ARTISTDIRECT INC Form POS AM April 20, 2006

As Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 20, 2006

Registration No. 333-129626

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to

FORM SB-2

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

ARTISTdirect, Inc.

(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

7389 (Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)

95-4760230 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1601 Cloverfield Boulevard, Suite 400 South Santa Monica, California 90404-4082 (310) 956-3300 (Address and Telephone Number of Principal Executive Offices)

Robert N. Weingarten, Chief Financial Officer 1601 Cloverfield Boulevard, Suite 400 South Santa Monica, California 90404-4082 (310) 956 -3300

(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Agent for Service)

Copies to

David I. Sunkin, Esq.
Kristy D. Palmquist, Esq.
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP
333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-1448
Telephone (213) 620-1780 Facsimile (213) 620-1398

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

| Title of Each Class of Securities To Be Registered | Amount To Be Registered (1) | Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Share | Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price | Amount of Registration Fee |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value(2) | 22,127,526 | \$ 2.35(6) \$ | 51,999,686(6) \$ | 6,120.36 |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value(3) | 6,601,209 | \$ 2.35(6) \$ | 15,512,841(6) \$ | 1,825.86 |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value(4) | 8,618,620 | \$ 2.35(6) \$ | 20,253,757(6) \$ | 2,383.87 |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value(5) | 200,000 | \$ 2.39(7) \$ | 478,000(7) \$ | 51.15 |
| TOTAL REGISTRATION FEE: | | | \$ | 10,381.24(8) |

⁽¹⁾ In accordance with Rule 416(a), the Registrant is also registering hereunder an indeterminate number of shares that may be issued and resold resulting from stock splits, stock dividends or similar transactions.

- (2) Represents 20,297,097 shares of Common Stock underlying convertible promissory notes issued by the Registrant to certain of the selling stockholders and 1,830,429 shares of Common Stock that may be payable as interest shares. Interest accrues on the unpaid principle amount of the convertible promissory notes in United States dollars or in shares of the Registrant s Common Stock at the Registrant s option. For purposes of determining the number of interest shares to be registered under this prospectus, the Registrant has used \$2.75 per share as the estimated value for the Registrant s shares.
- (3) Represents shares of Common Stock underlying warrants issued by the Registrant to the selling stockholders.
- (4) As required by certain contractual obligations to which the Registrant is subject, the number of shares of Common Stock registered represents 130% of the number of shares of Common Stock underlying the convertible promissory notes, interest shares and warrants issued by the Registrant to certain of the selling stockholders. Refer also to footnotes (2) and (3) above.
- (5) Represents shares of Common Stock underlying a warrant issued by the Registrant pursuant to contractual obligations to a selling stockholder.
- (6) Estimated pursuant to Rule 457(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 solely for the purpose of computing the amount of the registration fee based on the average of the high and low prices reported on the OTC Bulletin Board on November 7, 2005.

| (7) | Estimated pursuant to Rule 457(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 solely for the purpose of computing the amount |
|-------|--|
| of th | ne registration fee based on the average of the high and low prices reported on the OTC Bulletin Board on |
| Dec | ember 1, 2005. |

(8) Amount previously paid.

The Registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall hereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to such Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and we are not soliciting offers to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion, Dated April 20, 2006

PROSPECTUS

37,547,355 Shares ARTISTdirect, Inc. Common Stock

This prospectus relates to 37,547,355 shares of common stock of ARTISTdirect, Inc. that may be resold from time to time to the public by the selling stockholders named in this prospectus, including:

up to 20,297,097 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes held by certain of the selling stockholders;

up to 1,830,429 shares of common stock that may be issued as interest shares pursuant to the convertible promissory notes issued to certain of the selling stockholders;

up to 6,601,209 shares of common stock underlying warrants held by certain of the selling stockholders;

in accordance with our contractual obligations, up to an additional 8,618,620 shares issuable upon conversion of the convertible promissory notes, issuance of interest shares and upon exercise of the above-referenced warrants; and

up to 200,000 shares of common stock underlying a warrant issued to a selling stockholder.

We will not receive any proceeds from the sales by the selling stockholders, but we will receive funds from the exercise of warrants held by the selling stockholders, if exercised.

| Our common stock is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board maintained by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symb ARTD. The closing sales price for our common stock on April 19, 2006 was \$3.80 per share, as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board. | ool |
|--|--------|
| The securities offered by this prospectus involve a high degree of risk. See Risk Factors beginning on page 4. | |
| Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securit determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense. | ties o |
| The date of this prospectus is , 2006 | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|---|--|
| PROSPECTUS SUMMARY | <u>1</u> |
| RISK FACTORS | <u>4</u> |
| RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS | <u>4</u> |
| RISKS RELATED TO THE ACQUISITION AND RELATED FINANCING TRANSACTIONS | <u>10</u> |
| RISKS RELATED TO OUR CAPITAL STRUCTURE | |
| SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS | <u>13</u> |
| <u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u> | <u>14</u> |
| MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | <u>14</u> |
| <u>DIVIDEND POLICY</u> | <u>14</u> |
| MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 11 13 14 14 14 15 30 43 51 52 52 59 60 62 |
| BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS | <u>30</u> |
| <u>MANAGEMENT</u> | <u>43</u> |
| CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS | <u>51</u> |
| CHANGE IN ACCOUNTANTS | <u>52</u> |
| SELLING STOCKHOLDERS | <u>52</u> |
| DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK | <u>59</u> |
| SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE | <u>60</u> |
| <u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u> | <u>62</u> |
| <u>LEGAL MATTERS</u> | 64 64 64 <u>F-1</u> |
| <u>EXPERTS</u> | <u>64</u> |
| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | <u>64</u> |
| INDEX TO ARTISTDIRECT, INC. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | <u>F-1</u> |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM | <u>F-2</u> |
| ARTISTDIRECT, INC. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RELATED NOTES | <u>F-3</u> |
| INDEX TO MEDIADEFENDER, INC. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | F-46 |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM | <u>F-47</u> |
| MEDIADEFENDER, INC. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RELATED NOTES | <u>F-48</u> |
| PROFORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION | <u>F-64</u> |
| EXHIBIT 23.1 | |

Please read this prospectus carefully. It describes our business, our financial condition and results of operations and various risk factors. We have prepared this prospectus so that you will have the information necessary to make an informed investment decision.

You should solely rely on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information different from that contained in this prospectus. The selling stockholders are offering to sell shares of our common stock and seeking offers to buy shares of our common stock only in jurisdictions where offers and sales are permitted. The information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date of the prospectus, regardless of the time the prospectus is delivered or the common stock is sold.

i

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights some information from this prospectus, and it may not contain all of the information that is important to you. You should read the following summary together with the more detailed information regarding our company and the common stock being sold in this offering, including Risk Factors and our consolidated financial statements and related notes, included elsewhere in, or incorporated by reference into, this prospectus.

In this prospectus, the terms we, us, and our refer to ARTISTdirect, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries, as appropriate in the context, and, unless the context otherwise requires, common stock refers to the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of ARTISTdirect, Inc.

Our Company

Incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in July 1999, we are a digital media entertainment company that is home to an on-line music network. Through our acquisition of MediaDefender, Inc., a Delaware corporation (MediaDefender), in July 2005, we are also a provider of anti-piracy solutions in the Internet piracy protection industry. Below is an overview of our three business segments:

Media. Our media operations include our content-oriented web-sites, a network of third party music sites and our entertainment marketing initiatives. Revenue from media operations is generated from the sale of online advertising and integrated marketing solutions. We market and sell advertising on a cost-per-impression basis to advertising agencies and directly to various companies as part of their marketing programs. Customers may purchase advertising space for the entire ARTISTdirect network, or they may tailor advertising based on music genre (e.g., jazz, country or rock music) or based on functionality (e.g., directing advertising to customers using music download features or broadband-only features of the ARTISTdirect network). Since we are increasingly aware of web-sites outside the ARTISTdirect network that are frequently visited by artists and music fans, we have also offered our customers advertising space on behalf of third party music-related web-sites.

E-Commerce. E-commerce operations consist of the sale of recorded music and artist-related merchandise on our web-site. Most of our sales come from our ARTISTdirect shopping area, which offers a comprehensive selection of music CDs and broad range of artist and lifestyle merchandise.

Internet Piracy Prevention. Our Internet piracy prevention (IPP) segment is currently operated by MediaDefender, as a wholly-owned subsidiary of ARTISTdirect. MediaDefender s proprietary suite of IPP solutions offers significant levels of protection on the 15 major peer-to-peer (P2P) file-sharing networks, as well as on Internet Relay Chat (IRC) and Usenet. MediaDefender s uber-level solutions are capable of providing up to 95% effectiveness in preventing unauthorized downloads of customer-specified content.

Our primary executive offices are located at 1601 Cloverfield Boulevard, Suite 400 South, Santa Monica, California 90404-4082. Our telephone number is (310) 956-3300.

1

MediaDefender Acquisition

On July 28, 2005, we consummated the acquisition (the Acquisition) of MediaDefender. The aggregate consideration for the Acquisition was \$42.5 million in cash, subject to a holdback of \$4.25 million which was placed into an escrow account to cover certain indemnification claims for a limited period of time. (\$2.0 million of which has been subsequently released). MediaDefender is a recognized creator of and one of the leaders in the rapidly-expanding IPP industry. Over the past four years, MediaDefender s IPP solutions have been utilized by many of the major music and entertainment companies in the global music and movie industries as an effective means of thwarting illegal downloading and causing consumers to pay for authorized digital content.

Concurrent with the consummation of the Acquisition, we completed a \$15.0 million senior secured debt transaction and a \$30.0 million convertible subordinated debt transaction. The securities were offered and sold to issuees in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. Each of the issuees qualified as an accredited investor (as defined by Rule 501 under the Securities Act). This prospectus relates primarily to the resale of the equity securities issued in connection with these financing transactions.

The Offering

Common stock offered by selling stockholders Common stock outstanding Use of proceeds

OTC Bulletin Board

37,547,355 shares*
6,921,457 shares
We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the common stock
ARTD

The above information is based on the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of April 1, 2006, and excludes:

980,624 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of options outstanding as of April 1, 2006 under our 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan, 1999 Artist Plan and our 1999 Artist and Artist Advisor Plan (collectively, the 1999 Option Plans);

4,087,127 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of options outstanding as of April 1, 2006 issued outside of the 1999 Option Plans;

^{*} Represents 20,297,097 shares of our common stock underlying convertible promissory notes that were issued to certain of the selling stockholders, 1,830,429 shares of our common stock that may be issued as interest shares pursuant to the convertible promissory notes issued to certain of the selling stockholders and 6,601,209 shares of our common stock underlying warrants that were issued to certain of the selling stockholders. In accordance with our contractual obligations, it also includes an additional 8,618,620 shares of our common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible promissory notes, issuance of interest shares and upon exercise of the above-referenced warrants. We have also included 200,000 shares of our common stock underlying a warrant pursuant to certain piggyback registration rights contractually granted by us to the holder in September 2003.

6,972,161 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants outstanding as of April 1, 2006; and

18,235,020 shares of our common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible promissory notes outstanding as of April 1, 2006.

2

Summary Condensed Consolidated Financial Data

The following table presents summary consolidated financial data for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, which has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The selected consolidated financial data does not purport to indicate results of operations as of any future date or for any future period. The summary consolidated financial data has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition and our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

As a result of the sale of all of our interest in ARTISTdirect Recordings to Radar Records effective February 28, 2005, we have accounted for our interest in ARTISTdirect Records as a discontinued operation at December 31, 2004 and for the year then ended in accordance with SFAS No. 144. Accordingly, we have restated our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2003 and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 to reflect such accounting treatment, and the assets and liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records have been classified as held for sale.

During December 2004, we ceased the sale of products under the iMusic label and discontinued the operations of the iMusic record label. Accordingly, we have accounted for the operations of iMusic as a discontinued operation for all periods presented and have restated our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2003 and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 to reflect the termination of the business operations of iMusic.

Our audited consolidated financial statements for 2001-2004 reflect the historical results of ARTISTdirect prior to the Acquisition, and do not include the historical financial results of MediaDefender prior to the consummation of the Acquisition. Our audited consolidated financial statements for 2005 include the operations of MediaDefender subsequent to its Acquisition. We have included audited financial statements of MediaDefender for the year ended December 31, 2004 and unaudited financial statements for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 elsewhere in this prospectus. Refer to Index to MediaDefender, Inc. Financial Statements. All amounts shown below are in thousands except for share and per share data.

| Consolidated Statement of | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|-------------|----|------------|
| Operations Data: | | 2001 | | 2002 | | 2003 | 2004 | | 2005 |
| Total net revenues | \$ | 10,421 | \$ | 5,637 | \$ | 4,632 | \$ 5,143 | \$ | 13,971 |
| Income (loss) from continuing | | | | | | | | | |
| operations | | (61,276) | | (17,273) | | (6,892) | (1,063) | | (744) |
| Net income (loss) | | (69,880) | | (48,192) | | (21,701) | (3,311) | | 20,064 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to | | | | | | | | | |
| common shareholders | | (70,571) | | (48,192) | | (21,701) | (3,311) | | 20,064 |
| Income (loss) from continuing | | | | | | | | | |
| operations per common share | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | (17.12) | | (5.12) | | (1.99) | (0.30) | | (0.19) |
| Diluted | | (17.12) | | (5.12) | | (1.99) | (0.30) | | (0.01) |
| Net income (loss) per common share | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | (19.49) | | (13.92) | | (6.27) | (0.94) | | 5.25 |
| Diluted | | (19.49) | | (13.92) | | (6.27) | (0.94) | | 1.46 |
| Weighted average common shares | | | | | | | | | |
| outstanding | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 3,620,161 | | 3,461,057 | | 3,461,992 | 3,502,117 | | 3,969,145 |
| Diluted | | 3,620,161 | | 3,461,057 | | 3,461,992 | 3,502,117 | | 14,264,852 |

| | | | De | cember 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | 2001 | 2002 | | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 25,016 | \$ 1,910 | \$ | 719 | \$ 1,156 | \$ 3,102 |
| Working capital (deficiency) | 48,323 | 8,397 | | (15,915) | (18,438) | 60 |
| Total assets | 59,873 | 15,925 | | 3,006 | 2,413 | 54,532 |
| Long term obligations | 903 | 1,117 | | | | 43,929 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 3 | | | | |

RISK FACTORS

Any investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below and all of the information contained in this prospectus before deciding whether to purchase our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed. The trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment in our common stock.

Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements. We operate in a market environment that is difficult to predict and that involves significant risks and uncertainties, many of which will be beyond our control. Refer also to Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

It is difficult to evaluate our business and prospects because we have a limited operating history and a rapidly evolving business.

We were incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware in July 1999. Our limited operating history and rapidly evolving business make it difficult to evaluate our prospects or to accurately predict our future revenue or results of operations. Our revenue and income potential are unproven, and our business model is constantly and rapidly evolving. In particular, the Internet is constantly changing and we may need to modify our business model to adapt to these changes.

4

The loss of key personnel could adversely affect our business because these individuals are important to our business.

Our future success depends to a significant extent on the continued services of our senior management, including Jonathan V. Diamond, Randy Saaf and Octavio Herrera. The loss of any of these individuals would likely have an adverse effect on our business. Competition for personnel throughout our industry is intense and we may be unable to retain these key employees or attract, integrate or retain other highly qualified employees in the future. We have in the past experienced, and we expect to continue to experience, difficulty in hiring and retaining highly skilled employees with appropriate qualifications. If we do not succeed in attracting new personnel or retaining and motivating our current personnel, our business could be adversely affected. Recent changes in corporate governance and securities laws and regulations, such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, could make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified executive officers or qualified members of our Board of Directors, particularly individuals to serve on our audit committee.

We have substantial debt obligations, which could materially and adversely affect our business.

To obtain sufficient cash resources to fund the Acquisition of MediaDefender, we incurred approximately \$45.0 million worth of indebtedness. We will require substantial amounts of cash to fund scheduled payments of interest on the notes issued in the debt transactions, payment of the principal amount of the notes, future capital expenditures, payments on our leases and any increased working capital requirements due to the Acquisition. If we are unable to meet our cash requirements out of cash flow from operations, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain alternative financing. The degree to which we are financially leveraged could materially and adversely affect our ability to obtain financing for working capital, acquisitions or other general corporate purposes and could make us more vulnerable to industry downturns and competitive pressures. In the absence of such financing, our ability to respond to changing business and economic conditions, to make future acquisitions, to absorb adverse operating results or to fund capital expenditures or increased working capital requirements would be significantly reduced. Our ability to meet our debt service obligations will be dependent upon our future performance, which will be subject to financial, business and other factors affecting our operations, some of which are beyond our control.

The success of our newly-acquired Internet piracy prevention segment depends in part on the continued success of MediaDefender's content protection technology.

If current MediaDefender customers, which include major movie studios and record labels, determine that the benefits of MediaDefender s technology do not justify the expense, or other competitive technologies are superior than those provided by MediaDefender, demand for its IPP technology would decline and our operating results would be harmed.

Our relationships with entertainment industry participants are particularly important to our newly-acquired Internet piracy prevention segment, and if we fail to maintain such relationships fostered by MediaDefender our business prospects could be materially harmed.

If we fail to maintain and expand MediaDefender s relationships with a broad range of participants throughout the entertainment industry, including movie studios and record labels, our business prospects could be materially harmed. Relationships have historically played an important role in the entertainment industries that MediaDefender serves. If we fail to maintain and strengthen these relationships, these entertainment industry participants may not purchase or use our technologies, which could materially harm our business and business prospects. In addition, if major entertainment industry participants form strategic relationships that exclude us, our business and business prospects could be materially adversely affected.

Global sales of recorded music have recently declined and this trend may continue in the future.

Based on data compiled by The Recording Industry Association of America, or RIAA, the number of CDs shipped from record companies to retail distribution channels fell approximately 8% in 2005, as compared to the previous year. Dollar value also fell substantially from 11.4 billion to 10.5 billion in 2005 from 2004. This decline represents the greatest drop since 2002, when shipped units and dollar value fell by 8.9% and 6.7%, respectively. According to the RIAA, the decline, in sales had been due in part, to widespread copying and illegal Internet downloading of music. If the overall trend continues, it may affect our e-commerce business and have a material affect on our revenues.

If we are unable to grow our online advertising significantly in the near future, our business may be adversely affected.

If we do not increase advertising revenue in our media and e-commerce segments, our business will be adversely affected. Increasing our advertising revenue depends upon many factors, including our ability to:

respond to and anticipate fluctuations in the demand for, and pricing of, online advertising;

develop and maintain key advertising relationships and compete for advertisers with Internet and traditional media companies;

conduct successful selling and marketing efforts aimed at advertising agencies and direct marketing departments;

successfully develop, sell and execute entertainment marketing solutions;

increase the size of our audience and the amount of time that our audience spends on our web-sites;

accurately measure the size and demographic characteristics of our audience;

offer advertisers the means to effectively target their advertisements to our audience; and

increase the amount of revenue per advertisement.

Our failure to achieve one or more of these objectives could impair our ability to increase advertising revenue, which could adversely affect our business. In addition to the above factors, general economic conditions, as well as economic conditions specific to online advertising, electronic commerce and the music industry, could affect our ability to increase our advertising revenue.

We may not be able to develop or obtain sufficiently compelling content to attract and retain our target audience.

For our media and e-commerce segments to be successful, we must provide content and services that attract consumers who will purchase music and related merchandise online. We may not be able to provide consumers with an acceptable mix of products, services, information and community to attract them to our web-sites or to encourage them to remain on our web-sites for an extended period of time. If our audience determines that our content does not reflect its tastes, then our audience size could decrease or the demographic characteristics of our audience could change and we may be unable to react to those changes effectively or in a timely manner. Any of these results would adversely affect our ability to attract advertisers and sell music and other related merchandise. Our ability to provide compelling content could be impaired by any of the following:

| the following: |
|--|
| reduced access to content controlled by record labels, music publishers and artists; |
| diminished technical expertise and creativity of our production staff; and |
| inability to anticipate and capitalize on trends in music. |
| If we do not build and maintain strong brands, we may not be able to attract a significant number of users to our web-sites. |
| To attract users we must develop a brand identity for ARTISTdirect and increase public awareness of the ARTISTdirect network; however, to conserve cash we have significantly decreased the amounts we have spent and plan to spend on our offline and online advertising and promotional efforts to increase brand awareness, traffic and revenue. Accordingly, our marketing activities may not result in increased revenue and, even if they do, any increased revenue may not offset the expenses we incur in building our brands. Moreover, despite these efforts we may be unable to increase public awareness of our brands, which would have an adverse effect on our results of operations. |
| The market for online promotion and distribution of music and related merchandise is highly competitive and we may not be able to compete successfully against our current and future competitors. |
| The market for the online promotion and distribution of music and related merchandise is highly competitive and rapidly changing. There are a significant number of web-sites promoting and distributing music and related merchandise that compete for the attention and spending of consumers, advertisers and users. We face competitive pressures from numerous actual and potential |
| 6 |
| |

competitors. Our competitors include America Online, MSN, Yahoo!, Amazon.com, MTV, myspace.com, mp3.com, billboard.com and other web-sites and traditional music companies.

Competition is likely to increase significantly as new companies enter the market and current competitors expand their services. Some of our competitors have entered into agreements to work together to offer music over the Internet, and we may face increased competitive pressures as a result. Many of our current and potential competitors in the Internet and music entertainment businesses may have substantial competitive advantages relative to us, including:

| longer operating histories; | |
|---|--|
| significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources; | |
| greater brand name recognition; | |
| larger existing customer bases; and | |
| more popular content or artists. | |

These competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements and devote greater resources to develop, promote and sell their products or services than we can. Consumers, artists, talent management companies and other music-related vendors or advertisers may perceive web-sites maintained by our existing and potential competitors as being superior to ours. In addition, increased competition could result in reduced advertising rates and margins and loss of market share, any of which could harm our business.

We depend on a limited number of suppliers for music merchandise, fulfillment and distribution and if we cannot secure alternate suppliers, our business may be harmed.

We rely to a large extent on timely distribution by third parties. During 2005, we relied on two vendors, Alliance Entertainment Corp. (Alliance), and Benn Co., LLC (Benn Co.) to fulfill and distribute our orders for music and related merchandise. During the year ended December 31, 2005, approximately 94% and 6%, respectively, of our total customer orders were fulfilled by Alliance and Benn Co., respectively. We purchase a significant portion of our artist-licensed merchandise from Benn Co. and most all of our compact discs from Alliance. Our contracts with Alliance and Benn Co. are both on a month-to-month basis. Our business could be significantly disrupted if Alliance or Benn Co. were to terminate or breach their agreements or suffer adverse developments that affect their ability to supply products to us. If, for any reason, Alliance, Benn Co. or other vendors are unable or unwilling to supply products to us in sufficient quantities and in a timely manner, we may not be able to secure alternative suppliers, on acceptable terms, in a timely manner or at all.

We depend on third party inventory and financial systems and carrier services.

Because we rely on third parties to fulfill orders, we depend on their systems for tracking inventory and financial data. If our distributors systems fail or are unable to scale or adapt to changing needs, or if we cannot integrate our information systems with the systems of any new distributors, we may not have adequate, accurate or timely inventory or financial information. We also rely on third-party carriers for shipments to and from distribution facilities. We are therefore subject to the risks, including employee strikes and inclement weather, associated with our carriers ability to provide delivery services to meet our distribution and shipping needs. In the past, we have occasionally experienced an unusually high volume of orders, which resulted in shipping delays to our customers. These delays did not have a material adverse effect, however, our failure to deliver products to our customers in a timely and accurate manner in the future could harm our reputation, our relationship with customers, the ARTIST direct brand and our results of operations.

Our media and e-commerce segments are subject to seasonality, which could adversely affect our operating results.

We have experienced and expect to continue to experience seasonal fluctuations in our online sales. These seasonal patterns will cause quarterly fluctuations in our operating results. In particular, a disproportionate amount of our online sales have been realized during the fourth calendar quarter and during the summer months, traditionally when artists go on tour. Due to our limited operating history, it is difficult to predict the seasonal pattern of our online sales and the impact of such seasonality on our business and operating results. Our seasonal online sales patterns may become more pronounced, strain our personnel, warehousing, and order

7

shipment activities and cause our operating results to be significantly less than expected for any given period.

We may be subject to system disruptions, which could reduce our revenue.

Our ability to attract and retain artists, users, advertisers and merchants for our online network depends on the performance, reliability and availability of our web-sites and network infrastructure. Our own staff performs the maintenance and operation of substantially all of our Internet communications hardware and servers. We have periodic maintenance windows, and we experience outages from time to time caused by temporary problems in our own systems or software. While we have implemented procedures designed to improve the reliability of our systems, these interruptions may continue to occur from time to time. Our users also depend on third party Internet service providers and web-site operators for access to our web-sites. These entities have experienced significant outages in the past, and could experience outages, delays and other difficulties due to system failures in the future which are unrelated to our systems, but which could nonetheless adversely affect our business.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights, our competitive position could be harmed or we could be required to incur significant expenses to enforce our rights.

We rely upon the registered trademark rights in the United States for our commercial use of the ARTISTdirect, UBL, Ultimate Band List and other brand names and their respective associated domain names, and the ARTISTdirect logo. We seek to protect some of our trademarks by registration and other means and copyrights and other proprietary rights through confidentiality requirements and other means, but these actions may be inadequate. It may be possible that some of our innovations may not be protectable. We have trademark applications pending in several jurisdictions, but our registrations may not be accepted or may be preempted by third parties and/or we may not be able to register our trademarks in all jurisdictions in which we intend to do business. We generally enter into confidentiality or license agreements with our employees, consultants and corporate partners, and generally attempt to control access to and distribution of our proprietary information.

The steps we have taken may not prevent misappropriation of our proprietary rights, or disclosure of trade secrets, particularly in foreign jurisdictions where laws or law enforcement practices may not protect our proprietary rights as fully as those in the United States. Confidentiality may be compromised intentionally or accidentally by contractors, customers, other third parties or our employees. If third parties were to use or otherwise misappropriate our copyrighted materials, trademarks or other proprietary rights without our consent or approval, our competitive position could be harmed, or we could become involved in litigation to enforce our rights. In addition, policing unauthorized use of our content, trademarks and other proprietary rights could be very expensive, difficult or impossible, particularly given the global nature of the Internet, and we may not be able to determine the existence or extent of any unauthorized use. We also cannot be certain that others will not develop independently equivalent or superior technology or intellectual property rights.

Our access to copyrighted content depends upon the willingness of content owners to make their content available.

The music content available on the ARTISTdirect network is typically comprised of copyrighted works owned or controlled by multiple third parties. Most of the content on our artist-specific web-sites is either owned or licensed by the artist. On other parts of the ARTISTdirect network, depending on the nature of the content and how we use the music content, we typically license such rights from publishers, record labels, performing rights societies or artists. We frequently either do not have written contracts or have short-term contracts with copyright owners, and, accordingly, our access to copyrighted content depends upon the willingness of such parties to continue to make their content available. If the fees for music content increase substantially or if significant music content becomes unavailable, our ability to offer music content could be materially limited. We have not obtained a license for some of the content offered on the ARTISTdirect network, including links to other

music-related sites and thirty-second streamed song samples, because we believe that a license is not required under existing law. However, this area of law remains uncertain and may not be resolved for a number of years. When this area of law is resolved, we may be required to obtain licenses for such content, alter or remove the content from our web-sites and be forced to pay potentially significant financial damages for past conduct.

Intellectual property claims against us could be costly and could result in the loss of significant rights.

Third parties may assert and have asserted trademark, copyright, patent and other types of infringement or unfair competition claims against us. If we are forced to defend against any such claims, whether they are with or without merit or are determined in our favor, we may face costly litigation, loss of access to, and use of, content, diversion of technical and management personnel, or product shipment delays. As a result of such a dispute, we may have to develop non-infringing technology, enter into royalty or licensing agreements, cease using certain technology or content or pay damages, any of which may increase our operating expenses

and harm our business. Such royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may be unavailable on terms acceptable to us, or at all. While we have resolved all such disputes in the past, we may not be able to do so in the future.

If our online security measures fail, we could lose visitors to our sites and could be subject to claims for damage from our users, content providers, advertisers and merchants.

Our relationships with consumers would be adversely affected and we may be subject to claims for damages if the security measures that we use to protect their personal information, especially credit card numbers, are ineffective. We rely on security and authentication technology that we license from third parties to perform real-time credit card authorization and verification with our bank. We cannot predict whether events or developments will result in a compromise or breach of the technology we use to protect a customer—s personal information. Our infrastructure may be vulnerable to unauthorized access, physical or electronic computer break-ins, computer viruses and other disruptive problems. Internet service providers have experienced, and may continue to experience, interruptions in service as a result of the accidental or intentional actions of Internet users, current and former employees and others. Anyone who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information or cause interruptions in our operations. Security breaches relating to our activities or the activities of third-party contractors that involve the storage and transmission of proprietary information could damage our reputation and our relationships with our content providers, advertisers and merchants. We also could be liable to our content providers, advertisers and merchants for the damages caused by such breaches or we could incur substantial costs as a result of defending claims for those damages. We may need to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against such security breaches or to address problems caused by such breaches. Our security measures may not prevent disruptions or security breaches.

We may be subject to liability if private information provided by our users was misused.

Our privacy policy discloses how we use individually identifiable information that we collect. This policy is displayed and accessible throughout the ARTISTdirect network. Despite this policy, however, if third persons were able to penetrate our network security or otherwise misappropriate our users personal information or credit card information, we could be subject to liability. We could also be subject to liability for claims for unauthorized purchases with credit card information, impersonation or other similar fraud claims, or other misuses of personal information, such as for unauthorized marketing purposes. These claims could result in costly and time-consuming litigation.

While we attempt to be fully compliant with our privacy policy, our efforts may not be entirely successful. In addition, at times we rely upon outside vendors to maintain data-collection software, and there can be no assurance that they will at all times comply with our instructions to comply with our privacy policy. If our methods of complying with our privacy policy are inadequate, or our business practices be found to differ from our privacy policy, we may face litigation with the FTC, California and other State s governmental authorities or individuals, which would adversely affect our business. It is also possible that users or visitors could try to recover damages in a civil action as well.

We may be sued for content available or posted on our web-site or products through our web-sites or for linking and framing of third-party web-sites.

We may be liable to third parties for content published on our web-sites and other web-sites where our syndicated content appears if the music, artwork, text or other content available violates their copyright, trademark or other intellectual property rights or if the available content is defamatory, obscene or pornographic. Similar claims have been brought, sometimes successfully, against web-site operators in the past. We also may be liable for content uploaded or posted by our users on our web-sites, such as digitally distributed music files, postings on our message boards, chat room discussions and copyrightable works. In addition, we could have liability to some of our content licensors for claims made

against them for content available on our web-sites. We also could be exposed to these types of claims for content that may be accessed from our web-sites or via links to other web-sites or for products sold through our web-site. While we have resolved all of these types of claims made against us in the past, we may not be able to do so in the future. We intend to implement measures to reduce exposure to these types of claims, but such measures may not be successful and may require us to expend significant resources. Any litigation as a result of defending these types of claims could result in substantial costs and damages. Our insurance may not adequately protect us against these types of claims or the costs of their defense or payment of damages. We link to and frame third-party web-sites of our artists without express written permission to do so. In addition, in the past we have provided a search feature to allow users to find music residing elsewhere on the Internet. Those practices are controversial, and have, in instances not involving us, resulted in litigation. Various claims, including trademark and copyright infringement, unfair competition, and commercial misappropriation, as well as infringement of the right of publicity may be asserted against us as a result. The law regarding linking and framing remains unsettled; it is uncertain as to how existing laws, especially trademark and copyright law, will be applied by the judiciary to the Internet. Also, Congress is increasingly active in passing new laws related to the Internet, and there is uncertainty as to the impact of future potential laws, especially those involving domain names, databases and privacy.

RISKS RELATED TO THE ACQUISITION AND RELATED FINANCING TRANSACTIONS

The combined company must succeed in the Internet piracy prevention market if it is to realize the expected benefits of the Acquisition.

The combined company s strategic plan depends upon the successful development and introduction of products and solutions that address the needs of the IPP market. In order for the combined company to succeed in this developing market, it must align strategies and objectives and focus a significant portion of its resources towards serving this market. The combined company may encounter the following difficulties, costs and delays involved in integrating their operations:

failure to successfully manage relationships with customers and other important relationships;

failure of customers to accept new services or to continue using the products and services of the combined company;

difficulties in successfully integrating the management teams and employees of ARTISTdirect and MediaDefender;

the loss of any key employees;

diversion of the attention of management from other ongoing business concerns;

potential incompatibilities of technologies and systems;

potential difficulties integrating and harmonizing financial reporting systems; and

potential incompatibility of business cultures.

The failure of the combined company to succeed in this market would significantly harm our business and prevent us from realizing the anticipated benefits of the Acquisition. If the anticipated benefits of the Acquisition are not realized or do not meet the expectations of financial or industry analysts, the market price of our common stock may decline.

The significant costs associated with the Acquisition may not prove to be justified in light of the benefits ultimately realized and could adversely affect our future liquidity and operating results.

We estimate that we incurred direct transaction costs of approximately \$50 million associated with the Acquisition and the related financing transactions, including the \$42.5 million purchase price, and all of which have been included as a part of the total purchase cost for accounting purposes. These numbers are estimates that are subject to increase. The combined company may incur additional material charges in subsequent quarters to reflect additional costs associated with the Acquisition and the related financing transactions.

Additionally, MediaDefender s net income may be less than historical results due to the increased expenses associated with being a part of a publicly-traded company. Such expenses include, but are not limited to, increased compensation and Sarbanes-Oxley compliance costs.

Should the anticipated benefits of the Acquisition fail to be realized, the significant costs associated with the Acquisition and the related financing transactions may not prove to be justified in light of the benefits ultimately realized and could adversely affect future liquidity and operating results.

If all of the equity-based securities issued by us to finance the Acquisition are converted or exercised in accordance with their respective terms, significant dilution to our existing stockholders will result.

There are currently 6,921,457 shares of our common stock outstanding. Assuming the conversion or exercise of all equity-based securities issued in connection with the recent financing transactions completed to finance the Acquisition, there will be approximately 38,000,000 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding (which also assumes exercise of all of our currently outstanding options and warrants, but does not take into account the additional 8,618,620 shares registered in accordance with certain of our contractual obligations).

This offering related to the resale by the selling stockholders of the equity-based securities issued by us to finance the Acquisition may have an adverse impact on the market price of our common stock.

This prospectus relates to the resale of up to 37,547,355 shares of our common stock by the selling stockholders. We will not receive any proceeds from these resales and have prepared this prospectus principally in order to meet our contractual obligations to the selling stockholders. Since there is a limited trading market for our common stock, the sale of any large block of this stock, or even the possibility of its sale, may adversely affect the trading market for our common stock and reduce the price available in that market.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Our stock price is volatile and could decline in the future.

The price of our common stock may fluctuate in the future. The stock market, in general, and the market price for shares of technology companies in particular, have experienced extreme stock price fluctuations. In some cases, these fluctuations have been unrelated to the operating performance of the affected companies. Many companies in the technology and related industries have experienced dramatic volatility in the market prices of their common stock. We believe that a number of factors, both within and outside of our control, could cause the price of our common stock to fluctuate, perhaps substantially. Factors such as the following could have a significant adverse impact on the market price of our common stock:

| announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors; |
|---|
| U.S. and foreign governmental actions; |
| developments concerning our patent or other proprietary rights or our competitors (including litigation); |
| our ability to obtain additional financing and, if available, the terms and conditions of the financing; |
| our financial position and results of operations; |
| period-to-period fluctuations in our operating results; |
| changes in estimates of our performance by any securities analysts; |
| market conditions for technology stocks in general; and |
| market conditions of securities traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. |
| 11 |

There is no assurance of an established public trading market, which would adversely affect the ability of investors in our company to sell their securities in the public markets.

Although our common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board, a regular trading market for the securities may not be sustained in the future. The NASD has enacted recent changes that limit quotations on the OTC Bulletin Board to securities of issuers that are current in their reports filed with the SEC. The effect on the OTC Bulletin Board of these rule changes and other proposed changes cannot be determined at this time. The OTC Bulletin Board is an inter-dealer, over-the-counter market that provides significantly less liquidity than the NASD s automated quotation system (the NASDAQ Stock Market). Quotes for stocks included on the OTC Bulletin Board are not listed in the financial sections of newspapers as are those for the NASDAQ Stock Market. Therefore, prices for securities traded solely on the OTC Bulletin Board may be difficult to obtain and holders of common stock may be unable to resell their securities at or near their original offering price or at any price. The market price for our common stock will be influenced by a number of factors, including:

the issuance of new equity securities in a future offering;

issued and outstanding shares of our common stock becoming eligible for resale pursuant to contractual registration requirements or pursuant to exemptions from registration becoming available following the passage of time;

changes in interest rates;

competitive developments, including announcements by competitors of new products or services or significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;

variations in quarterly operating results;

changes in financial estimates by securities analysts;

the depth and liquidity of the market for our common stock; and

general economic and other national conditions.

The limited trading market may cause volatility in the market price of our common stock.

Our common stock is currently traded on a limited basis on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol ARTD. The quotation of our common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board does not assure that a meaningful, readily tradable consistent and liquid trading market currently exists, and in recent years such market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have particularly affected the market prices of many smaller companies like us. Our common stock is thus subject to volatility. In the absence of an active trading market:

investors may have difficulty buying and selling or obtaining market quotations;

market visibility for our common stock may be limited; and

a lack of visibility for our common stock may have a depressive effect on the market for our common stock.

Our common stock is considered a penny stock and may be difficult to sell.

Our common stock is considered to be a penny stock since it meets one or more of the definitions in Rules 15g-2 through 15g-6 promulgated under Section 15(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). These include but are not limited to the following: (i) the stock trades at a price less than \$5.00 per share; (ii) it is NOT traded on a recognized national exchange; (iii) it is NOT quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Market, or even if so, has a price less than \$5.00 per share; or (iv) is issued by a company with net tangible assets less than \$2.0 million, if in business more than a continuous three years, or with average revenues of less than \$6.0 million for the past three years. The principal result or effect of being designated a penny stock is that securities broker-dealers cannot recommend the stock but must trade in it on an unsolicited basis. Section 15(g) of the Exchange Act and Rule 15g-2 promulgated thereunder by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require broker-dealers dealing in penny stocks to provide potential investors with a document disclosing the risks of penny stocks and to obtain a manually signed and dated written receipt of the document before effecting any transaction in a penny stock for the investor s account.

Potential investors in our common stock are urged to obtain and read such disclosure carefully before purchasing any shares that are deemed to be penny stock. Moreover, Rule 15g-9 requires broker-dealers in penny stocks to approve the account of any investor for transactions in such stocks before selling any penny stock to that investor. This procedure requires the broker-dealer to (i) obtain from the investor information concerning his or her financial situation, investment experience and investment objectives; (ii) reasonably determine, based on that information, that transactions in penny stocks are suitable for the investor and that the investor has sufficient knowledge and experience as to be reasonably capable of evaluating the risks of penny stock transactions; (iii) provide the investor with a written statement setting forth the basis on which the broker-dealer made the determination in (ii) above; and (iv) receive a signed and dated copy of such statement from the investor, confirming that it accurately reflects the investor s financial situation, investment experience and investment objectives. Compliance with these requirements may make it more difficult for holders of our common stock to resell their shares to third parties or to otherwise dispose of them in the market or otherwise.

Standards for compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are uncertain, and if we fail to comply in a timely manner, our business could be harmed and our stock price could decline.

Rules adopted by the SEC pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 require annual assessment of our internal control over financial reporting, and attestation of the assessment by our independent registered public accountants. This requirement will first apply to our annual report for fiscal 2007. The standards that must be met for management to assess the internal control over financial reporting as effective are new and complex, and require significant documentation, testing and possible remediation to meet the detailed standards. We may encounter problems or delays in completing activities necessary to make an assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. We have previously disclosed in our periodic filings with the SEC the determination of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer that we presently have material weakness in our internal controls. We are currently attempting to address these issues by reviewing and revising our internal accounting policies and procedures. In addition, the attestation process by our independent registered public accountants is now required for the year ended December 31, 2007. We may encounter problems or delays in completing the implementation of any needed improvements and receiving an unqualified attestation of the assessment report by our independent registered public accountants. If we cannot assess our internal control over financial reporting as effective, or our independent registered public accountants are unable to provide an unqualified attestation report on such assessment, investor confidence and share value may be negatively impacted. We will incur additional accounting related expenses associated with compliance with Section 404.

We do not foresee paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

We have not paid cash dividends on our stock and we do not plan to pay cash dividends on our stock in the foreseeable future.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In addition to historical information, this prospectus contains statements relating to our future business and/or results, including, without limitation, the statements under the captions Summary, Risk Factors, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Business. These statements include certain projections and business trends that are forward-looking within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You can identify these statements by the use of words like may, will, could, should, project, believe, anticipate, expect, plan, estimate, forecast, potential, intend, continue and variations of these words. Forward-looking statements do not guarantee future performance and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results will differ, and may differ materially, from projected results as a result of certain risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, those described under Risk Factors and those detailed from time to time in our filings with the SEC, and include, among others, the following:

| Our limited operating history; | |
|--|--|
| Our ability to protect our intellectual property rights; | |
| Our ability to successfully develop and commercialize our proposed products; | |
| The degree and nature of our competition; | |
| 13 | |

Our ability to employ and retain qualified employees;

The limited trading market for our common stock; and

The other factors referenced in this prospectus, including, without limitation, under the sections entitled Risk Factors, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Business.

These risks are not exhaustive. Other sections of this prospectus may include additional factors which could adversely impact our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for our management to predict all risk factors, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or to the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. These forward-looking statements are made only as of the date of this prospectus. Except for our ongoing obligation to disclose material information as required by federal securities laws, we do not intend to update you concerning any future revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this prospectus.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares of common stock by the selling stockholders, but we will receive funds from the exercise of warrants held by the selling stockholders.

MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock is approved for quotation on the OTC Bulletin Board under the trading symbol ARTD. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for our common stock for the periods noted, as reported by the National Daily Quotation Service and the OTC Bulletin Board. Quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

| |] | High | Low |
|----------------|----|---------|------|
| 2004 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ | 0.35 \$ | 0.25 |
| Second Quarter | \$ | 0.62 \$ | 0.32 |
| Third Quarter | \$ | 0.45 \$ | 0.40 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ | 0.40 \$ | 0.26 |
| | | | |
| 2005 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ | 1.25 \$ | 0.22 |
| Second Quarter | \$ | 1.85 \$ | 0.94 |
| Third Quarter | \$ | 4.00 \$ | 1.47 |

| Fourth Quarter | \$ 3.30 \$ | 1.65 |
|---|---------------|------|
| | | |
| 2006 | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 4.53 \$ | 2.50 |
| Second Quarter (through April 19, 2006) | \$ 4.65 \$ | 2.50 |

At April 1, 2006, there were approximately 289 holders of record of our common stock. The closing sales price of our common stock on April 19, 2006 was \$3.80, as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock, and we currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the expansion of our business and for general corporate purposes, and we do not expect to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The decision whether to pay cash dividends on our common stock will be made by our Board of Directors, in their discretion, and will depend on our financial condition, operating results, capital requirements and other factors that the Board of Directors considers appropriate.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those set forth as a result of general economic conditions and changes in the assumptions used in making such forward-looking statements. The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes and the other financial information appearing else where in this prospectus. The analysis set forth below is provided pursuant to applicable SEC regulations and is not intended to serve as a basis for projections of future events.

EXCEPT FOR HISTORICAL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, THE MATTERS DISCUSSED IN THIS PROSPECTUS ARE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES THAT COULD CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS TO DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE SET FORTH IN SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS MAY BE IDENTIFIED BY THE USE OF CERTAIN FORWARD-LOOKING TERMINOLOGY, SUCH AS MAY, WILL, EXPECT, ANTICIPATE, INTEND, ESTIMATE, BELIEVE, OR COMPARABLE TERMINOLOGY THA INVOLVES RISKS OR UNCERTAINTIES. ACTUAL FUTURE RESULTS AND TRENDS MAY DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM HISTORICAL AND ANTICIPATED RESULTS, WHICH MAY OCCUR AS A RESULT OF A VARIETY OF FACTORS. SUCH RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES INCLUDE, WITHOUT LIMITATION, FACTORS DISCUSSED IN MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS SET FORTH BELOW, AS WELL AS IN RISK FACTORS SET FORTH HEREIN. WE UNDERTAKE NO OBLIGATION TO UPDATE ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT, WHETHER AS A RESULT OF NEW INFORMATION, FUTURE EVENTS OR OTHERWISE. READERS SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW THE FACTORS SET FORTH IN OTHER REPORTS OR DOCUMENTS THAT WE FILE FROM TIME-TO-TIME WITH THE SEC.

We are a digital media entertainment company that is home to an on-line music network. Through our acquisition of Media-Defender, we are also a provider of anti-piracy solutions in the Internet piracy prevention industry. Refer also to Prospectus Summary- Our Company.

Acquisition of MediaDefender and Related Transactions

On July 28, 2005, we consummated the Acquisition of MediaDefender in accordance with the terms set forth in an agreement and plan of merger entered into by and among ARTISTdirect, ARTISTdirect Merger Sub, Inc., a Delaware corporation and our wholly-owned subsidiary (Merger Sub), and MediaDefender (the Merger Agreement). Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub merged with and into MediaDefender, the separate corporate existence of Merger Sub ceased and MediaDefender survived as our wholly-owned subsidiary. The stockholders of MediaDefender received aggregate consideration of \$42.5 million in cash, subject to a holdback of \$4.25 million that was placed into an escrow account at closing, \$2.0 million of which has been subsequently released, to cover any indemnification claims under the Merger Agreement by the parties for a limited period of time. This transaction was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, and the operations of the two companies have been consolidated commencing August 1, 2005.

Concurrent with the consummation of the Acquisition, we completed a \$15.0 million senior secured debt transaction (the Senior Financing) and a \$30.0 million convertible subordinated debt transaction (the Sub-debt Financing). Legal fees paid or reimbursed by us for services provided by legal counsel for the lenders was recorded as a charge to deferred financing costs and is being amortized over the terms of the related debt.

The Senior Financing was completed in accordance with the terms set forth in a note and warrant purchase agreement entered into by us on July 28, 2005 (the Note Purchase Agreement). Pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement, each investor received a note with a term of three years and

11 months that bears interest at the rate of 11.25% per annum (each a Senior Note), payable quarterly, with any unpaid principal and accrued interest due and payable at maturity. Termination and payment of the Senior Notes by us prior to maturity will not result in a prepayment fee. As collateral for the \$15.0 million Senior Financing, the investors received a first priority security interest in all of our existing and future assets, tangible and intangible, including but not limited to, cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, inventories, other current assets, furniture, fixtures and equipment and intellectual property. We are required to comply with certain financial covenants, including minimum working capital, maximum capital expenditures, minimum leverage ratio, minimum EBITDA and minimum fixed charge coverage ratio.

In addition, we are obligated to apply 60% of our annual cash flow, as defined, to prepay the principal amount of the Senior Notes, which we have determined to be \$390,000, and which has been shown as a current liability at December 31, 2005.

The investors also received five year warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 3,250,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments (collectively, the Senior Warrant Shares). The warrants were valued at \$1,397,500 based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm and were recorded as a discount to the \$15.0 million Senior Financing debt, and are being amortized over the term of the debt.

The Senior Warrant Shares are subject to certain registration rights requiring us to file and maintain effective a registration statement with the SEC covering the shares of common stock underlying the warrants. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock (EITF No. 00-19), the fair value of the warrants has been recorded as warrant liability. The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any changes in the fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense). Due to the classification of the Senior Warrant Shares, the Sub-debt Warrant Shares (as defined below) and the Libra Warrant Shares (as defined below) as a current liability on our financial statements, we were not in compliance with certain financial covenants contained in the Note Purchase Agreement at December 31, 2005. The investors have provided a waiver with respect to any past technical default of the financial covenants related to the accounting treatment of the above-referenced warrant shares.

The Sub-debt Financing was completed in accordance with the terms set forth in a securities purchase agreement entered into by us on July 28, 2005 (the Securities Purchase Agreement). Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, each investor received a convertible subordinated note with a term of four years that bears interest at the rate of 4.00% per annum (each a Sub-debt Note), with any unpaid principal and accrued interest due and payable at maturity. Interest is payable quarterly in cash or shares of our common stock, at our option, with payments beginning September 30, 2006. Each Sub-debt Note has an initial conversion price of \$1.55 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution and change-of-control adjustments. In addition, each Sub-debt Note is subject to mandatory conversion by us in the event certain trading price targets for our common stock are met following effectiveness of a registration statement filed by us for the resale of the securities issued in the Sub-debt Financing. We are required to comply with certain financial covenants, including minimum working capital, maximum capital expenditures, minimum leverage ratio, minimum EBITDA and minimum fixed charge coverage ratio.

In addition, the Sub-debt Financing investors received five year warrants to purchase up to 1,596,774 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.55 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments (collectively, the Sub-debt Warrant Shares). The warrants were valued at \$878,026 based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm and were recorded as a discount to the \$30.0 million Sub-debt Financing debt, and are being amortized over the term of the debt.

The Sub-debt Notes and the Sub-debt Warrant Shares are subject to certain registration rights requiring us to file and maintain effective a registration statement with the SEC covering the resale of shares of common stock underlying such warrants and notes. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, the fair value of the warrants has been recorded as warrant liability. The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any changes in the fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense). Due to the classification of the Senior Warrant Shares, the Sub-debt Warrant Shares and the Libra Warrant Shares (as defined below) as a current liability on our financial statements, we were not in compliance with certain financial covenants contained in the Sub-debt Notes at December 31, 2005. The investors have provided a waiver with respect to any past technical default of the financial covenants related to the accounting treatment of the above-referenced warrant shares.

In connection with our obligations under the Note Purchase Agreement and the Securities Purchase Agreement, we filed a resale registration statement on Form SB-2 with the SEC that was declared effective on December 9, 2005.

To induce the Senior Financing investors to participate in the above transaction, the Sub-debt Financing investors entered into a subordination agreement for the benefit of the Senior Financing investors, pursuant to which the Sub-debt Financing investors agreed to subordinate in right of payment all of the indebtedness owed to them by us to the final payment of all senior indebtedness owed by us.

If all of the securities issued in the Senior Financing and the Sub-debt Financing are converted or exercised into shares of our common stock in accordance with their respective terms, it will result in significant dilution to our existing stockholders. If all of our outstanding equity-based instruments are converted or exercised into shares of our common stock in accordance with their respective terms, there will be a total of approximately 38,000,000 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding.

A change in control could occur as a result of the Senior Financing and the Sub-debt Financing. Assuming maximum conversion of the securities issued in the Senior Financing and Sub-debt Financing occurs, the Senior Financing investors would own approximately 9% of our voting stock, on a fully diluted basis, and the Sub-debt investors would own approximately 55% of our voting stock, on a fully diluted basis.

The securities issued by us in the Senior Financing and the Sub-debt Financing were offered and sold in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act, and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. Each of the investors qualified as an accredited investor, as specified in Rule 501 under the Securities Act.

Pursuant to the terms of the Note Purchase Agreement and the Securities Purchase Agreement, we were required to amend our Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock from 15,000,000 shares to 60,000,000 shares. We obtained the requisite Board and stockholder approval and filed a Certificate of Amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation with the Delaware Secretary of State on November 7, 2005 to effect the increase.

Pursuant to the terms of a letter agreement, dated July 15, 2005, between us and Broadband Capital Management LLC (Broadband), we issued to Broadband a Sub-debt Note in the amount of \$1,460,500 (in addition to the \$30.0 million referred to above) and warrants to purchase up to 1,516,935 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.55 per share. The notes and warrants issued to Broadband or its affiliates were issued on the same terms and conditions granted to the other Sub-debt Financing investors. The securities were issued as partial consideration for Broadband services as our placement agent in the Sub-debt Financing and the Senior Financing. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. The warrants were valued at \$834,314, based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm. The aggregate value of the Sub-debt Note, the warrants and additional cash payments to Broadband aggregating \$299,500 were charged to deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the term of the debt.

The Sub-debt Notes and the Sub-debt Warrant Shares issued to Broadband are subject to certain registration rights requiring us to file and maintain effective a registration statement with the SEC covering the shares of common stock underlying such warrants and notes. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, the fair value of the warrants has been recorded as warrant liability. The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any changes in the fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense).

Pursuant to the terms of a letter agreement, dated June 21, 2005, between us and Libra FE, LP (Libra), we issued Libra warrants to purchase up to 237,500 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share upon the closing of the

Senior Financing (the Libra Warrant Shares). The warrants were issued as partial consideration for Libra s services as our placement agent in the Senior Financing described above. We entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with Libra on July 28, 2005 (the Libra Registration Rights Agreement), pursuant to which we included the Libra Warrant Shares in the registration statement covering the securities issued in the Senior Financing and the Sub-debt Financing described above. The warrants were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. The warrants were valued at \$149,625, based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm. The aggregate value of the warrants and additional payments to Libra of \$450,997 were charged to deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the term of the debt.

The Libra Warrant Shares are subject to certain registration rights requiring us to file and maintain effective a registration statement with the SEC covering the shares of common stock underlying such warrants and notes. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, the fair value of the warrants has been recorded as warrant liability. The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any changes in the fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense).

Pursuant to a report prepared by an independent valuation firm, as of and through December 31, 2005, there was no change in the fair value of the warrants that were recorded as warrant liability at July 28, 2005.

Upon the closing of the Acquisition, we issued 1,109,032 shares of our common stock and a warrant to purchase up to 114,985 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.55 per share to WNT07 Holdings, LLC. The managers of WNT07 Holdings, LLC are Eric Pulier and Teymour Boutros-Ghali, both members of our Board of Directors. The shares and warrants were issued as consideration for services provided by Messrs. Pulier and Boutros-Ghali as consultants to us in connection with the acquisition. The issuance of the shares and warrants to WNT07 Holdings, LLC were approved by the independent members of our Board of Directors. The shares and warrants were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

The shares of common stock were valued at \$1,585,916 (\$1.43 per share) and the warrants were valued at \$83,939, based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm, for an aggregate value of \$1,669,855, of which \$333,971 was allocated to the covenant not to compete and the remaining \$1,335,884 was included in total costs that we incurred to acquire MediaDefender.

On April 7, 2006, we entered into amendments to certain of the transaction documents governing the terms of the Senior Financing and the Sub-debt Financing to amend their respective registration rights agreements and to amend and waive certain financial covenants. In consideration, we agreed to temporarily reduce the exercise price of the 3,250,000 Senior Warrant Shares held by the Senior Financing investors from \$2.00 per share to \$1.85 per share through April 30, 2006 and to permanently reduce the exercise price of the 1,596,744 Sub-debt Warrant Shares held by the Sub-debt Financing investors and 1,516,935 Sub-Debt Warrant Shares held by Broadband affiliates from \$1.55 per share to \$1.43 per share. Any exercise of these warrants at the reduced exercise price shall be for cash only. The conversion price of the Sub-debt Notes of \$1.55 per share was not impacted by these amendments. We also entered into a similar agreement with Libra to temporarily reduce the exercise price of the 237,500 Libra Warrant Shares from \$2.00 per share to \$1.85 per share through April 30, 2006.

Due to the restrictions imposed by Internal Revenue Code Section 382 regarding substantial changes in the stock ownership of companies with loss carry-forwards, the utilization of our federal net operating loss carry-forward was severely limited as a result of the change in our effective stock ownership resulting from the financings arranged in conjunction with the Acquisition of MediaDefender.

The Acquisition of MediaDefender was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, and the operations of the two companies have been consolidated commencing August 1, 2005. The following table summarizes the assets acquired and liabilities assumed of MediaDefender at July 28, 2005. The fair value of the intangible assets acquired and their respective amortization periods was determined by an independent valuation firm.

(in thousands)

| Assets Acquired: | |
|---|-------------|
| Cash | \$ 1,365 |
| Accounts receivable | 2,499 |
| Deferred income tax | 116 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 91 |
| Property and equipment | 1,749 |
| Deposits | 3 |
| | |
| Total assets acquired | 5,823 |
| • | |
| Liabilities Assumed: | |
| Accounts payable | 41 |
| Accrued expenses | 87 |
| Income taxes payable | 230 |
| Due to ARTISTdirect, Inc. | 930 |
| Deferred revenue | 38 |
| | |
| | |

17

| Deferred income tax | 338 |
|---|--------------|
| Total liabilities assumed | 1,664 |
| Net assets acquired | 4,159 |
| The assets acquired | 1,137 |
| Intangible assets acquired: | |
| Customer relationships | 2,264 |
| Proprietary technology | 7,602 |
| Goodwill | 31,085 |
| | |
| Total assets acquired | \$ 45,110 |
| | |
| Total purchase consideration paid as follows: | |
| Cash paid to MediaDefender stockholders | \$ 42,500 |
| Transaction costs incurred | 2,610 |
| | |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 45,110 |

Pro Forma Information

The following pro forma operating data presents the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively, as if the acquisition had occurred on the first day of each such period. Discontinued operations, impairment losses and non-recurring items for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 are not included. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative of the financial results that might have occurred had the acquisition actually taken place on the respective dates, or of future results of operations.

| Pro Forma | | Years Ended December 31, 2004 2005 (in thousands) | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---|---------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | (in thou | isanas) | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ | 15,665 | \$ | 20,637 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ | (4,911) | \$ | (3,054) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ | 4,033 | \$ | 7,095 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss per common share basic and di | luted \$ | (1.07) | \$ | (0.66) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstan | ding | 4,611,149 | | 4,611,149 | | | | | |

Our presentation of financial results includes Adjusted EBITDA as a financial measure, which is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure that represents income or loss from continuing operations before net interest expense, provision for income taxes, depreciation and amortization, as well as certain other items, including stock-based compensation, impairment losses and non-recurring gains. Management excludes these items in assessing financial performance, primarily due to their non-operational nature or because they are outside of our normal operations. We have provided this information because management believes that it is useful to investors in understanding our financial condition and results of operations.

A reconciliation of Net Loss to Adjusted EBITDA is shown below.

| Pro Forma | Years Ended December 31, 2004 2005 (in thousands) | | | | | | |
|--|---|----|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | (1 1 1 1 | , | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (4,911) | \$ | (3,054) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Add (deduct): | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | (32) | | (47) | | | | |
| Interest expense | 2,971 | | 2,973 | | | | |
| Amortization of discount on debt | 599 | | 599 | | | | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 871 | | 871 | | | | |
| Write-off of unamortized discount on debt and deferred financing costs | | | | | | | |
| due to conversion of subordinated convertible notes payable into | | | | | | | |
| common stock | | | 39 | | | | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 3,749 | | 3,749 | | | | |
| Depreciation | 569 | | 397 | | | | |
| Income taxes | 210 | | 1,495 | | | | |
| Stock-based compensation | 7 | | 73 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 4,033 | \$ | 7,095 | | | | |

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to accounts receivable, tangible and intangible assets, income taxes, and contingencies and litigation, among others. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements: accounts receivable, revenue recognition, stock-based compensation, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, and income taxes.

Revenue Recognition. We comply with the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements, as amended by SAB No. 104, and recognizes revenue when all four of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; (ii) delivery of the products and/or services has occurred; (iii) the selling price is both fixed and determinable; and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured.

E-commerce revenue consists primarily of the gross amount of sales revenue paid by the customer for recorded music and merchandise sold via the Internet, including shipping fees, and is recognized when the products are shipped. We record e-commerce revenue on a gross basis as we enter into sale transactions with customers, establish the prices of our products, choose the suppliers of our products, assume the risk of inventory loss and collect all amounts from the customers and assumes the credit risk. E-commerce revenue is subject to amounts due to the respective artists based on their contracts, and such expense is recorded as part of cost of e-commerce revenue.

Anti-piracy services revenue is recognized on a monthly basis as services are provided to customers. Deferred revenue is recorded for customers who prepay the full, or any portion, of their respective contracts.

Media revenue consists primarily of the sale of advertisements and sponsorships, both online and offline, under short-term contracts. To date, the duration of our advertising and sponsorship commitments has generally averaged from one to three months, with certain programs lasting up to six months. Our online obligations typically include the guarantee of a minimum number of times

19

(impressions) that an advertisement appears in pages viewed by the users of our online properties. Online advertising revenue is generally recognized as the impressions are served during the period in which the advertisement is displayed, provided that no significant obligations remain and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. To the extent that minimum guaranteed page deliveries are not met, recognition of the corresponding revenue is deferred until the guaranteed impressions are delivered.

We recognize revenue for sponsorship arrangements, both online and offline, over the period during which the advertising is provided, generally on a straight-line basis. If the sponsorship arrangement is for the sponsorship of a specific event, we recognize revenue when the event occurs. We recognize revenue separately for each element of integrated entertainment marketing packages that offer advertisers a combination of offline concert and tour sponsorships that are supported by online banner advertising, web-page sponsorships, e-mails to our customers and custom content. We determine the fair value of each deliverable based on the relative fair value of the different deliverables when sold on a stand-alone basis. We recognize revenue for each deliverable as the services are provided. We recognize revenue for the banner impression deliverable as the banner impressions are delivered. We recognize revenue for the customer e-mails when the e-mails are sent. We recognize revenue for web-page sponsorships on a straight-line basis over the term of the sponsorship. We recognize revenue for custom content when the content is provided to the customer.

Stock-Based Compensation. We account for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 and FASB Interpretation No. 44 Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation (FIN 44) and complies with the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Under APB No. 25, compensation expense is recorded based on the difference, if any, between the fair value of our stock and the exercise price on the measurement date. We account for stock issued to non-employees in accordance with SFAS No. 123, which requires entities to recognize as expense over the service period the fair value of all stock-based awards on the date of grant and EITF No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Investments that are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services, which addresses the measurement date and recognition approach for such transactions.

We recognize compensation expenses related to variable awards in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 28, Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans (FIN 28). For fixed awards, we recognize expense over the vesting period or the period of service.

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R), a revision to SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123R supercedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and amends SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. SFAS No. 123R requires that we measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for equity awards based on the grant date fair value of the awards. The cost will be recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the awards. We are required to adopt SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006. Under this method, we will begin recognizing compensation cost for equity-based compensation for all new or modified grants after the date of adoption. The pro forma disclosures previously permitted under SFAS No. 123 will no longer be an alternative to financial statement recognition. In addition, we will recognize the unvested portion of the grant date fair value of awards issued prior to adoption based on the fair values previously calculated for disclosure purposes over the remaining vesting period of the outstanding options and warrants.

Public companies are permitted to adopt the requirements of SFAS No. 123R using one of two methods:

- (1) A modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123R that remain unvested on the effective date.
- (2) A modified retrospective method which includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits entities to restate based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures for either (a) all prior periods presented or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption.

We adopted SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006 and will use the modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123R that remain unvested on the effective date. Although the expense for stock options that may be vested or granted in future periods cannot be determined at this time due to the uncertainty of the vesting or timing of future grants, our future stock price, and the related fair value calculation, the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have a material effect on our future financial statements.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No. 142), requires purchased intangible assets other than goodwill to be amortized over their useful lives unless these lives are determined to be indefinite.

Asset allocations and amortization periods with respect to the MediaDefender transaction were determined by an independent valuation firm.

SFAS No. 142 requires goodwill to be tested for impairment on an annual basis and under certain circumstances, and written down when impaired. An interim impairment test is required if an event occurs or conditions change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below the carrying value.

We evaluate the recoverability of goodwill by estimating the future discounted cash flows of the businesses to which the goodwill relates. We use a rate corresponding to our cost of capital, risk adjusted where appropriate, in determining discounted cash flows. Estimated cash flows will be determined by disaggregating the business segments to a reporting level for which meaningful identifiable cash flows can be determined. When estimated future discounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the net assets (tangible and identifiable intangibles) and related goodwill, we will perform an impairment test to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. Impairment losses, limited to the carrying value of goodwill, represent the excess of the carrying amount of a reporting unit s goodwill over the implied fair value of that goodwill. In determining the estimated future cash flows, we will consider current and projected future levels of income based on management s plans for that business, as well as business trends, prospects and market and economic conditions.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. We account for the impairment of long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and intangible assets, under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS No. 144). SFAS No. 144 establishes the accounting for impairment of long-lived tangible and intangible assets other than goodwill and for the disposal of a business. Pursuant to SFAS No. 144, we periodically evaluate, at least annually, whether facts or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our depreciable assets to be held and used may not be recoverable. If such circumstances are determined to exist, an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows produced by the long-lived asset, or the appropriate grouping of assets, is compared to the carrying value to determine whether impairment exists. In the event that the carrying amount of long-lived assets exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows, then the carrying amount of such assets is adjusted to their fair value. We report an impairment cost as a charge to operations at the time it is recognized.

Income Taxes. We account for income taxes under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS No. 109). SFAS No. 109 requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the statement of operations in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Accounts Receivable. We grant credit to our customers generally in the form of short-term trade accounts receivable. Accounts receivable are stated at the amount management expects to collect from outstanding balances. When appropriate, management provides for probable uncollectible amounts through a provision for doubtful accounts and an adjustment to a valuation allowance. Management primarily determines the allowance based on the aging of accounts receivable balances, historical write-off experience, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness and current industry and economic trends. Balances that are still outstanding after management has used reasonable collection efforts are written off through a charge to the valuation allowance and a credit to accounts receivable.

Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables generated by our operations are generally limited. However, MediaDefender s customers consist primarily of large reputable companies in the music and entertainment industries.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (SFAS No. 154). SFAS No. 154 is a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements (an Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28) and provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. SFAS No. 154 establishes retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle, and provides guidance for determining whether retrospective application of a change in accounting principle is impracticable and for reporting a change when retrospective application is impracticable. Retrospective application is the application of a different accounting principle to a prior accounting period as if that principle had always been used or as the adjustment of previously issued financial statements to reflect a change in the reporting entity. SFAS No. 154 also addresses the reporting of the correction of an error by restating previously issued financial statements. We will adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 154 effective January 1, 2006.

On September 22, 2005, the SEC issued rules to delay by one-year the required reporting by management on internal controls over financial reporting for non-accelerated filers. The new SEC rule extends the compliance date for such registrants to fiscal years ending on or after July 15, 2007. Accordingly, we qualify for the deferral until its year ending December 31, 2007 to comply with the internal control reporting requirements.

Results of Operations - Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2005

The following table presents information with respect to our consolidated statements of operations, excluding income (loss) from discontinued operations, and as a percentage of total net revenue, for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005. As a result of our acquisition of MediaDefender effective July 28, 2005, we have consolidated the operations of MediaDefender for the five months ended December 31, 2005. Accordingly, our result of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 are not directly comparable to our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Consolidated Statements of Operations (\$000)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------|----|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 2004 | | | 2005 | | | | |
| | | \$ | % | \$ | | % | | | |
| Net revenue: | | | | | | | | | |
| E-Commerce | \$ | 2,994 | 58.2% | \$ | 2,665 | 19.1% | | | |
| Media | | 2,149 | 41.8% | | 5,297 | 37.9% | | | |
| Anti-piracy services | | | % | | 6,009 | 43.0% | | | |
| Total net revenue | | 5,143 | 100.0% | | 13,971 | 100.0% | | | |
| Cost of revenue: | | | | | | | | | |
| E-Commerce | | 2,631 | 51.2% | | 2,400 | 17.2% | | | |
| Media | | 1,144 | 22.2% | | 2,745 | 19.7% | | | |
| Anti-piracy services | | | % | | 2,659 | 19.0% | | | |
| Total cost of revenue | | 3,775 | 73.4% | | 7,804 | 55.9% | | | |
| Gross profit | | 1,368 | 26.6% | | 6,167 | 44.1% | | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | | 167 | 3.3% | | 527 | 3.8% | | | |
| General and administrative | | 2,676 | 52.0% | | 4,065 | 29.1% | | | |

Edgar Filing: ARTISTDIRECT INC - Form POS AM

| 110 | 2.2% | 105 | 0.7% |
|---------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 7 | 0.1% | 73 | 0.5% |
| 2,960 | 57.6% | 4,770 | 34.1% |
| (1,592) | (31.0)% | 1,397 | 10.0% |
| 29 | 0.6% | 29 | 0.2% |
| | % | (1,517) | (10.8)% |
| | | | |
| | % | (39) | (0.3)% |
| | % | (373) | (2.7)% |
| 500 | 9.7% | | % |
| | | | |
| (1,063) | (20.7)% | (503) | (3.6)% |
| | 7 2,960 (1,592) 29 | 7 0.1% 2,960 57.6% (1,592) (31.0)% 29 0.6% % % 500 9.7% | 7 0.1% 73 2,960 57.6% 4,770 (1,592) (31.0)% 1,397 29 0.6% 29 % (1,517) % (39) % (373) 500 9.7% |

| | | | Years Ended Dec | ember 31, | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-------|--------|--|
| Provision for income taxes | 2004 \$ | | % | \$ | 2005 | % | |
| Provision for income taxes | | | % | | 241 | 1.7% | |
| Net loss | \$ | (1,063) | (20.7)% | \$ | (744) | (5.3)% | |

We evaluate performance based on, among other factors, Adjusted EBITDA. Included in Adjusted EBITDA are direct operating expenses for each segment. The following table summarized net revenue and Adjusted EBITDA by operating segment for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Corporate expenses consist of general operating expenses that are not directly related to the operations of the segments. A reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA is also provided.

| | | 2004 | Years Ended | 2005 | | |
|----------------------|----|------|-------------|------|---------|--|
| | | 2004 | (in tho | | | |
| Net Revenue: | | | | | | |
| E-commerce | \$ | | 2,994 | \$ | 2,665 | |
| Media | | | 2,149 | | 5,297 | |
| Anti-piracy services | | | | | 6,009 | |
| | \$ | | 5,143 | \$ | 13,971 | |
| Adjusted EBITDA: | | | | | | |
| E-commerce | \$ | | 293 | \$ | 157 | |
| Media | | | 789 | | 2,198 | |
| Anti-piracy services | | | | | 3,851 | |
| • | | | 1,082 | | 6,206 | |
| Corporate | | | (1,944) | | (2,969) | |
| • | \$ | | (862) | \$ | 3,237 | |
| | , | | | • | -, - | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 23 | | | | |
| | | 23 | | | | |

| | Years Ended December 31 2004 | , |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|
| | (in thousands) | 2005 |
| Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net Income (Loss): | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA per segments | \$ (862) \$ | 3,237 |
| Stock-based compensation | (7) | (73) |
| Depreciation | (223) | (205) |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | (1,562) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | (373) |
| Write-off of unamortized discount on debt and deferred financing costs | | |
| due to conversion of subordinated convertible notes payable into | | |
| common stock | | (39) |
| Interest income | 29 | 29 |
| Interest expense, including amortization of discount on debt of \$246 | | (1,517) |
| Provision for income taxes | | (241) |
| Loss from discontinued operations - | | |
| ARTISTdirect Records, LLC | (2,111) | (271) |
| iMusic record label | (137) | |
| Gain from sale of ARTISTdirect Records, LLC | | 21,079 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,311) \$ | 20,064 |

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure that represents earnings before net interest expense, provision for income taxes, depreciation and amortization, as well as certain other charges, including stock-based compensation and impairment losses. Management excludes these items in assessing financial performance, primarily due to their non-operational nature or because they are outside of our normal operations. We have provided this information because management believes that it is useful to investors in understanding our financial condition and results of operation.

Management believes that Adjusted EBITDA enhances an overall understanding of our financial performance by investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts and other interested parties in evaluating companies in our industry segment. In addition, management believes that Adjusted EBITDA is useful in evaluating our operating performance compared to that of other companies in our industry segment because the calculation of Adjusted EBITDA eliminates the accounting effects of financing costs, income taxes and capital spending, which items may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance.

However, Adjusted EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under GAAP, and when analyzing our operating performance, investors should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as an alternative for, income (loss) from operations, income (loss) before income taxes, and net income (loss), or any other measure utilized in determining the Company s operating performance that is calculated in accordance with GAAP. Because Adjusted EBITDA is not calculated in accordance with GAAP, it may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures utilized by other companies. Furthermore, Adjusted EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of our free cash flow, as it does not consider certain ongoing cash requirements, such as a required debt service payments and income taxes.

Net Revenue. Our net revenue increased by \$8,828,000 or 171.7%, to \$13,971,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$5,143,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, primarily as a result of an increase in media revenue of \$3,148,000 and the acquisition of MediaDefender, which provided revenue of \$6,009,000 from its anti-piracy services since its acquisition on July 28, 2005. MediaDefender s revenue accounted for 43.0% of our total net revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005. We expect that revenues from MediaDefender will represent a significant proportion of our total revenues in the foreseeable future.

E-commerce revenue decreased by \$329,000, or 11.0%, to \$2,665,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$2,994,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, primarily due to a decrease in sales of products related to a single music merchandising entity.

During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, approximately 67% and 70%, respectively, of revenues from e-commerce were generated from the products related to one music merchandising entity. During the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, most of our media revenues were generated by a single outside sales organization which represented us with respect to advertising and sponsorship sales on our web-site and through affiliated web-sites.

Media revenue increased by \$3,148,000, or 146.5%, to \$5,297,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$2,149,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, as a result of an increase in the number of online advertisers, an increase in the cost per thousand (CPM) amounts earned from the sales of impression and non-impression based advertising and the expansion of our affiliations with other web-sites for which we both market advertising and participate in advertising revenues. A short-term program with a major advertiser/sponsor during the three months ended June 30, 2005 contributed approximately \$1,786,000 of the increase in media revenue during the year ended December 31, 2005. The program ended June 30, 2005 and, as designed, did not continue.

We market and sell advertising on a CPM basis to advertising agencies and directly to various companies as part of our marketing program. We also market and sell sponsorships for various portions of the ARTISTdirect network. Customers may purchase advertising space on the entire ARTISTdirect network, or they may tailor advertising based on music genre or functionality. We intend to continue to focus on increasing revenue from our media operations business segment, which generates revenues from the sale of online advertising and sponsorships to companies seeking to reach one or more of the distinct demographic audiences viewing content in the ARTISTdirect network.

Cost of Revenue. Our total cost of revenue increased by \$4,029,000, or 106.7%, to \$7,804,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$3,775,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, primarily as a result of an increase in media revenue and the acquisition of MediaDefender. MediaDefender s cost of revenue accounted for \$2,659,000, or 44.3%, of its net revenue. Depreciation of property and equipment is included in cost of revenue for all business segments. Included in

MediaDefender s cost of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005 was the amortization of proprietary technology acquired in the MediaDefender transaction of \$1,056,000.

E-commerce cost of revenue decreased by \$231,000, or 8.8%, to \$2,400,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$2,631,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, primarily as a result of the decrease in e-commerce revenues.

Media cost of revenue increased by \$1,601,000 or 139.9% to \$2,745,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$1,144,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, as a result of an increase in impressions being delivered by affiliate web-sites with which we have revenue-sharing arrangements, advertising serving costs, and sales commissions paid to a third party in conjunction with increased media revenues.

As a result of the foregoing, gross profit was \$6,167,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$1,368,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, reflecting gross margins of 44.1% and 26.6%, respectively. The significant improvement in gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004, was due to a change in the business mix, reflecting an increase in revenues from higher margin segments. A summary of gross profit and gross margin by segment is as follows (\$000):

| | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----|--------------------------|-----------------|----|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2004 | | | 2005 | 5 | | | | |
| _ | | Gross Profit | Gross Margin | | Gross Profit | Gross Margin | | | | |
| E-commerce | \$ | 363 | 12.1% | \$ | 265 | 9.9% | | | | |
| Media | | 1,005 | 46.8% | | 2,552 | 48.2% | | | | |
| Anti-piracy services | | | | | 3,350 | 55.7% | | | | |
| Totals | \$ | 1,368 | 26.6% | \$ | 6,167 | 44.1% | | | | |

Sales and Marketing. Our sales and marketing expense increased by \$360,000, or 215.6%, to \$527,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$167,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, primarily as a result of the amortization of customer relationships acquired in the MediaDefender transaction of \$314,000.

General and Administrative. Our general and administrative expense increased by \$1,389,000, or 51.9%, to \$4,065,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$2,676,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, in part as a result of the Acquisition of MediaDefender and increased compensation of senior management effective with the closing of the MediaDefender Acquisition. Included in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 was the amortization of non-compete agreements of \$192,000. Also included in general and administrative expenses were \$152,000 and \$204,000 of costs related to business development activities for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Significant components of general and administrative expenses consist of management compensation, personnel and personnel-related costs, insurance, legal and accounting fees, consulting fees and occupancy costs.

The increase in general and administrative expense in 2005, as compared to 2004, was in part attributable to the awarding of discretionary cash bonuses to certain of our senior executives, as described below.

In March 2005, we awarded discretionary cash bonuses to Jonathan V. Diamond, our Chief Executive Officer, and Robert N. Weingarten, our Chief Financial Officer, aggregating \$125,000, which were paid during 2005. We awarded such bonuses as consideration for management successfully completing our corporate restructuring during the three months ended March 31, 2005. In particular, management restructured our internet operations, which had sustained losses since inception, into a growing, profitable business segment; negotiated the extinguishment of our \$12.0 million funding obligation to ARTISTdirect Records; and disposed of our investment in ARTISTdirect Records effective February 28, 2005, which resulted in the elimination of \$19.137 million of liabilities from our consolidated balance sheet at that date. Such bonuses were reviewed and approved by our Board of Directors. We also awarded a discretionary cash bonus to Nicholas Turner, our Vice President Business Development, of \$40,000, which was reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, and was accrued at December 31, 2005 and we accrued \$100,000 in board fees to two independent directors at December 31, 2005.

Provision for Doubtful Accounts. Our provision for doubtful accounts decreased by \$5,000, or 4.5%, to \$105,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$110,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Stock-Based Compensation. Our stock-based compensation was \$73,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$7,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, reflecting the fair value of options and warrants issued to consultants.

Interest Income. Our interest income was \$29,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Interest Expense. Our interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 of \$1,517,000 relates to \$15.0 million of secured notes payable issued in the Senior Financing, which bear interest at 11.25% per annum, and \$30.0 million of convertible notes payable issued in the Sub-Debt Financing, which bears interest at 4.0% per annum, both issued to finance the Acquisition of MediaDefender in July 2005.

Additional consideration in the form of warrants issued to the lenders was accounted for at fair value and recorded as a reduction to the carrying amount of the debt, and is being amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt. Accordingly, the amortization of discount on debt included in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$246,000. We did not have any interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Write-Off of Unamortized Discount on Debt and Deferred Financing Costs Due to Conversion of Subordinated Convertible Notes Payable. Our deferred financing costs and debt discount costs aggregating \$39,000 were charged to operations as a result of the conversion of subordinated convertible notes payable aggregating \$387,500 into 250,000 shares of our common stock in December 2005.

Amortization of Deferred Financing Costs. Amortization of deferred financing costs was \$373,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Deferred financing costs consist of consideration paid to third parties with respect to the Acquisition and financing of the MediaDefender transaction, including cash payments, subordinated notes payable and the fair value of warrants issued for legal fees and placement agent fees, which were deferred and are being amortized over the term of the related debt. We did not have any amortization of deferred financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Gain from Sale of Tradename. Effective November 23, 2004, pursuant to a trademark assignment and purchase agreement dated as of November 12, 2004, we sold all of our rights, title and interest in and to certain trademarks, service markets and trade names, in certain countries of the world, that consist of or incorporate the term iMusic (the Marks), including domain names that consist of or incorporate the term iMUSIC (the Domain Names) and goodwill related to such marks or trade names, to Apple Computer, Inc. for a cash payment of \$500,000. As the Marks and Domain

Names that we sold did not have any carrying value on our books, we recorded a gain from sale of \$500,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Loss from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes. As a result of the aforementioned factors, the loss from continuing operations was \$503,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to a loss from continuing operations of \$1,063,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Provision for Income Taxes. As a result of the profitable operations of MediaDefender for the period that it was consolidated with our operations during the year ended December 31, 2005, the non-deductibility of certain non-cash charges for tax reporting purposes, and permanent limitations on our ability to utilize our net operating loss carry-forwards, we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$241,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005. We did not record a provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Discontinued Operations. On February 28, 2005, we completed the sale of 100% of the common stock of ARTISTdirect Recordings to Radar Records pursuant to a transfer agreement for a cash payment of \$115,000, as a result of which we no longer had any equity or other economic interest in ARTISTdirect Records, and Radar Records became the owner of a majority of the membership interests in ARTISTdirect Records and also acquired the receivable reflecting the \$33.0 million of loan advances previously provided to ARTISTdirect Records by us. In conjunction with this transaction, at February 28, 2005, we wrote-off the inter-company balance due from ARTISTdirect Records of \$80,000, which was eliminated in consolidation. Radar Records acquired the common stock of ARTISTdirect Recordings subject to the rights of BMG. Radar Records also agreed to offer to investors who had provided bridge funding to ARTISTdirect Records, excluding Frederick W. Field and entities related or controlled by him, the right to acquire proportional shares (based on the amount of bridge funding made by each bridge investor in ARTISTdirect Records) of the common stock of ARTISTdirect Recordings on the same terms and conditions as set forth in the transfer agreement.

The amount of consideration received by us was determined with reference to various factors, including, but not limited to, our future business plans and intention to focus on our Internet and web-site operations, ARTISTdirect Records current limited

capital resources and substantially reduced level of operations, ARTISTdirect Records future business plans and capital requirements and the likelihood of obtaining such capital on a timely basis and under reasonable terms and conditions, the unpaid costs that we had advanced ARTISTdirect Records to date and would be required to continue to advance ARTISTdirect Records in the future, and the probability of us obtaining a return on our investment to date of \$33.0 million in ARTISTdirect Records.

As a result of the existence of various conflicts of interest with respect to this transaction, full disclosure of these conflicts of interest was made to our Board of Directors and the required approval by the disinterested members of our Board of Directors was obtained prior to the closing of the transaction.

As a result of the sale of all of our interest in ARTISTdirect Recordings to Radar Records effective February 28, 2005, we accounted for our interest in ARTISTdirect Records as a discontinued operation for all periods presented in accordance with SFAS No. 144, and the assets and liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records were classified as held for sale through February 28, 2005.

As a result of the disposition of our interest in ARTISTdirect Records effective February 28, 2005, we recognized a net gain (primarily non-cash) in its consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 of \$21.079 million, primarily as a result of the elimination of the liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records.

A summary of the loss from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, is presented below. No information with respect to our discontinued operations of the iMusic record label for the year ended December 31, 2005 is included, as our iMusic record label was discontinued during December 2004 and did not have any business operations during 2005.

Loss from Discontinued Operations (\$000)

| | | | Years Ended | Decen | iber 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|----|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| | | ArtistDirect Records, LLC | 2004 iMusic Record Label | | Total | 2005 ArtistDirect Secords, LLC |
| Net revenue | \$ | (19) | \$ (136) | \$ | (155) | \$ |
| Cost of revenue | | 986 | (1) | | 985 | 14 |
| Gross loss | | (1,005) | (135) | | (1,140) | (14) |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | | 285 | 2 | | 287 | 18 |
| General and administrative | | 393 | | | 393 | 25 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 22 | | | 22 | 1 |
| Total operating expenses | | 700 | 2 | | 702 | 44 |
| Loss from operations | | (1,705) | (137) | | (1,842) | (58) |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | |
| Minority interest | | 759 | | | 759 | 116 |
| Interest expense | | (649) | | | (649) | (128) |
| Forgiveness of debt | | 482 | | | 482 | |
| Amortization of bridge note warrants | | (998) | | | (998) | (201) |
| | | (406) | | | (406) | (213) |
| Net loss | \$ | (2,111) | \$ (137) | \$ | (2,248) | \$ (271) |

Net Income (Loss). As a result of the foregoing factors, net income was \$20,064,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to a net loss of \$3,311,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview. Until the Acquisition of MediaDefender effective July 28, 2005, we primarily financed our continuing operations from the sale of our equity securities.

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, we had \$3,102,000 and \$1,156,000 of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, respectively. At December 31, 2005, we had working capital of \$60,000 (including \$3,260,000 of warrant liability at December 31, 2005), as compared to a working capital deficiency of \$18,438,000 at December 31, 2004. Excluding the warrant liability, our working capital would have been \$3,320,000 at December 31, 2005. The improvement in our cash and working capital positions at December 31, 2005 was attributable primarily to the Acquisition of MediaDefender and the disposition of ARTISTdirect Records.

Concurrent with the Acquisition of MediaDefender in July 2005, we completed the \$15.0 million Senior Financing and the \$30.0 million Sub-Debt Financing, which generated approximately \$1.0 million for general working capital purposes. In addition, MediaDefender had working capital of \$2,745,000 at the acquisition date. We anticipate positive results of operations and cash flows from this business.

We sold our interest in ARTISTdirect Records in fiscal 2005. We had consolidated ARTISTdirect Records at December 31, 2004, which had current liabilities of \$18,770,000 at such date. Effective February 28, 2005, we sold 100% of our interest in ARTISTdirect Records for a cash payment of \$115,000, as a result of which we no longer have any equity or other economic interest in ARTISTdirect Records. As a result of the sale of our interest in ARTISTdirect Records effective February 28, 2005, the liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records were eliminated from our balance sheet at that date.

As a result of the general improvement in our media operations and the expected positive impact from the acquisition of MediaDefender, we believe that available cash resources are likely to be sufficient to meet anticipated working capital requirements for at least the next 12 months. However, we may seek additional funding for possible acquisitions, expansion of existing operations or other purposes, or to the extent that our operations do not generate sufficient levels of profitability and cash flow. Should we seek to raise additional capital, there can be no assurances that such capital can be raised on terms satisfactory, on a timely basis, or at all.

If all of our outstanding equity-based instruments are converted or exercised into shares of common stock in accordance with their respective terms, including those issued in conjunction with the Acquisition of MediaDefender, there would be a total of approximately 38,000,000 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding.

Operating. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,486,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$3,473,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. Net cash provided by continuing operations was \$1,524,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to net cash used in continuing operations of \$625,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The improvement in net cash provided by continuing operations in 2005, as compared to 2004, was primarily a result of the decrease in net loss and an increase in accrued liabilities. Net cash used in discontinued operations was \$38,000 and \$2,848,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Investing. Net cash used in investing activities was \$43,368,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, which consisted of \$42,500,000 paid to acquire MediaDefender, costs incurred with respect to the Acquisition of \$1,115,000 and purchases of property and equipment of \$303,000, reduced by cash acquired in the MediaDefender transaction of \$435,000 and the proceeds from the sale of ARTISTdirect Records of \$115,000. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$957,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, which consisted of the sale/maturity of short-term investments of \$1,012,000, reduced by purchases of property and equipment of \$55,000.

Financing. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$43,828,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, which consisted of the proceeds from the \$15.0 million Senior Financing and the \$30.0 million Sub-Debt Financing, and the issuance of bridge notes by ARTISTdirect Records of \$37,000 during the two months ended February 28, 2005, reduced by payments for deferred financing costs of \$1,025,000 and an increase in restricted cash of \$184,000. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$2,953,000 for year ended December 31, 2004, which consisted of the issuance of bridge notes by ARTISTdirect Records of \$2,778,000 and a decrease in restricted cash of \$175,000.

Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2005, our principal commitments for the next five fiscal year periods consisted of contractual commitments as summarized below. The summary shown below assumes that the senior secured notes payable and the subordinated

28

convertible notes payable are outstanding for their full terms, without any early reduction of the principal balances based on cash flows, except for a 2006 scheduled principal payment on the senior secured notes payable of \$390,000.

| | Payments Due by Year (in thousands) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|--------------|----|------|
| Contractual cash obligations | | Total | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | 2009 | | 2010 |
| Employment contracts | \$ | 3,942 | \$ | 1,414 | \$ | 1,264 | \$ | 1,264 | \$ | \$ | |
| Guaranteed payments to | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MediaDefender management | | 1,050 | | 1,050 | | | | | | | |
| Lease obligations | | 2,261 | | 343 | | 464 | | 470 | 485 | | 499 |
| Senior secured notes payable | | 15,000 | | 390 | | | | | 14,610 | | |
| Interest on senior secured notes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| payable | | 5,826 | | 1,604 | | 1,666 | | 1,666 | 890 | | |
| Subordinated convertible notes payable | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) | | 27,954 | | | | | | | 27,954 | | |
| Interest on subordinated convertible | | | | | | | | | | | |
| notes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| payable (2) | | 4,537 | | 1,661 | | 1,118 | | 1,118 | 640 | | |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ | 60,570 | \$ | 6,462 | \$ | 4,512 | \$ | 4,518 | \$ 44,579 | \$ | 499 |

⁽¹⁾ Subordinated convertible notes payable reflects \$3,506,000 of subordinated debt principal converted into shares of our common stock as of March 31, 2006.

(2) In 2006, \$68,000 has been paid in cash as of March 31, 2006 due to conversion of subordinated debt principal into shares of our common stock. The remaining interest payments are calculated in the ordinary course.

Capital Expenditures. We estimate that we will have capital expenditures aggregating \$1,300,000 for the year ending December 31, 2006 as a result of the relocation and consolidation of our offices to new facilities and the planned expansion of the MediaDefender operations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2005, we did not have any transactions, obligations or relationships that could be considered off-balance sheet arrangements.

BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS

Incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in July 1999, we are a digital media entertainment company that is home to an on-line music network. Through our Acquisition of MediaDefender, in July 2005, we are also a provider of anti-piracy solutions in the Internet piracy protection industry. Below is an overview of our three business segments:

Media. Our media operations include our content-oriented web-sites, a collection of third party music sites and our entertainment marketing initiatives. Revenue from media operations is generated from the sale of online advertising and integrated marketing solutions. We market and sell advertising on a cost-per-impression basis to advertising agencies and directly to various companies as part of their marketing programs. Customers may purchase advertising space for the entire ARTISTdirect network, or they may tailor advertising based on music genre (e.g., jazz, country or rock music) or based on functionality (e.g., directing advertising to customers using music download features or broadband-only features of the ARTISTdirect network). Since we are increasingly aware of web-sites outside the ARTISTdirect network that are frequently visited by artists and music fans, we have also offered our customers advertising space on behalf of third party music-related web-sites. Our media segment accounted for 42% and 38% of our revenue during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Refer to ARTISTdirect Overview-Media and E-Commerce Segments below for additional information.

E-Commerce. E-commerce operations consist of the sale of recorded music and artist-related merchandise on our web-site. Most of our sales come from our ARTISTdirect shopping area, which offers a comprehensive selection of music CDs and broad range of artist and lifestyle merchandise. Our e-commerce segment accounted for 58% and 19% of our revenue during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Refer to ARTISTdirect Overview-Media and E-Commerce Segments below for additional information.

Internet Piracy Prevention. Our IPP segment is currently operated by MediaDefender, as a wholly-owned subsidiary of ARTISTdirect. MediaDefender s proprietary suite of IPP solutions offer significant levels of protection on the 15 major peer-to-peer (P2P) file-sharing networks, as well as on Internet Relay Chat (IRC) and Usenet. MediaDefender s uber-level solutions are capable of providing up to 95% effectiveness in preventing unauthorized downloads of customer-specified content. Although MediaDefender was acquired by us in the third quarter of fiscal 2005, our IPP segment accounted for 43% of our revenue during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Refer to MediaDefender Overview-Internet Anti-Piracy Segment below for additional information.

ARTISTdirect Overview Media and E-Commerce Segments

The ARTISTdirect network (www.artistdirect.com) is a network of web-sites offering multi-media content, music news and information, community around shared music interests, advertising sponsorships, music-related specialty commerce and digital music services.

Our network consists of our music search engine and database containing information on more than 100,000 artists, retail goods, e-commerce offering a wide selection of artist merchandise and music, our proprietary music guide that enables users to browse music by artist, genre or time period, a music-oriented online community and the ability for users to download and listen to music and view music videos.

Technology. Our infrastructure is designed to be integrated, scalable, reliable and secure. The software that we use supports the acquisition, management and publication of content on our web-sites. During fiscal 2005, the management of our web-sites and servers for content, applications, database and electronic commerce was handled internally. Our servers are maintained at IX2 Networks, LLC, a co-location facility in Los Angeles, California. Our operations depend on our and IX2 s ability to protect our systems against fire, power loss, telecommunications failure, break-ins and other events. All web-sites, servers, and systems are monitored and periodic backups are stored at our data center.

Our current e-commerce system is based on systems, analysis and program (SAP) development software with certain enhancements provided to us by Pandesic, LLC (Pandesic), a joint venture between Intel and SAP that wound up operations in January 2001. Upon Pandesic s cessation of operations, we received the source code and executables for the e-commerce system that had been provided previously by Pandesic, implemented SAP s software in house under a two-year license from SAP. In December 2002, our two-year license expired and we entered into a perpetual license agreement with SAP.

Order Processing and Fulfillment. Our web-sites include an ordering system that is designed to facilitate convenient online purchasing of pre-recorded music and merchandise. Customers can add items to their shopping cart while surfing our web-sites. At any time they can securely checkout, at which time they need to register (if they are new customers), or enter their registered username and password to retrieve previously saved billing, shipping and credit card information. We verify orders submitted for credit card payment for fraud detection and sufficient funds before we release the order for fulfillment. We also accept alternative modes of payment, such as checks and money orders. Credit card numbers are encrypted, and all customer, commerce and transactional data are stored in secure databases protected by firewalls. The transmission of information over the Internet uses Secure Socket Layer security technology verified by VeriSign, an industry leader in online security.

We have a month-to-month agreement with Alliance to be the primary supplier of our music and music-related information. Alliance fulfills compact discs ordered by our customers and we pay Alliance the wholesale cost plus a fulfillment fee. In addition, Alliance provides warehouse space and fulfillment services pursuant to an oral agreement that Alliance may terminate at any time for a majority of the music-related merchandise that we offer which allows the consolidated shipping of customer orders for both music and merchandise.

| We have a month-to-month agreement with Benn Co., for it to be a wholesale supplier of music-relative | ted |
|---|-----|
| merchandise and provide fulfillment services for the products for which it sells to us. | |

If we are unable to continue our agreements with these suppliers our business could be adversely affected.

Customer Service. We have a month-to-month agreement with Alliance to provide customer support services for our web-sites consumers and respond to customer inquiries, orders and other requests made by phone, fax, e-mail and regular mail.

Sales and Marketing. We sell advertising and sponsorships to companies seeking to reach one or more of the distinct demographic audiences viewing content in the ARTISTdirect network. Advertisers may choose single elements such as targeted or run-of-network banners or sponsorship of fixed placement on our web-sites. Pricing is negotiated based upon the size of the target audience, the duration and intensity of the campaign and the size and placement of the advertisement.

We use a number of methods to create awareness of the ARTISTdirect network designed to drive traffic to our web-sites. We have focused much of our marketing activity on e-mail direct marketing to communicate with registered users about specific artists and the ARTISTdirect network. Campaigns have included direct notification of special merchandise offers, contests, promotions and available music downloads. To the extent our registered users in the future opt out of e-mails or use technology to block marketing e-mails, our operating results could be adversely affected. Ad-blocking technologies may be used to limit the effectiveness of web advertising.

Customers. During the year ended December 31, 2005, one customer accounted for \$1.79 million, or 33.0% of our total media revenue. During the year ended December 31, 2004, one customer accounted for \$245,000, or 12.0% of total media revenue. No other customer accounted for more than 10.0% of our media or e-commerce revenue during 2005 or 2004.

Competition. The market for the online promotion and distribution of music and music-related products and services is relatively new, highly competitive and rapidly changing. There are a large number of web-sites competing for the attention and spending of consumers and advertisers, and we expect that number to increase, because there are few barriers to entry to Internet commerce. In addition, the competition for advertising revenue, both on web-sites and in more traditional media, is intense. We compete as follows:

for music consumers and advertisers with providers of music information, community and content such as MTV, America Online, MSN, Yahoo!, Listen.com and various other companies;

with major online music retailers such as Amazon.com and iTunes Music Store in selling music and merchandise;

for music consumers and artist relationships with traditional music industry companies, including Sony BMG Music Entertainment, EMI Music, a unit of EMI Group, Warner Music Group, and Universal Music Group, a unit of Vivendi. Some of these companies have recently established online presences to promote and distribute the music and tours of their respective artists;

for music consumers and advertisers with publishers and distributors of traditional media, such as television, radio and print, including MTV, CMT, Rolling Stone and Spin and their Internet affiliates; and

| with traditional retailers targeting music consumers, including Tower Records and Virgin Megastore and their Internet affiliates, in selling music and merchandise. |
|--|
| Our competitors have worked together to offer music over the Internet, and we may face increased competitive pressures as a result. For instance, RealNetworks, Time Warner, Bertelsmann AG and EMI Group formed MusicNet, a digital music subscription platform featuring on-demand downloads and streaming. Various retailers such as BestBuy have cooperated to distribute music through the iTunes platform. We believe that we are able to compete effectively on the basis of: |
| the breadth and quality of our search, database and the community features of our site; |
| the variety, availability and price of music-related merchandise on our sites; and |
| the ease of use and consumer acceptance of the ARTISTdirect network. |
| Competition is likely to increase significantly as new companies enter the market and current competitors expand their services. Many of our current and potential competitors in the Internet and music entertainment businesses may have substantial competitive advantages over us, including: |
| longer operating histories; |
| significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources; |
| the ease of use and consumer acceptance of the ARTISTdirect network. Competition is likely to increase significantly as new companies enter the market and current competitors expand their services. Many of our current and potential competitors in the Internet and music entertainment businesses may have substantial competitive advantages over us, including: longer operating histories; |

| | greater brand name recognition; |
|---|---|
| | larger existing customer bases; and |
| : | more popular content or artists. |
| requireme maintained music-rela purchase i areas than | npetitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies, regulatory developments and changes in customer nts and to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products or services than we can. Web-sites d by our existing and potential competitors may be perceived by consumers, artists, talent management companies and other ted vendors or advertisers as being superior to ours. In addition, we may not be able to maintain or increase our web-site traffic levels, nquiries and number of click-through on our online advertisements. Further, our competitors may experience greater growth in these we do. Increased competition could result in advertising price reduction, reduced margins or loss of market share, any of which could harm our business. |

Governmental Regulation. The laws and regulations that govern our business change rapidly. Although our operations are currently based in California, the United States government and the governments of other states and foreign jurisdictions have attempted to regulate activities on the Internet. The following are some of the evolving areas of law that are relevant to our business:

Content Regulation. Federal, state and foreign governments have adopted and proposed laws governing the content of material transmitted over the Internet. These include laws relating to obscenity, indecency, libel and defamation. For example, the Child Online Protection Act, or COPA, prohibits and imposes criminal penalties and civil liability on anyone communicating material harmful to minors through the Internet for commercial purposes, unless access to such material is blocked to minors under age 17. The Third U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals has upheld a preliminary injunction precluding enforcement of COPA. In June 2004, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the injunction and remanded the case to the District Court. We could be liable if the injunction against COPA is lifted and if content delivered by us or placed on our web-sites violates COPA.

Privacy Law. The state of privacy law is unsettled, and rapidly changing. Current and proposed federal, state and foreign privacy regulations and other laws restricting the collection, use and disclosure of personal information could limit our ability to use the information in our databases to generate revenues. In late 1998, the Children s Online Privacy Protection Act, or COPPA, was enacted, mandating that measures be taken to safeguard minors under the age of 13. The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) promulgated regulations implementing COPPA on October 21, 1999, which became effective on April 21, 2000.

The principal COPPA requirement is that individually identifiable information about minors under the age of 13 not be collected, used, displayed or otherwise collected without first obtaining informed parental consent that is verifiable in light of present technology. The FTC final

regulations create a sliding scale of permissible methods for obtaining such consent based on how the information will be used. Consent for internal use of the individually identifiable information of children under the age of 13 can be obtained through e-mail plus an additional safeguard, such as confirming consent with a delayed e-mail, telephone call, or letter.

We comply with the COPPA requirements by not collecting or displaying the individually identifying information of users who, when attempting to register on the web-site, enter their birth date indicating they are under the age of 13. Complying with the COPPA requirements is costly and may dissuade some of our potential customers.

Because we have posted a privacy policy pertaining to all users and visitors to our web-site, we are subject the jurisdiction of the FTC. California also passed the California Online Privacy Protection Act, which took effect July 1, 2004 and mandates content and posting requirements for web-site privacy policies of web-sites that collect personal information from California residents. While we are attempting to be fully compliant with the FTC and California requirements, our efforts may not be entirely successful. In addition, at times we rely upon outside vendors to maintain data-collection software, and there can be no assurance that they will at all times comply with our instructions to comply with COPPA, our Privacy Policy and FTC and California requirements. If our methods of complying with these requirements are inadequate, we may face litigation with the FTC, California governmental authorities or individuals, which would adversely affect our business. Should any of our business practices be found to differ from our privacy policy, we could be subject to sanctions and penalties from the FTC or injunctions and penalties from California governmental authorities. It is also possible that users or visitors could try to recover damages in a civil action as well. Attorney Generals in various States also have in the past sought to enforce posted privacy policies and may continue to do so in the future.

Laws Governing Sending of Unsolicited Commercial E-mail. We typically provide our customers and other visitors to our web-sites with an opportunity to opt-in, or agree to receive e-mail from us. The federal government signed the Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003 (CAN-SPAM) designed to limit unsolicited commercial e-mail commonly referred to as spam. In addition, California and a number of other states regulate the sending of e-mails for commercial

purposes to third parties where there is no preexisting business relationship. Further, several states give Internet service providers (ISPs) a private right of action against those who send large e-mailings across their servers in contravention of the ISP s posted policy. There is no guarantee that we will always be fully compliant in all of our communications at all times. Our failure to comply with applicable laws regarding these types of e-mails could result in significant fines, actual or statutory damages, and injunctive actions.

Conformance to E-Commerce Statutory Requirements for Formation of Contracts. We conduct e-commerce on our web-sites, and through affiliated web-sites. The applicable law on online formation of contracts has been unsettled and is evolving. On June 30, 2000, the federal government enacted the E-Sign statute, which in limited cases permits online formation of contracts. Similarly, on January 1, 2000, California adopted a version of the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act (UETA), which also permits electronic signatures and record-keeping for certain types of contracts. Only the States of Georgia, Illinois, New York and Washington have not adopted a version of UETA. The State UETA statutes have not been tested in the courts to determine whether they conform to E-Sign provisions that allow States to adopt UETA and other laws regarding electronic contracts and electronic signatures. We attempt to comply with these laws, but there is no guarantee that we will be successful. Judicial interpretation of their application could result in customer contracts being set aside or modified. In that case, our e-commerce revenue could be materially adversely affected.

Sales Tax. The tax treatment of goods sold over the Internet is currently unsettled. We collect sales taxes for goods shipped to California and Florida, where we have a physical presence (i.e., a nexus). A number of proposals have been made at the state and local level that would impose additional taxes on the sale of goods through the Internet. Such proposals, if adopted, could substantially impair the growth of electronic commerce and could adversely affect our opportunity to derive financial benefit from electronic commerce. The Internet Tax Freedom Act (ITFA), which placed a moratorium on new state and local taxes on Internet commerce, expired on November 1, 2003, but has since been reinstated through November 2007 as part of the Internet Tax Nondiscrimination Act.

Online Contests and Sweepstakes. We conduct online promotional contests and sweepstakes. No purchase is necessary to participate. Our official rules, with material terms and conditions, eligibility restrictions, dates of participation, methods of entry, prize descriptions and restrictions, and odds of winning, are posted on our web-sites. To avoid having to register and bond our online promotions in the states of New York and Florida, the approximate retail value of the prizes we offer is generally less than \$5,000 for a single promotion. Although we structure our promotions in a way that attempts to comply with applicable statutes as currently interpreted and enforced in all fifty states, many of those statutes were drafted long before the advent of promotions over the Internet, and different states have interpreted substantially similar statutes differently. Thus, our ability to conduct online promotions is subject to the broad discretionary enforcement powers vested in various authorities. Further, our ability to conduct online promotions may be affected in whole or in part by various business method patents that may have been issued. In addition, foreign jurisdictions may attempt to regulate or ban our promotional contests. Under any of those three scenarios, we could face fines or possible exposure to liability or lose an effective tool for increasing traffic to and keeping visitors at our web-sites, and our business could be adversely affected.

Intellectual Property. Software, content and other material that we develop, as well as our service marks and domain names relating to the ARTISTdirect or UBL brands, and other proprietary rights are important to our business prospects. Significant impairment of our intellectual property rights could harm our business. We seek to protect

certain of our common-law trademarks through Federal registration in the United States of America and State registrations in Puerto Rico. We also have trademark registrations in Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Costa Rica, the European Union, France, Germany, Japan, Mexico, Panama, Peru, the United Kingdom and Venezuela. Given the cost of filing, maintaining and protecting federal registrations, we may choose not to register or enforce our copyrights or other innovations or certain of our trademarks that later turn out to be important. Despite our efforts, it is possible that the scope of protection gained from seeking trademark registration will be insufficient or that the registration may be deemed invalid or unenforceable.

We seek to protect many of our innovations as trade secrets and principally rely upon trade secret and contract law in the Unites States to protect our proprietary rights. We generally enter into confidentiality agreements, work-made-for-hire contracts and intellectual property licenses with our employees, consultants and corporate partners, respectively, as part of our efforts to control access to and distribution of our technologies, content and other proprietary information. For example, where consultants develop copyrighted content for us, our general policy is to use written agreements prior to content creation to obtain ownership of that content.

The following sets forth a schedule of our active trademarks:

34

Federal Trademark Filings

| MARK | APPL. NO. | REG. DATE | |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--|
| ARTISTDIRECT | 75927362 | July 29, 2003 | |
| CORPORATE LOGO | 76072567 | September 16, 2003 | |
| CORPORATE LOGO | 76072566 | June 5, 2001 | |
| CORPORATE LOGO | 76072563 | July 17, 2001 | |
| CORPORATE LOGO | 76072562 | July 31, 2001 | |
| CORPORATE LOGO | 76072561 | July 31, 2001 | |
| CORPORATE LOGO | 76072560 | January 1, 2002 | |
| BORN ON THE WORLD WIDE WEB | 75768869 | September 5, 2000 | |
| BORN ON THE WORLD WIDE WEB | 75768868 | September 5, 2000 | |
| BORN ON THE WORLD WIDE WEB | 75768011 | September 5, 2000 | |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | 75306059 | November 28, 2000 | |
| ARTISTDIRECT | 75418187 | June 6, 2000 | |
| ARTISTDIRECT | 75061781 | October 19, 1999 | |

State Trademark Filings

| MARK | STATE | REG. NO. | REG. DATE |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|
| | | | |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Puerto Rico | 50161 | April 30, 2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Puerto Rico | 50159 | April 30, 2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Puerto Rico | 49609 | March 31, 2002 |
| UBL | Puerto Rico | 49616 | March 31, 2002 |
| UBL | Puerto Rico | 49615 | March 31, 2002 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Puerto Rico | 49614 | March 31, 2002 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Puerto Rico | 49613 | March 31, 2002 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Puerto Rico | 49612 | March 31, 2002 |
| UBL | Puerto Rico | 50158 | April 30, 2002 |
| UBL | Puerto Rico | 50157 | April 30, 2002 |

International Filings

| MARK | COUNTRY | APPL. NO. | REG. NO. | REG. DATE |
|------------|----------------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| A & Design | Argentina | 2,320,737 | 1911466 | 1/27/2003 |
| A & Design | Argentina | 2,320,739 | 1911467 | 1/27/2003 |
| A & Design | Argentina | 2,374,722 | * | |
| A & Design | Australia | 908102 | 908102 | 3/28/2002 |
| A & Design | Brazil | 824492609 | * | |
| A & Design | Brazil | 822892340 | * | |
| A & Design | Brazil | 822892359 | * | |
| A & Design | Brazil | 822892332 | * | |
| A & Design | Costa Rica | 2002-0003186 | 136855 | 1/21/2003 |
| A & Design | European Union | 2006336 | 2006336 | 6/20/2002 |
| A & Design | France | 23158012 | 23158012 | 4/8/2002 |
| A & Design | Germany | 30217397.8/09 | 30217397 | 4/5/2002 |
| A & Design | Japan | 2002-24589 | 4648627 | 2/28/2003 |

Edgar Filing: ARTISTDIRECT INC - Form POS AM

| A & Design | Mexico | 464672 | 708608 | 7/30/2001 |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------|------------|
| A & Design | Mexico | 464671 | 699283 | 5/24/2001 |
| A & Design | Mexico | 464670 | 703484 | 6/21/2001 |
| A & Design | Mexico | 541631 | 785949 | 3/31/2003 |
| A & Design | Panama | 112008 | * | |
| A & Design | Panama | 112010 | * | |
| A & Design | Panama | 112009 | * | |
| A & Design | Peru | 120227 | * | |
| A & Design | Peru | 120228 | * | |
| A & Design | Peru | 120229 | * | |
| A & Design | Peru | 151886-2002 | 82635 | 8/22/2002 |
| A & Design | United Kingdom | 2296994 | 2296994 | 8/30/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Argentina | 2,264,378 | 1886206 | 9/20/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Argentina | 2,264,379 | 1886207 | 9/20/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Argentina | 2,264,380 | 1886208 | 9/20/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Argentina | 2,264,381 | 1886209 | 9/20/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Argentina | 2,374,721 | 1920978 | 4/3/2003 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Australia | 818867 | 818867 | 12/30/1999 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Australia | 908101 | 908101 | 3/28/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Brazil | 821955756 | * | |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Brazil | 821955764 | * | |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Brazil | 821955730 | * | |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Brazil | 821955748 | * | |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Brazil | 824492625 | * | |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Canada | 864116 | 512153 | 5/25/1999 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Chile | 471162 | 574481 | 8/18/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Chile | 471163 | 574480 | 8/18/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Chile | 471161 | 574482 | 8/18/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Chile | 471160 | 574469 | 8/18/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Costa Rica | 1999-0010547 | 121896 | 8/24/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Costa Rica | 1999-0010548 | 121134 | 7/27/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Costa Rica | 1999-0010549 | 121133 | 7/27/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Costa Rica | 1999-0010550 | 121132 | 7/27/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Costa Rica | 2002-0003185 | 136854 | 1/21/2003 |

| ARTISTDIRECT | European Union | 699538 | 699538 | 6/5/2000 |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------|
| ARTISTDIRECT | France | 23158011 | 23158011 | 4/8/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Germany | 30217395.1/09 | 30217395 | 4/5/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Japan | 183723/1997 | 4359836 | 2/10/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Japan | 2002-24588 | 4648626 | 2/28/2003 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Mexico | 409261 | 645860 | 3/22/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Mexico | 409262 | 645861 | 3/22/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Mexico | 409263 | 649514 | 3/31/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Mexico | 409264 | 645862 | 3/22/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Mexico | 541632 | 743912 | 4/29/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Panama | 105638 | 105638 | 2/15/2001 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Panama | 105641 | 105641 | 2/15/2001 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Panama | 105640 | 105640 | 2/15/2001 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Panama | 105642 | 105642 | 2/15/2001 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Peru | 99622 | 22837 | 9/12/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Peru | 99623 | 22761 | 9/8/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Peru | 99624 | 22762 | 9/8/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Peru | 099625-2000 | 25765 | 5/24/2001 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Peru | 151884-2002 | 82854 | 9/4/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | United Kingdom | 2296996 | 2296996 | 10/4/2002 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Venezuela | 2000-003045 | S-015483 | 11/22/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Venezuela | 2000-003043 | S-015481 | 11/22/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Venezuela | 2000-003044 | S-015482 | 11/22/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT | Venezuela | 2000-003042 | S-015480 | 11/22/2000 |
| ARTISTDIRECT DIGITAL | Japan | 2002-095128 | 4688729 | 7/4/2003 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Argentina | 2.119.984 | 1720730 | 2/10/1999 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Argentina | 2.119.985 | 1720731 | 2/10/1999 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Australia | 750646 | 750646 | 12/9/1997 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Brazil | 820399078 | * | |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Brazil | 820399086 | * | |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Canada | 863917 | 511964 | 5/18/1999 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Chile | 471173 | 574472 | 8/18/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Chile | 471172 | 574473 | 8/18/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Chile | 471171 | 574474 | 8/18/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Chile | 471170 | 574475 | 8/18/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Costa Rica | 1999-0010555 | 121887 | 8/24/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Costa Rica | 1999-0010556 | 121894 | 8/24/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Costa Rica | 1999-0010557 | 121130 | 7/27/2000 |
| | | | | |

| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Costa Rica | 1999-0010558 | 121895 | 8/24/2000 |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|------------|
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | European Union | 699355 | 699355 | 2/25/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Japan | 183722/1997 | 4359835 | 2/10/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Mexico | 409269 | 647112 | 3/27/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Mexico | 409270 | 647113 | 3/27/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Mexico | 409271 | 645866 | 3/22/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Mexico | 409272 | 645867 | 3/22/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Panama | 105628 | 105628 | 2/15/2001 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Panama | 105627 | 105627 | 4/6/2001 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Panama | 105626 | 105626 | 2/13/2001 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Panama | 105629 | 105629 | 2/13/2001 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Peru | 99630 | 23005 | 9/28/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Peru | 99631 | 22959 | 9/20/2000 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Peru | 99632 | 26107 | 6/18/2001 |
| THE ULTIMATE BAND LIST | Peru | 99633 | 23034 | 9/29/2000 |
| UBL | Argentina | 2,119,987 | 1846303 | 10/2/2001 |
| UBL | Argentina | 2,119,986 | 1870610 | 5/10/2002 |
| UBL | Australia | 750647 | 750647 | 12/9/1997 |
| UBL | Brazil | 820399060 | * | |
| UBL | Brazil | 820399051 | * | |
| UBL | Canada | 863917 | 503204 | 10/28/1998 |
| UBL | Chile | 471167 | 574476 | 8/18/2000 |
| UBL | Chile | 471166 | 574477 | 8/18/2000 |
| UBL | Chile | 471165 | 574478 | 8/18/2000 |
| UBL | Chile | 471164 | 574479 | 8/18/2000 |
| UBL | Costa Rica | 1999-0010551 | 121890 | 8/24/2000 |
| UBL | Costa Rica | 1999-0010552 | 121888 | 8/24/2000 |
| UBL | Costa Rica | 1999-0010553 | 121131 | 7/27/2000 |
| UBL | Costa Rica | 1999-0010554 | 121889 | 8/24/2000 |
| UBL | European Union | 698951 | 698951 | 3/3/2000 |
| UBL | Japan | 183721/1997 | 4359834 | 2/10/2000 |
| UBL | Mexico | 409265 | 645863 | 3/22/2000 |
| UBL | Mexico | 409266 | 645864 | 3/22/2000 |
| UBL | Mexico | 409267 | 684935 | 1/31/2001 |
| UBL | Mexico | 409268 | 645865 | 3/22/2000 |
| UBL | Panama | 105636 | 105636 | 2/15/2001 |
| UBL | Panama | 105635 | * | |
| UBL | Panama | 105634 | 105634 | 2/13/2001 |
| | | | | |

| UBL | Panama | 105637 | 105637 | 2/13/2001 |
|-----|-----------|-------------|----------|------------|
| UBL | Peru | 99626 | 23004 | 9/28/2000 |
| UBL | Peru | 99627 | 22958 | 9/20/2000 |
| UBL | Peru | 99628 | 25918 | 5/31/2001 |
| UBL | Peru | 99629 | 23033 | 9/29/2000 |
| UBL | Venezuela | 2000-003035 | S-015477 | 11/22/2000 |
| UBL | Venezuela | 2000-003036 | S-015478 | 11/22/2000 |
| UBL | Venezuela | 2000-003034 | S-015476 | 11/22/2000 |
| UBL | Venezuela | 2000-003037 | S-015479 | 11/22/2000 |

^{*} Indicates that registration is pending.

Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights from unauthorized use or disclosure, our efforts may not be sufficient or successful. It may be possible that some of our innovations may not be protectable. The steps that we have taken may not prevent misappropriation of our proprietary rights, particularly in foreign countries where laws or law enforcement practices may not protect our proprietary rights at all, or as fully as in the United States. Confidentiality may be compromised intentionally or accidentally by contractors, customers, other third parties or our employees. If third parties were to use or otherwise misappropriate our web-site content, source code, other copyright materials, trademarks, service marks or other proprietary rights without our consent or approval, our competitive position could be harmed, or we could become involved in costly and distracting litigation to enforce our rights.

A copyright gives the owner divisible rights, including those of performance, reproduction and distribution. The music featured by us is typically comprised of copyrighted works owned, controlled or administered by multiple third parties, including record labels, artists, songwriters, music publishers and performance rights and licensing organizations such as (but not limited to) The Harry Fox Agency, Broadcast Music Inc. (BMI) and the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (ASCAP). Each song often has multiple copyright owners, who control rights which may include performance, reproduction and distribution rights in the musical composition comprised of the lyrics and music, as well as with the sound recording of the artist s interpretation of the musical composition. In the case of music videos, there are separate copyrights to the visual content, as well as synchronization rights for integrating the music and video. We, or our artists, may have different licensing arrangements with some or all of these parties to perform, reproduce and distribute works depending upon how the song or music video is used by us.

Our web-sites, depending upon the specific musical work, may offer audio streaming of part or all of an entire song or Web casting, or the downloading of an entire song in MP3 or other compressed audio formats. Full-length streaming only occurs in special instances after obtaining a license from the record label or band manager for the sound recording. In that case, an ASCAP or BMI blanket music license is also obtained by us or by our artists for rights to perform the associated underlying musical composition. Where we offer full-length downloads of songs in MP3 or other compressed audio formats, we seek to obtain the rights to transmit, reproduce and perform the sound recording in writing from the person or entity owning or controlling copyrights in such sound recording. With respect to rights in the musical compositions embodied in such sound recordings offered for download, we seek to clear rights in musical composition in one or more of the following three ways:

a license agreement with the publisher, writer or other owner of such copyright in the musical composition;

a waiver of any fees or royalties that would otherwise be required for such use; and/or

a representation and warranty from the owner of the copyrights in the sound recording that no mechanical royalties are owed to any third parties.

In the event that the foregoing steps are insufficient to clear rights, or we otherwise fail to obtain rights, we could be exposed to claims of copyright infringement, with attendant disruption to our operations and liability including potential statutory or actual damages and loss of profits attributable to infringement, plus payment of attorneys fees to the claimant and entry of an injunction against us.

There are other situations, such as a limited 30-second sample of a song that is streamed, where we use content relying upon a sub-license from an artist s record label. However, the laws in this area are uncertain, and we may be obligated to obtain additional licenses or may be prevented from third party content use, and may, in the event proper licenses and clearances have not been secured, further be liable to pay actual or statutory damages, profits attributable to any alleged infringement, as well as attorneys fees. Our licensing arrangements for third-party content vary from formal contracts to informal agreements based on the promotional nature of the content. In some cases we pay a fee to the licensor for use of the sound recording, musical composition or music video and in other cases the use is free. We also use other third-party content, including photographs, artist names, likenesses and concert reviews. While it is our general policy to obtain a written release or license for such use, in many instances we rely only upon an oral license for such use. We rely upon our positive working relationships with copyright owners to obtain licenses on favorable terms. Any changes in the nature or terms of these arrangements, including any requirement that we pay significant fees for the use of the content, could have a negative impact on the availability of content or our business.

For example, the Copyright Office recently opined that additional royalties, besides those collected for musical compositions by ASCAP or BMI, are due for webcasted music that is selected by or on behalf of the recipient pursuant to 17 U.S.C. Section 2114(j)(7). If upheld, that ruling would prevent us from expanding our service to permit users to play a requested song via our web-site without paying additional royalties.

Linking and Framing of Third-Party Web-sites. We link to and frame third-party web-sites of our artists without express written permission to do so. In addition, in the past we have provided a search feature to allow users to find music residing elsewhere on the Internet. Those practices are controversial, and have, in instances not involving us, resulted in litigation. Various claims, including trademark and copyright infringement, unfair competition, and commercial misappropriation, as well as infringement of the right of publicity may be asserted against us as a result of these

practices. The law regarding linking and framing remains unsettled; it is uncertain as to how existing laws, especially trademark and copyright law, will be applied by the judiciary to the Internet. Also, Congress is increasingly active in passing new laws related to the Internet, and there is uncertainty as to the impact of future potential laws, especially those involving domain names, databases and privacy.

Defamation or Contributory Infringement. Our web-site features a community area where visitors can post comments. We do not censor such comments and it is possible that a customer could use our web-sites as a forum to make false, misleading or disparaging remarks about others. Such on-line comments could lead to claims for defamation or infringement. As to libel claims brought in the United States, we believe that we qualify for safe harbor protection against us for third-party postings under 47 U.S.C. Section 230(c)(1). However, other countries, notably the United Kingdom, may impose such liability on us, and it is possible we could be sued there for third-party postings. Separately, our web-sites allow consumers to use our personal web publishing tools to post samples of their works. Such postings could be misused to post unlicensed copyrighted content of others. We have obtained limited safe-harbor protection under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act against liability for infringing material of which we do not have control and knowledge.

Seasonality. The seasonality of our e-commerce segment affects our revenue. Our CDs and other online goods are most often purchased during the Christmas holiday season and also during the summer months, traditionally when artists go on tour.

MediaDefender Overview- Internet Anti-Piracy Segment

MediaDefender was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in July 2000 and is a provider of anti-piracy solutions in the high-growth IPP industry. We completed the Acquisition of MediaDefender in the third quarter of fiscal 2005. MediaDefender s solutions have been adopted by major entertainment companies as a practical means to thwart Internet piracy and drive consumers to pay for digitized content distributed through authorized Internet sites.

Internet-Based Piracy. Piracy has long been a problem for the global content industries. The International Intellectual Property Alliance (IIPA) estimates that commercial piracy alone costs the U.S. content industries approximately \$20 billion to \$22 billion in lost sales each year. Historically, most consumer participation in piracy required a transaction with a third-party vendor. The advance of new technologies has served to make anonymous the illegal distribution and consumption of content. This has been followed by a fundamental shift in consumer behavior; piracy in the Internet age is now viewed as an acceptable activity that millions of people around the world no longer consider illegal or immoral. In the past five years, Internet-based P2P file-sharing networks have emerged as the greatest threat to the future of content companies.

Due to the relatively small size of MP3 files, music was the first industry to be impacted by Internet piracy. From 1999 to 2003, total music sales in the U.S. declined by approximately 25%, or \$3.7 billion. The 2.3% increase in 2004 album sales may be partially attributed to the increased use of anti-piracy solutions by music companies. The growth of broadband Internet access is now making larger-sized files, such as movies, software and video games, similarly vulnerable to Internet-based piracy. Already, the majority of motion pictures are available in some form on the Internet before theatrical release.

To date, attempts to limit Internet-piracy have been largely unsuccessful. Litigation, legislation, education, commercial innovation, packaged media and digital rights management (DRM) anti-piracy technologies have been employed with limited impact. For example, despite the litigation that forced the closure of Napster, then the most widely used P2P forum for illegal downloads, in July 2001, P2P file-sharing networks continue to evolve and thrive.

IPP Solutions. MediaDefender employs a wide array of technical countermeasures on P2P networks to frustrate users attempts to find, copy and share unauthorized copyrighted materials. MediaDefender s customers specify the timing, duration, scope and level of effectiveness of desired protection on a track-by-track, album-by-album or movie-by-movie basis. Users of P2P networks attempting to download a file that is successfully protected by MediaDefender either cannot begin the downloading process, download the specified file to find that it is not the content for which they were searching, or download a file to find that it works for the first few seconds and then reverts to white noise or static. By making it more difficult for P2P users to access unauthorized content on the Internet, MediaDefender induces consumers to pay for content distributed through legitimate means, such as Apple Corporation s iTunes.

MediaDefender s suite of IPP solutions currently covers the 15 major P2P file-sharing networks that constitute over 95% of all P2P file-sharing activity, as well as IRC and Usenet and consists of spoofing, decoying, interdiction, counterposting and queuing. MediaDefender s IPP solutions are typically deployed together, in degrees that vary according to customer preference.

Suite of Solutions. MediaDefender s solutions are a practical and proven means of thwarting Internet piracy and driving consumers to pay for online content. MediaDefender s piracy-detection techniques can pinpoint the initial leak of content onto the Internet and allow MediaDefender to direct its efforts to limit replication at the point of origin. Customers also receive access to MediaDefender s secure on-line reporting system, which provides critical information regarding the number of attempted and blocked illegal downloads per specified title. The primary means by which MediaDefender s solutions hinder illegal Internet file-sharing and downloading include:

Spoofing. Spoofs are false signals that represent themselves as legitimate content.

Swarming. Swarms are corrupt data packet segments that are spliced into existing copies and subsequent downloads of illegitimate files of specified titles.

Decoying. Decoys are false files that are named and titled so as to appear to be legitimate content.

Interdiction. Interdiction creates long lines that inhibit would-be pirates from obtaining access to protected copyrighted materials.

40

Counter-posting. Counter-posting is a method of protection that operates on UseNet.

Queuing. Queuing is used on IRC to prevent P2P users from accessing protected content by taking up space in the line to download that content.

Monitoring. In addition to providing IPP solutions, MediaDefender also tracks attempts by P2P users to access files illegally and provides such information to its customers in the form of weekly monitoring reports. By continuously monitoring the Internet to detect leaks of copyrighted material, MediaDefender is able to advise its customers concerning the origination of such leaks and help determine when MediaDefender s IPP solutions are needed. Customers often employ MediaDefender s monitoring capabilities in anticipation of executing a full-scale IPP solutions contract. This early monitoring allows its customers to observe the level of piracy affecting their copyrighted materials and has proven to be an effective marketing tool for MediaDefender s IPP solutions.

MediaDefender continuously monitors Internet activity for leaks of any new customer-owned content. In addition to an automated web crawler device that monitors leaks 24 hours per day, MediaDefender also employs several individuals who detect customer content leaks by monitoring activity on the various networks web-sites and chat rooms. MediaDefender is able to make customers aware of any leaks immediately 24 hours per day seven days per week. This awareness enables customers to better manage their relationships with creative talent by demonstrating control and knowledge of the situation. Monitoring reports contain information that allows customers to determine:

| The piracy problem s size and nature for each individual release; |
|--|
| When particular copyrighted materials should be protected; |
| The IP addresses of individuals who are downloading copyrighted content; |
| How often a specific title is requested; |
| How often a title is illegally shared; and |
| Demographics of illegal sharers. |

Ancillary Solutions. MediaDefender can provide ancillary solutions to customers to achieve a number of different goals. MediaDefender has assisted customers in identifying the IP address responsible for the origination of illegal content on P2P networks allowing customers to pursue legal action against the source of the piracy.

Next-Generation Solutions. Due to the rapidly evolving nature of the P2P environment, MediaDefender must continually adapt its technologies in order to offer customers increasing levels of effectiveness against the latest Internet-piracy threats. In the third quarter of 2003, MediaDefender commercially released its next-generation solution, called uber. Uber-level solutions allow MediaDefender to provide an approximate 95 percent effectiveness in preventing unauthorized downloads of customer-specified content. MediaDefender s development team is constantly monitoring advances in peer-to-peer networking and developing solutions to target new networks.

Patent Pending Technology. MediaDefender s IPP solutions utilize proprietary software and a robust, scalable IT platform of approximately 1,852 servers and 6.0 gigabytes of bandwidth capacity. This patent-pending combination of software and IT was created over time and based upon the successes and failures of real-world trials. Computer equipment and software is monitored and maintained on a 24/7 basis. The use of back-up and redundant systems, combined with constant monitoring, allows MediaDefender to provide continuous coverage and rapidly address potential technical problems.

Intellectual Property. In December 2002, MediaDefender filed an umbrella patent that covers a variety of its concept and back-end technologies, which is still pending. Due to the evolving nature of P2P networks, these technologies are not based on any one service, but rather have the fluidity to be transferred to any P2P network. The claims in the patent filing are predominantly methods for managing a large array of computers for the purpose of preventing piracy on P2P networks.

Sales and Marketing. MediaDefender s sales process is relationship-based with a relatively long sales cycle that results in extremely loyal customers. Initial customer relationships were with music and movie industry clients, targeted due to the impact of piracy on these businesses. MediaDefender management has invested significant time in building relationships with its clients, which has resulted in word-of-mouth referrals.

Customers and Target Markets. MediaDefender s current customers include four major record labels and six major movie studios. Two customers accounted for 35.0% and 29.0%, respectfully, of MediaDefender s revenues during the five months ended December 31, 2005.

Market Share and Competition. Management believes that MediaDefender has a majority of the growing IPP solution market. Its two major competitors, MediaSentry, Inc. and Macrovision, have been in the IPP market for two and five years, respectfully, without gaining significant market share.

General Corporate Information for Combined Company

Employees. At April 1, 2006, we employed 57 full-time employees and eight part-time consultants, none of whom are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

Legal Proceedings. We are involved in certain legal proceedings and claims, which arise in the normal course of business. Our management currently believes that the impact of any such matters will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Facilities. The following table provides a schedule of corporate offices and facilities leased by us:

| Location | Primary Function | Square Footage | Lease Term |
|---|---|----------------|------------|
| Santa Monica, California | Corporate headquarters for ARTISTdirect | 14,817 | 6 years |
| Marina del Rey, California | Corporate headquarters for MediaDefender* | 3,600 | 5 months |
| IX2 Co-Location Room Los Angeles, California | 70 Servers and 2X100 Mbps Bandwidth | NA | 1 year |
| Co-Location Facility 1 Los Angeles, California | 1394 Servers and 5X1 Gbps Bandwidth | NA | 1 year |
| Co-Location Facility 2 Los Angeles, California | 167 Servers and 5X100 Mbps Bandwidth | NA | 1 year |
| Co-Location Facility 3 Los Angeles, California | 214 Servers and 1X1 Gbps Bandwidth | NA | monthly |
| Co-Location Facility 4 Los Angeles, California | 52 Servers | NA | monthly |
| | 25 Servers | NA | monthly |

| Co-Location | Facility 5 |
|-------------|--------------|
| Los Angeles | . California |

*To relocate to Santa Monica, California location in April 2006.

We believe that all real property and facilities leased by us are in good repair and adequate for our purposes for the next 12 months.

42

MANAGEMENT

Directors and Executive Officers

The following sets forth the name and position of each of our current executive officers and directors.

| Name | Age | Position |
|-----------------------|-----|---|
| Frederick W. Field | 53 | Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| Jonathan V. Diamond | 47 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Robert N. Weingarten | 54 | Chief Financial Officer and Secretary |
| Teymour Boutros-Ghali | 50 | Director |
| Eric Pulier | 40 | Director |
| Dimitri Villard | 62 | Director |
| James N. Lane | 54 | Director |
| Fred Davis | 46 | Director |
| Randy Saaf | 29 | Chief Executive Officer of MediaDefender |
| Octavio Herrera | 29 | President of MediaDefender |
| Nicholas Turner | 46 | Vice President, Business Development |

Frederick W. Field. Mr. Field has served as our Chairman of the Board since June 2001 and served as our Chief Executive Officer from June 2001 until September 2003. Mr. Field also currently serves as Chief Executive Officer of ARTISTdirect Records, LLC and as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Radar Pictures, Inc., a film production company. From 1990 to 2001, Mr. Field served as Co-Chairman of Interscope Records, a music production company. From 1979 to 1997, Mr. Field served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Interscope Communications, Inc.

Jonathan V. Diamond. Mr. Diamond has served as our Chief Executive Officer and director since September 2003. From January 2003 to the present, he has also served as the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a director of YouthStream Media Networks, Inc., a publicly-traded company (YSTM. PK). He was the co-founder of N2K, Inc. and served as its Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer through its 1999 merger with CDnow, Inc., an e-commerce company. He continued to serve as Chairman of the combined company until its sale to Bertelsmann Music Group in August 2000. Mr. Diamond was a co-founder of GRP Records, an independent music label acquired by MCA in 1990. Mr. Diamond holds an M.B.A. from the Columbia University Graduate School of Business and a B.A. in Economics and Music from the University of Michigan Honors College.

Robert N. Weingarten. Mr. Weingarten has served as our Chief Financial Officer and Secretary since January 2004. From July 1992 to present, Mr. Weingarten has been the sole shareholder of Resource One Group, Inc., a financial consulting and advisory company. From February 2003 to present, he has served as the Chief Financial Officer of YouthStream Media Networks, Inc., a publicly traded company (YSTM. PK). Since 1979, Mr. Weingarten has served as a consultant to numerous public companies in various stages of development, operation or reorganization. Mr. Weingarten received an M.B.A. Degree in Finance from the University of Southern California in 1975 and a B.A.

Degree in Accounting from the University of Washington in 1974. Mr. Weingarten currently serves as a director of Resource Ventures, Inc., which is a non-trading public company.

Teymour Boutros-Ghali. Mr. Boutros-Ghali has served as director since January 2005. From January 2002 to the present, Mr. Boutros-Ghali has served as Managing Partner of Monitor Ventures, an early-stage venture capital firm. From January 2000 to January 2002, he served as an angel investor and member of the Board Directors of several media and technology companies, including Digital Evolution, AllBusiness, Switchouse and IGR. Mr. Boutros-Ghali received his SM and PhD from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and his BA from Cambridge University.

Eric Pulier. Mr. Pulier has served as a director since November 2004. Currently, Mr. Pulier serves as the Founder and Executive Chairman of SOA Software, Inc., a position which he has held since 2001. Mr. Pulier also served as a Director and/or

founder of multiple technology companies in the United States, including US Interactive, Inc., Gluecode, Inc., Media Platform On-Demand, and the Center for Telecommunications Management. Mr. Pulier has been a pioneer in the software and digital interactive industries for over 15 years. He has been instrumental in establishing ground-breaking companies in several sectors including corporate communications, professional services, security and enterprise software. Mr. Pulier is a Magna Cum Laude graduate of Harvard University.

Dimitri Villard. Mr. Villard has served as a director since January 2005. Mr. Villard has also served as President and a director of Pivotal BioSciences, Inc., a biotechonology company, since September 1998. In addition, since January 1982 to present, he has served as President and director of Byzantine Productions, Inc. Previously, Mr. Villard was a director at the investment banking firm of SG Cowen and affiliated entities, a position he held from January 1997 to July 1999. Mr. Villard currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Dax Solutions, Inc., (Dax) an entertainment industry digital asset management venture. He has served as a director of Dax since May 2004. He is also a member of the Executive Committee of the Los Angeles chapter of the Tech Coast Angels, a private venture capital group. Mr. Villard received a B.A. Degree in Government from Harvard University in 1964 and also received a Master of Science degree from China International Medical University.

James N. Lane. Mr. Lane has served as a director since May 2005. Mr. Lane has also served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Devonwood Capital Partners, a private equity firm since 2002. From 1997-2002, Mr. Lane was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of SG Capital Partners, a private equity firm affiliated with Societe General and SG Cowen Securities, managing over \$800 million of capital. Prior to establishing SG Capital Partners, Mr. Lane s career spanned approximately 20 years at Goldman Sachs, & Co. He was a former General Partner and founding member and Co-Head of Goldman Sachs Principal Investment Area, which invested and managed several billion dollars of the firm s and clients money in private equity investments. Mr. Lane s responsibilities included managing the Leveraged Finance group in New York and the European Corporate Finance and Merchant Banking businesses in London. Mr. Lane earned his B.A. degree, cum laude, from Wheaton College and his M.B.A., with highest honors, from Columbia University.

Fred Davis. Mr. Davis has served as a director since November 2005. Mr. Davis currently serves as a partner at the music law firm of Davis, Shapiro, Lewit, Montone & Hayes (formerly Davis & Shapiro), which he co-founded in 1997. Prior to 1997, Mr. Davis served as an Executive Vice President at EMI Records. Mr. Davis received his bachelors degree from Tufts University and his J.D. degree from Fordham School of Law.

Randy Saaf. Mr. Saaf currently serves as Chief Executive Officer of our wholly-owned subsidiary MediaDefender, which was acquired on July 28, 2005. Mr. Saaf previously served as both President and Chief Executive Officer of MediaDefender since he co-founded the company in July 2000. Mr. Saaf received his Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering from Harvey Mudd College in 1998.

Octavio Herrera. Mr. Herrera currently serves as President of our wholly-owned subsidiary MediaDefender, which was acquired on July 28, 2005. Mr. Herrera previously served as VP, Business Development and Chief Financial Officer of MediaDefender since he co-founded the company in July 2000. Mr. Herrera received his Bachelor of Science degree in Physics from Occidental College in 1998.

Nicholas Turner. Mr. Turner has served as our Vice President, Business Development since January 2006. Mr. Turner previously served as Executive Vice President, Business Development from January 2005 to December 2005. Mr. Turner has over 20 years experience as a senior level executive and entrepreneur in the entertainment and new media industries. Mr. Turner has been a professional recording musician, artist manager, record producer, new media pioneer and record label marketing executive, as well as charity event creator and fundraiser. Mr. Turner has extensive expertise in guiding companies through the transition from the analog to digital world. During the last 10 years, Mr. Turner has held senior executive positions with various companies, including N2K, Inc. and CDnow, Inc., with responsibility for marketing and revenue generation activities.

| Family Relationships | |
|----------------------|----|
| None. | |
| | 44 |

| Code of Ethics |
|---|
| We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to each of our employees, executive officers and directors. A copy is available free of charge on the Internet at www.sec.gov. The Code of Ethics was filed as Exhibit 14.1 to our annual report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003. |
| Board Compensation |
| Fiscal 2005. Messrs. Villard and Lane received \$50,000 in cash compensation for services rendered as independent members of the Board in fiscal 2005. Since our common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board, we have chosen to use the definition of independent under the listing standards of the American Stock Exchange. |
| Messrs. Field, Diamond, Pulier, Boutros-Ghali and Davis received no compensation for services rendered as members of the Board in fiscal 2005. |
| Fiscal 2006. Directors who are not our employees will receive the following compensation in fiscal 2006: |
| Cash: a cash retainer of \$15,000, payable in equal installments of \$7,500 in the third and fourth quarter of fiscal 2006; and |
| <i>Equity:</i> a grant of stock options having a value (based upon appropriate Black-Scholes option valuation methodology) equal to \$35,000. The strike price for the options will be set on the date of grant and the option will vest entirely on December 31, 2006. |
| In addition, each director that serves on a Committee of the Board will receive the following compensation in fiscal 2006: |
| Cash: for each committee served, an additional cash retainer of \$10,000, payable in equal installments of \$5,000 in the third and fourth quarter of fiscal 2006; and |

Equity: grant of stock options having a value equal to \$15,000, and having the same terms and

conditions as options granted to all non-employee Board members generally.

Any new directors joining the Board in fiscal 2006 will receive a one-time grant of stock options having a value equal to \$25,000, a three-year vesting term and otherwise having the same terms and conditions as options granted to all non-employee Board members generally. Mr. Davis will be considered a new director for purposes of the foregoing.

Mr. Diamond, our President and Chief Executive Officer, will not be entitled to any additional compensation of services rendered as a member of the Board in fiscal 2006.

All directors are reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending meetings of the Board of any committee of the Board.

Executive Compensation

The following table provides certain summary information concerning the compensation earned by our Chief Executive Officer and each of our three other most highly compensated executive officers whose salary and bonus for the 2005 fiscal year was in excess of \$100,000, for services rendered in all capacities for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. No other executive officers who would have otherwise been includable in such table on the basis of salary and bonus earned for the fiscal year has been excluded by reason of his or her termination of employment or change in executive status during that year. The listed individuals shall be hereinafter referred to as the named executive officers.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| | | | Annual Compensation | | D4 | Long-Term Comp | ensation Awar | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name and Principal Positions | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Other Annual Compensation (\$) (2) | Restricted Stock Awards (\$) | Securities Underlying Options/ SARs(#) | LTIP Payouts (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) |
| Jonathan V. Diamond Chief Executive Officer | 2005 2004 2003 | 267,250 185,000 46,250 | 75,000(1) 4,315 | 8,550 7,800 1,950 | | 2,753,098 259,659 | | |
| Robert N. Weingarten Chief Financial Officer | 2005 2004 2003 | 147,250 120,000 | 50,000(1) | 4,000 | | 550,000 120,000 | | |
| Nicholas Turner VP, Business Development | 2005 | 137,500 47,000(3) | 40,000(1) | | | 92,000 | | |
| Randy Saaf Chief Executive Officer, MediaDefender | 2005 | 147,880(4) | | 1,000 | | 200,000 | | |
| Octavio Herrera President, MediaDefender | 2005 | 147,880(4) | | 1,926 | | 200,000 | | |

⁽¹⁾ Represents discretionary cash bonuses awarded to our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. We awarded such bonuses as consideration for management successfully completing our corporate restructuring during the three months ended March 31, 2005. Such bonuses were paid in fiscal 2005. We also awarded a discretionary cash

bonus to our VP, Business Development of \$40,000, which was reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, and was accrued at December 31, 2005.

- (2) Consists of car allowance.
- (3) Served as a consultant in fiscal 2004.
- (4) Retained effective July 28, 2005.

45

The following table sets forth certain information regarding stock options granted to our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2005.

OPTIONS/ SAR GRANTS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR

| Name | Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted(1) | Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees in 2004(2) | Exercise Price per Share (\$) | Expiration Date |
|----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Jonathan V. Diamond | 2,753,098 | 72.5% | \$ 1.55 | 08/05/10 |
| Robert N. Weingarten | 550,000 | 14.5% | \$ 1.55 | 08/05/10 |
| Nicholas Turner | 92,000 | 2.4% | \$ 0.79 | 01/01/12 |
| Randy Saaf | 200,000 | 5.3% | \$ 3.00 | 07/28/12 |
| Octavio Herrera | 200,000 | 5.3% | \$ 3.00 | 07/28/12 |

⁽¹⁾ Each option represents the right to purchase one share of common stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, we granted options to management and employees to purchase an aggregate of 3,795,098 shares of common stock with exercise prices ranging from \$0.79 - \$3.00 per share.

The following table provides information, with respect to the named executive officers, concerning unexercised stock options held by them at December 31, 2005. None of the named executive officers exercised any stock options during 2005.

AGGREGATED OPTION FISCAL YEAR-END VALUES

| | Shares Acquired on | | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options at Fiscal Year End | | In-the Opti | Jnexercised -Money ons at r End (\$)(1) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------|----------------|--|
| Name | Exercise (#) | Value Realized (\$) | Exercisable | Unexercisable | Exercisable | Unexercisable |
| Jonathan V. Diamond | | | 210,973 | 2,801,784 | 516,884 | 4,937,202 |
| Robert N. Weingarten | | | 70,000 | 600,000 | 196,000 | 1,102,500 |
| Nicholas Turner | | | 92,000 | | 230,920 | |
| Randy Saaf | | | 23,810 | 176,190 | 7,143 | 52,857 |
| Octavio Herrera | | | 23,810 | 176,190 | 7,143 | 52,857 |

⁽¹⁾ Based on December 30, 2005 closing stock price of \$3.30 per share. December 30th was the last trading day in fiscal 2005.

Current Employment Contracts and Termination of Employment, Change-in-Control Agreements

On July 28, 2005, we entered into an Employment Agreement with Jonathan V. Diamond, our Chief Executive Officer. During the term of the Employment Agreement, which shall continue through December 31, 2008, Mr. Diamond will earn a base salary of no less than \$350,000 per annum, plus certain specified perquisites that include a monthly car allowance. He is also eligible to receive a discretionary bonus of up to 100% of base salary, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors or, if none, the Board, and a performance bonus of up to 100% of base salary if we achieve specified earnings targets in fiscal 2007 and 2008. Mr. Diamond shall also be entitled to receive stock options at the discretion of the Board of Directors. In the event Mr. Diamond is terminated without cause, he shall be entitled to receive 12 months of severance pay at the rate of 100% of his monthly salary, any performance bonus due for the year in which termination occurs and all stock options subject to time vesting shall be deemed fully vested and exercisable.

On July 28, 2005, we entered into an Employment Agreement with Robert N. Weingarten, our Chief Financial Officer. During the term of the Employment Agreement, which shall continue through December 31, 2008, Mr. Weingarten will earn a base salary of no less than \$195,000 per annum, plus certain specified perquisites that include a monthly car allowance. He is also eligible to receive a discretionary bonus of up to 100% of base salary, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors or, if none, the Board, and a performance bonus of up to 100% of base salary if we achieve specified earnings targets in fiscal 2007 and 2008. Mr. Weingarten shall also be entitled to receive stock options at the discretion of the Board of Directors. In the event Mr. Weingarten is terminated without cause, he shall be entitled to receive 12 months of severance pay at the rate of 100% of his monthly salary, any performance bonus due for the year in which termination occurs and all stock options subject to time vesting shall be deemed fully vested and exercisable.

In accordance with the Merger Agreement, we acknowledged the terms of Employment Agreements entered into on July 28, 2005 by MediaDefender with each of Randy Saaf, who will serve as Chief Executive Officer of MediaDefender, and Octavio Herrera, who will serve as President of MediaDefender. Each of Messrs. Saaf and Herrera will earn a base salary of no less than \$350,000 per annum during the initial term of the agreements, which shall continue until December 31, 2008. Both are also entitled to receive performance bonuses of up to \$350,000 if MediaDefender achieves specified earnings targets in fiscal 2007 and 2008. Each are entitled to receive 12 months of severance pay at the rate of 100% of his monthly salary and the pro-rata portion of the performance bonus referenced above if they are terminated without cause. Additionally, we granted stock options to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock to each of Messrs. Saaf and Herrera.

Effective January 1, 2005, we entered into a one-year agreement with Nicholas Turner to serve as our Executive Vice President with a base annual salary of \$120,000 per year, plus certain perquisites benefits. In conjunction with the consulting agreement, the Company granted Mr. Turner a non-plan, non-qualified stock option to purchase 92,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.79 per share, which was the approximate fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant, vesting monthly over a period of two years. We are currently negotiating an extension to this agreement with Mr. Turner to serve as Vice President, Business Development.

Option Plans

At April 1, 2006, (i) an aggregate of 980,624 stock options were outstanding under the 1999 Option Plans, (ii) mere stock options were outstanding under our 2004 Consultant Stock Plan and (iii) an aggregate of 4,087,127 stock options outstanding issued outside of the 1999 Plans.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of April 1, 2006 regarding compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which equity securities of our company are authorized for issuance.

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security | | | | |
| holders | 302,370 | \$7.50 | 142,110 | |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security | | | | |
| holders | 4,765,379 | \$3.22 | 1,942,731 | |
| Total | 5,067,749 | \$3.46 | 2,084,841 | |

Beneficial Ownership

The following table sets forth information with respect to the following: (i) each person who beneficially owns more than five percent (5%) of our voting securities, (ii) each of our executive officers and directors and (iii) all of our executive officers and directors as a group. Percentages are based on 6,921,457 shares of our common stock outstanding as of April 1, 2006.

| Beneficial Owner(1) 5% Stockholders: | Title of Class of Stock | Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(2) | Percent of Class |
|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | | |
| JMB Capital Partners, L.P. 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2040 Los Angeles, California 90067 | Common | 2,166,667(3) | 23.8 |
| | | | |
| WNTO7 Holdings, LLC c/o Wayne, Gaynor, Umanoff & Pollack, LLP 6100 Center Drive, Suite 950 Los Angeles, California 90045 | Common | 1,224,017(4) | 17.4 |
| | | | |
| CCM Master Qualified Fund, Ltd. One North Wacker Drive, Suite 4725 Chicago, Illinois 60606 | Common | 725,268(5) | 9.5(6) |
| | | | |
| Act II Master Fund, Ltd. 444 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022 | Common | 465,300(7) | 6.7 |
| | | | |
| Rick Rubin c/o Alan S. Halfon & Company 9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 505 Beverly Hills, California 90212 | Common | 362,022 | 5.2 |

| Beneficial Owner(1) | Title of Class of Stock | Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(2) | Percent of Class |
|--|----------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Current Executive Officers and Directors: | | | |
| Frederick W. Field | Common | 302,370(8) | 4.1 |
| Jonathan V. Diamond | Common | 238,021(8) | 3.3 |
| Robert N. Weingarten | Common | 86,666(8) | 1.2 |
| Teymour Boutros-Ghali | Common | 183,603(9) | 2.6 |
| Eric Pulier | Common | 1,040,414(10) | 14.8 |
| Dimitri Villard | Common | 85,000(8) | 1.2 |
| James N. Lane | Common | 85,000(8) | 1.2 |
| Fred Davis | Common | 0 | * |
| Randy Saaf | Common | 167,377(11) | 2.3 |
| Octavio Herrera | Common | 167,377(11) | 2.3 |
| Nicholas Turner | Common | 92,000(9) | 1.3 |
| All current directors and executive officers as a group (11 Persons) | Common | 2,447,828(12) | 29.6 |

^{*} Indicates less than 1.0%

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each of the individuals listed in the table is c/o ARTISTdirect, Inc., 1601 Cloverfield Boulevard, Suite 400 South, Santa Monica, California, 90404-4082.
- (2) Unless otherwise indicated by footnote, the persons named in the table have sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to applicable community property laws.
- (3) Consists solely of a warrant to purchase 2,166,667 shares of common stock that may be exercised within 60 days of April 1, 2006.
- (4) Includes 114,985 shares of common stock that may be exercised within 60 days of April 1, 2006 pursuant to a warrant. Members of WNT07 Holdings, LLC include Teymour Boutros-Ghali and FDT Trust-2005. Teymour Boutros-Ghali and Eric Pulier, both members of our Board of Directors are the managing members and have voting power with respect to the shares.

(5) Consists solely of warrants to purchase shares of common stock that may be exercised within 60 days of April 1, 2006.

| (6) CCM Master Qualified Fund, Ltd. was also issued a \$13,000,000 principal amount convertible subordinated note and a warrant to purchase 691,935 shares of common stock in the Sub-debt Financing. Both contain a limitation on exercise provision that prevents CCM Master Qualified Fund, Ltd. from holding more than 9.99% of our outstanding common stock. |
|--|
| (7) Based on a Schedule 13G filed on April 4, 2006. |
| (8) Consists solely of stock options to purchase shares of common stock that are exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2006. |
| (9) Refer to footnote (4) above. Mr. Boutros-Ghali holds a 15% economic interest in WNT07 Holdings, LLC. |
| (10) Refer to footnote (4) above. FDT Trust-2005 holds a 85% economic interest in WNT07 Holdings, LLC. The trustee of FDT Trust-2005 is Greg Pulier, the brother of Eric Pulier. The beneficiaries of the trust consist of the living descendants of Myron Pulier, the father of Eric Pulier, as determined by Greg Pulier. |
| (11) Consists of a warrant to purchase 119,758 shares of common stock and options to purchase 47,619 shares of common stock that may be exercised within 60 days of April 1, 2006. Messrs. Saaf and Herrera each elected to invest \$2,250,000 in the Sub-debt Financing. Neither anticipate converting any portion of their respective promissory notes within 60 days of April 1, 2006, nor does management anticipate any conversion shares or interest shares being issued to Mr. Saaf or Mr. Herrera within 60 days of April 1, 2006. Refer also to footnotes (9) and (10) in the Selling Stockholders table below. |
| (12) Refer to footnotes (4) and (8)-(11) above. |
| Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the Rule 13d-3(a) of the Exchange Act and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Except as subject to community property laws, where applicable, the person named above has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by him/her. |

A change-in-control has not occurred since the beginning of our last fiscal year end. A change-in-control may occur as a result of the conversion or exercise of all of the equity-based securities issued in the Senior Financing and the Sub-debt Financing completed by us on July 28, 2005. Assuming maximum conversion or exercise of the equity-based securities issued in these financing transactions occur, the Senior Financing investors will own approximately 9% of our common stock, on a fully diluted basis, and the Sub-debt Financing investors will own

approximately 55% of our common stock, on a fully diluted basis.

99

Indemnification of Directors and Executive Officers and Limitation of Liability

| As permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws limit or eliminate the personal liability of |
|---|
| our directors for a breach of their fiduciary duty of care as a director. The duty of care generally requires that, when acting on behalf of the |
| corporation, directors exercise an informed business judgment based on all material information reasonably available to them. Consequently, a |
| director will not be personally liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages or breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except for liability |
| for: |

any breach of the director s duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders;

acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

unlawful payments of dividends or unlawful stock repurchases, redemptions or other distributions; or

any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

The limitations of liability do not affect the availability of equitable remedies such as injunctive relief or rescission. If Delaware law is amended to authorize the further elimination or limiting of the liability of a director, then the liability of our directors will be eliminated or limited to the furthest extent permitted by Delaware law as so amended.

Our Certificate of Incorporation allows us to indemnify our officers, directors and other agents to the full extent permitted by Delaware law. Additionally, we purchase insurance on behalf of our executive officers and directors to cover any liabilities arising out of his or her actions in such capacity, regardless of whether Delaware law would permit indemnification, and to provide indemnification in circumstances in which indemnification is otherwise discretionary under Delaware law.

Our Bylaws specify circumstances in which indemnification for our directors and executive officers is mandatory and when we may be required to advance expenses before final disposition of any litigation. At present, there is no pending litigation or

proceeding involving any of our directors, officers or employees in which indemnification is sought, nor are we aware of any threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification.

Insofar as indemnification by us for liabilities arising under the Securities Act, may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act, and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities, other than the payment by us of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding, is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of our counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether indemnification by us is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Our former administrative offices are located in an office leased by Radar Pictures, Inc., a company owned by Frederick W. Field, our Chairman of the Board, pursuant to a month-to-month arrangement. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we paid \$90,000 to Radar Pictures, Inc. as rent and facilities usage expense. The month-to-month arrangement was terminated in March 2006.

On February 28, 2005, we sold our 100% of our interest in ARTISTdirect Records, Inc. to Radar Records, a company owned by Frederick W. Field, our Chairman of the Board of Directors, for \$115,000.

We issued 1,109,032 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase up to 114,985 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.55 per share to WNT07 Holdings, LLC upon the closing of the Acquisition. The managers of WNT07 Holdings, LLC are Eric Pulier and Teymour Boutros-Ghali, both members of our Board of Directors. The shares and warrants were issued as consideration for services provided by Mr. Pulier and Mr. Boutros-Ghali as our consultants in connection with the Acquisition.

Randy Saaf and Octavio Herrera, formerly principals and stockholders of MediaDefender and now both executive officers of our wholly-owned subsidiary MediaDefender, each elected to invest \$2,250,000 in the Sub-debt Financing on the same terms and conditions as the other Sub-debt Financing investors.

During fiscal 2005, we completed the implementation of a new business initiative to create global awareness of the ARTISTdirect brand and increase traffic to our web-site. Through relationships previously developed by our senior management in the music and entertainment industry, we began to develop opportunities for major corporations to sponsor cause-based programs in various parts of the world in conjunction with music and entertainment celebrities. During the year ended December 31, 2005, we received a fee advance of \$35,000 from an international non-profit organization with respect to the first such project. This fee advance was budgeted to fund initial planning and oversight and accordingly, one-half was paid to Jonathan V. Diamond, our Chief Executive Officer, and one-half was paid to Nicholas Turner, our Executive Vice President, as a co-producer s fee.

CHANGE IN ACCOUNTANTS

Effective February 6, 2004, we dismissed KPMG LLP (KPMG) as our independent accountant. The dismissal of KPMG was recommended by our then established Audit Committee and approved by our Board of Directors.

KPMG s reports on our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles, except that KPMG s report, dated February 14, 2003, for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 contained an explanatory paragraph stating that the Company has incurred substantial operating losses and negative cash flows from operations to date and has funding commitments related to its record label joint venture. The Company needs additional capital to fund its operations and the operations of the record label joint venture. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company s ability to continue as a going concern. Management s plans in regard to these matters are also described in note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002, and the period from January 1, 2003 through February 6, 2004, there were no disagreements with KPMG on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of KPMG, would have caused KPMG to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreements in connection with its reports on the our financial statements. In addition, none of the events described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K occurred during such periods.

Effective February 17, 2004, we retained Gumbiner Savett Inc. (GS) as our independent accountant. The retention of GS was recommended by our then established Audit Committee and approved by our Board of Directors.

During the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, and the subsequent interim period from January 1, 2004 through February 16, 2004, we did not, nor did anyone on our behalf, consult with GS regarding either: (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed; or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on our financial statements, and no written report or oral advice was provided that GS concluded was an important factor considered by us in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement as defined at Item 304(a)(1)(iv) or a reportable event as defined at Item 304 (a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

This prospectus relates to the resale from time to time of up to a total of 37,547,355 shares of common stock by the selling stockholders, comprising:

20,297,097 shares of our common stock underlying convertible promissory notes that were issued to certain of the selling stockholders pursuant to transactions exempt from registration under the Securities Act;

1,830,429 shares of common stock that may be issued as interest shares pursuant to the convertible promissory notes that were issued to certain of the selling stockholders pursuant to an exemption from registration under the Securities Act;

6,601,209 shares of common stock underlying warrants that were issued to certain of the selling stockholders and our private placement agents pursuant to transactions exempt from registration under the Securities Act;

in accordance with our contractual obligations, up to an additional 8,618,620 shares issuable upon conversion of the convertible promissory notes and upon exercise of the above-referenced warrants; and

200,000 shares of our common stock underlying a warrant issued to a selling stockholder pursuant to certain contractual obligations.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the selling stockholders and the shares offered by them in this prospectus. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a selling stockholder in the second column and the percentage of ownership of that selling stockholder in the third column, shares of common stock underlying shares of convertible preferred stock, options or warrants held by that selling stockholder that are convertible or exercisable, as the case may be, within 60 days of December 1, 2005 are included, in each case without regard to any limitations on conversion or exercise. Those shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other selling stockholder. Each selling stockholder s percentage of ownership in the following table is based upon 4,611,149 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 1, 2005. We have elected to use December 1, 2005 for purposes of selling stockholder calculations only since the offering initially commenced on December 9, 2005.

In accordance with the terms of registration rights agreements with the selling stockholders, this prospectus generally covers the resale of at least 130% of the sum of (i) the number of shares of common stock issuable as interest on the convertible promissory notes held by certain of the selling stockholders, (ii) the number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible notes held by certain of the selling stockholders, and (iii) the number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the related warrants issued to certain of the selling stockholders. Because the conversion price of the convertible promissory notes, the interest payable on the convertible promissory notes and the exercise price of the warrants may be adjusted, the number of shares that will actually be issued may be more or less than the number of shares being offered by this prospectus. The fourth column assumes the sale of all of the shares offered by the selling stockholders pursuant to this prospectus.

Under the terms of the convertible promissory notes and the warrants, certain selling shareholders may not convert the convertible notes or exercise the warrants to the extent such conversion or exercise would cause such selling stockholder, together with its affiliates, to beneficially own a number of shares of common stock which would exceed 4.99% or 9.99%, as specified in the footnotes to the table below of our then outstanding shares of common stock following such conversion or exercise, excluding for purposes of such determination shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible notes which have not been converted and upon exercise of the warrants which have not been exercised. The number of shares in the second column does not reflect this limitation.

Except as described below, none of the selling stockholders within the past three years has had any material relationship with us or any of our affiliates:

CCM Master Qualified Fund, Ltd. (CCM)- CCM purchased a \$13,000,000 convertible promissory note in the Sub-debt Financing and received a warrant to purchase up to 691,935 shares of our common stock in accordance with the terms of the Sub-debt Financing documents. CCM also purchased a \$2,000,000 promissory note in the Senior Financing and received a warrant to purchase up to 433,333 shares of our common stock in accordance with the terms of the Senior Financing documents. CCM may not convert or exercise, as applicable, any portion of the (i) Sub-debt Financing promissory note, (ii) the Sub-debt Financing warrant or the (iii) Senior Financing warrant if such conversion or exercise would cause CCM to hold more than 9.99% of our outstanding common stock. CCM is affiliated with Coghill Capital Management, L.L.C., which currently holds 4.2% of our outstanding common stock.

Randy Saaf, Chief Executive Officer of MediaDefender- Mr. Saaf purchased a \$2,250,000 convertible promissory note in the Sub-debt Financing and received a warrant to purchase up to 119,758 shares of our common stock in accordance with the terms of the Sub-debt Financing documents.

Octavio Herrera, President of MediaDefender- Mr. Herrera purchased a \$2,250,000 convertible promissory note in the Sub-debt Financing and received a warrant to purchase up to 119,758 shares of our common stock in accordance with the terms of the Sub-debt Financing documents.

For additional information, refer to Management-Beneficial Ownership above.

The term selling stockholders also includes any transferees, pledges, donees, or other successors in interest to the selling stockholders named in the table below. To our knowledge, subject to applicable community property laws, each individual named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock set forth opposite such person s name.

| Selling Stockholder | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to Offering** | Percentage of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to the Offering (1) | Number of Shares of Common Stock Registered for Sale Hereby (2) | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned After Completion of the Offering (3) | Percentage of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned After Completion of the Offering |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| CCM Master Qualified Fund Ltd.(4) c/o Coghill Capital Management, LLC Management, LLC One North Wacker Drive Suite 4350 Chicago, IL 60606 | 10,566,393 (23) | 9.9(24) | 13,349,347(4) | 288,165(23) | * |
| DKR SoundShore Oasis Holding Fund Ltd.(5) 1281 East Main Street 3rd Floor Stamford, CT 06902-3565 | 6,052,551 | 4.9(25) | 7,868,316(5) | 0 | * |
| JLF Offshore Fund, Ltd.(6) c/o JFL Asset Management, LLC 2775 Via de la Valle Suite 204 Del Mar, CA 92014 | 652,919 | 9.9(24) | 848,795(6) | 0 | * |
| JLF Partners I, LP(7) c/o JFL Asset Management, LLC 2775 Via de la Valle Suite 204 Del Mar, CA 92014 | 448,645 | 8.8(24) | 583,239(7) | 0 | * |
| JLF Partners II, LP(8) c/o JFL Asset Management, LLC 2775 Via de la Valle Suite 204 Del Mar, CA 92014 | 33,289 | *(24) | 43,276(8) | 0 | * |
| Randy Saaf(9) 13428 Maxella Ave. #728 Marina del Rey, CA 90292 | 1,730,852 | 27.3 | 2,212,964(9) | 200,000(26) | * |
| Octavio Herrera(10) 13428 Maxella Ave. #728 Marina del Rey, CA 90292 | 1,730,852 | 27.3 | 2,212,964(10) | 200,000(26) | * |
| Michael Rapp(11) 33 Union | 1,958,468 | 4.9(25) | 2,359,676(11) | 143,333 | * |

| Square West Apt. 6F New York, NY 10003 | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-------------|---|---|
| Philip Wagenheim(12) 245 East 87th Street New York, NY 10128 | 259,304 | 4.9(25) | 337,096(12) | 0 | * |
| Karl Brenza (13) 26 Cherry Street Katonah, NY 10536 | 103,981 | 2.2(25) | 135,175(13) | 0 | * |
| | | 54 | , | | |

| Selling Stockholder Jeffrey Meshel(14) | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to Offering** | Percentage of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to the Offering (1) | Number of Shares of Common Stock Registered for Sale Hereby (2) | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned After Completion of the Offering (3) | Percentage of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned After Completion of the Offering |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 245 East 63 rd Street Apt. 215 New York, New York 10022 | 129,653 | 2.7(25) | 168,549(14) | 0 | * |
| Cliff Chapman(15) 805 Third Avenue 15th Floor New York, | 22.00 | 1000 | 207.022.47 | | |
| NY 10022 Longview Fund, | 236,094 | 4.9(25) | 306,922(15) | 0 | * |
| L.P.(16) c/o Viking Asset Management, LLC Longview Family of Funds 600 Montgomery Street 44th Floor Transamerica Pyramid San Francisco, CA 94111 | 2,080,565 | 4.9(25) | 2,704,734(16) | 0 | * |
| Longview Equity | 2,000,003 | 1.5(23) | 2,701,731(10) | · · | |
| Fund, L.P.(17) c/o Viking Asset Management, LLC Longview Family of Funds 600 Montgomery Street 44th Floor Transamerica Pyramid San Francisco, CA 94111 | 122,942 | 2.6(25) | 159,825(17) | 0 | * |
| Longview International Equity Fund L.P.(18) c/o Viking Asset Management, LLC Longview Family of Funds 600 Montgomery Street 44th Floor Transamerica Pyramid San Francisco, CA | | | | | |
| 94111 | 66,200 | 1.4(25) | 86,059(18) | 0 | * |
| JMB Capital Partners, L.P.(19) 1999 Avenue of the Stars Suite 2040 Los Angeles, CA | 21///2 | 22.0 | | | |
| 90067 | 2,166,667 | 32.0 | 2,816,667(19) | 0 | * |

| JMG Capital Partners, LP(20) c/o JMG Capital Management, LLC 11601 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 2180 Los Angeles, CA 90025 | 325,000 | 6.6 | 422,500(20) | 0 | * |
|---|---------|-----|--------------|---|---|
| JMG Triton Offshore Fund Ltd.(21) c/o JMG Capital Management, LLC 11601 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 2180 Los Angeles, CA 90025 | 325,000 | 6.6 | 422,500(21) | 0 | * |
| | | 55 | <i>,</i> (e) | | |

| Selling Stockholder | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to Offering** | Percentage of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to the Offering (1) | Number of Shares of Common Stock Registered for Sale Hereby (2)(28) | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned After Completion of the Offering (3) | Percentage of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned After Completion of the Offering |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Libra FE, LP(22) c/o Libra Securities, LLC 11766 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 870 Los Angeles, CA 90025 | 237,500 | 4.9 | 308,750(22) | 0 | * |
| 5670 Wilshire L.P. (27) 5670 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036 | 200,000 | 4.2 | 200,000(27) | 0 | * |

^{*} Indicates less than 1.0%.

- ** This offering initially commenced on December 9, 2005. See also footnote (2).
- Percentages are based on 4,611,149 shares of our common stock outstanding and takes into account only that portion of the selling stockholder s holdings that are convertible and/or exercisable within 60 days of December 1, 2005.
- Pursuant to registration rights agreements with the selling stockholders, we are required to register and to include in this prospectus 130% of the number of shares of common stock into which the convertible promissory notes, interest shares underlying the convertible promissory notes and warrants held by the selling stockholders may be converted or exercised. The shares of common stock registered for sale hereby have previously been registered for sale under the registration statement on Form SB-2 filed with the SEC on December 6, 2005 and declared effective on December 9, 2005.
- Represents the amount of shares that will be held by the selling stockholders after completion of this offering based on the assumption that all shares of common stock registered for sale hereby will be sold. However, the selling stockholders may offer all, some or none of the shares of common stock pursuant to this prospectus, and to our knowledge there are currently no agreements, arrangements or understanding with respect to the sale of any of the shares that may be held by the selling stockholders after completion of this offering.
- Includes 9,143,460 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 1,125,268 shares of common stock underlying warrants. Clint D. Coghill exercises voting and investment

control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).

- Includes 5,626,745 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 425,806 shares of common stock underlying warrants. DKR SoundShore Oasis Holding Fund Ltd. (the Fund) is a master fund in a master-feeder structure. The Fund s investment manager is DKR Oasis Management Company LP (the Investment Manager). Pursuant to an investment management agreement among the Fund, the feeder funds and the Investment Manager, the Investment Manager has the authority to do any and all acts on behalf of the Fund, including voting any shares held by the Fund. Mr. Seth Fischer is the managing partner of Oasis Management Holdings LLC, one of the general partners of the Investment Manager. Mr. Fischer has ultimate responsibility for trading with respect to the Fund. Mr. Fischer disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- Includes 606,985 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 45,934 shares of common stock underlying warrants. Jeff Feinberg exercises voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- Includes 417,082 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 31,563 shares of common stock underlying warrants. Jeff Feinberg exercises voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- (8) Includes 30,947 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 2,342 shares of common stock underlying warrants. Jeff Feinberg exercises voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).

- (9) Includes 1,582,522 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 119,758 shares of common stock underlying warrants. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2). See also footnote (11) in the Beneficial Ownership table above.
- Includes 1,582,522 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 119,758 shares of common stock underlying warrants. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2). See also footnote (11) in the Beneficial Ownership table above.
- Includes 753,280 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 1,061,855 shares of common stock underlying warrants. This selling stockholder is an affiliate of a broker-dealer, but received the securities in the ordinary course of business and at the time of receipt of the securities to be resold did not have any agreements or understandings, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the securities. See also footnote (2).
- Includes 107,611 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 151,693 shares of common stock underlying warrants. This selling stockholder is an affiliate of a broker-dealer, but received the securities in the ordinary course of business and at the time of receipt of the securities to be resold did not have any agreements or understandings, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the securities. See also footnote (2).
- Includes 28,134 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 75,847 shares of common stock underlying warrants. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- Includes 53,806 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 75,847 shares of common stock underlying warrants. This selling stockholder is an affiliate of a broker-dealer, but received the securities in the ordinary course of business and at the time of receipt of the securities to be resold did not have any agreements or understandings, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the securities. See also footnote (2).
- Includes 84,401 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 151,693 shares of common stock underlying warrants. This selling stockholder is an affiliate of a broker-dealer, but received the securities in the ordinary course of business and at the time of receipt of the securities to be resold did not have any agreements or understandings, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the securities. See also footnote (2).

- Includes 1,934,194 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 146,371 shares of common stock underlying warrants. Peter T. Benz exercises voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- Includes 114,293 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 8,649 shares of common stock underlying warrants. Wayne H. Coleson exercises voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- Includes 61,543 shares of common stock underlying convertible promissory notes (including interest shares) and 4,657 shares of common stock underlying warrants. Wayne H. Coleson exercises voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- (19) Consists of shares of common stock underlying warrants. Jonathan M. Brooks exercises voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- California limited partnership. Its general partner is JMG Capital Management, LLC (the Manager), a Delaware limited liability company and an investment advisor that has voting and dispositive power over JMG Partners investments, including the registrable securities. The equity interests of the Manager are owned by JMG Capital Management, Inc. (JMG Capital), a California corporation, and Asset Alliance Holding Corp., a Delaware corporation. Jonathan M. Glaser is the Executive Officer and Director of JMG Capital and has sole investment discretion over JMG Partners portfolio holdings. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).

- Consists of shares of common stock underlying warrants. JMG Triton Offshore Fund, Ltd. (the Fund) is an international business company organized under the laws of the British Virgin Islands. The Fund s investment manager is Pacific Assets Management LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the Manager) that has voting and dispositive power over the Fund s investments, including the registrable securities. The equity interests of the Manager are owned by Pacific Capital Management, Inc., a California corporation (Pacific) and Asset Alliance Holding Corp., a Delaware corporation. The equity interests of Pacific are owned by Messrs. Roger Richter, Jonathan M. Glaser and Daniel A. David. Messrs. Glaser and Richter have sole investment discretion over the Fund s portfolio holdings. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer. See also footnote (2).
- Consists of shares of common stock underlying warrants. Jess M. Ravich exercises voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is an affiliate of a broker-dealer, but received the securities in the ordinary course of business and at the time of receipt of the securities to be resold did not have any agreements or understandings, directly or indirectly, with any person to distribute the securities. See also footnote (2).
- (23) Includes 288,165 shares of common stock held by Coghill Capital Management, L.L.C.
- The selling stockholder is contractually limited to less than 9.99% ownership of our outstanding common stock.
- This selling stockholder is contractually limited to less than 4.99% ownership of our outstanding common stock.
- Consists entirely of stock options issued on July 28, 2005, which vest at the rate of 25% per year. Only 28,572 options were vested and exercisable with 60 days of December 1, 2005. Therefore, only 28,572 shares are included in the column entitled Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to Offering.
- Consists of shares of common stock underlying a warrant issued pursuant to a settlement agreement dated as of September 8, 2003. The warrant contains certain piggyback registration rights, which the selling stockholder has elected to exercise. Jerome H. Snyder, Lon J. Synder and Warren Breslow exercise voting and investment control with respect to the shares of common stock held by this selling stockholder. This selling stockholder is not a broker-dealer or an affiliate of a broker-dealer.
- Subsequent to December 9, 2005 and until April 12, 2006, selling stockholders have converted an aggregate of \$3,661,220 worth of convertible promissory notes.

We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares by the selling stockholders, but we will receive funds from the exercise of warrants held by the selling stockholders, if exercised. We have agreed to bear expenses incurred by the selling stockholders that relate to the registration of the shares being offered and sold by the selling stockholders, including the SEC registration fee and legal, accounting, printing and other expenses of this offering.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

| Common Stock |
|--|
| We are authorized to issue up to 60,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of which 6,921,457 were issued and outstanding as of April 1, 2006. Each outstanding share of common stock is entitled to one vote, either in person or by proxy, on all matters that may be voted upon by their holders at meetings of the stockholders. Holders of our common stock (i) have equal ratable rights to dividends from funds legally available therefore, if declared by the Board of Directors; (ii) are entitled to share ratably in all of our assets available for distribution upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up; and (iii) do not have preemptive, subscription or conversion rights or redemption or sinking fund provisions. All issued shares of our common stock are fully paid for and non-assessable. |
| Preferred Stock |
| We are authorized to issue 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, none of which were issued and outstanding as of April 1, 2006. The preferred stock may be issued in one or more series and our Board of Directors, without further approval from our stockholders, is authorized to fix the dividend rights and terms, conversion rights, voting rights, redemption rights, liquidation preferences and other rights and restrictions relating to any series. Issuances of preferred stock, while providing flexibility in connection with possible financings, acquisitions and other corporate purposes, could, among other things, adversely affect the voting power of the holders of our common stock. |
| Stock Options |
| As of April 1, 2006, there were outstanding stock options to purchase 980,624 shares of our common stock pursuant to the 1999 Option Plans at a weighted average exercise price of \$9.98 per share, 47,406 have been exercised and an additional 1,320,137 shares reserved for future grant under this stock option plan. There were also outstanding stock options to purchase 4,087,127 shares of our Common Stock outside of the 1999 Option Plans at a weighted average exercise price of \$1.90 per share. |
| Warrants |
| As of April 1, 2006, there were outstanding warrants to purchase 255,967 shares of our common stock with exercise prices ranging from \$0.50-\$40.00 per share, not including the warrants to purchase up to 6,716,194 shares of common stock with exercise prices ranging from \$1.43-\$2.00 per share issued in connection with the July 2005 private placement (included as part of transaction fees). |
| Delaware Anti-Takeover Law and Charter and Bylaw Provisions |

| Certain provisions of Delaware law and our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws could make more difficult the acquisition of us by means of a tender offer, a proxy contest, or otherwise, and the removal of incumbent officers and directors. These provisions are expected to discourage certain types of coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids and to encourage persons seeking to acquire control of us. |
|---|
| Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws include provisions that: |
| allow the Board of Directors to issue, without further action by the stockholders, up to 5,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock; |
| require that special meetings of our stockholders be called only by the Board of Directors or the Chairman of the Board; and |
| establish an advance notice procedure for stockholder proposals to be brought before an annual meeting of stockholders. |

We are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. This provision generally prohibits a Delaware corporation from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years following the date the stockholder became an interested stockholder, unless:

prior to such date, the Board of Directors approved either the business combination or the transaction that resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder;

upon consummation of the transaction that resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction commenced, excluding for purposes of determining the number of shares outstanding those shares owned by persons who are directors and also officers and by employee stock plans in which employee participants do not have the right to determine confidentially whether shares held subject to the plan will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer; or

on or subsequent to such date, the business combination is approved by the Board of Directors and authorized at an annual meeting or special meeting of stockholders and not by written consent, by the affirmative vote of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding voting stock that is not owned by the interested stockholder.

Section 203 defines a business combination to include:

any merger or consolidation involving the corporation and the interested stockholder;

any sale, transfer, pledge or other disposition of 10% or more of the assets of the corporation involving the interested stockholder;

subject to certain exceptions, any transaction that results in the issuance or transfer by the corporation of any stock of the corporation to the interested stockholder;

any transaction involving the corporation that has the effect of increasing the proportionate share of the stock of any class or series of the corporation beneficially owned by the interested stockholder; or

the receipt by the interested stockholder of the benefit of any loans, advances, guarantees, pledges or other financial benefits provided by or through the corporation.

| In general, Section 203 defines an | interested stockholder | as any entity or person benefi | cially owning 15% or more | of the outstanding voting |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| stock of a corporation, or an affiliat | e or associate of the cor | poration and was the owner of | 15% or more of the outstar | ding voting stock of a |
| corporation at any time within three | years prior to the time | of determination of interested | stockholder status; and any | entity or person affiliated |
| with or controlling or controlled by | such entity or person. | | | |

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent for our common stock is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, located at 59 Maiden Lane, New York, New York 10038.

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

As of April 1, 2006, we had outstanding 6,921,457 shares of common stock.

Rule 144

Except for shares held by any of our affiliates, all of the shares registered in this offering will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act. If shares were purchased by our affiliates, as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act, their sales of shares would be governed by the limitations and restrictions that are described below.

60

In general, under Rule 144 as currently in effect, a person (or persons whose shares are aggregated) who has beneficially owned shares of our common stock for at least one year, including any person who may be deemed to be an affiliate (as the term affiliate is defined under the Securities Act), would be entitled to sell, within any three-month period, a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of:

1% of the number of shares of common stock then outstanding, which as of April 1, 2006 would equal approximately 69,215; or

the average weekly trading volume of our common stock during the four calendar weeks preceding the filing of a notice on Form 144 with respect to such sale.

Sales under Rule 144 are also governed by other requirements regarding the manner of sale, notice filing and the availability of current public information about us. Under Rule 144, however, a person who is not, and for the three months prior to the sale of such shares has not been, an affiliate of the issuer is free to sell shares that are restricted securities which have been held for at least two years without regard to the limitations contained in Rule 144. The selling stockholders will not be governed by the foregoing restrictions when selling their shares pursuant to this prospectus.

Rule 144(k)

Under Rule 144(k), a person who is not deemed to have been one of our affiliates at any time during the three months preceding a sale, and who has beneficially owned the shares proposed to be sold for at least two years, including the holding period of any prior owner other than an affiliate, is entitled to sell such shares without complying with the manner of sale, notice filing, volume limitation or notice provisions of Rule 144.

Resale of Shares Underlying Stock Options and Warrants

The 1999 Option Plans provide for the grant of stock options for 2,348,167 shares of common stock, of which as of April 1, 2006 options to purchase 980,624 shares were outstanding, 47,406 have been exercised and options to purchase 1,320,137 shares remained available for grant. There are an additional 4,087,127 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options issued outside of the 1999 Option Plans.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

We are registering the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible notes, upon exercise of the warrants and as interest on the convertible notes to permit the resale of these shares of common stock by the holders of the convertible notes and warrants from time to time after the date of this prospectus. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale by the selling stockholders of the shares of common stock. We will bear all fees and expenses incident to our obligation to register the shares of common stock as described in the registration rights agreements dated July 28, 2005, and as amended.

The selling stockholders may sell all or a portion of the shares of common stock beneficially owned by them and offered hereby from time to time directly or through one or more underwriters, broker-dealers or agents. If the shares of common stock are sold through underwriters or broker-dealers, the selling shareholders will be responsible for underwriting discounts or commissions or agent s commissions. The shares of common stock may be sold in one or more transactions at fixed prices, at prevailing market prices at the time of the sale, at varying prices determined at the time of sale, or at negotiated prices. These sales may be effected in transactions, which may involve crosses or block transactions.

| | on any national securities exchange or quotation service on which the securities may be listed or quoted at the |
|---------|---|
| time of | f sale; |
| | |
| | |
| | in the over-the-counter market; |

in transactions otherwise than on these exchanges or systems or in the over-the-counter market;

through the writing of options, whether such options are listed on an options exchange or otherwise;

ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers;

block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;

purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;

an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;

| j | privately negotiated transactions; |
|--|---|
| ; | short sales; |
| ; | sales pursuant to Rule 144; |
| price per | broker-dealers may agree with the selling stockholders to sell a specified number of such shares at a stipulated r share; |
| ; | a combination of any such methods of sale; and |
| ; | any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law. |
| underwrite stockholde principal (customary | ing stockholders effect such transactions by selling shares of common stock to or through underwriters, broker-dealers or agents, such ears, broker-dealers or agents may receive commissions in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling ears or commissions from purchasers of the shares of common stock for whom they may act as agent or to whom they may sell as which discounts, concessions or commissions as to particular underwriters, broker-dealers or agents may be in excess of those in the types of transactions involved). In connection with sales of the shares of common stock or otherwise, the selling stockholders into hedging transactions with broker-dealers, which may in turn |
| | 62 |

engage in short sales of the shares of common stock in the course of hedging in positions they assume. The selling stockholders may also sell shares of common stock short and deliver shares of common stock covered by this prospectus to close out short positions and to return borrowed shares in connection with such short sales. The selling stockholders may also loan or pledge shares of common stock to broker-dealers who in turn may sell such shares.

The selling stockholders may pledge or grant a security interest in some or all of the convertible notes, warrants or shares of common stock owned by them and, if they default in the performance of their secured obligations, the pledgees or secured parties may offer and sell the shares of common stock from time to time pursuant to this prospectus or any amendment to this prospectus under Rule 424(b)(3) or other applicable provision of the Securities Act, amending, if necessary, the list of selling stockholders to include the pledgee, transferee or other successors in interest as selling stockholders under this prospectus. The selling stockholders also may transfer and donate the shares of common stock in other circumstances in which case the transferees, donees, pledgees or other successors in interest will be the selling beneficial owners for purposes of this prospectus.

The selling stockholders and any broker-dealer participating in the distribution of the shares of common stock may be deemed to be underwriters within the meaning of the Securities Act, and any commission paid, or any discounts or concessions allowed to, any such broker-dealer may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act. At the time a particular offering of the shares of common stock is made, a prospectus supplement, if required, will be distributed which will set forth the aggregate amount of shares of common stock being offered and the terms of the offering, including the name or names of any broker-dealers or agents, any discounts, commissions and other terms constituting compensation from the selling stockholders and any discounts, commissions or concessions allowed or reallowed or paid to broker-dealers.

Under the securities laws of some states, the shares of common stock may be sold in such states only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers. In addition, in some states the shares of common stock may not be sold unless such shares have been registered or qualified for sale in such state or an exemption from registration or qualification is available and is complied with.

There can be no assurance that any selling stockholder will sell any or all of the shares of common stock registered pursuant to the shelf registration statement, of which this prospectus forms a part.

The selling stockholders and any other person participating in such distribution will be subject to applicable provisions of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder, including, without limitation, Regulation M of the Exchange Act, which may limit the timing of purchases and sales of any of the shares of common stock by the selling stockholders and any other participating person. Regulation M may also restrict the ability of any person engaged in the distribution of the shares of common stock to engage in market-making activities with respect to the shares of common stock. All of the foregoing may affect the marketability of the shares of common stock and the ability of any person or entity to engage in market-making activities with respect to the shares of common stock.

We will pay all expenses of the registration of the shares of common stock pursuant to the registration rights agreements, estimated to be \$75,000 in total, including, without limitation, SEC filing fees and expenses of compliance with state securities or blue sky laws; provided, however, that a selling shareholder will pay all underwriting discounts and selling commissions, if any. We will indemnify the selling stockholders against liabilities, including some liabilities under the Securities Act, in accordance with the registration rights agreements, or the selling stockholders will be entitled to contribution. We may be indemnified by the selling stockholders against civil liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act, that may arise from any written information furnished to us by the selling stockholder specifically for use in this prospectus, in accordance with the related registration rights agreements, or we may be entitled to contribution.

Once sold under the shelf registration statement, of which this prospectus forms a part, the shares of common stock will be freely tradable in the hands of persons other than our affiliates, as that term is defined in the Securities Act.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the common stock offered by this prospectus has been passed upon for us by Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP, Los Angeles, California.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of ARTISTdirect, Inc. at December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for each of the years then ended and of MediaDefender, Inc. at December 31, 2004 and for the year then ended, appearing in this prospectus and registration statement have been audited by Gumbiner Savett Inc., independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their reports thereon appearing elsewhere herein, and are included in reliance upon such reports given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form SB-2 under the Securities Act for the shares of common stock in this offering. This prospectus does not contain all of the information in the registration statement and the exhibits and schedule that were filed with the registration statement. For further information with respect to us and our common stock, we refer you to the registration statement and the exhibits and schedule that were filed with the registration statement. Statements contained in this prospectus about the contents of any contract or any other document that is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement are not necessarily complete, and we refer you to the full text of the contract or other document filed as an exhibit to the registration statement. A copy of the registration statement and the exhibits and schedules that were filed with the registration statement may be inspected without charge at the Public Reference Room maintained by the SEC at Room 1580, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549, and copies of all or any part of the registration statement may be obtained from the SEC upon payment of the prescribed fee. Information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a web-site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the SEC. The address of the site is www.sec.gov.

We are subject to the information and periodic reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, and in accordance with the Exchange Act, we file annual, quarterly and special reports, and other information with the SEC. These periodic reports, and other information are available for inspection and copying at the regional offices, public reference facilities and web-site of the SEC referred to above.

64

ARTISTDIRECT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND 2005

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm:

Gumbiner Savett Inc.

Consolidated Financial Statements:

Balance Sheets December 31, 2004 and 2005

Statements of Operations Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2005

Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2005
Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity (Deficiency) Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2005

Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2005

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2005

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

| To the Board of Directors and Stockholders |
|--|
| ARTISTdirect, Inc. |
| We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ARTISTdirect, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2004 and 2005 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders equity (deficiency) and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. |
| We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. |
| In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of ARTISTdirect, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2005, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. |
| /s/ GUMBINER SAVETT INC. Santa Monica, California March 30, 2006, except for Notes 9 and 23, as to which the date is April 7, 2006 |
| F-2 |
| |

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(amounts in thousands, except for share data)

| | 20 | | ber 31, | 2005 | |
|---|----|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | 20 | • | | 2002 | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 1,156 | \$ | 3,102 | |
| Restricted cash | | 175 | | 359 | |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 751 | | 3,667 | |
| Income taxes refundable | | | | 1,211 | |
| Finished goods inventory, net | | 138 | | 303 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 58 | | 85 | |
| Assets of discontinued operations | | 16 | | | |
| Total current assets | | 2,294 | | 8,727 | |
| | | | | | |
| Property and equipment | | 9,441 | | 3,120 | |
| Less accumulated depreciation | | (9,342) | | (1,175) | |
| Property and equipment, net | | 99 | | 1,945 | |
| | | | | | |
| Other assets: | | | | | |
| Intangible assets: | | | | | |
| Customer relationships, net | | | | 1,950 | |
| Proprietary technology, net | | | | 6,546 | |
| Non-compete agreements, net | | | | 1,191 | |
| Goodwill | | | | 31,085 | |
| Total intangible assets, net | | | | 40,772 | |
| Deferred financing costs, net | | | | 3,063 | |
| Deposits | | 20 | | 25 | |
| Total other assets | | 20 | | 43,860 | |
| | \$ | 2,413 | \$ | 54,532 | |

(continued)

F-3

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets (continued)

(amounts in thousands, except for share data)

| | | December 2004 | per 31, | 2005 |
|--|----|---------------|---------|-----------|
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity (Deficiency) | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 538 | \$ | 911 |
| Accrued expenses | * | 1,274 | • | 1.923 |
| Accrued interest payable | | -, | | 607 |
| Current portion of senior secured notes payable | | | | 390 |
| Deferred revenue | | | | 377 |
| Warrant liability | | | | 3,260 |
| Guaranteed payments to MediaDefender management | | | | 1,050 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | | 18,920 | | 149 |
| Total current liabilities | | 20,732 | | 8,667 |
| | | - , | | 2,223 |
| Long-term liabilities: | | | | |
| Senior secured notes payable, net of discount of \$1,245, less current | | | | |
| portion | | | | 13,365 |
| Subordinated convertible notes payable, net of discount of \$774 | | | | 30,300 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | 264 |
| Total long-term liabilities | | | | 43,929 |
| | | | | |
| Minority interest discontinued operations | | 1,940 | | |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | |
| Stockholders equity (deficiency): | | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value - | | | | |
| Authorized - 5,000,000 shares | | | | |
| Issued and outstanding none | | | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value - | | | | |
| Authorized 60,000,000 shares | | | | |
| Issued and Outstanding 4,861,149 shares at December 31, 2005 and | | | | |
| 3,502,117 shares at December 31, 2004 | | 38 | | 49 |
| Treasury stock, 0 shares at December 31, 2005 and 322,902 shares at | | | | |
| December 31, 2004, at cost | | (3,442) | | |
| Additional paid-in-capital | | 209,135 | | 207,832 |
| Deferred compensation | | | | (19) |
| Accumulated deficit | | (225,990) | | (205,926) |
| Total stockholders equity (deficiency) | | (20,259) | | 1,936 |
| | \$ | 2,413 | \$ | 54,532 |

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(amounts in thousands, except for share data)

| | | Years Ended December 31, | | r 31, |
|---|----|--------------------------|----|---------|
| | 2 | 2004 | | 2005 |
| Net revenue: | | | | |
| E-commerce | \$ | 2,994 | \$ | 2,665 |
| Media | Ψ | 2,149 | Ψ | 5,297 |
| Anti-piracy services | | 2,117 | | 6,009 |
| Total net revenue | | 5,143 | | 13,971 |
| Total lict revenue | | 3,113 | | 13,571 |
| Cost of revenue: | | | | |
| E-Commerce | | 2,631 | | 2,400 |
| Media | | 1,144 | | 2,745 |
| Anti-piracy services | | | | 2,659 |
| Total cost of revenue | | 3,775 | | 7,804 |
| Gross profit | | 1,368 | | 6,167 |
| | | | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | | 167 | | 527 |
| General and administrative | | 2,676 | | 4,065 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | 110 | | 105 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 7 | | 73 |
| Total operating costs | | 2,960 | | 4,770 |
| Income (loss) from operations | | (1,592) | | 1,397 |
| | | | | |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | | 29 | | 29 |
| Interest expense | | | | (1,517) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | | | (373) |
| Write-off of unamortized discount on debt and deferred financing costs due to | | | | |
| conversion of subordinated convertible notes payable | | | | (39) |
| Gain from sale of tradename | | 500 | | |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes | | (1,063) | | (503) |
| Provision for income taxes | | | | 241 |
| Loss from continuing operations | | (1,063) | | (744) |
| | | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income (loss) from operations: | | | | |
| ARTISTdirect Records, LLC | | (2,111) | | (271) |
| iMusic record label | | (137) | | |
| Gain from sale of interest in ARTISTdirect Records, LLC | | | | |
| (substantially all non-cash) | | | | 21,079 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | | (2,248) | | 20,808 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | (3,311) | \$ | 20,064 |
| Net income (loss) per common share - basic: | | | | |
| From continuing operations | \$ | (0.30) | \$ | (0.19) |
| From discontinued operations | - | (0.64) | | 5.25 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | (0.94) | \$ | 5.06 |
| | - | (*** ') | | 2.00 |
| | | | | |

Net income (loss) per common share - diluted:

| From continuing operations | \$ (0.30) | \$ (0.01) |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| From discontinued operations | (0.64) | 1.46 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (0.94) | \$ 1.45 |
| | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 3,502,117 | 3,969,145 |
| Diluted | 3,502,117 | 14,264,852 |

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(amounts in thousands)

| Years | s Ended Decembe | r 31, |
|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 2004 | | 2005 |

| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,311) | \$ 20,064 |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| Other comprehensive loss: | | |
| Unrealized loss on available for sale securities | (10) | |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (3,321) | \$ 20,064 |

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity (Deficiency)

(amounts in thousands, except for share data)

| | Com | mon Stock | | Treasury | Additional Paid-In |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | Shares | I | Amount | Stock | Capital |
| Balance at December 31, 2003 | 3,502,117 | \$ | 38 | \$ (3,442) \$ | 209,128 |
| Unrealized loss on available for sale securities | | | | | |
| Issuance of warrants as settlement and for services | | | | | |
| rendered | | | | | 7 |
| Net loss | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 3,502,117 | | 38 | (3,442) | 209,135 |
| Stock options issued for consulting services | | | | | 92 |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | | | | | |
| Issuance of shares upon conversion of subordinated | | | | | |
| convertible notes payable | 250,000 | | 3 | | 385 |
| Warrants issued to consultants in conjunction with | | | | | |
| financing of MediaDefender acquisition | | | | | 84 |
| Common stock issued to consultants in conjunction | | | | | |
| with financing of MediaDefender acquisition | 1,109,032 | | 11 | | 1,575 |
| Cancellation of outstanding shares of treasury stock | | | (3) | 3,442 | (3,439) |
| Net income | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 4,861,149 | \$ | 49 | \$ \$ | 207,832 |

| Deferred Compensation | Accumulated Deficit | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Available for Sale Securities | Total Stockholders Equity (Deficiency) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| \$ | \$ (222,679) | \$ 10 | \$ (16,945) |
| | | (10) | (10) |
| | | | |
| | | | 7 |
| | (3,311) | | (3,311) |
| | (225,990) | | (20,259) |
| (92) | | | |
| 73 | | | 73 |
| | | | 388 |
| | | | 84 |
| | | | 1,586 |
| | | | |
| | 20,064 | | 20,064 |
| \$ (19) | \$ (205,926) | \$ | \$ 1,936 |
| | Compensation \$ (92) 73 | Compensation Deficit \$ (222,679) (3,311) (225,990) (92) 73 | Deferred Compensation |

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(amounts in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | 31, |
|--|--------------------------|----|----------|
| | 2004 | | 2005 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,311) | \$ | 20,064 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 2,248 | | (20,808) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (1,063) | | (744) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss from continuing operations to net cash | | | |
| provided by (used in) continuing operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 223 | | 2,179 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 110 | | 105 |
| Stock-based compensation | 7 | | 73 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | 42 |
| Change in assets and liabilities, net of effect from acquisition of | | | |
| MediaDefender: | 9 | | |
| (Increase) decrease in - | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (574) | | (510) |
| Finished goods inventory | 34 | | (165) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 59 | | 64 |
| Income taxes refundable | | | (1,211) |
| Deposits | | | (1) |
| Increase (decrease) in - | | | |
| Accounts payable | 323 | | 333 |
| Accrued expenses | 261 | | 410 |
| Accrued interest payable | | | 607 |
| Other assets | (5) | | 220 |
| Deferred revenue | (9) | | 320 |
| Other | (605) | | 22 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) continuing operations | (625) | | 1,524 |
| Net cash used in discontinued operations | (2,848) | | (38) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (3,473) | | 1,486 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (55) | | (303) |
| Proceeds from sale of interest in ARTISTdirect Records, LLC | | | 115 |
| Acquisition of MediaDefender | | | (42,500) |
| Costs incurred in MediaDefender acquisition | | | (1,115) |
| Cash acquired in connection with MediaDefender acquisition, net of | | | |
| adjustments and amount due to ADI | | | 435 |
| Sale/maturity of short-term investments, net | 1,012 | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 957 | | (43,368) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from senior and subordinated debt financings | | | 45,000 |
| Payments for deferred financing costs | | | (1,025) |
| Increase (decrease) in restricted cash | 175 | | (184) |
| Net cash provided by discontinued operations | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of bridge notes by ARTISTdirect Records, | 2,778 | | 37 |
| LLC during the year ended December 31, 2004 and the two months ended | | | |

| February 28, 2005 | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 2,953 | 43,828 |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Net increase | 437 | 1,946 |
| Balance at beginning of year | 719 | 1,156 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 1,156 | \$ 3,102 |
| | | |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for - | | |
| Interest | \$ | \$ 657 |
| Income taxes | \$ | \$ 1,637 |

(continued)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | er 31, |
|---|--------------------------|----|--------|
| | 2004 | | 2005 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Issuance of subordinated convertible note payable to placement agent | \$ | \$ | 1,460 |
| Issuance of warrants to holders of senior secured notes payable | \$ | \$ | 1,398 |
| Issuance of warrants to holders of subordinated convertible notes payable | \$ | \$ | 878 |
| Issuance of common stock to directors as consulting fee in conjunction with | | | |
| MediaDefender acquisition | \$ | \$ | 1,586 |
| Issuance of warrants to directors as consulting fee in conjunction with MediaDefender | | | |
| acquisition | \$ | \$ | 84 |
| Issuance of shares upon conversion by subordinated convertible note payable | \$ | \$ | 388 |
| Issuance of warrants to placement agent for senior secured notes payable | \$ | \$ | 150 |
| Issuance of warrants to placement agent for senior secured notes payable and | | | |
| subordinated convertible notes payable | \$ | \$ | 834 |
| Guaranteed payments to MediaDefender management | | | |
| accounted for as non-compete agreements | \$ | \$ | 1,050 |
| Accrued expenses related to MediaDefender acquisition | \$ | \$ | 160 |
| Assets acquired in MediaDefender transaction, excluding cash | | | |
| and intercompany payable: | | | |
| Assets acquired | \$ | \$ | 4,458 |
| Liabilities assumed | \$ | \$ | 734 |
| Customer relationships | \$ | \$ | 2,264 |
| Proprietary technology | \$ | \$ | 7,602 |
| Goodwill | \$ | \$ | 31,085 |

| ARTISTDIRECT, IN | NC. AND | SUBSIDIARIES |
|------------------|---------|--------------|
|------------------|---------|--------------|

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND 2005

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

ARTISTdirect, Inc., a Delaware corporation (ADI), was formed on October 6, 1999 upon its merger with ARTISTdirect, LLC. The merger was only a change in the form of ownership of ADI. ARTISTdirect, LLC was organized as a California limited liability company and commenced operations on August 8, 1996. ARTISTdirect, LLC has a 99% ownership interest in ARTISTdirect Agency LLC, Kneeling Elephant Records, LLC and ARTISTdirect New Media, LLC, all of which are currently inactive, and has consolidated their results since inception. The Company is headquartered in Santa Monica, California.

On May 31, 2001, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, ARTISTdirect Recordings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (ARTISTdirect Recordings), entered into an agreement to acquire a 50% equity interest in a co-venture with Radar Records Holdings, LLC (Radar Records), an entity owned by Frederick W. (Ted) Field, the Company s Chairman, to form a new record label, ARTISTdirect Records, LLC (ARTISTdirect Records). This transaction became effective as of June 29, 2001.

Effective September 30, 2004, the Company reorganized its operating structure by transferring its media and e-commerce business operations to a wholly-owned subsidiary, ARTISTdirect Internet Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation. This reorganization did not have any effect on the Company s business operations or consolidated financial statements. The Company conducts these business operations through an online music network appealing to music fans, artists and marketing partners. The ARTISTdirect Network (www.artistdirect.com) is a network of web-sites offering multi-media content, music news and information, communities organized around shared music interests, music-related specialty commerce and digital music services.

During December 2004, the Company discontinued the operations of its iMusic record label and has therefore accounted for the operations of iMusic as a discontinued operation for all periods presented (see Note 13).

On February 28, 2005, the Company completed the sale of all of its interest in ARTISTdirect Recordings to Radar Records (see Note 11). In accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets , the Company has determined that it complied with the provisions of SFAS No. 144 at December 31, 2004 with respect to the classification of the operations of ARTISTdirect Records as a discontinued operation. Accordingly, the Company has accounted for its interest in ARTISTdirect Records as a discontinued operation for all periods presented, and the assets and liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records were classified as held for sale through February 28, 2005.

On July 28, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of MediaDefender, Inc., a privately-held Delaware corporation (MediaDefender) (see Note 9). MediaDefender was founded in July 2000 and is headquartered in Marina del Rey, California. This transaction was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, and the operations of the two companies have been consolidated commencing August 1, 2005. MediaDefender is one of the leading providers of anti-piracy solutions in the high-growth Internet-piracy-protection (IPP) industry and offers services designed to thwart Internet piracy and encourage consumers to pay for online content.

On November 7, 2005, the Company filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware a Certificate of Amendment of its Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of its common stock from 15,000,000 shares to 60,000,000 shares.

Unless the context indicates otherwise, ARTISTdirect, Inc. and its subsidiaries are referred to herein as the Company.

F-9

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying financial statements include the consolidated accounts of ADI and its subsidiaries in which it has controlling financial interests. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated for all periods presented. ADI recorded 100% of the losses attributable to ARTISTdirect Records from May 31, 2001 through April 30, 2002 based on ADI s commitment to fund 100% of ARTISTdirect s operations during that period of time. From May 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002, ADI recorded approximately 83% of the losses of ARTISTdirect Records as a result of BMG s equity purchase and from an assumption of a portion of ADI s funding commitment to the record label. For the year ended December 31, 2003, ADI recorded approximately 73% of the losses of ARTISTdirect Records. However, as ADI did not have voting or operational control, even with its majority ownership position in ARTISTdirect Records, through December 31, 2003, ADI accounted for this investment using the equity method of accounting.

In February 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46), which addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. FIN 46 defines when a company should evaluate controlling financial interest, and thus consolidation, based on factors other than voting rights, and requires that a new risks and rewards model be applied in these situations. ADI adopted FIN 46 as of December 31, 2003. As a result of the adoption of FIN 46, the balance sheet of ARTISTdirect Records was consolidated beginning as of December 31, 2003, and the operations of ARTISTdirect Records were consolidated beginning with the year ended December 31, 2004 (see Note 10). There was no change in the operating or business relationship between ADI and ARTISTdirect Records as a result of the adoption of FIN 46.

As a result of the sale of all of the Company s interest in ARTISTdirect Records effective February 28, 2005 (see Note 11), ARTISTdirect Records was accounted for as a discontinued operation for all periods presented, and the assets and liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records were classified as held for sale through February 28, 2005.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, ADI recorded 70% of the losses (after intercompany eliminations) of ARTISTdirect Records. Since Ted Field owned 30% of ARTISTdirect Records, 30% of the losses (after intercompany eliminations) were offset against the minority interest of Ted Field. This minority interest resulted from the beneficial conversion feature related to the Bridge Notes (see Note 12).

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash equivalents consist of investments, which are readily convertible into cash and have maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase.

RESTRICTED CASH

As of December 31, 2004 and 2005, restricted cash consisted of a bank certificate of deposit with balances of \$175,000 and \$179,000, respectively, securing a bank letter of credit provided as security for charge-backs to the Company s e-commerce credit card processor. In addition, at December 31, 2005, the Company had classified \$180,000 of cash as restricted cash as such cash was pledged to secure an irrevocable bank stand-by letter of credit issued in conjunction with the Company s new office lease which commences in February 2006.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The Company grants credit to is customers generally in the form of short-term trade accounts receivable. Accounts receivable are stated at the amount management expects to collect from outstanding balances. When appropriate, management provides for probable uncollectible amounts through a provision for doubtful accounts and an adjustment to a valuation allowance. Management primarily determines the allowance based on the aging of accounts receivable balances, historical write-off experience, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness and current industry and economic trends. Balances that are still outstanding after management has used reasonable

F-10

| 11 | CC , | • | CC (1 1 | 1 | 1 1 .* | 11 | 1 1 | |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|
| collection | efforts are | written o | ff fhrolloh a | charge to t | he valuation | allowance and | d a credit t | o accounts receivable. |
| COHECTION | ciroits are | WIIIICH O | n unougn u | charge to t | iic vaiuation | and wance and | a a creare e | o accounts receivable. |

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Expenditures for major renewal and betterments that extend the useful lives of property and equipment are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. When property and equipment is sold or otherwise disposed of, the assets and related accumulated depreciation accounts are relieved, and any gain or loss is included in operations.

Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets, which is generally three years for computer equipment and software and seven years for furniture and fixtures.

GOODWILL, INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND LONG LIVED ASSETS

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No. 142), requires purchased intangible assets other than goodwill to be amortized over their useful lives unless these lives are determined to be indefinite.

Accordingly, with respect to the MediaDefender transaction, non-compete agreements are being amortized over the life of the respective non-compete agreements, ranging from 20 months to 4 years, and customer relationships and proprietary technology are being amortized over 3 years.

Asset allocations and amortization periods with respect to the MediaDefender transaction were determined by an independent valuation firm.

SFAS No. 142 requires goodwill to be tested for impairment on an annual basis and under certain circumstances, and written down when impaired. An interim impairment test is required if an event occurs or conditions change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below the carrying value.

The Company evaluates the recoverability of goodwill by estimating the future discounted cash flows of the businesses to which the goodwill relates. The Company uses a rate corresponding to its cost of capital, risk adjusted where appropriate, in determining discounted cash flows. Estimated cash flows will be determined by disaggregating the business segments to a reporting level for which meaningful identifiable cash flows can be determined. When estimated future discounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the net assets (tangible and identifiable intangibles) and related goodwill, the Company will perform an impairment test to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. Impairment losses, limited to the carrying value of goodwill, represent the excess of the carrying amount of a reporting unit s goodwill over the implied fair value of that goodwill. In determining the estimated future cash flows, the Company will consider current and projected future levels of income based on management s plans for that business, as well as business trends, prospects and market and economic conditions.

The Company accounts for the impairment of long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and intangible assets, under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS No. 144). SFAS No. 144 establishes the accounting for impairment of long-lived tangible and intangible assets other than goodwill and for the disposal of a business. Pursuant to SFAS No. 144, the Company periodically evaluates, at least annually, whether facts or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of its depreciable assets to be held and used may not be recoverable. If such circumstances are determined to exist, an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows produced by the long-lived asset, or the appropriate grouping of assets, is compared to the carrying value to determine whether impairment exists. In the event that the carrying amount of long-lived assets exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows, then the carrying amount of such assets is adjusted to their fair value. The Company reports an impairment cost as a charge to operations at the time it is recognized.

| 1 | DEFER | BED | FINA | NCIN | G COSTS |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|---------|
| | | | | | |

Deferred financing costs consist of consideration paid to third parties with respect to the acquisition and

F-11

financing of the MediaDefender transaction, including cash payments, subordinated notes payable and the fair value of warrants issued for legal fees and placement agent fees. Such costs are being deferred and amortized over the term of the related debt. Upon conversion of subordinated convertible notes payable into common stock, any related unamortized deferred financing costs are charged to operations.

DISCOUNT ON DEBT

Additional consideration in the form of warrants issued to lenders and placement agents was accounted for at fair value based on a report prepared by an independent valuation firm. The fair value of such warrants was recorded as a reduction to the carrying amount of the debt, and is being amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt, with the offsetting entry being recorded as warrant liability on the balance sheet pursuant to EITF No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company s Own Stock (EITF No. 00-19). The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any material changes in the fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense). Upon conversion of subordinated convertible notes payable into common stock, any related unamortized discount on debt is charged to operations.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company complies with the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements, as amended by SAB No. 104, and recognizes revenue when all four of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; (ii) delivery of the products and/or services has occurred; (iii) the selling price is both fixed and determinable; and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured.

E-commerce revenue consists primarily of the gross amount of sales revenue paid by the customer for recorded music and merchandise sold via the Internet, including shipping fees, and is recognized when the products are shipped. The Company records e-commerce revenue on a gross basis as the Company enters into the sale transactions with customers, establishes the prices of the products, chooses the suppliers of the products, assumes the risk of inventory loss and collects all amounts from the customers and assumes the credit risk. E-commerce revenue is subject to amounts due to the respective artists based on their contracts, and such expense is recorded as part of cost of e-commerce revenue.

The Company records amounts charged to customers for shipping and handling in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force No. 00-10, Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs (EITF No. 00-10). Pursuant to EITF No. 00-10, the Company records amounts charged to customers for shipping and handling as revenue, and records the related costs incurred for shipping and handling to direct cost of product sales in the consolidated statements of operations. For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, the Company recorded \$705,000 and \$629,000, respectively, as revenue for shipping and handling fees charged to customers. For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, the Company recorded \$434,000 and \$361,000, respectively, of shipping and handling costs as direct cost of product sales in the consolidated statements of operations.

Media revenue consists primarily of the sale of advertisements and sponsorships, both online and offline, under short-term contracts. To date, the duration of the Company s advertising and sponsorship commitments has generally averaged from one to three months, with certain programs lasting up to six months. The Company s online obligations typically include the guarantee of a minimum number of times (impressions) that an advertisement appears in pages viewed by the users of the Company s online properties. Online advertising revenue is generally recognized as the impressions are served during the period in which the advertisement is displayed, provided that no significant obligations of the Company remain and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. To the extent that minimum guaranteed page deliveries are not met,

recognition of the corresponding revenue is deferred until the guaranteed impressions are delivered.

Anti-piracy services revenue is recognized on a monthly basis as services are provided to customers. Deferred revenue is recorded for customers who prepay the full, or any portion, of their respective contracts.

The Company recognizes revenue for sponsorship arrangements, both online and offline, over the period during which the advertising is provided, generally on a straight-line basis. If the sponsorship arrangement is for the sponsorship of a specific event, the Company recognizes revenue when the event occurs. The Company recognizes revenue separately for each element of integrated entertainment marketing packages that offer advertisers a combination of offline concert and tour sponsorships that are supported by online banner advertising, web-page sponsorships, e-mails to the Company s customers and custom content. The Company determines the fair value of each deliverable based on the relative fair value of the different deliverables when sold on a stand-alone basis. The Company recognizes revenue for each deliverable as the services are provided. The Company recognizes revenue for the banner impression deliverable as the banner impressions are delivered. The Company recognizes revenue for the customer e-mails when the e-mails are sent. The Company recognizes revenue for web-page sponsorships on a straight-line basis over the term of the sponsorship. The Company recognizes revenue for custom content when the content is provided to the customer.

Record label revenue consisted of the sale of compact discs by artists under contract to the iMusic record label and to ARTISTdirect Records in 2004. The Company recognized revenues upon the shipment of compact discs from its distributor to retailers and independent wholesalers and records estimated reserves for product returns. At the time of the shipment of the product, the following criterion under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 48, Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists , had been met: the seller s price to the buyer was substantially fixed or determinable at the date of sale; the buyer had paid the seller, or the buyer was obligated to pay the seller, and the obligation was not contingent on resale of the product; the buyer s obligation to the seller would not have been changed in the event of theft or physical destruction or damage of the product; the buyer acquiring the product for resale had economic substance apart from that provided by the seller; the seller did not have significant obligations for future performance to directly bring about resale of the product by the buyer; and the amount of future returns could be reasonably estimated.

The Company estimated the provision for returns on a quarterly basis by album based on the amount of time since the initial album release, the actual returns to date, the trend of sales activity (sales by the retailers and wholesalers) and the number of unsold units at retailers and wholesalers. Returns to the Company s distributor are deemed to be returns to the Company. Returns in excess of the estimated current provision were charged to revenue.

COST OF REVENUE

Cost of product sales consists of amounts payable to artists related to e-commerce sales, which includes the cost of merchandise sold and the share of net proceeds due to artists, and online commerce transaction costs, including credit card fees, fulfillment charges and shipping costs. Manufacturing costs consist of the cost of pressing compact discs, manufacturing printed booklets and packaging materials and a provision for the obsolescence of finished goods and components. Distribution expenses consist of various distribution costs, including the cost of processing returns and warehousing inventory.

Media cost of revenue consists primarily of web-site hosting and maintenance costs, online content programming costs, online advertising serving costs, sales commissions, payments to affiliated web-sites, and payroll and related expenses for staff involved with the web-site. Costs related to the web-site are recognized immediately when incurred.

Anti-piracy services cost of revenue consists primarily of bandwidth and labor costs. Amortization of proprietary technology is included in anti-piracy services cost of revenue.

Depreciation expense is included in the related cost of revenue category.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The Company has accounted for its iMusic record label and its interest in ARTISTdirect Records, LLC as discontinued operations for all periods presented in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (see Notes 11 and 13).

| INV | ENT | OR | IES |
|--------|------|----|-----|
| TT 4 A | -111 | - | டம |

Inventories, net of a provision for obsolescence of \$103,000, consist of compact discs maintained in the warehouse of the Company s distributor and music-related merchandise. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method.

INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS No. 109). SFAS No. 109 requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the statement of operations in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

WEB-SITE DEVELOPMENT COSTS

Web-site development costs consist primarily of third-party development costs and payroll and related expenses for in-house web-site development costs incurred in the start-up and production of the Company s content and services. These costs are expensed as incurred.

ADVERTISING COSTS

Advertising costs are included in selling and marketing expense and charged to expense as incurred, and totaled \$0 and \$22,000 during the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

CONCENTRATION OF RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash, short-term investments and trade accounts receivable. The Company places its cash and short-term investments in high credit quality instruments. Cash balances at certain financial institutions may exceed the FDIC insurance limits. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers but does not require collateral. Exposure to losses on receivables is principally dependent on each customer s financial condition. The Company monitors its exposure to credit losses and maintains allowances for anticipated losses.

Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables generated by the Company s operations are generally limited. However, the customers of MediaDefender consist primarily of large reputable companies in the music and entertainment industries.

The Company purchases 94% of its music-related merchandise inventory from one supplier. The Company is subject to risk in the event that any of the suppliers is unable to fulfill its orders. However, there are a number of different suppliers who can provide such products to the Company.

During the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, approximately 70% and 67%, respectively, of e-commerce revenues were generated from the products related to a single music merchandising entity.

During the year ended December 31, 2004, one customer accounted for \$245,000 or 12% of total media revenue, of which \$34,000 was due from this customer at December 31, 2004. During the year ended December 31, 2005, one major advertiser/sponsor accounted for \$1,786,000 or 34% of total media revenue, all of which was paid during 2005.

During the year ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, the Company s media revenues were generated primarily by a single outside sales organization which represented the Company with respect to advertising and sponsorship sales on the Company s web-site.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, approximately 64% of MediaDefender s consolidated revenues were from two customers, with one customer accounting for 35% and the other customer accounting for 29%. At December 31, 2005, the amounts due from such customers were \$420,000 and \$835,000, respectively, which were included in accounts receivable.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, MediaDefender purchased approximately 87% of its bandwidth from three suppliers. At December 31, 2005, amounts payable to one of these suppliers was \$22,000. Although there are other suppliers of bandwidth, a change in suppliers would cause delays, which could adversely affect operations in the short-term.

Information with respect to the year ended December 31, 2005 relating to MediaDefender reflects only the five-month period in which MediaDefender has been included in the Company s consolidated results of operations.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts of financial instruments, which includes cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and warrant liability approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE

The Company computes net income (loss) per common share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share and Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 98 (SAB 98). SFAS No. 128 requires companies with complex capital structures to present basic and diluted EPS. Basic EPS is measured as the income or loss available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is similar to basic EPS but presents the dilutive effect on a per share basis of potential common shares (e.g., convertible securities, options and warrants) as if they had been converted at the beginning of the periods presented, or issuance date, if later. Potential common shares that have an anti-dilutive effect (i.e., those that increase income per share or decrease loss per share) are excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS.

The calculation of diluted weighted average common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2005 is based on the average of the closing price of the Company s common stock during such period. The calculation of diluted income (loss) per share excluded approximately 908,115 shares and 1,159,000 shares of common stock for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, since their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 and FASB Interpretation No. 44 (FIN 44), Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation , and complies with the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation . Under APB No. 25, compensation expense is recorded based on the difference, if any, between the fair value of the Company s stock and the exercise price on the measurement date. The

Company accounts for stock issued to non-employees in accordance with SFAS No. 123, which requires entities to recognize as expense over the service period the fair value of all stock-based awards on the date of grant and EITF No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Investments that are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services , which addresses the measurement date and recognition approach for such transactions.

The Company recognizes compensation expense related to variable awards in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 28, Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans (FIN 28). For fixed awards, the Company recognizes expense over the vesting period or the period of service.

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R), a revision to SFAS No. 123R, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123R supercedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock

Issued to Employees , and amends SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows . SFAS No. 123R requires that the Company measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for equity awards based on the grant date fair value of the awards. The cost will be recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the awards. The Company was required to adopt SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006. Under this method, the Company will begin recognizing compensation cost for equity-based compensation for all new or modified grants after the date of adoption. The pro forma disclosures previously permitted under SFAS No. 123 will no longer be an alternative to financial statement recognition. In addition, the Company will recognize the unvested portion of the grant date fair value of awards issued prior to adoption based on the fair values previously calculated for disclosure purposes over the remaining vesting period of the outstanding options and warrants.

Public companies are permitted to adopt the requirements of SFAS No. 123R using one of two methods:

- (1) A modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123R that remain unvested on the effective date.
- (2) A modified retrospective method which includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits entities to restate based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures for either (a) all prior periods presented or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006 and will use the modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123R that remain unvested on the effective date. Although the expense for stock options that may be vested or granted in future periods cannot be determined at this time due to the uncertainty of the vesting or timing of future grants, the Company s future stock price, and the related fair value calculation, the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have a material effect on the Company s future financial statements.

Pro forma information regarding net loss per share is required by SFAS No. 123, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of such statement. The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using either the Black-Scholes option-pricing model or the report of an independent valuation firm. The assumptions used in the model and the weighted average fair value of each option granted for 2004 and 2005 are as follows:

| | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|----|--------------------------|------|-------|--|--|
| | 2 | 2004 | 2005 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Risk-free interest rate | | 4.00% | | 5.00% | | |
| Volatility | | 388% | | 170% | | |
| Dividend yield | | 0% | | 0% | | |
| Weighted average expected life (years) | | 7 | | 5 | | |
| Weighted average fair value of option | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 3.21 | | |

For the purpose of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to operations over the vesting period of the options or the expected period of benefit. The Company s pro forma information is as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, 2004 20 (in thousands, except for share data) | 005 |
|---|---|--------|
| Net income (loss) as reported | \$ (3,311) \$ | 20,064 |
| Less: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value methods | | |
| not charged to operations | (473) | (859) |
| Net income (loss) pro forma | \$ (3,784) \$ | 19,205 |
| | | |
| Net income (loss) per share - basic: | | |
| As reported | \$ (0.94) \$ | 5.06 |
| Pro forma | \$ (1.08) \$ | 4.84 |
| | | |
| Net income (loss) per share diluted: | | |
| As reported | \$ (0.94) \$ | 1.45 |
| Pro forma | \$ (1.08) \$ | 1.35 |

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The Company has adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income (SFAS No. 130). SFAS No. 130 establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income, its components and accumulated balances in a full set of general purpose financial statements. SFAS No. 130 defines comprehensive income (loss) to include all changes in equity except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners, including adjustments to minimum pension liabilities, accumulated foreign currency translation, and unrealized gains or losses on marketable securities.

The Company s only component of other comprehensive loss is the unrealized loss on available for sale securities, consisting of a loss of \$10,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. This amount has been recorded as a separate component of stockholders equity (deficiency).

ESTIMATES

In preparing financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Some of the more significant estimates include allowances for bad debts and sales returns, impairment of long-lived assets, impairment of fixed assets, stock-based compensation and the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

RECLASSIFICATION

Certain amounts have been reclassified in 2004 to conform to the presentation in 2005. Such reclassifications did not have any effect on income (loss) from operations or net income (loss).

3. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS AND DEVELOPMENTS

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (SFAS No. 154). SFAS No. 154 is a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements (an Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28) and provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. SFAS No. 154 establishes retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle, and provides guidance for determining whether retrospective application of a change in accounting principle is impracticable and for reporting a change when retrospective application is impracticable. Retrospective application is the application of a different accounting principle to a prior accounting period as if that principle had always been used or as the adjustment of previously issued financial statements to reflect a change in the reporting entity. SFAS No. 154 also addresses the reporting of the correction of an error by restating previously issued financial statements. The Company will adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 154 effective January 1, 2006.

On September 22, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued rules to delay by one-year the required reporting by management on internal controls over financial reporting for non-accelerated filers. The new SEC rule extends the compliance date for such registrants to fiscal years ending on or after July 15, 2007. Accordingly, the Company qualifies for the deferral until its year ending December 31, 2007 to comply with the internal control reporting requirements.

4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and consist of the following:

| | | December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|--------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | 200 |)4 | | 2005 | | |
| | | (in thou | sands) | | | |
| Computer equipment and software | \$ | 8,735 | \$ | 2,977 | | |
| Furniture and fixtures | | 706 | | 143 | | |
| | | 9,441 | | 3,120 | | |
| Less accumulated depreciation | | (9,342) | | (1,175) | | |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ | 99 | \$ | 1,945 | | |

At December 31, 2005, the Company reclassified \$8.372 million of property and equipment against the related accumulated deprecation accounts to reflect the retirement of such property and equipment.

Impairment of fixed assets is monitored on a continuing basis, and is assessed based on the undiscounted cash flows generated by the underlying assets. In the event the carrying amount of fixed assets exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows, then the carrying amount of such assets is adjusted to their fair value.

5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Components of the Company s identifiable amortizable intangible assets at December 31, 2005 are as follows:

| | G | ross Carrying Amount | • | | Net Carrying Amount |
|------------------------|----|-------------------------|----|-------|------------------------|
| Customer relationships | \$ | 2,264 | \$ | 314 | \$ 1,950 |
| Proprietary technology | | 7,602 | | 1,056 | 6,546 |
| Non-compete agreement | | 1,384 | | 193 | 1,191 |
| Total | \$ | 11,250 | \$ | 1,563 | \$ 9,687 |

Amortization expense of intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$1.563 million. There was no amortization of intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2004. Amortization expense of intangible assets for the next four succeeding years is as follows:

| Years Ending December 31, | Amortization Expense (in thousands) |
|---------------------------|---|
| 2006 | \$ 3,752 |
| 2007 | 3,601 |
| 2008 | 2,182 |
| 2009 | 152 |
| Total | \$ 9,687 |

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets , an intangible asset that is not subject to amortization such as goodwill shall be, at a minimum, tested for impairment annually. The first of the impairment tests consists of a comparison of the total fair value of the Company based upon the closing stock price and the Company s net assets on the date of the test. If the fair value is in excess of the net assets, there is no indication of impairment and no need to perform the second tier impairment test. The Company expects to perform this test as of July 31, 2006, the one year anniversary of the acquisition of MediaDefender, which resulted in the recording of the goodwill carried on the Company s books.

6. DEFERRED FINANCING COSTS

Components of the Company s deferred financing costs at December 31, 2005 are as follows:

| | Gross Carrying Amount | cumulated nortization (in thous | C Si (N in | Write-off Related to onversion of ubordinated Convertible otes Payable to Shares of Common Stock | Net Carrying Amount |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Deferred financing costs related to subordinated convertible notes payable | \$ 2,479 | \$ 265 | \$ | 29 | \$ 2,185 |
| Deferred financing costs related to senior secured notes payable | 985 | 107 | | | 878 |
| Total | \$ 3,464 | \$ 372 | \$ | 29 | \$ 3,063 |

Amortization expense of deferred financing costs for the next four succeeding years is as follows:

| Years Ending December 31, | Amortization Expense (in thousands) |
|---------------------------|---|
| 2006 | \$ 871 |
| 2007 | 871 |
| 2008 | 871 |
| 2009 | 450 |
| Total | \$ 3,063 |

7. ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS AND RESERVE FOR SALES RETURNS

A summary of the activity with respect to the allowance for doubtful accounts is as follows:

| Continuing Operations | Year 2004 | Years Ended December 31 2004 (in thousands) | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---|----|--|------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 88 | \$ | | 171 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | 110 | | | 105 |
| Amounts charged off | | (27) | | | (99) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | 171 | \$ | | 177 |
| Discontinued Operations | Year 2004 | Years Ended December 31, 2004 2005 (in thousands) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 55 | \$ | | 39 |

| Provision for doubtful accounts | | (16) | (39) |
|---------------------------------|------|----------|------|
| Balance, end of year | | \$ 39 | \$ |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | F-20 | | |

A summary of the activity with respect to the reserve for sales returns is as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------|--|--|
| Continuing Operations | 20 | 004 | 2005 | | |
| | | (in thou | sands) | | |
| | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 12 | \$ | | |
| Amounts charged off | | (12) | | | |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | | \$ | | |

| | | Years Ended I | December | r 31, |
|--|----|---------------|----------|-------|
| Discontinued Operations | 2 | 2004 | | 2005 |
| | | (in thou | sands) | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 255 | \$ | 199 |
| Provision for sales returns | | 597 | | |
| Reclassification to accrued expenses | | | | (48) |
| Adjustment attributable to ARTISTdirect Records, LLC | | | | (109) |
| Amounts charged off | | (653) | | (30) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | 199 | \$ | 12 |

8. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses are comprised of the following:

| | | December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|--------------|---------|-------|
| | 20 | 004 | | 2005 |
| | | (in thou | ısands) | |
| Accrued cost of sales | \$ | 239 | \$ | 265 |
| Accrued compensation and related | | 124 | | 670 |
| Accrued professional fees | | 180 | | 467 |
| Accrued business and property taxes | | 250 | | 168 |
| Accrued rent | | 224 | | |
| Other accrued expenses | | 257 | | 353 |
| Total accrued expenses | \$ | 1,274 | \$ | 1,923 |

9. ACQUISITION OF MEDIADEFENDER, INC.

On July 28, 2005, the Company consummated the acquisition (the Acquisition) of MediaDefender, Inc., a privately-held Delaware corporation (MediaDefender), pursuant to the terms of an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) entered into by and among the Company, ARTISTdirect Merger Sub, Inc., a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger Sub), and MediaDefender. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub merged with and into MediaDefender, the separate corporate existence of Merger Sub ceased and MediaDefender survived as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The stockholders of MediaDefender received aggregate consideration of \$42.5 million in cash, subject to a holdback of \$4.25 million which has been placed into an escrow account through June 30, 2006 to cover any indemnification claims under the Merger Agreement by the parties. The amount of consideration paid by the Company upon the closing of the Acquisition was determined in arm s-length negotiations among the parties thereto. Prior to entering into the agreement

discussed above, there were no material relationships between or among the Company or any of its affiliates, officers or directors, or associates of any such officers or directors, on the one hand, and MediaDefender or any of its affiliates, officers or directors, or associates of any such officers or directors, on the other.

MediaDefender is a provider of anti-piracy solutions in the high-growth Internet-piracy-protection

(IPP) industry and offers services designed to thwart Internet piracy and encourage consumers to pay for online content.

In accordance with the Merger Agreement, the Company acknowledged the terms of Employment Agreements entered into on July 28, 2005 by MediaDefender with each of Randy Saaf, who serves as Chief Executive Officer of MediaDefender, and Octavio Herrera, who serves as President of MediaDefender. Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera will each earn a base salary of no less than \$350,000 per annum during the initial term of the agreements, which shall continue until December 31, 2008, and are also entitled to receive performance bonuses of up to \$350,000 if MediaDefender achieves specified earnings targets in fiscal 2007 and 2008. Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera are each entitled to receive 12 months of severance pay at the rate of 100% of their monthly salary and the pro-rata portion of the performance bonus referenced above if they are terminated without cause . In addition, the Company granted stock options to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock to each of Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera, exercisable for a period of 5 years at \$3.00 per share.

The Company also acknowledged the terms of Non-Competition Agreements entered into on July 28, 2005 by MediaDefender and Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera. The Non-Competition Agreements prohibit Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera from (i) engaging in certain competitive business activities, (ii) soliciting customers of MediaDefender or the Company, (iii) soliciting existing employees of MediaDefender or the Company and (iv) disclosing any confidential information regarding MediaDefender or the Company. Each agreement has a term of 4 years and shall continue to remain in force and effect in the event the above-referenced Employment Agreements are terminated prior to the end of the 4 year term of the Non-Competition Agreements. In consideration, Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera are each entitled to a cash payment of \$525,000 from MediaDefender to be paid on December 31, 2006.

As a result of these agreements, effective July 28, 2005, the Company recorded an asset of \$1,050,000 for the non-compete agreements and a related liability of \$1,050,000 for the guaranteed payments to MediaDefender management. The \$1,050,000 allocated to non-compete agreements is being amortized over the life of the employment agreements.

Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera have each elected to invest \$2,250,000 in the convertible subordinated debt transaction described below on the same terms and conditions as the other investors in such financing, as described below.

Concurrent with the consummation of the Acquisition described above, the Company completed a \$15 million senior secured debt transaction (the Senior Financing) and a \$30 million convertible subordinated debt transaction (the Sub-Debt Financing). Legal fees paid or reimbursed by the Company for services provided by the respective legal counsels for the lenders were recorded as a charge to deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the terms of the related debt.

The Senior Financing was completed in accordance with the terms set forth in the Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement entered into on July 28, 2005 by the Company, each of the investors indicated on the schedule of buyers attached thereto and U.S. Bank National Association as Collateral Agent (the Note Purchase Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Note Purchase Agreement, each investor received a note with a term of three years and eleven months that bears interest at the rate of 11.25% per annum (each a Senior Note), payable quarterly, with any unpaid principal and accrued interest due and payable at maturity. Termination and payment of the Senior Notes by the Company prior to maturity will not result in a prepayment fee. As collateral for the \$15 million Senior Financing, the investors received a first priority security interest in all existing and future assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, tangible and intangible, including but not limited to, cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, inventories, other current assets, furniture, fixtures and equipment and intellectual property.

The Company is required to comply with certain financial covenants, including minimum working capital, maximum capital expenditures, minimum leverage ratio, minimum EBITDA and minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. In addition, not later than ninety days after the close of each fiscal year, the Company is obligated to apply 60% of its excess cash flow, as defined, to prepay the principal amount of the Notes, which the Company has determined to be \$390,000 and has been shown as a current liability at December 31, 2005. The Company was not in compliance with certain of these financial covenants at December 31, 2005. On April 7, 2006, the lenders provided waivers with respect to such events of default under the Notes and amended their loan documents such that the warrant liability and any change thereto in future periods (as described below) will not affect future covenant and excess cash flow calculations.

In addition, the investors received five year warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 3,250,000 shares of the Company s common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments (collectively, the Senior Warrant Shares). The warrants were valued at \$1,397,500 based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm and were recorded as a discount to the \$15 million senior secured debt, and are being amortized over the term of the debt.

The Senior Warrant Shares are subject to certain registration rights requiring the Company to file and maintain effective a registration statement with the SEC covering the shares of common stock underlying such warrants. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, the fair value of the warrants has been recorded as warrant liability. The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any material changes in the fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense).

The Sub-Debt Financing was completed in accordance with the terms set forth in the Securities Purchase Agreement entered into on July 28, 2005 by the Company and each of the investors indicated on the schedule of buyers attached thereto (the Securities Purchase Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Securities Purchase Agreement, each investor received a convertible subordinated note with a term of four years that bears interest at the rate of 4.00% per annum (each a Sub-Debt Note), with any unpaid principal and accrued interest due and payable at maturity. Interest is payable quarterly in cash or shares of common stock, at the option of the Company, with payments commencing September 30, 2006. Each Sub-Debt Note has an initial conversion price of \$1.55 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution and change-of-control adjustments. In addition, each Sub-Debt Note is subject to mandatory conversion by the Company in the event certain trading price targets for the Company s common stock are met following effectiveness of a registration statement filed by the Company for the securities issued in the Sub-Debt Financing.

The Company is required to comply with certain financial covenants, including minimum working capital, maximum capital expenditures, minimum leverage ratio, minimum EBITDA and minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. The Company was not in compliance with certain of these financial covenants at December 31, 2005. On April 7, 2006, the lenders provided waivers with respect to such events of default under the Notes and amended their loan documents such that the warrant liability and any change thereto in future periods will not affect future (as described below) covenant calculations.

In addition, the Sub-Debt Financing investors received five year warrants to purchase up to 1,596,774 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.55 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments (collectively, the Sub-Debt Warrant Shares). The warrants were valued at \$878,026 based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm and were recorded as a discount to the \$30 million of convertible subordinated debt, and are being amortized over the term of the debt.

The Sub-Debt Notes and the Sub-Debt Warrant Shares are subject to certain registration rights requiring the Company to file and maintain effective a registration statement with the SEC covering the shares of common stock underlying such notes and warrants. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, the fair value of the warrants has been recorded as warrant liability. The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any material changes in the

fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense).

Pursuant to the terms of the Senior Registration Rights Agreement and the Sub-Debt Registration Rights Agreement, the Company was obligated to have a registration statement covering the securities issued in the Senior Financing and the Sub-Debt Financing on file with and declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission within one hundred eighty days of July 28, 2005. In connection therewith, the Company s Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as amended, was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2005.

To induce the Senior Financing investors to participate in the above referenced transaction, the Sub-Debt Financing investors entered into a Subordination Agreement for the benefit of the Senior Financing investors pursuant to which the Sub-Debt Financing investors agreed to subordinate their rights to the holders of the senior debt.

If all of the securities issued in the Senior Financing and the Sub-Debt Financing are converted or exercised into shares of the Company s common stock in accordance with their respective terms, it will result in significant dilution to the Company s existing stockholders. If all of the Company s outstanding equity-based instruments are converted or exercised into shares of the Company s common stock in accordance with their respective terms, including those issued in conjunction with the Acquisition, there will be a total of approximately 38,000,000 shares of the Company s common stock issued and outstanding.

A change in control of the Company could occur as a result of the Senior Financing and the Sub-Debt Financing. Assuming maximum conversion of the securities issued in the Senior Financing and Sub-Debt Financing occurs, the Senior Financing investors would own approximately 9% of the Company s voting stock, on a fully diluted basis, and the Sub-Debt investors would own approximately 65% of the Company s voting stock, on a fully diluted basis.

The securities issued by the Company in the Senior Financing and the Sub-Debt Financing were offered and sold in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. Each of the investors qualified as an accredited investor, as specified in Rule 501 under the Securities Act.

Pursuant to the terms of a letter agreement, dated July 15, 2005, by and between the Company and Broadband Capital Management LLC (Broadband), the Company issued to Broadband a Sub-Debt Note in the amount of \$1,460,500 (in addition to the \$30 million referred to above) and warrants to purchase up to 1,516,935 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.55 per share. The notes and warrants issued to Broadband or its affiliates were issued on the same terms and conditions granted to the other Sub-Debt Financing investors. The securities were issued as partial consideration for Broadband s services as the Company s placement agent in the Sub-Debt Financing and the Senior Financing. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. The warrants were valued at \$834,314, based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm. The aggregate value of the Sub-Debt Note, the warrants and additional cash payments to Broadband aggregating \$299,500 were charged to deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the term of the debt.

The Sub-Debt Notes and the Sub-Debt Warrant Shares issued to Broadband are subject to certain registration rights requiring the Company to file and maintain effective a registration statement with the SEC covering the shares of common stock underlying such warrants and notes. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, the fair value of the warrants has been recorded as warrant liability. The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any material changes in the fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any

such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense).

Pursuant to the terms of a letter agreement, dated June 21, 2005, by and between the Company and Libra FE, LP (Libra), the Company issued Libra warrants to purchase up to 237,500 shares of its common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share upon the closing of the Senior Financing (the Libra Warrant Shares). The warrants were issued as partial consideration for Libra s services as the Company s placement agent in the Senior

Financing described above. The Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with Libra on July 28, 2005 (the Libra Registration Rights Agreement), pursuant to which the Company will include the Libra Warrant Shares in the registration statement covering the securities issued in the Senior Financing and the Sub-Debt Financing described above. The warrants were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. The warrants were valued at \$149,625, based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm. The aggregate value of the warrants and additional payments to Libra of \$450,997 were charged to deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the term of the debt.

The Libra Warrant Shares are subject to certain registration rights requiring the Company to file and maintain effective a registration statement with the SEC covering the shares of common stock underlying such warrants and notes. In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, the fair value of the warrants has been recorded as warrant liability. The carrying value of the warrants will be adjusted to reflect any material changes in the fair value of the warrants from the date of issuance to the end of each reporting period, and any such changes will be included in the statement of operations as other income (expense).

Pursuant to a report prepared by an independent valuation firm, as of and through December 31, 2005, there was no change in the fair value of the warrants that were recorded as warrant liability at July 28, 2005.

Upon the closing of the Acquisition, the Company issued 1,109,032 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase up to 114,985 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.55 per share to WNT07 Holdings, LLC, which was approved by the disinterested members of the Company s Board of Directors. The managers of WNT07 Holdings, LLC are Eric Pulier and Teymour Boutros-Ghali, both members of the Company s Board of Directors. The shares and warrants were issued as consideration for services provided by Mr. Pulier and Mr. Boutros-Ghali as consultants to the Company in connection with the Acquisition. The shares and warrants were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

On July 28, 2005, the Company entered into a Non-Competition Agreement with WNT07 Holdings, LLC, Eric Pulier and Teymour Boutros-Ghali (collectively, the Advisors). The Non-Competition Agreement prohibits any of the Advisors (i) from engaging in certain competitive business activities and (ii) from soliciting existing employees of the Company or its subsidiaries. The covenants not to complete or solicit expire on the earlier of April 1, 2007 or the date of termination of Advisor s services with the Company.

The shares of common stock were valued at \$1,585,916 (\$1.43 per share) and the warrants were valued at \$83,939, based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm, for an aggregate value of \$1,669,855, of which \$333,971 was allocated to the covenant not to compete and the remaining \$1,335,884 was included in total costs that the Company incurred to acquire MediaDefender. The amount allocated to the covenant not to compete is being amortized through April 1, 2007.

This transaction was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations , and the operations of the two companies have been consolidated commencing August 1, 2005. The following table summarizes the assets acquired and liabilities assumed of MediaDefender at July 28, 2005. The fair value of the intangible assets acquired and their respective amortization periods was determined by an independent valuation firm.

| (in | tho | usan | ıds |
|-----|-----|------|-----|
| | | | |

| Assets Acquired: | |
|---|--------------|
| Cash | \$ 1,365 |
| Accounts receivable | 2,499 |
| Deferred income tax | 116 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 91 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 1,749 |
| Deposits | 3 |
| Total assets acquired | 5,823 |
| | |
| Liabilities Assumed: | |
| Accounts payable | 41 |
| Accrued expenses | 87 |
| Income taxes payable | 230 |
| Due to ARTISTdirect, Inc. | 930 |
| Deferred revenue | 38 |
| Deferred income tax | 338 |
| | |
| Total liabilities assumed | 1,664 |
| | |
| Net assets acquired | 4,159 |
| | |
| Intangible assets acquired: | |
| Customer relationships | 2,264 |
| Proprietary technology | 7,602 |
| Goodwill | 31,085 |
| | |
| Total assets acquired | \$ 45,110 |
| | |
| Total purchase consideration paid as follows: | |
| Cash paid to MediaDefender stockholders | \$ 42,500 |
| Transaction costs incurred | 2,610 |
| | |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 45,110 |
| | |

The following pro forma operating data presents the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, as if the acquisition had occurred on the first day of each such period. Discontinued operations, impairment losses and non-recurring items for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 are not included. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative of the financial results that might have occurred had the acquisition actually taken place on the respective dates, or of future results of operations.

| | | Years Ended December 31, 2004 (in thousands) | | |
|---|----|---|----|-----------|
| | | | | 2005 |
| Net revenue | \$ | 15,665 | \$ | 20,637 |
| Net loss | \$ | (4,911) | \$ | (3,054) |
| Net loss per common share basic and diluted | \$ | (1.07) | \$ | (0.66) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | 4,611,149 | | 4,611,149 |

10. INVESTMENT IN AND ADVANCES TO ARTISTDIRECT RECORDS, LLC

In May 2001, ADI, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, ARTISTdirect Recordings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (ARTISTdirect Recordings), entered into an agreement with Radar Records Holdings, LLC (Radar Records), a company owned by Frederick W. (Ted) Field, to form a new record label, ARTISTdirect Records, LLC (ARTISTdirect Records), as a 50/50 co-venture between ADI and Radar Records. On June 29, 2001, ADI s stockholders approved the employment of Mr. Field as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ADI and the formation of the ARTISTdirect Records record label. Mr. Field is the Chief Executive Officer of ARTISTdirect Records.

ARTISTdirect Records, headquartered in Los Angeles, California, was in the business of developing new musical artists and producing and distributing their recordings as an independent label utilizing both traditional channels and emerging Internet distribution channels.

ADI initially committed to fund a total of \$50.0 million to ARTISTdirect Records over five years at the rate of \$15.0 million per year, subject to a limit of \$33.0 million in any three year period. Any funding in excess of these amounts required the approval of ADI s Board of Directors. ADI funded \$33.0 million through December 31, 2003.

In November 2001, ARTISTdirect Records agreed in principle to enter into a preliminary North America distribution agreement and worldwide license agreement with BMG Music, a wholly-owned partnership of Bertelsmann Music Group, Inc., the global music division of Bertelsmann AG (BMG). Under the terms of the agreement, BMG agreed to distribute the label s releases in North America, and BMG licensed ARTISTdirect Records repertoire in territories throughout the world. In April 2002, the agreements with BMG were finalized, including BMG s purchase of 5% of the equity of ARTISTdirect Records from ADI. As part of this transaction, BMG agreed to advance certain monies against net sales proceeds under the agreements and also assumed \$5.0 million of ADI s funding commitment to ARTISTdirect Records. As a result of the BMG equity purchase, ADI s funding commitment was reduced to \$45.0 million. ADI s commitment to fund ARTISTdirect Records was subject to a guaranty for the benefit of BMG. If ADI failed to meet its commitment, BMG had the right to choose to enforce the guaranty or provide substitute financing that could have resulted in dilution of ADI s interest in ARTISTdirect Records.

In December 2002, BMG exercised its option to extend the term of the distribution and license agreement until September 2004. BMG did not renew its distribution agreement and license agreement upon its expiration in September 2004.

Under the distribution and license agreements, BMG made non-refundable advances to ARTISTdirect Records of \$2.5 million in 2001, \$2.5 million in 2002 and \$5.0 million in 2003 that were recoupable from net sales proceeds from ARTISTdirect Records artist repertoire pursuant to a defined calculation on a monthly basis. As of December 31, 2003 and 2004, the unrecouped balances related to distribution advances from BMG were \$8.7 million and \$8.9 million, respectively.

In August 2002, ADI s Board of Directors approved an agreement (the Accelerated Funding Agreement) to accelerate up to \$10.0 million of its funding commitment to ARTISTdirect Records. This funding was in addition to the \$15.0 million that ADI was obligated to advance to ARTISTdirect Records in 2002 as part of the initial \$50.0 million funding commitment. During 2002, ADI funded its \$15.0 million commitment plus the additional \$10.0 million bridge loan for total advances to the record label of \$25.0 million in 2002 and \$30.25 million from the inception of the record label through December 31, 2002. The \$10.0 million of accelerated funding was credited toward the satisfaction of ADI s overall funding commitment and funding obligation for 2003, resulting in a remaining funding commitment of \$2.75 million for 2003 and \$12.0 million for 2004. ADI advanced the \$2.75 million during 2003.

As consideration for entering into the Accelerated Funding Agreement, ADI received an additional 20% interest in ARTISTdirect Records from Radar Records, the entity through which Mr. Field owned his interest in ARTISTdirect Records, which resulted in an increase in ADI s ownership share of ARTISTdirect Records from 45% to 65% and a decrease in Mr. Field s ownership share from 50% to 30%. The Accelerated Funding Agreement also provided that any dilution from the issuance of equity interests in ARTISTdirect Records that would have been borne solely by ADI would be borne both by Radar Records and ADI pro rata with their then respective ownership interests. Furthermore, the Accelerated Funding Agreement provided for Radar Records to guarantee a 25%

minimum annual compounded return to be realized from ADI s advances and equity interests in ARTISTdirect Records. Due to the uncertainty with respect to the realization of such rate of return, ADI did not record any amounts related to the 25% minimum annual compounded return in its consolidated financial statements.

Because ADI did not have voting or operating control of ARTISTdirect Records, even with its majority ownership position, through December 31, 2003 it did not consolidate the results of ARTISTdirect Records; ADI recorded its share of losses based on the equity method of accounting as loss from equity investments in its consolidated statements of operations. Prior to the completion of BMG s purchase of a 5% interest in ARTISTdirect Records in April 2002 and BMG s assumption of 10% of ADI s total funding commitment, ADI had committed to fund 100% of the operations of ARTISTdirect Records and had recorded 100% of the losses attributable to that venture from the inception of ARTISTdirect Records to April 30, 2002. From May 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003, ADI has recorded only its proportionate share, on the basis of remaining relative funding commitments, of any losses of ARTISTdirect Records. ADI funded a total of \$33.0 million of its funding commitment. ADI recognized \$8.6 million, \$29.2 million and \$9.3 million of equity loss from ARTISTdirect Records for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. The loss for ARTISTdirect Records for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$4.881 million before intercompany interest elimination of \$2.011 million. The loss for ARTISTdirect Records for the two months ended February 28, 2005 was \$802,000 before intercompany interest elimination of \$416,000. The losses of ARTISTdirect Records for 2004 and 2005 were included in ADI s results of operations for the respective periods.

In February 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46), which addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. FIN 46 defines when a company should evaluate controlling financial interest, and thus consolidation, based on factors other than voting rights, and requires that a new risks and rewards model be applied in these situations. ADI adopted FIN 46 as of December 31, 2003. As a result of the adoption of FIN 46, the balance sheet of ARTISTdirect Records was consolidated beginning as of December 31, 2003, and the operations of ARTISTdirect Records were consolidated beginning with the year ended December 31, 2004. There was no change in the operating or business relationship between ADI and ARTISTdirect Records as a result of the adoption of FIN 46.

Through December 31, 2003, ADI continued to record the losses of ARTISTdirect Records. ADI recorded its loan advances to ARTISTdirect Records as additional equity investments. As of December 31, 2003 and 2004, the Company consolidated the balance sheets of ARTISTdirect Records.

ADI has no recorded investment in its loans to ARTISTdirect Records, as the carrying amount of the loans had been reduced to zero as a result of ADI recording its share of losses of ARTISTdirect Records during the year ended December 31, 2003. ADI did not record interest income on the loans to ARTISTdirect Records. The interest expense of ARTISTdirect Records is eliminated in consolidation. As such, interest expense related to ADI was not included in allocating losses to the minority interest in ARTISTdirect Records.

The loan advances provided to ARTISTdirect Records by ADI and BMG bore interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 4% and the principal and interest were not repayable until December 31, 2015 or upon such time as ARTISTdirect Records achieved certain defined levels of excess cash flow and available cash. As of December 31, 2003 and 2004, ARTISTdirect Records had loans payable to ADI of \$33.0 million, which were eliminated in consolidation, and to BMG of \$4.75 million. In addition, as of December 31, 2003 and 2004, ARTISTdirect Records had accrued interest payable to ADI of \$3.0 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, which were eliminated in consolidation, and to BMG of \$447,000 and \$747,000, respectively.

During the three months ended September 30, 2003, ARTISTdirect Records significantly reduced its overhead costs and operating activities and laid off the majority of its staff while it continued to restructure its operations and seek additional capital. During 2003, ARTISTdirect Records relied on a loan advance from ADI of \$2.75 million and bridge loans from Mr. Field and outside investors aggregating \$2.048 million to fund its

operations. As of December 31, 2003, ARTISTdirect Records did not have sufficient working capital resources to conduct operations. During 2004 and the two months ended February 28, 2005, ARTISTdirect Records relied on bridge loans from Mr. Field of \$2.778 million and \$36,500, respectively, to fund its reduced level of operations. Through February 28,

2005, Mr. Field had provided bridge funding to ARTISTdirect Records aggregating \$3.713 million, including \$898,000 in 2003, \$2.778 million in 2004 and \$36,500 in 2005 (see Note 12).

Effective July 30, 2004, ADI entered into a Termination Agreement with BMG and ARTISTdirect Records which extinguished all of ADI s obligations under its funding guaranty, including the remaining \$12.0 million funding obligation to ARTISTdirect Records.

11. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS ARTISTDIRECT RECORDS, LLC

On February 28, 2005, ADI completed the sale of 100% of the common stock of ARTISTdirect Recordings to Radar Records pursuant to a Transfer Agreement for a cash payment of \$115,000, as a result of which ADI no longer had any equity or other economic interest in ARTISTdirect Records, and Radar Records became the owner of a majority of the membership interests in ARTISTdirect Records and also acquired the receivable reflecting the \$33.0 million of loan advances previously provided to ARTISTdirect Records by ADI. In conjunction with this transaction, at February 28, 2005, ADI wrote-off the intercompany balance due from ARTISTdirect Records of \$80,000, which was eliminated in consolidation. Radar Records acquired the common stock of ARTISTdirect Recordings subject to the rights of BMG. Radar Records also agreed to offer to investors who had provided bridge funding to ARTISTdirect Records (see Note 12), excluding Frederick W. Field and entities related or controlled by him, the right to acquire proportional shares (based on the amount of bridge funding made by each bridge investor in ARTISTdirect Records) of the common stock of ARTISTdirect Recordings on the same terms and conditions as set forth in the Transfer Agreement.

The amount of consideration received by ADI was determined with reference to various factors, including, but not limited to, ADI s future business plans and intention to focus on its internet and web-site operations, ARTISTdirect Records current limited capital resources and substantially reduced level of operations, ARTISTdirect Records future business plans and capital requirements and the likelihood of obtaining such capital on a timely basis and under reasonable terms and conditions, the unpaid costs that ADI has advanced ARTISTdirect Records to date and would be required to continue to advance ARTISTdirect Records in the future, and the probability of ADI obtaining a return on its investment to date of \$33.0 million in ARTISTdirect Records.

As a result of the existence of various conflicts of interest with respect to this transaction, full disclosure of these conflicts of interest was made to ADI s Board of Directors and the required approval by the disinterested members of ADI s Board of Directors was obtained prior to the closing of the transaction.

As a result of the sale of all of ADI s interest in ARTISTdirect Recordings to Radar Records effective February 28, 2005, ADI accounted for its interest in ARTISTdirect Records as a discontinued operation for all periods presented in accordance with SFAS No. 144, and the assets and liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records were classified as held for sale through February 28, 2005.

A summary of the assets and liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records, which were classified as discontinued operations on ADI s balance sheets at December 31, 2004 and February 28, 2005, is as follows:

December 31, 2004

February 28, 2005

| | | (in thousands) | | |
|---|------|----------------|----|----------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets | | | | |
| Cash | \$ | | \$ | |
| Property and equipment, net | | 16 | | 15 |
| Total assets discontinued operations | | 16 | | 15 |
| | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | | |
| Bank overdraft | | 2 | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | | 399 | | 421 |
| Net liability to BMG | | 8,862 | | 8,866 |
| Current portion of bridge notes payable - | | | | |
| Outside investors | | 1,136 | | 1,198 |
| Minority investor Ted Field | | 836 | | 1,821 |
| Total current liabilities | | 11,235 | | 12,306 |
| Non-current liabilities | | | | |
| Loan due to BMG | | 5,498 | | 5,558 |
| Non-current portion of bridge notes payable - | | | | |
| Outside investors | | | | |
| Minority investor Ted Field | | 2,037 | | 1,273 |
| Total liabilities discontinued operations | | 18,770 | | 19,137 |
| | | | | |
| Members deficit | \$ | (18,754) | \$ | (19,122) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | F-29 | | | |

A summary of the results of operations of ARTISTdirect Records for the year ended December 31, 2004 and the two months ended February 28, 2005 is as follows:

| | | Year Ended December 31, 2004 (in thousand | | Two Months Ended February 28, 2005 ds) | |
|--------------------------------------|----|---|----|---|--|
| Net revenue | \$ | (19) | \$ | | |
| Cost of revenue | Ψ | 986 | Ψ | 14 | |
| Gross loss | | (1,005) | | (14) | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | | 285 | | 18 | |
| General and administrative | | 393 | | 25 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 22 | | 1 | |
| Total operating expenses | | 700 | | 44 | |
| Loss from operations | | (1,705) | | (58) | |
| Interest expense | | (649) | | (128) | |
| Amortization of bridge note warrants | | (998) | | (201) | |
| Forgiveness of debt | | 482 | | | |
| Minority interest | | 759 | | 116 | |
| Net loss | \$ | (2,111) | \$ | (271) | |

As a result of the disposition of ADI s interest in ARTISTdirect Records effective February 28, 2005, ADI recognized a gain (primarily non-cash) in its consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 of \$21.079 million, primarily as a result of the elimination of the liabilities of ARTISTdirect Records.

Liabilities disposed of:

Net gain from sale

Less: Assets disposed of Property and equipment, net

| Current liabilities | |
|---|-----------|
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 421 |
| Net liability to BMG, principal distributor | 8,866 |
| Current portion of bridge notes payable - | |
| Outside investors | 1,198 |
| Minority investor - Ted Field | 1,821 |
| Total current liabilities | 12,306 |
| Non-current liabilities | |
| Loan due to BMG, principal distributor | 5,558 |
| Non-current portion of bridge notes payable - Minority investor - | |
| Ted Field | 1,273 |
| Total liabilities disposed of | 19,137 |
| Minority interest relieved | 1,842 |
| Cash proceeds received | 115 |

(in thousands)

21,094

21,079

(15)

12. BRIDGE NOTES PAYABLE

As of December 31, 2003 and 2004, and February 28, 2005, ARTISTdirect Records had outstanding loans of \$898,000, \$3.676 million and \$3.713 million, respectively, due to Ted Field and \$1.150 million of loans due to outside investors (including \$100,000 from Jonathan V. Diamond, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer). These loans were obtained through the issuance of convertible promissory notes (the Bridge Notes). The Bridge Notes accrued interest at 8% per annum, were due two years from the date of issuance, and were convertible into new preferred equity of ARTISTdirect Records as part of its next equity financing. The Bridge Notes payable to Mr. Field and to outside investors were included in liabilities of discontinued operations held for disposal in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2003 and 2004.

The holders of the Bridge Notes also received warrants with a term of five years to purchase additional equity of ARTISTdirect Records at \$0.01 per unit equivalent to the number of units of new equity into which their Bridge Notes were ultimately converted. The aggregate relative fair value of the warrants issued was \$2.431 million as of February 28, 2005 (one-half of the amount funded by the investors in the Bridge Notes), which was recorded as a reduction to the carrying amount of the Bridge Notes and a credit to capital, and was being charged to operations as interest expense over the specified term of the Bridge Notes.

The Bridge Notes were convertible into equity based on their face amount, which resulted in a beneficial conversion feature with a relative fair value of \$2.431 million. Since the commitment date for the beneficial conversion feature was contingent upon the completion of ARTISTdirect Record s next equity financing, the fair value of the beneficial conversion feature was to be charged to operations over the remaining life of the Bridge Notes at that time.

During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 and the two months ended February 28, 2005, ARTISTdirect Records recognized \$203,000, \$998,000 and \$183,000, respectively, as interest expense with respect to the amortization of the fair value of the warrants. Additional interest expense of approximately \$1.2 million was scheduled to be recognized ratably over the remainder of 2005 and 2006 over the remaining term of the Bridge Notes. As of December 31, 2004 and February 28, 2005, the carrying amount of the Bridge Notes, including accrued interest of \$396,000 and \$459,000, respectively, was \$4.009 million and \$4.292 million, respectively.

A reconciliation of Bridge Notes payable issued by ARTISTdirect Records during 2003 and 2004 to amounts included in liabilities of discontinued operations in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2003 and 2004 is presented below. During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 and the two months ended February 28, 2005, ARTISTdirect Records recorded costs with respect to such bridge notes as summarized below.

| | Out: Inves | | Ted Field (s) |
|---|---------------|----------|------------------|
| Gross amount funded during 2003 | \$ | 1,150 \$ | 898 |
| Deduct: | | | |
| Fair value of warrants | | (575) | (449) |
| Add: | | | |
| Accrued interest | | 44 | 23 |
| Amortization of fair value of warrants in 2003 | | 136 | 67 |
| Net liability at December 31, 2003 | | 755 | 539 |
| Gross amount funded during 2004 | | | 2,778 |
| Deduct: | | | |
| Fair value of warrants | | | (1,389) |
| Add: | | | |
| Accrued interest | | 94 | 235 |
| Amortization of fair value of warrants in 2004 | | 287 | 710 |
| Net liability at December 31, 2004 | | 1,136 | 2,873 |
| Gross amount funded during 2005 (two months) | | | 37 |
| Add: | | | |
| Accrued interest (two months) | | 15 | 48 |
| Amortization of fair value of warrants in 2005 (two months) | | 47 | 136 |
| Net liability at February 28, 2005 | | 1,198 | 3,094 |
| Less: | | | |
| Amounts due within one year | | (1,198) | (1,821) |
| Amounts due after one year | \$ | \$ | 1,273 |

13. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS iMUSIC

During 2002, the Company began operating a record label under the brand name iMusic through its wholly-owned subsidiary, ARTISTdirect Digital, Inc. Operations consisted primarily of the sale of compact discs by artists signed to the iMusic record label. During the three months ended June 30, 2003, management of the Company decided to scale back the activity of its iMusic label in order to conserve capital. During the three months ended September 30, 2003, the Company executed an agreement with GC Music, pursuant to which the Company assigned its rights and obligations to six unreleased artists in exchange for a cash payment of \$100,000 as a reduction to prior advances related to the six artists and a profit interest in the projects. GC Music was partially owned by a former officer and director of the Company. The Company retained the distribution rights to the albums previously released under the original terms of its distribution agreements with its other signed artists, but did not intend to sign any additional artists or release any additional albums domestically or internationally under the iMusic label and therefore expected very minimal sales activity subsequent to the transaction. At December 31, 2004 and 2005, the Company has provided a sufficient reserve for remaining contract obligations and estimated product returns.

Effective November 23, 2004, pursuant to a Trademark Assignment and Purchase Agreement dated as of November 12, 2004, the Company sold all of its rights, title and interest in and to certain trademarks, service markets and trade names, in certain countries of the world, that consist of or incorporate the term iMusic (the Marks), including domain names that consist of or incorporate the term iMusic (the Domain Names) and goodwill related to such marks or trade names, to Apple Computer, Inc. for a cash payment of \$500,000. The Marks and Domain Names that the Company sold did not have any carrying value on the Company s books.

As a result of the foregoing, during December 2004, the Company ceased the sale of products under the iMusic label and discontinued the operations of the iMusic record label. Accordingly, the Company has accounted for the operations of iMusic as a discontinued operation for all periods presented to reflect the termination of the business operations of iMusic.

A summary of the liabilities of iMusic, which were classified as discontinued operations on the Company $\,$ s balance sheets at December 31, 2004 and 2005, is shown below. iMusic did not have any assets at December 31, 2004 or 2005.

| | | ecember 31, | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------|
| | 2004 (ir | thousands) | 2005 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Net liability to BMG | \$ 4 | 1 \$ | 12 |
| Net liability to GC Music | | | 88 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 4: | 9 | 49 |
| Reserve for product returns | 6 | 0 | |
| Total current liabilities | 150 | 0 | 149 |
| Total liabilities discontinued operations | 150 | 0 | 149 |
| Net deficit | \$ (15) | 0) \$ | (149) |

A summary of the operations of the iMusic record label for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 is as follows:

| | Years Ended Decemb 2004 (in thousands) | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------|----|
| Net revenue | \$ | (136) | \$ |
| Cost of revenue | | (1) | |
| Gross profit (loss) | | (135) | |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Sales and marketing | | 2 | |
| Total operating expenses | | 2 | |
| Net loss | \$ | (137) | \$ |

14. INCOME TAXES

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

| | | | Years Ended December 31, | |
|---------------------|------|----|--------------------------|-----|
| | | 20 | 04 2005 | |
| Commont | | | (in thousands) | |
| Current: Federal | | \$ | \$ | 158 |
| State | | Þ | φ | 40 |
| State | | \$ | \$ | 198 |
| | | Ψ | Ψ | 170 |
| Deferred: | | | | |
| Federal | | \$ | | 12 |
| State | | | | 31 |
| | | | | 43 |
| Total | | \$ | \$ | 241 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | F-33 | | | |

Income taxes differ from the amount computed using a tax rate of 35% as a result of the following:

| | Years Ended December 31, 2004 2005 | | | 31, 2005 |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|
| | | (in thousands) | | |
| Computed expected tax expense (benefit) | \$ | (1,145) | \$ | 7,107 |
| State and local income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | | 19 | | 25 |
| Amortization of non-cash financing costs | | 648 | | 537 |
| Adjustments to deferred tax assets | | 2,058 | | 6,688 |
| Other | | 20 | | 25 |
| | | 1,600 | | 14,382 |
| Decrease in valuation allowance | | (1,600) | | (14,141) |
| Total income tax expense | \$ | | \$ | 241 |

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2004 and 2005 are as follows:

| | December 3 | · |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | 2004 (in thousand | 2005 s) |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| State income taxes | \$ 4,056 \$ | 3,237 |
| Depreciation | | 63 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | 4,056 | 3,300 |
| | | |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | 58,152 | 48,022 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | 640 |
| Depreciation | 615 | |
| Other | 5,376 | 320 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 64,143 | 48,982 |
| Less: valuation allowance | (60,087) | (45,946) |
| Net deferred assets | 4,056 | 3,036 |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | \$ \$ | 264 |

At December 31, 2005, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards totaling approximately \$111 million for Federal income tax purposes expiring beginning in 2020 and California state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$102 million expiring beginning in 2008. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the realization of the benefits of the Company s tax attributes, primarily net operating loss carryforwards as of December 31, 2004 and 2005, the Company recorded a 100% valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2004 and 2005.

In assessing the potential realization of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the Company attaining future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. As of December 31, 2005, management is unable to determine if it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized.

Due to the restrictions imposed by Internal Revenue Code Section 382 regarding substantial changes in the stock ownership of companies with loss carryforwards, the utilization of the Company s federal net operating loss carryforward was severely limited as a result of the change in the effective stock ownership of the Company resulting from the debt financings arranged in conjunction with the acquisition of MediaDefender.

As a result of the profitable operations of MediaDefender for the period that it was consolidated with the Company s operations during the year ended December 31, 2005, the non-deductibility of certain non-cash charges for tax reporting purposes, and the permanent limitations on the Company s ability to utilize its net operating loss carryforwards, the Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$241,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The Company did not record a provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004.

15. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Since 2003 the Company s administrative offices have been located in an office leased by Radar Pictures, Inc., a company owned by Ted Field, the Chairman of the Company, pursuant to a month-to-month arrangement. For the

years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, the Company paid \$168,000 and \$90,000, respectively, to Radar Pictures, Inc. as rent and facilities usage expense. On February 28, 2005, the Company paid Radar Pictures, Inc. accrued rent through December 31, 2004. The Company relocated to new office facilities in March 2006.

During 2005, the Company completed the implementation of a new business initiative to create global awareness of the ARTISTdirect brand and increase traffic to the Company s web-site. Through relationships previously developed by the Company s senior management in the music and entertainment industry, the Company has begun to develop opportunities for major corporations to sponsor cause-based programs in various parts of the world in conjunction with music and entertainment celebrities. The Company believes that this effort would enhance the global reputation of the Company through associations with leading performers and entertainers, which could then be expected to drive brand awareness and traffic to the Company s digital media properties. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company received a fee advance of \$35,000 from an international non-profit organization with respect to the first such project. This fee advance was budgeted to fund initial planning and oversight and accordingly, one-half was paid to the Company s Chief Executive Officer and one-half was paid to the Company s Executive Vice President as a co-producer s fee. During the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 total costs incurred with respect to this project were \$204,000 and \$46,000, respectively.

In conjunction with the acquisition of MediaDefender, the Company issued 1,109,032 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase up to 114,985 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.55 per share to WNT07 Holdings, LLC. The managers of WNT07 Holdings, LLC are Eric Pulier and Teymour Boutros-Ghali, both of whom are members of the Company s Board of Directors. The shares and warrants were issued as consideration for services provided by Mr. Pulier and Mr. Boutros-Ghali as consultants to the Company with respect to the acquisition of MediaDefender (see Note 9).

On July 28, 2005, the Company entered into a Non-Competition Agreement with WNT07 Holdings, LLC, Eric Pulier and Teymour Boutros-Ghali (collectively, the Advisors). The Non-Competition Agreement prohibits any of the Advisors (i) from engaging in certain competitive business activities and (ii) from soliciting existing employees of the Company or its subsidiaries. The covenants not to complete or solicit expire on the earlier of April 1, 2007 or the date of termination of Advisor s services with the Company.

The Company also acknowledged the terms of Non-Competition Agreements entered into on July 28, 2005 by MediaDefender and Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera. The Non-Competition Agreements prohibit Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera from (i) engaging in certain competitive business activities, (ii) soliciting customers of MediaDefender or the Company, (iii) soliciting existing employees of MediaDefender or the Company and (iv) disclosing any confidential information regarding MediaDefender or the Company. Each agreement has a term of 4 years and shall continue to remain in force and effect in the event the above-referenced Employment Agreements are terminated prior to the end of the 4 year term of the Non-Competition Agreements. In consideration, Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera are each entitled to a cash payment of \$525,000 from MediaDefender to be paid on December 31, 2006.

Randy Saaf and Octavio Herrera, formerly principals and stockholders of MediaDefender and currently both executive officers of the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, MediaDefender, each elected to invest \$2,250,000 in the Sub-Debt Financing on the same terms and conditions as the other Sub-Debt Financing investors (see Note 9).

Effective as of January 1, 2006, the Company entered into a one-year consulting agreement with Eric Pulier, a director of the Company, which provides for annual compensation of \$170,000. This consulting agreement was approved by the disinterested members of the Board of Directors.

At December 31, 2005, the Company accrued \$100,000 with respect to board fees to two independent directors and \$40,000 with respect to a discretionary bonus to its Vice President Business Development. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company paid bonuses of \$75,000 and \$50,000, respectively, to its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, respectively.

See Notes 10, 11, 12, 13, 16 and 19 for additional related party transactions.

| | 16. \$ | STOCKHOLDERS | EQUITY | (DEFICIENCY) |
|--|--------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
|--|--------|--------------|---------------|--------------|

Equity-Based Transactions During the Year Ended December 31, 2004:

Effective January 1, 2004, the Company entered into a one-year consulting agreement with Keith Yokomoto, the Company s then director and former President and Chief Operating Officer (see Note 19). Effective January 9, 2004, the Company also issued to Mr. Yokomoto two non-qualified stock options to purchase 10,000 shares and 50,000 shares exercisable at \$0.50 per share, which was not less than the fair market value on the date of grant, through January 9, 2011. The option for 10,000 shares was immediately vested upon issuance. The option for 50,000 shares was scheduled to vest in increments of 10,000 shares on February 1, 2004, March 31, 2004, June 30, 2004, September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2004, based on the accomplishment of certain milestones by each respective date, which were not attained. Accordingly, the option for 50,000 shares did not vest and thus expired. The fair value of the stock option for 10,000 shares, determined pursuant to the Black-Scholes option pricing model, was \$3,500.

Effective March 29, 2004, the Company issued to Robert N. Weingarten, the Company s Chief Financial Officer, a non-plan, non-qualified stock option to purchase 120,000 shares at \$0.50 per share, which was not less than the fair market value on the date of grant, exercisable through March 29, 2011. The option vests and becomes exercisable in a series of 36 successive equal monthly installments from March 29, 2004. The fair value of the stock option, determined pursuant to the Black-Scholes option pricing model, was \$42,000.

Effective June 30, 2004, the Company settled a claim by a real estate broker related to the termination of the Company s former office lease by issuing a warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock exercisable for a period of five years at \$0.50 per share. The fair value of the warrant was determined to be \$4,000, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, and was charged to operations during 2004.

Effective August 31, 2004, the Company issued a warrant to a consultant for services rendered to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock exercisable for a period of five years at \$0.50 per share. The fair value of the warrant was determined to be \$3,000, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, and was charged to operations during the year ended December 31, 2004.

Equity-Based Transactions During the Year Ended December 31, 2005:

On March 29, 2005, the Company issued to its Vice President Business Development a non-plan, non-qualified stock option to purchase 92,000 shares of the Company s common stock exercisable for a period of seven years at \$0.79 per share, the market price on the date of grant. The stock option vested monthly over a period of one year commencing January 1, 2005. The fair value of this option, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was determined to be \$63,000.

On March 29, 2005, the Company issued to its employees stock options to purchase an aggregate of 205,150 shares of the Company s common stock pursuant to the Company s 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan exercisable for a period of seven years at \$0.79 per share, the market price on the date of grant. The stock options are scheduled to vest quarterly through September 30, 2006. The fair value of these options, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was determined to be \$140,000.

On May 6, 2005, the Company issued to three consultants stock options to purchase an aggregate of 78,000 shares of the Company s common stock pursuant to the Company s 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan exercisable for a period of five years at \$1.00 per share, the market price on the date of the grant, pursuant to short-term consulting agreements with a duration approximating one year. The fair value of these options, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was determined to be \$79,000, of which \$60,000 was charged to operations during the year ended December 31, 2005 and \$19,000 was recorded as deferred compensation at December 31, 2005, which is being amortized to operations through March 31, 2006.

On May 6, 2005, the Company issued to a consultant a stock option to purchase an aggregate of 22,000 shares of the Company s common stock pursuant to the Company s 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan exercisable for a period of five years at \$1.00 per share, the market price on the date of the grant, pursuant to short-term consulting agreements. The option was subject to milestones, one of which was partially attained during the year ended December 31, 2005. The fair value of the vested portion of these options, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes

option-pricing model, was determined to be \$40,000, of which \$13,000 was charged to operations during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Effective as of July 28, 2005, the Company agreed to issue to each of its two independent directors at that time a stock option to purchase up to 85,000 shares of the Company s common stock exercisable for a period of seven years at 85% of the average closing price of the Company s common stock for the 30 trading day period prior to July 28, 2005 and the 30 trading day period subsequent to July 28, 2005. The stock options were issued at an exercise price of \$1.95 per share on September 9, 2005, which was in excess of the fair value of the common stock issued in the MediaDefender transaction, and were fully vested at issuance. The fair value of each of these options, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was determined to be \$245,650.

In conjunction with the acquisition of MediaDefender, effective July 28, 2005, the Company granted stock options to each of Randy Saaf, MediaDefender s Chief Executive Officer, and Octavio Herrera, Media Defender s President, to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock to each of Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera, exercisable for a period of five years at \$3.00 per share, which was in excess of the fair value of the common stock issued in the MediaDefender transaction. These options are scheduled to vest quarterly over three and one-half years. The fair value of each of these options, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was determined to be \$546,000.

In conjunction with the acquisition of MediaDefender, effective July 28, 2005, the Company granted stock options to Jonathan Diamond, its Chief Executive Officer, to purchase up to 2,753,098 shares of common stock, exercisable for a period of five years at \$1.55 per share, which was in excess of the fair value of the common stock issued in the MediaDefender transaction. Options with respect to 1,045,000 shares are scheduled to vest over three years and options with respect to 1,708,098 shares are scheduled to vest based on specified performance milestones. The fair value of the time-vested options, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was determined to be \$2,936,450.

In conjunction with the acquisition of MediaDefender, effective July 28, 2005, the Company granted stock options to Robert Weingarten, its Chief Financial Officer, to purchase up to 550,000 shares of common stock, exercisable for a period of five years at \$1.55 per share, which was in excess of the fair value of the common stock issued in the MediaDefender transaction. Options with respect to 275,000 shares are scheduled to vest over three years and options with respect to 275,000 shares are scheduled to vest based on specified performance milestones. The fair value of the time-vested options, calculated pursuant to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was determined to be \$772,750.

In conjunction with the acquisition of MediaDefender, the Company issued 1,109,032 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase up to 114,985 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.55 per share to WNT07 Holdings, LLC. The managers of WNT07 Holdings, LLC are Eric Pulier and Teymour Boutros-Ghali, both of whom are members of the Company s Board of Directors. The shares and warrants were issued as consideration for services provided by Mr. Pulier and Mr. Boutros-Ghali as consultants to the Company with respect to the MediaDefender acquisition. WNT07 Holdings, LLC, Mr. Pulier and Mr. Boutros-Ghali entered into a Non-Competition Agreement with the Company effective July 28, 2005, which prohibits them (i) from engaging in certain competitive business activities and (ii) from soliciting existing employees of the Company or its subsidiaries. The covenants not to complete or solicit expire on the earlier of April 1, 2007 or the date of termination of Advisor s services with the Company. The shares of common stock were valued at \$1,585,916 (\$1.43 per share) and the warrants were valued at \$83,939, based on a valuation report prepared by an independent valuation firm, for an aggregate value of \$1,669,855, of which \$333,971 was allocated to covenant not to compete and the remaining \$1,335,884 was included in total costs that the Company incurred to acquire MediaDefender.

The warrants and convertible securities were issued in conjunction with the acquisition and financing of the MediaDefender transaction, and are described at Note 9.

| In December 2005, subordinated convertible notes payable aggregating \$387,500 were converted into 250,000 shares of common stock. As |
|---|
| result, related deferred financing costs and debt discount costs aggregating \$39,000 were charged to operations. |

Effective July 29, 2005, the Company cancelled 322,902 shares of common stock held in treasury with a carrying cost of \$3.442 million.

17. STOCK OPTION AND STOCK PURCHASE PLANS

CONSULTANT STOCK PLAN

Effective September 29, 2004, the Company s Board of Directors adopted the ARTISTdirect, Inc. 2004 Consultant Stock Plan (the Consultant Plan) in order for the Company to be able to compensate consultants, at the option of the Company, who provide bona fide services to the Company not in connection with capital raising or promotion of the Company s securities. The Consultant Plan will expire on September 29, 2014, and provides for the issuance of up to 500,000 shares of common stock to consultants at fair market value. On January 13, 2005, the Company filed a Registration Statement on Form S-8 with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register the 500,000 shares of common stock for future issuance under the Consultant Plan. As of December 31, 2005, no shares had been issued under the Consultant Plan.

EMPLOYEE OPTION PLAN

In October 1999, the Company implemented the 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan (the Employee Plan) that replaced the 1998 Unit Option Plan. The Employee Plan was not approved by the public stockholders of the Company. The Employee Plan has currently reserved 1,010,504 shares of the Company s common stock for issuance to employees, non-employee members of the board of directors and consultants. This share reserve automatically increases on the first trading day in January each calendar year by an amount equal to 2% of the total number of shares of the Company s common stock outstanding on the last trading day of December in the prior calendar year, but in no event will this annual increase exceed 87,500 shares. No option may have a term in excess of ten years. The options generally vest within three years. As of December 31, 2005, 41,886 shares remained available for future option grant.

Through December 31, 2005, the Company has accounted for its Employee Plan in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, Interpretation No. 44, and other related interpretations. As such, compensation expense has been recorded on the date of grant only if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeded the exercise price.

A summary of stock option activity under Employee Plan during the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 is as follows:

Options Outstanding
Number of Weighted
Shares Average
Exercise

| | | Price |
|--|----------|----------|
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2003 | 118,897 | \$ 14.79 |
| Granted | | |
| Exercised | | |
| Cancelled | (7,743) | 9.11 |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2004 | 111,154 | 15.18 |
| Granted | 875,150 | 1.74 |
| Exercised | | |
| Cancelled | (17,686) | 1.31 |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 968,618 | 3.57 |
| | | |

The following table summarizes information regarding options outstanding and options exercisable at December 31, 2005 under the Employee Plan:

| | | | Options Outstan | ding | | Option | s Exer | cisable |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|------|--|---------------------|--------|--|
| Exe Pric | e | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares | | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| | \$ 0.79 | 188,250 | 6.24 | \$ | 0.79 | 146,987 | \$ | 0.79 |
| | \$ 1.00 | 100,000 | 4.35 | \$ | 1.00 | 66,311 | \$ | 1.00 |
| | \$ 1.95 | 170,000 | 6.69 | \$ | 1.95 | 170,000 | \$ | 1.95 |
| | \$ 3.00 | 400,000 | 4.57 | \$ | 3.00 | 47,619 | \$ | 3.00 |
| | \$ 4.80 | 33,332 | 3.78 | \$ | 4.80 | 33,332 | \$ | 4.80 |
| | \$ 5.10 | 10,000 | 3.77 | \$ | 5.10 | 10,000 | \$ | 5.10 |
| | \$ 7.50 | 58,603 | 2.74 | \$ | 7.50 | 58,603 | \$ | 7.50 |
| | \$ 36.00 | 439 | 0.78 | \$ | 36.00 | 439 | \$ | 36.00 |
| | \$ 40.00 | 1,025 | 1.44 | \$ | 40.00 | 1,025 | \$ | 40.00 |
| | \$ 139.28 | 6,969 | 1.24 | \$ | 139.28 | 6,969 | \$ | 139.28 |
| | \$ 0.79-\$139.28 | 968,618 | 5.07 | \$ | 3.57 | 541,285 | \$ | 4.31 |

ADVISOR OPTION PLAN

In June 1999, and as amended in October 1999 and March 2000, the Company adopted the 1999 Artist and Artist Advisor Stock Option Plan (the Advisor Plan). The Advisor Plan was not approved by the public stockholders of the Company. The Advisor Plan has currently reserved 364,659 shares of common stock for issuance to artists for whom the Company entered into agreements related to online and e-commerce activities and their agents, business managers, attorneys and other advisors. This share reserve automatically increases on the first trading day in January each calendar year by an amount equal to 1% of the total number of shares of the Company s common stock outstanding on the last trading day of December in the prior calendar year, but in no event will this annual increase exceed 37,500 shares. As of December 31, 2005, 329,123 shares remained available for future option grants. The options expire seven years from the date of grant and vesting generally varies between one to three years. No new option grants under this plan were made during 2004 or 2005.

A summary of stock option activity under the Advisor Plan during the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 is as follows:

| | Options Outstanding Weighted | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----|---------------------------|
| | Number of Shares | | Average Exercise Price |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2003 | 35,536 | \$ | 123.29 |
| Granted | | | |
| Exercised | | | |
| Cancelled | | | |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2004 | 35,536 | | 123.29 |
| Granted | | | |
| Exercised | | | |
| Cancelled | | | |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 35,536 | \$ | 123.29 |

The following table summarizes information regarding options outstanding and options exercisable under the Advisor Plan at December 31, 2005:

| Exercise Prices | | Number of Shares | Options Outstanding and I Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Exercisab | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| \$ | 36.00 | 1,250 | 0.78 | \$ | 36.00 |
| | 40.00 | 4,422 | 0.60 | | 40.00 |
| | 139.28 | 29,864 | 1.24 | | 139.28 |
| \$ | 36.00-\$139.28 | 35,536 | 1.15 | \$ | 123.29 |

ARTIST OPTION PLAN

In June 1999, and as amended in October 1999, the Company adopted the 1999 Artist Stock Plan (the Artist Plan). The Artist Plan was not approved by the public stockholders of the Company. The Artist Plan currently has reserved 760,504 shares of common stock for issuance to artists for whom the Company entered into agreements related to their online and e-commerce activities. This share reserve automatically increases on the first trading day in January each calendar year by an amount equal to 2% of the total number of shares of the Company s common stock outstanding on the last trading day of December in the prior calendar year, but in no event will this annual increase exceed 87,500 shares. As of December 31, 2004, 736,630 shares remained available for future option grants. The options expire seven years from the date of grant and vesting generally varies between one to three years. In December 2001, a majority of the outstanding options under the Advisor plan were rescinded and cancelled. No new option grants under this plan were made during 2004 or 2005.

A summary of stock option activity under the Artist Plan during the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 is as follows:

| | Option Number of Shares | Weighted Average ercise Price |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2003 | 23,874 | \$ 83.15 |
| Granted | | |
| Exercised | | |
| Cancelled | | |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2004 | 23,874 | 83.15 |
| Granted | | |
| Exercised | | |
| Cancelled | | |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 23,874 | \$ 83.15 |
| | F-40 | |

The following table summarizes information regarding options outstanding and options exercisable under the Artist Plan at December 31, 2005:

| | | | Options Outstanding and E Weighted | xercisabl | e |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| Exercise Prices | | Number of Shares | Average Remaining Contractual Life | | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$ | 40.00 | 13,499 | 0.66 | \$ | 40.00 |
| | 139.28 | 10,375 | 1.20 | | 139.28 |
| \$ | 40.00-\$139.28 | 23,874 | 0.89 | \$ | 83.15 |

EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

In October 1999, the Company adopted the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan that initially reserved 50,000 shares of common stock for issuance under this plan. As of December 31, 2005, this plan had 175,000 shares reserved for issuance, of which 162,831 shares remained available for future issuances. This share reserve automatically increases on the first trading day in January each calendar year, by an amount equal to 1% of the total number of shares of the Company s common stock outstanding on the last trading day of December in the prior calendar year, but in no event will this annual increase exceed 25,000 shares. Terms of the plan permit eligible employees to purchase common stock through payroll deduction of up to 15% of each employee s compensation. The accumulated deductions are applied to the purchase of shares on each semi-annual purchase date at a purchase price per share equal to 85% of the fair market value per share on the participant s entry date into the offering period or the semi-annual purchase date, whichever is lower. Pursuant to the provisions of APB No. 25, shares issued to employees under this plan are considered non-compensatory. During the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, no shares were issued under this plan.

18. 401(K) PLAN

The Company has adopted the Cash or Deferred Profit Sharing Plan and Trust under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code (the 401(k) Plan). Under the 401(k) Plan, participating employees may defer a percentage (not to exceed 15%) of their eligible pretax earnings up to the Internal Revenue Service s annual contribution limit. All employees of the Company who are 21 years or older and who complete three months of service are eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan. The Company does not match contributions by participants to the 401(k) Plan. Accordingly, there is no related expense for the years ended December 31,2004 and 2005.

19. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

LEASE COMMITMENTS

On January 30, 2006, the Company entered into a sub-lease agreement for new office facilities in Santa Monica, California through November 30, 2011. The effective date of the sub-lease agreement was February 2, 2006. The new office facilities will house both ADI and MediaDefender. In connection with the sub-lease agreement, the Company provided an irrevocable standby bank letter of credit for \$180,000 as security for the Company s obligations under the sub-lease. The letter of credit will be reduced to \$90,000 on the 1\mathbb{B} month of the sub-lease, provided the Company is in compliance with all terms under the sub-lease.

This lease contains predetermined fixed increases in the minimum rental rate during the initial lease term. The Company will recognize the related rent expense on a straight-line basis beginning on the effective date of the lease. The Company will record the difference between the amount charged to expense and the rent paid as deferred rent on the Company s balance sheet.

Future cash payments under operating leases are as follows:

| Years Ending December 31, | (in thousands) |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| 2006 | \$ 343 |
| 2007 | 464 |
| 2008 | 470 |
| 2009 | 485 |
| 2010 | 499 |
| 2011 | 471 |
| | \$ 2,732 |
| | |
| | |
| F-41 | |

Rent expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 was \$173,000 and \$100,000, respectively, including \$168,000 and \$90,000 to a related party in 2004 and 2005, respectively (see Note 15).

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

On July 28, 2005, the Company entered into an Employment Agreement with Jonathan Diamond, the Company s Chief Executive Officer. During the term of the Employment Agreement, which shall continue through December 31, 2008, Mr. Diamond will earn a base salary of no less than \$350,000 per annum, plus certain specified perquisites that include a monthly car allowance. Mr. Diamond is also eligible to receive an annual discretionary bonus of up to 100% of base salary, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors or, if none, the Board of Directors, and an annual performance bonus of up to 100% of base salary if the Company achieves specified earnings targets in fiscal 2007 and 2008. Mr. Diamond shall also be entitled to receive stock options at the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors. In the event Mr. Diamond is terminated without cause, he shall be entitled to receive 12 months of severance pay at the rate of 100% of his monthly salary, any performance bonus due for the year in which termination occurs and all stock options subject to time vesting shall be deemed fully vested and exercisable.

On July 28, 2005, the Company entered into an Employment Agreement with Robert Weingarten, the Company s Chief Financial Officer. During the term of the Employment Agreement, which shall continue through December 31, 2008, Mr. Weingarten will earn a base salary of no less than \$195,000 per annum, plus certain specified perquisites that include a monthly car allowance. Mr. Weingarten is also eligible to receive an annual discretionary bonus of up to 100% of base salary, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors or, if none, the Board of Directors, and an annual performance bonus of up to 100% of base salary if the Company achieves specified earnings targets in fiscal 2007 and 2008. Mr. Weingarten shall also be entitled to receive stock options at the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors. In the event Mr. Weingarten is terminated without cause, he shall be entitled to receive 12 months of severance pay at the rate of 100% of his monthly salary, any performance bonus due for the year in which termination occurs and all stock options subject to time vesting shall be deemed fully vested and exercisable.

In accordance with the MediaDefender transaction, the Company acknowledged the terms of Employment Agreements entered into on July 28, 2005 by MediaDefender with each of Randy Saaf, who serves as Chief Executive Officer of MediaDefender, and Octavio Herrera, who serves as President of MediaDefender. Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera will each earn a base salary of no less than \$350,000 per annum during the initial term of the agreements, which shall continue until December 31, 2008, and are also entitled to receive performance bonuses of up to \$350,000 if MediaDefender achieves specified earnings targets in fiscal 2007 and 2008. Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera are each entitled to receive twelve months of severance pay at the rate of 100% of their monthly salary and the pro-rata portion of the performance bonus referenced above if they are terminated without cause. In addition, the Company granted stock options to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock to each of Mr. Saaf and Mr. Herrera, exercisable for a period of 5 years at \$3.00 per share.

Effective December 31, 2003, Keith Yokomoto, the Company s former President and Chief Operating Officer, entered into a Termination Agreement and Mutual General Release. Mr. Yokomoto subsequently resigned as a director of the Company on October 22, 2004. Effective January 1, 2004, the Company entered into a one-year consulting agreement with Mr. Yokomoto that provided for total compensation of \$120,000.

Future payments under employment agreements are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | (111 | tiiousuiius) |
|------|-------------|--------------|
| | | |
| 2006 | \$ | 1,414 |
| 2007 | | 1,264 |
| 2008 | | 1,264 |
| | \$ | 3,942 |

LITIGATION

The Company is periodically subject to various pending and threatened legal actions which arise in the normal course of business. The Company s management believes that the impact of any such litigation will not have a material adverse impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

20. FOURTH QUARTER RECLASSIFICATION

Effective July 28, 2005, the Company issued warrants to lenders and placement agents in conjunction with the financing of the MediaDefender transaction (see Note 9), which were accounted for at fair value based on a report prepared by an independent valuation firm. The aggregate fair value of such warrants of \$3,260,000 was originally recorded as a credit to additional paid-in capital.

Effective December 31, 2005, pursuant to EITF No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company s Own Stock, the Company has reclassified the aggregate fair value of such warrants from additional paid-in capital to warrant liability, which is included in current liabilities on the balance sheet at such date.

This reclassification had no effect on the Company s statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005.

21. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

Information with respect to the Company s operating segments for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 is presented below. During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company s continuing operations consisted of two reportable segments: e-commerce and media. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company s continuing operations consisted of three reportable segments: e-commerce, media and anti-piracy services.

(in thousands)

The segment data presented below has been restated for all periods to reflect operations discontinued at December 31, 2004. In December 2004, the Company discontinued the operations of its iMusic record label and as a result it has been presented as a discontinued operation for all periods presented. The Company consolidated the operations of ARTISTdirect Records for the year ended December 31, 2004. As a result of the sale of the Company s interest in ARTISTdirect Records effective February 28, 2005, the operations of ARTISTdirect Records have been presented as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

Information with respect to the year ended December 31, 2005 relating to MediaDefender reflects only the five-month period in which MediaDefender has been included in the Company s consolidated results of operations.

The factors for determining reportable segments were based on services and products. Each segment is responsible for executing a unique marketing and business strategy. The accounting policies of the segments are as described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The Company evaluates performance based on, among other factors, earnings or loss before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (Adjusted EBITDA). Adjusted EBITDA also excludes stock-based compensation and impairment losses. Included in Adjusted EBITDA are direct operating expenses for each segment.

The following table summarizes net revenue and Adjusted EBITDA by operating segment for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005. Corporate expenses consist of general operating expenses that are not directly related to the operations of the segments. A reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA is also provided.

| | Years Ended December 31, 2004 200 | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------|---------|--|
| | (in thou | 2005 | | |
| Net Revenue: | | | | |
| E-commerce | \$ 2,994 | \$ | 2,665 | |
| Media | 2,149 | | 5,297 | |
| Anti-piracy services | | | 6,009 | |
| | \$ 5,143 | \$ | 13,971 | |
| Adjusted EBITDA: | | | | |
| E-commerce | \$ 293 | \$ | 157 | |
| Media | 789 | | 2,198 | |
| Anti-piracy services | | | 3,851 | |
| | 1,082 | | 6,206 | |
| Corporate | (1,944) | | (2,969) | |
| | \$ (862) | \$ | 3,237 | |

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------|---------|--|--|
| | 2004 (in thous | 2005 | | | |
| Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net Income (Loss): | | | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA per segments | \$ (862) | \$ | 3,237 | | |
| Stock-based compensation | (7) | | (73) | | |
| Depreciation | (223) | | (205) | | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | | (1,562) | | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | | (373) | | |
| Write-off of unamortized discount on debt and deferred financing costs due to | | | | | |
| conversion of subordinated convertible notes payable into common stock | | | (39) | | |
| Interest income | 29 | | 29 | | |
| Interest expense, including amortization of discount on debt of \$246 | | | (1,517) | | |
| Provision for income taxes | | | (241) | | |
| Loss from discontinued operations - | | | | | |
| ARTISTdirect Records, LLC | (2,111) | | (271) | | |
| iMusic record label | (137) | | | | |
| Gain from sale of ARTISTdirect Records, LLC | | | 21,079 | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,311) | \$ | 20,064 | | |

Assets as of December 31, 2004 and 2005 are summarized as follows:

| | 20 | Decemb | oer 31, | 2005 |
|-------------------------|----|----------|---------|--------|
| | 20 | (in thou | sands) | 2005 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Corporate | \$ | 1,313 | \$ | 4,726 |
| E-commerce | | 314 | | 941 |
| Media | | 772 | | 1,931 |
| Anti-piracy services | | | | 46,934 |
| Discontinued operations | | 14 | | |
| | \$ | 2,413 | \$ | 54,532 |

Assets by segment are those assets used in or employed by the operations of each segment. Corporate assets are principally made up of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, prepaid expenses, computer equipment, leasehold improvements and other assets.

22. QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth unaudited quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005. This unaudited quarterly information has been derived from the Company s unaudited financial statements and, in the Company s opinion, includes all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the information for the periods covered. The operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for any future period.

| Three Months Ended | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | March 31, 2004 | | , | | June 30, Septemb 2004 200 | | December 31, 2004 | | Year Ended December 31, 2004 | | | | |
| | | | (in thousands, except for share data) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ | 963 | \$ | 1,180 | \$ | 1,365 | \$ | 1,635 | \$ | 5,143 | | | |
| Gross profit | | 178 | | 456 | | 567 | | 390 | | 1,591 | | | |
| Loss from continuing | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| operations | | (489) | | (452) | | (93) | | (29) | | (1,063) | | | |
| Loss from discontinued | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| operations | | (223) | | (214) | | (435) | | (1,376) | | (2,248) | | | |
| Net loss | \$ | (712) | \$ | (666) | \$ | (528) | \$ | (1,405) | \$ | (3,311) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss per common share - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| basic and diluted: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| From continuing operations | \$ | (0.14) | \$ | (0.13) | \$ | (0.03) | \$ | (0.01) | \$ | (0.30) | | | |
| From discontinued operations | | (0.06) | | (0.06) | | (0.12) | | (0.39) | | (0.64) | | | |
| Total | \$ | (0.20) | \$ | (0.19) | \$ | (0.15) | \$ | (0.40) | \$ | (0.94) | | | |
| Weighted average common | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| shares outstanding - basic and | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diluted | | 3,502,117 | | 3,502,117 | | 3,502,117 | | 3,502,117 | | 3,502,117 | | | |

| Three Months Ended | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|----|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| | March 31, 2005 | | | June 30, 2005 | September 30, 2005 | | Г | December 31, 2005 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | | |
| | | | | (in th | ousan | ds, except for shar | re data | a) | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ | 1,290 | \$ | 3,169 | \$ | 3,852 | \$ | 5,660 | \$ | 13,971 | | |
| Gross profit | | 367 | | 1,394 | | 1,680 | | 2,726 | | 6,167 | | |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| continuing operations | | (375) | | 604 | | (671) | | (302) | | (744) | | |
| Income from discontinued | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| operations | | 20,808 | | | | | | | | 20,808 | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 20,433 | \$ | 604 | \$ | (671) | \$ | (302) | \$ | 20,064 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) per common share basic: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| From continuing operations | \$ | (0.11) | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | (0.16) | \$ | (0.07) | \$ | (0.19) | | |
| From discontinued operations | Ψ | 5.94 | Ψ | 0.00 | Ψ | (0.00) | Ψ | 0.00 | Ψ | 5.25 | | |
| Total | \$ | 5.83 | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | (0.16) | \$ | (0.07) | \$ | 5.06 | | |
| | _ | | | | | (0.20) | | (3.3.) | | | | |
| Net income (loss per common share diluted: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| From continuing operations | \$ | (0.11) | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | (0.16) | \$ | (0.07) | \$ | (0.01) | | |
| From discontinued operations | | 5.94 | | 0.00 | | (0.00) | | 0.00 | | 1.46 | | |
| Total | \$ | 5.83 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | (0.16) | \$ | (0.07) | \$ | 1.45 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average common | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| shares outstanding: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 3,502,117 | | 3,502,117 | | 4,241,472 | | 4,611,149 | | 3,969,145 | | |
| Diluted | | 3,502,117 | | 3,927,844 | | 4,241,472 | | 4,611,149 | | 14,264,852 | | |

23. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (UNAUDITED)

On January 30, 2006, the Company entered into a sub-lease agreement for new office facilities in Santa Monica, California through November 30, 2011, as described at Note 19.

During the period from January 1, 2006 through March 31, 2006, the Company has issued 2,013,000 shares of common stock upon the conversion of \$3.120 million of subordinated convertible notes payable.

Effective April 7, 2006, the Company entered into various agreements with the investors in its Senior Financing and Sub-Debt Financing (see Note 9) to amend their respective registration rights agreements and to amend and waive certain financial covenants. In consideration thereof, the Company offered to temporarily reduce the exercise price of the 3,250,000 warrants held by the investors in the Senior Financing from \$2.00 to \$1.85 per share through April 30, 2006, and agreed to permanently reduce the exercise price of the 1,596,744 warrants held by the investors in the Sub-Debt Financing from \$1.55 to \$1.43 per share. Any exercise of the aforementioned warrants at the reduced exercise price shall be for cash only. The conversion price of the Sub-Debt Notes of \$1.55 per share was not affected. The Company also entered into similar agreements, as applicable, and provided identical temporary and permanent reductions to warrant exercise prices, with Broadband Capital Management LLC (1,516,935 warrants originally exercisable at \$1.55 per share) and Libra FE, LP (237,500 warrants originally exercisable at \$2.00 per share).

The Company also agreed to utilize 25% of the proceeds from the exercise of the warrants held by the investors in the Senior Financing to reduce the respective principal balance on the notes payable held by such exercising investors, and to pay any related unpaid accrued interest on such principal payments.

MediaDefender, Inc. Table of Contents For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited)

Accountant s report:

Report of independent registered public accounting firm

Financial statements:

Balance sheets

Statements of income

Statements of stockholders equity

Statements of cash flows

Notes to financial statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors MediaDefender, Inc. Marina Del Rey, California

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of MediaDefender, Inc. as of December 31, 2004, and the related statements of income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards as established by the Auditing Standards Board (United States) and in accordance with the auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MediaDefender, Inc. as of December 31, 2004, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ GUMBINER SAVETT INC.

Santa Monica, California September 6, 2005

MediaDefender, Inc. Balance Sheets December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited)

| | | December 31, 2004 | | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|--|----------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash | \$ | 1,257,951 | \$ 3,039,867 | \$ 3,003,091 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of | <u> </u> | 1,207,501 | ψ 2,023,007 | \$ 2,002,071 |
| \$25,000 at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 | | 3,079,521 | 1,825,428 | 2,007,691 |
| Due from affiliate | | 2,222,2 | 1,227 | ,, |
| Deferred income tax | | 95,545 | 41,264 | 99,471 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 172,667 | 20,000 | 33,777 |
| Total current assets | | 4,605,684 | 4,927,786 | 5,144,030 |
| Property and equipment: | | | | |
| Computer equipment and software | | 2,286,619 | 2,259,968 | 2,295,712 |
| Computer software not in service | | 49,153 | 1,244,186 | 49,153 |
| | | 2,335,772 | 3,504,154 | 2,344,865 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | | (437,412) | (274,207) | (601,533) |
| | | 1,898,360 | 3,229,947 | 1,743,332 |
| Other assets: | | | | |
| Intangible assets, net | | | 8,324 | |
| Security deposit | | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 |
| Total other assets | | 3,100 | 11,424 | 3,100 |
| Total assets | \$ | 6,507,144 | \$ 8,169,157 | \$ 6,890,462 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 105,620 | \$ 89,766 | \$ 50,615 |
| Accrued liabilities | | 494,194 | 87,996 | 66,780 |
| Income taxes payable | | 1,239,423 | 662,346 | 6,306 |
| Deferred revenue | | 25,926 | 35,000 | 276,793 |
| Total current liabilities | | 1,865,163 | 875,108 | 400,494 |
| Deferred income tax | | 326,192 | 655,355 | 325,227 |
| Total liabilities | | 2,191,355 | 1,530,463 | 725,721 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | |
| Stockholders equity: | | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value; authorized: 5,000,000 | | | | |
| shares; issued and outstanding: none | | | | |
| Common stock, \$0.0001 par value; authorized: 30,000,000 | | | | |
| shares; 26,633,605 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, | | | | |
| 2004; 26,483,605 shares issued and outstanding at | | | | |
| December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 | | 2,649 | 2,664 | 2,649 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 4,081,627 | 4,165,362 | 4,081,627 |
| Common stock subscription receivable | | (41,250) | | (41,250) |
| Retained earnings | | 272,763 | 2,470,668 | 2,121,715 |
| Total stockholders equity | | 4,315,789 | 6,638,694 | 6,164,741 |

Total liabilities and stockholders equity

\$ 6,507,144 \$

8,169,157 \$

6,890,462

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

MediaDefender, Inc. Statements of Income For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited)

| | De | December 31, 2004 | | June 30, 2004 (unaudited) | | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|--|----|----------------------|----|---------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|
| Service revenue | \$ | 10,522,483 | \$ | 5,314,236 | \$ | 5,625,185 |
| Cost of services provided: | | | | | | |
| Direct cost of services provided | | 3,276,820 | | 1,732,575 | | 1,673,920 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 346,611 | | 175.083 | | 164,122 |
| - | | 3,623,431 | | 1,907,658 | | 1,838,042 |
| Gross profit | | 6,899,052 | | 3,406,578 | | 3,787,143 |
| • | | | | | | i i |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| General and administrative | | 1,172,901 | | 345,706 | | 464,342 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | 25,000 | | | | |
| Corporate transaction costs | | 647,137 | | 293,282 | | 187,338 |
| Litigation costs | | 387,875 | | 26,733 | | 62,015 |
| Impairment loss | | 1,193,349 | | | | |
| Total operating expenses | | 3,426,262 | | 665,721 | | 713,695 |
| | | | | | | |
| Income from operations | | 3,472,790 | | 2,740,857 | | 3,073,448 |
| | | | | | | |
| Other expense (income): | | | | | | |
| Loss on disposal of property and equipment | | 117,812 | | 117,812 | | |
| Interest expense | | 1,247 | | 267 | | 2,991 |
| Interest income | | (3,095) | | (1,035) | | (12,856) |
| Total other expense (income) | | 115,964 | | 117,044 | | (9,865) |
| | | 2276026 | | 2 (22 012 | | 2 002 242 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | | 3,356,826 | | 2,623,813 | | 3,083,313 |
| Provision for income taxes | | 1 222 516 | | 1 050 050 | | 1 224 261 |
| Provision for income taxes | | 1,333,516 | | 1,050,958 | | 1,234,361 |
| Net income | \$ | 2,023,310 | \$ | 1,572,855 | \$ | 1,848,952 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

MediaDefender, Inc. Statements of Stockholders Equity For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (unaudited)

| | Preferre Shares | ed Stock Amount | Common Shares | s nount | A | Additional Paid-In Capital | | ock ription | Retained Earnings (Deficit) | ; | Total Stockholders Equity |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|----|----------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|
| Balance at | | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2003 | | | 26,633,605 | 2,664 | | 4,165,362 | | | 897,813 | | 5,065,839 |
| Common stock issued for exercise | | | 110,000 | 11 | | 46 220 | | (41.250) | | | 5 000 |
| of stock options | | | 110,000 | 11 | | 46,239 | (| (41,250) | | | 5,000 |
| Repurchase and cancellation of | | | (260,000) | (26) | | (120.074) | | | | | (120,000) |
| common stock | | | (260,000) | (26) | | (129,974) | | | 2 022 210 | | (130,000) |
| Net income | | | | | | | | | 2,023,310 | | 2,023,310 |
| Dividends paid (\$0.10 per share) | | | | | | | | | (2,648,360 |) | (2,648,360) |
| Balance at | | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2004 | | | 26,483,605 | 2,649 | | 4,081,627 | (| (41,250) | 272,763 | | 4,315,789 |
| Net income (unaudited) | | | | | | | | | 1,848,952 | | 1,848,952 |
| . , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at June 30, 2005 (unaudited) | | \$ | 26,483,605 | \$ 2,649 | \$ | 4,081,627 | \$ (| (41,250) \$ | 2,121,715 | \$ | 6,164,741 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

MediaDefender, Inc. Statements of Cash Flows For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited)

| | D | ecember 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 (unaudited |) | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|---|----|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | ф | 2 022 210 | Φ 1.570 | 055 0 | 1.040.050 |
| Net income | \$ | 2,023,310 | \$ 1,572 | 855 \$ | 1,848,952 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 346,611 | 175 | 083 | 164,122 |
| Bad debt provision | | 25,000 | | | - , |
| Deferred income taxes | | (136,197) | 247. | 247 | (4,891) |
| Loss on disposal of property and equipment | | 117,812 | 117. | | () / |
| Loss on impairment of property and equipment | | 1,193,349 | | | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | , , | | | |
| (Increase) decrease in: | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | | (1,521,573) | (242 | 480) | 1,071,830 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | | (95,567) | 57. | 100 | 138,890 |
| Increase (decrease) in: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | | 81,327 | 65. | 473 | (55,005) |
| Accrued liabilities | | 443,251 | 37. | 053 | (427,414) |
| Due from affiliate | | 13,815 | 12. | 588 | |
| Income taxes payable | | 995,474 | 418 | 397 | (1,233,117) |
| Deferred revenue | | 15,926 | 25. | 000 | 250,867 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 3,502,538 | 2,486 | 128 | 1,754,234 |
| | | | | | |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | | |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | | (563,675) | (538 | 709) | (9,094) |
| Security deposits paid | | | | | |
| Security deposits refunded | | | | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (563,675) | (538 | 709) | (9,094) |
| | | | | | |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | | 5,000 | | | |
| Payments for repurchase and cancellation of common stock | | (130,000) | | | |
| Dividends paid | | (2,648,360) | | | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | | (2,773,360) | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Net increase in cash | | 165,503 | 1,947 | 419 | 1,745,140 |
| | | | | | |
| Cash at beginning of period | | 1,092,448 | 1,092 | | 1,257,951 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ | 1,257,951 | \$ 3,039 | 867 \$ | 3,003,091 |

| | Dec | cember 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 (unaudited) | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|--|-----|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | | |
| Cash paid during period for: | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 1,247 | \$ 267 | \$ 2,991 |
| Income taxes | \$ | 474,299 | \$ 385,314 | \$ 2,493,107 |

Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:

On November 1, 2004, the Company received a short-term note receivable for \$41,250 in connection with the exercise of stock options for the purchase of 100,000 shares of common stock.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

MediaDefender, Inc. Notes to the Financial Statements For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited)

| 1. Summary of significant accounting policies |
|---|
| Business of the Company |
| MediaDefender, Inc. (the Company) is a technology company providing internet piracy prevention services to major music and entertainment companies worldwide. The Company was founded in July 2000 and is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. The Company s operations and administrative facility is located in Marina Del Rey, California. |
| Accounts receivable |
| Accounts receivable are stated at the amount management expects to collect from outstanding balances. When appropriate, management provides for probable uncollectible amounts through a provision for bad debt expense and an adjustment to a valuation allowance based on its assessment of the current status of individual accounts. Balances that are still outstanding after management has used reasonable collection efforts are written off through a charge to the valuation allowance and a credit to accounts receivable. |
| Revenue recognition |
| Under the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements, as amended by SAB No. 104, revenue is recognized when all four of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; (ii) delivery of the products and/or services has occurred; (iii) the selling price is both fixed and determinable; and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured. Internet piracy prevention service revenue is recognized on a monthly basis as services are provided to customers. The Company records deferred revenue for customers who prepay the full, or any portion of their respective contracts. |
| Credit risk |
| Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and trade receivables. |

The Company places its cash with high credit quality institutions. The balances in the Company s cash accounts generally exceed the Federal

Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) limit of \$100,000.

| Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the fact that the Company | s customer list consists primarily of |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| large reputable companies in the music and entertainment industries. (See Note 10 for discussion of specific | customer concentrations.) |

Property and equipment

Expenditures for major renewal and betterments that extend the useful lives of property and equipment are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. When property and equipment is sold or otherwise disposed of, the assets and related accumulated depreciation accounts are relieved, and any gain or loss is included in operations.

Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets which is generally seven years for computer equipment and software.

Impairment of long-lived assets

The Company accounts for the impairment of long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. SFAS No. 144 establishes the accounting for impairment of long-lived tangible and intangible assets other than goodwill and for the disposal of a business. Pursuant to SFAS No. 144, the Company periodically evaluates, at least annually, whether facts or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of its depreciable assets to be held and used may not be recoverable. If such circumstances are determined to exist, an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows produced by the long-lived asset, or the appropriate grouping of assets, is compared to the carrying value to determine whether impairment exists. If an asset is determined to be impaired, the loss is measured based on various valuation techniques, including a discounted value of estimated future cash flows. The Company reports an impairment cost as a charge to operations at the time it is recognized.

Income taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes. This statement requires recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Use of estimates

The process of preparing financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires the use of estimates and assumptions by management regarding certain types of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Such estimates primarily relate to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, upon settlement, actual results may differ from estimated amounts. The Company s most significant accounting estimates include the provision for bad debts and useful lives of fixed and intangible assets for purposes of computing depreciation and amortization.

Stock-based compensation

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic value method prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees . Since the Company is not required to adopt the fair-value based recognition provisions prescribed under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation , it has elected only to comply with the Statement s disclosure requirements (see Note 6).

Fair value of financial instruments

The Company measures its financial assets and liabilities in accordance with the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. The carrying values of accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities approximate fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments.

Recently issued accounting standards

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123R, *Share-based Payment: an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 123 and 95.* The statement requires companies to expense share-based payments to employees, including stock options, based on the fair value of the award at the grant date. The statement also eliminates the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock options permitted by APB No. 25, which the Company currently follows. The Company is required to adopt the standard for the year that begins January 1, 2006. While the fair value method under SFAS No. 123R is very similar to the fair value method under SFAS No. 123 with regards to measurement and recognition of stock-based compensation, management is currently evaluating the impact of several of the key differences between the two standards on the Company s financial statements. For example, SFAS No. 123 permits recognition of forfeitures as they occur while SFAS No. 123R will require estimating future forfeitures and adjusting estimates on a quarterly basis. SFAS No. 123R will also require a classification change in the statement of cash flows, whereby a portion of any tax benefit from stock options will move from operating cash flows to financing cash flows.

2. Property and equipment

Depreciation expense charged to operations was \$302,590 for the year ended December 31, 2004. Depreciation expense charged to operations was \$139,386 and \$164,122 for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively (unaudited). Depreciation expense is included in cost of services provided.

Impairment loss

Effective December 31, 2004, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1,193,349 representing the cost of 12,759 packages of software purchased by the Company from an affiliate (see Note 8). This software is currently utilized in the operations of the Company, and 15,000 packages had been purchased, in bulk, in January 2003. At the time of purchase, management of the Company anticipated a significantly higher operational demand for these software packages.

The Company placed 1,716 packages of this software in service during 2003 and 2004. In the fourth quarter of 2004, however, management determined that an additional 525 of these software packages, with an original cost of \$49,153 would be required for operations through December 31, 2006. The remaining 12,759 packages of software were deemed to be of nominal value, and accordingly, an impairment loss was recognized. This software is no longer being manufactured and sold by the affiliate from which it was purchased, and newer versions of software with similar functionality have come into the market since January 2003.

As such, in accordance with SFAS No. 144, management deemed it appropriate to adjust the balance of this computer software to the original cost of the additional 525 software packages projected to be utilized in operations through December 31, 2006, and to record the resulting impairment loss.

3. Intangible assets

Capitalized software costs

During 2001 and 2002, the Company capitalized certain labor costs in connection with of its anti-piracy technology, in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) No. 98-1 Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use . Management of the Company estimated a useful life for such expenditures to be two years. These capitalized costs are amortized on the straight-line method.

F-55

Following is a summary of the capitalized software costs at December 31, 2004, and at June 30, 2004 and 2005:

| | December 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 (unaudited) | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Capitalized labor and related costs | \$ 387,702 \$ | 387,702 \$ | |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | (387,702) | (379,378) \$ | |
| | \$ \$ | 8.324 \$ | |

Amortization expense charged to operations was \$44,021 for the year ended December 31, 2004. Amortization expense charged to operations was \$35,697 for the six months ended June 30, 2004 (unaudited). Amortization expense is included in cost of services provided.

4. Accrued liabilities

Following is a summary of accrued liabilities at December 31, 2004, and at June 30, 2004 and 2005:

| | D | December 31, June 30, 2004 2004 (unaudited) | | | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|--|----|---|----|--------|---------------------------------|
| Accrued payroll, compensated absences, and related taxes | \$ | 445,651 | \$ | 87,996 | \$ 42,494 |
| Employee benefit plan contribution payable | | 38,543 | | | 24,286 |
| Other | | 10,000 | | | |
| | \$ | 494,194 | \$ | 87,996 | \$ 66,780 |

5. Leases

The Company leases its operations and administrative facility and certain transportation equipment under non-cancelable operating lease agreements expiring through August 2006. Minimum future rental payments under non-cancelable operating leases having initial lease terms in excess of one year are approximately as follows at June 30, 2005:

| | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Six months ending December 31, 2005 | \$ 43,000 |
| Year ending December 31, 2006 | 69,000 |
| | \$ 112,000 |

Rent expense charged to operations was \$54,890 for the year ended December 31, 2004. Rent expense charged to operations was \$26,670 and \$29,413 for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively (unaudited).

6. Stock option plan

Stock Incentive Plan qualified options

The Company s Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) authorizes the granting of qualified stock options to its full-time employees for up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock, which includes non-qualified stock options. Under the Plan, the exercise price of each option equals the fair value of the Company s stock on the grant date, and an option s maximum term is ten years. Options vest at a rate of 25% in the first year, and at 6.25% for each quarter thereafter, for a total vesting period of four years from the date of grant. The Plan also provides for the administrator to allow full or partial automatic vesting in the event of a corporate transaction, change in control or related entity disposition. The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

There were no qualified stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2004. Additionally, there were no qualified stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited). A summary of the status of the qualified options of the Plan as of December 31, 2004, and the changes during the year ending December 31, 2004 is presented below:

| | | Weighted |
|------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | | Average |
| | Number of | Exercise |
| | Options | Price |
| Balance, January 1, 2004 | 1,005,000 \$ | 0.27 |
| Options exercised in November 2004 | (10,000) \$ | 0.50 |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 995,000 \$ | 0.27 |

There was no qualified option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited).

The following table summarizes information about the Company s qualified stock options outstanding at December 31, 2004:

| | | | Outstanding Options | | Exercis | able Opt | ions |
|-----------------|------|-------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| | | | Weighted | Weighted | | | Weighted |
| | | | Average | Average | | | Average |
| | | Number | Remaining | Exercise | Number | | Exercise |
| Exercise Prices | | Outstanding | Life (Months) | Price | Exercisable | | Price |
| \$ | 0.25 | 925,000 | 71 | \$ 0.25 | 693,750 | \$ | 0.25 |
| \$ | 0.50 | 70,000 | 71 | \$ 0.50 | 39,375 | \$ | 0.50 |
| | | 995,000 | | | 733,125 | | |

Pro forma information regarding net income as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of SFAS No. 123 is presented below. For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the vesting period of the options. The effects of applying SFAS No. 123 for providing pro forma disclosures are not likely to be representative of the effects on reported net income for future years, due to the impact of the staggered vesting periods of the Company s stock option grants.

The Company s pro forma information is as follows:

| | De | ecember 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 (unaudited) | (| June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|---|----|---------------------|---------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|
| Net income as reported | \$ | 2,023,310 | \$ 1,572,855 | \$ | 1,848,952 |
| Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value | | | | | |
| based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | | (35,682) | (17,841) | | (17,841) |
| Net income pro forma | \$ | 1,987,628 | \$ 1,555,014 | \$ | 1,831,111 |

Stock Incentive Plan non-qualified options

The Company s Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) authorizes the granting of non-qualified stock options to its directors and consultants for up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock, which includes qualified stock options. Under the Plan, the exercise price of each option may not be less than 85% of the market price of the Company s stock on the grant date, and an option s maximum term is ten years. Non-qualified options are 100% vested at the date of grant. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

There were no non-qualified stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2004. Additionally, there were no non-qualified stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited).

A summary of the status of the non-qualified options of the Plan as of December 31, 2004, and the changes during the year ending December 31, 2004 is presented below:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| Balance, January 1, 2004 | 425,000 | \$ 0.29 | 9 |
| Options exercised in November 2004 | (100,000) | \$ 0.41 | 1 |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 325,000 | \$ 0.26 | 5 |

There was no non-qualified option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2005 (unaudited).

The following table summarizes information about the Company s non-qualified stock options outstanding at December 31, 2004:

| | | Outstanding Options | 6 | | Exercisable Options | | | |
|----------|-------------|----------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|---------------------|----|---------------------------------|--|
| Exercise | Number | Weighted Average Remaining | | Weighted Average Exercise | Number | | Weighted Average Exercise | |
| Prices | Outstanding | Life (Months) | | Price | Exercisable | | Price | |
| \$ 0.25 | 315,000 | 71 | \$ | 0.25 | 315,000 | \$ | 0.25 | |
| \$ 0.50 | 10,000 | 71 | \$ | 0.50 | 10,000 | \$ | 0.50 | |
| | 325,000 | | | | 325,000 | | | |

7. Income taxes

Deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences resulting from income and expense items being reported in different periods for financial accounting and tax purposes. Deferred taxes are classified as current or non-current, depending on the classification of the assets and liabilities to which they relate. Deferred taxes arising from temporary differences that are not related to an asset or liability are classified as current or non-current depending on the periods in which the temporary differences are expected to reverse.

The temporary differences giving rise to the current deferred income tax asset and the non-current deferred income tax liability consist of the following:

| | December 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 (unaudited) | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Deferred income tax asset: | | | |
| State income taxes | \$ 85,586 | \$ 41,264 | \$ 89,512 |
| Net operating losses | | | |
| Other | 9,959 | | 9,959 |
| Total deferred tax asset | \$ 95,545 | \$ 41,264 | \$ 99,471 |
| | | | |
| Deferred income tax liability: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 326,192 | \$ 655,355 | \$ 365,062 |
| Capitalized costs | | | (39,835) |
| Deferred tax liability, net | \$ 326,192 | \$ 655,355 | \$ 325,227 |
| • • | | • | • |
| | | | |

F-59

A reconciliation of the actual income tax rates to the federal statutory rate are as follows for the year ended December 31, 2004, and for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005:

| | December 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 (unaudited) | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Tax expense at U.S. statutory rate | 34.0% | 34.0% | 34.0% |
| State tax expense, net of federal income tax benefit | 5.8% | 5.8% | 5.8% |
| Other | -0.1% | 0.3% | 0.2% |
| | 39.7% | 40.1% | 40.0% |

The components of the provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004, and for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 are as follows:

| | December 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 (unaudited) | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 1,217,989 | \$ 682,346 | \$ 975,979 |
| State | 251,724 | 121,365 | 263,273 |
| | 1,469,713 | 803,711 | 1,239,252 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (180,406) | 135,385 | (15,545) |
| State | 44,209 | 111,862 | 10,654 |
| | (136,197) | 247,247 | (4,891) |
| | \$ 1,333,516 | \$ 1,050,958 | \$ 1,234,361 |

The Company had available at December 31, 2003, a state operating loss carryforward of \$645,565 expiring in 2010 and 2011, which was fully utilized in 2004.

8. Related party transactions

Stock subscription receivable

In November 2004, the Company received a note receivable for \$41,250 with interest at 7% per annum, in connection with the exercise of 100,000 nonqualified stock options issued to the Company s financial consultant prior to January 1, 2004. Subsequent to June 30, 2005, this note was paid in connection with the sale of the Company (see Note 13).

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company incurred \$62,138 in professional fees with this consultant. At December 31, 2004, fees payable to this consultant totaled \$1,580, and are included in accounts payable.

During the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, the Company incurred \$37,920 and \$83,953 in professional fees with this consultant, respectively (unaudited). At June 30, 2004 and 2005, fees payable to this consultant totaled \$9,030 and \$22,293, respectively, and are included in accounts payable (unaudited).

F-60

| Computer software |
|---|
| In January 2003, the Company purchased \$1,403,000 of computer software from an affiliated company in which the officer-shareholders and certain other shareholders of the Company have an aggregate majority ownership interest that is a non-controlling ownership interest in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 02-05, <i>Definition of Common Control in relation to FASB No. 141</i> . The consideration for this software was 2,806,000 shares of common stock of the Company valued at \$0.50 per share, which represented the estimated fair value of the stock on the date of purchase. The \$1,403,000 in computer software was capitalized and included in property and equipment. |
| Litigation |
| The litigation settlement expense of \$306,096 described in Note 12 includes approximately \$148,000 paid on behalf of an inactive company affiliated through common ownership. |
| 9. Employee benefit plan |
| Effective July 1, 2003, the Company began sponsoring an employee benefit plan (the Plan) under which employees meeting the eligibility requirements of age twenty-one and one year of service, could defer up to 25% of their income on a pretax basis, subject to a maximum limit established annually by the Internal Revenue Service. The Plan also provided for a discretionary employer contribution. The total employer contribution to the Plan for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$38,543. The total employer contribution to the Plan for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was \$22,061 (unaudited). The Plan was terminated in July 2005. |
| 10. Concentrations |
| Customers |
| During 2004, 66% of the Company s revenues were from two customers. One customer accounted for 39% and the other customer accounted for 27%. At December 31, 2004, the amounts due from such customers were \$576,575 and \$1,059,760, respectively, which were included in accounts receivable. |
| During the six months ended June 30, 2004, 70% of the Company s revenues were from two customers (unaudited). One customer accounted for 44% and the other customer accounted for 26% (unaudited). At June 30, 2004, the amounts due from such customers were \$514,325 and |

 $\$564,\!415$, respectively, which were included in accounts receivable (unaudited).

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, 66% of the Company s revenues were from three customers (unaudited). These customers accounted for 29%, 27% and 10% (unaudited). At June 30, 2005, the amounts due from such customers were \$115,515, \$507,503 and \$221,893, respectively, which were included in accounts receivable (unaudited).

F-61

During 2004, the Company purchased 99%, of its bandwidth from two suppliers. Although there are other suppliers of bandwidth, a change in suppliers would cause delays, which could ultimately affect operations. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company had accounts payable to these suppliers of \$78,280.

During the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, the Company purchased 99% and 79%, respectively, of its bandwidth from two suppliers (unaudited). Although there are other suppliers of bandwidth, a change in suppliers would cause delays, which could ultimately affect operations. There were no amounts due these suppliers at June 30, 2004 and 2005 (unaudited).

11. Corporate transaction costs

During 2003, the board of directors made a decision to put the Company up for sale. In connection with this decision, the Company entered into a contract with an investment banker to actively pursue potential buyers. The Company entered into various negotiations and agreements with potential buyers during 2003 and 2004, which did not result in the sale of the Company. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company entered into a letter of intent which resulted in the sale of the Company effective July 28, 2005 (see Note 13).

Total costs incurred in connection with these efforts to sell the Company for the year ended December 31, 2004 were \$647,137. Total costs incurred in connection with these efforts to sell the Company for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005 were \$293,282 and \$187,338, respectively (unaudited). These costs included investment banking, legal and accounting fees.

12. Litigation costs

During the year ended December 31, 2003, legal complaints were filed against the Company by certain stockholders. The allegations included misrepresentation by the Company during the initial capitalization, non-payment of commissions on the sale of common stock, excessive commissions paid on the sale of common stock, and breach of fiduciary duty. Although management of the Company rejected these claims, in lieu of lengthy court proceedings and legal fees and costs, it was decided to enter into settlement agreements with the plaintiffs.

As such, in October 2004, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the plaintiffs. In accordance with the agreement, the Company made payments aggregating approximately \$436,000. These payments consisted of \$130,000 (the original purchase price of the 260,000 common shares held by the respective plaintiff stockholders, collectively), 10% simple interest on the \$130,000 balance (computed individually, based on the stockholders respective dates of investment), and certain other costs, including the plaintiffs legal fees. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, all remaining complaints of the stockholders in this case were dismissed.

The total amount paid in connection with the settlement in excess of the cost of the 260,000 common shares (\$130,000) aggregated approximately \$306,000, and was recorded as a legal settlement expense during the year ended December 31, 2004. In conjunction with this

settlement, the $260,\!000$ common shares held by these stockholders were returned to the Company and canceled.

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company paid \$48,740 to certain stockholders, and incurred \$13,275 in legal fees, to satisfy complaints brought by the stockholders alleging breach of fiduciary duty (unaudited). In exchange, each of the stockholders agreed not to bring suit against the Company regarding their complaints. Although management of the Company rejected this claim, in lieu of the filing of a lawsuit that could result in lengthy court proceedings and additional legal costs, it was decided to enter into settlement agreements with the stockholders.

Following is a summary of the total amount recorded as litigation expense at December 31, 2004, and at June 30, 2004 and 2005:

| | D | ecember 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 (unaudited) | June 30, 2005 (unaudited) |
|------------------------------------|----|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Legal settlement | \$ | 306,096 | \$ | \$ 48,740 |
| Legal and accounting fees incurred | | 81,779 | 26,733 | 13,275 |
| | \$ | 387,875 | \$ 26,733 | \$ 62,015 |

13. Subsequent events (unaudited)

Sale of the Company

On July 28, 2005, all of the issued and outstanding common stock of the Company was acquired by ARTISTdirect, Inc. through a merger of the Company into a wholly-owned subsidiary of ARTISTdirect, Inc. In connection with this sale, all unvested stock options became fully vested, and all option holders elected to exercise their options. The total consideration payable in the transaction was a cash purchase price of \$42.5 million, subject to a holdback of \$4.25 million which has been placed into an escrow account to cover any indemnification claims under the acquisition agreement by the parties for a limited period of time.

Employee benefit plan

In connection with the consummation of the sale of the Company, the Company s employee benefit plan was terminated during July 2005 and the Plan s participants will be allowed to participate in any similar plan of ARTISTdirect, Inc.

Litigation

The Company received correspondence from two stockholders demanding an appraisal of the fair value of their respective shares of common stock in connection with the sale of the Company. The correspondence also alleges fraud, deceit, material omissions and breach of fiduciary duty in connection with certain activities prior to the sale of the Company. Management of the Company has rejected these claims. As of the date of this report, legal counsel for the Company is not able to express an opinion as to the likelihood of a favorable or an unfavorable outcome, nor is legal counsel able to estimate the amount or range of recovery in the event of an unfavorable outcome.

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Summary of Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information

Effective July 28, 2005, ARTISTdirect, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company), consummated the acquisition (the Acquisition) of MediaDefender, Inc., a privately-held Delaware corporation (MediaDefender), pursuant to the terms of an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement). Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, the stockholders of MediaDefender received aggregate cash consideration of \$42,500,000, subject to a holdback of \$4,250,000 which has been placed in escrow to cover any indemnification claims under the Merger Agreement by the parties for a limited period of time. The Acquisition was funded through a \$15,000,000 senior secured debt transaction and a \$30,000,000 convertible subordinated debt transaction.

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2004 and the six months ended June 30, 2005 presented herein give effect to the Acquisition as if the transaction had occurred at the beginning of each such period. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2005 presented herein gives effect to the Acquisition as if the transaction had occurred on June 30, 2005.

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2004 and the six months ended June 30, 2005 include certain adjustments that are directly attributable to the transaction, which are expected to have a continuing impact on the Company, and are factually supportable, as summarized in the accompanying notes. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2005 includes certain adjustments that are directly attributable to the transaction and are factually supportable, as summarized in the accompanying notes.

The cash purchase price and the cash and non-cash transaction costs have been allocated to specific identifiable tangible and intangible assets and liabilities in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141 Business Combinations and supported by a report prepared by an independent valuation firm.

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information is provided for illustrative purposes only. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information presented herein is based on management s estimate of the effects of the Acquisition, had such transaction occurred on the dates indicated herein, based on currently available information and certain assumptions and estimates that the Company believes are reasonable under the circumstances. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations or financial position that actually would have been achieved had the Acquisition been consummated on the dates indicated, or that may be achieved in the future.

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information presented herein should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and other information relating to MediaDefender contained elsewhere in this registration statement.

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (\$000) June 30, 2005

| | | | Pro Forma | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | ARTISTdirect | MediaDefender | Adjustments | Pro Forma Total |
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 683 | \$ 3,003 | \$ 15,000(a) \$ | 2,196 |
| | | | 30,000(b) | |
| | | | (42,500)(c) | |
| | | | (930)(1) | |
| | | | (557)(d) | |
| | | | (2,503)(e) | |
| Restricted cash | 177 | | | 177 |
| Accounts receivable | 2,310 | 2,008 | | 4,318 |
| Inventory | 252 | | | 252 |
| Prepaid assets | 71 | 34 | | 105 |
| Deferred income tax asset | | 99 | | 99 |
| Total current assets | 3,493 | 5,144 | (1,490) | 7,147 |
| | | | | |
| Property and equipment | 9,481 | 2,345 | (602)(f) | 11,224 |
| Less: Depreciation | (9,397) | (602) | 602(f) | (9,397) |
| | 84 | 1,743 | | 1,827 |
| Investment in MediaDefender | 437 | | 42,500(c) | |
| | | | 1,586(i) | |
| | | | 557(d) | |
| | | | 65(n) | |
| | | | 84(g) | |
| | | | (45,229)(j) | |
| Deferred financing costs | | | 1,461(k) | 3,374 |
| | | | 930(1) | |
| | | | 983(m) | |
| Other non-current assets | 20 | 3 | | 23 |
| Intangible assets: | | | | |
| Customer relationships | | | 2,257(j) | 2,257 |
| Proprietary technology | | | 7,602(j) | 7,602 |
| Non-compete agreements | | | 1,000(j) | 1,000 |
| Goodwill | | | 34,370(j) | 30,708 |
| | | | (3,662)(r) | |
| | 457 | 3 | 44,504 | 44,904 |
| | \$ 4,034 | \$ 6,890 | \$ 43,014 \$ | 53,938 |
| | , | • | , | • |
| | | F-65 | | |

| | | PYC/P 14 | M ! D C . | Pro Forma | D D W • • |
|--|----|-------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | AR | FISTdirect | MediaDefender | Adjustments | Pro Forma Total |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 1,392 \$ | 50 \$ | | \$ 1,442 |
| Accrued expenses | Ф | 1,392 \$ | 67 | | 1,244 |
| Income tax payable | | 1,177 | 6 | | 6 |
| Accrued management bonuses | | 125 | U | | 125 |
| Accrued expenses relating to acquisition of | | 123 | | | 125 |
| MediaDefender | | 389 | | 65(n) | 454 |
| Deferred revenue | | 307 | 277 | 03(II) | 277 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | | 150 | 277 | | 150 |
| Elabilities of discontinued operations | | 130 | | | 150 |
| Total current liabilities | | 3,233 | 400 | 65 | 3,698 |
| | | 5,255 | .00 | | 5,070 |
| Long-term liabilities: | | | | | |
| Senior secured notes payable | | | | 15,000(a) | 15,000 |
| Discount on senior secured notes payable | | | | (1,398)(o) | (1,398) |
| Subordinated convertible notes payable | | | | 30,000(b) | 31,461 |
| • • | | | | 1,461(k) | , |
| Discount on subordinated convertible notes payable | | | | (878)(p) | (878) |
| Deferred income tax | | | 325 | | 325 |
| | | | 325 | 44,185 | 44,510 |
| Stockholders equity: | | | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value | | | | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value | | 38 | 3 | 11(i) | 46 |
| | | | | (3)(q) | |
| | | | | (3)(r) | |
| Common stock held in treasury | | (3,442) | | 3,442(q) | |
| Additional paid-in-capital | | 209,198 | 4,082 | 878(p) | 210,677 |
| | | | | 983(m) | |
| | | | | 1,398(o) | |
| | | | | 1,574(i) | |
| | | | | 84(g) | |
| | | | | (3,439)(q) | |
| | | | | (2,503)(e) | |
| | | | | (1,578)(r) | |
| Stock subscription receivable | | | (41) | 41(r) | |
| Deferred compensation | | (40) | | | (40) |
| Retained earnings (accumulated deficit) | | (204,953) | 2,121 | (2,121)(r) | (204,953) |
| Total stockholders equity | | 801 | 6,165 | (1,236) | 5,730 |
| | \$ | 4,034 \$ | 6,890 \$ | 43,014 | \$ 53,938 |

F-66

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet June 30, 2005

| (a) | To record receipt of \$15,000,000 cash from the issuance of senior secured notes payable. |
|---------------|---|
| (b) | To record receipt of \$30,000,000 cash from the issuance of subordinated convertible notes payable. |
| (c) | To record cash payment of \$42,500,000 for the purchase of MediaDefender. |
| (d) | To record cash payments for professional fees related to MediaDefender acquisition. |
| (e) acqui | To reduce cash and cash equivalents on MediaDefender s balance sheet at closing to \$500,000 pursuant to sition agreement. |
| (f) | To record MediaDefender property and equipment at fair value. |
| (g) LLC | To record the fair value of warrants to purchase 114,985 shares of common stock issued to WNT07 Holdings, as a finder s fee. |
| (h) | To record cash payments and accrued legal and accounting fees related to MediaDefender acquisition. |
| (i) fee in | To record the fair value of 1,109,032 shares of common stock issued to WNT07 Holdings, LLC as a finder s connection with MediaDefender acquisition. |
| (j) acqui | To eliminate the investment in MediaDefender in consolidation and record the fair value of intangible assets red. |

| (k) financ | To record the issuance of \$1,460,500 of subordinated convertible notes payable as a finder s fee for related debting. |
|---------------|---|
| (1) | To record cash payments for finder s fees and legal expenses related to debt financings. |
| the iss | To record the fair value of warrants to purchase shares of common stock issued to finder s in conjunction with suance of the senior secured notes payable (237,500 warrants) and the subordinated convertible notes payable (5,935 warrants). |
| (n) | To record additional accrual of professional fees related to MediaDefender acquisition. |
| (o) secure | To record the fair value of warrants to purchase 3,250,000 shares of common stock issued to holders of senior ed notes payable in connection with (a) above. |
| (p) suboro | To record the fair value of warrants to purchase 1,596,774 shares of common stock issued to holders of dinated convertible notes payable. |
| (q) | To record the cancellation of 322,902 shares of common stock held in treasury at cost. |
| (r) | To eliminate MediaDefender s stockholders equity in consolidation. |
| | F-67 |
| | |

ARTIST direct, Inc. and Subsidiaries Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) (\$000) For the Year Ended December 31, 2004

| | AI | RTISTdirect | I | MediaDefender | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Total |
|--|----|-------------|----|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Net revenue | \$ | 5,143 | \$ | 10,522 | \$ | \$ 15,665 |
| Cost of revenue: | | | | | | |
| Direct cost of revenue | | 3,552 | | 3,276 | | 6,828 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | 347 | 223(a) | 570 |
| | | 3,552 | | 3,623 | 223 | 7,398 |
| Gross profit | | 1,591 | | 6,899 | (223) | 8,267 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | | 167 | | | | 167 |
| General and administrative | | 2,676 | | 1,173 | 652(b) | 4,501 |
| Corporate transaction costs | | | | 647 | (647)(c) | |
| Litigation costs | | | | 388 | | 388 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | 110 | | 25 | | 135 |
| Impairment loss | | | | 1,193 | | 1,193 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 7 | | | | 7 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 223 | | | (223)(a) | 3,549 |
| | | | | | 3,549(d) | |
| Total operating costs | | 3,183 | | 3,426 | 3,331 | 9,940 |
| Income (loss) from operations | | (1,592) | | 3,473 | (3,554) | (1,673) |
| | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 29 | | 3 | | 32 |
| Interest expense | | | | (1) | (1,706)(e) | (2,965) |
| | | | | | (1,258)(f) | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | | | | (847)(g) | (1,423) |
| | | | | | (576)(h) | |
| Loss on disposal of fixed assets | | | | (118) | | (118) |
| Gain from sale of trade name | | 500 | | | | 500 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | | (1,063) | | 3,357 | (7,941) | (5,647) |
| Provision for income taxes | | | | 1,334 | (1,334)(i) | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | (1,063) | \$ | 2,023 | \$ (6,607) | \$ (5,647) |
| Net income (loss) per common share - basic and | | | | | | |
| diluted Weighted average number of common shares | \$ | (0.30) | | | | \$ (1.22) |
| outstanding - basic and diluted | | 3,502,117 | | | 1,109,032(j) | 4,611,149 |
| | | | | | | |

[Note: Presentation excludes discontinued operations of ARTISTdirect]

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) (\$000) For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005

| | 1 | ARTISTdirect | MediaDefender | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Total |
|---|----|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Net revenue | \$ | 4,459 | \$ 5,625 | \$ | \$ 10,084 |
| Cost of revenue: | | | | | |
| Direct cost of revenue | | 2,643 | 1,674 | | 4,317 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | 164 | 55(a) | 219 |
| | | 2,643 | 1,838 | 55 | 4,536 |
| Gross profit | | 1,816 | 3,787 | (55) | 5,548 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | | 77 | | | 77 |
| General and administrative | | 1,442 | 464 | 326(b) | 2,232 |
| Corporate transaction costs | | | 187 | (187)(c) | |
| Litigation costs | | | 62 | | 62 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | | | | |
| Impairment loss | | | | | |
| Stock-based compensation | | 23 | | | 23 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 55 | | (55)(a) | 1,774 |
| | | | | 1,774(d) | |
| | | | | | |
| Total operating costs | | 1,597 | 713 | 1,858 | 4,168 |
| | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from operations | | 219 | 3,074 | (1,913) | 1,380 |
| | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 10 | 13 | | 23 |
| Interest expense | | | (3) | (848)(e) | (1,480) |
| | | | | (629)(f) | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | | | (424)(g) | (712) |
| | | | | (288)(h) | |
| Loss on disposal of fixed assets | | | | | |
| Gain from sale of trade name | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | | 229 | 3,084 | (4,102) | (789) |
| | | | | | |
| Provision for income taxes | | | 1,234 | (1,234)(i) | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 229 | \$ 1,850 | \$ (2,868) | \$ (789) |
| | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | 0.07 | | | \$ (0.17) |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.06 | | | \$ (0.17) |
| | | | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | | 3,502,117 | | 1,109,032(j) | 4,611,149 |
| Diluted | | 3,652,081 | | 1,109,032(j) | 4,611,149 |

[Note: Presentation excludes discontinued operations of ARTISTdirect]

ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 and the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005

| | F-70 |
|-----|---|
| (j) | To include 1,109,032 shares of common stock issued to WNT07 Holdings, LLC as a finder s fee. |
| (i) | To record the elimination of the provision for income taxes due to pro forma consolidated loss. |
| (h) | To record the amortization of the discount on the senior secured notes payable and the subordinated convertible notes payable. |
| (g) | To record the amortization of the finder s fees related to the senior secured notes payable and the subordinated convertible notes payable. |
| (f) | To record interest expense at 4.00% per annum on the subordinated convertible notes payable. |
| (e) | To record interest expense at 11.25% per annum on the senior secured notes payable. |
| (d) | To record the amortization of intangible assets acquired in MediaDefender acquisition. |
| (c) | To record the elimination of corporate transaction costs relating to sale of MediaDefender. |
| (b) | To record increased compensation per employment and consulting contracts. |
| (a) | To reclassify ARTISTdirect s depreciation and amortization expense to cost of revenue. |

PART II

INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

Item 24. Indemnification of Directors And Officers

Under Section 145 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, we can indemnify our directors and officers against liabilities they may incur in such capacities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). Our Certificate of Incorporation provides that, pursuant to Delaware law, our directors shall not be liable for monetary damages for breach of the directors fiduciary duty of care to us and our stockholders. This provision in the certificate of incorporation does not eliminate the duty of care, and in appropriate circumstances equitable remedies such as injunctive or other forms of nonmonetary relief will remain available under Delaware law. In addition, each director will continue to be subject to liability for breach of the director s duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders, for acts or omissions not in good faith or involving intentional misconduct or knowing violations of the law, for actions leading to improper personal benefit to the director, and for payment of dividends or approval of stock repurchases or redemptions that are unlawful under Delaware law. The provision also does not affect a director s responsibilities under any other law, such as the federal securities laws or state or federal environmental laws.

Our Bylaws provide for the indemnification of our directors to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law. Our Bylaws further provide that our Board of Directors has sole discretion to indemnify our officers and other employees. We may limit the extent of such indemnification by individual contracts with our directors and executive officers, but have not done so. We are required to advance, prior to the final disposition of any proceeding, promptly on request, all expenses incurred by any director or executive officer in connection with that proceeding on receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of that director or executive officer to repay those amounts if it should be determined ultimately that he or she is not entitled to be indemnified under our Bylaws or otherwise. We are not, however, required to advance any expenses in connection with any proceeding if a determination is reasonably and promptly made by our Board of Directors by a majority vote of a quorum of disinterested Board members that (a) the party seeking an advance acted in bad faith or deliberately breached his or her duty to us or our stockholders and (b) as a result of such actions by the party seeking an advance, it is more likely than not that it will ultimately be determined that such party is not entitled to indemnification pursuant to the applicable sections of our Bylaws.

We also have directors and officers liability insurance.

Item 25. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution

The following table sets forth the costs and expenses, other than underwriting discounts and commissions, if any, payable by the Registrant relating to the sale of common stock being registered. All amounts are estimates except the SEC registration fee.

| SEC registration fee | \$ 10,330 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Printing and engraving expenses | 15,000 |
| Legal fees and expenses | 75,000 |
| Accounting fees and expenses | 15,000 |

| Transfer agent and registrar s fees and expenses | 2,000 |
|--|---------------|
| Miscellaneous expenses | 5,000 |
| Total | \$ 122,330 |

Item 26. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the last three years, we have issued unregistered securities to the persons, as described below. None of these transactions involved any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, except as specified below, or any public offering, and we believe that each transaction was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. All recipients had adequate access, through their relationships with us, to information about us.

On September 8, 2003, we issued a warrant to our former landlord to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock. The warrant was issued as partial consideration for an office lease termination. The securities were issued in reliance from exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act.

Effective January 9, 2004, we issued non-qualified stock options to a former executive to purchase up to 60,000 shares of our common stock (of which 50,000 have since expired). The securities were issued in reliance from exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act.

On June 30, 2004, we issued a warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock to a real estate broker. The warrant was issued as partial consideration for an office lease. The securities were issued in reliance from exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act.

On April 26, 2005, we issued a warrant to purchase up to 100,000 shares of our common stock to DKR SoundShore Oasis Holding Fund, Ltd. and a warrant to purchase up to 60,000 shares of our common stock to Verus International Group Limited. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. Each of the warrants were deemed financing commitment warrants and were cancelled retroactively upon consummation of our acquisition of MediaDefender, Inc.

On May 23, 2005, we issued a warrant to purchase up to 100,000 shares of our common stock to DKR SoundShore Oasis Holding Fund, Ltd. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. The warrant was deemed a financing commitment warrant and was cancelled retroactively upon consummation of our acquisition of MediaDefender, Inc.

On May 25, 2005, we issued a warrant to purchase up to 60,000 shares of our common stock to CCM Master Qualified Fund, Ltd. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. The warrant was deemed a financing commitment warrant and was cancelled retroactively upon consummation of our acquisition of MediaDefender, Inc.

On July 28, 2005, we issued \$31,460,500 worth of subordinated promissory notes (including \$1,460,500 worth of promissory notes issued to our placement agent for services rendered) to a total of 15 investors that convert into up to an aggregate of 20,297,097 shares of our common stock. The investors also received warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 3,113,709 shares of our common stock. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

On July 28, 2005, we issued \$15,000,000 worth of secured promissory notes to a total of 4 investors. The investors also received warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 3,250,000 shares of our common stock. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

On July 28, 2005, we issued a warrant to purchase up to 237,500 shares of our common stock to Libra FE, LP. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

On July 28, 2005, we issued 1,109,032 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase up to 114,985 shares of common stock to WNT07 Holdings, LLC. The securities were issued in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

Item 27. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit No. 2.1 | Title Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc., ARTISTdirect Merger Sub, Inc. and MediaDefender, Inc. (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 5, 2005). |
|-----------------|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ARTISTdirect, Inc. (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed on January 27, 2000). |
| | II-2 |

| Exhibit No. 3.2 | Title Amended and Restated Bylaws of ARTISTdirect, Inc. (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed on April 18, 2006). |
|-----------------|--|
| 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment of the Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2001). |
| 3.4 | Certificate of Amendment of the Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2002). |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Amendment of the Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed November 9, 2005). |
| 4.1 | Registration Rights Letter Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Frederick W. Field (incorporated by reference to definitive proxy statement filed June 11, 2001). |
| 4.2 | Form of 11.25% Senior Note Due July 28, 2009 issued to each of the Senior Financing investors dated July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.3 | Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued to each of the Senior Financing investors dated July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.4 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and each of the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.5 | Form of Convertible Subordinated Note issued to each of the Sub-debt investors dated July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.6 | Form of Sub-Debt Financing Warrant issued July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.7 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and each of the Sub-Debt Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.8 | Warrant issued to Libra FE, LP on July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.9 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Libra FE, LP. (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.10 | Warrant issued to WNT07, LLC on July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.11 | Waiver of Registration Rights Agreement, dated November 25, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and certain of the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed November 30, 2005). |
| 4.12 | Waiver of Registration Rights Agreement, dated November 25, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and certain of the Sub-Debt Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed November 30, 2005). |
| 4.13 | Omnibus Amendment to Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement and Warrants to Purchase Common Stock by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Senior Financing investors dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4.14 | Amendment No. 1 to Registration Rights Agreement by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Senior Financing investors dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4. 15 | Omnibus Amendment and Waiver to Notes and Warrants Issued Pursuant to Securities Purchase Agreement by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Senior Financing investors dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |

| 4.17 Amendment No. 1 to Registration Rights Agreement executed by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and DKR SoundShore Oasis Holding Fund, Ltd. dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). 4.18 Amendment and Waiver to Convertible Subordinated Note executed by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and CCM Master Qualified Fundated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). | |
|---|-------|
| · | |
| | nd |
| Amendment and Waiver to Convertible Subordinated Note executed by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and DKR SoundShore Oasis Holding Fund, Ltd. dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). | |
| 4.20 Amendment and Waiver entered into by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Libra FE, LP dated April 7, 2006 (incorporate reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). | ed by |
| 4.21 Amendment No. 1 to Registration Rights Agreement entered into by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Libra FE, LP of 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). | April |
| 5.1 Opinion of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP (previously filed). | |
| Amendment No. 1 dated February 27, 2002 to the Agreement dated July 19, 2000 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Ticketm (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed May 15, 2002). | aste |
| Escrow Agreement dated March 13, 2002 among ARTISTdirect, Inc., Ticketmaster and JPMorgan Chase Bank (incorporate reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed May 15, 2002). | ed by |
| Agreement dated October 22, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Old Glory Boutique Distributing, Inc. (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2002). | , |

| 10.4 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2002, by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Frederick W. Field to amend the Employment Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Mr. Field (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2002). |
|-------|---|
| 10.5 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2002, by and between ARTISTdirect Records, L.L.C. and Frederick W. Field to amend the Employment Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect Records, L.L.C. and Mr. Field (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2002). |
| 10.6 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2002, by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Keith Yokomoto to amend the Employment Agreement dated July 1, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Mr. Yokomoto (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A filed December 23, 2002). |
| 10.7 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2002, by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Marc Geiger to amend the Employment Agreement dated July 28, 1998, as amended on July 1, 2001, between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Mr. Geiger (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed December 23, 2002). |
| 10.8 | Letter Agreement dated December 11, 2002 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Benn Co., LLC consenting to the assignment by Old Glory Boutique Distributing, Inc. to Benn Co., LLC of the Agreement dated October 22, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Old Glory Boutique Distributing, Inc. (incorporated by reference to annual report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 2003). |
| 10.9 | Agreement for Services dated as of June 13, 2002 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Frankel & Company (incorporated by reference to annual report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 2003). |
| 10.10 | Letter Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2003, by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Frederick W. Field to amend the Employment Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Mr. Field (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2003). |
| 10.11 | Letter Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2003, by and between ARTISTdirect Records, L.L.C. and Frederick W. Field to amend the Employment Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect Records, L.L.C. and Mr. Field (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2003). |
| 10.13 | Notice of Grant of Stock Option dated as of September 29, 2003 by and between ARTIST direct, Inc. and Jon Diamond (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed November 14, 2003). |
| 10.14 | Settlement, Release and Termination of Lease Agreement dated as of September 8, 2003, by and between 5670 Wilshire L.P. and ARTISTdirect, Inc. (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2003). |
| 10.15 | Termination Agreement and Mutual Release by Keith Yokomoto and ARTISTdirect, Inc. dated as of December 31, 2003 (incorporated by reference to annual report on Form 10-K filed on May 17, 2004). |
| 10.16 | Form of Director Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.17 | Form of Officer Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.18 | ARTISTdirect, Inc. 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.19 | ARTISTdirect, Inc. Artist Plan (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.20 | ARTISTdirect, Inc. Artist and Artist Advisor Plan (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.21 | ARTISTdirect, Inc. 2004 Consultant Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-8 filed January 13, 2005. |

| Exhibit No. 10.22 | Title Notice of Grant of Stock Option dated as of March 29, 2004 by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Robert N. Weingarten (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 20, 2004). |
|-------------------|---|
| 10.23 | Termination Agreement made as of July 30, 2004 among ARTISTdirect, Inc., ARTISTdirect Records, LLC and BMG Music (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 20, 2004). |
| 10.24 | Trademark Assignment and Purchase Agreement between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Apple Computer, Inc. dated November 12, 2004 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed November 29, 2004). |
| 10.25 | Transfer Agreement between ARTISTdirect Recordings, Inc., ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Radar Records Holdings, Inc. dated as of December 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed March 4, 2005). |
| 10.26 | Employment, Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and between MediaDefender, Inc. and Randy Saaf (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.27 | Employment, Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and between MediaDefender, Inc. and Octavio Herrera (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.28 | Non-Competition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between MediaDefender, Inc. and Randy Saaf (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.29 | Non-Competition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between MediaDefender, Inc. and Octavio Herrera (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.30 | Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc., the investors indicated on the schedule of buyers thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.31 | Security Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and its subsidiaries and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.32 | Pledge Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.33 | Form of Copyright Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.34 | Patent Security Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among MediaDefender, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.35 | Trademark Security Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the benefit of the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |

| Exhibit No. 10.36 | Title Subsidiary Guaranty, dated July 28, 2005, made by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and its subsidiaries in favor of U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
|-------------------|--|
| 10.37 | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Sub-debt Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.38 | Subordination Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, among ARTISTdirect, Inc., and certain of its subsidiaries, the Sub-debt Financing investors and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.39 | Non-Competition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and WNT07, LLC (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.40 | Employment Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Jon Diamond (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.41 | Employment Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Robert Weingarten (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.42 | Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated October 11, 2005), entered into between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Jon Diamond (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed October 14, 2005). |
| 10.43 | Acknowledgement and Amendment regarding Employment Agreement by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Jon Diamond dated February 3, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed February 3, 2006). |
| 10.44 | Acknowledgement and Amendment regarding Employment Agreement by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Robert Weingarten dated February 3, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed February 3, 2006). |
| 10.45 | Sublease by and between Sapient Corporation and ARTISTdirect, Inc. dated January 26, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed February 3, 2006). |
| 10.46 | Fiscal 2006 Board of Directors Compensation (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 4, 2006.) |
| 10.47 | Amendment No. 1 and Waiver to Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement entered into by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Senior Financing investors dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 16.1 | Letter from KPMG LLP regarding change in certifying accountants (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed February 12, 2004). |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of ARTISTdirect, Inc. (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form SB-2 filed November 10, 2005). |
| 23.1 | Consent of Gumbiner Savett Inc. |
| 23.2 | Consent of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP (included in its opinion filed as Exhibit 5.1). |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form SB-2 filed November 10, 2005). |

(B) Financial Statement Schedules

All such schedules have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

Item 28. Undertakings

| (a) The undersigned small business issuer hereby undertakes with respect to the securities being offered and sold in this offering: |
|---|
| (1) To file, during any period in which it offers or sells securities, a post- effective amendment to this Registration Statement to: |
| (i) Include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act; |
| (ii) Reflect in the prospectus any facts or events which, individually or together, represent a fundamental change in the information in this registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease |
| |

II-6

| the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20 percent change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the Calculation of Registration Fee table in the effective registration statement; and |
|---|
| (iii) Include any additional or changed material information on the plan of distribution. |
| (2) For determining liability under the Securities Act, treat each post- effective amendment as a new registration statement of the securities offered, and the offering of the securities at that time to be the initial <i>bona fide</i> offering. |
| (3) File a post-effective amendment to remove from registration any of the securities that remain unsold at the end of the offering. |
| (4) For determining liability of the undersigned small business issuer under the Securities Act to any purchaser in the initial distribution of the securities, the undersigned small business issuer undertakes that in a primary offering of securities of the undersigned small business issuer pursuant to this registration statement, regardless of the underwriting method used to sell the securities to the purchaser, if the securities are offered or sold to such purchaser by means of any of the following communications, the undersigned small business issuer will be a seller to the purchaser and will be considered to offer or sell such securities to such purchaser: |
| (i) Any preliminary prospectus or prospectus of the undersigned small business issuer relating to the offering required to be filed pursuant to Rule 424; |
| (ii) Any free writing prospectus relating to the offering prepared by on or behalf of the undersigned small business issuer or used or referred to by the undersigned small business issuer; |
| (iii) The portion of any other free writing prospectus relating to the offering containing material information about the undersigned small business issuer or its securities provided by or on behalf of the undersigned small business issuer; and |
| (iv) Any other communication that is an offer in the offering made by the undersigned small business issuer to the purchaser. |
| (e) Insofar as indemnification by the undersigned small business issuer for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the small business issuer pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the small business issuer has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities, other than the payment by us of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding, is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the |

opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

| (g) That, for purposes of determining liability under the Securities Act to any purchaser: |
|---|
| (1) If the small business issuer is relying on Rule 430B: |
| (i) Each prospectus filed by the undersigned small business issuer pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) shall be deemed to be part of the registration statement as of the date the filed prospectus was deemed part of and included in the registration statement; |
| II-7 |

(ii) Each prospectus required to be filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2), (b)(5), or (b)(7) as part of a registration statement in reliance on Rule 430B relating to an offering made pursuant to Rule 415(a)(1)(i), (vii), or (x) for the purpose of providing the information required by section 10(a) of the Securities Act shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the earlier of the date such form of prospectus is first used after effectiveness or the date of the first contract of sale of securities in the offering described in the prospectus. As provided in Rule 430B, for liability purposes of the issuer and any person that is at that date an underwriter, such date shall be deemed to be a new effective date of the registration statement relating to the securities in the registration statement to which that prospectus relates, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial *bona fide* offering thereof. *Provided, however*, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such effective date, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such effective date; or

(2) If the small business issuer is subject to Rule 430C, include the following:

Each prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)as part of a registration statement relating to an offering, other than registration statements relying on Rule 430B or other than prospectus filed in reliance on Rule 430, shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the date it is first used after effectiveness. *Provided, however*, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such first use, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such date of first use.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant certifies that is has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements of filing on Form SB-2 and authorized this Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, in the City of Los Angeles, State of California, on the 20th day of April, 2006.

ARTISTdirect, Inc.

By: /s/ Jonathan V. Diamond

Jonathan V. Diamond

President and Chief Executive

Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Robert N. Weingarten

Robert N. Weingarten Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting

Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement was signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| SIGNATURE | TITLE | DATE |
|--|---|----------------|
| /s/ Jonathan V. Diamond Jonathan V. Diamond | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | April 20, 2006 |
| /s/ Robert N. Weingarten Robert N. Weingarten | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | April 20, 2006 |
| * Frederick W. Field | Chairman of the Board of Directors | April 20, 2006 |
| * Eric Pulier | Director | April 20, 2006 |
| | II-9 | |

| SIGNATURE | | | TITLE | DATE |
|---|---------|----------|-------|----------------|
| Teymour Boutros-Gha | * li | Director | | April 20, 2006 |
| Dimitri Villard | * | Director | | April 20, 2006 |
| James N. Lane | * | Director | | April 20, 2006 |
| Fred Davis | * | Director | | April 20, 2006 |
| *By: /s/ Jonathan V. Diamond Jonathan V. Diamond (Attorney-in-Fact) | | | | |
| *By: /s/ Robert N. W Robert N. Wein (Attorney-in-Fa | garten | | | |
| | | II-10 | | |

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit No. 2.1 | Title Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc., ARTISTdirect Merger Sub, Inc. and MediaDefender, Inc. (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 5, 2005). |
|-----------------|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ARTISTdirect, Inc. (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed on January 27, 2000). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of ARTISTdirect, Inc. (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed on April 18, 2006). |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment of the Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2001). |
| 3.4 | Certificate of Amendment of the Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2002). |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Amendment of the Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed November 9, 2005). |
| 4.1 | Registration Rights Letter Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Frederick W. Field (incorporated by reference to definitive proxy statement filed June 11, 2001). |
| 4.2 | Form of 11.25% Senior Note Due July 28, 2009 issued to each of the Senior Financing investors dated July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.3 | Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued to each of the Senior Financing investors dated July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.4 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and each of the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.5 | Form of Convertible Subordinated Note issued to each of the Sub-debt investors dated July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.6 | Form of Sub-Debt Financing Warrant issued July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.7 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and each of the Sub-Debt Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.8 | Warrant issued to Libra FE, LP on July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.9 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Libra FE, LP. (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.10 | Warrant issued to WNT07, LLC on July 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 4.11 | Waiver of Registration Rights Agreement, dated November 25, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and certain of the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed November 30, 2005). |
| 4.12 | Waiver of Registration Rights Agreement, dated November 25, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and certain of the Sub-Debt Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed November 30, 2005). |

| 4.13 | Omnibus Amendment to Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement and Warrants to Purchase Common Stock by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Senior Financing investors dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
|-------|--|
| 4.14 | Amendment No. 1 to Registration Rights Agreement by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Senior Financing investors dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4. 15 | Omnibus Amendment and Waiver to Notes and Warrants Issued Pursuant to Securities Purchase Agreement by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Senior Financing investors dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4.16 | Amendment No. 1 to Registration Rights Agreement executed by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and CCM Master Qualified Fund dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4.17 | Amendment No. 1 to Registration Rights Agreement executed by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and DKR SoundShore Oasis Holding Fund, Ltd. dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4.18 | Amendment and Waiver to Convertible Subordinated Note executed by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and CCM Master Qualified Fund dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4.19 | Amendment and Waiver to Convertible Subordinated Note executed by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and DKR SoundShore Oasis Holding Fund, Ltd. dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4.20 | Amendment and Waiver entered into by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Libra FE, LP dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 4.21 | Amendment No. 1 to Registration Rights Agreement entered into by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Libra FE, LP of April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 5.1 | Opinion of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP (previously filed). |
| 10.1 | Amendment No. 1 dated February 27, 2002 to the Agreement dated July 19, 2000 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Ticketmaster (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed May 15, 2002). |
| 10.2 | Escrow Agreement dated March 13, 2002 among ARTIST direct, Inc., Ticketmaster and JPMorgan Chase Bank (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed May 15, 2002). |
| 10.3 | Agreement dated October 22, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Old Glory Boutique Distributing, Inc. (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2002). |
| 10.4 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2002, by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Frederick W. Field to amend the Employment Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Mr. Field (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2002). |
| 10.5 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2002, by and between ARTISTdirect Records, L.L.C. and Frederick W. Field to amend the Employment Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect Records, L.L.C. and Mr. Field (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2002). |
| 10.6 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2002, by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Keith Yokomoto to amend the Employment Agreement dated July 1, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Mr. Yokomoto (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A filed December 23, 2002). |
| 10.7 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2002, by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Marc Geiger to amend the Employment Agreement dated July 28, 1998, as amended on July 1, 2001, between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Mr. Geiger (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed December 23, 2002). |
| 10.8 | Letter Agreement dated December 11, 2002 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Benn Co., LLC consenting to the assignment by Old Glory Boutique Distributing, Inc. to Benn Co., LLC of the Agreement dated October 22, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. |

and Old Glory Boutique Distributing, Inc. (incorporated by reference to annual report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 2003).

| | Agreement for Services dated as of June 13, 2002 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Frankel & Company (incorporated by reference to annual report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 2003). |
|-------|---|
| 10.10 | Letter Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2003, by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Frederick W. Field to amend the Employment Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Mr. Field (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2003). |
| 10.11 | Letter Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2003, by and between ARTISTdirect Records, L.L.C. and Frederick W. Field to amend the Employment Agreement dated May 31, 2001 between ARTISTdirect Records, L.L.C. and Mr. Field (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2003). |
| 10.13 | Notice of Grant of Stock Option dated as of September 29, 2003 by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Jon Diamond (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed November 14, 2003). |
| 10.14 | Settlement, Release and Termination of Lease Agreement dated as of September 8, 2003, by and between 5670 Wilshire L.P. and ARTISTdirect, Inc. (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2003). |
| 10.15 | Termination Agreement and Mutual Release by Keith Yokomoto and ARTISTdirect, Inc. dated as of December 31, 2003 (incorporated by reference to annual report on Form 10-K filed on May 17, 2004). |
| 10.16 | Form of Director Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.17 | Form of Officer Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.18 | ARTISTdirect, Inc. 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.19 | ARTISTdirect, Inc. Artist Plan (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.20 | ARTISTdirect, Inc. Artist and Artist Advisor Plan (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-1/A filed January 27, 2000). |
| 10.21 | ARTISTdirect, Inc. 2004 Consultant Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form S-8 filed January 13, 2005. |

| Exhibit No. | Title |
|-------------|---|
| 10.22 | Notice of Grant of Stock Option dated as of March 29, 2004 by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Robert N. Weingarten (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 20, 2004). |
| 10.23 | Termination Agreement made as of July 30, 2004 among ARTISTdirect, Inc., ARTISTdirect Records, LLC and BMG Music (incorporated by reference to quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 20, 2004). |
| 10.24 | Trademark Assignment and Purchase Agreement between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Apple Computer, Inc. dated November 12, 2004 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed November 29, 2004). |
| 10.25 | Transfer Agreement between ARTISTdirect Recordings, Inc., ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Radar Records Holdings, Inc. dated as of December 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed March 4, 2005). |
| 10.26 | Employment, Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and between MediaDefender, Inc. and Randy Saaf (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.27 | Employment, Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and between MediaDefender, Inc. and Octavio Herrera (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.28 | Non-Competition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between MediaDefender, Inc. and Randy Saaf (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.29 | Non-Competition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between MediaDefender, Inc. and Octavio Herrera (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.30 | Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc., the investors indicated on the schedule of buyers thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.31 | Security Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and its subsidiaries and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.32 | Pledge Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.33 | Form of Copyright Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.34 | Patent Security Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among MediaDefender, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.35 | Trademark Security Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the benefit of the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |

| Exhibit No. | Title |
|-------------|--|
| 10.36 | Subsidiary Guaranty, dated July 28, 2005, made by ARTISTdirect, Inc. and its subsidiaries in favor of U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.37 | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into by and among ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Sub-debt Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.38 | Subordination Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, among ARTISTdirect, Inc., and certain of its subsidiaries, the Sub-debt Financing investors and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent for the Senior Financing investors (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.39 | Non-Competition Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and WNT07, LLC (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.40 | Employment Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Jon Diamond (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.41 | Employment Agreement, dated July 28, 2005, entered into between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Robert Weingarten (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed August 3, 2005). |
| 10.42 | Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated October 11, 2005), entered into between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Jon Diamond (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed October 14, 2005). |
| 10.43 | Acknowledgement and Amendment regarding Employment Agreement by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Jon Diamond dated February 3, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed February 3, 2006). |
| 10.44 | Acknowledgement and Amendment regarding Employment Agreement by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and Robert Weingarten dated February 3, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed February 3, 2006). |
| 10.45 | Sublease by and between Sapient Corporation and ARTISTdirect, Inc. dated January 26, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed February 3, 2006). |
| 10.46 | Fiscal 2006 Board of Directors Compensation (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 4, 2006.) |
| 10.47 | Amendment No. 1 and Waiver to Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement entered into by and between ARTISTdirect, Inc. and the Senior Financing investors dated April 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed April 10, 2006). |
| 16.1 | Letter from KPMG LLP regarding change in certifying accountants (incorporated by reference to current report on Form 8-K filed February 12, 2004). |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of ARTISTdirect, Inc. (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form SB-2 filed November 10, 2005). |
| 23.1 | Consent of Gumbiner Savett Inc. |
| 23.2 | Consent of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP (included in its opinion filed as Exhibit 5.1). |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (incorporated by reference to registration statement on Form SB-2 filed November 10, 2005). |
| | |