

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
December 10, 2018

**UNITED STATES**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

**For the Quarterly Period Ended October 31, 2018**

**Or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

**For the Transition Period From \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission file number: 001-33417**

**OCEAN POWER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

*(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)*

**Delaware**

*(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)*

**22-2535818**

*(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)*

**28 ENGELHARD DRIVE, MONROE TOWNSHIP, NJ 08831**

*(Address of Principal Executive Offices, Including Zip Code)*

**(609) 730-0400**

*(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---	--	--

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Emerging growth company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. [ ]

As of November 28, 2018, the number of outstanding shares of common stock of the registrant was 18,992,086.

**OCEAN POWER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

**INDEX TO FORM 10-Q**

	<b>Page Number</b>
<b><u>PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements:</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of October 31, 2018 (unaudited) and April 30, 2018</u>	2
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017</u>	4
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended October 31, 2018</u>	5
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017</u>	6
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	25
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	39
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	39
<b><u>PART II — OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	40
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	42
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	43
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	43
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	43
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	43
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	44

PowerBuoy™ and the Ocean Power Technologies logo are trademarks of Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. All other trademarks appearing in this report are the property of their respective holders.

## Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

We have made statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements convey our current expectations or forecasts of future events. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, pending, threatened, and current litigation, liquidity, budgets, projected costs, plans and objectives of management for future operations. The words “may,” “continue,” “estimate,” “intend,” “plan,” “will,” “believe,” “project,” “expect,” “anticipate”, and similar expressions may identify forward-looking statements, but the absence of these words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking.

The forward-looking statements contained in or incorporated by reference are largely based on our expectations, which reflect estimates and assumptions made by our management. These estimates and assumptions reflect our best judgment based on currently known market conditions and other factors. Although we believe such estimates and assumptions to be reasonable, they are inherently uncertain and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that are beyond our control, including:

our ability to commercialize our PowerBuoys™, and achieve and sustain profitability;

our continued development of our proprietary technologies, and expected continued use of cash from operating activities unless or until we achieve positive cash flow from the commercialization of our products and services;

our ability to obtain additional funding, as and if needed which will be subject to a number of factors, including market conditions, and our operating performance;

our estimates regarding expenses, future revenues and capital requirements;

the adequacy of our cash balances and our need for additional financings;

our ability to develop and manufacture a commercially viable PowerBuoy™ product;

our ability to successfully develop and market new products, such as a hybrid PowerBuoy™ or subsea battery solutions;

that we will be successful in our efforts to commercialize our PowerBuoy™ or the timetable upon which commercialization can be achieved, if at all;

our ability to identify and penetrate markets for our PowerBuoys™ and our wave energy technology;

the reliability of our technology and our PowerBuoys™;

the power output, survivability and reliability of our PowerBuoys™;

our ability to improve the power output, survivability and reliability of our PowerBuoys™;

our ability to implement our commercialization strategy as planned, or at all;

our ability to maintain the listing of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market;

the impact of pending and threatened litigation on our business, financial condition and liquidity;

changes in current legislation, regulations and economic conditions that affect the demand for renewable energy;

our ability to compete effectively in our target markets;

our limited operating history and history of operating losses;

our sales and marketing capabilities and strategy in the United States and internationally; and

our ability to protect our intellectual property portfolio.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this report may turn out to be inaccurate. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. They may be affected by inaccurate assumptions we might make or unknown risks and uncertainties, including the risks, uncertainties and assumptions described in Item 1A “Risk Factors” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2018, and in our subsequent reports under the Exchange Act. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this report may not occur as contemplated and actual results could differ materially from those anticipated or implied by the forward-looking statements.

Many of these factors are beyond our ability to control or predict. These factors are not intended to represent a complete list of the general or specific factors that may affect us. You should not unduly rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this filing. Unless required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect new information or future events or otherwise.

**PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(in \$000's, except share data)**

	October 31, 2018 (Unaudited)	April 30, 2018
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,851	\$11,499
Marketable securities	-	25
Restricted cash- short-term	550	572
Accounts receivable	467	171
Unbilled receivables	-	71
Contract assets	23	-
Litigation receivable	-	350
Other current assets	972	567
Total current assets	5,863	13,255
Property and equipment, net	676	712
Restricted cash- long-term	155	154
Total assets	\$ 6,694	\$14,121
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 313	\$290
Accrued expenses	2,192	2,261
Litigation payable	-	350
Unearned revenue	-	18
Contract liabilities	373	-
Warrant liabilities	65	201
Current portion of capital lease obligations	5	23
Deferred credits payable current	-	600
Total current liabilities	2,948	3,743
Deferred rent	147	142
Total liabilities	3,095	3,885
Commitments and contingencies		

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized 5,000,000 shares, none issued or outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares, issued 19,067,868 and 18,424,939 shares, respectively	19	18
Treasury stock, at cost; 75,782 and 74,012 shares, respectively	(301 )	(300 )
Additional paid-in capital	208,714	208,216
Accumulated deficit	(204,668 )	(197,538)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(165 )	(160 )
Total stockholders' equity	3,599	10,236
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 6,694	\$ 14,121

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.



**Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations****(in \$000's, except per share data)**

	Three months ended October 31,		Six months ended October 31,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Revenues	\$ 141	\$ 94	\$ 172	\$ 289
Cost of revenues	637	(24	) 780	193
Gross profit/(loss)	(496	) 118	(608	) 96
Operating expenses:				
Engineering and product development costs	1,574	978	2,722	2,080
Selling, general and administrative costs	1,849	1,747	3,902	3,388
Total operating expenses	3,423	2,725	6,624	5,468
Operating loss	(3,919	) (2,607	) (7,232	) (5,372
Gain due to the change in fair value of warrant liabilities	51	31	136	68
Interest income, net	7	6	21	9
Foreign exchange gain/(loss)	(29	) (7	) (55	) 55
Net loss	\$(3,890	) \$(2,577	) \$(7,130	) \$(5,240
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$(0.21	) \$(0.20	) \$(0.39	) \$(0.42
Weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	18,523,493	12,904,973	18,338,678	12,586,828

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

**Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss**

(in \$000's)

	Three months ended October 31,		Six months ended October 31,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Net loss	\$(3,890)	\$(2,577)	\$(7,130)	\$(5,240)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(9 )	(15 )	(5 )	7
Total comprehensive loss	\$(3,899)	\$(2,592)	\$(7,135)	\$(5,233)

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity**

(in \$000's, except share data)

	Common Shares		Treasury Shares		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balances, April 30, 2018	18,424,939	\$ 18	(74,012)	\$(300 )	\$208,216	\$(197,538 )	\$(160 )	\$ 10,236
Net loss						(7,130 )		(7,130 )
Stock based compensation					135			135
Issuance of restricted stock, net	14,358	-			-			-
Sale of stock, net of financing costs	200,000	-			68			68
Common stock issued for commitment fee	428,571	1			295			296
Acquisition of treasury stock			(1,770 )	(1 )				(1 )
Other comprehensive loss							(5 )	(5 )
Balances, October 31, 2018	19,067,868	\$ 19	(75,782)	\$(301 )	\$208,714	\$(204,668 )	\$(165 )	\$ 3,599

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(in \$000's)**

	Six months ended October 31,	
	2018	2017
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(7,130 )	\$(5,240 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Foreign exchange loss/(gain)	55	(55 )
Depreciation	90	52
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment	-	4
Compensation expense related to stock option grants and restricted stock	135	173
Gain due to the change in fair value of warrant liabilities	(136 )	(68 )
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(296 )	48
Unbilled receivables	71	294
Contract assets	(23 )	-
Other assets	(109 )	(102 )
Accounts payable	24	(263 )
Accrued expenses	(56 )	(1,523 )
Deferred rent	5	-
Deferred credit payable	(600 )	-
Unearned revenue	(18 )	-
Contract liabilities	373	-
Net cash used in operating activities	(7,615 )	(6,680 )
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of marketable securities	(25 )	(25 )
Maturities of marketable securities	50	25
Leasehold improvements and purchase of equipment	(52 )	(63 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(27 )	(63 )
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of costs	68	14,647
Payment of capital lease obligations	(19 )	(17 )
Acquisition of treasury stock	(1 )	(34 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	48	14,596
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	(75 )	68
Net (decrease)/increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	(7,669 )	7,921
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, beginning of period	12,225	8,909
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period	\$4,556	\$16,830

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Supplemental schedule of cash flows information:

Cash paid for interest	\$1	\$2
------------------------	-----	-----

Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing activities:

Acquisition of leasehold improvements and equipment through accrued expenses	2	-
--	---	---

Supplemental disclosure of noncash financing activities:

Common stock issued for payment of commitment fee	296	
---	-----	--

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

**Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

**(1) Background, Basis of Presentation and Liquidity**

*a) Background*

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. (the “Company”) was founded in 1984 in New Jersey, commenced business operations in 1994 and re-incorporated in Delaware in 2007. The Company is developing and commercializing its proprietary systems that generate electricity by harnessing the renewable energy of ocean waves. The Company uses proprietary technologies that convert the mechanical energy created by the heaving motion of ocean waves into electricity. The Company has designed and continues to develop the PowerBuoy™ product line which is based on modular, ocean-going buoys, which the Company has been periodically ocean testing since 1997. The Company markets its PowerBuoys™ in the United States and internationally. Since fiscal 2002, government agencies have accounted for a significant portion of the Company’s revenues. These revenues were largely for the support of product development efforts relating to our technology. Today our goal is to generate the majority our revenue from the sale or lease of products, and sales of services to support our business operations. As we continue to develop and commercialize our products and services, we expect to have a net loss of cash from operating activities unless and until we achieve positive cash flow from the commercialization of products and services.

*b) Basis of Presentation*

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year or for any other interim period. Further information on potential factors that could affect the Company’s financial results can be found in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2018 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

*c) Liquidity/Going Concern*

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company has experienced substantial and recurring losses from operations, which have contributed to an accumulated deficit of \$204.7 million as of October 31, 2018. As of October 31, 2018, the Company had approximately \$4.6 million in cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash on hand. The Company generated revenues of \$0.2 and \$0.3 million during each of the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017. Based on the Company's cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balances as of October 31, 2018, the Company believes that it will be able to finance its capital requirements and operations into the quarter ending April 30, 2019. The Company will require additional equity and/or debt financing to continue its operations into Fiscal Year 2020. The Company cannot provide assurances that it will be able to secure additional funding when needed or at all, or, if secured, that such funding would be on favorable terms. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets amounts or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Management is evaluating different strategies to obtain the required additional funding for future operations. These strategies may include, but are not limited to, continued pursuit of business opportunities, additional funding from current and /or new investors, officers and directors; borrowings of debt; a public offering of the Company's equity or debt securities; partnerships and/or collaborations. There can be no assurance that any of these future-funding efforts will be successful.

In fiscal 2018 and the six months ended October 31, 2018, the Company has continued to make investments in ongoing product development efforts in anticipation of future growth. The Company's future results of operations involve significant risks and uncertainties. Factors that could affect the Company's future operating results and cause actual results to vary materially from expectations include, but are not limited to, risks from lack of available financing and insufficient capital, performance of PowerBuoys™, its inability to market and commercialize its PowerBuoys™ and new products that it may develop, technology development, scalability of technology and production, dependence on skills of key personnel, concentration of customers and suppliers, deployment risks and laws, regulations and permitting. In order to continue to implement its business strategy, the Company requires additional equity and/or debt financing. The Company currently has committed sources of equity financing through its common stock purchase agreement with Aspire Capital (discussed further below), but the Company cannot assure that additional equity and/or debt financing will be available to the Company as needed on acceptable terms, or at all. Historically, the Company has raised capital through securities sales in the public capital markets. If sufficient additional financing is not obtained when needed, the Company may be required to further curtail or limit operations, product development costs, and/or selling, general and administrative activities in order to reduce its cash expenditures. This could cause the Company to be unable to execute its business plan, take advantage of future opportunities and may cause it to scale back, delay or eliminate some or all of its product development activities and/or reduce the scope of or cease its operations.

On May 2, 2017, the Company sold 6,192,750 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.30 per share, which includes the sale of 807,750 shares of the Company's common stock sold by the Company pursuant to the exercise, in full, of the over-allotment option by the underwriters in a public offering. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were approximately \$7.2 million, after deducting underwriter fees and offering expenses payable by the Company.

On October 23, 2017, the Company sold 5,739,437 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.42 per share in a best efforts public offering. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were approximately \$7.4 million, after deducting placement fees and offering expenses payable by the Company.

On August 10, 2018, the Company entered into a common stock purchase agreement with Aspire Capital Fund, LLC ("Aspire Capital") which provides that, subject to certain terms, conditions and limitations, Aspire Capital is committed to purchase up to an aggregate of \$10.0 million of shares of the Company's common stock over a 30-month period. In consideration for entering into the agreement, the Company issued to Aspire Capital 428,571 shares of our common stock as a commitment fee. As of October 31, 2018, the Company has sold 200,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.48 per share pursuant to this common stock purchase agreement.

The sale of additional equity or convertible securities could result in dilution to stockholders. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of debt securities, these securities could have rights senior to those associated with the Company's common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict its operations. Financing may not be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all. If the Company is unable to obtain required financing, it may be required to reduce the scope of its operations, including its planned product development and marketing efforts, which could materially and adversely harm its financial condition and operating results. If the Company is unable to secure additional financing, it may be forced to cease operations.

On August 9, 2018, the Company received a notification from the NASDAQ Stock Market (the "NASDAQ") indicating that the minimum bid price of the Company's common stock has been below \$1.00 per share for 30 consecutive business days and as a result, the Company is not in compliance with the minimum bid price requirement for continued listing. The NASDAQ notice has no immediate effect on the listing or trading of the Company's common stock. Under the NASDAQ Listing Rules, the Company has a grace period of 180 calendar days, or until February 5, 2019, in which to regain compliance with the minimum bid price rule. To regain compliance, the closing bid price of the Company's common stock must meet or exceed \$1.00 per share for a minimum of ten consecutive business days during this grace period.

If our common stock is delisted from NASDAQ, our ability to raise capital through public offerings of our securities and to finance our operations could be adversely affected. See additional risk factors under "Part II, Item 1A – Risk Factors". We also believe that delisting would likely result in decreased liquidity and/or increased volatility in our common stock, and could harm our business and future prospects. In addition, we believe that, if our common stock is



delisted, our stockholders would likely find it more difficult to obtain accurate quotations as to the price of the common stock and it may be more difficult for stockholders to buy or sell our common stock at competitive market prices, or at all.

## **(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

### ***(a) Consolidation***

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### ***(b) Use of Estimates***

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management of the Company to make a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include the fair value of warrant liabilities, estimated costs to complete projects; and percentage of completion of customer contracts for purposes of revenue recognition. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The current economic environment, particularly the macroeconomic pressures in certain European countries, has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in those estimates and assumptions.

*(c) Cash, Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash and Security Agreements**Cash and Cash Equivalents*

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The Company invests excess cash in a money market account.

	October 31, 2018	April 30, 2018
	(in thousands)	
Checking and savings accounts	\$1,065	\$1,332
Money market account	2,786	10,167
	\$3,851	\$11,499

*Restricted Cash and Security Agreements*

A portion of the Company's cash is restricted under the terms of three security agreements.

One agreement is between the Company and Barclays Bank. Under this agreement, the cash is on deposit at Barclays Bank and serves as security for letters of credit and bank guarantees that are expected to be issued by Barclays Bank on behalf of OPT LTD, one of the Company's subsidiaries, under a credit facility established by Barclays Bank for OPT LTD. The credit facility is approximately €0.3 million (\$0.3 million) and carries a fee of 1% per annum of the amount of any such obligations issued by Barclays Bank. The credit facility does not have an expiration date but is cancelable at the discretion of the bank. As of October 31, 2018, there was €0.3 million (\$0.3 million) in letters of credit outstanding under this agreement.

The other two agreements are between the Company and Santander Bank. Under the first agreement, the cash is on deposit at Santander Bank and serves as security for letter of credit issued by Santander Bank for the lease of new warehouse/office space in Monroe Township, New Jersey. The agreement cannot be extended beyond January 31, 2025 and is cancelable at the discretion of the bank. Under the second agreement, the cash is on deposit at Santander Bank and serves as security for a performance bond issued by Santander Bank as a requirement of the contract with

Eni, S.p.A. Restricted cash includes the following:

	October 31, 2018	April 30, 2018
	(in thousands)	
Barclay's Bank Agreement	\$348	\$372
Santander Bank	357	354
	\$705	\$726

The following table provides a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash reported within the statement of financial position that sum to the total of the same such amounts shown in the statement of cash flows.

	October 31, 2018	April 30, 2018
	(in thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,851	\$11,499
Restricted cash- short term	550	572
Restricted cash- long term	155	154
	\$4,556	\$12,225

***(d) Marketable Securities***

Marketable securities with original maturities longer than three months but that mature in less than one year from the balance sheet date are classified as current assets. Marketable securities that the Company has the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as investments held-to-maturity and are reported at amortized cost. The difference between the acquisition cost and face values of held-to-maturity investments is amortized over the remaining term of the investments and added to or subtracted from the acquisition cost and interest income. As of October 31, 2018, the Company did not have any marketable securities. As of April 30, 2018, all of the Company's investments were classified as held-to-maturity.

***(e) Foreign Exchange Gains and Losses***

The Company has invested in certain certificates of deposit and has maintained cash accounts that are denominated in British pounds sterling, Euros and Australian dollars. These amounts are included in cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and marketable securities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Such positions may result in realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses from exchange rate fluctuations, which are included in "Foreign exchange gain/(loss)" in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

***(f) Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives (three to seven years) of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or the remaining lease term. Expenses for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Property and equipment is also reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, then an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

***(g) Concentration of Credit Risk***

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist principally of cash balances, bank certificates of deposit and trade receivables. The Company invests its excess cash in highly liquid investments (principally, short-term bank deposits, Treasury bills, Treasury notes and money market funds) and does not believe that it is exposed to any significant risks related to its cash accounts, money market funds or certificates of deposit.

The table below shows the percentage of the Company’s revenues derived from customers whose revenues accounted for at least 10% of the Company’s consolidated revenues for at least one of the periods indicated:

	Three months ended October 31,		Six months ended October 31,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Enel Green Power	16 %	0 %	13 %	0 %
Eni S.p.A.	84 %	0 %	72 %	0 %
Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding	0 %	93 %	0 %	75 %
Premier Oil UK Limited	0 %	0 %	15 %	0 %
U.S. Department of Defense Office of Naval Research	0 %	7 %	0 %	25 %
	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %

The loss of, or a significant reduction in revenues from a current customer could significantly impact the Company’s financial position or results of operations. The Company does not require its customers to maintain collateral.

***(h) Warrant Liabilities***

The Company’s warrants to purchase shares of its common stock are classified as warrant liabilities and are recorded at fair value. The warrant liabilities are subject to re-measurement at each balance sheet date and the Company recognizes any change in fair value in its consolidated statements of operations within “Gain due to the change in fair value of warrant liabilities.” The Company will continue to adjust the carrying value of the warrants for changes in the estimated fair value until such time as these instruments are exercised or expire. At that time, the liabilities will be reclassified to “Additional paid-in capital”, a component of “Stockholders’ equity” on the consolidated balance sheets.

***(i) Net Loss per Common Share***

Basic and diluted net loss per share for all periods presented is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Due to the Company’s net losses, potentially dilutive securities, consisting of outstanding stock options and non-vested performance-based shares, were excluded from the diluted loss per share calculation due to their anti-dilutive effect.

In computing diluted net loss per share, options to purchase shares of common stock, warrants on common stock and non-vested restricted stock issued to employees and non-employee directors, totaling 890,175 for the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 835,886 for the three and six months ended October 31, 2017, were excluded from each of the computations as the effect would be anti-dilutive due to the Company's losses.

*(j) Share-Based Compensation*

Costs resulting from all share-based payment transactions are recognized in the consolidated financial statements at their fair values. The following table summarizes share-based compensation related to the Company's share-based plans by expense category for the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017:

	Three months ended October 31, 2018		Six months ended October 31, 2017	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(in thousands)			
Product development	\$10	\$ (8 )	\$21	\$5
Selling, general and administrative	45	90	114	168
Total share-based compensation expense	\$55	\$ 82	\$135	\$173

***(k) Deferred Rent***

On March 31, 2017, the Company signed a new 7-year lease for approximately 56,000 square feet in Monroe Township, New Jersey that will be used as warehouse/production space and the Company's principal offices and corporate headquarters. The lease was classified as an operating lease. Rent payments relating to the Monroe premises are subject to annual increases. The minimum monthly payments will vary over the 7-year term of the lease. The Company will record rent expense on a straight-line basis over the 7-year term of the lease. The difference between rent expense and the monthly lease payment will go to a deferred rent/prepaid rent account. The Landlord has provided the Company a tenant improvement allowance in an amount up to, but not exceeding, \$137,563 to be applied to the cost of tenant improvement work. The Company recorded lease incentive liability to deferred rent. The Company will release the lease incentive liability on a straight-line basis over the 7-year term to rent expense.

***(l) Revenue Recognition***

A performance obligation is the unit of account for revenue recognition. The Company assesses the goods or services promised in a contract with a customer and identifies as a performance obligation either: a) a good or service (or a bundle of goods or services) that is distinct; or b) a series of distinct goods or services that are substantially the same and that have the same pattern of transfer to the customer. A contract may contain a single or multiple performance obligations. For contracts with multiple performance obligations, the Company allocates the contracted transaction price to each performance obligation based upon the relative standalone selling price, which represents the price the Company would sell a promised good or service separately to a customer. The Company determines the standalone selling price based upon the facts and circumstances of each obligated good or service. The majority of the Company's contracts have no observable standalone selling price since the associated products and services are customized to customer specifications. As such, the standalone selling price generally reflects the Company's forecast of the total cost to satisfy the performance obligation plus an appropriate profit margin.

The nature of the Company's contracts may give rise to several types of variable consideration, including claims and unpriced change orders; awards and incentive fees; and liquidated damages and penalties. Variable consideration can also arise from modifications to the scope of services. Variable consideration is included in the transaction price to the extent it is probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur once the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is resolved. Our estimates of variable consideration and determination of whether to include such amounts in the transaction price are based largely on our assessment of legal enforceability, performance and any other information (historical, current, and forecasted) that is reasonably available to us.

The Company recognizes revenue when or as it satisfies a performance obligation by transferring a good or service to a customer, either (1) at a point in time or (2) over time. A good or service is transferred when or as the customer obtains control of it. The evaluation of whether control of each performance obligation is transferred at a point in time



or over time is made at contract inception. Input measures such as costs incurred or time elapsed are utilized to assess progress against specific contractual performance obligations for the Company's services. The selection of the method to measure progress towards completion requires judgment and is based on the nature of the services to be provided. For the Company, the input method using costs incurred or time elapsed best represents the measure of progress against the performance obligations incorporated within the contractual agreements. When the Company's estimate of total costs to be incurred to satisfy the performance obligations exceed revenue, the Company recognizes the loss immediately.

The Company classifies leases as either operating or capital lease arrangements in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance contained within Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 840, "*Leases*". At inception of the contract, the Company evaluates the lease against the four lease classification criteria within ASC Topic 840. In general, if one of the four criteria is met, then the lease is accounted for as a capital lease. All others are treated as an operating lease. For operating leases, lessee payments are recorded to revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

The Company's contracts are either cost plus or fixed price contracts. Under cost plus contracts, customers are billed for actual expenses incurred plus an agreed-upon fee. Under cost plus contracts, a profit or loss on a project is recognized depending on whether actual costs are more or less than the agreed upon amount.

The Company has two types of fixed price contracts, firm fixed price and cost-sharing. Under firm fixed price contracts, the Company receives an agreed-upon amount for providing products and services specified in the contract, a profit or loss is recognized depending on whether actual costs are more or less than the agreed upon amount. Under cost-sharing contracts, the fixed amount agreed upon with the customer is only intended to fund a portion of the costs on a specific project. Under cost sharing contracts, an amount corresponding to the revenue is recorded in cost of revenues, resulting in gross profit on these contracts of zero. The Company's share of the costs is recorded as product development expense. The following are percentages of revenues by contract type;

	Three months ended October 31, 2018		Six months ended October 31, 2018		2017		2017	
	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
Fixed Price	100%	100 %	100%	100 %				
Firm fixed price	100%	100 %	100%	100 %				
Cost Sharing	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %		
Cost Plus	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %		
	100%	100 %	100%	100 %				

As of October 31, 2018, the Company's total remaining performance obligations, also referred to as backlog, totaled \$1.0 million. The Company expects to recognize approximately 52%, or \$0.5 million, of the remaining performance obligations as revenue over the next twelve months and an additional 48% the following twelve months.

***(m) Recently Issued Accounting Standards***

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, "*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*." ASU 2014-09 outlines a new, single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. This new revenue recognition model provides a five-step analysis in determining when and how revenue is recognized. The new model will require revenue recognition to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration a company expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The FASB subsequently issued additional clarifying standards to address issues arising from implementation of the new revenue standard, including a one-year deferral of the effective date for the new revenue standard. Public companies should now apply the guidance in ASU 2014-09 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and interim periods within those annual periods. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that annual period. As such, the Company is required to adopt this standard effective in fiscal 2019, which begins May 1, 2018. Companies may use either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach to adopt ASU 2014-09. Under the full retrospective method, the standard would be applied to

each prior reporting period presented and the cumulative effect of applying the standard would be recognized at the earliest period shown. Under the modified retrospective method, the cumulative effect of applying the standard would be recognized at the date of application. Effective May 1, 2018, the Company adopted the requirements of ASU 2014-09 using the modified retrospective method. As a practical expedient, the Company adopted the new standard only for existing contracts as of May 1, 2018, the date of adoption. Any contracts that had expired prior to May 1, 2018 were not evaluated against the new standard. The Company adopted ASU 2014-09 and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, "*Leases (Topic 842)*." The new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. ASU 2016-02 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those annual periods, with early adoption permitted. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-11, "*Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements*." ASU 2018-11 provides companies another transition method in addition to the existing transition method by allowing entities to initially apply the new leases standard at the adoption date and recognize a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption. The consideration in the contract is allocated to the lease and nonlease components on a relative standalone price basis (for lessees) or in accordance with the allocation guidance in the new revenue standard (for lessors). ASU 2018-11 also provides lessees with a practical expedient, by class of underlying asset, to not separate nonlease components from the associated lease component. If a lessee makes that accounting policy election, it is required to account for the nonlease components together with the associated lease component as a single lease component and to provide certain disclosures. Lessors are not afforded a similar practical expedient. The Company is evaluating the effect ASU 2016-02 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures and has not yet determined the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting at this time.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, “*Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*”, providing additional guidance on eight specific cash flow classification issues. The goal of the ASU is to reduce diversity in practice of classifying certain items. The amendments in the ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years and early adoption is permitted. The Company has evaluated the effect ASU 2016-15 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures and has determined the standard will have no impact on its ongoing financial reporting at this time.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-13, “*Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820)*.” The ASU modifies, removes, and adds several disclosure requirements on fair value measurements in Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement. The ASU 2018-13 is effective for all entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019. The amendments on changes in unrealized gains and losses, the range and weighted average of significant unobservable inputs used to develop Level 3 fair value measurements, and the narrative description of measurement uncertainty should be applied prospectively for only the most recent interim or annual period presented in the initial fiscal year of adoption. All other amendments should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented upon their effective date. Early adoption is permitted upon issuance of ASU 2018-13. An entity is permitted to early adopt any removed or modified disclosures upon issuance of ASU 2018-13 and delay adoption of the additional disclosures until their effective date. The Company is evaluating the effect ASU 2018-13 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures and has not yet determined the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting at this time.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-15, “*Intangibles — Goodwill and Other — Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40)*.” The ASU provides for the recognition of an intangible asset for the costs of internal-use software licenses included in a cloud computing arrangement. Costs of arrangements that do not include a software license should be accounted for as a service contract and expensed as incurred. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The ASU permits two methods of adoption: prospectively to all implementation costs incurred after the date of adoption, or retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented. The Company is evaluating the effect ASU 2018-15 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures and has not yet determined the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting at this time.

### **(3) Account Receivable, Contract Assets, and Contract Liabilities**

The following provides further details on the balance sheet accounts of accounts receivable, contract assets, and contract liabilities.

#### Accounts Receivable

## Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Company grants credit to its customers, generally without collateral, under normal payment terms (typically 30 to 60 days after invoicing). Generally, invoicing occurs after the related services are performed or control of good has transferred to the customer. Accounts receivable represents an unconditional right to consideration arising from the Company's performance under contracts with customers. The carrying value of such receivables represent their estimated realizable value. Accounts receivable consisted of the following at October 31, 2018 and April 30, 2018.

	October 31, 2018	April 30, 2018
	(in thousands)	
Opening balance	\$171	\$48
Amount invoiced to customer	574	754
Collections	(278)	(631)
Ending balance	\$467	\$171

### Contract Assets and Contract Liabilities

*Contract assets (previously referred to as unbilled receivables).* Contract assets include unbilled amounts typically resulting from arrangements whereby the right to payment is conditioned on completing additional tasks or services for a performance obligation. On May 1, 2018, the day of adoption of ASC 2014-09, the Company reclassified \$71,000 of unbilled receivables to contract assets.

*Contract liabilities (previously referred to as unearned revenue).* Contract liabilities consist of amounts invoiced to customers in excess of revenue recognized. On May 1, 2018, the day of adoption of ASC 2014-09, the Company reclassified \$18,000 of unearned revenue to contract liabilities.

A summary of the contract assets and contract liabilities is as follows:

	October 31, 2018	April 30, 2018
	(in thousands)	
Contract assets	\$ 23	\$ -
Contract liabilities	373	-
Contract (liability)/assets, net	\$ (350 )	\$ -

The increase in contract assets is primarily a result of services performed but unbilled during the six months ended October 31, 2018. The increase in contract liabilities is primarily a result of additional amounts invoiced to customers in excess of revenue recognized during the six months ended October 31, 2018. During the six months ended October 31, 2018, the Company recognized \$18,000 of revenue that was included in contract liabilities at May 1, 2018. During the six months ended October 31, 2018, the Company recognized revenue of \$149,000 related to performance obligations that were partially satisfied in previous periods.

#### (4) Marketable Securities

Marketable securities with initial maturities greater than three months but that mature within one year from the balance sheet date are classified as current assets. For the periods ended October 31, 2018 and April 30, 2018 the Company had zero and \$25,000 in certificates of deposit.

#### (5) Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following at October 31, 2018 and April 30, 2018.

	October 31, 2018	April 30, 2018
	(in thousands)	
Project costs	\$ 155	\$ 57
Contract loss reserve	414	395

Employee incentive payments	506	761
Accrued salary and benefits	491	442
Legal and accounting fees	307	246
Accrued taxes payable	177	179
Other	142	181
	\$2,192	\$2,261

**(6) Deferred Credits Payable**

During the year ended April 30, 2001, in connection with the sale of common stock to an investor, the Company received \$0.6 million from the investor in exchange for an option to purchase up to 500,000 metric tons of carbon emissions credits generated by the Company during the years 2008 through 2012, at a 30% discount from the then-prevailing market rate. If the Company received emission credits under applicable laws and failed to sell to the investor the credits up to the full amount of emission credits covered by the option, the investor was entitled to liquidated damages equal to 30% of the aggregate market value of the shortfall in emission credits (subject to a limit on the market price of emission credits). Under the terms of the agreement, if the Company did not become entitled under applicable laws to the full amount of emission credits covered by the option by December 31, 2012, the Company was obligated to return the option fee of \$0.6 million, less the aggregate discount on any emission credits sold to the investor prior to such date. In December 2012, the Company and the investor agreed to extend the period for the sale of emission credits until December 31, 2017. It has been agreed by the investor and the Company that the Company will return the option fee of \$0.6 million. As of October 31, 2018, the Company has paid the outstanding balance on this option fee of \$0.6 million. As a result, this matter is completely resolved, and no additional amounts are owed by the Company to the investor.

**(7) Warrants**

On June 2, 2016, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement, which was amended on June 7, 2016 (as amended, the “June Purchase Agreement”) with certain institutional purchasers (the “June Purchasers”). Pursuant to the terms of the June Purchase Agreement, the Company sold an aggregate of 417,000 shares of Common Stock together with warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 145,952 shares of Common Stock. Each share of Common Stock was sold together with a warrant to purchase 0.35 of a share of Common Stock at a combined purchase price of \$4.60. The warrants have an exercise price of \$6.08 per share, became exercisable on December 3, 2016 (“Initial Exercise Date”), and will expire five years following the Initial Exercise Date.

On July 22, 2016, the Company entered into a Second Amendment to the Purchase Agreement (the “Second Amended Purchase Agreement”) with certain institutional purchasers (the “July Purchasers”). Pursuant to the terms of the Second Amended Purchase Agreement, the Company sold an aggregate of 595,000 shares of Common Stock together with warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 178,500 shares of Common Stock. Each share of Common Stock was sold together with a warrant to purchase 0.30 of a share of Common Stock at a combined purchase price of \$6.75. The Warrants were exercisable immediately at an exercise price of \$9.36 per share. The Warrants will expire on the fifth (5th) anniversary of the initial date of issuance.

Both sets of warrants contain a feature whereby they could require the transfer of assets and therefore are classified as a liability in accordance with ASC 480. As such, the warrants had a value of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million at October 31, 2018 and April 30, 2018, respectively, as reflected within “Warrant liabilities” in the unaudited consolidated balance sheets.

An unrealized gain of approximately \$51,000 and \$31,000 for the three months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017 and \$136,000 and \$68,000 for the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, were included within “Gain due to change in fair value of warrant liability” in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company determined the fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	October 31, 2018		October 31, 2017	
Dividend rate	0.0	%	0.0	%
Risk-free rate	2.9	%	1.8% - 1.9	%
Expected life (years)	2.7 - 3.1		3.8 - 4.1	
Expected volatility	142.9	%	138.1	%

**(8) Stock-Based Compensation**



In 2007, the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan") became effective. A total of 80,321 shares were authorized for issuance under the 2006 Plan. In 2009, an amendment to the 2006 Plan was approved by the Company's stockholders, increasing the aggregate number of shares authorized for issuance by 85,000 shares to 165,321. On October 2, 2013, a further amendment to the 2006 Plan was approved by the Company's stockholders, increasing the aggregate number of shares authorized for issuance by an additional 80,000 shares to 245,321. The Company's employees, officers, directors, consultants and advisors were eligible to receive awards under the 2006 Plan. The 2006 Plan was administered by the Company's board of directors, who were authorized to delegate authority to one or more committees or subcommittees of the board of directors or to the Company's officers. The 2006 Plan was terminated in December 2015 and unused shares in that plan were transferred to the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

In 2015, upon approval by the Company's stockholders, the Company's 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2015 Plan") became effective. A total of 240,703 shares were authorized for issuance under the 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan, including shares available for awards under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan remaining at the time that plan terminated, or that were subject to awards under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan that thereafter terminated by reason of expiration, forfeiture, cancellation or otherwise. On October 21, 2016 upon approval by the Company's stockholders the Company increased the number of shares authorized for issuance to 640,703. If any award under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan or 2015 Plan expires, is cancelled, terminates unexercised or is forfeited, those shares become again available for grant under the 2015 Plan. The 2015 Plan will terminate ten years after its effective date, in October 2025, but is subject to earlier termination as provided in the 2015 Plan. As of October 31, 2018, the Company has 123,106 shares available for future issuance under the 2015 Plan.

On January 18, 2018, the Company’s Board of Directors adopted the Company’s Employment Inducement Incentive Award Plan (the “2018 Inducement Plan”) pursuant to which the Company reserved 500,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the Inducement Plan. In accordance with Rule 5635(c)(4) and Rule 5635(c)(3) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules, awards under the Inducement Plan may only be made to individuals not previously employees of the Company (or following such individuals’ bona fide period of non-employment with the Company), as an inducement material to the individuals’ entry into employment with the Company. An award is any right to receive the Company’s common stock pursuant to the 2018 Inducement Plan, consisting of a performance share award, restricted stock award, a restricted stock unit award or a stock payment award. As of October 31, 2018, there were 116,156 shares outstanding and 383,844 shares available for grant under the 2018 Inducement Plan.

**(a) Stock Options**

The Company estimates the fair value of each stock option granted, for both service-based and performance-based vesting requirements, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, assuming no dividends, and using the weighted average valuation assumptions noted in the following table. The risk-free rate is based on the US Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected life (estimated period of time outstanding) of the stock options granted was estimated using the “simplified” method as permitted by the SEC’s Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110, *Share-Based Payment*. Expected volatility was based on the Company’s historical volatility over the expected life of the stock option granted. There were no shares granted in the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 170,664 shares granted in the three and six months ended October 31, 2017. The following assumptions were used for the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017.

	Three months ended October 31, 2018			Six months ended October 31, 2017		
Risk-free interest rate	N/A	2.1	%	N/A	2.1	%
Expected dividend yield	N/A	0.0	%	N/A	0.0	%
Expected life (in years)	N/A	5.5		N/A	5.5	
Expected volatility	N/A	128.2	%	N/A	128.2	%

A summary of stock options under our stock incentive plans is detailed in the following table.

Weighted  
Average

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	Shares Underlying Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)
Outstanding as of April 30, 2018	388,529	\$ 6.15	7.4
Granted	-	\$ -	
Exercised	-	\$ -	
Cancelled/forfeited	(29,075 )	\$ 43.69	
Outstanding as of October 31, 2018	359,454	\$ 3.12	7.5
Exercisable as of October 31, 2018	188,732	\$ 4.71	6.2

As of October 31, 2018, the total intrinsic value of both outstanding and exercisable options was approximately zero. As of October 31, 2018, approximately 145,115 additional options were unvested, which had no intrinsic value and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 9.0 years. There was approximately \$78,000 and \$125,000 of total recognized compensation cost related to stock options during each of the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. As of October 31, 2018, there was approximately \$18,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under the plans. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.1 years. The Company typically issues newly authorized but unissued shares to satisfy option exercises under these plans.

***(b) Restricted Stock***

Compensation expense for non-vested restricted stock is generally recorded based on its market value on the date of grant and recognized ratably over the associated service and performance period. During the six months ended October 31, 2018, the Company granted 18,859 shares subject to service-based vesting requirements.

A summary of non-vested restricted stock under our stock incentive plans is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price per Share
Issued and unvested at April 30, 2018	197,064	\$ 1.35
Granted	18,859	\$ 1.14
Vested	(5,154 )	\$ 3.22
Cancelled/forfeited	(4,500 )	\$ 1.40
Issued and unvested at October 31, 2018	206,269	\$ 1.28

There was approximately \$57,000 and \$48,000 of total recognized compensation cost related to restricted stock for the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. As of October 31, 2018, there was approximately \$145,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock granted under our plans. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

***(c) Treasury Stock***

During the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, 1,770 and 23,699 shares, respectively, of common stock was purchased by the Company from employees to pay taxes related to the vesting of restricted stock.

**(9) Fair Value Measurements**

The Company measures and reports certain financial and non-financial assets and liabilities on a fair value basis. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). GAAP specifies a three-level hierarchy that is used when measuring and disclosing fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices available in active markets (i.e., observable inputs) and the lowest priority to data lacking transparency (i.e., unobservable inputs). An instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of significant input to its valuation. The following is a description of the three hierarchy levels.

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities. Active markets are considered to be those in which transactions for the assets or liabilities occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.
- Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. This category includes quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs are not corroborated by market data. This category is comprised of financial and non-financial assets and liabilities whose fair value is estimated based on internally developed models or methodologies using significant inputs that are generally less readily observable from objective sources.

Transfers into or out of any hierarchy level are recognized at the end of the reporting period in which the transfers occurred. There were no transfers between any levels during each of the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017.

The following information is provided to help readers gain an understanding of the relationship between amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the related market or fair value. The disclosures include financial instruments and derivative financial instruments, other than investment in affiliates.

Following are descriptions of the valuation methodologies used to measure material assets and liabilities at fair value and details of the valuation models, key inputs to those models and significant assumptions utilized.

Warrant Liabilities

The fair value of the Company's warrant liabilities (refer to Note 7) recorded in the Company's financial statements is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the quoted price of the Company's common stock in an active market, volatility and expected life, is a Level 3 measurement. Volatility is based on the actual market activity of the Company's stock. The expected life is based on the remaining contractual term of the warrants and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury Securities with a maturity equivalent to the warrants' expected life.

The following table presents financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of October 31, 2018.

	Quoted Total prices in Carrying Value in Consolidated Balance Sheet (Level 1) (in thousands)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Warrant liabilities	\$65	\$ -	\$ 65

The following table presents financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of April 30, 2018.

	Quoted Total prices in Carrying Value in Consolidated Balance Sheet (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
--	--	---	--

(in thousands)

Warrant liabilities \$201 \$ - \$ - \$ 201

The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of the Company's warrant liabilities held at October 31, 2018.

Fair Value Measurement Using  
Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

	Total Warrant Liability (in thousands)
Fair value – April 30, 2017	\$ 323
Change in fair value	(122 )
Fair value – April 30, 2018	\$ 201
Change in fair value	(136 )
Fair value – October 31, 2018	\$ 65

There were no re-measured assets or liabilities at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

## (10) Commitments and Contingencies

### (a) Litigation

#### Shareholder Litigation and Demands

The Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers are defendants in a derivative lawsuit filed on March 18, 2015 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey captioned *Labare v. Dunleavy, et al.*, Case No. 3:15-cv-01980-FLW-LHG. The derivative complaint alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and unjust enrichment relating to the now terminated agreement between Victorian Wave Partners Pty. Ltd. (VWP) and the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) for the development of a wave power station. The derivative complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

On July 10, 2015, a second derivative lawsuit, captioned *Rywolt v. Dunleavy, et al.*, Case No. 3:15-cv-05469, was filed by another shareholder against the same defendants in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging similar claims for breach of fiduciary duty, gross mismanagement, abuse of control, and unjust enrichment relating to the now terminated agreement between VWP and ARENA. The *Rywolt* complaint also seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On February 8, 2016, the Court issued an order consolidating the *Labare* and *Rywolt* actions, appointing co-lead plaintiffs and lead counsel, and ordering a consolidated amended complaint to be filed within 30 days of the order. On March 9, 2016, the co-lead plaintiffs filed an amended complaint consolidating their claims and seeking unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

On April 21, 2016, a third derivative lawsuit, captioned *LaCalamito v. Dunleavy, et al.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02249, was filed by another shareholder against certain current and former directors and officers of the Company in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging similar claims for breach of fiduciary duty relating to the now terminated agreement between VWP and ARENA. The *LaCalamito* complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. The Company has not been formally served and has not yet responded to the complaint.

On June 9, 2016, a fourth derivative lawsuit, captioned *Pucillo v. Dunleavy, et al.*, was filed by another shareholder against certain current and former directors and officers of the Company in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging similar claims for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, and abuse of control relating to the now terminated agreement between VWP and ARENA. The *Pucillo* complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On August 2, 2016, the parties in the *Pucillo* lawsuit filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order pursuant to which: (i) the defendants agreed to accept service of the *Pucillo* complaint; (ii) the parties agreed to stay the *Pucillo* action pending the filing and resolution of a motion to consolidate the *Pucillo* action with the *Labare* and *Rywolt* actions; and (iii) the parties agreed that the defendants shall not be required to respond to the



*Pucillo* complaint during the pendency of the stay. The Court approved the Stipulation on August 3, 2016.

On October 25, 2016, the Court approved and entered a Stipulation and Order that, among other things, (i) consolidated the four derivative actions; (ii) identified plaintiff *Pucillo* as the lead plaintiff in the consolidated actions; and (iii) stayed the consolidated actions pending the November 14, 2016 settlement hearing in the now-settled securities class action and further order of the Court.

On October 23, 2017, the parties entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement to resolve the four consolidated derivative lawsuits. If approved by the Court, the settlement provides for, among other things, the Company to implement certain corporate governance changes, a \$350,000 payment to the plaintiffs' attorneys for attorneys' fees and costs that will be made by the Company's insurance carrier, dismissal of the derivative lawsuits, and certain releases. On November 21, 2017, the plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion seeking preliminary approval of the settlement, which the Court granted on March 9, 2018. On June 13, 2018 the Court issued a Final Order and Judgement, approving the Stipulation and Agreement Settlement. The Company had accrued \$350,000 related to this matter as a probable and reasonably estimable loss contingency during the twelve months ended April 30, 2018. The Company also had recorded a receivable of \$350,000 from its insurance carrier with the offset to the statement of operations. The Company's insurance carrier made a payment of \$350,000 to the plaintiffs' attorneys on May 3, 2018. As a result, the consolidated derivatives lawsuits are now completely resolved, the releases are operative and the matter is closed.

On May 26, 2017, an attorney claiming to represent two stockholders sent the Company's Board of Directors a Stockholder Litigation Demand letter ("Stockholder Demand"). The Stockholder Demand alleges that the voting of shares for the 1-for-10 reverse stock split at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders held on October 22, 2015 was not properly counted, and further alleges that, although the Company reported the reverse stock split as having been passed, if the vote was properly counted the reverse stock split would not have been approved. The Stockholder Demand requests the Board of Directors either to deem the reverse stock split as ineffective and disclose the same or to seek a proper and effective stockholder ratification of the reverse stock split. In addition, the Stockholder Demand requests the Board of Directors to adopt and implement adequate internal controls and systems to prevent the alleged improper voting from recurring. On June 23, 2017, the Company responded to the Stockholder Demand, explained the procedures that were followed for the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and provided the Oath of the Inspector of Elections and the Certificate of the Inspector of Elections that certified as accurate the results of the voting at the meeting including voting on the reverse stock split proposal. On June 26, 2017, the attorney representing the alleged stockholders replied to the Company's response, further alleged that the proxy statement underlying the 2015 annual meeting provided voting instructions that allegedly misled the stockholders regarding whether their brokers could vote on the reverse stock split proposal and renewed their requests of the Board. On July 24, 2017, the Company provided an additional response to the Stockholders Demand, denied the allegations, and declined to take any of the actions requested.

### **Employment Litigation**

On June 10, 2014, the Company announced that it had terminated Charles Dunleavy as its Chief Executive Officer and as an employee of the Company for cause, effective June 9, 2014, and that Mr. Dunleavy had also been removed from his position as Chairman of the Board of Directors. On June 17, 2014, Mr. Dunleavy wrote to the Company stating that he had retained counsel to represent him in connection with an alleged wrongful termination of his employment. On July 28, 2014, Mr. Dunleavy resigned from the Board and the boards of directors of the Company's subsidiaries. In 2014, the Company and Mr. Dunleavy entered into a tolling agreement with respect to his alleged employment claims pending resolution of a securities class action and shareholder derivative litigation. The securities class action was resolved in November 2017 and the derivatives litigation was resolved in June 2018.

On August 28, 2018, counsel for Mr. Dunleavy filed a demand for arbitration, captioned *Charles F. Dunleavy v. Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 01-18-0003-2374, before the American Arbitration Association in New Jersey. The demand names Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. as the respondent and alleges various claims and seeks declaratory relief and permanent injunction. The demand seeks damages in the amount of \$5 million for compensatory and punitive damages, plus interest and attorneys' fees as well as certain equitable relief. On November 8, 2018, the Company through counsel responded to the demand for arbitration, denied all allegations, and asserted various affirmative defenses. The parties are in the process of selecting the arbitrators for the proceeding and have not yet scheduled or commenced any discovery. As of October 31, 2018, the Company has not accrued any provision related to this matter since it cannot reasonably estimate the loss contingency.

### **Tide Runner Marine, Inc.**

On June 13, 2018, Tide Runner Marine, Inc. (“Tide Runner”) filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey captioned *Tide Runner Marine, Inc. v. Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 1:18-cv-10496. The complaint names the Company as defendant and alleges claims for breach of contract, unjust enrichment, conversion, and fraud, negligent and/or reckless misrepresentation all as associated with the removal of a Company mooring system off the coast of New Jersey that was completed in May 2017. The complaint seeks damages in the amount of \$2,825,130 together with interest, costs, attorney’s fees, punitive damages and such other relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances. On July 27, 2018, the Company filed an answer denying the claims in the complaint, asserted various affirmative defenses, and asserted a counter-claim for damages in the amount of \$15,000 for Tide Runner’s failure to pay the Company for certain portions of the mooring system that were recovered. On August 2, 2018, Tide Runner filed its answer to and denied the Company’s counterclaim and asserted various affirmative defenses. During the initial scheduling conference held on September 13, 2018, the parties agreed to engage in mediation in an effort to resolve this matter. The parties participated in mediation on November 15, 2018 but were unable to reach an agreement. The parties have agreed to continue the mediation process until at least December 20, 2018. Formal discovery has not yet been scheduled. As of October 31, 2018, the Company has not accrued any provision related to this matter since it cannot reasonably estimate the loss contingency.

### ***Spain Income Tax Audit***

The Company is currently undergoing an income tax audit in Spain for the period from 2008 to 2014, when our Spanish branch was closed. The branch reported net operating losses for each of the years reported that the Spanish tax inspector claims should have been capitalized on the balance sheet instead of charged as an expense in the Statement of Operations. As of April 30, 2017, the Company had recorded a penalty of \$132,000 to Selling, general and administrative costs in the Statement of Operations. The Spanish tax inspector has recently closed its discussion relating to the capitalization of expenses and as of April 30, 2018 the Company reversed the penalty. However, the Spanish tax inspector has now raised questions with respect to the Company’s recognition of funds received in 2011 to 2014 from a governmental grant from the European Commission in connection with the Waveport project. It is anticipated that the Company will be assessed a penalty relating to these tax years. The Company has estimated this penalty to be \$177,000 and is recorded within Accrued liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## **(11) Income Taxes**

The Company did not recognize any consolidated income tax benefit (expense) during the three and six months ended July 31, 2018 and 2017. The Company has recorded a valuation allowance to reduce its net deferred tax asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized in future years. Accordingly, the benefit of the net operating loss that would have been recognized was offset by changes in the valuation allowance.

### ***Uncertain Tax Positions***

The Company applies the guidance issued by the FASB for the accounting and reporting of uncertain tax positions. The guidance requires the Company to recognize in its consolidated financial statements the impact of a tax position if that position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination, based on the technical merits of the position. We are currently undergoing an income tax audit in Spain for the period from 2008 to 2014, when our Spanish branch was closed. The branch reported net operating losses for each of the years reported. It is anticipated that we will be assessed a penalty relating to these tax years for these losses. We have estimated this penalty to be \$177,000, and as such, for the period ended October 31, 2018 and April 30, 2018, we have recorded \$177,000 for this penalty to Accrued expenses in the Balance Sheet. At October 31, 2018 the Company had no other unrecognized tax positions. The Company does not expect any material increase or decrease in its income tax expense in the next twelve months, related to examinations or uncertain tax positions. U.S. federal and state income tax returns were audited through fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2010 respectively. Net operating loss and credit carry forwards since inception remain open to examination by taxing authorities and will continue to remain open for a period of time after utilization.

## **(12) Operating Segments and Geographic Information**

The Company's business consists of one segment as this represents management's view of the Company's operations. The Company operates on a worldwide basis with one operating company in the US and subsidiaries in the UK and in Australia. Revenues and expenses are generally attributed to the operating unit that bills the customers. During the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company's primary business operations were in North America.

## **(13) Adoption of Revenue Recognition Guidance**

The Company adopted the new revenue recognition guidance effective May 1, 2018, using the modified retrospective method. The primary impact of the new guidance was a change in the timing of revenue recognition on certain

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

long-term contracts. The new guidance does not change the total sales or operating income on the related customer contracts, only the timing of when sales and operating income are recognized. The Company uses the expected cost plus a margin approach and lease accounting literature for revenue recognition on customer contracts. The impact of the adoption for the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 was an increase of \$51,000 and \$79,000 to net loss. Further, as the Company's adoption of the guidance decelerated the timing of revenue recognition on the Company's contracts, the adoption resulted in an \$79,000 increase in the Company's remaining performance obligations, also referred to as backlog, as of October 31, 2018.

The following tables present how the adoption of the new revenue recognition standard affected certain line items in the Company's unaudited condensed statement of operations for the three and six months ended October 31, 2018.

	Six months ended October 31, 2018		
	As Reported	Effect of Adoption	Amounts Excluding Effect of Adoption
Revenues	\$ 172	\$ (79	) \$ 251
Operating loss	(7,232	) (79	) (7,153 )
Net loss	\$(7,130	) \$(79	) \$(7,051 )
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$(0.39	) \$(0.01	) \$(0.38 )
Weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	18,338,678	18,338,678	18,338,678

	Three months ended October 31, 2018		
	As Reported	Effect of Adoption	Amounts Excluding Effect of Adoption
Revenues	\$ 141	\$ (51 )	\$ 192
Operating loss	(3,919 )	(51 )	(3,868 )
Net loss	\$(3,890 )	\$(51 )	\$(3,839 )
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$(0.21 )	\$(0.00 )	\$(0.21 )
Weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	18,523,493	18,523,493	18,523,493

The following tables present how the adoption of the new revenue recognition standard affected certain line items in the Company's unaudited statement of comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended October 31, 2018.

	Six months ended October 31, 2018		
	As Reported	Effect of Adoption	Amounts Excluding Effect of Adoption
Net loss	\$(7,130)	\$ (79 )	\$ (7,051 )
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(5 )	-	(5 )
Total comprehensive loss	\$(7,135)	\$ (79 )	\$ (7,056 )

	Three months ended October 31, 2018		
	As Reported	Effect of Adoption	Amounts Excluding Effect of Adoption
Net loss	\$(3,890)	\$ (51 )	\$ (3,839 )
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(9 )	-	(9 )
Total comprehensive loss	\$(3,899)	\$ (51 )	\$ (3,848 )

The following tables present how the adoption of the new revenue recognition standard affected certain line items in the Company's unaudited consolidated balance sheet as of October 31, 2018.

	As of October 31, 2018		
	As	Effect of	Amounts
	Reported	Adoption	Excluding
			Effect of
			Adoption
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>			
Contract liability	\$373	\$ 79	\$294
Accumulated deficit	(204,668)	(79 )	(204,589 )
Total stockholders' equity	\$3,599	\$ -	\$3,599
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$6,694	\$ -	\$6,694

The following tables present how the adoption of the new revenue recognition standard affected certain line items in the Company's unaudited consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended October 31, 2018.

	Six months ended October 31, 2018		
	As	Effect of	Amounts
	Reported	Adoption	Excluding
			Effect of
			Adoption
Net loss	\$(7,130)	\$ (79 )	\$ (7,051 )
Contract liability	373	79	294
Net cash used in operating activities	\$(7,615)	\$ -	\$ (7,615 )
Net increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$(7,669)	\$ -	\$ (7,669 )
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period	\$4,556	\$ -	\$ 4,556

**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Some of the information contained in this management's discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business, pending and threatened litigation and our liquidity includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. You should review the "Risk Factors" section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2018 for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in the following discussion and analysis. References to a fiscal year in this Form 10-Q refer to the year ended April 30 of that year (e.g., fiscal 2018 refers to the year ended April 30, 2018).

**Overview**

Nearly 70% of the earth's surface is covered by water, and over 40% of the world's population lives within approximately 150 miles of a coast. Thousands of information gathering and/or power systems are deployed in the oceans today to increase our understanding of weather, climate change, biological processes, and marine mammal patterns as well as supporting exploration, security and defense and operations for industries such as oil and gas. Most of these systems are powered by battery, solar, wind, fuel cell, or fossil fuel generators that may be unreliable and expensive to operate while they also may be limited in their ability to deliver ample electric power. These current systems often necessitate significant tradeoffs in sensor accuracy, data processing and communications bandwidth and frequency in order to operate given limited available power. More persistent power systems requiring less maintenance, such as our systems, may have the ability to save costs over these current systems. Equally important are increases in available power may allow for better sensors, faster data sampling and higher frequency communication intervals up to real-time which could as a result improve scientific, safety, security and economic returns.

Founded in 1984 and headquartered in Monroe Township, New Jersey, we believe we are the leader in ocean wave power conversion technology. Our PB3 PowerBuoy™ is our first fully commercial product which generates electricity by harnessing the renewable energy of ocean waves. In addition to our PB3 PowerBuoy™, we continue to develop our PowerBuoy™ product line based on modular, ocean-going buoys, which we have been periodically ocean testing since 1997.

The PB3 PowerBuoy™ generates power for use in remote offshore locations, independent of a conventional power grid. It features a unique onboard power take-off ("PTO") system, which incorporates both energy storage and energy management and control systems. The PB3 generates a nominal name-plated capacity rating of up to 3 kilowatts ("kW") of peak power during recharging of the onboard batteries. Power generation is deployment-site dependent whereby average power generated can increase substantially at very active sites. Our standard energy storage system ("ESS") has an energy capacity of up to a nominal 150 kilowatt-hours ("kWh") to meet specific application requirements. We



believe there is a substantial addressable market for the current capabilities of our PB3, which we believe could be utilized in a variety of applications.

In addition to leveraging earlier design aspects of our autonomous PowerBuoy™, the PB3 has undergone extensive factory and in-ocean design validation testing. Currently, our engineering efforts are continuing to expand the PowerBuoy™ capability with simplified deployment and mooring options and working together with our customer base to ensure flexible systems integration and to optimize energy output. Our marketing efforts are focused on applications in remote offshore locations that require reliable and persistent power and communications, either by supplying electric power to payloads that are integrated directly in or on our PowerBuoy™ or located in its vicinity, such as on the seabed and in the water column.

Based on our market research and publicly available data, we believe that numerous markets have a direct need for our PowerBuoys™ including oil and gas, security and defense, science and research, and communications. Depending on payload power requirements, sensor types and other considerations, we have found that our PowerBuoy™ could satisfy several application requirements within these markets. We believe that the PB3 persistently generates sufficient power to meet the requirements of many potential customer applications within our target markets.

Since fiscal 2002, government agencies have accounted for a significant portion of our revenues. These revenues were largely for the support of our development efforts relating to our technology. Today our goal is to generate the majority of our revenue from the sale or lease of our products, and sales of services to support our business operations. As we continue to develop and commercialize our products, we expect to have a net loss of cash from operating activities unless and until we achieve positive cash flow from the commercialization of our products and services. During fiscal 2018 and the first six months of fiscal year 2019, we continued work on projects with the Premier Oil (“PMO”) and Eni S.p.A. (“Eni”).

## Product Development

The development of our technology has been funded by capital we raised, by development engineering contracts we received starting in fiscal 1995 with agencies like the DOE, the U.S. Navy, the Department of Homeland Security, and revenue generating projects with MES, Premier Oil and Eni.

Through these historic projects, we also continued development of our PowerBuoy™ technologies. We are continuing to focus on marketing and developing our PowerBuoy™ products and services for use in autonomous power applications.

During the first six months of fiscal 2019, we continued to focus on the commercialization of our PowerBuoy™ technology, while expanding the application of our PB3 product in autonomous application markets. In March 2018, we entered into an agreement with Eni that provides for a minimum 24-month contract that includes a lease and associated project management. In June 2018, we entered into a contract with PMO for the lease of a PB3 PowerBuoy™ to be deployed in one of PMO's offshore fields in the North Sea. In August 2018, we entered into an agreement with Enel Green Power S.p.A. to evaluate a PB3 PowerBuoy™ deployment along the coast of Chile through a detailed feasibility study as an offshore autonomous platform hosting oceanographic sensor systems.

In November 2017 we completed the Phase I work under the contract with the U.S. Department of Defense Office of Naval Research ("ONR"), which focused on the initial concept design and development of a mass-on-spring PTO-based PowerBuoy™. We are currently waiting for ONR funding to be approved for the next phase. Working closely with potential customers, we also continued to analyze and further develop new applications for the PowerBuoy™ including subsea well monitoring for oil and gas, Autonomous Underwater Vehicle ("AUV") charging, and independent telecommunications platforms.

In addition to the PB3 commercial product validation activities, a concerted effort has been underway which focuses on proactively implementing additional features driven by extensive and direct discussions with potential users and customers in our target markets. Such features include:

The design, development and implementation of a versatile mooring interface that allows the PB3 to accommodate various types of mooring configurations depending on the specifics and the needs of the customer, eliminating the need for a redesign to the device.

The design, development and implementation of a flexible power transmission system intended to support delivery of power and communication capabilities to customer payloads which are external to the PowerBuoy™, and which may reside in the water column or on the seabed.

The design and development of a single point mooring solution that allows for quick deployment of the PowerBuoy™.

Additionally, and building upon our initial success in implementing an auto-ballast system in our PB3, we further enhanced this feature in order to achieve faster and more cost effective PB3 deployments and retrievals.

As previously stated, the PB3 has achieved commercial status through a series of design iterations which focused on improving its reliability and survivability in the ocean environment. Though the PB3 will continue to undergo further enhancements through customary product life cycle management, we believe the PB3 has achieved a maturity level for immediate commercial use. We believe that the PB3 will generate and store sufficient power to address various application requirements in our target markets. Our product development and engineering efforts are focused, in part, on increasing the energy output and efficiency of our PowerBuoys™ and, if we are able to do so, we believe the PowerBuoy™ would be useful for additional applications where cost savings and additional power are required by our potential customers. We continue to explore opportunities in these target markets. We believe that by demonstrating the capability of our PowerBuoy™ in oil & gas and telecommunications applications, we can advance our technology and gain further adoption from our target markets. We continue to improve design and manufacturing to enhance our ability to improve customer value, displace incumbent solutions, and become the preferred power source for new and existing applications in our target markets.

We are utilizing our experience with multiple commercial PB3 deployments globally to continually improve our product so that we have higher energy efficiency, additional mooring capability, platform flexibility and high reliability. For example, the redesigned PB3 leverages our knowledge base from past designs to incorporate new design features which we believe will improve its reliability and efficiency.

In November 2018, the Company announced several new product offerings including subsea battery solutions, hybrid PowerBuoy™ and support services.

*Subsea battery solutions* – The Company intends to create a sea floor energy storage solution for remote offshore operations. These subsea storage solutions will contain lithium ion batteries, which provide higher power density, to supply power that can enable subsea equipment, sensors, communications and autonomous underwater vehicles (AUV) and electric remotely operated vehicles (eROV) recharge. The Company's PB3 PowerBuoy™ is complimentary to subsea battery storage by providing a means for recharging during longer term deployments, or the subsea battery solution can be used independently for shorter term deployments. Ideal for many remote offshore customer applications, these subsea battery solutions are anticipated to be high performance, cost-efficient, and quickly deployable. Given the Company's expertise in offshore energy storage systems from existing PB3 technology, the subsea battery solutions will provide an opportunity for the Company to differentiate through technical, cost and delivery leadership.

*Hybrid PowerBuoy™* - The Company intends to create a hybrid PowerBuoy™ that will be a smaller liquid-fueled surface buoy, compared to the PB3 PowerBuoy™, with significant energy storage and capable of providing reliable power in remote offshore locations. This product is to be highly complementary to the PB3 PowerBuoy™ by providing the Company the opportunity to address a broader spectrum of customer deployment needs, with the potential for greater Company integration within each customer project. It is primarily intended for shorter term deployment applications such as eROV and AUV inspections and short-term maintenance, topside surveillance and communications, and subsea equipment power purposes. The hybrid PowerBuoy™ is anticipated to be a light weight and quickly deployable option specially designed as a cost-effective solution. The design is also anticipated to have a high payload capacity for communications and surveillance, with the capability of being tethered to subsea payloads and battery packs, and/or PB3 PowerBuoys™, or with a conventional anchor mooring system. The Company intends to design the hybrid PowerBuoy™ to outperform traditional diesel buoys, which we believe have more frequent service and refueling intervals. We believe the hybrid PowerBuoy™ will be able to operate for years without service using environmentally safer and more robust fuels, while operating in a wider temperature range than diesel buoys.

*Support Services* – The Company offers customers a comprehensive range of support services that meet their specific needs, with a focus on lowering operational costs and improving efficiency. These support services include innovation services, remote monitoring, extended service agreements, customization and pre-packaged payload options, engineering-design-testing services, mooring design, and marine services. These same support services will be extended to the new subsea battery solution and hybrid PowerBuoy™ products.

## Commercial Activities

We continue to seek new strategic relationships, and further develop our existing partnerships, with other companies that have developed or are developing in-ocean applications requiring a persistent source of power that is also capable of real time data collection, processing and communication, to address potential customer needs.

In August 2018 we entered into an agreement with Enel Green Power S.p.A. to evaluate a PB3 PowerBuoy™ deployment along the coast of Chile through a detailed feasibility study of the PowerBuoy™ as an offshore autonomous platform hosting oceanographic sensor systems.

In June 2018, we entered into a contract with Premier Oil (“PMO”), an international oil and gas company, for the lease (for at least three months and a maximum of twelve months) of a PB3 PowerBuoy™ to be deployed in one of PMO’s offshore fields in the North Sea.

In March 2018, we entered into an agreement with Eni that provides for a minimum 24-month contract that includes an 18-month PB3 PowerBuoy™ lease and associated project management.

In February 2017, we entered into a Joint Application Development and Marketing Agreement with HAI Technologies to pursue mutual opportunities. The initial focus of the agreement is on offshore oil and gas subsea chemical injection systems where persistent power and real-time data communications are critical.

In December 2016, we entered into a Joint Marketing Agreement with Sonalysts, Inc. to explore and pursue mutual opportunities in defense and oil and gas applications. The agreement includes the exploration and assessment of the use of the PB3 as a platform to provide power and communications for these markets.

In September 2016, we entered into a contract with ONR totaling approximately \$0.2 million to carry out the first phase of a project which focuses on the initial concept design and development of a mass-on-spring PTO-based PowerBuoy™ leveraging a number of OPT patents covering such a technology. If successful, this device is expected to be able to respond to the unique set of requirements expected in various military marine applications. We completed the Phase 2 BASE Effort work under the contract which focused on the initial concept design and development of a mass-on-spring PTO-based PowerBuoy™. The Company is waiting for ONR funding of Phase 2, Option 1 to be approved.

We have worked with MES (from 2010 to current) to develop several PowerBuoy™ projects in Japan. Historically, our agreements with MES have provided for MES to reimburse us for specific costs associated with research, development and deployment of our PowerBuoy™ product. In March 2016, we entered into a letter of intent with MES to conduct funded pre-work tasks and to negotiate a definitive agreement that would allow for the lease of the PB3 PowerBuoy™ for a project off the coast of Kozushima Island, Japan following a planned stage gate review. Stage-gate reviews are used in product development to gather key information needed to advance the project to the next gate or decision point. This process is a generally accepted industry practice and has been utilized by other customers such as the DOE. A final contract totaling nearly \$1.0 million was negotiated and finalized with MES in May 2016 that included engineering and logistics support, and the lease of our PB3 PowerBuoy™ for a 7-month period, its ocean deployment, associated data collection and monitoring of its performance. Upon the completion of the engineering

pre-work and a successful stage gate review, the PB3 was shipped to Japan and was deployed off Kozushima Island from April to September 2017. The MES lease concluded in September 2017 and the PB3 was shipped back to New Jersey.

In May 2016, we entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (“MOA”) with Wildlife Conservation Society (“WCS”) to explore the use of our PowerBuoys™ in conjunction with ocean life monitoring sensors to collect ocean mammal migration data. The MOA includes the exploration and assessment of the use of the PB3 as an integration platform to provide power and communications to sensors that monitor marine life migrations. An initial effort consisting of a battery powered sensor mounted to the PB3-A1 was deployed off the coast of New Jersey which sought to establish a baseline acoustic survey. The deployment proceeded for approximately three months and met all project objectives.

In 2016, we entered into a cooperative research and development agreement (“CRADA”) with the National Data Buoy Center (“NDBC”) to conduct ocean demonstrations of its innovative Self-Contained Ocean Observing Payload (“SCOOP”) monitoring system integrated into our PB3-A1 PowerBuoy™. NDBC operates a large network of buoys and stations which provide critical meteorological and oceanic observations that are utilized by government, industry, and academia throughout the world. Under the CRADA, an initial ocean demonstration was to be conducted off the coast of New Jersey. We integrated the SCOOP onto our PB3 PowerBuoy™ and in June 2016 we deployed the system off of the coast of New Jersey. Site-specific measurements of meteorological and ocean conditions, as well as system performance and maintenance data collection, were carried out. The SCOOP was powered by the PB3 and provided metocean data to OPT and to NDBC. The deployment proceeded for approximately three months and met all project objectives.

## Capital Raises

On May 2, 2017, the Company sold 6,192,750 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.30 per share, which includes the sale of 807,750 shares of the Company's common stock sold by the Company pursuant to the exercise, in full, of the over-allotment option by the underwriters in a public offering. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were approximately \$7.2 million, after deducting underwriter fees and offering expenses payable by the Company.

On October 23, 2017, the Company sold 5,739,437 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.42 per share in a best efforts public offering. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were approximately \$7.4 million, after deducting placement fees and offering expenses payable by the Company.

On August 10, 2018, the Company entered into a common stock purchase agreement with Aspire Capital Fund, LLC ("Aspire Capital") which provides that, subject to certain terms, conditions and limitations, Aspire Capital is committed to purchase up to an aggregate of \$10.0 million of shares of the Company's common stock over a 30-month period. In consideration for entering into the agreement, the Company issued to Aspire Capital 428,571 shares of our common stock as a commitment fee. As of October 31, 2018, the Company has sold 200,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.48 per share pursuant to this common stock purchase agreement.

The sale of additional equity or convertible securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of debt securities or preferred stock, these securities could have rights senior to those associated with our common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. We do not have any committed sources of debt or equity financing and we cannot assure you that financing will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us when needed, or at all. If we are unable to obtain required financing when needed, we may be required to reduce the scope of our operations, including our planned product development and marketing efforts, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and operating results. If we are unable to secure additional financing, we may be forced to cease our operations.

## Backlog

As of October 31, 2018, the Company's negotiated backlog was \$1.0 million. As of April 30, 2018, negotiated backlog was \$0.7 million. Our backlog can include unfilled firm orders for our products and services from commercial or governmental customers. If any of our contracts were to be terminated, our backlog would be reduced by the expected value of the remaining terms of such contract.

The amount of contract backlog is not necessarily indicative of future revenue because modifications to, or terminations of present contracts and production delays can provide additional revenue or reduce anticipated revenue. A substantial portion of our revenue has been for the support of our product development efforts. These revenues are recognized using the percentage-of-completion method, and changes in estimates from time to time may have a significant effect on revenue and backlog. Our backlog is also typically subject to large variations from time to time due to the timing of new awards.

## **Business Strategy**

We continue to commercialize our PB3 PowerBuoy™ for use in remote offshore power and real-time data communications applications, and in order to achieve this goal, we are pursuing the following business objectives:

*Sell and/or lease PB3 PowerBuoy™.* We believe our PB3 PowerBuoy™ is well suited for many remote offshore applications. We have observed potential market demand for both PowerBuoy™ sales and leases within our selected markets, and we intend to sell and lease PB3 PowerBuoy™ to these markets. Additionally, we intend to provide services associated with product sales and leases such as maintenance, remote monitoring and diagnostic, application engineering, planning, training, logistics and security support required for the PB3 PowerBuoy™ life-cycle. We continue to increase our commercial capabilities through new hires in marketing, sales, and application support, and through engagement of expert market consultants in various geographies.



*Concentrate sales and marketing efforts in specific geographic markets.* We are currently focusing our marketing efforts in North America, Europe and parts of Asia, Africa and South America. We believe that each of these areas has sizable end market opportunities, political and economic stability, and high levels of industrialization and economic development.

*Expand our relationships in key market areas through strategic partnerships and collaborations.* We believe that strategic partners are an important part of commercializing a new product. Partnerships and collaborations can be used to improve the development of overall integrated solutions, create new market channels, expand commercial know-how and geographic footprint, and bolster our product delivery capabilities.

*Commercial collaborations.* We believe that an important element of our business strategy is to collaborate with other organizations to leverage our combined expertise, market presence and access, and core competences across key markets. We have formed such a relationship with several well-known groups, and we continue to seek other opportunities to collaborate with application experts from within our selected markets.

*Outsourcing of fabrication, deployment and service support.* We outsource all fabrication, anchoring, mooring, cabling supply, and in most cases deployment of our PowerBuoy™ in order to minimize our capital requirements as we scale our business. Our PTO is a proprietary subsystem and is assembled and tested at our facility. We believe this distributed manufacturing and assembly approach enables us to focus on our core competencies ensure a cost-effective product by leveraging a larger more established supply base. We also continue to seek strategic partnerships with regards to servicing of our PB3 PowerBuoy™.

*PB3 cost reduction and PowerBuoy™ product development.* Our engineering efforts are focused on customer application development for PB3 sales, cost reduction of our PB3 PowerBuoy™ and improving the energy output, reliability, maintenance interval and expected operating life of our PowerBuoys™. We continue to optimize manufacturability of our designs with a focus on cost competitiveness, and we believe that we will be able to address new and different applications by developing new products that increase energy output.

*New product offerings.* We are currently in the process of developing subsea battery solutions and a hybrid PowerBuoy™. The subsea battery solution will use lithium ion batteries to supply power that can enable subsea equipment, sensors, communications and autonomous underwater vehicles (AUV) and electric remotely operated vehicles (eROV) recharge. While the hybrid PowerBuoy™ will be a smaller liquid-fueled surface buoy, with significant energy storage and capable of providing reliable power in remote offshore locations. The Company anticipates marketing and quoting to potential customers around the world as early as the first quarter of calendar year 2019.

## **Going Concern**

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company has experienced substantial and recurring losses from operations, which losses have caused an accumulated deficit of \$204.7 million at October 31, 2018. Based on the Company's cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balances as of October 31, 2018, the Company believes that it will be able to finance its capital

requirements and operations into the quarter ending April 30, 2019.

The report of our independent registered public accounting firm on our consolidated financial statements filed with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2018, contains an explanatory paragraph regarding our ability to continue as a going concern, based on, among other factors, that our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon our ability to raise additional external capital and increase revenues. These factors, among others, raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in our efforts to generate revenues, become profitable, raise additional outside capital or to continue as a going concern. If we are not successful in our efforts to raise additional capital sufficient to support our operations, we would be forced to cease operations, in which event investors would lose their entire investment in our company.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

To understand our financial statements, it is important to understand our critical accounting policies and estimates. We prepare our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or “GAAP”. The preparation of financial statements also requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, costs and expenses and related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ significantly from the estimates made by our management. To the extent that there are differences between our estimates and actual results, our future financial statement presentation, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows will be affected. We believe that the accounting policies are critical to understanding our historical and future performance, as these policies relate to the more significant areas involving management’s judgments and estimates.

For a discussion of our critical accounting estimates, see the section entitled Item 7.- “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2018. There were no material changes in our critical accounting estimates or accounting policies during the six months ended October 31, 2018.

### **Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*.” ASU 2014-09 outlines a new, single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. This new revenue recognition model provides a five-step analysis in determining when and how revenue is recognized. The new model will require revenue recognition to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration a company expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The FASB subsequently issued additional clarifying standards to address issues arising from implementation of the new revenue standard, including a one-year deferral of the effective date for the new revenue standard. Public companies should now apply the guidance in ASU 2014-09 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and interim periods within those annual periods. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that annual period. As such, the Company is required to adopt this standard effective in fiscal 2019, which begins May 1, 2018. Companies may use either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach to adopt ASU 2014-09. Under the full retrospective method, the standard would be applied to each prior reporting period presented and the cumulative effect of applying the standard would be recognized at the earliest period shown. Under the modified retrospective method, the cumulative effect of applying the standard would be recognized at the date of application. Effective May 1, 2018, the Company adopted the requirements of ASU 2014-09 using the modified retrospective method. As a practical expedient, the Company adopted the new standard only for existing contracts as of May 1, 2018, the date of adoption. Any contracts that had expired prior to May 1, 2018 were not evaluated against the new standard. The Company adopted ASU 2014-09 and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, “*Leases (Topic 842)*.” The new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. ASU 2016-02 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those annual periods, with early adoption permitted. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-11, “*Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements*.” ASU 2018-11 provides companies another transition method in addition to the existing transition method by allowing entities to initially apply the new leases standard at the adoption date and recognize a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption. The consideration in the contract is allocated to the lease and nonlease components on a relative standalone price basis (for lessees) or in accordance with the allocation guidance in the new revenue standard (for lessors). ASU 2018-11 also provides lessees

with a practical expedient, by class of underlying asset, to not separate nonlease components from the associated lease component. If a lessee makes that accounting policy election, it is required to account for the nonlease components together with the associated lease component as a single lease component and to provide certain disclosures. Lessors are not afforded a similar practical expedient. The Company is evaluating the effect ASU 2016-02 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures and has not yet determined the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting at this time.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, "*Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*", providing additional guidance on eight specific cash flow classification issues. The goal of the ASU is to reduce diversity in practice of classifying certain items. The amendments in the ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years and early adoption is permitted. The Company has evaluated the effect ASU 2016-15 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures and has determined the standard will have no impact on its ongoing financial reporting at this time.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-13, “*Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820)*.” The ASU modifies, removes and adds several disclosure requirements on fair value measurements in Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement. The ASU 2018-13 is effective for all entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019. The amendments on changes in unrealized gains and losses, the range and weighted average of significant unobservable inputs used to develop Level 3 fair value measurements, and the narrative description of measurement uncertainty should be applied prospectively for only the most recent interim or annual period presented in the initial fiscal year of adoption. All other amendments should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented upon their effective date. Early adoption is permitted upon issuance of ASU 2018-13. An entity is permitted to early adopt any removed or modified disclosures upon issuance of ASU 2018-13 and delay adoption of the additional disclosures until their effective date. The Company is evaluating the effect ASU 2018-13 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures and has not yet determined the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting at this time.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-15, “*Intangibles — Goodwill and Other — Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40)*.” The ASU provides for the recognition of an intangible asset for the costs of internal-use software licenses included in a cloud computing arrangement. Costs of arrangements that do not include a software license should be accounted for as a service contract and expensed as incurred. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The ASU permits two methods of adoption: prospectively to all implementation costs incurred after the date of adoption, or retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented. The Company is evaluating the effect ASU 2018-15 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures and has not yet determined the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting at this time.

## **Financial Operations Overview**

The following describes certain line items in our statement of operations and some of the factors that affect our operating results.

### *Revenues*

A performance obligation is the unit of account for revenue recognition. The company assesses the goods or services promised in a contract with a customer and identifies as a performance obligation either: a) a good or service (or a bundle of goods or services) that is distinct; or b) a series of distinct goods or services that are substantially the same and that have the same pattern of transfer to the customer. A contract may contain a single or multiple performance obligations. For contracts with multiple performance obligations, the Company allocates the contracted transaction price to each performance obligation based upon the relative standalone selling price, which represents the price the Company would sell a promised good or service separately to a customer. The Company determines the standalone selling price based upon the facts and circumstances of each obligated good or service. The majority of the Company’s

contracts have no observable standalone selling price since the associated products and services are customized to customer specifications. As such, the standalone selling price generally reflects the Company's forecast of the total cost to satisfy the performance obligation plus an appropriate profit margin.

The nature of the Company's contracts may give rise to several types of variable consideration, including claims and unpriced change orders; awards and incentive fees; and liquidated damages and penalties. Variable consideration can also arise from modifications to the scope of services. Variable consideration is included in the transaction price to the extent it is probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur once the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is resolved. Our estimates of variable consideration and determination of whether to include such amounts in the transaction price are based largely on our assessment of legal enforceability, performance and any other information (historical, current, and forecasted) that is reasonably available to us.

The Company recognizes revenue when or as it satisfies a performance obligation by transferring a good or service to a customer, either (1) at a point in time or (2) over time. A good or service is transferred when or as the customer obtains control of it. The evaluation of whether control of each performance obligation is transferred at a point in time or over time is made at contract inception. Input measures such as costs incurred or time elapsed are utilized to assess progress against specific contractual performance obligations for the Company's services. The selection of the method to measure progress towards completion requires judgment and is based on the nature of the services to be provided. For the Company, the input method using costs incurred or time elapsed best represents the measure of progress against the performance obligations incorporated within the contractual agreements. When the Company's estimate of total costs to be incurred to satisfy the performance obligations exceed revenue, the Company recognizes the loss immediately.

The Company classifies leases as either operating or capital lease arrangements in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance contained within Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 840, "*Leases*". At inception of the contract, the Company evaluates the lease against the four lease classification criteria within ASC Topic 840. In general, if one of the four criteria is met, then the lease is accounted for as a capital lease. All others are treated as an operating lease. For operating leases, lessee payments are recorded to revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

The Company's contracts are either cost plus or fixed price contracts. Under cost plus contracts, customers are billed for actual expenses incurred plus an agreed-upon fee. Under cost plus contracts, a profit or loss on a project is recognized depending on whether actual costs are more or less than the agreed upon amount.

The Company has two types of fixed price contracts, firm fixed price and cost-sharing. Under firm fixed price contracts, the Company receives an agreed-upon amount for providing products and services specified in the contract, a profit or loss is recognized depending on whether actual costs are more or less than the agreed upon amount. Under cost-sharing contracts, the fixed amount agreed upon with the customer is only intended to fund a portion of the costs on a specific project. Under cost sharing contracts, an amount corresponding to the revenue is recorded in cost of revenues, resulting in gross profit on these contracts of zero. The Company's share of the costs is recorded as product development expense.

The following table provides information regarding the breakdown of our revenues by customer for the three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017.

	Three months ended October 31,		Six months ended October 31,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(in thousands)			
Enel Green Power	\$ 23	\$ -	\$ 23	\$ -
Eni S.p.A.	118	-	123	-
Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding	-	87	-	218
Premier Oil UK Limited	-	-	26	-
U.S. Department of Defense Office of Naval Research	-	7	-	71
	\$ 141	\$ 94	\$ 172	\$ 289

We currently focus our sales and marketing efforts on North America, Europe, Australia, Asia and South America. The following table shows the percentage of our revenues by geographical location of our customers for the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017.

Customer Location	Six months ended October 31,	
	2018	2017
Asia and Australia	0 %	75 %
Europe	100 %	0 %

United States	0 %	25 %
	100 %	100 %

*Cost of revenues*

Our cost of revenues consists primarily of incurred material, labor and manufacturing overhead expenses, such as engineering expense, equipment depreciation and maintenance and facility related expenses, and includes the cost of PowerBuoy™ parts and services supplied by third-party suppliers. Cost of revenues also includes PowerBuoy™ system delivery and deployment expenses and may include anticipated losses at completion on certain contracts.

Our ability to generate a gross profit will depend on the nature of future contracts, our success at generating revenues through sales or leases of our PowerBuoy™ systems, the nature of our contracts generating revenues to fund our product development efforts, and our ability to manage costs incurred on fixed price commercial contracts.

*Engineering and product development costs*

Our engineering and product development costs consist of salaries and other personnel-related costs and the costs of products, materials and outside services used in our product development and unfunded research activities. Our product development costs relate primarily to our efforts to increase the power output and reliability of our PowerBuoy™ system, and to the development of new products, product applications and complementary technologies. We expense all of our engineering and product development costs as incurred.



*Selling, general and administrative costs*

Our selling, general and administrative costs consist primarily of professional fees, salaries and other personnel-related costs for employees and consultants engaged in sales and marketing and support of our PowerBuoy™ systems and costs for executive, accounting and administrative personnel, professional fees and other general corporate expenses.

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The fair value of our financial instruments reflects the amounts that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The fair value of our warrant liabilities is subject to remeasurement each financial statement reporting period, as such, changes in this fair value are reflected in the statement of operations.

Our financial instruments not required to be adjusted to fair value on a recurring basis consist principally of cash and restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses. We believe the carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their relatively short maturities.

*Interest income, net*

Interest income, net consists of interest received on cash and cash equivalents, investments in commercial bank-issued certificates of deposit and US Treasury bills and notes and interest expense paid on certain obligations to third parties.

*Foreign exchange gain (loss)*

We transact business in various countries and have exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Foreign exchange gains and losses arise in the translation of foreign-denominated assets and liabilities, which may result in realized and unrealized gains or losses from exchange rate fluctuations. Since we conduct our business in US dollars and our functional currency is the US dollar, our main foreign exchange exposure, if any, results from changes in the exchange rate between the US dollar and the British pound sterling, the Euro and the Australian dollar. Due to the macroeconomic pressures in certain European countries, foreign exchange rates may become more volatile in the

future.

We may invest our foreign cash reserves in certificates of deposit and we maintain cash accounts that are denominated in British pounds sterling, Euros and Australian dollars. These foreign-denominated accounts had a balance of \$0.9 million as of October 31, 2018 and \$1.1 million as of October 31, 2017, compared to our total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balances of \$4.6 million as of October 31, 2018 and \$16.9 million as of October 31, 2017. These foreign currency balances are translated at each month and to our functional currency, the US dollar, and any resulting gain or loss is recognized in our results of operations.

In addition, a portion of our operations is conducted through our subsidiaries in countries other than the United States, specifically Ocean Power Technologies Ltd. in the United Kingdom, the functional currency of which is the British pound sterling, and Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty Ltd. in Australia, the functional currency of which is the Australian dollar. Both of these subsidiaries have foreign exchange exposure that results from changes in the exchange rate between their functional currency and other foreign currencies in which they conduct business.

We currently do not hedge our exchange rate exposure. However, we assess the anticipated foreign currency working capital requirements and capital asset acquisitions of our foreign operations and attempt to maintain a portion of our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities denominated in foreign currencies sufficient to satisfy these anticipated requirements. We also assess the need and cost to utilize financial instruments to hedge currency exposures on an ongoing basis and may hedge against exchange rate exposure in the future.

## Results of Operations

This section should be read in conjunction with the discussion below under “Liquidity and Capital Resources.”

### *Three months ended October 31, 2018 compared to the three months ended October 31, 2017*

The following table contains selected statement of operations information, which serves as the basis of the discussion of our results of operations for the three months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017.

	Three months ended October 31,		% change		
	2018	2017	2018	2017	
	(in thousands)		period	period	
			to		
			2017		
			period		
Revenues	\$ 141	\$ 94	50	%	
Cost of revenues	637	(24	)	-2754 %	
Gross profit/(loss)	(496	)	118		
Operating expenses:					
Engineering and product development costs	1,574	978	61	%	
Selling, general and administrative costs	1,849	1,747	6	%	
Total operating expenses	3,423	2,725			
Operating loss	(3,919	)	(2,607	)	
Change in fair value of warrant liabilities	51	31	65	%	
Interest income, net	7	6	17	%	
Foreign exchange gain/(loss)	(29	)	(7	)	314 %
Net loss	\$ (3,890	)	\$ (2,577	)	51 %

### *Revenues*

Revenues were \$0.1 million in the three months ended October 31, 2018 as compared to \$0.1 million in the three months ended October 31, 2017. Revenues for these two periods was relatively the same.

*Cost of revenues*

Cost of revenues increased \$0.7 million compared to the three months ended October 31, 2017. The increase in cost of revenues is due to higher spending and material costs on new projects compared to the same period in fiscal 2018. Cost of revenues for the three months ended October 31, 2018 includes a reserve for loss on contracts of \$0.2 million.

*Engineering and product development costs*

Engineering and product development costs for the three months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$1.6 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. The increase of \$0.6 million is due to higher spending on new product development, buoy builds for future customer contracts and personnel costs as compared to the same period in fiscal 2018.

*Selling, general and administrative costs*

Selling, general and administrative costs for the three months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$1.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. The increase \$0.1 million was mostly due to higher personnel costs as compared to the same period in fiscal 2018.

*Gain due to the change in fair value of warrant liabilities*

The change in fair value of warrant liabilities during the three months ended October 31, 2018 was an unrealized gain of \$51,000 versus an unrealized gain of \$31,000 for the three months ended October 31, 2017. The change between periods is due to a lower stock price and a higher discount rate used for the three months ended October 31, 2018.

*Interest income, net*

Interest income, net during the three months ended October 31, 2018 was \$7,000, an increase of \$1,000 as compared to the three months ended October 31, 2017.

*Foreign exchange gain/(loss)*

Foreign exchange loss during the three months ended October 31, 2018 was \$29,000 compared to a loss of \$7,000 during the three months ended October 31, 2017. The difference was attributable primarily to the relative change in value of the British pound sterling, Euro and Australian dollar compared to the US dollar during the two periods.

*Six months ended October 31, 2018 compared to the six months ended October 31, 2017*

The following table contains selected statement of operations information, which serves as the basis of the discussion of our results of operations for the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017.

	Six months ended October 31,		% change 2018 period to 2017 period	
	2018	2017		
	(in thousands)			
Revenues	\$172	\$289	-40	%
Cost of revenues	780	193	304	%
Gross profit/(loss)	(608 )	96		
Operating expenses:				
Engineering and product development costs	2,722	2,080	31	%
Selling, general and administrative costs	3,902	3,388	15	%
Total operating expenses	6,624	5,468		
Operating loss	(7,232)	(5,372)		
Gain due to the change in fair value of warrant liabilities	136	68	100	%
Interest income, net	21	9	133	%
Foreign exchange gain/(loss)	(55 )	55	-200	%
Net loss	\$(7,130)	\$(5,240)	36	%

*Revenues*

Revenues were \$0.2 million in the six months ended October 31, 2018 as compared to \$0.3 million in the six months ended October 31, 2017. The decline in revenue is due to the mix in customer contracts. During the six months ended October 31, 2018 revenues were derived from new projects with Eni, PMO and Enel. Projects with MES and ONR were completed during the six months ended October 31, 2017.

*Cost of revenues*

Cost of revenues of \$0.8 million for the six months ended October 31, 2018 as compared to \$0.2 million in the six months ended October 31, 2017. The increase of \$0.6 million in cost of revenues is mostly due to higher spending and material costs on new projects as compared to the same period in the fiscal 2018. Cost of revenues for the six months ended October 31, 2018 includes a reserve for loss on contracts of \$0.2 million.

*Engineering and product development costs*

Engineering and product development costs for the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$2.7 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. The increase of \$0.6 million is due to higher spending on new product development, buoy builds for future customer contracts and personnel costs as compared to the same period in the fiscal 2018.

*Selling, general and administrative costs*

Selling, general and administrative costs for the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$3.9 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. The increase of \$0.5 million was mostly due to higher personnel costs as compared to the same period in the fiscal 2018.

*Gain due to the change in fair value of warrant liabilities*

The change in fair value of warrant liabilities during the six months ended October 31, 2018 was an unrealized gain of \$136,000 versus an unrealized gain of \$68,000 for the six months ended October 31, 2017. The change between periods is due to a lower stock price and a higher discount rate used for the six months ended October 31, 2018.

*Interest income, net*

Interest income, net during the six months ended October 31, 2018 was \$21,000, an increase of \$12,000 as compared to the six months ended October 31, 2017.

*Foreign exchange gain/(loss)*

Foreign exchange loss during the six months ended October 31, 2018 was \$55,000 compared to a gain of \$55,000 during the six months ended October 31, 2017. The difference was attributable primarily to the relative change in value of the British pound sterling, Euro and Australian dollar compared to the US dollar during the two periods.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Since our inception, the cash flows from customer revenues have not been sufficient to fund our operations and provide the capital resources for the planned growth of our business. For the two years ended April 30, 2018, our aggregate revenues were \$1.4 million, our aggregate net losses were \$19.6 million and our aggregate net cash used in operating activities was \$20.7 million. Refer to “Liquidity Outlook” below for additional information.

**Net cash used in operating activities**

Net cash flows used in operating activities during the six months ended October 31, 2018 were \$7.6 million, an increase of \$0.9 million compared to \$6.7 million during the six months ended October 31, 2017. The increase was primarily due to higher net loss of \$1.9 million and payment of deferred credits of \$0.6 million partly offset by other changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$1.5 million as compared to the same period in 2017.



### **Net cash used in investing activities**

Net cash used in investing activities during the six months ended October 31, 2018 was \$27,000, a decrease of \$36,000 compared to net cash used by investing activities during the six months ended October 31, 2017. The decrease in net cash used in investing activities was due mostly to the maturity of marketable securities.

### **Net cash provided by financing activities**

Net cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended October 31, 2018 was \$0.1 compared to net cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended October 31, 2017 of \$14.6 million. The six months ended October 31, 2017 included proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$14.6 million.

### **Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents**

The effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents was a decrease of \$75,000 in the six months ended October 31, 2018 and an increase of \$68,000 in the six months ended for October 31, 2017. The effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents results primarily from gains or losses on consolidation of foreign subsidiaries and foreign denominated cash and cash equivalents.

### **Liquidity Outlook**

Our financial statements have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern. We have experienced substantial and recurring losses from operations, which have contributed to an accumulated deficit of \$204.7 million at October 31, 2018. As of October 31, 2018, we had approximately \$4.6 million in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash on hand. The Company generated revenues of \$0.2 and \$0.3 million during the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Based on the Company's cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balances as of October 31, 2018, the Company believes that it will be able to finance its capital requirements and operations into the quarter ending April 30, 2019. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We expect to devote substantial resources to continue our development efforts for our PowerBuoys™ and to expand our sales, marketing and manufacturing programs associated with the planned commercialization of the PowerBuoys™. Our future capital requirements will depend on a number of factors, including but not limited to:

our ability to commercialize our PowerBuoys™, and achieve and sustain profitability;

our continued development of our proprietary technologies, and expected continued use of cash from operating activities unless or until we achieve positive cash flow from the commercialization of our products and services;

our ability to obtain additional funding, as and if needed which will be subject to a number of factors, including market conditions, and our operating performance;

our estimates regarding expenses, future revenues and capital requirements;

the adequacy of our cash balances and our need for additional financings;

our ability to develop and manufacture a commercially viable PowerBuoy™ product;

our ability to successfully develop and market and develop new products, such as a hybrid PowerBuoy™ or subsea battery solutions;

that we will be successful in our efforts to commercialize our PowerBuoy™ or the timetable upon which commercialization can be achieved, if at all;

our ability to identify and penetrate markets for our PowerBuoys™ and our wave energy technology;

our ability to implement our commercialization strategy as planned, or at all;

our ability to maintain the listing of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market;

the reliability of our technology and our PowerBuoys™;

our ability to improve the power output, survivability and reliability of our PowerBuoys™;

the impact of pending and threatened litigation on our business, financial condition and liquidity;

changes in current legislation, regulations and economic conditions that affect the demand for renewable energy;

our ability to compete effectively in our target markets;

our limited operating history and history of operating losses;

our sales and marketing capabilities and strategy in the United States and internationally; and

our ability to protect our intellectual property portfolio.

Our business is capital intensive and to date, we have been funding our business principally through sales of our securities, and we expect to continue to fund our business with sales of our securities and, to a limited extent, with our revenues until, if ever, we generate sufficient cash flow to internally fund our business. This is largely a result of the high product development costs associated with our product development. We anticipate that our operating expenses will be approximately \$14.5 million in fiscal 2019 including product development spending of more than \$6.3 million. We may choose to reduce our operating expenses through personnel reductions, and reductions in our research and development and other operating costs during the remainder of fiscal year 2019, if we are not successful in our efforts to raise additional capital. We cannot assure you that we will be able to increase our revenues and cash flow to a level which would support our operations and provide sufficient funds to pay our obligations for the foreseeable future. Further, we cannot assure you that we will be able to secure additional financing or raise additional capital or, if we are successful in our efforts to raise additional capital, of the terms and conditions upon which any such financing would be extended. If we are unable to raise additional capital when needed or generate positive cash flow, it is unlikely that we will be able to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

On August 9, 2018, the Company received a notification from the NASDAQ Stock Market (the “NASDAQ”) indicating that the minimum bid price of the Company’s common stock has been below \$1.00 per share for 30 consecutive business days and as a result, the Company is not in compliance with the minimum bid price requirement for continued listing. The NASDAQ notice has no immediate effect on the listing or trading of the Company’s common stock. Under the NASDAQ Listing Rules, the Company has a grace period of 180 calendar days, or until February 5, 2019, in which to regain compliance with the minimum bid price rule. To regain compliance, the closing bid price of the Company’s common stock must meet or exceed \$1.00 per share for a minimum of ten consecutive business days during this grace period.

If our common stock is delisted from NASDAQ, our ability to raise capital through public offerings of our securities and to finance our operations could be adversely affected. See additional risk factors under “Part II, Item 1A – Risk Factors”. We also believe that delisting would likely result in decreased liquidity and/or increased volatility in our common stock, and could harm our business and future prospects. In addition, we believe that, if our common stock is delisted, our stockholders would likely find it more difficult to obtain accurate quotations as to the price of the common stock and it may be more difficult for stockholders to buy or sell our common stock at competitive market prices, or at all.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

Since inception, we have not engaged in any off-balance sheet financing activities.

#### **Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Not applicable.

#### **Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

##### *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Management, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of October 31, 2018 pursuant to Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports

we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on such evaluation, management concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of October 31, 2018 to ensure that non-financial statement and related disclosure information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

*Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting*

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended October 31, 2018 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

#### Shareholder Litigation and Demands

The Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers are defendants in a derivative lawsuit filed on March 18, 2015 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey captioned *Labare v. Dunleavy, et al.*, Case No. 3:15-cv-01980-FLW-LHG. The derivative complaint alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and unjust enrichment relating to the now terminated agreement between Victorian Wave Partners Pty. Ltd. (VWP) and the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) for the development of a wave power station. The derivative complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

On July 10, 2015, a second derivative lawsuit, captioned *Rywolt v. Dunleavy, et al.*, Case No. 3:15-cv-05469, was filed by another shareholder against the same defendants in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging similar claims for breach of fiduciary duty, gross mismanagement, abuse of control, and unjust enrichment relating to the now terminated agreement between VWP and ARENA. The *Rywolt* complaint also seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On February 8, 2016, the Court issued an order consolidating the *Labare* and *Rywolt* actions, appointing co-lead plaintiffs and lead counsel, and ordering a consolidated amended complaint to be filed within 30 days of the order. On March 9, 2016, the co-lead plaintiffs filed an amended complaint consolidating their claims and seeking unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

On April 21, 2016, a third derivative lawsuit, captioned *LaCalamito v. Dunleavy, et al.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02249, was filed by another shareholder against certain current and former directors and officers of the Company in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging similar claims for breach of fiduciary duty relating to the now terminated agreement between VWP and ARENA. The *LaCalamito* complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. The Company has not been formally served and has not yet responded to the complaint.

On June 9, 2016, a fourth derivative lawsuit, captioned *Pucillo v. Dunleavy, et al.*, was filed by another shareholder against certain current and former directors and officers of the Company in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging similar claims for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, and abuse of control relating to the now terminated agreement between VWP and ARENA. The *Pucillo* complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On August 2, 2016, the parties in the *Pucillo* lawsuit filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order pursuant to which: (i) the defendants agreed to accept service of the *Pucillo* complaint; (ii) the parties agreed to stay the *Pucillo* action pending the filing and resolution of a motion to consolidate the *Pucillo* action with the *Labare* and *Rywolt* actions; and (iii) the parties agreed that the defendants shall not be required to respond to the

*Pucillo* complaint during the pendency of the stay. The Court approved the Stipulation on August 3, 2016.

On October 25, 2016, the Court approved and entered a Stipulation and Order that, among other things, (i) consolidated the four derivative actions; (ii) identified plaintiff *Pucillo* as the lead plaintiff in the consolidated actions; and (iii) stayed the consolidated actions pending the November 14, 2016 settlement hearing in the now-settled securities class action and further order of the Court.

On October 23, 2017, the parties entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement to resolve the four consolidated derivative lawsuits. If approved by the Court, the settlement provides for, among other things, the Company to implement certain corporate governance changes, a \$350,000 payment to the plaintiffs' attorneys for attorneys' fees and costs that will be made by the Company's insurance carrier, dismissal of the derivative lawsuits, and certain releases. On November 21, 2017, the plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion seeking preliminary approval of the settlement, which the Court granted on March. On May 14, 2018, the Court held a final settlement approval hearing at which the Court stated that it was approving the settlement. On June 13, 2018, the Court issued a Final Order and Judgement, approving the Stipulation and Agreement Settlement. The Company had accrued \$350,000 related to this matter as a probable and reasonably estimable loss contingency during the twelve months ended April 30, 2018. The Company also had recorded a receivable of \$350,000 from its insurance carrier with the offset to the statement of operations. The Company's insurance carrier made payment of \$350,000 to the plaintiffs' attorneys on May 3, 2018. As a result, the consolidated derivatives lawsuits are now completely resolved, the releases are operative and the matter is closed.

On May 26, 2017, an attorney claiming to represent two stockholders sent the Company's Board of Directors a Stockholder Litigation Demand letter ("Stockholder Demand"). The Stockholder Demand alleges that the voting of shares for the 1-for-10 reverse stock split at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders held on October 22, 2015 was not properly counted, and further alleges that, although the Company reported the reverse stock split as having been passed, if the vote was properly counted the reverse stock split would not have been approved. The Stockholder Demand requests the Board of Directors either to deem the reverse stock split as ineffective and disclose the same or to seek a proper and effective stockholder ratification of the reverse stock split. In addition, the Stockholder Demand requests the Board of Directors to adopt and implement adequate internal controls and systems to prevent the alleged improper voting from recurring. On June 23, 2017, the Company responded to the Stockholder Demand, explained the procedures that were followed for the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and provided the Oath of the Inspector of Elections and the Certificate of the Inspector of Elections that certified as accurate the results of the voting at the meeting including voting on the reverse stock split proposal. On June 26, 2017, the attorney representing the alleged stockholders replied to the Company's response, further alleged that the proxy statement underlying the 2015 annual meeting provided voting instructions that allegedly misled the stockholders regarding whether their brokers could vote on the reverse stock split proposal and renewed their requests of the Board. On July 24, 2017, the Company provided an additional response to the Stockholders Demand, denied the allegations, and declined to take any of the actions requested.

### **Employment Litigation**

On June 10, 2014, the Company announced that it had terminated Charles Dunleavy as its Chief Executive Officer and as an employee of the Company for cause, effective June 9, 2014, and that Mr. Dunleavy had also been removed from his position as Chairman of the Board of Directors. On June 17, 2014, Mr. Dunleavy wrote to the Company stating that he had retained counsel to represent him in connection with an alleged wrongful termination of his employment. On July 28, 2014, Mr. Dunleavy resigned from the Board and the boards of directors of the Company's subsidiaries. In 2014, the Company and Mr. Dunleavy entered into a tolling agreement with respect to his alleged employment claims pending resolution of a securities class action and shareholder derivative litigation. The securities class action was resolved in November 2017 and the derivatives litigation was resolved in June 2018.

On August 28, 2018, counsel for Mr. Dunleavy filed a demand for arbitration, captioned *Charles F. Dunleavy v. Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 01-18-0003-2374, before the American Arbitration Association in New Jersey. The demand names Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. as the respondent and alleges various claims and seeks declaratory relief and permanent injunction. The demand seeks damages in the amount of \$5 million for compensatory and punitive damages, plus interest and attorneys' fees as well as certain equitable relief. On November 8, 2018, the Company through counsel responded to the demand for arbitration, denied all allegations, and asserted various affirmative defenses. The parties are in the process of selecting the arbitrators for the proceeding and have not yet scheduled or commenced any discovery. As of October 31, 2018, the Company has not accrued any provision related to this matter since it cannot reasonably estimate the loss contingency.

### **Tide Runner Marine, Inc.**



On June 13, 2018, Tide Runner Marine, Inc. (“Tide Runner”) filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey captioned *Tide Runner Marine, Inc. v. Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 1:18-cv-10496. The complaint names the Company as defendant and alleges claims for breach of contract, unjust enrichment, conversion, and fraud, negligent and/or reckless misrepresentation all as associated with the removal of a Company mooring system off the coast of New Jersey that was completed in May 2017. The complaint seeks damages in the amount of \$2,825,130 together with interest, costs, attorney’s fees, punitive damages and such other relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances. On July 27, 2018, the Company filed an answer denying the claims in the complaint, asserted various affirmative defenses, and asserted a counter-claim for damages in the amount of \$15,000 for Tide Runner’s failure to pay the Company for certain portions of the mooring system that were recovered. On August 2, 2018, Tide Runner filed its answer to and denied the Company’s counterclaim and asserted various affirmative defenses. During the initial scheduling conference held on September 13, 2018, the parties agreed to engage in mediation in an effort to resolve this matter. The parties participated in mediation on November 15, 2018 but were unable to reach an agreement. The parties have agreed to continue the mediation process until at least December 20, 2018. Formal discovery has not yet been scheduled. As of October 31, 2018, the Company has not accrued any provision related to this matter since it cannot reasonably estimate the loss contingency.

### ***Spain Income Tax Audit***

The Company is currently undergoing an income tax audit in Spain for the period from 2008 to 2014, when our Spanish branch was closed. The branch reported net operating losses for each of the years reported that the Spanish tax inspector claims should have been capitalized on the balance sheet instead of charged as an expense in the Statement of Operations. As of April 30, 2017, the Company had recorded a penalty of \$132,000 to Selling, general and administrative costs in the Statement of Operations. The Spanish tax inspector has recently closed its discussion relating to the capitalization of expenses and as of April 30, 2018 the Company reversed the penalty. However, the Spanish tax inspector has now raised questions with respect to the Company’s recognition of funds received in 2011 to 2014 from a governmental grant from the European Commission in connection with the Waveport project. It is anticipated that the Company will be assessed a penalty relating to these tax years. The Company has estimated this penalty to be \$177,000 and is recorded within Accrued liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## **Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

The discussion of our business and operations should be read together with the risk factors contained in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2018 and set forth below in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. These risk factors describe various risks and uncertainties to which we are or may become subject. These risks and uncertainties have the potential to affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, strategies or prospects in a material and adverse manner. There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on July 17, 2018.

### ***Our auditors have raised substantial doubts as to our ability to continue as a going concern.***

Our financial statements have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern. Due to the significant product development costs associated with our business and operations, we have experienced substantial and recurring losses from operations, which have contributed to an accumulated deficit of \$204.7 million as of October 31, 2018. As of October 31, 2018, the Company had approximately \$4.6 million in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash on hand. The Company generated revenues of \$0.2 and \$0.3 million during the six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Based on the Company's cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balances as of October 31, 2018, the Company believes that it will be able to finance its capital requirements and operations into the quarter ending April 30, 2019.

We continue to experience operating losses and currently have only two revenue producing contracts, one with Premier Oil for the lease of a PB3 PowerBuoy™ to be deployed in one of PMO's offshore fields in the North Sea, and another contract with Eni that provides for a minimum 24-month contract that includes an 18-month PB3 PowerBuoy™ lease and associated project management. During fiscal 2018, our net burn rate (cash used in operations less cash generated by operations) including product development spending was approximately \$900,000 per month.

We have been funding our business principally through sales of our securities, and we expect to continue to fund our business with sales of our securities and, to a limited extent, with our revenues until, if ever, we generate sufficient cash flow to internally fund our business. These factors, among others, raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. We anticipate that our operating expenses will be approximately \$14.5 million in fiscal 2019 including product development spending of more than \$6.3 million. However, we may choose to reduce our operating expenses through personnel reductions, and reductions in our research and development and other operating costs during fiscal year 2019, if we are not successful in our efforts to raise additional capital. We cannot assure you that we will be able to increase our revenues and cash flow to a level which would support our operations and provide sufficient funds to pay our obligations for the foreseeable future. Further, we cannot assure you that we will be able to secure additional financing or raise additional capital or, if we are successful in our efforts to raise additional capital, of the terms and conditions upon which any such financing would be extended. If we are unable to meet our obligations, we would be forced to cease operations, in which event investors would lose their entire

investment in our company.

***We have a history of operating losses and may not achieve or maintain profitability and positive cash flow.***

We have incurred net losses since we began operations in 1994, including net losses attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. of \$7.1 million during the six months ended October 31, 2018 and \$10.2 million in fiscal 2018. As of October 31, 2018, we had an accumulated deficit of \$204.7 million. To date, our activities have consisted primarily of activities related to the development and testing of our technologies and our PowerBuoy™. Thus, our losses to date have resulted primarily from costs incurred in our research and development programs and from our selling, general and administrative costs. As we continue to develop our proprietary technologies, we expect to continue to have a net use of cash from operating activities unless or until we achieve positive cash flow from the commercialization of our products and services.

We do not know whether we will be able to successfully commercialize our PowerBuoys™, or whether we can achieve profitability. There is significant uncertainty about our ability to successfully commercialize our PowerBuoys™ in our targeted markets. Even if we do achieve commercialization of our PowerBuoy™ and become profitable, we may not be able to achieve or, if achieved, sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis.

***We must continually improve existing products, design and sell new products and invest in research and development in order to compete effectively.***

The markets for our products are characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards and continuous improvements in products. Due to constant changes in our markets, future success depends on our ability to develop new technologies, products, processes and product applications. Examples of this include our subsea battery solution and our hybrid PowerBuoy™. New product development and commercialization efforts, including efforts to enter markets or product categories in which we have limited or no prior experience, have inherent risks. These risks include the costs involved, such as development and commercialization, product development or launch delays, and the failure of new products and line extensions to achieve anticipated levels of market acceptance or growth in sales or operating income. We also face the risk that our competitors will introduce innovative new products that compete with our products. If new product development and commercialization efforts are not successful, our financial results could be adversely affected.

Product and technological developments are accomplished primarily through internally-funded R&D projects. Because it is not generally possible to predict the amount of time required and costs involved in achieving certain R&D objectives, actual development costs may exceed budgeted amounts and estimated product development schedules may be extended. Our financial condition and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected if:

Product improvements are not completed on a timely basis;

New products are not introduced on a timely basis or do not achieve sufficient market penetration;

There are budget overruns or delays in R&D efforts; or

New products experience reliability or quality problems, or otherwise do not meet customer preferences or requirements.

***We are at risk of being de-listed from The NASDAQ Stock Market if we do not regain compliance with the minimum \$1 bid price per share required by NASDAQ rules.***

On August 9, 2018, we received a letter from The NASDAQ Stock Market informing us that the closing bid price of our common stock has been below \$1.00 per share for a period of 30 consecutive trading days, and as a result, we are not in compliance with the minimum bid price requirement for continued listing. Under the NASDAQ Listing Rules), we have a grace period of 180 calendar days, or until February 5, 2019, in which to regain compliance with the minimum bid price rule. To regain compliance, the closing bid price of our common stock must meet or exceed \$1.00 per share for a minimum of ten consecutive business days during this grace period. If we do not regain compliance before February 5, 2019, the NASDAQ stated that it will provide us with written notice that our securities are subject to delisting. At that time, we may appeal the NASDAQ's determination to a NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel, which would stay any further delisting action by the NASDAQ pending a final decision by the panel. Alternatively, we may be eligible for an additional 180 calendar day grace period if we meet the continued listing standards, with the exception of bid price, for the NASDAQ Capital Market, and we state our intent to affect a reverse split, if necessary, to cure such deficiency.

## **Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

The following table details the Company's share repurchases during the quarter:

Period

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan
August 1 - August 31	-	\$ -	-	-
September 1 - September 30	1,770	\$ 0.46	-	-
October 1 - October 31	-	\$ -	-	-

**Item 3. *DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES***

None.

**Item 4. *MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES***

Not applicable.

**Item 5. *OTHER INFORMATION***

None.

**Item 6.      *EXHIBIT***  
***INDEX***

- 10.1 Common Stock Purchase Agreement with Aspire Capital Fund, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 13, 2018)
- 10.2 Registration Rights Agreement with Aspire Capital Fund, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 13, 2018)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 \*Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 \*Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 The following financial information from Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 31, 2018, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets – October 31, 2018 (unaudited) and April 30, 2018, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) – three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss (unaudited) – three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) – three and six months ended October 31, 2018 and 2017, (v) Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (unaudited) – six months ended October 31, 2018 (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.\*\*

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

+++ Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and this exhibit has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with such request.

\* As provided in Item 601(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K, this exhibit shall not be deemed to be "filed" or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability under those sections.

\*\* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability under those sections.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.**

*(Registrant)*

Date: December 10, 2018     */s/ George H. Kirby III*  
By: George H. Kirby III  
      *President and Chief Executive Officer*

Date: December 10, 2018     */s/ Matthew T. Shafer*  
By: Matthew T. Shafer  
      *Chief Financial Officer*

