

HMS HOLDINGS CORP
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

Or

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 000-50194

HMS HOLDINGS CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: HMS HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

New York

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

11-3656261

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

401 Park Avenue South, New York, NY

(Address of principal executive offices)

10016

(Zip Code)

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(212) 725-7965

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). ☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of August 2, 2011 there were approximately 84,734,457 shares of the registrant's common stock (par value \$0.01 per share) outstanding.

Table of Contents

**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q**

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

INDEX

	Page
PART I - Financial Information	4
Item 1.	
Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> <u>June 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> <u>Three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income</u> <u>Six months ended June 30, 2011 (unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> <u>Six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u>	7
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u> <u>Three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u>	8
Item 2.	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
Item 3.	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	28
Item 4.	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	29
PART II - Other Information	30
Item 1A.	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	30
Item 6.	
<u>Exhibits</u>	30
<u>Signatures</u>	31
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	32

Table of Contents

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. From time to time, we also provide forward-looking statements in other materials we release to the public, as well as oral forward-looking statements. Such statements give our expectations or forecasts of future events; they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We have tried, wherever possible, to identify such statements by using words such as anticipate, estimate, expect, project, intend, plan, believe, will, target, seek, forecast and similar expressions. In particular, these include statements relating to future actions, business plans, objects and prospects, future operating or financial performance or results of current and anticipated services, acquisitions and the performance of companies we have acquired, sales efforts, expenses, interest rates, and the outcome of contingencies, such as financial results.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized. Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding our business, the economy and other future conditions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could differ materially from past results and those anticipated, estimated or projected. We caution you, therefore, against relying on any of these forward-looking statements. They are neither statements of historical fact nor guarantees or assurances of future performance. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in particular, the risks discussed under the heading Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Part II of this 10-Q and those discussed in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Any forward-looking statements made by us in this Report on Form 10-Q speak only as of the date on which they are made. Factors or events that could cause actual results to differ may emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all of them. We undertake no obligation to publicly update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required by law. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our 10-K and 8-K reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)****(unaudited)**

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 117,656	\$ 94,836
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$725 at June 30, 2011 and \$799 at December 31, 2010	80,794	75,123
Prepaid expenses	6,527	5,521
Prepaid income taxes	3,392	3,533
Other current assets	199	371
Net deferred tax asset	749	664
Total current assets	209,317	180,048
Property and equipment, net	46,212	44,713
Goodwill, net	106,675	107,414
Intangible assets, net	17,596	19,826
Other assets	5,867	904
Total assets	\$ 385,667	\$ 352,905
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$ 24,789	\$ 32,502
Contingent payables	2,972	
Total current liabilities	27,761	32,502
Long-term liabilities:		
Contingent payables		2,573
Accrued deferred rent	1,575	1,842
Other liabilities	1,976	2,582
Deferred tax liabilities	6,692	5,768
Total long-term liabilities	10,243	12,765
Total liabilities	38,004	45,267
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock - \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued		
Common Stock - \$0.01 par value; 125,000,000 shares authorized; 89,686,170 shares issued and 84,697,632 shares outstanding at June 30, 2011; 88,341,546 shares issued and 83,353,008 shares outstanding at December 31, 2010	897	883
Capital in excess of par value	222,222	204,450
Retained earnings	133,941	111,702
Treasury stock, at cost; 4,988,538 shares at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	(9,397)	(9,397)
Total shareholders' equity	347,663	307,638
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 385,667	\$ 352,905

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(in thousands, except per share amounts)****(unaudited)**

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenue	\$ 89,346	\$ 70,726	\$ 171,803	\$ 135,678
Cost of services:				
Compensation	31,531	25,391	62,842	49,180
Data processing	5,652	4,296	10,634	8,115
Occupancy	3,726	2,809	7,534	6,150
Direct project costs	11,064	8,204	20,653	15,778
Other operating costs	4,597	3,945	8,811	7,173
Amortization of acquisition related software and intangibles	1,648	1,398	3,388	2,901
Total cost of services	58,218	46,043	113,862	89,297
Selling, general and administrative expenses	10,668	9,498	21,372	18,480
Total operating expenses	68,886	55,541	135,234	107,777
Operating income	20,460	15,185	36,569	27,901
Interest expense	(23)	(23)	(46)	(46)
Other income, net	277		549	
Interest income	16	24	36	41
Income before income taxes	20,730	15,186	37,108	27,896
Income taxes	8,307	6,074	14,869	11,205
Net income	\$ 12,423	\$ 9,112	\$ 22,239	\$ 16,691
Basic income per common share				
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.21
Diluted income per share				
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.20
Weighted average shares:				
Basic	83,925	81,320	84,186	81,040
Diluted	86,720	85,088	87,112	84,812

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011****(in thousands, except share amounts)****(unaudited)**

	Common stock		Capital in	Retained	Treasury Stock		Total
	# of Shares	Par Value	Excess of Par	Earnings	# of	Amount	Shareholders
	Issued		Value		Shares		Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	88,341,546	\$ 883	\$ 204,450	111,702	4,988,538	\$ (9,397)	\$ 307,638
Comprehensive income:							
Net income				22,239			22,239
Total comprehensive income							22,239
Stock-based compensation cost			3,966				3,966
Exercise of Stock Options	1,267,872	14	7,749				7,763
Vesting of restricted stock awards and units, net of shares withheld for employee tax	76,752		(903)				(903)
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options			6,960				6,960
Balance at June 30, 2011	89,686,170	\$ 897	\$ 222,222	\$ 133,941	4,988,538	\$ (9,397)	\$ 347,663

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****(unaudited)**

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 22,239	\$ 16,691
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	9,805	7,307
Stock-based compensation expense	3,966	3,428
Deferred income taxes	839	(782)
Decrease in allowance for doubtful debts	(74)	
Change in fair value of contingent consideration	399	
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	2	21
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
(Increase)/Decrease in accounts receivable	(5,597)	831
(Increase)/Decrease in prepaid expenses	(1,006)	(134)
(Increase)/Decrease in prepaid income taxes	141	(624)
(Increase)/ Decrease in other current assets	11	(58)
(Increase)/Decrease in other assets	(154)	682
Decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(6,377)	(5,058)
Net cash provided by operating activities	24,194	22,304
Investing activities:		
Investment in certificate of deposit	(4,809)	
Purchases of property and equipment	(8,986)	(5,430)
Purchase of building and land		(9,886)
Acquisition of AMG-SIU	161	(12,795)
Acquisition of Verify Solutions	(500)	
Investment in capitalized software	(1,060)	(1,006)
Net cash used in investing activities	(15,194)	(29,117)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	7,763	3,713
Payments of tax withholdings on behalf of employees for net-share settlement for stock-based compensation	(903)	
Excess tax benefit from exercised stock options	6,960	4,972
Net cash provided by financing activities	13,820	8,685
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	22,820	1,872
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	94,836	64,863
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 117,656	\$ 66,735
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 7,027	\$ 7,667
Cash paid for interest	\$ 47	\$ 23
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing activities:		
Accrued property and equipment purchases	\$ 1,012	\$ 502
Accrued acquisition related contingent consideration	\$	\$ 2,573

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or U.S. GAAP, for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim financial statements furnished herein include all adjustments necessary (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) for a fair presentation of our and our subsidiaries' financial position at June 30, 2011, the results of our operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. Interim financial statements are prepared on a basis consistent with our annual financial statements. The financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which we refer to as our Annual Report.

We provide a variety of cost containment, coordination of benefits and program integrity services for government and private healthcare payors and sponsors. These services are designed to help our clients recover amounts due from liable third parties, save dollars, reduce fraud, waste and abuse and ensure regulatory compliance. In September 2008, we purchased the assets and liabilities of Prudent Rx, expanding our portfolio of program integrity service offerings for government healthcare programs and managed care organizations, particularly in the pharmacy arena. In September 2009, we further expanded our portfolio of program integrity service offerings for government healthcare programs, particularly in the Medicare and Medicaid programs with our acquisition of IntegriGuard LLC, or IntegriGuard. In December 2009, with the acquisition of Verify Solutions, Inc., or Verify Solutions, we moved into the employer-based market with valuable new services that ensure that dependents covered by employees are eligible to receive healthcare benefits. In June 2010, we acquired Allied Management Group - Special Investigation Unit, or AMG-SIU, which provides fraud, waste and abuse prevention and detection solutions for healthcare payors. In August 2010, we acquired Chapman Kelly, Inc., or Chapman Kelly, which provides claims audit and beneficiary eligibility audit services to employers and managed care organizations.

These consolidated financial statements include our accounts and transactions and those of our wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We are managed and operated as one business, with a single management team that reports to the Chief Executive Officer. We do not operate separate lines of business with respect to any of our product lines.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Our actual results could differ from those estimates.

We consider all highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist of deposits that are readily convertible into cash.

Our financial instruments are categorized into a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and

Table of Contents

HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(unaudited)

the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the category level is based on the lowest priority level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument. Financial assets recorded at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets are categorized as follows:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;
- Level 2: Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

Our policy is to limit our credit exposure by placing our investments with financial institutions evaluated as being creditworthy, or in short-term money market funds which are exposed to minimal interest rate and credit risk. We maintain our cash primarily in investment accounts within large financial institutions. Currently, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures these balances up to \$250,000 per bank account. We have not experienced any losses on our bank deposits and we believe these deposits do not expose us to any significant credit risk.

We are subject to potential credit risk related to changes in economic conditions within the healthcare market. However, we believe that our billing and collection policies are adequate to minimize the potential credit risk.

We evaluate the recoverability of goodwill and long-lived assets either annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset's carrying amount may not be recoverable. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to (i) a significant decrease in the market value of an asset, (ii) a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, or (iii) an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition of an asset. We measure the carrying amount of the asset against the estimated undiscounted future cash flows associated with it. If the sum of the expected future net cash flows is less than the carrying value of the asset being evaluated, an impairment charge would be recognized. The impairment charge would be calculated as the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair value. The determination of fair value is based on quoted market prices, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, the estimate of fair value is based on various valuation techniques, including the discounted value of estimated future cash flows. We did not recognize any impairment charges related to our long-lived assets, property and equipment, goodwill or intangible assets, during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, as management believes that carrying amounts were not impaired.

The carrying amounts for our cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their short-term nature.

On April 28, 2011, our Board of Directors approved a three-for-one stock split of our outstanding shares of common stock which required the approval of our shareholders on July 6, 2011 to increase our authorized shares. The stock split will be effected in the form of a stock dividend of two additional common shares for each share owned by shareholders of record at the close of business on July 22, 2011 and will be payable on August 16, 2011. All common share and per share information in our consolidated financial statements have been revised retroactively to reflect the stock split.

Certain reclassifications were made to prior year amounts to conform to the current presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on previously reported net income or financial position. This includes reclassifications of certain expenses from cost of services to selling, general and administration expenses.

Table of Contents

HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(unaudited)

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

On May 12, 2011 the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued authoritative accounting guidance for updates on Fair Value Measurements, specifically, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. This update amends Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure, clarifies the application of certain existing fair value measurement guidance and expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. This authoritative guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. This new guidance is to be adopted prospectively and early adoption is not permitted. We do not believe that adoption of this guidance will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued authoritative accounting guidance on the disclosure of supplementary pro forma information for business combinations to clarify the reporting of pro forma financial information related to business combinations of public entities and expand certain supplemental pro forma disclosures. This guidance is effective prospectively for business combinations that occur on or after the beginning of the fiscal year beginning on or after December 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. We do not expect that this guidance will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05 for the presentation of comprehensive income thereby amending Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 220, *Comprehensive Income*. The amendments require that all non-owner changes in stockholder's equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments are effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 and should be applied retrospectively. These amendments will impact the presentation of our financial statements upon adoption.

Excluding the recently issued authoritative accounting guidance noted above, there have been no developments to recently issued accounting standards, including the expected dates of adoption and estimated effects on our consolidated financial statements, from those disclosed in the our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

2. Acquisitions

The results of operations for our 2010 acquisitions have been included in our consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. We have concluded that these acquisitions were not material to our financial statements; therefore, pro forma financial information is not presented

herein.

Chapman Kelly, Inc.

In August 2010, we acquired the assets and liabilities of Chapman Kelly for \$13.0 million in cash. Chapman Kelly, which is based in Jeffersonville, Indiana, provides dependent eligibility audits to large, self-insured employers, as well as plan and claims audits to both employers and managed care organizations. The acquisition of Chapman Kelly was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting.

Table of Contents

HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(unaudited)

The following table summarizes the final amounts recognized for assets acquired and liabilities assumed (in thousands):

Goodwill	\$	9,468
Identifiable intangible assets		2,239
Assets and liabilities acquired		1,018
Capitalized software		276
Total purchase price	\$	13,001

Identifiable intangible assets principally include covenants not to compete, customer relationships and Chapman Kelly's trade name.

During the first quarter of 2011, we finalized the purchase price allocation which resulted in an increase to customer relationships of \$739 thousand and an offsetting decrease to goodwill as compared to the amounts recorded at December 31, 2010.

Allied Management Group - Special Investigation Unit

In June 2010, we purchased all of the issued and outstanding common stock of AMG-SIU for a purchase price valued at \$15.1 million, consisting of a \$13.0 million initial cash payment (subsequently reduced by a working capital reduction of \$0.2 million) and future contingent payments estimated and recognized as of the acquisition date at \$2.3 million. These payments are contingent upon AMG-SIU's financial performance for each of the twelve month periods ending June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012. The contingent payments are not subject to any cap. Any contingent payments owed for the periods ending June 30, 2011 and 2012 shall be payable by September 30, 2011 and 2012, respectively. The undiscounted contingent payments are currently estimated to be \$3.4 million and relate to the 12 month period ending June 30, 2012. AMG-SIU, which is based in Santa Ana, California, specializes in fraud, waste and abuse prevention and detection solutions for healthcare payors, which further strengthens our ability to service this segment of the market. The acquisition of AMG-SIU was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting.

The fair value of the contingent consideration recognized on the acquisition date of June 30, 2010 was estimated by applying the income approach. The measure is based on significant inputs not observable in the market that are defined by the FASB, guidance on fair value as Level 3 inputs. We are obligated to make contingent payments subject to satisfaction of certain financial results of AMG-SIU as discussed in stock purchase agreement. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of the contingent payments was \$2.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Verify Solutions, LLC

In December 2009, we acquired the assets of Verify Solutions, an Alpharetta, Georgia-based company specializing in dependent eligibility audit services for large, self insured employers. With this acquisition, we moved into the large and mid-market employer-based market.

The purchase price for Verify Solutions was \$8.1 million, with additional future payments of up to \$5.5 million (\$2.7 million and \$2.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively) contingent upon Verify Solutions' achievement of financial performance milestones. The purchase price includes an additional \$148,000 working capital payment made in 2010 and

Table of Contents**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010****(unaudited)**

\$500,000 initially not due to the seller until 2011, which has been paid. Verify Solutions did not meet the 2010 financial performance milestones and as such did not earn the related milestone payment.

The allocation of the purchase price for Verify Solutions was based upon the fair value estimate of its assets and liabilities. The acquisition of Verify Solutions was based on management's consideration of past and expected future performance as well as the potential strategic fit with our long-term goals. The expected long-term growth, market position and expected synergies to be generated by Verify Solutions were the primary factors that gave rise to an acquisition price that resulted in the recognition of identifiable intangible assets.

In December 2010, following our acquisition of Chapman Kelly, which together with Verify Solutions forms HMS Employer Solutions, we amended the terms of the contingent payment for 2011. Under the terms of this amendment, the former owners of Verify Solutions could earn a contingent payment of between \$1.3 million and \$2.8 million based on the revenue generated by HMS Employer Solutions for the year ending December 31, 2011. If earned, the contingent payment will be accrued and recorded to compensation expense in 2011. It has been determined that the conditions to earn the 2011 contingent payment will not be achieved, accordingly no amounts have been accrued.

3. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consisted of the following at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010

(in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	Useful Life
Customer relations	\$ 32,987	\$ 32,247	5-10 years
Trade name	3,932	3,932	3-7 years
Restrictive covenant	2,626	2,626	3-5 years
	39,545	38,805	
Less accumulated amortization	(21,949)	(18,979)	
Intangible assets, net	\$ 17,596	\$ 19,826	

Estimated amortization expense for intangible assets is expected to approximate the following (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,		
Remainder of 2011	\$	2,920
2012		5,816
2013		4,263
2014		1,320
2015		994
Thereafter		2,283

Table of Contents

HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(unaudited)

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	107,414
Chapman Kelly acquisition measurement period adjustments		(739)
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$	106,675

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, amortization expense related to intangible assets amounted to \$1.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, amortization expense related to intangible assets amounted to \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

4. Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate decreased to 40.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from 40.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to a change in state apportionments. The principal difference between the statutory rate and our effective rate is state taxes.

We file income tax returns with the U.S. federal government and various state jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations for years before 2007. We operate in a number of state and local jurisdictions, most of which have never audited our records. Accordingly, we are subject to state and local income tax examinations based upon the various statutes of limitations in each jurisdiction.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we recorded a tax benefit of \$7.0 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, related to the utilization of the income tax benefit from stock transactions by reducing income tax payable and crediting capital.

At June 30, 2011 and 2010, we had approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million of net unrecognized tax benefits, respectively, for which there is uncertainty about the allocation and apportionment impacting state taxable income. We do not expect any significant change in unrecognized tax benefits during the next twelve months. We have recognized interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in tax expense. The accrued liabilities related to uncertain tax positions were \$0.5 million for each of the six month periods ending June 30, 2011 and 2010.

We believe that it is reasonably possible that decreases in unrecognized tax benefits of up to \$70,000 may be recorded within the next year.

5. Debt

We have a credit agreement with several banks and other financial institutions, with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JPMCB) as administrative agent, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement, which expires in September of 2011, provided for a term loan of \$40.0 million, which we refer to as the Term Loan, and revolving credit loans of up to \$25.0 million, which we refer to as the Revolving Loan. The term loan was fully repaid in 2009. To date, we have not borrowed under the Revolving Loan. The amount available under the Revolving Loan as of June 30, 2011 is \$25.0 million.

Table of Contents

HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(unaudited)

We secured the Term and Revolving Loans with the grant of a security interest, covering our assets and subsidiaries, in favor of the lenders. Interest on borrowings under the Credit Agreement is calculated, at our option, at either (i) LIBOR, including statutory reserves, plus a variable margin based on our leverage ratio, or (ii) the higher of (a) the prime lending rate of JPMCB, and (b) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.50%, in each case plus a variable margin based on our leverage ratio. In connection with the Revolving Loan, we agreed to pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Revolving Loan, payable quarterly in arrears, at a variable rate based on our leverage ratio.

Commitments under the Credit Agreement will be reduced and borrowings are required to be repaid with the net proceeds of, among other things, sales or issuances of equity (excluding equity issued under employee benefit plans and equity issued to sellers as consideration in acquisitions), sales of assets and any incurrence of indebtedness by us, subject, in each case, to limited exceptions. Our obligations under the Credit Agreement may be accelerated upon the occurrence of an event of default under the Credit Agreement, which encompasses customary events of default including, without limitation, payment defaults, defaults in the performance of affirmative and negative covenants, the inaccuracy of representations or warranties, bankruptcy and insolvency related defaults, defaults relating to such matters as ERISA, uninsured judgments and the failure to pay certain indebtedness and a change of control default.

In addition, the Credit Agreement contains affirmative, negative and financial covenants customary for financings of this type. The negative covenants include restrictions on indebtedness, liens, fundamental changes, dispositions of property, investments, dividends and other restricted payments. The financial covenants include a consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio, as defined, of not less than 1.75 to 1.0 and a consolidated leverage ratio, as defined, not to exceed 3.0 to 1.0 through June 30, 2011. As of the date hereof, we are in full compliance with these covenants.

In March 2010, we entered into an amendment to the Credit Agreement, which we refer to as the First Amendment, to increase the total amount we could spend on acquisitions in any one year from \$10.0 million to \$30.0 million.

In June 2011, we purchased a certificate of deposit in the amount of \$4.8 million to collateralize an existing irrevocable standby Letter of Credit that we entered into as part of our contractual agreement with a client. The certificate of deposit is included within other non-current assets on our balance sheet.

6. Earnings Per Share

Basic income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive common share

Edgar Filing: HMS HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

equivalents outstanding during the period. Our common share equivalents consist of stock options and restricted stock awards and units.

The following table reconciles the basic to diluted weighted average shares outstanding using the treasury stock method (shares in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	83,925	81,320	84,186	81,040
Dilutive effect of stock options	2,671	3,655	2,781	3,670
Dilutive effect of restricted stock awards and units	124	113	145	102
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	86,720	85,088	87,112	84,812

Table of Contents

HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(unaudited)

For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, 231,225 and 36,495 stock options, respectively, were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, restricted stock units representing 50,211 and 1,325 shares of common stock, respectively, were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, 554,526 and 577,074 stock options, respectively, were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the six ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, restricted stock units representing 37,170 and 666 shares of common stock, respectively, were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

We issue restricted stock units under the terms of our 2006 Stock Plan, as amended, or the 2006 Stock Plan. For the majority of restricted stock units granted, the number of shares issued on the date the restricted stock units vest is net of the statutory withholding requirements that we pay on behalf of our employees. During 2011, net restricted stock awards and restricted stock units of 76,752 were vested after the withholding of 37,158 shares to satisfy \$0.9 million of employees' tax obligations. Although shares withheld are not issued, they are treated as common stock repurchases for accounting and disclosure purposes, as they reduce the number of shares that would have been issued upon vesting.

7. Stock-Based Compensation

Total stock-based compensation expense charged as a selling, general and administrative expense in our consolidated statements of income related to our stock compensation plans was \$1.9 million and \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively, and \$4.0 million and \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively.

The total income tax benefit related to stock-based compensation expense recognized in our consolidated statements of income was \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million, for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$1.6 million and \$1.4 million, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Presented below is a summary of our stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (shares in thousands):

Edgar Filing: HMS HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Terms	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at				
December 31, 2010	6,969	\$ 8.07		
Granted	15	\$ 22.39		
Exercised	(1,269)	\$ 6.12		
Forfeitures	(33)	\$ 15.33		
Expired				
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	5,682	\$ 8.50	4.27	\$ 97,322
Expected to vest at June 30, 2011	2,514	\$ 12.33	5.00	\$ 33,422
Exercisable at June 30, 2011	3,090	\$ 5.29	3.69	\$ 62,847

Table of Contents**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010****(unaudited)**

The fair value of each option grant was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected volatilities are calculated based on the historical volatility of our common stock. Management monitors share option exercise and employee termination patterns to estimate forfeiture rates within the valuation model. Separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior are considered separately for valuation purposes. The expected holding period of options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected terms of options granted are based upon our historical experience for similar types of stock option awards. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury notes.

We estimated the fair value of each stock option grant on the date of the grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the weighted-average assumptions set forth in the following table:

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Risk-free interest rate	3.04%	2.47%
Expected volatility	43.7%	43.8%
Expected life	4.0 years	4.0 years

During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we issued 0.3 million shares, and 0.7 million shares, respectively, of our common stock upon the exercise of outstanding stock options and received proceeds of \$1.5 million, and \$2.5 million, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we realized a \$3.7 million and \$3.6 million tax benefit from the exercise of stock options, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we issued 1.3 million shares, and 1.1 million shares, respectively, of our common stock upon the exercise of outstanding stock options and received proceeds of \$7.8 million, and \$3.7 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we realized a \$7.0 million and \$5.0 million tax benefit from the exercise of stock options, respectively.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, approximately \$1.2 million, and \$1.4 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation cost relating to stock options has been charged against income. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, approximately \$2.7 million, and \$2.9 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation cost relating to stock options has been charged against income. As of June 30, 2011, there was approximately \$6.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost, adjusted for estimated forfeitures, related to stock options outstanding, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.0 year.

Edgar Filing: HMS HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

The aggregate intrinsic value in the previous table reflects the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between our closing stock price on the last trading day of the period and the exercise price of the options, multiplied by the number of in-the-money stock options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on June 30, 2011. The intrinsic value of our stock options changes based on the closing price of our common stock. The total intrinsic value of options exercised (the difference in the market price of our common stock on the exercise date and the price paid by the optionee to exercise the option) for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$5.2 million and \$9.7 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised

Table of Contents**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010****(unaudited)**

during the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$23.2 million and \$14.1 million, respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

In February 2011, October 2010 and October 2009, certain employees received restricted stock units under the Third Amended and Restated 2006 Stock Plan, or the 2006 Stock Plan. In October 2010, our Board or Directors also received restricted stock units under the 2006 Stock Plan. The fair value of restricted stock units is estimated based on the closing sale price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the date of issuance. The total number of restricted stock units expected to vest is adjusted by estimated forfeiture rates.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, we granted 690 restricted stock units, with an aggregate fair market value of \$17,000. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, we granted 202,197 restricted stock units, with an aggregate fair market value of \$4.9 million. At June 30, 2011 approximately 347,652 restricted stock units remained unvested and there was approximately \$6.2 million of unamortized compensation cost related to restricted stock units, which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 2.51 years. Stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units was \$0.5 million and \$73,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and \$0.9 million and \$147,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

A summary of the status of our restricted stock units as of June 30, 2011 and of changes in restricted stock units outstanding under the 2006 Stock Plan for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands, except for weighted average grant date fair value per unit):

	Number of Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Unit	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding balance at December 31, 2010	171	\$ 17.15	
Granted	202	\$ 24.56	
Vesting of Restricted Units, net of shares withheld for taxes	(3)	\$ 19.47	
Cancelled	(7)	\$ 18.65	
Outstanding balance at June 30, 2011	363	\$ 21.28	9,186

Restricted Stock Awards

Edgar Filing: HMS HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Our executive officers have received grants of restricted stock awards under the 2006 Stock Plan. The vesting of restricted stock awards is subject to the executive officers' continued employment with us. Recipients of restricted stock awards are not required to provide us with any consideration other than rendering service. Holders of restricted stock are permitted to vote and to receive dividends.

The stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock awards is determined based on the closing market price of our common stock on the grant date of the awards applied to the total number of awards that are anticipated to fully vest. Upon the vesting of the restricted stock units, shares withheld to pay taxes are retired. We did not issue restricted stock awards during the six months ended June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011 approximately 287,820 shares underlying restricted stock awards remained unvested

Table of Contents**HMS HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010****(unaudited)**

and there was approximately \$2.0 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards, which is expected to be recognized over the weighted-average period of 1.6 years. Stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards was \$0.2 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and \$0.4 million for each of the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

A summary of the status of our restricted stock awards at June 30, 2011 and of changes in restricted stock awards outstanding under the 2006 Stock Plan for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands, except for weighted average grant date fair value):

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding balance at December 31, 2010	384	\$ 10.42	
Granted			
Vesting of Restricted Awards	(63)	\$ 10.42	
Shares withheld for payment of taxes upon vesting of restricted stock awards	(33)	\$ 10.42	
Outstanding balance at June 30, 2011	288	\$ 10.42	\$ 7,375

The total fair value of restricted stock awards vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$1.0 million.

8. Subsequent Events

At our Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on July 6, 2011, shareholders, among other matters, approved the increase in number of shares of common stock that we are authorized to issue from 45,000,000 to 125,000,000. Shareholders also approved our Fourth Amended and Restated 2006 Stock Plan, which increases the number of shares of common stock that may be delivered there under by 2,000,000 shares.

In connection with the preparation of these Consolidated Financial Statements, an evaluation of subsequent events was performed through the date these Consolidated Financial Statements were issued and other than the stock split disclosed in Note 1, there are no other events that have occurred that would require adjustments or disclosure to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We begin Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations with a discussion of the critical accounting policies that we believe are important to understanding the assumptions and judgments incorporated in our reported financial results. We then present a business overview followed by a discussion of our results of operations. Lastly, we provide an analysis of our liquidity and capital resources, including discussions of our cash flows, sources of capital and financial commitments.

The following discussions and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the other sections of the Annual Report, including the Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplemental Data thereto appearing in Part II, Item 8 of the Annual Report, the Risk Factors appearing in Part I, Item 1A of the Annual Report and the disclaimer regarding forward-looking statements appearing at the beginning of Part I, Item 1 of the Annual Report. Historical results set forth in Part II, Item 6, Item 7 and Item 8 of the Annual Report should not be taken as necessarily indicative of our future operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

Since the date of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, there have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies.

Table of Contents

General Overview

We provide a variety of cost containment services, including coordination of benefits and program integrity services, for government and private healthcare payors and sponsors. These services are designed to help our clients recover amounts due from liable third parties, save dollars, reduce fraud, waste and abuse and ensure regulatory compliance.

Our clients are state Medicaid agencies, Medicaid and Medicare managed care plans, government and private self-funded employers, Pharmacy Benefit Managers, or PBMs, child support agencies, the Veterans Health Administration, or VHA, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, commercial plans, other healthcare payors and large business outsourcing and technology firms. We help these entities contain healthcare costs by ensuring that claims are paid correctly, through our program integrity services and by ensuring that claims are paid by the responsible party, through our coordination of benefits services.

In September 2010, we acquired privately-held Chapman Kelly, Inc., or Chapman Kelly, based in Jeffersonville, Indiana. Chapman Kelly provides dependent eligibility audits to large, self-insured employers, as well as plan and claims audits to employers and managed care organizations. With our acquisition of Chapman Kelly we have developed a robust Employer Solutions product area that provides dependent eligibility audit services to employers of all sizes and also augments our claim audit offering for healthcare plans.

In June 2010, we acquired privately-held Allied Management Group Special Investigation Unit, or AMG-SIU, a leading provider of fraud, waste and abuse prevention and detection solutions for healthcare payors. Based in Santa Ana, California, AMG-SIU provides audit and consulting services to both government and commercial healthcare payors and offers a proprietary forensic claim editing system to analyze claim data for patterns of fraud, waste and abuse. AMG-SIU employs an in-house special investigation unit to conduct preliminary research, investigations, medical record reviews and pharmacy reviews.

At June 30, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$117.7 million, and net working capital of \$181.6 million. We have a credit agreement with several banks and other financial institutions with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JPMCB), as administrative agent, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement, which expires in September 2011, provided for a term loan of \$40 million, which we refer to as the Term Loan, and revolving credit loans of up to \$25 million, which we refer to as the Revolving Loan. The term loan was repaid in 2009. To date, we have not borrowed under the Revolving Loan. Although we expect that operating cash flows will continue to be a primary source of liquidity for our operating needs, we also have the Revolving Loan available for future cash flow needs, if necessary.

Our revenue, most of which is derived from contingency fees, has increased at an average compounded rate of approximately 38.2% per year for the last five years. Our growth has been attributable to our expansion of existing product offerings and acquisitions, as well as an overall increase in Medicaid costs, which has historically averaged approximately 8% annually. In addition, state governments have increased their use of vendors for the coordination of benefits and other cost containment functions, and we have been able to increase our revenue through these initiatives. Leveraging our work on behalf of state Medicaid fee-for-service programs, we have penetrated the Medicaid managed care market, into which more Medicaid lives are being shifted. In addition to acting as a subcontractor for certain business outsourcing and technology firms, as of June 30, 2011, we served the District of Columbia and 42 state Medicaid programs, and 129 Medicaid health plans under an aggregate of 60 contracts.

Table of Contents

To date, we have grown our business through the internal development of new services and through acquisitions of businesses whose core services strengthen our overall mission to help our clients control healthcare costs. In addition, we leverage our expertise to acquire new clients at the state, federal and employer levels and to expand our current contracts to provide new services to current clients. We are continuously evaluating opportunities that will enable us to expand the breadth of the services we provide and will consider acquisition opportunities that enable us to continue to grow our business to address the increasing needs of the healthcare industry in the post-healthcare reform era.

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or the Affordable Care Act, was signed into law. According to Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, under the Affordable Care Act, approximately an additional 18 million lives will be added to Medicaid by 2019. In addition, the Affordable Care Act includes a number of provisions for combating fraud and abuse throughout the healthcare system, allows for significant increases in funding for program integrity initiatives and provides for the creation of insurance exchanges. The Affordable Care Act largely preserves and builds upon the employer-sponsored health coverage model. However, under the Affordable Care Act, employers are faced with new compliance guidelines, coverage requirements and mandates that will challenge their systems and processes and will likely raise their healthcare costs. We plan to build on our existing partnerships with states, the federal government, and health plans to provide services that address the program integrity, fraud and abuse initiatives created by the Affordable Care Act and to assist these clients in meeting the requirements of the Affordable Care Act. In addition, we believe that we are well-positioned to work with employers to address the new requirements of the Affordable Care Act and plan to work with our clients to develop collaborations that support the overarching goal of controlling healthcare costs.

In addition to the information provided below, you should refer to the items disclosed as our Critical Accounting Policies in Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of our Annual Report.

[Table of Contents](#)

SUMMARY OF OPERATING RESULTS

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain items in our consolidated statements of income expressed as a percentage of revenue:

	Three months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of service		
Compensation	35.3%	35.8%
Data processing	6.3%	6.1%
Occupancy	4.2%	4.0%
Direct project costs	12.4%	11.6%
Other operating costs	5.2%	5.6%
Amortization of intangibles	1.8%	2.0%
Total cost of services	65.2%	65.1%
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	11.9%	13.4%
Total operating expenses	77.1%	78.5%
Operating income	22.9%	21.5%
Interest expense	0%	0.0%
Other income, net	0.3%	0.0%
Interest income	0%	0.0%
Income before income taxes	23.2%	21.5%
Income taxes	(9.3)%	(8.6)%
Net income	13.9%	12.9%

Revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$89.3 million, an increase of \$18.6 million, or 26.3%, compared to revenue of \$70.7 million in the same quarter for the prior year. Organic growth in existing client accounts, together with changes in the yield and scope of those projects, and differences in the timing of when client projects were completed in the current year compared to the prior year, provided \$13.4 million of the increase in revenue. Revenue generated by our 2010 acquisitions, AMG-SIU and Chapman Kelly, was \$3.1 million. Revenue generated by eleven new clients for whom there was no revenue in the same quarter of the prior year was \$2.4 million. Expired contracts accounted for a decrease of \$0.3 million.

Compensation expense as a percentage of revenue was 35.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 35.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Compensation expense for the current quarter was \$31.5 million, a \$6.1 million, or 24.2%, increase over compensation expense of \$25.4 million for the same quarter in the prior year. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we averaged 1,625 employees, a 24.5% increase over our average of 1,305 employees during the quarter ended June 30, 2010. This increase reflects the addition of new staff as a result of our acquisitions of AMG-SIU and Chapman Kelly during the second and third quarters of 2010, respectively, and the addition of staff in the areas of client support, technical support and operations.

Table of Contents

Data processing expense as a percentage of revenue was 6.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 6.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Data processing expense was \$5.7 million for the current quarter, an increase of \$1.4 million, or 31.6%, over data processing expense of \$4.3 million for the same quarter in the prior year. Revenue growth as well as acquisitions drove the need for increased capacity in our data processing environment. This increase reflects \$0.7 million in additional software related costs, \$0.6 million in additional hardware costs, and \$0.1 million in additional data communications and data costs due to the growth of our business, including the number of field offices and employees.

Occupancy expense as a percentage of revenue was 4.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 4.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Occupancy expense for the current quarter was \$3.7 million, a \$0.9 million, or 32.6%, increase compared to occupancy of expense of \$2.8 million for the same quarter in the prior year. This increase reflects \$0.6 million in additional rent and related expense, \$0.2 million in additional depreciation of leasehold improvements, furniture and equipment, and \$0.1 million in other occupancy related costs including common area maintenance charges, additional telephone and utilities expense.

Direct project expense as a percentage of revenue was 12.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 11.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Direct project expense for the current quarter was \$11.1 million, a \$2.9 million, or 34.9%, increase, compared to direct project expense of \$8.2 million for the same quarter in the prior year. This increase resulted from a \$1.3 million increase for temporary help, consultants and marketing partners, a \$1.0 million increase for subcontractor expenses primarily driven by new projects and revenue increases, a \$0.3 million increase for lockbox, postage and delivery expense, a \$0.2 million increase for project-specific software costs, and a \$0.1 million increase for travel expenses.

Other operating costs as a percentage of revenue were 5.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 5.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Other operating costs for the current quarter were \$4.6 million, an increase of \$0.7 million, or 16.5%, compared operating costs of \$3.9 million for the same quarter in the prior year. This increase resulted from \$0.3 million in additional accretion expense related to the future contingent payments that may be payable to the former owners of AMG-SIU and Prudent Rx, \$0.2 million for supplies and related expenses, \$0.1 million in professional services, consisting of temporary help and consulting services, and \$0.1 million of employee relocation expenses.

Amortization of acquisition-related software and intangibles as a percentage of revenue was 1.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 2.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Amortization of acquisition-related software and intangibles for the current quarter was \$1.6 million, a \$0.2 million, or 17.9%, increase compared to amortization of \$1.4 million for the same quarter in the prior year. The increase resulted from the amortization of \$0.4 million related to our acquisitions of AMG-SIU and Chapman Kelly for which there was no amortization expense in the prior year period, which was partially offset by a reduction of \$0.2 million related to prior year acquisitions having been fully amortized.

Selling, general, and administrative expense as a percentage of revenue was 11.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 13.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Selling, general, and administrative expense for the current quarter was \$10.7 million, a \$1.2 million, or 12.3%, increase compared to \$9.5 million for the same quarter in the prior year. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we averaged 120 corporate employees, a 6.2% increase over our average of 113 corporate employees during the quarter ended June 30, 2010. Compensation expense increased by \$0.3 million as a result of increased headcount and related fringe benefits expense. Other operating expenses increased by \$0.5 million, of which \$0.4 million related to non-recurring management and training events and \$0.1

Table of Contents

million related to employee relocation. Data processing expense increased by \$0.4 million relating to expenses for hosting services and disaster recovery preparedness. Occupancy expenses were roughly equivalent in both periods.

Operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$20.5 million, an increase of \$5.3 million, or 34.7%, compared to \$15.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily the result of increased revenue, which was partially offset by incremental operating costs incurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

Interest expense was \$23,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. Interest expense represents commitment fees for our Credit Agreement and issuance fees for our Letter of Credit. Interest income was \$16,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to interest income of \$24,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Net other income primarily relating to rental income from our office building in Irving, Texas was \$277,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. We purchased the office building in Irving, Texas in June 2010, as a result, we did not realize any rental income during the second quarter of 2010.

We recorded income tax expense of \$8.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, compared to income tax expense of \$6.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of \$2.2 million. Our effective tax rate increased to 40.1% for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 from 40.0% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to a change in state apportionments. The principal difference between the statutory rate and our effective rate is state taxes.

Net income of \$12.4 million in the current quarter represents an increase of \$3.3 million, or 36.3%, compared to net income of \$9.1 million in the same quarter for the prior year.

Table of Contents**Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2010**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain items in our consolidated statements of income expressed as a percentage of revenue:

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of service		
Compensation	36.6%	36.3%
Data processing	6.2%	6.0%
Occupancy	4.4%	4.5%
Direct project costs	12.0%	11.6%
Other operating costs	5.1%	5.3%
Amortization of intangibles	2.0%	2.1%
Total cost of services	66.3%	65.8%
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	12.4%	13.6%
Total operating expenses	78.7%	79.4%
Operating income	21.3%	20.6%
Interest expense	0%	0.0%
Other income, net	0.3%	0.0%
Interest income	0%	0.0%
Income before income taxes	21.6%	20.6%
Income taxes	(8.7)%	(8.3)%
Net income	12.9%	12.3%

Revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$171.8 million, an increase of \$36.1 million, or 26.6%, compared to revenue of \$135.7 million in the same quarter for the prior year. Organic growth in existing client accounts, together with changes in the yield and scope of those projects, and differences in the timing of when client projects were completed in the current year compared to the prior year, provided \$28.2 million of the increase in revenue. Revenue generated by our 2010 acquisitions, AMG-SIU and Chapman Kelly, was \$5.1 million. Revenue generated by eleven new clients for whom there was no revenue in the same six month period of the prior year was \$3.6 million. Expired contracts accounted for a decrease of \$0.8 million.

Compensation expense as a percentage of revenue was 36.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 36.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Compensation expense for the current quarter was \$62.8 million, a \$13.6 million, or 27.8%, increase over compensation expense of \$49.2 million for the same quarter in the prior year. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we averaged 1,621 employees, a 26.6% increase over our average of 1,280 employees during the six months ended June 30, 2010. This increase reflects the addition of new staff as a result of our acquisitions of AMG-SIU and Chapman Kelly during the second and third quarters of 2010, respectively, and the addition of staff in the areas of client support, technical support and operations.

Table of Contents

Data processing expense as a percentage of revenue was 6.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 6.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Data processing expense was \$10.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$2.5 million, or 31.0%, over data processing expense of \$8.1 million for the same period for the prior year. Revenue growth as well as acquisitions drove the need for increased capacity in our data processing environment. This increase reflects \$1.3 million in additional software related costs, \$1.0 million in additional hardware costs, and \$0.2 million in additional data communications and data costs due to the growth of our business, including the number of field offices and employees.

Occupancy expense as a percentage of revenue was 4.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 4.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Occupancy expense for the current period was \$7.5 million, a \$1.3 million, or 22.5%, increase compared to occupancy expense of \$6.2 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase reflects \$0.8 million in additional rent and related expense, \$0.3 million in additional depreciation of leasehold improvements, furniture and equipment, and \$0.2 million in other occupancy related costs including common area maintenance charges, telephone and utilities expense.

Direct project expense as a percentage of revenue was 12.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 11.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Direct project expense for the current period was \$20.7 million, a \$4.9 million, or 30.9%, increase, compared to direct project expense of \$15.8 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase resulted from a \$2.3 million increase for temporary help, consultants and marketing partners, a \$1.8 million increase for subcontractor expenses primarily driven by new projects and revenue increases, a \$0.4 million increase for project-specific software costs, and a \$0.4 million increase for lockbox, postage and delivery expense.

Other operating costs as a percentage of revenue were 5.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 5.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Other operating costs for the current period were \$8.8 million, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 22.8%, compared to operating costs of \$7.2 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase resulted from additional expenses of \$0.6 million in professional services, consisting of temporary help and consulting services, \$0.4 million in accretion expense related to the future contingent payment that may be payable to the former owners of AMG-SIU and Prudent Rx, \$0.2 million of travel expenses related to business expansion, \$0.2 million of employee relocation expenses, and \$0.2 million for supplies, delivery and other office-related expenses.

Amortization of acquisition-related software and intangibles as a percentage of revenue was 2.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 2.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Amortization of acquisition-related software and intangibles for the current period was \$3.4 million, a \$0.5 million, or 16.8%, increase compared to amortization of \$2.9 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase resulted from \$0.9 million related to our acquisitions of AMG-SIU and Chapman Kelly for which there was no amortization expense in the prior year period, partially offset by a reduction of \$0.4 million related to prior year acquisitions having been fully amortized.

Selling, general, and administrative expense as a percentage of revenue was 12.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 13.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Selling, general, and administrative expense for the current period was \$21.4 million, a \$2.9 million, or 15.6%, increase compared \$18.5 million for the same period in the prior year. During the period ended June 30, 2011, we averaged 118 corporate employees, a 5.4% increase over our average of 112 corporate employees during the period ended June 30, 2010. Compensation expense increased by \$0.6 million as a result of increased and related fringe benefits expense. Other operating expenses increased by \$1.5 million related to professional fees, including consultants, legal fees and costs associated with annual

Table of Contents

audit expenses and SEC filings, and \$0.9 million related to data processing expense for hosting services and disaster recovery preparedness. Occupancy expenses decreased by \$0.1 million.

Operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$36.6 million, an increase of \$8.7 million, or 31.1%, compared to \$27.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily the result of increased revenue, which was partially offset by incremental operating costs incurred during the period ended June 30, 2011.

Interest expense was \$46,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010. Interest expense represents commitment fees for our Credit Agreement and issuance fees for our Letter of Credit. Interest income was \$36,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to interest income of \$41,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Net other income primarily relating to rental income from our office building in Irving, Texas was \$549,000 for the period ended June 30, 2011. We purchased the office building in Irving, Texas in June 2010, as a result, we did not realize any rental income during the second quarter of 2010.

We recorded income tax expense of \$14.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to income tax expense of \$11.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of \$3.7 million. Our effective tax rate decreased to 40.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from 40.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to a change in state apportionments. The principal difference between the statutory rate and our effective rate is state taxes.

Net income of \$22.2 million in the current period represents an increase of \$5.5 million, or 33.2%, compared to net income of \$16.7 million in the same period for the prior year.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes in our contractual obligations as presented in our Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements. See Footnote 5 of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Edgar Filing: HMS HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Our principal source of funds has been from operations. We believe that our cash, cash equivalents, and future cash flows from operations will be adequate to fund our current operating requirements. At June 30, 2011, our cash and cash equivalents and net working capital were \$117.7 million and \$181.6 million, respectively. We expect that operating cash flows will continue to be a primary source of liquidity for our operating needs. There are currently no loans outstanding under the Revolving Loan.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$24.2 million, compared to \$22.3 million for the same period in 2010. The increase in cash provided by operating activities primarily resulted from net income of \$22.2 million and non-cash expenses of depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation, deferred income taxes and accretion of contingent consideration. These sources of cash were partially offset by increases in accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets, together with decreases in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$15.2 million compared to \$29.1 million for the same period in 2010. Investment in property and equipment for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$9.0 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. Investment in capitalized software for each of the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. We purchased a certificate of deposit in the amount of \$4.8 million to collateralize an existing irrevocable standby letter of credit that we entered into as part of our contractual agreement with a client. The purchase price for Verify Solutions included a \$148,000 working capital payment made in 2010 and \$500,000 initially not due to the seller until 2011, which has been paid.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$13.8 million, compared to \$8.7 million for the same period in 2010. Proceeds from stock option exercises for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$7.7 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. The excess tax benefits from stock option exercises for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$7.0 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. Payments of tax withholdings on behalf of employees for net-share settlements of stock-based compensation totaled \$0.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The net increase in cash and cash equivalents for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$22.8 million compared to a net increase of \$1.9 million for the same period in 2010.

The number of days sales outstanding as of June 30, 2011 increased to 81 days from 78 days at December 30, 2010.

Operating cash flows could be adversely affected by a decrease in demand for our services or if contracts with our largest clients are cancelled. The majority of our client relationships have been in place for several years, as a result, we do not expect any decrease in the demand for our services in the near term.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

At June 30, 2011, we were not a party to any derivative financial instruments. We conduct all of our business in U.S. currency and hence do not have direct foreign currency risk. The interest on borrowings under the Credit Agreement is at a variable rate based on the prime rate or LIBOR and may include a spread over or under the applicable rate. Further, we currently invest substantially all of our excess cash in short-term investments, primarily money market accounts, where returns effectively reflect current interest rates. As a result, market interest rate changes may impact our interest income or expense. The impact will depend on variables such as the magnitude of rate changes and the level of borrowings or excess cash balances. We do not consider this risk to be material. We manage such risk by continuing to evaluate the best investment rates available for short-term, high quality investments.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2011. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation of our controls performed during the three months ended June 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks that could have a negative impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition include without limitation (i) the development by competitors of new or superior services or products or the entry into the market of new competitors; (ii) all the risks inherent in the development, introduction, and implementation of new products and services; (iii) the loss of a major customer, customer dissatisfaction or early termination of customer contracts triggering significant costs or liabilities; (iv) variations in our results of operations; (v) negative results of government reviews, audits or investigations to verify our compliance with contracts and applicable laws and regulations; (vi) changing conditions in the healthcare environment, particularly as they relate to current healthcare reform initiatives; (vii) government regulatory, political and budgetary pressures that could affect the procurement practices and operations of healthcare organizations, reducing the demand for our services; and (viii) our failure to comply with laws and regulations governing health data or to protect such data from theft and misuse. A more detailed description of each of these and other risk factors can be found under the caption **Risk Factors** in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 1, 2011. There have been no material changes to the risk factors described in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 6. Exhibits

The Exhibits filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are listed on the Exhibit Index immediately following the Signatures.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 8, 2011

HMS HOLDINGS CORP.

By: **/s/ William C. Lucia**
William C. Lucia
President and Chief Executive Officer and Duly
Authorized Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: **/s/ Walter D. Hosp**
Walter D. Hosp
Chief Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Description
10.1	Amended Master Teaming and Non-Compete Agreement, executed on July 26, 2011, by and between Health Management Systems, Inc. and Public Consulting Group, Inc.
10.2	Supplementary Medicaid RAC Contract Teaming and Confidentiality Agreement, executed on July 26, 2011, by and between Health Management Systems, Inc. and Public Consulting Group, Inc.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Principal Executive Officer of HMS Holdings Corp., as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Principal Financial Officer of HMS Holdings Corp. , as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer of HMS Holdings Corp. , as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer of HMS Holdings Corp. , as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Furnished herewith