

Globalstar, Inc.  
Form 10-K  
March 31, 2011

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549  
FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL  
REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010  
OR

TRANSITION  
REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the Transition Period from to  
Commission File Number 001-33117

GLOBALSTAR, INC.  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

41-2116508  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

300 Holiday Square Blvd.  
Covington, Louisiana 70433  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)  
Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (985) 335-1500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Voting Common Stock, \$.0001 par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:	
5.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2028	

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements

Edgar Filing: Globalstar, Inc. - Form 10-K

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the Registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates at June 30, 2010, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was approximately \$146.8 million.

As of March 25, 2011, 292,200,731 shares of voting common stock and 19,275,750 shares of nonvoting common stock were outstanding. Unless the context otherwise requires, references to common stock in this Report mean Registrant's voting common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Report.

---

## FORM 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>PART I</b>	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	13
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	26
Item 2. Properties	27
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	27
Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)	27
<b>PART II</b>	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	28
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	28
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	43
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	44
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	83
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	83
Item 9B. Other Information	84
<b>PART III</b>	
Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	84
Item 11. Executive Compensation	84
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	84
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	84
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	84
<b>PART IV</b>	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	85
Signatures	86

## PART I

### Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this Report, other than purely historical information, including, but not limited to, estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believe," "project," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "intend," "strategy," "plan," "may," "should," "will," "would," "will be," "will continue," "will likely result," "seek," and similar expressions, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements, such as the statements regarding our ability to develop and expand our business, our anticipated capital spending (including for future satellite procurements and launches), our ability to manage costs, our ability to exploit and respond to technological innovation, the effects of laws and regulations (including tax laws and regulations) and legal and regulatory changes, the opportunities for strategic business combinations and the effects of consolidation in our industry on us and our competitors, our anticipated future revenues, our anticipated financial resources, our expectations about the future operational performance of our satellites (including their projected operational lives), the expected strength of and growth prospects for our existing customers and the markets that we serve, commercial acceptance of new products, problems relating to the ground-based facilities operated by us or by independent gateway operators, worldwide economic, geopolitical and business conditions and risks associated with doing business on a global basis and other statements contained in this Report regarding matters that are not historical facts, involve predictions. Risks and uncertainties that could cause or contribute to such differences include, without limitation, those in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of this Report. We do not intend, and undertake no obligation, to update any of our forward-looking statements after the date of this Report to reflect actual results or future events or circumstances.

### Item 1. Business

#### Overview

Globalstar, Inc. ("we", "us" or "the Company") is a leading provider of mobile voice and data communications services globally via satellite. By providing wireless services in areas not served or underserved by terrestrial wireless and wireline networks, we seek to address our customers' increasing desire for connectivity. Currently, using 32 in-orbit satellites and 27 ground stations, which we refer to as gateways, we offer voice and data communication services.

Our first-generation network, originally owned by Globalstar, L.P. ("Old Globalstar"), was designed, built and launched in the late 1990s by a technology partnership led by Loral Space and Communications ("Loral") and Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm"). In 2002, Old Globalstar filed voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. In 2004, we completed the acquisition of the business and assets of Old Globalstar. Thermo Capital Partners LLC, which owns and operates companies in diverse business sectors and is referred to in this Report, together with its affiliates, as "Thermo", became our principal owner in this transaction. We were formed as a Delaware limited liability company in November 2003 and were converted into a Delaware corporation in March 2006.

Our initial constellation has deteriorated over time resulting in substantially reduced ability to provide two-way communications, although the constellation continues to provide reliable one-way communications. The deterioration has had a significant negative impact on our financial results from 2007 through today.

We are currently in the process of launching 24 new second-generation satellites. We successfully launched the first six second-generation satellites on October 19, 2010, and expect to conduct the next launch of six satellites in May 2011, to be followed by two additional launches of six satellites per launch within 60-90 days following the previous launch. Globalstar plans to integrate the 24 new second-generation satellites (“second-generation satellites”) with the eight first-generation satellites that were launched in 2007 to form a 32-satellite second-generation constellation (“second-generation constellation”). We are currently in the process of renegotiating the terms of the purchase of additional second-generation satellites (“additional second-generation satellites”) that can be used to supplement the second-generation constellation as in-orbit or ground spare satellites.

Our second-generation constellation is designed to support Globalstar's current lineup of Duplex, SPOT family (SPOT Satellite GPS Messenger, SPOT Communicator, SPOT HUG, and SPOT Connect) and Simplex data products. With the improvement in both coverage and service quality for our Duplex product offerings resulting from the deployment of our second-generation constellation, we anticipate an expansion of our subscriber base and increases in our average revenue per user, or "ARPU".

Our satellite communications business, by providing critical mobile communications to our subscribers, serves principally the following markets: recreation and personal; government; public safety and disaster relief; oil and gas; maritime and fishing; natural resources, mining and forestry; construction; utilities; and transportation.

At December 31, 2010, we served approximately 439,000 subscribers. We increased our net subscribers by approximately 12% from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010. We count "subscribers" based on the number of devices that are subject to agreements which entitle them to use our voice or data communications services rather than the number of persons or entities who own or lease those devices.

We currently provide the following communications services:

- two-way voice communication and data transmissions, which we call "Duplex," between mobile or fixed devices;
- one-way data transmissions between a mobile or fixed device that transmits its location and other information and a central monitoring station, which includes the SPOT family and Simplex products.

Our services are available only with equipment designed to work on our network. The equipment we offer to our customers consists principally of:

- Duplex two-way transmission products;
- SPOT family of products ("SPOT");
- Simplex one-way transmission products.

Duplex two-way transmission products

Mobile Voice and Data Satellite Communications Services and Equipment

We traditionally provide mobile voice and data services to a wide variety of commercial, government and recreational customers for remote business continuity, recreational, emergency response and other applications. Subscribers under these plans typically pay an initial activation fee to the agent or dealer, a monthly usage fee to us that entitles the customer to a fixed or unlimited number of minutes, and fees for additional services such as voicemail, call forwarding, short messaging, email, data compression and internet access. Extra fees may also apply for non-voice services, roaming and long-distance. We regularly monitor our service offerings in accordance with customer demands and market changes and offer pricing plans such as bundled minutes, annual plans and unlimited plans.

We offer our services for use only with equipment designed to work on our network, which users generally purchase in conjunction with an initial service plan. We offer a satellite-only GSP-1700 phone available in multiple colors, which includes a user-friendly color LCD screen and a variety of accessories. The phones represent a significant improvement over earlier-generation equipment, and we believe that the advantages will facilitate increased adoption from prospective users, as well as, increased revenue from our existing subscribers as we launch our second-generation satellites. We also believe that the GSP-1700 is among the smallest, lightest and least-expensive satellite phones available. We are the only satellite network operator currently using the patented Qualcomm CDMA technology that permits diversity combining of the strongest satellite signal available.

Fixed Voice and Data Satellite Communications Services

We provide fixed voice and data services in rural villages, at remote industrial, commercial and residential sites and on ships at sea, among other places. Fixed voice and data satellite communications services are in many cases an attractive alternative to mobile satellite communications services in environments where multiple users will access the service within a defined geographic area and cellular or ground phone service is not available. Our fixed units also may be mounted on vehicles, barges and construction equipment and benefit from the ability to have higher gain antennas. Our fixed voice and data service plans are similar to our mobile voice and data plans and offer similar flexibility. In addition to offering monthly service plans, our fixed phones can be configured as pay phones (installed at a central location, for example, in a rural village) that accept tokens, debit cards, prepaid usage cards, or credit cards.

#### Satellite Data Modem Services

In addition to data utilization through fixed and mobile services described above, we offer data-only services. Duplex devices have two-way transmission capabilities; for asset-tracking applications, this enables customers to control directly their remote assets and perform more complicated monitoring activities. We offer asynchronous and packet data service in all of our territories. Customers can use our products to access the internet, corporate virtual private networks and other customer specific data centers. Our satellite data modems can be activated under any of our current pricing plans. Satellite data modems are accessible in every region we serve. Their store-and-forward capability reduces the impact of our S-band downlink degradation for customers who do not require real-time transmission and reception of data. Additionally, we offer a data acceleration and compression service to the satellite data modem market. This service increases web-browsing, email and other data transmission speeds without any special equipment or hardware.

### Qualcomm GSP-1720 Satellite Voice and Data Modem

The GSP-1720 is a satellite voice and data modem board with multiple antenna configurations and an enlarged set of commands for modem control. We expect this board will be attractive to integrators because it has more user interfaces that are easily programmable. This makes it easier for value added resellers to integrate the satellite modem processing with the specific application (e.g., monitoring and controlling oil and gas pumps, electric power plants and other remote facilities).

### New Products, Services and the Next-Generation IMS Ground Network

We have entered into a contract with Hughes Network Systems, LLC ("Hughes") under which Hughes will design, supply and implement the Radio Access Network ("RAN") ground network equipment and software upgrades for installation at a number of our satellite gateway ground stations and satellite interface chips to be a part of the User Terminal Subsystem ("UTS") in our various next-generation devices. These upgrades will be part of our "next-generation ground network".

We have also entered into a contract with Oceus Networks (formally known as Ericsson Federal Inc.) to work with us to develop, implement and maintain a ground interface, or core network, system that will be installed at our satellite gateway ground stations. The core network system is wireless 3G/4G compatible and will link our radio access network to the public-switched telephone network ("PSTN") and/or Internet. This new core network system will be part of our next-generation ground network.

As mentioned previously, we have commenced the deployment of our second-generation satellites with the first launch in October 2010 with three more launches expected to be conducted in 2011. Our second-generation constellation, when combined with our next-generation ground network, which is expected to be completed in 2013, is designed to provide Globalstar customers with enhanced future services featuring increased data speeds of up to 256 kbps in a flexible Internet protocol multimedia subsystem ("IMS") configuration. Products and services supported are expected to include: push-to-talk and multicasting, advanced messaging capabilities such as multimedia messaging or MMS, geo-location services, multi-band and multi-mode handsets, and data devices with GPS integration.

### Direct Sales, Dealers and Resellers

Our sales group is responsible for conducting direct sales with key accounts and for managing indirect agent, dealer and reseller relationships in assigned territories in the countries in which we operate. They conduct direct sales with key customers and manage distribution outlets.

The reseller channel for Duplex equipment and service is comprised primarily of communications equipment, retailer companies, and commercial communications equipment rental companies that retain and bill clients directly, outside of our billing system. Many of our resellers specialize in niche vertical markets where high-use customers are concentrated. We have sales arrangements with major resellers to market our services, including some value added resellers that integrate our products into their proprietary end products or applications.

Our typical dealer is a communications services business-to-business equipment retailer. We offer competitive service and equipment commissions to our network of dealers to encourage sales.

In addition to sales through our distribution managers, agents, dealers and resellers, customers can place orders through our existing sales force and through our direct e-commerce website.

SPOT satellite GPS messenger™ and other SPOT consumer retail products



We have differentiated ourselves from other mobile satellite service providers by offering affordable, high utility mobile satellite products that appeal to the mainstream consumer market. With the 2009 acquisition of satellite asset tracking and consumer messaging products manufacturer Axonn, we believe we are the only vertically integrated mobile satellite company with decreased pre-production costs and shorter time to market for our retail consumer products. Our consumer retail product lineup includes the SPOT satellite GPS messenger, the SPOT Communicator™ for the DeLorme Earthmate, SPOT HUG™ and our recently introduced SPOT Connect™.

### SPOT Satellite GPS Messenger

We have targeted our SPOT satellite GPS messenger to recreational and commercial markets that require personal tracking, emergency location and messaging solutions for users that require these services beyond the range of traditional terrestrial and wireless communications. Using our network and web-based mapping software, this device provides consumers with the capability to trace geographically or map the location of individuals or equipment. The product also enables users to transmit messages to a specific preprogrammed email address, phone or data device, including a request for assistance in the event of an emergency.

We market our SPOT satellite GPS messenger products and services in the U.S. and Canada, as well as, in our overseas markets, including South and Central America, Western Europe, and, through independent gateway operators, in their respective territories.

We began commercial sales of the first SPOT products and services in November 2007 when we introduced the SPOT Personal Tracker. We introduced an updated version of this product, the SPOT Satellite GPS Messenger (“SPOT 2”) in July 2009. The sales volume of SPOT products and services to date show a viable market for affordable emergency and tracking functionality worldwide.

### SPOT Satellite Communicator for the DeLorme Earthmate PN-60w

We and DeLorme jointly developed and produced the SPOT Satellite Communicator (“SPOT Communicator”) to connect wirelessly to the DeLorme Earthmate PN-60w, allowing customers to use the PN-60w’s internal keyboard to transmit custom text messages via satellite from anywhere within our coverage area. The combined product also provides traditional SPOT functionality, including emergency assistance, messaging, and tracking.

### SPOT HUG

In October 2010, we introduced a new product named SPOT HUG at the Fort Lauderdale International Boat Show. We designed SPOT HUG to facilitate the monitoring of a boat’s location, status of the operations, engine, pumps, hatch and door status, as well as, valuables onboard. SPOT HUG detects unauthorized movement when the boat moves more than 500 meters without owner authority. In such an event, a notification with the boat’s GPS position is automatically sent to the user and a global monitoring center that then alerts local authorities where available. SPOT HUG also provides remote sensor capabilities to monitor battery power, high water levels, engine/ignition or other features, as well as, traditional SPOT functionality, including emergency assistance, messaging, and tracking. We plan to extend this product’s target market to include additional categories of assets such as automobiles, RVs, motorcycles and farm/construction equipment.

### SPOT Connect

In January 2011, we introduced a new product named SPOT Connect, a one-way messaging device capable of sending messages over our satellite network from smartphone or similar “smart” devices such as tablets. We introduced the product concept and displayed demonstration units at the 2011 International Consumer Electronics Show (“CES”) in Las Vegas. SPOT Connect provides connectivity to our network for sending location-based messages from areas either within or outside of cellular phone coverage. After downloading the SPOT Connect app, the user’s SPOT Connect wirelessly synchs via Bluetooth with a smartphone’s operating system. SPOT message features are then initiated using the SPOT Connect app on the smartphone or other “smart” device. Users can then type and send text messages from anywhere within our global coverage area. SPOT Connect also provides traditional SPOT functionality, including emergency assistance, messaging, and tracking. At introduction, this product will support both Apple and Android platforms, with other “smart” platforms planned for future release.

## Product Distribution

We distribute and sell our SPOT family of products through a variety of existing and new distribution channels. We have also expanded our distribution channels through product alliances. We have distribution relationships with a number of "Big Box" retailers and other similar distribution channels including Amazon.com, Bass Pro Shops, Best Buy, Big 5 Sporting Goods, Big Rock Sports, Cabela's, Campmor, Joe's Sport, London Drugs, Outdoor and More, Gander Mountain, REI, Sportsman's Warehouse, Wal-Mart.com, West Marine, DBL Distributing, D.H. Distributions, and CWR Electronics. We also sell SPOT products and services directly using our existing sales force and through our direct e-commerce website.

### Simplex one-way transmission products

Simplex is a one-way burst data transmission from a Simplex device to our network. A customer may place the device, for example, on a container in transit. At the heart of the Simplex service is a server sophisticated modem and RF interface, called an appliqué, which is located at a gateway and an application server located in our facilities. The appliqué-equipped gateways provide coverage over vast areas of the globe. The server receives and collates messages from all Simplex telemetry devices transmitting over our satellite network. Simplex devices consist of a telemetry unit, an application specific sensor, a battery and optional global positioning functionality. The small size of the devices makes them attractive for use in tracking asset shipments, monitoring unattended remote assets, trailer tracking and mobile security. Current users include various governmental agencies, including the Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA"), the U.S. Army and the Mexican Ministry of Education, as well as, commercial and non-governmental organizations such as General Electric, Dell and The Salvation Army.

We designed our Simplex service to address the market for a small and cost-effective solution for sending data, such as geographic coordinates, from assets or individuals in remote locations to a central monitoring station. Customers are able to realize an efficiency advantage from tracking assets on a single global system as opposed to several regional systems. Our Simplex services are currently available in countries served by the gateways in North America, France, Venezuela, Mexico, Turkey, South Korea, Australia, Singapore, Peru, Nigeria, and Brazil.

We offer a small module called STX-2 satellite transmitter which enables an integrator's product designs to access our Simplex network. We also offer complete products that utilize the STX-2 Satellite Transmitter. Our Simplex units, including the enterprise products MMT and SMARTONE, are used worldwide by industrial, commercial and government customers. These products provide cost-efficient, low power, ultra-reliable, secure monitoring that help solve a variety of security application and asset tracking challenges in a variety of mobile markets.

The reseller channel for Simplex equipment and service is comprised primarily of communications equipment retailer companies and commercial communications equipment rental companies that retain and bill clients directly, outside of our billing system. Many of our resellers specialize in niche vertical markets where high-use customers are concentrated. We have sales arrangements with major resellers to market our services, including some value added resellers that integrate our STX-2, or our products based on it, into their proprietary solutions designed to meet certain specialized niche market applications.

#### Independent Gateway Operators

Our wholesale operations encompass primarily bulk sales of wholesale minutes to the independent gateway operators ("IGO") around the globe. These independent gateway operators maintain their own subscriber bases that are mostly exclusive to us and promote their own service plans. The independent gateway operator system allows us to expand in regions that hold significant growth potential but are harder to serve without sufficient operational scale or where local regulatory requirements or business or cultural norms do not permit us to operate directly.

Currently, 14 of the 27 gateways in our network are owned and operated by unaffiliated companies, some of whom operate more than one gateway. Except for the gateway in Nigeria, in which we hold a 30% equity interest, and our joint venture in Korea, in which we hold a 49% equity interest, we have no financial interest in these independent gateway operators other than arms' length contracts for wholesale minutes of service. Some of these IGO's have been unable to grow their businesses adequately due in part to limited resources.

Set forth below is a list of independent gateway operators as of December 31, 2010:

Location	Gateway	Independent Gateway Operators
Argentina	Bosque Alegre	TE.SA.M Argentina
Australia	Dubbo	Pivotal Group PTY Limited
Australia	Mount Isa	Pivotal Group PTY Limited
Australia	Meekatharra	Pivotal Group PTY Limited
China	Beijing	China Spacecom
Italy	Avezzano	Elsacom N.V.
South Korea	Yeo Ju	Arion Communications Co
Mexico	San Martin	Globalstar de Mexico
Nigeria	Kaduna	Globaltouch (West Africa) Limited
Peru	Lurin	TE.SA.M Peru
Russia	Khabarovsk	GlobalTel
Russia	Moscow	GlobalTel
Russia	Novosibirsk	GlobalTel

Turkey

Ogulbey

Globalstar Avrasya

5

---

## Other Services

We also provide certain engineering services to assist customers in developing new applications related to our system. These services include hardware and software designs to develop specific applications operating over our network, as well as, the installation of gateways and antennas.

## Our Spectrum

In the United States, the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) has authorized us to operate our first-generation satellites in 25.225 MHz of radio spectrum comprising two blocks of non-contiguous radio frequencies (1610-1618.72 MHz) and (2483.5-2500 MHz).

In November 2010, the French Postal and Electronic Communications Regulatory Authority (“ARCEP”) granted us a license to operate a wireless communications network via our second-generation constellation.

Most of our competitors only have access to spectrum frequencies regionally. We believe access to this global spectrum enables us to design satellites, network and terrestrial infrastructure enhancements more cost effectively because the products and services can be deployed and sold worldwide. This broad spectrum assignment enhances our ability to capitalize on existing and emerging wireless and broadband applications.

## Ancillary Terrestrial Component

In February 2003, the FCC adopted rules that permit satellite service providers to establish terrestrial networks utilizing the ancillary terrestrial component (“ATC”) of their licensed spectrum. ATC authorization enables the integration of a satellite-based service with terrestrial wireless services, resulting in a hybrid mobile satellite services/ATC network designed to provide advanced services and broad coverage throughout the United States. Once established, the ATC network could extend our services to urban areas and inside buildings where satellite services currently are impractical, as well as, to rural and remote areas that lack terrestrial wireless services.

In order to establish an ATC network, a satellite service provider must first meet certain specified requirements commonly known as the “gating criteria.” These criteria require us to provide continuous coverage over the United States and have an in-orbit spare satellite. Additionally, ATC services must be complementary or ancillary to mobile satellite services in an “integrated service offering,” which can be achieved by using “dual-mode” devices capable of transmitting and receiving mobile satellite and ATC signals, or providing “other evidence” that the satellite service provider meets the requirement. Further, user subscriptions that include ATC services must also include mobile satellite services. Because of these requirements, the number of potential early stage competitors in providing ATC services is limited, as only mobile satellite services operators who are offering commercial satellite services can provide ATC services.

In January 2006, the FCC granted our application to add an ATC service to our existing mobile satellite services. In April 2008, the FCC issued a decision extending our ATC authorization from 11MHz to a total of 19.275 MHz of our spectrum, 7.775 MHz of which is in the L-band and 11.5 MHz is in the S-band. Outside the United States, other countries are considering implementing regulations to facilitate ATC services. We expect to pursue ATC licenses in jurisdictions such as Canada and the European Community as market conditions dictate.

In October 2008, the FCC granted our requests for waivers of certain gating criteria permitting us to lease a portion of our domestic spectrum to Open Range Communications so that Open Range could deploy wireless broadband using the WiMAX air interface protocol. In granting our request, the FCC required us to meet the gating criteria by specified dates in 2010 and 2011, including the completion of the launch of our second-generation satellites by July 1,

2010. In December 2009, we requested the FCC to extend the two deadlines based on the confluence of unforeseen events which would make it impossible to meet the deadlines. By Order dated September 14, 2010, the FCC denied our request and suspended our ATC authority until we come into compliance with the gating criteria. The FCC has granted special temporary authority to Open Range to continue utilizing our licensed spectrum while it transitions its customers to other frequency bands. We are not permitted to receive any compensation from Open Range for use of the licensed spectrum after September 14, 2010. Effective January 5, 2011, we terminated the lease agreement with Open Range.

In July 2010, the FCC instituted a rulemaking proceeding and notice of inquiry to consider whether certain gating criteria should be revised or eliminated so as to permit satellite operators to exercise greater flexibility in utilizing ATC. Interested parties, including Globalstar, filed comments in these proceedings in September 2010. Therein, we have proposed the elimination of, or substantial modifications to, the existing gating criteria. We continue our active participation in these proceedings.

## Regulation

### Mobile Satellite Services Spectrum and Satellite Constellation

We licensed our second-generation constellation through France, rather than the United States where we are licensed for our first-generation constellation. By Order dated October 28, 2010, the French Ministry for the Economy, Industry and Employment authorized Globalstar Europe SARL, our wholly owned subsidiary, to operate our second-generation constellation. On November 23, 2010, ARCEP granted a license to Globalstar Europe SARL to provide mobile satellite service.

The French National Frequencies Agency (“ANFR”) is representing us before the International Telecommunications Union (“ITU”) for purposes of receiving assignments of orbital positions and conducting international coordination efforts to address any interference concerns. ANFR submitted the technical papers to the ITU on our behalf in July 2009. As with the first-generation constellation, the ITU will require us to coordinate our spectrum assignments with other companies that use any portion of our spectrum bands. We cannot predict how long the coordination process will take; however, we are able to use the frequencies during the coordination process in accordance with our national licenses.

Our first-generation satellite constellation and four U.S. gateways are licensed by the FCC. We hold regulatory authorization for two pairs of frequencies on our current system: user links (from the user to the satellites, and vice versa) in the 1610 – 1618.72 and 2483.5 – 2500 MHz bands and feeder links (from the gateways to the satellites, and vice versa) in the 5091 – 5250 and 6875 – 7055 MHz bands.

On March 18, 2011, the International Bureau of the FCC adopted and released an order that modified licenses held by certain of our subsidiaries that, among other things, will allow us to transmit signals from our second-generation satellites to ground stations and mobile earth terminals in the United States, effective upon registration of our second-generation satellites by France under the Outer Space Treaty and the UN Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space.

While we have obtained an operational license and frequency assignment for the second-generation satellites from the relevant French regulatory authorities, we must also apply to have our second-generation satellites registered by France under the Outer Space Treaty and the UN Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space. As a result, we cannot currently use our recently launched second-generation satellites to service call traffic in the United States. By not being able to service call traffic in the United States, we also are unable to service call traffic in Canada due to the overlapping coverage in the United States and Canada. This does not affect our ability to provide service with our first-generation satellites, and we have obtained the authority to operate our second-generation satellites outside of the United States from the French regulatory authorities.

Our senior secured credit facility (our “Facility Agreement”) requires that our permanent U.S. license be in full effect no later than August 31, 2011. We have submitted an application for registration with France and expect that France will complete the registration process in the next few months although we cannot assure you that this will be achieved.

Three of our subsidiaries hold our FCC licenses. Globalstar Licensee LLC holds our mobile satellite services license. GUSA Licensee LLC (GUSA), is authorized by the FCC to distribute mobile and fixed subscriber terminals and to operate gateways in the United States. GUSA also holds the licenses for our gateways in Texas, Florida and Alaska. Another subsidiary, GCL Licensee LLC (“GCL”), holds an FCC license to operate a gateway in Puerto Rico. GCL is also subject to regulation by the Puerto Rican regulatory agency.

### Spectrum Sharing



In July 2004, the FCC issued a decision giving Iridium Communications, Inc. shared access to the 1618.25 – 1621.35 MHz portion of our 1610 – 1621.35 MHz band and requested comments on whether it should require us to share an additional 2.25 MHz of spectrum with Iridium. On November 9, 2007, the FCC issued a Second Order on Reconsideration changing our and Iridium's assignments. We and Iridium each now have access to 7.775 MHz of unshared spectrum, and we share 0.950 MHz of spectrum in the center of the band. The FCC expects us and Iridium to reach a mutually acceptable coordination agreement in the shared portion. We appealed the FCC's decision in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. On May 1, 2009, the Court affirmed the FCC's decision. On October 15, 2008, the FCC released an Order of Modification (Order) modifying both our and Iridium's satellite constellation licenses consistent with its Second Report. The FCC's Order, which was effective December 14, 2008, reduces our spectrum assignment not only in the United States but globally.

Also in the July 2004 decision, the FCC required us to share the 2496 – 2500 MHz portion of our downlink spectrum with certain Broadband Radio Service fixed wireless licensees and with about 100 "grandfathered" Broadcast Auxiliary Service licensees. We expect the latter to be relocated out of the band eventually. Although we and others requested reconsideration of certain of the rules that will govern our sharing with these Broadband Radio Service and Broadcast Auxiliary Service licensees, the FCC affirmed this portion of its decision in an order issued in April 2006. Certain parties have filed further requests with the FCC for reconsideration of this decision, which we have opposed. In addition, on July 21, 2006, Sprint Nextel Corporation (Sprint Nextel) one of the largest Broadband Radio Service licensees, filed an appeal of the FCC's decision with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. The court is holding the case in abeyance pending the FCC's decision on reconsideration.

## Satellites

We launched our first-generation satellite constellation, comprised of a total of 52 low earth orbit satellites, in the late 1990's. All of these satellites have experienced various anomalies over time, including degradation in the performance of the solid-state power amplifiers which adversely affects the ability of these satellites to provide Duplex services. Specifically, these first-generation satellites are unable to complete the S-band downlink from our satellite to a subscriber's equipment. This S-band degradation does not adversely affect our one-way SPOT and Simplex data transmission services, which use only the L-band uplink from a subscriber's equipment to our satellites.

We launched eight spare first-generation satellites in 2007. Currently, these eight satellites are providing reliable Duplex, Simplex and SPOT services. Over time, we expect these satellites to experience degradation similar to the degradation experienced by the original 52 satellites, and we expect that the eight satellites launched in 2007 will no longer be capable of providing reliable Duplex service beyond 2013.

As planned prior to the degradation, we entered into agreements for the construction and launch of a second-generation of satellites and ground equipment. We successfully launched the first six second-generation satellites on October 19, 2010, and expect to conduct the next launch of six satellites in May 2011 plus two additional launches of six satellites per launch within 60-90 days following the previous launch. We designed our second-generation satellites to support our current lineup of Duplex, SPOT, and Simplex products and services.

As of March 25, 2011, our satellite constellation is comprised of 32 in-orbit satellites including: 18 of the original 52 first-generation satellites, providing primarily Simplex and SPOT services; the eight spare first-generation satellites launched in 2007, providing Duplex, Simplex, and SPOT services; and six second-generation satellites launched in October 2010 providing Duplex, Simplex, and SPOT services.

The design of our orbital planes ensures that generally at least one satellite is visible from any point on the earth's surface between 70o north latitude and 70o south latitude. A gateway must be within line-of-sight of a satellite and the satellite of the subscriber to provide services. We have positioned our gateways to cover most of the world's land and population.

Each satellite has a high degree of on-board subsystem redundancy, an on-board fault detection system and isolation and recovery for safe and quick risk mitigation. Our ability to reconfigure the orbital location of each satellite provides us with operating flexibility and continuity of service. The design of our space segment and primary and secondary ground control system facilitates the real time intervention and management of the satellite constellation and service upgrades via hardware and software enhancements.

Thales Alenia Space ("Thales") is currently completing construction of 19 second-generation satellites for us. We designed the second-generation satellites, including the six launched in October 2010 to have a 15-year life from the date the satellites are first positioned into their operational orbits. This is achieved by increasing the solar array and battery capacity, using a larger fuel tank, more redundancy for key satellite equipment, and improved radiation specifications and additional lot level testing for all susceptible electronic components, in order to account for the accumulated dosage of radiation encountered during a 15-year mission at the operational altitude of the satellites. In order to avoid the radiation issues that affected the first-generation S-band amplifiers, the second-generation satellites use passive S-band antennas with the body of the spacecraft providing additional shielding for the active amplifiers which are located inside the spacecraft, unlike the first-generation amplifiers that were located on the outside as part of an active antenna array.

While we have a contract with Thales to construct an additional 23 satellites, we are currently negotiating with Thales to amend the current contract and define a new quantity of satellites with associated terms and conditions, including

adjustments to price and schedule. Thales has already completed the procurement of certain long-lead time components and parts for six of these satellites and is currently holding these components and parts in storage.

#### Ground

Our satellites communicate with a network of 27 gateways, each of which serves an area of approximately 700,000 to 1,000,000 square miles. We own 13 of these gateways and the rest are owned by independent gateway operators. In addition to our satellites and 13 gateways, we have in storage spare parts for our gateways, and our independent gateway operators' gateways, including antennas and gateway electronic equipment.

Each of our gateways has multiple antennas that communicate with our satellites and pass calls seamlessly between antenna beams and satellites as the satellites traverse the gateways, thereby reflecting the signals from our users' terminals to our gateways. Once a satellite acquires a signal from an end-user, the serving gateway authenticates the user and establishes the voice or data channel to complete the call to the public switched telephone network, to a cellular or another wireless network or to the internet (for a data call), or, in the case of a Simplex data call, to the internet.

We believe that our terrestrial gateways provide a number of advantages over the in-orbit switching used by our competitors, including better call quality, reduced call latency and convenient regionalized local phone numbers for inbound calling. We also believe that our network's design, which relies on terrestrial gateways rather than in-orbit switching, enables faster and more cost-effective system maintenance and upgrades because the system's software and much of its hardware is based on the ground. Our multiple gateways allow us to reconfigure our system quickly to extend another gateway's coverage to make up some or all of the coverage of a disabled gateway or to handle increased call capacity resulting from surges in demand.

Our network uses Qualcomm's patented CDMA technology to permit diversity combining of the strongest available signals. Patented receivers in our handsets track the pilot channel or signaling channel as well as three additional communications channels simultaneously. Satellites unaffected by the S-band antenna degradation, compared to other satellite and network architectures, offer superior call clarity, virtually no discernable delay, and a low incidence of dropped calls. Our system architecture provides full frequency re-use. This maximizes diversity (which maximizes quality) and maximizes capacity as the assigned spectrum can be reused in every satellite beam in every satellite. Our network also works with internet protocol data for reliable transmission of IP messages.

We and Qualcomm are currently negotiating the termination of our existing contract. Although our network is currently CDMA-based, it is configured so that we can also support one or more other air interfaces that we select in the future. For example, we have developed a non-Qualcomm proprietary CDMA technology for our SPOT and Simplex services. Because our satellites are essentially "mirrors in the sky," and all of our network's switches and hardware are located on the ground, we can easily and relatively inexpensively modify our ground hardware and software to use other wave forms to meet customer demands for new and innovative services and products.

We own and operate gateways in the United States, Canada, Venezuela, Puerto Rico, France, Brazil, and we own a gateway in Nicaragua that we have temporarily suspended from service. We also own a gateway in Singapore which SingTel operates under contract to us.

We have been notified that our independent gateway operator Elsacom intends to cease operations from the Avezzano gateway in Italy as of March 31, 2011. We have applied for a license to provide service in Italy from the Assaguel, France gateway. We do not expect a material impact on our existing revenue or coverage should any suspension of the gateway service in Italy take place.

Our independent gateway operator T.E.S.A.M Peru notified us of its intent to cease operations from the Lurin, Peru gateway. However, operations continue at this time as T.E.S.A.M management explore its business operation alternatives. Although we do not expect a material impact on our existing revenue, the coverage in portions of South America may be reduced should any suspension of the gateway service in Peru take place.

In 2009, we entered into a business transfer agreement ("BTA Agreement") with LG Dacom, the independent gateway operator in Yeo Ju, South Korea, to acquire its gateway and other system assets for approximately \$1.0 million in cash. In January 2010, we entered into a joint venture agreement with Arion Communications Co., which assumed the BTA Agreement and completed the South Korean acquisition in the second quarter of 2010.

In 2008, we completed the construction of a gateway in Singapore at a total cost of approximately \$4.0 million. This gateway was fully operational for SPOT and Simplex service in October 2008. We expect to introduce Duplex service at this gateway after our second-generation constellation becomes operational.

In 2007, we entered into an agreement with Globaltouch (West Africa) Limited to construct and operate a gateway in Kaduna, Nigeria, for which Globaltouch has paid us its entire \$8.4 million purchase obligation. This gateway was fully operational for SPOT and Simplex service in November 2009. We expect to introduce Duplex service at this gateway after our second-generation constellation becomes operational. We plan to complete the construction and introduce Duplex service at this gateway after our second-generation constellation becomes operational.

In May 2008, we and Hughes entered into an agreement under which Hughes will design, supply and implement (a) the Radio Access Network ("RAN") ground network equipment and software upgrades for installation at a number of our satellite gateway ground stations and (b) satellite interface chips to be a part of the User Terminal Subsystem ("UTS") in various next-generation Globalstar devices.

In October 2008, we signed an agreement with Oceus Networks, a leading global provider of technology and services to telecom operators. According to the contract, including subsequent additions, Oceus will work with us to develop, implement and maintain a ground interface, or core network, system that will be installed at our satellite gateway ground stations.

#### Industry

We compete in the mobile satellite services sector of the global communications industry. Mobile satellite service operators provide voice and data services using a network of one or more satellites and associated ground facilities. Mobile satellite services are usually complementary to, and interconnected with, other forms of terrestrial communications services and infrastructure and are intended to respond to users' desires for connectivity at all times and locations. Customers typically use satellite voice and data communications in situations where existing terrestrial wireline and wireless communications networks are impaired or do not exist.

Worldwide, government organizations, military, natural disaster aid associations, event-driven response agencies and corporate security teams depend on mobile and fixed voice and data communications services on a regular basis. Businesses with global operating scope require communications services when operating in remote locations around the world. Mobile satellite services users span the forestry, maritime, government, oil and gas, mining, leisure, emergency services, construction and transportation sectors, among others. We believe many existing customers increasingly view satellite communications services as critical to their daily operations.

Over the past two decades, the global mobile satellite services market has experienced significant growth. Increasingly, better-tailored, improved-technology products and services are creating new channels of demand for mobile satellite services. Growth in demand for mobile satellite voice services is driven by the declining cost of these services, the diminishing size and lower costs of the handsets, as well as, heightened demand by governments, businesses and individuals for ubiquitous global voice coverage. Growth in mobile satellite data services is driven by the rollout of new applications requiring higher bandwidth, as well as low cost data collection and asset tracking devices.

Communications industry sectors that are relevant to our business include:

- mobile satellite services, which provide customers with connectivity to mobile and fixed devices using a network of satellites and ground facilities;
- fixed satellite services, which use geostationary satellites to provide customers with voice and broadband communications links between fixed points on the earth's surface; and
- terrestrial services, which use a terrestrial network to provide wireless or wireline connectivity and are complementary to satellite services.

Within the major satellite sectors, fixed satellite services and mobile satellite services operators differ significantly from each other. Fixed satellite services providers, such as Intelsat Ltd., Eutelsat Communications (“Eutelsat”) and SES S.A., and aperture terminals companies, such as Hughes Networks and Gilat Satellite Networks, are characterized by large, often stationary or “fixed,” ground terminals that send and receive high-bandwidth signals to and from the satellite network for video and high speed data customers and international telephone markets. On the other hand, mobile satellite services providers, such as Globalstar, Inmarsat P.L.C. (“Inmarsat”) and Iridium Communications, Inc. (“Iridium”), focus more on voice and data services (including data services which track the location of remote assets such as shipping containers), where mobility or small sized terminals are essential. As mobile satellite terminals begin to offer higher bandwidth to support a wider range of applications, we expect mobile satellite services operators will increasingly compete with fixed satellite services operators.

Low earth orbit (“LEO”) systems, such as the systems we and Iridium currently operate, reduce transmission delay compared to a geosynchronous system due to the shorter distance signals have to travel. In addition, LEO systems are less prone to signal blockage and, consequently, we believe that we can provide a better overall quality of service.

## Competition

The global communications industry is highly competitive. We currently face substantial competition from other service providers that offer a range of mobile and fixed communications options. Our most direct competition comes from other global mobile satellite services providers. Our two largest global competitors are Inmarsat and Iridium. We compete primarily on the basis of coverage, quality, portability and pricing of services and products.

Inmarsat owns and operates a fleet of geostationary satellites. Due to its multiple-satellite geostationary system, Inmarsat's coverage area extends and covers most bodies of water more completely than we do. Accordingly, Inmarsat is the leading provider of satellite communications services to the maritime sector. Inmarsat also offers global land-based and aeronautical communications services. Inmarsat generally does not sell directly to customers. Rather, it markets its products and services principally through a variety of distributors, who, in most cases, sell to additional downstream entities who sell to the ultimate customer. We compete with Inmarsat in several key areas, particularly in our maritime markets. In the summer of 2010, Inmarsat launched its ISATPhone Pro mobile handset designed to compete with both Iridium's mobile handset service and our GSP-1700 handset service.

Iridium owns and operates a fleet of low earth orbit satellites that is similar to our network of satellites. We have faced increased competition from Iridium in some of our target markets.

LightSquared, in addition to its former MSV mobile satellite services, has announced plans to build a wireless broadband network in the United States that also incorporates nationwide satellite coverage. In January 2011, the FCC granted LightSquared a conditional waiver to its ATC gating criteria known as the Integrated Service Rule granting it conditional authority to provide terrestrial only wireless broadband services using mobile satellite spectrum.

We compete with regional mobile satellite communications services in several markets. In these cases, our competitors serve customers who require regional, not global, mobile voice and data services, so our competitors present a viable alternative to our services. All of these competitors operate geostationary satellites. Our regional mobile satellite services competitors currently include Thuraya, principally in the Middle East and Africa; ACeS (now operated by Inmarsat) in Asia; LightSquared Subsidiary LLC (formerly SkyTerra (formerly MSV)) and SkyTerra (Canada), Inc. (formerly Mobile Satellite Ventures Canada) in the Americas); and Optus MobileSat (Thuraya) in Australia.

In some of our markets, such as rural telephony, we compete directly or indirectly with very small aperture terminal operators that offer communications services through private networks using very small aperture terminals or hybrid systems to target business users. Very small aperture terminal operators have become increasingly competitive due to technological advances that have resulted in smaller, more flexible and cheaper terminals.

We compete indirectly with terrestrial wireline (“landline”) and wireless communications networks. We provide service in areas that are inadequately covered by these ground systems. To the extent that terrestrial communications companies invest in underdeveloped areas, we will face increased competition in those areas.

Our SPOT family of products competes indirectly with Personal Locator Beacons (“PLB”s). A variety of manufacturers, including ACR Electronics and McMurdo, offer PLBs to an industry specification.

Our industry has significant barriers to entry, including the cost and difficulty associated with obtaining spectrum licenses and successfully building and launching a satellite network. In addition to cost, there is a significant amount of lead-time associated with obtaining the required licenses, designing and building the satellite constellation and synchronizing the network technology. We will continue to face competition from Inmarsat and Iridium and other businesses that have developed global mobile satellite communications services in particular regions. We will also face competition from incipient mobile satellite service providers such as Solaris Mobile and mobile satellite ATC services providers, such as TerreStar and ICO Global, who are currently designing core satellite operating businesses with terrestrial component around their spectrum holdings.

#### Regulation

##### International Coordination

Our system operates in frequencies which were allocated on an international basis for mobile satellite services user links and mobile satellite services feeder links. We are required to engage in international coordination procedures with other proposed mobile satellite services systems under the aegis of the International Telecommunications Union. We are currently engaged in international coordination issues before the International Telecommunications Union related to our second-generation satellites.

##### National Regulation of Service Providers

In order to operate gateways, the independent gateway operators and our affiliates in each country are required to obtain a license from that country's telecommunications regulatory authority. In addition, the gateway operator must enter into appropriate interconnection and financial settlement agreements with local and interexchange telecommunications providers. All 27 gateways which we and the independent gateway operators operate are licensed.

Our subscriber equipment generally must be type certified in countries in which it is sold or leased. The manufacturers of the equipment and our affiliates or the independent gateway operators are jointly responsible for securing type certification. We have received type certification in multiple countries for each of our products.

##### United States International Traffic in Arms Regulations

The United States International Traffic in Arms regulations under the United States Arms Export Control Act authorize the President of the United States to control the export and import of articles and services that can be used in the production of arms. The President has delegated this authority to the U.S. Department of State, Directorate of Defense Trade Controls. Among other things, these regulations limit the ability to export certain articles and related technical data to certain nations. Some information involved in the performance of our operations falls within the



scope of these regulations. As a result, we may have to obtain an export authorization or restrict access to that information by international companies that are our vendors or service providers. We have received and expect to continue to receive export licenses for our telemetry and control equipment located outside the United States and for providing technical data to our Launch Provider and the developers of our next generation of satellites.

#### Environmental Matters

We are subject to various laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment and human health and safety (including those governing the management, storage and disposal of hazardous materials). Some of our operations require continuous power supply. As a result, current and historical operations at our ground facilities, including our gateways, include storing fuel and batteries, which may contain hazardous materials, to power back-up generators. As an owner or operator of property and in connection with our current and historical operations, we could incur significant costs, including cleanup costs, fines, sanctions and third-party claims, as a result of violations of or in connection with liabilities under environmental laws and regulations.

## Customers

The specialized needs of our global customers span many markets. Our system is able to offer our customers cost-effective communications solutions in areas underserved or unserved by existing telecommunications infrastructures. Although traditional users of wireless telephony and broadband data services have access to these services in developed locations, our targeted customers often operate, travel to or live in remote regions or regions with under-developed telecommunications infrastructure where these services are not readily available or are not provided on a reliable basis.

Our top revenue generating markets in the United States and Canada are (i) government (including federal, state and local agencies), public safety and disaster relief, (ii) recreation and personal and (iii) telecommunications, comprising 23%, 19% and 6%, respectively, of our total subscribers in those regions at December 31, 2010. We also serve customers in the maritime and fishing, oil and gas, natural resources (mining and forestry), and construction, utilities markets, and transportation, which together comprised approximately 20% of our total subscribers in the United States and Canada at December 31, 2010.

No one customer was responsible for more than 10% of our revenue in 2010, 2009, or 2008.

## Domestic/Foreign

We supply services and products to a number of foreign customers. Although most of our sales are denominated in U.S. dollars, we are exposed to currency risk for sales in Canada, Europe, Brazil and other countries. In 2010, approximately 36% of our sales were denominated in foreign currencies. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding revenue by country.

## Intellectual Property

We hold various U.S. and foreign patents and patents pending that expire between 2011 and 2021. These patents cover many aspects of our satellite system, our global network and our user terminals. In recent years, we have reduced our foreign filings and allowed some previously-granted foreign patents to lapse based on (a) the significance of the patent, (b) our assessment of the likelihood that someone would infringe in the foreign country, and (c) the probability that we could or would enforce the patent in light of the expense of filing and maintaining the foreign patent which, in some countries, is quite substantial. We continue to maintain all of the patents in the United States, Canada and Europe which we believe are important to our business. Our intellectual property is pledged as security for our obligations under our Facility Agreement.

## Employees

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately 322 employees, of which approximately 22 in Brazil were subject to collective bargaining agreements. We consider our relationship with our employees to be good.

## Seasonality

Our results of operations are subject to seasonal usage changes. April through October are typically our peak months for service revenues and equipment sales. Government customers in North America tend to use our services during summer months, often in support of relief activities after events such as hurricanes, forest fires and other natural disasters

## Services and Equipment

Sales of services accounted for approximately 75%, 78%, and 72% of our total revenues for 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. We also sell the related voice and data equipment to our customers, which accounted for approximately 25%, 22%, and 28% of our total revenues for 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

#### Relocation

In July 2010, we announced the relocation of our corporate headquarters to Covington, Louisiana. Our product development center, our international customer care operations, call center and other global business functions including finance, accounting, sales, marketing and corporate communications have relocated to Louisiana.

## Additional Information

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). You may read and copy any document we file with the SEC at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the public reference room. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy and information statements and other information that issuers (including Globalstar) file electronically with the SEC. Our electronic SEC filings are available to the public at the SEC's internet site, [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

We make available free of charge financial information, news releases, SEC filings, including our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports as soon as reasonably practical after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC, on our website at [www.globalstar.com](http://www.globalstar.com). The documents available on, and the contents of, our website are not incorporated by reference into this Report.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risks described below, as well as all of the information in this Report and our other past and future filings with the SEC, in evaluating and understanding us and our business. Additional risks not presently known or that we currently deem immaterial may also impact our business operations and the risks identified below may adversely affect our business in ways we do not currently anticipate. Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks.

### Risks Related to Our Business

We will need additional capital, the terms of which have not been arranged. The terms of our Facility Agreement could complicate raising this additional capital.

We must obtain additional financing to fund the procurement and deployment of our additional second-generation satellites, ground upgrades and our ongoing operations, which are currently generating negative cash flows. We anticipate that our cash requirements for the next 12 months will require us to borrow the remaining funds available under our Facility Agreement and obtain other financing, including proceeds from issuing additional equity securities, our contingent equity agreement, or additional debt. The terms of any additional financing, other than our contingent equity agreement have not been arranged. We may direct the transfer of funds from our contingent equity account to operating accounts only if no default has occurred and is continuing under our Facility Agreement; however, the administrative agent of the Facility Agreement may, but is not obligated to, transfer contingent equity funds to our operating accounts in a default situation.

We expect to fund planned capital expenditures beyond the next 12 months through other financing, including proceeds from the issuance of additional equity or debt not yet arranged.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain this financing on reasonable terms or at all. If we cannot obtain it in a timely manner, we may be unable to execute our business plan and fulfill our financial commitments.

If an event of default were to occur with respect to our Facility Agreement or other indebtedness, our creditors could accelerate the maturity of our indebtedness or prohibit us from utilizing the Facility Agreement until the default has been remediated. Our indebtedness under our Facility Agreement is secured by a lien on substantially all of our assets and the assets of our domestic subsidiaries and the lenders could foreclose on these assets to repay the indebtedness.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on or to refinance indebtedness obligations depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which are subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. We may not be able to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal and interest on our indebtedness. If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we could face substantial liquidity problems and could be forced to sell assets, seek additional capital or seek to restructure or refinance our indebtedness. These alternative measures may not be successful or feasible. Our Facility Agreement restricts our ability to sell assets.

If we fail to obtain the registration of our second-generation satellite constellation by France, we will not be permitted to use our FCC license for operating our United States ground stations with our second-generation satellites, which would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

While our first-generation constellation is licensed to operate by the FCC, we have decided to license our second-generation constellation through France. While we have obtained an operational license and frequency assignment for the second-generation satellites from the relevant French regulatory authorities, we must also apply to have our second-generation satellites registered by France under the Outer Space Treaty and the UN Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space. We are unable to predict the outcome of this application proceeding or when such proceeding will be concluded. If we do not obtain our French license for our second-generation constellation in a timely manner, we will lose opportunity to recover our Duplex business and increase ARPU and may not be able to continue our business. In addition, we may have difficulty maintaining existing relationships, or developing new relationships, with suppliers or vendors as a result of our financial condition. Our suppliers or vendors could choose to provide supplies or services to us on more stringent payment terms than those currently in place, such as by requiring advance payment or payment upon delivery of such supplies or services, which would have an adverse impact on our short-term cash flows. As a result, our ability to retain current customers, attract new customers and maintain contracts that are critical to our operations may be adversely affected. Finally, these events may result in defaults under our current financing arrangements which would permit acceleration of our indebtedness and exercise of remedies by our lenders.

We have incurred operating losses in the past three years, and these losses are likely to continue.

We have incurred operating losses of \$59.8 million, \$53.8 million, and \$57.7 million in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. These losses are largely a result of problems with our two-way communications services. We expect that we will continue to incur operating losses until we have deployed and placed into service our second-generation constellation and we have regained our market position and pricing.

Our satellites have a limited life and first-generation satellites have degraded, which causes our network to be compromised and which materially and adversely affects our business, prospects and profitability.

Since the first Old Globalstar satellites were launched in the 1990's, certain first-generation satellites have failed in orbit and have been retired, and we expect others to fail in the future. We consider a satellite "failed" only when it can no longer provide any communications service, and we do not intend to undertake any further efforts to return it to service or when the other satellite subsystems can no longer support operations. In-orbit failure may result from various causes, including component failure, loss of power or fuel, inability to control positioning of the satellite, solar or other astronomical events, including solar radiation and flares, the quality of construction, gradual degradation of solar panels, the durability of components, and collision with other satellites or space debris. Any of these items, including radiation induced failure of satellite components, may result in damage to or loss of a satellite before the end of its currently expected life.

As a result of the issues described above, some of our in-orbit satellites may experience temporary outages or may not otherwise be fully functioning at any given time. There are some remote tools we use to remedy certain types of problems affecting the performance of our satellites, but the physical repair of satellites in space is not feasible. We do not insure our satellites against in-orbit failures, whether the failures are caused by internal or external factors.

#### S-band Antenna Amplifier Degradation

The degradation of the S-band antenna amplifier in our first-generation satellites has negatively affected our ability to provide two-way voice and data communications at all times and in all locations. The S-band antenna provides the downlink from the satellite to a subscriber's phone or data terminal. Degraded performance of the S-band antenna reduces the call completion rate for two-way voice and data communication between the affected satellites and the subscriber and may reduce the duration of a call. When the S-band antenna on a satellite ceases to be functional, two-way communication is impossible over that satellite, but not for SPOT and Simplex service and over the constellation as a whole. The root cause of the degradation in performance of the S-band antenna amplifiers is unknown, although we believe it may result from the satellites being exposed to radiation over their life in orbit. The S-band antenna amplifier degradation does not affect adversely our one-way SPOT or Simplex data transmission services, which utilize only the L-band uplink from a subscriber's SPOT or Simplex terminal to the satellites.

We launched eight spare satellites in 2007. These eight satellites will be an integral part of our second-generation constellation. All of our satellites launched prior to 2007 have experienced various anomalies over time, including the degradation in the performance of the solid-state power amplifiers of the S-band communications antenna subsystem described above. We expect to experience the same degradation on our eight spare satellites launched in 2007.

Except for the first-generation satellites that have failed and have thus been decommissioned, this does not impair their ability to continue to support SPOT and Simplex data transmissions in the L-band, and accordingly, we do not classify them as "failed."

In the past, we have reconfigured our constellation and placed less impaired satellites into key orbital positions to maximize our capacity and quality of service. We will continue to do this. We forecast the time and duration of two-way service coverage at any particular location in our service area, and we have made this information available

without charge to our customers and service providers, including our wholly owned operating subsidiaries, value added resellers, and IGOs, so that they may work with their subscribers to reduce the impact of the service interruptions in their respective service areas. Nonetheless, we expect the S-band antenna amplifier degradation to continue as our first-generation satellites age in orbit.

Accordingly, as the number of in-orbit satellites with properly functioning S-band antenna amplifiers has decreased, even with optimized placement in orbit of the eight spare satellites, increasingly larger coverage gaps have occurred and will continue to occur over areas in which we have provided two-way communications service. This has materially adversely affected our ability to attract new subscribers and maintain our existing subscribers for our two-way communications services, equipment sales of two-way communication devices, retail average revenue per unit, or ARPU, and our results of operations and is likely to have a further material adverse effect on each of these in the future. If our subscriber base declines, our ability to attract and retain subscribers at higher rates when our second-generation constellation is placed in service may be affected adversely.

If we are unable to maintain our customer base for two-way communications service, our business and profitability may be further materially and adversely affected. In addition, after our second-generation constellation becomes operational, we may face challenges in maintaining our current subscriber base for two-way communications service because we plan then to increase prices, consistent with market conditions, to reflect our improved two-way service and coverage.

Our business plan includes utilizing the ancillary terrestrial component (“ATC”) of our spectrum allocation pursuant to authorization from the FCC in the United States by combining ATC services with our existing business. If we are unable to accomplish this effectively, our anticipated future revenues and profitability could be reduced.

The FCC licenses us to use a portion of our spectrum to provide ATC services if we meet certain gating criteria, which we currently do not meet, in the United States in combination with our existing communication services. If we can integrate ATC services with our existing business, which will require us to make satisfactory arrangements with terrestrial wireless or other communications service providers, we will be able to use the spectrum currently licensed to us to provide an integrated telecommunications offering incorporating both our satellite and ground station system and a terrestrial-based cellular-like system. If successful, this will allow us to address a broader market for our products and services, thereby increasing our revenue and profitability and the value of our business. However, neither we nor any other company has yet successfully integrated a commercial ATC service with satellite services, and we may be unable to do so.

We do not expect to have sufficient capital resources to develop independently the terrestrial component of an ATC network. Therefore, in the foreseeable future full exploitation of our ATC opportunity will require us to lease portions of our ATC-licensed spectrum to, or form satisfactory partnerships, service contracts, joint ventures or other arrangements with, other telecommunications or spectrum-based service providers.

The FCC suspended our ATC authority by order dated September 14, 2010 because we had not yet completed deployment of our second-generation satellite constellation. Because of the suspension, we terminated our ATC lease agreement with Open Range as of January 5, 2011. The FCC will not remove the suspension until we comply with the gating criteria discussed below. Even after the FCC removes the suspension, we may not be able to establish additional arrangements to exploit our ATC authority at all or on favorable terms and, if such arrangements are established, the other parties may not fulfill their obligations. If we are unable to form additional suitable partnerships or enter into service contracts, joint venture agreements or additional leases, we may not be able to capitalize fully on our plan to deploy ATC services, which would limit our ability to expand our business and reduce our future revenues and profitability, and adversely affect the value of our ATC license.

The FCC rules governing ATC are subject to interpretation. The scope of ATC services that we will be permitted and required to provide under our existing FCC license is unclear and we may be required to seek additional amendments to our ATC license to execute our business plan. The FCC's rules require ATC service providers to demonstrate that their mobile satellite and ATC services satisfy certain gating criteria, such as constituting an "integrated service offering," and maintain at least one in-orbit spare satellite. The FCC reserves the right to rescind ATC authority if the FCC determines that a licensee has failed to provide an "integrated service offering" or to comply with other gating criteria. Further, the FCC has initiated rulemaking proceedings to consider modifying or eliminating certain requirements for providing ATC services. We are actively participating in these proceedings but are unable to predict what final action the FCC may take or the timing of any such action.

The development and operation of our ATC system may also infringe on unknown and unidentified intellectual property rights of other persons, which could require us to modify our business plan, thereby increasing our development costs and slowing our time to market. If we are unable to meet the regulatory requirements applicable to ATC services or develop or acquire the required technology, we may not be able to realize our plan to offer ATC services, which would decrease our revenues and profitability.

The implementation of our business plan depends on increased demand for wireless communications services via satellite, both for our existing services and products and for new services and products. If this increased demand does not occur, our revenues and profitability may not increase as we expect.

Demand for wireless communication services via satellite may not grow, or may even shrink, either generally or in particular geographic markets, for particular types of services or during particular time periods. A lack of demand could impair our ability to sell our services, and develop and successfully market new services, or could exert downward pressure on prices, or both. This, in turn, could decrease our revenues and profitability and adversely affect our ability to increase our revenues and profitability over time.

The success of our business plan will depend on a number of factors, including:

- our ability to complete the construction, delivery and launch of our second-generation satellites and, once launched, our ability to maintain their health, capacity and control;
  - our ability to maintain or reduce costs until our second-generation constellation is in service;
  - the level of market acceptance and demand for all of our services;
  - our ability to introduce new products and services that meet this market demand;
- our ability to retain our existing Duplex customers until we have launched our second-generation satellites;
-



our ability to obtain additional business using our existing spectrum resources both in the United States and internationally;

- our ability to control the costs of developing an integrated network providing related products and services;
  - our ability to market successfully our new SPOT and Simplex products and services, especially our SPOT satellite GPS messenger, SPOT Communicator, SPOT HUG, and SPOT Connect products and services;
- our ability to develop and deploy innovative network management techniques to permit mobile devices to transition between satellite and terrestrial modes;
- our ability to limit the effects of further degradation of, and to maintain the capacity and control of, our existing first-generation satellite network;
  - our ability to sell the equipment inventory on hand and under commitment to purchase from Qualcomm;
- the effectiveness of our competitors in developing and offering similar products and services and in persuading our customers to switch service providers; and
- with the addition of our retail product line, general economic conditions that affect consumer discretionary spending and consumer confidence, which have declined sharply in the recent recession.

The implementation of our business plan and our ability to return to profitability assumes we are able to generate sufficient revenue and cash flow as our existing satellite constellation continues to age, and we deploy successfully our second-generation constellation, both of which are contingent on a number of factors.

As a result of the factors described above, our customers currently are unable to access our two-way communications service at all times and places. Our ability to generate revenue and positive cash flow, at least until our second-generation constellation is deployed and begins to generate revenue, will depend upon several factors, including:

- whether we can maintain a sufficient number of our existing two-way communications service customers;
  - whether we can introduce successfully new product and service offerings; and
- whether we can continue to compete successfully against other mobile satellite service providers.

Our ability to generate revenue and cash flow has been adversely impacted by our need to reduce our prices for two-way communications services as we seek to maintain our customer base in the face of the challenges to our two-way services. We have implemented new pricing strategies designed to stem further diminution of revenue from two-way services described above.

Further, our business plan and our ability to return to profitability assume that we will be able to deploy successfully our second-generation constellation. In order to do so, we are dependent on third parties to build and launch our satellites. The construction of these satellites is technically complex and subject to construction and delivery delays that could result from a variety of causes, including the failure of third-party vendors to perform as anticipated, changes in the technical specifications of the satellites and other unforeseen circumstances. For example, when we entered into the contracts with Thales Alenia Space, our satellite manufacturer, we anticipated launch of our second-generation satellites beginning in the first quarter of 2010 into late 2010. However, delivery of our satellites has been delayed due to earthquake damage to the Thales Alenia Space assembly facility in L'Aquila, Italy, and this, along with other factors, has delayed our launch schedule. The first of four launches of six second-generation satellites took place on October 19, 2010. We currently expect to conduct the next launch of six satellites in May 2011 plus two additional launches of six satellites per launch within 60-90 days following the previous launch. Should we experience additional launch delays, our operations and business plan may be materially adversely affected.

We may not be able to launch our second-generation satellites successfully. Loss of one or more satellites during launch could delay or impair our ability to offer our services or reduce our future revenues and launch insurance will not fully cover this risk.

Launching satellites is inherently risky. Our predecessor and others have incurred launch failures resulting in the loss of satellites. Arianespace (the "Launch Provider") generally is not responsible for any loss of our satellites on launch. Insurance proceeds would likely be available in the event of a launch failure, but acquiring replacements for any satellites would cause a delay in the deployment of our second-generation constellation and any insurance proceeds would not cover lost revenue. While we insure our satellites for launch failure and failure during the initial orbit raising, we do not insure our existing satellites during their remaining in-orbit operational lives.

Our Facility Agreement requires us to obtain launch insurance for the second-generation satellites. Launch insurance rates have fluctuated significantly in the past and are highly contingent on market conditions. If launch insurance rates were to rise substantially, our future launch costs would increase. We anticipate our launch insurance policy will include specified exclusions, deductibles and material change limitations. Some (but not all) exclusions could include damage arising from acts of war, anti-satellite devices and other similar potential risks for which exclusions were customary in the industry at the time the policy was written.

We cannot assure you that sufficient launch insurance will be obtained on acceptable terms. It is also possible that insurance could become unavailable, either generally or for a specific launch vehicle, or that new insurance could be subject to broader exclusions on coverage.

We depend in large part on the efforts of third parties for the retail sale of our services and products. The inability of these third parties to sell our services and products successfully may decrease our future revenue and profitability.

We derive a large portion of our revenue from products and services sold through independent agents, dealers and resellers, including, outside the United States, independent gateway operators. If these third parties are unable to market our products and services successfully, our future revenue and profitability may decrease.

We depend on independent gateway operators to market our services in important regions around the world. If the independent gateway operators are unable to do this successfully, we will not be able to grow our business in those areas as rapidly as we expect.

Although we derive most of our revenue from retail sales to end users in the United States, Canada, a portion of Western Europe, Central America and portions of South America, either directly or through agents, dealers and resellers, we depend on independent gateway operators to purchase, install, operate and maintain gateway equipment, to sell phones and data user terminals, and to market our services in other regions where these independent gateway operators hold exclusive or non-exclusive rights. Not all of the independent gateway operators have been successful and, in some regions, they have not initiated service or sold as much usage as originally anticipated. Some of the independent gateway operators are not earning revenues sufficient to fund their operating costs. If they are unable to continue in business, we will lose the revenue we receive for selling equipment to them and providing services to their customers. Although we have implemented a strategy for the acquisition of certain independent gateway operators when circumstances permit, we may not be able to continue to implement this strategy on favorable terms and may not be able to realize the additional efficiencies that we anticipate from this strategy. In some regions it is impracticable to acquire the independent gateway operators either because local regulatory requirements or business or cultural norms do not permit an acquisition, because the expected revenue increase from an acquisition would be insufficient to justify the transaction, or because the independent gateway operator will not sell at a price acceptable to us. In those regions, our revenue and profits may be adversely affected if those independent gateway operators do not fulfill their own business plans to increase substantially their sales of services and products. Two of our independent gateway operators have recently advised us that they intend to suspend operations.

Our success in generating sufficient cash from operations to fund our second-generation satellites, satellite operations control center, gateways, and other ground facilities will depend on the market acceptance and success of our current and future products and services, which may not occur.

We currently offer our SPOT and Simplex products and services aimed at both recreational and commercial customers who require personal tracking, emergency location and messaging solutions that operate beyond the range of traditional terrestrial and wireless communications. In 2010, we introduced the DeLorme Earthmate GPS with SPOT Satellite Communicator and the SPOT HUG. In January 2011 we introduced the SPOT Connect.

We plan to introduce additional Duplex, SPOT, and Simplex products and services. However, we cannot predict with certainty the potential longer term demand for these products and services or the extent to which we will be able to meet demand. Our business plan assumes growing our Duplex subscriber base beyond levels achieved in the past, rapidly growing our SPOT and Simplex subscriber base and returning the business to profitability. However, we may not be able to generate sufficient positive cash flow from our operations to enable us to fund a portion of the cost of our second-generation satellites, fund operating costs, or repay debt. Among other things, end user acceptance of our Duplex, SPOT, and Simplex products and services will depend upon:

- the actual size of the addressable market;
- our ability to provide attractive service offerings at competitive prices to our target markets;
- the cost and availability of user equipment that operate on our network;
- the effectiveness of our competitors in developing and offering alternate technologies or lower priced services; and
- general and local economic conditions, which have been adversely affected by the current recession.

Our business plan assumes a growing subscriber base for Duplex, SPOT and Simplex products. If we cannot implement this business plan successfully and gain market acceptance for these planned Duplex, SPOT, and Simplex products and services, our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity could be materially and adversely affected.

In addition, our cost of services is comprised primarily of network operating costs, which generally are fixed in nature. Accordingly, we generally are unable to adjust most of our operating costs or capital expenditures to match fluctuations in our revenue.

Product liability, product replacement, or recall costs could adversely affect our business and financial performance.

We are subject to product liability and product recall claims if any of our products and services are alleged to have resulted in injury to persons or damage to property. If any of our products proves to be defective, we may need to recall and/or redesign it. In addition, any claim or product recall that results in significant adverse publicity may negatively affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations. We maintain product liability insurance, but this insurance may not adequately cover losses related to product liability claims brought against us. We may also be a defendant in class action litigation, for which no insurance is available. Product liability insurance could become more expensive and difficult to maintain and may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. In addition, we do not maintain any product recall insurance, so any product recall we are required to initiate could have a significant impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We regularly investigate potential quality issues as part of our ongoing effort to deliver quality products to our customers.

Because consumers use SPOT satellite products and services in isolated and, in some cases, dangerous locations, we cannot predict whether users of the device who suffer injury or death may seek to assert claims against us alleging failure of the device to facilitate timely emergency response. Although we will seek to limit our exposure to any such claims through appropriate disclaimers and liability insurance coverage, we cannot assure investors that the disclaimers will be effective, claims will not arise or insurance coverage will be sufficient.

We have substantial contractual obligations and capital expenditure plans.

We plan to make capitalized expenditures related to procuring and deploying our second-generation satellites and upgrading our gateways and other ground facilities, including total contract values for Thales, our Launch Provider, Hughes and Oceus Networks, internal costs and capitalized interest, which we expect will be reflected in capital expenditures through 2013. The nature of these purchases requires us to enter into long-term fixed price contracts. We could cancel some of these purchase commitments, subject to the incurrence of specified cancellation penalties. We plan to fund the balance of the capital expenditures for the second-generation satellites and the satellite operations control centers upgrades through the use of the remaining funds available under our Facility Agreement, cash on hand, cash flows from operations (if any), our contingent equity agreement and other equity or debt financing, not yet arranged. We may direct the transfer of funds from our contingent equity account to operating accounts only if no default has occurred and is continuing under our Facility Agreement; however, the administrative agent of the Facility Agreement may, but is not obligated to, transfer contingent equity funds to our operating accounts in a default situation.

We currently are unable to offer service in important regions of the world due to the absence of gateways in those areas, which is limiting our growth and our ability to compete.

Our objective is to establish a worldwide service network, either directly or through independent gateway operators, but to date we have been unable to do so in certain areas of the world and we may not succeed in doing so in the future. We have been unable to finance our own gateways or to find capable independent gateway operators for several important regions and countries, including Eastern and Southern Africa, India, and certain parts of Southeast Asia. In addition to the lack of global service availability, cost-effective roaming is not yet available in certain countries because the independent gateway operators have been unable to reach business arrangements with one another. This could reduce overall demand for our products and services and undermine our value for potential users who require service in these areas.

Rapid and significant technological changes in the satellite communications industry may impair our competitive position and require us to make significant additional capital expenditures.

The hardware and software we currently utilize in operating our gateways were designed and manufactured over 10 years ago and portions are becoming obsolete. We have contracted to replace the hardware and software in the future; however the original equipment may become less reliable as it ages and will be more difficult and expensive to service. Although we maintain inventories of spare parts, it nonetheless may be difficult or impossible to obtain all necessary replacement parts for the hardware before the new equipment and software is fully deployed. We expect to face competition in the future from companies using new technologies and new satellite systems. The space and communications industries are subject to rapid advances and innovations in technology. New technology could render our system obsolete or less competitive by satisfying consumer demand in more attractive ways or through the introduction of incompatible standards. Particular technological developments that could adversely affect us include the deployment by our competitors of new satellites with greater power, greater flexibility, greater efficiency or greater capabilities, as well as continuing improvements in terrestrial wireless technologies. We have had to commit, and must continue to commit, to make significant capital expenditures to keep up with technological changes and remain competitive. Customer acceptance of the services and products that we offer will continually be affected by technology-based differences in our product and service offerings. New technologies may be protected by patents and therefore may not be available to us.

A natural disaster could diminish our ability to provide communications service.

Natural disasters could damage or destroy our ground stations resulting in a disruption of service to our customers. In addition, the collateral effects of such disasters such as flooding may impair the functioning of our ground equipment. If a natural disaster were to impair or destroy any of our ground facilities, we might be unable to provide service to our customers in the affected area for a period of time. Even if our gateways are not affected by natural disasters, our service could be disrupted if a natural disaster damages the public switch telephone network or terrestrial wireless networks or our ability to connect to the public switch telephone network or terrestrial wireless networks. Such failure or service disruptions could harm our business and results of operations.

Future regulatory decisions could reduce our existing spectrum allocation or impose additional spectrum sharing agreements on us, which could adversely affect our services and operations.

Under the FCC's plan for mobile satellite services in our frequency bands, we must share frequencies in the United States with other licensed mobile satellite services operators. To date, there are no other authorized CDMA-based mobile satellite services operators and no pending applications for authorization. However the FCC or other regulatory authorities may require us to share spectrum with other systems that are not currently licensed by the United States or any other jurisdiction. The FCC's decision in October 2008 to reduce the number of channels we have available in our L-band may impair our ability to grow over the long term.

We have decided to register our second-generation constellation with the ITU through France rather than the United States. The French radiofrequency spectrum regulatory agency, ANFR, submitted the technical papers to the ITU on our behalf in July 2009. As with the first-generation constellation, the ITU will require us to coordinate our spectrum assignments with other companies that use any portion of our spectrum bands. We cannot predict how long the coordination process will take; however, we are able to use the frequencies during the coordination process in accordance with our national licenses.

Spectrum values historically have been volatile, which could cause the value of our Company to fluctuate.

Our business plan may include forming strategic partnerships to maximize value for our spectrum, network assets and combined service offerings in the United States and internationally. Value that we may be able to realize from such partnerships will depend in part on the value ascribed to our spectrum. Valuations of spectrum in other frequency bands historically have been volatile, and we cannot predict at what amount a future partner may be willing to value our spectrum and other assets. In addition, to the extent that the FCC takes action that makes additional spectrum available or promotes the more flexible use or greater availability (e.g., via spectrum leasing or new spectrum sales) of existing satellite or terrestrial spectrum allocations, the availability of such additional spectrum could reduce the value of our spectrum authorizations and business.

We face intense competition in all of our markets, which could result in a loss of customers and lower revenues and make it more difficult for us to enter new markets.

#### Satellite-based Competitors

There are currently four other mobile satellite operators providing services similar to ours on a global or regional basis: Iridium, Inmarsat (ISATPhone Pro), Thuraya and LightSquared (via MSV's MSAT products). In addition, ICO Global Communications (Holdings) Limited launched a satellite in 2008, but has not offered any services yet, and TerreStar Corporation launched a satellite in 2009 and began offering spectrum-leasing services in 2010. The provision of satellite-based products and services is subject to downward price pressure when the capacity exceeds demand or as new competitors enter the marketplace with particular competitive pricing strategies.

Although we believe there is currently no commercially available product comparable in size, price and functionality to our SPOT satellite GPS messenger, other providers of satellite-based products could introduce their own similar products if the SPOT satellite GPS messenger is successful, which may materially adversely affect our business plan. In addition, we may face competition from new competitors or new technologies. With so many companies targeting many of the same customers, we may not be able to retain successfully our existing customers and attract new customers and as a result may not grow our customer base and revenue.

#### Terrestrial Competitors

In addition to our satellite-based competitors, terrestrial wireless voice and data service providers are continuing to expand into rural and remote areas, particularly in less developed countries, and providing the same general types of services and products that we provide through our satellite-based system. Many of these companies have greater resources, greater name recognition and newer technologies than we do. Industry consolidation could adversely affect us by increasing the scale or scope of our competitors and thereby making it more difficult for us to compete. We could lose market share and revenue as a result of increasing competition from the extension of land-based communication services.

Although satellite communications services and ground-based communications services are not perfect substitutes, the two compete in certain markets and for certain services. Consumers generally perceive wireless voice communication products and services as cheaper and more convenient than satellite-based ones.

#### ATC Competitors

We also expect to compete with a number of other satellite companies that plan to develop ATC integrated networks. For example, each of TerreStar and ICO Global has been licensed by the FCC to operate an ATC network. In January 2010, the FCC granted LightSquared a conditional waiver to its ATC gating criteria known as the Integrated Service



Rule granting it conditional authority to provide terrestrial only wireless broadband services using mobile satellite spectrum. Other competitors are expected to seek approval from the FCC to operate ATC services. Any of these competitors could offer an integrated satellite and terrestrial network before we do, could combine with terrestrial networks that provide them with greater financial or operational flexibility than we have, or could offer an ATC network that customers prefer over ours.

#### Potential Loss of Customers

We may lose customers due to competition, consolidation, regulatory developments, business developments affecting our customers or their customers, the constellation degradation or for other reasons. Our top 10 customers for the year ended December 31, 2010 accounted for, in the aggregate, approximately 13% of our total revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2010, revenues from our largest customer was \$1.9 million or 3% of our total revenues. If we fail to maintain our relationships with our major customers, if we lose them and fail to replace them with other similar customers, or if we experience reduced demand from our major customers, our revenue could be significantly reduced. In addition, we may incur additional costs to the extent that amounts due from these customers become uncollectible. More generally, our customers may fail to renew or may cancel their service contracts with us, which could negatively affect future revenues and profitability.

Our customers include multiple agencies of the U.S. government. Service sales to U.S. government agencies constituted approximately 6% and 8% of our total service revenue for 2010 and 2009, respectively. Government sales are made pursuant to individual purchase orders placed from time to time by the governmental agencies and are not related to long-term contracts. U.S. government agencies may terminate their business with us at any time without penalty and are subject to changes in government budgets and appropriations.

Our business is subject to extensive government regulation, which mandates how we may operate our business and may increase our cost of providing services, slow our expansion into new markets and subject our services to additional competitive pressures.

Our ownership and operation of wireless communication systems are subject to significant regulation in the United States by the FCC and in foreign jurisdictions by similar local authorities. The rules and regulations of the FCC or these foreign authorities may change and may not continue to permit our operations as presently conducted or as we plan to conduct them.

Failure to provide services in accordance with the terms of our licenses or failure to operate our satellites, ground stations, or other terrestrial facilities (including those necessary to provide ATC services) as required by our licenses and applicable government regulations could result in the imposition of government sanctions against us, up to and including cancellation of our licenses.

Our system requires regulatory authorization in each of the markets in which we or the independent gateway operators provide service. We and the independent gateway operators may not be able to obtain or retain all regulatory approvals needed for operations. For example, the company with which Old Globalstar contracted to establish an independent gateway operation in South Africa was unable to obtain an operating license from the Republic of South Africa and abandoned the business in 2001. Regulatory changes, such as those resulting from judicial decisions or adoption of treaties, legislation or regulation in countries where we operate or intend to operate, may also significantly affect our business. Because regulations in each country are different, we may not be aware if some of the independent gateway operators and/or persons with which we or they do business do not hold the requisite licenses and approvals.

Our current regulatory approvals could now be, or could become, insufficient in the view of foreign regulatory authorities. Furthermore, any additional necessary approvals may not be granted on a timely basis, or at all, in all jurisdictions in which we wish to offer services, and applicable restrictions in those jurisdictions could become unduly burdensome.

Our operations are subject to certain regulations of the United States State Department's Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (i.e., the export of satellites and related technical data), United States Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control (i.e., financial transactions) and the United States Commerce Department's Bureau of Industry and Security (i.e., our gateways and phones). These regulations may limit or delay our ability to operate in a particular country. As new laws and regulations are issued, we may be required to modify our business plans or operations. If we fail to comply with these regulations in any country, we could be subject to sanctions that could affect, materially and adversely, our ability to operate in that country. Failure to obtain the authorizations necessary to use our assigned radio frequency spectrum and to distribute our products in certain countries could have a material adverse effect on our ability to generate revenue and on our overall competitive position.

If we do not develop, acquire and maintain proprietary information and intellectual property rights, it could limit the growth of our business and reduce our market share.

Our business depends on technical knowledge, and we believe that our future success is based, in part, on our ability to keep up with new technological developments and incorporate them in our products and services. We own or have

the right to use our patents, work products, inventions, designs, software, systems and similar know-how. Although we have taken diligent steps to protect that information, the information may be disclosed to others or others may independently develop similar information, systems and know-how. Protection of our information, systems and know-how may result in litigation, the cost of which could be substantial. Third parties may assert claims that our products or services infringe on their proprietary rights. Any such claims, if made, may prevent or limit our sales of products or services or increase our costs of sales.

We license much of the software we require to support critical gateway operations from third parties, including Qualcomm and Space Systems/Loral Inc. This software was developed or customized specifically for our use. We also license software to support customer service functions, such as billing, from third parties which developed or customized it specifically for our use. If the third party licensors were to cease to support and service the software, or the licenses were to no longer be available on commercially reasonable terms, it may be difficult, expensive or impossible to obtain such services from alternative vendors. Replacing such software could be difficult, time consuming and expensive, and might require us to obtain substitute technology with lower quality or performance standards or at a greater cost.

We face special risks by doing business in developing markets, including currency and expropriation risks, which could increase our costs or reduce our revenues in these areas.

Although our most economically important geographic markets currently are the United States and Canada, we have substantial markets for our mobile satellite services in, and our business plan includes, developing countries or regions that are underserved by existing telecommunications systems, such as rural Venezuela, Brazil and Central America. Developing countries are more likely than industrialized countries to experience market, currency and interest rate fluctuations and may have higher inflation. In addition, these countries present risks relating to government policy, price, wage and exchange controls, social instability, expropriation and other adverse economic, political and diplomatic conditions.

We receive a majority of our revenues in U.S. dollars. Limited availability of U.S. currency in some local markets or governmental controls on the export of currency may prevent an independent gateway operator from making payments in U.S. dollars or delay the availability of payment due to foreign bank currency processing and approval. In addition, exchange rate fluctuations may affect our ability to control the prices charged for the independent gateway operators' services.

Fluctuations in currency exchange rates may adversely impact our financial results.

Our operations involve transactions in a variety of currencies. Sales denominated in foreign currencies primarily involve the Canadian dollar, the Euro, and the Brazilian Real. Certain of our obligations are denominated in Euros. Accordingly, our operating results may be significantly affected by fluctuations in the exchange rates for these currencies, and increases in the value of the Euro compared to the U.S. dollar have effectively increased the Euro-denominated costs of procuring our second-generation satellites. Further declines in the dollar will exacerbate this problem. Approximately 36% and 33% of our total sales were to retail customers in Canada, Europe, Central America, South America, Venezuela and Brazil during 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our results of operations for 2010 and 2009, reflected a gain of \$0.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, on foreign currency transactions. We may be unable to offset unfavorable currency movements as they adversely affect our revenue and expenses or to hedge them effectively. Our inability to do so could have a substantial negative impact on our operating results and cash flows.

Changes in tax rates or adverse results of tax examinations could materially increase our costs.

We operate in various U.S. and foreign tax jurisdictions. The process of determining our anticipated tax liabilities involves many calculations and estimates which are inherently complex. We believe that we have complied in all material respects with our obligations to pay taxes in these jurisdictions. However, our position is subject to review and possible challenge by the taxing authorities of these jurisdictions. If the applicable taxing authorities were to challenge successfully our current tax positions, or if there were changes in the manner in which we conduct our activities, we could become subject to material unanticipated tax liabilities. We may also become subject to additional tax liabilities as a result of changes in tax laws, which could in certain circumstances have a retroactive effect.

A tax authority has previously notified us that our Company (formerly known as Globalstar LLC), one of our subsidiaries, and our predecessor, Globalstar L.P., were under audit for the taxable years ending December 31, 2005, December 31, 2004, and June 29, 2004, respectively. During the taxable years at issue, we, our predecessor, and our subsidiary were treated as partnerships for U.S. income tax purposes. In December 2009, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") issued Notices of Final Partnership Administrative Adjustments related to each of the taxable years at issue. We disagree with the proposed adjustments, and are pursuing the matter through applicable IRS and judicial procedures as appropriate.

As a result of us not yet realizing any current tax benefits related to the deductions from the proposed adjustments, we would not incur any current additional tax as a result of any adjustment. However, if there is any adjustment to the basis of our assets, this could reduce our net operating losses and allowed deductions in future years which could negatively impact our future cash flow.

As a result of our acquisition of an independent gateway operator in Brazil during 2008, we are exposed to potential pre-acquisition tax liabilities. During 2010, the seller paid \$0.9 million on these liabilities, but the seller remains subject to an additional \$9.9 million in liabilities. We may be exposed to potential pre-acquisition liabilities for which we may not be fully indemnified by the seller, or the seller may fail to perform its indemnification obligations.

We rely on a limited number of key vendors for timely supply of equipment and services. If our key vendors fail to provide equipment and services to us, we may face difficulties in finding alternative sources and may not be able to operate our business successfully.

We have depended on Qualcomm as the exclusive manufacturer of phones using the IS 41 CDMA North American standard, which incorporates Qualcomm proprietary technology. We and Qualcomm are currently negotiating the termination of our business relationship prior to the completion of Qualcomm's contractual obligations to deliver second-generation phones, data modems and accessories. Although we have contracted with Hughes and Oceus Networks to provide new hardware and software for our ground component, there could be a substantial period of time in which their products or services are not available and Qualcomm no longer supports its products and services.

We also depend on Thales Alenia Space for the construction of second-generation low-earth orbit satellites and the Satellite Operations Control Centers, Telemetry Command Units and In Orbit Test Equipment (collectively, the "Control Network Facility") for our second-generation satellite constellation. Although Thales Alenia Space has only constructed or begun construction on 25 of the 48 satellites, if we were to cancel the remainder of the contract there could be a substantial period of time in which their services would not be available if we were to need to construct additional satellites.

Additionally, we depend on the Launch Provider for the launch of our second-generation satellites and certain pre and post-launch services. The Launch Provider agreed to make four launches of six satellites each and one optional launch of six satellites each. Although we may contract separately with the Launch Provider or another provider of launch services after the Launch Provider's firm launch commitments are fulfilled, there could be a substantial period of time in which launch services would not be available.

Pursuing strategic transactions may cause us to incur additional risks.

We may pursue acquisitions, joint ventures or other strategic transactions on an opportunistic basis. We may face costs and risks arising from any such transactions, including integrating a new business into our business or managing a joint venture. These may include legal, organizational, financial and other costs and risks.

In addition, if we were to choose to engage in any major business combination or similar strategic transaction, we may require significant external financing in connection with the transaction. Depending on market conditions, investor perceptions of us and other factors, we may not be able to obtain capital on acceptable terms, in acceptable amounts or at appropriate times to implement any such transaction. Any such financing, if obtained, may further dilute our existing stockholders.

Restrictive covenants in our Facility Agreement impose restrictions that may limit our operating and financial flexibility.

Our Facility Agreement contains a number of significant restrictions and covenants that limit our ability to:

- incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends or make distributions to our stockholders;
- make investments, acquisitions or capital expenditures;
- repurchase or redeem capital stock or subordinated indebtedness;
- grant liens on our assets;
- incur restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to make other payments to us;
- enter into transactions with our affiliates;
- merge or consolidate with other entities or transfer all or substantially all of our assets; and
- transfer or sell assets.

Complying with these restrictive covenants, as well as the financial covenants in the Facility Agreement and those that may be contained in any agreements governing future indebtedness, may impair our ability to finance our operations or capital needs or to take advantage of other favorable business opportunities. Our ability to comply with these covenants will depend on our future performance, which may be affected by events beyond our control. If we violate any of these covenants and are unable to obtain waivers, we would be in default under the agreement and payment of the indebtedness could be accelerated or prohibit us from utilizing the Facility Agreement until the default has been remediated. The acceleration of our indebtedness under one agreement may permit acceleration of indebtedness under other agreements that contain cross-default or cross-acceleration provisions. If our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not be able to repay our indebtedness or borrow sufficient funds to refinance it. Even if we are able to obtain new financing, it may not be on commercially reasonable terms or on terms that are acceptable to us. If our indebtedness is in default for any reason, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, complying with these covenants may also cause us to take actions that are not favorable to holders of our common stock and may make it more difficult for us to successfully execute our business plan and compete against companies who are not subject to such restrictions. Furthermore, our ability to draw on our credit facility is subject to conditions, including that no default is continuing or would be likely to result from a proposed plan. We may direct the transfer of funds from our contingent equity account to operating accounts only if no default has occurred and is continuing under our Facility Agreement; however, the administrative agent of the Facility

Agreement may, but is not obligated to, transfer contingent equity funds to our operating accounts in a default situation.

Our variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly.

Any borrowings under our Facility Agreement would be at a variable rate. In order to mitigate our variable rate interest risk, we entered into a ten year interest rate cap agreement. The interest rate cap agreements reflect a variable notional amount ranging from \$586.3 million to \$14.8 million at interest rates that provide coverage to us for exposure resulting from escalating interest rates over the term of the Facility Agreement. The interest rate cap provides limits on the six-month Libor rate (“Base Rate”) used to calculate the coupon interest on outstanding amounts on the Facility Agreement of 4.00% from the date of issuance through December 2012. Thereafter, the Base Rate is capped at 5.50% should the Base Rate not exceed 6.5%. Should the Base Rate exceed 6.5%, our Base Rate will be 1% less than the then six-month Libor rate. Regardless of our attempts to mitigate our exposure to interest rate fluctuations through the interest rate cap, we still have exposure for the uncapped amounts of the facility, which remain subject to a variable interest rate. As a result, an increase in interest rates could result in a substantial increase in interest expense, especially as the capped amount of the term loan decreases over time.

Recessionary indicators and continued volatility in global economic conditions and the financial markets have adversely affected and may continue to affect adversely sales of our new SPOT and Simplex products.

Financial markets continue to be uncertain and could significantly adversely impact global economic conditions. As a result, consumer confidence and demand have declined substantially. These conditions could lead to further reduced consumer spending in the foreseeable future, especially for discretionary travel and related products. A substantial portion of the potential addressable market for our SPOT consumer retail products and services relates to recreational users, such as mountain climbers, campers, kayakers, sport fishermen and wilderness hikers. These potential customers may reduce their activities or their spending due to economic conditions, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The failure to attract and retain skilled personnel could impair our operations.

In July 2010, we announced our intention to relocate substantial portions of our operations to a facility in Louisiana. This has led to significant personnel turnover in all areas of our business. Our performance is substantially dependent on the performance and institutional knowledge of our senior management and key scientific and technical personnel. The employment of these individuals and our other personnel is terminable at will with short or no notice. The loss of the services of any member of our senior management, scientific or technical staff may significantly delay or prevent the achievement of business objectives by diverting management's attention to transition matters and identification of suitable replacements, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Lack of availability of electronic components from the electronics industry, as needed in our subscriber products, our gateways, and our satellites, could delay or adversely impact our operations.

We rely upon the availability of components, materials and piece parts from the electronics industry. The electronics industry is subject to occasional shortages in parts availability depending on fluctuations in supply and demand. Industry shortages may result in delayed shipments of materials, or increased prices, or both. As a consequence, elements of our operation which use electronic parts, such as our subscriber products, our gateways and our satellites, could be subject to delays or cost increases, or both.

Changes in international trade regulations and other risks associated with foreign trade could adversely affect the Company's sourcing.

We source our products primarily from foreign contract manufacturers, with the largest concentration being in China. The adoption of regulations related to the importation of product, including quotas, duties, taxes and other charges or restrictions on imported goods, and changes in U.S. customs procedures could result in an increase in the cost of our products. Delays in customs clearance of goods or the disruption of international transportation lines used by us could result in our being unable to deliver goods to customers in a timely manner or the potential loss of sales altogether.

#### Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Failure to satisfy the NASDAQ Stock Market listing requirements may result in our common stock being removed from listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Our voting common stock is currently listed on the Global Select Market of the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol "GSAT." For continued inclusion on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, we must generally maintain, among other requirements, either (a) shareholders' equity of at least \$10 million, a minimum closing bid price of \$1.00 per share and a market value of our public float of at least \$5 million; or (b) market capitalization of at least \$50 million, a



minimum closing bid price of \$1.00 per share and a market value of our public float of at least \$15 million. If we fail to meet the minimum closing bid price or the minimum market value standards described above for at least 30 consecutive trading days, our common stock could be at risk of being removed from listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. If our common stock were removed from listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, our common stock may be transferred to the NASDAQ Capital Market if we satisfy the listing criteria for the NASDAQ Capital Market, or trading of our common stock may be conducted in the over-the-counter market in the so-called "pink sheets" or, if available, the National Association of Securities Dealer's "Electronic Bulletin Board." Consequently, broker-dealers may be less willing or able to sell and/or make a market in our common stock, which may make it more difficult for shareholders to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations for the price of, our common stock. Removal of our common stock from listing on the NASDAQ Stock Market may also make it more difficult for us to raise capital through the sale of our securities.

If our common stock is not listed on a U.S. national stock exchange, such as NASDAQ, or approved for quotation and trading on a national automated dealer quotation system or established automated over-the-counter trading market, holders of our 5.75% Notes and 8% Notes will have the option to require us to repurchase the Notes, which we may not have sufficient financial resources to do. In addition, if our common stock is not listed on a U.S. national stock exchange, we will be obligated to make any earn-out payments for the Axonn acquisition in cash rather than common stock.

Restrictive covenants in our Facility Agreement do not allow us to pay dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

We do not expect to pay cash dividends on our common stock. Our Facility Agreement currently prohibits the payment of cash dividends. Any future dividend payments are within the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on, among other things, our results of operations, working capital requirements, capital expenditure requirements, financial condition, contractual restrictions, business opportunities, anticipated cash needs, provisions of applicable law and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant. We may not generate sufficient cash from operations in the future to pay dividends on our common stock.

The market price of our common stock is volatile and there is a limited market for our shares.

The trading price of our common stock is subject to wide fluctuations. Factors affecting the trading price of our common stock may include:

- actual or anticipated variations in our operating results;
- further failure in the performance of our current or future satellites or a delay in the launch of our second-generation satellites;
- changes in financial estimates by research analysts, or any failure by us to meet or exceed any such estimates, or changes in the recommendations of any research analysts that elect to follow our common stock or the common stock of our competitors;
- actual or anticipated changes in economic, political or market conditions, such as recessions or international currency fluctuations;
  - actual or anticipated changes in the regulatory environment affecting our industry;
  - actual or anticipated sales of common stock by our controlling stockholder or others;
  - changes in the market valuations of our industry peers; and
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, divestitures, joint ventures or other strategic initiatives.

The trading price of our common stock might also decline in reaction to events that affect other companies in our industry even if these events do not directly affect us. Our stockholders may be unable to resell their shares of our common stock at or above the initial purchase price. Additionally, because we are a controlled company there is a limited market for our common stock and we cannot assure our stockholders that a trading market will develop further or be maintained.

Trading volume for our common stock historically has been low. Sales of significant amounts of shares of our common stock in the public market could lower the market price of our stock.

The future issuance of additional shares of our common stock could cause dilution of ownership interests and adversely affect our stock price.

We may in the future issue our previously authorized and unissued securities, resulting in the dilution of the ownership interests of our current stockholders. We are authorized to issue 1.0 billion shares of common stock (135.0 million are designated as nonvoting), of which approximately 290.7 million shares of voting common stock and 19.3 million shares of nonvoting common stock were issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and 690.0 million were available for future issuance. The potential issuance of such additional shares of common stock, whether directly or pursuant to any conversion right of any convertible securities, may create downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock. We may also issue additional shares of our common stock or other securities that are convertible into or exercisable for common stock for capital raising or other business purposes. Future sales of substantial amounts of common stock, or the perception that sales could occur, could have a material adverse effect on

the price of our common stock.

We have issued and may issue shares of preferred stock or debt securities with greater rights than our common stock.

Subject to the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, our certificate of incorporation authorizes our board of directors to issue one or more series of preferred stock and set the terms of the preferred stock without seeking any further approval from holders of our common stock. Currently, there are 100 million shares of preferred stock authorized; one share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock was issued and subsequently converted to shares of voting and nonvoting common stock during 2009. Any preferred stock that is issued may rank ahead of our common stock in terms of dividends, priority and liquidation premiums and may have greater voting rights than holders of our common stock.

If persons engage in short sales of our common stock, the price of our common stock may decline.

Selling short is a technique used by a stockholder to take advantage of an anticipated decline in the price of a security. A significant number of short sales or a large volume of other sales within a relatively short period of time can create downward pressure on the market price of a security. Further sales of common stock could cause even greater declines in the price of our common stock due to the number of additional shares available in the market, which could encourage short sales that could further undermine the value of our common stock. Holders of our securities could, therefore, experience a decline in the value of their investment as a result of short sales of our common stock.

Provisions in our charter documents and credit agreement and provisions of Delaware law may discourage takeovers, which could affect the rights of holders of our common stock.

Provisions of Delaware law and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws and our Facility Agreement and indenture could hamper a third party's acquisition of us or discourage a third party from attempting to acquire control of us. These provisions include:

- the absence of cumulative voting in the election of our directors, which means that the holders of a majority of our common stock may elect all of the directors standing for election;
- the ability of our board of directors to issue preferred stock with voting rights or with rights senior to those of the common stock without any further vote or action by the holders of our common stock;
  - the division of our board of directors into three separate classes serving staggered three-year terms;
- the ability of our stockholders, at such time when Thermo Capital Partners LLC and its affiliates ("Thermo") does not own a majority of our outstanding capital stock entitled to vote in the election of directors, to remove our directors only for cause and only by the vote of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote in the election of directors;
- prohibitions, at such time when Thermo does not own a majority of our outstanding capital stock entitled to vote in the election of directors, on our stockholders acting by written consent;
- prohibitions on our stockholders calling special meetings of stockholders or filling vacancies on our board of directors;
- the requirement, at such time when Thermo does not own a majority of our outstanding capital stock entitled to vote in the election of directors, that our stockholders must obtain a super-majority vote to amend or repeal our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or bylaws;
- change of control provisions in our Facility Agreement, which provide that a change of control will constitute an event of default and, unless waived by the lenders, will result in the acceleration of the maturity of all indebtedness under the credit agreement;
- change of control provisions relating to our 5.75% Notes and 8% Notes, which provide that a change of control will permit holders of the Notes to demand immediate repayment; and
- change of control provisions in our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, which provide that a change of control may accelerate the vesting of all outstanding stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock.

We also are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which, subject to certain exceptions, prohibits us from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder, as defined in that section, for a period of three years following the date on which that stockholder became an interested stockholder. This provision does not apply to Thermo, which became our principal stockholder prior to our initial public offering.

These provisions also could make it more difficult for you and our other stockholders to elect directors and take other corporate actions, and could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

We are controlled by Thermo, whose interests may conflict with yours.

As of December 31, 2010, Thermo owned approximately 66% of our common stock outstanding and approximately 64% of our voting power. Additionally, Thermo owns warrants and 8.00% Notes that may be converted into or exercised for additional shares of common stock. Thermo is able to control the election of all of the members of our board of directors and the vote on substantially all other matters, including significant corporate transactions such as the approval of a merger or other transaction involving our sale.

We have depended substantially on Thermo to provide capital to finance our business. In 2006 and 2007, Thermo purchased an aggregate of \$200 million of common stock at prices substantially above market. On December 17,

2007, Thermo assumed all of the obligations and was assigned all of the rights (other than indemnification rights) of the administrative agent and the lenders under our amended and restated credit agreement. To fulfill the conditions precedent to our Facility Agreement, in 2009, Thermo converted the loans outstanding under the credit agreement into equity and terminated the credit agreement. In addition, Thermo and its affiliates deposited \$60.0 million in a contingent equity account to fulfill a condition precedent for borrowing under the Facility Agreement, purchased \$11.4 million of our 8% Notes, and loaned us \$25.0 million to fund our debt service reserve account under the Facility Agreement.

Thermo is controlled by James Monroe III, our chairman. Through Thermo, Mr. Monroe holds equity interests in, and serves as an executive officer or director of, a diverse group of privately-owned businesses not otherwise related to us. Although Mr. Monroe receives no compensation from us, he has advised us that he intends to devote whatever portion of his time is necessary to perform his duties as our chairman. We do reimburse Thermo and Mr. Monroe for certain expenses they incur in connection with our business.

The interests of Thermo may conflict with the interests of our other stockholders. Thermo may take actions it believes will benefit its equity investment in us or loans to us even though such actions might not be in your best interests as a holder of our common stock.

As a "controlled company," we qualify for, and rely on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements.

Thermo owns common stock representing more than a majority of the voting power in election of our directors. As a result, we are considered a "controlled company" within the meaning of the corporate governance standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market. Under these rules, a "controlled company" may elect not to comply with certain corporate governance requirements, including the requirement that a majority of its board of directors consist of independent directors and the requirement that it have a compensation committee and a nominating/corporate governance committee that are composed entirely of independent directors. We have elected to be treated as a controlled company and thus utilize these exemptions. As a result, we do not have a majority of independent directors nor do we have compensation and nominating/corporate governance committees consisting entirely of independent directors. Accordingly, you do not have the same protection afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NASDAQ corporate governance requirements.

Our pre-emptive rights offering, which we may commence in the future, is not in strict compliance with the technical requirements of our prior certificate of incorporation.

Our certificate of incorporation as in effect when we entered into the irrevocable standby stock purchase agreement with Thermo in 2006 provided that stockholders who are accredited investors (as defined under the Securities Act) were entitled to pre-emptive rights with respect to the transaction with Thermo. We may offer our stockholders as of June 15, 2006 who are accredited investors the opportunity to participate in the transaction contemplated by the irrevocable standby stock purchase agreement with Thermo on a pro rata basis on substantially the same terms as Thermo. Some of our stockholders could allege that the offering does not comply fully with the terms of our prior certificate of incorporation. Although we believe any variance from the requirements of our former certificate of incorporation is immaterial and that we had valid reasons for delaying the pre-emptive rights offering until after our initial public offering, a court may not agree with our position if these stockholders allege that we have violated their pre-emptive rights. In that case, we cannot predict the type of remedy the court could award such stockholders.

The pre-emptive rights offering, which we are required to make to our existing stockholders, will be done on a registered basis, and may negatively affect the trading price of our stock.

The pre-emptive rights offering will be made pursuant to a registration statement filed with, and potentially reviewed by, the SEC. After giving effect to waivers that we have already received, up to 785,328 shares of our common stock may be purchased if the pre-emptive rights offering is fully subscribed. Such shares may be purchased at approximately \$16.17 per share, regardless of the trading price of our common stock. The nature of the pre-emptive rights offering may negatively affect the trading price of our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not Applicable

## Item 2. Properties

Our principal headquarters are located in Covington, Louisiana, where we currently lease approximately 27,000 square feet of office space. We own or lease the facilities described in the following table (in approximate square feet):

Location	Country	Sq Feet	Facility Use	Owned/Leased
El Dorado Hills, California	USA	11,000	Satellite and Ground Control Center	Leased
Mississauga, Ontario	Canada	18,200	Canada Office	Leased
Milpitas, California	USA	55,300	Satellite and Ground Control Center	Leased
Covington, Louisiana	USA	27,000	Corporate Office	Leased
Covington, Louisiana	USA	10,000	SPOT Equipment Storage Facility	Leased
Dublin	Ireland	1,700	Europe Office	Leased
Caracas	Venezuela	2,200	Venezuela Office	Leased
Panama City	Panama	1,100	GAT Office	Leased
Seletar Satellite Earth Station	Singapore	4,500	Gateway	Leased
Clifton, Texas	USA	10,000	Gateway	Owned
Sebring, Florida	USA	9,000	Gateway	Leased
Barrio of Las Palmas, Cabo Rojo	Puerto Rico	6,000	Gateway	Owned
Aussaguel	France	4,600	Gateway	Leased
Los Velasquez, Edo Miranda	Venezuela	9,700	Gateway	Owned
Wasilla, Alaska	USA	5,000	Gateway	Owned
Smith Falls, Ontario	Canada	6,500	Gateway	Owned
High River, Alberta	Canada	6,500	Gateway	Owned
Managua	Nicaragua	10,900	Gateway	Owned
Manaus	Brazil	1,900	Gateway	Owned
Presidente Prudente	Brazil	1,300	Gateway	Owned
Rio de Janeiro	Brazil	4,000	Brazil Office	Leased
Petrolina	Brazil	2,500	Gateway	Owned

Our owned properties in Clifton, Texas and Wasilla, Alaska are encumbered by liens in favor of the administrative agent under our Facility Agreement for the benefit of the lenders thereunder. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis — Contractual Obligations and Commitments."

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

For a description of our material pending legal and regulatory proceedings and settlements, see Note 9 — Commitments and Contingencies to our consolidated financial statements.

## Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## Common Stock Information

Our common stock trades on the Global Select Market of the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol "GSAT." The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices for our common stock as reported for each fiscal quarter during the periods indicated.

Quarter Ended:	High	Low
March 31, 2009	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.20
June 30, 2009	\$ 1.76	\$ 0.40
September 30, 2009	\$ 1.09	\$ 0.76
December 31, 2009	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.64
March 31, 2010	\$ 1.42	\$ 0.93
June 30, 2010	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.32
September 30, 2010	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.41
December 31, 2010	\$ 1.91	\$ 1.39

As of March 25, 2011, there were 292,200,731 shares of our common stock outstanding, which were held by 100 holders of record.

## Dividend Information

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. Our Facility Agreement prohibits us from paying dividends. We currently intend to retain any future earnings and do not expect to pay any dividends in the foreseeable future.

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table presents our selected consolidated financial data for the periods indicated. We derived the historical data from our audited consolidated financial statements.

You should read the data set forth below together with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The financial data is in thousands.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
<b>Statement of Operations Data:</b>					
Revenues	\$67,941	\$64,279	\$86,055	\$98,398	\$136,671
Operating income (loss)	(59,769 )	(53,791 )	(57,710 )	(24,632 )	15,663
Other income (expense)	(37,302 )	(21,148 )	32,635	(429 )	(6,111 )
Income (loss) before income taxes	(97,071 )	(74,939 )	(25,075 )	(25,061 )	9,552
Net income (loss)	(97,467 )	(74,923 )	(22,792 )	(27,925 )	23,623
<b>Balance Sheet Data (end of period):</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	33,017	67,881	12,357	37,554	43,698



Edgar Filing: Globalstar, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total assets	1,386,808	1,266,640	816,878	512,975	331,701
Long-term debt	664,543	463,551	238,345	50,000	417
Redeemable common stock	—	—	—	—	4,949
Ownership equity	535,418	595,792	445,397	405,544	260,697

28

---

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and applicable notes to our consolidated financial statements and other information included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including risk factors disclosed in Part I, Item IA. The following information contains forward-looking statements, which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, our actual results may differ from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. See "Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our first-generation satellites have experienced various anomalies over time, including degradation in the performance of the solid-state power amplifiers which adversely affects the ability of these satellites to provide two-way, or Duplex, communications services. Accordingly, our operations and liquidity have been adversely affected. We have initiated plans to improve our operations and liquidity as discussed further below and in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. We believe we have sufficient liquidity to fund our current operations for at least the next 12 months. However, the successful execution of our plans is dependent upon many factors, some of which are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that any portion of our plans will be achieved. If we fail to obtain the necessary additional financing in a timely manner, the procurement and deployment of our additional second-generation satellites, ground upgrades, and ongoing operations will be materially adversely impacted.

### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements contains a description of the accounting policies used in the preparation of our financial statements. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis, including those related to revenue recognition; property and equipment; inventory; derivative instruments; litigation, claims and contingencies; allowance for doubtful accounts; pension plan; stock-based compensation; goodwill and intangible assets and income taxes. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual amounts could differ significantly from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions.

We define a critical accounting policy or estimate as one that is both important to our financial condition and results of operations and requires us to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates about matters that are uncertain. We believe that the following are the critical accounting policies and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. In addition, there are other items within our consolidated financial statements that require estimates but are not deemed critical as defined in this paragraph.

### Revenue Recognition

Our primary types of revenue include (i) service revenue from two-way voice communication and data transmissions and one-way data transmissions between a mobile or fixed device and (ii) subscriber equipment revenue from the sale of Duplex two-way transmission products, SPOT consumer retail products, and Simplex one-way transmission products. Additionally, we generate revenue by providing engineering and support services to certain customers. We provide Duplex, SPOT and Simplex services directly to customers and through resellers and independent gateway operators.

### Duplex

For our Duplex customers and resellers, we recognize revenue for monthly access fees in the period services are rendered. Access fees represent the minimum monthly charge for each line of service based on its associated rate plan. We also recognize revenue for airtime minutes in excess of the monthly access fees in the period such minutes are used. Under certain annual plans where customers prepay for a predetermined amount of minutes, we defer revenue until the minutes are used or the prepaid time period expires. Unused minutes accumulate until they expire, usually one year after activation, at which point revenue is recognized for any remaining unused minutes. For annual access fees charged for certain annual plans, revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the plan.

Credits granted to customers are expensed or charged against deferred revenue upon issuance.

Certain subscriber acquisition costs, including such items as dealer commissions, internal sales commissions and equipment subsidies, are expensed at the time of the related sale. Royalty payments are deferred and recognized as expense over the contract term.

#### SPOT and Simplex

We sell SPOT and Simplex services as annual plans and defer and recognize revenue ratably over the service term, beginning when the service is activated by the customer.

#### IGO

We earn a portion of our revenues through the sale of airtime minutes on a wholesale basis to independent gateway operators. We recognize revenue from services provided to independent gateway operators based upon airtime minutes used by their customers and contractual fee arrangements. If collection is uncertain, we recognize revenue when cash payment is received.

#### Equipment

Subscriber equipment revenue represents the sale of fixed and mobile user terminals, accessories and our SPOT and Simplex products. We recognize revenue upon shipment provided title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the fee is fixed and determinable and collection is probable.

#### Other

We also provide certain engineering services to assist customers in developing new technologies related to our system. We recognize the revenues associated with these services when the services are rendered, and we recognize the expenses when incurred. We recognize revenues and costs associated with long term engineering contracts on the percentage-of-completion basis of accounting.

#### Property and Equipment

Costs associated with the design, manufacture, test and launch of our low earth orbit satellites are capitalized. Capitalized costs associated with our satellites are tracked by fixed asset category and are allocated to each asset as it comes into service. For assets that are sold or retired, including satellites that are de-orbited and no longer providing services, we remove the estimated applicable cost and accumulated depreciation. We recognize a loss from an in-orbit failure of a satellite as an expense in the period it is determined that the satellite is not recoverable.

As our second-generation satellites are incorporated into the second-generation constellation, we will begin depreciating the satellites on the date each satellite is placed into service (the "In-Service Date") over their estimated lives. We evaluate the appropriateness of estimated useful lives assigned to our property and equipment and revise such lives to the extent warranted by changing facts and circumstances.

We review the carrying value of our assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the recorded value may not be recoverable. We look to current and future undiscounted cash flows, excluding financing costs, as primary indicators of recoverability. If we determine that an impairment exists, we calculate any related impairment loss based on fair value.

## Income Taxes

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. This method takes into account the differences between financial statement treatment and tax treatment of certain transactions. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Our deferred tax calculation requires us to make certain estimates about our future operations. Changes in state, federal and foreign tax laws, as well as changes in our financial condition or the carrying value of existing assets and liabilities, could affect these estimates. The effect of a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that the rate is enacted.

We are required to assess whether it is more likely than not that we will be able to realize some or all of our deferred tax assets. If we cannot determine that deferred tax assets are more likely than not recoverable, we are required to provide a valuation allowance against those assets. This assessment takes into account factors including: (a) the nature, frequency, and severity of current and cumulative financial reporting losses; (b) sources of estimated future taxable income; and (c) tax planning strategies.

## Derivative Instruments

We recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at their respective fair values. Recognized gains or losses on derivative instruments are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations.

We estimate the fair values of our derivative financial instruments using various techniques that are considered to be consistent with the objective of measuring fair values. In selecting the appropriate technique, we consider, among other factors, the nature of the instrument, the market risks that embodies it and the expected means of settlement. The fair value of our interest rate cap is determined using pricing models developed based on the LIBOR rate and other observable market data. That value is adjusted to reflect nonperformance risk of both the counterparty and the Company. For our warrants issued in conjunction with the availability fee for the Contingent Equity Agreement we use the Black-Sholes Pricing Model to determine fair value. For the conversion rights and features embedded within the 8.00% Notes and the warrants issued with the 8.00% Notes we use the Monte Carlo valuation technique to determine fair value. Valuations derived from these models are subject to ongoing internal and external verification and review. Estimating fair values of derivative financial instruments requires the development of significant and subjective estimates that may, and are likely to, change over the duration of the instrument with related changes in internal and external market factors. Our financial position and results of operations may vary materially from quarter-to-quarter based on conditions other than our operating revenues and expenses.

## Inventory

Inventory consists of purchased products, including fixed and mobile user terminals and accessories. We state inventory transactions at the lower of cost or market. At the end of each quarter, we review product sales and returns from the previous twelve months and write off any excess and obsolete inventory. We compute cost using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. We record inventory allowances for inventories with a lower market value or that are slow moving in the period of determination.

## Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of some of our customers to make required payments. We review these estimated allowances on a case by case basis, analyzing the customer's payment history and information regarding the customer's creditworthiness known to us. In addition, we record a reserve based on the size and age of all receivable balances against those balances that do not have specific reserves. If the financial condition of our customers deteriorates, resulting in their inability to make payments, we would record additional allowances.

## Pension Plan

Our pension benefit obligation and expense is calculated using actuarial models. Critical assumptions and estimates used in the actuarial calculations include discount rate, expected rate of return on plan assets and other participant data, such as demographic factors, mortality, and termination

Discount rates are determined annually and are based on our calculated average of rates of return of long-term corporate bonds. Discount rates were based on Moody's and Citigroup's annualized yield curve index as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. The discount rate used at the measurement date decreased to 5.25% in 2010 from 5.60% in 2009. A 100 basis point increase in our discount rate would reduce our benefit obligation by \$1.7 million.

Expected long-term rates of return on plan assets are determined and are based on an evaluation of our plan assets, historical trends and experience, taking into account current and expected market conditions. Plan assets are

comprised primarily of equity and debt securities. The rate of return on plan assets has remained consistent at 7.50% from 2009 to 2010. To determine the rates of return, we consider historical experience and expected future performance of plan assets.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

To measure compensation expense, we use valuation models which require estimates such as, forfeitures, vesting terms (calculated based on market conditions associated with a certain award), volatility, and risk free interest rates. Additionally, we recognize stock-based compensation expense over the requisite service periods of the awards on a straight-line basis, which is generally commensurate with the vesting term.

#### Goodwill and Intangible Assets

We test goodwill for possible impairment on an annual basis and at any other time if events occur or circumstances indicate that the current carrying amount of goodwill may not be recoverable. Our annual testing of goodwill is based on comparing the carrying value of our reporting unit to our estimate of the fair value of the reporting unit at December 31. We estimate the fair value of the Company using a market approach and discounted cash flow valuation technique and compare this estimate to the carrying value of the Company. A significant amount of judgment is involved in performing these evaluations.

We also perform an analysis on our intangible assets to test for impairment whenever events occur that indicate an impairment could exist.

## Litigation, Commitments and Contingencies

We are subject to various claims and lawsuits that arise in the ordinary course of business. Estimating liabilities and costs associated with these matters requires judgment and assessment based on professional knowledge and experience of our management and legal counsel. The ultimate resolution of any such exposure may vary from earlier estimates as further facts and circumstances become known.

## Performance Indicators

Our management reviews and analyzes several key performance indicators in order to manage our business and assess the quality of and potential variability of our earnings and cash flows. These key performance indicators include:

- total revenue, which is an indicator of our overall business growth;
- subscriber growth and churn rate, which are both indicators of the satisfaction of our customers;
- average monthly revenue per unit, or ARPU, which is an indicator of our pricing and ability to obtain effectively long-term, high-value customers. We calculate ARPU separately for each of our Duplex, Simplex, SPOT, and IGO businesses;
- operating income and EBITDA, which is an indication of our financial performance;
- capital expenditures, which are an indicator of future revenue growth potential and cash requirements.

## Comparison of the Results of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

### Revenue

Total revenue increased by \$3.6 million, or approximately 6%, to \$67.9 million for 2010 from \$64.3 million in 2009. We attribute this increase to higher service and equipment revenues as a result of gains in our SPOT and Simplex subscriber base. The increase in our SPOT and Simplex sales was partially offset by decreases in service revenue and equipment sales in our Duplex business, which continues to be affected by our two-way communication issues.

The following table sets forth amounts and percentages of our revenue by type of service for 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

	Year Ended December 31, 2010		Year Ended December 31, 2009	
	Revenue	% of Total Revenue	Revenue	% of Total Revenue
<b>Service Revenue:</b>				
Duplex	\$ 23,294	34%	\$ 29,517	46%
SPOT	14,756	22	9,557	15
Simplex	4,583	7	3,873	6
IGO	1,140	2	1,191	2
Other	7,164	10	6,090	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>50,937</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>50,228</b>	<b>78</b>

The following table sets forth amounts and percentages of our revenue for equipment sales for 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
--	---------------------------------	---------------------------------



	Revenue	% of Total Revenue	Revenue	% of Total Revenue
Subscriber Equipment Sales:				
Duplex	\$ 2,174	3%	\$ 3,086	5%
SPOT	8,934	13	6,561	10
Simplex	5,582	8	557	1
Other	314	1	3,847	6
Total	17,004	25	14,051	22

The following table sets forth our average number of subscribers, ARPU, and ending number of subscribers by type of revenue for 2010 and 2009. The following numbers are subject to immaterial rounding inherent in calculating averages.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
Average number of subscribers for the period:		
Duplex	97,708	103,429
SPOT	125,576	80,190
Simplex	120,253	109,044
IGO	60,433	69,940
ARPU (monthly):		
Duplex	\$ 19.87	\$ 23.78
SPOT	9.79	9.93
Simplex	3.18	2.96
IGO	1.57	1.42

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Ending number of subscribers:		
Duplex	95,879	99,027
SPOT	151,752	103,514
Simplex	131,313	115,383
IGO	52,483	64,723
Other	7,826	7,947
Total	439,253	390,594

#### Service Revenue

Duplex revenue decreased approximately 21% in 2010 from 2009. Our two-way communication issues continue to affect adversely our Duplex revenue. Despite our efforts to maintain our Duplex subscriber base by lowering prices for our Duplex products, our subscriber base decreased by approximately 3% during 2010. Our ARPU for Duplex in 2010 decreased by approximately 16% to \$19.87 from \$23.78 in 2009. As we launch and place into service our second-generation satellites in 2011, our two-way communication reliability will improve, and we expect duplex service revenue to increase in 2011.

SPOT revenue increased approximately 54% in 2010 from 2009. We generated increased revenue from our SPOT satellite GPS messenger and other SPOT consumer retail services during the year primarily as a result of the release of SPOT 2. Our SPOT subscriber base increased by approximately 47% during 2010. Our ARPU for SPOT in 2010 decreased by 1% to \$9.79 from \$9.93 in 2009.

Simplex revenue increased approximately 18% in 2010 from 2009. Our Simplex subscribers increased 14% during 2010. Our ARPU for Simplex in 2010 increased by 7% to \$3.18 from \$2.96 in 2009. These increases relate primarily to the Axonn acquisition in 2009, which had a full year of impact in 2010.

#### Subscriber Equipment Sales

Duplex equipment sales decreased by approximately 30%, in 2010 from 2009. This decrease in equipment sales relates primarily to our two-way communication issues.

SPOT equipment sales increased approximately 36% in 2010 from 2009. This increase relates to our sales of our SPOT 2 satellite GPS messenger and SPOT Communicator products during the year.

Simplex equipment sales increased approximately 902% in 2010 from 2009. This increase in sales of our one-way transmission products relates primarily to our Axonn acquisition in December 2009. This acquisition resulted in new sales of data machine-to-machine (M2M) products, including STX2, MMT, and SMARTONE asset tracking solutions.

Other equipment sales decreased approximately 92% in 2010 from 2009. This decrease relates primarily to revenue recognized under the percentage of completion method of accounting for the sale and construction of gateway assets in 2009.

#### Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses increased \$9.6 million, or approximately 8%, to \$127.7 million for 2010 from \$118.1 million in 2009. The increase is primarily due to increases in cost of subscriber equipment sales, asset impairment, and depreciation, amortization and accretion expense. These increases were offset by decreases in our cost of services and marketing, general and administrative expenses.

#### Cost of Services

Cost of services decreased \$5.0 million, or approximately 14%, to \$31.2 million for 2010 from \$36.2 million in 2009. Cost of services is comprised primarily of network operating costs, which are generally fixed in nature. The decrease during the year was primarily related to reductions in stock-based compensation due to forfeitures. Other decreases were related to outsourced technical support for our gateways during 2009 which did not occur in 2010 and reductions in research and development expense during the year. These decreases were offset by increases in costs incurred primarily related to the development of new products.

#### Cost of Subscriber Equipment Sales

Cost of subscriber equipment sales increased \$3.3 million, or approximately 33%, to \$13.2 million for 2010 from \$9.9 million in 2009. Increases during the year were due to increased equipment revenue (21%), as well as, increased expediting fees paid to suppliers to accelerate the delivery of products experiencing extended lead time and increases related to acquisition adjustments for some of our Simplex products. These increases were offset by increased margins on SPOT units related to the Axonn acquisition in 2009.

#### Reduction in the Value of Equipment

Reduction in the value of equipment increased \$10.0 million to \$10.9 million for 2010 from \$0.9 million in 2009. The increase was related to an impairment charge of \$10.9 million recorded to adjust the cost of certain products that require the use of our two-way communication services. Impairment charges on inventory represents write-downs of our second-generation phones and related accessory inventory. These charges were recognized after assessment of our inventory quantities and our forecasted equipment sales and prices given the current and expected market conditions for this type of equipment.

#### Marketing, general and administrative

Marketing, general and administrative expenses decreased \$7.4 million, or approximately 15%, to \$41.8 million for 2010 from \$49.2 million in 2009. This decrease was primarily related to reductions in stock-based compensation due to forfeitures. Other decreases were related to lower marketing and advertising costs as well as lower legal fees incurred during the year.

#### Reduction in the Value of Assets

Reduction in the value of assets increased \$3.3 million, or 100%, during 2010 from 2009. We recognized an impairment charge to goodwill of \$2.7 million based on our annual impairment analysis. Additional reductions in the value of assets were related to gateway spare parts of \$0.5 million and other spare parts of \$0.1 million.

#### Depreciation, Amortization and Accretion

Depreciation, amortization, and accretion expense increased \$5.5 million, or approximately 25%, to \$27.4 million for 2010 from \$21.9 million in 2009. The increase relates primarily to the increase in depreciation expense due to the reduction in the useful lives of our eight spare satellites from 8 years to 6.5 years based on changes in the probability of functionality of Duplex services. Additional increases were due to the amortization of the intangible assets acquired from Axonn in December 2009 and the related accretion expense of the fair value of contingent consideration. Depreciation expenses also increased due to one of our second-generation satellites coming into service during November 2010.

#### Other Income (Expense)

##### Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased by \$1.7 million to \$5.0 million for 2010 from \$6.7 million in 2009. This decrease is due to conversion of notes to common stock in prior periods, which resulted in a write-off of a portion of the deferred financing costs at the time of conversion. This resulted in less amortization in the current period.

Derivative Loss

Derivative loss increased by \$14.4 million to \$30.0 million for 2010 compared to 2009. These losses are due to the fair value adjustment to our derivative assets and liabilities. The increase was primarily due to increases in our stock price over the year.

Other

Other income (expense) decreased by \$3.4 million to \$(2.7 million) of expense for 2010 from \$0.7 million of income in 2009. This decrease is primarily due to impairment of our investment in Open Range Communications of \$1.9 million, and losses on our equity method investments during 2010.

## Comparison of the Results of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

## Revenue

Total revenue decreased by \$21.8 million, or approximately 25%, to \$64.3 million for 2009 from \$86.1 million in 2008. We attribute this decrease primarily to lower service revenue which we believe stems from lower price service plans introduced in order to maintain our subscriber base despite our two-way communication issues and from reductions in sales of our Duplex equipment. Our ARPU for Duplex in 2009 decreased by 30% to \$23.78 from \$33.74 in 2008. Our ARPU for SPOT in 2009 increased by 8% to \$9.93 from \$9.20 in 2008.

The following table sets forth amounts and percentages of our revenue by type of service for 2009 and 2008 (in thousands).

	Year Ended December 31, 2009		Year Ended December 31, 2008	
	Revenue	% of Total Revenue	Revenue	% of Total Revenue
<b>Service Revenue:</b>				
Duplex	\$ 29,517	46 %	\$ 46,173	54 %
Simplex	3,873	6	3,689	4
SPOT	9,557	15	2,673	3
IGO	1,191	2	3,096	4
Other	6,090	9	6,163	7
<b>Total Service Revenue</b>	<b>50,228</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>61,794</b>	<b>72</b>

The following table sets forth amounts and percentages of our revenue for equipment sales for 2009 and 2008 (in thousands).

	Year Ended December 31, 2009		Year Ended December 31, 2008	
	Revenue	% of Total Revenue	Revenue	% of Total Revenue
<b>Subscriber Equipment Sales:</b>				
Duplex	\$ 3,086	5 %	\$ 9,955	11 %
Simplex	557	1	79	—
SPOT	6,561	10	9,398	11
Other	3,847	6	4,829	6
<b>Total Subscriber Equipment Sales</b>	<b>14,051</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24,261</b>	<b>28</b>

The following table sets forth our average number of subscribers and ARPU by type of revenue for 2009 and 2008. The following numbers are subject to immaterial rounding inherent in calculating averages.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
Average number of subscribers for the period:		

Edgar Filing: Globalstar, Inc. - Form 10-K

Duplex	103,429	114,044
Simplex	109,044	92,028
SPOT	80,190	24,200
IGO	69,940	78,478

ARPU (monthly):

Duplex	\$ 23.78	\$ 33.74
Simplex	\$ 2.96	\$ 3.34
SPOT	\$ 9.93	\$ 9.20
IGO	\$ 1.42	\$ 3.29

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Ending number of subscribers:		
Duplex	99,027	107,753
Simplex	115,383	103,447
SPOT	103,514	51,749
IGO	64,723	73,763
Other	7,947	7,618
Total	390,594	344,330

#### Service Revenue

Service revenue decreased \$11.6 million, or approximately 19%, to \$50.2 million for 2009, from \$61.8 million for 2008. Although our subscriber base grew 13% during 2009 to approximately 391,000, we experienced decreased Duplex ARPU resulting in lower service revenue. The primary reason for this decrease in our service revenue was the reduction of our prices in response to our two-way communication issues.

#### Subscriber Equipment Sales

Subscriber equipment sales decreased \$10.2 million, or approximately 42%, to \$14.1 million for 2009, from \$24.3 million for 2008. We attribute this decrease to reduced sales of our Duplex products to our two-way communication issues.

#### Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses decreased \$25.7 million, or approximately 18%, to \$118.1 million for 2009, from \$143.8 million for 2008. This decrease was due primarily to lower marketing, general and administrative costs due in part to our reductions in headcount, lower cost of goods sold as a result of lower equipment sales related to our Duplex products and reduced depreciation and amortization costs.

#### Cost of Services

Our cost of services for 2009 and 2008 were \$36.2 million and \$37.1 million, respectively. Our cost of services is comprised primarily of network operating costs, which are generally fixed in nature. The decrease in the cost of services during 2009 is due primarily to lower contract labor and other operating expenses partially offset by higher research and development expenses related to our next-generation ground component development.

#### Cost of Subscriber Equipment Sales

Cost of subscriber equipment sales decreased approximately \$7.5 million, or approximately 41%, to \$10.8 million for 2009, from \$18.3 million for 2008. This decrease was due primarily to lower sales of our Duplex products due to our two-way communication issues.

#### Marketing, General and Administrative

Marketing, general and administrative expenses decreased \$12.2 million, or approximately 20%, to \$49.2 million for 2009, from \$61.4 million for 2008. This decrease was due primarily to lower marketing and advertising costs, reduced stock based compensation costs and lower commissions related to the reduced revenue.

#### Depreciation, Amortization and Accretion

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased approximately \$5.1 million, or 19%, to \$21.9 million for 2009, from \$27.0 million for 2008. This decrease was due primarily to the first-generation satellite constellation reaching fully-depreciated status at December 31, 2008.

#### Other Income (Expense)



Other income increased by \$5.2 million for 2009 as compared to 2008. This change resulted primarily from an expense in 2008 due to unfavorable exchange rate on the euro denominated escrow account for our second-generation satellites procurement contract resulting from the appreciation of the U.S dollar in 2008. The gain in 2009 was due primarily to the favorable change in the exchange rate of the Canadian dollar.

#### Interest Expense

Interest income decreased by \$4.2 million to \$0.5 million for 2009, from \$4.7 million for 2008. This decrease was due to lower average cash balances on hand and lower interest rates as compared to the prior year.

#### Derivative Loss

For 2009, derivative loss was \$15.6 million compared to \$3.3 million in 2008. This increased loss resulted from fair value adjustments related to the derivatives from our 8.00% Notes, the adjustment of the exercise price of our warrants associated with our 8.00% Notes, which resulted in the number of shares of common stock subject to the warrants increasing by 16.2 million due to the issuance of stock as part of our acquisition of the assets of Axonn, and a decrease in the fair value of our interest rate cap agreement as a result of a decrease in market interest rates.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of liquidity are the remaining funds available under our Facility Agreement, cash on hand, cash flows from operations, if any, and our contingent equity account. Our principal liquidity requirements are to meet capital expenditure needs, including procuring and deploying our second-generation constellation, operating costs, working capital, and research and development. We may direct the transfer of funds from our contingent equity account to operating accounts only if no default has occurred and is continuing under our Facility Agreement; however, the administrative agent of the Facility Agreement may, but is not obligated to, transfer contingent equity funds to our operating accounts in a default situation.

### Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

The following table shows our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities for 2010, 2009, and 2008 (in thousands):

Statements of Cash Flows	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Net cash used by operating activities	\$ (23,338)	\$ (18,423)	\$ (30,585)
Net cash used in investing activities	(205,391)	(311,692)	(258,581)
Net cash from financing activities	194,670	386,756	252,533
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(805)	(1,117)	11,436
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ (34,864)	\$ 55,524	\$ (25,197)

### Cash Flows Used by Operating Activities

Net cash used by operating activities during 2010 was \$23.3 million compared to \$18.4 million in 2009. This increase in cash used was primarily the result of unfavorable changes in operating assets and liabilities during the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009. We continued to use cash to fund cash operating losses (operating losses after adjustments for non-cash expenses including depreciation, amortization, accretion, stock based compensation, impairment of assets, and changes in the fair values of derivative assets and liabilities).

Net cash used in operating activities during 2009 decreased to a cash outflow of \$18.4 million from an outflow of \$30.6 million for 2008. This decrease was due primarily to cost savings efforts in our general and administrative areas and reduced inventory purchases.

### Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities was \$205.4 million during 2010 compared to \$311.7 million during 2009. This decrease in cash used during 2010 when compared to 2009 was primarily the result of decreased payments related to the construction of our second-generation constellation during 2010 as the second-generation satellites neared completion.

We will continue to incur significant capital expenditures to complete the construction and launch of our second-generation satellite constellation and upgrade our gateways and other ground facilities. We have entered into various agreements to design, construct, and launch our satellites in the normal course of business. These capital expenditures will support our growth and the resiliency of our operations and will also support the delivery of new revenue streams.

Cash used in investing activities was \$311.7 million for 2009, compared to \$258.6 million in 2008. This increase was primarily the result of increased payments related to the construction of our second-generation satellites.

#### Cash Flows Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased by \$192.1 million to \$194.7 million during 2010 from \$386.8 million in 2009. The decrease was due primarily to lower funding needs related to the construction of our second-generation satellites and related ground facilities. We funded these activities by borrowing under our Facility Agreement. We spent approximately \$201.1 million on these projects in 2010 compared to approximately \$321.8 million during 2009. We also made \$0.1 million non-recurring debt financing payments in 2010 compared to \$63.1 million during 2009.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased by \$134.3 million to \$386.8 million in 2009 from \$252.5 million in 2008. This is a direct result of our increased borrowings, primarily from our Facility Agreement and 8% Notes, in 2009.

#### Capital Expenditures

##### First 24 Second-Generation Satellites and Satellite Operations Control Centers

We have entered into various agreements related to procuring and deploying the first 24 satellites of our second-generation constellation and upgrading our satellite operations control centers. The contracts related to these capital expenditures are further discussed in our contractual obligations and commitments section below. We have used portions of the proceeds from sales of common stock to Thermo, the proceeds from our initial public offering, the net proceeds from the sale of the 5.75% Notes and 8% Notes and borrowings under our credit facility with Thermo and the Facility Agreement to fund expenditures incurred through 2010.

We plan to fund the balance of the capital expenditures for the first 24 second-generation satellites and the satellite operations control centers upgrades through the use of the remaining funds available under our Facility Agreement, cash on hand, cash flows from operations (if any), our contingent equity agreement and other financing including additional equity or debt on terms not yet arranged. We may direct the transfer of funds from our contingent equity account to operating accounts only if no default has occurred and is continuing under our Facility Agreement; however, the administrative agent of the Facility Agreement may, but is not obligated to, transfer contingent equity funds to our operating accounts in a default situation.

The amount of capital expenditures incurred as of December 31, 2010 and estimated future capital expenditures related to the construction of the first 24 satellites of our second-generation constellation, satellite operations control centers and the launch services contract is presented in the table below (in millions, based on when cash payment is scheduled to be made, excluding capitalized interest):

Capital Expenditures	Payments through December 31,		Estimated Future Payments		Total
	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	
Thales Alenia Second-Generation Satellites	\$ 592	\$ 28	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 620
Thales Alenia Satellite Operations Control Centers	\$ 14	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 15
Arianespace Launch Services	\$ 192	\$ 24	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 216
Launch Insurance	\$ 12	\$ 28	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 40
Other Capital Expenditures and Capitalized Labor	\$ 29	\$ 5	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 34
Total	\$ 839	\$ 86	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 925

As of December 31, 2010, \$17.6 million of the above capital expenditures were recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

#### Next-Generation Gateways and Other Ground Facilities

We have also entered into various agreements related to upgrading our gateways and other ground facilities. The contracts related to these capital expenditures are discussed further in our contractual obligations and commitments section below. We have used portions of the proceeds from sales of common stock to Thermo, the proceeds from our initial public offering, the net proceeds from the sale of the 5.75% Notes and 8.00% Notes and borrowings under our credit facility with Thermo and the Facility Agreement to fund expenditures incurred through 2010.

We plan to fund the balance of the capital expenditures for upgrading our gateways and other ground facilities through cash flows from operations, our contingent equity agreement and proceeds from additional debt and equity financings not yet arranged. If we do not obtain such funds from cash flows from operations and from the proceeds of future debt and equity financings over the next 12 months, our ability to continue to procure the upgrade of our gateways and other ground facilities will be impaired. If future cash flows from operations are below our expectations or the costs of procurement are higher than expected, we will require even more external funding than planned. Our ability to obtain additional funding may be adversely impacted by a number of factors, including the global economic situation and credit markets. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain such additional liquidity on reasonable terms, or at all. We have not yet entered into any debt or equity financing arrangements. If we are not able to secure such funding, we would need to delay some or all of the procurement or terminate the existing contractual obligations. If we were to terminate our existing contractual obligations, there is a possibility that the costs incurred to date may not be recoverable and additional termination costs may be required.

The amount of actual and contractual capital expenditures related to the construction of the ground component and related costs, is presented in the table below (in millions, based on when cash payment is scheduled to be made, excluding capitalized interest):

Capital Expenditures	Payments through December		Estimated Future Payments		Total
	31, 2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	
Hughes second-generation ground component (including research and development expense)	\$ 50	\$ 37	\$ 16	\$ 1	\$ 104
Oceus Networks- ground network	\$ 2	\$ 6	\$ 15	\$ 5	\$ 28
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 52</b>	<b>\$ 43</b>	<b>\$ 31</b>	<b>\$ 6</b>	<b>\$ 132</b>

On March 21, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Hughes which extended to July 31, 2011 (or earlier if the Company obtains additional financing) the deadline for us to make all scheduled payments previously due prior to July 31, 2011. The deferred payments will incur interest at the rate of 10% per annum. If we do not make these required payments by July 31, 2011, we may terminate the contract for convenience. If the contract is terminated for convenience, then we must make a final payment of \$20.0 million in either cash or Globalstar common stock at our election. If we elect to make payment in Globalstar common stock, Hughes will have the option either to accept the common stock or instruct us to complete a block sale of Globalstar common stock and deliver the proceeds to Hughes.

On March 7, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Oceus which extended to February 23, 2012 (or earlier if the Company obtains additional financing) the deadline for us to make scheduled milestone payments which were previously due at various times during 2011. The milestones that have been or are expected to be completed and invoiced in 2011, which may be deferred to February 23, 2012, totaled \$6.2 million. The deferred payments will incur interest at a rate of 6.5% per annum.

As of December 31, 2010, \$10.3 million of the above capital expenditures were recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

The above table does not include other possible capital expenditures or capitalized labor.

#### Second 24 Second-Generation Satellites

While we have a contract with Thales to construct an additional 24 satellites, including one prototype satellite already constructed, we are currently negotiating with Thales to amend the current contract and define a new quantity of satellites with associated terms and conditions, including adjustments to price and schedule. Thales has already completed the procurement of certain long-lead time components and parts for six of these satellites and is currently holding these components and parts in storage.

The amount of actual and contractual capital expenditures in the original contract related to the construction of the second 24 satellites of our second-generation constellation is \$301 million. These contractual capital expenditures do not include launch services, launch insurance, and other capital expenditures and capitalized labor which has not been finalized at this time.

If we do not obtain funds from cash flows from operations and future debt and equity financings, our ability to procure the second 24 satellites of our second-generation constellation will be impaired. If future cash flows from operations are below our expectations or the costs of procurement is higher than expected, we will require even more external funding than planned. Our ability to obtain additional funding may be adversely impacted by a number of factors, including the global economic situation and related credit markets. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain such additional liquidity on reasonable terms, or at all. We have not yet entered into any debt or equity financing arrangements. If we are not able to secure such funding, we would need to delay some or all of the procurement or terminate the existing contractual obligations. If we were to terminate our existing contractual obligations, additional termination costs may be required.

#### Cash Position and Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2010, our total cash and cash equivalents were \$33.0 million and we had total indebtedness of \$664.5 million compared to total cash and cash equivalents and total indebtedness at December 31, 2009 of \$67.9 million and \$465.8 million, respectively.

#### Facility Agreement

On June 5, 2009, we entered into a \$586.3 million senior secured facility agreement (the "Facility Agreement") with a syndicate of bank lenders, including BNP Paribas, Natixis, Société Générale, Caylon, Crédit Industriel et Commercial as arrangers and BNP Paribas as the security agent and COFACE agent. Ninety-five percent of our obligations under the agreement are guaranteed by COFACE, the French export credit agency. The initial funding process of the COFACE Facility Agreement began on June 29, 2009 and was completed on July 1, 2009. At the time of closing, the new facility was comprised of:

- \$563.3 million tranche for future payments to and to reimburse us for amounts we previously paid to Thales Alenia Space for construction of our second-generation satellites. Such reimbursed amounts were used by us (a) to make payments to Arianespace for launch services, Hughes Networks Systems LLC for ground network equipment, software and satellite interface chips and Oceus Networks (formally known as Ericsson Federal Inc.) for ground system upgrades, (b) to provide up to \$150 million for our working capital and general corporate purposes and (c) to pay a portion of the insurance premium to COFACE; and
- \$23 million tranche that will be used to make payments to Arianespace for launch services and to pay a portion of the insurance premium to COFACE.

The facility will mature 96 months after the first repayment date. The facility bears interest at a floating LIBOR rate, capped at 4%, plus 2.07% through December 2012, increasing to 2.25% through December 2017 and 2.40% thereafter. Interest payments are due on a semi-annual basis.

On December 22, 2010, we entered into an amendment with the lenders and agent party thereto primarily due to the effects on Thales from an earthquake at one of their facilities. The amendment delays the first semi-annual principal repayment date by up to six months to the earlier of eight months after the launch of the 24th second-generation satellite or June 15, 2012; delays the final maturity date by the amount of the delay of the first principal repayment date; reduces the minimum adjusted consolidated EBITDA covenant requirements for all relevant periods from the

period ending December 31, 2010 through the period ending June 30, 2012; delays the effective date of the minimum debt service coverage ratio by six months to the period commencing on July 1, 2011 and ending December 31, 2012 (if no principal payment is required to be made in 2011); delays the last date for required in-orbit acceptance of 24 second-generation satellites by nine months to September 1, 2012; and requires in-orbit acceptance of 18 second-generation satellites by January 1, 2012.

The amended Facility Agreement, requires that:

- we not permit our capital expenditures (other than those funded with cash proceeds from insurance and condemnation events, equity issuances or the issuance of our stock to acquire certain assets) to exceed \$234.0 million in 2010 and \$391.0 million in 2009 (with unused amounts permitted to be carried over to subsequent years)
- following the Contingent Equity release date, we maintain a minimum liquidity of \$5.0 million;

we achieve for each period the following minimum adjusted consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Facility Agreement):

Period	Minimum Amount
1/1/10-12/31/10	\$ (15.0) million
7/1/10-6/30/11	\$ (15.0) million
1/1/11-12/31/11	\$ 2.5 million
7/1/11-6/30/12	\$ 17.5 million
1/1/12-12/31/12	\$ 55.0 million
7/1/12-6/30/13	\$ 65.0 million
1/1/13-12/31/13	\$ 78.0 million

beginning with the period of July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012, we maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio of 1.00:1, gradually increasing to a ratio of 1.50:1 through 2019; and

beginning in 2012, we maintain a maximum net debt to adjusted consolidated EBITDA ratio of 9.90:1, gradually decreasing to 2.50:1 through 2019.

Our obligations under the facility are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by all of our domestic subsidiaries and are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of our assets and those of our domestic subsidiaries (other than FCC licenses), including patents and trademarks, 100% of the equity of our domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the equity of certain foreign subsidiaries.

Amounts repaid may not be reborrowed. We must repay the loans (a) in full upon a change in control or (b) partially (i) if there are excess cash flows on certain dates, (ii) upon certain insurance and condemnation events and (iii) upon certain asset dispositions. In addition to the financial covenants described above, the Facility Agreement places limitations on our ability and our subsidiaries to incur debt, create liens, dispose of assets, carry out mergers and acquisitions, make loans, investments, distributions or other transfers and capital expenditures or enter into certain transactions with affiliates.

By letter dated September 16, 2010, the COFACE Agent notified us that we had failed to deliver to the COFACE Agent a certified copy of the relevant license not later than twenty-five (25) business days prior to the first launch of the satellites, constituting a “breach” that had triggered a default. As such, the COFACE Agent instituted a draw stop, prohibiting us from utilizing the Facility Agreement until the default had been remediated or waived, but did not take any action to accelerate the debt. The COFACE Agent provided a remedy period to cure the breach by September 30, 2010. On October 28, 2010, we entered into an amendment and cancellation agreement with the COFACE bank syndicate, which canceled the original notification of default entirely and amended the Facility Agreement so that we are required to provide (1) a satellite communication license issued by French regulatory authorities no later than November 30, 2010, and (2) a satellite communication license issued by U.S. regulatory authorities no later than February 28, 2011. Under the amendment, we were prohibited from borrowing under the Facility Agreement until we provided the required license issued by the French regulatory authorities, and once that was provided, we could resume borrowing while pursuing the license from the U.S. authorities. The amendment also includes a provision that we and the COFACE bank syndicate agent agree that failing to provide either of the licenses would constitute an event of default.

By Order dated October 28, 2010, the French Ministry for the Economy, Industry and Employment authorized Globalstar Europe SARL, a wholly owned subsidiary of Globalstar, Inc., to operate our second-generation satellite constellation. On November 23, 2010, the French Postal and Electronic Communications Regulatory Authority



granted a license to Globalstar Europe SARL, to use certain frequency bands to provide mobile satellite service from 1610-1621.35 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz. This ended the prohibition on our borrowings under the Facility Agreement.

On March 16, 2011, we entered into Amendment Letter No.5 to the Facility Agreement, which waived the obligation of us to deliver to the COFACE agent a satellite communication license issued by U.S. regulatory authorities no later than February 28, 2011. Under the amendment, we were required to deliver to the COFACE agent a special temporary authority (“STA”) granted by the FCC by no later than March 31, 2011, which licenses us to commercially operate our ground stations in connection with our existing and future satellites in the U.S. for a period of no less than 90 days. We were required to provide a satellite communication license issued by U.S. regulatory authorities in any event not later than the earlier of September 15, 2011 or the expiration of the STA.

On March 29, 2011, we entered into Amendment No. 6 to the Facility Agreement, which removed the U.S License requirement of Amendments No. 3 and No. 5 and required that the conditional permanent U.S. license received by us on March 18, 2011 be in full force not later than August 31, 2011, which requires the registration of our second-generation satellites by France under the Outer Space Treaty and the UN Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space. The amendment also included a provision that failing to provide the permanent license would constitute an event of default. We believe that we will be able to provide the required permanent U.S. license within the designated period although we cannot assure you that this will be achieved.

#### Short Term Liquidity Needs

At January 1, 2011, our principal short-term liquidity needs were:

- to make payments to complete the procurement of our first 24 second-generation satellites and upgrading our satellite operations control centers;

to make payments related to our three remaining launches for the first 24 second-generation satellites (the remaining 18 satellites);

to make payments related to the construction of our Control Network Facility and second-generation ground component;

- to fund our committed working capital;
- to fund future operations; and

to make payments to procure and deploy our second 24 second-generation satellites and to upgrade our gateways and other ground facilities.

We plan to fund our short-term liquidity requirements from the following sources:

- cash on hand at December 31, 2010 (\$33.0 million);
- cash from our Facility Agreement (\$26.7 million was available at December 31, 2010);
- additional debt and equity offerings not yet arranged;
- cash available under our contingent equity account (\$60 million was available at December 31, 2010); and
- operating cash flows (if any).

#### Long Term Liquidity Needs

Our principal long-term liquidity needs are:

to make payments to procure and deploy our second 24 second-generation satellites and upgrading our gateways and other ground facilities;

- to fund our working capital and operations, including any growth in our business; and
- to fund repayment of our indebtedness, both principal and interest, when due.

Sources of long-term liquidity may include, if necessary, the exercise of warrants and additional debt and equity financings which have not yet been arranged. We cannot assume that sufficient additional financing will be obtained on acceptable terms, if at all. We also expect cash flow from operations to be a source of long-term liquidity once we have deployed our second-generation satellite constellation.

#### Contractual Obligations and Commitments

At December 31, 2010, we have a remaining commitment to purchase a total of \$58.1 million of mobile phones, services and other equipment under various commercial agreements with Qualcomm. Effective February 24, 2010, we amended our agreement with Qualcomm to extend the term and defer delivery of mobile phones and related equipment until June 2011 through February 2012. We expect to negotiate the termination of this contract in 2011.

In June 2009, we and Thales Alenia Space entered into an amended and restated contract for the construction of 48 second-generation low-earth orbit satellites to incorporate prior amendments and acceleration requests and to make other non-material changes to the contract entered into in November 2006.

While we have a contract with Thales to construct an additional 24 satellites, including one prototype satellite already constructed, we are currently negotiating with Thales to amend the current contract and define a new quantity of satellites with associated terms and conditions, including adjustments to price and schedule. Thales has already completed the procurement of certain long-lead time components and parts for six of these satellites and is currently holding these components and parts in storage.

In March 2007, we and Thales Alenia Space entered into an agreement for the construction of the Satellite Operations Control Centers, Telemetry Command Units and In Orbit Test Equipment (collectively, the “Control Network Facility”) for our second-generation satellite constellation. The Control Network Facility achieved the final acceptance milestone in October 2010.

In March 2010, we and the Launch Provider entered into an amended and restated contract to incorporate prior amendments to the contract entered into in September 2007 for the launch of our second-generation satellites and certain pre and post-launch services under which the Launch Provider agreed to make four launches of six satellites each and one optional launch of six satellites each. Notwithstanding the one optional launch, we may contract separately with the Launch Provider or another provider of launch services after the Launch Provider’s firm launch commitments are fulfilled.

We successfully launched the first six second-generation satellites in October 2010. We expect to conduct the next launch of six satellites in May 2011 to be followed by two additional launches of six satellites per launch within 60-90 days following the previous launch.

In May 2008, we and Hughes entered into an agreement under which Hughes will design, supply and implement (a) the Radio Access Network (“RAN”) ground network equipment and software upgrades for installation at a number of our satellite gateway ground stations and (b) satellite interface chips to be a part of the User Terminal Subsystem (“UTS”) in various next-generation Globalstar devices. In August 2009, we and Hughes amended their agreement extending the performance schedule by 15 months and revising certain payment milestones. We have the option to purchase additional RANs and other software and hardware improvements at pre-negotiated prices. We have begun capitalizing costs based upon reaching technological feasibility of the project.

On March 21, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Hughes which extended to July 31, 2011 (or earlier if the Company obtains additional financing) the deadline for us to make all scheduled payments previously due prior to July 31, 2011. The deferred payments will incur interest at the rate of 10% per annum. If we do not make these required payments by July 31, 2011, we may terminate the contract for convenience. If the contract is terminated for convenience, then we must make a final payment of \$20.0 million in either cash or Globalstar common stock at our election. If we elect to make payment in Globalstar common stock, Hughes will have the option either to accept the common stock or instruct us to complete a block sale of Globalstar common stock and deliver the proceeds to Hughes.

In October 2008, we signed an agreement with Oceus Networks, a leading global provider of technology and services to telecom operators. According to the contract, including subsequent additions, Oceus will work with us to develop, implement and maintain a ground interface, or core network, system that will be installed at our satellite gateway ground stations.

On March 7, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Oceus which extended to February 23, 2012 (or earlier if the Company obtains additional financing) the deadline for us to make scheduled milestone payments which were previously due at various times during 2011. The milestones that have been or are expected to be completed and invoiced in 2011, which may be deferred to February 23, 2012, totaled \$6.2 million. The deferred payments will incur interest at a rate of 6.5% per annum.

Long-term obligations at December 31, 2010, assuming borrowing of the entire \$586 million under our Facility Agreement, are as follows (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations:	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter	Total
Long-term debt obligations (1)	\$—	\$34,287	\$60,440	\$64,050	\$67,886	\$569,335	\$795,998

Interest on long-term debt (2)	19,031	21,968	27,083	30,142	30,163	117,984	246,371
Escrow payments on long-term debt (3)	—	35,902	35,902	—	—	—	71,804
Operating lease obligations	2,053	1,576	1,289	733	657	1,623	7,931
Purchase obligations (4) (5) (6)	123,548	31,228	4,375	793	—	—	159,944
Pension obligations	846	868	890	904	893	4,580	8,981
Total	\$ 145,478	\$ 125,829	\$ 129,979	\$ 96,622	\$ 99,599	\$ 693,522	\$ 1,291,029

- (1) All of the indebtedness may be accelerated upon default of related covenants. See “Note 4: Borrowings” of the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Report. Amounts include payment in kind interest.
- (2) Approximately \$586 million of our debt bears interest at a floating rate and, accordingly, we estimated our interest costs in future years.
- (3) The Facility Agreement requires that we fund a convertible note reserve account by March 1, 2012 equal to 50% and by March 1, 2013 equal to 100% of the notional purchase price of the 5.75% Notes to secure our obligations. As of December 31, 2010, the estimated notional purchase price was \$71.8 million, which we assume will be paid in 2013. However, failure to fund this account if excess cash flow, as defined, is not available is not considered an event of default under the Facility Agreement.
- (4) As stated above, we are currently negotiating with Thales to amend the current contract to purchase an additional 23 satellites. The negotiations are underway to define a new quantity of satellites with associated terms and conditions. Since the results of these negotiations are unknown at this time, we have not included these obligations in the above table.

As stated above, we entered into an agreement with Hughes which extended to July 31, 2011 (or earlier if the Company obtains additional financing) the deadline for us to make all scheduled payments previously due prior to July 31, 2011. If we do not make these required payments by July 31, 2011, we may terminate the contract for convenience. If the contract is terminated for convenience, then we must make a final payment of \$20.0 million in either cash or Globalstar common stock. If the contract is not terminated, the Company will owe Hughes \$37.1 million in 2011, \$15.7 in 2012 and \$0.4 thereafter (shown in the respective columns in the table above).

As stated above, we entered into an agreement with Oceus which extended to February 23, 2012 (or earlier if the Company obtains additional financing) the deadline for us to make scheduled milestone payments which were previously due at various times during 2011. The milestones that have been or are expected to be completed and invoiced in 2011, which may be deferred to February 23, 2012, totaled \$6.2 million. The \$6.2 million obligation is included in 2011 column in the table above.

- (5) The purchase obligations for the construction of our first 24 second-generation satellites and the Control Network facility are converted to U.S. dollars using an exchange rate of €1.00 = \$1.42.
- (6) Amounts based on when cash payment is scheduled to made.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

We have no material off-balance sheet transactions.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion of recent accounting guidance and the expected impact that the guidance and the expected impact that the guidance could have on our consolidated financial statements, see Note 19 – Accounting Pronouncements of the Consolidated Financial Statements of this Report.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our services and products are sold, distributed or available in over 120 countries. Our international sales are made primarily in U.S. dollars, Canadian dollars, Brazilian reals and Euros. In some cases, insufficient supplies of U.S. currency may require us to accept payment in other foreign currencies. We reduce our currency exchange risk from revenues in currencies other than the U.S. dollar by requiring payment in U.S. dollars whenever possible and purchasing foreign currencies on the spot market when rates are favorable. We currently do not purchase hedging instruments to hedge foreign currencies. We are obligated to enter into currency hedges with the original lenders no later than 90 days after any fiscal quarter during which more than 25% of revenues is denominated in a single currency other than U.S. or Canadian dollars. Otherwise, we cannot enter into hedging agreements other than interest rate cap agreements or other hedges described above without the consent of the COFACE agent, and with that consent the counterparties may only be the original lenders.

As discussed in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Contractual Obligations and Commitments," we have entered into two separate contracts with Thales Alenia Space to construct low earth orbit satellites for our second-generation satellite constellation and to provide launch-related and operations support services, and to construct the Satellite Operations Control Centers, Telemetry Command Units and In-Orbit Test Equipment for our second-generation satellite constellation. A substantial majority of the payments under the Thales Alenia Space agreements are denominated in Euros.

Our interest rate risk arises from our variable rate debt under our Facility Agreement, under which loans bear interest at a floating rate based on the LIBOR. In order to minimize the interest rate risk, we completed an arrangement with the lenders under the Facility Agreement to limit the interest to which we are exposed. The interest rate cap provides limits on the 6-month Libor rate (Base Rate) used to calculate the coupon interest on outstanding amounts on the Facility Agreement of 4.00% from the date of issuance through December 2012. Thereafter, the Base Rate is capped at 5.50% should the Base Rate not exceed 6.5%. Should the Base Rate exceed 6.5%, our base rate will be 1% less than the then 6-month Libor rate. The applicable margin from the Base Rate ranges from 2.07% to 2.4% through the termination date of the facility. Assuming that we borrowed the entire \$586.3 million under the Facility Agreement, a 1.0% change in interest rates would result in a change to interest expense of approximately \$5.9 million annually.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Audited consolidated financial statements of Globalstar, Inc.	
Report of Crowe Horwath LLP, independent registered public accounting firm	45
Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009	46
Consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	47
Consolidated statements of comprehensive loss for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	48
Consolidated statements of ownership equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	49
Consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	50
Notes to consolidated financial statements	51



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Globalstar, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Globalstar, Inc. ("Globalstar") as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, ownership equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. We also have audited Globalstar's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Globalstar's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting." Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Globalstar as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, Globalstar maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the criteria established

in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

/s/ Crowe Horwath LLP  
Oak Brook, Illinois  
March 31, 2011

## GLOBALSTAR, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except par value and share data)

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 33,017	\$ 67,881
Restricted cash	2,064	—
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$5,971 (2010), and \$5,735 (2009)	13,671	9,392
Inventory	55,635	61,719
Advances for inventory	9,431	9,332
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,061	5,404
Total current assets	118,879	153,728
Property and equipment, net	1,150,470	964,921
Other assets:		
Restricted cash	34,276	40,473
Deferred financing costs	59,870	69,647
Goodwill	—	2,703
Intangible and other assets, net	23,313	35,168
Total assets	\$ 1,386,808	\$ 1,266,640
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 26,434	\$ 76,661
Accrued expenses	48,162	30,520
Payables to affiliates	710	541
Deferred revenue	19,150	19,911