

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP  
Form 10-K  
February 25, 2011

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010  
OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ .

Commission File Number 1-6028

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Indiana  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)

35-1140070  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

150 N. Radnor Chester Road, Suite A305, Radnor,  
Pennsylvania  
(Address of principal executive offices)

19087  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (484) 583-1400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock	New York and Chicago
\$3.00 Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A	New York and Chicago
6.75% Capital Securities	New York
Warrants, each to purchase one share of common stock	New York

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No   
The aggregate market value of the shares of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates (based upon the closing price of these shares on the New York Stock Exchange) as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was \$7.7 billion.

As of February 18, 2011, 315,798,959 shares of common stock of the registrant were outstanding.

#### Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Selected portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, scheduled for May 26, 2011, have been incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Lincoln National Corporation

Table of Contents

Item		Page
	PART I	
1.	Business	1
	Overview	1
	Business Segments and Other Operations	3
	Retirement Solutions	3
	Retirement Solutions – Annuities	3
	Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution	7
	Insurance Solutions	9
	Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance	9
	Insurance Solutions – Group Protection	13
	Other Operations	14
	Reinsurance	14
	Reserves	15
	Investments	15
	Ratings	16
	Regulatory	17
	Employees	23
	Available Information	23
1A.	Risk Factors	23
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	38
2.	Properties	38
3.	Legal Proceedings	38
4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	38
	Executive Officers of the Registrant	39
	PART II	
5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	40
6.	Selected Financial Data	41
7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	42

Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language	43
Introduction	44
Executive Summary	44
Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	48
Acquisitions and Dispositions	66
Results of Consolidated Operations	67
Results of Retirement Solutions	72
Retirement Solutions – Annuities	73
Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution	81
Results of Insurance Solutions	88
Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance	89
Insurance Solutions – Group Protection	97

Item	Page
Results of Other Operations	100
Realized Loss	104
Consolidated Investments	109
Reinsurance	132
Review of Consolidated Financial Condition	133
Liquidity and Capital Resources	133
Other Matters	141
Other Factors Affecting Our Business	141
Recent Accounting Pronouncements	142
7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	142
8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	151
9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	253
9A. Controls and Procedures	253
9B. Other Information	253
<b>PART III</b>	
10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	253
11. Executive Compensation	254
12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	254
13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	255
14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	255
<b>PART IV</b>	
15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	255
Signatures	256
Index to Financial Statement Schedules	FS-1



PART I

The “Business” section and other parts of this Form 10-K contain forward-looking statements that involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs and expectations, and containing words such as “believes,” “estimates,” “anticipates,” “expects” or similar words are forward-looking statements. Our actual results may differ materially from the projected results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” and in the “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” in “Part II – Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” (“MD&A”) of the Form 10-K. Our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements (“Notes”) are presented in “Part II – Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

Item 1. Business

OVERVIEW

Lincoln National Corporation (“LNC,” which also may be referred to as “Lincoln,” “we,” “our” or “us”) is a holding company, which operates multiple insurance and retirement businesses through subsidiary companies. Through our business segments, we sell a wide range of wealth protection, accumulation and retirement income products and solutions. These products include fixed and indexed annuities, variable annuities, universal life insurance (“UL”), variable universal life insurance (“VUL”), linked-benefit UL, term life insurance, mutual funds, employer-sponsored defined contribution (“DC”) plans and group life, disability and dental. LNC was organized under the laws of the state of Indiana in 1968. We currently maintain our principal executive offices in Radnor, Pennsylvania. “Lincoln Financial Group” is the marketing name for LNC and its subsidiary companies. As of December 31, 2010, LNC had consolidated assets of \$193.8 billion and consolidated stockholders’ equity of \$12.8 billion.

We provide products and services in two operating businesses and report results through four segments as follows:

Business	Corresponding
Retirement	Segments
Solutions	Annuities
	Defined Contribution
Insurance	
Solutions	Life Insurance
	Group Protection

We also have Other Operations, which includes the financial data for operations that are not directly related to the business segments.

As a result of entering agreements of sale for Lincoln National (UK) plc (“Lincoln UK”) and Delaware Management Holdings, Inc. (“Delaware”) during 2009, we have reported the results of these businesses as discontinued operations on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) for all periods presented and the assets and liabilities, prior to the sale, as held for sale on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. For further information, see “Acquisitions and Dispositions” below.

The results of Lincoln Financial Network (“LFN”) and Lincoln Financial Distributors (“LFD”), our retail and wholesale distributors, respectively, are included in the segments for which they distribute products. LFD distributes our

individual products and services, DC plans and corporate-owned UL and VUL (“COLI”) and bank-owned UL and VUL (“BOLI”) products and services. The distribution occurs primarily through consultants, brokers, planners, agents, financial advisors, third party administrators (“TPAs”) and other intermediaries. Insurance Solutions – Group Protection distributes its products and services primarily through employee benefit brokers, TPAs and other employee benefit firms. As of December 31, 2010, LFD had approximately 550 internal and external wholesalers (including sales managers). As of December 31, 2010, LFN offered LNC and non-proprietary products and advisory services through a national network of approximately 8,000 active producers who placed business with us within the last twelve months.

Financial information in the tables that follow is presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), unless otherwise indicated. We provide revenues, income (loss) from operations and assets attributable to each of our business segments and Other Operations, as well as revenues derived inside and outside the U.S. for the last three fiscal years, in Note 23.



Revenues by segment (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues			
Operating revenues:			
Retirement Solutions:			
Annuities	\$ 2,654	\$ 2,301	\$ 2,438
Defined Contribution	988	926	932
Total Retirement Solutions	3,642	3,227	3,370
Insurance Solutions:			
Life Insurance	4,590	4,295	4,261
Group Protection	1,831	1,713	1,640
Total Insurance Solutions	6,421	6,008	5,901
Other Operations	487	465	532
Excluded realized gain (loss), pre-tax	(146)	(1,200)	(573)
Amortization of deferred gains from reserve changes on business sold through reinsurance, pre-tax	3	3	3
Amortization of deferred front-end loads ("DFEL") associated with benefit ratio unlocking, pre-tax	-	(4)	(9)
Total revenues	\$ 10,407	\$ 8,499	\$ 9,224

#### Acquisitions and Dispositions

On August 18, 2009, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement with Macquarie Bank Limited ("MBL"), pursuant to which we agreed to sell to MBL all of the outstanding capital stock of Delaware, our former subsidiary, which provided investment products and services to individuals and institutions. This transaction closed on January 4, 2010, with net of tax proceeds of approximately \$405 million.

In addition, certain of our subsidiaries, including The Lincoln National Life Insurance Company ("LNL"), our primary insurance subsidiary, entered into investment advisory agreements with Delaware dated January 4, 2010, pursuant to which Delaware will continue to manage the majority of the general account insurance assets of the subsidiaries. The investment advisory agreements will have 10-year terms, and we may terminate them without cause, subject to a purchase price adjustment of up to \$75 million in the event that all of the agreements with our subsidiaries are terminated. The amount of the potential adjustment declines on a pro rata basis over the 10-year term of the advisory agreements.

On October 1, 2009, we completed the sale of the capital stock of Lincoln UK to SLF of Canada UK Limited for net of tax proceeds of \$325 million. We retained Lincoln UK's pension plan assets and liabilities. The former Lincoln UK segment primarily focused on providing life and retirement income products in the United Kingdom.

On January 8, 2009, the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS") approved our application to become a savings and loan holding company and our acquisition of Newton County Loan & Savings, FSB ("NCLS"), a federally regulated savings bank located in Indiana. We closed on our purchase of NCLS on January 15, 2009. We have contributed \$25 million to the capital of NCLS since acquiring it in 2009.

On November 12, 2007, Lincoln Financial Media Company ("LFMC"), our wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into two stock purchase agreements with Raycom Holdings, LLC ("Raycom"). Pursuant to one of the agreements, LFMC agreed

to sell to Raycom all of the outstanding capital stock of three of LFMC's wholly-owned subsidiaries: WBTV, Inc., the owner and operator of television station WBTV, Charlotte, North Carolina; WCSC, Inc., the owner and operator of television station WCSC, Charleston, South Carolina; and WWBT, Inc., the owner and operator of television station WWBT, Richmond, Virginia. The transaction closed on March 31, 2008, and LFMC received proceeds of \$546 million. Pursuant to the other agreement, LFMC agreed to sell to Raycom all of the outstanding capital stock of Lincoln Financial Sports, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of LFMC. This transaction closed on November 30, 2007, and LFMC received \$42 million of proceeds.

On November 12, 2007, LFMC also entered into a stock purchase agreement with Greater Media, Inc., to sell all of the outstanding capital stock of LFMC of North Carolina, the owner and operator of radio stations WBT(AM), Charlotte, North Carolina; WBT-FM, Chester, South Carolina; and WLNK(FM), Charlotte, North Carolina. This transaction closed on January 31,

2008, and LFMC received proceeds of \$100 million. More information on these LFMC transactions can be found in our Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2007, and in Note 3.

On April 3, 2006, we completed our merger with Jefferson-Pilot Corporation (“Jefferson-Pilot”), pursuant to which Jefferson-Pilot merged into one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Prior to the merger, Jefferson-Pilot, through its subsidiaries, offered full lines of individual life, annuity and investment products, and group life insurance products, disability income and dental contracts, and it operated television and radio stations and a sports broadcasting network.

For further information about acquisitions and divestitures, see Note 3.

## BUSINESS SEGMENTS AND OTHER OPERATIONS

### RETIREMENT SOLUTIONS

#### Overview

The Retirement Solutions business, with principal operations in Radnor, Pennsylvania; Fort Wayne, Indiana; Hartford, Connecticut; and Greensboro, North Carolina and additional operations in Concord, New Hampshire and Arlington Heights, Illinois, provides its products through two segments: Annuities and Defined Contribution. The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment provides tax-deferred investment growth and lifetime income opportunities for its clients by offering individual fixed annuities, including indexed annuities, and variable annuities. The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment provides employer-sponsored variable and fixed annuities, defined benefit, individual retirement accounts and mutual-fund based programs in the retirement plan marketplaces. Products for both segments are distributed through a wide range of intermediaries including both affiliated and unaffiliated channels of advisors, consultants, brokers, banks and wirehouses.

#### Retirement Solutions – Annuities

#### Overview

The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment provides tax-deferred investment growth and lifetime income opportunities for its clients by offering fixed and variable annuities. The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment offers non-qualified and qualified fixed and variable annuities to individuals. The “fixed” and “variable” classification describes whether we or the contract holders bear the investment risk of the assets supporting the contract. This also determines the manner in which we earn investment margin profits from these products, either as investment spreads for fixed products or as asset-based fees charged to variable products.

Annuities have several features that are attractive to customers. First, they provide tax-deferred growth on the underlying principal, thereby deferring the tax consequences of the growth in value until withdrawals are made from the accumulation values, often at lower tax rates occurring during retirement. Second, annuities are unique in that contract holders can select a variety of payout alternatives to help provide an income flow for life. Many annuity contracts include guarantee features (living and death benefits) that are not found in any other investment vehicle and, we believe, make annuities attractive especially in times of economic uncertainty.

#### Products

In general, an annuity is a contract between an insurance company and an individual or group in which the insurance company, after receipt of one or more premium payments, agrees to pay an amount of money either in one lump sum or on a periodic basis (i.e., annually, semi-annually, quarterly or monthly), beginning on a certain date and continuing

for a period of time as specified in the contract or as requested. Periodic payments can begin within 12 months after the premium is received (referred to as an immediate annuity) or at a future date in time (referred to as a deferred annuity). This retirement vehicle helps protect an individual from outliving his or her money and can be either a fixed annuity or a variable annuity.

The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment’s deposits (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Deposits			
Variable portion of variable annuity	\$ 5,099	\$ 4,007	\$ 6,690
Fixed portion of variable annuity	3,167	3,194	3,433
Total variable annuity	8,266	7,201	10,123
Fixed indexed annuity	2,027	2,182	1,078
Other fixed annuity	374	979	529
Total annuity deposits	\$ 10,667	\$ 10,362	\$ 11,730

#### Variable Annuities

A variable annuity provides the contract holder the ability to direct the investment of premium deposits into one or more sub-accounts offered through the product (“variable portion”) or into a fixed account with a guaranteed return (“fixed portion”). The value of the variable portion of the contract holder’s account varies with the performance of the underlying sub-accounts chosen by the contract holder. The underlying assets of the sub-accounts are managed within a special insurance series of mutual funds. The contract holder’s return is tied to the performance of the segregated assets underlying the variable annuity (i.e., the contract holder bears the investment risk associated with these investments). The value of the fixed portion is guaranteed by us and recorded in our general account liabilities. Variable annuity account values were \$68.4 billion, \$59.4 billion and \$44.5 billion for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, including the fixed portion of variable accounts of \$3.5 billion, \$4.0 billion and \$3.6 billion, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We charge mortality and expense assessments and administrative fees on variable annuity accounts to cover insurance and administrative expenses. These assessments are built into accumulation unit values, which when multiplied by the number of units owned for any sub-account equals the contract holder’s account value for that sub-account. The fees that we earn from these contracts are reported as insurance fees on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). In addition, for some contracts, we collect surrender charges that range from 0% to 10% of withdrawals when contract holders surrender their contracts during the surrender charge period, which is generally higher during the early years of a contract.

We offer A-share, B-share, C-share, L-share and bonus variable annuities, although not with every annuity product. The differences in these relate to the sales charge and fee structure associated with the contract.

- An A-share has a front-end sales charge and no back-end contingent deferred sales charge, also known as a surrender charge. The net premium (premium less front-end charge) is invested in the contract, resulting in full liquidity and lower mortality and expense assessments over the long term than those in other share classes. A-share account values for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, were \$11.1 billion, \$8.6 billion and \$5.7 billion, respectively.
- A B-share has a seven year surrender charge that attaches to each deposit and is only paid if the account is surrendered or withdrawals are in excess of contractual free withdrawals within the contract’s specified surrender charge period. The entire premium is invested in the contract, but it offers limited liquidity during the surrender charge period. B-share account values for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, were \$30.0 billion, \$26.6 billion and \$21.1 billion, respectively.
- A C-share has no front-end sales charge or back-end surrender charge. Accordingly, it offers maximum liquidity but mortality and expense assessments are higher than those for A-share or B-share. A persistency credit is applied beginning in year eight so that the total charge to the customer is consistent with B-share levels after that

time. C-share account values for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, were \$2.3 billion, \$2.1 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively.

- An L-share has a four to five year surrender charge that attaches to each deposit and is only paid if the account is surrendered or withdrawals are in excess of contractual free withdrawals within the contract's specified surrender charge period. The differences between the L-share and the B-share are the length of the surrender charge period and the fee structure. L-shares have a shorter surrender charge period, so for the added liquidity, mortality and expense assessments are higher. We offer L-share annuity products with persistency credits that are applied in all years after a specified contract duration so that the total charge to the customer is consistent with B-share levels. L-share account values for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, were \$19.3 billion, \$16.4 billion and \$11.5 billion, respectively.
- A bonus annuity is a variable annuity contract that offers a bonus credit to a contract based on a specified percentage (typically ranging from 2% to 5%) of each deposit. The entire premium plus the bonus are invested in the sub-accounts supporting the contract. It has a seven to nine year surrender charge. The expenses are higher than those for a B-share. We offer bonus annuity products with persistency credits that are applied in all years after a specified contract duration so that the total charge to the customer is consistent with B-share levels. Bonus annuity account values for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, were \$5.7 billion, \$5.6 billion and \$4.6 billion, respectively.

We offer guaranteed benefit riders with certain of our variable annuity products, such as a guaranteed death benefit (“GDB”), a guaranteed withdrawal benefit (“GWB”), a guaranteed income benefit (“GIB”) and a combination of such benefits. Most of our variable annuity products also offer the choice of a fixed option that provides for guaranteed interest credited to the account value.

Approximately 93%, 92% and 91% of variable annuity separate account values had a GDB rider as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The GDB features offered in 2010 included those where we contractually guarantee to the contract holder that upon death, we will return no less than: the current contract value; the total deposits made to the contract, adjusted to reflect any partial withdrawals; the highest contract value on a specified anniversary date adjusted to reflect any partial withdrawals following the contract anniversary; or the current contract value plus a specified percentage of contract earnings, not to exceed a covered earnings limit.

Approximately 20%, 23% and 26% of variable annuity account values as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, had a Lincoln SmartSecurity® Advantage rider. The Lincoln SmartSecurity® Advantage one-year benefit is a GWB rider that offers the contract holder a guarantee equal to the initial deposit (or contract value, if elected after issue), adjusted for any subsequent purchase payments or withdrawals. Lincoln SmartSecurity® Advantage one-year allows an owner to step up the guarantee amount automatically on the benefit anniversary to the current contract value if the contract value is greater than the guarantee amount at the time of step up. To receive the full amount of the guarantee, annual withdrawals are limited to 5% of the guaranteed amount. Withdrawals will continue until the longer of when the guarantee is equal to zero or for the rest of the owner’s life (“single life version”) or the life of the owner or owner’s spouse (“joint life version”) as long as withdrawals begin after attained age 65 and are limited to 5% of the guaranteed amount. Withdrawals in excess of the applicable maximum in any contract year are assessed any applicable surrender charges, and the guaranteed amount is recalculated.

In 2010, we offered other product riders including the Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage, Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage 2.0 and Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus, which are hybrid benefit riders combining aspects of GWB and GIB. These benefit riders allow the contract holder the ability to take income at a maximum rate of 4% or 5% of the guaranteed amount when they are above the lifetime income age or income through i4LIFE® Advantage with the GIB. Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage, Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage 2.0 and Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus provide higher income if the contract holder delays withdrawals, including both a 5% enhancement to the guaranteed amount each year a withdrawal is not taken for a specified period of time and an annual step-up of the guaranteed amount to the current contract value. The Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus provides an additional benefit, which is a return of principal at the end of the seventh year if the customer has not taken any withdrawals. Contract holders under Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage, Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage 2.0 and Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus are subject to restrictions on the allocation of their account value within the various investment choices. Approximately 24%, 17% and 8% of variable annuity account values as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, had a Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage, Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage 2.0 or Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus rider.

We also offered the i4LIFE® Advantage and 4LATER® Advantage. The i4LIFE® Advantage rider, on which we have received a U.S. patent, allows variable annuity contract holders access and control during the income distribution phase of their contract. This added flexibility allows the contract holder to access the account value for transfers, additional withdrawals and other service features like portfolio rebalancing. Approximately 12%, 11% and 11% of variable annuity account values as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, have elected an i4LIFE® Advantage feature. In general, GIB is an optional feature available with i4LIFE® Advantage that guarantees regular income payments will not fall below the greater of a minimum income floor set at benefit issue, or 75% of the highest income payment on a specified anniversary date (reduced for any subsequent withdrawals). Approximately 95%, 94% and 92% of i4LIFE® Advantage account values elected the GIB feature as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008,

respectively. 4LATER® Advantage provides a minimum income base used to determine the GIB floor when a client begins income payments under i4LIFE® Advantage. The income base is equal to the initial deposit, or contract value, if elected after issue, and increases by 15% every three years, subject to a 200% cap. The owner may step up the income base to the current contract value on or after the third anniversary of rider election or of the most recent step-up, which also resets the 200% cap.

We design and actively manage the features and structure of our guaranteed benefit riders to maintain a competitive suite of products consistent with profitability and risk management goals. To mitigate the increased risks associated with guaranteed benefits, we developed a dynamic hedging program. The customized dynamic hedging program uses equity and interest rate futures positions, interest rate and variance swaps, as well as equity-based options depending upon the risks underlying the guarantees. Our program is designed to offset both positive and negative changes in the carrying value of the guarantees. However, while we actively manage these hedge positions, the hedge positions may not be effective to exactly offset the changes in the carrying value of the guarantees due to, among other things, the time lag between changes in their values and corresponding changes in the hedge positions, high levels of volatility in the equity markets, contract holder behavior, management decisions not to fully hedge every risk and divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and hedging indices, which is referred to as basis risk. For more information on our hedging program, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives” and “Realized Gain (Loss)” in the MD&A. For information regarding risks related to guaranteed benefits, see “Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in the equity markets, interest rates and/or volatility affect the profitability of our products with guaranteed benefits; therefore, such changes may have a material adverse effect on our business and profitability.”



## Fixed Annuities

A fixed annuity preserves the principal value of the contract while guaranteeing a minimum interest rate to be credited to the accumulation value. We offer single and flexible premium fixed deferred annuities to the individual annuities market. Single premium fixed deferred annuities are contracts that allow only a single premium to be paid. Flexible premium fixed deferred annuities are contracts that allow multiple premium payments on either a scheduled or non-scheduled basis. Our fixed annuities include both traditional fixed-rate and fixed indexed annuities. With fixed deferred annuities, the contract holder has the right to surrender the contract and receive the current accumulation value less any applicable surrender charge and, if applicable, a market value adjustment (“MVA”). Depending on market conditions, MVAs can, for some products, be less than zero, which means the MVA results in an increase to the amount received by the contract holder.

Fixed indexed annuities allow the contract holder to elect an interest rate linked to the performance of the Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”) 500 Index® (“S&P 500”). The indexed interest rate is guaranteed never to be less than zero. Our fixed indexed annuities provide contract holders a choice of a traditional fixed-rate account and one or more different indexed accounts. A contract holder may elect to change allocations at renewal dates, either annually or biannually. At each renewal date, we have the opportunity to re-price the indexed component (i.e. reset the caps, spreads or participation rates), subject to guarantees.

Fixed annuity contracts are general account obligations. We bear the investment risk for fixed annuity contracts. To protect from premature withdrawals, we impose surrender charges. Surrender charges are typically applicable during the early years of the annuity contract, with a declining level of surrender charges over time. We expect to earn a spread between what we earn on the underlying general account investments supporting the fixed annuity product line and what we credit to our fixed annuity contract holders’ accounts. In addition, with respect to fixed indexed annuities, we purchase options that are highly correlated to the indexed account allocation decisions of our contract holders, such that we are closely hedged with respect to indexed interest for the current reset period. For more information on our hedging program for fixed indexed annuities, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives” and “Realized Gain (Loss)” in the MD&A.

Individual fixed annuity account values were \$17.4 billion, \$15.9 billion and \$14.0 billion as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Approximately \$13.3 billion of individual fixed annuity account values as of December 31, 2010, were still within the surrender charge period.

Our fixed annuity product offerings as of December 31, 2010, consisted of traditional fixed-rate and fixed indexed deferred annuities, as well as fixed-rate immediate annuities with various payment options, including lifetime incomes. In addition to traditional fixed-rate immediate annuities, we offered the Lincoln SmartIncome<sup>SM</sup> Inflation Annuity which provides lifetime income with annual adjustments to keep pace with inflation. It uses a patent-pending design to preserve access to remaining principal, also adjusted annually for inflation, for premature death or unexpected needs. The traditional fixed-rate deferred annuity products include Lincoln Classic<sup>SM</sup> (Single and Flexible Premium), Lincoln Select<sup>SM</sup>, Lincoln Smart Course®, Lincoln MYGuarantee<sup>SM</sup> Plus and Lincoln GrowSmart<sup>SM</sup> Fixed Annuity. The fixed indexed deferred annuity products include Lincoln OptiPoint®, Lincoln OptiChoice<sup>SM</sup> and Lincoln New Directions®. The fixed indexed annuities offer one or more of the following indexed accounts:

- The Performance Triggered Indexed Account pays a specified rate, declared at the beginning of the indexed term, if the S&P 500 value at the end of the indexed term is the same or greater than the S&P 500 value at the beginning of the indexed term;
- The Point to Point Indexed Account compares the value of the S&P 500 at the end of the indexed term to the S&P 500 value at the beginning of the term. If the S&P 500 at the end of the indexed term is higher than the S&P 500

value at the beginning of the term, then the percentage change, up to the declared indexed interest cap, is credited to the indexed account;

- The Monthly Cap Indexed Account reflects the monthly changes in the S&P 500 value over the course of the indexed term. Each month, the percentage change in the S&P 500 value is calculated, subject to a monthly indexed cap that is declared at the beginning of the indexed term. At the end of the indexed term, all of the monthly change percentages are summed to determine the rate of indexed interest that will be credited to the account; and
- The Monthly Average Indexed Account compares the average monthly value of the S&P 500 to the S&P 500 value at the beginning of the term. The average of the S&P 500 values at the end of each of the twelve months in the indexed term is calculated. The percentage change of the average S&P 500 value to the starting S&P 500 value is calculated. From that amount, the indexed interest spread, which is declared at the beginning of the indexed term, is subtracted. The resulting rate is used to calculate the indexed interest that will be credited to the account.

If the S&P 500 values produce a negative indexed interest rate, no indexed interest is credited to the indexed account.

In November of 2010, we launched the Lincoln Long-Term CareSM Advantage fixed annuity. This product combines the features of a single premium fixed annuity with a long-term care rider. It allows a contract holder the protection of principal, while providing a way to manage the potential impact of long-term care expenses. The long-term care rider provides the contract holder with the potential to receive long-term care benefits equal to the premium payment plus an additional amount equal to one or two times the premium payment. In addition, the contract holder has the opportunity to increase the long-term care benefits if there are gains in the contract.

Available with certain of our fixed indexed annuities, Lincoln Living Income<sup>SM</sup> Advantage provides the contract holder a guaranteed lifetime withdrawal benefit. Withdrawals in excess of the free amount are assessed any applicable surrender charges, and the guaranteed withdrawal amount is recalculated.

Many of our fixed annuities have an MVA. If a contract with an MVA is surrendered during the surrender charge period, both a surrender charge and an MVA may be applied. The MVA feature increases or decreases the contract value of the annuity based on a decrease or increase in interest rates. Individual fixed annuities with an MVA feature constituted 61%, 55% and 46% of total fixed annuity account values as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### Distribution

The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment distributes its individual fixed and variable annuity products through LFD. LFD's distribution channels give the Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment access to its target markets. LFD distributes the segment's products to a large number of financial intermediaries, including LFN. The financial intermediaries include wire/regional firms, independent financial planners, financial institutions and managing general agents.

#### Competition

The annuities market is very competitive and consists of many companies, with no one company dominating the market for all products. The Annuities segment competes with numerous other financial services companies. The main factors upon which entities in this market compete are distribution channel access and the quality of wholesalers, investment performance, cost, product features, speed to market, brand recognition, financial strength ratings, crediting rates and client service.

### Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution

#### Overview

The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment provides employers with retirement plan products and services, primarily in the defined contribution retirement plan marketplaces. While our focus is employer-sponsored defined contribution plans, we also serve the defined benefit plan and individual retirement account (IRA) markets. We provide a variety of plan investment vehicles, including individual and group variable annuities, group fixed annuities and mutual fund-based programs. We also offer a broad array of plan services including plan recordkeeping, compliance testing, participant education and other related services.

DC plans are a popular employee benefit offered by many employers across a wide spectrum of industries and by employers large and small. Some plans include employer matching of employee contributions, which can increase participation by employees. Growth in the number of DC plans has occurred as these plans have been used as replacements for frozen or eliminated defined benefit retirement plans. In general, DC plans offer tax-deferred contributions and investment growth, thereby deferring the tax consequences of both the contributions and investment growth until withdrawals are made from the accumulated values, often at lower tax rates occurring during retirement. The types of DC plans on which the Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment primarily focuses are Internal Revenue Code section 403(b) plans and section 401(k) plans.

Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution's 403(b) assets accounted for 58% of total assets under management in this segment as of December 31, 2010. The mid to large market 401(k) business accounted for 17% and the small market 401(k) business accounted for 15% of this segment's assets as of December 31, 2010 for this segment.

Products and Services

The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment currently brings four primary offerings to the employer-sponsored market: LINCOLN DIRECTORSM group variable annuity, LINCOLN ALLIANCE® program, Lincoln SmartFuture® program and Multi-Fund® variable annuity.

The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment’s deposits (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Deposits			
Variable portion of variable annuity	\$ 1,614	\$ 1,586	\$ 2,170
Fixed portion of variable annuity	315	331	369
Total variable annuity	1,929	1,917	2,539
Fixed annuity	1,017	1,011	812
Mutual fund	2,355	2,024	2,196
Total annuity and mutual fund deposits	\$ 5,301	\$ 4,952	\$ 5,547

LINCOLN DIRECTORS<sup>SM</sup> and Multi-Fund<sup>®</sup> products are variable annuities. LINCOLN ALLIANCE<sup>®</sup> and Lincoln SmartFuture<sup>®</sup> programs are mutual fund-based programs. This suite of products and programs primarily covers the 403(b) and 401(k) marketplace. These 403(b) and 401(k) plans are tax-deferred, DC plans offered to employees of an entity to enable them to save for retirement. The 403(b) plans are available to employees of educational institutions, not-for-profit healthcare organizations and certain other not-for-profit entities; and 401(k) plans are generally available to employees of for-profit entities. The investment options for our annuities encompass the spectrum of asset classes with varying levels of risk and include both equity and fixed income. Healthcare clients accounted for 45%, 43% and 45% of account values for these products as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

LINCOLN DIRECTORS<sup>SM</sup> group variable annuity is a 401(k) DC retirement plan solution available to small businesses, typically those that have DC plans with less than \$3 million in account values. The LINCOLN DIRECTORS<sup>SM</sup> product offers participants a broad array of investment options from several fund families and a fixed account. In 2009, we updated our LINCOLN DIRECTORS<sup>SM</sup> product, which now offers more than 90 investment options and will be positioned as our primary product in the small 401(k) plan marketplace. This product includes fiduciary support for plan sponsors, accumulation strategies and tools for plan participants and offers our patented distribution option, i4LIFE<sup>®</sup> Advantage. LINCOLN DIRECTORS<sup>SM</sup> group variable annuity has the option of being serviced through a TPA or fully serviced by Lincoln. As of December 31, 2010, approximately 93% of LINCOLN DIRECTORS<sup>SM</sup> clients were serviced through TPAs. The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment earns revenue through asset charges, investment management fees, surrender charges and recordkeeping fees from this product. We also receive fees from the underlying mutual funds companies for the services we provide and we also earn investment margins on assets in the fixed account. Account values for LINCOLN DIRECTORS<sup>SM</sup> group variable annuity were \$6.4 billion, \$5.9 billion and \$4.9 billion as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The LINCOLN ALLIANCE<sup>®</sup> program is a DC retirement plan solution aimed at mid to large employers, typically those that have DC plans with \$15 million or more in account value. The target market is primarily for-profit corporations, educational institutions and healthcare providers. The program bundles our traditional fixed annuity products with the employer’s choice of mutual funds, along with recordkeeping, plan compliance services and customized employee education services. Included in the product offering is the LIFESPAN<sup>®</sup> learning program, which provides participants with educational materials and one-on-one guidance for retirement planning. The program allows the use of any mutual fund. We earn fees for our recordkeeping and educational services and the services we provide to mutual fund accounts. We also earn investment margins on fixed annuities. The mutual funds associated with this program are not included in the separate accounts reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, as we do not have any ownership interest in them. LINCOLN ALLIANCE<sup>®</sup> program account values were \$15.9 billion, \$13.4 billion and \$9.4 billion as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Lincoln SmartFuture<sup>®</sup> program is a DC retirement plan solution aimed at small to mid employers, typically those that have DC plans with between \$3 million and \$15 million in account value. The target market is primarily

for-profit corporations, educational institutions and healthcare providers. The Lincoln SmartFuture® program was introduced in 2008 and is built on the LINCOLN ALLIANCE® platform. Like LINCOLN ALLIANCE®, the program bundles our traditional fixed annuity products with retail mutual funds, recordkeeping, plan compliance services and employee education services using the LIFESPAN® learning program, which is described further above. However, the Lincoln SmartFuture® program allows the employer to choose from a list of approximately 150 retail mutual funds chosen by us, which consists of a broad range of low-cost funds. Services for this program are typically not customized for each employer. We earn fees for our recordkeeping and educational services and the services we provide to mutual fund accounts. We also earn investment margins on fixed annuities. The retail mutual funds associated with this program are not included in the separate accounts reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, as we do not have any ownership interest in them. Lincoln SmartFuture® program account values were \$319 million, \$223 million and \$104 million as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Multi-Fund® Variable Annuity is a DC retirement plan solution with full-bundled administrative services and high quality investment choices marketed to small- to mid-sized healthcare, education, governmental and not-for-profit plans. The product can

be sold either to the employer through the Multi-Fund® group variable annuity contract or directly to the individual through the Multi-Fund® Select variable annuity contract. We earn mortality and expense charges, investment income on the fixed account and surrender charges from this product. We also receive fees for services that we provide to funds in the underlying separate accounts. The Multi-Fund® variable annuity is currently available in all states except New York. Account values for the Multi-Fund® variable annuity were \$11.4 billion, \$10.9 billion and \$9.7 billion as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Also within this segment, we have created the Lincoln Unifier® service offering to further assist employers in meeting the administrative challenges of bringing retirement plans into compliance with the new 403(b) regulations. Lincoln Unifier® includes common remitter administration, compliance monitoring and proactive transaction monitoring.

During the third quarter of 2010, we partnered with a leading provider of retirement recordkeeping services to enhance our retirement plan offerings. Through this partnership, we expect to reduce our current recordkeeping platforms from five to one over the next several years.

#### Distribution

DC products are primarily distributed by LFD. Wholesalers and managers distribute these products through advisors, consultants, banks, wirehouses, TPAs and individual planners. During 2010, LFD expanded its distribution of DC products by increasing wholesalers and managers from 44 to 49, increasing relationship management expertise and growing the number of broker dealer relationships.

The Multi-Fund® program is sold primarily by affiliated advisors. The LINCOLN ALLIANCE® program and the Lincoln SmartFuture® program are sold primarily through consultants and affiliated advisors. LINCOLN DIRECTORS group variable annuity is sold in the small marketplace by intermediaries, including TPAs, planners and wirehouses.

#### Competition

The DC marketplace is very competitive and is comprised of many providers, with no one company dominating the market for all products. As stated above, we compete in the small, mid and large markets. We compete with numerous other financial services companies. The main factors upon which entities in this market compete are distribution channel access and the quality of wholesalers, investment performance, cost, product features, speed to market, brand recognition, financial strength ratings, crediting rates, client service and client compliance and fiduciary services.

### INSURANCE SOLUTIONS

The Insurance Solutions business provides its products through two segments: Life Insurance and Group Protection. The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment offers wealth protection and transfer opportunities through term insurance, a linked-benefit product and both single and survivorship versions of UL and VUL, including COLI and BOLI products. The Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment offers group life, disability and dental insurance primarily in the small- to mid-sized employer marketplace for their eligible employees.

#### Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance

#### Overview

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment, with principal operations in Greensboro, North Carolina and Hartford, Connecticut and additional operations in Concord, New Hampshire and Fort Wayne, Indiana, focuses on the creation and protection of wealth for its clients through the manufacturing of life insurance products. The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment offers wealth protection and transfer opportunities through term insurance, a linked-benefit product (which is a UL policy linked with riders that provide for long-term care costs) and both single and survivorship versions of UL and VUL, including COLI and BOLI products.

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment primarily targets the affluent to high net worth markets, defined as households with at least \$250,000 of financial assets. For those individual policies we sold in 2010, the average face amount (excluding term and MoneyGuard® products) was \$1 million and average first year premiums paid were approximately \$50,000.

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment also offers COLI and BOLI products and services to small- to mid-sized banks and mid- to large-sized corporations, mostly through executive benefit brokers.



## Products

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment sells primarily interest/market-sensitive products (UL and VUL), including COLI and BOLI products, and term products. The segment's sales (in millions) were as follows:

Sales by Product	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
UL:			
Excluding MoneyGuard®	\$ 353	\$ 397	\$ 525
MoneyGuard®	108	67	50
Total UL	461	464	575
VUL	43	36	54
COLI and BOLI	63	51	84
Term	70	59	28
Total sales	\$ 637	\$ 610	\$ 741

UL and VUL sales (including COLI and BOLI), represent first year commissionable premiums plus 5% of excess premiums received, including an adjustment for internal replacements of approximately 50% of commissionable premiums; term sales represent 100% of first year paid premiums; and linked-benefit sales represent 15% of premium deposits.

The segment generally has higher sales in the second half of the year than in the first half of the year. Approximately 44%, 44% and 46% of total sales were in the first half of 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Life policies' account values (in millions) were as follows:

Account Values	As of December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
UL and interest-sensitive whole life (1)	\$ 28,477	\$ 27,276	\$ 27,502
VUL (2)	5,108	4,468	4,251
Total account values	\$ 33,585	\$ 31,744	\$ 31,753

(1) Includes MoneyGuard® and the fixed portion of VUL.

(2) Excludes the fixed portion of VUL.

Mortality margins, morbidity margins (for linked-benefit products), investment margins (through spreads or fees), net expense charges (expense charges assessed to the contract holder less expenses incurred to manage the business) and surrender fees drive life insurance profits. Mortality margins, morbidity margins, and some expense assessments are a function of the rates priced into the product and level of insurance in force (less reserves previously set aside to fund benefits). Insurance in force, in turn, is driven by sales, persistency and mortality experience.

Similar to the annuity product classifications described above, life products can be classified as “fixed” or “variable” contracts. This classification describes whether we or the contract holders bear the investment risk of the assets supporting the policy. This also determines the manner in which we earn investment margin profits from these products, either as investment spreads for fixed products or as asset-based fees charged to variable products.

We offer four categories of life insurance products consisting of:

Interest-sensitive Life Insurance (Primarily UL)

Interest-sensitive life insurance products provide life insurance with account (cash) values that earn rates of return based on company-declared interest rates. Contract holder account values are invested in our general account investment portfolio, so we bear the risk of investment performance. Some of our UL contracts include secondary guarantees, which are explained more fully below.

In a UL contract, contract holders have flexibility in the timing and amount of premium payments and the amount of death benefit, provided there is sufficient account value to cover all policy charges for mortality and expenses for the coming period.

Under certain contract holder options and market conditions, the death benefit amount may increase or decrease. Premiums received on a UL product, net of expense loads and charges, are added to the contract holder's account value. The client has access to their account value (or a portion thereof), less surrender charges and policy loan payoffs, through contractual liquidity features such as loans, partial withdrawals and full surrenders. Loans and withdrawals reduce the death benefit amount payable and are limited to certain contractual maximums (some of which are required under state law), and interest is charged on all loans. Our UL contracts assess surrender charges against the policies' account values for full or partial face amount surrenders that occur during the contractual surrender charge period. Depending on the product selected, surrender charge periods can range from 0 to 20 years.

We also offer a fixed indexed UL product that functions similarly to a traditional UL policy, with the added flexibility of allowing contract holders to have portions of their account value earn interest credits linked to the performance of the S&P 500. The indexed interest rate is guaranteed never to be less than 1%. Our fixed indexed UL policy provides contract holders a choice of a traditional fixed rate account and several different indexed accounts. A contract holder may elect to change allocations annually for amounts in the indexed accounts and quarterly for new premiums into the policy. Prior to each new allocation, we have the opportunity to re-price the indexed components, subject to minimum guarantees.

As mentioned previously, we offer survivorship versions of our individual UL products. These products insure two lives with a single policy and pay death benefits upon the second death.

Sales results are heavily influenced by the series of UL products with secondary guarantees. A UL policy with a secondary guarantee can stay in force, even if the base policy account value is zero, as long as secondary guarantee requirements have been met. The secondary guarantee requirement is based on the evaluation of a reference value within the policy, calculated in a manner similar to the base policy account value, but using different assumptions as to expense charges, cost of insurance ("COI") charges and credited interest. The assumptions for the secondary guarantee requirement are listed in the contract. As long as the contract holder funds the policy to a level that keeps this calculated reference value positive, the death benefit will be guaranteed. The reference value has no actual monetary value to the contract holder; it is only a calculated value used to determine whether or not the policy will lapse should the base policy account value be less than zero.

Unlike other GDB designs, our secondary guarantee benefits maintain the flexibility of a traditional UL policy, which allows a contract holder to take loans or withdrawals. Although loans and withdrawals are likely to shorten the time period of the guaranteed death benefit, the guarantee is not automatically or completely forfeited, as is sometimes the case with other death benefit guarantee designs. The length of the guarantee may be increased at any time through additional excess premium deposits. Secondary guarantee UL face amount in force was \$112.0 billion, \$110.4 billion and \$99.0 billion or 20%, 20% and 18% of total life policies' in-force face amount as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For information on the reserving requirements for this business, see "Regulatory" below and "Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance – Income (Loss) from Operations – Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain" in the MD&A.

We manage investment margins (i.e., the difference between the amount the portfolio earns compared to the amount that is credited to the customer) by seeking to maximize current yields, in line with asset/liability and risk management targets, while crediting a competitive rate to the customer. Crediting rates are typically subject to guaranteed minimums specified in the underlying life insurance contract.

#### Linked-benefit Life Products

Linked-benefit life products combine UL with long-term care insurance through the use of riders. The first rider allows the contract holder to accelerate death benefits on a tax-free basis in the event of a qualified long-term care

need. The second rider extends the long-term care insurance benefits for an additional period of time if the death benefit is fully depleted for the purposes of long-term care. The policy also provides a death benefit, the value of which is excluded from federal income tax, to the contract holder's beneficiary if the contract holder does not accelerate the entire amount of the death benefit as long-term care benefits during his or her life. Linked-benefit life products generate earnings through investment, mortality and morbidity margins. MoneyGuard® products are linked-benefit life products.

## VUL

VUL products are UL products that provide a return on account values linked to an underlying investment portfolio of sub-accounts offered through the product. The value of the contract holder's account varies with the performance of the sub-accounts chosen by the contract holder. The underlying assets of the sub-accounts are managed within a special insurance series of mutual funds. Premiums, net of expense loads and charges for mortality and expenses, received on VUL products are invested according to the contract holder's investment option selection. As the return on the investment portfolio increases or decreases, the account value of the VUL policy will increase or decrease. As with fixed UL products, contract holders have access, within contractual maximums, to account values through loans, withdrawals and surrenders. Surrender charges are assessed during the surrender

charge period, ranging from 0 to 20 years depending on the product. The investment choices we offer in VUL products are the same, in most cases, as the investment choices offered in our individual variable annuity contracts.

In addition, VUL products offer a fixed account option that is managed by us. Investment risk is borne by the customer on all but the fixed account option. We charge fees for mortality costs and administrative expenses as well as asset-based investment management fees.

We also offer survivorship versions of our individual VUL products. These products insure two lives with a single policy and pay death benefits upon the second death.

We also offer single life and survivorship versions of our VUL products with secondary guarantees. These products combine the lapse protection elements of UL with the upside potential of a traditional VUL product, giving clients the flexibility to choose the appropriate balance between protection and market risk that meets their individual needs. The combined single life and survivorship face amount in force of these products was \$5.7 billion, \$5.3 billion and \$4.9 billion or 1% of total life policies' in-force face amount as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### Term Life Insurance

Term life insurance provides a fixed death benefit for a scheduled period of time. It usually does not offer cash values. Scheduled policy premiums are required to be paid at least annually.

#### Distribution

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment's products are sold through LFD. LFD provides the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment with access to financial intermediaries in the following primary distribution channels: wire/regional firms; independent planner firms (including LFN); financial institutions; and managing general agents/independent marketing organizations. LFD distributes COLI and BOLI products primarily to 16 intermediaries who specialize in the executive benefits market and are serviced through a network of internal and external sales professionals

#### Competition

The life insurance industry is very competitive and consists of many companies with no one company dominating the market for all products. As of the end of 2009, the latest year for which data is available, there were 946 life insurance companies in the U.S. and U.S. territories, according to the American Council of Life Insurers.

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment competes on product design and customer service. The Insurance Solutions –Life Insurance segment designs products specifically for the high net worth and affluent markets. In addition to the growth opportunity offered by its target market, our product breadth, design innovation, competitiveness, speed to market, customer service, underwriting and risk management and extensive distribution network all contribute to the strength of the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment. On average, the development of products takes approximately six months. The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment implemented several major product upgrades and/or new features, including important UL, VUL, linked-benefit and term product enhancements in 2010. With respect to customer service, management tracks the speed, accuracy and responsiveness of service to customers' calls and transaction requests. Further, the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment tracks the turnaround time and quality for various client services such as processing of applications.

#### Underwriting

In the context of life insurance, underwriting is the process of evaluating medical and non-medical information about an individual and determining the effect these factors statistically have on mortality. This process of evaluation is often referred to as risk classification. Of course, no one can accurately predict how long any individual will live, but certain risk factors can affect life expectancy and are evaluated during the underwriting process.

#### Claims Administration

Claims services are delivered to customers from the Greensboro, North Carolina and Concord, New Hampshire home offices. Claims examiners are assigned to each claim notification based on coverage amount, type of claim and the experience of the examiner. Claims meeting certain criteria are referred to senior claim examiners. A formal quality assurance program is carried out to ensure the consistency and effectiveness of claims examining activities. A network of in-house legal counsel, compliance officers, medical personnel and an anti-fraud investigative unit also support claim examiners. A special team of claims examiners, in conjunction with claims management, focus on more complex claims matters such as long-term care claims, claims incurred during the contestable period, beneficiary disputes, litigated claims and the few invalid claims that are encountered.

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment maintains a centralized claim service center in order to minimize the volume of clerical and repetitive administrative demands on its claims examiners while providing convenient service to policy owners and beneficiaries.

### Insurance Solutions – Group Protection

#### Overview

The Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment based in Omaha, Nebraska offers group non-medical insurance products, principally term life, disability and dental, to the employer marketplace through various forms of contributory and noncontributory plans. Most of the segment’s group contracts are sold to employers with fewer than 500 employees.

The segment’s insurance premiums (in millions) by product line were as follows:

Insurance Premiums by Product Line	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Life	\$ 639	\$ 584	\$ 541
Disability	727	692	672
Dental	167	149	150
Total non-medical	1,533	1,425	1,363
Medical	149	154	154
Total insurance premiums	\$ 1,682	\$ 1,579	\$ 1,517

#### Products

##### Group Life Insurance

We offer employer-sponsored group term life insurance products including basic, optional and voluntary term life insurance to employees and their dependents. Additional benefits may be provided in the event of a covered individual’s accidental death or dismemberment.

##### Group Disability Insurance

We offer short- and long-term employer-sponsored group disability insurance, which protects an employee against loss of wages due to illness or injury. Short-term disability generally provides benefits for up to 26 weeks following a short waiting period, ranging from 1 to 30 days. Long-term disability provides benefits following a longer waiting period, usually between 30 and 180 days and provides benefits for a longer period, at least 2 years and typically extending to normal (Social Security) retirement age.

##### Group Dental

We offer employer-sponsored group dental insurance, which covers a portion of the cost of eligible dental procedures for employees and their dependents. Products offered include indemnity coverage, which does not distinguish benefits based on a dental provider’s participation in a network arrangement, and a Preferred Provider Organization (“PPO”) product that does reflect the dental provider’s participation in the PPO network arrangement, including agreement with network fee schedules.

## EXEC-U-CARE®

We manage employer-sponsored benefits designed to supplement a company's major medical plan by reimbursing executives and eligible dependents for health care expenses not covered by the basic plan. Along with medical expense reimbursement, EXEC-U-CARE plans include Accidental Death and Dismemberment coverage, EXEC-U-CARE CONCIERGE® health care assistance and TravelConnectSM travel assistance services.

## Distribution

The segment's products are marketed primarily through a national distribution system, including approximately 160 managers and marketing representatives. The managers and marketing representatives develop business through employee benefit brokers, TPAs and other employee benefit firms.



## Competition

The group protection marketplace is very competitive. Principal competitive factors include particular product features, price, quality of customer service and claims management, technological capabilities, financial strength and claims-paying ratings. In the group insurance market, the Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment competes with a limited number of major companies and selected other companies that focus on these products.

## Underwriting

The Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment's underwriters evaluate the risk characteristics of each employee group. Generally, the relevant characteristics evaluated include employee census information (such as age, gender, income and occupation), employer industry classification, geographic location, benefit design elements and other factors. The segment employs detailed underwriting policies, guidelines and procedures designed to assist the underwriter to properly assess and quantify risks. The segment uses technology to efficiently review, price and issue smaller cases, utilizing its underwriting staff on larger, more complex cases. Individual underwriting techniques (including evaluation of individual medical history information) may be used on certain covered individuals selecting larger benefit amounts. For voluntary and other forms of employee paid coverages, minimum participation requirements are used to obtain a better spread of risk and minimize the risk of anti-selection.

## Claims Administration

Claims for the Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment are managed by a staff of experienced claim specialists. Disability claims management is especially important to segment results, as results depend on both the incidence and the length of approved disability claims. The segment employs nurses and rehabilitation specialists to help evaluate medical conditions and develop return to work plans. Independent medical reviews are routinely performed by external medical professionals to further evaluate conditions as part of the claim management process.

## OTHER OPERATIONS

Other Operations includes the financial data for operations that are not directly related to the business segments. Other Operations also includes investments related to the excess capital in our insurance subsidiaries; investments in media properties and other corporate investments; benefit plan net liability; the unamortized deferred gain on indemnity reinsurance related to the sale of reinsurance to Swiss Re in 2001; the results of certain disability income business due to the rescission of a reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re; our run-off Institutional Pension business in the form of group annuity and insured funding-type of contracts with assets under management of approximately \$1.9 billion as of December 31, 2010; and debt. We are actively managing our remaining radio station clusters to maximize performance and future value.

Revenues (in millions) from Other Operations were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Operating Revenues			
Insurance premiums	\$ 2	\$ 4	\$ 4
Net investment income	326	307	358
Amortization of deferred gain on business			
sold through reinsurance	72	73	74
Media revenues (net)	75	68	85
Other revenues and fees	12	13	11

Total operating revenues	\$	487	\$	465	\$	532
--------------------------	----	-----	----	-----	----	-----

## REINSURANCE

We follow the industry practice of reinsuring a portion of our life insurance and annuity risks with unaffiliated reinsurers. In a reinsurance transaction, a reinsurer agrees to indemnify another insurer for part or all of its liability under a policy or policies it has issued for an agreed upon premium. We use reinsurance to protect our insurance subsidiaries against the severity of losses on individual claims and unusually serious occurrences in which a number of claims produce an aggregate extraordinary loss. We also use reinsurance to improve our results by leveraging favorable reinsurance pricing. Although reinsurance does not discharge the insurance subsidiaries from their primary liabilities to their contract holders for losses insured under the insurance policies, it does make the assuming reinsurer liable to the insurance subsidiaries for the reinsured portion of the risk. Because we bear the risk of nonpayment by one or more of our reinsurers, we primarily cede reinsurance to well-capitalized, highly rated reinsurers.

We reinsure approximately 40% to 45% of the mortality risk on newly issued non-term life insurance contracts and approximately 35% of total mortality risk including term insurance contracts. As of December 31, 2010 our policy for this program was to retain no more than \$10 million on a single insured life issued on fixed, VUL and term life insurance contracts. The retention per single insured life for COLI remained at \$2 million.

Effective January 1, 2011, our maximum corporate retention on UL, VUL and term insurance contracts increased to \$20 million for new business. This change has no impact on our reinsurance structure at this time.

Portions of our deferred annuity business have been reinsured on a modified coinsurance (“Modco”) basis with other companies to limit our exposure to interest rate risks. In a Modco program, the reinsurer shares proportionally in all financial terms of the reinsured policies (i.e. premiums, expenses, claims, etc.) based on their respective quota share of the risk.

In addition, we acquire other reinsurance to cover products other than as discussed above with retentions and limits that management believes are appropriate for the circumstances.

We obtain reinsurance from a diverse group of reinsurers and we monitor concentration and financial strength ratings of our principal reinsurers. Swiss Re represents our largest reinsurance exposure. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the amounts recoverable from reinsurers were \$6.5 billion and \$6.4 billion, respectively, of which \$3.0 billion was recoverable from Swiss Re, related to the sale of our reinsurance business to Swiss Re, for both periods.

We also utilize intercompany reinsurance agreements to manage our statutory capital position as well as our hedge program for variable annuity guarantees. These intercompany agreements do not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements.

For more information regarding reinsurance, see “Reinsurance” in the MD&A and Note 9. For risks involving reinsurance, see “Item 1A. Risk Factors – We face a risk of non-collectibility of reinsurance, which could materially affect our results of operations.”

## RESERVES

The applicable insurance laws under which insurance companies operate require that they report, as liabilities, policy reserves to meet future obligations on their outstanding policies. These reserves are the amounts that, with the additional premiums to be received and interest thereon compounded annually at certain assumed rates, are calculated to be sufficient to meet the various policy and contract obligations as they mature. These laws specify that the reserves shall not be less than reserves calculated using certain specified mortality and morbidity tables, interest rates and methods of valuation.

For more information on reserves, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives” and “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Future Contract Benefits and Other Contract Holder Obligations” in the MD&A.

See “Regulatory” below for information on permitted practices and proposed regulations that may impact the amount of statutory reserves necessary to support our current insurance liabilities.

For risks related to reserves, see “Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in interest rates and sustained low interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and changes in interest rates may also result in increased contract withdrawals.”

## INVESTMENTS

An important component of our financial results is the return on invested assets. Our investment strategy is to balance the need for current income with prudent risk management, with an emphasis on generating sufficient current income to meet our obligations. This approach requires the evaluation of risk and expected return of each asset class utilized, while still meeting our income objectives. This approach also permits us to be more effective in our asset-liability management because decisions can be made based upon both the economic and current investment income considerations affecting assets and liabilities. Investments by our insurance subsidiaries must comply with the insurance laws and regulations of the states of domicile.

We do not use derivatives for speculative purposes. Derivatives are used for hedging purposes and income generation. Hedging strategies are employed for a number of reasons including, but not limited to, hedging certain portions of our exposure to changes in our GDB, GWB and GIB liabilities, interest rate fluctuations, the widening of bond yield spreads over comparable maturity U.S. Government obligations and credit, foreign exchange and equity risks. Income generation strategies include credit default swaps through replication synthetic asset transactions. These derivatives synthetically create exposure in the general account to corporate debt, similar to investing in the credit markets.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, our most significant investments in one issuer were our investments in securities issued by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation with a fair value of \$5.0 billion and \$4.8 billion, or 6% of our invested assets portfolio, respectively, and our investments in securities issued by Fannie Mae with a fair value of \$2.9 billion and \$3.0 billion, or 3% and 4% of our invested assets portfolio, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010, our most significant investments in one industry were our investment securities in the electric industry with a fair value of \$6.7 billion, or 8% of our invested assets portfolio, and our investment securities in the collateralized mortgage obligation (“CMO”) industry with a fair value of \$6.5 billion, or 8% of our invested assets portfolio. As of December 31, 2009, our most significant investment in one industry was our investment securities in the CMO industry with a fair value of \$6.9 billion, or 9% of the invested assets portfolio.

For additional information on our investments, including carrying values by category, quality ratings and net investment income, see “Consolidated Investments” in the MD&A, as well as Notes 1 and 5.

### RATINGS

The Nationally Recognized Statistical Ratings Organizations rate the financial strength of our principal insurance subsidiaries and the debt of LNC. Ratings are not recommendations to buy our securities.

Rating agencies rate insurance companies based on financial strength and the ability to pay claims, factors more relevant to contract holders than investors. We believe that the ratings assigned by nationally recognized, independent rating agencies are material to our operations. There may be other rating agencies that also rate our securities, which we do not disclose in our reports.

#### Insurer Financial Strength Ratings

The insurer financial strength rating scales of A.M. Best, Fitch Ratings (“Fitch”), Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”) and S&P are characterized as follows:

- A.M. Best – A++ to S
- Fitch – AAA to C
- Moody’s – Aaa to C
- S&P – AAA to R

As of February 18, 2011, the financial strength ratings of our principal insurance subsidiaries, as published by the principal rating agencies that rate our securities, or us, were as follows:

	A.M. Best	Fitch	Moody's	S&P
Insurer Financial Strength Ratings				
LNL	A+ (2nd of 16)	A+ (5th of 21)	A2 (6th of 21)	AA- (4th of 21)
Lincoln Life & Annuity Co. of New York ("LLANY")	A+ (2nd of 16)	A+ (5th of 21)	A2 (6th of 21)	AA- (4th of 21)
First Penn-Pacific Life Insurance Co. ("FPP")	A+ (2nd of 16)	A+ (5th of 21)	A2 (6th of 21)	A+ (5th of 21)

A downgrade of the financial strength rating of one of our principal insurance subsidiaries could affect our competitive position in the insurance industry and make it more difficult for us to market our products, as potential customers may select companies with higher financial strength ratings.

#### Debt Ratings

The long-term credit rating scales of A.M. Best, Fitch, Moody's and S&P are characterized as follows:

- A.M. Best – aaa to d
- Fitch – AAA to D
- Moody's – Aaa to C
- S&P – AAA to D

As of February 18, 2011, our long-term credit ratings, as published by the principal rating agencies that rate our long-term credit, were as follows:

A.M. Best	Fitch	Moody's	S&P
a-	BBB+	Baa2	A-
(7th of 22)	(9th of 21)	(9th of 21)	(7th of 21)

The short-term credit rating scales of A.M. Best, Fitch, Moody's and S&P are characterized as follows:

- A.M. Best – AMB-1+ to d
  - Fitch – F1+ to D
  - Moody's – P-1 to NP
  - S&P – A-1+ to D

As of February 18, 2011, our short-term credit ratings, as published by the principal rating agencies that rate our short-term credit, were as follows:

A.M. Best	Fitch	Moody's	S&P
AMB-1	F-2	P-2	A-2
(2nd of 6)	(2nd of 8)	(2nd of 3)	(2nd of 8)

A downgrade of our debt ratings could affect our ability to raise additional debt with terms and conditions similar to our current debt, and accordingly, likely increase our cost of capital. In addition, a downgrade of these ratings could make it more difficult to raise capital to refinance any maturing debt obligations, to support business growth at our insurance subsidiaries and to maintain or improve the current financial strength ratings of our principal insurance subsidiaries described above.

All ratings are on outlook stable. All of our ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agencies, and therefore, no assurance can be given that our principal insurance subsidiaries or LNC can maintain these ratings. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

## REGULATORY

### Insurance Regulation

Our insurance subsidiaries, like other insurance companies, are subject to regulation and supervision by the states, territories and countries in which they are licensed to do business. The extent of such regulation varies, but generally has its source in statutes that delegate regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority to supervisory agencies. In the U.S., this power is vested in state insurance departments.

In supervising and regulating insurance companies, state insurance departments, charged primarily with protecting contract holders and the public rather than investors, enjoy broad authority and discretion in applying applicable insurance laws and regulation for that purpose. Our principal insurance subsidiaries, LNL, LLANY and FPP, are domiciled in the states of Indiana, New York and Indiana, respectively.

The insurance departments of the domiciliary states exercise principal regulatory jurisdiction over our insurance subsidiaries. The extent of regulation by the states varies, but in general, most jurisdictions have laws and regulations governing standards of solvency, adequacy of reserves, reinsurance, capital adequacy, licensing of companies and agents to transact business, prescribing and approving policy forms, regulating premium rates for some lines of

business, prescribing the form and content of financial statements and reports, regulating the type and amount of investments permitted and standards of business conduct. Insurance company regulation is discussed further under “Insurance Holding Company Regulation” and “Restrictions on Subsidiaries’ Dividends and Other Payments.”

As part of their regulatory oversight process, state insurance departments conduct periodic, generally once every three to five years, examinations of the books, records, accounts, and business practices of insurers domiciled in their states. During the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, we have not received any material adverse findings resulting from state insurance department examinations of our insurance subsidiaries conducted during this three-year period.

State insurance laws and regulations require our U.S. insurance companies to file financial statements with state insurance departments everywhere they do business, and the operations of our U.S. insurance companies and accounts are subject to examination by those departments at any time. Our U.S. insurance companies prepare statutory financial statements in accordance with accounting practices and procedures prescribed or permitted by these departments. The National Association of Insurance



Commissioners (“NAIC”) has approved a series of statutory accounting principles that have been adopted, in some cases with minor modifications, by virtually all state insurance departments.

The NAIC allows our U.S. insurance subsidiaries to include certain deferred tax assets in our statutory capital and surplus, but we are not able to consider the benefit from this when calculating available dividends.

Statutory reserves established for variable annuity contracts and riders are sensitive to changes in the equity markets and are affected by the level of account values relative to the level of any guarantees, product design and reinsurance arrangements. As a result, the relationship between reserve changes and equity market performance is non-linear during any given reporting period.

For more information on statutory reserving and our use of captive reinsurance structures, see “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources” in the MD&A.

### Insurance Holding Company Regulation

LNC and its primary insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation pursuant to the insurance holding company laws of the states of Indiana and New York. These insurance holding company laws generally require an insurance holding company and insurers that are members of such insurance holding company’s system to register with the insurance department authorities, to file with it certain reports disclosing information, including their capital structure, ownership, management, financial condition, and certain inter-company transactions, including material transfers of assets and inter-company business agreements and to report material changes in that information. These laws also require that inter-company transactions be fair and reasonable and, under certain circumstances, prior approval of the insurance departments must be received before entering into an inter-company transaction. Further, these laws require that an insurer’s contract holders’ surplus following any dividends or distributions to shareholder affiliates is reasonable in relation to the insurer’s outstanding liabilities and adequate for its financial needs.

In general, under state holding company regulations, no person may acquire, directly or indirectly, a controlling interest in our capital stock unless such person, corporation or other entity has obtained prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner for such acquisition of control. Pursuant to such laws, in general, any person acquiring, controlling or holding the power to vote, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the voting securities of an insurance company, is presumed to have “control” of such company. This presumption may be rebutted by a showing that control does not exist in fact. The insurance commissioner, however, may find that “control” exists in circumstances in which a person owns or controls a smaller amount of voting securities. To obtain approval from the insurance commissioner of any acquisition of control of an insurance company, the proposed acquirer must file with the applicable commissioner an application containing information regarding: the identity and background of the acquirer and its affiliates; the nature, source and amount of funds to be used to carry out the acquisition; the financial statements of the acquirer and its affiliates; any potential plans for disposition of the securities or business of the insurer; the number and type of securities to be acquired; any contracts with respect to the securities to be acquired; any agreements with broker-dealers; and other matters.

Other jurisdictions in which our insurance subsidiaries are licensed to transact business may have similar or additional requirements for prior approval of any acquisition of control of an insurance or reinsurance company licensed or authorized to transact business in those jurisdictions. Additional requirements in those jurisdictions may include re-licensing or subsequent approval for renewal of existing licenses upon an acquisition of control. As further described below, laws that govern the holding company structure also govern payment of dividends to us by our insurance subsidiaries.

### Restrictions on Subsidiaries’ Dividends and Other Payments

We are a holding company that transacts substantially all of our business directly and indirectly through subsidiaries. Our primary assets are the stock of our operating subsidiaries. Our ability to meet our obligations on our outstanding debt and to pay dividends and our general and administrative expenses depends on the surplus and earnings of our subsidiaries and the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain insurance department regulatory restrictions as to the transfer of funds and payment of dividends to the holding company. Under Indiana laws and regulations, our Indiana insurance subsidiaries, including our primary insurance subsidiary, LNL, may pay dividends to LNC without prior approval of the Indiana Insurance Commissioner (the "Commissioner"), only from unassigned surplus or must receive prior approval of the Commissioner to pay a dividend if such dividend, along with all other dividends paid within the preceding 12 consecutive months, would exceed the statutory limitation. The current statutory limitation is the greater of 10% of the insurer's contract holders' surplus, as shown on its last annual statement on file with the Commissioner or the insurer's statutory net gain from operations for the previous 12 months, but in no event to exceed statutory unassigned surplus. As discussed above, we may not consider the benefit from the statutory accounting principles relating to our insurance subsidiaries' deferred tax assets in calculating available dividends. Indiana law gives the Commissioner broad discretion to disapprove requests for dividends in excess of these limits. New York, the state of domicile of our other major insurance subsidiary, LLANY, has similar restrictions, except that in New York it is the lesser of 10% of surplus to

contract holders as of the immediately preceding calendar year or net gain from operations for the immediately preceding calendar year, not including realized capital gains.

Indiana law also provides that following the payment of any dividend, the insurer's contract holders' surplus must be reasonable in relation to its outstanding liabilities and adequate for its financial needs, and permits the Commissioner to bring an action to rescind a dividend which violates these standards. In the event that the Commissioner determines that the contract holders' surplus of one subsidiary is inadequate, the Commissioner could use his or her broad discretionary authority to seek to require us to apply payments received from another subsidiary for the benefit of that insurance subsidiary. For information regarding dividends paid to us during 2010 from our insurance subsidiaries, see "Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sources of Liquidity and Cash Flow" in the MD&A.

#### Risk-Based Capital ("RBC")

The NAIC has adopted RBC requirements for life insurance companies to evaluate the adequacy of statutory capital and surplus in relation to investment and insurance risks. The requirements provide a means of measuring the minimum amount of statutory surplus appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations based on its size and risk profile. There are five major risks involved in determining the requirements:

Category	Name	Description
Asset risk - affiliates	C-0	Risk of assets' default for certain affiliated investments
Asset risk - others	C-1	Risk of assets' default of principal and interest or fluctuation in fair value
Insurance risk	C-2	Risk of underestimating liabilities from business already written or inadequately pricing business to be written in the future
Interest rate risk, health credit risk and market risk	C-3	Risk of losses due to changes in interest rate levels, risk that health benefits prepaid to providers become the obligation of the health insurer once again and risk of loss due to changes in market levels associated with variable products with guarantees
Business risk	C-4	Risk of general business

A company's risk-based statutory surplus is calculated by applying factors and performing calculations relating to various asset, premium, claim, expense and reserve items. Regulators can then measure adequacy of a company's statutory surplus by comparing it to the RBC determined by the formula. Under RBC requirements, regulatory compliance is determined by the ratio of a company's total adjusted capital, as defined by the NAIC, to its company action level of RBC (known as the RBC ratio), also as defined by the NAIC. Accordingly, factors that have an impact on the total adjusted capital of our insurance subsidiaries, such as the permitted practices discussed above, will also affect their RBC levels.

Four levels of regulatory attention may be triggered if the RBC ratio is insufficient:

- “Company action level” – If the RBC ratio is between 75% and 100%, then the insurer must submit a plan to the regulator detailing corrective action it proposes to undertake;
- “Regulatory action level” – If the RBC ratio is between 50% and 75%, then the insurer must submit a plan, but a regulator may also issue a corrective order requiring the insurer to comply within a specified period;
- “Authorized control level” – If the RBC ratio is between 35% and 50%, then the regulatory response is the same as at the “Regulatory action level,” but in addition, the regulator may take action to rehabilitate or liquidate the insurer; and
- “Mandatory control level” – If the RBC ratio is less than 35%, then the regulator must rehabilitate or liquidate the insurer.

As of December 31, 2010, the RBC ratios of LNL, LLANY and FPP reported to their respective states of domicile and the NAIC all exceeded the “company action level.” We believe that we will be able to maintain the RBC ratios of our insurance subsidiaries in excess of “company action level” through prudent underwriting, claims handling, investing and capital management. However, no assurances can be given that developments affecting the insurance subsidiaries, many of which could be outside of our control, will not cause the RBC ratios to fall below our targeted levels. These developments may include, but may not be limited to: changes to the manner in which the RBC ratio is calculated; new regulatory requirements for calculating reserves, such as principles based reserving; economic conditions leading to higher levels of impairments of securities in our insurance subsidiaries’ general accounts; and an inability to finance life reserves including the issuing of letters of credit supporting captive reinsurance structures.

See “Item 1A. Risk Factors – A decrease in the capital and surplus of our insurance subsidiaries may result in a downgrade to our credit and insurer financial strength ratings.”

## Privacy Regulations

In the course of our business, we collect and maintain personal data from our customers including personally identifiable non-public financial and health information, which subjects us to regulation under federal and state privacy laws. These laws require that we institute certain policies and procedures in our business to safeguard this information from improper use or disclosure. If the federal or state regulators establish further regulations for addressing customer privacy, we may need to amend our policies and adapt our internal procedures.

## Federal Initiatives

The U.S. federal government does not directly regulate the insurance industry; however, federal initiatives from time to time can impact the insurance industry.

## Health Care Reform Legislation

In March 2010, the President signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act which was subsequently amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act. This legislation includes a number of provisions that provide for new or increased taxes to help finance the cost of these reforms, substantive changes to existing health care laws and the addition of new health care and related laws, each of which could potentially impact some of our lines of businesses.

## Financial Reform Legislation

In July 2010, the President signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, H.R. 4173, (“Dodd-Frank Act”), wide ranging legislation that includes a number of reforms of the financial services industry and financial products. Many of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act require substantial regulatory work prior to implementation, and we cannot predict at this time all of the ways or the extent to which financial markets in general, or our business, financial condition and results of operations, may be affected following its full implementation.

Some requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act apply to us as a result of our status as a thrift holding company. The Dodd-Frank Act eliminates the OTS and reallocates the supervisory and regulatory authority over (i) federally chartered thrifts to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and (ii) thrift holding companies to the Federal Reserve Board. Enactment of this provision ensures that we and NCLS will each have a new regulator and may be subject to additional and/or enhanced regulation, including new capital and leverage requirements. We will also be subject to the provisions of the “Volcker Rule,” which restricts, subject to exceptions for various permitted activities, proprietary trading and certain investment activities by holding companies containing an insured depository institution. Although we presently believe that the Volcker Rule will not require significant change in our investment and trading activities, rulemaking is not scheduled to be completed until late 2011, and the ultimate outcome of the rulemaking process cannot be predicted.

The Dodd-Frank Act also mandates a new regulatory framework for over-the-counter derivatives transactions. Subject to applicable insurance laws, we use derivatives for a number of reasons including, but not limited to, hedging certain portions of our exposure to changes in our GDB, GWB and GIB liabilities, interest rate fluctuations, the widening of bond yield spreads over comparable maturity U.S. Government obligations, credit, foreign exchange and equity risks. The new regulatory scheme will impose clearing and centralized execution requirements on a wide range of derivatives transactions that are currently conducted over-the-counter, with the likely result that costs and margin requirements will increase for market participants across the board. As rulemaking on the Dodd-Frank derivatives provisions is still in progress, it is premature to determine the extent to which our derivatives costs and strategies may change, and the extent to which those changes may ultimately affect the range of products we

offer to our customers.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act requires new regulations governing broker-dealers and investment advisers. In particular, the fiduciary standard rulemaking could potentially have broad implications for how our products are designed and sold in the future. In January 2011, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) released a study on the obligations and standards of conduct of financial professionals, as required under the Dodd-Frank Act. The SEC staff recommended establishing a uniform fiduciary standard for investment advisers and broker-dealers when providing investment advice about securities, including guidance for principal trading and definitions of the duties of loyalty and care owed to retail customers that would be consistent with the standard that currently applies to investment advisers. A more uniform fiduciary standard could potentially affect our business in areas including, but not limited to: design and availability of proprietary products; commission-based compensation arrangements; advertising and other communications; use of finders or solicitors of clients (i.e., business contacts who provide referrals); and continuing education requirements for advisors.

Additional provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act include, among other things, the creation of a new Federal Insurance Office within the U.S. Department of the Treasury (“U.S. Treasury”) to gather information regarding the insurance industry; the creation of a resolution authority to unwind failing institutions, funded on a post-event basis; the creation of a new Consumer Financial

Protection Bureau to protect consumers of certain financial products; and changes to executive compensation and certain corporate governance rules. Given the current state of the rulemaking and implementation process, the ultimate impact on our business is undeterminable at this time.

#### Department of Labor Regulation

In October 2010, the U.S. Department of Labor (“DOL”) issued a proposed regulation that would, if finalized in current form, substantially expand the range of activities that would be considered to be fiduciary investment advice under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”) and the Internal Revenue Code. If finalized as proposed, the investment-related information and support that our advisors and employees could provide to plan sponsors, participants and IRA holders on a non-fiduciary basis could be substantially limited beyond what is allowed under current law. This could have a material impact on the level and type of services we can provide as well as the nature and amount of compensation and fees us and our advisors and employees may receive for investment-related services. This proposal has generated substantial public comment and as a result, it is likely that any final regulation will be different from the proposal. What the differences will be, as well as the timing of any final regulation, are unknown at this time. We do not expect the DOL to issue a final regulation in 2011.

#### Stimulus Legislation

In reaction to the recession, credit market illiquidity and global financial crisis experienced during the latter part of 2008 and into 2009, Congress enacted the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”) on October 3, 2008, and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (“ARRA”), which was signed into law on February 17, 2009, in an effort to restore liquidity to the U.S. credit markets and to stimulate the U.S. economy. The ARRA and the Troubled Asset Relief Program (“TARP”) authorized the purchase of “troubled assets” from financial institutions, including insurance companies. Pursuant to the authority granted under the TARP, the U.S. Treasury also adopted the Capital Purchase Program (“CPP”).

#### TARP CPP

On July 10, 2009, we issued, in a private placement, \$950 million of Series B preferred stock and a warrant for 13,049,451 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$10.92 per share to the U.S. Treasury under the CPP. On June 30, 2010, we redeemed in full the Series B preferred stock from the U.S. Treasury. Prior to the redemption of the Series B preferred stock, we were subject to certain restrictions applicable under the CPP, including limits on incentive compensation for certain executives and employees. See “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sources of Liquidity and Cash Flow – Financing Activities” for more information about our preferred stock redemption.

#### Federal Tax Legislation

In May 2003, the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 (“JGTRRA”) was enacted. Individual taxpayers are the principal beneficiaries of JGTRRA, which accelerated certain of the income tax rate reductions enacted originally under the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (“EGTRRA”), as well as reduced the long-term capital gains and dividend tax rates to 15%. On May 17, 2006, the Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2006 (“TIPRA”) was signed into law. TIPRA extended the lower capital gains and dividends rates through the end of 2010. EGTRRA also included provisions that eliminated the estate tax for a single year in 2010, while also replacing the step-up in basis rule applicable to property held in a decedent’s estate with a modified carryover basis rule. The Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act of 2010 extended for two years through 2012 all of the lower individual tax rates and set the estate tax rate at 35% with a personal exemption of \$5 million for the next two years. Should these provisions not be extended beyond 2012, the

higher marginal tax rates on individuals could have a positive impact upon the sale of insurance and annuity products.

On February 14, 2011, the Obama Administration submitted to Congress its fiscal year 2012 budget proposal. Included therein are policy and tax recommendations that could have an effect upon our company and our products. Many of these recommendations were originally proposed in last year's budget submission to Congress. Included among the various proposed policy recommendations are modifications to the dividends received deduction for life insurance company separate accounts. If these proposed changes were enacted into law or, if applicable, changed administratively through the tax regulation process, they could have an adverse effect upon the Company's profitability. The budget also proposes changes to the tax laws that would affect purchasers of products offered and sold through our various business lines, including such items as expanding the pro-rata disallowance for COLI, the creation of an auto-enrollment IRA program for small employers and encouraging increased use of qualified plans through tax credits to defray start up costs. Some of these proposed changes, should they become law, would have the potential to improve the attractiveness of our products to consumers and enhance our sales. Other provisions could have the opposite effect. The submission of the Administration's budget to Congress begins the Congressional Budget process. Any changes to the tax law will require legislation, which may or may not incorporate provisions found in the budget proposal, to move through both houses of Congress before being signed into law by the President.



## Patriot Act

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (“Patriot Act”) contains anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws and mandates the implementation of various new regulations applicable to broker-dealers and other financial services companies, including insurance companies. The Patriot Act seeks to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators and law enforcement entities in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering. Anti-money laundering laws outside of the U.S. contain provisions that may be different, conflicting or more rigorous. The increased obligations of financial institutions to identify their customers, watch for and report suspicious transactions, respond to requests for information by regulatory authorities and law enforcement agencies, and share information with other financial institutions require the implementation and maintenance of internal practices, procedures and controls.

## Employee Retirement Income Security Act (“ERISA”) Considerations

ERISA is a comprehensive federal statute that applies to U.S. employee benefit plans sponsored by private employers and labor unions. Plans subject to ERISA include pension and profit sharing plans and welfare plans, including health, life and disability plans. ERISA provisions include reporting and disclosure rules, standards of conduct that apply to plan fiduciaries and prohibitions on transactions known as “prohibited transactions,” such as conflict-of-interest transactions and certain transactions between a benefit plan and a party in interest. ERISA also provides for a scheme of civil and criminal penalties and enforcement. Our insurance, asset management, plan administrative services and other businesses provide services to employee benefit plans subject to ERISA, including services where we may act as an ERISA fiduciary. In addition to ERISA regulation of businesses providing products and services to ERISA plans, we become subject to ERISA’s prohibited transaction rules for transactions with those plans, which may affect our ability to enter transactions, or the terms on which transactions may be entered, with those plans, even in businesses unrelated to those giving rise to party in interest status.

## Broker-Dealer, Securities and Savings and Loan Regulation

In addition to being registered under the Securities Act of 1933, some of our separate accounts as well as mutual funds that we sponsor are registered as investment companies under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the shares of certain of these entities are qualified for sale in some or all states and the District of Columbia. We also have several subsidiaries that are registered as broker-dealers under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) and are subject to federal and state regulation, including but not limited to the Financial Industry Regulation Authority’s (“FINRA”) net capital rules. In addition, we have several subsidiaries that are investment advisors registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Agents and employees registered or associated with any of our broker-dealer subsidiaries are subject to the Exchange Act and to examination requirements and regulation by the SEC, FINRA and state securities commissioners. Regulation also extends to various LNC entities that employ or control those individuals. The SEC and other governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations, as well as state securities commissions in the U.S., have the power to conduct administrative proceedings that can result in censure, fines, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders or suspension and termination or limitation of the activities of the regulated entity or its employees.

Our U.S. banking operations are subject to federal and state regulation. As a result of its ownership of NCLS, LNC is considered to be a savings and loan holding company and, along with NCLS, is subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the OTS of the U.S. Treasury, or going forward by the Federal Reserve Board or the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, as appropriate. Federal and state banking laws generally provide that no person may acquire control of LNC, and gain indirect control of NCLS, without prior regulatory approval. “Control” will be deemed to exist in the case of any person having the power to vote 25% or more of any class of “voting securities,” having the power to control the election of a majority of the Board or if the applicable regulatory authority determines

that such person has the power to directly or indirectly exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of the holding company or thrift.

#### Environmental Considerations

Federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations apply to our ownership and operation of real property. Inherent in owning and operating real property are the risk of hidden environmental liabilities and the costs of any required clean-up. Under the laws of certain states, contamination of a property may give rise to a lien on the property to secure recovery of the costs of clean-up, which could adversely affect our commercial mortgage lending. In several states, this lien has priority over the lien of an existing mortgage against such property. In addition, in some states and under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”), we may be liable, as an “owner” or “operator,” for costs of cleaning-up releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at a property mortgaged to us. We also risk environmental liability when we foreclose on a property mortgaged to us. Federal legislation provides for a safe harbor from CERCLA liability for secured lenders that foreclose and sell the mortgaged real estate, provided that certain requirements are met. However, there are circumstances in which actions taken could still expose us to CERCLA liability. Application of various other federal and state environmental laws could also result in the imposition of liability on us for costs associated with environmental hazards.

We routinely conduct environmental assessments for real estate we acquire for investment and before taking title through foreclosure to real property collateralizing mortgages that we hold. Although unexpected environmental liabilities can always arise, based on these environmental assessments and compliance with our internal procedures, we believe that any costs associated with compliance with environmental laws and regulations or any clean-up of properties would not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

### Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. We have implemented a patent strategy designed to protect innovative aspects of our products and processes which we believe distinguish us from competitors. We currently own four issued U.S. patents and have additional patent applications pending in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. We intend to continue to file patent applications as we develop new products, technologies and patentable enhancements.

We regard our patents as valuable assets and intend to vigorously protect them against infringement. However, complex legal and factual determinations and evolving laws make patent protection uncertain, and while we believe our patents provide us with a competitive advantage, we cannot be certain that patents will be issued from any of our pending patent applications or that any issued patents will have sufficient breadth to offer meaningful protection. In addition, our issued patents may be successfully challenged, invalidated, circumvented or found unenforceable so that our patent rights would not create an effective competitive barrier.

Finally, we have an extensive portfolio of trademarks and service marks that we consider important in the marketing of our products and services, including, among others, the trademarks of the Lincoln National and Lincoln Financial names, the Lincoln silhouette logo and the combination of these marks. Trademark registrations may be renewed indefinitely subject to continued use and registration requirements. We regard our trademarks as valuable assets in marketing our products and services and intend to protect them against infringement and dilution.

### EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2010, we had a total of 8,270 employees. In addition, we had a total of 1,230 planners and agents who had active sales contracts with one of our insurance subsidiaries. None of our employees are represented by a labor union, and we are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements. We consider our employee relations to be good.

### AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other documents with the SEC under the Exchange Act. The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Also, the SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers, including LNC, that file electronically with the SEC. The public can obtain any documents that we file with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

We also make available, free of charge, on or through our Internet website <http://www.lincolnfinancial.com>, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

The information on the website listed above is not, and should not, be considered part of this annual report on Form 10-K and is not incorporated by reference in this document. This website is, and is only intended to be, an inactive textual reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risks described below before investing in our securities. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially affected. In that case, the value of our securities could decline substantially.

Adverse capital and credit market conditions may affect our ability to meet liquidity needs, access to capital and cost of capital.

We need liquidity to pay our operating expenses, interest on our debt and dividends on our capital stock, to maintain our securities lending activities and to replace certain maturing liabilities. Without sufficient liquidity, we will be forced to curtail our operations,

and our business will suffer. As a holding company with no direct operations, our principal asset is the capital stock of our insurance subsidiaries. Our ability to meet our obligations for payment of interest and principal on outstanding debt obligations and to pay dividends to shareholders and corporate expenses depends significantly upon the surplus and earnings of our subsidiaries and the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us. Payments of dividends and advances or repayment of funds to us by our insurance subsidiaries are restricted by the applicable laws and regulations of their respective jurisdictions, including laws establishing minimum solvency and liquidity thresholds. Changes in these laws could constrain the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us in sufficient amounts and at times necessary to meet our debt obligations and corporate expenses. For our insurance and other subsidiaries, the principal sources of our liquidity are insurance premiums and fees, annuity considerations and cash flow from our investment portfolio and assets, consisting mainly of cash or assets that are readily convertible into cash. At the holding company level, sources of liquidity in normal markets also include a variety of short-term liquid investments and short- and long-term instruments, including credit facilities, commercial paper and medium- and long-term debt.

In the event that current resources do not satisfy our needs, we may have to seek additional financing. The availability of additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the volume of trading activities, the overall availability of credit to the financial services industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as the possibility that customers or lenders could develop a negative perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects if we incur large investment losses or if the level of our business activity decreases due to a market downturn. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. See “Item 1. Business – Ratings” for a complete description of our ratings. Our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms, or at all.

Disruptions, uncertainty or volatility in the capital and credit markets may also limit our access to capital required to operate our business, most significantly our insurance operations. Such market conditions may limit our ability to replace, in a timely manner, maturing liabilities; satisfy statutory capital requirements; generate fee income and market-related revenue to meet liquidity needs; and access the capital necessary to grow our business. As such, we may be forced to delay raising capital, issue shorter term securities than we prefer or bear an unattractive cost of capital which could decrease our profitability and significantly reduce our financial flexibility. Our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and statutory capital position could be materially adversely affected by disruptions in the financial markets.

Difficult conditions in the global capital markets and the economy generally may materially adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the global capital markets and the economy generally, both in the U.S. and elsewhere around the world. Concerns over unemployment, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the U.S. have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and the markets going forward. These events and the reemergence of market upheavals may have an adverse effect on us, in part because we have a large investment portfolio and are also dependent upon customer behavior. Our revenues are likely to decline in such circumstances and our profit margins could erode. In addition, in the event of extreme prolonged market events, such as the global credit crisis that occurred during 2008 and 2009, we could incur significant losses. For example, for the year ended December 31, 2009, our earnings were unfavorably affected by realized investment losses and impairments of intangible assets of \$1.1 billion. Even in the absence of a market downturn, we are exposed to substantial risk of loss due to market volatility.

Factors such as consumer spending, business investment, government spending, the volatility and strength of the capital markets and inflation all affect the business and economic environment and, ultimately, the amount and profitability of our business. In an economic downturn characterized by higher unemployment, lower family income, lower corporate earnings, lower business investment and lower consumer spending, the demand for our financial and insurance products could be adversely affected. In addition, we may experience an elevated incidence of claims and lapses or surrenders of policies. Our contract holders may choose to defer paying insurance premiums or stop paying insurance premiums altogether. Adverse changes in the economy could affect earnings negatively and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If our businesses do not perform well and/or their estimated fair values decline or the price of our common stock does not increase, we may be required to recognize an impairment of our goodwill or to establish a valuation allowance against the deferred income tax asset, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Goodwill represents the excess of the acquisition price incurred to acquire subsidiaries and other businesses over the fair value of their net assets as of the date of acquisition. As of December 31, 2010, we had a total of \$3.0 billion of goodwill on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, of which \$2.2 billion related to our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment and \$440 million related to our Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment. We test goodwill at least annually for indications of value impairment with consideration given to financial performance, merger and acquisitions and other relevant factors. In addition, certain events, including a significant and adverse change in legal factors, accounting standards or the business climate, an adverse action or

assessment by a regulator or unanticipated competition, would cause us to review the carrying amounts of goodwill for impairment. Impairment testing is performed based upon estimates of the fair value of the “reporting unit” to which the goodwill relates. The reporting unit is the operating segment or a business one level below that operating segment if discrete financial information is prepared and regularly reviewed by management at that level. If the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, goodwill is impaired and written down to its fair value, and a charge is reported in impairment of intangibles on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

Subsequent reviews of goodwill could result in impairment of goodwill during 2011, and such write downs could have a material adverse effect on our net income and book value, but will not affect the statutory capital of our insurance subsidiaries. For more information on goodwill, see Note 10 and “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” in the MD&A.

Deferred income tax represents the tax effect of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are assessed periodically by management to determine if they are realizable. As of December 31, 2010, we had a deferred tax asset of \$2.5 billion. Factors in management’s determination include the performance of the business, including the ability to generate capital gains from a variety of sources and tax planning strategies. If, based on available information, it is more likely than not that the deferred income tax asset will not be realized, then a valuation allowance must be established with a corresponding charge to net income. Such valuation allowance could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position, but will not affect the statutory capital of our insurance subsidiaries.

Because we are a holding company with no direct operations, the inability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends to us in sufficient amounts would harm our ability to meet our obligations.

We are a holding company and we have no direct operations. Our principal asset is the capital stock of our insurance subsidiaries.

At the holding company level, sources of liquidity in normal markets include a variety of short- and long-term instruments, including credit facilities, commercial paper and medium- and long-term debt. However, our ability to meet our obligations for payment of interest and principal on outstanding debt obligations and to pay dividends to shareholders, repurchase our securities and pay corporate expenses depends primarily on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us. Under Indiana laws and regulations, our Indiana insurance subsidiaries, including LNL, our primary insurance subsidiary, may pay dividends to us without prior approval of the Commissioner up to a certain threshold, or must receive prior approval of the Commissioner to pay a dividend if such dividend, along with all other dividends paid within the preceding 12 consecutive months exceed the statutory limitation. The current Indiana statutory limitation is the greater of 10% of the insurer’s contract holders’ surplus, as shown on its last annual statement on file with the Commissioner or the insurer’s statutory net gain from operations for the prior calendar year.

In addition, payments of dividends and advances or repayment of funds to us by our insurance subsidiaries are restricted by the applicable laws of their respective jurisdictions requiring that our insurance subsidiaries hold a specified amount of minimum reserves in order to meet future obligations on their outstanding policies. These regulations specify that the minimum reserves shall be calculated to be sufficient to meet future obligations, after giving consideration to future required premiums to be received, and are based on certain specified mortality and morbidity tables, interest rates and methods of valuation, which are subject to change. In order to meet their claims-paying obligations, our insurance subsidiaries regularly monitor their reserves to ensure we hold sufficient amounts to cover actual or expected contract and claims payments. At times, we may determine that reserves in excess of the minimum may be needed to ensure sufficiency.

Changes in these laws can constrain the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us in sufficient amounts and at times necessary to meet our debt obligations and corporate expenses. For example, in September of 2008, the NAIC adopted a new statutory reserving standard for variable annuities known as VACARVM, which was effective as of December 31, 2009. This reserving requirement replaced the previous statutory reserving practices for variable annuities with guaranteed benefits, and any change in reserving practices has the potential to increase or decrease statutory reserves from previous levels. Requiring our insurance subsidiaries to hold additional reserves has the potential to constrain their ability to pay dividends to the holding company.

The earnings of our insurance subsidiaries impact contract holders' surplus. Principal sources of earnings are insurance premiums and fees, annuity considerations and income from our investment portfolio and assets, consisting mainly of cash or assets that are readily convertible into cash. Lower earnings constrain the growth in our insurance subsidiaries' capital, and therefore, can constrain the payment of dividends and advances or repayment of funds to us.

In addition, the amount of surplus that our insurance subsidiaries could pay as dividends is constrained by the amount of surplus they hold to maintain their financial strength ratings, to provide an additional layer of margin for risk protection and for future investment in our businesses. Notwithstanding the foregoing, we believe that our insurance subsidiaries have sufficient liquidity to meet their contract holder obligations and maintain their operations.



The difficulties faced by other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks and other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of our counterparty. In addition, with respect to secured transactions, our credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by us cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure due to it. We also may have exposure to these financial institutions in the form of unsecured debt instruments, derivative transactions and/or equity investments. There can be no assurance that any such losses or impairments to the carrying value of these assets would not materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our participation in a securities lending program and a reverse repurchase program subjects us to potential liquidity and other risks.

We participate in a securities lending program for our general account whereby fixed income securities are loaned by our agent bank to third parties, primarily major brokerage firms and commercial banks. The borrowers of our securities provide us with collateral, typically in cash, which we separately maintain. We invest such cash collateral in other securities, primarily in commercial paper and money market or other short term funds. Securities with a fair value of \$199 million were on loan under the program as of December 31, 2010. Securities loaned under such transactions may be sold or repledged by the transferee. We were liable for cash collateral under our control of \$192 million as of December 31, 2010.

We participate in a reverse repurchase program for our general account whereby we sell fixed income securities to third parties, primarily major brokerage firms, with a concurrent agreement to repurchase those same securities at a determined future date. The borrowers of our securities provide us with cash collateral which is typically invested in fixed maturity securities. The fair value of securities pledged under reverse repurchase agreements was \$294 million as of December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, substantially all of the securities on loan under the program could be returned to us by the borrowers at any time. Collateral received under the reverse repurchase program cannot be returned prior to maturity; however, market conditions on the repurchase date may limit our ability to enter into new agreements. The return of loaned securities or our inability to enter into new reverse repurchase agreements would require us to return the cash collateral associated with such securities. In addition, in some cases, the maturity of the securities held as invested collateral (i.e., securities that we have purchased with cash received from the third parties) may exceed the term of the related securities and the market value may fall below the amount of cash received as collateral and invested. If we are required to return significant amounts of cash collateral on short notice and we are forced to sell securities to meet the return obligation, we may have difficulty selling such collateral that is invested in securities in a timely manner, and we may be forced to sell securities in a volatile or illiquid market for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize under normal market conditions, or both. In addition, under stressful capital market and economic conditions, liquidity broadly deteriorates, which may further restrict our ability to sell securities.

Our reserves for future policy benefits and claims related to our current and future business as well as businesses we may acquire in the future may prove to be inadequate.

We establish and carry, as a liability, reserves based on estimates of how much we will need to pay for future benefits and claims. For our insurance products, we calculate these reserves based on many assumptions and estimates, including, but not limited to, estimated premiums we will receive over the assumed life of the policy, the timing of the event covered by the insurance policy, the lapse rate of the policies, the amount of benefits or claims to be paid and

the investment returns on the assets we purchase with the premiums we receive.

The sensitivity of our statutory reserves and surplus established for our variable annuity base contracts and riders to changes in the equity markets will vary depending on the magnitude of the decline. The sensitivity will be affected by the level of account values relative to the level of guaranteed amounts, product design and reinsurance. Statutory reserves for variable annuities depend upon the cumulative equity market impacts on the business in force, and therefore, result in non-linear relationships with respect to the level of equity market performance within any reporting period.

The assumptions and estimates we use in connection with establishing and carrying our reserves are inherently uncertain. Accordingly, we cannot determine with precision the ultimate amount or the timing of the payment of actual benefits and claims or whether the assets supporting the policy liabilities will grow to the level we assume prior to payment of benefits or claims. If our actual experience is different from our assumptions or estimates, our reserves may prove to be inadequate in relation to our estimated future benefits and claims.

We completed a conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform valuation platform during 2010 for certain blocks of our Retirement Solutions business, which resulted in an after-tax unfavorable prospective unlocking of \$16 million. We are in the process of completing a similar conversion for our Insurance Solutions – Life segment and also have other blocks of Retirement

Solutions business that we intend to convert in 2011. The completion of these conversions could have a financial effect. For further information about the results of the conversion completed during 2010, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” in the MD&A.

Because the equity markets and other factors impact the profitability and expected profitability of many of our products, changes in equity markets and other factors may significantly affect our business and profitability.

The fee revenue that we earn on equity-based variable annuities and VUL insurance policies is based upon account values. Because strong equity markets result in higher account values, strong equity markets positively affect our net income through increased fee revenue. Conversely, a weakening of the equity markets results in lower fee income and may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and capital resources.

The increased fee revenue resulting from strong equity markets increases the expected gross profits (“EGPs”) from variable insurance products as do better than expected lapses, mortality rates and expenses. As a result, higher EGPs may result in lower net amortized costs related to deferred acquisition costs (“DAC”), deferred sales inducements (“DSI”), value of business acquired (“VOBA”), DFEL and changes in future contract benefits. However, a decrease in the equity markets, as well as worse than expected increases in lapses, mortality rates and expenses, depending upon their significance, may result in higher net amortized costs associated with DAC, DSI, VOBA, DFEL and changes in future contract benefits and may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and capital resources. For example, in the fourth quarter of 2008, the last time that we reset our baseline of account values from which EGPs are projected, which we refer to as our “reversion to the mean” (“RTM”) process, we had a cumulative unfavorable prospective unlocking of \$223 million, after-tax. If unfavorable economic conditions return, additional unlocking of our RTM assumptions could be possible in future periods. However, if we were to have unlocked our RTM assumption in the corridor as of December 31, 2010, we would have recorded a favorable prospective unlocking of approximately \$375 million, pre-tax, for our Retirement Solutions business, and approximately \$15 million, pre-tax, for our Insurance Solutions business, as a result of improved market conditions since our last unlock of RTM in the fourth quarter of 2008. For further information about our RTM process, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” in the MD&A.

Changes in the equity markets, interest rates and/or volatility affect the profitability of our products with guaranteed benefits; therefore, such changes may have a material adverse effect on our business and profitability.

Certain of our variable annuity products include guaranteed benefit riders. These include GDB, GWB and GIB riders. Our GWB, GIB and 4LATER® (a form of GIB rider) features have elements of both insurance benefits accounted for under the Financial Services – Insurance – Claim Costs and Liabilities for Future Policy Benefits Subtopic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification™ (“ASC”) (“benefit reserves”) and embedded derivatives accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC (“embedded derivative reserves”). The benefit reserves resulting from a benefit ratio unlocking component are calculated in a manner consistent with our GDB, as described below. We calculate the value of the embedded derivative reserve and the benefit reserves based on the specific characteristics of each guaranteed living benefit feature. The amount of reserves related to GDB for variable annuities is tied to the difference between the value of the underlying accounts and the GDB, calculated using a benefit ratio approach. The GDB reserves take into account the present value of total expected GDB payments, the present value of total expected GDB assessments over the life of the contract, claims paid to date and assessments to date. Reserves for our GIB and certain GWB with lifetime benefits are based on a combination of fair value of the underlying benefit and a benefit ratio approach that is based on the projected future payments in excess of projected future account values. The benefit ratio approach takes into account the present value of total expected GIB payments, the present value of total expected GIB assessments over the life of the contract, claims paid to date and assessments to date. The amount of reserves related to those GWB that do not have lifetime benefits is based on the fair value of the underlying benefit.

Both the level of expected payments and expected total assessments used in calculating the benefit ratio are affected by the equity markets. The liabilities related to fair value are impacted by changes in equity markets, interest rates and volatility. Accordingly, strong equity markets will decrease the amount of reserves that we must carry, and strong equity markets, increases in interest rates and decreases in volatility will generally decrease the reserves calculated using fair value. Conversely, a decrease in the equity markets will increase the expected future payments used in the benefit ratio approach, which has the effect of increasing the amount of reserves. Also, a decrease in the equity market along with a decrease in interest rates and an increase in volatility will generally result in an increase in the reserves calculated using fair value, which are the conditions we have experienced recently.

Increases in reserves would result in a charge to our earnings in the quarter in which the increase occurs. Therefore, we maintain a customized dynamic hedge program that is designed to mitigate the risks associated with income volatility around the change in reserves on guaranteed benefits. However, the hedge positions may not be effective to exactly offset the changes in the carrying value of the guarantees due to, among other things, the time lag between changes in their values and corresponding changes in the hedge positions, high levels of volatility in the equity markets and derivatives markets, extreme swings in interest rates, contract holder behavior different than expected, a strategic decision to under- or over-hedge in reaction to extreme market conditions or

inconsistencies between economic and statutory reserving guidelines and divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and hedging indices. For example, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we experienced a breakage on our guaranteed living benefits net derivatives results of \$(109) million, \$(137) million and \$176 million, respectively, pre-tax and before the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and changes in other contract holder funds and funds withheld reinsurance liabilities. Breakage is defined as the difference between the change in the value of the liabilities, excluding the amount related to the non-performance risk component, and the change in the fair value of the derivatives. Breakage can be positive or negative. The non-performance risk factor is required under the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires us to consider our own credit standing, which is not hedged, in the valuation of certain of these liabilities. A decrease in our own credit spread could cause the value of these liabilities to increase, resulting in a reduction to net income. Conversely, an increase in our own credit spread could cause the value of these liabilities to decrease, resulting in an increase to net income.

In addition, we remain liable for the guaranteed benefits in the event that derivative counterparties are unable or unwilling to pay, and we are also subject to the risk that the cost of hedging these guaranteed benefits increases, resulting in a reduction to net income. These, individually or collectively, may have a material adverse effect on net income, financial condition or liquidity.

Changes in interest rates and sustained low interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and changes in interest rates may also result in increased contract withdrawals.

Interest rate fluctuations could negatively affect our profitability. Changes in interest rates may reduce both our profitability from spread businesses and our return on invested capital. Some of our products, principally fixed annuities, interest-sensitive whole life, UL and the fixed portion of VUL, have interest rate guarantees that expose us to the risk that changes in interest rates will reduce our spread, or the difference between the amounts that we are required to pay under the contracts and the amounts we are able to earn on our general account investments intended to support our obligations under the contracts. Declines in our spread or instances where the returns on our general account investments are not enough to support the interest rate guarantees on these products could have a material adverse effect on our businesses or results of operations.

In periods of increasing interest rates, we may not be able to replace the assets in our general account with higher yielding assets needed to fund the higher crediting rates necessary to keep our interest-sensitive products competitive. We therefore may have to accept a lower spread and thus lower profitability or face a decline in sales and greater loss of existing contracts and related assets. Increases in interest rates may cause increased surrenders and withdrawals of insurance products. In periods of increasing interest rates, policy loans and surrenders and withdrawals of life insurance policies and annuity contracts may increase as contract holders seek to buy products with perceived higher returns. This process may lead to a flow of cash out of our businesses. These outflows may require investment assets to be sold at a time when the prices of those assets are lower because of the increase in market interest rates, which may result in realized investment losses. A sudden demand among consumers to change product types or withdraw funds could lead us to sell assets at a loss to meet the demand for funds.

In periods of declining interest rates, we may have to reinvest the cash we receive as interest or return of principal on our investments in lower yielding instruments than currently available, without taking on additional investment risk. Moreover, borrowers may prepay fixed-income securities, commercial mortgages and mortgage-backed securities in our general account in order to borrow at lower market rates, which exacerbates this risk. Because we are entitled to reset the interest rates on our fixed rate annuities only at limited, pre-established intervals, and since many of our contracts have guaranteed minimum interest or crediting rates, our spreads could decrease and potentially become negative.

Currently, new money rates continue to be at low levels. If interest rates were to remain low over a sustained period of time, this would put additional pressure on our spreads, potentially resulting in unlocking of our DAC asset and increases in reserves. We would expect the effect to be most pronounced in our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment. For additional information on interest rate risks, see “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk.”

Our requirements to post collateral or make payments related to declines in market value of specified assets may adversely affect our liquidity and expose us to counterparty credit risk.

Many of our transactions with financial and other institutions, including settling futures positions, specify the circumstances under which the parties are required to post collateral. The amount of collateral we may be required to post under these agreements may increase under certain circumstances, which could adversely affect our liquidity. In addition, under the terms of some of our transactions, we may be required to make payments to our counterparties related to any decline in the market value of the specified assets.

Losses due to defaults by others could reduce our profitability or negatively affect the value of our investments.

Third parties that owe us money, securities or other assets may not pay or perform their obligations. These parties include the issuers whose securities we hold, borrowers under the mortgage loans we make, customers, trading counterparties, counterparties

under swaps and other derivative contracts, reinsurers and other financial intermediaries. These parties may default on their obligations to us due to bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, downturns in the economy or real estate values, operational failure, corporate governance issues or other reasons. A further downturn in the U.S. and other economies could result in increased impairments.

Defaults on our mortgage loans and write downs of mortgage equity may adversely affect our profitability.

Our mortgage loans face default risk and are principally collateralized by commercial properties. Mortgage loans are stated on our balance sheet at unpaid principal balance, adjusted for any unamortized premium or discount, deferred fees or expenses, and are net of valuation allowances. We establish valuation allowances for estimated impairments as of the balance sheet date based on information, such as the market value of the underlying real estate securing the loan, any third party guarantees on the loan balance or any cross collateral agreements and their impact on expected recovery rates. As of December 31, 2010, there were nine impaired mortgage loans, or less than 1% of total mortgage loans, and eight mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent. The performance of our mortgage loan investments, however, may fluctuate in the future. In addition, some of our mortgage loan investments have balloon payment maturities. An increase in the default rate of our mortgage loan investments could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Further, any geographic or sector exposure in our mortgage loans may have adverse effects on our investment portfolios and consequently on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition. While we seek to mitigate this risk by having a broadly diversified portfolio, events or developments that have a negative effect on any particular geographic region or sector may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolios to the extent that the portfolios are exposed.

For information about our risk of write downs of mortgage equity, see “Consolidated Investments – Standby Real Estate Equity Commitments” and “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Uses of Capital” in the MD&A.

Our investments are reflected within our consolidated financial statements utilizing different accounting bases, and, accordingly, there may be significant differences between cost and fair value that are not recorded in our consolidated financial statements.

Our principal investments are in fixed maturity and equity securities, mortgage loans on real estate, policy loans, short-term investments, derivative instruments, limited partnerships and other invested assets. The carrying value of such investments is as follows:

- Fixed maturity and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale (“AFS”), except for those designated as trading securities, and are reported at their estimated fair value. The difference between the estimated fair value and amortized cost of such securities (i.e., unrealized investment gains and losses) is recorded as a separate component of other comprehensive income (loss) (“OCI”), net of adjustments to DAC, contract holder related amounts and deferred income taxes;
- Fixed maturity and equity securities designated as trading securities, which in certain cases support reinsurance arrangements, are recorded at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in realized loss. However, offsetting the changes to fair value of the trading securities are corresponding changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liability associated with the underlying reinsurance arrangement. In other words, the investment results for the trading securities, including gains and losses from sales, are passed directly to the reinsurers through the contractual terms of the reinsurance arrangements. However, there are trading securities associated with the disability income business for which the reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re was rescinded, and therefore, we now retain the gains and losses on those securities;

- Short-term investments include investments with remaining maturities of one year or less, but greater than three months, at the time of acquisition and are stated at amortized cost, which approximates fair value;
- Mortgage loans on real estate are carried at unpaid principal balances, adjusted for any unamortized premiums or discounts and deferred fees or expenses, net of valuation allowances;
  - Policy loans are carried at unpaid principal balances;
- Real estate joint ventures and other limited partnership interests are carried using the equity method of accounting; and
- Other invested assets consist principally of derivatives with positive fair values. Derivatives are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in income from non-qualifying derivatives and derivatives in fair value hedging relationships. Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships are reflected as a separate component of other comprehensive income or loss.

Investments not carried at fair value on our consolidated financial statements, principally, mortgage loans, policy loans and real estate, may have fair values which are substantially higher or lower than the carrying value reflected on our consolidated financial statements. In addition, unrealized losses are not reflected in net income unless we realize the losses by either selling the security at below amortized cost or determine that the decline in fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary (i.e., impaired). Each of such asset classes is regularly evaluated for impairment under the accounting guidance appropriate to the respective asset class.



Our valuation of fixed maturity, equity and trading securities may include methodologies, estimations and assumptions which are subject to differing interpretations and could result in changes to investment valuations that may materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Fixed maturity, equity and trading securities and short-term investments, which are reported at fair value on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, represented the majority of our total cash and invested assets. Pursuant to the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC, we have categorized these securities into a three-level hierarchy, based on the priority of the inputs to the respective valuation technique. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3).

The determination of fair values in the absence of quoted market prices is based on valuation methodologies, securities we deem to be comparable and assumptions deemed appropriate given the circumstances. The fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on available market information and judgments about financial instruments, including estimates of the timing and amounts of expected future cash flows and the credit standing of the issuer or counterparty. Factors considered in estimating fair value include coupon rate, maturity, estimated duration, call provisions, sinking fund requirements, credit rating, industry sector of the issuer and quoted market prices of comparable securities. The use of different methodologies and assumptions may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

During periods of market disruption, including periods of significantly increasing/decreasing or high/low interest rates, rapidly widening credit spreads or illiquidity, it may be difficult to value certain of our securities if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. There may be certain asset classes that were in active markets with significant observable data that become illiquid due to the current financial environment. In such cases, more securities may fall to Level 3 and thus require more subjectivity and management judgment. As such, valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater estimation, as well as valuation methods which are more sophisticated or require greater estimation, thereby resulting in values which may be less than the value at which the investments may be ultimately sold. Further, rapidly changing and unprecedented credit and equity market conditions could materially impact the valuation of securities as reported within our consolidated financial statements and the period-to-period changes in value could vary significantly. Decreases in value may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Some of our investments are relatively illiquid and are in asset classes that have been experiencing significant market valuation fluctuations.

We hold certain investments that may lack liquidity, such as privately placed fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans, policy loans and other limited partnership interests. These asset classes represented 22% of the carrying value of our total cash and invested assets as of December 31, 2010.

If we require significant amounts of cash on short notice in excess of normal cash requirements or are required to post or return collateral in connection with our investment portfolio, derivatives transactions or securities lending activities, we may have difficulty selling these investments in a timely manner, be forced to sell them for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize, or both.

The reported value of our relatively illiquid types of investments, our investments in the asset classes described in the paragraph above and, at times, our high quality, generally liquid asset classes, do not necessarily reflect the lowest current market price for the asset. If we were forced to sell certain of our assets in the current market, there can be no assurance that we would be able to sell them for the prices at which we have recorded them and we might be forced to sell them at significantly lower prices.

We invest a portion of our invested assets in investment funds, many of which make private equity investments. The amount and timing of income from such investment funds tends to be uneven as a result of the performance of the underlying investments, including private equity investments. The timing of distributions from the funds, which depends on particular events relating to the underlying investments, as well as the funds' schedules for making distributions and their needs for cash, can be difficult to predict. As a result, the amount of income that we record from these investments can vary substantially from quarter to quarter. Recent equity and credit market volatility may reduce investment income for these types of investments.

In addition, other external factors may cause a drop in value of investments, such as ratings downgrades on asset classes. For example, Congress has proposed legislation to amend the U.S. Bankruptcy Code to permit bankruptcy courts to modify mortgages on primary residences, including an ability to reduce outstanding mortgage balances. Such actions by bankruptcy courts may impact the ratings and valuation of our residential mortgage-backed investment securities.

The determination of the amount of allowances and impairments taken on our investments is highly subjective and could materially impact our results of operations or financial position.

The determination of the amount of allowances and impairments varies by investment type and is based upon our periodic evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective asset class. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. Management updates its evaluations regularly and reflects changes in allowances and impairments in operations as such evaluations are revised. There can be no assurance that our management has accurately assessed the level of impairments taken and allowances reflected in our financial statements. Furthermore, additional impairments may need to be taken or allowances provided for in the future. Historical trends may not be indicative of future impairments or allowances.

We adopted updates to the Investments – Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the FASB ASC for our debt securities effective January 1, 2009. This adoption required that an other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) loss be separated into the amount representing the decrease in cash flows expected to be collected, or “credit loss,” which is recognized in earnings, and the amount related to all other factors, or “noncredit loss,” which is recognized in OCI. In addition, the requirement for management to assert that it has the intent and ability to hold an impaired security until recovery was replaced by the requirement for management to assert if it either has the intent to sell the debt security or if it is more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis.

We regularly review our AFS securities for declines in fair value that we determine to be other-than-temporary. For an equity security, if we do not have the ability and intent to hold the security for a sufficient period of time to allow for a recovery in value, we conclude that an OTTI has occurred, and the amortized cost of the equity security is written down to the current fair value, with a corresponding change to realized gain (loss) on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). When assessing our ability and intent to hold the equity security to recovery, we consider, among other things, the severity and duration of the decline in fair value of the equity security as well as the cause of decline, a fundamental analysis of the liquidity, business prospects and overall financial condition of the issuer.

For a debt security, if we intend to sell a security or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis and the fair value of the debt security is below amortized cost, we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to current fair value, with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income. If we do not intend to sell a debt security or it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the debt security (referred to as the credit loss), we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss), as this is also deemed the credit portion of the OTTI. The remainder of the decline to fair value is recorded in OCI to unrealized OTTI on AFS securities on our Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity, as this is considered a noncredit (i.e., recoverable) impairment. Net OTTI recognized in net income (loss) was \$152 million, \$392 million and \$851 million, pre-tax, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The portion of OTTI recognized in OCI for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, was \$88 million and \$275 million, pre-tax, respectively.

Related to our unrealized losses, we establish deferred tax assets for the tax benefit we may receive in the event that losses are realized. The realization of significant realized losses could result in an inability to recover the tax benefits and may result in the establishment of valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets. Realized losses or impairments may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial position.

We will be required to pay interest on our capital securities with proceeds from the issuance of qualifying securities if we fail to achieve capital adequacy or net income and stockholders' equity levels.

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$1.5 billion in principal amount of capital securities outstanding. All of the capital securities contain covenants that require us to make interest payments in accordance with an alternative coupon satisfaction mechanism ("ACSM") if we determine that one of the following triggers exists as of the 30th day prior to an interest payment date, or the "determination date":

1. LNL's RBC ratio is less than 175% (based on the most recent annual financial statement filed with the State of Indiana); or
2. (i) The sum of our consolidated net income for the four trailing fiscal quarters ending on the quarter that is two quarters prior to the most recently completed quarter prior to the determination date is zero or negative, and (ii) our consolidated stockholders' equity (excluding accumulated OCI and any increase in stockholders' equity resulting from the issuance of preferred stock during a quarter), or "adjusted stockholders' equity," as of (x) the most recently completed quarter and (y) the end of the quarter that is two quarters before the most recently completed quarter, has declined by 10% or more as compared to the quarter that is ten fiscal quarters prior to the last completed quarter, or the "benchmark quarter."

The ACSM would generally require us to use commercially reasonable efforts to satisfy our obligation to pay interest in full on the capital securities with the net proceeds from sales of our common stock and warrants to purchase our common stock with an exercise price greater than the market price. We would have to utilize the ACSM until the trigger events above no longer existed, and, in the case of test 2 above, our adjusted stockholders' equity amount increased or declined by less than 10% as compared to the adjusted stockholders' equity at the end of the benchmark quarter for each interest payment date as to which interest payment restrictions were imposed by test 2 above.

If we were required to utilize the ACSM and were successful in selling sufficient shares of common stock or warrants to satisfy the interest payment, we would dilute the current holders of our common stock. Furthermore, while a trigger event is occurring and if we do not pay accrued interest in full, we may not, among other things, pay dividends on or repurchase our capital stock. Our failure to pay interest pursuant to the ACSM will not result in an event of default with respect to the capital securities, nor will a nonpayment of interest, unless it lasts for ten consecutive years, although such breaches may result in monetary damages to the holders of the capital securities.

The calculations of RBC, net income (loss) and adjusted stockholders' equity are subject to adjustments and the capital securities are subject to additional terms and conditions as further described in supplemental indentures filed as exhibits to our Forms 8-K filed on March 13, 2007, May 17, 2006, and April 20, 2006.

A decrease in the capital and surplus of our insurance subsidiaries may result in a downgrade to our credit and insurer financial strength ratings.

In any particular year, statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease depending on a variety of factors, including the amount of statutory income or losses generated by our insurance subsidiaries (which itself is sensitive to equity market and credit market conditions), the amount of additional capital our insurance subsidiaries must hold to support business growth, changes in reserving requirements, such as VACARVM and principles based reserving, our inability to secure capital market solutions to provide reserve relief, such as issuing letters of credit to support captive reinsurance structures, changes in equity market levels, the value of certain fixed-income and equity securities in our investment portfolio, the value of certain derivative instruments that do not get hedge accounting, changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, as well as changes to the NAIC RBC formulas. The RBC ratio is also affected by the product mix of the in-force book of business (i.e., the amount of business without guarantees is not subject to the same level of reserves as the business with guarantees). Most of these factors are outside of our control. Our credit and insurer financial strength ratings are significantly influenced by the statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios of our insurance company subsidiaries. The RBC ratio of LNL is an important factor in the determination of the credit and financial strength ratings of LNC and its subsidiaries. In addition, rating agencies may implement changes to their internal models that have the effect of increasing or decreasing the amount of statutory capital we must hold in order to maintain our current ratings. In addition, in extreme scenarios of equity market declines, the amount of additional statutory reserves that we are required to hold for our variable annuity guarantees may increase at a rate greater than the rate of change of the markets. Increases in reserves reduce the statutory surplus used in calculating our RBC ratios. To the extent that our statutory capital resources are deemed to be insufficient to maintain a particular rating by one or more rating agencies, we may seek to raise additional capital through public or private equity or debt financing, which may be on terms not as favorable as in the past. Alternatively, if we were not to raise additional capital in such a scenario, either at our discretion or because we were unable to do so, our financial strength and credit ratings might be downgraded by one or more rating agencies. For more information on risks regarding our ratings, see "A downgrade in our financial strength or credit ratings could limit our ability to market products, increase the number or value of policies being surrendered and/or hurt our relationships with creditors" below.

A downgrade in our financial strength or credit ratings could limit our ability to market products, increase the number or value of policies being surrendered and/or hurt our relationships with creditors.

Nationally recognized rating agencies rate the financial strength of our principal insurance subsidiaries and rate our debt. Ratings are not recommendations to buy our securities. Each of the rating agencies reviews its ratings periodically, and our current ratings may not be maintained in the future. During 2010, A.M. Best, Fitch and Moody's each revised their outlook for the U.S. life insurance sector to stable, with the exception of S&P, who maintained their outlook at stable.

Our financial strength ratings, which are intended to measure our ability to meet contract holder obligations, are an important factor affecting public confidence in most of our products and, as a result, our competitiveness. A downgrade of the financial strength rating of one of our principal insurance subsidiaries could affect our competitive position in the insurance industry by making it more difficult for us to market our products as potential customers may select companies with higher financial strength ratings and by leading to increased withdrawals by current customers seeking companies with higher financial strength ratings. This could lead to a decrease in fees as net outflows of assets increase, and therefore, result in lower fee income. Furthermore, sales of assets to meet customer withdrawal demands could also result in losses, depending on market conditions. The interest rates we pay on our borrowings are largely dependent on our credit ratings. A downgrade of our debt ratings could affect our ability to raise additional debt, including bank lines of credit, with terms and conditions similar to our current debt, and accordingly, likely increase our cost of capital.

All of our ratings and ratings of our principal insurance subsidiaries are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agencies, and therefore, no assurance can be given that our principal insurance subsidiaries or we can maintain these ratings. See “Item 1. Business – Ratings” for a complete description of our ratings.

Certain blocks of our insurance business purchased from third-party insurers under indemnity reinsurance agreements may require us to place assets in trust, secure letters of credit or return the business, if the financial strength ratings and/or capital ratios of certain insurance subsidiaries are not maintained at specified levels.

Under certain indemnity reinsurance agreements, one of our insurance subsidiaries, LLANY, provides 100% indemnity reinsurance for the business assumed, however, the third-party insurer, or the “cedent,” remains primarily liable on the underlying insurance business. Under these types of agreements, as of December 31, 2010, we held statutory reserves of approximately \$3.2 billion. These indemnity reinsurance arrangements require that our subsidiary, as the reinsurer, maintain certain insurer financial strength ratings and capital ratios. If these ratings or capital ratios are not maintained, depending upon the reinsurance agreement, the cedent may recapture the business, or require us to place assets in trust or provide letters of credit at least equal to the relevant statutory reserves. Under the largest indemnity reinsurance arrangement, we held approximately \$2.1 billion of statutory reserves as of December 31, 2010. LLANY must maintain an A.M. Best financial strength rating of at least B+, an S&P financial strength rating of at least BB+ and a Moody’s financial strength rating of at least Ba1, as well as maintain a RBC ratio of at least 160% or an S&P capital adequacy ratio of 100%, or the cedent may recapture the business. Under two other arrangements, by which we established approximately \$1.0 billion of statutory reserves, LLANY must maintain an A.M. Best financial strength rating of at least B++, an S&P financial strength rating of at least BBB- and a Moody’s financial strength rating of at least Baa3. One of these arrangements also requires LLANY to maintain an RBC ratio of at least 185% or an S&P capital adequacy ratio of 115%. Each of these arrangements may require LLANY to place assets in trust equal to the relevant statutory reserves. As of December 31, 2010, LLANY’s RBC ratio exceeded the required ratio. See “Item 1. Business – Ratings” for a complete description of our ratings.

If the cedent recaptured the business, LLANY would be required to release reserves and transfer assets to the cedent. Such a recapture could adversely impact our future profits. Alternatively, if LLANY established a security trust for the cedent, the ability to transfer assets out of the trust could be severely restricted, thus negatively impacting our liquidity.

Our businesses are heavily regulated and changes in regulation may affect our insurance subsidiary capital requirements or reduce our profitability.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to extensive supervision and regulation in the states in which we do business. The supervision and regulation relate to numerous aspects of our business and financial condition. The primary purpose of the supervision and regulation is the protection of our insurance contract holders, and not our investors. The extent of regulation varies, but generally is governed by state statutes. These statutes delegate regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority to state insurance departments. This system of supervision and regulation covers, among other things:

- Standards of minimum capital requirements and solvency, including RBC measurements;
- Restrictions of certain transactions between our insurance subsidiaries and their affiliates;
  - Restrictions on the nature, quality and concentration of investments;
- Restrictions on the types of terms and conditions that we can include in the insurance policies offered by our primary insurance operations;
  - Limitations on the amount of dividends that insurance subsidiaries can pay;
- The existence and licensing status of the company under circumstances where it is not writing new or renewal business;

- Certain required methods of accounting;
- Reserves for unearned premiums, losses and other purposes; and
- Assignment of residual market business and potential assessments for the provision of funds necessary for the settlement of covered claims under certain policies provided by impaired, insolvent or failed insurance companies.

We may be unable to maintain all required licenses and approvals and our business may not fully comply with the wide variety of applicable laws and regulations or the relevant authority's interpretation of the laws and regulations, which may change from time to time. Also, regulatory authorities have relatively broad discretion to grant, renew or revoke licenses and approvals. If we do not have the requisite licenses and approvals or do not comply with applicable regulatory requirements, the insurance regulatory authorities could preclude or temporarily suspend us from carrying on some or all of our activities or impose substantial fines. Further, insurance regulatory authorities have relatively broad discretion to issue orders of supervision, which permit such authorities to supervise the business and operations of an insurance company. As of December 31, 2010, no state insurance regulatory authority had imposed on us any substantial fines or revoked or suspended any of our licenses to conduct insurance business in any state or issued an order of supervision with respect to our insurance subsidiaries, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.



In addition, Lincoln Financial Advisors, Lincoln Financial Securities and LFD, as well as our variable annuities and variable life insurance products, are subject to regulation and supervision by the SEC and FINRA. LNC, as a savings and loan holding company, and NCLS are subject to regulation and supervision by the OTS. As a savings and loan holding company, we are also subject to the requirement that our activities be financially-related activities as defined by federal law (which includes insurance activities). These laws and regulations generally grant supervisory agencies and self-regulatory organizations broad administrative powers, including the power to limit or restrict the subsidiaries from carrying on their businesses in the event that they fail to comply with such laws and regulations.

Recently, there has been an increase in potential federal initiatives that would affect the financial services industry. On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Act, a wide-ranging Act that includes a number of reforms of the financial services industry and financial products. The Dodd-Frank Act includes, among other things, changes to the rules governing derivatives; restrictions on proprietary trading by certain entities; the imposition of capital and leverage requirements on bank and savings and loan holding companies; a study by the SEC of the rules governing broker-dealers and investment advisers with respect to individual investors and investment advice, followed potentially by rulemaking; the creation of a new Federal Insurance Office within the U.S. Treasury to gather information regarding the insurance industry; the creation of a resolution authority to unwind failing institutions, funded on a post-event basis; the creation of a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to protect consumers of certain financial products; and changes to executive compensation and certain corporate governance rules, among other things. The Dodd-Frank Act also eliminates the OTS and reallocates the supervisory and regulatory authority over federally chartered thrifts to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and over thrift holding companies to the Federal Reserve Board. Enactment of this provision ensures that we and NCLS will each have a new regulator and may be subject to additional regulations. Many of the provisions of this legislation require substantial regulatory work prior to implementation and although we do not expect the Dodd-Frank Act or the rules to be promulgated thereunder to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity or capital resources, the ultimate impact of any of these provisions on our results of operations, liquidity or capital resources is currently indeterminable.

Many of the foregoing regulatory or governmental bodies have the authority to review our products and business practices and those of our agents and employees. In recent years, there has been increased scrutiny of our businesses by these bodies, which has included more extensive examinations, regular sweep inquiries and more detailed review of disclosure documents. These regulatory or governmental bodies may bring regulatory or other legal actions against us if, in their view, our practices, or those of our agents or employees, are improper. These actions can result in substantial fines, penalties or prohibitions or restrictions on our business activities and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Attempts to mitigate the impact of Regulation XXX and Actuarial Guideline 38 may fail in whole or in part resulting in an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The Model Regulation entitled "Valuation of Life Insurance Policies," commonly known as "Regulation XXX" or "XXX," requires insurers to establish additional statutory reserves for term life insurance policies with long-term premium guarantees and UL policies with secondary guarantees. In addition, Actuarial Guideline 38 ("AG38") clarifies the application of XXX with respect to certain UL insurance policies with secondary guarantees. Virtually all of our newly issued term and the great majority of our newly issued UL insurance products are now affected by XXX and AG38. The application of both AG38 and XXX involve numerous interpretations. At times, there may be differences of opinion between management and state insurance departments regarding the application of these and other actuarial standards. Such differences of opinion may lead to a state insurance regulator requiring greater reserves to support insurance liabilities than management estimated.

We also have implemented reinsurance and capital management actions to mitigate the capital impact of XXX and AG38, including the use of letters of credit to support the reinsurance provided by captive reinsurance subsidiaries. In addition, although formal details have not been provided, we anticipate the rating agencies may require a portion of these letters of credit to be included in our leverage calculations, which would pressure our leverage ratios and potentially our ratings. Therefore, we cannot provide assurance that there will not be regulatory, rating agency or other challenges to the actions we have taken to date. The result of those potential challenges could require us to increase statutory reserves or incur higher operating and/or tax costs.

We also cannot provide assurance that we will be able to continue to implement actions to mitigate the impact of XXX or AG38 on future sales of term and UL insurance products. If we are unable to continue to implement those actions, we may incur higher operating costs and lower returns on products sold than we currently anticipate or reduce our sales of these products.

For further discussion see “Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance – Income (Loss) from Operations – Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain.”

Changes in accounting standards issued by the FASB or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our financial statements.

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP as identified in the FASB ASC. From time to time, we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards or guidance that are incorporated into the FASB ASC. It is possible that future accounting standards we are required to adopt could change the current accounting treatment that we apply to our consolidated financial statements and that such changes could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

For example, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-26, “Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts” (“ASU 2010-26”), which clarifies the types of costs that insurance companies may capitalize and amortize over the life of the business. ASU 2010-26 significantly reduces the amount of acquisition cost that we will be able to defer in connection with sales of our insurance products. Although this will not affect the ultimate profitability of our products, we expect it could materially alter the pattern of our earnings. In addition, the final guidance permits companies to apply the guidance retrospectively with a cumulative effect adjustment to the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2010, our DAC asset was \$7.6 billion, pre-tax, or \$4.9 billion, after-tax. If we applied the guidance retrospectively, we would write-down a portion of our existing DAC asset that we determined did not qualify as a deferred expense. The amount of the write-down, if any, would reduce the amount of future amortization expense. This guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The ultimate impact to our consolidated financial position and results of operations is currently being evaluated.

In addition, the FASB is working on several projects with the International Accounting Standards Board, which could result in significant changes as GAAP converges with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”), including how we account for our insurance contracts and financial instruments and how our financial statements are presented. Furthermore, the SEC is considering whether and how to incorporate IFRS into the U.S. financial reporting system. The accounting changes being proposed by the FASB will be a complete change to how we account for and report significant areas of our business, such as insurance contracts and DAC. The effective dates and transition methods are not known; however, issuers may be required to or may choose to adopt the new standards retrospectively. In this case, the issuer will report results under the new accounting method as of the effective date, as well as for all periods presented. The changes to GAAP and ultimate conversion to IFRS will impose special demands on issuers in the areas of governance, employee training, internal controls, contract fulfillment and disclosure and will likely affect how we manage our business, as it will likely affect other business processes such as design of compensation plans, product design, etc.

Legal and regulatory actions are inherent in our businesses and could result in financial losses or harm our businesses.

We are, and in the future may be, subject to legal actions in the ordinary course of our insurance and investment management operations, both domestically and internationally. Pending legal actions include proceedings relating to aspects of our businesses and operations that are specific to us and proceedings that are typical of the businesses in which we operate. Some of these proceedings have been brought on behalf of various alleged classes of complainants. In certain of these matters, the plaintiffs are seeking large and/or indeterminate amounts, including punitive or exemplary damages. Substantial legal liability in these or future legal or regulatory actions could have a material financial effect or cause significant harm to our reputation, which in turn could materially harm our business prospects. For more information on pending material legal proceedings, see Note 14.

Changes in U.S. federal income tax law could increase our tax costs and make the products that we sell less desirable.

Changes to the Internal Revenue Code, administrative rulings or court decisions could increase our effective tax rate, make our products less desirable and lower our net income. For example, on February 14, 2011, the Obama Administration released its fiscal year 2012 budget proposal including proposals which, if enacted, would affect the taxation of life insurance companies and certain life insurance products. If enacted into law, the statutory changes contemplated by the Administration's revenue proposals would, among other things, change the method used to determine the amount of dividend income received by a life insurance company on assets held in separate accounts used to support products, including variable life insurance and variable annuity contracts, that are eligible for the dividend received deduction. The dividend received deduction reduces the amount of dividend income subject to tax and is a significant component of the difference between our actual tax expense and expected amount determined using the federal statutory tax rate of 35%. Our income tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2010, included a separate account dividend received deduction benefit of \$94 million. In addition, the proposals would affect the treatment of COLI policies by limiting the availability of certain interest deductions for companies that purchase those policies. If proposals of this type were enacted, our sale of COLI, variable annuities and variable life products could be adversely affected and our actual tax expense could increase, reducing earnings.

Our enterprise risk management policies and procedures may leave us exposed to unidentified or unanticipated risk, which could negatively affect our businesses or result in losses.

We have devoted significant resources to develop our enterprise risk management policies and procedures and expect to continue to do so in the future. Nonetheless, our policies and procedures to identify, monitor and manage risks may not be fully effective. Many of our methods of managing risk and exposures are based upon our use of observed historical market behavior or statistics based on historical models. As a result, these methods may not predict future exposures, which could be significantly greater than the historical measures indicate, such as the risk of pandemics causing a large number of deaths. Other risk management methods depend upon the evaluation of information regarding markets, clients, catastrophe occurrence or other matters that is publicly available or otherwise accessible to us, which may not always be accurate, complete, up-to-date or properly evaluated. Management of operational, legal and regulatory risks requires, among other things, policies and procedures to record properly and verify a large number of transactions and events, and these policies and procedures may not be fully effective.

We face a risk of non-collectibility of reinsurance, which could materially affect our results of operations.

We follow the insurance practice of reinsuring with other insurance and reinsurance companies a portion of the risks under the policies written by our insurance subsidiaries (known as “ceding”). As of December 31, 2010, we ceded \$337.8 billion of life insurance in force to reinsurers for reinsurance protection. Although reinsurance does not discharge our subsidiaries from their primary obligation to pay contract holders for losses insured under the policies we issue, reinsurance does make the assuming reinsurer liable to the insurance subsidiaries for the reinsured portion of the risk. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$6.5 billion of reinsurance receivables from reinsurers for paid and unpaid losses, for which they are obligated to reimburse us under our reinsurance contracts. Of this amount, \$3.0 billion related to the sale of our reinsurance business to Swiss Re in 2001 through an indemnity reinsurance agreement. Swiss Re has funded a trust to support this business. The balance in the trust changes as a result of ongoing reinsurance activity and was \$1.7 billion as of December 31, 2010. As a result of Swiss Re’s S&P financial strength rating dropping below AA-, Swiss Re was required to fund an additional trust to support this business of approximately \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2010, which was established during the fourth quarter of 2009. Furthermore, approximately \$1.1 billion of the Swiss Re treaties are funds withheld structures where we have a right of offset on assets backing the reinsurance receivables.

The balance of the reinsurance is due from a diverse group of reinsurers. The collectibility of reinsurance is largely a function of the solvency of the individual reinsurers. We perform annual credit reviews on our reinsurers, focusing on, among other things, financial capacity, stability, trends and commitment to the reinsurance business. We also require assets in trust, letters of credit or other acceptable collateral to support balances due from reinsurers not authorized to transact business in the applicable jurisdictions. Despite these measures, a reinsurer’s insolvency, inability or unwillingness to make payments under the terms of a reinsurance contract, especially Swiss Re, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Significant adverse mortality experience may result in the loss of, or higher prices for, reinsurance.

We reinsure a significant amount of the mortality risk on fully underwritten, newly issued, individual life insurance contracts. We regularly review retention limits for continued appropriateness and they may be changed in the future. If we were to experience adverse mortality or morbidity experience, a significant portion of that would be reimbursed by our reinsurers. Prolonged or severe adverse mortality or morbidity experience could result in increased reinsurance costs, and ultimately, reinsurers not willing to offer coverage. If we are unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient, we would either have to be willing to accept an increase in our net exposures or revise our pricing to reflect higher reinsurance premiums. If this were to occur, we may be exposed to reduced profitability and cash flow strain or we may not be

able to price new business at competitive rates.

Catastrophes may adversely impact liabilities for contract holder claims and the availability of reinsurance.

Our insurance operations are exposed to the risk of catastrophic mortality, such as a pandemic, an act of terrorism, natural disaster or other event that causes a large number of deaths or injuries. Significant influenza pandemics have occurred three times in the last century, but the likelihood, timing or severity of a future pandemic cannot be predicted. Additionally, the impact of climate change could cause changes in weather patterns, resulting in more severe and more frequent natural disasters such as forest fires, hurricanes, tornados, floods and storm surges. In our group insurance operations, a localized event that affects the workplace of one or more of our group insurance customers could cause a significant loss due to mortality or morbidity claims. These events could cause a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any period and, depending on their severity, could also materially and adversely affect our financial condition.

The extent of losses from a catastrophe is a function of both the total amount of insured exposure in the area affected by the event and the severity of the event. Pandemics, natural disasters and man-made catastrophes, including terrorism, may produce significant damage in larger areas, especially those that are heavily populated. Claims resulting from natural or man-made catastrophic events could cause substantial volatility in our financial results for any fiscal quarter or year and could materially reduce our profitability or harm our financial condition. Also, catastrophic events could harm the financial condition of our reinsurers and

thereby increase the probability of default on reinsurance recoveries. Accordingly, our ability to write new business could also be affected.

Consistent with industry practice and accounting standards, we establish liabilities for claims arising from a catastrophe only after assessing the probable losses arising from the event. We cannot be certain that the liabilities we have established or applicable reinsurance will be adequate to cover actual claim liabilities, and a catastrophic event or multiple catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Competition for our employees is intense, and we may not be able to attract and retain the highly skilled people we need to support our business.

Our success depends, in large part, on our ability to attract and retain key people. Intense competition exists for the key employees with demonstrated ability, and we may be unable to hire or retain such employees. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of our key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our operations due to their skills, knowledge of our business, their years of industry experience and the potential difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement employees. We compete with other financial institutions primarily on the basis of our products, compensation, support services and financial position. Sales in our businesses and our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected if we are unsuccessful in attracting and retaining key employees, including financial advisors, wholesalers and other employees, as well as independent distributors of our products.

Our sales representatives are not captive and may sell products of our competitors.

We sell our annuity and life insurance products through independent sales representatives. These representatives are not captive, which means they may also sell our competitors' products. If our competitors offer products that are more attractive than ours, or pay higher commission rates to the sales representatives than we do, these representatives may concentrate their efforts in selling our competitors' products instead of ours.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims.

We rely on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. Although we use a broad range of measures to protect our intellectual property rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our copyrights, trademarks, patents, trade secrets and know-how or to determine their scope, validity or enforceability, which represents a diversion of resources that may be significant in amount and may not prove successful. Additionally, complex legal and factual determinations and evolving laws and court interpretations make the scope of protection afforded our intellectual property uncertain, particularly in relation to our patents. While we believe our patents provide us with a competitive advantage, we cannot be certain that any issued patents will be interpreted with sufficient breadth to offer meaningful protection. In addition, our issued patents may be successfully challenged, invalidated, circumvented or found unenforceable so that our patent rights would not create an effective competitive barrier. The loss of intellectual property protection or the inability to secure or enforce the protection of our intellectual property assets could have a material adverse effect on our business and our ability to compete.

We also may be subject to costly litigation in the event that another party alleges our operations or activities infringe upon another party's intellectual property rights. Third parties may have, or may eventually be issued, patents that could be infringed by our products, methods, processes or services. Any party that holds such a patent could make a claim of infringement against us. We may also be subject to claims by third parties for breach of copyright, trademark, trade secret or license usage rights. Any such claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant

liability for damages. If we were found to have infringed a third-party patent or other intellectual property rights, we could incur substantial liability, and in some circumstances could be enjoined from providing certain products or services to our customers or utilizing and benefiting from certain methods, processes, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses, or alternatively could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Intense competition could negatively affect our ability to maintain or increase our profitability.

Our businesses are intensely competitive. We compete based on a number of factors, including name recognition, service, the quality of investment advice, investment performance, product features, price, perceived financial strength and claims-paying and credit ratings. Our competitors include insurers, broker-dealers, financial advisors, asset managers and other financial institutions. A number of our business units face competitors that have greater market share, offer a broader range of products or have higher financial strength or credit ratings than we do.

In recent years, there has been substantial consolidation and convergence among companies in the financial services industry resulting in increased competition from large, well-capitalized financial services firms. Many of these firms also have been able to increase their distribution systems through mergers or contractual arrangements. Furthermore, larger competitors may have lower



operating costs and an ability to absorb greater risk while maintaining their financial strength ratings, thereby allowing them to price their products more competitively. We expect consolidation to continue and perhaps accelerate in the future, thereby increasing competitive pressure on us.

Anti-takeover provisions could delay, deter or prevent our change in control, even if the change in control would be beneficial to LNC shareholders.

We are an Indiana corporation subject to Indiana state law. Certain provisions of Indiana law could interfere with or restrict takeover bids or other change in control events affecting us. Also, provisions in our articles of incorporation, bylaws and other agreements to which we are a party could delay, deter or prevent our change in control, even if a change in control would be beneficial to shareholders. In addition, under Indiana law, directors may, in considering the best interests of a corporation, consider the effects of any action on shareholders, employees, suppliers and customers of the corporation and the communities in which offices and other facilities are located, and other factors the directors consider pertinent. One statutory provision prohibits, except under specified circumstances, LNC from engaging in any business combination with any shareholder who owns 10% or more of our common stock (which shareholder, under the statute, would be considered an “interested shareholder”) for a period of five years following the time that such shareholder became an interested shareholder, unless such business combination is approved by the board of directors prior to such person becoming an interested shareholder. In addition, our articles of incorporation contain a provision requiring holders of at least three-fourths of our voting shares then outstanding and entitled to vote at an election of directors, voting together, to approve a transaction with an interested shareholder rather than the simple majority required under Indiana law.

In addition to the anti-takeover provisions of Indiana law, there are other factors that may delay, deter or prevent our change in control. As an insurance holding company, we are regulated as an insurance holding company and are subject to the insurance holding company acts of the states in which our insurance company subsidiaries are domiciled. The insurance holding company acts and regulations restrict the ability of any person to obtain control of an insurance company without prior regulatory approval. Under those statutes and regulations, without such approval (or an exemption), no person may acquire any voting security of a domestic insurance company, or an insurance holding company which controls an insurance company, or merge with such a holding company, if as a result of such transaction such person would “control” the insurance holding company or insurance company. “Control” is generally defined as the direct or indirect power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a person and is presumed to exist if a person directly or indirectly owns or controls 10% or more of the voting securities of another person. Similarly, as a result of our ownership of NCLS, LNC is considered to be a savings and loan holding company. Federal banking laws generally provide that no person may acquire control of LNC, and gain indirect control of NCLS without prior regulatory approval. Generally, beneficial ownership of 10% or more of the voting securities of LNC would be presumed to constitute control.

#### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

#### Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2010, LNC and our subsidiaries owned or leased approximately 3.5 million square feet of office space. We leased 0.1 million square feet of office space in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for LFN. We leased 0.2 million square feet of office space in Radnor, Pennsylvania for our corporate center and for LFD. We owned or leased 0.8 million square feet of office space in Fort Wayne, Indiana, primarily for our Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Retirements Solutions – Defined Contribution segments. We owned or leased 0.8 million square feet of office space in Greensboro, North Carolina, primarily for our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment. We owned or leased 0.3

million square feet of office space in Omaha, Nebraska, primarily for our Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment. An additional 1.3 million square feet of office space is owned or leased in other U.S. cities for branch offices. As provided in Note 14, the rental expense on operating leases for office space and equipment was \$46 million for 2010. This discussion regarding properties does not include information on investment properties.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

For information regarding legal proceedings, see “Regulatory and Litigation Matters” in Note 14, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

During the fourth quarter of 2010, no matters were submitted to security holders for a vote.

## Executive Officers of the Registrant

Executive Officers of the Registrant as of February 20, 2011, were as follows:

Name	Age (1)	Position with LNC and Business Experience During the Past Five Years
Dennis R. Glass	61	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (since July 2007). President, Chief Operating Officer and Director (April 2006 - July 2007). President and Chief Executive Officer, Jefferson-Pilot (2004 - April 2006). President and Chief Operating Officer, Jefferson-Pilot (2001 - April 2006).
Lisa M. Buckingham	45	Senior Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer (since December 2008). Senior Vice President, Global Talent, Thomson Reuters, a provider of information and services for businesses and professionals (April 2008 - November 2008). Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Thomson Corporation (2002 - April 2008).
Charles C. Cornelio	51	President, Defined Contribution (since December 2009). Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer (November 2008 - December 2009). Senior Vice President, Shared Services and Chief Information Officer (April 2006 - November 2008). Executive Vice President, Technology and Insurance Services, Jefferson-Pilot (2004 - April 2006). Senior Vice President, Jefferson-Pilot (1997 - 2004).
Frederick J. Crawford	47	Executive Vice President and Head of Corporate Development and Investments (since January 2011). Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (since November 2008). Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (2005 - November 2008). Vice President and Treasurer (2001 - 2004).
Robert W. Dineen	61	President, Lincoln Financial Network, and CEO, Lincoln Financial Advisors (2) (since 2002). Senior Vice President, Managed Asset Group, Merrill Lynch & Co., a diversified financial services company (2001 - 2002).
Randal J. Freitag	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (since January 2011). Senior Vice President, Chief Risk Officer (2007 - December 2010). Senior Vice President, Chief Risk Officer and Treasurer (2007 - October 2009). Senior Vice President, Product Risk and Profitability and Actuary (2004 - 2007).
Wilford H. Fuller	40	President and CEO, Lincoln Financial Distributors (2) (since February 2009). Head, Distribution, Global Wealth Management, Merrill Lynch & Co., a diversified financial services company (2007 - 2009). Head, Distribution, Managed Solutions Group, Merrill Lynch & Co. (2005 - 2007). National Sales Manager, Merrill Lynch & Co. (2000 - 2005).

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Nicole S. Jones	40	Senior Vice President, General Counsel (since May 2010). Deputy General Counsel, Corporate Secretary and Chief Counsel, CIGNA Corporation, a global health services company (September 2006 - May 2010). Chief Counsel, Securities, International Paper, a global manufacturer of paper products (February 2006 - September 2006). Vice President, Corporate and Securities, MCI Corporation, a telecommunications company (2003 - 2006).
Mark E. Konen	51	President, Insurance and Retirement Solutions (since July 2008 and February 2009 respectively). President, Individual Markets (April 2006 - July 2008). Executive Vice President, Life and Annuity Manufacturing, Jefferson-Pilot (2004 - April 2006). Executive Vice President, Product/Financial Management, Jefferson-Pilot (2002 - 2004).

(1) Age shown is based on the officer's age as of February 20, 2011.

(2) Denotes an affiliate of LNC.

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## (a) Stock Market and Dividend Information

Our common stock is traded on the New York and Chicago stock exchanges under the symbol LNC. As of January 29, 2011, the number of shareholders of record of our common stock was 10,608. The dividend on our common stock is declared each quarter by our Board of Directors if we are eligible to pay dividends and the Board determines that we will pay dividends. In determining dividends, the Board takes into consideration items such as our financial condition, including current and expected earnings, projected cash flows and anticipated financing needs. For potential restrictions on our ability to pay dividends, see "Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources" in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Note 21 in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements presented in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Statements," as well as in "Part I – Item 1. Business – Regulatory – Insurance Regulation – Restriction on Subsidiaries' Dividends and Other Payments." The following presents the high and low prices for our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange during the periods indicated and the dividends declared per share during such periods:

	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
2010				
High	\$ 30.74	\$ 33.55	\$ 26.83	\$ 29.12
Low	22.52	23.86	20.65	23.17
Dividend declared	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.050
2009				
High	\$ 25.59	\$ 19.99	\$ 27.82	\$ 28.10
Low	4.90	5.52	14.34	21.99
Dividend declared	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010

## (b) Not Applicable

## (c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following summarizes purchases of equity securities by the issuer during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 (dollars in millions, except per share data):

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased (1)	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	(d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (3)
10/1/10 - 10/31/10	2,096	\$ 24.21	-	\$ 1,140.1
11/1/10 - 11/30/10	715,250	24.00	715,100	1,122.9
12/1/10 - 12/31/10	333,803	23.60	333,189	1,115.1

- (1) Of the total number of shares purchased, no shares were received in connection with the exercise of stock options and related taxes and 2,860 shares were withheld for taxes on the vesting of restricted stock. For the quarter ended December 31, 2010, there were 1,048,289 shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs.
- (2) On February 23, 2007, our Board approved a \$2.0 billion increase to our securities repurchase authorization, bringing the total authorization at that time to \$2.6 billion. As of December 31, 2010, our security repurchase authorization was \$1.1 billion. The security repurchase authorization does not have an expiration date. The amount and timing of share repurchase depends on key capital ratios, rating agency expectations, the generation of free cash flow and an evaluation of the costs and benefits associated with alternative uses of capital. The shares repurchased in connection with the awards described in Note 20 in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements presented in “Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Statements” are not included in our security repurchase.
- (3) As of the last day of the applicable month.

## (d) Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

For information on securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, see “Part III – Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters,” which is incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data (in millions, except per share data) should be read in conjunction with “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements presented in “Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Statements.” Some previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the presentation as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Total revenues	\$ 10,407	\$ 8,499	\$ 9,224	\$ 9,614	\$ 8,002
Income (loss) from continuing operations	951	(415)	(10)	1,199	1,199
Net income (loss)	980	(485)	57	1,215	1,316
Per share data: (1)(2)					
Income (loss) from continuing operations - basic	\$ 2.53	\$ (1.60)	\$ (0.04)	\$ 4.44	\$ 4.75
Income (loss) from continuing operations - diluted	2.45	(1.60)	(0.04)	4.37	4.68
Net income (loss) - basic	2.62	(1.85)	0.22	4.50	5.21
Net income (loss) - diluted	2.54	(1.85)	0.22	4.43	5.13
Common stock dividends	0.080	0.040	1.455	1.600	1.535

	As of December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Assets	\$ 193,824	\$ 177,433	\$ 163,136	\$ 191,435	\$ 178,495
Long-term debt	5,399	5,050	4,731	4,618	3,458
Stockholders' equity	12,806	11,700	7,977	11,718	12,201
Per common share data: (1)					
Stockholders' equity, including accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (3)	\$ 40.54	\$ 36.02	\$ 31.15	\$ 44.32	\$ 44.21
Stockholders' equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (3)	38.17	36.89	42.09	43.46	41.99
Market value of common stock	27.81	24.88	18.84	58.22	66.40

- (1) Per share amounts were affected by the issuance of 112.3 million shares for the acquisition of Jefferson-Pilot in 2006 and the retirement of 1.1 million, less than 1 million, 9.3 million, 15.4 million and 16.9 million shares of common stock during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

- (2) For discussion of the reduction of net income (loss) available to common stockholders see Note 15 in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements presented in “Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Statements.”
- (3) Per share amounts are calculated under the assumption that our Series A preferred stock has been converted to common stock, but exclude Series B preferred stock balances as it was non-convertible.



Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management’s Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”) is intended to help the reader understand the financial condition as of December 31, 2010, compared with December 31, 2009, and the results of operations in 2010 and 2009, compared with the immediately preceding year of Lincoln National Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. Unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise requires, “LNC,” “Lincoln,” “Company,” “we,” “our” or “us” refer to Lincoln National Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. The MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements (“Notes”) presented in “Part II – Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data,” as well as “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” above.

In this report, in addition to providing consolidated revenues and net income (loss), we also provide segment operating revenues and income (loss) from operations because we believe they are meaningful measures of revenues and the profitability of our operating segments. Income (loss) from operations is net income recorded in accordance with United States of America generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) excluding the after-tax effects of the following items, as applicable:

- Realized gains and losses associated with the following (“excluded realized gain (loss)”):
  - § Sales or disposals of securities;
  - § Impairments of securities;
- § Change in the fair value of derivative investments, embedded derivatives within certain reinsurance arrangements and our trading securities;
- § Change in the fair value of the derivatives we own to hedge our guaranteed death benefit (“GDB”) riders within our variable annuities, which is referred to as “GDB derivatives results”;
- § Change in the fair value of the embedded derivatives of our guaranteed living benefit (“GLB”) riders within our variable annuities accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification™ (“ASC”) (“embedded derivative reserves”), net of the change in the fair value of the derivatives we own to hedge the changes in the embedded derivative reserves, the net of which is referred to as “GLB net derivative results”; and
- § Changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities related to index call options we may purchase in the future to hedge contract holder index allocations applicable to future reset periods for our indexed annuity products accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC (“indexed annuity forward-starting option”).
- Change in reserves accounted for under the Financial Services – Insurance – Claim Costs and Liabilities for Future Policy Benefits Subtopic of the FASB ASC resulting from benefit ratio unlocking on our GDB and GLB riders (“benefit ratio unlocking”);
  - Income (loss) from the initial adoption of new accounting standards;
  - Income (loss) from reserve changes (net of related amortization) on business sold through reinsurance;
    - Gain (loss) on early extinguishment of debt;
    - Losses from the impairment of intangible assets; and
    - Income (loss) from discontinued operations.

Income (loss) from operations available to common stockholders is net income (loss) available to common stockholders (used in the calculation of earnings (loss) per share) in accordance with GAAP, excluding the after-tax effects of the items above and the acceleration of our Series B preferred stock discount as a result of redemption prior to five years from the date of issuance.

Operating revenues represent GAAP revenues excluding the pre-tax effects of the following items, as applicable:

- Excluded realized gain (loss);
- Amortization of deferred front-end loads (“DFEL”) arising from changes in GDB and GLB benefit ratio unlocking;
  - Amortization of deferred gains arising from the reserve changes on business sold through reinsurance; and
  - Revenue adjustments from the initial adoption of new accounting standards.

Operating revenues and income (loss) from operations are the financial performance measures we use to evaluate and assess the results of our segments. Accordingly, we report operating revenues and income (loss) from operations by segment in Note 23. Our management believes that operating revenues and income (loss) from operations explain the results of our ongoing businesses in a manner that allows for a better understanding of the underlying trends in our current businesses because the excluded items are unpredictable and not necessarily indicative of current operating fundamentals or future performance of the business segments, and, in many instances, decisions regarding these items do not necessarily relate to the operations of the individual segments. In addition, we believe that our definitions of operating revenues and income (loss) from operations will provide investors with a more valuable measure of our performance because it better reveals trends in our business.

We use our prevailing corporate federal income tax rate of 35% while taking into account any permanent differences for events recognized differently in our financial statements and federal income tax returns when reconciling our non-GAAP measures to the most comparable GAAP measure. Operating revenues and income (loss) from operations do not replace revenues and net income as the GAAP measures of our consolidated results of operations.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods' financial information.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS – CAUTIONARY LANGUAGE

Certain statements made in this report and in other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (“PSLRA”). A forward-looking statement is a statement that is not a historical fact and, without limitation, includes any statement that may predict, forecast, indicate or imply future results, performance or achievements, and may contain words like: “believe,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “estimate,” “project,” “will,” “shall” and other words or phrases with similar meaning in connection with a discussion of future operating or financial performance. In particular, these include statements relating to future actions, trends in our businesses, prospective services or products, future performance or financial results and the outcome of contingencies, such as legal proceedings. We claim the protection afforded by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the PSLRA.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from the results contained in the forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to vary materially, some of which are described within the forward-looking statements, include, among others:

- Deterioration in general economic and business conditions that may affect account values, investment results, guaranteed benefit liabilities, premium levels, claims experience and the level of pension benefit costs, funding and investment results;
- Economic declines and credit market illiquidity could cause us to realize additional impairments on investments and certain intangible assets, including goodwill and a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets, which may reduce future earnings and/or affect our financial condition and ability to raise additional capital or refinance existing debt as it matures;
- Because of our holding company structure, the inability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends to the holding company in sufficient amounts could harm the holding company's ability to meet its obligations;
- Legislative, regulatory or tax changes, both domestic and foreign, that affect the cost of, or demand for, our subsidiaries' products, the required amount of reserves and/or surplus, or otherwise affect our ability to conduct business, including changes to statutory reserves and/or risk-based capital (“RBC”) requirements related to secondary guarantees under universal life and variable annuity products such as Actuarial Guideline 43 (“AG43,” also known as Commissioners Annuity Reserve Valuation Method for Variable Annuities or “VACARVM”); restrictions on revenue sharing and 12b-1 payments; and the potential for U.S. Federal tax reform;
- Uncertainty about the effect of rules and regulations to be promulgated under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”) on us and the economy and the financial services sector in particular;
- The initiation of legal or regulatory proceedings against us, and the outcome of any legal or regulatory proceedings, such as: adverse actions related to present or past business practices common in businesses in which we compete; adverse decisions in significant actions including, but not limited to, actions brought by federal and state authorities and extra-contractual and class action damage cases; new decisions that result in changes in law; and unexpected trial court rulings;
- Changes in or sustained low interest rates causing reductions of investment income, estimated gross profits relating to our variable annuity and universal life products, margins of our subsidiaries' fixed annuity and life insurance businesses and demand for their products;

- A decline in the equity markets causing a reduction in the sales of our subsidiaries' products, a reduction of asset-based fees that our subsidiaries charge on various investment and insurance products, an acceleration of amortization of deferred acquisition costs ("DAC"), value of business acquired ("VOBA"), deferred sales inducements ("DSI") and DFEL and an increase in liabilities related to guaranteed benefit features of our subsidiaries' variable annuity products;
- Ineffectiveness of our various hedging strategies used to offset the effect of changes in the value of liabilities due to changes in the level and volatility of the equity markets and interest rates;
- A deviation in actual experience regarding future persistency, mortality, morbidity, interest rates or equity market returns from the assumptions used in pricing our subsidiaries' products, in establishing related insurance reserves and in elevated impairments on investments and amortization of intangible assets that may cause an increase in reserves and/or a reduction in assets, resulting in a corresponding decrease in net income;
- Changes in GAAP, including moving to International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), as well as the methodologies, estimations and assumptions thereunder, that may result in unanticipated changes to our net income;
- Lowering of one or more of our debt ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and the adverse effect such action may have on our ability to raise capital and on our liquidity and financial condition;
- Lowering of one or more of the insurer financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries and the adverse effect such action may have on the premium writings, policy retention, profitability of our insurance subsidiaries and liquidity;

- Significant credit, accounting, fraud or corporate governance issues that may adversely affect the value of certain investments in our portfolios requiring that we realize losses on such investments;
- The effect of acquisitions and divestitures, restructurings, product withdrawals and other unusual items, including our ability to integrate acquisitions and to obtain the anticipated results and synergies from acquisitions;
  - The adequacy and collectibility of reinsurance that we have purchased;
- Acts of terrorism, a pandemic, war or other man-made and natural catastrophes that may adversely affect our businesses and the cost and availability of reinsurance;
- Competitive conditions, including pricing pressures, new product offerings and the emergence of new competitors, that may affect the level of premiums and fees that our subsidiaries can charge for their products;
- The unknown effect on our subsidiaries' businesses resulting from changes in the demographics of their client base, as aging baby-boomers move from the asset-accumulation stage to the asset-distribution stage of life; and
  - Loss of key management, financial planners or wholesalers.

The risks included here are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") include additional factors that could affect our businesses and financial performance, including "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors," "Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and the risk discussions included in this section under "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates," "Consolidated Investments" and "Reinsurance," which are incorporated herein by reference. Moreover, we operate in a rapidly changing and competitive environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors.

Further, it is not possible to assess the effect of all risk factors on our businesses or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. In addition, we disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date of this report.

## INTRODUCTION

### Executive Summary

We are a holding company that operates multiple insurance and retirement businesses through subsidiary companies. Through our business segments, we sell a wide range of wealth protection, accumulation and retirement income products and solutions. These products include fixed and indexed annuities, variable annuities, universal life insurance ("UL"), variable universal life insurance ("VUL"), linked-benefit UL, term life insurance, mutual funds and group life, disability and dental.

We provide products and services in two operating businesses and report results through four business segments as follows:

Business	Corresponding Segments
Retirement Solutions	Annuities Defined Contribution
Insurance Solutions	Life Insurance Group Protection

Our individual products and services and defined contribution plans are distributed primarily through consultants, brokers, planners, agents and other intermediaries with sales and marketing support provided by approximately 500 wholesalers within Lincoln Financial Distributors (“LFD”), our wholesaling distributor. Our retail distributor, Lincoln Financial Network, offers proprietary and non-proprietary products and advisory services through a national network of approximately 8,000 active producers who placed business with us within the last twelve months. Our Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment distributes its products and services primarily through employee benefit brokers, third party administrators and other employee benefit firms with sales support provided by its group and retirement sales specialists.

These operating businesses and their segments are described in “Part I – Item 1. Business” above.

We also have Other Operations, which includes the financial data for operations that are not directly related to the business segments. Other Operations also includes investments related to the excess capital in our insurance subsidiaries; investments in media properties and other corporate investments; benefit plan net liability; the unamortized deferred gain on indemnity reinsurance related to the sale of reinsurance to Swiss Re in 2001; the results of certain disability income business due to the rescission of a reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re; our run-off Institutional Pension business; and debt costs.

Our former Lincoln UK and Investment Management segments are reported in discontinued operations for all periods presented. See “Acquisitions and Dispositions” and Note 3 for more information.

For information on how we derive our revenues, see the discussion in results of operations by segment below.

#### Current Market Conditions

Although economic conditions have improved, the following concerns are still weighing on the U.S. economy:

- Persistent high unemployment; and
- Slow U.S. housing market.

The National Bureau of Economic Research, a panel of economists charged with officially designating business cycles, announced on September 20, 2010, that the recession that began in December 2007 ended in June 2009, lasting 18 months. However, we are still in the midst of a somewhat fragile recovery.

In the face of these economic challenges, we continue to focus on building our businesses through these difficult markets and beyond by developing and introducing high quality products, expanding distribution in new and existing key accounts and channels and targeting market segments that have high growth potential while maintaining a disciplined approach to managing our expenses.

#### Significant Operational Matters

##### Adequacy of Our Liquidity and Capital Positions

During 2010, as a result of the improvements in the economy and capital markets, as well as the strength of our business model and our capital position, we took the following measures to address matters that we believed had been depressing our stock price, provide returns to our stockholders and/or manage our liquidity and capital positions:

- We funded, on June 30, 2010, the \$950 million repurchase of our Series B preferred stock that we had issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury (“U.S. Treasury”) as part of our participation in the Capital Purchase Program (“CPP”) with net proceeds of approximately \$368 million from a common stock offering, proceeds from a \$250 million five-year senior notes offering and cash held at our holding company that was attributable primarily to proceeds from the sale of Delaware Management Holdings, Inc. (“Delaware”);
- We secured \$2 billion of bank credit facilities in the second quarter of 2010, to address the upcoming maturity of credit facilities in the first quarter of 2011 related to letters of credit (“LOCs”) supporting our life insurance business that could have remained outstanding until the first quarter of 2012;
- We completed a 30-year senior notes offering of \$500 million, the proceeds of which were used in the third quarter of 2010 as part of a long-term financing solution supporting UL business with secondary guarantees;
- We paid \$48 million to repurchase 2,899,159 warrants (each representing the right to purchase one share of our common stock) held by the U.S. Treasury during the U.S. Treasury’s public auction of our warrants during the third quarter of 2010, which were subsequently canceled;
- We increased the dividend on our common stock during the fourth quarter of 2010 from \$0.01 to \$0.05 per share;
- We also announced a plan to repurchase up to \$125 million of common stock under our security repurchase authorization and completed \$25 million of stock repurchases during the fourth quarter of 2010;
- We redeemed all of our outstanding 6.75% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures, Series F due 2052 (the “junior subordinated debentures”), which were held by Lincoln National Capital VI, during the fourth quarter of 2010; and
-

Effective December 31, 2010, we financed, for up to 30 years, reserves supporting our life insurance business, replacing the use of \$500 million in LOCs.

Certain of these matters are discussed in more detail in “Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance – Income (Loss) from Operations – Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain” and “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Financing Activities” below.

During May and June of 2010, Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”), Fitch Ratings (“Fitch”) and A.M. Best Co. (“A.M. Best”) all improved their outlook on our company to stable from negative, and Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”) outlook remained stable. For more information about ratings, see “Part I – Item 1. Business – Ratings.”

#### Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Businesses

Because the profitability of our fixed annuity, UL, VUL and defined contribution insurance business depends in part on interest rate spreads, interest rate fluctuations could negatively affect our profitability. Changes in interest rates may reduce both our



profitability from spread businesses and our return on invested capital. Some of our products, principally our fixed annuities, UL and VUL, have interest rate guarantees that expose us to the risk that changes in interest rates or prolonged low interest rates will reduce our spread, or the difference between the interest that we are required to credit to contracts and the yields that we are able to earn on our general account investments supporting our obligations under the contracts. Although we have been proactive in our investment strategies, product designs, crediting rate strategies and overall asset-liability practices to mitigate the risk of unfavorable consequences in this type of environment, declines in our spread, or instances where the returns on our general account investments are not enough to support the interest rate guarantees on these products, could have an adverse effect on some of our businesses or results of operations. During the third quarter of 2010, our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment lowered the new money investment yield assumption to reflect the then current new money rates and to approximate the forward curve for interest rates relevant at such time. The result was a drop in the current new money investment rate followed by a gradual annual recovery over eight years to a rate of 6.31%, 54 basis points below our previous ultimate long-term assumption of 6.85%. As a result of this assumption revision, we recorded a \$114 million, after-tax, unfavorable prospective unlocking within our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment.

Given the level of interest rates as of the end of 2010, and assuming interest rates remain constant through 2012, our execution of reverse treasury locks to hedge interest rate exposure (discussed in “Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance”) and the effect of crediting rate actions that we have taken, we estimate that spread compression will have a minimal effect on earnings in 2011 and would unfavorably affect earnings by approximately \$20 million during 2012 primarily in Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance. We discuss the earnings effect of interest rates in “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk – Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Businesses – Falling Rates” and “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in interest rates and sustained low interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and changes in interest rates may also result in increased contract withdrawals.”

#### Elevated Loss Ratios on Group Business

During 2010, our non-medical loss ratio was 76.2%, which is above what we experienced last year and above our long-term expectation of 71% to 74%. The primary driver of the elevated loss ratios was unfavorably high disability incidence, which affected our long-term disability, short-term disability and life waiver of premium products. The unfavorable claims incidence experience was spread across all industry sectors, and particularly in the financial, wholesale and retail sectors, which we expect will continue in the short term.

We expect loss ratios to recover over time, but they are likely to remain above our long-term expectation well into 2011. Management compares trends in actual loss ratios to pricing expectations because group-underwriting risks change over time. We expect normal fluctuations in our composite non-medical loss ratios of this segment, as claims experience is inherently uncertain. We are taking actions to manage the effects of our loss ratio results, such as implementing price adjustments on our product lines to better reflect our experience going forward. In addition, we have been focusing on managing the higher volume of incidence through enhanced claims risk management, including contracting additional resources to help reduce caseloads and improve claim recovery experience so that incidence volumes do not detract from our claim termination efforts. We are also employing new tools to identify and support claimants who will return to work.

#### Earnings from Account Values

Our asset-gathering segments – Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution – are the most sensitive to the equity markets, as well as, to a lesser extent, our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment. We discuss the earnings effect of the equity markets on account values and the related asset-based earnings below in “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Equity Market Risk – Effect of

Equity Market Sensitivity.” From December 31, 2009, to December 31, 2010, our account values were up \$15.9 billion driven primarily by an increase in equity markets during 2010 and positive net flows.

#### Variable Annuity Hedge Program Results

We offer variable annuity products with living benefit guarantees. As described below in “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives – Guaranteed Living Benefits,” we use derivative instruments to hedge our exposure to the risks and earnings volatility that result from the GLB embedded derivatives in certain of our variable annuity products. The change in fair value of these instruments tends to move in the opposite direction of the change in embedded derivative reserves. These results are excluded from the Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Defined Contribution segments’ operating revenues and income from operations. See “Realized Gain (Loss) – Operating Realized Gain (Loss) – GLB” below for information on our methodology for calculating the non-performance risk (“NPR”), which affects the discount rate used in the calculation of the GLB embedded derivative reserve.

The variable annuity hedge program ended 2010 with assets over \$1.0 billion, which were in excess of the estimated liability of approximately \$450 million as of December 31, 2010.

We also offer variable products with death benefit guarantees. As described below in “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Future Contract Benefits and Other Contract Holder Obligations – Guaranteed Death Benefits,” we use derivative instruments to attempt to hedge the income statement effect in the opposite direction of the GDB benefit ratio unlocking for movements in equity markets. These results are excluded from income (loss) from operations.

#### Credit Losses, Impairments and Unrealized Losses

Related to our investments in fixed income and equity securities, we experienced net realized losses that reduced net income by \$84 million for 2010 and included credit-related write-downs of securities for other-than-temporary impairments (“OTTI”) of \$99 million. Although economic conditions have improved, we expect a continuation of some level of OTTI. If we were to experience another period of weakness in the economic environment like we did in late 2008 and early 2009, it could lead to increased credit defaults, resulting in additional write-downs of securities for OTTI.

Increased liquidity in several market segments and improved credit fundamentals (i.e., market improvement and narrowing credit spreads) as of December 31, 2010, compared to December 31, 2009, have resulted in the \$1.3 billion decrease in gross unrealized losses on the available-for-sale (“AFS”) fixed maturity securities in our general account as of December 31, 2010.

#### Industry Trends

We continue to be influenced by a variety of trends that affect the industry.

#### Financial Environment

The level of long-term interest rates and the shape of the yield curve can have a negative effect on the demand for and the profitability of spread-based products such as fixed annuities and UL. A flat or inverted yield curve and low long-term interest rates will be a concern if new money rates on corporate bonds are lower than our overall life insurer investment portfolio yields. Equity market performance can also affect the profitability of life insurers, as product demand and fee revenue from variable annuities and fee revenue from pension products tied to separate account balances often reflect equity market performance. A steady economy is important as it provides for continuing demand for insurance and investment-type products. Insurance premium growth, with respect to group life and disability products, for example, is closely tied to employers’ total payroll growth. Additionally, the potential market for these products is expanded by new business creation.

#### Demographics

In the coming decade, a key driver shaping the actions of the insurance industry will be the escalation of income protection and wealth accumulation goals and needs of the retiring baby-boomers. As a result of increasing longevity, retirees will need to accumulate sufficient savings to finance retirements that may span 30 or more years. Helping the baby-boomers to accumulate assets for retirement and subsequently to convert these assets into retirement income represents an opportunity for the insurance industry.

Insurers are well positioned to address the baby-boomers’ rapidly increasing need for savings tools and for income protection. We believe that, among insurers, those with strong brands, high financial strength ratings and broad distribution, are best positioned to capitalize on the opportunity to offer income protection products to baby-boomers.

Moreover, the insurance industry’s products, and the needs they are designed to address, are complex. We believe that individuals approaching retirement age will need to seek information to plan for and manage their retirements. In the

workplace, as employees take greater responsibility for their benefit options and retirement planning, they will need information about their possible individual needs. One of the challenges for the insurance industry will be the delivery of this information in a cost effective manner.

#### Competitive Pressures

The insurance industry remains highly competitive. The product development and product life cycles have shortened in many product segments, leading to more intense competition with respect to product features. Larger companies have the ability to invest in brand equity, product development, technology and risk management, which are among the fundamentals for sustained profitable growth in the life insurance industry. In addition, several of the industry's products can be quite homogeneous and subject to intense price competition. Sufficient scale, financial strength and financial flexibility are becoming prerequisites for sustainable growth in the life insurance industry. Larger market participants tend to have the capacity to invest in additional distribution capability and the information technology needed to offer the superior customer service demanded by an increasingly sophisticated industry client base.

## Regulatory Changes

The insurance industry is regulated at the state level, with some products and services also subject to federal regulation. As life insurers introduce new and often more complex products, regulators refine capital requirements and introduce new reserving standards for the life insurance industry. Regulations recently adopted or currently under review, such as the Dodd-Frank Act, can potentially affect the capital requirements of the industry and result in increased regulation and oversight for the industry. In addition, changes in GAAP, including moving to IFRS, as well as the methodologies, estimations and assumptions thereunder, may result in unanticipated changes to our net income. See “Part I – Item 1. Business – Regulatory” for a discussion of the potential effects of regulatory changes on our industry.

## Issues and Outlook

Going into 2011, significant issues include:

- Decrease of interest rates;
- Continuation of unfavorable non-medical loss ratios in our Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment, attributable primarily to unfavorable disability incidence, which affected our main products (long-term disability, short-term disability and life waiver of premium);
- Implementation of new accounting requirements in 2012 that could have a significant effect on our earnings and/or business model;
- Continuing focus by the government on tax, financial and health care reform including potential changes in the dividends-received deduction (“DRD”) calculations, which may affect the value and profitability of our products and overall earnings;
- Achieving continued sales success with our portfolio of products, including marketplace acceptance of new product introductions, as well as retaining management and wholesaler talent to maintain our competitive position;
- Potential unstable credit markets that can affect our financing alternatives, spreads and other-than-temporary securities impairments;
- Potential volatile equity markets that have a significant effect on our hedge program performance and revenues; and
  - Continuation of economic challenges.

In the face of these issues and potential issues, we expect to focus on the following throughout 2011:

- Taking appropriate crediting rate actions;
- Managing the effects of our loss ratio results, including focusing on the increase in incidence through claims risk management;
- Continuing to explore additional financing strategies addressing the statutory reserve strain related to our secondary guarantee UL products in order to manage our capital position effectively in accordance with our pricing guidelines;
- Increasing our product development activities together with identifying future product development initiatives, with a focus on further reducing risk related to guaranteed benefit riders available with certain variable annuity contracts;
- Evaluating opportunities for strategic investments in our businesses to grow revenues and further spur productivity, particularly in Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution and Insurance Solutions – Group Protection, with technology upgrades and new products for the voluntary market and an expanded distribution focus for our group business;
- Managing our expenses aggressively through process improvement initiatives combined with continued financial discipline and execution excellence throughout our operations;
  - Closely monitoring ongoing changes in the legal and regulatory environment; and
-

Closely monitoring our capital and liquidity positions taking into account the fragile economic recovery and changing statutory accounting and reserving practices.

For additional factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We have identified the accounting policies below as critical to the understanding of our results of operations and our financial position. In applying these critical accounting policies in preparing our financial statements, management must use critical assumptions, estimates and judgments concerning future results or other developments, including the likelihood, timing or amount of one or more future events. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our assumptions, estimates and judgments based upon historical experience and various other information that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. For a detailed discussion of other significant accounting policies, see Note 1.

DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL

Accounting for intangible assets requires numerous assumptions, such as estimates of expected future profitability for our operations and our ability to retain existing blocks of life and annuity business in force. Our accounting policies for DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL affect the Retirement Solutions – Annuities, Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution, Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance and Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segments.

Deferrable acquisition costs for variable annuity and deferred fixed annuity contracts and UL and VUL policies are amortized over the lives of the contracts in relation to the incidence of estimated gross profits (“EGPs”) derived from the contracts. Broker commissions or broker-dealer expenses, which vary with and are related to sales of mutual fund products, respectively, are expensed as incurred. For our traditional products, we amortized deferrable acquisition costs either on a straight-line basis or as a level percent of premium of the related contracts, depending on the block of business.

EGPs vary based on a number of sources including policy persistency, mortality, fee income, investment margins, expense margins and realized gains and losses on investments, including assumptions about the expected level of credit-related losses. Each of these sources of profit is, in turn, driven by other factors. For example, assets under management and the spread between earned and credited rates drive investment margins; net amount at risk (“NAR”) drives the level of cost of insurance (“COI”) charges and reinsurance premiums. The level of separate account assets under management is driven by changes in the financial markets (equity and bond markets, hereafter referred to collectively as “equity markets”) and net flows. Realized gains and losses on investments include amounts resulting from differences in the actual level of impairments and the levels assumed in calculating EGPs.

Deferrable acquisition costs are those costs that vary with and are related primarily to new or renewal business. These costs include commissions, general and administrative expenses and taxes, licenses and fees that are primarily related to new business volume. For example, salaries of certain employees involved in the underwriting and policy issue functions and medical and inspection fees that are primarily related to new business volume are considered deferrable acquisition costs. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, our deferrable general and administrative expenses were approximately \$475 million. However, we classify expenses associated with the new business functions that do not vary with, or primarily relate to, the securing of new or renewal policies as non-deferrable acquisition costs. Non-deferrable acquisition costs are often developmental in nature, including training and recruiting, home office sales administration, marketing communications and product development. In addition, acquisition costs that generally vary in relation to the level of premiums or in force, such as trail commissions, are recurring in nature, or tend to be incurred in a level amount from period to period are also considered non-deferrable. Acquisition expenses identified as non-deferrable are charged to expenses in the period incurred. Deferred expenses are recorded as an asset on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as DAC for products we sold during a period or VOBA for books of business we acquired during a period. In addition, we defer costs associated with DSI and revenues associated with DFEL. DSI is included within other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets and, when amortized, increases interest credited and reduces income. DFEL is a liability included within other contract holder funds on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, and when amortized, increases insurance fees on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). For a discussion of the periods over which we amortize our DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL see “DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” in Note 1.

Our DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL balances (in millions) by business segment as of December 31, 2010, were as follows:

	Retirement Solutions	Insurance Solutions		
	Defined	Life	Group	
	Contribution	Insurance	Protection	Total
Retirement Solutions				
Annuities				
Defined Contribution				
Insurance Solutions				
Life Insurance				
Group Protection				
Total				

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

DAC and VOBA					
Gross	\$ 2,730	\$ 517	\$ 6,831	\$ 175	\$ 10,253
Unrealized (gain) loss	(479)	(157)	(687)	-	(1,323)
Carrying value	\$ 2,251	\$ 360	\$ 6,144	\$ 175	\$ 8,930
DSI					
Gross	\$ 325	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 327
Unrealized (gain) loss	(41)	-	-	-	(41)
Carrying value	\$ 284	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 286
DFEL					
Gross	\$ 227	\$ -	\$ 1,451	\$ -	\$ 1,678
Unrealized (gain) loss	(5)	-	(171)	-	(176)
Carrying value	\$ 222	\$ -	\$ 1,280	\$ -	\$ 1,502



AFS securities and certain derivatives are stated at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included within accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of associated DAC, VOBA, DSI, other contract holder funds and deferred income taxes. The unrealized balances in the table above represent the DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL balances for these effects of unrealized gains and losses on AFS securities and certain derivatives as of the end-of-period.

### Unlocking

On a quarterly basis, we may record an adjustment to the amounts included within our Consolidated Balance Sheets for DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL with an offsetting benefit or charge to revenue or expense for the effect of the difference between future EGPs used in the prior quarter and the emergence of actual and updated future EGPs in the current quarter (“retrospective unlocking”). In addition, in the third quarter of each year, we conduct our annual comprehensive review of the assumptions and the projection models used for our estimates of future gross profits underlying the amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and the calculations of the embedded derivatives and reserves for life insurance and annuity products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees. These assumptions include investment margins, mortality, retention, rider utilization and maintenance expenses (costs associated with maintaining records relating to insurance and individual and group annuity contracts and with the processing of premium collections, deposits, withdrawals and commissions). Based on our review, the cumulative balances of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL, included on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, are adjusted with an offsetting benefit or charge to revenue or amortization expense to reflect such change (“prospective unlocking – assumption changes”). We may have prospective unlocking in other quarters as we become aware of information that warrants updating prospective assumptions outside of our annual comprehensive review. We may also identify and implement actuarial modeling refinements (“prospective unlocking – model refinements”) that result in increases or decreases to the carrying values of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL, embedded derivatives and reserves for life insurance and annuity products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees. The primary distinction between retrospective and prospective unlocking is that retrospective unlocking is driven by the difference between actual gross profits compared to EGPs each period, while prospective unlocking is driven by changes in assumptions or projection models related to our expectations of future EGPs.

In discussing our results of operations below in this MD&A, we refer to favorable and unfavorable unlocking. With respect to DAC, VOBA and DSI, favorable unlocking refers to a decrease in the amortization expense in the period, whereas unfavorable unlocking refers to an increase in the amortization expense in the period. With respect to DFEL, favorable unlocking refers to an increase in the amortization income in the period, whereas unfavorable unlocking refers to a decrease in the amortization income in the period. With respect to the calculations of the embedded derivatives and reserves for life insurance and annuity products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees, favorable unlocking refers to a decrease in reserves in the period, whereas unfavorable unlocking refers to an increase in reserves in the period.

We amortize DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL in proportion to our EGPs for interest-sensitive products. When actual gross profits are higher in the period than expected, we recognize more amortization than planned. When actual gross profits are lower in the period than expected, we recognize less amortization than planned. In a calendar year where the gross profits for a certain group of policies, or “cohorts,” are negative, our actuarial process limits, or floors, the amortization expense offset to zero.

For illustrative purposes, the following presents the hypothetical effects to EGPs and DAC (1) amortization attributable to changes in assumptions from those our model projections assume (i.e., prospective unlocking), assuming all other factors remain constant:

Actual Experience Differs From Those Our Model Projections Assume	Hypothetical Impact to Net Income for EGPs	Hypothetical Impact to Net Income for DAC (1) Amortization	Description of Expected Impact
Higher equity markets	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to fee income and decrease to changes in reserves.
Lower equity markets	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to fee income and increase to changes in reserves.
Higher investment margins	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to interest rate spread on our fixed product line, including fixed portion of variable.
Lower investment margins	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to interest rate spread on our fixed product line, including fixed portion of variable.
Higher credit losses	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to realized gains on investments.
Lower credit losses	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to realized gains on investments.
Higher lapses	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to fee income, partially offset by decrease to benefits due to shorter contract life.
Lower lapses	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to fee income, partially offset by increase to benefits due to longer contract life.
Higher death claims	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to fee income and increase to changes in reserves due to shorter contract life.
Lower death claims	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to fee income and decrease to changes in reserves due to longer contract life.

(1) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and changes in future contract benefits.

Details underlying the increase (decrease) to income from continuing operations from prospective unlocking (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Insurance fees:			
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	\$ 1	\$ 3	\$ 25
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	-	20	(13)
Total insurance fees	1	23	12
Realized gain (loss):			
Indexed annuity forward-starting option	2	-	-
GLB	33	(173)	118
Total realized gain (loss)	35	(173)	118
Total revenues	36	(150)	130
Interest credited:			
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	3	-	37
Total interest credited	3	-	37
Benefits:			
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	(3)	7	8
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	155	(3)	84
Total benefits	152	4	92
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses:			
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	(32)	10	303
Retirement Solutions - Defined Contribution	(8)	(8)	39
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	(26)	33	(15)
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	(66)	35	327
Total benefits and expenses	89	39	456
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	(53)	(189)	(326)
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	(18)	(65)	(114)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (35)	\$ (124)	\$ (212)

The table above includes the effect of completing the planned conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform platform for certain blocks of our Retirement Solutions business. This conversion was intended to harmonize methods and processes and upgrade a critical platform for our financial reporting and analysis capabilities for these blocks of business. As part of the conversion process for these blocks of business, we harmonized assumptions and methods of calculations that existed between similar blocks of business within our actuarial models. During 2010, we recorded after-tax unfavorable prospective unlocking of \$20 million and favorable prospective unlocking of \$4 million for Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution, respectively, as a result of completing the planned conversion for certain blocks of business. We are in the process of completing a similar conversion for our Insurance Solutions – Life segment and also have other blocks of Retirement Solutions business that we intend to convert in 2011. Although we expect some differences to emerge as a result of this exercise, based upon the current status of these efforts, we are not able to provide an estimate or range of the effects to our results of operations until completion of the conversion.

#### Reversion to the Mean

Because equity market movements have a significant effect on the value of variable annuity and VUL products and the fees earned on these accounts, EGPs could increase or decrease with movements in the equity markets; therefore, significant and sustained changes in equity markets have had and could in the future have an effect on DAC, VOBA,

DSI and DFEL amortization for our variable annuity, annuity-based 401(k) business and VUL business.

As equity markets do not move in a systematic manner, we reset the baseline of account values from which EGPs are projected, which we refer to as our “reversion to the mean” (“RTM”) process. Under our RTM process, on each valuation date, future EGPs are projected using stochastic modeling of a large number of future equity market scenarios in conjunction with best estimates of lapse rates, interest rate spreads and mortality to develop a statistical distribution of the present value of future EGPs for our variable annuity, annuity-based 401(k) and VUL blocks of business. Because future equity market returns are unpredictable, the

underlying premise of this process is that best estimate projections of future EGPs need not be affected by random short-term and insignificant deviations from expectations in equity market returns. However, long-term or significant deviations from expected equity market returns require a change to best estimate projections of EGPs and prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and changes in future contract benefits. The statistical distribution is designed to identify when the equity market return deviations from expected returns have become significant enough to warrant a change of the future equity return EGP assumption.

The stochastic modeling performed for our variable annuity blocks of business as described above is used to develop a range of reasonably possible future EGPs. We compare the range of the present value of the future EGPs from the stochastic modeling to that used in our amortization model. A set of intervals around the mean of these scenarios is utilized to calculate two separate statistical ranges of reasonably possible EGPs. These intervals are then compared again to the present value of the EGPs used in the amortization model. If the present value of EGP assumptions utilized for amortization were to exceed the margin of the reasonable range of statistically calculated EGPs, a revision of the EGPs used to calculate amortization would occur. If a revision is deemed necessary, future EGPs would be re-projected using the current account values at the end of the period during which the revision occurred along with a revised long-term annual equity market gross return assumption such that the re-projected EGPs would be our best estimate of EGPs.

Notwithstanding these intervals, if a severe decline or advance in equity markets were to occur or should other circumstances, including contract holder behavior, suggest that the present value of future EGPs no longer represents our best estimate, we could determine that a revision of the EGPs is necessary.

Our practice is not necessarily to unlock immediately after exceeding the first of the two statistical ranges, but, rather, if we stay between the first and second statistical range for several quarters, we would likely unlock. Additionally, if we exceed the ranges as a result of a short-term market reaction, we would not necessarily unlock. However, if the second statistical range is exceeded for more than one quarter, it is likely that we would unlock. While this approach reduces adjustments to DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL due to short-term equity market fluctuations, significant changes in the equity markets that extend beyond one or two quarters could result in a significant favorable or unfavorable unlocking.

Our long-term separate account growth assumption rate is 9%, which is used in the determination of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization for the variable component of our variable annuity and VUL products, as this component is related primarily to underlying investments in equity funds within the separate accounts. This variable appreciation rate is before the deduction of our contract fees. The actual variable appreciation rate in 2008 was significantly lower than the assumed rate with October of 2008 representing the worst returns in 21 years. The negative returns in the fourth quarter of 2008 resulted in the piercing of the outer corridor in our Retirement Solutions businesses and our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment. Although the piercing of the outer corridor does not automatically result in a resetting of our RTM assumption, we determined that the significance of unfavorable equity markets experienced during 2008 and the recessionary economic environment required a prospective unlocking related to RTM in the fourth quarter of 2008. If unfavorable economic conditions return, additional unlocking of our RTM assumptions could be possible in future periods. However, if we were to have unlocked our RTM assumption in the corridor as of December 31, 2010, we would have recorded a favorable prospective unlocking of approximately \$375 million, pre-tax, for our Retirement Solutions business, and approximately \$15 million, pre-tax, for our Insurance Solutions business, as a result of improved market conditions since our last unlock of RTM in the fourth quarter of 2008. Our fourth quarter of 2008 prospective unlocking, which related to RTM and the effect of the volatile capital market conditions on our annuity reserves, reduced income (loss) from continuing operations by \$223 million.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized, but are subject to impairment tests conducted at least annually as of October 1. Intangibles that do not have indefinite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives. We are required to perform a two-step test in our evaluation of the carrying value of goodwill for each of our reporting units, and the results of one test on one reporting unit cannot subsidize the results of another reporting unit. In Step 1 of the evaluation, the fair value of each reporting unit is determined and compared to the carrying value of the reporting unit. If the fair value is greater than the carrying value, then the carrying value of the reporting unit is deemed to be recoverable, and Step 2 is not required. If the fair value estimate is less than the carrying value, it is an indicator that impairment may exist, and Step 2 is required. In Step 2, the reporting unit's goodwill implied fair value is determined. The reporting unit's fair value as determined in Step 1 is assigned to all of its net assets (recognized and unrecognized) as if the reporting unit were acquired in a business combination as of the date of the impairment test. If the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, goodwill is impaired and written down to its fair value. Refer to Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements for goodwill and specifically identifiable intangible assets by segment.

The fair values of our insurance and annuities businesses are comprised of two components: the value of new business and the value of in-force business. Factors could cause us to believe our estimated fair value of the total business may be lower than the carrying value and trigger a Step 1 test, but may not require a Step 2 test if the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying value. We may also conduct a Step 2 test, but it may not result in goodwill impairment because the implied fair value of

goodwill may exceed our carrying amount of goodwill. The value of our goodwill asset is supported by our value of new business, which is not affected by the same factors as our value of in-force business.

The implied fair value of goodwill is most sensitive to new business production levels and discount rates. Factors that could affect production levels include mix of new business, customer acceptance of our products and distribution strength. Recent declines in interest rates have applied downward pressure to the interest rate inputs used in the discount rate calculation. Spread compression and related effects to profitability caused by lower interest rates affect the valuation of in-force business much more significantly than the valuation of new business. The effect of interest rate movements on the value of new business is primarily related to the discount rate.

We performed a Step 1 analysis on all of our reporting units including: Retirement Solutions – Annuities, Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution, Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance, Insurance Solutions – Group Protection and Media. All of our reporting units passed the Step 1 analysis, and although Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance carrying value of the net assets was within the estimated fair value range as presented in the table below, we deemed it necessary to validate the carrying value of goodwill through a Step 2 analysis. Our estimated fair value for Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance declined from prior valuations primarily due to updating our projected interest rate assumptions, which was an expected consequence. As discussed above, the result of reducing our interest rate assumptions primarily affected the value of in-force business.

Our Step 1 analysis as of October 1, 2010, for Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance utilized primarily a discounted cash flow valuation technique (“income approach”), although limited available market data was also considered. In determining the estimated fair value of this reporting unit, we considered discounted cash flow calculations, the level of our own share price and assumptions that market participants would make in valuing this reporting unit. This analysis required us to make judgments about revenues, earnings projections, capital market assumptions and discount rates.

The key assumptions used in the analysis to determine the fair value of the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance reporting unit as of October 1, 2010, included:

- New business for 10 years and run-off of cash flows on in-force and new business for the duration of the business;
- Expense synergies assumption of 25% that would be expected to be realized in a market-participant transaction similar to prior market observable transactions and our prior experience;
  - Updated interest rate assumptions reflecting the low-interest rate environment; and
- Discount rates ranging from 9.0% to 10.5% that were based on the weighted average cost of capital for this reporting unit adjusted for the risk factors associated with the operations.

For our other reporting units, we performed a Step 1 analysis that included a roll-forward of the estimated fair value of the reporting units from prior discounted cash flow valuations and other valuation assessments. In this roll-forward, we identified the significant assumptions that affected the estimated fair value, evaluated how the assumptions had changed since the prior evaluation and quantified the approximate effect to the estimated fair value. The key valuation drivers that were evaluated included: sales projections, changes in interest rates, changes in equity markets and growth of business in force and account values. We also updated our estimates of discount rates based upon current market observable inputs. We used discount rates of 10% for Insurance Solutions – Group Protection and 12.5% for Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution based upon the weighted average cost of capital adjusted for risks associated with their operations. These discount rates reflected improvement since October 1, 2009, due primarily to significantly lower debt costs and lower equity risk premiums used as inputs into the discount rate calculations.





The following table presents the results of our Step 1 analyses by reporting unit. To illustrate the effects that changes in valuation assumptions could have on our estimated fair values of our reporting units, we have also included some hypothetical valuation sensitivities to implied fair value (in millions, except where otherwise noted):

	Retirement Solutions - Annuities	Insurance Solutions Life Insurance	Solutions Group Protection	Other Operations - Media
Goodwill, carrying value as of October 1, 2010	\$ 440	\$ 2,188	\$ 274	\$ 97
Net assets, including goodwill, as of October 1, 2010:				
Carrying value of net assets, including goodwill (1)	\$ 2,910	\$ 8,422	\$ 1,146	\$ 180
Estimated fair value of net assets, including goodwill (in billions) (1)	5.1 - 5.9	8.1 - 8.8	1.2 - 1.4	0.2
Hypothetical estimated reduction in implied fair value attributable to:				
100 basis point increase in discount rate (2)	300	900 (3)	200	27
10% decline in forecasted sales and related expenses	67	225	100	N/A
10% decline in equity markets	200	20	N/A	N/A
10% decline in broadcast cash flow	N/A	N/A	N/A	26

(1) Excludes unrealized balances included in accumulated other comprehensive income as of October 1, 2010.

(2) Excludes any effect to investment yields that could offset some or all of this effect.

(3) Approximately \$300 million relates to valuation of in-force business and \$600 million relates to valuation of new business.

While threats to future profitability can theoretically cause an increase to the discount rate, a drop in the risk-free interest rates will also lower the cost of capital used in calculating the discount rate applied to the business. Our sensitivity disclosures include estimated effects that changes in valuation assumptions could have on our estimate of our reporting units' fair value.

In our Step 2 analysis of Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance, we estimated the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill, including assigning the reporting unit's fair value determined in Step 1 to all of its net assets (recognized and unrecognized) as if the reporting unit were acquired in a business combination as of October 1, 2010, and determined there was no impairment due to the implied fair value of goodwill being in excess of the carrying value of goodwill. In the Step 2 analysis, we validated that the lower interest yield assumptions primarily affected the fair value of in-force business and had little effect to our fair value estimate for new business, which supports our goodwill asset.

Our stock price was unfavorably affected by economic market conditions and continues to be lower than our book value; however, we currently believe that our stock price is not representative of the underlying fair value of our reporting units due primarily to the following:

- We believe our stock price continues to be weighed down by concerns about the economic recovery and other concerns about the global economy, the risk of an extended period of low interest rates and the resulting effect that it could have on the earnings and profitability of financial services companies, such as ours; however, we believe that our disclosures, including those in "Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk – Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Businesses – Falling Rates," demonstrate that the effect of a low interest rate environment on the Company is manageable (see "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in interest rates and sustained low interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and changes in interest

rates may also result in increased contract withdrawals” for additional information on interest rates);

- We believe our stock price continues to be weighed down by our sensitivity to volatile equity markets primarily related to our variable annuities business; however, we believe the effect of equity market volatility on the Company remains manageable as was demonstrated during the recession;
  - We have experienced improving credit and financial strength ratings since October 1, 2009;
- We have produced solid sales production results over the past several quarters, and we do not expect future sales will deteriorate materially since our annual evaluation, indicating that the strength of our distribution franchise remains strong;
  - We have not experienced higher impairments on invested assets than assumed in our projections; and
  - The key assumptions used in our estimates of fair value of our reporting units continue to be adequate.

Our stock price trading below book value requires us to evaluate and reassess each reporting period whether or not there is an indicator that would require us to perform an impairment test. For this reason, we will continue to monitor the current market conditions, and, if they deteriorate, we may need to perform interim goodwill impairment tests in addition to our annual test as of

October 1, 2011. Subsequent reviews of goodwill could result in impairment of goodwill during 2011. Factors that could result in impairment include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Prolonged period of our book value significantly exceeding our market capitalization without evidence of factors that explain why the lower market capitalization is not representative of the underlying fair value of our reporting units;
- Sales production that fails to meet our sales plan and also results in a lower expectation for future sales levels;
- Valuations of mergers or acquisitions of companies or blocks of business that would provide relevant market-based inputs for our impairment assessment that could support different conclusions regarding the estimated fair value of our reporting units;
  - Higher than expected impairments of invested assets;
- Prolonged inability to execute future reinsurance transactions for our life insurance business resulting in higher capital requirements;
- Deterioration in key assumptions used in our income approach estimates of fair value, such as higher discount rates from higher stock market volatility or widening credit spreads; and
- Lower earnings projections due to spread compression, lower account values from unfavorable equity markets, which would reduce future earnings expectations.

We performed an interim test of goodwill impairment as of March 31, 2009, in response to the capital markets crisis experienced in early 2009. All of our reporting units passed the Step 1 analysis, except for Retirement Solutions – Annuities, which required the completion of a Step 2 analysis. In our Step 2 analysis, we estimated the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill. We assigned the reporting unit’s fair value determined in Step 1 to all of its net assets (recognized and unrecognized) as if the reporting unit were acquired in a business combination as of March 31, 2009. Based upon our Step 2 analysis, we recorded a goodwill impairment of \$600 million for Retirement Solutions – Annuities that was attributable primarily to higher discount rates related to higher debt costs and equity market volatility, deterioration in equity market levels that affected our variable account values and lower annuity sales.

We also completed a Step 2 analysis for Media as of October 1, 2009, as a result of continued deterioration in the radio market. We have recorded several impairments over the past three years due to declining forecasts for advertising revenues. Consequently, we recorded goodwill impairment and Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) license intangible impairment for Media as follows:

- \$79 million of goodwill impairment and \$30 million of FCC license impairment during the fourth quarter of 2009;
- \$81 million of goodwill impairment and \$77 million of FCC license impairment during the fourth quarter of 2008; and
- \$83 million of goodwill impairment and \$56 million of FCC license impairment during the second quarter of 2008.

#### Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

We have investments in two credit-linked notes (“CLNs”) that are deemed to be variable interest entities (“VIEs”). Effective January 1, 2010, in accordance with new accounting guidance (see Note 2), we determined that we are the primary beneficiary of these VIEs. As such, we reflected the financial condition and results of operations of these VIEs in our consolidated financial statements and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$169 million, after-tax, to the beginning balance of retained earnings as of January 1, 2010.

We use assumptions, estimates and judgments similar to those used for our investments and derivatives in determining the results of operations and financial position of these VIEs. In addition, we use judgments in concluding whether we are the primary beneficiary of these VIEs. Specifically, judgment is required in situations where our economic interest in the VIE is significantly greater than our stated power to direct the activities that most significantly affect the

economic performance of the VIE.

See Note 4 for more detail regarding the consolidation of these VIEs.

### Investments

Our primary investments are in fixed maturity securities, including corporate and government bonds, asset and mortgage-backed securities and redeemable preferred stock, and equity securities, mortgage loans and policy loans. Our fixed maturity and equity securities that are classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value with the difference from amortized cost included in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. The difference is net of related DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and amounts that would be credited to contract holders, if realized, and taxes. We also have trading securities that consist of fixed maturity and equity securities in designated portfolios, some of which support modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld reinsurance arrangements.

## Investment Valuation

Fixed maturity, equity, trading securities and short-term investments, which are reported at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, represented the majority of our total cash and invested assets. Our measurement of fair value is based on assumptions used by market participants in pricing the asset or liability, which may include inherent risk, restrictions on the sale or use of an asset or non-performance risk, which would include our own credit risk. Our estimate of an exchange price is the price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell the asset or transfer the liability (“exit price”) in the principal market, or the most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market, for that asset or liability, as opposed to the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or receive a liability (“entry price”). Pursuant to the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, we categorize our financial instruments carried at fair value into a three-level fair value hierarchy, based on the priority of inputs to the respective valuation technique. The three-level hierarchy for fair value measurement is defined as follows:

- Level 1 – inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date, except for large holdings subject to “blockage discounts” that are excluded;
- Level 2 – inputs to the valuation methodology are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value can be determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies; and
- Level 3 – inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable inputs in situations where there is little or no market activity for the asset or liability, we make estimates and assumptions related to the pricing of the asset or liability, including assumptions regarding risk.

The following summarizes our investments carried at fair value by pricing source and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC hierarchy level (in millions):

	As of December 31, 2010			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Priced by third party pricing services	\$ 277	\$ 59,759	\$ -	\$ 60,036
Priced by independent broker quotations	-	-	2,572	2,572
Priced by matrices	-	8,334	-	8,334
Priced by other methods (1)	-	-	1,541	1,541
Total	\$ 277	\$ 68,093	\$ 4,113	\$ 72,483
Percent of total	0%	94%	6%	100%

(1) Represents primarily securities for which pricing models were used to compute the fair values.

The Level 1 securities primarily consist of certain U.S. Treasury and agency fixed maturity securities and exchange-traded common stock.

The Level 2 assets include fixed maturity securities priced principally through independent pricing services including most U.S. Treasury and agency securities as well as the majority of U.S. and foreign corporate securities, residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities, state and political subdivision securities, foreign government securities, and asset-backed securities as well as equity securities, including non-redeemable preferred stock, priced by independent pricing services. Management reviews the valuation methodologies used by the pricing services on an ongoing basis and ensures that any valuation methodologies are justified.

Level 3 assets include fixed maturity securities priced principally through independent broker quotes or market standard valuation methodologies. This level consists of less liquid fixed maturity securities with very limited trading

activity or where less price transparency exists around the inputs to the valuation methodologies including: U.S. and foreign corporate securities (including below investment grade private placements); residential mortgage-backed securities; asset-backed securities; and other fixed maturity securities such as structured securities. Equity securities classified as Level 3 securities consist principally of common stock of privately held companies and non-redeemable preferred stock where there has been very limited trading activity or where less price transparency exists around the inputs to the valuation. For the categories and associated fair value of our available-for-sale fixed maturity securities classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, see Notes 1 and 22.

Our investment securities are valued using market inputs, including benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data. In addition, market indicators and industry and economic events are monitored, and further market data is acquired if certain triggers are met. Credit risk is also incorporated and considered in the valuation of our investment securities as we incorporate the issuer's credit rating and a risk premium, if

warranted, due to the issuer's industry and the security's time to maturity. The credit rating is based upon internal and external analysis of the issuer's financial strength. For certain security types, additional inputs may be used, or some of the inputs described above may not be applicable. For broker-quoted only securities, quotes from market makers or broker-dealers are obtained from sources recognized to be market participants. In order to validate the pricing information and broker/dealer quotes, we employ, where possible, procedures that include comparisons with similar observable positions, comparisons with subsequent sales, discussions with senior business leaders and brokers as well as observations of general market movements for those asset classes. The broker/dealer quotes are non-binding. Our broker-quoted only securities are generally classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. It is possible that different valuation techniques and models, other than those described above, could produce materially different estimates of fair values.

Changes in our investments carried at fair value and classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy result from changes in market conditions, as well as changes in our portfolio mix and increases and decreases in fair values as a result of those classifications. During 2010, there were no material changes in investments classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For further detail, see Note 22.

See "Consolidated Investments" below for a summary of our investments in available-for-sale securities backed by pools of residential mortgages.

We adopted updates to the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, effective January 1, 2009. The FASB provided additional guidance on estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability and additional guidance on circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly.

This guidance does not change the objective of a fair value measurement. That is, even when there has been a significant decrease in market activity for a security, the fair value objective remains the same. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell the security in an orderly transaction (i.e., not a forced liquidation or distressed sale), between market participants at the measurement date in the current inactive market (i.e., an "exit price" notion).

The FASB provided additional guidance on estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability. The FASB also provided additional guidance on circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly. Specifically, the guidance provided factors that indicate that a market is not active, including:

- Few recent transactions based on volume and level of activity in the market, therefore there is not sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis;
  - Price quotations are not based on current information;
  - Price quotations vary substantially either over time or among market makers;
- Indexes that previously were highly correlated with the fair values of the asset are demonstrably uncorrelated with recent fair values;
- Abnormal, or significant increases in, liquidity risk premiums or implied yields for quoted prices when compared with reasonable estimates using realistic assumptions of credit and other nonperformance risk for the asset class;
  - Abnormally wide bid-ask spread or significant increases in the bid-ask spread; and
  - Little information is released publicly.

After evaluating all factors and considering the significance and relevance of each factor, the reporting entity shall use its judgment in determining whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when the market for that asset is not active. The factors should be considered in relation to the normal market activity for the asset. As of December 31, 2010, we evaluated the markets that our securities trade in and concluded

that none were inactive. We will continue to re-evaluate this conclusion, as needed, based on market conditions.

We use an internationally recognized pricing service as our primary pricing source, and we generally do not obtain multiple prices for our financial instruments. We generally use prices from the pricing service rather than broker quotes as we have documentation from the pricing service on the observable market inputs that they use to determine the prices in contrast to the broker quotes where we have limited information on the pricing inputs.

Our primary third party pricing service has policies and processes to ensure that they are using objectively verifiable observable market data. The pricing service regularly reviews the evaluation inputs for securities covered, including broker quotes, executed trades and credit information, as applicable. If the pricing service determines it does not have sufficient objectively verifiable information about a security's valuation, they discontinue providing a valuation for the security. The pricing service regularly publishes and updates a summary of inputs used in their valuations by major security type. In addition, we have policies and procedures in place to review the process that is utilized by the third party pricing service and the output that is provided to ensure



we are in agreement with the output provided by the pricing service. On a periodic basis, we test the pricing for a sample of securities to evaluate the inputs and assumptions used by the pricing service. In addition, we perform a check on prices provided by our primary pricing service to ensure that they are not stale or unreasonable by reviewing the prices for unusual changes from period to period based on certain parameters or for lack of change from one period to the next. If such anomalies in the pricing are observed, we verify the price provided by our pricing service with another pricing source.

As of December 31, 2010, we only obtained multiple prices for 41 available-for-sale and trading securities. These multiple prices were primarily related to instances where the vendor was providing a price for the first time and we also received a broker quote. In these instances, we used the price from the pricing service due to the higher reliability as discussed above.

For certain available-for-sale and trading securities, such as synthetic convertibles, index-linked certificates of deposit and collateralized debt obligations (“CDOs”), we obtain a broker quote when sufficient information, such as security structure or other market information, is not available to produce an evaluation. For broker-quoted only securities, quotes from market makers or broker-dealers are obtained from sources recognized to be market participants. In order to validate the pricing information and broker-dealer quotes, we employ, where possible, procedures that include comparisons with similar observable positions, comparisons with subsequent sales, discussions with senior business leaders and brokers and observations of general market movements for those security classes. For those securities trading in less liquid or illiquid markets with limited or no pricing information, we use unobservable inputs in order to measure the fair value of these securities. In cases where this information is not available, such as for privately placed securities, fair value is estimated using an internal pricing matrix. This matrix relies on management’s judgment concerning the discount rate used in calculating expected future cash flows, credit quality, industry sector performance and expected maturity.

Broker-quoted securities are adjusted based solely on receipt of updated quotes from market makers or broker-dealers recognized as market participants. Generally, the price for a security on this list is based on a quote from a single broker or market maker. As of December 31, 2010, we used broker quotes for 137 securities as our final price source, representing less than 3% of total securities owned.

#### Valuation of Alternative Investments

Recognition of investment income on alternative investments is delayed due to the availability of the related financial statements, which are generally obtained from the partnerships’ general partners, as our venture capital, real estate and oil and gas portfolios are generally reported to us on a three-month delay, and our hedge funds are reported to us on a one-month delay. In addition, the effect of audit adjustments related to completion of calendar-year financial statement audits of the investees are typically received during the first or second quarter of each calendar year. Accordingly, our investment income from alternative investments for any calendar year period may not include the complete effect of the change in the underlying net assets for the partnership for that calendar year period.

Annually, typically during the first or second quarter, we obtain audited financial statements for our alternative investment partnerships for the preceding calendar year and recognize adjustments to the extent that the audited equity of the investee differs from the equity used for reporting in prior quarters. Recorded audit adjustments affect our investment income on alternative investments in the period that the adjustments are recorded.

#### Write-Downs for OTTI and Allowance for Losses

We adopted updates to the Investments – Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the FASB ASC for our debt securities effective January 1, 2009, which replaced the requirement for management to assert that it has the intent and ability to

hold an impaired security until recovery with the requirement for management to assert if it either has the intent to sell the security or if it is more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. Under this new accounting guidance, if management intends to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, an OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the debt security's amortized cost basis and its fair value as of the balance sheet date. If management does not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the debt security (referred to as the credit loss), an OTTI is considered to have occurred. In this instance, the total OTTI must be bifurcated into the amount related to the credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, with the remaining amount of the total OTTI attributed to other factors (referred to as the noncredit portion) recognized as a separate component in other comprehensive income (loss) ("OCI").

As a result of the adoption, we recorded a cumulative effect adjustment, resulting in an increase of \$102 million to our January 1, 2009, opening balance of retained earnings with a corresponding decrease to accumulated OCI, to reclassify the noncredit portion of previously other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities. In addition, the amortized cost basis of debt securities for which a

noncredit OTTI loss was previously recognized was increased by \$199 million, or the amount of the cumulative effect adjustment, pre-DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and tax. The fair value of our debt securities did not change as a result of the adoption.

We regularly review our AFS securities for declines in fair value that we determine to be other-than-temporary. For an equity security, if we do not have the ability and intent to hold the security for a sufficient period of time to allow for a recovery in value, we conclude that an OTTI has occurred, and the amortized cost of the equity security is written down to the current fair value, with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). When assessing our ability and intent to hold the equity security to recovery, we consider, among other things, the severity and duration of the decline in fair value of the equity security as well as the cause of the decline, a fundamental analysis of the liquidity, business prospects and overall financial condition of the issuer.

For a debt security, if we intend to sell a security or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis and the fair value of the debt security is below amortized cost, we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to current fair value, with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). If we do not intend to sell a debt security or it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the debt security (referred to as the credit loss), we conclude that an OTTI has occurred, and the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss), as this amount is deemed the credit portion of the OTTI. The remainder of the decline to fair value is recorded in OCI – unrealized OTTI on AFS securities on our Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, as this amount is considered a noncredit (i.e., recoverable) impairment.

When determining our intention regarding the sale of a security, we evaluate facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to reposition our security portfolio, sales of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing. The credit loss on a security is based upon our estimate of the decrease in expected cash flows or our best estimate of credit deterioration.

We recognized an OTTI loss of \$156 million during 2010, of which \$99 million was recognized in net income (loss) on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) related to credit losses and \$57 million was recognized in OCI on our Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity related to noncredit losses, respectively. For additional details, see "Consolidated Investments" below and Notes 2 and 5.

For certain securitized fixed maturity securities with contractual cash flows, including asset-backed securities, we use our best estimate of cash flows for the life of the security to determine whether there is an OTTI of the security. In addition, we review for other indicators of impairment as required by the Investments – Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the FASB ASC.

Based on our evaluation of securities with an unrealized loss as of December 31, 2010, we do not believe that any additional OTTI, other than those already reflected in the financial statements, are necessary. As of December 31, 2010, there were available-for-sale securities with gross unrealized losses totaling \$1.4 billion, pre-tax, and prior to the effect of DAC, VOBA, DSI and other contract holder funds.

As the discussion above indicates, there are risks and uncertainties associated with determining whether declines in the fair value of investments are other-than-temporary. These include subsequent significant changes in general overall economic conditions, as well as specific business conditions affecting particular issuers, future financial market effects such as interest rate spreads, stability of foreign governments and economies, future rating agency actions and significant accounting, fraud or corporate governance issues that may adversely affect certain

investments. In addition, there are often significant estimates and assumptions that we use to estimate the fair values of securities, including projections of expected future cash flows and pricing of private securities. We continually monitor developments and update underlying assumptions and financial models based upon new information.

Write-downs and allowances for losses on select mortgage loans, real estate and other investments are established when the underlying value of the property is deemed to be less than the carrying value. All mortgage loans that are impaired have an established allowance for credit loss. Changing economic conditions affect our valuation of mortgage loans. Increasing vacancies, declining rents and the like are incorporated into the discounted cash flow analysis that we perform for monitored loans and may contribute to the establishment of (or an increase in) an allowance for credit losses. In addition, we continue to monitor the entire commercial mortgage loan portfolio to identify risk. Areas of current emphasis are the hotel mortgage loan portfolio and retail, office and industrial properties that have deteriorating credits or have experienced debt coverage reduction. Where warranted, we have established or increased loss reserves based upon this analysis.

#### Derivatives

We use derivative instruments to manage a variety of equity market and interest rate risks that are inherent in many of our life insurance and annuity products. Assessing the effectiveness of these hedging programs and evaluating the carrying values of the

related derivatives often involve a variety of assumptions and estimates. We use derivatives to hedge equity market risks, interest rate risk and foreign currency exposures that are embedded in our annuity and life insurance product liabilities or investment portfolios. Derivatives held as of December 31, 2010, contain industry standard terms. Our accounting policies for derivatives and the potential effect on interest spreads in a falling rate environment are discussed in “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk,” Note 1 and Note 6.

We measure our derivative instruments at fair value, which fluctuates from period to period due to the volatility of the inputs some of which are significantly affected by economic conditions. The effect to revenue is reported in realized gain (loss) and such amount along with the associated federal income taxes is excluded from income (loss) from operations of our segments. Subsequent to the adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, we did not make any material changes to valuation techniques or models used to determine the fair value of the liabilities we carry at fair value. As part of our on-going valuation process, we assess the reasonableness of all our valuation techniques or models and make adjustments as necessary.

Our insurance liabilities that contain embedded derivatives are valued based on a stochastic projection of scenarios of the embedded derivative fees, benefits and expenses. The scenario assumptions, at each valuation date, are those we view to be appropriate for a hypothetical market participant and include assumptions for capital markets, actuarial lapse, benefit utilization, mortality, risk margin, administrative expenses and a margin for profit. In addition, an NPR component is determined at each valuation date that reflects our risk of not fulfilling the obligations of the underlying liability. The spread for the NPR is added to the discount rates used in determining the fair value from the net cash flows. We believe these assumptions are consistent with those that would be used by a market participant; however, as the related markets develop we will continue to reassess our assumptions. It is possible that different valuation techniques and assumptions could produce a materially different estimate of fair value.

The following summarizes the percentages of our future contract benefits (embedded derivatives) carried at fair value on a recurring basis by the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC hierarchy levels:

	As of December 31, 2010			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Future contract benefits (embedded derivatives)	0%	0%	100%	100%

Changes of our future contract benefits carried at fair value and classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy result from changes in market conditions, as well as changes in mix and increases and decreases in fair values as a result of those classifications. During 2010, there were no material changes in future contract benefits classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For more information, see Notes 1 and 22.

#### Guaranteed Living Benefits

We have a dynamic hedging strategy designed to mitigate selected risk and income statement volatility caused by changes in the equity markets, interest rates and market implied volatilities associated with the Lincoln SmartSecurity® Advantage guaranteed withdrawal benefit (“GWB”) feature and our i4LIFE® Advantage and 4LATER® Advantage guaranteed income benefit (“GIB”) features that are available in our variable annuity products. We modified our hedging strategy during the fourth quarter of 2009 in anticipation of the adoption of VACARVM as of December 31, 2009. We have certain GLB variable annuity products with GWB and GIB features that are embedded derivatives. Certain features of these guarantees, notably our GIB, 4LATER® and Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage features, have elements of both insurance benefits accounted for under the Financial Services – Insurance – Claim Costs and Liabilities for Future Policy Benefits Subtopic of the FASB ASC (“benefit

reserves”) and embedded derivative reserves. We calculate the value of the embedded derivative reserve and the benefit reserve based on the specific characteristics of each GLB feature. In addition to mitigating selected risk and income statement volatility, the hedge program is also focused on a long-term goal of accumulating assets that could be used to pay claims under these benefits, recognizing that such claims are likely to begin no earlier than approximately a decade in the future.

If we were to experience unfavorable capital markets as we did late in 2008, then we would expect greater liabilities associated with the contractual guarantees. However, the relationship between the components of the guarantees, namely, the embedded derivative reserves and the benefit reserves, is not linear. As the exposure to net amount at risk increases, the relative portion of the projected benefits that is accounted for as benefit reserves increases relative to the portion that is accounted for as embedded derivative reserves.

The hedging strategy is designed such that changes in the value of the hedge contracts move in the opposite direction of changes in GLB embedded derivative reserves. This dynamic hedging strategy utilizes options on U.S.-based equity indices, futures on U.S.-

based and international equity indices and variance swaps on U.S.-based equity indices, as well as interest rate futures and swaps. The notional amounts of the underlying hedge instruments are such that the magnitude of the change in the value of the hedge instruments due to changes in equity markets, interest rates and implied volatilities is designed to offset the magnitude of the change in the fair value of the GLB guarantees caused by those same factors. As of December 31, 2010, the fair values of the embedded derivative reserves, before adjustment for the required NPR factors, for the GWB feature, the i4LIFE® Advantage GIB feature and the 4LATER® Advantage GIB feature were \$134 million, \$123 million and \$132 million, respectively. See “Realized Gain (Loss) – Operating Realized Gain (Loss) – GLB” for information on how we determine our NPR.

As part of our current hedging program, equity market, interest rate and market implied volatility conditions are monitored on a daily basis. We rebalance our hedge positions based upon changes in these factors as needed. While we actively manage our hedge positions, these positions may not completely offset changes in the fair value embedded derivative reserve caused by movements in these factors due to, among other things, differences in timing between when a market exposure changes and corresponding changes to the hedge positions, extreme swings in the equity markets, interest rates and market implied volatilities, realized market volatility, contract holder behavior, divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and the hedging indices, divergence between the actual and expected performance of the hedge instruments or our ability to purchase hedging instruments at prices consistent with our desired risk and return trade-off. This hedging strategy is managed on a combined basis with the hedge for our GDB features.

For more information on our GDB hedging strategy, see the discussion in “Future Contract Benefits and Other Contract Holder Obligations” below.

As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of our derivative assets, which hedge both our GLB and GDB features, and including margins generated by futures contracts, was \$1.0 billion. As of December 31, 2010, the sum of all GLB liabilities at fair value, excluding the NPR adjustment, and GDB reserves was \$457 million, comprised of \$413 million for GLB liabilities and \$44 million for the GDB reserves. The fair value of the hedge assets exceeded the estimated liabilities by \$544 million. However, the relationship of hedge assets to the liabilities for the guarantees may vary in any given reporting period due to market conditions, hedge performance and/or changes to the hedging strategy.

Approximately 44% of our variable annuity account values contain a GWB rider as of December 31, 2010. Declines in the equity markets increase our exposure to potential benefits under the GWB contracts, leading to an increase in our existing liability for those benefits. For example, a GWB contract is “in the money” if the contract holder’s account balance falls below the guaranteed amount. As of December 31, 2010, and December 31, 2009, 35% and 53% respectively, of all GWB in-force contracts were “in the money,” and our exposure to the guaranteed amounts, after reinsurance, as of December 31, 2010, and December 31, 2009, was \$1.1 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively. Our exposure before reinsurance for these same periods was \$1.2 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively. However, the only way the GWB contract holder can monetize the excess of the guaranteed amount over the account value of the contract is upon death or through a series of withdrawals that do not exceed a specific percentage per year of the guaranteed amount. If, after the series of withdrawals, the account value is exhausted, the contract holder will receive a series of annuity payments equal to the remaining guaranteed amount, and, for our lifetime GWB products, the annuity payments can continue beyond the guaranteed amount. The account value can also fluctuate with equity market returns on a daily basis resulting in increases or decreases in the excess of the guaranteed amount over account value.

As a result of these factors, the ultimate amount to be paid by us related to GWB guarantees is uncertain and could be significantly more or less than \$1.1 billion, net of reinsurance. Our fair value estimates of the GWB liabilities, which are based on detailed models of future cash flows under a wide range of market-consistent scenarios, reflect a more

comprehensive view of the related factors and represent our best estimate of the present value of these potential liabilities. The market-consistent scenarios used in the determination of the fair value of the GWB liabilities are similar to those used by an investment bank to value derivatives for which the pricing is not transparent and the aftermarket is nonexistent or illiquid. In our calculation, risk-neutral Monte Carlo simulations resulting in over 10 million scenarios are utilized to value the entire block of guarantees. The market-consistent scenario assumptions, at each valuation date, are those we view to be appropriate for a hypothetical market participant. The market consistent inputs include assumptions for the capital markets (e.g., implied volatilities, correlation among indices, risk-free swap curve, etc.), policyholder behavior (e.g., policy lapse, benefit utilization, mortality, etc.), risk margins, administrative expenses and a margin for profit. We believe these assumptions are consistent with those that would be used by a market participant; however, as the related markets develop, we will continue to reassess our assumptions. It is possible that different valuation techniques and assumptions could produce a materially different estimate of fair value.

For information on our GLB and GDB hedging results, see our discussion in “Realized Gain (Loss)” below.

The following table presents our estimates of the potential instantaneous effect to realized gain (loss), which could result from sudden changes that may occur in equity markets, interest rates and implied market volatilities (in millions) at the levels indicated in the table and excludes the net cost of operating the hedging program. The amounts represent the estimated difference between the change in the portion of GLB reserves that is calculated on a fair value basis and the change in the value of the underlying hedge instruments after the amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and taxes. These effects do not include any estimate of



retrospective or prospective unlocking that could occur, nor do they estimate any change in the NPR component of the GLB reserve or any estimate of effects to our GLB benefit ratio unlocking. These estimates are based upon the recorded reserves as of January 13, 2011, and the related hedge instruments in place as of that date. The effects presented in the table below are not representative of the aggregate impacts that could result if a combination of such changes to equity market returns, interest rates and implied volatilities occurred.

	In-Force Sensitivities			
Equity Market Return	-20%	-10%	-5%	5%
	\$ (41)	\$ (9)	\$ (2)	\$ (3)
Interest Rates	-50 bps	-25 bps	+25 bps	+50 bps
	\$ (6)	\$ (2)	\$ (2)	\$ (7)
Implied Volatilities	-4%	-2%	2%	4%
	\$ (9)	\$ (4)	\$ -	\$ -

The following table shows the effect (dollars in millions) of indicated changes in instantaneous shifts in equity market returns, interest rate scenarios and market implied volatilities:

	Assumptions of Changes In			Hypothetical
	Equity Market Return	Interest Rate Yields	Market Implied Volatilities	Impact to Net Income
Scenario 1	-5%	-12.5 bps	+1%	\$ (4)
Scenario 2	-10%	-25.0 bps	+2%	(19)
Scenario 3	-20%	-50.0 bps	+4%	(87)

The actual effects of the results illustrated in the two tables above could vary significantly depending on a variety of factors, many of which are out of our control, and consideration should be given to the following:

- The analysis is only valid as of January 13, 2011, due to changing market conditions, contract holder activity, hedge positions and other factors;
- The analysis assumes instantaneous shifts in the capital market factors and no ability to rebalance hedge positions prior to the market changes;
  - The analysis assumes constant exchange rates and implied dividend yields;
- Assumptions regarding shifts in the market factors, such as assuming parallel shifts in interest rate and implied volatility term structures, may be overly simplistic and not indicative of actual market behavior in stress scenarios;
- It is very unlikely that one capital market sector (e.g., equity markets) will sustain such a large instantaneous movement without affecting other capital market sectors; and
- The analysis assumes that there is no tracking or basis risk between the funds and/or indices affecting the GLBs and the instruments utilized to hedge these exposures.

#### S&P 500 Index® (“S&P 500”) Benefits

We also have in place a hedging program for our indexed annuities and indexed UL. These contracts permit the holder to elect a fixed interest rate return or a return where interest credited to the contracts is linked to the

performance of the S&P 500. Contract holders may elect to rebalance among the various accounts within the product at renewal dates, either annually or biannually. At the end of each 1-year or 2-year indexed term we have the opportunity to re-price the indexed component by establishing different caps, spreads or specified rates, subject to contractual guarantees. We purchase options that are highly correlated to the portfolio allocation decisions of our contract holders, such that we are economically hedged with respect to equity returns for the current reset period. The mark-to-market of the options held generally offsets the change in value of the embedded derivative within the indexed annuity, both of which are recorded as a component of realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

The Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC require that we calculate fair values of index options we may purchase in the future to hedge contract holder index allocations in future reset periods. These fair values represent an estimate of the cost of the options we will purchase in the future, discounted back to the date of the balance sheet, using current market indicators of volatility and interest rates. Changes in the fair values of these liabilities are included as a component of realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). For information on our S&P 500 benefits hedging results, see our discussion in “Realized Loss” below.

## Future Contract Benefits and Other Contract Holder Obligations

### Reserves

Reserves are the amounts that, with the additional premiums to be received and interest thereon compounded annually at certain assumed rates, are calculated to be sufficient to meet the various policy and contract obligations as they mature. Establishing adequate reserves for our obligations to contract holders requires assumptions to be made regarding mortality and morbidity. The applicable insurance laws under which insurance companies operate require that they report, as liabilities, policy reserves to meet future obligations on their outstanding contracts. These laws specify that the reserves shall not be less than reserves calculated using certain specified mortality and morbidity tables, interest rates, and methods of valuation.

The reserves reported in our financial statements contained herein are calculated in accordance with GAAP and differ from those specified by the laws of the various states and carried in the statutory financial statements of the life insurance subsidiaries. These differences arise from the use of mortality and morbidity tables, interest, persistency and other assumptions that we believe to be more representative of the expected experience for these contracts than those required for statutory accounting purposes and from differences in actuarial reserving methods.

The assumptions on which reserves are based are intended to represent an estimation of experience for the period that policy benefits are payable. If actual experience is better than or equal to the assumptions, then reserves should be adequate to provide for future benefits and expenses. If experience is worse than the assumptions, additional reserves may be required. This would result in a charge to our net income during the period the increase in reserves occurred. The key experience assumptions include mortality rates, policy persistency and interest rates. We periodically review our experience and update our policy reserves for new issues and reserve for all claims incurred, as we believe appropriate.

### Guaranteed Death Benefits

The reserves related to the GDB features available in our variable annuity products are based on the application of a “benefit ratio” (the present value of total expected benefit payments over the life of the contract divided by the present value of total expected assessments over the life of the contract) to total variable annuity assessments received in the period. The level and direction of the change in reserves will vary over time based on the emergence of the benefit ratio and the level of assessments associated with the variable annuity.

We utilize a delta hedging strategy for variable annuity products with a GDB feature, which uses futures on U.S.-based equity market indices to hedge against movements in equity markets. The hedging strategy is designed such that changes in the value of the hedge contracts move in the opposite direction of equity market driven changes in the reserve for GDB contracts subject to the hedging strategy. Because the GDB reserves are based upon projected long-term equity market return assumptions, and because the value of the hedging contracts will reflect current capital market conditions, the quarterly changes in values for the GDB reserves and the hedging contracts may not exactly offset each other. Despite these short-term fluctuations in values, we intend to continue to hedge our long-term GDB exposure in order to mitigate the risk associated with falling equity markets. Account balances covered in this hedging program represent approximately 94% of total account balances for variable annuities with a guaranteed death benefit other than account value at time of death. As of December 31, 2010, the GDB reserves were \$44 million.

For information on our GDB hedging results, see our discussion in “Realized Gain (Loss)” below.

### UL and VUL Products with Secondary Guarantees

We issue UL and VUL contracts where we contractually guarantee to the contractholder a secondary guarantee. The policy can remain in force, even if the base policy account value is zero, as long as contractual secondary guarantee requirements have been met. The reserves related to UL and VUL products with secondary guarantees are based on the application of a benefit ratio the same as our GDB features, which are discussed above. The level and direction of the change in reserves will vary over time based on the emergence of the benefit ratio and the level of assessments associated with the contracts. For example, our change in secondary guarantee reserves, excluding unlocking, increased 27% from 2009 to 2010 due primarily to growth in our business. For more discussion, see “Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance.”

#### Deferred Gain on Sale of the Reinsurance Segment

In 2001, we sold our reinsurance operation to Swiss Re Life & Health America Inc. (“Swiss Re”). The transaction involved a series of indemnity reinsurance transactions combined with the sale of certain stock companies that comprised our reinsurance operation. The gain related to the indemnity reinsurance transactions was recorded as a deferred gain in the liability section of our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The deferred gain is being amortized into income at the rate that earnings on the reinsured business are expected to emerge, over a period of 15 years. In addition, because we have not been relieved of our legal liabilities to the underlying ceding companies with respect to the portion of the business indemnity reinsured by Swiss Re, the reserves for the

underlying reinsurance contracts as well as a corresponding reinsurance recoverable from Swiss Re will continue to be carried on our Consolidated Balance Sheets during the run-off period of the underlying reinsurance business. This is particularly relevant in the case of the exited personal accident reinsurance lines of business where the underlying reserves are based upon various estimates that are subject to considerable uncertainty.

Because of ongoing uncertainty related to the personal accident business, the reserves related to these exited business lines carried on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010, may ultimately prove to be either excessive or deficient. For instance, in the event that future developments indicate that these reserves should be increased, we would record a current period non-cash charge to record the increase in reserves. Because Swiss Re is responsible for paying the underlying claims to the ceding companies, we would record a corresponding increase in reinsurance recoverable from Swiss Re. However, we would not take the full benefit in earnings for the recording of the increase in the reinsurance recoverable in the period of the change. Rather, we would increase the deferred gain recognized upon the closing of the indemnity reinsurance transaction with Swiss Re and would report a cumulative amortization "catch-up" adjustment to the deferred gain balance as increased earnings recognized in the period of change. Any amount of additional increase to the deferred gain above the cumulative amortization "catch-up" adjustment must continue to be deferred and will be amortized into income in future periods over the remaining period of expected run-off of the underlying business. No cash would be transferred between Swiss Re and us as a result of these developments.

#### Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Pursuant to the accounting rules for our obligations to employees under our various pension and other postretirement benefit plans, we are required to make a number of assumptions to estimate related liabilities and expenses. Our most significant assumptions are those for the weighted-average discount rate on our benefit obligation liability and expected return on plan assets. The discount rate assumptions are determined using an analysis of current market information and the projected benefit flows associated with these plans. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is initially established at the beginning of the plan year based on historical and projected future rates of return and is the average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested or to be invested in the plan. See Note 1 and Note 18 for more information on our accounting for employee benefit plans.

The following presents our estimates of the hypothetical effect to net income (in millions) for the year ended December 31, 2010, associated with sensitivities related to these significant assumptions:

	U.S. Pension Plans	U.S. Other Post- retirement Benefits
The Effect of Changes in the Rate of Return on Plan Assets		
Increase (decrease) by 100 basis points	\$ 5	\$ -
The Effect of Changes in the Discount Rate on Plan Benefit Obligations		
Increase (decrease) by 100 basis points	74	9
The Effect of Changes in the Discount Rate on Net Periodic Benefit Expense		
Increase (decrease) by 100 basis points	5	1

Due to the equity market recovery experienced during 2010 and decline in interest rates, partially offset by a decrease in our discount rate assumption on benefit obligations for 2011, we expect the U.S. net periodic pension benefit

expense in 2011 will be lower than what was experienced in 2010. To illustrate the potential effect, the following provides our actual expense for 2009 and 2010 and our current assumption for expense (in millions) for 2011 by segment:

	Retirement Solutions		Insurance Solutions		Other	Total
	Annuities	Defined Contribution	Life Insurance	Group Protection	Operations	
2010	\$ 4	\$ 2	\$ 6	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 14
2011	1	1	1	-	-	3
Expected decrease from 2010	\$ (3)	\$ (1)	\$ (5)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (11)
2009	\$ 11	\$ 7	\$ 12	\$ 7	\$ 1	\$ 38

We retained the Lincoln UK pension plan after the sale of this business, and we expect our related pension expense for 2011 to be approximately \$1 million when assuming an average exchange rate of 1.55 pounds sterling to U.S. dollars, which will be reflected within Other Operations.

See “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Uses of Capital – Pension Contributions” below for a discussion of our required future contributions to our pension plans.

#### Contingencies

Management establishes separate reserves for each contingent matter when it is deemed probable and can be reasonably estimated. The outcomes of contingencies, which relate to corporate litigation and regulatory matters, are inherently difficult to predict, and the reserves that have been established for the estimated settlement are subject to significant changes. It is possible that the ultimate cost to LNC, including the tax-deductibility of payments, could exceed the reserve by an amount that would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a particular quarterly or annual period. See Note 14 for more information on our contingencies.

#### Stock-Based Incentive Compensation

Determining the fair value of stock options at the grant date requires judgment, including estimates for the average risk-free interest rate, expected volatility, expected exercise behavior, expected dividend yield and expected forfeitures. If any of those assumptions differ significantly from actual, stock-based compensation expense could be affected, which could have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations in a particular quarterly or annual period. See Note 20 for more information on our stock-based incentive compensation plans.

#### Income Taxes

Management uses certain assumptions and estimates in determining the income taxes payable or refundable for the current year, the deferred income tax liabilities and assets for items recognized differently in its financial statements from amounts shown on its income tax returns, and the federal income tax expense. Determining these amounts requires analysis and interpretation of current tax laws and regulations. Management exercises considerable judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting income tax liabilities and assets. These judgments and estimates are re-evaluated on a continual basis as regulatory and business factors change. Legislative changes to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, modification or new regulations, administrative rulings, or court decisions could increase our effective tax rate.

The application of GAAP requires us to evaluate the recoverability of our deferred tax assets and establish a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce our deferred tax asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realizable. Considerable judgment and the use of estimates are required in determining whether a valuation allowance is necessary, and if so, the amount of such valuation allowance. In evaluating the need for a valuation allowance, we consider many factors, including: the nature and character of the deferred tax assets and liabilities; taxable income in prior carryback years; future reversals of existing temporary differences; the length of time carryovers can be utilized; and any tax planning strategies we would employ to avoid a tax benefit from expiring unused. Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets, including our capital loss deferred tax asset, will be realized. For additional information on our income taxes, see Note 7.

#### Acquisitions and Dispositions

As of August 18, 2009, LNC and its wholly owned subsidiary, Lincoln National Investment Companies, entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Macquarie Bank Limited (“MBL”), pursuant to which we agreed to sell to MBL all

of the outstanding capital stock of Delaware, our former subsidiary, which provides investment products and services to individuals and institutions. This transaction closed on January 4, 2010, and we received net of tax proceeds at closing of approximately \$405 million.

On October 1, 2009, we completed the previously announced sale of the capital stock of Lincoln National (UK) plc to SLF of Canada UK Limited for net of tax proceeds of \$325 million. We retained Lincoln UK's pension plan assets and liabilities. The results of Lincoln National (UK) plc and its subsidiaries comprised the former Lincoln UK segment. The Lincoln UK segment primarily focused on providing life and retirement income products in the U.K.

Accordingly, we have reported the results of these businesses as discontinued operations on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and the assets and liabilities, prior to the sale, as held for sale on our Consolidated Balance Sheets for all periods presented.

For information about acquisitions and divestitures, see "Part I – Item 1. Business – Acquisitions and Dispositions" and Note 3.



## RESULTS OF CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS

Details underlying the consolidated results, deposits, net flows and account values (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Revenues					
Insurance premiums	\$ 2,176	\$ 2,064	\$ 2,018	5%	2%
Insurance fees	3,234	2,922	3,067	11%	-5%
Net investment income	4,541	4,178	4,130	9%	1%
Realized gain (loss):					
Total OTTI losses on securities	(240)	(667)	(851)	64%	22%
Portion of loss recognized in OCI	88	275	-	-68%	NM
Net OTTI losses on securities recognized in earnings	(152)	(392)	(851)	61%	54%
Realized gain (loss), excluding OTTI losses on securities	75	(754)	316	110%	NM
Total realized gain (loss)	(77)	(1,146)	(535)	93%	NM
Amortization of deferred gain on business sold through reinsurance	75	76	76	-1%	0%
Other revenues and fees	458	405	468	13%	-13%
Total revenues	10,407	8,499	9,224	22%	-8%
Benefits and Expenses					
Interest credited	2,485	2,463	2,502	1%	-2%
Benefits	3,330	2,836	3,059	17%	-7%
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	3,067	2,794	3,138	10%	-11%
Interest and debt expense	291	197	281	48%	-30%
Impairment of intangibles	-	730	381	-100%	92%
Total benefits and expenses	9,173	9,020	9,361	2%	-4%
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	1,234	(521)	(137)	NM	NM
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	283	(106)	(127)	NM	17%
Income (loss) from continuing operations	951	(415)	(10)	NM	NM
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of federal income taxes	29	(70)	67	141%	NM
Net income (loss)	\$ 980	\$ (485)	\$ 57	NM	NM

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Revenues					
Operating revenues:					
Retirement Solutions:					
Annuities	\$ 2,654	\$ 2,301	\$ 2,438	15%	-6%
Defined Contribution	988	926	932	7%	-1%
Total Retirement Solutions	3,642	3,227	3,370	13%	-4%
Insurance Solutions:					
Life Insurance	4,590	4,295	4,261	7%	1%
Group Protection	1,831	1,713	1,640	7%	4%
Total Insurance Solutions	6,421	6,008	5,901	7%	2%
Other Operations	487	465	532	5%	-13%
Excluded realized gain (loss), pre-tax	(146)	(1,200)	(573)	88%	NM
Amortization of deferred gain arising from reserve changes on business sold through reinsurance, pre-tax	3	3	3	0%	0%
Amortization of DFEL associated with benefit ratio unlocking, pre-tax	-	(4)	(9)	100%	56%
Total revenues	\$ 10,407	\$ 8,499	\$ 9,224	22%	-8%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Net Income (Loss)					
Income (loss) from operations:					
Retirement Solutions:					
Annuities	\$ 484	\$ 353	\$ 193	37%	83%
Defined Contribution	154	133	123	16%	8%
Total Retirement Solutions	638	486	316	31%	54%
Insurance Solutions:					
Life Insurance	513	569	541	-10%	5%
Group Protection	72	124	104	-42%	19%
Total Insurance Solutions	585	693	645	-16%	7%
Other Operations	(186)	(237)	(183)	22%	-30%
Excluded realized gain (loss), after-tax	(95)	(780)	(373)	88%	NM
Gain (loss) on early extinguishment of debt, after-tax	(3)	42	-	NM	NM
Income (expense) from reserve changes (net of related amortization) on business sold through reinsurance, after-tax	2	2	2	0%	0%
Impairment of intangibles, after-tax	-	(710)	(297)	100%	NM
Benefit ratio unlocking, after-tax	10	89	(120)	-89%	174%
Income (loss) from continuing operations, after-tax	951	(415)	(10)	NM	NM
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, after-tax	29	(70)	67	141%	NM
Net income (loss)	\$ 980	\$ (485)	\$ 57	NM	NM



	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Deposits					
Retirement Solutions:					
Annuities	\$ 10,667	\$ 10,362	\$ 11,730	3%	-12%
Defined Contribution	5,301	4,952	5,547	7%	-11%
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	4,934	4,451	4,493	11%	-1%
Total deposits	\$ 20,902	\$ 19,765	\$ 21,770	6%	-9%

## Net Flows

Retirement Solutions:					
Annuities	\$ 3,555	\$ 3,893	\$ 4,090	-9%	-5%
Defined Contribution	(291)	995	781	NM	27%
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	3,057	2,421	2,822	26%	-14%
Total net flows	\$ 6,321	\$ 7,309	\$ 7,693	-14%	-5%

	As of December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Account Values					
Retirement Solutions:					
Annuities	\$ 84,848	\$ 74,281	\$ 57,455	14%	29%
Defined Contribution	38,824	35,302	28,878	10%	22%
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	33,585	31,744	31,753	6%	0%
Total account values	\$ 157,257	\$ 141,327	\$ 118,086	11%	20%

## Comparison of 2010 to 2009

Net income increased due primarily to the following:

- Impairment of goodwill in 2009 of \$600 million for Retirement Solutions – Annuities due to continued market volatility, the corresponding increase in discount rates and lower annuity sales, and impairment of goodwill and our FCC license intangible assets in 2009 of \$109 million related to our remaining radio clusters attributable primarily to declining results and forecasted advertising revenues:
  - § See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” above for additional information on our goodwill impairment; and
  - § These non-cash impairments did not affect our liquidity nor subsequent liquidity;
- Higher net investment income and relatively flat interest credited, excluding unlocking and the effect of the rescission of the reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re in 2009 on interest credited (discussed in “Results of Other Operations” and Note 14 below), driven primarily by:
  - § Higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to positive net flows, partially offset by transfers from fixed to variable since the third quarter of 2009;
  - § More favorable investment income on alternative investments and surplus and higher prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” and “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for more information);
  - § Higher invested assets driven primarily by favorable net flows on fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable; and

- § Actions implemented to reduce interest crediting rates and holding lower cash balances in 2010 that resulted in our portfolio yields not declining as much as our crediting rates;
- The overall unfavorable GLB net derivatives results, excluding unlocking, during 2009 due primarily to increases in interest rates and our over-hedged position for a period of time in 2009 (see “Realized Gain (Loss)” below for more information on our GLB liability and derivative performance);
  - Higher earnings from our variable annuity and mutual fund (within our Defined Contribution segment) products as a result of increases in the equity markets;
  - A decrease in realized losses on our AFS securities attributable primarily to lower OTTI due to overall improvement in the credit markets;

- Income from discontinued operations of \$29 million during 2010 as compared to a loss from discontinued operations of \$70 million during 2009 related to our former Lincoln UK and Investment Management segments (see “Acquisitions and Dispositions” above and Note 3 for more information on our discontinued operations);
  - The \$64 million unfavorable effect from the rescission in the first quarter of 2009 of the reinsurance agreement on certain disability income business sold to Swiss Re and unfavorable adjustments of \$33 million in the fourth quarter of 2009 to increase reserves as a result of our review of the adequacy of reserves supporting this business and to write off certain receivables related to the rescission, as discussed in “Results of Other Operations” and Note 14 below;
  - A \$35 million unfavorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for life insurance and annuity products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees during 2010 compared to a \$124 million unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information):
- § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2010 was due to a \$7 million favorable unlocking from model refinements and a \$42 million unfavorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to lower investment margins than our model projections assumed and adjustments to secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves, attributable primarily to lowering our new money investment yield assumption to reflect the current new money rates and to approximate the forward curve for interest rates, as this effect alone represented \$114 million unfavorable unlocking within our Life Insurance segment (see “Introduction – Executive Summary – Significant Operational Matters – Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Businesses” above for more information) and refinements associated with our planned actuarial conversion process where we harmonized assumptions and methods of calculations that existed between similar blocks of business within our actuarial models that resulted in lower lapses than our model projections assumed, partially offset by including an estimate in our models for rider fees related to our annuity products with living benefit guarantees; and
- § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 was due to a \$6 million unfavorable unlocking from model refinements and a \$118 million unfavorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves, modifying our fund assumptions, higher maintenance expenses and lower investment spreads than our model projections assumed;
- The overall unfavorable GDB derivative results, excluding unlocking, during 2009 due primarily to sporadic large movements in rates and equities that caused non-linear changes in the liability relative to the derivatives utilized in the hedge program and by other items (see “Realized Gain (Loss)” below for more information on our GDB derivatives results);
  - A \$65 million favorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and the reserves for life insurance and annuity products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees during 2010, partially offset by a higher DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization rate, net of interest, excluding unlocking, during 2010, compared to a \$36 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2009:
- § The favorable retrospective unlocking during 2010 was due primarily to higher equity markets and expense assessments and lower lapses than our model projections assumed;
- § The higher amortization rate was due primarily to negative gross profits in total for certain cohorts in 2009 for Retirement Solutions – Annuities and the reduction of projected EGPs for Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance, discussed below; and
- § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2009 was due primarily to the overall performance of our GLB derivative program (see “Realized Gain (Loss)” below for more information on our GLB derivative performance), partially offset by lower lapses and higher equity markets than our model projections assumed; and
- More favorable realized gains related to certain derivative instruments and trading securities during 2010 attributable primarily to spreads narrowing on corporate credit default swaps, which affected the derivative instruments related to our consolidated VIEs and our credit default swaps, and gains on our trading securities due to the decline in interest rates.

The increase in net income was partially offset by the following:

- Higher benefits, excluding the effects of the rescission of the reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re in the 2009 (discussed in “Results of Other Operations” and Note 14 below), due primarily to unfavorable mortality and an increase in secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves from continued growth in the business in our Life Insurance segment and unfavorable claims incidence and termination experience in the long-term disability product line and adverse mortality and morbidity experience on our group life business within our Group Protection segment;
- Higher underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding amortization of DAC and VOBA, due primarily to:
  - § Settlement of Transamerica litigation matter (see Note 14 for more information);
  - § Higher account value-based trail commissions driven by the effect of favorable equity markets on account values and positive net flows;
  - § An increase in expenses associated with reserve financing supporting our secondary guarantee UL and term business due primarily to higher pricing that has occurred in reaction to the unfavorable market conditions experienced during the recession and our continued efforts to reduce the strain of these statutory reserves (see “Results of Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance – Income (Loss) from Operations – Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain” below for more information); and

- § Investments in strategic initiatives related to updating information technology and expanding distribution during 2010; partially offset by
- § Restructuring charges related to expense reduction initiatives in 2009, and lower expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans in 2010 as compared to the corresponding period in 2009;
- A \$42 million gain associated with the early extinguishment of long-term debt as compared to a \$3 million loss in 2010;
  - More favorable tax return true-ups recorded in 2009 than in 2010; and
  - Higher interest and debt expense as a result of higher average balances of outstanding debt in 2010.

#### Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Net income decreased due primarily to the following:

- Impairment of goodwill in 2009 of \$600 million for Retirement Solutions – Annuities due to continued market volatility, the corresponding increase in discount rates and lower annuity sales, and impairment of goodwill and our FCC license intangible assets in 2009 of \$109 million related to our remaining radio clusters during 2009 attributable primarily to declining results and forecasted advertising revenues, compared to a \$297 million impairment of goodwill and our FCC license intangible assets during 2008 attributable to declines in advertising revenues for the entire radio market and impairment of our Lincoln UK goodwill due to deterioration in the market:
  - § See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” above for additional information on our goodwill impairment; and
  - § These non-cash impairments did not affect our liquidity;
- The overall unfavorable GLB net derivatives results, excluding unlocking, during 2009, which was due to a reduction in the NPR component of the liability that is not included in the hedge program attributable to a narrowing of credit spreads, compared to favorable GLB net derivatives results during 2008 as the NPR adjustment was favorable attributable primarily to widening credit spreads that more than offset the unfavorable GLB hedge program performance due to extreme market conditions (see “Realized Loss” below for more information on our GLB liability and derivative performance);
- A loss from discontinued operations of \$70 million during 2009 as compared to income from discontinued operations of \$67 million during 2008 related to our former Lincoln UK and Investment Management segments (see “Acquisitions and Dispositions” above and Note 3 for more information on our discontinued operations);
  - Lower earnings from our variable annuity and mutual fund (within our Defined Contribution segment) products as a result of declines in the equity markets;
- The \$64 million unfavorable effect from the rescission of the reinsurance agreement on certain disability income business sold to Swiss Re in the first quarter of 2009 and unfavorable adjustments of \$33 million in the fourth quarter of 2009 to increase reserves as a result of our review of the adequacy of reserves supporting this business and to write off certain receivables related to the rescission, as discussed in “Results of Other Operations” and Note 14 below; and
- The overall unfavorable GDB derivatives results, excluding unlocking, during 2009 due primarily to more favorable equity market performance.

The decrease in net income was partially offset by the following:

- A decrease in realized losses on our AFS securities attributable primarily to lower OTTI;
- A \$124 million unfavorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for life insurance and annuity products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees during 2009 compared to a \$212 million unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2008 (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information):

§



The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 was due to a \$6 million unfavorable unlocking from model refinements and a \$118 million unfavorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves, modifying our fund assumptions, higher maintenance expenses and lower investment spreads than our model projections assumed in 2009; and

- § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2008 was due to a \$34 million unfavorable unlocking from model refinements and a \$178 million unfavorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to significantly unfavorable equity markets in 2008;
- A \$36 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and the reserves for life insurance and annuity products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees during 2009 compared to a \$111 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2008;
- § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2009 was due primarily to the overall performance of our GLB derivative program (see “Realized Gain (Loss)” below for more information on our GLB derivative performance), partially offset by lower lapses and higher equity markets than our model projections assumed; and
- § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2008 was due primarily to lower equity markets and premiums received, and higher death claims and expected GDB claims than our model projections assumed;

- A \$42 million gain in 2009 associated with the early extinguishment of long-term debt;
  - Higher net investment income and relatively flat interest credited, excluding unlocking, driven primarily by:
    - § Higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to positive net flows; and
    - § Higher invested assets as a result of issuances of common stock, preferred stock and debt; partially offset by
    - § Less favorable investment income on alternative investments due primarily to a deterioration of the capital markets (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information on our alternative investments); and
    - § Holding higher cash balances during the recent volatile markets that reduced our portfolio yields for 2009;
- A reduction in federal income tax expense due primarily to the release of a state income tax liability and favorable tax return true-ups driven by the separate account DRD, foreign tax credit adjustments and other items;
- Lower broker-dealer expenses due primarily to lower sales of non-proprietary products, lower interest and debt expense as a result of a decline in interest rates and average balances of outstanding debt in 2009, lower merger expenses as many of our integration efforts related to our acquisition of Jefferson-Pilot have been completed and the implementation of several expense initiatives, partially offset by restructuring charges related to many of these initiatives and higher incentive compensation accruals as a result of higher earnings and production performance relative to planned goals; and
- The \$16 million effect of the initial adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC on January 1, 2008.

The foregoing items are discussed in further detail in results of operations by segment discussions and “Realized Gain (Loss)” below. In addition, for a discussion of the earnings effect of the equity markets, see “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Equity Market Risk – Effect of Equity Market Sensitivity.”

## RESULTS OF RETIREMENT SOLUTIONS

The Retirement Solutions business provides its products through two segments: Annuities and Defined Contribution. The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment provides tax-deferred investment growth and lifetime income opportunities for its clients by offering individual fixed annuities, including indexed annuities, and variable annuities. The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment provides employer-sponsored variable and fixed annuities, defined benefit, individual retirement accounts and mutual-fund based programs in the retirement plan marketplaces.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

## Retirement Solutions – Annuities

## Income (Loss) from Operations

Details underlying the results for Retirement Solutions – Annuities (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Operating Revenues					
Insurance premiums (1)	\$ 53	\$ 89	\$ 136	-40%	-35%
Insurance fees	1,098	841	972	31%	-13%
Net investment income	1,119	1,037	972	8%	7%
Operating realized gain (loss)	69	54	38	28%	42%
Other revenues and fees (2)	315	280	320	13%	-13%
Total operating revenues	2,654	2,301	2,438	15%	-6%
Operating Expenses					
Interest credited	726	682	704	6%	-3%
Benefits	174	242	215	-28%	13%
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	1,168	983	1,381	19%	-29%
Total operating expenses	2,068	1,907	2,300	8%	-17%
Income (loss) from operations before taxes	586	394	138	49%	186%
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	102	41	(55)	149%	175%
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 484	\$ 353	\$ 193	37%	83%

(1) Includes primarily our single premium immediate annuities, which have a corresponding offset in benefits for changes in reserves.

(2) Consists primarily of fees attributable to broker-dealer services that are subject to market volatility.

## Comparison of 2010 to 2009

Income from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- Higher insurance fees driven primarily by higher average daily variable account values due to more favorable equity markets;
- Higher net investment income, partially offset by higher interest credited, excluding unlocking, driven primarily by:
  - § Higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to positive net flows, partially offset by transfers from fixed to variable since the fourth quarter of 2009;
  - § More favorable investment income on alternative investments within our surplus portfolio and higher prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” and “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for more information); and
  - § Holding lower cash balances during 2010 that resulted in our portfolio yields not declining as much as our crediting rates (see discussion in “Additional Information” below);
- A \$21 million favorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our guarantee riders during 2010 compared to a \$10 million unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information):

§

The favorable prospective unlocking during 2010 was due to assumption changes attributable primarily to including an estimate in our models for rider fees related to our annuity products with living benefit guarantees and lower lapses than our model projections assumed, net of a \$20 million unfavorable unlocking for the effect of completing the planned conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform platform for certain blocks of business (see discussion in “Additional Information” below); and

- § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 was due to assumption changes attributable primarily to higher maintenance expenses partially offset by higher expense assessments than our model projections assumed and modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves;
- An \$81 million favorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our guarantee riders during 2010, partially offset by a higher DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization rate, net of interest, excluding unlocking, during 2010, compared to a \$29 million favorable retrospective unlocking during 2009:

- § The favorable retrospective unlocking during 2010 was due primarily to higher equity markets and expense assessments and lower lapses than our model projections assumed;
- § The higher amortization rate during 2010 was due primarily to negative gross profits in total for certain cohorts in 2009 (discussed below); and
- § The favorable retrospective unlocking during 2009 was due primarily to lower lapses and higher equity markets than our model projections assumed; and
- Lower benefits from a decrease in the change in GDB reserves due to a decrease in our expected GDB benefit payments attributable primarily to the increase in account values above guaranteed levels due to the more favorable equity markets.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Higher underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding amortization of DAC and VOBA, due primarily to:
  - § Higher account value-based trail commissions driven by the effect of favorable equity markets on account values and positive net flows; and
  - § An increase in the allocation of overhead costs to this segment, discussed in “Additional Information” below; and
    - More favorable tax return true-ups recorded in 2009 than in 2010.

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Income from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- A \$10 million unfavorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our guarantee riders during 2009 compared to a \$210 million unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2008 (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information):
  - § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 was due to assumption changes attributable primarily to higher maintenance expenses partially offset by higher expense assessments than our model projections assumed and modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves; and
  - § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2008 was due primarily to significantly unfavorable equity markets;
  - Higher net investment income, partially offset by higher interest credited, excluding unlocking, driven primarily by:
    - § Higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to positive net flows;
      - § Crediting rate actions implemented since the third quarter of 2008 that reduced interest crediting rates; and
        - § More favorable investment income on alternative investments within our surplus portfolio (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for more information); partially offset by
    - § Holding higher cash balances during the recent volatile markets that reduced our portfolio yields for 2009 (see discussion in “Additional Information” below); and
  - A higher DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization rate, net of interest, excluding unlocking, during 2009, partially offset by a \$29 million favorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our guarantee riders during 2009, compared to a \$50 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2008:
    - § The higher amortization rate during 2009 was due primarily to the reduction of projected EGPs for this segment (discussed in “Additional Information” below);
    - § The favorable retrospective unlocking during 2009 was due primarily to lower lapses and higher equity markets than our model projections assumed; and
    - § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2008 was due primarily to lower equity markets than our model projections assumed.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- An increase in federal income tax expense due primarily to an increase in earnings, partially offset by more favorable tax return true-ups driven by the separate account DRD, foreign tax credit adjustments and other items in 2009;
- Lower insurance fees driven primarily by lower average daily variable account values due to unfavorable equity markets;
- Higher benefits due primarily to an increase in the growth in benefit reserves from higher expected GDB benefit payments;
- Higher underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding amortization of DAC and VOBA, due primarily to:
  - § Higher account value-based trail commissions driven by positive net flows, partially offset by the effect of unfavorable equity markets on account values;
  - § Higher incentive compensation accruals as a result of higher earnings and production performance relative to planned goals; and
    - § Higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans; and
      - A less favorable net broker-dealer margin attributable primarily to lower sales of non-proprietary products.

## Additional Information

During 2010, we completed the planned conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform platform for certain blocks of business, which we deemed to be the most significant. As a result, we recorded prospective unlocking during 2010 related to the conversion, as discussed in “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” above. We have other blocks of business that we intend to convert in 2011. Although we expect some differences to emerge as a result of the planned conversion of the other blocks of business, based upon the current status of these efforts, we are not able to provide an estimate or range of the effects to our results of operations until completion of the conversion.

Prior to the second quarter of 2009, the equity markets unfavorably impacted our average variable account values and the resulting fees earned on these accounts. Additionally, weaker credit fundamentals negatively impacted our investment margins and increased our realized losses on investments, including OTTI. As a result, we recorded prospective unlocking during the fourth quarter of 2008 related to our RTM process, as discussed in “Critical Accounting Policies – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.” This RTM unlocking that occurred at an equity market trough had the effect of lowering the projected EGPs for this segment, thereby increasing our rate of amortization, which results in higher DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization and lower earnings for this segment.

Fixed annuity deposits moderated beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009 and throughout 2010, as customers shifted deposits back into variable annuity products as equity markets improved, and we expect this trend will continue in 2011 with improving economic conditions.

We allocated more overhead costs to this segment during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses resulted in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses. Additionally, we made strategic investments during 2010 that resulted in higher expenses, and we expect this trend to continue in 2011.

During the volatile markets experienced in late 2008 and early 2009, we implemented a short-term liquidity strategy of maintaining higher cash balances that reduced our portfolio yields by 20 basis points during 2009. As we progressed through 2009, we reduced these excess cash balances, thereby increasing our portfolio yields.

We experienced a favorable decline in expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans during 2010 when compared to 2009, and this trend will continue in 2011. For additional information, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans.”

New deposits are an important component of net flows and key to our efforts to grow our business. Although deposits do not significantly affect current period income from operations, they are an important indicator of future profitability.

The other component of net flows relates to the retention of the business. An important measure of retention is the lapse rate, which compares the amount of withdrawals to the average account values. The overall lapse rate for our annuity products was 7%, 8% and 9% for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

See Note 11 below for information on contractual guarantees to contract holders related to GDB features for our Retirement Solutions business.

Our fixed annuity business includes products with discretionary crediting rates that are reset on an annual basis and are not subject to surrender charges. Our ability to retain annual reset annuities will be subject to current competitive conditions at the time interest rates for these products reset. We expect to manage the effects of spreads on near-term income from operations through portfolio management and, to a lesser extent, crediting rate actions, which assumes

no significant changes in net flows into or out of our fixed accounts or other changes that may cause interest rate spreads to differ from our expectations. For information on interest rate spreads and the interest rate risk due to falling interest rates, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in interest rates and sustained low interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and changes in interest rates may also result in increased contract withdrawals” and “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk – Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Businesses – Falling Rates.”

We provide information about this segment’s operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below. For detail on the operating realized gain (loss), see “Realized Gain (Loss)” below.



## Insurance Fees

Details underlying insurance fees, account values and net flows (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Insurance Fees					
Mortality, expense and other assessments	\$ 1,113	\$ 860	\$ 935	29%	-8%
Surrender charges	37	36	45	3%	-20%
DFEL:					
Deferrals	(75)	(56)	(50)	-34%	-12%
Amortization, net of interest:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	1	3	25	-67%	-88%
Retrospective unlocking	(1)	2	7	NM	-71%
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	23	(4)	10	NM	NM
Total insurance fees	\$ 1,098	\$ 841	\$ 972	31%	-13%

	As of December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Account Values					
Variable portion of variable annuities	\$ 64,858	\$ 55,368	\$ 40,925	17%	35%
Fixed portion of variable annuities	3,532	3,999	3,617	-12%	11%
Total variable annuities	68,390	59,367	44,542	15%	33%
Fixed annuities, including indexed	17,420	15,941	14,038	9%	14%
Fixed annuities ceded to reinsurers	(962)	(1,027)	(1,125)	6%	9%
Total fixed annuities	16,458	14,914	12,913	10%	15%
Total account values	\$ 84,848	\$ 74,281	\$ 57,455	14%	29%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Averages					
Daily variable account values, excluding the fixed portion of variable	\$ 58,188	\$ 46,551	\$ 52,111	25%	-11%
Daily S&P 500	1,138.78	947.53	1,220.72	20%	-22%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Net Flows on Account Values</b>					
Variable portion of variable annuity deposits	\$ 5,099	\$ 4,007	\$ 6,690	27%	-40%
Variable portion of variable annuity withdrawals	(5,092)	(4,034)	(4,813)	-26%	16%
Variable portion of variable annuity net flows	7	(27)	1,877	126%	NM
Fixed portion of variable annuity deposits	3,167	3,194	3,433	-1%	-7%
Fixed portion of variable annuity withdrawals	(389)	(493)	(549)	21%	10%
Fixed portion of variable annuity net flows	2,778	2,701	2,884	3%	-6%
Total variable annuity deposits	8,266	7,201	10,123	15%	-29%
Total variable annuity withdrawals	(5,481)	(4,527)	(5,362)	-21%	16%
Total variable annuity net flows	2,785	2,674	4,761	4%	-44%
Fixed indexed annuity deposits	2,027	2,182	1,078	-7%	102%
Fixed indexed annuity withdrawals	(532)	(636)	(441)	16%	-44%
Fixed indexed annuity net flows	1,495	1,546	637	-3%	143%
Other fixed annuity deposits	374	979	529	-62%	85%
Other fixed annuity withdrawals	(1,099)	(1,306)	(1,837)	16%	29%
Other fixed annuity net flows	(725)	(327)	(1,308)	NM	75%
Total annuity deposits	10,667	10,362	11,730	3%	-12%
Total annuity withdrawals	(7,112)	(6,469)	(7,640)	-10%	15%
Total annuity net flows	\$ 3,555	\$ 3,893	\$ 4,090	-9%	-5%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Other Changes to Account Values</b>					
Change in market value on variable, excluding the fixed portion of variable	\$ 6,087	\$ 11,995	\$ (22,187)	-49%	154%
Transfers to the variable portion of variable annuity products from the fixed portion of variable annuity products	3,396	2,475	2,798	37%	-12%

We charge contract holders mortality and expense assessments on variable annuity accounts to cover insurance and administrative expenses. These assessments are a function of the rates priced into the product and the average daily variable account values. Average daily account values are driven by net flows and the equity markets. In addition, for our fixed annuity contracts and for some variable contracts, we collect surrender charges when contract holders surrender their contracts during their surrender charge periods to protect us from premature withdrawals. Insurance fees include charges on both our variable and fixed annuity products, but exclude the attributed fees on our GLB products; see “Realized Gain (Loss) – Operating Realized Gain (Loss) – GLB” below for discussion of these attributed fees.

## Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

Details underlying net investment income, interest credited (in millions) and our interest rate spread were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Net Investment Income</b>					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	\$ 1,002	\$ 955	\$ 901	5%	6%
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (1)	23	5	3	NM	67%
Alternative investments (2)	1	-	(2)	NM	100%
Surplus investments (3)	93	77	67	21%	15%
Broker-dealer	-	-	3	NM	-100%
Total net investment income	\$ 1,119	\$ 1,037	\$ 972	8%	7%
<b>Interest Credited</b>					
Amount provided to contract holders	\$ 739	\$ 730	\$ 733	1%	0%
DSI deferrals	(65)	(75)	(95)	13%	21%
Interest credited before DSI amortization	674	655	638	3%	3%
<b>DSI amortization:</b>					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	3	-	37	NM	-100%
Retrospective unlocking	(7)	(5)	7	-40%	NM
Amortization, excluding unlocking	56	32	22	75%	45%
Total interest credited	\$ 726	\$ 682	\$ 704	6%	-3%

(1) See “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for additional information.

(2) See “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information.

(3) Represents net investment income on the required statutory surplus for this segment and includes the effect of investment income on alternative investments for such assets that are held in the portfolios supporting statutory surplus versus the portfolios supporting product liabilities.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Basis Point Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Interest Rate Spread</b>					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	5.50%	5.50%	5.79%	(0)	(29)
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond make whole premiums	0.13%	0.03%	0.02%	10	1
Alternative investments	0.01%	0.00%	-0.01%	1	1
Net investment income yield on reserves	5.64%	5.53%	5.80%	11	(27)
Interest rate credited to contract holders	3.52%	3.77%	3.84%	(25)	(7)
Interest rate spread	2.12%	1.76%	1.96%	36	(20)

Note: The yields, rates and spreads above are calculated using whole dollars instead of dollars rounded to millions.



	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Other Information</b>					
Average invested assets on reserves	\$ 18,248	\$ 17,363	\$ 15,784	5%	10%
Average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable	20,029	18,249	17,263	10%	6%
Transfers to the fixed portion of variable annuity products from the variable portion of variable annuity products	(3,396)	(2,475)	(2,798)	-37%	12%
Net flows for fixed annuities, including the fixed portion of variable	3,548	3,920	2,213	-9%	77%

A portion of our investment income earned is credited to the contract holders of our fixed annuity products, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. We expect to earn a spread between what we earn on the underlying general account investments supporting the fixed annuity product line, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, and what we credit to our fixed annuity contract holders' accounts, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. The interest rate spread for this segment represents the excess of the yield on invested assets on reserves over the average crediting rate. The yield on invested assets on reserves is calculated as net investment income, excluding the amounts attributable to our surplus investments, reverse repurchase agreement interest expense, inter-segment cash management program interest expense and interest on collateral divided by average invested assets on reserves. The average invested assets on reserves is calculated based upon total invested assets, excluding hedge derivatives and collateral. The average crediting rate is calculated as interest credited before DSI amortization, plus the immediate annuity reserve change (included within benefits) divided by the average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, net of coinsured account values. Fixed account values reinsured under modified coinsurance agreements are included in account values for this calculation. Changes in commercial mortgage loan prepayments and bond makewhole premiums, investment income on alternative investments and surplus investment income can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors and, therefore, may contribute to investment income results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

#### Benefits

Benefits for this segment include changes in reserves of immediate annuity account values driven by premiums, changes in GDB and GLB benefit reserves and our expected costs associated with purchases of derivatives used to hedge our GDB benefit ratio unlocking.

## Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses

Details underlying underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses					
Commissions:					
Deferrable	\$ 474	\$ 463	\$ 495	2%	-6%
Non-deferrable	223	165	151	35%	9%
General and administrative expenses	337	317	330	6%	-4%
Inter-segment reimbursement associated with reserve financing and LOC expenses (1)	(1)	1	-	NM	NM
Taxes, licenses and fees	20	20	26	0%	-23%
Total expenses incurred, excluding broker-dealer	1,053	966	1,002	9%	-4%
DAC deferrals	(624)	(624)	(686)	0%	9%
Total pre-broker-dealer expenses incurred, excluding amortization, net of interest	429	342	316	25%	8%
DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	(41)	10	303	NM	-97%
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	9	-	-	NM	NM
Retrospective unlocking	(84)	(19)	88	NM	NM
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	535	360	343	49%	5%
Broker-dealer expenses incurred	320	290	331	10%	-12%
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	\$ 1,168	\$ 983	\$ 1,381	19%	-29%
DAC Deferrals					
As a percentage of sales/deposits	5.8%	6.0%	5.8%		

(1) Represents reimbursements to Retirement Solutions – Annuities from the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment for reserve financing, net of expenses incurred by Retirement Solutions – Annuities for its use of LOCs. The inter-segment amounts are not reported on our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Commissions and other costs that vary with and are related primarily to the production of new business are deferred to the extent recoverable and are amortized over the lives of the contracts in relation to EGPs. Certain of our commissions, such as trail commissions that are based on account values, are expensed as incurred rather than deferred and amortized.

Broker-dealer expenses that vary with and are related to sales are expensed as incurred and not deferred and amortized. Fluctuations in these expenses correspond with fluctuations in other revenues and fees.

The increase in amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking, when comparing 2010 to 2009, was due to a higher amortization rate from the reduction of projected EGPs for this segment being applied to the higher actual gross profits during 2010 (discussed above in “Additional Information”).

During 2009, we had more unfavorable hedge program performance and securities impairments than our model projections assumed, which resulted in negative gross profits in total for certain cohorts. As a result, the amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL for those cohorts during 2010 was significantly higher than it was in the previous year.

## Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution

## Income (Loss) from Operations

Details underlying the results for Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Operating Revenues					
Insurance fees	\$ 201	\$ 183	\$ 222	10%	-18%
Net investment income	769	732	695	5%	5%
Other revenues and fees (1)	18	11	15	64%	-27%
Total operating revenues	988	926	932	7%	-1%
Operating Expenses					
Interest credited	440	445	430	-1%	3%
Benefits	2	(3)	9	167%	NM
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	332	301	341	10%	-12%
Total operating expenses	774	743	780	4%	-5%
Income (loss) from operations before taxes	214	183	152	17%	20%
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	60	50	29	20%	72%
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 154	\$ 133	\$ 123	16%	8%

(1) Consists primarily of mutual fund account program fees for mid-to-large employers.

## Comparison of 2010 to 2009

Income from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- Higher net investment income and relatively flat interest credited driven primarily by:
  - § Higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to transfers from variable to fixed since the fourth quarter of 2009;
    - § Crediting rate actions implemented after the fourth quarter of 2009 that reduced interest crediting rates;
  - § More favorable investment income on alternative investments within our surplus portfolio and higher prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” and “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for more information); and
  - § Holding lower cash balances during 2010 that resulted in our portfolio yields not declining as much as our crediting rates (see discussion in “Additional Information” below);
- Higher insurance fees driven primarily by higher average daily variable account values due to higher equity markets, partially offset by an overall shift in business mix toward products with lower expense assessment rates;
- A lower DAC, VOBA and DSI amortization rate, net of interest and excluding unlocking, during 2010 partially offset by a \$3 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA and DSI, compared to a \$1 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2009;
- § The lower amortization rate during 2010 was due primarily to an overall shift in business mix towards products with lower deferrable expense rates for this segment;
- § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2010 was due primarily to higher lapses than our model projections assumed, partially offset by higher equity markets than our model projections assumed; and



- § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2009 was due primarily to higher lapses and maintenance expenses and lower equity markets than our model projections assumed; and
- A \$5 million favorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI during 2010 compared to a \$5 million favorable prospective unlocking during 2009 (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information):
- § The favorable prospective unlocking during 2010 was due primarily to the effect of completing the planned conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform platform for certain blocks of business (see discussion in “Additional Information” below); and
- § The favorable prospective unlocking during 2009 was due to assumption changes attributable primarily to a compensation-related change in our wholesaling distribution organization that lowered deferrals as a percentage of total expenses incurred and lower maintenance expenses than our model projections assumed.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by higher underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding unlocking, due primarily to:

- Investments in strategic initiatives related to updating information technology and expanding distribution in 2010, as discussed in “Additional Information” below; and
- Higher account value-based trail commissions driven by the effect of higher equity markets on account values.

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Income from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- A \$5 million favorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA and DSI during 2009 compared to a \$26 million unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2008 (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information):
  - § The favorable prospective unlocking during 2009 was due to assumption changes attributable primarily to a compensation-related change in our wholesaling distribution organization that lowered deferrals as a percentage of total expenses incurred and lower maintenance expenses than our model projections assumed; and
  - § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2008 was due primarily to significantly unfavorable equity markets;
    - Higher net investment income, partially offset by higher interest credited, driven primarily by:
  - § Higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to transfers from variable to fixed since the third quarter of 2008;
  - § Crediting rate actions implemented during the third quarter of 2009 that reduced interest crediting rates; and
  - § More favorable investment income on surplus and alternative investments due to the improvement in the capital markets (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information); partially offset by
  - § Holding higher cash balances during the recent volatile markets that reduced our portfolio yields for 2009 (see discussion in “Additional Information” below);
- A \$1 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA and DSI during 2009 compared to a \$9 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2008:
  - § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2009 was due primarily to higher lapses and maintenance expenses and lower equity markets than our model projections assumed; and
  - § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2008 was due primarily to higher lapses, maintenance expenses and future GDB claims than our model projections assumed; and
- Lower benefits from a decrease in the change in GDB reserves due to a decrease in our expected GDB benefit payments attributable primarily to the increase in account values due to the improvement in the equity markets in 2009.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower insurance fees driven primarily by lower average daily variable account values resulting from the unfavorable equity markets and an overall shift in business mix toward products with lower expense assessment rates;
- A reduction in federal income tax expense in 2008 due primarily to favorable tax return true-ups driven by the separate account DRD and other items; and
- Higher underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding unlocking, due primarily to higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans and higher incentive compensation accruals as a result of higher earnings and production performance relative to planned goals.

Additional Information

During 2010, we completed the planned conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform platform for certain blocks of business, which we deemed to be the most significant. As a result, we recorded prospective unlocking during 2010 related to the conversion, as discussed in “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.” We have other blocks of business that we intend to convert in 2011. Although we expect some differences to emerge as a result of the planned conversion of the other blocks of business, based upon the current status of these efforts, we are not able to provide an estimate or range of the effects to our results of operations until completion of the conversion.

During 2010, we partnered with a leading provider of retirement recordkeeping services to enhance our retirement plan offerings. We expect to continue making strategic investments during 2011 to improve our infrastructure and product offerings that will also result in higher expenses. In addition, we allocated more overhead costs to this segment during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses resulted in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses.

We experienced a favorable decline in expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans during 2010 when compared to 2009, and this trend will continue in 2011. For additional information, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans.”

During the volatile markets experienced in late 2008 and early 2009, we implemented a short-term liquidity strategy of maintaining higher cash balances that reduced our portfolio yields by 13 basis points during 2009. As we progressed through 2009, we reduced these excess cash balances, thereby increasing our portfolio yields.

New deposits are an important component of net flows and key to our efforts to grow our business. Although deposits do not significantly affect current period income from operations, they are an important indicator of future profitability.

The other component of net flows relates to the retention of the business. An important measure of retention is the lapse rate, which compares the amount of withdrawals to the average account values. The overall lapse rate for our annuity and mutual fund products was 14%, 12% and 13% for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our lapse rate is negatively affected by the continued net outflows from our oldest blocks of annuities business (as presented on our Account Value Roll Forward table below as “Total Multi-Fund® and Other Variable Annuities”), which are also our higher margin product lines in this segment, due to the fact that they are mature blocks with much of the account values out of their surrender charge period. The proportion of these products to our total account values was 42%, 45% and 50% for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Due to this expected overall shift in business mix toward products with lower returns, a significant increase in new deposit production will be necessary to maintain earnings at current levels.

Our net flows were negative for the year ended December 31, 2010, due primarily to the lapse of certain large cases during the second half of 2010 with total account values of approximately \$800 million.

See Note 11 for information on contractual guarantees to contract holders related to GDB features for our Retirement Solutions business.

Our fixed annuity business includes products with discretionary and index-based crediting rates that are reset on a quarterly basis. Our ability to retain quarterly reset annuities will be subject to current competitive conditions at the time interest rates for these products reset. We expect to manage the effects of spreads on near-term income from operations through portfolio management and, to a lesser extent, crediting rate actions, which assumes no significant changes in net flows into or out of our fixed accounts or other changes that may cause interest rate spreads to differ from our expectations. For information on interest rate spreads and the interest rate risk due to falling interest rates, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in interest rates and sustained low interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and changes in interest rates may also result in increased contract withdrawals” and “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk – Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Businesses – Falling Rates.”

We provide information about this segment’s operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below.

#### Insurance Fees

Details underlying insurance fees, account values and net flows (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Insurance Fees					
Annuity expense assessments	\$ 172	\$ 157	\$ 197	10%	-20%

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Mutual fund fees	26	22	19	18%	16%
Total expense assessments	198	179	216	11%	-17%
Surrender charges	3	4	6	-25%	-33%
Total insurance fees	\$ 201	\$ 183	\$ 222	10%	-18%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Averages					
Daily variable annuity account values, excluding the fixed portion of variable	\$ 12,930	\$ 11,315	\$ 14,935	14%	-24%
Daily S&P 500	1,138.78	947.53	1,220.72	20%	-22%

	As of December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Account Values					
Variable portion of variable annuities	\$ 13,927	\$ 12,953	\$ 10,588	8%	22%
Fixed portion of variable annuities	6,150	6,107	6,037	1%	1%
Total variable annuities	20,077	19,060	16,625	5%	15%
Fixed annuities	6,629	6,139	5,601	8%	10%
Total annuities	26,706	25,199	22,226	6%	13%
Mutual funds (1)	12,118	10,103	6,652	20%	52%
Total annuities and mutual funds	\$ 38,824	\$ 35,302	\$ 28,878	10%	22%

(1) Includes mutual fund account values and other third-party trustee-held assets. These items are not included in the separate accounts reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as we do not have any ownership interest in them.

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Account Value Roll Forward – By Product</b>					
<b>Total Micro – Small Segment:</b>					
Balance as of beginning-of-year	\$ 5,863	\$ 4,888	\$ 7,798	20%	-37%
Gross deposits	1,242	1,157	1,531	7%	-24%
Withdrawals and deaths	(1,377)	(1,273)	(1,740)	-8%	27%
Net flows	(135)	(116)	(209)	-16%	44%
Transfers between fixed and variable accounts	4	(2)	(8)	300%	75%
Inter-product transfer (1)	-	-	(653)	NM	100%
Investment increase and change in market value	664	1,093	(2,040)	-39%	154%
Balance as of end-of-year	\$ 6,396	\$ 5,863	\$ 4,888	9%	20%
<b>Total Mid – Large Segment:</b>					
Balance as of beginning-of-year	\$ 13,653	\$ 9,540	\$ 9,463	43%	1%
Gross deposits	3,308	2,954	2,933	12%	1%
Withdrawals and deaths	(2,558)	(1,110)	(871)	NM	-27%
Net flows	750	1,844	2,062	-59%	-11%
Transfers between fixed and variable accounts	16	12	(55)	33%	122%
Inter-product transfer (1)	-	-	653	NM	-100%
Other (2)	186	-	-	NM	NM
Investment increase and change in market value	1,602	2,257	(2,583)	-29%	187%
Balance as of end-of-year	\$ 16,207	\$ 13,653	\$ 9,540	19%	43%
<b>Total Multi-Fund® and Other Variable Annuities:</b>					
Balance as of beginning-of-year	\$ 15,786	\$ 14,450	\$ 18,797	9%	-23%
Gross deposits	751	841	1,083	-11%	-22%
Withdrawals and deaths	(1,657)	(1,574)	(2,155)	-5%	27%
Net flows	(906)	(733)	(1,072)	-24%	32%
Transfers between fixed and variable accounts	-	(1)	(2)	100%	50%
Inter-segment transfer	-	-	295	NM	-100%
Investment increase and change in market value	1,341	2,070	(3,568)	-35%	158%
Balance as of end-of-year	\$ 16,221	\$ 15,786	\$ 14,450	3%	9%
<b>Total Annuities and Mutual Funds:</b>					
Balance as of beginning-of-year	\$ 35,302	\$ 28,878	\$ 36,058	22%	-20%
Gross deposits	5,301	4,952	5,547	7%	-11%
Withdrawals and deaths	(5,592)	(3,957)	(4,766)	-41%	17%
Net flows	(291)	995	781	NM	27%
Transfers between fixed and variable accounts	20	9	(65)	122%	114%
Inter-segment transfer	-	-	295	NM	-100%
Other (2)	186	-	-	NM	NM
Investment increase and change in market value	3,607	5,420	(8,191)	-33%	166%
Balance as of end-of-year (3)	\$ 38,824	\$ 35,302	\$ 28,878	10%	22%

(1) On September 30, 2008, there was a transfer relating to the Lincoln Employee 401(k) Plan from LINCOLN DIRECTORS to LINCOLN ALLIANCE®.

- (2) Represents LINCOLN ALLIANCE® program assets held by a third-party trustee that were not previously included in the account value roll forward. Effective January 1, 2010, all such LINCOLN ALLIANCE® program activity was included in the account value roll forward.



- (3) Includes mutual fund account values and other third-party trustee-held assets as mentioned in footnote two. These items are not included in the separate accounts reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as we do not have any ownership interest in them.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Net Flows on Account Values</b>					
Variable portion of variable annuity deposits	\$ 1,614	\$ 1,586	\$ 2,170	2%	-27%
Variable portion of variable annuity withdrawals	(2,158)	(1,888)	(2,708)	-14%	30%
Variable portion of variable annuity net flows	(544)	(302)	(538)	-80%	44%
Fixed portion of variable annuity deposits	315	331	369	-5%	-10%
Fixed portion of variable annuity withdrawals	(669)	(737)	(991)	9%	26%
Fixed portion of variable annuity net flows	(354)	(406)	(622)	13%	35%
Total variable annuity deposits	1,929	1,917	2,539	1%	-24%
Total variable annuity withdrawals	(2,827)	(2,625)	(3,699)	-8%	29%
Total variable annuity net flows	(898)	(708)	(1,160)	-27%	39%
Fixed annuity deposits	1,017	1,011	812	1%	25%
Fixed annuity withdrawals	(1,010)	(667)	(557)	-51%	-20%
Fixed annuity net flows	7	344	255	-98%	35%
Total annuity deposits	2,946	2,928	3,351	1%	-13%
Total annuity withdrawals	(3,837)	(3,292)	(4,256)	-17%	23%
Total annuity net flows	(891)	(364)	(905)	NM	60%
Mutual fund deposits	2,355	2,024	2,196	16%	-8%
Mutual fund withdrawals	(1,755)	(665)	(510)	NM	-30%
Mutual fund net flows	600	1,359	1,686	-56%	-19%
Total annuity and mutual fund deposits	5,301	4,952	5,547	7%	-11%
Total annuity and mutual fund withdrawals	(5,592)	(3,957)	(4,766)	-41%	17%
Total annuity and mutual fund net flows	\$ (291)	\$ 995	\$ 781	NM	27%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Other Changes to Account Values</b>					
Change in market value on variable, excluding the fixed portion of variable	\$ 1,687	\$ 2,843	\$ (5,942)	-41%	148%
Transfers to the variable portion of variable annuity products from the fixed portion of variable annuity products	(169)	(176)	(461)	4%	62%

We charge expense assessments to cover insurance and administrative expenses. Expense assessments are generally equal to a percentage of the daily variable account values. Average daily account values are driven by net flows and the equity markets. Our expense assessments include fees we earn for the services that we provide to our mutual fund programs. In addition, for both our fixed and variable annuity contracts, we collect surrender charges when contract holders surrender their contracts during the surrender charge periods to protect us from premature withdrawals.

Our net flows were negative for the year ended December 31, 2010, due primarily to the lapse of certain large cases during the second half of 2010 with total account values of approximately \$800 million.

## Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

Details underlying net investment income, interest credited (in millions) and our interest rate spread were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Net Investment Income					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	\$ 705	\$ 681	\$ 655	4%	4%
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (1)	9	5	7	80%	-29%
Alternative investments (2)	3	1	(6)	200%	117%
Surplus investments (3)	52	45	39	16%	15%
Total net investment income	\$ 769	\$ 732	\$ 695	5%	5%
Interest Credited	\$ 440	\$ 445	\$ 430	-1%	3%

(1) See “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for additional information.

(2) See “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information.

(3) Represents net investment income on the required statutory surplus for this segment and includes the effect of investment income on alternative investments for such assets that are held in the portfolios supporting statutory surplus versus the portfolios supporting product liabilities.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Basis Point Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Interest Rate Spread					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	5.70%	5.76%	5.89%	(6)	(13)
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums	0.08%	0.04%	0.06%	4	(2)
Alternative investments	0.02%	0.01%	-0.05%	1	6
Net investment income yield on reserves	5.80%	5.81%	5.90%	(1)	(9)
Interest rate credited to contract holders	3.49%	3.70%	3.79%	(21)	(9)
Interest rate spread	2.31%	2.11%	2.11%	20	-

Note: The yields, rates and spreads above are calculated using whole dollars instead of dollars rounded to millions.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Other Information					
Average invested assets on reserves	\$ 12,360	\$ 11,815	\$ 11,113	5%	6%
Average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable	12,580	12,024	11,330	5%	6%
Transfers to the fixed portion of variable annuity products from the variable portion of					

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

variable annuity products	169	176	461	-4%	-62%
Net flows for fixed annuities, including the fixed portion of variable	(347)	(62)	(367)	NM	83%

A portion of our investment income earned is credited to the contract holders of our fixed annuity products, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. We expect to earn a spread between what we earn on the underlying general account investments supporting the fixed annuity product line, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, and what we credit

to our fixed annuity contract holders' accounts, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. The interest rate spread for this segment represents the excess of the yield on invested assets on reserves over the average crediting rate. The yield on invested assets on reserves is calculated as net investment income, excluding the amounts attributable to our surplus investments, reverse repurchase agreement interest expense, inter-segment cash management program interest expense and interest on collateral, divided by average invested assets on reserves. The average invested assets on reserves are calculated based upon total invested assets, excluding hedge derivatives. The average crediting rate is calculated as interest credited before DSI amortization, divided by the average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. Commercial mortgage loan prepayments and bond makewhole premiums, investment income on alternative investments and surplus investment income can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors and, therefore, may contribute to investment income results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

### Benefits

Benefits for this segment include changes in GDB and GLB benefit reserves and our expected costs associated with purchases of derivatives used to hedge our GDB benefit ratio unlocking.

### Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses

Details underlying underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses					
Commissions:					
Deferrable	\$ 27	\$ 28	\$ 37	-4%	-24%
Non-deferrable	38	36	35	6%	3%
General and administrative expenses	242	221	220	10%	0%
Taxes, licenses and fees	13	12	13	8%	-8%
Total expenses incurred	320	297	305	8%	-3%
DAC deferrals	(67)	(69)	(94)	3%	27%
Total expenses recognized before amortization	253	228	211	11%	8%
DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	(16)	(8)	39	-100%	NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	8	-	-	NM	NM
Retrospective unlocking	4	2	14	100%	-86%
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	83	79	77	5%	3%
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	\$ 332	\$ 301	\$ 341	10%	-12%
DAC Deferrals					
As a percentage of annuity sales/deposits	2.3%	2.4%	2.8%		

Commissions and other costs that vary with and are related primarily to the sale of annuity contracts are deferred to the extent recoverable and are amortized over the lives of the contracts in relation to EGPs. Certain of our

commissions, such as trail commissions that are based on account values, are expensed as incurred rather than deferred and amortized. We do not pay commissions on sales of our mutual fund products, and distribution expenses associated with the sale of these mutual fund products are expensed as incurred.

#### RESULTS OF INSURANCE SOLUTIONS

The Insurance Solutions business provides its products through two segments: Life Insurance and Group Protection. The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment offers wealth protection and transfer opportunities through term insurance, a linked-benefit product (which is a UL policy linked with riders that provide for long-term care costs) and both single and survivorship versions of UL and VUL, including corporate-owned UL and VUL (“COLI”) and bank-owned UL and VUL (“BOLI”) products. The Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment offers group life, disability and dental insurance to employers.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

### Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance

#### Income (Loss) from Operations

Details underlying the results for Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Operating Revenues					
Insurance premiums	\$ 439	\$ 392	\$ 360	12%	9%
Insurance fees	1,934	1,901	1,880	2%	1%
Net investment income	2,186	1,975	1,988	11%	-1%
Other revenues and fees	31	27	33	15%	-18%
Total operating revenues	4,590	4,295	4,261	7%	1%
Operating Expenses					
Interest credited	1,198	1,184	1,202	1%	-1%
Benefits	1,735	1,374	1,372	26%	0%
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	908	923	879	-2%	5%
Total operating expenses	3,841	3,481	3,453	10%	1%
Income (loss) from operations before taxes	749	814	808	-8%	1%
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	236	245	267	-4%	-8%
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 513	\$ 569	\$ 541	-10%	5%

#### Comparison of 2010 to 2009

Income from operations for this segment decreased due primarily to the following:

- An \$83 million unfavorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DFEL and secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves during 2010 compared to a \$7 million unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information):
  - § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2010 was due to a \$101 million unfavorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to adjustments to secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves and lower investment margins, attributable primarily to lowering our new money investment yield assumption to reflect the then current new money rates and to approximate the forward curve for interest rates relevant at such time, as this effect alone represented \$114 million unfavorable unlocking (see “Additional Information” below), partially offset by lower death claims and lapses than our model projections assumed, net of an \$18 million favorable unlocking from model refinements; and
  - § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 was due to assumption changes attributable primarily to lower investment margins and higher expenses, death claims and lapses than our model projections assumed;
    - An increase in benefits, excluding unlocking, attributable primarily to:
      - § Higher death claims;
      - § An increase in traditional product reserves due to the harmonization of certain processes; and
      - § An increase in secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves from continued growth in the business;

The inter-company reinsurance arrangement effective December 31, 2009, discussed below, which resulted in a reduction in net investment income and an increase in underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses;

- More favorable tax return true-ups recorded in 2009 than in 2010; and
  - A higher DAC, VOBA and DFEL amortization rate, net of interest, excluding unlocking, during 2010, partially offset by a \$3 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA and DFEL during 2010, compared to an \$18 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2009:
- § The higher amortization rate during 2010 was due primarily to the reduction of projected EGPs for this segment (discussed in “Additional Information” below);



- § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2010 was due primarily to lower premiums received and higher death claims than our model projections assumed, partially offset by lower lapses and expenses than our model projections assumed; and
- § The unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2009 was due primarily to lower premiums received and investment income on alternative investments and prepayment and bond makewhole premiums than our model projections assumed, partially offset by lower death claims and lapses than our model projections assumed; and
- An increase in expenses associated with reserve financing supporting our secondary guarantee UL and term business due primarily to higher pricing that has occurred in reaction to the unfavorable market conditions experienced during the recession and our continued efforts to reduce the strain of these statutory reserves (see “Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain” below for more information).

The decrease in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Higher net investment income and relatively flat interest credited attributable primarily to:
  - § More favorable investment income on surplus and alternative investments and higher prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” and “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for more information);
  - § Growth in business in force; and
  - § Actions implemented to reduce interest crediting rates, discussed in “Additional Information” below.

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Income from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- A \$7 million unfavorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DFEL and secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves during 2009 compared to a \$53 million unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2008 (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information):
  - § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2009 was due primarily to lower investment margins and higher expenses, death claims and lapses than our model projections assumed; and
  - § The unfavorable prospective unlocking during 2008 was due to a \$34 million unfavorable unlocking from model refinements and a \$19 million unfavorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to the effect of significantly unfavorable equity markets on our VUL block of business, partially offset by adjustments to secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves;
    - Growth in business in force; and
- A reduction in federal income tax expense due primarily to favorable tax return true-ups in the first quarter of 2009.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower net investment income due primarily to unfavorable results from our alternative investments (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for more information);
- The inter-company reinsurance arrangement effective December 31, 2008, discussed below, which resulted in a reduction in net investment income and an increase in underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses; and
- The transfer of a closed block of life insurance policies to a third party, discussed below, which resulted in reductions in insurance fees, net investment income, interest credited, benefits and underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses.

We provide information about this segment’s operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below.

### Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain

Our insurance subsidiaries have statutory surplus and RBC levels above current regulatory required levels. Products containing secondary guarantees require reserves calculated under Actuarial Guideline 38, or The Application of the Valuation of Life Insurance Policies Model Regulation (“AG38”). Our insurance subsidiaries are employing strategies to reduce the strain of increasing AG38 and Valuation of Life Insurance Policies Model Regulation (“XXX”) statutory reserves associated with secondary guarantee UL and term products. As discussed further below, we have been successful in executing reinsurance solutions to release capital to Other Operations. We expect to regularly execute transactions designed to release capital as we continue to sell products that are subject to these reserving requirements. We also plan to refinance prior transactions with long-term structured solutions. Recently, we introduced new secondary guarantee UL products that are more capital efficient, reducing our dependency on such reinsurance solutions.

Included in the LOCs issued as of December 31, 2010, as discussed in Note 13 and reported in the credit facilities table below in “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Financing Activities,” was approximately \$1.9 billion of LOCs available to support inter-company reinsurance arrangements, of which approximately \$1.1 billion was available for UL business with secondary guarantees and approximately \$800 million was available for term business. LOCs and related capital market alternatives lower the capital effect of secondary guarantee UL products. An inability to obtain the necessary LOC capacity or other capital market alternatives could affect our returns on our in-force secondary guarantee UL business. However, we believe that our insurance subsidiaries have sufficient capital to support the increase in statutory reserves if such structures are not available. See “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – Attempts to mitigate the impact of Regulation XXX and Actuarial Guideline 38 may fail in whole or in part resulting in an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations” for further information on XXX reserves. Our expenses associated with reserve financing are separately reflected in the underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses table below. We expect these expenses will approximately double in 2011 as compared to the level we experienced in 2010 as a result of higher pricing that has occurred in reaction to the unfavorable market conditions experienced during the recession and our expectation to execute additional reserve financing arrangements.

Effective December 31, 2010, we completed a private placement transaction with a non-affiliated entity that replaced the use of \$500 million in LOCs supporting UL business with secondary guarantees with a 30-year structured solution. Additionally, during the second quarter of 2010, we replaced credit facilities that were to mature in the first quarter of 2011 and completed a \$500 million 30-year senior notes offering, the proceeds of which were used to execute a long-term structured solution supporting UL business with secondary guarantees that was effective July 1, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, we released approximately \$230 million of capital that had previously supported statutory reserves related to our term products as a result of executing on an inter-company reinsurance arrangement. This reduction in capital lowered the level of invested assets required to support the reserves of this business, which we transferred to Other Operations where we maintain capital not allocated to our businesses. The cost of the LOCs supporting this business together with the effect of lower net investment income associated with assets shifting from backing reserves in this segment to surplus in Other Operations will reduce this segment’s quarterly income from operations by approximately \$3 million; \$2 million of which is a shift to Other Operations due to the transfer of invested assets.

As of December 31, 2009, we released approximately \$400 million of capital that had previously supported statutory reserves related to our term products as a result of executing on an LOC transaction with a third party to support an inter-company reinsurance arrangement. As part of this transaction, we entered into a \$550 million 10-year LOC facility related to this business. For more information on this transaction, see “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Financing Activities.” This reduction in capital lowered the level of invested assets required to support the reserves of this business. The cost of the LOC together with the effect of lower net investment income associated with assets shifting from backing reserves in this segment to surplus in Other Operations has reduced this segment’s quarterly income from operations by approximately \$7 million; \$4 million of which is a shift to Other Operations due to the transfer of invested assets.

As of December 31, 2008, we released approximately \$240 million of capital that had previously supported statutory reserves related to our UL products with secondary guarantees as a result of executing on an inter-company reinsurance arrangement. This reduction in capital lowered the level of invested assets required to support the reserves of this business. These invested assets were transferred to Other Operations to our surplus portfolio as excess capital, which caused an approximate \$4 million per quarter ongoing reduction in this segment’s net investment income.

#### Additional Information

We are in the process of completing a conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform valuation platform for a significant portion of this segment's blocks of business as discussed in "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL" above. Although we expect some differences to emerge as a result of this exercise, based upon the current status of these efforts, we are not able to provide an estimate or range of the effects to our results of operations until completion of the conversion.

We allocated more overhead costs to this segment during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses resulted in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses. Additionally, we made strategic investments during 2010 that resulted in higher expenses, and we expect this trend will continue in 2011.

We experienced a favorable decline in expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans during 2010 when compared to 2009, and this trend will continue in 2011. For additional information, see "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans."

Effective March 31, 2009, we transferred a closed block of business consisting of certain UL and VUL insurance products to a third party. During the fourth quarter of 2009, one of our insurance subsidiaries executed a separate agreement whereby we assumed the mortality risk associated with this business on a yearly-renewable basis. These transactions caused an approximate \$6 million

per quarter ongoing reduction in this segment's income from operations as a result of reductions in insurance fees and net investment income, partially offset by reductions in interest credited and benefits. The assumption of the mortality risk associated with this business on a yearly-renewable basis resulted in an approximate \$13 million per quarter ongoing increase in insurance premiums offset by an increase in benefits. The unfavorable effect to this segment's income from operations was partially offset by an approximate \$2 million per quarter ongoing increase to income from operations in Other Operations, as a result of having higher net investment income due to the transfer of invested assets from Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance. The transfer of invested assets from this segment was attributable to a reduction in capital as a result of the transfer of this business to a third party. These transactions caused a net \$4 million per quarter ongoing reduction to our consolidated net income. Effective April 1, 2010, the agreement to assume the mortality risk associated with this business on a yearly-renewable basis was terminated and replaced with an inter-company reinsurance agreement that effectively resulted in no change to the effect to income from operations discussed above and removed any effects to insurance premiums and benefits.

On January 1, 2011, we implemented a 65 basis point decrease in crediting rates on most interest-sensitive products not already at contractual guarantees, which is expected to reduce overall crediting rates by approximately 7 basis points. On January 1, 2010, we implemented a 20 basis point decrease in crediting rates on most interest-sensitive products not already at contractual guarantees, which reduced overall crediting rates by approximately 3 basis points. On March 1, 2009, we implemented a 15 basis point decrease in crediting rates on most interest-sensitive products not already at contractual guarantees, which reduced overall crediting rates by approximately 5 basis points. On June 1, 2008, we implemented a 10 basis point decrease in crediting rates on most interest-sensitive products not already at contractual guarantees, which reduced overall crediting rates by approximately 5 basis points.

We expect to manage the effects of spreads on near-term income from operations through portfolio management and, to a lesser extent, crediting rate actions, which assumes no significant changes in net flows into or out of our fixed accounts or other changes that may cause interest rate spreads to differ from our expectations. During the fourth quarter of 2010, we locked in Treasury rates by executing reverse treasury locks on \$1.0 billion of assets backing our secondary guarantee business at rates in excess of those required by product pricing. These locks will mature over 2012 to 2016. As mentioned above, during the third quarter of 2010, we lowered our new money investment yield assumption to reflect the then current new money rates and to approximate the forward curve for interest rates relevant at such time. The result was a drop in the current new money investment rate followed by a gradual annual recovery over eight years to a rate of 6.31%, 54 basis points below our previous ultimate long-term assumption of 6.85%. As a result of this assumption revision, we recorded a \$114 million, after-tax, unfavorable prospective unlocking. This also had the effect of lowering the projected EGPs for this segment, thereby increasing our rate of amortization, which results in higher DAC, VOBA and DFEL amortization and lower earnings for this segment. For information on interest rate spreads and the interest rate risk due to falling interest rates, see "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in interest rates and sustained low interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and changes in interest rates may also result in increased contract withdrawals" and "Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk – Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Businesses – Falling Rates."

Sales are not recorded as a component of revenues (other than for traditional products) and do not have a significant effect on current quarter income from operations but are indicators of future profitability. Generally, we have higher sales during the second half of the year with the fourth quarter being our strongest.

#### Insurance Premiums

Insurance premiums relate to traditional products and are a function of the rates priced into the product and the level of insurance in force. Insurance in force, in turn, is driven by sales, persistency and mortality experience.



## Insurance Fees

Details underlying insurance fees, sales, net flows, account values and in-force face amount (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Insurance Fees					
Mortality assessments	\$ 1,287	\$ 1,299	\$ 1,321	-1%	-2%
Expense assessments	844	759	707	11%	7%
Surrender charges	100	112	69	-11%	62%
DFEL:					
Deferrals	(472)	(439)	(379)	-8%	-16%
Amortization, net of interest:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	56	20	12	180%	67%
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	(56)	-	(25)	NM	100%
Retrospective unlocking	24	15	35	60%	-57%
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	151	135	140	12%	-4%
Total insurance fees	\$ 1,934	\$ 1,901	\$ 1,880	2%	1%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Sales by Product					
UL:					
Excluding MoneyGuard ®	\$ 353	\$ 397	\$ 525	-11%	-24%
MoneyGuard ®	108	67	50	61%	34%
Total UL	461	464	575	-1%	-19%
VUL	43	36	54	19%	-33%
COLI and BOLI	63	51	84	24%	-39%
Term	70	59	28	19%	111%
Total sales	\$ 637	\$ 610	\$ 741	4%	-18%

Net Flows					
Deposits	\$ 4,934	\$ 4,451	\$ 4,493	11%	-1%
Withdrawals and deaths	(1,877)	(2,030)	(1,671)	8%	-21%
Net flows	\$ 3,057	\$ 2,421	\$ 2,822	26%	-14%

Contract holder assessments	\$ 3,119	\$ 2,996	\$ 2,791	4%	7%
-----------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----	----

	As of December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Account Values					
UL (1)	\$ 26,199	\$ 24,994	\$ 25,199	5%	-1%
VUL (1)	5,108	4,468	4,251	14%	5%
Interest-sensitive whole life	2,278	2,282	2,303	0%	-1%

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Total account values	\$ 33,585	\$ 31,744	\$ 31,753	6%	0%
In-Force Face Amount					
UL and other (1)	\$ 297,837	\$ 291,879	\$ 310,198	2%	-6%
Term insurance (2)	265,154	248,726	235,023	7%	6%
Total in-force face amount	\$ 562,991	\$ 540,605	\$ 545,221	4%	-1%

(1) Effective with the March 31, 2009, transfer of certain life insurance policies to a third party, UL and VUL account values were reduced by \$938 million and \$640 million, respectively, and UL and other face amount in force was reduced by \$20.9 billion.



- (2) Excludes \$19.8 billion of face amount in force associated with our assumption of the mortality risk effective October 1, 2009, on the block of business mentioned in footnote one above.

Insurance fees relate only to interest-sensitive products and include mortality assessments, expense assessments (net of deferrals and amortization related to DFEL) and surrender charges. Mortality and expense assessments are deducted from our contract holders' account values. These amounts are a function of the rates priced into the product and premiums received, face amount in force and account values. Insurance in force, in turn, is driven by sales, persistency and mortality experience. In-force growth should be considered independently with respect to term products versus UL and other products, as term products have a lower profitability relative to face amount compared to interest-sensitive and other products.

Sales in the table above and as discussed above were reported as follows:

- UL (excluding linked-benefit products) and VUL (including COLI and BOLI) – first year commissionable premiums plus 5% of excess premiums received, including an adjustment for internal replacements of approximately 50% of commissionable premiums;
  - MoneyGuard® (our linked-benefit product) – 15% of premium deposits; and
  - Term – 100% of first year paid premiums.

UL and VUL products with secondary guarantees represented approximately 40% of interest-sensitive life insurance in force as of December 31, 2010, and approximately 52% of sales for 2010. Actuarial Guideline 37, or Variable Life Reserves for Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefits, and AG38 impose additional statutory reserve requirements for these products.

#### Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

Details underlying net investment income, interest credited (in millions) and our interest rate spread were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Net Investment Income					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	\$ 2,004	\$ 1,942	\$ 1,902	3%	2%
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (1)	30	12	16	150%	-25%
Alternative investments (2)	49	(69)	(11)	171%	NM
Surplus investments (3)	103	90	81	14%	11%
Total net investment income	\$ 2,186	\$ 1,975	\$ 1,988	11%	-1%
Interest Credited	\$ 1,198	\$ 1,184	\$ 1,202	1%	-1%

- (1) See “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for additional information.

(2) See “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information.

- (3) Represents net investment income on the required statutory surplus for this segment and includes the effect of investment income on alternative investments for such assets that are held in the portfolios supporting statutory surplus versus the portfolios supporting product liabilities.



	For the Years Ended December 31,			Basis Point Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Interest Rate Yields and Spread</b>					
Attributable to interest-sensitive products:					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	5.87%	5.93%	5.91%	(6)	2
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums	0.09%	0.04%	0.05%	5	(1)
Alternative investments	0.17%	-0.25%	-0.03%	42	(22)
Net investment income yield on reserves	6.13%	5.72%	5.93%	41	(21)
Interest rate credited to contract holders	4.16%	4.23%	4.33%	(7)	(10)
Interest rate spread	1.97%	1.49%	1.60%	48	(11)
Attributable to traditional products:					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	6.12%	5.99%	6.13%	13	(14)
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums	0.07%	0.01%	0.03%	6	(2)
Alternative investments	0.02%	0.00%	-0.03%	2	3
Net investment income yield on reserves	6.21%	6.00%	6.13%	21	(13)
	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Averages</b>					
Attributable to interest-sensitive products:					
Invested assets on reserves (1)	\$ 29,391	\$ 27,824	\$ 27,003	6%	3%
Account values - universal and whole life (1)	28,465	27,674	27,286	3%	1%
Attributable to traditional products:					
Invested assets on reserves	4,465	4,896	5,058	-9%	-3%

(1) We experienced declines in our average invested assets on reserves and account values attributable to interest-sensitive products subsequent to the transfer of certain life insurance policies to a third party, which reduced these balances by \$927 million and \$938 million, respectively, on March 31, 2009.

A portion of the investment income earned for this segment is credited to contract holder accounts. Invested assets will typically grow at a faster rate than account values because of the AG38 reserve requirements, which cause statutory reserves to grow at a faster rate than account values. Invested assets are based upon the statutory reserve liabilities and are therefore affected by various reserve adjustments, including capital transactions providing relief from AG38 reserve requirements, which leads to a transfer of invested assets from this segment to Other Operations for use in other corporate purposes. We expect to earn a spread between what we earn on the underlying general account investments and what we credit to our contract holders' accounts. The interest rate spread for this segment represents the excess of the yield on invested assets on reserves over the average crediting rate on interest-sensitive products. The yield on invested assets on reserves is calculated as net investment income, excluding amounts attributable to our surplus investments and reverse repurchase agreement interest expense, divided by average invested assets on reserves. In addition, we exclude the effect of earnings from affordable housing tax credit securities, which

is reflected as a reduction to federal income tax expense, from our spread calculations. We use our investment income to offset the earnings effect of the associated build of our policy reserves for traditional products. Commercial mortgage loan prepayments and bond makewhole premiums and investment income on alternative investments can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors, and, therefore, may contribute to investment income results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

See “Additional Information” above for a discussion of how we manage our spread compression risk, including locking in high-yielding assets during 2010 to support our business.

## Benefits

Details underlying benefits (dollars in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Benefits					
Death claims direct and assumed	\$ 2,538	\$ 2,260	\$ 2,177	12%	4%
Death claims ceded	(1,154)	(993)	(966)	-16%	-3%
Reserves released on death	(433)	(394)	(360)	-10%	-9%
Net death benefits	951	873	851	9%	3%
Change in secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	84	(3)	8	NM	NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	71	-	76	NM	-100%
Change in reserves, excluding unlocking	306	249	155	23%	61%
Other benefits (1)	323	255	282	27%	-10%
Total benefits	\$ 1,735	\$ 1,374	\$ 1,372	26%	0%
Death claims per \$1,000 of inforce	1.72	1.63	1.58	6%	3%

(1) Includes primarily traditional product changes in reserves and dividends.

Benefits for this segment includes claims incurred during the period in excess of the associated reserves for its interest-sensitive and traditional products. In addition, benefits includes the change in secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves. The reserve for secondary guarantees is affected by changes in expected future trends of expense assessments causing unlocking adjustments to this liability similar to DAC, VOBA and DFEL.

## Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses

Details underlying underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses					
Commissions	\$ 664	\$ 676	\$ 795	-2%	-15%
General and administrative expenses	451	451	422	0%	7%
Expenses associated with reserve financing	37	6	4	NM	50%
Taxes, licenses and fees	129	115	119	12%	-3%
Total expenses incurred	1,281	1,248	1,340	3%	-7%
DAC and VOBA deferrals	(915)	(900)	(1,016)	-2%	11%
Total expenses recognized before amortization	366	348	324	5%	7%
DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	129	33	34	291%	-3%
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	(155)	-	(49)	NM	100%
Retrospective unlocking	28	42	71	-33%	-41%

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	536	496	495	8%	0%
Other intangible amortization	4	4	4	0%	0%
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	\$ 908	\$ 923	\$ 879	-2%	5%
DAC and VOBA Deferrals As a percentage of sales	143.6%	147.5%	137.1%		

96

---

Commissions and other general and administrative expenses that vary with and are related primarily to the production of new business are deferred to the extent recoverable and for our interest-sensitive products are generally amortized over the lives of the contracts in relation to EGPs. For our traditional products, DAC and VOBA are amortized on either a straight-line basis or as a level percent of premium of the related contracts, depending on the block of business.

When comparing DAC and VOBA deferrals as a percentage of sales for 2010 and 2009, the decrease is primarily a result of incurred deferrable commissions declining at a rate higher than sales attributable primarily to changes in sales mix.

When comparing DAC and VOBA deferrals as a percentage of sales for 2009 and 2008, the increase is a result of incurred deferrable general and administrative expenses declining at a rate lower than sales.

### Insurance Solutions – Group Protection

#### Income (Loss) from Operations

Details underlying the results for Insurance Solutions – Group Protection (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Operating Revenues					
Insurance premiums	\$ 1,682	\$ 1,579	\$ 1,517	7%	4%
Net investment income	141	127	117	11%	9%
Other revenues and fees	8	7	6	14%	17%
Total operating revenues	1,831	1,713	1,640	7%	4%
Operating Expenses					
Interest credited	1	2	2	-50%	0%
Benefits	1,298	1,117	1,107	16%	1%
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	422	403	371	5%	9%
Total operating expenses	1,721	1,522	1,480	13%	3%
Income (loss) from operations before taxes	110	191	160	-42%	19%
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	38	67	56	-43%	20%
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 72	\$ 124	\$ 104	-42%	19%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Income (Loss) from Operations by Product Line					
Life	\$ 37	\$ 42	\$ 34	-12%	24%
Disability	34	79	64	-57%	23%
Dental	(4)	(2)	2	-100%	NM
Total non-medical	67	119	100	-44%	19%
Medical	5	5	4	0%	25%
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 72	\$ 124	\$ 104	-42%	19%

Comparison of 2010 to 2009

Income from operations for this segment decreased due to significantly unfavorable total non-medical loss ratio experience of 76.2% during 2010 that was above the high end of our historical expected range of 71% to 74% attributable primarily to unfavorable claims incidence and, to a lesser extent, termination experience on our long-term disability business and adverse mortality and morbidity experience on our life business.



The decrease in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Growth in insurance premiums driven by normal, organic business growth in our non-medical products and strong case persistency; and
- Higher net investment income driven by an increase in business and more favorable investment income on alternative investments within our surplus portfolio (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for more information).

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Income from operations for this segment increased due to the following:

- More favorable total non-medical loss ratio experience, slightly below the low end of our expected range;
- Growth in insurance premiums driven by normal, organic business growth in our non-medical products; and
- Higher net investment income driven by an increase in business and more favorable investment income on alternative investments within our surplus portfolio (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for more information).

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Higher underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses due primarily to the following:
  - § Higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans” for more information); and
  - § Higher incentive compensation accruals as a result of higher earnings and production performance relative to planned goals; partially offset by
  - § Higher costs of investments in strategic initiatives associated with realigning our marketing and distribution structure in 2008.

Additional Information

During 2010, our non-medical loss ratio was 76.2%, above what we experienced last year and above our long-term expectation of 71% to 74%. During 2010, we experienced significantly unfavorable long-term disability loss ratios due primarily to unfavorable incidence and, to a lesser extent, termination experience. The unfavorable claims incidence experience was spread across all industry sectors, and particularly in the financial, wholesale and retail sectors, which we expect will continue at least in the short term. Also, during 2010, we experienced significantly unfavorable life loss ratios due primarily to adverse mortality experience and adverse morbidity experience for life waiver of premium. In addition, we increased experience-rated refund reserves on one large case during the third quarter of 2010 as a result of adverse claims experience, which decreased income from operations by \$2 million. During 2009, we experienced exceptional short- and long-term disability loss ratios due primarily to favorable claims incidence and termination experience. In addition, we experienced favorable life loss ratios during 2009 due primarily to favorable mortality and life waiver experience.

We expect loss ratios to recover over time, but they are likely to remain above our long-term expectation well into 2011. For every one percent increase in the loss ratio above our expectation, we would expect an approximate annual \$10 million to \$12 million decrease to income from operations. Management compares trends in actual loss ratios to pricing expectations because group-underwriting risks change over time. We expect normal fluctuations in our composite non-medical loss ratios of this segment, as claims experience is inherently uncertain. We are taking actions to manage the effects of our loss ratio results, such as implementing price adjustments on our product lines upon renewal to better reflect our experience going forward. In addition, we have been focusing on managing the higher

volume of incidence through claims risk management, including contracting additional resources to help reduce caseloads and improve claim recovery experience so that incidence volumes do not detract from our claim termination efforts. We are also employing new tools to identify and support claimants who will return to work.

We reviewed the discount rate assumptions associated with our long-term disability claim reserves during the third quarter of 2010, which resulted in lowering the discount rate by 25 basis points and decreasing income from operations by \$2 million. For more information on the effects of current interest rates on our long-term disability claim reserves, see “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk – Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Businesses – Falling Rates.”

We are evaluating the potential effects that health care reform may have on the value and profitability of this segment’s products and income from operations.

We allocated more overhead costs to this segment during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses resulted in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses. Additionally, we made strategic investments during 2010 that resulted in higher expenses, and we expect this trend will continue in 2011.

We experienced a favorable decline in expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans during 2010 when compared to 2009, and this trend will continue in 2011. For additional information, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans.”

Sales relate to long-duration contracts sold to new contract holders and new programs sold to existing contract holders. We believe that the trend in sales is an important indicator of development of business in force over time.

We provide information about this segment’s operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below.

#### Insurance Premiums

Details underlying insurance premiums (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Insurance Premiums by Product Line					
Life	\$ 639	\$ 584	\$ 541	9%	8%
Disability	727	692	672	5%	3%
Dental	167	149	150	12%	-1%
Total non-medical	1,533	1,425	1,363	8%	5%
Medical	149	154	154	-3%	0%
Total insurance premiums	\$ 1,682	\$ 1,579	\$ 1,517	7%	4%
Sales	\$ 353	\$ 361	\$ 316	-2%	14%

Our cost of insurance and policy administration charges are embedded in the premiums charged to our customers. The premiums are a function of the rates priced into the product and our business in force. Business in force, in turn, is driven by sales and persistency experience. Sales in the table above are the combined annualized premiums for our life, disability and dental products.

The business represented as “medical” consists primarily of our non-core EXEC-U-CARE® product. This product provides an insured medical expense reimbursement vehicle to executives for non-covered health plan costs. This product produces significant revenues and benefits expenses for this segment but only a limited amount of income. Discontinuance of this product would significantly affect segment revenues, but not income (loss) from operations.

#### Net Investment Income

We use our investment income to offset the earnings effect of the associated build of our policy reserves, which are a function of our insurance premiums and the yields on our invested assets.



## Benefits and Interest Credited

Details underlying benefits and interest credited (in millions) and loss ratios by product line were as follows:

Benefits and Interest Credited by Product Line	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Life	\$ 484	\$ 420	\$ 401	15%	5%
Disability	548	443	456	24%	-3%
Dental	136	121	117	12%	3%
Total non-medical	1,168	984	974	19%	1%
Medical	131	135	135	-3%	0%
Total benefits and interest credited	\$ 1,299	\$ 1,119	\$ 1,109	16%	1%
Loss Ratios by Product Line					
Life	75.8%	72.0%	73.9%		
Disability	75.4%	64.0%	67.9%		
Dental	81.5%	81.7%	78.3%		
Total non-medical	76.2%	69.1%	71.4%		
Medical	87.6%	87.9%	87.6%		

Note: Loss ratios presented above are calculated using whole dollars instead of dollars rounded to millions.

## Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses

Details underlying underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Commissions	\$ 190	\$ 176	\$ 168	8%	5%
General and administrative expenses	208	204	186	2%	10%
Taxes, licenses and fees	39	36	39	8%	-8%
Total expenses incurred	437	416	393	5%	6%
DAC deferrals	(61)	(59)	(58)	-3%	-2%
Total expenses recognized before amortization	376	357	335	5%	7%
DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest	46	46	36	0%	28%
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	\$ 422	\$ 403	\$ 371	5%	9%
DAC Deferrals					
As a percentage of insurance premiums	3.6%	3.7%	3.8%		

Expenses, excluding broker commissions, that vary with and are related primarily to the production of new business are deferred to the extent recoverable and are amortized on either a straight-line basis or as a level percent of premium

of the related contracts depending on the block of business. Broker commissions, which vary with and are related to paid premiums, are expensed as incurred. The level of expenses is an important driver of profitability for this segment as group insurance contracts are offered within an environment that competes on the basis of price and service.

#### RESULTS OF OTHER OPERATIONS

Other Operations includes investments related to the excess capital in our insurance subsidiaries; investments in media properties and other corporate investments; benefit plan net liability; the unamortized deferred gain on indemnity reinsurance related to the sale of reinsurance to Swiss Re in 2001; the results of certain disability income business due to the rescission of a reinsurance

agreement with Swiss Re; the Institutional Pension business, which is a closed-block of pension business, the majority of which was sold on a group annuity basis, and is currently in run-off; and debt costs. We are actively managing our remaining radio station clusters to maximize performance and future value.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

#### Income (Loss) from Operations

Details underlying the results for Other Operations (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Operating Revenues					
Insurance premiums	\$ 2	\$ 4	\$ 4	-50%	0%
Net investment income	326	307	358	6%	-14%
Amortization of deferred gain on business sold through reinsurance	72	73	74	-1%	-1%
Media revenues (net)	75	68	85	10%	-20%
Other revenues and fees	12	13	11	-8%	18%
Total operating revenues	487	465	532	5%	-13%
Operating Expenses					
Interest credited	120	148	171	-19%	-13%
Benefits	139	258	113	-46%	128%
Media expenses	59	53	60	11%	-12%
Other expenses	176	125	179	41%	-30%
Interest and debt expense	286	261	281	10%	-7%
Total operating expenses	780	845	804	-8%	5%
Income (loss) from operations before taxes	(293)	(380)	(272)	23%	-40%
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	(107)	(143)	(89)	25%	-61%
Income (loss) from operations	\$ (186)	\$ (237)	\$ (183)	22%	-30%

#### Comparison of 2010 to 2009

Loss from operations for this segment decreased due primarily to the following:

- The \$64 million unfavorable effect in the first quarter of 2009 of the rescission of the reinsurance agreement on certain disability income business sold to Swiss Re as discussed in “Reinsurance” below, which resulted in pre-tax increases in benefits of \$78 million, interest credited of \$15 million and other expenses of \$5 million, partially offset by a \$34 million tax benefit, and unfavorable results of our run-off disability income business due primarily to an increase in reserves as a result of our review of the adequacy of reserves supporting this business and the write-off of certain receivables related to the rescission in the fourth quarter of 2009 of \$33 million; and
- Higher net investment income related primarily to higher invested assets driven by distributable earnings received from our insurance segments, issuances of common stock and preferred stock and proceeds from the sale of Lincoln UK and Delaware, partially offset by redemption of our Series B preferred stock and repurchase and cancellation of associated common stock warrants.

The decrease in loss from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Higher other expenses due primarily to:
  - § Settlement of Transamerica litigation matter (see Note 14 for more information);
  - § More favorable state income tax true-ups in 2009; and
  - § Higher branding expenses in 2010; partially offset by
  - § Restructuring charges for expense initiatives in 2009; and
  - § Higher merger-related expenses in 2009;
- Higher interest and debt expense as a result of higher average balances of outstanding debt in 2010; and
  - Unfavorable tax return true-ups recorded in the third quarter of 2010.



## Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Loss from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- The unfavorable effects of the Swiss Re rescission in 2009, discussed above;
- Lower net investment income related to our short-term liquidity strategy during the recent volatile markets that has reduced our portfolio yield and lower dividend income from our holdings of Bank of America common stock due to dividend rate cuts, partially offset by higher invested assets driven by distributable earnings received from our insurance segments, issuances of common stock, preferred stock and debt, and proceeds from the sale of Lincoln UK, partially offset by transfers to other segments for OTTI; and
- Lower media earnings related primarily to the general weakening of the U.S. economy causing substantial declines in revenues throughout the radio market.

The increase in loss from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower other expenses attributable primarily to:
  - § Higher merger-related expenses in 2008 as a result of higher system integration work related to our administrative systems and relocation costs associated with the move of our corporate office;
  - § Favorable state income tax true-ups in 2009; and
  - § Lower branding expenses in 2009 due to cost save initiatives; partially offset by
- § Restructuring charges of \$22 million in 2009 related to expense reduction initiatives that are discussed further below;
- Lower interest and debt expenses as a result of a decline in interest rates that affect our variable rate borrowings and lower average balances of outstanding debt in 2009; and
- More favorable tax items that affected the effective tax rate related primarily to changes in tax preferred investments.

We provide information about Other Operations' operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below.

## Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

We utilize an internal formula to determine the amount of capital that is allocated to our business segments. Investment income on capital in excess of the calculated amounts is reported in Other Operations. If regulations require increases in our insurance segments' statutory reserves and surplus, the amount of capital retained by Other Operations would decrease and net investment income would be negatively affected. In addition, as discussed below in "Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Alternative Sources of Liquidity," we maintain an inter-segment cash management program where certain subsidiaries can borrow from or lend money to the holding company to meet short-term borrowing needs. The inter-segment cash management program affects net investment income for Other Operations, as all inter-segment eliminations are reported within Other Operations.

Write-downs for OTTI decrease the recorded value of our invested assets owned by our business segments. These write-downs are not included in the income from operations of our operating segments. When impairment occurs, assets are transferred to the business segments' portfolios and will reduce the future net investment income for Other Operations, but should not have an effect on a consolidated basis unless the impairments are related to defaulted securities. Statutory reserve adjustments for our business segments can also cause allocations of invested assets between the affected segments and Other Operations.

The majority of our interest credited relates to our reinsurance operations sold to Swiss Re in 2001. A substantial amount of the business was sold through indemnity reinsurance transactions, which is still recorded in our consolidated financial statements. The interest credited corresponds to investment income earnings on the assets we continue to hold for this business. There is no effect to income or loss in Other Operations or on a consolidated basis for these amounts because interest earned on the blocks that continue to be reinsured is passed through to Swiss Re in the form of interest credited.

#### Benefits

Benefits are recognized when incurred for Institutional Pension products and disability income business.

## Other Expenses

Details underlying other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Other Expenses					
General and administrative expenses:					
Legal	\$ 80	\$ 14	\$ 9	NM	56%
Branding	27	18	33	50%	-45%
Retirement Income Security Ventures	11	9	11	22%	-18%
Other (1)	56	52	60	8%	-13%
Total general and administrative expenses	174	93	113	87%	-18%
Merger-related expenses (2)	9	17	52	-47%	-67%
Restructuring charges (recoveries) for expense initiatives (3)	(1)	34	8	NM	NM
Taxes, licenses and fees	(4)	(19)	7	79%	NM
Inter-segment reimbursement associated with reserve financing and LOC expenses (4)	(2)	-	(1)	NM	100%
Total other expenses	\$ 176	\$ 125	\$ 179	41%	-30%

(1) Includes expenses that are corporate in nature including charitable contributions, amortization of media intangible assets with a definite life, other expenses not allocated to our business segments and inter-segment expense eliminations.

(2) Includes the result of actions undertaken by us to eliminate duplicate operations and functions as a result of the Jefferson-Pilot merger along with costs related to the implementation of our new unified product portfolio and other initiatives. These actions were completed during 2010. Our cumulative integration expense was approximately \$225 million, pre-tax, which excluded amounts capitalized or recorded as goodwill.

(3) Includes expenses associated with a restructuring plan implemented starting in December 2008 in response to the economic downturn and sustained market volatility, which focused on reducing expenses. These actions were completed during 2009. Our cumulative pre-tax charges amounted to \$41 million for severance, benefits and related costs associated with the plan for workforce reduction and other restructuring actions.

(4) Consists of reimbursements to Other Operations from the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment for the use of proceeds from certain issuances of senior notes that were used as long-term structured solutions, net of expenses incurred by Other Operations for its use of LOCs. The inter-segment amounts are not reported on our Consolidated Statements of Income.

## Interest and Debt Expense

Our current level of interest expense may not be indicative of the future due to, among other things, the timing of the use of cash, the availability of funds from our inter-company cash management program and the future cost of capital. For additional information on our financing activities, see “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sources of Liquidity and Cash Flow – Financing Activities” below.

## REALIZED GAIN (LOSS)

Details underlying realized gain (loss), after-DAC (1) (in millions) were as follows:

Pre-Tax	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Operating realized gain (loss):					
Indexed annuity net derivatives results	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	NM	NM
GLB	68	54	38	26%	42%
Total operating realized gain (loss)	69	54	38	28%	42%
Realized gain (loss) related to certain investments	(180)	(538)	(928)	67%	42%
Realized gain (loss) related to certain derivative investments, including those associated with our consolidated VIEs, and trading securities	75	36	(109)	108%	133%
GLB net derivatives results	(7)	(502)	399	99%	NM
GDB derivatives results	(52)	(201)	58	74%	NM
Indexed annuity forward-starting option	18	4	7	NM	-43%
Realized gain (loss) on sale of subsidiaries/businesses	-	1	-	-100%	NM
Total excluded realized gain (loss)	(146)	(1,200)	(573)	88%	NM
Total realized gain (loss)	\$ (77)	\$ (1,146)	\$ (535)	93%	NM

(1) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and changes in other contract holder funds and funds withheld reinsurance liabilities.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

For information on our counterparty exposure see “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.”

## Comparison of 2010 to 2009

We had lower realized losses in 2010 as compared to 2009 due primarily to:

- More favorable hedge program performance;
- The decline in OTTI attributable primarily to general improvement in the credit markets;
- Gains on derivative instruments related to our consolidated VIEs and our credit default swaps; and
- An increase in the value of our trading securities.

Our GLB net derivatives results and GDB derivative results during 2010 were more favorable compared to 2009. The GLB net derivatives results during 2010 were relatively flat. The unfavorable GLB net derivatives results during 2009 were attributable primarily to the NPR component of the liability being unfavorable in 2009 attributable to a narrowing of credit spreads and a reduction in the overall level of the liability before the application of the NPR to the discount rate. See “GLB Net Derivatives Results” below for a discussion of how our NPR adjustment is determined. The unfavorable GDB derivative results during 2010 and 2009 were driven primarily by sporadic large movements in equities that caused non-linear changes in the liability relative to the derivatives utilized in the hedge program and by other items.

During 2010, we had changes in GLB reserves reflecting primarily updates to our prospective lapse assumption, which was significantly offset by a decision to shift the mapping of approximately 5% of variable annuity account values to blended equity and fixed maturity hedging indices, whereas previously we had been mapped almost exclusively to equity. Our associated prospective DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL unlocking in 2010 partially offset the GLB reserve prospective unlocking. During 2009, we had unfavorable prospective unlocking of assumptions associated with the GLB reserves related primarily to modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves and modifying our fund assumptions with regard to our hedged indices.

The favorable realized gain related to certain derivative investments and trading securities during 2010 was attributable primarily to spreads narrowing on corporate credit default swaps, which affected the derivative instruments related to our consolidated VIEs and our credit default swaps, and gains on our trading securities due to the decline in interest rates.

For information regarding realized gains (losses) related to certain investments, see “Consolidated Investments – Realized Gain (Loss) Related to Certain Investments” below.

#### Comparison of 2009 to 2008

GLB net derivatives results declined due primarily to the NPR component of the liability being unfavorable in 2009 attributable to a narrowing of credit spreads and a reduction in the overall level of the liability before the application of the NPR to the discount rate. See “GLB Net Derivatives Results” below for a discussion of how our NPR adjustment is determined. This decline was partially offset by significantly more favorable GLB hedge program performance in 2009 relative to 2008. In 2008, the result was largely driven by extremely volatile capital markets. At the end of the second quarter of 2009, we made a strategic decision to reduce our interest rate coverage as we prepared for the adoption of VACARVM, which was effective for statutory accounting on December 31, 2009. The reduced coverage on rates resulted in favorable performance for the second half of 2009; however, this strategic risk position was mitigated on December 31, 2009.

The 2009 unfavorable prospective unlocking for assumption changes related primarily to modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves and modifying our fund assumptions with regard to our hedged indices. The 2008 favorable unlocking for assumption changes related primarily to assumptions associated with the GLB reserves reflecting primarily updates to implied ultimate volatility.

The 2008 unfavorable prospective DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL unlocking related to the GLB reserves reflecting the effect of incorporating the change in EGPs resulting from the change in assumptions for the reserves discussed above into the DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL models.

The unfavorable decline in GDB derivative results was attributable primarily to the favorable equity markets in 2009 as compared to unfavorable in 2008.

The decline in the realized loss related to certain investments was attributable primarily to the lower OTTI due to general improvement in the credit markets and the change in the accounting for impairments under the Investments – Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the FASB ASC that was effective for impairments recorded after January 1, 2009. For a further explanation of this change, see Note 2. For more information on realized losses on certain investments, see “Consolidated Investments – Realized Loss Related to Investments” below.

The gain on certain derivative investments, including those associated with our consolidated VIEs, and trading securities during 2009 was due primarily to the rescission of the Swiss Re indemnity reinsurance agreement covering certain disability income business, whereby we released the embedded derivative liability related to the funds withheld nature of the reinsurance agreement. Prior to the rescission of the Swiss Re indemnity reinsurance agreement, the fluctuations in the fair value of the trading securities mostly offset the fair value fluctuations in the embedded derivative of the reinsurance agreement with the net difference reported as a realized gain or loss. The release of this embedded derivative liability increased net income by approximately \$31 million in the first quarter of 2009. Since the rescission, this line item is affected by market conditions as we now have trading securities that are no longer supporting an embedded derivative liability due to the rescission causing us to release that liability. Consequently, we may experience more volatility in the fluctuation of this component of realized gain or

loss in the future. During 2009, the value of these trading securities increased due to changes in interest rates. For more information, see "Reinsurance" below and Note 9.

## Operating Realized Gain (Loss)

Details underlying operating realized gain (loss) (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>Indexed Annuity Net Derivatives Results</b>					
Change in fair value of S&P 500 call options	\$ 114	\$ 84	\$ (204)	-35%	NM
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives	(111)	(82)	203	36%	141%
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL	(2)	(2)	1	0%	NM
Total indexed annuity net derivatives results	1	-	-	NM	NM
<b>GLB</b>					
Pre-DAC amount (1)	99	70	69	41%	1%
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL:					
Retrospective unlocking (2)	34	20	12	70%	67%
Amortization, excluding unlocking	(65)	(36)	(43)	-81%	16%
Total GLB	68	54	38	26%	42%
Total Operating Realized Gain (Loss)	\$ 69	\$ 54	\$ 38	28%	42%

(1) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.

(2) Related primarily to the emergence of gross profits.

Operating realized gain (loss) includes the following:

## Indexed Annuity Net Derivative Results

Indexed annuity net derivatives results represent the net difference between the change in the fair value of the S&P 500 call options that we hold and the change in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities of our indexed annuity products. The change in the fair value of the liability for the embedded derivative represents the amount that is credited to the indexed annuity contract.

## GLB

Our GWB, GIB and 4LATER® features have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves. We calculate the value of the embedded derivative reserve and the benefit reserve based on the specific characteristics of each GLB feature. For our GLBs that meet the definition of an embedded derivative under the Derivatives and Hedging Topic of the FASB ASC, we record them at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in realized gain (loss) on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). In bifurcating the embedded derivative, we attribute to the embedded derivative the portion of total fees collected from the contract holder that relates to the GLB riders (the “attributed fees”). These attributed fees represent the present value of future claims expected to be paid for the GLB at the inception of the contract (the “net valuation premium”) plus a margin that a theoretical market participant would include for risk/profit (the “risk/profit margin”).



We include the risk/profit margin portion of the GLB attributed rider fees in operating realized gain (loss) and include the net valuation premium of the GLB attributed rider fees in excluded realized gain (loss). For our Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segments, the excess of total fees collected from the contract holders over the GLB attributed rider fees is reported in insurance fees.

Realized Gain (Loss) Related to Certain Investments

See “Consolidated Investments – Realized Gain (Loss) Related to Certain Investments” below.

Realized Gain (Loss) Related to Certain Derivative Instruments, Including Those Associated With Our Consolidated VIEs, and Trading Securities

Realized gain (loss) related to certain derivative instruments, including those associated with our consolidated VIEs and trading securities represents changes in the fair values of certain derivative investments (including the credit default swaps and contingent forwards associated with consolidated VIEs), total return swaps (embedded derivatives that are theoretically included in our various

modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld reinsurance arrangements that have contractual returns related to various assets and liabilities associated with these arrangements) and trading securities.

See Note 4 for information about our consolidated VIEs.

#### GLB Net Derivatives Results and GDB Derivatives Results

Details underlying GLB net derivatives results and GDB derivative results (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
<b>GLB Net Derivatives Results</b>					
Net valuation premium, net of reinsurance	\$ 116	\$ 115	\$ 80	1%	44%
Change in reserves hedged:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	51	(258)	164	120%	NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	-	(9)	-	100%	NM
Other	203	3,064	(3,365)	-93%	191%
Change in market value of derivative assets	(363)	(2,934)	3,377	88%	NM
Hedge program effectiveness (ineffectiveness)	(109)	(137)	176	20%	NM
Change in reserves not hedged (NPR component)	14	(546)	536	103%	NM
Change in derivative assets not hedged (NPR component)	(5)	15	(20)	NM	175%
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	(15)	-	(46)	NM	100%
Retrospective unlocking (1)	(8)	(176)	252	95%	NM
Amortization, excluding unlocking	-	227	(546)	-100%	142%
Loss from the initial adoption of new accounting standards, after-DAC (2)(3)	-	-	(33)	NM	100%
Total GLB net derivatives results	\$ (7)	\$ (502)	\$ 399	99%	NM
<b>GDB Derivatives Results</b>					
Change in fair value of derivatives	(60)	(226)	75	73%	NM
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL:					
Retrospective unlocking (1)	(31)	(93)	25	67%	NM
Amortization, excluding unlocking	39	118	(42)	-67%	NM
Total GDB derivatives results	\$ (52)	\$ (201)	\$ 58	74%	NM

(1) Related primarily to the emergence of gross profits.

(2) This new accounting guidance was included in the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC.

(3) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.

#### GLB Net Derivatives Results

Our GLB net derivatives results are comprised of the net valuation premium, the change in the GLB embedded derivative reserves and the change in the fair value of the derivative instruments we own to hedge them, including the cost of purchasing the hedging instruments.

Our GWB, GIB and 4LATER® features have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves. We calculate the value of the embedded derivative reserve and the benefit reserve based on the specific characteristics of each GLB feature. We record the embedded derivative reserve on our GLBs at fair value on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We use derivative instruments to hedge our exposure to the risks and earnings volatility that result from changes in the GLB embedded derivatives reserves. The change in fair value of these derivative instruments is designed to generally offset the change in embedded derivative reserves. In the table above, we have presented the components of our GLB results, which can be volatile especially when sudden and significant changes in equity markets and/or interest rates occur. When we assess the effectiveness of our hedge program, we

exclude the effect of the change in the component of the embedded derivative reserves related to the required NPR. We do not attempt to hedge the change in the NPR component of the liability. As of December 31, 2010, the net effect of the NPR resulted in a \$19 million increase in the liability for our GLB embedded derivative reserves. The NPR factors affect the discount rate used in the calculation of the GLB embedded derivative reserve. Our methodology for calculating the NPR component of the embedded derivative reserve utilizes an extrapolated 30-year NPR spread curve applied to a series of expected cash flows over the expected life of the embedded derivative. Our cash flows consist of both expected fees to be received from contract holders and benefits to be paid, and these cash flows are different on a pre- and post- NPR basis. We utilize a model based on our holding company's credit default swap ("CDS") spreads adjusted for items, such as the liquidity of our holding company CDS. Because the guaranteed benefit liabilities are contained within our insurance subsidiaries, we apply items, such as the effect of our insurance subsidiaries' claims-paying ratings compared to holding company credit risk and the over-collateralization of insurance liabilities, in order to determine factors that are representative of a theoretical market participant's view of the NPR of the specific liability within our insurance subsidiaries.

Details underlying the NPR component and associated effect to our GLB embedded derivative reserves (dollars in millions) were as follows:

	As of December 31, 2010	As of September 30, 2010	As of June 30, 2010	As of March 31, 2010	As of December 31, 2009
10-year CDS spread	1.98%	2.55%	2.94%	1.64%	1.68%
NPR factor related to 10-year CDS spread	0.17%	0.30%	0.40%	0.11%	0.08%
Unadjusted embedded derivative liability	\$ 389	\$ 1,556	\$ 1,786	\$ 461	\$ 643

Estimating what the absolute amount of the NPR effect will be period to period is difficult due to the utilization of all cash flows and the shape of the spread curve. Currently, we estimate that if the NPR factors as of December 31, 2010, were to have been zero along all points on the spread curve, then the NPR offset to the unadjusted liability would have resulted in an unfavorable effect to net income of approximately \$60 million, pre-DAC and tax. Alternatively, if the NPR factors were 20 basis points higher along all points on the spread curve as of December 31, 2010, then there would have been a favorable effect to net income of approximately \$50 million, pre-DAC and tax. In the preceding two sentences, "DAC" refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL. Changing market conditions could cause this relationship to deviate significantly in future periods. Sensitivity within this range is primarily a result of volatility in our CDS spreads and the slope of the CDS spread term structure.

For additional information on our guaranteed benefits, see "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives – Guaranteed Living Benefits" above.

#### GDB Derivatives Results

Our GDB derivatives results represent the change in the fair value of the derivative instruments we own to hedge the change in our benefit ratio unlocking, excluding our expected cost of the hedging instruments.

#### Indexed Annuity Forward-Starting Option

Details underlying indexed annuity forward-starting option (in millions) were as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

				Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Indexed Annuity Forward-Starting Option					
Pre-DAC amounts: (1)					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ -	NM	NM
Other	28	7	(7)	300%	200%
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL					
	(12)	(3)	4	NM	NM
Gain from the initial adoption of new accounting standards, after-DAC (1)(2)					
	-	-	10	NM	-100%
Total	\$ 18	\$ 4	\$ 7	NM	-43%

(1) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.

(2) This new accounting guidance was included in the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC.

The liability for the forward-starting option reflects changes in the fair value of embedded derivative liabilities related to index call options we may purchase in the future to hedge contract holder index allocations applicable to future reset periods for our indexed annuity products accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC. These fair values represent an estimate of the cost of the options we will purchase in the future, discounted back to the date of the balance sheet, using current market indications of volatility and interest rates, which can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors and therefore can provide results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

### CONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS

Details underlying our consolidated investment balances (in millions) were as follows:

	As of December 31,		Percentage of Total Investments	
	2010	2009	As of December 31, 2010	2009
Investments				
AFS securities:				
Fixed maturity	\$ 68,030	\$ 60,818	81.6%	80.1%
VIEs' fixed maturity	584	-	0.7%	0.0%
Total fixed maturity	68,614	60,818	82.3%	80.1%
Equity	197	278	0.2%	0.4%
Trading securities	2,596	2,505	3.1%	3.3%
Mortgage loans on real estate	6,752	7,178	8.1%	9.5%
Real estate	202	174	0.3%	0.2%
Policy loans	2,865	2,898	3.5%	3.8%
Derivative investments	1,076	1,010	1.3%	1.3%
Alternative investments	750	696	0.9%	0.9%
Other investments	288	361	0.3%	0.5%
Total investments	\$ 83,340	\$ 75,918	100.0%	100.0%

#### Investment Objective

Invested assets are an integral part of our operations. We follow a balanced approach to investing for both current income and prudent risk management, with an emphasis on generating sufficient current income, net of income tax, to meet our obligations to customers, as well as other general liabilities. This balanced approach requires the evaluation of expected return and risk of each asset class utilized, while still meeting our income objectives. This approach is important to our asset-liability management because decisions can be made based upon both the economic and current investment income considerations affecting assets and liabilities. For a discussion on our risk management process, see "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

#### Investment Portfolio Composition and Diversification

Fundamental to our investment policy is diversification across asset classes. Our investment portfolio, excluding cash and invested cash, is composed of fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate, real estate (either wholly-owned or in joint ventures) and other long-term investments. We purchase investments for our segmented portfolios that have yield, duration and other characteristics that take into account the liabilities of the products being supported.

We have the ability to maintain our investment holdings throughout credit cycles because of our capital position, the long-term nature of our liabilities and the matching of our portfolios of investment assets with the liabilities of our various products.

#### Fixed Maturity and Equity Securities Portfolios

Fixed maturity securities and equity securities consist of portfolios classified as AFS and trading. Mortgage-backed and private securities are included in both AFS and trading portfolios.

Details underlying our fixed maturity and equity securities portfolios by industry classification (in millions) are presented in the tables below. These tables agree in total with the presentation of AFS securities in Note 5; however, the categories below represent a more detailed breakout of the AFS portfolio; therefore, the investment classifications listed below do not agree to the investment categories provided in Note 5.

	As of December 31, 2010				
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair	%
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	Fair
			and OTTI		Value
Fixed Maturity AFS Securities					
Industry corporate bonds:					
Financial services	\$ 8,377	\$ 438	\$ 148	\$ 8,667	12.7%
Basic industry	2,478	203	20	2,661	3.9%
Capital goods	3,425	243	45	3,623	5.3%
Communications	3,050	251	32	3,269	4.8%
Consumer cyclical	2,772	185	47	2,910	4.2%
Consumer non-cyclical	7,259	628	20	7,867	11.5%
Energy	4,533	428	17	4,944	7.2%
Technology	1,414	108	9	1,513	2.2%
Transportation	1,379	116	3	1,492	2.2%
Industrial other	884	53	10	927	1.4%
Utilities	9,800	708	62	10,446	15.2%
Corporate asset-backed securities ("ABS"):					
CDOs	128	22	8	142	0.2%
Commercial real estate ("CRE") CDOs	46	-	14	32	0.0%
Credit card	831	33	4	860	1.3%
Home equity	1,002	6	268	740	1.1%
Manufactured housing	110	3	4	109	0.2%
Auto loan	162	2	-	164	0.2%
Other	211	21	1	231	0.3%
Commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"):					
Non-agency backed	2,144	95	186	2,053	3.0%
Collateralized mortgage and other obligations ("CMOs"):					
Agency backed	3,975	308	1	4,282	6.2%
Non-agency backed	1,718	16	259	1,475	2.1%
Mortgage pass through securities ("MPTS"):					
Agency backed	2,978	106	5	3,079	4.5%
Non-agency backed	2	-	-	2	0.0%
Municipals:					
Taxable	3,219	27	94	3,152	4.6%
Tax-exempt	3	-	-	3	0.0%
Government and government agencies:					
United States	931	120	2	1,049	1.5%
Foreign	1,438	94	7	1,525	2.2%
Hybrid and redeemable preferred securities	1,476	56	135	1,397	2.0%
Total fixed maturity AFS securities	65,745	4,270	1,401	68,614	100.0%
Equity AFS Securities	179	25	7	197	
Total AFS securities	65,924	4,295	1,408	68,811	
Trading Securities (1)	2,340	297	41	2,596	
Total AFS and trading securities	\$ 68,264	\$ 4,592	\$ 1,449	\$ 71,407	





	As of December 31, 2009				
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses and OTTI	Fair Value	% Fair Value
Fixed Maturity AFS Securities					
Industry corporate bonds:					
Financial services	\$ 8,242	\$ 248	\$ 341	\$ 8,149	13.3%
Basic industry	2,304	116	57	2,363	3.9%
Capital goods	2,995	149	26	3,118	5.1%
Communications	2,817	200	51	2,966	4.9%
Consumer cyclical	2,589	141	66	2,664	4.4%
Consumer non-cyclical	5,568	380	16	5,932	9.8%
Energy	4,251	290	22	4,519	7.4%
Technology	1,121	76	4	1,193	2.0%
Transportation	1,224	85	15	1,294	2.1%
Industrial other	709	35	11	733	1.2%
Utilities	8,941	415	81	9,275	15.2%
ABS:					
CDOs and CLNs	735	11	296	450	0.7%
CRE CDOs	54	-	24	30	0.0%
Credit card	265	9	9	265	0.4%
Home equity	1,099	1	428	672	1.1%
Manufactured housing	122	1	11	112	0.2%
Auto loan	220	5	-	225	0.4%
Other	230	12	3	239	0.4%
CMBS:					
Non-agency backed	2,436	49	354	2,131	3.5%
CMOs:					
Agency backed	4,494	252	23	4,723	7.8%
Non-agency backed	1,697	5	454	1,248	2.1%
MPTS:					
Agency backed	2,912	64	14	2,962	4.9%
Non-agency backed	69	-	8	61	0.1%
Municipals:					
Taxable	1,900	13	53	1,860	3.1%
Tax-exempt	35	-	-	35	0.1%
Government and government agencies:					
United States	963	85	14	1,034	1.7%
Foreign	1,345	53	39	1,359	2.2%
Hybrid and redeemable preferred securities	1,420	36	250	1,206	2.0%
Total fixed maturity AFS securities	60,757	2,731	2,670	60,818	100.0%
Equity AFS Securities	382	21	125	278	
Total AFS securities	61,139	2,752	2,795	61,096	
Trading Securities (1)	2,342	243	80	2,505	
Total AFS and trading securities	\$ 63,481	\$ 2,995	\$ 2,875	\$ 63,601	

(1)

Certain of our trading securities support our modified coinsurance arrangements (“Modco”) and the investment results are passed directly to the reinsurers. Refer to the “Trading Securities” section for further details.

## AFS Securities

The general intent of the AFS accounting guidance is to reflect stockholders' equity as if unrealized gains and losses were actually recognized, and it is necessary that we consider all related accounting adjustments that would occur upon such a hypothetical recognition of unrealized gains and losses. Such related balance sheet effects include adjustments to the balances of DAC, VOBA, DFEL, other contract holder funds and deferred income taxes. Adjustments to each of these balances are charged or credited to accumulated OCI. For instance, DAC is adjusted upon the recognition of unrealized gains or losses because the amortization of DAC is based upon an assumed emergence of gross profits on certain insurance business. Deferred income tax balances are also adjusted because unrealized gains or losses do not affect actual taxes currently paid.

The quality of our AFS fixed maturity securities portfolio, as measured at estimated fair value and by the percentage of fixed maturity securities invested in various ratings categories, relative to the entire fixed maturity AFS security portfolio (in millions) was as follows:

NAIC Designation	Rating Agency Equivalent Designation	As of December 31, 2010			As of December 31, 2009		
		Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total
<b>Investment Grade Securities</b>							
1	Aaa / Aa / A	\$ 40,573	\$ 42,769	62.3%	\$ 35,041	\$ 35,924	59.0%
2	Baa	21,032	22,286	32.5%	20,294	20,725	34.1%
Total investment grade securities		61,605	65,055	94.8%	55,335	56,649	93.1%
<b>Below Investment Grade Securities</b>							
3	Ba	2,620	2,403	3.5%	3,221	2,695	4.5%
4	B	796	665	1.0%	1,470	948	1.6%
5	Caa and lower	476	325	0.5%	426	265	0.4%
6	In or near default	248	166	0.2%	305	261	0.4%
Total below investment grade securities		4,140	3,559	5.2%	5,422	4,169	6.9%
Total fixed maturity AFS securities		\$ 65,745	\$ 68,614	100.0%	\$ 60,757	\$ 60,818	100.0%
Total securities below investment grade as a percentage of total fixed maturity AFS securities		6.3%	5.2%		8.9%	6.9%	

Comparisons between the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") ratings and rating agency designations are published by the NAIC. The NAIC assigns securities quality ratings and uniform valuations, which are used by insurers when preparing their annual statements. The NAIC ratings are similar to the rating agency designations of the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations for marketable bonds. NAIC ratings 1 and 2 include bonds generally considered investment grade (rated Baa3 or higher by Moody's, or rated BBB- or higher by S&P and Fitch), by such ratings organizations. However, securities rated NAIC 1 and NAIC 2 could be below investment grade by the rating agencies, which is a result of the changes in the RBC rules for residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") that were effective December 31, 2010, for statutory reporting. NAIC ratings 3 through 6 include bonds generally considered below investment grade (rated Ba1 or lower by Moody's, or rated BB+ or lower by S&P and Fitch).

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, 79.8% and 80.3%, respectively, of the total publicly traded and private securities in an unrealized loss status were rated as investment grade. See Note 5 for maturity date information for our fixed maturity investment portfolio. Our gross unrealized losses on AFS securities as of December 31, 2010, decreased \$1.4 billion. This change was attributable to a decline in overall market yields, which was driven, in part, by improved credit fundamentals. As more fully described in Note 1, we regularly review our investment holdings for OTTI. We believe the unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2010, does not represent OTTI as we do not intend to sell these debt securities, it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the debt securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, the estimated future cash flows are equal to or greater than the amortized cost basis of the debt securities, or we have the ability and intent to hold the equity securities for a period of time sufficient for recovery. For further information on our AFS securities unrealized losses, see “Additional Details on our Unrealized Losses on AFS Securities” below.

Selected information for certain AFS securities in a gross unrealized loss position (dollars in millions) was as follows:

	As of December 31, 2010					
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI	Estimated Years until Call or Maturity	Estimated Average Years until Recovery	Subordination Current	Level Origination
CMBS	\$ 379	\$ 186	1 to 42	29	18.7%	16.3%
Hybrid and redeemable preferred securities	771	135	1 to 56	31	N/A	N/A

As provided in the table above, many of the securities in these categories are long-dated with some of the preferred securities being perpetual. This is purposeful as it matches the long-term nature of our liabilities associated with our life insurance and annuity products. See “Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” where we present information related to maturities of securities and the expected cash flows for rate sensitive liabilities and maturities of our holding company debt, which also demonstrates the long-term nature of the cash flows associated with these items. Because of this relationship, we do not believe it will be necessary to sell these securities before they recover or mature. For these securities, the estimated range and average period until recovery is the call or maturity period. It is difficult to predict or project when the securities will recover as it is dependent upon a number of factors including the overall economic climate. We do not believe it is necessary to impair these securities as long as the expected future cash flows are projected to be sufficient to recover the amortized cost of these securities.

The actual range and period until recovery could vary significantly depending on a variety of factors, many of which are out of our control. There are several items that could affect the length of the period until recovery, such as the pace of economic recovery, level of delinquencies, performance of the underlying collateral, changes in market interest rates, exposures to various industry or geographic conditions, market behavior and other market conditions.

We concluded that it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the fixed maturity AFS securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, that the estimated future cash flows are equal to or greater than the amortized cost basis of the debt securities, and that we have the ability to hold the equity AFS securities for a period of time sufficient for recovery. This conclusion is consistent with our asset-liability management process. Management considers the following as part of the evaluation:

- The current economic environment and market conditions;
  - Our business strategy and current business plans;
- The nature and type of security, including expected maturities and exposure to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risk;
- Our analysis of data from financial models and other internal and industry sources to evaluate the current effectiveness of our hedging and overall risk management strategies;
- The current and expected timing of contractual maturities of our assets and liabilities, expectations of prepayments on investments and expectations for surrenders and withdrawals of life insurance policies and annuity contracts;
  - The capital risk limits approved by management; and
  - Our current financial condition and liquidity demands.

To determine the recoverability of a debt security, we consider the facts and circumstances surrounding the underlying issuer including, but not limited to, the following:

- Historic and implied volatility of the security;

- Length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost;
- Adverse conditions specifically related to the security or to specific conditions in an industry or geographic area;
  - Failure, if any, of the issuer of the security to make scheduled payments; and
- Recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date.

As reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, we had \$86.1 billion of investments and cash, which exceeded the liabilities for our future obligations under insurance policies and contracts, net of amounts recoverable from reinsurers, which totaled \$77.4 billion as of December 31, 2010. If it were necessary to liquidate securities prior to maturity or call to meet cash flow needs, we would first look to those securities that are in an unrealized gain position, which had a fair value of \$56.2 billion, excluding consolidated VIEs in the amount of \$584 million, as of December 31, 2010, rather than selling securities in an unrealized loss position. The amount of cash that we have on hand at any point of time takes into account our liquidity needs in the future, other

sources of cash, such as the maturities of investments, interest and dividends we earn on our investments and the on-going cash flows from new and existing business.

See “AFS Securities – Evaluation for Recovery of Amortized Cost” in Note 1 and Note 5 for additional discussion.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the estimated fair value for all private securities was \$8.4 billion and \$8.0 billion, representing approximately 10% and 11%, respectively, of total invested assets.

For information regarding our VIEs’ fixed maturity securities, see Note 1 and Note 4. For discussion of our investments in CLNs as of December 31, 2009, see Note 1.

### Trading Securities

Trading securities, which in certain cases support reinsurance funds withheld and our Modco reinsurance agreements, are carried at estimated fair value and changes in estimated fair value are recorded in net income as they occur. Investment results for these certain portfolios, including gains and losses from sales, are passed directly to the reinsurers through the contractual terms of the reinsurance arrangements. Offsetting these amounts in certain cases are corresponding changes in fair value of the embedded derivative liability associated with the underlying reinsurance arrangement. See Notes 1 and 9 for more information regarding our accounting for Modco.

### Mortgage-Backed Securities (“MBS”) (Included in AFS and Trading Securities)

Our fixed maturity securities include MBS. These securities are subject to risks associated with variable prepayments. This may result in differences between the actual cash flow and maturity of these securities than that expected at the time of purchase. Securities that have an amortized cost greater than par and are backed by mortgages that prepay faster than expected will incur a reduction in yield or a loss. Those securities with an amortized cost lower than par that prepay faster than expected will generate an increase in yield or a gain. In addition, we may incur reinvestment risks if market yields are lower than the book yields earned on the securities. Prepayments occurring slower than expected have the opposite effect. We may incur reinvestment risks if market yields are higher than the book yields earned on the securities and we are forced to sell the securities. The degree to which a security is susceptible to either gains or losses is influenced by: the difference between its amortized cost and par; the relative sensitivity of the underlying mortgages backing the assets to prepayment in a changing interest rate environment; and the repayment priority of the securities in the overall securitization structure.

We limit the extent of our risk on MBS by prudently limiting exposure to the asset class, by generally avoiding the purchase of securities with a cost that significantly exceeds par, by purchasing securities backed by stable collateral and by concentrating on securities with enhanced priority in their trust structure. Such securities with reduced risk typically have a lower yield (but higher liquidity) than higher-risk MBS. At selected times, higher-risk securities may be purchased if they do not compromise the safety of the general portfolio. As of December 31, 2010, we did not have a significant amount of higher-risk, trust structured MBS. A significant amount of assets in our MBS portfolio are either guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises or are supported in the securitization structure by junior securities enabling the assets to achieve high investment grade status.

Our exposure to subprime mortgage lending is limited to investments in banks and other financial institutions that may be affected by subprime lending and direct investments in ABS CDOs, ABS and RMBS. Mortgage-related ABS are backed by home equity loans and RMBS are backed by residential mortgages. These securities are backed by loans that are characterized by borrowers of differing levels of creditworthiness: prime; Alt-A; and subprime. Prime lending is the origination of residential mortgage loans to customers with excellent credit profiles. Alt-A lending is the origination of residential mortgage loans to customers who have prime credit profiles but lack documentation to



substantiate income. Subprime lending is the origination of loans to customers with weak or impaired credit profiles.

The slowing U.S. housing market, increased interest rates for non-prime borrowers and relaxed underwriting standards from 2003 to 2007 have led to higher delinquency rates for residential mortgage loans and home equity loans. We expect delinquency rates and loss rates on residential mortgages and home equity loans to increase in the future; however, we continue to expect to receive payments in accordance with contractual terms for a significant amount of our securities, largely due to the seniority of the claims on the collateral of the securities that we own. The tranches of the securities will experience losses according to their seniority level with the least senior (or most junior), typically the unrated residual tranche, taking the initial loss. The credit ratings of our securities reflect the seniority of the securities that we own. Our RMBS had a market value of \$9.1 billion and an unrealized gain of \$169 million, or 2%, as of December 31, 2010.

The market value of AFS securities and trading securities backed by subprime loans was \$474 million and represented less than 1% of our total investment portfolio as of December 31, 2010. AFS securities represented \$461 million, or 97%, and trading securities represented \$13 million, or 3%, of the subprime exposure as of December 31, 2010. AFS securities and trading securities rated A or above represented 53% of the subprime investments and \$244 million in market value of our subprime investments was backed

by loans originating in 2005 and forward. The tables below summarize our investments in AFS securities backed by pools of residential mortgages (in millions):

Type	Fair Value as of December 31, 2010				
	Prime Agency	Prime/Non-Agency	Alt-A	Subprime	Total
CMOs and MPTS	\$ 7,361	\$ 1,000	\$ 477	\$ -	\$ 8,838
ABS home equity	5	-	274	461	740
Total by type (1)	\$ 7,366	\$ 1,000	\$ 751	\$ 461	\$ 9,578
Rating					
AAA	\$ 7,349	\$ 255	\$ 123	\$ 191	\$ 7,918
AA	-	31	89	31	151
A	17	9	54	16	96
BBB	-	48	7	44	99
BB and below	-	657	478	179	1,314
Total by rating (1)(2)	\$ 7,366	\$ 1,000	\$ 751	\$ 461	\$ 9,578
Origination Year					
2004 and prior	\$ 2,389	\$ 273	\$ 280	\$ 221	\$ 3,163
2005	860	185	224	177	1,446
2006	267	196	198	62	723
2007	1,151	346	49	-	1,546
2008	290	-	-	-	290
2009	1,367	-	-	1	1,368
2010	1,042	-	-	-	1,042
Total by origination year (1)	\$ 7,366	\$ 1,000	\$ 751	\$ 461	\$ 9,578
Total AFS securities					\$ 68,811
Total AFS RMBS as a percentage of total AFS securities					13.9%
Total prime/non-agency, Alt-A and subprime as a percentage of total AFS securities					3.2%

- (1) Does not include the fair value of trading securities totaling \$279 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$279 million in trading securities consisted of \$250 million prime, \$16 million Alt-A and \$13 million subprime.
- (2) For the table above, credit ratings shown in the document are based on ratings provided by the major credit rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and S&P) or are based on internal ratings for those securities where external ratings are not available. For securities where the ratings assigned by the major rating agencies are not equivalent, the second highest of the three ratings assigned is used.

Type	Amortized Cost as of December 31, 2010				
	Prime Agency	Prime/Non-Agency	Alt-A	Subprime	Total
CMOs and MPTS	\$ 6,954	\$ 1,135	\$ 584	\$ -	\$ 8,673
ABS home equity	5	-	352	645	1,002
Total by type (1)	\$ 6,959	\$ 1,135	\$ 936	\$ 645	\$ 9,675
Rating					
AAA	\$ 6,944	\$ 256	\$ 131	\$ 200	\$ 7,531
AA	-	37	102	34	173
A	15	10	61	24	110
BBB	-	53	7	61	121
BB and below	-	779	635	326	1,740
Total by rating (1)(2)	\$ 6,959	\$ 1,135	\$ 936	\$ 645	\$ 9,675
Origination Year					
2004 and prior	\$ 2,236	\$ 289	\$ 314	\$ 274	\$ 3,113
2005	804	221	275	239	1,539
2006	246	219	273	130	868
2007	1,043	406	74	-	1,523
2008	268	-	-	-	268
2009	1,331	-	-	2	1,333
2010	1,031	-	-	-	1,031
Total by origination year (1)	\$ 6,959	\$ 1,135	\$ 936	\$ 645	\$ 9,675
Total AFS securities					\$ 65,924
Total AFS RMBS as a percentage of total AFS securities					14.7%
Total prime/non-agency, Alt-A and subprime as a percentage of total AFS securities					4.1%

- (1) Does not include the amortized cost of trading securities totaling \$279 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$279 million in trading securities consisted of \$245 million prime, \$19 million Alt-A and \$15 million subprime.
- (2) For the table above, credit ratings shown in the document are based on ratings provided by the major credit rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and S&P) or are based on internal ratings for those securities where external ratings are not available. For securities where the ratings assigned by the major rating agencies are not equivalent, the second highest of the three ratings assigned is used.

None of these investments included any direct investments in subprime lenders or mortgages. We are not aware of material exposure to subprime loans in our alternative asset portfolio.



The following summarizes our investments in AFS securities backed by pools of consumer loan ABS (in millions):

Rating	Credit Card (1)		As of December 31, 2010 Auto Loans		Total	
	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost
AAA	\$ 838	\$ 809	\$ 164	\$ 162	\$ 1,002	\$ 971
BBB	22	22	-	-	22	22
Total by rating (1)(2)(3)	\$ 860	\$ 831	\$ 164	\$ 162	\$ 1,024	\$ 993
Total AFS securities					\$ 68,811	\$ 65,924
Total by rating as a percentage of total AFS securities					1.5%	1.5%

- (1) Includes amortized cost of \$570 million ABS credit card assets that were reclassified from the ABS CLN assets as a result of adopting ASU 2009-17 as of January 1, 2010. See Note 4 for additional information.
- (2) For the table above, credit ratings shown in the document are based on ratings provided by the major credit rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and S&P) or are based on internal ratings for those securities where external ratings are not available. For securities where the ratings assigned by the major rating agencies are not equivalent, the second highest of the three ratings assigned is used.
- (3) Does not include the fair value of trading securities totaling \$3 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$3 million in trading securities consisted of credit card securities.

The following summarizes our investments in AFS securities backed by pools of commercial mortgages (in millions):

Type	As of December 31, 2010							
	Multiple Property		Single Property		CRE CDOs		Total	
	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost
CMBS	\$ 1,983	\$ 2,035	\$ 70	\$ 109	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,053	\$ 2,144
CRE CDOs	-	-	-	-	32	46	32	46
Total by type (1)	\$ 1,983	\$ 2,035	\$ 70	\$ 109	\$ 32	\$ 46	\$ 2,085	\$ 2,190
Rating								
AAA	\$ 1,348	\$ 1,268	\$ 25	\$ 24	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,373	\$ 1,292
AA	259	257	9	10	-	-	268	267
A	140	146	12	13	5	5	157	164
BBB	96	100	5	6	14	18	115	124
BB and below	140	264	19	56	13	23	172	343
Total by rating (1)(2)	\$ 1,983	\$ 2,035	\$ 70	\$ 109	\$ 32	\$ 46	\$ 2,085	\$ 2,190
Origination Year								
2004 and prior	\$ 1,249	\$ 1,239	\$ 40	\$ 41	\$ 8	\$ 9	\$ 1,297	\$ 1,289
2005	378	367	28	60	12	14	418	441
2006	150	205	2	8	12	23	164	236
2007	154	170	-	-	-	-	154	170
2010	52	54	-	-	-	-	52	54
Total by origination year (1)	\$ 1,983	\$ 2,035	\$ 70	\$ 109	\$ 32	\$ 46	\$ 2,085	\$ 2,190
Total AFS securities							\$ 68,811	\$ 65,924
Total AFS CMBS as a percentage of total AFS securities							3.0%	3.3%

- (1) Does not include the fair value of trading securities totaling \$70 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$70 million in trading securities consisted of \$67 million CMBS and \$3 million CRE CDOs.
- (2) For the table above, credit ratings shown in the document are based on ratings provided by the major credit rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and S&P) or are based on internal ratings for those securities where external ratings are not available. For securities where the ratings assigned by the major rating agencies are not equivalent, the second highest of the three ratings assigned is used.

Monoline insurers provide guarantees on debt for issuers, often in the form of credit wraps, which enhance the credit of the issuer. Monoline insurers guarantee the timely repayment of bond principal and interest when a bond issuer defaults and generally provide credit enhancement for bond issues such as municipal bonds and private placements as well as other types and structures of securities. Our direct exposure represents our bond holdings of the actual Monoline insurers. Our insured bonds represent our holdings in bonds of other issuers that are insured by Monoline insurers.



The following summarizes our exposure to Monoline insurers (in millions):

Monoline Name	As of December 31, 2010					
	Direct Exposure (1)	Insured Bonds (2)	Total Amortized Cost	Total Unrealized Gain	Total Unrealized Loss and OTTI	Total Fair Value
AMBAC	\$ -	\$ 222	\$ 222	\$ 4	\$ 45	\$ 181
ASSURED GUARANTY LTD	30	-	30	-	18	12
FGIC	-	78	78	1	18	61
FSA	-	44	44	1	1	44
MBIA	12	142	154	13	13	154
MGIC	-	5	5	-	1	4
PMI GROUP INC	24	-	24	-	6	18
RADIAN GROUP INC	16	-	16	-	1	15
XL CAPITAL LTD	72	63	135	1	10	126
Total by Monoline insurer (3)	\$ 154	\$ 554	\$ 708	\$ 20	\$ 113	\$ 615
Total AFS securities			\$ 65,924	\$ 4,295	\$ 1,408	\$ 68,811
Total by Monoline insurer as a percentage of total AFS securities			1.1%	0.5%	8.0%	0.9%

(1) Additional direct exposure through credit default swaps with a notional value totaling \$20 million is excluded from this table.

(2) Additional indirect insured exposure through structured securities is excluded from this table.

(3) Does not include the fair value of trading securities totaling \$30 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$30 million in trading securities consisted of \$11 million of direct exposure and \$19 million of insured exposure. This table also excludes insured exposure totaling \$10 million for a guaranteed investment tax credit partnership.

#### Additional Details on our Unrealized Losses on AFS Securities

When considering unrealized gain and loss information, it is important to recognize that the information relates to the status of securities at a particular point in time and may not be indicative of the status of our investment portfolios subsequent to the balance sheet date. Further, because the timing of the recognition of realized investment gains and losses through the selection of which securities are sold is largely at management's discretion, it is important to consider the information provided below within the context of the overall unrealized gain or loss position of our investment portfolios. These are important considerations that should be included in any evaluation of the potential effect of unrealized loss securities on our future earnings.



We have no concentrations of issuers or guarantors of fixed maturity and equity securities. The composition by industry categories of securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring for potential changes in unrealized loss status (in millions) for our securities that we believe were most at risk of impairment, was as follows:

As of December 31, 2010

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI	% Unrealized Loss and OTTI
CMBS	\$ 11	3.2%	\$ 83	15.6%	\$ 72	37.7%
CMOs	150	43.8%	184	34.5%	34	17.8%
Banking	67	19.6%	98	18.4%	31	16.2%
Diversified manufacturing	38	11.1%	63	11.8%	25	13.1%
ABS	17	5.0%	34	6.4%	17	9.0%
Property and casualty insurers	42	12.3%	52	9.8%	10	5.2%
Gaming	12	3.5%	13	2.4%	1	0.5%
Industrial - other	5	1.5%	6	1.1%	1	0.5%
Total securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring	\$ 342	100.0%	\$ 533	100.0%	\$ 191	100.0%
Total AFS securities	\$ 68,811		\$ 65,924		\$ 1,408	
Total securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring as a percentage of total AFS securities	0.5%		0.8%		13.6%	

In addition, as discussed in Note 1, we perform detailed analysis of our AFS securities, including those presented above as well as other AFS securities. For selected information on these AFS securities in a gross unrealized loss position backed by pools of residential and commercial mortgages as of December 31, 2010, see Note 5.

As of December 31, 2009

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI	% Unrealized Loss and OTTI
CMOs	\$ 175	36.8%	\$ 280	37.3%	\$ 105	38.1%
ABS	31	6.5%	91	12.1%	60	21.8%
Banking	98	20.6%	137	18.2%	39	14.2%
Property and casualty insurers	42	8.8%	70	9.3%	28	10.2%
CMBS	3	0.6%	30	4.0%	27	9.8%
Non-captive diversified	57	12.0%	63	8.4%	6	2.2%
Non-agency	1	0.2%	4	0.5%	3	1.1%
Financial - other	29	6.1%	31	4.1%	2	0.7%
Industrial - other	4	0.8%	6	0.8%	2	0.7%
Gaming	21	4.4%	22	2.9%	1	0.4%
Airlines	2	0.4%	3	0.4%	1	0.4%
Electric	2	0.4%	3	0.4%	1	0.4%
Retailers	1	0.2%	1	0.1%	-	0.0%
Refining	5	1.0%	5	0.7%	-	0.0%
Chemicals	3	0.6%	3	0.4%	-	0.0%
Real estate investment trusts	1	0.2%	1	0.1%	-	0.0%
Lodging	2	0.4%	2	0.3%	-	0.0%
Total securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring	\$ 477	100.0%	\$ 752	100.0%	\$ 275	100.0%
Total AFS securities	\$ 61,096		\$ 61,139		\$ 2,795	
Total securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring as a percentage of total AFS securities	0.8%		1.2%		9.8%	

The composition by industry categories of all securities in unrealized loss status (in millions), was as follows:

As of December 31, 2010

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI	% Unrealized Loss and OTTI
ABS	\$ 843	7.0%	\$ 1,142	8.5%	\$ 299	21.1%
CMOs	1,164	9.7%	1,419	10.6%	255	18.1%
Banking	1,495	12.4%	1,693	12.6%	198	14.1%
CMBS	379	3.2%	565	4.2%	186	13.2%
Local authorities	1,933	16.1%	2,028	15.1%	95	6.7%
Property and casualty insurers	360	3.0%	409	3.0%	49	3.5%
Electric	760	6.3%	806	6.0%	46	3.3%
Diversified manufacturing	267	2.2%	301	2.2%	34	2.4%
Media - non-cable	238	2.0%	263	2.0%	25	1.8%
Life	287	2.4%	304	2.3%	17	1.2%
Retailers	172	1.4%	187	1.4%	15	1.1%
Gaming	153	1.3%	165	1.2%	12	0.9%
Paper	130	1.1%	142	1.1%	12	0.9%
Entertainment	193	1.6%	204	1.5%	11	0.8%
Industries with unrealized losses less than \$10 million	3,641	30.3%	3,795	28.3%	154	10.9%
Total by industry	\$ 12,015	100.0%	\$ 13,423	100.0%	\$ 1,408	100.0%
Total AFS securities	\$ 68,811		\$ 65,924		\$ 1,408	
Total by industry as a percentage of total AFS securities	17.5%		20.4%		100.0%	

As of December 31, 2009

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI	% Unrealized Loss and OTTI
ABS	\$ 1,290	7.8%	\$ 2,061	10.6%	\$ 771	27.6%
Banking	1,973	12.0%	2,462	12.8%	489	17.5%
CMOs	1,797	10.8%	2,266	11.8%	469	16.8%
CMBS	809	4.9%	1,163	6.0%	354	12.7%
Property and casualty insurers	621	3.7%	709	3.7%	88	3.1%
Electric	986	5.9%	1,037	5.3%	51	1.8%
Local authorities	927	5.6%	970	5.0%	43	1.5%
Media - non-cable	277	1.7%	318	1.6%	41	1.5%
Paper	217	1.3%	257	1.3%	40	1.4%
Financial - other	260	1.6%	292	1.5%	32	1.1%
Real estate investment trusts	434	2.6%	461	2.4%	27	1.0%
Non-captive diversified	211	1.3%	237	1.2%	26	0.9%
Life	298	1.8%	322	1.7%	24	0.9%
Gaming	194	1.2%	217	1.1%	23	0.8%
Entertainment	210	1.3%	230	1.2%	20	0.7%
Owned no guarantee	283	1.7%	302	1.6%	19	0.7%
Non-agency	102	0.6%	121	0.6%	19	0.7%
Sovereigns	174	1.0%	192	1.0%	18	0.6%
Pipelines	299	1.8%	314	1.6%	15	0.5%
Municipal	362	2.2%	376	1.9%	14	0.5%
Diversified manufacturing	310	1.9%	324	1.7%	14	0.5%
Distributors	337	2.0%	350	1.8%	13	0.5%
Non-captive consumer	115	0.7%	128	0.7%	13	0.5%
Metals and mining	248	1.5%	261	1.3%	13	0.5%
Conventional 30-year	829	5.0%	841	4.3%	12	0.4%
Industrial - other	156	0.9%	167	0.9%	11	0.4%
Retailers	152	0.9%	163	0.8%	11	0.4%
Industries with unrealized losses						
less than \$10 million	2,718	16.3%	2,843	14.6%	125	4.5%
Total by industry	\$ 16,589	100.0%	\$ 19,384	100.0%	\$ 2,795	100.0%
Total AFS securities	\$ 61,096		\$ 61,139		\$ 2,795	
Total by industry as a percentage of total AFS securities	27.2%		31.7%		100.0%	

## Unrealized Loss on Below Investment Grade AFS Fixed Maturity Securities

Gross unrealized losses on below investment grade AFS fixed maturity securities represented 47.4% and 47.5% of total gross unrealized losses on all AFS securities as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Generally, below investment grade fixed maturity securities are more likely than investment grade fixed maturity securities to develop credit concerns. The remaining 52.6% and 52.5% of the gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2010 and 2009,

respectively, related to investment grade AFS securities. The ratios of estimated fair value to amortized cost reflected in the table below were not necessarily indicative of the market value to amortized cost relationships for the securities throughout the entire time that the securities have been in an unrealized loss position nor are they necessarily indicative of these ratios subsequent to December 31, 2010.

Details underlying fixed maturity securities below investment grade and in an unrealized loss position (in millions) were as follows:

Aging Category	Ratio of Amortized Cost to Fair Value	As of December 31, 2010		
		Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI
90 days or less	Above 70%	\$ 388	\$ 422	\$ 34
	40% to 70%	78	128	50
	Below 40%	2	11	9
Total 90 days or less		468	561	93
91 days to 180 days	Above 70%	62	77	15
	40% to 70%	26	42	16
Total 91 to 180 days		88	119	31
181 days to 270 days	Above 70%	57	62	5
	40% to 70%	1	3	2
Total 181 days to 270 days		58	65	7
271 days to 1 year	Above 70%	129	160	31
	40% to 70%	43	72	29
Total 271 days to 1 year		172	232	60
Greater than 1 year	Above 70%	1,307	1,496	189
	40% to 70%	258	441	183
	Below 40%	21	125	104
Total greater than 1 year		1,586	2,062	476
Total below investment grade and in an unrealized loss position		\$ 2,372	\$ 3,039	\$ 667
Total AFS securities		\$ 68,811	\$ 65,924	\$ 1,408
Total below investment grade and in an unrealized loss position as a percentage of total AFS securities		3.4%	4.6%	47.4%

Aging Category	Ratio of Amortized Cost to Fair Value	As of December 31, 2009		
		Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI
90 days or less	Above 70%	\$ 192	\$ 211	\$ 19
	40% to 70%	163	307	144
	Below 40%	12	44	32
Total 90 days or less		367	562	195
91 days to 180 days	Above 70%	32	33	1
	Below 40%	2	6	4
Total 91 to 180 days		34	39	5
181 days to 270 days	Above 70%	18	25	7
	Below 40%	-	1	1
Total 181 days to 270 days		18	26	8
271 days to 1 year	Above 70%	51	60	9
	40% to 70%	18	30	12
	Below 40%	3	13	10
Total 271 days to 1 year		72	103	31
Greater than 1 year	Above 70%	1,776	2,023	247
	40% to 70%	802	1,403	601
	Below 40%	61	303	242
Total greater than 1 year		2,639	3,729	1,090
Total below investment grade and in an unrealized loss position		\$ 3,130	\$ 4,459	\$ 1,329
Total AFS securities		\$ 61,096	\$ 61,139	\$ 2,795
Total below investment grade and in an unrealized loss position as a percentage of total AFS securities		5.1%	7.3%	47.5%

#### Mortgage Loans on Real Estate

The following tables summarize key information on mortgage loans on real estate (in millions):

Credit Quality Indicator	As of December 31, 2010		As of December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Value	%	Carrying Value	%
Current	\$ 6,699	99.2%	\$ 7,142	99.5%
Delinquent and in foreclosure (1)	53	0.8%	36	0.5%

Total mortgage loans on real estate	\$ 6,752	100.0%	\$ 7,178	100.0%
-------------------------------------	----------	--------	----------	--------

(1) As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were 10 and 8 mortgage loans that were delinquent and in foreclosure, respectively.

125

---



	As of December 31,	
	2010	2009
By Segment		
Retirement Solutions:		
Annuities	\$ 1,172	\$ 1,193
Defined Contribution	920	925
Insurance Solutions:		
Life Insurance	3,856	4,185
Group Protection	285	310
Other Operations	519	565
Total mortgage loans on real estate	\$ 6,752	\$ 7,178

	As of December 31, 2010	
Allowance for Losses		
Balance as of beginning-of-year	\$ 22	
Additions	18	
Charge-offs, net of recoveries	(27)	
Balance as of end-of-year	\$ 13	

	As of December 31, 2010			As of December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Value	%	State Exposure	Carrying Value	%
Property Type			State Exposure		
Office building	\$ 2,296	34.0%	CA	\$ 1,451	21.4%
Industrial	1,804	26.7%	TX	586	8.6%
Retail	1,593	23.6%	MD	421	6.2%
Apartment	664	9.8%	VA	329	4.9%
Hotel/Motel	166	2.5%	FL	309	4.6%
Mixed use	130	1.9%	TN	301	4.5%
Other commercial	99	1.5%	WA	287	4.3%
Total	\$ 6,752	100.0%	NC	255	3.8%
			AZ	250	3.7%
			GA	230	3.4%
			PA	201	3.0%
Geographic Region			IL	200	3.0%
Pacific	\$ 1,841	27.2%	NV	188	2.8%
South Atlantic	1,677	24.8%	OH	184	2.7%
Mountain	619	9.2%	MN	155	2.3%
West South Central	619	9.2%	IN	153	2.3%
East North Central	613	9.1%	NJ	134	2.0%
East South Central	433	6.4%	SC	118	1.7%
Middle Atlantic	429	6.4%	MA	113	1.7%
West North Central	381	5.6%	OR	103	1.5%
New England	140	2.1%	Other states under 2%	784	11.6%
Total	\$ 6,752	100.0%	Total	\$ 6,752	100.0%



Origination Year	As of December 31, 2010		Future Principal Payments	As of December 31, 2010	
	Principal Amount	%		Principal Amount	%
2004 and prior	\$ 3,016	44.7%	2011	\$ 286	4.2%
2005	822	12.2%	2012	333	4.9%
2006	678	10.1%	2013	403	6.0%
2007	945	14.0%	2014	443	6.6%
2008	812	12.0%	2015	658	9.8%
2009	151	2.2%	2016 and thereafter	4,622	68.5%
2010	321	4.8%	Total	\$ 6,745	100.0%
Total	\$ 6,745	100.0%			

As discussed in “Current Market Conditions,” the global financial markets and credit market conditions experienced a period of extreme volatility and disruption that began in the second half of 2007 and continued and substantially increased throughout 2008 that led to a decrease in the overall liquidity and availability of capital in the mortgage loan market, and in particular a decrease in activity by securitization lenders. These conditions and the overall economic downturn put pressure on the fundamentals of mortgage loans through rising vacancies, falling rents and falling property values.

See Note 5 for information regarding our loan-to-value and debt-service coverage ratios.

There were nine impaired mortgage loans, or less than 1% of the total dollar amount of mortgage loans as of both December 31, 2010 and 2009. The carrying value on the mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent as of December 31, 2010, was \$48 million, or 1% of total mortgage loans. The total principal and interest past due on the mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent as of December 31, 2010, was \$5 million. The carrying value on the mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent as of December 31, 2009, was \$36 million, or less than 1% of total mortgage loans. The total principal and interest past due on the mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent as of December 31, 2009, was \$2 million. See Note 1 for more information regarding our accounting policy relating to the impairment of mortgage loans.

#### Alternative Investments

The carrying value of our consolidated alternative investments by business segment (in millions), which consisted primarily of investments in limited partnerships, was as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2010	2009
Retirement Solutions:		
Annuities	\$ 95	\$ 85
Defined Contribution	71	65
Insurance Solutions:		
Life Insurance	546	485
Group Protection	30	32
Other Operations	8	29
Total alternative investments	\$ 750	\$ 696



Income (loss) derived from our consolidated alternative investments by business segment (in millions) was as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2009	2009
Retirement Solutions:					
Annuities	\$ 14	\$ 3	\$ (7)	NM	143%
Defined Contribution	10	2	(8)	NM	125%
Insurance Solutions:					
Life Insurance	63	(66)	(16)	195%	NM
Group Protection	5	1	(2)	NM	150%
Other Operations	1	5	(1)	-80%	NM
Total alternative investments (1)	\$ 93	\$ (55)	\$ (34)	269%	-62%

(1) Includes net investment income on the alternative investments supporting the required statutory surplus of our insurance businesses.

The increase in our investment income on alternative investments presented in the table above when comparing 2010 to 2009 was due primarily to the unfavorable effect of audit adjustments in 2009 related to completion of 2008 calendar-year financial statement audits of the investees within our portfolio and overall improvement in the equity markets in 2010 specifically benefiting our hedge fund, venture capital and energy limited partnership holdings.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, alternative investments included investments in approximately 95 and 99 different partnerships, respectively, and the portfolio represented less than 1% of our overall invested assets. The partnerships do not represent off-balance sheet financing and generally involve several third-party partners. Some of our partnerships contain capital calls, which require us to contribute capital upon notification by the general partner. These capital calls are contemplated during the initial investment decision and are planned for well in advance of the call date. The capital calls are not material in size and are not material to our liquidity. Alternative investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting and are included in other investments on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As discussed in “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Investments – Valuation of Alternative Investments,” we update the carrying value of our alternative investment portfolio whenever audited financial statements of the investees for the preceding year become available. Net investment income (loss) derived from our consolidated alternative investments by segment (in millions) related to the effect of preceding year audit adjustments recorded during the indicated year at the investee was as follows:

	For the Years Ended	
	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Retirement Solutions:		
Annuities	\$ 2	\$ (3)
Defined Contribution	1	(3)
Insurance Solutions:		
Life Insurance	14	(65)
Group Protection	1	(1)
Total	\$ 18	\$ (72)



Income (loss), after-tax, derived from our consolidated alternative investments by class (in millions) related to the effect of preceding year audit adjustments recorded during the indicated year at the investee was as follows:

	For the Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Venture capital	\$ 13	\$ (49)
Real estate	(2)	(12)
Oil and gas	7	(11)
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI, and DFEL	(6)	26
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	(4)	16
Total	\$ 8	\$ (30)

We believe the December 31, 2008, audit adjustments recorded during 2009 for each of the asset classes, which were more significant than those recorded in 2010 or 2008, related primarily to the adoption of Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC and refinements to the valuation techniques or models used by the investees within our portfolio, which was impacted by the continued deterioration of the financial markets. During 2008, there was extreme volatility and disruption that affected the equity and credit markets and made it challenging to arrive at certain assumptions utilized in the investee company's valuation methodologies, which, in turn, determined the fair value of their respective portfolio companies. Specifically, our understanding is that subjective assumptions such as forward-looking growth projections, discount rates utilized to present value expected future cash flows generated from the portfolio companies, among other items, were the focus of heavy debate and ultimately the net asset values of these particular investee companies were revised to reflect the downward revised valuations.

#### Non-Income Producing Investments

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the carrying amount of fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and real estate that were non-income producing was \$17 million and \$38 million, respectively.

#### Net Investment Income

Details underlying net investment income (in millions) and our investment yield were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Net Investment Income					
Fixed maturity AFS securities	\$ 3,694	\$ 3,474	\$ 3,337	6%	4%
VIEs' fixed maturity AFS securities	14	-	-	NM	NM
Equity AFS securities	6	8	26	-25%	-69%
Trading securities	157	159	166	-1%	-4%
Mortgage loans on real estate	424	462	475	-8%	-3%
Real estate	24	18	20	33%	-10%
Standby real estate equity commitments	1	1	3	0%	-67%
Policy loans	169	172	179	-2%	-4%
Invested cash	7	15	52	-53%	-71%
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (1)	67	24	29	179%	-17%
Alternative investments (2)	93	(55)	(34)	269%	-62%

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Consent fees	8	5	5	60%	0%
Other investments	(3)	9	(3)	NM	NM
Investment income	4,661	4,292	4,255	9%	1%
Investment expense	(120)	(114)	(125)	-5%	9%
Net investment income	\$ 4,541	\$ 4,178	\$ 4,130	9%	1%

(1) See “Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for additional information.

(2) See “Alternative Investments” above for additional information.



	For the Years Ended December			Basis Point Change	
	2010	31, 2009	2008	Over Prior Year 2010	2009
<b>Interest Rate Yield</b>					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	5.63%	5.81%	5.90%	(18)	(9)
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums	0.09%	0.03%	0.04%	6	(1)
Alternative investments	0.12%	-0.08%	-0.05%	20	(3)
Consent fees	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	-	-
Net investment income yield on invested assets	5.85%	5.77%	5.90%	8	(13)

  

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Average invested assets at amortized cost	\$ 77,584	\$ 72,359	\$ 70,024	7%	3%

We earn investment income on our general account assets supporting fixed annuity, term life, whole life, UL, interest-sensitive whole life and fixed portion of defined contribution and VUL products. The profitability of our fixed annuity and life insurance products is affected by our ability to achieve target spreads, or margins, between the interest income earned on the general account assets and the interest credited to the contract holder on our average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable. Net investment income and the interest rate yield table each include commercial mortgage loan prepayments and bond makewhole premiums, alternative investments and contingent interest and standby real estate equity commitments. These items can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors and therefore can provide results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

The increase in net investment income when comparing 2010 to 2009 was attributable to more favorable investment income on surplus and alternative investments, higher prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (see “Alternative Investments” above and “Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for more information) and higher invested assets driven primarily by favorable net flows on fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable and to a lesser extent issuances of common stock and debt.

#### Standby Real Estate Equity Commitments

Historically, we have entered into standby commitments, which obligated us to purchase real estate at a specified cost if a third-party sale does not occur within approximately one year after construction is completed. These commitments were used by a developer to obtain a construction loan from an outside lender on favorable terms. In return for issuing the commitment, we received an annual fee and a percentage of the profit when the property is sold. Our expectation is that we will be obligated to fund those commitments that remain outstanding.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had standby real estate equity commitments totaling \$53 million and \$220 million, respectively. During 2010, we funded commitments of \$142 million and recorded a loss of \$8 million. During 2009, we recorded a \$69 million estimated allowance for loss on projects due to our belief that our requirement to fund the projects in accordance with the standby equity commitments would result in a probable future

loss. Certain of these commitments were funded during 2010 and the allowance for loss related to these commitments was \$13 million as of December 31, 2010.

During 2009, we suspended the practice of entering into new standby real estate commitments.

#### Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums

Prepayment and makewhole premiums are collected when borrowers elect to call or prepay their debt prior to the stated maturity. A prepayment or makewhole premium allows investors to attain the same yield as if the borrower made all scheduled interest payments until maturity. These premiums are designed to make investors indifferent to prepayment.

The increase in prepayment and makewhole premiums when comparing 2010 to 2009 was attributable primarily to a decline in interest rates coupled with improvements in the capital markets and real estate financing environment, which resulted in more refinancing activity and more prepayment income.

#### Realized Gain (Loss) Related to Certain Investments

The detail of the realized gain (loss) related to certain investments (in millions) was as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Fixed maturity AFS securities:					
Gross gains	\$ 107	\$ 161	\$ 60	-34%	168%
Gross losses	(248)	(709)	(1,119)	65%	37%
Equity AFS securities:					
Gross gains	9	6	1	50%	NM
Gross losses	(3)	(27)	(163)	89%	83%
Gain (loss) on other investments	(53)	(130)	37	59%	NM
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI, and DFEL and changes in other contract holder funds	8	161	256	-95%	-37%
Total realized gain (loss) related to certain investments	\$ (180)	\$ (538)	\$ (928)	67%	42%

Amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and changes in other contract holder funds reflect an assumption for an expected level of credit-related investment losses. When actual credit-related investment losses are realized, we recognize a true-up to our DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization and changes in other contract holder funds within realized loss reflecting the incremental effect of actual versus expected credit-related investment losses. These actual to expected amortization adjustments could create volatility in net realized gains and losses. The write-down for impairments includes both credit-related and interest-rate related impairments.

Realized gains and losses generally originate from asset sales to reposition the portfolio or to respond to product experience. During 2010 and 2009, we sold securities for gains and losses. In the process of evaluating whether a security with an unrealized loss reflects declines that are other-than-temporary, we consider our ability and intent to sell the security prior to a recovery of value. However, subsequent decisions on securities sales are made within the context of overall risk monitoring, assessing value relative to other comparable securities and overall portfolio maintenance. Although our portfolio managers may, at a given point in time, believe that the preferred course of action is to hold securities with unrealized losses that are considered temporary until such losses are recovered, the dynamic nature of portfolio management may result in a subsequent decision to sell. These subsequent decisions are consistent with the classification of our investment portfolio as AFS. We expect to continue to manage all non-trading invested assets within our portfolios in a manner that is consistent with the AFS classification.

We consider economic factors and circumstances within countries and industries where recent write-downs have occurred in our assessment of the status of securities we own of similarly situated issuers. While it is possible for realized or unrealized losses on a particular investment to affect other investments, our risk management has been designed to identify correlation risks and other risks inherent in managing an investment portfolio. Once identified, strategies and procedures are developed to effectively monitor and manage these risks. The areas of risk correlation that we pay particular attention to are risks that may be correlated within specific financial and business markets, risks within specific industries and risks associated with related parties.

When the detailed analysis by our credit analysts and investment portfolio managers leads us to the conclusion that a security's decline in fair value is other-than-temporary, the security is written down to estimated recovery value. In instances where declines are considered temporary, the security will continue to be carefully monitored. See "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" for additional information on our portfolio management strategy.

Details underlying write-downs taken as a result of OTTI (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Fixed Maturity Securities					
Corporate bonds	\$ (90)	\$ (214)	\$ (551)	58%	61%
MBS:					
CMOs	(65)	(250)	(303)	74%	17%
CMBS	(41)	-	(1)	NM	100%
ABS CDOs	(1)	(39)	(1)	97%	NM
Hybrid and redeemable preferred securities	(5)	(67)	(50)	93%	-34%
Total fixed maturity securities	(202)	(570)	(906)	65%	37%
Equity Securities					
Banking securities	-	(10)	(131)	100%	92%
Insurance securities	-	(8)	(1)	100%	NM
Other financial services securities	(3)	(3)	(24)	0%	88%
Other securities	-	(6)	(7)	100%	14%
Total equity securities	(3)	(27)	(163)	89%	83%
Gross OTTI recognized in net income (loss)	(205)	(597)	(1,069)	66%	44%
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL	53	205	218	-74%	-6%
Net OTTI recognized in net income (loss), pre-tax	\$ (152)	\$ (392)	\$ (851)	61%	54%
Portion of OTTI Recognized in OCI					
Gross OTTI recognized in OCI	\$ 98	\$ 357	\$ -	-73%	NM
Change in DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL	(10)	(82)	-	88%	NM
Net portion of OTTI recognized in OCI, pre-tax	\$ 88	\$ 275	\$ -	-68%	NM

When comparing 2010 to 2009, the decrease in write-downs for OTTI on our AFS securities was attributable primarily to overall improvement in the credit markets as compared to the prior year. Losses in 2010 were attributable primarily to certain corporate bond holdings within the entertainment, banking and diversified manufacturing sectors, as well as deteriorating fundamentals within the commercial and residential real estate market that affected select RMBS and CMBS holdings.

The \$303 million of impairments taken during 2010 were split between \$205 million of primarily credit related impairments and \$98 million of non-credit related impairments. The credit-related impairments were largely attributable to our RMBS and mortgage-related ABS holdings that have suffered from continued deterioration in housing fundamentals. The non-credit related impairments were incurred due to declines in values of securities for which we do not have an intent to sell or it is not more likely than not that we will sell the securities before recovery.

#### REINSURANCE

Our insurance companies cede insurance to other companies. The portion of risks exceeding each of our insurance companies' retention limits is reinsured with other insurers. We seek reinsurance coverage within the businesses that sell life insurance to limit our exposure to mortality losses and enhance our capital management. We utilize

inter-company reinsurance agreements to manage our statutory capital position as well as our hedge program for variable annuity guarantees. These inter-company agreements do not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Portions of our deferred annuity business have been reinsured on a modified coinsurance basis with other companies to limit our exposure to interest rate risks. As of December 31, 2010, the reserves associated with these reinsurance arrangements totaled \$935 million. To cover products other than life insurance, we acquire other insurance coverage with retentions and limits that management believes are appropriate for the circumstances. The consolidated financial statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" reflect premiums, benefits and DAC, net of insurance ceded. Our insurance companies remain liable if their reinsurers are unable to meet contractual obligations under applicable reinsurance agreements.

Our amounts recoverable from reinsurers represent receivables from and reserves ceded to reinsurers. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the amounts recoverable from reinsurers were \$6.5 billion and \$6.4 billion, respectively. We obtain reinsurance from a diverse group of reinsurers, and we monitor concentration and financial strength ratings of our principal reinsurers. Swiss Re represents our largest exposure. In 2001, we sold our reinsurance business to Swiss Re primarily through indemnity reinsurance arrangements. Because we are not relieved of our liability to the ceding companies for this business, the liabilities and obligations associated with the reinsured policies remain on our Consolidated Balance Sheets with a corresponding reinsurance receivable from Swiss Re, which totaled \$3.0 billion as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. Swiss Re has funded a trust with a balance of \$1.7 billion as of December 31, 2010, to support this business. As a result of Swiss Re's S&P financial strength rating dropping below AA-, Swiss Re funded an additional trust during the fourth quarter of 2009 with a balance of approximately \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2010, to support this business. In addition to various remedies that we would have in the event of a default by Swiss Re, we continue to hold assets in support of certain of the transferred reserves. These assets consist of those reported as trading securities and certain mortgage loans. Our liabilities for funds withheld and embedded derivatives included \$1.1 billion and \$78 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2010, related to the business sold to Swiss Re.

We sold a block of disability income business to Swiss Re as part of several indemnity reinsurance transactions executed in 2001, as discussed above. On January 24, 2009, an award of rescission was declared related to an ongoing dispute between us and Swiss Re for this treaty, which requires us to be fully responsible for all claims incurred and liabilities supporting this block as if the reinsurance treaty never existed. We completed a review of the adequacy of the reserves supporting the liabilities during the fourth quarter of 2009. See Note 14 for a discussion of the effects of the rescission.

During the third quarter of 2006, one of our reinsurers, Scottish Re Group Ltd ("Scottish Re"), received rating downgrades from various rating agencies. Of the \$578 million of fixed annuity business that we reinsure with Scottish Re, approximately 83% is reinsured through the use of modified coinsurance treaties, in which we possess the investments that support the reserves ceded to Scottish Re. For our annuity business ceded on a coinsurance basis, Scottish Re had previously established an irrevocable investment trust supporting the reserves for the benefit of LNC. In addition to fixed annuities, we have approximately \$161 million of policy liabilities on the life insurance business that we have reinsured with Scottish Re. Scottish Re continues to perform under its contractual responsibilities to us. We continue to evaluate the effect of these rating downgrades with respect to our existing exposures to Scottish Re. Based on current information, we do not believe that Scottish Re's rating downgrades will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity or financial condition.

See Note 9 for further information regarding reinsurance transactions.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors" and "Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language" above.

## REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL CONDITION

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

#### Sources of Liquidity and Cash Flow

Liquidity refers to the ability of an enterprise to generate adequate amounts of cash from its normal operations to meet cash requirements with a prudent margin of safety. Our principal sources of cash flow from operating activities are insurance premiums and fees and investment income, while sources of cash flows from investing activities result from maturities and sales of invested assets. Our operating activities provided cash of \$1.7 billion, \$937 million and \$1.3

billion in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. When considering our liquidity and cash flow, it is important to distinguish between the needs of our insurance subsidiaries and the needs of the holding company, LNC. As a holding company with no operations of its own, LNC derives its cash primarily from its operating subsidiaries.

The sources of liquidity of the holding company are principally comprised of dividends and interest payments from subsidiaries, augmented by holding company short-term investments, bank lines of credit and the ongoing availability of long-term public financing under an SEC-filed shelf registration statement. These sources of liquidity and cash flow support the general corporate needs of the holding company, including its common and preferred stock dividends, interest and debt service, funding of callable securities, securities repurchases, acquisitions and investment in core businesses. Our cash flows associated with collateral received from and posted with counterparties change as the market value of the underlying derivative contract changes. As the value of a derivative asset declines (or increases), the collateral required to be posted by our counterparties would also decline (or increase). Likewise, when the value of a derivative liability declines (or increases), the collateral we are required to post for our counterparties' benefit would also decline (or increase). During 2010, our payables for collateral on derivative investments increased by \$183 million, which was attributable primarily to increased notional amounts. For additional information, see "Credit Risk" in Note 6.



Details underlying the primary sources of our holding company cash flows (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Dividends from Subsidiaries					
The Lincoln National Life Insurance Company ("LNL")					
Lincoln Financial Media (1)	\$ 684	\$ 405	\$ 400	69%	1%
First Penn-Pacific	-	2	659	-100%	-100%
Lincoln UK	-	50	50	-100%	0%
Delaware Investments (2)	-	-	24	NM	-100%
Lincoln Barbados	390	10	51	NM	-80%
Other	-	300	-	-100%	NM
Loan Repayments and Interest from Subsidiary LNL interest on inter-company notes (3)	28	-	54	NM	-100%
	\$ 83	\$ 83	\$ 83	0%	0%
	\$ 1,185	\$ 850	\$ 1,321	39%	-36%
Other Cash Flow and Liquidity Items					
Net proceeds on common stock issuance	\$ 368	\$ 652	\$ -	-44%	NM
Lincoln UK sale proceeds	18	307	-	-94%	NM
Increase (decrease) in commercial paper, net	1	(216)	50	100%	NM
Net capital received from (paid for taxes on) stock option exercises and restricted stock	-	(1)	15	100%	NM
	\$ 387	\$ 742	\$ 65	-48%	NM

(1) During May of 2009, Lincoln Financial Media became a subsidiary of LNL. For 2008, amount includes proceeds on the sale of certain discontinued media operations. For more information, see Note 3.

(2) For 2010, amount includes proceeds on the sale of Delaware. For more information, see Note 3.

(3) Primarily represents interest on the holding company's \$1.3 billion in surplus note investments in LNL.

The table above focuses on significant and recurring cash flow items and excludes the effects of certain financing activities, namely the periodic issuance and retirement of debt and cash flows related to our inter-company cash management program (discussed below). Taxes have been eliminated from the analysis due to a tax sharing agreement among our primary subsidiaries resulting in a modest effect on net cash flows at the holding company. Also excluded from this analysis is the modest amount of investment income on short-term investments of the holding company. See "Part IV – Item 15(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules – Schedule II – Condensed Financial Information of Registrant" for the parent company cash flow statement.

#### Dividends from Subsidiaries

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain insurance department regulatory restrictions as to the transfer of funds and payment of dividends to the holding company. Under Indiana laws and regulations, our Indiana insurance subsidiaries, including our primary insurance subsidiary, LNL, may pay dividends to LNC without prior approval of the Indiana Insurance Commissioner (the "Commissioner") only from unassigned surplus or must receive prior approval of the Commissioner to pay a dividend if such dividend, along with all other dividends paid within the preceding 12 consecutive months, would exceed the statutory limitation. The current statutory limitation is the greater of 10% of the insurer's contract holders' surplus, as shown on its last annual statement on file with the Commissioner or the insurer's statutory net gain from operations for the previous 12 months, but in no event to exceed statutory unassigned surplus. As discussed in "Part I – Item 1. Business – Regulatory – Insurance Regulation" above, we may not consider the

benefit from the statutory accounting principles relating to our insurance subsidiaries' deferred tax assets in calculating available dividends. Indiana law gives the Commissioner broad discretion to disapprove requests for dividends in excess of these limits. New York, the state of domicile of our other major insurance subsidiary, Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York, has similar restrictions, except that in New York it is the lesser of 10% of surplus to contract holders as of the immediately preceding calendar year or net gain from operations for the immediately preceding calendar year, not including realized capital gains.

We expect our domestic insurance subsidiaries could pay dividends of approximately \$630 million in 2011 without prior approval from the respective state commissioners. The amount of surplus that our insurance subsidiaries could pay as dividends is constrained by the amount of surplus we hold to maintain our ratings, to provide an additional layer of margin for risk protection and for future investment in our businesses.

We maintain an investment portfolio of various holdings, types and maturities. These investments are subject to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risks. An extended disruption in the credit and capital markets could adversely affect LNC and its subsidiaries' ability to access sources of liquidity, and there can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all, in the current market environment. In addition, further OTTI could reduce our statutory surplus, leading to lower RBC ratios and potentially reducing future dividend capacity from our insurance subsidiaries.

#### Subsidiaries' Statutory Reserving and Surplus

The RBC ratio is an important factor in the determination of the credit and financial strength ratings of LNC and its subsidiaries, as a reduction in our insurance subsidiaries' surplus may affect their RBC ratios and dividend-paying capacity. For a discussion of RBC ratios, see "Part I – Item 1. Business – Regulatory – Insurance Regulation – Risk-Based Capital."

Statutory reserves established for variable annuity contracts and riders are sensitive to changes in the equity markets and are affected by the level of account values relative to the level of any guarantees, product design and reinsurance arrangements. As a result, the relationship between reserve changes and equity market performance is non-linear during any given reporting period. Market conditions greatly influence the ultimate capital required due to its effect on the valuation of reserves and derivative assets hedging these reserves. For example, if the level of the S&P 500 had been 10% lower as of December 31, 2010, we estimate that our RBC ratios would have declined by approximately 5% to 15% of RBC. Likewise, if the level of the S&P 500 had been 10% higher as of December 31, 2010, we estimate that our capital would have increased by approximately 5% to 15% of RBC. However, the magnitude of such sensitivities could vary significantly depending on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, contract holder activity, hedge positions, changes in interest rates and the rate or volatility of market movements.

Changes in equity markets may also affect the capital position of our captive reinsurance subsidiaries based on their hedge position at the time. We may decide to reallocate available capital between our insurance subsidiaries and captives, which would result in different RBC ratios for our insurance subsidiaries. In addition, changes in the equity markets can affect the value of our variable annuity separate accounts. When the market value of our separate account assets increases, the statutory surplus within our insurance subsidiaries also increases. Contrarily, when the market value of our separate account assets decreases, the statutory surplus within our insurance subsidiaries may also decrease, which may affect RBC ratios, and in the case of our separate account assets becoming less than the related product liabilities, we must allocate additional capital to fund the difference.

We continue to analyze the use of existing captive reinsurance structures, as well as additional third-party reinsurance arrangements, and our current hedging strategies relative to managing the effects of equity markets and interest rates on the statutory reserves, statutory capital and the dividend capacity of our life insurance subsidiaries.

For discussion of our strategies to lessen the burden of increased AG38 and XXX statutory reserves associated with certain UL products and other products with secondary guarantees subject to these statutory reserving requirements on our insurance subsidiaries, see "Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance – Income (Loss) from Operations – Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain."

#### Financing Activities

Although our subsidiaries currently generate adequate cash flow to meet the needs of our normal operations, periodically we may issue debt or equity securities to maintain ratings and increase liquidity, as well as to fund internal growth, acquisitions and the retirement of our debt and equity securities.

We currently have an effective shelf registration statement, which allows us to issue, in unlimited amounts, securities, including debt securities, preferred stock, common stock, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units, depository shares and trust preferred securities of our affiliated trusts.

On June 18, 2010, we closed on the issuance and sale of 14,137,615 shares of our common stock at a price to the public of \$27.25 per share and also completed the issuance and sale of \$250 million aggregate principal amount of our 4.30% senior notes due 2015. We used the proceeds of these offerings along with cash at the holding company to redeem our Series B preferred stock that we had issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with our participation in the CPP on June 30, 2010. As a result of redeeming the preferred stock, we accelerated the remaining accretion of the preferred stock issuance discount of \$131 million and recorded a corresponding charge to retained earnings and income (loss) available to common stockholders in the calculation of earnings (loss) per common share.

In addition, we completed the issuance and sale of \$500 million aggregate principal amount of our 7.00% senior notes due 2040, and we used the net proceeds from this offering as part of a long-term financing solution supporting the life reserves of certain UL products of our insurance subsidiaries. See “Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance – Income (Loss) from Operations – Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain” for more information. The net proceeds from these offerings were \$1.1 billion. For more information about our debt issuances, see Note 13.

On January 4, 2010, we closed on the sale of Delaware and received net of tax proceeds of approximately \$405 million. On October 1, 2009, we closed on the sale of Lincoln UK and received net of tax proceeds of \$307 million. As a result of post-closing adjustments related to this transaction, we received additional consideration of \$18 million, net of tax, during the second quarter of 2010. For more information on the disposition of these businesses, see "Acquisitions and Dispositions" and Note 3.

Details underlying debt and financing activities (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010					
	Beginning Balance	Issuance	Maturities and Repayments	Change in Fair Value Hedges	Other Changes (1)	Ending Balance
<b>Short-Term Debt</b>						
Commercial paper (2)	\$ 99	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ 100
Current maturities of long-term debt (3)	250	-	(250)	-	250	250
Other short-term debt (4)	1	-	-	-	-	1
Total short-term debt	\$ 350	\$ -	\$ (250)	\$ -	\$ 251	\$ 351
<b>Long-Term Debt</b>						
Senior notes	\$ 2,960	\$ 749	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ (246)	\$ 3,464
Bank borrowing	200	-	-	-	-	200
Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis ("FHLBI") advance	250	-	-	-	-	250
Junior subordinated debentures issued to affiliated trusts	155	-	(155)	-	-	-
Capital securities	1,485	-	-	-	-	1,485
Total long-term debt	\$ 5,050	\$ 749	\$ (155)	\$ 1	\$ (246)	\$ 5,399

- (1) Includes the net increase (decrease) in commercial paper, non-cash reclassification of long-term debt to current maturities of long-term debt, accretion of discounts and (amortization) of premiums.
- (2) During 2010, we had an average of \$100 million outstanding, a maximum amount outstanding of \$117 million at any time and a weighted average interest rate of 0.41%.
- (3) As of December 31, 2010, consisted of a 6.20% fixed rate senior note that matures in less than one year. As of December 31, 2009, we reported \$250 million in short-term debt that consisted of a floating rate senior note that matured on March 12, 2010 (see below for discussion of our funding the maturity of this note).
- (4) Consisted of advances from the FHLBI with a maturity of less than one year when made. During 2010, we had an average and maximum amount outstanding of \$1 million and a weighted average interest rate of 0.56%.

On December 15, 2010, we redeemed the aggregate principal amount of our junior subordinated debentures issued to affiliated trusts of \$155 million. For more information, see our Form 8-K filed on November 18, 2010, and Note 13.

On March 12, 2010, we funded the maturity of a \$250 million floating rate senior note with the majority of our proceeds from our \$300 million 6.25% senior offering in December 2009. Within the next two years, we have a \$250 million 6.20% fixed rate senior note maturing on December 15, 2011, and a \$300 million 5.65% fixed rate senior note maturing on August 27, 2012. The specific resources or combination of resources that we will use to meet these maturities will depend upon, among other things, the financial market conditions present at the time of maturity. As

of December 31, 2010, the holding company had \$582 million in cash and cash equivalents; however, as discussed below, it had a \$174 million receivable under the inter-company cash management program, partially offset by commercial paper outstanding of \$100 million.

We have not accounted for repurchase agreements, securities lending transactions, or other transactions involving the transfer of financial assets with an obligation to repurchase the transferred assets as sales and do have any other transactions involving the transfer of financial assets with an obligation to repurchase the transferred assets. For information about our collateralized financing transactions on our investments, see “Payables for Collateral on Investments” in Note 5.

Details underlying our credit facilities with a group of domestic and foreign banks (in millions) were as follows:

As of December 31, 2010				
	Expiration	Maximum	Borrowings	LOCs
	Date	Available	Outstanding	Issued
Credit Facilities				
Credit facility with the FHLBI (1)	N/A	\$ 630	\$ 350	N/A
364-day revolving credit facility	Jun-2011	500	-	-
Four-year revolving credit facility	Jun-2014	1,500	-	1,498
Ten-year LOC facility	Dec-2019	550	-	550
Total		\$ 3,180	\$ 350	\$ 2,048

- (1) We are allowed to borrow up to 20 times the amount of our common stock investment in the FHLBI. All borrowings from the FHLBI are required to be secured by certain investments owned by LNL. Our borrowing capacity under this credit facility does not have an expiration date and continues while our investment in the FHLBI common stock remains outstanding as long as LNL maintains a satisfactory level of creditworthiness and does not incur a material adverse change in its financial, business, regulatory or other areas that would materially affect its operations and viability. As of December 31, 2010, we had a \$250 million floating-rate term loan outstanding under the facility (classified within long-term debt on our Consolidated Balance Sheets) due June 20, 2017, which may be prepaid at any time. We also borrowed \$100 million under the facility (classified within payables for collateral on investments on our Consolidated Balance Sheets) at a rate of 0.65% that is due May 25, 2011.

Effective June 9, 2010, we entered into two revolving credit facilities with a syndicate of banks. One agreement (the “Four-Year Agreement”) allows for issuance of LOCs, as well as borrowings to finance any draws under the LOCs. The Four-Year Agreement is unsecured and has a commitment termination date of June 9, 2014. The Four-Year Agreement must be used primarily to provide LOCs in support of certain life insurance reserves. The second agreement (the “364-Day Agreement,” and together with the “Four-Year Agreement” the “credit facility”) allows for borrowing or issuance of LOCs and may be used for general corporate purposes. The 364-Day Agreement is unsecured and has a commitment termination date of June 8, 2011. LOCs issued under the credit facility may remain outstanding for one year following the applicable commitment termination date of each agreement. The LOCs support inter-company reinsurance transactions and specific treaties associated with our business sold through reinsurance. LOCs are used primarily to satisfy the state regulatory requirements of our domestic insurance companies for which reserve credit is provided by our affiliated reinsurance companies, as discussed above in “Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance – Income (Loss) from Operations – Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain,” and our domestic clients of the business sold through reinsurance.

The credit facility contains customary terms and conditions, including covenants restricting our ability to incur liens, merge or consolidate with another entity where we are not the surviving entity and dispose of all or substantially all of our assets. The credit facility also includes financial covenants including: maintenance of a minimum consolidated net worth (as defined in the facility) equal to the sum of \$9.2 billion plus fifty percent (50%) of the aggregate net proceeds of equity issuances received by us in accordance with the terms of the credit facility (other than net proceeds used to repay investments to the U.S. Treasury under the CPP); and a debt-to-capital ratio as defined in accordance with the credit facility not to exceed 0.35 to 1.00. Further, the credit facility contains customary events of default, subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods for certain of those events of default. The events of default include payment defaults, covenant defaults, material inaccuracies in representations and warranties, certain cross-defaults, bankruptcy and liquidation proceedings and other customary defaults. Upon an event of default, the

credit facility provides that, among other things, the commitments may be terminated and the loans then outstanding may be declared due and payable. As of December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

On December 31, 2009, LNC and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a reimbursement agreement with a third-party lender. Under this agreement, the lender issued a \$550 million 10-year irrevocable LOC for the benefit of our primary insurance subsidiary, LNL. The LOC supports an inter-company reinsurance agreement on term life insurance business. Pursuant to the terms of the reimbursement agreement, in the event amounts are drawn under the LOC by LNL, certain of our subsidiaries would be obligated to reimburse the lender for the amount of any such drawn amount (including interest thereon). Neither LNC nor LNL is liable to the lender to reimburse any drawn amounts (or interest thereon); however, LNC has agreed, pursuant to the terms of a fee letter with the lender, to be liable with certain of our subsidiaries for the payment of LOC fees. For more information, see “Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance – Income (Loss) from Operations – Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain” and our Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2010.

If current debt ratings and claims-paying ratings were downgraded in the future, terms in our derivative agreements may be triggered, which could negatively affect overall liquidity. For the majority of our counterparties, there is a termination event should the long-term senior debt ratings of LNC drop below BBB-/Baa3 (S&P/Moody’s). Our long-term senior debt held a rating of A-/Baa2



(S&P/Moody's) as of December 31, 2010. In addition, contractual selling agreements with intermediaries could be negatively affected, which could have an adverse effect on overall sales of annuities, life insurance and investment products. See "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – A decrease in the capital and surplus of our insurance subsidiaries may result in a downgrade to our credit and insurer financial strength ratings" and "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – A downgrade in our financial strength or credit ratings could limit our ability to market products, increase the number or value of policies being surrendered and/or hurt our relationships with creditors" for more information. See "Part I – Item 1. Business – Ratings" for additional information on our current bond ratings.

Management monitors the covenants associated with LNC's capital securities. If we fail to meet capital adequacy or net income and stockholders' equity levels (also referred to as "trigger events"), terms in the agreements may be triggered, which would require us to make interest payments in accordance with an alternative coupon satisfaction mechanism ("ACSM"). This would require us to use commercially reasonable efforts to pay interest in full on the capital securities with the net proceeds from sales of our common stock and warrants to purchase our common stock with an exercise price greater than the market price. We would have to utilize the ACSM until the trigger events above no longer existed. If we were required to utilize the ACSM and were successful in selling sufficient shares of common stock or warrants to satisfy the interest payment, we would dilute the current holders of our common stock. Furthermore, while a trigger event is occurring and if we do not pay accrued interest in full, we may not, among other things, pay dividends on or repurchase our capital stock. We have not triggered either the net income test or the overall stockholders' equity test looking forward to the quarters ending March 31, 2011, and June 30, 2011. For more information, see "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – We will be required to pay interest on our capital securities with proceeds from the issuance of qualifying securities if we fail to achieve capital adequacy or net income and stockholders' equity levels."

#### Alternative Sources of Liquidity

In order to manage our capital more efficiently, we have an inter-company cash management program where certain subsidiaries can lend to or borrow from the holding company to meet short-term borrowing needs. The cash management program is essentially a series of demand loans, which are permitted under applicable insurance laws, among LNC and its affiliates that reduces overall borrowing costs by allowing LNC and its subsidiaries to access internal resources instead of incurring third-party transaction costs. For our Indiana-domiciled insurance subsidiaries, the borrowing and lending limit is currently the lesser of 3% of the insurance company's admitted assets and 25% of its surplus, in both cases, as of its most recent year end.

The holding company did not borrow from the cash management program during 2010. There was no balance as of December 31, 2010. In addition, the holding company had an outstanding receivable of \$174 million from certain subsidiaries resulting from funds borrowed by the subsidiaries in excess of amounts placed by those subsidiaries in the inter-company cash management account as of December 31, 2010. Any increase (decrease) in either of these holding company cash management program payable balances results in an immediate and equal increase (decrease) to holding company cash and cash equivalents.

Our insurance subsidiaries, by virtue of their general account fixed income investment holdings, can access liquidity through securities lending programs and repurchase agreements. As of December 31, 2010, our insurance subsidiaries had securities with a carrying value of \$199 million out on loan under the securities lending program and \$280 million carrying value subject to reverse-repurchase agreements. The cash received in our securities lending program is typically invested in cash equivalents, short-term investments or fixed maturity securities.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors" and "Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language" above.

### Divestitures

For a discussion of our divestitures, see “Part I – Item 1. Business – Acquisitions and Dispositions,” “Acquisitions and Dispositions” and Note 3.

### Uses of Capital

Our principal uses of cash are to pay policy claims and benefits, operating expenses, commissions and taxes, to purchase new investments, to purchase reinsurance, to fund policy surrenders and withdrawals, to pay dividends to our stockholders and to repurchase our stock and debt securities.

### Return of Capital to Common Stockholders

One of the Company’s primary goals is to provide a return to our common stockholders through share price accretion, dividends and stock repurchases. In determining dividends, the Board takes into consideration items such as current and expected earnings, capital needs, rating agency considerations and requirements for financial flexibility.

Details underlying this activity (in millions, except per share data), were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Common dividends to stockholders	\$ 12	\$ 62	\$ 429	-81%	-86%
Repurchase and cancellation of common stock warrants	48	-	-	NM	NM
Repurchase of common stock	25	-	476	NM	-100%
Total cash returned to stockholders	\$ 85	\$ 62	\$ 905	37%	-93%
Number of shares issued	14.138	46.000	-	-70%	NM
Average price per share	\$ 26.09	\$ 14.34	\$ -	86%	NM
Number of shares repurchased	1.048	-	9.091	NM	-100%
Average price per share	\$ 23.87	\$ -	\$ 52.31	NM	-100%

Note: Average price per share is calculated using whole dollars instead of dollars rounded to millions.

On September 22, 2010, the U.S. Treasury closed an underwritten secondary public offering of 13,049,451 warrants issued in connection with our participation in the CPP, each representing the right to purchase one share of our common stock, no par value per share. The warrants have an exercise price of \$10.91, subject to adjustment, and expire on July 10, 2019, and are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "LNC WS." We did not receive any of the proceeds of the warrant offering; however, we paid \$48 million to purchase 2,899,159 warrants at auction, which were subsequently canceled.

On November 11, 2010, our Board of Directors approved an increase of the dividend on our common stock from \$0.01 to \$0.05 per share. Additionally, on November 11, 2010, we announced our plan to repurchase up to \$125 million of common stock under our security repurchase authorization. During 2010, we repurchased 1,048,289 shares at an average price of \$23.87 for a total cost of \$25 million.

#### Other Uses of Capital

In addition to the amounts in the table above in "Return of Capital to Common Stockholders," other uses of holding company cash flow (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
Debt service (interest paid)	\$ 281	\$ 241	\$ 280	17%	-14%
Capital contribution to subsidiaries	125	1,260	-	-90%	NM
Total	\$ 406	\$ 1,501	\$ 280	-73%	NM

The above table focuses on significant and recurring cash flow items and excludes the effects of certain financing activities, namely the periodic retirement of debt and cash flows related to our inter-company cash management account. Taxes have been eliminated from the analysis due to a tax sharing agreement among our primary subsidiaries resulting in a modest effect on net cash flows at the holding company.



## Contractual Obligations

Details underlying our future estimated cash payments for our contractual obligations (in millions) as of December 31, 2010, were as follows:

	Less Than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	More Than 5 Years	Total
Future contract benefits and other contract holder obligations (1)	\$ 14,974	\$ 28,470	\$ 24,818	\$ 83,848	\$ 152,110
Short-term debt	351	-	-	-	351
Long-term debt (2)	250	500	750	4,114	5,614
Reverse repurchase agreements	281	-	-	-	281
Operating leases	40	66	41	64	211
Stadium naming rights (3)	6	14	14	54	88
Outsourcing arrangements (4)	12	5	3	-	20
Retirement and other plans (5)	86	180	182	469	917
Totals	\$ 16,000	\$ 29,235	\$ 25,808	\$ 88,549	\$ 159,592

- (1) Includes various investment-type products with contractually scheduled maturities including single premium immediate annuities, group pension annuities, guaranteed interest contracts, structured settlements, pension closeouts and certain annuity contracts. Future contract benefits and other contract holder obligations also include benefit and claim liabilities, of which a significant portion represents policies and contracts that do not have stated contractual maturity dates and may not result in any future payment obligation. For these policies and contracts, we are not currently making payments and will not make payments in the future until the occurrence of an insurable event, such as death or disability; or the occurrence of a payment triggering event, such as a surrender of a policy or contract, which is outside of our control. We have made significant assumptions to determine the estimated undiscounted cash flows of these policies and contracts, which include mortality, morbidity, future lapse rates and interest crediting rates. Future contract benefits and other contract holder obligations have been calculated using a discount rate of 6%. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the amounts presented could materially differ from actual results.
- (2) Includes the maturities of the principal amounts of long-term debt, but excludes other items such as unamortized premiums and discounts and fair value hedges, which are included in long-term debt on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (3) Includes a maximum annual increase related to the Consumer Price Index.
- (4) Includes an information technology agreement and certain other outsourcing arrangements.
- (5) Includes anticipated funding for benefit payments for our retirement and postretirement plans through 2020 and known payments under deferred compensation arrangements.

In addition to the contractual commitments outlined in the table above, we periodically fund the employees' defined benefit plans, discussed in "Defined Benefit Contributions" below.

Due to the uncertainty with respect to the timing of future cash flows associated with our unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2010, we are unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authority. Therefore, \$401 million of unrecognized tax benefits and its associated interest have been excluded from the contractual obligations table above. See Note 7 for additional information.



## Contingencies and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or capital resources. Details underlying our contingent commitments and off-balance sheet arrangements (in millions) as of December 31, 2010, were as follows:

	Amount of Commitment Expiring per Period				Total Amount Committed
	Less Than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	After 5 Years	
Bank lines of credit	\$ 500	\$ -	\$ 1,500	\$ 830	\$ 2,830
Investment commitments	616	189	49	-	854
Standby commitments to purchase real estate upon completion and leasing (1)	53	-	-	-	53
Media commitments (2)	15	16	-	-	31