WILMINGTON TRUST CORP Form 10-Q November 09, 2009

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

**DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

Or

O	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

#### Commission File Number: 1-14659 WILMINGTON TRUST CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 51-0328154

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Rodney Square North, 1100 North Market Street,

Wilmington, Delaware

19890

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(302) 651-1000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

#### None

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\flat$  No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding as of September 30, 2009

Common stock Par Value \$1.00

69,389,934 shares

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1 Financial Statements (unaudited)	
Consolidated Statements of Condition	1
Consolidated Statements of Income	3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	9
Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	66
Company overview	66
Results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009	67
Regional Banking	81
Net interest income and net interest margin	95
Noninterest income	103
Corporate Client Services	104
Wealth Advisory Services	107
Assets under management and administration	110
Affiliate money managers	111
Noninterest expense	114
<u>Capital resources</u>	117
Liquidity and funding	125
Asset quality	131
Derivatives, hedging instruments, other off-balance-sheet arrangements, and other contractual obligations	149
Other information	152

Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	154
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	161
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	162
Item 1A Risk Factors	162
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	162
Item 3 Defaults upon Senior Securities	164
Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	164
Item 5 Other Information	164
Item 6 Exhibits	164

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION (UNAUDITED)

AGGENTIC	-	otember 30, 2009 (In millio share a	2	_
ASSETS	ф	220.6	ф	200.4
Cash and due from banks	\$	229.6	\$	290.4
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks		123.7		141.0
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell		65.9		45.3
Investment securities available for sale:		10.7		41.4
U.S. Treasury securities		10.7		41.4
Government agency securities		161.5		463.0
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		5.2		6.2
Mortgage-backed securities		276.5		660.3
Other securities		39.0		39.8
Total investment securities available for sale		492.9		1,210.7
Investment securities held to maturity:				
Government agency securities		0.5		0.5
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		0.5		0.7
Mortgage-backed securities				0.2
Other securities		114.8		161.2
Total investment securities held to maturity		115.8		162.6
Total investment securities		608.7		1,373.3
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost		26.7		20.0
Loans: Commercial, financial, and agricultural		2,644.9		2,966.3
Real estate construction		1,950.7		1,923.8
Commercial mortgage		2,075.0		1,870.2
Total commercial loans		6,670.6		6,760.3
Residential mortgage		428.2		571.2
Consumer		1,485.5		1,732.9
Loans secured with investments		436.9		554.7
Total retail loans		2,350.6		2,858.8
Total loans, net of unearned income of \$6.0 in 2009 and \$5.6 in 2008		9,021.2		9,619.1

Reserve for loan losses	(201.8)	(157.1)
Net loans	8,819.4	9,462.0
Premises and equipment, net	149.1	152.0
Goodwill, net of accumulated amortization of \$29.8 in 2009 and 2008	363.1	355.6
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$47.4 in 2009 and		
\$39.6 in 2008	42.3	47.0
Accrued interest receivable	65.6	82.0
Other assets	379.7	350.3
Total assets	\$ 10,873.8	\$ 12,318.9

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

LIABH ITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS FOLLTW	Se	eptember 30, 2009 (In millio share a	ons, ex	_
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY Deposits:				
Noninterest-bearing demand Interest-bearing:	\$	1,041.6	\$	1,231.7
Savings		918.5		815.7
Interest-bearing demand		3,352.8		2,632.9
Certificates under \$100,000		1,031.8		1,072.5
Local certificates \$100,000 and over		161.6		230.7
Total core deposits		6,506.3		5,983.5
National brokered certificates		922.7		2,432.9
Total deposits		7,429.0		8,416.4
Short-term borrowings: Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase U.S. Treasury demand deposits Line of credit		1,266.1		1,590.8 6.4 20.0
Total short-term borrowings		1,266.1		1,617.2
Accrued interest payable		67.4		71.2
Other liabilities		326.0		411.2
Long-term debt		470.4		468.8
Total liabilities		9,558.9		10,984.8
Stockholders equity: Wilmington Trust stockholders equity: Preferred stock: \$1.00 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized, 330,000 5%		222.9		221.5
cumulative shares issued and outstanding		322.8		321.5
Common stock: \$1.00 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares, issued 78,528,346 shares		78.5		78.5
Capital surplus		213.5		216.4
Retained earnings		1,118.0		1,103.7
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(123.0)		(84.5)
•				
Total contributed capital and retained earnings Less: treasury stock: 9,138,412 shares in 2009 and 9,414,898 shares in 2008, at		1,609.8		1,635.6
cost		(295.4)		(301.7)

Total Wilmington Trust stockholders equity Noncontrolling interest	1,314.4 0.5	1,333.9 0.2
Total stockholders equity	1,314.9	1,334.1
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 10,873.8	\$ 12,318.9
See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements		

(In millions, except share amounts)  NET INTEREST INCOME  Interest and fees on loans \$ 98.9 \$ 133.1 \$ 304.6 \$ 403.5  Interest and dividends on investment securities:  Taxable interest \$ 6.8 17.5 27.8 56.5	
Interest and fees on loans \$ 98.9 \$ 133.1 \$ 304.6 \$ 403.5 Interest and dividends on investment securities:	
Interest and dividends on investment securities:	
	5
Tayable interest 6.8 17.5 27.8 56.1	
1 dadole interest 0.0 17.5 27.0 50.	1
Tax-exempt interest 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.3	3
Dividends 0.3 0.5 1.1 2.1	1
Interest on deposits in other banks 0.1 0.5 0.3	9
Interest on federal funds sold and securities	
purchased under agreements to resell 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.7	7
Dividends on Federal Home Loan Bank and	
Federal Reserve Bank stock 0.2 0.3 0.3	7
Total interest income 106.3 152.1 334.7 464.3	3
Interest on deposits 17.3 43.2 66.4 143.4	4
Interest on short-term borrowings 0.6 9.5 3.0 36.9	9
Interest on long-term debt 8.4 8.3 25.1 20.9	9
Total interest expense 26.3 61.0 94.5 201.2	2
Net interest income 80.0 91.1 240.2 263.	1
Provision for loan losses (38.7) (19.6) (122.2) (48.6)	0)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses 41.3 71.5 118.0 215.3	1
NONINTEREST INCOME	
Advisory fees:	
Wealth Advisory Services:	
Trust and investment advisory fees 33.5 39.3 96.4 118.7	
Mutual fund fees 2.4 6.8 15.1 19.6	
Planning and other services 10.0 11.2 31.2 32.5	5
Total Wealth Advisory Services 45.9 57.3 142.7 170.8	8
Corporate Client Services:	
Capital markets services 15.2 11.9 39.5 35.6	6
Entity management services 8.3 7.7 24.5 24.2	2
Retirement services 16.7 11.3 49.4 22.0	0
Investment/cash management services 3.7 3.5 11.2 10.3	3

Edgar Filing: WILMINGTON TRUST CORP - Form 10-Q

Total Corporate Client Services	43.9	34.4	124.6	92.1
Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn	5.3	3.8	13.3	13.3
Roxbury Capital Management	(0.6)	0.4	(2.0)	(0.4)
Total advisory fees	94.5	95.9	278.6	275.8
Amortization of affiliate intangibles	(2.1)	(2.2)	(6.5)	(5.4)
Total advisory fees after amortization of affiliate				
intangibles	92.4	93.7	272.1	270.4
Service charges on deposit accounts	8.1	7.7	23.5	22.7
Loan fees and late charges	2.0	2.1	6.3	6.6
Card fees	2.4	2.7	7.2	7.2
Other noninterest income	0.8	1.3	4.7	9.0
Securities gains, net of losses	1.5		13.6	0.1
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(57.5)	(19.7)	(129.7)	(32.3)
Amount of loss recognized in other comprehensive	( )	( )	(	( )
income (before taxes)	19.4		63.7	
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses				
recognized in income	(38.1)	(19.7)	(66.0)	(32.3)
Total noninterest income	69.1	87.8	261.4	283.7
Net interest and noninterest income	\$ 110.4	\$ 159.3	\$ 379.4	\$ 498.8

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008					For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008			
			nillio	are amounts)					
NONINTEREST EXPENSE					•	-			
Salaries and wages	\$	49.3	\$	5	50.6	\$	147.1	\$	144.6
Incentives and bonuses		9.7			11.8		22.4		39.5
Employment benefits		14.0			12.8		44.9		39.5
Net occupancy		7.7			7.9		23.3		23.5
Furniture, equipment, and supplies		10.1			11.7		30.4		31.6
Advertising and contributions		1.4			2.6		5.7		7.7
Servicing and consulting fees		3.1			2.9		10.7		8.7
Subadvisor expense:									
Retirement services		7.6			2.0		21.3		2.8
Other services		1.2			2.7		3.8		8.0
Travel, entertainment, and training		1.8			3.2		5.5		8.5
Originating and processing fees		2.4			2.8		7.8		7.8
Insurance		5.6			2.3		20.1		6.0
Conversion errors		2.0			2.3		2.8		0.0
Legal and auditing fees		2.9			1.8		8.9		6.7
Other noninterest expense		10.2			8.8		27.3		26.1
Other hommerest expense		10.2			0.0		21.3		20.1
Total noninterest expense before impairment		127.0			123.9		382.0		361.0
Goodwill impairment write-down									66.9
Total noninterest expense		127.0			123.9		382.0		427.9
NET (LOSS)/INCOME (Loss)/income before income taxes and									
		(16.6)			25.4		(2.6)		70.9
noncontrolling interest		(16.6) (10.8)			35.4		(2.6)		25.5
Income tax (benefit)/expense		(10.8)			12.3		(9.8)		23.3
Net (loss)/income before noncontrolling interest Net income attributable to the noncontrolling		(5.8)			23.1		7.2		45.4
interest		0.1			0.2		0.4		0.5
Net (loss)/income attributable to Wilmington Trust Corporation		(5.9)			22.9		6.8		44.9
Dividends and accretion on preferred stock		4.5					13.6		
Net (loss)/income available to common shareholders	\$	(10.4)	\$	5	22.9	\$	(6.8)	\$	44.9

Net (loss)/income per common share: Basic	\$	(0.15)	\$	0.34	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.67
Diluted	\$	(0.15)	\$	0.34	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.67
Weighted average common shares outstanding (in thousands): Basic Diluted		68,979 68,979		67,231 67,269		68,963 68,963		67,155 67,349
See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements		·		ŕ		ŕ		,
Page 4								

#### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	For the Nin Ended Sept 2009 (In mil	tember 30, 2008			
OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net income before noncontrolling interest	\$ 7.2	\$	45.4		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Provision for loan losses	122.2		48.0		
Provision for depreciation and other amortization	16.6		16.9		
Amortization of other intangible assets	7.5		6.2		
Amortization of discounts and premiums on investment securities available for sale	1.5		0.4		
Accretion of discounts and premiums on investment securities held to maturity	(0.3)				
Goodwill impairment write-down			66.9		
Deferred income taxes	(1.0)		(49.8)		
Employer pension contribution	(10.0)		(6.5)		
Originations of residential mortgages available for sale	(216.3)		(76.6)		
Gross proceeds from sales of residential mortgages	348.0		77.5		
Gains on sales of residential mortgages	(2.8)		(0.9)		
Securities losses/(gains):					
Other-than-temporary impairment	66.0		32.3		
Other	(13.6)		(0.1)		
Amortization of gain on interest rate floors	(9.4)		(8.5)		
Stock-based compensation expense	4.0		6.2		
Tax expense realized on employee exercise of stock options			0.1		
(Increase)/decrease in other assets	(18.4)		17.7		
Decrease in other liabilities	(79.1)		(19.7)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 222.1	\$	155.5		

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008 (In millions)					
INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale	\$ 410.5	\$	11.9			
Proceeds from sales of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock,						
at cost			12.9			
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available for sale	713.1		922.5			
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held to maturity	3.4		0.4			
Purchases of investment securities available for sale	(398.3)		(689.6)			
Purchases of investment securities held to maturity	(0.7)		(0.6)			
Purchases of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock	(6.7)		(6.9)			
Cash paid for acquisitions	(6.1)		(93.6)			
Investment in affiliates			(14.3)			
Sale of affiliate interest			0.3			
Purchase of residential mortgages	(5.5)					
Net decrease/(increase) in loans	397.0		(1,136.1)			
Purchases of premises and equipment	(12.5)		(16.1)			
Dispositions of premises and equipment	0.4		1.2			
Proceeds from sales of interest rate floors			55.1			
Net cash provided by/(used for) investing activities	\$ 1,094.6	\$	(952.9)			

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

		For the Nin Ended Sep 2009 (In mi	tembe	er 30, 2008
FINANCING ACTIVITIES  Net increase in demand, savings, and interest-bearing demand deposits	\$	632.6	\$	175.8
Net (decrease)/increase in certificates of deposit	Ψ	(1,620.0)	Ψ	607.9
Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to		(1,020.0)		007.5
repurchase		(324.7)		(29.9)
Net decrease in U.S. Treasury demand deposits		(6.4)		(69.8)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		,		198.7
Maturity of other debt				(125.0)
Net (decrease)/increase in line of credit		(20.0)		5.0
Cash dividends		(35.7)		(69.0)
Distributions to minority shareholders		(0.1)		(0.4)
Proceeds from common stock issued under employment benefit plans				5.6
Proceeds from reissuance of treasury stock				16.2
Tax expense realized on employee exercise of stock options				(0.1)
Acquisition of treasury stock		(0.8)		(0.1)
Net cash (used for)/provided by financing activities	\$	(1,375.1)	\$	714.9
Effect of foreign currency translation on cash		0.9		(0.8)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(57.5)		(83.3)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		476.7		394.5
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	419.2	\$	311.2

## Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (Continued) SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid during the nine months ended September 30

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

- · · ·	(In mi	llions	)
Interest Taxes	\$ 98.3 44.6	\$	200.3 71.8
Liabilities were assumed in connection with our interests in Roxbury Capital Manage Partners Holdings, LLC; and with our acquisitions of AST Capital Trust Company; B and Grant Tani Barash & Altman, LLC, as follows:			
Liabilities assumed during the nine months ended September 30	2009		2008
	(In mi	llions	)
Fair value of assets acquired	\$	\$	112.3
Goodwill and other intangible assets from acquisitions	6.1		97.0
Cash paid	(6.1)		(107.6)
Liabilities assumed	\$	\$	101.7
Non-cash items during the nine months ended September 30	2009		2008
	(In mi	llions	)
Net unrealized gains/(losses) on securities, net of tax of \$4.3 and \$(51.1),			
respectively	\$ 7.9	\$	(90.7)
Net unrealized (loss)/gain on equity method investment, net of tax of \$(0.2) and			
\$0.3, respectively	(0.4)		0.5
Reclassification adjustment for securities (gains)/losses included in net income, net			
of taxes of \$(3.3) and \$11.6 respectively	(5.8)		20.6
Net unrealized holding gains on derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes			
of \$0.0 and \$4.9, respectively			8.8
Reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings of			
discontinued cash flow hedges, net of tax of \$(3.4) and \$(2.2), respectively	(6.0)		(4.1)
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax of \$0.7 and \$0.0, respectively	1.3		
Reclassification adjustment of derivative costs, net of tax of $0.0$ and $0.8$ ,			
respectively			(1.4)
Postretirement benefits liability adjustment, net of tax of \$0.0 and \$0.0, respectively			0.1
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax of \$0.4 and \$0.2, respectively	0.3		0.1
SERP <sup>1</sup> liability adjustment, net of tax of \$0.9 and \$0.2, respectively	1.5		0.3
1 Supplemental			
Executive			
Retirement Plan			

2008

2009

#### 1. Accounting and reporting policies

We maintain our accounting records and prepare our financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and reporting practices prescribed for the banking industry. Using these principles, we make subjective judgments about uncertainties and trends and we make estimates and assumptions about the amounts we report in our financial statements and notes, including amounts for revenue recognition, the reserve for loan losses, pension and other benefit plans, stock-based employee compensation, investment securities valuations, goodwill impairment, loan origination fees, income taxes, and other items. We evaluate these estimates on an ongoing basis. The precision of these estimates and the likelihood of future changes are subject to various risks and uncertainties, and depend on a number of assumptions, estimates, expectations, assessments of potential developments, other underlying variables, and a range of possible outcomes. Circumstances that differ significantly from our judgments and estimates could cause our actual financial results to differ from our expectations.

Our financial results could be affected adversely by, among other things, changes in national or regional economic conditions; changes in market interest rates; fluctuations in equity or fixed income markets; changes in the market values of securities in our investment portfolio; significant changes in banking laws or regulations; changes in accounting policies, procedures, or guidelines; increased competition for business; higher-than-expected credit losses; the effects of acquisitions; the effects of integrating acquired entities; a substantial and permanent loss of either client accounts and/or assets under management at Wilmington Trust and/or affiliate money managers Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn (CRM) and Roxbury Capital Management (RCM); changes in the regulatory, judicial, legislative, or tax treatment of business transactions; new litigation or developments in existing litigation; and economic uncertainty created by unrest in other parts of the world.

#### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We may use the following abbreviations throughout this report:

APB: Accounting Principles Board

ARB: Accounting Research Bulletin

ASC: Accounting Standards Codification

ASU: Accounting Standards Update

CPP: U.S. Department of the Treasury Capital Purchase Program

EITF: Emerging Issues Task Force

ESPP: Employee Stock Purchase Plan

FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board

FHLB: Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh

FIN: FASB Interpretation (Number)

FOMC: Federal Open Market Committee

FRB: Federal Reserve Bank

FSP: FASB Staff Position

GAAP: U.S. generally accepted accounting principles

IRS: Internal Revenue Service

NYSE: New York Stock Exchange

SAB: Staff Accounting Bulletin

SEC: Securities and Exchange Commission

SERP: Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

SFAS: Statements of Financial Accounting Standards

TARP: U.S. Department of the Treasury Troubled Asset Relief Program

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which was incorporated into ASC 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SFAS No. 168 replaces SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, as

the single source of authoritative U.S. accounting and reporting standards. SFAS No. 168 does not change current GAAP, but it does change the manner in which accounting literature is organized and referenced. SFAS No. 168 was effective for us with the quarter ending September 30, 2009. SFAS No. 168 did not affect our financial statements. Beginning with the 2009 third quarter, we updated our references to accounting literature to conform to those used in the codification.

Due to our adoption on January 1, 2009, of FSP EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities, which was incorporated into ASC 260, Earnings Per Share, we are calculating earnings per common share under the two-class method. For more information about this, read Note 4, Earnings per share, in this report.

Our adoption on January 1, 2009, of SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51, which was incorporated into ASC 810, Consolidation, changed the presentation of net income. What we formerly called minority interest is now called noncontrolling interest. In addition, our income statement includes a line for net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest as well as a line for net income attributable to Wilmington Trust Corporation. Throughout this report, we use net income to mean net income attributable to Wilmington Trust Corporation.

On April 1, 2009, we adopted FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, which was incorporated into ASC 320, Investments Debt and Equity Securities. FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2 applies to debt securities and amends when other-than-temporary impairments must be taken, and changes the amount and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings when a portion of the impairment is not due to credit losses. If we do not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security, the amount of impairment determined to be due to credit loss is recognized in earnings, and the non-credit-related portion of the impairment is now recorded in other comprehensive income. We recognized the effects of adopting this FSP as a change in accounting principle and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings at April 1, 2009, of \$70.1 million, which represented our determination of the non-credit related portion of previously recorded other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities. A corresponding adjustment was made to accumulated other comprehensive income. For more information about the effects of this pronouncement, read Note 10, Investment Securities, in this report.

Three other recent FASB pronouncements were effective for us as of June 30, 2009:

FSP FAS No. 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly, which was incorporated into ASC 820. Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

FSP FAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1, Interim Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments, which was incorporated into ASC 825, Financial Instruments.

SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events, which was incorporated into ASC 855, Subsequent Events.

These pronouncements did not affect our financial statements materially, but they did expand our disclosures. For more information about the effects of these pronouncements, read Note 5, Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities, Note 10, Investment securities, and Note 15, Subsequent events, in this report.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Wilmington Trust Corporation, our wholly owned subsidiaries, and the subsidiaries in which we are majority owner. We eliminate intercompany balances and transactions in consolidation. For more information about our accounting policies, read Note 2, Summary of significant accounting policies, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

Although we are majority owner of CRM, we do not consolidate its results because CRM owners retain control over certain governance matters. We do not consolidate the results of RCM because we are not majority owner and RCM owners retain control over certain governance matters. For information on how we account for CRM, RCM, and other subsidiaries and affiliates, read Note 4, Affiliates and acquisitions, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

We have applied our critical accounting policies and estimation methods consistently in all periods presented in this report and we have discussed these policies with our Audit Committee. The information in this report has not been audited. It includes all adjustments of a normal recurring nature that we believe are necessary for fair presentation. We have reclassified certain prior-year amounts to conform to the current-year presentation. The consolidated financial statements in this report should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

#### 2. Stock-based compensation plans

The Compensation Committee and the Select Committee of our Board of Directors administer an executive incentive plan, an employee stock purchase plan (ESPP), a directors deferred fee plan, and a long-term incentive plan. We account for our stock-based compensation plans in accordance with ASC 718, Stock Compensation and ASC 505-50, Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees. For more information about these plans and how we determine valuations of stock-based awards, read Note 19, Stock-based compensation plans, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders. The common shares we issue as stock-based compensation come from our treasury, which held approximately 9.1 million shares at September 30, 2009. This is more than adequate to meet the share requirements of our current stock-based compensation plans.

Stock-based compensation expense (in millions) Compensation expense:	F	or the the end Septem	ded		F	ne moi ded iber 30	nonths	
	2	009	2008		2009		2008	
Common stock options	\$	0.8	\$	1.3	\$	2.5	\$	4.0
Restricted common stock		0.4		0.4		1.3		2.3
Employee stock purchase plan		0.3		0.1		0.2		(0.1)
Total compensation expense	\$	1.5	\$	1.8	\$	4.0	\$	6.2
Tax benefit				0.6		0.9		2.2
Net income effect	\$	1.5	\$	1.2	\$	3.1	\$	4.0

	For the three me	onths		
	ended		For the nine	months ended
	Septem	iber 30,		
Stock option valuation assumptions	2009	$2008^{1}$	2009	2008
Risk-free interest rate	2.57% - 2.85%		2.11% - 3.35%	2.49 - 3.64%
Volatility of Corporation s common stock	40.38% - 41.84%		29.86% - 41.84%	13.71% - 17.86%
Expected common stock dividend yield	5.16% - 6.38%		5.16% - 8.67%	3.85% - 4.34%
Expected life of options	4.9 years		4.9 - 8.6 years	4.7 - 8.2 years

We granted no stock options during the third quarter of 2008.

Page 14

#### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

For the valuation assumptions in the table above:

We use the Black-Scholes valuation method.

The risk-free interest rate is the U.S. Treasury rate commensurate with the expected life of options on the date of each grant.

We based the volatility of our stock on historical volatility over a span of time equal to the expected life of the options.

We based the expected life of stock option awards on historical experience. Expected life is the period of time we estimate that granted stock options will remain outstanding.

Stock-based compensation expense for incentive stock options and the ESPP affects our income tax expense and effective tax rate, because we are not allowed a tax deduction unless the award recipient or ESPP subscriber makes a disqualifying disposition upon exercise. As a participant in the CPP, we may not deduct compensation of more than \$500,000 paid to any named executive officer identified in our proxy statement for any year in which the U.S. Treasury holds any debt or equity security we issued to the U.S. Treasury under the CPP.

#### **Long-term stock-based incentive plans**

		chree mo ended ember 30		For the nin Sept	e montl ember 3	
Long-term incentive plan options exercised	2009	2	008	2009		2008
		(	Dollars i	n millions)		
Number of common stock options exercised			20,858			217,269
Total intrinsic value of options exercised	\$	\$	0.2	\$	\$	0.6
Cash received from options exercised	\$	\$	0.6	\$	\$	5.1
Tax benefit realized from tax deductions for						
options exercised	\$	\$	0.1	\$	\$	0.2
-						
	Page 16					

Long-term incentive plan option activity for the nine	Common stock	av ex	eighted verage xercise	Weighted average remaining contractual	Aggreg intrins value (in	sic e
months ended September 30, 2009	options		price	term	millio	ns)
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	6,982,300	\$	34.95			
Granted	1,120,100	\$	10.70			
Exercised		\$				
Expired	(431,169)	\$	31.12			
Forfeited	(151,073)	\$	30.02			
Outstanding at September 30, 2009	7,520,158	\$	31.66	3.2 years	\$	3.8
Exercisable at September 30, 2009	4,545,526	\$	34.03	2.1 years	\$	

#### **Unvested stock options**

At September 30, 2009, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested common stock options was \$3.3 million, which we expect to record over a weighted average period of 1.6 years. Stock options awarded since we became a participant in the CPP do not vest until the later of the stated vesting period or when the U.S. Treasury no longer holds any debt or equity securities we issued under the CPP.

#### **Restricted stock grants**

We measure the fair value of restricted common stock by the last sale price of our common stock on the date of the restricted stock grant. We amortize the value of restricted stock grants into stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award. At September 30, 2009, total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock grants was \$4.0 million, which we expect to record over a weighted average period of 1.6 years.

Under our incentive plans, the vesting period for some of our restricted stock awards can accelerate upon retirement and in certain other circumstances. When we award restricted stock to people from whom we may not receive services in the future, such as those who are eligible for retirement, we recognize the expense of restricted stock grants when we make the award, instead of amortizing the expense over the vesting period of the award. In the 2009 third quarter, we recorded \$0.4 million of expense for restricted stock grants. Restricted stock awarded to certain officers since we became a participant in the CPP does not vest until the later of the stated vesting period or when the U.S. Treasury no longer holds any debt or equity securities we issued under the CPP.

	Restricted	Weighted average fair value at grant
Restricted stock activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009	shares	date
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	178,908	\$ 35.47
Granted	302,619	\$ 10.67
Vested	(31,961)	\$ 38.66
Forfeited	(38,405)	\$ 19.04
Outstanding at September 30, 2009	411,161	\$ 18.50

#### Employee stock purchase plan (ESPP)

For the ESPP, we record stock-based compensation expense based on the fair value of plan participants—options to purchase shares, amortized over the plan—s fiscal year. We use the Black-Scholes method to determine the fair value. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, total recognized compensation cost related to the ESPP was \$0.2 million and total unrecognized compensation cost related to this plan was \$1.0 million.

Shares reser future		Subscriptions	Price per		
Employee stock purchase plan	subscriptions	outstanding		share	
Balance at January 1, 2008	408,875	93,992			
New plan appropriation	800,000				
Forfeitures	78,849	(78,849)	\$	36.64	
Shares issued		(15,143)	\$	36.64	
Expiration of 2004 ESPP	(487,724)				
Subscriptions entered into on June 1, 2008	(116,076)	116,076	\$	27.67	
Forfeitures	25,918	(25,918)	\$	27.67	
Balance at January 1, 2009	709,842	90,158			
Forfeitures	88,360	(88,360)	\$	27.67	
Shares issued		(1,798)	\$	27.67	
Subscriptions entered into on June 1, 2009	(285,745)	285,745	\$	12.67	
Forfeitures	15,097	(15,097)	\$	12.67	
Balance at September 30, 2009	527,554	270,648			
	Page 19				

#### 3. Comprehensive income/(loss)

Comprehensive income/(loss)	For the Three Months Ended September 30, ome/(loss) 2009 200					For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 2008					
				(In mi							
Net (loss)/income before noncontrolling interest Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax: Net unrealized gains/(losses) on securities, net of	\$	(5.8)	\$	23.1	\$	7.2	\$	45.4			
income taxes of \$2.1, \$(20.5), \$4.3, and \$(51.1) Net unrealized (loss)/gain on equity method investment, net of income taxes of \$0.0, \$0.0,		4.2		(36.4)		7.9		(90.7)			
\$(0.2), and \$0.3 Reclassification adjustment for securities						(0.4)		0.5			
(gains)/losses included in net income, net of income taxes of \$(0.5), \$7.1, \$(3.3), and \$11.6 Non-credit portion of held-to-maturity investment securities OTTI <sup>1</sup> losses recognized in other		(1.0)		12.6		(5.8)		20.6			
comprehensive income, net of income taxes of \$(7.0), \$0.0, \$(22.9), and \$0.0  Accretion of non-credit portion of OTTI <sup>1</sup> losses		(12.4)				(40.8)					
recognized in other comprehensive income, net of income taxes of \$(0.1), \$0.0, \$(0.1), and \$0.0 Reclassification of unrealized losses recorded at the time of transfer to held to maturity, net of income		(0.2)				(0.1)					
taxes of \$3.0, \$0.0, \$12.2, and \$0.0.  Reclassification adjustment for current period OTTI <sup>1</sup> recognized in income, net of income taxes		5.3				21.6					
of \$10.5, \$0.0, \$14.8, and \$0.0  Net unrealized holding gains arising during the period on derivatives used for cash flow hedges,		18.8				26.5					
net of income taxes of \$0.0, \$0.0, \$0.0, and \$4.9 Reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings of discontinued cash flow hedges, net of taxes of								8.8			
\$(1.0), \$(1.3), \$(3.4), and \$(2.2) Reclassification adjustment of derivative costs, net		(1.8)		(2.5)		(6.0)		(4.1)			
of income taxes of \$0.0, \$0.0, \$0.0, and \$(0.8) Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of								(1.4)			
income taxes of \$(0.1), \$(0.2), \$0.7, and \$0.0 SERP liability adjustment, net of income taxes of		(0.3)		(0.3)		1.3					
\$0.1, \$0.1, \$0.9, and \$0.2 Postretirement benefits liability adjustment, net of		0.1		0.1		1.5		0.3			
income taxes of \$0.0, \$0.0, \$0.0, and \$0.0		0.1				0.3		0.1 0.1			

Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of income taxes of \$0.2, \$0.0, \$0.4, and \$0.2

Comprehensive income/(loss) before the noncontrolling interest Comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	\$ 7.0 0.1	\$ (3.4)	\$ 13.2	\$ (20.4)
Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to Wilmington Trust Corporation	\$ 6.9	\$ (3.6)	\$ 12.8	\$ (20.9)

Other-than-temporary impairment

#### 4. Earnings per share

As a result of our adoption on January 1, 2009, of FSP EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities, which was incorporated into ASC 260, Earnings Per Share, we calculate earnings per common share under the two-class method. The restricted stock awards we grant include nonforfeitable rights to receive dividends over the vesting period of the award. Based on the guidance in ASC 260, our unvested restricted stock awards meet the definition of participating securities, and we are required to use the two-class method to calculate earnings per share.

Using a prescribed earnings allocation formula, the two-class method requires us to present earnings per common share as if all of the earnings for the period had been distributed to common shareholders and to the holders of unvested restricted stock. The application of the two-class method reduces income available to common shareholders as well as both basic and diluted earnings per common share.

In accordance with the two-class method described in ASC 260, Earnings Per Share, we recalculated the basic and diluted earnings per share amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, under the two-class method. This recalculation did not change the previously reported basic or diluted earnings per share amounts.

Computation of Racic and Diluted Farnings per Share		For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
Computation of Basic and Diluted Earnings per Share		2009		2008		2009		2008	
		(In m	illion	s, except	per-s	share amo	ounts	)	
Net (loss)/income Dividends and accretion on preferred stock	\$	(5.9) 4.5	\$	22.9	\$	6.8 13.6	\$	44.9	
Net (loss)/income available to common shareholders	\$	(10.4)	\$	22.9	\$	(6.8)	\$	44.9	
Average common shares issued and outstanding Dilutive common shares from employee stock options,		69.0		67.2		69.0		67.2	
ESPP subscriptions, and stock warrants				0.1				0.1	
Total diluted common shares issued and outstanding		69.0		67.3		69.0		67.3	
Basic (loss)/income per common share	\$	(0.15)	\$	0.34	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.67	
Diluted (loss)/income per common share <sup>1</sup>	\$	(0.15)	\$	0.34	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.67	
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.01	\$	0.345	\$	0.355	\$	1.025	
Anti-dilutive equity instruments excluded from calculation		10.1		6.4		10.1		5.2	

To calculate diluted earnings per share, we applied the two-class method under the assumption that all potentially dilutive securities other than the unvested restricted stock had been exercised. For the purposes of this calculation, dilutive shares were determined in accordance with the treasury

method.

#### 5. Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities

We disclose the estimated fair values of certain financial instruments, whether or not we recognize them at fair value in our Consolidated Statements of Condition. Fair value generally is the exchange price on which a willing buyer and a willing seller would agree when market conditions are not distressed. Because of the uncertainties inherent in determining fair value, fair value estimates may not be precise. Many of our fair value estimates are based on highly subjective judgments and assumptions we make about market information and economic conditions. Changes in market interest rates, or any of the assumptions underlying our estimates, could cause those estimates to change significantly.

We do not believe that the aggregate fair value amounts presented in this note offer a full assessment of our consolidated financial condition, our ability to generate net income, or the value of our company, because the fair value amounts presented here do not consider any value that may accrue from existing client relationships or our ability to create value by making loans, gathering deposits, or providing fee-based services. In addition, we do not include the values of nonfinancial assets and liabilities and intangible assets.

We measure the fair values of assets and liabilities in accordance with ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. ASC 820 establishes a three-level hierarchy that prioritizes the factors (inputs) used to calculate the fair value of assets and liabilities:

**Level 1.** Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices, such as NYSE closing prices, in active markets for identical assets. Level 1 is the highest priority in the hierarchy.

**Level 2.** Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as other significant inputs that are observable at commonly quoted intervals, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves.

**Level 3.** Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs. Typically, our own assumptions determine these inputs, since there is little, if any, related market activity. Level 3 is the lowest priority in the hierarchy.

If we use multiple input levels to calculate the fair value of an asset or liability, then the lowest-level significant input determines the level for the entire fair value measurement of that asset or liability. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and it considers factors specific to each asset or liability.

#### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

In accordance with GAAP, we may be required to measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These adjustments typically relate to lower-of-cost or fair value accounting, or write-downs of individual assets due to impairment.

The following paragraphs summarize how we determine fair values and inputs to fair value calculations.

<u>Cash and due from banks, short-term investments, accrued interest receivable, short-term borrowings, and accrued interest payable.</u> Since these instruments have short maturities, their fair values and carrying values are approximately the same.

<u>Investment securities</u>. We review our debt and equity investment securities at least quarterly to determine their fair value. The key determinants of fair value are market interest rates, credit spreads, and investor perceptions. When market interest rates rise or credit spreads widen, the fair values of debt and equity securities typically decline and unrealized losses increase. Conversely, when market interest rates fall or credit spreads tighten, the fair values of debt and equity securities typically increase. As their fair values rise, unrealized losses may decrease or unrealized gains may increase.

To determine the fair values of most of our investment securities, we consider a variety of factors and use criteria specified by the SEC and FASB. We use financial market data, credit data, cash flow projections, and other analytics generated internally and by third parties. Where possible, we use direct quotes or draw parallels from the trades and quotes of securities with similar features. If these parallels are not available, we base fair value on the market prices of comparable instruments as quoted by broker-dealers, with adjustments for maturity dates, underlying assets, credit ratings, and other items, if necessary.

Due to continued illiquidity in the market for pooled trust-preferred securities (TruPS), we mainly use Level 3 inputs, obtained from brokers or third-party advisors, to estimate the fair value of these securities. To estimate fair value, we use an internal model that reflects liquidity and credit risk to discount the cash flow projections provided by the third-party advisors.

The base cash flow for the calculation is the remaining expected future cash flows, based on the contractual terms of the security and adjusted for current and expected future defaults. We adjust our default assumptions each quarter based on, among other factors, the current financial sector environment; estimates of loss severity; and developments related to the financial institutions whose securities underlie the pooled TruPS. We also adjust the discount rate for appropriate risk premiums, including liquidity risk and credit risk. Based on changes in certain yield curves related to the financial sector, and other factors, we estimate the associated risk premium for each individual security and adjust the discount rate accordingly.

While estimating fair values and the inputs to fair value calculations in illiquid markets is inherently uncertain, we believe our methodology applies assumptions that market participants would find relevant, and provides the best estimate of fair value at this time.

<u>FHLB and FRB stock</u>. The fair value of FHLB and FRB stock is assumed to equal its cost basis, since the stock is nonmarketable but redeemable at its par value.

**Derivative financial instruments.** We base the fair value estimates of derivative instruments on pricing models that use assumptions about market conditions and risks that are current as of the reporting date. The derivative instruments we use are mainly interest rate swaps and floors, which we use primarily to hedge the interest rate risk associated with floating rate commercial loans and subordinated long-term debt. In accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, the estimated fair values of these instruments represent the amounts we would have expected to receive or pay to terminate such agreements.

To determine the fair values of our interest rate swaps, we obtain data from an independent third-party advisor on interest rate and foreign exchange risk management. We use data provided by this advisor to determine the fair values of our interest rate swaps by using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). We base the variable cash payments or receipts on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

To comply with ASC 820, we incorporate credit valuation adjustments to reflect both our nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we consider the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Most of the inputs we use to value our swap contracts fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. For the credit valuation adjustments, we use some Level 3 inputs, such as internal estimates of current credit spreads for our swap clients, to evaluate the likelihood of default by us and our counterparties.

For more information about our use of derivatives, read Note 6, Derivative and hedging activities, in this report, and Note 15, Derivative and hedging activities, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

**Loans.** To determine the fair values of loans, we employ discounted cash flow analyses that use interest rates and terms similar to those currently being offered to borrowers.

We do not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. We record fair value adjustments to loans on a nonrecurring basis to reflect full and partial charge-offs due to impairment. For impaired loans, we use a variety of techniques to measure fair value, such as using the current appraised value of the collateral, discounting the contractual cash flows, and analyzing market data that we may adjust due to the specific characteristics of the loan or collateral.

Deposits. The fair values of demand deposits equal the amount payable on demand as of the reporting date. The carrying amount for variable rate deposits approximates their fair values as of the reporting date. To estimate the fair

carrying amount for variable rate deposits approximates their fair values as of the reporting date. To estimate the fair values of fixed rate CDs, we use a discounted cash flow analysis that incorporates prevailing market rates for CDs with comparable maturities.

**Long-term debt.** We base the fair value of long-term debt on the borrowing rate currently available to us for debt with comparable terms and maturities.

<u>Commitments to extend credit and letters of credit</u>. The fair values of loan commitments and letters of credit approximate the fees we charge for providing these services.

	A	s of Septen	nber :	30, 2009	A	s of Decem	ber 31, 2008			
	C	arrying		Fair	C	arrying		Fair		
Carrying values and estimated fair values	Value Value Value							Value		
				(In m	illions	s)				
Financial assets:										
Cash and due from banks	\$	229.6	\$	229.6	\$	290.4	\$	290.4		
Short-term investments		189.6		189.6		186.3		186.3		
Investment securities		608.7		590.7		1,373.3		1,329.9		
FHLB and FRB stock		26.7		26.7		20.0		20.0		
Loans, net of reserves		8,819.4		8,686.2		9,462.0		9,384.0		
Interest rate swap contracts		53.4		53.4		73.7		73.7		
Accrued interest receivable		65.6		65.6		82.0		82.0		
Financial liabilities:										
Deposits	\$	7,429.0	\$	7,501.9	\$	8,416.4	\$	8,468.0		
Short-term borrowings		1,266.1		1,266.1		1,617.2		1,617.2		
Interest rate swap contracts		54.3		54.3		74.5		74.5		
Accrued interest payable		67.4		67.4		71.2		71.2		
Long-term debt		470.4		468.6		468.8		442.7		

#### Fair values measured on a recurring basis

In the first nine months of 2009, we used Level 1 and Level 2 inputs to determine the fair value of our investment securities, as shown in the table below. To determine the proper level of detail for disclosures, we considered the nature of each type of security listed and its associated risk, as well as its industry sector, vintage, geographic concentration, credit quality, and economic characteristics.

To determine the fair value of the interest rate swap contracts shown in the table below, we used Level 2 inputs. Credit valuation adjustments did not significantly change the overall valuation of these contracts.

Fair value of assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2009	in M for I Inst	ed Prices Active (arket (dentical ruments evel 1)	Ob	gnificant Other servable Inputs Level 2) (In millio	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total
Assets							
Investment securities available for sale:							
U.S.Treasury securities	\$	10.7	\$		\$	\$	10.7
Government agency securities				161.5			161.5
Obligations of state and political subdivisions				5.2			5.2
Collateral mortgage obligations:							
Secured by residential mortgages				57.9			57.9
Mortgage-backed debt securities:							
Residential mortgage-backed securities				218.6			218.6
Preferred stock:							
Large financial institutions				19.3			19.3
Small financial institutions				3.0			3.0
Total preferred stock				22.3			22.3
Other marketable equity securities:							
Mutual funds		10.1		3.1			13.2
Other				3.5			3.5
Total other marketable equity securities		10.1		6.6			16.7
Interest rate swap contracts				53.4			53.4
•							
Total assets	\$	20.8	\$	525.5	\$	\$	546.3
Liabilities							
Interest rate swap contracts	\$		\$	54.3	\$	\$	54.3
Total liabilities	\$		\$	54.3	\$	\$	54.3
	Page 2	9					

#### Fair value of assets and liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis

For assets measured on a nonrecurring basis:

We used Level 2 inputs and the previously described techniques to estimate the fair value of loans. Loan amounts in the table below are based mainly on the fair value of the loan s collateral. These amounts do not include fully charged-off loans, because we carry fully charged-off loans at zero on our balance sheet. Also, according to ASC 820, measurements for impaired loans that are determined using a present value technique are not considered fair value measurements under the standard and, therefore, are not included.

We used Level 2 inputs, which typically consist of appraisals, to estimate the fair value of other real estate owned. Other real estate owned is recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, net of cost to sell, when we obtain control of the property.

We used Level 2 and Level 3 inputs to estimate the fair value of trust-preferred securities (TruPS), as continued illiquidity in the market for these instruments made it difficult to determine their valuation. We obtained these inputs from brokers as well as from cash flow projections from third-party advisors. We then used an internal model that reflects liquidity and credit risk to discount the third-party cash flow projections for each TruPS issue.

At September 30, 2009, all of the held-to-maturity investment securities we measure on a nonrecurring basis were TruPS that were other-than-temporarily impaired. For more information about these securities, read Note 10, Investment securities, in this report.

	Quoted Prices in Active	Significant				
	Markets for	Other	Sig	nificant		
	<b>Identical</b>	Observable	Unok	servable		
Fair value of assets and liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis as of September 30, 2009	<b>Instruments</b>	Inputs	I	nputs		
	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(L	evel 3)	Total	
		(In m	illions)			
Loans	\$	\$4.9	\$	3.0	\$	7.9
Other real estate owned	\$	\$2.7	\$		\$	2.7
Investment securities held to maturity:						
Pooled trust-preferred securities	\$	\$	\$	29.4	\$	29.4

#### 6. Derivative and hedging activities

We may use derivative financial instruments, primarily interest rate swaps and floors, to help manage (hedge) the effects that changes in market interest rates may have on net interest income, the fair value of assets and liabilities, and cash flows. The derivative instruments we use are interest rate swaps and floors, which we use primarily to hedge the interest rate risk associated with floating rate commercial loans and subordinated long-term debt. We also use interest rate swaps to allow commercial borrowers to manage their interest rate risk. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We account for derivative financial instruments in accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, and calculate their fair value in accordance with ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

When we enter into an interest rate swap contract with a commercial loan client, we simultaneously enter into a mirror swap contract with a third party (counterparty). These swaps effectively exchange the client s fixed rate loan payments for floating rate loan payments. These counterparties are large international money center banks. Our arrangements with some of these counterparties require us to post collateral when our swaps are in a liability position and allow us to request collateral when our swaps are in an asset position. When our derivatives are in an asset position, we retain the credit risk that is associated with the potential failure of these counterparties. At September 30, 2009, all of our counterparty swaps were in a liability position. For our commercial loan clients, we retain the credit risk inherit in making loans.

As of September 30, 2009, we had:

154 client swap contracts for a notional amount of \$1,009.1 million and an equal amount of mirror swap contracts with third-party financial institutions, for a total notional amount of \$2,018.2 million in swaps associated with loans to clients.

No interest rate floor contracts or other derivatives to hedge changes in our net interest income.

We have not designated our client swap contracts and the related mirror swaps as hedging instruments under ASC 815, and we have not applied hedge accounting to these instruments. We record gains and losses associated with these contracts in our income statement in the other noninterest income line. We do not offset amounts for the right to reclaim collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return collateral (a payable) against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty.

At September 30, 2009, we had an \$8.5 million receivable for cash collateral we posted with our counterparties. At December 31, 2008, we did not have any cash collateral posted with our counterparties.

Client swap contract gain/(loss) recognized in other noninterest income		For the mor enc Septem	nths led	5		For the mon end Septem	ths ed	
(in millions)	2	2009	2	2008	2	2009	2	2008
Interest rate swap contracts with commercial borrowers Mirror swap contracts with counterparties	\$	3.1 (3.5)	\$	2.4 (2.6)	\$	(20.3) 20.2	\$	8.5 (8.7)
Total	\$	(0.4)	\$	(0.2)	\$	(0.1)	\$	(0.2)

#### Edgar Filing: WILMINGTON TRUST CORP - Form 10-Q

#### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

All of our mirror (non-client) swap derivative contracts have credit risk contingent features that, if triggered, could require us to post collateral or make payments in full settlement of our obligations to the third parties. Collateral requirements are based on contractual arrangements and vary by counterparty. The amount of collateral we are required to post is based on:

- 1. The termination values (fair value excluding the credit valuation adjustment) of the swaps,
- 2. Thresholds defined in the swap contracts, and
- 3. The risk associated with the securities that we pledge, which may result in collateral postings that exceed the termination values of the collateralized swaps.

These credit risk contingent features include:

Cross-default provisions. We have agreements with each of our non-client swap derivative counterparties that contain cross-default provisions. If we were to default on certain of our obligations, independent of our swap obligations, then we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations and the swap arrangement could terminate.

Credit rating contingent features resulting in a collateral call. We have agreements with some of our non-client swap derivative counterparties that contain provisions which could increase the amount of collateral we are required to post if certain credit rating agencies downgrade our credit ratings.

Credit rating contingent features resulting in swap termination. We have an agreement with one of our non-client swap derivative counterparties that contains a provision under which a decrease in our credit ratings to below investment grade could result in the termination of the swap agreement by the counterparty.

#### Edgar Filing: WILMINGTON TRUST CORP - Form 10-Q

#### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

At September 30, 2009:

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position was \$54.3 million, for which we had posted collateral of \$53.1 million in cash and mortgage-related securities in the normal course of business.

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with cross-default provisions that were in a liability position was \$54.3 million. If all of our mirror swaps had terminated on September 30, 2009, due to cross-default provisions, we could have been required to settle our obligations under these agreements at their termination value of \$54.9 million. Since \$53.1 million had already been posted as collateral at September 30, 2009, this could have resulted in a payment to our counterparties of \$1.8 million.

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with a collateral call provision related to the credit rating contingent feature that were in a liability position was \$46.4 million. Additional credit rating downgrades could have resulted in a maximum collateral call of \$4.0 million.

The derivative instrument with a contingent feature resulting in a swap termination was in a liability position. Its fair value was \$1.5 million, and no collateral was posted. If this swap had terminated on September 30, 2009, the credit rating contingent feature could have required us to settle this arrangement at its termination value of \$1.7 million.

We sold all of our interest rate floor contracts in January 2008. We realized a gain of \$35.5 million on the sale of these contracts, which had a notional amount of \$1.00 billion. We are reclassifying this gain from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest and fees on loans based on the remaining terms of the originally hedged portfolio of loans. These monthly reclassifications began in February 2008 and will continue until July 2014.

We reclassified \$9.4 million of this gain into income during the first nine months of 2009. Between October 1, 2009, and September 30, 2010, we expect to reclassify approximately \$8.0 million of pretax net gains, or approximately \$5.6 million after tax, on discontinued cash flow hedges reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. These estimates could differ from the amounts we actually recognize if we add other hedges.

Fair value of derivative instruments	At 9/3		At 12 llions)	2/31/08
Asset derivatives recorded in Other assets: Interest rate swap contracts	\$	53.4	\$	73.7
Total asset derivatives	\$	53.4	\$	73.7
Liability derivatives recorded in Other liabilities: Interest rate swap contracts	\$	54.3	\$	74.5
Total liability derivatives	\$	54.3	\$	74.5
Effect of interest rate floor contracts in cash flow hedging relationships on other comprehensive income and the statements of income	the more end Septe 3 2009		mo end Septe 3 2009 illions)	
Amount of gain recognized in OCI (effective portion)  Amount of gain reclassified from accumulated OCI into interest income (effective	\$	\$	\$	\$ 13.5
portion)  Amount of gain recognized in interest income on derivative (ineffective portion and	\$ 2.6	\$ 3.6	\$ 8.8	\$ 8.1
amount excluded from effectiveness testing)  For more information about our derivative and hedging activities and how we account to the second	\$	\$	\$	\$

Summary of significant accounting policies, and Note 15, Derivative and hedging activities, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders. For more information about fair values of derivatives, read Note 5, Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities, in this report, as well as Note 14, Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

#### 7. Reserve for loan losses

	F	or the Thi End Septem	ded		For	the Nine N Septem	Months Ended		
Changes in the reserve for loan losses		2009		2008		2009		2008	
				(In m		*			
Reserve for loan losses at beginning of period	\$	184.9	\$	113.1	\$	157.1	\$	101.1	
Loans charged off:									
Commercial, financial, and agricultural		(8.1)		(4.9)		(24.2)		(8.5)	
Commercial real estate construction		(6.3)				(27.1)		(5.5)	
Commercial mortgage		(1.0)		(1.0)		(3.0)		(1.1)	
Residential mortgage		(0.5)				(0.5)			
Consumer and other retail		(7.9)		(5.8)		(31.8)		(17.1)	
Total loans charged off	\$	(23.8)	\$	(11.7)	\$	(86.6)	\$	(32.2)	
Recoveries on loans previously charged off:									
Commercial, financial, and agricultural		0.2		0.2		0.5		0.5	
Commercial mortgage		0.3				0.3		0.8	
Consumer and other retail		1.5		1.0		6.6		4.0	
Total recoveries	\$	2.0	\$	1.2	\$	7.4	\$	5.3	
Net loans charged off:									
Commercial, financial, and agricultural		(7.9)		(4.7)		(23.7)		(8.0)	
Commercial real estate construction		(6.3)				(27.1)		(5.5)	
Commercial mortgage		(0.7)		(1.0)		(2.7)		(0.3)	
Residential mortgage		(0.5)		,		(0.5)		,	
Consumer and other retail		(6.4)		(4.8)		(25.2)		(13.1)	
Total net loans charged off	\$	(21.8)	\$	(10.5)	\$	(79.2)	\$	(26.9)	
Transfers from/(to) reserve for lending	Ψ	(21.0)	Ψ	(10.5)	Ψ	(17.2)	Ψ	(20.7)	
commitments						1.7			
		38.7		19.6		122.2		48.0	
Provision charged to operations		36.7		19.0		122.2		46.0	
Reserve for loan losses at end of period	\$	201.8	\$	122.2	\$	201.8	\$	122.2	
Reserve for lending commitments in other									
liabilities <sup>1</sup>	\$	5.7	\$		\$	5.7	\$		

The reserve for lending commitments was transferred to other liabilities as of December 31,

# Edgar Filing: WILMINGTON TRUST CORP - Form 10-Q

2008. Prior periods were not reclassified.

Impaired Loans		At Se	December 31, 2008		
Impuneu Zouns		_		nillions	
Period-end investment recorded in impaired loans subject to a reserve for loan losses: 2009 reserve: \$54.7 2008 reserve: \$31.0		\$	256.7	\$	207.5
Period-end investment in impaired loans requiring no reserve for loan losses		\$	130.6	\$	2.3
Period-end investment recorded in impaired loans		\$	387.3	\$	209.8
Period-end investment recorded in impaired loans classified as nonaccruing		\$	365.9	\$	196.3
Period-end investment recorded in impaired loans classified as troubled restructured debt	d	\$	3.8	\$	0.1
		For the Months I Septemb	Ended er 30,	Me	or the Nine onths Ended ptember 30, 2009
Average investment recorded in impaired loans	\$		357.0	\$	292.0
Interest income recognized	\$		0.7	\$	2.6
Interest income recognized using the cash basis method of income recognition	\$		0.7	\$	2.5
Page 37					

#### 8. Goodwill and other intangible assets

		At S	Septen	nber 30, 2	2009	9	<b>At December 31, 2008</b>						
	(	Gross				Net	(	Gross				Net	
	Ca	rrying	Accu	mulated	Ca	rrying	Ca	rrying	Accu	mulated	Ca	rrying	
Goodwill and other intangible assets	Amount Amortization Amount		mount	Aı	mount	Amo	rtization	A	mount				
C						(In mi	llioı	ns)					
Goodwill (nonamortizing)	\$	392.9	\$	29.8	\$	363.1	\$	385.4	\$	29.8	\$	355.6	
Other intangibles (amortizing):													
Mortgage servicing rights	\$	12.3	\$	8.7	\$	3.6	\$	9.7	\$	8.0	\$	1.7	
Client lists		73.6		35.4		38.2		73.2		28.4		44.8	
Acquisition costs		1.7		1.7				1.7		1.7			
Other intangibles		2.1		1.6		0.5		2.0		1.5		0.5	
Total other intangibles	\$	89.7	\$	47.4	\$	42.3	\$	86.6	\$	39.6	\$	47.0	
T., 4b., 4-1-1 1 4b., -1	-1-4-	1			1	20 20	nn :	1 1		c ¢c			

In the table above, the change in accumulated amortization at September 30, 2009, includes a increase of \$0.3 million due to foreign currency translation adjustments.

		For the	e Three	•		For the Nine				
		Months	Ende	d		<b>Months Ended</b>				
		Septen	ber 30	,		Septem	nber 30,			
Amortization expense of other intangible assets		009	2	008	20	009	2	2008		
				(In mi	llions)					
Amortization expense of other intangible assets	\$	2.4	\$	2.4	\$	7.5	\$	6.2		

Future amortization expense of other intangible assets for the year ended December 31		2010		011	_	012 nillions	_	2013	2014	
Estimated annual amortization expense of other intangible										
assets	\$	8.6	\$	7.2	\$	5.9	\$	4.6	\$	3.4

Carrying amount of goodwill by business segment	gional aking	Ac	Vealth Ivisory ervices	Se	porate llient rvices	N Ma	Affiliate Money Managers		Γotal
				(In	millions	)			
Balance as of January 1, 2009	\$ 3.8	\$	125.7	\$	83.2	\$	142.9	\$	355.6
Goodwill acquired			6.1						6.1
Increase in carrying value due to foreign currency									
translation adjustments					1.4				1.4
Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$ 3.8	\$	131.8	\$	84.6	\$	142.9	\$	363.1

The goodwill from acquisitions recorded for 2009 consists of a \$6.1 million contingent payment recorded under Wealth Advisory Services in connection with the 2004 acquisition of Grant Tani Barash & Altman, LLC.

			2009		2008				
Changes in other intangible assets	An	ount	Residual	Weighted Average Amortization	nount	Residual	Weighted Average Amortization		
for the nine months ended September 30	Ass	igned	Value	Period	Ass	signed	Value	Period	
				(Dollars in	mil	lions)			
Mortgage servicing rights	\$	2.6	\$	8 years	\$	0.6	\$	8 years	
Client lists				•		14.8		7 years	
Increase/(decrease) in carrying value of								·	
client lists due to foreign currency									
translation adjustments		0.4				(0.2)			
Other intangibles		0.1							
Changes in other intangible assets	\$	3.1	\$		\$	15.2	\$		
E	• 4			1 N - 4 - 0 C					

For more information about goodwill and other intangible assets, read Note 2, Summary of significant accounting policies, and Note 10, Goodwill and other intangible assets, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

# 9. Components of net periodic benefit cost

We offer a pension plan, a supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP), and a postretirement benefit plan for which we record net periodic benefit costs. For more information about these plans, read Note 18, Pension and other postretirement benefits, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

Components of net periodic benefit cost	P	ension	Bene	efits	S	SERP I	Benef	fits	]	Postreti Bene		ent
for the three months ended September 30	2009		9 20		2009		2008 illions)		2009		2	008
Service cost	\$	3.0	\$	2.4	\$	0.3	111011; \$	0.2	\$	0.1	\$	0.3
Interest cost		3.3		3.1		0.5		0.4		0.5		0.6
Expected return on plan assets		(4.7)		(4.5)								
Amortization of prior service cost						0.1		0.1		(0.5)		(0.1)
Recognized actuarial losses		0.5		0.1		0.2		0.1		0.2		0.2
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	2.1	\$	1.1	\$	1.1	\$	0.8	\$	0.3	\$	1.0
Employer contributions	\$	10.0	\$	6.5	\$	0.1	\$	0.1	\$	0.7	\$	0.6

										Postreti	rem	ent	
Components of net periodic benefit cost	Pension Benefits SERP B					<b>Benefits</b> Benefits							
for the nine months ended September 30		2009	2008		2009		2008		2009		2	2008	
	-						(In millions)						
Service cost	\$	8.9	\$	7.3	\$	0.8	\$	0.6	\$	0.4	\$	1.0	
Interest cost		10.0		9.2		1.6		1.2		1.3		1.9	
Expected return on plan assets		(14.0)		(13.5)									
Amortization of prior service cost						0.2		0.3		(1.4)		(0.4)	
Recognized actuarial losses		1.4		0.4		0.6		0.3		0.5		0.5	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	6.3	\$	3.4	\$	3.2	\$	2.4	\$	0.8	\$	3.0	
Employer contributions	\$	10.0	\$	6.5	\$	0.4	\$	0.4	\$	2.2	\$	1.8	
Expected annual contribution	\$	10.0			\$	0.6			\$	3.0			

#### 10. Investment securities

We maintain an investment securities portfolio to generate cash flow, to help manage interest rate risk, and to provide collateral for deposits and other liabilities. We do not invest in securities for trading purposes. There are no client funds in this portfolio.

Numerous factors affect the valuations at which we record these securities on our balance sheet, including market interest rates, credit spreads, and investor perceptions. We review the securities in our investment portfolio at least quarterly in order to determine their fair value, which can be equal to, more than, or less than their book value (amortized cost). To determine a security s fair value, we use a variety of techniques and consult with third-party valuation experts. For more information about the key determinants of a security s fair value, read Note 5, Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities, in this report, and Note 14, Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

We classify investment securities in two categories:

- 1. Available-for-sale (AFS). This means we have the ability to hold the security, but we may elect to sell it, depending on our needs.
- 2. Held-to-maturity (HTM). This means we have not only the ability, but also the intent, to retain the security on our books until it matures.

AFS securities are carried at their estimated fair value. When the fair value of an AFS security exceeds its book value, we record an unrealized gain as a change in stockholders equity through accumulated other comprehensive income. This increases stockholders equity. It does not affect earnings.

HTM securities are carried at their amortized cost. When the fair value of an HTM security exceeds its book value, we report the amount of its increase in value in a footnote disclosure, not as a change in stockholders equity. There is no effect to our financial statements or earnings.

When a security s fair value falls below its book value, the security is considered impaired, and we must account for it as either temporarily impaired or as other-than-temporarily impaired (OTTI). To determine whether a security is temporarily impaired or OTTI, we consider factors that include:

Whether the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the security.

The causes of the decline in fair value, such as credit problems, interest rate fluctuations, industry conditions, and/or market volatility.

The severity and duration of the decline in fair value below the security s amortized cost basis.

The issuer s ability to make scheduled interest or principal payments.

Credit rating agency changes to the credit rating of the security or its issuer.

Whether we intend to sell the security or hold it until it recovers in value, matures, or is called.

Whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before it recovers its amortized cost basis.

When we classify a security as temporarily impaired, it means we believe the security s valuation decline (impairment) is primarily a function of short-term financial market forces. When we classify a security as OTTI, it means we believe that conditions in addition to financial market forces have contributed to the security s valuation decline. When a security is determined to be OTTI under GAAP, we are required to record its decline in valuation as a write-down.

On April 1, 2009, we adopted FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, which was incorporated into ASC 320, Investments Debt and Equity Securities, which changes the accounting for OTTI securities. Under this standard, if we do not intend to sell a debt security, and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security, an OTTI write-down is separated into a credit loss portion and a portion related to all other factors. The credit loss portion is recognized in earnings as a securities impairment (loss) and the portion related to all other factors is recognized in comprehensive income, net of taxes. The credit loss portion is defined as the difference between the amortized cost of the security, which is defined as the carrying value plus any previous OTTI write-downs recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, and the present value of the expected future cash flows for the security.

As a result of adopting FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2, we were required to record a cumulative effect adjustment for securities that we hold and that were previously OTTI. The cumulative effect adjustment that we recorded in the 2009 second quarter, as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive income, was based on the following:

The 14 pooled TruPS that were determined to be OTTI in the 2008 fourth quarter for which we recorded an impairment of \$97.0 million.

The 1 pooled TruPS that was determined to be OTTI in the 2009 first quarter for which we recorded an impairment of \$0.6 million.

We reclassified \$70.1 million of these OTTI charges from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income as a cumulative effect adjustment. The portion of the 2008 fourth quarter and 2009 first quarter OTTI charges that was determined to be credit-related was \$27.1 million. This amount remained in retained earnings. Prior to the cumulative effect adjustment, \$0.4 million of the 2009 first quarter accretion was recorded and increased the amortized cost basis used to calculate the cumulative effect adjustment.

The estimated values of some of our investment securities declined during the first nine months of 2009 due to continued stress in the financial markets. We determined that some of these declines were temporary and some were other than temporary. We discuss temporarily impaired securities later in this note.

In the 2009 third quarter, 23 of the pooled TruPS were determined to be OTTI and we recorded a \$55.0 million write-down on their value. We do not intend to sell these securities, and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell these securities. Under the guidance of FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2, we determined that \$35.6 million of the \$55.0 million write-down was credit-related, as it represented reductions in estimated cash flows from the OTTI TruPS. We recorded the \$35.6 million as a securities impairment (loss), which reduced 2009 third quarter net income by \$22.1 million on an after-tax basis. We recorded the \$19.4 million difference in other comprehensive income, which reduced common stockholders—equity by \$12.4 million on an after-tax basis. In the 2009 third quarter, we also determined that an other marketable equity security was OTTI, and we recorded a \$2.5 million write-down on its value.

Under the guidance of FSP FAS No. 115-2 and FAS No. 124-2, the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis is deemed to be the credit loss. The present value of the expected cash flows is calculated based on the contractual terms of each security, and is discounted at a rate equal to the effective interest rate implicit in the security at the date of acquisition. We adjust the cash flows of each security for current and potential future defaults each quarter. To determine the potential for future defaults, a third party analyzes the creditworthiness of every underlying issuer of each TruPS, and formulates assumptions about which issuers may not be able to make payments in the future. These analyses consider the capital strength, liquidity position, stock price, credit risk exposure, and credit rating of each issuer, as well as other financial measures. We believe this methodology most closely predicts the cash flows we expect to collect for each security.

# Edgar Filing: WILMINGTON TRUST CORP - Form 10-Q

## Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Cr	edit loss roll forward (in millions)	At 9	9/30/09
	ginning balance <sup>1</sup>	\$	27.1
Ad	ditions:		
Cre	edit losses for which other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized		15.6
Ad	ditional credit losses for which other than temporary impairment was previously recognized		43.4
En	ding balance	\$	86.1
1	On April 1,		
	2009, we		
	recognized a		
	cumulative		
	effect		
	adjustment for		
	the adoption of		
	FSP FAS		
	No. 115-2 and		
	FAS No. 124-2		
	and determined		
	that		
	\$27.1 million of		
	previously		
	recorded OTTI		
	write-downs		
	represented		
	credit losses.		

			OTTI						
Amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale securities	Am	ortizek	ecogniz	<b>Ł</b> ahr	ealized	Unr	ealized	l	Fair
			in						
at September 30, 2009		Cost	OCI	G	lains	L	osses	7	Value
				(In	millior	ıs)			
Investment securities available for sale:									
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	10.7	\$	\$		\$		\$	10.7
Government agency securities		160.4			1.1				161.5
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		5.2							5.2
Collateralized mortgage obligations:									
Secured by residential mortgages		56.4			1.5				57.9
Mortgage-backed debt securities:									
Residential mortgage-backed securities		209.2			9.4				218.6
Preferred stock:									
Large financial institutions		17.4			2.0		(0.1)		19.3
Small financial institutions		3.0							3.0
Total preferred stock		20.4			2.0		(0.1)		22.3
Other marketable equity securities:									
Mutual funds		12.6			0.6				13.2
Other		3.5							3.5
Total other marketable equity securities		16.1			0.6				16.7
Total investment securities available for sale	\$	478.4	\$	\$	14.6	\$	(0.1)	\$	492.9
			OTT	[					

Amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale securities	Am	l F	Fair					
			in					
at December 31, 2008		Cost	OCI	G	ains	Losses	Va	alue
				(In	millio	ns)		
Investment securities available for sale:								
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	41.2	\$	\$	0.2	\$	\$	41.4
Government agency securities		453.9			9.1			463.0
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		6.3				(0.1)		6.2
Collateralized mortgage obligations:								
Secured by residential mortgages		188.6			1.9	(0.7)		189.8
Mortgage-backed debt securities:								
Residential mortgage-backed securities		461.0			9.6	(0.1)		470.5
Preferred stock:								
Large financial institutions		17.5			0.4	(3.8)		14.1
Small financial institutions		3.0						3.0
Total preferred stock		20.5			0.4	(3.8)		17.1
Other marketable equity securities:								

Mutual funds Other	20.5 5.8		(3.6)	16.9 5.8
Total other marketable equity securities	26.3		(3.6)	22.7
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 1,197.8	\$ 3 21.2	\$ (8.3)	\$ 1,210.7

Amortized cost, carrying value,			C	TTI								
and fair value of held-to-maturity securities				_		• -						air
at September 30, 2009	(	Cost	in	OCI	'	Value		ains	I	Losses	V	alue
I						(In mi	llion	S)				
Investment securities held to maturity:	\$	0.5	\$		\$	0.5	\$		¢		\$	0.5
Obligations of state and political subdivisions Corporate debt securities:	Þ		Ф		Э		Э		\$		Ф	0.5
Single-issue trust-preferreds		57.5				57.5				(13.3)		44.2
Pooled trust-preferreds		149.1		92.7		56.4		1.2		(5.9)		51.7
Total corporate debt securities		206.6		92.7		113.9		1.2		(19.2)		95.9
Foreign debt securities		0.5				0.5						0.5
Other debt securities		0.9				0.9						0.9
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$	208.5	\$	92.7	\$	115.8	\$	1.2	\$	(19.2)	\$	97.8
Amortized cost, carrying value,			o	TTI								
			D		$\sim$				<del>-</del> -		_	
and fair value of held-to-maturity securities	Am	ortized	Kecc	gnized	Ca	rrying (	Unre	alized	Unr	ealized	ŀ	air
and fair value of held-to-maturity securities at December 31, 2008		iortized Cost		ognized OCI		rrying ( alue		alized ins		ealized osses		'air alue
							Ga	ins				
		Cost				alue	Ga	ins	L			
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions						alue	Ga	ins				
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed debt securities:		Cost	in		V	alue (In mi	Ga illion	ins	L		V	alue
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed debt securities: Residential mortgage-backed securities		<b>Cost</b> 0.7	in		V	alue (In mi	Ga illion	ins	L		V	<b>alue</b> 0.7
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed debt securities: Residential mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities:		<b>Cost</b> 0.7	in		V	alue (In mi	Ga illion	ins	L		V	<b>alue</b> 0.7
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed debt securities: Residential mortgage-backed securities		0.7 0.2	in		V	Value (In mi) 0.7 0.2	Ga illion	ins	L	osses	V	0.7 0.2
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed debt securities: Residential mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities: Single-issue trust-preferreds		0.7 0.2 57.0	in		V	7 alue (In mi 0.7 0.2 57.0	Ga illion	ins	L	(17.2)	V	0.7 0.2 39.8
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed debt securities: Residential mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities: Single-issue trust-preferreds		0.7 0.2 57.0	in		V	7 alue (In mi 0.7 0.2 57.0	Ga illion	ins	L	(17.2)	\$	0.7 0.2 39.8
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed debt securities: Residential mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities: Single-issue trust-preferreds Pooled trust-preferreds		0.7 0.2 57.0 103.2	in		V	7alue (In mi 0.7 0.2 57.0 103.2	Ga illion	ins	L	(17.2) (26.2)	\$	0.7 0.2 39.8 77.0
at December 31, 2008  Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed debt securities: Residential mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities: Single-issue trust-preferreds Pooled trust-preferreds  Total corporate debt securities		0.7 0.2 57.0 103.2 160.2	in		V	7alue (In mi 0.7 0.2 57.0 103.2	Ga illion	ins	L	(17.2) (26.2)	\$	0.7 0.2 39.8 77.0

When a security is determined to be temporarily impaired and there is an associated unrealized loss, its accounting treatment depends on whether it is classified as AFS or HTM.

**Temporarily impaired securities** 

For temporarily impaired AFS securities, we are required to:

Report the amount of the impairment as an unrealized loss.

Record the unrealized loss as a reduction in stockholders equity through accumulated other comprehensive income.

This reduces stockholders equity. It does not affect earnings.

For temporarily impaired HTM securities, we are required to:

Disclose the amount of the decline in fair value.

Make that disclosure in a footnote, not as a change in stockholders equity.

There is no effect on our financial statements or earnings.

For some of our temporarily impaired securities, continued uncertainty and volatility in the financial markets during the first nine months of 2009 caused fair value estimates to decrease and the associated estimated unrealized losses to increase. We believe these changes were due mainly to liquidity problems in the financial markets, not deterioration in the creditworthiness of the securities issuers.

We retain temporarily impaired securities because they generate expected cash flows, and because we do not intend to sell them before they recover in value or mature, at which point their fair values equal their book values. In addition, we believe it is unlikely that we will be required to sell these securities before they recover their amortized cost basis. While we have determined these unrealized losses to be temporary, a continued downturn in the financial markets could cause us to reassess our determination.

		Fewer Mo	onths	12 mated	1	12 Mont		more imated		Т	otal Est	imated
Temporarily impaired securities at September 30, 2009		Fair alue				Fair Unrealized Value Losses (In millions)		osses	Fair Value		Unrealized Losses	
Corporate debt securities: Single-issue trust-preferreds Pooled trust-preferreds	\$		\$		\$	44.2 14.3	\$	(13.3) (5.9)	\$	44.2 14.3	\$	(13.3) (5.9)
Total corporate debt securities Preferred stock: Large financial institutions						58.5 4.5		(19.2) (0.1)		58.5 4.5		(19.2) (0.1)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$		\$		\$	63.0	\$	(19.3)	\$	63.0	\$	(19.3)
		Fewer Mo	onths	12 imated		12 Mont		more imated		Т	otal Est	imated
Temporarily impaired securities at December 31, 2008		Tair alue		ealized osses		Fair /alue (In n		realized osses		Fair ⁄alue		realized osses
Obligations of state and political subdivisions Collateralized mortgage obligations:	\$	5.5	\$	(0.1)	\$	(	\$	)	\$	5.5	\$	(0.1)
Secured by residential mortgages Corporate debt securities:						39.5		(0.8)		39.5		(0.8)
Single-issue trust-preferreds Pooled trust-preferreds						39.8 44.3		(17.2) (26.2)		39.8 44.3		(17.2) (26.2)
Total corporate debt securities Preferred stock:						84.1		(43.4)		84.1		(43.4)
Large financial institutions Other marketable equity securities:						10.8		(3.8)		10.8		(3.8)
Mutual funds		13.6		(3.6)						13.6		(3.6)
Total temporarily impaired securities  Trust-preferred securities (Trust-preferred sec	\$ <u>iPS)</u>	19.1	\$	(3.7)	\$	134.4	\$	(48.0)	\$	153.5	\$	(51.7)

#### Edgar Filing: WILMINGTON TRUST CORP - Form 10-Q

We record TruPS on our balance sheet in Other debt securities. Our TruPS portfolio consists of 38 pooled issues and 9 single-issue securities. The single-issue TruPS are from money center and large regional banks. The pooled instruments include securities issued by banks, insurance companies, and other financial institutions. Our positions in pooled TruPS generally are secured by over-collateralization or default protections provided by subordinated tranches. All of our TruPS are classified as HTM securities.

Carrying value and estimated fair value of the TruPS portfolio	At 9	At 12/31/08		
		(In m	illions)	)
Carrying value	\$	113.9	\$	160.2
Estimated fair value	\$	95.9	\$	116.8

Given the current illiquidity in the market for TruPS, determining their estimated fair value requires substantial judgment and estimation of factors that are not currently observable. Because of changes in the creditworthiness of the underlying financial institutions, market conditions, and other factors, it is possible that, in future reporting periods, we could deem more of our TruPS to be OTTI. Such a determination would require us to write down their value and incur a non-cash OTTI charge.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) Credit ratings of TruPS in Wilmington Trust s portfolio as rated by Moody s Investors Service

	Number of	Fair	Am	ortized	Fair Value Plus Unrealized Losses		
At September 30, 2009	Holdings		Value (Dolla)				
Pooled TruPS:			`		,		
Aaa	1	\$	2.1	\$	2.4	\$	2.4
Aa	3		9.6		9.3		9.4
A							
Baa	1		1.3		2.1		0.9
Below investment grade	33		38.7		135.3		43.7
Not rated							
Total pooled TruPS	38	\$	51.7	\$	149.1	\$	56.4
Single-issue TruPS:							
Aaa		\$		\$		\$	
Aa							
A	5		32.4		41.1		47.7
Baa	3		9.3		12.5		15.6
Below investment grade							
Not rated	1		2.5		3.9		4.8
Total single-issue TruPS	9	\$	44.2	\$	57.5	\$	68.1
Total TruPS	47	¢	95.9	\$	206.6	¢	124.5
	4/	\$ \$	93.9 590.7	\$ \$	686.9	\$ \$	619.4
Total investment securities portfolio		Φ	390.7	Ф	000.9	Ф	019.4
	Page 51						

#### Credit ratings of TruPS in Wilmington Trust s portfolio as rated by Fitch Ratings

	Number of		Fair	Am	ortized	Fa	air Value Plus Unrealized
At September 30, 2009	Holdings	Value Cost (Dollars in millions)			Losses		
Pooled TruPS:			`		,		
AAA	3	\$	9.3	\$	9.1	\$	9.1
AA	1		2.4		2.6		2.7
A							
BBB	1		1.3		2.1		0.9
Below investment grade	33		38.7		135.3		43.7
Not rated							
Total pooled TruPS	38	\$	51.7	\$	149.1	\$	56.4
Single-issue TruPS:							
AAA		\$		\$		\$	
AA							
A	4		24.2		32.2		38.0
BBB	3		13.3		16.7		19.4
Below investment grade Not rated	2		6.7		8.6		10.7
Total single-issue TruPS	9	\$	44.2	\$	57.5	\$	68.1
Č				•		-	
Total TruPS	47	\$	95.9	\$	206.6	\$	124.5
Total investment securities portfolio		\$	590.7	\$	686.9	\$	619.4

#### **Other matters**

At September 30, 2009, securities with an aggregate book value of \$544.3 million were pledged to secure public deposits, short-term borrowings, demand notes issued to the U.S. Treasury, FHLB borrowings, repurchase agreements, and interest rate swap agreements, and for other purposes required by law.

We had investments in the securities of regulatory authorities that totaled \$26.7 million at September 30, 2009, and \$20.0 million at December 31, 2008. These securities are carried at cost.

Contractual maturity of securities at September 30, 2009		∕ear or Less	Y Th	fter 1 Year rough Years (D	Y Th 10	fter 5 Tears Trough Years in millions)	10	After Years	,	<b>Total</b>
Debt securities available for sale: U.S. Treasury securities Government agency securities Obligations of state and political	\$	10.1 92.0	\$	0.6 50.0	\$	18.4	\$		\$	10.7 160.4
Obligations of state and political subdivisions				0.2				5.0		5.2
Collateralized mortgage obligations: Secured by residential mortgages Mortgage-backed securities: Residential mortgage-backed						6.8		49.6		56.4
securities				19.6		160.1		29.5		209.2
Total amortized cost of debt securities available for sale	\$	102.1	\$	70.4	\$	185.3	\$	84.1	\$	441.9
Fair value of debt securities available for sale	\$	102.5	\$	71.3	\$	193.7	\$	86.4	\$	453.9
Weighted average yield of debt securities available for sale <sup>1</sup>	Ψ	1.50%	Ψ	5.00%	Ψ	4.35%	Ψ	4.54%	Ψ	3.83%
Debt securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political	Ф	0.1	Ф	0.4	Φ.		Ф		Φ	0.5
subdivisions Corporate debt securities:	\$	0.1	\$	0.4	\$		\$		\$	0.5
Single-issue trust-preferreds Pooled trust-preferreds								57.5 56.4		57.5 56.4
Total corporate debt securities Foreign debt securities		0.5		0.5				113.9		113.9 0.5
Other debt securities		0.2		0.7						0.9
Total carrying value of debt securities held to maturity Fair value of debt securities held to	\$	0.8	\$	1.1	\$		\$	113.9	\$	115.8
maturity	\$	0.8	\$	1.2	\$		\$	95.8	\$	97.8
Weighted average yield of debt securities held to maturity <sup>1</sup>		3.28%		6.02%		%		5.82%		5.80%

Weighted average yields

# Edgar Filing: WILMINGTON TRUST CORP - Form 10-Q

are not on a tax-equivalent basis.

Sale and write-down of investment securities available for sale	Er Sept	3 onths ided ember 2009	Months Ended tember 30, 2008	Sept	Months Ended tember 30, 2009	Months Ended tember 30, 2008
Proceeds:			(In	millio	ns)	
Government agency securities	\$		\$	\$	103.2	\$
Collateralized mortgage obligations: Secured by residential mortgages					103.8	
Mortgage-backed debt securities: Residential mortgage-backed securities					197.8	
Other marketable equity securities:						
Mutual funds Other		5.7			5.7	1.8 10.0
Total proceeds	\$	5.7	\$	\$	410.5	\$ 11.8
Gross gains realized:						
Government agency securities	\$		\$	\$	3.6	\$
Collateralized mortgage obligations: Secured by residential mortgages Mortgage-backed debt securities:					2.7	
Residential mortgage-backed securities					6.0	
Other marketable equity securities: Mutual funds		1.5			1.5	0.1
Mutuai funds		1.5			1.5	0.1
Total gross gains realized	\$	1.5	\$	\$	13.8	\$ 0.1
OTTI charges: Preferred stock:						
Large financial institutions	\$		\$ (19.7)	\$		\$ (32.3)
Other marketable equity securities:						, ,
Mutual funds		(2.5)			(3.9)	
Other		(2.5)			(2.5)	
Total other marketable equity securities		(2.5)			(6.4)	
Total OTTI charges	\$	(2.5)	\$ (19.7)	\$	(6.4)	\$ (32.3)

For more information about our investment securities portfolio, read Note 6, Investment securities, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders. For more information about how we account for investment securities, read Note 5, Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities, in this report, as well as Note 2, Summary of significant accounting policies, Note 14, Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities, and Note 21, Accumulated other comprehensive income, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

# Edgar Filing: WILMINGTON TRUST CORP - Form 10-Q

#### 11. Borrowings

Our short-term borrowings consist of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and U.S. Treasury demand notes. Our long-term borrowings consist of an advance from the FHLB and two issuances of long-term subordinated debt. During the 2009 third quarter, we decided not to renew our line of credit.

The long-term debt of \$470.4 million on our balance sheet at September 30, 2009, included:

\$28.0 million in FHLB advances;

\$(6.5) million of unamortized losses related to terminated interest rate swaps on long-term debt;

\$(0.2) million of unamortized discounts on the \$250.0 million of subordinated long-term debt that matures on April 15, 2013; and

\$(0.9) million of unamortized discounts on the \$200.0 million of subordinated long-term debt that matures on April 2, 2018.

#### Subordinated long-term debt

	Amo	unt issued and		Fixed					
Issue date	outstanding (in millions)		Term	Semiannual payment dates	payment rates	Maturity			
April 4, 2003	\$	250.0	10 years	April 15 and October 15	4.875%	April 15, 2013			
April 1, 2008	\$	200.0	10 years	April 1 and October 1	8.50%	April 2, 2018			

None of our long-term debt is redeemable prior to maturity or subject to any sinking fund.

For more information on our borrowings, read Note 12, Borrowings, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

#### 12. Income taxes

Income tax expense and the effective tax rate were affected in the 2009 third quarter by the release of \$2.7 million of certain tax reserves, and by \$1.4 million due to state tax rate changes impacting deferred tax assets. Income tax expense and the effective tax rate for the first nine months of 2009 were affected by, in addition to the 2009 third quarter items, the restoration of a \$3.9 million deferred tax asset, which we wrote off during the fourth quarter of 2008. We restored the deferred tax asset in the second quarter of 2009, because we believe that there is more certainty that certain stock-based compensation deferred tax assets will be realizable in the future.

Income taxes and tax rate	2009 Q3		20	2008 Q3		09 YTD	2008 YTD	
Pre-tax (loss) income (less non-controlling								
interest)	\$	(16.7)	\$	35.2	\$	(3.0)	\$	70.4
Income tax (benefit) expense	\$	(10.8)	\$	12.3	\$	(9.8)	\$	25.5
Effective tax rate		64.67%		34.94%		326.67%		36.22%

Under ASC 740, Income Taxes, we recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as income tax expense. We have reviewed and, where necessary, accrued for tax liabilities for periods open to examination. We have applied this methodology consistently with prior periods.

We file income tax returns in multiple tax jurisdictions. In some of these jurisdictions, we file returns for multiple legal entities. Generally, we are subject to scrutiny by tax auditors in these jurisdictions for three to six years (open tax years). As of September 30, 2009, there were no material changes regarding uncertain tax positions, other than the reversal described above. No open statutes of limitations have been extended for a period greater than three months. Our IRS examination for the tax year 2006 was completed during the 2009 third quarter. The tax years 2007 and 2008 remain open to examination by the IRS. We periodically are under examination by various state and local authorities. For more information about our income taxes, read Note 20, Income taxes, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

#### 13. Segment reporting

We report business segment results for four segments: one for each of our three core businesses Regional Banking, Wealth Advisory Services (WAS), and Corporate Client Services (CCS) and one that combines the results of affiliate money managers Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn (CRM) and Roxbury Capital Management (RCM).

Our business segment accounting policies are the same as those described in Note 2, Summary of significant accounting policies, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders. Our business segment disclosures mirror the internal profitability reports we produce and review each quarter. We report segment assets on an average-balance basis, because we believe average balances offer a more relevant measure of business trends than period-end balances; we maintain and review all internal segment data on an average-balance basis; and we base some expense allocations on an average-balance basis. We have adjusted segment data for prior periods due to changes in reporting methodology and/or organizational structure.

For more information about our business segments, read Item 2 in Part I of this report, as well as Note 1, Nature of business, Note 4, Affiliates and acquisitions, and Note 23, Segment reporting, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Regional Advisory Banking Services		Corporate Affiliate Client Money Services Managers (In millions)		Totals			
Net interest income/(loss) Provision for loan losses	\$	74.6 (35.5)	\$ 5.4 (3.2)	\$ 1.3	-	\$ (1.3)	\$	80.0 (38.7)
Net interest income/(loss) after provision Advisory fees:		39.1	2.2	1.3	,	(1.3)		41.3
Wealth Advisory Services Corporate Client Services Affiliate Money Managers		0.1 0.2	45.8	43.7	,	4.7		45.9 43.9 4.7
Total advisory fees Amortization of affiliate intangibles		0.3	45.8 (1.0)	43.7 (0.9		4.7 (0.2)		94.5 (2.1)
Total advisory fees after amortization of affiliate intangibles Other noninterest income Securities gains		0.3 12.8 1.2	44.8 0.4 0.1	42.8 0.1 0.2		4.5		92.4 13.3 1.5
Operating net interest and noninterest income Noninterest expense		53.4 (44.9)	47.5 (45.3)	44.4 (36.8		3.2		148.5 (127.0)
Segment operating profit before income taxes Applicable income tax expense and noncontrolling		8.5	2.2	7.6	· )	3.2		21.5
interest		0.5	0.6	1.5	i	1.1		3.7
Segment operating net income	\$	8.0	\$ 1.6	\$ 6.1		\$ 2.1	\$	17.8
Investment securities impairment charge Applicable income tax benefit for impairment charges								(38.1) 14.4
Reported net loss							\$	(5.9)
Depreciation and amortization	\$	3.3	\$ 2.6	\$ 2.2	2	\$ 0.3	\$	8.4
	Page	e 59						

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2008		gional nking	Ad	Vealth lvisory ervices	Se:	porate lient rvices nillions)	Ma	filiate loney nagers	7	Γotals
Net interest income/(loss) Provision for loan losses	\$	85.9 (18.0)	\$	4.9 (1.6)	\$	2.0	\$	(1.7)	\$	91.1 (19.6)
Net interest income/(loss) after provision Advisory fees:		67.9		3.3		2.0		(1.7)		71.5
Wealth Advisory Services Corporate Client Services Affiliate Money Managers		0.7 0.4		54.6		2.0 34.0		4.2		57.3 34.4 4.2
Total advisory fees Amortization of affiliate intangibles		1.1		54.6 (1.1)		36.0 (0.9)		4.2 (0.2)		95.9 (2.2)
Total advisory fees after amortization of affiliate intangibles Other noninterest income		1.1 13.0		53.5 0.5		35.1 0.3		4.0		93.7 13.8
Operating net interest and noninterest income Noninterest expense		82.0 (42.8)		57.3 (50.6)		37.4 (30.5)		2.3		179.0 (123.9)
Segment operating profit before income taxes Applicable income tax expense and noncontrolling		39.2		6.7		6.9		2.3		55.1
interest		14.0		2.5		2.2		1.0		19.7
Segment operating net income	\$	25.2	\$	4.2	\$	4.7	\$	1.3	\$	35.4
Investment securities impairment charge Applicable income tax benefit for impairment charges										(19.7) 7.2
Reported net income									\$	22.9
Depreciation and amortization	\$	3.2	\$	2.7	\$	2.3	\$	0.2	\$	8.4
	Page	e 60								

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009		egional anking	A	Wealth dvisory Services	S	orporate Client ervices millions)	N Ma	ffiliate Ioney anagers		Totals
Net interest income/(loss) Provision for loan losses	\$	222.7 (110.4)	\$	16.6 (11.8)	\$	4.7	\$	(3.8)	\$	240.2 (122.2)
Net interest income/(loss) after provision Advisory fees:		112.3		4.8		4.7		(3.8)		118.0
Wealth Advisory Services Corporate Client Services Affiliate Money Managers		1.1 0.7		139.0		2.6 123.9		11.3		142.7 124.6 11.3
Total advisory fees Amortization of affiliate intangibles		1.8		139.0 (2.9)		126.5 (2.9)		11.3 (0.7)		278.6 (6.5)
Total advisory fees after amortization of affiliate intangibles Other noninterest income Securities gains		1.8 38.9 12.6		136.1 1.7 0.4		123.6 1.1 0.6		10.6		272.1 41.7 13.6
Operating net interest and noninterest income Noninterest expense		165.6 (135.0)		143.0 (135.0)		130.0 (110.9)		6.8 (1.1)		445.4 (382.0)
Segment operating profit before income taxes Applicable income tax expense and noncontrolling		30.6		8.0		19.1		5.7		63.4
interest	Ф	8.3	Ф	1.1	ф	3.7	Ф	2.5	Φ	15.6
Segment operating net income  Investment securities impairment charge	\$	22.3	\$	6.9	\$	15.4	\$	3.2	\$	47.8 (66.0)
Applicable income tax benefit for impairment charges										25.0
Reported net income									\$	6.8
Depreciation and amortization Investment in equity method investees Segment average assets	\$	9.8 9,397.0	\$	8.0 1,484.9	\$	6.8 450.9	\$	0.7 159.7 160.3	\$	25.3 159.7 11,493.1
	Pa	age 61								

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008		egional anking	A	Wealth dvisory ervices	Se	rporate Client ervices millions)	N Ma	ffiliate Ioney anagers	Totals
Net interest income/(loss)	\$	247.1	\$	16.2	\$	6.5	\$	(6.7)	\$ 263.1
Provision for loan losses		(44.4)		(3.6)				, ,	(48.0)
Net interest income/(loss) after provision Advisory fees:		202.7		12.6		6.5		(6.7)	215.1
Wealth Advisory Services		2.1		162.7		6.0			170.8
Corporate Client Services		1.1				91.0			92.1
Affiliate Money Managers								12.9	12.9
Total advisory fees		3.2		162.7		97.0		12.9	275.8
Amortization of affiliate intangibles				(3.0)		(1.7)		(0.7)	(5.4)
Total advisory fees after amortization of affiliate									
intangibles		3.2		159.7		95.3		12.2	270.4
Other noninterest income		42.7		1.7		1.1			45.5
Securities gains		0.1							0.1
Operating net interest and noninterest income		248.7		174.0		102.9		5.5	531.1
Operating noninterest expense		(126.1)		(152.3)		(82.6)			(361.0)
Segment operating profit before income taxes Applicable income tax expense and noncontrolling		122.6		21.7		20.3		5.5	170.1
interest		44.2		8.1		6.6		2.4	61.3
Segment operating net income	\$	78.4	\$	13.6	\$	13.7	\$	3.1	\$ 108.8
Investment securities impairment charge Roxbury Capital Management impairment charge									(32.3)
Applicable income tax benefit for impairment charges									(66.9) 35.3
Reported net income									\$ 44.9
Depreciation and amortization Investment in equity method investees	\$	9.7	\$	7.7	\$	5.4	\$	0.7 159.6	\$ 23.5 159.6
Segment average assets		9,729.1		1,506.3		310.0		199.7	11,745.1
	Pa	ge 62							

#### 14. Accounting pronouncements

The recent accounting pronouncements listed in this note may affect our financial condition and results of operations. **FSP FAS No. 132(revised)-1.** In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 132(revised)-1, Employers Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets, which was incorporated into ASC 715, Compensation Retirement Benefits. FSP FAS No. 132(revised)-1 amends SFAS 132(revised), Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits, to require more detailed disclosures about employers defined benefit plan and other postretirement plan assets, including employers investment policies and strategies, major categories of plan assets, concentration of risk within plan assets, and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets. FSP FAS No. 132(revised)-1 will not change the accounting for postretirement benefit plan assets. FSP FAS No. 132(revised)-1 will be effective for us for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009.

SFAS No. 166. In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, which was incorporated into ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing. SFAS No. 166 amends SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, to, among other things, eliminate the consolidation exemption for qualifying special purpose entities; introduce restrictive criteria for when transfers of a portion of a financial asset may be eligible for sale accounting; and revise how interests retained by the transferor in a sale of financial assets are initially measured. SFAS No. 166 also requires additional disclosures related to a transferor s continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. SFAS No. 166 is effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2010. We have not yet completed our assessment of the effect, if any, that SFAS No. 166 may have on our financial statements.

SFAS No. 167. In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(revised), which was incorporated into ASC 810, Consolidation. SFAS No. 167 amends the consolidation guidance for variable interest entities (VIEs) under FIN 46(revised), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. Specifically, SFAS No. 167 introduces a new consolidation approach that considers qualitative factors for determining who should consolidate a VIE and changes when it is necessary to determine who should consolidate a VIE. SFAS No. 167 also introduces additional disclosure and presentation requirements related to an entity s consolidated VIEs. SFAS No. 167 is effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2010. We have not yet completed our assessment of the effect, if any, that SFAS No. 167 may have on our financial statements.

SFAS No. 168. In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which was incorporated into ASC 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SFAS No. 168 replaces SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, as the single source of authoritative U.S. accounting and reporting standards. SFAS No. 168 does not change current GAAP, but it does change the manner in which accounting literature is organized and referenced. SFAS No. 168 was effective for our quarter ending September 30, 2009. SFAS No. 168 did not affect our financial statements. Beginning in the 2009 third quarter, we updated our references to accounting literature within our financial statements to conform to those references used in the codification.

ASU 2009-05. In August 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-05, Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value, to clarify certain issues with the accounting guidance for determining the fair value of liabilities. Specifically, the guidance states that, when estimating the fair value of a liability, a reporting entity is not required to include a separate input or adjustments to other inputs relating to the existence of a restriction that prevents the transfer of the liability. The guidance also provides clarification on measuring liabilities at fair value when a quoted price in an active market is not available. In such circumstances, the ASU specifies that a valuation technique should be applied that uses either the quoted prices of the liability when traded as an asset, the quoted prices for similar liabilities or similar liabilities when traded as assets, or another valuation technique consistent with existing fair value measurement guidance. ASU 2009-05 is effective for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. We do not expect its adoption to have a material effect on our financial statements.

ASU 2009-12. In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-12, Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent), to provide guidance on determining the fair value of certain alternative investments (for example, hedge funds, private equity funds, fund of funds, etc.) that do not have readily determinable market values. The guidance allows, as a practical expedient, the use of the alternative investment s net asset value without further adjustment to calculate the investment s fair value. In general, the guidance in this ASU applies only to those investments that 1) do not have readily determinable market values and 2) have financial statements prepared in accordance with ASC 946, Financial Services-Investment Companies. ASU 2009-12 is effective for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. We do not expect its adoption to have a material effect on our financial statements. ASU 2009-13. In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements; a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force, to establish the accounting for certain revenue arrangements in which the vendor or service provider will perform multiple revenue generating activities (for example, contracts that require an up-front fee along with fees that recur over the life of the arrangement). Specifically, the ASU addresses how to separate deliverables and how to measure and allocate arrangement consideration to one or more units of accounting. ASU 2009-13 will be effective for revenue arrangements that are entered into or materially altered after January 1, 2011. We have not yet completed our assessment of the effect, if any, that ASU 2009-13 may have on our financial statements.

### 15. Subsequent events

ASC 855, Subsequent Events, requires us to evaluate whether any changes in our financial condition since September 30, 2009, warrant additional disclosure as a subsequent event. As of November 9, 2009, the filing date of this report, we determined that there were no recognized or unrecognized subsequent events to report under ASC 855.

#### **COMPANY OVERVIEW**

Wilmington Trust Corporation is (we are) a Delaware corporation and a financial holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act. Our primary wholly owned subsidiary, Wilmington Trust Company, was founded in 1903. We deliver our services through three businesses:

**Regional Banking.** Our Regional Banking activities are concentrated in the mid-Atlantic region of the United States. We define this area as the state of Delaware and the parts of Maryland, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that are within approximately 150 miles of our Wilmington headquarters. We target commercial banking services to middle-market business owners throughout this region. We define this market as businesses that are family-owned or closely held, with annual sales of up to \$250 million. We focus our consumer lending, residential mortgage lending, and core deposit-gathering activities in the state of Delaware.

Corporate Client Services (CCS). The CCS business provides a variety of trustee, agency, investment management, and administrative services for institutional clients. CCS has offices in Arizona, Delaware, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New York, South Carolina, Vermont, Grand Cayman, the Channel Islands (Jersey), Amsterdam (The Netherlands), Dublin (Ireland), London (England), Frankfurt (Germany), and Luxembourg. At the end of 2008, CCS had clients in 88 countries.

Wealth Advisory Services (WAS). The WAS business helps individuals and families with substantial wealth preserve and protect their wealth, minimize taxes, transfer wealth to future generations, support charitable endeavors, and manage their business affairs. We do this through a variety of asset management, family office, and fiduciary services. We target clients who have liquid assets of \$10 million or more. WAS has offices in California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania. At the end of 2008, WAS had clients in all 50 states and 35 other countries.

## Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

More detail about each of our businesses is available in the summaries that follow and in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

We provide our services through various legal entities and subsidiaries that we own wholly or in part. For more information about these entities and subsidiaries, the services they provide, and the regulations to which they are subject, read Note 1, Nature of business, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders. In April 2009, we incorporated WTFSB Properties, Inc. as a subsidiary of Wilmington Trust FSB. There have been no other changes to our legal entities or subsidiaries since December 31, 2008.

#### RESULTS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

This report discusses:

Changes in our financial condition (balance sheet) since December 31, 2008. All balances cited are period-end balances unless otherwise noted. In some cases, we present amounts as of September 30, 2008, for historical reference.

The results of our operations (income statement) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with the corresponding period in 2008. In some cases, we provide amounts for other periods to provide historical context. When we use year-to-date (YTD) to describe a time frame, we are referring to the nine months ended September 30.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Our capital position remained strong and there were many positive developments in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009, but recessionary pressures prevented the full extent of our business successes from translating into higher earnings. In addition, we recorded a substantial amount of securities losses in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009, as economic conditions reduced the value of, and led to write-downs on, some of the investments in our securities portfolio.

For the third quarter of 2009, we reported a loss of \$5.9 million. After adjusting for the dividends and accretion on the shares of Wilmington Trust Series A preferred stock issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury in conjunction with the CPP, the net loss available to common shareholders was \$10.4 million, or \$0.15 per diluted common share. For the first nine months of 2009, we reported \$6.8 million of net income. After adjusting for the dividends and accretion on preferred stock issued in conjunction with the CPP, there was a net loss available to common shareholders of \$6.8 million, or \$0.10 per diluted common share.

Compared to the corresponding year-ago periods, key factors in our 2009 third quarter and year-to-date results included, in addition to the securities losses:

Decreases in loan and investment securities portfolio balances.

Substantial growth in core deposit balances.

Lower funding costs, which improved the net interest margin.

Lower net interest income (before the provision for loan losses), due to low market interest rates as well as the decreases in the loan and investment securities portfolios.

Increases in the provision for loan losses.

Double-digit growth in CCS revenue.

Lower WAS revenue, due mainly to volatility in the equity markets.

Disciplined expense management.

We discuss each of these factors in greater detail in other sections of this report.

On October 21, 2009, the Board of Directors declared a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.01 per common share. The dividend will be paid on November 16, 2009, to shareholders of record on November 2, 2009.

The Board of Directors reduced our quarterly cash dividend twice in 2009. On January 29, 2009, the Board reduced the quarterly cash dividend from \$0.345 per common share to \$0.1725 per common share. On July 22, 2009, the Board reduced the quarterly cash dividend to \$0.01 per common share. The decisions stemmed from our desire to act with an abundance of caution during this period of economic uncertainty. Since it is difficult to predict when, or how quickly, an economic recovery might occur, we are taking a conservative approach to capital management in order to have flexibility in a dynamic environment and to hasten our exit from the CPP.

#### CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

Our capital position remained strong in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009. All regulatory capital ratios improved from their levels at year-end 2008, and all continued to exceed the amounts required by the Federal Reserve Board to be considered well capitalized, both including and excluding the \$330 million in CPP funds we received in December 2008 in exchange for the preferred stock.

At September 30, 2009, we had assets of \$10.87 billion. This was \$1.45 billion, or 12%, less than at year-end 2008. This decrease reflected lower loan and investment securities portfolio balances, and resulted from a combination of muted demand for new loans and strategic steps we took to manage our balance sheet through the economic downturn.

## Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Investment securities balances fell 56% from year-end 2008, as we deleveraged the portfolio in order to improve our regulatory capital ratios, and because we had less need for securities to collateralize certain types of deposits. Maturities, prepayments, sales, and write-downs contributed to the decline.

Loan balances decreased 6% from year-end 2008, due to a combination of run-off in indirect consumer loans, sales of seasoned residential mortgage loans, and less demand for commercial and consumer loans as economic pressures mounted.

Core deposit balances rose 9% from year-end 2008, as clients opted for the safety of federally insured products. The core deposit growth reduced our need for non-core funding. As a result, there was a 62% decline in national brokered certificates from year-end 2008 and a 22% decrease in short-term borrowings.

Due to the balance sheet changes between year-end 2008 and September 30, 2009:

Loans increased as a percentage of total assets.

Core deposits increased as a percentage of total liabilities.

Non-core funding decreased to 23% of total liabilities.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

At 9/30/09

At 12/31/08

At 2/30/02			
\$	9,021.2	\$	9,619.1
	83%		78%
\$	608.7	\$	1,373.3
	6%		11%
\$	10,873.8	\$	12,318.9
	+ 0/20/00	Α.	+ 12/21/00
A	at 9/30/09	At	t 12/31/08
<b>A</b> \$	at <b>9/30/09</b> 9,846.2	<b>A</b> 1	t <b>12/31/08</b> 11,198.7
	9,846.2		11,198.7
	9,846.2 92%		11,198.7 86%
	\$	\$3% \$ 608.7 6%	\$ 9,021.2 \$ 83% \$ 608.7 \$ 6%

Includes loans, investment securities. FHLB and FRB stock, interest-bearing deposits in other banks, and federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell. Excludes the reserve for loan losses.

Assets (dollars in millions)

Liabilities and stockholders equity	A	t 9/30/09	A	t 12/31/08
		illions)		
Core deposits	\$	6,506.3	\$	5,983.5
Core deposits as a percentage of total liabilities		68%		54%
National brokered CDs and short-term borrowings	\$	2,188.8	\$	4,050.1
National brokered CDs and short-term borrowings as a percentage of total				
liabilities		23%		37%
Total liabilities	\$	9,558.9	\$	10,984.8
Wilmington Trust stockholders equity		1,314.4		1,333.9
Noncontrolling interest		0.5		0.2
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	10,873.8	\$	12,318.9

For more information about loan and core deposit balances, read the Regional Banking discussion in this report. For more information about our capital and stockholders equity, read the capital resources discussion in this report.

### **Investment securities portfolio**

We maintain an investment securities portfolio to generate cash flow, help manage interest rate risk, and provide collateral for deposits and other liabilities. Our policy is to invest in securities that have, at the time of purchase, investment-grade ratings of A or better from Standard & Poor s or Moody s Investors Service. We do not hold investment securities for trading purposes. There are no client funds in this portfolio.

## Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

At September 30, 2009, the investment securities portfolio was \$608.7 million, a decline of \$764.6 million from year-end 2008. We deleveraged the portfolio in order to improve our regulatory capital ratios and because we had fewer deposits that required securities as collateral. Factors in the year-to-date decline included:

Maturities and sales, mainly of mortgage-backed, U.S. Treasury, and other government agency securities. Prepayments, mainly of mortgage-backed securities.

Write-downs of securities that were deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired (OTTI) under GAAP. In the 2009 third quarter, we recorded \$38.1 million of securities losses and \$1.5 million of securities gains. In the first nine months of 2009, we recorded \$66.2 million of securities losses and \$13.8 million of securities gains. Almost all of the securities losses in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 were associated with pooled trust-preferred securities (TruPS). For more information about these write-downs, read Note 10, Investment securities, in this report.

Our TruPS portfolio consists of 38 pooled and 9 single-issue securities. On our balance sheet, all of our TruPS, preferred stock, municipal bonds, and other types of securities are combined in Other securities. In the table below, we present these securities separately.

		At 9/3	30/09	At 12/31/08				
			Percent of			Percent of		
<b>Composition of investment securities portfolio</b>		mount	portfolio	A	Mount	portfolio		
			(Dollars i	n mi	llions)			
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$	57.9	9%	\$	169.0	12%		
Mortgage-backed securities		218.6	36		491.5	36		
Trust-preferred securities		114.8	19		160.2	12		
Government agency securities		162.0	26		463.5	34		
U.S. Treasury securities		10.7	2		41.4	3		
Preferred stock		22.3	4		17.1	1		
Municipal bonds		5.7	1		6.9	1		
Other securities		16.7	3		23.7	1		
Total	\$	608.7	100%	\$	1,373.3	100%		
Percentage invested in fixed rate instruments			64%			94%		

Attrition in the portfolio and depressed TruPS valuations caused changes in the portfolio s average life and duration. The negative duration at September 30, 2009, for the portfolio in total was caused by the lower TruPS valuations and historically low market interest rates.

Average life in the investment securities portfolio (in years)	At 9/30/09	At 12/31/08
Mortgage-backed instruments <sup>1</sup>	2.40	2.45
Total portfolio	9.21	6.32
<b>Duration in the investment securities portfolio (in years)</b>	At 9/30/09	At 12/31/08
<b>Duration in the investment securities portfolio (in years)</b> Mortgage-backed instruments <sup>1</sup>	<b>At 9/30/09</b> 1.87	<b>At 12/31/08</b> 1.37

Includes
collateralized
mortgage
obligations and
mortgage-backed
securities

Excluding TruPS, duration at September 30, 2009, for the portfolio in total would have been 1.68.

Of the mortgage-backed securities in our portfolio at September 30, 2009:

All were issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. As such, they carry an implied credit rating of AAA. All had residential mortgages as the underlying collateral.

There were no subprime mortgages in the underlying collateral.

Almost all were invested in fixed rate instruments with terms of 15 years or less.

For additional information about our investment securities, their valuations, and their write-downs, read the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; Note 5, Fair value measurement of assets and liabilities; and Note 10, Investment securities, in this report.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

For the third quarter of 2009, we reported a loss of \$5.9 million. After adjusting for the dividends and accretion on the shares of Wilmington Trust Series A preferred stock issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury in conjunction with the CPP, the net loss available to common shareholders was \$10.4 million, or \$0.15 per diluted common share. The 2009 third quarter loss was mainly due to securities losses of \$38.1 million, which reduced net income by approximately \$23.7 million and earnings by approximately \$0.34 per diluted common share.

For the first nine months of 2009, we reported \$6.8 million of net income. After adjusting for the dividends and accretion on preferred stock issued in conjunction with the CPP, there was a net loss available to common shareholders of \$6.8 million, or \$0.10 per diluted common share. The low amount of year-to-date net income, and the year-to-date loss per diluted common share, were due primarily to securities losses recorded in the 2009 second and third quarters.

In comparison, net income for the year-ago third quarter was \$22.9 million, and earnings were \$0.34 per diluted common share. For the first nine months of 2008, net income was \$44.9 million and earnings were \$0.67 per diluted common share. Net income for the first nine months of 2008 was reduced by a \$66.9 million goodwill impairment charge on the value of our investment in RCM, as well as by \$32.3 million of securities losses on perpetual preferred stocks.

Business momentum was not strong enough to offset three primary factors which we believe account for the contrast in our quarterly and year-to-date performance between 2008 and 2009:

The extent, depth, and prolonged nature of the economic recession.

Volatility in the financial markets.

The rapid decline in short-term market interest rates that occurred in the 2008 fourth quarter.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Economic and market interest rate conditions affected net income negatively because they:

Led to compression in the net interest margin. The margin was 2 basis points lower than for the year-ago third quarter. On a year-to-date basis, the margin was 16 basis points lower.

Increased the amount of nonperforming loans and potential credit losses, which led to a higher provision for loan losses. The provision was \$38.7 million for the third quarter and \$122.2 million for the first nine months of 2009. The corresponding amounts in 2008 were \$19.6 million and \$48.0 million, respectively.

Muted demand for new loans, which contributed to the decline in loan balances.

Caused net securities losses. Net securities losses for the 2009 third quarter were \$36.6 million, compared with \$19.7 million for the year-ago third quarter. For the first nine months of the year, net securities losses were \$52.4 million in 2009, compared with \$32.2 million in 2008.

Reduced client asset valuations and the associated fees that are based on those valuations. In addition, the environment drove many clients to opt for the relative safety of fixed income investments, which carry lower management fees than equity investments. Compared to the year-ago third quarter, WAS trust and investment advisory revenue (which is tied to asset valuations) was 15%, or \$5.8 million, lower. On a year-to-date basis, it was 19%, or \$22.3 million, lower.

Reduced the yields on money market mutual funds, which caused us to waive fees on those funds. These waivers reduced WAS revenue by approximately \$4.0 million for the 2009 third quarter, and by approximately \$6.3 million for the first nine months of 2009.

Limited the growth of assets in retirement accounts and associated retirement services revenue. Compared to the year-ago third quarter and first nine months, retirement services revenue was considerably higher, but the year-ago amounts did not reflect the effects of the acquisition we completed in the 2008 fourth quarter.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The effects of the difficult economic conditions were offset to a degree by:

Double-digit growth in CCS revenue on a quarterly and year-to-date basis, which we detail in the CCS section of this report.

Lower funding costs, driven by strong core deposit growth, which we detail in the liquidity and funding section of this report.

Disciplined expense management, which we detail in the expense discussion in this report.

#### **Operating results**

On an operating basis, we were profitable for the third quarter and first nine months of 2009. For the 2009 third quarter, operating net income was \$17.8 million, and operating earnings were \$0.19 per diluted common share. For the first nine months of 2009, operating net income was \$47.8 million, and operating earnings were \$0.49 per diluted share. Except for the securities losses and the RCM impairment charge, the dynamics that affected operating results for the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 were the same as the factors that affected reported results. In comparison, net income for the 2008 third quarter on an operating basis was \$35.4 million, or \$0.53 per diluted common share. Net income for the first nine months of 2008 on an operating basis was \$108.8 million, or \$1.62 per diluted common share.

Operating results are non-GAAP measures that we use throughout this report because we consider them important measures of our results and key indicators of our ongoing performance. We believe these measures:

Provide a more relevant and comparative basis on which to measure ongoing business activities and evaluate the company s performance.

Help investors and analysts develop more meaningful and accurate analyses of trends in the company s core business operations.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Some limitations are inherent in presenting operating results. While we believe these disclosures help investors understand the dynamics of our company, these non-GAAP disclosures may not offer relevant comparisons to the operating results of other companies. Other companies might use different measures and/or calculate them differently. We compensate for these limitations by providing a detailed reconciliation between GAAP reported results and non-GAAP operating results. The presentation of non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed as supplemental information and not as a substitute for financial results determined in accordance with GAAP.

		Three mor		Nine months ended September 30,				
Operating results (in millions)		2009		2008	2009		2008	
Net (loss)/income	\$	(5.9)	\$	22.9	\$ 6.8	\$	44.9	
Securities impairment		38.1		19.7	66.0		32.3	
Goodwill impairment							66.9	
Applicable income tax benefit		(14.4)		(7.2)	(25.0)		(35.3)	
Operating results	\$	17.8	\$	35.4	\$ 47.8	\$	108.8	
		Three mor			Nine mon Septem			
Per-share operating results		2009		2008	2009		2008	
Per-share (loss)/earnings	\$	(0.15)	\$	0.34	\$ (0.10)	\$	0.67	
Per-share loss of securities and goodwill impairment, after tax		(0.34)		(0.19)	(0.59)		(0.95)	
Per-share earnings applicable to operating results	\$	0.19	\$	0.53	\$ 0.49	\$	1.62	
	Pa	ige 79						

Compared to the corresponding year-ago periods, reported and operating earnings per common share also were affected somewhat by the at-the-market offering of our common stock that was in place between September 22, 2008, and January 29, 2009. We issued 1.7 million shares of our common stock under this program. For more information about this program, read Note 16, Capital, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

	Three mont	ths ended	Nine months ended				
Common shares outstanding	Septemb	er 30,	Septemb	er 30,			
On average, in thousands	2009	2008	2009	2008			
Common shares outstanding (diluted)	68,979	67,269	68,963	67,349			

Noninterest income continued to grow as a percentage of combined net interest and noninterest income. Factors in this shift were the historically low levels of market interest rates, the decline in investment securities balances, and increases in the provision for loan losses.

Mix of revenue (as a percentage of combined	
net interest and noninterest income) <sup>1</sup>	

net interest and noninterest income) <sup>1</sup>	2009 Q3	2008 Q3	2009 YTD	2008 YTD
Net interest income	37%	45%	31%	43%
Noninterest income	63%	55%	69%	57%

 After amortization and the provision for loan losses

The changes in our efficiency ratio from the corresponding year-ago periods reflected the higher levels of securities losses.

Efficiency ratio <sup>1</sup>	2009 Q3	2008 Q3	2009 YTD	2008 YTD
Efficiency ratio	84.89%	69.03%	75.94%	77.94%

The efficiency ratio expresses total noninterest expense as a percentage of net interest and noninterest income (before the provision for loan losses) on a tax-equivalent basis. In general, low efficiency ratios indicate high profitability.

We discuss each of our businesses and other factors in our financial performance in greater detail in the following pages of this report.

#### THE REGIONAL BANKING BUSINESS

The Regional Banking business is affected by the economy in the mid-Atlantic region, which is broadly diversified. To date, the economy in this region has not experienced the severity of economic downturn seen in some other parts of the United States. At September 30, 2009, Delaware s unemployment rate was 8.3% and Pennsylvania s was 8.8%, both lower than the U.S. rate of 9.8% (as reported by the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia and the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics).

In the third quarter and first nine months of 2009, economic conditions in the region remained fragile. For the Regional Banking business, these conditions:

Muted demand for new loans, as economic uncertainty kept many commercial and consumer borrowers on the sidelines.

Contributed to growth in core deposit balances, as clients increasingly opted for the safety of federally insured accounts.

Produced mixed credit quality metrics. We discuss credit quality in a separate section of this report. Although this report discusses changes in loan and deposit balances on a period-end basis, we consider average balances, rather than period-end balances, to be the better indicator of trends in the Regional Banking business. This is because average balances represent client activity over the longer term. This is especially true of core deposit balances, which can be skewed by large short-term deposits made by Corporate Client Services clients at the ends of reporting periods. Information about changes in our average balances appears in the quarterly and year-to-date analyses of net interest income, which appear between the net interest margin and the noninterest income discussions in this report.

#### **Loans**

Total loan balances declined 6% during the first nine months of 2009, mainly because:

New loan growth was not strong enough to offset maturing loans.

Indirect consumer loan balances decreased 23%, due to run-off in the portfolio.

There were several large commercial loan repayments in the 2009 first quarter.

We sold \$129.0 million of seasoned, fixed rate residential mortgages at the end of the 2009 second quarter.

Approximately 78% of total loans outstanding at September 30, 2009, were floating rate loans.

The Delaware market continued to account for the majority of total loans outstanding in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009.

Total loans outstanding	A	At 9/30/09		12/31/08	% change
_		$(\Gamma$	Ollar	s in millions	)
Commercial loans Retail loans <sup>1</sup>	\$	6,670.6 2,350.6	\$	6,760.3 2,858.8	(1)% (18)%
Total loans outstanding	\$	9,021.2	\$	9,619.1	(6)%

Includes consumer loans, residential mortgage loans, and loans secured with investments.

	At 9/30/09				At 12/	31/08
			As a % of			As a % of
			total			total
Total loans outstanding by geographic market	t Amount		loans	A	Mount	loans
			(Dollars i	n mi	llions)	
Delaware market loans	\$	4,860.7	54%	\$	5,162.8	54%
Pennsylvania market loans	\$	2,105.7	23%	\$	2,232.5	23%
Maryland market loans	\$	916.1	10%	\$	966.9	10%
New Jersey market loans	\$	694.3	8%	\$	694.5	7%
Other market loans	\$	444.4	5%	\$	562.4	6%

In the first nine months of 2009, commercial loans increased as a percentage of total loans, as retail loan balances decreased.

Loan portfolio composition (period-end balances)	At 9/30/09	At 12/31/08
Commercial loans:		
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	29%	31%
Commercial real estate - construction	22%	20%
Commercial mortgage	23%	19%
Total commercial loans	74%	70%
Retail loans:		
Residential mortgage	5%	6%
Consumer loans:		
Home equity	6%	6%
Indirect loans	8%	9%
Credit card	1%	1%
Other consumer	1%	2%
Total consumer loans	16%	18%
Secured with investments	5%	6%
Total retail loans	26%	30%

Loans secured with investments are associated mainly with WAS clients. We do not consider changes in the balances of these loans to be indicative of trends in the Regional Banking business.

#### **COMMERCIAL LOANS**

Our commercial banking activities are concentrated in the state of Delaware and adjacent areas of Maryland, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that are within approximately 150 miles of our Wilmington headquarters. We target our commercial banking services to family-owned and privately held businesses with annual sales of up to \$250 million. Most of our commercial loans have floating rates, are secured by the borrower s assets, and are supported by personal guarantees.

#### At September 30, 2009:

Commercial loan balances were 1% lower than at year-end 2008, as growth in commercial mortgage balances was offset by lower balances of commercial, financial, and agricultural loans.

Almost all of our commercial real estate industry exposure was with clients whose businesses are based in, and whose projects are located in, the mid-Atlantic region.

Approximately 70% of loans outstanding were for amounts of \$10 million, or less. On a percentage basis, the mix of loans by size was relatively unchanged from prior periods.

Approximately 89% of total commercial loans outstanding were floating rate loans.

Commercial loan balances at period-end (dollars in millions)	At	t 9/30/09	At	12/31/08	% change
Commercial, financial, and agricultural loans	\$	2,644.9	\$	2,966.3	(11)%
Commercial real estate construction loans		1,950.7		1,923.8	1%
Commercial mortgage loans		2,075.0		1,870.2	11%
Total commercial loans	\$	6,670.6	\$	6,760.3	(1)%

In the first nine months of 2009, there was a slight increase in the percentage of loans from the Maryland and New Jersey markets, reflecting the inroads we are making in those markets.

		At 9/30/09			At 12	2/31/08
			As a % of			As a % of
			total			total
			commercial			commercial
Commercial loans by geographic market	Amount		loans	Amount		loans
			(Dollars in	mil	lions)	
Delaware market loans	\$	3,620.0	54%	\$	3,708.0	55%
Pennsylvania market loans	\$	1,692.5	25%	\$	1,749.2	26%
Maryland market loans	\$	648.1	10%	\$	632.3	9%
New Jersey market loans	\$	510.7	8%	\$	490.6	7%
Other market loans	\$	199.3	3%	\$	180.2	3%

#### **Commercial mortgage loans**

The year-to-date increase in commercial mortgage balances reflected changes in the credit markets that have minimized the competitive advantages formerly held by specialty commercial mortgage lenders. At September 30, 2009:

More than half of commercial mortgage loans outstanding were for owner-occupied properties.

Approximately 18% were for small shopping centers that support nearby residential areas and are anchored by groceries or pharmacies. These properties are not mega-malls or large regional retail centers.

The rest of the portfolio was well diversified among other types of commercial and industrial properties.

Most commercial office property loans were for low-rise office buildings occupied by medical, legal, or other professional services providers. In general, we do not extend credit for high-rise office properties.

Approximately 57% of commercial mortgage loans were for properties in Delaware, with the majority in the state s northern-most county.

Page 86

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Most of the commercial loans we made in the first nine months of 2009 were five-year, floating rate loans. We typically do not offer the long-term structures that historically were provided by traditional commercial mortgage lenders.

#### **Commercial construction loans**

Commercial construction loan balances increased slightly from year-end 2008. Of the commercial construction loans added during the first nine months of 2009, the largest was for the refinancing of a successful strip shopping center in northern Delaware. Most of the rest were for land acquisition and development, primarily for residential projects in Delaware and southeastern Pennsylvania.

#### At September 30, 2009:

Most of the loans in our commercial construction portfolio were for single-family residential developments in Delaware and southeastern Pennsylvania. We do very little condominium construction or conversion financing, and very little of our portfolio is associated with beachfront property.

The year-to-date increase, on a percentage basis, in retail and office projects reflected demand for services and amenities to support the growth of Delaware s population and housing market in recent years. Most of the commercial office projects we fund are for warehouses, industrial properties, or low-rise office buildings for medical, legal, and other professional services providers.

The year-to-date increase in the percentage of commercial construction loans from Maryland and New Jersey reflected market share gains we are making in those markets.

At 9/30/09	At 12/31/08
51%	54%
21%	21%
18%	15%
2%	2%
4%	2%
4%	6%
58%	60%
23%	23%
7%	6%
9%	7%
3%	4%
	51% 21% 18% 2% 4% 4% 58% 23% 7% 9%

### **CONSUMER LOANS**

While we offer commercial banking services throughout the mid-Atlantic region, we focus our consumer banking activities in the state of Delaware.

#### At September 30, 2009:

Consumer loan balances were 14% lower than at year-end 2008, mainly because of run-off in the indirect automobile loan portfolio.

Most of our consumer loans continued to be associated with clients in Delaware, where we are the leading retail and commercial bank.

Page 88

Consumer loan portfolio (dollars in millions)	At 9/30/09		At	12/31/08	% change	
Home equity	\$	570.5	\$	565.4	1%	
Indirect		684.8		891.5	(23)%	
Credit card		67.5		67.8	%	
Other consumer		162.7		208.2	(21)%	
Total consumer loans	\$	1 485 5	\$	1 732 9	(14)%	

	At 9/30/09				At 12	/31/08	
			As a % of			As a % of	
			total			total	
			consumer			consumer	
Consumer loans by geographic market (dollars in millions)	Aı	mount	loans	A	mount	loans	
Delaware market loans	\$	807.1	54%	\$	913.6	53%	
Pennsylvania market loans	\$	267.7	18%	\$	303.9	18%	
Maryland market loans	\$	218.5	15%	\$	271.8	16%	
New Jersey market loans	\$	129.1	9%	\$	150.9	9%	
Other market loans	\$	63.1	4%	\$	92.7	4%	

#### **RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE LOANS**

We focus our residential mortgage lending activities in the state of Delaware, where we are among the leading originators of residential mortgages. Most of the residential mortgages we originate are traditional fixed rate conforming loans. We do not engage in subprime residential mortgage lending.

Residential mortgage originations were considerably higher for the third quarter and first nine months of 2009, mainly because the favorable rate environment prompted refinancings, and the U.S. government s tax credit program for first-time home buyers spurred activity.

Residential mortgage originations	20	09 Q3	2	2008 Q3	20	09 YTD	200	<b>08 YTD</b>	
	(Dollars in millions)								
Dollar amount of originations	\$	58.8	\$	39.2	\$	257.3	\$	126.4	
Number of loans originated		284		168		1,201		570	
Percentage for home purchase		35%		63%	)	20%		46%	
Percentage for refinancing		65%		37%	,	80%		54%	
Residential mortgages			At 9/30/09		At 12/31/08		% (	change	
	(Do					in millions)	)		
Residential mortgage balances			\$	428.2	\$	571.2		(25%)	
Percent of residential mortgages at fixed rates				72%		84%			

While originations increased, residential mortgage balances decreased 25% in the first nine months of 2009. This was due to:

Our long-standing practice of selling most newly originated fixed rate residential mortgages into the secondary market, instead of retaining them in our loan portfolio. This is part of our interest rate risk management strategy, which we discuss in more detail in the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk section of this report.

The June 2009 sale of \$129.0 million of seasoned residential mortgage loans.

## Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **DEPOSITS**

We record two types of deposits:

Core deposits, which are deposits from our clients. Changes in core deposit balances primarily reflect trends in the Regional Banking business.

National brokered CDs. These CDs are not associated with our clients, and changes in their balances are not indicative of trends in our Regional Banking business.

We discuss core deposits in this section. For more information about our use of national brokered CDs, read the liquidity, funding, and interest rate risk management discussions in this report.

At September 30, 2009:

Core deposit balances were \$6,506.3 million, which was 9%, or \$522.8 million, higher than at year-end 2008. Clients from all three of our businesses contributed to this growth.

Clients in Delaware accounted for the majority of our core deposits. We focus our retail banking and core deposit-gathering activities in the state of Delaware.

Most of the increase in core deposits was in interest-bearing demand deposits. Regional Banking and WAS clients accounted for most of this growth, which was spurred by client demand for the safety of insured funds amid economic uncertainty and financial market volatility.

Core deposits	At 9/30/09 (D			12/31/08 s in millions)	% change	
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$	1,041.6	\$	1,231.7	(15)%	
Savings deposits		918.5		815.7	13%	
Interest-bearing demand deposits		3,352.8		2,632.9	27%	
CDs < \$100,000		1,031.8		1,072.5	(4)%	
Local CDs ≥ \$100,000		161.6		230.7	(30)%	
Total core deposits	\$	6,506.3	\$	5,983.5	9%	
Percent from Delaware clients		80%		87%		
Percent from Pennsylvania clients		7%		3%		
Percent from Maryland clients		11%		9%		
Percent from New Jersey clients		1%		%		
Percent from clients in other markets		1%		1%		

The year-to-date decline in noninterest-bearing demand deposits reflected period-end balance fluctuations that typically result from CCS client activity. It is not unusual for CCS clients who use our deposit services to deposit large sums of cash in noninterest-bearing demand accounts for relatively short periods of time, typically near the ends of financial reporting periods.

Because these CCS client deposits can skew period-end balances, we generally consider average core deposit balances to be the better indicator of trends in the Regional Banking business. On average, noninterest-bearing demand deposit balances were higher than for the corresponding year-ago periods. For more detail on average core deposit balances, see the quarterly and year- to-date analyses of net interest income that appear between the net interest margin and the noninterest income discussions in this report.

	Three mon	nths (		Nine months ended					
	September 30,					September 30,			
Core deposit balances (on average)	2009	2008			2009	2008			
1			(In mi	illion	s)				
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 1,310.6	\$	745.1	\$	1,150.5	\$	757.2		
Total core deposits	\$ 6,699.1	\$	5,336.3	\$	6,405.8	\$	5,268.4		

We include balances of local CDs in amounts of \$100,000 or more (local CDs) in core deposits because these CDs reflect client deposits, not national, wholesale, or brokered deposits. Most local CDs are from clients in the mid-Atlantic region, including commercial banking clients and local municipalities, which frequently use these CDs to generate returns on their excess cash. Balances of these CDs decreased during the first nine months of 2009, as clients opted for savings instruments with more flexible terms.

<b>Local CDs ≥ \$100,000</b> by client category (average balances)	2009 Q3	2008 Q4
Consumer banking clients	59%	50%
Commercial banking clients in Delaware	9%	9%
Commercial banking clients in Pennsylvania	5%	9%
Commercial banking clients in other markets	9%	4%
WAS clients	5%	9%
CCS clients	%	%
Other clients	13%	19%

### OTHER REGIONAL BANKING INFORMATION

ATMs	At 9/30/09	At 12/31/08
ATMs in Delaware	179	214
Total ATMs	221	258

We had fewer ATMs than at the end of 2008, because we reduced the number of ATMs we support at non-Wilmington Trust locations during the 2009 second quarter.

### **REGIONAL BANKING EFFICIENCY**

Regional Banking s profitability and efficiency were less favorable than for the third quarter and first nine months of 2008, mainly because:

The combination of record-low market interest rates and the decrease in investment securities balances reduced net interest income.

The provision for loan losses increased significantly.

Regional Banking efficiency		09 Q3	20	08 Q3	200	9 YTD	2008 YTD		
Segment operating net income (in millions)	\$	8.0	\$	25.2	\$	22.3	\$	78.4	
Efficiency ratio <sup>1</sup>		50.22%		42.59%		48.70%		42.73%	

1 The efficiency

ratio expresses

total noninterest

expense as a

percentage of

net interest and

noninterest

income (before

the provision for

loan losses) on a

tax-equivalent

basis.

In general, low efficiency ratios indicate high profitability (excluding the provision for loan losses). Compared to many other banks, the efficiency ratio for our Regional Banking business is lower, mainly because we do not have the expense of maintaining and operating a large-scale branch office network outside of Delaware. For more information about the profitability of our Regional Banking business, read Note 13, Segment reporting, in this report.

### **NET INTEREST INCOME**

Net interest income for the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 was lower than for the corresponding periods in 2008, mainly due to:

Declines in investment securities balances.

Record-low market interest rates, which compressed our net interest margin until the second quarter of 2009. Decreases in loan balances.

Net interest income	20	2009 Q3		2008 Q3		2009 YTD		2008 YTD			
	(Dollars in millions)										
Interest income	\$	106.3	\$	152.1	\$	334.7	\$	464.3			
Interest expense		26.3		61.0		94.5		201.2			
Net interest income	\$	80.0	\$	91.1	\$	240.2	\$	263.1			
Percent generated by Regional Banking		93%		94%		93%		94%			

Most of our net interest income comes from the Regional Banking business. The WAS and CCS businesses also generate net interest income, because they have clients who use our banking services. For more information about how we allocate net interest income among the three businesses, read Note 13, Segment reporting, in this report.

### **NET INTEREST MARGIN**

The net interest margin has improved steadily throughout 2009, but it was still lower for the 2009 third quarter than for the year-ago third quarter. For the first nine months of 2009, our net interest margin was 3.10%, which was 16 basis points lower than for the corresponding period in 2008.

Net interest margin	2009 Q3	2009 Q2	2009 Q1	2008 Q4	2008 Q3				
Quarterly margin (not annualized)	3.23%	3.16%	2.91%	3.34%	3.25%				
Since the year-ago third quarter, our net interest margin has been affected by:									

The market interest rate environment. In December 2008, the Federal Open Market Committee enacted a 200-basis-point rate reduction and set short-term rates at a range of 0.00% to 0.25%, an historic low. Our asset-sensitive interest rate risk position. For more information about this, read the interest rate risk discussion in the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk section of this report. Growth in core deposit balances, which lowered our funding costs because it reduced our need for national brokered CDs and other non-core sources of funds.

A 4.00% interest rate floor on new and renewing loans, a practice we initiated in the first quarter of 2009. Our prime lending rate has been 4.00% since November 2008.

Wilmington Trust prime lending rate	2009 Q3	2008 Q4	2008 Q3
Prime lending rate (period-end)	4.00%	4.00%	5.00%
Prime lending rate (on average)	4.00%	4.25%	5.00%

More information about changes in our earning asset yields and cost of funds appears in this report in the following analyses of net interest income, the analysis of changes in interest income and expense due to volume and rate, and the interest rate risk discussion in the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk section.

### **QUARTERLY ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME**

	200	9 Third Quar	ter	2008 Third Quarter				
	Average	Income/	Average	Average	verage Income/ A			
	balance	expense	rate	balance	expense	rate		
	(De	ollar amounts	in millions; ra	ates on a tax-e	equivalent basis	s)		
Earning assets:								
Interest-bearing deposits in								
other banks	\$ 98.0	\$	0.28%	\$ 101.7	\$ 0.5	1.93%		
Federal funds sold and								
securities purchased under								
agreements to resell	13.8	0.1	2.09	32.9	0.2	2.57		
Total short-term investments	111.8	0.1	0.51	134.6	0.7	2.09		
Investment securities:								
U.S. Treasury securities	18.4		1.04	50.2	0.4	3.13		
Government agency securities	167.6	1.4	3.25	454.5	5.6	4.90		
Obligations of state and								
political subdivisions	6.0	0.1	8.67	7.0	0.2	8.67		
Preferred stock	20.6	0.5	8.94	37.1	0.6	6.16		
Mortgage-backed securities	275.7	3.0	4.32	694.0	7.8	4.50		
Other securities	192.5	2.5	5.05	353.5	3.8	4.24		
Total investment securities	680.8	7.5	4.35	1,596.3	18.4	4.57		
FHLB and FRB stock, at cost	26.7		0.12	20.7	0.2	3.74		
Loans:								
Commercial, financial, and								
agricultural	2,687.7	28.9	4.26	2,915.8	41.7	5.69		
Real estate construction	1,959.5	17.2	3.49	1,877.8	24.8	5.26		
Mortgage commercial	2,038.7	22.4	4.35	1,757.9	25.2	5.71		
Total commercial loans	6,685.9	68.5	4.06	6,551.5	91.7	5.57		
Mortgage residential	431.9	5.9	5.45	560.9	7.9	5.64		
Consumer loans	1,525.1	21.7	5.64	1,780.3	28.1	6.28		
Loans secured with								
investments	436.7	3.1	2.79	566.3	5.7	4.00		
Total retail loans	2,393.7	30.7	5.09	2,907.5	41.7	5.71		
Total loans net of unearned								
income	9,079.6	99.2	4.33	9,459.0	133.4	5.61		

Total earning assets at historical cost	\$ 9,898.9	\$ 106.8	4.28%	\$11,210.6	\$ 152.7	5.42%
Fair value adjustment on investment securities available for sale	(26.9)			(134.6)		
Total earning assets	\$ 9,872.0			\$11,076.0		
		Page 97				

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OUARTERLY ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME (CONTINUED)

	200	9 Thi	ird Quar	ter	2008 Third Quarter					
	Average		come/	Average Average			Income/		Average	
	balance	expense		rate balance s in millions; rates on a tax-				pense	rate	
Funds supporting earning	(De	onar	amounts	in minions, r	ates	on a tax-e	quiva	nem bas	18)	
assets:										
Savings	\$ 911.7	\$	2.8	1.20%	\$	807.8	\$	4.5	2.21%	
Interest-bearing demand	3,243.7		3.0	0.37		2,511.7		4.4	0.70	
Certificates under \$100,000	1,063.9		7.2	2.71		979.8		7.6	3.08	
Local certificates \$100,000 and										
over	169.2		1.0	2.25		291.9		2.2	3.08	
Total core interest-bearing										
deposits	5,388.5		14.0	1.03		4,591.2		18.7	1.62	
National brokered certificates	959.8		3.3	1.34		3,197.1		24.5	3.05	
						,				
Total interest-bearing deposits	6,348.3		17.3	1.08		7,788.3		43.2	2.21	
Federal funds purchased and										
securities sold under										
agreements to repurchase	1,124.5		0.6	0.24		1,686.1		9.4	2.21	
U.S. Treasury demand deposits						7.6			1.74	
Line of credit and other debt						11.9		0.1	3.34	
Total short-term borrowings	1,124.5		0.6	0.24		1,705.6		9.5	2.21	
<b>T</b>	450.1		0.4	7.06		460.0		0.2	<b>7</b> .0 <b>7</b>	
Long-term debt	470.1		8.4	7.06		468.0		8.3	7.07	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	7,942.9		26.3	1.31		9,961.9		61.0	2.44	
Other noninterest funds	1,956.0					1,248.7				
Total funds used to support										
Total funds used to support earning assets	\$ 9,898.9	\$	26.3	1.05%	\$	11,210.6	\$	61.0	2.17%	
C	• •					•	•			
Net interest income/margin			80.5	3.23%				91.7	3.25%	
Tax-equivalent adjustment			(0.5)					(0.6)		
Net interest income		\$	80.0				\$	91.1		

In order to ensure the comparability of yields and rates and their effect on net interest income, average rates are calculated using average balances based on historical cost and do not reflect the market valuation adjustment required by ASC 320, Investments Debt and Equity Securities.

### YEAR-TO-DATE ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME

	Ye	ar-to-date 200	09	Year-to-date 2008				
	Average	Income/	Average	Average	Income/	Average		
	balance	-		balance	expense	rate		
	(Do	llar amounts	in millions; ra	ites on a tax-e	quivalent basis	s)		
Earning assets:								
Interest-bearing deposits in								
other banks	\$ 99.1	\$ 0.3	0.40%	\$ 56.2	\$ 0.9	2.08%		
Federal funds sold and								
securities purchased under								
agreements to resell	19.9	0.3	2.15	35.3	0.7	2.56		
Total short-term investments	119.0	0.6	0.70	91.5	1.6	2.26		
Investment securities:								
U.S. Treasury securities	41.6	0.3	0.92	53.6	1.5	3.68		
Government agency securities	272.1	7.0	3.47	494.8	18.1	4.89		
Obligations of state and								
political subdivisions	6.4	0.4	8.77	9.4	0.5	7.96		
Preferred stock	20.5	1.4	9.08	48.4	2.7	7.44		
Mortgage-backed securities	387.6	12.9	4.46	719.5	24.2	4.49		
Other securities	230.8	7.9	4.57	357.2	12.7	4.74		
Total investment securities	959.0	29.9	4.18	1,682.9	59.7	4.74		
FHLB and FRB stock, at cost	24.2	0.3	1.50	23.2	0.7	3.98		
Loans:								
Commercial, financial, and								
agricultural	2,768.3	88.5	4.28	2,761.5	125.5	6.07		
Real estate construction	1,961.2	52.5	3.58	1,840.1	78.6	5.71		
Mortgage commercial	1,979.7	65.1	4.39	1,647.2	74.9	6.07		
Total commercial loans	6,709.2	206.1	4.11	6,248.8	279.0	5.97		
Mortgage residential	523.6	22.0	5.61	561.4	24.2	5.76		
Consumer loans	1,604.9	67.8	5.65	1,721.3	83.8	6.50		
Loans secured with								
investments	492.2	9.4	2.55	530.5	17.5	4.42		
Total retail loans	2,620.7	99.2	5.06	2,813.2	125.5	5.96		
Total loans net of unearned								
income	9,329.9	305.3	4.38	9,062.0	404.5	5.96		

Total earning assets at						
historical cost	\$ 10,432.1	\$ 336.1	4.31%	\$ 10,859.6	\$ 466.5	5.74%
Fair value adjustment on						
investment securities available						
for sale	(46.1)			(81.4)		
Total earning assets	\$ 10,386.0			\$10,778.2		

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS YEAR-TO-DATE ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME (CONTINUED)

	Ye	ar-to	-date 200	)9	Year-to-date 2008				
	Average		ncome/	Average	Average	Income/		Average	
	balance		xpense	rate in millions; ra	balance	expense		rate	
Funds supporting earning	(D0	mar	amounts	m mimons; ra	ates on a tax-e	equiv	aieni bas	is)	
assets:									
Savings	\$ 904.7	\$	9.2	1.37%	\$ 772.7	\$	13.4	2.33%	
Interest-bearing demand	3,072.0		8.9	0.39	2,432.6		15.1	0.83	
Certificates under \$100,000	1,092.4		23.8	2.91	994.6		27.1	3.64	
Local certificates \$100,000 and									
over	186.2		3.6	2.59	311.3		8.8	3.81	
Total core interest-bearing									
deposits	5,255.3		45.5	1.16	4,511.2		64.4	1.91	
National brokered certificates	1,372.2		20.9	2.03	2,896.7		79.0	3.64	
Total interest-bearing deposits	6,627.5		66.4	1.34	7,407.9		143.4	2.59	
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under									
agreements to repurchase	1,496.0		3.0	0.27	1,719.8		33.3	2.58	
U.S. Treasury demand deposits	5.0				10.6		0.2	2.33	
Line of credit and other debt	1.1			3.53	65.9		3.4	6.86	
Total short-term borrowings	1,502.1		3.0	0.27	1,796.3		36.9	2.74	
Long-term debt	469.6		25.1	7.14	401.5		20.9	6.97	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	8,599.2		94.5	1.47	9,605.7		201.2	2.80	
Other noninterest funds	1,832.9				1,253.9				
Total funds used to support			a						
earning assets	\$ 10,432.1	\$	94.5	1.21%	\$ 10,859.6	\$	201.2	2.48%	
Net interest income/margin			241.6	3.10%			265.3	3.26%	
Tax-equivalent adjustment			(1.4)				(2.2)		
Net interest income		\$	240.2			\$	263.1		

In order to ensure the comparability of yields and rates and their effect on net interest income, average rates are calculated using average balances based on historical cost and do not reflect the market valuation adjustment required by ASC 320, Investments Debt and Equity Securities.

For the nine months ended

### ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN INTEREST INCOME AND EXPENSE DUE TO VOLUME AND RATE

For the three months ended

	Inc Di	2009 rease/(	nber 30 /2008 (Decrea Change	ise)			September 30, 2009/2008 Increase/(Decrease) Due to Change in					
	Volume 1		. 2			Vo	olume 1	-	. 2	T 4 1		
	1	Ka	ite <sup>2</sup>	1	Cotal	111		R	ate <sup>2</sup>	'.	Γotal	
Interest income: Interest-bearing deposits in other banks Federal funds sold and securities	\$	\$	(0.5)	\$	(In mi	\$	0.7	\$	(1.3)	\$	(0.6)	
purchased under agreements to resell	(0.1)				(0.1)		(0.3)		(0.1)		(0.4)	
Total short-term investments	(0.1)		(0.5)		(0.6)		0.4		(1.4)		(1.0)	
Investment securities:	(0.2)		(0.1)		(0.4)		(0.0)		(0,0)		(1.0)	
U.S. Treasury securities	(0.3)		(0.1)		(0.4)		(0.3)		(0.9)		(1.2)	
Government agency securities	(3.5)		(0.7)		(4.2)		(8.1)		(3.0)		(11.1)	
State and municipal securities *	(0.0)		(0.1)		(0.1)		(0.2)		0.1		(0.1)	
Preferred stock *	(0.3)		0.2		(0.1)		(1.6)		0.3		(1.3)	
Mortgage-backed securities	(4.7)		(0.1)		(4.8)		(11.1)		(0.2)		(11.3)	
Other securities *	(1.7)		0.4		(1.3)		(4.5)		(0.3)		(4.8)	
Total investment securities	(10.5)		(0.4)		(10.9)		(25.8)		(4.0)		(29.8)	
FHLB and FRB stock, at cost	0.1		(0.3)		(0.2)				(0.4)		(0.4)	
Loans:												
Commercial, financial, and												
agricultural *	(3.3)		(9.5)		(12.8)		0.3		(37.3)		(37.0)	
Real estate construction	1.1		(8.7)		(7.6)		5.2		(31.3)		(26.1)	
Commercial mortgage *	4.0		(6.8)		(2.8)		15.1		(24.9)		(9.8)	
Total commercial loans	1.8		(25.0)		(23.2)		20.6		(93.5)		(72.9)	
Residential mortgage	(1.8)		(0.2)		(2.0)		(1.6)		(0.6)		(2.2)	
Consumer loans	(4.0)		(2.4)		(6.4)		(5.7)		(10.3)		(16.0)	
Loans secured with investments	(1.3)		(1.3)		(2.6)		(1.3)		(6.8)		(8.1)	
Total retail loans	(7.1)		(3.9)		(11.0)		(8.6)		(17.7)		(26.3)	

income (5.3) (28.9) (34.2) 12.0 (111.2) (99.2)

Total interest income \$ (15.8) \$ (30.1) \$ (45.9) \$ (13.4) \$ (117.0) \$ (130.4)

For the nine months ended

### ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN INTEREST INCOME AND EXPENSE DUE TO VOLUME AND RATE (CONTINUED)

For the three months ended

	₹7.	Inc Di	200 reas	ember 30, 09/2008 e/(Decrea Change	se)	cu	<b>X</b> 7	September 30, 2009/2008 Increase/(Decrease) Due to Change in Volume					
	V	olume 1	F	Rate <sup>2</sup>	7	Γotal	V	olume 1	F	Rate <sup>2</sup>		Total	
						(In mi	illions)				_ 3****		
Interest expense: Savings deposits Interest-bearing demand	\$	0.6	\$	(2.3)	\$	(1.7)	\$	2.3	\$	(6.5)	\$	(4.2)	
deposits Certificates under \$100,000		1.3 0.7		(2.7) (1.1)		(1.4) (0.4)		4.0 2.7		(10.2) (6.0)		(6.2) (3.3)	
Local certificates \$100,000 and over		(1.0)		(0.2)		(1.2)		(3.6)		(1.6)		(5.2)	
Total core interest-bearing deposits		1.6		(6.3)		(4.7)		5.4		(24.3)		(18.9)	
National brokered certificates		(17.2)		(4.0)		(21.2)		(41.5)		(16.6)		(58.1)	
Total interest-bearing deposits		(15.6)		(10.3)		(25.9)		(36.1)		(40.9)		(77.0)	
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements													
to repurchase		(3.1)		(5.7)		(8.8)		(4.3)		(26.0)		(30.3)	
U.S. Treasury demand deposits Line of credit and other debt		(0.1)				(0.1)		(0.1) (3.3)		(0.1) $(0.1)$		(0.2) (3.4)	
Total short-term borrowings Long-term debt		(3.2) 0.1		(5.7)		(8.9) 0.1		(7.7) 3.6		(26.2) 0.6		(33.9) 4.2	
Total interest expense	\$	(18.7)	\$	(16.0)	\$	(34.7)	\$	(40.2)	\$	(66.5)	\$	(106.7)	
Changes in net interest income	\$	2.9	\$	(14.1)	\$	(11.2)	\$	26.8	\$	(50.5)	\$	(23.7)	

<sup>\*</sup> We calculate variances on a fully tax-equivalent basis, which

includes the effects of any disallowed interest expense.

- We define changes attributable to volume as changes in average balances multiplied by the prior year s rate.
- We define changes attributable to rate as changes in rate multiplied by the average balances in the applicable period of the prior year. A change in rate/volume (change in rate multiplied by change in volume) has been allocated to the change in rate.

### **NONINTEREST INCOME**

Noninterest income for the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 was lower than for the corresponding periods in 2008, mainly because:

Other-than-temporary impairments on investment securities increased. Other-than-temporary impairments are recorded as reductions in noninterest income.

WAS revenue was lower, as fees tied to client asset valuations declined.

Revenue for the first nine months of 2008 included approximately \$4.9 million of revenue from our share of the proceeds from Visa Inc. s initial public offering.

Noninterest income continued to grow as a percentage of combined net interest and noninterest income. Factors in this shift were the historically low levels of market interest rates, the decline in investment securities balances, and increases in the provision for loan losses.

Noninterest income		2009 Q3		08 Q3	200	09 YTD	2008 YTD				
	(Dollars in millions)										
Noninterest income	\$	69.1	\$	87.8	\$	261.4	\$	283.7			
Noninterest income as a percentage of combined											
net interest and noninterest income <sup>1</sup>		63%		55%		69%		57%			

After amortization and the provision for loan losses

CCS and WAS revenue continued to account for the majority of our noninterest income.

### THE CORPORATE CLIENT SERVICES BUSINESS

We report four components of Corporate Client Services (CCS) revenue. Revenue from capital markets and entity management services is based on the complexity of trust and administrative services we provide, not on asset valuations. A portion of retirement services revenue is based on the level of services we provide, but most is based on the market valuations of retirement plan assets for which we serve as trustee. Institutional investment and cash management fees are based on money market fund balances or valuations of investment-grade fixed income instruments. For more information about the components of the CCS business, read the CCS discussion in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders. For more information about the portion of our revenue that is based on financial market valuations, read the financial market risk discussion in this report.

### We do not:

Lend to or serve as a creditor, unsecured or otherwise, in transactions CCS supports, including default, bankruptcy, and loan agency transactions.

Own the assets or entities for which CCS serves as trustee or administrator.

Record these assets on our balance sheet.

Consolidate these entities in our financial statements.

Take ownership positions in the structures or entities CCS supports.

Issue, underwrite, set pricing, or establish valuations for the financing structures CCS supports.

Offer high-volume back-office processing services.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### CCS in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009

Total CCS revenue was 28% higher than for the year-ago third quarter, and 35% higher on a year-to-date basis. Most of this growth was in retirement services revenue, which benefited from the retirement services acquisitions we completed in 2008.

<b>Corporate Client Services revenue (in millions)</b>	20	09 Q3	20	08 Q3	200	9 YTD	200	8 YTD
Capital markets services	\$	15.2	\$	11.9	\$	39.5	\$	35.6
Entity management services		8.3		7.7		24.5		24.2
Retirement services		16.7		11.3		49.4		22.0
Institutional investment/cash management services		3.7		3.5		11.2		10.3
Total Corporate Client Services revenue	\$	43.9	\$	34.4	\$	124.6	\$	92.1

In the capital markets component, revenue was 28% higher than for the year-ago third quarter, and 11% higher on a year-to-date basis, mainly due to strong demand for default and bankruptcy administration services and for successor loan agency services. CCS is providing successor trustee or other services for most of the largest U.S. bankruptcies filed over the past 12 months, including the Lehman Brothers and General Motors bankruptcies. The growth in capital markets revenue demonstrated:

The advantages of being an independent provider with no lending or investment banking conflicts of interest. In many cases, we would not have been awarded assignments without our conflict-free position.

How CCS has been able to respond quickly to changing market needs. While the market for services that support asset-backed securitizations and other traditional financing structures remains at a near-standstill, demand has grown for successor loan agency, bankruptcy, and other types of services.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

How staff members we have added over the past 18 months have captured business. For example, last year we hired a team of 12 seasoned capital markets experts in Minneapolis. This group has helped us garner additional successor loan agency, bankruptcy-related, and distressed debt business.

How CCS is benefiting from consolidation in the industry. In February, Bank of America, N.A. selected us to assume some of the corporate debt trustee services formerly performed by LaSalle Bank N.A., which Bank of America acquired in 2007. (This transfer did not affect Bank of America s larger securitization trustee business, LaSalle Global Trust Services.) In July, we hired a team of corporate trust professionals, formerly with LaSalle, who specialize in trust administration for insurance products.

In the entity management component of CCS, 2009 third quarter revenue reflected solid demand for successor loan agency services and new securitization business in Germany, Greece, the United Kingdom, and Luxembourg. On a year-to-date basis, these services, as well as tax services and captive management services, contributed to entity management revenue, but these gains were offset by foreign currency fluctuations.

Revenue from institutional investment and cash management services increased from the third quarter and first nine months of 2008, as CCS continued to win additional mandates. Fees tied to U.S. investment-grade fixed income securities accounted for approximately 44% of institutional investment and cash management revenue for the 2009 third quarter, and for approximately 39% of the 2009 year-to-date amount. The remainder was based on money market fund balances.

At September 30, 2009, CCS assets under management were \$13.03 billion. For more information about this, read the assets under management and administration discussion in this report.

### **Corporate Client Services efficiency**

Compared to the third quarter and first nine months of 2008, CCS profitability improved due to the increases in capital markets and retirement services revenue. Efficiency was less favorable, mainly because 2009 expenses included:

Costs associated with the retirement services acquisition that was completed in the 2008 fourth quarter. First quarter 2009 expenses that included costs associated with, but no revenue from, the conduit services business, which we exited at the end of the 2009 first quarter.

Corporate Client Services efficiency	200	09 Q3	20	08 Q3	200	<b>9 YTD</b>	200	<b>08 YTD</b>
Segment operating net income (in millions)	\$	6.1	\$	4.7	\$	15.4	\$	13.7
Efficiency ratio <sup>1</sup>		82.88%		81.33%		85.24%		80.19%

The efficiency

ratio expresses

total noninterest

expense as a

percentage of

net interest and

noninterest

income (before

the provision for

loan losses) on a

tax-equivalent

basis.

For more information about CCS profitability, read Note 13, Segment reporting, in this report.

### THE WEALTH ADVISORY SERVICES BUSINESS

We report three components of Wealth Advisory Services (WAS) revenue. Trust and investment advisory fees are based on the market valuations of client assets we manage, direct, or hold in custody, and the valuations are tied to movements in the financial markets. Fees for planning and other services are based on the level and complexity of services we provide, not on client asset valuations. Mutual fund revenue is tied primarily to money market mutual fund and cash balances. For more information about the components of the WAS business, read the WAS discussion in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders. For more information about the portion of our revenue that is based on financial market valuations, read the financial market risk discussion in this report.

### WAS in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009

WAS business development remained solid in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009, but market pressures and shifts in clients investment preferences caused revenue to decline.

Wealth Advisory Services revenue	2009 Q3		2008 Q3		2009 YTD		2008 YTD	
				(In m	illions)	)		
Trust and investment advisory revenue	\$	33.5	\$	39.3	\$	96.4	\$	118.7
Planning and other services revenue		10.0		11.2		31.2		32.5
Mutual fund revenue		2.4		6.8		15.1		19.6
Total Wealth Advisory Services revenue	\$	45.9	\$	57.3	\$	142.7	\$	170.8
Revenue from trust and investment advisory service	es was a	ffected ne	gativel	y by volat	ility in	the financ	ial ma	rkets,

which:

Eroded the valuations of assets in client portfolios and, consequently, reduced fees that are based on asset valuations.

Led to an increase in demand for fixed income and cash management investments. This dampened revenue because, in general, our fees for these services are lower than our fees for equity investment management services. Although equity markets improved during the 2009 third quarter, most WAS clients elected to remain invested in instruments with less volatility.

Mutual fund revenue was affected negatively by the low level of market interest rates. Due to the low yields on these funds, we have waived our management fees. These waivers reduced WAS revenue by approximately \$4.0 million for the 2009 third quarter, and by approximately \$6.3 million for the first nine months of 2009.

Changes in revenue from planning and other services reflected the fluctuations in demand that are inherent in this business. Fees for these services can vary widely in amount, and portions may be nonrecurring. It is not unusual for these fees to increase or decrease from one reporting period to another.

### Wealth Advisory Services efficiency

WAS efficiency and profitability were lower for the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 than for the corresponding periods in 2008, mainly because:

Market pressures on asset valuations and the mutual fund fee waivers reduced revenue.

The amount of the provision for loan losses attributed to WAS was higher.

Wealth Advisory Services efficiency	200	09 Q3	20	08 Q3	200	9 YTD	200	8 YTD
Segment operating net income (in millions)	\$	1.6	\$	4.2	\$	6.9	\$	13.6
Efficiency ratio <sup>1</sup>		89.35%		85.91%		87.15%		85.71%

The efficiency

ratio expresses

total noninterest

expense as a

percentage of

net interest and

noninterest

income (before

the provision for

loan losses) on a

tax-equivalent

basis.

For more information about WAS profitability, read Note 13, Segment reporting, in this report.

### ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

We report two types of client assets. Assets under management (AUM) are assets for which we make investment decisions on behalf of clients. Most of our AUM are associated with WAS clients. Assets under administration (AUA) are assets we hold in custody or for which we serve as fiduciary on behalf of clients. Most of our AUA are associated with CCS retirement services clients.

Because we provide a variety of services in addition to asset management and custody, and because most of the assets we manage or administer are held in trusts, changes in amounts of AUM or AUA do not necessarily indicate that we have gained or lost business. Consequently, we believe that changes in revenue, rather than changes in AUM or AUA, are the better indicators of trends in the WAS and CCS businesses. For more information about this, read the AUM and AUA discussion in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

Client assets at Wilmington Trust <sup>1</sup>	At	9/30/09	 12/31/08 billions)	At 9/30/08		
WAS assets under management CCS assets under management	\$	26.7 13.0	\$ 26.8 9.7	\$	30.2 6.9	
Total Wilmington Trust assets under management Assets under administration	\$	39.7 101.1	\$ 36.5 91.1	\$	37.1 102.8	
Combined AUM and AUA at Wilmington Trust	\$	140.8	\$ 127.6	\$	139.9	

Excludes
Cramer
Rosenthal
McGlynn and
Roxbury Capital
Management.
Includes
estimates of
asset values that
are not readily
available, such
as those held in
limited
partnerships.

Changes in client assets at Wilmington Trust between September 30, 2009, and prior periods reflected:

Lower amounts of WAS AUM, mainly because financial market volatility reduced the valuations of assets in WAS client portfolios.

Higher amounts of CCS AUM, mainly as a result of the retirement services acquisitions, as well as increased efforts to market asset management services to CCS clients.

Routine distributions from WAS client accounts.

Routine fluctuations in CCS client accounts. Monetary assets we manage or administer for CCS clients can fluctuate by hundreds of millions of dollars from one reporting period to the next, depending on the cash management needs of these clients.

Changes in the investment mix of AUM at Wilmington Trust reflected shifts in asset allocation strategies due to volatility in the equity markets and higher client demand for fixed income investments.

	At								
Investment mix of Wilmington Trust AUM <sup>1</sup>	At 9/30/09	12/31/08	At 9/30/08						
Equities	37%	38%	41%						
Fixed income	34%	33%	26%						
Cash and cash equivalents	18%	18%	19%						
Other assets	11%	11%	14%						

1 Excludes

Cramer

Rosenthal

McGlynn and

Roxbury Capital

Management.

### **AFFILIATE MONEY MANAGERS**

We have ownership positions in two money management firms:

Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn (CRM), a value-style manager based in New York.

Roxbury Capital Management (RCM), a growth-style manager based in Minneapolis, Minnesota, and Santa Monica, California.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2009 Q3 2008 Q3		3 Q3	2009 YTD		2008	YTD		
	(In millions)							
4.7	\$	4.2	\$	11.3	\$	12.9		
The revenue we record from CRM and RCM is net of their expenses and based on our ownership position in each. W								
•								
	-	•			_			
		•						
				1 /		,		
2009 Q3	2008	8 Q3	2009	YTD	2008	YTD		
_		(In mi	llions)					
5.3	\$	3.8	3.8 \$ 1.		\$	13.3		
			At					
	At 9/30	/09	12/31	/08	At 9/30	0/08		
			lars in	billions)				
	4.7 ir expenses nts because CRM and For more ir ote 13, Seg to Shareho	4.7 \$ ir expenses and base onts because the prince CRM and RCM are For more information onte 13, Segment repair to Shareholders.  2009 Q3 2008  5.3 \$	(In miles 4.7 \$ 4.2 in expenses and based on our ents because the principals of a CRM and RCM are not part. For more information about Cote 13, Segment reporting, to Shareholders.  2009 Q3 2008 Q3 (In miles 5.3 \$ 3.8	(In millions)  4.7 \$ 4.2 \$ ir expenses and based on our owners into because the principals of these find CRM and RCM are not part of our of For more information about CRM are note 13, Segment reporting, in this to Shareholders.  2009 Q3 2008 Q3 2009 (In millions)  5.3 \$ 3.8 \$  At At 9/30/09 12/31.	(In millions)  4.7 \$ 4.2 \$ 11.3 ir expenses and based on our ownership position into because the principals of these firms retain CRM and RCM are not part of our WAS buse. For more information about CRM and RCM, onte 13, Segment reporting, in this report, are to Shareholders.  2009 Q3 2008 Q3 2009 YTD (In millions)  5.3 \$ 3.8 \$ 13.3	(In millions)  4.7 \$ 4.2 \$ 11.3 \$ ir expenses and based on our ownership position in earnts because the principals of these firms retain manage. CRM and RCM are not part of our WAS business, ar For more information about CRM and RCM, read the ote 13, Segment reporting, in this report, and Note to Shareholders.  2009 Q3 2008 Q3 2009 YTD 2008 (In millions)  5.3 \$ 3.8 \$ 13.3 \$		

Page 112

CRM assets under management

Wilmington Trust s ownership position

\$

11.0

79.75%

\$

7.8

80.99%

\$

10.1

80.99%

Revenue from CRM for the 2009 third quarter was higher than for the year-ago third quarter because:

New business inflows increased AUM and the corresponding fees.

Equity market improvements during the 2009 third quarter increased client asset valuations and the corresponding fees.

On a year-to-date basis, revenue from CRM was unchanged because:

Volatility in the equity markets during the first half of 2009 reduced client asset valuations and the corresponding fees.

Market conditions reduced the performance fees CRM earned from its real estate hedge fund investments.

Revenue from Roxbury Capital Management	200	9 Q3	2	2008 Q3	20 illions	009 YTD	20	08 YTD
Revenue (net of expenses)	\$	(0.6)	\$	0.4	1111011; \$	(2.0)	\$	(0.4)
	T	(010)	_	***	_	(=**)	•	(011)
						At		
Changes in ownership position and RCM AUM			At 9	0/30/09	12	/31/08	At	9/30/08
				<b>(D</b>	ollars	in billions)	)	
RCM assets under management			\$	1.5	\$	1.3	\$	1.9
Wilmington Trust s ownership position:								
Ownership of preferred profits				30%		30%		30%
Ownership of common interests				41.23%		41.23%		41.23%
Ownership of Class B interests				67%		67%		50%

At RCM, improvement in the equity markets during the 2009 third quarter, as well as inflows into the firm s newer products, helped offset asset outflows and caused AUM to increase slightly. RCM continued its efforts to reduce expenses and improve profitability.

### **NONINTEREST EXPENSE**

We have kept a tight rein on expenses throughout 2009. Expenses for the 2009 third quarter were only 3% higher than for the year-ago third quarter. Two items accounted for most of this increase:

Higher retirement services subadvisor expense. The year-over-year comparison of this expense line is skewed because the year-ago third quarter did not reflect expenses associated with the retirement services acquisition we completed in the 2008 fourth quarter. We discuss the increase in revenue associated with this acquisition in the CCS business discussion.

Higher Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) premium expense. In 2009, the FDIC instituted an industry-wide premium increase and changed its methodology for calculating premiums. These two actions added approximately \$2.7 million to our 2009 third quarter insurance expense.

On a year-to-date basis, expenses were 11% lower than for 2008, because 2008 year-to-date expenses included a goodwill impairment charge of \$66.9 million on the value of our investment in RCM. For more information about this charge, read the RCM discussion, Note 4, Affiliates and acquisitions, and Note 10, Goodwill and other intangible assets, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

Noninterest expense (in millions)	200	9 Q3	Q3 2008 Q3		2009 YTD		2008 YTI	
Reported noninterest expense Goodwill impairment	\$	127.0	\$	123.9	\$	382.0	\$	427.9 66.9
Operating noninterest expense	\$	127.0	\$	123.9	\$	382.0	\$	361.0

Operating results are non-GAAP measures that we use throughout this report because we consider them important measures of our results and key indicators of our ongoing performance. We believe these measures:

Provide a more relevant and comparative basis on which to measure ongoing business activities and evaluate the company s performance.

Help investors and analysts develop more meaningful and accurate analyses of trends in the company s core business operations.

Some limitations are inherent in presenting operating results. While we believe these disclosures help investors understand the dynamics of our company, these non-GAAP disclosures may not offer relevant comparisons to the operating results of other companies. Other companies might use different measures and/or calculate them differently. We compensate for these limitations by providing a reconciliation between GAAP reported results and non-GAAP operating results. The presentation of non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed as supplemental information and not as a substitute for financial results determined in accordance with GAAP.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

On an operating basis (excluding the RCM impairment), year-to-date expenses were 6% higher than for 2008. Factors in the increase included:

A special assessment levied industry-wide by the FDIC, which added \$5.3 million of expenses in the 2009 second quarter.

The previously mentioned FDIC premium increase and changes in methodology for calculating FDIC premiums. These actions added approximately \$7.4 million to our 2009 year-to-date expenses. We anticipate additional increases in our FDIC insurance expense, due to the FDIC s methodology change and growth in deposit balances. The retirement services acquisitions we completed in April and October of 2008, which added approximately 190 staff members and increased subadvisor and servicing and consulting expenses.

Higher legal expenses, due to ongoing litigation as well as commercial loan recovery and foreclosure activities. An expense of \$2.8 million to rectify account transfer and processing errors and ensure that the associated clients were not affected negatively.

Other factors in expenses for the first nine months of 2009 included:

The closure of our collateralized debt obligation and conduit services business at the end of the 2009 first quarter. Lower incentives and bonus expense, as amounts accrued were adjusted to reflect actual payments. Lower WAS subadvisor expense, as financial market volatility reduced trading volumes and our use of

Lower WAS subadvisor expense, as financial market volatility reduced trading volumes and our use o third-party advisors.

Staffing-related costs continued to account for the majority of our noninterest expense. We had 2,902 full-time-equivalent staff members at September 30, 2009. This was 23 fewer than at September 30, 2008. Most of this decline was associated with the closure of the conduit services business and attrition.

Staffing-related expense	2009 Q3		20	2008 Q3		09 YTD	2008 YTD	
	(Dollars in millions)							
Total staffing-related expenses	\$	73.0	\$	75.2	\$	214.4	\$	223.6
Staffing-related expense as a percentage of total								
operating noninterest expense		57%		61%		56%		62%

### **INCOME TAXES**

Our effective tax rate for the 2009 third quarter was 64.67%, compared with 34.94% for the 2008 third quarter. For more information about our income taxes, read Note 12, Income taxes, in this report.

### **CAPITAL RESOURCES**

We manage capital to meet or exceed appropriate standards of financial safety and soundness, comply with existing and impending regulatory requirements, and provide for future growth. We review our capital position and make adjustments as needed to ensure we can achieve these goals. Our wholly owned bank subsidiaries are the main users of our capital, and they are subject to regulatory capital requirements. The advisory businesses are not as capital-intensive, and they are not subject to regulatory capital requirements, although some of our trust agreements include specific capital requirements.

Our capital position remained strong during the first nine months of 2009. At September 30, 2009, all of our regulatory capital ratios were higher than at the ends of every quarterly reporting period in 2008, and all continued to exceed the amounts required by the Federal Reserve Board to be considered a well-capitalized institution. The Federal Reserve Board s guidelines are intended to reflect the varying degrees of risk associated with different on- and off-balance-sheet items. For more information about these guidelines, read the capital resources discussion and Note 16, Capital, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

Our capital at September 30, 2009, included \$330.0 million of Wilmington Trust Series A preferred stock and warrants, which we sold to the U.S. Department of the Treasury under the CPP in December 2008. As long as this preferred stock is outstanding, we will pay a 5% dividend on it annually until 2013, and 9% annually thereafter. The Series A preferred stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital, has no maturity date, and ranks senior to our common stock for dividend payments and other matters. Full details of our participation in the CPP and its terms are in a prospectus supplement and amended shelf registration statement dated January 12, 2009, which are available on www.wilmingtontrust.com in the Investor Relations section, under SEC filings.

For accounting purposes, we allocated the \$330.0 million we received under the CPP to the preferred stock and stock warrants, based on their relative estimated fair values. In order to record the value of the stock warrants, we recorded a corresponding discount on the preferred stock, which we will accrete over a five-year period that began on December 12, 2008. Along with the dividends on the preferred stock, we deduct the accretion of the discount from net income to arrive at net income available to common shareholders. For the 2009 third quarter, the accretion of the discount was \$0.4 million. For the first nine months of 2009, the accretion of the discount was \$1.3 million. For more information about this, read Note 4, Earnings per share, in this report.

	1	Nine months		
Capital strength		ended 9/30/09		ar ended 2/31/08
		(Dollars	in mi	llions)
Common stockholders equity (period end)	\$	991.6	\$	1,012.4
Common stockholders equity (on average)	\$	1,009.4	\$	1,085.2
Return/(loss) on average common stockholders equity (annualized)		0.90%		(2.17)%
Return/(loss) on average assets (annualized)		0.08%		(0.20)%
Capital generation rate (annualized)		(2.89)%		(10.36)%

The declines from prior periods in common stockholders equity, the return on common stockholders equity, and the return on average assets reflected the economic pressures we have experienced year-to-date in 2009. These pressures include the investment securities impairments, increases in the provision for loan losses, and the record-low level of market interest rates, all of which have reduced our ability to generate capital through retained earnings.

On January 29, 2009, our Board of Directors reduced the quarterly cash dividend from \$0.345 per common share to

\$0.1725 per common share. On July 22, 2009, the Board reduced the quarterly cash dividend to \$0.01 per common share.

The following table, which shows how these reductions affected our quarterly payout, illustrates how these actions should help us increase capital at a faster pace and hasten our exit from the CPP.

Quarterly cash dividend Capital payout <sup>1</sup>

\$0.345 per common share Approximately \$24 million per quarter

Approximately \$12 million per

\$0.1725 per common share quarter

Approximately \$700,000 per \$0.01 per common share quarter

Based on common shares outstanding at September 30, 2009.

### **Capital ratios**

All of our regulatory capital ratios were higher at September 30, 2009, than at year-end 2008, and they continued to exceed the amounts required by the Federal Reserve Board to be considered a well-capitalized institution. This was true both including and excluding the CPP funds.

Our capital ratios improved from year-end 2008 mainly because:

We reduced the amount of capital paid out for the quarterly cash dividend.

Commercial and consumer loan balances declined.

We sold \$129.0 million of seasoned residential mortgage loans at the end of the 2009 second quarter.

We decreased our investment securities portfolio balances.

Net income added capital.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

	At	At	At	Minimum M to be adequately	Iinimum to be well
Regulatory capital ratios	9/30/09	12/31/08	9/30/08	capitalizedca	pitalized
Total risk-based capital	14.40%	13.97%	11.24%	8%	10%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	9.95%	9.24%	6.77%	6 4%	6%
Tier 1 leverage capital	10.21%	8.77%	6.52%	6 4%	5%
		At			
				Minimum M	<b>Iinimum</b>
		9/30/09		to be	to
	At	without	At	adequately	be
					well
Regulatory capital ratios with and without CPP funds	9/30/09	CPP	12/31/08	capitalizedca	pitalized
Total risk-based capital	14.40%	11.38%	13.97%	8%	10%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	9.95%	6.94%	9.24%	6 4%	6%
Tier 1 leverage capital	10.21%	7.34%	8.77%	6 4%	5%
Page	e 121				

		At 9/	<b>30/09</b>	)	At 12/31/08				
			M	inimum			M	inimum	
	to be							to be	
		well					well		
Amounts of regulatory capital	y capital WL capital capitalized				WL capital		capitalized		
			(In thousands)						
Total risk-based capital	\$	1,577.4	\$	1,095.7	\$	1,600.3	\$	1,145.5	
Tier 1 risk-based capital	\$	1,090.4	\$	657.4	\$	1,058.3	\$	687.3	
Tier 1 leverage capital	\$	1,090.4	\$	534.1	\$	1,058.3	\$	603.2	

One of the tools we use to measure the adequacy of our capital is the tangible common equity-to-assets (TCE) ratio. At September 30, 2009, our TCE ratio was 5.60%, an improvement from year-end 2008, when it was 5.12%. (Our TCE ratio does not include preferred stock or the noncontrolling interest.)

The TCE ratio is a non-GAAP disclosure. We believe it is a useful tool because it reflects the level of capital we have available to withstand unexpected market conditions. In addition, it is a measure that credit rating agencies and industry analysts use to evaluate our financial condition and capital strength.

Because the TCE ratio is a non-GAAP disclosure, some limitations are inherent in its use. It may not offer a relevant comparison to other companies. In addition, other companies might calculate their TCE ratios differently. We calculate our TCE ratio by using a numerator of stockholders—equity (excluding preferred stock and the noncontrolling interest) minus the sum of goodwill and other intangibles. The denominator we use is total assets minus the sum of goodwill and other intangibles.

#### **Changes to capital**

During the first nine months of 2009, we added \$30.0 million to capital, which consisted of:

- \$6.8 million of net income.
- \$7.9 million for unrealized securities gains, net of taxes.
- \$5.8 million in adjustments related to compensation and retirement plans.
- \$1.3 million in foreign currency translation adjustments, net of taxes.
- \$1.0 million for the cancellation of restricted stock.

A net effect of \$7.2 million related to the other-than-temporary impairment of TruPS, net of taxes.

Offsetting these additions was a \$49.5 million reduction in capital, which consisted of:

\$35.7 million of dividends paid.

A \$6.0 million reclassification of discontinued cash flow hedges, net of taxes, from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings.

A \$5.8 million reclassification adjustment for securities gains included in net income, net of taxes.

\$0.8 million for the acquisition of treasury stock.

An \$0.8 million adjustment of deferred tax assets related to stock-based compensation.

\$0.4 million of unrealized gains on equity method investments, net of taxes.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Share repurchase program

Our current share repurchase plan, which was authorized by our Board of Directors in April 2002, permits us to buy back up to 8 million shares of Wilmington Trust common stock. Our share repurchase activity reflects how we choose to deploy capital, and our decisions are not driven solely by share price.

We did not repurchase any of our shares under this program during the first nine months of 2009, and we do not expect to repurchase any of our shares under this program during the remainder of 2009.

Current repurchase plan activity	At 9/30/09	At 12/31/08	At 9/30/08
Number of shares repurchased			
Average price per share repurchased	\$	\$	\$
Total cost of shares repurchased	\$	\$	\$
Total shares purchased under current plan	3,043,796	3,043,796	3,043,796
Shares available for repurchase	4,956,204	4,956,204	4,956,204

Amounts in the table above do not match the amounts reported under Part II, Item 2, in this report, because those amounts include shares we receive when recipients of stock-based compensation exercise their options. We consider those types of share acquisitions to be outside the parameters of our authorized share repurchase plan, because those shares are not trading on the open market when we acquire them.

#### LIQUIDITY AND FUNDING

As a bank holding company, we need funding and liquidity to support operating and investing activities, comply with regulatory requirements, and minimize the risk of having insufficient funds to conduct business. We believe our liquidity position is strong because:

Our capital ratios demonstrate that we are well capitalized.

We have access to diverse sources of funding, which mitigates our liquidity risk and gives us the ability to adjust the mix and amount of funding as we deem appropriate.

Our liquidity management practices give us the flexibility to react to changes that might affect our liquidity adversely.

To manage the risk of having insufficient liquidity, we:

Monitor our existing and projected liquidity requirements continually.

Follow policies established by our Asset/Liability Committee and approved by our Board of Directors.

Calculate a wholesale funding ratio monthly using three-, six-, and 12-month time horizons.

Categorize our liquidity risk into three levels that consider various internal and external scenarios.

Monitor cash flows.

Maintain a contingency funding plan.

Factors or conditions that could affect our liquidity position include changes in:

The types of assets and liabilities on our balance sheet.

Our investment, loan, and deposit balances.

Our credit ratings.

Our reputation.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

A significant change in our financial performance or credit ratings could reduce the availability or increase our cost of funding. In addition, our liquidity position could be affected adversely if economic conditions limit the range of capital-raising options available to us and/or our ability to sell certain types of investment securities. For more information on our liquidity and funds management practices, and liquidity risk scenarios, read the discussion of liquidity and funding in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

### Liquidity in the first nine months of 2009

At September 30, 2009, we were operating within Level I parameters of liquidity risk, the same as at year-end 2008, and our sources of liquidity remained diversified.

Sources of liquidity	A	at 9/30/09 (In m	 t 12/31/08
Core deposit balances	\$	6,506.3	\$ 5,983.5
National brokered CDs		922.7	2,432.9
Short-term borrowings		1,266.1	1,617.2
Long-term debt		470.4	468.8
Wilmington Trust stockholders equity		1,314.4	1,333.9
Investment securities		608.7	1,373.3
Unused borrowing capacity from lines of credit with U.S. financial			
institutions			80.0
Unused borrowing capacity secured with collateral from the Federal Home			
Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) <sup>1</sup>		479.4	665.3
Unused borrowing capacity secured with collateral from the Federal Reserve		2,367.5	4,498.4
Total	\$	13,935.5	\$ 18,453.3

Wilmington **Trust Company** and Wilmington Trust FSB are **FHLB** members. The FHLB adjusts our borrowing capacity quarterly, but we do not receive the adjustment calculations until after the filing dates of our quarterly and annual reports. The amounts shown are based on financial information as of June 30, 2009, and September 30, 2008, respectively.

We expect our actual unused

**FHLB** 

borrowing

capacity at

September 30,

2009, to be less

than the amount

shown, because

our asset levels

at

September 30,

2009, were

lower than at

June 30, 2009.

For more information about our long-term debt, read Note 11, Borrowings, in this report.

### **Credit ratings**

Fitch Ratings, Moody s Investors Service, and Standard & Poor s have downgraded the credit ratings of Wilmington Trust Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company during the first nine months of 2009. We do not expect these downgrades to have any significant effect on our operations, financial condition, or business prospects.

Wilmington Trust Corporation Outlook Issuer rating (long-term/short-term) Subordinated debt	Fitch Ratings (As of 7/24/09) Negative A-/F1 BBB+	Moody s Investors Service (As of 4/24/09) Negative Baa3 / * Ba1	Standard & Poor s (As of 6/17/09) Negative BBB / A-2 BBB-
* No rating in this category.			
	Fitch Ratings (As of	Moody s Investors Service (As of	Standard & Poor s
Wilmington Trust Company	7/24/09)	4/24/09)	(As of 6/17/09)
Outlook	Negative	Negative	Negative
Bank financial strength	B/C	C-	*
Issuer rating (long-term/short-term)	A-/F1	Baa2	BBB+/A-2
Bank deposits (long-term/short-term)	A/F1	Baa2/P-2	BBB+/A-2
* No rating in this			

No rating in this category.

#### **Funding**

Our funding strategy is to use a blend of core and non-core funding:

Core deposits, which are deposits made by clients.

National brokered CDs, which we gather through various broker networks, and which typically consist of aggregated deposits from individuals, mutual funds, or financial institutions.

Short-term borrowings.

The mix between national brokered CDs and short-term borrowings can change over time and is dependent on our maturity and pricing needs.

Our use of non-core funding:

Supports our Regional Banking business model. We gather core deposits primarily in Delaware, where our consumer banking activities are focused, but we make commercial loans throughout the mid-Atlantic region, a much larger geographic area.

Helps us manage interest rate risk, since we can match the repricing characteristics of our floating rate loans more easily with non-core funding than with core deposits.

In the first nine months of 2009, our mix of funding shifted significantly, as an influx of core deposits reduced our need for non-core funding. In addition, declines in loan and investment securities portfolio balances reduced our overall need for funds to support earning assets.

Selected asset and liability balance changes	At 9/30/09 At 12/31/08 (Dollars in			At 9/30/09 At 12/31/08 \$ change (Dollars in millions)			
Core deposit balances	\$	6,506.3	\$	5,983.5	\$	522.8	9%
National brokered CDs Short-term borrowings	\$	922.7 1,266.1	\$	2,432.9 1,617.2	\$	(1,510.2) (351.1)	(62)% (22)%
Total non-core funding  At September 30, 2009, most of the underlying depos	\$ its ir	2,188.8	\$ al bro	4,050.1		(1,861.3)	(46)%

At September 30, 2009, most of the underlying deposits in our national brokered CDs were from individual depositors.

Funding for the nine months ended September 30 (on average)	2009	2008
Percentage from core deposits	69%	53%
Percentage from non-core funding:		
Percentage from national brokered CDs	15%	28%
Percentage from short-term borrowings	16%	19%
Total percentage from non-core funding	31%	47%
Loan-to-deposit ratio	110%	112%

For more information about our funding strategy, read the funding and liquidity risk discussion in our 2008 Annual Report To Shareholders. For more information about how we manage interest rate risk, read the Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk section of this report.

#### ASSET QUALITY, LOAN LOSS RESERVE, AND LOAN LOSS PROVISION

At September 30, 2009:

Loans accounted for 83% of our assets, and most of our asset quality remained tied to loan, or credit, quality. Investment securities accounted for 6% of our assets. For more information about the quality of these assets, read the investment portfolio discussion and Note 10, Investment securities, in this report.

#### **CREDIT RISK**

Lending money entails certain risks. When we make a loan, we make subjective judgments about the borrower s ability to repay the loan. No matter how financially sound a client or lending decision may seem, a borrower s ability to repay can be affected adversely by economic changes and other external factors.

We believe the most relevant measure of credit quality is the net charge-off ratio, because:

Dollar amounts do not measure loan losses against increases or decreases in loan balances, or in the context of our lending activities overall.

Other measures of credit quality do not convey the nature of our client relationships, our efforts to help clients resolve problems, and our pursuit of repayment even after we classify a loan as nonaccruing or charge it off. Compared to many other banks, our nonperforming asset levels tend to be higher, but our net charge-offs tend to be lower. This reflects our focus on client relationships and our desire to work with borrowers to resolve repayment problems, instead of automatically charging off unpaid amounts.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For more information about credit and other risks inherent in our business, read the risk discussion in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders and Item 1A in our Form 10-K for 2008.

#### How we mitigate credit risk

To mitigate credit risk, we:

Employ rigorous loan underwriting standards and apply them consistently.

Prefer to grow loan balances ourselves, using our own underwriting standards, instead of purchasing loans or acquiring other banks.

Make the majority of our loans within Regional Banking s mid-Atlantic geographic footprint, in markets we know well.

Focus on building long-term relationships with clients, instead of merely increasing transaction volumes.

Typically obtain collateral and personal guarantees from commercial borrowers.

Monitor the loan portfolio to identify potential problems.

Regularly review all past-due loans, loans not being repaid according to contractual terms, and loans we doubt will be paid on a timely basis.

Perform an internal risk rating analysis that classifies all loans outstanding into one of four categories of risk: pass, watchlist, substandard, or doubtful/loss. We apply these classifications consistently and we analyze migrations within the classifications quarterly.

In addition, we divide credit risk-related responsibilities among different groups of staff members. These groups include lending, credit, loan recovery, and appraisal staff members. We also have a separate credit review group that reports directly to our Board of Directors. We believe this approach gives us a system of checks and balances that enhances our ability to evaluate credit risk.

For more information about the steps we take to mitigate credit risk, read the risk discussion in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders and Item 1A in our Form 10-K for 2008.

#### How we identify potential problem loans

To identify potential problem loans, we:

Review payment performance on an ongoing basis.

Analyze account overdrafts.

Monitor compliance with established loan covenants or collateral formulas.

Perform targeted reviews and analyses of loans by type, size, and borrower.

We identify problem consumer and residential mortgage loans primarily by reviewing payment performance. We do not assign risk ratings to loans in these portfolios.

For commercial loans and loans secured with investments, the process is more complex, and it involves a high degree of management review and judgment.

Every commercial loan (and loan secured with investments) receives an initial risk rating that is assigned by the client relationship manager. It is the client relationship manager s responsibility to identify deterioration in the quality of a loan, as soon as such conditions are known or suspected, by changing the loan s assigned risk rating. Our independent credit review group examines these risk ratings to ensure that they accurately reflect the risk profile of the portfolio.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For each significant potential problem loan in the commercial and secured with investments portfolios, the client relationship manager prepares a written summary that contains information about the borrower s financial performance, payment history, and collateral position, as well as an action plan for managing the credit. These summaries are reviewed and discussed by lending staff in each of our Regional Banking markets at loan quality meetings that are held quarterly. Regional Banking managers may elect to have loan recovery staff members consult on these credits or assume responsibility for managing them.

Generally, if we downgrade a loan to a rating of substandard or lower, we transfer the client relationship to our loan recovery unit. Exceptions to this policy must be approved by the manager of the division in which the loan resides. Once we transfer a loan to loan recovery, we perform a thorough review of its documentation to ensure that none of our intended rights or remedies has been compromised. Typically, in-house staff members perform these reviews. For very large and/or complicated workout transactions, we may engage outside counsel. Loan recovery staff also consult with client relationship managers on problem credits, even if those credits have not been transferred officially to the loan recovery unit.

Significant relationships with substandard or lower ratings, or other credits that demonstrate rapid and/or severe deterioration and a high probability of income and/or principal loss, are reviewed at quarterly credit strategies meetings. Typically, our chairman and chief executive officer, our president, chief financial officer, chief credit officer, and other members of senior management attend these meetings. Among the issues analyzed and reviewed at these meetings are collection strategies and levels of available collateral support. We use our loan documentation files to determine the nature of a loan s underlying collateral.

#### How we manage problem loans

When a loan becomes 60 or more days past due, it is included in monthly analyses performed by credit review staff. When a loan becomes 90 or more days past due, or if it has been identified as a potential problem loan, we may take one or more of the following steps:

Confirm the loan s assigned risk rating.

Review our collateral position and valuations.

Allocate an appropriate amount to the reserve for loan losses.

Transfer all or part of the loan relationship to nonaccruing status.

Renegotiate all or part of the loan s terms.

Foreclose on real property or accept a deed to real property in lieu of foreclosure, and record the property s value as other real estate owned (OREO).

Charge off all or part of the loan.

When we transfer a loan to nonaccruing status, we allocate a specific, associated amount to the reserve for loan losses, in accordance with ASC 310, Receivables, which incorporates Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan. We base most of these allocations on the underlying value of the collateral supporting the loan. We also may consider the net present value of the loan s future cash flows and/or the observed market price of the loan.

We update our reserve allocations quarterly. If necessary, we adjust the reserve by increasing or decreasing the provision for loan losses. For more information on how we establish the reserve, read the separate discussion in this section of this report.

Loan recovery and credit review staff analyze all problem credits on a quarterly basis to determine collection potential and identify collateral deficiencies. If a collateral shortfall exists, we typically revise the loan s structure by requiring additional principal payments, additional collateral, or additional support in the form of other guaranties, to the extent that the loan s documentation permits such remedies. If there is a collateral shortfall on an impaired loan, we set aside an amount in the reserve for loan losses in accordance with ASC 310.

Loan recovery and credit review staff use the quarterly analyses of collection potential and collateral deficiencies as a basis for recommending whether a loan should be charged off partially or fully for that quarter. We record charge-offs when both of the following conditions are present:

It is probable that we will not be able to collect all of the loan s principal.

We can measure the amount of loss reliably.

If both of these conditions are not met, we maintain the estimated loss exposure as a specific allocation in the reserve for loan losses.

When we transfer a property to OREO through foreclosure or deed-in-lieu of foreclosure, we analyze the property s value to determine if a charge-off is necessary to bring the loan to the net realizable value of the property at the time we take possession.

#### How we determine collateral valuations for problem credits secured with real estate

Our lenders obtain updated valuations, regardless of loan size, any time they believe there has been an obvious and material deterioration in market conditions, project performance, or physical aspects of the property itself that could jeopardize our collateral position. We assess the need for revaluation when the amount of the loan is \$500,000 or more and one or more of the following conditions exists:

The client relationship manager recommends we renew or extend the loan.

The loan is downgraded to a risk rating of substandard or lower.

The loan has had a watchlist rating for 18 months.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Appraisal staff members, who work independently from the loan production function and who have a different management reporting structure, assess the property s existing valuation to determine if we need an updated appraisal. If the existing valuation is older than five years, we require an updated appraisal. If the valuation is less than five years old, appraisal staff assess whether or not the assumptions contained in the valuation are still valid. If the assumptions are no longer valid, we require new valuations.

Appraisal staff use the same standards to determine the sufficiency of a current valuation when the risk rating on a loan secured with real estate is downgraded to substandard. We review the valuations of substandard loans secured with real estate annually. If we determine that the current appraisal no longer represents the minimum value for a property, we obtain a new appraisal or evaluation annually to substantiate the property s value.

Loans secured with real estate that have watchlist ratings undergo a revaluation process every 18 months.

Appraisal staff determine whether revaluations should be performed by staff or third-party appraisers, unless the property secures a loan which has been determined to be impaired under ASC 310, which requires that revaluations be performed by third-party appraisers.

#### How we determine the reserve for loan losses

We establish a reserve for loan losses in accordance with GAAP by charging a provision for loan losses against income. On a quarterly basis, we:

Charge loans deemed uncollectible against the reserve.

Credit recoveries, if any, to the reserve.

Reassess the level of the reserve.

Have the reserve evaluated by staff members who do not have lending responsibilities.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The reserve reflects our best estimate of known and inherent loan losses, based on subjective judgments about the likelihood that loans will be repaid. The process we use to calculate the reserve has provided an appropriate reserve over an extended period of time, and we believe that our methodology is sound.

To determine the amount of the reserve, we:

Group losses and outstanding loans with similar characteristics into categories, or pools.

Group loans within most pools according to their prior quarter risk ratings.

Make subjective judgments about a variety of quantitative and qualitative factors that may affect the potential for future losses in each pool.

Assign mathematical values to these factors.

In categorizing loans into pools, we consider project type, whether we have made the loan directly or indirectly, and other factors. For example:

Among commercial, financial, and agricultural (C&I) loans, we have a separate pool for automobile dealer floorplan (inventory) loans.

Within the commercial construction portfolio, we have separate pools for land development, residential construction, and other types of projects.

Within the commercial mortgage portfolio, we have separate pools for owner-occupied properties and non-owner-occupied properties.

We have separate pools for loans secured with investments, residential mortgage loans, home equity loans, and other types of consumer loans.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The quantitative and qualitative considerations we analyze for each pool include:

Historical net loss experience.

General economic trends and conditions in the United States and mid-Atlantic region.

Micro- and macro-economic trends and conditions in specific industry sectors.

Changes in the pace of loan growth and the size of the portfolio.

Loan concentration trends.

Migrations within the internal risk rating classification system.

Delinquent and nonperforming loan amounts and trends.

Trends in loan valuations and collateral composition.

Quality of portfolio risk management, including policy trends and adherence, experience, stability and depth of lending staff and management, and adequacy of loan review coverage.

Other factors.

The qualitative adjustments we make reflect our best estimate of how the current loss rate for each pool might differ from our historical loss experience.

We perform two types of calculations: one for loans that are considered impaired under ASC 310, and one for loans that are not considered impaired. The calculation for loans that are not considered impaired is governed by ASC 450,

Contingencies. For loans that are not impaired, we use statistical analyses of historical loss experience in each pool. We then adjust these factors using the mathematical factors we assign to the qualitative factors we consider. For each pool, we:

Use historical experience over the prior eight quarters to derive an average historical loss rate.

Apply a double-weighting to the four most recent quarters.

For loans that we have determined to be impaired, we establish reserves that reflect the present value of anticipated cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate at the date the loan is determined to be impaired or, for collateral-dependent loans, the fair value of the collateral. For collateral-dependent loans, we obtain appraisals for all significant properties.

The main factors we use to calculate the reserve for residential mortgage and consumer loans are delinquency rates, loss rates, and the qualitative adjustment factors mentioned above. Loss recognition for these loan types is based in large part on regulatory guidelines.

Various regulatory agencies periodically review the loan loss reserves of our primary banking subsidiaries, Wilmington Trust Company and Wilmington Trust FSB. These agencies base their judgments on information that is available to them when they conduct their examinations, and they may require us to adjust the reserve. In accordance with current accounting policies, we also maintain a separate reserve account that is reported on our balance sheet under other liabilities. These reserves are for unfunded loan commitments, mainly letters of credit. Determining the reserve is an inherently subjective process. Estimates we make, including estimates of the amounts and timing of payments we expect to receive on impaired loans, may be susceptible to significant change. If actual circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used to determine the reserve, future adjustments to the reserve may be necessary. This could have a material adverse effect on our financial performance and condition. For more information about how we establish and account for the loan loss reserve, read Note 2, Summary of significant accounting policies, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

### Credit quality in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009

Economic conditions continued to pressure borrowers in the third quarter and first nine months of 2009. These conditions led to levels of net charge-offs, nonperforming assets, and loans past due 90 days or more that were higher than at September 30, and December 31, 2008. In addition, the percentage of loans with pass ratings in the internal risk rating analysis continued to decline.

One commercial lending relationship accounted for the majority of the increase in net charge-offs, and for much of the increase in nonaccruing commercial construction loans. This relationship is with a mid-Atlantic-based developer of retirement communities.

The ratios in the table below illustrate how credit quality has changed over the past 12 months.

Selected credit quality ratios	At 9/30/09	At 12/31/08	At 9/30/08
Loan loss reserve ratio	2.24%	1.63%	1.27%
Nonperforming assets ratio (includes OREO)	4.39%	2.19%	1.19%
Past-due 90 days ratio	0.43%	0.36%	0.30%
Quarterly net charge-off ratio (not annualized)	0.24%	0.27%	0.11%
Year-to-date net charge-off ratio (not annualized)	0.85%	0.57%	0.30%

The loan loss reserve ratio differs from the nonperforming asset ratio because an asset s nonperformance does not automatically generate a partial or total loss.

The difference between these two ratios illustrates one aspect of our relationship-based business model. Compared to many other banks, our loans may remain on nonaccruing status for longer periods. This is because we prefer to work with borrowers to resolve repayment problems, instead of automatically charging off unpaid amounts. Consequently, our nonperforming asset levels are typically higher, but our net charge-offs are typically lower than those of many other banks.

#### **Net charge-offs**

Three commercial construction relationships accounted for most of the increase in net charge-offs: the retirement community developer mentioned above, and two other relationships with residential developers who have projects in Delaware and Maryland.

Net charge-offs	harge-offs 2009 Q3 2008 Q3				200	9 YTD	TD 2008 Y	
				(Dollars i	n millio	ons)		
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	\$	7.9	\$	4.7	\$	23.7	\$	8.0
Commercial real estate construction		6.3				27.1		5.5
Commercial mortgage		0.7		1.0		2.7		0.3
Residential mortgage		0.5				0.5		
Consumer and other retail		6.4		4.8		25.2		13.2
Total net loans charged off	\$	21.8	\$	10.5	\$	79.2	\$	27.0
Net charge-off ratio (not annualized)		0.24%		0.11%		0.85%		0.30%

Although higher than the year-ago levels, net charge-offs of consumer and other retail loans have declined steadily since the end of 2008. Most of these decreases have been in the indirect auto portfolio. In 2007, we adjusted our indirect auto loan underwriting standards and product pricing. As the balances of older-vintage loans decrease, the overall quality of the indirect auto portfolio has improved.

For more detail on gross charge-offs and recoveries, see the loan loss reserve discussion in this report at the end of this section.

### **Nonperforming assets**

Five commercial loan relationships accounted for most of the 2009 year-to-date increase in nonperforming loans.

The previously mentioned retirement community developer.

A Delaware developer of income-producing retail properties.

Two automobile dealers.

A client who operates a chain of restaurants in the mid-Atlantic region.

Nonperforming assets	At 9/30/09 At 12/31/08 At 9/30/ (Dollars in millions)						
Nonaccruing loans:							
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	\$	88.6	\$	41.2	\$	28.4	
Commercial real estate construction		190.7		112.7		41.0	
Commercial mortgage		50.8		21.7		8.6	
Consumer and other retail		35.8		20.7		22.1	
Total nonaccruing loans		365.9		196.3		100.1	
Renegotiated loans		3.8		0.1		0.1	
Total nonaccruing loans and renegotiated loans		369.7		196.4		100.2	
Other real estate owned (OREO)		27.8		14.5		14.5	
Total nonperforming assets	\$	397.5	\$	210.9	\$	114.7	
Nonperforming asset ratio (includes OREO)		4.39%		2.19%		1.19%	

As noted earlier, our nonaccruing loan balances reflect our desire to work with clients to resolve repayment problems, instead of charging off problem loans quickly. Consequently, on a comparative basis, our nonaccruing loan balances may be higher than those of other banks, but we believe this course of action minimizes net charge-offs.

#### Other real estate owned (OREO)

Two properties accounted for most of the increase in OREO during the first nine months of 2009:

A single-family residential development in central Delaware that we took possession of in the 2009 first quarter. A single- and multi-family residential project in southern Delaware that we took possession of in the 2009 second quarter. Most of the site work on this project is complete.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We charged off portions of the loans on both of these projects when we took possession of these properties. We are working with other developers to prepare these properties for sale.

The other major components of OREO at September 30, 2009, were an income-producing hotel and retail property in Ocean City, Maryland, and a luxury home development in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania. Our foreclosures on both of these properties occurred in the second quarter of 2008.

Although the market often views OREO negatively, we view moving properties to OREO as a positive step in the loan work-out process, because:

We gain control of the situation.

Negotiations with the borrower cease.

Legal expenses for loan collection efforts cease.

We gain the ability to facilitate disposition of the property and recover our cash.

We can then redeploy that cash into loans or other earning assets.

#### Loans past due 90 days or more

Commercial mortgage loans accounted for most of the year-to-date increase in loans past due 90 days or more. Five loans accounted for the majority of this increase, most of which occurred in the 2009 third quarter. These loans included residential, warehouse, office, agricultural, and retail projects.

				At					
Loans past due 90 days or more	At 9/30/09 12/31/08 At 9/30/								
	(Dollars in millions)								
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	\$	4.2	\$	8.4	\$	6.5			
Commercial real estate construction		4.0		4.8		5.2			
Commercial mortgage		9.2		1.6		2.1			
Consumer and other retail		21.3		19.5		14.9			
Total loans past due 90 days or more	\$	38.7	\$	34.3	\$	28.7			
Past-due loan ratio		0.43%		0.36%		0.30%			

#### **Serious-doubt loans**

Serious-doubt loans are loans that were performing in accordance with their contractual terms, or were fewer than 90 days past due, at the time of classification, but which we think have a high probability of becoming nonperforming loans in the future. Most of our serious-doubt loans are commercial construction loans and commercial, financial, and agricultural loans.

At September 30, 2009, the amount of serious-doubt loans was 38% lower than at year-end 2008. Two commercial credits accounted for almost all of this decrease:

An automobile dealer loan that was transferred to nonaccruing status in the 2009 first quarter.

An agricultural loan for which the outlook improved during the 2009 first quarter.

Additions to serious-doubt loans were primarily commercial construction loans.

Serious-doubt loans (dollars in millions)	At 9	0/30/09	At (	5/30/09	At 3	3/31/09	12	At /31/08
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	\$	24.6	\$	18.4	\$	30.0	\$	82.9
Commercial real estate construction		30.9		28.2		4.9		8.4
Commercial mortgage		8.6		6.1		13.2		15.0
Residential mortgage								
Consumer and other retail		1.3		2.2		1.5		0.6
Contingency allocation		3.0		3.0		3.0		3.0
Total serious-doubt loans Serious-doubt loan ratio	\$	68.4 0.76%	\$	57.9 0.63%	\$	52.6 0.56%	\$	109.9 1.14%

### **Internal risk rating analysis**

Fragile economic conditions in the mid-Atlantic region led to additional downgrades in the internal risk rating analysis. Downgrades occurred primarily in the three categories of commercial loans on our balance sheet.

			At	
Internal risk rating	At 9/30/09	At 6/30/09	12/31/08	At 9/30/08
Pass	83.86%	86.47%	90.80%	96.08%
Watchlisted	6.64%	6.00%	5.20%	2.25%
Substandard	9.18%	7.22%	3.99%	1.66%
Doubtful/loss	0.32%	0.31%	0.01%	0.01%

### Net charge-offs, the provision for loan losses, and the reserve for loan losses

The combination of the risk rating downgrades, net charge-offs, and nonperforming assets led to increases in the reserve and provision for loan losses.

Provision for loan losses	20	2009 Q3 2008 Q3		2009 YTD		2008 YTD		
				(In m	illions)	)		
Provision for loan losses	\$	38.7	\$	19.6	\$	122.2	\$	48.0
Reserve for loan losses			At 9	9/30/09		2/31/08 nillions)	At	9/30/08
Reserve for loan losses			\$	201.8	\$	157.1	\$	122.2

The amount of the reserve reflects our estimates of probable losses. Those estimates are based on a combination of past loss experience, qualitative adjustments to capture current trends, and actual collateral measurements. The process we use to calculate the reserve follows specific regulatory requirements and accounting rules.

Net charge-offs and the reserve for loan losses

Net charge-ons and the reserve for loan losses	At		At			At		At		At	
(dollars in millions)		/30/09		/30/09		/31/09		2/31/08		/30/08	
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$	184.9	\$	167.0	\$	157.1	\$	122.2	\$	113.1	
Loans charged off:		(0.4)									
Commercial, financial, and agricultural		(8.1)		(8.5)		(7.6)		(4.1)		(4.9)	
Commercial real estate construction		(6.3)		(18.4)		(2.4)		(8.0)		(1.0)	
Commercial mortgage		(1.0)		(1.7)		(0.3)		(0.9)		(1.0)	
Residential mortgage		(0.5)		(11.1)		(12.0)		(12.7)		( <b>5</b> 0)	
Consumer and other retail		(7.9)		(11.1)		(12.8)		(13.7)		(5.8)	
Total loans charged off	\$	(23.8)	\$	(39.7)	\$	(23.1)	\$	(26.7)	\$	(11.7)	
Recoveries on loans previously charged off:											
Commercial, financial, and agricultural		0.2		0.1		0.2		0.1		0.2	
Commercial real estate construction Commercial mortgage		0.3									
Residential mortgage											
Consumer and other retail		1.5		3.4		1.7		1.1		1.0	
Total recoveries	\$	2.0	\$	3.5	\$	1.9	\$	1.2	\$	1.2	
Net loans charged off:											
Commercial, financial, and agricultural		(7.9)		(8.4)		(7.4)		(4.0)		(4.7)	
Commercial real estate construction		(6.3)		(18.4)		(2.4)		(8.0)			
Commercial mortgage		(0.7)		(1.7)		(0.3)		(0.9)		(1.0)	
Residential mortgage		(0.5)									
Consumer and other retail		(6.4)		(7.7)		(11.1)		(12.6)		(4.8)	
Total net loans charged off Transfers from/(to) reserve for lending	\$	(21.8)	\$	(36.2)	\$	(21.2)	\$	(25.5)	\$	(10.5)	
commitments				0.1		1.6		(7.1)			
Provision charged to operations		38.7		54.0		29.5		67.5		19.6	
Balance at the end of the period	\$	201.8	\$	184.9	\$	167.0	\$	157.1	\$	122.2	
Reserve for lending commitments in other liabilities *	\$	5.7	\$	4.0	\$	5.5	\$	7.1	\$		
naomices	φ	3.1	φ	4.0	Ψ	5.5	Ψ	/.1	ψ		
Quarterly net charge-off ratio (not annualized)		0.24%		0.39%		0.22%		0.27%		0.11%	
Loan loss reserve ratio		2.24%		2.02%		1.77%		1.63%		1.27%	

<sup>\*</sup> We transferred the reserve for

lending commitments to other liabilities as of December 31, 2008. We did not reclassify prior periods.

We reserve an amount for loan losses that represents our best estimate of known and inherent estimated losses and we make subjective judgments about amounts we might be able to recover. We also consider loan growth, the results of the internal risk rating analysis, the levels of loan recoveries and repayments, the stability of the mid-Atlantic regional economy, market interest rates, and regulatory guidelines.

The reserve and provision for loan losses do not necessarily increase in conjunction with loan growth, because newly added loans do not automatically carry the same or a higher degree of risk than loans already in the portfolio.

### <u>DERIVATIVES, HEDGING INSTRUMENTS, OTHER OFF-BALANCE-SHEET ARRANGEMENTS, AND OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS</u>

We use a variety of financial instruments and contracts to help us manage capital, liquidity, interest rate risk, credit risk, and other aspects of our day-to-day operations. As permissible under regulatory guidelines, we include these instruments in our calculations of regulatory risk-based capital ratios. For more information about these instruments and contracts, read the discussion that begins on page 59 of our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

The derivative instruments we use are primarily interest rate swap and interest rate floor contracts. These instruments help us manage the effects of fluctuating interest rates on net interest income. We also use interest rate swap contracts to help commercial loan clients manage their interest rate risk. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

When we enter into an interest rate swap contract with a commercial loan client, we simultaneously enter into a mirror swap contract in the same amount with a third party. This practice allows a client to swap floating rates for fixed rates. We then mirror the client swap by swapping, with a third party, the fixed rate for a floating rate. We retain the associated credit risk in these transactions.

At September 30, 2009, we had interest rate swap contracts associated with loans to clients with a total notional amount of \$2,018.2 million, including the mirror swaps described above. For more information about our derivative and hedging instruments, read Note 6, Derivatives and hedging activities, in this report.

Other contractual obligations	At 9/30/09			At 12/31/08		
		(In m	illions	i)		
FHLB loan <sup>1</sup>	\$	28.0	\$	28.0		
Lease commitments for offices, net of sublease arrangements <sup>2</sup>	\$	75.0	\$	72.6		
Certificates of deposit	\$	2,116.1	\$	3,736.1		
Letters of credit, unfunded loan commitments, and unadvanced lines of credit	\$	3,240.5	\$	3,667.3		

- We used these funds to construct Wilmington Trust Plaza, our operations center in downtown Wilmington, Delaware, which was completed in 1998.
- These lease commitments are for many of our branch offices in Delaware and all of our branch and non-branch offices outside of Delaware.

Amount and duration of payments due on current contractual obligations as of

September 30, 2009 (in millions)	Total	ess than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	re than years
Certificates of deposit	\$ 2,116.1	\$ 1,527.2	\$ 460.1	\$ 124.9	\$ 3.9
Debt obligations	478.0		28.0	250.0	200.0
Interest on debt obligations	190.2	31.2	58.4	41.1	59.5
Operating lease obligations	75.0	13.5	20.9	17.0	23.6
Benefit plan obligations	1.0	1.0			
Total	\$ 2,860.3	\$ 1,572.9	\$ 567.4	\$ 433.0	\$ 287.0

The debt obligations in the table above consist of:

\$250.0 million of subordinated long-term debt that was issued in 2003, and is due in 2013.

\$200.0 million of subordinated long-term debt that was issued on April 1, 2008, and is due on April 2, 2018. FHLB advances of \$28.0 million.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Both of our issues of subordinated long-term debt are included in the Long-term debt line of our balance sheet. We may not incur additional debt without prior written approval from our primary regulators. Wilmington Trust Company may not incur additional debt having a maturity of more than one year without prior written approval from its primary regulators.

Contractual obligations in the table above do not include uncertain tax liabilities that we have not paid. At September 30, 2009, we had unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate in future periods. The amounts that we ultimately may pay, and when we ultimately may pay them, remain uncertain. For more information on our income taxes, read Note 12, Income taxes, in this report, and Note 20, Income taxes, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

Our agreements with CRM, RCM, and Grant Tani Barash & Altman permit principal members and designated key employees of each firm, subject to certain restrictions, to put (relinquish) their interests in their respective firms to us. For more information about these agreements, read Note 4, Affiliates and acquisitions, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

#### OTHER INFORMATION

### **Accounting pronouncements**

For a discussion of the effects of recent accounting pronouncements on our financial condition and results of operations, read Note 14, Accounting pronouncements, in this report.

### Critical accounting policies and estimates

Our critical accounting policies conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and with reporting practices prescribed for the banking industry. We maintain our accounting records and prepare our financial statements using the accrual basis of accounting. In applying our critical accounting policies, we make estimates and assumptions about revenue recognition, the reserve for loan losses, stock-based employee compensation, investment securities valuations, goodwill impairment, loan origination fees, income taxes, and other items. For more information about our critical accounting policies, read:

- Note 2, Summary of significant accounting policies, in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders;
- Note 1, Accounting and reporting policies, in this report; and
- Note 14, Accounting pronouncements, in this report.

#### **Cautionary statement**

This report contains estimates, predictions, opinions, and other statements that might be construed as forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include references to our financial goals, dividend policy, financial and business trends, new business results and outlook, business prospects, market positioning, pricing trends, strategic initiatives, credit quality and the reserve for loan losses, the effects of changes in market interest rates, the effects of changes in securities valuations, the effects of accounting pronouncements, and other internal and external factors that could affect our financial performance. These statements are based on a number of assumptions, estimates, expectations, and assessments of potential developments, and are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ from our expectations. Our ability to achieve the results reflected in these statements could be affected adversely by, among other things, changes in national or regional economic conditions; changes in market interest rates; fluctuations in equity or fixed income markets; significant changes in banking laws or regulations; changes in accounting policies, procedures, or guidelines; increased competition for business; higher-than-expected credit losses; the effects of acquisitions; the effects of integrating acquired entities; a substantial and permanent loss of either client accounts and/or assets under management at Wilmington Trust and/or our affiliate money managers, Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn and Roxbury Capital Management; changes in the regulatory, judicial, legislative, or tax treatment of business transactions; new litigation or developments in existing litigation; and economic uncertainty created by unrest in other parts of the world.

The normal course of business exposes us to a variety of operational, reputational, legal, and regulatory risks, which we monitor closely to safeguard our clients—assets and our company—s assets. Our primary risks are credit risk, interest rate risk, financial market risk, and economic risk.

All of these risks could affect our financial performance and condition adversely. For more information about these risks, read the credit quality discussion in this report, the risk discussion in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders, and Item 1A in our Form 10-K for 2008.

Market interest rates present more risk to us than inflation. As a financial institution, nearly all of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. Their values are more likely to be eroded by changes in market interest rates than by the effects of inflation on currency valuations.

#### **INTEREST RATE RISK**

Changes in market interest rates, and the pace at which they occur, can affect the yields we earn on loans and investments and the rates we pay on deposits and other borrowings. These changes can compress our net interest margin and reduce net interest income.

We have more floating rate assets than floating rate liabilities, and our interest rate risk position is asset sensitive. In general, this means that:

In a rising market interest rate environment, our net interest income is more likely to increase.

In a declining market interest rate environment, our net interest income is more likely to decrease.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

When market interest rates change, our floating rate assets reprice more quickly than our floating rate liabilities:

The pricing adjusts on most of our floating rate loans within 30 to 45 days of a rate change.

For our floating rate liabilities, it typically takes 90 to 120 days for the corresponding adjustments in price to occur.

Some categories of core deposits may take even longer to reprice, depending on their maturities.

Our interest rate risk management objective is to minimize reductions in net interest income that might result from changes in market interest rates. To mitigate interest rate risk, we:

Maintain a mix of assets and liabilities that gives us flexibility in a dynamic marketplace.

Manage the relative proportion of fixed and floating rate assets and liabilities so we can manage their repricing characteristics as closely as possible.

Use a blend of core deposits and non-core funding. For more information about this, read the liquidity and funding discussion in this report.

Manage the size of our investment securities portfolio and the mix of instruments in it. For more information about this, read the investment securities discussion in this report.

Sell most newly originated fixed rate residential mortgages into the secondary market. By limiting the fixed rate residential mortgages in our loan portfolio, we eliminate much of the long-term risk inherent in holding instruments with fixed rates and 15- to 30-year maturities.

Prefer to manage our exposure to fixed rate mortgages in our investment securities portfolio. The mortgage-backed instruments in our investment securities portfolio typically have shorter maturity and duration characteristics than a portfolio of individual mortgage loans.

Use off-balance-sheet derivative instruments. For more information about this, read the discussion of off-balance-sheet arrangements and contractual obligations and Note 6, Derivative and hedging activities, in this report.

# Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

To achieve our interest rate risk management objective, we follow guidelines set by an asset-liability management policy that is approved annually by our Board of Directors. Under the current policy, our objective is to limit any reduction in net interest income from changes in market interest rates to less than 10% in any 12-month period. The primary tool we use to assess our exposure to interest rate risk is a computer modeling technique that simulates how gradual and sustained changes in market interest rates might affect net interest income. We perform simulations quarterly that compare a stable interest rate environment to multiple hypothetical interest rate scenarios. As a rule, our model employs scenarios in which rates gradually move up or down 250 basis points over a period of 10 months. We believe the primary measure of interest rate risk management is the net interest margin. For more information about our interest rate risk position and management strategies, read the interest rate risk discussion in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

#### Interest rate risk in the first nine months of 2009

We remained asset sensitive in the first nine months of 2009. Our commercial floating rate loans repriced more quickly than funding costs, but our use of non-core funding helped offset this repricing mismatch somewhat. At September 30, 2009, approximately \$6.00 billion of commercial loans were repricing within 30 or fewer days, while approximately \$1.69 billion of non-core funding was repricing in 90 or fewer days.

### Loan and deposit repricing characteristics

as a percentage of total loan balances	At 9/30/09	At 12/31/08
Total loans outstanding with floating rates	78%	74%
Commercial loans with floating rates	90%	89%
Floating rate commercial loans tied to a prime rate	53%	57%
Floating rate commercial loans tied to the 30-day LIBOR	40%	37%
Non-core funding maturing in $\leq$ 90 days	77%	83%

The net interest margin for the 2009 third quarter was 3.23%, compared with 3.25% for the 2008 third quarter. For the first nine months of 2009, the margin was 3.10%, compared with 3.26% for the first nine months of 2008. For more information about this, read the net interest margin discussion and the analysis of changes in interest income and expense due to volume and rate, which appear between the discussions of Regional Banking and noninterest income in this report.

As of September 30, 2009, our interest rate risk simulation model projected that, if short-term rates were to increase gradually over a 10-month period in a series of moves that totaled 250 basis points, our net interest income would increase 9.95% over the 12 months beginning September 30, 2009. We discontinued modeling the declining rate scenario in December 2008, after the FOMC included zero percent in its target rate range, since the declining rate scenario would have created negative interest rates in the model.

### Simulated effect of interest rate changes on net interest income for the 12 months beginning

Gradual increase of 250 basis points

**9/30/09 12/31/08** 9.95% 4.03%

Our discussion of the interest rate risk simulation model contains forward-looking statements about the anticipated effects on net interest income that may result from hypothetical changes in market interest rates. Assumptions about loan and deposit growth, loan and core deposit rates, loan prepayments, asset-backed securities, and collateralized mortgage obligations play a significant role in our interest rate simulations. Our assumptions about rates and the pace of changes in payments differ for assets and liabilities in rising as well as in declining rate environments. These assumptions are inherently uncertain, and the simulations cannot predict precisely how actual interest rate changes might affect our net interest income.

#### FINANCIAL MARKET RISK

Most WAS revenue, some CCS revenue, and all of the revenue we receive from affiliate money managers CRM and RCM are based on the market values of assets in client portfolios. Equity and debt markets determine these values. Fluctuations in one or both of these markets can increase or decrease revenue that is based on asset valuations. The amount of total revenue subject to financial market risk was lower for the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 than for the corresponding periods in 2008 because revenue from WAS and the affiliate money managers was lower.

On a percentage basis, revenue subject to financial market risk was higher for the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 than for the corresponding periods in 2008 because net interest income was lower.

These changes in revenue are discussed more fully in earlier sections of this report.

Revenue subject to financial market risk	2009 Q3		2008 Q3 200 (Dollars in milli		09 YTD ons)	2008 YTD	
Wealth Advisory Services (WAS):							
Trust and investment advisory fees	\$	33.5	\$ 39.3	\$	96.4	\$	118.7
Mutual fund fees		2.4	6.8		15.1		19.6
Total WAS revenue subject to financial market							
risk	\$	35.9	\$ 46.1	\$	111.5	\$	138.3
Corporate Client Services (CCS):							
Retirement services		16.7	11.3		49.4		22.0
Investment/cash management services		3.7	3.5		11.2		10.3
Total CCS revenue subject to financial market risk	\$	20.4	\$ 14.8	\$	60.6	\$	32.3
Affiliate money managers revenue	\$	4.7	\$ 4.2	\$	11.3	\$	12.9
Total revenue subject to financial market risk	\$	61.0	\$ 65.1	\$	183.4	\$	183.5
Total net interest and noninterest income <sup>1</sup>	\$	110.4	\$ 159.3	\$	379.4	\$	498.8
Percent of total net interest and noninterest income subject to financial market risk <sup>1</sup>		55%	41%		48%		37%

After amortization and the provision for loan losses

Our investment securities portfolio is also subject to financial market risk, because financial markets determine the valuations of those investments. For more information about income from the investment securities portfolio, see the quarterly and year-to-date analyses of earnings, which appear in this report between the discussions of the net interest margin and noninterest income.

#### **ECONOMIC RISK**

Changes in economic conditions could change demand for the services we provide and, ultimately, affect loan and deposit balances, revenue, net income, and overall results, positively or negatively.

Among our businesses, Regional Banking has the most exposure to economic risk. Most of that risk is tied to economic conditions within the mid-Atlantic region, where our Regional Banking business is focused. We believe this exposure is mitigated by the region s diversified economy, which provides a degree of economic stability and helps the region withstand the effects of downturns in any single sector. We discuss the regional economy in more detail in the Regional Banking section of this report.

Changes in economic conditions at the national and international level that eliminate or slow demand for our services could affect all of our businesses, loan and deposit balances, revenue, net income, and overall results.

#### **OTHER RISK**

For more information about our credit, interest rate, financial market, economic, operational, fiduciary, regulatory, and legal risk, read the risk discussions that begin on pages 42 and 138 of our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 ITEM 4. CONRTOLS AND PROCEDURES

Our chairman and chief executive officer, as well as our chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2009, pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based on that evaluation, they concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in alerting them on a timely basis to any material information about our company (including our consolidated subsidiaries) that we are required to include in the periodic filings we make with the Securities and Exchange Commission. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the third quarter of 2009 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to have a material effect on, our internal control over financial reporting.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 PART II OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We and our subsidiaries are subject to various legal proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of business. Some of these proceedings may seek relief or damages in amounts that may be substantial. Because these proceedings are complex, many years may pass before they are resolved, and it is not feasible to predict their outcomes. Some of these proceedings involve claims that we believe may be covered by insurance, and we have advised our insurance carriers accordingly.

As of September 30, 2009, we believed there were no outstanding legal matters that, upon their ultimate resolution, would have a materially adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There were no changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Form 10-K for 2008. We discuss these risk factors on pages 42 to 58 and pages 138 to 141 in our 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders.

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

We had no unregistered sales of equity securities in the 2009 third quarter.

### **Issuer purchases of equity securities**

We did not acquire or repurchase any of our shares during the 2009 third quarter. In April 2002, our Board of Directors authorized our current 8-million-share repurchase plan. At September 30, 2009, there were 4,956,204 shares available under this program. We may not repurchase our stock without prior written approval from our primary regulators. For more information about our share repurchase plan, read the capital resources discussion in this report.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 PART II OTHER INFORMATION

In the table below, the data in column (d) include shares available under all compensation plans, the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, repurchase plans, and other activities, including stock grants and forfeitures, that could affect the maximum number of shares that we may purchase.

### Share repurchase activity in the 2009 third quarter

					(d) Maximum
				(c) Total	number (or approximate
	(a)			number of shares (or units)	dollar value) of shares (or units)
Share repurchase activity in the 2009 third quarter	Total number (b) of shares (or Average units) price paid		purchased as part of publicly announced	that may yet be purchased under	
n · 1	1 1	pe	er share (or	plans or	the plans or
<b>Period</b> Month #1: July 1 31, 2009	purchased 1,072	\$	<b>unit)</b> 13.41	programs	<b>programs</b> 15,803,216
Month #2: August 1 31, 2009 Month #3: September 1 30, 2009	25,838	\$	20.16		15,801,416 15,592,753
Total	26,910	\$	19.89		15,592,753

The Federal Reserve Board s policy is that a bank holding company should not pay dividends unless its prospective earnings retention rate is consistent with its capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition. We believe our payment of dividends during the third quarter of 2009 was consistent with the Federal Reserve Board s policy. Our policy is not to pay dividends that would reduce our regulatory capital ratios below the level required for us to qualify as a well capitalized financial institution. Wilmington Trust Company may not pay any dividends that would cause its regulatory capital ratios to fall below the minimum levels required for it to remain a well capitalized institution, or the minimum levels required under its internal capital plan, whichever are higher, without prior written approval from its primary regulators.

Page 163

(4)

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 PART II OTHER INFORMATION

For more information about our dividend, read the executive summary in this report.

### ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the 2009 third quarter.

#### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

We have no information to report in addition to what is disclosed elsewhere in this report.

#### **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibit Number 31	Exhibit Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications*
32	Section 1350 Certifications*

<sup>\*</sup> Filed herewith.

### Wilmington Trust Corporation and subsidiaries Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WILMINGTON TRUST CORPORATION

Date: November 9, 2009 /s/ Ted T. Cecala

Name: Ted T. Cecala

Title: Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive

Officer

(Authorized Officer)

Date: November 9, 2009 /s/ David R. Gibson

Name: David R. Gibson

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit	
Number	Exhibit
31	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications
32	Section 1350 Certifications