

DEPOMED INC
Form 10-K/A
November 15, 2002

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A

AMENDMENT NO. 1

(Mark one)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2001

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

For the transition period from: _____ **to** _____

Commission File Number: 000-23267

DEPOMED, INC.

(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

California

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

94-3229046

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1360 O Brien Drive, Menlo Park, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94025

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(650) 462-5900**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, no par value	American Stock Exchange
Common Stock Purchase Warrants, no par value	American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-X is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

The issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year were \$3,673,326.

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on November 1, 2002, based upon the closing price of the Common Stock on the American Stock Exchange for such date, was approximately \$23,599,000.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's Common Stock on November 1, 2002 was 16,439,187.

DEPOMED, INC.

2001 FORM 10-K/A REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Explanatory Note

This Annual Report on Form 10-K/A (Form 10-K/A) is being filed as Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001. This Form 10-K/A is filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission solely for the purpose of revising and restating the following items in their entirety:

PART I

- Item 1. BUSINESS**
- Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

PART II

- Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**
- Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**
- Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

PART IV

- Item 14. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

The statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A and other statements made by DepoMed, Inc., a California corporation, from time-to-time that are not historical are forward-looking statements which involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results, events or performance may differ materially from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors, including those set forth under **Factors That May Affect Future Results** and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements which speak only as of the date hereof. The company undertakes no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Company Overview

We are a development stage company engaged in the development of new and proprietary oral drug delivery technologies. Our primary oral drug delivery system is the patented Gastric Retention System (the **GR System**). The GR System is designed to be retained in the stomach for an extended period of time while it delivers the incorporated drug or drugs, on a continuous, controlled release basis. By incorporation into the GR System, a drug currently taken two or three times a day may be administered only once a day. At present, several drug compounds incorporated in the GR System are in advanced clinical trial development. In January 2002, a patent on our GR System was issued, which expands the coverage of our technology for the controlled delivery of a broad range of drugs from a gastric retained polymer matrix tablet to maximize therapeutic benefits. Our intellectual property position includes five issued patents and twelve patent applications pending in the United States. We have also developed the Reduced Irritation System (the **RI System**), (together with the GR System, the **DepoMed Systems**) which is designed to provide for significant reduction in local upper gastrointestinal (**GI**) irritation from the effects of certain drugs.

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, the company, **DepoMed**, **we**, **us**, and **our**, refer to DepoMed, Inc.

We develop proprietary products utilizing our technology internally, as well as in collaboration with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Regarding our collaborative programs, we apply our technology to the partner's compound and from these collaborations we expect to receive research and development funding, milestone payments, license fees and royalties. For our internal development programs, we apply our proprietary technology to existing drugs and fund development through Phase II clinical trials. With the Phase II clinical trial results, we generally seek a collaborative partner for marketing and sales, as well as to complete the funding of the clinical trials. We also expect to receive milestone payments, license fees and royalties from these later stage collaborations.

We have internally developed a potential once-daily metformin product for Type II diabetes, **Metformin GR**, which is currently in pivotal Phase III human clinical trials. In May 2002, we entered into an agreement with Biovail Laboratories Incorporated (**Biovail**) granting Biovail an exclusive license in the United States and Canada to manufacture and market our **Metformin GR**.

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

In January 2001, we completed a Phase I human clinical trial with an internally developed once-daily formulation of the antibiotic drug ciprofloxacin, called Ciprofloxacin GR , for urinary tract infections. Our formulation was found to have comparable bioavailability and had a significantly extended blood plasma concentration profile compared with CIPRO®, a currently marketed ciprofloxacin HCl immediate release product that is taken twice per day. In June 2002, we successfully completed a Phase II human clinical trial with Ciprofloxacin GR compared with CIPRO, for urinary tract infections. We are currently in discussions with potential marketing partners for this product and we are also considering whether to initiate Phase III trials.

In October 2002, we signed an agreement with ActivBiotics, Inc. to begin feasibility studies to develop a controlled-release oral tablet which delivers ActivBiotics' broad-spectrum antibiotic, rifalazil, to the gastrointestinal tract.

In addition, we are developing other product candidates expected to benefit from incorporation into our drug delivery systems. For example, we successfully completed a Phase I clinical trial of the drug furosemide incorporated into the GR System for cardiovascular indications in September 2002. Further, we have begun a feasibility study of a combination product comprising our Metformin GR once-daily formulation of Metformin with a once-daily sulfonylurea for Type II diabetes.

In January 2000, we formed a joint venture with Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd. (together Elan) to develop products using drug delivery technologies and expertise of both Elan and DepoMed. This joint venture, DepoMed Development, Ltd. (DDL), a Bermuda limited liability company, is initially owned 80.1% by DepoMed and 19.9% by Elan. DepoMed began subcontract development work for DDL in January 2000 and DDL's first product candidate successfully completed Phase I clinical trials in first quarter of 2001. Patent applications have been filed for this product and the product rights are available to a potential marketing partner for further development. DDL's second product candidate successfully completed Phase I clinical trials in the first quarter of 2002 and DDL's third product candidate had been in preclinical testing. However, as of August 2002, the development of these products has been stopped. We are currently discussing an agreement with Elan relating to the dissolution of DDL that would grant to us rights to the product candidates developed in the joint venture, subject to a royalty payment to Elan in the event that any of those products are commercialized.

In addition to research and development conducted on our own behalf and through collaborations with pharmaceutical partners, our activities since inception (August 7, 1995) have included establishing our offices and research facilities, recruiting personnel, filing patent applications, developing a business strategy and raising capital. To date, we have received only limited revenue, all of which has been from these collaborative research and development arrangements and feasibility studies.

The Drug Delivery Industry

Drug delivery companies apply proprietary technologies to create new pharmaceutical products utilizing drugs developed by others. These products are generally novel, cost-effective dosage forms that provide any of several benefits, including better control of drug concentration in the blood, improved safety and efficacy, improved patient compliance and ease of use. We believe that drug delivery technologies can provide pharmaceutical companies with a means of developing new and/or improved products as well as of extending existing patent franchises.

The increasing need to deliver medication to patients efficiently and with fewer side effects has accelerated the pace of invention of new drug delivery systems and the development and maturation of the drug delivery industry. Today medication can be delivered to a patient through many different delivery systems, including transdermal, injection, implant and oral methods. However, these delivery methods continue to have certain limitations. Transdermal patches are often inconvenient to apply, can be irritating to the skin and the rate of release can be difficult to control. Injections are uncomfortable for most patients. In most cases both injections and implants must be administered in a hospital or physician's office and, accordingly, are frequently not suitable for home use. Oral administration remains the preferred method of administering medication. However, conventional oral drug administration also has limitations. Because capsules and tablets have limited effectiveness in providing controlled drug delivery, they frequently result in drug release that is initially too rapid, causing incomplete absorption of the drug, irritation to the GI tract and other side effects. In addition, they lack the ability to provide localized therapy. We believe that the need for frequent dosing of many drugs administered by capsules and tablets also can impede patient compliance with the prescribed regimen.

The DepoMed Systems

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

The DepoMed Systems are based on our proprietary oral drug delivery technologies and are designed to include formulations of drug-containing polymeric units that allow multi-hour delivery of an incorporated drug. Although our formulations are proprietary, the polymers utilized in the DepoMed Systems are commonly used in the food and drug industries and are included in the list of excipients approved by the FDA for use in oral pharmaceuticals. We have formulated these polymers into tablets as well as cylinders and spheres that can be individually dosed or contained in gelatin capsules for ease of administration. By using different formulations of the polymers, we believe that the DepoMed Systems are able to provide continuous, controlled delivery of drugs of varying molecular complexity and solubility.

The DepoMed Systems are designed to address certain limitations of drug delivery and to provide for orally administered, conveniently dosed, cost-effective drug therapy that provides continuous, controlled delivery of a drug over a multi-hour period. We believe that the DepoMed Systems can provide one or more of the following advantages over conventional methods of drug administration:

Enhanced Safety and Efficacy through Controlled Delivery. We believe that the DepoMed Systems may improve the ratio of therapeutic effect to toxicity by decreasing the initial peak concentrations of a drug associated with toxicity, while maintaining levels of the drug at therapeutic, subtoxic concentrations for an extended period of time. Many drugs demonstrate optimal efficacy when concentrations are maintained at therapeutic levels over an extended period of time. When a drug is administered intermittently, the therapeutic concentration is often exceeded for some period of time and then the concentration drops below effective levels. Excessively high concentrations are a major cause of side effects and subtherapeutic concentrations are ineffective.

Greater Patient and Caregiver Convenience. We believe that the DepoMed Systems may offer once-daily or reduced frequency dosing for certain drugs that are currently required to be administered several times daily. Such less frequent dosing promotes compliance to dosing regimens. Patient noncompliance with dosing regimens has been associated with increased costs of medical therapies by prolonging treatment duration, increasing the likelihood of secondary or tertiary disease manifestation and contributing to over-utilization of medical personnel and facilities. By improving patient compliance, providers and third-party payors may reduce unnecessary expenditures and improve therapeutic outcomes.

Expansion of Types of Drugs Capable of Oral Delivery. Some drugs, including certain proteins and peptides, because of their large molecular size and susceptibility to degradation in the GI tract, must currently be administered by injection or by continuous infusion, which is typically done in a hospital or other clinical setting. We believe that the DepoMed Systems may be able to make the oral delivery of some of these drugs therapeutically effective.

Proprietary Reformulation of Generic Products. We believe that the DepoMed Systems may offer the potential to produce improved formulations of off-patent drugs. These newly-proprietary formulations may be differentiated from existing generic products by virtue of reduced dosing requirements, improved efficacy, decreased toxicity or additional indications.

The Gastric Retention System

The GR System consists of proprietary formulations of a drug-containing polymer matrix, which can be manufactured as tablets or multiple spherical units. If taken with a meal, these polymeric tablets or units remain in the stomach for an extended period of time to provide continuous, controlled delivery of an incorporated drug. The GR System's design is based in part on principles of human gastric emptying and GI transit. Following a meal, liquids and small particles flow continuously from the stomach into the intestine, leaving behind the larger nondigested particles until the digestive process is complete. As a result, drugs in liquid or dissolved form or those consisting of small particles tend to empty rapidly from the stomach and continue into the small intestine and on into the large intestine, often before the drug has time to act

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

locally or to be absorbed if the stomach and/or upper small intestine are the sites of absorption. The drug-containing polymeric tablets or units of the GR System are formulated into easily swallowed shapes and are designed to swell upon ingestion. The tablets or units attain a size after ingestion sufficient to be retained in the stomach for multiple hours while delivering the drug content at a controlled rate.

The expected advantages of the GR System over conventional oral drug delivery systems include the following:

More Efficient GI Drug Absorption. We believe that the GR System can be used for improved oral administration of drugs that are inadequately absorbed when delivered as conventional tablets or capsules. Many drugs are primarily absorbed in the stomach, duodenum or upper small intestine regions, through which drugs administered in conventional oral dosage forms transit quickly. In contrast, the GR System is designed to be retained in the stomach, allowing for constant multi-hour flow of drugs to these regions of the GI tract. Accordingly, for such drugs, we believe that the GR System offers a significantly enhanced opportunity for increased absorption. Unlike

some insoluble drug delivery systems, the polymer comprising the GR System dissolves at the end of its useful life and is passed through the GI tract and eliminated.

Gastric Delivery for Local Therapy and Absorption. We believe that the GR System can be used to deliver drugs which can efficiently eradicate GI-dwelling microorganisms, such as *H. pylori*, the bacterium which is a cause of ulcers.

We are developing metformin and ciprofloxacin products which utilize the GR System, both of which are currently in human clinical trials. We believe that the GR System will provide for the more efficient delivery and absorption of these drugs by retaining them in the stomach and upper small intestine for an extended period of time. We are currently seeking marketing partners to commercialize these products.

We believe that a possible future application of the GR System is the incorporation of a nonsystemic antacid into the GR System that would be designed to provide both immediate and sustained local action. Although many currently used antacid products are nonsystemic, their duration time is short. Accordingly, individuals who need through-the-night protection from excess stomach acid must resort to systemic antacids, such as Zantac® or Tagamet®, which have a longer onset of action.

Rational Drug Combinations. We believe that the GR System may allow for rational combinations of drugs with different biological half-lives. Physicians frequently prescribe multiple drugs for treatment of a single medical condition. Single product combinations have not been considered feasible because the different biological half-lives of these combination drugs would result in an overdosage of one drug and/or an underdosage of the other. By appropriately incorporating different drugs into a GR System we believe that we can provide for the release of each incorporated drug continuously at a rate and duration (dose) appropriately adjusted for the specific biological half-lives of the drugs. The company believes that future rational drug combination products using the GR System have the potential to simplify drug administration, increase patient compliance, and reduce medical costs.

Potential for Oral Delivery of Peptides and Proteins. Based on laboratory studies conducted by the company, the GR System is expected to protect drugs from enzymes and acidity effects prior to their delivery in the stomach. This feature coupled with gastric retention could allow for continuous delivery of peptides and proteins (i.e., labile drugs) into the upper portion of the small intestine, the most likely site of possible absorption for many such drugs. We believe that this mechanism will allow effective oral delivery of some drugs that currently require administration by injection. In addition, we believe that the GR System can be formulated to provide for continuous, controlled delivery of insoluble or particulate matter, including protein or antigen-laden vesicles, such as liposomes, and microspheres or nanoparticles. We are collaborating with AVI BioPharma, Inc. on a project to develop the GR System for the delivery of large molecules.

The Reduced Irritation System

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

The RI System is designed to provide for significant reduction in local GI irritation from the effects of certain drugs. Local tissue damage occurs when solid crystals of a drug remain at any one site of the GI tract for long periods of time. The RI System consists of a drug/polymer matrix formed into spheres or granules. The spheres are contained in an outer gelatin capsule; the granules are compressed into a tablet. Each of these systems is designed to rapidly disintegrate upon ingestion to deliver its multiple small, particles. The particles are composed of an inert matrix of polymeric material in which the active ingredient is homogeneously dispersed in its solid state. The particles persist for a period of time, but ultimately dissolve and the polymer is eliminated.

The RI System is designed to reduce irritation through three distinct mechanisms. First, the small particles of the RI System are designed to deliver an incorporated drug in solution state, in contrast to a solid or crystalline state which may cause local GI irritation. Second, the dispersion of the particles within the GI tract contributes further to the dilution of the local drug effects. Third, controlled delivery contributes to the reduction of GI irritation by delivering the incorporated drug over a longer period of time.

We have developed an aspirin product that utilizes the RI System and is designed to reduce the GI irritation which is common when aspirin is administered in conventional tablet or capsule form. Although no partner has as yet been identified to undertake the expense of advancing the product through human clinical trials and the regulatory process, the aspirin product has demonstrated proof of principle for reduced irritation. In addition to

the reduced irritation aspirin that the company has developed, the company believes that other GI irritating compounds such as potassium chloride and the bisphosphonates used in treatment of osteoporosis may benefit from the RI System.

Product Development Initiatives

In addition to the products listed in the table below, we enter into feasibility studies with collaborative partners that, if successful, may be followed by definitive agreements to complete development of the product. The following table summarizes our principal product development initiatives:

DEPOMED SYSTEM	PROGRAM	PARTNER	POTENTIAL INDICATIONS	DEVELOPMENT STATUS(1)
GR	Metformin GR	In-house	Type II diabetes	Phase III clinical trial 85% enrolled
GR	Ciprofloxacin GR	In-house	Various bacterial infections	Phase II clinical trial 100% enrolled
GR	Furosemide GR	In-house	Cardiovascular/ antihypertensive	Phase I clinical trial underway
GR	Metformin GR and sulfonylurea	In-house	Type II diabetes	Feasibility studies underway
GR	Generic compound(2)	Elan Corporation, plc	Confidential(3)	Phase I clinical trial complete
GR	Generic compound(2)	Elan Corporation, plc	Confidential(3)	Phase I clinical trial underway
GR	Generic compound(2)	Elan Corporation, plc	Confidential(3)	Preclinical testing underway
GR	Undisclosed NEUGENE antisense compound	AVI BioPharma, Inc.	Confidential(4)	Preclinical testing underway

(1) See the section below entitled Government Regulation for additional information regarding the phases of drug development. Development status is as of April 1, 2002, the filing date of our Form 10-K.

(2) Undisclosed.

(3) The potential indication may not be disclosed pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the company and Elan Corporation, plc. See Collaborative Relationships.

(4) The potential indication may not be disclosed pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the company and AVI BioPharma, Inc. See Collaborative Relationships.

Collaborative Relationships

Elan Corporation, plc. In January 2000, we formed a joint venture with Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd. (together "Elan") to develop a series of undisclosed proprietary products using drug delivery technologies and expertise of both companies. This joint venture, DepoMed Development, Ltd. (DDL), a Bermuda limited liability company, is initially owned 80.1% by DepoMed and 19.9% by Elan. Development work performed for DDL is funded by the joint venture partners at the partners' pro rata ownership percentage. DepoMed and Elan have initially agreed upon an aggregate maximum funding amount of \$10,000,000 and a two-year funding term that expired January 21, 2002. The funding period terminated on January 21, 2002. However, the partners agreed to extend the funding period through September 2002. DDL has the ability to license its products to any third party; however, Elan has a limited right of first negotiation. Any license granted to Elan must be done on the basis of arm's length pricing. For the years ended December 31, 2000

and 2001, revenues received for work performed for DDL were \$1,754,000 and \$2,126,000, respectively. Revenues earned from DDL were 99% and 58% of our total revenues in the respective periods.

AVI BioPharma, Inc. In June 2000, we entered into a joint collaboration to investigate the feasibility of controlled oral delivery of AVI's proprietary NEUGENE antisense agents. The purpose of the collaboration is to study the feasibility of oral drug formulations based on DepoMed's GR controlled release system that will deliver an antisense agent into the upper gastrointestinal tract. We have developed candidate dosage forms incorporating one of AVI's antisense agents and preclinical testing is underway. No revenues have been received under this agreement in 2000 or 2001.

Competition

Other companies that have oral drug delivery technologies competitive with the DepoMed Systems include Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, ALZA Corporation, a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, Elan Corporation plc, SkyePharma plc, Biovail Corporation International, Flamel Technologies S.A. and Andrx Corporation, all of which are developing oral tablet products designed to release the incorporated drugs over time. Each of these companies has patented technologies with attributes different from those of ours, and in some cases with different sites of delivery to the gastrointestinal tract.

Bristol-Myers is currently marketing a sustained release formulation of metformin, Glucophage XR, with which Metformin GR will compete. The license that Bristol-Myers obtained from us under the agreement in principle related to the settlement of our litigation in November 2002 extends to certain current and future products, which may increase the likelihood that we will face competition from Bristol-Myers in the future on products in addition to Metformin GR. Additionally, other companies have sustained release formulations of metformin and ciprofloxacin currently in clinical trials. Flamel Technologies S.A. and Andrx Corporation both have metformin products in trials and Bayer SA has filed a New Drug Application with the FDA for a once-daily ciprofloxacin product. There may be other companies developing competing products of which we are unaware.

Competition in pharmaceutical products and drug delivery systems is intense. We expect competition to increase. Competing technologies or products developed in the future may prove superior either generally or in particular market segments to the DepoMed Systems or products using the DepoMed Systems. These developments could make the DepoMed Systems or products using them noncompetitive or obsolete.

All of our principal competitors have substantially greater financial, marketing, personnel and research and development resources than we do. In addition, many of our potential collaborative partners have devoted, and continue to devote, significant resources to the development of their own drug delivery systems and technologies.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

Our success will depend in part on our ability to obtain and maintain patent protection for our technologies and to preserve our trade secrets. Our policy is to file patent applications in the United States and foreign jurisdictions. We currently hold five issued United States patents and

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

twelve United States patent applications are pending. Additionally, we are currently preparing a series of patent applications representing our expanding technology for filing in the United States. We have also applied for patents in numerous foreign countries. Some of those countries have granted our applications and other applications are still pending. Our pending patent applications may lack priority over others' applications or may not result in the issuance of patents. Even if issued, our patents may not be sufficiently broad to provide protection against competitors with similar technologies and may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented.

We also rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how. We seek to protect that information, in part, through entering into confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants, collaborative partners and others before such persons or entities have access to our proprietary trade secrets and know-how. These confidentiality agreements may not be effective in certain cases, due to, among other things, the lack of an adequate remedy for breach of an agreement or a finding that an agreement is unenforceable. In addition, our trade secrets may otherwise become known or be independently developed by competitors.

Our ability to develop our technologies and to make commercial sales of products using our technologies also depends on not infringing others' patents. We are not aware of any claim of patent infringement against us. However, if claims concerning patents and proprietary technologies arise and are determined adversely to us, we may

consequently be subjected to substantial damages for past infringement if it is ultimately determined that our products infringe a third party's proprietary rights. Any public announcements related to litigation or interference proceedings initiated or threatened against us could cause our stock price to decline.

We may need to engage in litigation in addition to our suit against Bristol-Myers, described below under "Legal Proceedings", to enforce any patents issued or licensed to us or to determine the scope and validity of third-party proprietary rights. Our issued or licensed patents may not be held valid by a court of competent jurisdiction. Whether or not the outcome of litigation is favorable to us, defending a lawsuit takes significant time, may be expensive and may divert management attention from other business concerns, as has been the case in our litigation with Bristol-Myers. We may also be required to participate in interference proceedings declared by the United States Patent and Trademark Office for the purpose of determining the priority of inventions in connection with our patent applications or other parties' patent applications. Adverse determinations in litigation or interference proceedings could require us to seek licenses which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, or subject us to significant liabilities to third parties.

Manufacturing, Marketing and Sales

We do not have and we do not intend to establish in the foreseeable future internal commercial scale manufacturing, marketing or sales capabilities. Rather, we intend to use the facilities of third parties to manufacture commercial quantities of our products. Our dependence on third parties for the manufacture of products using the DepoMed Systems may adversely affect our ability to deliver such products on a timely and competitive basis. There may not be sufficient manufacturing capacity available to us when, if ever, we are ready to seek commercial sales of products using the DepoMed Systems. If we are unable to contract for a sufficient supply of required products on acceptable terms, or if we encounter delays and difficulties in our relationships with manufacturers, the market introduction and commercial sales of our products will be delayed, and our revenue will suffer.

Applicable current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) requirements and other rules and regulations prescribed by foreign regulatory authorities will apply to the manufacture of products using the DepoMed Systems. We will depend on the manufacturers of products using the DepoMed Systems to comply with cGMP and applicable foreign standards. Any failure by a manufacturer of products using the DepoMed Systems to maintain cGMP or comply with applicable foreign standards could delay or prevent their commercial sale.

In addition, we expect to rely on our collaborative partners or to develop distributor arrangements to market and sell products using the DepoMed Systems. We may not be able to enter into manufacturing, marketing or sales agreements on reasonable commercial terms, or at all, with third parties.

Government Regulation

Numerous governmental authorities in the United States and other countries regulate our research and development activities and those of our collaborative partners. Governmental approval is required of all potential pharmaceutical products using the DepoMed Systems and the manufacture and marketing of products using the DepoMed Systems prior to the commercial use of those products. The regulatory process will take several years and require substantial funds. If products using the DepoMed Systems do not receive the required regulatory approvals or if such approvals are delayed, the company's business would be materially adversely affected. There can be no assurance that the requisite regulatory approvals will be obtained without lengthy delays, if at all.

In the United States, the FDA rigorously regulates pharmaceutical products, including any drugs using the DepoMed Systems. If a company fails to comply with applicable requirements, the FDA or the courts may impose sanctions. These sanctions may include civil penalties, criminal prosecution of the company or its officers and employees, injunctions, product seizure or detention, product recalls, total or partial suspension of production. The FDA may withdraw approved applications or refuse to approve pending new drug applications, premarket approval applications, or supplements to approved applications.

We generally must conduct preclinical testing on laboratory animals of new pharmaceutical products prior to commencement of clinical studies involving human beings. These studies evaluate the potential efficacy and safety of the product. We then submit the results of these studies to the FDA as part of an Investigational New Drug application, which must become effective before beginning clinical testing in humans.

Typically, human clinical evaluation involves a time-consuming and costly three-phase process:

In Phase I, we conduct clinical trials with a small number of subjects to determine a drug's early safety profile and its pharmacokinetic pattern.

In Phase II, we conduct limited clinical trials with groups of patients afflicted with a specific disease in order to determine preliminary efficacy, optimal dosages and further evidence of safety.

In Phase III, we conduct large-scale, multi-center, comparative trials with patients afflicted with a target disease in order to provide enough data to demonstrate the efficacy and safety required by the FDA prior to commercialization.

The FDA closely monitors the progress of each phase of clinical testing. The FDA may, at its discretion, re-evaluate, alter, suspend or terminate testing based upon the data accumulated to that point and the FDA's assessment of the risk/benefit ratio to patients.

The results of the preclinical and clinical testing are submitted to the FDA in the form of a New Drug application (NDA) for approval prior to commercialization. An NDA requires that our products are compliant with cGMP. Failure to achieve or maintain cGMP standards for products using the DepoMed Systems would adversely impact their marketability. In responding to an NDA, the FDA may grant marketing approval, request additional information or deny the application. Failure to receive approval for any products using the DepoMed Systems would have a material adverse effect on the company.

Various FDA regulates not only prescription and over-the-counter drugs approved by NDAs, but also over-the-counter products that comply with monographs issued by the FDA. These regulations include:

cGMP requirements;

general and specific over-the-counter labeling requirements (including warning statements);

advertising restrictions; and

requirements regarding the safety and suitability of inactive ingredients.

In addition, the FDA may inspect over-the-counter products and manufacturing facilities. A failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements may lead to administrative or judicially imposed penalties. If an over-the-counter product differs from the terms of a monograph, it will, in most cases, require FDA approval of an NDA for the product to be marketed.

Foreign regulatory approval of a product must also be obtained prior to marketing the product internationally. Foreign approval procedures vary from country to country. The time required for approval may delay or prevent marketing in certain countries. In certain instances the company or its collaborative partners may seek approval to market and sell certain products outside of the United States before submitting an application for United States approval to the FDA. The clinical testing requirements and the time required to obtain foreign regulatory approvals may differ from that required for FDA approval. Although there is now a centralized European Union (EU) approval mechanism in place, each EU country may nonetheless impose its own procedures and requirements. Many of these procedures and requirements are time-consuming and expensive. Some EU countries require price approval as part of the regulatory process. These constraints can cause substantial delays in obtaining required approval from both the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities after the relevant applications are filed, and approval in any single country may not meaningfully indicate that another country will approve the product.

Product Liability

Our business involves exposure to potential product liability risks that are inherent in the production and manufacture of pharmaceutical products. We have obtained product liability insurance for clinical trials currently underway, but:

we may not be able to obtain product liability insurance for future trials;

we may not be able to maintain product liability insurance on acceptable terms;

we may not be able to secure increased coverage as the commercialization of the DepoMed Systems proceeds;
or

our insurance may not provide adequate protection against potential liabilities.

Our inability to obtain adequate insurance coverage at an acceptable cost could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of our products. Defending a lawsuit would be costly and significantly divert management's attention from conducting our business. If third parties bring a successful product liability claim or series of claims against us for uninsured liabilities or in excess of insured liabilities, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be materially harmed.

Employees

As of December 31, 2001, we had forty-one full-time employees. None of our employees is represented by a collective bargaining agreement, nor have we experienced any work stoppage. We believe that our relations with our employees are excellent.

Our success is dependent in large part upon the continued services of John W. Fara, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and other members of the company's executive management, and on our ability to attract and retain key management and operating personnel. We do not have agreements with Dr. Fara or any of our other executive officers that provide for their continued employment with us. Management, scientific and operating personnel are in high demand in our industry and are often subject to competing offers. The loss of the services of one or more members of management or key employees or the inability to hire additional personnel as needed could result in delays in the research, development and commercialization of our potential product candidates.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In January 2002, we filed, and later served, a complaint against Bristol-Myers in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,340,475, issued on January 22, 2002 and assigned to DepoMed.

In November 2002, we entered into an agreement in principle with Bristol-Myers related to the settlement of the litigation providing for a one-time payment of \$18.0 million to be made by Bristol-Myers to us. Both parties will release all claims in the lawsuit against each other and grant to each other a limited non-exclusive royalty free license, as set forth in the agreement. The license that Bristol-Myers will obtain from us under the agreement will extend to certain current and future products.

PART II

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2001	2000(1)	1999	1998	1997(2)
Results of Operations					
Revenue	\$ 3,673,326	\$ 1,776,218	\$ 115,327	\$ 763,138	\$ 604,876
Operating expenses	17,994,753	9,514,415	5,605,792	4,028,441	1,813,183
Loss from operations	(14,321,427)	(7,738,197)	(5,490,465)	(3,265,303)	(1,208,307)
Equity in loss of joint venture (restated)(3)	(3,173,409)	(14,202,627)			
Net loss (restated)(3)	(17,600,039)	(21,717,870)	(5,193,800)	(2,779,723)	(1,236,452)
Basic and diluted net loss per share (restated)(3) (4)	(1.72)	(2.96)	(0.80)	(0.44)	(0.28)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	10,220,223	7,329,876	6,474,538	6,318,233	4,439,534
Balance Sheet Data					
Cash, cash equivalents and securities available-for-sale	\$ 5,150,088	\$ 6,498,879	\$ 4,466,382	\$ 8,689,434	\$ 4,129,545
Total assets	8,746,846	8,732,538	5,419,865	10,278,804	4,585,344
Long-term obligations, less current portion	5,566,686	1,769,009	410,601	482,004	33,737
Series A preferred stock (restated)(5)	12,015,000	12,015,000			
Accumulated deficit	(49,601,325)	(32,001,286)	(10,283,416)	(5,089,616)	(2,309,893)
Shareholders' equity (net capital deficiency)	(13,492,201)	(7,428,835)	4,218,480	9,206,013	4,133,063

(1) Expenses increased in 2000 due to our 80.1% share of the losses in our joint venture with Elan Corporation, plc, as described in Item 7 in the subsections entitled General Overview and Results of Operations .

(2) Basic and diluted net loss per common share and shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per common share in 1997 are pro forma, adjusted to reflect the effect of the assumed conversion of convertible preferred stock from the original date of issuance.

(3) Equity in net loss of joint venture has been restated to record \$12,015,000, originally expensed in the year ended December 31, 1999 to the year ended December 31, 2000. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

(4) The net loss per common share for 2001 and 2000 has been restated to eliminate the 7% dividend previously accrued on the Series A Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

(5) Shareholders' equity for 2001, 2000 and 1999 has been restated to classify the Series A Convertible Exchangeable Preferred Stock outside of permanent equity. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Information

Statements made in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A that are not statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are identified by words such as believe, anticipate, expect, intend, plan, will, may and other similar expressions. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, but are not necessarily limited to, those relating to:

results and timing of our clinical trials, including the results of the Metformin GR and Ciprofloxacin GR trials and publication of those results;

our ability to obtain a marketing partner for Ciprofloxacin GR product; and

our plans to develop other product candidates.

Factors that could cause actual results or conditions to differ from those anticipated by these and other forward-looking statements include those more fully described in the ADDITIONAL FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS section and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A. We are not obligated to update or revise these forward-looking statements to reflect new events or circumstances.

General Overview

We are a development stage company engaged in the development of new and proprietary oral drug delivery technologies. Our primary oral drug delivery system is the patented Gastric Retention System (the GR System). The GR System is designed to be retained in the stomach for an extended period of time while it delivers the incorporated drug or drugs, on a continuous, controlled release basis. By incorporation into the GR System, a drug currently taken two or three times a day may be administered only once a day. At present, several drug compounds incorporated in the GR System are in advanced clinical trial development. In January 2002, a patent on our GR System was issued, which expands the coverage of our technology for the controlled delivery of a broad range of drugs from a gastric retained polymer matrix tablet to maximize therapeutic benefits. Our intellectual property position includes five issued patents and twelve patent applications pending in the United States. We have also developed the Reduced Irritation System (the RI System), (together with the GR System, the DepoMed Systems) which is designed to provide for significant reduction in local upper gastrointestinal irritation from the effects of certain drugs.

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, the company, DepoMed, we, us, and our, refer to DepoMed, Inc.

We develop proprietary products utilizing our technology internally, as well as in collaboration with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Regarding our collaborative programs, we apply our technology to the partner's compound and from these collaborations we expect to receive research and development funding, milestone payments, license fees and royalties. For our internal development programs, we apply our proprietary technology to existing drugs and fund development through Phase II clinical trials. With the Phase II clinical trial results, we generally seek a collaborative partner for marketing and sales, as well as to complete the funding of the clinical trials. We also expect to receive milestone payments, license fees and royalties from these later stage collaborations.

We have internally developed a potential once-daily metformin product for Type II diabetes, Metformin GR, which is currently in pivotal Phase III human clinical trials. In May 2002, we entered into an agreement with Biovail Laboratories Incorporated (Biovail) granting Biovail an exclusive license in the United States and Canada to manufacture and market our Metformin GR. Under the agreement, we are responsible for completing the clinical development program in support of Metformin GR. The agreement provides for a \$25.0 million milestone payment to us upon FDA approval of the product and further provides for royalties on net sales of Metformin GR. Biovail has an option to reduce certain of the royalties for a one-time payment to us of \$35.0 million. If we do not continue to fund development costs of Metformin GR, Biovail has the right to assume that expense in return for a reduction in royalties and the one-time \$35.0 million optional payment to be paid by Biovail. The agreement was subject to a 30-day review period by U.S. antitrust regulatory authorities and became effective in July 2002.

In July 2002, we issued 2,465,878 shares of our common stock to Biovail at \$5.00 per share, for net proceeds of approximately \$12,264,000. Additionally, Biovail received an option to purchase up to 821,959 shares of our common stock at \$5.125 per share, subject to a call provision if the common stock price exceeds \$6.50 for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days anytime after November 6, 2002. Biovail also received a three-year option to purchase additional shares of our common stock in an amount sufficient for Biovail to hold 20% of our common stock following exercise of the option at an exercise price initially equal to \$5.00 per share and increasing at 20% per year, compounded monthly.

In January 2002, a broad patent covering the GR System was issued. We subsequently filed and served a complaint against Bristol-Myers Squibb claiming that Bristol-Myers' metformin product, Glucophage(R) XR, infringes our United States Patent No. 6,340,475, as well as other matters set forth in the complaint. On November 7, 2002, we entered into an agreement in principle with Bristol-Myers related to the settlement of the litigation providing for a one-time payment of \$18.0 million to be made to us. Both parties will release all claims in the lawsuit against each other and grant to each other a limited non-exclusive royalty free license, as set forth in the agreement. The license that Bristol-Myers will obtain from us under the agreement will extend to certain current and future products.

In January 2001, we completed a Phase I human clinical trial with an internally developed once-daily formulation of the antibiotic drug ciprofloxacin, called Ciprofloxacin GR , for urinary tract infections. Our formulation was found to have comparable bioavailability and had a significantly extended blood plasma

concentration profile compared with CIPRO®, a currently marketed ciprofloxacin HCl immediate release product that is taken twice per day. In June 2002, we completed a Phase II human clinical trial with Ciprofloxacin GR compared with CIPRO, for urinary tract infections. Both treatments were comparably effective in eradication of causative organisms and resolution of clinical signs and symptoms. In addition, patients treated with Ciprofloxacin GR reported fewer gastrointestinal adverse effects compared to the patients treated with CIPRO. These results were consistent with reports of gastrointestinal adverse effects in our Phase I trial in 2001. We are currently in discussions with potential marketing partners for this product and we are also considering whether to initiate Phase III trials.

In October 2002, we signed an agreement with ActivBiotics, Inc. to begin feasibility studies to develop a controlled-release oral tablet which delivers ActivBiotics' broad-spectrum antibiotic, rifalazil, to the gastrointestinal tract.

In addition, we are developing other product candidates expected to benefit from incorporation into our drug delivery systems. For example, we successfully completed a Phase I clinical trial of the drug furosemide incorporated into the GR System for cardiovascular indications in September 2002. Further, we have begun a feasibility study of a combination product comprising our Metformin GR once-daily formulation of Metformin with a once-daily sulfonylurea for Type II diabetes.

Future clinical progress of our products depends primarily on the result of each ongoing study. There can be no assurance that a clinical trial will be successful or that the product will gain regulatory approval. For a more complete discussion of the risks and uncertainties associated with completing development of a potential product, see the sections of Item 1 entitled "Patents and Proprietary Rights", "Manufacturing, Marketing and Sales", "Government Regulation", the section of Item 7 entitled "Additional Factors that May Affect Future Results" and elsewhere in this Form 10-K/A.

In November 1999, we entered into an agreement to form a joint venture with Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd. (together "Elan") to develop products using drug delivery technologies and expertise of both Elan and DepoMed. In January 2000, the definitive agreements were signed to form this joint venture, DepoMed Development, Ltd. ("DDL"), a Bermuda limited liability company. DDL is initially owned 80.1% by DepoMed and 19.9% by Elan. DepoMed began subcontract development work for DDL in January 2000 and DDL's first product candidate successfully completed Phase I clinical trials in the first quarter of 2001. Patent applications have been filed for this product and the product rights are available to a potential marketing partner for further development. DDL's second product candidate successfully completed Phase I clinical trials in the first quarter of 2002 and DDL's third product candidate had been in preclinical testing. However, as of August 2002, the development of these products has been stopped. We are currently discussing an agreement with Elan relating to the dissolution of DDL that would grant to us rights to the product candidates developed in the joint venture, subject to a royalty payment to Elan in the event that any of those products are commercialized. If we fail to reach mutually agreeable terms with Elan regarding the dissolution of the joint venture, we will not have rights to develop those products. As a result of ongoing negotiations, we are currently unable to determine the full impact or timing of a termination of our joint venture with Elan. In November 2002, we reached an agreement whereby Elan waived its right to terminate the technology license from Elan to DDL that Elan had as a result of the sale of securities to Biovail in July 2002. As a result of the waiver, Elan has no right to accelerate our payment obligation under the convertible promissory note we issued to them in January 2000.

In addition to research and development conducted on our own behalf and through collaborations with pharmaceutical partners, our activities since inception (August 7, 1995) have included establishing our offices and research facilities, recruiting personnel, filing patent applications, developing a business strategy and raising capital. To date, we have received only limited revenue, all of which has been from these collaborative research and feasibility arrangements. We intend to continue investing in the further development of our drug delivery technologies and the DepoMed Systems. We will need to make additional capital investments in laboratories and related facilities. As additional personnel are hired in 2002 and our potential products proceed through the development process, expenses can be expected to increase from their 2001 levels.

DepoMed has generated a cumulative net loss of approximately \$49,601,000 for the period from inception through December 31, 2001. Of this loss, \$17,376,000 is attributable to our share of the equity in the net loss of DDL.

Critical Accounting Policies

We consider certain accounting policies related to revenue recognition and use of estimates to be critical policies.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue related to collaborative research agreements with corporate partners and from DDL is recognized as the expenses are incurred for each contract. We are required to perform research activities as specified in each respective agreement on a best efforts basis, and we are reimbursed based on the costs associated with supplies, other outsourced activities and the hours worked by employees on each specific contract. Our business strategy includes performing additional development work for our partners, which we expect will include milestone payments and license fees. We will recognize nonrefundable milestone payments pursuant to collaborative agreements upon the achievement of specified milestones where no further obligation to perform exists under that provision of the arrangement. License fees will be recognized over the period of a specific contract or, if no continuing involvement exists, such license fees will be recognized upon receipt.

Use of Estimates

In preparing our financial statements to conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates include useful lives for fixed assets for depreciation calculations and assumptions for valuing options and warrants. Estimates in the future may include estimated lives for license agreements and the related recognition of revenue. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Valuation of Exchange Option of Series A Preferred Stock

We periodically monitor the redemption value of the Series A Preferred Stock, as measured by 30.1% of the fair value of the joint venture that Elan would receive, less the cash payable to us, upon exchange of these securities by Elan. If and when the redemption value of the Series A Preferred Stock exceeds its then current carrying value, we will accrete the carrying value of the Series A Preferred Stock to the redemption value and recognize a corresponding dividend to the Series A Preferred shareholder. We will recognize subsequent increases or decreases in redemption value of the Series A Preferred Stock; however, decreases will be limited to amounts previously recorded as increases, so as not to reduce the carrying amount of the Series A Preferred Stock below the original basis of \$12,015,000. The determination of fair value of the joint venture requires us to make estimates and assumptions that relate, in part, to the potential success of the joint venture's ongoing research and development activities. There is inherent risk in making such assumptions and, as a result, actual fair value may differ from such estimates of fair value.

Restatement of Financial Information

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

The accompanying balance sheets and statements of redeemable preferred stock and shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 have been restated to present our Series A Preferred Stock, with a carrying amount of \$12,015,000, outside of permanent shareholders' equity, as a result of the adoption of Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Topic No. D-98, *Classification of and Measurement of Redeemable Securities* (Topic No. D-98). We issued the Series A Preferred Stock in connection with the formation of DDL, our joint venture with Elan Corporation. Shares of the Series A Preferred Stock are exchangeable for a portion of our investment in DDL. The effect of this restatement is to reduce total shareholders' equity by \$12,015,000 for the periods presented. See Note 7 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Redeemable Preferred Stock and Shareholders' Equity, *Series A Preferred Stock*.

Net loss per common share for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 has been restated to eliminate the 7% dividends previously accrued on the Series A Preferred Stock and included in the net loss applicable to common shareholders. As the dividends are only convertible into our common stock, the amounts previously recorded as dividends represent adjustments to the conversion price that are accounted for under EITF Issue No. 98-5, *Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios* (Issue No. 98-5). Since the commitment date fair market value of the maximum number of common shares that could be issued pursuant to conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock is less than the proceeds of issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock, the Series A Preferred Stock does not contain a beneficial conversion feature subject to recognition pursuant to Issue No. 98-5. See Note 7 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Redeemable Preferred Stock and Shareholders' Equity, *Series A Preferred Stock*.

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

The statements of redeemable preferred stock and shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2000 and 1999 have also been restated to present our Series A Preferred Stock as issued in 2000 instead of in 1999 when such securities were originally recorded as issuable securities. Upon further analysis, we are no longer able to assert that the capital stock issuance occurred prior to December 31, 1999, and therefore, such amounts have been amended in the statements of redeemable preferred stock and shareholders' equity to reflect the issuance of the capital stock in the year ended December 31, 2000. This restatement does not affect our financial position at December 31, 2001 or 2000, or the statements of operations or cash flows for any of the periods presented.

The equity loss in joint venture for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 has been also restated to record \$12,015,000, originally expensed in the year ended December 31, 1999 to the year ended December 31, 2000. These amounts represent our share of the net loss of DDL. DDL incurred an expense of \$15,000,000 when it acquired the license from Elan to certain in-process technology to be used in the development of unproven novel therapeutic products. Upon further analysis, we are no longer able to assert that all the rights and privileges were received by DDL prior to December 31, 1999. Therefore, such amounts have been amended to reflect the associated license expenses in the year ended December 31, 2000. This restatement does not affect net loss for any other periods previously reported nor accumulated deficit at December 31, 2000 or 2001. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Research Arrangements, *Elan Corporation, plc*.

The effect of both the elimination of the dividends discussed above and the change in the period of recording the equity loss in the joint venture from 1999 to 2000 and the related net loss per common share follows. The restatement to record the issuance of Series A redeemable preferred stock and common stock to Elan in 2000 instead of 1999 does not have an impact on the statements of operations for these periods presented.

	Year Ended December 31,			Period From
	2001	2000	1999	Inception (August 7, 1995) to December 31, 2001
As previously reported:				
Equity loss in joint venture	\$ (3,173,409)	\$ (2,187,627)	\$ (12,015,000)	\$ (17,376,036)
Net loss	(17,600,039)	(9,702,870)	(17,208,800)	(49,601,325)
Preferred dividend	(913,000)	(807,000)		(1,720,000)
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$ (18,513,039)	\$ (10,509,870)	\$ (17,208,800)	\$ (51,321,325)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (1.81)	\$ (1.43)	\$ (2.66)	
As restated:				
Equity loss in joint venture	\$ (3,173,409)	\$ (14,202,627)	\$	\$ (17,376,036)
Net loss	(17,600,039)	(21,717,870)	(5,193,800)	(49,601,325)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (1.72)	\$ (2.96)	\$ (0.80)	

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

Revenues

Revenues for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 were approximately \$3,673,000, \$1,776,000 and \$115,000, respectively. In 2001, these revenues consisted of \$2,126,000 earned for development work performed for DDL, our joint venture with Elan, and \$1,547,000 earned from a collaboration with an undisclosed partner. We expect to perform additional development work for the undisclosed partner in 2002. Development work performed for DDL is funded by the joint venture partners at the partners' pro rata ownership percentage, up to an aggregate maximum amount of \$10,000,000. As of December 31, 2001, the amount available for development funding was \$3,307,000. The funding period terminated on January 21, 2002; however, the partners agreed to extend the period through September 2002. In 2000, our revenues consisted of \$1,754,000 earned for development work performed for DDL and \$22,000 earned from another small collaboration. In 1999, our

revenues consisted entirely of amounts earned under a feasibility arrangement with R. W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute (PRI). In the first quarter of 1999, we successfully completed the first phase of formulation development under a feasibility agreement with PRI. PRI has requested no further development work from us and therefore we do not expect to receive any additional revenue from this agreement.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 was approximately \$15,461,000, compared to approximately \$7,488,000 and \$3,734,000 during the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The increase in 2001 was primarily due to expense of \$6,102,000 for clinical trials with DepoMed proprietary products, including a Phase III trial with Metformin GR and a Phase II trial with Ciprofloxacin GR, which began in the third and fourth quarters of 2001, respectively. Other increases included \$781,000 related to the hiring of additional employees and related expenses, \$329,000 related to increased laboratory supplies for additional projects, and \$208,000 related to increased depreciation and amortization expense of additional equipment and facilities improvements.

Our research and development expenses currently include costs for scientific personnel, supplies, equipment, outsourced clinical and other research activities, consultants, patent filings, depreciation, utilities, administrative expenses and an allocation of corporate costs. The scope and magnitude of future research and development expenses cannot be predicted at this time for our product candidates in the early phases of research and development as it is not possible to determine the nature, timing and extent of clinical trials and studies, the FDA's requirements for a particular drug and the requirements and level of participation, if any, by potential partners. As potential products proceed through the development process, each step is typically more extensive, and therefore more expensive, than the previous step. Success in development therefore results, generally, in increasing expenditures. Furthermore, our business strategy involves licensing certain of our drug candidates to collaborative partners. Depending upon when such collaborative arrangements are executed, the amount of costs incurred solely by us will be impacted.

Our largest research and development expense over the last three years has been related to the clinical trials of Metformin GR. In 2001, for example, costs incurred in connection with Metformin GR comprised approximately 60% of our total research and development costs incurred in that year. This percentage may increase in the future due to the increasing emphasis on and spending for the Metformin GR clinical trials as compared to other research and development projects. As of September 2002, we estimate that the costs to complete the related clinical trials and studies will not exceed \$22 million, including costs for internal project management and support. As presented in the table below, we currently expect to complete the Phase III clinical trials by December 2003. Once these trials are successfully completed, DepoMed will be able to file a New Drug Application seeking approval from the FDA to market Metformin GR.

Since 2000, we have incurred research and development expenses of approximately \$1.8 million and \$2.1 million in 2000 and 2001, respectively, related to conducting research and development activities on behalf of our joint venture, DDL. The services performed under this arrangement relate to undisclosed compounds selected by both partners. Such expenses will be approximately \$1.2 million in 2002 and we do not expect any expenses in 2003 or thereafter. As of August 31, 2002, the related research activities have ceased, and no other work will be performed. We will incur no additional associated expenses and no additional associated revenues will be recorded related to research services performed on behalf of DDL.

Our research and development activities can be divided into earlier stage programs, which include analytical testing, process development, pilot-scale production and preclinical testing, and later stage programs, which include clinical testing, regulatory affairs and manufacturing clinical supplies. The costs associated with these programs approximate the following:

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

	2001	2000	1999
Earlier stage programs	\$ 3,618,000	\$ 2,821,000	\$ 2,915,000
Later stage programs	11,843,000	4,667,000	819,000
	\$ 15,461,000	\$ 7,488,000	\$ 3,734,000

Our research and development activities can be divided into those related to our internal projects and those related to collaborative arrangements. The costs related to internal projects versus collaborative arrangements approximate the following:

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

	2001	2000	1999
Internal projects	\$ 12,250,000	\$ 5,927,000	\$ 3,584,000
Collaborative arrangements	3,211,000	1,561,000	150,000
	\$ 15,461,000	\$ 7,488,000	\$ 3,734,000

In 1999, 2000 and 2001, our most advanced project, Metformin GR, accounted for approximately 35%, 55% and 60%, respectively, of our total research and development costs for that year. In each year, no other project exceeded 20% of our total research and development costs.

The following table summarizes our principal product development initiatives and the related stages of development for each product in development. The information in the column labeled Estimated Completion Date of Current Phase contains forward-looking statements regarding timing of completion of product development phases. The actual timing of completion of those phases could differ materially from the estimates provided in the table. For a discussion of the risks and uncertainties associated with the timing of completing a product development phase, see Additional Factors that May Affect Future Results and elsewhere in this Form 10-K/A. In addition to the products listed below, we enter into feasibility studies with collaborative partners that, if successful, may be followed by definitive agreements to complete development of the product.

Program	Partner	Potential Indications	Development Status(1)	Estimated Completion Date of Current Phase
Metformin GR	In-house	Type II diabetes	Phase III clinical trial 85% enrolled	4 th quarter 2003
Ciprofloxacin GR	In-house	Various bacterial infections	Phase II clinical trial 100% enrolled	3 rd quarter 2002
Furosemide GR	In-house	Cardiovascular/ antihypertensive	Phase I clinical trial underway	3 rd quarter 2002
Metformin GR and sulfonylurea	In-house	Type II diabetes	Feasibility studies underway	4 th quarter 2002
Generic compound(2)	Elan Corporation, plc	Confidential(3)	Phase I clinical trial complete	Unknown, partnering possibilities under study
Generic compound(2)	Elan Corporation, plc	Confidential(3)	Phase I clinical trial underway	2 nd quarter 2002
Generic compound(2)	Elan Corporation, plc	Confidential(3)	Preclinical testing underway	Unknown

Generic compound(2)

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Undisclosed NEUGENE antisense compound	AVI BioPharma, Inc.	Confidential(4)	Preclinical testing underway	Unknown
--	---------------------	-----------------	------------------------------	---------

(1) Development Status is as of April 1, 2002, the filing date of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(2) The compound may not be disclosed pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the company and Elan Corporation, plc. See Collaborative Relationships.

(3) The potential indication may not be disclosed pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the company and Elan Corporation, plc. See Collaborative Relationships.

(4) The potential indication may not be disclosed pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the company and AVI BioPharma, Inc. See Collaborative Relationships.

We expect that the pharmaceutical products that we develop internally will take, on average, from four to six years to research, develop and obtain FDA approval in the United States. We generally must conduct preclinical testing on laboratory animals of new pharmaceutical products prior to commencement of clinical studies involving human beings. These studies evaluate the potential efficacy and safety of the product. We then submit the results of these studies to the FDA as part of an Investigational New Drug Application (or IND) which, if successful, allows the opportunity for clinical study of the potential new medicine.

Typically, human clinical evaluation involves a time-consuming and costly three-phase process:

In Phase I, we conduct clinical trials with a small number of subjects to determine a drug's early safety profile and its blood concentration profile over time. A Phase I trial for our average potential product may take 6 to 12 months to complete.

In Phase II, we conduct limited clinical trials with groups of patients afflicted with a specific disease in order to determine preliminary efficacy, optimal dosages and further evidence of safety. A Phase II trial for our average potential product may take 9 to 18 months to complete.

In Phase III, we conduct large-scale, multi-center, comparative trials with patients afflicted with a target disease in order to provide enough data to demonstrate the efficacy and safety required by the FDA prior to commercialization of the product. A Phase III trial for our average potential product may take 1 to 3 years to complete.

The most significant costs associated with clinical development are the Phase III trials as they tend to be the longest and largest studies conducted during the drug development process. We currently have one potential product in Phase III. The successful development of pharmaceutical products is highly uncertain. The FDA closely monitors the progress of each phase of clinical testing. The FDA may, at its discretion, re-evaluate, alter, suspend or terminate testing based upon the data accumulated to that point and the FDA's assessment of the risk/benefit ratio to patients.

Various statutes and regulations also govern or influence the manufacturing, safety, labeling, storage and record keeping for each product. The lengthy process of seeking FDA approvals, and the subsequent compliance with applicable statutes and regulation, require the expenditure of substantial resources.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 was approximately \$2,534,000, compared to approximately \$2,026,000 and \$1,872,000 during the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The increase in 2001 was primarily due to expense of \$322,000 related to increased patent and other legal services in regard to business development. Other increases included expense of \$84,000 related to stock listing fees and expense of \$64,000 related to increased insurance limits on directors and officers insurance. We expect general and administrative expense to increase, but at a lower rate than research and development expenses.

Equity in Loss of Joint Venture

In Phase I, we conduct clinical trials with a small number of subjects to determine a drug's early safety profile and

In the fourth quarter of 1999, we entered into an agreement with Elan to form a joint venture. In January 2000, definitive agreements were signed to form our joint venture, DDL. While we own 80.1% of the outstanding capital stock (and 100% of the outstanding common stock) of DDL, Elan and its subsidiaries have retained significant minority investor rights that are considered participating rights as defined in the Emerging Issues Task Force Consensus No. 96-16. For example, Elan has 50% of voting rights on management and research committees that approve all business plans, operating budgets and research plans. Each matter brought to the respective committee must have the approval of at least one of the Elan directors. Therefore, Elan has the ability to veto any matter that comes before the committees. Accordingly, we do not consolidate the financials statements of DDL, but instead account for our investment in DDL under the equity method of accounting. Separate financial statements for DDL are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K/A.

For the year ended December 31, 2001, DDL recognized a loss of \$3,962,000, which included \$3,927,000 in research and development expense and \$35,000 in general and administrative expense. For the period from inception to December 31, 2000, DDL recognized a loss of \$17,731,000, which included \$2,709,000 in research and development expense, a \$15,000,000 expense related to a charge for acquiring research and development rights related to certain Elan drug delivery technologies and \$22,000 in general and administrative expense. The increase in research and development expense was due to increased clinical trials activity and increased development work conducted on additional product candidates.

The equity in the loss of DDL is based on 100% of DDL's losses (since DepoMed owns 100% of the DDL voting common stock), less the amounts funded by Elan. Our equity in loss of the joint venture for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 has been restated to record our 80.1% share of DDL's \$15,000,000 expense to acquire the Elan technology rights from the year ended December 31, 1999 to the year ended December 31, 2000. Our share of the DDL's loss was \$3,173,000 and \$14,203,000 for 2001 and 2000, respectively. We were responsible, at our sole discretion, for funding 80.1% of DDL's cash requirements up to a maximum of \$8,010,000 and Elan was

responsible, at its sole discretion, for funding 19.9% of DDL's cash requirements up to a maximum of \$1,990,000 through January 21, 2002. On a quarterly basis, the Elan and DepoMed directors of DDL reviewed and mutually agreed on the next quarter's funding of the joint venture's cash needs. DDL does not have any fixed assets or employees and its primary focus is to conduct research and development for potential products using intellectual property of Elan and DepoMed. Elan made available to us a convertible loan facility to assist us in funding our portion of the joint venture losses up to a maximum of \$8,010,000 through January 21, 2002. We and Elan have extended the funding period for research and development as well as the funding period of the loan facility through September 2002. We expect our share of DDL's loss will be approximately \$2,500,000 through September 2002 and no expenses thereafter. As our funding of DDL equals our equity in the net loss of DDL, we had no carrying value in the DDL investment as of December 31, 2001 and 2000.

Interest Expense

Net interest expense was approximately \$105,000 for the year ended December 31, 2001 compared to net interest income of approximately \$223,000 and \$297,000 for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively. In 2001, interest income decreased to \$257,000 from \$317,000 and \$372,000 in 2000 and 1999, respectively. The decrease was due to declining cash and investment balances. In 2001, the interest expense accrued on the Elan convertible loan facility increased to \$235,000 from \$30,000 and interest expense on long-term debt and capital leases increased to \$126,000 in 2001 from \$64,000 and \$76,000 in 2000 and 1999, respectively. The increase in interest expense from year to year was due to increasing debt balances on the Elan convertible loan facility and the equipment loans (See Note 5 of the Notes to Financial Statements). Net interest income also includes immaterial gains realized on the sale of some of our marketable securities.

Series A Preferred Stock Dividend

In January 2000, we issued 12,015 shares of Series A Preferred Stock at a price of \$1,000 per share to fund our 80.1% share of the initial capitalization of DDL. The Series A preferred stock accrues a dividend of 7% per annum, compounded semi-annually and payable in shares of Series A preferred stock. The Series A preferred stock dividends are convertible at anytime after January 2002 into DepoMed's common stock. The original conversion price of the Series A Preferred Stock was \$12.00; however, as a result of our March 2002 financing, the conversion price has been adjusted to \$10.66 per share. As the dividends are only convertible into DepoMed common shares, the amounts previously recorded as the dividends represent adjustments to the conversion price that are accounted for under EITF Issue No. 98-5, *Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios*. Since the commitment date fair market value of the maximum number of common shares that could be issued pursuant to conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock is less than the proceeds of issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock, the Series A Preferred Stock does not contain a beneficial conversion feature subject to recognition pursuant to Issue No. 98-5.

Stock Option Grants

In June 2001, the Board of Directors authorized an increase in the number of shares authorized for issuance under our 1995 Stock Option Plan by 500,000 shares. This increase was not submitted for shareholder approval until the 2002 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 30, 2002. In November and December 2001, we granted options to purchase approximately 310,000 shares out of the proposed 500,000 share increase of common stock at exercise prices of \$5.50 and \$5.80, which represent the fair market value of our common stock on the respective dates of grant. However, as the options were not deemed authorized for grant until the shareholders approved the increase in the number of shares authorized under the Plan, the applicable measurement date for accounting purposes was the date such approval was obtained. If the fair market value of our common stock had been greater than the exercise price on the approval date, we would have been required to recognize the difference as a non-cash compensation expense. However, since the fair market value of the underlying common stock was lower than the exercise price on the date of shareholder approval, May 30, 2002, we were not required to recognize any compensation expense related to these

grants.

Net Operating Losses

We have not generated any taxable income to date. At December 31, 2001, the net operating losses available to offset future taxable income for federal income tax purposes were approximately \$34,000,000. Future utilization of carryforwards may be limited in any fiscal year pursuant to Internal Revenue Code regulations. The carryforwards expire at various dates beginning in 2003 through 2021 if not utilized. As a result of the annual

limitation, anticipated and future losses or changes in ownership of the company, all or a portion of these carryforwards may expire before becoming available to reduce our federal income tax liabilities.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Operating Activities

Cash used in operations in the year ended December 31, 2001 was approximately \$12,398,000, compared to approximately \$6,652,000 and \$3,945,000 for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999. In 2001, the change in cash used in operations was due primarily to the net loss offset by our share of the loss of the joint venture and increases in accounts payable due to increased clinical trials activity. During the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, the change in cash used in operations was due primarily to our net loss offset by our share of the net loss of the joint venture.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2001 totaled approximately \$1,722,000 and consisted of approximately \$3,012,000 related to the investment in our joint venture and \$1,325,000 related to purchases of lab equipment, leasehold improvements, furniture and computers, which were offset by a net decrease in marketable securities of \$2,615,000. Cash used in investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2000 totaled approximately \$13,435,000 and consisted of approximately \$13,518,000 related to the investment in our joint venture and \$900,000 related to leasehold improvement expenditures and the purchase of lab equipment, which were partially offset by a net decrease in marketable securities of \$983,000. Cash provided by investing activities in the year ended December 31, 1999 totaled approximately \$885,000 and consisted of a net decrease of approximately \$1,023,000 of marketable securities, which was offset by purchases of laboratory equipment, fixtures and office equipment. We expect that future capital expenditures may include additional product development and quality control laboratory equipment as we work towards implementation of current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) in our laboratories.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2001 was \$15,392,000 and consisted primarily of net proceeds of \$11,331,000 received in June in a private placement of a combination of common stock and warrants (See Note 7 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Shareholders' Equity, *Private Placements*). Proceeds of \$3,012,000 were received on the convertible loan facility provided by Elan to fund our share of DDL's expenses and \$1,347,000 was received on our equipment loan facility (See Note 5 of the Notes to Financial Statements). Proceeds from financing activities were offset by \$305,000 in payments on the equipment loan and capital lease obligations. Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2000 was \$23,031,000 and consisted primarily of proceeds received in private placements of common stock and warrants and preferred stock. In January 2000, we completed a private placement of 714,286 shares of common stock, sold to Elan at a price of \$7.00 per share, with net proceeds of approximately \$4,915,000. Also in January 2000, we sold 12,015 shares of convertible preferred stock to Elan for \$1,000 per share, and these proceeds were used for the initial capitalization of DDL. Additionally, proceeds of \$1,503,000 were received on the loan facility provided by Elan. In November 2000, we completed a private placement of 1,428,550 shares of common stock and 357,100 warrants for net proceeds of \$4,762,000. Proceeds received were offset by \$165,000 in payments on equipment loans and capital leases. Cash used in financing activities in the year ended December 31, 1999 was approximately \$65,000 and consisted of payments on an equipment financing credit facility established in 1998 and capital lease obligations

totaling approximately \$175,000, offset against \$106,000 in proceeds from the 1998 equipment financing credit facility.

Series A Preferred Stock

In January 2000, we issued 12,015 shares of Series A Preferred Stock to Elan to fund our 80.1% share of the initial capitalization of DDL. At Elan's option, the Series A Preferred Stock is convertible into DepoMed's common stock or may be exchanged for a 30.1% interest in DDL. In July 2001, the EITF issued EITF Topic No. D-98 *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities*. Topic No. D-98 clarifies Rule 5-02.28 of Regulation S-X and requires preferred securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets to be classified outside of permanent equity if the redemption of the securities is outside of the issuer's control. The exchange feature of our Series A Preferred Stock makes the stock subject to reclassification under Topic No. D-98.

Accordingly, we classified our Series A Preferred Stock, in the amount of \$12,015,000, outside of Shareholders' Equity, which has resulted in a \$12,015,000 increase in our Net Capital Deficiency at December 31, 2001. If Elan elects to exchange the Series A Preferred Stock for a 30.1% interest in DDL, Elan would also be required to reimburse DepoMed for 30.1% of DDL's historical losses, excluding the technology license. However, as of October 2002, we are in discussions with Elan regarding Elan's termination of the relevant exchange right included in the Series A Preferred Stock agreement. If we are successful in negotiating the termination of the exchange right, the Series A Preferred Stock will be reclassified to permanent equity. Similarly, if Elan elects to convert the Series A Preferred Stock into our common stock, \$12,015,000 will be reclassified to permanent equity.

Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, there was \$4,779,000 and \$1,533,000 outstanding related to the loan facility provided by Elan. The outstanding amounts include accrued interest of \$264,000 and \$30,000 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The amount available for funding through the facility was approximately \$3,464,000 at the end of 2001. The funding term of the loan expired on January 21, 2002, however we are in negotiations with Elan to extend the funding period through December 2002. Although the funding term has not formally been extended as of March 31, 2002, Elan has allowed funding under the facility. We expect we will continue to draw on the facility through at least December 2002. The loan and accrued interest are payable in January 2006 in cash or our common stock, at Elan's option.

Through December 31, 2001, we have invested approximately \$3,403,000 in equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements, of which approximately \$1,947,000 was financed through long-term debt equipment financing arrangements. As of December 31, 2001, the borrowing terms of the financing arrangements have expired. If we do not obtain additional credit arrangements, we will need to spend our own resources for future equipment purchases.

As of December 31, 2001, our contractual obligations are as follows:

Year ending December 31,	Operating Leases	Capital Leases	Long-term Debt
2002	\$ 649,328	\$ 15,361	\$ 707,082
2003	684,635	4,544	523,199
2004	722,736		343,352
2005	152,250		88,652
	\$ 2,208,949	\$ 19,905	\$ 1,662,285

As of December 31, 2001, we had approximately \$5,150,000 in cash and cash equivalents, working capital of \$1,730,000, and we had accumulated net losses of \$49,601,000. We expect to continue to incur operating losses over the next several years. On March 22, 2002 we completed an equity private placement for net proceeds of \$8,304,000 (See Note 10 of the Notes to Financial Statements, *Subsequent Events*). We anticipate that our existing capital resources, including the proceeds from our March 2002 financing and the \$18.0 million we expect to receive in connection with the settlement of our litigation with Bristol-Myers, will permit us to meet our capital and operational requirements through at least December 31, 2003. However, we base this expectation on our current operating plan that may change as a result of many factors. Accordingly, we could require additional funding sooner than anticipated. Our cash needs may also vary materially from our current expectations because of numerous factors, including:

results of research and development;

results of license negotiations;

relationships with collaborative partners;

changes in the focus and direction of our research and development programs;

technological advances; and

results of clinical testing, requirements of the FDA and comparable foreign regulatory agencies.

We will need substantial funds of our own or from third parties to:

conduct research and development programs;

conduct preclinical and clinical testing; and

manufacture (or have manufactured) and market (or have marketed) potential products using the DepoMed Systems.

Our existing capital resources may not be sufficient to fund our operations until such time as we may be able to generate sufficient revenues to support our operations. We have limited credit facilities and no other committed source of capital. To the extent that our capital resources are insufficient to meet our future capital requirements, we will have to raise additional funds to continue our development programs. We may not be able to raise such additional capital on favorable terms, or at all. If the company raises additional capital by selling its equity or convertible debt securities, the issuance of such securities could result in dilution of our shareholders' equity positions. If adequate funds are not available the company may have to:

curtail operations significantly; or

obtain funds through entering into collaboration agreements on unattractive terms.

The inability to raise capital would have a material adverse effect on the company.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In July 1999, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) announced the delay of the effective date of Statement of Financial Reporting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 133), and also, in June 2000, the FASB issued SFAS No. 138, an amendment to SFAS 133. SFAS 133, as amended, requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value. Change in the fair value of derivatives is recorded each period in current earnings or other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is designed as part of a hedge transaction, and, if so, the type of hedge transaction. We adopted SFAS 133, as amended, on January 1, 2001. The adoption of SFAS 133, as amended, had no impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Reporting Standards No. 141 on Business Combinations (SFAS 141) and Statement of Financial Reporting Standards No. 142 on Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142). SFAS 141 is effective for any business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and also includes the criteria for the recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill. SFAS 142 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and will require that goodwill not be amortized, but rather be subject to an impairment test at least annually. Separately identified and recognized intangible assets resulting from business combinations completed before July 1, 2001 will be reassessed and the remaining amortization periods adjusted accordingly. The adoption of SFAS 141 and 142 had no impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In October 2001, the FASB issued Financial Reporting Standard No. 144 (FAS 144), *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. FAS 144 supersedes Financial Reporting Standard No. 121 (FAS 121), *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of*. The primary objectives of FAS 144 are to develop one accounting model based on the framework established in FAS 121 for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale, and to address significant implementation issues. Our adoption of FAS

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

144 on January 1, 2002 is not expected to have an impact on our financial position and results of operations.

In July 2001, the EITF issued Topic No. D-98, *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities*. Topic No. D-98 clarifies Rule 5-02.28 of Regulation S-X and requires preferred securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets to be classified outside of permanent equity if the redemption the securities is outside of the issuer's control. The application of Topic No. D-98 required that we classify our Series A Preferred Stock, in the amount of \$12,015,000, outside of permanent equity and resulted in a \$12,015,000 increase to our Net Capital Deficiency at December 31, 2001.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

In addition to other information in this report, the following factors should be considered carefully in evaluating the company. We believe the following risks, along with the risks described elsewhere in this Form 10-K/A, are the material risks we face at the present time. If any of the risks or uncertainties described in this Form 10-K/A actually occurs, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially adversely affected. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the company. Additional risks and uncertainties of which we are unaware or currently deem immaterial may also become important factors that may harm our business.

We will need additional capital to support our operations, which may be unavailable or costly.

As of September 30, 2002, our capital resources consist of approximately \$9,074,000 in cash and cash equivalents. We anticipate that our existing capital resources, along with the \$18,000,000 we expect to receive in connection with the settlement of our litigation against Bristol-Myers, will permit us to meet our capital and operational requirements through at least December 2003. However, we base this expectation on our current operating plan, and that plan may change as a result of many factors, including the following:

Greater than expected clinical development costs associated with our exclusive license with Biovail described below under **We are dependent on Biovail for future payments related to the development of Metformin GR.**

Changes in the focus and direction of our research and development programs that could result in costly additional research and delay the eventual sale of our products.

Results of clinical testing and the regulatory requirements of the FDA and comparable foreign regulatory agencies that may lead to cash outlays greater than expected.

Accordingly, we could require additional funding sooner than anticipated.

Further, our existing capital resources may not be sufficient to fund our operations until such time as we may be able to generate sufficient revenues to support our operations. To the extent that our capital resources are insufficient to meet our future capital requirements, we will have to raise additional funds to continue our development programs. We may not be able to raise such additional capital on favorable terms, or at all. If we raise additional capital by selling our equity or convertible debt securities, the issuance of such securities could result in dilution of our shareholders' equity positions. If adequate funds are not available, we may have to curtail operations significantly, or obtain funds through entering into collaboration agreements or settlements on unattractive terms.

We are at an early stage of development and are expecting operating losses in the future.

To date, we have had no revenues from product sales and only minimal revenues from our collaborative research and development arrangements and feasibility studies. For the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001, we had total revenues of \$115,000, \$1,776,000 and \$3,673,000, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001 we incurred losses of \$5.2 million, \$21.7 million and \$17.6 million, respectively. As we continue to expand our research and development efforts, we anticipate that we will continue to incur substantial operating losses for at least the next several years. Therefore, we expect our cumulative losses to increase.

We are dependent on Biovail for future payments related to development of Metformin GR.

In May 2002, we entered into an exclusive license agreement with a subsidiary of Biovail Corporation to manufacture and market Metformin GR, our most advanced product candidate, in the United States and Canada. We are responsible for completing the clinical development of Metformin GR. Biovail will not reimburse us for any of our expenses incurred in connection with the clinical development of Metformin GR. We expect the total remaining amount of development costs for Metformin GR not to exceed \$22.0 million. We will not receive any payments from Biovail until the FDA approves Metformin GR for marketing in the United States, which we do not expect to occur prior to the fourth quarter of 2004. Only at that point will Biovail be required to make a \$25.0 million payment to us. If we do not continue funding development costs of Metformin GR, Biovail would have the right to assume development of Metformin GR. In that event, our future payments from Biovail would be materially reduced.

Most of our revenues are derived from our relationship with Elan, which we expect to be terminated.

We have generated all of our revenues through collaborative arrangements with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. In January 2000, we formed a joint venture with Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd., to develop products using drug delivery technologies and expertise of both Elan and DepoMed. For the years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001, 99% and 58% of our total revenues, respectively, were derived from our joint venture with Elan. In August 2002, work on the joint venture's research and development programs ceased and we are in discussions with Elan related to the dissolution of the joint venture. Accordingly, we do not expect to generate any future revenue from the joint venture.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate and affect our stock price.

The following factors will affect our quarterly operating results and may result in a material adverse effect on our stock price:

variations in revenues obtained from collaborative agreements, including milestone payments, royalties, license fees and other contract revenues;

success or failure of the company in entering into further collaborative relationships;

decisions by collaborative partners to proceed or not to proceed with subsequent phases of the relationship or program;

the timing of any future product introductions by us or our collaborative partners;

market acceptance of the DepoMed Systems;

regulatory actions;

adoption of new technologies;

the introduction of new products by our competitors;

manufacturing costs and capabilities;

changes in government funding; and

third-party reimbursement policies.

Our collaborative agreements may give rise to disputes over ownership of our intellectual property and may adversely affect the commercial success of our products.

Our strategy to continue development and commercialization of products using the DepoMed Systems requires that we enter into additional collaborative arrangements. Collaborative agreements are generally complex and contain provisions which may give rise to disputes regarding the relative rights and obligations of the parties. Such disputes can delay collaborative research, development or commercialization of potential products, or can lead to lengthy, expensive litigation or arbitration. In addition, the terms of collaborative partner agreements may limit or preclude us from developing products or technologies developed pursuant to such agreements. Moreover, collaborative agreements often take considerably longer to conclude than the parties initially anticipate, which could cause us to agree to less favorable agreement terms that delay or defer recovery of our development costs and reduce the funding available to support key programs.

We may not be able to enter into future collaborative arrangements on acceptable terms, which would harm our ability to commercialize our products. Further, even if we do enter into collaboration arrangements, it is possible that our collaborative partners may not choose to develop and make commercial sales of products using the DepoMed Systems technologies. Other factors relating to collaborations that may adversely affect the commercial success of our products include:

any parallel development by a collaborative partner of competitive technologies or products;

arrangement with collaborative partners that limit or preclude us from developing products or technologies;

premature termination of a collaboration agreement; or

failure by a collaborative partner to devote sufficient resources to the development and commercial sales of products using the DepoMed Systems.

Our current and any future collaborative partners may pursue existing or other development-stage products or alternative technologies in preference to those being developed in collaboration with us. Our collaborative partners may also terminate partnerships or otherwise decide not to proceed with development of our products. For example, one of our undisclosed collaborative partners recently elected to suspend indefinitely further development of a potential product we had developed for that partner.

If the settlement and release agreement related to our current lawsuit with Bristol-Myers is not completed as expected, our litigation with Bristol-Myers could continue to distract our management's ability to focus its efforts on our business.

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

In January 2002, a broad patent covering the GR System was issued. We subsequently filed and served a complaint against Bristol-Myers claiming that a Bristol-Myers metformin product, Glucophage(R) XR, infringes our United States Patent No. 6,340,475, as well as other matters set forth in the complaint. On November 7, 2002, we

entered into an agreement in principle with Bristol-Myers related to the settlement of the litigation providing for a one-time payment of \$18.0 million to be made by Bristol-Myers to us. Both parties will release all claims in the lawsuit against each other and grant to each other a limited non-exclusive royalty free license, as set forth in the agreement. The license that Bristol-Myers will obtain from us under the agreement will extend to certain current and future products.

If we and Bristol-Myers fail to execute the settlement and release agreement, we may become engaged in a further dispute or additional litigation with Bristol-Myers over the terms of the agreement in principle, and the payment we expect under the agreement in principle may be delayed. If that occurs, our dispute with Bristol-Myers will continue to divert the efforts and attention of some of our key management and personnel.

It is difficult to develop a successful product. If we do not develop a successful product we will not be able to raise additional funds.

The drug development process is costly, time-consuming and subject to unpredictable delays or failure. Before we or others make commercial sales of products using the DepoMed Systems, we, our current and any future collaborative partners will need to:

conduct clinical tests showing that these products are safe and effective; and

obtain regulatory approval from the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities.

We will have to curtail, redirect or eliminate our product development programs if we or our collaborative partners find that:

the DepoMed Systems prove to have unintended or undesirable side effects; or

products which appear promising in preclinical studies do not demonstrate efficacy in larger scale clinical trials.

Even if our products obtain regulatory approval, successful commercialization would require:

market acceptance;

cost-effective commercial scale production; and

reimbursement under private or governmental health plans.

Any material delay or failure in the development and commercialization of our potential products, particularly Metformin GR or Ciprofloxacin GR, would adversely impact our financial position and liquidity and would make it difficult for us to raise financing on favorable terms, if at all.

If we are unable to obtain or maintain regulatory approval, we will be limited in our ability to commercialize our products, and our business will be harmed.

Our lead product candidate, Metformin GR, is currently in pivotal Phase III human clinical trials. We intend to file a New Drug Application with the FDA for Metformin GR sometime after completion of Phase III human clinical trials, which is expected in the fourth quarter of 2003. However, we do not expect to be able to obtain FDA approval to market Metformin GR prior to the fourth quarter of 2004.

In June 2002, we completed a Phase II human clinical trial with an internally developed once-daily formulation of the antibiotic drug ciprofloxacin, for urinary tract infection. We are currently considering whether to initiate Phase III clinical trials for this product.

The regulatory process is expensive and time consuming. Even after investing significant time and expenditure on clinical trials, we may not obtain regulatory approval of our products. Data obtained from clinical trials are susceptible to varying interpretations that could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. In addition, changes in regulatory policy for product approval during the period of product development and regulatory agency review of each submitted new application may cause delays or rejections. Even if we receive regulatory approval, this approval may entail limitations on the indicated uses for which we can market a product.

Further, once regulatory approval is obtain, a marketed product and its manufacturer are subject to continual review. The discovery of previously unknown problems with a product or manufacturer may result in restrictions on the product, manufacturer or manufacturing facility, including withdrawal of the product from the market.

Manufacturers of approved products are also subject to ongoing regulation, including compliance with FDA regulations governing current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP. Failure to comply with manufacturing regulations can result in, among other things, warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, refusal of the government to renew marketing applications and criminal prosecution.

If we are unable to obtain acceptable prices or adequate reimbursement for our products from third-party payors, we will be unable to generate significant revenues.

In both domestic and foreign markets, sales of our product candidates will depend in part on the availability from third-party payors such as:

government health administration authorities;

private health insurers;

health maintenance organizations;

pharmacy benefit management companies; and

other healthcare-related organizations.

If reimbursement is not available for our product candidates, demand for these products may be limited. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price and cost-effectiveness of medical products and services. Significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved healthcare products, including pharmaceuticals. Our product candidates may not be considered cost effective, and adequate third-party reimbursement may be unavailable to enable us to maintain price levels sufficient to realize a return on our investment.

Federal and state governments in the United States and foreign governments continue to propose and pass new legislation designed to contain or reduce the cost of healthcare. Existing regulations affecting pricing may also change before any of our product candidates are approved for marketing. Cost control initiatives could decrease the price that we receive for any product we may develop in the future.

Business interruptions could limit our ability to operate our business.

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Our operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from computer viruses, human error, natural disasters, telecommunications failures, intentional acts of vandalism and similar events. In particular, our corporate headquarters are located in the San Francisco Bay area, which is known for seismic activity. We have not established a formal disaster recovery plan, and our back-up operations and our business interruption insurance may not be adequate to compensate us for losses that occur. A significant business interruption could result in losses or damages incurred by us and require us to cease or curtail our operations.

If we cannot meet the American Stock Exchange's requirements for continued listing, the American Stock Exchange may delist our common stock, which would negatively impact the price of our common stock and our ability to sell our common stock.

Our common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange, or AMEX. The AMEX rules provide that the AMEX will consider delisting when a company has, among other things, (a) sustained losses in two of its three most recent fiscal years and has shareholders' equity of less than \$2,000,000, and (b) sustained losses in three of its four most recent fiscal years and has shareholders' equity of less than \$4,000,000. In June 2002, the AMEX notified us that we currently do not satisfy these criteria and agreed to continue our listing if we submitted an acceptable plan to regain compliance with the AMEX continued listing standards by January 2004. In July 2002, we submitted our plan, which the AMEX approved in September 2002.

The AMEX will continue to monitor our progress towards achieving the goals set forth in the plan and may institute delisting proceedings if we fail to make progress consistent with the terms of the approved plan. If we are delisted, it would be far more difficult for our shareholders to trade in our securities and more difficult to obtain accurate, current information concerning market prices for our securities. The possibility that our securities may be delisted may also adversely affect our ability to raise additional financing.

If our common stock is delisted from the American Stock Exchange, we may be subject to the risks relating to penny stocks.

A penny stock is defined generally as any non-exchange listed equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. As of November 11, 2002 our common stock is trading at \$2.40. If our common stock were to be delisted from trading on the AMEX and the trading price of the common stock were to fall below \$5.00 per share on or after the date the common stock was delisted, trading in such securities would also be subject to the requirements of certain rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These rules require additional disclosure by broker-dealers in connection with any trades involving a stock defined as a penny stock and impose various sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell penny stocks to persons other than established customers and accredited investors, generally institutions. The additional burdens imposed upon broker-dealers by such requirements may discourage broker-dealers from effecting transactions in our securities, which could severely limit the market price and liquidity of such securities and the ability of purchasers to sell our securities in the secondary market.

Our advisors may have conflicting obligation to other entities that could result in intellectual property disputes between us and those entities.

Two groups (the Policy Advisory Board and Development Advisory Board) advise us on business and scientific issues and future opportunities. Certain members of our Policy Advisory Board and Development Advisory Board work full-time for academic or research institutions. Others act as consultants to other companies. In addition, except for work performed specifically for us and at our direction, any inventions or processes discovered by such persons will be their own intellectual property or that of their institutions or other companies. Further, invention assignment agreements signed by such persons in connection with their relationships with us may be subject to the rights of their primary employers or other third parties with whom they have consulting relationships. If we desire access to inventions that are not our property, we will have to obtain licenses to such inventions from these institutions or companies. We may not be able to obtain these licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements and supplementary data required by Item 8 are set forth below on pages F-1 through F-31.

PART IV

Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K

(a)1. Financial Statements

Included in Part II of this report.

(a)2. Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules have been omitted because the required information is not present or because the information required is included in the financial statements, including the notes thereto.

(a)3. Exhibits:

3.1	Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation
3.2	Form of Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation
3.3	Bylaws
3.4	Certificate of Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate
4.2	Specimen Warrant Certificate (filed as Exhibit A to the Form of Warrant Agreement)
4.3	Form of Representative s Warrant Agreement including form of Representative s Warrant
4.4	Form of Warrant Agreement
10.1	1995 Stock Option Plan, as amended
10.9	Agreement re: Settlement of Lawsuit, Conveyance of Assets and Assumption of Liabilities dated August 28, 1995 by and among DepoMed Systems, Inc., Dr. John W. Shell and M6 Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
10.10	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the company and its directors and executive officers
10.12	Form of Agreement between the company and Burrill & Company
+ 10.15	Securities Purchase Agreement dated January 21, 2000 between the company and Elan International Services, Ltd.
10.16	Company Registration Rights Agreement dated January 21, 2000 between the company and Elan International Services, Ltd.
10.17	Newco Registration Rights Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Newco and Elan International Services, Ltd.
10.18	Funding Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd.
+ 10.19	Subscription, Joint Development Operating Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Newco, Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd.
10.20	Convertible Promissory Note dated January 21, 2000 issued by the company to Elan International Services, Ltd.
+ 10.21	Company License Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Newco and Elan Corporation, plc.
+ 10.22	Elan License Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Newco, Elan Corporation, plc and Elan Pharma International, Ltd.
10.23	Certificate of Determination of Rights and Preferences of Series A Preferred Stock filed with the State of California on January 14, 2000
10.24	Loan agreement dated March 29, 2001 between the company and GATX Ventures, Inc.
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Auditors
*24.1	Power of Attorney
99.1	Certification of John W. Fara, Ph.D.
99.2	Certification of John F. Hamilton

Incorporated by reference to the company s registration statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-25445)

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the company s registration statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-54982)

Incorporated by reference to the company s Form 8-K filed on February 18, 2000

Incorporated by reference to the company s Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2001

+ Confidential treatment granted.

* Previously filed.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

None.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the issuer, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Menlo Park, State of California, on the 14th day of November, 2002.

DEPOMED, INC.

By */s/ John W. Fara, Ph.D.*
John W. Fara, Ph.D.
 Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature		
<i>/s/ John W. Fara, Ph.D.</i> John W. Fara, Ph.D.	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	November 14, 2002
<i>/s/ John F. Hamilton</i> John F. Hamilton	Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	November 14, 2002
<i>/s/ John N. Shell*</i> John N. Shell	Vice President, Operations and Director	November 14, 2002
<i>/s/ G. Steven Burrill*</i> G. Steven Burrill	Director	November 14, 2002
<i>/s/ John W. Shell, Ph.D.*</i> John W. Shell, Ph.D.	Director	November 14, 2002
<i>/s/ Julian N. Stern*</i> Julian N. Stern	Director and Secretary	November 14, 2002
<i>/s/ W. Leigh Thompson, M.D., Ph.D.*</i> W. Leigh Thompson, M. D., Ph.D.	Director	November 14, 2002

/s/ John W. Fara, Ph.D.

November 14, 2002

John W. Fara, Ph.D.

* (Attorney-in-Fact)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 15d-14
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, John W. Fara, Chief Executive Officer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of DepoMed, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report; and

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report.

November 14, 2002

By: /s/ John W. Fara, Ph.D.
John W. Fara, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 15d-14
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, John F. Hamilton, Chief Financial Officer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K/A of DepoMed, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report; and

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report.

November 14, 2002

By: /s/ John F. Hamilton
John F. Hamilton
Chief Financial Officer

DEPOMED, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DEPOMED, INC. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Auditors

Balance Sheets

Statements of Operations

Statement of Shareholders' Equity

Statements of Cash Flows

Notes to Financial Statements

DEPOMED DEVELOPMENT, LTD. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Auditors

Balance Sheets

Statements of Operations

Statement of Shareholders' Deficit

Statements of Cash Flows

Notes to Financial Statements

REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

DepoMed, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of DepoMed, Inc. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related statements of operations, redeemable preferred stock and shareholders' equity (net capital deficiency), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 and for the period from inception (August 7, 1995) to December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of DepoMed, Inc. (a development stage company) at December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 and for the period from inception (August 7, 1995) to December 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As described in Note 1 of the financial statements, the Company has restated its consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 and its statements of operations and redeemable preferred stock and shareholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Palo Alto, California

February 21, 2002, except for Note 10,

as to which the date is March 28, 2002,

and Note 1 under the caption Restatement

of Financial Information as to which the

date is November 8, 2002

F-2

DEPOMED, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2001 (Restated)	December 31, 2000 (Restated)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,150,088	\$ 3,878,354
Marketable securities		2,620,525
Accounts receivable	397,277	21,775
Receivable from joint venture	642,793	432,313
Prepaid and other current assets	197,479	168,666
Total current assets	6,387,637	7,121,633
Property and equipment, net	2,065,175	1,317,149
Other assets	294,034	293,756
	\$ 8,746,846	\$ 8,732,538
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS DEFICIT		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,327,381	\$ 797,795
Accrued compensation	446,515	245,471
Other accrued liabilities	343,667	367,227
Payable to joint venture	845,845	684,328
Capital lease obligation, current portion	13,984	39,434
Long-term debt, current portion	542,251	139,181
Other current liabilities	137,718	103,928
Total current liabilities	4,657,361	2,377,364
Capital lease obligation, non-current portion	4,216	18,200
Long-term debt, non-current portion	783,416	217,467
Promissory note from related party, non-current portion	4,779,054	1,533,342
Preferred stock, no par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; Series A convertible exchangeable preferred stock; 25,000 shares designated, 12,015 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2001 and 2000	12,015,000	12,015,000
Commitments		
Shareholders' deficit:		
	36,109,124	24,600,567

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS DEFICIT

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Common stock, no par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized; 11,530,168 and 8,617,913 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively			
Deferred compensation			(24,744)
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(49,601,325)		(32,001,286)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss			(3,372)
Total shareholders' deficit	(13,492,201)		(7,428,835)
	\$ 8,746,846	\$	8,732,538

See accompanying notes.

F-3

DEPOMED, INC.**(A Development Stage Company)****STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Year Ended December 31,			Period From
	2001	2000	1999	Inception
	(Restated)	(Restated)	(Restated)	(August 7, 1995) to December 31, 2001
Revenue:				
Collaborative agreements	\$ 1,547,277	\$ 21,775	\$ 115,327	\$ 3,370,364
Contract revenue from joint venture	2,126,049	1,754,443		3,880,492
Total revenue	3,673,326	1,776,218	115,327	7,250,856
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	15,461,113	7,488,227	3,734,196	30,127,955
General and administrative	2,533,640	2,026,188	1,871,596	9,906,774
Purchase of in-process research and development				298,154
Total operating expenses	17,994,753	9,514,415	5,605,792	40,332,883
Loss from operations	(14,321,427)	(7,738,197)	(5,490,465)	(33,082,027)
Other income (expenses):				
Equity in loss of joint venture (restated)	(3,173,409)	(14,202,627)		(17,376,036)
Interest income (expense), net	(105,203)	222,954	296,665	856,738
Total other income (expenses) (restated)	(3,278,612)	(13,979,673)	296,665	(16,519,298)
Net loss (restated)	\$ (17,600,039)	\$ (21,717,870)	\$ (5,193,800)	\$ (49,601,325)
Basic and diluted net loss per share (restated)	\$ (1.72)	\$ (2.96)	\$ (0.80)	
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per common share	10,220,223	7,329,876	6,474,538	

See accompanying notes.

DEPOMED, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK
AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (NET CAPITAL DEFICIENCY)

Period from inception (August 7, 1995) to December 31, 2001

(Restated)

	Convertible Preferred Stock		Exchangeable Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Deferred Stock-Based Compensation	Accumulated Deficit During Development Stage	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Shareholders Equity (Net Capital Deficiency)
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balances at inception (Aug. 7, 1995)		\$		\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Issuance of common stock to founders on Aug. 7, 1995 in exchange for shares held by them in M6 Pharmaceuticals					2,066,666					
Issuance of common stock for cash to investors at approx. \$0.0009 per share on Nov. 15, 1995					1,196,491	1,000				1,000
Issuance of Series A convertible preferred stock for cash to investors at approx. \$0.31 per share on Nov. 15, 1995, net of issuance costs of \$67,241			2,447,368	682,759						682,759
Comprehensive loss and net loss								(600,668)		(600,668)
Balances at Dec. 31, 1995			2,447,368	682,759	3,263,157	1,000		(600,668)		83,091
Issuance of common stock for cash at various dates at \$0.09 per share to employees and pursuant to stock option agreements.					91,666	8,250				8,250

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Deferred stock-based compensation related to grants of certain stock options				275,000	(275,000)		
Comprehensive loss and net loss						(472,773)	(472,773)
Balances at Dec. 31, 1996	2,447,368	682,759	3,354,823	284,250	(275,000)	(1,073,441)	(381,432)
Issuance of Series B convertible preferred stock for cash at \$1.00 per share	278,500	278,500					278,500
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock on Nov. 5, 1997 at a ratio of one share of common for three shares of preferred	(2,725,868)	(961,259)	908,615	961,259			
Issuance of common stock and warrants for \$6.10 per unit on Nov. 5, 1997 in connection with the initial public offering, net of issuance costs of \$1,963,889			1,200,000	5,356,111			5,356,111
Deferred stock-based compensation related to grants of certain stock options				242,050	(242,050)		
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation						116,336	116,336
Comprehensive loss and net loss						(1,236,452)	(1,236,452)
Balances at Dec. 31, 1997			5,463,438	6,843,670	(400,714)	(2,309,893)	4,133,063
Issuance of common stock to investors for \$8.00 per share on Feb. 23, 1998, net of issuance costs of \$507,846			1,000,000	7,492,154			7,492,154
Deferred stock-based compensation related to grants of certain stock options				430,200	(430,200)		
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation						320,582	320,582
Issuance of common stock options to a consultant for services with an exercise price of				26,050			26,050

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

\$11.25 per share on Jun. 18, 1998							
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss					(2,779,723)		(2,779,723)
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities						13,887	13,887
Comprehensive loss							(2,765,836)
Balances at Dec. 31, 1998	6,463,438	14,792,074	(510,332)	(5,089,616)		13,887	9,206,013
Issuance of common stock for cash on Feb. 16, 1999 for \$3.00 per share to a consultant pursuant to a stock option agreement.	1,666	4,998					4,998
Net exercise of common stock warrants at \$7.63 per share in Jan. and Apr. 1999	9,973						
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation					228,148		228,148
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss, restated					(5,193,800)		(5,193,800)
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities						(26,879)	(26,879)
Comprehensive loss, restated							(5,220,679)
Balances at Dec. 31, 1999, as restated	6,475,077	14,797,072	(282,184)	(10,283,416)		(12,992)	4,218,480
Issuance of Series A convertible exchangeable preferred stock to Elan Corp. on Jan. 21, 2000 for \$1,000 per share net proceeds, restated	12,015	12,015,000					
Issuance of common stock to Elan Corp. Corp. for \$7.00 per on Jan. 21, 2000, net of issuance costs of \$84,817, restated					714,286	4,915,183	4,915,183
Issuance of common stock options to a consultant for services with an exercise price of \$4.75 per share on Feb. 4, 2000						9,600	9,600
					5,044		5,044

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Issuance of common stock options to a consultant for services with an exercise price of \$3.75 per share on Jun. 7, 2000									
Issuance of common stock options to a consultant for services with an exercise price of \$3.31 per share on Sept. 14, 2000								4,500	4,500
Issuance of common stock options to a consultant for services with an exercise price of \$3.25 per share on Sept. 20, 2000								46,000	46,000
Common stock and warrants issued to investors for \$100,000 per unit on Nov. 15, 2000, net of issuance costs of \$237,668			1,428,550					4,762,332	4,762,332
Issuance of common stock options to consultants for services with an exercise price of \$4.44 per share on Dec. 8, 2000								52,548	52,548
Revaluation of common stock option issued to a consultant on Dec. 9, 1999								8,288	8,288
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation								257,440	257,440
Comprehensive loss:									
Net loss, restated								(21,717,870)	(21,717,870)
Unrealized gains on available - for-sale securities								9,620	9,620
Comprehensive loss, restated									(21,708,250)
Balances at Dec. 31, 2000, as restated	12,015	\$ 12,015,000	8,617,913	24,600,567	(24,744)	(32,001,286)	(3,372)	(7,428,835)	
Issuance of warrants in connection with a credit facility with an exercise price of \$3.98 per share on Mar. 29, 2001								112,400	112,400
			2,908,922	11,328,401				11,328,401	

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Common stock and warrants issued to investors for \$8.43 per unit on Jun. 13, 2001, net of issuance costs of \$953,715							
Issuance of common stock options to consultants for services with an exercise price of \$3.40 per share on Apr. 6, 2001				10,301			10,301
Issuance of common stock options to consultants for services with an exercise price of \$4.30 per share on Jun. 5, 2001				27,601			27,601
Issuance of common stock options to a consultant for services with an exercise price of \$5.50 per share on Nov. 7, 2001				13,425			13,425
Issuance of common stock for \$3.00 per share on Nov. 16, 2001 to a consultant pursuant to a stock option agreement			3,333	9,999			9,999
Issuance of common stock options to a consultant for services with an exercise price of \$5.80 per share on Dec. 17, 2001				6,430			6,430
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation				24,744			24,744
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss						(17,600,039)	(17,600,039)
Realized gains on available-for-sale securities						3,372	3,372
Comprehensive loss							(17,596,667)
Balances at Dec. 31, 2001, as restated	12,015	\$ 12,015,000	\$	11,530,168	\$ 36,109,124	\$ (9,601,325)	\$ (3,492,201)

See accompanying notes.

DEPOMED, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,			Period From
	2001	2000 (Restated)	1999 (Restated)	Inception (August 7, 1995) to December 31, 2001
Operating Activities				
Net loss (restated)	\$ (17,600,039)	\$ (21,717,870)	\$ (5,193,800)	\$ (49,601,325)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:				
Equity in loss of joint venture (restated)	3,173,409	14,202,627		17,376,036
Depreciation and amortization	586,067	304,323	415,343	1,604,716
Accrued interest expense on shareholder notes	233,820	30,043		277,481
Amortization of deferred compensation	24,744	257,440	228,148	947,250
Value of stock options issued for services	57,757	125,980		209,787
Purchase of in-process research and development				298,154
Changes in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable	(375,502)	(21,775)	306,148	(397,277)
Receivable from joint venture	(210,480)	(432,313)		(642,793)
Other current assets	(28,813)	719	17,639	(197,479)
Other assets	(278)	(289,289)	83,465	(294,192)
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	1,506,026	813,458	94,137	2,671,048
Accrued compensation	201,044	(29,576)	104,052	379,039
Other current liabilities	33,790	103,928		137,718
Net cash used in operating activities	(12,398,455)	(6,652,305)	(3,944,868)	(27,231,837)
Investing Activities				
Investment in unconsolidated joint venture	(3,011,892)	(13,518,299)		(16,530,191)
Expenditures for property and equipment	(1,325,149)	(899,326)	(138,232)	(3,354,316)
Purchases of marketable securities	(4,438,627)	(3,810,600)	(2,264,082)	(15,217,066)
Maturities of marketable securities	7,053,580	4,793,567	3,287,380	15,214,109
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(1,722,088)	(13,434,658)	885,066	(19,887,464)
Financing Activities				
Payments on capital lease obligations	(39,434)	(41,771)	(55,153)	(294,318)
Proceeds from equipment loan	1,347,139		105,734	1,947,006
Payments of equipment loan	(265,720)	(123,043)	(120,176)	(508,939)
Proceeds from issuance of notes	3,011,892	1,503,299		5,565,191
Payments of notes				(1,000,000)

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Payment of shareholder loans				(294,238)
Proceeds on issuance of common stock	11,338,400	9,677,515	4,998	34,839,687
Proceeds on issuance of preferred stock		12,015,000		12,015,000
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	15,392,277	23,031,000	(64,597)	52,269,389
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,271,734	2,944,037	(3,124,399)	5,150,088
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,878,354	934,317	4,058,716	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 5,150,088	\$ 3,878,354	\$ 934,317	\$ 5,150,088

Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Financing and Investing Activities

Value of warrants issued in connection with debt financing	\$ 112,400	\$	\$	\$ 112,400
Payable to joint venture	\$ 845,845	\$ 684,328	\$	\$ 845,845
Acquisition of property and equipment under capital leases	\$	\$ 4,322	\$	\$ 312,518
Assumption of net liabilities of M6 Pharmaceuticals at inception (August 7, 1995)	\$	\$	\$	\$ 298,154

Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 336,349	\$ 93,566	\$ 75,616	\$ 648,779
--	------------	-----------	-----------	------------

See accompanying notes.

DEPOMED, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

DepoMed, Inc. (the Company or DepoMed), a development stage company, was incorporated in the State of California on August 7, 1995. The Company is engaged in the research and development of oral drug delivery systems. The Company's primary activities since incorporation have been establishing its offices and research facilities, recruiting personnel, conducting research and development, performing business and strategic planning and raising capital.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had approximately \$5,150,000 in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, working capital of \$1,760,000 and accumulated net losses of \$49,601,000. In the course of its development activities, the Company expects such losses to continue over the next several years. Management plans to continue to finance the operations with a combination of equity and debt financing and revenue from corporate alliances and technology licenses, including revenue from its joint venture. If adequate funds are not available, the Company may be required to delay, reduce the scope of, or eliminate one or more of its development programs. On March 22, 2002, the Company completed an equity private placement with net proceeds of \$8,304,000 (See Note 10 of the Notes to Financial Statements, *Subsequent Events*). The Company expects its existing capital resources, including the proceeds from the March 2002 financing, will permit it to meet its capital and operational requirements at least through December 31, 2003.

Restatement of Financial Information

The accompanying balance sheets and statements of redeemable preferred stock and shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 have been restated to present the Company's Series A convertible exchangeable preferred stock (Series A Preferred Stock), with a carrying amount of \$12,015,000, outside of permanent shareholders' equity, as a result of the application of Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Topic No. D-98, *Classification of and Measurement of Redeemable Securities* (Topic No. D-98). The Company issued the Series A Preferred Stock in connection with the formation of its joint venture, DepoMed Development, Ltd. (DDL), with Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd. (together Elan). Shares of the Series A Preferred Stock are exchangeable for a portion of the Company's investment in DDL. The effect of this restatement is to reduce total shareholders' equity by \$12,015,000 for the periods presented. See Note 7 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Redeemable Preferred Stock and Shareholders' Equity, *Series A Preferred Stock*.

Net loss per common share for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 has been restated to eliminate the 7% annual dividends previously accrued on the Series A Preferred Stock and included in the net loss applicable to common shareholders. As the dividends are only convertible

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

into DepoMed's common stock, the amounts previously recorded as dividends represent adjustments to the conversion price that are accounted for under EITF Issue No. 98-5, *Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios* (Issue No. 98-5). Since the commitment date fair market value of the maximum number of common shares that could be issued pursuant to conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock is less than the proceeds of issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock, the Series A Preferred Stock does not contain a beneficial conversion feature subject to recognition pursuant to Issue No. 98-5. See Note 7 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Redeemable Preferred Stock and Shareholders' Equity, *Series A Preferred Stock*.

The statements of redeemable preferred stock and shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2000 and 1999 have also been restated to present the Company's Series A Preferred Stock as issued in 2000 instead of in 1999 when such securities were originally recorded as issuable securities. Upon further analysis, the Company's management is no longer able to assert that the capital stock issuance occurred prior to December 31, 1999, and therefore, such amounts have been amended in the statements of redeemable preferred stock and shareholders' equity to reflect the issuance of the capital stock in the year ended December 31, 2000. This restatement does not affect the Company's financial position at December 31, 2000 or 2001, or the statements of operations or cash flows for any of the periods presented.

The equity loss in the joint venture for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 has been also restated to record \$12,015,000, originally expensed in the year ended December 31, 1999 to the year ended December 31, 2000. These amounts represent the Company's share of the net loss of DDL. DDL incurred an expense of \$15,000,000 when it acquired the license from Elan to certain in-process technology to be used in the development of unproven novel therapeutic products. Upon further analysis, the Company's management is no longer able to assert that all the rights and privileges were received by DDL prior to December 31, 1999. Therefore, such amounts have been amended to reflect the associated license expenses in the year ended December 31, 2000. This restatement does not affect net loss for any other periods previously reported nor accumulated deficit at December 31, 2001 or 2000. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Research Arrangements, *Elan Corporation, plc*.

The effect of both the elimination of the dividends discussed above and the change in the period of recording the equity loss in the joint venture from 1999 to 2000 and the related effect on net loss per common share follows. The restatement to record the issuance of Series A redeemable preferred stock and common stock to Elan in 2000 instead of 1999 does not have an impact on the statements of operations for these periods presented.

	Year Ended December 31,			Period From
	2001	2000	1999	Inception (August 7, 1995) to December 31, 2001
As previously reported:				
Equity loss in joint venture	\$ (3,173,409)	\$ (2,187,627)	\$ (12,015,000)	\$ (17,376,036)
Net loss	(17,600,039)	(9,702,870)	(17,208,800)	(49,601,325)
Preferred dividend	(913,000)	(807,000)		(1,720,000)
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$ (18,513,039)	\$ (10,509,870)	\$ (17,208,800)	\$ (51,321,325)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (1.81)	\$ (1.43)	\$ (2.66)	
As restated:				
Equity loss in joint venture	\$ (3,173,409)	\$ (14,202,627)	\$	\$ (17,376,036)
Net loss	(17,600,039)	(21,717,870)	(5,193,800)	(49,601,325)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (1.72)	\$ (2.96)	\$ (0.80)	

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity (at date of purchase) of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on deposit with banks, money market instruments and commercial paper. The Company places its cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities with high quality, U.S. financial institutions and, to date, has not experienced losses on any of its balances. The Company records cash and cash equivalents at amortized cost, which approximates the fair value. The Company uses the specific identification method to determine the amount of realized gains or losses on sales of marketable securities. At December 31, 2001, the contractual period for all available-for-sale debt securities is within one year. All marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale. These securities are carried at market value with unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in

shareholders' equity (net capital deficiency).

F-8

Securities classified as available-for-sale as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 are summarized below. Estimated fair value is based on quoted market prices for these investments.

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
December 31, 2001:			
U.S. corporate securities:			
Total included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 846,983	\$	\$ 846,983
Total included in marketable securities			
Total available-for-sale	\$ 846,983	\$	\$ 846,983
December 31, 2000:			
U.S. corporate securities:			
Total included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,123,357	\$	\$ 3,123,357
Total included in marketable securities	2,623,897	(3,372)	2,620,525
Total available-for-sale	\$ 5,747,254	\$ (3,372)	\$ 5,743,882

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization (See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements). Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, generally three to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the lease term or the estimated useful lives of the related assets, generally five years.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Stock-Based Compensation

As permitted under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (FAS 123), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, the Company has elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25) in accounting for stock-based awards to its employees. Accordingly, the Company accounts for grants of stock options and common stock purchase rights to its employees according to the intrinsic value method and, thus, recognizes no stock-based compensation expense for options granted with exercise prices equal to or greater than fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The Company records deferred stock-based compensation when the deemed fair value of the Company's common stock for financial accounting purposes exceeds the exercise price of the stock options or purchase rights on the date of grant. Any such deferred stock-based compensation is amortized over the vesting period of the

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

individual options. Pro forma net loss information using the fair value method accounting for grants of stock options to employees is included in Note 7 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

Options granted to non-employees are accounted for at fair value using the Black-Scholes option valuation model in accordance with FAS 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force Consensus No. 96-18 (EITF 96-18), and may be subject to periodic revaluation over their vesting terms. The resulting stock-based compensation expense is recorded over the service period in which the non-employee provides services to the Company.

F-9

Net Loss Per Common Share

Net loss per share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Common stock equivalent shares from outstanding stock options, warrants and other convertible securities and loans are not included as their effect is antidilutive. For the three years ended December 31, the following potentially dilutive securities were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share:

	2001		2000		1999	
	Shares	Weighted-average exercise price	Shares	Weighted-average exercise price	Shares	Weighted-average exercise price
Stock options	2,613,092	\$ 4.37	1,803,711	\$ 4.16	1,327,383	\$ 4.29
Warrants	3,592,565	\$ 5.80	1,879,935	\$ 7.10	1,479,979	\$ 7.54
Convertible preferred shares and accrued interest	1,144,583		1,068,500			
Convertible promissory note and accrued interest	477,905		153,334			
	7,828,145		4,905,480		2,807,362	

Revenue Recognition

Revenue related to collaborative research agreements with corporate partners and the Company's joint venture is recognized as the expenses are incurred for each contract. The Company is required to perform research activities as specified in each respective agreement on a best efforts basis, and the Company is reimbursed based on the costs associated with supplies and the hours worked by employees on each specific contract. Nonrefundable milestone payments are recognized pursuant to collaborative agreements upon the achievement of specified milestones where no further obligation to perform exists under that milestone provision of the arrangement.

Valuation of Exchange Option of Series A Preferred Stock

The Company periodically monitors the redemption value of the Series A Preferred Stock, as measured by 30.1% of the fair value of the joint venture that Elan would receive, less the cash payable to the Company, upon exchange by Elan. If and when the redemption value of the Series A Preferred Stock exceeds its then current carrying value, the Company will accrete the carrying value of the Series A Preferred Stock to the redemption value and recognize a corresponding dividend to the Series A Preferred shareholder. The Company will recognize subsequent increases or decreases in redemption value of the Series A Preferred Stock; however, decreases will be limited to amounts previously recorded as increases, so as not to reduce the carrying amount of the Series A Preferred Stock below the original basis of \$12.0 million. The determination of fair value of the joint venture requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that relate, in part, to the potential success of the joint venture's ongoing research and development activities. There is inherent risk in making such assumptions and, as a result, actual fair value may differ from such estimates of fair value.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of net loss and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) includes certain changes in equity of the Company that are excluded from net loss. Specifically, SFAS 130 requires unrealized holding gains and losses on the Company's available-for-sale securities, which were reported separately in shareholders' equity, to be included in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Comprehensive loss for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 has been reflected in the Statement of Shareholders' Equity.

Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of* (FAS 121), the Company identifies and records impairment losses, as circumstances dictate, on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the discounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying amounts of those assets. No such impairments have been identified with respect to the Company's long-lived assets, which consist primarily of property and equipment.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are computed in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (FAS 109) which requires the use of the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Under FAS 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured based on differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of long-term debt and notes payable is estimated based on current interest rates available to the Company for debt instruments with similar terms, degrees of risk and remaining maturities. The carrying values of these obligations approximate their respective fair values.

Segment Information

The Company follows Statement of Financial Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (FAS 131). FAS 131 establishes standards for reporting financial information about operating segments in financial statements, as well as additional disclosures about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. The Company operates in one operating segment and has operations solely in the United States.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In July 1999, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) announced the delay of the effective date of Statement of Financial Reporting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 133), and also, in June 2000, the FASB issued SFAS No. 138, an amendment to SFAS 133. SFAS 133, as amended, requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value. Change in the fair value of derivatives is recorded each period in current earnings or other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is designed as part of a hedge transaction, and, if so, the type of hedge transaction. The Company adopted SFAS 133, as

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

amended, on January 1, 2001. The adoption of SFAS 133, as amended, had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Reporting Standards No. 141 on Business Combinations (SFAS 141) and Statement of Financial Reporting Standards No. 142 on Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142). SFAS 141 is effective for any business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and also includes the criteria for the recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill. SFAS 142 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and will require that goodwill not be amortized, but rather be subject to an impairment test at least annually. Separately identified and recognized intangible assets resulting from business combinations completed before July 1, 2001 will be reassessed and the remaining amortization periods adjusted accordingly. The adoption of SFAS 141 and 142 had no impact on the Company's financial position.

F-11

In October 2001, the FASB issued Financial Reporting Standard No. 144 (FAS 144), *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. FAS 144 supersedes Financial Reporting Standard No. 121 (FAS 121), *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of*. The primary objectives of FAS 144 are to develop one accounting model based on the framework established in FAS 121 for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale, and to address significant implementation issues. The adoption of FAS 144 on January 1, 2002 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In July 2001, the EITF issued EITF Topic No. D-98, *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities*. Topic No. D-98 clarifies Rule 5-02.28 of Regulation S-X and requires preferred securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets to be classified outside of permanent equity if the redemption of the securities is outside of the issuer's control. The application of Topic No. D-98 required that we classify our Series A Preferred Stock, in the amount of \$12,015,000, outside of permanent equity and resulted in a \$12,015,000 increase to the Company's Net Capital Deficiency at December 31, 2001.

3. Research Arrangements

R. W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute

In March 1998, the Company entered into a research agreement with the R. W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute (PRI), a Johnson & Johnson unit, to study the feasibility of an orally administered, controlled release pharmaceutical product using the Company's GR System. The Company was paid for actual costs, as incurred, at the fees stipulated in the agreement.

In the first quarter of 1999, the Company successfully completed the first phase of formulation development under the PRI feasibility agreement. PRI has requested no further development work from the Company and the Company does not expect to receive any additional revenue from this agreement. The Company recognized revenues of \$115,327 during 1999, in accordance with the agreement. The costs incurred for research and development approximated the revenue recognized under the agreement. The Company did not recognize any revenues under the agreement in 2000 and 2001.

Elan Corporation, plc

In November 1999, the Company entered into an agreement with Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd. (together "Elan") to form a joint venture to develop products using drug delivery technologies and expertise of both companies. In January 2000, the definitive agreements were signed to form this joint venture, DepoMed Development, Ltd. (DDL), a Bermuda limited liability company. DDL is initially owned 80.1% by the Company and 19.9% by Elan. DDL subcontracts research and development efforts to DepoMed, Elan and others. In January 2000, under the terms of the agreement, DDL paid \$15,000,000 to Elan for a license providing DDL non-exclusive rights to use certain Elan in-process drug delivery technologies. The Elan technology rights acquired relate to very early stage technology that, in the opinion of management, have not reached technological feasibility and have no future alternative uses. DepoMed also licensed certain drug delivery technologies to DDL on a non-exclusive basis.

The agreement also provided for the following terms and transactions:

Elan purchased 717,286 shares of DepoMed's common stock at \$7.00 per share. The shares purchased are unregistered and have registration rights. The proceeds may be used by DepoMed without restriction.

F-12

Elan purchased 12,015 shares of DepoMed Series A Preferred Stock at \$1,000 per share. The Series A Preferred Stock accrues a dividend of 7% per annum, compounded semi-annually and payable in shares of the Series A Preferred Stock. The Series A Preferred Stock is convertible at anytime after January 2002, at Elan's option, into DepoMed's common stock. The original conversion price of the Series A Preferred Stock was \$12.00; however, as a result of the Company's March 2003 financing, the conversion price has been adjusted to \$10.66 per share. Additionally, Elan has the right to exchange 12,015 shares of Series A Preferred Stock for a 30.1% interest in DDL, increasing Elan's ownership in DDL to 50%. This exchange option is exercisable between January 2002 and January 2006. The exchange right will terminate if the Series A Preferred Stock is converted into the DepoMed's common stock unless this conversion occurs as a result of a liquidation or upon the occurrence of certain transactions involving a change of control of DepoMed. DepoMed was required to use the proceeds of the Series A Preferred Stock sale to purchase 6,000 shares of DDL common stock and 3,612 shares of DDL preferred stock, both classes of stock were purchased at \$1,250 per share, to fund DepoMed's share of DDL's initial capitalization.

Elan purchased 2,388 shares of DDL preferred stock for \$1,250 per share, a 19.9% interest in DDL.

DepoMed, at its sole discretion, will fund 80.1% of the joint venture research and development costs up to \$8,010,000 and Elan is responsible, at its sole discretion, for funding 19.9% of DDL's cash requirements up to a maximum of \$1,990,000 through January 21, 2002. However, the partners agreed to extend the funding term through September 2002. On a quarterly basis, the Elan and DepoMed directors of DDL review and mutually agree on the next quarter's funding of DDL's cash needs. DDL does not have any fixed assets or employees and its primary focus is to conduct research and development for potential products using the intellectual property of Elan and DepoMed. If Elan elects to exercise its exchange option on the Series A Preferred Stock, Elan must also repay DepoMed 30.1% of joint venture funding paid by DepoMed. Upon repayment by Elan, both DepoMed and Elan will have shared evenly in funding the joint venture's historical operating loss.

Elan made a loan facility available to DepoMed for up to \$8,010,000. The funding term of the loan will terminate on January 21, 2002; however, the partners agreed to extend the funding term through September 2002. The purpose of this loan is to support DepoMed's share of the joint venture's research and development costs pursuant to a convertible promissory note issued by the Company to Elan. The note has a six-year term and will bear interest at 9% per annum, compounded semi-annually, on any amounts borrowed under the facility. The original conversion price of the note and accrued interest was \$10.00; however, as a result of the Company's March 2003 financing, the conversion price has been adjusted to \$9.07 per share.

DDL has the ability to license any future products to a third party; however, Elan has a limited right of first negotiation. Any license granted to Elan must be done on the basis of arm's length pricing.

While DepoMed owns 80.1% of the outstanding capital stock (and 100% of the outstanding common stock) of DDL, Elan and its subsidiaries have retained significant minority investor rights that are considered participating rights as defined in the Emerging Issues Task Force

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Consensus No. 96-16. For example, Elan has 50% of voting rights on management and research committees that approve all business plans, operating budgets and research plans. Each matter brought to the respective committee must have the approval of at least one of the Elan directors. Elan, therefore, has the ability to veto any matter that comes before the committees. Accordingly, DepoMed does not consolidate the financial statements of DDL, but instead accounts for its investment in DDL under the equity method of accounting. Separate financial statements for DDL are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K/A.

F-13

DDL recognized a net loss of approximately \$3,962,000, \$17,731,000 and \$21,693,000, respectively. The net loss from inception (January 7, 2000) to December 31, 2000 and 2001 includes a \$15,000,000 payment to Elan for the acquisition of in-process research and development rights related to certain Elan drug delivery technologies to be used in the development of unproven therapeutic products.

DepoMed's equity in the loss in DDL for the for the periods ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 has been restated to record \$12,015,000 originally expensed in the period ended December 31, 1999 to the year ended December 31, 2000. This amount represents DepoMed's share of the net loss of DDL. DDL incurred \$15,000,000 of expenses acquiring a license to certain in-process technologies from Elan. Upon further analysis, DepoMed's management is no longer able to assert that all the rights and privileges were received by DDL prior to December 31, 1999. Therefore, such amounts have been amended to reflect the associated license expenses in the year ended December 31, 2000. DepoMed recognized 80.1% of DDL's loss, or approximately \$3,173,000, \$14,203,000 and \$17,376,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 and for the period from inception to December 31, 2001, respectively. DepoMed's equity in the loss of DDL is based on 100% of DDL's losses (since DepoMed owns 100% of the DDL voting common stock), less the amounts funded by Elan. The costs incurred by DepoMed approximated the revenue recognized under the arrangement. To date, DDL has not recognized any revenue. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, DDL had current liabilities of \$1,056,000 and \$854,000, respectively. As DepoMed's funding obligation equals its equity in net loss of DDL, DepoMed had no carrying value of its DDL investment at December 31, 2001 and 2000.

Undisclosed Collaborative Partner

In January 2001, the Company signed an interim letter agreement with an undisclosed collaborative partner to begin feasibility studies with an undisclosed drug. Under the interim letter agreement, all research and development work with the partner's drug will be funded by the partner. In accordance with the agreement, the Company recognized revenues of approximately \$1,414,000 during 2001. The costs associated with research and development approximated the revenue recognized under the agreement. The amount receivable at December 31, 2001 under this agreement totaled \$314,000.

4. Property and Equipment

For the years ended December 31, property and equipment consists of the following:

	2001	2000
Furniture and office equipment	\$ 611,276	\$ 389,562
Laboratory equipment	1,981,419	1,181,696
Leasehold improvements	810,588	508,701
	3,403,283	2,079,959
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,338,108)	(762,810)
	\$ 2,065,175	\$ 1,317,149

Property and equipment includes assets under capitalized leases of \$148,966 at December 31, 2001 and 2000. Accumulated amortization related to assets under capital leases is included in accumulated depreciation and amortization and totals \$33,762 and \$85,181 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

5. Commitments and Contingencies

Convertible Promissory Note

In January 2000, the Company signed an agreement to issue a convertible promissory note to Elan Corporation, plc, for up to \$8,010,000 to fund research and development of DDL, its joint venture. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, there was \$4,779,000 and \$1,533,000, respectively, outstanding related to the note. The outstanding amounts include accrued interest of \$264,000 and \$30,000 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. (See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Research Arrangements, *Elan Corporation, plc*)

Long-term Debt

The Company entered into a \$600,000 equipment financing credit facility with a third party in 1998. The credit facility allowed the Company to borrow up to \$600,000 through July 1999. At December 1998, the Company had utilized approximately \$494,000 of the credit facility, at an annual percentage rate of 12.2%. Equal payments of principal and interest of approximately \$12,000 are due monthly through November 2002 with a balloon payment of approximately \$49,000 due December 2002. In June 1999, the Company financed additional equipment of approximately \$106,000 under the agreement, at an annual percentage rate of 13.5%. Equal payments of approximately \$2,500 are due monthly through May 2003 with a balloon payment of approximately \$10,500 due June 2003. The financed equipment serves as collateral for the loan.

In March 2001, the Company entered into a secured equipment financing credit facility. The credit facility allowed the Company to finance up to \$2,000,000 of equipment and leasehold improvements purchased from August 2000 through December 31, 2001. The interest rate was recalculated with each draw at 750 basis points above the current thirty-six (36) month US Treasury Note rate. At the end of December 2001, the Company had utilized approximately \$1,347,000 of the credit facility. The first draw under the facility, completed in March 2001, was \$587,500, at an annual interest rate of 12.0%. Equal payments of principal and interest of approximately \$20,000 are due monthly through April 2004. The second draw under the facility, completed in September 2001, was \$567,900, at an annual interest rate of 11.64%. Equal payments of principal and interest of approximately \$16,500 are due monthly through March 2005. The third and final draw under the facility, completed in December 2001, was \$192,000, at an annual interest rate of 11.65%. Equal payments of principal and interest of approximately \$5,600 are due monthly through July 2005. Loans under the facility are collateralized initially by a security interest in all of the Company's assets until the Company completes one or more financings of an aggregate of at least \$10,000,000. Upon the completion of qualified financing, the security interest in the Company's assets will be released and the financed equipment will serve as collateral for the loans. The unused portion of the credit facility of \$653,000 expired on December 31, 2001.

In connection with the March 2001 credit facility, the Company issued warrants to the lender to purchase 40,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$3.98 per share. The warrants are exercisable until March 2006. The Company valued the warrants using the Black-Scholes model and treated the resulting value of \$112,400 as debt issuance costs. These costs are offset against the debt obligation and will be amortized to interest expense over approximately four years, the term of the borrowing arrangement, using the effective interest method. During the year, \$19,800 was amortized into interest expense.

Leases

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

The Company leases its facilities under a non-cancelable operating lease that expires in March 2005, with an option to extend the lease term for an additional five years.

Future minimum payments under the operating leases, capital leases and long-term debt at December 31, 2001, together with the present value of those minimum payments, are as follows:

F-15

Year ending December 31,	Operating Leases	Capital Leases	Long-term Debt
2002	\$ 649,328	\$ 15,361	\$ 707,082
2003	684,635	4,544	523,199
2004	722,736		343,352
2005	152,250		88,652
	\$ 2,208,949	19,905	1,662,285
Less amount representing interest		(1,705)	(244,054)
Present value of future lease payments		18,200	1,418,231
Less current portion		(13,984)	(568,699)
Non-current portion		\$ 4,216	\$ 849,532

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, 1999 and for the period from inception to December 31, 2001 was approximately \$713,000, \$554,000, \$350,000 and \$2,001,000, respectively.

Financial Consulting Agreement

In 1998, the Company entered into a three-year agreement with a financial advisor. As consideration for services to be rendered under this arrangement, the Company granted the financial advisor options to purchase 40,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.0625 per share and 20,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price \$9.625 per share. The options were fully vested as of the date of grant. The fair value of these options was \$430,200, as determined using the Black-Scholes Estimation Pricing Model. The value of these options was amortized ratably over the three-year term of the consulting agreement which ended December 31, 2001.

6. Related Party Transactions

Consulting Agreements

In September 1998, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Burrill & Co., whereby the Company is required to pay a monthly retainer of \$5,000 per month and other fees related to partnering arrangements. The principal of Burrill & Co. is a director of the Company. Through December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the Company paid a total of \$60,000, \$55,000 and \$62,699, respectively, in connection with this agreement. The Company may terminate the arrangement at any time with sixty days notice.

In May 2000, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with John W. Shell, Ph.D. to provide services related to business development, new product opportunities and intellectual property. Dr. Shell is the founder of the Company and retired as Chairman and Chief Scientific Officer of the Company in April 2000. Dr. Shell is currently serving as a director of the Company. For the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company paid a total of \$375 and \$44,204, respectively, in fees associated with the agreement.

Elan Corporation, plc

In January 2000, the Company formed a joint venture, DepoMed Development, Ltd. (DDL), with Elan to develop a series of undisclosed proprietary products using drug delivery technologies and expertise of both companies. DDL, a Bermuda limited liability company, is initially owned 80.1% by DepoMed and 19.9% by Elan (See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Research Arrangements, *Elan Corporation, plc*).

7. Redeemable Preferred Stock and Shareholders' Equity

Series A Preferred Stock

In January 2000, the Company issued 12,015 shares of Series A Preferred Stock to Elan to fund its 80.1% share of the initial capitalization of DDL. At Elan's option, the Series A Preferred Stock is convertible into the Company's common stock or may be exchanged for a 30.1% interest in DDL. Because of this exchange feature, the Company has classified its Series A Preferred Stock, in the amount of \$12,015,000, outside of permanent equity at December 31, 2001 and 2000, in accordance with EITF Topic No. D-98. If Elan elects to exchange the Series A Preferred Stock for a 30.1% interest in DDL, Elan would also be required to reimburse DepoMed for 30.1% of DDL's historical losses. The Company is currently in discussions with Elan regarding Elan's termination of the relevant exchange right included in the Series A Preferred Stock agreement. If the Company is successful in negotiating the termination of the exchange right, the Series A Preferred Stock will be reclassified to permanent equity. Similarly, if Elan elects to convert the Series A Preferred Stock into the Company's common stock, \$12,015,000 will be reclassified to permanent equity.

The Series A Preferred Stock accrues a dividend of 7% per annum, compounded semi-annually and payable in shares of Series A Preferred Stock. The Series A Preferred Stock dividend is convertible at anytime after January 2002 into the Company's common stock. The original conversion price of the Series A Preferred Stock was \$12.00; however, as a result of the Company's March 2002 financing, the conversion price has been adjusted to \$10.66 per share. As the preferred dividends are only convertible into DepoMed common stock, the amounts calculated as dividends are accounted for as adjustment to the conversion price following EITF Issue No. 98-5, *Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios* (Issue No. 98-5). Since the commitment date fair market value of the maximum number of common shares that could be issued pursuant to conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock is less than the proceeds of issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock, the Series A Preferred Stock does not contain a beneficial conversion feature subject to recognition pursuant to Issue No. 98-5.

Initial Public Offering

The Company completed its initial public offering of common stock and common stock purchase warrants on November 5, 1997. The offering consisted of 1,200,000 units (Units), each unit consisting of one share of common stock, no par value, and a warrant to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.625 per share. The warrants may be exercised at any time beginning November 5, 1998 until November 4, 2002. The Company offered these units to the public at a price of \$6.10 per unit. Upon the completion of the initial public offering, all of the previously issued convertible preferred shares outstanding as of the closing date were automatically converted into 908,615 shares of common stock. The shares and warrants comprising the units were detached and began trading separately on December 1, 1997. In connection with the initial public offering, the Company issued warrants to purchase 117,917 Units (Representative's Warrants). The Representative's Warrants are exercisable at a price of \$7.625 per Unit for a period of four years commencing one year after the date of the initial public offering. The warrants issuable upon exercise of the Representative's Warrants are exercisable at \$7.625 per warrant for a period of four years, commencing one year from the date of the initial public offering.

In connection with a bridge financing, which was funded and repaid in November 1997, the Company issued to the bridge financing investors warrants to purchase 81,254 shares exercisable at \$6.00 per share and 2,084 shares exercisable at \$7.625 per share. The bridge warrants may be exercised at any time beginning April 7, 1998 until April 7, 2002. The value of the warrants was deemed to be immaterial, therefore, the Company did not record any value for these warrants.

As of December 31, 2001, 3,592,565 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance for all outstanding warrants.

F-17

Private Placements

On February 6, 1998, the Company completed a private placement of 1,000,000 shares of common stock for \$8.00 per share, with net proceeds of approximately \$7,500,000.

On January 21, 2000, the Company issued 714,286 shares of common stock and 12,015 shares of Series A convertible exchangeable preferred stock to Elan Corporation for consideration of \$5,000,000 and \$12,015,000, respectively. These transactions were completed in conjunction with the formation of a joint venture between Elan Corporation, plc and the Company. (See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements, Research Arrangements, *Elan Corporation, plc*).

On November 15, 2000, the Company completed a private placement of a combination of common stock and warrants, with net proceeds of approximately \$4,762,000. The private placement consisted of 50 units, each unit consisting of 28,571 shares of common stock, no par value, and 7,142 warrants to purchase 7,142 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.50 per share. The warrants may be exercised at any time beginning November 15, 2000 until November 14, 2004. The Company offered these units to private investors at a price of \$100,000 per unit. Additionally, the Company issued 42,856 of the redeemable warrants as a commission to a broker.

On June 14, 2001 the Company completed a private placement of a combination of 2,908,922 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 1,672,630 shares of common stock, for net proceeds of \$11,331,000. All of the warrants are exercisable until June 2006 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$4.38.

1995 Stock Option Plan

The Company's 1995 Stock Option Plan (the Plan) was adopted by the Board of Directors and approved by the shareholders in September 1995, and has subsequently been amended. In June 2001, the Board of Directors approved an increase to the Plan of 500,000 shares subject to shareholders' approval at the Company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 30, 2002. As of December 31, 2001, a total of 2,900,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Plan. The Plan provides for the granting to employees of the Company, including officers and employee directors, of incentive stock options, and for the granting of nonstatutory stock options to employees, directors and consultants of the Company.

Generally, the exercise price of all incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options granted under the Plan must be at least 100% and 85%, respectively, of the fair value of the common stock of the Company on the grant date. The term of an incentive stock option may not exceed 10 years from the date of grant. An option shall be exercisable on or after each vesting date in accordance with the terms set forth in the option agreement. The right to exercise an option generally vests at the rate of at least 25% by the end of the first year and then ratably in monthly installments over the remaining vesting period of the option.

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information for the period from inception (August 7, 1995) to December 31, 2001 follows:

	Shares Available For Grant	Number of Shares	Outstanding Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Shares authorized	250,000			
Options granted	(120,000)	120,000	\$	0.09
Balance at December 31, 1995	130,000	120,000	\$	0.09
Options granted at fair value	(3,334)	3,334	\$	0.09
Options granted below fair value	(83,333)	83,333	\$	0.90
Options exercised		(91,666)	\$	0.09
Balance at December 31, 1996	43,333	115,001	\$	0.68
Shares authorized	750,000			
Options granted at fair value	(369,166)	369,166	\$	4.12
Options granted below fair value	(153,333)	153,333	\$	3.00
Options exercised				
Balance at December 31, 1997	270,834	637,500	\$	3.23
Shares authorized	200,000*			
Options granted at fair value	(296,498)	296,498	\$	8.10
Options granted below fair value	(60,000)	60,000	\$	5.92
Options forfeited	7,500	(7,500)	\$	3.75
Balance at December 31, 1998	121,836	986,498	\$	4.85
Shares authorized	600,000			
Options granted at fair value	(363,551)	363,551	\$	2.93
Options exercised		(1,666)	\$	3.00
Options forfeited	21,000	(21,000)	\$	7.29
Balance at December 31, 1999	379,285	1,327,383	\$	4.29
Shares authorized	600,000			
Options granted at fair value	(485,328)	485,328	\$	3.90
Options forfeited	4,000	(4,000)	\$	5.47
Options expired	5,000	(5,000)	\$	11.25
Balance at December 31, 2000	502,957	1,803,711	\$	4.16
Shares authorized	500,000**			
Options granted at fair value	(812,714)	812,714	\$	4.83
Options exercised		(3,333)	\$	3.00
Balance at December 31, 2001	190,243	2,613,092	\$	4.37

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

* In December 1998, the Board of Directors approved an increase of 200,000 shares to the plan which was approved by the shareholders at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on June 2, 1999.

** In June 2001, the Board of Directors approved an increase of 500,000 shares to the plan subject to shareholder approval at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 30, 2002.

F-19

In June 2001, the Board of Directors authorized an increase in the number of shares authorized for issuance under the Plan by 500,000 shares. This increase will not be submitted for shareholder approval until the 2002 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In November and December 2001, the Company granted options to purchase approximately 310,000 shares out of the proposed 500,000 share increase of common stock at exercise prices of \$5.50 and \$5.80, which represent the fair market value of our common stock on the respective dates of grant. However, as the options will not be deemed authorized for grant until the shareholders have approved the increase in the number of shares authorized under the Plan, the applicable measurement date for accounting purposes will be the date such approval is obtained. Accordingly, if on such date the fair market value of the underlying common stock is greater than the exercise price, the Company will be required to recognize the difference as a non-cash compensation expense. If the fair market value of the Company's common stock is significantly higher than the exercise price on this date, the Company's results could be materially impacted in the second quarter of 2002. The fair market value of the Company's common stock at December 31, 2001 was \$6.90.

Exercisable options at December 31, 2001, totaled 1,453,848. Exercise prices for options outstanding as of December 31, 2001 ranged from \$0.09 to \$10.25. The following table summarizes information about options outstanding at December 31, 2001:

Exercise Prices	Number of Options	Outstanding Options		Exercisable Options	
		Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Number of Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
\$0.09-0.90	114,999	\$ 0.68	4.46	114,999	\$ 0.68
\$2.88-3.75	1,267,229	\$ 3.39	7.24	866,195	\$ 3.37
\$4.06-6.10	933,364	\$ 4.96	9.08	231,970	\$ 4.85
\$7.63-7.75	230,500	\$ 7.64	6.90	177,895	\$ 7.64
\$9.50-10.25	67,000	\$ 9.68	6.23	62,789	\$ 9.68
	2,613,092			1,453,848	

Stock-Based Compensation

During 1996, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 123 (SFAS 123). In accordance with SFAS 123, the Company applies APB 25 in accounting for option grants to employees under the Plan and, accordingly, does not recognize compensation expense for options granted to employees at fair value, but does recognize compensation expense for options granted at prices below fair value. The valuation related to stock options granted to non-employees in 1996 was immaterial and, therefore, no value was recorded in the financial statements in 1996. The Company used the minimum value method to determine the fair value of stock options at the grant date issued in 1996, and in 1997, up to the date of the initial public offering. Options granted subsequent to the Company's initial public offering were valued using the Black-Scholes Option Valuation Model. The weighted-average assumptions used for 2001, 2000 and 1999 were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2000	1999
Risk free interest rate	5.18%	6.10%	4.81%
Expected dividend yield	0	0	0
Expected option life in years	4	4	4
Expected stock price volatility	.82	.82	.80

The weighted-average estimated fair value of employee stock options was \$3.04, \$2.47 and \$1.83 for stock options granted in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

The option valuation models used in 2001, 2000 and 1999, were developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

For pro forma purposes, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the option's vesting period. If the Company had elected to recognize compensation expense based on the fair value of the options granted on the date of grant as prescribed by SFAS 123, net loss and net loss per share would have increased as reflected in the pro forma amounts shown in the table below:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001 (Restated)	2000 (Restated)	1999 (Restated)
Net loss as reported	\$ (17,600,039)	\$ (21,717,870)	\$ (5,193,800)
Net loss pro forma	\$ (18,742,252)	\$ (22,566,212)	\$ (5,812,568)
Net loss per share as reported	\$ (1.72)	\$ (2.96)	\$ (0.80)
Net loss per share pro forma	\$ (1.83)	\$ (3.08)	\$ (0.90)

Deferred Stock-Based Compensation

For options granted through the initial public offering date, November 5, 1997, the Company recognized an aggregate of \$517,000 as deferred stock-based compensation which represents the excess of the fair value of the common stock on the date of grant over the exercise price. The deferred stock-based compensation expense was recognized over the vesting period of the options. Compensation expense relating to the amortization of deferred stock-based compensation recorded in the 2001, 2000 and 1999 statements of operations was \$25,000, \$257,000 and \$228,000, respectively. Further, the Company recognized expense of \$58,000 in 2001, \$126,000 in 2000 and none in 1999 relating to the value of stock options granted to consultants in exchange for services.

8. Income Taxes

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$34,000,000 each. The Company also had federal and California research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$600,000 each. The net operating loss and credit carryforwards will expire at various dates beginning in 2003 through 2021 if not utilized.

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Utilization of the Company's net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and similar state provisions. The annual limitation may result in the expiration of net operating losses and credits before utilization.

F-21

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets for financial reporting purposes and the amount used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2000	1999
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 13,600,000	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 3,700,000
Research credit carryforwards	1,000,000	800,000	400,000
In-process research and development	4,100,000	4,500,000	4,800,000
Other	300,000		
Total deferred tax assets	19,000,000	11,800,000	8,900,000
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(19,000,000)	(11,800,000)	(8,900,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$	\$

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any, the timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, the net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance increased by \$7,200,000, \$2,900,000 and \$7,300,000 during the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

9. Summarized Quarterly Data (Unaudited)

The following tables set forth certain statements of operations data for each of the eight quarters beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2000 through the quarter ended December 31, 2001. This quarterly information is unaudited, but has been prepared on the same basis as the annual financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair representation of the information for the periods presented. Operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period.

	2001 Quarter Ended			
	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
Total revenue	\$ 989,282	\$ 1,208,661	\$ 837,961	\$ 637,422
Loss from operations	(3,951,572)	(3,760,047)	(4,004,929)	(2,604,879)
Net loss	(4,887,165)	(4,589,219)	(4,933,805)	(3,189,850)
Basic and diluted net loss per share (restated)	(0.42)	(0.40)	(0.54)	(0.37)

	2000 Quarter Ended			
	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
Total revenue	\$ 432,312	\$ 381,926	\$ 585,562	\$ 376,418
Loss from operations	(2,828,821)	(1,885,903)	(1,624,537)	(1,398,936)
Net loss	(3,497,332)	(2,378,872)	(2,179,212)	(13,662,454)
Basic and diluted net loss per share (restated)	(0.44)	(0.33)	(0.30)	(1.94)

10. Subsequent Events

Private Placement

On March 22, 2002, the Company completed a private placement of 2,300,000 common shares at \$3.83 per share with net proceeds of \$8,304,000. In connection with the financing, anti-dilution provisions in the Elan agreements were triggered, which adjusted the price at which the Series A preferred stock and dividends convert to common stock from \$12.00 per share to \$10.66 per share and which adjusted the price at which the Elan convertible loan facility and interest convert to common stock from \$10.00 per share to \$9.07 per share.

F-23

AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders of

DepoMed Development, Ltd.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of DepoMed Development, Ltd. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2000 and the related statements of operations, shareholders' deficit and cash flows for the period from inception (January 7, 2000) to December 31, 2000. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of DepoMed Development, Ltd. (a development stage company) at December 31, 2000 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period from inception (January 7, 2000) to December 31, 2000 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Palo Alto, California
February 23, 2001

DEPOMED DEVELOPMENT, LTD.

(A Development Stage Company)

(Incorporated in Bermuda)

BALANCE SHEET

(expressed in United States dollars)

	December 31,	
	2001 (Unaudited)	2000
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS DEFICIT		
Current liabilities:		
Due to shareholders (Note 3)	\$ 642,793	\$ 432,313
Due to companies related through common ownership (Note 3)	413,193	422,030
Total current liabilities	1,055,986	854,343
Shareholders' deficit:		
Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value; 6,000 non-voting shares authorized; 6,000 issued and outstanding	6,000	6,000
Common stock, \$1.00 par value, 6,000 voting shares authorized; 6,000 issued and outstanding	6,000	6,000
Contributed surplus	20,624,943	16,864,777
Accumulated deficit	(21,692,929)	(17,731,120)
Total shareholders' deficit	(1,055,986)	(854,343)
	\$	\$

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

(expressed in United States dollars)

	Year Ended December 31, 2001 (Unaudited)	Period From Inception (January 7, 2000) to December 31, 2000	Period From Inception (January 7, 2000) to December 31, (Unaudited)
Expenses			
In-process research and development (Note 4)	\$	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Research and development (Note 3)	3,927,332	2,709,017	6,636,349
General and administrative	34,477	22,103	56,580
Total operating expenses	3,961,809	17,731,120	21,692,929
Net loss	\$ (3,961,809)	\$ (17,731,120)	\$ (21,692,929)

See accompanying notes.

F-25

DEPOMED DEVELOPMENT, LTD.

(A Development Stage Company)

(Incorporated in Bermuda)

STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS DEFICIT

Period from inception (January 7, 2000) to December 31, 2001

(expressed in United States dollars)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Contributed Surplus	Total Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholders Deficit		
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount					
Issuance of common shares		\$	6,000	\$	6,000	\$	7,494,000	\$	7,500,000
Issuance of preferred shares	6,000	6,000					7,494,000		7,500,000
Contributed surplus							1,876,777		1,876,777
Comprehensive and net loss							(17,731,120)		(17,731,120)
Balances at December 31, 2000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	16,864,777		(17,731,120)		(854,343)
Contributed surplus (unaudited)							3,760,166		3,760,166
Comprehensive and net loss (unaudited)							(3,961,809)		(3,961,809)
Balances at December 31, 2001 (unaudited)	6,000	\$ 6,000	6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 20,624,943	\$	(21,692,929)	\$	(1,055,986)

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(expressed in United States dollars)

	Year Ended December 31, 2001 (Unaudited)	Period From Inception (January 7, 2000) to December 31, 2000	Period From Inception (January 7, 2000) to December 31, 2001 (Unaudited)
Operating activities			
Net loss	\$ (3,961,809)	\$ (17,731,120)	\$ (21,692,929)

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Due to shareholders	210,480	432,313	642,793
Due to companies related through common ownership	(8,837)	422,030	413,193
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,760,166)	(16,876,777)	(20,636,943)
Financing activities			
Proceeds from issuance of common shares		6,000	6,000
Proceeds from issuance of preferred shares		6,000	6,000
Increase in contributed capital	3,760,166	16,864,777	20,624,943
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,760,166	16,876,777	20,636,943
Change in cash, and cash at beginning and end of period	\$	\$	\$

See accompanying notes.

F-26

DEPOMED DEVELOPMENT, LTD.

(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Information as of and for the year ended December 31, 2001 is unaudited

December 31, 2001

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

DepoMed Development, Ltd. (the Company) was incorporated on January 7, 2000 in Bermuda. The Company is owned jointly by Elan International Services, Ltd. (EIS), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Elan Corporation plc (Elan), and DepoMed, Inc. (DMI), holding 19.9% (non-voting shares) and 80.1% of the shares, respectively. The primary objective of the Company is to carry on the business of the development, testing, registration, manufacturing, commercialization, and licensing of Products (as defined in the Subscription, Joint Development and Operating Agreement (JDOA) dated January 21, 2000 between DepoMed Development, Ltd. (DDL), EIS, DMI and others). The focus of the collaborative venture is to develop Products using the intellectual property of Elan, and DMI and the DDL technology pursuant to the JDOA.

The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is entirely dependent upon the funds it receives from its shareholders in connection with the shareholders' respective obligations to fund the Company's operations.

The financial information at December 31, 2001 is unaudited but includes all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) that the Company considers necessary for a fair presentation of its financial position at such date and the operating results and cash flows for that period.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Significant accounting policies are as follows:

Research and Development Costs

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Research costs are charged as an expense of the period in which they are incurred. Development costs are deferred to future periods if certain criteria relating to future benefits are satisfied and if the costs do not exceed the expected future benefits.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income (loss) approximates net loss for the period ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

3. Related Party Transactions

At the end of the period, the amount due to shareholders and companies related through common ownership represents costs for research and development that are subcontracted to DMI and Elan. Research and development expense of \$3,27,332, \$17,709,017 and \$21,636,349 represents costs under such agreements for the year ended December 31, 2001, and for the periods from inception to December 2000 and 2001, respectively. These transactions are in the normal course of operations and are measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties. Further, the amount due to shareholders is unsecured, and interest free with no set terms of repayment.

4. In-Process Research and Development

During the period from inception to December 31, 2000, the Company paid a license fee to Elan Corporation plc in the amount of \$15,000,000 to acquire rights to certain Elan intellectual property. The license acquired related to early stage technology that, in the opinion of management, had not reached technological feasibility. In addition, management concluded that such technology had no alternative future uses. Therefore, the license fee was deemed to be in-process research and development and charged to research and development expense for the period.

5. Shareholders Equity

Preferred Shares

In January 2000, the Company issued 6,000 non-voting convertible preference shares (Preferred Shares) for \$1,250 per share with a par value of \$1.00 each. 3,612 Preferred Shares were issued to DMI and 2,388 Preferred Shares were issued to EIS for net proceeds of \$7,500,000. At any time after January 21, 2002, the holders of the Preferred Shares have the right to convert all, or a portion, of such Preferred Shares into common shares on a one-to-one basis. Upon liquidation of the Company, the holders of the Preferred Shares will be entitled to be paid out of the assets of the Company available for distribution to shareholders before any distribution or payment is made to the holders of any other classes of stock.

Common Shares

In January 2000, the Company issued 6,000 voting common shares to DMI for \$1,250 per share with a par value of \$1.00 each. The Company received net proceeds of \$7,500,000 related to this issuance.

Contributed Surplus

Edgar Filing: DEPOMED INC - Form 10-K/A

Contributed surplus of \$20,624,943 and \$16,864,777 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, represents the share premium of \$14,988,000 on amounts initially contributed by shareholders, as well as additional amounts received from shareholders which represents capital contributions to fund the Company's operating costs.

6. Taxes

Under current Bermuda law the Company is not required to pay any taxes in Bermuda on either income or capital gains. The Company has received an undertaking from the Minister of Finance in Bermuda that in the event of such taxes being imposed, the Company will be exempted from taxation until the year 2016.

F-28

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

- 3.1 Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation
- 3.2 Form of Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation
- 3.3 Bylaws
- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate
- 4.2 Specimen Warrant Certificate (filed as Exhibit A to the Form of Warrant Agreement)
- 4.3 Form of Representative s Warrant Agreement including form of Representative s Warrant
- 4.4 Form of Warrant Agreement
- 10.1 1995 Stock Option Plan, as amended
- 10.9 Agreement re: Settlement of Lawsuit, Conveyance of Assets and Assumption of Liabilities dated August 28, 1995 by and among DepoMed Systems, Inc., Dr. John W. Shell and M6 Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
- 10.10 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the company and its directors and executive officers
- 10.12 Form of Agreement between the company and Burrill & Company
- + 10.15 Securities Purchase Agreement dated January 21, 2000 between the company and Elan International Services, Ltd.
- 10.16 Company Registration Rights Agreement dated January 21, 2000 between the company and Elan International Services, Ltd.
- 10.17 Newco Registration Rights Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Newco and Elan International Services, Ltd.
- 10.18 Funding Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd.
- + 10.19 Subscription, Joint Development Operating Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Newco, Elan Corporation, plc, Elan Pharma International, Ltd. and Elan International Services, Ltd.
- 10.20 Convertible Promissory Note dated January 21, 2000 issued by the company to Elan International Services, Ltd.
- + 10.21 Company License Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Newco and Elan Corporation, plc.
- + 10.22 Elan License Agreement dated January 21, 2000 among the company, Newco, Elan Corporation, plc and Elan Pharma International, Ltd.
- 10.23 Certificate of Determination of Rights and Preferences of Series A Preferred Stock filed with the State of California on January 14, 2000
- 10.24 Loan agreement dated March 29, 2001 between the company and GATX Ventures, Inc.
- 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Auditors
- *24.1 Power of Attorney
- 99.1 Certification of John W. Fara, Ph.D.
- 99.2 Certification of John F. Hamilton

Incorporated by reference to the company s registration statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-25445)

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the company s registration statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-54982)

Incorporated by reference to the company s Form 8-K filed on February 18, 2000

Incorporated by reference to the company s Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2001

+ Confidential treatment granted.

* Previously filed.