

TETRA TECH INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 01, 2008  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 29, 2008

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 0-19655

---

**TETRA TECH, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

95-4148514

Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

**3475 East Foothill Boulevard, Pasadena, California 91107**

(Address of principal executive office and zip code)

**(626) 351-4664**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

As of July 28, 2008, 59,444,147 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

**TETRA TECH, INC.**

**INDEX**

		<b>PAGE NO.</b>
<b><u>PART I.</u></b>	<b><u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<b><u>Item 1.</u></b>	<b><u>Financial Statements</u></b>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<b><u>Item 2.</u></b>	<b><u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	17
<b><u>Item 3.</u></b>	<b><u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></b>	28
<b><u>Item 4.</u></b>	<b><u>Controls and Procedures</u></b>	29
<b><u>PART II.</u></b>	<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	30
<b><u>Item 1.</u></b>	<b><u>Legal Proceedings</u></b>	30
<b><u>Item 1A.</u></b>	<b><u>Risk Factors</u></b>	32
<b><u>Item 6.</u></b>	<b><u>Exhibits</u></b>	43
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>		44

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****Tetra Tech, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands, except par value)

	June 29, 2008 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2007
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 49,364	\$ 76,741
Accounts receivable net	537,064	437,315
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	36,210	28,496
Income taxes receivable	8,160	
Deferred income taxes	2,561	
Current assets of discontinued operation	300	304
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>633,659</b>	<b>542,856</b>
<b>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:</b>		
Land and buildings	6,635	6,630
Equipment, furniture and fixtures	110,112	100,391
Leasehold improvements	11,648	10,738
<b>Total</b>	<b>128,395</b>	<b>117,759</b>
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(68,173)	(63,382)
<b>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT NET</b>	<b>60,222</b>	<b>54,377</b>
<b>DEFERRED INCOME TAXES</b>	<b>8,316</b>	<b>12,342</b>
<b>INCOME TAXES RECEIVABLE</b>	<b>16,424</b>	<b>33,800</b>
<b>GOODWILL</b>	<b>206,955</b>	<b>180,952</b>
<b>INTANGIBLE ASSETS NET</b>	<b>13,188</b>	<b>5,166</b>
<b>OTHER ASSETS</b>	<b>13,328</b>	<b>15,576</b>
<b>NON-CURRENT ASSETS OF DISCONTINUED OPERATION</b>	<b>2,418</b>	<b>2,418</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 954,510</b>	<b>\$ 847,487</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 177,725	\$ 154,560
Accrued compensation	79,136	78,029
Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts	90,725	55,172
Deferred income taxes		13,035
Income taxes payable		1,576
Current portion of long-term obligations	4,019	3,304

Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

Other liabilities	54,330	42,805
Total current liabilities	405,935	348,481
LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS	63,236	81,080
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	3,927	2,223
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Preferred stock authorized, 2,000 shares of \$0.01 par value; no shares issued and outstanding as of June 29, 2008 and September 30, 2007		
Common stock authorized, 85,000 shares of \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding, 59,435 and 58,387 shares as of June 29, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively	594	584
Additional paid-in capital	303,091	280,022
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(115)	(37)
Retained earnings	177,842	135,134
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	481,412	415,703
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 954,510	\$ 847,487

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Tetra Tech, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income****(unaudited in thousands, except per share data)**

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
Revenue	\$ 564,277	\$ 403,951	\$ 1,496,050	\$ 1,119,123
Subcontractor costs	(232,008)	(147,625)	(599,269)	(381,667)
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	332,269	256,326	896,781	737,456
Other contract costs	(266,379)	(202,313)	(716,874)	(593,877)
Gross profit	65,890	54,013	179,907	143,579
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(37,840)	(31,928)	(104,316)	(81,751)
Income from operations	28,050	22,085	75,591	61,828
Interest expense net	(483)	(657)	(2,600)	(1,901)
Loss on retirement of debt				(4,226)
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	27,567	21,428	72,991	55,701
Income tax expense	(11,432)	(9,026)	(30,283)	(23,490)
Income from continuing operations	16,135	12,402	42,708	32,211
Income from discontinued operation, net of tax		26		52
Net income	\$ 16,135	\$ 12,428	\$ 42,708	\$ 32,263
Basic earnings per share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.56
Income from discontinued operation, net of tax				
Net income	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.56
Diluted earnings per share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.55
Income from discontinued operation, net of tax				
Net income	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.55
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	58,943	58,030	58,590	57,859
Diluted	59,833	58,786	59,331	58,452

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

## Tetra Tech, Inc.

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net income	\$ 42,708	\$ 32,263
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	13,398	9,841
Stock-based compensation	6,140	4,242
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(1,060)	(420)
Deferred income taxes	9,034	4,106
Write-off of unamortized debt financing costs		1,069
Provision for losses on contracts and related receivables	7,561	1,737
Gain on sale of discontinued operation		(262)
Gain on disposal of property and equipment	(1,214)	(341)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	(69,734)	(66,272)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(7,752)	(2,294)
Accounts payable	13,505	28,636
Accrued compensation	(3,021)	(4,619)
Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts	32,918	9,131
Other liabilities	12,931	(4,448)
Income taxes receivable/payable	(12,310)	(625)
Net cash provided by operating activities	43,104	11,744
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Capital expenditures	(13,831)	(8,124)
Payments for business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(58,508)	(30,174)
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operations	2,689	3,045
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	2,026	425
Net cash used in investing activities	(67,624)	(34,828)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Payments on long-term obligations	(31,415)	(98,410)
Proceeds from borrowings under long-term obligations	15,000	88,000
Payment of deferred financing fees		(1,032)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	1,060	420
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	12,498	6,399
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,857)	(4,623)
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(27,377)	(27,707)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	76,741	65,353
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 49,364	\$ 37,646
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Cash paid during the period for:		

Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

Interest	\$	3,258	\$	5,552
Income taxes, net of refunds received	\$	28,736	\$	20,019

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



Table of Contents**TETRA TECH, INC.****Notes To Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****1. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 29, 2008, the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008 and July 1, 2007, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended June 29, 2008 and July 1, 2007 of Tetra Tech, Inc. ( we, us or our ) are unaudited, and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the financial position, the results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2007 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) for complete financial statements.

The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the fiscal year ending September 28, 2008.

**2. Accounts Receivable Net**

Net accounts receivable consisted of the following:

	June 29, 2008	September 30, 2007
	(in thousands)	
Billed	\$ 301,832	\$ 243,525
Unbilled	243,406	202,790
Contract retentions	13,842	11,127
Total accounts receivable gross	559,080	457,442
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(22,016)	(20,127)
Total accounts receivable net	\$ 537,064	\$ 437,315
Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts	\$ 90,725	\$ 55,172

Billed accounts receivable represent amounts billed to clients that have not been collected. Unbilled accounts receivable represent revenue recognized but not yet billed pursuant to contract terms or billed after the period end date. Substantially all unbilled receivables as of June 29,

2008 are expected to be billed and collected within 12 months. Contract retentions represent amounts withheld by clients until certain conditions are met or the project is completed, which may be several months or years. The allowance for doubtful accounts was determined based on a review of customer-specific accounts, bankruptcy filings by clients, and contract issues due to current events and circumstances.

Billed accounts receivable related to federal government contracts were \$113.9 million and \$95.5 million as of June 29, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively. The federal government unbilled receivables, net of progress payments, were \$106.6 million and \$88.5 million as of June 29, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively. Other than the federal government, no single client accounted for more than 10% of our accounts receivable as of June 29, 2008 and September 30, 2007.

### **3. Mergers and Acquisitions**

All of the following acquisitions were accounted for as business combinations. Accordingly, the purchase prices were allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values. The purchase price allocations for fiscal 2008 acquisitions, except for ARD, Inc. ( ARD ), are preliminary and subject to adjustments based upon their respective valuations and final determinations of the net assets acquired. None of the fiscal 2007

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

and 2008 acquisitions, except for ARD, were considered material, individually or in the aggregate, as they did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the respective reporting periods and, as such, no pro forma results are presented.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2007, we acquired (i) all of the outstanding shares of Delaney Construction Corporation, Delaney Crushed Stone Products, Inc. and Delaney Leasing Company, Inc.; and (ii) all of the limited liability company interests of Delaney Properties, LLC (collectively, DGI), which provides planning, development and construction services for wind energy programs, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) projects, and water and wastewater treatment and conveyance facilities to its broad-based clients. The purchase price consisted of cash payments of \$32.5 million. In addition, the former shareholders will receive, over a four-year period from the acquisition date, guaranteed deferred cash payments in the aggregate amount of \$9.0 million and contingent earn-out payments up to an aggregate maximum of \$12.0 million upon achievement of certain financial objectives. In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we paid \$2.3 million as a portion of the guaranteed deferred cash payment and \$1.2 million as a contingent earn-out payment to the former shareholders. DGI is part of our resource management segment.

In fiscal 2007, we also acquired the following businesses to enhance our service offerings and expand our geographic presence:

Acquired Businesses	Type of Purchase	Segment	Services
Gore Engineering, Inc. ( GORE )	Stock	Resource Management	Geotechnical engineering
Murphy Engineering Group, LLC ( MEG )	Asset	Infrastructure	Land development and engineering
Northern Ecological Associates, Inc. ( NEA )	Stock	Resource Management	Ecological
Soil Testing Engineers, Inc. ( STE )	Asset	Resource Management	Geotechnical engineering
Vector Colorado, LLC ( VCL )	Asset	Resource Management	Mining consulting
Vector Nevada, LLC ( VNL )	Asset	Resource Management	Mining consulting

The total purchase price for the above acquisitions consisted of initial cash payments of \$8.1 million and contingent earn-out payments upon achievement of certain financial objectives over a three or four-year period from their respective acquisition dates.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of ARD, which provides applied research, planning, design and implementation services focused on a range of water, energy, environmental and governance issues. ARD manages large, complex international development projects for its clients, predominantly the U.S. Agency for International Development ( USAID ). This acquisition continues our international expansion as it increases our professional workforce in new geographic areas and technical specialties around the world. ARD is part of our resource management segment. The purchase price consisted of \$41.5 million in cash payments. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition:

	Amount (in thousands)
Current assets	\$ 31,846
Property and equipment	195
Goodwill	17,905
Intangible and other assets	8,110
Current liabilities	(16,528)
Net assets acquired	\$ 41,528

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

No pro forma information is presented for fiscal 2008 as the ARD acquisition closed on the first day of our fiscal year. The table below presents summarized unaudited consolidated pro forma operating results, assuming we had purchased ARD at the beginning of fiscal 2007:

Table of Contents

	<b>Pro Forma</b>	
	<b>Three Months Ended July 1, 2007</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended July 1, 2007</b>
	<b>(in thousands, except per share data)</b>	
Revenue	\$ 435,387	\$ 1,201,025
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	276,247	791,115
Income from operations	22,920	64,302
Net income	12,513	32,526
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.56
Diluted	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.56
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	58,030	57,859
Diluted	58,786	58,452

In the second and third quarters of fiscal 2008, we acquired certain assets of two civil engineering firms, Daylor Consulting Group, Inc. ( Daylor ) and WJA P.L.L.C. ( WJA ). These acquisitions, which were integrated into our infrastructure segment, offer complementary technical expertise and enable us to enhance our infrastructure service offerings. We also acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of INCA Engineers, Inc. ( INCA ) and certain assets of Quattro Associates, Inc. ( Quattro ). INCA provides consulting and civil/mechanical engineering services for water infrastructure and transportation projects, including locks, levees and dams projects for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ( USACE ). Quattro provides process design and environmental consulting services to the steel and coke industries. INCA and Quattro are part of our resource management segment. The total initial purchase price for these acquisitions was \$12.0 million in cash and resulted in goodwill of \$5.3 million. In addition, the sellers have certain earn-out rights that allow them to receive additional cash payments over a three or four-year period upon achievement of certain financial objectives.

*Subsequent Event.* In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we acquired three consulting and engineering firms: (1) Institute for Public-Private Partnerships, Inc., which advises government and private sector clients including USAID, on public-private partnership structures for infrastructure development; (2) Rothberg, Tamburini & Winsor, Inc., which provides water and wastewater design services to municipalities, mining companies, utilities and industrial clients, and (3) Highlander Environmental Corp., which offers environmental capabilities for oil and gas industry clients. These acquisitions enhance our service offerings and expand our geographic reach. The total initial purchase price for these acquisitions was \$19.4 million in cash. In addition, the sellers have certain earn-out rights that allow them to receive additional cash payments over a two or four-year period upon the achievement of certain financial objectives.

#### **4. Goodwill and Intangibles**

For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, we recorded \$17.9 million, \$4.0 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.6 million of goodwill on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 29, 2008 for the ARD, INCA, WJA and Quattro acquisitions, respectively. These amounts resulted from the excess of the purchase price for each respective acquisition over the fair value of its net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. In addition, we adjusted goodwill for purchase price allocation adjustments related to certain fiscal 2007 acquisitions, and a \$1.5 million payment related to the DGI acquisition for an Internal Revenue Code Section 338(h)(10) election to treat the stock purchase as an asset purchase for tax purposes. The changes in the carrying value of goodwill by segment for the nine months ended June 29, 2008 were as follows:

Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2007	Goodwill Addition	Goodwill Adjustments	June 29, 2008
		(in thousands)		
Resource management	\$ 106,883	\$ 22,531	\$ 3,449	\$ 132,863
Infrastructure	74,069	639	(616)	74,092
Total	\$ 180,952	\$ 23,170	\$ 2,833	\$ 206,955

Table of Contents

The gross amount and accumulated amortization of our acquired identifiable intangible assets with finite useful lives as of June 29, 2008 and September 30, 2007, included in intangible assets net on the condensed consolidated balance sheets, were as follows:

	June 29, 2008		September 30, 2007	
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization
	(in thousands)			
Non-compete agreements	\$ 1,134	\$ (270)	\$ 506	\$ (65)
Customer relations	3,769	(566)	976	(108)
Backlog	18,493	(9,372)	10,017	(6,160)
Total	\$ 23,396	\$ (10,208)	\$ 11,499	\$ (6,333)

For the nine months ended June 29, 2008, \$0.6 million, \$2.8 million and \$8.5 million were assigned to non-compete agreements, customer relations and backlog, respectively. These amounts were related to the preliminary purchase price allocations for ARD, INCA, WJA, Daylor and Quattro, and, to a lesser extent, adjustments for certain fiscal 2007 acquisitions. For the three months ended June 29, 2008 and July 1, 2007, amortization expense for acquired identifiable intangible assets with finite useful lives was \$1.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. For the nine months ended June 29, 2008 and July 1, 2007, the amortization expense was \$3.9 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for the remainder of fiscal 2008 and the succeeding years is as follows:

	Amount (in thousands)
2008	\$ 1,415
2009	4,882
2010	3,433
2011	2,728
2012	541
2013	158
2014	31

## 5. Discontinued Operation

In fiscal 2006, we sold Vertex Engineering Services, Inc., and this operating unit was accounted for as a discontinued operation in the condensed consolidated financial statements for all reporting periods. For the three and nine months ended July 1, 2007, we reported a gain from discontinued operation, net of tax, of approximately \$26,000 and \$52,000, respectively.

## 6. Debt

In December 2006, we retired our senior secured notes and paid off the remaining principal balance of \$72.9 million. In connection with this early debt retirement, we incurred pre-payment premiums of \$3.1 million and expensed the remaining unamortized deferred financing costs of \$1.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 145, *Rescission of*

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

*Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Statements Nos. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections*, we reported a \$4.2 million loss on retirement of debt as part of our income from operations in the first quarter of fiscal 2007.

In May 2008, we entered into an amendment to our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ( *Credit Agreement* ) solely to provide additional flexibility with respect to potential future acquisitions. There was no change in the overall size of the revolving credit facility.

### 7. **Stockholders Equity and Stock Compensation Plans**

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (*revised 2004*), *Share-Based Payment* ( SFAS 123R ). Under the fair value recognition provisions of this statement, stock-based compensation



Table of Contents

cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award granted and recognized over the period in which the award vests. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, stock-based compensation expense was \$2.2 million and \$6.1 million, compared to \$1.7 million and \$4.2 million for the same periods last year, respectively. These amounts are included in selling, general and administrative ( SG&A ) expenses and to a lesser extent, other contract costs in our condensed consolidated statements of income. Stock-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008 may not be indicative of the total expense to be expected for fiscal year 2008.

## 8. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share ( EPS ) is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares for the period. Potential common shares include the weighted average dilutive effects of outstanding stock options using the treasury stock method.

The following table sets forth the number of weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted EPS:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
<b>Numerator:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 16,135	\$ 12,402	\$ 42,708	\$ 32,211
Income from discontinued operation		26		52
Net income	\$ 16,135	\$ 12,428	\$ 42,708	\$ 32,263
<b>Denominator for basic earnings per share</b>				
	58,943	58,030	58,590	57,859
<b>Denominator for diluted earnings per share:</b>				
Denominator for basic earnings per share	58,943	58,030	58,590	57,859
Potential common shares - stock options	890	756	741	593
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	59,833	58,786	59,331	58,452
<b>Basic earnings per share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.56
Income from discontinued operation				
Net income	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.56
<b>Diluted earnings per share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.55
Income from discontinued operation				
Net income	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.55

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, 1.5 million and 2.6 million common stock options were anti-dilutive, compared to 2.7 million and 3.9 million options for the same periods last year, respectively. These options were excluded from the calculation of dilutive potential common shares because the exercise prices were above the average market prices for the reporting periods.

**9. Income Taxes**

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes* ( FIN 48 ). Under FIN 48, we may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and disclosure requirements for uncertain tax positions.

Table of Contents

We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on October 1, 2007 and did not record any cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings as a result of this adoption. As of October 1, 2007 and June 29, 2008, the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits were \$32.1 million and \$37.2 million, respectively, of which \$15.4 million would impact our effective tax rate if recognized for both periods. We recognize potential interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. The amount of interest income accrued as of October 1, 2007 was \$8.4 million. The amount of interest income accrued as of June 29, 2008 was \$9.4 million and is included in the non-current income taxes receivable.

While the adoption of FIN 48 did not have a cumulative effect on retained earnings, we recorded certain reclassifications that affected the presentation on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 29, 2008. We recorded a reclassification from deferred tax liability to non-current income taxes payable to reflect the amount of expected tax payments related to temporary differences. Our net deferred tax asset balances reflect the expected future net tax deductions. While unrelated to the adoption of FIN 48, the increase in our current income taxes receivable reflects estimated tax payments in excess of our current provision.

We are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) for fiscal years 1997 through 2004 and the California Franchise Tax Board ( FTB ) for fiscal years 2001 through 2003 related to research and experimentation credits ( R&E Credits ). In addition, during fiscal 2002, the IRS approved our request to change the accounting method for revenue recognition for income tax purposes for some of our businesses. In 2002, we filed amended tax returns for fiscal years 1997 through 2000 to claim R&E Credits and to claim refunds due under the newly approved accounting method. At the time the refund claims were filed, we were under examination by the IRS for those years. The claimed refunds are being held pending completion of the IRS examination. The estimated realizable refunds have been classified as non-current income taxes receivable on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we received a 30-day letter from the IRS related to the R&E Credits and the accounting method for revenue recognition for fiscal years 2002 through 2004. We are protesting the positions in the letter. We are currently in the IRS appeals process for these same matters for fiscal years 1997 through 2001. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2008, we received a Notice of Proposed Assessment ( NOPA ) from the FTB related to R&E Credits for fiscal 2001 through 2003. We have protested the position in the NOPA. Management believes that it is reasonably possible we will reach a resolution of the issues for fiscal years 1997 through 2001 under appeal with the IRS in the next twelve months. If the resolution is favorable, the change in unrecognized tax benefits could be significant and we could receive a significant cash refund. However, if the resolution is unfavorable, there may be a material adverse effect on our financial results as a result of an increase in income tax expense, but no material impact on our cash flow in future periods. At this time, an estimate of the outcome cannot be reliably made. With a few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations for fiscal years before 1997.

**10. Reportable Segments**

We currently manage our business in three reportable segments: resource management, infrastructure and communications. Management established these segments based upon the services provided, the different marketing strategies associated with these services and the specialized needs of their respective clients. Our resource management segment provides engineering, consulting and construction services primarily addressing water quality and availability, environmental restoration, productive reuse of defense facilities, strategic environmental resource planning and alternative energy. Our infrastructure segment provides engineering, systems integration, program management, and construction management services for the development, upgrading, replacement and maintenance of civil infrastructure. Our communications segment provides engineering, permitting, site acquisition and construction management services for utility and telecommunication infrastructure projects.

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

During the first quarter of fiscal 2006, we developed and started implementing the initial phase of a plan to combine operating units and re-align our management structure. Throughout fiscal 2007 and to date, we consolidated some of our reporting units under common management structure, information systems and back-office functions. These changes have had no impact on our operating segment structure to date. As a result of our exit from the wireless communications business in fiscal 2006, the remaining portion of the communication business, known as the wired business, represents a small part of our overall business. In addition, the wired business operating units increasingly perform services and serve clients that are similar in nature to those of the infrastructure business. The wired business operating units provide engineering, permitting, site acquisition and construction

Table of Contents

management to state and local governments, telecommunications and cable operators, utility companies and other commercial clients. We continue to assess our operating and management structure, including the alignment of our wired business operating units. Should further changes be effected, we will evaluate the impact on our segment reporting as appropriate.

Management evaluates the performance of these reportable segments based upon their respective income from operations before the effect of amortization expense related to acquisitions. We account for inter-segment sales and transfers as if the sales and transfers were to third parties; that is, by applying a negotiated fee onto the cost of the services performed. All inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

The following tables set forth summarized financial information concerning our reportable segments (as of and for the periods noted below):

*Reportable Segments:*

	Resource Management	Infrastructure	Communications	Total
	(in thousands)			
<b>Three months ended June 29, 2008:</b>				
Revenue	\$ 460,961	\$ 106,768	\$ 21,022	\$ 588,751
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	232,353	86,598	13,318	332,269
Gross profit	46,478	16,315	3,097	65,890
Segment income from operations	22,987	7,123	1,451	31,561
Depreciation expense	2,168	596	450	3,214
Segment assets	750,797	125,235	34,808	910,840
<b>Three months ended July 1, 2007:</b>				
Revenue	\$ 298,544	\$ 103,415	\$ 18,603	\$ 420,562
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	159,891	84,213	12,222	256,326
Gross profit	34,304	17,339	2,370	54,013
Segment income from operations	15,037	8,102	971	24,110
Depreciation expense	1,554	496	334	2,384
Segment assets	581,947	109,511	29,039	720,497
<b>Nine months ended June 29, 2008:</b>				
Revenue	\$ 1,194,668	\$ 305,996	\$ 55,915	\$ 1,556,579
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	606,512	253,102	37,167	896,781
Gross profit	124,260	48,031	7,616	179,907
Segment income from operations	58,892	21,411	3,537	83,840
Depreciation expense	5,646	1,728	1,170	8,544
Segment assets	750,797	125,235	34,808	910,840
<b>Nine months ended July 1, 2007:</b>				
Revenue	\$ 813,215	\$ 300,670	\$ 47,956	\$ 1,161,841
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	460,019	247,228	30,209	737,456
Gross profit	90,271	47,757	5,551	143,579
Segment income from operations	39,048	19,939	1,704	60,691
Depreciation expense	3,540	1,575	929	6,044
Segment assets	581,947	109,511	29,039	720,497



Table of Contents*Reconciliations:*

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
	(in thousands)			
<b>Revenue</b>				
Revenue from reportable segments	\$ 588,751	\$ 420,562	\$ 1,556,579	\$ 1,161,841
Elimination of inter-segment revenue	(24,474)	(16,611)	(60,529)	(42,718)
Total consolidated revenue	\$ 564,277	\$ 403,951	\$ 1,496,050	\$ 1,119,123
<b>Income from operations</b>				
Segment income from operations	\$ 31,561	\$ 24,110	\$ 83,840	\$ 60,691
Other income (expense) (1)	(2,113)	(1,480)	(4,375)	2,466
Amortization of intangibles	(1,398)	(545)	(3,874)	(1,329)
Total consolidated income from operations	\$ 28,050	\$ 22,085	\$ 75,591	\$ 61,828

(1) Other income (expense) includes corporate costs not allocable to the segments. The nine months ended July 1, 2007 included a \$5.7 million reversal of accrued litigation liabilities.

	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
	(in thousands)	
<b>Segment assets</b>		
Total assets of reportable segments	\$ 910,840	\$ 720,497
Other assets not allocated to reportable segments and eliminations	43,670	66,720
Total assets	\$ 954,510	\$ 787,217

**11. Major Clients**

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, we generated 14.0% and 14.2% of our revenue from the USACE, a component of the U.S. Department of Defense ( DoD ), compared to 17.3% and 13.5% for the same periods last year, respectively. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, we generated 15.0% and 14.5% of our revenue from the U.S. Air Force, also a component of the DoD, compared to 9.6% and 12.3% for the same periods last year, respectively. Our resource management and infrastructure segments generated revenue from all client sectors. Our communications segment reported revenue only from state and local government and commercial clients.

The following table presents revenue by client sector:

Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007

(in thousands)

**Client Sector**

Federal government	\$ 307,929	\$ 190,876	\$ 825,042	\$ 575,557
State and local government	88,717	90,422	238,084	206,990
Commercial	163,848	119,171	423,589	329,283
International (1)	3,783	3,482	9,335	7,293
Total	\$ 564,277	\$ 403,951	\$ 1,496,050	\$ 1,119,123

---

(1) Includes revenue generated from our international clients. Revenue related to projects performed in foreign countries for U.S. government and commercial clients was reported as part of our federal government and commercial client sectors, respectively.



Table of Contents

**12. Comprehensive Income**

We include two components in comprehensive income: net income during a period and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income consists of translation gains and losses from subsidiaries with functional currencies different than our reporting currency.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, comprehensive income was \$16.1 million and \$42.6 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended July 1, 2007, comprehensive income was \$12.4 million and \$32.3 million, respectively. We recorded an insignificant net translation loss for both the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008 and July 1, 2007.

**13. Commitments and Contingencies**

We are subject to certain claims and lawsuits typically filed against the engineering, consulting and construction profession, alleging primarily professional errors or omissions. We carry professional liability insurance, subject to certain deductibles and policy limits, against such claims. However, in some actions, parties are seeking damages that exceed our insurance coverage or for which we are not insured. While management does not believe that the resolution of these claims will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, management acknowledges the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate resolution of these matters.

In January 2007, a stockholder filed a putative shareholder derivative complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles against certain current and former members of our Board of Directors and certain current and former executive officers, alleging proxy fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, constructive fraud, corporate waste, unjust enrichment and gross mismanagement in connection with the grant of certain stock options to our executive officers. We were also named as a nominal defendant in this action. The complaint sought damages on our behalf in an unspecified amount, disgorgement of the options which are the subject of the action, any proceeds from the exercise of those options or from any subsequent sale of the underlying stock and equitable relief. On April 11, 2008, the court entered a Judgment and Order of Dismissal (the Judgment) in this matter, and the appeal period has expired. Accordingly, the Judgment is final and the lawsuit has been dismissed.

In May 2003, Innovative Technologies Corporation (ITC) filed a lawsuit in Montgomery County, Ohio against Advanced Management Technology, Inc. (AMT) and other defendants for misappropriation of trade secrets, among other claims. In June 2004, we purchased all of the outstanding shares of AMT. As part of the purchase agreement, the former owners of AMT agreed to indemnify us for all costs and damages related to this lawsuit. In December 2007, the case went to trial, and the jury awarded \$5.8 million in compensatory damages against AMT. In addition, in January 2008, the jury awarded \$17.0 million in punitive damages against AMT plus unquantified legal fees. The court entered the above judgments in January 2008. No amounts have been paid to date; however, we have posted a \$1.0 million bond as required by the court. In July 2008, the Common Pleas Court of Montgomery County issued a decision and final appealable order in response to AMT's post-trial motions. AMT's motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict was denied, and AMT's motion for a new trial was conditionally denied. However, AMT's alternative motion to vacate or remit the verdict was conditionally granted, and ITC may either elect to accept \$2.0 million in compensatory damages and \$5.8 million in punitive damages or have a new trial on the appropriate amount of damages. No decision was rendered regarding attorneys' fees or pre-judgment interest. We have filed a notice of appeal with the second district court of appeals in Ohio. We believe that the reasonably possible range of exposure is from \$0 to approximately \$10 million. As of June 29, 2008, we recorded a liability representing our best estimate of a probable loss. Further, in the same period and for the same amount, we recorded a receivable from the former owners of AMT as we believe they have

the ability and intent to fully honor their indemnification to us for any and all costs and damages related to this lawsuit pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement.

On July 25, 2008, a domestic real estate investment trust (the REIT ) that owns and rents apartments filed suit against us and a former employee in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. The suit alleges that employees at one of our operating divisions in Colorado participated in a scheme to defraud the REIT in connection with contracts for environmental clean-up work between us and the REIT. The suit seeks as much as \$19 million in damages. Based on the information gathered to date and on the advice of legal counsel, we believe we have defenses and potential counter-claims to the allegations raised by the REIT, and we intend to defend ourselves vigorously. Given the early stage of the litigation, it is not possible to accurately predict the ultimate resolution of this matter.

Table of Contents

In July 2008, we, one of our subsidiaries, and a limited number of current and former employees and officers were served with a complaint that was filed by Sullivan International Group, Inc. ( SIG ) in the Superior Court of the State of California in San Diego County. The complaint alleges, in part, that we breached the Small Business Administration ( SBA ) mentor-protégé agreement we had entered into with SIG. The complaint contains additional allegations that are based, in part, upon SIG s interpretation of the SBA s mentor-protégé program regulations. Management believes the allegations, in general, are not factually or legally correct. As of June 29, 2008, SIG owed us \$1.6 million under promissory notes. SIG is in default on its payment obligations under these notes. We plan to vigorously defend against the allegations in the complaint, and obtain repayment of the amounts owed under the promissory notes.

#### 14. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ( SFAS 157 ), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, *Effective Dates of FASB Statement No. 157*, which defers the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS 157 may have on our financial statements and disclosures.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* ( SFAS 159 ). SFAS 159 provides companies with an option to measure, at specified election dates, many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently measured at fair value. A company that adopts SFAS 159 will report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We will implement the new standard effective in fiscal 2009. We are currently evaluating whether we will elect the fair value measurement principles for certain assets and liabilities.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* ( SFAS 141R ). SFAS 141R establishes the principles and requirements for how an acquirer: (i) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree; (ii) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase, and (iii) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R makes significant changes to existing accounting practices for acquisitions. SFAS 141R is to be applied prospectively to business combinations consummated on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period on or after December 15, 2008. We will implement the new standard effective in fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, SFAS 141R will have on our future business combinations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an Amendment of ARB No. 51* ( SFAS 160 ). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards that require: (i) noncontrolling interests to be reported as a component of equity; (ii) changes in a parent s ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling interest to be accounted for as equity transactions, and (iii) any retained noncontrolling equity investment upon the deconsolidation of a subsidiary to be initially measured at fair value. We do not currently have any less than wholly-owned consolidated subsidiaries. SFAS 160 is to be applied prospectively at the beginning of the first annual reporting period on or after December 15, 2008. We will implement the new standard effective in fiscal 2010.

Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

In December 2007, Emerging Issues Task Force 07-01, *Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements* ( EITF 07-01 ) was issued to prescribe the accounting for collaborations. It requires certain transactions between

Table of Contents

collaborators to be recorded in the income statement on either a gross or net basis when certain characteristics exist in the collaboration relationship. EITF 07-01 is effective in fiscal 2010 for all of our collaborations. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, EITF 07-01 will have on our financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*, ( FSP 142-3 ). FSP 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. FSP 142-3 shall be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 (fiscal 2010 for us). We are currently assessing the impact of FSP 142-3 on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* ( SFAS 162 ). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and establishes the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. SFAS 162 shall be effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* . The adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are subject to the safe harbor provisions created under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industries in which we operate and the beliefs and assumptions of our management. Words such as expects, anticipates, targets, goals, projects, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, continues, may, variations of such words, and similar expressions to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our businesses, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those identified below, as well as under the heading Risk Factors, and elsewhere herein. Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

**OVERVIEW**

We are a leading provider of consulting, engineering, construction and technical services focused on resource management and infrastructure. We serve our clients by defining problems and developing innovative and cost-effective solutions. Our solution usually begins with a scientific evaluation of the problem, one of our differentiating strengths. Our solution may span the life cycle of a project, which includes research and development, applied science and technology, engineering design, program management, construction management, construction, and operations and maintenance.

Since our initial public offering in December 1991, we have increased the size and scope of our business, expanded our service offerings, and diversified our client base and the markets we serve through internal growth and strategic acquisitions. We expect to focus on internal growth and continue to pursue complementary acquisitions that expand our geographic reach and increase the breadth and depth of our service offerings to address existing and emerging markets. As of June 29, 2008, we had approximately 8,400 full-time equivalent employees worldwide, located primarily in North America.

We derive our revenue from fees for professional and technical services. As a service-based company, we are labor-intensive rather than capital-intensive. Our revenue is driven by our ability to attract and retain qualified and productive employees, identify business opportunities, secure new and renew existing client contracts, provide outstanding services to our clients and execute projects successfully.

We provide our services to a diverse base of federal and state and local government agencies, as well as commercial and international clients. The following table presents the approximate percentage of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, by client sector:



Table of Contents

<b>Client Sector</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 29, 2008</b>	<b>July 1, 2007</b>	<b>June 29, 2008</b>	<b>July 1, 2007</b>
Federal government	43.4%	42.0%	45.1%	45.5%
State and local government	18.4	21.1	18.3	18.8
Commercial	37.2	35.5	35.7	34.8
International (1)	1.0	1.4	0.9	0.9
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Includes revenue generated from our international clients. Revenue related to projects performed in foreign countries for U.S. government and commercial clients was reported as part of our federal government and commercial client sectors, respectively.

We manage our business in three reportable segments: resource management, infrastructure and communications. Management established these segments based upon the services provided, the different marketing strategies associated with these services and the specialized needs of their respective clients. Our resource management segment provides engineering, consulting and construction services primarily addressing water quality and availability, environmental restoration, productive reuse of defense facilities, strategic environmental resource planning and alternative energy. Our infrastructure segment provides engineering, systems integration, program management, and construction management services for the development, upgrading, replacement and maintenance of civil infrastructure. Our communications segment provides engineering, permitting, site acquisition and construction management services for utility and telecommunication infrastructure projects.

The following table represents the approximate percentage of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, by reportable segment:

<b>Reportable Segment</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 29, 2008</b>	<b>July 1, 2007</b>	<b>June 29, 2008</b>	<b>July 1, 2007</b>
Resource management	69.9%	62.4%	67.7%	62.4%
Infrastructure	26.1	32.8	28.2	33.5
Communications	4.0	4.8	4.1	4.1
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Our services are provided under three principal types of contracts: fixed-price, time-and-materials and cost-plus. The following table presents the approximate percentage of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, by contract type:

<b>Contract Type</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 29, 2008</b>	<b>July 1, 2007</b>	<b>June 29, 2008</b>	<b>July 1, 2007</b>
Fixed-price	41.1%	35.9%	37.4%	33.0%
Time-and-materials	39.8	43.0	41.6	44.8
Cost-plus	19.1	21.1	21.0	22.2
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Contract revenue and contract costs are recorded primarily using the percentage-of-completion (cost-to-cost) method. Under this method, revenue is recognized in the ratio that contract costs incurred bear to total estimated costs. Revenue and profit on these contracts are subject to revision throughout the duration of the contracts and any required adjustments are made in the period in which the revisions become known. Losses on contracts are recorded in full as they are identified.

In the course of providing our services, we routinely subcontract services and, under certain USAID programs, issue grants. Generally, these subcontractor costs and grants are passed through to our clients and, in accordance with industry practice and GAAP, are included in revenue. The grants are reported as part of our

Table of Contents

subcontractor costs on our condensed consolidated statements of income. Because subcontractor services can change significantly from project to project, changes in revenue may not be indicative of our business trends. Accordingly, we also report revenue less the cost of subcontractor services, and our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations uses revenue, net of subcontractor costs, as a point of reference.

For analytical purposes only, we categorize our revenue into two types: acquisitive and organic. Acquisitive revenue consists of revenue derived from newly acquired companies that are reported individually as separate operating units during the first twelve months following their respective acquisition dates. Organic revenue consists of our total revenue less any acquisitive revenue.

Our other contract costs include professional compensation and related benefits, together with certain direct and indirect overhead costs such as rents, utilities and travel. Professional compensation represents a large portion of these costs. Our SG&A expenses are comprised primarily of marketing and bid and proposal costs, and our corporate headquarters costs related to the executive offices, finance, accounting, administration and information technology. In addition, we include a large portion of stock-based compensation, depreciation of property and equipment, as well as a full amount of amortization of identifiable intangible assets, in SG&A expenses. Most of these costs are unrelated to a specific client or project and can vary as expenses are incurred to support corporate activities and initiatives.

Our revenue, expenses and operating results may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter as a result of numerous factors, including:

- Unanticipated changes in contract performance that may affect profitability, particularly with contracts that are fixed-price or have funding limits;
- The seasonality of the spending cycle of our public sector clients, notably the federal government, the spending patterns of our commercial sector clients, and weather conditions;
- Budget constraints experienced by our federal as well as state and local government clients;
- Acquisitions or integration of acquired companies;
- Divestiture or discontinuance of operating units;
- Employee hiring, utilization and turnover rates;

- The number and significance of client contracts commenced and completed during a quarter;
- Creditworthiness and solvency of clients;
- The ability of our clients to terminate contracts without penalties;
- Delays incurred in connection with a contract;
- The size, scope and payment terms of contracts;
- Contract negotiations on change orders and collections of related accounts receivable;
- The timing of expenses incurred for corporate initiatives;
- Reductions in the prices of services offered by our competitors;
- Threatened or pending litigation;
- The impairment of our goodwill or identifiable intangible assets;
- Changes in accounting rules, and

Table of Contents

- General economic or political conditions.

We experience seasonal trends in our business. Our revenue is typically lower in the first half of the fiscal year, primarily due to the Thanksgiving, Christmas and New Year's holidays. Many of our clients' employees, as well as our own employees, take vacations during these holidays. Further, seasonal inclement weather conditions occasionally may cause some of our offices to close temporarily or hamper our project field work. These occurrences result in fewer billable hours worked on projects and, correspondingly, less revenue recognized. Our revenue is typically higher in the second half of the fiscal year, due to favorable weather conditions during spring and summer that result in higher billable hours. In addition, our revenue is typically higher in the fourth fiscal quarter due to the federal government's fiscal year-end spending.

## ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

*Acquisitions.* We continuously evaluate the marketplace for strategic acquisition opportunities. Due to our reputation, size, financial resources, geographic presence and range of services, we have numerous opportunities to acquire both privately held companies and subsidiaries or divisions of publicly held companies. Once an opportunity is identified, we examine the effect an acquisition may have on our long-range business strategy and our results of operations. Generally, we proceed with an acquisition if we believe that it would have a positive effect on future operations and could strategically expand our service offerings. As successful integration and implementation are essential to achieve favorable results, no assurance can be given that all acquisitions will provide accretive results. Our strategy is to position ourselves to address existing and emerging markets. We view acquisitions as a key component of our growth strategy, and we intend to use both cash and securities, as we deem appropriate, to fund acquisitions. We may acquire other businesses that we believe are synergistic and will ultimately increase our revenue and net income, strengthen our strategic goals, provide critical mass with existing clients, and further expand our lines of service. These factors will likely contribute to purchase prices that result in the recognition of goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2007, we acquired DGI, which provides planning, development and construction services for wind energy programs, BRAC projects, and water and wastewater treatment and conveyance facilities to its broad-based clients. This acquisition enables us to provide a wider range of service to our current and prospective wind energy clients, as DGI offers complementary capabilities and customer relationships. DGI is part of our resource management segment. In fiscal 2007, we also acquired VCL, VNL, STE, MEG, GORE and NEA. The purchase prices consisted of initial cash payments and, over a four-year period from their respective acquisition dates, guaranteed deferred cash payments and/or contingent earn-out payments upon achievement of certain financial objectives. MEG has been integrated into our infrastructure segment, and the other acquisitions have been integrated into our resource management segment.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we acquired ARD, which provides applied research, planning, design and implementation services focused on a range of water, energy, environmental and governance challenges. ARD manages large, complex international development projects for its clients, predominantly USAID. This acquisition continues our international expansion, as it increases our professional workforce by approximately 730 employees in new geographic areas and technical specialties around the world. ARD is part of our resource management segment. In the second and third quarters of fiscal 2008, we acquired certain assets of two civil engineering firms, Daylor and WJA. These acquisitions, which were integrated into our infrastructure segment, offer complementary technical expertise and enable us to enhance our infrastructure service offerings. We also acquired all of the outstanding stock of INCA and certain assets of Quattro. INCA provides consulting and civil/mechanical engineering services for water infrastructure and transportation projects, including locks, levees and dams projects for the

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

USACE. Quattro provides process design and environmental consulting services to the steel and coke industries. INCA and Quattro are part of our resource management segment.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we acquired three consulting and engineering firms: (1) Institute for Public-Private Partnerships, Inc., which advises government and private sector clients including USAID, on public-private partnership structures for infrastructure development; (2) Rothberg, Tamburini & Winsor, Inc., which provides water and wastewater design services to municipalities, mining companies, utilities and industrial clients, and (3) Highlander Environmental Corp., which offers environmental capabilities for oil and gas industry clients. These acquisitions enhance our service offerings and expand our geographic reach. The total initial purchase price for these acquisitions consisted of cash. In addition, the sellers have certain earn-out rights that will allow them to

Table of Contents

receive additional cash payments over a two or four-year period upon the achievement of certain financial objectives.

*Divestitures.* To complement our acquisition strategy and our focus on internal growth, we regularly review and evaluate our existing operations to determine whether our business model should change through the divestiture of certain businesses. Accordingly, from time to time, we may continue to divest certain non-core businesses and reallocate our resources to businesses that better align with our long-term strategic direction. We had no divestitures in fiscal 2007 or fiscal 2008.

**BUSINESS TREND ANALYSIS**

*General.* Our business continues to grow as we focus on organic growth and pursue complementary acquisitions that expand our geographic reach and increase the breadth of our service offerings to address existing and emerging markets. In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we experienced overall revenue growth of 39.7% from organic and acquisitive revenue from federal government and commercial clients compared to the same quarter last year. Our revenue from our federal government, state and local government, and commercial businesses was approximately 55%, 16% and 29%, respectively.

*Federal Government.* In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, our federal government business experienced strong revenue growth of 61.3% compared to the same quarter last year. Approximately half of this growth was driven by acquisitive revenue from ARD and, to a lesser extent, INCA. The balance resulted primarily from the continuation of increased activity on our Iraq and domestic projects for the DoD. We anticipate that our federal government business will experience strong growth in fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007 due primarily to our increased work with USAID and increased BRAC spending. However, due to the DoD's funding practices on projects in Iraq as well as the changing geopolitical landscape in Iraq and the U.S., it is difficult to forecast our work in Iraq beyond fiscal 2008.

*State and Local Government.* In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, our state and local government business declined 1.9% compared to the same quarter last year. The decline resulted from the conclusion of a large fiber-to-the-premises project and reduced activity on a large construction management project. The decline was partially mitigated by increased workload from combined sewer overflow ( CSO ) projects and revenue from our INCA acquisition. Although our revenue declined slightly from last year, our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, grew 12.6% due to increased self-performance of work on state and local government projects. Many state and local government agencies are facing increasingly challenging economic conditions, including budget deficits, declining tax revenues, and difficult cost cutting decisions. Simultaneously, states are facing major long-term infrastructure needs, including the maintenance, repair and upgrading of existing critical infrastructure and the need to build new facilities. In 2006, voters in several states approved infrastructure bond measures, and many of those bonds have now been sold or are in the process of being sold to provide the funding needed to advance critical projects. Additionally, new infrastructure bond measures and related tax proposals are being planned for ballots in 2008 through 2010. The funding risks

associated with our state and local government programs are partially mitigated by the regulatory requirements driving these programs, such as court mandated consent decrees, as well as demographic shifts and increasing demand for water and wastewater services. Accordingly, these programs generally occur regardless of budget pressures. Consequently, we anticipate that infrastructure projects will be initiated and funded during the remainder of 2008, and our state and local government business will remain relatively flat in fiscal 2008. We will remain vigilant in monitoring and evaluating state and local government budgets as they are approved for the new fiscal year and will continue to assess any potential future impacts to our state and local business.

*Commercial.* In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, our commercial business experienced strong growth of 37.5% across all three segments compared to the same quarter last year. This growth was driven largely by increased demand for our alternative energy services and, to a lesser extent, increased activity on environmental remediation, geotechnical consulting and telecommunications infrastructure projects. We anticipate that our commercial business will experience moderate growth in fiscal 2008.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Overall, our results for the third quarter of fiscal 2008 reflect a significant improvement as compared to the same quarter last year due to our focus on organic growth and the strategic pursuit of acquisitions that enhance our service offerings and expand our geographical presence. We continued to experience business growth from all three

Table of Contents

reportable segments, particularly from international development projects associated with our ARD acquisition, Iraq and domestic work from the DoD, as well as alternative energy, environmental remediation, geotechnical consulting and telecommunications infrastructure projects. Our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, grew across all client sectors and all reportable segments. The increase in our contract costs substantially corresponded to our revenue growth. Our SG&A expenses increased as a result of our business growth and our investment in marketing and business development to drive that growth. Further, we incurred additional SG&A expenses related to our new acquisitions. Our net interest expense decreased as a result of lower interest expense from our debt due to lower interest rates. The decrease was partially offset by lower interest income from short-term cash investments resulting from the lower interest rates, compared to the same quarter last year.

**Consolidated Results**

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	Change \$	%	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	Change \$	%
(\$ in thousands)								
Revenue	\$ 564,277	\$ 403,951	\$ 160,326	39.7%	\$ 1,496,050	\$ 1,119,123	\$ 376,927	33.7%
Subcontractor costs	(232,008)	(147,625)	(84,383)	(57.2)	(599,269)	(381,667)	(217,602)	(57.0)
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	332,269	256,326	75,943	29.6	896,781	737,456	159,325	21.6
Other contract costs	(266,379)	(202,313)	(64,066)	(31.7)	(716,874)	(593,877)	(122,997)	(20.7)
Gross profit	65,890	54,013	11,877	22.0	179,907	143,579	36,328	25.3
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(37,840)	(31,928)	(5,912)	(18.5)	(104,316)	(81,751)	(22,565)	(27.6)
Income from operations	28,050	22,085	5,965	27.0	75,591	61,828	13,763	22.3
Interest expense net	(483)	(657)	174	26.5	(2,600)	(1,901)	(699)	(36.8)
Loss on retirement of debt						(4,226)	4,226	100.0
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	27,567	21,428	6,139	28.6	72,991	55,701	17,290	31.0
Income tax expense	(11,432)	(9,026)	(2,406)	(26.7)	(30,283)	(23,490)	(6,793)	(28.9)
Income from continuing operations	16,135	12,402	3,733	30.1	42,708	32,211	10,497	32.6
Income from discontinued operation, net of tax		26	(26)	(100.0)		52	(52)	(100.0)
Net income	\$ 16,135	\$ 12,428	\$ 3,707	29.8%	\$ 42,708	\$ 32,263	\$ 10,445	32.4%



## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Other contract costs	(80.2)	(78.9)	(79.9)	(80.5)
Gross profit	19.8	21.1	20.1	19.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(11.4)	(12.5)	(11.6)	(11.1)
Income from operations	8.4	8.6	8.5	8.4
Interest expense net	(0.1)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.2)
Loss on retirement of debt				(0.6)
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	8.3	8.3	8.2	7.6
Income tax expense	(3.4)	(3.5)	(3.4)	(3.2)
Income from continuing operations	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.4
Income from discontinued operation, net of tax				
Net income	4.9%	4.8%	4.8%	4.4%

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, revenue increased \$160.3 million, or 39.7%, and \$376.9 million, or 33.7%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. For both periods, we experienced business growth in all three reportable segments from organic and acquisitive revenue compared to last year. Our federal government business continued to grow primarily as a result of the international development projects for USAID associated with our ARD acquisition, and increased workload on our Iraq and domestic projects for the DoD. This growth was partially offset by the completion of several large contracts in fiscal 2007 with the U.S. Department of Energy ( DOE ) and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration ( NASA ). For the three-month period, our state and local government business declined slightly due to the conclusion of a large fiber-to-the-premises project and reduced activity on a large construction management project compared to the same period last year. The decline was largely mitigated by increased workload on our CSO projects and revenue from our INCA acquisition. For the nine-month period, our state and local government business grew 15.0% compared to the same period last year due to the activities at DGI, which was acquired in the third quarter of fiscal 2007. Our CSO and civil infrastructure projects also contributed to this growth. For both periods, our commercial business experienced strong growth in all reportable segments, particularly with work related to alternative energy, environmental remediation, geotechnical consulting and telecommunications infrastructure projects.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased \$75.9 million, or 29.6%, and \$159.3 million, or 21.6%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively, for the reasons described above. The growth rate for revenue, net of subcontractor costs, was lower than that for revenue due to increased use of subcontractors, and in some cases, higher subcontracting requirements for certain federal government work, particularly our reconstruction and unexploded ordnance projects in Iraq and our USAID projects. Further, our program management activities on federal government contracts typically result in higher levels of subcontracting activities that are partially driven by government-mandated small business set-aside requirements.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, other contract costs increased \$64.1 million, or 31.7%, and \$123.0 million, or 20.7%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. On a percentage change basis, the cost increase generally tracked to the increase in revenue, net of subcontractor costs, for the respective periods. Additionally, for the three-month period, our direct non-labor costs, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased primarily due to construction and international development projects. As such, for

the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs,

Table of Contents

other contract costs were 80.2% and 79.9%, compared to 78.9% and 80.5% for the same periods last year, respectively.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, gross profit increased \$11.9 million, or 22.0%, and \$36.3 million, or 25.3%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively, for the reasons described above. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, gross profit was 19.8% and 20.1%, compared to 21.1% and 19.5% for the same periods last year, respectively. Our results in each period were positively impacted by our improved performance on fixed-priced contracts.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, SG&A expenses increased \$5.9 million, or 18.5%, and \$22.6 million, or 27.6%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. The increase resulted from the growth of our business and our investment in marketing and business development efforts. To a lesser extent, we recognized additional SG&A expenses related to our new acquisitions. For the nine-month period in fiscal 2007, our SG&A expenses were reduced by \$5.7 million as a result of reversals of certain accrued litigation liabilities. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, SG&A expenses were 11.4% and 11.6%, compared to 12.5% and 11.1% for the same periods last year, respectively.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, net interest expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 26.5%, and increased \$0.7 million, or 36.8%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. For the three-month period, the decrease was driven by lower interest expense from our debt due to lower interest rates. This was partially offset by lower interest income from short-term cash investments due to lower interest rates. For the nine-month period, the increase resulted from higher average borrowings and lower interest income from short-term investments of cash, partially offset by lower average interest rates on our debt.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we elected to retire our senior secured notes and paid off the remaining principal balance of \$72.9 million. In connection with this debt retirement, we incurred pre-payment premiums of \$3.1 million and expensed the remaining unamortized deferred financing costs of \$1.1 million. We reported an aggregate charge of \$4.2 million related to early retirement of debt as part of our income from continuing operations.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, income tax expense increased \$2.4 million, or 26.7%, and \$6.8 million, or 28.9%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively, primarily due to higher income. Our effective tax rates were 41.5% and 42.2% for the first nine months of fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively.

*Additional Information by Reportable Segment*

*Resource Management*

June 29, 2008	Three Months Ended		June 29, 2008	Nine Months Ended	
	July 1, 2007	Change		July 1, 2007	Change
		\$		\$	%

(\$ in thousands)

Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	\$ 232,353	\$ 159,891	\$ 72,462	45.3%	\$ 606,512	\$ 460,019	\$ 146,493	31.8%
Other contract costs	(185,875)	(125,587)	(60,288)	(48.0)	(482,252)	(369,748)	(112,504)	(30.4)
Gross profit	\$ 46,478	\$ 34,304	\$ 12,174	35.5%	\$ 124,260	\$ 90,271	\$ 33,989	37.7%

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Other contract costs	(80.0)	(78.5)	(79.5)	(80.4)
Gross profit	20.0%	21.5%	20.5%	19.6%

Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased \$72.5 million, or 45.3%, and \$146.5 million, or 31.8%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. For both periods, our resource management segment experienced strong growth across all of its client sectors from organic and acquisitive revenue. In our federal government business, the growth was driven primarily by international development projects for USAID and, to a lesser extent, increased activity on Iraq and domestic projects for the DoD. For the nine-month period, the growth was partially offset by a decline in revenue from the DOE and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ( EPA ) that resulted from the completion of several large contracts in fiscal 2007. For both periods, our state and local government business grew as a result of our recent acquisitions. Additionally, we experienced increased activity related to remedial investigation and water quality assessment. Our commercial business experienced strong growth due to increased demand primarily for our alternative energy services, including wind farm projects, as well as our environmental remediation and geotechnical services.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, other contract costs increased \$60.3 million, or 48.0%, and \$112.5 million, or 30.4%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. On a percentage change basis, the cost increase generally tracked to the increase in revenue, net of subcontractor costs, for the respective periods. For the three-month period, our direct non-labor costs, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased primarily as a result of construction and international development projects. For the nine-month period, the dollar increase was partially offset by gains related to project equipment disposals following the close-out of certain contracts. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, other contract costs were 80.0% and 79.5%, compared to 78.5% and 80.4% for the same periods last year, respectively.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, gross profit increased \$12.2 million, or 35.5%, and \$34.0 million, or 37.7%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively, for the reasons described above. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, gross profit was 20.0% and 20.5%, compared to 21.5% and 19.6% for the same periods last year, respectively. For both periods, the percentages were positively impacted by improved performance on our fixed-priced contracts and favorable close-outs of contract claims. This was offset by lower profit margins on the procurement of materials and supplies for the construction and international development projects.

**Infrastructure**

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	Change \$	%	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	Change \$	%
	(\$ in thousands)							
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	\$ 86,598	\$ 84,213	\$ 2,385	2.8%	\$ 253,102	\$ 247,228	\$ 5,874	2.4%
Other contract costs	(70,283)	(66,874)	(3,409)	(5.1)	(205,071)	(199,471)	(5,600)	(2.8)
Gross profit	\$ 16,315	\$ 17,339	\$ (1,024)	(5.9)%	\$ 48,031	\$ 47,757	\$ 274	0.6%

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Other contract costs	(81.2)	(79.4)	(81.0)	(80.7)

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

Gross profit	18.8%	20.6%	19.0%	19.3%
--------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased \$2.4 million, or 2.8%, and \$5.9 million, or 2.4%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. This growth was driven by increased workload from commercial clients and, to a lesser extent, state and local government clients, particularly related to civil infrastructure projects. The overall increase was partially offset by the slowdown in the land redevelopment and housing markets, in addition to the completion of a large NASA project at the end of fiscal 2007.

Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, other contract costs increased \$3.4 million, or 5.1%, and \$5.6 million, or 2.8%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. For each period, the costs increased to support the increase in revenue, net of subcontractor costs. Further, our costs increased at a higher rate than our revenue. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, other contract costs were 81.2% and 81.0%, compared to 79.4% and 80.7% for the same periods last year, respectively. We incurred fixed costs related to certain business areas such as school design and land redevelopment, which experienced revenue decline due to the slowdown in the housing market.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, gross profit decreased \$1.0 million, or 5.9%, and increased \$0.3 million, or 0.6%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. For the three-month period, the decrease resulted from reduced activity on school design and land redevelopment projects due to slowdown in the housing market. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, gross profit was 18.8% and 19.0%, compared to 20.6% and 19.3% for the same periods last year, respectively. This decrease as noted above was mitigated by the completion of the aforementioned low-margin NASA project.

*Communications*

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	Change \$	%	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	Change \$	%
	(\$ in thousands)							
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	\$ 13,318	\$ 12,222	\$ 1,096	9.0%	\$ 37,167	\$ 30,209	\$ 6,958	23.0%
Other contract costs	(10,221)	(9,852)	(369)	(3.7)	(29,551)	(24,658)	(4,893)	(19.8)
Gross profit	\$ 3,097	\$ 2,370	\$ 727	30.7%	\$ 7,616	\$ 5,551	\$ 2,065	37.2%

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007	June 29, 2008	July 1, 2007
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Other contract costs	(76.7)	(80.6)	(79.5)	(81.6)
Gross profit	23.3%	19.4%	20.5%	18.4%

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased \$1.1 million, or 9.0%, and \$7.0 million, or 23.0%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. We experienced increased demand for our telecommunications services from commercial clients. This increase was partially offset by the conclusion of a large fiber-to-the-premises project in the second quarter of this fiscal year.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, other contract costs increased \$0.4 million, or 3.7%, and \$4.9 million, or 19.8%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. For both periods, the increase resulted from additional costs related to our revenue growth. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, other contract costs were 76.7% and 79.5%, compared to 80.6% and 81.6% for the same periods last year, respectively. Due to improvements in our contract execution and our containment

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

of overhead costs, other contract costs declined as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs.

For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, gross profit increased \$0.7 million, or 30.7%, and \$2.1 million, or 37.2%, compared to the same periods last year, respectively. This increase was due primarily to business growth. For the three and nine months ended June 29, 2008, as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, gross profit was 23.3% and 20.5%, compared to 19.4% and 18.4% for the same periods last year, respectively. The percentage increase resulted from improvements in our contract execution and containment of business support services costs.



Table of Contents

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

*Capital Requirements.* Our capital requirements are to fund working capital needs, capital expenditures, and debt services requirements, as well as to fund acquisitions. It is anticipated that operating cash flow, together with available borrowings under the credit agreement described below, will be sufficient to meet our requirements for the next twelve months.

*Working Capital.* At the end of third quarter of fiscal 2008, our working capital increased \$33.3 million, or 17.2%, compared to last fiscal year-end due primarily to our business growth. Our cash and cash equivalents decreased \$27.4 million, or 35.7%, compared to last fiscal year-end. The decrease resulted from payments for business acquisitions, debt (net of borrowings) and capital expenditures. This decrease was partially offset by operating cash and net proceeds from issuance of common stock. Our net accounts receivable increased \$99.7 million, or 22.8%, compared to last fiscal year-end. The increase was driven primarily by our organic revenue growth and our ARD acquisition. Our billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts increased \$35.6 million, or 64.4%, compared to last fiscal year-end due primarily to favorable contract payment terms.

*Operating Activities.* For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, our net cash provided by operating activities was \$43.1 million, up \$31.4 million, or 267.0%, compared to \$11.7 million for the same period last year. The \$31.4 million increase resulted primarily from higher net income and advance payments on contract work, partially offset by increased payments to subcontractors.

*Investing Activities.* For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, our net cash used in investing activities was \$67.6 million, up \$32.8 million, or 94.2%, compared to \$34.8 million for the same period last year. The increase resulted from our fiscal 2008 acquisitions including ARD and INCA, and to a lesser extent, higher capital expenditures. Our capital expenditures were \$13.8 million, up \$5.7 million, or 70.2%, compared to \$8.1 million from last year. The increase resulted primarily from the replacement of obsolete equipment, new equipment required for project execution and, to a lesser extent, capital costs associated with our enterprise resource planning ( ERP ) system.

*Financing Activities.* For the first nine months of fiscal 2008, our net cash used in financing activities was \$2.9 million, down \$1.8 million, or 38.2%, compared to \$4.6 million for the same period last year. Cash used in financing activities resulted primarily from our net payments on debt. This use was offset by the cash proceeds from the issuance of common stock.

*Debt Financing.* In March 2007, we entered into a Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, our revolving credit facility ( Facility ) was increased from \$150.0 million to \$300.0 million, and the term of the agreement was

extended for five years through March 30, 2012. As part of the Facility, we may request financial letters of credit up to an aggregate sum of \$50.0 million and standby letters of credit up to the full amount of the facility. Other than the increased capacity under the Facility and improved pricing, the terms and conditions relating to the Facility are substantially similar to those of the prior Facility. In May 2008, we entered into an amendment to the Credit Agreement solely to provide additional flexibility with respect to potential future acquisitions. There was no change in the overall size of the Facility. As of June 29, 2008, we had \$55.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the Facility and standby letters of credit under the Facility totaled \$35.2 million.

The Credit Agreement requires us to comply with various financial and operating covenants. Specifically, (1) the maximum consolidated leverage ratio (defined as the ratio of funded debt to adjusted earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization ( EBITDA )) is 2.50x for each quarter, and (2) the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (defined as the ratio of EBITDA minus capital expenditures to interest expense plus taxes and principal payments) is 1.25x for each quarter. As of June 29, 2008, our consolidated leverage ratio was 0.85x, and our fixed charge coverage ratio was 2.30x. Further, the Credit Agreement contains other restrictions, including but not limited to, the creation of liens and the payment of dividends on our capital stock (other than stock dividends). Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are secured by our accounts receivable, the stock of our significant subsidiaries and our cash, deposit accounts, investment property and financial assets. As of June 29, 2008, we met all compliance requirements, and we expect to be in compliance over the next 12 months.

*Inflation.* We believe our operations have not been, and, in the foreseeable future, are not expected to be, materially adversely affected by inflation or changing prices due to the average duration of our projects and our

Table of Contents

ability to negotiate prices as contracts end and new contracts begin. However, general economic conditions may impact our client base, our clients' creditworthiness and our ability to collect cash to meet our operating needs.

*Tax Claims.* We are currently under examination by the IRS for fiscal years 1997 through 2004 and the FTB for fiscal years 2001 through 2003 related to R&E Credits. In addition, during fiscal 2002, the IRS approved our request to change the accounting method for revenue recognition for income tax purposes for some of our businesses. In 2002, we filed amended tax returns for fiscal years 1997 through 2000 to claim R&E Credits and to claim refunds due under the newly approved accounting method. At the time the refund claims were filed, we were under examination by the IRS for those years. The claimed refunds are being held pending completion of the IRS examination. The estimated realizable refunds have been classified as non-current income taxes receivable on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. During the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we received a 30-day letter from the IRS related to the R&E Credits and the accounting method for revenue recognition for fiscal years 2002 through 2004. We are protesting the positions in the letter. We are currently in the IRS appeals process for these same matters for fiscal years 1997 through 2001. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2008, we received a NOPA from the FTB related to R&E Credits for fiscal 2001 through 2003. We have protested the position in the NOPA. If both the R&E Credits and change in accounting method matters are decided unfavorably, there may be a material adverse effect on our financial results but no material impact on our cash flow in future periods. However, if the resolution is favorable, the change in unrecognized tax benefits could be significant, and we could receive a significant cash refund.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

Our critical accounting policies are disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. To date, there have been no material changes in our critical accounting policies as reported in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K, except for the adoption of FIN 48 described in the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

For information regarding recent accounting pronouncements, see Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report.

**Financial Market Risks**

We currently utilize no derivative financial instruments that expose us to significant market risk. We are exposed to interest rate risk under our Credit Agreement. We may borrow on our Facility, at our option, at either (a) a base rate (the greater of the federal funds rate plus 0.50% per annum or the bank's reference rate) plus a margin which ranges from 0.0% to 1.25% per annum, or (b) a Eurodollar rate plus a margin which ranges from 1.0% to 2.25% per annum. Borrowings at the base rate have no designated term and may be repaid at anytime prior to the Facility's

maturity date without penalty. Borrowings at a Eurodollar rate have a term of no less than 30 days and no greater than 90 days. Typically, at the end of such term, such borrowings may be rolled over at our discretion into either a borrowing at the base rate or a Eurodollar rate with similar terms, not to exceed the maturity date of the Facility. The Facility matures on March 30, 2012 or terminates earlier at our discretion upon payment in full of loans and other obligations.

We anticipate repaying \$4.0 million of our outstanding indebtedness in the next 12 months, of which \$2.2 million relates to the guaranteed earn-out payment associated with certain acquisitions and \$1.8 million relates to other debt. Assuming we do repay the remaining \$1.8 million ratably during the next 12 months and hold \$55.0 million in borrowing under the Facility for the next 12 months, our annual interest expense would increase or decrease by \$0.6 million when our average interest rate increases or decreases by 1% per annum. There can be no assurance that we will be able to repay our debt in the prescribed manner. In addition, we could incur additional debt under the Facility to meet our operating needs or to finance future acquisitions.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Please refer to the information we have included under the heading "Financial Market Risks" in Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

**Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures and changes in internal control over financial reporting.** As of June 29, 2008, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on our management's evaluation (with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer), our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), were effective.

**Changes in internal control over financial reporting.** There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our third quarter of fiscal 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are subject to certain claims and lawsuits typically filed against the engineering, consulting and construction profession, alleging primarily professional errors or omissions. We carry professional liability insurance, subject to certain deductibles and policy limits, against such claims. However, in some actions, parties are seeking damages that exceed our insurance coverage or for which we are not insured. While management does not believe that the resolution of these claims will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, management acknowledges the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate resolution of these matters.

In January 2007, a stockholder filed a putative shareholder derivative complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles against certain current and former members of our Board of Directors and certain current and former executive officers, alleging proxy fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, constructive fraud, corporate waste, unjust enrichment and gross mismanagement in connection with the grant of certain stock options to our executive officers. We were also named as a nominal defendant in this action. The complaint sought damages on our behalf in an unspecified amount, disgorgement of the options which are the subject of the action, any proceeds from the exercise of those options or from any subsequent sale of the underlying stock and equitable relief. On April 11, 2008, the court entered a Judgment and Order of Dismissal (the Judgment) in this matter, and the appeal period has expired. Accordingly, the Judgment is final and the lawsuit has been dismissed.

In May 2003, Innovative Technologies Corporation (ITC) filed a lawsuit in Montgomery County, Ohio against Advanced Management Technology, Inc. (AMT) and other defendants for misappropriation of trade secrets, among other claims. In June 2004, we purchased all of the outstanding shares of AMT. As part of the purchase agreement, the former owners of AMT agreed to indemnify us for all costs and damages related to this lawsuit. In December 2007, the case went to trial, and the jury awarded \$5.8 million in compensatory damages against AMT. In addition, in January 2008, the jury awarded \$17.0 million in punitive damages against AMT plus unquantified legal fees. The court entered the above judgments in January 2008. No amounts have been paid to date; however, we have posted a \$1.0 million bond as required by the court. In July 2008, the Common Pleas Court of Montgomery County issued a decision and final appealable order in response to AMT's post-trial motions. AMT's motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict was denied, and AMT's motion for a new trial was conditionally denied. However, AMT's alternative motion to vacate or remit the verdict was conditionally granted, and ITC may either elect to accept \$2.0 million in compensatory damages and \$5.8 million in punitive damages or have a new trial on the appropriate amount of damages. No decision was rendered regarding attorneys' fees or pre-judgment interest. We have filed a notice of appeal with the second district court of appeals in Ohio. We believe that the reasonably possible range of exposure is from \$0 to approximately \$10 million. As of June 29, 2008, we recorded a liability representing our best estimate of a probable loss. Further, in the same period and for the same amount, we recorded a receivable from the former owners of AMT as we believe they have the ability and intent to fully honor their indemnification to us for any and all costs and damages related to this lawsuit pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement.

On July 25, 2008, a domestic real estate investment trust (the REIT) that owns and rents apartments filed suit against us and a former employee in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. The suit alleges that employees at one of our operating divisions in Colorado participated in a scheme to defraud the REIT in connection with contracts for environmental clean-up work between us and the REIT. The suit seeks as much as \$19 million in damages. Based on the information gathered to date and on the advice of legal counsel, we believe we have defenses and potential counter-claims to the allegations raised by the REIT, and we intend to defend ourselves vigorously. Given the early stage of the litigation, it is not possible to accurately predict the ultimate resolution of this matter.

In July 2008, we, one of our subsidiaries, and a limited number of current and former employees and officers were served with a complaint that was filed by Sullivan International Group, Inc. ( SIG ) in the Superior Court of the State of California in San Diego County. The complaint alleges, in part, that we breached the Small Business Administration ( SBA ) mentor-protégé agreement we had entered into with SIG. The complaint contains additional allegations that are based, in part, upon SIG s interpretation of the SBA s mentor-protégé program

Table of Contents

regulations. Management believes the allegations, in general, are not factually or legally correct. As of June 29, 2008, SIG owed us \$1.6 million under promissory notes. SIG is in default on its payment obligations under these notes. We plan to vigorously defend against the allegations in the complaint, and obtain repayment of the amounts owed under the promissory notes.



Table of Contents

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

*Set forth below and elsewhere in this report and in other documents we file with the SEC are descriptions of the risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report.*

**Our quarterly and annual revenue, expenses and operating results may fluctuate significantly**

Our quarterly and annual revenue, expenses and operating results may fluctuate significantly because of a number of factors, including:

- Unanticipated changes in contract performance that may affect profitability, particularly with contracts that are fixed-price or have funding limits;
- The seasonality of the spending cycle of our public sector clients, notably the federal government, the spending patterns of our commercial sector clients, and weather conditions;
- Budget constraints experienced by our federal, state and local government clients;
- Acquisitions or the integration of acquired companies;
- Divestiture or discontinuance of operating units;
- Employee hiring, utilization and turnover rates;
- The number and significance of client contracts commenced and completed during a quarter;
- Creditworthiness and solvency of clients;

- The ability of our clients to terminate contracts without penalties;
- Delays incurred in connection with a contract;
- The size, scope and payment terms of contracts;
- Contract negotiations on change orders and collections of related accounts receivable;
- The timing of expenses incurred for corporate initiatives;
- Reductions in the prices of services offered by our competitors;
- Threatened or pending litigation;
- The impairment of our goodwill or identifiable intangible assets;
- Changes in accounting rules, and
- General economic or political conditions.

Variations in any of these factors could cause significant fluctuations in our operating results from quarter to quarter, result in net losses, and have a negative effect on the price of our stock.

**We derive the majority of our revenue from government agencies, and any disruption in government funding or in our relationship with those agencies could adversely affect our business**

In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we derived approximately 61.8% of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, from contracts with federal, state and local government agencies. Federal government agencies are among our



Table of Contents

most significant clients. The following agencies generated 43.4% of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, in the third quarter of fiscal 2008: 24.0% from the DoD, 8.1% from USAID, 4.3% from the EPA, 2.1% from the DOE, and 4.9% from various other federal agencies. A significant amount of this revenue is derived under multi-year contracts, many of which are appropriated on an annual basis. As a result, at the beginning of a project, the related contract may be only partially funded, and additional funding is normally committed only as appropriations are made in each subsequent year. These appropriations, and the timing of payment of appropriated amounts, may be influenced by a number of factors as noted below. Our backlog includes only the projects that have funding appropriated.

The demand for our government-related services is generally related to the level of government program funding. Accordingly, the success and further development of our business depends, in large part, upon the continued funding of these government programs and upon our ability to obtain contracts under these programs. There are several factors that could materially affect our government contracting business, including the following:

- Changes in and delays or cancellations of government programs, requirements or appropriations;
- Budget constraints or policy changes resulting in delay or curtailment of expenditures relating to the services we provide;
- Re-competes of government contracts;
- The timing and amount of tax revenue received by federal, state and local governments, and the overall level of government expenditures;
- Curtailment of the use of government contracting firms;
- Delays associated with a lack of sufficient number of government staff to oversee contracts;
- The increasing preference by government agencies for contracting with small and disadvantaged businesses;
- Competing political priorities and changes in the political climate with regard to the funding or operation of the services we provide;

- The adoption of new laws or regulations affecting our contracting relationships with the federal, state or local governments;
- Unsatisfactory performance on government contracts by us or one of our subcontractors, negative government audits or other events that may impair our relationship with the federal, state or local governments;
- A dispute with or improper activity by any of our subcontractors, and
- General economic or political conditions.

These and other factors could cause government agencies to delay or cancel programs, to reduce their orders under existing contracts, to exercise their rights to terminate contracts or not to exercise contract options for renewals or extensions. Any of these actions could have a material adverse effect on our revenue or timing of contract payments from these agencies.

**A significant shift in U.S. defense spending could harm our operations and significantly reduce our future revenues**

Revenue under contracts with the DoD represented approximately 24.0% of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, in the third quarter of fiscal 2008, as noted above. In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we experienced an increase in revenue for project management reconstruction services in Iraq compared to the same period last year. While spending authorization for defense-related programs has increased significantly in recent

Table of Contents

years due to greater homeland security and foreign military commitments, as well as the trend to outsource federal government jobs to the private sector, these spending levels may not be sustainable. For example, the DoD budget declined in the late 1980s and the early 1990s, resulting in DoD program delays and cancellations. Future levels of expenditures and authorizations for these programs may decrease, remain constant or shift to programs in areas where we do not currently provide services. As a result, a general decline in U.S. defense spending or a change in budgetary priorities could harm our operations and significantly reduce our future revenues.

**A delay in the completion of the budget process of the U.S. government could delay procurement of our services and have an adverse effect on our future revenues**

In years when the U.S. government does not complete its budget process before the end of its fiscal year on September 30th, government operations are typically funded by means of a continuing resolution that authorizes agencies of the U.S. government to continue to operate, but does not authorize new spending initiatives. When the U.S. government operates under a continuing resolution, government agencies may delay the procurement of services, which could reduce our future revenue.

**As a government contractor, we are subject to a number of procurement laws, regulations and government audits; a violation of any such laws and regulations could result in sanctions, contract termination, forfeiture of profit, harm to our reputation or loss of our status as an eligible government contractor**

We must comply with and are affected by federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration and performance of government contracts. For example, we must comply with the Federal Acquisition Regulations ( FAR ), the Truth in Negotiations Act, the Cost Accounting Standards ( CAS ) and DoD security regulations, as well as many other rules and regulations. These laws and regulations affect how we do business with our clients and, in some instances, impose additional costs on our business operations. Although we take precautions to prevent and deter fraud, misconduct and non-compliance, we face the risk that our employees or outside partners may engage in misconduct, fraud or other improper activities. Government agencies such as the Defense Contract Audit Agency ( DCAA ), routinely audit and investigate government contractors. These government agencies review, and audit a government contractor's performance under its contracts and cost structure, and compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards. In addition, during the course of its audits, the DCAA may question our incurred project costs, and if the DCAA believes we have accounted for such costs in a manner inconsistent with the requirements for the FAR or CAS, the DCAA auditor may recommend to our U.S. Government corporate administrative contracting officer to disallow such costs. Historically, we have not experienced significant disallowed costs as a result of governmental audits. However, we can provide no assurance that the DCAA or other government audits will not result in material disallowance for incurred costs in the future. Government contract violations could result in the imposition of civil and criminal penalties or sanctions, contract termination, forfeiture of profit and/or suspension of payment, any of which could make us lose our status as an eligible government contractor. We could also suffer serious harm to our reputation.

**Because we depend on federal, state and local governments for a significant portion of our revenue, our inability to win or renew government contracts during regulated procurement processes could harm our operations and significantly reduce or eliminate our profits**

Government contracts are awarded through a regulated procurement process. The federal government has increasingly relied upon multi-year contracts with pre-established terms and conditions, such as indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity ( IDIQ ) contracts, which generally require those contractors who have previously been awarded the IDIQ to engage in an additional competitive bidding process before a task order is issued. The increased competition, in turn, may require us to make sustained efforts to reduce costs in order to realize revenues and profits under

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

government contracts. If we are not successful in reducing the amount of costs we incur, our profitability on government contracts will be negatively impacted. Moreover, even if we are qualified to work on a government contract, we may not be awarded the contract because of existing government policies designed to protect small businesses and underrepresented minority contractors. Our inability to win or renew government contracts during regulated procurement processes could harm our operations and significantly reduce or eliminate our profits.

Table of Contents

**Our government contracts may give the government the right to modify, delay, curtail or terminate our contracts at their convenience at any time prior to their completion and, if we do not replace these contracts, then we may suffer a decline in revenues**

Government projects in which we participate as a contractor or subcontractor may extend for several years. Generally, government contracts include the right to modify, delay, curtail or terminate contracts and subcontracts at their convenience any time prior to their completion. Any decision by a government client to modify, delay, curtail or terminate our contracts at their convenience may result in a decline in revenues.

**Demand for our non-federal government services is cyclical and vulnerable to economic downturns. If the economy weakens, then our revenues, profits and our financial condition may deteriorate**

Demand for our non-federal government services is cyclical and vulnerable to economic downturns, which may result in clients delaying, curtailing or canceling proposed and existing projects. Our business traditionally lags the overall recovery in the economy; therefore, our business may not recover immediately when the economy improves. If the economy weakens, then our revenues, profits and overall financial condition may deteriorate. Our state and local government clients may face budget deficits that prohibit them from funding new or existing projects. In addition, our existing and potential clients may either postpone entering into new contracts or request price concessions. Difficult financing and economic conditions may cause some of our clients to demand better pricing terms or delay payments for services we perform, thereby increasing the average number of days our receivables are outstanding. Further, these conditions may result in the inability of some of our clients to pay us for services that we have already performed. If we are not able to reduce our costs quickly enough to respond to the revenue decline from these clients, our operating results may be adversely affected. Accordingly, these factors affect our ability to forecast our future revenue and earnings from business areas that may be adversely impacted by market conditions.

**Our failure to properly manage projects may result in additional costs or claims**

Our engagements often involve large-scale, complex projects. The quality of our performance on such projects depends in large part upon our ability to manage the relationship with our clients, and to effectively manage the project and deploy appropriate resources, including third-party contractors and our own personnel, in a timely manner. If we miscalculate the resources or time we need to complete a project with capped or fixed fees, or the resources or time we need to meet contractual milestones, our operating results could be adversely affected. Further, any defects or errors, or failures to meet our clients' expectations, could result in claims for damages against us. Our contracts generally limit our liability for damages that arise from negligent acts, errors, mistakes or omissions in rendering services to our clients. However, we cannot be sure that these contractual provisions will protect us from liability for damages in the event we are sued. Prior to fiscal 2006, we experienced significant project cost overruns on the performance of fixed-price construction work, other than that associated with our federal government projects. Although we have implemented procedures intended to address these issues, no assurance can be given that we will not experience project management issues in the future.

**The loss of key personnel or our inability to attract and retain qualified personnel could significantly disrupt our business**

As a professional and technical services company, we are labor-intensive and therefore our ability to attract, retain and expand our senior management and our professional and technical staff is an important factor in determining our future success. With limited exceptions, we do not have employment agreements with any of these individuals. The loss of the services of any of these key personnel could adversely affect our



## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

business. Although we have obtained non-compete agreements from certain principals and stockholders of companies we have acquired, we generally do not have non-compete or employment agreements with key employees who were once equity holders of these companies. Further, many of our non-compete agreements have expired. We do not maintain key-man life insurance policies on any of our executive officers or senior managers.

The market for the qualified scientists and engineers is competitive and we may not be able to attract and retain such professionals. In addition, it may be difficult to attract and retain qualified individuals with the expertise and in the timeframe demanded by our clients. For example, some of our government contracts may require us to employ only individuals who have particular government security clearance levels. In an effort to attract key employees, we often grant them stock options, and a reduction in our stock price could impact our ability to retain these professionals.

Table of Contents

**Our actual results could differ from the estimates and assumptions that we use to prepare our financial statements, which may significantly reduce or eliminate our profits**

To prepare financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions as of the date of the financial statements, which affect the reported values of assets and liabilities and revenues and expenses and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Areas requiring significant estimates by our management include:

- The application of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting, and revenue recognition on contracts, change orders and contract claims;
  
- Provisions for uncollectible receivables and customer claims and recoveries of costs from subcontractors, vendors and others;
  
- Provisions for income taxes and related valuation allowances;
  
- Value of goodwill and recoverability of other intangible assets;
  
- Valuations of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with business combinations;
  
- Valuation of employee benefit plans;
  
- Valuation of stock-based compensation expense, and
  
- Accruals for estimated liabilities, including litigation and insurance reserves.

Our actual results could differ from those estimates, which may significantly reduce or eliminate our profits.

**Our use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting could result in reduction or reversal of previously recorded revenue and profits**

We account for most of our contracts on the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. Generally, our use of this method results in recognition of revenue and profit ratably over the life of the contract, based on the proportion of costs incurred to date to total costs expected to be incurred for the entire project. The effect of revisions to revenue and estimated costs, including the achievement of award and other fees, is recorded when the amounts are known and can be reasonably estimated. Such revisions could occur in any period and their effects could be material. The uncertainties inherent in the estimating process make it possible for actual costs to vary materially from estimate, including reductions or reversal of previously recorded revenue and profit.

**Our failure to win new contracts and renew existing contracts with private and public sector clients could adversely affect our profitability**

Our business depends on our ability to win new contracts and renew existing contracts with private and public sector clients. Contract proposals and negotiations are complex and frequently involve a lengthy bidding and selection process, which are affected by a number of factors. These factors include market conditions, financing arrangements and required governmental approvals. For example, a client may require us to provide a bond or letter of credit to protect the client should we fail to perform under the terms of the contract. If negative market conditions arise, or if we fail to secure adequate financial arrangements or the required governmental approval, we may not be able to pursue particular projects, which could adversely affect our profitability.

**There are risks associated with our acquisition strategy that could adversely impact our business and operating results**

A key part of our growth strategy is to acquire other companies that complement our lines of business or that broaden our technical capabilities and geographic presence. We expect to continue to acquire companies as an element of our growth strategy; however, our ability to make acquisitions is restricted under our Credit Agreement.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions involve certain known and unknown risks that could cause our actual growth or operating results to differ from our expectations or the expectations of securities analysts. For example:

- We may not be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates or to acquire additional companies on acceptable terms;
- We compete with others to acquire companies which may result in decreased availability of, or increased price for, suitable acquisition candidates;
- We may not be able to obtain the necessary financing, on favorable terms or at all, to finance any of our potential acquisitions;
- We may ultimately fail to consummate an acquisition even if we announce that we plan to acquire a company;
- We may not be able to retain key employees of an acquired company which could negatively impact that company's future performance;
- We may fail to successfully integrate or manage these acquired companies due to differences in business backgrounds or corporate cultures;
- If we fail to successfully integrate any acquired company, our reputation could be damaged. This could make it more difficult to market our services or to acquire additional companies in the future, and
- These acquired companies may not perform as we expect and we may fail to realize anticipated revenue and profits.

In addition, our acquisition strategy may divert management's attention away from our existing businesses, result in the loss of key clients or key employees, and expose us to unanticipated problems or legal liabilities, including responsibility as a successor-in-interest for undisclosed or contingent liabilities of acquired businesses or assets.

Further, acquisitions may also cause us to:

- Issue common stock that would dilute our current stockholders' ownership percentage;
- Assume liabilities, including environmental liabilities;
- Record goodwill that will be subject to impairment testing and potential impairment charges;
- Incur amortization expenses related to certain intangible assets;
- Lose existing or potential contracts as a result of conflict of interest issues;
- Incur large and immediate write-offs, or
- Become subject to litigation.

Finally, acquired companies that derive a significant portion of their revenue from the federal government and that do not follow the same cost accounting policies and billing practices as we may be subject to larger cost disallowances for greater periods than we typically encounter. If we fail to determine the existence of unallowable costs and establish appropriate reserves in advance of an acquisition, we may be exposed to material unanticipated liabilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

**If we are not able to successfully manage our growth strategy, our business and results of operations may be adversely affected**

Our expected future growth presents numerous managerial, administrative, operational and other challenges. Our ability to manage the growth of our operations will require us to continue to improve our management information systems and our other internal systems and controls. In addition, our growth will increase our need to attract, develop, motivate and retain both our management and professional employees. The inability of our management to effectively manage our growth or the inability of our employees to achieve anticipated performance could have a material adverse effect on our business.

**Adverse resolution of the IRS or other tax authority examination process may harm our financial results**

We are currently under examination by the IRS for fiscal years 1997 through 2004. During the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we received a 30-day letter from the IRS related to the R&E Credits and the accounting method for revenue recognition for fiscal years 2002 through 2004. We are protesting the positions in the letter. We are currently in the IRS appeals process for these same matters for fiscal years 1997 through 2001. We are also currently under examination by the FTB for fiscal years 2001 through 2003. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2008, we received a NOPA from the FTB related to R&E Credits for fiscal 2001 through 2003. We have protested the position in the NOPA. One significant matter raised by the IRS and FTB relates to the R&E Credit that we claimed during the years under examination. The amount of credits recognized for financial statement purposes represents the amount that we estimate will be ultimately realizable. Should the IRS and/or the FTB determine that the amount of R&E Credits to which we are entitled is more or less than the amount recognized, we will recognize an adjustment to the income tax accounts in the period in which the determination is made. This may have a material adverse effect on our financial results but no material impact on our cash flow. Another matter raised by the IRS relates to our tax accounting method for revenue recognition. While resolution of this matter may shift the timing of tax payments, as this is a temporary difference, there should be no material adverse impact on our financial results upon resolution of this issue.

**If we do not successfully complete the implementation of our ERP system, our cash flows may be impaired and we may incur further costs to integrate or upgrade our systems; and sudden loss, disruption or unexpected costs to maintain our ERP system or other third-party software could significantly increase our operational expense and disrupt the management of our business operations**

In fiscal 2004, we began implementation of a new company-wide ERP system, principally for accounting and project management. During fiscal 2007 and 2008, we converted several of our large operating units to our ERP system, and we plan to complete the conversion process in fiscal 2010. In the event we do not complete the project successfully, we may experience difficulty in accurately and timely reporting certain revenue and cost data. During the ERP implementation process, we have experienced reduced cash flows due to temporary delays in issuing invoices to our clients, which has adversely affected the timely collection of cash. Further, it is possible that the cost of completing this project could exceed our current projections and negatively impact future operating results.

In addition, we rely on third-party software vendors to provide long-term software maintenance support for our information systems. Software vendors may decide to discontinue further development, integration or long-term software maintenance support for our information systems, which may increase our operational expense as well as disrupt the management of our business operations.

**Our business and operating results could be adversely affected by our inability to accurately estimate the overall risks, revenue or costs on a contract**

We generally enter into three principal types of contracts with our clients: fixed-price, time-and-materials, and cost-plus. Under our fixed-price contracts, we receive a fixed price irrespective of the actual costs we incur and, consequently, we are exposed to a number of risks. These risks include underestimation of costs, problems with new technologies, unforeseen costs or difficulties, delays beyond our control, price increases for materials, and economic and other changes that may occur during the contract period. Under our time-and-materials contracts, we are paid for labor at negotiated hourly billing rates and for other expenses. Profitability on these contracts is driven by billable headcount and cost control. Many of our time-and-materials contracts are subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue relating to these contracts is recognized as if these contracts were fixed-price contracts. Under our cost-plus contracts, some of which are subject to contract ceiling amounts, we are reimbursed

Table of Contents

for allowable costs and fees, which may be fixed or performance-based. If our costs exceed the contract ceiling or are not allowable under the provisions of the contract or any applicable regulations, we may not be able to obtain reimbursement for all such costs.

Accounting for a contract requires judgments relative to assessing the contract's estimated risks, revenue and costs, and on making judgments on other technical issues. Due to the size and nature of many of our contracts, the estimation of overall risk, revenue and cost at completion is complicated and subject to many variables. Changes in underlying assumptions, circumstances or estimates may also adversely affect future period financial performance. If we are unable to accurately estimate the overall revenue or costs on a contract, then we may experience a lower profit or incur a loss on the contract.

**Our backlog is subject to cancellation and unexpected adjustments, and is an uncertain indicator of future operating results**

Our backlog as of June 29, 2008 was \$1.6 billion. We include in backlog only those contracts for which funding has been provided and work authorization has been received. We cannot guarantee that the revenue projected in our backlog will be realized or, if realized, will result in profits. In addition, project cancellations or scope adjustments may occur, from time to time, with respect to contracts reflected in our backlog. For example, certain of our contracts with the federal government and other clients are terminable at the discretion of the client with or without cause. These types of backlog reductions could adversely affect our revenue and margins. Accordingly, our backlog as of any particular date is an uncertain indicator of our future earnings.

**If our goodwill or other intangible assets become impaired, then our profits may be significantly reduced**

Because we have historically acquired a significant number of companies, goodwill and other intangible assets have represented a substantial portion of our assets. As of June 29, 2008, our goodwill was \$207.0 million and other net intangible assets were \$13.2 million. If any of our goodwill or other intangible assets were to become impaired, we would be required to write-off the impaired amount, which may significantly reduce our profit. Refer to the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1.

**Our international operations expose us to risks such as different business cultures, laws and regulations**

During the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we derived approximately 1.0% of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, from international clients. The different business cultures associated with international operations may not be fully appreciated before we sign an agreement, and thereby expose us to risk. Likewise, we need to understand, prior to signing a contract, international laws and regulations, such as foreign tax and labor laws, and U.S. laws and regulations applicable to companies engaging in business outside of the United States, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. For these reasons, pricing and executing international contracts is more difficult and carries more risk than pricing and executing domestic contracts. Our experience has also shown that it is typically more difficult to collect on international work that has been performed and billed.

**If our business partners fail to perform their contractual obligations on a project, we could be exposed to legal liability, loss of reputation and profit reduction or loss on the project**



We routinely enter into subcontracts and occasionally, teaming arrangements and other contractual arrangements so that we can jointly bid and perform on a particular project. Success on these joint projects depends in large part on whether our business partners fulfill their contractual obligations satisfactorily. If any of our business partners fails to satisfactorily perform their contractual obligations as a result of financial or other difficulties, we may be required to incur additional costs and provide additional services in order to make up for our business-partners shortfall. If we are unable to adequately address our business partners' performance issues, then our client could terminate the joint project, exposing us to legal liability, loss of reputation and reduced profit or loss on the project.

Table of Contents

**In conducting our business, we depend on other contractors and subcontractors. If these parties fail to satisfy their obligations to us or other parties, or if we are unable to maintain these relationships, our revenue, profitability and growth prospects could be adversely affected**

We depend on contractors and subcontractors in conducting our business. There is a risk that we may have disputes with our subcontractors arising from, among other things, the quality and timeliness of work performed by the subcontractor, customer concerns about the subcontractor, or our failure to extend existing task orders or issue new task orders under a subcontract. In addition, if any of our subcontractors fail to deliver on a timely basis the agreed-upon supplies and/or perform the agreed-upon services, our ability to fulfill our obligations as a prime contractor may be jeopardized.

We also rely on relationships with other contractors when we act as their subcontractor or joint venture partner. The absence of qualified subcontractors with whom we have a satisfactory relationship could adversely affect the quality of our service and our ability to perform under some of our contracts. Our future revenue and growth prospects could be adversely affected if other contractors eliminate or reduce their subcontracts or joint venture relationships with us, or if a government agency terminates or reduces these other contractors' programs, does not award them new contracts or refuses to pay under a contract.

**Changes in existing environmental laws, regulations and programs could reduce demand for our environmental services, which could cause our revenue to decline**

A significant amount of our resource management business is generated either directly or indirectly as a result of existing federal and state laws, regulations and programs related to pollution and environmental protection. Accordingly, a relaxation or repeal of these laws and regulations, or changes in governmental policies regarding the funding, implementation or enforcement of these programs, could result in a decline in demand for environmental services that may have a material adverse effect on our revenue.

**Our revenue from commercial clients is significant, and the credit risks associated with certain of these clients could adversely affect our operating results**

In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we derived approximately 37.2% of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, from commercial clients. We rely upon the financial stability and creditworthiness of these clients. To the extent the credit quality of these clients deteriorates or these clients seek bankruptcy protection, our ability to collect our receivables, and ultimately our operating results, may be adversely affected. Periodically, we have experienced bad debt losses.

**Our industry is highly competitive and we may be unable to compete effectively**

Our industry is highly fragmented and intensely competitive. Our competitors are numerous, ranging from small private firms to multi-billion dollar public companies. In addition, the technical and professional aspects of our services generally do not require large upfront capital expenditures and provide limited barriers against new competitors. Some of our competitors have achieved greater market penetration in some

of the markets in which we compete, and have substantially more financial resources and/or financial flexibility than we do. As a result of the number of competitors in our industry, our clients may select one of our competitors on a project due to competitive pricing or a specific skill set. These competitive forces could force us to make price concessions or otherwise reduce prices for our services, thereby causing a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**The value of our common stock could be volatile**

Our common stock has previously experienced substantial price volatility. In addition, the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many companies and that have often been unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. The overall market and the price of our common stock may fluctuate greatly. The trading price of our common stock may be significantly affected by various factors, including:

- Quarter-to-quarter variations in our financial results, including revenue, profits, days sales outstanding, backlog, and other measures of financial performance or financial condition;
- Our announcements or our competitors' announcements of significant events, including acquisitions;
- Resolution of threatened or pending litigation;

Table of Contents

- Changes in investors and analysts perceptions of our business or any of our competitors businesses;
- Investors and analysts assessments of reports prepared or conclusions reached by third parties;
- Changes in environmental legislation;
- Investors perceptions of our performance of services in countries in which the U.S. military is engaged, including Iraq and Afghanistan;
- Broader market fluctuations, and
- General economic or political conditions.

Additionally, volatility or a lack of positive performance in our stock price may adversely affect our ability to retain key employees, many of whom are granted stock options and shares of restricted stock, the value of which is dependent on the performance of our stock price.

**Restrictive covenants in our Credit Agreement may restrict our ability to pursue certain business strategies**

Our Credit Agreement restricts our ability to, among other things:

- Incur additional indebtedness;
- Create liens securing debt or other encumbrances on our assets;
- Make loans or advances;

- Pay dividends or make distributions to our stockholders;
- Purchase or redeem our stock;
- Repay indebtedness that is junior to indebtedness under our Credit Agreement;
- Acquire the assets of, or merge or consolidate with, other companies, and
- Sell, lease or otherwise dispose of assets.

Our Credit Agreement also requires that we maintain certain financial ratios, which we may not be able to achieve.

**Our services expose us to significant risks of liability and it may be difficult to obtain or maintain adequate insurance coverage**

Our services involve significant risks of professional and other liabilities that may substantially exceed the fees we derive from our services. Our business activities could expose us to potential liability under various environmental laws and under workplace health and safety regulations. In addition, we sometimes assume liability by contract under indemnification agreements. We cannot predict the magnitude of such potential liabilities.

We obtain insurance from third parties to cover our potential risks and liabilities. It is possible that we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance to meet our needs, may have to pay an excessive amount for the insurance coverage we want, or may not be able to acquire any insurance for certain types of business risks.

**Our liability for damages due to legal proceedings may harm our operating results or financial condition**

We are a party to lawsuits in the normal course of business. Various legal proceedings are currently pending against us and certain of our subsidiaries alleging, among other things, breach of contract or tort in connection with the performance of professional services. We cannot predict the outcome of these proceedings with

Table of Contents

certainty. In some actions, parties are seeking damages that exceed our insurance coverage or for which we are not insured. If we sustain damages that exceed our insurance coverage or that are not covered by insurance, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition.

**Our business activities may require our employees to travel to and work in high security risk countries, which may result in employee death or injury, repatriation costs or other unforeseen costs**

Certain of our contracts may require our employees travel to and work in high risk countries that are undergoing political, social and economic upheavals resulting in war, civil unrest, criminal activity or acts of terrorism. For example, we currently have employees working in Afghanistan and Iraq. As a result, we may be subject to costs related to employee death or injury, repatriation or other unforeseen circumstances.

**Our failure to implement and comply with our safety program could adversely affect our operating results or financial condition**

Our safety program is a fundamental element of our overall approach to risk management, and the implementation of the safety program is a significant issue in our dealings with our clients. We maintain an enterprise-wide group of health and safety professionals to help ensure that the services we provide are delivered safely and in accordance with standard work processes. Unsafe job sites and office environments have the potential to increase employee turnover, increase the cost of a project to our clients, expose us to types and levels of risk that are fundamentally unacceptable, and raise our operating costs. The implementation of our safety processes and procedures are monitored by various agencies and rating bureaus, and may be evaluated by certain clients in cases whereby safety requirements have been established in our contracts. If we fail to meet these requirements, or to properly implement and comply with our safety program, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition.

**Our inability to obtain adequate bonding could have a material adverse effect on our future revenues and business prospects**

Many of our clients require bid bonds and performance and payment bonds. These bonds indemnify the client should we fail to perform our obligations under the contract. If a bond is required for a particular project and we are unable to obtain an appropriate bond, we cannot pursue that project. In some instances, we are required to co-venture with a small or disadvantaged business to pursue certain federal or state contracts. In connection with these ventures, we are sometimes required to utilize our bonding capacity to cover all of the payment and performance obligations under the contract with the client. We have a bonding facility but, as is typically the case, the issuance of bonds under that facility is at the surety's sole discretion. Moreover, due to events that can negatively affect the insurance and bonding markets, bonding may be more difficult to obtain or may only be available at significant additional cost. There can be no assurance that bonds will continue to be available to us on reasonable terms. Our inability to obtain adequate bonding and, as a result, to bid on new work could have a material adverse effect on our future revenues and business prospects.

**We may be precluded from providing certain services due to conflict of interest issues**

## Edgar Filing: TETRA TECH INC - Form 10-Q

Many of our clients are concerned about potential or actual conflicts of interest in retaining management consultants. Federal government agencies have formal policies against continuing or awarding contracts that would create actual or potential conflicts of interest with other activities of a contractor. These policies, among other things, may prevent us from bidding for or performing government contracts resulting from or relating to certain work we have performed. In addition, services performed for a commercial or government client may create a conflict of interest that precludes or limits our ability to obtain work from other public or private organizations. We have, on occasion, declined to bid on projects due to the conflict of interest issues.

### **Compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure will result in additional expenses**

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new Securities and Exchange Commission regulations, and The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC rules, have created additional disclosure and other compliance requirements for us. We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. As a result, we intend to continue to

Table of Contents

invest appropriate resources to comply with evolving standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities.

**Force majeure events, including natural disasters and terrorists actions could negatively impact the economies in which we operate or disrupt our operations, which may affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows**

Force majeure events, including natural disasters, such as Hurricane Katrina that affected the Gulf Coast in August 2005, and terrorist attacks, such as those that occurred in New York and Washington D.C. on September 11, 2001, could negatively impact the economies in which we operate by causing the closure of offices, interrupting active client projects and forcing the relocation of employees. Further, despite our implementation of network security measures, our servers are vulnerable to computer viruses, break-ins and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering with our computer systems. We typically remain obligated to perform our services after a terrorist action or natural disaster unless the contract contains a force majeure clause that relieves us of our contractual obligations in such an extraordinary event. If we are not able to react quickly to force majeure, our operations may be affected significantly, which would have a negative impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**We have only a limited ability to protect our intellectual property rights, and our failure to protect our intellectual property rights could adversely affect our competitive position**

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to protect our proprietary information and other intellectual property. We rely principally on trade secrets to protect much of our intellectual property where we do not believe that patent or copyright protection is appropriate or obtainable. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect. Although our employees are subject to confidentiality obligations, this protection may be inadequate to deter or to prevent misappropriation of our confidential information. In addition, we may be unable to detect unauthorized use of our intellectual property or otherwise take appropriate steps to enforce our rights. Failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection would adversely affect our competitive business position. In addition, if we are unable to prevent third parties from infringing or misappropriating our trademarks or other proprietary information, our competitive position could be adversely affected.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

The following documents are filed as Exhibits to this Report:

- 10.1 Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 30, 2008 among the Registrant and the lenders signatory thereto
- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 1350



32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 1350

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 1, 2008

TETRA TECH, INC.

By: */s/ Dan L. Batrack*  
Dan L. Batrack  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: */s/ David W. King*  
David W. King  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial Officer)