

UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS INC
Form 10-K
February 24, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 000-25032

UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| DELAWARE | 25-1724540 |
| (State or other jurisdiction of | (IRS Employer |
| incorporation or organization) | Identification No.) |

600 MAYER STREET, BRIDGEVILLE, PA 15017 (412) 257-7600
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code) (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of exchange on which registered |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share | The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: [None]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definitions of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2015, based on the closing price of \$19.65 per share on that date, was approximately \$52,785,000. For the purposes of this disclosure only, the registrant has assumed that its directors, executive officers, and beneficial owners of 5% or more of the registrant's common stock are the affiliates of the registrant. The registrant has made no determination that such persons are "affiliates" within the meaning of Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933.

As of February 19, 2016, there were 7,477,400 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE Part III of this Form 10-K incorporates by reference portions of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

INDEX

PART I

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Item 1. Business | 1 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 5 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 7 |
| Item 2. Properties | 7 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 8 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 8 |

PART II

| | |
|---|----|
| Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity | 9 |
| Item 5. Securities | |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 10 |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 11 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 23 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 24 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 44 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 44 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 44 |

PART III

| | |
|---|----|
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 44 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 44 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 45 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 45 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 45 |

PART IV

| | |
|---|----|
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 45 |
|---|----|

PART I

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE "SAFE HARBOR" PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

The statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K ("Form 10-K") of Universal Stainless and Alloy Products, Inc. ("Universal," the "Company," "us," "our," or "we"), including, but not limited to, the statements contained in Item 1, "Business," and Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Financial Condition and Results of Operations," along with statements contained in other reports that we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), external documents and oral presentations, which are not historical facts are considered to be "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended. These statements which may be expressed in a variety of ways, including the use of forward looking terminology such as "believe," "expect," "seek," "intend," "may," "will," "should," "potential," "continue," "estimate," "plan," or "anticipate," or the negatives thereof, other variations thereon or compatible terminology, relate to, among other things, statements regarding future growth, cost savings, expanded production capacity, broader product lines, greater capacity to meet customer quality reliability, price and delivery needs, enhanced competitive posture, and the effect of new accounting pronouncements. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements, and any forward looking statements contained in other public disclosures of the Company which make reference to the cautionary factors contained in this Form 10-K, are based on assumptions that involve risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on the considerations described below. We discuss many of these risks and uncertainties in greater detail in Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of this Form 10-K. These and other risks and uncertainties may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Universal, which was incorporated in 1994, and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, manufacture and market semi-finished and finished specialty steel products, including stainless steel, nickel alloys, tool steel and certain other premium alloyed steels. Our manufacturing process involves melting, remelting, heat treating, hot and cold rolling, forging, machining and cold drawing of semi-finished and finished specialty steels. Our products are sold to service centers, forgers, rerollers, original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") and wire redrawers. Our customers further process our products for use in a variety of industries, including the aerospace, power generation, oil and gas, heavy equipment and general industrial markets. We also perform conversion services on materials supplied by customers.

We operate in four locations: Bridgeville and Titusville, Pennsylvania; Dunkirk, New York; and North Jackson, Ohio.

Our corporate headquarters is located at our Bridgeville location. We operate these four manufacturing locations as one reportable business segment.

We produce a wide variety of specialty steel grades using several manufacturing processes including argon oxygen decarburization ("AOD"), electro-slag remelted ("ESR"), vacuum induction melting ("VIM") and vacuum-arc remelted ("VAR"). At our Bridgeville and North Jackson facilities, we produce specialty steel products in the form of semi-finished and finished long products (ingots, blooms, billets and bars). In addition, the Bridgeville facility produces flat rolled products (slabs and plates). Semi-finished long products are primarily used by our Dunkirk facility and certain customers to produce finished bar, rod, wire and plate products. Finished bar products that we manufacture are primarily used by OEMs and by service center customers for distribution to a variety of end users. We also produce customized shapes primarily for OEMs that are cold rolled from purchased coiled strip, flat bar or extruded bar at our precision rolled products department, located at our Titusville facility.

INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

The specialty steel industry is a relatively small but distinct segment of the overall steel industry. Specialty steels include stainless steels, nickel alloys, tool steels, electrical steels, high-temperature alloys, magnetic alloys and electronic alloys. Specialty steels are made with a high alloy content, suitable for use in environments that demand exceptional hardness, toughness, strength and resistance to heat, corrosion or abrasion, or combinations thereof. Specialty steels generally must conform to more demanding customer specifications for consistency, straightness and surface finish than carbon steels. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, more than 75% of our net sales were derived from stainless steel products.

We primarily manufacture our products within the following product lines and, generally, in response to customer orders:

Stainless Steel. Stainless steel, which represents the largest part of the specialty steel market, contains elements such as nickel, chrome and molybdenum that give it the unique qualities of high strength, good wear characteristics, natural attractiveness, ease of maintenance and resistance to rust, corrosion and heat. Stainless steel is used, among other applications, in the, aerospace, oil and gas, power generation and automotive industries, as well as in the manufacturing of equipment for food handling, health and medical, chemical processing and pollution control.

High-Strength Low Alloy Steel. High-strength low alloy steel is a relative term that refers to those steels that maintain alloying elements that range in versatility. The alloy element of nickel, chrome and molybdenum in such steels typically exceeds the alloy element of carbon steels but not that of high-temperature alloy steel. High-strength low alloy steels are manufactured for use generally in the aerospace industry.

Tool Steel. Tool steels contain elements of manganese, silicon, chrome and molybdenum to produce specific hardness characteristics that enable tool steels to form, cut, shape and shear other materials in the manufacturing process. Heating and cooling at precise rates in the heat-treating process bring out these hardness characteristics. Tool steels are utilized in the manufacturing of metals, plastics, paper and aluminum extrusions, pharmaceuticals, electronics and optics.

High-Temperature Alloy Steel. These steels are designed to meet critical requirements of heat resistance and structural integrity. They generally have very high nickel content relative to other types of specialty steels. High-temperature alloy steels are manufactured for use generally in the aerospace industry.

Our net sales by principal product line were as follows:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Stainless steel | \$ 135,945 | \$ 159,799 | \$ 137,383 |
| High-strength low alloy steel | 16,045 | 16,853 | 17,894 |
| Tool steel | 16,197 | 16,680 | 18,112 |
| High-temperature alloy steel | 7,557 | 6,295 | 4,277 |
| Conversion services and other sales | 4,916 | 5,933 | 3,102 |
| Total net sales | \$ 180,660 | \$ 205,560 | \$ 180,768 |

RAW MATERIALS

We depend on the delivery of key raw materials for our day-to-day operations. These key raw materials are carbon and stainless scrap metal and alloys, primarily consisting of nickel, chrome, molybdenum, and copper. Scrap metal is primarily generated by industrial sources and is purchased through a number of scrap brokers and processors. We also recycle scrap metal generated from our own production operations as a source of metal for our melt shops. Alloys are generally purchased from domestic agents and originate in the United States, Australia, Canada, China, Russia, Brazil and South Africa.

Our Bridgeville and North Jackson facilities currently supply semi-finished specialty steel products as starting materials to our other operating facilities. Semi-finished specialty steel starting materials, which we cannot produce at a competitive cost, are purchased from other suppliers. We generally purchase these starting materials from steel strip

coil suppliers, extruders, flat rolled producers and service centers. We believe that adequate supplies of starting material will continue to be available.

The cost of raw materials represents approximately half of the cost of products sold in 2015, 2014 and 2013. Raw material costs can be impacted by significant price changes. Raw material prices vary based on numerous factors, including quality, and are subject to frequent market fluctuations. Future raw material prices cannot be predicted with any degree of certainty. We do not maintain any fixed-price long-term agreements with any of our raw material suppliers.

We maintain a sales price surcharge mechanism on certain of our products to match sales prices to raw material price changes. For certain products, the surcharge is calculated at the time of order entry, based on current raw material prices or prices at the time of shipment. For certain finished products, the surcharge is calculated based on the monthly average raw material prices two months prior to the promised ship date.

CUSTOMERS

Our five largest customers in the aggregate accounted for approximately 42% of our net sales for the year ended December 31, 2015, and 46% of our net sales for each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Our largest customer in 2015, Reliance Steel & Aluminum Co., accounted for approximately 16%, 18% and 15% of our net sales for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our net sales for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. In addition, one other customer accounted for over 10% of our net sales in 2013. International sales approximated 9%, 7% and 6% of 2015, 2014 and 2013 total net sales, respectively.

BACKLOG

Our backlog of orders (excluding surcharges) on hand as of December 31, 2015 was approximately \$38.2 million compared to approximately \$61.1 million at the same time in 2014. We believe that this 37% decrease in our backlog is largely a result of decreased demand for our products due to the industry-wide downturn, shorter lead times, and customer destocking. Our backlog may not be indicative of actual sales because certain surcharges are not determinable until the order is shipped to the customer and, therefore, should not be used as a direct measure of future revenue. However, we expect that our actual sales will be higher than the backlog once the actual surcharges are determined.

COMPETITION

Competition in our markets is based upon product quality, delivery capability, customer service, customer approval and price. Maintaining high standards of product quality, while responding quickly to customer needs and keeping production costs at competitive levels, is essential to our ability to compete in these markets.

We believe that there are several companies that manufacture one or more similar specialty steel products that are significant competitors. There are a few smaller producing companies and material converters that are also considered to be competitors of ours.

High import penetration of specialty steel products, especially stainless and tool steels, also impacts the competitive nature within the United States. Unfair pricing practices by foreign producers have resulted in high import penetration into the U.S. markets in which we participate.

EMPLOYEE RELATIONS

We consider the maintenance of good relations with our employees to be important to the successful conduct of our business. We have profit-sharing plans for certain salaried and hourly employees and for all of our employees represented by United Steelworkers (the "USW") and have equity ownership programs for all of our eligible employees, in an effort to forge an alliance between our employees' interests and those of our stockholders. At December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we had 634, 714, and 675 employees, respectively, of which 449, 501, and 475, respectively, were USW members.

Collective Bargaining Agreements

Our Bridgeville, Titusville and Dunkirk facilities recognize the USW as the exclusive representative for their hourly employees with respect to the terms and conditions of their employment. The North Jackson facility is not unionized. We have entered into the following collective bargaining agreements:

| Facility | Commencement Date | Expiration Date |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Dunkirk | November 2012 | October 2017 |
| Bridgeville | September 2013 | August 2018 |
| Titusville | October 2015 | September 2020 |

We believe a critical component of our collective bargaining agreements is the inclusion of a profit sharing plan.

Employee Benefit Plans

We maintain a 401(k) retirement plan for our hourly and salaried employees. Pursuant to the 401(k) plan, participants may elect to make pre-tax and after-tax contributions, subject to certain limitations imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In addition, we make periodic contributions to the 401(k) plan for the hourly employees employed at the Dunkirk and Titusville facilities, based on service, and at the North Jackson facility, based upon the employee's age and wage rate. We make periodic contributions for the salaried employees at all locations, except for North Jackson, based upon their service and their individual contribution to the 401(k) retirement plan. For North Jackson salaried employees, we make periodic contributions based upon the employee's age, annual salary, and their individual contributions.

We participate in the Steelworkers Pension Trust (the "Trust"), a multi-employer defined-benefit pension plan that is open to all hourly and salaried employees associated with the Bridgeville facility. We make periodic contributions to the Trust based on hours worked at a fixed rate for each hourly employee and a fixed monthly contribution on behalf of each salaried employee.

We also provide group life and health insurance plans for our hourly and salary employees.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the 1996 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (the “Plan”), the Company is authorized to issue up to 200,000 shares of common stock to its full-time employees, nearly all of whom are eligible to participate. Under the terms of the Plan, employees can choose as of January 1 and July 1 of each year to have up to 10% of their total earnings withheld to purchase up to 100 shares of our common stock each six-month period. The purchase price of the stock is 85% of the lower of its beginning-of-the-period or end-of-the-period market prices. At December 31, 2015, we have issued 178,636 shares of common stock since the Plan’s inception.

ENVIRONMENTAL

We are subject to federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations (collectively, “Environmental Laws”), including those governing discharges of pollutants into the air and water, and the generation, handling and disposal of hazardous and non-hazardous substances. We monitor our compliance with applicable Environmental Laws and, accordingly, believe that we are currently in compliance with all laws and regulations in all material respects. We are subject periodically to environmental compliance reviews by various regulatory offices. We may be liable for the remediation of contamination associated with generation, handling and disposal activities. Environmental costs could be incurred, which may be significant, related to environmental compliance, at any time or from time to time in the future.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth, as of February 26, 2016, certain information with respect to the executive officers of the Company:

| Name (Age) | Executive Officer Since | Position |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Dennis M. Oates (63) | 2008 | Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Larry J. Pollock (51) | 2015 | Executive Vice President, Chief Manufacturing Officer |
| Christopher M. Zimmer (42) | 2010 | Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer |
| Paul A. McGrath (64) | 1996 | Vice President of Administration, General Counsel and Secretary |
| Graham McIntosh, Ph.D. (53) | 2015 | Vice President and Chief Technology Officer |
| Ross C. Wilkin (45) | 2015 | Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer |

Dennis M. Oates has been President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 2008. Mr. Oates was named to the Company's Board of Directors in 2007. Mr. Oates previously served as Senior Vice President of the Specialty Alloys Operations of Carpenter Technology Corporation from 2003 to 2007. Mr. Oates also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of TW Metals, Inc. from 1998 to 2003. In May 2010, the Board of Directors elected Mr. Oates to the additional position of Chairman.

Larry J. Pollock has been Executive Vice President, Chief Manufacturing Officer since May 2015. Mr. Pollock served as Director of Tube Manufacturing at TimkenSteel prior to joining the Company and General Manager at The Timken Company from 2010 through 2014. Prior to joining Timken, Mr. Pollock was the General Manager at SPS Technologies/PCC where he was responsible for the aerospace fasteners business unit. From 1987 to 2009, Mr. Pollock held several positions at Pilkington/Nippon Sheet Glass Company.

Christopher M. Zimmer has been Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer since July 2014. Mr. Zimmer served as Vice President of Sales and Marketing from 2008 to July 2014. Mr. Zimmer previously served as Vice President of Sales and Marketing for Schmoltz+Bickenbach USA from 1995 to 2008. He held positions of increasing responsibility including inside sales, commercial manager—stainless bar, general manager—nickel alloy products, and National Sales Manager.

Paul A. McGrath has been Vice President of Administration of the Company since 2007, General Counsel since 1995 and was appointed Secretary in 1996. Mr. McGrath served as Vice President of Operations from 2001 to 2006. Previously, he was employed by Westinghouse Electric Corporation for approximately 24 years in various management positions.

Graham McIntosh, Ph.D. has been Vice President and Chief Technology Officer since November 2013. Dr. McIntosh previously served as Director of Global Technology Initiatives for Carpenter Technology Corporation where he joined in 2008. Dr. McIntosh

also served as Vice President of Technology and Director of Quality for Firth Rixson Viking from 2001-2008, and also held several management and technical positions at Wyman-Gordon Livingston from 1987-2001, where he began his career.

Ross C. Wilkin has been Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since August 2015. Mr. Wilkin previously served as Chief Financial Officer of Dynamics Inc. From 2003 to 2014, Mr. Wilkin held several financial positions at the H.J. Heinz Company, including VP CFO of Heinz Australia and New Zealand, and Divisional Finance Director for Heinz North America. Prior to joining H.J. Heinz, Mr. Wilkin served as Finance Director for the European Access division of Marconi plc. He began his finance career at KPMG in 1992.

PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

We do not consider our business to be materially dependent on patent or trademark protection, and believe we own or maintain effective licenses covering all the intellectual property used in our business. We benefit from our proprietary rights relating to designs, engineering and manufacturing processes and procedures. We seek to protect our proprietary information by use of confidentiality and non-competition agreements with certain employees.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as well as proxy and information statements that we file with the SEC, are available free of charge on our website at www.univstainless.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with the SEC. The contents of our website are not part of this Form 10-K. Copies of these documents will be available to any shareholder upon request. Requests should be directed in writing to Investor Relations at 600 Mayer Street, Bridgeville, PA 15017. You also may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers, like us, that file electronically with the SEC.

ITEM 1A.RISK FACTORS

We wish to caution each reader of this Form 10-K to consider the following factors and other factors discussed herein and in other past reports, including but not limited to prior year Form 10-K and Form 10-Q reports filed with the SEC. Our business and results of operations could be materially affected by any of the following risks. The factors discussed herein are not exhaustive. Therefore, the factors contained herein should be read together with other reports that we file with the SEC from time to time, which may supplement, modify, supersede, or update the factors listed in this document.

A substantial amount of our sales are derived from a limited number of customers.

Our five largest customers in the aggregate accounted for approximately 42% of our net sales for the year ended December 31, 2015, and 46% of our net sales for each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The accounts receivable balance from these five customers comprised approximately 23% of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2015. An adverse change in, or termination of, the relationship with one or more of our customers or market segments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our business is very competitive and increased competition could reduce our sales.

We compete with domestic and foreign producers of specialty steel products. In addition, many of the finished products sold by our customers are in direct competition with finished products manufactured by foreign sources,

which may affect the demand for those customers' products. Any competitive factors that adversely affect the market for finished products manufactured by us or our customers could indirectly adversely affect the demand for our semi-finished products. Additionally, our products compete with products fashioned from alternative materials such as aluminum, composites and plastics, the production of which includes domestic and foreign enterprises.

Competition in our field is intense and is expected to continue to be so in the foreseeable future. The majority of our business is not covered under long term supply contracts. There can be no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully in the future.

A substantial amount of our sales are derived from the aerospace industry.

Approximately 60% of our sales and 46% of our tons shipped represented products sold to customers in the aerospace market in 2015. The aerospace market is historically cyclical due to both external and internal market factors. These factors include general economic conditions, supply chain fluctuations, diminished credit availability, airline profitability, demand for air travel, age of fleets, varying fuel and labor costs, price competition, new technology development and international and domestic political conditions such as military conflict and the threat of terrorism.

The length and degree of cyclical fluctuation can be influenced by any one or a combination of these factors and therefore are difficult to predict with certainty. While the aerospace industry is currently experiencing good growth, a downturn in the aerospace industry would adversely affect the demand for products and/or the prices at

which we are able to sell our products, and our results of operations, business and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

We are dependent on the availability and price of raw materials.

We purchase carbon and stainless scrap metal and alloy additives, principally nickel, chrome, molybdenum, manganese and copper, for our melting operation. A substantial portion of the alloy additives is available only from foreign sources, some of which are located in countries that may be subject to unstable political and economic conditions. Those conditions might disrupt supplies or affect the prices of the raw materials used by us. We maintain sales price surcharges on certain of our products to help offset the impact of raw material price fluctuations.

We do not maintain long-term fixed-price supply agreements with any of our raw material suppliers. If our supply of raw materials were interrupted, we might not be able to obtain sufficient quantities of raw materials, or obtain sufficient quantities of such materials at satisfactory prices, which, in either case, could adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, significant volatility in the price of our principal raw materials could adversely affect our financial results and there can be no assurance that the raw material surcharge mechanism employed by us will completely offset immediate changes in our raw material costs.

Our business requires substantial amounts of energy.

The production of specialty steel requires the ready availability of substantial amounts of electricity and natural gas for which we negotiate competitive agreements for the supply of electricity and natural gas. While we believe that our energy agreements allow us to compete effectively within the specialty steel industry, the potential of curtailments exists as a result of decreased supplies during periods of increased demand for electricity and natural gas. These interruptions not only can adversely affect our operating performance, but also can lead to increased costs. We have a sales price surcharge mechanism on our products to help offset the impact of natural gas price fluctuations.

We are subject to risks associated with global economic and market factors.

Our results of operations are affected directly by the level of business activity of our customers, which in turn is affected by global economic and market factors impacting the industries and markets that we serve. We are susceptible to macroeconomic downturns in the United States and abroad that may affect the general economic climate, our performance and the demand of our customers. We may face significant challenges if conditions in the financial markets deteriorate. There can be no assurance that global economic and market conditions will not adversely impact our results of operations, cash flow or financial position in the future.

We may experience a shortage in the supply of energy or an increase in energy costs to operate our facilities.

The manufacturing of specialty steels is an energy-intensive industry. While we believe that our energy agreements allow us to compete effectively within the specialty steel industry, we are subject to curtailments as a result of decreased supplies and increased demand for electricity and natural gas. These interruptions not only can adversely affect our operating performance, but also can lead to increased costs for energy.

Our business depends largely on our ability to attract and retain key personnel.

We depend on the continued service, availability and ability to attract skilled personnel, including members of our executive management team, other management positions, metallurgists, along with maintenance and production positions. Our inability to attract and retain such people may adversely impact our ability to fill existing roles and support growth. Further, the loss of key personnel could adversely affect our ability to perform until suitable

replacements can be found.

Our business may be harmed by strikes or work stoppages.

At December 31, 2015, we had 449 employees out of a total of 634 who were covered under collective bargaining agreements expiring at various dates in 2017 to 2020. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in timely concluding collective bargaining agreements with the USW to succeed the agreements that expire, in which case, we may experience strikes or work stoppages that may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

Our business may be harmed by failures on critical manufacturing equipment.

Our manufacturing processes are dependent upon certain critical pieces of specialty steel making equipment, such as our 50-ton electric-arc furnace and AOD vessel, our ESR, VIM and VAR furnaces, our radial hydraulic forge and our universal rolling mill. In the event a critical piece of equipment should become inoperative as a result of unexpected equipment failure, there can be no assurance that our operations would not be substantially curtailed, which may have a negative effect on our financial results.

6

Our business may be harmed if we are unable to meet our debt service requirements or the covenants in our credit agreement or if interest rates increase.

We have debt upon which we are required to make scheduled interest and principal payments, and we may incur additional debt in the future. A significant portion of our debt bears interest at variable rates that may increase in the future. Our ability to satisfy our debt obligations, and our ability to refinance any of our indebtedness in the future if we determine that doing so would be advisable, will depend upon our future operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions in the markets that we serve and financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash to service our debt or if interest rates increase, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected. Our credit agreement, which provides for a \$65.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility and a \$30.0 million senior secured term loan facility, also requires us to comply with certain covenants. A failure to comply with the covenants contained in the credit agreement could result in a default, which, if not waived by our lenders, could substantially increase our borrowing costs and result in acceleration of our debt. As of December 31, 2015, we were in compliance with the covenants in our credit agreement. In January, 2016 we entered into a new credit agreement that replaces the credit agreement in place at December 31, 2015.

We believe that our international sales are associated with various risks.

We conduct business with suppliers and customers in foreign countries which exposes us to risks associated with international business activities. We could be significantly impacted by those risks, which include the potential for volatile economic and labor conditions, political instability, collecting accounts receivable and exchange rate fluctuations (which may affect sales revenue to international customers and the margins on international sales when converted into U.S. dollars).

If we are unable to protect our information technology infrastructure against service interruptions, data corruption, cyber-based attacks or network security breaches, our operations could be disrupted.

We rely on information technology networks and systems to manage and support a variety of business activities, including procurement and supply chain, engineering support, and manufacturing. Our information technology systems, some of which are managed by third-parties, may be susceptible to damage, disruptions or shutdown due to failures during the process of upgrading or replacing software, databases or components thereof, power outages, hardware failures, computer viruses, attacks by computer hackers, telecommunications failures, user errors or catastrophic events. In addition, security breaches could result in unauthorized disclosures of confidential information. If our information technology systems suffer severe damage, disruption or shutdown and our business continuity plans do not effectively resolve the issues in a timely manner, our manufacturing process could be disrupted resulting in late deliveries or even no deliveries if there is a total shutdown.

ITEM 1B.UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2.PROPERTIES

We own our Bridgeville, Pennsylvania facility, which consists of approximately 760,000 square feet of floor space and our executive offices on approximately 74 acres. The Bridgeville facility contains melting, remelting, conditioning, rolling, annealing and various other processing equipment. Substantially all products shipped from the Bridgeville facility are processed through its melt shop and universal rolling mill operations.

We own our North Jackson, Ohio facility, which consists of approximately 257,000 square feet of floor space on approximately 110 acres. The North Jackson facility contains melting, remelting, forging, annealing and various other processing operations. Our obligations under our credit agreement, which is more fully described under Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources,” are collateralized by a first lien in our real property in North Jackson, Ohio. Also, our obligations under the convertible notes also more fully described under Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources” are collateralized by a second lien in our North Jackson, Ohio real property.

We own our Dunkirk, New York facility, which consists of approximately 680,000 square feet of floor space on approximately 81 acres. The Dunkirk facility processes semi-finished billet and bar stock through one or more of its four rolling mills, a high temperature annealing facility and/or a round bar finishing facility.

We own our Titusville, Pennsylvania facility, which consists of seven buildings on approximately 10 acres, including two principal buildings of approximately 265,000 square feet in total area. The Titusville facility contains five VAR furnaces and various rolling and finishing equipment.

Specialty steel production is a capital-intensive industry. We believe that our facilities and equipment are suitable for our present manufacturing needs. We believe, however, that we will continue to require capital from time to time to add new equipment and to repair or replace our existing equipment to remain competitive and to enable us to manufacture quality products and provide delivery and other support service assurances to our customers.

ITEM 3.LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, various lawsuits and claims have been or may be asserted against us relating to the conduct of our business, including routine litigation relating to commercial and employment matters. The ultimate cost and outcome of any litigation or claim cannot be predicted with certainty. We believe, based on information presently available, that the likelihood that the ultimate outcome of any such pending matter will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, or liquidity or a material impact to our results of operations is remote, although the resolution of one or more of these matters may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the period in which the resolution occurs.

ITEM 4.MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

At December 31, 2015, a total of 7,404,193 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, were issued and held by approximately 112 holders of record. There were 292,855 shares of the issued common stock held in treasury at December 31, 2015.

Certain holders of our common stock and the Company are party to a stockholder agreement. That agreement maintains in effect certain registration rights granted to certain stockholders and provides to them two demand registration rights exercisable at any time upon written request for the registration of shares of common stock having an aggregate net offering price of at least \$5.0 million.

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "USAP." The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices per share of our common stock, for the periods indicated below:

| | 2015 | | 2014 | |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First quarter | \$ 28.41 | \$ 19.03 | \$ 38.88 | \$ 30.15 |
| Second quarter | \$ 27.11 | \$ 17.76 | \$ 36.81 | \$ 28.79 |
| Third quarter | \$ 19.82 | \$ 10.15 | \$ 34.22 | \$ 25.92 |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 13.59 | \$ 8.01 | \$ 28.79 | \$ 22.85 |

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The performance graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return on the equity securities of the NASDAQ Composite Index and a peer group selected by us.

The peer group consists of domestic specialty steel producers: Allegheny Technologies Incorporated; Materion Corporation; Carpenter Technology Corporation; and Haynes International, Inc. RTI International Metals, Inc., which previously was included in our peer group, was acquired by Alcoa Inc. in July 2015. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2010 reinvestment of dividends, if any, on the date of dividend payment and the peer group is weighted by each company's market capitalization. The performance graph represents past performance and should not be considered to be an indication of future performance.

Comparison of 5-Year Cumulative Total Shareholder Return among Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., the NASDAQ Composite Index and a Peer Group

| Company/Peer/Market | For the years ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. | \$ 100.00 | \$ 119.44 | \$ 117.55 | \$ 115.28 | \$ 80.40 | \$ 29.70 |
| Peer Group | \$ 100.00 | \$ 96.94 | \$ 77.22 | \$ 92.62 | \$ 85.58 | \$ 43.68 |

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| NASDAQ Composite Index | \$ 100.00 | \$ 99.17 | \$ 116.48 | \$ 163.21 | \$ 187.27 | \$ 200.31 |
|------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

PREFERRED STOCK

Our Certificate of Incorporation provides that we may, by vote of our Board of Directors, issue up to 1,980,000 shares of preferred stock. The preferred stock may have rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions thereon, including dividend rights, dividend rates, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption, redemption prices, liquidation preferences and the number of shares constituting any series or designation of such series, without further vote or action by the stockholders. The issuance of preferred stock may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of the Company without further action by the stockholders and may adversely affect the voting and other rights of the holders of common stock. The issuance of preferred stock with voting and conversion rights may adversely affect the voting power of the holders of common stock, including the loss of voting control to others. We have no outstanding preferred stock and have no current plans to issue any of the authorized preferred stock.

DIVIDENDS

We have never paid a cash dividend on our common stock. Our credit agreement does not permit the payment of cash dividends on our common stock.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Summary of operations: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 180,660 | \$ 205,560 | \$ 180,768 | \$ 250,990 | \$ 252,596 |
| Goodwill impairment | \$ 20,268 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Operating (loss) income | \$ (30,079) | \$ 10,900 | \$ (4,005) | \$ 23,403 | \$ 29,687 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (20,672) | \$ 4,050 | \$ (4,062) | \$ 14,617 | \$ 18,122 |
| Financial position at year-end: | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 112 | \$ 142 | \$ 307 | \$ 321 | \$ 274 |
| Working capital ¹ | \$ 85,006 | \$ 98,069 | \$ 86,512 | \$ 106,607 | \$ 85,304 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 193,505 | \$ 199,795 | \$ 203,590 | \$ 206,150 | \$ 183,148 |
| Total assets ¹ | \$ 298,555 | \$ 354,874 | \$ 334,882 | \$ 352,959 | \$ 333,001 |
| Long-term debt | \$ 74,137 | \$ 83,875 | \$ 86,796 | \$ 105,242 | \$ 91,650 |
| Stockholders' equity | \$ 184,977 | \$ 203,630 | \$ 196,458 | \$ 197,713 | \$ 180,073 |
| Common share data: | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income per common share - Basic | \$ (2.92) | \$ 0.58 | \$ (0.58) | \$ 2.13 | \$ 2.65 |
| Net (loss) income per common share - Diluted | \$ (2.92) | \$ 0.57 | \$ (0.58) | \$ 2.02 | \$ 2.56 |

We acquired the North Jackson facility in August 2011. The results and balances related to North Jackson have been included in our consolidated results since that time.

¹Total assets and working capital, for prior periods, have been adjusted to reflect the reclassification of deferred tax assets to noncurrent deferred income taxes to be consistent with the current year presentation.

ITEM 7.MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") is intended to help the reader understand the consolidated results of operations and financial condition of Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, "we," "us," "our," or the "Company"). This MD&A should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this Form 10-K. When reviewing the discussion, you should keep in mind the substantial risks and uncertainties that characterize our business. In particular, we encourage you to review the risk and uncertainties described under Item 1A., "Risk Factors," of this Form 10-K. These risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from those forecasted in forward-looking statements or implied by past results and trends. Forward-looking statements are statements that attempt to project or anticipate future developments in our business; we encourage you to review the discussion of forward-looking statements under "Cautionary Statement for Purposes of the "Safe Harbor" Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995," at the beginning of this report. These statements, like all statements in this report, speak only as of the date of this report (unless another date is indicated), and we undertake no obligation to update or revise the statements in light of future developments. Unless otherwise specified, any reference to a "year" is to the year ended December 31.

Business Overview

We manufacture and market semi-finished and finished specialty steel products, including stainless steel, nickel alloys, tool steel and certain other alloyed steels. Our manufacturing process involves melting, remelting, heat treating, hot and cold rolling, forging, machining and cold drawing of semi-finished and finished specialty steels. Our products are sold to service centers, forgers, rerollers, original equipment manufacturers and wire redrawers. Our customers further process our products for use in a variety of industries, including the aerospace, power generation, oil and gas and general industrial markets. We also perform conversion services on materials supplied by customers.

Despite relatively strong demand in the first half of 2015, which delivered first half net sales growth of 6.7% compared to the first half of 2014, full year 2015 demand was down in all of our end markets due to a second half industry-wide downturn and customer destocking, combined with the impact of lower commodity-driven surcharges. Our customers reduced their inventory levels in anticipation of continued declines in commodity prices. Given this, our overall 2015 net sales were \$180.7 million, a decline of \$24.9 million, or 12.1%, compared to 2014 net sales of \$205.6 million. In our primary market, aerospace, which approximates 60% of total net sales, our sales declined to \$108.8 million in 2015 from \$120.9 million in 2014, a decrease of \$12.2 million, or 10.1%. In addition, net sales in power generation, general industrial (including conversion services and other sales), oil and gas markets, and heavy equipment end markets decreased in 2015 by 18.2%, 16.6%, 12.2% and 12.0%, respectively, reflecting broad-based customer destocking and low oil and gas end market activity. On a positive note, for the 2015 full year, our premium alloy products, which we define as all vacuum induction melt ("VIM"), sales increased by 27.4% over 2014 levels, and represented \$17.6 million, or 9.7%, of our total net sales compared to \$13.8 million, or 6.7%, of total net sales in 2014. Our premium alloy products are primarily sold to the aerospace end market. Backlog at the end of 2015, before surcharges, was \$38.2 million, a decrease of approximately 37% compared to a backlog of \$61.1 million at the end of 2014. One of our top priorities over the last 36 months has been to earn customer approvals. In 2015, we earned an additional 15 approvals that are critical to our focus on the aerospace, oil & gas and power generation industries. We added seven more new products in 2015 on top of the 13 added in 2014, as new product introductions are also essential to move to a higher value product mix. We continue to work on gaining other customer approvals and to add more products, with a focus on high value nickel alloy products.

For 2015, our gross margin was 5.3% of net sales compared to 15.6% of net sales in 2014. Gross margin was negatively impacted by the misalignment of customer surcharges with melt costs, as well as overall lower volumes. Reduced mill activity, including a 29% reduction in primary melt volumes, as well as employee severances and non-cash inventory write downs, resulted in charges of \$4.7 million, or 2.6% of net sales during the second half of 2015. In addition, during the second half of 2015, charges related to losses incurred from a supplier error impacted gross margin by an additional negative \$938,000, or 0.5% of net sales. In light of the weak business conditions in the second half of the year, our focus was to reduce inventory, generate cash, and implement productivity initiatives to better position us when the industry rebounds. In addition, we continued to focus our attention on reducing scrap rates, improving on-time performance, and increasing yields, while controlling spending at each of plants. As we move into 2016 we expect that surcharge misalignment will improve by the second quarter, assuming that nickel and other commodity prices continue to stabilize. Stabilization of commodity prices is a key catalyst for us to return to normal gross margin levels.

Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses decreased by \$1.7 million in 2015, compared to 2014, primarily as a result of incurring \$2.0 million less variable incentive compensation due to our reduced profitability in 2015 compared to 2014. In addition, SG&A charges totaling \$0.5 million for the exit of a non-compete contract and employee severance costs negatively impacted 2015. In addition to reducing headcount, and instituting unpaid leave, the Company negotiated savings on contracts with suppliers and service providers and reduced spending on SG&A in the second half of the year. Also impacting operating results, we recorded a \$20.3 million charge in the third quarter of 2015 for the impairment of goodwill. The decline in our stock price had caused the Company’s market capitalization to fall sufficiently below book value to necessitate an impairment review under generally accepted accounting principles. The impairment charge fully eliminates goodwill from the balance sheet.

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS INC - Form 10-K

Overall, our operating loss in 2015 was \$30.1 million, compared to operating income of \$10.9 million in 2014. However, the charges noted above related to goodwill impairment, idle plant costs, supplier losses, non-cash inventory write-offs, employee severance and exit costs, and the exit of a non-compete contract accounted for \$26.4 million of the change in 2015 compared to 2014.

During 2015 we generated \$19.2 million cash from operating activities, and incurred \$9.6 million of capital spending. Total debt was reduced by \$9.7 million. We believe that demand in the majority of our end markets, especially aerospace where both Boeing and Airbus have production backlogs out for the next several years along with growth in the aftermarket parts markets, will continue to improve as we move through 2016. However, we see no sign that the oil and gas market will rebound in 2016.

Our operating facilities are integrated, and therefore our chief operating decision maker (“CODM”) views the Company as one business unit. Our CODM sets performance goals, assesses performance and makes decisions about resource allocations on a consolidated basis. As a result of these factors, as well as the nature of the financial information available which is reviewed by our CODM, we maintain one reportable segment.

Results of Operations

2015 Results as Compared to 2014

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands, except per shipped ton information) | 2015 | | 2014 | | Dollar / ton variance | Percentage variance |
|---|------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| | Amount | Percentage of net sales | Amount | Percentage of net sales | | |
| Net sales: | | | | | | |
| Stainless steel | \$ 135,945 | 75.2 % | \$ 159,799 | 77.7 % | \$ (23,854) | (14.9) % |
| High-strength low alloy steel | 16,045 | 8.9 | 16,853 | 8.2 | (808) | (4.8) |
| Tool steel | 16,197 | 9.0 | 16,680 | 8.1 | (483) | (2.9) |
| High-temperature alloy steel | 7,557 | 4.2 | 6,295 | 3.1 | 1,262 | 20.0 |
| Conversion services and other sales | 4,916 | 2.7 | 5,933 | 2.9 | (1,017) | (17.1) |
| Total net sales | 180,660 | 100.0 | 205,560 | 100.0 | (24,900) | (12.1) |
| Cost of products sold | 171,065 | 94.7 | 173,538 | 84.4 | (2,473) | (1.4) |
| Gross margin | 9,595 | 5.3 | 32,022 | 15.6 | (22,427) | (70.0) |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 19,406 | 10.7 | 21,122 | 10.3 | (1,716) | (8.1) |
| Goodwill impairment | 20,268 | 11.2 | - | - | 20,268 | 100.0 |
| Operating (loss) income | (30,079) | (16.6) | 10,900 | 5.3 | (40,979) | (376.0) |
| Interest expense | (2,324) | (1.3) | (3,035) | (1.5) | (711) | 23.4 |
| Deferred financing amortization | (566) | (0.3) | (644) | (0.3) | (78) | 12.1 |
| Other income (expense) | 153 | 0.1 | (22) | - | 175 | 795.5 |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (32,816) | (18.2) | 7,199 | 3.5 | (40,015) | (555.8) |

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|-------------|-----------|
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (12,144) | (6.7) | 3,149 | 1.5 | (15,293) | (485.6) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (20,672) | (11.4) % | \$ 4,050 | 2.0 % | \$ (24,722) | (610.4) % |
| Tons shipped | 32,388 | | 38,869 | | (6,481) | (16.7) % |
| Sales dollars per shipped ton | \$ 5,578 | | \$ 5,289 | | \$ 289 | 5.5 % |

12

Market Segment Information:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | | | 2014 | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------------------|--|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | Amount | Percentage of net sales | | Amount | Percentage of net sales | Dollar variance | Percentage variance |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | |
| Service centers | \$ 121,090 | 67.0 % | | \$ 137,298 | 66.8 % | \$ (16,208) | (11.8) % |
| Forgers | 15,143 | 8.4 | | 24,918 | 12.1 | (9,775) | (39.2) |
| Rerollers | 17,848 | 9.9 | | 21,129 | 10.3 | (3,281) | (15.5) |
| Original equipment manufacturers | 21,663 | 12.0 | | 16,282 | 7.9 | 5,381 | 33.0 |
| Conversion services and other sales | 4,916 | 2.7 | | 5,933 | 2.9 | (1,017) | (17.1) |
| Total net sales | \$ 180,660 | 100.0 % | | \$ 205,560 | 100.0 % | \$ (24,900) | (12.1) % |

Melt Type Information:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | | | 2014 | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------------------|--|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | Amount | Percentage of net sales | | Amount | Percentage of net sales | Dollar variance | Percentage variance |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | |
| Specialty alloys | \$ 158,145 | 87.6 % | | \$ 185,811 | 90.4 % | \$ (27,666) | (14.9) % |
| Premium alloys | 17,599 | 9.7 | | 13,816 | 6.7 | 3,783 | 27.4 |
| Conversion services and other sales | 4,916 | 2.7 | | 5,933 | 2.9 | (1,017) | (17.1) |
| Total net sales | \$ 180,660 | 100.0 % | | \$ 205,560 | 100.0 % | \$ (24,900) | (12.1) % |

The majority of our products are sold to service centers rather than the ultimate end market customers. The end market information in this Annual Report is our estimate based upon our knowledge of our customers and the grade of material sold to them, that they will in-turn sell to the ultimate end market customer.

End Market Information:

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS INC - Form 10-K

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | | | 2014 | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------------------|--|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | Amount | Percentage of net sales | | Amount | Percentage of net sales | Dollar variance | Percentage variance |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | |
| Aerospace | \$ 108,791 | 60.2 % | | \$ 120,947 | 58.8 % | \$ (12,156) | (10.1) % |
| Power generation | 19,212 | 10.6 | | 23,498 | 11.4 | (4,286) | (18.2) |
| Oil and gas | 17,094 | 9.5 | | 19,470 | 9.6 | (2,376) | (12.2) |
| Heavy equipment | 15,961 | 8.8 | | 18,147 | 8.8 | (2,186) | (12.0) |
| General industrial, conversion services and other sales | 19,602 | 10.9 | | 23,498 | 11.4 | (3,896) | (16.6) |
| Total net sales | \$ 180,660 | 100.0 % | | \$ 205,560 | 100.0 % | \$ (24,900) | (12.1) % |

13

Net sales:

Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2015 decreased \$24.9 million or 12.1%, as compared to the same period in 2014. The decrease in our sales primarily reflects a 16.7% decrease in consolidated tons shipped in 2015 compared to 2014 as demand for our products decreased as a result of declining market conditions in 2015 including customer destocking, declines in the oil and gas end market, and lower commodity driven surcharges. Although sales dollars and tons shipped decreased in 2015 compared to 2014, sales dollars per shipped ton increased by 5.5% primarily a result of more favorable product mix of our higher value-added products. Our product sales to all of our end markets decreased as noted in the above table. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized a \$3.8 million, or a 27.4%, increase in premium alloy sales when compared to 2014. It is a primary focus of ours to ship higher value-added products. Overall, our premium alloy sales, which are sold primarily to the aerospace end market increased from 6.7% of total sales for the year ended December 31, 2014 to 9.7% of total sales during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Gross margin:

Our gross margin, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 5.3% in 2015 from 15.6% for 2014. The decrease in gross margin is largely the result of the misalignment of sales surcharges, declining commodity prices, and lower sales volumes. The Company also incurred approximately \$4.7 million of costs, in the second half of 2015, in response to the sharp industry downturn including costs to temporarily idle plants, non-cash inventory write downs, and costs for reducing the hourly and salary workforce. In addition, the Company incurred approximately \$938,000 of costs associated with the unauthorized substitution by a vendor of a critical supply part for the melting process.

Selling, general and administrative expenses:

Our SG&A expenses consist primarily of employee costs, which include salaries, payroll taxes and benefit related costs, legal and accounting services, stock compensation and insurance costs. Our SG&A expenses decreased by \$1.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily due to decreased expenses of \$2.0 million related to our variable incentive compensation plan as the result of our decreased profitability in 2015 as compared to 2014. In addition we incurred approximately \$225,000 of severance costs for reductions in the salary workforce and a non-cash write off of \$255,000 for the exit of a non-compete contract. The Company implemented cost savings programs in the second half of the year including headcount reductions, unpaid leave, negotiating savings on existing contracts with suppliers and service providers and reducing spending on SG&A.

Goodwill impairment:

We recorded a goodwill impairment in the third quarter of 2015. Due to a significant and sustained drop in our share price and continued weak operating results driven by slower market conditions, the Company determined that an interim goodwill impairment review was required in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 350, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other”. Based on the guidance in ASC 350, the Company performed the two-step quantitative analysis. Under the first step, the Company determined that the carrying value exceeded the fair value of the Company and, therefore, the second step of the analysis was performed. The fair value was estimated using a combination of an income approach, which estimates fair value based on projected discounted cash flows and a market approach, which estimates fair value using the recent stock price of the Company. The income approach is supported by a Level 3 fair value measurement, which means that the valuation reflects the Company’s own estimates of market participant assumptions. The market approach is supported by a Level 1 fair value measurement which is the observable stock price of the Company. The income approach was weighted 30% and the market approach was weighted 70% in determining the fair value. This assessment resulted in the recognition of a non-cash goodwill

impairment charge of \$20.3 million, which eliminated all goodwill from the balance sheet at September 30, 2015. The after-tax impact of this charge was \$13.1 million. As a result of the step two analysis no other assets were deemed to be impaired at September 30, 2015. The Company reviewed long-lived assets for impairment at December 31, 2015 and no impairment was noted.

Interest expense and deferred financing amortization:

Our interest costs on our debt decreased to \$2.3 million from \$3.0 million for the same period of 2014. This decrease is primarily due to lower interest rates incurred on our debt in 2015 as compared to 2014 as well as lower debt balances in 2015. The interest rate on our variable rate debt is determined by a LIBOR-based rate plus an applicable margin based upon achieving certain covenant levels. Our deferred financing costs are associated with the issuance and subsequent amendments to our credit facility and convertible notes. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, we recognized \$566,000 and \$644,000, respectively, of deferred financing amortization.

Other income:

Other income (expense) increased to approximately \$153,000 of income from approximately \$22,000 of expense for the same period of 2014. The increase is due to an insurance recovery of approximately \$218,000 offset by foreign currency losses.

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS INC - Form 10-K

Income tax (benefit) provision:

Our effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were (37.0)% and 43.7%, respectively. Our overall effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2015, which reflects a pre-tax loss, also includes approximately \$517,000 of current and prior year research and development (“R&D”) tax credits. The effective tax rate in 2014 was negatively impacted by net tax expenses of \$570,000 due to a change in the New York state tax rate to zero percent (0%) for qualified New York manufacturers.

Net (loss) income:

We incurred a net loss of \$20.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to net income of \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. However, charges noted above related to goodwill impairment, idle plant costs, supplier losses, non-cash inventory write-offs, employee severance and exit costs, and the exit of a non-compete contract negatively impacted the 2015 net income by \$17.0 million.

2014 Results as Compared to 2013

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands, except per shipped ton information) | 2014 | | | 2013 | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------------------------|---|------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| | Amount | Percentage of net sales | % | Amount | Percentage of net sales | % | Dollar / ton variance | Percentage variance |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| Stainless steel | \$ 159,799 | 77.7 | % | \$ 137,383 | 76.0 | % | \$ 22,416 | 16.3 |
| High-strength low alloy steel | 16,853 | 8.2 | | 17,894 | 9.9 | | (1,041) | (5.8) |
| Tool steel | 16,680 | 8.1 | | 18,112 | 10.0 | | (1,432) | (7.9) |
| High-temperature alloy steel | 6,295 | 3.1 | | 4,277 | 2.4 | | 2,018 | 47.2 |
| Conversion services and other sales | 5,933 | 2.9 | | 3,102 | 1.7 | | 2,831 | 91.3 |
| Total net sales | 205,560 | 100.0 | | 180,768 | 100.0 | | 24,792 | 13.7 |
| Cost of products sold | 173,538 | 84.4 | | 166,888 | 92.3 | | 6,650 | 4.0 |
| Gross margin | 32,022 | 15.6 | | 13,880 | 7.7 | | 18,142 | 130.7 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 21,122 | 10.3 | | 17,885 | 9.9 | | 3,237 | 18.1 |
| Operating income (loss) | 10,900 | 5.3 | | (4,005) | (2.2) | | 14,905 | (372.2) |
| Interest expense | (3,035) | (1.5) | | (2,598) | (1.4) | | 437 | 16.8 |
| Deferred financing amortization | (644) | (0.3) | | (444) | (0.2) | | 200 | 45.0 |

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-------|------------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Other income, net | (22) | - | 481 | 0.3 | (503) | (104.6) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 7,199 | 3.5 | (6,566) | (3.6) | 13,765 | (209.6) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 3,149 | 1.5 | (2,504) | (1.4) | 5,653 | (225.8) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 4,050 | 2.0 % | \$ (4,062) | (2.2) % | \$ 8,112 | (199.7) % |
| Tons shipped | 38,869 | | 36,477 | | 2,392 | 6.6 % |
| Sales dollars per shipped ton | \$ 5,289 | | \$ 4,956 | | \$ 333 | 6.7 % |

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS INC - Form 10-K

Market Segment Information:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2014 | | | 2013 | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------------------|---|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|
| | Amount | Percentage of net sales | | Amount | Percentage of net sales | Dollar variance | Percentage variance | |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| Service centers | \$ 137,298 | 66.8 | % | \$ 115,859 | 64.1 | \$ 21,439 | 18.5 | % |
| Forgers | 24,918 | 12.1 | | 21,254 | 11.8 | 3,664 | 17.2 | |
| Rerollers | 21,129 | 10.3 | | 27,021 | 14.9 | (5,892) | (21.8) | |
| Original equipment manufacturers | 16,282 | 7.9 | | 13,532 | 7.5 | 2,750 | 20.3 | |
| Conversion services and other sales | 5,933 | 2.9 | | 3,102 | 1.7 | 2,831 | 91.3 | |
| Total net sales | \$ 205,560 | 100.0 | % | \$ 180,768 | 100.0 | \$ 24,792 | 13.7 | % |

Melt Type Information:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2014 | | | 2013 | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------------------|---|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|
| | Amount | Percentage of net sales | | Amount | Percentage of net sales | Dollar variance | Percentage variance | |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | | |
| Specialty alloys | \$ 185,811 | 90.4 | % | \$ 167,040 | 92.4 | \$ 18,771 | 11.2 | % |
| Premium alloys | 13,816 | 6.7 | | 10,626 | 5.9 | 3,190 | 30.0 | |
| Conversion services and other sales | 5,933 | 2.9 | | 3,102 | 1.7 | 2,831 | 91.3 | |
| Total net sales | \$ 205,560 | 100.0 | % | \$ 180,768 | 100.0 | \$ 24,792 | 13.7 | % |

The majority of our products are sold to service centers rather than the ultimate end market customers. The end market information in this Annual Report is our estimate based upon our knowledge of our customers and the grade of material sold to them, that they will in-turn sell to the ultimate end market customer.

End Market Information:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2014 | | | 2013 | | | |
|--|--------|-------------------------------|--|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | Amount | Percentage of net sales | | Amount | Percentage of net sales | Dollar variance | Percentage variance |
| Net sales: | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------|---|------------|-------|---|-----------|-------|---|
| Aerospace | \$ 120,947 | 58.8 | % | \$ 102,341 | 56.6 | % | \$ 18,606 | 18.2 | % |
| Power generation | 23,498 | 11.4 | | 21,671 | 12.0 | | 1,827 | 8.4 | |
| Oil and gas | 19,470 | 9.6 | | 18,880 | 10.5 | | 590 | 3.1 | |
| Heavy equipment | 18,147 | 8.8 | | 19,788 | 10.9 | | (1,641) | (8.3) | |
| General industrial, conversion services and other sales | 23,498 | 11.4 | | 18,088 | 10.0 | | 5,410 | 29.9 | |
| Total net sales | \$ 205,560 | 100.0 | % | \$ 180,768 | 100.0 | % | \$ 24,792 | 13.7 | % |

16

Net sales:

Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$24.8 million, or 13.7%, as compared to the similar period in 2013. The increase in our sales primarily reflects a 6.6% increase in consolidated tons shipped in 2014 compared to 2013 as demand for our products increased as a result of improved market conditions in 2014. The increase in both sales and sales dollars per shipped ton is primarily a result of increased base prices as well as more favorable product mix of our higher value added products. Our product sales to all of our end markets, except heavy equipment, increased as noted in the above table. Our product sales to our targeted end markets of aerospace, power generation, and oil and gas end markets increased 18.2%, 8.4% and 3.1%, respectively in 2014 compared to 2013. Sales to our heavy equipment market decreased by \$1.6 million, or 8.3%, in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to uneven buying patterns from year to year because of the many smaller customers we have in this end market. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recognized a \$3.2 million, or a 30.0%, increase in premium alloy sales when compared to 2013. It is a primary focus of ours to ship higher value added products. Overall, our premium alloy sales, which are sold primarily to the aerospace end market increased from 5.9% of total sales for the year ended December 31, 2013 to 6.7% of total sales during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Gross margin:

Our gross margin, as a percentage of sales, more than doubled to 15.6% for the year ended 2014 compared to 7.7% for the same 2013 period. The improvement in our gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013 is largely a result of a better product mix of higher value added products sold, improved yields and scrap rates, and higher plant operating levels due to improved market conditions which created a higher demand for our products compared to the same 2013 period.

Selling, general and administrative expenses:

Our SG&A expenses consist primarily of employee costs, which include salaries, payroll taxes and benefit related costs, legal and accounting services, stock compensation and insurance costs. Our SG&A expenses increased by \$3.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to increased expenses of \$2.2 million related to our variable incentive compensation plan as the result of our increased profitability in 2014 as compared to 2013 and approximately \$960,000 of administrative costs associated with moving our fully insured health care plans to a self-insured plan. However, our overall healthcare costs for 2014 were consistent with those incurred in 2013. In addition, we incurred higher than normal placement and relocation costs to deepen our management team for further growth; however, these costs were somewhat offset by a reduction in severance costs. We incurred \$392,000 in severance expense in the year ended December 31, 2013 from the departure of a senior executive.

Interest expense and deferred financing amortization:

Our interest costs on our debt increased to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$2.6 million for the same period of 2013. This increase is primarily due to higher interest rates incurred on our debt in 2014 as compared to 2013. The interest rate on our variable rate debt is determined by a LIBOR-based rate plus an applicable margin based upon achieving certain covenant levels. Our deferred financing costs are associated with the issuance and subsequent amendments to our credit facility. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we recognized \$644,000 and \$444,000, respectively, of deferred financing amortization. Based upon the maturity date of our current debt facility, we expect that our annual deferred financing amortization expense for 2015 and 2016 to be approximately \$639,000 and \$107,000 in 2017.

Other income:

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we entered into a settlement agreement with the sellers of the North Jackson facility, whereby we received \$425,000 as a final settlement of certain claims under an escrow agreement that was entered into at the time of acquisition to satisfy certain claims under the purchase agreement. The settlement was recognized as a gain during the year ended December 31, 2013, which is included as a component of other income on the consolidated statement of operations.

Income tax (benefit) provision:

Our effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were 43.7% and (38.1)%, respectively. Our overall effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2014, which reflects federal and state taxable income, also includes net tax expenses of \$570,000 due to a change in the New York state tax rate to zero percent (0%) for qualified New York manufacturers, a settlement with Pennsylvania regarding certain expenses deducted, and 2013 and 2014 R&D tax credits.

On March 31, 2014, new tax legislation was enacted in New York that reduced the New York state income tax rate to zero percent (0%) for qualified manufacturers, such as Universal, for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2014. Prior to this legislation, our facility in Dunkirk operated in a New York State Empire Zone and qualified to benefit from investments made and employees hired, and as such, we had recorded a deferred tax asset on these investments. As a result of this new legislation, we placed a full valuation allowance on our remaining corresponding deferred tax asset in the amount of \$596,000 during the first quarter of 2014. Also, the

Tax Increase Prevention Act of 2014 extended the tax benefit for research and development tax credits for 2014 resulting in a benefit of approximately \$342,000 which was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2014. In addition, we reached a settlement with Pennsylvania on certain expenses, which had been deducted for state income tax purposes during the 2005-2008 tax years. As a result of this matter, we incurred \$179,000 of additional Pennsylvania income taxes net of the federal tax benefit.

Our effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2013 was negatively impacted by the tax valuation allowance of \$986,000 that we placed against certain deferred tax assets for New York. Our effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2013 benefited from approximately \$1.0 million of R&D tax credits that we generated for 2012 and 2013.

Net income:

Our net income increased to \$4.1 million, or \$0.57 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2014 from a net loss of \$(4.1) million, or \$(0.58) per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, we have financed our operating activities through cash provided by operations and cash provided through our credit facilities.

Net cash provided by operating activities:

During 2015, we generated net cash from operating activities of \$19.2 million. Our managed working capital, defined as net accounts receivable plus net inventory minus accounts payable, contributed \$14.3 million of cash from operations. Net income adjusted for non-cash expenses generated approximately \$8.0 million of cash in 2015 which was partially offset by reductions in other accruals, primarily the payout of 2014 variable incentive compensation in 2015. The decrease in managed working capital was driven by efforts to reduce inventory levels as well as reductions in receivables due to reduced sales compared to the same period in 2014.

During 2014, we generated net cash from operating activities of \$12.9 million. Our net income adjusted for non-cash expenses generated approximately \$26.5 million of cash in 2014, which was partially offset by increases in our managed working capital which increased by \$15.4 million to \$105.1 million at December 31, 2014 compared to \$89.8 million at December 31, 2013. The increase in managed working capital in 2014 was primarily the result of improved market conditions in 2014 compared to 2013.

Net cash used in investing activity:

During 2015, our capital spending, which is primarily discretionary in nature, was \$9.6 million as compared to \$11.2 million in 2014. We received proceeds of approximately \$218,000 in 2015 from an insurance recovery related to a casualty loss of manufacturing equipment.

Net cash used in financing activities:

During 2015, we used \$9.9 million in cash from our financing activities. We paid down \$9.7 million of debt and incurred approximately \$584,000 of deferred financing fees which was partially offset by proceeds from the issuance of stock from the exercise of stock options and the issuance of stock under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

During 2014, we used \$1.9 million in cash from our financing activities. Net cash used under our credit facility was approximately \$2.9 million. Additionally, in 2014, we received \$1.0 million in receipts from the exercise of stock options and the issuance of stock under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Our borrowings increased to support

higher inventory and operating levels.

We believe that our cash flows from continuing operations as well as available borrowings under our credit facility are adequate to satisfy our working capital, capital expenditure requirements, and other contractual obligations for the foreseeable future, including at least the next 12 months.

The average costs per pound of nickel, chrome, molybdenum, and carbon scrap for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

| For the years ended December 31, | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|
| Nickel | \$ 5.37 | \$ 7.65 | \$ 6.81 |
| Chrome | \$ 1.08 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.01 |
| Molybdenum | \$ 6.85 | \$ 11.72 | \$ 10.36 |
| Carbon scrap | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.17 |

Sources: Nickel is the daily average LME Cash Settlement Price; Chrome and Molybdenum is the final monthly average as published by Ryan's Notes; Carbon is the consumer price for #1 Industrial Bundles in the Pittsburgh, PA area as reported in American Metal Market.

We maintain sales price surcharge mechanisms on certain of our products, priced at time of order or shipment, to mitigate the risk of substantial raw material cost fluctuations. The average cost of nickel, which has a major impact on surcharges, has dropped to \$5.37 in 2015 and had a negative impact on our gross margin in 2015. In the last three months of 2015 the average cost of nickel has dropped to \$4.27. This factor may have a negative impact on our gross margin as we move into 2016 to the extent that we will be selling higher cost material that was melted in the latter half of 2015 to our customers while receiving lower surcharges in the first part of 2016. The market values for these raw materials and others continue to fluctuate based on supply and demand, market disruptions and other factors.

Capital Resources Including Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. We do not maintain off-balance sheet arrangements, nor do we participate in non-exchange traded contracts requiring fair value accounting treatment, or material related-party transaction arrangements.

Credit Facility

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had a Credit Agreement (as previously amended, "Prior Credit Agreement") with a syndication of banks which provided for a \$105.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Prior Revolver") and a \$20.0 million senior secured term loan facility (the "Prior Term Loan" and together with the Prior Revolver, the "Prior Facilities") with an expiration in March 2017.

The Prior Credit Agreement required us to pay a commitment fee of 0.25% based on the daily unused portion of the Prior Revolver. The Prior Revolver also provided for up to \$7.0 million of swing loans so long as the sum of the outstanding swing loans and the outstanding borrowings under the Prior Revolver did not exceed our borrowing base under the terms of the Prior Credit Agreement. The Prior Term Loan was payable in quarterly installments in the principal amount of \$750,000 which began on July 1, 2013, with the balance of the Term Loan payable in full on March 19, 2017.

Amounts outstanding under the Prior Facilities, at our option, incurred interest at either a base rate or a LIBOR-based rate (the "LIBOR Option"), in either case calculated in accordance with the terms of the Prior Credit Agreement. We elected to use the LIBOR Option during the year ended December 31, 2015, which was 2.18% at December 31, 2015. Interest on the Prior Facilities was payable monthly. Amounts outstanding under the Prior Facilities were collateralized by the Company's accounts receivable, inventory and property, plant and equipment.

On October 23, 2015, the Company entered into a Fourth Amendment to the Prior Credit Agreement (the "Fourth Amendment") which was effective as of September 30, 2015. Pursuant to the Fourth Amendment, the covenants required under the Prior Credit Agreement were amended. We were in compliance with our covenants at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

On January 21, 2016 we entered into a new Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with PNC Bank, National Association, as administrative agent and co-collateral agent, Bank of America, N.A., as co-collateral agent and PNC Capital Markets LLC, as sole lead arranger and sole bookrunner. The Credit Agreement provides for a senior secured revolving credit facility not to exceed \$65 million (the "Revolving Credit Facility") and a senior secured term loan facility (the "Term Loan") in the amount of \$30 million (together with the Revolving Credit Facility, the "Facilities"). The Credit Agreement also provides for a letter of credit sub-facility not to exceed \$10.0 million and a swing loan sub-facility not to exceed \$5.0 million. The Credit Agreement replaces the Prior Credit Agreement. The Company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants set forth in the Prior Credit Agreement as of the date of its entrance into the Credit Agreement.

The Facilities, which expire upon the earlier of (i) January 21, 2021 or (ii) the date that is 90 days prior to the scheduled maturity date of the Convertible Notes (as defined below) (in either case, the "Expiration Date"), are collateralized by a first lien in substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, except that no real property is collateral under the Facilities other than the Company's real property in North Jackson, Ohio.

Availability under the Revolving Credit Facility is based on eligible accounts receivable and inventory. The Company is required to pay a commitment fee of 0.25% based on the daily unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility.

With respect to the Term Loan, the Company will pay quarterly installments of principal of approximately \$1.1 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest, on the first day of each fiscal quarter beginning on April 1, 2016. To the extent not previously paid, the Term Loan will become due and payable in full on the Expiration Date.

Amounts outstanding under the Facilities, at the Company's option, will bear interest at either a base rate or a LIBOR based rate, in either case calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement. Interest under the Credit Agreement is payable monthly.

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants. The Company also must maintain certain levels of EBITDA as outlined in the Credit Agreement. As of December 31, 2016 and as of the end of each fiscal quarter ending thereafter, the Company must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.10 to 1.0, in each case measured on a rolling four-quarter basis calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement.

At December 31, 2015 we had deferred financing fees of approximately \$1.3 million. As a result of entering into the Credit Agreement we expect to write off approximately \$0.8 million of deferred fees in the first quarter of 2016.

Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement, the Company completed the issuance of 73,207 shares of the Company's common stock to certain directors and officers of the Company on February 2, 2016. The aggregate purchase price of the stock was \$500,003.81 based on the average of the high and low reported trading prices for the Company's common stock on The Nasdaq Stock Market on February 1, 2016.

Convertible Notes

In connection with the acquisition of the North Jackson facility, in August 2011, we issued \$20.0 million in convertible notes (the "Notes") to the sellers of the North Jackson facility as partial consideration of the acquisition. The Notes were subordinated obligations and ranked junior to the Prior Facilities. The Notes bore interest at a fixed rate of 4.0% per annum, payable in cash semi-annually in arrears on each June 18 and December 18, beginning on December 18, 2011. As of December 31, 2015, unless earlier converted, the Notes were scheduled to mature and the unpaid principal balance was due on August 17, 2017. The Notes and any accrued and unpaid interest were convertible into shares of our common stock at the option of the holder at an initial conversion price of \$47.1675 per share of common stock. The conversion price associated with the Notes was subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. We were permitted to prepay any outstanding Notes, in whole or in part, during a fiscal quarter if our share price was greater than 140% of the then-current conversion price for at least 20 of the trading days in the 30 consecutive trading day period ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding quarter.

On January 21, 2016, the Company entered into Amended and Restated Convertible Notes (collectively, the "Convertible Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of \$20.0 million, each in favor of Gorbert Inc. (the "Holder"). The Convertible Notes amended and restated the Notes. The Company's obligations under the Convertible Notes are collateralized by a second lien on the same assets of the Co-Borrowers that collateralize the obligations of the Co-Borrowers under the Facilities. The Convertible Notes mature on March 17, 2019 and the maturity date may be extended, at the Company's option, to March 17, 2020 and further to March 17, 2021. If the Company elects to extend the maturity date of the Convertible Notes to March 17, 2020, principal payments in the aggregate of \$2.0 million will be required on March 17, 2019. If the Company elects to extend the maturity date of the Convertible Notes further to March 17, 2021, principal payments in the aggregate of \$2.0 million will be required on March 17, 2020.

The Convertible Notes bear interest at a rate of 4.0% per year through and including August 17, 2016, a rate of 5.0% per year from August 18, 2016 through and including August 17, 2017 and a rate of 6.0% per year from and after August 18, 2017. Through and including June 18, 2017, all accrued and unpaid interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on each June 18 and December 18. After June 18, 2017, all accrued and unpaid interest is payable quarterly in arrears on each September 18, December 18, March 18 and June 18.

The Holder may elect at any time on or prior to August 17, 2017 to convert all or any portion of the outstanding principal amount of the Convertible Notes which is an integral multiple of \$100,000. The Convertible Notes are convertible into shares of common stock and, in certain circumstances, cash, securities and/or other assets. The Convertible Notes are convertible based on an initial conversion rate of 21.2 shares of Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the Convertible Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$47.1675 per share). The conversion rate and the conversion price associated with the Convertible Notes may be adjusted in certain circumstances. The Holder's conversion rights will be void and no longer subject to exercise by the Holder beginning on August 17, 2017.

In conjunction with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, we made principal prepayments on the Convertible Notes totaling \$1.0 million on January 21, 2016.

Share-Based Activity. We issued 33,175, 60,880, and 66,145 shares of our common stock during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, through our two share-based compensation plans. In 2015, 17,500 stock options issued under the Omnibus Incentive Plan (“OIP”) were exercised for an aggregate exercise price of \$267,000. In 2014, 49,500 stock options issued under the OIP were exercised for an aggregate exercise price of \$764,000. In 2013, 55,625 stock options issued under the OIP were exercised for an aggregate exercise price of \$848,000. Additionally, in 2012, we issued 35,000 shares of restricted common stock. During 2013, 3,000 shares of restricted common stock were forfeited. The remaining shares were issued to participants in the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

At December 31, 2015, our unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock option totaled \$2.7 million which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 3.0 years.

In October 1998, we initiated a stock repurchase program to repurchase up to 315,000 shares of our outstanding common stock in open market transactions at market prices. We have not repurchased any shares under the program since 2001. We are authorized to repurchase 45,100 remaining shares of common stock under this program as of December 31, 2015.

Contractual Obligations. At December 31, 2015, we had the following contractual principal, interest and purchase obligations:

| (dollars in thousands) | Payments due by period | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years |
| Long-term debt (A) | \$ 79,889 | \$ 5,005 | \$ 74,884 | \$ - |
| Purchase obligations - other (B) | 4,613 | 3,994 | 520 | 99 |
| Purchase obligations - capital expenditures (B) | 1,919 | 1,919 | - | - |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 86,421 | \$ 10,918 | \$ 75,404 | \$ 99 |

(A) Amounts include interest expense, which was estimated based upon the December 31, 2015 interest rate for our debt and assumes that debt will not be repaid until its maturity. Amounts do not reflect the impact of the Credit Agreement, which was entered into on January 21, 2016 and discussed above.

(B) Purchase obligations include the value of all open purchase orders with established quantities and purchase prices as well as minimum purchase commitments and operating leases.

CONTINGENT ITEMS

Product Claims. We are subject to various claims and legal actions that arise in the normal course of conducting business. There were no material product claims outstanding at December 31, 2015.

Environmental Matters. We, as well as other steel companies, are subject to demanding environmental standards imposed by federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. We are not aware of any environmental condition that currently exists at any of our facilities that are probable or reasonably possible of having a material impact on our results of operations or liquidity.

We are aware of energy usage concerns relating to climate change; however, we are not aware of any pending regulations that are expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or liquidity.

Legal Matters. From time to time, various lawsuits and claims have been or may be asserted against us relating to the conduct of our business, including routine litigation relating to commercial and employment matters. The ultimate cost and outcome of any litigation or claim cannot be predicted with certainty. Management believes, based on information presently available, that the likelihood that the ultimate outcome of any such pending matter will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, or liquidity or a material impact to its results of operations is remote, although the resolution of one or more of these matters may have a material adverse effect on its results of operations.

for the period in which the resolution occurs.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Critical Accounting Policies

Revenue from the sale of products is recognized when both risk of loss and title have transferred to the customer, which in most cases coincides with shipment of the related products, and collection is reasonably assured. We manufacture specialty steel products to customer purchase order specifications and in recognition of requirements for product acceptance. Material certification forms are executed, indicating compliance with the customer purchase orders, before the specialty steel products are packed and shipped to the customer.

Revenue from conversion services is recognized when the performance of the service is complete. Invoiced shipping and handling costs are also accounted for as revenue. Customer claims, which are not material, are accounted for primarily as a reduction to gross sales after the matter has been researched and an acceptable resolution has been reached.

In addition, management constantly monitors the ability to collect its unpaid sales invoices and the valuation of its receivables. The allowance for doubtful accounts includes specific reserves for the value of outstanding invoices issued to customers that are deemed potentially not collectible.

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. The cost of inventory is principally determined by the weighted average cost method for material and operation costs. An inventory reserve is provided for material on hand for which management believes cost

exceeds net realizable value. We reserve for slow-moving inventory and inventory that is being evaluated under our quality control process. The reserves are based upon management's expected method of disposition.

Long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment and identifiable intangible assets are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable in relation to the operating performance and future undiscounted cash flows of the underlying assets. Adjustments are made if the sum of expected future cash flows is less than book value. No impairment reserve was deemed necessary as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the basis of such assets and liabilities as measured by tax laws and regulations. Our deferred tax assets include net operating loss carry forwards that can be used to offset taxable income in future periods and reduce income taxes payable in those future periods. These deferred tax assets will expire, if unused, at various times through 2031. Deferred tax liabilities primarily relate to book / tax depreciation differences. Management assesses the need to record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Identifiable intangible assets are recorded at fair value and are amortized over their useful lives using the straight-line method.

Goodwill, which represents the excess of cost over net tangible and identifiable intangible assets of acquired businesses, is stated at fair value at the date of acquisition. Goodwill is not amortized, but is tested or evaluated in accordance with Accounting Standards Update 2011-08, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment," annually for impairment or more frequently if any event indicates that the carrying amount of goodwill may be impaired. We perform our annual goodwill impairment test or evaluation as of the beginning of the fourth quarter.

We evaluate goodwill for impairment by either performing a qualitative evaluation or a two-step quantitative test, which involves comparing the estimated fair value of the associated reporting unit to its carrying value. The qualitative evaluation is an assessment of factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that fair value is less than its carrying amount. Factors considered as part of the qualitative assessment include entity-specific, industry, market and general economic conditions. We may elect to bypass this qualitative assessment and perform a two-step quantitative test. We test for goodwill impairment using a combination of valuation techniques, which include consideration of a market-based approach (guideline company method) and an income approach (discounted cash flow method), in determining fair value in the annual impairment test of goodwill. We believe that the combination of the valuation models provides a more appropriate valuation by taking into account different marketplace participant assumptions. Both methods utilize market data in the derivation of a value estimate and are forward-looking in nature. The guideline assessment of future performance and the discounted cash flow method utilize a market-derived rate of return to discount anticipated performance. We did not have any impairment charges for the years ended December 2014, and 2013. We did record an impairment of goodwill in the third quarter of 2015 which resulted in the elimination of goodwill from the balance sheet.

Other events and changes in circumstances may also require goodwill to be tested for impairment between annual measurement dates. While a decline in stock price and market capitalization is not specifically cited as a goodwill impairment indicator, a company's stock price and market capitalization should be considered in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. Additionally, a significant decline in a company's stock price may suggest that an adverse change in the business climate may have caused the fair value of the reporting unit to fall below its carrying value. The financial and credit market volatility directly impacts our fair value measurement through our stock price that we use to determine our market capitalization.

During times of volatility, significant judgment must be applied to determine whether credit or stock price changes are a short-term swing or a longer-term trend. A sustained decline in our market capitalization below its book value could lead us to determine, in a future period, that an interim goodwill impairment review is required and may result in an impairment charge which would have a negative impact on our results of operations.

The calculation for our share-based compensation expense involves a number of assumptions. Management believes each assumption used in the valuation is reasonable because it takes into account the experience of the plan and reasonable expectations. Management estimates volatility and forfeitures based on historical data, future expectations and the expected term of the share-based compensation awards. The assumptions, however, involve inherent uncertainties. As a result, if other assumptions had been used, share-based compensation expense could have varied.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See information under the heading “Note 1: Significant Accounting Policies” within “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for details of recently issued accounting pronouncements and their expected impact on our financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The majority of our customers and suppliers absorb fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Prices for our raw materials and natural gas requirements are subject to frequent market fluctuations, and profit margins may decline in the event market prices increase. Selling price increases and surcharges are utilized to offset raw material and natural gas market price increases.

Raw material prices vary based on numerous factors, including quality, and are subject to frequent market fluctuations. Future raw material prices cannot be predicted with any degree of certainty. We do not maintain any long-term agreements with any of our raw material suppliers.

We maintain a sales price surcharge mechanism on certain of our products to help offset the impact of raw material price fluctuations. For certain products, the surcharge is calculated at the time of order entry, based on current raw material prices or prices at the time of shipment. For certain finished products, the surcharge is calculated based on the monthly average raw material prices two months prior to the promised ship date. While the material surcharge mechanism is designed to offset modest fluctuations in raw material prices, it cannot immediately absorb significant spikes in raw material prices. A material change in raw material prices within a short period of time could have a material effect on our financial results and there can be no assurance that the raw material surcharge mechanism will completely offset immediate changes in our raw material costs.

At December 31, 2015, we had \$57.1 million of floating rate debt outstanding with an interest rate of 2.18%. Since the interest rate on floating rate debt changes with the short-term market rate of interest, we are exposed to the risk that these interest rates may increase, raising our interest expense. A hypothetical 1.0% increase or decrease in our floating rate debt interest rates would unfavorably or favorably impact our pre-tax results by \$571,000.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Financial Statements

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting | 24 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 25 |
| Financial Statements | |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 | 26 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014 | 27 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 | 28 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 | 29 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 30 |
| Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules | |
| Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | 47 |

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance to management and the board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. We conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission (2013 Framework). Based on our assessment, we believe that, as of December 31, 2015, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

The effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 has been audited by Schneider Downs & Co. Inc., an independent registered public accounting firm which also audited our consolidated financial statements. Schneider Downs' attestation report on the consolidated financial statements and management's maintenance of effective internal control over financial reporting is included under the heading "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

/s/ Dennis M. Oates

/s/ Ross C. Wilkin

Dennis M. Oates
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Ross C. Wilkin
Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

24

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, and shareholders' equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2015. In addition, our audit included the consolidated financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15 (2) (Schedule II). We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements, the consolidated financial statement Schedule II, and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements.

Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the consolidated financial statements as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material

respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

/s/ Schneider Downs & Co., Inc.
Schneider Downs & Co., Inc.
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
February 24, 2016

UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands, except per share information) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|
| Net sales | \$ 180,660 | \$ 205,560 | \$ 180,768 |
| Cost of products sold | 171,065 | 173,538 | 166,888 |
| Gross margin | 9,595 | 32,022 | 13,880 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 19,406 | 21,122 | 17,885 |
| Goodwill impairment | 20,268 | - | - |
| Operating (loss) income | (30,079) | 10,900 | (4,005) |
| Interest expense and other financing costs | (2,890) | (3,679) | (3,042) |
| Other income (expense) | 153 | (22) | 481 |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (32,816) | 7,199 | (6,566) |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (12,144) | 3,149 | (2,504) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (20,672) | \$ 4,050 | \$ (4,062) |
| Basic | \$ (2.92) | \$ 0.58 | \$ (0.58) |
| Diluted | \$ (2.92) | \$ 0.57 | \$ (0.58) |
| Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 7,069,954 | 7,031,539 | 6,950,976 |
| Diluted | 7,069,954 | 7,116,431 | 6,950,976 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|------------|------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 112 | \$ 142 |
| Accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$249 and \$17, respectively) | 17,683 | 29,057 |
| Inventory, net | 83,373 | 101,070 |
| Other current assets | 2,584 | 2,681 |
| Total current assets | 103,752 | 132,950 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 193,505 | 199,795 |
| Goodwill | - | 20,268 |
| Other long-term assets | 1,298 | 1,861 |
| Total assets | \$ 298,555 | \$ 354,874 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 11,850 | \$ 25,009 |
| Accrued employment costs | 3,256 | 6,011 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Other current liabilities | 640 | 861 |
| Total current liabilities | 18,746 | 34,881 |
| Long-term debt | 74,137 | 83,875 |
| Deferred income taxes | 20,666 | 32,425 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 29 | 63 |
| Total liabilities | 113,578 | 151,244 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 10) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Senior preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 1,980,000 shares authorized; 0 shares issued and outstanding | - | - |
| Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 20,000,000 shares authorized, respectively; 7,404,193 and 7,371,018 shares issued, respectively | 7 | 7 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 54,829 | 52,810 |
| Retained earnings | 132,431 | 153,103 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 292,855 common shares held, respectively | (2,290) | (2,290) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 184,977 | 203,630 |

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 298,555 | \$ 354,874 |
|--|------------|------------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (20,672) | \$ 4,050 | \$ (4,062) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 18,608 | 17,476 | 16,280 |
| Deferred income tax | (12,060) | 2,935 | (2,998) |
| Share-based compensation expense, net | 1,865 | 2,082 | 1,827 |
| Goodwill impairment | 20,268 | - | - |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 11,374 | (7,610) | 3,334 |
| Inventory, net | 15,929 | (20,075) | 11,934 |
| Accounts payable | (13,009) | 10,721 | 3,678 |
| Accrued employment costs | (2,755) | 2,581 | (1,241) |
| Income taxes | (248) | 514 | 494 |
| Other, net | (130) | 215 | (340) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 19,170 | 12,889 | 28,906 |
| Investing Activity: | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (9,551) | (11,173) | (11,789) |
| Proceeds from insurance recovery | 218 | - | - |
| Net cash used in investing activity | (9,333) | (11,173) | (11,789) |
| Financing Activities: | | | |
| Borrowings under revolving credit facility | 73,515 | 103,785 | 76,784 |
| Payments on revolving credit facility | (80,253) | (103,706) | (92,230) |
| Payments on term loan facility | (3,000) | (3,000) | (1,500) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock | 455 | 1,040 | 1,117 |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | (584) | - | (1,165) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | - | - | (137) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (9,867) | (1,881) | (17,131) |
| Net decrease in cash | (30) | (165) | (14) |
| Cash at beginning of period | 142 | 307 | 321 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 112 | \$ 142 | \$ 307 |

Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Interest paid, net of amount capitalized | \$ 2,384 | \$ 3,046 | \$ 2,534 |
| Income taxes paid (refunded), net | \$ 165 | \$ (318) | \$ - |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | Common shares outstanding | Common stock | Additional paid-in capital | Retained earnings | Treasury shares | Treasury stock |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | 6,958,312 | \$ 7 | \$ 46,744 | \$ 153,115 | 288,681 | \$ (2,153) |
| Common stock issuance under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 10,520 | - | 269 | - | - | - |
| Exercise of stock options | 55,625 | - | 848 | - | - | - |
| Issuance of restricted common stock | (3,000) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Share-based compensation | - | - | 1,827 | - | - | - |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (4,174) | - | - | - | 4,174 | (137) |
| Net loss | - | - | - | (4,062) | - | - |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 7,017,283 | 7 | 49,688 | 149,053 | 292,855 | (2,290) |
| Common stock issuance under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 11,380 | - | 276 | - | - | - |
| Exercise of stock options | 49,500 | - | 764 | - | - | - |
| Share-based compensation | - | - | 2,082 | - | - | - |
| Purchase of treasury stock | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net income | - | - | - | 4,050 | - | - |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 7,078,163 | 7 | 52,810 | 153,103 | 292,855 | (2,290) |
| Common stock issuance under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 15,675 | - | 188 | - | - | - |
| Exercise of stock options | 17,500 | - | 267 | - | - | - |
| Tax impact on RSUs vested and options exercised | - | - | (301) | - | - | - |
| Share-based compensation | - | - | 1,865 | - | - | - |
| Net loss | - | - | - | (20,672) | - | - |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 7,111,338 | \$ 7 | \$ 54,829 | \$ 132,431 | 292,855 | \$ (2,290) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1: Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, “we,” “us,” “our,” or the “Company”). All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. We have no interests in any unconsolidated entity.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. The estimates and assumptions used in these consolidated financial statements are based on known information available as of the balance sheet date. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentration of Credit Risk. We limit our credit risk on accounts receivable by performing ongoing credit evaluations and, when deemed necessary, require letters of credit, guarantees or cash collateral. During 2015, we had one customer which accounted for more than 16% of our total net sales and for 7% of our total accounts receivable balance. During 2014, we had one customer that accounted for more than 18% of our total net sales and for 11% of our total accounts receivable balance. During 2013, we had two customers which each accounted for more than 10%, and collectively for 26%, of our total net sales.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. Accounts receivable are presented net of the allowance for doubtful accounts on our consolidated balance sheets. We market our products to a diverse customer base, primarily throughout the United States. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we derived 9%, 7% and 6%, respectively, of our net sales from markets outside of the United States. The allowance for doubtful accounts includes specific reserves for the value of outstanding invoices issued to customers that are deemed potentially not collectible. Receivables are charged-off to the allowance when they are deemed to be uncollectible. Bad debt expense, net of recoveries for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$239,000, \$18,000 and \$30,000, respectively.

Inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost principally determined by the weighted average cost method. Such costs include the acquisition cost for raw materials and supplies, direct labor and applied manufacturing overhead within the guidelines of normal plant capacity. We reserve for slow-moving inventory and inventory that is being evaluated under our quality control process. The reserves are based upon management’s expected method of disposition. The net change in inventory reserves for the year ended December 31, 2015, was a decrease of \$136,000, primarily due to the disposition of slow moving material that was no longer considered sellable and was returned to our melt shop. The net change in inventory reserves for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was a \$603,000 decrease and a \$617,000 increase, respectively.

Included in inventory are operating materials consisting of forge dies and production molds and rolls, that are consumed over their useful lives. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we amortized these operating materials in the amount of \$1.8 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. This expense is recorded as a component of cost of products sold on the consolidated statements of operations and included as a part of our total depreciation and amortization on the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Property, Plant and Equipment. Property, plant and equipment is recorded at cost or its fair value at acquisition date. Costs incurred in connection with the construction or major rebuild of facilities are capitalized as construction in progress. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 we did not capitalize interest expense related to projects in process. We did capitalize \$263,000 of interest expense related to construction projects in progress for the year ended December 31, 2013. No depreciation is recognized on assets until they are placed in service. Assets

which have been retired or disposed of are removed from cost and accumulated depreciation accounts, with the gain or loss reflected in operating income on the consolidated statements of operations. Major equipment maintenance costs are capitalized as incurred and included in other current assets. These costs are amortized to cost of products sold within a twelve-month period. Other maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Costs of improvements and renewals are capitalized. Our maintenance expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$16.9 million, \$16.5 million and \$14.8 million, respectively, which is included as a component of cost of products sold.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the related assets. The estimated useful lives of buildings and land improvements are between 10 and 39 years, and the estimated useful lives of machinery and equipment are between 5 and 20 years. Our total depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$15.8 million, \$15.0 million and \$14.3 million, respectively, of which \$15.4 million, \$14.6 million and \$14.1 million, respectively was included as a component of cost of products sold while the remainder was included in selling, general and administrative expense.

Intangible Assets. At December 31, 2014 we had a \$1.3 million non-compete agreement related to the acquisition of the North Jackson facility which is classified as an intangible asset. Identifiable intangible assets are recorded at fair value upon acquisition and are amortized over the life of the agreement using the straight-line method. We recognized \$432,000, \$266,000 and \$266,000 of

amortization expense during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, from intangible assets, which is included as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of operations and included as part of total depreciation and amortization on the consolidated statements of cash flows. The 2015 expense of \$432,000 includes \$255,000 for the early exit of a non-compete contract. At December 31, 2015 our intangible assets were fully amortized.

Long-Lived Asset Impairment. Long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment and intangible assets are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable in relation to the operating performance and future undiscounted cash flows of the underlying assets. Adjustments are made if the sum of expected future cash flows is less than the book value. Based on management's assessment of the carrying values of long-lived assets, no impairment reserve was deemed necessary as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Deferred Financing Costs. Deferred financing costs are amortized up to the maturity date of the related financial instrument using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. Deferred financing cost amortization for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$566,000, \$644,000 and \$444,000, respectively, and is included as a component of interest expense and other financing costs on the consolidated statements of operations and included as part of total depreciation and amortization on the consolidated statements of cash flows. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had \$1.3 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, of unamortized deferred financing costs included on our consolidated balance sheets as a component of other long-term assets.

Goodwill. Goodwill, which represents the excess of cost over net tangible and identifiable intangible assets of acquired businesses, is stated at fair value. Goodwill is not amortized, but will be evaluated or tested annually for impairment or more frequently if any event indicates that the carrying amount of goodwill may be impaired.

We perform our annual evaluation or test of goodwill as of the beginning of the fourth quarter. We evaluate or test goodwill for impairment by either performing a qualitative evaluation or a two-step quantitative test, which involves comparing the estimated fair value of the associated reporting unit to its carrying value. The qualitative evaluation is an assessment of factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that fair value is less than its carrying amount. Factors considered as part of the qualitative assessment include entity-specific, industry, market and general economic conditions. We may elect to bypass this qualitative assessment and perform a two-step quantitative test. We test for goodwill impairment using a combination of valuation techniques, which include consideration of a market-based approach (guideline company method) and an income approach (discounted cash flow method), in determining fair value in the annual impairment test of goodwill. We believe that the combination of the valuation models provides a more appropriate valuation by taking into account different marketplace participant assumptions. Both methods utilize market data in the derivation of a value estimate and are forward-looking in nature. The guideline assessment of future performance and the discounted cash flow method utilize a market-derived rate of return to discount anticipated performance.

Other events and changes in circumstances may also require goodwill to be tested for impairment between annual measurement dates. While a decline in stock price and market capitalization is not specifically cited as a goodwill impairment indicator, a company's stock price and market capitalization should be considered in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. Additionally, a significant decline in a company's stock price may suggest that an adverse change in the business climate may have caused the fair value of the reporting unit to fall below its carrying value. The financial and credit market volatility directly impacts our fair value measurement through our stock price that we use to determine our market capitalization. During times of volatility, significant judgment must be applied to determine whether credit or stock price changes are a short-term swing or a longer-term trend. A sustained decline in our market capitalization below its book value could lead us to determine, in a future period, that an interim goodwill impairment review is required and may result in an impairment charge which would have a negative impact on our results of operations.

We recorded a goodwill impairment in the third quarter of 2015. Due to a significant and sustained drop in our share price and continued weak operating results driven by slower market conditions, the Company determined that an interim goodwill impairment review was required in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 350, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other”. Based on the guidance in ASC 350, the Company performed the two-step quantitative analysis. Under the first step, the Company determined that the carrying value exceeded the fair value of the Company and, therefore, the second step of the analysis was performed. The fair value was estimated using a combination of an income approach, which estimates fair value based on projected discounted cash flows and a market approach, which estimates fair value using the recent stock price of the Company. The income approach is supported by a Level 3 fair value measurement, which means that the valuation reflects the Company’s own estimates of market participant assumptions. The market approach is supported by a Level 1 fair value measurement which is the observable stock price of the Company. The income approach was weighted 30% and the market approach was weighted 70% in determining the fair value. This assessment resulted in the recognition of a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$20.3 million, which eliminated all goodwill from the balance sheet at September 30, 2015.

Stockholders’ Equity. We have never paid a cash dividend on our common stock. Our Credit Agreement does not permit the payment of cash dividends.

In October 1998, we initiated a stock repurchase program to repurchase up to 315,000 shares of our outstanding common stock in open market transactions at market prices. We were authorized to repurchase 45,100 remaining shares of common stock under this program as of December 31, 2015.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue from the sale of products is recognized when both risk of loss and title have transferred to the customer, which in most cases coincides with shipment of the related products, and collection is reasonably assured. Revenue from conversion services is recognized when the performance of the service is complete. Invoiced shipping and handling costs are also accounted for as revenue. Customer claims, which are not material, are accounted for primarily as a reduction to gross sales after the matter has been researched and an acceptable resolution has been reached.

The following table presents net sales by product line:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Stainless steel | \$ 135,945 | \$ 159,799 | \$ 137,383 |
| High-strength low alloy steel | 16,045 | 16,853 | 17,894 |
| Tool steel | 16,197 | 16,680 | 18,112 |
| High-temperature alloy steel | 7,557 | 6,295 | 4,277 |
| Conversion services and other sales | 4,916 | 5,933 | 3,102 |
| Total net sales | \$ 180,660 | \$ 205,560 | \$ 180,768 |

Income Taxes. Deferred income taxes are provided for unused tax credits earned and the tax effect of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements. We use the liability method to account for income taxes, which requires deferred taxes to be recorded at the statutory rate expected to be in effect when the taxes are paid. Valuation allowances are provided for a deferred tax asset when it is more likely than not that the asset will not be realized. Income tax penalties and interest are included in the provision for income tax expense.

We evaluate the tax positions taken or expected to be taken in our tax returns. A tax position should only be recognized in the financial statements if we determine that it is more-likely-than-not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities, based upon the technical merits of the position. For those tax positions that should be recognized, the measurement of a tax position is determined as being the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. We believe there are no material uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

We use the with-and-without method to account for excess tax benefits recognized as a result of the exercise of employee stock options. Under the with-and-without method, excess tax benefits related to share-based compensation are not deemed to be realized until after the utilization of all other tax benefits available to us, which are also subject to applicable limitations.

Share-based Compensation Plans. We recognize compensation expense based on the grant-date fair value of the awards. The fair value of the stock option grants is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, and is recognized ratably over the service/vesting period of the award. The fair value of time-based restricted stock grants is calculated using the market value of the stock on the date of issuance, and is recognized ratably over the service/vesting period of the award.

Net (Loss) Income per Common Share. Net (loss) income per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing net income, adjusted to include interest expense (tax effected) for the convertible notes by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. All shares that were issuable under our outstanding convertible notes were considered outstanding for our diluted net income per common share computation, using the “if converted” method of accounting from the date of issuance.

Statement of Comprehensive Income. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, there were no comprehensive income items other than net income (loss); therefore, a separate consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income was excluded from the consolidated financial statements.

Treasury Stock. We account for treasury stock under the cost method and include such shares as a reduction of total stockholders' equity.

Financial Instruments. Financial instruments held by us include cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and long-term debt. The carrying value of cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable is considered to be representative of fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. Refer to Note 5 for fair value disclosures of our financial instruments.

Segment Reporting. Our operating facilities are integrated, and therefore our chief operating decision maker (“CODM”) views the Company as one business unit. Our CODM sets performance goals, assesses performance and makes decisions about resource allocations on a consolidated basis. As a result of these factors, as well as the nature of the financial information available which is reviewed by our CODM, we maintain one reportable segment.

Reclassifications. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2015 presentation.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncement

In November 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) 2015-17, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes. We adopted ASU 2015-17 in 2015. The update resulted in the classification of all deferred tax assets and liabilities as noncurrent on the consolidated balance sheet.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncement

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) which was amended, in August 2015, by ASU 2015-14 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date. This topic converges the guidance within U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards and supersedes Accounting Standards Codification 605, Revenue Recognition. The new standard requires companies to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in amounts that reflect the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The new standard will also result in enhanced disclosures about revenue, provide guidance for transactions that were not previously addressed comprehensively, and improve guidance for multiple-element arrangements. The new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. We are currently evaluating the impact that this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, “Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory” (“ASU 2015-11”) to simplify the guidance on the subsequent measurement of inventory, excluding inventory measured using last-in, first out or the retail inventory method. Under the new standard, inventory should be at the lower of cost and net realizable value. The new accounting guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016 with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the pending adoption of ASU 2015-11 on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, “Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.” ASU 2015-03 requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period, and early application is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2: Inventory

The major classes of inventory are as follows:

| December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Raw materials and starting stock | \$ 6,235 | \$ 8,943 |
| Semi-finished and finished steel products | 69,907 | 84,816 |
| Operating materials | 8,543 | 8,759 |
| Gross inventory | 84,685 | 102,518 |
| Inventory reserves | (1,312) | (1,448) |
| Total inventory, net | \$ 83,373 | \$ 101,070 |

Note 3: Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consists of the following:

| December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Land and land improvements | \$ 7,377 | \$ 7,088 |
| Buildings | 47,712 | 45,434 |
| Machinery and equipment | 236,991 | 225,754 |
| Construction in progress | 8,580 | 12,833 |
| Gross property, plant and equipment | 300,660 | 291,109 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (107,155) | (91,314) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 193,505 | \$ 199,795 |

Note 4: Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Term loan | \$ 12,500 | \$ 15,500 |
| Revolving credit facility | 44,350 | 51,350 |
| Convertible notes | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Swing loan credit facility | 287 | 25 |
| | 77,137 | 86,875 |
| Less: current portion of long-term debt | (3,000) | (3,000) |
| Long-term debt | \$ 74,137 | \$ 83,875 |

Credit Facility

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had a Credit Agreement (as previously amended, “Prior Credit Agreement”) with a syndication of banks which provided for a \$105.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the “Prior Revolver”) and a \$20.0 million senior secured term loan facility (the “Prior Term Loan” and together with the Prior Revolver, the “Prior Facilities”) with an expiration in March 2017.

The Prior Credit Agreement required us to pay a commitment fee of 0.25% based on the daily unused portion of the Prior Revolver. The Prior Revolver also provided for up to \$7.0 million of swing loans so long as the sum of the outstanding swing loans and the outstanding borrowings under the Prior Revolver did not exceed our borrowing base under the terms of the Prior Credit Agreement. The Prior Term Loan was payable in quarterly installments in the principal amount of \$750,000 which began on July 1, 2013, with the balance of the Term Loan payable in full on March 19, 2017.

Amounts outstanding under the Prior Facilities, at our option, incurred interest at either a base rate or a LIBOR-based rate (the “LIBOR Option”), in either case calculated in accordance with the terms of the Prior Credit Agreement. We elected to use the LIBOR Option during the year ended December 31, 2015, which was 2.18% at December 31, 2015. Interest on the Prior Facilities was payable monthly. Amounts outstanding under the Prior Facilities were collateralized by the Company’s accounts receivable, inventory and property, plant and equipment.

On October 23, 2015, the Company entered into a Fourth Amendment to the Prior Credit Agreement (the “Fourth Amendment”) which was effective as of September 30, 2015. Pursuant to the Fourth Amendment, the covenants required under the Prior Credit Agreement were amended. We were in compliance with our covenants at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

On January 21, 2016 we entered into a new Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) with PNC Bank, National Association, as administrative agent and co-collateral agent, Bank of America, N.A., as co-collateral agent and PNC Capital Markets LLC, as sole lead arranger and sole bookrunner. The Credit Agreement provides for a senior secured revolving credit facility not to exceed \$65 million (the “Revolving Credit Facility”) and a senior secured term loan facility (the “Term Loan”) in the amount of \$30 million (together with the Revolving Credit Facility, the “Facilities”). The Credit Agreement also provides for a letter of credit sub-facility not to exceed \$10.0 million and a swing loan sub-facility not to exceed \$5.0 million. The Credit Agreement replaces the Prior Credit Agreement. The Company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants set forth in the Prior Credit Agreement as of the date of its entrance into the Credit Agreement.

The Facilities, which expire upon the earlier of (i) January 21, 2021 or (ii) the date that is 90 days prior to the scheduled maturity date of the Convertible Notes (as defined below) (in either case, the “Expiration Date”), are collateralized by a first lien in substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, except that no real property is collateral under the Facilities other than the Company’s real property in North Jackson, Ohio.

Availability under the Revolving Credit Facility is based on eligible accounts receivable and inventory. The Company is required to pay a commitment fee of 0.25% based on the daily unused portion of the Revolving Credit Facility.

With respect to the Term Loan, the Company will pay quarterly installments of principal of approximately \$1.1 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest, on the first day of each fiscal quarter beginning on April 1, 2016. To the extent not previously paid, the Term Loan will become due and payable in full on the Expiration Date.

Amounts outstanding under the Facilities, at the Company’s option, will bear interest at either a base rate or a LIBOR based rate, in either case calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement. Interest under the Credit Agreement is payable monthly.

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants. The Company also must maintain certain levels of EBITDA as outlined in the Credit Agreement. As of December 31, 2016 and as of the end of each fiscal quarter ending thereafter, the Company must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.10 to 1.0, in each case measured on a rolling four-quarter basis calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement.

At December 31, 2015 we had deferred financing fees of approximately \$1.3 million. As a result of entering into the Credit Agreement we expect to write off approximately \$0.8 million of deferred fees in the first quarter of 2016.

Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement, the Company completed the issuance of 73,207 shares of the Company's common stock to certain directors and officers of the Company on February 2, 2016. The aggregate purchase price of the stock was \$500,003.81 based on the average of the high and low reported trading prices for the Company's common stock on The Nasdaq Stock Market on February 1, 2016.

Convertible Notes

In connection with the acquisition of the North Jackson facility, in August 2011, we issued \$20.0 million in convertible notes (the “Notes”) to the sellers of the North Jackson facility as partial consideration of the acquisition. The Notes were subordinated obligations and ranked junior to the Prior Facilities. The Notes bore interest at a fixed rate of 4.0% per annum, payable in cash semi-annually in arrears on each June 18 and December 18, beginning on December 18, 2011. As of December 31, 2015, unless earlier converted, the Notes were scheduled to mature and the unpaid principal balance was due on August 17, 2017. The Notes and any accrued and unpaid interest were convertible into shares of our common stock at the option of the holder at an initial conversion price of \$47.1675 per share of common stock. The conversion price associated with the Notes was subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. We were permitted to prepay any outstanding Notes, in whole or in part, during a fiscal quarter if our share price was greater than 140% of the then-current conversion price for at least 20 of the trading days in the 30 consecutive trading day period ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding quarter.

On January 21, 2016, the Company entered into Amended and Restated Convertible Notes (collectively, the “Convertible Notes”) in the aggregate principal amount of \$20.0 million, each in favor of Gorbert Inc. (the “Holder”). The Convertible Notes amended and restated the Notes. The Company’s obligations under the Convertible Notes are collateralized by a second lien on the same assets of the Co-Borrowers that collateralize the obligations of the Co-Borrowers under the Facilities. The Convertible Notes mature on March 17, 2019 and the maturity date may be extended, at the Company’s option, to March 17, 2020 and further to March 17, 2021. If the Company elects to extend the maturity date of the Convertible Notes to March 17, 2020, principal payments in the aggregate of \$2.0 million will be required on March 17, 2019. If the Company elects to extend the maturity date of the Convertible Notes further to March 17, 2021, principal payments in the aggregate of \$2.0 million will be required on March 17, 2020.

The Convertible Notes bear interest at a rate of 4.0% per year through and including August 17, 2016, a rate of 5.0% per year from August 18, 2016 through and including August 17, 2017 and a rate of 6.0% per year from and after August 18, 2017. Through and including June 18, 2017, all accrued and unpaid interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on each June 18 and December 18. After June 18, 2017, all accrued and unpaid interest is payable quarterly in arrears on each September 18, December 18, March 18 and June 18.

The Holder may elect at any time on or prior to August 17, 2017 to convert all or any portion of the outstanding principal amount of the Convertible Notes which is an integral multiple of \$100,000. The Convertible Notes are convertible into shares of common stock and, in certain circumstances, cash, securities and/or other assets. The Convertible Notes are convertible based on an initial conversion rate of 21.2 shares of Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the Convertible Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$47.1675 per share). The conversion rate and the conversion price associated with the Convertible Notes may be adjusted in certain circumstances. The Holder’s conversion rights will be void and no longer subject to exercise by the Holder beginning on August 17, 2017.

In conjunction with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, we made principal prepayments on the Convertible Notes totaling \$1.0 million on January 21, 2016.

The aggregate annual principal payments due under our Prior Credit Agreement at December 31, 2015, are as follows:

(dollars in thousands)

| | |
|------|-----------|
| 2016 | \$ 3,000 |
| 2017 | 74,137 |
| | \$ 77,137 |

Note 5: Fair Value Measurements

The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on the inputs used to determine fair value, which are as follows:

Level 1 — Unadjusted quoted prices available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 — Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or unadjusted quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

The fair value hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. In instances where the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability.

The carrying amounts of our cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximated fair value at December 31, 2015 and 2014 due to their short-term nature (Level 1). The fair value of the Term Loan, Revolver and swing loans at December 31, 2015 and 2014 approximated the carrying amount as the interest rate is based upon floating short-term interest rates (Level 2). At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the fair value of our Notes was approximately \$19.2 million and \$20.5 million, respectively (Level 2).

Note 6: Income Taxes

The income tax provision (benefit) attributable to continuing operations during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

Components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes are as follows:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-------------|----------|------------|
| Current (benefit) provision | | | |
| Federal | \$ (105) | \$ 312 | \$ 85 |
| State | 56 | 298 | (6) |
| Deferred (benefit) provision | | | |
| Federal | (11,843) | 1,941 | (3,205) |
| State | (252) | 598 | 622 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | \$ (12,144) | \$ 3,149 | \$ (2,504) |

A reconciliation of the federal statutory tax rate and our effective tax rate is as follows:

| For the years ended December 31, | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Federal statutory tax rate | 35.0 % | 35.0 % | 35.0 % |
| Research and development tax credit | 1.6 | (2.9) | 14.6 |
| State government grants, net of federal tax impact | - | - | 4.2 |
| Valuation allowance, state government grants, net of federal impact | - | 8.2 | (15.0) |
| Domestic manufacturing deduction | - | - | - |
| State income taxes, net of federal impact | 0.6 | 3.7 | 1.4 |
| Other, net | (0.2) | (0.3) | (2.1) |
| Effective income tax rate | 37.0 % | 43.7 % | 38.1 % |

We continue to record a full valuation allowance against our New York deferred tax assets due to the zero percent (0%) state income tax rate for qualified manufacturers. We have determined that federal and other state deferred tax assets are expected to be realized and have not recorded any additional valuation allowances.

The Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015 extended the tax benefit for research and development tax credits resulting in a benefit of approximately \$430,000, which was recorded entirely in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our net deferred taxes related to continuing operations are as follows:

| December 31, (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Noncurrent deferred income taxes: | | |
| Federal and state tax carryforwards | \$ 22,495 | \$ 17,495 |
| Inventory | 1,196 | 3,136 |
| Share-based compensation | 3,545 | 3,300 |
| Receivables | 76 | 29 |
| Accrued liabilities | 299 | 396 |
| Other | 65 | 65 |
| Total deferred tax assets | \$ 27,676 | \$ 24,421 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | \$ 47,899 | \$ 56,354 |
| Other | 443 | 492 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | \$ 48,342 | \$ 56,846 |
| Total noncurrent deferred income taxes | \$ 20,666 | \$ 32,425 |

We file a U.S. federal income tax return and various state income tax returns. For federal income tax purposes, we had \$54.2 million and \$41.5 million of net operating loss carryforwards at December 31, 2015 and 2014 respectively. The net operating loss carryforwards begin to expire in 2031. In addition, we have credit carryforwards associated

with our research and development activities of \$2.3 million and \$1.7 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 respectively. The research and development credit carryforwards being to expire in 2030. We also have \$489,000 and \$597,000 in alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 respectively. The alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards can be carried forward indefinitely.

We have state net operating loss carryforwards of \$9.0 million and \$8.1 million and state credit carryforwards of \$265,000 and \$267,000 at December 31, 2015 and 2014 respectively. The state net operating loss carryforwards begin to expire in 2031. The state credit carryforwards begin to expire in 2027.

We are routinely under audit by federal or state authorities. Our federal tax returns are subject to examination by the IRS for tax years after 2011. We are subject to examination by state tax jurisdictions for tax years after 2011.

Note 7: Net (Loss) Income Per Common Share

The computation of basic and diluted net (loss) income per common share for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

| For the years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (20,672) | \$ 4,050 | \$ (4,062) |
| Adjustment for interest expense on convertible notes | - | - | - |
| Net (loss) income, as adjusted | \$ (20,672) | \$ 4,050 | \$ (4,062) |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding | 7,069,954 | 7,031,539 | 6,950,976 |
| Weighted average effect of dilutive stock options and other stock compensation | - | 84,892 | - |
| Weighted average effect of assumed conversion of convertible notes | - | - | - |
| Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, as adjusted | 7,069,954 | 7,116,431 | 6,950,976 |
| Net (loss) income per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ (2.92) | \$ 0.58 | \$ (0.58) |
| Diluted | \$ (2.92) | \$ 0.57 | \$ (0.58) |

An adjustment for interest expense on convertible notes was excluded from the income per share calculation for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 as a result of the convertible notes being antidilutive.

There were 635,200, 440,300 and 353,550 options to purchase shares of common stock, at an average price of \$30.67, \$35.20 and \$36.36 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively that were not included in the computation of diluted net (loss) income per common share because their respective exercise prices were greater than the average market price of our common stock. The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 excludes 428,140 shares, for the assumed conversion of convertible notes as a result of the convertible notes being antidilutive. In addition, the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2013 would have included 21,774 and 118,814 respectively, for the assumed exercise of options and restricted stock under our share incentive plans except that we were in a net loss position and the impact would have been antidilutive.

Note 8: Incentive Compensation Plans

At December 31, 2015, we had three incentive compensation plans that are described below:

Omnibus Incentive Plan

We maintain an Omnibus Incentive Plan (“OIP”) which was approved by our stockholders in May 2012. The OIP permits the issuance of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards to non-employee directors, other than those directors owning more than 5% of our outstanding common stock, consultants, officers and other key employees who are expected to contribute to our future growth and success. An aggregate of 2,150,000 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance under the OIP, of which 363,993 were available for grant at December 31, 2015.

Stock Options

The option price for options granted under the OIP is equal to the fair market value of the common stock at the date of grant. Options granted to non-employee directors vest over a three-year period, and options granted to employees vest over a four-year period. All options under the OIP will expire no later than ten years after the grant date. Forfeited options may be reissued and are included in the amount available for grants.

A summary of stock option activity as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015 is presented below:

| | Non-vested stock options outstanding | | Stock options outstanding | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|---|---|
| | Number of shares | Weighted- average grant-date fair value | Number of shares | Weighted- average exercise price | Weighted- average contractual term (years) |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2014 | 235,844 | \$ 16.34 | 775,675 | \$ 29.12 | |
| Stock options granted | 192,400 | 6.99 | 192,400 | 13.02 | |
| Stock options exercised | - | - | (17,500) | 15.27 | |
| Stock options vested | (59,469) | 17.45 | - | - | |
| Stock options forfeited | (69,575) | 15.00 | (108,825) | 29.19 | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 299,200 | \$ 10.40 | 841,750 | \$ 25.71 | 5.2 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2015 | | | 542,550 | \$ 29.84 | 4.6 |

Proceeds from stock option exercises totaled \$267,000, \$764,000 and \$848,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Shares issued in connection with stock option exercises are issued from available authorized shares.

Based upon the closing stock price of \$9.29 at December 31, 2015, there was no intrinsic value of outstanding and exercisable stock options. Intrinsic value of stock options is calculated as the amount by which the market price of our common stock exceeds the exercise price of the options. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercised for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$129,000, \$841,000 and \$929,000, respectively. The

total fair value of stock option awards vested during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$1.0 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

Share-based compensation to employees and directors is recognized as compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations based on the stock options fair value on the measurement date, which is the date of the grant. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods. The compensation expense recognized and its related tax effects are included in additional paid-in capital.

Share-based compensation expense related to stock options totaled \$1.5 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Share-based compensation expense is recognized ratably over the requisite service period for all stock option awards. Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock option awards totaled \$2.7 million at December 31, 2015. At such date, the weighted-average period over which this unrecognized expense was expected to be recognized was 3.0 years. We recognized no tax benefit for the exercise of stock options during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

The fair value of our stock options granted is estimated on the measurement date, which is the date of grant. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Our determination of fair value of stock option awards on the date of grant is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding our expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected stock option exercise behaviors. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$6.99, \$14.39 and \$18.02, respectively.

The assumptions used to determine the fair value of stock options granted are detailed in the table below:

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.77% to 2.19% | 1.79% to 2.13% | 1.02% to 2.14% |
| Dividend yield | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Expected market price volatility | 49% to 55% | 49% to 57% | 57% to 60% |
| Weighted-average expected market price volatility | 52.6% | 52.6% | 58.1% |
| Expected term | 5.6 to 7.5 years | 5.6 to 7.5 years | 5.4 to 7.5 years |

The risk-free interest rate was developed using the U.S. Treasury yield curve for periods equal to the expected life of the stock options at the grant date. No dividend yield was assumed because we do not pay cash dividends on common stock and currently have no plans to pay a dividend. Expected volatility is based on the long-term historical volatility (estimated over a period equal to the expected term of the stock options) of our common stock. In estimating the fair value of stock options under the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior are considered separately. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding.

Restricted Stock

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we granted 35,000 time-based shares of restricted common stock to certain employees. The fair value of the non-vested time-based restricted common stock awards was calculated using the market value of the stock on the date of issuance, which was \$35.26. During the year ended December 31, 2013, 3,000 of these restricted shares were forfeited.

Share-based compensation expense related to restricted stock totaled \$412,000, \$342,000 and \$339,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, all of the restricted shares have vested.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the 1996 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (the "Plan"), the Company is authorized to issue up to 200,000 shares of common stock to its full-time employees, nearly all of whom are eligible to participate. Under the terms of the Plan, employees can choose as of January 1 and July 1 of each year to have up to 10% of their total earnings withheld to purchase up to 100 shares of our common stock each six-month period. The purchase price of the stock is 85% of the lower of its beginning-of-the-period or end-of-the-period market prices. At December 31, 2015, we have issued 178,636 shares of common stock since the Plan's inception.

Cash Incentive Plans

We have a variable compensation plan covering certain key executives and senior management and profit-sharing plans and a key performance plan that cover the remaining employees. The variable compensation plan aligns the compensation of executive officers and senior management with the performance expectations of the Board of Directors in order to motivate and reward them for the achievement of Company performance metrics. The profit-sharing plans provide for the sharing of pre-tax profits in excess of specified amounts at our Bridgeville, Dunkirk and Titusville facilities. The key performance plan provides a cash incentive for achieving certain performance metrics at our North Jackson facility. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we expensed \$1.0 million, \$4.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, under these cash incentive plans of which \$404,000, \$1.8 million, and \$576,000, respectively was included as a component of cost of products sold while the remainder was included in selling and administrative expense. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had liabilities of \$608,000 and \$3.3 million, respectively, as a component of accrued employment costs on our consolidated balance sheets related to these cash incentive plans.

Note 9: Retirement Plans

We have a defined contribution retirement plan (“401(k) plan”) that covers substantially all employees. Pursuant to the 401(k) plan, participants may elect to make pre-tax and after-tax contributions, subject to certain limitations imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In addition, we make periodic contributions to the 401(k) plan based on service for the Titusville and Dunkirk hourly employees and age for North Jackson hourly employees. We make periodic contributions for the salaried employees at all locations except for North Jackson based upon their service and their individual contribution to the 401(k) plan. For North Jackson salaried employees, we make periodic contributions based upon the employee’s age and their individual contributions.

We also participate in the Steelworkers Pension Trust (the "Trust"), a multi-employer defined-benefit pension plan that is open to all hourly and salary employees associated with the Bridgeville facility. We make periodic contributions to the Trust based on hours worked at a fixed rate for each hourly employee, as determined by the collective bargaining agreement, which expires in August 2018 and a fixed monthly contribution on behalf of each salary employee. The trustees of the Trust have provided us with the latest data available for the Trust year ending December 31, 2015. As of that date, the Trust is not fully funded. We could be held liable to the Trust for our own obligations, as well as those of other employers, due to our participation in the Trust. Contribution rates could increase if the Trust is required to adopt a funding improvement plan or a rehabilitation plan, if the performance of the Trust assets do not meet expectations, or as a result of future collectively-bargained wage and benefit agreements. If we choose to stop participating in the Trust, we may be required to pay the Trust an amount based on the underfunded status of the Trust, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

The Pension Protection Act (PPA) defines a zone status for each trust. Trusts in the green zone are at least 80% funded, trusts in the yellow zone are at least 65% funded, and trusts in the red zone are generally less than 65% funded. The Trust has utilized extended amortization provisions to amortize its losses from 2008. The Trust recertified its zone status after using the extended amortization provisions as allowed by law. The Trust has not implemented a funding improvement or rehabilitation plan, nor are such plans pending. Our contributions to the Trust have not exceeded more than 5% of the total contributions to the Trust.

| Trusts employer identification | PPA zone status | | Funding plan pending / implemented | Company contributions to the Trust (dollars in thousands) | | | Surcharge imposed | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|---|--------|--------|----------------------|----|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Pension fund | number / plan number | | | | | | | |
| Trust | 23-6648508 / 499 | Green | Green | No | \$ 737 | \$ 758 | \$ 668 | No |

The total expense of all retirement plans for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$1.6 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. No other post-retirement benefit plans exist.

Note 10: Commitments and Contingencies

From time to time, various lawsuits and claims have been or may be asserted against us relating to the conduct of our business, including routine litigation relating to commercial and employment matters. The ultimate cost and outcome of any litigation or claim cannot be predicted with certainty. Management believes, based on information presently available, that the likelihood that the ultimate outcome of any such pending matter will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, or liquidity or a material impact to its results of operations is remote, although the resolution of one or more of these matters may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the period in which the resolution occurs.

We, as well as other steel companies, are subject to demanding environmental standards imposed by federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. We are not aware of any environmental condition that currently exists at any of our facilities that would cause a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity in a particular future quarter or year.

Our purchase obligations include the value of all open purchase orders with established quantities and purchase prices, as well as minimum purchase commitments, all made in the normal course of business. At December 31, 2015, our total purchase obligations were \$6.5 million which \$5.9 million will be due in 2016.

Note 11: Selected Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

| | First quarter | Second quarter | Third quarter | Fourth quarter |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | |
| 2015 Data: | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 55,983 | \$ 49,610 | \$ 43,371 | \$ 31,696 |
| Gross margin | \$ 5,710 | \$ 5,186 | \$ (410) | \$ (891) |
| Goodwill impairment | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 20,265 | \$ - |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ 1,016 | \$ 225 | \$ (25,896) | \$ (5,424) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | \$ 65 | \$ (173) | \$ (9,539) | \$ (2,497) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 125 | \$ (356) | \$ (17,045) | \$ (3,396) |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ (2.41) | \$ (0.48) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ (2.41) | \$ (0.48) |
| 2014 Data: | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 46,667 | \$ 52,309 | \$ 53,626 | \$ 52,958 |
| Gross margin | \$ 6,060 | \$ 8,410 | \$ 8,643 | \$ 8,909 |
| Operating income | \$ 1,432 | \$ 3,241 | \$ 3,123 | \$ 3,104 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 1,072 | \$ 749 | \$ 775 | \$ 553 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (499) | \$ 1,449 | \$ 1,395 | \$ 1,705 |
| Net (loss) income per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.07) | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.24 |
| Diluted | \$ (0.07) | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.24 |

Net income (loss) per common share amounts for each quarter is required to be computed independently. As a result, their sum may not equal the total year earnings per share amounts.

Note 12: Subsequent Events

On January 21, 2016 we entered into the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement provides for a senior secured revolving credit facility not to exceed \$65 million and a senior secured term loan facility relating to a term loan in the amount of \$30 million. The Credit Agreement also provides for a letter of credit sub-facility not to exceed \$10.0 million and a swing loan sub-facility not to exceed \$5.0 million.

At December 31, 2015, we had deferred financing fees of approximately \$1.3 million. As a result of entering into the Credit Agreement we expect to write off approximately \$0.8 million of deferred fees in the first quarter of 2016.

Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement, the Company completed the issuance of 73,207 shares of the Company's common stock to certain directors and officers of the Company on February 2, 2016. The aggregate

purchase price of the stock was \$500,003.81 based on the average of the high and low reported trading prices for the Company's common stock on The Nasdaq Stock Market on February 1, 2016.

On January 21, 2016, the Company entered into the Convertible Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$20.0 million, each in favor of Gorbert Inc. The Convertible Notes amended and restated the Notes, which were entered into by the Company in connection with its acquisition of the Company's facility in North Jackson, Ohio. The Company's obligations under the Convertible Notes are collateralized by a second lien in the same assets of the Co-Borrowers that collateralize the obligations of the Co-Borrowers under the

Facilities. The Convertible Notes mature on March 17, 2019 and the maturity date of the Convertible Notes may be extended, at the Company's option, to March 17, 2020 and further to March 17, 2021.

In conjunction with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, we made principal prepayments on the Convertible Notes totaling \$1.0 million on January 21, 2016.

See Note 4, Long Term Debt, for additional details on the Credit Agreement and the Convertible Notes.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, including our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer concluded that, as of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective. Management's Report on our internal control over financial reporting is included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the caption "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" and is incorporated herein by reference. Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued a report on management's maintenance of effective internal control over financial reporting and is set forth in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the caption "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and is incorporated herein by reference.

During the last fiscal quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information concerning the directors of the Company is set forth in the Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Proxy Statement") to be sent to stockholders in connection with our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, under the heading "Proposal No. 1—Election of Directors," which information is incorporated by reference. With the exception of the information specifically incorporated herein by reference, our Proxy Statement is not to be deemed filed as part of this report for the purposes of this Item.

In addition to the information set forth under the caption "Executive Officers" in Part I of this report, the information concerning our directors required by this item is incorporated and made part hereof by reference to the material appearing under the heading "Nominees for Election as Directors" in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the

SEC, pursuant to Regulation 14A, not later than 120 days after the end of the 2015 fiscal year. Information concerning the Audit Committee and its “audit committee financial expert” required by this item is incorporated and made part hereof by reference to the material appearing under the heading “Committees of the Board of Directors” in the Proxy Statement. Information required by this item regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is incorporated and made a part hereof by reference to the material appearing under the heading “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” in the Proxy Statement. Information concerning the executive officers of the Company is contained in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the caption “Executive Officers.”

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all directors and employees, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer. A copy is available, free of charge, through our website at <http://www.univstainless.com>. Information on our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We intend to timely disclose any amendment of or waiver under the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics on our website and will retain such information on our website as required by applicable SEC rules.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information concerning executive compensation is set forth in the Proxy Statement under the heading “Executive Compensation,” which information is incorporated by reference. With the exception of the information specifically incorporated herein by reference, the Proxy Statement is not to be deemed filed as part of this report for the purposes of this Item.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information concerning security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is set forth in the Proxy Statement under the heading “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management,” which information is incorporated by reference. With the exception of the information specifically incorporated herein by reference, the Proxy Statement is not to be deemed filed as part of this report for the purposes of this Item.

Equity Compensation Plan Information:

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans at December 31, 2015 were as follows:

| Plan Category | Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options | Number of shares remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (A) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 841,750 | \$ 25.71 | 385,357 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | - | - | - |
| Total | 841,750 | \$ 25.71 | 385,357 |

(A)
Includes 363,993 shares of common stock not issued under the Omnibus Incentive Plan and 21,364 available

under the
1996
Employee
Stock
Purchase
Plan, as
amended.

ITEM 13.CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information concerning certain relationships and related transactions, and director independence is set forth in the Proxy Statement under the heading “The Board of Directors,” which information is incorporated by reference. With the exception of the information specifically incorporated herein by reference, the Proxy Statement is not to be deemed filed as part of this report for the purposes of this Item.

ITEM 14.PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information concerning principal accountant fees and services is set forth in the Proxy Statement under the heading “Principal Accountant Fees and Services,” which information is incorporated by reference. With the exception of the information specifically incorporated herein by reference, the Proxy Statement is not to be deemed filed as part of this report for the purposes of this Item.

PART IV

ITEM 15.EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as part of this Form 10-K:

1) Financial Statements

The list of financial statements required by this item is set forth in Item 8, “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” and is incorporated herein by reference.

2) Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

| | Balance at beginning of year | Charged to costs and expenses | Deductions/ net charge- offs (A) | Balance at end of year |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2015 | \$ 17 | \$ 239 | \$ (7) | \$ 249 |
| Year ended December 31, 2014 | 84 | 18 | (85) | 17 |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 | 1,837 | 30 | (1,783) | 84 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred income taxes: | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2015 | \$ 1,582 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,582 |
| Year ended December 31, 2014 | 986 | 596 | - | 1,582 |

(A) Represents write-off of bad debts net of recoveries

3) Exhibits

EXHIBIT

NUMBER DESCRIPTION

- | | |
|-----|--|
| 3.1 | <p>Incorporated incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration No. 33-85310. and Restated Certificate of Incorporation</p> |
| 3.2 | <p>Incorporated incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the of quarter ended June 30, 2013. Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation</p> |
| 3.3 | <p>Incorporated incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014. and Restated By-laws of the Company</p> |
| 4.1 | <p>Incorporated incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Copy ended December 31, 1998. of Stock Certificate for shares of Common Stock</p> |
| 4.2 | <p>Incorporated incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Universal of Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. on January 25, 2016 Convertible Note,</p> |

dated
January
21,
2016

- 10.1 ~~Stockholders~~ incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registration No. 33-85310.
Agreement
dated
as
of
August
1,
1994,
by
and
among
the
Company
and
its
existing
stockholders
- 10.2 ~~Options~~ incorporated herein by reference to Appendix B of the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement dated
April 25, 2012.*
Plan
- 10.3 ~~Employment~~ incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
Agreement dated December 31, 2007.*
dated
December
21,
2007
between
the
Company
and
Dennis
M.
Oates
- 10.4 ~~Employment~~ incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
Agreement dated December 31, 2007.*
dated
February 21,
2008
between
the
Company
and
Paul

A.
McGrath

- 10.5 ~~Employment~~ Agreement incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.*
dated
April
21,
2008
between
the
Company
and
Christopher
M.
Zimmer
- 10.6 ~~Employment~~ Agreement incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2015.*
dated
August
4,
2015
between
the
Company
and
Larry
J.
Pollock
- 10.7 ~~Employment~~ Agreement incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2015.*
dated
August
5,
2015
between
the
Company
and
Graham
McIntosh
- 10.8 ~~Employment~~ Agreement incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2015.*
dated
August
5,
2015
between

the
Company
and
Ross
C.
Wilkin

- 10.9 From incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012.*
notice
of
grant
of
restricted
stock
award.

EXHIBIT

NUMBER DESCRIPTION

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 10.10 | Form of non-statutory stock option agreement. | Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.* |
| 10.11 | Form of incentive stock option agreement. | Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.* |
| 10.12 | Form of non-statutory stock option agreement for eligible directors. | Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.* |
| 10.13 | Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of January 21, 2016, by and among Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., the other borrowers party thereto, the guarantors party thereto from time to time, PNC Bank, National Association, as administrative agent and co-collateral agent, Bank of America, N.A., as co-collateral agent, and PNC Capital Markets LLC, as sole lead arranger and sole bookrunner. | Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. on January 25, 2016. |
| 10.14 | Form of Stock Purchase Agreement | Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. on February 3, 2016. |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of Registrant | Filed herewith. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Schneider Downs & Co., Inc. | Filed herewith. |
| 24.1 | Powers of Attorney | Included on the signature page herein. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith. |

- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Filed herewith.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Filed herewith.
- 101 The following financial information from this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) and furnished electronically herewith: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Filed herewith.

* - Reflects management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 15(b) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on February 24, 2016.

UNIVERSAL STAINLESS & ALLOY PRODUCTS, INC.

By: /s/ Dennis M. Oates

Dennis M. Oates
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each of the officers and directors of Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., whose signature appears below in so signing also makes, constitutes and appoints Dennis M. Oates and Paul A. McGrath, and each of them acting alone, his true and lawful attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to execute and cause to be filed with the SEC any and all amendment or amendments to this Report on Form 10-K, with exhibits thereto and other documents connected therewith and to perform any acts necessary to be done in order to file such documents, and hereby ratifies and confirms all that said attorney-in-fact, or his substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| SIGNATURE | TITLE | DATE |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /s/ Dennis M. Oates Dennis M. Oates | Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 24, 2016 |
| /s/ Ross C. Wilkin Ross C. Wilkin | Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | February 24, 2016 |
| /s/ Christopher L. Ayers Christopher L. Ayers | Director | February 24, 2016 |
| /s/ Douglas M. Dunn Douglas M. Dunn | Director | February 24, 2016 |
| /s/ M. David Kornblatt M. David Kornblatt | Director | February 24, 2016 |

/s/ Udi Toledano
Udi Toledano

Director

February 24, 2016

49
