981	1997	100	%	525,173	180,303	349	91	%	Carmike Cinema, Dillard's for Women, Dillard's for Men, Kids & Home, JC Penney, Sears, Sports Authority



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Percentage	Anchor & Junior Anchors <sup>(5)</sup>
Greenbrier Mall Chesapeake, VA	1981/2004	2004	100	% 896,738	267,719	326	96	%	Dillard's, GameWorks, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Hanes Mall Winston-Salem, NC	1975/2001	1990	100	% 1,505,336	504,210	349	99	%	Belk, Dillard's, Encore, H&M, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Harford Mall Bel Air, MD	1973/2003	2007	100	% 505,456	181,280	366	92	%	Encore, Macy's, Sears
Honey Creek Mall Terre Haute, IN	1968/2004	1981	100	% 677,370	185,855	332	97	%	Carson's, Encore, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Imperial Valley Mall El Centro, CA	2005	N/A	100	% 825,826	212,709	336	97	%	Cinemark, Dillard's, JC Penney, Kohl's, Macy's, Sears
Laurel Park Place Livonia, MI	1989/2005	1994	100	% 489,987	191,177	344	95	%	Carson's, Von Maur
Layton Hills Mall Layton, UT	1980/2006	1998	100	% 636,702	208,997	349	97	%	Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Macy's Bed Bath & Beyond, Dick's Sporting Goods, Gordman's <sup>(13)</sup> , H&M, JC Penney,
Meridian Mall <sup>(12)</sup> Lansing, MI	1969/1998	2001	100	% 968,228	340,376	319	89	%	Macy's, Planet Fitness, Schuler Books & Music, Younkers for Her, Younkers Men, Kids & Home
Northpark Mall Joplin, MO	1972/2004	1996	100	% 955,216	274,365	311	87	%	Hollywood Theater, JC Penney, Jo-Ann Fabrics & Crafts, Macy's Men & Home, Macy's Women & Children, Sears, former Shopko,

Northwoods Mall North Charleston, SC	1972/2001	1995	100	%	772,684	269,565	346	97	%	Tilt, T.J. Maxx, V-Stock Belk, Books-A-Million, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears
Old Hickory Mall Jackson, TN	1967/2001	1994	100	%	538,991	161,896	322	91	%	Belk, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta Woodstock, GA	2013	N/A	75	%	371,376	346,569	N/A *	97	%	Saks Fifth Ave OFF 5TH
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City Oklahoma City, OK	2011	2014	75	%	394,661	367,713	N/A *	100	%	Saks Fifth Ave OFF 5TH
The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(14)</sup> Simpsonville, KY	2014	N/A	65	%	374,683	350,125	N/A *	97	%	Saks Fifth Ave OFF 5TH
Park Plaza Little Rock, AR	1988/2004	N/A	100	%	540,331	236,581	370	92	%	Dillard's for Men & Children, Dillard's for Women & Home, XXI Forever Ashley Furniture, Beall's, Dillard's, JC Penney, Hollywood Theater, Kaplan College, Macy's, Marshall's, Michael's, Sears, 2nd and Charles, former Steve & Barry's, XXI Forever
Parkdale Mall Beaumont, TX	1972/2001	2014	100	%	1,278,141	330,922	340	89	%	Belk, Dillard's
Parkway Place Huntsville, AL	1957/1998	2002	100	%	648,264	272,439	328	99	%	Barnes & Noble, Dillard's, Macy's, Sports Authority
Pearland Town Center <sup>(15)</sup> Pearland, TX	2008	N/A	100	%	644,920	281,331	309	91	%	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Percentage	Anchors & Junior Anchors <sup>(5)</sup>
Post Oak Mall College Station, TX	1982	1985	100	% 774,922	287,397	374	92	%	Beall's, Dillard's Men & Home, Dillard's Women & Children, Encore, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Richland Mall Waco, TX	1980/2002	1996	100	% 685,730	204,505	355	95	%	Beall's, Dillard's for Men, Kids & Home, Dillard's for Women, JC Penney, Sears, XXI Forever
South County Center St. Louis, MO	1963/2007	2001	100	% 1,044,247	311,381	352	92	%	Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears
Southpark Mall Colonial Heights, VA	1989/2003	2007	100	% 672,902	229,642	346	95	%	Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Macy's, Regal Cinema, Sears
Turtle Creek Mall Hattiesburg, MS	1994	1995	100	% 845,946	192,559	320	98	%	Belk, Dillard's, Garden Ridge, JC Penney, Sears, Stein Mart, United Artist Theater
Valley View Mall Roanoke, VA	1985/2003	2007	100	% 844,193	285,175	342	100	%	Barnes & Noble, Belk, JC Penney, Macy's, Macy's for Home & Children, Sears
Westmoreland Mall Greensburg, PA	1977/2002	1994	100	% 999,641	303,802	323	96	%	Bon-Ton, JC Penney, Macy's, Macy's Home Store, Old Navy, Sears, former Steve & Barry's
York Galleria York, PA	1989/1999	N/A	100	% 764,710	227,493	343	94	%	Boscov's, JC Penney, Sears
				26,924,263	9,339,625	\$339	95	%	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Total Tier 2  
Malls

TIER 3  
Sales < \$300 per square foot

Alamance Crossing Burlington, NC	2007	2011	100	%	875,368	205,428	\$234	77	%	Barnes & Noble, Belk, BJ's Wholesale Club, Carousel Cinemas, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, Hobby Lobby, JC Penney, Kohl's Belk, Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears, former Steve & Barry's, United Artists Theatres Belk, Dave & Buster's, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears AMC Theater, Dillard's, H&M, Macy's, Sears, V-Stock Belk, Carmike Cinema, Goody's, JC Penney, Kohl's, T.J. Maxx Bergner's, JC Penney, Kohl's, Macy's, Sears Carmike Cinema, Encore, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears Belk, Carmike Cinema, Goody's, JC Penney, Sears, T.J. Maxx Bergner's, Cohn Furniture, Encore, former JC Penney <sup>(18)</sup> , Kohl's, Ross, former Sears, Von Maur
Bonita Lakes Mall <sup>(16)</sup> Meridian, MS	1997	N/A	100	%	631,924	154,639	275	97	%	
Cary Towne Center Cary, NC	1979/2001	1993	100	%	910,200	260,850	277	95	%	
Chesterfield Mall Chesterfield, MO	1976/2007	2006	100	%	1,293,445	498,327	N/A <sup>(17)</sup>	N/A <sup>(17)</sup>		
College Square Morristown, TN	1988	1999	100	%	450,465	124,425	269	98	%	
Eastland Mall Bloomington, IL	1967/2005	N/A	100	%	760,915	221,260	294	96	%	
Fashion Square Saginaw, MI	1972/2001	1993	100	%	745,134	252,238	266	97	%	
Foothills Mall Maryville, TN	1983/1996	2012	95	%	463,591	121,436	276	94	%	
Hickory Point Mall Forsyth, IL	1977/2005	N/A	100	%	814,213	167,983	214	89	%	





Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Percentage Anchors & Junior Anchors <sup>(5)</sup>
Janesville Mall Janesville, WI	1973/1998	1998	100 %	615,506	162,176	275	93 %	Boston Store, former JC Penney <sup>(19)</sup> , Kohl's, Sears Best Buy, Cinemark, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, Dillard's Home Store, Elder-Beerman, JC Penney, Sears, former Shopko Bed Bath & Beyond, Dick's Sporting Goods, JC Penney, Sears, Youngers Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Macy's, Sears, V-Stock, Wehrenberg Theaters
Kentucky Oaks Mall <sup>(6)</sup> Paducah, KY	1982/2001	1995	50 %	1,054,508	367,079	263	89 %	Barnes & Noble, Dunham's Sports, JC Penney, Sears, Target, Youngers Barnes & Noble, Best Buy, Cinemark, Dick's Sporting Goods, Forever 21, H&M, JC Penney, Macy's Belk, Burlington, Carmike Cinemas, vacant JC Penney, Michael's, Ross, Sears, T.J. Maxx
The Lakes Mall Muskegon, MI	2001	N/A	100 %	588,764	186,858	265	95 %	
Mid Rivers Mall St. Peters, MO	1987/2007	1999	100 %	1,089,090	305,771	295	94 %	
Midland Mall Midland, MI	1991/2001	N/A	100 %	468,221	131,271	282	93 %	
Monroeville Mall Pittsburgh, PA	1969/2004	2014	100 %	1,086,557	472,104	275	94 %	
Northgate Mall Chattanooga, TN	1972/2011	2014	100 %	790,299	182,296	283	81 %	
The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg Gettysburg, PA	2000/2012	N/A	50 %	249,937	249,937	242	100 %	None

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Randolph Mall Asheboro, NC	1982/2001	1989	100	%	382,218	116,943	239	90	%	Belk, Cinemark, Dunham's Sports, JC Penney, Sears Boston Store, Burlington Coat Factory, HH Gregg, JC Penney, Pay Half, former Sears <sup>(20)</sup> Belk, JC Penney, Liberty University, Macy's, Regal Cinema, T.J. Maxx Bed Bath & Beyond, Dillard's, Gordman's, HH Gregg, JC Penney Bon-Ton, Cinemark, JC Penney, Sears Belk, Belk Home & Kids, Carmike Cinema, JC Penney, The Rush, Sears Vacant JC Penney, Sears, Youngers Bed Bath & Beyond, Belk, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dillard's, JC Penney, Regal Cinema, Sears
Regency Mall Racine, WI	1981/2001	1999	100	%	789,336	211,929	249	80	%	
River Ridge Mall Lynchburg, VA	1980/2003	2000	100	%	764,243	197,091	278	96	%	
Southaven Towne Center Southaven, MS	2005	2013	100	%	567,640	184,545	293	96	%	
Stroud Mall <sup>(21)</sup> Stroudsburg, PA	1977/1998	2005	100	%	398,146	113,663	251	100	%	
Walnut Square <sup>(22)</sup> Dalton, GA	1980	1992	100	%	495,516	170,081	240	92	%	
Wausau Center <sup>(23)</sup> Wausau, WI	1983/2001	1999	100	%	423,768	150,568	N/A <sup>(17)</sup>	N/A <sup>(17)</sup>		
WestGate Mall <sup>(24)</sup> Spartanburg, SC	1975/1995	1996	100	%	954,228	248,070	297	90	%	
Total Tier 3 Malls					17,663,232	5,456,968	\$269	92	%	
Total Mall Portfolio					63,569,503	20,877,195	\$360	95	%	

Mall / Location	Year of Opening/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion	Our Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Mall Store GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall Store Sales per Square Foot <sup>(3)</sup>	Percentage Mall Store GLA Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	Anchor & Junior Anchors <sup>(5)</sup>
Non-core and Lender Malls <sup>(25)</sup>								
Gulf Coast Town Center <sup>(6)</sup> Ft. Myers, FL	2005	2007	50	% 1,233,459	310,309	N/A	N/A	Babies R Us, Bass Pro Shops, Belk, Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, HomeGoods, JC Penney, Jo-Ann Fabrics & Crafts, LA Fitness, Marshall's, Regal Cinema, Ross, Staples, SuperTarget
Madison Square Huntsville, AL	1984	1985	100	% 928,538	295,104	N/A	N/A	Dillard's, JC Penney, Sears, three vacancies
Triangle Town Center <sup>(6)</sup> Raleigh, NC	2002/2005	N/A	50	% 1,264,285	428,816	N/A	N/A	Barnes & Noble, Belk, Dillard's, Macy's, Sak's Fifth Avenue, Sears
Total Non-core and Lender Malls				3,426,282	1,034,229			

\* Non-stabilized Mall - Mall Store Sales per Square Foot metrics are excluded.

(1) Includes total square footage of the Anchors (whether owned or leased by the Anchor) and Mall stores. Does not include future expansion areas.

(2) Excludes tenants over 20,000 square feet, Anchors and Junior Anchors.

(3) Excludes sales for license agreement tenants. Totals represent weighted averages.

(4) Includes tenants paying rent for executed leases as of December 31, 2014.

(5) Anchors and Junior Anchors listed are attached to the Malls or are in freestanding locations adjacent to the Malls.

(6) This Property is owned in an unconsolidated joint venture.

(7) CoolSprings Galleria - The former Sears building is under redevelopment and will feature H&M, a Belk Men and Children's store and others at its opening in 2015.

(8) H&M is scheduled to open stores at Cross Creek Mall, Coastal Grand-Myrtle Beach, Jefferson Mall and Volusia Mall in 2015.

St. Clair Square - We are the lessee under a ground lease for 20 acres. Assuming the exercise of available renewal options, at our election, the ground lease expires January 31, 2073. The rental amount is \$40,500 per year. In addition to base rent, the landlord receives 0.25% of Dillard's sales in excess of \$16,200,000.

(10) Brookfield Square - The annual ground rent for 2014 was \$195,108.

(11) EastGate Mall - Ground rent for the Dillard's parcel that extends through January 2022 is \$24,000 per year.

(12) Meridian Mall - We are the lessee under several ground leases in effect through March 2067, with extension options. Fixed rent is \$18,700 per year plus 3% to 4% of all rents.

(13) Meridian Mall - Gordman's is under development and scheduled to open in 2015.

(14)

The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass opened in July 2014. It is included in Tier 2 based on a projection of sales for a full calendar year.

Pearland Town Center is a mixed-use center which combines retail, hotel, office and residential components. For (15) segment reporting purposes, the retail portion of the center is classified in Malls, the office portion is classified in Office Buildings, and the hotel and residential portions are classified as Other.

Bonita Lakes Mall - We are the lessee under a ground lease for 82 acres, which extends through June 2035, plus (16) one 25-year renewal option. The annual ground rent for 2014 was \$38,946, increasing by an average of 3% each year.

Operational metrics have been excluded for Chesterfield Mall and Wausau Center, due to repositioning of these (17) Properties.

Hickory Point Mall - Hobby Lobby is scheduled to open in 2015 in the former JC Penney's space. (18)

Janesville Mall - Dick's Sporting Goods is scheduled to open in 2015 in the former JC Penney's space. (19)

Regency Mall - We expect the former Sears' space to go under redevelopment in 2015 as a lease with a sporting (20) goods retailer is out for signature.

Stroud Mall - We are the lessee under a ground lease, which extends through July 2089. The current rental (21) amount is \$60,000 per year, increasing by \$10,000 every ten years through 2059. An additional \$100,000 is paid every 10 years.

Walnut Square - We are the lessee under several ground leases. Assuming the exercise of renewal options (22) available, at our election, the ground lease expires March 14, 2078. The rental amount is \$149,450 per year. In addition to base rent, the landlord receives 20% of the percentage rents collected. The Company has a right of first refusal to purchase the fee.

Wausau Center - Ground rent is \$76,000 per year plus 10% of net taxable cash flow. (23)

WestGate Mall - We are the lessee under several ground leases for approximately 53% of the underlying (24) land. Assuming the exercise of renewal options available, at our election, the ground lease expires October 31, 2084. The rental amount is \$130,025 per year. In addition to base rent, the landlord receives 20% of the percentage rents collected. The Company has a right of first refusal to purchase the fee.

Mall stores sales per square foot and occupancy percentage are not applicable as the steps taken to reposition (25) Non-core and Lender Malls lead to metrics which do not provide relevant information related to the condition of these Properties.

## Anchors

Anchors are an important factor in a Mall's successful performance. The public's identification with a mall property typically focuses on the Anchor tenants. Mall Anchors are generally a department store whose merchandise appeals to a broad range of shoppers and plays a significant role in generating customer traffic and creating a desirable location for the mall store tenants.

Anchors may own their stores and the land underneath, as well as the adjacent parking areas, or may enter into long-term leases with respect to their stores. Rental rates for Anchor tenants are significantly lower than the rents charged to mall store tenants. Total rental revenues from Anchors account for 12.9% of the total revenues from our Malls in 2014. Each Anchor that owns its store has entered into an operating and reciprocal easement agreement with us covering items such as operating covenants, reciprocal easements, property operations, initial construction and future expansion.

During 2014, we added the following Anchors and Junior Anchors to the Malls listed below:

Name	Property	Location
Belk Home Store	Friendly Shopping Center	Greensboro, NC
Burlington	Northgate Mall	Chattanooga, TN
Dick's Sporting Goods	Monroeville Mall	Pittsburgh, PA
Forever 21	Monroeville Mall	Pittsburgh, PA
H&M	Asheville Mall	Asheville, NC
H&M	Brookfield Square	Brookfield, WI
H&M	Burnsville Center	Burnsville, MN
H&M	Fayette Mall	Lexington, KY
H&M	Meridian Mall	Lansing, MI
H&M	The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso	El Paso, TX
Michael's	Parkdale Mall	Beaumont, TX
Ross	Hickory Point Mall	Decatur, IL
T.J. Maxx	College Square	Morristown, TN

As of December 31, 2014, the Malls had a total of 312 Anchors, including 7 vacant Anchor locations, and excluding Anchors at our Non-core and Lender Malls and freestanding stores. The Mall Anchors and the amount of GLA leased or owned by each as of December 31, 2014 is as follows:

Anchor	Number of Stores			Gross Leasable Area		
	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total
JC Penney <sup>(1)</sup>	31	31	62	3,152,291	3,932,091	7,084,382
Sears <sup>(2)</sup>	19	36	55	2,140,509	5,278,156	7,418,665
Dillard's <sup>(3)</sup>	5	41	46	661,356	5,789,039	6,450,395
Macy's <sup>(4)</sup>	13	28	41	1,672,270	4,428,460	6,100,730
Belk <sup>(5)</sup>	7	20	27	654,905	2,517,255	3,172,160
Bon-Ton:						
Bon-Ton	2	1	3	186,824	131,915	318,739
Bergner's <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2	3	128,330	257,071	385,401
Boston Store <sup>(7)</sup>	1	4	5	96,000	599,280	695,280
Carson's	2	—	2	219,190	—	219,190
Herberger's	2	—	2	144,968	—	144,968
Younkers <sup>(8)</sup>	3	2	5	232,637	206,695	439,332
Elder-Beerman	1	—	1	60,092	—	60,092
Bon-Ton Subtotal	12	9	21	1,068,041	1,194,961	2,263,002
AMC Theaters	1	—	1	59,491	—	59,491
BB&T	—	1	1	—	60,000	60,000

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

BJ's Wholesale Club	1	—	1	85,188	—	85,188
Boscov's	—	1	1	—	150,000	150,000
Burlington Coat Factory	2	—	2	143,013	—	143,013
Carousel Cinemas	1	—	1	52,000	—	52,000

32

---

Anchor	Number of Stores			Gross Leasable Area		
	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total
Cinemark Theater	4	—	4	240,271	—	240,271
Dick's Sporting Goods	11	—	11	690,638	—	690,638
Dunham Sports	1	—	1	60,200	—	60,200
Forever 21	1	1	2	77,500	57,500	135,000
Garden Ridge	—	1	1	—	124,700	124,700
Gordman's	1	—	1	59,360	—	59,360
Grande Cinemas	1	—	1	60,400	—	60,400
Harris Teeter	—	1	1	—	72,757	72,757
Hobby Lobby	1	—	1	52,500	—	52,500
I. Keating Furniture	1	—	1	103,994	—	103,994
Kohl's	4	2	6	357,091	132,000	489,091
Liberty University	—	1	1	—	113,074	113,074
Nordstrom <sup>(9)</sup>	—	2	2	—	385,000	385,000
Regal Cinemas	3	—	3	198,542	—	198,542
Scheel's All Sports	2	—	2	200,536	—	200,536
Sleep Inn & Suites	1	—	1	123,506	—	123,506
Target	1	2	3	100,000	225,396	325,396
Von Maur	—	2	2	—	233,280	233,280
Wehrenberg Theaters	1	—	1	56,000	—	56,000
Vacant Anchors:						
Vacant JC Penney	1	1	2	85,756	173,124	258,880
Vacant Sears <sup>(10)</sup>	—	2	2	—	189,268	189,268
Vacant Shopko	1	1	2	23,636	90,000	113,636
Vacant Anchors Under Development:						
Vacant JC Penney <sup>(11)</sup>	1	—	1	100,659	—	100,659
Current Developments:						
Gordman's <sup>(12)</sup>	1	—	1	50,000	—	50,000
<b>Total Anchors</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>12,329,653</b>	<b>25,146,061</b>	<b>37,475,714</b>

(1) Of the 31 stores owned by JC Penney, 5 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(2) Of the 36 stores owned by Sears, 3 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(3) Of the 41 stores owned by Dillard's, 4 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(4) Of the 28 stores owned by Macy's, 6 are subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(5) Of the 20 stores owned by Belk, 1 is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(6) Of the 2 stores owned by Bergner's, 1 is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(7) Of the 4 stores owned by Boston Store, 1 is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(8) Of the 2 stores owned by Younkers, 1 is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(9) Of the 2 stores owned by Nordstrom, 1 is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(10) At Regency Mall, we expect the former Sears' space to go under redevelopment in 2015 as a lease with a sporting goods retailer is out for signature.

(11) Hobby Lobby is scheduled to open in 2015 in the former JC Penney's space at Hickory Point Mall.

(12)Gordman's is under development at Meridian Mall and scheduled to open in 2015.

33

---



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2014, the Malls had a total of 125 Junior Anchors, including 3 vacant Junior Anchor spaces, and excludes Junior Anchors at our Non-core and Lender Malls. The Mall Junior Anchors and the amount of GLA leased or owned by each as of December 31, 2014 is as follows:

Junior Anchor	Number of Stores			Gross Leasable Area		
	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total
A'GACI	1	—	1	28,000	—	28,000
Ashley Furniture HomeStores	1	—	1	26,439	—	26,439
Barnes & Noble	14	—	14	410,351	—	410,351
Beall's	5	—	5	193,209	—	193,209
Bed, Bath & Beyond	6	—	6	179,915	—	179,915
Best Buy	1	—	1	34,262	—	34,262
Books A Million	1	—	1	20,642	—	20,642
Carmike Cinemas	6	—	6	235,144	—	235,144
Cinemark Theater	4	—	4	159,368	—	159,368
Cohn Furniture	1	—	1	20,030	—	20,030
Dave & Buster's	1	—	1	30,004	—	30,004
Dick's Sporting Goods	5	—	5	216,625	—	216,625
Dunham Sports	1	—	1	35,368	—	35,368
Encore	6	—	6	153,653	—	153,653
Foot Locker	1	—	1	22,847	—	22,847
GameWorks	1	—	1	21,295	—	21,295
Goody's	2	—	2	61,358	—	61,358
Gordman's	2	—	2	96,979	—	96,979
H&M	11	—	11	233,546	—	233,546
HH Gregg	1	1	2	25,000	33,887	58,887
Jo-Ann Fabrics	1	—	1	22,659	—	22,659
Joe Brand	1	—	1	29,413	—	29,413
Kaplan College	1	—	1	30,294	—	30,294
Michael's	1	—	1	20,076	—	20,076
Old Navy	1	—	1	20,257	—	20,257
Pay Half	1	—	1	25,764	—	25,764
Planet Fitness	1	—	1	23,107	—	23,107
REI	1	—	1	24,427	—	24,427
Regal Cinemas	1	—	1	23,360	—	23,360
Ross	4	—	4	100,277	—	100,277
Saks Fifth Avenue OFF 5TH	3	—	3	76,313	—	76,313
Schuler Books	1	—	1	24,116	—	24,116
2nd & Charles	1	—	1	23,538	—	23,538
Sports Authority <sup>(1)</sup>	1	1	2	24,750	42,085	66,835
Stein Mart	1	—	1	30,463	—	30,463
Steinhafels	1	—	1	28,828	—	28,828
The Rush Fitness Complex	1	—	1	30,566	—	30,566
Tilt	1	—	1	22,484	—	22,484
T.J. Maxx	4	1	5	113,201	24,000	137,201

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

United Artists Theatre	2	—	2	59,180	—	59,180
V-Stock	3	—	3	95,098	—	95,098
Whole Foods	—	1	1	—	34,320	34,320
XXI Forever / Forever 21	8	—	8	206,714	—	206,714
Vacant Junior Anchors:						
Vacant Steve & Barry's	3	—	3	96,812	—	96,812

Junior Anchor	Number of Stores			Gross Leasable Area		
	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total	Mall Leased	Anchor Owned	Total
Current Developments:						
Belk <sup>(2)</sup>	1	—	1	49,865	—	49,865
Dick's Sporting Goods <sup>(3)</sup>	1	—	1	45,017	—	45,017
H&M <sup>(2) (4)</sup>	5	—	5	110,472	—	110,472
Total Junior Anchors	121	4	125	3,561,086	134,292	3,695,378

(1) The one store owned by Sports Authority is subject to ground lease payments to the Company.

(2) The former Sears building at CoolSprings Galleria is under redevelopment and will include a Belk store, H&M and others at its opening in 2015.

(3) Dick's Sporting Goods will open in 2015 in the former JC Penney's space at Janesville Mall.

(4) H&M is scheduled to open stores at Cross Creek Mall, Coastal Grand-Myrtle Beach, Jefferson Mall and Volusia Mall in 2015.

### Mall Stores

The Malls have approximately 7,603 Mall stores. National and regional retail chains (excluding local franchises) lease approximately 78.2% of the occupied Mall store GLA. Although Mall stores occupy only 28.3% of the total Mall GLA (the remaining 71.7% is occupied by Anchors and a minor percentage is vacant), the Malls received 81.7% of their revenues from mall stores for the year ended December 31, 2014.

### Mall Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes the scheduled lease expirations for mall stores as of December 31, 2014:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	GLA of Expiring Leases	Average Annualized Gross Rent Per Square Foot	Expiring Leases as % of Total Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	Expiring Leases as a % of Total Leased GLA <sup>(3)</sup>
2015	1,580	\$120,753,000	3,854,000	\$31.33	15.7%	19.4%
2016	969	104,576,000	2,641,000	39.60	13.6%	13.3%
2017	851	96,681,000	2,355,000	41.06	12.6%	11.9%
2018	754	97,604,000	2,297,000	42.49	12.7%	11.6%
2019	527	68,913,000	1,698,000	40.58	9.0%	8.6%
2020	333	47,308,000	1,130,000	41.85	6.1%	5.7%
2021	325	44,783,000	1,076,000	41.60	5.8%	5.4%
2022	334	48,744,000	1,157,000	42.11	6.3%	5.8%
2023	380	60,536,000	1,369,000	44.23	7.9%	6.9%
2024	403	55,645,000	1,483,000	37.51	7.2%	7.5%

(1) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, in effect at December 31, 2014 for expiring leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

(2) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, of expiring leases as a percentage of the total annualized gross rent of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

(3) Total GLA of expiring leases as a percentage of the total GLA of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

See page 58 for a comparison between rents on leases that expired in the current reporting period compared to rents on new and renewal leases executed in 2014. We have been successful in our efforts to upgrade our tenant mix over the past year and are continuing to focus on new tenants. We will be working in the first half of 2015 to replace tenants who made bankruptcy announcements at the end of 2014, but anticipate this will have a short-term impact on our leasing and occupancy results. For leases expiring in 2015 that we are able to renew or replace with new tenants, we anticipate that we will be able to achieve higher rental rates than the existing rates of the expiring leases as retailers seek out space in our market-dominant Properties and new supply remains constricted. Page 58 also includes new and renewal leasing activity as of December 31, 2014 with commencement dates in 2014 and 2015.

## Mall Tenant Occupancy Costs

Occupancy cost is a tenant's total cost of occupying its space, divided by sales. Mall store sales represents total sales amounts received from reporting tenants with space of less than 10,000 square feet. The following table summarizes tenant occupancy costs as a percentage of total Mall store sales, excluding license agreements, for the three years ended December 31, 2014:

	Year Ended December 31, <sup>(1)</sup>				
	2014	2013	2012		
Mall store sales (in millions)	\$5,539.47	\$5,598.49	\$5,767.43		
Minimum rents	8.63	% 8.58	% 8.29	%	
Percentage rents	0.54	% 0.59	% 0.62	%	
Tenant reimbursements <sup>(2)</sup>	3.79	% 3.65	% 3.67	%	
Mall tenant occupancy costs	12.96	% 12.82	% 12.58	%	

<sup>(1)</sup> In certain cases, we own less than a 100% interest in the Malls. The information in this table is based on 100% of the applicable amounts and has not been adjusted for our ownership share.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents reimbursements for real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance charges, marketing and certain capital expenditures.

## Debt on Malls

Please see the table entitled "Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2014" included herein for information regarding any liens or encumbrances related to our Malls.

## Associated Centers

We owned a controlling interest in 25 Associated Centers and a non-controlling interest in 4 Associated Centers as of December 31, 2014.

Associated Centers are retail properties that are adjacent to a regional mall complex and include one or more Anchors, or big box retailers, along with smaller tenants. Anchor tenants typically include tenants such as T.J. Maxx, Target, Kohl's and Bed Bath & Beyond. Associated Centers are managed by the staff at the Mall since it is adjacent to and usually benefits from the customers drawn to the Mall.

We own the land underlying the Associated Centers in fee simple interest, except for Bonita Lakes Crossing, which is subject to a long-term ground lease.

The following table sets forth certain information for each of the Associated Centers as of December 31, 2014:

Associated Center / Location	Year of Opening/ Most Recent Expansion	Company's Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Leasable GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Percentage GLA Occupied <sup>(3)</sup>	Anchors & Junior Anchors
Annex at Monroeville Pittsburgh, PA	1986	100	% 186,367	186,367	N/A <sup>(4)</sup>	Burlington Coat Factory
Bonita Lakes Crossing <sup>(5)</sup> Meridian, MS	1997/1999	100	% 147,518	147,518	77 %	Ashley Home Store, T.J. Maxx
Chapel Hill Suburban	1969	100	% 116,843	116,843	93 %	Roses

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Akron, OH							
Coastal Grand Crossing <sup>(6)</sup>	2005	50	% 35,013	35,013	97	%	PetSmart
Myrtle Beach, SC							American
CoolSprings Crossing	1992	100	% 167,475	63,015	87	%	Signature <sup>(7)</sup> , HH
Nashville, TN							Gregg <sup>(8)</sup> , Target <sup>(7)</sup> ,
							Toys R Us <sup>(7)</sup> ,
							Whole Foods <sup>(8)</sup>
Courtyard at Hickory	1979	100	% 70,400	70,400	87	%	Carmike Cinema
Hollow							
Nashville, TN							

36

---

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Associated Center / Location	Year of Opening/ Most Recent Expansion	Company's Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Leasable GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Percentage GLA Occupied <sup>(3)</sup>	Anchors & Junior Anchors
EastGate Crossing Cincinnati, OH	1991 / 2012	100	% 198,224	174,740	99	% Ashley Home Furniture, Kroger, Marshall's, Office Max <sup>(7)</sup>
Foothills Plaza Maryville, TN	1983/1986	100	% 46,984	46,984	N/A <sup>(9)</sup>	None
Frontier Square Cheyenne, WY	1985	100	% 186,552	16,527	100	% PETCO <sup>(10)</sup> , Ross <sup>(10)</sup> , Target <sup>(7)</sup> , T.J. Maxx <sup>(10)</sup>
Governor's Square Plaza <sup>(6)</sup> Clarksville, TN	1985/1988	50	% 214,630	71,703	100	% Bed Bath & Beyond, Premier Medical Group, Target <sup>(7)</sup>
Gunbarrel Pointe Chattanooga, TN	2000	100	% 273,918	147,918	100	% Earthfare, Kohl's, Target <sup>(7)</sup>
Hamilton Corner Chattanooga, TN	1990/2005	90	% 67,351	67,351	81	% None
Hamilton Crossing Chattanooga, TN	1987/2005	92	% 191,945	98,832	100	% HomeGoods <sup>(11)</sup> , Michaels <sup>(11)</sup> , T.J. Maxx, Toys R Us <sup>(7)</sup>
Harford Annex Bel Air, MD	1973/2003	100	% 107,656	107,656	100	% Best Buy, Office Depot, PetSmart
The Landing at Arbor Place Atlanta (Douglasville), GA	1999	100	% 162,954	85,267	53	% Toys R Us <sup>(7)</sup>
Layton Hills Convenience Center Layton, UT	1980	100	% 89,962	89,962	93	% None
Layton Hills Plaza Layton, UT	1989	100	% 18,808	18,808	100	% None
Parkdale Crossing Beaumont, TX	2002	100	% 80,076	80,076	100	% Barnes & Noble
The Plaza at Fayette Lexington, KY	2006	100	% 190,207	190,207	97	% Cinemark, Gordman's
The Shoppes at Hamilton Place Chattanooga, TN	2003	92	% 131,274	131,274	96	% Bed Bath & Beyond, Marshall's, Ross
The Shoppes at St. Clair Square Fairview Heights, IL	2007	100	% 84,383	84,383	94	% Barnes & Noble
Sunrise Commons Brownsville, TX	2001	100	% 201,960	100,515	100	% K-Mart <sup>(7)</sup> , Marshall's, Ross
The Terrace Chattanooga, TN	1997	92	% 156,612	156,612	100	% Academy Sports

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

West Towne Crossing Madison, WI	1980	100	% 438,362	146,465	100	%	Barnes & Noble, Best Buy, Cub Foods <sup>(7)</sup> , Kohl's <sup>(7)</sup> , Nordstrom Rack, Office Max <sup>(7)</sup> , Savers, Shopko <sup>(7)</sup>
WestGate Crossing Spartanburg, SC	1985/1999	100	% 158,200	158,200	71	%	Hamricks, Jo-Ann Fabrics & Crafts Carmike Cinema, Dick's Sporting Goods, Levin Furniture, Michaels <sup>(12)</sup> , T.J. Maxx <sup>(12)</sup>
Westmoreland Crossing Greensburg, PA	2002	100	% 280,570	280,570	100	%	Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Christmas Tree Shops, Dick's Sporting Goods, Ross, Staples
York Town Center <sup>(6)</sup> York, PA	2007	50	% 282,882	282,882	100	%	
Total Associated Centers			4,287,126	3,156,088	94	%	
Non-core and Lender Associated Centers							
Madison Plaza Huntsville, AL	1984	100	% 153,503	99,108	N/A <sup>(13)</sup>		Haverty's
Triangle Town Place <sup>(6)</sup> Raleigh, NC	2004	50	% 149,471	149,471	N/A <sup>(13)</sup>		Bed Bath & Beyond, Dick's Sporting Goods, DSW Shoes
Total Non-core and Lender Associated Centers			302,974	248,579			

(1) Includes total square footage of the Anchors (whether owned or leased by the Anchor) and shops. Does not include future expansion areas.

(2) Includes leasable Anchors.



- (3) Includes tenants paying rent for executed leases as of December 31, 2014, including leased Anchors.
- (4) Annex at Monroeville - Excluded from occupancy metrics as under major redevelopment for space formerly occupied by Dick's Sporting Goods, which relocated to Monroeville Mall in 2014.  
Bonita Lakes Crossing - We are the lessee under a ground lease for 34 acres, which extends through June 2035, including one 25-year renewal option. The annual rent at December 31, 2014 was \$27,064, increasing by an average of 3% each year.
- (5) This Property is owned in an unconsolidated joint venture.
- (6) Owned by the tenant.
- (7) CoolSprings Crossing - Space is owned by SM Newco Franklin LLC, an affiliate of Developers Diversified, and subleased to HH Gregg and Whole Foods (vacant).
- (8) Foothills Plaza - The expansion portion of this Associated Center was sold in 2014. Occupancy metrics have been excluded due to significant redevelopment for remaining space which will be redeveloped for a Kroger SuperCenter in 2015.
- (9) Frontier Square - Space is owned by 1639 11th Street Associates and subleased to PETCO, Ross, and T.J. Maxx.
- (10) Hamilton Crossing - Space is owned by Schottenstein Property Group and subleased to HomeGoods and Michaels.
- (11) Westmoreland Crossing - Space is owned by Schottenstein Property Group and subleased to Michaels and T.J. Maxx.
- (12) Madison Plaza and Triangle Town Place - Occupancy metrics are excluded due to classification as a Non-core/Lender Property.
- (13)

#### Associated Centers Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes the scheduled lease expirations for Associated Center tenants in occupancy as of December 31, 2014:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	GLA of Expiring Leases	Average Annualized Gross Rent Per Square Foot	Expiring Leases as % of Total Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	Expiring Leases as % of Total Leased GLA <sup>(3)</sup>
2015	31	\$2,883,000	169,000	\$17.06	6.3%	5.3%
2016	37	5,516,000	401,000	13.76	12.1%	12.6%
2017	51	6,676,000	401,000	16.63	14.6%	12.6%
2018	41	6,395,000	379,000	16.89	14.0%	11.9%
2019	31	4,851,000	340,000	14.29	10.6%	10.7%
2020	27	4,072,000	345,000	11.81	8.9%	10.8%
2021	13	3,303,000	231,000	14.27	7.2%	7.3%
2022	22	4,375,000	337,000	12.99	9.6%	10.6%
2023	8	1,606,000	82,000	19.70	3.5%	2.6%
2024	14	2,276,000	100,000	22.81	5.0%	3.1%

(1) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, in effect at December 31, 2014 for expiring leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

(2) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, of expiring leases as a percentage of the total annualized gross rent of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

(3) Total GLA of expiring leases as a percentage of the total GLA of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

#### Debt on Associated Centers

Please see the table entitled "Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2014" included herein for information regarding any liens or encumbrances related to our Associated Centers.

## Community Centers

We owned a controlling interest in six Community Centers and a non-controlling interest in five Community Centers as of December 31, 2014. Community Centers typically have less development risk because of shorter development periods and lower costs. While Community Centers generally maintain higher occupancy levels and are more stable, they typically have slower rent growth because the anchor stores' rents are typically fixed and are for longer terms.

Community Centers are designed to attract local and regional area customers and are typically anchored by a combination of supermarkets, or value-priced stores that attract shoppers to each center's small shops. The tenants at our Community Centers typically offer necessities, value-oriented and convenience merchandise.

We own the land underlying the Community Centers in fee simple interest.

The following table sets forth certain information for each of our Community Centers at December 31, 2014:

Community Center / Location	Year of Opening/ Most Recent Expansion	Company's Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Leasable GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Percentage GLA Occupied <sup>(3)</sup>	Anchors & Junior Anchors
Cobblestone Village at Palm Coast Palm Coast, FL	2007	100	% 96,891	22,876	98	% Belk <sup>(4)</sup>
The Crossings at Marshalls Creek Middle Smithfield, PA	2013	100	% 86,343	86,343	94	% Price Chopper
The Forum at Grandview Madison, MS	2010/2012	75	% 191,582	191,582	100	% Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, HomeGoods, Michaels, Stein Mart
Fremaux Town Center <sup>(5)</sup> Slidell, LA	2014	65	% 264,867	264,867	99	% Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, Kohl's, Michael's, T.J. Maxx
Hammock Landing <sup>(5)</sup> West Melbourne, FL	2009/2014	50	% 390,896	253,895	94	% Carmike Cinema, HH Gregg, Kohl's <sup>(4)</sup> , Marshall's, Michaels, Ross, Target <sup>(4)</sup>
High Pointe Commons <sup>(5)</sup> Harrisburg, PA	2006/2008	50	% 330,913	107,910	100	% Christmas Tree Shops, JC Penney <sup>(4)</sup> , Target <sup>(4)</sup>
The Pavilion at Port Orange <sup>(5)</sup> Port Orange, FL	2010	50	% 297,094	229,695	96	% Belk, Hollywood Theaters, Marshall's, Michaels
The Promenade D'Iberville, MS	2009/2014	85	% 593,007	376,047	99	% Ashley Home Furniture, Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Dick's Sporting

Renaissance Center <sup>(5)</sup> Durham, NC	2003/2007	50	%	314,693	314,693	96	%	Goods, Kohl's <sup>(4)</sup> , Marshall's, Michaels, Ross, Target <sup>(4)</sup> Best Buy, Nordstrom Rack, REI, Toys R Us
Statesboro Crossing Statesboro, GA	2008	50	%	136,958	136,958	100	%	Hobby Lobby, T.J. Maxx
Waynesville Commons Waynesville, NC	2012	100	%	126,901	41,967	100	%	Belk <sup>(4)</sup>
Total Community Centers				2,830,145	2,026,833	97	%	

(1) Includes total square footage of the Anchors (whether owned or leased by the Anchor) and shops. Does not include future expansion areas.

(2) Includes leasable Anchors.

(3) Includes tenants paying rent for executed leases as of December 31, 2014, including leased Anchors.

(4) Owned by tenant.

(5) This Property is owned in an unconsolidated joint venture.

## Community Centers Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes the scheduled lease expirations for tenants in occupancy at Community Centers as of December 31, 2014:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	GLA of Expiring Leases	Average Annualized Gross Rent Per Square Foot	Expiring Leases as % of Total Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	Expiring Leases as a % of Total Leased GLA <sup>(3)</sup>
2015	14	\$858,000	31,000	\$27.45	2.3%	1.5%
2016	16	1,053,000	47,000	22.3	2.9%	2.3%
2017	29	2,778,000	121,000	22.98	7.6%	5.9%
2018	24	2,947,000	131,000	22.55	8.0%	6.3%
2019	41	5,150,000	243,000	21.16	14.0%	11.8%
2020	43	7,902,000	432,000	18.28	21.5%	21.0%
2021	16	2,736,000	143,000	19.17	7.4%	6.9%
2022	17	2,536,000	144,000	17.57	6.9%	7.0%
2023	24	3,492,000	197,000	17.76	9.5%	9.5%
2024	15	3,544,000	186,000	19.07	9.6%	9.0%

(1) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, in effect at December 31, 2014 for expiring leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

(2) Total annualized gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, of expiring leases as a percentage of the total annualized gross rent of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

(3) Total GLA of expiring leases as a percentage of the total GLA of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

## Debt on Community Centers

Please see the table entitled "Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2014" included herein for information regarding any liens or encumbrances related to our Community Centers.

## Office Buildings

We owned a controlling interest in eight Office Buildings and a non-controlling interest in five Office Buildings as of December 31, 2014.

We own a 92% interest in the 131,000 square foot office building where our corporate headquarters is located. As of December 31, 2014, we occupied 63.9% of the total square footage of the building.

The following tables set forth certain information for each of our Office Buildings at December 31, 2014:

Office Building / Location	Year of Opening/ Most Recent Expansion	Company's Ownership	Total GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Leasable GLA	Percentage GLA Occupied
840 Greenbrier Circle Chesapeake, VA	1983	100	% 50,820	50,820	82 %
850 Greenbrier Circle Chesapeake, VA	1984	100	% 81,318	81,318	100 %
Bank of America Building <sup>(2)</sup> Greensboro, NC	1988	50	% 49,327	49,327	53 %
CBL Center Chattanooga, TN	2001	92	% 130,658	130,658	100 %
CBL Center II Chattanooga, TN	2008	92	% 76,673	76,673	75 %
First Citizens Bank Building <sup>(2)</sup> Greensboro, NC	1985	50	% 43,357	43,357	100 %
Friendly Center Office Building <sup>(2)</sup> Greensboro, NC	1972	50	% 32,262	32,262	85 %
Oak Branch Business Center Greensboro, NC	1990/1995	100	% 33,622	33,622	89 %
One Oyster Point Newport News, VA	1984	100	% 36,257	36,257	63 %
The Pavilion at Port Orange <sup>(2)</sup> Port Orange, FL	2010	50	% 33,275	33,275	84 %
Pearland Office Pearland, TX	2009	100	% 66,358	66,358	67 %
Two Oyster Point Newport News, VA	1985	100	% 38,900	38,900	77 %
Wachovia Office Building <sup>(2)</sup> Greensboro, NC	1992	50	% 12,000	12,000	100 %
Total Office Buildings			684,827	684,827	84 %

(1) Includes total square footage of the offices. Does not include future expansion areas.

(2) This Property is owned in an unconsolidated joint venture

#### Office Buildings Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes the scheduled lease expirations for tenants in occupancy at Office Buildings as of December 31, 2014:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	GLA of Expiring Leases	Average Annualized Gross Rent Per Square Foot	Expiring Leases as % of Total Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	Expiring Leases as a % of Total Leased GLA <sup>(3)</sup>
2015	15	\$1,071,000	55,000	\$19.42	10.5%	10.9%
2016	21	1,132,000	58,000	19.45	11.1%	11.5%
2017	13	1,836,000	114,000	16.06	18.0%	22.6%
2018	21	2,361,000	98,000	24.15	23.1%	19.3%

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

2019	6	1,354,000	59,000	23.07	13.2%	11.6%
2020	1	40,000	2,000	18.96	0.4%	0.4%
2021	1	115,000	4,000	31.98	1.1%	0.7%
2022	2	464,000	15,000	31.77	4.5%	2.9%
2023	—	—	—	—	—%	—%
2024	1	128,000	13,000	9.88	1.3%	2.6%

(1) Total annualized contractual gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, in effect at December 31, 2014 for expiring leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

(2) Total annualized contractual gross rent, including recoverable common area expenses and real estate taxes, of expiring leases as a percentage of the total annualized gross rent of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

(3) Total GLA of expiring leases as a percentage of the total GLA of all leases that were executed as of December 31, 2014.

## Debt on Office Buildings

Please see the table entitled “Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2014” included herein for information regarding any liens or encumbrances related to our Offices.

## Mortgages Notes Receivable

We own five mortgages, each of which is collateralized by either a first mortgage, a second mortgage or by assignment of 100% of the ownership interests in the underlying real estate and related improvements. The mortgages are more fully described on Schedule IV in Part IV of this report.

## Mortgage Loans Outstanding at December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

Property	Our Ownership Interest	Stated Interest Rate	Principal Balance as of 12/31/14 (1)	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Optional Extended Maturity Date	Balloon Payment Due on Maturity	Open to Prepayment Date (2)	Footnote
Consolidated									
Debt									
Malls:									
Acadiana Mall	100	% 5.67	% \$132,068	\$10,435	Apr-17	—	\$124,998	Open	
Alamance Crossing	100	% 5.83	% 48,660	3,589	Jul-21	—	43,046	Open	
Arbor Place	100	% 5.10	% 117,496	7,948	May-22	—	100,861	Open	
Asheville Mall	100	% 5.80	% 73,260	5,917	Sep-21	—	60,190	Open	
Brookfield Square	100	% 5.08	% 87,816	6,822	Nov-15	—	85,807	Open	
Burnsville Center	100	% 6.00	% 75,752	6,417	Jul-20	—	63,589	Open	
Cary Towne Center	100	% 8.50	% 51,250	6,898	Mar-17	—	45,226	Open	
CherryVale Mall	100	% 5.00	% 78,280	6,055	Oct-15	—	76,647	Open	
Chesterfield Mall	100	% 5.74	% 140,000	8,153	Sep-16	—	140,000	Open	
Cross Creek Mall	100	% 4.54	% 130,600	9,376	Jan-22	—	102,260	Open	
Dakota Square Mall	100	% 6.23	% 56,705	4,562	Nov-16	—	54,843	Open	
East Towne Mall	100	% 5.00	% 66,772	5,153	Nov-15	—	65,231	Open	
EastGate Mall	100	% 5.83	% 39,852	3,613	Apr-21	—	30,155	Open	
Eastland Mall	100	% 5.85	% 59,400	3,475	Dec-15	—	59,400	Open	
Fashion Square	100	% 4.95	% 39,736	2,932	Jun-22	—	31,112	Open	
Fayette Mall	100	% 5.42	% 171,192	13,527	May-21	—	139,177	Open	
Greenbrier Mall	100	% 5.91	% 73,907	6,055	Aug-16	—	71,111	Open	
Hamilton Place	90	% 5.86	% 101,624	8,292	Aug-16	—	97,757	Open	
Hanes Mall	100	% 6.99	% 151,584	13,080	Oct-18	—	140,968	Open	
Hickory Point Mall	100	% 5.85	% 28,338	2,347	Dec-15	—	27,690	Open	



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Honey Creek Mall	100	% 8.00	% 28,978	3,373	Jul-19	—	23,290	Open	(3)
Imperial Valley Mall	100	% 4.99	% 49,945	3,859	Sep-15	—	49,019	Open	
Jefferson Mall	100	% 4.75	% 68,470	4,456	Jun-22	—	58,176	Open	
Kirkwood Mall	100	% 5.75	% 39,196	2,885	Apr-18	—	37,109	Open	
Layton Hills Mall	100	% 5.66	% 94,383	7,453	Apr-17	—	89,327	Open	
Midland Mall	100	% 6.10	% 33,179	2,763	Aug-16	—	31,953	Open	
Northwoods Mall	100	% 5.08	% 70,194	4,743	Apr-22	—	60,292	Open	
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta	75	% 4.90	% 78,695	5,095	Nov-23	—	65,036	Open	
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso	75	% 7.06	% 64,497	5,622	Dec-17	—	61,265	Open	
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso (Phase II)	75	% 2.91	% 5,068	170	Apr-18	—	4,738	Open	(4) (5)
The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg	50	% 5.87	% 38,659	3,104	Feb-16	—	37,766	Open	
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City	75	% 5.73	% 56,571	4,521	Jan-22	—	45,428	Open	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Property	Our Ownership Interest	Stated Interest Rate	Principal Balance as of 12/31/14 (1)	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Optional Extended Maturity Date	Balloon Payment Due on Maturity	Open to Prepayment Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Footnote
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City (Phase II)	75	% 2.91	% 5,909	328	Apr-19	Apr-21	5,233	Open	(6)
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City (Phase III)	75	% 2.91	% 2,570	106	Apr-19	Apr-21	2,141	Open	(5) (6)
The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass	65	% 4.05	% 77,398	4,464	Dec-24	—	61,316	Jan-17	
Park Plaza Mall	100	% 5.28	% 91,643	7,165	Apr-21	—	74,428	Open	
Parkdale Mall & Crossing	100	% 5.85	% 87,961	7,241	Mar-21	—	72,447	Open	
Parkway Place	100	% 6.50	% 38,567	3,403	Jul-20	—	32,661	Open	
Southaven Towne Center	100	% 5.50	% 40,023	3,134	Jan-17	—	38,056	Open	
Southpark Mall	100	% 4.85	% 64,486	4,240	Jun-22	—	54,924	Open	
Stroud Mall	100	% 4.59	% 31,960	2,127	Apr-16	—	30,276	Open	(7)
Valley View Mall	100	% 6.50	% 59,688	5,267	Jul-20	—	50,547	Open	
Volusia Mall	100	% 8.00	% 49,849	5,802	Jul-19	—	40,064	Open	(3)
Wausau Center	100	% 5.85	% 18,369	1,509	Apr-21	—	15,100	Open	
West Towne Mall	100	% 5.00	% 94,316	7,279	Nov-15	—	92,139	Open	
WestGate Mall	100	% 4.99	% 37,931	2,803	Jul-22	—	29,670	Open	
York Galleria	100	% 4.55	% 51,037	3,408	Apr-16	—	48,337	Open	(8)
			3,103,834	240,966			2,770,806		
Associated Centers:									
CoolSprings Crossing	100	% 4.54	% 11,946	798	Apr-16	—	11,313	Open	(9)
EastGate Crossing	100	% 5.66	% 14,707	1,159	May-17	—	13,893	Open	
Gunbarrel Pointe	100	% 4.64	% 10,641	706	Apr-16	—	10,083	Open	(10)
Hamilton Corner	90	% 5.67	% 14,966	1,183	Apr-17	—	14,164	Open	
Hamilton Crossing & Expansion	92	% 5.99	% 9,853	819	Apr-21	—	8,122	Open	
The Plaza at Fayette	100	% 5.67	% 38,988	3,081	Apr-17	—	36,901	Open	
The Shoppes at St. Clair Square	100	% 5.67	% 19,760	1,562	Apr-17	—	18,702	Open	
The Terrace	92	% 7.25	% 13,683	1,284	Jun-20	—	11,755	Jul-15	
			134,544	10,592			124,933		

Community Center:										
Statesboro Crossing	50	% 1.97	% 11,212	349	Jun-16	Jun-18	11,024	Open	(6)	
Office Building:										
CBL Center	92	% 5.00	% 20,485	1,651	Jun-22	—	14,949	Open		
Unsecured Credit Facilities:										
\$600,000 capacity	100	% 1.56	% 63,716	994	Nov-15	Nov-16	63,716	Open	(6)	
\$600,000 capacity	100	% 1.56	% 155,267	2,422	Nov-16	Nov-17	155,267	Open	(6)	
\$100,000 capacity	100	% 1.55	% 2,200	34	Feb-16	—	2,200	Open	(6)	
			221,183	3,450			221,183			
Unsecured Term Loans:										
\$400,000 capacity	100	% 1.67	% 400,000	6,675	Jul-18	—	400,000	Open	(6)	
\$50,000 capacity	100	% 2.05	% 50,000	1,027	Feb-18	—	50,000	Open	(6)	(11)
			450,000	7,702			450,000			

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Property	Our Ownership Interest	Stated Interest Rate	Principal Balance as of 12/31/14 <sup>(1)</sup>	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Optional Extended Maturity Date	Balloon Payment Due on Maturity	Open to Prepayment Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Footnote
Senior Unsecured Notes:									
5.25% notes	100	% 5.25	% 450,000	23,625	Dec-23	—	450,000	Open	
4.60% notes	100	% 4.60	% 300,000	13,800	Oct-24	—	300,000	Open	
			750,000	37,425			750,000		
Construction Property:									
The Outlet									
Shoppes at Atlanta - Parcel development	75	% 2.66	% 454	12	Dec-19	—	286	Open	(5) (12)
Other:									
ERMC note (term loan)	50	% 3.50	% 5,639	3,170	May-17	—	—	Open	(13)
Unamortized Premiums and Discounts, net			3,109	—			—		(14)
Total Consolidated Debt			\$4,700,460	\$305,317			\$4,343,181		
Unconsolidated Debt:									
Ambassador Town Center	65	% 1.96	% \$715	\$14	Dec-17	Dec-19	\$715	Open	(5) (6)
Ambassador Town Center Infrastructure Improvements	65	% 2.17	% 725	16	Dec-17	Dec-19	443	Open	(5) (15)
Coastal Grand-Myrtle Beach	50	% 4.09	% 119,514	6,958	Aug-24	—	95,230	Aug-15	
Coastal Grand Outparcel - Myrtle Beach	50	% 4.09	% 5,767	336	Aug-24	—	4,595	Aug-15	
CoolSprings Galleria	50	% 6.98	% 105,523	9,445	Jun-18	—	97,506	Open	
Fremaux Town Center (Phase I)	65	% 2.17	% 41,648	898	Aug-16	Aug-18	41,648	Open	(16)
Fremaux Town Center (Phase II)	65	% 2.17	% 4,041	88	Aug-16	Aug-18	4,041	Open	(16)
Friendly Shopping Center	50	% 3.48	% 100,000	3,479	Apr-23	—	82,392	Open	(17)
Governor's Square Mall	48	% 8.23	% 17,685	3,476	Sep-16	—	14,089	Open	
	50	% 5.60	% 190,800	10,687	Jul-17	—	190,800	Open	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Gulf Coast Town Center (Phase I)										
Gulf Coast Town Center (Phase III)	50	% 2.75	% 5,694	303	Jul-15	—	5,401	Open	(5)	(6)
Hammock Landing (Phase I)	50	% 2.17	% 40,243	1,502	Nov-15	Nov-17	39,539	Open	(6)	(18)
Hammock Landing (Phase II)	50	% 2.42	% 13,579	327	Nov-15	Nov-17	13,579	Open	(6)	(19)
High Pointe Commons (Phase I)	50	% 5.74	% 13,097	1,212	May-17	—	12,088	Open		
High Pointe Commons (Phase II)	50	% 6.10	% 5,236	481	Jul-17	—	4,816	Open		
Kentucky Oaks Mall	50	% 5.27	% 21,876	2,429	Jan-17	—	19,223	Open		
Oak Park Mall	50	% 5.85	% 275,700	16,128	Dec-15	—	275,700	Open		
The Pavilion at Port Orange	50	% 2.17	% 60,814	2,248	Nov-15	Nov-17	59,758	Open	(6)	(18)
Renaissance Center (Phase I)	50	% 5.61	% 32,427	2,569	Jul-16	—	31,297	Open		
Renaissance Center (Phase II)	50	% 3.49	% 16,000	558	Apr-23	—	13,636	Open	(20)	
The Shops at Friendly Center	50	% 5.90	% 39,488	3,203	Jan-17	—	37,639	Open		

Property	Our Ownership Interest	Stated Interest Rate	Principal Balance as of 12/31/14 <sup>(1)</sup>	Annual Debt Service	Maturity Date	Optional Extended Maturity Date	Balloon Payment Due on Maturity	Open to Prepayment Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Footnote
Triangle Town Center	50	% 5.74	% 175,148	14,367	Dec-15	—	171,092	Open	
West County Center	50	% 3.40	% 190,000	6,550	Dec-22	—	162,270	Jan-15	(21)
York Town Center	50	% 4.90	% 35,675	2,657	Feb-22	—	28,293	Open	
York Town Center - Pier 1	50	% 2.91	% 1,431	86	Feb-22	—	1,088	Open	(6)
Total Unconsolidated Debt			\$1,512,826	\$90,017			\$1,406,878		
Total Consolidated and Unconsolidated Debt			\$6,213,286	\$395,334			\$5,750,059		
Company's Pro-Rata Share of Total Debt			\$5,346,289	\$340,309					(22)

- (1) The amount listed includes 100% of the loan amount even though the Operating Partnership may have less than a 100% ownership interest in the Property.
- (2) Prepayment premium is based on yield maintenance or defeasance.
- (3) The mortgages on Honey Creek and Volusia Mall are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (4) The variable-rate loan bears interest at LIBOR + 2.75%. Annual debt service is interest only through October 2015. Thereafter, debt service will be \$132 in annual principal payments plus interest.
- (5) The Operating Partnership owns less than 100% of the Property but guarantees 100% of the debt.
- (6) The interest rate is variable at various spreads over LIBOR priced at the rates in effect at December 31, 2014. The debt is prepayable at any time without prepayment penalty.
- The Company has an interest rate swap on a notional amount of \$31,960, amortizing to \$30,276 over the term of the swap, related to Stroud Mall to effectively fix the interest rate on that variable-rate loan. Therefore, this amount is currently reflected as having a fixed rate. The swap terminates in April 2016.
- (7) The Company has an interest rate swap on a notional amount of \$51,037 amortizing to \$48,337 over the term of the swap, related to York Galleria to effectively fix the interest rate on that variable-rate loan. Therefore, this amount is currently reflected as having a fixed rate. The swap terminates in April 2016.
- (8) The Company has an interest rate swap on a notional amount of \$11,946, amortizing to \$11,313 over the term of the swap, related to CoolSprings Crossing to effectively fix the interest rate on that variable-rate loan. Therefore, this amount is currently reflected as having a fixed rate. The swap terminates in April 2016.
- (9) The Company has an interest rate swap on a notional amount of \$10,641, amortizing to \$10,083 over the term of the swap, related to Gunbarrel Pointe to effectively fix the interest rate on that variable-rate loan. Therefore, this amount is currently reflected as having a fixed rate. The swap terminates in April 2016.
- (10) Subsequent to December 31, 2014, the term loan was amended to reduce the interest rate from LIBOR + 1.90% to LIBOR + 1.55%.
- (11) The variable-rate loan bears interest at LIBOR + 2.50%. Annual debt service is interest only through July 2016. Thereafter, debt service will be \$48 in annual principal payments plus interest.
- (12) Represents a fixed-rate term loan with a subsidiary of the Management Company. Principal payments will be reduced in May 2016, which will reduce debt service for 2016 to \$1,986.
- (13) Represents bond discounts as well as net premiums related to debt assumed to acquire real estate assets, which had stated interest rates that were above or below the estimated market rates for similar debt instruments at the respective acquisition dates.
- (14)

- The variable-rate loan bears interest at LIBOR + 2.0%. Under a PILOT program, in lieu of ad valorem taxes,
- (15) Ambassador and other contributing landowners will make annual PILOT payments to Ambassador Infrastructure, which will be used to repay the construction loan.
- (16) The variable-rate loan bears interest at LIBOR + 2.00%. Annual debt service is interest only. The Operating Partnership guarantees 50% of the debt.
- (17) Annual debt service is interest only through May 2016. Thus, debt service will be \$5,171 for 2016 and \$5,735 thereafter.
- (18) The Operating Partnership guarantees 25% of the debt.  
The maximum guaranteed amount is \$8,700 unless a monetary event default occurs related to Carmike Cinema or Academy Sports. The guaranty will be reduced to 25% once Academy Sports is operational and paying contractual rent.
- (20) Annual debt service is interest only through May 2016. Thereafter, debt service will be \$861.
- (21) Annual debt service is interest only through December 2015. In 2016 and thereafter, annual debt service will be \$10,111.
- (22) Represents the Company's pro rata share of debt, including our share of unconsolidated affiliates' debt and excluding noncontrolling interests' share of consolidated debt on shopping center Properties.

The following is a reconciliation of consolidated debt to the Company's pro rata share of total debt (in thousands):

Total consolidated debt	\$4,700,460	
Noncontrolling interests' share of consolidated debt	(122,473	)
Company's share of unconsolidated debt	768,302	
Company's pro rata share of total debt	\$5,346,289	

Other than our property-specific mortgage or construction loans, there are no material liens or encumbrances on our Properties. See Note 5 and Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding property-specific indebtedness and construction loans.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are currently involved in certain litigation that arises in the ordinary course of business, most of which is expected to be covered by liability insurance. Based on current expectations, such matters, both individually and in the aggregate, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, results of operations, business or financial condition.

On March 11, 2010, The Promenade D'Iberville, LLC ("TPD"), a subsidiary of the Company, filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Harrison County, Mississippi (the "Mississippi Case"), against M. Hanna Construction Co., Inc. ("M Hanna"), Gallet & Associates, Inc., LA Ash, Inc., EMJ Corporation ("EMJ") and JEA (f/k/a Jacksonville Electric Authority), seeking damages for alleged property damage and related damages occurring at a shopping center development in D'Iberville, Mississippi. EMJ filed an answer and counterclaim denying liability and seeking to recover from TPD the retainage of approximately \$0.3 million allegedly owed under the construction contract. Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. ("Kohl's") was granted permission to intervene in the Mississippi Case and, on April 13, 2011, filed a cross-claim against TPD alleging that TPD is liable to Kohl's for unspecified damages resulting from the actions of the defendants and for the failure to perform the obligations of TPD under a Site Development Agreement with Kohl's. Kohl's also made a claim against us based on our guarantee of the performance of TPD under the Site Development Agreement. In the fourth quarter of 2014, TPD agreed to a resolution of its claims against defendant EMJ. Pursuant to this agreement, TPD received partial settlements aggregating to \$6.0 million in the fourth quarter of 2014 from one of EMJ's insurance carriers. Further, EMJ agreed to be responsible for up to a maximum of \$6.6 million of future costs incurred by TPD in remediating damages to its shopping center site under certain circumstances as set forth in the agreement, and agreed that such limitation would not apply to its potential responsibility for any future remediation required under applicable environmental laws (should such claims arise). The claim made by EMJ against us has been dismissed, and based on information currently available, we believe the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome related to the claims made by Kohl's against us in connection with the Mississippi case is remote. We provided disclosure of this litigation due to the related party relationship between us and EMJ described below. TPD also received partial settlements of \$0.8 million in the first quarter of 2014 and \$8.2 million in the third quarter of 2013 from certain of the defendants in the Mississippi Case described above. Litigation continues with the other remaining defendants in the matter. The trial for those remaining claims has been continued from its previously scheduled September 2014 setting. The parties are petitioning the court for a new setting. Subsequent to December 31, 2014, we received an additional \$4.9 million from EMJ's insurance carrier.

TPD also has filed claims under several insurance policies in connection with this matter, and there are three pending lawsuits relating to insurance coverage. On October 8, 2010, First Mercury Insurance Company ("First Mercury") filed an action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against M Hanna and TPD seeking a declaratory judgment concerning coverage under a liability insurance policy issued by First Mercury to M Hanna. That case was dismissed for lack of federal jurisdiction and refiled in Texas state court. On June 13, 2011, TPD filed an action in the Chancery Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee (the "Tennessee Case") against National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA ("National Union") and EMJ seeking a declaratory judgment regarding coverage under a liability insurance policy issued by National Union to EMJ and recovery of damages arising out of National Union's breach of its obligations. In March 2012, Zurich American and Zurich American of Illinois, which also have issued liability insurance policies to EMJ, intervened in the Tennessee Case and the case was set for trial on October 29, 2013 but, currently, the trial date has been extended while the parties mediate the case. The first mediation session took place on January 14-15, 2014, and the second session took place on March 18-19, 2014. A third session was held on May 22, 2014. On February 14, 2012, TPD filed claims in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi against Factory Mutual Insurance Company and Federal Insurance Company seeking a declaratory judgment concerning coverage under certain builders risk and property insurance policies issued by those respective insurers to the Company. The Tennessee Case was dismissed in September 2014, after a resolution of those claims.



The remaining claims are still pending.

Certain executive officers of the Company and members of the immediate family of Charles B. Lebovitz, Chairman of the Board of the Company, collectively have a significant non-controlling interest in EMJ, a major national construction company that the Company engaged to build a substantial number of the Company's properties. EMJ was one of the defendants in the Mississippi Case and in the Tennessee Case described above.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Common stock of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. is traded on the New York Stock Exchange. The stock symbol is "CBL". Quarterly sale prices and dividends paid per share of common stock are as follows:

Quarter Ended	Market Price		Dividend
	High	Low	
2014			
March 31	\$18.85	\$16.00	\$0.245
June 30	\$19.29	\$17.40	\$0.245
September 30	\$19.94	\$17.41	\$0.245
December 31	\$19.98	\$17.08	\$0.265
2013			
March 31	\$23.79	\$20.76	\$0.230
June 30	\$26.95	\$20.22	\$0.230
September 30	\$24.12	\$18.74	\$0.230
December 31	\$20.63	\$17.76	\$0.245

There were approximately 792 shareholders of record for our common stock as of February 23, 2015.

Future dividend distributions are subject to our actual results of operations, taxable income, economic conditions, issuances of common stock and such other factors as our Board of Directors deems relevant. Our actual results of operations will be affected by a number of factors, including the revenues received from the Properties, our operating expenses, interest expense, unanticipated capital expenditures and the ability of the Anchors and tenants at the Properties to meet their obligations for payment of rents and tenant reimbursements.

See Part III, Item 12 contained herein for information regarding securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

The following table presents information with respect to repurchases of common stock made by us during the three months ended December 31, 2014:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Price Paid per Share <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan
Oct. 1–31, 2014	116	\$17.56	—	\$—
Nov. 1–30, 2014	—	—	—	—
Dec. 1–31, 2014	—	—	—	—
Total	116	\$17.56	—	\$—

Represents shares surrendered to the Company by employees to satisfy federal and state income tax withholding (1) requirements related to the vesting of shares of restricted stock issued under the CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. Second Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan, as amended.

- (2) Represents the market value of the common stock on the vesting date for the shares of restricted stock, which was used to determine the number of shares required to be surrendered to satisfy income tax withholding requirements.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA (CBL &amp; Associates Properties, Inc.)

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31, <sup>(1)</sup>				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total revenues	\$1,060,739	\$1,053,625	\$1,002,843	\$1,019,899	\$1,014,487
Total operating expenses	685,596	722,860	632,922	671,477	622,945
Income from operations	375,143	330,765	369,921	348,422	391,542
Interest and other income	14,121	10,825	3,953	2,578	3,868
Interest expense	(239,824 )	(231,856 )	(242,357 )	(262,608 )	(275,951 )
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	87,893	(9,108 )	265	1,029	—
Gain on investments	—	2,400	45,072	—	888
Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates	14,803	11,616	8,313	6,138	(188 )
Income tax (provision) benefit	(4,499 )	(1,305 )	(1,404 )	269	6,417
Income from continuing operations before gain on sales of real estate assets	247,637	113,337	183,763	95,828	126,576
Gain on sales of real estate assets	5,342	1,980	2,286	59,396	2,887
Income from continuing operations	252,979	115,317	186,049	155,224	129,463
Discontinued operations	54	(4,947 )	(11,530 )	29,770	(31,293 )
Net income	253,033	110,370	174,519	184,994	98,170
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in:					
Operating Partnership	(30,106 )	(7,125 )	(19,267 )	(25,841 )	(11,018 )
Other consolidated subsidiaries	(3,777 )	(18,041 )	(23,652 )	(25,217 )	(25,001 )
Net income attributable to the Company	219,150	85,204	131,600	133,936	62,151
Preferred dividends	(44,892 )	(44,892 )	(47,511 )	(42,376 )	(32,619 )
Net income available to common shareholders	\$174,258	\$40,312	\$84,089	\$91,560	\$29,532
Basic per share data attributable to common shareholders:					
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$1.02	\$0.27	\$0.60	\$0.46	\$0.38
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$1.02	\$0.24	\$0.54	\$0.62	\$0.21
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	170,247	167,027	154,762	148,289	138,375
Diluted per share data attributable to common shareholders:					
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$1.02	\$0.27	\$0.60	\$0.46	\$0.38
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$1.02	\$0.24	\$0.54	\$0.62	\$0.21
Weighted-average common and potential dilutive common shares outstanding	170,247	167,027	154,807	148,334	138,416
Amounts attributable to common shareholders:					
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$174,212	\$44,515	\$93,469	\$68,366	\$52,323
Discontinued operations	46	(4,203 )	(9,380 )	23,194	(22,791 )
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$174,258	\$40,312	\$84,089	\$91,560	\$29,532
Dividends declared per common share	\$1.000	\$0.935	\$0.880	\$0.840	\$0.800
	December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

BALANCE SHEET DATA:

Net investment in real estate assets	\$5,947,175	\$6,067,157	\$6,328,982	\$6,005,670	\$6,890,137
Total assets	6,616,299	6,785,971	7,089,736	6,719,428	7,506,554
Total mortgage and other indebtedness	4,700,460	4,857,523	4,745,683	4,489,355	5,209,747
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	37,559	34,639	464,082	456,105	458,213
Total shareholders' equity	1,406,552	1,404,913	1,328,693	1,263,278	1,300,338
Noncontrolling interests	143,376	155,021	192,404	207,113	223,605
Total equity	1,549,928	1,559,934	1,521,097	1,470,391	1,523,943

48

---

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
OTHER DATA:					
Cash flows provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$468,061	\$464,751	\$481,515	\$441,836	\$429,792
Investing activities	(234,855 )	(125,693 )	(246,670 )	(27,645 )	(5,558 )
Financing activities	(260,768 )	(351,806 )	(212,689 )	(408,995 )	(421,400 )
Funds From Operations ("FFO") of the Operating Partnership <sup>(2)</sup>	545,514	437,451	458,159	422,697	394,841
FFO allocable to common shareholders	465,160	371,702	372,758	329,323	287,563

Please refer to Note 3, 5 and 15 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of acquisitions, joint (1) venture transactions and impairment charges that have impacted the comparability of the financial information presented.

Please refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the definition of FFO, which does not represent cash flows from operations as defined by accounting principles (2) generally accepted in the United States and is not necessarily indicative of the cash available to fund all cash requirements. A reconciliation of FFO to net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders is presented on page 82.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA (CBL & Associates Limited Partnership)

(In thousands, except per unit data)

	Year Ended December 31, <sup>(1)</sup>				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total revenues	\$1,060,739	\$1,053,625	\$1,002,843	\$1,019,899	\$1,014,487
Total operating expenses	685,596	722,860	632,922	671,477	622,945
Income from operations	375,143	330,765	369,921	348,422	391,542
Interest and other income	14,121	10,825	3,953	2,578	3,910
Interest expense	(239,824 )	(231,856 )	(242,357 )	(262,608 )	(275,951 )
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	87,893	(9,108 )	265	1,029	—
Gain on investments	—	2,400	45,072	—	888
Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates	14,803	11,616	8,313	6,138	(188 )
Income tax (provision) benefit	(4,499 )	(1,305 )	(1,404 )	269	6,417
Income from continuing operations before gain on sales of real estate assets	247,637	113,337	183,763	95,828	126,618
Gain on sales of real estate assets	5,342	1,980	2,286	59,396	2,887
Income from continuing operations	252,979	115,317	186,049	155,224	129,505
Discontinued operations	54	(4,947 )	(11,530 )	29,770	(31,293 )
Net income	253,033	110,370	174,519	184,994	98,212
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(3,777 )	(18,041 )	(23,652 )	(25,217 )	(25,001 )
Net income attributable to the Operating Partnership	249,256	92,329	150,867	159,777	73,211
Distributions to preferred unitholders	(44,892 )	(44,892 )	(47,511 )	(42,376 )	(32,619 )
Net income available to common unitholders	\$204,364	\$47,437	\$103,356	\$117,401	\$40,592
Basic per unit data attributable to common unitholders:					

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Income from continuing operations, net of preferred distributions	\$ 1.02	\$0.26	\$0.59	\$0.49	\$0.33
Net income attributable to common unitholders	\$ 1.02	\$0.24	\$0.54	\$0.62	\$0.21
Weighted-average common units outstanding	199,660	196,572	190,223	190,335	190,001
Diluted per unit data attributable to common unitholders:					
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred distributions	\$ 1.02	\$0.26	\$0.59	\$0.49	\$0.33
Net income attributable to common unitholders	\$ 1.02	\$0.24	\$0.54	\$0.62	\$0.21
Weighted-average common and potential dilutive common units outstanding	199,660	196,572	190,268	190,380	190,043
Amounts attributable to common unitholders:					
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred distributions	\$ 204,318	\$51,640	\$112,736	\$94,207	\$63,383
Discontinued operations	46	(4,203 )	(9,380 )	23,194	(22,791 )
Net income attributable to common unitholders	\$ 204,364	\$47,437	\$103,356	\$117,401	\$40,592
Distributions per unit	\$ 1.03	\$0.97	\$0.92	\$0.89	\$0.90

	December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<b>BALANCE SHEET DATA:</b>					
Net investment in real estate assets	\$5,947,175	\$6,067,157	\$6,328,982	\$6,005,670	\$6,890,137
Total assets	6,616,727	6,786,393	7,090,225	6,719,559	7,506,650
Total mortgage and other indebtedness	4,700,460	4,857,523	4,745,683	4,489,355	5,209,747
Redeemable interests	37,559	34,639	464,082	456,105	458,213
Total partners' capital	1,541,533	1,541,176	1,458,164	1,466,241	1,517,957
Noncontrolling interests	8,908	19,179	63,496	4,280	6,082
Total capital	1,550,441	1,560,355	1,521,660	1,470,521	1,524,039
	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010

**OTHER DATA:**

Cash flows provided by (used in):

Operating activities	\$468,063	\$464,741	\$481,181	\$441,827	\$429,815
Investing activities	(234,855 )	(125,693 )	(246,683 )	(27,645 )	(5,559 )
Financing activities	(260,768 )	(351,806 )	(212,331 )	(408,995 )	(421,400 )

Please refer to Notes 3, 5 and 15 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of acquisitions, joint (I) venture transactions and impairment charges that have impacted the comparability of the financial information presented.

**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes that are included in this annual report. Capitalized terms used, but not defined, in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations have the same meanings as defined in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

**Executive Overview**

We are a self-managed, self-administered, fully integrated REIT that is engaged in the ownership, development, acquisition, leasing, management and operation of regional shopping malls, open-air centers, outlet centers, associated centers, community centers and office properties. Our shopping centers are located in 27 states, but are primarily in the southeastern and midwestern United States. We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

We conduct substantially all of our business through the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership consolidates the financial statements of all entities in which it has a controlling financial interest or where it is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ("VIE"). See Item 1. Business for a description of the number of Properties owned and under development as of December 31, 2014.

We achieved excellent operating results in 2014 with same-center NOI growth of 2.4% over the prior-year period, an increase in leasing spreads for Stabilized Malls of 12.6% and high occupancy of 94.9% for the Mall portfolio as of December 31, 2014. FFO of the Operating Partnership, as adjusted, also grew to end the year with a 4.3% increase over the same period in 2013. These results were driven by our strategic initiatives announced last year to dispose of lower-performing assets, take advantage of the financing opportunities available to us through our investment grade ratings and reinvest in our portfolio through redevelopments and tenant upgrades. We intend to take advantage of this momentum in 2015 by continuing to invest and grow our portfolio as well as dispose of Non-core and mature Properties. Highlights of our 2014 achievements are listed below.

As announced last spring, we targeted 25 lower-performing assets for disposition over a multi-year period. Of the 25 Properties, we sold one Mall and conveyed two Malls to their respective lenders as of December 31, 2014. Subsequent to December 31, 2014, we entered into non-binding contracts to sell four Malls. Our Tier I Malls, designated as those with sales greater than \$375 per square foot, increased from 17 to 19 Properties over the past year.



In the fall of 2014, we completed a \$300.0 million bond offering at a 4.60% coupon. Proceeds were used to pay outstanding balances on the lines of credit that had been utilized to retire over \$285.0 million of consolidated property-specific loans, adding more than \$470.5 million of undepreciated book value to our unencumbered asset pool. As of December 31, 2014, 36.2% of our consolidated NOI is generated by unencumbered assets.

We opened several new developments in 2014 including an outlet center, a community center and numerous expansions and redevelopments throughout our portfolio. We also took advantage of the space that became available to us through the closing of several Anchor stores to revitalize Malls at several locations and offer new-to-the market tenants. The former Sears stores at Fayette Mall and CoolSprings Galleria feature a Cheesecake Factory in addition to other contemporary retailers.

### Results of Operations

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2014 to the Year Ended December 31, 2013

Properties that were in operation for the entire year during both 2014 and 2013 are referred to as the "2014 Comparable Properties." Since January 1, 2013, we have opened two outlet centers and two community center developments as follows:

Property	Location	Date Opened
New Developments:		
The Crossings at Marshalls Creek	Middle Smithfield, PA	June 2013
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta <sup>(1)</sup>	Woodstock, GA	July 2013
Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(2)</sup>	Slidell, LA	March 2014
The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(3)</sup>	Simpsonville, KY	July 2014

(1) The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta is a 75/25 joint venture, which is included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations on a consolidated basis.

Fremaux Town Center is a 65/35 joint venture that is accounted for using the equity method of accounting and is (2) included in equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

(3) The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass is a 65/35 joint venture, which is included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations on a consolidated basis.

The Properties listed above, with the exception of Fremaux Town Center, are included in our operations on a consolidated basis and are collectively referred to as the "2014 New Properties." The transactions related to the 2014 New Properties impact the comparison of the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2014 to the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013.

### Revenues

Total revenues increased by \$7.1 million for 2014 compared to the prior year. Rental revenues and tenant reimbursements increased \$5.8 million due to an increase of \$15.4 million related to the 2014 New Properties partially offset by a decrease of \$9.6 million from the 2014 Comparable Properties. The 2014 Comparable Properties were impacted by decreases of \$15.7 million related to our 2014 Property dispositions and \$4.4 million associated with our Non-core Properties. The \$10.5 million increase in revenues of the 2014 Comparable Properties, excluding dispositions and Non-core Properties, was attributable to improved leasing spreads, higher average base rent and higher occupancy.

Our cost recovery ratio increased to 98.9% for 2014 compared to 97.9% for 2013.

The increase in management, development and leasing fees of \$0.5 million was primarily attributable to an increase in miscellaneous fee income. Development fees increased \$1.5 million due to development at various unconsolidated affiliates; however, this was offset by a decrease in management fees of \$1.5 million related to a contract to manage a portfolio of six third-party owned malls that concluded at the end of 2013.

Other revenues increased \$0.7 million primarily due to an increase of \$1.3 million related to several outlet centers partially offset by a decrease of \$0.6 million primarily attributable to a claims settlement received in the prior year for lost business as a result of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.

### Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses decreased \$37.3 million for 2014 compared to the prior year. Property operating expenses, including real estate taxes and maintenance and repairs, decreased \$2.3 million primarily due to a decrease of \$7.7

million from the 2014 Comparable Properties partially offset by an increase of \$5.4 million related to the 2014 New Properties. The 2014 Comparable Properties included decreases of \$6.5 million related to dispositions and \$1.7 million attributable to Non-core Properties. The \$0.5 million increase in property operating expenses of the 2014 Comparable Properties, excluding dispositions and Non-core Properties, is primarily attributable to increases in snow removal costs, bad debt expense and real estate taxes, which were partially offset by decreases in insurance expense, parking lot repairs and maintenance.

The increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$12.4 million resulted from increases of \$7.8 million related to the 2014 Comparable Properties and \$4.6 million attributable to the 2014 New Properties. The 2014 Comparable Properties included decreases of \$3.1 million and \$1.6 million related to dispositions and Non-core Properties, respectively. The \$12.5 million increase attributable to the 2014 Comparable Properties, excluding dispositions and Non-core Properties, is primarily attributable to an increase of \$8.8 million in depreciation expense related to capital expenditures for renovations, redevelopments and deferred maintenance and an increase of \$8.2 million in amortization of tenant improvements, which were partially offset by a decrease of \$5.0 million in amortization of in-place leases. The \$8.2 million increase in amortization of tenant improvements was primarily due to write-offs associated with tenant closings at Aeropostale, Body Central, Coach and Wet Seal.

General and administrative expenses increased \$1.4 million primarily as a result of increases in consulting and legal fees, which included \$3.2 million of legal fees and other costs attributable to the D'Iberville litigation described in [Note 14](#) to the consolidated financial statements. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in payroll and related expenses and an increase in capitalized overhead related to development projects. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses were 4.7% in 2014 compared to 4.6% in 2013.

During 2014, we recorded a non-cash impairment of real estate of \$17.9 million primarily attributable to three Property dispositions. During 2013, we recorded a non-cash impairment of \$70.0 million which consisted of a \$67.7 million loss to reduce the depreciated book value of two malls to their estimated fair values, a \$1.8 million loss on the sale of an outparcel and a loss of \$0.5 million to write down the book value of the corporate aircraft to its fair value upon trade-in. See [Note 15](#) to the consolidated financial statements for additional information on these impairments. Other expenses increased \$3.5 million primarily due to higher expenses related to our subsidiary that provides security and maintenance services to third parties.

#### Other Income and Expenses

Interest and other income increased \$3.3 million in 2014 compared to the prior-year period. The increase in other income primarily relates to \$11.7 million received in partial legal settlements and insurance claims proceeds received in 2014 partially offset by \$8.2 million for a partial legal settlement received in 2013. See [Note 14](#) to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Interest expense increased \$8.0 million in 2014 compared to the prior-year period, including \$4.7 million of non-cash default interest related to the dispositions described in [Note 4](#) to the consolidated financial statements. Interest expense increased \$3.2 million related to the 2014 New Properties. The remaining increase was primarily due to an increase in interest expense from the Notes that were issued during the fourth quarters of 2013 and 2014, the proceeds of which were used to reduce outstanding borrowings on our credit facilities that bear interest at a lower rate than the Notes. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in property-level interest expense as we continue to execute our strategy to reduce secured debt levels.

During 2014, we recorded a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$87.9 million which consisted primarily of \$89.4 million related to a gain on extinguishment of debt from the transfer of three Malls to their respective lenders in settlement of the non-recourse debt secured by the Properties. This gain was partially offset by \$1.5 million in prepayment fees from the early retirement of two mortgage loans. See [Note 4](#) and [Note 6](#) to the consolidated financial statements for more information on these transactions. During 2013, we recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$9.1 million in connection with the early retirement of two mortgage loans, including a prepayment fee of \$8.7 million on one mortgage loan and \$0.4 million to write-off unamortized financing costs.

We recorded a gain on investment of \$2.4 million during 2013 for the full payment of a note receivable related to our investment in China that had been written down in 2009.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates increased by \$3.2 million during 2014. The increase is primarily attributable to \$1.0 million of gain recognized for the sale of four outparcels and increases in base rents at several unconsolidated affiliates. These increases were partially offset by an increase in amortization of tenant allowances from write-offs associated with tenant closings.

The income tax provision of \$4.5 million in 2014 relates to the Management Company, which is a taxable REIT subsidiary, and consists of a current and deferred tax provision of \$3.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. The income tax provision of \$1.3 million in 2013 consists of a current benefit of \$0.5 million and a deferred income tax

provision of \$1.8 million.

In 2014, we recognized a \$5.3 million gain on sales of real estate which consisted of \$4.4 million from the sale of 13 outparcels and \$0.9 million related to the sale of the expansion portion of an associated center. We recognized a \$2.0 million gain on sales of real estate assets in 2013, which was comprised of \$1.9 million in proceeds from the sale of nine parcels of land and \$0.1 million attributable to additional consideration received for an outparcel previously taken through an eminent domain proceeding.

The operating loss from discontinued operations for 2014 of \$0.2 million includes a \$0.7 million loss on impairment of real estate, to true-up a Property sold at the end of 2013, partially offset by settlements of estimated expenses based on actual results for

Properties sold in previous periods. The operating loss from discontinued operations for 2013 of \$6.1 million includes a \$5.2 million loss on impairment of real estate to write down the net book value of a portfolio of six Properties sold during the period to the net sales price, a \$2.9 million write-off of straight-line rent for Properties sold during the period, the operating results of three malls, three associated centers and five office buildings sold in 2013, and settlement of estimated expenses based on actual amounts for Properties sold during previous periods. See [Note 4](#) to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

We recognized a \$0.3 million gain on discontinued operations for true-ups for Properties sold in previous periods. The \$1.1 million gain on discontinued operations for 2013 represents the gain from the sale of five office buildings sold during the period as well as recognition of a gain from the sale of two office buildings, which had been deferred in 2008 until subsequent repayment of the related notes receivable.

#### Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2013 to the Year Ended December 31, 2012

Properties that were in operation for the entire year during both 2013 and 2012 are referred to as the "2013 Comparable Properties." From January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013, we opened one outlet center and two community center developments and acquired two outlet centers and two malls as follows:

Property	Location	Date Opened/Acquired
New Developments:		
Waynesville Commons	Waynesville, NC	October 2012
The Crossings at Marshalls Creek	Middle Smithfield, PA	June 2013
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta <sup>(1)</sup>	Woodstock, GA	July 2013
Acquisitions:		
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso <sup>(1)</sup>	El Paso, TX	April 2012
The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg <sup>(2)</sup>	Gettysburg, PA	April 2012
Dakota Square Mall	Minot, ND	May 2012
Kirkwood Mall <sup>(3)</sup>	Bismarck, ND	December 2012

(1) The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta and The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso are 75/25 joint ventures, which are included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations on a consolidated basis.

(2) The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg is a 50/50 joint venture and is included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations on a consolidated basis.

(3) We acquired a 49.0% interest in Kirkwood Mall in December 2012 and the remaining 51.0% interest in April 2013. This Property has been included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations on a consolidated basis since December 2012.

The Properties listed above are included in our operations on a consolidated basis and are collectively referred to as the "2013 New Properties." In addition to the above Properties, in December 2012, we purchased the remaining 40.0% noncontrolling interests in Imperial Valley Mall L.P. and Imperial Valley Peripheral L.P., collectively referred to as the "IV Property," from our joint venture partner. The results of operations of the IV Property, previously accounted for using the equity method of accounting, are included in our operations on a consolidated basis beginning December 2012. The transactions related to the 2013 New Properties and the IV Property impact the comparison of the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 to the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### Revenues

Total revenues increased by \$50.8 million for 2013 compared to the prior year. Rental revenues and tenant reimbursements increased \$45.8 million due to increases of \$32.5 million related to the 2013 New Properties and \$13.5 million attributable to the IV Property, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.2 million from the 2013 Comparable Properties. The 2013 Comparable Properties were impacted by a decrease of \$5.3 million related to our Non-core Properties and our Properties that were undergoing redevelopment.

Our cost recovery ratio decreased to 97.9% for 2013 compared to 100.9% for 2012. The decrease is primarily due to an increase in operating and maintenance and repairs expenses that was not fully recoverable in the period from tenant reimbursements as many of our leases contain fixed rate provisions.

The increase in management, development and leasing fees of \$1.7 million was primarily attributable to increases of \$1.2 million in management fees and \$0.5 million in development fees. The \$1.2 million management fee increase is due to new contracts to provide property management services to eight third party malls. One of the contracts to manage a portfolio of six third party malls began in the second quarter of 2012 and two additional contracts, each to manage one mall, began in the third quarter of 2013. The increase of \$0.5 million in development fees is related to the development of an outlet center and a community center in 2013.

Other revenues increased \$3.3 million primarily due to increases of \$1.6 million in revenues of our subsidiary that provides security and maintenance services to third parties and \$0.9 million received as a claims settlement for lost business at one Property as a result of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.

#### Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses increased \$89.9 million for 2013 compared to the prior year. Property operating expenses, including real estate taxes and maintenance and repairs, increased \$19.5 million primarily due to increases of \$8.7 million from the 2013 New Properties, \$6.7 million related to the 2013 Comparable Properties and \$4.0 million attributable to the IV Property. The \$6.7 million increase in property operating expenses of the 2013 Comparable Properties is primarily attributable to increases of \$2.6 million in insurance expense, \$1.8 million for security and janitorial costs, \$1.6 million in snow removal costs and \$0.9 million in marketing expenses, which were partially offset by decreases of \$1.8 million in real estate taxes.

The increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$23.5 million resulted from increases of \$11.8 million related to the 2013 New Properties, \$7.5 million attributable to the IV Property and \$4.2 million from the 2013 Comparable Properties. The increase attributable to the 2013 Comparable Properties is primarily attributable to an increase of \$6.4 million in depreciation expense related to capital expenditures for renovations, redevelopments and deferred maintenance and an increase of \$0.4 million in amortization of tenant relationships and deferred leasing costs which were partially offset by a decrease of \$1.6 million in amortization of tenant allowances and in-place leases. General and administrative expenses decreased \$2.4 million primarily as a result of decreases in consulting and legal fees, payroll and related expenses and acquisition-related costs, which were partially offset by an increase in capitalized overhead related to development projects and expenses related to obtaining an investment grade rating. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses were 4.6% in 2013 compared to 5.1% in 2012.

During 2013, we recorded a non-cash impairment of \$70.0 million which consisted of a \$67.7 million loss to reduce the depreciated book value of two malls to their estimated fair values, a \$1.8 million loss on the sale of an outparcel and a loss of \$0.5 million to write down the book value of the corporate aircraft to its fair value upon trade-in. See [Note 15](#) to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. During 2012, we recorded a non-cash impairment of real estate of \$24.4 million. The \$24.4 million impairment is attributable to a \$20.3 million loss recorded to reduce the fair value of land available for the future expansion of an associated center, a \$3.0 million loss to write down the book value of an associated center and a \$1.1 million loss from the sale of three outparcels. See [Note 15](#) to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of impairment charges.

Other expenses increased \$3.7 million primarily due to higher expenses of \$3.4 million related to our subsidiary that provides security and maintenance services to third parties and an increase of \$0.4 million in abandoned projects expense.

#### Other Income and Expenses

Interest and other income increased \$6.9 million in 2013 compared to the prior-year period. The increase primarily relates to an \$8.2 million partial settlement of a lawsuit. See [Note 14](#) to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$1.2 million attributable to two mezzanine loans for two outlet centers. In 2012, we earned \$0.6 million in interest income on these loans and subsequently recognized \$0.6 million of unamortized discounts on these loans as income when they terminated in connection with the acquisitions of member interests in both outlet centers in 2012.

Interest expense decreased \$10.5 million in 2013 compared to the prior-year period. Interest expense related to the 2013 Comparable Properties decreased \$18.9 million. The decrease was partially offset by an increase of \$6.4 million related to the 2013 New Properties and an increase of \$2.0 million attributable to the IV Property. The decrease attributable to the 2013 Comparable Properties resulted from using our credit facilities to retire higher-rate mortgage loans and refinancing other Properties at lower fixed rates.

During 2013, we recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$9.1 million in connection with the early retirement of two mortgage loans. The loss was attributable to a prepayment fee of \$8.7 million for the loan payoff of Mid Rivers Mall and \$0.4 million to write-off unamortized financing costs for Mid Rivers Mall and South County Center. During 2012, we recorded a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$0.3 million in connection with the early retirement of a mortgage loan.



We recorded a gain on investment of \$2.4 million during 2013 for the full payment of a note receivable related to our investment in China that had been written down in 2009. We recorded a gain on investment of \$45.1 million during 2012 related to the acquisition of a controlling interest in Imperial Valley Mall, located in El Centro, CA, when we acquired our joint venture partner's 40% interest.

In 2013, we recognized a \$2.0 million gain on sales of real estate assets, which was comprised of \$1.9 million in proceeds from the sale of nine parcels of land and \$0.1 million attributable to additional consideration received for an outparcel previously taken through an eminent domain proceeding. We recognized a gain on sales of real estate assets of \$2.3 million in 2012 related to the sale of a vacant Anchor space at one of our Malls and the sale of eight parcels of land.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates increased by \$3.3 million during 2013. The increase is primarily attributable to lower interest expense from the refinancing of West County Center in December 2012 and increases in base rents and tenant reimbursements due to occupancy improvements and growth in rental rates at several unconsolidated affiliates. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$2.6 million as a result of the IV Property being consolidated in the 2013 period.

The income tax provision of \$1.3 million in 2013 relates to the Management Company, which is a taxable REIT subsidiary, and consists of a current benefit of \$0.5 million and a deferred income tax provision of \$1.8 million. The income tax provision of \$1.4 million in 2012 consists of a current tax benefit of \$1.7 million and a deferred income tax provision of \$3.1 million.

The operating loss from discontinued operations for 2013 includes a \$5.2 million loss on impairment of real estate to write down the net book value of a portfolio of six Properties sold during the period to the net sales price, a \$2.9 million write-off of straight-line rent for Properties sold during the period, the operating results of three malls, three associated centers and five office buildings sold in 2013, and settlement of estimated expenses based on actual amounts for Properties sold during previous periods. The operating loss from discontinued operations for 2012 of \$12.5 million includes a loss of \$26.5 million on impairment of real estate related to two malls and one community center that were sold in 2012, which was partially offset by the operating results of two malls and four community centers that were sold during 2012 and the operating results of three malls, three associated centers and five office buildings that were sold in 2013, as well as settlement of estimated expenses based on actual amounts for Properties sold during previous periods.

The \$1.1 million gain on discontinued operations for 2013 represents the gain from the sale of five office buildings sold during the period as well as recognition of a gain from the sale of two office buildings, which had been deferred in 2008 until subsequent repayment of the related notes receivable. The gain on discontinued operations of \$1.0 million in 2012 related to the sale of a community center.

#### Same-center Net Operating Income

NOI is a supplemental measure of the operating performance of our shopping centers and other Properties. We define NOI as property operating revenues (rental revenues, tenant reimbursements and other income) less property operating expenses (property operating, real estate taxes and maintenance and repairs).

Similar to FFO, we compute NOI based on our pro rata share of both consolidated and unconsolidated Properties. Our definition of NOI may be different than that used by other companies and, accordingly, our calculation of NOI may not be comparable to that of other companies.

Since NOI includes only those revenues and expenses related to the operations of our shopping center Properties, we believe that same-center NOI provides a measure that reflects trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs and the impact of those trends on our results of operations. Our calculation of same-center NOI excludes lease termination income, straight-line rent adjustments, and amortization of above and below market lease intangibles in order to enhance the comparability of results from one period to another, as these items can be impacted by one-time events that may distort same-center NOI trends and may result in same-center NOI that is not indicative of the ongoing operations of our shopping center and other Properties. Same-center NOI is for real estate properties and does not include the results of operations of our subsidiary that provides janitorial, security and maintenance services.

We include a Property in our same-center pool when we have owned all or a portion of the Property since January 1 of the preceding calendar year and it has been in operation for both the entire preceding calendar year ended December 31, 2013 and the current year ended December 31, 2014. New Properties are excluded from same-center NOI, until they meet this criteria. The only Properties excluded from the same-center pool that would otherwise meet this criteria are Non-core Properties, Properties under major redevelopment, Properties being considered for repositioning, Properties where we intend to renegotiate the terms of the debt secured by the related Property and Properties included in discontinued operations. Madison Square and Madison Plaza were classified as Non-core Properties as of December 31, 2014. Lender Properties consisted of Gulf Coast Town Center, Triangle Town Center and Triangle Town Place as of December 31, 2014. Properties under major redevelopment as of December 31, 2014 included the Annex at Monroeville, CoolSprings Galleria and Northgate Mall. Properties where we are considering

alternatives to reposition the Property included Chesterfield Mall and Wausau Center at December 31, 2014.

55

---

Due to the exclusions noted above, same-center NOI should only be used as a supplemental measure of our performance and not as an alternative to GAAP operating income (loss) or net income (loss). A reconciliation of our same-center NOI to net income attributable to the Company for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Net income attributable to the Company	\$219,150	\$85,204
Adjustments: <sup>(1)</sup>		
Depreciation and amortization	326,237	319,260
Interest expense	272,669	266,843
Abandoned projects expense	136	334
Gain on sales of real estate assets	(6,329)	(2,002)
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	(87,893)	9,108
Gain on investment	—	(2,400)
Loss on impairment	18,539	75,283
Income tax provision	4,499	1,305
Lease termination fees	(3,808)	(4,217)
Straight-line rent and above and below market rent	(3,359)	(1,502)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest in earnings of Operating Partnership	30,106	7,125
Gain on discontinued operations	(276)	(1,144)
General and administrative expenses	50,271	48,867
Management fees and non-property level revenues	(36,386)	(23,552)
Company's share of property NOI	783,556	778,512
Non-comparable NOI	(63,968)	(75,492)
Total same-center NOI	\$719,588	\$703,020

(1) Adjustments are based on our pro rata ownership share, including our share of unconsolidated affiliates and excluding noncontrolling interests' share of consolidated Properties.

Same-center NOI increased \$16.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013. Our NOI growth of 2.4% for 2014 was driven primarily by increases of \$13.4 million in minimum rent and \$4.1 million in tenant reimbursements. The increases in rental rates were a result of our positive leasing spreads of 12.6% for our Stabilized Mall portfolio as we continued to upgrade our tenant mix. Additionally, maintenance and repair expenses, as compared to the prior-year period, were relatively flat for 2014 as a \$1.0 million increase in snow removal expenditures was offset by a similar decline in maintenance and supplies expense due to operating efficiencies.

#### Operational Review

The shopping center business is, to some extent, seasonal in nature with tenants typically achieving the highest levels of sales during the fourth quarter due to the holiday season, which generally results in higher percentage rents in the fourth quarter. Additionally, the malls earn most of their rents from short-term tenants during the holiday period. Thus, occupancy levels and revenue production are generally the highest in the fourth quarter of each year. Results of operations realized in any one quarter may not be indicative of the results likely to be experienced over the course of the fiscal year.

We derive the majority of our revenues from the Mall Properties. The sources of our revenues by property type were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Malls	88.0%	88.3%
Associated centers	3.9%	4.0%

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Community centers	1.8%	1.7%
Mortgages, office buildings and other	6.3%	6.0%

56

---

## Mall Store Sales

Mall store sales include reporting mall tenants of 10,000 square feet or less for Stabilized Malls and exclude license agreements, which are retail contracts that are temporary or short-term in nature and generally last more than three months but less than twelve months. Mall stores sales for the year ended December 31, 2014 on a comparable center basis were \$360 per square foot compared with \$361 per square foot for 2013, representing a 0.3% decrease.

## Occupancy

Our portfolio occupancy is summarized in the following table <sup>(1)</sup>:

	As of December 31,			
	2014		2013	
Total portfolio	94.7	%	94.7	%
Total Mall portfolio	94.9	%	94.8	%
Same-center Stabilized Malls	94.8	%	94.8	%
Stabilized Malls	94.8	%	94.7	%
Non-stabilized Malls <sup>(2)</sup>	98.1	%	98.0	%
Associated centers	93.7	%	94.5	%
Community centers	97.4	%	96.7	%

<sup>(1)</sup> As noted in Item 2. Properties, occupancy excludes Non-core Properties, Lender Properties, Properties in significant redevelopment and Properties being considered for repositioning.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents occupancy for The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass, The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta and The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City as of December 31, 2014 and occupancy for The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta and The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City as of December 31, 2013.

Occupancy results remained at high levels throughout the year. For 2015, we are forecasting occupancy to be flat to 25 basis points higher as compared to 2014 for the total portfolio as well as for the Stabilized Mall portfolio. We expect there could be temporary declines in occupancy in the early part of the year until we can absorb tenant closures from several tenant bankruptcies that were announced at the end of 2014.

## Leasing

The following is a summary of the total square feet of leases signed in the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the prior-year period:

	Year Ended	
	December 31, 2014	2013
Operating portfolio:		
New leases	1,323,875	1,712,683
Renewal leases	2,931,971	4,351,360
Development portfolio:		
New leases	822,539	744,952
Total leased	5,078,385	6,808,995

The decline in square footage of leases executed during 2014 was primarily a function of a decline in Anchor lease renewals due to the maturity schedule of our Anchor retailers.

Average annual base rents per square foot are based on contractual rents in effect as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, including the impact of any rent concessions. Average annual base rents per square foot for comparable small shop space of less than 10,000 square feet were as follows for each Property type <sup>(1)</sup>:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Same-center Stabilized Malls	\$31.17	\$30.66
Stabilized Malls	31.17	30.35
Non-stabilized Malls <sup>(2)</sup>	25.10	24.52
Associated centers	12.99	12.06
Community centers	15.98	15.77
Office buildings	19.27	19.38

<sup>(1)</sup> As noted in Item 2. Properties, average annual base rents per square foot excludes Non-core Properties, Lender Properties, Properties in significant redevelopment and Properties being considered for repositioning.

Represents average annual base rents for The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass, The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta and

<sup>(2)</sup> The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City as of December 31, 2014 and average annual base rents for The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta and The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City as of December 31, 2013.

Results from new and renewal leasing of comparable small shop space of less than 10,000 square feet during the year ended December 31, 2014 for spaces that were previously occupied are as follows:

Property Type	Square Feet	Prior Gross Rent PSF	New Initial Gross Rent PSF	% Change Initial	New Average Gross Rent PSF <sup>(2)</sup>	% Change Average
All Property Types <sup>(1)</sup>	2,224,762	\$39.90	\$43.46	8.9%	\$44.84	12.4%
Stabilized Malls	2,024,659	41.54	45.31	9.1%	46.76	12.6%
New leases	502,951	40.51	49.52	22.2%	52.51	29.6%
Renewal leases	1,521,708	41.88	43.92	4.9%	44.86	7.1%

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes Stabilized Malls, associated centers, community centers and office buildings.

<sup>(2)</sup> Average gross rent does not incorporate allowable future increases for recoverable common area expenses.

New and renewal leasing activity of comparable small shop space of less than 10,000 square feet for the year ended December 31, 2014 based on commencement date is as follows:

	Number of Leases	Square Feet	Term (in years)	Initial Rent PSF	Average Rent PSF	Expiring Rent PSF	Initial Rent Spread		Average Rent Spread	
Commencement 2014:										
New	226	561,940	8.07	\$46.68	\$49.46	\$38.12	\$8.56	22.5%	\$11.34	29.7%
Renewal	590	1,600,766	4.11	40.74	41.71	38.21	2.53	6.6%	3.50	9.2%
Commencement 2014 Total	816	2,162,706	5.21	\$42.28	\$43.72	\$38.18	\$4.10	10.7%	\$5.54	14.5%
Commencement 2015:										
New	80	225,267	8.96	\$48.09	\$50.95	\$37.90	\$10.19	26.9%	\$13.05	34.4%
Renewal	244	697,258	4.16	39.15	40.12	36.93	2.22	6.0%	3.19	8.6%
Commencement 2015 Total	324	922,525	5.35	\$41.33	\$42.77	\$37.17	\$4.16	11.2%	\$5.60	15.1%

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Total 2014/2015	1,140	3,085,231	5.25	\$42.00	\$43.44	\$37.88	\$4.12	10.9%	\$5.56	14.7%
-----------------	-------	-----------	------	---------	---------	---------	--------	-------	--------	-------

Several recent bankruptcy announcements made by various tenants will likely have a short-term impact on our leasing efforts as we act to backfill these locations in 2015. The total annual gross rent from these tenants is approximately \$15 million; however, based on a partial year impact and anticipated lease-up of the affected space, we believe the impact to us in 2014 will be significantly reduced. Furthermore, we anticipate that our high occupancy rates and the improving sales environment should support ongoing retailer expansions into our markets.



### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Operating Partnership completed a \$300.0 million offering in the fourth quarter of 2014 of 4.60% senior unsecured notes due in 2024. Proceeds were used to reduce outstanding balances on our lines of credit. We previously used our lines of credit to retire more than \$285.0 million of property-specific loans in 2014, thereby increasing our pool of unencumbered assets. We recognized an aggregate gain on extinguishment of debt of \$89.4 million related to the conveyance of three Non-core Malls to their respective lenders and received \$17.9 million in net proceeds from the sale of a Mall and two additional Properties. Subsequent to December 31, 2014, we also reduced the interest rate on our \$50.0 million unsecured term loan from a spread of LIBOR plus 190 basis points to LIBOR plus 155 basis points.

We derive a majority of our revenues from leases with retail tenants, which have historically been the primary source for funding short-term liquidity and capital needs such as operating expenses, debt service, tenant construction allowances, recurring capital expenditures, dividends and distributions. We believe that the combination of cash flows generated from our operations, combined with our debt and equity sources and the availability under our credit facilities will, for the foreseeable future, provide adequate liquidity to meet our cash needs. In addition to these factors, we have options available to us to generate additional liquidity, including but not limited to, debt and equity offerings, joint venture investments, issuances of noncontrolling interests in our Operating Partnership, and decreasing expenditures related to tenant construction allowances and other capital expenditures. We also generate revenues from sales of peripheral land at our Properties and from sales of real estate assets when it is determined that we can realize an optimal value for the assets.

### Cash Flows - Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

There was \$37.9 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$27.6 million from December 31, 2013.

### Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities during 2014 increased \$3.3 million to \$468.1 million from \$464.8 million during 2013. The increase in operating cash flows was primarily attributable to the operations of the 2014 New Properties, increased same-center NOI of the 2014 Comparable Properties and an increase in income from legal settlements, partially offset by higher interest expense due to our Notes and a decline in cash flows related to 2014 Property dispositions and Non-core Properties.

Cash provided by operating activities during 2013 decreased \$16.7 million to \$464.8 million from \$481.5 million during 2012. Reductions in operating cash flows related to the Properties sold in 2013, prepaid rents received at December 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012, as well as the timing of certain other working capital items were partially offset by increases in operating cash flows resulting from the 2013 New Properties, reduced interest expense and increased same-center NOI of the 2013 Comparable Properties.

Cash provided by operating activities during 2012 increased \$39.7 million to \$481.5 million from \$441.8 million during 2011. The increase in operating cash flows was primarily due to the operations of the 2012 New Properties, same-center NOI growth of the 2012 Comparable Properties, an increase in fee income and the reduction in interest expense as a result of our ongoing efforts to reduce debt levels.

### Cash Used in Investing Activities

Cash flows used in investing activities were \$234.9 million, \$125.7 million and \$246.7 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Investing activities for 2014 were primarily affected by:

\$277.6 million of expenditures related to our development, redevelopment, renovation and expansion programs, additional investments in unconsolidated affiliates of \$30.4 million primarily attributable to the redevelopment of the Sears store at CoolSprings Galleria, as well as the development of Ambassador Town Center and Hammock Landing - Phase II,

\$39.2 million in distributions from unconsolidated joint ventures, including a distribution from the Coastal Grand - Myrtle Beach loan refinancing, and proceeds of \$16.5 million primarily related to the sale of a Mall and two other Properties during 2014.



Investing activities for 2013 were primarily affected by:

\$314.3 million of expenditures related to our development, redevelopment, renovation and expansion programs, \$41.4 million of acquisition expenditures related to Kirkwood Mall, additional investments in unconsolidated affiliates of \$34.1 million related primarily to the development of Fremaux Town Center and the acquisition of the Sears store at CoolSprings Galleria, and proceeds of \$240.2 million related to Properties sold in 2013.

Investing activities in 2012 were primarily affected by:

\$217.8 million of expenditures related to our development, redevelopment, renovation and expansion programs, \$96.1 million of acquisition expenditures primarily related to interests in three malls and two outlet centers, and proceeds of \$77.0 million primarily related to the sale of two malls, four community centers and several outparcels.

#### Cash Used in Financing Activities

Cash flows used in financing activities were \$260.8 million, \$351.8 million and \$212.7 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Financing activities in 2014 were primarily affected by:

net proceeds from the issuance of mortgage and other indebtedness, net of principal payments, of \$11.3 million, and dividends and distributions of \$264.4 million paid to holders of preferred stock, common stock and noncontrolling interests,

Financing activities in 2013 were primarily affected by:

net proceeds from the issuance of mortgage and other indebtedness, net of principal payments, of \$118.6 million, proceeds of \$209.5 million from the issuance of common stock, primarily from our ATM equity offering program, the redemption of the Westfield Group ("Westfield") preferred joint venture units ("PJV units ") of \$408.6 million, and dividends and distributions of \$261.4 million paid to holders of preferred stock, common stock and noncontrolling interests.

Financing activities in 2012 were primarily affected by:

net repayment of mortgage and other indebtedness of \$15.8 million, proceeds of \$166.7 million from the issuance of the Series E Preferred Stock, the redemption of the Series C Preferred Stock of \$115.0 million, and dividends and distributions of \$243.1 million paid to holders of preferred stock, common stock and noncontrolling interests.

#### Debt

##### Debt of the Company

CBL has no indebtedness. Either the Operating Partnership or one of its consolidated subsidiaries, that the Operating Partnership has a direct or indirect ownership interest in, is the borrower on all of our debt.

CBL is a limited guarantor of the 5.25% and 4.60% Notes issued by the Operating Partnership in November 2013 and October 2014, respectively, for losses suffered solely by reason of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the Operating Partnership or its affiliates. We also provide a similar limited guarantee of the Operating Partnership's obligations with respect to our unsecured credit facilities and two unsecured term loans as of December 31, 2014.

CBL also had guaranteed 100% of the debt secured by The Promenade in D'Iberville, MS. The loan was paid off in the fourth quarter of 2014. See below for further information on this retirement of debt.

## Debt of the Operating Partnership

The following tables summarize debt based on our pro rata ownership share, including our pro rata share of unconsolidated affiliates and excluding noncontrolling investors' share of consolidated Properties, because we believe this provides investors and lenders a clearer understanding of our total debt obligations and liquidity (in thousands):

	Consolidated	Noncontrolling Interests	Unconsolidated Affiliates	Total	Weighted Average Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	
December 31, 2014:						
Fixed-rate debt:						
Non-recourse loans on operating Properties <sup>(2)</sup>	\$3,252,730	\$ (112,571 )	\$ 671,526	\$3,811,685	5.54	%
Senior unsecured notes due 2023 <sup>(3)</sup>	445,770	—	—	445,770	5.25	%
Senior unsecured notes due 2024 <sup>(4)</sup>	299,925	—	—	299,925	4.60	%
Other <sup>(5)</sup>	5,639	(2,819 )	—	2,820	3.50	%
Total fixed-rate debt	4,004,064	(115,390 )	671,526	4,560,200	5.45	%
Variable-rate debt:						
Non-recourse term loans on operating Properties	17,121	(7,083 )	—	10,038	2.38	%
Recourse term loans on operating Properties	7,638	—	92,709	100,347	2.29	%
Construction loans	454	—	4,067	4,521	2.19	%
Unsecured lines of credit	221,183	—	—	221,183	1.56	%
Unsecured term loans	450,000	—	—	450,000	1.71	%
Total variable-rate debt	696,396	(7,083 )	96,776	786,089	1.75	%
Total	\$4,700,460	\$ (122,473 )	\$ 768,302	\$5,346,289	4.91	%
	Consolidated	Noncontrolling Interests	Unconsolidated Affiliates	Total	Weighted Average Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	
December 31, 2013:						
Fixed-rate debt:						
Non-recourse loans on operating Properties <sup>(2)</sup>	\$3,527,830	\$ (87,406 )	\$ 653,429	\$4,093,853	5.50	%
Senior unsecured notes due 2023	445,374	—	—	445,374	5.25	%
Financing obligation <sup>(6)</sup>	17,570	—	—	17,570	8.00	%
Total fixed-rate debt	3,990,774	(87,406 )	653,429	4,556,797	5.48	%
Variable-rate debt:						
Non-recourse term loans on operating Properties	133,712	(5,669 )	—	128,043	3.19	%
Recourse term loans on operating Properties	51,300	—	63,311	114,611	2.08	%
Construction loans	2,983	—	25,800	28,783	2.28	%
Unsecured lines of credit	228,754	—	—	228,754	1.57	%
Unsecured term loans	450,000	—	—	450,000	1.71	%
Total variable-rate debt	866,749	(5,669 )	89,111	950,191	1.94	%
Total	\$4,857,523	\$ (93,075 )	\$ 742,540	\$5,506,988	4.87	%

- (1) Weighted-average interest rate includes the effect of debt premiums and discounts, but excludes amortization of deferred financing costs.  
We had four interest rate swaps on notional amounts outstanding totaling \$105,584 as of December 31, 2014 and \$109,830 as of December 31, 2013 related to four of our variable-rate loans on operating Properties to effectively
- (2) fix the interest rates on these loans. Therefore, these amounts are reflected in fixed-rate debt at December 31, 2014 and 2013.
- (3) In November 2013, the Operating Partnership issued \$450,000 of senior unsecured notes in a public offering. The balance at December 31, 2014 is net of an unamortized discount of \$4,230. See below for additional information.
- (4) The Operating Partnership issued \$300,000 of senior unsecured notes in a public offering in October 2014. The balance at December 31, 2014 includes an unamortized discount of \$75. See below for additional information.
- (5) A subsidiary of the Management Company entered into a term loan in May 2014.  
This amount represented the noncontrolling partner's unreturned equity contribution related to Pearland Town
- (6) Center that was accounted for as a financing due to certain terms of the CBL/T-C, LLC joint venture agreement. In the first quarter of 2014, we purchased the noncontrolling interest as described below.

As of December 31, 2014, \$818.9 million of our pro rata share of consolidated and unconsolidated debt, excluding debt premiums and discounts, is scheduled to mature during 2015. The \$818.9 million that is scheduled to mature in 2015 includes an unsecured line of credit with a balance of \$63.7 million, with a 2016 extension option, and three operating Property loans secured by joint venture Properties aggregating to \$59.2 million that have extensions we may exercise at our election, leaving \$696.0 million of debt maturities in 2015 that must be retired or refinanced. The \$696.0 million of 2015 debt maturities represents seven operating Property loans, aggregating to \$464.9 million, secured by consolidated Properties and three joint venture loans, secured by unconsolidated Properties, aggregating to \$231.1 million. We plan to retire the loans on our consolidated Properties using availability under our lines of credit and then subsequently obtain long-term fixed rate unsecured debt based on market conditions to unencumber these assets. We expect to refinance the loans secured by our unconsolidated affiliates' Properties.

The weighted-average remaining term of our total share of consolidated and unconsolidated debt was 4.5 years and 4.8 years at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The weighted-average remaining term of our pro rata share of fixed-rate debt was 4.7 years and 5.2 years at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, our pro rata share of consolidated and unconsolidated variable-rate debt represented 14.7% and 17.3%, respectively, of our total pro rata share of debt. The decrease is primarily due to the retirement of several variable-rate loans during the year and the lower balances on our lines of credit, which were reduced using proceeds from our bond offerings, excess proceeds from refinancings and other capital sources. As of December 31, 2014, our share of consolidated and unconsolidated variable-rate debt represented 8.0% of our total market capitalization (see Equity below) as compared to 9.8% as of December 31, 2013.

#### Senior Unsecured Notes

In October 2014, the Operating Partnership issued \$300.0 million of the 2024 Notes, which bear interest at 4.60% payable semiannually beginning April 15, 2015 and mature on October 15, 2024. The interest rate will be subject to an increase ranging from 0.25% to 1.00% from time to time if, on or after January 1, 2016 and prior to January 1, 2020, the ratio of secured debt to our total assets, as defined, is greater than 40% but less than 45%. The 2024 Notes are redeemable at the Operating Partnership's election, in whole or in part from time to time, on not less than 30 days notice to the holders of the 2024 Notes to be redeemed. The 2024 Notes may be redeemed prior to July 15, 2024 for cash, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2024 Notes to be redeemed or (2) an amount equal to the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the 2024 Notes to be redeemed, discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the treasury rate, as defined, plus 0.35%, plus accrued and unpaid interest. CBL is a limited guarantor of the Operating Partnership's obligations under the 2024 Notes, for losses suffered solely by reason of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the Operating Partnership or its affiliates. On or after July 15, 2024, the 2024 Notes are redeemable for cash at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2024 Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. After deducting underwriting and other offering expenses of \$2.2 million and a discount of \$0.1 million, the net proceeds from the sale of the 2024 Notes were approximately \$297.7 million, which the Operating Partnership used to reduce the outstanding balances on its credit facilities.

In November 2013, the Operating Partnership issued \$450.0 million of the 2023 Notes, which bear interest at 5.25% payable semiannually beginning June 1, 2014 and mature on December 1, 2023. The interest rate will be subject to an increase ranging from 0.25% to 1.00% from time to time if, on or after January 1, 2016 and prior to January 1, 2020, our ratio of secured debt to total assets, as defined, is greater than 40% but less than 45%. The 2023 Notes are redeemable at the Operating Partnership's election, in whole or in part from time to time, on not less than 30 days notice to the holders of the 2023 Notes to be redeemed. The 2023 Notes may be redeemed prior to September 1, 2023 for cash, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes to be redeemed or (2) an amount equal to the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the 2023 Notes to be redeemed, discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the

treasury rate, as defined, plus 0.40%, plus accrued and unpaid interest. On or after September 1, 2023, the 2023 Notes are redeemable for cash at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. After deducting underwriting and other offering expenses of \$4.2 million and a discount of \$4.6 million, the net proceeds from the sale of the 2023 Notes were \$441.2 million, which the Operating Partnership used to reduce the outstanding balances on its credit facilities.

#### Financing Obligation

In the first quarter of 2014, we exercised our right to acquire the 12.0% noncontrolling interest in Pearland Town Center, which was accounted for as a financing obligation upon its sale in October 2011, from our joint venture partner. The \$17.9 million purchase price represents the partner's unreturned capital plus accrued and unpaid preferred return at a rate of 8.0%.

### Unsecured Lines of Credit

We have three unsecured credit facilities that are used for retirement of secured loans, repayment of term loans, working capital, construction and acquisition purposes, as well as issuances of letters of credit.

Each facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a spread of 100 to 175 basis points based on our credit ratings. As of December 31, 2014, our interest rate, based on our credit ratings of Baa3 from Moody's and BBB- from Fitch, is LIBOR plus 140 basis points. Additionally, we pay an annual facility fee that ranges from 0.15% to 0.35% of the total capacity of each facility. As of December 31, 2014, the annual facility fee was 0.30%. The three unsecured lines of credit had a weighted-average interest rate of 1.56% at December 31, 2014.

The following summarizes certain information about our unsecured lines of credit as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

	Total Capacity	Total Outstanding	Maturity Date	Extended Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>
Facility A	\$600,000	\$63,716	(2) November 2015	November 2016
First Tennessee	100,000	2,200	(3) February 2016	N/A
Facility B	600,000	155,267	(4) November 2016	November 2017
	\$1,300,000	\$221,183		

(1) The extension options on both facilities are at our election, subject to continued compliance with the terms of the facilities, and have a one-time extension fee of 0.20% of the commitment amount of each credit facility.

(2) There was an additional \$800 outstanding on this facility as of December 31, 2014 for letters of credit. Up to \$50,000 of the capacity on this facility can be used for letters of credit.

(3) There was an additional \$113 outstanding on this facility as of December 31, 2014 for letters of credit. Up to \$20,000 of the capacity on this facility can be used for letters of credit.

(4) There was an additional \$6,110 outstanding on this facility as of December 31, 2014 for letters of credit. Up to \$50,000 of the capacity on this facility can be used for letters of credit.

### Unsecured Term Loans

In the third quarter of 2013, we closed on a five-year \$400.0 million unsecured term loan. Net proceeds from the term loan were used to reduce outstanding balances on our credit facilities. The loan bears interest at a variable-rate of LIBOR plus 150 basis points based on our current credit ratings and has a maturity date of July 2018. At December 31, 2014, the outstanding borrowings of \$400.0 million had an interest rate of 1.67%.

In the first quarter of 2013, under the terms of our amended and restated agreement with First Tennessee Bank, NA, we obtained a \$50.0 million unsecured term loan that bore interest at a variable-rate of LIBOR plus 190 basis points and matures in February 2018. At December 31, 2014, the outstanding borrowings of \$50.0 million had a weighted-average interest rate of 2.05%.

See [Note 19](#) to the consolidated financial statements for information related to a reduction in the interest rate on the \$50.0 million unsecured term loan that occurred subsequent to December 31, 2014.

### Other

In the second quarter of 2014, a consolidated, joint venture subsidiary of the Management Company closed on a \$7.0 million term loan which bears interest at a fixed rate of 3.50% and matures in May 2017. At December 31, 2014, the loan had an outstanding balance of \$5.6 million.



In the second quarter of 2014, the subsidiary of the Management Company also obtained a \$3.5 million revolving line of credit, which bears interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 249 basis points and matures in June 2017. At December 31, 2014, the revolver had no amount outstanding.

#### Covenants and Restrictions

The agreements for the unsecured lines of credit, the Notes and unsecured term loans contain, among other restrictions, certain financial covenants including the maintenance of certain financial coverage ratios, minimum net worth requirements, minimum unencumbered asset and interest ratios, maximum secured indebtedness ratios, maximum total indebtedness ratios and limitations on cash flow distributions. We believe we were in compliance with all covenants and restrictions at December 31, 2014.

## Unsecured Lines of Credit and Unsecured Term Loans

The following presents our compliance with key covenant ratios, as defined, of the credit facilities and term loans as of December 31, 2014:

Ratio	Required	Actual
Debt to total asset value	< 60%	49.1%
Unencumbered asset value to unsecured indebtedness	> 1.60x	2.5x
Unencumbered NOI to unsecured interest expense	> 1.75x	4.1x
EBITDA to fixed charges (debt service)	>1.50x	2.2x

The agreements for the unsecured credit facilities and unsecured term loans described above contain default provisions customary for transactions of this nature (with applicable customary grace periods). Additionally, any default in the payment of any recourse indebtedness greater than or equal to \$50.0 million or any non-recourse indebtedness greater than \$150.0 million (for our ownership share) of CBL, the Operating Partnership or any Subsidiary, as defined, will constitute an event of default under the agreements to the credit facilities. The credit facilities also restrict our ability to enter into any transaction that could result in certain changes in our ownership or structure as described under the heading “Change of Control/Change in Management” in the agreements for the credit facilities. Prior to obtaining an investment grade rating in May 2013, our obligations under the agreements were unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by any of our subsidiaries to the extent such subsidiary was a material subsidiary and was not otherwise an excluded subsidiary, as defined in the agreements. Once we obtained an investment grade rating, guarantees by material subsidiaries were no longer required by the agreements.

## Senior Unsecured Notes

The following presents our compliance with key covenant ratios, as defined, of the Notes as of December 31, 2014:

Ratio	Required	Actual
Total debt to total assets	< 60%	53.7%
Secured debt to total assets	<45% <sup>(1)</sup>	37.0%
Total unencumbered assets to unsecured debt	>150%	235.8%
Consolidated income available for debt service to annual debt service charge	> 1.50x	3.1x

(1) On January 1, 2020 and thereafter, the ratio of secured debt to total assets must be less than 40%.

The agreements for the Notes described above contain default provisions customary for transactions of this nature (with applicable customary grace periods). Additionally, any default in the payment of any recourse indebtedness greater than or equal to \$50.0 million of the Operating Partnership will constitute an event of default under the Notes.

## Other

Several of our malls/open-air centers, associated centers and community centers, in addition to our corporate office building, are owned by special purpose entities, created as a requirement under certain loan agreements, that are included in our consolidated financial statements. The sole business purpose of the special purpose entities is to own and operate these Properties. The real estate and other assets owned by these special purpose entities are restricted under the loan agreements in that they are not available to settle our other debts. However, so long as the loans are not under an event of default, as defined in the loan agreements, the cash flows from these Properties, after payments of debt service, operating expenses and reserves, are available for distribution to us.



## Mortgages on Operating Properties

## 2014 Financings

The following table presents loans, secured by the related Properties, that were entered into in 2014 (in thousands):

Date	Property	Consolidated/ Unconsolidated Property	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date (1)	Amount Financed or Extended (2)
November	The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(3)</sup>	Consolidated	4.045%	December 2024	\$77,500
August	Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(4)</sup>	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.00%	August 2016 <sup>(5)</sup>	47,291
July	Coastal Grand - Myrtle Beach <sup>(6)</sup>	Unconsolidated	4.09%	August 2024	126,000
April	The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City - Phase II <sup>(7)</sup>	Consolidated	LIBOR + 2.75%	April 2019 <sup>(8)</sup>	6,000
February	Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(9)</sup>	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.125%	March 2016	47,291

(1) Excludes any extension options.

(2) Net proceeds were used to reduce the outstanding balances on our credit facilities unless otherwise noted.

(3) A portion of the net proceeds from the non-recourse mortgage loan was used to retire a \$47,931 recourse construction loan and our share of excess proceeds was used to reduce balances on our lines of credit.

(4) Fremaux Town Center JV, LLC ("Fremaux") amended and modified its Phase I construction loan to change the maturity date and interest rate. Additionally, the Operating Partnership's guarantee of the loan was reduced from 100% to 50% of the outstanding principal loan amount. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for further information on future guarantee reductions.

(5) The construction loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of August 2018.

(6) Two subsidiaries of Mall of South Carolina L.P. and Mall of South Carolina Outparcel L.P., closed on a non-recourse loan, secured by Coastal Grand-Myrtle Beach in Myrtle Beach, SC. Net proceeds were used to retire the outstanding borrowings under the previous loan, which had a balance of \$75,238 as well as to pay off \$18,000 of subordinated notes to us and our joint venture partner, each of which held \$9,000. See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. Excess proceeds were distributed 50/50 to us and our partner and our share of net proceeds was used to reduce balances on our lines of credit.

(7) Proceeds from the operating Property loan for Phase II were distributed to the partners in accordance with the terms of the partnership agreement.

(8) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the consolidated joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of April 2021.

(9) Fremaux amended and restated its March 2013 loan agreement to increase the capacity on its construction loan from \$46,000 to \$47,291 for additional development costs related to Fremaux Town Center. The Operating

(9) Partnership had guaranteed 100% of the loan. The construction loan had two one-year extension options, which were at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of March 2018. See footnote 4 and footnote 5 above for information on the extension and modification of the Phase I loan in August 2014.

## 2014 Loan Repayments

We repaid the following loans, secured by the related Properties, in 2014 (in thousands):

Date	Property	Consolidated/ Unconsolidated	Interest Rate at	Scheduled Maturity Date	Principal Balance
------	----------	---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------------

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

	Property	Repayment Date	Repaid <sup>(1)</sup>		
December	The Promenade	Consolidated	1.87%	December 2014	\$47,670
December	Janesville Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated	8.38%	April 2016	2,473
October	Mall del Norte	Consolidated	5.04%	December 2014	113,400
July	Coastal Grand - Myrtle Beach <sup>(3)</sup>	Unconsolidated	5.09%	October 2014	75,238
January	St. Clair Square <sup>(4)</sup>	Consolidated	3.25%	December 2016	122,375

(1) We retired the loans with borrowings from our credit facilities unless otherwise noted.

(2) We recorded a \$257 loss on extinguishment of debt due to a prepayment fee on the early retirement.

(3) Net proceeds from a new loan with a principal balance of \$126,000 were used to retire the outstanding borrowings under this loan as well as to pay off \$18,000 of subordinated notes to us and our 50/50 joint venture partner, each of which held \$9,000. See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information. Excess proceeds were distributed 50/50 to us and our partner. See previous section for details on the new loan.

(4) We recorded a \$1,249 loss on extinguishment of debt due to a prepayment fee on the early retirement.

## 2013 Financings

The following table presents loans, secured by the related Properties, that were entered into in 2013 (in thousands):

Date	Property	Consolidated/ Unconsolidated Property	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date (1)	Amount Financed or Extended (2)
December	The Pavilion at Port Orange - Phase I (3)	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.00%	November 2015	\$62,600
December	Hammock Landing - Phase I (4)	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.00%	November 2015	41,068
December	Hammock Landing - Phase II (5)	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.25%	November 2015	10,757
October	The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta (6)	Consolidated	4.90%	November 2023	80,000
June	Statesboro Crossing	Consolidated	LIBOR + 1.80%	June 2016	(7) 11,400
March	Renaissance Center - Phase II (8)	Unconsolidated	3.49%	April 2023	16,000
March	Friendly Center (9)	Unconsolidated	3.48%	April 2023	100,000

(1) Excludes any extension options.

(2) Net proceeds were used to reduce the outstanding balances on our credit facilities unless otherwise noted.

(3) The construction loan was extended and modified to reduce the capacity from \$64,950 to \$62,600, reduce the interest rate from a variable-rate of LIBOR + 3.5% to a variable-rate of LIBOR + 2.0% and extend the maturity date. The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of November 2017. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 25% of the construction loan.

(4) The loan was amended and restated to extend the maturity date and reduce the interest rate from a variable-rate of LIBOR + 3.5% to a variable-rate of LIBOR + 2.0%. The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of November 2017. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 25% of the loan.

(5) A construction loan to build a Carmike Cinema has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of November 2017. The Operating Partnership's guaranty was reduced from 100% to 25% in the third quarter of 2014 when the Carmike Cinema became operational. See the 2014 construction loan table below for information on the extension and modification of the Phase II loan in November 2014.

(6) Net proceeds from the non-recourse mortgage loan were used to repay a \$53,080 recourse construction loan and our share of excess proceeds was used to reduce the balances on our lines of credit.

(7) The non-recourse loan has two one-year extension options, which are at our option, for an outside maturity date of June 2018.

(8) Net proceeds from the loan were used to retire a \$15,700 loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2013.

(9) Net proceeds from the loan were used to retire four loans, scheduled to mature in April 2013 and with an aggregate balance of \$100,000, that were secured by Friendly Center, Friendly Center Office Building, First National Bank Building, Green Valley Office Building, First Citizens Bank Building, Wachovia Office Building and Bank of America Building.

## 2013 Loan Repayments

We repaid the following loans, secured by the related Properties, in 2013 (in thousands):

Date	Property	Consolidated/ Unconsolidated	Interest Rate at	Scheduled Maturity Date	Principal Balance
------	----------	---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------------

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

		Property	Repayment Date		Repaid <sup>(1)</sup>
December	Northpark Mall	Consolidated	5.75%	March 2014	\$32,684
September	The Forum at Grandview	Consolidated	3.19%	September 2013	10,200
July	Alamance Crossing West	Consolidated	3.20%	December 2013	16,000
June	Mid Rivers Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated	5.88%	May 2021	88,410
April	South County Center <sup>(3)</sup>	Consolidated	4.96%	October 2013	71,740
February	Statesboro Crossing	Consolidated	1.21%	February 2013	13,460
January	Westmoreland Mall	Consolidated	5.05%	March 2013	63,639

(1) We retired the loans with borrowings from our credit facilities.

(2) We recorded an \$8,936 loss on extinguishment of debt, which consisted of an \$8,708 prepayment fee and \$228 of unamortized debt issuance costs.

(3) We recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$172 from the write-off of an unamortized discount.

## 2014 Extinguishments of Debt

The following is a summary of our 2014 dispositions for which the Property securing the related fixed-rate debt was transferred to the lender:

Date	Property	Interest Rate at Repayment Date	Scheduled Maturity Date	Balance of Non-recourse Debt	Gain on Extinguishment of Debt
October	Columbia Place <sup>(1)</sup>	5.45%	September 2013	\$27,265	\$27,171
September	Chapel Hill Mall <sup>(1)</sup>	6.10%	August 2016	68,563	18,296
January	Citadel Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	5.68%	April 2017	68,169	43,932
				\$163,997	\$89,399

(1) We conveyed the Mall to the lender by a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure.

(2) The mortgage lender completed the foreclosure process and received the title to the Mall in satisfaction of the non-recourse debt.

## Construction Loans

## 2014 Financings

The following table presents construction loans, secured by the related Properties, that were entered into in 2014 (in thousands):

Date	Property	Consolidated/ Unconsolidated Property	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount Financed or Extended
December	Ambassador Town Center <sup>(2)</sup>	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 1.80%	December 2017 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$48,200
December	Ambassador Town Center - Infrastructure Improvements <sup>(4)</sup>	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.00%	December 2017 <sup>(3)</sup>	11,700
December	The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta - Parcel Development <sup>(5)</sup>	Consolidated	LIBOR + 2.50%	December 2019	2,435
November	Hammock Landing - Phase II <sup>(6)</sup>	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.25%	November 2015 <sup>(7)</sup>	16,757
August	Fremaux Town Center - Phase II <sup>(8)</sup>	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.00%	August 2016 <sup>(9)</sup>	32,100
April	The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City - Phase III <sup>(10)</sup>	Consolidated	LIBOR + 2.75%	April 2019 <sup>(11)</sup>	5,400
April	The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso - Phase II <sup>(10)</sup>	Consolidated	LIBOR + 2.75%	April 2018	7,000

(1) Excludes any extension options.

The unconsolidated 65/35 joint venture, Ambassador Town Center JV, LLC ("Ambassador"), closed on a construction loan for the development of Ambassador Town Center, a community center located in Lafayette, LA.

(2) The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 100% of the loan. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for information on the Operating Partnership's guaranty of this loan and future guaranty reductions. The construction loan had an outstanding balance of \$715 at December 31, 2014. The interest rate will be reduced to LIBOR + 1.60% once certain debt service and operational metrics are met.

(3) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of December 2019.

(4) Ambassador Infrastructure, LLC ("Ambassador Infrastructure"), a 65/35 unconsolidated joint venture, was formed to construct certain infrastructure improvements related to the development of Ambassador Town Center. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 100% of the loan. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for information on the Operating Partnership's guaranty of this loan and future guaranty reductions. The infrastructure construction loan had an outstanding balance of \$725 at December 31, 2014. Under a payment-in-lieu of taxes



("PILOT") program, in lieu of ad valorem taxes, Ambassador and other contributing landowners will make annual PILOT payments to Ambassador Infrastructure, which will be used to repay the infrastructure construction loan.

The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 100% of the loan, which had an outstanding balance of \$454 at (5) December 31, 2014. The guaranty will terminate once construction is complete and certain debt and operational metrics are met.

The \$10,757 construction loan was amended and restated to increase the loan by \$6,000 to finance the construction of Academy Sports. The interest rate will be reduced to LIBOR + 2.00% once Academy Sports is open and paying (6) contractual rent. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for information on the Operating Partnership's guaranty of this loan and future guaranty reductions.

The construction loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside (7) maturity date of November 2017.

The Operating Partnership's guaranty of the construction loan was reduced in the fourth quarter of 2014 from 100% (8) to 50% upon the land closing with Dillard's. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for further information on future guaranty reductions.

The construction loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside (9) maturity date of August 2018.

The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 100% of the construction loan for the expansion of the outlet center (10) until certain financial and operational metrics are met.

The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the consolidated joint venture's election, for an outside (11) maturity date of April 2021.

## 2014 Loan Repayment

We repaid the following construction loan, secured by the related Property, in 2014 (in thousands):

Date	Property	Consolidated/ Unconsolidated Property	Interest Rate at Repayment Date	Scheduled Maturity Date	Principal Balance Repaid
November	The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(1)</sup>	Consolidated	2.15%	August 2016	\$47,931

The joint venture retired the recourse construction loan with a portion of the proceeds from a \$77,500 non-recourse (1)mortgage loan. Our share of excess net proceeds were used to reduce the outstanding balances on our lines of credit.

## 2013 Financings

The following table presents construction loans, secured by the related Properties, that were entered into in 2013 (in thousands):

Date	Property	Consolidated/ Unconsolidated Property	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount Financed or Extended
August	The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated	LIBOR + 2.00%	August 2016	\$60,200
March	Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(3)</sup>	Unconsolidated	LIBOR + 2.125%	March 2016	46,000

(1)Excludes any extension options.

The Operating Partnership had guaranteed 100% of the recourse construction loan. The loan was retired as (2)described above with the proceeds from a non-recourse mortgage loan in November 2014. The loan had two one-year extension options, which were at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of August 2018.

The Operating Partnership had guaranteed 100% of the recourse construction loan. The loan had two one-year (3)extension options, which were at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of March 2018. The loan was amended and restated in February 2014 as described above.

## 2013 Loan Repayment

We repaid the following construction loan, secured by the related Property, in 2013 (in thousands):

Date	Property	Consolidated/ Unconsolidated Property	Interest Rate at Repayment Date	Scheduled Maturity Date	Principal Balance Repaid
October	The Outlet Shoppes of Atlanta <sup>(1)</sup>	Consolidated	2.93%	August 2015	\$53,080

The joint venture retired the recourse construction loan with a portion of the proceeds from an \$80,000 (1)non-recourse mortgage loan. Our share of excess net proceeds were used to reduce the outstanding balances on our lines of credit.

## Interest Rate Hedging Instruments

The following table provides further information related to each of our interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Instrument Type	Location in Consolidated Balance Sheet	Outstanding Notional Amount	Designated Benchmark Interest Rate	Strike Rate	Fair Value at 12/31/14	Fair Value at 12/31/13	Maturity Date
Cap	Intangible lease assets and other assets	\$ 122,375 (amortizing to \$122,375)	3-month LIBOR	5.000 %	N/A	\$—	January 2014
Pay fixed/ Receive variable Swap	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 51,037 (amortizing to \$48,337)	1-month LIBOR	2.149 %	\$(1,064 )	\$(1,915 )	April 2016
Pay fixed/ Receive variable Swap	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 31,960 (amortizing to \$30,276)	1-month LIBOR	2.187 %	(681 )	(1,226 )	April 2016
Pay fixed/ Receive variable Swap	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 11,946 (amortizing to \$11,313)	1-month LIBOR	2.142 %	(248 )	(446 )	April 2016
Pay fixed/ Receive variable Swap	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 10,641 (amortizing to \$10,083)	1-month LIBOR	2.236 %	(233 )	(420 )	April 2016
					\$(2,226 )	\$(4,007 )	

## Equity

## At-The-Market Equity Program

On March 1, 2013, we entered into separate controlled equity offering sales agreements (collectively, the "Sales Agreements") with a number of sales agents to sell shares of CBL's common stock, having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300.0 million, from time to time in ATM equity offerings (as defined in Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended) or in negotiated transactions (the "ATM program"). In accordance with the Sales Agreements, we will set the parameters for the sales of shares, including the number of shares to be issued, the time period during which sales are to be made and any minimum price below which sales may not be made. The Sales Agreements provide that the sales agents will be entitled to compensation for their services at a mutually agreed commission rate not to exceed 2.0% of the gross proceeds from the sales of shares sold through the ATM program. For each share of common stock issued by CBL, the Operating Partnership issues a corresponding number of common units of limited partnership interest to CBL in exchange for the contribution of the proceeds from the stock issuance. We include only share issuances that have settled in the calculation of shares outstanding at the end of each period.

We did not sell any shares under the ATM program during 2014. The following table summarizes issuances of common stock sold through the ATM program since inception through December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands, except weighted-average sales price):

	Number of Shares Settled	Gross Proceeds	Net Proceeds	Weighted-average Sales Price
2013:				
First quarter	1,889,105	\$44,459	\$43,904	\$23.53
Second quarter	6,530,193	167,034	165,692	25.58
Total	8,419,298	\$211,493	\$209,596	\$25.12

The proceeds from these sales were used to reduce the outstanding balances on our credit facilities. Since the commencement of the ATM program, we have issued 8,419,298 shares of common stock and approximately \$88.5 million remains available that may be sold under this program. Actual future sales will depend on a variety of factors

including but not limited to market conditions, the trading price of CBL's common stock and our capital needs. We have no obligation to sell the remaining shares available under the ATM program.

### Preferred Stock / Preferred Units

Our authorized preferred stock consists of 15,000,000 shares at \$0.01 par value per share. A description of our cumulative redeemable preferred stock is provided below. The Operating Partnership issues an equivalent number of preferred units to CBL in exchange for the contribution of the proceeds from CBL to the Operating Partnership when CBL issues preferred stock. The preferred units generally have the same terms and economic characteristics as the corresponding series of preferred stock. See Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of our cumulative redeemable preferred stock.

### Dividends - CBL

CBL paid first, second and third quarter 2014 cash dividends on its common stock of \$0.245 per share on April 16<sup>th</sup>, July 15<sup>th</sup> and October 15<sup>th</sup> 2014, respectively. On November 13, 2014, CBL's Board of Directors declared a fourth quarter cash dividend of \$0.265 per share that was paid on January 15, 2015, to shareholders of record as of December 30, 2014. Future dividends payable will be determined by CBL's Board of Directors based upon circumstances at the time of declaration.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we paid dividends of \$211.7 million to holders of our common stock and our preferred stock, as well as \$52.7 million in distributions to the noncontrolling interest investors in our Operating Partnership and other consolidated subsidiaries.

As a publicly traded company and, as a subsidiary of a publicly traded company, we have access to capital through both the public equity and debt markets. We currently have a shelf registration statement on file with the SEC authorizing us to publicly issue senior and/or subordinated debt securities, shares of preferred stock (or depositary shares representing fractional interests therein), shares of common stock, warrants or rights to purchase any of the foregoing securities, and units consisting of two or more of these classes or series of securities and limited guarantees of debt securities issued by the Operating Partnership. Pursuant to the shelf registration statement, the Operating Partnership is also authorized to publicly issue unsubordinated debt securities. There is no limit to the offering price or number of securities that we may issue under this shelf registration statement.

Our strategy is to maintain a conservative debt-to-total-market capitalization ratio in order to enhance our access to the broadest range of capital markets, both public and private. Based on our share of total consolidated and unconsolidated debt and the market value of equity, our debt-to-total-market capitalization (debt plus market value of equity) ratio was 54.3% at December 31, 2014, compared to 56.7% at December 31, 2013. Our debt-to-market capitalization ratio at December 31, 2014 was computed as follows (in thousands, except stock prices):

	Shares Outstanding	Stock Price <sup>(1)</sup>	Value	
Common stock and operating partnership units	199,533	\$ 19.42	\$ 3,874,931	
7.375% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	1,815	250.00	453,750	
6.625% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	690	250.00	172,500	
Total market equity			4,501,181	
Company's share of total debt			5,346,289	
Total market capitalization			\$9,847,470	
Debt-to-total-market capitalization ratio			54.3	%

Stock price for common stock and Operating Partnership units equals the closing price of our common stock on (1)December 31, 2014. The stock prices for the preferred stock represent the liquidation preference of each respective series of preferred stock.



## Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

	Payments Due By Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
<b>Long-term debt:</b>					
Total consolidated debt service <sup>(1)</sup>	\$5,818,376	\$822,334	\$1,608,991	\$1,039,918	\$2,347,133
Noncontrolling interests' share in other consolidated subsidiaries	(152,317 )	(10,023 )	(64,786 )	(9,989 )	(67,519 )
Our share of unconsolidated affiliates debt service <sup>(2)</sup>	885,834	332,614	236,196	77,747	239,277
Our share of total debt service obligations	6,551,893	1,144,925	1,780,401	1,107,676	2,518,891
<b>Operating leases: <sup>(3)</sup></b>					
Ground leases on consolidated Properties	32,228	859	1,763	1,796	27,810
<b>Purchase obligations: <sup>(4)</sup></b>					
Construction contracts on consolidated Properties	12,322	12,322	—	—	—
Noncontrolling interests' share in other consolidated subsidiaries	(514 )	(514 )	—	—	—
Our share of construction contracts on unconsolidated Properties	33,580	33,580	—	—	—
Our share of total purchase obligations	45,388	45,388	—	—	—
<b>Total contractual obligations</b>	<b>\$6,629,509</b>	<b>\$1,191,172</b>	<b>\$1,782,164</b>	<b>\$1,109,472</b>	<b>\$2,546,701</b>

(1) Represents principal and interest payments due under the terms of mortgage and other indebtedness and includes \$862,293 of variable-rate debt service on seven operating Properties, one construction loan, three unsecured credit facilities and two unsecured term loans. The construction loan, credit facilities and term loans do not require scheduled principal payments. The future interest payments are projected based on the interest rates that were in effect at December 31, 2014. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the terms of long-term debt.

(2) Includes \$99,882 of variable-rate debt service. Future contractual obligations have been projected using the same assumptions as used in (1) above.

(3) Obligations where we own the buildings and improvements, but lease the underlying land under long-term ground leases. The maturities of these leases range from 2019 to 2089 and generally provide for renewal options.

(4) Represents the remaining balance to be incurred under construction contracts that had been entered into as of December 31, 2014, but were not complete. The contracts are primarily for development of Properties.

## Capital Expenditures

Deferred maintenance expenditures are generally billed to tenants as common area maintenance expense, and most are recovered over a 5 to 15-year period. Renovation expenditures are primarily for remodeling and upgrades of Malls, of which a portion is recovered from tenants over a 5 to 15-year period. We recover these costs through fixed amounts with annual increases or pro rata cost reimbursements based on the tenant's occupied space. The following table, which excludes expenditures for developments and expansions, summarizes these capital expenditures, including our share of unconsolidated affiliates' capital expenditures, for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Tenant allowances <sup>(1)</sup>	\$46,837	\$46,940
Renovations	27,285	36,592
Deferred maintenance:		
Parking lot and parking lot lighting	31,411	15,867
Roof repairs and replacements	5,544	9,145
Other capital expenditures	11,352	13,409
Total deferred maintenance	48,307	38,421
Capitalized overhead	5,024	3,922
Capitalized interest	7,288	4,889
Total capital expenditures	\$134,741	\$130,764

(1) Tenant allowances primarily relate to new leases. Tenant allowances related to renewal leases were not material for the periods presented.

We continue to make it a priority to reinvest in our Properties in order to enhance their dominant positions in their markets. In 2014, upgrades were completed at Governor's Square in Clarksville, TN; Volusia Mall in Daytona Beach, FL; Richland Mall in Waco, TX, Janesville Mall in Janesville, WI and Old Hickory Mall in Jackson, TN. Our 2015 renovation program includes five of our Malls. Renovations are scheduled to be completed in 2015 at Dakota Square Mall in Minot, ND; Janesville Mall in Janesville, WI; Laurel Park Place in Lavonia, MI; Monroeville Mall in Pittsburgh, PA and Sunrise Mall in Brownsville, TX. We invested \$27.3 million in renovations in 2014. Renovation expenditures for 2014 and 2015 also include certain capital expenditures related to the parking decks at West County Center. The total investment in the renovations that are scheduled for 2015 is projected to be \$22.5 million for the five Malls listed above as well as \$14.0 million for repairs to the parking decks at West County Center.

Annual capital expenditures budgets are prepared for each of our Properties that are intended to provide for all necessary recurring and non-recurring capital expenditures. We believe that property operating cash flows, which include reimbursements from tenants for certain expenses, will provide the necessary funding for these expenditures.



## Developments and Expansions

The following tables summarize our development and expansion projects as of December 31, 2014:

## Properties Opened During the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(Dollars in thousands)

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
<b>Outlet Center:</b>						
The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(3)</sup>	Simpsonville, KY	374,597	\$77,234	\$76,013	July-14	12.1%
<b>Mall/Outlet Center Expansions:</b>						
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso - Phase II <sup>(4)</sup>	El Paso, TX	44,014	7,663	6,747	August-14	12.0%
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City - Phase III <sup>(4)</sup>	Oklahoma City, OK	18,182	3,713	3,041	August-14	12.8%
Parkdale Mall - shops	Beaumont, TX	6,500	1,439	1,152	September-14	10.2%
		68,696	12,815	10,940		
<b>Community Center:</b>						
Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(3)</sup>	Slidell, LA	341,002	55,030	52,408	March-14	8.4%
<b>Community Center Expansions:</b>						
Hammock Landing - Carmike <sup>(5)</sup>	West Melbourne, FL	47,000	12,232	9,931	August-14	7.5%
The Promenade - Ross, Bed Bath & Beyond, Ashley Furniture <sup>(6)</sup>	D'Iberville, MS	68,400	8,373	6,843	Spring/Fall-14	10.3%
		115,400	20,605	16,774		
<b>Total Properties Opened</b>		<b>899,695</b>	<b>\$165,684</b>	<b>\$156,135</b>		

(1) Total Cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to Date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

(3) This Property is a 65/35 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(4) This Property is a 75/25 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(5) This Property is a 50/50 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(6) This Property is an 85/15 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

We opened two new Properties in 2014. The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass opened in the third quarter of 2014. This new outlet center is 100% leased or committed and includes retailers such as Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Chico's, Nike and Saks Fifth Avenue OFF 5TH among others. The first phase of Fremaux Town Center was over 95% leased at its opening in the first quarter of 2014. Anchors of this phase of the development include Kohl's, Dick's Sporting Goods, Best Buy and T.J. Maxx. We also completed the expansion of two outlet centers. The second phase expansion of The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso opened in fall 2014 and included Nautica, Motherhood Maternity, New York and Co and H&M. The third phase expansion of The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City includes Forever 21, Lids and Toys "R" Us.

Redevelopment Completed During the Year Ended December 31, 2014  
(Dollars in thousands)

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Mall Redevelopment:						
College Square - Longhorn Steakhouse & T.J. Maxx	Morristown, TN	30,271	\$3,078	\$2,858	April-14	10.6%
Fayette Mall - Sears Redevelopment	Lexington, KY	114,297	68,517	55,693	Fall-14/ Spring-15	8.1%
Monroeville Mall - Dick's Sporting Goods	Pittsburgh, PA	86,000	8,649	6,532	August-14	8.6%
Northgate Mall - Burlington	Chattanooga, TN	63,000	7,538	6,353	September-14	7.7%
		293,568	87,782	71,436		
Associated Center Redevelopment:						
West Towne Crossing - Nordstrom Rack	Madison, WI	30,750	5,693	5,708	October-14	10.3%
Total Redevelopment Completed		324,318	\$93,475	\$77,144		

(1) Total Cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to Date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, we had the grand opening of the Sears redevelopment at Fayette Mall in Lexington, KY. New retailers include Cheesecake Factory and other new-to-the-market stores such as Oakley, Clarks, Aveda, H&M, Altar'd State, and Vera Bradley. Additional shops and restaurants including PINK, Newk's and Travinia Italian Kitchen are currently under construction with a spring 2015 opening. We also completed redevelopment at several other Malls and an associated center as we continue to invest in our portfolio of Properties.

Properties Under Development at December 31, 2014  
(Dollars in thousands)

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost to Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Outlet Center Expansion: The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta - Parcel Development <sup>(3)</sup>	Woodstock, GA	9,600	\$3,542	\$594	Spring-15	9.3%
Community Centers: Ambassador Town Center <sup>(4)</sup>	Lafayette, LA	438,057	61,456	2,611	Spring-16	8.8%
Parkway Plaza	Fort Oglethorpe, GA	134,050	17,325	13,001	Spring-15	8.5%
		572,107	78,781	15,612		
Community Center Expansions: Fremaux Town Center - Phase II <sup>(4)</sup>	Slidell, LA	279,791	38,334	11,779	Fall-15	9.6%
Hammock Landing - Academy Sports <sup>(5)</sup>	West Melbourne, FL	63,092	9,903	4,175	Spring-15	8.6%
		342,883	48,237	15,954		
Mall Redevelopment: CoolSprings Galleria - Sears Redevelopment <sup>(5)</sup>	Nashville, TN	182,163	66,398	28,292	Spring-15/ Summer-16	7.0%
Janesville Mall - JCP Redevelopment	Janesville, WI	149,522	15,925	545	Fall-15	8.3%
Meridian Mall - Gordmans	Lansing, MI	50,000	7,372	2,995	Fall-15	10.2%
Northgate Mall - Streetscape/ULTA	Chattanooga, TN	50,852	8,989	3,848	Fall-14/Summer-15	10.5%
		432,537	98,684	35,680		
Total Properties Under Development		1,357,127	\$229,244	\$67,840		

(1) Total Cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) Cost to Date does not reflect reimbursements until they are received.

(3) This Property is a 75/25 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(4) This Property is a 65/35 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(5) This Property is a 50/50 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

We have under construction a new joint venture project to develop a community center in Lafayette, LA. Ambassador Town Center will be anchored by Costco, Dick's Sporting Goods, Field & Stream, Marshall's, HomeGoods and

Nordstrom Rack. The grand opening is expected to be in March 2016. We are under construction on Parkway Plaza, a community center that will include Anchor stores Hobby Lobby, Marshall's and PETCO. We also have several expansion projects underway at our community centers. Construction continues on the second phase of Fremaux Town Center. The expansion will include 265,000-square-feet of additional retail space, targeting fashion and entertainment, featuring a 126,000-square-foot Dillard's store as a primary Anchor. At Hammock Landing, our open-air center in West Melbourne, FL, Academy Sports is set to open in spring 2015, joining Carmike, which opened in August 2014.

We have four mall redevelopment projects underway at December 31, 2014. At CoolSprings Galleria, the former Sears store was redeveloped into The Cheesecake Factory, which opened in November 2014, and additional retailers including American Girl, H&M, Belk Home and other shops and restaurants are slated to open in 2015. We have started construction on the redevelopment of the former JC Penney store at Janesville Mall, which will feature ULTA and Dick's Sporting Goods.

Shadow Pipeline of Properties Under Development at December 31, 2014  
(Dollars in thousands)

Property	Location	Total Project Square Feet	Estimated Total Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Expected Opening Date	Initial Unleveraged Yield
Outlet Center Expansions:					
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta - Phase II <sup>(2)</sup>	Woodstock, GA	35,000	\$5,000 - \$6,000	Fall-15	12% - 13%
The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass - Phase II <sup>(3)</sup>	Simpsonville, KY	50,000	\$9,000 - \$10,000	Fall-15	11% - 12%
		85,000	\$14,000 - \$16,000		
Mall Redevelopment:					
Hickory Point Mall - JCP Redevelopment	Forsyth, IL	100,000	\$3,000 - \$4,000	Fall-15	8% - 9%
Total Shadow Pipeline		185,000	\$17,000 - \$20,000		

(1) Total Cost is presented net of reimbursements to be received.

(2) This Property is a 75/25 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

(3) This Property is a 65/35 joint venture. Total cost and cost to date are reflected at 100%.

Our shadow pipeline features projects under pre-development for which construction has not yet begun.

We plan to expand two of our outlet centers to meet continued demand for retail space. The second phase expansion of The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta will include Gap, Banana Republic and other shops.

At Hickory Point Mall, Hobby Lobby will lease 60,000-square-feet of the former JC Penney store.

We hold options to acquire certain development properties owned by third parties. Except for the projects presented above, we did not have any other material capital commitments as of December 31, 2014.

#### Dispositions

During 2014, we sold a mall, the expansion portion of an associated center and a community center for an aggregate gross sales price of \$18.6 million, less commissions and closing costs generating an aggregate \$17.9 million of net proceeds. Additionally, we recognized \$89.4 million of gain on extinguishment of debt when we transferred the title to three Malls to their respective lenders in settlement of \$164.0 million of non-recourse debt. See [Note 4](#) to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

##### Unconsolidated Affiliates

We have ownership interests in 19 unconsolidated affiliates as of December 31, 2014, that are described in [Note 5](#) to the consolidated financial statements. The unconsolidated affiliates are accounted for using the equity method of accounting and are reflected in the consolidated balance sheets as "Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates." The following are circumstances when we may consider entering into a joint venture with a third party:

Third parties may approach us with opportunities in which they have obtained land and performed some pre-development activities, but they may not have sufficient access to the capital resources or the development and leasing expertise to bring the project to fruition. We enter into such arrangements when we determine such a project is viable and we can achieve a satisfactory return on our investment. We typically earn development fees from the joint venture and provide management and leasing services to the property for a fee once the property is placed in operation.

We determine that we may have the opportunity to capitalize on the value we have created in a Property by selling an interest in the Property to a third party. This provides us with an additional source of capital that can be used to develop or acquire additional real estate assets that we believe will provide greater potential for growth. When we retain an interest in an asset rather than selling a 100% interest, it is typically because this allows us to continue to manage the Property, which provides us the ability to earn fees for management, leasing, development and financing services provided to the joint venture.

#### Preferred Joint Venture Units

In September 2013, we redeemed all outstanding perpetual PJV units of our joint venture, CW Joint Venture, LLC ("CWJV") with Westfield using borrowings from our lines of credit. The PJV units, originally issued in 2007 as part of the acquisition of four malls in St. Louis, MO by CWJV, were redeemed for \$413.0 million, which consisted of \$408.6 million for the PJV units and \$4.4 million for accrued and unpaid preferred returns. In accordance with the joint venture agreement, the redemption amount represented a \$10.0 million reduction to the preferred liquidation value of the PJV units of \$418.6 million. The \$10.0 million reduction has been recorded as an increase in additional paid-in capital of the Company and as an increase to partners' capital of the Operating Partnership.

Prior to the September 2013 redemption, the terms of the joint venture agreement required that CWJV pay an annual preferred distribution at a rate of 5.0% on the preferred liquidation value of the PJV units of CWJV that were held by Westfield. Westfield had the right to have all or a portion of the PJV units redeemed by CWJV with either cash or property owned by CWJV, in each case for a net equity amount equal to the preferred liquidation value of the PJV units. At any time after January 1, 2013, Westfield could propose that CWJV acquire certain qualifying property that would be used to redeem the PJV units at their preferred liquidation value. If CWJV did not redeem the PJV units with such qualifying property, then the annual preferred distribution rate on the PJV units would increase to 9.0% beginning July 1, 2013. We had the right, but not the obligation, to offer to redeem the PJV units from January 31, 2013 through January 31, 2015 at their preferred liquidation value, plus accrued and unpaid distributions. We amended the joint venture agreement with Westfield in September 2012 to provide that, if we exercised our right to offer to redeem the PJV units on or before August 1, 2013, then the preferred liquidation value would be reduced by \$10.0 million so long as Westfield did not reject the offer and the redemption closed on or before September 30, 2013.

#### Guarantees

We may guarantee the debt of a joint venture primarily because it allows the joint venture to obtain funding at a lower cost than could be obtained otherwise. This results in a higher return for the joint venture on its investment, and a higher return on our investment in the joint venture. We may receive a fee from the joint venture for providing the guaranty. Additionally, when we issue a guaranty, the terms of the joint venture agreement typically provide that we may receive indemnification from the joint venture partner or have the ability to increase our ownership interest.



The following table represents the Operating Partnership's guarantees of unconsolidated affiliates' debt as reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Unconsolidated Affiliate	As of December 31, 2014						Obligation recorded to reflect guaranty	
	Company's Ownership Interest	Outstanding Balance	Percentage Guaranteed by the Company	Maximum Guaranteed Amount	Debt Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>	12/31/14	12/31/13	
West Melbourne I, LLC - Phase I	50%	\$40,243	25%	\$10,061	Nov-2015 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$101	\$65	
West Melbourne I, LLC - Phase II	50%	13,579	N/A <sup>(3)</sup>	8,700	Nov-2015 <sup>(2)</sup>	87	65	
Port Orange I, LLC	50%	60,814	25%	15,204	Nov-2015 <sup>(2)</sup>	153	157	
JG Gulf Coast Town Center LLC - Phase III	50%	5,694	100%	5,694	Jul-2015	—	—	
Fremaux Town Center JV, LLC - Phase I	65%	41,648	50% <sup>(4)</sup>	21,789	Aug-2016 <sup>(5)</sup>	236	460	
Fremaux Town Center JV, LLC - Phase II	65%	4,041	50% <sup>(6)</sup>	16,050	Aug-2016 <sup>(5)</sup>	161	—	
Ambassador Town Center JV, LLC	65%	715	100% <sup>(7)</sup>	48,200	Dec-2017 <sup>(8)</sup>	482	—	
Ambassador Infrastructure, LLC	65%	725	100% <sup>(9)</sup>	11,700	Dec-2017 <sup>(8)</sup>	177	—	
Total guaranty liability						\$1,397	\$747	

(1) Excludes any extension options.

(2) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the unconsolidated affiliate's election, for an outside maturity date of November 2017.

The guaranty was reduced from 100% to 25% in the third quarter of 2014 when Carmike Cinema became operational in the third quarter of 2014. In the fourth quarter of 2014, the loan was amended and restated to add

(3) funding for the construction of Academy Sports. The guaranty was also amended to cap the maximum guaranteed amount at \$8,700 unless a monetary default event occurs related to Carmike Cinema or Academy Sports. The guaranty will be reduced to 25% once Academy Sports is operational and paying contractual rent.

We received a 1% fee for this guaranty when the loan was issued in March 2013. In the first quarter of 2014, the loan was modified and extended to increase the capacity to \$47,291, which increased the maximum guaranteed amount. The loan was amended and modified in August 2014 to reduce the guaranty from 100% to 50%. The (4) guaranty will be reduced to 25% upon the opening of LA Fitness and payment of contractual rent. The guaranty will be further reduced to 15% when Phase I of the development has been open for one year and the debt service coverage ratio of 1.30 to 1.00 is met.

(5) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the unconsolidated affiliate's election, for an outside maturity date of August 2018.

We received a 1% fee for this guaranty when the loan was issued in August 2014. The guaranty was reduced to 50% upon the land closing with Dillard's in the fourth quarter of 2014. Upon completion of Phase II of the

(6) development and once certain leasing and occupancy metrics have been met, the guaranty will be 25%. The guaranty will be further reduced to 15% when Phase II of the development has been open for one year, the debt service coverage ratio of 1.30 to 1.00 is met and Dillard's is operational.

(7) We received a 1% fee for this guaranty when the loan was issued in December 2014. Once construction is complete, the guaranty will be reduced to 50%. The guaranty will be further reduced from 50% to 15% once the

construction of Ambassador Town Center and its related infrastructure improvements is complete as well as upon the attainment of certain debt service and operational metrics.

(8) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of December 2019.

We received a 1% fee for this guaranty when the loan was issued in December 2014. The guaranty will be reduced to 50% on March 1st of the year following any calendar year during which the PILOT payments received by

(9) Ambassador Infrastructure and delivered to the lender are \$1,200 or more, provided no event of default exists. The guaranty will be reduced to 20% when the PILOT payments are \$1,400 or more, provided no event of default exists.

We have guaranteed the lease performance of York Town Center, LP ("YTC"), an unconsolidated affiliate in which we own a 50% interest, under the terms of an agreement with a third party that owns property as part of York Town Center. Under the terms of that agreement, YTC is obligated to cause performance of the third party's obligations as landlord under its lease with its sole tenant, including, but not limited to, provisions such as co-tenancy and exclusivity requirements. Should YTC fail to cause

performance, then the tenant under the third party landlord's lease may pursue certain remedies ranging from rights to terminate its lease to receiving reductions in rent. We have guaranteed YTC's performance under this agreement up to a maximum of \$22.0 million, which decreases by \$0.8 million annually until the guaranteed amount is reduced to \$10.0 million. The guaranty expires on December 31, 2020. The maximum guaranteed obligation was \$15.6 million as of December 31, 2014. We entered into an agreement with our joint venture partner under which the joint venture partner has agreed to reimburse us 50% of any amounts we are obligated to fund under the guaranty. We did not include an obligation for this guaranty because we determined that the fair value of the guaranty was not material as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). In connection with the preparation of our financial statements, we are required to make assumptions and estimates about future events, and apply judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and the related disclosures. We base our assumptions, estimates and judgments on historical experience, current trends and other factors that management believes to be relevant at the time our consolidated financial statements are prepared. On a regular basis, we review the accounting policies, assumptions, estimates and judgments to ensure that our financial statements are presented fairly and in accordance with GAAP. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from our assumptions and estimates, and such differences could be material.

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made and if different estimates that are reasonably likely to occur could materially impact the financial statements. Management believes that the following critical accounting policies discussed in this section reflect its more significant estimates and assumptions used in preparation of the consolidated financial statements. We have reviewed these critical accounting estimates and related disclosures with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. For a discussion of our significant accounting policies, see Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### Revenue Recognition

Minimum rental revenue from operating leases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the initial terms of the related leases. Certain tenants are required to pay percentage rent if their sales volumes exceed thresholds specified in their lease agreements. Percentage rent is recognized as revenue when the thresholds are achieved and the amounts become determinable.

We receive reimbursements from tenants for real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance, and other recoverable operating expenses as provided in the lease agreements. Tenant reimbursements are recognized as revenue in the period the related operating expenses are incurred. Tenant reimbursements related to certain capital expenditures are billed to tenants over periods of 5 to 15 years and are recognized as revenue in accordance with underlying lease terms.

We receive management, leasing and development fees from third parties and unconsolidated affiliates. Management fees are charged as a percentage of revenues (as defined in the management agreement) and are recognized as revenue when earned. Development fees are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the development period. Leasing fees are charged for newly executed leases and lease renewals and are recognized as revenue when earned.

Development and leasing fees received from unconsolidated affiliates during the development period are recognized as revenue to the extent of the third-party partners' ownership interest. Fees to the extent of our ownership interest are recorded as a reduction to our investment in the unconsolidated affiliate.

Gains on sales of real estate assets are recognized when it is determined that the sale has been consummated, the buyer's initial and continuing investment is adequate, our receivable, if any, is not subject to future subordination, and the buyer has assumed the usual risks and rewards of ownership of the asset. When we have an ownership interest in the buyer, gain is recognized to the extent of the third party partner's ownership interest and the portion of the gain attributable to our ownership interest is deferred.

#### Real Estate Assets

We capitalize predevelopment project costs paid to third parties. All previously capitalized predevelopment costs are expensed when it is no longer probable that the project will be completed. Once development of a project commences, all direct costs incurred to construct the project, including interest and real estate taxes, are capitalized. Additionally, certain general and administrative expenses are allocated to the projects and capitalized based on the amount of time applicable personnel work on the development project. Ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Major replacements and improvements are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

All acquired real estate assets are accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting and accordingly, the results of operations are included in the consolidated statements of operations from the respective dates of acquisition. The purchase price is allocated to (i) tangible assets, consisting of land, buildings and improvements, as if vacant, and tenant improvements and (ii) identifiable intangible assets and liabilities generally consisting of above- and below-market leases and in-place leases. We use estimates of fair value based on estimated cash flows, using appropriate discount rates, and other valuation methods to allocate the purchase price to the acquired tangible and intangible assets. Liabilities assumed generally consist of mortgage debt on the real estate assets acquired. Assumed debt with a stated interest rate that is significantly different from market interest rates is recorded at its fair value based on estimated market interest rates at the date of acquisition.

Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over estimated lives of 40 years for buildings, 10 to 20 years for certain improvements and 7 to 10 years for equipment and fixtures. Tenant improvements are capitalized and depreciated on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease. Lease-related intangibles from acquisitions of real estate assets are amortized over the remaining terms of the related leases. The amortization of above- and below-market leases is recorded as an adjustment to minimum rental revenue, while the amortization of all other lease-related intangibles is recorded as amortization expense. Any difference between the face value of the debt assumed and its fair value is amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the debt using the effective interest method.

#### Carrying Value of Long-Lived Assets

We periodically evaluate long-lived assets to determine if there has been any impairment in their carrying values and record impairment losses if the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts or if there are other indicators of impairment. If it is determined that impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment charge is equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value. We estimate fair value using the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by each Property, which are based on a number of assumptions such as leasing expectations, operating budgets, estimated useful lives, future maintenance expenditures, intent to hold for use and capitalization rates, among others. These assumptions are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, but not limited to, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates and costs to operate each Property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the future cash flows estimated in our impairment analyses may not be achieved. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a loss on impairment totaling \$18.5 million. Of this total, \$17.8 million is attributable to three Property dispositions, \$0.1 million is from the sale of an outparcel and \$0.6 million is included in discontinued operations and relates to the true-up of a Property sold in 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a loss on impairment totaling \$75.2 million. Of this total, \$5.2 million is attributable to a portfolio sale of six Properties which were sold in 2013 and included in discontinued operations, \$67.7 million is attributable to two existing Properties, \$1.8 million relates to the sale of an outparcel and \$0.5 million represents the write-down of the depreciated book value of the corporate airplane owned by the Management Company to its fair value at its trade-in date. See [Note 4](#) and [Note 15](#) to the consolidated financial statements for additional information about these impairment losses.

#### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We periodically perform a detailed review of amounts due from tenants and others to determine if accounts receivable balances are impaired based on factors affecting the collectability of those balances. Our estimate of the allowance for doubtful accounts requires significant judgment about the timing, frequency and severity of collection losses, which affects the allowance and net income. We recorded a provision for doubtful accounts of \$2.6 million, \$1.3 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

We evaluate our joint venture arrangements to determine whether they should be recorded on a consolidated basis. The percentage of ownership interest in the joint venture, an evaluation of control and whether a VIE exists are all considered in the consolidation assessment.

Initial investments in joint ventures that are in economic substance a capital contribution to the joint venture are recorded in an amount equal to our historical carryover basis in the real estate contributed. Initial investments in joint

ventures that are in economic substance the sale of a portion of our interest in the real estate are accounted for as a contribution of real estate recorded in an amount equal to our historical carryover basis in the ownership percentage retained and as a sale of real estate with profit recognized to the extent of the other joint venturers' interests in the joint venture. Profit recognition assumes that we have no commitment to reinvest with respect to the percentage of the real estate sold and the accounting requirements of the full accrual method are met.

80

---

We account for our investment in joint ventures where we own a non-controlling interest or where we are not the primary beneficiary of a VIE using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, our cost of investment is adjusted for our share of equity in the earnings of the unconsolidated affiliate and reduced by distributions received. Generally, distributions of cash flows from operations and capital events are first made to partners to pay cumulative unpaid preferences on unreturned capital balances and then to the partners in accordance with the terms of the joint venture agreements.

Any differences between the cost of our investment in an unconsolidated affiliate and our underlying equity as reflected in the unconsolidated affiliate's financial statements generally result from costs of our investment that are not reflected on the unconsolidated affiliate's financial statements, capitalized interest on our investment and our share of development and leasing fees that are paid by the unconsolidated affiliate to us for development and leasing services provided to the unconsolidated affiliate during any development periods. The components of the net difference between our investment in unconsolidated affiliates and the underlying equity of unconsolidated affiliates is amortized over a period equal to the useful life of the unconsolidated affiliates' asset/liability that is related to the basis difference.

On a periodic basis, we assess whether there are any indicators that the fair value of our investments in unconsolidated affiliates may be impaired. An investment is impaired only if our estimate of the fair value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investment, and such decline in value is deemed to be other than temporary. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the investment over the fair value of the investment. Our estimates of fair value for each investment are based on a number of assumptions such as future leasing expectations, operating forecasts, discount rates and capitalization rates, among others. These assumptions are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, but not limited to, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates, and operating costs. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the fair values estimated in the impairment analyses may not be realized.

No impairments of investments in unconsolidated affiliates were incurred during 2014, 2013 and 2012.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for information on recently issued accounting pronouncements.

#### Impact of Inflation and Deflation

Deflation can result in a decline in general price levels, often caused by a decrease in the supply of money or credit. The predominant effects of deflation are high unemployment, credit contraction and weakened consumer demand. Restricted lending practices could impact our ability to obtain financings or refinancings for our Properties and our tenants' ability to obtain credit. Decreases in consumer demand can have a direct impact on our tenants and the rents we receive.

During inflationary periods, substantially all of our tenant leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the impact of inflation. These provisions include clauses enabling us to receive percentage rent based on tenants' gross sales, which generally increase as prices rise, and/or escalation clauses, which generally increase rental rates during the terms of the leases. In addition, many of the leases are for terms of less than 10 years, which may provide us the opportunity to replace existing leases with new leases at higher base and/or percentage rent if rents of the existing leases are below the then existing market rate. Most of the leases require the tenants to pay a fixed amount subject to annual increases for their share of operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes, insurance and certain capital expenditures, which reduces our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation.

#### Funds From Operations

FFO is a widely used measure of the operating performance of real estate companies that supplements net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") defines FFO as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains or losses on sales of depreciable operating properties and impairment losses of depreciable properties, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures and noncontrolling interests. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures and noncontrolling interests are calculated on the same basis. We define FFO allocable to common shareholders as defined above by NAREIT less dividends on preferred stock. Our

method of calculating FFO allocable to common shareholders may be different from methods used by other REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs.

We believe that FFO provides an additional indicator of the operating performance of our Properties without giving effect to real estate depreciation and amortization, which assumes the value of real estate assets declines predictably over time. Since values of well-maintained real estate assets have historically risen with market conditions, we believe that FFO enhances investors' understanding of our operating performance. The use of FFO as an indicator of financial performance is influenced not only by the operations of our Properties and interest rates, but also by our capital structure.



We present both FFO of our Operating Partnership and FFO allocable to common shareholders, as we believe that both are useful performance measures. We believe FFO of our Operating Partnership is a useful performance measure since we conduct substantially all of our business through our Operating Partnership and, therefore, it reflects the performance of the Properties in absolute terms regardless of the ratio of ownership interests of our common shareholders and the noncontrolling interest in our Operating Partnership. We believe FFO allocable to common shareholders is a useful performance measure because it is the performance measure that is most directly comparable to net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders.

In our reconciliation of net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders to FFO allocable to common shareholders that is presented below, we make an adjustment to add back noncontrolling interest in income (loss) of our Operating Partnership in order to arrive at FFO of our Operating Partnership. We then apply a percentage to FFO of our Operating Partnership to arrive at FFO allocable to common shareholders. The percentage is computed by taking the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period and dividing it by the sum of the weighted-average number of common shares and the weighted-average number of Operating Partnership units held by noncontrolling interests during the period.

FFO does not represent cash flows from operations as defined by GAAP, is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund all cash flow needs and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) for purposes of evaluating our operating performance or to cash flow as a measure of liquidity.

FFO, as adjusted, for the year ended December 31, 2014 excludes an \$83.2 million gain on extinguishment of debt, net of non-cash default interest expense, primarily related to the conveyance of Chapel Hill Mall and Columbia Place and the foreclosure of Citadel Mall. It also excludes a partial litigation settlement of \$7.8 million, net of related expenses. FFO, as adjusted, for the year ended December 31, 2013, excludes a \$9.1 million loss on extinguishment of debt, a \$2.4 million gain on investment and an \$8.2 million partial litigation settlement. In 2012, we recorded a gain on investment of \$45.1 million related to the acquisition of the remaining 40% noncontrolling interest in Imperial Valley Mall in December 2012. Considering the significance and nature of these items, we believe that it is important to identify the impact of these changes on our FFO measures for a reader to have a complete understanding of our results of operations. Therefore, we have also presented FFO excluding these items.

FFO of the Operating Partnership increased 24.7% to \$545.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$437.5 million for the prior year. Excluding the litigation settlements, the gain on investments, non cash default interest expense and gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt, FFO of the Operating Partnership increased 4.3% for the year ending December 31, 2014 to \$454.6 million compared to \$435.9 million in 2013.

The reconciliation of FFO to net income attributable to common shareholders is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$174,258	\$40,312	\$84,089
Noncontrolling interest in income of Operating Partnership	30,106	7,125	19,267
Depreciation and amortization expense of:			
Consolidated properties	291,273	278,911	255,460
Unconsolidated affiliates	41,806	39,592	43,956
Discontinued operations	—	6,638	13,174
Non-real estate assets	(2,311)	(2,077)	(1,841)
Noncontrolling interests' share of depreciation and amortization	(6,842)	(5,881)	(5,071)
Loss on impairment, net of tax benefit	18,434	73,485	50,343
Gain on depreciable property	(937)	(7)	(652)
Gain on discontinued operations, net of taxes	(273)	(647)	(566)
Funds from operations of the Operating Partnership	545,514	437,451	458,159
Litigation settlement, net of related expenses	(7,763)	(8,240)	—
Gain on investments	—	(2,400)	(45,072)
Non cash default interest expense	4,695	—	—
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	(87,893)	9,108	(265)

Funds from operations of the Operating Partnership, as adjusted	\$454,553	\$435,919	\$412,822
---	-----------	-----------	-----------

82

---

The reconciliations of FFO of the Operating Partnership to FFO allocable to common shareholders, including and excluding the litigation settlements, gain on investments, non cash default interest and the gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012		
Funds from operations of the Operating Partnership	\$545,514	\$437,451	\$458,159		
Percentage allocable to common shareholders <sup>(1)</sup>	85.27	% 84.97	% 81.36	%	
Funds from operations allocable to common shareholders	\$465,160	\$371,702	\$372,758		
Funds from operations of the Operating Partnership, as adjusted	\$454,553	\$435,919	\$412,822		
Percentage allocable to common shareholders <sup>(1)</sup>	85.27	% 84.97	% 81.36	%	
Funds from operations allocable to common shareholders, as adjusted	\$387,597	\$370,400	\$335,872		

Represents the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period divided by the sum of the (1) weighted-average number of common shares and the weighted-average number of Operating Partnership units held by noncontrolling interests during the period.

The increase in adjusted FFO for the year ended December 31, 2014 was driven by contributions from increased rental rates on new and renewal leases as well as rents generated from expansions and new developments. Lower operating expenses and maintenance and repairs compared with the prior-year period also led to the FFO gains. While we lowered our overall debt balance, we did record slightly higher interest expense for the year as we reduced balances and lowered exposure to floating interest rates on our lines of credit through proceeds from our bond offerings.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to various market risk exposures, including interest rate risk. The following discussion regarding our risk management activities includes forward-looking statements that involve risk and uncertainties. Estimates of future performance and economic conditions are reflected assuming certain changes in interest rates. Caution should be used in evaluating our overall market risk from the information presented below, as actual results may differ. We employ various derivative programs to manage certain portions of our market risk associated with interest rates. See [Note 6](#) of the notes to consolidated financial statements for further discussions of the qualitative aspects of market risk, regarding derivative financial instrument activity.

##### Interest Rate Risk

Based on our proportionate share of consolidated and unconsolidated variable-rate debt at December 31, 2014, a 0.5% increase or decrease in interest rates on variable rate debt would decrease or increase annual cash flows by approximately \$3.9 million and \$1.2 million, respectively and increase or decrease annual interest expense, after the effect of capitalized interest, by approximately \$3.8 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

Based on our proportionate share of total consolidated and unconsolidated debt at December 31, 2014, a 0.5% increase in interest rates would decrease the fair value of debt by approximately \$97.3 million, while a 0.5% decrease in interest rates would increase the fair value of debt by approximately \$98.4 million.

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Reference is made to the Index to Financial Statements and Schedules contained in [Item 15](#) on page 90.

#### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.



## ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Controls and Procedures with Respect to the Company

#### Conclusion Regarding Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of its effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, these officers concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company assessed the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting, as stated in its report which is included herein.

#### Report of Management On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries (the “Company”) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company’s financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Management recognizes that there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including the potential for human error or the circumvention or overriding of internal controls. Accordingly, even effective internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. In addition, any projection of the evaluation of effectiveness to future periods is subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 as stated in their report which is included herein in [Item 15](#).

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

### Controls and Procedures with Respect to the Operating Partnership

Conclusion Regarding Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of its effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

84

---

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, whose subsidiary CBL Holdings I is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, the Operating Partnership has evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, these officers concluded that the Operating Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Operating Partnership in the reports that the Operating Partnership files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to management of the Company, acting on behalf of the Operating Partnership in its capacity as the general partner of the Operating Partnership, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company, acting on behalf of the Operating Partnership in its capacity as the general partner of the Operating Partnership, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Operating Partnership assessed the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, the Operating Partnership maintained effective internal control over financial reporting, as stated in its report which is included herein.

#### Report of Management On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and its consolidated subsidiaries (the “Operating Partnership”) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Operating Partnership’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Operating Partnership’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company’s financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Management recognizes that there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including the potential for human error or the circumvention or overriding of internal controls. Accordingly, even effective internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. In addition, any projection of the evaluation of effectiveness to future periods is subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management, whose subsidiary CBL Holdings I is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Operating Partnership’s internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, the Operating Partnership maintained effective internal control over financial reporting.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 as stated in their report which is included herein in [Item 15](#).

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

## ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Effective January 16, 2015, the Company entered into a minor modification of the terms of its \$50.0 million unsecured term loan with First Tennessee Bank, NA, to reduce the interest rate from a variable-rate of LIBOR plus

190 basis points to a variable-rate of LIBOR plus 155 basis points. See [Note 6](#) and [Note 19](#) to the consolidated financial statements for additional information about this term loan.



### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Incorporated herein by reference to the sections entitled "ELECTION OF DIRECTORS," "Board Nominees," "Additional Executive Officers," "Certain Terms of the Jacobs Acquisition," "Corporate Governance Matters - Code of Business Conduct and Ethics," "Board of Directors' Meetings and Committees – The Audit Committee," and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in our definitive proxy statement filed with the SEC with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2015.

Our Board of Directors has determined that each of A. Larry Chapman, an independent director and chairman of the audit committee, and Matthew S. Dominski, an independent director and member of the audit committee, qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as such term is defined by the rules of the Commission.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Incorporated herein by reference to the sections entitled "DIRECTOR COMPENSATION," "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION," "REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS" and "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" in our definitive proxy statement filed with the Commission with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2015.

#### ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Incorporated herein by reference to the sections entitled "SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information as of December 31, 2014", in our definitive proxy statement filed with the Commission with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2015.

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Incorporated herein by reference to the sections entitled "Corporate Governance Matters – Director Independence" and "CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS", in our definitive proxy statement filed with the Commission with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2015.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Incorporated herein by reference to the section entitled "Independent Registered Public Accountants' Fees and Services" under "RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS" in our definitive proxy statement filed with the Commission with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 4, 2015.

## PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

	Page Number
(1) Consolidated Financial Statements	
CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>97</u>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>99</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>101</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Capital for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>105</u>
CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>107</u>
(2) Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules	
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	<u>152</u>
<u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	<u>153</u>
<u>Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate</u>	<u>159</u>

Financial statement schedules not listed herein are either not required or are not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule or the information required to be included therein is included in our consolidated financial statements in Item 15 or are reported elsewhere.

## (3) Exhibits

The Exhibit Index attached to this report is incorporated by reference into this Item 15(a)(3).

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Farzana K. Mitchell

Farzana K. Mitchell

Executive Vice President -

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Dated: March 2, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Charles B. Lebovitz Charles B. Lebovitz	Chairman of the Board	March 2, 2015
/s/ Stephen D. Lebovitz Stephen D. Lebovitz	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 2, 2015
/s/ Farzana K. Mitchell Farzana K. Mitchell	Executive Vice President - Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 2, 2015
/s/ Gary L. Bryenton* Gary L. Bryenton	Director	March 2, 2015
/s/ A. Larry Chapman* A. Larry Chapman	Director	March 2, 2015
/s/ Matthew S. Dominski* Matthew S. Dominski	Director	March 2, 2015
/s/ John D. Griffith* John D. Griffith	Director	March 2, 2015
/s/ Gary J. Nay* Gary J. Nay	Director	March 2, 2015
/s/ Kathleen M. Nelson* Kathleen M. Nelson	Director	March 2, 2015
*By: /s/ Farzana K. Mitchell Farzana K. Mitchell	Attorney-in-Fact	March 2, 2015



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CBL & ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

(Registrant)

By: CBL HOLDINGS I, INC., its general partner

By: /s/ Farzana K. Mitchell  
Farzana K. Mitchell  
Executive Vice President -  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
Dated: March 2, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Charles B. Lebovitz Charles B. Lebovitz	Chairman of the Board of CBL Holdings I, Inc., general partner of the Registrant	March 2, 2015
/s/ Stephen D. Lebovitz Stephen D. Lebovitz	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer of CBL Holdings I, Inc., general partner of the Registrant (Principal Executive Officer)	March 2, 2015
/s/ Farzana K. Mitchell Farzana K. Mitchell	Executive Vice President - Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of CBL Holdings, I, Inc., general partner of the Registrant (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 2, 2015

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

	Page Number
CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>97</u>
CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>99</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>101</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Capital for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>105</u>
CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and CBL & Associates Limited Partnership	
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>107</u>
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	<u>152</u>
<u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	<u>153</u>
<u>Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate</u>	<u>159</u>

Financial statement schedules not listed herein are either not required or are not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule or the information required to be included therein is included in our consolidated financial statements in Item 15 or are reported elsewhere.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.  
Chattanooga, TN:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a



whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, during the first quarter of 2014, the Company changed its method of accounting for and disclosure of discontinued operations and disposals of components of an entity due to the adoption of Accounting Standards Update 2014-08, "Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity".

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

March 2, 2015

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.  
 Consolidated Balance Sheets  
 (In thousands, except share data)

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Real estate assets:		
Land	\$847,829	\$858,619
Buildings and improvements	7,221,387	7,125,512
	8,069,216	7,984,131
Accumulated depreciation	(2,240,007 )	(2,056,357 )
	5,829,209	5,927,774
Developments in progress	117,966	139,383
Net investment in real estate assets	5,947,175	6,067,157
Cash and cash equivalents	37,938	65,500
Receivables:		
Tenant, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,368 and \$2,379 in 2014 and 2013, respectively	81,338	79,899
Other, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,285 and \$1,241 in 2014 and 2013, respectively	22,577	23,343
Mortgage and other notes receivable	19,811	30,424
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	281,449	277,146
Intangible lease assets and other assets	226,011	242,502
	\$6,616,299	\$6,785,971
<b>LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS AND EQUITY</b>		
Mortgage and other indebtedness	\$4,700,460	\$4,857,523
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	328,352	333,875
Total liabilities	5,028,812	5,191,398
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	37,559	34,639
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized:		
7.375% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 1,815,000 shares outstanding	18	18
6.625% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 690,000 shares outstanding	7	7
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 350,000,000 shares authorized, 170,260,273 and 170,048,144 issued and outstanding in 2014 and 2013, respectively	1,703	1,700
Additional paid-in capital	1,958,198	1,967,644
Accumulated other comprehensive income	13,411	6,325
Dividends in excess of cumulative earnings	(566,785 )	(570,781 )
Total shareholders' equity	1,406,552	1,404,913
Noncontrolling interests	143,376	155,021
Total equity	1,549,928	1,559,934
	\$6,616,299	\$6,785,971

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.  
 Consolidated Statements of Operations  
 (In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>REVENUES:</b>			
Minimum rents	\$682,584	\$675,870	\$641,821
Percentage rents	16,876	18,572	17,728
Other rents	22,314	21,974	21,914
Tenant reimbursements	290,561	290,097	279,280
Management, development and leasing fees	12,986	12,439	10,772
Other	35,418	34,673	31,328
Total revenues	1,060,739	1,053,625	1,002,843
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>			
Property operating	149,774	151,127	138,533
Depreciation and amortization	291,273	278,911	255,460
Real estate taxes	89,281	88,701	87,871
Maintenance and repairs	54,842	56,379	50,350
General and administrative	50,271	48,867	51,251
Loss on impairment	17,858	70,049	24,379
Other	32,297	28,826	25,078
Total operating expenses	685,596	722,860	632,922
Income from operations	375,143	330,765	369,921
Interest and other income	14,121	10,825	3,953
Interest expense	(239,824)	(231,856)	(242,357)
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	87,893	(9,108)	265
Gain on investments	—	2,400	45,072
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	14,803	11,616	8,313
Income tax provision	(4,499)	(1,305)	(1,404)
Income from continuing operations before gain on sales of real estate assets	247,637	113,337	183,763
Gain on sales of real estate assets	5,342	1,980	2,286
Income from continuing operations	252,979	115,317	186,049
Operating loss of discontinued operations	(222)	(6,091)	(12,468)
Gain on discontinued operations	276	1,144	938
Net income	253,033	110,370	174,519
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in:			
Operating Partnership	(30,106)	(7,125)	(19,267)
Other consolidated subsidiaries	(3,777)	(18,041)	(23,652)
Net income attributable to the Company	219,150	85,204	131,600
Preferred dividends	(44,892)	(44,892)	(47,511)
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$174,258	\$40,312	\$84,089
<b>Basic per share data attributable to common shareholders:</b>			
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$1.02	\$0.27	\$0.60
Discontinued operations	0.00	(0.03)	(0.06)
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$1.02	\$0.24	\$0.54
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	170,247	167,027	154,762

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Diluted per share data attributable to common shareholders:

Income from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.60
Discontinued operations	0.00	(0.03 )	(0.06 )
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.54
Weighted-average common and potential dilutive common shares outstanding	170,247	167,027	154,807

Amounts attributable to common shareholders:

Income from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$ 174,212	\$ 44,515	\$ 93,469
Discontinued operations	46	(4,203 )	(9,380 )
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$ 174,258	\$ 40,312	\$ 84,089

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.  
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income  
 (In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net income	\$253,033	\$110,370	\$174,519
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized holding gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	6,543	(2,583)	4,426
Reclassification to net income of realized gain on available-for-sale securities	—	—	(224)
Unrealized gain (loss) on hedging instruments	3,977	1,815	(207)
Reclassification of hedging effect on earnings	(2,195)	—	—
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	8,325	(768)	3,995
Comprehensive income	261,358	109,602	178,514
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests in:			
Operating Partnership	(31,345)	(7,018)	(19,701)
Other consolidated subsidiaries	(3,777)	(18,041)	(23,652)
Comprehensive income attributable to the Company	\$226,236	\$84,543	\$135,161

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.  
 Consolidated Statements of Equity  
 (in thousands, except share data)

	Equity Shareholders' Equity				Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Dividends in Excess of Cumulative Earnings	Total Shareholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital					
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 32,271	\$ 23	\$ 1,484	\$ 1,657,927	\$ 3,425	\$(399,581)	\$ 1,263,278	\$ 207,113	\$ 1,470,391
Net income	4,445	—	—	—	—	131,600	131,600	17,772	149,372
Other comprehensive income	21	—	—	—	3,561	—	3,561	413	3,974
Issuance of 690,000 shares of Series E preferred stock in equity offering	—	7	—	166,713	—	—	166,720	—	166,720
Redemption of Series C preferred stock	—	(5 )	—	(111,222 )	—	(3,773 )	(115,000 )	—	(115,000 )
Conversion of 12,466,000 Operating Partnership common units to shares of common stock	—	—	125	59,613	—	—	59,738	(59,738 )	—
Purchase of noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(9,863 )	(9,863 )
Issuance of noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14,000	14,000
Dividends declared - common stock	—	—	—	—	—	(138,069 )	(138,069 )	—	(138,069 )
Dividends declared - preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	(43,738 )	(43,738 )	—	(43,738 )
	—	—	2	728	—	—	730	—	730

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Issuance of 232,560 shares of common stock and restricted common stock									
Cancellation of 39,779 shares of restricted common stock	—	—	—	(633)	)	—	—	(633)	)
Exercise of stock options	—	—	2	4,452	—	—	—	4,454	—
Accrual under deferred compensation arrangements	—	—	—	44	—	—	—	44	—
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	—	—	3,863	—	—	—	3,863	—
Accelerated vesting of share-based compensation	—	—	—	(725)	)	—	—	(725)	)
Issuance of 42,484 shares of common stock under deferred compensation arrangement	—	—	—	(615)	)	—	—	(615)	)
Adjustment for noncontrolling interests	3,197	—	—	(3,360)	)	—	—	(3,360)	) 163
Adjustment to record redeemable noncontrolling interests at redemption value	8,778	—	—	(3,155)	)	—	—	(3,155)	) (5,623)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(8,464)	)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(34,119)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7,120
Purchase of noncontrolling interests in other consolidated subsidiaries	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	40,962
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14,204



Acquire  
controlling  
interest in  
shopping center  
properties  
Balance,

December 31, 2012	\$ 40,248	\$ 25	\$ 1,613	\$ 1,773,630	\$ 6,986	\$(453,561)	\$ 1,328,693	\$ 192,404	\$ 1,521,097
----------------------	-----------	-------	----------	--------------	----------	-------------	--------------	------------	--------------

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Equity  
(Continued)  
(in thousands, except share data)

	Equity Shareholders' Equity				Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Dividends in Excess of Cumulative Earnings	Total Shareholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital					
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 40,248	\$ 25	\$ 1,613	\$ 1,773,630	\$ 6,986	\$(453,561)	\$ 1,328,693	\$ 192,404	\$ 1,521,097
Net income	2,941	—	—	—	—	85,204	85,204	7,588	92,792
Other comprehensive loss	(6)	—	—	—	(661)	—	(661)	(101)	(762)
Redemption of redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest	—	—	—	10,000	—	—	10,000	—	10,000
Dividends declared - common stock	—	—	—	—	—	(157,532)	(157,532)	—	(157,532)
Dividends declared - preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	(44,892)	(44,892)	—	(44,892)
Issuance of 8,772,114 shares of common stock and restricted common stock	—	—	87	216,576	—	—	216,663	—	216,663
Cancellation of 41,661 shares of restricted common stock	—	—	—	(720)	—	—	(720)	—	(720)
Accrual under deferred compensation arrangements	—	—	—	(7,095)	—	—	(7,095)	—	(7,095)
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	—	—	2,704	—	—	2,704	—	2,704
	4,589	—	—	(33,746)	—	—	(33,746)	29,212	(4,534)

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Adjustment for noncontrolling interests									
Adjustment to record redeemable noncontrolling interests at redemption value	(7,011 )	—	—	6,295	—	—	6,295	717	7,012
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(6,122 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	(39,885 )	(39,885 )
Contributions from noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6,530	6,530
Acquire controlling interest in shopping center property	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(41,444 )	(41,444 )
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 34,639	\$ 25	\$ 1,700	\$ 1,967,644	\$ 6,325	\$ (570,781)	\$ 1,404,913	\$ 155,021	\$ 1,559,934
Net income	3,425	—	—	—	—	219,150	219,150	30,389	249,539
Other comprehensive income	65	—	—	—	7,086	—	7,086	1,174	8,260
Purchase of noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4,861 )	(4,861 )
Dividends declared - common stock	—	—	—	—	—	(170,262 )	(170,262 )	—	(170,262 )
Dividends declared - preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	(44,892 )	(44,892 )	—	(44,892 )
Issuance of 246,168 shares of common stock and restricted common stock	—	—	3	680	—	—	683	—	683
Cancellation of 34,039 shares of restricted common stock	—	—	—	(389 )	—	—	(389 )	—	(389 )
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	—	—	3,508	—	—	3,508	—	3,508
	2,937	—	—	(8,231 )	—	—	(8,231 )	5,294	(2,937 )

Adjustment for noncontrolling interests										
Adjustment to record redeemable noncontrolling interests at redemption value	5,337	—	—	(5,014)	)	—	—	(5,014)	(322)	(5,336)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(8,844)	)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(44,257)	(44,257)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	938	938
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$37,559	\$25	\$1,703	\$1,958,198	\$13,411	\$(566,785)	\$1,406,552	\$143,376	\$1,549,928	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net income	\$253,033	\$ 110,370	\$ 174,519
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	291,273	285,549	268,634
Amortization of deferred finance costs, debt premiums and discounts	4,405	4,783	7,896
Net amortization of intangible lease assets and liabilities	368	63	(1,263 )
Gain on sales of real estate assets	(5,342 )	(1,980 )	(5,323 )
Gain on discontinued operations	(276 )	(1,144 )	(938 )
Write-off of development projects	136	334	(39 )
Share-based compensation expense	3,979	2,725	3,740
Net realized gain on sale of available-for-sale securities	—	—	(224 )
Gain on investments	—	(2,400 )	(45,072 )
Loss on impairment	17,858	70,049	24,379
Loss on impairment from discontinued operations	681	5,234	26,461
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	(87,893 )	9,108	(265 )
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(14,803 )	(11,616 )	(8,313 )
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	21,866	15,995	17,074
Provision for doubtful accounts	2,643	1,816	1,523
Change in deferred tax accounts	1,329	1,824	3,095
Changes in:			
Tenant and other receivables	(4,053 )	(12,358 )	(2,150 )
Other assets	1,101	5,928	2,136
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(18,244 )	(19,529 )	15,645
Net cash provided by operating activities	468,061	464,751	481,515
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Additions to real estate assets	(277,624 )	(314,299 )	(217,827 )
Acquisitions of real estate assets	—	(41,444 )	(96,099 )
(Additions) reductions to restricted cash	4,880	(7,592 )	(1,063 )
(Additions) reductions to cash held in escrow	—	15,000	(15,000 )
Purchase of partners' interest in unconsolidated affiliates	—	—	(14,280 )
Proceeds from sales of real estate assets	16,513	240,150	76,950
Proceeds from sales of investments in unconsolidated affiliates	—	4,875	—
Additions to mortgage and other notes receivable	—	(2,700 )	(3,584 )
Payments received on mortgage and other notes receivable	20,973	5,672	3,002
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	—	11,002	—
Additional investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	(30,404 )	(34,063 )	(8,809 )
Distributions in excess of equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	39,229	11,310	43,173
Changes in other assets	(8,422 )	(13,604 )	(13,133 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(234,855 )	(125,693 )	(246,670 )



CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.  
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
 (Continued)  
 (In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Proceeds from mortgage and other indebtedness	\$1,061,928	\$2,298,116	\$1,869,140
Principal payments on mortgage and other indebtedness	(1,050,647 )	(2,179,541 )	(1,884,935 )
Additions to deferred financing costs	(2,386 )	(7,739 )	(7,384 )
Prepayment fees on extinguishment of debt	(1,506 )	(8,708 )	—
Proceeds from issuances of common stock	175	209,547	172
Proceeds from issuances of preferred stock	—	—	166,720
Purchase of noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership	(4,861 )	—	(9,863 )
Proceeds from exercises of stock options	—	—	4,454
Redemption of preferred stock	—	—	(115,000 )
Redemption of redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest	—	(408,577 )	—
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	938	6,530	7,120
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(52,712 )	(65,187 )	(65,635 )
Dividends paid to holders of preferred stock	(44,892 )	(44,892 )	(43,738 )
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(166,805 )	(151,355 )	(133,740 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(260,768 )	(351,806 )	(212,689 )
<b>NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(27,562 )</b>	<b>(12,748 )</b>	<b>22,156</b>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	65,500	78,248	56,092
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$37,938	\$65,500	\$78,248

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Partners of CBL & Associates Limited Partnership  
Chattanooga, TN:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and subsidiaries (the "Partnership") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, capital, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. We also have audited the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Partnership's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules and an opinion on the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, the Partnership



maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, during the first quarter of 2014, the Partnership has changed its method of accounting for and disclosure of discontinued operations and disposals of components of an entity due to the adoption of Accounting Standards Update 2014-08, "Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity".

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

March 2, 2015

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership  
 Consolidated Balance Sheets  
 (In thousands)

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Real estate assets:		
Land	\$847,829	\$858,619
Buildings and improvements	7,221,387	7,125,512
	8,069,216	7,984,131
Accumulated depreciation	(2,240,007	) (2,056,357 )
	5,829,209	5,927,774
Developments in progress	117,966	139,383
Net investment in real estate assets	5,947,175	6,067,157
Cash and cash equivalents	37,926	65,486
Receivables:		
Tenant, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,368 and \$2,379 in 2014 and 2013, respectively	81,338	79,899
Other, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,285 and \$1,241 in 2014 and 2013, respectively	22,577	23,343
Mortgage and other notes receivable	19,811	30,424
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	282,009	277,701
Intangible lease assets and other assets	225,891	242,383
	<b>\$6,616,727</b>	<b>\$6,786,393</b>
<b>LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE INTERESTS AND CAPITAL</b>		
Mortgage and other indebtedness	\$4,700,460	\$4,857,523
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	328,267	333,876
Total liabilities	5,028,727	5,191,399
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
Redeemable interests:		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	6,455	5,883
Redeemable common units	31,104	28,756
Total redeemable interests	37,559	34,639
Partners' capital:		
Preferred units	565,212	565,212
Common units:		
General partner	9,789	9,866
Limited partners	953,349	961,175
Accumulated other comprehensive income	13,183	4,923
Total partners' capital	1,541,533	1,541,176
Noncontrolling interests	8,908	19,179
Total capital	1,550,441	1,560,355
	<b>\$6,616,727</b>	<b>\$6,786,393</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership  
Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(In thousands, except per unit data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>REVENUES:</b>			
Minimum rents	\$682,584	\$675,870	\$641,821
Percentage rents	16,876	18,572	17,728
Other rents	22,314	21,974	21,914
Tenant reimbursements	290,561	290,097	279,280
Management, development and leasing fees	12,986	12,439	10,772
Other	35,418	34,673	31,328
Total revenues	1,060,739	1,053,625	1,002,843
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>			
Property operating	149,774	151,127	138,533
Depreciation and amortization	291,273	278,911	255,460
Real estate taxes	89,281	88,701	87,871
Maintenance and repairs	54,842	56,379	50,350
General and administrative	50,271	48,867	51,251
Loss on impairment	17,858	70,049	24,379
Other	32,297	28,826	25,078
Total operating expenses	685,596	722,860	632,922
Income from operations	375,143	330,765	369,921
Interest and other income	14,121	10,825	3,953
Interest expense	(239,824)	(231,856)	(242,357)
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	87,893	(9,108)	265
Gain on investments	—	2,400	45,072
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	14,803	11,616	8,313
Income tax provision	(4,499)	(1,305)	(1,404)
Income from continuing operations before gain on sales of real estate assets	247,637	113,337	183,763
Gain on sales of real estate assets	5,342	1,980	2,286
Income from continuing operations	252,979	115,317	186,049
Operating loss of discontinued operations	(222)	(6,091)	(12,468)
Gain on discontinued operations	276	1,144	938
Net income	253,033	110,370	174,519
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(3,777)	(18,041)	(23,652)
Net income attributable to the Operating Partnership	249,256	92,329	150,867
Distributions to preferred unitholders	(44,892)	(44,892)	(47,511)
Net income attributable to common unitholders	\$204,364	\$47,437	\$103,356
<b>Basic per unit data attributable to common unitholders:</b>			
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred distributions	\$1.02	\$0.26	\$0.59
Discontinued operations	0.00	(0.02)	(0.05)
Net income attributable to common unitholders	\$1.02	\$0.24	\$0.54
Weighted-average common units outstanding	199,660	196,572	190,223
<b>Diluted per unit data attributable to common unitholders:</b>			
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred distributions	\$1.02	\$0.26	\$0.59

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Discontinued operations	0.00	(0.02	) (0.05	)
Net income attributable to common unitholders	\$1.02	\$0.24	\$0.54	
Weighted-average common and potential dilutive common units outstanding	199,660	196,572	190,268	
Amounts attributable to common unitholders:				
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred distributions	\$204,318	\$51,640	\$112,736	
Discontinued operations	46	(4,203	) (9,380	)
Net income attributable to common unitholders	\$204,364	\$47,437	\$103,356	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership  
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income  
 (In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net income	\$253,033	\$110,370	\$174,519
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized holding gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	6,543	(2,583)	4,426
Reclassification to net income of realized gain on available-for-sale securities	—	—	(224)
Unrealized gain (loss) on hedging instruments	3,977	1,815	(207)
Reclassification of hedging effect on earnings	(2,195)	—	—
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	8,325	(768)	3,995
Comprehensive income	261,358	109,602	178,514
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(3,777)	(18,041)	(23,652)
Comprehensive income attributable to the Operating Partnership	\$257,581	\$91,561	\$154,862

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership  
Consolidated Statements of Capital  
(in thousands)

	Redeemable Interests			Number of			Common Units		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Partners' Capital	Noncon- tingent Interests
	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests	Redeemable Common Units	Total Redeemable Interests	Preferred Units	Common Units	Preferred Units	General Partner	Limited Partners			
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$6,235	\$26,036	\$32,271	22,750	190,380	\$509,719	\$10,178	\$944,633	\$1,711	\$1,466,241	\$4,280
Net income (loss)	3,597	848	4,445	—	—	43,738	1,616	104,665	—	150,019	(647)
Other comprehensive income	—	21	21	—	—	—	—	—	3,974	3,974	—
Issuance of Series E preferred units to CBL	—	—	—	6,900	—	166,720	—	—	—	166,720	—
Redemption of Series C preferred units	—	—	—	(4,600)	—	(111,227)	(41)	(3,732)	—	(115,000)	—
Redemption of common units	—	—	—	—	(627)	—	—	(9,429)	—	(9,429)	—
Issuance of common units	—	—	—	—	855	—	—	14,730	—	14,730	—
Distributions declared - common units	—	(4,685)	(4,685)	—	—	—	(1,771)	(167,995)	—	(169,766)	—
Distributions declared - preferred units	—	—	—	—	—	(43,738)	—	—	—	(43,738)	—
Cancellation of restricted common stock	—	—	—	—	(39)	—	—	(633)	—	(633)	—
Contributions from CBL related to exercises of stock options	—	—	—	—	244	—	—	4,454	—	4,454	—
Accrual under deferred compensation arrangements	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	43	—	44	—
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	32	32	—	—	—	41	3,790	—	3,831	—

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Accelerated vesting of share-based compensation	—	(6 )	(6 )	—	—	—	(8 )	(711 )	—	(719 )	—
Issuance of common units under deferred compensation arrangement	—	—	—	—	42	—	—	(615 )	—	(615 )	—
Allocation of partners' capital	—	3,171	3,171	—	—	—	(18 )	(3,153 )	—	(3,171 )	—
Adjustment to record redeemable interests at redemption value	360	8,418	8,778	—	—	—	(94 )	(8,684 )	—	(8,778 )	—
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(3,779 )	—	(3,779 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2,423 )
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7,120
Purchase of noncontrolling interest in other consolidated subsidiaries	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	40,960
Acquire controlling interests in shopping center properties	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14,200
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$6,413	\$33,835	\$40,248	25,050	190,855	\$565,212	\$9,904	\$877,363	\$5,685	\$1,458,164	\$63,400





Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership  
 Consolidated Statements of Capital  
 (Continued)  
 (in thousands)

	Redeemable Interests			Number of Units	Preferred Units	Common Units		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Partners' Capital	Noncontrolling Interests	Total	
	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests	Redeemable Common Units	Total Redeemable Interests			General Partner	Limited Partners					
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$6,413	\$33,835	\$40,248	259,055	55	\$565,212	\$9,904	\$877,363	\$5,685	\$1,458,164	\$63,496	\$1,521,660
Net income	2,565	376	2,941	—	44,892	491	46,570	—	91,953	839	92,792	
Other comprehensive loss	—	(6)	(6)	—	—	—	—	(762)	(762)	—	(762)	
Redemption of redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest	—	—	—	—	—	104	9,896	—	10,000	—	10,000	
Issuance of common units	—	—	—	(8,780)	—	—	216,588	—	216,588	—	216,588	
Distributions declared - common units	—	—	—	—	—	(1,851)	(155,680)	—	(157,531)	—	(157,531)	
Distributions declared - preferred units	—	—	—	—	(44,892)	—	—	—	(44,892)	—	(44,892)	
Cancellation of restricted common stock	—	—	—	(42)	—	—	(720)	—	(720)	—	(720)	
Accrual under deferred compensation arrangements	—	—	—	—	—	(74)	(7,021)	—	(7,095)	—	(7,095)	
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	—	—	—	—	28	2,676	—	2,704	—	2,704	
Allocation of partners' capital	—	4,589	4,589	—	—	1,425	(6,158)	—	(4,733)	57	(4,676)	
Adjustment to record redeemable interests at redemption value	(1,545)	(5,467)	(7,012)	—	—	148	6,938	—	7,086	—	7,086	
	(1,550)	(4,571)	(6,121)	—	—	(309)	(29,277)	—	(29,586)	(10,299)	(39,885)	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Distributions to noncontrolling interests											
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6,530	6,530
Acquire controlling interest in shopping center property	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(41,444)	(41,444)
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$5,883	\$28,756	\$34,639	\$19,509	\$565,212	\$9,866	\$961,175	\$4,923	\$1,541,176	\$19,179	\$1,560,355
Net income	1,827	1,598	3,425	—	44,892	2,081	200,686	—	247,659	1,880	249,539
Other comprehensive income	—	65	65	—	—	—	—	8,260	8,260	—	8,260
Redemption of common units	—	—	—	(273)	—	—	(4,861)	—	(4,861)	—	(4,861)
Issuance of common units	—	—	—	(246)	—	—	683	—	683	—	683
Distributions declared - common units	—	(4,571)	(4,571)	—	—	(1,479)	(200,004)	—	(201,483)	—	(201,483)
Distributions declared - preferred units	—	—	—	—	(44,892)	—	—	—	(44,892)	—	(44,892)
Cancellation of restricted common stock	—	—	—	(34)	—	—	(389)	—	(389)	—	(389)
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	—	—	—	—	36	3,472	—	3,508	—	3,508
Allocation of partners' capital	—	2,937	2,937	—	—	(660)	(2,132)	—	(2,792)	—	(2,792)
Adjustment to record redeemable interests at redemption value	3,017	2,319	5,336	—	—	(55)	(5,281)	—	(5,336)	—	(5,336)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(4,272)	—	(4,272)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(13,089)	(13,089)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	938	938

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Balance,

December 31, 2014  
\$6,455 \$31,104 \$37,559 ~~59,503~~ \$565,212 \$9,789 \$953,349 \$13,183 \$1,541,533 \$8,908 \$1,550,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

104

---

CBL & Associates Limited Partnership  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net income	\$253,033	\$ 110,370	\$174,519
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	291,273	285,549	268,634
Amortization of deferred finance costs, debt premiums and discounts	4,405	4,783	7,896
Net amortization of intangible lease assets and liabilities	368	63	(1,263 )
Gain on sales of real estate assets	(5,342 )	(1,980 )	(5,323 )
Gain on discontinued operations	(276 )	(1,144 )	(938 )
Write-off of development projects	136	334	(39 )
Share-based compensation expense	3,979	2,725	3,740
Net realized gain on sale of available-for-sale securities	—	—	(224 )
Gain on investments	—	(2,400 )	(45,072 )
Loss on impairment	17,858	70,049	24,379
Loss on impairment from discontinued operations	681	5,234	26,461
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	(87,893 )	9,108	(265 )
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(14,803 )	(11,616 )	(8,313 )
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	21,866	15,995	17,074
Provision for doubtful accounts	2,643	1,816	1,523
Change in deferred tax accounts	1,329	1,824	3,095
Changes in:			
Tenant and other receivables	(4,053 )	(12,358 )	(2,150 )
Other assets	1,101	5,928	1,801
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(18,242 )	(19,539 )	15,646
Net cash provided by operating activities	468,063	464,741	481,181
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Additions to real estate assets	(277,624 )	(314,299 )	(217,827 )
Acquisitions of real estate assets	—	(41,444 )	(96,099 )
(Additions) reductions to restricted cash	4,880	(7,592 )	(1,063 )
(Additions) reductions to cash held in escrow	—	15,000	(15,000 )
Purchase of partners' interest in unconsolidated affiliates	—	—	(14,280 )
Proceeds from sales of real estate assets	16,513	240,150	76,950
Proceeds from sales of investments in unconsolidated affiliates	—	4,875	—
Additions to mortgage and other notes receivable	—	(2,700 )	(3,584 )
Payments received on mortgage and other notes receivable	20,973	5,672	3,002
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	—	11,002	—
Additional investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	(30,404 )	(34,063 )	(8,809 )
Distributions in excess of equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	39,229	11,310	43,160
Changes in other assets	(8,422 )	(13,604 )	(13,133 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(234,855 )	(125,693 )	(246,683 )



CBL & Associates Limited Partnership  
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
 (Continued)  
 (In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Proceeds from mortgage and other indebtedness	\$1,061,928	\$2,298,116	\$1,869,140
Principal payments on mortgage and other indebtedness	(1,050,647 )	(2,179,541 )	(1,884,935 )
Additions to deferred financing costs	(2,386 )	(7,739 )	(7,384 )
Prepayment fees on extinguishment of debt	(1,506 )	(8,708 )	—
Proceeds from issuances of common units	175	209,547	172
Proceeds from issuances of preferred units	—	—	167,078
Redemption of common units	(4,861 )	—	(9,863 )
Redemption of preferred units	—	—	(115,000 )
Contributions from CBL related to exercises of stock options	—	—	4,454
Redemption of redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest	—	(408,577 )	—
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	938	6,530	7,120
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(52,712 )	(65,187 )	(26,899 )
Distributions to preferred unitholders	(44,892 )	(44,892 )	(43,738 )
Distributions to common unitholders	(166,805 )	(151,355 )	(172,476 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(260,768 )	(351,806 )	(212,331 )
<b>NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(27,560 )</b>	<b>(12,758 )</b>	<b>22,167</b>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	65,486	78,244	56,077
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$37,926	\$65,486	\$78,244

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except share and unit data)

## NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

CBL, a Delaware corporation, is a self-managed, self-administered, fully-integrated REIT that is engaged in the ownership, development, acquisition, leasing, management and operation of regional shopping malls, open-air centers, outlet centers, associated centers, community centers and office properties. Its Properties are located in 27 states, but are primarily in the southeastern and midwestern United States.

CBL conducts substantially all of its business through the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership consolidates the financial statements of all entities in which it has a controlling financial interest or where it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. As of December 31, 2014, the Operating Partnership owned interests in the following Properties:

	Malls <sup>(1)</sup>	Associated Centers	Community Centers	Office Buildings <sup>(2)</sup>	Total
Consolidated Properties	72	25	6	8	111
Unconsolidated Properties <sup>(3)</sup>	9	4	5	5	23
Total	81	29	11	13	134

(1) Category consists of regional malls, open-air centers and outlet centers (including one mixed-use center).

(2) Includes CBL's corporate office building.

(3) The Operating Partnership accounts for these investments using the equity method because one or more of the other partners have substantive participating rights.

At December 31, 2014, the Operating Partnership had interests in the following Construction Properties:

	Consolidated Properties		Unconsolidated Properties	
	Malls	Community Centers	Malls	Community Centers
Development	—	1	—	1
Expansions	1	—	—	2
Redevelopment	3	—	1	—

The Operating Partnership also holds options to acquire certain development properties owned by third parties. CBL is the 100% owner of two qualified REIT subsidiaries, CBL Holdings I, Inc. and CBL Holdings II, Inc. At December 31, 2014, CBL Holdings I, Inc., the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, owned a 1.0% general partner interest in the Operating Partnership and CBL Holdings II, Inc. owned an 84.3% limited partner interest for a combined interest held by CBL of 85.3%.

As used herein, the term "Company" includes CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise. The term "Operating Partnership" refers to CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and its subsidiaries.

The noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership is held by CBL's Predecessor, all of which contributed their interests in certain real estate properties and joint ventures to the Operating Partnership in exchange for a limited partner interest when the Operating Partnership was formed in November 1993, and by various third parties. At December 31, 2014, CBL's Predecessor owned a 9.1% limited partner interest and third parties owned a 5.6% limited partner interest in the Operating Partnership. CBL's Predecessor also owned 3.4 million shares of the Company's common stock at December 31, 2014, for a total combined effective interest of 10.8% in the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership conducts the Company's property management and development activities through its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Management Company, to comply with certain requirements of the Internal Revenue Code.





## NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Basis of Presentation

This Form 10-K provides separate consolidated financial statements for the Company and the Operating Partnership. Due to the Company's ability as general partner to control the Operating Partnership, the Company consolidates the Operating Partnership within its consolidated financial statements for financial reporting purposes. The notes to consolidated financial statements apply to both the Company and the Operating Partnership, unless specifically noted otherwise.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the consolidated accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and their wholly owned subsidiaries, as well as entities in which the Company has a controlling financial interest or entities where the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE. For entities in which the Company has less than a controlling financial interest or entities where the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE, the entities are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Accordingly, the Company's share of the net earnings or losses of these entities is included in consolidated net income. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

The financial results of certain Properties that met the criteria for classification as discontinued operations, prior to the adoption of Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity ("ASU 2014-08") in the first quarter of 2014, have been classified as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements for all periods presented herein. Except where noted, the information presented in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements excludes discontinued operations.

### Accounting Guidance Adopted

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU 2013-04, Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date ("ASU 2013-04"). ASU 2013-04 addresses the diversity in practice related to the recognition, measurement and disclosure of certain obligations which are not addressed within existing GAAP guidance. Such obligations under the scope of ASU 2013-04 include debt arrangements, other contractual obligations, settled litigation and judicial rulings. The guidance requires an entity to measure these joint and several obligations as the sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors as well as any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. ASU 2013-04 also requires an entity to disclose information about the nature and amount of these obligations. For public companies, ASU 2013-04 was effective on a retrospective basis for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of ASU 2013-04 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists ("ASU 2013-11"). The objective of this update is to reduce the diversity in practice related to the presentation of certain unrecognized tax benefits. ASU 2013-11 provides that unrecognized tax benefits are to be presented as a reduction of a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss ("NOL") carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward when settlement in this manner is available under the governing tax law. To the extent such an NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the governing tax law to settle taxes that would result from the disallowance of the tax position or the entity does not intend to use the deferred tax asset for this purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit is to be recorded as a liability in the financial statements and should not be netted with a deferred tax asset. ASU 2013-11 was effective for public companies for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013 and interim periods within those years. The guidance is applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application was permitted. The adoption of ASU 2013-11 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08. This update changes the criteria for reporting discontinued operations and provides enhanced disclosures about the financial effects of discontinued operations. The intent of the guidance is to require an entity to classify disposals as discontinued operations only when they clearly represent a major strategic

business shift such as a disposal of a line of business, significant geographical area or major equity method investment. For significant disposals not classified as discontinued operations, ASU 2014-08 requires the disclosure of the pre-tax income or loss attributable to the disposal for the period in which it is disposed of (or is classified as held for sale) and for all prior periods that are presented. If a significant disposal not classified as discontinued operations includes a noncontrolling interest, the pre-tax income or loss attributable to the parent for the period in which it is disposed of or is classified as held for sale is disclosed. For public companies, ASU 2014-08 is effective on a prospective basis for all disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014 and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The Company elected to adopt this guidance in the first quarter of 2014. The Company expects the majority of its disposals in the future will not meet the criteria under ASU 2014-08 to be classified as discontinued operations, which will reduce the requirement to reclassify discontinued operations for both the period of disposal (or classification as held for sale) and for comparative periods.

### Accounting Guidance Not Yet Effective

In May 2014, the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board jointly issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("ASU 2014-09"). The objective of this converged standard is to enable financial statement users to better understand and analyze revenue by replacing current transaction and industry-specific guidance with a more principles-based approach to revenue recognition. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that the entity expects to be entitled to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The guidance also requires additional disclosure about the nature, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts. ASU 2014-09 applies to all contracts with customers except those that are within the scope of other guidance such as lease and insurance contracts. For public companies, ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within those years using one of two retrospective application methods. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is evaluating the impact that this update may have on its consolidated financial statements.

### Real Estate Assets

The Company capitalizes predevelopment project costs paid to third parties. All previously capitalized predevelopment costs are expensed when it is no longer probable that the project will be completed. Once development of a project commences, all direct costs incurred to construct the project, including interest and real estate taxes, are capitalized. Additionally, certain general and administrative expenses are allocated to the projects and capitalized based on the amount of time applicable personnel work on the development project. Ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Major replacements and improvements are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

All acquired real estate assets have been accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting and accordingly, the results of operations are included in the consolidated statements of operations from the respective dates of acquisition. The Company allocates the purchase price to (i) tangible assets, consisting of land, buildings and improvements, as if vacant, and tenant improvements, and (ii) identifiable intangible assets and liabilities, generally consisting of above-market leases, in-place leases and tenant relationships, which are included in other assets, and below-market leases, which are included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The Company uses estimates of fair value based on estimated cash flows, using appropriate discount rates, and other valuation techniques to allocate the purchase price to the acquired tangible and intangible assets. Liabilities assumed generally consist of mortgage debt on the real estate assets acquired. Assumed debt is recorded at its fair value based on estimated market interest rates at the date of acquisition.

Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over estimated lives of 40 years for buildings, 10 to 20 years for certain improvements and 7 to 10 years for equipment and fixtures. Tenant improvements are capitalized and depreciated on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease. Lease-related intangibles from acquisitions of real estate assets are generally amortized over the remaining terms of the related leases. The amortization of above- and below-market leases is recorded as an adjustment to minimum rental revenue, while the amortization of all other lease-related intangibles is recorded as amortization expense. Any difference between the face value of the debt assumed and its fair value is amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the debt using the effective interest method.

The Company's intangibles and their balance sheet classifications as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, are summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Cost	Accumulated Amortization
Intangible lease assets and other assets:				
Above-market leases	\$64,696	\$(45,662 )	\$65,932	\$(41,230 )
In-place leases	110,211	(71,272 )	111,769	(60,243 )
Tenant relationships	29,664	(4,917 )	27,381	(4,004 )
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:				

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Below-market leases	99,189	(68,127	)	101,901	(64,046	)
---------------------	--------	---------	---	---------	---------	---

These intangibles are related to specific tenant leases. Should a termination occur earlier than the date indicated in the lease, the related intangible assets or liabilities, if any, related to the lease are recorded as expense or income, as applicable. The total net amortization expense of the above intangibles was \$13,973, \$19,030 and \$10,558 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The estimated total net amortization expense for the next five succeeding years is \$10,698 in 2015, \$6,519 in 2016, \$4,897 in 2017, \$2,488 in 2018 and \$1,869 in 2019.

Total interest expense capitalized was \$7,122, \$4,889 and \$2,671 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

109

---

### Carrying Value of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used when events or changes in circumstances warrant such a review. The carrying value of a long-lived asset is considered impaired when its estimated future undiscounted cash flows are less than its carrying value. The Company estimates fair value using the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by each Property, which are based on a number of assumptions such as leasing expectations, operating budgets, estimated useful lives, future maintenance expenditures, intent to hold for use and capitalization rates. If it is determined that impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment charge is equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value. These assumptions are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, but not limited to, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates and costs to operate each Property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter the assumptions used, the future cash flows estimated in the Company's impairment analyses may not be achieved. See [Note 4](#) and [Note 15](#) for information related to the impairment of long-lived assets for 2014, 2013 and 2012.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less as cash equivalents.

#### Restricted Cash

Restricted cash of \$40,175 and \$46,252 was included in intangible lease assets and other assets at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Restricted cash consists primarily of cash held in escrow accounts for debt service, insurance, real estate taxes, capital improvements and deferred maintenance as required by the terms of certain mortgage notes payable, as well as contributions from tenants to be used for future marketing activities.

#### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company periodically performs a detailed review of amounts due from tenants to determine if accounts receivable balances are realizable based on factors affecting the collectability of those balances. The Company's estimate of the allowance for doubtful accounts requires management to exercise significant judgment about the timing, frequency and severity of collection losses, which affects the allowance and net income. The Company recorded a provision for doubtful accounts of \$2,643, \$1,253 and \$798 for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

The Company evaluates its joint venture arrangements to determine whether they should be recorded on a consolidated basis. The percentage of ownership interest in the joint venture, an evaluation of control and whether a VIE exists are all considered in the Company's consolidation assessment.

Initial investments in joint ventures that are in economic substance a capital contribution to the joint venture are recorded in an amount equal to the Company's historical carryover basis in the real estate contributed. Initial investments in joint ventures that are in economic substance the sale of a portion of the Company's interest in the real estate are accounted for as a contribution of real estate recorded in an amount equal to the Company's historical carryover basis in the ownership percentage retained and as a sale of real estate with profit recognized to the extent of the other joint venturers' interests in the joint venture. Profit recognition assumes the Company has no commitment to reinvest with respect to the percentage of the real estate sold and the accounting requirements of the full accrual method are met.

The Company accounts for its investment in joint ventures where it owns a non-controlling interest or where it is not the primary beneficiary of a VIE using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, the Company's cost of investment is adjusted for its share of equity in the earnings of the unconsolidated affiliate and reduced by distributions received. Generally, distributions of cash flows from operations and capital events are first made to partners to pay cumulative unpaid preferences on unreturned capital balances and then to the partners in accordance with the terms of the joint venture agreements.

Any differences between the cost of the Company's investment in an unconsolidated affiliate and its underlying equity as reflected in the unconsolidated affiliate's financial statements generally result from costs of the Company's investment that are not reflected on the unconsolidated affiliate's financial statements, capitalized interest on its

investment and the Company's share of development and leasing fees that are paid by the unconsolidated affiliate to the Company for development and leasing services provided to the unconsolidated affiliate during any development periods. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the components of the net difference between the Company's investment in unconsolidated affiliates and the underlying equity of unconsolidated affiliates, which are amortized over a period equal to the useful life of the unconsolidated affiliates' asset/liability that is related to the basis difference, was \$13,390 and \$14,650, respectively.

On a periodic basis, the Company assesses whether there are any indicators that the fair value of the Company's investments in unconsolidated affiliates may be impaired. An investment is impaired only if the Company's estimate of the fair value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investment and such decline in value is deemed to be other than temporary. To

the extent impairment has occurred, the loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the investment over the estimated fair value of the investment. The Company's estimates of fair value for each investment are based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, but not limited to, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates, and operating costs. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter the Company's assumptions, the fair values estimated in the impairment analyses may not be realized.

No impairments of investments in unconsolidated affiliates were recorded in 2014, 2013 and 2012.

#### Deferred Financing Costs

Net deferred financing costs of \$22,177 and \$25,061 were included in intangible lease assets and other assets at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Deferred financing costs include fees and costs incurred to obtain financing and are amortized on a straight-line basis to interest expense over the terms of the related indebtedness. Amortization expense was \$6,910, \$7,468 and \$10,263 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Accumulated amortization was \$17,302 and \$14,656 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### Marketable Securities

Intangible lease assets and other assets include marketable securities consisting of corporate equity securities that are classified as available-for-sale. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities that are deemed to be temporary in nature are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI/L") in redeemable noncontrolling interests, shareholders' equity and partners' capital, and noncontrolling interests. Realized gains and losses are recorded in other income. Gains or losses on securities sold are based on the specific identification method. The Company did not recognize any realized gains or losses related to sales of marketable securities in 2014 and 2013. The Company recognized a net realized gain on sales of available-for-sale securities of \$224 in 2012.

If a decline in the value of an investment is deemed to be other than temporary, the investment is written down to fair value and an impairment loss is recognized in the current period to the extent of the decline in value. In determining when a decline in fair value below cost of an investment in marketable securities is other-than-temporary, the following factors, among others, are evaluated:

- the probability of recovery;
- the Company's ability and intent to retain the security for a sufficient period of time for it to recover;
- the significance of the decline in value;
- the time period during which there has been a significant decline in value;
- current and future business prospects and trends of earnings;
- relevant industry conditions and trends relative to their historical cycles; and
- market conditions.

There were no other-than-temporary impairments of marketable securities incurred during 2014, 2013 and 2012. The following is a summary of the marketable securities held by the Company as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Adjusted Cost	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
December 31, 2014:				
Common stocks <sup>(1)</sup>	\$4,195	\$16,321	\$—	\$20,516
December 31, 2013:				
Common stocks	\$4,195	\$9,778	\$—	\$13,973

(1) See subsequent event related to sale of marketable securities in [Note 19](#).

### Interest Rate Hedging Instruments

The Company recognizes its derivative financial instruments in either accounts payable and accrued liabilities or intangible lease assets and other assets, as applicable, in the consolidated balance sheets and measures those instruments at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gain or loss) of a derivative depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, and further, on the type of hedging relationship. To qualify as a hedging instrument, a derivative must pass prescribed effectiveness tests, performed quarterly using both qualitative and quantitative methods. The Company has entered into derivative agreements as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 that qualify as hedging instruments and were designated, based upon the exposure being hedged, as cash flow hedges. The fair value of these cash flow hedges as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$2,226 and \$4,007, respectively, and is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. To the extent they are effective, changes in the fair values of cash flow hedges are reported in other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged item affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the hedge, if any, is recognized in current earnings during the period of change in fair value. The gain or loss on the termination of an effective cash flow hedge is reported in other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged item affects earnings. The Company also assesses the credit risk that the counterparty will not perform according to the terms of the contract.

See Notes 6 and 15 for additional information regarding the Company's interest rate hedging instruments.

### Revenue Recognition

Minimum rental revenue from operating leases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the initial terms of the related leases. Certain tenants are required to pay percentage rent if their sales volumes exceed thresholds specified in their lease agreements. Percentage rent is recognized as revenue when the thresholds are achieved and the amounts become determinable.

The Company receives reimbursements from tenants for real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance and other recoverable operating expenses as provided in the lease agreements. Tenant reimbursements are recognized when earned in accordance with the tenant lease agreements. Tenant reimbursements related to certain capital expenditures are billed to tenants over periods of 5 to 15 years and are recognized as revenue in accordance with underlying lease terms.

The Company receives management, leasing and development fees from third parties and unconsolidated affiliates. Management fees are charged as a percentage of revenues (as defined in the management agreement) and are recognized as revenue when earned. Development fees are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the development period. Leasing fees are charged for newly executed leases and lease renewals and are recognized as revenue when earned. Development and leasing fees received from an unconsolidated affiliate during the development period are recognized as revenue only to the extent of the third-party partner's ownership interest. Development and leasing fees during the development period, to the extent of the Company's ownership interest, are recorded as a reduction to the Company's investment in the unconsolidated affiliate.

### Gain on Sales of Real Estate Assets

Gain on sales of real estate assets is recognized when it is determined that the sale has been consummated, the buyer's initial and continuing investment is adequate, the Company's receivable, if any, is not subject to future subordination, and the buyer has assumed the usual risks and rewards of ownership of the asset. When the Company has an ownership interest in the buyer, gain is recognized to the extent of the third party partner's ownership interest and the portion of the gain attributable to the Company's ownership interest is deferred.

### Income Taxes

The Company is qualified as a REIT under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. To maintain qualification as a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income to shareholders and meet certain other requirements.

As a REIT, the Company is generally not liable for federal corporate income taxes. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company will be subject to federal and state income taxes on its taxable income at regular corporate tax rates. Even if the Company maintains its qualification as a REIT, the Company may be subject to



certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed income. State tax expense was \$4,079, \$3,570 and \$3,530 during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company has also elected taxable REIT subsidiary status for some of its subsidiaries. This enables the Company to receive income and provide services that would otherwise be impermissible for REITs. For these entities, deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities at the enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when the temporary differences reverse. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is provided if the Company believes all or some portion of the deferred tax asset may not be realized. An increase or decrease in the valuation allowance that results from the change in circumstances that causes a change in our judgment about the realizability of the related deferred tax asset is included in income or expense, as applicable.

The Company recorded an income tax provision as follows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Current tax benefit (provision)	\$(3,170	) \$518	\$1,691
Deferred tax provision	(1,329	) (1,823	) (3,095
Income tax provision	\$(4,499	) (1,305	) (1,404

The Company had a net deferred tax asset of \$394 and \$4,893 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2014 and 2013 is included in intangible lease assets and other assets and primarily consisted of operating expense accruals and differences between book and tax depreciation. As of December 31, 2014, tax years that generally remain subject to examination by the Company's major tax jurisdictions include 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

The Company reports any income tax penalties attributable to its properties as property operating expenses and any corporate-related income tax penalties as general and administrative expenses in its statement of operations. In addition, any interest incurred on tax assessments is reported as interest expense. The Company reported nominal interest and penalty amounts in 2014, 2013 and 2012.

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company's tenants include national, regional and local retailers. Financial instruments that subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of tenant receivables. The Company generally does not obtain collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk, but monitors the credit standing of tenants.

The Company derives a substantial portion of its rental income from various national and regional retail companies; however, no single tenant collectively accounted for more than 3.4% of the Company's total revenues in 2014, 2013 or 2012.

#### Earnings Per Share and Earnings per Unit

See [Note 7](#) for information regarding significant CBL equity offerings that affected per share and per unit amounts for each period presented.

#### Earnings per Share of the Company

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS assumes the issuance of common stock for all potential dilutive common shares outstanding. The limited partners' rights to convert their noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership into shares of common stock are not dilutive. There were no potential dilutive common shares and there were no anti-dilutive shares for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

The following summarizes the impact of potential dilutive common shares on the denominator used to compute EPS for the year ended December 31, 2012:

	Year Ended December 31, 2012
Denominator – basic	154,762
Stock options	3
Deemed shares related to deferred compensation arrangements	42
Denominator – diluted	154,807

#### Earnings per Unit of the Operating Partnership

Basic earnings per unit ("EPU") is computed by dividing net income attributable to common unitholders by the weighted-average number of common units outstanding for the period. Diluted EPU assumes the issuance of common units for all potential dilutive common units outstanding. There were no potential dilutive common units and there were no anti-dilutive units for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.



The following summarizes the impact of potential dilutive common units on the denominator used to compute EPU for the year ended December 31, 2012:

	Year Ended December 31, 2012
Denominator – basic	190,223
Stock options	3
Deemed units related to deferred compensation arrangements	42
Denominator – diluted	190,268

### Comprehensive Income

#### Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income of the Company

Comprehensive income of the Company includes all changes in redeemable noncontrolling interests and total equity during the period, except those resulting from investments by shareholders and partners, distributions to shareholders and partners and redemption valuation adjustments. Other comprehensive income (loss) (“OCI/L”) includes changes in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities and interest rate hedge agreements.

The changes in the components of AOCI for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests		The Company		Noncontrolling Interests		Total
	Hedging Agreements	Available-for-Sale Securities	Hedging Agreements	Available-for-Sale Securities	Hedging Agreements	Available-for-Sale Securities	
Beginning balance, January 1, 2012	\$377	\$ 328	\$(2,628 )	\$ 6,053	\$(3,488 )	\$ 1,775	\$2,417
OCI before reclassifications	(4 )	23	2,139	3,510	(75 )	445	6,038
Amounts reclassified from AOCI <sup>(1)</sup>	—	2	(2,267 )	179	—	43	(2,043 )
Net year-to-date period OCI	(4 )	25	(128 )	3,689	(75 )	488	3,995
Ending balance, December 31, 2012	373	353	(2,756 )	9,742	(3,563 )	2,263	6,412
OCI before reclassifications	14	(20 )	3,839	(2,203 )	259	(360 )	1,529
Amounts reclassified from AOCI <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	(2,297 )	—	—	—	(2,297 )
Net year-to-date period OCI	14	(20 )	1,542	(2,203 )	259	(360 )	(768 )
Ending balance, December 31, 2013	387	333	(1,214 )	7,539	(3,304 )	1,903	5,644
OCI before reclassifications	14	51	3,712	5,569	251	923	10,520
Amounts reclassified from AOCI <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	(2,195 )	—	—	—	(2,195 )
Net year-to-date period OCI	14	51	1,517	5,569	251	923	8,325
Ending balance, December 31, 2014	\$401	\$ 384	\$303	\$ 13,108	\$(3,053 )	\$ 2,826	\$13,969

Reclassified \$2,195, \$2,297 and \$2,267 of interest on cash flow hedges to Interest Expense in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Reclassified \$224 net realized gain on sale of available-for-sale securities to Interest and Other Income in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.



## Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income of the Operating Partnership

Comprehensive income of the Operating Partnership includes all changes in redeemable common units and partners' capital during the period, except those resulting from investments by unitholders, distributions to unitholders and redemption valuation adjustments. OCI/L includes changes in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities and interest rate hedge agreements.

The changes in the components of AOCI for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Redeemable Common Units		Partners' Capital		Total
	Hedging Agreements	Available-for-Sale Securities	Hedging Agreements	Available-for-Sale Securities	
Beginning balance, January 1, 2012	\$377	\$ 328	\$(6,116 )	\$ 7,828	\$2,417
OCI before reclassifications	(4 )	23	2,064	3,955	6,038
Amounts reclassified from AOCI <sup>(1)</sup>	—	2	(2,267 )	222	(2,043 )
Net year-to-date period OCI	(4 )	25	(203 )	4,177	3,995
Ending balance, December 31, 2012	373	353	(6,319 )	12,005	6,412
OCI before reclassifications	14	(20 )	4,098	(2,563 )	1,529
Amounts reclassified from AOCI <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	(2,297 )	—	(2,297 )
Net year-to-date period OCI	14	(20 )	1,801	(2,563 )	(768 )
Ending balance, December 31, 2013	387	333	(4,518 )	9,442	5,644
OCI before reclassifications	14	51	3,963	6,492	10,520
Amounts reclassified from AOCI <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	(2,195 )	—	(2,195 )
Net year-to-date period OCI	14	51	1,768	6,492	8,325
Ending balance, December 31, 2014	\$401	\$ 384	\$(2,750 )	\$ 15,934	\$13,969

Reclassified \$2,195, \$2,297 and \$2,267 of interest on cash flow hedges to Interest Expense in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Reclassified \$224 net realized gain on sale of available-for-sale securities to Interest and Other Income in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## NOTE 3. ACQUISITIONS

The Company includes the results of operations of real estate assets acquired in the consolidated statements of operations from the date of the related acquisition. The pro forma effect of these acquisitions was not material. The Company did not acquire any properties during the year ended December 31, 2014. The following is a summary of the Company's acquisitions since January 1, 2012:

Purchase Date	Property	Property Type	Location	Ownership Percentage Acquired	Cash	Debt Assumed	Other	Purchase Price
2013 Activity:								
April	Kirkwood Mall <sup>(1)</sup>	Mall	Bismarck, ND	51.0%	\$41,378	\$20,587	\$—	\$61,965
2012 Activity:								
December	Imperial Valley Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall	El Centro, CA	40.0%	\$15,500	\$21,018	\$—	\$36,518
December	Kirkwood Mall <sup>(1)</sup>	Mall	Bismarck, ND	49.0%	39,754	19,781	—	59,535
May	Dakota Square Mall <sup>(3)</sup>	Mall	Minot, ND	100.0%	32,474	59,001	—	91,475
April	The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg <sup>(4)</sup>	Mall	Gettysburg, PA	50.0%	—	20,315	4,522	24,837
April	The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso <sup>(5)</sup>	Mall	El Paso, TX	75.0%	35,456	50,193	—	85,649
					\$123,184	\$170,308	\$4,522	\$298,014

The Company acquired a 49.0% joint venture interest in Kirkwood Mall in December 2012. The cash paid was based on a total value of \$121,500 including a \$40,368 non-recourse loan. The Company executed an agreement to acquire the remaining 51.0% interest within 90 days subject to lender approval to assume the loan, which bears interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% and matures in April 2018. As the assumed loan was at an above-market interest rate compared to similar debt instruments at the date of acquisition, the Company recorded a debt premium of \$2,970, computed using an estimated market interest rate of 4.25%. In accordance with its executed agreement, the Company acquired the remaining 51.0% interest in Kirkwood Mall in April 2013. As described in Note 8, the Company consolidated this joint venture as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

The Company acquired the remaining 40% interests in Imperial Valley Mall L.P., Imperial Valley Peripheral L.P. and Imperial Valley Commons L.P. from its joint venture partner. Imperial Valley Commons, L.P. was classified as a VIE and was accounted for on a consolidated basis as the Company was deemed to be the primary beneficiary.

(2) Imperial Valley Mall L.P. and Imperial Valley Peripheral L.P. were unconsolidated affiliates accounted for using the equity method of accounting. We recorded a gain on investment of \$45,072 related to the acquisition of our joint venture partner's interest and all three joint ventures are accounted for on a consolidated basis as of the purchase date. See Note 5 for additional information.

The \$59,001 non-recourse loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.23% and matures in November 2016. The Company recorded a debt premium of \$3,040, computed using an estimated market rate of 4.75%, since the debt assumed was at an above-market interest rate compared to similar debt instruments at the date of acquisition.

(4) The Company and its noncontrolling interest partner exercised their rights under the terms of a mezzanine loan agreement with the borrower, which owned The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg, to convert a \$4,522 mezzanine loan into a member interest in the outlet shopping center. The \$40,631 of debt assumed, of which the Company's 50.0% share is \$20,315, bears interest at a fixed rate of 5.87% and matures in February 2016.

(5)

The Company also acquired a 50.0% interest in outparcel land adjacent to the outlet shopping center for \$3,864 in addition to the \$31,592 paid for the 75.0% share of the outlet shopping center. See Note 5. The amount paid for the Company's 75.0% and 50.0% interests was based on a total value of \$116,775 including the assumption of a \$66,924 non-recourse loan, which bears interest at a fixed rate of 7.06% and matures in December 2017. The debt assumed was at an above-average interest rate compared to similar debt instruments at the date of acquisition, so the Company recorded a debt premium of \$7,700 (of which \$5,775 represents the Company's 75.0% share), computed using an estimated market interest rate of 4.75%. The entity that owned The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso used a portion of the cash proceeds to repay a \$9,150 mezzanine loan provided by the Company, of which the Company's share was \$6,862. After considering the repayment of the mezzanine loan to the Company, the net consideration paid by the Company in connection with this transaction was \$28,594.



The following table summarizes the final allocations of the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the respective acquisition dates for the Properties listed above:

	2012	
Land	\$87,869	
Buildings and improvements	379,763	
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	3,864	
Tenant improvements	15,328	
Above-market leases	15,359	
In-place leases	65,814	
Total assets	567,997	
Mortgage note payables assumed	(259,470)	)
Debt premium	(15,334)	)
Below-market leases	(39,698)	)
Noncontrolling interest	(60,295)	)
Value of Company's interest in joint ventures	(65,494)	)
Net assets acquired	\$127,706	

#### NOTE 4. DISPOSALS AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In the first quarter of 2014, the Company adopted ASU 2014-08, which changed the definition and criteria of property disposals classified as discontinued operations, on a prospective basis. As a result of applying this accounting guidance, the 2014 disposals listed below were not reclassified to discontinued operations as the 2013 and 2012 disposals were.

##### 2014 Dispositions

The results of operations of the Properties described below, as well as any gain on extinguishment of debt and impairment losses related to those Properties, are included in income from continuing operations for all periods presented, as applicable. Net proceeds from these 2014 dispositions were used to reduce the outstanding balances on the Company's credit facilities, unless otherwise noted.

The following is a summary of the Company's 2014 dispositions by sale:

Sales Date	Property	Property Type	Location	Sales Price		Gain
				Gross	Net	
2014 Activity:						
September	Pemberton Plaza <sup>(1)</sup>	Community Center	Vicksburg, MS	\$1,975	\$1,886	\$—
June	Foothills Plaza Expansion	Associated Center	Maryville, TN	2,640	2,387	937
May	Lakeshore Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall	Sebring, FL	14,000	13,613	—
				\$18,615	\$17,886	\$937

(1) The Company recognized a loss on impairment of real estate of \$497 in the third quarter of 2014 when it adjusted the book value of Pemberton Plaza to its net sales price.

The gross sales price of \$14,000 consisted of a \$10,000 promissory note and \$4,000 in cash. The note receivable was paid off in the third quarter of 2014. The Company recognized a loss on impairment of real estate of \$5,100 in

(2) the first quarter of 2014 when it adjusted the book value of Lakeshore Mall to its estimated fair value of \$13,780 based on a binding purchase agreement signed in April 2014. The sale closed in May 2014 and the Company recognized an impairment loss of \$106 in the second quarter of 2014 as a result of additional closing costs.

The Company recognized a gain on extinguishment of debt for each of the Properties listed below, representing the amount by which the outstanding debt balance exceeded the net book value of the Property as of the transfer date. See [Note 6](#) for additional information. The following is a summary of the Company's other 2014 dispositions:

Disposal Date	Property	Property Type	Location	Balance of Non-recourse Debt	Gain on Extinguishment of Debt
2014 Activity:					
October	Columbia Place <sup>(1)</sup>	Mall	Columbia, SC	\$27,265	\$ 27,171
September	Chapel Hill Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall	Akron, OH	68,563	18,296
January	Citadel Mall <sup>(3)</sup>	Mall	Charleston, SC	68,169	43,932
				\$163,997	\$ 89,399

The Company conveyed the Mall to the lender by a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. A non-cash impairment loss of (1) \$50,683 was recorded in 2011 to write down the book value of the Mall to its then estimated fair value. The Company also recorded \$3,181 of non-cash default interest expense.

The Company conveyed the Mall to the lender by a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. A non-cash impairment loss of (2) \$12,050 was recorded in 2014 to write down the book value of the Mall to its then estimated fair value. The Company also recorded \$1,514 of non-cash default interest expense.

The mortgage lender completed the foreclosure process and received the title to the Mall in satisfaction of the (3) non-recourse debt. A non-cash impairment loss of \$20,453 was recorded in 2013 to write down the book value of the Mall to its then estimated fair value.

#### 2013 Dispositions

The results of operations of the Properties described below, as well as any gains or impairment losses related to those Properties, are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented, as applicable. Net proceeds from these sales were used to reduce the outstanding balances on the Company's credit facilities. The following is a summary of the Company's 2013 dispositions:

Sales Date	Property	Property Type	Location	Sales Price Gross	Net	Gain/(Loss)
2013 Activity:						
August	Georgia Square, Georgia Square Plaza, Panama City Mall, The Shoppes at Panama City, RiverGate Mall, Village at RiverGate <sup>(1)</sup>	Mall & Associated Center	Athens, GA Panama City, FL Nashville, TN	\$176,000	\$171,977	\$(19 )
March	1500 Sunday Drive	Office Building	Raleigh, NC	8,300	7,862	(549 )
March	Peninsula I & II	Office Building	Newport News, VA	5,250	5,121	598
January	Lake Point & SunTrust	Office Building	Greensboro, NC	30,875	30,490	823
December 2008 <sup>(2)</sup>	706 & 708 Green Valley Road	Office Building	Greensboro, NC			281
	Various <sup>(3)</sup>					10
				\$220,425	\$215,450	\$1,144

A loss on impairment of \$5,234 was recorded in the third quarter of 2013 to write down the book value of these (1) six Properties sold in a portfolio sale to the net sales price. Subsequent to December 31, 2013, the Company recognized an additional impairment of \$681 on one of these sold Properties.

(2) Recognition of gain that was deferred in December 2008 upon repayment of the notes receivable for a portion of the sales price.

(3)

Reflects subsequent true-ups for settlement of estimated expenses based on actual amounts for sales that occurred in prior periods.

## 2012 Dispositions

The results of operations of the Properties described below, as well as any gains or impairment losses related to those Properties, are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented, as applicable. Net proceeds from these sales were used to reduce the outstanding balances on the Company's credit facilities. The following is a summary of the Company's 2012 dispositions:

Sales Date	Property	Property Type	Location	Sales Price		Gain/ (Loss)
				Gross	Net	
2012 Activity:						
December	Willowbrook Plaza <sup>(1)</sup>	Community Center	Houston, TX	\$24,450	\$24,171	\$—
October	Towne Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	Mall	Franklin, OH	950	892	(3 )
October	Hickory Hollow Mall <sup>(3)</sup>	Mall	Antioch, TN	1,000	966	(6 )
July	Massard Crossing	Community Center	Fort Smith, AR	7,803	7,432	98
March	Settlers Ridge - Phase II <sup>(4)</sup>	Community Center	Robinson Township, PA	19,144	18,951	883
January	Oak Hollow Square <sup>(5)</sup>	Community Center	High Point, NC	14,247	13,796	(1 )
	Various <sup>(6)</sup>					(33 )
				\$67,594	\$66,208	\$938

(1) A loss on impairment of \$17,743 was recorded in the third quarter of 2012 to write down the book value of this Property to its then estimated fair value.

(2) A loss on impairment of \$419 was recorded in the third quarter of 2012 to write down the book value of this Property to its expected sales price.

(3) A loss on impairment of \$8,047 was recorded in the third quarter of 2012 to write down the book value of this Property to its expected sales price.

(4) A loss on impairment of \$4,457 was recorded in the second quarter of 2011 to write down the book value of this Property to its then estimated fair value.

(5) A loss on impairment of \$255 was recorded in the first quarter of 2012 related to the true-up of certain estimated amounts to actual amounts. Additionally, the Company wrote down the depreciated book value of this Property to the estimated sales price and recorded a loss on impairment of \$729 in the fourth quarter of 2011.

(6) Reflects subsequent true-ups for settlement of estimated expenses based on actual amounts for sales that occurred in prior periods.

Total revenues of the Properties described above that are included in discontinued operations were \$15,468 and \$43,911 in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The total net investment in real estate assets at the time of sale for the Properties sold during 2013 and 2012 was \$219,833 and \$51,184, respectively. There were no outstanding loans on any of the Properties sold during 2013 and 2012. Discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 also include settlements of estimated expense based on actual amounts for Properties sold during previous years.

## NOTE 5. UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES AND COST METHOD INVESTMENTS

## Unconsolidated Affiliates

At December 31, 2014, the Company had investments in the following 19 entities, which are accounted for using the equity method of accounting:

Joint Venture	Property Name	Company's Interest
Ambassador Infrastructure, LLC	Ambassador Town Center - Infrastructure Improvements	65.0 %
Ambassador Town Center JV, LLC	Ambassador Town Center	65.0 %
CBL/T-C, LLC	CoolSprings Galleria, Oak Park Mall and West County Center	50.0 %
CBL-TRS Joint Venture, LLC	Friendly Center, The Shops at Friendly Center and a portfolio of four office buildings	50.0 %
CBL-TRS Joint Venture II, LLC	Renaissance Center	50.0 %
El Paso Outlet Outparcels, LLC	The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso (vacant land)	50.0 %
Fremaux Town Center JV, LLC	Fremaux Town Center	65.0 %
Governor's Square IB	Governor's Plaza	50.0 %
Governor's Square Company	Governor's Square	47.5 %
High Pointe Commons, LP	High Pointe Commons	50.0 %
High Pointe Commons II-HAP, LP	High Pointe Commons - Christmas Tree Shop	50.0 %
JG Gulf Coast Town Center LLC	Gulf Coast Town Center	50.0 %
Kentucky Oaks Mall Company	Kentucky Oaks Mall	50.0 %
Mall of South Carolina L.P.	Coastal Grand—Myrtle Beach	50.0 %
Mall of South Carolina Outparcel L.P.	Coastal Grand—Myrtle Beach (Coastal Grand Crossing and vacant land)	50.0 %
Port Orange I, LLC	The Pavilion at Port Orange Phase I and one office building	50.0 %
Triangle Town Member LLC	Triangle Town Center, Triangle Town Commons and Triangle Town Place	50.0 %
West Melbourne I, LLC	Hammock Landing Phases I and II	50.0 %
York Town Center, LP	York Town Center	50.0 %

Although the Company had majority ownership of certain joint ventures during 2014, 2013 and 2012, it evaluated the investments and concluded that the other partners or owners in these joint ventures had substantive participating rights, such as approvals of:

- the pro forma for the development and construction of the project and any material deviations or modifications thereto;
- the site plan and any material deviations or modifications thereto;
- the conceptual design of the project and the initial plans and specifications for the project and any material deviations or modifications thereto;
- any acquisition/construction loans or any permanent financings/refinancings;
- the annual operating budgets and any material deviations or modifications thereto;
- the initial leasing plan and leasing parameters and any material deviations or modifications thereto; and
- any material acquisitions or dispositions with respect to the project.

As a result of the joint control over these joint ventures, the Company accounts for these investments using the equity method of accounting.



Condensed combined financial statement information of these unconsolidated affiliates is as follows:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Investment in real estate assets	\$2,266,252	\$2,167,227
Accumulated depreciation	(619,558 )	(555,174 )
	1,646,694	1,612,053
Developments in progress	75,877	103,161
Net investment in real estate assets	1,722,571	1,715,214
Other assets	170,554	168,799
Total assets	\$1,893,125	\$1,884,013
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Mortgage and other indebtedness	\$1,512,826	\$1,468,422
Other liabilities	42,517	48,203
Total liabilities	1,555,343	1,516,625
<b>OWNERS' EQUITY:</b>		
The Company	198,261	213,664
Other investors	139,521	153,724
Total owners' equity	337,782	367,388
Total liabilities and owners' equity	\$1,893,125	\$1,884,013

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Total revenues	\$250,248	\$243,215	\$251,628
Depreciation and amortization	(79,059 )	(76,323 )	(82,534 )
Other operating expenses	(73,218 )	(72,166 )	(76,567 )
Income from operations	97,971	94,726	92,527
Interest income	1,358	1,359	1,365
Interest expense	(74,754 )	(76,934 )	(84,421 )
Gain on sales of real estate assets	1,697	102	2,063
Net income	\$26,272	\$19,253	\$11,534

## 2014 Financings

The following table presents the loan activity of the Company's unconsolidated affiliates in 2014:

Date	Property	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount Financed or Extended
December	Ambassador Town Center <sup>(2)</sup>	LIBOR + 1.80%	December 2017 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$48,200
December	Ambassador Town Center - Infrastructure Improvements <sup>(4)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.00%	December 2017 <sup>(3)</sup>	11,700
November	Hammock Landing - Phase II <sup>(5)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.25%	November 2015 <sup>(6)</sup>	16,757
August	Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(7)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.00%	August 2016 <sup>(8)</sup>	47,291
August	Fremaux Town Center - Phase II <sup>(9)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.00%	August 2016 <sup>(8)</sup>	32,100
July	Coastal Grand - Myrtle Beach <sup>(10)</sup>	4.09%	August 2024	126,000
February	Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(11)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.125%	March 2016	47,291

(1) Excludes any extension options.

The unconsolidated 65/35 joint venture closed on a construction loan for the development of Ambassador Town Center, a community center located in Lafayette, LA. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 100% of the loan.

(2) See Note 14 for information on the Operating Partnership's guaranty of this loan and future guaranty reductions.

The construction loan had an outstanding balance of \$715 at December 31, 2014. The interest rate will be reduced to LIBOR + 1.60% once certain debt service and operational metrics are met.

(3) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of December 2019.

The unconsolidated 65/35 joint venture was formed to construct certain infrastructure improvements related to the development of Ambassador Town Center. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 100% of the loan. See Note

(4) 14 for information on the Operating Partnership's guaranty of this loan and future guaranty reductions. The infrastructure construction loan had an outstanding balance of \$725 at December 31, 2014. Under a PILOT program, in lieu of ad valorem taxes, Ambassador and other contributing landowners will make annual PILOT payments to Ambassador Infrastructure, which will be used to repay the infrastructure construction loan.

(5) The \$10,757 construction loan was amended and restated to increase the loan by \$6,000 to finance the construction of Academy Sports. The interest rate will be reduced to LIBOR + 2.00% once Academy Sports is open and paying contractual rent. See Note 14 for information on the Operating Partnership's guaranty of this loan and future guaranty reductions.

(6) The construction loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of November 2017.

Fremaux amended and modified its Phase I construction loan to change the maturity date and interest rate.

(7) Additionally, the Operating Partnership's guarantee of the loan was reduced from 100% to 50% of the outstanding principal loan amount. See Note 14 for further information on future guarantee reductions.

(8) The construction loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of August 2018.

(9) The Operating Partnership's guaranty of the construction loan was reduced in the fourth quarter of 2014 from 100% to 50% upon the land closing with Dillard's. See Note 14 for further information on future guaranty reductions.

(10) Two subsidiaries of Mall of South Carolina L.P. and Mall of South Carolina Outparcel L.P., closed on a non-recourse loan, secured by Coastal Grand-Myrtle Beach in Myrtle Beach, SC. Net proceeds were used to retire the outstanding borrowings under the previous loan, which had a balance of \$75,238 as well as to pay off \$18,000 of subordinated notes to the Company and its joint venture partner, each of which held \$9,000. See Note 10 for additional information. Excess proceeds were distributed 50/50 to the Company and its partner and the Company's



share of excess proceeds was used to reduce balances on its lines of credit.

Fremaux amended and restated its March 2013 loan agreement to increase the capacity on its construction loan from \$46,000 to \$47,291 for additional development costs related to Fremaux Town Center. The Operating (11) Partnership had guaranteed 100% of the loan. The construction loan had two one-year extension options, which were at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of March 2018. See footnote 7 and footnote 8 above for information on the extension and modification of the Phase I loan in August 2014.

## 2013 Financings

The following table presents the loan activity of the Company's unconsolidated affiliates in 2013:

Date	Property	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount Financed or Extended
December	The Pavilion at Port Orange - Phase I <sup>(2)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.0%	November 2015 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$62,600
December	Hammock Landing - Phase I <sup>(4)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.0%	November 2015 <sup>(3)</sup>	41,068
December	Hammock Landing - Phase II <sup>(5)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.25%	November 2015 <sup>(3)</sup>	10,757
March	Renaissance Center - Phase II <sup>(6)</sup>	3.49%	April 2023	16,000
March	Friendly Center <sup>(7)</sup>	3.48%	April 2023	100,000
March	Fremaux Town Center - Phase I <sup>(8)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.125%	March 2016	46,000

(1) Excludes any extension options.

The construction loan was extended and modified to reduce the capacity from \$64,950 to \$62,600, reduce the (2) interest rate from a variable-rate of LIBOR + 3.5% to a variable-rate of LIBOR + 2.0% and extend the maturity date. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 25% of the construction loan.

(3) The construction loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of November 2017.

(4) The loan was amended and restated to extend the maturity date and reduce the interest rate from a variable-rate of LIBOR + 3.5% to a variable-rate of LIBOR + 2.0%. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 25% of the loan.

A construction loan to build a Carmike Cinema has two one-year extension options, which are at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of November 2017. The Operating Partnership's guaranty was reduced from (5) 100% to 25% in the third quarter of 2014 when the Carmike Cinema became operational. See the table above for information on the extension and modification of the Phase II loan in November 2014.

(6) Net proceeds from the loan were used to retire a \$15,700 loan that was scheduled to mature in April 2013.

Net proceeds from the loan were used to retire four loans, scheduled to mature in April 2013 and with an aggregate balance of \$100,000, that were secured by Friendly Center, Friendly Center Office Building, First National Bank (7) Building, Green Valley Office Building, First Citizens Bank Building, Wachovia Office Building and Bank of America Building.

The construction loan had two one-year extension options, which were at the joint venture's election, for an outside (8) maturity date of March 2018. The Operating Partnership had guaranteed 100% of the construction loan. The loan was amended and restated in February 2014 and August 2014, as described above.

All of the debt on the Properties owned by the unconsolidated affiliates listed above is non-recourse, except for Ambassador, Ambassador Infrastructure, West Melbourne, Port Orange, Gulf Coast - Phase III and Fremaux. See [Note 14](#) for a description of guarantees the Operating Partnership has issued related to certain unconsolidated affiliates.

## Ambassador Town Center JV, LLC

In December 2014, the Company formed a 65/35 joint venture, Ambassador, to develop, own and operate Ambassador Town Center, a community center development located in Lafayette, LA. Construction began in early 2015 and is expected to be complete in spring 2016. The partners contributed aggregate initial equity of \$14,800, of which the Company's contribution was \$13,320. Following the initial formation of Ambassador, all required future contributions will be funded on a 65/35 pro rata basis.

## Fremaux Town Center JV, LLC

In January 2013, the Company formed a 65/35 joint venture, Fremaux, to develop, own and operate Fremaux Town Center, a community center development located in Slidell, LA. Construction began in March 2013 and phase one opened in spring 2014. The partners contributed aggregate initial equity of \$20,500, of which the Company's contribution was \$18,450. Following the initial formation of Fremaux, all required future contributions will be funded on a 65/35 pro rata basis. Phase two is currently under construction with completion expected in fall 2015.

Imperial Valley Mall L.P, Imperial Valley Peripheral L.P., Imperial Valley Commons L.P.

In December 2012, the Company acquired the remaining 40.0% interests in Imperial Valley Mall L.P. and Imperial Valley Peripheral L.P., which owns vacant land adjacent to Imperial Valley Mall in El Centro, CA, from its joint venture partner. The results of operations of Imperial Valley Mall L.P. and Imperial Valley Peripheral L.P. through the acquisition date are included in the table above using the equity method of accounting. From the date of acquisition, the results of operations of Imperial Valley Mall L.P. and Imperial Valley Peripheral L.P. are accounted for on a consolidated basis. The Company also acquired the joint venture partner's 40.0% interest in Imperial Valley Commons L.P., a VIE that owns land adjacent to Imperial Valley Mall. Imperial Valley Commons L.P. was consolidated as a VIE as of December 31, 2013 and continues to be accounted for on a consolidated basis as a wholly-owned entity as of December 31, 2014. See [Note 3](#) for further information.

El Paso Outlet Outparcels, LLC

In April 2012, the Company acquired a 50.0% interest in a joint venture, El Paso Outlet Outparcels, LLC, simultaneously with the acquisition of a 75.0% interest in The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso (see [Note 3](#)). The Company's investment was \$3,864. The remaining 50.0% interest is owned by affiliates of Horizon Group Properties. El Paso Outlet Outparcels, LLC owns land adjacent to The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso. The terms of the joint venture agreement provide that voting rights, capital contributions and distributions of cash flows will be on a pari passu basis in accordance with the ownership percentages.

CBL/T-C, LLC

CBL/T-C, LLC ("CBL/T-C") and TIAA-CREF each own a 50% interest with respect to the CoolSprings Galleria, Oak Park Mall and West County Center Properties. The terms of the joint venture agreement provide that, with respect to these Properties, voting rights, capital contributions and distributions of cash flows will be on a pari passu basis in accordance with ownership percentages. As of December 31, 2013, the Company and TIAA-CREF owned 88% and 12% interests, respectively, in Pearland Town Center. The Company accounted for the formation of CBL/T-C as the sale of a partial interest in the combined CoolSprings Galleria, Oak Park Mall and West County Center Properties and recognized a gain on sale of real estate of \$54,327 in 2011, which included the impact of a reserve for future capital expenditures that the Company must fund related to parking decks at West County Center in the amount of \$26,439. The Company recorded its investment in CBL/T-C under the equity method of accounting at \$116,397, which represented its combined remaining 50% cost basis in the CoolSprings Galleria, Oak Park Mall and West County Center Properties. The Company determined that CBL/T-C's interest in Pearland Town Center represented an interest in a VIE and accounted for the Pearland Town Center Property separately from the combined CoolSprings Galleria, Oak Park Mall and West County Center Properties. The Company determined that, because it had the option to acquire TIAA-CREF's interest in Pearland Town Center in the future, it did not qualify as a partial sale and therefore, accounted for the \$18,264 contributed by TIAA-CREF attributable to Pearland Town Center as a financing. This amount was included in mortgage and other indebtedness in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013. Under the financing method, the Company continued to account for Pearland Town Center on a consolidated basis. In accordance with the terms of the joint venture agreement, the Company elected to purchase TIAA-CREF's 12% interest in Pearland Town Center in the first quarter of 2014 for \$17,948. This amount represented the noncontrolling partner's unreturned equity contribution related to Pearland Town Center, which was accounted for as a financing obligation, plus accrued and unpaid preferred return at a rate of 8%. See [Note 6](#) for more information.

Cost Method Investments

The Company owns a 6.2% noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries of Jinsheng Group ("Jinsheng"), an established mall operating and real estate development company located in Nanjing, China. Jinsheng owns controlling interests in home furnishing shopping malls.

Prior to May 2013, the Company also held a secured convertible promissory note secured by 16,565,534 Series 2 Ordinary Shares of Jinsheng (which equated to a 2.275% ownership interest). The secured note was non-interest bearing and was amended by the Company and Jinsheng to extend to May 30, 2013 the Company's right to convert the outstanding amount of the secured note into 16,565,534 Series A-2 Preferred Shares of Jinsheng. The Company exercised its right to demand payment of the note and received payment from Jinsheng in May 2013.

The Company accounts for its noncontrolling interest in Jinsheng using the cost method because the Company does not exercise significant influence over Jinsheng and there is no readily determinable market value of Jinsheng's shares since they are not publicly traded. The noncontrolling interest is reflected as investment in unconsolidated affiliates in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. The secured note was reflected as investment in unconsolidated affiliates in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013, prior to its 2014 redemption.

## NOTE 6. MORTGAGE AND OTHER INDEBTEDNESS

## Debt of the Company

CBL has no indebtedness. Either the Operating Partnership or one of its consolidated subsidiaries, that the Operating Partnership has a direct or indirect ownership interest in, is the borrower on all of the Company's debt.

CBL is a limited guarantor of the Notes, issued by the Operating Partnership in November 2013 and October 2014, respectively, for losses suffered solely by reason of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the Operating Partnership or its affiliates. The Company also provides a limited guarantee of the Operating Partnership's obligations with respect to its unsecured credit facilities and two unsecured term loans as of December 31, 2014.

CBL also had guaranteed 100% of the debt secured by The Promenade in D'Iberville, MS. The loan was paid off in the fourth quarter of 2014. See below for further information on this retirement of debt.

## Debt of the Operating Partnership

Mortgage and other indebtedness consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Amount	Weighted Average Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount	Weighted Average Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>
Fixed-rate debt:				
Non-recourse loans on operating Properties <sup>(2)</sup>	\$3,252,730	5.62%	\$3,527,830	5.54%
Senior unsecured notes due 2023 <sup>(3)</sup>	445,770	5.25%	445,374	5.25%
Senior unsecured notes due 2024 <sup>(4)</sup>	299,925	4.60%	—	—%
Other <sup>(5)</sup>	5,639	3.50%	—	—%
Financing obligation <sup>(6)</sup>	—	—%	17,570	8.00%
Total fixed-rate debt	4,004,064	5.50%	3,990,774	5.52%
Variable-rate debt:				
Non-recourse term loans on operating Properties	17,121	2.29%	133,712	3.14%
Recourse term loans on operating Properties	7,638	2.91%	51,300	1.87%
Construction loans	454	2.66%	2,983	2.17%
Unsecured lines of credit	221,183	1.56%	228,754	1.57%
Unsecured term loans	450,000	1.71%	450,000	1.71%
Total variable-rate debt	696,396	1.69%	866,749	1.91%
Total	\$4,700,460	4.93%	\$4,857,523	4.88%

(1) Weighted-average interest rate includes the effect of debt premiums and discounts, but excludes amortization of deferred financing costs.

The Operating Partnership had four interest rate swaps on notional amounts totaling \$105,584 as of December 31, 2014 and \$109,830 as of December 31, 2013 related to four variable-rate loans on

(2) operating Properties to effectively fix the interest rates on the respective loans. Therefore, these amounts are reflected in fixed-rate debt at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

(3) In November 2013, the Operating Partnership issued \$450,000 of senior unsecured notes in a public offering. The balance at December 31, 2014 is net of an unamortized discount of \$4,230. See below for additional information.

(4)

The Operating Partnership issued \$300,000 of senior unsecured notes in a public offering in October 2014. The balance at December 31, 2014 includes an unamortized discount of \$75. See below for additional information.

(5) A subsidiary of the Management Company entered into a term loan in May 2014.

This amount represented the noncontrolling partner's unreturned equity contribution related to Pearland Town

(6) Center that was accounted for as a financing due to certain terms of the CBL/T-C joint venture agreement. In the first quarter of 2014, the Company purchased the noncontrolling interest as described below.

Non-recourse and recourse term loans include loans that are secured by Properties owned by the Company that have a net carrying value of \$3,916,571 at December 31, 2014.

### Senior Unsecured Notes

In October 2014, the Operating Partnership issued \$300,000 of the 2024 Notes, which bear interest at 4.60% payable semiannually beginning April 15, 2015 and mature on October 15, 2024. The interest rate will be subject to an increase ranging from 0.25% to 1.00% from time to time if, on or after January 1, 2016 and prior to January 1, 2020, the ratio of secured debt to total assets of the Company, as defined, is greater than 40% but less than 45%. The 2024 Notes are redeemable at the Operating Partnership's election, in whole or in part from time to time, on not less than 30 days notice to the holders of the 2024 Notes to be redeemed. The 2024 Notes may be redeemed prior to July 15, 2024 for cash, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2024 Notes to be redeemed or (2) an amount equal to the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the 2024 Notes to be redeemed, discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the treasury rate, as defined, plus 0.35%, plus accrued and unpaid interest. CBL is a limited guarantor of the Operating Partnership's obligations under the 2024 Notes, for losses suffered solely by reason of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the Operating Partnership or its affiliates. On or after July 15, 2024, the 2024 Notes are redeemable for cash at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2024 Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. After deducting underwriting and other offering expenses of \$2,245 and a discount of \$75, the net proceeds from the sale of the 2024 Notes were approximately \$297,680, which the Operating Partnership used to reduce the outstanding balances on its credit facilities.

In November 2013, the Operating Partnership issued \$450,000 of the 2023 Notes, which bear interest at 5.25% payable semiannually beginning June 1, 2014 and mature on December 1, 2023. The interest rate will be subject to an increase ranging from 0.25% to 1.00% from time to time if, on or after January 1, 2016 and prior to January 1, 2020, the ratio of secured debt to total assets of the Company, as defined, is greater than 40% but less than 45%. The 2023 Notes are redeemable at the Operating Partnership's election, in whole or in part from time to time, on not less than 30 days notice to the holders of the 2023 Notes to be redeemed. The 2023 Notes may be redeemed prior to September 1, 2023 for cash, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes to be redeemed or (2) an amount equal to the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the 2023 Notes to be redeemed, discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the treasury rate, as defined, plus 0.40%, plus accrued and unpaid interest. On or after September 1, 2023, the 2023 Notes are redeemable for cash at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. After deducting underwriting and other offering expenses of \$4,152 and a discount of \$4,626, the net proceeds from the sale of the 2023 Notes were \$441,222, which the Operating Partnership used to reduce the outstanding balances on its credit facilities.

### Financing Obligation

In the first quarter of 2014, the Company exercised its right to acquire the 12.0% noncontrolling interest in Pearland Town Center, which was accounted for as a financing obligation upon its sale in October 2011, from its joint venture partner. The \$17,948 purchase price represents the partner's unreturned capital plus accrued and unpaid preferred return at a rate of 8.0%. See [Note 5](#) for additional information.

### Unsecured Lines of Credit

The Company has three unsecured credit facilities that are used for retirement of secured loans, repayment of term loans, working capital, construction and acquisition purposes, as well as issuances of letters of credit.

Each facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a spread of 100 to 175 basis points based on the Company's credit ratings. As of December 31, 2014, the Company's interest rate, based on its credit ratings of Baa3 from Moody's and BBB- from Fitch, is LIBOR plus 140 basis points. Additionally, the Company pays an annual facility fee that ranges from 0.15% to 0.35% of the total capacity of each facility. As of December 31, 2014, the annual facility fee was 0.30%. The three unsecured lines of credit had a weighted-average interest rate of 1.56% at December 31, 2014.





The following summarizes certain information about the Company's unsecured lines of credit as of December 31, 2014:

	Total Capacity	Total Outstanding	Maturity Date	Extended Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>
Facility A	\$600,000	\$63,716	<sup>(2)</sup> November 2015	November 2016
First Tennessee	100,000	2,200	<sup>(3)</sup> February 2016	N/A
Facility B	600,000	155,267	<sup>(4)</sup> November 2016	November 2017
	\$1,300,000	\$221,183		

The extension options on both facilities are at the Company's election, subject to continued compliance with the (1) terms of the facilities, and have a one-time extension fee of 0.20% of the commitment amount of each credit facility.

<sup>(2)</sup> There was an additional \$800 outstanding on this facility as of December 31, 2014 for letters of credit. Up to \$50,000 of the capacity on this facility can be used for letters of credit.

<sup>(3)</sup> There was an additional \$113 outstanding on this facility as of December 31, 2014 for letters of credit. Up to \$20,000 of the capacity on this facility can be used for letters of credit.

<sup>(4)</sup> There was an additional \$6,110 outstanding on this facility as of December 31, 2014 for letters of credit. Up to \$50,000 of the capacity on this facility can be used for letters of credit.

#### Unsecured Term Loans

In the third quarter of 2013, the Company closed on a five-year \$400,000 unsecured term loan. Net proceeds from the term loan were used to reduce outstanding balances on the Company's credit facilities. The loan bears interest at a variable-rate of LIBOR plus 150 basis points based on the Company's current credit ratings and has a maturity date of July 2018. At December 31, 2014, the outstanding borrowings of \$400,000 had an interest rate of 1.67%.

In the first quarter of 2013, under the terms of the Company's amended and restated agreement with First Tennessee Bank, NA, the Company obtained a \$50,000 unsecured term loan that bore interest at a variable-rate of LIBOR plus 190 basis points and matures in February 2018. At December 31, 2014, the outstanding borrowings of \$50,000 had a weighted-average interest rate of 2.05%.

See [Note 19](#) for information related to a reduction in the interest rate on the \$50,000 unsecured term loan that occurred subsequent to December 31, 2014.

#### Other

In the second quarter of 2014, a consolidated, joint venture subsidiary of the Management Company closed on a \$7,000 term loan which bears interest at a fixed rate of 3.50% and matures in May 2017. At December 31, 2014, the loan had an outstanding balance of \$5,639.

In the second quarter of 2014, the subsidiary of the Management Company also obtained a \$3,500 revolving line of credit, which bears interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 249 basis points and matures in June 2017. At December 31, 2014, the revolver had no amount outstanding.

#### Fixed-Rate Debt

As of December 31, 2014, fixed-rate loans on operating Properties bear interest at stated rates ranging from 4.05% to 8.50%. Outstanding borrowings under fixed-rate loans include net unamortized debt premiums of \$7,414 that were recorded when the Company assumed debt to acquire real estate assets that was at a net above-market interest rate compared to similar debt instruments at the date of acquisition. Fixed-rate loans on operating Properties generally

provide for monthly payments of principal and/or interest and mature at various dates through December 2024, with a weighted-average maturity of 4.19 years.

127

---

## Financings

The following table presents the fixed-rate loans, secured by the related Properties, that were entered into since January 1, 2013:

Date	Property <sup>(1)</sup>	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Amount Financed
2014:				
November	The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(2)</sup>	4.045%	December 2024	\$77,500
2013:				
October	The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta <sup>(3)</sup>	4.90%	November 2023	\$80,000

(1) This Property is owned in a consolidated joint venture and the Company's share of the remaining excess proceeds was used to reduce outstanding balances on the Company's credit facilities.

(2) A portion of the net proceeds from the non-recourse mortgage loan was used to retire a \$47,931 recourse construction loan.

(3) A portion of the net proceeds from the non-recourse mortgage loan was used to repay a \$53,080 recourse construction loan.

## Loan Repayments

The Company repaid the following fixed-rate loans, secured by the related Properties, since January 1, 2013:

Date	Property	Interest Rate at Repayment Date	Scheduled Maturity Date	Principal Balance Repaid <sup>(1)</sup>
2014:				
December	Janesville Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	8.38%	April 2016	\$2,473
October	Mall del Norte	5.04%	December 2014	113,400
January	St. Clair Square <sup>(3)</sup>	3.25%	December 2016	122,375
2013:				
December	Northpark Mall	5.75%	March 2014	\$32,684
June	Mid Rivers Mall <sup>(4)</sup>	5.88%	May 2021	88,410
April	South County Center <sup>(5)</sup>	4.96%	October 2013	71,740
January	Westmoreland Mall	5.05%	March 2013	63,639

(1) The Company retired the loans with borrowings from its credit facilities.

(2) The Company recorded a \$257 loss on extinguishment of debt due to a prepayment fee on the early retirement.

(3) The Company recorded a \$1,249 loss on extinguishment of debt due to a prepayment fee on the early retirement.

(4) The Company recorded an \$8,936 loss on extinguishment of debt, which consisted of an \$8,708 prepayment fee and \$228 of unamortized debt issuance costs.

(5) The Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$172 from the write-off of an unamortized discount.

The following is a summary of the Company's 2014 dispositions for which the Property securing the related fixed-rate debt was transferred to the lender:

Date	Property	Interest Rate at Repayment Date	Scheduled Maturity Date	Balance of Non-recourse Debt	Gain on Extinguishment of Debt
October	Columbia Place <sup>(1)</sup>	5.45%	September 2013	\$27,265	\$27,171
September	Chapel Hill Mall <sup>(1)</sup>	6.10%	August 2016	68,563	18,296
January	Citadel Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	5.68%	April 2017	68,169	43,932

\$ 163,997      \$ 89,399

- (1) The Company conveyed the Mall to the lender through a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure.
- (2) The mortgage lender completed the foreclosure process and received the title to the Mall in satisfaction of the non-recourse debt.

128

---

## Variable-Rate Debt

Non-recourse term loans for the Company's operating Properties bear interest at variable interest rates indexed to the LIBOR rate. At December 31, 2014, interest rates on such non-recourse loans varied from 1.97% to 2.91%. These loans mature at various dates from June 2016 to April 2019, with a weighted-average maturity of 2.47 years, and have extension options of up to two years.

## Financings

The following table presents the variable-rate loans, secured by the related Properties, that were entered into since January 1, 2013:

Date	Property	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount Financed <sup>(2)</sup>
2014:				
April	The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City - Phase II <sup>(3)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.75%	April 2019 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$6,000
2013:				
June	Statesboro Crossing	LIBOR + 1.80%	June 2016 <sup>(5)</sup>	\$11,400

(1) Excludes any extension options.

(2) Proceeds were used to reduce the balances on the Company's credit facilities unless otherwise noted.

(3) Proceeds from the operating Property loan for Phase II were distributed to the partners in accordance with the terms of the partnership agreement.

(4) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the consolidated joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of April 2021.

(5) The non-recourse loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the Company's option, for an outside maturity date of June 2018.

## Loan Repayments

The Company repaid the following variable-rate loans, secured by the related Properties, since January 1, 2013:

Date	Property	Interest Rate at Repayment Date	Scheduled Maturity Date	Principal Balance Repaid <sup>(1)</sup>
2014:				
December	The Promenade	1.87%	December 2014	\$47,670
2013:				
September	The Forum at Grandview	3.19%	September 2013	\$10,200
July	Alamance Crossing West	3.20%	December 2013	16,000
February	Statesboro Crossing	1.21%	February 2013	13,460

(1) The Company retired the loan with borrowings from its credit facilities.

## Construction Loans

## Financings

The following table presents the construction loans, secured by the related Properties, that were entered into since January 1, 2013:

Date	Property	Stated Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Amount Financed
2014:				
December	The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta - Parcel Development <sup>(1)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.50%	December 2019	\$2,435
April	The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City - Phase III <sup>(2)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.75%	April 2019 <sup>(3)</sup>	5,400
April	The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso - Phase II <sup>(2)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.75%	April 2018	7,000

## 2013:

August	The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(4)</sup>	LIBOR + 2.00%	August 2016	\$60,200
--------	--	---------------	-------------	----------

The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 100% of the loan, which had an outstanding balance of \$454 at (1)December 31, 2014. The guaranty will terminate once construction is complete and certain debt and operational metrics are met.

(2) The Operating Partnership has guaranteed 100% of the construction loan for the expansion of the outlet center until certain financial and operational metrics are met.

(3) The construction loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the consolidated joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of April 2021.

(4) The Operating Partnership had guaranteed 100% of the recourse construction loan. The loan was retired as described above with the proceeds from a fixed-rate non-recourse mortgage loan in November 2014. The loan had two one-year extension options, which were at the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of August 2018.

## Loan Repayments

The Company repaid the following construction loans, secured by the related Properties, since January 1, 2013:

Date	Property	Interest Rate at Repayment Date	Scheduled Maturity Date	Principal Balance Repaid
2014:				
November	The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass <sup>(1)</sup>	2.15%	August 2016	\$47,931

## 2013:

October	The Outlet Shoppes of Atlanta <sup>(2)</sup>	2.93%	August 2015	\$53,080
---------	--	-------	-------------	----------

The joint venture retired the recourse construction loan with a portion of the proceeds from a \$77,500 fixed-rate (1)non-recourse mortgage loan. The Company's share of excess net proceeds was used to reduce the outstanding balances on its lines of credit.

The joint venture retired the recourse construction loan with a portion of the proceeds from an \$80,000 fixed-rate (2)non-recourse mortgage loan. The Company's share of excess net proceeds was used to reduce the outstanding balances on its lines of credit.





## Covenants and Restrictions

The agreements for the unsecured lines of credit, the Notes and unsecured term loans contain, among other restrictions, certain financial covenants including the maintenance of certain financial coverage ratios, minimum net worth requirements, minimum unencumbered asset and interest ratios, maximum secured indebtedness ratios, maximum total indebtedness ratios and limitations on cash flow distributions. The Company believes that it was in compliance with all covenants and restrictions at December 31, 2014.

## Unsecured Lines of Credit and Unsecured Term Loans

The following presents the Company's compliance with key covenant ratios, as defined, of the credit facilities and term loans as of December 31, 2014:

Ratio	Required	Actual
Debt to total asset value	< 60%	49.1%
Unencumbered asset value to unsecured indebtedness	> 1.60x	2.5x
Unencumbered NOI to unsecured interest expense	> 1.75x	4.1x
EBITDA to fixed charges (debt service)	> 1.50x	2.2x

The agreements for the unsecured credit facilities and unsecured term loans described above contain default provisions customary for transactions of this nature (with applicable customary grace periods). Additionally, any default in the payment of any recourse indebtedness greater than or equal to \$50,000 or any non-recourse indebtedness greater than \$150,000 (for the Company's ownership share) of CBL, the Operating Partnership or any Subsidiary, as defined, will constitute an event of default under the agreements for the credit facilities. The credit facilities also restrict the Company's ability to enter into any transaction that could result in certain changes in its ownership or structure as described under the heading "Change of Control/Change in Management" in the agreements for the credit facilities. Prior to the Company obtaining an investment grade rating in May 2013, the obligations of the Company under the agreements were unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by any subsidiary of the Company to the extent such subsidiary was a material subsidiary and was not otherwise an excluded subsidiary, as defined in the agreements. Once the Company obtained an investment grade rating, guarantees by material subsidiaries were no longer required by the agreements.

## Senior Unsecured Notes

The following presents the Company's compliance with key covenant ratios, as defined, of the Notes as of December 31, 2014:

Ratio	Required	Actual
Total debt to total assets	< 60%	53.7%
Secured debt to total assets	< 45% <sup>(1)</sup>	37.0%
Total unencumbered assets to unsecured debt	> 150%	235.8%
Consolidated income available for debt service to annual debt service charge	> 1.50x	3.1x

(1) On January 1, 2020 and thereafter, secured debt to total assets must be less than 40%.

The agreements for the Notes described above contain default provisions customary for transactions of this nature (with applicable customary grace periods). Additionally, any default in the payment of any recourse indebtedness greater than or equal to \$50,000 of the Operating Partnership will constitute an event of default under the Notes.

Other

Several of the Company's malls/open-air centers, associated centers and community centers, in addition to the corporate office building, are owned by special purpose entities, created as a requirement under certain loan agreements, that are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The sole business purpose of the special purpose entities is to own and operate these Properties. The real estate and other assets owned by these special purpose entities are restricted under the loan agreements in that they are not available to settle other debts of the Company. However, so long as the loans are not under an event of default, as defined in the loan agreements, the cash flows from these Properties, after payments of debt service, operating expenses and reserves, are available for distribution to the Company.

131

---

## Scheduled Principal Payments

As of December 31, 2014, the scheduled principal amortization and balloon payments of the Company's consolidated debt, excluding extensions available at the Company's option, on all mortgage and other indebtedness, including construction loans and lines of credit, are as follows:

2015	\$594,672
2016	763,868
2017	491,189
2018	678,512
2019	114,337
Thereafter	2,054,773
	4,697,351
Net unamortized premiums	3,109
	\$4,700,460

Of the \$594,672 of scheduled principal payments in 2015, \$464,867 relates to the maturing principal balances of seven operating Property loans, \$63,716 relates to an unsecured line of credit and \$66,089 represents scheduled principal amortization.

The Company has extension options available at its election, subject to continued compliance with the terms of the facilities, related to the maturities of its unsecured credit facilities, including a 2016 extension on the unsecured line of credit with a 2015 maturity date. The credit facilities may be used to retire loans maturing in 2015 as well as to provide additional flexibility for liquidity purposes.

## Interest Rate Hedging Instruments

The Company records its derivative instruments in its consolidated balance sheets at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the derivative has been designated as a hedge and, if so, whether the hedge has met the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting.

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish these objectives, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up-front premium.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as, and that qualify as, cash flow hedges is recorded in AOCI/L and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with variable-rate debt.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk:

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional Amount
Interest Rate Swaps	4	\$105,584



The following tables provide further information relating to the Company's interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

Instrument Type	Location in Consolidated Balance Sheet	Notional Amount	Designated Benchmark Interest Rate	Strike Rate	Fair Value at 12/31/14	Fair Value at 12/31/13	Maturity Date
Cap	Intangible lease assets and other assets	\$ 122,375 (amortizing to \$122,375)	3-month LIBOR	5.000 %	N/A	\$—	January 2014
Pay fixed/Receive variable Swap	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 51,037 (amortizing to \$48,337)	1-month LIBOR	2.149 %	\$(1,064 )	\$(1,915 )	April 2016
Pay fixed/Receive variable Swap	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 31,960 (amortizing to \$30,276)	1-month LIBOR	2.187 %	(681 )	(1,226 )	April 2016
Pay fixed/Receive variable Swap	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 11,946 (amortizing to \$11,313)	1-month LIBOR	2.142 %	(248 )	(446 )	April 2016
Pay fixed/Receive variable Swap	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 10,641 (amortizing to \$10,083)	1-month LIBOR	2.236 %	(233 )	(420 )	April 2016
					\$(2,226 )	\$(4,007 )	

Hedging Instrument	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI/L (Effective Portion)			Location of Losses Reclassified from AOCI/L into Earnings (Effective Portion)	Loss Recognized in Earnings (Effective Portion)			Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings (Ineffective Portion)	Gain Recognized in Earnings (Ineffective Portion)		
	2014	2013	2012		2014	2013	2012		2014	2013	2012
Interest rate contracts	\$1,782	\$1,815	\$(207 )	Interest Expense	\$(2,195 )	\$(2,297 )	\$(2,267 )	Interest Expense	\$—	\$—	\$—

As of December 31, 2014, the Company expects to reclassify approximately \$1,923 of losses currently reported in AOCI to interest expense within the next twelve months due to the amortization of its outstanding interest rate contracts. Fluctuations in fair values of these derivatives between December 31, 2014 and the respective dates of termination will vary the projected reclassification amount.

See Notes 2 and 15 for additional information regarding the Company's interest rate hedging instruments.

## NOTE 7. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL

### Common Stock and Common Units

The Company's authorized common stock consists of 350,000,000 shares at \$0.01 par value per share. The Company had 170,260,273 and 170,048,144 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Partners in the Operating Partnership hold their ownership through common and special common units of limited partnership interest, hereinafter referred to as "common units." A common unit and a share of CBL's common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics, as they effectively participate equally in the net income and distributions of the Operating Partnership. For each share of common stock issued by CBL, the Operating Partnership has issued a corresponding number of common units to CBL in exchange for the proceeds from the stock issuance. The Operating Partnership had 199,532,908 and 199,593,731 common units outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Each limited partner in the Operating Partnership has the right to exchange all or a portion of its common units for shares of CBL's common stock, or at CBL's election, their cash equivalent. When an exchange for common stock occurs, CBL assumes the limited partner's common units in the Operating Partnership. The number of shares of common stock received by a limited partner of the Operating Partnership upon exercise of its exchange rights will be equal, on a one-for-one basis, to the number of common units exchanged by the limited partner. If CBL elects to pay cash, the amount of cash paid by the Operating Partnership to redeem the limited partner's common units will be based on the five-day trailing average of the trading price at the time of exercise of the shares of common stock that would otherwise have been received by the limited partner in the exchange. Neither the common units nor the shares of common stock of CBL are subject to any right of mandatory redemption.

#### At-The-Market Equity Program

On March 1, 2013, the Company entered into the Sales Agreements with a number of sales agents to sell shares of CBL's common stock, having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300,000, from time to time in the ATM program. In accordance with the Sales Agreements, the Company will set the parameters for the sales of shares, including the number of shares to be issued, the time period during which sales are to be made and any minimum price below which sales may not be made. The Sales Agreements provide that the sales agents will be entitled to compensation for their services at a mutually agreed commission rate not to exceed 2.0% of the gross proceeds from the sales of shares sold through the ATM program. For each share of common stock issued by CBL, the Operating Partnership issues a corresponding number of common units of limited partnership interest to CBL in exchange for the contribution of the proceeds from the stock issuance. The Company includes only share issuances that have settled in the calculation of shares outstanding at the end of each period.

The Company did not sell any shares under the ATM program during 2014. The following table summarizes issuances of common stock sold through the ATM program since inception through 2013:

	Number of Shares Settled	Gross Proceeds	Net Proceeds	Weighted-average Sales Price
First quarter 2013	1,889,105	\$44,459	\$43,904	\$23.53
Second quarter 2013	6,530,193	167,034	165,692	25.58
Total	8,419,298	\$211,493	\$209,596	\$25.12

The net proceeds from these sales were used to reduce the balances on the Company's credit facilities. Since the commencement of the ATM program, the Company has issued 8,419,298 shares of common stock and approximately \$88,507 remains available that may be sold under this program. Actual future sales will depend on a variety of factors including but not limited to market conditions, the trading price of CBL's common stock and the Company's capital needs. The Company has no obligation to sell the remaining shares available under the ATM program.

#### Common Unit Activity

During 2014, CBL elected to pay \$4,861 in cash to four holders of 272,952 common units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership upon the exercise of their conversion rights.

During 2013, no holders of common units exercised their conversion rights.

During 2012, holders of 12,690,628 common units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership exercised their conversion rights. CBL elected to pay cash of \$3,965 for 224,628 common units and to issue 12,466,000 shares of common stock in exchange for the remaining common units.

#### Preferred Stock and Preferred Units

The Company's authorized preferred stock consists of 15,000,000 shares at \$0.01 par value per share. A description of the Company's cumulative redeemable preferred stock is listed below. The Operating Partnership issues an equivalent number of preferred units to CBL in exchange for the contribution of the proceeds from CBL to the Operating Partnership when CBL issues preferred stock. The preferred units generally have the same terms and economic characteristics as the corresponding series of preferred stock.

The Company had 6,900,000 depositary shares, each representing 1/10<sup>th</sup> of a share of CBL's 6.625% Series E Preferred Stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share, outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. The Series E Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$250.00 per share (\$25.00 per depositary share). The dividends on the

Series E Preferred Stock are cumulative, accrue from the date of issuance and are payable quarterly in arrears at a rate of \$16.5625 per share (\$1.65625 per depositary share) per annum. The Company may not redeem the Series E Preferred Stock before October 12, 2017, except in

134

---



limited circumstances to preserve CBL's REIT status or in connection with a change of control. On or after October 12, 2017, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Series E Preferred Stock in whole at any time or in part from time to time by paying \$25.00 per depositary share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends up to, but not including, the date of redemption. The Series E Preferred Stock generally has no stated maturity and will not be subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption. The Series E Preferred Stock is not convertible into any of the Company's securities, except under certain circumstances in connection with a change of control. Owners of the depositary shares representing Series E Preferred Stock generally have no voting rights except under dividend default.

The Company had 18,150,000 depositary shares, each representing 1/10<sup>th</sup> of a share of CBL's 7.375% Series D Preferred Stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share, outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. The Series D Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of \$250.00 per share (\$25.00 per depositary share). The dividends on the Series D Preferred Stock are cumulative, accrue from the date of issuance and are payable quarterly in arrears at a rate of \$18.4375 per share (\$1.84375 per depositary share) per annum. The Series D Preferred Stock has no stated maturity, is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption, and is not convertible into any other securities of the Company. The Company may redeem shares, in whole or in part, at any time for a cash redemption price of \$250.00 per share (\$25.00 per depositary share) plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

#### Dividends - CBL

CBL paid first, second and third quarter 2014 cash dividends on its common stock of \$0.245 per share on April 16<sup>th</sup>, July 15<sup>th</sup> and October 15<sup>th</sup> 2014, respectively. On November 13, 2014, CBL's Board of Directors declared a fourth quarter cash dividend of \$0.265 per share that was paid on January 15, 2015, to shareholders of record as of December 30, 2014. The dividend declared in the fourth quarter of 2014, totaling \$45,119, is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2014. The total dividend included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2013 was \$41,662.

The allocations of dividends declared and paid for income tax purposes are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2014		2013		2012	
Dividends declared:						
Common stock	\$1.00		\$0.98		\$0.83	
Series C preferred stock	\$—		\$—		\$14.53	(1)
Series D preferred stock	\$18.44		\$18.44		\$18.44	
Series E preferred stock	\$16.56		\$16.56		\$3.91	(2)
Allocations:						
Common stock						
Ordinary income	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%
Capital gains 25% rate	—	%	—	%	—	%
Return of capital	—	%	—	%	—	%
Total	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%
Preferred stock <sup>(3)</sup>						
Ordinary income	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%
Capital gains 25% rate	—	%	—	%	—	%
Total	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%

(1) Represents the three regular quarterly dividends paid in 2012, prior to the redemption on November 5, 2012.

(2) Represents dividends for the partial quarter covering October 5, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

(3)The allocations for income tax purposes are the same for each series of preferred stock for each period presented.

135

---

#### Distributions - The Operating Partnership

The Operating Partnership paid first, second and third quarter 2014 cash distributions on its redeemable common units and common units of \$0.7322 and \$0.2494 per share, respectively, on April 16<sup>th</sup>, July 15<sup>th</sup> and October 15<sup>th</sup> 2014, respectively. On November 13, 2014, the Operating Partnership declared a fourth quarter cash distribution on its redeemable common units and common units of \$0.7322 and \$0.2692 per share, respectively, that was paid on January 15, 2015. The distribution declared in the fourth quarter of 2014, totaling \$9,314, is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2014. The total dividend included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2013 was \$8,861.

#### NOTE 8. REDEEMABLE INTERESTS AND NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

##### Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests and Noncontrolling Interests of the Company

##### Partnership Interests in the Operating Partnership that Are Not Owned by the Company

The common units that the Company does not own are reflected in the Company's consolidated balance sheets as redeemable noncontrolling interest and noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership.

##### Series S Special Common Units

Redeemable noncontrolling interest includes a noncontrolling partnership interest in the Operating Partnership for which the partnership agreement includes redemption provisions that may require the Operating Partnership to redeem the partnership interest for real property. In July 2004, the Operating Partnership issued 1,560,940 Series S special common units ("S-SCUs"), all of which are outstanding as of December 31, 2014, in connection with the acquisition of Monroeville Mall. Under the terms of the Operating Partnership's limited partnership agreement, the holder of the S-SCUs has the right to exchange all or a portion of its partnership interest for shares of the Company's common stock or, at the Company's election, their cash equivalent. The holder has the additional right to, at any time after the seventh anniversary of the issuance of the S-SCUs, require the Operating Partnership to acquire a qualifying property and distribute it to the holder in exchange for the S-SCUs. Generally, the acquisition price of the qualifying property cannot be more than the lesser of the consideration that would be received in a normal exchange, as discussed above, or \$20,000, subject to certain limited exceptions. Should the consideration that would be received in a normal exchange exceed the maximum property acquisition price as described in the preceding sentence, the excess portion of its partnership interest could be exchanged for shares of the Company's stock or, at the Company's election, their cash equivalent. The S-SCUs received a minimum distribution of \$2.53825 per unit per year for the first five years, and receive a minimum distribution of \$2.92875 per unit per year thereafter.

##### Series L Special Common Units

In June 2005, the Operating Partnership issued 571,700 L-SCUs, all of which are outstanding as of December 31, 2014, in connection with the acquisition of Laurel Park Place. The L-SCUs receive a minimum distribution of \$0.7572 per unit per quarter (\$3.0288 per unit per year). Upon the earlier to occur of June 1, 2020, or when the distribution on the common units exceeds \$0.7572 per unit for four consecutive calendar quarters, the L-SCUs will thereafter receive a distribution equal to the amount paid on the common units. In December 2012, the Operating Partnership issued 622,278 common units valued at \$14,000 to acquire the remaining 30% noncontrolling interest in Laurel Park Place. The \$14,000 value of the noncontrolling interest was recorded as a deferred purchase liability in Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet upon the original acquisition of Laurel Park Place in 2005.

##### Series K Special Common Units

In November 2005, the Operating Partnership issued 1,144,924 K-SCUs, all of which are outstanding as of December 31, 2014, in connection with the acquisition of Oak Park Mall, Eastland Mall and Hickory Point Mall. The K-SCUs received a dividend at a rate of 6.0%, or \$2.85 per K-SCU, for the first year following the close of the transaction and receive a dividend at a rate of 6.25%, or \$2.96875 per K-SCU, thereafter. When the quarterly distribution on the Operating Partnership's common units exceeds the quarterly K-SCU distribution for four consecutive quarters, the K-SCUs will receive distributions at the rate equal to that paid on the Operating Partnership's common units. At any time following the first anniversary of the closing date, the holders of the K-SCUs may exchange them, on a one-for-one basis, for shares of the Company's common stock or, at the Company's election, their cash equivalent.

Outstanding rights to convert redeemable noncontrolling interests and noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership to common stock were held by the following parties at December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
CBL's Predecessor	18,172,690	18,172,690
Third parties	11,099,945	11,372,897
	29,272,635	29,545,587

The assets and liabilities allocated to the Operating Partnership's redeemable noncontrolling interest and noncontrolling interests are based on their ownership percentages of the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2014 and 2013. The ownership percentages are determined by dividing the number of common units held by each of the redeemable noncontrolling interest and the noncontrolling interests at December 31, 2014 and 2013 by the total common units outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The redeemable noncontrolling interest ownership percentage in assets and liabilities of the Operating Partnership was 0.8% at December 31, 2014 and 2013. The noncontrolling interest ownership percentage in assets and liabilities of the Operating Partnership was 13.9% at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Income is allocated to the Operating Partnership's redeemable noncontrolling interest and noncontrolling interests based on their weighted-average ownership during the year. The ownership percentages are determined by dividing the weighted-average number of common units held by each of the redeemable noncontrolling interest and noncontrolling interests by the total weighted-average number of common units outstanding during the year.

A change in the number of shares of common stock or common units changes the percentage ownership of all partners of the Operating Partnership. A common unit is considered to be equivalent to a share of common stock since it generally is exchangeable for shares of the Company's common stock or, at the Company's election, their cash equivalent. As a result, an allocation is made between redeemable noncontrolling interest, shareholders' equity and noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership in the Company's accompanying balance sheets to reflect the change in ownership of the Operating Partnership's underlying equity when there is a change in the number of shares and/or common units outstanding. During 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company allocated \$2,937, \$4,589 and \$3,197, respectively, from shareholders' equity to redeemable noncontrolling interest. During 2014, the Company allocated \$322 from noncontrolling interest to shareholders' equity. During 2013 and 2012, the Company allocated \$29,212 and \$163, respectively, from shareholders' equity to noncontrolling interest.

The total redeemable noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership was \$31,104 and \$28,756 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The total noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership was \$134,468 and \$135,843 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests and Noncontrolling Interests in Other Consolidated Subsidiaries

Redeemable noncontrolling interests includes the aggregate noncontrolling ownership interest in four of the Company's other consolidated subsidiaries that is held by third parties and for which the related partnership agreements contain redemption provisions at the holder's election that allow for redemption through cash and/or properties. The total redeemable noncontrolling interests in other consolidated subsidiaries was \$6,455 and \$5,883 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The redeemable noncontrolling interests in other consolidated subsidiaries includes the third party interest in the Company's subsidiary that provides security and maintenance services and also included, prior to their redemption by the Company in September 2013, the perpetual PJV units issued to Westfield for its preferred interest in CWJV, a Company-controlled entity, consisting of four of the Company's other consolidated subsidiaries. See Note 14 for additional information regarding the PJV units. Activity related to the redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest represented by the PJV units that the Company redeemed in September 2013 is as follows for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Beginning Balance	\$423,834	
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest	14,637	
Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest	(19,894	)
Reduction to preferred liquidation value of PJV units	(10,000	)

Redemption of noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest	(408,577	)
Ending Balance	\$—	

The Company had 21 and 24 other consolidated subsidiaries at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, that had noncontrolling interests held by third parties and for which the related partnership agreements either do not include redemption provisions or are subject to redemption provisions that do not require classification outside of permanent equity. The total noncontrolling interests in other consolidated subsidiaries was \$8,908 and \$19,179 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The assets and liabilities allocated to the redeemable noncontrolling interests and noncontrolling interests in other consolidated subsidiaries are based on the third parties' ownership percentages in each subsidiary at December 31, 2014 and 2013. Income is allocated to the redeemable noncontrolling interests and noncontrolling interests in other consolidated subsidiaries based on the third parties' weighted-average ownership in each subsidiary during the year.

### Redeemable Interests and Noncontrolling Interests of the Operating Partnership

The aggregate noncontrolling ownership interest in four of the Company's other consolidated subsidiaries described above that are reflected as redeemable noncontrolling interest in the Company's consolidated balance sheets is also reflected as redeemable noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership's consolidated balance sheets.

The S-SCUs described above that are reflected as redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Company's consolidated balance sheets are reflected as redeemable common units in the Operating Partnership's consolidated balance sheets.

The redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest represented by the PJV units as described above that is reflected as noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest in the Company's consolidated balance sheets is also reflected as redeemable noncontrolling preferred joint venture interest in the Operating Partnership's consolidated balance sheets.

The noncontrolling interests in other consolidated subsidiaries that are held by third parties that are reflected as a component of noncontrolling interests in the Company's consolidated balance sheets comprise the entire amount that is reflected as noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership's consolidated balance sheets.

### Variable Interest Entities

#### Triangle Town Member LLC

The Company holds a 50% ownership interest in this joint venture. In 2013, the Company reconsidered the entity's status, and determined that its investment in this joint venture represents an interest in a VIE. The entity is under joint control, and therefore the Company accounts for it as an unconsolidated affiliate using the equity method of accounting. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, this joint venture had total assets of \$104,397 and \$111,865, respectively, and a mortgage note payable of \$175,148 and \$179,336, respectively.

#### JG Gulf Coast Town Center LLC

The Company holds a 50% ownership interest in this joint venture. In 2013, the Company reconsidered the entity's status, and determined that its investment in this joint venture represents an interest in a VIE. The entity is under joint control, and therefore the Company accounts for it as an unconsolidated affiliate using the equity method of accounting. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, this joint venture had total assets of \$149,008 and \$156,591, respectively, and total notes payable of \$196,494 and \$197,058, respectively.

#### West Melbourne I, LLC

The Company holds a 50% ownership interest in this joint venture. In 2013, the Company concluded that its investment in this joint venture represents an interest in a VIE. In 2014, the Company reconsidered the entity's status and concluded the entity is no longer a VIE. The entity is under joint control, and therefore the Company accounts for it as an unconsolidated affiliate using the equity method of accounting. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, this joint venture had total assets of \$97,274 and \$84,423, respectively, and total notes payable of \$53,822 and \$45,541, respectively.

#### The Promenade D'Iberville, LLC

The Company holds an 85% ownership interest in this joint venture. In 2013, the Company determined that its investment in this joint venture represents an interest in a VIE. The Company is the primary beneficiary because of its power to direct the activities of the joint venture that most significantly impact the joint venture's economic performance as well as the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits from the VIE that could be significant. In 2014, the Company reconsidered the entity's status and concluded the entity is no longer a VIE. The Company has a controlling financial interest in this joint venture. Therefore, the Company continues to account for the entity on a consolidated basis in the accompanying consolidated financial statements with the interests of the third party reflected as a noncontrolling interest. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, this joint venture had total assets of

\$92,893 and \$103,407, respectively, and a mortgage note payable of \$47,514 and \$58,000, respectively.  
Louisville Outlet Shoppes, LLC

The Company holds a 65% ownership interest in the joint venture. The Company previously determined that its investment in this joint venture represents an interest in a VIE and that the Company was the primary beneficiary because of its power to direct activities of the joint venture that most significantly impacted the joint venture's economic performance as well as the

138

---



obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits from the VIE that could be significant. In 2014, the Company reconsidered the entity's status and concluded the entity is no longer a VIE. The Company has a controlling financial interest in the joint venture. Therefore, the Company continues to account for the entity on a consolidated basis, with the interests of the third party reflected as a noncontrolling interest. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, this joint venture had total assets of \$76,113 and \$28,112, respectively. This joint venture had a mortgage note payable of \$77,398 and a construction loan with an outstanding balance of \$2,983 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### Kirkwood Mall Mezz, LLC

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company acquired a 49% ownership interest in Kirkwood Mall Mezz, LLC, which owned Kirkwood Mall located in Bismarck, ND. The Company determined that its investment in this joint venture represented an interest in a VIE and that the Company was the primary beneficiary since under the terms of the agreement the Company's equity investment was at risk while the third party had a fixed price for which it would sell its remaining 51% equity interest to the Company. As a result, the joint venture was presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2012 on a consolidated basis, with the interests of the third party reflected as a noncontrolling interest. In accordance with its executed agreement, the Company acquired the remaining 51% interest in April 2013 and assumed \$40,368 of non-recourse debt. Following the Company's acquisition of the noncontrolling interest in April 2013, this joint venture is now wholly-owned, and is no longer a VIE.

#### Gettysburg Outlet Holding, LLC

In the second quarter of 2012, the Company entered into a joint venture, Gettysburg Outlet Center Holding LLC, with a third party to develop, own, and operate The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg. The Company holds a 50% ownership interest in this joint venture. The Company determined that its investment in this joint venture represents an interest in a VIE and that the Company is the primary beneficiary since it has the power to direct activities of the joint venture that most significantly impact the joint venture's economic performance as well as the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits from the VIE that could be significant. As a result, the joint venture is presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 on a consolidated basis, with the interests of the third party reflected as a noncontrolling interest. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, this joint venture had total assets of \$38,988 and \$41,582, respectively, and a mortgage note payable of \$38,659 and \$39,437, respectively.

#### El Paso Outlet Center Holding, LLC

In the second quarter of 2012, the Company entered into a joint venture, El Paso Outlet Center Holding, LLC, with a third party to develop, own, and operate The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso. The Company holds a 75% ownership interest in the joint venture. The Company determined that its investment in this joint venture represents an interest in a VIE and that the Company is the primary beneficiary since it has the power to direct activities of the joint venture that most significantly impact the joint venture's economic performance as well as the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could be significant. As a result, the joint venture is presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 on a consolidated basis, with the interests of the third party reflected as a noncontrolling interest. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, this joint venture had total assets of \$113,166 and \$114,579, respectively, and a mortgage note payable of \$64,497 and \$65,465, respectively.

#### NOTE 9. MINIMUM RENTS

The Company receives rental income by leasing retail shopping center space under operating leases. Future minimum rents are scheduled to be received under non-cancellable tenant leases at December 31, 2014, as follows:

2015	\$620,874
2016	541,639
2017	469,261

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

2018	387,445
2019	322,236
Thereafter	1,133,974
	\$3,475,429

Future minimum rents do not include percentage rents or tenant reimbursements that may become due.

139

---

## NOTE 10. MORTGAGE AND OTHER NOTES RECEIVABLE

Each of the Company's mortgage notes receivable is collateralized by either a first mortgage, a second mortgage or by an assignment of 100% of the partnership interests that own the real estate assets. Other notes receivable include amounts due from tenants or government sponsored districts and unsecured notes received from third parties as whole or partial consideration for property or investments. The Company reviews its mortgage and other notes receivable to determine if the balances are realizable based on factors affecting the collectability of those balances. Factors may include credit quality, timeliness of required periodic payments, past due status and management discussions with obligors. The Company believes that its mortgage and other notes receivable balance is fully collectible as of December 31, 2014.

Mortgage and other notes receivable consist of the following:

	Maturity Date	As of December 31, 2014		As of December 31, 2013	
		Interest Rate	Balance	Interest Rate	Balance
Mortgages:					
Coastal Grand - Myrtle Beach <sup>(1)</sup>	Oct 2014	7.75%	\$—	7.75%	\$9,000
Columbia Place Outparcel <sup>(2)</sup>	Feb 2022	5.00%	360	—%	—
Park Place	May 2022	5.00%	1,566	5.00%	1,738
Village Square <sup>(3)</sup>	Mar 2016	3.50%	1,711	4.50%	2,600
Other	Dec 2016 - Jan 2047	2.67% - 9.50%	5,686	2.67% - 9.50%	5,782
			9,323		19,120
Other Notes Receivable:					
Horizon Group - The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta <sup>(4)</sup>	May 2015	7.00%	—	7.00%	816
RED Development Inc. <sup>(5)</sup>	Nov 2023	5.00%	7,429	5.00%	7,429
Woodstock land <sup>(6)</sup>	Feb 2015	10.00%	3,059	10.00%	3,059
			10,488		11,304
			\$19,811		\$30,424

(1) In the third quarter of 2014, the subordinated notes were paid off in conjunction with the refinancing of the loan, secured by Coastal Grand-Myrtle Beach. See [Note 5](#) for additional information.

(2) In the fourth quarter of 2014, Columbia Joint Venture, a subsidiary of the Company, received a \$360 promissory note in conjunction with the \$400 sale of an outparcel.

(3) In the third quarter of 2014, the mortgage note was modified to extend the maturity date from March 2015 to March 2016 and reduce the interest rate to 3.50%.

(4) In the second quarter of 2013, Mortgage Holdings, LLC, a subsidiary of the Company, entered into a \$2,700 loan agreement with an affiliate of Horizon Group Properties, Inc., the Company's noncontrolling interest partner in The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta. The note was paid off in the third quarter of 2014.

(5) In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company received a \$7,430 promissory note in conjunction with the sale of a land parcel.

(6) In the first quarter of 2013, Woodstock GA Investments, LLC, a joint venture in which the Company owns a 75.0% interest, received \$3,525 of the balance on its \$6,581 note receivable with an entity that owns an interest in land in Woodstock, GA, adjacent to the site of The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta. The loan was made in the second quarter of 2012 and is secured by the entity's interest in the adjacent land. The note receivable was extended from May 2014 to November 2014 in the second quarter of 2014. A second amendment to the note was made in November 2014 to extend the maturity date to February 2015.

## NOTE 11. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company measures performance and allocates resources according to property type, which is determined based on certain criteria such as type of tenants, capital requirements, economic risks, leasing terms, and short- and long-term returns on capital. Rental income and tenant reimbursements from tenant leases provide the majority of revenues from all segments. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in Note 2. Information on the Company's reportable segments is presented as follows:

Year Ended December 31, 2014	Malls	Associated Centers	Community Centers	All Other <sup>(1)</sup>	Total
Revenues	\$933,736	\$41,527	\$18,600	\$66,876	\$1,060,739
Property operating expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	(282,796 )	(9,500 )	(5,260 )	3,659	(293,897 )
Interest expense	(198,758 )	(7,959 )	(2,510 )	(30,597 )	(239,824 )
Other expense	(20 )	—	—	(32,277 )	(32,297 )
Gain on sales of real estate assets	3,537	937	107	761	5,342
Segment profit	\$455,699	\$25,005	\$10,937	\$8,422	500,063
Depreciation and amortization expense					(291,273 )
General and administrative expense					(50,271 )
Interest and other income					14,121
Gain on extinguishment of debt					87,893
Loss on impairment					(17,858 )
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates					14,803
Income tax provision					(4,499 )
Income from continuing operations					\$252,979
Total assets	\$5,662,967	\$274,116	\$282,078	\$397,138	\$6,616,299
Capital expenditures <sup>(3)</sup>	\$198,205	\$17,157	\$3,160	\$99,273	\$317,795
Year Ended December 31, 2013	Malls	Associated Centers	Community Centers	All Other <sup>(1)</sup>	Total
Revenues	\$930,081	\$41,726	\$17,937	\$63,881	\$1,053,625
Property operating expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	(300,172 )	(10,298 )	(3,568 )	17,831	(296,207 )
Interest expense	(206,779 )	(8,148 )	(2,397 )	(14,532 )	(231,856 )
Other expense	—	—	—	(28,826 )	(28,826 )
Gain on sales of real estate assets	295	—	452	1,233	1,980
Segment profit	\$423,425	\$23,280	\$12,424	\$39,587	498,716
Depreciation and amortization expense					(278,911 )
General and administrative expense					(48,867 )
Interest and other income					10,825
Loss on extinguishment of debt					(9,108 )
Loss on impairment					(70,049 )
Gain on investment					2,400
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates					11,616
Income tax provision					(1,305 )
Income from continuing operations					\$115,317
Total assets	\$5,917,437	\$274,234	\$222,576	\$371,724	\$6,785,971
Capital expenditures <sup>(3)</sup>	\$203,210	\$10,718	\$8,052	\$126,803	\$348,783



Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Year Ended December 31, 2012	Malls	Associated Centers	Community Centers	All Other <sup>(1)</sup>	Total
Revenues	\$901,249	\$40,212	\$13,361	\$48,021	\$1,002,843
Property operating expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	(286,919 )	(9,933 )	(3,219 )	23,317	(276,754 )
Interest expense	(214,216 )	(8,449 )	(2,517 )	(17,175 )	(242,357 )
Other expense	(12 )	—	—	(25,066 )	(25,078 )
Gain on sales of real estate assets	1,188	202	608	288	2,286
Segment profit	\$401,290	\$22,032	\$8,233	\$29,385	460,940
Depreciation and amortization expense					(255,460 )
General and administrative expense					(51,251 )
Interest and other income					3,953
Gain on extinguishment of debt					265
Loss on impairment of real estate					(24,379 )
Gain on investment					45,072
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates					8,313
Income tax provision					(1,404 )
Income from continuing operations					\$186,049
Total assets	\$6,213,801	\$302,225	\$203,261	\$370,449	\$7,089,736
Capital expenditures <sup>(3)</sup>	\$608,190	\$6,630	\$13,884	\$76,319	\$705,023

(1) The All Other category includes mortgage and other notes receivable, office buildings, the Management Company and the Company's subsidiary that provides security and maintenance services.

(2) Property operating expenses include property operating, real estate taxes and maintenance and repairs.

(3) Amounts include acquisitions of real estate assets and investments in unconsolidated affiliates. Developments in progress are included in the All Other category.

NOTE 12. SUPPLEMENTAL AND NONCASH INFORMATION

The Company paid cash for interest, net of amounts capitalized, in the amount of \$238,531, \$223,793 and \$233,220 during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company's noncash investing and financing activities for 2014, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
Accrued dividends and distributions payable	\$54,433	\$50,523	\$43,689
Additions to real estate assets accrued but not yet paid	25,332	20,625	22,468
Transfer of real estate assets in settlement of mortgage debt obligations:			
Decrease in real estate assets	(79,398 )	—	—
Decrease in mortgage and other indebtedness	163,998	—	—
Decrease in operating assets and liabilities	4,799	—	—
Reduction to preferred liquidation value of PJV units	—	10,000	—
Discount on issuance of 4.60% Senior Notes due 2024	75	—	—
Discount on issuance of 5.250% Senior Notes due 2023	—	(4,626 )	—
Trade-in allowance - aircraft	—	2,800	—
Note receivable from sale of Lakeshore Mall	10,000	—	—
Notes receivable from sale of land	360	7,430	—
Issuance of noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	—	—	14,000
Conversion of Operating Partnership units to common stock	—	—	59,738
Addition to real estate assets from conversion of note receivable	—	—	4,522

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Assumption of mortgage notes payable in acquisitions	—	—	220,634
Consolidation of joint venture:			
Decrease in investment in unconsolidated affiliates	—	—	(15,643 )
Increase in real estate assets	—	—	111,407
Increase in intangible lease and other assets	—	—	18,426
Increase in mortgage and other indebtedness	—	—	54,169

142

---

#### NOTE 13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Certain executive officers of the Company and members of the immediate family of Charles B. Lebovitz, Chairman of the Board of the Company, collectively have a significant noncontrolling interest in EMJ, a construction company that the Company engaged to build substantially all of the Company's development Properties. The Company paid approximately \$31,398, \$27,106 and \$49,153 to EMJ in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for construction and development activities. The Company had accounts payable to EMJ of \$3,139 and \$2,345 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Certain executive officers of the Company also collectively had a significant noncontrolling interest in Electrical and Mechanical Group, Inc. ("EMG"), a company to which EMJ subcontracted a portion of its services for the Company. EMJ paid approximately \$15 to EMG in 2012 for such subcontracted services. EMG was dissolved in 2012.

The Management Company provides management, development and leasing services to the Company's unconsolidated affiliates and other affiliated partnerships. Revenues recognized for these services amounted to \$9,444, \$7,886 and \$7,531 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### NOTE 14. CONTINGENCIES

##### Litigation

The Company is currently involved in certain litigation that arises in the ordinary course of business, most of which is expected to be covered by liability insurance. Management makes assumptions and estimates concerning the likelihood and amount of any potential loss relating to these matters using the latest information available. The Company records a liability for litigation if an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. If an unfavorable outcome is probable and a reasonable estimate of the loss is a range, the Company accrues the best estimate within the range. If no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, the Company accrues the minimum amount within the range. If an unfavorable outcome is probable but the amount of the loss cannot be reasonably estimated, the Company discloses the nature of the litigation and indicates that an estimate of the loss or range of loss cannot be made. If an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible and the estimated loss is material, the Company discloses the nature and estimate of the possible loss of the litigation. The Company does not disclose information with respect to litigation where an unfavorable outcome is considered to be remote or where the estimated loss would not be material. Based on current expectations, such matters, both individually and in the aggregate, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the liquidity, results of operations, business or financial condition of the Company.

On March 11, 2010, TPD, a subsidiary of the Company, filed the Mississippi Case, against M Hanna, Gallet & Associates, Inc., LA Ash, Inc., EMJ and JEA (f/k/a Jacksonville Electric Authority), seeking damages for alleged property damage and related damages occurring at a shopping center development in D'Iberville, Mississippi. EMJ filed an answer and counterclaim denying liability and seeking to recover from TPD the retainage of approximately \$327 allegedly owed under the construction contract. Kohl's was granted permission to intervene in the Mississippi Case and, on April 13, 2011, filed a cross-claim against TPD alleging that TPD is liable to Kohl's for unspecified damages resulting from the actions of the defendants and for the failure to perform the obligations of TPD under a Site Development Agreement with Kohl's. Kohl's also made a claim against the Company based on the Company's guarantee of the performance of TPD under the Site Development Agreement. In the fourth quarter of 2014, TPD agreed to a resolution of its claims against defendant EMJ. Pursuant to this agreement, TPD received partial settlements aggregating to \$5,970 in the fourth quarter of 2014 from one of EMJ's insurance carriers. Further, EMJ agreed to be responsible for up to a maximum of \$6,600 of future costs incurred by TPD in remediating damages to its shopping center site under certain circumstances as set forth in the agreement, and agreed that such limitation would not apply to its potential responsibility for any future remediation required under applicable environmental laws



(should such claims arise). The claim made by EMJ against the Company has been dismissed, and based on information currently available, the Company believes the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome related to the claims made by Kohl's against the Company in connection with the Mississippi case is remote. The Company provided disclosure of this litigation due to the related party relationship between the Company and EMJ described below. TPD also received partial settlements of \$800 in the first quarter of 2014 and \$8,240 in the third quarter of 2013 from certain of the defendants in the Mississippi Case described above. Litigation continues with the other remaining defendants in the matter. The trial for those remaining claims has been continued from its previously scheduled September 2014 setting. See Note 19 for an additional amount received from EMJ's insurance carrier subsequent to December 31, 2014.

TPD also has filed claims under several insurance policies in connection with this matter, and there are three pending lawsuits relating to insurance coverage. On October 8, 2010, First Mercury filed an action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against M Hanna and TPD seeking a declaratory judgment concerning coverage under a liability insurance policy issued by First Mercury to M Hanna. That case was dismissed for lack of federal jurisdiction and refiled in Texas

state court. On June 13, 2011, TPD filed the Tennessee Case against National Union and EMJ seeking a declaratory judgment regarding coverage under a liability insurance policy issued by National Union to EMJ and recovery of damages arising out of National Union's breach of its obligations. In March 2012, Zurich American and Zurich American of Illinois, which also have issued liability insurance policies to EMJ, intervened in the Tennessee Case and the case was set for trial on October 29, 2013 but, currently, the trial date has been extended while the parties mediate the case. The first mediation session took place on January 14-15, 2014, and the second session took place on March 18-19, 2014. A third session was held on May 22, 2014. On February 14, 2012, TPD filed claims in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi against Factory Mutual Insurance Company and Federal Insurance Company seeking a declaratory judgment concerning coverage under certain builders risk and property insurance policies issued by those respective insurers to the Company. The Tennessee Case was dismissed in September 2014, after a resolution of those claims. The remaining claims are still pending.

Certain executive officers of the Company and members of the immediate family of Charles B. Lebovitz, Chairman of the Board of the Company, collectively have a significant noncontrolling interest in EMJ, a major national construction company that the Company engaged to build a substantial number of the Company's Properties. EMJ is one of the defendants in the Mississippi Case and in the Tennessee Case described above.

#### Environmental Contingencies

The Company evaluates potential loss contingencies related to environmental matters using the same criteria described above related to litigation matters. Based on current information, an unfavorable outcome concerning such environmental matters, both individually and in the aggregate, is considered to be reasonably possible. However, the Company believes its maximum potential exposure to loss would not be material to its results of operations or financial condition. The Company has a master insurance policy that provides coverage through 2022 for certain environmental claims up to \$10,000 per occurrence and up to \$50,000 in the aggregate, subject to deductibles and certain exclusions.

#### Other Contingencies

In September 2013, the Company redeemed all outstanding perpetual PJV units of its joint venture, CWJV with Westfield using borrowings from the Company's lines of credit. The PJV units, originally issued in 2007 as part of the acquisition of four malls in St. Louis, MO by CWJV, were redeemed for \$412,986, which consisted of \$408,577 for the PJV units and \$4,409 for accrued and unpaid preferred returns. In accordance with the joint venture agreement, the redemption amount represented a \$10,000 reduction to the preferred liquidation value of the PJV units of \$418,577. The \$10,000 reduction was recorded as an increase in additional paid-in capital of the Company and as an increase to partners' capital of the Operating Partnership.

Prior to the September 2013 redemption, the terms of the joint venture agreement required that CWJV pay an annual preferred distribution at a rate of 5.0% on the preferred liquidation value of the PJV units of CWJV that were held by Westfield. Westfield had the right to have all or a portion of the PJV units redeemed by CWJV with either cash or property owned by CWJV, in each case for a net equity amount equal to the preferred liquidation value of the PJV units. At any time after January 1, 2013, Westfield could propose that CWJV acquire certain qualifying property that would be used to redeem the PJV units at their preferred liquidation value. If CWJV did not redeem the PJV units with such qualifying property, then the annual preferred distribution rate on the PJV units would increase to 9.0% beginning July 1, 2013. The Company had the right, but not the obligation, to offer to redeem the PJV units from January 31, 2013 through January 31, 2015 at their preferred liquidation value, plus accrued and unpaid distributions. The Company amended the joint venture agreement with Westfield in September 2012 to provide that, if the Company exercised its right to offer to redeem the PJV units on or before August 1, 2013, then the preferred liquidation value would be reduced by \$10,000 so long as Westfield did not reject the offer and the redemption closed on or before September 30, 2013.

#### Guarantees

The Company may guarantee the debt of a joint venture primarily because it allows the joint venture to obtain funding at a lower cost than could be obtained otherwise. This results in a higher return for the joint venture on its investment,

and a higher return on the Company's investment in the joint venture. The Company may receive a fee from the joint venture for providing the guaranty. Additionally, when the Company issues a guaranty, the terms of the joint venture agreement typically provide that the Company may receive indemnification from the joint venture or have the ability to increase its ownership interest. The guarantees expire upon repayment of the debt, unless noted otherwise.

144

---

The following table represents the Operating Partnership's guarantees of unconsolidated affiliates' debt as reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

Unconsolidated Affiliate	As of December 31, 2014						Obligation recorded to reflect guaranty	
	Company's Ownership Interest	Outstanding Balance	Percentage Guaranteed by the Company	Maximum Guaranteed Amount	Debt Maturity Date <sup>(1)</sup>	12/31/14	12/31/13	
West Melbourne I, LLC - Phase I	50%	\$40,243	25%	\$10,061	Nov-2015 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$101	\$65	
West Melbourne I, LLC - Phase II	50%	13,579	N/A <sup>(3)</sup>	8,700	Nov-2015 <sup>(2)</sup>	87	65	
Port Orange I, LLC	50%	60,814	25%	15,204	Nov-2015 <sup>(2)</sup>	153	157	
JG Gulf Coast Town Center LLC - Phase III	50%	5,694	100%	5,694	Jul-2015	—	—	
Fremaux Town Center JV, LLC - Phase I	65%	41,648	50% <sup>(4)</sup>	21,789	Aug-2016 <sup>(5)</sup>	236	460	
Fremaux Town Center JV, LLC - Phase II	65%	4,041	50% <sup>(6)</sup>	16,050	Aug-2016 <sup>(5)</sup>	161	—	
Ambassador Town Center JV, LLC	65%	715	100% <sup>(7)</sup>	48,200	Dec-2017 <sup>(8)</sup>	482	—	
Ambassador Infrastructure, LLC	65%	725	100% <sup>(9)</sup>	11,700	Dec-2017 <sup>(8)</sup>	177	—	
Total guaranty liability						\$1,397	\$747	

(1) Excludes any extension options.

(2) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the unconsolidated affiliate's election, for an outside maturity date of November 2017.

The guaranty was reduced from 100% to 25% in the third quarter of 2014 when Carmike Cinema became operational in the third quarter of 2014. In the fourth quarter of 2014, the loan was amended and restated to add

(3) funding for the construction of Academy Sports. The guaranty was also amended to cap the maximum guaranteed amount at \$8,700 unless a monetary default event occurs related to Carmike Cinema or Academy Sports. The guaranty will be reduced to 25% once Academy Sports is operational and paying contractual rent.

The Company received a 1% fee for this guaranty when the loan was issued in March 2013. In the first quarter of 2014, the loan was modified and extended to increase the capacity to \$47,291, which increased the maximum

(4) guaranteed amount. The loan was amended and modified in August 2014 to reduce the guaranty from 100% to 50%. The guaranty will be reduced to 25% upon the opening of LA Fitness and payment of contractual rent. The guaranty will be further reduced to 15% when Phase I of the development has been open for one year and the debt service coverage ratio of 1.30 to 1.00 is met.

(5) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are at the unconsolidated affiliate's election, for an outside maturity date of August 2018.

The Company received a 1% fee for this guaranty when the loan was issued in August 2014. The guaranty was

(6) reduced to 50% upon the land closing with Dillard's in the fourth quarter of 2014. Upon completion of Phase II of the development and once certain leasing and occupancy metrics have been met, the guaranty will be 25%. The guaranty will be further reduced to 15% when Phase II of the development has been open for one year, the debt service coverage ratio of 1.30 to 1.00 is met and Dillard's is operational.

(7) The Company received a 1% fee for this guaranty when the loan was issued in December 2014. Once construction is complete the guaranty will be reduced to 50%. The guaranty will be further reduced from 50% to 15% once the

construction of Ambassador Town Center and its related infrastructure improvements is complete as well as upon the attainment of certain debt service and operational metrics.

(8) The loan has two one-year extension options, which are the joint venture's election, for an outside maturity date of December 2019.

The Company received a 1% fee for this guaranty when the loan was issued in December 2014. The guaranty will be reduced to 50% on March 1st of the year following any calendar year during which the PILOT payments (9) received by Ambassador Infrastructure and delivered to the lender are \$1,200 or more, provided no event of default exists. The guaranty will be reduced to 20% when the PILOT payments are \$1,400 or more, provided no event of default exists.

The Company has guaranteed the lease performance of YTC, an unconsolidated affiliate in which it owns a 50% interest, under the terms of an agreement with a third party that owns property as part of York Town Center. Under the terms of that agreement, YTC is obligated to cause performance of the third party's obligations as landlord under its lease with its sole tenant, including, but not limited to, provisions such as co-tenancy and exclusivity requirements. Should YTC fail to cause performance, then the tenant under the third party landlord's lease may pursue certain remedies ranging from rights to terminate its lease to receiving reductions in rent. The Company has guaranteed YTC's performance under this agreement up to a maximum of \$22,000, which decreases by \$800 annually until the guaranteed amount is reduced to \$10,000. The guaranty expires on December 31, 2020. The maximum guaranteed obligation was \$15,600 as of December 31, 2014. The Company entered into an agreement with its joint venture partner under which the joint venture partner has agreed to reimburse the Company 50% of any amounts it is obligated to fund under the guaranty. The Company did not record an obligation for this guaranty because it determined that the fair value of the guaranty was not material as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

The Company owned a parcel of land in Lee's Summit, MO that it ground leased to a third party development company that developed and operates a shopping center on the land parcel. The Company had guaranteed 27% of the third party's loans of which the maximum guaranteed amount represented 27% of the loans' capacity. In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company sold the land parcel to the third party development company for \$22,430. The Company received \$15,000 in cash and a promissory note of \$7,430 from the third party development company's parent. See [Note 10](#) for additional information about the note receivable. In conjunction with the land sale, the Company's ground lease with the third party development company terminated, releasing the Company from its 27% guaranty, and the Company removed the \$192 obligation from its consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013.

#### Performance Bonds

The Company has issued various bonds that it would have to satisfy in the event of non-performance. The total amount outstanding on these bonds was \$20,720 and \$23,513 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### Ground Leases

The Company is the lessee of land at certain of its Properties under long-term operating leases, which include scheduled increases in minimum rents. The Company recognizes these scheduled rent increases on a straight-line basis over the initial lease terms. Most leases have initial terms of at least 20 years and contain one or more renewal options, generally for a minimum of 5- or 10-year periods. Lease expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$1,290, \$1,371 and \$1,169, respectively.

The future obligations under these operating leases at December 31, 2014, are as follows:

2015	\$859
2016	877
2017	885
2018	894
2019	903
Thereafter	27,810
	\$32,228

#### NOTE 15. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company has categorized its financial assets and financial liabilities that are recorded at fair value into a hierarchy in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 820, Fair Value Measurements and

Disclosure, ("ASC 820") based on whether the inputs to valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. The fair value hierarchy contains three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value as follows:  
 Level 1 – Inputs represent quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities as of the measurement date.  
 Level 2 – Inputs, other than those included in Level 1, represent observable measurements for similar instruments in active markets, or identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and observable measurements or market data for instruments with substantially the full term of the asset or liability.  
 Level 3 – Inputs represent unobservable measurements, supported by little, if any, market activity, and require considerable assumptions that are significant to the fair value of the asset or liability. Market valuations must often be determined using discounted cash flow methodologies, pricing models or similar techniques based on the Company's assumptions and best judgment.

The asset or liability's fair value within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Under ASC 820, fair value measurements are determined based on the assumptions that market

participants would use in pricing the asset or liability in an orderly transaction at the measurement date. Valuation techniques used maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs and consider assumptions such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions and risk of nonperformance.

#### Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis

The following tables set forth information regarding the Company's financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Fair Value at December 31, 2014	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets:</b>				
Available-for-sale securities	\$20,512	\$20,512	\$—	\$—
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Interest rate swaps	\$2,226	\$—	\$2,226	\$—
	Fair Value at December 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets:</b>				
Available-for-sale securities	\$13,973	\$13,973	\$—	\$—
Interest rate cap	—	—	—	—
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Interest rate swaps	\$4,007	\$—	\$4,007	\$—

The Company recognizes transfers in and out of every level at the end of each reporting period. There were no transfers between Levels 1, 2 or 3 during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Intangible lease assets and other assets in the consolidated balance sheets include marketable securities consisting of corporate equity securities and bonds that are classified as available-for-sale. Net unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities that are deemed to be temporary in nature are recorded as a component of AOCI in redeemable noncontrolling interests, shareholders' equity and partners' capital, and noncontrolling interests. The Company did not recognize any realized gains or losses related to sales of marketable securities during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The Company recognized realized gains of \$224 related to sales of marketable securities during the year ended December 31, 2012. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not recognize any write-downs for other-than-temporary impairments. The fair values of the Company's available-for-sale securities are based on quoted market prices and are classified under Level 1. See [Note 2](#) for a

summary of the available-for-sale securities held by the Company.

The Company uses interest rate swaps and caps to mitigate the effect of interest rate movements on its variable-rate debt. The Company had four interest rate swaps as of December 31, 2014 and four interest rate swaps and one interest rate cap as of December 31, 2013, that qualify as hedging instruments and are designated as cash flow hedges. The interest rate cap is included in intangible lease assets and other assets and the interest rate swaps are reflected in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The swaps and cap have predominantly met the effectiveness test criteria since inception and changes in their fair values are, thus, primarily reported in OCI/L and are reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged item affects earnings. The fair values of the Company's interest rate hedges, classified under Level 2, are determined based on prevailing market data for contracts with matching durations, current and anticipated LIBOR information, consideration of the Company's credit standing, credit risk of the counterparties and reasonable estimates about relevant future market conditions. See Notes 2 and 6 for additional information regarding the Company's interest rate hedging instruments.

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are reasonable estimates of their fair values because of the short-term nature of these financial instruments. Based on the interest rates for similar financial instruments, the carrying value of mortgage and other notes receivable is a reasonable estimate of fair value. The estimated



fair value of mortgage and other indebtedness was \$4,947,026 and \$5,126,300 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The fair value was calculated using Level 2 inputs by discounting future cash flows for mortgage and other indebtedness using estimated market rates at which similar loans would be made currently. The carrying amount of mortgage and other indebtedness was \$4,700,460 and \$4,857,523 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### Fair Value Measurements on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Company measures the fair value of certain long-lived assets on a nonrecurring basis, through quarterly impairment testing or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. The Company considers both quantitative and qualitative factors in its impairment analysis of long-lived assets. Significant quantitative factors include historical and forecasted information for each Property such as NOI, occupancy statistics and sales levels. Significant qualitative factors used include market conditions, age and condition of the Property and tenant mix. Due to the significant unobservable estimates and assumptions used in the valuation of long-lived assets that experience impairment, the Company classifies such long-lived assets under Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value analysis as of December 31, 2014 used various probability-weighted scenarios comparing each Property's net book value to the sum of its estimated fair value. Assumptions included up to a 10-year holding period with a sale at the end of the holding period, capitalization rates ranging from 10% to 12% and an estimated sales cost of 1%. See [Note 2](#) for additional information describing the Company's impairment review process.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's assets that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and related impairment charges for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using				Total Losses
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
2014:					
Long-lived assets	\$69,103	\$—	\$—	\$69,103	\$17,753
2013:					
Long-lived assets	\$31,900	\$—	\$—	\$31,900	\$67,665

#### Long-lived Assets Measured at Fair Value in 2014

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company wrote down three properties to their estimated fair values. These properties were Chapel Hill Mall, Lakeshore Mall and Pemberton Plaza. All three of these properties were disposed of as of December 31, 2014 as described below.

In accordance with the Company's quarterly impairment review process, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment of real estate of \$12,050 in the first quarter of 2014 related to Chapel Hill Mall, located in Akron, OH, to write-down the depreciated book value to its estimated fair value of \$53,348 as of March 31, 2014. The mall had experienced declining cash flows which were insufficient to cover the debt service on the mortgage secured by the property and the non-recourse loan was in default. In the third quarter of 2014, the Company conveyed Chapel Hill Mall to the lender by a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. See [Note 4](#) and [Note 6](#) for additional information.

The Company recognized a non-cash impairment of real estate of \$5,100 in the first quarter of 2014 when it adjusted the book value of Lakeshore Mall, located in Sebring, FL, to its estimated fair value of \$13,780 based on a binding purchase agreement signed in April 2014. The sale closed in May 2014 and the Company recognized an impairment loss of \$106 in the second quarter of 2014 as a result of additional closing costs. See [Note 4](#) for further information on this sale.

In the third quarter of 2014, the Company recognized an impairment loss of \$497 to write down the book value of Pemberton Plaza, a community center located in Vicksburg, MS, to its sales price. See [Note 4](#) for further information on this sale.



A reconciliation of each Property's carrying values for the year ended December 31, 2014 is as follows:

	Chapel Hill Mall <sup>(1)</sup>	Lakeshore Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	Pemberton Plaza <sup>(3)</sup>	Total
Beginning carrying value, January 1, 2014	\$66,120	\$19,127	\$2,541	\$87,788
Capital expenditures	—	12	31	43
Disposals	(33 )	—	(125 )	(158 )
Depreciation expense	(1,809 )	(320 )	(64 )	(2,193 )
Net sales proceeds	—	(13,613 )	(1,886 )	(15,499 )
Other	(1,961 )	—	—	(1,961 )
Non-recourse debt	(68,563 )	—	—	(68,563 )
Loss on impairment of real estate	(12,050 )	(5,206 )	(497 )	(17,753 )
Gain on extinguishment of debt	18,296	—	—	18,296
Ending carrying value, December 31, 2014	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—

(1)The revenues of Chapel Hill Mall accounted for approximately 0.4% of total consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014.

(2)The revenues of Lakeshore Mall accounted for approximately 0.2% of total consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014.

(3)The revenues of Pemberton Plaza accounted for approximately 0.0% of total consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014.

#### Long-lived Assets Measured at Fair Value in 2013

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company wrote down two Properties to their estimated fair value. As part of the Company's quarterly impairment review process, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment of real estate of \$47,212 in the fourth quarter of 2013 to write-down the depreciated book value of Madison Square Mall, located in Huntsville, AL, from \$55,212 to an estimated fair value of \$8,000 as of December 31, 2013. Additionally, in accordance with the Company's quarterly impairment review process, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment of real estate of \$20,453 in the second quarter of 2013 related to Citadel Mall, located in Charleston, SC, to write-down the depreciated book value of \$44,353 to its estimated fair value of \$23,900 as of June 30, 2013. The Mall experienced declining cash flows which were insufficient to cover the debt service on the mortgage secured by the Property. See Note 4 for information on the foreclosure of Citadel Mall in the first quarter of 2014.

A reconciliation of each Property's carrying values for the year ended December 31, 2013 is as follows:

	Madison Square <sup>(1)</sup>	Citadel Mall <sup>(2)</sup>	Total
Beginning carrying value, January 1, 2013	\$57,231	\$45,178	\$102,409
Capital expenditures	5	262	267
Depreciation expense	(2,024 )	(1,380 )	(3,404 )
Loss on impairment of real estate	(47,212 )	(20,453 )	(67,665 )
Ending carrying value, December 31, 2013	\$8,000	\$23,607	\$31,607

(1) The revenues of Madison Square accounted for approximately 0.7% of total consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013.

(2) The revenues of Citadel Mall accounted for approximately 0.6% of total consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Other Impairment Losses

2014

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded an impairment of real estate of \$105 related to the sale an outparcel for total net proceeds after sales costs of \$176, which was less than its total carrying amount of \$281.

2013

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recorded an impairment of real estate of \$1,799 related to the sale of an outparcel that was sold for net proceeds after sales costs of \$4,292, which was less than its carrying amount of \$6,091. Additionally, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment of \$585 to write-down the depreciated book value of the corporate airplane owned by the Management Company to its fair value at its trade-in date.

148

---

2012

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded an impairment of real estate of \$1,064 related to the sale of three outparcels for total net proceeds after sales costs of \$1,186, which were less than their total carrying amounts of \$2,250. Additionally, during 2012, the Company recorded write-downs related to two Properties. In conjunction with the Company's acquisition of the remaining 40.0% interest in Imperial Valley Commons L.P., a joint venture in which the Company held a 60.0% ownership interest, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment of real estate of \$20,315 in the fourth quarter of 2012 to write-down the book value of vacant land available for the future expansion of Imperial Valley Commons, located in El Centro, CA, from \$25,645 to its estimated fair value of \$5,330. Development of this asset was negatively impacted by economic conditions and other competition in the market area that affected pre-development leasing activity. Additionally, in the third quarter of 2012, in accordance with the Company's quarterly impairment review process, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment of real estate of \$3,000 related to The Courtyard at Hickory Hollow, an associated center located in Antioch, TN, to write-down the depreciated book value as of September 30, 2012 from \$5,843 to an estimated fair value of \$2,843 as of the same date.

#### NOTE 16. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

As of December 31, 2014, there were two share-based compensation plans under which the Company has outstanding awards, the 2012 Plan and the 1993 Plan, as defined below. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee") administers the plans. The Company can elect to make new awards under one of these plans, the CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. 2012 Stock Incentive Plan ("the 2012 Plan"), which was approved by the Company's shareholders in May 2012. The 2012 Plan permits the Company to issue stock options and common stock to selected officers, employees and non-employee directors of the Company up to a total of 10,400,000 shares. The Company did not issue any new awards under the CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. Second Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan ("the 1993 Plan"), which was approved by the Company's shareholders in May 2003, between the adoption of the 2012 Plan to replace the 1993 Plan in May 2012 and the termination of the 1993 Plan (as to new awards) on May 5, 2013. As the primary operating subsidiary of the Company, the Operating Partnership participates in and bears the compensation expense associated with the Company's share-based compensation plans.

The share-based compensation cost that was charged against income for the plans was \$3,442, \$2,682 and \$3,704 for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Share-based compensation cost resulting from share-based awards is recorded at the Management Company, which is a taxable entity. Share-based compensation cost capitalized as part of real estate assets was \$268, \$202 and \$128 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### Stock Options

Stock options issued under the plans allow for the purchase of common stock at the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. Stock options granted to officers and employees vest and become exercisable in equal installments on each of the first five anniversaries of the date of grant and expire 10 years after the date of grant. Stock options granted to independent directors are fully vested upon grant; however, the independent directors may not sell, pledge or otherwise transfer their stock options during their board term or for one year thereafter. No stock options have been granted since 2002.

There was no activity related to stock options in 2014 and 2013 as all outstanding options were either exercised or canceled during 2012. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2012 was \$177.

#### Stock Awards

Under the plans, common stock may be awarded either alone, in addition to, or in tandem with other stock awards granted under the plans. The Committee has the authority to determine eligible persons to whom common stock will

be awarded, the number of shares to be awarded and the duration of the vesting period, as defined. Generally, an award of common stock vests either immediately at grant, in equal installments over a period of five years or in one installment at the end of periods up to five years. Stock awarded to independent directors is fully vested upon grant; however, the independent directors may not transfer such shares during their board term. The Committee may also provide for the issuance of common stock under the plans on a deferred basis pursuant to deferred compensation arrangements. The fair value of common stock awarded under the plans is determined based on the market price of the Company's common stock on the grant date and the related compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock awards as of December 31, 2014, and changes during the year ended December 31, 2014, is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2014	478,216	\$ 18.72
Granted	236,450	\$ 17.11
Vested	(199,314)	) \$ 17.76
Forfeited	(16,490)	) \$ 18.58
Nonvested at December 31, 2014	498,862	\$ 18.35

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of shares granted during 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$17.11, \$20.17 and \$19.09, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$3,484, \$4,305 and \$4,573, respectively.

As of December 31, 2014, there was \$7,333 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock awards granted under the plans, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.4 years. In February 2015, the Company granted 189,035 shares of restricted stock to its employees that will vest over the next five years and 53,375 shares that vested immediately for those employees who were over 70 years of age.

#### NOTE 17. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

##### 401(k) Plan

The Management Company maintains a 401(k) profit sharing plan, which is qualified under Section 401(a) and Section 401(k) of the Code to cover employees of the Management Company. All employees who have attained the age of 21 and have completed at least 60 days of service are eligible to participate in the plan. The plan provides for employer matching contributions on behalf of each participant equal to 50% of the portion of such participant's contribution that does not exceed 2.5% of such participant's compensation for the plan year. Additionally, the Management Company has the discretion to make additional profit-sharing-type contributions not related to participant elective contributions. Total contributions by the Management Company were \$928, \$933 and \$929 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

##### Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company maintains an employee stock purchase plan that allows eligible employees to acquire shares of the Company's common stock in the open market without incurring brokerage or transaction fees. Under the plan, eligible employees make payroll deductions that are used to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. The shares are purchased at the prevailing market price of the stock at the time of purchase.

##### Deferred Compensation Arrangements

The Company has entered into an agreement with an officer that allows the officer to defer receipt of selected salary increases and/or bonus compensation for periods ranging from 5 to 10 years. The deferred compensation arrangement provides that bonus compensation is deferred in the form of a note payable to the officer. Interest accumulates on these notes at 5.0%. When an arrangement terminates, the note payable plus accrued interest is paid to the officer in cash. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had notes payable, including accrued interest, of \$39 and \$169,

respectively, related to this arrangement.

150

---



## NOTE 18. QUARTERLY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Year Ended December 31, 2014	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
Total revenues	\$261,243	\$256,933	\$258,714	\$283,849	\$1,060,739
Income from operations <sup>(1)</sup>	76,169	97,253	97,386	104,335	375,143
Income from continuing operations <sup>(2)</sup>	64,292	44,077	57,204	87,406	252,979
Discontinued operations	(516 )	48	76	446	54
Net income	63,776	44,125	57,280	87,852	253,033
Net income attributable to the Company	55,294	37,958	49,342	76,556	219,150
Net income attributable to common shareholders	44,071	26,735	38,119	65,333	174,258
Basic per share data attributable to common shareholders:					
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$0.26	\$0.16	\$0.22	\$0.38	\$1.02
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$0.26	\$0.16	\$0.22	\$0.38	\$1.02
Diluted per share data attributable to common shareholders:					
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$0.26	\$0.16	\$0.22	\$0.38	\$1.02
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$0.26	\$0.16	\$0.22	\$0.38	\$1.02
Year Ended December 31, 2013	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total <sup>(3)</sup>
Total revenues	\$258,482	\$255,584	\$257,550	\$282,009	\$1,053,625
Income from operations <sup>(4)</sup>	93,607	77,081	97,709	62,368	330,765
Income from continuing operations <sup>(5)</sup>	37,845	16,255	52,234	8,983	115,317
Discontinued operations	2,040	1,984	(8,057 )	(914 )	(4,947 )
Net income	39,885	18,239	44,177	8,069	110,370
Net income attributable to the Company	30,313	11,724	34,324	8,843	85,204
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	19,090	501	23,101	(2,380 )	40,312
Basic per share data attributable to common shareholders:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$0.11	\$(0.01 )	\$0.18	\$(0.01 )	\$0.27
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$0.12	\$0.00	\$0.14	\$(0.01 )	\$0.24
Diluted per share data attributable to common shareholders:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of preferred dividends	\$0.11	\$(0.01 )	\$0.18	\$(0.01 )	\$0.27
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$0.12	\$0.00	\$0.14	\$(0.01 )	\$0.24

(1) Income from operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 includes a \$17,150 loss on impairment of real estate related to Chapel Hill Mall and Lakeshore Mall (see Note 4 and Note 15).

Income from continuing operations for the quarters ended March 31, 2014, September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2014 includes a \$43,932, \$18,296 and \$27,171 gain on extinguishment of debt related to Citadel Mall, Chapel Hill Mall and Columbia Place, respectively (See Note 4 and Note 6).

(3) The sum of quarterly EPS may differ from annual EPS due to rounding.

(4) Income from operations for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2013 includes a \$20,453 and \$47,212 loss on impairment of real estate related to Citadel Mall and Madison Square, respectively (see Note 15).

(5)

Income from continuing operations for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 includes a \$9,108 loss on extinguishment of debt, which was primarily due to a \$8,708 prepayment fee, and a \$2,400 gain on investment related to the repayment by Jinsheng of a note receivable (see Note 6 and Note 15). Income from continuing operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 includes a partial litigation settlement of \$8,240 (see Note 14).

NOTE 19. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In January 2015, the Company modified the terms of its \$50,000 unsecured term loan to reduce the interest rate from a spread of LIBOR plus 190 basis points to LIBOR plus 155 basis points.

In January 2015, TPD received \$4,875 as a partial legal settlement from one of EMJ's insurance carriers pursuant to an agreement described in Note 14.

The Company realized a gain of approximately \$16,560 on the sale of all of its available-for-sale securities in January 2015.

## Schedule II

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.  
 CBL & ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
 VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS  
 (In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Tenant receivables - allowance for doubtful accounts:			
Balance, beginning of year	\$2,379	\$1,977	\$1,760
Additions in allowance charged to expense	2,643	1,253	798
Bad debts charged against allowance	(2,654	) (851	) (581
Balance, end of year	\$2,368	\$2,379	\$1,977
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Other receivables - allowance for doubtful accounts:			
Balance, beginning of year	\$1,241	\$1,270	\$1,400
Additions in allowance charged to expense	3,689	—	—
Bad debts charged against allowance	(3,645	) (29	) (130
Balance, end of year	\$1,285	\$1,241	\$1,270

## SCHEDULE III

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

At December 31, 2014

(In thousands)

Description /Location	Initial Cost <sup>(1)</sup>		Gross Amounts at Which Carried at Close of Period					Accumulated Depreciation <sup>(4)</sup>	Date of Construction / Acquisition		
	Encumbrances <sup>(2)</sup>	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Sales of Land, Outparcel Land	Buildings and Improvements	Total <sup>(3)</sup>				
MALLS:											
Acadiana Mall, Lafayette, LA	\$132,068	\$22,511	\$145,769	\$13,633	\$—	\$19,919	\$161,994	\$181,913	\$(64,670)	2005	
Alamance Crossing, Burlington, NC	48,660	20,853	63,105	38,372	(2)808,050	101,477	119,527	(23,120)	)	2007	
Arbor Place, Douglasville, GA	117,496	7,862	95,330	25,161	—	7,862	120,491	128,353	(53,004)	)	1998-1999
Asheville Mall, Asheville, NC	73,260	7,139	58,747	52,846	(8)5,334	111,593	117,927	(43,955)	)	1998	
Bonita Lakes Mall, Meridian, MS	—	4,924	31,933	6,964	(9)34,924	37,912	42,836	(17,624)	)	1997	
Brookfield Square, Brookfield, WI	87,816	8,996	84,250	47,966	(1)89,170	132,024	141,194	(51,316)	)	2001	
Burnsville Center, Burnsville, MN	75,752	12,804	71,355	58,800	(1)156,102	125,700	141,802	(48,146)	)	1998	
Cary Towne Center, Cary, NC	51,250	23,688	74,432	27,986	—	23,701	102,405	126,106	(35,729)	)	2001
CherryVale Mall, Rockford, IL	78,280	11,892	63,973	56,227	(1)667,608	118,817	130,425	(39,588)	)	2001	
Chesterfield Mall, Chesterfield, MO	140,000	11,083	282,140	2,407	—	11,083	284,547	295,630	(64,738)	)	2007
College Square, Morristown, TN	—	2,954	17,787	25,998	(8)2,866	43,785	46,651	(20,195)	)	1987-1988	
Cross Creek Mall, Fayetteville, NC	130,600	19,155	104,353	32,973	—	20,169	136,312	156,481	(37,883)	)	2003

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Dakota Square Mall, Minot, ND	56,705	4,552	87,625	2,972	—	4,552	90,597	95,149	(7,290 )	2012
Eastland Mall, Bloomington, IL	59,400	5,746	75,893	7,741	(753,304)	83,323	88,627	(27,256 )	2005	
East Towne Mall, Madison, WI	66,772	4,496	63,867	45,386	(366,130)	109,253	113,383	(38,587 )	2002	
EastGate Mall, Cincinnati, OH	39,852	13,046	44,949	27,097	(1012,029)	72,046	84,075	(26,052 )	2001	
Fashion Square, Saginaw, MI	39,736	15,218	64,970	11,273	—	15,218	76,243	91,461	(28,246 )	2001
Fayette Mall, Lexington, KY	171,192	25,194	84,267	98,831	11	25,205	183,098	208,303	(45,714 )	2001
Frontier Mall, Cheyenne, WY	—	2,681	15,858	19,810	—	2,681	35,668	38,349	(20,329 )	1984-1985
Foothills Mall, Maryville, TN	—	5,558	25,244	11,992	—	5,558	37,236	42,794	(23,234 )	1996
Greenbrier Mall, Chesapeake, VA	73,907	3,181	107,355	13,301	(622,555)	120,656	123,211	(33,545 )	2004	
Hamilton Place, Chattanooga, TN	101,624	3,532	42,623	40,715	(443,091)	83,338	86,429	(44,607 )	1986-1987	
Hanes Mall, Winston-Salem, NC	151,584	17,176	133,376	46,402	(948,6,808)	179,198	196,006	(62,398 )	2001	
Harford Mall, Bel Air, MD	—	8,699	45,704	21,495	—	8,699	67,199	75,898	(21,441 )	2003
Hickory Point, Forsyth, IL	28,338	10,731	31,728	16,208	(2930,439)	47,935	58,374	(16,593 )	2005	
Honey Creek Mall, Terre Haute, IN	28,978	3,108	83,358	13,055	—	3,108	96,413	99,521	(28,022 )	2004
Imperial Valley Mall, El Centro, CA	49,945	35,378	70,549	170	—	35,378	70,719	106,097	(4,910 )	2012
Janesville Mall, Janesville, WI	—	8,074	26,009	8,190	—	8,074	34,199	42,273	(14,164 )	1998
Jefferson Mall, Louisville, KY	68,470	13,125	40,234	24,578	(5212,604)	64,812	77,416	(22,693 )	2001	
Kirkwood Mall, Bismarck ND	39,196	3,368	118,945	1,577	—	3,368	120,522	123,890	(7,713 )	2012
The Lakes Mall, Muskegon, MI	—	3,328	42,366	11,330	—	3,328	53,696	57,024	(23,387 )	2000-2001
Laurel Park Place, Livonia, MI	—	13,289	92,579	10,983	—	13,289	103,562	116,851	(36,139 )	2005
Layton Hills Mall, Layton, UT	94,383	20,464	99,836	13,187	(27520,189)	113,023	133,212	(35,589 )	2005	



## SCHEDULE III

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

At December 31, 2014

(In thousands)

Description /Location	Encumbrances (2)	Initial Cost <sup>(1)</sup>			Gross Amounts at Which Carried at Close of Period			Accumulated Depreciation (4)	Date of Construction / Acquisition	
		Land Improvements	Buildings and Improvements	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land and Improvements	Buildings and Improvements	Total (3)			
Madison Square, Huntsville, AL	—	17,590	186	(48,797)	—	2,550	5,435	7,985	(289 )	1984
Mall del Norte, Laredo, TX	—	21,734	42,049	49,058	—	21,734	91,107	212,846	(65,256)	2004
Meridian Mall, Lansing, MI	—	529	103,678	72,085	—	2,232	174,060	176,297	(71,072)	1998
Midland Mall, Midland, MI	33,179	10,322	9,429	10,817	—	10,324	10,246	50,567	(15,817)	2001
Mid Rivers Mall, St. Peters, MO	—	16,384	70,582	13,846	—	16,384	84,428	200,814	(43,296)	2007
Monroeville Mall, Pittsburgh, PA	—	22,195	77,214	68,866	—	24,712	643,559	268,276	(63,177)	2004
Northgate Mall, Chattanooga, TN	—	2,330	8,960	19,734	—	2,330	28,694	31,024	(2,562 )	2011
Northpark Mall, Joplin, MO	—	9,977	65,481	39,750	—	10,960	104,246	115,208	(84,289)	2004
Northwoods Mall, North Charleston, SC	70,194	14,864	9,647	22,298	(2,339)	12,528	1,945	84,473	(24,569)	2001
Old Hickory Mall, Jackson, TN	—	15,522	9,413	8,219	—	15,527	7,632	53,159	(14,033)	2001
The Outlet Shoppes at Atlanta, Woodstock, GA	79,149	8,598	6,640	(37,801)	—	8,598	58,839	67,437	(4,788 )	2013
The Outlet Shoppes at El Paso, El Paso, TX	69,566	9,239	6,640	11,416	—	9,463	107,832	117,296	(10,213)	2012
The Outlet Shoppes at Gettysburg, Gettysburg, PA	38,659	20,952	2,180	744	—	20,952	2,924	43,877	(3,119 )	2012
The Outlet Shoppes at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma City, OK	65,051	8,364	50,268	14,015	—	7,795	64,852	72,647	(14,314)	2011
The Outlet Shoppes of the Bluegrass, Simpsonville, KY	77,398	3,146	63,365	282	—	3,146	63,647	66,793	(1,190 )	2014

## Edgar Filing: CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Parkdale Mall, Beaumont, TX	87,961	23,850,390	52,786	(307 )	23,540,175	123,718,015	2001
Park Plaza Mall, Little Rock, AR	91,643	6,298,638	35,895	—	6,304,526	123,830,871	2004
Parkway Place, Huntsville, AL	38,567	6,364,067	4,189	—	6,367,256	77,620,961	2010
Pearland Town Center, Pearland, TX	—	16,300,615	13,743	(366 )	15,442,849	138,292,011	2008
Post Oak Mall, College Station, TX	—	3,936,948	13,105	(327 )	3,608,054	65,662,448	1984-1985
Randolph Mall, Asheboro, NC	—	4,547,927	12,000	—	4,547,927	30,474,919	2001
Regency Mall, Racine, WI	—	3,384,839	15,355	—	4,245,334	55,578,193	2001
Richland Mall, Waco, TX	—	9,874,793	16,046	—	9,885,826	60,713,084	2002
River Ridge Mall, Lynchburg, VA	—	4,825,052	12,100	(252 )	4,577,152	75,724,112	2003
South County Center, St. Louis, MO	—	15,755,249	15,165	—	15,757,414	190,168,006	2007
Southaven Towne Center, Southaven, MS	40,023	8,252,380	13,187	—	8,478,344	50,822,741	2005
Southpark Mall, Colonial Heights, VA	64,486	9,507,262	33,585	—	11,280,066	116,348,929	2003
Stroud Mall, Stroudsburg, PA	31,960	14,712,936	20,734	—	14,744,670	59,381,768	1998
St. Clair Square, Fairview Heights, IL	—	11,027,620	33,955	—	11,027,575	120,604,327	1996
Sunrise Mall, Brownsville, TX	—	11,150,047	(2,154)	—	11,156,893	68,049,906	2003
Turtle Creek Mall , Hattiesburg, MS	—	2,342,418	18,820	—	3,534,048	47,583,695	1993-1995
Valley View Mall, Roanoke, VA	59,688	15,987,771	20,191	—	15,997,948	113,947,491	2003
Volusia Mall, Daytona Beach, FL	49,849	2,526,242	23,156	—	6,431,39,493	145,924,6,470	2004



## SCHEDULE III

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

At December 31, 2014

(In thousands)

Description /Location	Encumbrances	Initial Cost <sup>(1)</sup>				Gross Amounts at Which Carried at Close of Period			Accumulated Depreciation <sup>(4)</sup>	Date of Construction / Acquisition
		Land	Buildings and Improvements	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Sales of Outparcel Land	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Total <sup>(3)</sup>		
Walnut Square, Dalton, GA	—	50	15,138	16,928	—	50	32,066	32,116	(18,200)	1984-1985
Wausau Center, Wausau, WI	18,369	5,231	24,705	16,478	(5,231)	—	41,183	41,183	(17,157)	2001
West Towne Mall, Madison, WI	94,316	9,545	83,084	45,467	—	9,545	128,551	138,096	(64,536)	2002
WestGate Mall, Spartanburg, SC	37,931	2,149	23,257	46,857	(432)	1,742	270,089	71,831	(34,577)	1995
Westmoreland Mall, Greensburg, PA	—	4,621	84,215	16,517	—	4,621	100,732	105,356	(35,014)	2002
York Galleria, York, PA	51,037	5,757	63,316	9,811	—	5,757	73,127	78,884	(29,037)	1995
ASSOCIATED CENTERS:										
Annex at Monroeville, Monroeville, PA	—	716	29,496	(685)	—	716	28,811	29,527	(7,611)	2004
Bonita Lakes Crossing, Meridian, MS	—	794	4,786	8,650	—	794	13,436	14,230	(5,659)	1997
Chapel Hill Suburban, Akron, OH	—	925	2,520	1,900	—	925	4,420	5,345	(1,204)	2004
CoolSprings Crossing, Nashville, TN	11,946	2,803	14,985	4,543	—	3,554	18,777	22,331	(11,135)	1991-1993
Courtyard at Hickory Hollow, Nashville, TN	—	3,314	2,771	(1,865)	(231)	1,500	2,489	3,989	(327)	1998
EastGate Crossing, Cincinnati, OH	14,707	707	2,424	7,890	(11)	696	10,314	11,010	(3,824)	2001
Foothills Plaza, Maryville, TN	—	132	2,132	531	—	148	2,647	2,795	(1,961)	1984-1988
Frontier Square, Cheyenne, WY	—	346	684	374	(86)	260	1,058	1,318	(636)	1985
	10,641	4,170	10,874	3,455	—	4,170	14,329	18,499	(4,921)	2000

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Gunbarrel Pointe, Chattanooga, TN	14,965	630	5,532	8,275	—	734	13,703	14,437	(5,783 )	1986-1987
Hamilton Corner, Chattanooga, TN	9,853	4,014	5,906	6,736	(1,370)	2,644	2,642	15,286	(6,146 )	1987
Hamilton Crossing, Chattanooga, TN	—	2,854	0,718	1,034	—	2,854	0,752	13,606	(2,954 )	2003
Harford Annex , Bel Air, MD	—	4,993	14,330	1,511	(748 )	4,245	15,841	20,086	(7,840 )	1998-1999
The Landing at Arbor Place, Douglasville, GA	—	—	8	980	—	—	988	988	(336 )	2005
Layton Hills Convenience Center, Layton Hills, UT	—	—	2	299	—	—	301	301	(159 )	2005
Layton Hills Plaza, Layton Hills, UT	—	473	2,888	3,828	—	473	6,716	7,189	(4,397 )	1984
Madison Plaza , Huntsville, AL	38,987	9,531	27,646	3,813	—	9,531	31,459	40,990	(8,918 )	2006
The Plaza at Fayette, Lexington, KY	—	2,994	7,408	2,113	(355 )	2,639	9,521	12,160	(2,972 )	2002
Parkdale Crossing, Beaumont, TX	—	4,894	1,700	1,424	—	4,894	3,124	18,018	(3,747 )	2003
The Shoppes At Hamilton Place, Chattanooga, TN	—	1,013	7,525	1,108	—	1,013	8,633	9,646	(2,637 )	2003
Sunrise Commons, Brownsville, TX	19,759	8,250	23,623	163	(5,044)	3,206	23,786	26,992	(7,045 )	2007
The Shoppes at St. Clair Square, Fairview Heights, IL	13,683	4,160	9,929	8,097	—	6,536	15,656	22,192	(4,882 )	1997
The Terrace, Chattanooga, TN	—	1,151	2,955	7,913	—	2,120	8,893	12,019	(1,248 )	1998
West Towne Crossing, Madison, WI	—	1,082	3,422	6,925	—	1,082	10,347	11,429	(3,934 )	1997
WestGate Crossing, Spartanburg, SC	—	2,898	21,167	9,233	—	2,898	30,400	33,298	(9,163 )	2002
Westmoreland Crossing, Greensburg, PA										

## SCHEDULE III

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

At December 31, 2014

(In thousands)

Description /Location	Encumbrances	Initial Cost <sup>(1)</sup>			Gross Amounts at Which Carried at Close of Period			Date of Construction / Acquisition		
		Land	Buildings and Improvements	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Total <sup>(3)</sup>		Accumulated Depreciation <sup>(4)</sup>	
<b>COMMUNITY CENTERS:</b>										
Cobblestone Village, Palm Coast, FL	—	6,082	12,070	(524 )	(220 )	4,296	13,112	17,408	2,482 )	2007
The Crossings at Marshalls Creek, Marshalls Creek, PA	—	6,456	15,351	197	—	6,453	15,551	22,004	4834 )	2013
The Promenade, D'Iberville, MS	—	16,278	8,806	24,761	(706 )	17,957	21,186	89,139	10,716 )	2009
The Forum at Grand View, Madison , MS	—	9,234	17,285	14,710	(684 )	8,652	31,893	40,545	3,027 )	2010
Statesboro Crossing, Statesboro, GA	11,212	2,855	17,805	432	(235 )	2,840	18,017	20,857	3,576 )	2008
Waynesville Commons, Waynesville, NC	—	3,511	6,141	13	—	3,511	6,154	9,665	(397 )	2008
<b>OFFICE BUILDINGS AND OTHER:</b>										
840 Greenbrier Circle, Chesapeake, VA	—	2,096	3,091	218	—	2,096	3,309	5,405	(881 )	2007
850 Greenbrier Circle, Chesapeake, VA	—	3,154	6,881	(303 )	—	3,154	6,578	9,732	(1,425 )	2007
CBL Center, Chattanooga, TN	—	—	13,648	1,422	—	—	15,070	15,070	3,943 )	2008
CBL Center II, Chattanooga, TN	20,485	140	24,675	(12 )	—	140	24,663	24,803	12,877 )	2001
Oak Branch Business Center, Greensboro, NC	—	535	2,192	(25 )	—	535	2,167	2,702	(523 )	2007
One Oyster Point, Newport News, VA	—	1,822	3,623	843	—	1,822	4,466	6,288	(774 )	2007

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Pearland Hotel, Pearland, TX	—	—	16,149	389	—	—	16,538	16,538	3,495	)	2008	
Pearland Office, Pearland, TX	—	—	7,849	1,341	—	—	9,190	9,190	(662)	)	2009	
Pearland Residential Mgmt, Pearland, TX	—	—	9,666	9	—	—	9,675	9,675	(1,724)	)	2008	
Port Orange Apartments, Daytona Beach, FL	—	—	3,474	(182)	)	—	—	3,292	3,292	(43)	)	2014
Two Oyster Point, Newport News, VA	—	—	1,543,974	460	—	—	1,543,434	5,977	(1,358)	)	2007	
DISPOSITIONS:												
Chapel Hill Mall, Akron, OH	—	—	6,578,043	(74,621)	—	—	—	—	—	—	2004	
Citadel Mall, Charleston, SC	—	—	10,990,008	(54,998)	—	—	—	—	—	—	2001	
Columbia Place, Columbia, SC	—	—	1,526,348	(53,874)	—	—	—	—	—	—	2002	
Foothills Plaza Expansion, Maryville, TN	—	—	137,960	(2,097)	—	—	—	—	—	—	1984-1988	
Lakeshore Mall, Sebring, FL	—	—	1,443,819	(30,262)	—	—	—	—	—	—	1991-1992	
Pemberton Plaza, Vicksburg, MS	—	—	1,284,379	(2,663)	—	—	—	—	—	—	2004	

## SCHEDULE III

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

At December 31, 2014

(In thousands)

Description /Location	Encumbrance (2)	Initial Cost (1)			Gross Amounts at Which Carried at Close of Period			Accumulated Depreciation (4)	C /	
		Land	Buildings and Improvements	Costs Capitalized Subsequent Acquisition	Sales of Outparcel Land	Land	Buildings and Improvements			Total (3)
Other (5)	—	2,024	3,458	55	(63	) 1,961	3,513	5,474	(2,502	)
Developments in progress consisting of construction and Development Properties	—	—	117,966	—	—	—	117,966	117,966	—	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$3,270,528</b>	<b>\$894,092</b>	<b>\$5,827,242</b>	<b>\$1,497,608</b>	<b>\$(31,759)</b>	<b>\$847,829</b>	<b>\$7,339,354</b>	<b>\$8,187,183</b>	<b>\$(2,240,007)</b>	

(1) Initial cost represents the total cost capitalized including carrying cost at the end of the first fiscal year in which the Property opened or was acquired.

(2) Encumbrances represent the face amount of the mortgage and other indebtedness balance at December 31, 2014, excluding debt premium or discount.

(3) The aggregate cost of land and buildings and improvements for federal income tax purposes is approximately \$7.878 billion.

(4) Depreciation for all Properties is computed over the useful life which is generally 40 years for buildings, 10-20 years for certain improvements and 7-10 years for equipment and fixtures.

(5) Includes non-property mortgages and unsecured credit line mortgages.

## SCHEDULE III

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.

CBL &amp; ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

At December 31, 2014

(In thousands)

The changes in real estate assets and accumulated depreciation for the years ending December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 are set forth below (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>REAL ESTATE ASSETS:</b>			
Balance at beginning of period	\$8,123,514	\$8,301,013	\$7,767,819
Additions during the period:			
Additions and improvements	282,282	282,664	217,161
Acquisitions of real estate assets	—	29,912	474,623
Deductions during the period:			
Disposals, deconsolidations and accumulated depreciation on impairments	(189,372 )	(412,976 )	(108,554 )
Transfers from real estate assets	(11,383 )	(8,031 )	808
Impairment of real estate assets	(17,858 )	(69,068 )	(50,844 )
Balance at end of period	\$8,187,183	\$8,123,514	\$8,301,013
<b>ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION:</b>			
Balance at beginning of period	\$2,056,357	\$1,972,031	\$1,762,149
Depreciation expense	269,602	253,142	247,702
Accumulated depreciation on real estate assets sold, retired, impaired or deconsolidated	(85,952 )	(168,816 )	(37,820 )
Balance at end of period	\$2,240,007	\$2,056,357	\$1,972,031

## Schedule IV

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.  
CBL & ASSOCIATES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
MORTGAGE NOTES RECEIVABLE ON REAL ESTATE  
At December 31, 2014  
(In thousands)

Name Of Center/Location	Interest Rate	Final Maturity Date	Monthly Payment Amount (1)	Balloon Payment At Maturity	Prior Liens	Face Amount Of Mortgage	Carrying Amount Of Mortgage (2)	Principal Amount Of Mortgage Subject To Delinquent Principal Or Interest
<b>FIRST MORTGAGES:</b>								
Columbia Place Outparcel	5.00 %	Feb-22	\$3 (3)	\$360	None	\$360	\$360	\$ —
One Park Place - Chattanooga, TN	5.00 %	May-2022	21	—	None	3,200	1,566	—
Village Square - Houghton Lake, MI and Village at Wexford - Cadillac, MI	3.50 %	Mar-2016	8 (3)	1,711	None	2,627	1,711	—
OTHER	2.67% - 9.50%	(4) Dec-2016/ Jan-2047	20	3,340		5,751	5,686	—
			\$52	\$5,411		\$11,938	\$9,323	\$ —

(1) Equal monthly installments comprised of principal and interest, unless otherwise noted.

(2) The aggregate carrying value for federal income tax purposes was \$9,323 at December 31, 2014.

(3) Payment represents interest only.

(4) Mortgage notes receivable aggregated in Other include a variable-rate note that bears interest at prime plus 2.0%, currently at 5.25%, and a variable-rate note that bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%.

The changes in mortgage notes receivable were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$19,120	\$19,383	\$34,239
Additions	360	—	—
Non-cash transfer	—	—	(12,741)
Payments	(10,157)	(263)	(2,115)
Ending balance	\$9,323	\$19,120	\$19,383

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended through May 2, 2011 (q)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, as amended through November 25, 2013 (cc)
3.3	CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. Second Amended and Restated Bylaws, effective as of May 6, 2014 (ee)
4.1	See Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended, and Amended and Restated Bylaws and Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company relating to the Common Stock, Exhibits 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 above
4.2	Certificate of Designations, dated June 25, 1998, relating to the 9.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (c)
4.3	Certificate of Designation, dated April 30, 1999, relating to the Series 1999 Junior Participating Preferred Stock (c)
4.4	Terms of Series J Special Common Units of the Operating Partnership, pursuant to Article 4.4 of the Second Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement of the Operating Partnership (c)
4.5	Certificate of Designations, dated June 11, 2002, relating to the 8.75% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (d)
4.6	Acknowledgment Regarding Issuance of Partnership Interests and Assumption of Partnership Agreement (f)
4.7	Certificate of Designations, dated August 13, 2003, relating to the 7.75% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (e)
4.8	Certificate of Correction of the Certificate of Designations relating to the 7.75% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (g)
4.9	Certificate of Designations, dated December 10, 2004, relating to the 7.375% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (g)
4.9.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Designations, dated February 25, 2010, relating to the 7.375% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (m)
4.9.2	Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Designations, dated October 14, 2010, relating to the 7.375% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (o)
4.10	Certificate of Designations, dated October 1, 2012, relating to the 6.625% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (u)
4.11	Terms of the Series S Special Common Units of the Operating Partnership, pursuant to the Third Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement of the Operating Partnership (h)
4.12	Terms of the Series L Special Common Units of the Operating Partnership, pursuant to the Fourth Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement of the Operating Partnership (i)
4.13	Terms of the Series K Special Common Units of the Operating Partnership, pursuant to the First Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement of the Operating Partnership (i)
4.14.1	Indenture dated as of November 26, 2013, among CBL & Associates Limited Partnership, CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association (dd)
4.14.2	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 26, 2013, among CBL & Associates Limited Partnership, CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association (dd)
4.14.3	Limited Guarantee, dated as of November 26, 2013, of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. (dd)
4.14.4	Global Note evidencing the 5.250% Senior Notes Due 2023 (dd)
4.14.5	Global Note evidencing the 4.60% Senior Notes Due 2024 (ff)



- 10.1.1 Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership, dated November 2, 2010 (p)
- 10.1.2 Certificate of Designation, dated October 1, 2012, relating to the 6.625% Series E Cumulative Preferred Units (v)
- 10.2 Property Management Agreement between the Operating Partnership and the Management Company (a)

160

---

Exhibit Number	Description
10.3	Property Management Agreement relating to Retained Properties (a)
10.4	Subscription Agreement relating to purchase of the Common Stock and Preferred Stock of the Management Company (a)
10.5.1	CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. Second Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan† (n)
10.5.2	Form of Stock Restriction Agreement for restricted stock awards in 2006 and subsequent years† (k)
10.5.3	First Amendment to CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. Second Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan† (r)
10.5.4	CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. 2012 Stock Incentive Plan† (s)
10.5.5	Original Form of Stock Restriction Agreement for Restricted Stock Awards under CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. 2012 Stock Incentive Plan† (y)
10.5.6	Form of Stock Restriction Agreement for Restricted Stock Awards under CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. 2012 Stock Incentive Plan (effective May 2013) † (aa)*
10.5.7	Amendment No. 1 to CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. 2012 Stock Incentive Plan† (hh)
10.6.1	Form of Indemnification Agreements between the Company and the Management Company and their officers and directors, for agreements executed prior to 2013 (a)
10.6.2	Form of Indemnification Agreements between the Company and the Management Company and their officers and directors, for agreements executed in 2013 and subsequent years (hh)
10.7.1	Employment Agreement for Charles B. Lebovitz† (a)
10.7.2	Employment Agreement for John N. Foy† (a)
10.7.3	Employment Agreement for Stephen D. Lebovitz† (a)
10.7.4	Summary Description of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. Director Compensation Arrangements† (hh)
10.7.5	CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. Tier III Post-65 Retiree Program† (w)
10.8.1	Option Agreement relating to certain Retained Properties (a)
10.8.2	Option Agreement relating to Outparcels (a)
10.9.1	Property Partnership Agreement relating to Hamilton Place (a)
10.9.2	Property Partnership Agreement relating to CoolSprings Galleria (a)
10.10.1	Acquisition Option Agreement relating to Hamilton Place (a)
10.10.2	Acquisition Option Agreement relating to the Hamilton Place Centers (a)
10.11.1	Share Ownership Agreement by and among the Company and its related parties and the Jacobs entities, dated as of January 31, 2001 (b)
10.12.1	Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and the Holders of SCU's listed on Schedule A thereto, dated as of January 31, 2001 (b)
10.12.2	Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and Frankel Midland Limited Partnership, dated as of January 31, 2001 (b)
10.12.3	Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and Hess Abroms Properties of Huntsville, dated as of January 31, 2001 (b)
10.12.4	Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and the Holders of Series S Special Common Units of the Operating Partnership listed on Schedule A thereto, dated July 28, 2004 (h)
10.12.5	Form of Registration Rights Agreements between the Company and Certain Holders of Series K Special Common Units of the Operating Partnership, dated as of November 16, 2005 (i)
10.13.1	Amended and Restated Loan Agreement between the Operating Partnership and First Tennessee Bank National Association, dated June 8, 2012 (t)
10.13.2	Amended and Restated Loan Agreement by and among the Operating Partnership, the Company and First Tennessee Bank National Association, et. a. dated February 22, 2013 (x)
10.13.3	First Modification to Amended and Restated Loan Agreement by and among the Operating Partnership, the Company and First Tennessee Bank National Association, et. a. dated December

16, 2013 (gg)

10.14

Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of JG Gulf Coast Town Center LLC by and between JG Gulf Coast Member LLC, an Ohio limited liability company and CBL/Gulf Coast, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, dated April 27, 2005 (i)

161

---

Exhibit Number	Description
10.15.1	Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions between the Company and the owners of Oak Park Mall named therein, dated as of October 17, 2005 (i)
10.15.2	First Amendment to Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions between the Company and the owners of Oak Park Mall named therein, dated as of November 8, 2005 (i)
10.15.3	Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions between the Company and the owners of Eastland Mall named therein, dated as of October 17, 2005 (i)
10.15.4	First Amendment to Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions between the Company and the owners of Eastland Mall named therein, dated as of November 8, 2005 (i)
10.15.5	Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions between the Company and the owners of Hickory Point Mall named therein, dated as of October 17, 2005 (i)
10.15.6	Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions between the Company and the owner of Eastland Medical Building, dated as of October 17, 2005 (i)
10.15.7	Letter Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2005, between the Company and the other parties to the acquisition agreements listed above for Oak Park Mall, Eastland Mall, Hickory Point Mall and Eastland Medical Building (i)
10.16.1	Master Transaction Agreement by and among REJ Realty LLC, JG Realty Investors Corp., JG Manager LLC, JG North Raleigh L.L.C., JG Triangle Peripheral South LLC, and the Operating Partnership, effective October 24, 2005 (j)
10.16.2	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Triangle Town Member, LLC by and among CBL Triangle Town Member, LLC and REJ Realty LLC, JG Realty Investors Corp. and JG Manager LLC, effective as of November 16, 2005 (j)
10.17.1	Contribution Agreement among Westfield America Limited Partnership, as Transferor, and CW Joint Venture, LLC, as Transferee, and CBL & Associates Limited Partnership, dated August 9, 2007 (l)
10.17.2	Contribution Agreement among CBL & Associates Limited Partnership, as Transferor, St. Clair Square, GP, Inc. and CW Joint Venture, LLC, as Transferee, and Westfield America Limited Partnership, dated August 9, 2007 (l)
10.17.3	Purchase and Sale Agreement between Westfield America Limited Partnership, as Transferor, and CBL & Associates Limited Partnership, as Transferee, dated August 9, 2007 (l)
10.18	Term Loan Agreement by and among the Operating Partnership and the Company, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, et al., dated July 30, 2013 (bb)
10.19.1	Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among the Operating Partnership and the Company, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, et al., dated November 13, 2012 (y)
10.19.2	First Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among the Operating Partnership and the Company, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, et al., dated January 31, 2013 (y)
10.19.3	Waiver and Second Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among the Operating Partnership and the Company, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, et al., dated July 30, 2013 (bb)
10.20.1	Eighth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, et al., dated November 13, 2012 (y)
10.20.2	First Amendment to Eighth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between CBL & Associates Limited Partnership and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, et al., dated January 31, 2013 (y)
10.20.3	Waiver and Second Amendment to Eighth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between the Operating Partnership and the Company, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, et al., dated July 30, 2013 (bb)
10.21.1	

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

- Controlled Equity Offering<sup>SM</sup> Sales Agreement, dated March 1, 2013, by and between CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and Cantor Fitzgerald & Co. (z)
- 10.21.2 Controlled Equity Offering<sup>SM</sup> Sales Agreement, dated March 1, 2013, by and between CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (z)
- 10.21.3 Controlled Equity Offering<sup>SM</sup> Sales Agreement, dated March 1, 2013, by and between CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc. (z)
- 10.21.4 Controlled Equity Offering<sup>SM</sup> Sales Agreement, dated March 1, 2013, by and between CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and RBC Capital Markets, LLC (z)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.21.5	Controlled Equity Offering <sup>SM</sup> Sales Agreement, dated March 1, 2013, by and between CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (z)
12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.
12.2	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends of CBL & Associates Limited Partnership
12.3	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.
12.4	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges of CBL & Associates Limited Partnership
21	Subsidiaries of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. and CBL & Associates Limited Partnership
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP (for the Company)
23.2	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP (for the Operating Partnership)
24	Power of Attorney
31.1	Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) by the Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.
31.2	Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) by the Chief Financial Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.
31.3	Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) by the Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for CBL & Associates Limited Partnership
31.4	Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) by the Chief Financial Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for CBL & Associates Limited Partnership
32.1	Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) by the Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.
32.2	Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) by the Chief Financial Officer as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.
32.3	Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) by the Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for CBL & Associates Limited Partnership
32.4	Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) by the Chief Financial Officer as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for CBL & Associates Limited Partnership
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

(a)

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Incorporated by reference to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (No. 33-67372), as filed with the Commission on January 27, 1994.\*

(b) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 6, 2001.\*

(c) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.\*

(d) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 10, 2002, filed on June 17, 2002.\*

- (e) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A, filed on August 21, 2003.\*
- (f) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.\*
- (g) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A, filed on December 10, 2004.\*
- (h) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.\*
- (i) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 22, 2005.\*
- (j) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.\*
- (k) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 24, 2006.\*
- (l) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007.\*
- (m) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 1, 2010.\*
- (n) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.\*
- (o) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 18, 2010.\*
- (p) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 5, 2010.\*
- (q) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 4, 2011.\*
- (r) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011.\*
- (s) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 10, 2012.\*
- (t) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.\*
- (u) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A, filed on October 1, 2012.\*
- (v) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 5, 2012.\*
- (w) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on November 9, 2012.\*
- (x) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 28, 2013.\*
- (y) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.\*
- (z) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 1, 2013.\*
- (aa) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 17, 2013.\*
- (bb) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 5, 2013.\*
- (cc) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated on November 25, 2013 and filed on November 26, 2013.\*\*
- (dd) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated and filed on November 26, 2013.\*\*
- (ee) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated on May 5, 2014 and filed on May 9, 2014.\*
- (ff) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 8, 2014.\*\*
- (gg) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014.\*\*
- (hh) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.\*\*

† A management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed pursuant to Item 15(b) of this report.



\* Commission File No. 1-12494

\*\* Commission File No. 1-12494 and 333-182515-01