

SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORP
Form 10-Q
November 12, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For Quarter Ended September 30, 2013

Commission File Number 000-06253

SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Arkansas
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

71-0407808
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

501 Main Street, Pine Bluff, Arkansas
(Address of principal executive offices)

71601
(Zip Code)

870-541-1000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock as of October 25, 2013, was 16,202,435.

Simmons First National Corporation
Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q
September 30, 2013

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Part I:</u> <u>Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>8-36</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>38-62</u>
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	<u>62-64</u>
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Part II:</u> <u>Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>66-70</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>71</u>

Part I:
Item 1.

Financial Information
Financial Statements

Simmons First National Corporation
Consolidated Balance Sheets
September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012

(In thousands, except share data)	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Cash and non-interest bearing balances due from banks	\$ 37,752	\$ 47,470
Interest bearing balances due from banks	320,368	467,984
Federal funds sold	18,365	22,343
Cash and cash equivalents	376,485	537,797
Investment securities	761,705	687,483
Mortgage loans held for sale	10,605	25,367
Assets held in trading accounts	8,744	6,224
Loans:		
Loans	1,741,161	1,628,513
Allowance for loan losses	(27,533)	(27,882)
Loans acquired, not covered by FDIC loss share (net of discount)	68,133	82,764
Loans acquired, covered by FDIC loss share (net of discount)	148,884	210,842
Net loans	1,930,645	1,894,237
FDIC indemnification asset	61,500	75,286
Premises and equipment	87,065	87,557
Foreclosed assets	26,203	33,352
Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share	23,260	27,620
Interest receivable	15,635	14,530
Bank owned life insurance	60,040	52,066
Goodwill	60,605	60,605
Other intangible assets	5,420	3,760
Other assets	13,797	21,605
Total assets	\$ 3,441,709	\$ 3,527,489
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing transaction accounts	\$ 580,063	\$ 576,655
Interest bearing transaction accounts and savings deposits	1,453,139	1,421,137
Time deposits	805,596	876,371
Total deposits	2,838,798	2,874,163
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	62,311	104,078
Other borrowings	75,987	89,441
Subordinated debentures	20,620	20,620
Accrued interest and other liabilities	40,959	33,125
Total liabilities	3,038,675	3,121,427
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 40,040,000 shares authorized and unissued at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	-	-

Edgar Filing: SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Common stock, Class A, \$0.01 par value; 60,000,000 shares authorized; 16,198,964 and 16,542,778 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	162	165
Surplus	87,279	96,587
Undivided profits	318,194	309,053
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(2,601)	257
Total stockholders' equity	403,034	406,062
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,441,709	\$ 3,527,489

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

Simmons First National Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Income
Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012 (Unaudited)		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012 (Unaudited)	
INTEREST INCOME				
Loans not covered by FDIC loss share	\$ 23,483	\$ 23,192	\$ 69,781	\$ 67,822
Loans covered by FDIC loss share	7,132	5,041	19,776	16,009
Federal funds sold	6	2	14	4
Investment securities	3,428	3,027	9,349	9,615
Mortgage loans held for sale	122	171	395	487
Assets held in trading accounts	6	12	23	37
Interest bearing balances due from banks	234	267	875	919
TOTAL INTEREST INCOME	34,411	31,712	100,213	94,893
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits	1,993	2,521	6,274	8,165
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	46	69	165	248
Other borrowings	646	792	2,072	2,406
Subordinated debentures	162	389	483	1,166
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	2,847	3771	8,994	11,985
NET INTEREST INCOME	31,564	27,941	91,219	82,908
Provision for loan losses	1,081	1,299	3,034	2,846
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	30,483	26,642	88,185	80,062
NON-INTEREST INCOME				
Trust income	1,448	1,440	4,234	3,988
Service charges on deposit accounts	4,603	4,368	13,318	12,163
Other service charges and fees	728	684	2,294	2,211
Mortgage lending income	1,122	1,705	3,677	4,441
Investment banking income	240	560	1,390	1,700
Credit card fees	4,400	4,104	12,779	12,390
Bank owned life insurance income	328	355	974	1,078
Gain on FDIC-assisted transactions	-	1,120	-	1,120
Loss on sale of securities	-	-	(193)	-
Net (loss) gain on assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements	(3,443)	(2,689)	(8,200)	(7,507)
Other income	887	165	2,626	2,037
TOTAL NON-INTEREST INCOME	10,313	11,812	32,899	33,621
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE				
Salaries and employee benefits	17,701	15,911	54,146	49,323
Occupancy expense, net	2,485	2,182	7,490	6,291
Furniture and equipment expense	1,613	1,835	5,367	5,047

Edgar Filing: SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Other real estate and foreclosure expense	385	280	775	681
Deposit insurance	595	444	1,862	1,472
Merger related costs	190	815	(37)	815
Other operating expenses	7,934	7,219	23,529	21,928
TOTAL NON-INTEREST EXPENSE	30,903	28,686	93,132	85,557
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	9,893	9,768	27,952	28,126
Provision for income taxes	2,961	3,008	8,507	8,475
NET INCOME	\$ 6,932	\$ 6,760	\$ 19,445	\$ 19,651
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.16
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.16

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Simmons First National Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012 (Unaudited)		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012 (Unaudited)	
NET INCOME	\$ 6,932	\$ 6,760	\$ 19,445	\$ 19,651
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME				
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the period on available-for-sale securities	(314)	133	(4,896)	235
Less: Reclassification adjustment for realized losses included in net income	-	-	(193)	-
Other comprehensive (loss) gain, before tax effect	(314)	133	(4,703)	235
Less: Tax effect of other comprehensive (loss) gain	(123)	52	(1,845)	92
TOTAL OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME	(191)	81	(2,858)	143
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 6,741	\$ 6,841	\$ 16,587	\$ 19,794

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Simmons First National Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

(In thousands)	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 19,445	\$ 19,651
Items not requiring (providing) cash:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,416	4,116
Provision for loan losses	3,034	2,846
Net accretion of investment securities and assets not covered by FDIC loss share	(389)	(112)
Stock-based compensation expense	1,039	1,065
Net accretion on assets covered by FDIC loss share	(4,553)	(1,912)
Gain on FDIC-assisted transactions	-	(1,120)
Deferred income taxes	(2,274)	86
Loss on sale of investments	193	-
Bank owned life insurance income	(974)	(1,078)
Changes in:		
Interest receivable	(1,078)	(127)
Mortgage loans held for sale	14,762	(1,004)
Assets held in trading accounts	(2,520)	539
Other assets	1,594	(2,143)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(1,680)	5,750
Income taxes payable	(462)	(2,575)
Net cash provided by operating activities	30,553	23,982
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Net originations of loans	(97,444)	(52,392)
Net collections of loans covered by FDIC loss share	68,674	51,922
Purchases of premises and equipment, net	(3,516)	(1,988)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets held for sale	12,943	5,296
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets held for sale, covered by FDIC loss share	11,684	10,000
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	16,029	813
Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities	53,144	236,921
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(60,848)	(246,929)
Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity securities	113,289	512,920
Purchases of held-to-maturity securities	(199,201)	(497,955)
Purchase of bank owned life insurance	(7,000)	(25)
Net cash proceeds received in FDIC-assisted transactions	-	44,015
Cash received on FDIC loss share	11,621	12,553
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(80,625)	75,151
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net change in deposits	(35,365)	(83,655)
Dividends paid	(10,304)	(10,172)
Net change in other borrowed funds	(13,454)	(1,318)
	(41,767)	(71,393)

Net change in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase

Net shares issued under stock compensation plans	498	324
Repurchase of common stock	(10,848)	(14,674)
Net cash used in financing activities	(111,240)	(180,888)
DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(161,312)	(81,755)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	537,797	570,206
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 376,485	\$ 488,451

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Simmons First National Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

(In thousands, except share data)	Common Stock	Surplus	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Undivided Profits	Total
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 172	\$ 112,436	\$ 439	\$ 294,864	\$ 407,911
Comprehensive income:					
Net income	-	-	-	19,651	19,651
Change in unrealized appreciation on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes of \$92	-	-	143	-	143
Comprehensive income					19,794
Stock issued as bonus shares – 51,245 shares	1	191	-	-	192
Vesting bonus shares	-	998	-	-	998
Stock issued for employee stock purchase plan – 5,103 shares	-	132	-	-	132
Stock granted under stock-based compensation plans	-	67	-	-	67
Repurchase of common stock – (608,387 shares)	(6)	(14,668)	-	-	(14,674)
Cash dividends – \$0.60 per share	-	-	-	(10,172)	(10,172)
Balance, September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	167	99,156	582	304,343	404,248
Comprehensive income:					
Net income	-	-	-	8,033	8,033
Change in unrealized appreciation on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes of (\$209)	-	-	(325)	-	(325)
Comprehensive income					7,708
Vesting bonus shares	-	307	-	-	307
Stock granted under stock-based compensation plans	-	16	-	-	16
Repurchase of common stock – (117,500 shares)	(2)	(2,892)	-	-	(2,894)
Cash dividends – \$0.20 per share	-	-	-	(3,323)	(3,323)
Balance, December 31, 2012	165	96,587	257	309,053	406,062
Comprehensive income:					
Net income	-	-	-	19,445	19,445
Change in unrealized appreciation on available-for-sale securities, net of income taxes of (\$1,845)	-	-	(2,858)	-	(2,858)
Comprehensive income					16,587
Stock issued as bonus shares – 64,506 shares	1	228	-	-	229
Vesting bonus shares	-	1,012	-	-	1,012
Stock issued for employee stock purchase plan – 5,244 shares	-	126	-	-	126
Exercise of stock options – 6,000 shares	-	143	-	-	143

Edgar Filing: SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Stock granted under stock-based compensation plans	-	27	-	-	27
Repurchase of common stock – (419,564 shares)	(4)	(10,844)	-	-	(10,848)
Cash dividends – \$0.63 per share	-	-	-	(10,304)	(10,304)
Balance, September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	\$ 162	\$ 87,279	\$ (2,601)	\$ 318,194	\$ 403,034

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

7

SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Simmons First National Corporation (the “Company”) and its subsidiaries. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

All adjustments made to the unaudited financial statements were of a normal recurring nature. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results of interim periods have been made. Certain prior year amounts are reclassified to conform to current year classification. The consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of December 31, 2012, has been derived from the audited consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of that date. The results of operations for the period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the Company’s annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Form 10-K Annual Report for 2012 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) – Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. ASU 2012-02 amends the guidance related to testing indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, for impairment. The provisions of ASU 2012-02 allow for a qualitative assessment in testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment before calculating the fair value of the asset. If the qualitative assessment determines that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired, then a quantitative assessment of the fair value of the asset is required; otherwise, the quantitative calculation is not necessary. The provisions of ASU 2012-02 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2013, and did not have a significant impact on the Company’s ongoing financial position or results of operations.

In October, 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-06, Business Combinations (Topic 805) – Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution. ASU 2012-06 amends guidance on the subsequent accounting for an indemnification asset recognized at the acquisition date as a result of a government assisted acquisition of a financial institution. ASU 2012-06 requires that a subsequent adjustment to the indemnification asset be measured on the same basis as the underlying indemnified assets. Any amortization of changes in value of the indemnification asset should be limited to the lesser of the term of the indemnification agreement and the remaining life of the indemnified assets. ASU 2012-06 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2013. Because the Company has historically accounted for its indemnification assets in accordance with ASU 2012-06, its adoption did not have a significant impact on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

In February, 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) – Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. ASU 2013-02 requires disclosure of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income in their entirety, by component, on the face of the statement of comprehensive income or in the notes to the financial statements. Amounts that are not required to be

classified in their entirety to net income must be cross-referenced to other disclosures that provide additional detail. ASU 2013-02 became effective prospectively for the Company on January 1, 2013, and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

There have been no other significant changes to the Company's accounting policies from the 2012 Form 10-K. Presently, the Company is not aware of any other changes to the Accounting Standards Codification that will have a material impact on the Company's present or future financial position or results of operations.

Acquisition Accounting, Covered Loans and Related Indemnification Asset

The Company accounts for its acquisitions under ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations, which requires the use of the purchase method of accounting. All identifiable assets acquired, including loans, are recorded at fair value. No allowance for loan losses related to the acquired loans is recorded on the acquisition date as the fair value of the loans acquired incorporates assumptions regarding credit risk. Loans acquired are recorded at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820, exclusive of the shared loss agreements with the FDIC, if any. The fair value estimates associated with the loans include estimates related to expected prepayments and the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows.

Over the life of the acquired loans, the Company continues to estimate cash flows expected to be collected on individual loans or on pools of loans sharing common risk characteristics and were treated in the aggregate when applying various valuation techniques. The Company evaluates at each balance sheet date whether the present value of its loans determined using the effective interest rates has decreased and if so, recognizes a provision for loan loss in its consolidated statement of income. For any increases in cash flows expected to be collected, the Company adjusts the amount of accretible yield recognized on a prospective basis over the loan's or pool's remaining life.

Because the FDIC will reimburse the Company for losses incurred on certain acquired loans, an indemnification asset is recorded at fair value at the acquisition date. The indemnification asset is recognized at the same time as the indemnified loans, and measured on the same basis, subject to collectability or contractual limitations. The shared-loss agreements on the acquisition date reflect the reimbursements expected to be received from the FDIC, using an appropriate discount rate, which reflects counterparty credit risk and other uncertainties.

The shared-loss agreements continue to be measured on the same basis as the related indemnified loans. Because the acquired loans are subject to the accounting prescribed by ASC Topic 310, subsequent changes to the basis of the shared-loss agreements also follow that model. Deterioration in the credit quality of the loans (immediately recorded as an adjustment to the allowance for loan losses) would immediately increase the basis of the shared-loss agreements, with the offset recorded through the consolidated statement of income. Increases in the credit quality or cash flows of loans (reflected as an adjustment to yield and accreted into income over the remaining life of the loans) decrease the basis of the shared-loss agreements, with such decrease being accreted into income over 1) the same period or 2) the life of the shared-loss agreements, whichever is shorter. Loss assumptions used in the basis of the indemnified loans are consistent with the loss assumptions used to measure the indemnification asset. Fair value accounting incorporates into the fair value of the indemnification asset an element of the time value of money, which is accreted back into income over the life of the shared-loss agreements.

Upon the determination of an incurred loss the indemnification asset will be reduced by the amount owed by the FDIC. A corresponding, claim receivable is recorded until cash is received from the FDIC. For further discussion of the Company's acquisition and loan accounting, see Note 5, Loans Acquired.

Earnings Per Share ("EPS")

Basic EPS is computed by dividing reported net income by weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing reported net income by the weighted average common shares and all potential dilutive common shares outstanding during the period.

Following is the computation of per share earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: SIMMONS FIRST NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income	\$ 6,932	\$ 6,760	\$ 19,445	\$ 19,651
Average common shares outstanding	16,220	16,757	16,383	17,005
Average potential dilutive common shares	5	3	5	3
Average diluted common shares	16,225	16,760	16,388	17,008
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.16
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.16

9

Stock options to purchase 138,528 and 177,870 shares for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were not included in the diluted EPS calculation because the exercise price of those options exceeded the average market price.

NOTE 2:

PENDING ACQUISITION

On September 12, 2013, the Company issued a press release announcing the U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") between the Company and Rogers Bancshares, Inc. ("RBI") for the stock of Metropolitan National Bank ("Metropolitan"). The Company will purchase all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock free and clear of all liens, claims and encumbrances, and assumes no liabilities of RBI. Under the terms of the Agreement, RBI will receive \$53.6 million in cash. The Company will fund the transaction with \$46 million in unsecured debt from correspondent banks with a 3.25% floating rate to be repaid in three years or less.

The transaction is expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2013 and is subject to customary regulatory approval. Upon completion of the transaction, the combined company will have approximately \$4.4 billion in total assets, \$3.7 billion in deposits and \$2.3 billion in net loans.

NOTE 3:

INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities that are classified as held-to-maturity and available-for-sale are as follows:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2013				December 31, 2012
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	
Held-to-Maturity					
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 313,052	\$ 23	\$ (8,580)	\$ 304,495	\$
Mortgage-backed securities	41	1	-	42	
State and political subdivisions	268,055	2,548	(5,057)	265,546	
Other securities	620	-	-	620	
Total HTM	\$ 581,768	\$ 2,572	\$ (13,637)	\$ 570,703	\$

13. Preferred Stock Rights

On March 21, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a dividend to holders of record as of the close of business on April 1, 2011, and a purchase right (a Right) for each outstanding share of common stock per share, of the Company (the Common Stock). In addition, the Company granted the Right with each new share of Common Stock issued. In connection with the March 21, 2011, the Company entered into a Stockholder Protection Agreement (amended from time to time, the Rights Agreement) with Continental Trust Company, as Rights Agent, which has a term of three years.

Board of Directors in accordance with the terms of the Rights Agreement, upon the approval of both an independent committee of the Board of Directors and the Board of Directors, the Rights Plan can be extended for up to three years. The Rights will trade with and be inseparable from the Common Stock and will not be transferable on separate certificates unless they become exercisable. Each Right represents the right to purchase from the Company one-hundredth of a share of Common Stock having economic and voting terms similar to the Common Stock. The exercise price is \$8.00 per Right, subject to adjustment in accordance with the terms of the Rights Agreement, once the Rights become exercisable. Under the terms of the Rights Agreement, the Rights become exercisable if any person or group acquires 20% of the Common Stock or, in the case of any person or group that owned 20% of the Common Stock as of March 21, 2011, upon the acquisition of any additional Common Stock by that person or group. The Company, its subsidiaries, employee benefit plans and any entity holding Common Stock for or under any such plan are excepted. Upon exercise of the Right in accordance with the terms of the Rights Agreement, the holder would be able to purchase a number of shares of Common Stock from the Company having an aggregate market price (as determined under the terms of the Rights Agreement) equal to twice the then-current exercise price for a Right. In addition, the Company may, from time to time, and pursuant to the terms of the Rights Agreement, exchange the Rights for shares of Common Stock or an equivalent security for each Right or, at the discretion of the Company, for one-tenth of a share of Common Stock for each Right or, at the discretion of the Company, for one-tenth of a share of Common Stock for each Right for \$0.001 per Right. The Rights will not prevent a takeover of the Company but may cause substantial dilution to a person that acquires a large amount of the Company's Common Stock.

14. Share Repurchase Plan

On March 21, 2011, the board of directors authorized the purchase of the Company's common stock in accordance with the safe harbor provisions of Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. During the period from March 21, 2011 to June 30, 2011 the Company repurchased 150,881 shares at an aggregate cost of \$336,000.

15. Subsequent Event

During the period July 1, 2011 through August 5, 2011, the Company repurchased an additional 129,148 shares of its common stock at an aggregate cost of \$280,029. These purchases were made in accordance with the provisions of the repurchase plan authorized by the board of directors on March 21, 2011. As of August 5, 2011, the Company has repurchased a total of 280,029 shares at an aggregate cost of \$616,029.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Operations

GSE Systems, Inc. ("GSE Systems", "GSE" or the "Company") is a provider of high fidelity simulation. The Company provides simulation and services to the nuclear and fossil electric utility industries and petrochemical industries. In addition, the Company provides signal analysis monitoring and optimization software primarily to the nuclear industry.

GSE is the parent company of:

- ◆ GSE Power Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation
- ◆ GSE Power Systems, AB, a Swedish corporation
- ◆ GSE Engineering Systems (Beijing) Co. Ltd., a Chinese limited liability company
 - ◆ GSE Systems, Ltd, a Scottish limited liability company
 - ◆ TAS Engineering Consultants Ltd, an English limited liability company
 - ◆ GSE EnVision, Inc., a New Jersey corporation
 - ◆ EnVision Systems (India) Pvt. Ltd., an Indian limited liability company

The Company has a 49% minority interest in GSE-UNION Co., Ltd. a Chinese limited liability company and has a minority interest in Emirates Simulation Academy, LLC, a United Arab Emirates limited liability company. The Company has only

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a “safe harbor” for forward looking statements. Forward-looking statements are statements of historical facts, but rather reflect our current expectations of future events and results. We use words such as “expects”, “intends”, “anticipates” to indicate forward-looking statements. Because forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, there are important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, those discussed in Item 1A - Risk Factors of the Company’s 2010 Annual Report and other risks and uncertainties detailed in the Company’s periodic reports and statements filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our risk factors may not be exhaustive. We operate in a continually changing environment, and new risk factors emerge from time to time. We cannot identify new risk factors, nor can we assess the effect, if any, of the changes in our business or the extent to which any factor or combination of factors could cause results to differ from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

If any one or more of these expectations and assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results will likely differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. Even if all of the foregoing assumptions and expectations prove to be correct, actual results may still differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements as a result of factors we may not anticipate or that may be beyond our control. We cannot assess the future impact that any of these differences could have on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows or the price of our common stock, the differences could be significant. We do not intend to update any forward-looking statements made by us, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements when evaluating the information provided in this report.

General Business Environment

GSE Systems, Inc. is a world leader in simulation technology and the nuclear power industry. We have a dominant 60% world-wide market share in the nuclear power industry, and we have leveraged our nuclear-grade simulation technology into non-nuclear energy fields of fossil power generation, oil and gas, and chemical/petrochemical processing.

The continued dramatic increase in global energy demand is what we believe, will continue to grow for decades to come. Government reports and trade journals report that up to 252 new nuclear plants could be built in the next 20 years. Currently, there are over 66 plants under construction in 25 countries. In the U.S. some 16 companies and consortia are currently building new nuclear power plants. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission is currently reviewing 13 combined construction and operating licenses for 22 nuclear power reactors. Each reactor is required to have a full scope simulator ready for operator training and testing at least 2 years prior to fuel loading. According to the International Atomic Energy Agency, as many as 65 countries with no current nuclear power capacity are currently under considerations to add nuclear energy to their power generation portfolios. In Asia-Pacific, 21 in Africa, 12 in Europe, and 11 in Latin America. Our market share, excellent reputation, and world-class technology position us to capitalize on the world-wide nuclear energy growth by providing simulation solutions.

On March 11, 2011 a 9.0 magnitude earthquake and subsequent tsunami struck the northeast coast of Japan. The Fukushima Daiichi I Nuclear Power Plant, maintained by the Tokyo Electric Power Company (TEPCO), was built on a site estimated at 46 feet, nearly 2.5 times the height of the 19 foot tsunami. The reactors shut down automatically after the earthquake, but the generators providing power to the facility's electronics and control systems were flooded. The flooding was too severe and caused a power failure inside the reactors, preventing the cooling systems from working, thus leading to overheating and a nuclear meltdown.

Most countries with nuclear programs have reacted to the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident by announcing the delay of new nuclear plants while they complete safety reviews of their programs. On March 23, 2011, the NRC issued a Temporary Inmate Inspection (TI) to all holders of operating licenses for nuclear power plants. The objective of the TI was to independently assess the adequacy of the safety programs of Nuclear licensees in response to the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident. The TI was to evaluate the industry's readiness for a similar event and to determine if additional regulatory actions by the NRC are warranted.

In Japan, Deputy Chief Cabinet Secretary Yoshito Sengoku stated that the Japanese government had no plans to shut down any more Fukushima Daiichi units other than three at the Hamaoka power plant in central Japan. The Fukushima Daiichi units are to be kept by the Japanese government to halt the units until a seawall is built to protect them. If they are improved at Hamaoka. However, in May 2011, Japan's prime minister stated that the country will review its current basic energy policy.

increasing the percentage of electricity provided by nuclear power plants, including building 14 new reactors by 2030. Kan has indicated his belief in a strategic move in the direction of promoting natural energy and renewable energy. In 2011, GSE's Swedish subsidiary had two significant projects in backlog of \$6.6 million. Neither of these projects have been delayed by the Fukushima disaster. For the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, revenue recognized on projects with Japanese customers totaled 13.6% of the Company's consolidated revenue.

The German government announced in May 2011 that they will phase out the country's nuclear power plants by 2022. The country's seven nuclear power plants were taken offline for a safety review immediately after the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant was permanently shutdown. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Kraftwerks-simulator Gmbh provided 11.4% and 9.1% of the Company's revenue. We have been informed by KSG, who provides training to German electric utilities and has 13 specific full scope simulator projects for nuclear power plants, due to the phasing out of the country's nuclear power plants, should not anticipate any additional significant orders for simulator projects beginning in 2012. At June 30, 2011, the Company has backlog of approximately \$10 million related to KSG projects. We have been informed by KSG that all projects will be completed as scheduled.

Previous nuclear accidents have resulted in new regulations requiring more rigorous training, higher fidelity models and new testing scenarios. Additional governmental regulations enacted in the aftermath of the Fukushima Daiichi accident will result in the requirement for plant modifications and simulator projects that will result in the need for higher simulator fidelity, such as MAAP-HD™, supplied by GSE. GSE has developed MAAP-HD™, an enhanced simulation solution that allows operations personnel to train for severe accident scenarios based on the operations of their specific nuclear power plant. MAAP-HD™ real-time code can be integrated with a nuclear plant's existing simulator and is applicable to all current nuclear plant designs. MAAP-HD™ is used to validate the utility's severe accident management guidelines, to compare current plant designs to regulators and stakeholders, and identify areas of an existing plant design that may require modification. MAAP-HD™ models the reactor core, containment structures and systems. MAAP-HD™ models simulate severe accident conditions which mirror the Fukushima Daiichi facility, such as the release of radioactive materials from the reactor core, exposure of the fuel rods in the spent fuel pool, and hydrogen gas in the containment building.

In order to meet the world's needs, all forms of energy will be required to produce power. As of 2008, only 14% of the world's power was nuclear. Fossil fuels (solids, liquids, gases, biomass and waste) accounted for approximately 78%, and renewable energy was less than 2%. Over the next several decades, GSE has leveraged the simulation capability that we have developed to produce power for non-nuclear projects. Globally we have delivered 100 simulators and 96 process industry simulators.

According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration, world energy consumption will increase by 49% from 495 quadrillion BTU in 2007 to 738 quadrillion BTU in 2035. New consumption means new production, which means new training and an enormous amount of training to provide a skilled workforce to meet this growing need for energy industry training several years ago. GSE has developed various training solutions leveraging the use of our simulation technology. In 2007, we developed a 163 module, five-simulator training course that was sold to the Energy Training Academy LLC, in the UAE, a training academy that was created by GSE and its partners in 2007. The Company worked with the University of Strathclyde in Scotland to incorporate GSE's simulation into the University's training program.

education programs. GSE developed a 20-week “Nuclear Operator Training Program” for Southern Nuclear Company in Augusta, GA utilizing an interactive visual training simulator. The advantage of the simulator is its scalability and ease of configuration for both team and individual training or cross training. The VPanel™ allows customers to utilize the simulator while bringing many full scope simulator capabilities directly to the customer at a fraction of the cost. The “Operator Jump Start” program helps train new operator candidates. This training program is designed to provide the knowledge and skills to potential nuclear plant operators and to ensure they have the ability to successfully complete the customer’s training programs. The program includes instruction on fundamental skills and Fundamental Examinations (“GFES”), plant systems and operations. Students completing GSE’s Operator Jump Start program have passed the exam.

In order to expand its simulation-based training programs, we acquired EnVision Systems, Inc., subsequently renamed GSE. GSE provides interactive multi-media tutorials and simulation modules for the petrochemical and oil & gas refining industries. EnVision provides a foundation in process fundamentals, as well as plant operation fundamentals. The acquisition gives GSE a tiered offering when it comes to simulation content library of training content in multiple languages. GSE-EnVision operates on a business model with typically higher margins than our core business.

A compounding problem is facing the energy industry. While energy is requiring new plants and new workers, the incumbent industry is facing dramatic turnover. According to the NRC, the average nuclear worker is 40 years old, and 42% of the current workforce is expected to be retiring within 5 years due to attrition and retirement. While the data is readily available for the energy industry because it is so heavily regulated, similar demographic trends exist in the gas, chemical and petrochemical industries. While this issue has been in the news for some time, the impact has been somewhat delayed due to the economic downturn. Some employees that were planning on retiring in the next few years have savings significantly reduced and were forced to delay their retirements. Accordingly, the Company anticipates that in the next few years a number of employees are likely to retire within a shorter time frame. The number of qualified employees to replace them will become an acute issue.

Except for some insightful early adopters, many companies tend to delay training until they absolutely have to or they are in trouble. Often training is viewed as a cost rather than an investment, and aside from travel, it is one of the most expensive during economic downturns. However, the statistics associated with the aging workforce and the aging workforce are undeniable, and training will be needed to replace skilled employees that will be needed to staff the new plants and replace retirees. Therefore, when the energy industry recognizes the need for training that is faster and better than what is traditionally available, it will have to consider the nature of the next generation workforce. Training that is a computer and vast amounts of interactive multimedia. Standard classroom training will not provide the efficacy that will be needed nor satisfy the needs of the workforce.

In fact, according to the NTL Institute's statistics on learning, only 5% of information is retained from lecture, and only 10% from reading. 90% retention is accomplished when learners practice by doing. GSE's success with the Nuclear Operator Jump Start Training program for the Nuclear Company, as our design combines traditional instruction with structured simulator exercises supporting the concepts, is a portable, transportable globally to anywhere a new energy workforce is needed.

Case studies demonstrate that the inclusion of "serious gaming" in training, or immersive 3D environments can reduce training time and cost significantly. In fact, the Royal Canadian Army was able to reduce training time and increase the pass rate of students by incorporating gaming into their training. This is due to the advancement of computer processing power and the availability of immersive commercially viable off-the-shelf 3D gaming environments.

available. Additionally, this style of learning also lends itself to a virtual workforce, and as such GSE is investing significantly in virtual reality. This investment comes in the form of strategic hires, investment in product development. Through development efforts already undertaken, we have discovered how to link our industry-leading, high fidelity virtual reality off-the-shelf game engines. This enables us to make the invisible visible, seeing the inside of an operating reactor, steam generator, or turbine. The learning strategy by incorporating 3D visualization interfaces into our simulation models will allow GSE to provide the energy industry with a less costly training ideally suited for the next generation workforce. We received a visualization order in July 2011 and expect modest revenue from this order in 2011. However, we anticipate 3D visualization revenue to increase in the coming years.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth the results of operations for the periods indicated, expressed in thousands of dollars and as a percentage of revenue.

(in thousands)	Three Months ended June, 30					
	2011		2010		2009	
Contract revenue	\$11,257	100.0%	\$11,773	100.0%	\$23,600	100.0%
Cost of revenue	7,500	66.6 %	8,125	69.0 %	16,300	69.0 %
Gross profit	3,757	33.4 %	3,648	31.0 %	7,300	31.0 %
Operating expenses:						
Selling, general and administrative	3,198	28.5 %	2,731	23.2 %	6,600	28.0 %
Depreciation	103	0.9 %	141	1.2 %	228	1.0 %
Amortization of defined-lived intangible assets	208	1.8 %	25	0.2 %	425	1.8 %
Total operating expenses	3,509	31.2 %	2,897	24.6 %	7,253	30.7 %
Operating income (loss)	248	2.2 %	751	6.4 %	(39)	(0.2 %)
Interest income, net	29	0.2 %	21	0.2 %	62	0.3 %
Gain (loss) on derivative instruments, net	(410)	(3.6)%	(374)	(3.3)%	178	0.8 %
Other income (expense), net	(26)	(0.2)%	19	0.2 %	39	0.2 %
Income (loss) before income taxes	(159)	(1.4)%	417	3.5 %	240	1.0 %
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	85	0.8 %	47	0.4 %	(52)	(0.2 %)
	\$(244)	(2.2)%	\$370	3.1 %	\$769	3.3 %

Net income
(loss)

24

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Estimates, judgments and assumptions are continually evaluated based on available information and experience. Because of the use of estimates in the reporting process, actual results could differ from those estimates.

A summary of the Company's significant accounting policies are included in Note 2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Certain of our accounting policies require more judgment than others in their application. These include the recognition of long-term contracts, capitalization of computer software development costs and income tax valuation allowances. These critical accounting policies are discussed in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section in the 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Results of Operations - Three and Six Months ended June 30, 2011 and Six Months ended June 30, 2010

Contract Revenue. Total contract revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 was \$11.3 million, which was 4.4% lower than the \$11.8 million total contract revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, total contract revenue was \$23.6 million, a \$600,000 increase from the \$23.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The Company recorded total orders of \$19.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 versus \$18.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Revenue related to the \$23.8 million full scope simulator and digital contract for the Slovak utility was \$1.1 million (10.1% of revenue) and \$2.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, revenue from the Slovak utility was \$4.5 million (19.7% of revenue), respectively. Revenue generated from the Slovak utility order was partly offset by revenue generated due to the acquisition of EnVision. EnVision generated \$575,000 and \$1.1 million of revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011, the Company's backlog was \$55.9 million, compared to \$55.9 million related to the Slovakia contract. The backlog decreased from \$55.9 million on June 30, 2010 when the Company's backlog totaled \$55.9 million.

Gross Profit. Gross profit totaled \$3.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$3.6 million for the same quarter in 2010. As a percentage of revenue, gross profit increased from 31.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 to 33.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, gross profit increased \$400,000 from the same period in 2010 to \$7.2 million, or 30.7% of revenue, gross profit increased from 29.6% to 30.7%. The decrease in gross profit for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is primarily due to the Slovakia contract, which has an overall gross profit lower than

gross profits, along with the additional revenue generated by products typically sell at a gross profit higher than the Company has contributed to the increase in gross profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses totaled \$3.2 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2011, an increase from the \$2.7 million for the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, SG&A expenses totaled \$6.6 million and \$5.8 million, respectively. The increase reflects the following spending variations:

- ◆ Business development and marketing costs increased from \$1.1 million in the second quarter 2010 to \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2011, an increase of \$300,000. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$2.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company completed a reorganization whereby a number of operational personnel were assigned to business development activities on a full time basis. Bid and proposal costs, which are the costs of operations personnel in assisting with the preparation of contract proposals, decreased by \$4,000 in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter 2010, and increased by \$100,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$770,000 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010. The acquisitions of TAS and EnVision have contributed \$207,000 and \$400,000 of the business development and marketing costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Business development and marketing costs attributable to TAS for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$35,000. The Company also hired a business development manager in the United Kingdom in May 2010.

- ◆ The Company's general and administrative expenses ("G&A") totaled \$3.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, and \$3.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase is primarily attributable to:
 - o TAS and EnVision incurred \$242,000 and \$477,000 of G&A expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. For both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 G&A expenses attributable to TAS totaled \$100,000.
 - o The change in the fair value of contingent consideration (accrued) related to the TAS and EnVision acquisitions was \$121,000 and \$284,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The change in the fair value of contingent consideration related to the TAS acquisition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$32,000.
 - o The Company incurred approximately \$1,000 and \$206,000 of G&A expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$118,000 and \$222,000 for the same periods in 2010. These expenses were primarily comprised of legal and due diligence expenses.

- ◆ Gross spending on software product development ("development") totaled \$475,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$390,000 and \$787,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The Company capitalized development costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, of \$127,000 and \$359,000, compared to \$475,000 for the three and six months ended 2010, respectively. Capitalized development spending increased from \$121,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$196,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and increased from \$668,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$359,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011.
 - o The Company created a 3D visualization team in January 2011 to develop 3D visualization technology to add to our training programs. The Company incurred \$127,000 of costs related to this effort in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.
 - o Spending on other software product development totaled \$128,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$121,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The Company's development expenses related to advancements on a new configuration management system, a new data warehouse that supports various forms of data on a single platform, and enhancements to our JADE platform including human factors analysis, and a new graphical user interface. The acquisition of EnVision incurred \$43,000 of development expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

Depreciation. Depreciation expense totaled \$103,000 and \$140,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, depreciation expense totaled \$228,000 and \$272,000, respectively.

Amortization of definite-lived intangible assets. Amortization of definite-lived intangible assets totaled \$208,000 and \$25,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, amortization expense related to definite-lived intangible assets totaled \$25,000 and \$25,000, respectively. As part of the Company's acquisition of EnVision, the Company recorded intangible assets totaling approximately \$1.2 million with estimated lives of one to ten years. The Company also recorded intangible assets with estimated lives of three to eight years as part of the EnVision acquisition.

Operating Income (loss). The Company had operating income (loss) of \$1.2 million (6.4% of revenue) in the second quarter 2011, as compared with operating income (loss) of \$1.2 million (6.4% of revenue) for the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company had operating loss of \$39,000 (-0.2% of revenue) and operating income of \$1.2 million (5.2% of revenue) in 2010. The change in operating income (loss) is primarily due to the factors outlined above.

Interest Income, Net. Net interest income totaled \$29,000 in the second quarter 2011 versus net interest income of \$21,000 in the quarter ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, net interest income totaled \$19,000, respectively.

Gain (Loss) on Derivative Instruments, Net. The Company has entered into forward foreign exchange contracts to manage market risk and to reduce fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on foreign currency receivables. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had foreign exchange contracts for the sale of approximately 1.6 million Pounds Sterling, 12.8 million Japanese Yen at fixed rates. The contracts expire on various dates through 2014. The Company has not designated the contracts as hedges and has recognized losses on the change in the estimated fair value of the contracts of \$155,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had foreign exchange contracts for the sale of 306,000 Pounds Sterling, 15.8 million Euro and 648 million Japanese Yen at fixed rates. The contracts expire on various dates through February 2014. The Company has not designated the contracts as hedges and had recognized a gain on the change in the estimated fair value of the contracts of \$6,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and a loss of \$58,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The foreign currency denominated contract receivables, and such receivables are related to the outstanding foreign exchange contracts with the Company's functional currency using the current exchange rate at the end of the period. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized a gain of \$333,000 and \$333,000, respectively, from the remeasurement of such receivables. For the same periods, the Company recognized a \$380,000 and \$620,000 loss, respectively.

Other Income (expense), net. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, other income (expense), net was (\$26,000) and \$39,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, other expense, net was (\$26,000) and \$39,000, respectively. The major components of other income (expense), net are:

following items:

- ◆ For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company had investment losses of \$60,000 and \$25,000, respectively, from Technology Co., Ltd.
 - ◆ The Company had other miscellaneous income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 of \$34,000 and \$64,000. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, other miscellaneous income amounted to \$19,000 and \$38,000, respectively.
-

Provision for Income Taxes.

The Company files in the United States federal jurisdiction and in various foreign jurisdictions. Because of the net operating loss carryforwards, the Company is subject to U.S. federal and state income tax examinations from time to time and is subject to foreign tax examinations by tax authorities from time to time forward. Open tax years related to state and foreign jurisdictions are under examination but are not considered material to our financial statements, operations or cash flows.

An uncertain tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return is recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not (i.e., a probability greater than fifty percent) that the position would be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities that have full knowledge of all relevant information. A recognized tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than the amount that is expected to be realized upon ultimate settlement. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are accounted for as income tax expense.

The Company, through its acquisition of EnVision on January 1, 2011, recognized deferred tax liabilities of \$1.0 million. As a result of this acquisition, the Company applied ASC-805 Business Combinations, the Company reduced the value of its U.S. net deferred tax assets and recognized the change in the value of its net deferred tax assets (million) through the income tax provision.

The Company, through its acquisition of EnVision on January 1, 2011, recognized \$320,000 of unrecognized tax benefits as well as a receivable from the EnVision shareholders for the same amount as indemnity for this tax position. The Company does not expect any material changes to its uncertain tax positions in the next twelve months.

The Company expects to pay income taxes in Sweden, India and other foreign jurisdictions. In addition, the Company will pay foreign income tax withholdings on certain foreign contracts. The Company has a full valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets at June 30, 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2011, the Company's cash and cash equivalents totaled \$27.2 million compared to \$26.6 million at December 31, 2010.

Cash provided by (used in) operating activities. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net cash used in operations totaled \$1.6 million. Significant changes in the Company's assets and liabilities in the three months ended June 30, 2011 are as follows:

- ◆ A \$685,000 increase in the Company's contract receivables, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts, decreased from \$4.8 million at December 31, 2010 to \$5.5 million at June 30, 2011. The increase in receivables outstanding for more than 90 days, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts, was approximately \$377,000 versus \$318,000 at December 31, 2010. Unbilled receivables increased by approximately \$2.5 million from \$2.4 million at December 31, 2010 to \$4.9 million at June 30, 2011. The increase in the unbilled receivables is due to

billing milestones of the Company's current projects. In 2011, we invoiced over \$3.2 million of the unbilled amounts; the balance was invoiced and collected within one year.

- ◆ A \$2.1 million decrease in accounts payable, accrued costs and expenses. The decrease is due to the timing of payments to vendors and subcontractors.
-

Net cash provided by operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$1.1 million. Significant changes in the Company's assets and liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 included:

- ◆ A \$6.1 million increase in the Company's contract receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, increased from \$7.6 million at December 31, 2009 to \$14.2 million at June 30, 2010 while trade receivables decreased by \$1.9 million to \$7.6 million at June 30, 2010, trade receivables outstanding for more than 90 days increased from \$1.4 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in the Company's contract receivables is primarily related to a \$9.8 million invoice issued to Slovenské elektrárne a.s. in May 2010. The decrease in the Company's unbilled receivables is primarily related to the timing of contracted billing milestones of the Company's projects. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company received \$9.5 million of the Slovenské elektrárne a.s. billings and invoiced \$1.0 million of the unbilled amounts.
- ◆ A \$2.8 million increase in accounts payable, accrued construction costs, and other expenses. The Company's accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased primarily due to material purchases and the utilization of subcontractors on projects.
- ◆ A \$2.6 million increase in billings in excess of revenues earned. This increase is primarily related to the issuance of the \$9.8 million invoice to Slovenské elektrárne a.s. in May 2010.

Cash used in investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$4.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in cash used in investing activities is primarily related to BOA's amendments to the Company's revolving credit agreement in May 2011, which required the Company to cash collateralize all existing letters of credit. At June 30, 2011 the Company had cash collateralized \$4.4 million of letters of credit. This balance represents a \$4.4 million increase from the balance at June 30, 2010.

The Company acquired EnVision Systems Inc. on January 4, 2011. The total purchase price totaled \$4.0 million with \$1.2 million paid in cash at closing. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, until the first, second, and third anniversaries of the closing date, the Company made payments of \$74,000 to EnVision Systems Inc. for unbilled receivables included on the Closing Date balance sheet. A total of \$109,000 to the EnVision shareholders was made during the six months ended June 30, 2011 related to the working capital true-up provisions of the purchase agreement. The Company also acquired approximately \$550,000 in cash through the acquisition.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company made an additional contribution totaling \$456,000 to GSE-UNIS Simulation (GSE-UNIS). GSE-UNIS is 51% owned by Beijing UNIS Simulation and 49% owned by GSE. Per the joint venture agreement, the Company made an additional contribution to GSE-UNIS of approximately \$450,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Capital expenditures totaled \$329,000 and capitalized software development costs totaled \$386,000. \$79,000 of cash which GSE had on deposit with UNB ("UNB") as a partial guarantee against the Emirates Simulation (EMIRATES) letters of credit, was withdrawn by UNB during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, GSE had a full res

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, cash used in i
\$194,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Capital expe
and capitalized software development costs totaled \$475,000. C
standby letters of cred

Effective April 26, 2010, GSE Systems Inc., through its
GSE Systems, Ltd. (GSE UK), completed the acquisition o
total purchase price totaled approximately \$2.3 million with
paid in cash at closing, \$689,000 paid post-closing in G
balance deferred until the first and second anniversary of f
approximately \$68,000 cash on their balance sheet

On the closing date, TAS entered into a sale and lease
former TAS shareholders. Under the terms of the agreeme
purchased the building occupied by TAS for approximately \$
entered into a five-year lease for approximately \$31,000
monthly installments. TAS may terminate the lease after April

Cash used in financing activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$217,000. The Company repurchased 150,881 shares of the Company's common stock at an aggregate cost of \$336,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Net cash used in the issuance of common stock for the six months ended June 30, 2011, was \$119,000. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, proceeds from the issuance of common stock totaled \$68,000. This was offset by \$82,000 for payments related to the BOA line of credit agreements in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$1.2 million. Based on the Company's forecasted expenditures and cash flow, the Company believes it will generate sufficient cash through its normal operations to meet its operating and working capital needs for the next twelve months.

Credit Facilities

At December 31, 2010, the Company had two separate revolving lines of credit with BOA which were to expire on March 14, 2011. The Company and its subsidiary, GSE Power Systems, Inc., were joint borrowers on these facilities as co-borrowers. The credit facilities enabled the Company to meet its operating and working capital needs and standby letters of credit. The first line of credit with a principal amount of up to \$3.5 million was amended on March 14, 2011 to increase the principal amount to \$5.0 million but was terminated (see below). The second line of credit was in the principal amount of up to \$2.5 million. This line of credit enabled the Company to borrow funds up to 80% of domestic accounts receivable and unbilled receivables and 100% of the principal balance of a certificate of deposit issued by BOA and was amended on March 14, 2011 to increase the interest rate on this line of credit was based on the daily LIBOR rate plus 1.00% with interest only payments due monthly. The credit agreements contained financial covenants regarding future acquisitions, incurrence of debt, payment of dividends. In addition, both credit agreements contained financial covenants with respect to the Company's minimum tangible net worth, debt service coverage ratio, funded debt to EBITDA ratio. At December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with the funded debt to EBITDA ratio.

Due to the Company's financial covenant default as of December 31, 2010, BOA made the following amendments to the Company's revolving credit facilities on March 14, 2011:

- A written waiver was granted for the funded debt to EBITDA ratio covenant.
 - The \$5.0 million principal line of credit was terminated.
- The financial covenants for the \$2.5 million principal line of credit were waived. Going forward, there are no financial covenants.
- The Company was required to cash collateralize all outstanding letters of credit.
 - All future letters of credit issued by BOA must be cash collateralized.
- Borrowings under the line of credit must be cash collateralized. The Company has in place a \$600,000 certificate of deposit as collateral for the line of credit.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company was contingently liable for letters of credit and three surety bonds totaling \$6.4 million which represent surety bonds on ten contracts. The Company has deposited the full amount of the standby letters of credit in certificates of deposit (\$4.6 million) which is restricted in that the Company does not have access to these funds until the letters of credit have expired. The cash has been recorded on the Company's balance sheet as of June 30, 2011 as restricted cash.

In light of the latest amendments to our line of credit, the Company is currently seeking new financing opportunities to provide greater flexibility in our cash flow options.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

The Company's market risk is principally confined to changes in foreign exchange rates. The Company's exposure to foreign exchange risk arises in part from inter-company accounts in which costs incurred in various currencies are transferred to other entities in different foreign jurisdictions. The Company is exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations as the financial results of all foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars in consolidation. As exchange rates vary, those results may vary from expectations and adversely impact overall expected performance.

The Company utilizes forward foreign currency exchange contracts to manage the risks associated with the fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates for currencies for which such forward exchange contracts are entered into, including Sterling, the Euro and the Japanese Yen. It is the Company's policy to use derivative financial instruments to protect against market risk in the normal course of business in order to reduce the impact of these exposures. The Company minimizes credit exposure by limiting counterparties to national banks and other financial institutions.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company had foreign exchange contracts outstanding for approximately 1.6 million Pounds Sterling, 12.8 million Euro and 1.2 million Japanese Yen at fixed rates. The contracts expire on various dates through 2014. The Company had not designated the contracts as hedges. The net losses on the change in the estimated fair value of the contracts were \$155,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. A 1% fluctuation in the foreign currency exchange rates up or down at the end of the period would have increased/decreased the change in the estimated fair value of the contracts by \$5,000.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had foreign exchange contracts outstanding for approximately 306,000 Pounds Sterling, 15.8 million Euro and 1.2 million Japanese Yen at fixed rates. The contracts expire on various dates through 2014. The Company had not designated the contracts as hedges and recorded a net change in the estimated fair value of the contracts of \$6,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and a loss of \$58,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. The Company has evaluated its internal disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by it in its reports filed or furnished to the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported in the reports specified in the Commission's rules and forms and that such information is disclosed by the Company in its Exchange Act reports in a timely manner and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer, who is its principal executive officer, and Chief Financial Officer, who is its principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding reporting obligations.

The Company's CEO and CFO are responsible for establishing internal control over the Company's financial reporting. The Company has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14 as of June 30, 2011 in order to ensure that the Company's disclosure of material information required to be included in the Company's periodic reports to the Commission comply with the Commission's requirements for such reports. Based on that evaluation, the Company's CEO and CFO have concluded that as of June 30, 2011 the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b) Changes in internal control. There were no changes in internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect internal control over financial reporting.

Limitation of Effectiveness of Controls

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed, can only provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system will be met. The design of any control system is based, in part, on an assessment of the control system relative to its costs. Because of the inherent limitations of all systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be subjective and controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, or by management override of control. In addition, the design of controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the policies or procedures may deteriorate. In addition, the control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Because of inherent limitation in a cost-effective control system, some errors due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. The controls and procedures are designed to provide a reasonable level of assurance that the Company's objectives.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Company has one material addition to the disclosure of risk factors in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

The Company's stockholder protection rights agreement and charter provisions could deter acquisition proposals and make it difficult for a third party to acquire the Company, which could have a negative effect on the price of the Company's Common Stock.

The Company has a stockholder protection rights agreement with its directors, which could discourage potential acquisition proposals and prevent a change in control of the Company. This deterrent could reduce the price of the Company's Common Stock and make it difficult for a third party to acquire the Company.

composition of the Board of Directors or a change in management

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table provides information about the Company's purchases of equity securities for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

Month	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced program
January	-	-	-
February	-	-	-
March	-	-	-
April	-	-	-
May	9,000	\$ 2.30	9,000
June	141,881	\$ 2.22	150,881

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

101.INS* XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

101.CAL* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

101.DEF* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

101.LAB* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are not part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, therefore, they otherwise are not subject to liability.

GSE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the Three and Six Months ended June 30, 2011
(Unaudited)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, who is duly authorized.

Date: August 9, 2011

/S/ JAMES A. EBERLE
James A. Eberle
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/S/ JEFFERY G. HOUGH
Jeffery G. Hough
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
