DTE ENERGY CO Form 10-Q April 27, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the Quarterly Period ended March 31, 2011 Commission file number 1-11607 DTE ENERGY COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Michigan

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

One Energy Plaza, Detroit, Michigan

(Address of principal executive offices)

313-235-4000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No þ

At March 31, 2011, 169,346,329 shares of DTE Energy s common stock were outstanding, substantially all of which were held by non-affiliates.

2

38-3217752 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

48226-1279

(Zip Code)

DTE ENERGY COMPANY QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEFINITIONS	1
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	3
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)	5
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Unaudited)	6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	8
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)	9
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	10
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	37
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	54
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	57
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	58
Item 1A. Risk Factors	58
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds; Purchases of Equity Securities by	
the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	60
Item 6. Exhibits	61
SIGNATURE	62
<u>EX-12.47</u>	
<u>EX-31.65</u>	
<u>EX-31.66</u>	
<u>EX-32.65</u>	
<u>EX-32.66</u>	
EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT	
EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	

Page

DEFINITIONS

ASC	Accounting Standards Codification
ASU	Accounting Standards Update
CIM	A Choice Incentive Mechanism authorized by the MPSC that allows Detroit Edison to recover or refund non-fuel revenues lost or gained as a result of fluctuations in electric Customer Choice sales.
Citizens	Citizens Fuel Gas Company distributes natural gas in Adrian, Michigan
Company	DTE Energy Company and any subsidiary companies
СТА	Costs to achieve, consisting of project management, consultant support and employee severance, related to the Performance Excellence Process
Customer Choice	Michigan legislation giving customers the option to choose alternative suppliers for electricity and gas.
Detroit Edison	The Detroit Edison Company (a direct wholly owned subsidiary of DTE Energy Company) and subsidiary companies
DTE Energy	DTE Energy Company, directly or indirectly the parent of Detroit Edison, MichCon and numerous non-utility subsidiaries
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FTRs	Financial transmission rights are financial instruments that entitle the holder to receive payments related to costs incurred for congestion on the transmission grid.
GCR	A Gas Cost Recovery mechanism authorized by the MPSC that allows MichCon to recover through rates its natural gas costs.
MDEQ	Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
MichCon	Michigan Consolidated Gas Company (an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of DTE Energy) and subsidiary companies
MISO	Midwest Independent System Operator is an Independent System Operator and the Regional Transmission Organization serving the Midwest United States and Manitoba, Canada.
MPSC	Michigan Public Service Commission
Non-utility	

designed to stimulate investment in and development of alternate fuel sources. The amount		An entity that is not a public utility. Its conditions of service, prices of goods and services and other operating related matters are not directly regulated by the MPSC.
designed to stimulate investment in and development of alternate fuel sources. The amount	NRC	United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
	Production tax credits	Tax credits as authorized under Sections 45K and 45 of the Internal Revenue Code that are designed to stimulate investment in and development of alternate fuel sources. The amount of a production tax credit can vary each year as determined by the Internal Revenue Service. 1

Table of Contents

Proved reserves	Estimated quantities of natural gas, natural gas liquids and crude oil which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reserves under existing economic and operating conditions.
PSCR	A Power Supply Cost Recovery mechanism authorized by the MPSC that allows Detroit Edison to recover through rates its fuel, fuel-related and purchased power costs.
RDM	A Revenue Decoupling Mechanism authorized by the MPSC that is designed to minimize the impact on revenues of changes in average customer usage of electricity and natural gas.
Securitization	Detroit Edison financed specific stranded costs at lower interest rates through the sale of rate reduction bonds by a wholly-owned special purpose entity, The Detroit Edison Securitization Funding LLC.
Subsidiaries	The direct and indirect subsidiaries of DTE Energy Company
Unconventional Gas	Includes those gas and oil deposits that originated and are stored in coal bed, tight sandstone and shale formations
VIE	Variable Interest Entity
Units of Measurement	
Bcf	Billion cubic feet of gas
Bcfe	Conversion metric of natural gas, the ratio of 6 Mcf of gas to 1 barrel of oil
kWh	Kilowatthour of electricity
Mcf	Thousand cubic feet of gas
MMcf	Million cubic feet of gas
MW	Megawatt of electricity
MWh	Megawatthour of electricity 2

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain information presented herein includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and business of DTE Energy. Forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties that may cause actual future results to be materially different from those contemplated, projected, estimated or budgeted. Many factors may impact forward-looking statements including, but not limited to, the following:

economic conditions and population changes in our geographic area resulting in changes in demand, customer conservation, increased thefts of electricity and gas and high levels of uncollectible accounts receivable;

changes in the economic and financial viability of suppliers and trading counterparties, and the continued ability of such parties to perform their obligations to the Company;

access to capital markets and the results of other financing efforts which can be affected by credit agency ratings;

instability in capital markets which could impact availability of short and long-term financing;

the timing and extent of changes in interest rates;

the level of borrowings;

the potential for losses on investments, including nuclear decommissioning and benefit plan assets and the related increases in future expense and contributions;

the potential for increased costs or delays in completion of significant construction projects;

the effects of weather and other natural phenomena on operations and sales to customers, and purchases from suppliers;

environmental issues, laws, regulations, and the increasing costs of remediation and compliance, including actual and potential new federal and state requirements;

health, safety, financial, environmental and regulatory risks associated with ownership and operation of nuclear facilities;

impact of electric and gas utility restructuring in Michigan, including legislative amendments and Customer Choice programs;

employee relations and the impact of collective bargaining agreements;

unplanned outages;

changes in the cost and availability of coal and other raw materials, purchased power and natural gas;

volatility in the short-term natural gas storage markets impacting third-party storage revenues;

cost reduction efforts and the maximization of plant and distribution system performance;

the effects of competition;

the uncertainties of successful exploration of unconventional gas resources and challenges in estimating gas and oil reserves with certainty;

Table of Contents

impact of regulation by the FERC, MPSC, NRC and other applicable governmental proceedings and regulations, including any associated impact on rate structures;

changes in and application of federal, state and local tax laws and their interpretations, including the Internal Revenue Code, regulations, rulings, court proceedings and audits;

the amount and timing of cost recovery allowed as a result of regulatory proceedings, related appeals or new legislation;

the cost of protecting assets against, or damage due to, terrorism or cyber attacks;

the availability, cost, coverage and terms of insurance and stability of insurance providers;

changes in and application of accounting standards and financial reporting regulations;

changes in federal or state laws and their interpretation with respect to regulation, energy policy and other business issues; and

binding arbitration, litigation and related appeals.

New factors emerge from time to time. We cannot predict what factors may arise or how such factors may cause our results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Any forward-looking statements refer only as of the date on which such statements are made. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Part I Item 1.

DTE Energy Company Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31					
(in Millions, Except per Share Amounts) Operating Revenues		011 2,431		2010 2,453		
Operating Expenses						
Fuel, purchased power and gas	1	,071		995		
Operation and maintenance		631		652		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		245		251		
Taxes other than income		83		82		
Asset (gains) and losses, reserves and impairments, net		11		1		
	2	2,041		1,981		
Operating Income		390		472		
Other (Income) and Deductions						
Interest expense		126		140		
Interest income		(3)		(3)		
Other income		(21)		(19)		
Other expenses		7		8		
		109		126		
Income Before Income Taxes		281		346		
Income Tax Provision		103		116		
Net Income		178		230		
Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests		2		1		
Net Income Attributable to DTE Energy Company	\$	176	\$	229		
Basic Earnings per Common Share Net Income Attributable to DTE Energy Company	\$	1.04	\$	1.38		

Diluted Earnings per Common Share Net Income Attributable to DTE Energy Company	\$ 1.04	\$ 1.38
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding Basic Diluted	169 170	166 166
Dividends Declared per Common Share See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) 5	\$.56	\$.53

DTE Energy Company Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Unaudited)

(in Millions) ASSETS		rch 31 011	December 31 2010		
Current Assets Cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$178 and \$196, respectively)	\$	197 67	\$	65 120	
Customer Other Inventories		1,401 112	1	,393 402	
Fuel and gas Materials and supplies Deferred income taxes Derivative assets Other		328 208 132 122 233		460 202 139 131 255	
		2,800	3	,167	
Investments Nuclear decommissioning trust funds Other		961 521 1,482	1	939 518 ,457	
Property Property, plant and equipment	,	21,729		,574	
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization		(8,676) 13,053	(8	,582) ,992	
Other Assets Goodwill Regulatory assets Securitized regulatory assets Intangible assets Notes receivable Derivative assets Other		2,020 3,980 692 69 130 59 204		,020 ,058 729 67 123 77 206	
		7,154	7	,280	

Total Assets

\$ 24,489 \$ 24,896

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

DTE Energy Company Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Unaudited)

(in Millions, Except Shares) LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	March 31 2011		December 31 2010		
Current Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	631	\$	729	
Accrued interest		134		111	
Dividends payable		95		95	
Short-term borrowings		000		150	
Current portion long-term debt, including capital leases		899		925	
Derivative liabilities		127		142	
Gas inventory equalization		204		505	
Other		465		597	
		2,555		2,749	
Long-Term Debt (net of current portion)					
Mortgage bonds, notes and other		6,129		6,114	
Securitization bonds		559		643	
Trust preferred-linked securities		289		289	
Capital lease obligations		38		43	
		7,015		7,089	
Other Liabilities					
Deferred income taxes		2,631		2,632	
Regulatory liabilities		1,382		1,328	
Asset retirement obligations		1,535		1,498	
Unamortized investment tax credit		73		75	
Derivative liabilities		90		110	
Liabilities from transportation and storage contracts		79		83	
Accrued pension liability		673		866	
Accrued postretirement liability		1,222		1,275	
Nuclear decommissioning		151		149	
Other		246		275	
		8,082		8,291	

Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 7 and 11)

Equity

Common stock, without par value, 400,000,000 shares authorized, 169,346,329and 169,428,406 shares issued and outstanding, respectively3,4283,440

Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive loss		3,513 (148)		3,431 (149)	
Total DTE Energy Company Equity Noncontrolling interests		6,793 44		6,722 45	
Total Equity		6,837		6,767	
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$	24,489	\$	24,896	
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)					

DTE Energy Company Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Th		onths Ended rch 31		
(in Millions)	2011		2	010	
Operating Activities	¢	150	¢	220	
Net income	\$	178	\$	230	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities: Depreciation, depletion and amortization		245		251	
Deferred income taxes		48		36	
Asset (gains), losses and reserves, net		11		1	
Changes in assets and liabilities, exclusive of changes shown separately (Note 14)		240		299	
Net cash from operating activities		722		817	
Investing Activities					
Plant and equipment expenditures utility		(253)		(209)	
Plant and equipment expenditures non-utility		(17)		(30)	
Proceeds from sale of assets, net		4		13	
Restricted cash for debt redemption		53		49	
Proceeds from sale of nuclear decommissioning trust fund assets		20		59	
Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds		(28)		(68)	
Consolidation of VIEs		(- -)		19	
Other		(23)		(4)	
Net cash used for investing activities		(244)		(171)	
Financing Activities					
Redemption of long-term debt		(94)		(90)	
Short-term borrowings, net		(150)		(327)	
Issuance of common stock				9	
Repurchase of common stock		(9)			
Dividends on common stock		(95)		(88)	
Other		2		(9)	
Net cash used for financing activities		(346)		(505)	
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		132		141	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		65		52	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	197	\$	193	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

DTE Energy Company Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

	Accumulated Other Common Stock RetainedComprehens Ne ncontrolling						g	
(Dollars in Millions, Shares in Thousands)	Shares	Amount			erest	Total		
Balance, December 31, 2010	169,428	\$ 3,440	\$ 3,431	\$	(149)	\$	45	\$6,767
Net income Dividends declared on common stock Repurchase of common stock Benefit obligations, net of tax	(559)	(26)	176 (94)		1		2	178 (94) (26) 1
Stock-based compensation, distributions to noncontrolling interests and other	477	14					(3)	11
Balance, March 31, 2011	169,346	\$ 3,428	\$ 3,513	\$	(148)	\$	44	\$ 6,837

The following table displays comprehensive income for the three-month periods ended March 31:

(in Millions) Net income	2011 \$ 178	2010 \$230
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: Benefit obligations: Benefit obligation, net of taxes of \$1 and \$1	1	2
Amounts reclassified to benefit obligations related to consolidation of VIEs (Note 1), net of taxes of \$- and \$5		10
	1	12
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives: Gains (losses) during the period, net of taxes of \$- and \$- Amounts reclassified to income, net of taxes of \$- and \$-		1 1
		2
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments:		(2)
Gains (losses) during the period, net of taxes of \$- and \$(1) Amounts reclassified to benefit obligations related to consolidation of VIEs (Note 1), net of taxes of \$- and \$(5)		(3) (10)
		(13)

Comprehensive income Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	179 2	231 1
Comprehensive income attributable to DTE Energy Company	\$ 177	\$ 230
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) 9		

DTE Energy Company Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Corporate Structure

DTE Energy owns the following businesses:

Detroit Edison, an electric utility engaged in the generation, purchase, distribution and sale of electricity to approximately 2.1 million customers in southeastern Michigan;

MichCon, a natural gas utility engaged in the purchase, storage, transportation, distribution and sale of natural gas to approximately 1.2 million customers throughout Michigan and the sale of storage and transportation capacity; and

Other businesses involved in (1) natural gas pipelines, gathering and storage; (2) unconventional gas and oil project development and production; (3) power and industrial projects and coal transportation and marketing; and (4) energy marketing and trading operations.

Detroit Edison and MichCon are regulated by the MPSC. Certain activities of Detroit Edison and MichCon, as well as various other aspects of businesses under DTE Energy are regulated by the FERC. In addition, the Company is regulated by other federal and state regulatory agencies including the NRC, the EPA and the MDEQ. References in this report to Company or DTE are to DTE Energy and its subsidiaries, collectively.

Basis of Presentation

These Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These accounting principles require management to use estimates and assumptions that impact reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from the Company s estimates.

The Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited, but in the Company s opinion include all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements. All adjustments are of a normal recurring nature, except as otherwise disclosed in these Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Financial results for this interim period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

Principles of Consolidation

The Company consolidates all majority owned subsidiaries and investments in entities in which it has controlling influence. Non-majority owned investments are accounted for using the equity method when the Company is able to influence the operating policies of the investee. Non-majority owned investments include investments in limited liability companies, partnerships or joint ventures. When the Company does not influence the operating policies of an investee, the cost method is used. These consolidated financial statements also reflect the Company s proportionate interests in certain jointly owned utility plant. The Company eliminates all intercompany balances and transactions. The Company evaluates whether an entity is a VIE whenever reconsideration events occur. The Company consolidates VIEs for which it is the primary beneficiary. If the Company is not the primary beneficiary and an ownership interest is held, the VIE is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. When assessing the determination of the primary beneficiary, the Company considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including: the power, through voting or similar rights, to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and the obligation to absorb the expected losses and/or the right to receive the expected

returns of the VIE. The Company performs ongoing reassessments of all VIEs to determine if the primary beneficiary status has changed.

Legal entities within the Company s Power and Industrial Projects segment enter into long-term contractual arrangements with customers to supply energy-related products or services. The entities are generally designed to pass-through the commodity risk associated with these contracts to the customers, with the Company retaining operational and customer default risk. These entities generally are VIEs. In addition, the Company has interests in certain VIEs that we share control of all significant activities for those entities with our partners, and therefore are accounted for under the equity method.

The Company has variable interests in VIEs through certain of its long-term purchase contracts. As of March 31, 2011, the carrying amount of assets and liabilities in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position that relate to its variable interests under long-term purchase contracts are predominately related to working capital accounts and generally represent the amounts owed by the Company for the deliveries associated with the current billing cycle under the contracts. The Company has not provided any form of financial support associated with these long-term contracts. There is no significant potential exposure to loss as a result of its variable interests through these long-term purchase contracts.

In 2001, Detroit Edison financed a regulatory asset related to Fermi 2 and certain other regulatory assets through the sale of rate reduction bonds by a wholly-owned special purpose entity, Securitization. Detroit Edison performs servicing activities including billing and collecting surcharge revenue for Securitization. This entity is a VIE, and is consolidated as the Company is the primary beneficiary.

DTE Energy has interests in two unconsolidated trusts that were formed for the purpose of issuing preferred securities and lending the gross proceeds to the Company. The assets of the trusts are debt securities of DTE Energy with terms similar to those of the related preferred securities. Payments the Company makes are used by the trusts to make cash distributions on the preferred securities it has issued. DTE Energy has reviewed these interests and has determined they are VIEs, but the Company is not the primary beneficiary as it does not have variable interests in the trusts and therefore, the trusts are not consolidated by the Company.

The maximum risk exposure for consolidated VIEs is reflected on the Company s Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. For non-consolidated VIEs, the maximum risk exposure is generally limited to its investment and amounts which it has guaranteed.

The following table summarizes the major balance sheet items for consolidated VIEs as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Amounts at March 31, 2011 for consolidated VIEs that are either (1) assets that can be used only to settle obligations of the VIE or (2) liabilities for which creditors do not have recourse to the general credit of the primary beneficiary are segregated in the restricted amounts column. Entities, in which the Company holds a majority voting interest and is the primary beneficiary, that meet the definition of a business and whose assets can be used for purposes other than the settlement of the VIE s obligations have been excluded from the table below.

				Marc	D	4		
	Securitization		0	Other To		otal		tricted nounts
(in Millions)					_			
ASSETS								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$		\$	3	\$	3	\$	
Restricted cash		55		4		59		59
Accounts receivable		39		17		56		41
Inventories				72		72		
Other current assets				1		1		
Property, plant and equipment				61		61		27
Securitized regulatory assets		692				692		692
Other assets		12		8		20		20
	\$	798	\$	166	\$	964	\$	839
LIABILITIES								
Accounts payable and accrued current liabilities	\$	4	\$	36	\$	40	\$	4
Current portion long-term debt, including capital leases		158		7		165		165
Other current liabilities		62		12		74		63
Mortgage bonds, notes and other				33		33		33
Securitization bonds		559				559		559
Capital lease obligations				21		21		21
Other long term liabilities		6		2		8		7
	\$	789	\$	111	\$	900	\$	852

		Destricted		
	Securitization	Other	Total	Restricted Amounts
(in Millions)				
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 4	\$ 4	\$
Restricted cash	104	8	112	112
Accounts receivable	42	8	50	44
Inventories		99	99	
Other current assets		1	1	
Property, plant and equipment		54	54	38
Securitized regulatory assets	729		729	729
Other assets	13	9	22	21
	\$ 888	\$ 183	\$ 1,071	\$ 944
LIABILITIES Accounts payable and accrued current liabilities	\$ 17	\$ 27	\$ 44	\$ 18
	•	•		

Table of Contents

Current portion long-term debt, including capital leases	150	7	157	157
Other current liabilities	62	6	68	66
Mortgage bonds, notes and other		35	35	35
Securitization bonds	643		643	643
Capital lease obligations		23	23	23
Other long term liabilities	6	7	13	12
	\$ 878	\$ 105	\$ 983	\$ 954

Amounts for non-consolidated VIEs as March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
(in Millions)		
Other investments	\$105	\$ 98
Note receivable	5	6
Trust preferred linked securities	289	289
12		

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Intangible Assets

The Company has certain intangible assets relating to emission allowances, renewable energy credits and non-utility contracts. Emission allowances and renewable energy credits are charged to expense as the allowances and credits are consumed in the operation of the business. The Company s intangible assets related to emission allowances were \$9 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The Company s intangible assets related to renewable energy credits were \$20 million and \$17 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of contract intangible assets at March 31, 2011 were \$63 million and \$23 million, respectively. The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of contract intangible assets at December 31, 2010 were \$63 million and \$22 million, respectively. The Company amortizes contract intangible assets on a straight-line basis over the expected period of benefit, ranging from 4 to 30 years.

Income Taxes

The Company s effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 37 percent as compared to 34 percent for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase in the effective tax rate in 2011 is due primarily to the expiration of production tax credits for steel industry fuel as of December 31, 2010.

The Company had \$5 million of unrecognized tax benefits at March 31, 2011 and at December 31, 2010, that, if recognized, would favorably impact its effective tax rate. The Company has increased its unrecognized tax benefit by \$40 million as a result of a change in a tax position taken during a prior period. During the next twelve months, it is reasonably possible that the Company will settle certain federal tax audits. As a result, the Company believes that it is possible that there will be a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits of up to \$49 million.

Offsetting Amounts Related to Certain Contracts

The Company offsets the fair value of derivative instruments with cash collateral received or paid for those derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement, which reduces both the Company s total assets and total liabilities. As of March 31, 2011, the total cash collateral posted, net of cash collateral received, was \$126 million. Derivative assets and derivative liabilities are shown net of collateral of \$4 million and \$92 million, respectively. At March 31, 2011, the Company recorded cash collateral received of \$1 million and cash collateral paid of \$39 million not related to unrealized derivative positions. These amounts are included in accounts receivable and accounts payable and are recorded net by counterparty.

NOTE 3 NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. ASU 2010-06 requires details of transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements and the gross presentation of activity within the Level 3 fair value measurement roll forward. The new disclosures are required of all entities that are required to provide disclosures about recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The Company adopted ASU 2010-06 effective January 1, 2010, except for the gross presentation of the Level 3 fair value measurement roll forward provision which was adopted in the first quarter of 2011, as permitted.

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date in a principal or most advantageous market. Fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on inputs, which refer broadly to assumptions that market participants use in pricing assets or liabilities. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated or generally unobservable inputs. The Company makes certain assumptions it believes that market participants would use in pricing assets or liabilities, including assumptions about risk, and the risks inherent in the inputs to valuation techniques. Credit risk of the Company and its counterparties is incorporated in the valuation of assets and liabilities through the use of credit reserves, the impact of which was immaterial at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The Company believes it uses valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable market-based inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

A fair value hierarchy has been established, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value in three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). In some cases, the inputs used to measure fair value might fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy. All assets and liabilities are required to be classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Assessing the significance of a particular input may require judgment considering factors specific to the asset or liability, and may affect the valuation of the asset or liability and its placement within the fair value hierarchy. The Company classifies fair value balances based on the fair value hierarchy defined as follows:

Level 1 Consists of unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access as of the reporting date.

Level 2 Consists of inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are directly observable for the asset or liability or indirectly observable through corroboration with observable market data.

Level 3 Consists of unobservable inputs for assets or liabilities whose fair value is estimated based on internally developed models or methodologies using inputs that are generally less readily observable and supported by little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs are developed based on the best available information and subject to cost-benefit constraints.

The following table presents assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011:

(in Millions) Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Netting Level 3 Adjustmen		Net Balance at March 31, 2011
Assets: Nuclear decommissioning trusts Other investments(1) Derivative assets:	624 55	337 53			961 108
Foreign currency exchange contracts Commodity Contracts:		23		(23)	
Natural Gas Electricity	1,251	89 578	8 62	(1,330) (484)	18 156
Other	47	2	5	(47)	7
Total derivative assets	1,298	692	75	(1,884)	181
Total	\$ 1,977	\$ 1,082	\$ 75	\$ (1,884)	\$ 1,250
Liabilities: Derivative liabilities: Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$	\$ (36)	\$	\$ 23	\$ (13)
Interest rate contracts Commodity Contracts:	Ψ	(30) (1)	Ψ	φ 20	φ (13) (1)
Natural Gas Electricity	(1,285)	(213) (551)	(5) (54)	1,366 542	(137) (63)
Other	(39)	(5)		41	(3)
Total derivative liabilities	(1,324)	(806)	(59)	1,972	(217)
Total	\$ (1,324)	\$ (806)	\$ (59)	\$ 1,972	\$ (217)
Net Assets as of March 31, 2011	\$ 653	\$ 276	\$ 16	\$ 88	\$ 1,033
Assets: Current	\$ 872	\$ 526	\$ 43	\$ (1,319)	\$ 122
Noncurrent(3)	1,105	¢ 556	32	(565)	1,128
Total Assets	\$ 1,977	\$ 1,082	\$ 75	\$ (1,884)	\$ 1,250
Liabilities: Current	\$ (894)	\$ (583)	\$ (33)	\$ 1,383	\$ (127)
Noncurrent	(430)	(223)	(26)	589	(90)
Total Liabilities	\$ (1,324)	\$ (806)	\$ (59)	\$ 1,972	\$ (217)

Edgar Filing: DTE ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q									
Net Assets as of March 31, 2011	\$	653	\$ 276	\$	16	\$	88	\$	1,033
			15						

. . .

The following table presents assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010:

(in Millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adjustments(2)	Net Balance at December 31, 2010
Assets: Nuclear decommissioning trusts Other investments(1) Derivative assets:	\$ 599 56	\$ 340 55	\$	\$	\$
Foreign currency exchange contracts Commodity Contracts:		20		(20)	
Natural Gas Electricity	1,846	128 649	12 117	(1,960) (589)	26 177
Other	68	4	4	(71)	5
Total derivative assets	1,914	801	133	(2,640)	208
Total	\$ 2,569	\$ 1,196	\$ 133	\$ (2,640)	\$ 1,258
Liabilities: Derivative liabilities:					
Foreign currency exchange contracts Interest rate contracts Commodity Contracts:	\$	\$ (30) (1)	\$	\$ 20	\$ (10) (1)
Natural Gas Electricity	(1,844)	(263) (653)	(11) (63)	1,955 643	(163) (73)
Other	(63)	(8)		66	(5)
Total derivative liabilities	(1,907)	(955)	(74)	2,684	(252)
Total	\$ (1,907)	\$ (955)	\$ (74)	\$ 2,684	\$ (252)
Net Assets as of December 31, 2010	\$ 662	\$ 241	\$ 59	\$ 44	\$ 1,006
Assets: Current Noncurrent(3)	\$ 1,299 1,270	\$ 663 533	\$ 49 84	\$ (1,880) (760)	\$ 131 1,127
Total Assets	\$ 2,569	\$ 1,196	\$ 133	\$ (2,640)	\$ 1,258
Liabilities: Current Noncurrent	\$ (1,290) (617)	\$ (730) (225)	\$ (21) (53)	\$	\$ (142) (110)
Total Liabilities	\$(1,907)	\$ (955)	\$ (74)	\$ 2,684	\$ (252)
Net Assets as of December 31, 2010	\$ 662	\$ 241	\$ 59	\$ 44	\$ 1,006

Table of Contents

- (1) Excludes cash surrender value of life insurance investments.
- (2) Amounts represent the impact of master netting agreements that allow the Company to net gain and loss positions and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.
- (3) Includes \$108 million and \$111 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of other investments that are included in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position in Other Investments.

The following table presents the fair value reconciliation of Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	March 31, 2011							
	Nat	tural						
(in Millions)	G	las	Electricity		Other		T	otal
Net Assets as of January 1, 2011	\$	1	\$	54	\$	4	\$	59
Transfers into Level 3				2				2
Transfers out of Level 3		3		(25)				(22)
Total gains or (losses):								
Included in earnings		(4)		(15)		2		(17)
Recorded in regulatory assets/liabilities						(1)		(1)
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements:								
Settlements		3		(8)				(5)
Net Assets as of March 31, 2011	\$	3	\$	8	\$	5	\$	16
The amount of total gains (losses) included in net income attributed to the change in unrealized gains (losses) related to assets and liabilities held at March 31, 2011	\$	(1)	\$	(8)	\$	2	\$	(7)

	March 31, 2010							
	Nat	ural						
(in Millions)		as	Electricity		Other		Т	otal
Net Assets as of January 1, 2010	\$	2	\$	19	\$	3	\$	24
Changes in fair value recorded in income Changes in fair value recorded in regulatory		6		79				85
assets/liabilities						(1)		(1)
Purchases, issuances and settlements		(3)		(9)				(12)
Net Assets as of March 31, 2010	\$	5	\$	89	\$	2	\$	96
The amount of total gains (losses) included in net income attributed to the change in unrealized gains related to	¢	2	¢	~ -	¢		¢	
assets and liabilities held at March 31, 2010	\$	2	\$	65	\$		\$	67

Transfers in and transfers out of Level 3 represent existing assets or liabilities that were either previously categorized as a higher level and for which the inputs to the model became unobservable or assets and liabilities that were previously classified as Level 3 for which the lowest significant input became observable during the period. Transfers in and transfers out of Level 3 are reflected as if they had occurred at the beginning of the period. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, \$25 million of net assets reflecting inputs related to certain power transactions identified as observable due to available broker quotes were transferred from Level 3 to Level 2. No significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2 occurred in the three months ended March 31, 2011, and no significant transfers between Levels 1, 2 and 3 occurred in the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Nuclear Decommissioning Trusts and Other Investments

The nuclear decommissioning trusts and other investments hold debt and equity securities directly and indirectly through commingled funds and institutional mutual funds. Exchange-traded debt and equity securities held directly are valued using quoted market prices in actively traded markets. The commingled funds and institutional mutual funds

which hold exchange-traded equity or debt securities are valued based on the underlying securities, using quoted prices in actively traded markets. Non-exchange-traded fixed income securities are valued based upon quotations available from brokers or pricing services. A primary price source is identified by asset type, class or issue for each security. The trustees monitor prices supplied by pricing services and may use a supplemental price source or change the primary price source of a given security if the trustees determine that another price source is considered to be preferable. DTE Energy has obtained an understanding of how these prices are derived, including

the nature and observability of the inputs used in deriving such prices. Additionally, DTE Energy selectively corroborates the fair values of securities by comparison of market-based price sources.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities

Derivative assets and liabilities are comprised of physical and financial derivative contracts, including futures, forwards, options and swaps that are both exchange-traded and over-the-counter traded contracts. Various inputs are used to value derivatives depending on the type of contract and availability of market data. Exchange-traded derivative contracts are valued using quoted prices in active markets. DTE Energy considers the following criteria in determining whether a market is considered active: frequency in which pricing information is updated, variability in pricing between sources or over time and the availability of public information. Other derivative contracts are valued based upon a variety of inputs including commodity market prices, broker quotes, interest rates, credit ratings, default rates, market-based seasonality and basis differential factors. DTE Energy monitors the prices that are supplied by brokers and pricing services and may use a supplemental price source or change the primary price source of an index if prices become unavailable or another price source is determined to be more representative of fair value. DTE Energy has obtained an understanding of how these prices are derived. Additionally, DTE Energy selectively corroborates the fair value of its transactions by comparison of market-based price sources. Mathematical valuation models are used for derivatives for which external market data is not readily observable, such as contracts which external beyond the actively traded reporting period.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of long-term debt is determined by using quoted market prices when available and a discounted cash flow analysis based upon estimated current borrowing rates when quoted market prices are not available. The table below shows the fair value and the carrying value for long-term debt securities. Certain other financial instruments, such as notes payable, customer deposits and notes receivable are not shown as carrying value approximates fair value. See Note 5 for further fair value information on financial and derivative instruments.

	Marcl	March 31, 2011		er 31, 2010
	Fair	Fair Carrying		Carrying
	Value	Value	Value	Value
	\$8.4		\$8.5	
Long-Term Debt	billion	\$7.9 billion	billion	\$8.0 billion

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Funds

Detroit Edison has a legal obligation to decommission its nuclear power plants following the expiration of their operating licenses. This obligation is reflected as an asset retirement obligation on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. See Note 6.

The NRC has jurisdiction over the decommissioning of nuclear power plants and requires decommissioning funding based upon a formula. The MPSC and FERC regulate the recovery of costs of decommissioning nuclear power plants and both require the use of external trust funds to finance the decommissioning of Fermi 2. Rates approved by the MPSC provide for the recovery of decommissioning costs of Fermi 2 and the disposal of low-level radioactive waste. Detroit Edison is continuing to fund FERC jurisdictional amounts for decommissioning even though explicit provisions are not included in FERC rates. The Company believes the MPSC and FERC collections will be adequate to fund the estimated cost of decommissioning using the NRC formula. The decommissioning assets, anticipated earnings thereon and future revenues from decommissioning collections will be used to decommission Fermi 2. The Company expects the liabilities to be reduced to zero at the conclusion of the decommissioning activities. If amounts remain in the trust funds for Fermi 2 following the completion of the decommissioning activities, those amounts will be disbursed based on rulings by the MPSC and FERC. See Note 7.

The decommissioning of Fermi 1 is funded by Detroit Edison. Contributions to the Fermi 1 trust are discretionary.

The following table summarizes the fair value of the nuclear decommissioning trust fund assets:

(in Millions)	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Fermi 2	\$ 93	60 \$	910	
Fermi 1		3	3	
Low level radioactive waste	2	8	26	
Total	\$ 90	51 \$	939	

The costs of securities sold are determined on the basis of specific identification. The following table sets forth the gains and losses and proceeds from the sale of securities by the nuclear decommissioning trust funds:

		Three Months Ended March 31					
(in Millions)	2011	2010					
Realized gains	\$ 14	\$ 9					
Realized losses	(8) (8)					
Proceeds from sales of securities	20	59					
Dealized asing and leaves from the cale of accurities for the Ferry	. O and the law law alies discontinue made	a franda ana					

Realized gains and losses from the sale of securities for the Fermi 2 and the low level radioactive waste funds are recorded to the Regulatory asset and Nuclear decommissioning liability. The following table sets forth the fair value and unrealized gains for the nuclear decommissioning trust funds:

(in Millions)	Fair Value		
As of March 31, 2011 Equity securities Debt securities Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 590 359 12	\$	100 10
	\$ 961	\$	110
As of December 31, 2010			
Equity securities	\$ 572	\$	77
Debt securities	361		11
Cash and cash equivalents	6		
	\$ 939	\$	88

The debt securities at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 had an average maturity of approximately 7 and 6 years, respectively. Securities held in the nuclear decommissioning trust funds are classified as available-for-sale. As Detroit Edison does not have the ability to hold impaired investments for a period of time sufficient to allow for the anticipated recovery of market value, all unrealized losses are considered to be other than temporary impairments. Unrealized losses incurred by the Fermi 2 trust are recognized as a Regulatory asset. Detroit Edison recognized \$27 million and \$26 million of unrealized losses as Regulatory assets at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Since the decommissioning of Fermi 1 is funded by Detroit Edison rather than through a regulatory recovery mechanism, there is no corresponding regulatory asset treatment. Therefore, unrealized losses incurred by

Table of Contents

the Fermi 1 trust are recognized in earnings immediately. There were no unrealized losses recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 for Fermi 1 trust assets.

Other Available-For-Sale Securities

The following table summarizes the fair value of the Company s investment in available-for-sale debt and equity securities, excluding nuclear decommissioning trust fund assets:

		March 31, 2011			Decer	2010	
	F	air	Car	rying	Fair	Ca	rrying
(in Millions)	Va	alue	value		Value	Value	
Cash equivalents	\$	79	\$	79	\$ 133	\$	133
Equity securities		7		7	6		6
As of March 31, 2011, these securities are comprised primarily of money-market and equity securities. During the							

three months ended March 31, 2011, no amounts of unrealized losses on available for sale securities were reclassified out of other comprehensive income into losses for the period. During the three months ended March 31,

2010, \$1 million of unrealized losses on available for sale securities were reclassified out of other comprehensive income into earnings for the period. Gains related to trading securities held at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 were \$3 million and \$2 million, respectively.

NOTE 5 FINANCIAL AND OTHER DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Company recognizes all derivatives at their fair value as Derivative Assets or Liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position unless they qualify for certain scope exceptions, including the normal purchases and normal sales exception. Further, derivatives that qualify and are designated for hedge accounting are classified as either hedges of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash flow hedge), or as hedges of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment (fair value hedge). For cash flow hedges, the portion of the derivative gain or loss that is effective in offsetting the change in the value of the underlying exposure is deferred in Accumulated other comprehensive income and later reclassified into earnings when the underlying transaction occurs. For fair value hedges, changes in fair values for the derivative are recognized in earnings each period. Gains and losses from the ineffective portion of any hedge are recognized in earnings immediately. For derivatives that do not qualify or are not designated for hedge accounting, changes in the fair value are recognized in earnings each period.

The Company s primary market risk exposure is associated with commodity prices, credit, interest rates and foreign currency exchange. The Company has risk management policies to monitor and manage market risks. The Company uses derivative instruments to manage some of the exposure. The Company uses derivative instruments for trading purposes in its Energy Trading segment and the coal marketing activities of its Power and Industrial Projects segment. Contracts classified as derivative instruments include power, gas, oil and certain coal forwards, futures, options and swaps, and foreign currency exchange contracts. Items not classified as derivatives include natural gas inventory, unconventional gas reserves, power transmission, pipeline transportation and certain storage assets.

Electric Utility Detroit Edison generates, purchases, distributes and sells electricity. Detroit Edison uses forward energy and capacity contracts to manage changes in the price of electricity and fuel. Substantially all of these contracts meet the normal purchases and sales exemption and are therefore accounted for under the accrual method. Other derivative contracts are recoverable through the PSCR mechanism when settled. This results in the deferral of unrealized gains and losses as Regulatory assets or liabilities until realized.

Gas Utility MichCon purchases, stores, transports, distributes and sells natural gas and sells storage and transportation capacity. MichCon has fixed-priced contracts for portions of its expected gas supply requirements through March 2014. Substantially all of these contracts meet the normal purchases and sales exemption and are therefore accounted for under the accrual method. MichCon may also sell forward transportation and storage capacity contracts. Forward transportation and storage contracts are not derivatives and are therefore accounted for under the accrual method.

Gas Storage and Pipelines This segment is primarily engaged in services related to the transportation, gathering and storage of natural gas. Fixed-priced contracts are used in the marketing and management of transportation, gathering and storage services. Generally these contracts are not derivatives and are therefore accounted for under the accrual method.

Unconventional Gas Production The Unconventional Gas Production business is engaged in unconventional natural gas and oil project development and production. The Company may use derivative contracts to manage changes in the price of natural gas and crude oil.

Power and Industrial Projects Business units within this segment manage and operate onsite energy and pulverized coal projects, coke batteries, landfill gas recovery and power generation assets. These businesses utilize fixed-priced contracts in the marketing and management of their assets. These contracts are generally not derivatives and are therefore accounted for under the accrual method. The segment also engages in coal marketing which includes the marketing and trading of physical coal and coal financial instruments, and forward contracts for the purchase and sale of emission allowances. Certain of these physical and financial coal contracts and contracts for the purchase and sale of emission allowances are derivatives and are accounted for by recording changes in fair value to earnings.

Energy Trading Commodity Price Risk Energy Trading markets and trades electricity and natural gas physical products and energy financial instruments, and provides risk management services utilizing energy commodity derivative instruments. Forwards, futures, options and swap agreements are used to manage exposure to the risk of market price and volume fluctuations in its operations. These derivatives are accounted for by recording changes in fair value to earnings unless hedge accounting criteria are met.

Energy Trading Foreign Currency Exchange Risk Energy Trading has foreign currency exchange forward contracts to economically hedge fixed Canadian dollar commitments existing under power purchase and sale contracts and gas transportation contracts. The Company enters into these contracts to mitigate price volatility with respect to fluctuations of the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar. These derivatives are accounted for by recording changes in fair value to earnings unless hedge accounting criteria are met.

Corporate and Other Interest Rate Risk The Company uses interest rate swaps, treasury locks and other derivatives to hedge the risk associated with interest rate market volatility. In 2004 and 2000, the Company entered into a series of interest rate derivatives to limit its sensitivity to market interest rate risk associated with the issuance of long-term debt. Such instruments were designated as cash flow hedges. The Company subsequently issued long-term debt and terminated these hedges at a cost that is included in other comprehensive loss. Amounts recorded in other comprehensive loss will be reclassified to interest expense through 2033. In 2011, the Company estimates reclassifying less than \$1 million of losses to earnings.

Credit Risk The utility and non-utility businesses are exposed to credit risk if customers or counterparties do not comply with their contractual obligations. The Company maintains credit policies that significantly minimize overall credit risk. These policies include an evaluation of potential customers and counterparties financial condition, credit rating, collateral requirements or other credit enhancements such as letters of credit or guarantees. The Company generally uses standardized agreements that allow the netting of positive and negative transactions associated with a single counterparty. The Company maintains a provision for credit losses based on factors surrounding the credit risk of its customers, historical trends, and other information. Based on the Company s credit policies and its March 31, 2011 provision for credit losses, the Company s exposure to counterparty nonperformance is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial statements.

Derivative Activities

The Company manages its MTM risk on a portfolio basis based upon the delivery period of its contracts and the individual components of the risks within each contract. Accordingly, it records and manages the energy purchase and sale obligations under its contracts in separate components based on the commodity (e.g. electricity or gas), the product (e.g. electricity for delivery during peak or off-peak hours), the delivery location (e.g. by region), the risk profile (e.g. forward or option), and the delivery period (e.g. by month and year). The following describe the four categories of activities represented by their operating characteristics and key risks:

Asset Optimization Represents derivative activity associated with assets owned and contracted by DTE Energy, including forward sales of gas production and trades associated with power transmission, gas transportation and storage capacity. Changes in the value of derivatives in this category economically offset changes in the value of underlying non-derivative positions, which do not qualify for fair value accounting. The difference in accounting treatment of derivatives in this category and the underlying non-derivative positions can result in significant earnings volatility.

Marketing and Origination Represents derivative activity transacted by originating substantially hedged positions with wholesale energy marketers, producers, end users, utilities, retail aggregators and alternative energy suppliers.

Fundamentals Based Trading Represents derivative activity transacted with the intent of taking a view, capturing market price changes, or putting capital at risk. This activity is speculative in nature as opposed to hedging an existing exposure.

Other Includes derivative activity at Detroit Edison related to FTRs and forward contracts related to emissions. Changes in the value of derivative contracts at Detroit Edison are recorded as Derivative Assets or Liabilities, with an offset to Regulatory Assets or Liabilities as the settlement value of these contracts will be included in the PSCR mechanism when realized.

The following represents the fair value of derivative instruments as of March 31, 2011:

(in Millions)	Derivative Assets		Derivative Liabilities		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Interest rate contracts	\$		\$	(1)	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$	23	\$	(36)	
Commodity Contracts:					
Natural Gas		1,348		(1,503)	
Electricity		640		(605)	
Other		54		(44)	
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:	\$	2,065	\$	(2,188)	
Total derivatives:					
Current	\$	1,441	\$	(1,510)	
Noncurrent		624		(679)	
Total derivatives	\$	2,065	\$	(2,189)	

	Derivative Assets			Derivative Liabilities		
	Current	Non	current	Current	Non	current
Reconciliation of derivative instruments to						
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position:						
Total fair value of derivatives	\$ 1,441	\$	624	\$ (1,510)	\$	(679)
Counterparty netting	(1,315)		(565)	1,315		565
Collateral adjustment	(4)			68		24
Total derivatives as reported	\$ 122	\$	59	\$ (127)	\$	(90)

The following represents the fair value of derivative instruments as of December 31, 2010:

(in Millions)	Derivative Assets			Derivative Liabilities		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest rate contracts	\$		\$	(1)		
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments:	\$		\$	(1)		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:						
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$	20	\$	(30)		
Commodity Contracts:						
Natural Gas		1,986		(2,118)		

Electricity Other	766 76	(716) (71)
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:	\$ 2,848	\$ (2,935)
Total derivatives: Current Noncurrent	\$ 2,011 837	\$ (2,041) (895)
Total derivatives	\$ 2,848	\$ (2,936)

	Derivative Assets			Derivative Liabilities				
	Current	Noncurrent		Current	urrent Non			
Reconciliation of derivative instruments to								
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position:								
Total fair value of derivatives	\$ 2,011	\$	837	\$(2,041)	\$	(895)		
Counterparty netting	(1,871)		(760)	1,871		760		
Collateral adjustment	(9)			28		25		
Total derivatives as reported	\$ 131	\$	77	\$ (142)	\$	(110)		
	22							

The income effect of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 is as follows:

	Location of Gain (Loss)	Γ	Gain (Recogn Incon Derivat Fhree I	nized ne on ives f	in 1 for
	Recognized	Ended			
(in Millions) Derivatives Not Designated As Hedging Instruments	in Income On Derivatives	Mar 2011		ch 31 2010	
Foreign currency exchange contracts	Operating Revenue	\$	(6)	\$	
Commodity Contracts:					
Natural Gas	Operating Revenue		6		10
	Fuel, purchased				
Natural Gas	power and gas		(6)		(7)
Electricity	Operating Revenue		(1)		71
Other	Operating Revenue		6		
Total		\$	(1)	\$	63

The effects of derivative instruments recoverable through the PSCR mechanism when realized on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position were immaterial to both Regulatory assets and Regulatory liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The following represents the cumulative gross volume of derivative contracts outstanding as of March 31, 2011:

Commodity	Number of Units
Natural Gas (MMBtu)	582,026,946
Electricity (MWh)	57,762,044
Foreign Currency Exchange (\$ CAD)	165,934,113
23	

Various non-utility subsidiaries of the Company have entered into contracts which contain ratings triggers and are guaranteed by DTE Energy. These contracts contain provisions which allow the counterparties to request that the Company post cash or letters of credit as collateral in the event that DTE Energy s credit rating is downgraded below investment grade. Certain of these provisions (known as hard triggers) state specific circumstances under which the Company can be asked to post collateral upon the occurrence of a credit downgrade, while other provisions (known as

soft triggers) are not as specific. For contracts with soft triggers, it is difficult to estimate the amount of collateral which may be requested by counterparties and/or which the Company may ultimately be required to post. The amount of such collateral which could be requested fluctuates based on commodity prices (primarily gas, power and coal) and the provisions and maturities of the underlying transactions. As of March 31, 2011, the value of the transactions for which the Company would have been exposed to collateral requests had DTE Energy s credit rating been below investment grade on such date under both hard trigger and soft trigger provisions was approximately \$202 million. In circumstances where an entity is downgraded below investment grade and collateral requests are made as a result, the requesting parties often agree to accept less than the full amount of their exposure to the downgraded entity.

NOTE 6 ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

A reconciliation of the asset retirement obligations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 follows:

(in Millions)	
Asset retirement obligations at December 31, 2010	\$ 1,514
Accretion	23
Revision in estimated cash flows	19
Liabilities settled	(2)
Asset retirement obligations at March 31, 2011	1,554
Less amount included in current liabilities	(19)

\$ 1,535

In 2001, Detroit Edison began the final decommissioning of Fermi 1, with the goal of removing the remaining radioactive material and terminating the Fermi 1 license. In the first quarter of 2011, based on management decisions revising the timing and estimate of cash flows, Detroit Edison accrued an additional \$19 million with respect to the decommissioning of Fermi 1. Subject to NRC notification, management intends to suspend decommissioning activities and place the facility in safe storage status. The expense amount has been recorded in Asset (gains) and losses, reserves and impairments, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

NOTE 7 REGULATORY MATTERS

2010 Electric Rate Case Filing

Detroit Edison filed a rate case on October 29, 2010 based on a projected twelve-month period ending March 31, 2012. The filing with the MPSC requested a \$443 million increase in base rates that is required to recover higher costs associated with environmental compliance, operation and maintenance of the Company s electric distribution system and generation plants, inflation, the capital costs of plant additions, the reduction in territory sales, the impact from the expiration of certain wholesale for resale contracts and the increased migration of customers to the electric Customer Choice program. Detroit Edison also proposed certain adjustments which could reduce the net impact on the required increase in rates by approximately \$190 million. These adjustments relate to electric Customer Choice migration, pension and other postretirement benefits expenses and the Nuclear Decommissioning surcharge.

Detroit Edison Restoration Expense Tracker Mechanism (RETM) and Line Clearance Tracker (LCT) Reconciliation

In March 2011, Detroit Edison filed an application with the MPSC for approval of the reconciliation of its 2010 RETM and LCT. The Company s 2010 restoration expenses were higher than the amount provided in rates. Accordingly, Detroit Edison has requested recovery of approximately \$19.5 million.

Detroit Edison Uncollectible Expense True-Up Mechanism (UETM)

In March 2011, Detroit Edison filed an application with the MPSC for approval of its UETM for 2010 requesting authority to refund approximately \$7.2 million consisting of costs related to 2010 uncollectible expense.

Detroit Edison Choice Incentive Mechanism (CIM)

In March 2011, Detroit Edison filed an application with the MPSC for approval of its CIM reconciliation for 2010 requesting recovery of approximately \$105.2 million.

Power Supply Cost Recovery Proceedings

The PSCR process is designed to allow Detroit Edison to recover all of its power supply costs if incurred under reasonable and prudent policies and practices. Detroit Edison s power supply costs include fuel costs, purchased and net interchange power costs, nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide emission allowances costs, urea costs, transmission costs and MISO costs. The MPSC reviews these costs, policies and practices for prudence in annual plan and reconciliation filings.

The following table summarizes Detroit Edison s PSCR reconciliation filing currently pending with the MPSC:

		Net Over/(Under)-Recovery,	PSCR Cost of
PSCR Year	Date Filed	Including Interest	Power Sold
2009	March 2010	\$15.6 million	\$1.2 billion
2010	March 2011	\$(52.6) million	\$1.2 billion

2010 PSCR Year The 2010 PSCR reconciliation includes \$15.6 million net over-recovery for the 2009 PSCR year. In addition to the net under-recovery of \$52.6 million, the 2010 PSCR reconciliation includes an under-recovery of \$7.1 million for the reconciliation of the 2007-2008 Pension Equalization Mechanism and an over-refund of \$3.8 million for the 2011 refund of the self-implemented rate increase related to the 2009 electric rate case filing. 2011 Plan Year In September 2010, Detroit Edison filed its 2011 PSCR plan case seeking approval of a levelized PSCR factor of 2.98 mills/kWh below the amount included in base rates for all PSCR customers. The filing supports a total power supply expense forecast of \$1.2 billion. The plan also includes approximately \$36 million for the recovery of its projected 2010 PSCR under-recovery.

Energy Optimization (EO) Plans

In April 2011, Detroit Edison and MichCon both filed separate applications for approval of their respective reconciliations of their 2010 EO plan expenses. Specifically Detroit Edison s EO reconciliation includes a cumulative \$21 million net over-recovery at year end 2010 for the 2010 EO plan. MichCon s EO reconciliation includes a cumulative \$5.6 million net over-recovery at year end 2010 for the 2010 EO plan.

MichCon UETM

In March 2011, MichCon filed an application with the MPSC for approval of its UETM for 2010 requesting recovery of approximately \$31.4 million consisting of costs related to 2010 uncollectible expense.

Gas Cost Recovery Proceedings

The GCR process is designed to allow MichCon to recover all of its gas supply costs if incurred under reasonable and prudent policies and practices. The MPSC reviews these costs, policies and practices for prudence in annual plan and reconciliation filings.

The following table summarizes MichCon s GCR reconciliation filing currently pending with the MPSC:

			Net Over-Recovery,	
GCR Year		Date Filed	Including Interest	GCR Cost of Gas Sold
2009-2010		June 2010	\$5.9 million	\$1.0 billion
2010-2011 Plan Year	In December 2009, MichCo	on filed its GCR	plan case for the 2010-20	011 GCR plan year. The
MPSC issued an order	in this case in September 201	0 authorizing N	AichCon to charge a maxi	mum of \$7.06 per Mcf,
adjustable monthly by	a contingent factor. The MPS	SC also approve	d MichCon s proposed fi	xed price gas purchasing
program and provided	clarification regarding treatm	ent of certain a	ffiliate purchases.	
2011-2012 Plan Year	In December 2010, MichCo	on filed its GCR	plan case for the 2011-20	012 GCR plan year.
MichCon filed for a ma	aximum base GCR factor of \$	\$5.89 per Mcf a	djustable monthly by a co	ntingency factor.
Other				
The Company is unable	e to predict the outcome of th	e unresolved re	gulatory matters discussed	d herein. Resolution of
these metters is demand	lant unan futura MDCC andar	and anneals w	which more motorially imm	ast the financial negition

these matters is dependent upon future MPSC orders and appeals, which may materially impact the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company.

NOTE 8 EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company reports both basic and diluted earnings per share. The calculation of diluted earnings per share assumes the issuance of potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period from the exercise of stock options. A reconciliation of both calculations is presented in the following table as of March 31:

(in Millions, except per share amounts)	2	2011	2	010
Basic Earnings per Share Net income attributable to DTE Energy Company	\$	176	\$	229
Average number of common shares outstanding		169		166
Weighted average net restricted shares outstanding		1		1
Dividends declaredcommon sharesDividends declarednet restricted shares	\$	94 1	\$	88
Total distributed earnings	\$	95	\$	88
Net income less distributed earnings	\$	81	\$	141
Distributed (dividends per common share) Undistributed	\$.56 .48	\$.53 .85
Total Basic Earnings per Common Share	\$	1.04	\$	1.38
Diluted Earnings per Share Net income attributable to DTE Energy Company	\$	176	\$	229
Average number of common shares outstanding Average incremental shares from assumed exercise of options		169 1		166
Common shares for dilutive calculation		170		166
Weighted average net restricted shares outstanding		1		1
Dividends declaredcommon sharesDividends declarednet restricted shares	\$	94 1	\$	88
Total distributed earnings	\$	95	\$	88
Net income less distributed earnings	\$	81	\$	141

Edgar Filing: DTE ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

Distributed (dividends per common share) Undistributed	\$.56 .48	\$.53 .85	
Total Diluted Earnings per Common Share	\$ 1.04	\$ 1.38	,

Options to purchase approximately 0.4 million and 2 million shares of common stock as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares, thus making these options anti-dilutive.

NOTE 9 LONG-TERM DEBT

In April 2011, Detroit Edison remarketed \$31 million of Tax-Exempt Revenue Bonds in a long-term rate mode at 2.35% for a three-year term. The final maturity of the issue is October 1, 2024.

NOTE 10 SHORT-TERM CREDIT ARRANGEMENTS AND BORROWINGS

DTE Energy and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Detroit Edison and MichCon have entered into unsecured revolving credit facilities with similar terms with a syndicate of 23 banks that may be used for general corporate borrowings, but are intended to provide liquidity support for each of the companies commercial paper programs. No one bank provides more than 8.25% of the commitment in any facility. Borrowings under the facilities are available at prevailing short-term interest rates. Additionally, DTE Energy has other facilities to support letter of credit issuance. The above agreements require the Company to maintain a total funded debt to capitalization ratio of no more than 0.65 to 1. In the agreements, total funded debt means all indebtedness of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, including capital lease obligations, hedge agreements and guarantees of third parties debt, but excluding contingent obligations, nonrecourse and junior subordinated debt and certain equity-linked securities and, except for calculations at the end of the second quarter, certain MichCon short-term debt. Capitalization means the sum of (a) total funded debt plus (b) consolidated net worth, which is equal to consolidated total stockholders equity of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries (excluding pension effects under certain FASB statements), as determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. At March 31, 2011, the total funded debt to total capitalization ratios for DTE Energy, Detroit Edison and MichCon are 0.49 to 1, 0.51 to 1 and 0.46 to 1, respectively, and are in compliance with this financial covenant. The availability under these combined facilities at March 31, 2011 is shown in the following table:

(in Millions)	DTE hergy	etroit dison	Mie	chCon	Total
Unsecured revolving credit facility, expiring August 2012	\$ 538	\$ 212	\$	250	\$ 1,000
Unsecured revolving credit facility, expiring August 2013 Unsecured letter of credit facility, expiring in	562	63		175	800
May 2013 Unsecured letter of credit facility, expiring in	50				50
August 2015	125				125
Total credit facilities at March 31, 2011	\$ 1,275	\$ 275	\$	425	\$ 1,975
Amounts outstanding at March 31, 2011: Letters of credit	134				134
Net availability at March 31, 2011	\$ 1,141	\$ 275	\$	425	\$ 1,841

The Company has other outstanding letters of credit which are not included in the above described facilities totaling approximately \$35 million which are used for various corporate purposes.

In conjunction with maintaining certain exchange traded risk management positions, the Company may be required to post cash collateral with its clearing agent. The Company has a demand financing agreement for up to \$100 million with its clearing agent. The agreement, as amended, also allows for up to \$50 million of additional margin financing provided that the Company posts a letter of credit for the incremental amount. At March 31, 2011, a \$10 million letter of credit was in place, raising the capacity under this facility to \$110 million. The \$10 million letter of credit is included in the table above. The amount outstanding under this agreement was \$11 million and \$39 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental

Electric Utility

Edgar Filing: DTE ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

Air Detroit Edison is subject to the EPA ozone transport and acid rain regulations that limit power plant emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides. Since 2005, the EPA and the State of Michigan have issued additional emission reduction regulations relating to ozone, fine particulate, regional haze and mercury air pollution. The new rules will lead to additional controls on fossil-fueled power plants to reduce nitrogen oxide, sulfur dioxide and mercury emissions. To comply with these requirements, Detroit Edison has spent approximately \$1.5 billion through 2010. The Company estimates Detroit Edison will make capital expenditures of over \$230 million in 2011 and up to \$2.1 billion of additional capital expenditures through 2020 based on current regulations. Further,

additional rulemakings are expected over the next few years which could require additional controls for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and hazardous air pollutants. The EPA s proposed National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Coal and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units rule (covering mercury and other air pollutants) was issued on March 16, 2011 for review and comment. DTE Energy is reviewing potential impacts of the proposed rule. The EPA will be accepting input on the proposal and may modify it prior to finalization, scheduled for November 2011. It is not possible to quantify the impact of this and other expected rulemakings at this time. In July 2009, DTE Energy received a Notice of Violation/Finding of Violation (NOV/FOV) from the EPA alleging, among other things, that five of Detroit Edison s power plants violated New Source Performance standards, Prevention of Significant Deterioration requirements, and operating permit requirements under the Clean Air Act. In June 2010, the EPA issued a NOV/FOV making similar allegations related to a recent project and outage at Unit 2 of the Monroe Power Plant.

On August 5, 2010, the United States Department of Justice, at the request of the EPA, brought a civil suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan against DTE Energy and Detroit Edison, related to the June 2010 NOV/FOV and the outage work performed at Unit 2 of the Monroe Power Plant, but not relating to the July 2009 NOV/FOV. Among other relief, the EPA is requesting the court to require Detroit Edison to install and operate the best available control technology at Unit 2 of the Monroe Power Plant. Further, the EPA is requesting the court to issue a preliminary injunction to require Detroit Edison to (i) begin the process of obtaining the necessary permits for the Monroe Unit 2 modification and (ii) offset the pollution from Monroe Unit 2 through emissions reductions from Detroit Edison s fleet of coal-fired power plants until the new control equipment is operating. In January 2011, the EPA s motion for preliminary injunction was denied and the liability phase of the civil suit has been scheduled for trial in September 2011.

DTE Energy and Detroit Edison believe that the plants identified by the EPA, including Unit 2 of the Monroe Power Plant, have complied with all applicable federal environmental regulations. Depending upon the outcome of discussions with the EPA regarding the NOV/FOV and the result of the civil action, Detroit Edison could also be required to install additional pollution control equipment at some or all of the power plants in question, implement early retirement of facilities where control equipment is not economical, engage in supplemental environmental programs, and/or pay fines. DTE Energy and Detroit Edison cannot predict the financial impact or outcome of this matter, or the timing of its resolution.

Water In response to an EPA regulation, Detroit Edison is required to examine alternatives for reducing the environmental impacts of the cooling water intake structures at several of its facilities. Based on the results of completed studies and expected future studies, Detroit Edison may be required to install additional control technologies to reduce the impacts of the water intakes. Initially, it was estimated that Detroit Edison could incur up to approximately \$55 million in additional capital expenditures over the four to six years subsequent to 2008 to comply with these requirements. However, a January 2007 circuit court decision remanded back to the EPA several provisions of the federal regulation that has resulted in a delay in compliance dates. The decision also raised the possibility that Detroit Edison may have to install cooling towers at some facilities at a cost substantially greater than was initially estimated for other mitigative technologies. In 2008, the Supreme Court agreed to review the remanded cost-benefit analysis provision of the rule and in April 2009 upheld the EPA s use of this provision in determining best technology available for reducing environmental impacts. On March 28, 2011, the EPA issued a revised rule, which is currently under review. A final rule is scheduled to be issued in mid-2012. The EPA has also issued an information collection request to begin a review of steam electric effluent guidelines. It is not possible at this time to quantify the impacts of these developing requirements.

Contaminated Sites Prior to the construction of major interstate natural gas pipelines, gas for heating and other uses was manufactured locally from processes involving coal, coke or oil. The facilities, which produced gas, have been designated as manufactured gas plant (MGP) sites. Detroit Edison conducted remedial investigations at contaminated sites, including three former MGP sites. The investigations have revealed contamination related to the by-products of gas manufacturing at each site. In addition to the MGP sites, the Company is also in the process of cleaning up other contaminated sites, including the area surrounding an ash landfill, electrical distribution substations, and underground and aboveground storage tank locations. The findings of these investigations indicated that the estimated cost to

Edgar Filing: DTE ENERGY CO - Form 10-Q

remediate these sites is expected to be incurred over the next several years. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$9 million accrued for remediation. Any significant change in

assumptions, such as remediation techniques, nature and extent of contamination and regulatory requirements, could impact the estimate of remedial action costs for the sites and affect the Company s financial position and cash flows. *Landfill* Detroit Edison owns and operates a permitted engineered ash storage facility at the Monroe Power Plant to dispose of fly ash from the coal fired power plant. Detroit Edison performed an engineering analysis in 2009 and identified the need for embankment side slope repairs and reconstruction.

The EPA has published proposed rules to regulate coal ash under the authority of the Resources Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The proposed rule published on June 21, 2010 contains two primary regulatory options to regulate coal ash residue. The EPA is currently considering either designating coal ash as a Hazardous Waste as defined by RCRA or regulating coal ash as non-hazardous waste under RCRA. Agencies and legislatures have urged the EPA to regulate coal ash as a non-hazardous waste. If the EPA designates coal ash as a hazardous waste, the agency could apply some, or all, of the disposal and reuse standards that have been applied to other existing hazardous wastes to disposal and reuse of coal ash. Some of the regulatory actions currently being contemplated could have a significant impact on our operations and financial position and the rates we charge our customers. It is not possible to quantify the impact of those expected rulemakings at this time.

Gas Utility

Contaminated Sites Gas Utility owns, or previously owned, 15 former MGP sites. Investigations have revealed contamination related to the by-products of gas manufacturing at each site. In addition to the MGP sites, the Company is also in the process of cleaning up other contaminated sites. Cleanup activities associated with these sites will be conducted over the next several years.

The MPSC has established a cost deferral and rate recovery mechanism for investigation and remediation costs incurred at former MGP sites. Accordingly, Gas Utility recognizes a liability and corresponding regulatory asset for estimated investigation and remediation costs at former MGP sites. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$36 million accrued for remediation.

Any significant change in assumptions, such as remediation techniques, nature and extent of contamination and regulatory requirements, could impact the estimate of remedial action costs for the sites and affect the Company s financial position and cash flows. The Company anticipates the cost amortization methodology approved by the MPSC for MichCon, which allows MichCon to amortize the MGP costs over a ten-year period beginning with the year subsequent to the year the MGP costs were incurred, and the cost deferral and rate recovery mechanism for Citizens approved by the City of Adrian, will prevent environmental costs from having a material adverse impact on the Company s results of operations.

Non-Utility

The Company s non-utility affiliates are subject to a number of environmental laws and regulations dealing with the protection of the environment from various pollutants.

The Michigan coke battery facility received and responded to information requests from the EPA that resulted in the issuance of a Notice of Violation in June of 2007 alleging potential maximum achievable control technologies and new source review violations. The EPA is in the process of reviewing the Company s position of demonstrated compliance and has not initiated escalated enforcement. At this time, the Company cannot predict the impact of this issue. Furthermore, the Michigan coke battery facility is the subject of an investigation by the MDEQ concerning visible emissions readings that resulted from the Company self reporting to MDEQ questionable activities by an employee of a contractor hired by the Company to perform the visible emissions readings. At this time, the Company cannot predict the impact of this investigation.

The Company is also in the process of settling historical air and water violations at its coke battery facility located in Pennsylvania. At this time, the Company cannot predict the impact of this settlement. The Company received two notices of violation from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection in 2010 alleging violations of the permit for the Pennsylvania coke battery facility in connection with coal pile storm water runoff. The Company has implemented best management practices to address this issue and is currently seeking a permit from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection to upgrade its wastewater treatment technology to a

biological treatment facility. The Company expects to spend less than \$1 million on the existing waste water treatment system to comply with existing water discharge requirements. The Company may spend an additional \$13 million over the next few years to meet future regulatory requirements and gain other operational improvements savings. The Company s non-utility affiliates are substantially in compliance with all environmental requirements, other than as noted above.

Other

In 2011, the EPA finalized a new set of regulations regarding the identification of non-hazardous secondary materials that are considered solid waste, industrial boiler and process heater maximum achievable control technologies (MACT) for major and area sources, and commercial/industrial solid waste incinerator new source performance standard and emission guidelines. This new set of regulations may impact our existing operations and may require us, in certain instances, to install new air pollution control devices. The new MACT regulations for industrial boilers provide three years for compliance with the major and area source standards. The Company is currently assessing the impact on current operations to determine the financial impact, if any, to comply with the new standards. In February 2008, DTE Energy was named as one of approximately 24 defendant oil, power and coal companies in a lawsuit filed in a United States District Court. DTE Energy was served with process in March 2008. The plaintiffs, the Native Village of Kivalina and City of Kivalina, which are home to approximately 400 people in Alaska, claim that the defendants business activities have contributed to global warming and, as a result, higher temperatures are damaging the local economy and leaving the island more vulnerable to storm activity in the fall and winter. As a result, the plaintiffs are seeking damages of up to \$400 million for relocation costs associated with moving the village to a safer location, as well as unspecified attorney s fees and expenses. On October 15, 2009, the U.S. District Court granted defendants motions dismissing all of plaintiffs federal claims in the case on two independent grounds: (1) the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction to hear the claims because of the political question doctrine; and (2) plaintiffs lack standing to bring their claims. The court also dismissed plaintiffs state law claims because the court lacked supplemental jurisdiction over them after it dismissed the federal claims; the dismissal of the state law claims was without prejudice. The plaintiffs have appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Nuclear Operations

Property Insurance

Detroit Edison maintains property insurance policies specifically for the Fermi 2 plant. These policies cover such items as replacement power and property damage. The Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL) is the primary supplier of the insurance policies.

Detroit Edison maintains a policy for extra expenses, including replacement power costs necessitated by Fermi 2 s unavailability due to an insured event. This policy has a 12-week waiting period and provides an aggregate \$490 million of coverage over a three-year period.

Detroit Edison has \$500 million in primary coverage and \$2.25 billion of excess coverage for stabilization, decontamination, debris removal, repair and/or replacement of property and decommissioning. The combined coverage limit for total property damage is \$2.75 billion.

In 2007, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 (TRIA) was extended through December 31, 2014. A major change in the extension is the inclusion of domestic acts of terrorism in the definition of covered or certified acts. For multiple terrorism losses caused by acts of terrorism not covered under the TRIA occurring within one year after the first loss from terrorism, the NEIL policies would make available to all insured entities up to \$3.2 billion, plus any amounts recovered from reinsurance, government indemnity, or other sources to cover losses.

Under the NEIL policies, Detroit Edison could be liable for maximum assessments of up to approximately \$28 million per event if the loss associated with any one event at any nuclear plant in the United States should exceed the accumulated funds available to NEIL.

Public Liability Insurance

As of January 1, 2011, as required by federal law, Detroit Edison maintains \$375 million of public liability insurance for a nuclear incident. For liabilities arising from a terrorist act outside the scope of TRIA, the policy is subject to one industry aggregate limit of \$300 million. Further, under the Price-Anderson Amendments Act of 2005, deferred premium charges up to \$117.5 million could be levied against each licensed nuclear facility, but not more than \$17.5 million per year per facility. Thus, deferred premium charges could be levied against all owners of licensed nuclear facilities in the event of a nuclear incident at any of these facilities.

Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs

In accordance with the Federal Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Detroit Edison has a contract with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) for the future storage and disposal of spent nuclear fuel from Fermi 2. Detroit Edison is obligated to pay the DOE a fee of 1 mill per kWh of Fermi 2 electricity generated and sold. The fee is accounted for as a component of nuclear fuel expense. Delays have occurred in the DOE s program for the acceptance and disposal of spent nuclear fuel at a permanent repository and the proposed fiscal year 2011 federal budget recommends termination of funding for completion of the government s long-term storage facility. Detroit Edison is a party in the litigation against the DOE for both past and future costs associated with the DOE s failure to accept spent nuclear fuel under the timetable set forth in the Federal Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. Detroit Edison currently employs a spent nuclear fuel storage strategy utilizing a fuel pool. In 2011, the Company expects to begin loading spent nuclear fuel into an on-site dry cask storage facility which is expected to provide sufficient storage capability for the life of the plant as defined by the original operating license. Issues relating to long-term waste disposal policy and to the disposition of funds contributed by Detroit Edison ratepayers to the federal waste fund await future governmental action.

Guarantees

In certain limited circumstances, the Company enters into contractual guarantees. The Company may guarantee another entity s obligation in the event it fails to perform. The Company may provide guarantees in certain indemnification agreements. Finally, the Company may provide indirect guarantees for the indebtedness of others. The Company s guarantees are not individually material with maximum potential payments totaling \$10 million at March 31, 2011.

The Company is periodically required to obtain performance surety bonds in support of obligations to various governmental entities and other companies in connection with its operations. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$14 million of performance bonds outstanding. In the event that such bonds are called for nonperformance, the Company would be obligated to reimburse the issuer of the performance bond. The Company is released from the performance bonds as the contractual performance is completed and does not believe that a material amount of any currently outstanding performance bonds will be called.

Labor Contracts

There are several bargaining units for the Company s approximately 5,000 represented employees. Approximately 400 employees are under contracts that expire in June 2011. The majority of the remaining represented employees are under contracts that expire August 2012 and June and October 2013.

Purchase Commitments

As of March 31, 2011, the Company was party to numerous long-term purchase commitments relating to a variety of goods and services required for the Company s business. These agreements primarily consist of fuel supply commitments and energy trading contracts. The Company estimates that these commitments will be approximately \$6 billion from 2011 through 2051.

The Company also estimates that 2011 capital expenditures will be approximately \$1.7 billion. The Company has made certain commitments in connection with expected capital expenditures.

Bankruptcies

The Company purchases and sells electricity, gas, coal, coke and other energy products from and to numerous companies operating in the steel, automotive, energy, retail, financial and other industries. Certain of its customers have filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The Company regularly reviews contingent matters relating to these customers and its purchase and sale contracts and records provisions for amounts considered at risk of probable loss. The Company believes its accrued amounts are adequate for probable loss. The final resolution of these matters may have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Other Contingencies

The Company is involved in certain other legal, regulatory, administrative and environmental proceedings before various courts, arbitration panels and governmental agencies concerning claims arising in the ordinary course of business. These proceedings include certain contract disputes, additional environmental reviews and investigations, audits, inquiries from various regulators, and pending judicial matters. The Company cannot predict the final disposition of such proceedings. The Company regularly reviews legal matters and records provisions for claims that it can estimate and are considered probable of loss. The resolution of these pending proceedings is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s operations or financial statements in the periods they are resolved. See Notes 5 and 7 for a discussion of contingencies related to derivatives and regulatory matters.

NOTE 12 RETIREMENT BENEFITS AND TRUSTEED ASSETS

The following details the components of net periodic benefit costs for pension benefits and other postretirement benefits:

			Other				
			Postretirement				
(in Millions)	Pension	Ben	Benefits				
Three Months Ended March 31	2011	2010	2011	2010			
Service cost	\$ 19	\$ 16	\$ 17	\$ 16			
Interest cost	51	50	31	31			
Expected return on plan assets	(62)	(64)	(24)	(18)			
Amortization of:							
Net actuarial loss	33	25	15	13			
Prior service cost	1	1	(7)	(1)			
Net transition liability			1	1			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 42	\$ 28	\$ 33	\$ 42			

Pension and Other Postretirement Contributions

In January 2011, the Company contributed \$200 million to its pension plans.

In January 2011, the Company contributed \$81 million to its other postretirement benefit plans. At the discretion of management, the Company may make up to an additional \$90 million contribution to its other postretirement benefit plans by the end of 2011.



NOTE 13 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes the components of stock-based compensation expense:

	Th	ree Moi Mare		ıded
(in Millions)	20)11	20	010
Stock-based compensation expense	\$	18	\$	15
Tax benefit		7		6
Stock-based compensation cost capitalized in property, plant and equipment		1		1
Stock Options				

The following table summarizes our stock option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

	Number of	Weighted Average Exercise Price		(in Millions) Aggregate Intrinsic	
	Options			Value	
Options outstanding at January 1, 2011	4,827,457	\$	41.09		
Granted		\$			
Exercised	(557,063)	\$	40.11		
Forfeited or expired	(4,184)	\$	43.33		
Options outstanding at March 31, 2011	4,266,210	\$	41.21	\$	25.21
Options exercisable at March 31, 2011	3,596,318	\$	41.89	\$	18.85

As of March 31, 2011, the weighted average remaining contractual life for the exercisable shares was 4.71 years. As of March 31, 2011, 669,892 options were non-vested. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, 684,857 options vested.

There were no stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2011. The intrinsic value of options exercised for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$4.3 million. Total option expense recognized was \$0.5 million and \$1.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Restricted Stock Awards

The following summarizes stock awards activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

	Restricted Stock	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value		
Balance at January 1, 2011	757,414	\$	37.32	
Grants	197,930	\$	46.85	
Forfeitures	(10,952)	\$	36.06	
Vested and issued	(236,685)	\$	39.42	
Balance at March 31, 2011	707,707	\$	39.41	

Performance Share Awards

The following summarizes performance share activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

Table of Contents

	Performance Shares
Balance at January 1, 2011	1,527,253
Grants	589,100
Forfeitures	(1,010)
Payouts	(467,688)
Balance at March 31, 2011	1,647,655
24	

Unrecognized Compensation Cost

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had \$73 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock incentive plan arrangements. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.83 years.

NOTE 14 SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The following provides detail of the changes in assets and liabilities that are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and supplementary non-cash information:

		Three Months Ended March 31			
(in Millions)	2011	2010			
Changes in Assets and Liabilities, Exclusive of Changes Shown Separately					
Accounts receivable, net	\$ (10)	\$ 114			
Inventories	125	88			
Accrued/prepaid pensions	(194)	(100)			
Accounts payable	(61)	(47)			
Income taxes receivable/payable	245	79			
Derivative assets and liabilities	(9)	(86)			
Gas inventory equalization	204	190			
Postretirement obligation	(52)	39			
Other assets	93	54			
Other liabilities	(101)	(32)			
	\$ 240	\$ 299			

Noncash financing activities:

Common stock issued for employee benefit plans\$ 1\$ 124NOTE 15SEGMENT INFORMATION\$ 1

The Company sets strategic goals, allocates resources and evaluates performance based on the following structure: *Electric Utility* segment consists of Detroit Edison, which is engaged in the generation, purchase, distribution and sale of electricity to approximately 2.1 million customers in southeastern Michigan.

Gas Utility segment consists of MichCon and Citizens. MichCon is engaged in the purchase, storage, transportation, distribution and sale of natural gas to approximately 1.2 million customers throughout Michigan and the sale of storage and transportation capacity. Citizens distributes natural gas in Adrian, Michigan to approximately 17,000 customers.

Gas Storage and Pipelines consists of natural gas pipeline, gathering and storage businesses.

Unconventional Gas Production is engaged in unconventional gas and oil project development and production. *Power and Industrial Projects* is comprised of coke batteries and pulverized coal projects, reduced emission fuel and steel industry fuel-related projects, on-site energy services, renewable power generation, landfill gas recovery and coal transportation, marketing and trading.

Energy Trading consists of energy marketing and trading operations.

Corporate & Other, includes various holding company activities, holds certain non-utility debt and energy-related investments.

The federal income tax provisions or benefits of DTE Energy s subsidiaries are determined on an individual company basis and recognize the tax benefit of production tax credits and net operating losses if applicable. The Michigan Business Tax provision of the utility subsidiaries is determined on an individual company basis and recognizes the tax benefit of various tax credits and net operating losses if applicable. The subsidiaries record federal and state income taxes payable to or receivable from DTE Energy based on the federal and state tax provisions of each company.

Inter-segment billing for goods and services exchanged between segments is based upon tariffed or market-based prices of the provider and primarily consists of power sales, gas sales and coal transportation services in the following segments:

	Three Months Ended March 31				
(in Millions)		011		2010	
Electric Utility	\$	9	\$	6	
Gas Utility				1	
Gas Storage and Pipelines		2		2	
Power and Industrial Projects		26		1	
Energy Trading		22		26	
Corporate & Other		(17)		(21)	
	\$	42	\$	15	
	Th	ree Mon Marc		nded	
(in Millions)	2	011		2010	
Operating Revenues	2	011	4	.010	
Electric Utility	\$ 1	1,193	\$	1,146	
Gas Utility	ψ	689	Ψ	755	
Gas Storage and Pipelines		25		21	
Unconventional Gas Production		<u>2</u> 5 8		8	
Power and Industrial Projects		235		252	
Energy Trading		322		286	
Corporate & Other		1		200	
Reconciliation & Eliminations		(42)		(15)	
Total	\$ 2,431 \$		\$	\$ 2,453	
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DTE Energy by Segment:					
Electric Utility	\$	85	\$	91	
Gas Utility		83		79	
Gas Storage and Pipelines		15		14	
Unconventional Gas Production		(2)		(3)	
Power and Industrial Projects		10		18	
Energy Trading		2		38	
Corporate & Other		(17)		(8)	
Net Income Attributable to DTE Energy	\$	176	\$	229	
36					

Part I Item 2.

DTE ENERGY COMPANY Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

OVERVIEW

DTE Energy is a diversified energy company and is the parent company of Detroit Edison and MichCon, regulated electric and gas utilities engaged primarily in the business of providing electricity and natural gas sales, distribution and storage services throughout southeastern Michigan. We operate four energy-related non-utility segments with operations throughout the United States.

Net income attributable to DTE Energy in the first quarter of 2011 was \$176 million, or \$1.04 per diluted share, compared to net income attributable to DTE Energy of \$229 million, or \$1.38 per diluted share, in the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in net income is primarily due to lower earnings at the Energy Trading and Power and Industrial segments, partially offset by improved results at the Electric and Gas utilities.

Please see detailed explanations of segment performance in the following Results of Operations section. The items discussed below influenced our current financial performance and/or may affect future results.

Reference in this report to we, us, our, Company or DTE are to DTE Energy and its subsidiaries, collectively. **UTILITY OPERATIONS**

Our Electric Utility segment consists of Detroit Edison, which is engaged in the generation, purchase, distribution and sale of electricity to approximately 2.1 million customers in southeastern Michigan.

Our Gas Utility segment consists of MichCon and Citizens. MichCon is engaged in the purchase, storage, transportation, distribution and sale of natural gas to approximately 1.2 million customers throughout Michigan and the sale of storage and transportation capacity. Citizens distributes natural gas in Adrian, Michigan to approximately 17,000 customers.

Detroit Edison has experienced decreased electric sales in 2011 driven primarily by lower interconnection and industrial sales, partially offset by higher residential and commercial sales. Industrial sales are lower due to decreased demand from customers in the automotive and steel industries and their related suppliers and other ancillary businesses. The residential sales increase is a result of colder winter weather. MichCon s sales were higher due to colder winter weather, partially offset by a decrease in the number of customers, reduced natural gas usage by customers due to economic conditions and an increased emphasis on conservation of energy usage. Both utilities have exposure to the collectability of receivables in our market area. The Company continues to work with our customers through a variety of proactive programs to assist them. We also partner with federal, state and local officials to increase the share of low-income funding allocated to our customers. Changes in the level of funding provided to our low-income customers will affect the level of uncollectible expense. To mitigate volatility of changes in the uncollectible expense, both utilities have uncollectible tracking mechanisms that enable them to recover or refund 80 percent of the difference between the actual uncollectible expense each year and the level established in their last rate cases. The uncollectible tracking mechanisms require annual reconciliation proceedings before the MPSC.

		Three Months Ended March 31			
(in Millions)	2011	2010			
Uncollectible Expense					
Detroit Edison	\$	5 \$ 12			
MichCon	9) 22			
	\$ 15	5 \$ 34			

We are continuing our efforts to identify opportunities to improve cash flow at our utilities through working capital initiatives and maintaining flexibility in the timing and extent of our long-term capital projects. We are actively managing our cash, capital expenditures, cost structure and liquidity to maintain our financial strength. See the Capital Resources and Liquidity section in this Management s Discussion and Analysis for further discussion of our liquidity outlook.

NON-UTILITY OPERATIONS

We have significant investments in non-utility businesses. We employ disciplined investment criteria when assessing opportunities that leverage our assets, skills and expertise. Specifically, we invest in targeted energy markets with attractive competitive dynamics where meaningful scale is in alignment with our risk profile. We expect growth opportunities in the Gas Storage and Pipelines and Power and Industrial Projects segments in the future. Expansion of these businesses will also result in our ability to further diversify geographically.

Gas Storage and Pipelines owns partnership interests in two natural gas storage fields, two interstate pipelines serving the Midwest, Ontario and Northeast markets and gathering projects in northern Michigan. Much of the growth in demand for natural gas is expected to occur in the Eastern Canada and the Northeast U.S. regions. Our Vector and Millennium pipelines are well positioned to provide access routes and low-cost expansion options to these markets. In addition, Millennium Pipeline is well positioned for growth related to the Marcellus shale, especially with respect to Marcellus production in Northern Pennsylvania and along the southern tier of New York. We also have subsidiaries involved in the gathering, processing and transmission of natural gas in northern Michigan.

Our Unconventional Gas Production business is engaged in natural gas and oil exploration, development and production primarily within the Barnett shale in north Texas. Our acreage covers an area that produces high BTU gas which provides a significant contribution to revenues from the value of natural gas liquids extracted from the gas stream. During this period of low natural gas prices, these natural gas liquids, with prices correlated to crude oil prices, have provided a significant increase to our realized wellhead price. Our drilling efforts have and will continue to target liquids rich gas and oil producing locations. We continue to develop our holdings and to seek opportunities for additional monetization of select properties when conditions are appropriate.

Power and Industrial Projects is comprised primarily of projects that deliver energy, products and services to industrial, commercial and institutional customers; provide coal transportation and marketing; and sell electricity generated from biomass-fired energy projects. This business segment provides services using project assets usually located on or near the customers premises in the steel, automotive, pulp and paper, airport and other industries. Renewable energy, environmental and economic trends are creating growth opportunities. The increasing number of states with renewable portfolio standards provides the opportunity to market the expertise of the Power and Industrial Projects segment in landfill gas, on-site energy management, waste-wood power generation, and other related services.

Energy Trading focuses on physical and financial power and gas marketing and trading, structured transactions, enhancement of returns from DTE Energy s asset portfolio, and optimization of contracted natural gas pipeline transportation and storage and power transmission and generating capacity positions. Energy Trading also provides natural gas, power and ancillary services to various utilities which may include the management of associated storage and transportation contracts on the customers behalf.

CAPITAL INVESTMENTS

Our utility businesses require significant capital investments each year in order to maintain and improve the reliability of their asset bases, including power generation plants, distribution systems, storage fields and other facilities and fleets. For both Detroit Edison and MichCon we plan to seek regulatory approval in general rate case filings to include these capital expenditures within our regulatory rate base consistent with prior general rate case filing treatment. Detroit Edison is required to implement a 20-year renewable energy plan to address the provisions of Michigan Public Act 295 of 2008, with the goals of delivering cleaner renewable electric generation to its customers, further diversifying Detroit Edison s and the State of Michigan s sources of electric supply and addressing the state and national goals of increasing energy independence. Detroit Edison will seek separate regulatory approval and recovery of these renewable capital expenditures within our regulatory rate base through our renewable energy plan filings. MichCon was required in its 2010 rate order to file two infrastructure improvement cases. MichCon filed a 10-year gas main renewal case for approximately \$17 million per year and also filed a 10-year meter move out case for approximately \$22 million per year. MichCon is seeking recovery of the costs resulting from these two programs with the MPSC.

In April 2010, the Company signed an agreement with the U.S. Department of Energy for a grant of approximately \$84 million in matching funds on total anticipated spending of approximately \$168 million related to the accelerated deployment of smart grid technology in Michigan through 2012. The smart grid technology includes the establishment of an advanced metering infrastructure and other technologies that address improved electric distribution service. Non-utility investments are expected primarily in continued investment in Gas Storage and Pipeline assets and renewable opportunities in the Power and Industrial Projects businesses.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

We are subject to extensive environmental regulation. Additional costs may result as the effects of various substances on the environment are studied and governmental regulations are developed and implemented. Actual costs to comply could vary substantially. We expect to continue recovering environmental costs related to utility operations through rates charged to our customers.

Air Detroit Edison is subject to the EPA ozone transport and acid rain regulations that limit power plant emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides. Since 2005, the EPA and the State of Michigan have issued additional emission reduction regulations relating to ozone, fine particulate, regional haze and mercury air pollution. The new rules will lead to additional controls on fossil-fueled power plants to reduce nitrogen oxide, sulfur dioxide and mercury emissions. To comply with these requirements, Detroit Edison has spent approximately \$1.5 billion through 2010. The Company estimates Detroit Edison will make capital expenditures of over \$230 million in 2011 and up to \$2.1 billion of additional capital expenditures through 2020 based on current regulations. Further, additional rulemakings are expected over the next few years which could require additional controls for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and hazardous air pollutants. EPA s proposed National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Coal and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units rule was issued on March 16, 2011 for review and comment. DTE Energy is reviewing potential impacts of the proposed rule. The EPA will be accepting input on the proposal and may modify it prior to finalization, scheduled for November 2011. It is not possible to quantify the impact of this and other expected rulemakings at this time.

In July 2009, DTE Energy received a Notice of Violation/Finding of Violation (NOV/FOV) from the EPA alleging, among other things, that five Detroit Edison power plants violated New Source Performance standards, Prevention of Significant Deterioration requirements, and operating permit requirements under the Clean Air Act. An additional NOV/FOV was received in June 2010 related to a recent project and outage at Unit 2 of the Monroe Power Plant. On August 5, 2010, the United States Department of Justice, at the request of the EPA, brought a civil suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan against DTE Energy and Detroit Edison, related to the June

2010 NOV/FOV and the outage work performed at Unit 2 of the Monroe Power Plant, but not relating to the July 2009 NOV/FOV. Among other relief, the EPA requested the court to require Detroit Edison to install and operate the best available control technology at Unit 2 of the Monroe Power Plant. Further, the EPA requested the court to issue a preliminary injunction to require Detroit Edison to (i) begin the process of obtaining the necessary permits for the Monroe Unit 2 modification and (ii) offset the pollution from Monroe Unit 2 through emissions reductions from Detroit Edison s fleet of coal-fired power plants until the new control equipment is operating. In January 2011, the EPA s motion for preliminary injunction was denied and the liability phase of the civil suit has been scheduled for trial in September 2011.

DTE Energy and Detroit Edison believe that the plants identified by the EPA, including Unit 2 of the Monroe Power Plant, have complied with all applicable federal environmental regulations. Depending upon the outcome of discussions with the EPA regarding the NOV/FOV and the result of the civil action, the Company could also be required to install additional pollution control equipment at some or all of the power plants in question, implement early retirement of facilities where control equipment is not economical, engage in supplemental environmental programs, and/or pay fines. The Company cannot predict the financial impact or outcome of this matter, or the timing of its resolution.

Water In response to an EPA regulation, Detroit Edison is required to examine alternatives for reducing the environmental impacts of the cooling water intake structures at several of its facilities. Based on the results of completed studies and expected future studies, Detroit Edison may be required to install additional control technologies to reduce the impacts of the water intakes. Initially, it was estimated that Detroit Edison could incur up to approximately \$55 million in additional capital expenditures over the four to six years subsequent to 2008 to comply with these requirements. However, a January 2007 circuit court decision remanded back to the EPA several provisions of the federal regulation that has resulted in a delay in compliance dates. The decision also raised the possibility that Detroit Edison may have to install cooling towers at some facilities at a cost substantially greater than was initially estimated for other mitigative technologies. In 2008, the Supreme Court agreed to review the remanded cost-benefit analysis provision of the rule and in April 2009 upheld the EPA s use of this provision in determining best technology available for reducing environmental impacts. On March 28, 2011, the EPA issued a revised rule, which is currently under review. A final rule is scheduled to be issued in mid-2012. The EPA has also issued an information collection request to begin a review of steam electric effluent guidelines. It is not possible at this time to quantify the impacts of these developing requirements.

Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) and Other Sites Prior to the construction of major interstate natural gas pipelines, gas for heating and other uses was manufactured locally from processes involving coal, coke or oil. The facilities, which produced gas, have been designated as MGP sites. Gas Utility owns, or previously owned, fifteen such former MGP sites. Detroit Edison owns, or previously owned, three former MGP sites. In addition to the MGP sites, we are also in the process of cleaning up other sites where contamination is present as a result of historical and ongoing utility operations. These other sites include an engineered ash storage facility, electrical distribution substations, gas pipelines, and underground and aboveground storage tank locations. Cleanup activities associated with these sites will be conducted over the next several years.

Any significant change in assumptions, such as remediation techniques, nature and extent of contamination and regulatory requirements, could impact the estimate of remedial action costs for the sites and affect the Company s financial position and cash flows. The Company anticipates the cost amortization methodology approved by the MPSC for MichCon, which allows MichCon to amortize the MGP costs over a ten-year period beginning with the year subsequent to the year the MGP costs were incurred, and the cost deferral and rate recovery mechanism for Citizens approved by the City of Adrian, will prevent environmental costs from having a material adverse impact on the Company s results of operations.

Landfill Detroit Edison owns and operates a permitted engineered ash storage facility at the Monroe Power Plant to dispose of fly ash from the coal fired power plant. Detroit Edison performed an engineering analysis in 2009 and identified the need for embankment side slope repairs and reconstruction.

The EPA has published proposed rules to regulate coal ash under the authority of the Resources Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The proposed rule published on June 21, 2010 contains two primary regulatory options to

regulate coal ash residue. The EPA is currently considering either, to designate coal ash as a Hazardous Waste as

defined by RCRA or to regulate coal ash as non-hazardous waste under RCRA. However, agencies and legislatures have urged the EPA to regulate coal ash as a non-hazardous waste. If the EPA were to designate coal ash as a hazardous waste, the agency could apply some, or all, of the disposal and reuse standards that have been applied to other existing hazardous wastes. Some of the regulatory actions currently being contemplated could have a significant impact on our operations and financial position and the rates we charge our customers. It is not possible to quantify the impact of those expected rulemakings at this time.

Non-Utility

The Company s non-utility affiliates are subject to a number of environmental laws and regulations dealing with the protection of the environment from various pollutants. The Michigan coke battery facility received and responded to information requests from the EPA that resulted in the issuance of a Notice of Violation in June of 2007 alleging potential maximum achievable control technologies and new source review violations. The EPA is in the process of reviewing the Company s position of demonstrated compliance and has not initiated escalated enforcement. At this time, the Company cannot predict the impact of this issue. Furthermore, the Michigan coke battery facility is the subject of an investigation by the MDEQ concerning visible emissions readings that resulted from the Company self reporting to MDEQ questionable activities by an employee of a contractor hired by the Company to perform the visible emissions readings. At this time, the Company cannot predict the impact of this settlement. The Company is currently seeking a permit from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection to upgrade its wastewater treatment technology to a biological treatment for the coke battery facility located in Pennsylvania. This upgrade is expected to be completed over the next two years to meet future regulatory requirements.

The Company s non-utility affiliates are substantially in compliance with all environmental requirements, other than as noted above.

Other

In 2011, the EPA finalized a new set of regulations regarding the identification of non-hazardous secondary materials that are considered solid waste, industrial boiler and process heater maximum achievable control technologies (MACT) for major and area sources, and commercial/industrial solid waste incinerator new source performance standard and emission guidelines. This new set of regulations may impact our existing operations and may require us, in certain instances, to install new air pollution control devices. The new MACT regulations for industrial boilers provide three years for compliance with the major and area source standards. The Company is currently assessing the impact on current operations to determine the financial impact, if any, to comply with the new standards. *Global Climate Change*

The EPA has promulgated the Greenhouse Gas Tailoring rule that regulates greenhouse gases as pollutants under the EPA s new source permitting and major source operating permit programs, and that requires a Best Available Control Technology (BACT) determination for new and modified major sources of GHG. In addition, the EPA will be issuing proposed GHG performance standards for new and modified electric generating units in July 2011. Comprehensive climate change and energy legislation was passed out of the U.S. House in 2009, but the Senate was unable to agree on passage of a climate bill. In the current U.S. Congress, efforts are focused on delaying the EPA s regulation of GHGs with no expectation of enacting a comprehensive national climate program. Pending or future regulatory or legislative actions could have a material impact on our operations and financial position and the rates we charge our customers. Impacts include expenditures for environmental equipment beyond what is currently planned, financing costs related to additional capital expenditures, the purchase of emission offsets from market sources and the retirement of facilities where control equipment is not economical. We would seek to recover these incremental costs through increased rates charged to our utility customers. Increased costs for energy produced from traditional sources could also increase the economic viability of energy produced from renewable and/or nuclear sources and energy efficiency initiatives and the development of market-based trading of carbon offsets providing business opportunities for our utility and non-utility segments. It is not possible to quantify these impacts on DTE Energy or its customers at this time.

OUTLOOK

The next few years will be a period of rapid change for DTE Energy and for the energy industry. Our strong utility base, combined with our integrated non-utility operations, position us well for long-term growth.

Looking forward, we will focus on several areas that we expect will improve future performance:

improving Electric and Gas Utility customer satisfaction;

continuing to maintain regulatory stability and investment recovery for our utilities;

managing the growth of our utility asset base within a framework of managing customer affordability;

optimizing our cost structure across all business segments;

managing cash, capital and liquidity to maintain or improve our financial strength; and

investing in businesses that integrate our assets and leverage our skills and expertise. We will continue to pursue opportunities to grow our businesses in a disciplined manner if we can secure opportunities that meet our strategic, financial and risk criteria.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following sections provide a detailed discussion of the operating performance and future outlook of our segments. Net income attributable to DTE Energy by segment is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31		
(in Millions)	2011 2010		
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DTE Energy by Segment:			
Electric Utility	\$	85	\$ 91
Gas Utility		83	79
Gas Storage and Pipelines		15	14
Unconventional Gas Production		(2)	(3)
Power and Industrial Projects		10	18
Energy Trading		2	38
Corporate & Other		(17)	(8)
Net Income Attributable to DTE Energy	\$	176	\$ 229

ELECTRIC UTILITY

Our Electric Utility segment consists of Detroit Edison. Electric Utility results are discussed below: