

Hidy Richard J  
 Form 4  
 February 14, 2007

**FORM 4**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
 Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287  
 Expires: January 31, 2005  
 Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES**

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person \*  
 Hidy Richard J

(Last) (First) (Middle)  
 U.S. BANCORP, 800 NICOLLET MALL  
 (Street)

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol  
 US BANCORP \DE\ [USB]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction (Month/Day/Year)  
 02/12/2007

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

\_\_\_\_ Director \_\_\_\_\_ 10% Owner  
 Officer (give title below) \_\_\_\_\_ Other (specify below)  
 EVP and Chief Risk Officer

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)  
 Form filed by One Reporting Person  
 \_\_\_\_ Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

**Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned**

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Ownership: Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 4)
			Code	V	Amount	(A) or (D)	Price
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	02/12/2007		M		5,044	A	\$ 30.12
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	02/12/2007		M		19,582	A	\$ 30
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	02/12/2007		S		500	D	\$ 35.86

Edgar Filing: Hidy Richard J - Form 4

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	02/12/2007	S	16,400	D	\$ 35.87	10,426.1557	D	
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	02/12/2007	S	7,726	D	\$ 35.88	2,700.1557	D	
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value						11,348.068 <sup>(1)</sup>	I	By 401(k) plan
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value						13.5027	I	By wife as UGMA custodian for son

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

**Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.**

SEC 1474  
(9-02)

**Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned**  
(e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	Amount or Number of Shares	
				Code	V (A) (D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares
Employee Stock Option (Right to Buy)	\$ 30.12	02/12/2007		M	5,044	<sup>(2)</sup> 02/16/2015	Common Stock	5,044	
Employee Stock Option (Right to Buy)	\$ 30	02/12/2007		M	19,582	<sup>(3)</sup> 01/17/2016	Common Stock	19,582	

## Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
Hidy Richard J U.S. BANCORP 800 NICOLLET MALL MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EVP and Chief Risk Officer	

## Signatures

Lee R. Mitau for Richard J.  
Hidy

02/14/2007

\_\_Signature of Reporting Person                      Date

## Explanation of Responses:

- \* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, *see* Instruction 4(b)(v).
- \*\* Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. *See* 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) Based on a plan report dated February 5, 2007, the most recent plan report available.
- (2) The option vests in four equal annual installments beginning on February 16, 2006.
- (3) The option vests in four equal annual installments beginning on January 17, 2007.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. YLE="text-align: left"> Exercised 5,100 5.93 Forfeited - - Outstanding, June 1, 2013 26,500 \$6.38 2.67 \$1,016 Exercisable, June 1, 2013 26,500 \$6.38 2.67 \$1,016

We determined the fair value of our obligation related to unexercised liability awards as of June 1, 2013 and June 2, 2012 was \$986 and \$866, respectively. Total payments for liability awards exercised totaled \$192, \$1,204, and \$2,023, for fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The fair value of liability awards was estimated as of June 1, 2013, June 2, 2012, and May 28, 2011 using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	June 1, 2013	June 2, 2012	May 28, 2011
Risk-free interest rate	0.13 %	0.17 %	0.18 %
Dividend yield	2.66 %	3.35 %	4.39 %
Volatility factor of the expected market price of our stock	23.65 %	14.70 %	16.25 %
Weighted-avg. expected life of the rights	1 yr.	1 yr.	1 yr.



**12. Income Taxes**

Income tax expense (benefit) consisted of the following:

	Fiscal year ended		
	June 1	June 2	May 28
	2013	2012	2011
Current:			
Federal	\$28,144	\$37,770	\$21,970
State	2,410	6,010	1,079
	30,554	43,780	23,049
Deferred:			
Federal	(4,937 )	4,300	8,528
State	(810 )	1,030	1,826
	(5,747 )	5,330	10,354
	\$24,807	\$49,110	\$33,403

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax liabilities and assets were as follows:

	June 1	June 2
	2013	2012
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, plant and equipment	\$35,108	\$32,871
Cash basis temporary differences	817	981
Inventories	32,720	27,383
Investment in affiliates	4,248	6,501
Other comprehensive income	95	-
Other	3,540	2,096
Total deferred tax liabilities	76,528	69,832
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses	13,957	2,353
Other	4,157	3,459
Other comprehensive loss	-	141
Total deferred tax assets	18,114	5,953
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$58,414	\$63,879

Effective May 29, 1988, the Company could no longer use cash basis accounting for its farming subsidiary because of tax law changes. The Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 provides that taxes on the cash basis temporary differences as of that date are generally payable over 20 years beginning in fiscal 1999 or in full in the first fiscal year in which there is

a change in ownership control. The Company uses the farm-price method for valuing inventories for income tax purposes.

64

The differences between income tax expense (benefit) at the Company's effective income tax rate and income tax expense (benefit) at the statutory federal income tax rate were as follows:

	Fiscal year end		
	June 1	June 2	May 28
	2013	2012	2011
Statutory federal income tax	\$26,331	\$48,595	\$32,985
State income taxes, net	1,040	4,576	1,889
Domestic manufacturers deduction	(2,860 )	(3,596 )	(2,371 )
Tax exempt interest income	(76 )	(267 )	(220 )
Other, net	372	(198 )	1,120
	\$24,807	\$49,110	\$33,403

Federal and state income taxes of \$42,679, \$27,585, and \$32,755 were paid in fiscal years 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Federal and state income taxes of \$12, \$510, and \$3,821 were refunded in fiscal years 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

We had no significant unrecognized tax benefits at June 1, 2013 or at June 2, 2012. Accordingly, we do not have any interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions. However, if interest or penalties were to be incurred related to uncertain tax positions, such amounts would be recognized in income tax expense. Tax periods for all years after fiscal year 2008 remain open to examination by the federal and state taxing jurisdictions to which we are subject.

### 13. Other Matters

The carrying amounts in the Consolidated Balance Sheet for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximate their fair values. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt is estimated to be \$67,130. The fair value for long-term debt is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rate.

The Company's interest expense is sensitive to changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates. The Company maintains certain of its debt as fixed rate in nature to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in interest rates. Under its current policies, the Company does not use interest rate derivative instruments to manage its exposure to interest rate changes. A one percent (1%) adverse move (i.e. decrease) in interest rates would adversely affect the net fair value of the Company's debt by \$2,028 at June 1, 2013. The Company is a party to no other market risk sensitive instruments requiring disclosure.





## 14. Contingencies

### Financial Instruments

The Company maintains standby letters of credit (“LOC”) with a bank totaling \$5,600 at June 1, 2013. These LOCs are collateralized with cash. The cash that collateralizes the LOCs is included in the line item “Other assets” in the consolidated balance sheets. The outstanding LOCs are for the benefit of certain insurance companies. None of the LOCs are recorded as a liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

### Litigation

The Company is a defendant in certain legal actions, and intends to vigorously defend its position in these actions. The Company assesses the likelihood of material adverse judgments or outcomes to the extent losses are reasonably estimable. If the assessment of a contingency indicates it is probable that a material loss has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated, the estimated liability is accrued in the Company’s financial statements. If the assessment indicates a potentially material loss contingency is not probable, but is reasonably possible, or is probable but cannot be estimated, then the nature of the contingent liability, together with an estimate of the range of possible loss if determinable and material, would be disclosed.

### Chicken Litter Litigation

Cal-Maine Farms, Inc. is presently a defendant in two personal injury cases in the Circuit Court of Washington County, Arkansas. Those cases are styled, McWhorter vs. Alpharma, Inc., et al., and Carroll, et al. vs. Alpharma, Inc., et al. Cal-Maine Farms, Inc. was named as a defendant in the McWhorter case on February 3, 2004. It was named as a defendant in the Carroll case on May 2, 2005. Co-defendants in both cases include other integrated poultry companies such as Tyson Foods, Inc., Cargill, Incorporated, George’s Farms, Inc., Peterson Farms, Inc., Simmons Foods, Inc., and Simmons Poultry Farms, Inc. The manufacturers of an additive for broiler feed are also included as defendants. Those defendants are Alpharma, Inc. and Alpharma Animal Health, Co.

Both cases allege that the plaintiffs have suffered medical problems resulting from living near land upon which “litter” from the defendants’ flocks was spread as fertilizer. The McWhorter case focuses on mold and fungi allegedly created by the application of litter. The Carroll case also alleges injury from mold and fungi, but focuses primarily on the broiler feed ingredient as the cause of the alleged medical injuries.

Each of these cases has now been largely resolved by settlements immaterial to the Company, and management believes the risk of material loss to the Company from resolution of the remaining matters in these cases is remote.

### **State of Oklahoma Watershed Pollution Litigation**

On June 18, 2005, the State of Oklahoma filed suit, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, against Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and Cal-Maine Farms, Inc. as well as Tyson Foods, Inc. and affiliates, Cobb-Vantress, Inc., Cargill, Inc. and its affiliate, George's, Inc. and its affiliate, Peterson Farms, Inc. and Simmons Foods, Inc. Cal-Maine Farms, Inc. was dismissed from the case in September 2009. The State of Oklahoma claims that through the disposal of chicken litter the defendants have polluted the Illinois River Watershed. This watershed provides water to eastern Oklahoma. The complaint seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages, but the claim for monetary damages has been dismissed by the court. Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. discontinued operations in the watershed. Accordingly, we do not anticipate that Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. will be materially affected by the request for injunctive relief unless the court orders substantial affirmative remediation. Since the litigation began, Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. purchased 100% of the membership interests of Benton County Foods, LLC, which is an ongoing commercial shell egg operation within the Illinois River Watershed. Benton County Foods, LLC is not a defendant in the litigation.

The trial in the case began in September 2009 and concluded in February 2010. The case was tried to the court without a jury and the court has not yet issued its ruling. Management believes the risk of material loss related to this matter to be remote.

### **Egg Antitrust Litigation**

Since September 25, 2008, the Company has been named as one of several defendants in numerous antitrust cases involving the United States shell egg industry. In some of these cases, the named plaintiffs allege that they purchased eggs or egg products directly from a defendant and have sued on behalf of themselves and a putative class of others who claim to be similarly situated. In other cases, the named plaintiffs allege that they purchased shell eggs and egg products directly from one or more of the defendants but sue only for their own alleged damages and not on behalf of a putative class. In the remaining cases, the named plaintiffs are individuals or companies who allege that they purchased shell eggs and egg products indirectly from one or more of the defendants - that is, they purchased from retailers that had previously purchased from defendants or other parties - and have sued on behalf of themselves and a putative class of others who claim to be similarly situated.

The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation consolidated all of the putative class actions (as well as certain other cases in which the Company was not a named defendant) for pretrial proceedings in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania court has organized the putative class actions around two groups (direct purchasers and indirect purchasers) and has named interim lead counsel for the named plaintiffs in each group.

Edgar Filing: Hidy Richard J - Form 4

There are now seven non-class suits pending. Six of the non-class suits are pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The other non-class suit is pending in District Court of Wyandotte County, Kansas. The plaintiffs in two other non-class suits originally filed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania voluntarily dismissed their suits without prejudice. The plaintiffs in two other non-class suits originally filed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania voluntarily dismissed their suits without prejudice.

67

The Direct Purchaser Putative Class Action. The direct purchaser cases were consolidated into In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation, No. 2:08-md-02002-GP, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss direct purchaser class plaintiffs' claims for damages outside the four-year statute of limitations but did so without prejudice to the plaintiffs' right to seek leave to further amend their complaint if they, in good faith, believe they can address the deficiencies noted by the court. The direct purchasers filed an amended complaint, and the Company filed a renewed motion to dismiss the claims in the new complaint that are barred by the four-year statute of limitations. The court has granted final approval to two settlements in these cases. In one settlement, the settling party will not pay any money to the putative class. Instead, the settling defendant, while denying all liability and while remaining a defendant in certain non-class cases, will provide cooperation in the form of documents and witness interviews to the direct class plaintiffs' attorneys. In the other settlement, the settling defendant will pay a total of \$25 million and would provide other consideration in the form of documents, witness interviews, and declarations. This settling defendant denied all liability in its agreement with the direct purchaser class plaintiffs and stated publicly that it settled merely to avoid the cost and uncertainty of continued litigation.

On July 23, 2013, we announced that we have reached an agreement in principle to settle this matter. See "Proposed Settlement of the Direct Purchaser Putative Class Action" below

The Indirect Purchaser Putative Class Action. The indirect purchaser cases were consolidated into In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation, No. 2:08-md-02002-GP, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The court granted with prejudice the defendants' renewed motion to dismiss claims arising outside the limitations period applicable to most causes of action. Discovery is ongoing in this case.

The Non-Class Cases. Six of the cases in which plaintiffs do not seek to certify a class have been consolidated with the putative class actions into In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation, No. 2:08-md-02002-GP, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the direct plaintiffs' claims for damages outside the four-year statute of limitations but did so without prejudice to the plaintiffs' right to seek leave to further amend their complaint if they, in good faith, believe they can address the deficiencies noted by the court. The direct plaintiffs have filed further amended complaints, and the Company has filed a renewed motion to dismiss the claims in the new complaint that are barred by the four-year statute of limitations. Discovery is ongoing in this case.

On January 27, 2012, the Company filed its answer and affirmative defenses in the non-class case pending in Kansas state court styled as Associated Wholesale Grocers, Inc., et al., v. United Egg Producers, et al., No. 10-CV-2171, and the Company joined other defendants in the Kansas case in moving to dismiss all claims for damages arising outside the three-year statute of limitations period and all claims for damages arising from purchases of eggs and egg products outside the state of Kansas. The court took under advisement the limitations motion, pending a ruling in another case that will determine whether the limitations period in the Kansas case will be three or five years. The court reserved judgment on the motion to dismiss claims for damages arising from purchases of eggs and egg products outside the state of Kansas until discovery reveals which sales occurred within Kansas. In reserving judgment, the court stated

that only sales within Kansas would be relevant to any calculation of alleged damages. Discovery is ongoing in this case.

68

Allegations in Each Case. In all of the antitrust cases described above, the plaintiffs allege that the Company and certain other large domestic egg producers conspired to reduce the domestic supply of eggs in a concerted effort to raise the price of eggs to artificially high levels. In each case, plaintiffs allege that all defendants agreed to reduce the domestic supply of eggs by (a) manipulating egg exports and (b) implementing industry-wide animal welfare guidelines that reduced the number of hens and eggs.

Both groups of named plaintiffs in the putative class actions seek treble damages and injunctive relief on behalf of themselves and all other putative class members in the United States. Both groups of named plaintiffs in the putative class actions allege a class period starting on January 1, 2000 and running “through the present.” The direct purchaser putative class action case alleges two separate sub-classes – one for direct purchasers of shell eggs and one for direct purchasers of egg products. The direct purchaser putative class action case seeks relief under the Sherman Act. The indirect purchaser putative class action case seeks injunctive relief under the Sherman Act and damages under the statutes and common-law of various states and the District of Columbia.

Seven non-class cases remain pending. In five of the remaining non-class cases, the plaintiffs seek damages and injunctive relief under the Sherman Act. In one of the remaining non-class cases, the plaintiff seeks damages and injunctive relief under the Sherman Act and the Ohio antitrust act (known as the Valentine Act). In the other remaining non-class case, the plaintiffs seek damages and injunctive relief under the Kansas Restraint of Trade Act.

The Pennsylvania court has entered a series of orders related to case management, discovery, class certification, and scheduling. The Pennsylvania court has not set a trial date for any of the consolidated cases. The Kansas state court has entered a schedule for discovery and dispositive motions. The Kansas state court case is set for trial starting June 16, 2014.

Proposed Settlement of the Direct Purchaser Putative Class Action. On July 23, 2013, the Company announced that it has reached an agreement in principle to settle all direct purchaser class claims against the Company in the above described direct purchaser putative class action. Pursuant to the agreement in principle, which is subject to finalization by the parties and court approval, the Company would be obligated to pay \$28 million to fully and finally resolve these claims. The other terms and conditions of the proposed settlement are not expected to have a material impact to the Company’s results of operations. The Company recorded a pre-tax charge in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013 of approximately \$28 million, which amounts to \$17 million, \$0.71 per basic share, after tax with respect to the proposed settlement.

This settlement does not affect the indirect purchaser putative class action and does not necessarily resolve the seven non-class cases still pending. The Company intends to continue to defend these cases as vigorously as possible based on defenses which the Company believes are meritorious and provable. While management believes that the likelihood of a material adverse outcome in the overall egg antitrust litigation has been significantly reduced, assuming the court approves the proposed settlement, there is still a reasonable possibility of a material adverse

outcome in the remaining egg antitrust litigation. At the present time, however, it is not possible to estimate the amount of monetary exposure, if any, to the Company because of these cases. Accordingly, adjustments, if any, which might result from the resolution of these remaining legal matters, have not been reflected in the financial statements.



### **Florida Civil Investigative Demand**

On November 4, 2008, the Company received an antitrust civil investigative demand from the Attorney General of the State of Florida. The demand seeks production of documents and responses to interrogatories relating to the production and sale of eggs and egg products. The Company is cooperating with this investigation and entered into a tolling agreement with the State of Florida to extend any applicable statute of limitations for one year from the date of the agreement. No allegations of wrongdoing have been made against the Company in this matter.

### **Environmental Information Request**

In July 2011, the Company received an information request (“Request”) from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) pursuant to Section 308 of the Clean Water Act (“Act”). The Request stated that the information was sought by the EPA to investigate compliance with the Act and requested information pertaining to facilities involved in animal feeding operations, which are owned or operated by the Company or its affiliates. On October 19, 2011, the Company timely responded to the Request by providing information on each of the subject facilities. The EPA subsequently sent a notice of noncompliance (“Notice”) dated March 29, 2012 to the Company which involved allegations of potential non-compliance with the Request and/or the Act. The Notice related to the Company’s Edwards, Mississippi facility only. The Company timely responded to the Notice on May 2, 2012. The EPA and the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (“MDEQ”) provided certain preliminary findings to the Company alleging potential violations of the Act and/or the Mississippi Air and Water Pollution Control Law concerning unpermitted discharges of pollutants to water of the United States and/or Mississippi and violations of certain conditions established under the Company’s National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the Edwards, Mississippi facility. The Company is cooperating with the EPA and MDEQ in their investigations and, to facilitate settlement negotiations regarding the alleged violations, has entered into an amended tolling agreement for the period commencing January 1, 2013 and ending September 30, 2013, which would not be included in computing the running of any statute of limitations applicable to any action brought by the United States and/or Mississippi. Although management believes there is at least a reasonable possibility of loss in this matter, we cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss due to the stage of the matter and complexity of the issues involved

### **IRS Voluntary Compliance**

The Company has filed an IRS Voluntary Compliance filing to correct salary deferral contribution discrepancies which were found during the 2012 audit. The Company is responsible for contributing makeup contributions and earnings to the Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. Savings and Retirement Plan. The total amount calculated to correct the contribution problem was \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2012. As part of the Voluntary Compliance correction, the Company has already contributed \$609,000 to the Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. Savings and Retirement Plan as makeup contributions and lost earnings. At issue is approximately \$823,000, plus earnings on that amount from December 31,

2012 until a final correction is approved. The Internal Revenue Service could waive all or part of the additional makeup contribution and lost earnings as part of the Voluntary Compliance Program filing correction. The additional amount required to be contributed is being negotiated with the Internal Revenue Service as part of the Internal Revenue Service review of the correction filing.

70

### **Other Matters**

In addition to the above, the Company is involved in various other claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

At this time, it is not possible for us to predict the ultimate outcome of the matters set forth above.

### **15. Description of Rights and Privileges of Capital Stock—Capital Structure Consists of Common Stock**

The Company has two classes of capital stock: Common Stock and Class A Common Stock. Holders of shares of the Company's capital stock vote as a single class on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders, with each share of Common Stock entitled to one vote and each share of Class A Common Stock entitled to ten votes. The Common Stock and Class A Common Stock have equal liquidation rights and the same dividend rights. In the case of any stock dividend, holders of Common Stock are entitled to receive the same percentage dividend (payable only in shares of Common Stock) as the holders of Class A Common Stock receive (payable only in shares of Class A Common Stock). Upon liquidation, dissolution, or winding-up of the Company, the holders of Common Stock are entitled to share ratably with the holders of Class A Common Stock in all assets available for distribution after payment in full of creditors. The Class A Common Stock may only be issued to Fred R. Adams, Jr., the Company's Founder and Chairman Emeritus, and members of his immediate family, as defined. In the event any share of Class A Common Stock, by operation of law or otherwise is, or shall be deemed to be owned by any person other than Mr. Adams or a member of his immediate family, the voting power of such stock will be reduced from ten votes per share to one vote per share. Also, shares of Class A Common Stock shall be automatically converted into Common Stock on a share per share basis in the event the beneficial or record ownership of any such share of Class A Common Stock is transferred to any person other than Mr. Adams or a member of his immediate family. Each share of Class A Common Stock is convertible, at the option of its holder, into one share of Common Stock at any time. The holders of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock are not entitled to preemptive or subscription rights. In any merger, consolidation or business combination, the consideration to be received per share by holders of Common Stock must be identical to that received by holders of Class A Common Stock, except that if any such transaction in which shares of Capital Stock are distributed, such shares may differ as to voting rights to the extent that voting rights now differ among the classes of capital stock. No class of capital stock may be combined or subdivided unless the other classes of capital stock are combined or subdivided in the same proportion. No dividend may be declared and paid on Class A Common Stock unless the dividend is payable only to the holders of Class A Common Stock and a dividend payable to Common Stock is declared and paid concurrently in respect of outstanding shares of Common Stock in the same number of shares of Common Stock per outstanding share.

## 16. Fair Value Measures

The Company is required to categorize both financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities based on the following fair value hierarchy. The fair value of an asset is the price at which the asset could be sold in an orderly transaction between unrelated, knowledgeable, and willing parties able to engage in the transaction. A liability's fair value is defined as the amount that would be paid to transfer the liability to a new obligor in a transaction between such parties, not the amount that would be paid to settle the liability with the creditor.

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The disclosure of fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities recorded at cost are as follows:

*Cash and cash equivalents:* The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

*Long-term debt:* The carrying value of the Company's long-term debt is at its stated value. We have not elected to carry our long-term debt at fair value. Except for the "Note Payable-Texas Egg Products, LLC," fair values for debt are based on quoted market prices or published forward interest rate curves. We believe cost approximates fair value for the "Note Payable-Texas Egg Products, LLC." Estimated fair values are management's estimates; however, when there is no readily available market data, the estimated fair values may not represent the amounts that could be realized in a current transaction, and the fair values could change significantly. There is no readily available market data for the "Note Payable-Texas Egg Products, LLC." The fair value and carrying value of the Company's long-term debt were as follows:

	June 1, 2013		June 2, 2012	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
5.99 – 6.80% Notes payable	\$54,240	\$ 56,237	\$63,039	\$ 66,388
Series A Senior Secured Notes at 5.45%	10,523	10,636	12,629	12,905
	257	257	552	552

Edgar Filing: Hidy Richard J - Form 4

Note payable-Texas Egg Products, LLC (payable to non-affiliate equity members)

\$65,020 \$ 67,130 \$76,220 \$ 79,845

72

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

In accordance with the fair value hierarchy described above, the following table shows the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 1, 2013 and June 2, 2012:

	June 1, 2013			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Balance
Investment securities available-for-sale				
State municipal bonds	\$-	\$ 61,195	\$ -	\$61,195
US government obligations	-	12,377	-	12,377
Corporate bonds	-	64,383	-	64,383
Certificates of deposit	-	12,285	-	12,285
Government agency bonds	-	7,664	-	7,664
Mutual Funds*	1,026	-	-	1,026
Total assets measured at fair value	\$1,026	\$ 157,904	\$ -	\$158,930
Contingent consideration	-	-	1,250	1,250
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,250	\$1,250

\*The mutual funds are classified as long term and are a part of "other investments" in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

	June 2, 2012			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Balance
Investment securities available-for-sale				
State municipal bonds	\$ -	\$ 104,866	\$ -	\$104,866
US government obligations	-	20,783	-	20,783
Corporate bonds	-	16,244	-	16,244
Certificates of deposit	-	11,514	-	11,514

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Hidy Richard J - Form 4

Government agency bonds	-	10,216	-	10,216
Total assets measured at fair value	\$-	\$ 163,623	\$ -	\$ 163,623

Our investment securities – available-for-sale classified as level 2 consist of certificates of deposit, time deposits, United States government obligations, government agency bonds, taxable municipal bonds, tax exempt municipal bonds, zero coupon municipal bonds, and corporate bonds with maturities of three months or longer when purchased. We classified these securities as current, because amounts invested are available for current operations. Observable inputs for these securities are yields, credit risks, default rates, and volatility.

The Company applies fair value accounting guidance to measure non-financial assets and liabilities associated with business acquisitions. These assets and liabilities are measured at fair value for the initial purchase price allocation and are subject to recurring revaluations. The fair value of non-financial assets acquired is determined internally. Our internal valuation methodology for non-financial assets takes into account the remaining estimated life of the assets acquired and what management believes is the market value for those assets. Liabilities for contingent consideration (earn-outs) take into account commodity prices based on published forward commodity price curves, projected future egg prices as of the date of the estimate, and projected future cash flows expected to be received as a result of a business acquisition (Refer to Note 2 – Acquisitions). Given the unobservable nature of these inputs, they are deemed to be Level 3 fair value measurements. During fiscal 2013 we recognized a \$1,250 gain resulting from the decrease in fair value of the contingent consideration. This gain was recognized in earnings as a reduction of selling, general, and administrative expenses. Changes in the fair value of contingent consideration obligations were as follows:

	Year ended June 1, 2013
Balance at beginning of year	\$ -
Liabilities recognized at acquisition date	2,500
Gains recognized in earnings	(1,250 )
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,250

**17. Available-for-Sale Securities - Classified as Current Assets**

	June 1, 2013			
	Amortized Cost	Gains in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Losses in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Estimated Fair Value
State municipal bonds	\$61,124	\$ 71	\$ -	\$61,195
US government obligations	12,378	-	1	12,377
Corporate bonds	64,406	-	23	64,383
Certificates of deposit	12,280	5	-	12,285
Government agency bonds	7,659	5	-	7,664
Total current available-for-sale securities	\$ 157,847	\$ 81	\$ 24	\$ 157,904
Mutual funds*	721	305	-	1,026
Total noncurrent available-for-sale securities	\$ 721	\$ 305	\$ -	\$ 1,026

\*The mutual funds are classified as long term and are a part of "other investments" in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

	June 2, 2012			
	Amortized Cost	Gains in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Losses in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Estimated Fair Value
State municipal bonds	\$105,029	\$ -	\$ 163	\$104,866
US government obligations	20,681	102	-	20,783
Corporate bonds	16,405	-	161	16,244
Certificates of deposit	11,591	-	77	11,514
Government agency bonds	10,291	-	75	10,216
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 163,997	\$ 102	\$ 476	\$ 163,623



Proceeds from the sales of available-for-sale securities were \$188,110, \$115,796, and \$137,238 during fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Gross realized gains on those sales during fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011 were \$24, \$24, and \$0, respectively. Gross realized losses on those sales during fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011 were \$676, \$825, and \$248, respectively. For purposes of determining gross realized gains and losses, the cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Unrealized holding gains (losses) net of tax on available-for-sale securities classified as current in the amount of \$256, \$98, and \$(320) for the years ended June 1, 2013, June 2, 2012 and May 28, 2011, respectively, have been included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Unrealized holding gains net of tax on long term available-for-sale securities in the amount of \$186 for the year ended June 1, 2012 have been included in other comprehensive income (loss).

Contractual maturities of available-for-sale debt securities at June 1, 2013, are as follows:

	Estimated Fair Value
Within one year	\$ 101,991
After 1-5 years	55,913
After 5-10 years	-
	\$ 157,904

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because some borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

**18. Quarterly Financial Data:** (unaudited, amount in thousands, except per share data):

	Fiscal Year 2013			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter*
Net sales	\$272,928	\$328,870	\$360,373	\$325,933
Gross profit	44,715	51,298	67,047	51,489
Net income (loss) attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	9,415	14,290	30,551	(3,833 )
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$0.39	\$0.60	\$1.27	\$(0.16 )
Diluted	\$0.39	\$0.60	\$1.27	\$(0.16 )

\*Fourth quarter fiscal 2013 results include a one-time legal settlement expense of \$17,000, or \$0.71 per basic share, after tax (see Note 14).



	Fiscal Year 2012			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter+
Net sales	\$243,842	\$290,369	\$303,660	\$275,245
Gross profit	33,786	61,492	65,149	41,355
Net income attributable to Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.	3,117	23,260	26,102	37,256
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$0.13	\$0.97	\$1.09	\$1.57
Diluted	\$0.13	\$0.97	\$1.09	\$1.56

*+Fourth quarter fiscal 2012 results include a one-time gain of \$27,000, or \$1.12 per basic share, after tax, as a result of a distribution from EB (see Note 3).*

## **19. Distribution from Unconsolidated Subsidiary**

In April 2012 EB entered into a joint venture with LOL whereby EB contributed substantially all of its assets and business into a new limited liability company, EBLLC, in which LOL purchased a 50% ownership interest for approximately \$126,131 (the "Purchase Price") and the license of the LOL trademarks to the EBLLC. The intention of this joint venture is to combine the operations of EB's and LOL's specialty shell egg business in order to market and sell both EB's and LOL's specialty shell eggs. EB distributed the proceeds from LOL to the EB members pursuant to EB's articles of incorporation and bylaws on a patronage basis, subject to EB retaining funds to pay transaction costs.

In the fourth fiscal quarter of 2012, Cal-Maine received \$38,343 in proceeds from the above described transaction and Specialty Eggs, LLC (50% equity method investee of Cal-Maine) received \$8,851. For cash flow statement purposes, we evaluated the specific distribution to Cal-Maine on a stand-alone basis to determine the appropriate classification of the proceeds. Since the entire proceeds effectively represent the sale of a 50% interest in the assets and business of EB, the entire amount was reported as an investing cash flow for fiscal 2012.

Since we account for our investment in EB under the cost method, the specific distribution to Cal-Maine was recorded as income in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012. In accordance with the equity method, we recorded 50% of the distribution to Specialty Egg, LLC as a component of equity in income of affiliates.

## **20. Subsequent Event**

On July 23, 2013, the Company announced it reached an agreement in principle to settle all direct purchaser class claims against the Company (see Note 14). Pursuant to the agreement the Company will settle all direct purchaser class claims with a single \$28,000 payment, \$17,000 net of tax, or \$0.71 per basic share. As a result, the Company has recorded the legal settlement expense and offsetting liability to operating expense and current liabilities, respectively.

**SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS****Years ended June 1, 2013, June 2, 2012, and May 28, 2011***(in thousands)*

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to Cost and Expense	Write-off of Accounts	Balance at End of Period
Year ended June 1, 2013				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 589	\$ 1,410	\$ 1,228	\$ 771
Year ended June 2, 2012				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 686	\$ 849	\$ 946	\$ 589
Year ended May 28, 2011				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 595	\$ 967	\$ 876	\$ 686

77

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

***Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures conducted by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, together with other financial officers, such officers concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 1, 2013 at the reasonable assurance level.

***Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

***(a) Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

The following sets forth, in accordance with Section 404(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Item 308 of the Securities and Exchange Commission’s Regulation S-K, the report of management on our internal control over financial reporting.

1. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. “Internal control over financial reporting” is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, together with other financial officers, and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted

accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

2. Our management, in accordance with Rule 13a-15(c) under the Exchange Act and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, together with other financial officers, evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 1, 2013. The framework on which management's evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting is based is the "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" published in 1992 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations ("COSO") of the Treadway Commission.

78

3. Management has determined that our internal control over financial reporting as of June 1, 2013 is effective. It is noted that internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives, but rather reasonable assurance of achieving such objectives.

4. The attestation report of FROST, PLLC on our internal control over financial reporting, which includes that firm's opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, is set forth below.

(b) *Attestation Report of the Registrant's Public Accounting Firm*

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  
on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Jackson, Mississippi

We have audited Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of June 1, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Explanation of Responses:



A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

79

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 1, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity and cash flows of Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and Subsidiaries, and our report dated August 5, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ FROST, PLLC

Little Rock, Arkansas

August 5, 2013

**(c) *Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

In connection with its evaluation of the effectiveness, as of June 1, 2013, of our internal control over financial reporting, management determined that there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter ended June 1, 2013, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

Not applicable.

80

**PART III**

**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Except as set forth below, the information concerning directors, executive officers and corporate governance is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement which is to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

We have adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics for Directors, Officers and Employees, including the chief executive and principal financial and accounting officers of the Company. We will provide a copy of the code free of charge to any person that requests a copy by writing to:

Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.

P.O. Box 2960

Jackson, Mississippi 39207

Attn.: Investor Relations

Requests can be made by phone at (601) 948-6813

A copy is also available at our website [www.calmainefoods.com](http://www.calmainefoods.com). We intend to disclose any amendments to, or waivers from, the Code of Conduct and Ethics for Directors, Officers and Employees on our website promptly following the date of any such amendment or waiver. Information contained on our website is not a part of this report.

**ITEM 1. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The information concerning executive compensation is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement which is to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The information concerning security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related stockholder matters is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement which is to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The information concerning certain relationships and related transactions, and director independence is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement which is to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

The information concerning principal accounting fees and services is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement which is to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

82

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a)(1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. and subsidiaries are included in Item 8 and are filed herewith:

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms.	38
Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 1, 2013 and June 2, 2012.	39
Consolidated Statements of Income – Fiscal Years Ended June 1, 2013, June 2, 2012, and May 28, 2011.	40
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income – Fiscal Years Ended June 1, 2013, June 2, 2012, and May 28, 2011.	41
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Fiscal Years Ended June 1, 2013, June 2, 2012, and May 28, 2011.	42
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Years Ended June 1, 2013, June 2, 2012, and May 28, 2011.	43
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	44-76

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedule

Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	77
-------------------------------------------------	----

All other schedules are omitted either because they are not applicable or required, or because the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits Required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K

See Part (b) of this Item 15.

(b) Exhibits Required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K

The following exhibits are filed herewith or incorporated by reference:

83

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
3.1	Composite Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 in the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 2, 2013, filed April 5, 2013).
3.2	Composite Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 in the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 2, 2013, filed April 5, 2013).
10.1*	Wage Continuation Plan, dated as of July 1, 1986, between Jack Self and the Registrant, as amended on September 2, 1994 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 in the Registrant's Form S-1 Registration Statement No. 333-14809, filed October 25, 1996).
10.2*	Wage Continuation Plan, dated as of April 15, 1988, between Joe Wyatt and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 in the Registrant's Form S-1 Registration Statement No. 333-14809, filed October 25, 1996).
10.3*	Redemption Agreement, dated March 7, 1994, between the Registrant and Fred R. Adams, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 in the Registrant's Form S-1 Registration Statement No. 333-14809, filed October 25, 1996).
10.4*	Wage Continuation Plan, dated as of January 14, 1999, among Stephen Storm, Charles F. Collins, Bob Scott and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 in the Registrant's Form 10-K for fiscal year ended May 29, 1999, filed August 25, 1999).
10.5*	2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held October 13, 2005, filed September 9, 2005).
10.6*	2005 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held October 13, 2005, filed September 9, 2005).
10.7*	Deferred Compensation Plan, dated December 28, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 in the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed January 4, 2007).
10.8	Loan Agreement, dated as of November 13, 2006, between Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 in the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 2, 2006, filed January 9, 2007).
10.9	Loan Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2009, between the Registrant and Metropolitan Life Insurance Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(e) in the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed November 17, 2009).
10.10*	Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. KSOP, as amended and restated, effective April 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 in the Registrant's Form S-8, filed March 30, 2012).
10.11*	Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. KSOP Trust, as amended and restated, effective April 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 in the Registrant's Form S-8, filed March 30, 2012).



Edgar Filing: Hidy Richard J - Form 4

- 10.12\* 2012 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held October 5, 2012, filed September 6, 2012).
- 21\*\* Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1\*\* Consent of FROST, PLLC
- 31.1\*\* Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2\*\* Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32\*\*\* Section 1350 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer

84

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
99.1	Press release dated July 29, 2013 announcing interim and annual financial information (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 in the Company's Form 8-K, filed July 29, 2013).
101.INS***+	XBRL Instance Document Exhibit
101.SCH***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document Exhibit
101.CAL***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document Exhibit
101.DEF***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document Exhibit
101.LAB***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document Exhibit
101.PRE***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\*Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

\*\* Filed herewith as an Exhibit

\*\*\*Furnished herewith as an Exhibit

+Submitted electronically with this Annual Report on Form 10-K

The Company has not filed instruments with respect to long-term debt where the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed ten percent of the total assets of the Company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. The Company agrees to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, copies of any such instrument.

(c) Financial Statement Schedules Required by Regulation S-X

The financial statement schedule required by Regulation S-X is filed at page 77. All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Jackson, Mississippi, on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of August 2013.

CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.

/s/ Adolphus B. Baker  
 Adolphus B. Baker  
 President, Chief  
 Executive Officer and  
 Chairman of the Board

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Adolphus B. Baker Adolphus B. Baker	President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)	August 5, 2013
/s/ Timothy A. Dawson Timothy A. Dawson	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Director (Principal Financial Officer)	August 5, 2013
/s/ Charles F. Collins Charles F. Collins	Vice President, Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	August 5, 2013
/s/ Sherman Miller Sherman Miller	Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	August 5, 2013
/s/ Letitia C. Hughes Letitia C. Hughes	Director	August 5, 2013
/s/ James E. Poole James E. Poole	Director	August 5, 2013
/s/ Steve W. Sanders Steve W. Sanders	Director	August 5, 2013

Explanation of Responses:



CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.

Form 10-K for the fiscal year

Ended June 1, 2013

EXHIBIT INDEX

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
3.1	Composite Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 in the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 2, 2013, filed April 5, 2013).
3.2	Composite Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 in the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 2, 2013, filed April 5, 2013).
10.1*	Wage Continuation Plan, dated as of July 1, 1986, between Jack Self and the Registrant, as amended on September 2, 1994 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 in the Registrant's Form S-1 Registration Statement No. 333-14809, filed October 25, 1996).
10.2*	Wage Continuation Plan, dated as of April 15, 1988, between Joe Wyatt and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 in the Registrant's Form S-1 Registration Statement No. 333-14809, filed October 25, 1996).
10.3*	Redemption Agreement, dated March 7, 1994, between the Registrant and Fred R. Adams, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 in the Registrant's Form S-1 Registration Statement No. 333-14809, filed October 25, 1996).
10.4*	Wage Continuation Plan, dated as of January 14, 1999, among Stephen Storm, Charles F. Collins, Bob Scott and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 in the Registrant's Form 10-K for fiscal year ended May 29, 1999, filed August 25, 1999).
10.5*	2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held October 13, 2005, filed September 9, 2005).
10.6*	2005 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held October 13, 2005, filed September 9, 2005).
10.7*	Deferred Compensation Plan, dated December 28, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 in the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed January 4, 2007).
10.8	Loan Agreement, dated as of November 13, 2006, between Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 in the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 2, 2006, filed January 9, 2007).

Edgar Filing: Hidy Richard J - Form 4

- 10.9 Loan Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2009, between the Registrant and Metropolitan Life Insurance Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(e) in the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed November 17, 2009).
- 10.10\* Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. KSOP, as amended and restated, effective April 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 in the Registrant's Form S-8, filed March 30, 2012).
- 10.11\* Cal-Maine Foods, Inc. KSOP Trust, as amended and restated, effective April 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 in the Registrant's Form S-8, filed March 30, 2012).
- 10.12\* 2012 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held October 5, 2012, filed September 6, 2012).

87

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
21**	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1**	Consent of FROST, PLLC
31.1**	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2**	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32***	Section 1350 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer
99.1	Press release dated July 29, 2013 announcing interim and annual financial information (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 in the Company's Form 8-K, filed July 29, 2013).
101.INS***+	XBRL Instance Document Exhibit
101.SCH***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document Exhibit
101.CAL***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document Exhibit
101.DEF***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document Exhibit
101.LAB***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document Exhibit
101.PRE***+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\*Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

\*\* Filed herewith as an Exhibit

\*\*\*Furnished herewith as an Exhibit

+Submitted electronically with this Annual Report on Form 10-K