

Summit Hotel OP, LP
Form 10-K
February 28, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-35074 (Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.)

Commission File Number: 001-54273 (Summit Hotel OP, LP)

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC.

SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.)

Delaware (Summit Hotel OP, LP)

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

27-2962512 (Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.)

20-0617340 (Summit Hotel OP, LP)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2701 South Minnesota Avenue, Suite 6

Sioux Falls, SD 57105

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(605) 361-9566

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. | |
| Title of each class | | Name of each exchange on which |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share | | registered New York Stock Exchange |
| 9.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share | Summit Hotel OP, LP | New York Stock Exchange |
| Title of each class None | | Name of each exchange on which registered Not applicable |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.: None
Summit Hotel OP, LP: Units of partnership interest designated as "Common Units"

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Yes No Summit Hotel OP, LP Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Yes No Summit Hotel OP, LP Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Yes No Summit Hotel OP, LP Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405) of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Yes No Summit Hotel OP, LP Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Summit Hotel OP, LP

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.
Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer
Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Summit Hotel OP, LP
Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer
Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Yes No Summit Hotel OP, LP Yes No

The aggregate market value of the 15,406,309 common shares of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. held by non-affiliates was \$170,086,093 based on the closing sale price on the New York Stock Exchange for such common stock as of June 30, 2011.

There is no trading market for the securities of Summit Hotel OP, LP and thus an aggregate market value is not calculable.

As of February 27, 2012, the number of outstanding shares of common stock of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. was 27,278,000 and the number of outstanding Common Units of Summit Hotel OP, LP was 37,378,000, including 27,278,000 Common Units held by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and the general partner of Summit Hotel OP, LP.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, are incorporated herein by reference into Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and Summit Hotel OP, LP, a Delaware limited partnership.

Unless stated otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references in this report to:

“Summit REIT” mean Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation;

“Summit OP” or “our operating partnership” mean Summit Hotel OP, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, our operating partnership, and its consolidated subsidiaries; and

“we,” “our,” “us,” “our company” or “the company” mean Summit REIT, Summit OP and their consolidated subsidiaries taken together as one company. When this report discusses or refers to activities occurring prior to February 14, 2011, the date on which our operations commenced, these references refer to Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, our predecessor.

Summit REIT is the sole member of Summit Hotel GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which is the sole general partner (the “General Partner”) of Summit OP. Effective as of February 14, 2011, our predecessor merged with and into Summit OP, with the former members of our predecessor exchanging their membership interests in our predecessor for common units of partnership interest of Summit OP (“Common Units”) and Summit OP succeeding to the business and assets of our predecessor. Also on February 14, 2011, Summit REIT completed its initial public offering (“IPO”) and a concurrent private placement of its common stock and contributed the net proceeds of the IPO and concurrent private placement to Summit OP in exchange for Common Units. On October 28, 2011, Summit REIT completed a follow-on public offering of 2,000,000 shares of its 9.25% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock (“Series A Preferred Stock”). As of December 31, 2011, Summit REIT owned approximately 73% of the issued and outstanding Common Units, including the sole general partnership interest held by the General Partner. As of December 31, 2011, Summit REIT owned all of the issued and outstanding 9.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units of Summit OP (“Series A Preferred Units”). As the sole member of the General Partner, Summit REIT has exclusive control of Summit OP’s day-to-day management. The remaining Common Units in Summit OP are owned by third parties, including the former members of our predecessor.

We believe combining the Annual Reports on Form 10-K of Summit REIT and Summit OP into this single report provides the following benefits:

it enhances investors’ understanding of Summit REIT and Summit OP by enabling investors to view the business as a whole in the same manner as management views and operates the business;

it eliminates duplicative disclosure and provides a more streamlined and readable presentation since a substantial portion of the disclosure applies to both Summit REIT and Summit OP; and

it creates time and cost efficiencies for both companies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports.

We believe it is important to understand the few differences between Summit REIT and Summit OP in the context of how Summit REIT and Summit OP operate as a consolidated company. Summit REIT intends to elect to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), commencing with its short taxable year ended December 31, 2011 upon filing its federal income tax return for that year.

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2011, Summit REIT's only material assets were its ownership of Common Units and Series A Preferred Units of Summit OP and its ownership of the membership interests in the General Partner. As a result, Summit REIT does not conduct business itself, other than controlling, through the General Partner, Summit OP, raising capital through issuances of equity securities from time to time and guaranteeing certain debt of Summit OP and its subsidiaries. Summit OP and its subsidiaries hold all the operating assets of the consolidated company. Except for net proceeds from securities issuances by Summit REIT, which are contributed to Summit OP in exchange for partnership units of Summit OP, Summit OP and its subsidiaries generate capital from the operation of our business and through borrowings and the issuance of partnership units of Summit OP.

Stockholders' equity, partners' capital and noncontrolling interests are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of Summit REIT and those of Summit OP. As of December 31, 2011, Summit OP's capital interests include Common Units, representing general and limited partnership interests, and Series A Preferred Units, representing limited partnership interests. The Common Units owned by limited partners other than Summit REIT and its subsidiaries are accounted for in partners' capital in Summit OP's consolidated financial statements and (within stockholders' equity) as noncontrolling interests in Summit REIT's consolidated financial statements.

In order to highlight the differences between Summit REIT and Summit OP, there are sections in this report that separately discuss Summit REIT and Summit OP, including separate financial statements and notes thereto and separate Exhibit 31 and Exhibit 32 certifications. In the sections that combine disclosure for Summit REIT and Summit OP (i.e., where the disclosure refers to the consolidated company), this report refers to actions or holdings as our actions or holdings and, unless otherwise indicated, means the actions or holdings of Summit REIT and Summit OP and their respective subsidiaries, as one consolidated company.

As the sole member of the General Partner, Summit REIT consolidates Summit OP for financial reporting purposes, and Summit REIT does not have assets other than its investment in the General Partner and Summit OP. Therefore, while stockholders' equity and partners' capital differ as discussed above, the assets and liabilities of Summit REIT and Summit OP are the same on their respective financial statements.

Finally, we refer to a number of other entities in this report as follows. Unless the context otherwise requires or indicates, references to

“the LLC” refer to Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and references to “our predecessor” refer to the LLC and its consolidated subsidiaries, including Summit Group of Scottsdale, Arizona, LLC (“Summit of Scottsdale”);

“our TRSs” refer to Summit Hotel TRS, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Summit Hotel TRS II, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and any other taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRSs”) that we may form in the future;

“our TRS lessees” refer to the wholly owned subsidiaries of our TRSs that lease our hotels from our operating partnership or subsidiaries of our operating partnership.

“The Summit Group” refer to The Summit Group, Inc., our predecessor's hotel management company, Company Manager and Class C Member, which is wholly owned by our Executive Chairman, Kerry W. Boekelheide.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011
SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC.
SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| PART I | |
| <u>Item 1. Business.</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</u> | 9 |
| <u>Item 2. Properties.</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings.</u> | 37 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.</u> | 38 |
| PART II | |
| <u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data.</u> | 40 |
| <u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u> | 42 |
| <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.</u> | 62 |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</u> | 62 |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</u> | 62 |
| <u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.</u> | 63 |
| <u>Item 9B. Other Information.</u> | 64 |
| PART III | |
| <u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</u> | 65 |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation.</u> | 65 |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.</u> | 65 |

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence.</u> | 65 |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services.</u> | 65 |
| PART IV | | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.</u> | 65 |
| INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES | | F-1 |

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “plan,” “continue,” “project” or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this report include, among others, statements about our business strategy, including acquisition and development strategies, industry trends, estimated revenue and expenses, ability to realize deferred tax assets and expected liquidity needs and sources (including capital expenditures and the ability to obtain financing or raise capital). You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performances or achievements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to:

financing risks, including the risk of leverage and the corresponding risk of default on our mortgage loans and other debt and potential inability to refinance or extend the maturity of existing indebtedness;

national, regional and local economic conditions;

levels of spending in the business, travel and leisure industries, as well as consumer confidence;

declines in occupancy, average daily rate and revenue per available room and other hotel operating metrics;

hostilities, including future terrorist attacks, or fear of hostilities that affect travel;

financial condition of, and our relationships with, third-party property managers, franchisors and hospitality joint venture partners;

the degree and nature of our competition;

increased interest rates and operating costs;

risks associated with potential acquisitions, including the ability to ramp up and stabilize newly acquired hotels with limited or no operating history, and dispositions of hotel properties;

availability of and our ability to retain qualified personnel;

our failure to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code;

changes in our business or investment strategy;

availability, terms and deployment of capital;

general volatility of the capital markets and the market price of our shares of common stock;

environmental uncertainties and risks related to natural disasters;
changes in real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates; and
the other factors discussed under the heading “Risk Factors” in this report.

Accordingly, there is no assurance that our expectations will be realized. Except as otherwise required by the federal securities laws, we disclaim any obligations or undertaking to publicly release any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained herein (or elsewhere) to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Overview

We are a self-managed hotel investment company that was organized in June 2010 to continue and expand the existing hotel investment business of our predecessor, Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, a leading U.S. hotel owner. We focus on acquiring and owning premium-branded select-service hotels in the upper midscale and upscale segments of the U.S. lodging industry, as these segments are currently defined by Smith Travel Research (“STR”). We completed our IPO, a concurrent private placement of our common stock and our formation transactions on February 14, 2011, netting approximately \$240.8 million from the IPO and concurrent private placement, after underwriting discounts and the payment by us of offering-related costs.

As of December 31, 2011, our hotel portfolio consisted of 70 hotels with a total of 7,095 guestrooms located in 19 states. Based on the total number of rooms, 49.3% of our portfolio is positioned in the top 50 metropolitan statistical areas (“MSAs”) and 72.2% is located within the top 100 MSAs as of December 31, 2011.

At December 31, 2011, the majority of our hotels operate under premium franchise brands owned by Marriott International, Inc. (“Marriott”) (Courtyard by Marriott®, Residence Inn by Marriott®, SpringHill Suites by Marriott®, Fairfield Inn by Marriott®, Fairfield Inn and Suites by Marriott®, and TownePlace Suites by Marriott®), Hilton Worldwide (“Hilton”) (DoubleTree by Hilton®, Hampton Inn®, Hampton Inn & Suites®, Homewood Suites® and Hilton Garden Inn®), Intercontinental Hotel Group (“IHG”) (Holiday Inn®, Holiday Inn Express®, Holiday Inn Express and Suites® and Staybridge Suites®) and an affiliate of Hyatt Hotels Corporation (“Hyatt”) (Hyatt Place®). Our franchise mix, by total number of rooms, consists of Marriott (2,953 rooms, or 41.6%), Hilton (1,671 rooms, or 23.6%), IHG (1,088 rooms, or 15.3%), Hyatt (556 rooms, or 7.8%) and others (827 rooms, or 11.7%). Smith Travel Research classifies 28 of our hotels within the “upscale” segment, 34 of our hotels within the “upper midscale” segment, and eight of our hotels in the “midscale” segment.

Our corporate offices are located at 2701 South Minnesota Avenue, Suite 6, Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57105. Our telephone number is (605) 361-9566. Our website is www.shpreit.com. The information contained on, or accessible through, our website is not incorporated by reference into this report and should not be considered a part of this report.

Development of Business

Summit REIT was formed June 30, 2010 as a Maryland corporation. On February 14, 2011, we closed our IPO and a concurrent private placement and sold a total of 27,274,000 shares of common stock.

We conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, which was formed on June 30, 2010 as a Delaware limited partnership. Effective February 14, 2011, our predecessor merged with and into our operating partnership (the “Merger”) with our operating partnership as the surviving entity and succeeding to the business and ownership of the 65 hotels owned by our predecessor. At the effective time of the Merger, the outstanding membership interests in our predecessor were converted into, and cancelled in exchange for, Common Units and the members of our predecessor were admitted as limited partners of our operating partnership. Also effective February 14, 2011, The Summit Group contributed its Class B membership interest in Summit of Scottsdale, which owns two hotels in Scottsdale, Arizona, to our operating partnership and an unaffiliated third-party investor contributed its Class C membership interest in Summit of Scottsdale to our operating partnership. We refer to these transactions as the “formation transactions.”

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with our short taxable year ended December 31, 2011 upon filing our federal income tax return for that year. To qualify as a REIT, we cannot operate or manage our hotels. Accordingly, we lease all but one of our hotels to our TRS lessees. The remaining hotel is owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of one of our TRSs. All of our hotels are operated pursuant to hotel management agreements with third party hotel management companies.

As of December 31, 2011, our TRS lessees have engaged Interstate Management Company (“Interstate”) and its affiliate to operate and manage 69 of our 70 hotels pursuant to a hotel management agreement, and have engaged one other third-party hotel management company to operate and manage one of our hotels. We may engage other third-party hotel management companies to operate and manage other hotels in the future.

Business Strategy

Our strategy focuses on maximizing the cash flow of our portfolio through focused asset management, targeted capital investment and opportunistic acquisitions. Our primary objective is to enhance stockholder value over time by generating strong risk-adjusted returns for our stockholders. The key elements of our strategy that we believe will allow us to create long-term value are as follows:

Focus on Premium-Branded Limited-Service and Select-Service Hotels. We focus on hotels in the upper midscale and upscale segments of the lodging industry. We believe that our focus on these segments provides us the opportunity to achieve strong risk-adjusted returns across multiple lodging cycles for several reasons, including:

RevPAR Growth. We believe our hotels will continue to experience meaningful revenue growth to the extent lodging industry fundamentals recover from the economic recession which caused industry-wide RevPAR to suffer a combined 18.4% decline in 2008 and 2009, according to Smith Travel Research. Industry conditions improved during 2011. PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP projects RevPAR growth increases in 2012 for upscale hotels, upper midscale hotels and midscale hotels of 7.4%, 5.8% and 4.5%, respectively.

Stable Cash Flow Potential. Our hotels can be operated with fewer employees than full-service hotels that offer more expansive food and beverage options, which we believe enables us to generate consistent cash flows with less volatility resulting from reductions in RevPAR and less dependence on group travel.

Broad Customer Base. Our target brands deliver consistently high-quality hotel accommodations with value-oriented pricing that we believe appeals to a wide range of customers, including both business and leisure travelers. We believe that our hotels are particularly popular with frequent business travelers who seek to stay in hotels operating under Marriott, Hilton, Hyatt or IHG brands, which offer strong loyalty rewards program points that can be redeemed for family travel.

Enhanced Diversification. Premium-branded upscale and upper midscale assets generally cost significantly less, on a per-key basis, than hotels in the upper upscale and luxury segments of the industry. As a result, we can diversify our investment capital into ownership of a larger number of hotels than we could in more expensive segments.

Capitalize on Investments in Our Hotels. We strongly believe in investing in our properties to help them be competitive in their respective markets. Since our IPO, we have invested \$28.9 million in capital improvements to the hotels in our portfolio, including the 65 hotels in our portfolio at the time of our IPO and the five hotels acquired during 2011. We believe these investments produce attractive returns, thus, we will continue to rebrand, upgrade and renovate our hotels.

Acquire Hotels in Attractive Transaction Landscape. We believe that the significant decline in lodging fundamentals from 2008 through early 2010 and the resultant declines in cash flows has created a difficult environment for hotel owners lacking ready access to financing or suffering from reduced cash flows. As a result, we believe that the significant number of hotel properties that experienced substantial declines in operating cash flow, coupled with continued tight credit markets, near-term debt maturities and, in some instances, covenant defaults relating to outstanding indebtedness, will continue to present attractive investment opportunities to acquire hotel properties at

prices below replacement cost and with substantial appreciation potential. We intend to continue to grow through acquisitions of existing hotels using a disciplined approach while maintaining a prudent capital structure. We target upper midscale and upscale hotels that meet one or more of the following acquisition criteria:

4

have potential for strong risk-adjusted returns located in the top 50 MSAs, with a secondary focus on the next 100 markets;

operate under leading franchise brands, which may include but are not limited to brands owned by Marriott, Hilton, IHG and Hyatt;

are located in close proximity to multiple demand generators, including businesses and corporate headquarters, retail centers, airports, medical facilities, tourist attractions and convention centers, with a diverse source of potential guests, including corporate, government and leisure travelers;

are located in markets exhibiting barriers to entry due to strong franchise areas of protection or other factors;

can be acquired at a discount to replacement cost; and

provide an opportunity to add value through operating efficiencies, repositioning, renovating or rebranding.

Selectively Develop Hotels. We believe there will be attractive opportunities to partner on a selective basis with experienced hotel developers to acquire upon completion newly constructed hotels that meet our investment criteria.

Strategic Hotel Sales. A primary part of our strategy is to acquire and own hotels. However, consistent with our strategy of maximizing the cash flow of our portfolio and our return on invested capital, we periodically review our hotels to determine if any significant changes to area markets or our hotels have occurred or are anticipated to occur that would warrant the sale of a particular hotel.

Our Financing Strategy

We maintain a prudent capital structure. While the ratio will vary from time to time, we generally intend to limit our ratio of indebtedness to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) to no more than six to one. For purposes of calculating this ratio we exclude preferred stock from indebtedness. During 2011 we financed our long-term growth with common and preferred equity issuances and debt financing having staggered maturities, and intend to continue to do so in the future. Our debt includes, and may include in the future, mortgage debt secured by hotels and unsecured debt.

When purchasing hotel properties, we may issue Common Units as full or partial consideration to sellers who may desire to take advantage of tax deferral on the sale of a hotel or participate in the potential appreciation in value of our common stock.

Competition

We face competition for investments in hotel properties from institutional pension funds, private equity investors, REITs, hotel companies and others who are engaged in hotel acquisitions and investments. Some of these entities have substantially greater financial and operational resources than we have. This competition may increase the bargaining power of property owners seeking to sell, reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us and increase the cost of acquiring our targeted hotel properties.

The lodging industry is highly competitive. Our hotels compete with other hotels for guests in their respective markets based on a number of factors, including location, convenience, brand affiliation, room rates, range of services and guest amenities or accommodations offered and quality of customer service. Competition is often specific to the individual markets in which our hotels are located and includes competition from existing and new hotels.

Competition could adversely affect our occupancy rates (“occupancy”), our average daily rates (“ADR”) and our revenue per available room (“RevPAR”), and may require us to provide additional amenities or make capital improvements that we otherwise would not have to make, which may reduce our profitability.

Seasonality

Certain segments of the hotel industry are seasonal in nature. Leisure travelers tend to travel more during the summer. Business travelers occupy hotels relatively consistently throughout the year, but decreases in business travel occur during summer and the winter holidays. The hotel industry is also seasonal based upon geography. Hotels in the southern U.S. tend to have higher occupancy rates during the winter months. Hotels in the northern U.S. tend to have higher occupancy rates during the summer months.

Due to our portfolio's geographic diversification, our revenue has not experienced significant seasonality. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we received 22.4% of our total revenue in the first quarter, 25.9% in the second quarter, 28.4% in the third quarter and 23.2% in the fourth quarter. For the year ended December 31, 2010, our predecessor received 23.1% of its total revenue in the first quarter, 26.4% in the second quarter, 27.7% in the third quarter and 22.7% in the fourth quarter.

Regulation

Our properties are subject to various covenants, laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to accessibility, fire and safety requirements. We believe each of our hotels has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Americans with Disabilities Act

Our properties must comply with Title III of the ADA to the extent that they are "public accommodations" as defined by the ADA. Under the ADA, all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where removal is readily achievable. Although we believe the properties in our portfolio substantially comply with present requirements of the ADA, we have not conducted a comprehensive audit or investigation of all of our properties to determine our compliance, and we are aware that some particular properties may currently be in non-compliance with the ADA. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in the incurrence of additional costs to attain compliance. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Environmental, Health and Safety Matters

Our hotels and development parcels are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws that impose liability for contamination. Under these laws, governmental entities have the authority to require us, as the current owner of property, to perform or pay for the cleanup of contamination (including hazardous substances, waste, or petroleum products) at, on, under or emanating from the property and to pay for natural resource damages arising from contamination. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator or other responsible party knew of, or caused the contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. Because these laws also impose liability on persons who owned a property at the time it became contaminated, we could incur cleanup costs or other environmental liabilities even after we sell properties. Contamination at, on, under or emanating from our properties also may expose us to liability to private parties for costs of remediation, personal injury and death and/or property damage. In addition, environmental liens may be created on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address contamination. If contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws also may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Moreover, environmental contamination can affect the value of a property and, therefore, an owner's ability to borrow funds using the property as collateral or to sell the property on favorable terms or at all. Furthermore, persons who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an

incinerator, may be liable for costs associated with cleanup of that facility.

Some of our properties may have contained historic uses which involved the use and/or storage of hazardous chemicals and petroleum products (for example, storage tanks, gas stations, dry cleaning operations) which, if released, could have affected our properties. In addition, some of our properties may be near or adjacent to other properties that have contained or currently contain storage tanks containing petroleum products or conducted or currently conduct operations which utilize other hazardous or toxic substances. Releases from these adjacent or surrounding properties could affect our properties and we may be liable for any associated cleanup.

Independent environmental consultants conducted Phase I environmental site assessments on all of our properties prior to acquisition and we intend to conduct Phase I environmental site assessments on properties we acquire in the future. Phase I site assessments are intended to discover and evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed properties and surrounding properties. These assessments do not generally include soil sampling, subsurface investigations or comprehensive asbestos surveys. In some cases, the Phase I environmental site assessments were conducted by another entity (i.e., a lender) and we may not have the authority to rely on such reports. Except for our Bloomington, Minnesota hotels, and our Country Inn & Suites hotel located in San Antonio, Texas, none of the Phase I environmental site assessments of the hotel properties in our portfolio revealed any past or present environmental condition that we believe could have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations. Soil and groundwater contamination at the site of our Bloomington, Minnesota hotels was voluntarily remediated by our predecessor to the satisfaction of the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. A material liability could arise in the future if the contamination at the site of the Bloomington, Minnesota hotels affected third parties or an adjacent property if the Minnesota agency requires further clean-up or if our predecessor's clean-up does not satisfy the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Soil and groundwater contamination was also identified in an undeveloped portion of our property adjacent to our Country Inn & Suites hotel located in San Antonio, Texas. The property was sampled on two occasions, after which our environmental consultant recommended no further action unless the contaminated soil was disturbed. A material liability could arise in the future if the contamination affects an adjacent property or if we are required to remediate it. In addition, the Phase I environmental site assessments may also have failed to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns. The Phase I environmental site assessments were completed at various times and material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future; and future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability.

In addition, our hotels (including our real property, operations and equipment) are subject to various federal, state and local environmental, health and safety regulatory requirements that address a wide variety of issues, including, but not limited to, the registration, maintenance and operation of our boilers and storage tanks, the supply of potable water to our guests, air emissions from emergency generators, storm water and wastewater discharges, protection of natural resources, asbestos, lead-based paint, mold and mildew, and waste management. Some of our hotels also routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations, which are subject to regulation (for example, swimming pool chemicals or biological waste). Our hotels incur costs to comply with these environmental, health and safety laws and regulations and if these regulatory requirements are not met or unforeseen events result in the discharge of dangerous or toxic substances at our hotels, we could be subject to fines and penalties for non-compliance with applicable laws and material liability from third parties for harm to the environment, damage to real property or personal injury and death. We are aware of no past or present environmental liability for non-compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations.

Certain hotels we currently own or those we acquire in the future contain, may contain, or may have contained, asbestos containing materials ("ACM"). Environmental, health and safety laws require that ACM be properly managed and maintained, and include requirements to undertake special precautions, such as removal or abatement, if ACM would be disturbed during maintenance, renovation, or demolition of a building. These laws regarding ACM may impose fines and penalties on building owners, employers and operators for failure to comply with these requirements or expose us to third-party liability.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health

effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to material liability from third parties if property damage or personal injury occurs. We are not presently aware of any indoor air quality issues at our properties that would result in a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations.

Tax Status

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with our short taxable year ended December 31, 2011 upon filing our federal income tax return for that year. Our qualification as a REIT depends upon our ability to meet, on a continuing basis, through actual investment and operating results, various complex requirements under the Code relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels and the diversity of ownership of our shares of beneficial interest. We believe that we were organized and have operated in conformity with the requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Code and that our current and intended manner of operation will enable us to continue to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for our taxable year ending December 31, 2012 and continuing thereafter.

In order for the income from our hotel operations to constitute “rents from real property” for purposes of the gross income tests required for REIT qualification, we cannot directly operate any of our hotel properties. Accordingly, we lease all but one of our hotels to our TRS lessees. The remaining hotel is owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of one of our TRSs.

Our TRS lessees pay rent to us that will qualify as “rents from real property,” provided that the TRS lessees engage “eligible independent contractors” to manage our hotels. A TRS is a corporate subsidiary of a REIT that jointly elects with the REIT to be treated as a TRS of the REIT and that pays federal income tax at regular corporate rates on its taxable income. All of our hotels are operated pursuant to hotel management agreements with independent hotel management companies. We believe each of the third party managers qualifies as an eligible independent contractor.

As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our REIT taxable income that we distribute currently to our shareholders. Under the Code, REITs are subject to numerous organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that they distribute each year at least 90% of their taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gains. If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year and do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, our income for that year will be taxed at regular corporate rates, and we will be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT. Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may still be subject to state and local taxes on our income and assets and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed income. Additionally, any income earned by our TRSs will be fully subject to federal, state and local corporate income tax.

Employees

We currently employ 18 full-time employees. None of our employees is a member of any union. The staff at our hotels are employed by our third-party hotel managers.

Available Information

Our Internet website is located at www.shpreit.com. Copies of the charters of the committees of our board of directors, our code of business conduct and ethics and our corporate governance guidelines are available on our website. All reports that we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) including this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our current reports on Form 8-K, can be obtained free of charge from the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov or through our website. In addition, all reports filed with the SEC may be read and copied at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549-1090. Further information regarding the operation of the public reference room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following risk factors address the material risks concerning our business. If any of the risks discussed in this report were to occur, our business, prospects, financial condition, results of operation and our ability to service our debt and make distributions to our stockholders could be materially and adversely affected and the market price per share of our common stock could decline significantly. Some statements in this report, including statements in the following risk factors, constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled “Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.”

Risks Related to Our Business

Our business strategy includes achieving revenue and net income growth from anticipated increases in demand for hotel rooms — any setback in the economic recovery will adversely affect our future results of operations and our growth prospects.

Our hotel properties experienced declining operating performance across various U.S. markets during the recent economic recession. Our business strategy includes achieving continued revenue and net income growth from anticipated improvement in demand for hotel rooms as the economic recovery continues. We, however, cannot provide any assurances that demand for hotel rooms will increase from current levels, or the time or extent of any demand growth that we do experience. If demand does not continue to increase as the economy recovers, or if there is a setback in the economic recovery resulting in weakening demand, our operating results and growth prospects could be adversely affected. As a result, any delay in the continued economic recovery or new economic downturn will adversely affect our future results of operations and our growth prospects.

We may be unable to complete acquisitions that would grow our business.

Our growth strategy includes the disciplined acquisition of hotels as opportunities arise. Our ability to acquire hotels on satisfactory terms or at all is subject to the following significant risks:

- we may be unable to acquire, or may be forced to acquire at significantly higher prices, desired hotels because of competition from other real estate investors with more capital, including other real estate operating companies, REITs and investment funds;
- we may be unable to obtain the necessary debt or equity financing to consummate an acquisition or, if obtainable, financing may not be on satisfactory terms; and
- agreements for the acquisition of hotels are typically subject to customary conditions to closing, including satisfactory completion of due diligence investigations, and we may spend significant time and money on potential acquisitions that we do not consummate.

If we cannot complete hotel acquisitions on favorable terms or at all, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow, the market price per share of our common stock and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and make distributions to our stockholders could be materially and adversely affected.

We may fail to successfully integrate and operate newly acquired hotels.

Our ability to successfully integrate and operate newly acquired hotels is subject to the following risks:

- we may not possess the same level of familiarity with the dynamics and market conditions of any new markets that we may enter, which could result in us paying too much for hotels in new markets;

market conditions may result in lower than expected occupancy and room rates;
we may acquire hotels without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, for liabilities, whether known or unknown, such as clean-up of environmental contamination, claims by tenants, vendors or other persons against the former owners of the hotels and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the hotels;

we may need to spend more than budgeted amounts to make necessary improvements or renovations to our newly acquired hotels; and
we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of hotels, into our existing operations.

If we cannot operate acquired hotels to meet our expectations, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow, the market price per share of our stock and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and make distributions to our stockholders could be materially and adversely affected.

The management of the hotels in our portfolio is and will continue to be concentrated in one hotel management company.

As of February 27, 2012, 69 of the 71 of the hotels in our portfolio are operated by Interstate or its affiliate. This significant concentration of operational risk in one hotel management company makes us more vulnerable economically than if our hotel management was diversified with several hotel management companies. Any adverse developments in Interstate's business and affairs, financial strength or ability to operate our hotels efficiently and effectively could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. We cannot assure you that Interstate will satisfy its obligations to us or effectively and efficiently operate our hotel properties. The failure or inability of Interstate to satisfy its obligations to us or effectively and efficiently operate our hotel properties would materially reduce our revenue and net income, which could in turn reduce the amount of our distributable cash and cause the market price per share of our capital stock to decline.

We may not be able to cause our hotel management companies to operate any of our hotels in a manner satisfactory to us, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

To qualify as a REIT, we cannot operate our hotels. Accordingly, we lease all but one of our hotels to our TRS lessees. The remaining hotel is owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of one of our TRSs. All of our hotels are operated pursuant to hotel management agreements with independent hotel management companies, each of which must qualify as an "eligible independent contractor" to operate our hotels. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to service debt and make distributions to stockholders are dependent on the ability of our hotel management companies to operate our hotels successfully. Any failure of our hotel management companies to provide quality services and amenities or maintain a quality brand name and reputation could have a negative effect on their ability to operate our hotels and could have a material and adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

We cannot and will not control the hotel management companies that operate and are responsible for maintenance and other day-to-day management of our hotels, including, but not limited to, the implementation of significant operating decisions. We cannot assure you that our hotel management companies will manage our properties in a manner that is consistent with their obligations under the management agreements or our obligations under our hotel franchise agreements, that our hotel management companies will not be negligent in their performance or engage in other criminal or fraudulent activity, or that they will not otherwise default on their management obligations to us. If any of the foregoing occurs, our relationships with the franchisors may be damaged and we may then be in breach of the franchise agreements, and we could incur liabilities resulting from loss or injury to our property or to persons at our properties, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, as well as our ability to pay dividends to stockholders.

Even if we believe a hotel is being operated inefficiently or in a manner that does not result in satisfactory operating results, we will have limited ability to require the hotel management company to change its method of operation. We

generally attempt to resolve issues with our hotel management companies through discussions and negotiations. However, if we are unable to reach satisfactory results through discussions and negotiations, we may choose to litigate the dispute or submit the matter to third-party dispute resolution or arbitration. We would only be able to seek redress if a hotel management company violates the terms of the applicable hotel management agreement, and then only to the extent of the remedies provided for under the terms of the hotel management agreement. Our hotel managers or their affiliates manage, and in some cases own, have invested in, or provided credit support or operating guarantees to hotels that compete with our hotels, all of which may result in conflicts of interest. As a result, our hotel managers may in the future make decisions regarding competing lodging facilities that are not or would not be in our best interest.

Termination of any of our hotel management agreements may cause us to pay substantial termination fees or to experience significant disruptions at the affected hotels.

If we replace the hotel manager of any of our hotels, we may be required to pay a substantial termination fee and we may experience significant disruptions at the affected hotel. If we experience disruptions at the affected hotel, our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders could be materially and adversely affected.

Restrictive covenants and other provisions in hotel management and franchise agreements could preclude us from taking actions with respect to the sale, refinancing or rebranding of a hotel that would otherwise be in our best interest.

Our hotel management agreements and franchise agreements generally contain restrictive covenants and other provisions that do not provide us with flexibility to sell, refinance or rebrand a hotel without the consent of the manager or franchisor. For example, the terms of some of these agreements may restrict our ability to sell a hotel unless the purchaser is not a competitor of the hotel management company or franchisor, assumes the related agreement and meets specified other conditions. In addition, our franchise agreements restrict our ability to rebrand particular hotels without the consent of the franchisor, which could result in significant operational disruptions and litigation if we do not obtain the consent. We could be forced to pay consent or termination fees to hotel managers or franchisors under these agreements as a condition to changing management or franchise brands of our hotels, and these fees could deter us from taking actions that would otherwise be in our best interest or could cause us to incur substantial expense. Funds spent to maintain franchisor operating standards, the loss of a franchise license or a decline in the value of a franchise brand may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Funds spent to maintain franchisor operating standards, the loss of a franchise license or a decline in the value of a franchise brand may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Our hotels operate under franchise agreements, and the maintenance of franchise licenses for our hotels is subject to our franchisors' operating standards and other terms and conditions. We expect that franchisors will periodically inspect our hotels to ensure that we, our TRSs and our hotel management companies maintain our franchisors' standards. Failure by us, our TRSs or our hotel management companies to maintain these standards or other terms and conditions could result in a franchise license being canceled. If a franchise license terminates due to our failure to make required improvements or to otherwise comply with its terms, we could also be liable to the franchisor for a termination payment, which varies by franchisor and by hotel. As a condition of our continued holding of a franchise license, a franchisor could also require us to make capital improvements to our hotels, even if we do not believe the improvements are necessary or desirable or would result in an acceptable return on our investment. Nonetheless, we may risk losing a franchise license if we do not make franchisor-required capital improvements.

If a franchisor terminated a franchise license, we could try either to obtain a suitable replacement franchise or to operate the hotel without a franchise license. The loss of a franchise license could materially and adversely affect the operations or the underlying value of the hotel because of the loss of associated name recognition, marketing support and centralized reservation systems provided by the franchisor. A loss of a franchise license for one or more hotels, particularly if our hotels become concentrated in a limited number of franchise brands in the future, could materially and adversely affect our revenue. This loss of revenue could, therefore, also adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

Negative publicity related to one of the franchise brands or the general decline of a brand also may adversely affect the underlying value of our hotels or result in a reduction in business.

We rely on external sources of capital to fund future capital needs, and if we encounter difficulty in obtaining such capital, we may not be able to make future acquisitions necessary to grow our business or meet maturing obligations.

In order to qualify as a REIT under the Code, we are required, among other things, to distribute each year to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain. Because of this distribution requirement, we may not be able to fund, from cash retained from operations, all of our future capital needs, including capital needed to make investments and to satisfy or refinance maturing obligations.

We expect to rely on external sources of capital, including debt and equity financing, to fund future capital needs. Part of our strategy involves the use of additional debt financing to supplement our equity capital which may include our secured credit facility and mortgage financing. Our ability to effectively implement and accomplish our business strategy will be affected by our ability to obtain and utilize additional leverage in sufficient amounts and on favorable terms. However, the capital environment is often characterized by extended periods of limited availability of both debt and equity financing, increasing costs, stringent credit terms and significant volatility. We may not be able to secure first mortgage financing or increase the availability under our secured credit facility. If we are unable to obtain needed capital on satisfactory terms or at all, we may not be able to make the investments needed to expand our business, or to meet our obligations and commitments as they mature. Our access to capital will depend upon a number of factors over which we have little or no control, including general market conditions, the market's perception of our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions and the market price of the shares of our stock. We may not be in a position to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities for growth if we are unable to access the capital markets on a timely basis on favorable terms.

We have a significant amount of debt, and our organizational documents have no limitation on the amount of additional indebtedness that we may incur in the future. As a result, we may become highly leveraged in the future, which could adversely affect our financial condition.

We have a significant amount of debt. In the future, we may incur additional indebtedness to finance future hotel acquisitions and development activities and other corporate purposes. In addition, there are no restrictions in our charter or bylaws that limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness that we may incur or restrict the form in which our indebtedness will be incurred (including recourse or non-recourse debt or cross-collateralized debt).

A substantial level of indebtedness could have adverse consequences for our business, results of operations and financial condition because it could, among other things:

- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make principal and interest payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing our cash flow available to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes, including to pay dividends on our common stock and our preferred stock as currently contemplated or necessary to satisfy the requirements for qualification as a REIT;
- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions and limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and our industry;
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds or refinance indebtedness on favorable terms or at all to expand our business or ease liquidity constraints; and
- place us at a competitive disadvantage relative to competitors that have less indebtedness.

Generally, our term debt carries maturity dates or call dates such that the loans become due prior to their full amortization. We have approximately \$28.5 million of debt that matures prior to December 31, 2013. It may be difficult to refinance such loans on terms acceptable to us, or at all, and we may not have sufficient borrowing

capacity on our revolving credit facility to repay the maturing debt using draws on that facility for amounts that we are unable to refinance. Although we believe that we will be able to refinance these loans, or will have the capacity to repay them, if necessary, using draws under our revolving credit facility, there can be no assurance that our revolving credit facility will be available to repay such maturing debt, as draws under our credit facility are subject to borrowing base limitations and certain financial covenants.

The agreements governing our indebtedness place restrictions on us and our subsidiaries, reducing operational flexibility and creating default risks.

The agreements governing our \$125.0 million secured revolving credit facility and other indebtedness contain covenants that place restrictions on us and our subsidiaries. These covenants may restrict, among other activities, our and our subsidiaries' ability to:

merge, consolidate or transfer all or substantially all of our or our subsidiaries' assets;

sell, transfer, pledge or encumber our stock or the ownership interests of our subsidiaries;
incur additional debt or issue preferred stock;
enter into, terminate or modify leases for our hotels and hotel management and franchise agreements;
make certain expenditures, including capital expenditures;
pay dividends on or repurchase our capital stock; and
enter into certain transactions with affiliates.

These covenants could impair our ability to grow our business, take advantage of attractive business opportunities or successfully compete. Our ability to comply with financial and other covenants may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. A breach of any of these covenants or covenants under any other agreements governing our indebtedness could result in an event of default. Cross-default provisions in our debt agreements could cause an event of default under one debt agreement to trigger an event of default under our other debt agreements. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under any of our debt agreements, the lenders could elect to declare all outstanding debt under such agreements to be immediately due and payable. If we were unable to repay or refinance the accelerated debt, the lenders could proceed against any assets pledged to secure that debt, including foreclosing on or requiring the sale of our hotels, and the proceeds from the sale of these hotels may not be sufficient to repay such debt in full.

Mortgage debt obligations expose us to the possibility of foreclosure, which could result in the loss of our investment in any hotel subject to mortgage debt.

Borrowings under our \$125.0 million secured revolving credit facility are, and all of our other debt existing as of December 31, 2011 is, secured by mortgages on our hotel properties and related assets. Incurring mortgage and other secured debt obligations increases our risk of property losses because defaults on secured indebtedness may result in foreclosure actions initiated by lenders and ultimately our loss of the hotels securing any loans for which we are in default. If we are in default under a cross-defaulted mortgage loan, we could lose multiple hotels to foreclosure. For tax purposes, a foreclosure of any of our hotels would be treated as a sale of the hotel for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the hotel, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure, but would not receive any cash proceeds, which could hinder our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Code. We may assume or incur new mortgage indebtedness on the hotels in our portfolio or hotels that we acquire in the future. Any default under any one of our mortgage debt obligations may increase the risk of our default on our other indebtedness.

An increase in interest rates would increase our interest costs on our variable rate debt and could adversely affect our ability to refinance existing debt or sell assets.

A significant portion of our indebtedness is subject to variable interest rates. An increase in interest rates would increase our interest payments and reduce our cash flow available for other corporate purposes, including capital improvements to our hotels or acquisitions of additional hotels. In addition, rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures and increase interest costs on any debt that is refinanced. Further, an increase in interest rates could increase the cost of financing, thereby decreasing the amount third parties are willing to pay for our hotels, which would limit our ability to dispose of hotels when necessary or desired. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Qualitative and Quantitative Effects of Market Risk."

Although we have not entered into any hedging arrangements, we may, from time to time, enter into agreements such as interest rate swaps, caps, floors and other interest rate hedging contracts. However, these agreements reduce, but do not eliminate, the effect of rising interest rates, and they also expose us to the risk that other parties to the agreements

will not perform or that the agreements will be unenforceable.

We and our hotel managers rely on information technology in our operations, and any material failure, inadequacy, interruption or security failure of that technology could harm our business.

We and our hotel managers rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of business processes, including financial transactions and records, personal identifying information, reservations, billing and operating data. We purchase some of our information technology from vendors, on whom our systems depend. We rely on commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring to provide security for processing, transmission and storage of confidential customer information, such as individually identifiable information, including information relating to financial accounts. Although we have taken steps to protect the security of our information systems and the data maintained in those systems, it is possible that our safety and security measures will not be able to prevent the systems' improper functioning or damage, or the improper access or disclosure of personally identifiable information such as in the event of cyber attacks. Security breaches, including physical or electronic break-ins, computer viruses, attacks by hackers and similar breaches, can create system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Any failure to maintain proper function, security and availability of our information systems could interrupt our operations, damage our reputation, subject us to liability claims or regulatory penalties and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have limited operating history as a publicly traded REIT and may not be successful in operating as a publicly traded REIT, which may adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We have limited operating history as a publicly traded REIT. The REIT rules and regulations are highly technical and complex. We cannot assure you that our management team's experience will be sufficient to continue to successfully operate our company as a publicly traded REIT, with appropriate operating and investment policies and comply with Code or Treasury Regulations that are applicable to us. Failure to comply with the income, asset, and other requirements imposed by the REIT rules and regulations could prevent us from qualifying as a REIT, and could force us to pay unexpected taxes and penalties which may adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

We depend on the efforts and expertise of our management team to manage our day-to-day operations and strategic business direction. The loss of services from any of the members of our management team, and our inability to find suitable replacements on a timely basis could have an adverse effect on our operations.

Joint venture investments could be adversely affected by a lack of sole decision-making authority with respect to such investments.

In the future we may enter into strategic joint ventures with unaffiliated investors to acquire, develop, improve or dispose of hotels, thereby reducing the amount of capital required by us to make investments and diversifying our capital sources for growth. We may not have sole decision-making authority with respect to these investments, which may:

- prevent us from taking actions that are opposed by our joint venture partners;
- create impasses on major decisions, such as acquisitions or sales;
- prevent us from selling our interests in the joint venture without the consent of our joint venture partners; or
- subject us to liability for the actions of our joint venture partners.

Joint venture investments could subject us to risks related to the financial condition of joint venture partners.

If a joint venture partner becomes bankrupt or otherwise defaults on its obligations under a joint venture agreement, we and any other remaining joint venture partners would generally remain liable for the joint venture liabilities. Furthermore, if a joint venture partner becomes bankrupt or otherwise defaults on its obligations under a joint venture agreement, we may be unable to continue the joint venture other than by purchasing such joint venture partner's interests or the underlying assets at a premium to the market price. If any of the above risks are realized, it could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We may have disputes with joint venture partners.

Disputes between us and our joint venture partners may result in litigation or arbitration which could increase our expenses and prevent our officers and directors from focusing their time and effort on our business and could result in subjecting the hotels owned by the applicable joint venture to additional risks.

Our tax protection agreements may require our operating partnership to maintain certain debt levels that otherwise would not be required to operate our business, which may impair our ability to generate cash available for distribution and otherwise not be in our stockholders' best interests.

Under the tax protection agreements entered into by our operating partnership and certain of its limited partners, including The Summit Group, in connection with our formation transactions, our operating partnership has agreed to provide those limited partners with the opportunity to guarantee debt or enter into a deficit restoration obligation, both of which are intended to cause a special allocation of liabilities to those limited partners to prevent them from recognizing a taxable deemed cash distribution. If our operating partnership fails to make those opportunities available, our operating partnership will be required to deliver to each such limited partner a cash payment intended to approximate that limited partner's tax liability resulting from our operating partnership's failure to make such opportunities available to them. Our operating partnership agreed to these provisions in order to assist those limited partners in avoiding a taxable deemed cash distribution that may have otherwise occurred in connection with the formation transactions. These obligations may require our operating partnership to maintain more or different indebtedness than would otherwise have been required for our business, which could result in higher interest expense than we would prefer to incur, reducing cash available for distribution to stockholders.

Risks Related to the Lodging Industry

Economic conditions may adversely affect the lodging industry.

The performance of the lodging industry has historically been closely linked to the performance of the general economy and, specifically, growth in U.S. gross domestic product ("GDP"). The lodging industry is also sensitive to business and personal discretionary spending levels. Declines in corporate budgets and consumer demand due to adverse general economic conditions, risks affecting or reducing travel patterns, lower consumer confidence or adverse political conditions can lower the revenue and profitability of our assets and therefore the net operating profits of our investments. The recent economic downturn led to a significant decline in demand for products and services provided by the lodging industry, but hotel demand has experienced a steady improvement beginning in early 2010. A slowing of the current economic recovery or new economic weakness could have an adverse effect on our revenue and negatively affect our profitability.

Competition from other upscale and upper midscale hotels in the markets in which we operate could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The lodging industry is highly competitive. Our hotels compete with other hotels for guests in each market in which our hotels operate based on a number of factors, including location, convenience, brand affiliation, room rates, range of services and guest amenities or accommodations offered and quality of customer service. Competition will often be specific to the individual markets in which our hotels are located and includes competition from existing and new hotels. Our competitors may have an operating model that enables them to offer rooms at lower rates than we can, which could result in our competitors increasing their occupancy at our expense. Competition could adversely affect our occupancy, ADR and RevPAR, and may require us to provide additional amenities or make capital improvements that we otherwise would not have to make, which could reduce our profitability and could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

Our investment opportunities and growth prospects may be affected by competition for investment opportunities.

We compete for investment opportunities with other entities, some of which have substantially greater financial resources than we do. This competition may generally limit the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us, which may limit our ability to grow. This competition may also increase the bargaining power of the owners of assets seeking to sell to us, making it more difficult for us to acquire new hotels on attractive terms or at all.

Our operating results and ability to make distributions to our stockholders may be adversely affected by the markets in which we operate.

Our hotels are subject to various operating risks within the markets in which we operate. These risks include:

- over-building of hotels in our markets, which could adversely affect occupancy and revenue at the hotels we acquire;
- adverse effects of international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions; and
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances.

Our operating results and ability to make distributions to our stockholders may be adversely affected by the risks inherent to the ownership of hotels.

Hotels have different economic characteristics than many other real estate assets. A typical office property owner, for example, has long-term leases with third-party tenants, which provide a relatively stable long-term stream of revenue. By contrast, our hotels are subject to various operating risks common to the lodging industry, many of which are beyond our control, including the following:

- dependence on business and commercial travelers and tourism;
- increases in energy costs and other expenses affecting travel, which may affect travel patterns and reduce the number of business and commercial travelers and tourists;
- increases in operating costs due to inflation and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;
- events beyond our control, such as terrorist attacks, travel related health concerns including pandemics and epidemics such as H1N1 influenza (swine flu), avian bird flu and severe acute respiratory syndrome (“SARS”), imposition of taxes or surcharges by regulatory authorities, travel-related accidents and unusual weather patterns, including natural disasters such as hurricanes and environmental disasters such as the oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico;
- potential increases in labor costs at our hotels, including as a result of unionization of the labor force; and
- adverse effects of a downturn in the lodging industry.

We have significant ongoing needs to make capital expenditures in our hotels, which require us to devote funds to these purposes and could pose related risks that might impair our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our hotels have an ongoing need for renovations and other capital improvements, including replacements, from time to time, of furniture, fixtures and equipment. Our franchisors also require periodic capital improvements as a condition of keeping the franchise licenses. In addition, lenders may require that we set aside annual amounts for capital improvements to our assets. These capital improvements and replacements may give rise to the following risks:

- possible environmental problems;

construction cost overruns and delays;

a possible shortage of available cash to fund capital improvements and replacements and, the related possibility that financing for these capital improvements may not be available to us on affordable terms; and uncertainties as to market demand or a loss of market demand after capital improvements and replacements have begun.

If any of the above risks were to be realized, it could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Hotel development is subject to timing, budgeting and other risks. To the extent we develop hotels or acquire hotels that are under development, these risks may adversely affect our operating results and liquidity position.

We may develop hotels or acquire hotels that are under development from time to time as suitable opportunities arise, taking into consideration general economic conditions. Hotel development involves a number of risks, including the following:

- possible environmental problems;
- construction delays or cost overruns that may increase project costs;
- receipt of zoning, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations;
- development costs incurred for projects that are not pursued to completion;
- acts of God such as earthquakes, hurricanes, floods or fires that could adversely affect a project;
- inability to raise capital; and
- governmental restrictions on the nature or size of a project.

To the extent we develop hotels or acquire hotels under development, we cannot assure you that any development project will be completed on time or within budget. Our inability to complete a project on time or within budget may adversely affect our projected operating results and our liquidity position.

The increasing use of Internet travel intermediaries by consumers may adversely affect our profitability.

Our hotel rooms are likely to be booked through Internet travel intermediaries, including, but not limited to, Travelocity.com, Expedia.com and Priceline.com. As these Internet bookings increase, these intermediaries may be able to obtain higher commissions, reduced room rates or other significant contract concessions from our management companies. Moreover, some of these Internet travel intermediaries are attempting to offer hotel rooms as a commodity, by increasing the importance of price and general indicators of quality (such as “three-star downtown hotel”) at the expense of brand identification. These agencies hope that consumers will eventually develop brand loyalties to their reservations system rather than to the brands under which our hotels are franchised. If the amount of sales made through Internet intermediaries increases significantly, room revenue may flatten or decrease and our profitability may be adversely affected.

Uninsured and underinsured losses could adversely affect our operating results.

We intend to maintain comprehensive insurance on our hotels, including liability, fire and extended coverage, of the type and amount we believe are customarily obtained for or by owners of hotels similar to our hotels. Various types of catastrophic losses, like earthquakes and floods, or losses related to business disruption from disputes with franchisors, may not be insurable or may not be economically insurable. In the event of a substantial loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover the full current market value or replacement cost of our lost investment. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a hotel, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the hotel. In that event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the asset. Inflation,

changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might also keep us from using insurance proceeds to replace or renovate an asset after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under those circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive might be inadequate to restore our economic position on the damaged or destroyed hotels.

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry and Real Estate-Related Investments

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotels or to adjust our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions, and, therefore, may harm our financial condition.

In the future, we may decide to sell hotels. Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. Our ability to promptly sell one or more hotels in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions may be limited. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any hotels for the price or on the terms set by us, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of an asset. The real estate market is affected by many factors that are beyond our control, including:

- adverse changes in international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions;
- changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;
- the ongoing need for capital improvements, particularly in older structures, that may require us to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before an asset can be sold;
- changes in operating expenses; and
- civil unrest, acts of God, including earthquakes, floods and other natural disasters, which may result in uninsured losses, and acts of war or terrorism, including the consequences of the terrorist acts such as those that occurred on September 11, 2001.

Increases in our property taxes would adversely affect our operating results and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our hotels are subject to real and personal property taxes. These taxes may increase as tax rates change and as our hotels are assessed or reassessed by taxing authorities. If property taxes increase, our operating results and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders could be adversely affected.

We could incur significant costs related to government regulation and litigation over environmental, health and safety matters.

Our hotels and development parcels are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws that impose liability for contamination. Under these laws, governmental entities have the authority to require us, as the current owner of the property, to perform or pay for the clean-up of contamination (including hazardous substances, waste or petroleum products) at, on, under or emanating from the property and to pay for natural resource damage arising from contamination. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator or other responsible party knew of, or caused the contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. Because these laws also impose liability on persons who owned a property at the time it became contaminated, we could incur cleanup costs or other environmental liabilities even after we sell properties. Contamination at, on, under or emanating from our properties also may expose us to liability to private parties for costs of remediation, personal injury and death and/or property damage. In addition, environmental liens may be created on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address contamination. If contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws also may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Moreover, environmental contamination can affect the value of a property and, therefore, an owner's ability to borrow funds using the property as collateral or to sell the property on favorable terms or at all. Furthermore, persons who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an

incinerator, may be liable for costs associated with cleanup of that facility.

In addition, our hotels (including our real property, operations and equipment) are subject to various federal, state and local environmental, health and safety regulatory requirements that address a wide variety of issues, including, but not limited to, the registration, maintenance and operation of our boilers and storage tanks, the supply of potable water to our guests, air emissions from emergency generators, storm water and wastewater discharges, protection of natural resources, asbestos, lead-based paint, mold and mildew, and waste management. Some of our hotels also routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations, which are subject to regulation (for example, swimming pool chemicals or biological waste). Our hotels incur costs to comply with these environmental, health and safety laws and regulations and if these regulatory requirements are not met or unforeseen events result in the discharge of dangerous or toxic substances at our hotels, we could be subject to fines and penalties for non-compliance with applicable laws and material liability from third parties for harm to the environment, damage to real property or personal injury and death. We are aware of no past or present environmental liability for non-compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations.

Certain hotels we currently own or those we acquire in the future contain, may contain, or may have contained, asbestos-containing material (“ACM”). Environmental, health and safety laws require that ACM be properly managed and maintained, and include requirements to undertake special precautions, such as removal or abatement, if ACM would be disturbed during maintenance, renovation, or demolition of a building. These laws regarding ACM may impose fines and penalties on building owners, employers and operators for failure to comply with these requirements or expose us to third-party liability.

Our properties may contain or develop harmful mold, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediating the problem.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to material liability to third parties if property damage or personal injury occurs.

Compliance with the laws, regulations and covenants that apply to our hotels, including permit, license and zoning requirements, may adversely affect our ability to make future acquisitions or renovations, result in significant costs or delays and adversely affect our growth strategy.

Our hotels are subject to various covenants and local laws and regulatory requirements, including permitting and licensing requirements. Local regulations, including municipal or local ordinances, zoning restrictions and restrictive covenants imposed by community developers may restrict our use of our hotels and may require us to obtain approval from local officials or community standards organizations at any time with respect to our hotels, including prior to acquiring a hotel or when undertaking any renovations of any of our hotels. Among other things, these restrictions may relate to fire and safety, seismic, asbestos-cleanup or hazardous material abatement requirements. We cannot assure you that existing regulatory policies will not adversely affect us or the timing or cost of any future acquisitions or renovations, or that additional regulations will not be adopted that would increase such delays or result in additional costs. Our growth strategy may be materially and adversely affected by our ability to obtain permits, licenses and zoning approvals. Our failure to obtain such permits, licenses and zoning approvals could have a material adverse

effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, federal and state laws and regulations, including laws such as the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the “ADA”), impose further restrictions on our operations. Under the ADA, all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Some of our hotels may currently be in noncompliance with the ADA. If one or more of the hotels in our portfolio is not in compliance with the ADA or any other regulatory requirements, we may be required to incur additional costs to bring the hotel into compliance and we might incur damages or governmental fines. In addition, existing requirements may change and future requirements may require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures that would adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow, the market price of our stock and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders.

If we default on ground leases for land on which any of our hotels are located, our business could be materially and adversely affected.

If we default under the terms of any of our ground leases and are unable to cure the default in a timely manner, we may be liable for damages and could lose our leasehold interest in the applicable property and interest in the hotel on the applicable property. If any of the events of default were to occur and are not timely cured, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow, the market price of our securities and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders could be materially and adversely affected.

Risks Related to Conflicts of Interest

We assumed liabilities in connection with the formation transactions, including unknown liabilities, which, if significant, could adversely affect our business.

As part of the formation transactions, we assumed existing liabilities of our predecessor and its affiliates, including, but not limited to, liabilities in connection with our hotels, some of which may be unknown or unquantifiable. Unknown liabilities might include liabilities for cleanup or remediation of undisclosed environmental conditions, claims of hotel guests, vendors or other persons dealing with our predecessor, The Summit Group, and their affiliates, tax liabilities, employment-related issues and accrued but unpaid liabilities whether incurred in the ordinary course of business or otherwise. In addition, the aggregate value of Common Units issued in the formation transactions was less than the value assumed in the fairness opinion rendered to our predecessor, thus our predecessor and we will not benefit from such fairness opinion. This could increase our exposure to claims, if brought, that the Merger was not fair to our predecessor's members. If the magnitude of such unknown liabilities is high, they could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow, the market price of our stock and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders.

Tax consequences to holders of Common Units upon a sale or refinancing of our hotels may cause the interests of holders of Common Units, including certain of our executive officers and directors, to differ from the interests of our other stockholders.

As a result of the unrealized built-in gain that may be attributable to one or more of our hotels, holders of Common Units, including certain of our executive officers and directors, may experience more onerous tax consequences than holders of our stock upon the sale or refinancing of these hotels, including disproportionately greater allocations of items of taxable income and gain upon the occurrence of such an event. The tax protection agreements that we entered into with certain former members of our predecessor, including The Summit Group, which is wholly owned by our Executive Chairman, Mr. Boekelheide, will not provide protection from those more onerous tax consequences. A holder of Common Units that receives a disproportionately greater allocation of taxable income and gain will not receive a correspondingly greater distribution of cash proceeds with which to pay the income taxes on such income. Accordingly, they may have different objectives regarding the appropriate pricing, timing and other material terms of any sale or refinancing of such hotels and could exercise their influence over our affairs by attempting to delay, defer or prevent a transaction that might otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

Our fiduciary duties as the general partner of our operating partnership could create conflicts of interest.

We, through our wholly owned subsidiary that serves as the sole general partner of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties to our operating partnership's limited partners, the discharge of which may conflict with the interests of our stockholders. The limited partners of our operating partnership have agreed for so long as we own a controlling interest in our operating partnership that, in the event of a conflict between the duties owed by our directors to our company and the duties that we owe, in our capacity as the sole general partner of our operating partnership, to the

limited partners, our directors must give priority to the interests of our stockholders. In addition, those persons holding Common Units have the right to vote on certain amendments to the limited partnership agreement (which require approval by a majority in interest of the limited partners, including us) and individually to approve certain amendments that would adversely affect their rights, as well as the right to vote on mergers and consolidations of the general partner or us in certain limited circumstances. These voting rights may be exercised in a manner that conflicts with the interests of our stockholders. For example, we cannot adversely affect the limited partners' rights to receive distributions, as set forth in the limited partnership agreement, without their consent, even though modifying such rights might be in the best interest of our stockholders generally.

Certain key members of our senior management team continue to be involved in other businesses, which may interfere with their ability to devote time and attention to our business and affairs.

We rely on our senior management team to manage our strategic direction and day-to-day operations of our business. Our employment agreement with Mr. Boekelheide requires him to devote a substantial portion of his business time and attention to our business and our employment agreements with our other executive officers require our executives to devote substantially all of their business time and attention to our business. Messrs. Boekelheide, Hansen, Aniszewski, Becker and Bertucci have certain outside business interests which may reduce the amount of time that Messrs. Boekelheide, Hansen, Aniszewski, Becker and Bertucci are able to devote to our business.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Provisions of our charter may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us by authorizing our board of directors to issue additional securities.

Our board of directors may, without stockholder approval, amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of our shares or the number of shares of any class or series that we have the authority to issue and to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common stock or preferred stock, and set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. As a result, our board of directors may authorize the issuance of additional shares or establish a series of common or preferred stock that may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company, including transactions at a premium over the market price of our shares, even if stockholders believe that a change in control is in their interest. These provisions, along with the restrictions on ownership and transfer contained in our charter and certain provisions of Maryland law described below, could discourage unsolicited acquisition proposals or make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of us, which could adversely affect the market price of our securities.

Provisions of Maryland law may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us by requiring our board of directors or stockholders to approve proposals to acquire our company or effect a change in control.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law (the “MGCL”) applicable to Maryland corporations may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change in control under circumstances that otherwise could provide our stockholders with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of such shares, including:

“business combination” provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our outstanding voting stock or an affiliate or associate of us who, at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding stock) or an affiliate of any interested stockholder for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter imposes two supermajority stockholder voting requirements on these combinations, unless, among other conditions, our common stockholders receive a minimum price, as defined in the MGCL, for their stock and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its shares; and

“control share” provisions that provide that our “control shares” (defined as voting shares of stock which, when aggregated with all other shares of stock controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and outstanding “control shares”) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least

two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding shares owned by the acquirer, by our officers or by our employees who are also directors of our company.

By resolution of our board of directors, we have opted out of the business combination provisions of the MGCL and provided that any business combination between us and any other person is exempt from the business combination provisions of the MGCL, provided that the business combination is first approved by our board of directors (including a majority of directors who are not affiliates or associates of such persons). In addition, pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, we have opted out of the control share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of directors may by resolution elect to opt in to the business combination provisions of the MGCL and we may, by amendment to our bylaws, opt in to the control share provisions of the MGCL in the future.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited, which could limit our stockholders' recourse in the event of actions not in our stockholders' best interests.

Under Maryland law, generally, a director will not be liable if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. In addition, our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or
active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action adjudicated.

Our charter authorizes us to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each director and officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to advance the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist absent the current provisions in our charter and bylaws or that might exist with other companies.

Our charter contains provisions that make removal of our directors difficult, which could make it difficult for our stockholders to effect changes to our management.

Our charter provides that a director may be removed only for cause (as defined in our charter) and then only by the affirmative vote of holders of shares entitled to cast at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of directors. Our charter also provides that vacancies on our board of directors may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if less than a quorum. These requirements prevent stockholders from removing directors except for cause and with a substantial affirmative vote and from replacing directors with their own nominees and may prevent a change in control of our company that is in the best interests of our stockholders.

The ability of our board of directors to change our major policies without the consent of stockholders may not be in our stockholders' interest.

Our board of directors determines our major policies, including policies and guidelines relating to our acquisitions, leverage, financing, growth, operations and distributions to stockholders. Our board of directors may amend or revise these and other policies and guidelines from time to time without the vote or consent of our stockholders. Accordingly, our stockholders will have limited control over changes in our policies and those changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

The ability of our board of directors to revoke our REIT qualification without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to our stockholders.

Our charter provides that our board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without the approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interest to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to be a REIT, we would become subject to federal income tax on our taxable income and would no longer be required to distribute most of our taxable income to our stockholders, which may have adverse consequences on the total return to our stockholders.

We are a holding company with no direct operations. As a result, we rely on funds received from our operating partnership to pay liabilities and dividends, our stockholders' claims will be structurally subordinated to all liabilities of our operating partnership and our stockholders will not have any voting rights with respect to our operating partnership activities, including the issuance of additional Common Units or Preferred Units.

We are a holding company and conduct all of our operations through our operating partnership. We do not have, apart from our ownership of our operating partnership, any independent operations. As a result, we rely on distributions from our operating partnership to pay any dividends we might declare on shares of our common or preferred stock. We also rely on distributions from our operating partnership to meet any of our obligations, including tax liability on taxable income allocated to us from our operating partnership (which might make distributions to us that do not equal to the tax on such allocated taxable income).

In addition, because we are a holding company, stockholders' claims will be structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities and obligations (whether or not for borrowed money) of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries. Therefore, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, claims of our stockholders will be satisfied only after all of our and our operating partnership's and its subsidiaries' liabilities and obligations have been paid in full.

We own approximately 73.0% of the Common Units in our operating partnership, 100% of the general partnership interest in our operating partnership, and 100% of the Series A Preferred Units in our operating partnership. Any future issuances by our operating partnership of additional Common Units or Preferred Units could reduce our ownership percentage in our operating partnership. Because our common stockholders do not directly own any Common Units or Series A Preferred Units, they will not have any voting rights with respect to any such issuances or other partnership-level activities of our operating partnership.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Securities

The New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") or another nationally recognized exchange may not continue to list our securities, which could limit stockholders' ability to make transactions in our securities and subject us to additional trading restrictions.

Our common stock trades on the NYSE under the symbol "INN" and our Series A Preferred Stock trades on the NYSE under the symbol "INNPrA." In order to remain listed we are required to meet the continued listing requirements of the NYSE or, in the alternative, any other nationally recognized exchange to which we apply. We may be unable to satisfy those listing requirements, and there is no guarantee our securities will remain listed on a nationally recognized exchange. If our securities are delisted from the NYSE or another nationally recognized exchange, we could face significant material adverse consequences, including:

- a limited availability of market quotations for our securities;
- reduced liquidity with respect to our securities;

a determination that our common stock is “penny stock,” which will require brokers trading in our common stock to adhere to more stringent rules, possibly resulting in a reduced level of trading activity in the secondary trading market for the common stock;
a limited amount of news and analyst coverage; and
a decreased ability to issue additional securities or obtain additional financing in the future.

Failure of the hotel industry to continue to improve may adversely affect our ability to execute our business strategies, which, in turn, would adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our business strategy is based on continued improvements in hotel industry fundamentals generally and our operating results specifically. We cannot assure you that hotel industry fundamentals or operating results will continue to improve. Economic slowdown and world events outside our control, such as terrorism, have adversely affected the hotel industry in the recent past and if these events reoccur, may adversely affect the industry in the future. In the event conditions in the hotel industry do not continue to improve as we expect, our ability to execute our business strategies will be adversely affected, which, in turn, would adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

The cash available for distribution may not be sufficient to make distributions at expected levels, and we cannot assure you of our ability to make distributions in the future. We may use borrowed funds or funds from other sources to make distributions, which may adversely affect our operations.

We intend to make quarterly distributions to our stockholders and holders of Common Units. Distributions declared by us will be authorized by our board of directors in its sole discretion out of funds legally available for distribution and will depend upon a number of factors, including restrictions under applicable law and the capital requirements of our company. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, the requirements for qualification as a REIT, restrictions under applicable law and other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. We may be required to fund distributions from working capital, borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility, proceeds of future stock offerings or a sale of assets to the extent distributions exceed earnings or cash flows from operations. Funding distributions from working capital would restrict our operations. If we borrow from the secured revolving credit facility in order to pay distributions, we would be more limited in our ability to execute our strategy of using that secured revolving credit facility to fund acquisitions. Finally, selling assets may require us to dispose of assets at a time or in a manner that is not consistent with our disposition strategy. If we borrow to fund distributions, our leverage ratios and future interest costs would increase, thereby reducing our earnings and cash available for distribution from what they otherwise would have been. We may not be able to make distributions in the future. In addition, some of our distributions may be considered a return of capital for income tax purposes. If we decide to make distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, such distributions would generally be considered a return of capital for federal income tax purposes to the extent of the holder's adjusted tax basis in their shares. A return of capital is not taxable, but it has the effect of reducing the holder's adjusted tax basis in its investment. If distributions exceed the adjusted tax basis of a holder's shares, they will be treated as gain from the sale or exchange of such stock.

We may change the distribution policy for our common stock in the future.

The decision to declare and make distributions on our common stock in the future, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future distributions, will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, funds from operations, liquidity, financial condition, capital requirements or contractual prohibitions, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, state law and such other factors as our board of directors deems relevant. The actual distribution payable will be determined by our board of directors based upon the circumstances at the time of declaration and the actual distribution payable may vary from expected amounts. Any change in our distribution policy could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our stock. We are generally restricted from declaring or paying any distributions, or setting aside any funds for the payment of distributions, on our common stock or our Common Units, subject to certain exceptions, redeeming or otherwise acquiring shares of our common stock or our Common Units unless full cumulative distributions on our Series A Preferred Stock and the Series A Preferred Units have been declared and either paid or set aside for payment in full for all past distribution periods.

The market price of our stock may be volatile due to numerous circumstances beyond our control.

The trading prices of equity securities issued by REITs and other real estate companies historically have been affected by changes in market interest rates. One of the factors that may influence the price of our common or preferred stock is the annual yield from distributions on our common or preferred stock, respectively, as compared to yields on other financial instruments. An increase in market interest rates, or a decrease in our distributions to stockholders, may lead prospective purchasers of our common or preferred stock to demand a higher annual yield, which could reduce the market price of our common or preferred stock, respectively.

Other factors that could affect the market price of our stock include the following:

- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly results of operations;
- changes in market valuations of companies in the lodging industry;
- changes in expectations of future financial performance or changes in estimates of securities analysts;
- fluctuations in stock market prices and volumes;
- our issuances of common stock, preferred stock, or other securities in the future;
- the inclusion of our common stock and preferred stock in equity indices, which could induce additional purchases;
- the addition or departure of key personnel;
- announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, investments or strategic alliances; and
- unforeseen events beyond our control, such as instability in the national, European or global economy, terrorist attacks, travel related health concerns including pandemics and epidemics such as H1N1 influenza (swine flu), avian bird flu and SARS, political instability, regional hostilities, increases in fuel prices, imposition of taxes or surcharges by regulatory authorities and travel-related accidents and unusual weather patterns, including natural disasters such as hurricanes.

The market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future cash distributions, whether from operations, sales or refinancings, as well as the real estate market value of the underlying assets, may cause our common and preferred stock to trade at prices that differ from our net asset value per share. If we retain operating cash flow for investment purposes, working capital reserves or other purposes, these retained funds, while increasing the value of our underlying assets, may not correspondingly increase the market price of our common and preferred stock. Our failure to meet the market's expectations with regard to future earnings and distributions likely would adversely affect the market price of our common and preferred stock.

The trading market for our stock will rely in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business. We do not control these analysts. Furthermore, if one or more of the analysts who do cover us downgrades our stock or our industry, or the stock of any of our competitors, the price of our stock could decline. If one or more of these analysts ceases coverage of our company, we could lose attention in the market, which in turn could cause the price of our stock to decline.

The number of shares of our common stock and preferred stock available for future sale could adversely affect the market price per share of our common stock and preferred stock, respectively, and future sales by us of shares of our common stock, preferred stock, or issuances by our operating partnership of Common Units may be dilutive to existing stockholders.

Sales of substantial amounts of shares of our common stock or preferred stock in the public market, or upon exchange of Common Units or exercise of any equity awards, or the perception that such sales might occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock and preferred stock. The exchange of Common Units for common stock, conversion of Series A Preferred Stock for common stock, the vesting of any equity-based awards granted to certain directors, executive officers and other employees under the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, the issuance of our common stock or Common Units in connection with hotel, portfolio or business acquisitions and other issuances of our common stock or Common Units could have an adverse effect on the market price of the shares of our common stock.

We have filed a registration statement on Form S-3 to register up to 10,100,000 shares of common stock issuable by us to the holders of Common Units issued in our formation transactions upon the exercise of their redemption rights. Once the registration statement becomes effective, there could be a significant amount of sales of our common stock in a short period of time or the perception that a substantial amount of sales may occur, either or both of which could depress the market price of our common stock. In addition, future sales by us of shares of our common stock

may be dilutive to existing stockholders.

25

Future offerings of debt securities, which would be senior to our common and preferred stock upon liquidation and issuances of equity securities (including Common Units), which may be dilutive to our existing stockholders and be senior to our common stock for purposes of dividend distributions or upon liquidation, may materially and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

In the future we may offer debt securities and issue equity securities, including Common Units, Series A Preferred Stock or other preferred shares, that may be senior to our common stock for purposes of dividend distributions or upon liquidation. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and our preferred shares will receive distributions of our available assets prior to the holders of our common stock. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to pre-emptive rights or other protections against us offering senior debt or equity securities. Therefore, additional common share issuances, directly or through convertible or exchangeable securities (including Common Units), warrants or options, will dilute the holdings of our existing common stockholders and such issuances or the perception of such issuances may reduce the market price of our common stock. In addition, new issues of preferred stock could have a preference on liquidating distributions and a preference on dividend payments that could limit our ability to pay a dividend or make another distribution to the holders of our common stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of future issuances. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our common stock and diluting their interest in us.

The Series A Preferred Stock is subordinate to our existing and future debt, and Series A Preferred Stockholders interests could be diluted by the issuance of additional shares of preferred stock and by other transactions.

The Series A Preferred Stock will rank junior to all of our existing and future debt and senior equity securities and to other non-equity claims on us and our assets available to satisfy claims against us, including claims in bankruptcy, liquidation or similar proceedings. Our existing debt includes restrictions on our ability to pay dividends to preferred stockholders, and our future debt may include similar restrictions. Our charter currently authorizes the issuance of up to 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more classes or series. Our charter authorizes our Board of Directors, without any action on the part of our stockholders, to amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of authorized shares of preferred stock. Subject to limitations prescribed by Maryland law and our charter, the Board of Directors is authorized to issue, from our authorized but unissued shares of capital stock, preferred stock in such classes or series as our Board of Directors may determine and to establish from time to time the number of shares of preferred stock to be included in any such class or series. The issuance of additional shares of Series A Preferred Stock or other parity equity securities could dilute the interests of the holders of Series A Preferred Stock, and the issuance of any senior equity securities or the incurrence of additional indebtedness could affect our ability to pay dividends on, redeem or pay the liquidation preference on the Series A Preferred Stock. Other than the conversion right afforded to holders of Series A Preferred Stock that may become exercisable in connection with certain changes of control as described in the prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2011 (“Prospectus”) under the heading “Description of the Series A Preferred Stock — Conversion Rights,” none of the provisions relating to the Series A Preferred Stock contain any terms relating to or limiting our indebtedness or affording the holders of Series A Preferred Stock protection in the event of a highly leveraged or other transaction, including a merger or the sale, lease or conveyance of all or substantially all our assets, that might adversely affect the holders of Series A Preferred Stock.

Holders of Series A Preferred Stock have extremely limited voting rights.

Holders of Series A Preferred Stock have limited voting rights. Our shares of common stock are the only class of our securities that carry full voting rights. Voting rights for holders of Series A Preferred Stock exist primarily with respect to the ability to elect, together with holders of our parity equity securities having similar voting rights, if any, two additional directors to our Board of Directors in the event that six quarterly dividends (whether or not

consecutive) payable on the Series A Preferred Stock are in arrears, and with respect to voting on amendments to our charter or articles supplementary relating to the Series A Preferred Stock that materially and adversely affect the rights of the holders of Series A Preferred Stock or create additional classes or series of senior equity securities. Other than these limited circumstances, holders of Series A Preferred Stock will not have any voting rights.

Holders of Series A Preferred Stock may not be permitted to exercise conversion rights upon a change of control. If exercisable, the change of control conversion feature of the Series A Preferred Stock may not adequately compensate the holders, and the change of control conversion and redemption features of the Series A Preferred Stock may make it more difficult for a party to take over our company or discourage a party from taking over our company.

Upon the occurrence of a Change of Control, as defined in our charter, as a result of which our common stock and the common securities of the acquiring or surviving entity (or ADRs representing such common securities) are not listed on the NYSE, the NYSE Amex or NASDAQ, or listed or quoted on an exchange or quotation system that is a successor to the NYSE, the NYSE Amex or NASDAQ, holders of Series A Preferred Stock will have the right to convert some or all of their Series A Preferred Stock into our common stock (or equivalent value of alternative consideration). Notwithstanding that we generally may not redeem the Series A Preferred Stock prior to October 28, 2016, we have a special optional redemption right to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock in the event of a Change of Control, and holders of Series A Preferred Stock will not have the right to convert any shares that we have elected to redeem prior to the Change of Control Conversion Date, as defined in our charter. Upon such a conversion, the holders will be limited to a maximum number of shares of our common stock equal to 5.92417 multiplied by the number of Series A Preferred Stock converted. If the Common Stock Price is less than \$4.22, subject to adjustment, the holders will receive a maximum of 5.92417 shares of our common stock per share Series A Preferred Stock, which may result in a holder receiving value that is less than the liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Stock. In addition, those features of the Series A Preferred Stock may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for our company or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of our company under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common stock and Series A Preferred Stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-current market price or that stockholders may otherwise believe is in their best interests.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Failure to remain qualified as a REIT would cause us to be taxed as a regular corporation, which would substantially reduce funds available for distributions to our stockholders.

We believe that our organization and proposed method of operation enabled us to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT commencing with our short taxable year ended December 31, 2011. However, we cannot assure you that we will qualify and remain qualified as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will face serious tax consequences that will substantially reduce the funds available for distributions to our stockholders because:

- we would not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;
- we could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and
- unless we are entitled to relief under certain federal income tax laws, we could not re-elect REIT status until the fifth calendar year after the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will no longer be required to make distributions. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and it would adversely affect the value of our stock.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flows.

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, tax on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes. In addition, our TRSs are subject to regular corporate federal, state and local taxes. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distributions to stockholders.

Failure to make required distributions would subject us to federal corporate income tax.

We intend to operate in a manner so as to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In order to qualify as a REIT, we generally are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain, each year to our stockholders. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% non-deductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Code.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our liquidity and may force us to borrow funds or sell assets during unfavorable market conditions or pay taxable stock dividends.

In order to satisfy our qualification as a REIT and to meet the REIT distribution requirements, we may need to borrow funds on a short-term basis or sell assets, even if the then-prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings or sales. Our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to fund required distributions as a result of differences in timing between the actual receipt of income and the recognition of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt service or amortization payments. For example, we may be required to accrue income from mortgage loans and other types of debt instruments that we may acquire before we receive any payments of interest or principal on such assets. We may also acquire distressed debt investments that are subsequently modified or foreclosed upon, which could result in significant taxable income without any corresponding cash payment. The insufficiency of our cash flows to cover our distribution requirements could have an adverse effect on our ability to raise short- and long-term debt or sell equity securities in order to fund distributions required to maintain our qualification as a REIT. Also, although the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") has issued private letter rulings to other REITs, which may be relied upon only by the taxpayers to whom they were issued, and a revenue procedure applicable to our 2007 through 2011 taxable years sanctioning certain issuances of taxable stock dividends by REITs under certain circumstances, no assurance can be given that we will be able to pay taxable stock dividends to meet our REIT distribution requirements.

The formation of our TRSs increases our overall tax liability.

Our TRSs are subject to federal, state and local income tax on their taxable income, which consists of the revenue from the hotels leased by our TRS lessees, net of the operating expenses for such hotels and rent payments to us and, in the case of the one hotel that is owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of one of our TRSs, the revenue from that hotel, net of the operating expenses. Accordingly, although our ownership of our TRSs allows us to participate in the operating income from our hotels in addition to receiving rent, that operating income will be fully subject to income tax. The after-tax net income of our TRSs is available for distribution to us. If we have any non-U.S. TRSs, then they may be subject to tax in jurisdictions where they operate.

Our TRS lessee structure subjects us to the risk of increased hotel operating expenses that could adversely affect our operating results and our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Our leases with our TRS lessees require our TRS lessees to pay us rent based in part on revenue from our hotels. Our operating risks include decreases in hotel revenue and increases in hotel operating expenses, including but not limited to the increases in wage and benefit costs, repair and maintenance expenses, energy costs, property taxes, insurance costs and other operating expenses, which would adversely affect our TRSs' ability to pay us rent due under the leases. Increases in these operating expenses can have a significant adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common and preferred shares and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our ownership of our TRSs is subject to limitations and our transactions with our TRSs could cause us to be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain income or deductions if those transactions are not conducted on arm's-length terms.

Overall, no more than 25% of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more TRSs. In addition, the Code limits the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to its parent REIT to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The Code also imposes a 100% excise tax on certain transactions between a TRS and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis. The 100% tax would apply, for example, to the extent that we were found to have charged our TRS lessees rent in excess of an arm's-length rent. We monitor the value of our respective investments in our TRSs for the purpose of ensuring compliance with TRS ownership limitations and structure our transactions with our TRSs on terms that we believe are arm's length to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25% TRS limitation or to avoid application of the 100% excise tax.

If the leases of our hotels to our TRS lessees are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we will fail to qualify as a REIT.

To qualify as a REIT, we must annually satisfy two gross income tests, under which specified percentages of our gross income must be derived from certain sources, such as "rents from real property." Rents paid to our operating partnership by our TRS lessees pursuant to the leases of our hotels constitute substantially all of our gross income. In order for such rent to qualify as "rents from real property" for purposes of the gross income tests, the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and not be treated as service contracts, financing arrangements, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. If our leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we will fail to qualify as a REIT.

If our operating partnership is treated as a publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we will cease to qualify as a REIT.

Although we believe that our operating partnership will be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, no assurance can be given that the IRS will not successfully challenge that position. If the IRS were to successfully contend that our operating partnership should be treated as a publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation, we would fail to meet the 75% gross income test and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, unless we qualified for certain statutory relief provisions, we would cease to qualify as a REIT. Also, our operating partnership would become subject to federal, state and local income tax, which would reduce significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to us.

If Interstate, our other hotel management companies, or any other hotel management companies that we may engage in the future do not qualify as "eligible independent contractors," or if our hotels are not "qualified lodging facilities," we will fail to qualify as a REIT.

Rent paid by a lessee that is a "related party tenant" of ours will not be qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. An exception is provided, however, for leases of "qualified lodging facilities" to a TRS so long as the hotels are managed by an "eligible independent contractor" and certain other requirements are satisfied. We lease all but one of our hotels to our TRS lessees. The remaining hotel is owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of one of our TRSs. All of our hotels are operated pursuant to hotel management agreements with Interstate and other hotel management companies, each of which we believe qualifies as an "eligible independent contractor." Among other requirements, in order to qualify as an eligible independent contractor, the hotel manager must not own, directly or through its stockholders, more than 35% of our outstanding shares, and no person or group of persons can own more than 35% of our outstanding shares and the shares (or ownership interest) of the hotel manager, taking into account certain ownership attribution rules. The ownership attribution rules that apply for

purposes of these 35% thresholds are complex, and monitoring actual and constructive ownership of our shares by our hotel managers and their owners may not be practical. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that these ownership levels will not be exceeded.

In addition, for a hotel management company to qualify as an eligible independent contractor, such company or a related person must be actively engaged in the trade or business of operating “qualified lodging facilities” (as defined below) for one or more persons not related to the REIT or its TRSs at each time that such company enters into a hotel management contract with a TRS or its TRS lessee. As of the date hereof, we believe each of our hotel management companies operates qualified lodging facilities for certain persons who are not related to us or our TRSs. However, no assurances can be provided that our hotel management companies or any other hotel managers that we may engage in the future will in fact comply with this requirement. Failure to comply with this requirement would require us to find other managers for future contracts, and, if we hired a management company without knowledge of the failure, it could jeopardize our status as a REIT.

Finally, each property with respect to which our TRS lessees pay rent must be a “qualified lodging facility.” A “qualified lodging facility” is a hotel, motel or other establishment more than one-half of the dwelling units in which are used on a transient basis, including customary amenities and facilities, provided that no wagering activities are conducted at or in connection with such facility by any person who is engaged in the business of accepting wagers and who is legally authorized to engage in such business at or in connection with such facility. As of the date hereof, we believe that the properties that are leased to our TRS lessees and the property that is owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of one of our TRSs are qualified lodging facilities. Although we intend to monitor future acquisitions and improvements of properties, REIT provisions of the Code provide only limited guidance for making determinations under the requirements for qualified lodging facilities, and there can be no assurance that these requirements will be satisfied.

We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could reduce the market price of our stock.

At any time, the federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended. We cannot predict when or if any new federal income tax law, regulation, or administrative interpretation, or any amendment to any existing federal income tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation, will be adopted, promulgated or become effective and any such law, regulation, or interpretation may take effect retroactively. We and our stockholders could be adversely affected by any such change in, or any new, federal income tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation.

You may be restricted from acquiring or transferring certain amounts of our stock.

The stock ownership restrictions of the Code for REITs and the 9.8% stock ownership limit in our charter may inhibit market activity in our capital stock and restrict our business combination opportunities.

In order to qualify as a REIT for each taxable year after 2011, five or fewer individuals, as defined in the Code, may not own, beneficially or constructively, more than 50% in value of our issued and outstanding stock at any time during the last half of a taxable year. Attribution rules in the Code determine if any individual or entity beneficially or constructively owns our capital stock under this requirement. Additionally, at least 100 persons must beneficially own our capital stock during at least 335 days of a taxable year for each taxable year after 2011. To help insure that we meet these tests, our charter restricts the acquisition and ownership of shares of our capital stock.

Our charter, with certain exceptions, authorizes our directors to take such actions as are necessary and desirable to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Unless exempted by our board of directors, our charter prohibits any person from beneficially or constructively owning more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of any class or series of our capital stock. Our board of directors may not grant an exemption from these restrictions to any proposed transferee whose ownership in excess of 9.8% of the value of our outstanding shares would result in our failing to qualify as a REIT. These restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply, however, if our board of directors determines that it is no longer in our best interest to continue to qualify as a REIT.

We may pay taxable dividends of our common stock and cash, in which case stockholders may sell shares of our common stock to pay tax on such dividends, placing downward pressure on the market price of our common stock.

We may distribute taxable dividends that are payable in cash and common stock at the election of each stockholder. The IRS has issued private letter rulings to other REITs treating certain distributions that are paid partly in cash and partly in stock as taxable dividends that would satisfy the REIT annual distribution requirement and qualify for the dividends paid deduction for federal income tax purposes. Those rulings may be relied upon only by the taxpayers to whom they were issued, but we could request a similar ruling from the IRS. In addition, the IRS previously issued a revenue procedure authorizing publicly traded REITs to make elective cash/stock dividends, but that revenue procedure does not apply to our 2012 and future taxable years. Accordingly, it is unclear whether and to what extent we will be able to make taxable dividends payable in cash and common stock.

If we made a taxable dividend payable in cash and common stock, taxable stockholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for federal income tax purposes. As a result, stockholders may be required to pay income tax with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the common stock that it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our common stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to certain non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold federal income tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in common stock. If we made a taxable dividend payable in cash and our common stock and a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our common stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, it may put downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock. We do not currently intend to pay a taxable dividend of our common stock and cash.

ItemUnresolved Staff Comments.

1B.

None.

Item Properties.

2.

Our Portfolio

A list of our hotel properties owned as of December 31, 2011 and operating information for those hotels is included in the table below. We own our hotels in fee simple, except for five hotels, that are ground leased, as described in “-Our Hotel Operating Agreements – Ground Leases” below. According to STR’s current chain scales, 34 of our hotels are categorized as upper midscale hotels, 28 of our hotels are categorized as upscale hotels, and eight of our hotels are categorized as midscale. All financial and room information is for the year ended December 31, 2011.

| Franchise/Brand | Location | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | | Segment |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|
| | | Later of Year of Opening or Conversion | # Rooms | Occupancy(1) | ADR(2) | RevPAR(3) | | |
| Marriott Courtyard by Marriott® | El Paso, TX | 2011 | 90 | 72.82 % | \$ 116.09 | \$ 84.54 | Upscale | |
| Courtyard by Marriott ® (4) | Flagstaff, AZ | 2009 | 164 | 71.52 | 94.11 | 67.31 | Upscale | |
| Courtyard by Marriott® (4) | Germantown, TN | 2005 | 93 | 62.10 | 93.97 | 58.35 | Upscale | |
| Courtyard by Marriott® (4) | Jackson, MS | 2005 | 117 | 59.92 | 93.49 | 56.02 | Upscale | |
| Courtyard by Marriott® (4) | Memphis, TN | 2005 | 96 | 67.96 | 70.22 | 47.72 | Upscale | |
| Courtyard by Marriott® (4) | Missoula, MT | 2005 | 92 | 67.07 | 99.51 | 66.74 | Upscale | |
| Courtyard by Marriott® (4) | Scottsdale, AZ | 2003 | 153 | 53.29 | 121.23 | 64.61 | Upscale | |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4) | Baton Rouge, LA | 2004 | 79 | 60.21 | 77.63 | 46.74 | Upper midscale | |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4) | Bellevue, WA | 1997 | 144 | 58.15 | 113.15 | 65.80 | Upper midscale | |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4) | Boise, ID | 1995 | 63 | 66.06 | 72.24 | 47.72 | Upper midscale | |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4) | Denver, CO | 1997 | 161 | 67.83 | 85.58 | 58.05 | Upper midscale | |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4) | Emporia, KS | 1994 | 57 | 65.17 | 77.50 | 50.51 | Upper midscale | |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4) | Lakewood, CO | 1995 | 63 | 62.47 | 85.18 | 53.22 | Upper midscale | |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4) | Lewisville, TX | 2000 | 71 | 57.92 | 74.11 | 42.93 | Upper midscale | |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4) | Salina, KS | 1994 | 63 | 68.17 | 73.04 | 49.79 | Upper midscale | |
| | | 1995 | 84 | 58.33 | 104.26 | 60.81 | | |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----------------|
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott® (4)(5) | Spokane, WA | | | | | | Upper midscale |
| Fairfield Inn & Suites by Marriott® (4) | Germantown, TN | 2005 | 80 | 58.47 | 72.59 | 42.45 | Upper midscale |
| Residence Inn by Marriott® (4) | Fort Wayne, IN | 2006 | 109 | 74.38 | 91.02 | 67.70 | Upscale |
| Residence Inn by Marriott® (4) | Germantown, TN | 2005 | 78 | 65.24 | 96.56 | 63.00 | Upscale |
| Residence Inn by Marriott® (4) | Portland, OR | 2009 | 124 | 84.00 | 101.31 | 85.10 | Upscale |
| Residence Inn by Marriott® (4) | Ridgeland, MS | 2007 | 100 | 82.10 | 103.69 | 85.13 | Upscale |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott® (4) | Baton Rouge, LA | 2004 | 78 | 65.37 | 82.82 | 54.14 | Upscale |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott® (4) (8) | Bloomington, MN | 2011 | 113 | 82.24 | 82.03 | 67.46 | Upscale |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott® (4) | Denver, CO | 2007 | 124 | 67.27 | 98.28 | 66.11 | Upscale |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott® (4) | Flagstaff, AZ | 2008 | 112 | 71.20 | 92.73 | 66.02 | Upscale |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott® (4) | Lithia Springs, GA | 2004 | 78 | 53.13 | 73.50 | 39.05 | Upscale |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott® (4) | Little Rock, AR | 2004 | 78 | 66.79 | 80.28 | 53.62 | Upscale |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott® (4) | Nashville, TN | 2004 | 78 | 74.49 | 102.99 | 76.72 | Upscale |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott® (4)(6) | Scottsdale, AZ | 2003 | 121 | 50.01 | 105.13 | 52.58 | Upscale |
| TownePlace Suites by Marriott® (4) | Baton Rouge, LA | 2004 | 90 | 78.36 | 72.41 | 56.74 | Upper midscale |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average | | | 2,953 | 66.44 | 91.71 | 60.93 | |
| Hilton | | | | | | | |
| Doubletree® (4) (8) | Baton Rouge, LA | 2011 | 127 | 51.35 | 84.18 | 43.23 | Upscale |
| Hampton Inn® (4) | Denver, CO | 2003 | 149 | 49.38 | 82.55 | 40.77 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn® (4) | Fort Collins, CO | 1996 | 75 | 65.63 | 90.93 | 59.67 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn® (4) | Fort Smith, AR | 2005 | 178 | 61.06 | 94.72 | 57.83 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn® (4) | Fort Wayne, IN | 2006 | 119 | 57.82 | 91.76 | 53.05 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn® (4) | Medford, OR | 2001 | 75 | 71.58 | 102.77 | 73.56 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn® (4) | Twin Falls, ID | 2004 | 75 | 64.51 | 88.39 | 57.02 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn® (4) | Provo, UT | 1996 | 87 | 66.34 | 87.91 | 58.32 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn® (4) | Boise, ID | 1995 | 63 | 72.09 | 88.79 | 64.01 | |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Hampton Inn & Suites® (4) | Bloomington, MN | 2007 | 146 | 74.48 | 119.08 | 88.69 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn & Suites® (4) | El Paso, TX | 2005 | 139 | 81.81 | 108.69 | 88.92 | Upper midscale |
| Hampton Inn & Suites® (4) | Fort Worth, TX | 2007 | 105 | 65.45 | 110.33 | 72.21 | Upper midscale |
| Hilton Garden Inn® (4) | Duluth, GA | 2011 | 122 | 68.84 | 102.47 | 70.54 | Upscale |
| Hilton Garden Inn® (4) | Fort Collins, CO | 2007 | 120 | 64.71 | 92.25 | 59.70 | Upscale |
| Homewood Suites® (4) | Ridgeland, MS | 2011 | 91 | 73.87 | 96.51 | 71.30 | Upscale |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average | | | 1,671 | 65.03 | 97.69 | 63.53 | |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| IHG | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|-------------------|
| Holiday Inn® (4) (8) | Boise, ID | 2011 | 119 | 64.85 | % \$78.67 | \$51.02 | Upper midscale |
| Holiday Inn® (4) | Duluth, GA | 2011 | 143 | 66.01 | 85.80 | 56.64 | Upper midscale |
| Holiday Inn Express® (4) | Boise, ID | 2005 | 63 | 71.13 | 83.10 | 59.11 | Upper midscale |
| Holiday Inn Express®(7) (8) | Charleston, WV | 2011 | 66 | 49.23 | 92.11 | 45.35 | Upper midscale |
| Holiday Inn Express® (4) | Vernon Hills, IL | 2008 | 119 | 58.94 | 80.75 | 47.59 | Upper midscale |
| Holiday Inn Express & Suites® (4) | Emporia, KS | 2000 | 58 | 74.99 | 89.77 | 67.32 | Upper midscale |
| Holiday Inn Express & Suites® | Las Colinas, TX | 2007 | 128 | 51.42 | 83.41 | 42.89 | Upper midscale |
| Holiday Inn Express & Suites® (4) | Sandy, UT | 1998 | 88 | 72.71 | 86.72 | 63.05 | Upper midscale |
| Holiday Inn Express & Suites® (4) | Twin Falls, ID | 2009 | 91 | 61.99 | 92.04 | 57.06 | Upper midscale |
| Staybridge Suites® (4) | Glendale, CO | 2011 | 121 | 80.19 | 109.76 | 88.01 | Upper midscale |
| Staybridge Suites® (4) | Jackson, MS | 2007 | 92 | 65.43 | 87.29 | 57.11 | Upper midscale |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average | | | 1,088 | 64.24 | 87.79 | 56.39 | |
| Hyatt | | | | | | | |
| Hyatt Place® (4) | Atlanta, GA | 2006 | 150 | 81.51 | 78.44 | 63.94 | Upscale |
| Hyatt Place® | Fort Myers, FL | 2009 | 148 | 50.79 | 76.13 | 38.66 | Upscale |
| Hyatt Place® (4) | Las Colinas, TX | 2007 | 122 | 65.92 | 92.48 | 60.96 | Upscale |
| Hyatt Place® (4) | Portland, OR | 2009 | 136 | 81.24 | 89.99 | 73.11 | Upscale |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average | | | 556 | 69.84 | 84.19 | 58.80 | |
| AmericInn | | | | | | | |
| AmericInn®(8) | Fort Smith, AR | 2011 | 89 | 39.68 | 63.84 | 25.33 | Midscale |
| AmericInn® (8) | Missoula, MT | 2011 | 52 | 46.13 | 76.68 | 35.37 | Midscale |
| AmericInn® (4) (8) | Salina, KS | 2011 | 60 | 44.53 | 66.73 | 29.71 | Midscale |
| AmericInn® (4) (8) | Twin Falls, ID | 2011 | 111 | 51.97 | 70.52 | 36.65 | Midscale |
| AmericInn® (4)(8) | Lakewood, CO | 2011 | 62 | 48.27 | 75.48 | 36.44 | Midscale |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average | | | 374 | 46.42 | 70.28 | 32.63 | |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

Starwood

| | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Aloft® (4) | Jacksonville, FL | 2009 | 136 | 70.19 | 63.06 | 44.26 | Upscale |
|------------|---------------------|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|---------|

Carlson

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| Country Inn & Suites By Carlson® | Charleston, WV | 2001 | 64 | 74.09 | 97.21 | 72.02 | Midscale |
| Country Inn & Suites By Carlson® (4)(8) | San Antonio, TX | 2011 | 126 | 52.62 | 77.98 | 41.03 | Midscale |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average | | | 190 | 59.85 | 86.00 | 51.47 | |

Independent

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| Aspen Hotel & Suites® (4) | Fort Smith, AR | 2003 | 57 | 47.16 | 65.16 | 30.73 | Midscale |
| Aspen Hotel & Suites®(8) | Fort Worth, TX | 2011 | 70 | 40.30 | 77.38 | 31.18 | Upper Midscale |
| | | | 127 | 43.38 | 71.42 | 30.98 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|-------|-------|-----------|---------|--|
| Total/Weighted Average | | | 7,095 | 64.45 | % \$90.03 | \$58.02 | |
|------------------------|--|--|-------|-------|-----------|---------|--|

- (1) Occupancy represents the percentage of available rooms that were sold during a specified period of time and is calculated by dividing the number of rooms sold by the total number of rooms available, expressed as a percentage.
- (2) ADR represents the average daily rate paid for rooms sold, calculated by dividing room revenue (i.e., excluding food and beverage revenue or other hotel operations revenue such as telephone, parking and other guest services) by rooms sold.
- (3) RevPAR is the product of ADR and occupancy. RevPAR does not include food and beverage revenue or other hotel operations revenue such as telephone, parking and other guest services.
- (4) This hotel is subject to mortgage debt at December 31, 2011. For additional information concerning our debt and lenders, please see Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Information and Results of Operations—Indebtedness" and Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 11" to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (5) The Spokane, WA Fairfield Inn room count decreased from 86 to 84 in fourth quarter 2011 as a result of capital improvements at the hotel.
- (6) The Scottsdale, AZ SpringHill Suites room count decreased from 123 to 121 in fourth quarter 2011 as a result of capital improvements at the hotel.
- (7) The Charleston, WV Holiday Inn Express room count decreased from 67 to 66 in fourth quarter 2011 as a result of renovations related to the franchise conversion from a Comfort Suites to a Holiday Inn Express.
- (8) The conversion date reflects the conversion to a new franchise brand due to the termination of the franchise license agreements for 11 of our hotels during 2011.

We have acquired one hotel since December 31, 2011.

As of February 27, 2012, we have also entered into agreements to purchase two additional hotels for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$20.2 million. We anticipate acquiring these hotels in the first quarter of 2012. See also “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources.”

In addition to our hotel portfolio, we own 14 parcels of vacant land that we believe are suitable for the development of new hotels, the possible expansion of existing hotels or the development of restaurants in proximity to certain of our hotels. We currently do not intend to develop new hotels or restaurants or expand any of our existing hotels at these parcels. We may in the future sell these parcels when market conditions warrant. To reduce the risk of incurring a prohibited transaction tax on any sales, we may transfer some or all of those parcels of undeveloped land to our TRSs.

Our Hotel Operating Agreements

Ground Leases

Five of our hotels are subject to ground lease agreements that cover all of the land underlying the respective hotel property.

The AmericInn located in Fort Smith, Arkansas is subject to a ground lease with an initial lease termination date of August 31, 2022. The initial lease term may be extended for an additional 30 years. Annual ground rent currently is \$50,100 per year. Annual ground rent is adjusted every fifth year with adjustments based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers. The next scheduled ground rent adjustment is January 1, 2015.

The Hampton Inn located in Fort Smith, Arkansas is subject to a ground lease with an initial lease termination date of May 31, 2030 with 11, five-year renewal options. Annual ground rent currently is \$132,461 per year. Annual ground rent is adjusted on June 1 of each year, with adjustments based on increases in the hotel’s RevPAR calculated in accordance with the terms of the ground lease.

The Residence Inn by Marriott located in Portland, Oregon is subject to a ground lease with an initial lease termination date of June 30, 2084 with one option to extend for an additional 14 years. Ground rent for the initial lease term was prepaid in full at the time we acquired the leasehold interest. If the option to extend is exercised, monthly ground rent will be charged based on a formula established in the ground lease.

The Hyatt Place located in Portland, Oregon is subject to a ground lease with a lease termination date of June 30, 2084 with one option to extend for an additional 14 years. Ground rent for the initial lease term was prepaid in full at the time we acquired the leasehold interest. If the option to extend is exercised, monthly ground rent will be charged based on a formula established in the ground lease.

The Holiday Inn located in Duluth, Georgia is subject to a ground lease with a lease termination date of April 1, 2069. Annual ground rent currently is \$198,057 per year. Annual rent is increased annually by 3% for each successive lease year, on a cumulative basis.

These ground leases generally require us to make rental payments and payments for our share of charges, costs, expenses, assessments and liabilities, including real property taxes and utilities. Furthermore, these ground leases generally require us to obtain and maintain insurance covering the subject property.

Franchise Agreements

All of our hotels, except for our two independent hotels, currently operate under franchise agreements with Marriott, Hilton, IHG, Hyatt, Starwood Hotels and Resorts Worldwide, Inc. (“Starwood”), AmericInn International, LLC and Country Inns & Suites By Carlson, Inc. We believe that the public’s perception of the quality associated with a brand-name hotel is an important feature in its attractiveness to guests. Franchisors provide a variety of benefits to franchisees, including centralized reservation systems, national advertising, marketing programs and publicity designed to increase brand awareness, training of personnel and maintenance of operational quality at hotels across the brand system.

The franchise agreements require our TRS lessees, as franchisees, to pay franchise fees ranging between 2% and 6% of each hotel’s gross revenue. In addition, some of our franchise agreements will require our TRS lessees to pay marketing fees of up to 4% of each hotel’s gross revenue. These agreements generally specify management, operational, record-keeping, accounting, reporting and marketing standards and procedures with which our TRS lessees, as the franchisees, must comply. The franchise agreements obligate our TRS lessees to comply with the franchisors’ standards and requirements, including training of operational personnel, safety, maintaining specified insurance, the types of services and products ancillary to guest room services that may be provided by the TRS lessee, display of signage and the type, quality and age of furniture, fixtures and equipment included in guest rooms, lobbies and other common areas. Some of the agreements require that we deposit a set percentage, generally not more than 5% of the gross revenue of the hotels, into a reserve fund for capital expenditures.

We have agreed with certain of our franchisors to complete property improvement plans, with completion dates ranging from March 2011 to August 2015. We expect to spend approximately \$18.2 million before December 31, 2012 for capital improvements pursuant to these plans. We intend to fund the cost of completing these plans with future offerings of our securities and borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility.

Hotel Management Agreements

In order to qualify as a REIT, we cannot directly or indirectly operate any of our hotels. Our operating partnership and subsidiaries of our operating partnership lease our hotels to our TRS lessees, which engage property managers to manage our hotels. On February 14, 2011, our TRS lessees entered into a hotel management agreement with Interstate. We may, but we are not required to, enter into hotel management agreements with Interstate for any additional hotels that we may acquire. As of February 27, 2012, Interstate and its affiliate, Noble Management Group, LLC (“Noble”), manage 69 of our 71 hotels.

Pursuant to the hotel management agreement with Interstate, our TRS lessees are required to fund working capital needs, fixed asset supplies, capital expenditures and operating expenses of the hotels. Interstate, subject to certain limited owner approval rights, has control of all operational aspects of the hotels, including employee-related matters. Interstate is required to maintain each hotel in good repair and condition and make such routine maintenance and repairs as are reasonably necessary or appropriate consistent with the business plan we approve.

A significant percentage of our hotels are managed by Interstate. Under the hotel management agreement entered into with Interstate on February 14, 2011, which has an initial term expiring on February 14, 2021 (unless earlier terminated pursuant to its terms), we pay Interstate a base management fee and, if certain financial thresholds are met or exceeded, an annual incentive management fee. The base management fee, which is paid on a monthly basis, is 3%

of total revenues for all of the hotels covered by the hotel management agreement. For purposes of the hotel management agreement, “total revenues” is defined as all income, revenue and proceeds resulting directly or indirectly from the operation of the hotels and all of their facilities (net of refunds and credits to guests and other allowances) before subtracting expenses. An annual incentive fee is payable to Interstate, if earned, in the amount equal to 10% of the amount by which actual aggregate EBITDA for all hotels covered by the hotel management agreement exceeds \$65 million, subject to adjustment for increases and decreases in the number of hotels covered by the hotel management agreement. For purposes of the hotel management agreement, “EBITDA” is defined as the amount by which gross operating profit (the amount by which total revenues exceed operating expenses) exceeds fixed charges. The annual incentive fee for any fiscal year (or partial fiscal year) is capped at 1.5% of the total revenues for all of the hotels covered by the hotel management agreement for that fiscal year. In addition, Interstate receives, on a monthly basis, a fee for the use of its centralized accounting services in an amount equal to \$1,500 per hotel per month for hotels with 90 or more rooms and \$1,375 per hotel per month for hotels with less than 90 rooms, subject to annual increases of the lesser of (i) the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for the previous fiscal year and (ii) 3%.

The hotel management agreement may be terminated entirely or with respect to individual hotels, as applicable, for cause, without cause, due to damage or condemnation of a hotel, on Interstate's failure to comply with certain REIT-related provisions of the Code, upon a hotel's underperformance, due to Interstate entering into competition with one of our hotels and upon the sale of a hotel. Termination under certain circumstances, such as termination due to our default under the hotel management agreement, termination due to sale of a hotel, termination due to damage or condemnation and termination without cause, shall require payment by us of a termination fee which would provide Interstate with a 30% Internal Rate of Return with respect to such hotel, however, solely for the first five terminations, if the effective date of such termination occurs on or before the end of the eighteenth month following the effective date of the agreement, the Internal Rate of Return shall be 20% instead of 30%.

We amended our hotel management agreement with Interstate effective as of June 30, 2011, to reduce the base management fee paid to Interstate for 55 of our hotels for the period from April 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011 by an aggregate of \$565,000. We and Interstate entered into the amendment to address operational challenges experienced at the hotels during the second quarter of 2011. In return for this one-time reduction in management fee, we provided an additional future incentive to Interstate, which is payable if earned, based on improvement of gross operating profits at the 55 hotels. The aggregate maximum potential incentive is equal to the amount of the one-time reduction in base management fee and was earned in full during the fourth quarter of 2011. Thus, the incentive will be paid in the first quarter of 2012.

On April 27, 2011, we entered into a contract with IHG Management (Maryland), LLC ("IHG Management") to manage the 143-room Holiday Inn hotel in Duluth, Georgia pursuant to a hotel management agreement with a 20-year term, which is extendable at IHG's option, upon written notice and if IHG Management is not then in default on the agreement, by up to two five-year terms.

On May 25, 2011, we entered into a contract with Noble to manage the 122-room Hilton Garden Inn hotel in Duluth, Georgia pursuant to a hotel management agreement with a 3-year term, which is extendable at Noble's option, upon written notice and if Noble is not then in default on the agreement, by up to two three-year terms. In conjunction with this contract, the Company has agreed to enter into additional hotel management agreements with Noble up to a capped amount, which left unfulfilled could lead to the assessment of future fees under the agreement. In December 2011, Interstate acquired Noble, thus Interstate manages this hotel.

On January 12, 2012, we assumed a contract with Courtyard Management Corporation to manage the 150-room Courtyard by Marriott in Atlanta, Georgia. The contract has a 25 year term, which automatically renews on the same terms and conditions for two successive periods of ten years unless either we or Courtyard Management Corporation elects not to renew.

Former Choice Hotels

On March 23, 2011, Choice notified us of the immediate termination of the franchise agreements for ten of our hotels, and the termination of our Cambria Suites, Bloomington, Minnesota franchise agreement on June 23, 2011. We refer to these 11 hotels, containing 995 guestrooms, as the "former Choice hotels."

As of December 31, 2011, ten of these hotels (containing an aggregate of 925 guestrooms) were operating under new franchise brands and one of the hotels (containing 70 guestrooms) was operating independently. Of the ten hotels operating under new franchise brands, six hotels (containing an aggregate of 441 guestrooms) were operating under lesser-known franchise brands, which provide lower levels of marketing support and guest loyalty programs that may not be as strong as those of the larger, well-known brands. As a result, occupancy, ADR, RevPAR and revenues for these hotels have been adversely affected and we may not achieve the operating performance we had previously anticipated. We entered into a new franchise agreement for the Fort Worth, Texas hotel currently operating

independently, that will permit the hotel to operate as a Fairfield Inn and Suites, upon completion of certain capital improvements anticipated to be completed in May 2012, although we can give no assurances that we will complete this project and operate the hotel under the new franchise agreement within the stated timeframe or at all.

The affected hotels include:

| Location | Former Brand | New Franchise Brand | Number of Units |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Baton Rouge, LA | Cambria Suites | DoubleTree by Hilton | 127 |
| San Antonio, TX | Cambria Suites | Country Inn & Suites | 126 |
| Boise, ID | Cambria Suites | Holiday Inn | 119 |
| Bloomington, MN | Cambria Suites | SpringHill Suites | 113 |
| Fort Worth, TX | Comfort Suites | Fairfield Inn & Suites | 70 |
| Charleston, WV | Comfort Suites | Holiday Inn Express | 66 |
| Lakewood, CO | Comfort Suites | AmericInn | 62 |
| Twin Falls, ID | Comfort Inn & Suites | AmericInn | 111 |
| Fort Smith, AR | Comfort Inn | AmericInn | 89 |
| Salina, KS | Comfort Inn | AmericInn | 60 |
| Missoula, MT | Comfort Inn | AmericInn | 52 |

The termination of the franchise agreements with respect to the former Choice hotels has had a negative effect on our operating results for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. From the date of the Choice franchise terminations until the former Choice hotels began operating under new franchise licenses they did not have access to a national reservations system, resulting in significant reductions in occupancy, thus negatively affecting RevPAR and hotel operating revenues. For the 11 former Choice hotels, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, occupancy declined to 53.9% from 65.7% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, ADR decreased to \$77.88 from \$77.99 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. As a result, RevPAR for the 11 former Choice hotels declined from \$51.24 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 to \$41.95 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are involved from time to time in litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, however, other than the Choice proceedings described below, we are not currently aware of any actions against us that we believe would materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

On March 23, 2011, Choice terminated franchise agreements on ten of our hotels, effective on that date. Choice also terminated the franchise agreement for the Cambria Suites, Bloomington, Minnesota effective June 23, 2011. On March 24, 2011, we filed an arbitration action with the American Arbitration Association against Choice claiming wrongful termination of our franchise agreements. In response to our arbitration action, Choice asserted counterclaims of fraudulent inducement, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract and trademark infringement. The claimants in the arbitration include Summit REIT, Summit OP, the General Partner, our predecessor, Summit Hospitality I, LLC, Summit Hospitality V, LLC, The Summit Group, Inc., and each of the TRSs that leased one of the former Choice hotels (collectively, "Summit Parties"). Choice's counterclaim seeks from the Summit Parties approximately \$3.9 million in actual damages for the alleged breaches of contract and misrepresentation, \$2 million in punitive damages, \$120,000 in damages for trademark infringement, and reimbursement of costs and attorneys' fees related to all claims.

On March 31, 2011, Choice filed suit in United States District Court in Maryland against the Summit Parties claiming trademark infringement and breach of contract. Choice's complaint seeks \$27,271 in damages for unpaid royalties, \$297,000 in liquidated damages, additional actual damages to be proven at trial, and reimbursement of costs and attorneys' fees related to all claims. The parties agreed to address their remaining claims solely through arbitration, and the United States District Court case was administratively closed as of July 26, 2011. The damage claims made in the United States District Court case are duplicative to those described in the arbitration paragraph above. We vehemently deny all asserted claims and are vigorously defending the claims.

The arbitration hearings were held in December 2011 and January 2012. Findings from the arbitration panel are expected in late March or April 2012. We are unable to estimate a range of gain or losses as it relates to these claims.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information

The common stock of Summit REIT began trading on the NYSE on February 9, 2011 under the symbol "INN." Prior to that time, there was no public trading market for the common stock of Summit REIT. The last reported sale price for Summit REIT's common stock as reported on the NYSE on February 27, 2012 was \$10.00 per share. The following table sets forth the high and low sales price per share of common stock per quarter reported on the New York Stock Exchange as traded, and the distributions declared on the common stock and Common Units for each of the quarters indicated.

| Year Ended December 31, 2011 | High | Low | Distribution Declared Per Common Share and Common Unit |
|---|---------|---------|---|
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 9.77 | \$ 6.16 | \$ 0.1125 |
| Third Quarter | 11.47 | 6.68 | 0.1125 |
| Second Quarter | 11.63 | 9.90 | 0.05625 |
| Period Feb 9, 2011 through March 31, 2011 | 10.40 | 9.26 | -- |

There is currently no established public trading market for the Common Units of Summit OP. No public trading market for the Common Units is expected to develop. Pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement, holders of 10,100,000 Common Units (other than the General Partner and Summit REIT) may exercise their right to tender those Common Units for redemption. Any Common Units tendered for redemption will be redeemed in exchange for either (i) shares of our common stock, on a one-for-one basis, or (ii) a cash amount based upon a ten-day average of the closing sale price of our common stock on the NYSE, as described in the partnership agreement.

Shareholder Information

As of February 15, 2012, the common stock of Summit REIT was held of record by five holders and there were 27,278,000 shares of common stock outstanding as of February 27, 2012. As of February 27, 2012, the Common Units of Summit OP were held by 983 holders of record and there were 37,378,000 Common Units of Summit OP outstanding, including 27,278,000 Common Units held by the General Partner and Summit REIT.

Distribution Information

As a REIT, Summit REIT must distribute annually to its stockholders an amount at least equal to 90% of its REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gain. Summit REIT will be subject to income tax on its taxable income that is not distributed and to an excise tax to the extent that certain percentages of its taxable income are not distributed by specified dates. Summit REIT's cash

available for distribution may be less than the amount required to meet the distribution requirements for REITs under the Code, and Summit REIT may be required to borrow money, sell assets or issue capital stock to satisfy the distribution requirements.

The timing and frequency of distributions will be authorized by the Summit REIT board of directors, in its sole discretion, and declared by Summit REIT based upon a variety of factors deemed relevant by its directors, including financial condition, restrictions under applicable law and loan agreements, capital requirements and the REIT requirements of the Code. Summit REIT's ability to make distributions will generally depend on receipt of distributions from Summit OP, which depends primarily upon lease payments from our TRS lessees with respect to our hotels.

Summit OP intends to make quarterly distributions to holders of Common Units in a per-unit amount that is equal to the per-share amount paid by Summit REIT to the holders of Summit REIT common stock.

We are generally restricted from declaring or paying any distributions, or setting aside any funds for the payment of distributions, on our common stock or the Common Units unless full cumulative distributions on the Series A Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Units have been declared and either paid or set aside for payment in full for all past distribution periods.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2011 with respect to our securities, and the securities of our operating partnership, that may be issued under existing equity compensation plans:

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans(1) |
|--|--|--|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Summit REIT Stockholders(2) | 940,000 | \$ 9.75 | 1,374,290 |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Summit REIT Stockholders | — | — | — |
| Total | 940,000 | \$ 9.75 | 1,374,290 |

(1) Excludes securities reflected in the column entitled “Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options.” Summit OP has not adopted any equity compensation plans; however, long-term incentive plan units (“LTIP Units”), a special class of partnership units in Summit OP, may be issued by Summit OP pursuant to Summit REIT’s 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. Neither Summit REIT nor Summit OP has any current plans to issue LTIP Units pursuant to the Summit REIT’s 2011 Equity Incentive Plan.

(2) Consists of Summit REIT’s 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, which was approved by Summit REIT’s board of directors and the Summit REIT’s sole stockholder prior to completion of the IPO.

Share Performance Graph

The following graph compares the yearly change in our cumulative total shareholder return on our common shares for the period beginning February 8, 2011 and ending December 31, 2011, with the yearly changes in the Standard and Poor's 500 Stock Index (the S&P 500 Index), and the SNL US REIT Hotel Index for the same period, assuming a base share price of \$100.00 for our common shares, the S&P 500 Index, and the SNL US REIT Hotel Index for comparative purposes. The SNL US REIT Hotel Index is composed of publicly traded REITs which focus on investments in hotel properties. Total shareholder return equals appreciation in stock price plus dividends paid and assumes that all dividends are reinvested. The performance graph is not indicative of future investment performance. We do not make or endorse any predictions as to future share price performance.

| Index | Period Ending | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 02/08/11 | 02/28/11 | 03/31/11 | 04/30/11 | 05/31/11 | 06/30/11 | 07/31/11 | 08/31/11 | 09/30/11 | 10/31/11 | 11/30/11 |
| Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. | \$100.00 | \$100.00 | \$101.95 | \$116.21 | \$116.07 | \$117.00 | \$116.28 | \$86.62 | \$73.86 | \$84.43 | \$89.27 |
| S&P 500 | 100.00 | 100.36 | 100.40 | 103.37 | 102.20 | 100.50 | 98.45 | 93.10 | 86.56 | 96.02 | 95.81 |
| SNL US REIT Hotel | 100.00 | 94.76 | 91.31 | 94.04 | 93.63 | 89.67 | 86.01 | 65.77 | 61.20 | 76.77 | 75.32 |

Securities Sold

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the year ended December 31, 2011 other than as previously reported in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 18, 2011 relating to the concurrent private placement and the formation transactions.

Item 6.

Selected Financial Data.

The following financial and operating information should be read in conjunction with the information set forth under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report and incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

Statement of Operations Data

(in thousands, except share and per-share data)

| | Our Summit REIT Period February 14, 2011 through December 31, 2011 | Our Predecessor Period January 1, 2011 through February 13, 2011 | Combined Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | 2010 | Our Predecessor Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|---|---|----------------|--|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| REVENUES | | | | | | | |
| Room revenues | \$ 131,638 | \$ 14,268 | \$ 145,906 | \$ 133,069 | \$ 118,960 | \$ 132,796 | \$ 112,043 |
| Other hotel operations revenues | 2,646 | 330 | 2,976 | 2,566 | 2,240 | 2,311 | 1,846 |
| Total Revenues | 134,284 | 14,598 | 148,882 | 135,635 | 121,200 | 135,107 | 113,889 |
| EXPENSES | | | | | | | |
| Hotel Operating Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Rooms | 40,138 | 4,961 | 45,099 | 41,129 | 36,720 | 36,517 | 30,118 |
| Other direct | 17,672 | 2,658 | 20,330 | 17,692 | 18,048 | 19,831 | 19,710 |
| Other indirect | 35,870 | 4,686 | 40,556 | 36,466 | 32,389 | 33,318 | 27,466 |
| Other | 700 | 73 | 773 | 615 | 681 | 330 | 481 |
| Total Hotel Operating Expenses | 94,380 | 12,378 | 106,758 | 95,902 | 87,838 | 89,996 | 77,775 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | | | |
| | 26,378 | 3,429 | 29,807 | 27,251 | 23,971 | 22,308 | 16,136 |
| Corporate general and administrative: | | | | | | | |
| Salaries and other compensation | 2,641 | — | 2,641 | — | — | — | — |
| Other | 3,440 | — | 3,440 | — | — | — | — |
| Equity based compensation | 480 | — | 480 | — | — | — | — |
| Hotel property acquisition costs | 254 | — | 254 | 367 | 1,389 | 1,571 | 1,640 |
| Loss on impairment of assets | — | — | — | 6,476 | 7,506 | — | — |
| Total Expenses | 127,573 | 15,807 | 143,380 | 129,996 | 120,704 | 113,875 | 95,551 |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS | | | | | | | |
| | 6,711 | (1,209) | 5,502 | 5,639 | 496 | 21,232 | 18,338 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 16 | 7 | 23 | 47 | 50 | 194 | 446 |
| Interest expense | (13,193) | (4,666) | (17,859) | (26,362) | (18,321) | (17,026) | (14,214) |
| Gain (loss) on disposal of assets | (36) | — | (36) | (42) | (4) | (390) | (652) |
| | (13,213) | (4,659) | (17,872) | (26,357) | (18,275) | (17,222) | (14,420) |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

Total Other Income
(Expense)

| | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | (6,502) | (5,868) | (12,370) | (20,718) | (17,779) | 4,010 | 3,918 |
| INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | — | — | — | — | 1,465 | 10,278 | 11,587 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (6,502) | (5,868) | (12,370) | (20,718) | (16,314) | 14,288 | 15,505 |
| INCOME TAX (EXPENSE) BENEFIT | 2,325 | (339) | 1,986 | (202) | — | (825) | (715) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | (4,177) | (6,207) | (10,384) | (20,920) | (16,314) | 13,463 | 14,790 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) ALLOCATED TO NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST | (1,240) | | (1,240) | | | | |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) ALLOCATED TO COMPANY | (2,937) | (6,207) | (9,144) | (20,920) | (16,314) | 13,463 | 14,790 |
| PREFERRED DIVIDENDS | (411) | — | (411) | — | — | — | — |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) ALLOCATED TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS | \$ (3,348) | \$ (6,207) | \$ (9,555) | \$ (20,920) | \$ (16,314) | \$ 13,463 | \$ 14,790 |
| Loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted | \$ (0.12) | | | | | | |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.28 | | | | | | |
| Weighted-average number of common shares, basic and diluted | 27,278,000 | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

Balance Sheet Data (in millions)

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total Assets | \$ 554 | N/A | \$ 554 | \$ 493 | \$ 518 | \$ 495 | \$ 448 |
| Mortgages and Notes Payable | 217 | N/A | 217 | 420 | 426 | 390 | 337 |

41

Our predecessor's equity interests consisted of four different classes of limited liability company membership interests that were not publicly traded, thus, a discussion of its selected earnings data would not be meaningful.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the "Selected Financial Data," Summit REIT's and Summit OP's audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, and related notes thereto, our predecessor's audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and related notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this report.

Overview

We are a self-managed hotel investment company that was organized in June 2010 to continue and expand the existing hotel investment business of our predecessor, Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, a leading U.S. hotel owner. We focus on acquiring and owning premium-branded select-service hotels in the upper midscale and upscale segments of the U.S. lodging industry, as these segments are currently defined by STR. We completed our IPO, a concurrent private placement of our common stock and our formation transactions on February 14, 2011, netting approximately \$240.8 million from the IPO and concurrent private placement, after underwriting discounts and the payment by us of offering-related costs.

We had no business activities prior to completion of the IPO and the formation transactions on February 14, 2011. As a result of the formation transactions, we acquired sole ownership of the 65 hotels in our predecessor's portfolio. In addition, we assumed the indebtedness of our predecessor and its subsidiaries. Our predecessor is considered the acquiror for accounting purposes and its financial statements became our financial statements upon completion of the formation transactions.

Since completion of our IPO, we have acquired six hotels with a total of 717 guestrooms located in four states for purchase prices aggregating approximately \$78.6 million. As of December 31, 2011, our portfolio consisted of 70 hotels with a total of 7,095 guestrooms located in 19 states. Substantially all of our assets are held by, and all of our operations are conducted through, Summit OP. Through a wholly owned subsidiary, Summit REIT is the sole general partner of Summit OP. As of December 31, 2011, Summit REIT owned all of Summit OP's issued and outstanding Series A Preferred Units. Furthermore, as of December 31, 2011, Summit REIT owned approximately 73.0% of Summit OP's issued and outstanding Common Units, including Common Units representing the sole general partnership interest. The other limited partners of Summit OP, including The Summit Group and the other former members of our predecessor, which include executive officers and directors of the Company, own the remaining Common Units as of December 31, 2011. Pursuant to the partnership agreement of Summit OP, through our General Partner, we have full, exclusive and complete responsibility and discretion in the management and control of Summit OP, including the ability to cause Summit OP to enter into certain major transactions including acquisitions, dispositions and refinancings, to make distributions to partners and to cause changes in Summit OP's business activities. On October 28, 2011, Summit REIT completed a follow-on public offering of 2,000,000 shares of its 9.25% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock, in which it raised net proceeds, after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering costs, of approximately \$47.9 million. The proceeds from this offering were used to pay down the principal balance of our revolving credit facility.

Recent Developments

On January 12, 2012, we purchased 90% of the ownership interests in the 150 unit Courtyard by Marriott hotel in Atlanta, Georgia for a purchase price of approximately \$28.5 million, or approximately \$190,000 per key. Upon expiration of tax credits related to the hotel in approximately four years, we will be able to take assignment of the remaining ownership of the hotel for approximately \$350,000. We expect to perform a minor renovation of approximately \$230,000, for a combined purchase price and renovation cost of approximately \$191,500 per key. We funded the purchase price of this acquisition through the assumption of a term loan with Empire Financial with a principal balance of \$19.0 million and the remainder with borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility. In connection with this acquisition, we have engaged Courtyard Management to manage the hotel pursuant to a hotel management agreement. We own our 90% ownership interest in the Courtyard by Marriott hotel through one of our TRSs.

On February 13, 2012, we closed on the consolidation and refinance of our four loans with ING Life Insurance and Annuity, which four loans collectively had an aggregate outstanding balance of approximately \$69.5 million as of December 31, 2011. The loans were consolidated into a single 7-year term loan with a principal balance of \$67.5 million, maturity date of March 1, 2032, amortized over 20 years and bearing an annual interest rate of 6.10%, collateralized by first mortgage liens on 16 properties containing 1,639 guestrooms. The lender has the right to call the loan so as to be payable in full at March 1, 2019, March 1, 2024 and March 1, 2029. If the loan is repaid prior to maturity, other than if called by the Lender, there is a prepayment penalty equal to the greater of (i) 1% of the principal being repaid and (ii) the yield maintenance premium. Pursuant to the consolidation, the mortgages on the Courtyard by Marriott, Missoula, Montana and the Courtyard by Marriott, Memphis, Tennessee were released and new mortgages were taken on the Country Inn & Suites and the Holiday Inn Express in Charleston, West Virginia.

On February 14, 2012, we closed on the refinance of our loan with Metabank, which had an outstanding balance as of the date of closing of approximately \$7.0 million. The loan matures February 1, 2017, is amortized over approximately 17 years, and bears an annual interest rate of 4.95%. The prepayment penalty is 3% in the first 2 years, 2% in year 3, and 1% in years 4 and 5. The loan is collateralized by first mortgages on two hotels containing 197 guestrooms.

Industry Trends and Outlook

Room-night demand in the U.S. lodging industry is correlated to macroeconomic trends. Key drivers of demand include growth in gross domestic product, or GDP, corporate profits, capital investments and employment. Following periods of recession, recovery of room-night demand for lodging historically has lagged improvements in the overall economy. However, in the economic recovery beginning in early 2010, room-night demand has led improvements in the overall economy.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP projects RevPAR growth increases in 2012 for upscale hotels, upper midscale hotels and midscale hotels of 7.4%, 5.8% and 4.5%, respectively. Although we expect that our hotels will realize meaningful RevPAR gains as the economy and lodging industry continue to improve, the risk exists that global economic conditions may cause the United States economic recovery to stall, which likely would adversely affect our growth expectations.

While we are guardedly optimistic about macro-economic conditions and their effect on demand for our guestrooms, we feel relatively confident that our near-term results will not be adversely affected by increased lodging supply in our markets. Growth in lodging supply typically lags growth in room-night demand. Key drivers of lodging supply include the availability and cost of capital, construction costs, local real estate market conditions and availability and pricing of existing properties. As a result of scarcity of financing, severe recession and declining operating

fundamentals during 2008 and 2009, many planned hotel developments were cancelled or postponed. According to Lodging Econometrics, approximately 339 new hotels with 38,287 guestrooms will open during 2012 and 370 hotels with 28,248 guestrooms will open in 2013. This compares to 5,883 new hotels with 785,547 guestrooms that opened during 2008.

If the general economy does not continue its recovery for any number of reasons, including, among others, an economic slowdown and other events outside of our control, such as terrorism or significantly increased gasoline prices, lodging industry fundamentals may not improve as expected. In the past, similar events have adversely affected the lodging industry and if these events recur, they may adversely affect the lodging industry in the future.

Operating Performance Metrics

We use a variety of operating and other information to evaluate the financial condition and operating performance of our business. These key indicators include financial information that is prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), as well as other financial information that is not prepared in accordance with GAAP. In addition, we use other information that may not be financial in nature, including statistical information and comparative data. We use this information to measure the performance of individual hotels, groups of hotels and/or our business as a whole. We periodically compare historical information to our internal budgets as well as industry-wide information. These key indicators include:

Occupancy;
ADR; and
RevPAR.

Occupancy, ADR and RevPAR are commonly used measures within the hotel industry to evaluate operating performance. RevPAR, which is calculated as the product of ADR and occupancy, is an important statistic for monitoring operating performance at the individual hotel level and across our business as a whole. We evaluate individual hotel RevPAR performance on an absolute basis with comparisons to budget and prior periods, as well as on a company-wide and regional basis. ADR and RevPAR include only room revenue. Room revenue depends on demand, as measured by occupancy, pricing, as measured by ADR, and our available supply of hotel rooms. Our ADR, occupancy and RevPAR performance may be affected by macroeconomic factors such as regional and local employment growth, personal income and corporate earnings, office vacancy rates and business relocation decisions, airport and other business and leisure travel, new hotel construction and the pricing strategies of competitors. In addition, our ADR, occupancy and RevPAR performance is dependent on the continued success of our franchisors and their brands.

Seasoned and Unseasoned Hotel Information for our Initial Portfolio

As we disclosed in the prospectus for the IPO, the hotels we acquired from our predecessor in our formation transactions consisted of 65 hotels, 46 of which we considered at the time of our IPO to be “seasoned” and 19 of which we considered at the time of our IPO to be “unseasoned” hotels. At the time of our IPO, we designated hotels as “seasoned” based on their construction or acquisition date and we designated hotels as “unseasoned” if they had been built after January 1, 2007 or experienced a brand conversion since January 1, 2008. The following table sets forth various statistical and operating information for the 65 hotels in our initial portfolio at the time of our IPO based on the seasoned and unseasoned designation on a total portfolio basis, and excluding the 11 former Choice hotels, and the equivalent information and designations for just the 11 former Choice hotels (dollars in thousands, except ADR and RevPAR):

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | | | | Percentage Change | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------------|--------|---|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | | | | |
| Initial Portfolio (65 hotels) | | | | | | | |
| Average number of rooms | 6,533 | | 6,533 | | - | | |
| Revenue | \$ | 138,948 | \$ | 135,635 | 2.4 | % | |
| Hotel Operating Expense | \$ | 99,896 | \$ | 95,902 | 4.2 | % | |
| Occupancy | | 64.0 | % | 63.7 | % | 0.5 | % |
| ADR | \$ | 89.38 | \$ | 87.59 | 2.0 | % | |
| RevPAR | \$ | 57.23 | \$ | 55.80 | 2.6 | % | |
| Seasoned (46 hotels) | | | | | | | |
| Occupancy | | 62.4 | % | 64.1 | % | (2.7) | % |
| ADR | \$ | 88.52 | \$ | 87.75 | 0.9 | % | |
| RevPAR | \$ | 55.28 | \$ | 56.22 | (1.7) | % | |
| Seasoned, excluding seven former Choice hotels (39 hotels) | | | | | | | |
| Occupancy | | 64.7 | % | 64.2 | % | 0.8 | % |
| ADR | \$ | 89.94 | \$ | 89.01 | 1.1 | % | |
| RevPAR | \$ | 58.23 | \$ | 57.17 | 1.9 | % | |
| Unseasoned (19 hotels) | | | | | | | |
| Occupancy | | 66.8 | % | 63.1 | % | 5.9 | % |
| ADR | \$ | 90.79 | \$ | 87.29 | 4.0 | % | |
| RevPAR | \$ | 60.68 | \$ | 55.06 | 10.2 | % | |
| Unseasoned, excluding four former Choice hotels (15 hotels) | | | | | | | |
| Occupancy | | 68.0 | % | 61.7 | % | 10.2 | % |
| ADR | \$ | 93.17 | \$ | 90.13 | 3.4 | % | |
| RevPAR | \$ | 63.39 | \$ | 55.57 | 14.1 | % | |
| Former Choice Hotels (11 hotels) | | | | | | | |
| Occupancy | | 53.9 | % | 65.7 | % | (18.0) | % |
| ADR | \$ | 77.88 | \$ | 77.99 | (0.1) | % | |
| RevPAR | \$ | 41.95 | \$ | 51.24 | (18.1) | % | |

As shown in the table above, RevPAR for our seasoned hotels, excluding the seven former Choice hotels, increased by 1.9% for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. RevPAR for our unseasoned hotels, excluding the four former Choice hotels, increased 14.1% for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. RevPAR for the 65 hotels in our initial portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2011 was negatively affected by a substantial decrease in RevPAR for the former Choice hotels, driven primarily by substantial decreases in occupancy rates at these hotels. For the year ended December 31, 2011, RevPAR for the 11 former Choice hotels decreased 18.1% as compared to 2010. Decreases in RevPAR for the former Choice hotels primarily resulted from disruptions associated with termination of the franchises and loss of access to national reservations systems pending effectiveness of new franchises.

We believe our 15 unseasoned hotels, excluding the four former Choice hotels, have continued to stabilize since their construction or brand conversion during the dramatic economic slowdown beginning in 2008. Most of these hotels are newer, larger and are located in larger markets than those of our seasoned hotels and operate under premium franchise brands. As a result, we believe the 15 unseasoned hotels, excluding the four former Choice hotels, are particularly well-positioned to generate RevPAR growth for our portfolio as economic conditions improve. We recognized 14.1% growth in RevPAR for the 15 unseasoned hotels, excluding the four former Choice hotels, during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010.

Because we believe the seasoned/unseasoned designation for the 65 hotels in our initial portfolio is becoming less meaningful over time, the discussion that follows in “-Results of Operations” below is based on the operating results of our total portfolio (70 hotels as of December 31, 2011 and 65 hotels as of December 31, 2010) and our same-store portfolio, which consists of the 65 hotels in our initial portfolio for the periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. We anticipate that we will cease using these designations in future reports.

Revenues and Expenses

Our revenue is derived from hotel operations and consists of room revenue and other hotel operations revenue. As a result of our focus on select-service hotels in the upper midscale and upscale segments of the U.S. lodging industry, substantially all of our revenue is room revenue generated from sales of hotel rooms. We also generate, to a much lesser extent, other hotel operations revenue, which consists of ancillary revenue related to meeting rooms and other guest services provided at our hotels.

Our hotel operating expenses consist primarily of expenses incurred in the day-to-day operation of our hotels. Many of our expenses are fixed, such as essential hotel staff, real estate taxes, insurance, depreciation and certain types of franchise fees, and these expenses do not decrease even if the revenue at our hotels decreases. As reclassified, our hotel operating expenses consist of room expenses (wages, payroll taxes and benefits, linens, cleaning and guestroom supplies and complimentary breakfast), other direct expenses (office supplies, utilities, telephone, advertising and bad debts), other indirect expenses (real and personal property taxes, insurance, travel agent and credit card commissions, hotel management fees and franchise fees), and other expenses (ground rent and other items of miscellaneous expense).

Results of Operations of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Summit Hotel OP, LP

Prior to February 14, 2011, the date we completed our IPO, concurrent private placement and formation transactions, neither Summit REIT nor Summit OP had any operations other than the issuance of 1,000 shares of common stock of Summit REIT to our Executive Chairman in connection with Summit REIT’s formation and initial capitalization and activity in connection with the IPO and the formation transactions. We have therefore set forth a discussion comparing the combined operating results of our operations for the period from February 14, 2011 through December 31, 2011, and the historical results of operations for the period from January 1, 2011 through February 13, 2011 of our predecessor, to the historical results of our predecessor for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The historical results of operations presented below should be reviewed in conjunction with the notes to the condensed consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2011 to the Year Ended December 31, 2010

Income from Operations. Income from operations decreased by approximately \$137,000 to approximately \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from approximately \$5.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following tables sets forth key operating metrics for our total portfolio and our same-store portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands, except ADR and RevPAR):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|--|
| | Total Revenue | Total Hotel Operating Expenses | Occupancy | ADR | RevPAR | |
| Total Portfolio (70 hotels) | \$ 148,882 | \$ 106,758 | 64.5 % | \$ 90.03 | \$ 58.02 | |
| Same Store Portfolio (65 hotels) | \$ 138,948 | \$ 99,896 | 64.0 % | \$ 89.38 | \$ 57.23 | |

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|--|
| | Total Revenue | Total Hotel Operating Expenses | Occupancy | ADR | RevPAR | |
| Total/Same Store Portfolio (65 hotels) | \$ 135,635 | \$ 95,902 | 63.7 % | \$ 87.59 | \$ 55.80 | |

| | Percentage Change | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------|---|-----|---|--------|---|
| | Total Revenue | | Total Hotel Operating Expenses | | Occupancy | | ADR | | RevPAR | |
| Total Portfolio (70 and 65 hotels) | 9.8 | % | 11.3 | % | 1.5 | % | 2.8 | % | 4.0 | % |
| Same Store Portfolio (65 hotels) | 2.4 | % | 4.2 | % | 0.5 | % | 2.0 | % | 2.6 | % |

The information in the tables above for our total portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2011 includes revenues and expenses from the five hotels we acquired during the year from the date of acquisition of the hotel through December 31, 2011, and operating information (occupancy, ADR, and RevPAR) for the hotels for the period in which they were owned by us. Accordingly, the information does not reflect a full twelve months of operations for the hotels acquired in 2011.

Revenue. Total revenue increased by \$13.2 million, or 9.8%, to \$148.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$135.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to improving economic conditions affecting our markets and leading to continued stabilization of revenue at our unseasoned hotels, and the acquisition of five hotels in the second and third quarters of 2011. The increase in revenues occurred despite the significant 18.1% decrease in RevPAR at our former Choice hotels during the same period as a result of continued disruptions at these hotels associated with termination of the franchises and the loss of access to national reservations systems pending effectiveness of new franchises. In addition, of the ten hotels operating under new franchise brands, six hotels (containing an aggregate of 441 guestrooms) are operating under lesser-known franchise brands, which

provide lower levels of marketing support and guest loyalty programs that may not be as strong as those of the larger brands. As a result, occupancy, ADR, RevPAR and revenues for these hotels have been adversely affected. Our five hotels acquired during the second and third quarters of 2011 contributed \$9.9 million to our revenues for the period each was owned by us during 2011, and generated occupancy of 72.0%, ADR of \$100.55, and RevPAR of \$72.43 during the year ended December 31, 2011 while under our ownership.

On a same-store basis, revenue increased by \$3.3 million, or 2.4%, to \$138.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$135.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in same-store revenue resulted from an increase in both occupancy and ADR, resulting in a 2.6% increase in same-store RevPAR. Same-store RevPAR increased to \$57.23 for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$55.80 for the prior period as a result of improving economic conditions, which caused same-store occupancy to increase from 63.7% for the year ended December 31, 2010 to 64.0% for the year ended December 31, 2011. ADR for the same-store hotel portfolio increased from \$55.80 for the year ended December 31, 2010 to \$57.23 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increases in same-store RevPAR, occupancy and ADR occurred despite decreases in these operating metrics as a result of disruptions associated with termination of the franchise licenses of the former Choice hotels. For the 11 former Choice hotels, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, occupancy declined to 53.9% from 65.7% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, ADR decreased to \$77.88 from \$77.99 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. As a result, RevPAR for the 11 former Choice hotels declined from \$51.24 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 to \$41.95 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

Operating Expenses. The 11.3% increase in total hotel operating expenses for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 over the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 was largely related to the increase in revenue and the acquisition of five hotels during the second and third quarters of 2011. In addition, the transition of management of our 65 initial hotels to Interstate resulted in an increase in expenses as a percentage of revenue in our hotels. We amended our management agreement with Interstate to address the operational challenges experienced at the hotels during the second quarter 2011, which resulted in a one-time \$565,000 reduction in other indirect hotel operating expenses that would have otherwise been incurred under the management agreement during the period. The amendment to the hotel management agreement provided Interstate the opportunity to earn the \$565,000 as an additional incentive fee in future periods, which they earned in full during the fourth quarter of 2011. The transition in hotel management resulted in approximately \$1.9 million, or a 58% increase, in additional expenses incurred in the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 that were not incurred in the twelve months ended December 31, 2010.

We have also incurred expenses related to the franchise conversions of the former Choice hotels and renovation expenses related to refranchising such hotels of approximately \$327,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. Furthermore, we incurred additional royalty fees as a result of franchisor negotiations related to the IPO of approximately \$265,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. These two costs equate to an additional 0.4% increase in hotel operating expenses during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

The following table details our hotel operating expenses for our same-store portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Same-Store Portfolio Expenses (65 hotels): | | |
| Rooms expense | \$42,065 | \$41,129 |
| Other direct expense | 19,144 | 17,692 |
| Other indirect expense | 38,050 | 36,466 |
| Other expense | 637 | 615 |
| Total Hotel Operating Expenses | \$99,896 | \$95,902 |

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$2.6 million, or 9.4%, to \$29.8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, primarily due to the write-off of unamortized capitalized costs related to re-franchising the former Choice hotels, refinancing of loans, renovations of existing hotels, and the additional depreciation associated with newly acquired hotels. The \$29.8 million includes \$26.7 million of fixed asset depreciation, \$2.2 million of financing costs amortization, and \$0.9 million of franchise fees amortization. The \$27.3 million of depreciation and amortization expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 includes \$25.3 million of fixed asset depreciation, \$1.8 million of financing costs amortization, and \$0.2 million of franchise fees amortization.

Corporate General and Administrative. Corporate general and administrative expenses of approximately \$6.6 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 are substantially new expenses following the IPO, not previously incurred by our predecessor prior to the IPO. Included in this amount are approximately \$1.0 million of legal expenses related to the Choice litigation.

Income Tax Benefit. The income tax benefit of \$2.3 million was a result of our TRS's net operating loss of \$5.5 million. The net operating loss was caused primarily by the disruption at 11 of our hotels due to franchise termination and renovations at our hotels.

Other Income/Expense. The \$8.5 million decrease in interest expense was the result of repayment of \$223.7 million of indebtedness with proceeds of the IPO and concurrent private placement.

Cash Flows. Net cash provided by operating activities increased approximately \$13.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 compared to the prior-year period largely due to a decline in prepaid expenses by our predecessor related to IPO expenses, increased expense accruals due to different payable timing practices of our predecessor and Interstate, release of restricted cash and a change in net income due to a decrease in interest expense of \$8.5 million. The approximately \$80.3 million increase in net cash used in investing activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 compared to the prior-year period was the result of \$50.0 million in land and hotel acquisitions in the year ended December 31, 2011, and \$33.5 million of purchases of other property and equipment. The approximately \$69.4 million increase in net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the prior-year period was primarily due to our receipt of the net proceeds from our IPO and concurrent private placement, partially offset by repayment of loan obligations and distributions paid by our predecessor to its members prior to our IPO, the receipt of net proceeds from our preferred stock offering, as well as the issuance of approximately \$65.4 million of new debt related to the senior secured credit facility and the Goldman Sachs debt, both described under "-Outstanding Indebtedness" below. Immediately prior to completion of the formation transactions and in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, during February 2011, our predecessor paid accrued and unpaid priority returns on its Class A and Class A-1 membership interests in the amount of approximately \$8.3 million. Our predecessor paid approximately \$535,000 of priority returns during the first quarter of 2010. Effective upon the closing of the Merger, no additional payments on priority returns to former members of our predecessor will be made.

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2010 to the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Income from Operations. Income from operations increased by approximately \$5.1 million to approximately \$5.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from approximately \$500,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was primarily the result of a \$14.4 million increase in revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following tables sets forth key operating metrics for our total portfolio and our same-store portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands, except ADR and RevPAR):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | Total Revenue | Total Hotel Operating Expenses | Occupancy | ADR | RevPAR |
| Total Portfolio (65 hotels) | \$ 135,635 | \$ 95,902 | 63.7 % | \$ 87.59 | \$ 55.80 |
| Same Store Portfolio (60 hotels)(1) | \$ 122,344 | \$ 86,088 | 64.1 % | \$ 88.25 | \$ 56.53 |

(1) Same Store Portfolio reflects the five new hotels opened by our predecessor during 2009.

| | Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | Total Revenue | Total Hotel Operating Expenses | Occupancy | ADR | RevPAR |
| Total Portfolio (65 hotels) | \$ 121,200 | \$ 87,838 | 61.9 % | \$ 87.40 | \$ 54.12 |
| Same Store Portfolio (60 hotels)(1)(2) | \$ 118,791 | \$ 85,266 | 62.8 % | \$ 87.59 | \$ 54.97 |

(1) Same Store Portfolio reflects the five new hotels opened by our predecessor during 2009.

(2) Excludes hotels that were reclassified to discontinued operations during 2009.

| | Percentage Change | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------|---|-----|---|--------|---|
| | Total Revenue | | Total Hotel Operating Expenses | | Occupancy | | ADR | | RevPAR | |
| Total Portfolio (65 hotels) | 11.9 | % | 9.2 | % | 2.9 | % | 0.2 | % | 3.1 | % |
| Same Store Portfolio (60 hotels) | 3.0 | % | 1.0 | % | 2.1 | % | 0.8 | % | 2.8 | % |

Revenue. Total revenue increased by \$14.4 million, or 11.9%, to \$135.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$121.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to improving economic conditions affecting our markets and leading to continued stabilization of revenue at our unseasoned hotels plus the opening of five new hotels during 2009.

On a same-store basis, revenue increased by \$3.5 million, or 3.0%, to \$122.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$118.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in same-store revenue resulted from an increase in both occupancy and ADR, resulting in a 2.8% increase in same-store RevPAR. Same-store RevPAR increased to \$56.53 for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$54.97 for the prior period as a result of improving economic conditions, which caused higher occupancy at our hotels and resulted in a 2.1% increase and a 0.8% increase in ADR for the same-store hotel portfolio.

Operating Expenses. Total hotel operating expenses increased \$8.1 million, or 9.2%, to \$95.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$87.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was directly related to the \$14.4 million increase in sales revenue as expenses actually decreased as a percentage of revenue to only 70.7% of revenue for 2010 compared to 72.5% of revenue for 2009. Most of this decrease was related to repairs and maintenance expenses decreasing by \$1.5 million, to \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to fewer renovations being performed during 2010 than in 2009 at our hotels.

The following table details our hotel operating expenses for our same-store portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Same-Store Portfolio Expenses (60 hotels): | | |
| Rooms expense | \$36,706 | \$35,707 |
| Other direct expense | 15,923 | 17,492 |
| Other indirect expense | 33,099 | 31,821 |
| Other expense | 360 | 246 |
| Total Hotel Operating Expenses | \$86,088 | \$85,266 |

Depreciation and Amortization. On a total portfolio basis, depreciation and amortization expense from continuing operations increased by \$3.3 million, or 13.7%, to \$27.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$24.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the five hotels opened in 2009 and costs incurred related to the maturity date extension of our loan with Fortress Credit Corp. The \$27.3 million of depreciation and amortization expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 included \$25.3 million of fixed asset depreciation, \$1.8 million of financing costs amortization, and \$0.2 million of franchise fees amortization. The \$24.1 million of depreciation and amortization expenses for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 included \$21.9 million of fixed asset depreciation, \$2.0 million of financing costs amortization, and \$0.2 million of franchise fees amortization.

Impairment Losses. During the year ended December 31, 2010, our predecessor determined that four parcels of undeveloped land were impaired due to the termination of sales contracts for the sale of the land parcels and management's resulting determination that their carrying amounts were no longer realizable. As a result, our predecessor recorded a \$6.5 million non-cash impairment charge in the fourth quarter of 2010. Our predecessor determined that the fair market value of these land parcels was \$20.3 million as of December 31, 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2009, our predecessor determined that six parcels of undeveloped land were impaired due to the fact that their aggregate historical carrying value exceeded their aggregate fair value. This impairment was the result of our predecessor's decision to stop development projects and attempt to sell the land. As a result, our predecessor recorded a \$6.3 million non-cash impairment charge in the fourth quarter of 2009. Also in 2009, our predecessor determined that the Courtyard by Marriott located in Memphis, Tennessee was impaired due to the fact that its historical carrying value was higher than the hotel's fair value. This determination was made based on economic distress on this particular hotel and market. Accordingly, our predecessor recorded a \$1.2 million noncash impairment charge in 2009.

Cash Flows. Net cash provided by operating activities decreased approximately \$1.3 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to the prior-year period largely due to an increase in prepaid expenses related to IPO expenses. The approximately \$18.5 million decrease in net cash used in investing activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to the prior-year period was the result of no acquisitions of hotels in the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The approximately \$7.5 million increase in net cash used in financing activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to the prior-year period was primarily due to an increase in principal payments on debt and no offering proceeds received during 2010 compared to 2009, despite a reduction in distributions to members in 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our hotel properties, including recurring maintenance and capital expenditures necessary to maintain our hotel properties in accordance with brand standards, capital expenditures to improve our hotel properties, acquisitions, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness and distributions to our stockholders.

Acquisitions

On January 12, 2012, we purchased the 150 unit Courtyard by Marriott hotel in Atlanta, Georgia for a purchase price of approximately \$28.5 million, or approximately \$190,000 per key. We expect to perform a minor renovation of approximately \$230,000, for a combined purchase price and renovation cost of approximately \$191,500 per key. We funded the purchase price of this acquisition through the assumption of a term loan with Empire Financial with a principal balance of \$19.0 million, and funded the remainder of the purchase price with borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility. In connection with this acquisition, we have engaged Courtyard Management to manage the hotel pursuant to a hotel management agreement.

We anticipate that we will acquire two hotels located in Birmingham, Alabama, described below, during the first quarter in 2012.

We have entered into an agreement to purchase a 95-room Hilton Garden Inn hotel in Birmingham, Alabama. The purchase price is \$8.625 million, and closing is expected to occur during the first quarter of 2012. We anticipate performing approximately \$1 million of renovations to the hotel for a combined purchase and renovation cost of approximately \$101,300 per key. We will fund the purchase price with a draw on our secured revolving credit facility. The hotel will be managed by HP Hotels.

We have entered into an agreement to purchase a 130-room Hilton Garden Inn hotel in Birmingham, Alabama. The purchase price is \$11.5 million, and closing is expected to occur during the first quarter of 2012. We anticipate performing approximately \$400,000 of renovations to the hotel for a combined purchase and renovation cost of approximately \$92,000 per key. We will fund the purchase price with a draw on our secured revolving credit facility. The hotel will be managed by HP Hotels.

Acquisition of one or both of these hotels, or other hotels identified by us, may occur if all conditions to closing are satisfied, and if we have sufficient funds to complete such purchases, considering other short- and long-term liquidity requirements, including planned capital expenditures at our existing hotels. If one or more hotels is purchased, we expect to fund any purchases with working capital, funds available under the senior secured revolving credit facility, assumption of existing mortgage debt or additional mortgage loans. The conditions to closing may not be satisfied, and we may not have sufficient funds to make such purchases, and thus, we cannot assure you that we will acquire any properties.

Short-Term Liquidity Requirements

We expect to satisfy our short-term liquidity requirements, including capital expenditures, scheduled debt payments and funding the cash portion of the purchase price of hotel properties under contract, if acquired, with working capital, cash provided by operations, and short-term borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility. In addition, we may fund the purchase price of hotel acquisitions and cost of required capital improvements by assuming existing mortgage debt, issuing securities (including partnership units issued by Summit OP), or incurring other mortgage debt. Further, we may seek to raise capital through public or private offerings of our equity or debt securities. However, certain factors may have a material adverse effect on our ability to access these capital sources, including our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered hotel properties, borrowing restrictions imposed by lenders and market conditions. We will continue to analyze which source of capital is most advantageous to us at any particular point in time, but financing may not be consistently available to us on terms that are attractive, or at all. We believe that our working capital, cash provided by operations, borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility, and other sources of funds available to us will be sufficient to meet our ongoing short-term liquidity requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Since December 31, 2011, we have refinanced \$76.6 million of our existing debt that would otherwise have matured or been callable during 2012, leaving approximately \$28.5 million of debt (approximately 17.1% of our total debt outstanding on December 31, 2011) that matures prior to December 31, 2013. It may be difficult to refinance such loans on terms acceptable to us, or at all, and we may not have sufficient borrowing capacity on our revolving credit facility to repay the maturing debt using draws on that facility for amounts that we are unable to refinance. Although we believe that we will be able to refinance these loans or will have the capacity to repay them, if necessary, using draws under our revolving credit facility, there can be no assurance that our revolving credit facility will be available to repay such maturing debt, as draws under our credit facility are subject to certain financial covenants.

We anticipate making renovations and other non-recurring capital expenditures with respect to our hotel properties, including approximately \$20.7 million in capital expenditures we have budgeted to be spent during 2012, pursuant to property improvement plans required by our franchisors.

Long-Term Liquidity Requirements

Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of the costs of acquiring additional hotel properties, renovations and other non-recurring capital expenditures that need to be made periodically with respect to our hotel properties, and scheduled debt payments, including maturing loans. We will seek to satisfy these long-term liquidity requirements through various sources of capital, including working capital, cash provided by operations, long-term hotel mortgage indebtedness and other borrowings, including borrowings under our secured credit facility. In addition, we may seek to raise capital through public or private offerings of our equity or debt securities. However, certain factors may have a material adverse effect on our ability to access these capital sources, including our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered hotel properties, borrowing restrictions imposed by lenders and market conditions. We will continue to analyze which source of capital is most advantageous to us at any particular point in time, but financing may not be consistently available to us on terms that are attractive, or at all.

To satisfy the requirements for qualification as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gain. Therefore, we will need to raise additional capital in order to grow our business and invest in additional hotel properties. However, there is no assurance that we will be able to borrow funds or raise additional capital on terms acceptable to us, if at all. We anticipate that debt we incur in the future may include, as does our current debt, restrictions (including lockbox and cash management provisions) that under certain circumstances may limit or prohibit Summit OP and its subsidiaries from making distributions or paying dividends, repaying loans or transferring assets.

Outstanding Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$217.1 million in outstanding indebtedness secured by mortgages on 62 hotels and eight hotels unencumbered by mortgage debt, including four hotels (containing 432 guestrooms) operating under brands owned by Marriott, Hilton, IHG and Hyatt that are available to be used as collateral for potential future loans. Our revolving credit facility is available to fund future acquisitions, property redevelopments and working capital requirements (including the repayment of debt). As of December 31, 2011, the maximum amount of borrowing permitted by the terms of our revolving credit facility is approximately \$92.3 million. Of this maximum amount, approximately \$62.9 million is available for us to borrow as of February 27, 2012.

We maintain a prudent capital structure and, while the ratio will vary from time to time, we generally intend to limit our ratio of indebtedness to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) to no more than six to one. For purposes of calculating this ratio we exclude preferred stock from indebtedness. During 2011 we financed our long-term growth with common and preferred equity issuances and debt financing having staggered maturities, and intend to continue to do so in the future. Our debt includes, and may include in the future, mortgage debt secured by hotels and unsecured debt.

\$125 Million Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On April 29, 2011, Summit OP, as borrower, and Summit REIT, as guarantor, entered into a \$100.0 million, three-year (with an option to extend for one additional year if we meet certain requirements) senior secured revolving credit facility with Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as administrative agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as lead arranger, and a syndicate of lenders including Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, Royal Bank of Canada, KeyBank National Association and Regions Bank. On May 13, 2011, Summit OP entered into an agreement with Deutsche Bank and U.S. Bank National Association that increased the maximum aggregate amount of the credit facility from \$100.0 million to \$125.0 million. On August 15, 2011, we entered into a First Letter Amendment to the credit facility. On October 21, 2011, we entered into a Second Letter Amendment and Limited Waiver to the credit facility. The terms of the credit facility, as amended, are described in the summary below.

Outstanding borrowings on the revolving credit facility are limited to the least of (1) \$125.0 million, (2) 55% of the aggregate appraised value of the borrowing base assets and (3) the aggregate adjusted net operating income of the borrowing base assets securing the facility divided by 150% of the monthly factor shown on a standard level constant payment table for a fully amortizing 25-year loan based on an assumed interest rate equal to the greatest of (x) the ten-year U.S. Treasury rate plus 3.5%, (y) 7.00% and (z) the weighted-average interest rate then applicable to advances outstanding under the revolving credit facility. The availability of the credit facility is also subject to a borrowing base having no fewer than 15 properties. As of February 27, 2012, 25 hotel properties are included in the borrowing base and the maximum amount of borrowing permitted by the terms of the credit facility is approximately \$92.3 million. Of this maximum amount, approximately \$62.9 million is available for us to borrow as of February 27, 2012.

We will pay interest on the periodic advances under the \$125.0 million revolving credit facility at varying rates, based upon, at our option, either (i) 1-, 2-, 3- or 6-month LIBOR, subject to a floor of 0.50%, plus the applicable LIBOR margin or (ii) the applicable base rate, which is the greatest of the administrative agent's prime rate, 0.50% plus the federal funds effective rate, and 1-month LIBOR (incorporating the floor of 0.50%) plus 1.00%, plus the applicable margin for base rate loans. The applicable LIBOR and base rate margin depends upon the ratio of our outstanding consolidated total indebtedness to EBITDA. The LIBOR margin ranges from 2.50% to 3.50%, and the base rate margin ranges from 1.50% to 2.50%.

The credit facility is secured primarily by a first priority mortgage lien on each borrowing base asset and a first priority pledge of our equity interests in the subsidiaries that hold the borrowing base assets, and Summit Hotel TRS II, LLC, which we formed in connection with the credit facility to wholly own the TRS lessees that lease each of the borrowing base assets. The borrowing base assets are as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| SpringHill Suites, Little Rock, AR | Holiday Inn Express, Emporia, KS |
| Fairfield Inn, Denver, CO | AmericInn, Salina, KS |
| Hampton Inn, Fort Collins, CO | Fairfield Inn, Salina, KS |
| Staybridge Suites, Glendale, CO | Fairfield Inn, Baton Rouge, LA |
| AmericInn, Golden, CO | SpringHill Suites, Baton Rouge, LA |
| Fairfield Inn, Golden, CO | TownePlace Suites, Baton Rouge, LA |
| Hampton Inn, Boise, ID | Homewood Suites, Ridgeland, MS |
| AmericInn, Twin Falls, ID | Hampton Inn, Medford, OR |
| Hampton Inn, Twin Falls, ID | SpringHill Suites, Nashville, TN |
| Residence Inn, Fort Wayne, IN | Hampton Inn, Provo, UT |
| Hilton Garden Inn, Duluth, GA | Fairfield Inn, Bellevue, WA |
| Holiday Inn, Duluth, GA | Fairfield Inn, Spokane, WA |
| Fairfield Inn, Emporia, KS | |

Prior to April 29, 2013, we may elect to increase the amount of the credit facility by up to an additional \$75.0 million, increasing the maximum aggregate amount of the credit facility to \$200.0 million, subject to the identification of a lender or lenders willing to make available the additional amounts, including new lenders acceptable to us and the administrative agent, and subject to adding additional properties to the borrowing base.

Financial and Other Covenants. We are required to comply with a series of financial and other covenants in order to borrow under the senior secured revolving credit facility. The material financial covenants, tested quarterly, include the following:

a maximum ratio of consolidated indebtedness (as defined in the loan documentation) to consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the loan documentation) ranging from 6.75:1.00 to 5.75:1.00;

a minimum ratio of adjusted consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the loan documentation) to consolidated fixed charges (as defined in the loan documentation) ranging from 1.40:1.00 to 1.50:1.00;

a minimum consolidated tangible net worth (as defined in the loan documentation) of not less than \$228,728,000 plus 80% of the net proceeds of subsequent common equity issuances; and

a maximum dividend payout ratio of 95% of FFO (as defined in the loan documentation) or an amount necessary to maintain REIT tax status and avoid corporate income and excise taxes.

As of February 27, 2012, we have \$29.4 million outstanding under the credit facility, and a total remaining availability of \$62.9 million.

Other Outstanding Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$217.1 million in outstanding indebtedness, including approximately \$11.4 million outstanding under our revolving credit facility, and eight hotels unencumbered by mortgage debt. As of February 27, 2012, we have approximately \$252.9 million in outstanding indebtedness, including approximately \$29.4 million outstanding under our revolving credit facility, and eight hotels unencumbered by mortgage debt, including four hotels with 432 rooms operating under brands owned by Marriott, Hilton, IHG or Hyatt, available as collateral for potential future loans. We intend to secure or assume term loan financing or use the secured credit facility, together with other sources of financing, to fund future acquisitions. We may not succeed in obtaining new financing on favorable terms or at all and we cannot predict the size or terms of the financing if we are able to obtain it. Our failure to obtain new financing could adversely affect our ability to grow our business.

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth our mortgage debt obligations that were outstanding as of December 31, 2011:

| Lender | Collateral | Outstanding Principal Balance as of December 31, 2011 | Interest Rate as of December 31, 2011(1) | Amortization (years) | Maturity Date |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------|---------------|
| MetaBank | Holiday Inn, Boise, ID SpringHill Suites by Marriott, Lithia Springs, GA | \$ 7,058 | Prime rate, subject to a floor of 5.00% | 20 | 03/01/12(2) |
| ING Investment Management(3) | Fairfield Inn & Suites by Marriott, Germantown, TN Residence Inn by Marriott, Germantown, TN Holiday Inn Express, Boise, ID Courtyard by Marriott, Memphis, TN(3) Hampton Inn & Suites, El Paso, TX Hampton Inn, Fort Smith, AR | \$ 27,646 | 5.60% (3) | 20 | 04/01/12(3) |
| Chambers Bank | Aspen Hotel & Suites, Fort Smith, AR | \$ 1,507 | 6.50% | 20 | 06/24/12 |
| Bank of the Ozarks(4) | Hyatt Place, Portland, OR | \$ 6,334 | 90-day LIBOR + 4.00%, subject to a floor of 6.75% | 25 | 06/29/12(4) |
| ING Investment Management(3) | Hilton Garden Inn, Ft. Collins, CO | \$ 7,655 | 6.34% (3) | 20 | 07/01/12(3) |
| ING Investment Management(3) | Springhill Suites, Flagstaff, AZ Holiday Inn Express, Sandy, UT Fairfield Inn by Marriott, Lewisville, TX Hampton Inn, Denver, CO Holiday Inn Express, Vernon Hills, IL | \$ 28,158 | 6.10% (3) | 20 | 07/01/12(3) |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------|--|----|-------------|
| | Hampton Inn, Fort Wayne, IN Courtyard by Marriott, Missoula, MT(3) Staybridge Suites, Ridgeland, MS | | | | |
| BNC National Bank(7) | Hampton Inn & Suites, Fort Worth, TX | \$ 5,519 | 5.01% | 20 | 11/01/13 |
| First National Bank of Omaha(5) | Courtyard by Marriott, Germantown, TN Courtyard by Marriott, Jackson, MS Hyatt Place, Atlanta, GA | \$ 23,688 | 90-day LIBOR + 4.00%, subject to a floor of 5.25% | 20 | 07/01/13 |
| ING Investment Management(3) | Residence Inn by Marriott, Ridgeland, MS | \$ 6,047 | 6.61% (3) | 20 | 11/01/28(3) |
| General Electric Capital Corp.(8) | Country Inn & Suites, San Antonio, TX | \$ 10,860 | 90-day LIBOR +3.50% | 25 | 04/01/14 |
| National Western Life Insurance(6) | Courtyard by Marriott, Scottsdale, AZ SpringHill Suites by Marriott, Scottsdale, AZ | \$ 13,197 | 8.00% | 17 | 01/01/15 |
| BNC National Bank(7) | Holiday Inn Express & Suites, Twin Falls, ID | \$ 5,700 | 4.81% | 20 | 04/01/16 |
| Goldman Sachs | SpringHill Suites, Bloomington, MN, Hampton Inn & Suites, Bloomington, MN | \$ 14,644 | 5.67% | 25 | 07/06/16 |
| Compass Bank | Courtyard by Marriott, Flagstaff, AZ | \$ 16,083 | Prime rate - 0.25%, subject to a floor of 4.50% | 20 | 05/17/18 |
| General Electric Capital Corp.(8) | SpringHill Suites by Marriott, Denver, CO | \$ 8,315 | 90-day LIBOR + 3.50% | 20 | 04/01/18 |
| General Electric Capital Corp.(8) | Aspen Suites, Baton Rouge, LA | \$ 10,709 | 90-day LIBOR + 3.50% | 25 | 03/01/19 |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------|---|-----|----------|
| Bank of the Cascades | Residence Inn by Marriott, Portland, OR | \$ 12,557 | 4.66%(9) | 25 | 09/30/21 |
| Secured Revolving Credit Facility | See "--\$125 Million Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility" above | \$ 11,426 | See "--\$125 Million Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility" above | N/A | 04/29/14 |
| Total | | \$ 217,104 | | | |

-
- (1) As of December 31, 2011, the Prime rate was 3.25% and 90-day LIBOR was 0.581%.
 - (2) On February 14, 2012, we refinanced this loan. It now matures February 1, 2017, is amortized over approximately 17 years and bears an annual interest rate of 4.95%. There is a prepayment penalty of 3% if the loan is paid off in the first two years, 2% in year 3 and 1% in years 4 and 5. The loan is collateralized by a first mortgage lien on two hotels containing 197 rooms.
 - (3) On February 13, 2012, we closed on the consolidation and refinance of our four loans with ING Life Insurance and Annuity, which four loans collectively had an aggregate outstanding balance of approximately \$69.5 million as of December 31, 2011. The loans were consolidated into a single 7-year term loan with a principal balance of \$67.5 million, maturity date of March 1, 2032, amortized over 20 years and bearing an annual interest rate of 6.10%, collateralized by first mortgage liens on 16 properties containing 1,639 guestrooms. The lender has the right to call the loan so as to be payable in full at March 1, 2019, March 1, 2024 or March 1, 2029. If the loan is repaid prior to maturity, other than if called by the lender, there is a prepayment penalty equal to the greater of (i) 1% of the principal being repaid and (ii) the yield maintenance premium. Pursuant to the consolidation, the mortgages on the Courtyard by Marriott, Missoula, MT and the Courtyard by Marriott, Memphis, TN were released and new mortgages were taken on the Country Inn & Suites and the Holiday Inn Express in Charleston, West Virginia. The yield maintenance premium under the new ING loan is calculated as follows: (A) if the entire amount of the loan is being prepaid, the yield maintenance premium is equal to the sum of (i) the present value of the scheduled monthly installments from the date of prepayment to the maturity date, and (ii) the present value of the amount of principal and interest due on the maturity date (assuming all scheduled monthly installments due prior to the maturity date were made when due), less (iii) the outstanding principal balance as of the date of prepayment; and (B) if only a portion of the loan is being prepaid, the yield maintenance premium is equal to the sum of (i) the present value of the scheduled monthly installments on the pro rata portion of the loan being prepaid, or the release price, from the date of prepayment to the maturity date, and (ii) the present value of the pro rata amount of principal and interest due on the release price due on the maturity date (assuming all scheduled monthly installments due prior to the maturity date were made when due), less (iii) the outstanding amortized principal allocation, as defined in the loan agreement, as of the date of prepayment.
 - (4) The maturity date may be extended to June 20, 2014 based on the exercise of two, one-year extension options, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions.
 - (5) Evidenced by three promissory notes, the loan secured by the Hyatt Place located in Atlanta, Georgia has a maturity date of February 1, 2014. The three promissory notes are cross-defaulted and cross-collateralized.
 - (6) On December 8, 2009, we entered into two cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted mortgage loans with National Western Life Insurance in the amounts of \$8,650,000 and \$5,350,000. If these loans are prepaid, there is a prepayment penalty ranging from 1% to 5% of the principal being prepaid. A one-time, ten-year extension of the maturity date is permitted, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions.
 - (7) The two BNC loans are cross-defaulted.
 - (8) The three GECC loans are cross-defaulted. All three loans became subject to a prepayment penalty equal to 2% of the principal repaid prior to August 1, 2012, 1% of the principal repaid prior to August 1, 2013, and 0% of the principal repaid thereafter. In addition to the mortgages securing each of the loans, GECC has additional mortgages on the Jacksonville, FL Aloft, Las Colinas, TX Hyatt Place and Boise, ID Fairfield Inn, each of which may be released upon realization of certain financial covenants.
 - (9) The loan carries a fixed interest rate of 4.66% until September 30, 2016 and a fixed interest rate thereafter of the then-current Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle Intermediate/Long-Term, Advances Five-year Fixed Rate plus 3.00%.

We believe that we will have adequate liquidity to meet requirements for scheduled maturities. However, we can provide no assurances that we will be able to refinance our indebtedness as it becomes due and, if refinanced, whether such refinancing will be available on favorable terms.

Capital Expenditures

We have budgeted to spend approximately \$20.7 million during 2012 for capital improvements to be made to the hotels in our portfolio, including capital improvements that we may be required to make pursuant to property improvement plans with respect to certain hotels in our portfolio, including our recent acquisitions and in connection with the entry into new franchise agreements for the former Choice hotels. In addition, we may make additional capital improvements at hotels we acquire in the future. Since the completion of our IPO on February 14, 2011 through December 31, 2011, we funded approximately \$28.9 million of capital improvements at our hotels. During 2011, we have completed renovations at seven of our hotels (not including renovations due to franchise conversions) and currently have renovations underway at five of our hotels. We expect to fund the future capital improvements with working capital, borrowings and other potential sources of capital to the extent available to us.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Contractual Obligations

The following table outlines the timing of payment requirements related to our long-term debt obligations and other contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011 (dollars in millions):

| | Total | Payments Due By Period | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | | Less than One Year | One to Three Years | Four to Five Years | More than Five Years |
| Long-term debt obligations(1) | \$ 234.4 | \$ 89.6 | \$ 61.6 | \$ 36.2 | \$ 47.0 |
| Operating Lease obligations | 37.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 35.2 |
| Total | \$ 271.8 | \$ 90.0 | \$ 62.5 | \$ 37.1 | \$ 82.2 |

(1)The amounts shown include amortization of principal on our fixed-rate and variable-rate obligations, debt maturities on our fixed-rate and variable-rate obligations and estimated interest payments of our fixed-rate obligations. Interest payments have been included based on the weighted-average interest rate.

Inflation

Operators of hotels, in general, possess the ability to adjust room rates daily to reflect the effects of inflation. However, competitive pressures may limit the ability of our management companies to raise room rates.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. While we do not believe the reported amounts would be materially different, application of these policies involves the exercise of judgment and the use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. We evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to the impairment of long-lived assets, on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. All of our predecessor's significant accounting policies are disclosed in the notes to its consolidated financial statements. The following represent certain critical accounting policies that will require our management to exercise their business judgment or make significant estimates:

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation. Our consolidated financial statements include our accounts, the accounts of our wholly owned subsidiaries or subsidiaries for which we have a controlling interest, the accounts of variable interest entities in which we are the primary beneficiary, and the accounts of other subsidiaries over which we have a controlling interest. All material inter-company transactions, balances and profits will be eliminated in consolidation. The determination of whether we are the primary beneficiary is based on a combination of qualitative and quantitative factors which require management in some cases to estimate future cash flows or likely courses of action.

Hotels—Acquisitions. We allocate the purchase price based on the fair value of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities. We determine the acquisition-date fair values of all assets and assumed liabilities using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers, for example, using a discounted cash flow analysis that utilizes appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions. Acquisition costs are expensed as incurred. Changes in estimates and judgments related to the allocation of the purchase price could result in adjustments to real estate or intangible assets, which can affect depreciation and/or amortization expense and our results of operations.

Depreciation and Amortization of Hotels. Hotels are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 27 to 40 years for buildings and two to 15 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. We are required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives and classification of our properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation expense to reflect each year with respect to the assets. While management believes its estimates are reasonable, a change in the estimated useful lives could affect the results of operations.

Impairment of Hotels. We monitor events and changes in circumstances for indicators that the carrying value of a hotel and related assets may be impaired. Factors that could trigger an impairment analysis include, among others: (1) significant underperformance relative to historical or projected operating results, (2) significant changes in the manner of use of a hotel or the strategy of our overall business, (3) a significant increase in competition, (4) a significant adverse change in legal factors or regulations or (5) significant negative industry or economic trends. When such factors are identified, we prepare an estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, without interest charges, of the specific hotel and determine if the investment in such hotel is recoverable based on the undiscounted future cash flows. If impairment is indicated, an adjustment is made to the carrying value of the hotel to reflect the hotel at fair

value. These assessments may affect the results of our operations.

Stock-Based Compensation. We have adopted the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, which provides for the grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, dividend equivalent rights and other stock-based awards, or any combination of the foregoing. Equity-based compensation will be recognized as an expense in the financial statements over the vesting period and measured at the fair value of the award on the date of grant. The amount of the expense may be subject to adjustment in future periods depending on the specific characteristics of the equity-based award and the application of accounting guidance.

Income Taxes. We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with our short taxable year ended December 31, 2011 upon filing our federal income tax return for that year. We have operated so as to qualify as a REIT since our IPO. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute annually to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, which does not necessarily equal net income as calculated in accordance with GAAP. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax (other than taxes paid by our TRSs) to the extent we currently distribute 100% of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate income tax rates and generally will not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost unless we satisfy certain relief provisions. Such an event could materially adversely affect our net income and net cash available for distribution to stockholders. However, we intend to be organized and operate in such a manner as to qualify for treatment as a REIT.

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities. We will account for federal and state income taxes with respect to our TRSs using the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the consolidated financial statements' carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and respective tax bases and operating losses and tax-credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. In the event that these assumptions change, the deferred taxes may change.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an update (ASU No. 2010-06) to Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, to improve disclosure requirements regarding transfers, classes of assets and liabilities, and inputs and valuation techniques. Certain provisions of ASU No. 2010-06 to ASC 820 related to separate line items for all purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements of financial instruments valued using Level 3 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this ASC update on January 1, 2011 had no material effect on the consolidated financial statements or disclosures of the Company, the Operating Partnership or the Predecessor.

In May 2011, FASB issued an update (ASU No. 2011-04) to ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, to develop common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with GAAP and IFRS. This update is effective for interim and fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company believes that this will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in equity. ASU 2011-05 is effective for interim and fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. In December 2011, the FASB decided to defer the effective date of those changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate only to the presentation of reclassification adjustments in the statement of income by issuing ASU 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update 2011-05. The Company believes that this will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Reclassification of Certain Prior Period Financial Information

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior-year financial information of the Predecessor to conform to our current-year presentation as follows for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

to reclassify (a) \$41.1 million and \$37.0 million of direct hotel operations expense (wages, payroll taxes and benefits, linens, cleaning and guestroom supplies and complimentary breakfast) as rooms expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and (b) \$6.1 million and \$5.4 million of direct hotel operations expense (franchise royalties) as other indirect expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively;

to reclassify (a) \$8.5 million and \$7.7 million of other hotel operating expense (utilities and telephone) as other direct expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and (b) \$10.5 million and \$9.4 million of other hotel operating expense (property taxes, insurance and cable) as other indirect expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009;

to reclassify (a) \$4.5 million and \$4.3 million of general, selling and administrative expense (office supplies, advertising, miscellaneous operating expenses and bad debt expense) as other direct expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009; (b) \$20.3 million and \$19.3 million of general, selling and administrative expense (credit card/travel agent commissions, management company expense, management company legal and accounting fees and franchise fees) as other indirect expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and (c) \$615,000 and \$681,000 of general, selling and administrative expense (ground rent and other expense) as other expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009;

to reclassify \$4.7 million and \$6.2 million of repairs and maintenance expense as other direct expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and

to reclassify \$367,000 and \$1.4 million of other indirect expense (hotel startup costs) as hotel property acquisition costs for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Market Risk

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market-sensitive instruments. In pursuing our business strategies, the primary market risk to which we are currently exposed, and to which we expect to be exposed in the future, is interest rate risk. Our primary interest rate exposures are to the 30-day LIBOR rate, the 90-day LIBOR rate and the Prime rate. We primarily use fixed interest rate financing to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. We do not use any hedge or other instruments to manage interest rate risk.

As of December 31, 2011, approximately 56.5%, or approximately \$122.6 million, of our debt bore fixed interest rates and approximately 43.5%, or approximately \$94.5 million, bore variable interest rates. Assuming no increase in the amount of our variable rate debt, if the interest rates on our variable rate pro forma debt were to increase by 1.0%, our cash flow would decrease by approximately \$413,000 per year.

As our debts mature, the financing arrangements that carry fixed interest rates will become subject to interest rate risk. In addition, as variable rate loans mature, lenders may impose floor interest rates because of the low interest rates experienced during the past few years. As of December 31, 2011, approximately \$82.4 million of our long-term debt will mature during 2012, which amount includes amortizing principal paid in regular monthly payments, of which approximately \$63.6 million bears fixed interest rates and \$18.8 million bears variable interest rates. As of February 27, 2012, approximately \$11.8 million of our long-term debt will mature during 2012, which amount includes amortizing principal paid in regular monthly payments, of which approximately \$3.1 million bears fixed interest rates and \$8.7 million bears variable interest rates.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

See Index to the Financial Statements on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A.

Controls and Procedures.

Controls and Procedures—Summit REIT

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of Summit REIT's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Summit REIT has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, Summit REIT's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to Summit REIT's management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Summit REIT's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of Summit REIT's management, including Summit REIT's principal executive officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of Summit REIT's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on Summit REIT's evaluation under the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework, our management concluded that Summit REIT's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

We acquired the Homewood Suites hotel in Ridgeland, Mississippi on April 15, 2011, the Holiday Inn hotel in Duluth, Georgia and the Staybridge Suites in Glendale, Colorado on April 27, 2011, the Hilton Garden Inn hotel in Duluth, Georgia on May 25, 2011, and the Courtyard by Marriott hotel in El Paso, Texas on July 28, 2011, respectively, and have excluded from Summit REIT's assessment of effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 the internal controls over financial reporting of these hotels, which had an aggregate of \$51.9 million in total assets and \$9.9 million in total revenues as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in Summit REIT's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter of 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Summit REIT's internal control over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures—Summit OP

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of Summit OP's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the sole member of Summit OP's general partner, Summit OP has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive

Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the sole member of its general partner have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to Summit OP's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the sole member of Summit OP's general partner, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Summit OP's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of Summit OP's management, including Summit OP's principal executive officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of Summit OP's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on Summit OP's evaluation under the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework, our management concluded that Summit OP's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

We acquired the Homewood Suites hotel in Ridgeland, Mississippi on April 15, 2011, the Holiday Inn hotel in Duluth, Georgia and the Staybridge Suites in Glendale, Colorado on April 27, 2011, the Hilton Garden Inn hotel in Duluth, Georgia on May 25, 2011, and the Courtyard by Marriott hotel in El Paso, Texas on July 28, 2011, respectively, and have excluded from Summit OP's assessment of effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 the internal controls over financial reporting of these hotels, which had an aggregate of \$51.9 million in total assets and \$9.9 million in total revenues as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in Summit OP's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter of 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Summit OP's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B.

Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to Summit REIT's Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to Summit REIT's Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to Summit REIT's Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to Summit REIT's Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to Summit REIT's Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

1. Financial Statements

Included herein at pages F-1 through F-38

2. Financial Statement Schedules

The following financial statement schedule is included herein at pages F-39 through F-40.

Schedule III — Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

All schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X are either not required to be included herein pursuant to the related instructions or are inapplicable or the related information is included in the footnotes to the applicable financial statement.

3. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

Exhibit

| Number | Description of Exhibit |
|--------|--|
| 3.1† | Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Limited Partnership of Summit Hotel OP, LP, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed by Summit Hotel OP, LP on February 11, 2011) |
| 3.3 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on November 1, 2010) |
| 3.4† | First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Summit Hotel OP, LP, dated February 14, 2011, as amended |
| 4.1 | Specimen certificate of common stock of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 5 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 7, 2011) |
| 10.1 | Tax Protection Agreement, dated February 10, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and The Summit Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)* |
| 10.2 | Transition Services Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and The Summit Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011). |
| 10.3 | Amended and Restated Hotel Management Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, among Interstate Management Company, LLC and the subsidiaries of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011) |
| 10.4 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Hotel Management Agreement, dated June 30, 2011, among Interstate Management Company, LLC and the subsidiaries of the Company party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on August 15, 2011) |
| 10.5 | Second Letter Amendment and Limited Waiver, dated October 21, 2011, between Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent and Summit Hotel OP, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed on October 24, 2011) |
| 10.6 | First Letter Amendment to Secured Credit Facility, dated August 15, 2011, between Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent, and Summit Hotel OP, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011) |
| 10.7 | Accession Agreement, dated May 13, 2011, among Summit Hotel OP, LP, Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, and U.S. Bank National Association (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on May 16, 2011) |
| 10.8 | \$30,000,000 Credit Agreement among Summit Hotel OP, LP, Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, dated March 30, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on April 6, 2011). |
| 10.9 | Amendment Letter to \$30,000,000 Credit Agreement among Summit Hotel OP, LP, Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, dated April 26, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on May 2, 2011). |
| 10.10 | \$100,000,000 Credit Agreement dated April 29, 2011 among Summit Hotel OP, LP, Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., Summit Hospitality I, LLC and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Royal Bank of Canada, KeyBank National Association and Regions Bank (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on May 2, 2011). |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

- 10.11 Loan Modification Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, among Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$11.4 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)
- 10.12 Second Loan Modification Agreement, dated August 12, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP, Summit Hospitality V, LLC and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$11.4 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011)
- 10.13 Loan Modification Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, among Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$9.5 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)
- 10.14 Second Loan Modification Agreement, dated August 12, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$9.5 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011)
- 10.15 Loan Modification Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, among Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$11.3 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)
- 10.16 Second Loan Modification Agreement, dated August 12, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$11.3 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011)
- 10.17 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Kerry W. Boekelheide (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.18 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Daniel P. Hansen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.19 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Craig J. Aniszewski (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.20 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Stuart J. Becker (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.21 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Ryan A. Bertucci (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.22† Second Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2012, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Ryan A. Bertucci*
- 10.23 Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.24 Form of Indemnification Agreement between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and each of its Executive Officers and Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on November 1, 2010)
- 10.25 Consolidated, Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated February 13, 2012, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 16, 2012)
- 10.26 Modification of Loan Agreement, dated September 30, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company (loan in the original principal amount of \$36.6 million) (incorporated by

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. November 10, 2011)

- 10.27 Construction Loan Agreement between Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and Compass Bank, dated September 17, 2008 (loan in the original principal amount of \$19.25 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on September 23, 2010)
- 10.28 Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement (Credit Pool) between Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and First National Bank of Omaha entered into August 19, 2010
- 10.29 Form of Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on September 23, 2010)*
- 10.30 Form of Lease Agreement between Summit Hotel OP, LP and TRS Lessee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on November 1, 2010)
- 10.31 Sourcing Agreement between Six Continents Hotel, Inc., d/b/a InterContinental Hotels Group, and Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on December 3, 2010)
- 10.32 Form of Severance Agreement between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Christopher R. Eng (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on September 23, 2010)*
- 10.33 Form of Severance Agreement between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and JoLynn M. Sorum (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on September 23, 2010)*
- 12.1† Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends
- 21.1† List of Subsidiaries of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.
- 21.2† List of Subsidiaries of Summit Hotel OP, LP
- 23.1† Consent of KPMG LLP
- 23.2† Consent of Eide Bailly LLP
- 31.1† Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2† Certification of Chief Financial Officer Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.3† Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Summit Hotel OP, LP pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.4† Certification of Chief Financial Officer Summit Hotel OP, LP pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1† Certification of Chief Executive Officer Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2† Certification of Chief Financial Officer Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.3† Certification of Chief Executive Officer Summit Hotel OP, LP pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.4† Certification of Chief Financial Officer Summit Hotel OP, LP pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS XBRL Instance Document(1)

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document(1)

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document(1)

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document(1)

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document(1)

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document(1)

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

† Filed herewith.

(1) Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC. (registrant)

Date: February 28, 2012

By: /s/ Kerry W. Boekelheide
Kerry W. Boekelheide
Executive Chairman of the Board

SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP (registrant)

By: Summit Hotel GP, LLC, its general partner

By: Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., its sole member

Date: February 28, 2012

By: /s/ Kerry W. Boekelheide
Kerry W. Boekelheide
Executive Chairman of the Board

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrants and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /s/ Kerry W. Boekelheide Kerry W. Boekelheide | Executive Chairman of the Board | February 28, 2012 |
| /s/ Daniel P. Hansen Daniel P. Hansen | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer) | February 28, 2012 |
| /s/ Stuart J. Becker Stuart J. Becker | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) | February 28, 2012 |
| /s/ JoLynn M. Sorum JoLynn M. Sorum | Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer) | February 28, 2012 |
| /s/ Bjorn R. L. Hanson Bjorn R. L. Hanson | Director | February 28, 2012 |
| /s/ David S. Kay David S. Kay | Director | February 28, 2012 |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

/s/ Thomas W. Storey
Thomas W. Storey

Director

February 28, 2012

/s/ Wayne W. Wielgus
Wayne W. Wielgus

Director

February 28, 2012

70

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|----------------|--|
| 3.1† | Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Limited Partnership of Summit Hotel OP, LP, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed by Summit Hotel OP, LP on February 11, 2011) |
| 3.3 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on November 1, 2010) |
| 3.4† | First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Summit Hotel OP, LP, dated February 14, 2011, as amended |
| 4.1 | Specimen certificate of common stock of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 5 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 7, 2011) |
| 10.1 | Tax Protection Agreement, dated February 10, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and The Summit Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)* |
| 10.2 | Transition Services Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and The Summit Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011). |
| 10.3 | Amended and Restated Hotel Management Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, among Interstate Management Company, LLC and the subsidiaries of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011) |
| 10.4 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Hotel Management Agreement, dated June 30, 2011, among Interstate Management Company, LLC and the subsidiaries of the Company party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on August 15, 2011) |
| 10.5 | Second Letter Amendment and Limited Waiver, dated October 21, 2011, between Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent and Summit Hotel OP, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed on October 24, 2011) |
| 10.6 | First Letter Amendment to Secured Credit Facility, dated August 15, 2011, between Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent, and Summit Hotel OP, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011) |
| 10.7 | Accession Agreement, dated May 13, 2011, among Summit Hotel OP, LP, Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, and U.S. Bank National Association (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on May 16, 2011) |
| 10.8 | \$30,000,000 Credit Agreement among Summit Hotel OP, LP, Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, dated March 30, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on April 6, 2011). |
| 10.9 | Amendment Letter to \$30,000,000 Credit Agreement among Summit Hotel OP, LP, Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, dated April 26, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on May 2, 2011). |
| 10.10 | \$100,000,000 Credit Agreement dated April 29, 2011 among Summit Hotel OP, LP, Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., Summit Hospitality I, LLC and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Royal Bank of Canada, KeyBank National Association and Regions Bank (incorporated |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on May 2, 2011).

- 10.11 Loan Modification Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, among Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$11.4 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)
-

- 10.12 Second Loan Modification Agreement, dated August 12, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP, Summit Hospitality V, LLC and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$11.4 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011)
- 10.13 Loan Modification Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, among Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$9.5 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)
- 10.14 Second Loan Modification Agreement, dated August 12, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$9.5 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011)
- 10.15 Loan Modification Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, among Summit Hotel Properties, LLC, Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$11.3 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)
- 10.16 Second Loan Modification Agreement, dated August 12, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and GE Commercial Capital of Utah LLC (loan in the original principal amount of \$11.3 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011)
- 10.17 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Kerry W. Boekelheide (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.18 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Daniel P. Hansen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.19 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Craig J. Aniszewski (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.20 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Stuart J. Becker (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.21 Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2011, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Ryan A. Bertucci (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.22† Second Employment Agreement, dated February 14, 2012, between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Ryan A. Bertucci*
- 10.23 Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 18, 2011)*
- 10.24 Form of Indemnification Agreement between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and each of its Executive Officers and Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on November 1, 2010)
- 10.25 Consolidated, Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated February 13, 2012, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on February 16, 2012)
- 10.26 Modification of Loan Agreement, dated September 30, 2011, between Summit Hotel OP, LP and ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company (loan in the original principal amount of \$36.6 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. November 10, 2011)

- 10.27 Construction Loan Agreement between Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and Compass Bank, dated September 17, 2008 (loan in the original principal amount of \$19.25 million) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on September 23, 2010)
- 10.28 Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement (Credit Pool) between Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and First National Bank of Omaha entered into August 19, 2010
- 10.29 Form of Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on September 23, 2010)*
- 10.30 Form of Lease Agreement between Summit Hotel OP, LP and TRS Lessee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on November 1, 2010)
- 10.31 Sourcing Agreement between Six Continents Hotel, Inc., d/b/a InterContinental Hotels Group, and Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on December 3, 2010)
- 10.32 Form of Severance Agreement between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Christopher R. Eng (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on September 23, 2010)*
- 10.33 Form of Severance Agreement between Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and JoLynn M. Sorum (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. on September 23, 2010)*
- 12.1† Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends
- 21.1† List of Subsidiaries of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.
- 21.2† List of Subsidiaries of Summit Hotel OP, LP
- 23.1† Consent of KPMG LLP
- 23.2† Consent of Eide Bailly LLP
- 31.1† Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2† Certification of Chief Financial Officer Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.3† Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Summit Hotel OP, LP pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.4† Certification of Chief Financial Officer Summit Hotel OP, LP pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1† Certification of Chief Executive Officer Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2† Certification of Chief Financial Officer Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.3† Certification of Chief Executive Officer Summit Hotel OP, LP pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.4† Certification of Chief Financial Officer Summit Hotel OP, LP pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS XBRL Instance Document(1)

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document(1)

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document(1)

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document(1)

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document(1)

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document(1)

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

† Filed herewith.

(1) Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (Predecessor): | |
| Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms | F-2 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 | F-6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-7 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-8 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-9 |
| Summit Hotel OP, LP and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (Predecessor): | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 | F-11 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-12 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-13 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-14 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-16 |
| Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation | F-39 |

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors
Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011, and the consolidated balance sheet of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations and changes in equity of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries for the period from February 14, 2011 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2011, the related consolidated statements of operations and changes in equity of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) for the period from January 1, 2011 through February 13, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, the related combined statement of cash flows of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) for the year ended December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statement of cash flows of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) for the year ended December 31, 2010. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule III. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and the financial position of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) as of December 31, 2010, and the results of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries operations for the period from February 14, 2011 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2011 and the results of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) operations for the period from January 1, 2011 through February 13, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, and Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) combined cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2011 and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule III, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Omaha, Nebraska
February 28, 2012

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Partners
Summit Hotel OP, LP:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Summit Hotel OP, LP and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011, and the consolidated balance sheet of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations and changes in equity of Summit Hotel OP, LP and subsidiaries for the period from February 14, 2011 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2011, the related consolidated statements of operations and changes in equity of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) for the period from January 1, 2011 through February 13, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, the related combined statement of cash flows of Summit Hotel OP, LP and subsidiaries and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) for the year ended December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statement of cash flows of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) for the year ended December 31, 2010. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule III. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Summit Hotel OP, LP and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and the financial position of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) as of December 31, 2010, and the results of Summit Hotel OP, LP and subsidiaries operations for the period from February 14, 2011 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2011 and the results of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) operations for the period from January 1, 2011 through February 13, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, and Summit Hotel OP, LP and subsidiaries and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) combined cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2011 and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC and subsidiaries (Predecessor) cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule III, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Omaha, Nebraska
February 28, 2012

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Managers
Summit Hotel Properties, LLC
Sioux Falls, South Dakota

We have audited the consolidated statements of operations, changes in members' equity and cash flows of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of operations, changes in members' equity and cash flows for Summit Hotel Properties, LLC for the year ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Summit Hotel Properties, LLC's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 31, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Eide Bailly LLP

Greenwood Village, Colorado
March 31, 2010

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Managers
Summit Hotel Properties, LLC
Sioux Falls, South Dakota

We have audited Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (the “Company”) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Summit Hotel Properties, LLC management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Summit Hotel Properties, LLC maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of operations, members’ equity, and cash flows of Summit Hotel Properties, LLC for the year ended December 31, 2009, and our report dated March 31, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Eide Bailly LLP

Greenwood Village, Colorado

March 31, 2010

F-5

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND 2010

| | Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. 2011 | Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (Predecessor) 2010 |
|--|--|---|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,537,132 | \$ 7,977,418 |
| Restricted cash | 1,464,032 | 1,933,268 |
| Trade receivables | 3,424,630 | 2,665,076 |
| Receivable due from affiliate | - | 4,620,059 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 4,268,393 | 1,738,645 |
| Land held for development | 20,294,973 | 20,294,973 |
| Property and equipment, net | 498,876,238 | 445,715,804 |
| Deferred charges and other assets, net | 8,923,906 | 4,051,295 |
| Deferred tax benefit | 2,195,820 | - |
| Other assets | 4,019,870 | 4,011,992 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 554,004,994 | \$ 493,008,530 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,670,994 | \$ 864,560 |
| Related party accounts payable | - | 771,066 |
| Accrued expenses | 15,781,577 | 11,092,131 |
| Mortgages and notes payable | 217,103,728 | 420,437,207 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 234,556,299 | 433,164,964 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES | | |
| EQUITY | | |
| Members' equity | - | 61,468,029 |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 2,000,000 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 | 20,000 | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 450,000,000 shares authorized, 27,278,000 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 | 272,780 | - |
| Additional paid-in capital | 288,902,331 | - |
| Accumulated deficit and distributions | (11,020,151) | - |
| Total stockholders' equity | 278,174,960 | 61,468,029 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 41,273,735 | (1,624,463) |
| TOTAL EQUITY | 319,448,695 | 59,843,566 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | \$ 554,004,994 | \$ 493,008,530 |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

F-6

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

| | Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Period 2/14/11 through 12/31/11 | Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (Predecessor) Period 1/1/11 through 2/13/11 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| REVENUE | | | | |
| Room revenue | \$ 131,638,132 | \$ 14,268,042 | \$ 133,069,346 | \$ 118,959,822 |
| Other hotel operations revenue | 2,646,214 | 330,251 | 2,565,723 | 2,239,914 |
| Total Revenue | 134,284,346 | 14,598,293 | 135,635,069 | 121,199,736 |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Hotel operating expenses | | | | |
| Rooms | 40,138,277 | 4,960,450 | 41,128,699 | 36,719,998 |
| Other direct | 17,672,220 | 2,657,760 | 17,692,322 | 18,047,928 |
| Other indirect | 35,870,445 | 4,686,274 | 36,466,147 | 32,388,787 |
| Other | 700,290 | 73,038 | 615,407 | 681,304 |
| Total hotel operating expenses | 94,381,232 | 12,377,522 | 95,902,575 | 87,838,017 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 26,378,314 | 3,429,216 | 27,250,778 | 23,971,118 |
| Corporate general and administrative: | | | | |
| Salaries and other compensation | 2,640,878 | - | - | - |
| Other | 3,439,788 | - | - | - |
| Equity based compensation | 479,559 | - | - | - |
| Hotel property acquisition costs | 253,763 | - | 366,759 | 1,388,639 |
| Loss on impairment of assets | - | - | 6,475,684 | 7,505,836 |
| Total Expenses | 127,573,534 | 15,806,738 | 129,995,796 | 120,703,610 |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS | 6,710,812 | (1,208,445) | 5,639,273 | 496,126 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | | | | |
| Interest income | 15,756 | 7,139 | 47,483 | 49,805 |
| Interest expense | (13,192,327) | (4,666,216) | (26,362,265) | (18,320,736) |
| Gain (loss) on disposal of assets | (36,031) | - | (42,813) | (4,335) |
| Total Other Income (Expense) | (13,212,602) | (4,659,077) | (26,357,595) | (18,275,266) |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | (6,501,790) | (5,867,522) | (20,718,322) | (17,779,140) |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | - | - | - | 1,464,808 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (6,501,790) | (5,867,522) | (20,718,322) | (16,314,332) |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| INCOME TAX (EXPENSE) BENEFIT | 2,324,983 | (339,034) | (202,163) | - |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | (4,176,807) | (6,206,556) | (20,920,485) | (16,314,332) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTEREST | (1,239,715) | - | - | - |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC./PREDECESSOR | (2,937,092) | (6,206,556) | (20,920,485) | (16,314,332) |
| PREFERRED DIVIDENDS | (411,120) | - | - | - |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS/MEMBERS | \$(3,348,212) | \$(6,206,556) | \$(20,920,485) | \$(16,314,332) |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$(0.12) | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | 27,278,000 | | | |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

| | # of Shares of Preferred Stock | Preferred Stock | # of Shares of Common Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Deficit and Distributions | Total Stockholders/ Members' Equity | Noncontrolling Interest | Total Equity |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Predecessor | | | | | | | | | |
| BALANCES, JANUARY 1, 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$89,385,223 | \$(1,624,463) | \$87,760,760 |
| Class A-1 units issued | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22,123,951 | - | 22,123,951 |
| Net income (loss) | - | - | - | - | - | - | (16,314,332) | - | (16,314,332) |
| Distributions to members | - | - | - | - | - | - | (12,271,067) | - | (12,271,067) |
| BALANCES, DECEMBER 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$82,923,775 | \$(1,624,463) | \$81,299,312 |
| Net income (loss) | - | - | - | - | - | - | (20,920,485) | - | (20,920,485) |
| Distributions to members | - | - | - | - | - | - | (535,261) | - | (535,261) |
| BALANCES, DECEMBER 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$61,468,029 | \$(1,624,463) | \$59,843,566 |
| Net income (loss) | - | - | - | - | - | - | (6,206,556) | - | (6,206,556) |
| Distributions to members | - | - | - | - | - | - | (8,282,935) | - | (8,282,935) |
| BALANCES, FEBRUARY 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$46,978,538 | \$(1,624,463) | \$45,354,075 |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Summit Hotel properties, - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity from decessor | - | \$- | - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$45,354,075 | \$45,354,075 | |
| proceeds on sale of common stock | - | - | 27,278,000 | 272,780 | 240,567,678 | - | 240,840,458 | - | 240,840,458 | |
| proceeds on sale of preferred stock | 2,000,000 | 20,000 | - | - | 47,855,094 | - | 47,875,094 | - | 47,875,094 | |
| Dividends paid | - | - | - | - | - | (8,083,059) | (8,083,059) | (2,840,625) | (10,923,683) | |
| Equity-based compensation income (loss) | - | - | - | - | 479,559 | - | 479,559 | - | 479,559 | |
| | - | - | - | - | - | (2,937,092) | (2,937,092) | (1,239,715) | (4,176,807) | |
| PLANCES, DECEMBER | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 2,000,000 | \$20,000 | 27,278,000 | \$272,780 | \$288,902,331 | \$(11,020,151) | \$278,174,960 | \$41,273,735 | \$319,448,695 | |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(10,383,363) | \$(20,920,485) | \$(16,314,332) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 29,807,530 | 27,250,778 | 24,125,066 |
| Amortization of prepaid lease | 47,400 | 47,400 | 118,501 |
| Unsuccessful project costs | - | - | 1,262,219 |
| Loss on impairment of assets | - | 6,475,684 | 7,505,836 |
| Equity-based compensation | 479,559 | - | - |
| Deferred tax benefit | (2,195,820) | - | - |
| (Gain) loss on disposal of assets | 36,031 | 42,813 | (1,297,488) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Trade receivables | (394,554) | (56,878) | 13,966 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 2,090,311 | (4,942,224) | 315,891 |
| Accounts payable and related party accounts payable | 35,368 | 53,113 | (5,847,835) |
| Income tax receivable | (453,370) | - | - |
| Accrued expenses | 4,291,446 | 1,910,118 | (774,359) |
| Restricted cash released (funded) | 785,036 | 562,922 | (76,026) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 24,145,574 | 10,423,241 | 9,031,439 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Land and hotel acquisitions and construction in progress | (50,017,000) | (1,413,183) | (14,810,896) |
| Purchases of other property and equipment | (33,514,100) | (1,356,696) | (6,613,397) |
| Proceeds from asset dispositions, net of closing costs | 361,356 | 14,787 | 207,814 |
| Restricted cash released (funded) | (315,800) | (409,947) | 2,239,184 |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES | (83,485,544) | (3,165,039) | (18,977,295) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | 65,382,528 | 4,919,026 | 5,083,518 |
| Principal payments on debt | (268,716,007) | (10,664,412) | (6,910,814) |
| Financing fees on debt | (4,275,770) | (1,239,362) | (945,442) |
| Proceeds from equity offerings, net of offering costs | 288,715,552 | - | 15,075,451 |
| Distributions to members and dividends paid | (19,206,619) | (535,261) | (12,271,067) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 61,899,684 | (7,520,009) | 31,646 |
| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 2,559,714 | (261,807) | (9,914,210) |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | |
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 7,977,418 | 8,239,225 | 18,153,435 |
| END OF PERIOD | \$10,537,132 | \$7,977,418 | \$8,239,225 |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

F-9

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | | |
| Cash payments for interest | \$ 18,851,603 | \$ 25,866,571 | \$ 17,810,544 |
| Interest capitalized | \$- | \$- | \$ 2,977,101 |
| Cash payments for state income taxes, net of refunds | \$ 163,206 | \$ (21,807) | \$ 728,514 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH FINANCIAL INFORMATION: | | | |
| Conversion of construction in progress to other assets | \$- | \$- | \$ 4,149,379 |
| Equity contributions used to pay down debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 7,048,500 |
| Construction in progress financed through related party accounts payable | \$- | \$- | \$ 242,135 |
| Construction in progress financed through accounts payable | \$- | \$- | \$ 244,126 |
| Construction in progress financed through issuance of debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 51,098,872 |
| Issuance of long-term debt for short-term debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 7,450,000 |
| Issuance of long-term debt to refinance existing long-term debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 22,215,852 |
| Sale proceeds used to pay down long-term debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 6,134,285 |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND 2010

| | Summit Hotel OP, LP 2011 | Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (Predecessor) 2010 |
|---|--------------------------------|---|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$10,537,132 | \$7,977,418 |
| Restricted cash | 1,464,032 | 1,933,268 |
| Trade receivables | 3,424,630 | 2,665,076 |
| Receivable due from affiliate | - | 4,620,059 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 4,268,393 | 1,738,645 |
| Land held for development | 20,294,973 | 20,294,973 |
| Property and equipment, net | 498,876,238 | 445,715,804 |
| Deferred charges and other assets, net | 8,923,906 | 4,051,295 |
| Deferred tax benefit | 2,195,820 | - |
| Other assets | 4,019,870 | 4,011,992 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$554,004,994 | \$493,008,530 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable | \$1,670,994 | \$864,560 |
| Related party accounts payable | - | 771,066 |
| Accrued expenses | 15,781,577 | 11,092,131 |
| Mortgages and notes payable | 217,103,728 | 420,437,207 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 234,556,299 | 433,164,964 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES | | |
| EQUITY | | |
| Members' equity | - | 61,468,029 |
| Partners' equity: | | |
| Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., 27,278,000 common units outstanding and 2,000,000 preferred units outstanding | 278,174,960 | - |
| Unaffiliated limited partners, 10,100,000 common units outstanding | 41,273,735 | - |
| Total members'/partners' equity | 319,448,695 | 61,468,029 |
| Noncontrolling interest | - | (1,624,463) |
| TOTAL EQUITY | 319,448,695 | 59,843,566 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | \$554,004,994 | \$493,008,530 |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

F-11

SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

| | Summit Hotel OP, LP Period 2/14/11 through 12/31/11 | Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (Predecessor) Period 1/1/11 through 2/13/11 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| REVENUE | | | | |
| Room revenue | \$131,638,132 | \$14,268,042 | \$133,069,346 | \$118,959,822 |
| Other hotel operations revenue | 2,646,214 | 330,251 | 2,565,723 | 2,239,914 |
| Total Revenue | 134,284,346 | 14,598,293 | 135,635,069 | 121,199,736 |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Hotel operating expenses | | | | |
| Rooms | 40,138,277 | 4,960,450 | 41,128,699 | 36,719,998 |
| Other direct | 17,672,220 | 2,657,760 | 17,692,322 | 18,047,928 |
| Other indirect | 35,870,445 | 4,686,274 | 36,466,147 | 32,388,787 |
| Other | 700,290 | 73,038 | 615,407 | 681,304 |
| Total hotel operating expenses | 94,381,232 | 12,377,522 | 95,902,575 | 87,838,017 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 26,378,314 | 3,429,216 | 27,250,778 | 23,971,118 |
| Corporate general and administrative: | | | | |
| Salaries and other compensation | 2,640,878 | - | - | - |
| Other | 3,439,788 | - | - | - |
| Equity based compensation | 479,559 | - | - | - |
| Hotel property acquisition costs | 253,763 | - | 366,759 | 1,388,639 |
| Loss on impairment of assets | - | - | 6,475,684 | 7,505,836 |
| Total Expenses | 127,573,534 | 15,806,738 | 129,995,796 | 120,703,610 |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS | 6,710,812 | (1,208,445) | 5,639,273 | 496,126 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | | | | |
| Interest income | 15,756 | 7,139 | 47,483 | 49,805 |
| Interest expense | (13,192,327) | (4,666,216) | (26,362,265) | (18,320,736) |
| Gain (loss) on disposal of assets | (36,031) | - | (42,813) | (4,335) |
| Total Other Income (Expense) | (13,212,602) | (4,659,077) | (26,357,595) | (18,275,266) |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | (6,501,790) | (5,867,522) | (20,718,322) | (17,779,140) |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | - | - | - | 1,464,808 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (6,501,790) | (5,867,522) | (20,718,322) | (16,314,332) |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| INCOME TAX (EXPENSE) BENEFIT | 2,324,983 | (339,034) | (202,163) | - |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | (4,176,807) | (6,206,556) | (20,920,485) | (16,314,332) |
| PREFERRED DIVIDENDS | (411,120) | - | - | - |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON UNIT HOLDERS | (4,587,927) | (6,206,556) | (20,920,485) | (16,314,332) |
| Net income (loss) per common unit: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$(0.12) | | | |
| Weighted-average common units outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | 37,378,000 | | | |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

| | Preferred | Common | Total | Noncontrolling | Total |
|--|------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Summit Hotel | Summit Hotel | Members'/ | Interest | Equity |
| | Properties, Inc. | Properties, Inc. | Unaffiliated | | |
| | | | Limited | | |
| | | | Partners' | | |
| | | | Equity | | |
| Predecessor | | | | | |
| BALANCES, JANUARY 1, 2009 | \$- | \$- | \$89,385,223 | \$(1,624,463) | \$87,760,760 |
| Class A-1 units issued | - | - | 22,123,951 | - | 22,123,951 |
| Net income (loss) | - | - | (16,314,332) | - | (16,314,332) |
| Distributions to members | - | - | (12,271,067) | - | (12,271,067) |
| BALANCES, DECEMBER 31, 2009 | \$- | \$- | \$82,923,775 | \$(1,624,463) | \$81,299,312 |
| Net income (loss) | - | - | (20,920,485) | - | (20,920,485) |
| Distributions to members | - | - | (535,261) | - | (535,261) |
| BALANCES, DECEMBER 31, 2010 | \$- | \$- | \$61,468,029 | \$(1,624,463) | \$59,843,566 |
| Net income (loss) | - | - | (6,206,556) | - | (6,206,556) |
| Distributions to members | - | - | (8,282,935) | - | (8,282,935) |
| BALANCES, FEBRUARY 13, 2011 | \$- | \$- | \$46,978,538 | \$(1,624,463) | \$45,354,075 |
| Summit Hotel OP, LP | | | | | |
| Equity from predecessor/limited partners | \$- | \$- | \$45,354,075 | \$- | \$45,354,075 |
| Contributions | 47,875,094 | 240,840,458 | - | - | 288,715,552 |
| Distributions | (411,120) | (7,671,939) | (2,840,625) | - | (10,923,684) |
| Equity-based compensation | - | 479,559 | - | - | 479,559 |
| Net income (loss) | 411,120 | (3,348,212) | (1,239,715) | - | (4,176,807) |
| | \$47,875,094 | \$230,299,866 | \$41,273,735 | \$- | \$319,448,695 |

BALANCES, DECEMBER
31, 2011

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

F-13

SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(10,383,363) | \$(20,920,485) | \$(16,314,332) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 29,807,530 | 27,250,778 | 24,125,066 |
| Amortization of prepaid lease | 47,400 | 47,400 | 118,501 |
| Unsuccessful project costs | - | - | 1,262,219 |
| Loss on impairment of assets | - | 6,475,684 | 7,505,836 |
| Equity-based compensation | 479,559 | - | - |
| Deferred tax benefit | (2,195,820) | - | - |
| (Gain) loss on disposal of assets | 36,031 | 42,813 | (1,297,488) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Trade receivables | (394,554) | (56,878) | 13,966 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 2,090,311 | (4,942,224) | 315,891 |
| Accounts payable and related party accounts payable | 35,368 | 53,113 | (5,847,835) |
| Income tax receivable | (453,370) | - | - |
| Accrued expenses | 4,291,446 | 1,910,118 | (774,359) |
| Restricted cash released (funded) | 785,036 | 562,922 | (76,026) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 24,145,574 | 10,423,241 | 9,031,439 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Land and hotel acquisitions and construction in progress | (50,017,000) | (1,413,183) | (14,810,896) |
| Purchases of other property and equipment | (33,514,100) | (1,356,696) | (6,613,397) |
| Proceeds from asset dispositions, net of closing costs | 361,356 | 14,787 | 207,814 |
| Restricted cash released (funded) | (315,800) | (409,947) | 2,239,184 |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES | (83,485,544) | (3,165,039) | (18,977,295) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | 65,382,528 | 4,919,026 | 5,083,518 |
| Principal payments on debt | (268,716,007) | (10,664,412) | (6,910,814) |
| Financing fees on debt | (4,275,770) | (1,239,362) | (945,442) |
| Contributions | 288,715,552 | - | 15,075,451 |
| Distributions | (19,206,619) | (535,261) | (12,271,067) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 61,899,684 | (7,520,009) | 31,646 |
| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 2,559,714 | (261,807) | (9,914,210) |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | |
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 7,977,418 | 8,239,225 | 18,153,435 |
| END OF PERIOD | \$10,537,132 | \$7,977,418 | \$8,239,225 |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP AND SUMMIT HOTEL
 PROPERTIES, LLC (PREDECESSOR)
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | | |
| Cash payments for interest | \$ 18,851,603 | \$ 25,866,571 | \$ 17,810,544 |
| Interest capitalized | \$- | \$- | \$ 2,977,101 |
| Cash payments for state income taxes, net of refunds | \$ 163,206 | \$(21,807) | \$ 728,514 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH FINANCIAL INFORMATION: | | | |
| Conversion of construction in progress to other assets | \$- | \$- | \$ 4,149,379 |
| Equity contributions used to pay down debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 7,048,500 |
| Construction in progress financed through related party accounts payable | \$- | \$- | \$ 242,135 |
| Construction in progress financed through accounts payable | \$- | \$- | \$ 244,126 |
| Construction in progress financed through issuance of debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 51,098,872 |
| Issuance of long-term debt for short-term debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 7,450,000 |
| Issuance of long-term debt to refinance existing long-term debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 22,215,852 |
| Sale proceeds used to pay down long-term debt | \$- | \$- | \$ 6,134,285 |

(See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BUSINESS

Basis of Presentation

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. (the “Company”) is a self-advised hotel investment company that was organized on June 30, 2010 as a Maryland corporation. The Company holds both general and limited partnership interests in Summit Hotel OP, LP (the “Operating Partnership”), a Delaware limited partnership also organized on June 30, 2010. On February 14, 2011, the Company closed on its initial public offering (“IPO”) of 26,000,000 shares of common stock and a concurrent private placement of 1,274,000 shares of common stock. Effective February 14, 2011, the Operating Partnership and Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (the “Predecessor”) completed the merger of the Predecessor with and into the Operating Partnership (the “Merger”). At the effective time of the Merger, the outstanding Class A, Class A-1, Class B and Class C membership interests in the Predecessor were issued and converted into, and cancelled in exchange for, a total of 9,993,992 common units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (“Common Units”), and the members of the Predecessor were admitted as limited partners of the Operating Partnership. Also effective February 14, 2011, The Summit Group, Inc., the parent company of the Predecessor (“The Summit Group”), contributed its 36% Class B membership interest in Summit Group of Scottsdale, Arizona LLC (“Summit of Scottsdale”) to the Operating Partnership in exchange for 74,829 Common Units and an unaffiliated third-party investor contributed its 15% Class C membership interest in Summit of Scottsdale to the Operating Partnership in exchange for 31,179 Common Units. The Predecessor owned 49% of Summit of Scottsdale prior to February 14, 2011. Effective February 14, 2011, the Company contributed the net proceeds of the IPO and the concurrent private placement to the Operating Partnership in exchange for an aggregate of 27,274,000 Common Units, including Common Units representing the sole general partnership interest in the Operating Partnership, which are held by a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. Unless the context otherwise requires, “we” and “our” refer to the Company and the Operating Partnership collectively.

While the Operating Partnership was the survivor of and the legal acquirer of the Predecessor in the Merger, for accounting and financial reporting purposes, the Predecessor is considered the accounting acquirer in the Merger. As a result, the historical consolidated financial statements of the Predecessor are presented as the historical consolidated financial statements of the Company and the Operating Partnership after completion of the Merger and the contributions of the Class B and C membership interests in Summit of Scottsdale to the Operating Partnership (collectively, the “Reorganization Transaction”).

As a result of the Reorganization Transaction, the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries acquired sole ownership of the 65 hotels in its initial portfolio. In addition, the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries assumed the liabilities, including indebtedness, of the Predecessor and its subsidiaries.

As of December 31, 2011, our real estate investment portfolio consists of 70 upscale, upper midscale and midscale hotels with a total of 7,095 guestrooms located in small, mid-sized and suburban markets in 19 states (see Note 7 for new acquisitions). The hotels are leased to subsidiaries (“TRS Lessees”) of the Company’s taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRSs”). The Company indirectly owns 100% of the outstanding equity interests in the TRS Lessees.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership, and the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries. The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Operating Partnership include the accounts of the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. At times, cash on deposit may exceed the federally insured limit. The Company maintains its cash with high credit quality financial institutions.

Receivables and Credit Policies

Trade receivables are uncollateralized customer obligations resulting from the rental of hotel rooms and the sales of food, beverage, and banquet services due under normal trade terms requiring payment upon receipt of the invoice. Trade receivables are stated at the amount billed to the customer and do not accrue interest. Customer account balances with invoices dated over 60 days old are considered delinquent. Payments of trade receivables are allocated to the specific invoices identified on the customer's remittance advice or, if unspecified, are applied to the earliest unpaid invoices.

The Company reviews the collectability of the receivables monthly. A provision for losses on receivables is determined on the basis of previous loss experience and current economic conditions. There were no material uncollectible receivables and no allowance for doubtful accounts recorded as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. The Company incurred bad debt expense of \$37,199, \$190,107, and \$88,125 for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Property and Equipment

Buildings and major improvements are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over 27 to 40 years, the estimated useful lives of the assets. Hotel equipment, furniture and fixtures are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets of 2 to 15 years. The Company periodically re-evaluates fixed asset lives based on current assessments of remaining utilization that may result in changes in estimated useful lives. Such changes are accounted for prospectively and will increase or decrease depreciation expense. Depreciation expense from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$26,740,666; \$25,234,526 and \$21,902,729, respectively. Expenditures that materially extend a

property's life are capitalized. These costs may include hotel refurbishment, renovation and remodeling expenditures.

F-17

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Normal maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. When depreciable property is retired or disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reflected in current operations.

Capitalized Development and Interest Costs

The Company capitalizes all hotel development costs and other direct overhead costs related to the construction of hotels. Additionally, the Company capitalizes the interest costs associated with constructing new hotels. Capitalized development, direct overhead and interest are depreciated over the estimated lives of the respective assets. Organization and start-up costs are expensed as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company did not capitalize interest costs, as no hotels were constructed. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company capitalized interest of \$2,977,101.

Acquisitions

We allocate the purchase price of acquisitions based on the fair value of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities. We determine the acquisition-date fair values of all assets and assumed liabilities using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers, for example, using a discounted cash flow analysis that utilizes appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions (see Note 7 for new acquisitions). Acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

Assets Held for Sale

Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 360, Property Plant and Equipment, requires a long-lived asset to be sold to be classified as “held for sale” in the period in which certain criteria are met, including that the sale of the asset within one year is probable. If assets are classified as held for sale, they are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. FASB ASC 360 also requires that the results of operations of a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale be reported in discontinued operations if the operations and cash flows of the component have been or will be eliminated from our ongoing operations.

As a part of routine procedures, we periodically review hotels based on established criteria such as age of hotel property, type of franchise associated with hotel property, and adverse economic and competitive conditions in the region surrounding the property. During the period, we completed a comprehensive review of our investment strategy and of our existing hotel portfolio and our land held for development to identify properties which we believe are either non-core or no longer complement the business as required by FASB ASC 360. We do not believe that any of these assets meet this criteria at this time.

Long-Lived Assets and Impairment

We apply the provisions of FASB ASC 360 which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

We monitor events and changes in circumstances for indicators that the carrying value of a hotel and related assets may be impaired. Factors that could trigger an impairment analysis include, among others: (1) significant underperformance relative to historical or projected operating results, (2) significant changes in the manner of use of a hotel or the strategy of our overall business, (3) a significant increase in competition, (4) a significant adverse change in legal factors or regulations and (5) significant negative industry or economic trends. When such factors are identified, we prepare an estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, without interest charges, of the specific hotel and determine if the investment in such hotel is recoverable based on the undiscounted future cash flows. If impairment is indicated, an adjustment is made to the carrying value of the hotel to reflect the hotel at fair value.

During 2009, the Predecessor determined that four land parcels were impaired and wrote them down to their fair value. The carrying value of the assets exceeded fair value by \$6,332,736, with fair value being determined by reference to the estimated market prices of such assets (Level 3 Inputs). This impairment was a result of the Predecessor's decision to stop development projects and attempt to sell the land. The Predecessor also determined that the Courtyard in Memphis, TN was impaired by \$1,173,100 due to the fact that its historical carrying value was higher than the hotel's fair value due to recent economic distress on this particular hotel and market. A total impairment loss of \$7,505,836 was charged to operations in 2009. During 2010, the Predecessor, in conjunction with the termination of a contract for sale of land parcels, determined that another four land parcels were impaired and wrote them down to their fair value. An impairment loss of \$6,475,684 was charged to operations in 2010. The contracted sales price for each of these parcels was in excess of their carrying amounts. Subsequent to the termination of the sales contract management determined the carrying amounts were no longer realizable. During 2011, the Company did not record an impairment loss.

Deferred Charges

These assets are carried at cost and consist of deferred financing fees and initial franchise fees. Costs incurred in obtaining financing are capitalized and amortized on the straight-line method over the term of the related debt, which approximates the interest method. Initial franchise fees are capitalized and amortized over the term of the franchise agreement using the straight line method. Amortization expense from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$3,066,864; \$2,016,252 and \$2,222,336, respectively. Amortization of financing costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$2,206,389; \$1,841,717 and \$2,029,393, respectively. Amortization of franchise costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$860,475; \$174,535 and \$192,943, respectively.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists of certain funds maintained in escrow for property taxes, insurance and certain capital expenditures. Funds may be disbursed from the account upon proof of expenditures and approval from the lenders (see Note 4).

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior-year financial information of the Predecessor to conform to our current-year presentation as follows for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

- to reclassify (a) \$41.1 million and \$37.0 million of direct hotel operations expense (wages, payroll taxes and benefits, linens, cleaning and guestroom supplies and complimentary breakfast) as rooms expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and (b) \$6.1 million and \$5.4 million of direct hotel operations expense (franchise royalties) as other indirect expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively;
- to reclassify (a) \$8.5 million and \$7.7 million of other hotel operating expense (utilities and telephone) as other direct expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and (b) \$10.5 million and \$9.4 million of other hotel operating expense (property taxes, insurance and cable) as other indirect expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009;
- to reclassify (a) \$4.5 million and \$4.3 million of general, selling and administrative expense (office supplies, advertising, miscellaneous operating expenses and bad debt expense) as other direct expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009; (b) \$20.3 million and \$19.3 million of general, selling and administrative expense (credit card/travel agent commissions, management company expense, management company legal and accounting fees and franchise fees) as other indirect expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and (c) \$615,000 and \$681,000 of general, selling and administrative expense (ground rent and other expense) as other expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009;
- to reclassify \$4.7 million and \$6.2 million of repairs and maintenance expense as other direct expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and
- to reclassify \$367,000 and \$1.4 million of other indirect expense (hotel startup costs) as hotel property acquisition costs for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, FASB issued an update (ASU No. 2010-06) to ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, to improve disclosure requirements regarding transfers, classes of assets and liabilities, and inputs and valuation techniques. Certain provisions of ASU No. 2010-06 to ASC 820 related to separate line items for all purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements of financial instruments valued using Level 3 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this ASC update on January 1, 2011 had no material impact on the consolidated financial statements or disclosures of the Company, the Operating Partnership or the Predecessor.

In May 2011, FASB issued an update (ASU No. 2011-04) to ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, to develop common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with GAAP and IFRS. This update is effective for interim and fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company believes that this will not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

In June 2011, FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in equity. ASU 2011-05 is effective for interim and fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. In December 2011, the FASB decided to defer the effective date of those changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate only to the presentation of reclassification adjustments in the statement of income by issuing ASU 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update 2011-05. The Company believes that this will not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when rooms are occupied and services have been rendered.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company grants credit to qualified customers generally without collateral, in the form of accounts receivable. The Company believes its risk of loss is minimal due to its periodic evaluations of the credit worthiness of the customers.

Sales Taxes

The Company has customers in states and municipalities in which those governmental units impose a sales tax on certain sales. The Company collects those sales taxes from its customers and remits the entire amount to the various governmental units. The Company's accounting policy is to exclude the tax collected and remitted from revenues.

Fair Value

FASB ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under GAAP as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

Our estimates of the fair value of financial instruments as of December 31, 2011 were determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methods. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair value. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2011, the aggregate fair value of our consolidated mortgages and notes payable is approximately \$217.4 million, compared to the aggregate carrying value of approximately \$217.1 million on our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate fair value was approximately \$420.8 million compared to the aggregate carrying value of approximately \$420.4 million.

F-21

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

FASB ASC 820 also requires that non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities be disclosed at fair value in the financial statements if these items are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, such as in determining impairment loss or the value of assets held for sale as described below.

Equity-Based Compensation

Effective as of the closing of the IPO, we adopted the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, which provides for the grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, dividend equivalent rights and other stock-based awards, or any combination of the foregoing. In accordance with FASB ASC 718, equity-based compensation is recognized as an expense in the financial statements over the vesting period and measured at the fair value of the award on the date of grant. The amount of the expense may be subject to adjustment in future periods depending on the specific characteristics of the equity-based award and the application of accounting guidance.

Tax Status

We intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with our short taxable year ended December 31, 2011. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute annually to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, which does not necessarily equal net income as calculated in accordance with GAAP. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax (other than taxes paid by our TRSs) to the extent we currently distribute 100% of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate income tax rates and generally will not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost unless we satisfy certain relief provisions.

Commencing on February 14, 2011, we began to account for federal and state income taxes with respect to our TRSs using the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the consolidated financial statements' carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and respective tax bases and operating losses and tax-credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

NOTE 2 - INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING

On February 14, 2011, the Company closed its IPO of 26,000,000 shares of common stock and its concurrent private placement of 1,274,000 shares of common stock. Net proceeds received by the Company and the Operating Partnership from the IPO and the concurrent private placement were \$240.8 million, after deducting the underwriting discount related to the IPO of \$17.7 million and the payment of offering-related expenses of approximately \$7.3 million. The Company contributed the net proceeds of the IPO and the concurrent private placement to the Operating Partnership in exchange for Common Units, representing limited and general partnership interests. The Operating Partnership primarily used these funds to pay down debt (see Note 11).

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 3 - PREFERRED STOCK OFFERING

On October 28, 2011, Summit REIT completed a public offering of 2,000,000 shares of its 9.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock in which it raised net proceeds of \$47.9 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering costs of approximately \$2.1 million. The Company contributed the net proceeds of this offering to the Operating Partnership in exchange for Preferred Units. The Operating Partnership used these funds to pay down the principal balance of our revolving credit facility.

NOTE 4 - RESTRICTED CASH

Restricted cash as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 is comprised of the following:

| Financing Lender | Property Taxes | Insurance | FF&E Reserves | 2011 | 2010 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Wells Fargo (Lehman) | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$1,284,913 |
| National Western Life | 64,258 | - | - | 64,258 | - |
| Goldman Sachs | 174,447 | 82,488 | 65,028 | 321,963 | - |
| Bank of the Ozarks | 11,112 | 8,307 | 103,506 | 122,925 | 21,902 |
| Capmark (ING) | 176,291 | - | - | 176,291 | 139,245 |
| Capmark (ING) | 575,472 | - | - | 575,472 | 235,576 |
| Capmark (ING) | 117,620 | - | - | 117,620 | 165,810 |
| Capmark (ING) | 85,503 | - | - | 85,503 | 85,822 |
| | \$1,204,703 | \$90,795 | \$168,534 | \$1,464,032 | \$1,933,268 |

NOTE 5 - PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER

Prepaid expenses and other at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are comprised of the following:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Prepaid insurance expense | \$425,821 | \$511,169 |
| Other | 3,842,572 | 1,227,476 |
| | \$4,268,393 | \$1,738,645 |

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 6 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are comprised of the following:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Land | \$76,846,292 | \$69,592,292 |
| Hotel buildings and improvements | 444,377,456 | 392,138,987 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 103,820,275 | 88,781,027 |
| | 625,044,023 | 550,512,306 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 126,167,785 | 104,796,502 |
| | \$498,876,238 | \$445,715,804 |

NOTE 7 - ACQUISITIONS

We acquired four hotels during the second quarter of 2011 and one hotel during the third quarter of 2011. We purchased the Homewood Suites in Ridgeland, MS on April 15, 2011 for approximately \$7.3 million, the Staybridge Suites in Glendale, CO on April 27, 2011 for approximately \$10.0 million, the Holiday Inn in Duluth, GA on April 27, 2011 for approximately \$7.0 million, the Hilton Garden Inn in Duluth, GA for approximately \$13.4 million on May 25, 2011 and the Courtyard by Marriott in El Paso, TX on July 28, 2011 for approximately \$12.4 million. The purchases were financed with borrowings under our revolving credit facility. We did not acquire any intangibles or assume any debt related to these five acquisitions.

The following table shows the allocation of the aggregated purchase prices for the purchases discussed above during 2011:

| | 2011 (in thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Land | \$7,254 |
| Hotel buildings and improvements | 41,368 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 1,428 |
| Current assets | 365 |
| Total assets acquired | \$50,415 |
| Current liabilities | 398 |
| Net assets acquired | \$50,017 |

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 8 - DEFERRED CHARGES AND OTHER ASSETS

Deferred charges and other assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are comprised of the following:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Initial franchise fees | \$5,810,223 | \$2,596,042 |
| Deferred financing costs | 7,580,963 | 9,443,365 |
| | 13,391,186 | 12,039,407 |
| Less accumulated amortization | 4,467,280 | 7,988,112 |
| Total | \$8,923,906 | \$4,051,295 |

Future amortization expense is expected to be approximately:

| | |
|------------|-------------|
| 2012 | \$2,411,175 |
| 2013 | 1,975,203 |
| 2014 | 1,105,096 |
| 2015 | 610,487 |
| 2016 | 498,114 |
| Thereafter | 2,323,831 |
| | \$8,923,906 |

NOTE 9 - OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS

Other noncurrent assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are comprised of the following:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Prepaid land lease | \$3,540,795 | \$3,588,195 |
| Seller financed notes receivable | 25,705 | 423,797 |
| Income tax receivable from limited partners | 453,370 | - |
| | \$4,019,870 | \$4,011,992 |

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 10 - ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are comprised of the following:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Accrued sales and other taxes | \$6,140,859 | \$5,594,053 |
| Accrued salaries and benefits | 2,114,935 | 1,834,861 |
| Accrued interest | 806,633 | 1,799,693 |
| Other accrued expenses | 6,719,150 | 1,863,524 |
| | \$15,781,577 | \$11,092,131 |

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 11 - DEBT OBLIGATIONS

A detail of mortgage loans and notes payable at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are comprised of the following:

| Payee | | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | 2011 | 2010 |
|---------------------------------|-----|--|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Lehman Brothers Bank | | Fixed (5.4025%) | 1/11/2012 | \$ - | \$ 76,829,078 |
| ING Investment Management | a) | Fixed (5.60%) | 4/1/2012 | 27,645,831 | 28,901,411 |
| | b) | Fixed (6.10%) | 7/1/2012 | 28,158,119 | 29,321,614 |
| | c) | Fixed (6.61%) | 11/1/2013 | 6,046,891 | 6,235,813 |
| | d) | Fixed (6.34%) | 7/1/2012 | 7,655,240 | 7,896,366 |
| | | | | 69,506,081 | 72,355,204 |
| National Western Life Insurance | e) | Fixed (8.0%) | 1/1/2015 | 13,196,954 | 13,631,222 |
| Chambers Bank | f) | Fixed (6.5%) | 6/24/2012 | 1,506,652 | 1,594,177 |
| Bank of the Ozarks | g) | Variable (6.75% at 12/31/11 and 6.75% at 12/31/10) | 6/29/2012 | 6,333,971 | 6,435,774 |
| MetaBank | h) | Variable (5.0% at 12/31/11 and 5.0% at 12/31/10) | 3/1/2012 | 7,057,770 | 7,286,887 |
| BNC National Bank | i) | Fixed (5.01%) | 11/1/2013 | 5,518,845 | 5,719,872 |
| | j) | Fixed (4.81%) | 4/1/2016 | 5,699,850 | 5,814,136 |
| | | | | 11,218,695 | 11,534,008 |
| Marshall & Ilsley Bank | | Variable (5.0% at 12/31/10) | 6/30/2011 | - | 9,895,727 |
| | | | 3/31/2011 | - | 11,524,451 |
| | | | | - | 21,420,178 |
| General Electric Capital Corp. | k) | Variable (4.08% at 12/31/11 and 2.05% at 12/31/10) | 4/1/2018 | 8,315,294 | 8,685,517 |
| | l) | | 3/1/2019 | 10,708,600 | 11,033,293 |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|--|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Variable (4.08% at 12/31/11 and 2.1% at 12/31/10) | | | |
| | m) | Variable (4.08% at 12/31/11 and 2.85% at 12/31/10) | 4/1/2014 | 10,860,148 29,884,042 | 11,182,794 30,901,604 |
| Fortress Credit Corp. | | Variable(10.75% at 12/31/10) | 3/5/2011 | - | 86,722,869 |
| First National Bank of Omaha | | Variable (5.5% at 12/31/10) | 7/31/2011 | - | 38,375,633 |
| First National Bank of Omaha | n) | Variable (5.25% at 12/31/11 and 5.25% at 12/31/10) | 7/1/2013 | 15,137,035 | 15,588,572 |
| First National Bank of Omaha | n) | Variable (5.25% at 12/31/11 and 5.25% at 12/31/10) | 2/1/2014 | 8,551,430 | 8,646,361 |
| Bank of Cascades | o) | Fixed (4.66%) | 9/30/2021 | 12,557,412 | 12,623,347 |
| Compass Bank | p) | Variable (4.5% at 12/31/11 and 4.5% at 12/31/10) | 5/17/2018 | 16,083,173 | 16,492,293 |
| Goldman Sachs | q) | Fixed (5.67%) | 7/6/2016 | 14,644,044 | - |
| Deutsche Bank | r) | Variable (3.8% at 12/31/11) | 4/29/2014 | 11,426,469 | - |
| Total mortgages and notes payable | | | | 217,103,728 | 420,437,207 |

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

- a) In 2005, the Predecessor obtained a permanent loan with ING Investment Management (“ING”) secured by six of our hotels in the amount of \$34,150,000. This loan carries an interest rate of 5.6% and matures on July 1, 2025, with options for the lender to call the note beginning in 2012 upon six months prior notice. ING exercised their call option in May 2011. See further discussion below. Proceeds were used to refinance other short and long-term debt related to the secured hotels. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$236,843.
- b) In 2006, the Predecessor obtained a permanent loan with ING secured by nine of our hotels in the amount of \$36,600,800. This loan carries an interest rate of 6.1% and matures in July 2012. Proceeds were used to refinance other short and long-term debt related to the secured hotels. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$243,328.
- c) On November 1, 2006, the Predecessor entered into a loan with ING. The loan was for construction of the Residence Inn in Jackson, MS. The loan for \$6,600,000 has a fixed rate of 6.61% and a maturity date of November 1, 2028, with a call option on November 1, 2013. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$49,621.
- d) On December 22, 2006, the Predecessor entered into a loan with ING for the construction of the Hilton Garden Inn in Ft. Collins, CO. The loan was for \$8,318,000 and has a fixed rate of 6.34% and matures on July 1, 2012. The monthly principal and interest is \$61,236.
- e) On December 8, 2009, the Predecessor entered into two loans with National Western Life Insurance Company in the amounts of \$8,650,000 and \$5,350,000 to refinance the JP Morgan debt on the two Scottsdale, AZ hotels. The loans carry a fixed rate of 8.0% and mature on January 1, 2015. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$125,756.
- f) In 2003, the Predecessor entered into a loan with Chambers Bank to purchase the Aspen Hotel in Ft. Smith, AR. The loan carries a fixed rate of 6.5% and matures on June 24, 2012. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$15,644.
- g) On June 29, 2009, the Predecessor entered into a loan with Bank of the Ozarks in the amount of \$10,816,000 to fund the hotel construction located in Portland, OR. The loan carries a variable interest rate of 90 day LIBOR plus 400 basis points with a floor of 6.75% and matures on June 29, 2012. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$44,935.
- h) On March 10, 2009, the Predecessor entered into a loan modification agreement with MetaBank in the amount of \$7,450,000 on the Boise, ID Cambria Suites. The loan modification extended the maturity date to March 1, 2012. The loan has a variable interest rate of Prime, with a floor of 5%. The monthly principal and interest is \$30,811.
- i) On May 10, 2006, the Predecessor entered into a loan with BNC National Bank in the amount of \$7,120,000 to fund construction of the Hampton Inn in Ft. Worth, TX. The loan has a fixed rate of 5.01% and matures on November 1, 2013. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$40,577.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

j) On October 1, 2008, the Predecessor entered into a loan with BNC National Bank in the amount of \$6,460,000 to fund the land acquisition and hotel construction of the Holiday Inn Express located in Twin Falls, ID. The loan has a fixed rate of 4.81% and matures on April 1, 2016. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$37,763.

k) On April 30, 2007, the Predecessor entered into a loan with General Electric Capital Corporation (“GECC”) in the amount of \$9,500,000 to fund the land acquisition on hotel construction located in Denver, CO. The loan carries a variable interest rate of LIBOR plus 350 basis points and matures on April 1, 2018. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$53,842.

l) On August 15, 2007, the Predecessor entered into a loan with GECC in the amount of \$11,300,000 to fund construction of the Cambria Suites in Baton Rouge, LA. The loan carries a variable interest rate of LIBOR plus 350 basis points and matures in March 2019. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$49,709.

m) On February 29, 2008, the Predecessor entered into a loan with GECC in the amount of \$11,400,000 to fund the land acquisition and hotel construction located in San Antonio, TX. The loan carries a variable interest rate of 90 day LIBOR plus 350 basis points and matures in April 2014. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$54,639.

n) The Company has a credit pool agreement with the First National Bank of Omaha providing the Company with medium-term financing. The agreement allows for two-year interest only notes and five-year amortizing notes, for which the term of an individual note can extend beyond the term of the agreement. Interest on unpaid principal is payable monthly at a rate LIBOR plus 4.0% and a floor of 5.25%. Two notes totaling \$15,137,035 require monthly principal and interest payments of \$105,865 and mature on July 1, 2013. The note for \$8,551,430 requires a monthly principal and interest payment of \$46,072 and matures on February 1, 2014.

o) On October 3, 2008, the Predecessor entered into a loan with Bank of the Cascades in the amount of \$13,270,000 to fund the land acquisition and hotel construction of the Residence Inn located in Portland, OR. On September 30, 2011, we refinanced the loan to have a new maturity date of September 30, 2021 and a fixed interest rate of 4.66% until September 30, 2016 with a fixed interest rate thereafter of the then-current Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle Intermediate/Long-Term, Advances Five-year Fixed Rate plus 3.00%. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$71,316.

p) On September 17, 2008, the Predecessor entered into a loan with Compass Bank in the amount of \$19,250,000 to fund the land acquisition and hotel construction of the Courtyard by Marriott located in Flagstaff, AZ. The loan carries a variable interest rate of Prime minus 25 basis points, with a floor of 4.5%, and matures on May 17, 2018. The monthly principal and interest payment is \$128,838.

q) On June 28, 2011, the Company entered into a loan with Goldman Sachs Commercial Mortgage Capital, LP in the principal amount of \$14,750,000 on the SpringHill Suites hotel in Bloomington, MN and the Hampton Inn & Suites hotel in Bloomington, MN. The interest rate is fixed at 5.67%. The loan matures on July 6, 2016, and monthly principal and interest payments are \$92,082.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

r) On April 29, 2011, the Company entered into a \$100.0 million, three-year (with an option to extend for one additional year if we meet certain requirements) senior secured revolving credit facility with Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as administrative agent and lender, and a syndicate of other lenders. We pay interest on the periodic advances under the senior secured revolving credit facility at varying rates, based upon, at our option, either (i) 1-, 2-, 3- or 6-month LIBOR, subject to a floor of 0.50%, plus a LIBOR margin between 2.50% and 3.50%, depending upon the ratio of our outstanding consolidated total indebtedness to EBITDA (as defined in the loan documentation), or (ii) the applicable base rate, which is the greatest of the administrative agent's prime rate, 0.50% plus the federal funds effective rate, and 1-month LIBOR (incorporating the floor of 0.50%) plus 1.00%, plus a margin between 1.50% and 2.50%, depending upon the ratio of outstanding consolidated total indebtedness to EBITDA (as defined in the loan documentation). Borrowing availability under the facility is subject to a borrowing base of properties pledged as collateral for borrowings under the facility and other conditions. On May 13, 2011, the Operating Partnership entered into an agreement with Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch and U.S. Bank National Association that increased the maximum aggregate amount of the credit facility from \$100.00 million to \$125.0 million. As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding principal balance on this secured credit facility was approximately \$11.4 million. Our borrowing capacity as of December 31, 2011 was approximately \$92.3 million and \$80.9 million was available for future use.

Maturities of long-term debt for each of the next five years are estimated as follows:

| | |
|------------|---------------|
| 2012 | \$82,354,588 |
| 2013 | 25,880,486 |
| 2014 | 29,850,880 |
| 2015 | 13,237,614 |
| 2016 | 19,828,194 |
| Thereafter | 45,951,966 |
| | \$217,103,728 |

The Company refinanced ING and MetaBank debt of approximately \$76.6 million in February 2012 (see Note 20). The Company is in preliminary discussions with Chambers Bank and Bank of the Ozarks about refinancing the related debt that is due in June 2012.

The weighted average interest rate for all borrowings was 5.38% and 5.70% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

| | 2011 (in millions) | 2010 (in millions) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Fixed-rate mortgage loans | \$122.6 | \$170.1 |
| Variable-rate mortgage loans | 94.5 | 250.3 |
| | \$217.1 | \$420.4 |

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

As previously reported, we utilized a portion of the net proceeds from the IPO and concurrent private placement to pay down outstanding mortgage indebtedness. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we utilized approximately \$227.2 million of such net proceeds to reduce outstanding mortgage indebtedness and pay associated costs, as follows:

approximately \$89.3 million to repay in full a loan from Fortress Credit Corp., including approximately \$2.1 million of exit fees, interest and legal fees;

approximately \$78.2 million to repay in full a loan originally made by Lehman Brothers Bank, including approximately \$1.4 million to pay an extinguishment premium and other transaction costs;

approximately \$21.4 million to repay in full two loans from Marshall & Isley Bank; and

approximately \$38.3 million to repay in full two loans from First National Bank of Omaha.

In connection with the March 23, 2011 termination of franchise agreements with Choice Hotels International, Inc. (“Choice”), we executed agreements with ING and with GECC in connection with the termination of the franchise agreements with respect to the hotels securing loans from these lenders.

We entered into an agreement with ING pursuant to which ING agreed to forbear, for a period of 120 days, from declaring any default relating to the termination of the Choice franchise agreements. On July 27, 2011, ING agreed to substitute the SpringHill Suites, Flagstaff, AZ, and the Staybridge Suites, Ridgeland, MS, and release the AmericInn, Fort Smith, AR (formerly Comfort Inn) and AmericInn, Missoula, MT (formerly Comfort Inn), and otherwise waive any defaults related to the termination and change of franchise. The collateral substitution closed on September 30, 2011.

GECC agreed to waive any default relating to the termination of the Choice franchise agreements, provided that an event of default would be declared if a replacement franchise agreement was not entered into by August 15, 2011. On July 25, 2011, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent pursuant to which we and GECC agreed to modify the loans as follows: (a) decrease the interest rate to 90-day LIBOR plus 3.50%; (b) certain fixed charge coverage ratios will be modified to reflect the stabilization of revenues of the former Choice hotels after their conversion to other nationally-recognized brands; and (c) we will pledge additional collateral for the loans, including the Aloft, Jacksonville, Florida, the Hyatt Place, Las Colinas, Texas, and the Fairfield Inn, Boise, Idaho, which liens on these three additional hotels may be released upon satisfaction of certain fixed charge coverage ratio tests on the collateralized hotels as well as on our entire hotel portfolio. The modification cured any potential default under the GECC loans related to the change in franchise, and was closed August 12, 2011.

In May 2011, ING notified us that it was exercising its contractual right to declare the entire principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest on its loan to us, which had an outstanding principal balance of approximately \$27.6 million as of December 31, 2011, to become due and payable on January 1, 2012. On October 3, 2011, we and ING agreed to a non-binding term sheet pursuant to which we planned to refinance and consolidate that loan and our other three ING loans, which four loans collectively had an aggregate outstanding balance of approximately \$69.5 million as of December 31, 2011, into a single 7-year term loan with a principal balance of \$67.5 million, amortized over 20

years and bearing an annual interest rate of 6.10%, collateralized by 16 properties containing 1,639 guestrooms. After taking into account the continuing amortization of the existing loans through closing and the proceeds of the new loan, we funded at closing approximately \$1.5 million of principal paydown with a draw on our revolving credit facility (see Note 20).

F-31

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 12 - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The Company has reclassified its consolidated financial statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 to reflect discontinued operations of two consolidated hotel properties sold during this period pursuant to the plan for hotel dispositions. This reclassification has no impact on the Company's net income or the net income per share. During 2009, the Company sold two hotel properties located in Ellensburg, WA and St. Joseph, MO for approximately \$6,810,000, with net proceeds of approximately \$6,342,000.

Condensed financial information of the results of operations for these hotel properties included in discontinued operations are as follows:

| | 2009 |
|---|--------------------|
| REVENUE | \$1,133,690 |
| EXPENSES | |
| Rooms | 296,012 |
| Other direct | 146,159 |
| Other indirect | 282,139 |
| Other | 53,463 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 153,948 |
| | 931,721 |
| INCOME FROM OPERATIONS | 201,969 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | |
| Interest income | 116 |
| Interest expense | (39,100) |
| Gain (loss) on disposal of assets | 1,301,823 |
| | 1,262,839 |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | \$1,464,808 |

NOTE 13 - NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

As of December 31, 2011, limited partners of the Operating Partnership other than the Company owned 10,100,000 Common Units representing an approximate 27% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. Beginning on or after February 14, 2012, pursuant to the limited partnership agreement, redemption rights of the limited partners other than the Company, will enable those limited partners to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem their Common Units in exchange for cash based upon the fair value of an equivalent number of shares of the Company's common stock at the time of redemption, or at the Company's option, shares of the Company's common stock, on a one-for-one basis. The number of shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon redemption of Common

Units may be adjusted upon the occurrence of certain events such as share dividends, share subdivisions or combinations.

F-32

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

The Company classifies these Common Units as noncontrolling interests as a component of permanent equity on the December 31, 2011 consolidated balance sheet. The share of net loss allocated to these Common Units is reported on the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the period February 14, 2011 through December 31, 2011 as net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests. For the period from February 14, 2011 through December 31, 2011, no Common Units were redeemed.

NOTE 14 - EQUITY

Common Shares

On February 14, 2011, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 27,274,000 common shares, par value of \$.01 per share (see Note 2). Upon completion of the offering, the Company issued 4,000 common shares to our independent directors pursuant to the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. The Company granted options to purchase 940,000 common shares (see Note 16). The Company paid dividends of \$.05625, \$.1125, and \$.1125 per share on May 23, 2011; August 31, 2011; and November 30, 2011, respectively.

Preferred Shares

On October 28, 2011, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 2,000,000 shares of 9.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value of \$.01 per share (see Note 3). Dividends are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last day of February, May, August and November of each year. The Company paid dividends of \$.20556 per share on November 30, 2011.

NOTE 15 - BENEFIT PLANS

Effective August 1, 2011, the Company has a qualified contributory retirement plan (the Plan), under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code which covers all full-time employees who meet certain eligibility requirements. Voluntary contributions may be made to the Plan by employees. The Plan is a Safe Harbor Plan and requires a mandatory employer contribution. The employer contribution expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was approximately \$69,000.

NOTE 16 - EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company measures and recognizes compensation expense for all equity-based payments. The compensation expense is recognized based on the grant-date fair value of those awards. All of the Company's existing stock option awards have been determined to be equity-classified awards.

The Company's 2011 Equity Incentive Plan provides for the granting of options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, dividend equivalent rights, and other equity-based award or incentive award up to an aggregate of 2,318,290 shares of the Company's common stock. Options granted may be either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options. Vesting terms may vary with each grant, and option terms are generally five to ten years.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Concurrent with the completion of the IPO, the Company granted options to purchase 940,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Options to purchase shares of common stock were granted with exercise prices equal to \$9.75 per share, the fair value of the common stock on the date of grant. Options vest on a ratable basis over a five-year period following the date of grant and options terms are generally five to ten years following the date of grant. The fair value of stock options granted was estimated using a Black-Scholes valuation model with the following assumptions:

| | 2011 | |
|--|------|---|
| Expected dividend yield at date of grant | 5.09 | % |
| Expected stock price volatility | 56.6 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.57 | % |
| Expected life of options (in years) | 6.5 | |

The risk-free interest rate assumptions were based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. The expected volatility was based on historical monthly price changes of a peer group of comparable entities based on the expected life of the options at the date of grant. The expected life of options is the average number of years the Company estimates that options will be outstanding. The Company considers groups of associates that have similar historical exercise behavior separately for valuation purposes.

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Company's 2011 Equity Incentive Plan for the year ended December 31, 2011:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Terms (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | - | \$ - | - | \$ - |
| Granted | 940,000 | \$ 9.75 | - | \$ - |
| Exercised | - | \$ - | - | \$ - |
| Cancelled | - | \$ - | - | \$ - |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 940,000 | \$ 9.75 | 9.1 | \$ - (1) |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2011 | - | \$ - | - | \$ - |

(1) Exercise price exceeds our market price at December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

Concurrent with the completion of the IPO, the Company granted 4,000 shares of stock to directors of the Company under the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan and recognized \$39,000 of compensation expense. These shares vested concurrent with the grant.

F-34

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 17 - EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Diluted loss per share was the same as basic loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2011 as any potential impact from the outstanding stock option awards and preferred shares were anti-dilutive.

At December 31, 2011, options to purchase 940,000 shares of common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$9.75 per share were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, as the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares.

NOTE 18 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company leases land for two of its Ft. Smith, AR properties under the terms of operating ground lease agreements expiring August 2022 and May 2030. The Company has options to renew the leases for periods that range from 5-30 years. The Company also has a prepaid land lease on the Portland, OR hotels with a remaining balance of \$3,540,795 on December 31, 2011. This lease expires in June 2084. The Company leases land on the Duluth, GA Holiday Inn property under the terms of an operating ground lease agreement expiring April 1, 2069. Total rent expense for these four leases for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$352,534, \$229,394, and \$304,323, respectively.

Approximate future minimum rental payments for noncancelable operating leases in excess of one year are as follows:

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| 2012 | \$431,991 |
| 2013 | 442,026 |
| 2014 | 452,362 |
| 2015 | 463,008 |
| 2016 | 473,973 |
| Thereafter | 35,164,202 |
| | \$37,427,562 |

On March 23, 2011, Choice Hotels International terminated the franchise agreements on 10 of our hotels. Choice also terminated the franchise agreement for the Cambria Suites, Bloomington, MN effective June 23, 2011. We filed an arbitration action against Choice claiming wrongful termination of our franchise agreements. In response to our arbitration action, Choice responded with counterclaims of fraudulent inducement, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract and trademark infringement. The parties have agreed to litigate all claims in the arbitration action. The arbitration hearings were held in December 2011 and January 2012. Findings from the arbitration panel are expected in late March or April, 2012. The Company vehemently denies all asserted claims and is vigorously defending the claims. The Company is unable to predict the outcome as it relates to these claims.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Following the termination of the 11 franchise agreements with Choice, we entered into new license or franchise agreements for all of these hotels. On April 6, 2011, we entered into a license agreement with Holiday Hospitality Franchising, Inc. for the Holiday Inn in Boise, ID. On April 15, 2011, we entered into franchise agreements with AmericInn International, LLC for five hotels in Salina, KS; Missoula, MT; Golden, CO; Twin Falls, ID; and Ft. Smith, AR. On May 17, 2011, we entered into a license agreement with Carlson Inc. for the Country Inn & Suites in San Antonio, TX. On June 24, 2011, we entered into a franchise agreement with Marriott International, Inc. for the SpringHill Suites in Bloomington, MN. On August 5, 2011, we entered into a franchise agreement with Hilton Worldwide for the DoubleTree in Baton Rouge, LA. On August 22, 2011, we entered into a franchise agreement with Marriott to operate our 70-room hotel in Fort Worth, TX as a Fairfield Inn & Suites, upon completion of certain capital improvements, currently expected to be completed during the second quarter of 2012. On August 24, 2011, we entered into a franchise agreement with InterContinental to operate our 67-room hotel in Charleston, WV as a Holiday Inn Express.

NOTE 19 - INCOME TAXES

The deferred tax asset of \$2,195,820 relates primarily to the taxable loss of the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries. The earnings (loss), other than in the taxable REIT subsidiaries of the Company are not generally subject to Federal income taxes at the Company level, due to the REIT election made by the Company. As of December 31, 2011, the Company has estimated net operating loss carry forwards of the taxable REIT subsidiaries for federal income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$5.5 million. No valuation allowances have been recorded against the Company's deferred tax assets, as the Company believes the income tax benefit is fully realizable based upon projected future taxable income.

The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of or during the three year period ended December 31, 2011. The Company expects no significant increases or decreases in unrecognized tax benefits due to changes in tax positions within one year of December 31, 2011. The Company has no material interest or penalties relating to income taxes recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 or in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Current tax liabilities of \$148,879 are included in accrued expenses on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and relate to the state and local tax expense of the Operating Partnership.

The components of income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 are:

| | Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Period 2/14/11 through 12/31/11 | Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (Predecessor) Period 1/1/11 through 2/13/11 | 2010 | 2009 |
|-----------------|--|---|---------|------|
| Current: | | | | |
| Federal | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| State and local | (129,163) | 339,034 | 202,163 | - |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------|
| Deferred: | | | | |
| Federal (34%) | (1,866,447) | - | - | - |
| State and local (6%) | (329,373) | - | - | - |
| | \$ (2,324,983) | \$ 339,034 | \$ 202,163 | \$ - |

Our Predecessor is a limited liability company and as such, all Federal taxable income of a limited liability company flows through and is taxable to its members.

F-36

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

For Federal income tax purposes, the cash distributions paid to the Company's common shareholders and preferred shareholders may be characterized as ordinary income, return of capital (generally non-taxable) or capital gains.

A summary of the average taxable nature of the Company's common dividends for the year ended December 31, 2011, is as follows:

| | 2011 | |
|---------------------------|--------|---|
| Total dividends per share | \$0.28 | |
| Ordinary income | 33.89 | % |
| Return of capital | 66.11 | % |
| | 100.00 | % |

A summary of the average taxable nature of the Company's dividend on Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares for the year ended December 31, 2011, is as follows:

| | 2011 | |
|---------------------------|--------|---|
| Total dividends per share | \$0.21 | |
| Ordinary income | 100.00 | % |
| Return of capital | 0.00 | % |
| | 100.00 | % |

NOTE 20 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 12, 2012, we purchased 90% of the ownership interests in the 150 unit Courtyard by Marriott hotel in Atlanta, Georgia for a purchase price of approximately \$28.5 million. Upon expiration of tax credits related to the hotel in approximately four years, we will be able to take assignment of the remaining ownership of the hotel for approximately \$350,000 of additional consideration. We funded the purchase price of this acquisition through the assumption of a term loan with Empire Financial with a principal balance of \$19 million and with approximately \$9.5 million on our revolving credit facility. In connection with this acquisition, we have engaged Courtyard Management to manage the hotel pursuant to a hotel management agreement.

On February 13, 2012, we closed on the consolidation and refinance of our four loans with ING Life Insurance and Annuity, which four loans collectively had an aggregate outstanding balance of approximately \$69.5 million as of December 31, 2011. The loans were consolidated into a single 7-year term loan with a principal balance of \$67.5 million, maturity date of March 1, 2032, amortized over 20 years and bearing an annual interest rate of 6.10%, collateralized by 16 properties containing 1,639 guestrooms. The lender has the right to call the loan so as to be payable in full at March 1, 2019, March 1, 2024 and March 1, 2029.

On February 14, 2012, we closed on the refinance of our loan with Metabank, which had an outstanding balance as of the date of closing of approximately \$7.0 million. The loan matures on February 1, 2017, is amortized over approximately 17 years, and bears an annual interest rate of 4.95%.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP, AND SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, LLC
(PREDECESSOR)
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 21 - SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

Selected consolidated quarterly financial data (in thousands, except per unit amounts) for 2011 and 2010 is summarized below. The sum of the quarterly income (loss) per unit amounts may not equal the annual income per unit amounts due primarily to changes in the number of common units and common unit equivalents outstanding from quarter to quarter.

| | Predecessor | | Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. Three Months Ended | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|---|----------|------------|------------|
| | 1/1-2/13 | 2/14 -3/31 | 6/30 | 9/30 | 12/31 | Total |
| 2011: | | | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$14,598 | \$18,809 | \$38,589 | \$42,330 | \$34,556 | \$134,284 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | (5,868) | (1,442) | 948 | 40 | (6,048) | (6,502) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders/members | \$(6,207) | \$(1,178) | \$441 | \$30 | \$(2,641) | \$(3,348) |
| Net income (loss) per share, basic and diluted: | | \$(0.04) | \$0.02 | \$0.00 | \$(0.10) | \$(0.12) |

| | Predecessor | | Summit Hotel OP, LP Three Months Ended | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|---|----------|------------|------------|
| | 1/1-2/13 | 2/14 -3/31 | 6/30 | 9/30 | 12/31 | Total |
| 2011: | | | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$14,598 | \$18,809 | \$38,589 | \$42,330 | \$34,556 | \$134,284 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | (5,868) | (1,442) | 948 | 40 | (6,048) | (6,502) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unitholders/members | \$(6,207) | \$(1,614) | \$604 | \$41 | \$(3,619) | \$(4,588) |
| Net income (loss) per share, basic and diluted: | | \$(0.04) | \$0.02 | \$0.00 | \$(0.10) | \$(0.12) |

Summit Hotel Properties, LLC (Predecessor)
Three Months Ended

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | 3/31 | 6/30 | 9/30 | 12/31 | Total |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2010: | | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$31,363 | \$35,849 | \$37,601 | \$30,822 | \$135,635 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | (3,404) | (1,998) | (1,251) | (14,065) | (20,718) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to SHP LLC | \$(3,556) | \$(2,074) | \$(1,296) | \$(13,994) | \$(20,920) |

F-38

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC/SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP
Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2011
(in thousands)

| Location | Franchise | Year Acquired/ Constructed | Initial Cost | | | Total Cost | | | Accumulated Depreciation | Total Cost Net of Accumulated Depreciation | Mo |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|-------|
| | | | Land | Improvements | Acquisition | Land | Improvements | Total | | | |
| Atlanta, GA | Hyatt Place | 2006 | \$1,154 | \$9,605 | \$2,970 | \$1,154 | \$12,575 | \$13,729 | \$(3,769) | \$9,960 | \$8,5 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | DoubleTree Fairfield | 2008 | 1,100 | 14,063 | 800 | 1,100 | 14,863 | 15,963 | (2,728) | 13,235 | 10 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | Inn by Marriott SpringHill | 2004 | 345 | 3,057 | 638 | 345 | 3,695 | 4,040 | (1,271) | 2,769 | - |
| Baton Rouge, LA | Suites by Marriott | 2004 | 448 | 3,729 | 696 | 448 | 4,425 | 4,873 | (1,565) | 3,308 | - |
| Baton Rouge, LA | TownePlace Suites Fairfield | 2004 | 259 | 3,743 | 659 | 259 | 4,402 | 4,661 | (1,677) | 2,984 | - |
| Bellevue, WA | Inn by Marriott SpringHill | 2004 | 2,705 | 12,944 | 1,890 | 2,705 | 15,447 | 18,152 | (3,406) | 14,746 | - |
| Bloomington, MN | Suites by Marriott | 2007 | 1,658 | 14,071 | 669 | 1,658 | 14,740 | 16,398 | (3,088) | 13,310 | 2,2 |
| Bloomington, MN | Hampton Inn Fairfield | 2007 | 1,658 | 14,596 | 45 | 1,658 | 14,641 | 16,299 | (3,220) | 13,079 | 12 |
| Boise, ID | Inn by Marriott Hampton | 2004 | 564 | 2,874 | 323 | 564 | 3,197 | 3,761 | (998) | 2,763 | - |
| Boise, ID | Inn Holiday Inn | 2004 | 597 | 3,295 | 1,311 | 1,335 | 3,868 | 5,203 | (1,242) | 3,961 | - |
| Boise, ID | Express | 2005 | 1,038 | 2,422 | 238 | 780 | 2,918 | 3,698 | (1,051) | 2,647 | 2,3 |
| Boise, ID | Holiday Inn | 2007 | 1,934 | 10,968 | 422 | 1,299 | 12,025 | 13,324 | (4,063) | 9,261 | 7,0 |
| Charleston, WV | Country Inn & Suites | 2004 | 1,042 | 3,489 | 441 | 1,042 | 3,930 | 4,972 | (1,275) | 3,697 | - |
| Charleston, WV | Holiday Inn Express Fairfield | 2004 | 907 | 2,903 | 2,130 | 907 | 5,033 | 5,940 | (840) | 5,100 | - |
| Denver, CO | Inn by Marriott SpringHill | 2004 | 1,566 | 6,783 | 2,072 | 1,566 | 9,457 | 11,023 | (1,932) | 9,091 | - |
| Denver, CO | Suites by Marriott | 2007 | 1,076 | 11,079 | 24 | 1,076 | 11,103 | 12,179 | (2,493) | 9,686 | 8,3 |
| Denver, CO | | 2004 | 1,125 | 3,678 | 850 | 1,125 | 4,528 | 5,653 | (1,992) | 3,661 | 4,8 |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|-----|--|
| | Hampton Inn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duluth, GA | Holiday Inn | 2011 | - | 7,000 | 97 | - | 7,097 | 7,097 | (211) | 6,886 | - | |
| Duluth, GA | Hilton Garden Inn | 2011 | 2,200 | 11,150 | 34 | 2,200 | 11,184 | 13,384 | (268) | 13,116 | - | |
| El Paso, TX | Courtyard by Marriott | 2011 | 1,640 | 10,710 | 20 | 1,640 | 10,730 | 12,370 | (172) | 12,198 | - | |
| El Paso, TX | Hampton Inn | 2005 | 2,055 | 10,745 | 1,313 | 2,055 | 12,058 | 14,113 | (4,092) | 10,021 | 7,3 | |
| Emporia, KS | Fairfield Inn by Marriott | 2004 | 320 | 2,436 | 238 | 320 | 2,674 | 2,994 | (883) | 2,111 | - | |
| Emporia, KS | Holiday Inn Express | 2004 | 292 | 2,840 | 464 | 292 | 3,304 | 3,596 | (1,004) | 2,592 | - | |
| Flagstaff, AZ | Courtyard by Marriott | 2009 | 3,353 | 20,785 | 13 | 3,353 | 20,798 | 24,151 | (2,443) | 21,708 | 16 | |
| Flagstaff, AZ | SpringHill Suites by Marriott | 2008 | 1,398 | 9,352 | 4,852 | 1,398 | 14,204 | 15,602 | (2,675) | 12,927 | - | |
| Ft. Collins, CO | Hampton Inn | 2004 | 738 | 4,363 | 287 | 738 | 4,650 | 5,388 | (1,424) | 3,964 | - | |
| Ft. Collins, CO | Hilton Garden Inn | 2007 | 1,300 | 11,804 | 64 | 1,300 | 11,868 | 13,168 | (3,475) | 9,693 | 7,6 | |
| Ft. Myers, FL | Hyatt Place | 2009 | 3,608 | 16,583 | 2 | 3,608 | 16,585 | 20,193 | (2,555) | 17,638 | - | |
| Ft. Smith, AR | AmericInn | 2004 | - | 3,718 | 676 | - | 4,394 | 4,394 | (1,173) | 3,221 | 2,7 | |
| Ft. Smith, AR | Aspen Hotel | 2004 | 223 | 3,189 | 546 | 223 | 3,735 | 3,958 | (1,603) | 2,355 | 1,5 | |
| Ft. Smith, AR | Hampton Inn | 2005 | - | 12,401 | 882 | - | 13,283 | 13,283 | (3,471) | 9,812 | 8,2 | |
| Ft. Wayne, IN | Hampton Inn | 2006 | 786 | 6,564 | 756 | 786 | 7,320 | 8,106 | (2,009) | 6,097 | 4,6 | |
| Ft. Wayne, IN | Residence Inn by Marriott | 2006 | 914 | 6,736 | 711 | 914 | 7,447 | 8,361 | (1,973) | 6,388 | - | |
| Ft. Worth, TX | Hampton Inn | 2007 | 1,500 | 8,184 | 48 | 1,500 | 8,232 | 9,732 | (2,115) | 7,617 | 5,5 | |
| Ft. Worth, TX | Aspen Hotel | 2004 | 553 | 2,698 | 1,007 | 553 | 3,705 | 4,258 | (1,079) | 3,179 | - | |
| Germantown, TN | Courtyard by Marriott | 2005 | 1,860 | 5,448 | 1,360 | 1,860 | 6,808 | 8,668 | (2,049) | 6,619 | 6,5 | |
| Germantown, TN | Fairfield Inn by Marriott | 2005 | 767 | 2,700 | 529 | 767 | 3,229 | 3,996 | (998) | 2,998 | 2,2 | |
| Germantown, TN | Residence Inn by Marriott | 2005 | 1,083 | 5,200 | 763 | 1,083 | 5,963 | 7,046 | (1,699) | 5,347 | 3,4 | |
| Glendale, CO | Staybridge Suites | 2011 | 2,100 | 7,900 | 719 | 2,100 | 8,619 | 10,719 | (324) | 10,395 | - | |
| Jackson, MS | | 2005 | 1,301 | 7,322 | 2,306 | 1,301 | 9,628 | 10,929 | (1,947) | 8,982 | 8,5 | |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|-----|--|
| | Courtyard by Marriott | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jackson, MS | Staybridge Suites | 2007 | 698 | 8,454 | 208 | 698 | 8,662 | 9,360 | (1,564) | 7,796 | - | |
| Jacksonville, FL | Aloft Fairfield | 2009 | 1,700 | 15,775 | 10 | 1,700 | 15,785 | 17,485 | (2,251) | 15,234 | - | |
| Lakewood, CO | Inn by Marriott | 2004 | 521 | 2,433 | 264 | 521 | 2,697 | 3,218 | (919) | 2,299 | - | |
| Lakewood, CO | AmericInn | 2004 | 547 | 2,416 | 412 | 547 | 2,828 | 3,375 | (769) | 2,606 | - | |
| Las Colinas, TX | Hyatt Place | 2007 | 781 | 5,729 | 1,709 | 781 | 7,438 | 8,219 | (2,638) | 5,581 | - | |
| Las Colinas, TX | Holiday Inn Express | 2007 | 912 | 6,689 | 1,599 | 898 | 8,302 | 9,200 | (2,613) | 6,587 | - | |
| Lewisville, TX | Fairfield Inn by Marriott | 2004 | 465 | 2,954 | 482 | 465 | 3,436 | 3,901 | (1,169) | 2,732 | 2,1 | |
| Lithia Springs, GA | SpringHill Suites by Marriott | 2004 | 480 | 3,572 | 618 | 480 | 4,190 | 4,670 | (1,523) | 3,147 | - | |
| Little Rock, AR | SpringHill Suites by Marriott | 2004 | 879 | 3,431 | 570 | 879 | 4,001 | 4,880 | (1,475) | 3,405 | - | |
| Medford, OR | Hampton Inn | 2004 | 1,230 | 4,788 | 476 | 1,230 | 5,264 | 6,494 | (1,620) | 4,874 | - | |
| Memphis, TN | Courtyard by Marriott | 2005 | 686 | 5,814 | 87 | 546 | 6,041 | 6,587 | (1,798) | 4,789 | 4,0 | |
| Missoula, MT | AmericInn | 2004 | 690 | 2,672 | 294 | 690 | 2,966 | 3,656 | (791) | 2,865 | 1,9 | |
| Missoula, MT | Courtyard by Marriott | 2005 | 650 | 5,785 | 138 | 650 | 5,923 | 6,573 | (2,063) | 4,510 | 4,7 | |
| Nashville, TN | SpringHill Suites by Marriott | 2004 | 777 | 3,576 | 539 | 777 | 4,115 | 4,892 | (1,526) | 3,366 | - | |
| Portland, OR | Hyatt Place Residence | 2009 | - | 16,713 | 5 | - | 16,718 | 16,718 | (2,296) | 14,422 | 6,3 | |
| Portland, OR | Inn by Marriott | 2009 | - | 16,409 | 1 | - | 16,410 | 16,410 | (2,135) | 14,275 | 12 | |
| Provo, UT | Hampton Inn | 2004 | 909 | 2,862 | 596 | 909 | 3,458 | 4,367 | (946) | 3,421 | - | |
| Ridgeland, MS | Homewood Suites | 2011 | 1,314 | 6,036 | 125 | 1,314 | 6,161 | 7,475 | (171) | 7,304 | - | |
| Ridgeland, MS | Residence Inn by Marriott | 2007 | 1,050 | 10,040 | 15 | 1,050 | 10,055 | 11,105 | (2,770) | 8,335 | 6,0 | |
| Salina, KS | AmericInn | 2004 | 984 | 1,650 | 374 | 984 | 2,024 | 3,008 | (559) | 2,449 | - | |
| Salina, KS | Fairfield Inn by Marriott | 2004 | 499 | 1,744 | 243 | 499 | 1,987 | 2,486 | (702) | 1,784 | - | |
| | | 2008 | 2,497 | 12,833 | 383 | 2,497 | 13,216 | 15,713 | (2,563) | 13,150 | 10 | |

Edgar Filing: Summit Hotel OP, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------|------|
| San Antonio, TX | Country Inn & Suites | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Holiday Inn | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandy, UT | Express | 2004 | 720 | 1,768 | 996 | 720 | 2,764 | 3,484 | (1,210) | 2,274 | 2,4 | |
| Scottsdale, AZ | Courtyard by Marriott | 2004 | 3,225 | 10,152 | 2,784 | 3,225 | 12,936 | 16,161 | (3,009) | 13,152 | 8,1 | |
| | SpringHill | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scottsdale, AZ | Suites by Marriott | 2004 | 2,195 | 7,120 | 2,364 | 2,195 | 9,608 | 11,803 | (2,114) | 9,689 | 5,0 | |
| | Fairfield | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spokane, WA | Inn by Marriott | 2004 | 1,637 | 3,669 | 2,302 | 1,637 | 5,971 | 7,608 | (1,321) | 6,287 | - | |
| Twin Falls, ID | AmericInn | 2004 | 822 | 7,473 | 1,128 | 822 | 8,601 | 9,423 | (2,530) | 6,893 | - | |
| Twin Falls, ID | Holiday Inn Express | 2009 | 1,212 | 7,464 | 7 | 1,212 | 7,471 | 8,683 | (1,460) | 7,223 | 5,7 | |
| Twin Falls, ID | Hampton Inn | 2004 | 710 | 3,482 | 90 | 710 | 3,572 | 4,282 | (1,325) | 2,957 | - | |
| Vernon Hills, IL | Holiday Inn Express | 2005 | 1,198 | 6,099 | 1,137 | 1,198 | 7,236 | 8,434 | (2,086) | 6,348 | 4,6 | |
| Land Parcels | | | 19,911 | - | 384 | 20,295 | - | 20,295 | - | 20,295 | - | |
| | | | \$97,066 | \$492,729 | \$54,205 | \$97,141 | \$548,198 | \$646,339 | \$(126,168) | \$519,171 | \$20 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | \$21 |

(1) Property is collateral for the Company's secured revolving credit facility.

(2) In addition to the DoubleTree in Baton Rouge LA, SpringHill Suites in Denver CO and Country Inn & Suites in San Antonio TX; the Fairfield Inn in Boise ID,

Aloft in Jacksonville FL and Hyatt Place in Las Colinas TX are additional collateral for the GE Capital Corp loans.

(3) In addition to the eight original properties, the SpringHill Suites in Flagstaff AZ and Staybridge Suites in Jackson MS are additional collateral for the ING Investment loan.

(4) In addition to the Holiday Inn in Boise ID; the Springhill Suites in Lithia Springs GA is additional collateral for the MetaBank loan.

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC./SUMMIT HOTEL OP, LP
Notes to Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
As of December 31, 2011

| ASSET BASIS | Total |
|---|----------------|
| (a) Balance at January 1, 2009 | \$ 521,255,330 |
| Additions to land, buildings and improvements | 67,841,533 |
| Disposition of land, buildings and improvements | (6,989,153) |
| Impairment loss | (7,505,836) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 574,601,874 |
| Additions to land, buildings and improvements | 2,769,879 |
| Disposition of land, buildings and improvements | (88,790) |
| Impairment loss | (6,475,684) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 570,807,279 |
| Additions to land, buildings and improvements | 79,901,100 |
| Disposition of land, buildings and improvements | (5,369,383) |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 645,338,996 |
| | |
| ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION | Total |
| (b) Balance at January 1, 2009 | \$ 59,361,060 |
| Depreciation for the period ended December 31, 2009 | 21,902,729 |
| Depreciation on assets sold or disposed | (1,655,836) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 79,607,953 |
| Depreciation for the period ended December 31, 2010 | 25,234,526 |
| Depreciation on assets sold or disposed | (45,977) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 104,796,502 |
| Depreciation for the period ended December 31, 2011 | 26,740,666 |
| Depreciation on assets sold or disposed | (5,369,383) |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 126,167,785 |

(c) The aggregate cost of land, buildings, furniture and equipment for Federal income tax purposes is approximately \$629 million.

(d) Depreciation is computed based upon the following useful lives:

Buildings and improvements 27-40 years
Furniture and equipment 2-15 years

(e) The Company has mortgages payable on the properties as noted. Additional mortgage information can be found in Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements.

(f) The negative balance for costs capitalized subsequent to acquisition could include out-parcels sold, disposal of assets, and impairment loss that was recorded.