OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP INC Form 10-Q August 08, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended JUNE 30, 2006

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 1-6479-1

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

666 Third Avenue, New York, New York (Address of principal executive offices)

13-2637623 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

> **10017** (Zip Code)

(212) 953-4100

Registrant s telephone number, including area code

No Change

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer X

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO x

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date.

Common Shares outstanding as of August 4, 2006 39,538,291

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS IN THOUSANDS

	June 30, 2006 (Unaudited)		Dec 2005	ember 31, 5
ASSETS				
Current Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	177,295	\$	188,588
Voyage receivables	124	,527	157	,334
Other receivables	55,6	665	22,2	202
Inventories and prepaid expenses	24,8	324	16,7	763
Total Current Assets	382	,311	384	,887
Capital Construction Fund	300	,282	296	,126
Vessels and other property, less accumulated depreciation of \$432,206 and \$383,587	2,10	08,682	2,28	38,481
Vessels held for sale	124	,873		
Vessels under Capital Leases, less accumulated amortization of \$74,698 and \$71,242	34,3	325	36,2	267
Deferred drydock expenditures, net	35,5	35,540		305
Total Vessels, Deferred Drydock and Other Property	2,30)3,420	2,34	14,553
Investments in Affiliated Companies	285	,149	269	,657
Other Assets	56,5	558	53,4	457
Total Assets	\$	3,327,720	\$	3,348,680
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current Liabilities:				
Accounts payable, sundry liabilities and accrued expenses	\$	125,797	\$	105,173
Short-term debt and current installments of long-term debt	20,9		20,0)66
Current obligations under capital leases	7,30		6,96	
Total Current Liabilities		,035		,207
Long-term Debt		,159		,612
Obligations under Capital Leases	38,0		42,0	
Deferred Gain on Sale and Leaseback of Vessels	211	,929	233	,456
Deferred Federal Income Taxes (\$104,816 and \$113,255) and Other Liabilities	133	,017	141	,334
Shareholders Equity		58,508		76,028
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$	3,327,720	\$	3,348,680

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS

(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended			
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005		
Shipping Revenues:						
Pool revenues including \$12,129, \$13,531,						
\$25,693 and \$27,490 received from a 50%						
owned company accounted for by the equity	*	* 12 0 1 0 7	* * * *	• • • • • • • •		
method	\$ 133,002	\$ 128,105	\$ 326,107	\$ 316,059		
Time and bareboat charter revenues, including \$4,679 and \$9,358 in 2005 received from a						
37.5% owned company accounted for by the						
equity method	,		139,100	135,876		
Voyage charter revenues	29,499	44,314	56,572	61,856		
	230,753	238,384	521,779	513,791		
Operating Expenses:						
Voyage expenses	14,449	9,786	25,366	18,006		
Vessel expenses	53,876	44,272	102,791	88,072		
Time and bareboat charter hire expenses,						
including \$19,516 and \$43,655 in 2006 paid						
to a 44.5% owned company accounted for by						
the equity method	38,056	26,022	81,227	51,823		
Depreciation and amortization	35,860	40,090	70,214	76,449		
General and administrative	23,070	15,516	47,081	31,537		
Loss/(gain) on disposal of vessels	3,498	(13,174) 3,619	(26,076		
Total Operating Expenses	168,809	122,512	330,298	239,811		
Income from Vessel Operations	61,944	115,872	191,481	273,980		
Equity in Income of Affiliated Companies	4,516	12,664	11,328	30,337		
Operating Income	66,460	128,536	202,809	304,317		
Other Income	6,794	7,671	16,186	18,894		
	73,254	136,207	218,995	323,211		
Interest Expense	15,134	25,569	37,741	48,400		
Income before Federal Income Taxes	58,120	110,638	181,254	274,811		
Credit for Federal Income Taxes	(2,111)	(3,523) (7,341)	(4,269		
Net Income	\$ 60,231	\$ 114,161	\$ 188,595	\$ 279,080		
Weighted Average Number of Common						
Shares Outstanding:						
Basic	39,536,097	39,447,473	39,526,087	39,441,276		
Diluted	39,590,687	39,512,839	39,580,119	39,505,969		
Per Share Amounts:						
Basic net income	\$ 1.52	\$ 2.89	\$ 4.77	\$ 7.08		
Diluted net income	\$ 1.52	\$ 2.89	\$ 4.76	\$ 7.06		
Cash dividends declared	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.675	\$ 0.525		

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

IN THOUSANDS

(UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 2005				
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:					
Net income	\$ 188,	,595	\$	279,080	
Items included in net income not affecting cash flows:					
Depreciation and amortization	70,214		76,4		
Amortization of deferred gain on sale and leasebacks	(20,861)	(1,5	26	
Deferred compensation relating to restricted stock and stock option grants	1,900		844		
Deferred federal income tax credit	(5,400)	(2,3	17	
Undistributed earnings of affiliated companies	7,045		(8,6	29	
Other net	3,951		(3,7	84	
Items included in net income related to investing and financing activities:					
Gain on sale of securities net	(8,889)	(12,	203	
Loss/(gain) on disposal of vessels	3,619		(26,	076	
Payments for drydocking	(21,279)	(7,5	92	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	18		(28,	324	
Net cash provided by operating activities	218,913		265	922	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Expenditures for vessels, including \$2,000 in 2006 related to vessels under construction	(5,394)	(1,2	15	
Proceeds from disposal of vessels			337	027	
Acquisition of interest in affiliated company that owned four V-Pluses			(69,	145	
Acquisition of Stelmar Shipping Ltd., net of cash acquired of \$107,911			(742	2,433	
Expenditures for other property	(3,293)	(6,3	68	
Investments in and advances to affiliated companies			(7,4	86	
Distributions from affiliated companies			20,6	60	
Other net	(936)	15,5		
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(9,623)	(453	3,398	
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Issuance of debt, net of issuance costs	48,663		781	268	
Payments on debt and obligations under capital leases	(242,889)	(904	,374	
Cash dividends paid	(16,807)	(13,	805	
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options	215		156		
Other net	(9,765)	(333	;	
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(220,583)	(137	,088	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(11,293)	(324	4,564	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	188,588		479	181	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 177,	,295	\$	154,617	
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.					

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

(UNAUDITED)

							τ	nearned							A	ccumulate	d			
			Pai	d-in			0	Compensatio	on	Treasury S	Stoc	k			0	ther				
	Co	ommon	Ad	ditional	R	etained	F	Restricted							С	omprehen	siv	e		
	Sto	ock*	Caj	pital	E	arnings	s	tock		Shares		Am	ount		In	come**		Total		
Balance at January 1, 2006 Net Income	\$	40,791	\$	199,570		1,640,742 88,595	\$			1,341,718		\$	(17,019)	\$	11,944		\$ 1,876, 188,595	028	
Net Unrealized Holding Losses on Available-For-Sale Securities															(6	,155)	(6,155)
Effect of Derivative Instruments															24	1,657		24,657		
Minimum Pension Liability Comprehensive															(4	1)	(41)
Income Cash Dividends																		207,056		***
Declared Deferred Compensation					(2	26,691)											(26,691)
Related to Options Granted			756	ő														756		
Issuance of Restricted Stock Awards			(93	1)					(81,179)	931								
Amortization of Restricted Stock Awards			1,14	44														1,144		
Options Exercised and Employee Stock Purchase			1,1	**														1,177		
Plan Balanca at Juna 20			115	i						(7,850)	100	I					215		
Balance at June 30, 2006	\$	40,791	\$	200,654	\$	1,802,646	\$			1,252,689		\$	(15,988)	\$	30,405		\$ 2,058,	508	
Balance at January 1, 2005 Reclassification	\$	40,791	\$	199,054	\$	1,203,528	\$	(1,360)	1,391,280		\$	(17,579)	\$	1,938		\$ 1,426,	372	
upon adoption of FAS 123(R) Net Income			(1,3	360)	79,080	1	,360										279,080		
Net Unrealized Holding Gains on Available-For-Sale					2	72,000									_					
Securities Effect of Derivative Instruments																654 ,565)	5,654 (3,565)
Minimum Pension Liability															14)	148		,
Comprehensive Income																		281,317		***
					(2	20,709)											(20,709)

Cash Dividends Declared Deferred													
Compensation													
Related to Options													
Granted		37	8									378	8
Issuance of													
Restricted Stock		()						104					
Awards		(4	36)		(41,746)	436)				
Amortization of													
Restricted Stock			<i>,</i>									16	<i>,</i>
Awards		46	6									46	5
Options Exercised													
and Employee													
Stock Purchase			_										
Plan		10	2			(4,262)	54				150	5
Tax Benefit Related													
to Options													
Exercised		11	2									112	2
Balance at June 30,													
2005	\$ 40,791	\$	198,316	\$	1,461,899	\$ 1,345,272	2	\$	(17,089) \$	4,175	\$	1,688,092

* Par value \$1 per share; 120,000,000 shares authorized; and 40,790,759 shares issued at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

** Amounts are net of tax.

*** Comprehensive income for the three month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$65,899 and \$109,473, respectively.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:

Note A Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. They do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and the consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2005 have been reclassified to conform to the 2006 presentation of certain items.

Subsequent to the issuance of the March 31, 2006 Form 10-Q, the Company determined that it had inappropriately classified payments for drydocking as an investing activity rather than as an operating activity. Management has concluded that such inappropriate classification was not material to cash flows from operating activities for any previously reported quarter or annual period or to trends for those periods affected or to a fair presentation of the Company s financial statements for those periods. Accordingly, the presentation of the consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2005 has been revised.

In the second quarter of 2006, the Company determined that it had inappropriately capitalized payments made in 2005 to cancel charterers purchase options. Management has concluded that this was not material to the Company s results of operations for any previously reported quarter or for the year ended December 31, 2005. Accordingly, results for prior periods have not been restated. Instead, the Company reduced vessels and other property in the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2006 by approximately \$2,100,000 and recorded a corresponding charge in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, representing the remaining unamortized balance of the amounts previously capitalized.

The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2005 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Revenue and expense recognition Revenues from time charters and bareboat charters are accounted for as operating leases and are thus recognized ratably over the rental periods of such charters, as service is performed. Voyage revenues and expenses are recognized ratably over the estimated length of each voyage and, therefore, are allocated between reporting periods based on the relative transit time in each period. The impact of recognizing voyage expenses ratably over the length of each voyage is not materially different on a quarterly and annual basis from a method of recognizing such costs as incurred. OSG does not begin recognizing voyage revenue until a Charter has been agreed to by both the Company and the customer, even if the vessel has discharged its cargo and is sailing to the anticipated load port on its next voyage.

Under voyage charters, expenses such as fuel, port charges, canal tolls, cargo handling operations and brokerage commissions are paid by the Company whereas, under time and bareboat charters, such voyage costs are paid by the Company s customers. Operating expenses include voyage expenses.

For the Company s vessels operating in the Tankers International LLC pool, the Aframax International pool and Panamax International Shipping Company Ltd., revenues and voyage expenses are pooled and allocated to each pool s participants on a time charter equivalent basis in accordance with an agreed-upon formula.

Newly issued accounting standards In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 (FAS 109), Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. In addition, FIN 48 specifically excludes income taxes from the scope of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. FIN 48 applies to all tax positions related to incometaxes that are subject to FAS 109, including tax positions considered to be routine. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company believes that the adoption of FIN 48 will not have a material effect on its earnings or financial position.

Note B Acquisition of Stelmar Shipping Ltd.:

On January 20, 2005, the Company acquired 100% of the common stock of Stelmar Shipping Ltd. (Stelmar), a leading provider of petroleum product and crude oil transportation services. The operating results of Stelmar have been included in the Company s financial statements commencing January 21, 2005. Holders of Stelmar s common stock received \$48.00 per share in cash for an aggregate consideration of approximately \$844 million. Taking into account the assumption of Stelmar s outstanding debt, the total purchase price was approximately \$1.35 billion. The Company funded the acquisition of Stelmar and the refinancing of its debt with \$675 million of borrowings under new credit facilities and \$675 million of cash and borrowings under long-term credit facilities in existence as of December 31, 2004.

The following pro forma financial information reflects the results for the six months ended June 30, 2005, of the Stelmar acquisition as if it had occurred on January 1, 2004, after giving effect to purchase accounting adjustments (in thousands, except per share amounts):

Pro forma shipping revenues	\$ 533,047
Pro forma net income	\$ 286,330
Pro forma per share amounts:	
Basic	\$ 7.26
Diluted	\$ 7.25

The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of what operating results would have been had the acquisition actually taken place on January 1, 2004. These results do not reflect any synergies that might be achieved from the combined operations.

Note C Business and Segment Reporting:

In the first quarter of 2006, the Company revised its reportable segments in order to align them with the business units used in management reporting commencing in 2006. The Company now has three reportable segments: International Crude Tankers, International Product Carriers, and U.S. vessels. Segment information as of June 30, 2005 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 has been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Segment results are evaluated based on income from vessel operations before general and administrative expenses and gain/(loss) on disposal of vessels. The accounting policies followed by the reportable segments are the same as those followed in the preparation of the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Information about the Company s reportable segments as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 follows:

	International Crude Product				T ()				
In thousands	Tankers	Carriers	Other	U.S.	Totals				
Three months ended June 30, 2006:	• 145.250	• • • • • • • • • •	ф <u>гоо</u> г	• 10.014	¢ 000 550				
Shipping revenues	\$ 147,358	\$ 58,386	\$ 5,095	\$ 19,914	\$ 230,753				
Time charter equivalent revenues	145,552	49,340	6,295	15,117	216,304				
Depreciation and amortization	20,036	9,779	1,123	4,922	35,860				
Loss on disposal of vessels	(1,310)		(88))		(1,398)				
Income from vessel operations	74,277	10,881	1,840	1,514	88,512 *				
Equity in income of affiliated companies	3,042		175	1,299	4,516				
Investments in affiliated companies at June 30, 2006	162,586		119,534	3,029	285,149				
Total assets at June 30, 2006	1,826,056	710,677	120,911	87,999	2,745,643				
Six months ended June 30, 2006:									
Shipping revenues	355,379	121,022	10,100	35,278	521,779				
Time charter equivalent revenues	352,643	103,202	12,496	28,072	496,413				
Depreciation and amortization	39,830	19,215	2,153	9,016	70,214				
Loss on disposal of vessels	(1,431)		(88))		(1,519)				
Income from vessel operations	204,052	31,415	3,651	3,063	242,181 *				
Equity in income of affiliated companies	8,030		307	2,991	11,328				
Expenditures for vessels	2,153	47		3,194	5,394				
Payments for drydocking	3,477	10,315		7,487	21,279				
Three months ended June 30, 2005:									
Shipping revenues	149,560	58,924	5,220	24,680	238,384				
Time charter equivalent revenues	147,164	53,169	6,845	21,420	228,598				
Depreciation and amortization	25,158	8,910	803	5,219	40,090				
Gain on disposal of vessels	11,500			1,674	13,174				
Income from vessel operations	82,950	24,415	2,713	8,136	118,214 *				
Equity in income of affiliated companies	10,941	, -		1,773	12,664				
Investments in affiliated companies at June 30, 2005	11,664		83,383	2,963	98,010				
Total assets at June 30, 2005	2,153,775	728,589	84,427	99,316	3,066,107				
	_,,	,	• ., .= /	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,,-				
Six months ended June 30, 2005:									
Shipping revenues	354,170	102,566	10,626	46,429	513,791				
Time charter equivalent revenues	348,811	92,675	13,129	41,170	495,785				
Depreciation and amortization	47,845	16,607	1,667	10,330	76,449				
Gain on disposal of vessels	11,506	12,896	-,007	1,674	26,076				
Income from vessel operations	223,440	40,883	297	14,821	279,441 *				
Equity in income of affiliated companies	27,310	10,005		3,160	30,337				
Expenditures for vessels	797	183	(155)	235	1,215				
Payments for drydocking	3,559	2,993		1,040	7,592				
r ayments for dryddeking	5,559	2,795		1,040	1,592				

^{*} Segment totals for income from vessel operations are before general and administrative expenses and gain/(loss) on disposal of vessels.

For vessels operating in pools or on time or bareboat charters, shipping revenues are substantially the same as time charter equivalent revenues.

The three Handysize Product Carriers that were reflagged under the U.S. Flag in late 2005 have been included in the International Product Carrier segment since these vessels continue to trade primarily in the international market.

The joint venture that is constructing four LNG Carriers is included in Other International along with two Capesize Dry Bulk Carriers.

Reconciliations of time charter equivalent revenues of the segments to shipping revenues as reported in the consolidated statements of operations follow:

	Three Months Ende	ed	Six Months Ended				
	June 30,		June 30,				
In thousands	2006	2005	2006	2005			
Time charter equivalent revenues	\$ 216,304	\$ 228,598	\$ 496,413	\$ 495,785			
Add: Voyage expenses	14,449	9,786	25,366	18,006			
Shipping revenues	\$ 230,753	\$ 238,384	\$ 521,779	\$ 513,791			

Consistent with general practice in the shipping industry, the Company uses time charter equivalent revenues, which represents shipping revenues less voyage expenses, as a measure to compare revenue generated from a voyage charter to revenue generated from a time charter. Time charter equivalent revenues, a non-GAAP measure, provides additional meaningful information in conjunction with shipping revenues, the most directly comparable GAAP measure, because it assists Company management in making decisions regarding the deployment and use of its vessels and in evaluating their financial performance.

Reconciliations of income from vessel operations of the segments to income before federal income taxes as reported in the consolidated statements of operations follow:

In thousands	Three Months End June 30, 2006	led 2005	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006	2005
Total income from vessel operations of all segments	\$ 88,512	\$ 118,214	\$ 242,181	\$ 279,441
General and administrative expenses	(23,070)	(15,516)	(47,081)	(31,537)
(Loss)/gain on disposal of vessels	(3,498)	13,174	(3,619)	26,076
Consolidated income from vessel operations	61,944	115,872	191,481	273,980
Equity in income of affiliated companies	4,516	12,664	11,328	30,337
Other income	6,794	7,671	16,186	18,894
Interest expense	(15,134)	(25,569)	(37,741)	(48,400)
Income before federal income taxes	\$ 58,120	\$ 110,638	\$ 181,254	\$ 274,811

Reconciliations of total assets of the segments to amounts included in the consolidated balance sheets follow:

In thousands as of June 30,	2000	2006		5
Total assets of all segments	\$	2,745,643	\$	3,066,107
Corporate cash and securities, including Capital Construction Fund	477	477,577		,753
Other unallocated amounts	104	104,500		137
Consolidated total assets	\$	3,327,720	\$	3,571,997
Note D Investments in Affiliated Companies:				

Investments in affiliated companies include joint ventures and other investments accounted for using the equity method.

As of June 30, 2006, the Company has a 44.5% interest in a company that owns seven International Flag vessels (three VLCCs and four Aframaxes) and a 49.9% interest in one joint venture that has four LNG Carriers under construction. In addition, the Company is a 50% partner in Panamax International Shipping Company Ltd., a commercial management arrangement, which is structured as a joint venture.

Double Hull Tankers, Inc.

In October 2005, OSG sold seven tankers (three VLCCs and four Aframaxes) to Double Hull Tankers, Inc. (DHT) in connection with DHT s initial public offering. In consideration, the Company received \$412,580,000 in cash and 14,000,000 shares in DHT, representing a 46.7% equity stake in the new tanker concern. In November 2005, the Company sold 648,500 shares of DHT, pursuant to the exercise of the over-allotment option granted to the underwriters of DHT s initial public offering, and received net cash proceeds of \$7,315,000. Such sale reduced the Company s interest in DHT to 44.5%. As of June 30, 2006, the carrying amount of the investment in DHT was \$159,776,000 (market value - \$179,845,000). OSG time chartered the vessels back from DHT for initial periods of five to six and one-half years with various renewal options of up to an additional five to eight years, depending on the vessel. The charters provide for profit sharing with DHT when the aggregate TCE revenues earned by the vessels exceed the aggregate basic charter hire defined in the agreement. Under related agreements, a subsidiary of the Company technically manages these vessels for DHT for amounts that have been fixed (except for vessel insurance premiums) over the term of the agreements. Such management agreements are cancelable by DHT upon 90 days notice.

The technical management fees earned by the Company, as well as the costs incurred in performing the required services under the management agreements, are included in vessel expenses. A summary of amounts recognized in the consolidated statements of operations follows:

In thousands	Three M June 30	1onths Ended , 2006		Six Mon June 30,	ths Ended , 2006	
Technical management fees earned	\$	3,426		\$	6,815	
Costs incurred in performing the required services	(4,214)	(8,267)
	\$	(788)	\$	(1,452)

OSG booked a gain on the sale and charter back of these vessels of \$232,159,000 in the fourth quarter of 2005. The gain was deferred for accounting purposes and is being recognized as a reduction of time charter hire expense over the initial charter periods.

In November 2004, the Company formed a joint venture with Qatar Gas Transport Company Limited (Nakilat) whereby companies in which OSG holds a 49.9% interest ordered four 216,000 cbm LNG Carriers. Upon delivery in 2007 and 2008, these vessels will commence 25-year time charters to Qatar Liquefied Gas Company Limited (II). The aggregate construction cost for such newbuildings of \$908,100,000 will be financed by the joint venture through long-term bank financing and partner contributions. OSG has advanced \$90,635,000 to such joint venture as of June 30, 2006, representing its share of working capital (\$10,000) and the first installment under the construction contracts (\$90,625,000, or approximately 49.9% of \$181,600,000). During the first six months of 2006, the joint venture made \$227,016,000 of progress payments. The aggregate unpaid costs of \$499,435,000 will be funded through bank financing that will be nonrecourse to the partners. The joint venture has entered into forward start floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps with a group of major financial institutions that are being accounted for as cash flow hedges. The interest rate swaps cover notional amounts aggregating approximately \$826,926,000, pursuant to which it will pay fixed rates of 4.91% and 4.93% and receive a floating rate based on LIBOR. These agreements have forward start dates ranging from July to October 2022. As of June 30, 2006, the joint venture has recorded an asset of \$45,210,000 for the fair value of these swaps. The Company s share of such amount is included in accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying June 30, 2006 balance sheet.

A condensed summary of the results of operations of the equity method investments, excluding Panamax International, follows:

In thousands	Three Months End June 30, 2006	ded 2005	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006	2005
Time charter equivalent revenues	\$ 57,718	\$ 61,875	\$ 121,291	\$ 147,498
Operating expenses	(44,471)	(41,904)	(88,843)	(87,348)
Gain on vessel disposals		11,349		11,349
Income from vessel operations	13,247	31,320	32,448	71,499
Other income	474	148	863	333
Interest expense *	(3,229)	(2,582)	(6,500)	(6,689)
Net income	\$ 10,492	\$ 28,886	\$ 26,811	\$ 65,143

* Includes interest on subordinated loans payable to joint venture partners of \$993 and \$2,725 (three and six months ended June 30, 2005). The Company s share of such interest is eliminated in recording the results of the joint ventures by the equity method.

Note E Derivatives:

As of June 30, 2006, the Company is a party to floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps, which are being accounted for as cash flow hedges, with various major financial institutions covering notional amounts aggregating approximately \$70,382,000 pursuant to which it pays fixed rates ranging from 4.7% to 5.4% and receives floating rates based on LIBOR (approximately 5.5% as of June 30, 2006). These agreements contain no leverage features and have maturity dates ranging from December 2008 to August 2014.

During the first quarter of 2006, the Company transferred a loss of \$232,000 from accumulated other comprehensive income to other income in the accompanying statement of operations for interest rate swaps maturing in July 2006 with notional amounts totaling \$199,000,000 that no longer qualify as effective cash flow hedges because the underlying debt was repaid.

As of June 30, 2006, the Company has recorded an asset of \$629,000 related to the fair values of all of these swaps.

Note F Debt:

In January 2005, the Company concluded two debt agreements aggregating \$675,000,000. The proceeds from these borrowings were used to fund the acquisition of Stelmar and refinance its debt. One of the agreements was a \$500,000,000 seven-year unsecured revolving credit agreement. Borrowings under this facility bore interest at a rate based on LIBOR and the terms, conditions, and financial covenants contained therein were comparable to those contained in the Company s then existing long-term facilities. The other agreement was a \$175,000,000 term loan secured by five Handysize Product Carriers.

The Company entered into a \$1.8 billion seven-year unsecured revolving credit agreement in 2006 with a group of banks (except that after five years the maximum amount the Company may borrow under the credit agreement is reduced by \$150 million and after six years such amount is further reduced by an additional \$150 million). Borrowings under this facility bear interest at a rate based on LIBOR. The terms, conditions and financial covenants contained therein are generally more favorable than those contained in the Company s then existing long-term facilities. In March 2006, in connection with entering into this credit agreement, the Company terminated all of its unsecured revolving credit facilities (long-term of \$1,285,000,000 and short-term of \$45,000,000) that existed prior thereto.

In June 2006, the Company amended floating rate secured term loans covering eight vessels. The amendment provided additional borrowings of approximately \$48,000,000, removed the encumbrance on one of the vessels, reduced the interest rate and extended the maturity dates of certain of the loans.

During the second quarter of 2006, the Company repurchased principal amounts of \$23,885,000 of its 8.25% notes and \$6,700,000 of its 8.75% debentures that are due in 2013. Accordingly, the Company recognized a loss of \$1,511,000 on the repurchased debt.

As of June 30, 2006, the Company had long-term unsecured credit availability of \$1,800,000,000, all of which was unused. In addition, the Company has a short-term credit facility of \$20,000,000, all of which was unused at June 30, 2006.

Agreements related to long-term debt provide for prepayment privileges (in certain instances with penalties), limitations on the amount of total borrowings and secured debt, and acceleration of payment under certain circumstances, including failure to satisfy certain financial covenants.

As of June 30, 2006, approximately 17.5% of the net book value of the Company s vessels, representing nine International Flag tankers is pledged as collateral under certain debt agreements.

Interest paid, excluding capitalized interest, amounted to \$43,062,000 and \$45,489,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Note G Taxes:

On October 22, 2004, the President of the U.S. signed into law the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. The Jobs Creation Act reinstated tax deferral for OSG s foreign shipping income for years beginning after December 31, 2004. Effective January 1, 2005, the earnings from shipping operations of the Company s foreign subsidiaries are not subject to U.S. income taxation as long as such earnings are not repatriated to the U.S. The Company intends to permanently reinvest these earnings, as well as the undistributed income of its foreign companies accumulated through December 31, 1986, in foreign operations. Accordingly, no provision for U.S. income taxes on the shipping income of its foreign subsidiaries was required in 2006 and 2005. Further, no provision for U.S. income taxes on the Company s share of the undistributed earnings of its less than 50%-owned foreign shipping joint ventures was required as of June 30, 2006 because the Company intends to indefinitely reinvest such earnings (\$80,161,000 at June 30, 2006). The unrecognized deferred U.S. income taxes attributable thereto approximated \$28,100,000.

As of June 30, 2006, undistributed earnings on which U.S. income taxes have not been provided aggregated approximately \$1,376,000,000, including \$119,000,000 earned prior to 1976; the unrecognized deferred U.S. income tax attributable to such undistributed earnings approximated \$481,000,000.

The components of the federal income tax credits follow:

	Three Months F June 30,	Inded	Six Months End June 30,	ed
In thousands	2006	2005	2006	2005
Current	\$ (100)	\$ (2,389)	\$ (1,941)	\$ (1,952)
Deferred	(2,011)	(1,134)	(5,400)	(2,317)
	\$ (2,111)	\$ (3,523)	\$ (7,341)	\$ (4,269)

Actual federal income taxes paid during the six months ended June 30, 2005 amounted to \$93,009,000, of which \$91,100,000 related to 2004.

Note H Capital Stock and Stock Compensation:

In June 2006, the Company s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$300,000,000 worth of the Company s common stock, from time-to-time. Such purchases will be made at the Company s discretion and take into account such factors as price and prevailing market conditions. As of June 30, 2006, there have been no purchases under this program.

In the first six months of 2006, the Company awarded a total of 83,411 shares of restricted common stock at no cost to certain of its employees, including senior officers. Restrictions limit the sale or transfer of these shares until they vest, which occurs ratably over a four-year period. During the restriction period, the shares will have voting rights and cash dividends will be paid if declared. At the dates of the awards, the fair market values of the Company s stock ranged from \$47.57 to \$49.05 per share. Accordingly, \$3,580,000 is being amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period of four years, using the straight-line method. In addition, options covering 154,686 shares were granted at \$48.34 and \$49.05 per share, the market prices at the dates of the grant, to certain of its employees and non-employee directors. Such options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and expire ten years from the grant date. Compensation expense is being recognized ratably over the three-year vesting period.

In the second quarter of 2006, the Company granted a total of 11,000 restricted stock units to certain of its non-employee directors. Each restricted stock unit represents a contingent right to receive one share of common stock upon the non-executive director s termination of service as a board member. The restricted stock units vest upon the earlier of the first anniversary of the date of grant or the next annual meeting of the stockholders. The restricted stock units have no voting rights and may not be transferred or otherwise disposed of while the non-employee director is a director. The non-employee director is entitled to dividends in the form of additional restricted stock units at the same time dividends are paid on the Company s common stock in an amount equal to the result obtained by dividing (i) the product of (x) the amount of units owned by the non-employee director on the record date for the dividend times (y) the dividend per share by (ii) the closing price of a share of the Company s common stock on the payment date, which restricted units vest immediately on the payment date for the dividend. At the date of the awards, the fair market value of the Company s stock was \$58.32 per share. Accordingly, \$642,000 is being amortized to compensation expense over one year, using the straight-line method.

In the second quarter of 2005, the Company granted a total of 10,000 restricted stock units to certain of its non-employee directors. At the date of the awards, the fair market value of the Company s stock was \$59.69 per share. Accordingly, \$597,000 was amortized to compensation expense over one year, using the straight-line method.

In the first six months of 2005, the Company awarded a total of 41,746 shares of restricted common stock at no cost to certain of its employees, including senior officers. At the dates of the awards, the fair market value of the Company s stock ranged from \$52.40 to \$62.23 per share. Accordingly, \$1,808,000 is being amortized to compensation expense over the four year vesting period. In addition, options covering 51,207 shares were granted to certain of its employees and non-employee directors, at \$52.40 and \$59.69 per share, the market prices at the dates of grant. Such options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and expire ten years from the grant dates. Compensation expense is being recognized ratably over the three-year vesting period.

The weighted average grant-date fair values of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 were \$18.04 and \$19.79 per share, respectively.

Note I Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of related taxes, in the consolidated balance sheets follow:

In thousands as of	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$ 10,083	\$ 16,238
Unrealized gains/(losses) on derivative instruments	23,222	(1,435)
Minimum pension liability	(2,900) (2,859)
	\$ 30,405	\$ 11,944

Note J Leases:

1. Charters-in:

As of June 30, 2006, the Company had commitments to charter-in 59 vessels. Fifty-six of such charter-ins are, or will be, accounted for as operating leases, of which 28 are bareboat charters and 28 are time charters. The future minimum commitments and related number of operating days under these operating leases are as follows:

Bareboat Charters-in:

Dollars in thousands at June 30, 2006	Amount	Operating Days
2006	\$ 25,676	3,289
2007	63,442	6,791
2008	79,357	7,000
2009	85,200	5,242
2010	92,151	4,233
Thereafter	342,210	13,759
Net minimum lease payments	\$ 688,036	40,314

Dollars in thousands at June 30, 2006	Amount	Operating Days	
2006	\$ 68,409	2,725	
2007	139,420	5,891	
2008	146,489	6,425	
2009	147,128	6,657	
2010	139,844	6,376	
Thereafter	343,944	19,761	
Net minimum lease payments	\$ 985,234	47,835	

The future minimum commitments for time charters-in have been reduced to reflect estimated days that the vessels will not be available for employment due to drydock.

The future minimum commitments under three bareboat charters-in that are classified as capital leases are as follows:

In thousands at June 30, 2006

2006	\$ 5,142
2007	11,882
2008	11,888
2009	10,808
2010	9,692
Thereafter	8,102
Net minimum lease payments	57,514
Less amount representing interest	(12,142)
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$ 45,372

During the first six months of 2006, the Company entered into agreements to time charter-in six vessels, as follows:

• four Handysize Product Carriers for periods of between seven and ten years, which charters will commence upon the delivery of the vessels from the shipyards in 2008 and 2009; and

• a 30% participation in the three-year charters of two 2003-built Aframaxes, which charters commenced in the second quarter of 2006.

The charters for all six vessels are, or will be, classified as operating leases, and provide for profit sharing with the owners of the vessels when TCE rates exceed the base rates in the charters. The charters for two of the vessels provide the Company with an extension option.

2. Charters-out:

The future minimum revenues, before reduction for brokerage commissions, expected to be received on noncancelable time charters and bareboat charters and the related revenue days (revenue days represent calendar days, less days that vessels are not available for employment due to repairs, drydock or lay-up) are as follows:

Dollars in thousands at June 30, 2006	Amount	Revenue Days
2006	\$ 137,718	6,313
2007	184,205	9,258
2008	130,984	5,983
2009	107,731	3,750
2010	84,365	1,819
Thereafter	181,784	3,855
Net minimum lease payments	\$ 826,787	30,978

Future minimum revenues do not include the Company s share of time charters entered into by the pools in which it participates. Revenues from a time charter are not generally received when a vessel is off-hire, including time required for normal periodic maintenance of the vessel. In arriving at the minimum future charter revenues, an estimated time off-hire to perform periodic maintenance on each vessel has been deducted, although there is no assurance that such estimate will be reflective of the actual off-hire in the future.

Charters on four vessels provide for profit sharing between the Company and the charterer when rates earned exceed a base rate defined in the agreements. Certain of such agreements provide that profit sharing be determined annually on the anniversary of delivery of the vessels onto the charters. Therefore, the Company s share, if any, will not be recognized until the charter anniversary date. Agreements on two of the four vessels also provide the charterer with options to buy the vessels at the end of the charters in June 2009 at fixed prices, which will approximate their expected book values. As of June 30, 2006, the charterer has exercised its purchase option for one of the two vessels.

Note K Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans:

In October 2005, the Company s Board of Directors approved the termination, effective December 31, 2005, of (i) a qualified defined benefit pension plan covering its domestic shore-based employees, and (ii) an unfunded, nonqualified supplemental defined benefit pension plan covering certain domestic shore-based employees who were in active service. Concurrently, the Company amended its existing 401(k) employee savings plan and adopted an unfunded, nonqualified supplemental defined contribution plan covering substantially all of its domestic shore-based employees to provide for increased levels of employer contributions, or hypothetical contributions with respect to the unqualified plan, commencing 2006. In connection with the termination of these defined benefit plans, the Company recorded a charge to earnings of \$4,706,000 in the fourth quarter of 2005 and expects to record an additional charge to earnings of approximately \$2,000,000 at the time of the final settlement of the obligations under the above defined benefit plans in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 88, Employers Accounting for Settlement and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits. The Company expects such final settlement to occur in late 2006 or early 2007.

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the Company s domestic defined benefit pension, and postretirement health care and life insurance plans follow:

	Pension Benefit	5	Other Benefits	
In thousands for the three months ended June 30,	2006	2005	2006	2005
Cost of benefits earned	\$	\$ 466	\$ 20	\$ 18
Interest cost on benefit obligation	206	538	50	53
Expected return on plan assets	(457)	(627)		
Amortization of prior-service costs		40	(60)	(64)
Amortization of transition obligation			5	5
Recognized net actuarial loss	4	63	2	14
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ (247)	\$ 480	\$ 17	\$ 26

In thousands for the six months ended June 30,	2006	2005	2006	2005
Cost of benefits earned	\$	\$ 932	\$ 40	\$ 36
Interest cost on benefit obligation	412	1,076	100	106
Expected return on plan assets	(914) (1,253)	
Amortization of prior-service costs		79	(121) (127)
Amortization of transition obligation			10	10
Recognized net actuarial loss	7	126	4	28
Net periodic benefit cost	(495) 960	33	53
Loss on settlement		1,318		
Net periodic benefit cost after settlement	\$ (495) \$ 2,278	\$ 33	\$ 53

There was no required contribution to the Company s domestic defined benefit plan for the 2005 plan year, and the Company believes that there will be no required contribution for the 2006 plan year.

Note L Other Income:

Other income consists of:

In thousands	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 2005		Six Months Endee June 30, 2006	led 2005	
Investment income:					
Interest and dividends	\$ 4,506	\$ 2,788	\$ 9,335	\$ 6,110	
Gain on sale of securities and other investments	3,923	5,528	8,889	12,203	
	8,429	8,316	18,224	18,313	
Loss on repurchases of debt	(1,511)		(1,511)		
Gain/(loss) on derivative transactions	(5)	91	(245)	292	
Miscellaneous net	(119)	(736)	(282)	289	
	\$ 6,794	\$ 7,671	\$ 16,186	\$ 18,894	

Note M Agreements with Executive Officers:

The Company entered into an agreement dated January 31, 2005 with one of its senior officers in connection with his retirement, effective January 31, 2005. The agreement provided for payments aggregating approximately \$1,150,000 to be made to such senior officer in accordance with his severance protection agreement. Accordingly, the Company recognized this expense in the first quarter of 2005. The severance protection agreement also provided for the payment of the senior officer s unfunded, nonqualified pension plan obligation in the first quarter of 2005. At the time such payment of approximately \$3,098,000 was made, the Company recognized, as a charge to earnings, a settlement loss of \$1,318,000.

Note N Legal Matters:

On October 1, 2003, the U.S. Department of Justice served a grand jury subpoena directed at the handling of waste oils and maintenance of books and records relating thereto on the Company s International Flag Product Carrier, the Uranus. The U.S. Department of Justice has subsequently served related subpoenas and document requests concerning the Uranus and twelve other vessels in the Company s fleet and a number of witnesses have appeared before grand juries. The Company has been cooperating with the investigation since it started, including self-reporting to the government beginning in the second half of 2005 of possible additional violations of applicable environmental laws disclosed by its own investigations with respect to six of the foregoing 13 vessels.

In connection with the U.S. Department of Justice investigation, on May 17, 2006, the chief engineer of the Company s International Flag Aframax tanker, the Pacific Ruby, was indicted by a federal grand jury in the Eastern District of Texas for alleged violations of law concerning maintenance of books and records with respect to the handling of waste oils. On July 19, 2006, the Company was named as a co-defendant with the chief engineer on the Pacific Ruby in a superseding indictment based on the same factual allegations. The maximum fine against the Company for each of the ten alleged violations with respect to the Pacific Ruby is \$500,000. A trial of the charges against the chief engineer and the Company is scheduled for October 2006.

On May 31, 2006, the chief engineer on the Company s International Flag Panamax tanker, the Cabo Hellas, entered into a plea agreement with the United States Attorney s Office for the Central District of California under which the chief engineer pled guilty to two counts of record keeping violations to resolve his indictment on April 27, 2006 on charges of record keeping violations and witness tampering. The alleged violations were originally reported by the Company to the government in March 2006. A sentencing hearing is scheduled for late August 2006.

In 2004 and the first quarter of 2005, the Company made a total provision of \$10 million for anticipated fines and contributions to environmental protection programs associated with a possible settlement of the investigation. The Company continues to cooperate with the government with the goal of reaching a comprehensive settlement of the investigation. Negotiations with the U.S. Department of Justice are continuing but there can be no assurance that a satisfactory settlement can be achieved. Management cannot reasonably estimate a range of fines and contributions associated with a possible settlement of the investigation, which fines and contributions could be substantially higher than the \$10 million provision.

The Company has incurred costs of approximately \$5,582,000 and \$1,302,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, in connection with the above investigation. Such costs have been included in general and administrative expenses.

Note O Commitments:

As of June 30, 2006, the Company had remaining commitments of \$231,200,000 on non-cancelable contracts for the construction of four Aframaxes, scheduled for delivery between August 2008 and May 2009. Unpaid costs are net of \$2,000,000 of payments.

Note P Vessel Sales:

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company entered into agreements to sell two Aframaxes (Overseas Keymar and Pacific Sapphire) and one VLCC (Majestic Unity). Delivery to the purchasers of all three vessels is expected to occur in the second half of 2006. The Company has recorded an impairment loss of approximately \$1,300,000 in the second quarter of 2006, with respect to the sale of one of such vessels and expects to recognize a gain of approximately \$41,000,000 in the second half of 2006, upon delivery of the other two vessels to the purchasers. All three vessels were classified as held for sale in the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2006.

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company sold two International Flag Panamax Product Carriers (Diane and Mary Ann), one U.S. Flag Crude Tanker (Overseas Chicago) and its International Flag Suezmax (Eclipse). In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company sold and chartered back four International Flag Handysize Product Carriers.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General:

The Company is one of the largest independent bulk shipping companies in the world. The Company s operating fleet consists of 91 vessels aggregating 11.6 million dwt, including three vessels that have been chartered-in under capital leases, and 38 vessels that have been chartered-in under operating leases. In addition to its operating fleet of 91 vessels, charters-in for ten U.S. Flag Product Carriers are scheduled to commence upon delivery of the vessels between 2006 and 2010 and charters-in for eight International Flag Product Carriers are scheduled to commence upon delivery of the vessels between 2006 and 2009. Four Aframax newbuilds are scheduled for delivery between August 2008 and May 2009 and four LNG Carriers are scheduled to be delivered in late 2007 and early 2008 to a joint venture in which the Company has a 49.9% interest, bringing the total operating and newbuild fleet to 117 vessels.

Sale of Seven Tankers to Double Hull Tankers:

In October 2005, OSG sold seven tankers (three VLCCs and four Aframaxes) to Double Hull Tankers, Inc. (DHT) in connection with DHT s initial public offering. In consideration, OSG received \$421,580,000 in cash and 14,000,000 shares in DHT, representing a 46.7% equity stake in the new tanker concern. The total consideration to OSG values the transaction at \$580,580,000. In November 2005, the Company sold 648,500 shares of DHT pursuant to the exercise of the over-all allotment option granted to the underwriters of DHT s initial public offering, and received net cash proceeds of \$7,315,000. Such sale reduced the Company s interest in DHT to 44.5%. OSG time chartered the vessels back from DHT for initial periods of five to six and one-half years with various renewal options up to an additional five to eight years, depending on the vessel. The charters provide for the payment of additional hire, on a quarterly basis, by the Company when the aggregate revenue earned, or deemed earned, by these vessels for the Company exceeds the sum of the basic hire paid during the quarter by the Company. Under related agreements, a subsidiary of the Company technically manages these vessels for DHT for amounts that have been fixed (except for vessel insurance premiums) over the term of the agreements. Such agreements are cancelable by DHT upon 90 days notice.

OSG booked a gain on the sale and charter back of these vessels of \$232,159,000 in the fourth quarter of 2005. The gain was deferred for accounting purposes and is being recognized as a reduction of time charter hire expense over the initial charter periods. The cash proceeds from the sale were used to reduce debt and for general corporate purposes.

Operations:

The Company s revenues are highly sensitive to patterns of supply and demand for vessels of the size and design configurations owned and operated by the Company and the trades in which those vessels operate. Rates for the transportation of crude oil and refined petroleum products from which the Company earns a substantial majority of its revenue are determined by market forces such as the supply and demand for oil, the distance over which cargoes must be transported, and the number of vessels expected to be available at the time such cargoes need to be transported. The demand for oil shipments is significantly affected by the state of the global economy and level of OPEC s exports. The number of vessels is affected by newbuilding deliveries and by the removal of existing vessels from service, principally because of scrapping. The Company s revenues are also affected by the mix of charters between spot

(Voyage Charter) and long-term (Time Charter). Because shipping revenues and voyage expenses are significantly affected by the mix between voyage charters and time charters, the Company manages its vessels based on TCE revenues. Management makes economic decisions based on anticipated TCE rates and evaluates financial performance based on TCE rates achieved.

Overview

Worldwide oil demand during the second quarter of 2006 was approximately 83.3 million barrels per day (b/d), an increase of 800,000 barrels per day, or 1.0%, compared with the second quarter of 2005. The increase in second quarter demand was centered in China where demand increased by 570,000 b/d, or 8.8%, and in the Middle East where demand grew by 330,000 b/d, or 5.4%. Demand in North America, the largest consuming area in the world, increased by 0.2% while demand in both OECD Europe and OECD Asia were down by 2.4% and 2.3%, respectively.

Worldwide oil demand for the first half of 2006 increased by 0.8% compared with the first six months of 2005. Demand declined in OECD countries primarily due to the warmer-than-normal temperatures in the U.S. Northeast during the first quarter of 2006 that reduced demand for heating oil. Demand growth in non-OECD areas rose by 3.3% as the robust economy in China increased product demand by 6.5%. Strong demand growth in China should continue during the remainder of 2006 driven by an expected 10.2% increase in GDP. Moreover, the start-up of the Hainan refinery in Southern China in the second half of 2006 will result in additional tonne-mile demand for the Far East market.

Tanker supply increased by 2.8%, or 8.7 million dwt (4.1 million dwt during the first quarter and 4.6 million dwt in the second quarter) in the first half of 2006 from year-end 2005 levels. While there was growth in all vessel categories, the highest percentage increase occurred in the Panamax sector, where tonnage increased by 8.0% since the beginning of the year. VLCCs, on the other hand, experienced the lowest percentage growth at 1.8%. This additional tonnage exerted downward pressure on TCE rates and is a key factor as to why rates during the first half of 2006 were lower than 2005 in all vessel categories except VLCCs.

Newbuilding vessel prices strengthened during the first six months, increasing by approximately 5% for crude tankers and by approximately 7% for Product Carriers from year-end 2005 levels. Shipyards are operating near full capacity and therefore have no imminent reason to reduce newbuilding prices. Prices for modern second hand vessels remained strong, holding about even with newbuilding prices as buyers remained willing to pay a premium for immediate delivery.

More-extensive-than-usual refinery maintenance programs, as well as unanticipated refinery downtime in the U.S., Japan and Aruba in the first half of 2006, had a negative impact on freight rates. Crude tanker rates improved, however, during June as the peak refinery maintenance ended in the U.S. and Asia, and Iran began utilizing VLCC tankers as floating storage facilities, effectively increasing overall crude tanker utilization rates.

Lower refinery utilization rates in the U.S. combined with changes in gasoline and diesel specifications resulted in increased gasoline product imports. Product Carrier rates in the second quarter followed crude tanker rates, lower at the beginning of the quarter and higher at the end of the second quarter.

Geopolitical events continued to influence rates during the second quarter of 2006. The shut-in of approximately 500,000 b/d of production in Nigeria, as a result of continued attacks on its oil infrastructure, increasing concern over Iran s nuclear capabilities and a forecast for another active hurricane season in the U.S. were supportive of tanker rates during the quarter.

The opening of the Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan (B-T-C) pipeline early in the third quarter provides a new outlet on the Mediterranean for light sweet Azeri crude oil, the flow of which will increase significantly in the next few years. The first lifting of Azeri crude oil from Ceyhan was moved in an Aframax vessel to a Mediterranean refinery. Pipeline flow is currently estimated at about 200,000 b/d and is forecast to increase to 400,000 b/d by the end of 2006 and to 850,000 b/d by the end of 2007. This crude can be utilized in refineries in Europe (replacing declining North Sea production), on the U.S. East Coast (substituting for North Sea or Nigerian crudes) and in Asia where there is increased demand for light sweet crude oil to meet lower sulfur specifications.

The tables below show the daily TCE rates that prevailed in markets in which the Company s vessels operated for the periods indicated. It is important to note that the spot market is quoted in Worldscale rates. The conversion of Worldscale rates to the following TCE rates required the Company to make certain assumptions as to brokerage commissions, port time, port costs, speed and fuel consumption, all of which will vary in actual usage. In each case, the rates may differ from the actual TCE rates achieved by the Company in the period indicated because of the timing and length of voyages and the portion of revenue generated from long-term charters.

International Flag VLCCs

	ŶLC	Spot Market TCE Rates VLCCs in the Arabian Gulf Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30,						
	2006		2005		2006		2005	
Average	\$	40,800	\$	32,200	\$ 5	2,000	\$	46,800
High	\$	76,700	\$	54,600	\$ 1	19,000	\$	109,500
Low	\$	19,300	\$	13,100	\$ 1	9,300	\$	13,100

Rates for VLCCs trading out of the Arabian Gulf in the second quarter of 2006 averaged \$40,800 per day, an increase of 27% from the average for the second quarter of 2005, but 36% below the average for the first quarter of 2006. VLCC rates were highly volatile during the first half of this year. Rates peaked at \$119,000 per day in early February as charterers tried to secure vessels for early crude oil movements from the Middle East to the West as tensions increased over Iran s nuclear ambitions. A low point of \$19,300 per day was reached during the second quarter reflecting the normal seasonal drop-off in demand in conjunction with the higher than usual global refinery maintenance that further reduced requirements for crude oil.

Arabian Gulf OPEC crude oil production in the second quarter of 2006 was approximately 21.0 million b/d, approximately 120,000 b/d above the levels of the same quarter last year but 100,000 b/d below first quarter 2006 levels primarily due to normal seasonal declines in demand. Production increases benefited long-haul crude oil movements as they were centered in Kuwait, Qatar and UAE.

Imports of crude oil into China continue to increase. Crude imports that averaged 2.5 million b/d during the second quarter of 2005 increased to 2.9 million b/d in the second quarter of 2006. Imports from West Africa during 2006, specifically from Angola, have more than doubled from 2005 levels. Given the investments recently made by China in several West African countries, West Africa will continue to expand its role as a key source of supply for China, which will increase tonne-mile demand for VLCCs.

Refinery utilization rates in the U.S. averaged approximately 86% and 90% in the first and second quarters of 2006, respectively, compared with 88% and 93% during the same periods in 2005. Lower utilization rates during the first half of 2006 reflect high refinery maintenance levels and downtime in 2006 at refineries that incurred substantial damage from last year s hurricanes. Because the peak maintenance season is now over, refinery utilization rates are forecast to be in the low- to-mid 90% range for the remainder of the year.

Increased demand for crude oil during the second half of 2006 will increase requirements for both short-haul and long haul crude imports.

The world VLCC fleet reached 485 vessels (141.9 million dwt) at June 30, 2006, an increase of eight vessels (2.4 million dwt) from the end of 2005. The VLCC orderbook increased by 48 vessels (14.7 million dwt) since the beginning of the year, including 17 vessels (5.1 million dwt) during the second quarter. The orderbook totals 140 vessels (42.6 million dwt) at June 30, 2006, equivalent to 30.0% of the existing VLCC fleet, based on deadweight tons.

International Flag Aframaxes

	Spot Market TCE Rates Aframaxes in the Caribbean Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30,							
	200	6	2005	i	2006		2005	
Average	\$	25,300	\$	28,400	\$	31,600	\$	32,700
High	\$	42,000	\$	49,000	\$	49,000	\$	65,000
Low	\$	13,000	\$	17,000	\$	13,000	\$	17,000

Rates for Aframaxes operating in the Caribbean during the second quarter of 2006 averaged \$25,300 per day, a decrease of 11% from the average for the second quarter of 2005 and 33% below the average for the first quarter of 2006. Lower rates during 2006 compared with 2005 reflected lower production levels in key Aframax loading areas (North Sea and Venezuela), lower refinery utilization, less weather and incident related delays and an increase in the Aframax fleet.

The downward trend in North Sea production continued in the second quarter as output declined 9% from the same year-ago quarter and 5% from the first quarter of 2006. The North Sea tanker market became over-supplied when mild winter weather in the Baltic Sea area led to a repositioning of ice class vessels to the North Sea, effectively increasing available tonnage. Also, unlike last year, vessel collisions and groundings in the Dardanelles and Bosporus were not a factor in the second quarter of 2006, resulting in relatively softer rates in the Mediterranean this year.

Venezuelan production has decreased steadily since the middle of last year as oil field investments by major oil companies have declined due to uncertainty over the legal and tax situations in Venezuela, as the government is renegotiating contracts to increase their ownership interests and tax and royalty revenues. There has been a steady increase, however, in Brazil s production from new offshore fields that have offset declines in Venezuelan production. Production levels are forecast to steadily increase in Brazil through 2007, which should increase tonne-mile demand from South America.

Aframax rates strengthened in June with an increase in refinery activity levels in the U.S., following an extensive period of maintenance. An oil spill in the Lake Charles, Louisiana area, which caused tanker delays, kept rates firm into July.

The world Aframax fleet has expanded by 18 vessels (2.1 million dwt) since December 31, 2005 and reached 691 vessels (69.9 million dwt) at June 30, 2006. Deliveries were again much higher than deletions during the quarter, exerting downward pressure on freight rates. The Aframax orderbook increased to 188 vessels (20.6 million dwt) at June 30, 2006 from 153 vessels (16.7 million dwt) at the beginning of the year. The current orderbook now represents 29.5% of the existing Aframax fleet, based on deadweight tons.

International Flag Panamaxes

	Spot Market TCE Rates Panamaxes Crude and Residual Oils Caribbean to U.S. Atlantic Coast Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30,								
	2006		2005	2005		2006		2005	
Average	\$	21,200	\$	25,800	\$	23,800	\$	29,400	
High	\$	29,000	\$	35,000	\$	34,000	\$	57,900	
Low	\$	17,000	\$	10,000	\$	17,000	\$	10,000	

Rates for Panamaxes that move crude and residual oils from the Caribbean to the U.S. Atlantic Coast averaged \$21,200 per day during the second quarter of 2006, 18% below the corresponding quarter in 2005 and 20% below the previous quarter. Lower rates were primarily due to weaker demand and an increase in the Panamax fleet.

There was a significant drop in residual fuel oil demand in the U.S. during the first half of 2006. With natural gas prices below residual fuel oil prices, utilities with the capability to switch power-generating sources between fuel oil and natural gas opted for lower cost natural gas. This reduced import requirements, exacerbating the pressure on rates.

The world Panamax fleet at June 30, 2006 increased to 352 vessels (23.4 million dwt) from 326 vessels (21.6 million dwt) as of December 31, 2005. During the second quarter the fleet expanded by 19 vessels or 1.2 million dwt. The orderbook increased to 218 vessels (14.2 million dwt) at June 30, 2006 from 186 vessels (12.2 million dwt) at December 31, 2005. The current orderbook now represents 60.7% of the existing Panamax fleet, based on deadweight tons.

International Flag Handysize Product Carriers

	Spot Market TCE Rates* Handysize Product Carriers in the Caribbean and trans-Atlantic										
	Thr	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2006	2006		2005		2006		2005			
Average	\$	20,000	\$	21,300	\$	22,600	\$	24,700			
High	\$	24,000	\$	31,800	\$	35,900	\$	33,000			
Low	\$	15,300	\$	14,000	\$	15,300	\$	14,000			

* Rates based on 60% trans-Atlantic and 40% Caribbean movements to the U.S.

Rates for Product Carriers operating in the Caribbean and trans-Atlantic trades averaged \$20,000 per day during the second quarter of 2006, about 6% below average rates for the second quarter of 2005 and 21% lower than average rates for the first quarter of 2006.

Second quarter rates are typically below first quarter rates due to seasonally declining product demand as the Northern Hemisphere emerges from winter.

Handysize Product Carrier rates increased during June as an oil spill in the Lake Charles, Louisiana area caused tanker delays and a reduction in the production of gasoline at two refineries for approximately a one-week period. This disruption combined with a demand driven decrease in gasoline inventory levels resulted in an increase in rates to over \$30,000 per day in the early part of the third quarter.

The world Handysize fleet showed only a modest increase during the first six months of 2006, growing to 536 vessels (22.3 million dwt) at June 30, 2006 from 527 vessels (21.8 million dwt) at December 31, 2005. The orderbook rose to 238 vessels (11.0 million dwt), equivalent to 49.2% of the existing Handysize fleet, based on deadweight tons.

U.S. Flag Jones Act Product Carriers

Rates for Jones Act Product Carriers engaged in the U.S. coastwise product trade were approximately \$42,500 per day during the second quarter, about the same level as in the first quarter of 2006, but below average rates for the second quarter of 2005. High refinery maintenance levels significantly decreased the number of cargoes lifted during the second quarter of 2006 and increased the waiting time for those vessels trading in the spot market. All four of OSG s Jones Act Product Carriers are on time charter and were not affected by the downturn in rates.

The total Jones Act Product Carrier fleet consisted of 42 vessels (1.8 million dwt) as of June 30, 2006. Approximately 60% of this fleet is not double hull and will be phased out during the next nine years in accordance with OPA 90 regulations, including two vessels that will be phased out in 2006. Some of the remaining 17 vessels could also be scrapped prior to 2015 based on the age profile of these vessels. The Jones Act Product Carrier orderbook at June 30, 2006 consisted of ten vessels, all of which are to be delivered to OSG over a five-year period from 2006 to 2010.

Outlook

World oil demand for 2006 is forecast to increase by 1.5%, or 1.2 million b/d, over 2005 levels, compared with an increase in 2005 of just over 1.0 million b/d, or 1.3%, from 2004 levels. Demand growth is forecast to accelerate in the second half of 2006 with expected year-over-year increases of 1.8% and 2.6% in the third and fourth quarters, respectively, and is largely driven by rising oil demand in China, India and the U.S. Additionally, the end of peak refinery maintenance in June 2006 should result in an increase in refining activity of almost 2 million b/d during the second half of 2006.

As non-OECD countries, such as China and India, widen their search to secure oil supplies, there will be additional fixtures from such long haul sources as West and North Africa and the Caribbean, boosting tonne-mile demand. It is also expected that clean Product Carrier rates will be supported by increased product demand in the U.S. and Asia.

Freight rates remain highly sensitive to severe weather patterns and geopolitical events. Should powerful hurricanes again cripple the energy infrastructure in the U.S. Gulf, U.S. import requirements would likely rise and tanker demand could increase sharply. That could have a pronounced effect on freight rates for both for crude and clean tankers depending on the extent to which upstream and downstream facilities are affected. Geopolitical events, such as ongoing violence in Nigeria s oil producing Niger delta, escalating tensions with Iran and regional conflicts in the Middle East, could also precipitate changes in supply patterns that could significantly impact rates.

The forecast growth in tanker supply during 2006 of 5% to 7% may limit potential increases in freight rates. Increases in fleet size are forecast in all vessel categories, with the highest increases for the Panamax and Product Carrier sectors.

Update on Critical Accounting Policies:

The Company s consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require the Company to make estimates in the application of its accounting policies based on the best assumptions, judgments, and opinions of management. For a description of all of the Company s material accounting policies, see Note A to the Company s consolidated financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates a majority of its revenue from voyage charters, including vessels in pools that predominantly have performed voyage charters. Within the shipping industry, there are two methods used to account for voyage charter revenue and expenses: (1) ratably over the estimated length of each voyage and (2) completed voyage. The recognition of voyage revenues and expenses ratably over the estimated length of each voyage is the most prevalent method of accounting for voyage revenues and expenses and the method used by OSG. Under each method, voyages may be calculated on either a load-to-load or discharge-to-discharge basis. In applying its revenue recognition method, management believes that the discharge-to-discharge basis of calculating voyages more accurately estimates voyage results than the load-to-load basis. Since, at the time of discharge, management generally knows the next load port and expected

discharge port, the discharge-to-discharge calculation of voyage revenues and expenses can be estimated with a greater degree of accuracy. OSG does not begin recognizing voyage revenue until a Charter has been agreed to by both the Company and the customer, even if the vessel has discharged its cargo and is sailing to the anticipated load port on its next voyage.

Revenues from time charters and bareboat charters are accounted for as operating leases and are thus recognized ratably over the rental periods of such charters, as service is performed. The Company does not recognize time charter revenues during periods that vessels are off hire. Certain of these charters provide for profit sharing between the Company and the charterer when rates earned exceed a base rate defined in the agreements. The Company only recognizes profit sharing when there is no longer any risk that any amounts accruable can be recaptured. Because certain of such agreements provide that profit sharing be determined annually on the anniversary of delivery of the vessels onto the charterers, the Company share, if any, will not be recognized until the charter anniversary date.

For the Company s vessels operating in the Tankers International pool, the Aframax International pool and Panamax International Shipping Company Ltd., revenues and voyage expenses are pooled and allocated to each pool s participants on a time charter equivalent basis in accordance with an agreed-upon formula. The pools may enter into contracts that earn either voyage charter revenue or time charter revenue. Each of the pools follow the same revenue recognition principles, as applied by the Company, in determining shipping revenues and voyage expenses, including recognizing revenue only after a Charter has been agreed to by both the pool and the customer, even if the vessel has discharged its cargo and is sailing to the anticipated load port on its next voyage.

Market Value of Marketable Securities

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115 (FAS 115), the Company's holdings in marketable securities are classified as available for sale and, therefore, are carried on the balance sheet at fair value (determined using period-end sales prices on U.S. or foreign stock exchanges) with changes in carrying value being recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income until the investments are sold. Accordingly, these changes in value are not reflected in the Company's statements of operations. If, however, the Company determines that a material decline in the fair value below the Company's cost basis is other than temporary, the Company records a noncash impairment loss as a charge in the statement of operations in the period in which that determination is made. As a matter of policy, the Company evaluates all material declines in fair value for impairment whenever the fair value of a stock has been below its cost basis for six consecutive months. In the period in which a material decline in fair value is determined to be other than temporary, the carrying value of that security is written down to its fair value at the end of such period, thereby establishing a new cost basis.

As of June 30, 2006, the Capital Construction Fund held a diversified portfolio of marketable equity securities with an aggregate cost basis of \$71,200,000 and an aggregate fair value of \$87,084,000. The gross unrealized losses on equity securities held in the Capital Construction Fund as of June 30, 2006 were \$807,000. None of the securities with unrealized losses as of June 30, 2006 had a fair value that had been materially below its carrying value for more than six months.

Income from Vessel Operations:

During the second quarter of 2006, TCE revenues decreased by \$12,294,000, or 5%, to \$216,304,000 from \$228,598,000 in the second quarter of 2005. During the second quarter of 2006, approximately 65% of the Company s TCE revenues were derived in the spot market compared with 60% in the second quarter of 2005. In the second quarter of 2006, approximately 35% of TCE revenues were generated from time or bareboat charters (term) compared with 40% in the second quarter of 2005. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, TCE revenues increased marginally by \$628,000, or less than 1%, to \$496,413,000 from \$495,785,000 in the first six months of 2005. In the first six months of 2006, approximately 69% of the Company s TCE revenues were derived in the spot market compared with 67% in the first six months of 2005. In the first six months of 2006, approximately 31% of TCE revenues were generated from term charters compared with 33% in the first six months of 2005. The above information is based, in part, on information provided by the pools or commercial joint ventures in which OSG participates.