

SPARK NETWORKS INC
Form 10-K
March 11, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NO. 001-32750

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE	20-8901733
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

11150 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 600,

Los Angeles, California	90025
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE: (310) 893-0550

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share	NYSE MKT
Preferred Share Purchase Rights	NYSE MKT

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity (which consists solely of shares of common stock) held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2015 was approximately \$48,355,969 based on \$3.07, the closing price of the registrant's common stock on the NYSE MKT on June 30, 2015.

The registrant had 25,845,879 outstanding common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, as of February 29, 2016.

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III are incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Except with respect to information specifically incorporated by reference in the Form 10-K, the Proxy Statement is not deemed to be filed as part hereof.

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015

ITEM	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	4
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	9
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	22
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	23
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	23
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	25
 <u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	26
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	27
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	28
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	42
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	42
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	42
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	42
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	45
 <u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	45
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	45
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	45
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	45
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	45
 <u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	46
<u>Signatures</u>	51

Spark Networks and Spark Networks logos are trademarks and/or registered trademarks of Spark Networks USA, LLC, one of the Company’s indirect wholly owned subsidiaries.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K, including the sections entitled “Risk Factors,” “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Business,” contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical facts contained in this annual report on Form 10-K, including statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “estimates,” “may,” “will,” “continue,” “should,” “plan,” “predict,” “potential” or the negative of these terms or other similar expressions. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, which are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions described in the “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K, regarding, among other matters:

- our ability to attract members to our websites, convert members into paying subscribers and retain our paying subscribers;
- the highly competitive nature of our business;
- our ability to keep pace with rapid technological change and enhance existing or introduce new services;
- the strength of our existing brands and our ability to maintain and enhance those brands;
- our ability to effectively manage our operations and attract and retain qualified personnel;
- the hiring of a new management team, including the CEO and CFO;
- the geo-political instability in Israel;
- our dependence upon the telecommunications infrastructure and our networking hardware and software infrastructure;
- effectively protecting our internet and domain names and participating rights;
- the effect of new interpretation of existing laws and regulations on our operations; and
- other factors referenced in this annual report on Form 10-K and other reports.

You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. We cannot assure you that the events and circumstances reflected in the forward-looking statements will be achieved or occur. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason after the date of this annual report on Form 10-K to conform these statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

You should read this annual report on Form 10-K, and the documents that we reference in this annual report on Form 10-K and have filed as exhibits with the Securities and Exchange Commission, completely and with the understanding that our actual future results, levels of activity, performance and achievements may materially differ from what we expect. We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We are required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You can read our SEC filings over the Internet at the SEC’s website at <http://www.sec.gov>. You may also read and copy any document we file with the SEC at its public reference facilities at 100 F Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20549. You may also obtain copies of the documents at prescribed rates by writing to the Public Reference Section of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference facilities.

We maintain a corporate website at www.spark.net. You may access our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed with, or furnished to, the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, with the SEC free of charge at our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The reference to our Web address is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on this website.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms “Company,” “Spark”, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to Spark Networks, Inc., a Delaware corporation and its subsidiaries. The Company was incorporated on April 20, 2007.

Our Business

Spark Networks, Inc. (the “Company” or “we”), is a leader in creating communities that help individuals form life-long relationships with others that share their interests and values. The Company’s core properties, JDate and ChristianMingle, are communities geared towards singles of the Jewish and Christian faiths. Through the Company’s websites and mobile applications, the Company helps members search for and communicate with other like-minded individuals.

Along with these two core brands, we also operate a number of other niche-focused and international websites and mobile applications and maintain physical presence in both the United States and Israel. Information regarding the geographical source of our revenue and data on our reportable segments can be found in Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this annual report.

Our online singles properties provide users with three key services: searching for compatible individuals with whom to potentially form long-term relationships; validating compatibility through profiles, viewing photographs and understanding likes and characteristics; and communicating via one of our numerous communications platforms designed to foster relationships.

Like many on-line businesses, we have experienced a significant shift in our member base from desktop usage to mobile usage, and now offer mobile applications across all of our core properties. To further accelerate our mobile offerings, we completed the acquisition of Smooch Labs, Inc. (“Smooch Labs”), the owner of the millennial Jewish mobile-only dating application JSwipe, in late 2015. Through this acquisition, we have broadened our reach to younger members of the Jewish community, who traditionally were not JDate subscribers. Similarly, we launched the mobile-only Christian dating application CrossPaths in late 2015 with the goal of reaching a younger Christian audience.

Membership on our online singles websites or mobile applications is free and allows registered members to post personal profiles and take advantage of our search and validation features. With the exception of JSwipe and CrossPaths, which are pre-revenue, the ability to initiate communication with other members requires payment, typically a monthly subscription fee which, along with advertising sales represent our primary source of revenue. We typically offer discounted subscription rates to those members who subscribe for periods longer than one month. Subscriptions renew automatically until subscribers terminate them.

The common stock of Spark Networks, Inc. is traded on the NYSE MKT.

Our Industry

Our primary businesses are in the online personals industry, which we believe fulfills significant needs for single adults looking to meet a companion. Traditional methods such as printed personals advertisements, offline dating services and public gathering places often do not meet the needs of single people. Printed personals advertisements offer individuals limited personal information and interaction before meeting. Offline dating services are

time-consuming, expensive and offer a smaller number of potential partners. Public gathering places such as restaurants, bars and other social venues provide a limited opportunity to learn about others prior to an in-person meeting. In contrast, online personals services facilitate interaction between singles by allowing them to screen and communicate with a large number of potential companions before they meet in-person. With features such as detailed personal profiles, email, mobile chat and instant messaging, this medium allows users to communicate with other singles at their convenience and affords them the ability to meet multiple people in an anonymous, convenient and secure setting.

The online personals industry in the United States has experienced significant growth in recent years. According a Pew Research study released in early 2016, the percentage of the U.S. population using online personals websites or mobile applications grew approximately 40% from 11% in 2013 to 15% in 2015. Members of the millennial generation (individuals under 35 years old) tend to have the highest usage of online or mobile personals sites, however members of older age groups represent approximately 60% of total users.

Our Competitive Strengths

Affinity-Focused Communities. We believe singles are more likely to interact, find friends and form lasting relationships with like-minded individuals who share common values, beliefs, traditions and cultural upbringings.

For this reason, the

4

majority of our websites and mobile applications are targeted to specific religious, ethnic, geographic and special interest groups. We believe our targeted communities enjoy greater word-of-mouth recognition and consumer loyalty relative to non-targeted communities. This community affinity also affords us the opportunity to work alongside leaders within these communities, and increase the strength of our brands through powerful endorsements.

Strength of the JDate and ChristianMingle Brands. We believe JDate and ChristianMingle, with their strong brand recognition, are valuable assets. The strength of these brands have been derived both through paid advertising but also by the numerous successes we have been responsible for in the form of marriages between our customers. According to a 2015 Survata industry survey, both JDate and ChristianMingle were responsible for more marriages within their respective Jewish and Christian communities than any other online personals site. We believe the size and strength of each of our key brands allows us to market to and serve each of the Jewish and Christian communities profitably.

Multiple Business Models. With the acquisition of JSwipe and the launch of CrossPaths, we have broadened our product offerings to include “freemium”, mobile-only offerings that complement our subscription-driven JDate and ChristianMingle platforms. The addition of these mobile-only applications to our portfolio has furthered our reach with millennial users; a highly active segment of the online personals industry.

Website & Mobile Platforms. We invested heavily to modernize and improve our JDate and ChristianMingle technology platforms in 2015. Through this modernization we are now able to more quickly and easily test and launch new product and marketing features. Additionally, we have updated our user interfaces and site designs for the first time in many years. Many of the features we offer, such as onsite emails, instant messaging and community specific matching techniques increase the probability of communication between our members, which we believe increases the number and percentage of members who become and remain paying subscribers. Additionally, we have developed a full suite of mobile applications that have allowed our customers to access our communities from any device.

Customer Service Focus. Our multi-lingual call centers and email support team monitor our sites for fraudulent activity, assist members with billing questions, help members complete personal profiles and answer technical questions. We believe the quality of our customer service increases member satisfaction, which increases the number and percentage of members that become and remain paying subscribers.

Our Online Personals Services

Our core subscription online personals services offer single adults a convenient and secure setting for meeting other singles. Visitors to our websites or mobile applications are encouraged to become registered members and post profiles. Posting a profile is a process in which visitors are asked various questions about themselves, including information such as their tastes in food, hobbies and desired attributes of potential partners. Members may also post photos of themselves. Members can perform detailed searches of other profiles and save their preferences, and their profiles can be viewed by other members. In most cases, for a member to initiate email and instant message communication with others, that member must purchase a subscription. A subscription affords access to the paying subscribers’ on-site email, mobile chat, and instant messaging systems, enabling such subscribers to communicate with other members and paying subscribers. Our subscription fees are charged on a monthly basis, with discounts for longer-term subscription purchases.

For our “freemium” mobile applications (JSwipe and CrossPaths) users can install our applications for free and register via Facebook authentication. These applications use “right swipes” to indicate interest in another user. If there is mutual interest between two users, the application facilitates a forum for communication. Currently, all of this activity takes place for free; however, we expect to launch monetization features in 2016.

Online Personals Websites & Applications. We believe we are a relatively unique company in the online personals industry because we operate websites and mobile applications targeted at specific religious, ethnic, geographic and special interest groups. We currently offer websites and mobile applications primarily in English, Hebrew and French. Some of our properties, organized by segment, are as follows:

Online Personals Property Target Audience

Jewish Networks

JDate.com	Jewish singles
JSwipe	Jewish singles (millennials)
JDate.co.uk	Jewish singles
JDate.fr	Jewish singles (French speakers)
JDate.co.il	Jewish singles (Hebrew speakers)
Cupid.co.il	Jewish singles (Hebrew speakers)

Christian Networks

ChristianMingle.com	Christian singles
	Christian singles
CrossPaths	(millennials)
ChristianMingle.co.uk	Christian singles
ChristianMingle.com.au	Christian singles
Christiansingles.com	Christian singles

Other Networks

AdventistSinglesConnection.com	Adventist singles
	Big beautiful
	women and
BBWPersonalsPlus.com	admirers
	African-American
BlackSingles.com	singles
CatholicMingle.com	Catholic singles
DeafSinglesConnection.com	Deaf singles
LDSMingle.com	Mormon singles
LDSSingles.com	Mormon singles
MilitarySinglesConnection.com	Military singles
SilverSingles.com	Mature singles
Spark.com	Non-targeted

Offline and Other Businesses

	Rapid dating and
	offline events
	(wound down in
HurryDate.com	2014)

Platform Features. We offer different ways for our members to communicate including:

On-Site Email. We provide all paying subscribers with private message centers. These personal on-site email boxes offer features such as customizable folders for storing correspondence, the ability to know when sent messages were read, as well as block and ignore functions, which allow paying subscribers to control future messages from specific paying subscribers.

Hot Lists and Favorites. “Hot Lists” enable members to see who is interested in them and to save those favorite members in whom they are interested. Lists include (1) who has viewed their profile, (2) their favorites and (3) who has emailed them. Members can maintain their favorites on a list and add their own customized notes.

Mobile Chat. Members can utilize our mobile applications to communicate with each other through in-app mobile messaging.

Instant Message. Paying subscribers can use our instant messaging system to communicate with other subscribers in real-time. This allows subscribers to communicate directly with another subscriber online at the same time instantly.

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Ice Breakers. Members can send pre-packaged opening remarks, referred to on the sites as “flirts” and “smiles,” to other members or paying subscribers.

Click! Our patented Click! feature - Secret Admirer connects members who think they would be compatible with each other. A member clicks “yes,” “no” or “maybe” in another member’s profile. When two members click “yes” in each other’s profiles, our patented feature sends an email to both of them alerting them of the match.

Media Properties. We operate four different media properties primarily focused on serving the Christian community. These properties are designed to strengthen the Christian community and extend our relationship with our ChristianMingle users. Revenue generated from these properties today is driven by online advertising; however, we may develop other revenue streams on these or future complementary properties such as subscription services and merchandise sales. Our current portfolio of media properties include:

Media Property	Primary Content
Believe.com	Christian lifestyle portal
Faith.com	Inspirational videos
DailyBibleVerse.com	Send a daily bible verse
ChristianCard.net	Christian eCard and wallpaper site

Business Strategy

We intend to grow revenue by driving additional traffic to our websites and mobile applications, increasing the number and percentage of our members who convert to paying subscribers, and expanding advertising sales on select properties.

Drive traffic. We believe there are opportunities to drive additional traffic to our websites through integrated and targeted marketing initiatives as well as grass-roots partnerships within the communities we serve.

Integrated and targeted marketing. We believe targeting potential members with consistent and compelling marketing messages, delivered through a broad mix of marketing channels, will be effective in driving more traffic and a higher percentage of relationship-oriented singles to our websites. We intend to use a variety of channels to build our brands and increase our base of subscribers including online and offline advertising, customer relationship management tools, public relations, promotional alliances and special events.

Grass-roots Partnerships. We have cultivated relationships with key organizations that share a focus and interest in the affinity markets where we have established communities. These organizations include charity and service focused organizations as well as churches and synagogues. We believe that these relationships serve to deepen our ties to our communities and are an area where we intend to focus more attention in the future.

Increase Conversion Rates. We believe a growth opportunity lies in our ability to convert more of our members into paying subscribers. We plan to achieve this increase in conversion by focusing on:

Improved member features. We believe enhanced member communications is a key component to growing our business. We continue to focus on improving and enhancing our platform features and functionality to encourage communication among members. We have also invested in our search and matching capabilities to further improve the user experience of our members. As engagement with these features increases, members have an increased likelihood of finding a compatible partner. We believe that by driving more user connections, we are able to raise customer satisfaction and, in turn, drive organic awareness of our websites and mobile applications.

Improved testing and optimization. As part of our technical modernization in 2015 we deployed a number of tools that allow us to more efficiently optimize key product features and marketing initiatives. We believe that continuous improvement is key to both providing the best possible experience for our members and maximizing the return on our marketing investments.

Leveraging strong customer service. Each time a member or a potential member is in touch with our customer service center by email or phone, he or she represents a potential new or returning paying subscriber. We continuously train our customer service personnel on identifying these opportunities and capturing these sales.

Improve member monetization. We believe there is an opportunity for additional revenue from both the sale of advertising on our websites and mobile applications and through providing complementary services to our members. We expect advertisers will continue to seek highly targeted environments such as ours to complement their brands and reach niche consumers. We intend to remain selective about our choices for advertising partners so as not to adversely affect the quality of our user experience. We believe that our relationships with our members position us well to offer additional products and services beyond our core subscription offerings.

Sales and Marketing

We engage in a variety of marketing activities intended to drive consumer traffic to our websites and allow us the opportunity to introduce our products and services to prospective visitors, members and subscribers. Our marketing efforts are focused online and offline. Our online marketing approach employs a combination of banner and other display advertising. We also rely on search engine marketing and direct email campaigns to attract potential members

and paying subscribers, and use a network of online affiliates, through which we acquire traffic.

We supplement our online marketing by employing a variety of offline marketing and business development activities. These include print, television, public relations, event sponsorship and promotional alliances. We believe a more consistent, targeted marketing message, delivered through an array of available marketing channels, will improve consumer awareness of our brands, drive more traffic to our websites and, therefore, increase the number of visitors, members and paying subscribers.

Customer Service

Our multi-lingual call centers and email support team monitor our sites for fraudulent activity, assist members with billing questions, help members complete personal profiles and answer technical questions. Customer service representatives receive ongoing training in

7

an effort to better personalize the experience for members and paying subscribers who call or email us and to capitalize on upselling opportunities.

Technology

Our internal product teams are focused on the development and maintenance of products in addition to building and managing our software and hardware infrastructure. We intend to continue investing in the development of new products, such as mobile applications, and enhancing the functionality of our existing products and infrastructure.

Our network infrastructure and operations are designed to deliver high levels of availability, performance, security and scalability in a cost-effective manner. We operate Web and database servers co-located at third party data center facilities in Irvine, California and Bluffdale, Utah.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws in the United States and other jurisdictions, as well as confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect our proprietary technology and our brands. We also enter into confidentiality and invention assignment agreements with our employees and consultants and confidentiality agreements with other third parties.

Spark Networks, Spark, JDate, ChristianMingle and BlackSingles.com are registered trademarks in the United States. Spark Networks, JDate and ChristianMingle are registered trademarks in the EU. JDate and ChristianMingle are registered trademarks in Australia. JDate is also a registered trademark in Israel and Canada. Our rights to these registered trademarks are perpetual as long as we use them and renew them periodically. We also have a number of other registered and unregistered trademarks. We hold two United States patents for our Click! technology, the first of which expires January 24, 2017, that pertain to an automated process for confidentially determining whether people feel mutual attraction or have mutual interests. Click! is important to our business in that it is a method and apparatus for detection of reciprocal interests or feelings and subsequent notification of such results. The patents describe the method and apparatus for the identification of a person's level of attraction and the subsequent notification when the feeling or attraction is mutual.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive environment with minimal barriers to entry. We believe the primary competitive factors in creating a community on the Internet are functionality, brand recognition, reputation, critical mass of members, member affinity and loyalty, ease-of-use, quality of service and reliability. We compete with a number of large and small companies, including vertically integrated Internet portals and specialty-focused media companies that provide online and offline products and services to the markets we serve. Our principal online personals services competitors include Match Group, which operates the Match.com, OkCupid, Plenty of Fish, and Tinder properties, and eHarmony. In addition, we face competition from new entrants that have recently offered free and freemium mobile applications as well as social networking sites such as Facebook.

Government Regulation

Our business is regulated by diverse and evolving laws and governmental authorities in the United States and other countries in which we operate. We are subject to laws and regulations related to Internet communications, privacy, consumer protection, security and data protection, intellectual property rights, commerce, taxation, entertainment, recruiting and advertising. These laws and regulations are becoming more prevalent, and new laws and regulations are under consideration by the United States Congress, state legislatures and foreign governments. Any failure by us to

comply with existing laws and regulations may subject us to liabilities. New laws and regulations governing such matters could be enacted or amendments may be made to existing regulations at any time that could adversely impact our services. Plus, legal uncertainties surrounding domestic and foreign government regulations could increase our costs of doing business, require us to revise our services, prevent us from delivering our services over the Internet or slow the growth of the Internet, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had 129 full-time and 75 part-time employees. Virtually all of our part-time employees are dedicated to our customer service department. We are not subject to any collective bargaining agreements and we believe our relationship with our employees is good.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below together with all of the other information included in this report before making an investment decision. The risks described below are the material risks that we are currently aware of that are facing our company. In addition, other sections of this report may include additional factors that could adversely impact our business and operating results. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our growth rates may decline and our operating margins could deteriorate; our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by a slowdown or contraction in the economy.

Between 2007 and 2010, in 2014, and in 2015 our revenue declined and it may decline again in the future. It is possible our operating margins will deteriorate if revenue growth does not exceed planned increases in expenditures for all aspects of our business in an increasingly competitive environment, including sales and marketing, development, technical operations and general and administrative expenses.

Our member and paying subscriber base is composed of individual consumers and in the event of a continued prolonged economic downturn in the United States or in our international markets in which spending by individual consumers drops significantly, our current and potential subscribers may be unable or unwilling to subscribe to our services and our business may be negatively affected. In addition, the current or future tightening of credit in financial markets could result in a decrease in demand for our products and services if subscribers do not have access to credit. To the extent the overall economy deteriorates or does not improve, we may lose existing members and paying subscribers and fail to attract new members and paying subscribers, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have significant operating losses and we may incur additional losses in the future.

We have historically generated significant operating losses. As of December 31, 2015, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$59.0 million. We incurred net loss of approximately \$(1.4), \$(1.1) and \$(12.4) million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. If our revenue does not grow at a substantially faster rate than our operating expenses, or if our operating expenses are higher than we anticipate, or if our revenue begins to decline but our operating expenses increase, we may not be profitable and we may incur additional losses, which could be significant.

Adverse capital and credit market conditions could limit our access to capital and increase our cost of capital, which may significantly affect our ability to meet liquidity needs.

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility over the last few years. In some cases, the markets have exerted downward pressure on availability of liquidity and credit capacity for certain issuers. Without sufficient liquidity, we may be forced to curtail certain operations and may be unable to operate our business as we deem appropriate. Disruptions, uncertainty or volatility in the capital and credit markets may also limit our access to capital required to operate our business. Such market conditions may limit our ability to replace, in a timely manner, maturing liabilities and access the capital necessary to operate and grow our business. As such, we may be forced to delay raising capital or bear an unattractive cost of capital which could decrease our profitability and significantly reduce our financial flexibility. Our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and capital position could be materially adversely affected by disruptions in the financial markets.

If our efforts to attract a large number of members, convert members into paying subscribers and retain our paying subscribers are not successful, our revenue and operating results will suffer.

Our future growth depends on our ability to attract a large number of members, convert members into paying subscribers and retain our paying subscribers. This in turn depends on our ability to deliver a high-quality online personals experience to these members and paying subscribers. As a result, we must continue to invest significant resources in order to enhance our existing products and services and introduce new high-quality products and services that people will use. If we are unable to predict user preferences or industry changes, or if we are unable to modify our products and services on a timely basis, we may lose existing members and paying subscribers and may fail to attract new members and paying subscribers. Our revenue and expenses will also be adversely affected if our innovations are not responsive to the needs of our members and paying subscribers or are not brought to market in an effective or timely manner.

We need to maintain or increase our number of average paying subscribers to maintain or increase our current level of revenue.

The majority of our revenue is generated by internet users that pay us a subscription fee. One of our key performance metrics focuses on the average number of paying subscribers in a given period. The number of monthly average paying subscribers is calculated as the sum of the paying subscribers at the beginning and end of the month, divided by two. Average paying subscribers for periods longer than one month are calculated as the sum of the average paying subscribers for each month, divided by the number of months in the period. Internet users, in general, and users of online personals services specifically, freely navigate and use the services offered by a variety of websites. We cannot assure you that our monthly average paying subscriber numbers will remain at consistent levels, and they may decrease in the future, thus decreasing our revenue. In 2015, average paying subscribers decreased 22.2% and revenue also decreased 21.9% compared to 2014. If we do not constantly attract new paying subscribers at a faster rate than subscription terminations, our average paying subscribers will decrease and we will not be able to maintain or increase our current level of revenue.

Our subscriber acquisition costs vary depending upon prevailing market conditions and may increase significantly in the future.

Costs for us to acquire paying subscribers are dependent, in part, upon our ability to purchase advertising at a reasonable cost. Our advertising costs vary over time, depending upon a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Historically, we have used online and offline advertising as the primary means of marketing our services. During 2015, our cost of revenue substantially decreased, primarily as a result of lower direct marketing expenses related to our Christian Networks.

Despite a slow economy, costs of online and/or offline advertising may continue to increase. If we are not able to reduce our other operating costs, increase our paying subscriber base or increase revenue per paying subscriber to offset these increases, our profitability will be adversely affected.

In addition, our costs to acquire subscribers may increase if we raise prices on our websites or attempt to further monetize our mobile applications, as potential customers may be slower or more reluctant to purchase higher-priced services.

We secured a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility, which could restrict our ability to use our operating cash flow for the growth of our business.

In January 2016, we entered into an initial credit agreement with Bridge Bank. If we are unable to pay our debts as they become due, we will be required to pursue one or more alternative strategies, such as refinancing or restructuring our indebtedness, selling additional debt or equity securities or selling assets. We may not be able to refinance our debt or issue additional debt or equity securities on favorable terms, if at all, and if we must sell our assets, it may negatively affect our ability to generate future revenue. If we are unable to meet our obligations as they become due or to comply with various financial covenants contained in the revolving credit facility, this could constitute an event of default.

Our obligations under the credit facility are secured by a lien on substantially all of the assets of Spark Networks USA, LLC, which is the borrower under the credit facility, and by guarantees by Spark Networks, Inc. and a number of our subsidiaries. Any default under the credit facility, could result in an acceleration of payment of all outstanding debt owed at the time, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition.

Our revolving credit facility has certain covenants that could restrict how we operate our business.

The terms of our revolving credit facility contain various provisions that limit our ability to, among other things:

- incur or guarantee additional debt;
- incur capital expenditures;
- receive dividends or distributions from our subsidiaries;
- make investments and other restricted payments;
- make dividend payments or redeem equity securities;
- grant liens;
- acquire other entities;
- transfer or sell assets;
- engage in different lines of business; and

10

consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of our assets.

These covenants may affect our ability to operate and finance our business as we deem appropriate. If we are unable to meet our obligations as they become due or to comply with various financial covenants contained in the revolving credit facility, this could constitute an event of default.

Competition presents an ongoing threat to the performance of our business.

We expect competition in the online personals business to continue to increase because there are no substantial barriers to entry. We believe our ability to compete depends upon many factors both within and beyond our control, including the following:

- the size and diversity of our member and paying subscriber bases;
- the timing and market acceptance of our products and services, including the developments and enhancements to those products and services relative to those offered by our competitors;
- customer service and support efforts;
- selling and marketing efforts; and
- our brand strength in the marketplace relative to our competitors.

We compete with traditional personals services, as well as newspapers, magazines and other traditional media companies that provide personals services. We compete with a number of large and small companies, including Internet portals and specialty-focused media companies that provide online and offline products and services to the markets we serve. Our principal online personals services competitors include Match Group, which operates the Match.com, OkCupid, Plenty of Fish, and Tinder properties, and eHarmony. In addition, we face competition from multiple mobile-based apps and social networking sites such as Facebook. Many of our current and potential competitors have longer operating histories, significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources and larger customer bases than we do. These factors may allow our competitors to respond more quickly than we can to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements. These competitors may engage in more extensive research and development efforts, undertake more far-reaching marketing campaigns and adopt more aggressive pricing policies that may allow them to build larger member and paying subscriber bases than ours. Our competitors may develop products or services that are equal or superior to our products and services or that achieve greater market acceptance than our products and services. These activities could attract members and paying subscribers away from our websites and reduce our market share.

In addition, current and potential competitors are making, and are expected to continue to make, strategic acquisitions or establishing cooperative and, in some cases, exclusive relationships with significant companies or competitors to expand their businesses or to offer more comprehensive products and services. To the extent these competitors or potential competitors establish exclusive relationships with major portals, search engines and Internet Service Providers, or ISPs, our ability to reach potential members through online advertising may be restricted. Any of these competitors could cause us difficulty in attracting and retaining members and converting members into paying subscribers and could jeopardize our existing affiliate program and relationships with portals, search engines, ISPs and other online properties.

Our efforts to capitalize upon opportunities to expand into new products and services may fail and could result in a loss of capital and other valuable resources.

We may decide to expand into new products and services to increase our revenue base. If we expand into such offerings, management's time and attention will be less focused on our existing businesses and will require us to invest significant capital resources. The results of any expansion efforts into new products and services are unpredictable, and there is no guarantee that our efforts will have a positive effect on our revenue base. We face many risks associated with our planned expansion into new products and services, including but not limited to the following:

competition from pre-existing competitors with significantly stronger brand recognition in the markets we enter; our improper evaluation of the potential of such products and services; diversion of capital and other valuable resources away from our core business; and foregoing opportunities that are potentially more profitable.

If we fail to keep pace with rapid technological change, our competitive position will suffer.

We operate in a market characterized by rapidly changing technologies, evolving industry standards, frequent new product and service announcements, enhancements and changing customer demands. Accordingly, our performance will depend on our ability to adapt to

rapidly changing technologies and industry standards, and our ability to continually improve the speed, performance, features, ease of use and reliability of our services in response to both evolving demands of the marketplace and competitive service and product offerings. There have been occasions when we have not been as responsive as many of our competitors in adapting our services to changing industry standards and the needs of our members and paying subscribers. Our industry has been subject to constant innovation and competition. New features may be introduced by one competitor, and if they are perceived as attractive to users, they are often copied later by others. Over the last few years, such new feature introductions in the industry have included instant messaging, message boards, E-cards, personality profiles and mobile content delivery. Introducing new technologies into our systems involves numerous technical challenges, substantial amounts of capital and personnel resources and often takes many months to complete. We intend to continue to devote efforts and funds toward the development of additional technologies and services. For example, in 2015 and 2014 we introduced a number of new features, and we anticipate the introduction of additional features in 2016 and beyond. We may not be able to effectively integrate new technologies into our websites on a timely basis or at all, which may degrade the responsiveness and speed of our websites. Such technologies, even if integrated, may not function as expected.

Our business depends on establishing and maintaining strong brands and if we are not able to maintain and enhance our brands, we may be unable to expand or maintain our member and paying subscriber bases.

We believe that establishing and maintaining our brands is critical to our efforts to attract and expand our member and paying subscriber bases. We believe that the importance of brand recognition will continue to increase, given the growing number of Internet sites and the low barriers to entry for companies offering online personals services. To attract and retain members and paying subscribers, and to promote and maintain our brands in response to competitive pressures, we may have to substantially increase our financial commitment to creating and maintaining distinct brand loyalty among these groups. If visitors, members and paying subscribers to our websites and our affiliate and distribution associates do not perceive our existing services to be of high quality, or if we introduce new services or enter into new business ventures that are not favorably received by such parties, the value of our brands could be diluted, thereby decreasing the attractiveness of our websites to such parties. As a result, our results of operations may be adversely affected by decreased brand recognition.

If we are unable to attract, retain and motivate key personnel or hire qualified personnel, or such personnel do not work well together, our growth prospects and profitability will be harmed.

Our performance is largely dependent on the talents and efforts of highly skilled individuals. The loss of any of our management or key personnel could seriously harm our business.

We may also encounter difficulties in recruiting personnel as we become a more mature company in a competitive industry. Competition in our industry for personnel is intense, and we are aware that our competitors have directly targeted our employees. We do not have non-competition agreements with most employees and, even in cases where we do, these agreements are of limited enforceability in California. We also do not maintain any key-person life insurance policies on our executives. The incentives to attract, retain and motivate employees provided by our option or restricted stock grants or by future arrangements, such as cash bonuses, may not be as effective as they have been in the past. If we do not succeed in attracting necessary personnel or retaining and motivating existing personnel, we may be unable to grow effectively.

Our business depends on our server and network hardware and software and our ability to obtain network capacity; our current safeguard systems may be inadequate to prevent an interruption in the availability of our services.

The performance of our server and networking hardware and software infrastructure is critical to our business and reputation, to our ability to attract visitors and members to our websites, to convert them into paying subscribers and

to retain paying subscribers. An unexpected and/or substantial increase in the use of our websites could strain the capacity of our systems, which could lead to a slower response time or system failures. Although we have not recently experienced any significant delays, any future slowdowns or system failures could adversely affect the speed and responsiveness of our websites and would diminish the experience for our visitors, members and paying subscribers. We face risks related to our ability to scale up to potential increased customer levels while maintaining superior performance. If the usage of our websites substantially increases, we may need to purchase additional servers and networking equipment and services to maintain adequate data transmission speeds, the availability of which may be limited or the cost of which may be significant. Any system failure that causes an interruption in service or a decrease in the responsiveness of our websites could reduce traffic on our websites and, if sustained or repeated, could impair our reputation and the attractiveness of our brands as well as reduce revenue and negatively impact our operating results.

We are also in the process of integrating our hardware systems and software applications between the JDate.com and ChristianMingle.com segments. There can be no assurance that such integration will not cause disruptions in our business, and any such disruption could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Further, any delay in the timing could decrease and/or delay our expense savings expected in respect of such integration, and any such disruption could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Furthermore, we rely on many different hardware systems and software applications, some of which have been developed internally. If these hardware systems or software applications fail, it would adversely affect our ability to provide our services. If we are unable to protect our data from loss or electronic or magnetic corruption, or if we receive a significant unexpected increase in usage and are not able to rapidly expand our transaction-processing systems and network infrastructure without any systems interruptions, it could seriously harm our business and reputation. We have experienced occasional systems interruptions in the past as a result of unexpected increases in usage, and we cannot assure you that we will not incur similar or more serious interruptions in the future. From time to time, our company and our websites may be subject to delays and interruptions due to software viruses, or variants thereof, such as internet worms.

In addition, we do not have a “high availability” disaster recovery system, which means in the event of any catastrophic failure involving our websites, we may be unable to serve our Web traffic for a significant period of time. Our websites primarily operate from only a single site located in either Southern California or Utah. Any system failure, including network, software or hardware failure, that causes an interruption in the delivery of our websites and services or a decrease in responsiveness of our services would result in reduced visitor traffic, reduced revenue and would adversely affect our reputation and brands.

We may not be able to protect our systems, infrastructures and technologies from cyber attacks. In addition, we may be adversely affected by cyber attacks experienced by third parties. Any disruption of our systems, infrastructures and technologies, or compromise of our user data or other information, due to cyber attacks could have an adverse effect on our business, reputation, brands, financial condition and results of operations.

Our reputation and ability to attract, retain and serve our members is dependent upon the reliable performance and security of our computer systems and those of third parties that we utilize in our operations and the protection of confidential information about the Company and sensitive information provided by our members. The incidence of malicious technology-related events, such as cyber attacks, computer hacking, computer viruses, worms or other destructive or disruptive software, distributed denial of service attacks or other malicious activities (or any combination of these events) is on the rise worldwide and constantly evolving. From time to time, we may become the victim of these types of attacks.

Our computer systems and those of third parties we use in our operations are vulnerable to cybersecurity risks, including cyber attacks such as computer viruses, denial of service attacks, physical or electronic break-ins and similar disruptions. These systems periodically experience directed attacks intended to lead to interruptions and delays in our service and operations as well as loss, misuse or theft of data. Any attempt by hackers to obtain our data, disrupt our service, or otherwise access our systems, or those of third parties we use, if successful, could harm our business, be expensive to remedy and damage our reputation. While we continuously develop and maintain systems to detect and prevent events of this nature from impacting our various businesses, these efforts are costly and require ongoing monitoring and updating as technologies change and efforts to overcome preventative security measures become more sophisticated. Despite our efforts, we cannot assure you that these events will not occur in the future and if they do occur, will not have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Furthermore, we may become the victim of security breaches, such as the misappropriation, misuse, leakage, falsification or accidental release or loss of user, customer or vendor data maintained in our information technology systems or those of third parties with whom we do business (or upon whom we otherwise rely in connection with our day to day operations).

Any cyber attack or security breach we experience could prevent us from providing our products and services, damage our reputation, erode our brands and/or be costly to remedy, as well as result in a degradation of our products and services and/or cause damage to our systems, infrastructures, technologies and data. Even if we do not experience such events, the impact of any such events experienced by third parties with whom we do business (or upon whom we otherwise rely in connection with our day to day operations) could have a similar effect. Moreover, even cyber attacks and security breaches that do not impact us directly may result in a loss of consumer confidence generally, which could make consumers and users less likely to use our products and services.

We depend, in part, upon arrangements with third parties to drive traffic to our various websites.

We engage in a variety of activities designed to attract traffic to our various websites and convert visitors into members and paying subscribers. How successful we are in these efforts depends, in part, upon our continued ability to enter into arrangements with third parties to drive traffic to our various websites and our oversight of such third parties to ensure that they are appropriately communicating with online users. Pursuant to these arrangements, third parties generally promote our services on their websites or through e-mail campaigns and we pay them based upon a variety of arrangements (cost per registration, cost per one thousand impressions, a percentage of sales, etc.) Depending on how a third party communicates with online users via email, third-party email service providers could treat such email campaign as spam, and ultimately limit our ability to communicate with our members and paying subscribers via email.

These arrangements are generally not exclusive, are short term in nature and are generally terminable by either party given notice. If existing arrangements with third parties are terminated (or are not renewed upon their expiration) and we fail to replace this traffic and related revenues, or if we are unable to enter into new arrangements with existing and/or new third parties in response to industry trends, or if such third parties improperly manage email campaigns, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We rely on a number of third-party providers and their failure or unwillingness to continue to perform could harm us.

We rely on third parties to provide important services and technologies to us, including third parties that manage and monitor our offsite data centers located in Southern California and Utah, ISPs, search engine marketing providers and credit card processors. In addition, we license technologies from third parties to facilitate our ability to provide our services. Any failure on our part to comply with the terms of these licenses could result in the loss of our rights to continue using the licensed technology, and we could experience difficulties obtaining licenses for alternative technologies. Furthermore, any failure of these third parties to provide these and other services, or errors, failures, interruptions or delays associated with licensed technologies, could significantly harm our business. Any financial or other difficulties our providers face may have negative effects on our business, the nature and extent of which we cannot predict. Except to the extent of the terms of our contracts with such third party providers, we exercise little or no control over them, which increases our vulnerability to problems with the services and technologies they provide and license to us. In addition, if any fees charged by third-party providers were to substantially increase, such as if ISPs began charging us for emails sent by our paying subscribers to other members or paying subscribers, we could incur significant additional losses.

We may not be effective in protecting our Internet domain names or proprietary rights upon which our business relies or in avoiding claims that we infringe upon the proprietary rights of others.

We regard substantial elements of our websites and the underlying technology as proprietary, and attempt to protect them by relying on trademark, service mark, copyright, patent and trade secret laws and restrictions on disclosure and transferring title and other methods. We also generally enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees and consultants, and generally seek to control access to and distribution of our technology, documentation and other proprietary information. Despite these precautions, it may be possible for a third party to copy or otherwise obtain and use our proprietary information without authorization or to develop similar or superior technology independently. Effective trademark, service mark, copyright, patent and trade secret protection may not be available in every country in which our services are distributed or made available through the Internet, and policing unauthorized use of our proprietary information is difficult. Any such misappropriation or development of similar or superior technology by third parties could adversely impact our profitability and our future financial results.

We believe that our websites, services, trademarks, patent and other proprietary technologies do not infringe upon the rights of third parties. However, there can be no assurance that our business activities do not and will not infringe upon the proprietary rights of others, or that other parties will not assert infringement claims against us. We are aware that other parties utilize the “Spark” name, or other marks that incorporate it, and those parties may have rights to such marks that are superior to ours. From time to time, we have been, and expect to continue to be, subject to claims in the ordinary course of business including claims of alleged infringement of the trademarks, service marks and other intellectual property rights of third parties by us. Although such claims have not resulted in any significant litigation or had a material adverse effect on our business to date, any such claims and resultant litigation might subject us to temporary injunctive restrictions on the use of our products, services or brand names and could result in significant liability for damages for intellectual property infringement, require us to enter into royalty agreements, or restrict us from using infringing software, services, trademarks, patents or technologies in the future. Even if not meritorious, such litigation could be time-consuming and expensive and could result in the diversion of management’s time and attention away from our day-to-day business.

We currently hold various Web domain names related to our brands and in the future may acquire new Web domain names. The regulation of domain names in the United States and in foreign countries is subject to change. Governing bodies may establish additional top level domains, appoint additional domain name registrars or modify the requirements for holding domain names. As a result, we may be unable to acquire or maintain relevant domain names in all countries in which we conduct business. Furthermore, the relationship between regulations governing domain names and laws protecting trademarks and similar proprietary rights is unclear. We may be unable to prevent third parties from acquiring domain names that are similar to, infringe upon or otherwise decrease the value of our existing trademarks and other proprietary rights or those we may seek to acquire. Any such inability to protect ourselves could cause us to lose a significant portion of our members and paying subscribers to our competitors.

We may face potential liability, loss of users and damage to our reputation for violation of our privacy policy or privacy laws and regulations or be required to change our business practices in an adverse manner.

Our privacy policy prohibits the sale or disclosure to any third party of any member's personal identifying information, except to the extent expressly set forth in the policy. Growing public concern about privacy and the collection, distribution and use of information about individuals may subject us to increased regulatory scrutiny and/or litigation. In the past, the Federal Trade Commission has

investigated companies that have used personally identifiable information without permission or in violation of a stated privacy policy. If we are accused of violating the stated terms of our privacy policy, we may be forced to expend significant amounts of financial and managerial resources to defend against these accusations and we may face potential liability. Our membership database holds confidential information concerning our members, and we could be sued if any of that information is misappropriated or if a court determines that we have failed to protect that information.

In addition, our affiliates handle personally identifiable information pertaining to our members and paying subscribers. Both we and our affiliates are subject to laws and regulations related to Internet communications, consumer protection, advertising, privacy, security and data protection. For example, we are subject to the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003, California's Information Practice Act, which requires notification to users when there is a security breach of personal data, and other state regulations that impose additional requirements on data protection, such as the requirement to encrypt data sent over the internet. If we or our affiliates are found to be in violation of these laws and regulations, we may become subject to administrative fines or litigation or be required to change our data practices, which could materially increase our expenses, adversely affect our results of operations and cause the value of our securities to decline.

Proposed legislation concerning data protection is currently pending at the U.S federal and state level as well as in certain foreign jurisdiction. In addition, the interpretation and application of data protection laws in Europe, the United States and elsewhere are still uncertain. It is possible that these laws may be interpreted and applied in a manner that is inconsistent with our data practices. If so, in addition to the possibility of fines, this could result in an order requiring that we change our data practices, which could have an adverse effect on our business. Complying with these laws as they evolve could cause us to incur substantial costs or require us to change our business practices in a manner adverse to our business.

We may be liable as a result of information retrieved from or transmitted over the Internet.

We may be sued for defamation, civil rights infringement, negligence, copyright or trademark infringement, invasion of privacy, personal injury, product liability or under other legal theories relating to information that is published or made available on our websites and the other sites linked to it. These types of claims have been brought, sometimes successfully, against online services in the past. We also offer messaging services on our websites and send emails directly and through third parties, which may subject us to potential risks, such as liabilities or claims resulting from unsolicited email or spamming, lost or misdirected messages, security breaches, illegal or fraudulent use of email or personal information or interruptions or delays in email service. Our insurance does not specifically provide for coverage of these types of claims and, therefore, may be inadequate to protect us against them. In addition, we could incur significant costs in investigating and defending such claims, even if we ultimately are not held liable. If any of these events occurs, our revenue could be materially adversely affected or we could incur significant additional expense, and the market price of our securities may decline.

Our quarterly results may fluctuate because of many factors and, as a result, investors should not rely on quarterly operating results as indicative of future results.

Fluctuations in operating results or the failure of operating results to meet the expectations of public market analysts and investors may negatively impact the value of our common stock. Quarterly operating results may fluctuate in the future due to a variety of factors that could affect revenue or expenses in any particular quarter. Fluctuations in quarterly operating results could cause the value of our securities to decline. Investors should not rely on quarter-to-quarter comparisons of results of operations as an indication of future performance. Factors that may affect our quarterly results include:

the demand for, and acceptance of, our online personals services and enhancements to these services;
the timing and amount of our subscription revenue;
the introduction, development, timing, competitive pricing and market acceptance of our websites and services and those of our competitors;
the magnitude and timing of marketing initiatives and capital expenditures relating to expansion of our operations;
the cost and timing of online and offline advertising and other marketing efforts;
the maintenance and development of relationships with portals, search engines, ISPs and other Web properties and other entities capable of attracting potential members and paying subscribers to our websites;
technical difficulties, system failures, system security breaches, or downtime of the Internet, in general, or of our products and services, in particular;
costs related to any acquisitions or dispositions of technologies or businesses;
fluctuations in foreign exchange rates; and

15

general economic conditions, as well as those specific to the Internet, online personals and related industries. As a result of the factors listed above and because the online personals business is still immature, making it difficult to predict consumer demand, it is possible that in future periods results of operations may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors. This could cause the market price of our securities to decline.

We may need additional capital to finance our growth or to compete, which may cause dilution to existing stockholders or limit our flexibility in conducting our business activities.

We currently anticipate that existing cash and cash equivalents and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet our anticipated needs for working capital, operating expenses and capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months. However, we may need to raise additional capital in the future to fund expansion, whether in new vertical affinity or geographic markets, develop newer or enhanced services, respond to competitive pressures or acquire complementary businesses, technologies or services. Such additional financing may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. To the extent that we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience substantial dilution, and to the extent we engage in additional debt financing, if available, we may become subject to additional restrictive covenants that could limit our flexibility in conducting future business activities. If additional financing is not available or not available on acceptable terms, we may not be able to fund our expansion, promote our brands, take advantage of acquisition opportunities, develop or enhance services or respond to competitive pressures.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, current and potential stockholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which would harm the value of our stock.

Effective internal controls over financial reporting are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports, effectively prevent fraud and operate as a public company. We are required to report on an annual basis on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, and as an accelerated filer, our auditors provide an attestation report. We have, in the past, discovered and may, in the future, discover areas of our internal controls over financial reporting that need improvement. If we are unable to adequately maintain or improve our internal controls over financial reporting, we may report that our internal controls are ineffective. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our reputation and operating results would be harmed. Ineffective internal controls over financial reporting could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information which would likely have a negative effect on the trading price of our securities or could affect our ability to access the capital markets and which could result in regulatory proceedings against us by, among others, the U.S. Securities Exchange Commission.

Acquisitions could result in operating difficulties, dilution and other harmful consequences.

We have historically and may in the future further extend and develop our presence, both within the United States and internationally, partially through acquisitions of entities offering online personals services and related businesses. We have relatively limited experience acquiring companies and the companies we have acquired have been small. We have evaluated, and continue to evaluate, a wide array of potential strategic transactions. From time to time, we may engage in discussions regarding potential acquisitions, some of which may divert significant resources away from our daily operations. In addition, the process of integrating an acquired company, business or technology is risky and may create unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures. Some areas where we may face risks include:

- the need to implement or remediate controls, procedures and policies of acquired companies that lacked appropriate controls, procedures and policies prior to the acquisition;
- diversion of management time and focus from operating our business to acquisition integration challenges;
- cultural challenges associated with integrating employees from an acquired company into our organization;

retaining employees from the businesses we acquire; and
the need to integrate each company's accounting, management information, human resources and other administrative systems to permit effective management.

16

The anticipated benefit of many of our acquisitions may not materialize. Future acquisitions could result in potentially dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or amortization expenses, or write-offs, any of which could harm our financial condition. Future acquisitions may require us to obtain additional equity or debt financing, which may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

Our limited experience outside the United States increases the risk that any international expansion efforts and operations will not be effective.

One of our strategies is to expand our presence in international markets. Although we currently have offices in Israel and websites that directly serve the French, Israeli and United Kingdom markets, we have only limited experience with operations outside the United States. Our primary international operations are in Israel, which carries additional risk for our business as a result of intermittent hostilities there. Expansion into international markets requires management time and capital resources. In addition, we face the following additional risks associated with our expansion outside the United States:

- challenges caused by distance, language and cultural differences;
- local competitors with substantially greater brand recognition, more users and more traffic than we have;
- our need to create and increase our brand recognition and improve our marketing efforts internationally and build strong relationships with local affiliates;
- longer payment cycles in some countries;
- credit risk and higher levels of payment fraud in some countries;
- different legal and regulatory restrictions among jurisdictions;
- political, social and economic instability;
- potentially adverse tax consequences; and
- higher costs associated with doing business internationally.

Our international operations subject us to risks associated with currency fluctuations.

Our foreign operations may subject us to currency fluctuations and such fluctuations may adversely affect our financial position and results. However, sales and expenses to date have occurred primarily in the United States. For this reason, we have not engaged in foreign exchange hedging. Currency risk positions could change correspondingly and the use of foreign exchange hedging instruments could become necessary. Effects of exchange rate fluctuations on our financial condition, operations and profitability may depend on our ability to manage our foreign currency risks. There can be no assurance that steps taken by management to address foreign currency fluctuations will eliminate all adverse effects and, accordingly, we may suffer losses due to adverse foreign currency fluctuation.

Our business could be significantly impacted by the occurrence of natural disasters and other catastrophic events.

Our operations depend upon our ability to maintain and protect our network infrastructure, hardware systems and software applications, which are housed primarily at data centers located in Southern California and Utah that are managed by third parties. Our business is therefore susceptible to earthquakes, tsunamis and other catastrophic events, including acts of terrorism. We currently do not possess a “high availability” disaster recovery system. As a result, outages and downtime caused by natural disasters and other events out of our control, which affect our systems or data centers, could adversely affect our reputation, brands and business.

We hold a fixed amount of insurance coverage, and if we were found liable for an uninsured claim, or claim in excess of our insurance limits, we may be forced to expend significant capital to resolve the uninsured claim.

We contract for a fixed amount of insurance to cover potential risks and liabilities, including, but not limited to, property and casualty insurance, general liability insurance and errors and omissions liability insurance. If we decide

to pursue obtaining additional insurance coverage in the future, it is possible that (1) we may not be able to get enough insurance to meet our needs; (2) we may have to pay very high premiums for the additional coverage; (3) we may not be able to acquire any insurance for certain types of business risk; or (4) we may have gaps in coverage for certain risks. This could leave us exposed to potential uninsured claims for which we could have to expend significant amounts of capital resources. Consequently, if we were found liable for a significant uninsured claim in the future, we may be forced to expend a significant amount of our operating capital to resolve the uninsured claim.

Our services may not be well-suited to many alternate Web access devices, and as a result the growth of our business could be negatively affected.

The number of people who access the Internet through devices other than desktop and laptop computers, including mobile telephones, tablets, and other handheld computing devices, has increased dramatically in the past several years, and we expect this growth to continue. The smaller screen and keyboard sizes and reduced functionality currently associated with such devices may make the use of our services through such devices more difficult and generally impairs the member experience relative to access via desktop and laptop computers. If we are unable to attract and retain a substantial number of such device users to our online personals services or if we are unable to develop services that are more compatible with such devices in a timely fashion, our growth could be adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Our network is vulnerable to security breaches and inappropriate use by Internet users, which could disrupt or deter future use of our services.

Concerns over the security of transactions conducted on the Internet and the privacy of users may inhibit the growth of the Internet and other online services generally, and online commerce services, like ours, in particular. To date, we have not experienced any material breach of our security systems; however, a failure on our part to effectively prevent security breaches could significantly harm our business, reputation and results of operations and could expose us to lawsuits by state and federal consumer protection agencies, by governmental authorities in the jurisdictions in which we operate, and by consumers. Anyone who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information, including customer credit card and personal data, cause interruptions in our operations or damage our brand and reputation. Such breach of our security measures could involve the disclosure of personally identifiable information and could expose us to a material risk of litigation, liability or governmental enforcement proceeding. We cannot assure you that our financial systems and other technology resources are completely secure from security breaches or sabotage, and we have occasionally experienced security breaches and attempts at “hacking.” We may be required to incur significant additional costs to protect against security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by such breaches. Any well-publicized compromise of our security or the security of any other Internet provider could deter people from using our services or the Internet to conduct transactions that involve transmitting confidential information or downloading sensitive materials, which could have a detrimental impact on our existing and potential customer base.

Computer viruses may cause delays or other service interruptions and could damage our reputation, affect our ability to provide our services and adversely affect our revenue. The inadvertent transmission of computer viruses could also expose us to a material risk of loss or litigation and possible liability. Moreover, if a computer virus affecting our system were highly publicized, our reputation could be significantly damaged, resulting in the loss of current and future members and paying subscribers.

We face certain risks related to the physical and emotional safety of our members and paying subscribers.

The nature of online personals services is such that we cannot control the actions of our members and paying subscribers in their communication or physical actions. There is a possibility that one or more of our members or paying subscribers could be physically or emotionally harmed following interaction with another one of our members or paying subscribers. We warn our members and paying subscribers that we do not conduct background checks on other members and paying subscribers and, given our lack of physical presence, we do not take any action to ensure personal safety on a meeting between members or paying subscribers arranged following contact initiated via our websites. If an unfortunate incident of this nature occurred in a meeting of two people following contact initiated on one of our websites or a website of one of our competitors, any resulting negative publicity could materially and

adversely affect us or the online personals industry in general. Any such incident involving one of our websites or mobile applications could damage our reputation and our brands. This, in turn, could adversely affect our revenue and could cause the value of our common stock to decline. In addition, the affected members or paying subscribers could initiate legal action against us, which could cause us to incur significant expense, whether we were successful or not, and damage our reputation.

We are or may be subject to litigation and regulatory actions that may distract management and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We are or have been a party to various litigation claims and legal proceedings, including purported class action lawsuits and litigation involving our business operations and intellectual property. We may also be subject to regulatory actions and litigation based on our business operations. For example, we supply online personals services and in many jurisdictions, companies deemed dating service providers are subject to additional regulation, while companies that provide personals services are not generally subject to similar regulation. Because personals services and dating services can seem similar, we are exposed to potential litigation, including class action lawsuits, associated with providing our personals services. In the past, a small percentage of our members have alleged that we are a dating service provider, and, as a result, they claim that we are required to comply with regulations that include, but are not limited to, providing language in our contracts that may allow members to (1) rescind their contracts within a certain period of time, (2) demand reimbursement of a portion of the contract price if the member dies during the term of the contract and/or (3) cancel their contracts in the event of disability or relocation. If a court holds that we have provided and are providing dating services of the type the dating services regulations are intended to regulate, we may be required to comply with regulations associated with the dating services industry and be liable for any damages as a result of our past non-compliance.

Previously, we were subject to three separate yet similar class action complaints filed against us in state court alleging violations of dating service statutes—one in each of Illinois, New York and California. Although all of the complaints were dismissed and are no longer subject to appeal, the opinion in the Illinois case provided that we are subject to the Illinois Dating Services Act and, as such, our subscription agreements violate the act and are void and unenforceable. This ruling may subject us to potential liability for claims brought by the Illinois Attorney General or customers that have been injured by such violation of the statute.

We review the litigation and accrue appropriate amounts where necessary. These assessments and estimates are based on information available to management at the time and involve a significant amount of management judgment. As a result, actual outcomes or losses may differ materially from those envisioned by our current assessments and estimates. We intend to defend vigorously against any litigation claims. However, no assurance can be given that these matters will be resolved in our favor and, depending on the outcome of these disputes, we may choose to alter our business practices. Our failure to successfully defend or settle litigation claims could result in liability that, to the extent not covered by our insurance, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, the defense of litigation claims may also be both time consuming and expensive.

We are exposed to risks associated with credit card fraud and credit payment, which, if not properly addressed, could increase our operating expenses.

We depend on the continuing availability of credit card usage to process subscriptions and this availability, in turn, depends on acceptable levels of chargebacks and fraud performance. We have suffered losses and may continue to suffer losses as a result of subscription orders placed with fraudulent credit card data, even though the associated financial institution approved payment. Under current credit card practices, a merchant is liable for fraudulent credit card transactions when, as is the case with the transactions we process, that merchant does not obtain a cardholder's signature. Our failure to adequately control fraudulent credit card transactions would result in significantly higher credit card-related costs and, therefore, increase our operating expenses and may preclude us from accepting credit cards as a means of payment.

We face risks associated with our dependence on computer and telecommunications infrastructure.

Our services are dependent upon the use of the Internet and telephone and broadband communications to provide high-capacity data transmission without system downtime. There have been instances where regional and national telecommunications outages have caused us, and other Internet businesses, to experience systems interruptions. Any additional interruptions, delays or capacity problems experienced with telephone or broadband connections could adversely affect our ability to provide services to our customers. The temporary or permanent loss of all, or a portion, of the telecommunications system could cause disruption to our business activities and result in a loss of revenue. Additionally, the telecommunications industry is subject to regulatory control. Amendments to current regulations, which could affect our telecommunications providers, could disrupt or adversely affect the profitability of our business.

In addition, if any of our current agreements with telecommunications providers were terminated, we may not be able to replace any terminated agreements with equally beneficial ones. There can be no assurance that we will be able to renew any of our current agreements when they expire or, if we are able to do so, that such renewals will be available on acceptable terms. We also do not know whether we will be able to enter into additional agreements or that any relationships, if entered into, will be on terms favorable to us.

Our business depends, in part, on the growth and maintenance of the Internet, and our ability to provide services to our members and paying subscribers may be limited by outages, interruptions and diminished capacity of the Internet.

Our performance will depend, in part, on the continued growth and maintenance of the Internet. This includes maintenance of a reliable network backbone with the necessary speed, data capacity and security for providing reliable Internet services. Internet infrastructure may be unable to support the demands placed on it if the number of Internet users continues to increase, or if existing or future Internet users access the Internet more often or increase their bandwidth requirements. In addition, viruses, worms and similar programs may harm the performance of the Internet. We have no control over the third-party telecommunications, cable or other providers of access services to the Internet that our members and paying subscribers rely upon. There have been instances where regional and national telecommunications outages have caused us to experience service interruptions during which our members and paying subscribers could not access our services. Any additional interruptions, delays or capacity problems experienced with any points of access between the Internet and our members could adversely affect our ability to provide services reliably to our members and paying subscribers. The temporary or permanent loss of all, or a portion, of our services on the Internet, the Internet infrastructure generally, or our members' and paying subscribers' ability to access the Internet could disrupt our business activities, harm our business reputation, and result in a loss of revenue. Additionally, the Internet, electronic communications and telecommunications industries are subject to federal, state and foreign governmental regulation. New laws and regulations governing such matters could be enacted or amendments may be made to existing regulations at any time that could adversely impact our services. Any such new laws, regulations or amendments to existing regulations could disrupt or adversely affect the profitability of our business.

We rely on third-party platforms such as the Apple App Store and the Google Play Store to distribute our mobile applications and collect revenue. If we are unable to maintain a good relationship with such platform providers, if their terms and conditions or pricing changed to our detriment, if we violate, or if a platform provider believes that we have violated, the terms and conditions of its platform, or if any of these platforms were unavailable for a prolonged period of time, our business will suffer.

With the launch of our portfolio of mobile applications, we have recognized an increasing amount of revenue from distribution of our subscriptions on the Apple App Store and the Google Play Store, where we rely on the payments processing systems of these platform providers. We are subject to their standard terms and conditions for application developers, which govern the promotion, distribution and operation of applications on their platforms. In addition, if we violate, or if a platform provider believes that we have violated, its terms and conditions, the particular platform provider may discontinue or limit our access to that platform, which would harm our business. Our business would be harmed if they discontinue or limit our access to their platforms, if their platforms decline in popularity, if they modify their current discovery mechanisms, communication channels available to developers, respective terms of service or other policies, including fees, or change how the personal information of subscribers is made available to developers or develop their own competitive offerings.

We are subject to burdensome government regulations and legal uncertainties affecting the Internet that could adversely affect our business.

Our business is regulated by diverse and evolving laws and governmental authorities in the United States and other countries in which we operate. Legal uncertainties surrounding domestic and foreign government regulations could increase our costs of doing business, require us to revise our services, prevent us from delivering our services over the Internet or slow the growth of the Internet, any of which could increase our expenses, reduce our revenue or cause our revenue to grow at a slower rate than expected and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Laws and regulations related to Internet communications, security, privacy, intellectual property rights, commerce, taxation, entertainment, recruiting and advertising are becoming more prevalent, and new laws and

regulations are under consideration by the United States Congress, state legislatures and foreign governments. For example, in recent years, legislation related to the use of background checks for users of online personals services was proposed in Ohio, Texas, California, Michigan, New Jersey, Florida and Virginia. The New Jersey legislature enacted such a law in 2008 and other state legislatures may still be considering the implementation of such legislation. The interpretation of the New Jersey statute as well as the enactment of any of these proposed laws could require us to alter our service offerings and could negatively impact our performance by making it more difficult and costly to obtain new subscribers and may also subject us to additional liability for failure to properly screen our subscribers. Promulgation of new laws, changes in current laws, the existence of ambiguous laws that are difficult to implement, changes in interpretations by courts and other governments officials of existing laws, our inability or failure to comply with current or future laws or strict enforcement by current or future government officers of current or future laws could adversely affect us by reducing our revenue, increasing our operating expenses and exposing us to significant potential liabilities.

Furthermore, in part as a result of current economic conditions, some states have begun to, and others may in the future, impose state taxes on services provided through the Internet, such as online personals, which will increase the cost of our services and could adversely affect our business. Any legislation and regulations enacted or newly enforced or restrictions arising from current or future government investigations or policy could dampen the growth in use of the Internet, generally, decrease the profitability of Internet

related businesses and diminish the acceptance of the Internet as a communications, commercial, entertainment, recruiting and advertising medium. In addition to new laws and regulations being adopted, existing laws that are not currently being applied to the Internet may subsequently be applied to it, in some cases with a retroactive effect or penalty, and, in several jurisdictions, legislatures are considering laws and regulations that would apply to the online personals industry in particular. Many areas of law affecting the Internet and online personals remain unsettled, even in areas where there has been some legislative action. It may take years to determine whether and how existing laws such as those governing consumer protection, intellectual property, libel and taxation apply to the Internet or to our services. In the normal course of our business, we handle personally identifiable information pertaining to our members and paying subscribers residing in the United States and other countries. In recent years, many of these countries have adopted privacy, security and data protection laws and regulations intended to prevent improper uses and disclosures of personally identifiable information. In addition, some jurisdictions impose database registration requirements for which significant monetary and other penalties may be imposed for noncompliance. These laws may impose costly administrative requirements, limit our handling of information, and subject us to increased government oversight and financial liabilities. Privacy laws and regulations in the United States and foreign countries are subject to change and may be inconsistent, and additional requirements may be imposed at any time. These laws and regulations, the costs of complying with them, administrative fines for noncompliance and the possible need to adopt different compliance measures in different jurisdictions could materially increase our expenses and cause the value of our securities to decline.

Risks Related to Owning Our Securities

If an active trading market for our stock is not maintained or if securities analysts downgrade our stock or cease coverage of us, the price of our stock could decline.

We cannot assure you that an active trading market will be sustained or that the market price of our common stock will not decline. The price at which our common stock trades is likely to be highly volatile and may fluctuate substantially due to many factors, some of which are outside of our control. In addition, the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price for the stock of many technology, communications and entertainment and media companies. Those market fluctuations were sometimes unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. Any significant stock market fluctuations in the future, whether due to our actual performance or prospects or not, could result in a significant decline in the market price of our securities. Furthermore, the trading market for our common stock relies in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business. Currently, two financial analysts publish reports about us and our business. We do not control these or any other analysts. Furthermore, there are many large, well-established, publicly traded companies active in our industry and market, which may mean that it is less likely that we will receive widespread analyst coverage. If any of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock, our stock price would likely decline rapidly. If these analysts cease coverage of our Company, we could lose visibility in the market, which in turn could cause our stock price to decline.

Five of our stockholders own approximately 50% of our stock and could exercise significant influence over the Company.

As of December 31, 2015, Spruce House Capital LLC, North Run Advisors, LLC, 402 Capital, Osmium Partners, and entities controlled by Lloyd I. Miller, III (“Mr. Miller”) and their respective affiliates beneficially owned approximately, in the aggregate, 50% of the outstanding common stock. While such stockholders are unaffiliated and do not constitute a “group” as defined in the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, these stockholders individually possess influence over our company, and the managing members of Osmium Partners and 402 Capital each serve on our board of directors along with a designee from Mr. Miller. Concentrated ownership may influence matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors.

We may implement stock repurchase plans, which may restrict our funds available for other actions and negatively affect the market price of our securities.

In December 2013, we implemented a stock repurchase plan and may implement additional stock repurchase plans in the future. A stock repurchase plan may not have the effects anticipated by our board of directors and may instead harm the market price and liquidity of our securities. The full implementation of any repurchase plan could use a significant portion of our cash reserves, and this use of cash could limit our future flexibility to complete acquisitions of businesses or technology or other transactions. Implementation of a repurchase plan would also result in an increase in the percentage of common stock owned by our existing stockholders, and such increase may trigger disclosure or other regulatory requirements for our larger stockholders. As a result, certain stockholders may liquidate a portion of their holdings, which may have a negative impact on the market price of our securities. Furthermore, repurchases of stock may affect the trading of our common stock to the extent we fail to satisfy continued-listing requirements of the exchange on which our stock trades, including those based on numbers of holders or public float of our common stock. A repurchase plan will also reduce the number of shares of our common stock in the market, which may impact the development of an active trading market in our stock, causing a negative impact on the market price of our stock.

We have never paid any dividend and we may or may not pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

To date, we have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and currently intend to retain any future earnings for funding growth. We do not anticipate paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. As a result, you should not rely on an investment in our stock if you require dividend income. Capital appreciation, if any, of our stock may be your sole source of gain for the foreseeable future.

Our charter documents and our stockholder rights plan may have anti-takeover effects that could prevent a change in control, which may cause our stock price to decline.

Our certificate of incorporation or our bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if closing such a transaction would be beneficial to our stockholders. We are authorized to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock. This preferred stock may be issued in one or more series, the terms of which may be determined at the time of issuance by our board of directors without further action by stockholders. The terms of any series of preferred stock may include voting rights (including the right to vote as a series on particular matters), preferences as to dividend, liquidation, conversion and redemption rights and sinking fund provisions. No preferred stock is currently outstanding. The issuance of any preferred stock could materially adversely affect the rights of the holders of our common stock, and therefore, reduce the value of our common stock. In particular, specific rights granted to future holders of preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with, or sell our assets to, a third party and thereby preserve control by the present Board of Directors.

There are no cumulative voting rights provided for in our bylaws or certificate of incorporation. While we have recently amended our bylaws to enable stockholders to call special meetings, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws still contain provisions that could have the effect of discouraging potential acquisition proposals or making a tender offer or delaying or preventing a change in control, including changes a stockholder might consider favorable. In particular, the certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as applicable, among other things:

- provide the board of directors with the ability to alter the bylaws without stockholder approval;
- provide for an advance notice procedure with regard to the nomination of candidates for election as directors and with regard to business to be brought before a meeting of stockholders; and
- provide that vacancies on the board of directors may be filled by a majority of directors in office, although less than a quorum.

We have also adopted a stockholder rights plan pursuant to which each share of common stock also has a “right” attached to it. The rights are not exercisable except upon the occurrence of certain takeover-related events – most importantly, the acquisition by a third party (the “Acquiring Person”) of more than 30% of our outstanding voting shares if the Acquiring Person has not concurrently made a tender offer to acquire all outstanding shares of common stock. Once triggered, the rights entitle the stockholders, other than the Acquiring Person, to purchase additional shares of common stock at a 50% discount to their fair market value. The effect of triggering the rights is to expose the Acquiring Person to severe dilution of its ownership interest, as the shares of common stock of our company (or any surviving corporation) are offered to all of the stockholders other than the Acquiring Person at a steep discount to their market value.

Such provisions may have the effect of discouraging a third-party from acquiring Spark Networks, Inc. even if doing so would be beneficial to its stockholders. These provisions are expected to discourage certain types of coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids and to encourage persons seeking to acquire control of Spark Networks, Inc. to first negotiate with its Board. These provisions of Delaware law also may discourage, delay or prevent someone from acquiring or merging with us, which may cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

The conflicts between Israel and Hamas could have a material adverse impact upon our business and operating results.

We maintain physical operations in Israel and our Hebrew language sites, JDate.co.il and Cupid.co.il, represent approximately 5% of our Jewish Networks revenue. As a result, the conflicts between Israel and Hamas could impact our employees, operations and our members' and potential members' interest in our services, and may have a material impact upon our subscriber and revenue bases as conflict occurs.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We do not own any real property that is materially important to our business. Our headquarters is currently located in Los Angeles, California, where we occupy approximately 16,000 square feet of office space, housing our technology department and most of our corporate and administrative personnel. Our current lease for this space expires on October 31, 2018 with monthly basic rent ranging from approximately \$43,000 to \$51,000 with six months of rent abatement, as well as scheduled rent increases and rent credits. We also lease office space in Utah and Israel and datacenter space in California and Utah. We believe that our facilities are adequate for our current needs and suitable additional or substitute space will be available in the future to replace our existing facilities, if necessary, or accommodate expansion of our operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ISYSTEMS v. Spark Networks, Inc. et al.

On July 11, 2008, ISystems initiated a lawsuit against Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks Limited (collectively, “Spark Networks”) and other parties in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division. The lawsuit was filed in response to an arbitration award ordering the transfer of the domain name, JDATE.NET, to Spark Networks Limited from ISystems. Spark Networks was apprised of the lawsuit after ISystems unsuccessfully attempted to utilize the filing of the lawsuit to prevent the domain transfer to Spark Networks Limited. On September 4, 2012, Spark Networks filed its Answer to the Complaint. On January 22, 2014, the Court entered an Amended Scheduling Order continuing the commencement of the trial to July 21, 2014. The matter was tried before the Honorable David C. Godbey from July 21-22, 2014. On September 19, 2014, the Court issued a Final Judgment in favor of Spark Networks and that ISystems takes nothing by its claims which were dismissed with prejudice. On October 17, 2014, ISystems filed a Motion for a New Trial. By written order dated January 13, 2015, the Court denied ISystems’ Motion for a New Trial. On February 12, 2015, ISystems filed a Notice of Appeal for the Court’s Judgment in favor of Spark Networks. On July 22, 2015, United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit Clerk entered an order dismissing ISystems’ appeal due to ISystems’ failure to timely file its opening brief and record excerpts. On July 22, 2015, United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit Clerk entered an order dismissing ISystems’ appeal due to ISystems’ failure to timely file its opening brief and record excerpts.

Kirby, et al. v. Spark Networks USA, LLC

On October 16, 2012, Kristina Kirby, Christopher Wagner and Jamie Carper (collectively referred to as “Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, filed a putative class action Complaint in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles alleging claims against Spark Networks USA, LLC for violations of California Business & Professions Code section 17529.5. Plaintiffs allege that certain e-mail communications advertising websites of Spark Networks USA, LLC and received by Plaintiffs violate a California statute prohibiting false and deceptive e-mail communications (namely, California Business & Professions Code section 17529.5). Plaintiffs generally allege that they seek damages in excess of \$25,000. The e-mail publishers responsible for distributing the e-mails at issue in this litigation have agreed to furnish a complete defense to Spark, through counsel at their own expense, pursuant to contractual indemnification provisions. The parties reached a settlement to resolve the action on a classwide basis and the settlement agreement includes a full release of Spark and the publishers. The parties filed the necessary approval paperwork with the court, which granted final to the settlement on February 24, 2015. The court entered final judgment on March 2, 2015 regarding all claims pursuant to its final approval order. The Company recorded an accrual at December 31, 2014 for the cost related to resolving this matter.

Adconion v. Spark Networks USA, LLC

On December 18, 2013, Adconion Direct, Inc. (“Adconion”) filed a breach of contract lawsuit in the Superior Court of California of Los Angeles. Adconion alleges that it is a successor-in-interest to Frontline Direct Inc., with which Spark contracted for the placement of online advertisements. Adconion contends that it has performed all of its obligations pursuant to this contract, and that Spark failed to pay the January and February 2013 invoices, which total \$437,729. Spark filed an answer to the complaint on February 3, 2014 along with a cross-complaint against Adconion for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, express contractual indemnity, fraudulent concealment and negligent interference with prospective economic advantage. The parties agreed to a confidential settlement to resolve their dispute for an amount less than the past due invoices, and the case (including all claims and cross-claims) was dismissed in its entirety on May 23, 2014.

California Unruh Act Litigation – Werner, et al. v. Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks USA, LLC and Wright, et al. v. Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, et al.

On July 19, 2013, Aaron Werner, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals, filed a putative Class Action Complaint (the “Werner Complaint”) in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles against Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks USA, LLC (collectively “Spark Networks”). The Werner Complaint alleges that Spark Networks’ website ChristianMingle.com violates California’s Unruh Civil Rights Act (the “Unruh Act”) by allegedly discriminating on the basis

of sexual orientation. The Werner Complaint requests the following relief: an injunction, statutory, general, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs, pre-judgment interest, and an award for any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate. On December 23, 2013, Richard Wright, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals, filed a putative Class Action Complaint (the "Wright Complaint") in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Francisco against Spark Networks, Inc. The Wright Complaint alleges that Spark Networks' commercial dating services including ChristianMingle.com, LDSSingles.com, CatholicMingle.com, BlackSingles.com, MilitarySinglesConnection.com and AdventistSinglesConnection.com violate the Unruh Act by allegedly intentionally and arbitrarily discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation. The Wright Complaint requests the following relief: a declaratory judgment, a preliminary and permanent injunction, statutory penalties, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, pre-judgment interest, and an award for any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate. We believe the parties are now close to a final signed settlement pursuant to which the Company would make individual settlement payments for statutory damages and service awards, as well as legal fees. The final settlement would need to be approved by the court. The parties are in process of preparing exhibits and the necessary motions to obtain court approval. The Company has recorded an accrual for the probable cost related to resolving this matter of \$468,000 as of December 31, 2015.

Jane LV Doe v. Spark Networks, Inc., Sean Patrick Banks, and DOES 1 through 100

On November 20, 2014, Plaintiff filed an unverified complaint against the Company and Sean Patrick Banks in the Los Angeles County Superior Court for the State of California alleging several causes of action pertaining to being stalked and harassed by defendant Sean Patrick Banks from December 2012 to February 2013. Plaintiff alleges that prior to when she actively joined the site, the Company had information that Defendant could have been a sexual predator and that the Company had a duty to investigate defendant Sean Patrick Banks and warn Plaintiff. As permitted under California procedure in response to an unverified complaint, Spark filed an answer in the form of a general denial on January 16, 2015. Pursuant to a confidential settlement agreement entered into between the parties, plaintiff filed a request for dismissal with prejudice on April 2, 2015.

Israeli Consumer Actions Ben-Jacob vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd., Gever vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. and Korland vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd.

Three class action law suits have been filed in Israel alleging violations of the Israel Consumer Protection Law of 1981. Spark was served with a Statement of Claim and a Motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Ben-Jacob action on January 14, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. ("Spark Networks") refused to cancel her subscription and provide a refund for unused periods and claims that such a refusal is in violation of the Consumer Protection Law. Spark Networks was served with a Statement of Claim and a motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Gever action on January 21, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Networks renewed his one month subscription without receiving his positive agreement in advance and claims that such renewal is prohibited under the Consumer Protection Law. Spark Networks was served with a Statement of Claim and a Motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Korland action on February 12, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Networks refused to give her a full refund and charged her the price of a one month subscription to the JDate website in violation of the Consumer Protection Law. In each of these three cases, the plaintiff is seeking personal damages and damages on behalf of a defined group. On May 8, 2014, the Court granted Spark Networks' motion to consolidate all three cases. All three cases are now consolidated and will be litigated jointly. Spark Networks' combined response to their motions to certify the classes was filed November 1, 2014 and the plaintiffs responded to the combined response. The parties had a hearing before the judge on December 24, 2014. Following the hearing the judge ordered that the pleadings filed by the parties be transferred to the Israel Consumer Council ("ICC") so that the ICC can provide its position as to the parties' allegations within 90 days.

The ICC issued its opinion on April 1, 2015. Following the filing of the ICC opinion, the parties filed briefs addressing the ICC opinion. On January 7, 2016, the parties advised the Court that they have agreed on the terms of a settlement agreement, and jointly moved to approve the agreement and give it the effect of a judgment. According to the terms of the settlement agreement, clients who bought a subscription to JDate.co.il on October 12, 2008 or later will be entitled to receive certain benefits. The settlement agreement, which provides for compensation and legal fees, will only come into effect if the court approves it. On January 14, 2016, the Court ordered the parties to publish the terms of the proposed settlement agreement. The Court allowed for the Attorney General or any person who wishes to object to the settlement or exclude himself from the class to file their position with the Court through March 10, 2016. As such, an accrual for the probable cost related to resolving this matter of \$52,000 has been recorded as of December 31, 2015.

Spark Networks USA, LLC v. Smooch Labs Inc.

Spark Networks USA, LLC (“Spark Networks”) filed a complaint against Smooch Labs on November 12, 2014 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint pertains to Smooch Labs’ infringement of Spark Networks’ U.S. Patent No. 5,950,200 (“the ‘200 patent”) and Spark Networks’ J-Family of trademarks through the development, use, and license of Smooch Labs’ mobile application “JSwipe”, as well as other violations of Spark Networks’ rights under Federal law and New York State law. On February 13, 2015, Smooch Labs filed its Amended Answer, Affirmative Defense and Counterclaims. Spark Networks filed its Answer to Smooch Labs’ Counterclaims on March 2, 2015. After conducting discovery for several months, and meeting with a neutral mediator, the parties mutually agreed to drop their respective cases. On October 14, 2015, Spark Networks announced the

acquisition of Smooch Labs as a subsidiary, where the Smooch Lab founders will play an active role in managing the JSwipe product and expanding Spark Networks' mobile dating capabilities. On October 16, 2015, the District Court entered the parties' joint Stipulation of Dismissal of all claims and counterclaims, without attorneys' fees or costs to either party.

Scottsdale Insurance Co. v. Spark Networks, Inc., et al.

On January 13, 2016, Scottsdale Insurance Company ("Scottsdale") filed a complaint for declaratory relief and reimbursement/unjust enrichment against Spark Networks, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In its complaint, Scottsdale alleges that, after the Company was sued in the Werner Complaint, the Company tendered its defense and indemnity to Scottsdale pursuant to the terms of the business and management indemnity insurance policy that Scottsdale had issued to the Company on November 1, 2012. The complaint alleges that, after receiving the demand, Scottsdale accepted the defense of the Company in the Werner Complaint under a reservation of rights, including Scottsdale's right to seek reimbursement of any amounts paid to defend against non-covered claims. Having made some payments for the Company's defense costs, and with the Werner Complaint near settlement, Scottsdale contends in its complaint that it did not have a duty to defend the Company in the Werner Complaint, because there allegedly was no potential for coverage of the claims in the Werner Complaint. Scottsdale seeks a determination that it did not have a duty to defend the Company in the Werner Complaint. It also seeks reimbursement of the amounts it paid for the Company's defense in the Werner Complaint. The Company is in process of filing its answer and counterclaims against Scottsdale, in which it will seek damages for Scottsdale's breach of policy and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

We intend to defend vigorously against each of the above lawsuits. At this time, management does not believe the above matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition and believes the recorded legal accruals as of December 31, 2015 are adequate in light of the probable and estimable liabilities. However, no assurance can be given that these matters will be resolved in our favor.

We have additional existing legal claims and may encounter future legal claims in the normal course of business. In our opinion, the resolutions of the existing legal claims are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable.

PART II.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Spark Networks, Inc.'s common stock is traded on the NYSE MKT under the trading symbol "LOV." The following table summarizes the high and low closing sales prices of our common stock as reported by the NYSE MKT.

Year ended December 31, 2014	High	Low
First Quarter	\$6.45	\$4.66
Second Quarter	\$5.89	\$4.37
Third Quarter	\$6.20	\$4.63
Fourth Quarter	\$4.69	\$3.41

Year ended December 31, 2015	High	Low
First Quarter	\$4.04	\$3.31
Second Quarter	\$4.07	\$2.85
Third Quarter	\$3.26	\$2.83
Fourth Quarter	\$4.00	\$3.00

Holders

As of March 4, 2016 there were 68 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We presently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business and, therefore, do not have plans to pay any cash dividends in the near future.

Pursuant to the Company's credit agreement, as further described in "Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", the Company is permitted to repurchase or redeem equity interests or issue dividends of up to \$4.5 million during the term of the credit agreement.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Our equity compensation plan information is provided as set forth in Part III, Item 11 herein.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

On October 14, 2015, the Company and its subsidiary, LOV USA LLC, entered into an agreement and plan of merger (the "Agreement") with Smooch Labs and certain other parties related to Smooch Labs, including the founders of Smooch Labs. The merger closed on October 14, 2015 and, pursuant to the Agreement, the Company acquired all outstanding shares of Smooch Labs, and Smooch Labs has become an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the

Company for total consideration comprising \$7 million paid at closing and an earnout payment, to the extent earned, of up to a maximum of an additional \$10 million (such consideration, including the earnout, to the extent earned, the “Aggregate Purchase Price”). Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, \$1 million of the Aggregate Purchase Price paid at closing was paid with shares of the Company’s common stock. Additionally, two-thirds of the remaining Aggregate Purchase Price, if any, shall be similarly paid with shares of the Company’s common stock.

The issuance of the shares of common stock at closing was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), in reliance on Section 4(a)(2) thereof and Rule 506(b) thereunder. The Agreement prohibits the recipients of the shares from transferring or disposing of any interest in any such shares for one year from issuance of the shares and prohibits any transfer or disposition of any shares received in connection with the earnout for a period of 180 days from the issuance of such shares, except, in each case, a transfer or disposition pursuant to a change in control.

Purchases of Equity Securities

On December 12, 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$5.0 million of our common stock. The repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan, at prices that the Company deems appropriate and subject to market conditions, applicable law, including Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and other factors deemed relevant in the Company's sole discretion. The Company is not obligated to repurchase any dollar amount or any number of shares of common stock, and the program may be suspended, discontinued or modified at any time, for any reason and without notice.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 and 2015, the Company made the following stock repurchases:

Period	Total number of shares purchased	Weighted average price paid per share	Dollar amount of shares repurchased	Maximum dollar amount of shares that may yet be purchased under the Plans or Programs
Year Ended December 31, 2014				
January 1 – January 31	43,167	\$ 5.87	\$ 253,000	\$4,747,000
February 1 – February 28	135,180	\$ 5.81	\$ 785,000	\$3,962,000
March 1 – March 31	92,770	\$ 4.88	\$ 453,000	\$3,509,000
Total	271,117	\$ 5.50	\$ 1,491,000	\$3,509,000
Year Ended December 31, 2015				
April 1 – April 30	—	—	—	—
May 1 – May 31	191,584	\$ 3.00	\$ 575,000	\$2,934,000
June 1 – June 30	96,700	\$ 3.21	\$ 310,000	\$2,624,000
Total	288,284	\$ 3.07	\$ 885,000	\$2,624,000

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	For the Year Ended December 31				
	(dollars in thousands except per share amounts)				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data					
Revenue	\$48,135	\$61,645	\$69,409	\$61,743	\$48,493
Net income (loss)	(1,437)	(1,127)	(12,380)	(14,989)	(1,611)

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Net income (loss) per share—basic and dilute	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.54)	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.08
Cash dividends declared per share	-	-	-	-	-

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Total Assets	\$ 33,076	\$ 31,005	\$ 35,254	\$ 28,364	\$ 37,936
Long-term debt, including maturities	-	-	-	-	-

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes that are included in this report.

Some of the statements contained in this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and elsewhere in this report are forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All statements other than historical facts contained in this report, including statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "estimates," "may," "will," "continue," "should," "plan," "predict," "potential" and other similar expressions. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, which are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions described in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this report.

General

The common stock of Spark Networks, Inc. is traded on the NYSE MKT under the ticker symbol LOV. We are a leader in creating iconic, niche-focused brands and communities that help individuals form life-long relationships with others that share their interests and values. Our core properties, JDate and ChristianMingle, are communities geared towards singles of the Jewish and Christian faiths. Through our websites and mobile applications, we help members search for and communicate with other like-minded individuals. Membership to the Company's online and web services, which includes the posting of a personal profile and photos, and access to its database of profiles, is free. The Company charges a fee (typically, one, three or six month subscription fees) to members, allowing them to initiate communication with other members and subscribers using the Company's onsite communication tools, including anonymous email, instant messenger, chat rooms and message boards. For most of the Company's services, two-way communications through the Company's email platform can only take place between paying members.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we had 203,557 average paying subscribers, representing a decrease of 22.2% from the year ended December 31, 2014. Paying subscribers are defined as individuals who have paid a monthly fee for access to communication and website features beyond those provided to our non-paying members. Average paying subscribers for each month are calculated as the sum of the paying subscribers at the beginning and end of the month, divided by two. Average paying subscribers for periods longer than one month are calculated as the sum of the average paying subscribers for each month, divided by the number of months in such period.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we had 200,023 period ending subscribers, representing a decrease of 7.2% from the year ended December 31, 2014. Period ending subscribers are defined as the paying subscriber count as of the last day of the period.

We have grown both internally and through acquisitions of entities, and selected assets of entities, offering online personals services and related businesses. Through our business acquisitions, we have expanded into new markets,

leveraged and enhanced our existing brands to improve our position within new markets, and gained valuable intellectual property.

Our ability to compete effectively will depend on our ability to address the needs of our members and paying subscribers, on the timely introduction and performance of innovative features and services associated with our brands, and the ability to respond to services and features introduced by competitors. To address this challenge, we have invested and will continue to invest in both personnel resources and systems geared at enhancing our existing services and introduce new services as well as improving the efficiency and effectiveness of our customer acquisition activities. We believe we have sufficient cash resources on hand to accomplish the enhancements currently contemplated.

Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and Assumptions

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make certain estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, cost of revenue, prepaid advertising, website and software development costs, goodwill, intangible and other long-lived assets, accounting for business combinations, legal contingencies, income taxes and stock-based compensation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management has discussed the development and selection of our critical accounting policies, estimates and assumptions with our board of directors and the board has reviewed these disclosures. Past estimates have been in line with actual results.

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect the more significant judgments and estimates we used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

Substantially all of our revenue is derived from subscription fees. Revenue is presented net of credits and credit card chargebacks. We recognize revenue in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Revenue recognition occurs ratably over the subscription period, beginning when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred (access has been granted), the fees are fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. Paying subscribers primarily pay in advance using a credit card and, subject to certain conditions identified in our terms and conditions, all purchases are final and nonrefundable. Subscription fees collected in advance are deferred and recognized as revenue, using the straight-line method, over the term of the subscription. We reserve for potential credit card chargebacks based on our historical chargeback experience.

We also earn a small amount of revenue from advertising sales. We record advertising revenue as it is delivered and included it in the total revenue of each segment that generates advertising sales.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue consists primarily of direct marketing costs, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) for personnel dedicated to maintaining our data centers, data center expenses and credit card fees. We incur substantial advertising expenses in order to generate traffic to our websites. These advertising costs consist of television and online advertising, including affiliate and co-brand arrangements, and are directly attributable to the revenue we receive from our subscribers. We have entered into numerous affiliate arrangements, under which our affiliates advertise or promote our website, and earn a fee whenever visitors click through the affiliate's advertisement to one of our websites and register or subscribe, on our website. Some of our affiliates may also be affiliates for our competitors. Under our co-branded arrangements, our co-brand partners may operate their own separate websites where visitors can register and subscribe to our websites. Affiliate deals, co-brand deals and online advertising arrangements may fall in the categories of CPS, CPA, CPC, or CPM, as discussed below.

Our advertising expenses are recognized based on the terms of each individual contract. The majority of our advertising expenses are based on five pricing models:

Cost per subscription (CPS) where we pay an online advertising provider a fee based upon the number of new paying subscribers it generates;

Cost per acquisition (CPA) where we pay an online advertising provider a fee based on the number of new member registrations it generates;

Cost per click (CPC) where we pay an online advertising provider a fee based on the number of clicks to our websites it generates;

Cost per thousand for banner advertising (CPM) where we pay an online advertising provider a fee based on the number of times it displays our advertisements; and

Offline where we pay television and radio stations for advertising placement on a cost per spot basis, print advertisers on a cost per page basis and out-of-home advertisers on a fixed placement basis.

29

We estimate, in certain circumstances, the total clicks or impressions delivered by our vendors in order to determine amounts due under these contracts.

Prepaid Advertising Expenses

In certain circumstances, we pay in advance for online and offline advertising, and expense the prepaid amounts as cost of revenue over the contract periods as the vendor delivers on its commitment. We evaluate the realization of prepaid amounts at each reporting period and expense prepaid amounts if the vendor is unable to deliver on its commitment and is not willing or able to repay the undelivered prepaid amounts.

Website and Software Development Costs

We capitalize costs related to developing or obtaining internal-use software. Capitalization of costs begins after the preliminary project stage has been completed. Website and software development costs are expensed as incurred or capitalized into property and equipment. Costs incurred in the planning and post-implementation stages of an internal use software project are expensed as incurred while direct costs associated with the development phase are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives. Costs associated with minor enhancements and maintenance for a website are included in expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Valuation of Goodwill, Identified Intangibles and Other Long-lived Assets

We test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at least annually, or more frequently when circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable and test property, plant and equipment and other intangible assets for impairment whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Our operating segments represent the reporting units to which we assign goodwill. We aggregate our indefinite-lived intangible assets, primarily consisting of domain names, into one unit of account for each reporting unit. Factors we consider important and which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

- a significant decline in actual projected revenue;
- a significant decline in the market value of our common stock;
- a significant decline in performance of certain acquired companies relative to our original projections;
- an excess of our net book value over our market value;
- a significant decline in our operating results relative to our operating forecasts;
- a significant change in the manner of our use of acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;
- a significant decrease in the fair value of an asset;
- a shift in technology demands and development; and
- a significant turnover in key management or other personnel.

When we determine that the carrying value of goodwill, other intangible assets and other long-lived assets may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, we measure any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by our management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model. In the case of the other intangible assets and other long-lived assets, this measurement is only performed if the projected undiscounted cash flows for the asset are less than its carrying value.

In 2014, the Company performed its annual impairment analysis utilizing a quantitative assessment. We estimated the fair value of the reporting units based on the market approach and income approach. The income approach relies upon discounted future cash flows which are derived from various assumptions including: projected cash flows, discount rates, projected long-term growth rates and terminal values. The Company used a discount rate which reflects the risks and uncertainty related to each reporting unit. The market approach uses the guideline public companies method,

where value is estimated by comparing the Company to similar companies with publicly traded ownership interests. The analysis concluded that the estimated reporting units' fair values were higher than their carrying values.

In 2015, the Company performed its annual impairment analysis utilizing the qualitative assessment option. We assessed qualitative factors to determine whether it was necessary to perform the two-step test (quantitative assessment). The analysis concluded that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair values of the Jewish Networks, Christian Networks, and Other Networks are higher than their carrying values.

As of December 31, 2015, Jewish Networks, Christian Networks, and Other Networks carried goodwill balances of \$12.6 million, \$1.7 million, and \$232,000 million, respectively.

In 2015, the Company impaired \$197,000 of long-lived assets primarily related to the full unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain products that failed to perform to Company standards. In 2014, the Company impaired \$128,000 of long-lived assets primarily related to the full unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain mobile projects and web-based products no longer in use. In 2013, the Company impaired \$265,000, representing the full unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs related to certain web-based products that failed to perform to Company standards.

Accounting for Business Combinations

We acquire the stock or specific assets of companies that may be considered to be business acquisitions. Under the acquisition method of accounting, we allocate the fair value of purchase consideration to the tangible assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase consideration over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. Such valuations require management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to estimating the fair value and expected useful life assigned to each class of assets and liabilities acquired. Different classes of assets will have varying useful lives. For example, the useful life of a member database, which is typically three years, is not the same as the useful life of a paying subscriber list, which is typically three months, or a domain name, which is indefinite. Consequently, to the extent a longer-lived asset is ascribed greater value under the purchase method than a shorter-lived asset, there may be less amortization recorded in a given period or no amortization for indefinite lived intangibles.

Management's estimates of fair value are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable and, as a result, actual results may differ from estimates. During the measurement period, which is one year from the acquisition date, we may record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to earnings.

Legal Contingencies

We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings, as discussed in the notes to the financial statements and under "Legal Proceedings." To the extent that a loss related to a contingency is reasonably estimable and probable, we accrue an estimate of that loss. Because of the uncertainties related to both the amount and range of loss on certain pending litigation, we may be unable to make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome of such litigation. As additional information becomes available, we will assess the potential liability related to our pending litigation and make or, if necessary, revise our estimates. Such revisions in our estimates of the potential liability could materially impact our results of operations and financial position.

Accounting for Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of the assets and liabilities.

As of December 31, 2015, we had a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets of approximately \$13.7 million. We assess whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against the deferred tax assets ("DTAs") based on the consideration of all available evidence, using a "more likely than not" realization standard. Cumulative losses in

recent years, which the Company defines as the most recent three year period, is considered significant negative evidence in evaluating the realizability of DTAs that is difficult to overcome. In light of the Company's recent history of losses, we are not able to conclude that it is more likely than not that our DTAs will be realized and we recorded a valuation allowance against our net DTAs, with a corresponding benefit to our income tax provision, of approximately \$662,000 during the year ended December 31, 2015.

At December 31, 2015, we had gross net operating loss ("NOL") carry-forwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$37.8 million and \$50.1 million available to reduce future federal and state taxable income, respectively, which expire beginning in the years 2025 for federal purposes and 2018 for state purposes. Under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, the utilization of the net operating loss carry-forwards may be limited based on changes in the ownership of the Company. The Company also has net operating loss carryovers for Israeli tax purposes of approximately \$7.9 million which do not expire. The Company has federal income tax credit carry-forwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$894,000 million available to reduce future federal income tax.

The Company recognizes excess tax benefits associated with the exercise of stock options directly to stockholders' equity only when realized. Accordingly, deferred tax assets are not recognized for net operating losses resulting from excess tax benefits. As of December 31, 2015, deferred tax assets do not include approximately \$5.3 million of these excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises that are a component of the Company's NOL carry forwards. Additional paid in capital will be increased up to an additional \$5.3 million if and when such excess tax benefits are realized. However, to the extent additional paid-in capital has been recognized for qualifying excess tax deductions from previous share-based payments, the write-off of the deferred tax asset when the tax deduction is less than recognized compensation cost is charged to additional paid-in capital, with any remainder charged to provision for income taxes.

We operate in multiple taxing jurisdictions, both within the United States and outside the United States. We have filed tax returns with positions that may be challenged by the tax authorities. These positions relate to, among others, transfer pricing, the deductibility of certain expenses, intercompany transactions as well as other matters. Although the outcome of tax audits is uncertain, we regularly assesses our tax position for such matters and, in management's opinion, adequate provisions for income taxes have been made for potential liabilities resulting from such matters. To the extent reserves are recorded, they will be utilized or reversed once the statute of limitations has expired and/or at the conclusion of the tax examination. We believe that the ultimate outcome of these matters will not have a material impact on our financial position or liquidity. We recognize the tax effects from an uncertain tax position in our financial statements, only if the position is more-likely-than-not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. Tax positions that meet the recognition threshold are reported at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be realized.

Stock-Based Compensation

We calculate the fair value of stock-based compensation using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The determination of the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment in developing assumptions, which involve a number of variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, the expected dividend yield and the expected stock option exercise behavior. Additionally, judgment is also required in estimating the number of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. We used historical and empirical data to assess different forfeiture rates for three different groups of employees. We must reassess forfeiture rates when deemed necessary and we must calibrate actual forfeiture behavior to what has already been recorded.

Our computation of expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and market-based implied volatility. The volatility rate was derived by examining historical stock price behavior and assessing management's expectations of stock price behavior during the term of the option. The term of the options was derived based on the "simplified method" calculation. The simplified method allows companies that do not have sufficient historical experience to provide a reasonable basis for an estimate to instead estimate the expected term of a "plain vanilla" option by averaging the time to vesting and the full term of the option. ("Plain vanilla" options are options with the following characteristics: (1) the options are granted at-the-money; (2) exercisability is conditional only upon performing service through the vesting date; (3) if an employee terminates service prior to vesting, the employee would forfeit the options; (4) if an employee terminates service after vesting, the employee would have a limited time to exercise the options (typically 30 to 90 days); and (5) the options are nontransferable and non-hedgeable.) We periodically evaluate the applicability of using the simplified method with respect to the characteristics noted above with respect to our options and will continue to do so as our business continues to evolve. If any of the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. We believe the accounting for stock-based compensation is a critical accounting policy because it requires the use of complex judgment in its application.

Segment Reporting

Segment reporting requires the use of the management approach in determining the reportable operating segments. The management approach considers the internal organization and reporting used by our chief operating decision maker for making operating decisions and assessing performance. The Company's financial reporting includes detailed data on four separate operating segments which were principally determined based on similarity of economic characteristics; and include: (1) Jewish Networks, which consists of JDate, JDate.co.uk, JDate.fr, JDate.co.il, Cupid.co.il, and JSwipe; (2) Christian Networks, which now consists of ChristianMingle, CrossPaths, ChristianMingle.co.uk, ChristianMingle.com.au, Believe.com, ChristianCards.net, DailyBibleVerse.com and Faith.com; (3) Other Networks, which consists of Spark.com and related other general market websites as well as other properties which are primarily composed of sites targeted towards various religious, ethnic, geographic and special interest groups; and (4) Offline & Other Businesses, which consists of revenue generated from offline activities.

Results of Operations

The following is a more detailed discussion of our financial condition and results of operations for the periods presented.

The following table presents our historical operating results as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated:

	2015	2014	2013
Revenue	100 %	100 %	100 %
Cost and expenses:			
Cost of revenue (exclusive of depreciation shown separately below)	50.0	55.7	80.6
Sales and marketing	8.6	8.3	8.1
Customer service	6.4	4.9	4.2
Technical operations	2.1	1.8	1.7
Development	8.4	5.6	4.5
General and administrative	21.6	21.6	15.1
Depreciation	4.6	3.3	2.9
Amortization of intangible assets	0.2	0.1	-
Impairment of long-lived assets	0.4	0.2	0.4
Total cost and expenses	102.3	101.5	117.5
Operating loss	(2.3)	(1.5)	(17.5)
Interest expense (income) and other, net	0.2	0.9	(0.3)
Loss before provision for income taxes	(2.5)	(2.4)	(17.1)
Income tax (benefit) provision	0.5	(0.6)	0.7
Net loss	(3.0)%	(1.8)%	(17.8)%

The following table describes certain selected information and Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾ for the years ended December 31,

(in thousands)	2015	2014	2013
Net loss	\$(1,437)	\$(1,127)	\$(12,380)
Interest expense	68	48	71
Income tax (benefit) provision	243	(375)	495
Depreciation	2,211	2,053	1,987
Impairment of long-lived assets	197	128	265
Amortization of intangible assets	108	40	20
Non-cash currency translation adjustments	15	518	(297)
Stock-based compensation	782	946	767
Non-recurring proxy, executive severance, and acquisition costs	644	3,308	-
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	\$2,831	\$5,539	\$(9,072)

(1) The Company reports Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“US GAAP”). This measure is one of the primary metrics by which we evaluate the performance of our businesses, budget, forecast and compensate management. We believe this measure provides management and investors with a consistent view, period to period, of the core earnings generated from on-going operations and excludes the impact of: (i) non-cash items such as stock-based compensation, asset impairments, non-cash currency translation adjustments related to an inter-company loan and (ii) one-time items that have not occurred in the past two years and are not expected to recur in the next two years. Adjusted EBITDA has inherent limitations in evaluating the performance of the Company, including, but not limited to the following:

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the cash capital expenditures during the measurement period,

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any changes in working capital requirements during the measurement period,

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the cash tax payments during the measurement period, and

Adjusted EBITDA may be calculated differently by other companies in our industry, thus limiting its value as a comparative measure.

Adjusted EBITDA should not be construed as a substitute for net income (loss) (as determined in accordance with GAAP) for the purpose of analyzing our operating performance or financial position, as Adjusted EBITDA is not defined by GAAP.

Key Metric - Average Paying Subscribers

We regularly review average paying subscribers as a key metric to evaluate the effectiveness of our operating strategies and monitor the financial performance of our business. Paying Subscribers are defined as individuals for whom we collect a monthly fee for access to communication and website features beyond those provided to our non-paying members. Average paying subscribers for each month are calculated as the sum of the paying subscribers at the beginning and end of the month, divided by two. Average paying subscribers for periods longer than one month are calculated as the sum of the average paying subscribers for each month, divided by the number of months in such period.

Selected statistical information regarding average paying subscribers for our operating segments is shown in the table below:

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Average Paying Subscribers			
Jewish Networks	65,721	77,290	84,149
Christian Networks	125,639	169,602	193,316
Other Networks	12,197	14,842	20,232
Total Average Paying Subscribers	203,557	261,734	297,697

34

Average paying subscribers for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 15.0% to 65,721 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 77,290 in 2014, reflecting decreased direct marketing investment within this segment. Average paying subscribers for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 8.2% to 77,290 for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 84,149 in 2013. The decrease can be primarily attributed to certain affiliate-driven email deliverability issues we experienced in the first quarter of 2014, an increase in failed renewal transactions as a result of credit and debit card turnover associated with a security breach at a major US-based retailer and increased competition in our international operations. During the first quarter of 2014, some of our email affiliates conducted their business in violation of our terms and conditions and in a manner considered unacceptable by certain email internet service providers. As a result, for a significant portion of the first quarter of 2014, certain internet service providers either prevented emails sent by us from reaching our members or placed our emails into our members' email spam boxes. The reduction of email communication from our Company to our members negatively affected our ability to convert non-paying members into paying subscribers. Although we resolved this issue in early March 2014, our first quarter average paying subscriber numbers were depressed, setting the stage for lower numbers throughout the year.

Average paying subscribers for the Christian Networks segment decreased 25.9% to 125,640 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 169,602 in 2014, reflecting reduced marketing investment within this segment. Average paying subscribers for the Christian Networks segment decreased 12.3% to 169,602 for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 193,316 in 2013, also reflecting reduced direct marketing investment within this segment.

Average paying subscribers for the Other Networks segment decreased 17.8% to 12,197 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 14,842 in 2014. Average paying subscribers for the Other Networks segment decreased 26.6% to 14,842 for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 20,232 in 2013. This decrease can be primarily attributed to a reduction in marketing spend in prior and current periods.

We expect the cost of customer acquisition for the Jewish Networks to remain below the acquisition cost for our other segments due to the brand recognition of JDate. Our Christian Networks and Other Networks segments operate in a much more competitive environment, and therefore we generally must spend more on marketing to attract new subscribers.

Key Metric – Period Ending Subscribers

We regularly review period ending subscribers as a key metric to evaluate the effectiveness of our operating strategies and the financial performance of our business. Period ending subscribers for each period represent the paying subscriber count as of the last day of the period.

Selected statistical information regarding period ending subscribers for our operating segments is shown in the table below:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Period Ending Subscribers			
Jewish Networks	65,004	71,251	82,438
Christian Networks	123,800	131,479	191,536
Other Networks	11,219	12,787	16,782
Total Period Ending Subscribers	200,023	215,517	290,756

Period ending subscribers for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 8.8% to 65,004 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 71,251 in 2014, reflecting decreased direct marketing investment within this segment. Period ending subscribers for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 13.6% to 71,251 for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 82,438 in 2013, primarily attributable to certain affiliate-driven email deliverability issues we experienced in the first quarter of 2014, an increase in failed renewal transactions as a result of credit and debit card turnover associated with a security breach at a major US-based retailer and increased competition in our international operations.

Period ending subscribers for the Christian Networks segment decreased 5.8% to 123,800 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 131,479 in 2014. Period ending subscribers for the Christian Networks segment decreased 31.4% to 131,479 for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 191,536 in 2013. The decrease in both periods can be primarily attributed to the reduction and reallocation of direct marketing investment within this segment during the periods presented.

Period ending subscribers for the Other Networks segment decreased 12.3% to 11,219 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 12,787 in 2014. Period ending subscribers for the Other Networks segment decreased 23.8% to 12,787 for the year ended

December 31, 2014 compared to 16,782 in 2013. The decrease in both periods can be primarily attributed to a reduction in marketing spend during the periods presented.

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Revenue

Substantially all of our revenue is derived from subscription fees. Approximately 4.2% and 5.8% of our revenue for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, were generated through advertising revenue and offline social and travel events. Revenue is presented net of credits and credit card chargebacks. Our subscriptions are offered in durations of varying length (typically, one, three or six months). Plans with durations longer than one month are available at discounted monthly rates. Following their initial terms, most subscriptions renew automatically until subscribers terminate them.

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 decreased 21.9% to \$48.1 million from \$61.6 million in 2014. The revenue decrease can be primarily attributed to a decrease in Jewish Networks and Christian Networks revenue.

Revenue for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 18.5% to \$18.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$23.2 million in 2014. The lower Jewish Networks revenue reflects a 15.0% decrease in average paying subscribers due to the reasons cited above. Revenue for our Christian Networks segment decreased 24.1% to \$27.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$35.9 million in 2014. The lower Christian Networks revenue reflects a 25.9% decrease in average paying subscribers, driven by a 37.4% decrease in direct marketing investment. Revenue for the Other Networks segment decreased 22.3% to \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$2.2 million in 2014. The decrease in Other Networks revenue is driven by a 17.8% decrease in average paying subscribers, reflecting the elimination of certain online marketing investments over the last three years.

Costs and Expenses

Costs and expenses consist primarily of cost of revenue, sales and marketing, customer service, technical operations, development and general and administrative expenses. Costs and expenses decreased 21.3% to \$49.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$62.6 million in 2014. The decrease is primarily attributable to a \$10.2 million decrease in cost of revenue, and a \$2.8 million decrease in general and administrative expenses.

Cost of revenue. Cost of revenue consists primarily of direct marketing costs, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) for personnel dedicated to maintaining our data centers, data center expenses and credit card fees. Cost of revenue decreased 29.9% to \$24.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$34.3 million in 2014. This decrease can be primarily attributed to lower Christian Networks direct marketing expenses. Direct marketing expenses for the Christian Networks segment decreased 37.4% to \$16.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$26.8 million in 2014. The lower direct marketing expense reflects lower online and offline advertising spend as we reduce and reallocate our marketing investments to more efficient channels, partners and programming.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries for our sales and marketing personnel. Sales and marketing expenses decreased 19.3% to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$5.1 million in 2014. The decrease can be primarily attributed to a reduction in salaries expense due to changes in headcount, public relations expense and consulting fees.

Customer service. Customer service expenses consist primarily of personnel costs associated with our customer service centers. The members of our customer service team primarily respond to billing questions, detect fraudulent activity and eliminate suspected fraudulent activity, as well as address site usage and dating questions from our members. Customer service expenses increased 0.9% to \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$3.0 million in 2014. The expense increase is primarily attributed to higher personnel costs, reflecting increased support of our core websites in the fourth quarter of 2015 following their redesigns.

Technical operations. Technical operations expenses consist primarily of the personnel and systems necessary to support our corporate technology requirements. Technical operations expenses decreased 9.4% to \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$1.1 million in 2014. The decrease is primarily due to lower salaries expense due to changes in headcount in 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

Development. Development expenses consist primarily of costs incurred in the development, enhancement and maintenance of our websites and services. Development expenses increased 17.2% to \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$3.4 million in 2014. The increase can be attributed to higher compensation expense, associated with the expansion of our mobile development team.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of corporate personnel-related costs, professional fees, occupancy and other overhead costs. General and administrative expenses decreased 22.0% to \$10.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$13.3 million in 2014. The decrease can be primarily attributed to fees and expenses related to our proxy contest and lower salaries expense, partially offset by an increase in legal expenses related to protecting the Company's intellectual property, and an increase in bad debt expense related to the non-payment status of an advertiser.

Depreciation. Depreciation expenses consist primarily of depreciation of capitalized website and software development costs, computer hardware and other fixed assets. Depreciation expense increased by 7.7% to \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$2.1 million in 2014. The increase is primarily attributable to higher capitalized website and software development costs in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Amortization of intangible assets. Amortization expenses consist primarily of amortization of intangible assets related to acquisitions. Amortization expense increased 170.0% to \$108,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$40,000 in 2014. The increase reflects amortization expense attributable to intangible assets acquired as part of the Smooch Labs acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Impairment of long-lived assets. Impairment of long-lived assets primarily represents the write-down of investments in businesses and computer software. Impairment of long-lived assets increased 53.9% to \$197,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$128,000 in 2014. The expenses reflect the fully unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain products that failed to perform to Company standards.

Interest expense (income) and other, net. Interest expense (income) and other, net consist primarily of interest income associated with short-term investments and cash deposits in interest bearing accounts, income or expense related to currency fluctuations and interest expense associated with borrowings from our revolving credit facility. Interest expense and other, net reflected \$96,000 of expense for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$564,000 of expense in 2014. Currency translation adjustments associated with our inter-company loan account for the difference between the two periods.

Income tax (benefit) provision. Income tax (benefit) provision for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$243,000 compared to \$(375,000) for the year ended December 31, 2014. The 2015 provision for income tax consists of \$107,000 of deferred tax related to an increase in the deferred tax liability associated with tax deductible amortization of goodwill and other indefinite lived intangibles, \$58,000 of foreign and state current taxes payable and \$77,000 related to interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits. We did not recognize a tax benefit for losses incurred for the year ended December 31, 2015, as we recorded valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets.

Income tax (benefit) provision for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$(375,000). We did not recognize a tax benefit for losses incurred in 2014, as we recorded a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. The 2014 income tax provision consists of \$103,000 of deferred tax related to an increase in the deferred tax liability associated with tax deductible amortization of goodwill and other indefinite lived intangibles, \$(562,000) of federal, state, and foreign current tax benefit and \$84,000 related to interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits. The \$(562,000) of current tax benefit includes a \$(609,000) reduction to the reserve for unrecognized tax benefits.

Net loss and net loss per share. Net loss was \$(1.4) million, or \$(0.06) per share, for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to a net loss of \$(1.1) million, or \$(0.05) per share in 2014. The increase in net loss and net loss per

share was primarily due to lower contribution generated in 2015.

Year Ended December 31, 2014 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013

Revenue

Substantially all of our revenue is derived from subscription fees. Approximately 5.8% and 4.5% of our revenue for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were generated through advertising revenue and offline social and travel events. Revenue is presented net of credits and credit card chargebacks. Our subscriptions are offered in durations of varying length (typically, one, three or six months). Plans with durations longer than one month are available at discounted monthly rates. Following their initial terms, most subscriptions renew automatically until subscribers terminate them.

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased 11.2% to \$61.6 million from \$69.4 million in 2013. The revenue decrease can be primarily attributed to a decrease in Jewish Networks and Christian Networks revenue.

Revenue for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 9.9% to \$23.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$25.8 million in 2013. The lower Jewish Networks revenue reflects an 8.2% decrease in average paying subscribers due to the reasons cited above. Revenue for our Christian Networks segment decreased 10.9% to \$35.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$40.2 million in 2013. The lower Christian Networks revenue reflects a 12.3% decrease in average paying subscribers, driven by a 44.2% decrease in direct marketing investment. Revenue for the Other Networks segment decreased 25.4% to \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$3.0 million in 2013. The decrease in Other Networks revenue is driven by a 26.6% decrease in average paying subscribers, reflecting the elimination of certain online marketing investments over the last three years.

Costs and Expenses

Costs and expenses consist primarily of cost of revenue, sales and marketing, customer service, technical operations, development and general and administrative expenses. Costs and expenses decreased 23.2% to \$62.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$81.5 million in 2013. The decrease is primarily attributable to a \$21.6 million decrease in cost of revenue, offset by a \$2.8 million increase in general and administrative expenses.

Cost of revenue. Cost of revenue consists primarily of direct marketing costs, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) for personnel dedicated to maintaining our data centers, data center expenses and credit card fees. Cost of revenue decreased 38.7% to \$34.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$56.0 million in 2013. This decrease can be primarily attributed to lower Christian Networks direct marketing expenses. Direct marketing expenses for the Christian Networks segment decreased 44.2% to \$26.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$48.0 million in 2013. The lower direct marketing expense reflects lower online and offline advertising spend as we reduce and reallocate our marketing investments to more efficient channels, partners and programming.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries for our sales and marketing personnel and consulting fees. Sales and marketing expenses decreased 8.5% to \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$5.6 million in 2013. The decrease can be primarily attributed to a reduction in compensation expense, public relations expense and consulting fees. As part of our third quarter initiative to focus on the JDate and ChristianMingle brands and improve efficiencies, we reduced a portion of our sales and marketing workforce, eliminated the use of a third party public relations firm and certain marketing consulting firms.

Customer service. Customer service expenses consist primarily of personnel costs associated with our customer service centers. The members of our customer service team primarily respond to billing questions, detect fraudulent activity and eliminate suspected fraudulent activity, as well as address site usage and dating questions from our members. Customer service expenses increased 4.7% to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$2.9 million in 2013. The expense increase is primarily attributed to higher compensation costs, reflecting increased support for our Jewish and Christian Networks segments.

Technical operations. Technical operations expenses consist primarily of the personnel and systems necessary to support our corporate technology requirements. Technical operations expenses decreased 3.2% to \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$1.2 million in 2013.

Development. Development expenses consist primarily of costs incurred in the development, enhancement and maintenance of our websites and services. Development expenses increased 10.1% to \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$3.1 million in 2013. The increase can be attributed to higher compensation expense, reflecting the addition of personnel to support new products and our mobile initiatives.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of corporate personnel-related costs, professional fees, occupancy and other overhead costs. General and administrative expenses increased 26.7% to \$13.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$10.5 million in 2013. The increase can be primarily attributed to non-recurring severance costs of \$1.3 million and \$2.0 million of proxy contest fees and expenses.

Depreciation. Depreciation expenses consist primarily of depreciation of capitalized website and software development costs, computer hardware and other fixed assets. Depreciation expense increased by 3.3% to \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$2.0 million in 2013. The increase is primarily attributable to higher capitalized website and software development costs.

Amortization of intangible assets. Amortization expenses consist primarily of amortization of intangible assets related to acquisitions. Amortization expense increased 100.0% to \$40,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$20,000 in 2013. The increase reflects a full year of amortization expense associated with certain digital media assets purchased by the Company in 2013.

Impairment of long-lived assets. Impairment of long-lived assets primarily represents the write-down of investments in businesses and computer software. Impairment of long-lived assets decreased 51.7% to \$128,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$265,000 in 2013. The expenses reflect the write-off of certain underperforming or retired software assets.

Interest expense (income) and other, net. Interest expense (income) and other, net consist primarily of interest income associated with short-term investments and cash deposits in interest bearing accounts, income or expense related to currency fluctuations and interest expense associated with borrowings from our revolving credit facility. Interest expense (income) and other, net reflected \$564,000 of expense for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$229,000 of income in 2013. Currency translation adjustments associated with our inter-company loan account for the difference between the two periods.

Income tax (benefit) provision. Income tax (benefit) provision for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$(375,000) compared to \$495,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013. We did not recognize a tax benefit for losses incurred in 2014, as we recorded a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. The 2014 income tax provision consists of \$103,000 of deferred tax related to an increase in the deferred tax liability associated with tax deductible amortization of goodwill and other indefinite lived intangibles, \$(562,000) of federal, state, and foreign current tax benefit and \$84,000 related to interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits. The \$(562,000) of current tax benefit includes a \$(609,000) reduction to the reserve for unrecognized tax benefits. The 2013 income tax provision consists of \$344,000 of deferred tax related to an increase in the deferred tax liability associated with tax deductible amortization of goodwill and other indefinite lived intangibles, \$62,000 of foreign and state current tax and \$89,000 related to interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits.

Net loss and net loss per share. Net loss was \$(1.1) million, or \$(0.05) per share, for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to a net loss of \$(12.4) million, or \$(0.54) per share in 2013. The reduction in net loss and net loss per share was primarily due to higher contribution generated in 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2015, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$6.6 million. We have historically financed our operations with internally generated funds.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to net cash used in operations of \$1.3 million for the same period in 2014. The decrease in Christian Networks direct marketing accounted for the majority of the change in operating cash flow.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$9.6 million for 2015 compared to \$2.5 million for the same period in 2014. The \$6.0 million of cash consideration paid as part of the acquisition of Smooch Labs during the fourth quarter 2015 accounted for the majority of the increase.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$2.9 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$829,000 for 2014. Cash provided by financing activities in 2015 was primarily related to proceeds received from the exercise of stock options of \$3.8 million, offset by \$885,000 of cash used to repurchase shares under our stock repurchase program. Cash provided by financing activities in 2014 was primarily related to proceeds received from the exercise of stock options of \$2.3 million, offset by \$1.5 million of cash used to repurchase shares under our stock repurchase program.

During 2015, we had a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility with Bank of America, which was entered into on February 14, 2008 with subsequent amendments (the "Credit Agreement"). On December 23, 2015, we paid in full and terminated the Credit Agreement. As such, as of December 31, 2015, there was no outstanding amount under the Credit Agreement. In connection with the original Credit Agreement and the first nine amendments thereto, the Company paid deferred financing costs of approximately \$446,000 and \$168,000, respectively. Costs associated with both the original Credit Agreement and the first nine amendments thereto were included in prepaid expenses and other assets. The deferred financing costs were amortized to interest expense (income) and other, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss over the full term of the Credit Agreement. Amortization expense for the deferred financing costs for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$26,000 and \$12,000, respectively. At December 31, 2015 all deferred financing costs are fully amortized. The unamortized balance of deferred financing costs was \$13,000 as of December 31, 2014.

In January 2016, we secured a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with Western Alliance Bank, which matures on January 22, 2018.

We believe that our current cash and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital, capital expenditures and contractual obligations, for at least the next 12 months. We do not anticipate requiring additional

capital; however, if required or desirable, we may utilize our new revolving credit facility, or raise additional debt or issue additional equity in the private or public markets.

The following table describes our contractual commitments and obligations as of December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	Less than 1 year	1 - 3 years	4 - 5 years	More than 5 years	Total
Operating leases	\$841	\$1,348	-	-	\$2,189
Other commitments and contingencies	613	266	-	-	879
Total contractual obligations	\$1,454	\$1,614	-	-	\$3,068

We had non-cancelable contractual obligations consisting of operating leases, and other non-cancelable commitments and obligations consisting of legal settlements, contracts with software licensing, communications and marketing service providers. Other commitments and obligations totaled \$613,000 for less than one year and \$266,000 for between one and three years. Contracts with other service providers are for terms less than one year. For contingencies related to our tax positions, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years beyond 12 months. As a result, this amount is not included in the table above.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually, narrow or limited purposes. We do not have any outstanding derivative financial instruments, off-balance sheet guarantees, interest rate swap transactions or foreign currency forward contracts.

Recent Accounting Developments

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 provides for a single, principles-based model for revenue recognition that replaces the existing revenue recognition guidance. In July 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date by one year for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 (including interim reporting periods within those periods). Early adoption as of the original effective date of December 15, 2016 (including interim reporting periods within those periods) is permitted. The amendments may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of initial application. Management is currently evaluating when to adopt the new standard, the impacts of adoption, and the implementation approach to be used.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved After the Requisite Service Period. The guidance requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. The performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost

should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. If the performance target becomes probable of being achieved before the end of the requisite service period, the remaining unrecognized compensation cost should be recognized prospectively over the remaining requisite service period. The total amount of compensation cost recognized during and after the requisite service period should reflect the number of awards that are expected to vest and should be adjusted to reflect those awards that ultimately vest. The requisite service period ends when the employee can cease rendering service and still be eligible to vest in the award if the performance target is achieved. The updated guidance is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements—Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40) – Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. The guidance requires entities to evaluate their ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. Disclosure is required if there is substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern. The guidance in ASU No. 2014-15 is effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter, with early application permitted. Management will evaluate the circumstances at the time of adoption for any additional disclosures.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, which simplifies the presentation of deferred income taxes by requiring that deferred tax assets and liabilities be classified as noncurrent on the consolidated balance sheet. The guidance in ASU No. 2015-17 is effective for the annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter, with early adoption permitted. The Company has elected to early adopt ASU No. 2015-17 for the year ended December 31, 2015 and has applied the new guidance prospectively. Accordingly, the prior balance sheets were not retrospectively adjusted.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which is intended to improve financial reporting for lease transactions by increasing transparency and comparability among organizations. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-02 requires a lessee to recognize the following at the commencement date for all leases with lease terms of more than 12 months: (i) a lease liability, which is a lessee's obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted basis, and (ii) a right-of-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee's right to use, or control the use of, a specified asset for the lease term. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Various transactions (including sales, operating expenses and tax liabilities) that occur primarily in Israel are denominated in the New Israeli Shekel and are exposed to exchange rate fluctuations when converted to our reporting currency. As a result, our earnings are at risk as it relates to exchange rate fluctuations. We are also subject to certain translation and economic exposures related to the net investment in our Israeli subsidiary.

A relatively small amount of our monetary assets and liabilities are denominated in foreign currencies, principally the New Israeli Shekel. Fluctuations in these currencies relative to the United States Dollar will result in transaction gains or losses included in net earnings.

As of December 31, 2015, we held cash funds of approximately \$190,000 USD denominated in the New Israeli Shekel. We did not hold any amounts of other foreign currencies. If rates of the New Israeli Shekel were to strengthen or weaken relative to the United States Dollar, we would realize gains or losses in converting these funds back into United States Dollars.

The economic impact of foreign currency exchange rate movements on the Company is often linked to variability in real growth, inflation, interest rates, governmental actions and other factors. These changes, if material, could cause the Company to adjust its financing and operating strategies. Foreign currency exchange gains and losses are not material to the Company's earnings in 2015, 2014 and 2013. As foreign currency exchange rates change, translation of the statements of operations of the Company's international businesses into U.S. dollars affects year-over-year comparability of operating results. Historically, the Company has not hedged foreign currency exchange risks because cash flows from international operations are generally reinvested locally. However, the Company periodically reviews its strategy for hedging foreign currency exchange risks. The Company's objective in managing its foreign currency exchange risk is to minimize its potential exposure to the changes that foreign currency exchange rates might have on its earnings, cash flows and financial position.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required by this Item 8 is incorporated by reference to the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements beginning at page F-1 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There were no disagreements with accountants on accounting or financial disclosures during the last two fiscal years. On September 22, 2014, the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors dismissed Ernst & Young, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and engaged Grant Thornton LLP to serve as the

Company's new independent registered public accounting firm. For more information with respect to this matter, see our Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 22, 2014.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the "Exchange Act." Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2015, the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation under the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013), our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015.

Our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 has been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included in Item 8 of this report and is incorporated by reference herein.

(c) Changes in internal controls over financial reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2015 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Spark Networks, Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Spark Networks, Inc. (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and our report dated March 10, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Irvine, California

March 10, 2016

44

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The information required by this Item 10 will be included in the Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the fiscal year covered by this annual report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required by this Item 11 will be included in the Proxy Statement, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The information required by this Item 12, including Equity Compensation Plan Information, will be included in the Proxy Statement, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The information required by this Item 13 will be included in the Proxy Statement, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

The information required by this Item 14 will be included in the Proxy Statement, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) All financial statements and schedules have been omitted because they are either not applicable, not required or the information required has been disclosed in the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1, or otherwise included in this Form 10-K.

(a)(3) Exhibits

46

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated October 14, 2015 by and among Spark Networks, Inc., LOV USA LLC, Merger Sub 1 Inc., and Darren Bassman, Bryan Welfel and Chad Wood, as Equityholders' Representative (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 15, 2015).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Spark Networks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 7, 2010).
3.2	Certificate of Designation of Series C Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1(a) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007).
3.3	Third Amended and Restated Bylaws effective February 24, 2014 of Spark Networks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2014).
4.1	Rights Plan Dated July 9, 2007 Between Spark Networks, Inc. and The Bank of New York (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007).
10.1(a)***	2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007).
10.1(b)***	Amendment No. 1 to the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2009).
10.2***	Form of Stock Option Agreement for 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(a) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007).
10.3***	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(b) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007).
10.4***	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(c) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007).
10.5	Form of Indemnification Agreement with Officers and Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007).
10.5(a)	Schedule of Officers and Directors who entered into Indemnification Agreements.

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

- 10.6*** Executive Employment Agreement dated December 28, 2015 between Spark Networks, Inc. and Michael S. Egan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 29, 2015).
- 10.7*** Employment Agreement dated December 28, 2015 between Spark Networks, Inc. and Robert W. O'Hare (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 29, 2015).
- 10.8(a)*** Executive Employment Agreement dated May 16, 2007 between Spark Networks plc and Gregory J. Franchina (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 21, 2007).
- 10.8(b)*** Amendment No. 1, dated December 30, 2008, to Executive Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Gregory J. Franchina (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 31, 2008)
- 10.9(a)*** Executive Employment Agreement executed November 27, 2007 between Spark Networks, Inc. and Brett Zane (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 27, 2007).
- 10.9(b)*** Amendment No. 1, dated December 29, 2008, to Executive Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Brett Zane (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 31, 2008).

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

- 10.10(a) Credit Agreement dated February 14, 2008 among Spark Networks Limited, Spark Networks, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.01 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2008).
- 10.10(b) First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of September 29, 2009 among Spark Networks Limited, Spark Networks, Inc., Bank of America, N.A., the other lenders thereto and Banc of America Securities LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 29, 2009).
- 10.10(c) Second Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of February 7, 2011 among Spark Networks Limited, Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, Bank of America, N.A., the other lenders thereto and Banc of America Securities LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 8, 2011).
- 10.10(d) Third Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of May 11, 2011 among Spark Networks USA, LLC, Spark Networks, Inc., and Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 12, 2011).
- 10.10(e) Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of May 7, 2012 among Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, the Subsidiary Guarantors, Bank of America, N.A. (as Administrative Agent), and the other lenders thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 11, 2012).
- 10.10(f) Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of April 25, 2013 among Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, the Subsidiary Guarantors, Bank of America, N.A. (as Administrative Agent), and the other lenders thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2013).
- 10.10(g) Sixth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of February 13, 2014 among Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, the Subsidiary Guarantors, Bank of America, N.A. (as Administrative Agent), and the other lenders thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11(g) of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 14, 2014).
- 10.10(h) Seventh Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of March 11, 2014 among Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, the Subsidiary Guarantors, Bank of America, N.A. (as Administrative Agent), and the other lenders thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11(h) of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 14, 2014).
- 10.10(i) Eighth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of January 27, 2015 among Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, the Subsidiary Guarantors, Bank of America, N.A. (as Administrative Agent), and the other lenders thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 3, 2015).
- 10.11 Lease between the Irvine Company LLC and Spark Networks USA, LLC dated as of February 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 8, 2013).

10.12

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Underwriting Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2013, by and among Spark Networks, Inc., William Blair & Company, L.L.C. and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representatives of the several Underwriters named in Schedule 1 thereto, and the selling stockholders named in Schedule II thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2013).

- 10.13 Underwriting Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2013, by and among Spark Networks, Inc., William Blair & Company, L.L.C. and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representatives of the several Underwriters named in Schedule I thereto, and the selling stockholders named in Schedule II thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 20, 2013).
- 10.14(a)*** Executive Employment Agreement, dated February 11, 2014, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Gregory R. Liberman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 18, 2014).
- 10.14(b)*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated August 8, 2014, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Gregory R. Liberman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 12, 2014).

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

- 10.15*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated August 8, 2014, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Joshua A. Kreinberg (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 12, 2014).
- 10.16*** Executive Employment Agreement, dated August 12, 2014, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Michael J. McConnell (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 15, 2014).
- 10.19*** Employment Agreement between Spark Networks, Inc. and John R Volturo, dated December 28, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 29, 2015).
- 10.20*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated April 9, 2015, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Brett Zane (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 8, 2015).
- 10.21 Ninth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated September 8, 2015 among Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, the Subsidiary Guarantors, Bank of America, N.A. (as Administrative Agent), and the other lenders thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 11, 2015).
- 10.22 Nomination and Standstill Agreement dated as of November 1, 2015, by and between Spark Networks, Inc., Lloyd I. Miller, III, Lloyd I. Miller Trust A-4, AMIL of Ohio, LLC, Catherine C. Miller Irrevocable Trust, dtd 3/26/1991, MILFAM LLC, MILFAM I L.P., MILFAM II L.P., Susan Miller Trust for Children dtd 9/28/2006, Lloyd A. Crider Trust, dtd 7/16/1990 and Susan F. Miller (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 5, 2015).
- 10.23*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated November 13, 2015, between Sparks Networks, Inc. and Gregory Franchina (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 17, 2015).
- 10.24*** Employment Agreement between Spark Networks, Inc. and Shailen S. Mistry, dated December 4, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2015).
- 10.25 Loan and Security Agreement dated as of January 22, 2016 among Spark Networks, Inc., as borrower, certain of Spark Networks, Inc.'s direct and indirect subsidiaries named therein as co-borrowers, and Western Alliance Bank, as lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2016).
- 10.26 Form of Intellectual Property Security Agreement entered into pursuant to Loan and Security Agreement dated as of January 22, 2016 among Spark Networks, Inc., as borrower, certain of Spark Networks, Inc.'s direct and indirect subsidiaries named therein as co-borrowers, and Western Alliance Bank, as lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2016).

- 21.1 List of subsidiaries.
- 23.1 Consent of Grant Thornton LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 23.2 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Item 601(b)(31) of Regulation S-K, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Item 601(b)(31) of Regulation S-K, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

49

101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

- * This exhibit shall not be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.
- ** Attached as Exhibits 101 to this report are documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language). Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.
- *** Denotes a management or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

Note: Filings on Forms 10-K, 10-Q, and 8-K are under SEC File No. 001-32750.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Los Angeles, State of California, on March 10, 2016.

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

/s/ Michael S. Egan
 Michael S. Egan
 Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Position	Date
/s/ Michael S. Egan		March 10, 2016
Michael S. Egan	Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ Robert W. O'Hare		March 10, 2016
Robert W. O'Hare	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
/s/ Michael J. McConnell		March 10, 2016
Michael J. McConnell	Director	

/s/ John H.
Lewis
John H.
Lewis Director March
10,
2016

/s/ Ian V.
Jacobs
Ian V.
Jacobs Director March
10,
2016

/s/ Walter
L. Turek
Walter L.
Turek Director March
10,
2016

/s/ Jonathan
R. Mather
Jonathan R.
Mather Director March
10,
2016

/s/ Lee K.
Barba
Lee K.
Barba Director March
10,
2016

/s/ Michael
Brodsky
Michael
Brodsky Director March
10,
2016

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Spark Networks, Inc.	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Grant Thornton LLP</u>	F-2
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Ernst & Young LLP</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-8

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Spark Networks, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Spark Networks, Inc. (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2015. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Spark Networks, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2015 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 10, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Irvine, California

March 10, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Spark Networks, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of Spark Networks, Inc.'s operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Los Angeles, California

March 13, 2014

F-3

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per share data)

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,565	\$ 11,696
Restricted cash	747	1,056
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$99 and \$0 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively)	790	1,308
Deferred tax asset - current	-	11
Prepaid expenses and other	1,341	1,516
Total current assets	9,443	15,587
Property and equipment, net	5,584	4,072
Goodwill	14,450	8,575
Intangible assets, net	3,451	2,469
Deferred tax asset - non-current	-	68
Deposits and other assets	148	234
Total assets	\$ 33,076	\$ 31,005
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	1,749	1,300
Accrued liabilities	3,854	3,948
Deferred revenue	5,834	7,092
Deferred tax liability - current	-	496
Total current liabilities	11,437	12,836
Deferred tax liability - non-current	2,136	1,607
Other liabilities	537	807
Total liabilities	14,110	15,250
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)		
Stockholders' equity:		
10,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value, 450,000 of which are designated as Series C Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock, with no shares of Preferred Stock issued or outstanding	-	-
100,000,000 shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, with 25,845,879 and 24,556,182 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:	27	25
Additional paid-in-capital	77,188	72,522
Accumulated other comprehensive income	739	759
Accumulated deficit	(58,988)	(57,551)
Total stockholders' equity	18,966	15,755
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 33,076	\$ 31,005

See accompanying notes

F-4

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Revenue	\$48,135	\$61,645	\$69,409
Cost and expenses:			
Cost of revenue (exclusive of depreciation shown separately below)	24,075	34,321	55,958
Sales and marketing	4,137	5,127	5,601
Customer service	3,065	3,038	2,902
Technical operations	1,024	1,130	1,167
Development	4,037	3,446	3,129
General and administrative	10,379	13,300	10,494
Depreciation	2,211	2,053	1,987
Amortization of intangible assets	108	40	20
Impairment of long-lived assets	197	128	265
Total cost and expenses	49,233	62,583	81,523
Operating loss	(1,098)	(938)	(12,114)
Interest expense (income) and other, net	96	564	(229)
Loss before provision for income taxes	(1,194)	(1,502)	(11,885)
Income tax (benefit) provision	243	(375)	495
Net loss	(1,437)	(1,127)	(12,380)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(20)	(17)	64
Comprehensive loss	\$(1,457)	\$(1,144)	\$(12,316)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$(0.06)	\$(0.05)	\$(0.54)
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic and diluted	25,170	24,064	22,795

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Stock-based compensation			
Cost of revenue	\$-	\$3	\$-
Sales and marketing	47	110	145
Technical operations	-	-	4
Development	12	-	10
General and administrative	723	833	608

See accompanying notes

F-5

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands)

	Common Stock			Accumulated		Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Other Comprehensive Income	Deficit	
BALANCE, December 31, 2012	20,945	\$ 21	\$ 54,857	\$ 712	\$ (44,044)	\$ 11,546
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options	916	1	2,872	-	-	2,873
Issuance of common stock in a public offering	2,141	2	12,251	-	-	12,253
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax	-	-	-	64	-	64
Stock-based compensation	-	-	767	-	-	767
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(12,380)	(12,380)
BALANCE, December 31, 2013	24,002	24	70,747	776	(56,424)	15,123
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options	737	1	2,321	-	-	2,322
Issuance of restricted stock	88	-	-	-	-	-
Purchase of common stock for retirement	(271)	-	(1,492)	-	-	(1,492)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax	-	-	-	(17)	-	(17)
Stock-based compensation	-	-	946	-	-	946
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(1,127)	(1,127)
BALANCE, December 31, 2014	24,556	25	72,522	759	(57,551)	15,755
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options	1,236	1	3,770	-	-	3,771
Issuance of common stock upon acquisition of subsidiary	315	1	999	-	-	1,000
Issuance of restricted stock	27	-	-	-	-	-
Purchase of common stock for retirement	(288)	-	(885)	-	-	(885)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax	-	-	-	(20)	-	(20)
Stock-based compensation	-	-	782	-	-	782
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(1,437)	(1,437)
BALANCE, December 31, 2015	25,846	\$ 27	\$ 77,188	\$ 739	\$ (58,988)	\$ 18,966

See accompanying notes

F-6

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$(1,437)	\$(1,127)	\$(12,380)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash (used in) provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	2,319	2,093	2,007
Impairment of long-lived assets	197	128	265
Stock-based compensation	782	946	767
Non-current taxes payable and other	-	-	12
Foreign exchange loss (gain) on intercompany loan	15	518	(297)
Provision for deferred income taxes	112	103	454
Provision for doubtful accounts	99	-	-
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	434	261	(59)
Restricted cash	309	240	(64)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	241	227	(258)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	57	(2,073)	782
Deferred revenue	(1,258)	(1,738)	702
Other liabilities	(270)	(897)	255
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	1,600	(1,319)	(7,814)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Sales of property and equipment	-	-	-
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,617)	(2,294)	(2,689)
Purchases of intangible assets	-	(244)	(358)
Acquisitions of businesses	(6,000)	-	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,617)	(2,538)	(3,047)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of stock in a public offering	-	-	12,253
Proceeds from issuance of stock from exercise of stock options	3,771	2,322	2,873
Purchase of common stock for retirement	(885)	(1,492)	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,886	830	15,126
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(5,131)	(3,027)	4,265
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	11,696	14,723	10,458
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$6,565	\$11,696	\$14,723
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for income taxes	\$95	\$36	\$61
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing activities:			
Purchases of property and equipment recorded in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$298	\$-	\$-
Acquisitions of businesses with common stock	\$1,000	\$-	\$-

See accompanying notes

F-7

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

Note 1. The Company and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company

Spark Networks, Inc. (the “Company” or “we”) creates communities that help individuals form life-long relationships with others that share their interests and values. The Company’s core properties, JDate and ChristianMingle, are communities geared towards singles of the Jewish and Christian faiths. Through the Company’s websites and mobile applications, The Company helps members search for and communicate with other like-minded individuals.

Membership to the Company’s online and web services, which includes the posting of a personal profile and photos, and access to its database of profiles, is free. The Company charges a fee (typically, one, three or six month subscription fees) to members, allowing them to initiate communication with other members and subscribers using the Company’s onsite communication tools, including anonymous email, instant messenger, chat rooms and message boards. For most of the Company’s services, two-way communications through the Company’s email platform can only take place between paying members.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the parent company and all of its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“US GAAP”) requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, cost of revenue, prepaid advertising, website and software development costs, goodwill, intangible and other long-lived assets, legal contingencies, income taxes and stock-based compensation. In addition, management uses assumptions when utilizing the Black-Scholes option valuation model to calculate the fair value of granted stock-based awards. Management bases its estimates of the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, when these carrying values are not readily available from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Foreign Currency

The financial statements of the Company’s foreign subsidiaries are prepared using the local currency as the subsidiary’s functional currency. The Company translates the assets and liabilities into U.S. dollars using period-end rates of exchange, and revenue and expenses using average rates of exchange for the year. The resulting translation gain or loss is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss and is excluded from net loss.

The intercompany loan between the Company and its Israel subsidiary is classified as a loan as management expects settlement upon maturity in 2025. The loan is eliminated upon consolidation. The foreign exchange gains and losses related to this loan are recorded as part of net loss and excluded from accumulated other comprehensive loss. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, the Company recorded a foreign exchange loss of \$15,000, \$518,000, and gain of \$297,000, respectively, related to the intercompany loan.

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

Substantially all of the Company's revenue is derived from subscription fees. Revenue is presented net of credits and credit card chargebacks. The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with US GAAP. Revenue recognition occurs ratably over the subscription period, beginning when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred (access has been granted), the fees are fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured.

Subscribers pay in advance, primarily by using a credit card, and, subject to certain conditions identified in our terms and conditions, all purchases are final and nonrefundable. Fees collected in advance for subscriptions are deferred and recognized as revenue using the straight line method over the term of the subscription.

F-8

The Company also earns a small amount of revenue from advertising sales and offline events. The Company records advertising revenue as it is earned and is included in the total revenue of each segment that generates advertising sales. Revenue and the related expenses associated with offline events are recognized at the conclusion of each event.

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, the Company applies a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

Level 1—Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2—Other inputs that are directly or indirectly observable in the marketplace for similar assets or liabilities.

Level 3—Unobservable inputs which are supported by little or no market activity.

The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

The Company has not elected the fair value option for any non-financial assets or liabilities that require remeasurement on a recurring basis.

Business Combinations

We acquire the stock or specific assets of companies that may be considered to be business acquisitions. Under the acquisition method of accounting, we allocate the fair value of purchase consideration to the tangible assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of purchase consideration over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. Such valuations require management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to estimating the fair value and expected useful life assigned to each class of assets and liabilities acquired. Different classes of assets will have varying useful lives. For example, the useful life of a member database, which is typically three years, is not the same as the useful life of a paying subscriber list, which is typically three months, or a domain name, which is indefinite. Consequently, to the extent a longer-lived asset is ascribed greater value under the purchase method than a shorter-lived asset, there may be less amortization recorded in a given period or no amortization for indefinite lived intangibles.

Management's estimates of fair value are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable and, as a result, actual results may differ from estimates. During the measurement period, which is one year from the acquisition date, we may record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to earnings.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less are considered cash and cash equivalents. Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk, consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are maintained with financial institutions and are in excess of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limits.

As of December 31, 2015, we held cash funds in Israel of approximately \$190,000 USD denominated in the New Israeli Shekel. We did not hold any amounts of other foreign currencies.

Restricted Cash

The Company's credit card processors regularly withhold deposits and maintain balances which the Company records as restricted cash. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million in restricted cash, respectively.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable is primarily composed of credit card payments for subscription fees, less amounts withheld and presented as restricted cash, pending collection from the credit card processors and to a much smaller extent, receivables for advertising sales. The Company reviews its accounts receivable from advertisers on a monthly basis to determine if an allowance is necessary. Allowance for doubtful accounts was \$99,000 as of December 31, 2015. An allowance was not necessary as of December 31, 2014.

F-9

Prepaid Advertising Expenses

In certain circumstances, the Company pays in advance for advertising and expenses the prepaid amounts over the contract periods as the vendors deliver on their commitments. The Company evaluates the realization of prepaid amounts at each reporting period, and expenses prepaid amounts upon delivery of services or if it determines that a vendor will be unable to deliver on its commitment and is not willing or able to repay the undelivered prepaid amount.

Website and Software Development Costs

The Company capitalizes costs related to developing or obtaining internal-use software. Capitalization of costs begins after the preliminary project stage has been completed. Costs incurred in the preliminary project and post-implementation stages of an internal use software project are expensed as incurred and certain costs incurred in the application development stage of a project are capitalized into property and equipment.

The Company expenses costs related to the planning and post implementation phases of website development efforts. Direct costs incurred in the development phase are capitalized. Costs associated with minor enhancements and maintenance for a website are included in development expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

Capitalized website and internal software development costs are recorded under property and equipment and amortized over the estimated useful life of the products, which is usually three years. The following table summarizes capitalized software development costs for the years ended December 31, (in thousands):

	2015	2014	2013
Beginning Balance	\$3,131	\$2,651	2,431
Capitalized	\$3,704	\$1,997	\$1,849
Amortization	\$(1,646)	\$(1,389)	\$(1,364)
Impaired	\$(197)	\$(128)	\$(265)
Unamortized Balance	\$4,992	\$3,131	\$2,651

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, which is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset. Amortization of leasehold improvements is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset or remaining term of the lease, whichever is shorter. Useful lives for computer equipment, computer software, and furniture, fixtures and equipment is 3 years, and leasehold improvements have a useful life of 3-10 years. Upon the sale or retirement of property or equipment, the cost and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the Company's Balance Sheet with the resulting gain or loss, if any, reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

Goodwill and Indefinite Lived Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired resulting from business acquisitions, specifically allocated to reporting units. Intangible assets resulting from the acquisitions of entities are recorded using the acquisition method of accounting and estimated by management based on the fair value

of assets received. Identifiable intangible assets are comprised mainly of domain names and acquired technologies. Domain names were determined to have indefinite useful lives, thus, they are not amortized. Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives of 3 years. In addition to the recoverability assessment, management routinely reviews the remaining estimated useful lives of its amortizable intangible assets. If the Company reduces its estimate of the useful life assumption for any asset, the remaining unamortized balance would be amortized over the revised estimated useful life. Management determines its reporting units and operating segments through the use of the management approach. The management approach considers the internal organizational structure used by the Company's chief operating decision maker for making operating decisions and assessing performance.

Management reviews the potential of goodwill impairment at least annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable. Management has elected to first assess the qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of its reporting units is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment. If management determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of its reporting units is less than their respective carrying amount, then the two-step goodwill impairment test is performed. The first step, identifying a potential impairment, compares the estimated fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount exceeds its estimated fair value, the second step would need to be performed; otherwise, no further step is required. The second step, measuring the impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of the goodwill with the carrying

F-10

amount of the goodwill. Any excess of the goodwill carrying amount over the implied fair value is recognized as an impairment loss, and the carrying value of goodwill is written down to the estimated fair value. Fair value is determined based on the present value of estimated future cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risk involved. The valuation of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets incorporates significant Level 3 unobservable inputs and requires estimates, including the amount and timing of future cash flows. As of December 31, 2015, no impairment of goodwill or intangible assets had been identified. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had unamortized goodwill of approximately \$14.5 million and \$8.6 million, respectively.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Management assesses the impairment of assets, which include property and equipment and identifiable intangible assets, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that such assets might be impaired and the carrying value may not be recoverable. Events and circumstances that may indicate that an asset is impaired may include significant decreases in the market value of an asset or the Company's common stock, a significant decline in actual and projected revenue, a change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, shifts in technology, loss of key management or personnel, changes in the Company's operating model or strategy and competitive forces, as well as other factors.

If events and circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable and the expected undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset are less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value is recorded. Fair value is determined based on the present value of estimated expected future cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risk involved, and quoted market prices or appraised values, depending on the nature of the assets. Fair value measurements utilized for assets under non-recurring measurements were measured with Level 3 unobservable inputs.

In 2015, the Company impaired approximately \$197,000 of long-lived assets primarily related to the full unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain products that failed to perform to Company standards. In 2014, the Company impaired capitalized software development costs of approximately \$128,000 primarily related to the full unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain mobile projects and web-based products no longer in use. In 2013, the Company impaired capitalized software development costs of approximately \$265,000 primarily related to the full unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs related to certain web-based products that failed to perform to Company standards.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Accordingly, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred taxes to the amount expected to be realized.

In assessing the potential realization of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which the Company's tax loss

carry-forwards remain deductible.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties that would be assessed in relation to the settlement value of unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax (benefit) provision.

The Company operates in multiple taxing jurisdictions, both within the United States and outside the United States. The Company has filed tax returns with positions that may be challenged by Federal and State tax authorities. These positions relate to, among others, transfer pricing, the deductibility of certain expenses, intercompany transactions as well as other matters. Although the outcome of tax audits is uncertain, management regularly assesses its tax position for such matters and, in management's opinion, adequate provisions for income taxes have been made for potential liabilities resulting from such matters. To the extent reserves are recorded, they will be utilized or reversed once the statute of limitations has expired and/or at the conclusion of the tax examination. Management believes that the ultimate outcome of these matters will not have a material impact on its financial position or liquidity. The Company recognizes the tax effects from an uncertain tax position in our consolidated financial statements, only if the position is more-likely-than-not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. Tax positions that meet the recognition threshold are reported at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be realized.

F-11

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue consists primarily of direct marketing costs, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) for personnel dedicated to maintaining our data centers, data center expenses and credit card fees. Direct marketing costs are expensed in the period incurred and primarily represent online marketing, including payments to search engines and affiliates, and offline marketing, including radio, billboard, television and print advertising. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company incurred direct marketing costs amounting to approximately \$19.7 million, \$30.5 million and \$52.1 million, respectively.

Sales and Marketing

The Company's sales and marketing expenses relate primarily to salaries for sales and marketing personnel.

Customer Service

The Company's customer service expenses consist primarily of personnel costs associated with its customer service centers. The members of the Company's customer service team primarily respond to billing questions, detect fraudulent activity and eliminate suspected fraudulent activity, as well as address site usage and dating questions from our members.

Technical Operations

The Company's technical operations expenses consist primarily of the personnel and systems necessary to support its corporate technology requirements.

Development

The Company's development expenses relate primarily to salaries and wages for personnel involved in the development, enhancement and maintenance of its websites and services.

General and Administrative

The Company's general and administrative expenses relate primarily to corporate personnel-related costs, professional fees, occupancy and other overhead costs.

Stock-based Compensation

We calculate the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The determination of the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment in developing assumptions, which involve a number of variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, the expected dividend yield and the expected stock option exercise behavior. Additionally, judgment is also required in estimating the number of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. We used historical and empirical data to assess different forfeiture rates for three different groups of employees. We must reassess forfeiture rates when deemed necessary and we must calibrate actual forfeiture behavior to what has already been recorded. The Company recognizes stock-based compensation on a graded or straight-line basis depending on the terms of the award.

Our computation of expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and market-based implied volatility. The volatility rate was derived by examining historical stock price behavior and assessing management's expectations

of stock price behavior during the term of the option. The term of the options was derived based on the “simplified method” calculation. The simplified method allows companies that do not have sufficient historical experience to provide a reasonable basis for an estimate to instead estimate the expected term of a "plain vanilla" option by averaging the time to vesting and the full term of the option. ("Plain vanilla" options are options with the following characteristics: (1) the options are granted at-the-money; (2) exercisability is conditional only upon performing service through the vesting date; (3) if an employee terminates service prior to vesting, the employee would forfeit the options; (4) if an employee terminates service after vesting, the employee would have a limited time to exercise the options (typically 30 to 90 days); and (5) the options are nontransferable and non-hedgeable.) We periodically evaluate the applicability of using the simplified method with respect to the characteristics noted above with respect to our options and will continue to do so as our business continues to evolve. If any of the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. We believe the accounting for stock-based compensation is a critical accounting policy because it requires the use of complex judgment in its application.

The Company used historical and empirical data to assess different forfeiture rates for three different groups of employees. The Company must reassess forfeiture rates when deemed necessary and it must calibrate actual forfeiture behavior to what has already

been recorded. For 2015, 2014 and 2013, there were three groups of employees whose behavior was significantly different from each other. Therefore, the Company estimated different forfeiture rates for each group.

The volatility rate was derived by examining historical stock price behavior and assessing management's expectations of stock price behavior during the term of the option.

Due to the re-pricing of most options in 2009 and limited option exercise history, the Company is using the "simplified method" calculation, to determine the term of the options. The "simplified method" calculation derives the term by averaging the vesting term with the contractual terms. Option awards to date have generally vested and been expensed in equal annual installments over a four-year period. The "simplified method" allows companies that do not have sufficient historical experience to provide a reasonable basis for an estimate to instead estimate the expected term of a "plain vanilla" option by averaging the time to vesting and the full term of the option. ("Plain vanilla" options are options with the following characteristics: (1) the options are granted at-the-money; (2) exercisability is conditional only upon performing service through the vesting date; (3) if an employee terminates service prior to vesting, the employee would forfeit the options; (4) if an employee terminates service after vesting, the employee would have a limited time to exercise the options (typically 30 to 90 days); and (5) the options are nontransferable and non-hedgeable.) The Company periodically evaluates the applicability of using the simplified method with respect to the characteristics noted above with respect to the Company's options and will continue to do so as the business continues to evolve. The risk free interest rates are based on U.S Treasury zero-coupon bonds with similar terms for the periods in which the options were granted.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, and accounts payable are carried at cost, which approximates their fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted net loss per share is the same as basic net loss per share, as the inclusion of dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive.

(in thousands except per share amounts)	Years Ended December 31		
	2015	2014	2013
Net Loss Per Common Share — Basic and Diluted			
Net loss applicable to common stock	\$(1,437)	\$(1,127)	\$(12,380)
Weighted average shares outstanding – basic and diluted	25,170	24,064	22,795
Net Loss Per Share – Basic and Diluted	\$(0.06)	\$(0.05)	\$(0.54)

Options to purchase 1.8 million, 2.1 million and 3.0 million shares for fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net loss per share because the options were anti-dilutive.

Recent Accounting Developments

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 provides for a single, principles-based model for revenue recognition that replaces the existing revenue recognition guidance. In July 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date by one year for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 (including interim reporting periods within those periods). Early adoption as of the original effective date of December 15, 2016 (including interim reporting periods within those periods) is permitted. The amendments may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of initial application. Management is currently evaluating when to adopt the new standard, the impacts of adoption, and the implementation approach to be used.

F-13

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved After the Requisite Service Period. The guidance requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. The performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. If the performance target becomes probable of being achieved before the end of the requisite service period, the remaining unrecognized compensation cost should be recognized prospectively over the remaining requisite service period. The total amount of compensation cost recognized during and after the requisite service period should reflect the number of awards that are expected to vest and should be adjusted to reflect those awards that ultimately vest. The requisite service period ends when the employee can cease rendering service and still be eligible to vest in the award if the performance target is achieved. The updated guidance is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements—Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40) – Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. The guidance requires entities to evaluate their ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. Disclosure is required if there is substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern. The guidance in ASU No. 2014-15 is effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter, with early application permitted. Management will evaluate the circumstances at the time of adoption for any additional disclosures.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, which simplifies the presentation of deferred income taxes by requiring that deferred tax assets and liabilities be classified as noncurrent on the consolidated balance sheet. The guidance in ASU No. 2015-17 is effective for the annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter, with early adoption permitted. The Company has elected to early adopt ASU No. 2015-17 for the year ended December 31, 2015 and has applied the new guidance prospectively. Accordingly, the prior balance sheets were not retrospectively adjusted.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which is intended to improve financial reporting for lease transactions by increasing transparency and comparability among organizations. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-02 requires a lessee to recognize the following at the commencement date for all leases with lease terms of more than 12 months: (i) a lease liability, which is a lessee’s obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted basis, and (ii) a right-of-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee’s right to use, or control the use of, a specified asset for the lease term. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

Note 2. Income Taxes

The loss before provision for income taxes by jurisdiction is as follows (in thousands):

Years Ended December 31,		
2015	2014	2013

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

U.S	\$969	\$820	\$(11,597)
Foreign	(2,163)	(2,322)	(288)
	\$(1,194)	\$(1,502)	\$(11,885)

The income tax (benefit) provision is as follows (in thousands):

F-14

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Current			
Federal	\$1	\$(395)	\$2
State	92	(129)	100
Foreign	37	46	49
	130	(478)	151
Deferred			
Federal	1,353	846	(3,803)
State	(17)	131	(552)
Foreign	(561)	(615)	(25)
	775	362	(4,380)
Change in valuation allowance	(662)	(259)	4,724
	\$243	\$(375)	\$495

The following is a reconciliation of effective income tax rate by years:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Benefit on loss at federal statutory rate	(34.0)%	(34.0)%	(34.0)%
State tax (benefit) provision, net of federal (benefit) provision	6.9	0.7	(0.8)
Nondeductible stock compensation	71.6	11.8	(0.3)
Nondeductible transaction costs	11.5	-	-
Tax reserves	4.3	(0.6)	0.5
Change in state effective tax rate	(5.8)	(0.1)	0.8
Foreign tax rate differential	17.0	14.5	0.3
Valuation allowance	(55.5)	(17.3)	39.7
Other	4.3	-	(2.0)
Total provision for income taxes	20.3 %	(25.0)%	4.20 %

With respect to the income of its foreign subsidiary, the Company takes the position that the earnings of the foreign subsidiary are permanently invested in that jurisdiction. As of the December 31, 2015, there are no earnings in our foreign subsidiary to repatriate.

The components of the deferred income tax asset (liability) at December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

Deferred income tax assets	2015	2014
Net operating loss carry-forward	\$12,092	\$10,414

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Depreciation and amortization	-	1,109
Compensation accruals	515	1,165
R&D credits	894	893
Other	726	864
Total before valuation allowance	14,227	14,445
Less: Valuation allowance	(13,742)	(13,939)
Net deferred income tax assets	485	506
Deferred income tax liabilities		
Foreign intangible assets	(1,602)	(1,596)
U.S. indefinite lived intangible	(539)	(427)
Depreciation and amortization	(11)	-
Other	(469)	(507)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(2,621)	(2,530)
Net deferred income taxes	\$(2,136)	\$(2,024)

F-15

As of December 31, 2015, the Company has a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets of approximately \$13.7 million. Companies are required to assess whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against their deferred tax assets (“DTAs”) based on the consideration of all available evidence, using a “more likely than not” realization standard. In accordance with ASC 740, cumulative losses in recent years, which the Company defines as the most recent three year period, is considered significant negative evidence in evaluating the realizability of DTAs, that is difficult to overcome. In light of the Company’s recent history of losses, it is not able to conclude that it is more likely than not that its DTAs will be realized and it recorded a valuation allowance against its net DTAs, with a corresponding benefit to the income tax provision, of approximately \$662,000 as of December 31, 2015.

At December 31, 2015, the Company has gross net operating loss carry-forwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$37.8 million and \$50.1 million available to reduce future federal and state taxable income, respectively, which expire beginning in the years 2025 for federal purposes and 2018 for state purposes. Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, the utilization of the net operating loss carry-forwards may be limited based on changes in the ownership of the Company.

At December 31, 2015, the Company also has net operating loss carryovers for Israeli tax purposes of approximately \$7.9 million which do not expire.

At December 31, 2015, the Company has federal income tax credit carry-forwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$894,000 available to reduce future federal income tax which do not expire.

The Company recognizes excess tax benefits associated with the exercise of stock options directly to stockholders’ equity only when realized. Accordingly, deferred tax assets are not recognized for net operating losses resulting from excess tax benefits. As of December 31, 2015, deferred tax assets do not include approximately \$5.3 million of these excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises that are a component of the Company’s net operating loss carry forwards. Accordingly, additional paid-in-capital will be increased up to an additional \$5.3 million if and when such excess tax benefits are realized. However, to the extent additional paid-in capital has been recognized for qualifying excess tax deductions from previous share-based payments, the write-off of the deferred tax asset when the tax deduction is less than recognized compensation cost is charged to additional paid-in capital, with any remainder charged to provision for income taxes. During 2015, no amounts related to excess tax benefits were realized.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company’s unrecognized tax positions as of December 31 (in thousands):

	2015	2014
Balance at beginning of year	\$1,225	\$1,225
Additions for tax positions of prior years	-	-
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	-	-
Balance at end of year	\$1,225	\$1,225

Included in the unrecognized tax benefits of \$1.2 million at December 31, 2015 was \$1.0 million of tax, which if recognized, would reduce our annual effective tax rate, subject to the valuation allowance.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had recorded a \$370,000 and \$293,000 accrual for interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits, respectively. Interest expense (income) other, net of \$77,000, \$(13,000) and \$89,000 were recognized in the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. It is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits decreases by approximately \$594,000 over the next 12 months.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax in multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations for years before 2012; state and local income tax examinations before 2011; and foreign income tax examinations before 2011. However, to the extent allowed by law, the tax authorities may have the right to examine prior periods where net operating losses were generated and carried forward, and make adjustments up to the amount of the net operating loss carry forward amount.

F-16

Note 3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

(in thousands)	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Computer equipment	\$2,605	\$2,476
Computer software	11,566	8,733
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	522	518
Leasehold improvements	497	491
	15,190	12,218
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(9,606)	(8,146)
Total	\$5,584	\$4,072

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, was \$2.2 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

Note 4. Business Combinations

Smooch Labs, Inc.

On October 14, 2015, the Company completed the acquisition of all the outstanding shares of Smooch Labs, an unrelated third party and owner of the mobile application, JSwipe. The Company believes that this acquisition will help expand the Company's Jewish-focused online personals platforms with an additional mobile application. Pursuant to the merger agreement, we issued 315,108 shares of common stock valued at \$1.0 million based on the volume weighted average sale price of one share of the Company's common stock, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange and paid \$6.0 million in cash. Smooch Labs has become a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

The purchase agreement also included contingent earnout consideration up to an additional \$10.0 million to be paid with a combination of one-third cash and two-thirds stock based upon Smooch Lab's performance against certain agreed-upon operating objectives for the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2017. Management has completed an evaluation of the probability of the performance milestones being achieved within the related earnout periods, and determined that the performance milestones would not likely be achieved. As such, management has not recorded any contingent consideration as of the acquisition date or December 31, 2015. Management would classify a contingent consideration liability within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, as factors used to develop the estimated fair value are unobservable inputs that are not supported by market activity.

As part of the acquisition, the Company entered into employment agreements with four key employees. As part of these agreements, in the event that Smooch Labs achieves certain operating objectives for the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2017, and subject to the approval of the Company's Board of Directors, the Company may award up to \$200,000 in additional compensation in the form of restricted stock units under the terms of the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

The Company used its best estimates and assumptions to assign fair value to the tangible and intangible assets acquired at the acquisition date. Goodwill as of the acquisition date is measured as the excess of purchase consideration over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. The following table

summarizes the allocation of the total purchase consideration to the net assets assumed, including the related estimated useful lives, where applicable:

		Preliminary estimated useful life
Accounts receivable	\$ 15	
Finite-lived intangible assets:		
Developed technology	480	4 years
Monthly active users	370	4 years
Covenant not to compete	240	4 years
Goodwill	5,895	
Total purchase price	\$ 7,000	

Goodwill resulting from the allocation of purchase consideration is primarily attributable to expected synergies from future growth, potential monetization opportunities, an increase in development capabilities, increased offerings to customers, and enhanced

opportunities for growth and innovation. Goodwill recognized as a result of the acquisition is not deductible for tax purposes. All goodwill resulting from the allocation of purchase consideration is recorded within the Jewish Networks operating segment.

The Company recognized approximately \$404,000 of acquisition related costs during the year ended December 31, 2015, which are recorded within general and administrative expenses in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. The operations of Smooch Labs were fully integrated into the operations of the Company upon acquisition, and the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 include Smooch Labs' results of operations from the acquisition date through December 31, 2015. Operating loss attributable to Smooch Labs during this period and included in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$301,000, and includes \$68,000 of intangible asset amortization. Smooch Labs revenues were insignificant to the Company's consolidated statements of operations for this period.

As part of the acquisition, the Company recorded deferred tax liabilities of \$394,000 related to acquired intangible assets and \$862,000 of deferred tax assets, of which \$862,000 relate to net operating loss carry forwards. Given the uncertainty of generating taxable income at both the Company and Smooch Labs, a full valuation allowance was recognized against deferred tax assets.

Unaudited Pro Forma Information

The following unaudited pro forma information combines our historical results of operations with Smooch Labs' historical results of operations and have been prepared as if the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2014, the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period. The historical financial information of the Company and Smooch Labs has been adjusted in the pro forma information to give effect to pro forma events that are (1) directly attributable to the acquisition, (2) factually supportable, and (3) expected to have a continuing impact on the combined results. The unaudited pro forma results include amortization for the acquired intangible assets, and the elimination of acquisition related costs recorded by the Company and by Smooch Labs.

The unaudited pro forma information does not reflect any integration activities or cost savings from operating efficiencies, synergies, asset dispositions, or other restructurings that could result from the acquisition. Accordingly, these unaudited pro forma results are presented for informational purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations that would have occurred had the acquisition been completed on the dates indicated, nor is it indicative of the future operating results of the consolidated company (in thousands).

	Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenues	\$ 48,150	\$ 61,645
Net loss	\$ (2,181)	\$ (2,208)

Note 5. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 is related to the purchase of Pointmatch in January 2004, MingleMatch, Inc. in May 2005, LDSSingles in May 2006, and Smooch Labs in October 2015. The following table shows the activity and balances related to goodwill from January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	Gross Goodwill	Accumulated Impairments	Net Goodwill
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$ 23,039	\$ (13,734)	\$ 9,305
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(730)	-	(730)
Balance at December 31, 2014	22,309	(13,734)	8,575
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(20)	-	(20)
Additions from the acquisition of Smooch Labs, Inc.	5,895	-	5,895
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 28,184	\$ (13,734)	\$ 14,450

The following table shows the balances of goodwill by reporting segment (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Jewish Networks	\$12,525	\$6,650
Christian Networks	1,693	1,693
Other Networks	232	232
Total	\$14,450	\$8,575

In 2014, management performed its annual impairment analysis utilizing a quantitative assessment. The fair value of the reporting units was estimated based on the market approach and income approach. The income approach relies upon discounted future cash flows which are derived from various assumptions including: projected cash flows, discount rates, projected long-term growth rates and terminal values. Management used a discount rate which reflects the risks and uncertainty related to each reporting unit. The market approach uses the guideline public companies method, where value is estimated by comparing the Company to similar companies with publicly traded ownership interests. The analysis concluded that the estimated reporting units' fair values exceeded their carrying values. At the conclusion of the analysis, it was determined that impairment was not warranted.

In 2015, management performed its annual impairment analysis utilizing the qualitative assessment option. Qualitative factors were assessed to determine whether it was necessary to perform the two-step test (quantitative assessment). The analysis concluded that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair values of the Jewish Networks, Christian Networks and Other Networks exceeded their carrying values. At the conclusion of the analysis, it was determined that impairment was not warranted for either year.

Intangible Assets

Finite-lived intangible assets consist of purchased technologies, non-compete agreements, and monthly active users which are amortized over their expected periods of benefits (ranging from three to five years). Indefinite-lived intangible assets, consist of purchased domain names and are not amortized. Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2015			As of December 31, 2014		
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Purchased technologies	\$ 1,680	\$ (1,230)	\$ 450	\$ 1,200	\$ (1,200)	\$ -
Non-compete	360	(115)	245	120	(60)	60
Monthly active users	370	(23)	347	-	-	-
Definite lived intangible assets	2,410	(1,368)	1,042	1,320	(1,260)	60
Domain names	2,409	-	2,409	2,409	-	2,409
Total	\$ 4,819	\$ (1,368)	\$ 3,451	\$ 3,729	\$ (1,260)	\$ 2,469

The following table shows the balances of intangible assets by reporting segment (in thousands):

December 31,
2015 2014

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Jewish Networks	\$1,082	\$60
Christian Networks	1,616	1,616
Other Networks	718	718
Offline and Other Networks	35	75
Total	\$3,451	\$2,469

Amortization expense for finite-lived intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$108,000 and \$40,000, respectively. In 2015 and 2014, no impairment charge was necessary.

At December 31, 2015, estimated amortization expense of finite-lived intangible assets for the four succeeding years is as follows (in thousands):

F-19

Year ending December 31,	
2016	\$ 293
2017	273
2018	273
2019	203
	\$ 1,042

Note 6. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	December 31,	
(in thousands)	2015	2014
Advertising	\$359	\$727
Legal	590	270
Compensation	926	1,024
Taxes payable	960	1,013
Other	1,019	914
Total	\$3,854	\$3,948

Note 7. Revolving Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2014, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Spark Networks USA, LLC, had a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility with Bank of America, which was entered into on February 14, 2008 with subsequent amendments (the "Credit Agreement").

On December 23, 2015, the Company paid in full and terminated the Credit Agreement. In connection with the termination of the Credit Agreement, the Company paid \$10,000 in fees.

In connection with the original Credit Agreement and the first nine amendments thereto, the Company paid deferred financing costs of approximately \$446,000 and \$168,000, respectively. The deferred financing costs were amortized to interest expense (income) other, net and in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss over the full term of the Credit Agreement and were accelerated upon termination of the Credit Agreement. Amortization expense for the deferred financing costs for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$26,000 and \$12,000, respectively. At December 31, 2015 all deferred financing costs are fully amortized. The unamortized balance of deferred financing costs was 13,000 as of December 31, 2014.

Note 8. Stockholders' Equity

Common Stock Repurchase Plan

On December 12, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$5.0 million of the Company's common stock. The repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan, at prices that the Company deems appropriate and subject to market conditions, applicable law, including Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and other factors deemed relevant in the Company's sole discretion. The Company is not obligated to repurchase any dollar amount or any number of shares of common stock, and the program may be suspended, discontinued or modified at any time, for any reason and without notice. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company repurchased 288,284 and 271,117 shares of common stock for an aggregate price of \$885,000 and \$1.5 million, respectively.

Employee Stock Option Plan

On July 9, 2007, pursuant to the completion of the Scheme of Arrangement, the Company adopted the Spark Networks, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2007 Plan") authorizing and reserving 2.5 million shares. In connection with the Company's Scheme of Arrangement, the 2004 Share Option Plan was frozen; however, all outstanding shares previously granted thereunder continue in full force and effect.

F-20

Awards under the 2007 Plan may include incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights (“SARs”), restricted shares of common stock, restricted stock units, performance stock or unit awards, other stock-based awards and cash-based incentive awards.

The Compensation Committee may grant an award to a participant. The terms and conditions of the award, including the quantity, price, vesting periods and other conditions on exercise will be determined by the Compensation Committee.

The exercise price for stock options will be determined by the Compensation Committee in its discretion, but may not be less than 100% of the closing sale price of one share of the Company’s common stock on the NYSE MKT (or any other applicable exchange on which the stock is listed) on the date when the stock option is granted. Additionally, in the case of incentive stock options granted to a holder of more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company on the date of grant, the exercise price may not be less than 110% of the closing sale price of one share of common stock on the date the stock option is granted.

Information relating to outstanding stock options is as follows (in thousands, except Weighted Average Price per Share):

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	2,952	\$ 4.19
Granted	490	4.97
Exercised	(759)	3.16
Expired	(5)	3.91
Forfeited	(532)	6.04
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	2,146	\$ 4.30
Granted	1,958	8.25
Exercised	(1,308)	3.06
Expired	(332)	6.70
Forfeited	(543)	8.14
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	1,921	7.67

As of December 31, 2015, total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options was \$413,000. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3 years. The following table describes option activity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

(in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Granted, weighted average fair value per share	\$0.25	\$1.82	2.28
Exercised, weighted average intrinsic value per share	\$0.56	\$1.73	4.55
Aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable	\$16	\$726	6,093

The following is a chart showing variables which were used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model for the years of:

	2015	2014	2013
Expected life in years	4.45 - 4.75	4.56 - 4.75	4.56 - 4.75
Dividend per share	—	—	—
Volatility	40.0%	40.0 - 41.0%	35.0 - 43.0%
Risk-free interest rate	0.86 - 1.51%	1.58 - 1.83%	1.0%

F-21

Option Range Summary

As of December 31, 2015

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable			
	Number of shares	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of shares	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$7.40 - \$10.00	1,298	4.00	\$ 8.98	23	3.96	\$ 7.64
\$5.04 - \$5.64	510	4.77	\$ 5.28	68	5.51	\$ 5.33
\$2.18 - \$3.49	113	7.22	\$ 3.41	25	3.87	\$ 3.22
	1,921	4.39	\$ 7.67	116	4.84	\$ 5.33

Options granted prior to 2006 were priced in foreign currency. Weighted average price per share calculations are impacted by foreign currency exchange fluctuations.

Prior to 2005, the Company did not record tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of share options due to the uncertainty surrounding the timing of realizing the benefits of its deferred tax assets in future tax returns. Cash flows resulting from tax benefits associated with tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) is classified as cash flows from financing activities.

Trigger Price Options

Trigger price options awarded under the 2007 Plan entitle the shareholder the right to receive common stock or the value thereof in the future subject to restrictions imposed in connection with the award.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company entered into agreements with several executive officers and employees whereby the Company granted 1,908,000 trigger price options to the participants at an aggregate fair value of \$434,000. These options vested immediately but the Company's per share stock price must close at or above the applicable trigger prices detailed in the table below for twenty (not necessarily consecutive) business days after the grant date and prior to December 31, 2017 in order for the options to be exercisable.

The following table details the trigger price options granted during the year ended December 31, 2015:

	Options Granted	Trigger Price	Exercise price
Tranche 1	318,000	\$6.00	\$ 5.25
Tranche 2	636,000	\$10.00	\$ 7.50
Tranche 3	954,000	\$13.50	\$ 10.00

Compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period of two years. For the year ended December 31, 2015, compensation expense was \$170,000. We have determined the fair value of the options as of the grant dates using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The Monte Carlo simulation model utilizes multiple input variables to estimate the probability that market conditions will be achieved. The input variables include stock price volatility and risk-free interest rate to estimate the probability of satisfying the market conditions and the resulting fair value of the award.

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$223,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to trigger price options which will be fully recognized by June 30, 2017.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted shares awarded under the 2007 Plan entitle the shareholder the right to vote the restricted shares, the right to receive and retain cash dividends paid or distributed with respect to the restricted shares, and all other rights as a holder of outstanding shares of the Company's common stock.

During 2014, the Company awarded 125,000 performance-based restricted shares to an executive officer that vest over a period of two years. In order for these performance awards to vest, certain objectives and conditions must be met. Upon achieving the performance condition, the non-vested performance awards partially vest on the first anniversary as defined in the executive's employment agreement and the remainder on the second anniversary. The executive does not need to remain employed with the Company for the awards to vest. During 2015, the Company awarded an additional 25,000 performance-based restricted shares to an executive officer.

F-22

Compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period of two years and will be adjusted in subsequent reporting periods if the assessed probability or estimated level of achievement of the performance goals changes. For year ended December 31, 2015, compensation expense was \$203,000. Considerable judgment is required in assessing the probability and estimated level of achievement of the performance goals. Accordingly, use of different assumptions or estimates could result in different compensation expense.

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$40,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock awards which will be fully recognized by December 31, 2016.

The following table summarizes the Company's performance-based restricted stock activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 (in thousands, except Weighted Average Price per Share):

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price per Share
Prior year awards	95	\$ 5.17
Awards granted in 2015		
Granted, at maximum	25	3.80
Vested	(85)	4.68
Performance forfeited	-	-
Service forfeited	-	-
Total non-vested at December 31, 2015	35	\$ 5.41

Restricted Stock Units

Restricted stock units ("RSUs") awarded under the 2007 Plan entitle the shareholder the right to receive common stock or the value thereof in the future subject to restrictions imposed in connection with the award.

During year ended December 31, 2015, the Company entered into agreements with several executive officers and employees whereby the Company may grant up to 240,000 RSUs based upon the achievement of certain performance-based goals at the discretion of our Compensation Committee. In order for these RSUs to be granted, the Company's annual revenue and adjusted earnings before interest, depreciation, amortization, and income tax expense ("Adjusted EBITDA") must exceed a minimum amount; depending upon the Company's actual annual revenue and Adjusted EBITDA, additional restricted stock may be earned up to a maximum amount. Upon achievement of the performance condition, the non-vested performance awards will vest on December 31, 2016.

Compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period of two years and will be adjusted in subsequent reporting periods if the estimated level of achievement of the performance goals changes. For the year ended December 31, 2015, compensation expense for RSUs was \$261,000, which is based on management's estimated level of achievement of the performance goals. Achievement of this estimated level of performance would result in the grant of 76,000 restricted stock units. Considerable judgment is required in assessing the estimated level of achievement of the performance goals. Accordingly, use of different assumptions or estimates could result in different compensation expense.

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$654,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to RSUs which will be fully recognized by December 31, 2016.

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price per Share
Prior year awards	-	-
Awards granted in 2015		
Granted, at maximum	240	\$ 3.85
Vested	-	-
Performance forfeited	-	-
Service forfeited	-	-
Total non-vested at December 31, 2015	240	\$ 3.85

F-23

Stockholder Rights Plan

In July 2007, the Company adopted a stockholder rights plan. The rights accompany each share of common stock of the Company and are evidenced by ownership of common stock. The rights are not exercisable except upon the occurrence of certain takeover-related events. Once triggered, the rights would entitle the stockholders, other than a person qualifying as an “Acquiring Person” pursuant to the rights plan, to purchase additional common stock at a 50% discount to their fair market value. The rights issued under the rights plan may be redeemed by the board of directors at a nominal redemption price of \$0.001 per right, and the board of directors may amend the rights in any respect until the rights are triggered.

Note 9. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a defined contribution plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code covering all full-time employees, and providing for matching contributions by the Company, as defined in the plan. Participants in the plan may direct the investment of their personal accounts to a choice of mutual funds consisting of various portfolios of stocks, bonds or cash instruments. Contributions made by the Company to the plan for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were approximately \$317,000, \$394,000 and \$378,000, respectively.

Note 10. Segment Information

Segment reporting requires the use of the management approach in determining the reportable operating segments. The management approach considers the internal organization and reporting used by our chief operating decision maker for making operating decisions and assessing performance. The Company’s financial reporting includes detailed data on four separate reportable segments. The Company’s four operating segments are: (1) Jewish Networks, which consists of JDate, JDate.co.uk, JDate.fr, JDate.co.il, Cupid.co.il, and JSwipe; (2) Christian Networks, which now consists of ChristianMingle, CrossPaths, ChristianMingle.co.uk, ChristianMingle.com.au, Believe.com, ChristianCards.net, DailyBibleVerse.com and Faith.com; (3) Other Networks, which consists of Spark.com and related other general market websites as well as other properties which are primarily composed of sites targeted towards various religious, ethnic, geographic and special interest groups; and (4) Offline & Other Businesses, which consists of revenue generated from offline activities.

(in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Revenue			
Jewish Networks	\$18,938	\$23,245	\$25,789
Christian Networks	27,234	35,875	40,245
Other Networks	1,869	2,217	2,972
Offline and Other Businesses	94	308	403
Total Revenue	\$48,135	\$61,645	\$69,409
Direct Marketing Expenses			
Jewish Networks	\$2,611	\$3,120	\$3,340
Christian Networks	16,563	26,795	48,016
Other Networks	518	480	595
Offline and Other Businesses	-	76	123
Total Direct Marketing Expenses	19,692	30,471	52,074
Unallocated Operating Expenses	29,541	32,112	29,449

Operating Loss	\$(1,098)	\$(938)	\$(12,114)
----------------	------------	----------	------------

Due to the Company's integrated business structure, cost and expenses, other than direct marketing expenses, are not allocated to the individual reporting segments. As such, the Company does not measure operating profit or loss by segment for internal reporting purposes. Assets are not allocated to the different business segments for internal reporting purposes.

F-24

The Company operates several international websites; however, many of them are operated and managed by the Company's U.S. operations. Foreign revenue represents sales generated outside the U.S. where the Company has its principal operations. Revenue and identifiable long-lived assets (excluding deferred tax assets, goodwill and intangibles) by geographical area are as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Revenue			
United States	\$45,629	\$58,296	\$65,354
Israel	2,506	3,349	4,055
Total Revenue	\$48,135	\$61,645	\$69,409

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Long-Lived Assets		
United States	\$5,655	\$4,153
Israel	77	153
Total Long-Lived Assets	\$5,732	\$4,306

Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases

The Company leases its office and data center facilities under operating lease agreements, providing for annual minimum lease payments as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
2016	\$841
2017	786
2018	562
Total	\$2,189

On July 29, 2015, the Company entered into an office lease for its Israel location, which expires on September 30, 2018. The Company relocated to the new office space during the third quarter of 2015.

Rental expense under non-cancelable operating leases with scheduled rent increases or free rent is accounted for on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Leasehold improvement incentives are recorded as deferred credits and are

amortized on a straight-line basis as a reduction of rent expense over the lease term.

The Company recognized rent expense under operating leases of \$0.6 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2015 and 2013, respectively.

Other Commitments and Obligations

The Company has other non-cancelable commitments and obligations consisting of legal settlements, contracts with software licensing, communications and marketing service providers. These commitments are payable as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	
2016	\$613
2017	145
2018	121
Total	\$879

F-25

Contingent consideration related to the acquisition of Smooch Labs has been excluded from the above commitments, as management determined that the performance milestones would not likely be achieved and payment of the contingent earnout is remote as of December 31, 2015.

Legal Proceedings

ISYSTEMS v. Spark Networks, Inc. et al.

On July 11, 2008, ISystems initiated a lawsuit against Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks Limited (collectively, "Spark Networks") and other parties in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division. The lawsuit was filed in response to an arbitration award ordering the transfer of the domain name, JDATE.NET, to Spark Networks Limited from ISystems. Spark Networks was apprised of the lawsuit after ISystems unsuccessfully attempted to utilize the filing of the lawsuit to prevent the domain transfer to Spark Networks Limited. On September 4, 2012, Spark Networks filed its Answer to the Complaint. On January 22, 2014, the Court entered an Amended Scheduling Order continuing the commencement of the trial to July 21, 2014. The matter was tried before the Honorable David C. Godbey from July 21-22, 2014. On September 19, 2014, the Court issued a Final Judgment in favor of Spark Networks and that ISystems takes nothing by its claims which were dismissed with prejudice. On October 17, 2014, ISystems filed a Motion for a New Trial. By written order dated January 13, 2015, the Court denied ISystems' Motion for a New Trial. On February 12, 2015, ISystems filed a Notice of Appeal for the Court's Judgment in favor of Spark Networks. On July 22, 2015, United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit Clerk entered an order dismissing ISystems' appeal due to ISystems' failure to timely file its opening brief and record excerpts.

Kirby, et al. v. Spark Networks USA, LLC

On October 16, 2012, Kristina Kirby, Christopher Wagner and Jamie Carper (collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, filed a putative class action Complaint in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles alleging claims against Spark Networks USA, LLC for violations of California Business & Professions Code section 17529.5. Plaintiffs allege that certain e-mail communications advertising websites of Spark Networks USA, LLC and received by Plaintiffs violate a California statute prohibiting false and deceptive e-mail communications (namely, California Business & Professions Code section 17529.5). Plaintiffs generally allege that they seek damages in excess of \$25,000. The e-mail publishers responsible for distributing the e-mails at issue in this litigation have agreed to furnish a complete defense to Spark, through counsel at their own expense, pursuant to contractual indemnification provisions. The parties reached a settlement to resolve the action on a classwide basis and the settlement agreement includes a full release of Spark and the publishers. The parties filed the necessary approval paperwork with the court, which granted final to the settlement on February 24, 2015. The court entered final judgment on March 2, 2015 regarding all claims pursuant to its final approval order. The Company recorded an accrual at December 31, 2014 for the cost related to resolving this matter.

Adconion v. Spark Networks USA, LLC

On December 18, 2013, Adconion Direct, Inc. ("Adconion") filed a breach of contract lawsuit in the Superior Court of California of Los Angeles. Adconion alleges that it is a successor-in-interest to Frontline Direct Inc., with which Spark contracted for the placement of online advertisements. Adconion contends that it has performed all of its obligations pursuant to this contract, and that Spark failed to pay the January and February 2013 invoices, which total \$437,729. Spark filed an answer to the complaint on February 3, 2014 along with a cross-complaint against Adconion for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, express contractual indemnity, fraudulent concealment and negligent interference with prospective economic advantage. The parties agreed to a confidential settlement to resolve their dispute for an amount less than the past due invoices, and the case (including

all claims and cross-claims) was dismissed in its entirety on May 23, 2014.

California Unruh Act Litigation – Werner, et al. v. Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks USA, LLC and Wright, et al. v. Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, et al.

On July 19, 2013, Aaron Werner, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals, filed a putative Class Action Complaint (the “Werner Complaint”) in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles against Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks USA, LLC (collectively “Spark Networks”). The Werner Complaint alleges that Spark Networks’ website ChristianMingle.com violates California’s Unruh Civil Rights Act (the “Unruh Act”) by allegedly discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation. The Werner Complaint requests the following relief: an injunction, statutory, general, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, attorneys’ fees and costs, pre-judgment interest, and an award for any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate. On December 23, 2013, Richard Wright, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals, filed a putative Class Action Complaint (the “Wright Complaint”) in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Francisco against Spark Networks, Inc. The Wright Complaint alleges that Spark Networks’ commercial dating services including ChristianMingle.com, LDSSingles.com, CatholicMingle.com, BlackSingles.com, MilitarySinglesConnection.com and AdventistSinglesConnection.com violate the Unruh Act by allegedly intentionally and arbitrarily discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation. The Wright Complaint requests the following relief: a declaratory judgment, a preliminary and permanent injunction, statutory penalties, reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs, pre-judgment interest, and an award for any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate. The Company believes that the parties are now close to a final signed settlement that provides that the Company would make

individual settlement payments for statutory damages and service awards, as well as legal fees. The final settlement would need to be approved by the court. The parties are in process of preparing exhibits and the necessary motions to obtain court approval. The Company has recorded an accrual for the probable cost related to resolving this matter of \$468,000 as of December 31, 2015.

Jane LV Doe v. Spark Networks, Inc., Sean Patrick Banks, and DOES 1 through 100

On November 20, 2014, Plaintiff filed an unverified complaint against the Company and Sean Patrick Banks in the Los Angeles County Superior Court for the State of California alleging several causes of action pertaining to being stalked and harassed by defendant Sean Patrick Banks from December 2012 to February 2013. Plaintiff alleges that prior to when she actively joined the site, the Company had information that Defendant could have been a sexual predator and that the Company had a duty to investigate defendant Sean Patrick Banks and warn Plaintiff. As permitted under California procedure in response to an unverified complaint, Spark filed an answer in the form of a general denial on January 16, 2015. Pursuant to a confidential settlement agreement entered into between the parties, plaintiff filed a request for dismissal with prejudice on April 2, 2015.

Israeli Consumer Actions Ben-Jacob vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. and Gever vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. and Korland vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd.

Three class action law suits have been filed in Israel alleging violations of the Israel Consumer Protection Law of 1981. Spark was served with a Statement of Claim and a Motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Ben-Jacob action on January 14, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. (“Spark Networks”) refused to cancel her subscription and provide a refund for unused periods and claims that such a refusal is in violation of the Consumer Protection Law. Spark Networks was served with a Statement of Claim and a motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Gever action on January 21, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Networks renewed his one month subscription without receiving his positive agreement in advance and claims that such renewal is prohibited under the Consumer Protection Law. Spark Networks was served with a Statement of Claim and a Motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Korland action on February 12, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Networks refused to give her a full refund and charged her the price of a one month subscription to the JDate website in violation of the Consumer Protection Law. In each of these three cases, the plaintiff is seeking personal damages and damages on behalf of a defined group. On May 8, 2014, the Court granted Spark Networks’ motion to consolidate all three cases. All three cases are now consolidated and will be litigated jointly. Spark Networks’ combined response to their motions to certify the classes was filed November 1, 2014 and the plaintiffs responded to the combined response. The parties had a hearing before the judge on December 24, 2014. Following the hearing the judge ordered that the pleadings filed by the parties be transferred to the Israel Consumer Council (“ICC”) so that the ICC can provide its position as to the parties’ allegations within 90 days.

The ICC issued its opinion on April 1, 2015. Following the filing of the ICC opinion, the parties filed briefs addressing the ICC opinion. On January 7, 2016, the parties advised the Court that they have agreed on the terms of a settlement agreement, and jointly moved to approve the agreement and give it the effect of a judgment. According to the terms of the settlement agreement, clients who bought a subscription to JDate.co.il on October 12, 2008 or later will be entitled to receive certain benefits. The settlement agreement, which provides for compensation and legal fees, will only come into effect if the court approves it. On January 14, 2016, the Court ordered the parties to publish the terms of the proposed settlement agreement. The Court allowed for the Attorney General or any person who wishes to object to the settlement or exclude himself from the class to file their position with the Court through March 10, 2016. As such, an accrual for the probable cost related to resolving this matter of \$52,000 has been recorded as of December 31, 2015.

Spark Networks USA, LLC v. Smooch Labs Inc.

Spark Networks USA, LLC (“Spark Networks”) filed a complaint against Smooch Labs on November 12, 2014 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint pertains to Smooch Labs’ infringement of Spark Networks’ U.S. Patent No. 5,950,200 (“the ’200 patent”) and Spark Networks’ J-Family of trademarks through the development, use, and license of Smooch Labs’ mobile application “Jswipe”, as well as other violations of Spark Networks’ rights under Federal law and New York State law. On February 13, 2015, Smooch Labs filed its Amended Answer, Affirmative Defense and Counterclaims. Spark Networks filed its Answer to Smooch Labs’ Counterclaims on March 2, 2015. After conducting discovery for several months, and meeting with a neutral mediator, the parties mutually agreed to drop their respective cases. On October 14, 2015, Spark Networks announced the acquisition of Smooch Labs as a subsidiary, where the Smooch Lab founders will play an active role in managing the JSwipe product and expanding Spark Networks’ mobile dating capabilities. On October 16, 2015, the District Court entered the parties’ joint Stipulation of Dismissal of all claims and counterclaims, without attorneys’ fees or costs to either party.

Scottsdale Insurance Co. v. Spark Networks, Inc., et al.

On January 13, 2016, Scottsdale Insurance Company (“Scottsdale”) filed a complaint for declaratory relief and reimbursement/unjust enrichment against Spark Networks, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In its complaint, Scottsdale alleges that, after the Company was sued in the Werner Complaint, the Company tendered its defense and indemnity to Scottsdale pursuant to the terms of the business and management indemnity insurance policy that Scottsdale had issued to the Company on November 1, 2012. The complaint alleges that, after receiving the demand, Scottsdale accepted the defense of the

Company in the Werner Complaint under a reservation of rights, including Scottsdale's right to seek reimbursement of any amounts paid to defend against non-covered claims. Having made some payments for the Company's defense costs, and with the Werner Complaint near settlement, Scottsdale contends in its complaint that it did not have a duty to defend the Company in the Werner Complaint, because there allegedly was no potential for coverage of the claims in the Werner Complaint. Scottsdale seeks a determination that it did not have a duty to defend the Company in the Werner Complaint. It also seeks reimbursement of the amounts it paid for the Company's defense in the Werner Complaint. The Company is in process of filing its answer and counterclaims against Scottsdale, in which it will seek damages for Scottsdale's breach of policy and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

The Company intends to defend vigorously against each of the above lawsuits. At this time, management does not believe the above matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition and believes the recorded legal accruals as of December 31, 2015 are adequate in light of the probable and estimable liabilities. However, no assurance can be given that these matters will be resolved in the Company's favor.

The Company has additional existing legal claims and may encounter future legal claims in the normal course of business. In the Company's opinion, the resolutions of the existing legal claims are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Note 12. Related Party Transactions

There were no related party transactions during the twelve months ended December 31, 2015.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, the Company reimbursed Osmium Partners, LLC and 402 Capital, LLC and paid certain of its vendors for costs incurred in connection with a proxy contest to take control over the Company's Board of Directors in an amount totaling approximately \$509,000.

Note 13. Quarterly Results of Operations (unaudited)

The following tables present the Company's quarterly results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes. The Company has prepared the unaudited information on substantially the same basis as our audited consolidated financial statements which, in the opinion of management, includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, except as otherwise indicated, necessary for the presentation of the results of operations for such periods. Operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for any future quarters or for a full year.

(in thousands, except per share amount)	Three Months Ended							
	Dec. 31, 2015	Sept. 30, 2015	June 30, 2015	March 31, 2015	Dec. 31, 2014	Sept. 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014
Revenue	\$10,705	\$11,682	\$12,262	\$13,486	\$14,264	\$15,008	\$15,757	\$16,616
Cost of revenue	5,017	5,593	6,368	7,097	6,087	7,004	8,866	12,364
Sales and marketing	1,242	1,144	996	755	828	1,368	1,369	1,562
Customer service	826	769	721	749	749	738	763	788
Technical operations	388	210	214	212	213	276	300	341
Development	1,059	1,053	1,008	917	801	886	900	859
General and administrative	2,675	2,933	2,533	2,238	1,828	4,446	4,069	2,957
Depreciation	604	562	532	513	495	518	523	517
Amortization	78	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Impairment of goodwill and other assets	65	26	37	69	25	103	-	-
Total cost and expenses	11,954	12,300	12,419	12,560	11,036	15,349	16,800	19,398
Income (loss) from operations	(1,249)	(618)	(157)	926	3,228	(341)	(1,043)	(2,782)
Interest expense (income) and other, net	16	191	(229)	118	241	340	(48)	31
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for income taxes	(1,265)	(809)	72	808	2,987	(681)	(995)	(2,813)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(23)	13	168	85	(882)	288	140	79
Net income (loss)	\$(1,242)	\$(822)	\$(96)	\$723	\$3,869	\$(969)	\$(1,135)	\$(2,892)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share	\$(0.05)	\$(0.03)	\$(0.00)	\$0.03	\$0.16	\$(0.04)	\$(0.05)	\$(0.12)
Shares used in computation of basic net income (loss) per share	25,675	25,188	25,100	24,654	24,425	24,035	23,851	23,922
Shares used in computation of diluted net income (loss) per share	25,675	25,188	25,100	24,942	24,822	24,035	23,851	23,922

The quarter ended December 31, 2014 includes a \$609,000 reduction to a reserve for unrecognized tax benefits.

Note 14. Subsequent Events

On January 22, 2016, the Company entered into a two-year Loan and Security Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) with Western Alliance Bank, as lender (the “Bank”). Under the Credit Agreement, the Company has a revolving line of credit available of up to \$10.0 million, with an aggregate sublimit of \$500,000 for ancillary services (including letters of credit, cash management services and foreign exchange transaction services). The availability of credit at any given time under the revolving line of credit is limited by reference to a borrowing base formula based upon the eligible GAAP revenue of the borrowers and an advance rate percentage calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement will bear interest at the prime rate (which shall have a floor of 3.25%) plus 0.25%. In addition, the Company is required to pay a quarterly unused line fee equal to 0.30% per annum of the unused portion of the revolving line of credit during the applicable quarter. The Credit Agreement provides for interest-only payments during its term, with principal due at maturity. Pursuant to the Credit Agreement and the intellectual property security agreement entered into by each of the borrowers on January 22, 2016 (each an “IP Security Agreement”), the Company has granted to the Bank a security interest in

F-29

substantially all of its respective personal property, including intellectual property, to secure the obligations under the Credit Agreement and the other loan documents.

The Credit Agreement contains various restrictive covenants (applicable, in most instances, to both the borrowers and their subsidiaries), including limitations on the ability to sell assets, change the current line of business, merge or consolidate with or into another person, incur additional debt, grant liens, pay dividends or make other distributions, make loans or other investments, enter into transactions with affiliates, make any payment in respect of any subordinated debt and make capital expenditures (without the Bank's prior written consent) in any fiscal year in excess of \$3.75 million, along with other restrictions and limitations typical to credit agreements of this type and size.

The financial covenants in the Credit Agreement, which are only tested when there are any outstanding credit extensions thereunder and/or prior to any request for a credit extension, are as follows: the Company, on a consolidated basis, must maintain (i) as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, actual minimum Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) of at least 80% of the projected Adjusted EBITDA set forth in the annual operating budget and projections of the Company (the "Plan") delivered to and approved by the Bank, measured on a trailing three month basis; (ii) as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, actual minimum revenue of at least 80% of the projected revenue set forth in the Plan, measured on a trailing three month basis; and (iii) unrestricted cash at the Bank of at least \$3.0 million, tested monthly on the last business day of each month.

F-30