

WESTERN DIGITAL CORP

Form 10-Q

April 24, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 27, 2009

Or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

**Commission file number 1-8703
WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)**

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

33-0956711
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

20511 Lake Forest Drive
Lake Forest, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

92630
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (949) 672-7000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)			

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of the close of business on April 20, 2009, 222,890,173 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, were outstanding.

**WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION
INDEX**

	PAGE NO.
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets March 27, 2009 and June 27, 2008</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income Three and Nine Months Ended March 27, 2009 and March 28, 2008</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended March 27, 2009 and March 28, 2008</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	15
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	27
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	28
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	28
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	29
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	47
<u>Signatures</u>	48
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	

Typically, our fiscal year ends on the Friday nearest to June 30 and consists of 52 weeks. However, approximately every five years, we report a 53-week fiscal year to align our fiscal quarters. The quarters ended March 27, 2009 and March 28, 2008 were 13 weeks. Fiscal year 2008 was comprised of 52 weeks and ended on June 27, 2008. Fiscal year 2009 will be comprised of 53 weeks and will end on July 3, 2009. Fiscal fourth quarter 2009 will consist of 14 weeks. Unless otherwise indicated, references herein to specific years and quarters are to our fiscal years and fiscal quarters, and references to financial information are on a consolidated basis. As used herein, the terms *we*, *us* and *our* refer to Western Digital Corporation and its subsidiaries.

We are a Delaware corporation that operates as the parent company of our hard drive business, Western Digital Technologies, Inc., which was formed in 1970.

Our principal executive offices are located at 20511 Lake Forest Drive, Lake Forest, California 92630. Our telephone number is (949) 672-7000 and our web site is <http://www.westerndigital.com>. The information on our web site is not incorporated into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Western Digital, WD, the WD logo, WD Caviar, WD VelociRaptor, WD Scorpio and GreenPower are trademarks of Western Digital Technologies, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All other trademarks mentioned are the property of their respective owners.

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in millions, except par values; unaudited)

	Mar. 27, 2009	Jun. 27, 2008
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,579	\$ 1,104
Accounts receivable, net	824	1,010
Inventories	385	456
Other current assets	134	161
Total current assets	2,922	2,731
Property and equipment, net	1,570	1,668
Goodwill	138	116
Other intangible assets, net	93	81
Other non-current assets	269	279
Total assets	\$ 4,992	\$ 4,875
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,001	\$ 1,181
Accrued expenses	252	266
Accrued warranty	93	90
Current portion of long-term debt	77	27
Total current liabilities	1,423	1,564
Long-term debt	425	482
Other liabilities	152	133
Total liabilities	2,000	2,179
Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 5 shares; outstanding None		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 450 shares; outstanding 225 shares	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	913	906
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3)	(12)
Retained earnings	2,096	1,822
Treasury stock - common shares at cost; 1 share	(16)	(22)
Total shareholders' equity	2,992	2,696

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 4,992	\$ 4,875
--	----------	----------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(in millions, except per share amounts; unaudited)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED		NINE MONTHS ENDED	
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008
Revenue, net	\$ 1,592	\$ 2,111	\$ 5,524	\$ 6,081
Cost of revenue	1,339	1,634	4,557	4,767
Gross margin	253	477	967	1,314
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	125	123	377	336
Selling, general and administrative	49	56	149	164
Acquired in-process research and development	14		14	49
Restructuring	4		117	
Total operating expenses	192	179	657	549
Operating income	61	298	310	765
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	1	6	8	23
Interest and other expense	(4)	(14)	(24)	(44)
Total other expense, net	(3)	(8)	(16)	(21)
Income before income taxes	58	290	294	744
Income tax expense	8	10	20	90
Net income	\$ 50	\$ 280	\$ 274	\$ 654
Income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.23	\$ 2.97
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.22	\$ 2.89
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	223	222	222	220
Diluted	226	227	225	226

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in millions; unaudited)

	NINE MONTHS ENDED	
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 274	\$ 654
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	358	300
Stock-based compensation	33	27
Deferred income taxes	(7)	76
Loss on investments	10	12
Non-cash portion of restructuring	81	
Acquired in-process research and development	14	49
Changes in:		
Accounts receivable	190	(198)
Inventories	79	8
Accounts payable	(99)	181
Accrued expenses	7	19
Other assets and liabilities	16	41
Net cash provided by operating activities	956	1,169
Cash flows from investing activities		
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(44)	(925)
Purchases of property and equipment	(408)	(469)
Purchases of investments		(103)
Sales and maturities of investments	1	325
Net cash used in investing activities	(451)	(1,172)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Issuance of common stock under employee plans	13	42
Taxes paid on vested restricted stock under employee plans	(5)	(4)
Tax benefit from employee stock plans	5	
Repurchases of common stock	(36)	(60)
Repayment of acquired convertible debentures		(250)
Proceeds from debt		1,510
Repayment of debt	(7)	(1,018)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(30)	220
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	475	217

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,104	700
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,579	\$ 917

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 10	\$ 10
Cash paid for interest	\$ 12	\$ 26

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:

Acquired convertible debentures	\$	\$ 248
---------------------------------	----	--------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accounting policies followed by Western Digital Corporation (the Company) are set forth in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to fairly state the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been made. All such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

Company management has made estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of certain assets and liabilities in conformity with U.S. GAAP. These estimates and assumptions have been applied using methodologies which are consistent throughout the periods presented. However, actual results could differ from these estimates. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year financial statements to conform to the current year presentation.

2. Supplemental Financial Statement Data*Inventories*

	Mar. 27, 2009	Jun. 27, 2008
	(in millions)	
Raw materials and component parts	\$ 104	\$ 144
Work-in-process	152	145
Finished goods	129	167
Total inventories	\$ 385	\$ 456

Warranty

The Company records an accrual for estimated warranty costs when revenue is recognized. The Company generally warrants its products for a period of one to five years. The warranty provision considers estimated product failure rates and trends, estimated repair or replacement costs and estimated costs for customer compensatory claims related to product quality issues, if any. A statistical warranty tracking model is used to help with estimates and assists in exercising judgment in determining the underlying estimates. The statistical tracking model captures specific detail on hard drive reliability, such as factory test data, historical field return rates, and costs to repair by product type. If actual product return trends, costs to repair returned products or costs of customer compensatory claims differ significantly from estimates, future results of operations could be materially affected. Also, during a period of declining revenue, the percentage of warranty utilization to revenue may increase. Management's judgment is subject to a greater degree of subjectivity with respect to newly introduced products because of limited field experience with those products upon which to base warranty estimates. Management reviews the warranty accrual quarterly for products shipped in prior periods and which are still under warranty. Any changes in the

Table of Contents

estimates underlying the accrual may result in adjustments that impact current period gross margin and income. Such changes are generally a result of differences between forecasted and actual return rate experience and costs to repair. Changes in the warranty accrual for the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009 and March 28, 2008 were as follows (in millions):

	THREE MONTHS ENDED		NINE MONTHS ENDED	
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008
Warranty accrual, beginning of period	\$ 121	\$ 102	\$ 114	\$ 90
Charges to operations	29	29	90	79
Utilization	(31)	(19)	(79)	(52)
Changes in estimate related to pre-existing warranties	(1)	(3)	(7)	(8)
Warranty accrual, end of period	\$ 118	\$ 109	\$ 118	\$ 109

Accrued warranty also includes amounts classified in non-current liabilities of \$25 million at March 27, 2009, \$24 million at June 27, 2008, and \$24 million at March 28, 2008.

3. Income per Common Share

The Company computes basic income per common share using net income and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per common share is computed using net income and the weighted average number of common shares and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common shares include certain dilutive outstanding employee stock options, rights to purchase shares of common stock under our employee stock purchase plan and restricted stock and stock unit awards.

The following table illustrates the computation of basic and diluted income per common share (in millions, except per share data):

	THREE MONTHS ENDED		NINE MONTHS ENDED	
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008
Net income	\$ 50	\$ 280	\$ 274	\$ 654
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	223	222	222	220
Employee stock options and other	3	5	3	6
Diluted	226	227	225	226
Income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.23	\$ 2.97
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.22	\$ 2.89
Anti-dilutive common share equivalents excluded*	7	2	5	2

* For purposes of computing diluted income per common share, common share equivalents with an exercise price that exceeded the average fair market value of common stock for the period are considered anti-dilutive and have been excluded from the calculation.

4. Debt

In February 2008, Western Digital Technologies, Inc. (WDTI), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a five-year Credit Agreement (Credit Facility) that provides for a \$750 million unsecured loan consisting of a \$500 million term loan facility and a \$250 million revolving credit facility. The revolving credit facility includes borrowing capacity available for letters of credit and for short-term borrowings referred to as swingline. In addition, WDTI may elect to expand the Credit Facility by up to \$250 million if existing or new lenders provide additional term or revolving commitments. The \$500 million term loan had a variable interest rate of 1.85% as of March 27, 2009 and requires sixteen quarterly principal payments beginning in June 2009 of approximately \$19 million, \$25 million, \$31 million and \$50 million per quarter for each four quarter increment. As of March 27, 2009, WDTI had \$250 million available for future borrowings on the revolving credit facility and was in compliance with all covenants.

Table of Contents**5. Stock-Based Compensation***Stock-Based Compensation Expense*

During the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, the Company charged to expense \$7 million and \$17 million, respectively, for stock-based compensation related to options issued under stock option plans and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), compared to \$5 million and \$13 million in the comparative prior-year period. At March 27, 2009, total compensation cost related to unvested stock options and ESPP rights issued to employees but not yet recognized was \$60 million and will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average vesting period of approximately 2.74 years.

Fair Value Disclosures

The fair value of stock options granted during the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009 was estimated using a binomial option pricing model. The binomial model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility, the expected price multiple at which employees are likely to exercise stock options and the expected employee termination rate. The Company uses historical data to estimate the rate at which employee options are exercised, employee terminations, and expected stock price volatility within the binomial model. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The fair value of stock options granted during the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009 and March 28, 2008 was estimated using the following weighted average assumptions:

	THREE MONTHS ENDED		NINE MONTHS ENDED	
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008
Suboptimal exercise factor	1.73	1.68	1.73	1.61
Range of risk-free interest rates	0.58% to 2.36%	1.57% to 3.02%	0.38% to 3.44%	1.57% to 4.38%
Range of expected stock price volatility	0.51 to 0.77	0.42 to 0.61	0.43 to 0.77	0.33 to 0.67
Weighted average expected volatility	0.59	0.48	0.54	0.47
Post-vesting termination rate	3.73%	5.02%	4.04%	5.26%
Dividend yield				
Fair value	\$8.23	\$11.09	\$8.89	\$9.63

The weighted average expected term of the Company's stock options for the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009 was 4.62 years and 5.03 years, respectively, compared to 5.28 years and 5.29 years in the comparative prior-year period.

The fair value of ESPP rights issued is estimated at the date of issue using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. The Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions such as the expected stock price volatility and the expected period until options are exercised. Shares granted under the current ESPP provisions are issued on either June 1 or December 1. ESPP activity was immaterial to the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009.

Table of Contents*Stock Options*

The following table summarizes activity under the Company's stock option plans (in millions, except per share and remaining contractual life amounts):

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at June 27, 2008	8.0	\$ 14.92		
Granted	1.7	23.82		
Exercised	(0.1)	9.34		
Canceled or expired				
Options outstanding at September 26, 2008	9.6	\$ 16.47		
Granted	0.2	15.17		
Exercised	(0.1)	8.33		
Canceled or expired				
Options outstanding at December 26, 2008	9.7	\$ 16.48		
Granted	2.0	16.82		
Exercised	(0.1)	8.58		
Canceled or expired	(0.1)	21.31		
Options outstanding at March 27, 2009	11.5	\$ 16.59	5.56	\$ 53
Exercisable at March 27, 2009	5.5	\$ 11.89	4.50	\$ 46

The aggregate intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying awards and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on March 27, 2009 for those awards that have an exercise price currently below the quoted price. As of March 27, 2009, the Company had options outstanding to purchase an aggregate of 7.3 million shares with an exercise price below the quoted price of the Company's stock resulting in an aggregate intrinsic value of \$53 million. During the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock option plans was \$1 million and \$3 million, respectively, determined as of the date of exercise. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock option plans during the three and nine months ended March 28, 2008 was \$16 million and \$56 million, respectively.

Deferred Stock Compensation

The Company granted approximately 0.7 million restricted stock units during the nine months ended March 27, 2009, which are payable in an equal number of shares of the Company's common stock at the time of vesting of the units. The aggregate market value of these awards was \$16 million at the date of grant. As of March 27, 2009, the aggregate unamortized fair value of all unvested restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards was \$41 million and will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average vesting period of approximately 1.9 years. For the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, the Company charged to expense approximately \$5 million and \$16 million, respectively, related to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards that were vested during the period, compared to \$5 million and \$14 million in the comparative prior-year period.

6. Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to legal proceedings, lawsuits and other claims. Although the ultimate aggregate amount of probable monetary liability or financial impact with respect to these matters is subject to many uncertainties and is therefore not predictable with assurance, management believes that any monetary liability or financial impact to the Company from these matters or the specified matters below, individually and in the aggregate, would not be material to the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, there can be no assurance with respect to such result, and monetary liability or financial impact to the Company from these legal proceedings, lawsuits and other claims could differ materially from those projected.

On June 20, 2008, plaintiff Convolve, Inc. (Convolve) filed a complaint in the Eastern District of Texas against the Company and two other companies for patent infringement alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos.

Table of Contents

6,314,473 and 4,916,635. Plaintiff is seeking unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. On October 10, 2008, Convolve amended its complaint to allege infringement of only the 473 patent. The 473 patent allegedly relates to interface technology to select between certain modes of a disk drive's operations relating to speed and noise. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously in this matter.

On December 8, 2008, plaintiffs MagSil Corporation and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology filed a complaint in the District of Delaware against the Company and seven other companies in the disk drive industry alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,629,922 and 5,835,314. Plaintiffs are seeking unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. The asserted patents allegedly relate to tunneling magnetoresistive technology. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously in this matter.

On March 20, 2009, plaintiff Ghazala H. Durrani, a former employee of the Company, filed a putative class action complaint in the Alameda County (California) Superior Court. The complaint alleges that certain of the Company's engineers have been misclassified as exempt employees under California state law and are, therefore, due unpaid hourly overtime wages and other amounts, as well as penalties for allegedly missed meal and rest periods. The case is in the preliminary stages, with no formal discovery having occurred. A court hearing on whether the case should be certified as a class action will likely not occur until late calendar 2009 at the earliest. If the Company is unsuccessful in its defense of this matter, potential liability could include unpaid wages, interest, penalties, attorneys' fees and costs. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously in this matter.

On April 7, 2009, plaintiff Gregory Bender filed a complaint in the Northern District of California against the Company and Seagate Technology LLC alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,103,188. Plaintiff is seeking unspecified monetary damages. The asserted patent allegedly relates to buffered transconductance amplifier technology. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously in this matter.

7. Income Taxes

The Company's income tax provision for the three months ended March 27, 2009 was \$8 million. The Company's income tax expense for the nine months ended March 27, 2009 was a net \$20 million, which consists of a tax provision of \$33 million, offset by a \$6 million tax benefit related to the extension of the research and development tax credit, enacted into law in October 2008, and a \$7 million favorable adjustment to previously recorded tax accruals to reflect a change in the Company's outlook of future income before taxes. The differences between the effective tax rate and the U.S. Federal statutory rate are primarily due to tax holidays in Malaysia and Thailand that expire at various dates through 2022 and the current year generation of income tax credits.

In the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, the Company recognized an increase of \$5 million and \$13 million, respectively, in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits. As of March 27, 2009, the Company had approximately \$120 million of unrecognized tax benefits. Interest and penalties recognized on such amounts were not material.

The United States Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) has commenced an examination of the fiscal years ended 2006 and 2007 for the Company and calendar years 2005 and 2006 for Komag, Incorporated, which was acquired by the Company on September 5, 2007. Additionally, the Company's French subsidiary is under examination by the local tax authorities for fiscal years 2003 through 2005.

Audit outcomes and the timing of audit settlements are subject to significant uncertainty and could require the Company to pay amounts to the IRS or local tax authorities in order to resolve examination of the Company's uncertain tax positions. As a result, the Company's current estimate of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits could increase or decrease for all open tax years. As of March 27, 2009, it was not possible to estimate the amount of change, if any, in the unrecognized tax benefits that is reasonably possible within the next twelve months. Any significant change in the amount of the Company's unrecognized tax benefits would most likely result from additional information or settlements relating to the tax examination of the Company's uncertain tax positions.

Table of Contents**8. Fair Value Measurements**

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), for financial assets and liabilities that are re-measured and reported at fair value at each reporting period. SFAS 157 requires that fair value measurements be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1. Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2. Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3. Inputs that are unobservable for the asset or liability and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The following table presents information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 27, 2009, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized to determine such value (in millions):

	Mar. 27, 2009	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets				
Money market funds	\$ 340	\$ 340	\$	\$
U.S. Treasury securities	196		196	
U.S. Government agency securities	82		80	2
Auction-rate securities	18			18
Liabilities				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 7	\$	\$ 7	\$

The Company's money market funds are classified within Level 1 and valued based on quoted market prices. U.S. Treasury securities are classified within Level 2 and are valued based on broker quotations using observable inputs. U.S. Government agency securities classified within Level 2 are valued based on broker quotations using observable inputs and securities classified as Level 3 are valued using a third party pricing service. Auction-rate securities are classified within Level 3 and valued using a third party pricing service. Foreign currency hedges are classified within Level 2 and valued based on the present value of future cash flows using market-based observable inputs, including forward rates and credit default swap rates.

Money Market Funds. The Company's money market funds are AAA rated institutional money market funds which are invested in U.S. Treasury securities and are recorded within cash and cash equivalents in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

U.S. Treasury Securities. The Company's U.S. Treasury securities are investments in Treasury bills with original maturities of three months or less and are recorded within cash and cash equivalents in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

U.S. Government Agency Securities. The Company's U.S. Government agency securities are fixed income securities sponsored by the U.S. Government of which \$80 million have original maturities of three months or less and are

recorded within cash and cash equivalents and \$2 million are classified as available-for-sale securities and are recorded within other current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

Auction-Rate Securities. The Company's auction-rate securities are primarily backed by insurance products and are expected to be held until secondary markets become available. As a result, they are classified as long-term investments. These investments are currently accounted for as available-for-sale securities and recorded within other non-current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Foreign Exchange Contracts. The Company's foreign exchange contracts are short-term contracts to hedge the Company's foreign currency risk related to the Thai Baht, Malaysian Ringgit, Euro and the British Pound Sterling. Foreign exchange contracts are classified within accrued expenses in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The following table presents the changes in Level 3 instruments measured on a recurring basis for the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009.

	U.S. Government agency securities	Auction-rate securities	Total
June 27, 2008	\$ 3	\$ 28	\$31
Redemptions	(1)		(1)
Other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings		(3)	(3)
September 26, 2008	2	25	27
Redemptions			
Other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings		(6)	(6)
December 26, 2008	2	19	21
Redemptions			
Other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings		(1)	(1)
March 27, 2009	\$ 2	\$ 18	\$20

9. Restructuring

On December 17, 2008, the Company announced a restructuring plan to realign its cost structure as a result of a softer demand environment. The restructuring plan included the closure of one of the Company's hard drive manufacturing facilities in Thailand, the planned closure or disposal of one of its substrate manufacturing facilities in Malaysia, and headcount reductions throughout the world. Implementation of the plan is expected to be completed in the Company's fourth fiscal quarter of 2009 and is expected to result in total head count reductions of approximately 3,400 people. Restructuring costs of \$4 million and \$117 million were recorded as operating expenses during the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, respectively, and the total cost of the restructuring is currently estimated to be approximately \$122 million.

The following table summarizes the Company's restructuring activities for the three months and nine months ended March 27, 2009 (in millions):

	Employee Termination Benefits	Impaired Property and Equipment and Other Intangible Assets	Contract Termination and Other Exit Costs	Total
Restructuring accrual at September 26, 2008	\$	\$	\$	\$

Edgar Filing: WESTERN DIGITAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Restructuring charge	32	80	1	113
Non-cash charge		(80)		(80)
Restructuring accrual at December 26, 2008	\$ 32	\$	\$ 1	\$ 33
Restructuring charge	1	1	2	4
Cash payments	(17)		(1)	(18)
Non-cash charge		(1)		(1)
Restructuring accrual at March 27, 2009	\$ 16	\$	\$ 2	\$ 18

The accrued restructuring balance of \$18 million is expected to be paid in the Company's fourth fiscal quarter and is included in accrued expenses on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents**10. Foreign Exchange Contracts**

In the third quarter of 2009, the Company adopted SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 amends and enhances the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133), to provide information about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

Although the majority of the Company's transactions are in U.S. dollars, some transactions are based in various foreign currencies. The Company purchases short-term, foreign exchange contracts to hedge the impact of foreign currency exchange fluctuations on certain underlying assets, liabilities and commitments for operating expenses and product costs denominated in foreign currencies. The purpose of entering into these hedging transactions is to minimize the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on the Company's results of operations. These contract maturity dates do not exceed 12 months. All forward exchange contracts are for risk management purposes only. The Company does not purchase short-term forward exchange contracts for trading purposes. Currently, the Company focuses on hedging its foreign currency risk related to the Thai Baht, Malaysian Ringgit, Euro, and the British Pound Sterling. Malaysian Ringgit contracts are designated as cash flow hedges. Euro and British Pound Sterling contracts are designated as fair value hedges. Thai Baht contracts are designated as both cash flow and fair value hedges. See Part II, Item 8, Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008.

If a derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative is initially deferred in other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax. The Company determined the ineffectiveness associated with its cash flow hedges to be immaterial. These amounts are subsequently recognized into earnings when the underlying cash flow being hedged is recognized into earnings. As of March 27, 2009, the net amount of existing losses expected to be reclassified into earnings within the next twelve months was \$3 million.

A change in the fair value of fair value hedges is recognized in earnings in the period incurred and is reported as a component of operating expenses. All fair value hedges were determined to be effective as defined under SFAS 133. The fair value and the changes in fair value on these contracts were not material to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

As of March 27, 2009, the Company does not have any foreign exchange contracts with credit-risk-related contingent features.

The Company opened \$125 million and \$895 million, and closed \$364 million and \$1.4 billion, in foreign exchange contracts in the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, respectively.

The fair value, balance sheet location and the impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements during the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009 were as follows (in millions):

Derivatives designated as hedging	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Mar. 27, 2009		Mar. 27, 2009	
instruments under SFAS 133	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Foreign exchange contracts	Accrued expenses	\$1	Accrued expenses	\$8

Table of Contents

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Accumulated OCI on Derivatives		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income	
	Three Months Ended Mar. 27, 2009	Nine Months Ended Mar. 27, 2009		Three Months Ended Mar. 27, 2009	Nine Months Ended Mar. 27, 2009
Derivatives in SFAS 133 cash flow hedging relationships					

Foreign exchange contracts	\$8	\$9	Cost of revenue	\$(16)	\$(46)
----------------------------	-----	-----	-----------------	--------	--------

The total net realized transaction and forward exchange contract currency gains and losses were not material to the condensed consolidated financial statements during this period.

11. SiliconSystems Acquisition

On March 27, 2009, the Company completed its acquisition of SiliconSystems, Inc. (SiliconSystems), a supplier of solid-state drives for the embedded systems market. The acquisition is intended to enable further growth in SiliconSystems existing markets and provide additional solid-state technology and expertise for the development of future products to address emerging opportunities in the Company s existing markets. The total acquisition cost of SiliconSystems was \$66 million, consisting of \$65 million in cash paid to SiliconSystems shareholders and \$1 million of other direct acquisition costs. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations , the Company has identified and recorded the assets, including specifically identifiable intangible assets, and liabilities assumed from SiliconSystems at their estimated fair values as of the date of acquisition, and has allocated the remaining value to goodwill. The values assigned to certain acquired assets and liabilities are preliminary, are based on information available as of March 27, 2009, and may be adjusted as further information becomes available during the allocation period of up to 12 months from the date of the acquisition. The allocation is as follows (in millions):

	Mar. 27, 2009
Tangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, net	\$ 6
Intangible assets	24
In-process research and development	14
Goodwill	22
Total	\$ 66

In-process research and development of \$14 million relates to projects that had not reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use, and therefore, was recorded as an operating expense in the three months ended March 27, 2009. Intangible assets of \$24 million primarily relates to existing technology that will be amortized to cost of revenue over the weighted average useful life of 6.3 years.

Pro forma financial information has not been presented as the acquisition did not have a material impact on the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ending March 27, 2009.

12. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued SFAS 157, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value under U.S. GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. In February 2008, FASB issued FASB Staff Position 157-2 (FSP 157-2) which delayed the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those years, which for the Company is the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The partial adoption of SFAS 157 for

financial assets and financial liabilities in the Company's first quarter of fiscal 2009 did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. See Note 8. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on the non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities in its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings. The Company chose not to elect the fair value option for eligible items, and accordingly, the adoption of SFAS 159 in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141(R)). SFAS 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. SFAS 141(R) also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase and determines what information to disclose to enable users of financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, which for the Company is the first quarter of fiscal 2010. SFAS 141(R) will impact the Company's consolidated financial statements for business combinations with an acquisition date on or after adoption in the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets* (FSP FAS 142-3), which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142 *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* . FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, which for the Company is the first quarter of fiscal year 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of FSP FAS 142-3 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and ABP 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP FAS 107-1 and ABP 28-1), which amends SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* , and ABP Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting* , to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim and annual reporting periods. FSP FAS 107-1 and ABP 28-1 is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, which for the Company is the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This information should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008.

Unless otherwise indicated, references herein to specific years and quarters are to our fiscal years and fiscal quarters. As used herein, the terms *we*, *us* and *our* refer to Western Digital Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Any statements that do not relate to historical or current facts or matters are forward-looking statements. You can identify some of the forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking words, such as may, will, could, would, project, believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, continue, potential, plan, forecasts, and the like, or the use of future tense. Statements concerning current conditions may also be forward-looking if they imply a continuation of current conditions. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning:

demand for hard drives in the various markets and factors contributing to such demand;

our plans to continue to develop new products and expand into new storage markets and into emerging economic markets;

emergence of new storage markets for hard drives;

emergence of competing storage technologies;

Table of Contents

the impact of our acquisition of SiliconSystems, Inc. on SiliconSystems' existing markets and on our development of future products for emerging opportunities in our existing markets;

expectations regarding our financial results for the fourth quarter and traditional seasonal demand and pricing trends;

expected future expenditures associated with our business restructuring plan;

our share repurchase plans;

our stock price volatility;

expectations regarding our capital expenditure plans and our depreciation and amortization expense in fiscal 2009; and

beliefs regarding the sufficiency of our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments to meet our working capital needs.

Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. You are urged to carefully review the disclosures we make concerning risks and other factors that may affect our business and operating results, including those made in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and any of those made in our other reports filed with the SEC. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this document. We do not intend, and undertake no obligation, to publish revised forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Our Company

We design, develop, manufacture and sell hard drives. A hard drive is a device that uses one or more rotating magnetic disks (media) to store and allow fast access to data. Hard drives are key components of computers, including desktop and notebook computers (PCs), data storage subsystems and many consumer electronics (CE) devices.

We sell our products worldwide to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and original design manufacturers (ODMs) for use in computer systems, subsystems or CE devices, and to distributors, resellers and retailers. Our hard drives are used in desktop computers, notebook computers, and enterprise applications such as servers, workstations, network attached storage, storage area networks and video surveillance equipment. Additionally, our hard drives are used in CE applications such as digital video recorders (DVRs), and satellite and cable set-top boxes (STBs). We also sell our hard drives as stand-alone storage products and integrate them into finished enclosures, embedding application software and presenting them as WD®-branded external storage appliances for purposes such as personal data backup and portable or expanded storage of digital music, photographs, video, and other digital data.

Hard drives provide non-volatile data storage, which means that the data remains present when power is no longer applied to the device. Our hard drives currently include 3.5-inch and 2.5-inch form factor drives, having capacities ranging from 40 gigabytes (GB) to 2 terabytes (TB), nominal rotation speeds of 5,400, 7,200 and 10,000 revolutions per minute (RPM), and offer interfaces including both Enhanced Integrated Drive Electronics (EIDE) and Serial Advanced Technology Attachment (SATA). We also embed our hard drives into WD-branded external storage appliances that utilize interfaces such as USB 2.0, external SATA, FireWire and Ethernet network connections. In addition, we offer a family of hard drives specifically designed to consume substantially less power than standard drives, utilizing our GreenPower technology.

We manufacture hard drives and head stack assemblies (HSAs) in Malaysia and Thailand. We also design and manufacture most of our required magnetic heads in California and head gimbal assemblies (HGAs) in Thailand, and we design in California and manufacture in Malaysia most of our required media and substrates. For

Table of Contents

geographical financial data, see Part II, Item 8, Note 6 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Technology and Product Development

Hard drives record, store and retrieve digital data. Performance attributes of hard drives, such as their ability to access and transmit data and storage capacity, are currently better than removable or floppy disks, optical hard drives and tapes, and they are more cost effective than semiconductor technology.

All of our hard drive products employ similar technology. The main components of the hard drive are a Head-Disk-Assembly (HDA) and a Printed Circuit Board Assembly (PCBA). The HDA includes heads, media (disks) head positioning mechanism (actuator) and spindle motor. A rigid base and top cover contain these components in a contamination-controlled environment. The PCBA includes both standard and custom integrated circuits, an interface connector to the host computer and a power connector.

Industry-standard interfaces allow the hard drive to communicate with the computer. Currently, the primary interfaces for PCs are EIDE (Parallel Advanced Technology Attachment, or PATA) and SATA, and the primary interfaces for enterprise systems are SATA, Small Computer System Interface, or SCSI, Serial Attached SCSI, or SAS, and Fibre Channel-Arbitrated Loop, or FCAL . As computer performance continues to improve, the hard drive will need to deliver information faster. We believe this will continue to drive the PC industry transition to higher speed interfaces, such as SATA and SAS, to facilitate the higher data transfer rates. We currently offer the SATA interface on our WD Caviar®, WD Scorpio® WD® RE, WD VelociRaptor™ and WD® AV hard drive families; and EIDE (PATA) on WD Caviar®, WD Scorpio® and WD®AV families.

The number of disks and each disk's areal density, which is a measure of the amount of data that can be stored on the recording surface of the disk, determines storage capacity of the hard drive. The higher the areal density, the more information can be stored on a single platter. Achieving a given drive capacity requires fewer disks and heads as the areal density increases, potentially reducing product costs over time through reduced component requirements. In January 2009, we began shipping our WD Caviar® 3.5-inch family of drives at 500 GB per platter (approximately 400 gigabits per square inch) areal density. In September 2008, we began shipping our WD Scorpio® Blue™ 2.5-inch 500 GB drives at 250 GB per platter (approximately 400 gigabits per square inch) areal density.

SiliconSystems Acquisition

On March 27, 2009, we completed our acquisition of SiliconSystems, Inc. (SiliconSystems), a supplier of solid-state drives for the embedded systems market. The acquisition is intended to enable further growth in SiliconSystems' existing markets and provide additional solid-state technology and expertise for the development of future products to address emerging opportunities in our existing markets. The total acquisition cost of SiliconSystems was \$66 million, consisting of \$65 million in cash paid to SiliconSystems shareholders and \$1 million of other direct acquisition costs. The acquisition of SiliconSystems did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009.

Third Quarter Overview

We took a measured approach in managing our supply to demand during the March quarter focusing on market and product mix to sustain gross margin. We reduced production to match drive shipments which were down by 8% year-over-year and 11% quarter-over-quarter to 31.6 million units. Additionally, we reduced finished goods by 1 million drives.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, selected summary information from our condensed consolidated statements of income and the related percentage of revenue (dollars in millions):

	THREE MONTHS ENDED				NINE MONTHS ENDED			
	Mar. 27, 2009		Mar. 28, 2008		Mar. 27, 2009		Mar. 28, 2008	
Net revenue	\$1,592	100.0%	\$2,111	100.0%	\$5,524	100.0%	\$6,081	100.0%
Gross margin	253	15.9	477	22.6	967	17.5	1,314	21.6
Total operating expenses	192	12.1	179	8.5	657	11.9	549	9.0
Operating income	61	3.8	298	14.1	310	5.6	765	12.6
Net income	50	3.1	280	13.3	274	5.0	654	10.8

The following is a summary of our financial performance for the third quarter of 2009:

Consolidated net revenue totaled \$1.6 billion.

58% percent of our hard drive revenue was derived from non-desktop markets, including notebook computers, CE products, enterprise applications and WD branded product sales, as compared to 54% in the prior-year period.

Hard drive unit shipments decreased by 8% over the prior-year period to 31.6 million.

Gross margin decreased to 15.9%, compared to 22.6% for the prior-year period.

Operating income, including a restructuring charge of \$4 million and acquired in-process research and development of \$14 million, was \$61 million, a decrease of 80% over the prior-year period.

We generated \$355 million in cash flow from operations in the third quarter of 2009, and we finished the quarter with \$1.6 billion in cash and cash equivalents.

For the June quarter, we expect our revenue to be flat to slightly down and our gross margin percentage to be slightly down from the March quarter as a result of the continuing challenges of the global macroeconomic environment and competitive pricing conditions.

Restructuring

On December 17, 2008, we announced a restructuring plan to realign our cost structure as a result of a softer demand environment. The restructuring plan included the closure of one of our hard drive manufacturing facilities in Thailand, the planned closure or disposal of one of our substrate manufacturing facilities in Malaysia, and headcount reductions throughout the world. Implementation of the plan is expected to be completed in our fourth fiscal quarter of 2009 and result in total headcount reductions of approximately 3,400 people. Restructuring costs of \$4 million and \$117 million were recorded as operating expenses during the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, respectively, and the total cost of the restructuring is currently estimated to be approximately \$122 million. Total restructuring costs consisted of \$81 million of asset impairment charges, \$33 million of employee termination benefits and \$3 million of contract termination and other exit costs. We expect the estimated remaining restructuring charges of approximately \$5 million in other exit costs to be incurred in our fourth fiscal quarter of 2009. We estimate that total cash expenditures relating to the restructuring activities will be approximately \$41 million, of which \$18 million was spent in the third fiscal quarter of 2009 and the remaining \$23 million is expected to be spent in the fourth fiscal quarter of 2009.

Results of Operations

On September 5, 2007, we completed our acquisition of Komag, Incorporated (Komag) and on March 27, 2009, we completed our acquisition of SiliconSystems. Our acquisition of Komag is further described in our Annual Report on

Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008 and our acquisition of SiliconSystems is further described in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP), operating results for Komag and SiliconSystems prior to the dates of their acquisitions are not included in our operating results, which therefore affects our discussion of changes in our revenues and expenses for the periods prior to the acquisitions as compared to the periods after the acquisitions.

Table of Contents*Net Revenue*

	THREE MONTHS ENDED			NINE MONTHS ENDED		
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Percentage Change	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Percentage Change
(in millions, except percentages & ASP)						
Net revenue	\$1,592	\$2,111	(25)%	\$5,524	\$6,081	(9)%
Unit shipments*	31.6	34.5	(8)	106.5	98.1	9
ASP (per unit)*	\$ 50	\$ 59	(15)	\$ 52	\$ 59	(12)

*Revenues by Geography (%)**

Americas	26%	28%	24%	31%
Europe, Middle East, and Africa	28	31	29	32
Asia	46	41	47	37

*Revenues by Channel (%)**

OEM	48%	50%	54%	50%
Distributors	30	34	25	33
Branded products	22	16	21	17

*Revenues by Product (%)**

Non-desktop sources	58%	54%	62%	54%
Desktop hard drives	42	46	38	46

* Excludes sales of media and substrates which were immaterial for the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009 compared to \$89 million and \$249 million for the three and nine months ended March 28, 2008, respectively.

For the quarter ended March 27, 2009, net revenue was \$1.6 billion, a decrease of 25% over the quarter ended March 28, 2008. Total hard drive shipments decreased to 31.6 million for the third quarter of 2009 as compared to 34.5 million for the third quarter of 2008. The decrease in revenue in the March quarter resulted from a decline in our average hard drive selling prices (ASPs) and decreased unit shipments. The decline in our ASPs reflects a continued competitive pricing environment, particularly in the notebook market where supply exceeded demand in the early part of the quarter and in the branded products market where price degradation continued throughout the quarter. The decrease in unit shipments in the March quarter was primarily due to further inventory reductions by our customers driven by the overall weak consumer and commercial spending environment.

For the nine months ended March 27, 2009, net revenue was \$5.5 billion, a 9% decrease from the nine months ended March 28, 2008. Total hard drive shipments increased to 106.5 million for the nine months ended March 27, 2009, as compared to 98.1 million for the nine months ended March 28, 2008. The decreases in revenue for the nine month period resulted from the decline in ASPs discussed above. The decline in our ASPs was partially offset by an increase in unit shipments of 2.5-inch drives during the nine month period. We shipped 38.4 million 2.5-inch drives in the nine months ended March 27, 2009 as compared to 24.9 million units in the nine months ended March 28, 2008.

Changes in revenue by geography and by channel generally reflect normal fluctuations in market demand and competitive dynamics, as well as demand strength in Asia which continues to be driven by the concentration of global manufacturing in that region. For the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, no single customer accounted for 10%, or more, of our revenue.

We have sales incentive and marketing programs that provide customers with price protection and other incentives or reimbursements that are recorded as a reduction to gross revenue. For the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, these programs represented 10% and 12% of gross revenues, respectively, compared to 11% and 10%, respectively, in the comparative prior-year period. These amounts generally vary according to several factors including industry conditions, seasonal demand, competitor actions, channel mix and overall availability of product. Since the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, total sales incentive and marketing programs have ranged from 8% to 12% of gross revenues per quarter. Changes in future customer demand and market conditions may require us to increase our incentive programs as a percentage of gross revenue from the current range. Adjustments to revenues due to changes in accruals for these programs related to revenues reported in prior periods have averaged 0.3% of quarterly gross revenue since the first quarter of fiscal year 2008.

Table of Contents**Gross Margin**

(in millions, except percentages)	THREE MONTHS ENDED			NINE MONTHS ENDED		
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Percentage Change	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Percentage Change
	Net revenue	\$1,592	\$2,111	(25)%	\$5,524	\$6,081
Gross margin	253	477	(47)	967	1,314	(26)
Gross margin %	15.9%	22.6%		17.5%	21.6%	

For the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, gross margin as a percentage of revenue decreased 670 basis points and 410 basis points, respectively, from the prior-year periods. This decrease is primarily due to the very competitive pricing environment resulting from declines in end-user demand for PC s and storage-related products coupled with an increase in competing products in the notebook storage market.

Operating Expenses

(in millions, except percentages)	THREE MONTHS ENDED			NINE MONTHS ENDED		
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Percentage Change	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008	Percentage Change
	R&D expense	\$ 125	\$ 123	2%	\$ 377	\$ 336
SG&A expense	49	56	(13)	149	164	(9)
Acquired in-process research and development	14			14	49	(71)
Restructuring	4			117		
Total operating expenses	\$ 192	\$ 179		\$ 657	\$ 549	

Research and development (R&D) expense was \$125 million for the three months ended March 27, 2009, an increase of \$2 million over the prior-year period as a result of a \$4 million increase in product development to support new programs offset by a decrease in variable incentive compensation. For the nine months ended March 27, 2009, R&D expense was \$377 million, an increase of \$41 million over the prior-year period. This increase includes a \$78 million increase in product development to support new programs and acquired media operations, offset by a decrease of \$37 million in variable incentive compensation as a result of a decrease in operating results.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense was \$49 million for the three months ended March 27, 2009, a decrease of \$7 million over the prior-year period. This decrease primarily consists of a reduction of our sales and marketing infrastructure as a result of the restructuring plan announced in December 2008, offset by a \$4 million increase in bad debt expense. For the nine months ended March 27, 2009, SG&A expense was \$149 million, a decrease of \$15 million from the prior-year period. This decrease primarily includes a \$19 million decrease in variable incentive compensation and a \$6 million insurance recovery, offset by a \$5 million increase in bad debt expense and a \$5 million net increase for the expansion of our sales and marketing presence into new regions along with associated infrastructure to support new products and customers.

During the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, we recorded a \$14 million charge related to in-process research and development projects acquired from SiliconSystems. During the nine months ended March 28, 2008, we recorded a \$49 million charge related to an in-process research and development project acquired from Komag.

During the three months ended March 27, 2009, we recorded a \$4 million restructuring charge, which consisted of \$1 million of asset impairment charges, \$1 million of employee termination costs and \$2 million of contract termination and other exit costs. During the nine months ended March 27, 2009, we recorded a \$117 million

restructuring charge, which consisted of \$81 million of asset impairment charges, \$33 million of employee termination costs and \$3 million of contract termination and other exit costs.

Table of Contents**Other Income (Expense)**

Interest income for the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009 decreased \$5 million and \$15 million, respectively, as compared to the prior-year periods primarily due to a decrease in the rates of return on our investments. Interest and other expense for the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009 decreased \$10 million and \$20 million, respectively, as compared to the prior-year periods. This was primarily due to a decrease in the interest rate on a lower amount of debt and other-than-temporary impairment charges on our auction-rate securities of \$1 million and \$10 million in the three and nine months ended March 27, 2009, respectively, compared to \$3 million and \$12 million in other-than-temporary charges in the comparative prior-year periods.

Income Tax Provision

Our income tax provision for the three months ended March 27, 2009 was \$8 million as compared to \$10 million in the prior-year period. Our income tax provision for the nine months ended March 27, 2009 was a net \$20 million expense, which consisted of a tax provision of \$33 million, offset by a \$6 million tax benefit related to the extension of the research and development tax credit, which was enacted into law in October 2008, and a \$7 million favorable adjustment to previously recorded tax accruals to reflect a change in our outlook of future income before taxes. Our income tax provision for the nine months ended March 28, 2008 was \$90 million, which included the tax related to the up front royalty payment on the intercompany license of certain intellectual property rights. Differences between the effective tax rate and the U.S. Federal statutory rate are primarily due to tax holidays in Malaysia and Thailand that expire at various dates through 2022 and the current year generation of income tax credits.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We ended the third quarter of fiscal 2009 with total cash and cash equivalents of \$1.6 billion. The following table summarizes our statements of cash flows for the nine months ended March 27, 2009 and March 28, 2008 (in millions):

	NINE MONTHS ENDED	
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008
Net cash flow provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 956	\$ 1,169
Investing activities	(451)	(1,172)
Financing activities	(30)	220
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 475	\$ 217

Our investment policy is to manage our investment portfolio to preserve principal and liquidity while maximizing return through the full investment of available funds. We believe our current cash, cash equivalents and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital needs through the foreseeable future. Our ability to sustain our working capital position is subject to a number of risks that we discuss in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities during the nine months ended March 27, 2009 was \$956 million as compared to \$1.2 billion during the nine months ended March 28, 2008. Cash flow from operations consists of net income, adjusted for non-cash charges, plus or minus working capital changes. This represents our principal source of cash. Net cash provided by working capital changes was \$193 million for the nine months ended March 27, 2009 as compared to \$51 million for the prior-year period.

Our working capital requirements primarily depend on the effective management of our cash conversion cycle, which measures how quickly we can convert our products into cash through sales. The cash conversion cycles for the quarter ended March 27, 2009 and March 28, 2008 were as follows:

	THREE MONTHS ENDED	
	Mar. 27, 2009	Mar. 28, 2008
Days sales outstanding	47	44
Days in inventory	26	25
Days payables outstanding	(68)	(64)
Cash conversion cycle	5	5

Table of Contents

For the three months ended March 27, 2009, our days sales outstanding (DSOs) increased by 3 days, days in inventory (DIOs) increased by 1 day, and days payable outstanding (DPOs) increased by 4 days as compared to the prior-year period. DSOs increased by 3 days as a result of changes in linearity of shipments in the current quarter as compared to the prior-year period. From time to time, we modify the timing of payments to our vendors. We make modifications primarily to manage our vendor relationships and to manage our cash flows, including our cash balances. Generally, we make the payment modifications through negotiations with our vendors or by granting to, or receiving from, our vendors payment term accommodations.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended March 27, 2009 was \$451 million as compared to \$1.2 billion for the nine months ended March 28, 2008. Investing activities in the nine months ended March 27, 2009 consisted primarily of capital expenditures of \$408 million and \$44 million used in the acquisition of SiliconSystems, net of cash acquired. Investing activities in the nine months ended March 28, 2008 included \$925 million used for the acquisition of Komag, net of cash acquired, and capital expenditures of \$469 million, offset by a \$222 million net cash increase resulting from the sale and purchase of investments.

For fiscal 2009, capital additions are currently expected to be approximately \$500 million and acquisition-related payments are expected to total approximately \$63 million, net of cash acquired. Depreciation and amortization expense for fiscal 2009 is expected to approximate \$480 million.

Our cash equivalents are invested in readily accessible, AAA rated institutional money market funds which are invested in U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. Treasury bills and U.S. Government agency securities. We also have auction-rate securities that are classified as long-term investments as they are expected to be held until secondary markets become available. These investments are currently accounted for as available-for-sale securities and recorded at fair value within other non-current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. The estimated market values of these investments are subject to fluctuation. The carrying value of our investments in auction-rate securities was reduced from \$28 million as of June 27, 2008 to \$18 million as of March 27, 2009, as a result of the recognition of \$10 million in other-than-temporary losses that were recorded through earnings.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended March 27, 2009 was \$30 million as compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$220 million in the prior year. Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended March 27, 2009 resulted primarily from \$36 million used to repurchase our common stock and \$7 million in debt repayments on our capital leases offset by a net \$13 million related to employee stock plans. Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended March 28, 2008 resulted from \$500 million in net proceeds from acquisition related debt, net \$38 million provided by the issuance of common stock under employee plans, offset by a \$250 million repayment of acquired convertible debentures, \$60 million used to repurchase our common stock and \$8 million in debt repayments on our capital leases.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than facility and equipment lease commitments incurred in the normal course of business and certain indemnification provisions (see Contractual Obligations and Commitments below), we do not have any off-balance sheet financing arrangements or liabilities, guarantee contracts, retained or contingent interests in transferred assets, or any obligation arising out of a material variable interest in an unconsolidated entity. We do not have any majority-owned subsidiaries that are not included in our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Additionally, we do not have an interest in, or relationships with, any special-purpose entities.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Credit Facility In February 2008, Western Digital Technologies, Inc. (WDTI), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a five-year Credit Agreement (Credit Facility) that provides for a \$750 million unsecured loan consisting of a \$500 million term loan facility and a \$250 million revolving credit facility. The revolving credit facility includes borrowing capacity available for letters of credit and for short-term borrowings

Table of Contents

referred to as swingline. In addition, WDTI may elect to expand the Credit Facility by up to \$250 million if existing or new lenders provide additional term or revolving commitments. The \$500 million term loan had a variable interest rate of 1.85% as of March 27, 2009 and requires sixteen quarterly principal payments beginning in June 2009 of approximately \$19 million, \$25 million, \$31 million and \$50 million per quarter for each four quarter increment. As of March 27, 2009, WDTI had \$250 million available for future borrowings on the revolving credit facility and was in compliance with all covenants.

Purchase Orders In the normal course of business, we enter into purchase orders with suppliers for the purchase of hard drive components used to manufacture our products. These purchase orders generally cover forecasted component supplies needed for production during the next quarter, are recorded as a liability upon receipt of the components, and generally may be changed or canceled at any time prior to shipment of the components. We also enter into purchase orders with suppliers for capital equipment that are recorded as a liability upon receipt of the equipment. Our ability to change or cancel a capital equipment purchase order without penalty depends on the nature of the equipment being ordered. In some cases, we may be obligated to pay for certain costs related to changes to, or cancellation of, a purchase order, such as costs incurred for raw materials or work in process of components or capital equipment.

We have entered into long-term purchase agreements with various component suppliers, which contain minimum quantity requirements. However, the dollar amount of the purchases may depend on the specific products ordered, achievement of pre-defined quantity or quality specifications or future price negotiations.

We enter into, from time to time, other long-term purchase agreements for components with certain vendors. Generally, purchases under these agreements carry fixed volumes and pricing which obligate us to make certain future purchases, contingent on certain conditions of performance, quality and technology of the vendor's components.

See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contractual Obligations and Commitments in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008, for further discussion of our purchase orders and purchase agreements and the associated dollar amounts. See Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of the risks associated with these commitments.

Forward Exchange Contracts We purchase short-term, forward exchange contracts to hedge the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on certain underlying assets, liabilities and commitments for operating expenses and product costs denominated in foreign currencies. See Part I, Item 3 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the heading

Disclosure About Foreign Currency Risk for a description of our current forward exchange contract commitments and Note 10 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Indemnifications In the ordinary course of business, we may provide indemnifications of varying scope and terms to customers, vendors, lessors, business partners and other parties with respect to certain matters, including, but not limited to, losses arising out of our breach of agreements, products or services to be provided by us, or from intellectual property infringement claims made by third parties. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and certain of our officers that will require us, among other things, to indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers. We maintain director and officer insurance, which may cover certain liabilities arising from our obligation to indemnify our directors and officers in certain circumstances.

It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Such indemnification agreements may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, we have not incurred material costs as a result of obligations under these agreements.

Stock Repurchase Program Our Board of Directors previously authorized us to repurchase \$750 million of our common stock in open market transactions under a program through March 31, 2013. Since the inception of this stock repurchase program, through March 27, 2009, we have repurchased 18 million shares for a total cost of \$284

Table of Contents

million (including commissions). We expect stock repurchases to be funded principally by operating cash flows. We may continue to repurchase our stock as we deem appropriate and market conditions allow.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits As of March 27, 2009, our total cash liability representing unrecognized tax benefits was \$38 million. We estimate the timing of the future payments of these liabilities to be within the next five years. See Part I, Item 1, Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for information regarding our tax liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We have prepared the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of the financial statements requires the use of judgment and estimates that affect the reported amounts of revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity. We have adopted accounting policies and practices that are generally accepted in the industry in which we operate. We believe the following are our most critical accounting policies that affect significant areas and involve judgment and estimates made by us. If these estimates differ significantly from actual results, the impact to the consolidated financial statements may be material.

Revenue and Accounts Receivable

In accordance with standard industry practice, we provide resellers with limited price protection for inventories held by resellers at the time of published list price reductions and other sales incentive programs. In accordance with current accounting standards, we recognize revenue upon delivery to OEMs, ODMs and resellers and record a reduction of revenue for estimated price protection and other programs in effect until the resellers sell such inventory to their customers. We base these adjustments on several factors including anticipated price decreases during the reseller holding period, reseller's sell-through and inventory levels, estimated amounts to be reimbursed to qualifying customers, historical pricing information and customer claim processing. If customer demand for hard drives or market conditions differ from our expectations, our operating results could be affected. We also have programs under which we reimburse qualified distributors and retailers for certain marketing expenditures which are recorded as a reduction of revenue. We apply the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force No. 01-9, Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products) and such sales incentive and marketing programs are recorded as a reduction of revenue.

We record an allowance for doubtful accounts by analyzing specific customer accounts and assessing the risk of loss based on insolvency, disputes or other collection issues. In addition, we routinely analyze the different receivable aging categories and establish reserves based on a combination of past due receivables and expected future losses based primarily on our historical levels of bad debt losses. If the financial condition of a significant customer deteriorates resulting in its inability to pay its accounts when due, or if our overall loss history changes significantly, an adjustment in our allowance for doubtful accounts would be required, which could affect operating results.

We establish provisions against revenue and cost of revenue for sales returns in the same period that the related revenue is recognized. We base these provisions on existing product return notifications. If actual sales returns exceed expectations, an increase in the sales return accrual would be required, which could negatively affect operating results.

Table of Contents***Warranty***

We record an accrual for estimated warranty costs when revenue is recognized. We generally warrant our products for a period of one to five years. Our warranty provision considers estimated product failure rates and trends, estimated repair or replacement costs and estimated costs for customer compensatory claims related to product quality issues, if any. We use a statistical warranty tracking model to help prepare our estimates and we exercise judgment in determining the underlying estimates. Our statistical tracking model captures specific detail on hard drive reliability, such as factory test data, historical field return rates, and costs to repair by product type. If actual product return trends, costs to repair returned products or costs of customer compensatory claims differ significantly from our estimates, our future results of operations could be materially affected. Also, during a period of declining revenue, the percentage of warranty utilization to revenue may increase. Our judgment is subject to a greater degree of subjectivity with respect to newly introduced products because of limited field experience with those products upon which to base our warranty estimates. We review our warranty accrual quarterly for products shipped in prior periods and which are still under warranty. Any changes in the estimates underlying the accrual may result in adjustments that impact current period gross margin and income. Such changes are generally a result of differences between forecasted and actual return rate experience and costs to repair. For a summary of historical changes in estimates related to pre-existing warranty provisions, refer to Part I, Item 1, Note 2 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Inventory

We value inventories at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out and weighted average methods) or net realizable value. We use the first-in, first-out method to value the cost of the majority of our inventories, while we use the weighted-average method to value our precious metals. Weighted-average cost is calculated based upon the cost of precious metals at the time they are received by us. We have determined that it is not practicable to assign specific costs to individual units of precious metals and, as such, we value our precious metals inventory based on the weighted-average cost of the inventory at the time the inventory is used in production. The weighted average method of valuing precious metals does not materially differ from a first-in, first-out method. We record inventory write-downs for the valuation of inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value by analyzing market conditions and estimates of future sales prices as compared to inventory costs and inventory balances.

We evaluate inventory balances for excess quantities and obsolescence on a regular basis by analyzing estimated demand, inventory on hand, sales levels and other information, and reduce inventory balances to net realizable value for excess and obsolete inventory based on this analysis. Unanticipated changes in technology or customer demand could result in a decrease in demand for one or more of our products, which may require a write down of inventory that could negatively affect operating results.

Litigation and Other Contingencies

We apply Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies , to determine when and how much to accrue for and disclose related to legal and other contingencies. Accordingly, we disclose material contingencies deemed to be reasonably possible and accrue loss contingencies when, in consultation with our legal advisors, we conclude that a loss is probable and reasonably estimable (Refer to Part I, Item 1, Note 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q). The ability to predict the ultimate outcome of such matters involves judgments, estimates and inherent uncertainties. The actual outcome of such matters could differ materially from management's estimates.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which provides that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities and expected benefits of utilizing net operating loss (NOL) and tax credit carryforwards. We record a valuation allowance where it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Each period we evaluate the need for a valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets and we adjust the valuation allowance so that we record net deferred tax assets only to the extent that we conclude it is more likely than not that these deferred tax assets will be realized.

Table of Contents

We recognize liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on the two-step process prescribed in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes- an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 . To the extent a tax position does not meet a more-likely-than-not level of certainty, no benefit is recognized in the financial statements. If a position meets the more-likely-than-not level of certainty, it is recognized in the financial statements at the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are recognized on liabilities recorded for uncertain tax positions and are recorded in our provision for income taxes. The actual liability for unrealized tax benefit in any such contingency may be materially different from our estimates, which could result in the need to record additional liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits or potentially adjust previously recorded liabilities for unrealized tax benefits.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for all stock-based compensation in accordance with the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)). Under these provisions, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized as expense over the vesting period. The fair values of all stock options granted are estimated using a binomial model, and the fair values of all Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) shares are estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. Both the binomial and the Black-Scholes-Merton models require the input of highly subjective assumptions. Under SFAS 123(R), we are required to use judgment in estimating the amount of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. If actual forfeitures differ significantly from the original estimate, stock-based compensation expense and our results of operations could be materially impacted.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value under U.S. GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. In February 2008, FASB issued FASB Staff Position 157-2 (FSP 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those years, which for us is the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The partial adoption of SFAS 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities in our first quarter of fiscal 2009 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. We are currently evaluating the impact the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on the non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities in our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings. We chose not to elect the fair value option for eligible items, and accordingly, the adoption of SFAS 159 in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 had no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations (SFAS 141(R)). SFAS 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. SFAS 141(R) also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase and determines what information to disclose to enable users of financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, which for the Company is the first quarter of fiscal 2010. SFAS 141(R) will impact the Company's consolidated financial statements for business combinations with an acquisition date on or after adoption in the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 updates guidance regarding disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. The adoption of SFAS 161 for hedging instruments in our third quarter of fiscal 2009 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets* (FSP FAS 142-3), which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142 *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* . FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, which for us is the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact the adoption of FSP FAS 142-3 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and ABP 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP FAS 107-1 and ABP 28-1), which amends SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* , and ABP Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting* , to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim and annual reporting periods. FSP FAS 107-1 and ABP 28-1 is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, which for the Company is the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK
Disclosure About Foreign Currency Risk

Although the majority of our transactions are in U.S. dollars, some transactions are based in various foreign currencies. We purchase short-term, foreign exchange contracts to hedge the impact of foreign currency exchange fluctuations on certain underlying assets, liabilities and commitments for operating expenses and product costs denominated in foreign currencies. The purpose of entering into these hedge transactions is to minimize the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on our results of operations. The contract maturity dates do not exceed 12 months. We do not purchase short-term forward exchange contracts for trading purposes. Currently, we focus on hedging our foreign currency risk related to the Thai Baht, Malaysian Ringgit, Euro and the British Pound Sterling. Malaysian Ringgit contracts are designated as cash flow hedges. Euro and British Pound Sterling contracts are designated as fair value hedges. Thai Baht contracts are designated as both cash flow and fair value hedges. See Part II, Item 8, Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008 and Note 10 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

As of March 27, 2009, we had outstanding the following purchased foreign exchange contracts (in millions, except weighted average contract rate):

	Contract Amount	Weighted Average Contract Rate*	Unrealized Gain (Loss)
Foreign exchange contracts:			
Thai Baht cash flow hedges	\$321	35.06	\$ (2)
Thai Baht fair value hedges	\$ 26	35.59	
Malaysian Ringgit cash flow hedges	\$ 43	3.38	\$ (1)
Euro fair value hedges	\$ 13	0.75	
British Pound Sterling fair value hedges	\$ 5	0.69	

* Expressed in units of foreign currency per dollar.

During the nine-month periods ended March 27, 2009 and March 28, 2008, total net realized transaction and forward exchange contract currency gains and losses were not material to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Disclosure About Other Market Risks***Variable Interest Rate Risk*

Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at a rate equal to, at the option of WDTI, either (a) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the cost of funds for Eurodollar deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing, adjusted for certain additional costs (the Eurocurrency Rate) or (b) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (i) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% and (ii) the prime rate as announced by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (the Base Rate), in each case plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin for borrowings under the term loan facility ranges from 1.25% to 1.50% with respect to borrowings at the Eurocurrency Rate and 0.0% to 0.125% with respect to borrowings at the Base Rate. The applicable margin for revolving loan borrowings under the revolving credit facility ranges from 0.8% to 1.125% with respect to borrowings at the Eurocurrency Rate and 0.0% to 0.125% with respect to borrowings at the Base Rate. The applicable margins for borrowings under the Credit Facility are determined based upon a leverage ratio of the Company and its subsidiaries calculated on a consolidated basis. If either the base rate or LIBOR rate increase, our interest payments would also increase. A one percent increase in the variable rate of interest on the Credit Facility would increase interest expense by approximately \$5 million annually.

Credit Market Risk

Our long-term investments consist of auction-rate securities totaling \$18 million as of March 27, 2009. The negative conditions in the global credit markets have prevented us from liquidating some of our holdings of auction rate securities because the amount of securities submitted for sale has exceeded the amount of purchase orders for such securities. If the credit markets do not improve, auctions for our invested amounts may continue to fail. If this occurs, we may be unable to liquidate some or all of our auction-rate securities at par should we need or desire to access the funds invested in those securities prior to maturity of the underlying assets. In the event we need or desire to access these funds, we will not be able to do so until a future auction on these investments is successful or a buyer is found outside the auction process. If a buyer is found but is unwilling to purchase the investments at par, we may incur a loss. The market values of some of the auction-rate securities we owned were impacted by the macro-economic credit market conditions. Rating downgrades of the security issuer or the third-parties insuring such investments may require us to adjust the carrying value of these investments through an impairment charge. Based on our ability to access our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, our expected operating cash flows and our other sources of cash, we do not anticipate these investments will affect our ability to execute our current business plan.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 27, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

For a description of our legal proceedings, see Note 6 of our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated by reference in response to this item.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

We have updated a number of the risk factors affecting our business since those presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Part I, Item 1A, for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2008. Except for the first eight risk factors below that we previously updated and disclosed in Part II, Item 1A of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed January 29, 2009, there have been no material changes in our assessment of the risk factors. All of our risk factors are included below. *Negative worldwide economic conditions could continue to result in a decrease in our sales and revenue and an increase in our operating costs, which could continue to adversely affect our business and operating results.*

If the current worldwide economic downturn continues, many of our direct and indirect customers may continue to delay or reduce their purchases of hard drives and systems containing hard drives. In addition, many of our customers in each of the OEM, distribution and retail channels rely on credit financing in order to purchase our products. If the negative conditions in the global credit markets continue to prevent our customers' access to credit, product orders in these channels may continue to decrease which could result in lower revenue. Likewise, if our suppliers continue to face challenges in obtaining credit, in selling their products or otherwise in operating their businesses, they may become unable to continue to offer the materials we use to manufacture our products. These actions could continue to result in reductions in our revenue, increased price competition and increased operating costs, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Negative worldwide economic conditions could prevent us from accurately forecasting demand for our products which could adversely affect our operating results or market share.

The current negative worldwide economic conditions and market instability makes it increasingly difficult for us, our customers and our suppliers to accurately forecast future product demand trends, which could cause us to produce excess products that can depress product prices and increase our inventory carrying costs and result in obsolete inventory. Alternatively, this forecasting difficulty could cause a shortage of products, or materials used in our products, that could result in an inability to satisfy demand for our products and a loss of market share. For a further discussion of these risks, please see the risk factor below entitled *Our failure to accurately forecast market and customer demand for our products could adversely affect our business and financial results or operating efficiencies.* *Negative global economic conditions increase the risk that we could suffer unrecoverable losses on our customers accounts receivable which would adversely affect our financial results.*

We extend credit and payment terms to some of our customers. In addition to ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition, we traditionally seek to mitigate our credit risk by purchasing credit insurance on certain of our accounts receivable balances; however, as a result of the current negative economic worldwide conditions, we may find it increasingly difficult to be able to insure these accounts receivable. We could suffer significant losses if a customer whose accounts receivable we have not insured, or underinsured, fails and is unable to pay us. Additionally, as global economic conditions worsen, the risk increases that if a customer whose accounts receivable we have insured fails, the financial condition of the insurance carrier for such customer account may have also deteriorated such that it cannot cover our loss. A significant loss of an accounts receivable that we cannot recover through credit insurance would have a negative impact on our financial results.

Our entry into additional storage markets increases the complexity of our business and if we are unable to successfully adapt our business processes as required by these new markets we will be at a competitive disadvantage and our ability to grow will be adversely affected.

As we expand our product line to sell into additional storage markets, the overall complexity of our business increases at an accelerated rate and we must make necessary adaptations to our business model to address these complexities. For example, as we have previously disclosed, we have been investing in technology to develop and support a product line to sell to mainstream enterprise market customers. In addition to requiring significant capital expenditures, our entry into the mainstream enterprise market adds complexity to our business that requires us to effectively adapt our business and management processes to address the unique challenges and different requirements of the mainstream enterprise market, while maintaining a competitive operating cost model. If we fail or are delayed in our attempts to enter into the mainstream enterprise storage market, we will remain at a competitive disadvantage to the companies that serve this market and our ability to continue our growth will be negatively affected.

Table of Contents

If we fail to be competitive against alternative storage technologies our business may suffer.

Our success depends in part on our ability to develop and introduce new products in a timely manner in order to keep pace with competing technologies. Companies that produce alternative storage technologies like solid-state storage, or flash memory technology, have helped advance acceptance of netbooks in the PC market, and have successfully served digital entertainment markets for products such as digital cameras, MP3 players, USB flash drives and mobile phones that require lower storage capacity devices that cannot be economically manufactured using hard drive technology. Typically, storage needs for higher capacity and performance, with lower cost-per-gigabyte, have been better served by hard drives. However, advances in semiconductor technology have resulted in flash memory emerging as a technology that is competitive with hard drives for niche high performance needs in advanced digital computing markets such as enterprise servers and storage, in spite of the associated challenges in the attributes of cost, capacity and reliability. Additionally, solid-state storage is produced by large semiconductor companies who can sell their products at lower prices and operate their solid-state storage business unit at a loss while still remaining profitable overall in an attempt to gain market share. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in anticipating and developing new products for the desktop, mobile, enterprise, CE and external storage markets in response to solid-state storage, as well as other competing technologies. If our hard drive technology fails to offer higher capacity, performance and reliability with lower cost-per-gigabyte than solid-state storage for the desktop, mobile, enterprise, CE and external storage markets, we will be at a competitive disadvantage to companies using semiconductor technology and our business will suffer.

If the worldwide economic downturn worsens, we may have to take additional steps to align our cost structure with demand, which could result in increased impairment charges and have a negative impact on our operating results.

As we previously announced, we committed to a business restructuring plan intended to realign our cost structure with a softer demand environment. If the worldwide economic downturn worsens and demand continues to soften, we may be forced to execute additional restructuring activities to realign our cost structure with softening demand. Additional restructuring activities could result in impairment charges and other expenses in excess of what we anticipated when we previously announced our restructuring plan, either of which could adversely impact our results of operations or financial condition.

The outcome of our ongoing domestic and foreign tax audits may negatively impact our operating results.

As we have previously disclosed, we are under examination of certain of our fiscal years by the United States Internal Revenue Service (the IRS). Separately, our French subsidiary is under examination by the French tax authorities. Although we believe our tax positions for the years under review are reasonable, the outcomes and timing of these audits are subject to significant uncertainty and could result in us having to pay amounts to the IRS or French tax authorities in order to resolve examination of our tax positions, which could result in an increase or decrease of our current estimate of unrecognized tax benefits, which may negatively impact our financial position, results of operations, net income or cash flows.

If our long-lived assets or goodwill become impaired, it may adversely affect our operating results.

If the current worldwide economic downturn continues, it could result in circumstances, such as a sustained decline in our stock price and market capitalization or a decrease in our forecasted cash flows such that they are insufficient, indicating that the carrying value of our long-lived assets or goodwill may be impaired. If we are required to record a significant charge to earnings in our consolidated financial statements because an impairment of our long-lived assets or goodwill is determined, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

Declines in average selling prices (ASPs) in the hard drive industry could adversely affect our operating results.

Historically, the hard drive industry has experienced declining ASPs. Our ASPs tend to decline when competitors lower prices as a result of decreased costs or to absorb excess capacity, liquidate excess inventories,

Table of Contents

restructure or attempt to gain market share. Our ASPs also decline when there is a shift in the mix of product sales, and sales of lower priced products increase relative to those of higher priced products. When ASPs in the hard drive industry decline, our ASPs are also likely to decline, which adversely affects our operating results.

If we fail to anticipate or timely respond to changes in the markets for hard drives, our operating results could be adversely affected.

During past economic downturns, as well as over the past few years, the consumer market for computers has shifted significantly towards lower priced systems and we therefore expect this trend to continue in light of the current negative worldwide macroeconomic conditions. If we are not able to continue to offer a competitively priced hard drive for the low-cost PC market, our share of that market will likely fall, which could harm our operating results. The market for hard drives is also fragmenting into a variety of devices and products. Many industry analysts expect, as do we, that, as content increasingly converts to digital technology from the older, analog technology, the technology of computers and consumer electronics will continue to converge, and hard drives may be found in many products other than computers, such as various CE devices. Accurate forecasts for future requirements of these new markets remain challenging.

Moreover, some devices, such as personal video recorders and digital video recorders, or some new PC operating systems which allow greater consumer choice in levels of functionality, therefore allowing for greater market differentiation, may require attributes not currently offered in our products, resulting in a need to develop new interfaces, form factors, technical specifications or product features, increasing our overall operational expense without corresponding incremental revenue at this stage. If we are not successful in continuing to deploy our hard drive technology and expertise to develop new products for emerging markets such as CE, or if we are required to incur significant costs in developing such products, it may harm our operating results.

Our prices and margins are subject to declines due to unpredictable end-user demand and oversupply of hard drives.

Demand for our hard drives depends on the demand for systems manufactured by our customers and on storage upgrades to existing systems. The demand for systems has been volatile in the past and often has had an exaggerated effect on the demand for hard drives in any given period. As a result, the hard drive market has experienced periods of excess capacity which can lead to liquidation of excess inventories and intense price competition. If intense price competition occurs, we may be forced to lower prices sooner and more than expected, which could result in lower revenue and gross margins.

Our failure to accurately forecast market and customer demand for our products could adversely affect our business and financial results or operating efficiencies.

The hard drive industry faces difficulties in accurately forecasting market and customer demand for its products. The variety and volume of products we manufacture is based in part on these forecasts. If our forecasts exceed actual market demand, or if market demand decreases significantly from our forecasts, then we could experience periods of product oversupply and price decreases, which could impact our financial performance. If our forecasts do not meet actual market demand, or if market demand increases significantly beyond our forecasts or beyond our ability to add manufacturing capacity, then we may not be able to satisfy customer product needs, which could result in a loss of market share if our competitors are able to meet customer demands.

Although we receive forecasts from our customers, they are not generally obligated to purchase the forecasted amounts. Sales volumes in the distribution and retail channels are volatile and harder to predict than sales to our OEM or ODM customers. We consider these forecasts in determining our component needs and our inventory requirements. If we fail to accurately forecast our customers' product demands, we may have inadequate or excess inventory of our products or components, which could adversely affect our operating results.

In order to efficiently and timely meet the demands of many of our OEM customers, we position our products in multiple strategic locations based on the amounts forecasted by such customers. If an OEM customer's actual product demands decrease significantly from its forecast, then we may incur additional costs in re-locating the

Table of Contents

products that have not been purchased by the OEM. This could result in a delay in our product sales and an increase in our operating costs, which may negatively impact our operating results.

Increases in areal density may outpace customers' demand for storage capacity, which may lower the prices our customers are willing to pay for new products or put us at a disadvantage to competing technologies.

Historically, the industry has experienced periods of variable areal density growth rates. When the rate of areal density growth increases, the rate of increase may exceed the increase in our customers' demand for aggregate storage capacity. Furthermore, our customers' demand for storage capacity may not continue to grow at current industry estimates as a result of developments in the regulation and enforcement of digital rights management or otherwise. These factors could lead to our customers' storage capacity needs being satisfied with lower capacity hard drives or solid-state storage products at lower prices, thereby decreasing our revenue or putting us at a disadvantage to competing storage technologies. As a result, even with increasing aggregate demand for storage capacity, our ASPs could decline, which could adversely affect our operating results.

Expansion into new hard drive markets may cause our capital expenditures to increase and if we do not successfully expand into new markets, our business may suffer.

To remain a significant supplier of hard drives, we will need to offer a broad range of hard drive products to our customers. We currently offer a variety of 3.5-inch or 2.5-inch hard drives for the desktop, mobile, enterprise, CE and external storage markets. However, demand for hard drives may shift to products in form factors or with interfaces that our competitors offer but which we do not. Expansion into other hard drive markets and resulting increases in manufacturing capacity requirements may require us to make substantial additional investments in part because our operations are largely vertically integrated now that we manufacture heads and media for use in many of the hard drives we manufacture. If we fail to successfully expand into new hard drive markets with products that we do not currently offer, we may lose business to our competitors who offer these products.

If we fail to successfully manage our new product development or new market expansion, or if we fail to anticipate the issues associated with such development or expansion, our business may suffer.

While we continue to develop new products and look to expand into other hard drive markets, the success of our new product introductions depends on a number of factors, including our ability to anticipate and manage a variety of issues associated with these new products and new markets, such as:

difficulties faced in manufacturing ramp;

market acceptance;

effective management of inventory levels in line with anticipated product demand; and

quality problems or other defects in the early stages of new product introduction that were not anticipated in the design of those products.

Further, we need to identify how any of the hard drive markets into which we are expanding may have different characteristics from the markets in which we currently exist and properly address these differences. These characteristics may include:

demand volume requirements;

demand seasonality;

product generation development rates;

customer concentrations;

warranty expectations and product return policies; and

cost, performance and compatibility requirements.

Table of Contents

Our business may suffer if we fail to successfully anticipate and manage these issues associated with our product development and market expansion. For example, our branded products are designed to attach to and interoperate with a wide variety of PC and CE devices and therefore their functionality is reliant on the manufacturer of such devices, or the associated operating systems, enabling the manufacturer's devices to operate with our branded products. If our branded products are not compatible with a wide variety of devices, or if device manufacturers design their devices so that our branded products cannot operate with them, and we cannot quickly and efficiently adapt our branded products to address these compatibility issues, our business could suffer.

Expanding into new markets exposes our business to different seasonal demand cycles, which in turn could adversely affect our operating results.

The CE and retail markets have different seasonal pricing and volume demand cycles as compared to the PC market. By expanding into these markets, we became exposed to seasonal fluctuations that are different from, and in addition to, those of the PC market. For example, because the primary customer for products such as our branded products are individual consumers, these markets experience a dramatic increase in demand during the winter holiday season. If we do not properly adjust our supply to new demand cycles such as this, we risk having excess inventory during periods of low demand and insufficient inventory during periods of high demand, therefore adversely affecting our operating results.

If we do not adapt to the changing requirements or predict the size and demands of the 2.5-inch hard drive markets, our market share and business may suffer.

To remain a market leader for 2.5-inch hard drives in the mobile market, we must predict and successfully adapt to the requirements of the mobile markets, such as different features, performance and form factors. As the mobile market evolves, the requirements in this market may expand for products that we do not currently offer such as solid-state storage products for the mobile market or 1.8-inch form factor hard drives. We must predict and quickly react to these changing requirements especially as the mobile market becomes an increasingly more important part of our overall business.

If we do not predict the size and demands of the markets for 2.5-inch hard drives, including the mobile market, we may lose our market leadership position and our business may suffer. For example, if a market that traditionally has used 3.5-inch hard drives, such as the desktop PC market, shifts its volume demand to 2.5-inch hard drives at a faster rate than we anticipate, our ability to meet the demands of such market, as well as continuing to meet the demands of the mobile market, may be impaired, which may cause us to lose market share and our business may suffer.

Selling to the retail market is an important part of our business, and if consumer spending continues to decrease, or if we fail to maintain and grow our market share or gain market acceptance of our branded products, our operating results could suffer.

Selling branded products is an important part of our business and as our branded products revenue increases as a portion of our overall revenue, our success in the retail market becomes increasingly important to our operating results. If consumer spending continues to decrease as a result of the current worldwide economic downturn, our operating results could suffer because of the increased importance of our branded products business.

We sell our branded products directly to a select group of major retailers, such as computer superstores and CE stores, and authorize sales through distributors to other retailers and online resellers. Our current retail customer base is primarily in the United States, Canada and Europe. We are facing increased competition from other companies for shelf space at a small number of major retailers that have strong buying power and pricing leverage. If customers no longer maintain a preference for WD®-brand products or if we fail to successfully expand into multiple channels, our operating results may be adversely affected.

Additionally, we face strong competition in maintaining and trying to grow our market share in the retail market, particularly because of the relatively low barriers to entry in this market. For example, several additional hard drive manufacturers have recently disclosed plans to expand into the external storage market and as these companies

Table of Contents

attempt to gain market share, we may have difficulty in maintaining or growing our market share and there may be increased downward pressure on pricing. We will continue to introduce new products in the retail market that incorporate our disk drives; however, there can be no assurance that these products will gain market acceptance, and if they do not, our operating results could suffer.

Loss of market share with or by a key customer could harm our operating results.

During the quarter ended March 27, 2009, a large percentage of our revenue came from sales to our top 10 customers, which accounted for 47% of our revenue. These customers have a variety of suppliers to choose from and therefore can make substantial demands on us, including demands on product pricing and on contractual terms, which often results in the allocation of risk to us as the supplier. Even if we successfully qualify a product with a customer, the customer is not generally obligated to purchase any minimum volume of products from us and may be able to cancel an order or terminate its relationship with us at any time. Our ability to maintain strong relationships with our principal customers is essential to our future performance. If we lose a key customer, if any of our key customers reduce their orders of our products or require us to reduce our prices before we are able to reduce costs, if a customer is acquired by one of our competitors or if a key customer suffers financial hardship, our operating results would likely be harmed. In addition, if customer pressures require us to reduce our pricing such that our gross margins are diminished, we could decide not to sell our products to a particular customer, which could result in a decrease in our revenue.

Current or future competitors may gain a technology advantage or develop an advantageous cost structure that we cannot match.

It may be possible for our current or future competitors to gain an advantage in product technology, manufacturing technology, or process technology, which may allow them to offer products or services that have a significant advantage over the products and services that we offer. Advantages could be in capacity, performance, reliability, serviceability, or other attributes. We may be at a competitive disadvantage to any companies that are able to gain these advantages.

Further industry consolidation could provide competitive advantages to our competitors.

The hard drive industry has experienced consolidation over the past several years. Consolidation by our competitors may enhance their capacity, abilities and resources and lower their cost structure, causing us to be at a competitive disadvantage. Additionally, continued industry consolidation may lead to uncertainty in areas such as component availability, which could negatively impact our cost structure.

Sales in the distribution channel are important to our business, and if we fail to maintain brand preference with our distributors or if distribution markets for hard drives weaken, our operating results could suffer.

Our distribution customers typically sell to small computer manufacturers, dealers, systems integrators and other resellers. We face significant competition in this channel as a result of limited product qualification programs and a significant focus on price and availability of product. If we fail to remain competitive in terms of our technology, quality, service and support, our distribution customers may favor our competitors, and our operating results could suffer. We also face significant risk in the distribution market for hard drives. If the distribution market weakens as a result of a slowing PC growth rate, technology transitions or a significant change in consumer buying preference from white box to branded PCs, or we experience significant price declines due to oversupply in the distribution channel, then our operating results would be adversely affected.

The hard drive industry is highly competitive and can be characterized by significant shifts in market share among the major competitors.

The price of hard drives has fallen over time due to increases in supply, cost reductions, technological advances and price reductions by competitors seeking to liquidate excess inventories or attempting to gain market share. In addition, rapid technological changes often reduce the volume and profitability of sales of existing products and increase the risk of inventory obsolescence. These factors, taken together, may result in significant shifts in market share among the industry's major participants. In addition, product recalls can lead to a loss of market share, which could adversely affect our operating results.

Table of Contents

Some of our competitors with diversified business units outside the hard drive industry may over extended periods of time sell hard drives at prices that we cannot profitably match.

Some of our competitors earn a significant portion of their revenue from business units outside the hard drive industry. Because they do not depend solely on sales of hard drives to achieve profitability, they may sell hard drives at lower prices and operate their hard drive business unit at a loss over an extended period of time while still remaining profitable overall. In addition, if these competitors can increase sales of non-hard drive products to the same customers, they may benefit from selling their hard drives at lower prices. Our operating results may be adversely affected if we cannot successfully compete with the pricing by these companies.

If we fail to qualify our products with our customers or if product life cycles lengthen, it may have a significant adverse impact on our sales and margins.

We regularly engage in new product qualification with our customers. Once a product is accepted for qualification testing, failures or delays in the qualification process can result in delayed or reduced product sales, reduced product margins caused by having to continue to offer a more costly current generation product, or lost sales to that customer until the next generation of products is introduced. The effect of missing a product qualification opportunity is magnified by the limited number of high volume OEMs, which continue to consolidate their share of the storage markets. Likewise, if product life cycles lengthen, we may have a significantly longer period to wait before we have an opportunity to qualify a new product with a customer, which could reduce our profits because we expect declining gross margins on our current generation products as a result of competitive pressures.

We are subject to risks related to product defects, which could result in product recalls and could subject us to warranty claims in excess of our warranty provisions or which are greater than anticipated due to the unenforceability of liability limitations.

We warrant the majority of our products for periods of one to five years. We test our hard drives in our manufacturing facilities through a variety of means. However, there can be no assurance that our testing will reveal latent defects in our products, which may not become apparent until after the products have been sold into the market. Accordingly, there is a risk that product defects will occur, which could require a product recall. Product recalls can be expensive to implement and, if a product recall occurs during the product's warranty period, we may be required to replace the defective product. In addition, a product recall may damage our relationship with our customers, and we may lose market share with our customers, including our OEM and ODM customers.

Our standard warranties contain limits on damages and exclusions of liability for consequential damages and for misuse, improper installation, alteration, accident or mishandling while in the possession of someone other than us. We record an accrual for estimated warranty costs at the time revenue is recognized. We may incur additional operating expenses if our warranty provision does not reflect the actual cost of resolving issues related to defects in our products. If these additional expenses are significant, it could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

A competitive cost structure is critical to our operating results and increased costs may adversely affect our operating margin.

A competitive cost structure for our products, including critical components, labor and overhead, is critical to the success of our business, and our operating results depend on our ability to maintain competitive cost structures on new and established products. If our competitors are able to achieve a lower cost structure for manufacturing hard drives, and we are unable to match their cost structure, we could be at a competitive disadvantage to those competitors. *Shortages of commodity materials, price volatility, or use by other industries of materials used in the hard drive industry, may increase our cost structure.*

There are costs for certain commodity materials, an increase of which increases our costs of manufacturing and transporting hard drives and key components. Shortages of materials such as stainless steel, aluminum, nickel, neodymium, ruthenium or platinum increase our costs and may result in lower operating margins if we are unable to

Table of Contents

find ways to mitigate these increased costs. For example, perpendicular recording technology requires increased usage of precious metals such as ruthenium and platinum, the price of which may continue to be volatile, which could adversely affect our operating margins. Furthermore, if other high volume industries increase their demand for materials such as these, our costs may further increase which could have an adverse effect on our operating margins. The volatility in the cost of oil also affects our transportation costs and may result in lower operating margins if we are unable to pass these increased costs through to our customers.

If we fail to maintain effective relationships with our major component suppliers, our supply of critical components may be at risk and our profitability could suffer.

We make most of our own heads and media for some of our product families; however, we do not manufacture many of the component parts used in our hard drives. As a result, the success of our products depends on our ability to gain access to and integrate parts from reliable component suppliers. To do so, we must effectively manage our relationships with our major component suppliers. We must also effectively integrate different products from a variety of suppliers, each of which employs variations on technology, which can impact, for example, feasible combinations of heads and media components. For example, in August 2003, we settled litigation with a supplier who previously was the sole source of read channel devices for our hard drives. As a result of the disputes that gave rise to the litigation, our profitability was at risk until another supplier's read channel devices could be designed into our products. Similar disputes with other strategic component suppliers could adversely affect our operating results.

Violation of labor or environmental laws and practices by our suppliers or sub-suppliers could harm our business.

We expect our suppliers to operate in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including labor and environmental laws, and to otherwise meet our required supplier standards of conduct. While our internal operating guidelines promote ethical business practices, we do not control our suppliers or sub-suppliers or their labor or environmental practices. The violation of labor, environmental or other laws by any of our suppliers or sub-suppliers, or divergence of a supplier's or sub-supplier's labor or environmental practices from those generally accepted as ethical in the U.S., could harm our business by:

interrupting or otherwise disrupting the shipment of our product components;

damaging our reputation;

forcing us to find alternate component sources;

reducing demand for our products (for example, through a consumer boycott); or

exposing us to potential liability for our supplier's or sub-supplier's wrongdoings.

Dependence on a limited number of qualified suppliers of components and manufacturing equipment could lead to delays, lost revenue or increased costs.

Certain components are available from a limited number of suppliers, and we are sole sourced with some of these suppliers on certain products. Because we depend on a limited number of suppliers for certain product components and manufacturing equipment, each of the following could significantly harm our operating results:

an unwillingness of a supplier to supply such components or equipment to us;

an increase in the cost of such components or equipment;

an extended shortage of required components or equipment;

consolidation of key suppliers, such as the acquisition of Brilliant Manufacturing Limited by Nidec Corporation, the acquisition of Agere Systems Inc. by LSI Corporation, the acquisition of Infineon Technologies' hard drive semiconductor business by LSI Corporation, the acquisition of Alps Electric Co. Ltd.'s magnetic device division's assets and related intellectual property by TDK Corp, and the acquisition of Magnecom Precision Technology Public Company Limited by TDK Corp;

Table of Contents

failure of a key supplier's business process;

a key supplier's or sub-supplier's inability to access credit necessary to operate its business; or

failure of a key supplier to remain in business, to remain an independent merchant supplier, to adjust to market conditions, or to meet our quality, yield or production requirements.

If components and equipment that we use are available from only a limited number of suppliers or are in short supply, it may negatively impact our production and cause us to lose revenue.

Our future operating results may also depend substantially on our suppliers' ability to timely qualify their components in our programs, and their ability to supply us with these components in sufficient volumes to meet our production requirements. A number of the components that we use are available from only a single or limited number of qualified outside suppliers, and may be used across multiple product lines. In addition, some of the components (or component types) used in our products are used in other devices, such as mobile telephones and digital cameras. If there is a significant simultaneous upswing in demand for such a component (or component type) from several high volume industries, resulting in a supply reduction, or a component is otherwise in short supply, or if a supplier fails to qualify or has a quality issue with a component, we may experience delays or increased costs in obtaining that component. If we are unable to obtain sufficient quantities of materials used in the manufacture of magnetic components, or other necessary components, we may experience production delays which could cause us loss of revenue. If a component becomes unavailable, we could suffer significant loss of revenue.

In addition, certain equipment we use in our manufacturing or testing processes is available only from a limited number of suppliers. Some of this equipment uses materials that at times could be in short supply. If these materials are not available, or are not available in the quantities we require for our manufacturing and testing processes, our ability to manufacture our products could be impacted, and we could suffer significant loss of revenue.

Contractual commitments with component suppliers may result in us paying increased charges and cash advances for such components or causing us to have inadequate or excess component inventory.

To reduce the risk of component shortages, we attempt to provide significant lead times when buying components which may subject us to cancellation charges if we cancel orders as a result of technology transitions or changes in our component needs. In addition, we may from time to time enter into contractual commitments with component suppliers in an effort to increase and stabilize the supply of those components and enable us to purchase such components at favorable prices. Some of these commitments may require us to buy a substantial number of components from the supplier or make significant cash advances to the supplier; however, these commitments may not result in a satisfactory increase or stabilization of the supply of such components. Furthermore, as a result of the current negative worldwide economic conditions, our ability to forecast our requirements for these components has become increasingly difficult, therefore increasing the risk that our contractual commitments may not meet our actual supply requirements, causing us to have inadequate or excess component inventory, which could adversely affect our operating results and increase our operating costs.

Failure by certain suppliers to effectively and efficiently develop and manufacture components, technology or production equipment for our products may adversely affect our operations.

We rely on suppliers for various component parts that we integrate into our hard drives but do not manufacture ourselves, such as semiconductors, motors, flex circuits and suspensions. Likewise, we rely on suppliers for certain technology and equipment necessary for advanced development technology for future products. Some of these components, and most of this technology and production equipment, must be specifically designed to be compatible for use in our products or for developing and manufacturing our future products, and are only available from a limited number of suppliers, some of with whom we are sole sourced. We are therefore dependent on these suppliers to be able and willing to dedicate adequate engineering resources to develop components that can be successfully integrated with our products, and technology and production equipment that can be used to develop and manufacture our next-generation products efficiently. The failure of these suppliers to effectively and efficiently develop and manufacture components that can be integrated into our products or technology and production equipment that can be used to develop or manufacture next generation products may cause us to experience inability or delay in our

Table of Contents

manufacturing and shipment of hard drive products, our expansion into new technology and markets, or our ability to remain competitive with alternative storage technologies, therefore adversely affecting our business and financial results.

There are certain additional capital expenditure costs and asset utilization risks to our business associated with our strategy to vertically integrate our operations.

Our vertical integration of head and media manufacturing resulted in a fundamental change in our operating structure, as we now manufacture heads and media for use in many of the hard drives we manufacture. Consequently, we make more capital investments than we would if we were not vertically integrated and carry a higher percentage of fixed costs than assumed in our prior financial business model. If the overall level of production decreases for any reason, and we are unable to reduce our fixed costs to match sales, our head or media manufacturing assets may face under-utilization that may impact our operating results. We are therefore subject to additional risks related to overall asset utilization, including the need to operate at high levels of utilization to drive competitive costs and the need for assured supply of components that we do not manufacture ourselves.

In addition, we may incur additional risks, including:

failure to continue to leverage the integration of our media technology with our head technology;

insufficient third party sources to satisfy our needs if we are unable to manufacture a sufficient supply of heads or media;

third party head or media suppliers may not continue to do business with us or may not do business with us on the same terms and conditions we have previously enjoyed;

claims that our manufacturing of heads or media may infringe certain intellectual property rights of other companies; and

difficulties locating in a timely manner suitable manufacturing equipment for our head or media manufacturing processes and replacement parts for such equipment.

If we do not adequately address the challenges related to our head or media manufacturing operations, our ongoing operations could be disrupted, resulting in a decrease in our revenue or profit margins and negatively impacting our operating results.

If we are unable to timely and cost-effectively develop heads and media with leading technology and overall quality, our ability to sell our products may be significantly diminished, which could materially and adversely affect our business and financial results.

Under our business plan, we are developing and manufacturing a substantial portion of the heads and media used in some of the hard drive products we manufacture. Consequently, we are more dependent upon our own development and execution efforts and less able to take advantage of head and media technologies developed by other manufacturers. Technology transition for head and media designs is critical to increasing our volume production of heads and media. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be successful in timely and cost-effectively developing and manufacturing heads or media for products using future technologies. We also may not effectively transition our head or media design and technology to achieve acceptable manufacturing yields using the technologies necessary to satisfy our customers' product needs, or we may encounter quality problems with the heads or media we manufacture. In addition, we may not have access to external sources of supply without incurring substantial costs which would negatively impact our business and financial results.

Changes in product life cycles could adversely affect our financial results.

If product life cycles lengthen, we may need to develop new technologies or programs to reduce our costs on any particular product to maintain competitive pricing for that product. If product life cycles shorten, it may result in an increase in our overall expenses and a decrease in our gross margins, both of which could adversely affect our

Table of Contents

operating results. In addition, shortening of product life cycles also makes it more difficult to recover the cost of product development before the product becomes obsolete. Our failure to recover the cost of product development in the future could adversely affect our operating results.

If we fail to make the technical innovations necessary to continue to increase areal density, we may fail to remain competitive.

New products in the hard drive market typically require higher areal densities than previous product generations, posing formidable technical and manufacturing challenges. Higher areal densities require existing head and media technology to be improved or new technology developed to accommodate more data on a single disk. In addition, our introduction of new products during a technology transition increases the likelihood of unexpected quality concerns. Our failure to bring high quality new products to market on time and at acceptable costs may put us at a competitive disadvantage to companies that achieve these results.

A fundamental change in recording technology could result in significant increases in our operating expenses and could put us at a competitive disadvantage.

Historically, when the industry experiences a fundamental change in technology, any manufacturer that fails to successfully and timely adjust its designs and processes to accommodate the new technology fails to remain competitive. There are some technologies, such as current-perpendicular-to-plane (CPP), energy assisted magnetic recording, patterned media and other similar potentially break through technology, that will represent revolutionary recording technologies if they can be implemented by a competitor on a commercially viable basis ahead of the industry, which could put us at a competitive disadvantage. As a result of these technology shifts, we could incur substantial costs in developing new technologies, such as heads, media, and tools to remain competitive. If we fail to successfully implement these new technologies, or if we are significantly slower than our competitors at implementing new technologies, we may not be able to offer products with capacities that our customers desire. For example, new recording technology requires changes in the manufacturing process of heads and media, which may cause longer production times and reduce the overall availability of media in the industry. Additionally, the new technology requires a greater degree of integration between heads and media which may lengthen our time of development of hard drives using this technology.

Furthermore, as we attempt to develop and implement new technologies, we may become more dependent on suppliers to ensure our access to components, technology and production equipment that accommodate the new technology. For example, advanced wafer and media manufacturing technologies have historically been developed for use in the semiconductor industry prior to the hard drive industry. However, successful implementation of the use of patterned media with hard drive media currently presents a significant technical challenge facing the hard drive industry but not the semiconductor industry. Therefore, our suppliers may not be willing to dedicate adequate engineering resources to develop manufacturing equipment for patterned media prior to a need for the equipment in the semiconductor industry. We believe that if patterned media technology is not successfully implemented in the hard drive industry, then alternative storage technologies like solid-state storage may more rapidly overtake hard drives as the preferred storage solution for higher capacity storage needs. This result would put us at a competitive disadvantage and negatively impact our operating results.

The difficulty of introducing hard drives with higher levels of areal density and the challenges of reducing other costs may impact our ability to achieve historical levels of cost reduction.

Storage capacity of the hard drive, as manufactured by us, is determined by the number of disks and each disk's areal density. Areal density is a measure of the amount of magnetic bits that can be stored on the recording surface of the disk. Generally, the higher the areal density, the more information can be stored on a single platter. Historically, we have been able to achieve a large percentage of cost reduction through increases in areal density. Increases in areal density mean that the average drive we sell has fewer heads and disks for the same capacity and, therefore, may result in a lower component cost. However, because increasing areal density has become more difficult in the hard drive industry, such increases may require increases in component costs, and other opportunities to reduce costs may not continue at historical rates. Additionally, increases in areal density may require us to make further capital expenditures on items such as new testing equipment needed as a result of an increased number of GB per platter. Our inability to achieve cost reductions could adversely affect our operating results.

Table of Contents

If we do not properly manage the technology transitions of our products, our competitiveness and operating results may be negatively affected.

The storage markets in which we offer our products continuously undergo technology transitions which we must anticipate and adapt our products to address in a timely manner. For example, serial interfaces normally go through cycles in which their maximum speeds double. We must effectively manage the transition of the features of our products to address these faster interface speeds in a timely manner in order to remain competitive and cost effective. If we fail to successfully and timely manage the transition to faster interface speeds, we may be at a competitive disadvantage to other companies that have successfully adapted their products in a timely manner and our operating results may suffer.

Spending to improve our technology and develop new technology to remain competitive may negatively impact our financial results.

In attempting to remain competitive, we may need to increase our capital expenditures and expenses above our historical run-rate model in order to attempt to improve our existing hard drive technology and develop new technology. Increased investments in technology could cause our cost structure to fall out of alignment with demand for our products which would have a negative impact on our financial results.

Our high-volume hard drive and media manufacturing facilities, and the manufacturing facilities of many of our suppliers, are concentrated in Asia, which subjects us to the risk of damage or loss of any of these facilities and localized risks to employees in these locations.

Our high-volume hard drive and media manufacturing facilities are in Malaysia and Thailand and the manufacturing facilities of many of our suppliers are in Asia. A condition or event such as political instability, civil unrest or a power outage, or a fire, flood, earthquake or other disaster that adversely affects any of these facilities or our ability to manufacture could limit the total volume of hard drives we are able to manufacture and result in a loss of sales and revenue and harm our operating results. Similarly, a localized health risk affecting our employees or the staff of our suppliers, such as a new pandemic influenza in Asia, could impair the total volume of hard drives that we are able to manufacture.

Our head manufacturing operations include a single wafer fabrication facility in California and a single head gimbal assembly facility in Thailand, and our media operations include four facilities in Malaysia, which subjects us to substantial risk of damage or loss if operations at any of these facilities are disrupted.

We design and manufacture a substantial portion of the heads and media required for the hard drives we manufacture. We fabricate wafers in our Fremont, California facility, and the wafers are then sent to our Thailand facility for slider fabrication and wafer slicing and HGA assembly and testing. Additionally, we manufacture the majority of our media and substrates in four facilities in Penang, Johor and Sarawak, Malaysia. A fire, flood, earthquake or other disaster, condition or event such as a power outage that adversely affects any of these facilities would significantly affect supply of our heads or media, and limit our ability to manufacture hard drives which would result in a substantial loss of sales and revenue and a substantial harm to our operating results.

Our operating results will be adversely affected if we fail to optimize the overall quality, time-to-market and time-to-volume of new and established products.

To achieve consistent success with our customers, we must balance several key attributes such as time-to-market, time-to-volume, quality, cost, service, price and a broad product portfolio. Our operating results will be adversely affected if we fail to:

maintain overall quality of products in new and established programs;

produce sufficient quantities of products at the capacities our customers demand while managing the integration of new and established technologies;

Table of Contents

develop and qualify new products that have changes in overall specifications or features that our customers may require for their business needs;

obtain commitments from our customers to qualify new products, redesigns of current products, or new components in our existing products;

obtain customer qualification of these products on a timely basis by meeting all of our customers' needs for performance, quality and features;

maintain an adequate supply of components required to manufacture our products; or

maintain the manufacturing capability to quickly change our product mix between different capacities, form factors and spin speeds in response to changes in customers' product demands.

Manufacturing and marketing our products abroad subjects us to numerous risks.

We are subject to risks associated with our foreign manufacturing operations and foreign marketing efforts, including:

obtaining requisite U.S. and foreign governmental permits and approvals;

currency exchange rate fluctuations or restrictions;

political instability and civil unrest, such as the recent protests and violence in Bangkok, Thailand;

limited transportation availability, delays, and extended time required for shipping, which risks may be compounded in periods of price declines;

higher freight rates;

labor problems;

trade restrictions or higher tariffs;

copyright levies or similar fees imposed in European and other countries;

exchange, currency and tax controls and reallocations;

increasing labor and overhead costs; and

loss or non-renewal of favorable tax treatment under agreements or treaties with foreign tax authorities.

Terrorist attacks may adversely affect our business and operating results.

The continued threat of terrorist activity and other acts of war or hostility have created uncertainty in the financial and insurance markets and have significantly increased the political, economic and social instability in some of the geographic areas in which we operate. Additionally, it is uncertain what impact the reactions to such acts by various governmental agencies and security regulators worldwide will have on shipping costs. Acts of terrorism, either domestically or abroad, could create further uncertainties and instability. To the extent this results in disruption or delays of our manufacturing capabilities or shipments of our products, our business, operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Sudden disruptions to the availability of freight lanes could have an impact on our operations.

We ship the majority of our products to our various customers via air freight. The sudden unavailability of air cargo operations used to ship our products would impair our ability to deliver our products in a timely and efficient

Table of Contents

manner, which could adversely impact our operating results. We also ship a portion of our product via ocean freight, and events or conditions at shipping ports, such as labor difficulties or disputes, could also impact our operating results by impairing our ability to timely and efficiently deliver these products.

We are vulnerable to system failures, which could harm our business.

We are heavily dependent on our technology infrastructure, among other functions, to operate our factories, sell our products, fulfill orders, manage inventory and bill, collect and make payments. Our systems are vulnerable to damage or interruption from natural disasters, power loss, telecommunication failures, computer viruses, computer denial-of-service attacks and other events. Our business is also subject to break-ins, sabotage and intentional acts of vandalism by third parties as well as employees. Despite any precautions we may take, such problems could result in, among other consequences, interruptions in our business, which could harm our reputation and financial condition.

If we fail to successfully integrate SiliconSystems' business and technology into our operations in a timely manner, it may adversely affect our future results.

While we expect our recent acquisition of SiliconSystems, Inc. to have only a nominal positive impact on our results in the near term, we believe that the acquisition may result in certain more significant future benefits, including technology efficiencies and synergies. However, we may encounter difficulties in the complicated process of integrating SiliconSystems' business and technology into our existing operations. If we are not able to quickly and cost-effectively integrate SiliconSystems' business and technology into our operations, our current operations may be disrupted and the future anticipated benefits of the acquisition may not be realized fully or at all. Consequently, our future results of operations may be adversely affected.

If we are unable to retain or hire key staff and skilled employees our business results may suffer.

Our success depends upon the continued contributions of our key staff and skilled employees, many of whom would be extremely difficult to replace. Worldwide competition for skilled employees in the hard drive industry is intense and as we attempt to move to a position of technology leadership in the storage industry, our business success becomes increasingly dependent on our ability to retain our key staff and skilled employees as well as attract, integrate and retain new skilled employees. Volatility or lack of positive performance in our stock price and the overall markets may adversely affect our ability to retain key staff or skilled employees who have received equity compensation, and this risk has been increased by the recent rapid drop of our stock price which has caused many of our key staff and skilled employees to lose the value of the equity compensation that they have received as an incentive to remain in our employ and work towards the success of our operations. Additionally, because a substantial portion of our key employees' compensation is placed at risk and linked to the performance of our business, when our operating results are negatively impacted by events such as the current global economic downturn, we are at a competitive disadvantage for retaining and hiring key staff and skilled employees versus other companies that pay a relatively higher fixed salary. If we are unable to retain our existing key staff or skilled employees, or hire and integrate new key staff or skilled employees, or if we fail to implement succession plans for our key staff, our operating results would likely be harmed.

The nature of our business and our reliance on intellectual property and other proprietary information subjects us to the risk of significant litigation.

The data storage industry has been characterized by significant litigation. This includes litigation relating to patent and other intellectual property rights, product liability claims and other types of litigation. Litigation can be expensive, lengthy and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of litigation are inherently uncertain and may result in adverse rulings or decisions. We may enter into settlements or be subject to judgments that may, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

We evaluate notices of alleged patent infringement and notices of patents from patent holders that we receive from time to time. If claims or actions are asserted against us, we may be required to obtain a license or cross-license, modify our existing technology or design a new non-infringing technology. Such licenses or design

Table of Contents

modifications can be extremely costly. In addition, we may decide to settle a claim or action against us, which settlement could be costly. We may also be liable for any past infringement. If there is an adverse ruling against us in an infringement lawsuit, an injunction could be issued barring production or sale of any infringing product. It could also result in a damage award equal to a reasonable royalty or lost profits or, if there is a finding of willful infringement, treble damages. Any of these results would increase our costs and harm our operating results.

Our reliance on intellectual property and other proprietary information subjects us to the risk that these key ingredients of our business could be copied by competitors.

Our success depends, in significant part, on the proprietary nature of our technology, including non-patentable intellectual property such as our process technology. Despite safeguards, to the extent that a competitor is able to reproduce or otherwise capitalize on our technology, it may be difficult, expensive or impossible for us to obtain necessary legal protection. Also, the laws of some foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. In addition to patent protection of intellectual property rights, we consider elements of our product designs and processes to be proprietary and confidential. We rely upon employee, consultant and vendor non-disclosure agreements and contractual provisions and a system of internal safeguards to protect our proprietary information. However, any of our registered or unregistered intellectual property rights may be challenged or exploited by others in the industry, which might harm our operating results.

The costs of compliance with environmental regulation and customers' standards of corporate citizenship could cause an increase in our operating costs.

We may be subject to various state, federal and international laws and regulations governing the environment, including those restricting the presence of certain substances in electronic products and making producers of those products financially responsible for the collection, treatment, recycling and disposal of certain products. Such laws and regulations have been passed in several jurisdictions in which we operate. For example, the European Union has enacted the Restriction of the Use of Certain Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment (RoHS) directive, which prohibits the use of certain substances in electronic equipment, and the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) directive, which obligates parties that place electrical and electronic equipment onto the market in the EU to put a clearly identifiable mark on the equipment, register with and report to EU member countries regarding distribution of the equipment, and provide a mechanism to take-back and properly dispose of the equipment. Similar legislation may be enacted in other locations where we manufacture or sell our products. We will need to ensure that we comply with such laws and regulations as they are enacted, and that our component suppliers also timely comply with such laws and regulations. If we fail to timely comply with the legislation, our customers may refuse to purchase our products, which would have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

In connection with our compliance with such environmental laws and regulations, as well as our compliance with industry environmental initiatives, the standards of business conduct required by some of our customers, and our commitment to sound corporate citizenship in all aspects of our business, we could incur substantial compliance and operating costs and be subject to disruptions to our operations and logistics. In addition, if we were found to be in violation of these laws or noncompliance with these initiatives or standards of conduct, we could be subject to governmental fines, liability to our customers and damage to our reputation and corporate brand which could cause our financial condition or operating results to suffer.

Fluctuations in currency exchange rates as a result of our international operations may negatively affect our operating results.

Because we manufacture our products abroad, our operating costs are subject to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Further fluctuations in the exchange rate of the Thai Baht and of the Malaysian Ringgit may negatively impact our operating results. The Thai Baht is a free floating currency while the Malaysian Ringgit exchange rate policy is one of a managed float. We have attempted to manage the impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes by, among other things, entering into short-term, forward contracts. However, these contracts do not cover our full exposure and can be canceled by the issuer if currency controls are put in place. Currently, we hedge the Thai Baht, Malaysian Ringgit, Euro and British Pound Sterling with forward contracts.

Table of Contents

If the U.S. dollar exhibits sustained weakness against most foreign currencies, the U.S. dollar equivalents of unhedged manufacturing costs could increase because a significant portion of our production costs are foreign-currency denominated. Conversely, there would not be an offsetting impact to revenues since revenues are substantially U.S. dollar denominated.

Additionally, we negotiate and procure some of our component requirements in U.S. dollars from Japanese and other non-U.S. based vendors. If the U.S. dollar continues to weaken against other foreign currencies, some of our component suppliers may increase the price which they charge for their components in order to maintain an equivalent profit margin. If this occurs, it would have a negative impact on our operating results.

Increases in our customers' credit risk could result in credit losses and an increase in our operating costs.

Some of our OEM customers have adopted a subcontractor model that requires us to contract directly with companies, such as ODMs, that provide manufacturing services to our OEM customers. Because these subcontractors are generally not as well capitalized as our direct OEM customers, this subcontractor model exposes us to increased credit risks. Our agreements with our OEM customers may not permit us to increase our product prices to alleviate this increased credit risk. Additionally, as we attempt to expand our OEM and distribution channel sales into emerging economies such as Brazil, Russia, India and China, the customers in these regions may have relatively short operating histories, making it more difficult for us to accurately assess the associated credit risks. Any credit losses we may suffer as a result of these increased risks, or as a result of credit losses from any significant customer, would increase our operating costs, which may negatively impact our operating results.

Inaccurate projections of demand for our product can cause large fluctuations in our quarterly results.

We often ship a high percentage of our total quarterly sales in the third month of the quarter, which makes it difficult for us to forecast our financial results before the end of the quarter. In addition, our quarterly projections and results may be subject to significant fluctuations as a result of a number of other factors including:

the timing of orders from and shipment of products to major customers;

our product mix;

changes in the prices of our products;

manufacturing delays or interruptions;

acceptance by customers of competing products in lieu of our products;

variations in the cost of components for our products;

limited availability of components that we obtain from a single or a limited number of suppliers;

competition and consolidation in the data storage industry;

seasonal and other fluctuations in demand for PCs often due to technological advances; and

availability and rates of transportation.

Table of Contents

Rapidly changing conditions in the hard drive industry make it difficult to predict actual results.

We have made and continue to make a number of estimates and assumptions relating to our consolidated financial reporting. The highly technical nature of our products and the rapidly changing market conditions with which we deal means that actual results may differ significantly from our estimates and assumptions. These changes have impacted our financial results in the past and may continue to do so in the future. Key estimates and assumptions for us include:

price protection adjustments and other sales promotions and allowances on products sold to retailers, resellers and distributors;

inventory adjustments for write-down of inventories to lower of cost or market value (net realizable value);

reserves for doubtful accounts;

accruals for product returns;

accruals for warranty costs related to product defects;

accruals for litigation and other contingencies; and

liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits.

The market price of our common stock is volatile.

The market price of our common stock has been, and may continue to be, extremely volatile. Factors such as the following may significantly affect the market price of our common stock:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;

announcements of technological innovations by us or our competitors which may decrease the volume and profitability of sales of our existing products and increase the risk of inventory obsolescence;

new products introduced by us or our competitors;

periods of severe pricing pressures due to oversupply or price erosion resulting from competitive pressures or industry consolidation;

developments with respect to patents or proprietary rights;

conditions and trends in the hard drive, computer, data and content management, storage and communication industries;

contraction in our operating results or growth rates that are lower than our previous high growth-rate periods;

changes in financial estimates by securities analysts relating specifically to us or the hard drive industry in general; and

macroeconomic conditions that affect the market generally.

In addition, general economic conditions may cause the stock market to experience extreme price and volume fluctuations from time to time that particularly affect the stock prices of many high technology companies. These fluctuations often appear to be unrelated to the operating performance of the companies.

Securities class action lawsuits are often brought against companies after periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. A number of such suits have been filed against us in the past, and should any new lawsuits be filed, such matters could result in substantial costs and a diversion of resources and management's attention.

Negative conditions in the global credit markets may impair the liquidity of a portion of our investment portfolio.

Our long-term investments consist of auction-rate securities totaling \$18 million as of March 27, 2009. The negative conditions in the global credit markets have prevented some investors from liquidating their holdings of auction-rate securities because the amount of securities submitted for sale has exceeded the amount of purchase orders for such securities. If the credit market does not improve, auctions for our invested amounts may continue to fail. If an

Table of Contents

auction fails for securities in which we have invested, we may be unable to liquidate some or all of our auction-rate securities at par should we need or desire to access the funds invested in those securities. In the event we need or desire to access these funds, we will not be able to do so until a future auction on these investments is successful or a buyer is found outside the auction process. If a buyer is found but is unwilling to purchase the investments at par (or current carrying value), we may incur a loss beyond losses already recognized by us on these securities. For example, during the quarter ended March 27, 2009, the market values of some of the auction-rate securities we owned were impacted by the macro-economic credit market conditions and as a result, we recognized \$1 million of other-than-temporary losses to mark the remaining investments to estimated market value. Further, rating downgrades of the security issuer or the third-parties insuring such investments may require us to adjust the carrying value of these investments through an additional impairment charge.

Current economic conditions have caused us difficulty in adequately protecting our increased cash and short-term investments from financial institution failures.

The negative global economic conditions and volatile investment markets have caused us to hold more cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments than we would hold under normal circumstances. Since there has been an overall increase in demand for low-risk, U.S. government backed securities with a limited supply in the financial marketplace, we face increased difficulty in adequately protecting our increased cash and short-term investments from possible sudden and unforeseeable failures by banks and other financial institutions. A failure of any of these financial institutions in which deposits exceed FDIC limits could have an adverse impact on our financial position.

If our internal controls are found to be ineffective, our financial results or our stock price may be adversely affected.

Our most recent evaluation resulted in our conclusion that as of June 27, 2008, in compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, our internal control over financial reporting was effective. We believe that we currently have adequate internal control procedures in place for future periods; however, if our internal control over financial reporting is found to be ineffective or if we identify a material weakness or significant deficiency in our financial reporting, investors may lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which may adversely affect our financial results or our stock price.

Table of Contents

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 28, 2007, by and among Western Digital Corporation, State M Corporation and Komag, Incorporated (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-8703), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 29, 2007)
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Western Digital Corporation, as amended to date (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-08703), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 8, 2006)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Western Digital Corporation, as amended effective as of November 5, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-08703), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 8, 2007)
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit filed
with this Report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION
Registrant

/s/ Timothy M. Leyden
Timothy M. Leyden
Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer (Principal Financial
Officer)

/s/ Joseph R. Carrillo
Joseph R. Carrillo
Vice President and Corporate Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Dated: April 24, 2009

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 28, 2007, by and among Western Digital Corporation, State M Corporation and Komag, Incorporated (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-8703), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 29, 2007)
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Western Digital Corporation, as amended to date (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-08703), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 8, 2006)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Western Digital Corporation, as amended effective as of November 5, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-08703), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 8, 2007)
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit filed
with this Report.