

ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD

Form 10-Q

July 29, 2009

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-11884

ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD - Form 10-Q

Republic of Liberia **98-0081645**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
1050 Caribbean Way, Miami, Florida 33132

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

(305) 539-6000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

There were 213,813,628 shares of common stock outstanding as of July 16, 2009.

Table of Contents

ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	1
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	37
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	37
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	38
<u>Item 4. Submissions of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	39
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	40
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	41

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Quarter Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Passenger ticket revenues	\$ 956,593	\$ 1,140,077
Onboard and other revenues	392,422	443,697
Total revenues	1,349,015	1,583,774
Cruise operating expenses:		
Commissions, transportation and other	232,552	284,735
Onboard and other	112,523	117,315
Payroll and related	165,466	163,343
Food	80,913	82,096
Fuel	136,488	174,299
Other operating	237,493	269,211
Total cruise operating expenses	965,435	1,090,999
Marketing, selling and administrative expenses	190,593	196,548
Depreciation and amortization expenses	137,925	127,277
Operating Income	55,062	168,950
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	1,159	3,015
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	(68,327)	(81,086)
Other expense	(22,980)	(6,130)
	(90,148)	(84,201)
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (35,086)	\$ 84,749
(Loss) Earnings per Share:		
Basic	\$ (0.16)	\$ 0.40
Diluted	\$ (0.16)	\$ 0.40
Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	213,780	213,482

Diluted

213,780

214,280

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Passenger ticket revenues	\$ 1,905,863	\$ 2,177,980
Onboard and other revenues	768,754	834,879
Total revenues	2,674,617	3,012,859
Cruise operating expenses:		
Commissions, transportation and other	468,381	542,675
Onboard and other	195,757	195,835
Payroll and related	334,212	317,582
Food	166,316	165,098
Fuel	291,363	332,533
Other operating	461,742	499,462
Total cruise operating expenses	1,917,771	2,053,185
Marketing, selling and administrative expenses	379,750	401,489
Depreciation and amortization expenses	277,781	251,667
Operating Income	99,315	306,518
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	2,889	5,523
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	(147,789)	(159,034)
Other (expense) income	(25,739)	7,349
	(170,639)	(146,162)
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (71,324)	\$ 160,356
(Loss) Earnings per Share:		
Basic	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.75
Diluted	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.75
Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	213,734	213,404
Diluted	213,734	214,398

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except share data)

	As of	
	June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	December 31, 2008
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 309,758	\$ 402,878
Trade and other receivables, net	241,224	271,287
Inventories	102,520	96,077
Prepaid expenses and other assets	145,038	125,160
Derivative financial instruments	111,921	81,935
Total current assets	910,461	977,337
Property and equipment, net	13,593,681	13,878,998
Goodwill	781,609	779,246
Other assets	1,050,906	827,729
	\$ 16,336,657	\$ 16,463,310
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 723,283	\$ 471,893
Accounts payable	262,647	245,225
Accrued interest	80,952	128,879
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	493,792	687,369
Customer deposits	1,109,322	968,520
Hedged firm commitments	192,693	172,339
Total current liabilities	2,862,689	2,674,225
Long-term debt	6,045,388	6,539,510
Other long-term liabilities	379,745	446,563
Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)		
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock (\$0.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; none outstanding)		
Common stock (\$0.01 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 224,104,859 and 223,899,076 shares issued, June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively)	2,241	2,239
Paid-in capital	2,962,610	2,952,540
Retained earnings	4,521,205	4,592,529
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(23,517)	(319,936)
Treasury stock (10,308,683 and 11,076,701 common shares at cost, June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively)	(413,704)	(424,360)
Total shareholders' equity	7,048,835	6,803,012
	\$ 16,336,657	\$ 16,463,310

Edgar Filing: ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited, in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Operating Activities		
Net (loss) income	\$ (71,324)	\$ 160,356
Adjustments:		
Depreciation and amortization	277,781	251,667
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Decrease in trade and other receivables, net	46,842	68,149
Increase in inventories	(6,312)	(20,341)
Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets	(20,197)	(47,747)
Increase in accounts payable	17,670	26,604
Decrease in accrued interest	(47,927)	(41,471)
(Decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities	(23,453)	26,328
Increase in customer deposits	131,792	354,894
Other, net	37,986	(17,008)
Net cash provided by operating activities	342,858	761,431
Investing Activities		
Purchases of property and equipment	(323,589)	(1,313,168)
Cash received on settlement of derivative financial instruments	49,303	253,872
Loans and equity contributions to unconsolidated affiliates	(181,683)	(20,771)
Proceeds from the sale of Celebrity Galaxy	290,928	
Other, net	(5,883)	(7,744)
Net cash used in investing activities	(170,924)	(1,087,811)
Financing Activities		
Debt proceeds	75,813	1,098,105
Debt issuance costs	(15,456)	(12,006)
Repayments of debt	(327,648)	(511,634)
Dividends paid		(96,017)
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options		3,372
Other, net	721	495
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(266,570)	482,315
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	1,516	439
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(93,120)	156,374
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	402,878	230,784
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 309,758	\$ 387,158

Supplemental Disclosure

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 171,856	\$ 172,448
-------------------------------------	------------	------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

As used in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, the terms Royal Caribbean, the Company, we, our and us refer to Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. and the terms Royal Caribbean International, Celebrity Cruises, Pullmantur, Azamara Cruises and CDF Croisières de France refer to our cruise brands. In accordance with cruise vacation industry practice, the term berths is determined based on double occupancy per cabin even though many cabins can accommodate three or more passengers. This report should be read in conjunction with our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Note 1. General

Description of Business

We are a global cruise company. We own five cruise brands, Royal Caribbean International, Celebrity Cruises, Pullmantur, Azamara Cruises, and CDF Croisières de France. In addition, we have a 50% investment in a joint venture with TUI AG which operates the brand TUI Cruises.

Basis for Preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements

The unaudited consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Estimates are required for the preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation. We consolidate entities over which we have control, usually evidenced by a direct ownership interest of greater than 50% and variable interest entities where we are determined to be the primary beneficiary. For affiliates where significant influence over financial and operating policies exists, usually evidenced by a direct ownership interest from 20% to 50%, the investment is accounted for using the equity method.

We believe the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all normal recurring accruals necessary for a fair presentation. Our revenues are seasonal and results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, (SFAS 141R). SFAS 141R requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the full fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction whether full or partial acquisition, establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed, requires expensing of most transaction and restructuring costs, and requires the acquirer to disclose all information needed to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS 141R applies to all transactions or other events in which an entity obtains

Table of Contents

control of one or more businesses, including combinations achieved without the transfer of consideration, for example, by contract alone or through the lapse of minority veto rights. The adoption of these provisions did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, but will have an impact on the accounting for future business combinations.

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - An Amendment of ARB No. 51*, (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 requires reporting entities to present noncontrolling (minority) interests as equity instead of as a liability or mezzanine equity and provides guidance on the accounting for transactions between an entity and noncontrolling interests. SFAS 160 applies prospectively as of the beginning of the fiscal year SFAS 160 is initially applied, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements which are applied retrospectively for all periods presented subsequent to adoption. The adoption of SFAS 160 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS 133), (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and thereby improves the transparency of financial reporting. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. See Note 9. *Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Instruments* for our disclosures required under SFAS 161.

In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position FAS 157-2, *Effective date of FASB Statement No. 157* (FSP 157-2). FSP 157-2 provided a one year deferral of SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157) for non-financial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These provisions became effective for us as of January 1, 2009, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On April 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of FASB Staff Position FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (the FSP). The FSP requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments whenever summarized financial information for interim reporting periods is presented. Entities shall disclose the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments and shall describe changes in methods and significant assumptions, if any, during the period. See Note 9. *Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Instruments* for our disclosures required under the FSP.

On April 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS 165), which establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents*Recent Accounting Pronouncements*

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* (SFAS 166). SFAS 166 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, creates more stringent conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale, clarifies other sale-accounting criteria, and changes the initial measurement of a transferor's interest in transferred financial assets. SFAS 166 will be effective for our fiscal year 2010 interim and annual consolidated financial statements. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (SFAS 167). SFAS 167 eliminates FASB Interpretation 46(R)'s exceptions to consolidating qualifying special-purpose entities, contains new criteria for determining the primary beneficiary, and increases the frequency of required reassessments to determine whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. SFAS 167 also contains a new requirement that any term, transaction, or arrangement that does not have a substantive effect on an entity's status as a variable interest entity, a company's power over a variable interest entity, or a company's obligation to absorb losses or its right to receive benefits of an entity must be disregarded in applying FASB Interpretation 46(R)'s provisions. The elimination of the qualifying special-purpose entity concept and its consolidation exceptions means more entities will be subject to consolidation assessments and reassessments. SFAS 167 will be effective for our fiscal year 2010 interim and annual consolidated financial statements and we are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard.

Reclassifications

Reclassifications have been made to prior year cash flow amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

Note 3. (Loss) Earnings Per Share

A reconciliation between basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net (loss) income for basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share	\$ (35,086)	\$ 84,749	\$ (71,324)	\$ 160,356
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	213,780	213,482	213,734	213,404
Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock awards		798		994
Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	213,780	214,280	213,734	214,398
Basic (loss) earnings per share	\$ (0.16)	\$ 0.40	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.75
Diluted (loss) earnings per share	\$ (0.16)	\$ 0.40	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.75

Table of Contents

Diluted (loss) earnings per share did not include options and restricted stock units of 7.1 million and 4.6 million for the second quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 7.1 million and 4.5 million for the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively, because the effect of including them would have been antidilutive.

Note 4. Long-Term Debt

In February 2009, we entered into a credit agreement based on terms originally agreed in June 2007 providing financing for our fourth Solstice-class ship, which is scheduled for delivery in the third quarter of 2011. The credit agreement provides for an unsecured term loan for up to 80% of the purchase price of the vessel which will be 95% guaranteed by Hermes, the official export credit agency of Germany. The loan will have a 12-year life with semi-annual amortization, and will bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.82% (inclusive of the applicable margin).

In May 2009, we entered into a credit agreement providing financing for *Oasis of the Seas*, which is scheduled for delivery in the fourth quarter of 2009. The credit agreement provides for an unsecured term loan for up to 80% of the purchase price of the vessel or approximately \$840.0 million and 159.4 million which will be 95% guaranteed by Finnvera, the official export credit agency of Finland. The loan amortizes over 12 years with commercial banks providing 60% of the financing, each having the ability to opt-out after six years. Finnish Export Credit Ltd. will provide funding for 40% of the financing. When the loan is drawn, approximately \$420.0 million of the facility will be at a fixed interest rate of approximately 5.41% (inclusive of the applicable margin), approximately \$420.0 million of the facility will be at a floating interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.00% and approximately 159.4 million of the facility will be at a floating rate of EURIBOR plus 2.25%. Financing for *Oasis of the Seas* is required to be secured by the vessel if at the time the loan is drawn our senior debt is rated below BB- by Standard & Poor's or below Ba3 by Moody's. Our current senior debt rating is BB- with a negative outlook by Standard & Poor's and Ba3 with a negative outlook by Moody's.

Our financing agreements contain covenants that require us, among other things, to maintain minimum net worth and fixed charge coverage ratio and limit our net debt-to-capital ratio. Our minimum net worth and maximum net debt-to-capital calculations exclude the impact of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on total shareholders' equity. The fixed charge coverage ratio is calculated by dividing net cash from operations for the past four quarters by the sum of dividend payments plus scheduled principal debt payments in excess of any new financings for the past four quarters. We are currently in compliance with all debt covenants. As of June 30, 2009, our net worth was \$7.1 billion compared with a minimum requirement of \$5.1 billion, our fixed charge coverage ratio was 20.38x compared with a minimum requirement of 1.25x and our net-debt-to-capital was 47.7% compared to a maximum limit of 62.5%.

Note 5. Goodwill and Other Assets

We review goodwill for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate but at least annually. Due to the fact that the book value of our shareholders' equity exceeded our market capitalization, we performed an interim test for impairment of goodwill during the second quarter of 2009. We determined the fair value of our two reporting units which include goodwill, Royal Caribbean International and Pullmantur, exceeded their carrying values. Therefore, we do not consider goodwill to be impaired and we did not proceed to step two of the impairment analysis.

Table of Contents

We have determined that our 50% interest in the TUI Cruises GmbH joint venture with TUI AG, which operates the brand TUI Cruises, is a variable interest entity. In March 2009, we sold *Celebrity Galaxy* to TUI Cruises for 224.4 million to serve as its first ship. The ship was renamed *Mein Schiff* and began sailing in May 2009. Concurrently with entering into the agreement to sell *Celebrity Galaxy*, we executed certain forward exchange contracts to lock in the sales price at approximately \$315.0 million. We deferred the entire gain on the sale of \$35.9 million and will recognize this amount over the remaining life of the ship estimated to be 23 years. We and TUI AG each invested 112.2 million in the joint venture to fund the ship's purchase. As of June 30, 2009, our investment in this entity which is also our maximum exposure to loss, was approximately \$182.9 million and was included within other assets in the consolidated balance sheet. We have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary as we would not absorb the majority of TUI Cruises' expected losses nor receive a majority of TUI Cruises' residual returns. Accordingly, we do not consolidate this entity and account for this investment under the equity method of accounting.

Note 6. Commitments and Contingencies

Capital Expenditures. As of June 30, 2009, the expected dates our ships on order will enter service and their planned berths are as follows:

Ship	Expected to Enter Service	Approximate Berths
Royal Caribbean International:		
Oasis-class:		
<i>Oasis of the Seas</i>	4th Quarter 2009	5,400
<i>Allure of the Seas</i>	4th Quarter 2010	5,400
Celebrity Cruises:		
Solstice-class:		
<i>Celebrity Equinox</i> ¹	3rd Quarter 2009	2,850
<i>Celebrity Eclipse</i>	2nd Quarter 2010	2,850
<i>Unnamed</i>	3rd Quarter 2011	2,850
<i>Unnamed</i>	4th Quarter 2012	2,850
Total Berths		22,200

1. We took delivery of *Celebrity Equinox* in July 2009.

The anticipated aggregate cost of these ships is approximately \$6.5 billion, of which we have deposited \$669.2 million as of June 30, 2009. Approximately 12.8% of the aggregate cost was exposed to fluctuations in the euro exchange rate at June 30, 2009. As of June 30, 2009, we anticipated overall capital expenditures, including the six ships on order, will be approximately \$2.1 billion for 2009, \$2.2 billion for 2010, \$1.0 billion for 2011, and \$1.0 billion for 2012.

Litigation.

In January 2006, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging that we and certain other named cruise lines infringed rights in copyrighted works and other intellectual property by presenting performances on our cruise ships without securing the necessary licenses. In March 2009, the Court dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim with sufficient particularity but granted leave to re-file. In April 2009 plaintiffs filed an amended complaint with substantially the same allegations as the original complaint except that it no longer seeks class action treatment and confines its allegations of infringement to plaintiffs' copyrights of the theatrical production of *Grease*. The suit seeks payment of damages against all named defendants in an undetermined amount of not less than \$10.0 million, as well as disgorgement of profits, a

Table of Contents

permanent injunction against future infringement and punitive and treble damages. We have filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint or, alternatively, to sever and transfer the case to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. We believe we have meritorious defenses to these claims which we will continue to vigorously pursue.

We have a lawsuit pending in the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Florida against Rolls Royce, co-producer of the Mermaid pod-propulsion system on Millennium-class ships, for the recurring Mermaid pod failures. Alstom Power Conversion, the other co-producer of the pod-propulsion system, settled out of this suit in January 2006 for \$38.0 million. In December 2008, Rolls Royce filed its answer to our lawsuit denying the allegations and asserting various affirmative defenses. Rolls Royce also counterclaimed that we engaged in a civil conspiracy with Alstom Power Conversion and its related parties (Alstom), that we tortiously interfered with Rolls Royce s joint venture agreement with Alstom and that we caused Alstom to breach its fiduciary obligations owing to Rolls Royce under the joint venture agreement. Rolls Royce alleges damages against us in excess of \$100.0 million for these claims. The case is set for trial in January 2010 and has been referred to mediation. We believe we have meritorious claims against Rolls Royce and meritorious defenses to the counterclaims, both of which we intend to vigorously pursue.

In July 2006, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California alleging that we failed to timely pay crew wages and failed to pay proper crew overtime. The suit seeks payment of damages in an undetermined amount, including penalty wages under the United States Seaman s Wage Act and equitable relief damages under the California Unfair Competition Law. In December 2006, the District Court granted our motion to dismiss the claim and held that it should be arbitrated pursuant to the arbitration provision in Royal Caribbean s collective bargaining agreement. In November 2008, the United States Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the District Court s finding and plaintiffs subsequent request for rehearing and rehearing en banc was denied. In June 2009, the United States Supreme Court denied plaintiffs petition for writ of certiorari. We believe we have meritorious defenses to these claims which we will continue to vigorously pursue.

The Miami District Office of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) has alleged that certain of our shipboard employment practices do not comply with United States employment laws. In June 2007, the EEOC proposed payment of monetary sanctions of approximately \$27.0 million and certain remedial actions. Following discussions with the EEOC regarding this matter, the EEOC informed us in April 2008 that they transferred the matter to its legal unit for litigation review. To date, no legal proceedings have been initiated. We believe we have meritorious defenses to these claims and, if proceedings are initiated, we intend to vigorously pursue them.

The Florida Attorney General s office is investigating whether there is or has been a violation of state or federal anti-trust laws in connection with the setting by us and other cruise line operators of fuel supplements in 2007. We are cooperating with the Florida Attorney General s office in connection with this investigation and to date the Florida Attorney General s office has not initiated any proceedings against us.

In July 2009, three purported class actions were filed in United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan against Park West Galleries, Inc., doing business as Park West Gallery, PWG Florida, Inc., Fine Art Sales, Inc., Vista Fine Art LLC, doing business as Park West At Sea (together, Park West), Albert Scaglione, the founder and CEO of Park West, other unnamed parties and Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. In two of the actions, other cruise line companies are also named as additional defendants,

Table of Contents

including in one of them, Celebrity Cruises Inc. The actions are being brought on behalf of purchasers of artwork at shipboard art auctions conducted by Park West on the named cruise lines. The substance of the claims in all three actions is virtually the same. The suits allege that the artwork Park West sells is not what it represents to its customers and that Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd., Celebrity Cruises Inc. and other named cruise lines are fraudulently concealing the activities of Park West, are being enriched unjustly from the sale of the artwork and are engaged in a conspiracy with Park West in violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). The actions seek from the named defendants refund and restitution of all monies acquired from the sale of artwork at shipboard auctions, recovery for the amount of payments for the purchased artwork, damages on the RICO claims in an indeterminate amount, permitted statutory damages and unspecified equitable or injunctive relief. The suits also seek from Park West and Scaglione additional statutory, breach of contract and breach of warranty damages in unspecified amounts. The suits are at their very early stages of litigation; however, we believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims against us and we intend to vigorously pursue them.

Because of the inherent uncertainty as to the outcome of the proceedings and investigation described above, we are unable at this time to estimate the possible impact of these matters on us.

We are routinely involved in other claims typical within the cruise vacation industry. The majority of these claims are covered by insurance. We believe the outcome of such claims, net of expected insurance recoveries, will not have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Other

Under the *Brilliance of the Seas* operating lease, we have agreed to indemnify the lessor to the extent its after-tax return is negatively impacted by unfavorable changes in corporate tax rates, capital allowance deductions and certain unfavorable determinations which may be made by United Kingdom tax authorities. These indemnifications could result in an increase in our lease payments. We are unable to estimate the maximum potential increase in our lease payments due to the various circumstances, timing or a combination of events that could trigger such indemnifications. We have been advised by the lessor that the United Kingdom tax authorities are disputing the lessor's accounting treatment of the lease and that the parties are in discussions on the matter. If the characterization of the lease is ultimately determined to be incorrect, we could be required to indemnify the lessor under certain circumstances. The lessor has advised us that they believe their characterization of the lease is correct. Based on the foregoing and our review of available information, we do not believe an indemnification is probable. However, if the lessor loses its dispute and we are required to indemnify the lessor, we cannot at this time predict the impact that such an occurrence would have on our financial condition and results of operations.

Some of the contracts that we enter into include indemnification provisions that obligate us to make payments to the counterparty if certain events occur. These contingencies generally relate to changes in taxes, increased lender capital costs and other similar costs. The indemnification clauses are often standard contractual terms and are entered into in the normal course of business. There are no stated or notional amounts included in the indemnification clauses and we are not able to estimate the maximum potential amount of future payments, if any, under these indemnification clauses. We have not been required to make any payments under such indemnification clauses in the past and, under current circumstances, we do not believe an indemnification obligation is probable.

Table of Contents

If any person other than A. Wilhelmsen AS. and Cruise Associates, our two principal shareholders, acquires ownership of more than 30% of our common stock and our two principal shareholders, in the aggregate, own less of our common stock than such person and do not collectively have the right to elect, or to designate for election, at least a majority of the board of directors, we may be obligated to prepay indebtedness outstanding under the majority of our credit facilities, which we may be unable to replace on similar terms. If this were to occur, it could have an adverse impact on our liquidity and operations.

Note 7. Shareholders Equity

We declared cash dividends on our common stock of \$0.15 per share during the first, second and third quarters of 2008. Commencing in the fourth quarter 2008, our board of directors discontinued the quarterly dividends.

Note 8. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes net income (loss), foreign currency translation adjustments and changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that qualify as cash flow hedges. The cumulative changes in fair value of the derivatives are deferred and recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged transactions are realized and recognized in earnings.

Comprehensive income was as follows (in thousands):

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net (loss) income	\$ (35,086)	\$ 84,749	\$ (71,324)	\$ 160,356
Changes related to cash flow derivative hedges	301,000	93,396	285,035	198,152
Foreign currency translation adjustments	29,043	202	11,384	(4,064)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 294,957	\$ 178,347	\$ 225,095	\$ 354,444

Note 9. Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Instruments**Fair Value Measurements**

The Company uses quoted prices in active markets when available to determine the fair value of its financial instruments. The estimated fair value of our financial instruments that are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows (in thousands):

	At June 30, 2009	At December 31, 2008
Long-term debt (including current portion of long-term debt)	\$ 5,709,287	\$ 5,132,547
<i>Long-Term Debt</i>		

The fair values of our senior notes and senior debentures were estimated by obtaining quoted market prices. The fair values of all other debt were estimated using the present value of expected future cash flows.

Table of Contents*Other Financial Instruments*

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued interest and accrued expenses approximate fair value at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

In addition, assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value have been categorized based upon the fair value hierarchy described in Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The following table presents information about the Company's financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

Description	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2009 Using				Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2008 Using			
	Total	Level 1 ¹	Level 2 ²	Level 3 ³	Total	Level 1 ¹	Level 2 ²	Level 3 ³
Assets:								
Derivative financial instruments ⁴	\$ 353,474		353,474		\$ 284,175		284,175	
Investments ⁵	\$ 8,548	8,548			\$ 14,238	14,238		
Total Assets	\$ 362,022	\$ 8,548	\$ 353,474	\$	\$ 298,413	\$ 14,238	\$ 284,175	\$
Liabilities:								
Derivative financial instruments ⁶	\$ 182,844		182,844		\$ 360,941		360,941	
Total Liabilities	\$ 182,844	\$	\$ 182,844	\$	\$ 360,941	\$	\$ 360,941	\$

- Inputs based on quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Valuation of these items does not entail a significant amount of judgment.
- Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Fair value is derived using valuation models that utilize the income valuation approach. These valuation models take into account the contract terms such as maturity, as well as other inputs such as exchange rates, fuel types, fuel curves, interest rate yield curves, creditworthiness of the counterparty and the Company.
- Inputs that are unobservable for the asset or liability. The Company did not use any Level 3 inputs for the fair value measurements during the periods presented.
- Consists of foreign currency forward contracts and interest rate, cross currency and fuel swaps.
- Consists of exchange-traded equity securities and mutual funds.
- Consists of fuel swaps and foreign currency forward contracts.

The reported fair values are based on a variety of factors and assumptions. Accordingly, the fair values may not represent actual values of the financial instruments that could have been realized as of June 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008, or that will be realized in the future and do not include expenses that could be incurred in an actual sale or settlement.

Table of Contents

Concentrations of Credit Risk

We monitor concentrations of credit risk associated with financial and other institutions with which we conduct significant business. Our exposure under foreign currency contracts, interest rate and fuel swap agreements is limited to the cost of replacing the contracts in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to the contracts, all of which are currently our lending banks. To minimize this risk, we select counterparties with credit risks acceptable to us and we limit our exposure to an individual counterparty. Credit risk, including but not limited to counterparty nonperformance under derivative instruments, our revolving credit facility and new ship progress payment guarantees, is not considered significant, as we primarily conduct business with large, well-established financial institutions and insurance companies that we have well established relationships with and that have credit risks acceptable to us or the credit risk is spread out among a large number of counterparties. We do not anticipate nonperformance by any of our significant counterparties. In addition, we have established guidelines regarding credit ratings and instrument maturities that we follow to maintain safety and liquidity. We do not normally require collateral or other security to support credit relationships; however, in certain circumstances this option is available to us. We normally require guarantees to support new ship progress payments to shipyards.

Derivative Instruments

We are exposed to market risk attributable to changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and fuel prices. We manage these risks through a combination of our normal operating and financing activities and through the use of derivative financial instruments pursuant to our hedging practices and policies. The financial impact of these hedging instruments is primarily offset by corresponding changes in the underlying exposures being hedged. We achieve this by closely matching the amount, term and conditions of the derivative instrument with the underlying risk being hedged. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes. We monitor our derivative positions using techniques including market valuations and sensitivity analyses.

We enter into various forward, swap and option contracts to manage our interest rate exposure and to limit our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and fuel prices. We also have a non-derivative financial instrument designated as a hedge of our net investment in our foreign operations. These instruments are designated as hedges and are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value.

At inception of the hedge relationship, a derivative instrument that hedges the exposure to changes in the fair value of a firm commitment or a recognized asset or liability is designated as a fair value hedge. A derivative instrument that hedges a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows related to a recognized asset or liability is designated as a cash flow hedge.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated as fair value hedges are offset against changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged assets, liabilities or firm commitments. Changes in fair value of derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the underlying hedged transactions are recognized in earnings. The foreign-currency transaction gain or loss of our nonderivative financial instrument designated as a hedge of our net investment in our foreign operations is recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) along with the associated foreign currency translation adjustment of the foreign operation.

Table of Contents

On an ongoing basis, we assess whether derivatives used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flow of hedged items. We use the long-haul method to assess hedge effectiveness using regression analysis for each hedge relationship under our interest rate, foreign currency and fuel hedging programs. We apply the same methodology on a consistent basis for assessing hedge effectiveness to all hedges within each hedging program (i.e. interest rate, foreign currency and fuel). We generally perform regression analysis over an observation period commensurate with the contractual life of the derivative instrument up to three years. High effectiveness is achieved when a statistically valid relationship reflects a high degree of offset and correlation between the fair values of the derivative instrument and the hedged item. The determination of ineffectiveness is based on the amount of dollar offset between the change in fair value of the derivative instrument and the change in fair value of the hedged item at the end of the reporting period. If it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or hedge accounting is discontinued, any change in fair value of the derivative since the last date at which it was determined to be effective is recognized in earnings. In addition, the ineffective portion of our highly effective hedges is recognized in earnings immediately and reported in other income (expense) in our consolidated statements of operations.

Cash flows from derivative instruments that are designated as fair value or cash flow hedges are classified in the same category as the cash flows from the underlying hedged items. In the event that hedge accounting is discontinued, cash flows subsequent to the date of discontinuance are classified consistent with the nature of the instrument.

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to our long-term debt obligations including future interest payments. At June 30, 2009, approximately 44% of our long-term debt was effectively fixed and approximately 56% was floating. We enter into interest rate and cross currency swap agreements to modify our exposure to interest rate movements and to manage our interest expense. We assess the risk that changes in interest rates will have either on the fair value of debt obligations or on the amount of future interest payments by monitoring changes in interest rate exposures and by evaluating hedging opportunities.

Market risk associated with our long-term fixed rate debt is the potential increase in fair value resulting from a decrease in interest rates. We enter into interest swap agreements that effectively convert a portion of our fixed-rate debt to a floating-rate basis to manage this risk. At June 30, 2009 our interest rate swap agreements effectively changed \$350.0 million of debt with a fixed rate of 7.25% to LIBOR-based floating rate debt, and 1.0 billion of debt with a fixed rate of 5.625% to EURIBOR-based floating rate. In addition, at June 30, 2009 we had cross currency swap agreements that effectively changed 300.0 million of the 1.0 billion floating EURIBOR-based debt to \$389.1 million of floating LIBOR-based debt.

Our interest rate swaps and cross currency swap agreements are accounted for as fair value hedges. During the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized in earnings, a net loss of approximately \$3.9 million and \$9.0 million, respectively, which represented the total ineffectiveness of the fair value hedges pertaining to interest rate and cross currency swaps. The amount for the six months ended June 30, 2009 includes an out of period adjustment of approximately \$7.1 million which represents the cumulative reduction in the fair value of certain interest rate swaps during 2007 and 2008 due to an error in data embedded in the software we use to assist with calculating the fair value of our interest rate swaps. Because the adjustment, both individually and in the aggregate, was not material to any of the prior years' financial statements, and the impact of correcting the adjustment in the current year is not expected to be material to the full year 2009 financial statements, we recorded the correction of this adjustment in the financial statements in the first quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

The notional amount of outstanding debt related to interest rate swaps as of June 30, 2009 was \$1.8 billion. The notional amount of outstanding debt related to cross currency swaps as of June 30, 2009 was \$389.1 million.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Our primary exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk relates to our ship construction firm commitments denominated in euros and a portion of our euro-denominated debt. We enter into euro-denominated forward contracts to manage our exposure to movements in foreign currency exchange rates. As discussed above, we also have cross currency swap agreements that effectively change 300.0 million of floating EURIBOR-based debt to \$389.1 million of floating LIBOR-based debt at June 30, 2009. At June 30, 2009, approximately 12.8% of the aggregate cost of the ships was exposed to fluctuations in the euro exchange rate. Our foreign exchange contracts are accounted for as fair value and cash flow hedges depending on the designation of the related hedge.

The notional amount of outstanding foreign exchange contracts as of June 30, 2009 was \$4.4 billion.

We consider our investments in foreign subsidiaries to be denominated in relatively stable currencies and of a long-term nature. We partially address the exposure of our investments in foreign subsidiaries by denominating a portion of our debt in our subsidiaries' functional currencies (generally euros). Specifically, we have assigned debt of approximately 375.1 million, or approximately \$526.3 million, as a hedge of our net investment in Pullmantur.

Fuel Price Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in fuel prices relates to the consumption of fuel on our ships. We use fuel swap agreements to mitigate the financial impact of fluctuations in fuel prices. As of June 30, 2009, we have entered into fuel related swap agreements, which pertain to approximately 600,000 metric tons of our projected 2009 fuel purchases, 700,000 metric tons of our projected 2010 fuel purchases and 650,000 metric tons of our projected 2011 fuel purchases. Such agreements pertain to 50% of our projected 2009 fuel requirements, 50% of our projected 2010 fuel requirements and 45% of our projected 2011 fuel requirements. Our fuel swap agreements are accounted for as cash flow hedges.

At June 30, 2009, \$18.3 million of estimated unrealized net losses associated with our cash flow hedges pertaining to fuel swap agreements are expected to be reclassified as earnings from other accumulated comprehensive loss within the next twelve months. Reclassification is expected to occur primarily as the result of fuel consumption associated with our hedged forecasted fuel purchases. At June 30, 2009, we have hedged the variability in future cash flows for certain forecasted transactions occurring through the second half of 2011.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2009, the fair value and line item caption of derivative instruments recorded were as follows:

	Fair Value of Derivative Instruments			
	Asset Derivatives As of June 30, 2009 Balance Sheet		Liability Derivatives As of June 30, 2009 Balance Sheet	
	Location	Fair Value	Location	Fair Value
<i>In thousands</i>				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under Statement 133¹				
Interest rate swaps	Other Assets	\$ 131,959	Other long-term liabilities	\$
Cross currency swaps	Other Assets	36,688	Other long-term liabilities	
Foreign currency forward contracts	Derivative Financial Instruments	84,251	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(58,260)
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other Assets	14,834	Other long-term liabilities	(73,974)
Fuel swaps	Derivative Financial Instruments	27,669	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(44,226)
Fuel swaps	Other Assets	58,073	Other long-term liabilities	(6,384)
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments under Statement 133		\$ 353,474		\$ (182,844)

¹ SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*
At June 30, 2009, the fair value and line item caption of non-derivative instruments recorded was as follows:

Non-derivative instrument

designated as hedging instrument

under Statement 133

In thousands

	Balance Sheet Location	Carrying Value
Foreign currency debt	Long-term debt	\$ (526,335)
		\$ (526,335)

Table of Contents

The effect of derivative instruments qualifying and designated as hedging instruments and the related hedged items in fair value hedges on the consolidated statement of operations for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2009 was as follows:

Derivatives and related Hedged Items in Statement 133 Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative and Hedged Item	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income of Derivative		Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Hedged Item	
		Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
<i>In thousands</i>					
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	\$ 9,777	\$ 16,410	\$	\$
Cross currency swaps	Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	1,284	2,482		
Interest rate swaps	Other income (expense)	(26,057)	(9,761)	27,607	3,044
Cross currency swaps	Other income (expense)	16,864	(487)	(22,320)	(1,845)
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other income (expense)	81,709	20,058	(83,115)	(16,451)
		\$ 83,577	\$ 28,702	\$ (77,828)	\$ (15,252)

The effect of derivative instruments qualifying and designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges on the consolidated financial statements for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2009 was as follows:

Derivatives in Statement 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)		Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness testing)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)		Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness testing)	
	Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009		Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009		Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Interest rate swaps	\$	\$	Interest Expense, net of interest capitalized	\$ (311)	\$ (619)	Other income (expense)	\$	\$

Edgar Filing: ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD - Form 10-Q

Foreign currency forward contracts	145,981	38,367	Depreciation and amortization expenses	70	140	Other income (expense)	837	44
Foreign currency forward contracts			Passenger ticket revenues		103	Other income (expense)		
Foreign currency forward contracts	(22)	22,047	Other income (expense)			Other income (expense)	65	159
Fuel swaps	129,378	146,213	Fuel	(27,703)	(81,026)	Other income (expense)	735	735
	\$ 275,337	\$ 206,627		\$ (27,944)	\$ (81,402)		\$ 1,637	\$ 938

Table of Contents

The effect of derivative instruments qualifying and designated as hedging instruments in net investment hedges on the consolidated financial statements for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2009 was as follows:

instrument in	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI (Effective Portion)		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	
	Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009		Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Non-derivatives					
Statement 133 Net					
Investment Hedging			OCI into Income		
Relationships			(Effective Portion)		
<i>In thousands</i>					
Foreign Currency Debt	\$ (29,293)	\$ (199)	Other Income (expense)	\$	\$
	\$ (29,293)	\$ (199)		\$	\$

Contingent Features

Starting in 2012, our current interest rate and cross currency derivative instruments may require us to post collateral if our Standard & Poor's and Moody's credit ratings are below specified levels. Specifically, if on the fifth anniversary of entering into a derivative transaction and on all succeeding fifth-year anniversaries our credit ratings for our senior debt were to be below BBB- by Standard & Poor's and Baa3 by Moody's, then each counterparty to such derivatives with whom we are in a net liability position that exceeds the applicable minimum call amount may demand that we post collateral in an amount equal to the net liability position. The amount of collateral required to be posted following such event will change each time our net liability position increases or decreases by more than the applicable minimum call amount. If our credit rating for our senior debt is equal to or above BBB- by Standard & Poor's or Baa3 by Moody's, then any collateral posted at such time will be released to us. Currently, our senior debt credit rating is BB- with a negative outlook by Standard & Poor's and Ba3 with a negative outlook by Moody's. Only our interest rate and cross currency derivative instruments have a term of at least five years and will not reach their fifth anniversary until 2012. Therefore, as of June 30, 2009, we are not required to post any collateral for our derivative instruments.

Note 10. Subsequent Events

In July 2009, we issued \$300.0 million of 11.875% senior unsecured notes due 2015 at a price of 97.399% of par. The net proceeds from the offering were used to repay \$285.0 million outstanding under our unsecured revolving credit facility.

In July 2009, we took delivery of *Celebrity Equinox*, the second Solstice-class ship for Celebrity Cruises. To finance the purchase, we drew in full \$524.5 million from an unsecured term loan which is 95% guaranteed by Hermes, the official export credit agency of Germany. The loan, which is based on terms originally agreed in September 2005, has a 12-year life with semi-annual amortization, and bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 50 basis points, currently approximately 1.465%.

We evaluated subsequent events through July 29, 2009, the date our financial statements were issued.

Table of Contents

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results**

Certain statements under this caption Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this document constitute forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, goal, intend, may, plan, project, seek, should, will, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements do not guarantee future performance and may involve risks, uncertainties and other factors, which could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from the future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied in those forward-looking statements. Examples of these risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to the following:

the adverse impact of the continuing worldwide economic downturn on the demand for cruises,

the impact of the economic downturn on the availability of our credit facility and on our ability to generate cash flows from operations or obtain new borrowings from the credit or capital markets in amounts sufficient to satisfy our capital expenditures, debt repayments and other financing needs,

the impact of disruptions in the global financial markets on the ability of our counterparties and others to perform their obligations to us,

the uncertainties of conducting business internationally and expanding into new markets,

the volatility in fuel prices and foreign exchange rates,

the impact of changes in operating and financing costs, including changes in foreign currency, interest rates, fuel, food, payroll, airfare for our shipboard personnel, insurance and security costs,

the impact of problems encountered at shipyards and their subcontractors including insolvency or financial difficulties,

vacation industry competition and changes in industry capacity and overcapacity,

the impact of tax and environmental laws and regulations affecting our business or our principal shareholders,

the impact of changes in other laws and regulations affecting our business,

the impact of pending or threatened litigation, enforcement actions, fines or penalties,

the impact of delayed or cancelled ship orders,

the impact of emergency ship repairs, including the related lost revenue,

Table of Contents

the impact on prices of new ships due to shortages in available shipyard facilities, component parts and shipyard consolidations,

negative incidents involving cruise ships including those involving the health and safety of passengers,

reduced consumer demand for cruises as a result of any number of reasons, including geo-political and economic uncertainties and the unavailability or cost of air service,

the international political climate, fears of terrorist and pirate attacks, armed conflict and the resulting concerns over safety and security aspects of traveling,

the impact of the spread of contagious diseases,

the impact of changes or disruptions to external distribution channels for our guest bookings,

the loss of key personnel or our inability to retain or recruit qualified personnel,

changes in our stock price or principal shareholders,

uncertainties of a foreign legal system as we are not incorporated in the United States,

the unavailability of ports of call,

weather.

The above examples are not exhaustive and new risks emerge from time to time. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. For a further discussion of risk factors related to our business, see Part I, Item 1A. *Risk Factors* in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Critical Accounting Policies

Valuation of Goodwill

We review goodwill for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate but at least annually. Due to the fact that the book value of our shareholders' equity exceeded our market capitalization, we performed an interim test for impairment of goodwill during the second quarter of 2009. We determined the fair value of our two reporting units which include goodwill, Royal Caribbean International and Pullmantur, exceeded their carrying values. Therefore, we do not consider goodwill to be impaired and we did not proceed to step two of the impairment analysis.

Derivative Instruments

We enter into forward and swap contracts to manage our interest rate exposure and to limit our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and fuel prices. These instruments are designated as hedges and are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value. Our derivative instruments are not held for trading or speculative purposes. We account for derivative financial

Table of Contents

instruments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (SFAS 133). See Note 2 and 14 of the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 for more information on SFAS 133, the Company's hedging programs and derivative financial instruments.

We enter into foreign currency forward contracts and interest rate, cross-currency and fuel swaps with third party institutions in over-the-counter markets. We estimate the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts and interest rate and cross-currency swaps using expected future cash flows based on the instruments' contract terms and published forward curves for foreign currency exchange and interest rates. We apply present value techniques and LIBOR-based discount rates to convert the expected future cash flows to the current fair value of the instruments.

We estimate the fair value of our fuel swaps using expected future cash flows based on the swaps' contract terms and forward prices. We derive forward prices from forward fuel curves based on pricing inputs provided by third-party institutions that transact in the fuel indices we hedge. We validate these pricing inputs against actual market transactions. We apply present value techniques and LIBOR-based discount rates to convert the expected future cash flows to the current fair value of the instruments. We also corroborate our fair value estimates using valuations provided by our counterparties.

We adjust the valuation of our derivative financial instruments to incorporate credit risk, when applicable.

We believe it is unlikely that materially different estimates for the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts and interest rate, cross-currency and fuel swaps would be derived from using other valuation models, assumptions, inputs or conditions suggested by actual historical experience.

For a further discussion of our critical accounting policies, refer to *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* within our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Terminology

Our revenues are seasonal based on demand for cruises. Demand is strongest for cruises during the Northern Hemisphere summer months and holidays.

Our revenues consist of the following:

Passenger ticket revenues consist of revenue recognized from the sale of passenger tickets and the sale of air transportation to and from our ships.

Onboard and other revenues consist primarily of revenues from the sale of goods and/or services onboard our ships not included in passenger ticket prices, cancellation fees, sales of vacation protection insurance, pre- and post-cruise tours, Pullmantour's land-based tours and hotel and air packages. Also included are revenues we receive from independent third party concessionaires that pay us a percentage of their revenues in exchange for the right to provide selected goods and/or services onboard our ships.

Table of Contents

Our cruise operating expenses consist of the following:

Commissions, transportation and other expenses consist of those costs directly associated with passenger ticket revenues, including travel agent commissions, air and other transportation expenses, port costs that vary with passenger head counts and related credit card fees.

Onboard and other expenses consist of the direct costs associated with onboard and other revenues. These costs include the cost of products sold onboard our ships, vacation protection insurance premiums, costs associated with pre- and post-cruise tours and related credit card fees. These costs also include minimal costs associated with concession revenues, as the costs are mostly incurred by third-party concessionaires.

Payroll and related expenses consist of costs for shipboard personnel.

Food expenses include food costs for both passengers and crew.

Fuel expenses include fuel and related delivery and storage costs, including the financial impact of fuel swap agreements.

Other operating expenses consist primarily of operating costs such as repairs and maintenance, port costs that do not vary with passenger head counts, vessel operating lease costs, costs associated with Pullmantur's land-based tours, vessel related insurance and entertainment.

We do not allocate payroll and related costs, food costs, fuel costs or other operating costs to the expense categories attributable to passenger ticket revenues or onboard and other revenues since they are incurred to provide the total cruise vacation experience.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Available Passenger Cruise Days (APCD) are our measurement of capacity and represent double occupancy per cabin multiplied by the number of cruise days for the period. We use this measure to perform capacity and rate analysis to identify our main non-capacity drivers which cause our cruise revenue and expenses to vary.

Gross Cruise Costs represent the sum of total cruise operating expenses plus marketing, selling and administrative expenses.

Gross Yields represent total revenues per APCD.

Net Cruise Costs represent Gross Cruise Costs excluding commissions, transportation and other expenses and onboard and other expenses (each of which is described above under the Terminology heading). In measuring our ability to control costs in a manner that positively impacts net income, we believe changes in Net Cruise Costs to be the most relevant indicator of our performance. A reconciliation of historical Gross Cruise Costs to Net Cruise Costs is provided below under *Summary of Historical Results of Operations*. We have not provided a quantitative reconciliation of projected Gross Cruise Costs to projected Net Cruise Costs due to the significant uncertainty in projecting the costs deducted to arrive at this measure. Accordingly, we do not believe that reconciling information for such projected figures would be meaningful.

Table of Contents

Net Debt-to-Capital is a ratio which represents total long-term debt, including current portion of long-term debt, less cash and cash equivalents (Net Debt) divided by the sum of Net Debt and total shareholders' equity. We believe Net Debt and Net Debt-to-Capital, along with total long-term debt and shareholders' equity are useful measures of our capital structure. A reconciliation of historical Debt-to-Capital to Net Debt-to-Capital is provided below under *Summary of Historical Results of Operations*.

Net Revenues represent total revenues less commissions, transportation and other expenses and onboard and other expenses (each of which is described under the Terminology heading).

Net Yields represent Net Revenues per APCD. We utilize Net Revenues and Net Yields to manage our business on a day-to-day basis as we believe that it is the most relevant measure of our pricing performance because it reflects the cruise revenues earned by us net of our most significant variable costs, which are commissions, transportation and other expenses and onboard and other expenses. A reconciliation of historical Gross Yields to Net Yields is provided below under *Summary of Historical Results of Operations*. We have not provided a quantitative reconciliation of projected Gross Yields to projected Net Yields due to the significant uncertainty in projecting the costs deducted to arrive at this measure. Accordingly, we do not believe that reconciling information for such projected figures would be meaningful.

Occupancy, in accordance with cruise vacation industry practice, is calculated by dividing Passenger Cruise Days by APCD. A percentage in excess of 100% indicates that three or more passengers occupied some cabins.

Passenger Cruise Days represent the number of passengers carried for the period multiplied by the number of days of their respective cruises.

The use of certain significant non-GAAP measures, such as Net Yields and Net Cruise Costs, allow us to perform capacity and rate analyses to separate the impact of known capacity changes from other less predictable changes which affect our business. We believe these non-GAAP measures provide expanded insight to measure revenue and cost performance in addition to the standard United States GAAP based financial measures. There are no specific rules or regulations for determining non-GAAP measures, and as such, there exists the possibility that they may not be comparable to measures used by other companies within the industry.

Summary of Historical Results of Operations

Total revenues decreased 14.8% to \$1.3 billion in the second quarter of 2009 from total revenues of \$1.6 billion for the same period in 2008 primarily due to the decrease in ticket prices. Net Yields decreased by approximately 17.9% compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in Net Yields was primarily due to the decrease in ticket prices, a decrease in onboard spending and a slight decrease in occupancy. These decreases were partially offset by decreases in operating expenses. As a result, our net loss was \$35.1 million or \$0.16 per share on a diluted basis for the second quarter of 2009 compared to net income of \$84.7 million or \$0.40 per share on a diluted basis for the second quarter of 2008.

We continue to discount pricing below recent historical levels. As a result of our discounted pricing and the elasticity of the market for our cruise vacations, we have maintained levels of occupancy in excess of 100%. General business conditions and the booking environment have remained stable and consistent with those we have observed since the beginning of 2009 with the exception of the effect of the H1N1 virus. During the second quarter of 2009, the H1N1 virus resulted in selective itinerary modifications and diminished demand for our cruises and tours to Mexico.

Table of Contents

Other significant items for the second quarter of 2009 include:

Net Cruise Costs per APCD decreased by approximately 11.5% compared to the same period in 2008.

Net Debt-to-Capital decreased to 47.8% as of June 30, 2009 compared to 49.3% as of December 31, 2008. Similarly, our Debt-to-Capital ratio decreased to 49.0% as of June 30, 2009 compared to 50.8% as of December 31, 2008.

In May 2009, we entered into a credit agreement providing financing for *Oasis of the Seas*, which is scheduled for delivery in the fourth quarter of 2009. The credit agreement provides for an unsecured term loan for up to 80% of the purchase price of the vessel or approximately \$840.0 million and 159.4 million which will be 95% guaranteed by Finnvera, the official export credit agency of Finland. The loan amortizes over 12 years with the commercial banks providing 60% of the financing, each having the ability to opt-out after six years. Finnish Export Credit Ltd. will provide funding for 40% of the financing. When the loan is drawn, approximately \$420.0 million of the facility will be at a fixed interest rate of approximately 5.41% (inclusive of the applicable margin), approximately \$420.0 million of the facility will be at a floating interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.00% and approximately 159.4 million of the facility will be at a floating rate of EURIBOR plus 2.25%. Financing for *Oasis of the Seas* is required to be secured by the vessel if at the time the loan is drawn our senior debt is rated below BB- by Standard & Poor's or below Ba3 by Moody's. Our current senior debt rating is BB- with a negative outlook by Standard & Poor's and Ba3 with a negative outlook by Moody's.

Mein Schiff (formerly *Celebrity Galaxy*), the first ship in the TUI Cruises fleet, began sailing in May 2009.

Other Items:

In July 2009, we issued \$300.0 million of 11.875% senior unsecured notes due 2015 at a price of 97.399% of par. The net proceeds from the offering were used to repay \$285.0 million outstanding under our unsecured revolving credit facility.

In July 2009, we took delivery of *Celebrity Equinox*, the second Solstice-class ship for Celebrity Cruises. To finance the purchase, we drew in full \$524.5 million from an unsecured term loan which is 95% guaranteed by Hermes, the official export credit agency of Germany. The loan, which is based on terms originally agreed in September 2005, has a 12-year life with semi-annual amortization, and bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 50 basis points, currently approximately 1.465%.

Table of Contents

Operating results for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 are shown in the following table (in thousands, except per share data):

	Quarter Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008		2009		2008	
	% of Total Revenues		% of Total Revenues		% of Total Revenues		% of Total Revenues	
Passenger ticket revenues	\$ 956,593	70.9%	\$ 1,140,077	72.0%	\$ 1,905,863	71.3%	\$ 2,177,980	72.3%
Onboard and other revenues	392,422	29.1%	443,697	28.0%	768,754	28.7%	834,879	27.7%
Total revenues	1,349,015	100%	1,583,774	100%	2,674,617	100%	3,012,859	100%
Cruise operating expenses:								
Commissions, transportation and other	232,552	17.2%	284,735	18.0%	468,381	17.5%	542,675	18.0%
Onboard and other	112,523	8.3%	117,315	7.4%	195,757	7.3%	195,835	6.5%
Payroll and related	165,466	12.3%	163,343	10.3%	334,212	12.5%	317,582	10.5%
Food	80,913	6.0%	82,096	5.2%	166,316	6.2%	165,098	5.5%
Fuel	136,488	10.1%	174,299	11.0%	291,363	10.9%	332,533	11.0%
Other operating	237,493	17.6%	269,211	17.0%	461,742	17.3%	499,462	16.6%
Total cruise operating expenses	965,435	71.6%	1,090,999	68.9%	1,917,771	71.7%	2,053,185	68.1%
Marketing, selling and administrative expenses	190,593	14.1%	196,548	12.4%	379,750	14.2%	401,489	13.3%
Depreciation and amortization expenses	137,925	10.2%	127,277	8.0%	277,781	10.4%	251,667	8.4%
Operating Income	55,062	4.1%	168,950	10.7%	99,315	3.7%	306,518	10.2%
Other income (expense):								
Interest income	1,159	0.1%	3,015	0.2%	2,889	0.1%	5,523	0.2%
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	(68,327)	(5.1)%	(81,086)	(5.1)%	(147,789)	(5.5)%	(159,034)	(5.3)%
Other (expense) income	(22,980)	(1.7)%	(6,130)	(0.4)%	(25,739)	(1.0)%	7,349	0.2%
	(90,148)	(6.7)%	(84,201)	(5.3)%	(170,639)	(6.4)%	(146,162)	(4.9)%
Net (Loss) Income	(35,086)	(2.6)%	84,749	5.4%	(71,324)	(2.7)%	160,356	5.3%
Diluted (Loss) Earnings Per Share	\$ (0.16)		\$ 0.40		\$ (0.33)		\$ 0.75	

Selected historical statistical information is shown in the following table:

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Passengers Carried	937,610	989,722	1,911,276	2,021,390
Passenger Cruise Days	6,738,213	6,619,144	13,560,581	13,232,069
APCD	6,585,128	6,362,851	13,328,584	12,694,950
Occupancy	102.3%	104.0%	101.7%	104.2%

Table of Contents

Gross Yields and Net Yields were calculated as follows (in thousands, except APCD and Yields):

	Quarter Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change
Passenger ticket revenues	\$ 956,593	\$ 1,140,077	(16.1)%	\$ 1,905,863	\$ 2,177,980	(12.5)%
Onboard and other revenues	392,422	443,697	(11.6)%	768,754	834,879	(7.9)%
Total revenues	1,349,015	1,583,774	(14.8)%	2,674,617	3,012,859	(11.2)%
Less:						
Commissions, transportation and other	232,552	284,735	(18.3)%	468,381	542,675	(13.7)%
Onboard and other	112,523	117,315	(4.1)%	195,757	195,835	0.0%
Net revenues	\$ 1,003,940	\$ 1,181,724	(15.0)%	\$ 2,010,479	\$ 2,274,349	(11.6)%
APCD	6,585,128	6,362,851	3.5%	13,328,584	12,694,950	5.0%
Gross Yields	\$ 204.86	\$ 248.91	(17.7)%	\$ 200.67	\$ 237.33	(15.4)%
Net Yields	\$ 152.46	\$ 185.72	(17.9)%	\$ 150.84	\$ 179.15	(15.8)%

Gross Cruise Costs and Net Cruise Costs were calculated as follows (in thousands, except APCD and costs per APCD):

	Quarter Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change
Total cruise operating expenses	\$ 965,435	\$ 1,090,999	(11.5)%	\$ 1,917,771	\$ 2,053,185	(6.6)%
Marketing, selling and administrative expenses	190,593	196,548	(3.0)%	379,750	401,489	(5.4)%
Gross Cruise Costs	1,156,028	1,287,547	(10.2)%	2,297,521	2,454,674	(6.4)%
Less:						
Commissions, transportation and other	232,552	284,735	(18.3)%	468,381	542,675	(13.7)%
Onboard and other	112,523	117,315	(4.1)%	195,757	195,835	0.0%
Net Cruise Costs	\$ 810,953	\$ 885,497	(8.4)%	\$ 1,633,383	\$ 1,716,164	(4.8)%
APCD	6,585,128	6,362,851	3.5%	13,328,584	12,694,950	5.0%
Gross Cruise Costs per APCD	\$ 175.55	\$ 202.35	(13.2)%	\$ 172.38	\$ 193.36	(10.9)%
Net Cruise Costs per APCD	\$ 123.15	\$ 139.17	(11.5)%	\$ 122.55	\$ 135.18	(9.3)%

Net Debt-to-Capital was calculated as follows (in thousands):

	As of	
	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 6,045,388	\$ 6,539,510
Current portion of long-term debt	723,283	471,893
Total debt	6,768,671	7,011,403
Less: Cash and cash equivalents	309,758	402,878

Edgar Filing: ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD - Form 10-Q

Net Debt	\$ 6,458,913	\$ 6,608,525
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 7,048,835	\$ 6,803,012
Total debt	6,768,671	7,011,403
Total debt and shareholders' equity	13,817,506	13,814,415
Debt-to-Capital	49.0%	50.8%
Net Debt	6,458,913	6,608,525
Net Debt and shareholders' equity	\$ 13,507,748	\$ 13,411,537
Net Debt-to-Capital	47.8%	49.3%

Table of Contents

Outlook

Third Quarter 2009

We expect Net Yields will decrease approximately 18% compared to 2008.

We expect Net Cruise Costs per APCD to decrease approximately 11% compared to 2008. Excluding fuel, we expect Net Cruise Costs per APCD to decrease approximately 5% compared to 2008.

We expect a 3.1% increase in capacity, primarily driven by the addition of *Celebrity Solstice* and *Celebrity Equinox*.

Depreciation and amortization expenses are expected to be approximately \$145.0 million and interest expense is expected to be approximately \$75.0 million.

We do not forecast fuel prices and our cost calculations for fuel are based on current at-the-pump prices net of any hedging impacts. If fuel prices for the third quarter of 2009 remain at the level of current at-the-pump prices, fuel expenses for the third quarter of 2009 would be approximately \$145.0 million. For the third quarter of 2009, our fuel expense is approximately 49% hedged and a 10% change in fuel prices would result in a change of fuel expenses of approximately \$7.0 million for the third quarter of 2009, after taking into account existing hedges.

Based on the expectations above, and assuming that fuel prices remain at the level of current at-the-pump prices, we expect third quarter 2009 earnings per share to be in the range of \$0.95 to \$1.00.

Full Year 2009

We expect Net Yields to decrease approximately 14% compared to 2008.

We expect Net Cruise Costs per APCD to decrease approximately 10% compared to 2008. Excluding fuel, we expect Net Cruise Costs per APCD to decrease in the range of 6% to 7% compared to 2008.

We expect a 5.2% increase in capacity in 2009, primarily driven by a full year of *Celebrity Solstice*, a full year of *Independence of the Seas*, the addition of *Celebrity Equinox*, which entered service during the third quarter of 2009, and the addition of *Oasis of the Seas*, which will enter service in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Depreciation and amortization expenses are expected to be in the range of \$565.0 million to \$570.0 million, and interest expense is expected to be approximately \$310.0 million.

We do not forecast fuel prices and our cost calculations for fuel are based on current at-the-pump prices net of any hedging impacts. If fuel prices for the full year 2009 remain at the level of current at-the-pump prices, fuel expenses for the full year 2009 would be approximately \$591.0 million. For the full year 2009, our fuel expense is approximately 50% hedged and a 10% change in fuel prices would result in a change in fuel expenses of approximately \$15.0 million for the full year 2009, after taking into account existing hedges.

Table of Contents

Based on the expectations above, and assuming that fuel prices remain at the level of current at-the-pump prices, we expect full year 2009 earnings per share in the range of \$0.70 to \$0.80.

Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2008

Revenues

Total revenues for 2009 decreased \$234.8 million or 14.8% to \$1.3 billion from \$1.6 billion for the same period in 2008. This decrease is primarily due to higher discounts on our ticket prices, a decrease in onboard spending and the adverse effect of foreign currency as a result of a stronger U.S. dollar against the euro, British pound and Canadian dollar compared to 2008. Our revenues were also adversely impacted by a decrease in occupancy from 104.0% in 2008 compared to 102.3% in 2009. The decrease in occupancy was driven by the current worldwide economic downturn with disproportionate pressure within the Spanish market and by the H1N1 virus which resulted in selective itinerary modifications and diminished demand for our cruises and tours to Mexico. This revenue decrease was partially offset by an increase of approximately \$55.3 million attributable to an increase in capacity of 3.5%. Although the number of passengers carried for the second quarter of 2009 decreased as compared to the same period in 2008, on average, passengers sailed more days per voyage in 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 due to certain itinerary changes. The increase in capacity is primarily due to the addition of *Celebrity Solstice*, which entered service in November 2008 and to a full quarter of *Independence of the Seas*, which entered service in May 2008. This increase in capacity was partially offset by the sale of *Celebrity Galaxy* to TUI Cruises.

Onboard and other revenues included concession revenues of \$52.8 million in 2009 compared to \$60.6 million for the same period in 2008. The decrease in concession revenues was primarily due to a decrease in spending on a per passenger basis, partially offset by the increase in capacity mentioned above.

Cruise Operating Expenses

Total cruise operating expenses for 2009 decreased \$125.6 million or 11.5% to \$965.4 million from \$1.1 billion for the same period in 2008. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in commissions related to the decrease in ticket prices, a decrease in air expense due to a reduction in guests booking air service through us, a decrease in transportation and lodging expenses related to certain itinerary changes and the impact of the stronger U.S. dollar against the euro, British pound and Canadian dollar compared to 2008. In addition, fuel expenses, which are net of the financial impact of fuel swap agreements, decreased 22.5% per metric ton in 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily as a result of lower fuel prices. These decreases were partially offset by the increase in capacity mentioned above.

Marketing, Selling and Administrative Expenses

Marketing, selling and administrative expenses for 2009 decreased \$5.9 million or 3.0% to \$190.6 million from \$196.5 million for the same period in 2008. The decrease is mainly due to the cost savings initiatives we announced during the third quarter of 2008.

Table of Contents

Depreciation and Amortization expenses

Depreciation and amortization expenses for 2009 increased \$10.6 million or 8.3% to \$137.9 million from \$127.3 million for the same period in 2008. The increase is primarily due to the addition of *Celebrity Solstice*, which entered service in November 2008 and to a full quarter of *Independence of the Seas*, which entered service in May 2008. This increase was partially offset by the sale of *Celebrity Galaxy* to TUI Cruises.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest expense, net of interest capitalized, decreased to \$68.3 million in 2009 from \$81.1 million in 2008. Gross interest expense decreased to \$78.7 million in 2009 from \$91.6 million in 2008. The decrease was primarily due to lower interest rates, partially offset by a higher average debt level. Interest capitalized remained consistent with the same period in 2008.

Other expense increased to \$23.0 million in 2009 from \$6.1 million in 2008. The increase was primarily due to a \$16.5 million increase in foreign currency exchange losses for 2009 when compared to 2008 due to the impact on customer deposits of the weakening U.S. dollar against the euro, British pound and other currencies in the second quarter of 2009.

Net Yields

Net Yields decreased 17.9% in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the decrease in ticket prices, the decrease in onboard spending and slight decrease in occupancy, the adverse effect of foreign currency due to a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the euro, British pound and Canadian dollar and the impact of itinerary modifications and diminished demand for our cruises and tours to Mexico as mentioned above.

Net Cruise Costs

Net Cruise Costs decreased 8.4% in 2009 compared to 2008 due to a 11.5% decrease in Net Cruise Cost per APCD offset by the 3.5% increase in capacity mentioned above. The decrease in Net Cruise Costs per APCD was primarily driven by decreases in fuel expenses and the decrease in marketing, selling and administrative expenses as mentioned above.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

Revenues

Total revenues for 2009 decreased \$338.2 million or 11.2% to \$2.7 billion from \$3.0 billion for the same period in 2008. This decrease is primarily due to higher discounts on our ticket prices, a decrease in onboard spending and the adverse effect of foreign currency as a result of a stronger U.S. dollar against the euro, British pound and Canadian dollar compared to 2008. Our revenues were also adversely impacted by a decrease in occupancy from 104.2% in 2008 compared to 101.7% in 2009. The decrease in occupancy was driven by the current worldwide economic downturn with disproportionate pressure within the Spanish market and by the H1N1 virus which resulted in selective itinerary modifications and diminished demand for our cruises to Mexico. This revenue decrease was partially offset by an increase of approximately \$150.4 million attributable to an increase in capacity of 5.0%. Although the number of passengers carried for the first six months of 2009 decreased as compared to the same period in 2008, on average,

Table of Contents

passengers sailed more days per voyage in 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 due to certain itinerary changes. The increase in capacity is primarily due to the addition of *Celebrity Solstice*, which entered service in November 2008, to a full six months of *Independence of the Seas*, which entered service in May 2008 and a full six months of *Ocean Dream* which entered service in March 2008. This increase in capacity was partially offset by the sale of *Celebrity Galaxy* to TUI Cruises.

Onboard and other revenues included concession revenues of \$107.0 million in 2009 compared to \$120.6 million for the same period in 2008. The decrease in concession revenues was primarily due to a decrease in spending on a per passenger basis, partially offset by the increase in capacity mentioned above.

Cruise Operating Expenses

Total cruise operating expenses for 2009 decreased \$135.4 million or 6.6% to \$1.9 billion from \$2.1 billion for the same period in 2008. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in commissions related to the decrease in ticket prices, a decrease in air expense due to a reduction in guests booking air service through us and the impact of the stronger U.S. dollar against the euro, British pound and Canadian dollar compared to 2008. In addition, fuel expenses, which are net of the financial impact of fuel swap agreements, decreased 13.0% per metric ton in 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily as a result of lower fuel prices. To a lesser extent, the decrease was also related to a decrease in tour expenses. These decreases were partially offset by the increase in capacity mentioned above.

Marketing, Selling and Administrative Expenses

Marketing, selling and administrative expenses for 2009 decreased \$21.7 million or 5.4% to \$379.8 million from \$401.5 million for the same period in 2008. The decrease is mainly due to the cost savings initiatives we announced during the third quarter of 2008.

Depreciation and Amortization expenses

Depreciation and amortization expenses for 2009 increased \$26.1 million or 10.4% to \$277.8 million from \$251.7 million for the same period in 2008. The increase is primarily due to a full six months of *Independence of the Seas*, which entered service in March 2008 and the addition of *Celebrity Solstice*, which entered service in November 2008. This increase was partially offset by the sale of *Celebrity Galaxy* to TUI Cruises.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest expense, net of interest capitalized, decreased to \$147.8 million in 2009 from \$159.0 million in 2008. Gross interest expense decreased to \$168.1 million in 2009 from \$182.4 million in 2008. The decrease was primarily due to lower interest rates, partially offset by a higher average debt level. Interest capitalized decreased to \$20.3 million in 2009 from \$23.4 million in 2008 primarily due to lower interest rates.

Other expense was \$25.7 million in 2009 compared to other income of \$7.3 million in 2008 for a net change of \$33.0 million when comparing these periods. The decrease was primarily due to \$12.9 million in foreign currency exchange losses in 2009 as compared to \$7.0 million in foreign currency exchange gains in 2008, for a net change of \$19.9 million when comparing these

Table of Contents

periods. The increase in foreign exchange losses is due to the impact of the weakening U.S. dollar against the British pound and other currencies on our customer deposits in the first six months of 2009, and the impact of the strengthening of the euro against the U.S. dollar on net euro-denominated assets in the first six months of 2008. The decrease was also due to \$11.3 million in losses from our equity method investments including losses associated with our joint venture in TUI Cruises due to the start-up of their operations.

Net Yields

Net Yields decreased 15.8% in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the decrease in ticket prices, the decrease in onboard spending, a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the euro, British pound and Canadian dollar as well as the impact of the itinerary modifications and diminished demand for our cruises and tours to Mexico as mentioned above.

Net Cruise Costs

Net Cruise Costs decreased 4.8% in 2009 compared to 2008 due to a 9.3% decrease in Net Cruise Cost per APCD offset by the 5.0% increase in capacity mentioned above. The decrease in Net Cruise Costs per APCD was primarily driven by the decrease in fuel expenses and the decrease in marketing, selling and administrative expenses as mentioned above.

Recently Adopted, and Future Application of, Accounting Standards

Refer to Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* to our financial statements for further information on *Recently Adopted Accounting Standards* and *Future Application of Accounting Standards*.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

Cash flow generated from operations provides us with a significant source of liquidity. Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$418.5 million to \$342.9 million for the first six months of 2009 compared to \$761.4 million for the same period in 2008. This decrease was primarily a result of a net loss of \$71.3 million for the first six months of 2009 compared to net income of \$160.4 million for the same period in 2008 as well as a lower rate of increase of \$223.1 million in customer deposits during the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. The lower rate of increase in customer deposits is a result of a compression in the booking window, forward bookings lagging behind the prior year and cruises being purchased for lower ticket prices compared to the prior year. The lower rate of increase in customer deposits was also attributable, to a lesser extent, to a decrease in the value of foreign denominated customer deposits due to the strengthening of the United States dollar as compared to 2008.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased to \$170.9 million for the first six months of 2009 from \$1.1 billion for the same period in 2008. The decrease was primarily due to the proceeds received from the sale of *Celebrity Galaxy* to TUI Cruises of \$290.9 million and a decrease in capital expenditures of approximately \$989.6 million. Our capital expenditures decreased to approximately \$323.6 million for the first six months of 2009 from \$1.3 billion for the same period in 2008, primarily due to the timing of new ship

Table of Contents

deliveries in 2009 as compared to 2008 and a decrease in number of ships under construction. These decreases were offset by a decrease in settlements of approximately \$204.6 million on our foreign currency forward contracts and an increase in equity contributions to our unconsolidated affiliates of \$160.9 million.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$266.6 million in the first six months of 2009 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$482.3 million for the same period in 2008. The change was due to a decrease in debt proceeds of approximately \$1.0 billion primarily due to the timing of borrowings associated with new ship deliveries in 2009 as compared to 2008. This change was offset by a decrease in repayments of debt of approximately \$184.0 million and a decrease in dividends paid of approximately \$96.0 million during the first six months in 2009 compared to the same period in 2008.

Interest capitalized during the first six months of 2009 decreased to \$20.3 million from \$23.4 million for the same period in 2008 primarily due to lower interest rates.

Future Capital Commitments

Our future capital commitments consist primarily of new ship orders. As of June 30, 2009, we had two ships of a new Oasis-class designated for Royal Caribbean International and four Solstice-class ships, designated for Celebrity Cruises, on order for an aggregate additional capacity of approximately 22,200 berths. The aggregate cost of the six ships is approximately \$6.5 billion, of which we have deposited \$669.2 million as of June 30, 2009. Approximately 12.8% of the aggregate cost of ships was exposed to fluctuations in the euro exchange rate at June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2009, we anticipate overall capital expenditures, including the six ships on order, will be approximately \$2.1 billion for 2009, \$2.2 billion for 2010, \$1.0 billion for 2011, and \$1.0 billion for 2012.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As of June 30, 2009, our contractual obligations were as follows (in thousands):

	Payments due by period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Long-term debt obligations(1)	\$ 6,714,024	\$ 716,679	\$ 1,911,238	\$ 2,881,392	\$ 1,204,715
Interest on long-term debt(2)	1,395,948	267,027	448,310	305,403	375,208
Capital lease obligations(3)	54,647	6,604	7,231	4,272	36,540
Operating lease obligations(4)(5)	407,095	52,295	96,561	227,058	31,181
Ship purchase obligations(6)	5,241,899	2,576,402	1,946,355	719,142	
Other(7)	681,414	114,365	194,360	158,741	213,948
Total	\$ 14,495,027	\$ 3,733,372	\$ 4,604,055	\$ 4,296,008	\$ 1,861,592

- (1) Amounts represent debt obligations with initial terms in excess of one year.
- (2) Long-term debt obligations mature at various dates through fiscal year 2027 and bear interest at fixed and variable rates. Interest on variable-rate debt is calculated based on forecasted cash outflows, including interest swapped from a fixed-rate to a variable-rate using the applicable rate at June 30, 2009. Debt denominated in other currencies is calculated based on the applicable exchange rate at June 30, 2009. Amounts are based on existing debt obligations and do not consider potential refinancings of expiring debt obligations.
- (3) Amounts represent capital lease obligations with initial terms in excess of one year.
- (4) We are obligated under noncancelable operating leases primarily for a ship, offices, warehouses and motor vehicles.
- (5) Under the *Brilliance of the Seas* lease agreement, we may be required to make a termination payment of approximately £126.0 million, or approximately \$207.5 million based on the exchange rate at June 30, 2009, if the lease is canceled in 2012. This amount is included in the 3-5 years column.
- (6) Amounts represent contractual obligations with initial terms in excess of one year.
- (7) Amounts represent future commitments with remaining terms in excess of one year to pay for our usage of certain port facilities, marine consumables, services and maintenance contracts.

As a normal part of our business, depending on market conditions, pricing and our overall growth strategy, we continuously consider opportunities to enter into contracts for the building of additional ships. We may also consider the sale of ships or the purchase of existing ships. We continuously consider potential acquisitions and strategic alliances. If any of these were to occur, they would be financed through the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the issuance of additional shares of equity securities or through cash flows from operations.

Under the *Brilliance of the Seas* operating lease, we have agreed to indemnify the lessor to the extent its after-tax return is negatively impacted by unfavorable changes in corporate tax rates, capital allowance deductions and certain unfavorable determinations which may be made by United Kingdom tax authorities. These indemnifications could result in an increase in our lease payments. We are unable to estimate the maximum potential increase in our lease payments due to the various circumstances, timing or a combination of events that could trigger such indemnifications. We have been advised by the lessor that the United Kingdom tax authorities are disputing the lessor's accounting treatment of the lease and that the parties are in discussions on the matter. If the characterization of the lease is ultimately determined to be incorrect, we could be required to indemnify the lessor under certain circumstances. The lessor has advised us that they believe their characterization of the lease is correct. Based on the foregoing and our review of available information, we do not believe an indemnification is probable. However, if the lessor loses its dispute and we are required to indemnify the lessor, we cannot at this time predict the impact that such an occurrence would have on our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Some of the contracts that we enter into include indemnification provisions that obligate us to make payments to the counterparty if certain events occur. These contingencies generally relate to changes in taxes, increased lender capital costs and other similar costs. The indemnification clauses are often standard contractual terms and are entered into in the normal course of business. There are no stated or notional amounts included in the indemnification clauses and we are not able to estimate the maximum potential amount of future payments, if any, under these indemnification clauses. We have not been required to make any payments under such indemnification clauses in the past and, under current circumstances, we do not believe an indemnification obligation is probable.

Other than the items described above, we are not party to any other off-balance sheet arrangements, including guarantee contracts, retained or contingent interest, certain derivative instruments and variable interest entities, that either have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on our financial position.

Funding Sources

As of June 30, 2009, our liquidity was \$0.9 billion consisting of approximately \$309.8 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$550.0 million available under our unsecured revolving credit facility. In addition, we had a working capital deficit of \$2.0 billion as of June 30, 2009 as compared to our working capital deficit of \$1.7 billion as of December 31, 2008. Similar to others in our industry, we are able to operate with a substantial working capital deficit because (1) passenger receipts are primarily paid in advance with a relatively low-level of accounts receivable, (2) rapid turnover results in a limited investment in inventories and (3) voyage-related accounts payable usually become due after receipt of cash from related bookings. In addition, we finance the purchase of our ships through long-term debt instruments of which the current portion of these instruments increases our working capital deficit. The current portion of long-term debt increased from \$471.9 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$723.3 million as of June 30, 2009. We generate substantial cash flows from operations and our business model, along with our unsecured revolving credit facility, has historically allowed us to maintain this working capital deficit and still meet our operating, investing and financing needs. We expect that we will continue to have working capital deficits in the future.

We have significant contractual obligations of which the capital expenditures associated with our ship purchases and our debt maturities represent our largest funding needs. We have \$3.7 billion in contractual obligations due during the twelve month period ending June 30, 2010 of which approximately \$2.6 billion relates to the acquisition of the *Celebrity Equinox*, *Oasis of the Seas* and *Celebrity Eclipse* along with progress payments on other ship purchases. In addition, we have \$10.8 billion in contractual obligations due beyond the twelve month period ending June 30, 2010 of which debt maturities and ship purchase obligations represent \$6.0 billion and \$2.7 billion, respectively.

We have four Solstice-class ships under construction in Germany as of June 30, 2009, all of which have either committed unsecured bank financing arrangements or an executed credit agreement and include a financing guarantee from HERMES (Euler Hermes Kreditversicherungs AG), the official export credit agency of Germany for 95% of the financed amount. The terms of the financings are similar to those established for the *Celebrity Solstice* and are subject to customary funding conditions.

We also have two Oasis-class vessels under construction in Finland. We executed a credit agreement which provides for an unsecured term loan for up to 80% of the contract price of *Oasis of the Seas* or approximately \$840.0 million and 159.4 million. The facility will be 95% guaranteed by Finnvera, the official export credit agency of Finland. The loan amortizes over 12 years with the commercial banks providing 60% of the financing, each having the ability to opt-out after six years. Finnish Export Credit Ltd. will provide funding for 40% of the financing. The financing is subject to customary funding conditions. Financing for *Oasis of the Seas* is

Table of Contents

required to be secured by the vessel if at the time the loan is drawn our senior debt is rated below BB- by Standard & Poor's or below Ba3 by Moody's. Our current senior debt rating is BB- with a negative outlook by Standard & Poor's and Ba3 with a negative outlook by Moody's. We also have a commitment for a financing guarantee from Finnvera for 80% of the financed amount for *Allure of the Seas* and we believe we will obtain committed financing on acceptable terms for the ship before its delivery date.

We anticipate that our cash flows from operations, our current available credit facilities, and these financing arrangements will be adequate to meet our capital expenditures and debt repayments in the foreseeable future.

The current worldwide economic downturn has adversely impacted our cash flows from operations. In addition, the current state of the credit and capital markets may make it more challenging for us to secure new financing or to raise additional capital or to do so on acceptable terms. During 2009, our credit rating was lowered from BB with a negative outlook to BB- with a negative outlook by Standard and Poor's. In addition, our corporate credit rating was lowered from Ba1 with a stable outlook to Ba2 with a negative outlook and our senior debt credit rating was lowered from Ba1 with a stable outlook to Ba3 with a negative outlook by Moody's. The cumulative impact to interest expense in 2009 as a result of these downgrades is not material to our results of operations. There is no assurance that our credit ratings will not be lowered further. The lowering of our credit ratings may increase our cost of financing and can make it more difficult for us to access the credit and capital markets.

If any person other than A. Wilhelmsen AS and Cruise Associates, our two principal shareholders, acquires ownership of more than 30% of our common stock and our two principal shareholders, in the aggregate, own less of our common stock than such person and do not collectively have the right to elect, or to designate for election, at least a majority of the board of directors, we may be obligated to prepay indebtedness outstanding under the majority of our credit facilities, which we may be unable to replace on similar terms. If this were to occur, it could have an adverse impact on our liquidity and operations.

Debt Covenants

Our financing agreements contain covenants that require us, among other things, to maintain minimum net worth and fixed charge coverage ratio and limit our net debt-to-capital ratio. Our minimum net worth and maximum net debt-to-capital calculations exclude the impact of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on total shareholders' equity. The fixed charge coverage ratio is calculated by dividing net cash from operations for the past four quarters by the sum of dividend payments plus scheduled principal debt payments in excess of any new financings for the past four quarters. We are currently in compliance with all debt covenants. As of June 30, 2009, our net worth was \$7.1 billion compared with a minimum requirement of \$5.1 billion, our fixed charge coverage ratio was 20.38x compared with a minimum requirement of 1.25x and our net-debt-to-capital was 47.7% compared to a maximum limit of 62.5%.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our growing international business operations subject us to an increasing level of foreign currency exchange risk. Movements in foreign currency exchange rates may affect the translated value of our earnings and cash flows associated with our international operations.

For a further discussion of our market risk, refer to Part II, Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk* in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e), as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report and concluded that those controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system will be met. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there is only reasonable assurance that our controls will succeed in achieving their goals under all potential future conditions.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, in January 2006, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging that we and certain other named cruise lines infringed rights in copyrighted works and other intellectual property by presenting performances on our cruise ships without securing the necessary licenses. In March 2009, the Court dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim with sufficient particularity but granted leave to re-file. In April 2009 plaintiffs filed an amended complaint with substantially the same allegations as the original complaint except that it no longer seeks class action treatment and confines its allegations of infringement to plaintiffs' copyrights of the theatrical production of *Grease*. The suit seeks payment of damages against all named defendants in an undetermined amount of not less than \$10.0 million, as well as disgorgement of profits, a permanent injunction against future infringement and punitive and treble damages. We have filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint or, alternatively, to sever and transfer the case to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. We believe we have meritorious defenses to these claims which we will continue to vigorously pursue.

As reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, we have a lawsuit pending in the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Florida against Rolls Royce, co-producer of the Mermaid pod-propulsion system on Millennium-class ships, for the recurring Mermaid pod failures. Alstom Power Conversion, the other co-producer of the pod-propulsion system, settled out of this suit in January 2006 for \$38.0 million. In December 2008, Rolls Royce filed its answer to our lawsuit denying the allegations and asserting various affirmative defenses. Rolls Royce also counterclaimed that we engaged in a civil conspiracy with Alstom Power Conversion and its related parties (Alstom), that we tortiously interfered with Rolls Royce's joint venture agreement with Alstom and that we caused Alstom to breach its fiduciary obligations owing to Rolls Royce under the joint venture agreement. Rolls Royce alleges damages against us in excess of \$100.0 million for these claims. The case is set for trial in January 2010 and has been referred to mediation. We believe we have meritorious claims against Rolls Royce and meritorious defenses to the counterclaims, both of which we intend to vigorously pursue.

As reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, in July 2006, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California alleging that we failed to timely pay crew wages and failed to pay proper crew overtime. The suit seeks payment of damages in an undetermined amount, including penalty wages under the United States Seaman's Wage Act and equitable relief damages under the California Unfair Competition Law. In December 2006, the District Court granted our motion to dismiss the claim and held that it should be arbitrated pursuant to the arbitration provision in Royal Caribbean's collective bargaining agreement. In November 2008, the United States Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the District Court's finding and plaintiffs' subsequent request for rehearing and rehearing en banc was denied. In June 2009, the United States Supreme Court denied plaintiffs' petition for writ of certiorari. We believe we have meritorious defenses to these claims which we will continue to vigorously pursue.

In July 2009, three purported class actions were filed in United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan against Park West Galleries, Inc., doing business as Park West Gallery, PWG Florida, Inc., Fine Art Sales, Inc., Vista Fine Art LLC, doing

Table of Contents

business as Park West At Sea (together, Park West), Albert Scaglione, the founder and CEO of Park West, other unnamed parties and Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. In two of the actions, other cruise line companies are also named as additional defendants, including in one of them, Celebrity Cruises Inc. The actions are being brought on behalf of purchasers of artwork at shipboard art auctions conducted by Park West on the named cruise lines. The substance of the claims in all three actions is virtually the same. The suits allege that the artwork Park West sells is not what it represents to its customers and that Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd., Celebrity Cruises Inc. and other named cruise lines are fraudulently concealing the activities of Park West, are being enriched unjustly from the sale of the artwork and are engaged in a conspiracy with Park West in violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). The actions seek from the named defendants refund and restitution of all monies acquired from the sale of artwork at shipboard auctions, recovery for the amount of payments for the purchased artwork, damages on the RICO claims in an indeterminate amount, permitted statutory damages and unspecified equitable or injunctive relief. The suits also seek from Park West and Scaglione additional statutory, breach of contract and breach of warranty damages in unspecified amounts. The suits are at their very early stages of litigation; however, we believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims against us and we intend to vigorously pursue them.

We are routinely involved in other claims typical within the cruise vacation industry. The majority of these claims are covered by insurance. We believe the outcome of such claims, net of expected insurance recoveries, will not have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On May 27, 2009, Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. held its annual meeting of shareholders. The shareholders voted on (1) the election of three directors to terms ending in 2012, (2) the proposal to give the Board of Directors discretion to delist the Company's common stock from the Oslo Stock Exchange, (3) the proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered certified public accounting firm for 2009 and (4) a shareholder proposal set forth in the proxy statement to declassify the Board of Directors.

The nominees for directors were elected based on the following votes:

Nominee	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Morten Arntzen	171,352,901	739,194
Bernard W. Aronson	170,994,388	1,097,707
Richard D. Fain	166,773,688	5,318,407

The following other directors continued in office after the meeting: William L. Kimsey, Laura Laviada, Gert W. Munthe, Eyal M. Ofer, Thomas J. Pritzker, William K. Reilly, Bernt Reitan and Arne Alexander Wilhelmsen.

Table of Contents

The proposal to give the Board of Directors discretion to delist the Company's common stock from the Oslo Stock Exchange was approved based on the following votes:

167,162,112 Votes for approval
4,709,329 Votes against
220,653 Abstentions
0 Broker non-votes

The proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered certified public accounting firm for 2009 was approved based on the following votes:

171,804,914 Votes for approval
223,576 Votes against
63,604 Abstentions
0 Broker non-votes

The shareholder proposal set forth in the proxy statement to declassify the Board of Directors was not approved based on the following votes:

60,902,122 Votes for approval
95,888,092 Votes against
4,398,845 Abstentions
10,903,036 Broker non-votes

Item 6. Exhibits

- 31 Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32 Certifications pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUISES LTD.
(Registrant)

/s/ BRIAN J. RICE
Brian J. Rice
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: July 29, 2009

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Description
31	Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.
32	Certifications pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.