CAREER EDUCATION CORP Form DEF 14A April 17, 2018 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12

Career Education Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Paymen	t of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
N	o fee required.
F	ee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
() Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(Z	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(:	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (see forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(:) Total fee paid:
	ee paid previously with preliminary materials.
V	heck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing f hich the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or e Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
() Amount Previously Paid:
(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
C) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

May 31, 2018

NOTICE AND PROXY STATEMENT

April 17, 2018

Dear Stockholder:

I cordially invite you to attend our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 31, 2018. The Annual Meeting will start promptly at 9:00 a.m., Central Daylight Saving Time, at our campus support center at Career Education Corporation, 231 North Martingale Road, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173.

The attached Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement describes how our Board of Directors operates, provides biographical information on our director nominees, gives information for the voting matters to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting and explains the proxy voting process.

Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted. Please take a moment now to vote your shares by Internet or by toll-free telephone call. If you received a paper copy of the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, you may also vote your shares by signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card or voting instruction form.

We look forward to seeing you on May 31, 2018 and urge you to vote as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Todd S. Nelson

President and Chief Executive Officer

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF CAREER EDUCATION CORPORATION TO BE HELD ON MAY 31, 2018

Time: Registration begins: 8:30 a.m., Central Daylight Saving Time

Admission to the meeting: 8:45 a.m. Meeting begins: 9:00 a.m.

Date: May 31, 2018

Place: Career Education Corporation

231 North Martingale Road Schaumburg, Illinois 60173

To the Stockholders of Career Education Corporation:

We will hold our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders at the time, date and location specified above, to act and vote on the following matters:

- (1) To elect nine directors of Career Education Corporation;
- (2) To approve, by a non-binding advisory vote, executive compensation paid by Career Education Corporation to its named executive officers, commonly referred to as a Say-on-Pay proposal;
- (3) To ratify the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the Company s financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2018; and
- (4) To consider any other business or matter that is properly raised at the meeting or at any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 3, 2018, the record date, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting. Please contact Georgeson LLC, our proxy solicitation firm, toll-free at (866) 856-6388 if you have any questions regarding voting.

By o	order	of t	he	Board	of	Directors,
------	-------	------	----	-------	----	------------

Jeffrey D. Ayers

Corporate Secretary

Schaumburg, Illinois

April 17, 2018

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on May 31, 2018

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K and the means to vote by Internet are available at www.ProxyVote.com.

Your Vote is Important

Please vote as promptly as possible by using the Internet or telephone or by signing, dating and returning the proxy card mailed to those who receive paper copies of the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INFORMATION ABOUT VOTING AND THE MEETING	1
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS	6
The Board of Directors	6
Corporate Governance Guidelines and Ethics Codes	6
Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight	7
Committees of the Board of Directors	7
Director Selection Process	11
Transactions with Related Persons	14
Communications with the Board of Directors	15
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	16
Stock Ownership Guidelines	17
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	19
Executive Officers	19
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	21
Report of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors	43
Compensation Tables	44
AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS	58
Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors	58
Principal Accounting Fees and Services	58
ITEMS TO BE VOTED ON	60
PROPOSAL 1: Election of Directors	60
PROPOSAL 2: Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation	70
PROPOSAL 3: Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	71
OTHER INFORMATION	72
Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers	72
Security Ownership of Principal Stockholders	73
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	73
Discretionary Proxy Voting Authority/Untimely Stockholder Proposals	73
Proposals of Stockholders	74
Additional Information	74
Cost of Solicitation	74

PROXY STATEMENT

Career Education Corporation

231 North Martingale Road

Schaumburg, Illinois 60173

(847) 781-3600

INFORMATION ABOUT VOTING AND THE MEETING

Why did I receive these proxy materials?

Career Education Corporation (*CEC*, the *Company*, *we*, *us* or *our*) is holding its 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockh on May 31, 2018. You have received these materials in connection with the 2018 Annual Meeting.

You are invited to attend the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 31, 2018, beginning at 9:00 a.m., Central Daylight Saving Time. The Annual Meeting will be held at our campus support center at Career Education Corporation, 231 North Martingale Road, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173. To obtain directions to attend the 2018 Annual Meeting and vote in person, please call our Investor Relations support team at the Alpha IR Group at (312) 445-2870.

Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

In accordance with rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we provide our stockholders with the choice of accessing the 2018 Annual Meeting proxy materials over the Internet, rather than receiving printed copies of those materials through the mail. In connection with this process, a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials is being mailed to our stockholders who have not previously requested electronic access to our proxy materials or paper proxy materials. The notice contains instructions on how you may access and review our proxy materials on the Internet and how you may vote your shares. The notice will also tell you how to request our proxy materials in printed form or by email, at no charge. The Company believes this process provides its stockholders the information they need in a more timely manner, while reducing the environmental impact and lowering the costs of printing and delivering the proxy materials.

We anticipate that the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials will be mailed to stockholders beginning on or about April 17, 2018.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Stockholders of CEC, as recorded in our stock transfer records as of the close of business on April 3, 2018 (the *Record Date*), are entitled to vote at the 2018 Annual Meeting.

Outstanding Shares

As of the Record Date, the Company had 69,611,001 outstanding shares of common stock. Each outstanding share of common stock is entitled to one vote on each voting matter at the Annual Meeting.

Who can attend the Annual Meeting?

All stockholders as of the Record Date, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the 2018 Annual Meeting. Stockholders will be admitted to the meeting beginning at 8:45 a.m., Central Daylight Saving Time. Seating will be limited.

What do I need to present for admission to the Annual Meeting?

You will need to present proof of your ownership of the Company s common stock, such as a bank or brokerage account statement, and a form of personal identification, to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. **No cameras, recording equipment, large bags, briefcases or packages will be permitted at the 2018 Annual Meeting. All electronic devices will need to be turned off during the 2018 Annual Meeting.**

What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

You hold shares as a stockholder of record if your shares are registered directly in your name in our stock transfer records, which are managed by Computershare Trust Company, N.A., our transfer agent. The Company provides the proxy materials directly to you as a stockholder of record.

You hold shares as a beneficial owner if your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other holder of record. This form of ownership is commonly referred to as holding shares in street name. Your broker, bank or other stockholder of record forwards the proxy materials and that stockholder of record s voting instructions to you. As the beneficial owner, you direct your broker, bank or other stockholder of record how to vote your shares by following the instructions provided.

How do proxies work?

Our Board of Directors is asking you to appoint Jeffrey D. Ayers and Michele R. Chaffee as your proxy holders to vote your shares at the 2018 Annual Meeting. Mr. Ayers is the Company s Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, and Ms. Chaffee is Associate General Counsel.

You may appoint these individuals by voting your shares by Internet or by toll-free telephone call, as described below. If you receive a paper copy of the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, you may also vote your shares by signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card or voting instruction form.

Giving us your Internet or telephone vote (or signed proxy card or voting instruction form) means that you authorize Mr. Ayers and Ms. Chaffee to vote your shares at the 2018 Annual Meeting according to the voting directions you provide through the Internet or telephone voting procedures (or on the proxy card or voting instruction form).

You may vote for or against all, some or none of our director candidates. You may also provide your (a) advisory vote for or against approval of compensation paid by us to our named executive officers, commonly referred to as a Say-on-Pay proposal, and (b) vote for or against the ratification of the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm. You may also choose to abstain from voting on any of these matters.

Unless you indicate otherwise through the Internet or telephone voting procedures (or on your proxy card or voting instruction form), you also authorize your proxy holders, to the extent permitted under securities regulations, to vote your shares on any matters not known by the Board of Directors at the time this Proxy Statement was printed and that, under our By-Laws, may be properly presented for action at the 2018 Annual Meeting.

How do I vote if I am the stockholder of record?

You can vote in person at the meeting by completing a ballot at the meeting or you can vote by proxy as follows:

By Internet: The website for Internet voting is listed in the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (or on the proxy card if you receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement). Internet voting allows you to confirm that your instructions have been followed.

By telephone: Use the toll-free number listed in the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (or on the proxy card if you receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement). Easy-to-follow voice prompts allow you to vote your shares and confirm that your instructions have been properly received.

By mail (if you receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement): Complete, sign, date and return your proxy card in the enclosed pre-addressed, postage-paid envelope.

2

Internet and telephone voting procedures use a control number that appears on your Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (or on your proxy card if you receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement) to authenticate you as a stockholder of record and to allow you to confirm that your voting instructions have been properly recorded.

If you vote by Internet or telephone, you do not need to sign and return the proxy card.

Each Internet or telephone vote and each executed and returned proxy card will be voted as directed. If you do not provide voting directions, the proxy will be voted in accordance with the Board s voting recommendations contained in this Proxy Statement.

Please contact our proxy solicitation firm, Georgeson LLC, toll-free at (866) 856-6388 if you have any questions regarding voting.

How do I vote if I am a beneficial owner through a stock brokerage account, a bank or other holder of record?

You will receive materials and instructions from your stockbroker, bank or other firm that you must follow in order to have your shares voted.

You will not be able to vote in person at the 2018 Annual Meeting unless you have previously requested and obtained a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other firm and present it at the 2018 Annual Meeting.

Stockholders are advised to provide their voting instructions promptly to allow brokers sufficient time to process the voting instructions. Broker non-votes will be included for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the 2018 Annual Meeting. Broker non-votes are proxies received by CEC from brokers or nominees when the broker or nominee has neither received instructions from the beneficial owner or other persons entitled to vote nor has discretionary power to vote on a particular matter.

What is a quorum?

A quorum is the number of shares that must be present at a meeting to have a valid meeting and valid vote. The required quorum to transact business at the 2018 Annual Meeting is a majority of the voting power of shares of CEC common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote as of the Record Date.

The inspector of elections appointed for the 2018 Annual Meeting will tabulate the votes cast by proxy and in person at the 2018 Annual Meeting to determine whether or not a quorum is present. For purposes of determining whether a quorum is present, the inspector of elections will count abstentions and broker non-votes as shares that are present and entitled to vote.

Who will count the vote?

At the 2018 Annual Meeting, the inspector of elections appointed by the Board of Directors will tabulate the voting results.

What are the Board of Directors recommendations on each proposal?

The Board of Directors recommends that you:

Vote FOR all of the Board of Directors nominees for election as directors.

Vote FOR the non-binding stockholder advisory vote to approve executive compensation paid by the Company to its named executive officers.

3

Vote FOR the ratification of the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2018.

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

Election of Directors: Each outstanding share of our common stock is entitled to one vote for as many separate nominees as there are directors to be elected. If none of our stockholders provides the Company with notice of an intention to nominate one or more candidates to compete with the Board's nominees in a director election, or if our stockholders have withdrawn all such nominations by the tenth day before the Company mails its notice of meeting to our stockholders, a nominee for director will be elected to the Board of Directors if the votes cast FOR the nominee exceed the votes cast AGAINST the nominee. If the number of director nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, the directors will be elected by the vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the 2018 Annual Meeting. If directors are to be elected by a plurality of the votes cast, stockholders are not permitted to vote against a nominee. Abstentions and broker non-votes have no effect on the election of directors, because directors receiving a majority of votes cast will be elected.

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation: Approval, by a non-binding advisory vote, of the compensation paid by the Company to its named executive officers requires the favorable vote of a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote the subject matter at the 2018 Annual Meeting. Abstentions are treated as shares present and not voting, so abstaining has the same effect as a vote AGAINST this proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote.

Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm: Ratification of the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit our financial statements for 2018 requires the favorable vote of a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or by proxy at the 2018 Annual Meeting. Abstentions are treated as shares present and not voting, so abstaining has the same effect as a vote AGAINST this proposal. This proposal to ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP will be considered a routine matter, and accordingly, brokers and other nominees will have discretionary authority to vote on this proposal.

As provided by law, the advisory vote to approve executive compensation is non-binding. The Board will review and consider the results of the vote when determining executive compensation.

What happens if a director nominee does not receive sufficient votes to be elected to the Board of Directors?

Under Delaware law, an incumbent director who fails to receive the required vote holds over, or continues to serve as a director, until his or her successor is elected and qualified. The Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board expects a director to tender his or her resignation if he or she fails to receive the required number of votes for re-election and that if an incumbent director fails to receive the required vote for re-election, the Nominating and Governance Committee will act on an expedited basis to determine whether to accept the director s resignation and will submit such recommendation for prompt consideration by the Board. The Board expects the director whose resignation is under consideration to abstain from participating in any decision regarding that resignation. The Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board may consider any factors they deem relevant in deciding whether to accept a director s resignation. If the failure of a nominee to be elected at the 2018 Annual Meeting results in a vacancy on the Board, the Board may act to fill that vacancy.

Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy?

Yes. Even after you have submitted your proxy, you may change your vote at any time before it is voted at the 2018 Annual Meeting. To change your vote for shares you own directly as a stockholder of record, you may:

vote again at a later date by Internet or telephone; or

deliver a signed and dated proxy card that is dated later than your prior executed proxy card; or

submit a revocation letter with a later date than your proxy card to CEC s Corporate Secretary; or

attend the 2018 Annual Meeting and vote in person.

To revoke your proxy or instructions for shares you hold beneficially in street name, you can revoke your voting instructions by informing the holder of record in accordance with that holder s procedures.

Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

Yes. At the date of this Proxy Statement, we did not know of any other matters to be presented for consideration at the 2018 Annual Meeting. If any other item or matter does properly come before the 2018 Annual Meeting, your proxy holders will vote in their discretion on that item or matter, to the extent permitted under the regulations of the SEC.

Is there a list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Yes. An alphabetical list of stockholders of record entitled to vote at the 2018 Annual Meeting, showing the address of and number of shares registered in the name of each stockholder, will be open to the examination of any stockholder for any purpose germane to the 2018 Annual Meeting during ordinary business hours commencing May 21, 2018, and continuing through the date of the 2018 Annual Meeting at our principal offices, 231 North Martingale Road, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173.

What is householding and how does it affect me?

We have adopted a procedure approved by the SEC called householding. Under this procedure, stockholders of record sharing a single address can choose to receive only one annual report to stockholders, proxy statement or notice of Internet availability of proxy materials, as applicable. This householding practice reduces our printing and postage costs. However, if you or another stockholder of record at a single address wish to receive a separate Annual Report or Proxy Statement this year or in the future, you, he or she may do so. Please contact our Investor Relations support team at the Alpha IR Group at (312) 445-2870 or write to us at Investor Relations, Career Education Corporation, 231 North Martingale Road, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173.

If you are a street name holder, you can request householding by contacting your bank or broker.

Can I access the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K on the Internet?

CEC s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, containing financial and other information pertaining to CEC, is being made available to stockholders with this Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement. The Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at www.ProxyVote.com.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

The Board of Directors

The current members of the Board of Directors are:

Dennis H. Chookaszian Kenda B. Gonzales Patrick W. Gross William D. Hansen Gregory L. Jackson Thomas B. Lally Todd S. Nelson Leslie T. Thornton Richard D. Wang

The Board of Directors met 10 times in 2017. Each incumbent director attended at least 75% of the total number of Board meetings and at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Committees on which he or she served during the period he or she served as a director or Committee member. Mr. Hansen was appointed to the Board on November 14, 2017.

Directors are expected to attend annual meetings of the Company s stockholders, including the 2018 Annual Meeting, absent unusual circumstances. Each member of the Board of Directors who served as a director at the time of the 2017 Annual Meeting of the Company s stockholders attended that meeting.

Corporate Governance Guidelines and Ethics Codes

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist it in fulfilling its responsibility to exercise its business judgment to act in what it believes to be the best interest of our stockholders. The Corporate Governance Guidelines, as amended, are posted on the Company s website, www.careered.com, under the caption Investor Relations.

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics for Executive Officers specifically applicable to our executive officers and senior financial officers, including our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officers.

We have also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics to promote honest and ethical conduct and compliance with the laws and governmental rules and regulations to which we are subject. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is applicable to all of our employees, officers and directors. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics also includes the Company s Conflicts of Interest Policy, among other policies. Directors are expected to read this Code and adhere to its provisions to the extent applicable in carrying out their duties and responsibilities as directors. The Conflicts of Interest Policy provides, among other things, that it is improper for employees to do business with an individual that is not at arm s length even if the employee has no financial interest in the transaction or arrangement; that employees shall not directly or indirectly have any interest in or have any personal contract, agreement or understanding of any nature whatsoever with suppliers, customers or other persons or entities doing business or negotiating to do business with the Company; and that employees must bring any business opportunity encompassed under the Conflicts of Interest Policy to the attention of the appropriate Company official. Employees are also prohibited under the Conflicts of Interest Policy from engaging or participating, directly or indirectly, either as a principal, agent, employee, employer, consultant, stockholder, copartner, board member or in any other individual or representative capacity, in the conduct or management of, or owning any stock or other proprietary interest in, any business that is or may be competitive or seeks to do business with the Company, unless the employee has obtained the prior written consent of the Company s General Counsel (or the Chairperson of the Audit Committee, in the case of directors serving on the Board of Directors). However, this prohibition does not apply to ownership of (1) up to 1% of

the equity interests of any privately or publicly held company, or (2) any publicly traded mutual fund or similar investment in which the covered person does not have direct control over the companies included in the fund.

6

These Codes are available on our website at *www.careered.com* under the caption Investor Relations. Any amendments of these Codes will be promptly posted on our website. The Audit Committee is responsible for our compliance with these Codes and reviews issues arising under the Codes relating to any director, executive officer or senior financial officer. Only the Audit Committee or the Board of Directors can approve a waiver from these Codes for these individuals. Any waiver approved by the Audit Committee or the Board will be disclosed promptly on our Internet site and as otherwise required by the rules of the SEC and NASDAQ.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

The Board believes that separating the Chairman of the Board and the President and Chief Executive Officer positions serves the best interests of the Company and its stockholders because it enhances communication among the Board and members of the senior executive team and enables the Board to more effectively oversee the Company s strategy and strategy implementation. Thomas Lally has served as Chairman of the Board since October 26, 2015. In 2015 through 2018, the Board affirmatively determined that Mr. Lally was an independent director under the NASDAQ listing standards.

The Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that in the event the same individual serves as both the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, the non-employee directors of the Board of Directors will designate a non-employee director to serve as the Lead Director. The responsibilities of a Lead Director are to preside at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman of the Board is not present, including serving as the chairperson of the Board sexecutive sessions of non-employee directors; serve as liaison between the Chief Executive Officer and non-employee directors; consult with, and provide input to, the Chairman of the Board regarding the agenda for Board meetings and meeting schedules; and otherwise perform duties as may be delegated by the Board to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities. In addition, the Lead Director has the authority to call meetings of the non-employee directors and is responsible for conducting exit interviews with resigning executive officers and such other persons as the Board deems necessary or appropriate.

The Board oversees risk management both through the Company's enterprise risk management process and the internal audit function. In 2007, the Company's Risk Committee was established. Currently, the Chief Executive Officer serves as the Chair of the Company's Risk Committee, and the other members include the Company's Chief Financial Officer, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer, Chief Internal Auditor, Senior Vice President Corporate Tax, Risk and Real Estate, Senior Vice President American InterContinental University, Senior Vice President Colorado Technical University, Senior Vice President Transitional Group, Chief Information Officer and Chief Human Resources Officer. The Risk Committee is intended to meet quarterly to review enterprise-wide, business-unit specific and other discrete topic risk surveys and assessments. The Committee then utilizes the survey results to identify and prioritize the Company's top risks, and develop implementation plans to manage the risks. The Risk Committee reports quarterly to the Audit Committee regarding identified enterprise risks, risk assessment and mitigation, effectiveness of risk management and related matters.

The Chief Internal Auditor reports directly to the Audit Committee of the Board. The Company s Internal Audit function prepares both annual and three-year audit plans identifying specific audit activities, scope and prioritization. These audit plans are developed utilizing the enterprise risk management survey results, the COSO framework for internal controls and the IT Governance Institute s COBIT framework and are linked to the Company s annual business plan.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has established a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Compliance Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee, each composed entirely of directors who are independent, as defined in the NASDAQ listing standards. Each Committee has a written charter that is posted on our website, www.careered.com, under the caption Investor Relations. Each Committee reports to the full

Board of Directors regarding carrying out the Committee responsibilities set forth in its charter. In 2017, the Audit Committee held eight meetings, the Compensation Committee held seven meetings, the Compliance Committee held five meetings, and the Nominating and Governance Committee held four meetings.

The Board determines the Committee assignments annually following the election of directors at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders and at other times as circumstances warrant, in each case upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee. The current Committee assignments of the non-employee directors are shown in the following table:

				Nominating and
Director	Audit	Compensation	Compliance	Governance
Dennis H. Chookaszian	X			X
Kenda B. Gonzales	X (Chairperson)		X	
Patrick W. Gross		X (Chairperson)	X	
William D. Hansen (1)		X		X
Gregory L. Jackson		X		X (Chairperson)
Thomas B. Lally (2)				
Leslie T. Thornton	X		X (Chairperson)	
Richard D. Wang		X	_	X

- (1) Mr. Hansen was appointed to the Board on November 14, 2017 and to the Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee on February 1, 2018.
- (2) Mr. Lally was appointed Chairman of the Board on October 26, 2015. *Audit Committee*

The Audit Committee, among other of its responsibilities:

Oversees our accounting and financial reporting processes, audits of our financial statements, the internal audit department, qualitative aspects of financial reporting to stockholders, related-party transactions and the Company s processes to manage business and financial risk.

Retains and oversees our independent registered public accounting firm, including reviewing its independence.

Pre-approves all audit services and permissible non-audit services.

The Audit Committee is composed solely of directors who meet all of the independence standards for audit committee members as set forth in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the *Exchange Act*), and NASDAQ listing standards. After reviewing the qualifications of the Audit Committee s members, and any relationships they have with CEC that might affect their independence from CEC, the Board of Directors

determined that (1) all current members of the Audit Committee are independent as that concept is defined in Section 10A of the Exchange Act and as defined in the NASDAQ listing standards, (2) all current members of the Audit Committee are financially literate, and (3) Mr. Chookaszian and Ms. Gonzales qualify as an audit committee financial expert under the applicable rules promulgated under the Exchange Act.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee, among other of its responsibilities:

Establishes and reviews the overall compensation philosophy of the Company.

Reviews and approves the corporate goals and objectives relevant to Chief Executive Officer compensation; evaluates the Chief Executive Officer s performance in light of those goals and

8

objectives; determines the Chief Executive Officer s compensation level based on this evaluation; and presents this assessment and determination to the full Board for ratification.

Reviews and approves the compensation of each of our other executive officers, based, in part, upon recommendations from the President and Chief Executive Officer.

Administers the Company s incentive compensation plans.

Approves and evaluates all compensation plans, policies and programs as they affect the executive officers, except for broad-based welfare, retirement and other benefit plans, policies and programs applicable to employees generally (the responsibility for which has been delegated to the Company s Employee Benefits Committee).

See Executive Officers and Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Report of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors below.

The Compensation Committee is composed solely of directors who meet all of the independence standards for compensation committee members as set forth in the Exchange Act and NASDAQ listing standards. After reviewing any relationships the Compensation Committee members have with CEC that might affect their independence from CEC, the Board of Directors has determined that all current members of the Compensation Committee are independent as that concept is defined by Rule 10C-1 under the Exchange Act and as defined in the NASDAQ listing standards.

Delegation of Authority. The Compensation Committee Charter specifies that the President and Chief Executive Officer establishes incentive awards, termination arrangements and salary levels for officers other than our executive officers; the President and Chief Executive Officer provides periodic reports to the Compensation Committee on these matters.

Pursuant to the Career Education Corporation 2016 Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2016 Plan) and guidelines and procedures adopted thereunder, the Compensation Committee has delegated authority to our President and Chief Executive Officer to make equity awards to new employees and existing employees (except those who are executive officers under Section 16 of the Exchange Act) of up to 100,000 shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units and up to 100,000 shares in the form of stock options during any 12-month period, with no individual award to exceed 50,000 shares or a total value of \$100,000.

The Compensation Committee and the Board also have established the Career Education Corporation Employee Benefits Committee to administer our health and welfare plans, our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (a Section 423 plan under the Code), our 401(k) plan and general employee benefits plans and programs (but excluding any plans or programs affecting solely our executive officer group). The Employee Benefits Committee is composed of four senior executives who fulfill the roles of Chief Financial Officer, Chief Human Resources Officer, General Counsel and Senior Vice President Corporate Tax, Risk and Real Estate. This Committee reports its activities and actions to the Compensation Committee on a quarterly basis.

Role of Executive Officers and Management. The Chief Human Resources Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel generally attend each meeting of the Compensation Committee (except for its executive sessions without management present) to provide input regarding senior management s view on our overall compensation

programs, to provide feedback from key management on the forms of compensation and whether specific forms of compensation and specific performance measures and targets provide appropriate incentives for desired goals and objectives, and to provide the Compensation Committee with information such as each executive s experience, compensation and promotion history, development and other materials necessary or useful to the Compensation Committee s deliberations. The President and Chief Executive Officer generally attends the meetings of the Compensation Committee (except for its executive sessions without management present) and submits recommendations to the Compensation Committee concerning performance and pay for the

9

executive officers, excluding himself. As noted above, the President and Chief Executive Officer establishes incentive awards, termination arrangements and compensation levels for Company officers other than the executive officers, which he does in consultation with the Chief Human Resources Officer.

Role of Compensation Consultants and Compensation Consultant Conflicts of Interest. As further described below in Executive Officers and Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Committee has retained Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (FW Cook), an independent compensation and benefits consulting firm, to assist the Compensation Committee on executive compensation matters. FW Cook representatives attend most meetings of the Compensation Committee, including certain executive sessions without management present; advise the Compensation Committee on compensation trends and practices; prepare competitive market reviews on executive compensation levels; provide analyses and data compilations regarding executive compensation; and advise on executive pay recommendations for our executive officers.

The Compensation Committee has adopted a policy requiring its compensation consultant to be independent of Company management. The policy requires that the independent consultant:

Be retained and terminated by the Compensation Committee.

Report solely to the Compensation Committee.

Be independent of the Company.

Not provide any service or undertake any work for the Company other than that performed for the Compensation Committee, and as may from time to time be authorized by the Compensation Committee at the request of the Nominating and Governance Committee of the Board of Directors.

Not provide any unrelated services or products to the Company and its affiliates or management, except as allowed under the rules and regulations of the SEC and of any national stock exchange on which securities of the Company are listed.

The Compensation Committee performs a periodic assessment of its consultant s independence in which it considers the nature and amount of work performed during the year, the nature of any unrelated services performed for the Company, the amount of fees paid for those services in relation to the firm s total revenues, the consultant s policies and procedures designed to prevent conflicts of interest, any business or personal relationships between the consultant and any Compensation Committee member or executive officer, and the amount of Company stock owned by the consultants working for the Company. The consultant also periodically prepares a letter for the Compensation Committee providing appropriate assurances and confirmation of the consultant s independent status. In 2017, FW Cook did not provide any services to the Company beyond its role as independent consultant to the Committee. The Compensation Committee determined that the work of FW Cook as compensation consultant to the Committee does not raise any conflict of interest.

Compliance Committee

The Compliance Committee oversees our policies, programs and procedures to ensure compliance with applicable laws, regulations and the Company s policies and advises the Board on the status of our compliance programs and ongoing developments relating to compliance matters, including education regulatory matters. In particular, the Compliance Committee is responsible for reviewing significant compliance risk areas and the steps the Company s corporate compliance department has taken to monitor, control and report compliance risk exposures. The Compliance Committee s area of responsibility also includes monitoring the effectiveness of, and recommending improvements to, the Company s compliance program and reviewing the effectiveness of the Company s system for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations relating to the administration of student financial aid and related matters. Further, the Compliance Committee is responsible for monitoring compliance with the Company s codes of conduct and ethics, reporting compliance issues that may have significant financial

implications for the Company that may be relevant to matters which the Audit Committee considers under its purview and monitoring procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding compliance matters.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee identifies candidates who are eligible to serve as directors under the qualification standards set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines; reviews the size and structure of the Board, including the independence of the directors; recommends the structure and membership of other Board Committees to the Board of Directors; and monitors and identifies best practices in corporate governance and recommends, as may be required or otherwise appropriate, corporate governance principles to the Board. The Nominating and Governance Committee also reviews potential conflicts of interest of prospective Board members, reviews and recommends to the Board the compensation and benefits of directors, in consideration of the director compensation goals included in the Corporate Governance Guidelines, and oversees the evaluation of the Board and each Board Committee, including through coordinating annual Board self-assessments and evaluations.

Director Selection Process

Director Independence

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Nominating and Governance charter require that at least two-thirds of the Board consist of non-employee independent directors as defined under NASDAQ s listing standards and any other applicable laws or regulations.

Nominating Procedures and Director Qualifications

Our Sixth Amended and Restated By-Laws address the director nominee selection process and our Corporate Governance Guidelines address director qualifications.

The Nominating and Governance Committee considers candidates for the Board from any reasonable source, including stockholder and Board recommendations. The Nominating and Governance Committee does not evaluate candidates differently based on who has proposed the candidate. The Nominating and Governance Committee has the authority under its charter to hire and pay a fee to consultants or search firms to assist in the process of identifying and evaluating director candidates. The Nominating and Governance Committee recommends candidates for nomination to the Board of Directors.

In connection with the Board s self-assessment and evaluation process, director input was sought regarding backgrounds and skills desired for a new director candidate, and recommendations were also sought for candidates with these desired traits. This process led to the appointment of William Hansen to the Board in November 2017. Mr. Hansen was one of the individuals recommended by Todd Nelson, a director and our Chief Executive Officer, as potential candidates with the desired traits.

Stockholders who wish to suggest qualified director candidates for consideration by the Nominating and Governance Committee should write to the Corporate Secretary, Career Education Corporation, 231 North Martingale Road, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173 specifying the name of the candidate and stating in detail the person squalifications. A written statement from the candidate, consenting to be named as a candidate and to serve as a director if nominated and elected, should accompany the recommendation. Stockholders who wish to nominate a director for election at an annual meeting of the stockholders of the Company must comply with the Company s By-Laws regarding stockholder

proposals and nominations. See Other Information Proposals of Stockholders contained in this Proxy Statement.

11

The Nominating and Governance Committee considers the entirety of each candidate s credentials and does not have any specific minimum qualifications that must be met by a nominee recommended by the Nominating and Governance Committee or by a stockholder. The Nominating and Governance Committee believes that each member of the Board should have the highest character and integrity, a reputation for working constructively with others, sufficient time to devote to Board matters and no conflict of interest that would interfere with his or her performance as a director. In evaluating and selecting new directors, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers whether the candidate meets the definition of independent director as specified in NASDAO s listing standards, as well as such candidate s strength of character, mature judgment, career specialization, relevant technical skills and the extent to which the candidate would fill a present need for the Board. In addition, while the Nominating and Governance Committee does not have a formal policy mandating the consideration of diversity in identifying or evaluating director nominees or directors, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers factors such as diversity when evaluating directors and director candidates, with diversity being broadly understood by the Nominating and Governance Committee to mean a variety of backgrounds, qualifications and personal characteristics. The Nominating and Governance Committee has sought candidates with diverse backgrounds and experience in academia, government regulation of postsecondary education, investing, internet and digital media, accounting, finance and public companies to provide the Board with informed perspectives on the complex business and regulatory environment in which the Company operates, and has established both race and gender diversity on the Board. In the case of a current director being considered for re-nomination, the Nominating and Governance Committee also takes into consideration the director s history of meeting attendance, tenure and preparation for and participation at Board and Board Committee meetings. The Nominating and Governance Committee generally seeks to have a range of tenures on the Board so that there is a mix of new and longer-term directors to provide a balance of perspectives.

Specific Qualifications, Attributes and Skills Our Directors Bring to the Board

We operate in a highly regulated industry, which has significant impacts on our business and creates risks and uncertainties. In recent years, there has been substantial focus by various members of the U.S. Congress and federal agencies, including the Department of Education, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and the Federal Trade Commission, on the role that for-profit educational institutions play in higher education. Over the past several years, we have pursued a transformation strategy aimed at reducing the complexity of operations, improving regulatory compliance and focusing our attention on our University Group institutions. The attributes, skills and experience that our Board members bring to the Company must support the Company s strategies and actions necessary in dealing with these regulatory and economic uncertainties.

The discussion below describes the key experiences, qualifications, attributes and skills that led the Nominating and Governance Committee to the conclusion that the director nominees are qualified to serve as directors of the Company at this time. However, this summary is not meant to be a complete description of all of the skills and attributes of the director nominees. Additional details on our individual director nominees are presented in their biographies in Items to be Voted On Proposal 1: Election of Directors below.

Educational Services and Related Legal and Regulatory Experience. The Company offers a comprehensive array of educational programs and operates in a highly regulated environment. Directors with experience in education and its regulation bring vital experience in understanding regulatory oversight and how it affects academics and operations. They can assist the Board (a) in identifying trends that may impact the Company s operations, services or business model, (b) in developing compliance models and (c) in delivering academic services.

Strategic Planning and Growth Initiatives. The Company's academic institutions offer a quality education to a diverse student population in a variety of disciplines through online, campus-based and blended learning programs. Our two universities American InterContinental University (AIU) and Colorado Technical University (CTU) provide

degree programs through the master s or doctoral level as well as associate and bachelor s levels. Directors with experience in strategic planning help the Board to oversee the Company s

12

strategic planning process through identifying growth and other objectives; defining imperatives in compliance, service delivery and other areas; assessing the appropriate business models for our institutions; and analyzing other critical strategic issues for the Company.

Investment Management and Other Financial Expertise. The Board's strategic planning oversight extends to reviewing and providing input on the Company's annual business plan and longer-term strategic plan. Those directors with experience in analyzing businesses and developing investment strategies from the investors perspective assist the Board in evaluating and establishing the Company's business plans with the objective of creating value for stockholders.

Directors with experience in financial accounting and reporting, particularly for public companies, bring to the Board the financial expertise and financial literacy required to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibility for oversight of the quality and integrity of the accounting, auditing, financial reporting and internal control practices of the Company.

Marketing. The Company s business model has historically been highly dependent on brand management and direct marketing, much in the manner that consumer companies market goods and services. Like other companies in the postsecondary education sector, the Company s marketing programs are subject to extensive federal, state and local legislation, regulation and scrutiny. Directors with marketing expertise assist the Board in evaluating the Company s marketing and brand management programs, in assessing alternative marketing approaches, in reviewing the impacts of regulatory requirements on our marketing efforts and approach, and applying similar considerations critical to the business models utilized to create organic growth of the Company.

Governance. In discharging its duties, the Board is cognizant of its corporate governance responsibilities across numerous areas from its self-organization, director nomination process, executive compensation, stockholders and other matters. Directors who gain expertise in corporate governance trends from their other public company boards or other activities assist the Board in early identification of and decision-making on corporate governance matters.

Digital Business and Information Technology. The Company delivers online education through its online programs and campuses and has implemented, across many of its institutions, the use of sophisticated personalized learning technologies. The Company continues to invest in its methods for delivering online education, as it seeks to differentiate its institutions and brands through its information technology architecture. Directors with experience working with information technology-intensive businesses assist the Board in overseeing the Company s information system initiatives, including the development of online programs, and understanding the strengths and risks related to the Company s online programs and technology infrastructure.

Cyber Security. In the course of its business, the Company collects and stores sensitive data, including proprietary information and personally identifiable information of our students, employees, and business partners in our data centers and on our networks. The continued occurrence of high-profile data breaches generally provides evidence of the serious threats to information security and, in this regard, the Company's networks are vulnerable to unauthorized access and security threats. Directors with experience in cyber security assist the Board in developing strategies and processes for protecting against and, in the event our networks become compromised, responding to and remediating information security breaches.

Board Skills Matrix. The table below summarizes the specific qualifications, attributes and skills that led the Nominating and Governance Committee to the conclusion that the director nominees are qualified to serve as directors of the Company at this time. This summary, however, is not meant to be a complete description of all of the skills and attributes of the director nominees. Additional details on our individual director nominees are presented in their biographies; see Items to be Voted On Proposal 1: Election of Directors below. An X indicates that the required

expertise is a specific factor considered in nominating the individual to serve on the

Board and, for incumbent Board members, is a specific area of focus or expertise on which the Board relies. Lack of an X does not mean that the director nominee does not possess the identified expertise.

	Board of Directors								
Required Expertise	Dennis Chookaszian	Kenda Gonzales	Patrick Gross	William Hansen	Gregory Jackson	Thomas Lally	Todd Nelson	Leslie Thornton	Richard Wang
Educational Services and Related Legal and Regulatory Experience		X		X	X	X	X	Х	
Strategic Planning and Growth Initiatives	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	Х		Х
Investment Management and Other Financial Expertise	X	X	X	X	X	X			X
Marketing	X		X						
Governance	X		X	X		X		X	
Digital Business and Information Technology	X		X						
Cyber Security	X		X					X	

Transactions with Related Persons

The Board of Directors and the Company have established certain policies and procedures regarding review and approval of activities involving related-person transactions as defined under applicable SEC regulations. Related persons include anyone who is, or has been since the beginning of the last fiscal year, a director or director nominee, an executive officer, a stockholder owning 5% or more of our outstanding common stock, and any immediate family member or associate of any of these persons. A person s immediate family includes his or her spouse, parents, step-parents, children, step-children, brothers and sisters, mothers- and fathers-in-law, sons- and daughters-in-law, brothers- and sisters-in-law, and anyone (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the person s home. A person s associates include (a) any corporation or organization (other than the Company or its subsidiaries) of which the person is an officer or partner, or is directly or indirectly the beneficial owner of 10% or more of any class of equity securities; (b) any trust or other estate in which the person has a substantial beneficial interest or serves as trustee or in a similar fiduciary capacity; and (c) any member of the person s immediate family who has the same home as the person or who is a director or officer of the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

As stated in the Audit Committee charter, the Board has delegated to the Audit Committee the responsibility to review, approve or ratify any transactions with related persons required to be reported in the Company s periodic reports with the SEC to determine if each transaction is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders and is consistent with applicable legal or regulatory requirements. The Audit Committee meets quarterly with our internal

audit department members to review the results of their monitoring of compliance with the Company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (which applies to directors as well as all employees) and the Code of Ethics for Executive Officers. Monitoring procedures include the Company s EthicsMatters Hotline for anonymous reporting of suspected violations of those Codes and entity level testing of key controls in connection with internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures.

As discussed above, the Company s Conflicts of Interest Policy, which is included in the Company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, sets forth what business conduct, interests or arrangements of its employees may be improper, and what employees must do to bring any opportunity covered by the Conflicts of Interest Policy to the attention of the Company. See Corporate Governance Guidelines and Ethics Codes above for further description of this policy.

14

In accordance with the Nominating and Governance Committee charter, the Board s Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the independence of and any possible conflicts of interest of directors and director nominees. The Nominating and Governance Committee performs this review at least annually in connection with information gathered from Directors and Officers Questionnaires and the director nominee selection process. Directors are required to disclose potential and existing related-party transactions in completing the questionnaire. Directors are also expected to disclose all potential related-party transactions to the Audit Committee and to the Board of Directors in compliance with the Audit Committee s responsibilities regarding review of related-person transactions. Corporate legal and accounting staff also review all purchases, sales or other financial transactions between CEC and each outside director or nominee, and between CEC and any entity and affiliates of which a director or nominee is an employee, director, or trustee.

Based on these reviews, there have been no related-party transactions which would require disclosure in this Proxy Statement, nor are we aware of any business or other relationship that, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. The Company did, however, engage Duane Morris LLP as one of its providers of legal services in 2017. The son of our President and Chief Executive Officer is an intern at Duane Morris. The Company paid Duane Morris LLP approximately \$420,000 in 2017.

Communications with the Board of Directors

Stockholders or other interested parties may communicate with the Board of Directors by sending a letter to the Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, Career Education Corporation, 231 North Martingale Road, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173. The Corporate Secretary will receive the correspondence and forward it to the director or directors to whom the communication is addressed. From time to time, the Board of Directors may change the process by which stockholders may communicate with the Board or its members. Please refer to our website, www.careered.com, under the captions Investor Relations-Corporate Governance for any changes in this process.

15

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

For 2017, each non-employee director other than the Chairman of the Board received an annual retainer of \$75,000 and the non-employee Chairman of the Board received an annual retainer of \$125,000, payable in quarterly installments. In addition, each non-employee director who serves as a Board Committee chairperson also receives an additional annual retainer of \$15,000, payable in quarterly installments. An individual meeting fee of \$1,500 is paid to the non-employee directors, including a non-employee Chairman of the Board with respect to meetings of the full Board, for each Board and Committee meeting commencing with the 18th such Board or 18th such Committee meeting in the 12-month period following the annual meeting of the Company s stockholders. The final quarterly payment with respect to a calendar year is contingent on the director having attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of Board meetings (held during the portion of the year for which such individual has been a director) plus the total number of meetings held by all Committees of the Board on which such person served (during the portion of the year that the person served on such Committee). In the event the director has not achieved such attendance level, the director will forfeit the entire amount of the final quarterly retainer payment. This forfeiture provision does not apply to (1) Board or Committee meeting fees payable when the Board or Committee holds 18 or more meetings during the calendar year, or (2) equity awards (described below).

All directors are reimbursed for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board of Directors and Committee meetings and associated with Board or Committee responsibilities, including attendance at one director education program each year. We do not believe the reimbursement of expenses related to attendance at such director education programs to be perquisites as directors are expected to attend such programs, which are integrally and directly related to their service as directors.

In addition, under the director compensation program, each non-employee director received an annual grant of stock options under the 2016 Plan on the date of our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders with a \$75,000 target value at grant, and new directors who join the Board during the year receive a pro rata equity award for their partial year of service. For the 2017-2018 director term, each non-employee director was granted options to purchase 11,271 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price of the common stock on NASDAQ on the date of the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The stock options granted to each non-employee director vest on June 14, 2018, subject to continued Board service through the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. William Hansen joined the Board in November 2017 and therefore he received a pro rata award of stock options for his partial year of service which yest in December 2018.

The Nominating and Governance Committee has the responsibility to review non-employee director compensation on a periodic basis and to recommend changes to the Board of Directors.

Todd Nelson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, is an employee director and therefore does not receive any additional compensation as a member of the Board. The compensation of Mr. Nelson as President and Chief Executive Officer for 2017 and prior periods is addressed under the headings Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Compensation Tables.

Each director is covered by our directors and officers insurance policy and also has an indemnification agreement providing indemnification and advancement of expenses to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law.

16

38

The total compensation of our non-employee directors for the year ended December 31, 2017 is shown in the table below

2017 Director Compensation

Name	s Earned n Cash	Option wards(1)	Total
Dennis H. Chookaszian (2)	\$ 80,893	\$ 73,502	\$ 154,395
Kenda B. Gonzales (3)	\$ 84,107	\$ 73,502	\$ 157,609
Patrick W. Gross (4)	\$ 90,000	\$ 73,502	\$ 163,502
William D. Hansen (5)	\$ 9,863	\$ 45,762	\$ 55,625
Gregory L. Jackson (6)	\$ 90,000	\$ 73,502	\$ 163,502
Thomas B. Lally (7)	\$ 125,000	\$ 73,502	\$ 198,502
Leslie T. Thornton (8)	\$ 90,000	\$ 73,502	\$ 163,502
Richard D. Wang (9)	\$ 75,000	\$ 73,502	\$ 148,502

- (1) Amounts were calculated as the aggregate grant date fair value, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures and utilizing the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (*FASB ASC*) Topic 718 *Compensation Stock Compensation*. See Note 13 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 for information regarding the assumptions used in the valuation of our equity awards.
- (2) Chairperson of the Audit Committee through May 23, 2017. As of December 31, 2017, Mr. Chookaszian held options to purchase 179,064 shares of Company common stock and 14,619 deferred stock units.
- (3) Chairperson of the Audit Committee commencing May 23, 2017. As of December 31, 2017, Ms. Gonzales held options to purchase 20,579 shares of Company common stock.
- (4) Chairperson of the Compensation Committee. As of December 31, 2017, Mr. Gross held options to purchase 179,064 shares of Company common stock and 14,619 deferred stock units.
- (5) Mr. Hansen was appointed to the Board on November 14, 2017. As of December 31, 2017, Mr. Hansen held options to purchase 5,168 shares of Company common stock.
- (6) Chairperson of the Nominating and Governance Committee. As of December 31, 2017, Mr. Jackson held options to purchase 179,064 shares of Company common stock and 14,619 deferred stock units.
- (7) Chairman of the Board. As of December 31, 2017, Mr. Lally held options to purchase 179,064 shares of Company common stock and 14,619 deferred stock units.

- (8) Chairperson of the Compliance Committee. As of December 31, 2017, Ms. Thornton held options to purchase 179,064 shares of Company common stock and 14,619 deferred stock units.
- (9) As of December 31, 2017, Mr. Wang held options to purchase 59,064 shares of Company common stock and 2,928 deferred stock units.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board of Directors expects non-employee directors to be active participants in improving stockholder value by maintaining a predetermined level of ownership of Company common stock. The Board adopted revised stock ownership guidelines, effective as of June 2, 2014, that apply to our executive officers and non-employee directors. Under the stock ownership guidelines adopted in 2014, the non-employee director ownership target is set at five times the base cash annual retainer for non-employee directors (excluding any additional retainer amounts for Committee or Chairperson service). There is no specific period of time under the guidelines by which non-employee directors are required to achieve their ownership target; however, the Board expects each non-employee director to make continuous progress toward his or her ownership target and retain at least 75% of

17

the net shares from equity awards received until such director s ownership target has been achieved. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, each of the non-employee directors has complied with the terms of the Stock Ownership Guidelines adopted in 2014; however, none of such directors has achieved such director s applicable stock ownership target. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis IX. Corporate Governance Matters Stock Ownership Guidelines for further information regarding these stock ownership guidelines.

18

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Executive Officers

Set forth below is a table identifying our executive officers at April 3, 2018 and their biographies are provided below, except for Todd S. Nelson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, whose biography can be found in Matters to be Voted On Proposal 1: Election of Directors.

Name	Age	Position				
Jeffrey D. Ayers	57	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary				
David C. Czeszewski	54	Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer				
Ashish R. Ghia	41	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer				
Andrew H. Hurst	55	Senior Vice President Colorado Technical University				
John R. Kline	55	Senior Vice President American InterContinental University				
Michele A. Peppers	42	Vice President, Accounting and Reporting				
The Board of Directors elects our executive officers annually. The executive officers serve at the discretion of the						
	1 1	' 1' C' CCC				

Board of Directors. There are no family relationships among any of the directors or officers of CEC.

Jeffrey D. Ayers has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since joining the Company in December 2007. Mr. Ayers has extensive experience as a senior legal officer for public companies operating in complex regulatory and financial environments, with a focus on compliance and transactional matters. From 2005 until joining the Company, Mr. Ayers was the Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of NovaStar Financial, Inc., a NYSE-listed mortgage originator, servicer and securitizor, where he had responsibility for all legal, regulatory, compliance and corporate governance issues. From 2003 to 2005, Mr. Ayers was Vice President and Associate General Counsel with General Electric s insurance subsidiary, where he managed and negotiated domestic and international transactions and corporate financings, and advised on securities law matters, among other responsibilities. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Ayers was Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Aquila Merchant Services, Inc., a NYSE-listed multinational risk merchant, commodity trader and energy infrastructure developer and manager. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Ayers was managing partner of the London, England office of Husch Blackwell LLP. Mr. Ayers received a Bachelor of Science degree in computer science and mathematics from Graceland University and a law degree and Master of Business Administration from the University of Iowa.

David C. Czeszewski joined the Company in 2001 and currently serves as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer. Prior to being appointed Interim Chief Information Officer in 2013, Mr. Czeszewski served as Chief Technology Infrastructure Officer managing the enterprise technology infrastructure and service management functions. He previously served as Chief Information Officer for the Company s then Online Education Group from 2005 to 2006. Mr. Czeszewski has also held roles such as Vice President of Strategic Development, overseeing Internet development with a special focus on admissions effectiveness, and Director of Project Office/Major Projects, overseeing the enterprise upgrade of a student administration system at each of Career Education s campuses, the centralization of a datacenter and the creation of a new wide-area network. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Czeszewski was Vice President of Product Development for Commerx, Inc., a provider and operator of eBusiness networks enabling companies and their trading companies to optimize supply chains. He has worked in the technology field since 1986, serving in the consumer electronics, financial services, software product development, and

postsecondary education industries. Mr. Czeszewski received a Bachelor of Arts degree in business and computer studies from Lake Forest College and a Master of Business Administration from Dominican University.

Ashish R. Ghia was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective March 1, 2018, after serving as Interim Chief Financial Officer since September 21, 2017. Mr. Ghia joined the Company in June

19

2008 and has served in various financial planning and analysis roles of increasing responsibility, including as Vice President Finance since February 2016 and Vice President Financial Planning & Analysis from October 2012 through January 2016. Mr. Ghia has also served as Assistant Treasurer of the Company since August 2016. Prior to joining the Company, he was a Business Finance Manager with Sears Holdings Corporation from 2006 to 2008, and also held associate positions with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and Ernst & Young. Mr. Ghia holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree in financial accounting and audit from the University of Mumbai and a Master of Business Administration from Georgia State University and is a certified public accountant.

Andrew H. Hurst joined the Company in April 2014 as President of Colorado Technical University, and also became the Company s Senior Vice President Colorado Technical University in October 2015. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Hurst held various positions at Education Management Corporation (EDMC), where he most recently served as Vice Chancellor for Online and Strategic Operations for South University from March 2013 to March 2014, overseeing all online operational aspects of the university, including marketing and admissions, student retention, faculty recruitment, student affairs, new program development, academic technology, program portfolio and assessment, academic strategy as well as research and development. Prior to his Vice Chancellor position at South University, Mr. Hurst served from July 2011 to March 2013 as Vice President of Academic Operations for EDMC s Online Higher Education division, supporting all of EDMC s online programs offered by Argosy University, South University and the Art Institutes. In addition, he served as Chair of EDMC s Education Innovation Council, leading the development and implementation of several key strategic initiatives, including EDMC s classroom of the future, its mobile application platform and Argosy University s competency-based program. Mr. Hurst first joined EDMC in 2004 as Vice President of Online for South University, responsible for implementation, development and growth of the university s online programs. He later served in a similar role for Argosy University. Mr. Hurst began his higher education career in the early 1980s and held a variety of cross-functional leadership roles within his family s educational business. His company developed and supported distance education programs for traditional not-for-profit universities, such as Saint Joseph s College, Upper Iowa University and Indiana Institute of Technology, as well as founded Virginia College in 1983. Mr. Hurst received a Bachelor of Science degree from the McIntire School of Commerce at the University of Virginia.

John R. Kline joined the Company in October 2015 as Senior Vice President American InterContinental University. Mr. Kline also serves as President of American InterContinental University. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Kline served in executive leadership roles at several large education companies in the U.S. He served as Senior Vice President of Operations of Education Management Corporation (EDMC) from July 2011 to December 2013, where he also served as President of EDMC s Online Higher Education Division from July 2009 to January 2013 and as Senior Vice President of Student Acquisition and Retention from April 2009 to July 2009. Prior to his service at EDMC, from October 2007 to April 2009 Mr. Kline was the Chief Executive Officer of Nelnet Enrollment Solutions, which provided solutions to higher education institutions in the area of marketing, recruiting and retention of high quality students. Prior to his service at Nelnet, Mr. Kline held several roles of increasing responsibility at the University of Phoenix and Apollo Group Inc. (now known as Apollo Education Group, Inc.) from 1996 to 2007, including serving as the Chief Administrative Officer from February 2006 to October 2007. Mr. Kline received a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from Arizona State University.

Michele A. Peppers has served as the Company's principal accounting officer since April 2015. Ms. Peppers has served in the Company's finance department since 2004 in various roles of increasing responsibility. She has served in her current role of Vice President, Accounting and Reporting, since October 2014 and her prior positions with the Company have included Vice President and Assistant Controller from November 2012 to October 2014, Director of Financial Reporting from March 2009 to November 2012, as well as various other roles with increasing responsibility within the Accounting department since joining the Company in April 2004. Prior to joining the Company, she worked as an Accounting Manager for RJ Nelson Enterprises, an owner/operator of restaurants. Ms. Peppers received

a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from the University of Illinois at Chicago and is a certified public accountant.

20

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This compensation discussion and analysis describes how the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors oversees the design and administration of executive compensation programs and how and why the Committee made its compensation decisions relating to 2017 compensation for executive officers, including the named executive officers. For 2017, our named executive officers were:

Name	Current Title	Tenure at Company
Todd S. Nelson	President and Chief Executive Officer	August 12, 2015 Present
Ashish R. Ghia	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	June 30, 2008 Present
Jeffrey D. Ayers	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and	December 31, 2007 Present
	Corporate Secretary	
John R. Kline	Senior Vice President, American InterContinental	October 19, 2015 Present
	University	
Andrew H. Hurst	Senior Vice President, Colorado Technical	April 7, 2014 Present
	University	
Andrew J. Cederoth	Former Senior Vice President and Chief Financial	April 1, 2016 September 30, 2017
	Officer	

This discussion is divided into the following sections:

- I. Executive Overview
- II. Compensation Philosophy and Objectives
- III. Setting Executive Compensation Consistent with the Company s Compensation Philosophy
- IV. Competitive Positioning
- V. 2017 Compensation Decisions
- VI. 2018 Long Term Incentive Award and Other Changes
- VII. Other Compensation and Benefits
- VIII. Regulatory Considerations

IX. Corporate Governance Matters

I. Executive Overview

Business Environment

Career Education Corporation offers a quality education to a diverse student population in a variety of disciplines through online, campus-based and blended learning programs. Our two universities American InterContinental University (*AIU*) and Colorado Technical University (*CTU*) provide degree programs through the master s or doctoral level as well as associate and bachelor s levels. Both universities predominantly serve students online with career-focused degree programs that are designed to meet the educational demands of today s busy adults.

For-profit postsecondary education is a highly regulated industry, which has significant impacts on the Company s business and creates risks and uncertainties. In recent years, the U.S. Congress, the Department of Education, states, accrediting agencies, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, the Federal Trade Commission, state attorneys general and the media have scrutinized the industry.

21

Over the past several years, we have undergone a transformation strategy aimed at reducing the complexity of operations and focusing our attention on our University Group institutions. As part of this transformation strategy, in 2015 we announced the closure of all our remaining Career Schools through a gradual teach-out process. Students enrolled at these teach-out campuses have been afforded the reasonable opportunity to complete their program of study prior to the final teach-out date. As a campus teach-out progresses, operating losses increase through the end of the teach-out because the operating expenses necessary to support and serve our students at the campus exceed the revenue from these students as they continue to graduate.

During 2017, we made continued progress against our transformation strategy and objective of sustainable and responsible growth within the University Group. Since the beginning of 2015, we have taught out or sold 43 campuses. At the end of 2017, we have only seven remaining teach-out campuses, which have approximately 100 students remaining at the end of 2017 and which will close during 2018. We experienced improving student interest at both AIU and CTU which resulted in both new and total enrollment growth for 2017. Throughout the year, operating performance at both our University Group institutions as well as our teach-out operations was ahead of our expectations and we continued to make investments in improving student onboarding and academic processes.

Below are examples of business highlights from 2017:

Within our University Group total enrollments increased 3.3%, driven by positive new student enrollment growth as well as improved retention trends as compared to the prior year. New enrollment growth benefitted from our recent investments in student support operations, including execution and increasing tenure within our admissions operations, enhanced training and coaching of staff, establishment of advisor accountability standards, and increased interaction and dialogue between advisors and faculty. We believe these initiatives have enhanced the overall quality of service for our students and have elevated their onboarding and orientation experience as they prepare for their chosen program of study as well as provided more personalized and relevant interaction which we believe positively impacted student retention. Additionally, we opened new admissions and advising centers near Phoenix, Arizona, which contributed to the improvements in new student enrollment as compared to the prior year.

We continued to focus on technological advancements as well, including the introduction of a faculty mobile application at both of our Universities during the year. The new application provides informative dashboards, ability to complete tasks on the go and enhanced outreach and communication capabilities that we believe make student interactions easier and more effective. Additionally, within AIU, a revised learning management system was launched in the fourth quarter that offers students a more stream-lined experience, making it easier for students to navigate course content by providing a step by step roadmap for completing all their activities. We believe these initiatives helped improve student experiences both before and after they are enrolled in our programs which positively impacted student retention and academic outcomes.

Within the All Other Campuses segment, which includes those campuses which are currently being taught out or which have completed their teach-out activities or have been sold subsequent to January 1, 2015, we completed the teach-outs of all our former Le Cordon Bleu Culinary Arts campuses as well as all but seven campuses within our former Transitional Group. As a result, we are almost complete with our strategic decision to close out these campuses and focus our resources on our University Group institutions. Over the past year, we have continued to reduce our remaining lease obligations for the teach-out campuses through

lease terminations and sublease opportunities.

For 2017, we reported operating income of \$34.1 million as compared to an operating loss of \$32.3 million for the prior year. This improvement was driven by the continued elimination of expenses in the current year related to our teach-out campuses and increased revenues within the University Group as a result of various operating initiatives contributing to improved enrollment trends. Additionally, the prior year included \$32.0 million of legal settlements as compared to

22

\$6.5 million in the current year. Looking back to 2014, before the implementation of our transformation strategy, total company operating losses were \$139.3 million.

Operating income for the University Group increased \$47.8 million, or 68.5%, for the current year as compared to the prior year. The improvement in operating income was partially driven by improved efficiencies in advertising costs as well as improvements in bad debt expenses. Additionally, the prior year operating loss included legal settlement charges recorded within AIU for \$32.0 million.

Within our All Other Campuses segment, operating loss of \$61.4 million improved 20.3% as we continued to eliminate costs as campuses complete their teach-outs. As the teach-out campuses complete their close outs in 2018, we expect to see expenses further decrease.

Pay for Performance

The Company has designed our compensation programs so that a significant portion of executive pay is at risk. At risk pay is directly linked to our performance in relation to the performance of our peers, the value we bring to our stockholders, and progress against our annual goals.

Each element of pay other than base salary has a link to Company performance and our annual incentive program and long-term incentive performance units use a defined measure that helps determine the percentage of payment, if any, for that element. In addition to Company performance, individual performance is also a consideration. We believe aligning pay with Company and individual performance encourages the achievement of our strategic and financial goals in a manner that mitigates harmful risk taking.

Pay Mix. Excellent performance by our named executive officers is essential to achieving our strategic goals and increasing stockholder value. As such, a significant amount of executive pay is weighted towards at-risk variable compensation (in the form of annual and long-term incentives). To maximize alignment with stockholder interests, we tie a significant portion of executive pay to Company performance by delivering it in long-term compensation. Each named executive officer has a target total compensation opportunity that is assessed annually by the Company of providing a competitive pay package as compared to companies in our comparison group.

2017 Compensation

Component	Type	Performance Link and /or Key Feature
Base Salary	Cash	Fixed, based on peer companies
Annual Incentive (AIP)	Cash	80% based on a company-wide adjusted EBITDA
		financial performance measure, 20% based on individual
		performance
Long-term Incentive	Performance Units 50%	Relative total stockholder return (TSR) over a three-year
		performance period
Long-term Incentive	Restricted Stock Units 20%	Share price
Long-term Incentive	Stock Options 30%	Aligns with shareholder interests and requires price
		appreciation

The following charts show the target total direct compensation mix for the CEO and the other named executive officers (excluding the Interim Chief Financial Officer) as compared to the median of our comparison group which is

described in Section IV below and size-adjusted general industry survey data. The competitive median data represents the weighted average of the median of the comparison group (50%) and size-adjusted survey data (50%). Actual amounts earned may differ from targeted amounts based on both Company and individual performance. For 2017, 78% of our CEO s target total direct compensation was variable based on

Company and individual performance, versus 76% for the competitive median. 66% of the target total direct compensation for our other named executive officers (excluding the Interim Chief Financial Officer) was variable based on Company and individual performance, versus 61% for the competitive median.

Compensation Best Practices

The Company s compensation programs further align with the interests of our stockholders through the following actions and policies:

No excessive change in control severance.

No tax gross-ups, except in the case of tax reimbursement related to certain relocation expenses.

No reload, re-pricing or options issued at discount. Options issued will not be re-priced, replaced or migrated through cancellation or by lowering the option price of a previously granted award.

No special benefits or perquisites for executive officers.

Ability to claw back certain annual and long-term performance-based incentive compensation from executive officers.

Stock options are priced at date of grant.

No hedging or pledging of Company stock by executive officers or directors.

24

Double trigger change-in-control provisions for both cash and equity awards under the 2016 Plan and the prior Career Education Corporation 2008 Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2008 Plan).

Required minimum vesting periods for all awards payable in shares under the 2016 Plan with limited exceptions.

Stock ownership guidelines, which include retention ratios and holding periods, for all executive officers and directors.

Compensation Committee uses an outside independent compensation consulting firm who does not consult on any other matters for the Company.

Annual review of peer group market data by the Compensation Committee when making executive compensation decisions.

No liberal share recycling on appreciation or full-value awards.

Say-on-Pay and Investor Outreach Efforts

At our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our Say-on-Pay proposal was approved by approximately 81% of the shares of our common stock present in person or by proxy at the meeting. We continued our investor outreach efforts in the latter half of 2017, inviting a select group of significant stockholders, with a focus toward investors with proxy governance teams, to engage with management to the extent they had any questions or concerns they wanted to discuss about our compensation program or governance structure. Only one responded with an interest in having a call.

Feedback from the discussion was shared with the Compensation Committee and the full Board and was considered in making subsequent decisions regarding executive compensation to maintain pay for performance and stockholder alignment. As further discussed below, we maintained the general structure of our executive officer compensation program for 2018, including our long-term incentive awards consisting of 50% performance-based awards, 30% stock options and 20% time-based RSUs.

We are committed to the interests of our stockholders and the delivery of stockholder value through sustainable growth, and we will continue to consider the outcome of the Company s Say-on-Pay proposal and stockholder feedback when making further compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

II. Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Company s philosophy is that compensation should reflect the Company s and the individual s performance, be well-aligned with the interests of stockholders, and that upside and downside compensation potential should exist based on the Company s performance against pre-defined objectives. Accordingly, the Committee has designed the executive compensation program to achieve five principal objectives:

To attract and retain talented executives by providing compensation competitive with that of other executives of similarly-sized companies with similar complexity.

To reward executives for strong financial and operational performance by linking compensation to actual business results.

To differentiate and reward individual performance in the context of Company performance.

To align executives with the long-term interests of stockholders by providing a portion of total compensation in the form of stock-based incentives and by setting target levels of stock ownership.

To encourage long-term commitment to the Company.

25

The Committee used these principles to establish the use and purpose of each of the following compensation components:

Base Salary: Provides a competitive level of fixed compensation needed to attract and retain talented executives; designed to provide a level of financial security.

Annual Incentive Award: Focuses and rewards executives for achieving key strategic goals, operational metrics, financial results and individual performance.

Stock Option Award: Aligns executives with the long-term interests of stockholders.

<u>Performance-based Award:</u> Aligns executives with key performance measures.

<u>Time-based Award:</u> Provides for leadership continuity and encourages long-term commitment to the Company.

Other Compensation: Satisfies employee health, welfare and retirement needs and provides a competitive compensation package needed to attract and retain talented executives.

III. Setting Executive Compensation Consistent with the Company s Compensation Philosophy

The Committee, with the assistance of Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (FW Cook), its independent compensation consultant, annually reviews each component of compensation, including base salary, annual cash incentives and long-term incentives for each executive officer (including the named executive officers), considering the appropriate external benchmarks and internal valuation. As part of its decision-making process, the Committee:

Reviews data from market surveys and publicly available information to assess competitiveness with a goal of ensuring that its compensation actions are appropriate, reasonable and consistent with its philosophy.

Targets total compensation to within a competitive range of the Company s comparison group, which generally means paying at the median of the market for similarly situated executives.

Ensures that equity compensation comprises a significant portion of total compensation for the executive officers consistent with the Committee s philosophy of aligning executives and stockholders interests and to promote retention.

Considers the skills, experience and other factors that may impact the competitiveness of compensation for a given executive officer.

Considers each executive officer s contributions to, and overall impact on, the Company s business objectives and results.

For the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Committee determines compensation and presents its assessment and determination to the Board for ratification. For the other executive officers, including the named executive

officers, the Committee considers the recommendations of the President and Chief Executive Officer in making compensation decisions.

IV. Competitive Positioning

For 2017 compensation determinations, assessments were made primarily against a comparison group of 19 companies in private sector higher education services, professional services, diversified consumer services, internet catalog and retail industries and internet software and services as selected by FW Cook and approved by the Committee. FW Cook reviews and updates the comparison group for continued appropriateness based on industry and company size, utilizing companies with annual revenues between \$100 million and \$2.7 billion, and within a reasonable size range in various metrics, such as revenues, total assets, total equity and total employees. The comparison group selection criteria are also based on companies that have similar business characteristics,

26

including competitors in the private sector higher education industry. In selecting peers outside of the education sector, we identified companies with an emphasis on the following characteristics: similar in terms of sourcing talent; customer acquisition and retention; online marketing; and technology-enabled service business models. The comparison group used for compensation determinations is broader than the peer group used for long-term incentive award performance unit TSR measurement. The compensation comparison group includes companies from a broader spectrum of industries from which we may draw talent or which may recruit talent from the Company. The following companies were included in the 2016 comparison group used to assist with setting 2017 target compensation:

	Composite Percentile Rank							
Company Name	Size	Profitability	Growth	TSR	Ticker			
2U	19%	7%	100%	94%	TWOU			
Advisory Board Co.	64%	11%	63%	68%	ABCO			
American Public Education, Inc.	40%	77%	65%	26%	APEI			
Apollo Education Group, Inc.	93%	39%	18%	3%	APOL			
Bridgepoint Education, Inc.	57%	18%	14%	40%	BPI			
Cambium Learning Group	4%	32%	83%	100%	ABCD			
Capella Education Corporation	36%	83%	74%	55%	CPLA			
Career Education Corporation	63%	42%	26%	37%	CECO			
DeVry Education Group Inc. (now known as								
Adtalem Global Education Inc.)	92%	58%	57%	46%	DV			
Graham Holdings Company Common	96%	56%	44%	83%	GHC			
Grand Canyon Education, Inc.	67%	94%	87%	69%	LOPE			
ITT Educational Services Inc.	60%	54%	41%	3%	ESI			
K12, Inc.	69%	53%	62%	31%	LRN			
Kforce Inc.	46%	81%	56%	86%	KFRC			
Lincoln Educational Services Corporation	17%	21%	43%	25%	LINC			
Monster Worldwide, Inc.	64%	46%	45%	71%	MWW			
National American University Holdings, Inc.	6%	33%	25%	48%	NAUH			
Strayer Education Inc.	33%	82%	61%	57%	STRA			
Universal Technical Institute, Inc.	25%	31%	26%	20%	UTI			
Weight Watchers International, Inc.	62%	73%	32%	45%	WTW			
	Notes:							

Size in this chart refers to the organization s average percentile rank relative to the group in revenue, assets, equity and employees for 2015.

2015 data was the latest data available when this analysis was prepared in 2016.

TSR in this chart refers to the organization s total stockholder return. The percentile rank is the average rank of a 1 year and 3 year period. 2U s 3-year TSR was not available due to its March 2014 IPO.

The comparison group above reflects continued discussions regarding the makeup of the comparison peer group given the Company s transformation which included an anticipated reduction in overall size. In establishing the comparison group above, the Committee agreed to remove Learning Tree and Regis for reasons including peer company divestiture or delisting, no longer being a direct competitor and lack of comparable size due to the peer company s growth and CEC s reduction in size. The Committee also agreed to add Cambium Learning Group for reasons

including more comparable size and similar business. Based on FW Cook s November 2016 report, the Company ranked in the median range of the comparison companies, on average, in terms of company size. This competitive ranking indicates that the comparison group is a reasonable competitive

27

benchmark and that the median range is an appropriate and fair range to target total direct compensation opportunities for the Company s officers, with actual pay delivered dependent on Company and individual performance.

Given the strong correlation between revenue and executive pay, FW Cook size-adjusts the competitive market by using the median pay of the comparison group, where Career Education is positioned near the median of the group in terms of company size, blended with median third-party survey data, regressed based on Career Education s corporate and segment revenue scopes. The third-party survey data used is from the 2016 Aon Hewitt Total Compensation Measurement Survey and the 2016 Willis Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database. The surveys include over 450 organizations ranging in size from approximately \$100 million to over \$100 billion in revenue. Data selected from these surveys is scoped based on Company revenue.

The median comparison group data and the size-adjusted third-party survey data is used to set a targeted range for our pay elements, which is referred to as the market median range. These targeted ranges are within 10% of median for base salaries, within 15% of median for annual cash incentive targets, and within 20% of median for both long-term incentive targets and for target total direct compensation. In making compensation decisions, the Committee reviews these targeted ranges; however, individual executive officers—target total direct compensation, or elements thereof, may vary above or below the market median range due to an executive—s skills, experience in current role, tenure with the Company and individual performance.

Based on the 2016 comparison group and third-party survey data described above, targeted total direct compensation for 2017 for our executive officers at the time of the Committee s annual compensation review (which excludes Mr. Ghia) was positioned within or at the high end of the market median range, except for several executive officers with long tenure at the Company who were above the market median range. In these instances, the Committee determined to maintain those levels based on the officers strong performance and long-term commitment to the Company as well as a desire to retain key senior leadership during the Company s transformation.

FW Cook reported that the average mix of base salary, annual cash incentive and annual long-term incentive opportunity for our executive officers, including the CEO, was generally representative of competitive practices. The Company s practice of using a portfolio of grant types is consistent with majority comparison company practice. FW Cook also reported that the Company s equity compensation grant practices for 2017 ranked below the median of the comparison group in terms of equity compensation cost, primarily due to the use of the cash-based performance unit awards and near the median in terms of share usage run rate.

In looking ahead, the Committee reviewed the peer group of comparison companies that would be used to assist with setting 2018 target compensation. The Committee discussed what actions should be taken relative to the makeup of the comparison peer group given the Company s current financial position. The Committee agreed to remove ITT and Monster Worldwide for reasons including peer company acquisition or delisting. After this change, Career Education maintains its ranking near the median of the comparison companies in terms of size.

V. 2017 Compensation Decisions

The Committee s decisions relative to 2017 compensation were focused not only on aligning pay for performance but also on creating a culture of ownership and encouraging the retention of talent.

Each section below provides details on the decisions the Committee made with respect to the various components of named executive officer compensation for 2017 and the rationale for those decisions.

Base Salary

The Committee reviews base salaries annually in the first calendar quarter and determines if changes are needed based on the executive s competitive position versus executives in similar positions and with similar responsibilities as the organizations in the Company s comparison group. The Committee believes that this approach to setting base salaries furthers its primary objectives of attracting, retaining and equitably rewarding our executives, providing pay commensurate with responsibilities, experience and areas of expertise.

The table below summarizes the Committee s decisions with respect to 2017 base salaries for the named executive officers.

Named Executive	2016 Base Salary	2017 Base Salary	Increase	
Officer Todd S. Nelson	(000 s)	(000 s)	Percent	Commentary
Ashish R. Ghia	\$770.0 NA	\$770.0 \$280.0 \$350.0	0% NA	Ashish Ghia was appointed as the Company s Interim Chief Financial Officer effective September 21, 2017. During his tenure as Interim Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Ghia s base salary was \$350,000 per year. Mr. Ghia was not identified as a named executive officer prior to 2017 and therefore compensation prior to 2017 is
Jeffrey D. Ayers	\$380.0	\$380.0	0%	not provided.
Andrew H. Hurst	\$352.0 \$360.0	\$360.0	2.3%	Effective December 8, 2016, Mr. Hurst received a 2.3% increase to \$360,000 as part of a compensation adjustment designed to position his target total direct compensation better within the market median range in light of the impact his role has on the future organization.
John R. Kline	NA	\$340.0	NA	Mr. Kline was not identified as a named executive officer prior to 2017 and therefore compensation prior to 2017 is not provided.
Andrew J. Cederoth	\$425.0	\$425.0	0%	Mr. Cederoth ceased serving as an executive officer of the Company on September 20, 2017.

In addition to the Committee s decisions with respect to 2017 base salaries for the named executive officers summarized in the table above, in December 2016 and 2017, in recognition of the Company s continued focus on providing excellent student service and solid momentum in its pursuit of sustainable and responsible growth, lump sum payments were made to all eligible employees (including the executive officers other than Messrs. Nelson, Hurst and Kline in 2016 and other than Mr. Nelson in 2017) in lieu of a typical company-wide annual merit salary increase for the year. The amount of the lump sum payment to the applicable executive officers in each year was 2% of base

salary.

29

Annual Incentive Award Program for Key Executives

The named executive officers were participants in the 2017 Annual Incentive Award Program for Key Executives (*Key Executive AIP*), except for Mr. Ghia who was not an executive officer at the time the Key Executive AIP was approved. A participant must be employed by the Company on December 31, 2017 to be eligible to receive a payment under the Key Executive AIP, unless otherwise determined by the Committee. It was the intent of the Company that amounts earned under this program qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Key Executive AIP acts as an overlay plan and establishes the maximum amount payable to each of the identified participants based on the achievement of at least \$475 million in total Company consolidated revenue in 2017, subject to the Committee s discretion to reduce, but not increase, the award of each participant. The maximum amount payable is 250% of the participant s annual incentive target value. Consistent with prior years, the Committee used their negative discretion to reduce this maximum amount payable to an amount consistent with the payout the participant would have received pursuant to the 2017 Annual Incentive Award Program. Therefore, the actual payout amounts pursuant to the Key Executive AIP are included in the discussion below.

Annual Incentive Award Program

The Committee uses an annual performance-based incentive award payable in cash to align the compensation of senior management with the Company s short-term business objectives and financial performance. Target award size for each named executive officer is reviewed for competitiveness versus executives in similar positions and with similar responsibilities as the organizations in the Company s comparison group. Employees subject to the U.S. Department of Education s incentive compensation regulations are not eligible to participate in this program. Based on their strategic and policy-making responsibilities, none of the Company s named executive officers are subject to these regulations.

Target Awards. The Committee reviews the annual incentive target value (expressed as a percent of base salary) established for our executive officers in connection with its annual compensation review in the first quarter of each calendar year. The annual incentive target value established for each of the named executive officers, as well as the 2017 AIP payout opportunity for achievement of the 2017 target operating plan performance, is set forth in the chart below. Actual 2017 AIP payouts are discussed below under the heading 2017 Performance Results and Actual Payouts.

30

2016 AIP 2017 AIP

Named Executive Officer	Target Value as a Percent of Base Salary	Target Value as a Percent of Base Salary	2017 AIP Target \$ Value (000 s)	Comments
Todd S. Nelson	100%	100%	\$770.0	
Ashish R. Ghia	NA	40%/75%	\$150.4	Mr. Ghia was appointed as the Company's Interim Chief Financial Officer effective September 21, 2017. During his tenure as Interim Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Ghia's annual incentive target value was increased to 75% of base salary to align with the responsibilities of that role. Mr. Ghia was not identified as a named executive officer prior to 2017 and therefore compensation prior to 2017 is not provided.
Jeffrey D. Ayers	65%	65%	\$247.0	1
Andrew H. Hurst	45%/65%	65%	\$234.0	In December 2016 Mr. Hurst s annual incentive target value was increased from 45% to 65% of base salary as part of a compensation adjustment designed to position his target total direct compensation better within the market median range in light of the impact his role has on the future organization.
John R. Kline	NA	65%	\$221.0	Mr. Kline was not identified as a named executive officer prior to 2017 and therefore compensation prior to 2017 is not provided.
Andrew J. Cederoth	75%	75%	\$318.8	Mr. Cederoth ceased serving as an executive officer of the Company on September 20, 2017.

Performance Measures and Payout Scales. The 2017 AIP maintains a single financial performance measure, which is based on adjusted EBITDA, weighted at 80%, and an individual goals performance component, weighted at 20%, for the most senior level participants.

Financial Performance Adjusted EBITDA (80% Weighting):

The financial performance component is based solely on a company-wide adjusted EBITDA performance measure. The performance target was set based on our operating plan. The Company determined that an adjusted EBITDA performance measure was a good indicator of the Company s operating performance and focused participants on key drivers of the organization s future direction and financial achievement. Participants are able to understand the impact they have on operating performance, which impacts their AIP payout.

Individual Goals (20% Weighting):

The individual goals performance component consisted of individual performance goals related to the key strategic objectives for the applicable function/education group and were based on the individual s job responsibilities. Goals were developed for each participant in partnership with the participant s manager, which is the Compensation Committee for the President and Chief Executive Officer, and is generally the President and Chief Executive Officer for the other named executive officers. The payout opportunity for the individual goals performance component also varies based on the level of achievement of the adjusted EBITDA performance measure to further support the achievement of the Company s business objectives.

Payout for performance above and below target is clearly defined. The chart below contains more information about the payout scale for the 2017 AIP.

	Resulting Payout as Percent	Payout Opportunity as Percent		
Percent of Targeted Adjusted EBITDA Achieved	of Target Value Financial Performance	of Target Value Individual Goals Performance		
Less than 59%	Component 0%	Component 0%		
59%	25%	25%		
79%	50%	50%		
100%	100%	100%		
113%	120%	120%		
131%	150%	150%		
154% or more	200%	200%		

General Calculation Methodology. The annual cash incentive payable to any eligible participant is calculated generally by multiplying (1) eligible earnings by (2) the specified target award percent of the individual s eligible earnings, by (3) the extent to which the applicable performance measures were met (*i.e.*, the payout percent based on the pre-established payout scale), with the level of achievement of the adjusted EBITDA performance component also impacting the individual performance factor based on achievement of individual goals.

Eligible earnings are based on base salary and exclude other payments made during the performance period such as allowances, incentive payments, bonuses, equity grants, reimbursements and similar items.

2017 Performance Results and Actual Payouts. In the first quarter of 2018, the Committee reviewed and certified the Company s operating results and performance against the established adjusted EBITDA performance measure

target and determined payments for each performance component as set forth in the chart below. The adjusted EBITDA performance measure target for 2017 was established, in part, based on our expectation of increased operating losses at our teach-out campuses as they wind down their operations. Execution and operational improvement in 2017 resulted in a year in which we generally exceeded our operational and financial targets. The Company s 2017 results reflect positive new student enrollment growth as

32

well as improved retention trends within our University Group and continued elimination of expenses related to our teach-out campuses. The amount reported below for 2017 actual adjusted EBITDA performance varies from reported financial results due to plan design.

Performance Measure	Targeted AIP EBITDA	2017 Actual	AIP Payout Percent
Adjusted EBITDA (as calculated for			
AIP)*	\$38.7 million	\$71.2 million	200.0%

* Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of the 2017 AIP (*AIP EBITDA*) is defined as the consolidated earnings of the total Company from both continuing and discontinued operations, determined before (a) interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, asset impairments and non-operating miscellaneous income (expense), and (b) lease termination and unused space charges and legal settlements; and as adjusted (i.e., neutralized) for (c) the difference between actual legal fees and the estimated amounts used in determining Targeted AIP EBITDA; including such adjustment, if any, as may be made by the Committee. Further, actual AIP EBITDA is determined assuming target payments pursuant to the 2017 AIP.

			Individual	2017 AIP	
Named Executive	2017 AIP Target \$ Value	Financial Performance	Performance Payout	Payout	
Officer	$(000 \ s)$	Payout Percent	Percent*	$(000 \ s)$	Commentary
Todd S. Nelson	\$770.0	200%	100%	\$1,540.0	Individual payout reflects Mr. Nelson s successful execution of business strategies in 2017 along with his leadership through the transformation of the organization.
Ashish R. Ghia	\$150.4	200%	100%	\$300.9	Individual payout reflects Mr. Ghia s strong performance and contributions in the Interim CFO role as well as role within Finance.
Jeffrey D. Ayers	\$247.0	200%	100%	\$494.0	Individual payout reflects continued outstanding navigation of the legal and regulatory environment of our industry.
Andrew H. Hurst	\$234.0	200%	100%	\$468.0	Individual payout reflects strong student retention and outcomes despite the industry challenges.
John R. Kline	\$221.0	200%	100%	\$442.0	Individual payout reflects strong student retention and outcomes despite the industry

					challenges.
Andrew J. Cederoth	\$318.8	NA	NA	NA	See Compensation Tables Employment Arrangements and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for a description of the separation arrangements with Mr. Cederoth.

^{*} The individual performance payout percent is a factor applied after the financial performance payout percent of 200.0% and is based on the named executive officer s performance against their goals for 2017.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation Awards

The Committee uses long-term incentive awards to align executives interests with the long-term interests of our stockholders and to build an ownership culture among our senior management, including the named executive officers, based on its belief that stock ownership encourages senior management to achieve long-term Company business objectives.

The Committee generally grants long-term incentive awards annually to eligible employees, including our named executive officers, during the first quarter of each calendar year. New hire grants for executive officers and other leadership roles may be made in connection with offers of employment.

The 2016 Plan permits the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units and other awards, as did the 2008 Plan pursuant to which awards were made through May 23, 2016. The Company s 2016 Plan requires a minimum vesting period of one year for all awards payable in shares, subject to certain exceptions. No dividend equivalents accrue or are paid on stock options and, because the Board has neither declared nor paid dividends, no dividends have been paid on or accrued for restricted stock or unit awards.

The Committee s guidelines for equity awards specify procedures and timing of granting equity awards relative to publicly available information about the Company, establish the exercise price of stock option awards at the grant date closing price of our common stock as reported on NASDAQ, and, by delegation of authority, allow our President and Chief Executive Officer to make stock grants to new and existing employees (except those who are executive officers under Section 16 of the Exchange Act) of up to the lesser of 50,000 shares or a total value of \$100,000 per award with an aggregate limit of 200,000 shares in any 12-month period, of which up to 100,000 shares can be awarded in the form of restricted stock or unit awards and up to 100,000 shares in the form of stock option awards.

2017 Annual Awards. In March 2017, the Committee awarded annual long-term incentive awards to our named executive officers and other eligible employees under the 2016 Plan. The awards to the named executive officers (other than the Interim Chief Financial Officer who was not an executive officer at that time) were made in accordance with a target value split among stock options weighted 30%, time-based restricted stock units settled in stock weighted 20%, and a cash-based performance unit weighted 50%.

In setting the target dollar value of these annual awards, the Committee considered long-term award data provided by FW Cook, the Committee s philosophy of providing a competitive pay package as compared to our comparison group, the FASB ASC Topic 718 *Compensation Stock Compensation* expense to the Company of the awards, the grant date fair value of the awards, and other matters discussed in Section VIII below. The Committee s goal continues to be to balance stockholder interests with the need to retain and attract leadership talent and ensure continuity of Company leadership in order to lead the efforts around the Company transformation.

The following table summarizes the annual long-term incentive awards to our named executive officers in 2017.

							U	nit Grant
	2016 LTI	2017 LTI	<i>20</i>	17 LTI	Ţ			
	Target	Target			20.	17 Restricte	ed (\$ Target
	Value as a	Value as a	\$	Target	2017 Stock S	Stock Unit		
	Percent	Percent			Option Grant	Grants	1	Value of
	of	of	I	Value				Cash
	Base	Base			(# of	(# of		Award
Named Executive Officer	Salary	Salary	(0	000 s	Shares)	RSUs)		000 s
Todd S. Nelson	260%	260%	\$	2,000	122,516	41,752	\$	1,000
Ashish R. Ghia (1)	NA	70%	\$	168	0	12,312	\$	78.6
Jeffrey D. Ayers	125%	125%	\$	475	29,096	9,916	\$	237.5
Andrew H. Hurst (2)	80%	125%	\$	450	27,564	9,396	\$	225.0
John R. Kline (3)	NA	125%	\$	425	26,036	8,872	\$	212.5
Andrew J. Cederoth (4)	125%	125%		\$531.2	32,544	11,092		\$265.6

2017 Performance

- (1) Mr. Ghia was not identified as a named executive officer prior to 2017 and therefore compensation prior to 2017 is not provided. The target value assigned to Mr. Ghia s salary grade in 2017 was 60%; however, based on manager recommendation, the award value was increased to 70%. The annual long-term incentive awards to Mr. Ghia in March 2017 were made in accordance with a target value split among time-based restricted stock units settled in stock weighted 60%, a cash-based performance unit weighted 40%, and stock options weighted 0%, consistent with the value split used for other non-executive officer employees of the Company because Mr. Ghia was not an executive officer of the Company at the time those awards were made. In addition to the 2017 annual long-term incentive awards included in this table, Mr. Ghia received an additional restricted stock unit award in connection with his appointment as Interim Chief Financial Officer. See Additional 2017 Award to Newly Appointed Interim CFO below for more information.
- (2) In December 2016 the Committee took action to increase Mr. Hurst s long-term incentive target value from 80% to 125% of his base salary as part of a compensation adjustment designed to position his target total direct compensation better within the market median range in light of the impact his role has on the future organization, which increase first applied to 2017 long-term incentive awards.
- (3) Mr. Kline was not identified as a named executive officer prior to 2017 and therefore compensation prior to 2017 is not provided. In addition to the 2017 annual long-term incentive awards included in this table, Mr. Kline received an additional restricted stock unit award in March 2017. See Additional 2017 Award to John Kline below for more information.
- (4) Mr. Cederoth s 2017 awards were forfeited in connection with his departure from the Company prior to the vesting of any portion of the awards.

The annual 2017 stock option grants to the named executive officers become exercisable in four equal annual installments provided that the award recipient remains our employee on the date of vesting. Stock option grants have a maximum term of ten years. The exercise price of the annual 2017 grants is \$8.30. The target number of option shares granted is generally derived first by multiplying the grantee s base salary by the LTI target value percentage from the table above times the 30% weight noted above to determine the target grant value. This value is then divided by an estimated per-share fair value calculated based on the 30-trading day average closing stock price as of one calendar week prior to the relevant grant date and a Black Scholes value ratio as of two trading days prior to the relevant grant date to determine the number of option shares. The number of option shares is further adjusted so that fractional amounts are not vested.

The annual 2017 restricted stock units granted to the named executive officers are settled in stock and vest in four equal annual installments provided that the award recipient remains our employee on the date of vesting. The number of restricted stock units awarded is generally derived first by multiplying the grantee s base salary by the LTI target value percentage from the table above times the 20% weight noted above to determine the target grant value. This value is then divided by the 30-trading day average closing stock price as of one calendar week prior to the relevant grant date to determine the number of restricted stock units. As restricted stock units are considered full-value awards, the Black Scholes adjustment is not applicable. The number of time-based restricted stock units is further adjusted so that fractional units are not vested.

35

The vesting of Mr. Nelson s 2017 awards of restricted stock units was subject to the achievement of a \$475 million total Company 2017 consolidated revenue performance measure, in addition to service vesting requirements applicable to other officers. This performance measure was established to qualify the restricted stock units as performance-based compensation for purposes of Code Section 162(m).

The 2017 cash-based performance units vest on December 31, 2019 and any amounts due thereunder will be paid by March 15, 2020 based on the CEC TSR relative to the established peer group. TSR is a concept used to compare the performance of different companies—stocks over a period of time. The payout scale, which includes a minimum performance threshold for payment and a 200% maximum payout, and the company peer group used for the relative TSR measurement are described further under the heading—Outstanding Performance-Based Awards—below.

The 2017 long-term incentive awards to named executive officers generally included one or two-year, post-termination restrictive covenants covering non-solicitation, non-disclosure and non-competition, except for Mr. Ghia who was not an executive officer at the time of the annual awards.

Additional 2017 Award to Newly Appointed Interim CFO. On September 19, 2017, the Board of Directors of the Company appointed Ashish Ghia as the Company's Interim Chief Financial Officer effective September 21, 2017. In connection with Mr. Ghia's appointment to this interim position, he received an award of 14,244 restricted stock units settled in stock, which had a \$150,000 target grant value calculated in accordance with the Company's internal valuation methodology described above. Consistent with the annual restricted stock unit awards, this additional award vests in four equal annual installments provided that Mr. Ghia remains our employee on the date of vesting.

Additional 2017 Award to John Kline. At the time of making the annual long-term incentive awards in March 2017, the Committee determined to make an additional award of 10,000 restricted stock units settled in stock to John Kline. Mr. Kline did not receive long-term incentive awards in 2016 due to the 2015 awards made in connection with his joining the Company. The additional award was made in recognition of his contributions and performance since hire. Consistent with the annual restricted stock unit awards, this additional award vests in four equal annual installments provided that Mr. Kline remains our employee on the date of vesting.

Outstanding Performance-Based Awards

Performance Units. In addition to the performance unit awards granted in 2017 and discussed above, similar performance units were granted each March since 2013. These cash-based performance units vest at the end of the respective three-year performance period based on the Company s TSR relative to an established peer group. The performance unit awards granted in 2013 and 2014 vested prior to 2017.

The 2015 2017 performance units contain the same payout scale, which is set forth in the table below.

Performance Percentile	Award Payout Percentage
75 or higher	200%
70	180%
60	140%
50	100%
40	80%
30	60%
25	50%

Lower than 25 0%

36

To the extent the performance percentile is in between the percentiles listed in the table above, the applicable award payout percentage will be interpolated. For example, if the performance percentile is 55, then the award payout percentage would be 120%. Notwithstanding the foregoing table, if the Company s TSR is less than zero, then the award payout percentage will be determined pursuant to the table set forth above, but in such case, the award payout percentage shall not exceed 100%.

The company peer group used for the relative TSR measurement under the performance units has varied slightly from year to year. The following companies are included in the 2015 performance unit company peer group: American Public Education, Inc., Apollo Education Group, Inc., Bridgepoint Education, Inc., Capella Education Company, Inc., DeVry, Inc. (now known as Adtalem Global Education Inc.), ITT Educational Services Inc., Graham Holdings Company, Grand Canyon Education Inc., Learning Tree International Inc., Lincoln Education Services Corporation, National American University Holdings Inc., Strayer Education Inc., and Universal Technical Institute Inc. For the 2016 performance unit peer group, Learning Tree International Inc. was removed due to its delisting from a national securities exchange and K-12 Inc. was added as they are also in the for-profit education sector. For the 2017 performance unit peer group, ITT Educational Services Inc. and Apollo Education Group, Inc. were removed due to their delisting from a national securities exchange. The performance unit peer groups were established to include publicly traded education industry peers, and are different from the comparison group used for compensation determinations because the compensation comparison group is designed to include companies from a broader spectrum of industries from which we may draw talent or which may recruit talent from the Company. In 2018, the Company replaced the performance unit awards with performance-based restricted stock units. This change for 2018 is based on the evolving industry landscape, which has resulted in fewer publicly traded education industry peers. See Section VI below for more information.

The 2015 performance units vested on December 31, 2017. The relative TSR was calculated using the following companies: American Public Education, Inc., Bridgepoint Education, Inc., Capella Education Company, Inc., DeVry, Inc. (now known as Adtalem Global Education Inc.), Grand Canyon Education Inc., Graham Holdings Company, Lincoln Education Services Corporation, National American University Holdings Inc., Strayer Education Inc., and Universal Technical Institute Inc. Apollo Education Group, Inc., ITT Educational Services Inc. and Learning Tree International Inc. were removed from the calculation due to their delisting from a national securities exchange. For the three year period ending on December 31, 2017, CEC s TSR is approximately 106% and its performance percentile when compared to the peer companies is 100%, which results in a 200% payout. The payout amounts to named executive officers for the 2015 performance units are set forth in the table below. No other named executive officers received a 2015 performance unit which vested.

	2010 1 0.joi man				
	Unit Grant	Unit Grant 2015 Perfor (\$ Target			
	(\$ Target				
	Value of	l	U nit Payout		
Named Executive Office	r Cash Award 000	e)	(000 s)		
Todd S. Nelson	\$ 1,000.0	- 1	2,000.0		
			,		
Ashish R. Ghia	\$ 42.4	4 \$	84.8		
Jeffrey D. Ayers	\$ 237.:	5 \$	475.0		
Andrew H. Hurst	\$ 128.0) \$	256.0		

2015 Performance

2016 Ownership Equity Awards. In 2016, to support the transformation of the Company s business, an additional one-time performance-based long-term incentive award was made to approximately 70 senior level employees in Corporate and University Group roles. These awards, referred to as Ownership Equity Awards (OEA), were designed to build a culture of ownership, to put focus on achieving the Company s strategic plan which will support student outcomes and create stockholder value, and to encourage retention of talent.

The OEA awards made to executive officers and other senior leaders are performance-based restricted stock units settled in stock which are subject to defined adjusted EBITDA performance measures. These performance-based restricted stock units vest as follows:

20% on March 14, 2017, subject to achievement of OEA EBITDA (see definition below) for 2016 equal to or greater than targeted 2016 OEA EBITDA

20% on March 14, 2018, subject to achievement of OEA EBITDA for each of 2016 and 2017 equal to or greater than targeted 2016 OEA EBITDA

30% on March 14, 2018, subject to achievement of OEA EBITDA for 2017 equal to or greater than targeted 2017 OEA EBITDA

30% on March 14, 2019, subject to achievement of OEA EBITDA for each of 2017 and 2018 equal to or greater than targeted 2017 OEA EBITDA

The Committee determined to use an adjusted EBITDA performance measure for the 2016 OEA awards in addition to the AIP because it is a good indicator of a company s operating performance and focuses participants on key drivers of the organization s future direction and financial achievement, and because of the difficulty in establishing alternative multi-year performance measures given industry conditions and the Company s ongoing business transformation. Targeted OEA EBITDA for 2016 and 2017 were established at the time the OEA awards were made. The Committee approved management s recommendations for the award amounts which varied based on the relevant employee s opportunity to impact the business, except that the Committee determined Mr. Nelson s award. An aggregate of 1,094,400 restricted stock units were issued in the OEA awards.

In the first quarter of 2017, the Committee reviewed and certified the Company s 2016 operating results and performance against the established 2016 OEA EBITDA performance target and accordingly determined that the OEA restricted stock units eligible to vest on March 14, 2017 would vest and not be forfeited. In the first quarter of 2018, the Committee reviewed and certified the Company s 2017 operating results and performance against the established 2016 OEA EBITDA and 2017 OEA EBITDA performance targets and accordingly determined that the OEA restricted stock units eligible to vest on March 14, 2018 would vest and not be forfeited. The chart below sets forth the targeted and actual OEA EBITDA for 2016 and 2017. The amounts reported below for 2016 and 2017 actual OEA EBITDA performance vary from reported financial results due to plan design.

	Targeted 2016		Targeted 2017	
Performance Measure	OEA EBITDA	2016 Actual	OEA EBITDA	2017 Actual
OEA EBITDA*	\$33.5 million	\$56.5 million	\$45.0 million	\$65.4 million

^{*} OEA EBITDA is defined as the consolidated earnings of the Company from both continuing and discontinued operations, determined before (a) interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and asset impairments, and (b) lease termination and unused space charges and legal settlements; and as adjusted (i.e., neutralized) for (c) the

difference between actual legal fees and the estimated amounts used in determining the applicable targeted OEA EBITDA.

The following table summarizes the OEA awards made to our named executive officers in 2016.

	Total 2016 OEA Restricted	Vested on March 14, 2017 based on 2016	Vested on March 14, 2018 based on 2016 & 2017	Vested on March 14, 2018 based on 2017	Eligible to Vest March 14, 2019 based on 2017 &
	Stock Units Awarded	performance	performance	performance	2018 performance
	Muraea	(# of	(# of	(# of	perjormance
Named Executive Officer	(# of RSUs)	RSUs)	RSUs)	RSUs)	(# of RSUs)
Todd S. Nelson	125,000	25,000	25,000	37,500	37,500
Ashish R. Ghia	28,000	5,600	5,600	8,400	8,400
Jeffrey D. Ayers	70,000	14,000	14,000	21,000	21,000
Andrew H. Hurst	69,500	13,900	13,900	20,850	20,850
John R. Kline	69,500	13,900	13,900	20,850	20,850
Andrew J. Cederoth (1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

(1) Mr. Cederoth joined the Company April 1, 2016, after the OEA restricted stock unit awards were made.

VI. 2018 Long Term Incentive Award and Other Changes

2018 Annual Award Design Change. For 2018, consistent with investors desire for significant performance-based compensation, the Committee maintained an award structure with 50% of the target dollar value of annual long-term incentive awards being subject to a performance condition, but replaced the cash-based performance units that used a relative TSR performance measure over a three-year period with performance-based restricted stock units settled in stock that are subject to defined adjusted EBITDA performance goals over a three-year period.

As a result, the 2018 long-term incentive awards made to executive officers were:

Performance-based restricted stock units weighted 50% settled in stock that cliff vest after three years only if a two-year defined adjusted EBITDA performance measure is achieved; further, the level of vesting will be 50% or 100% depending on whether adjusted EBITDA performance for the third year satisfies a minimum threshold level of performance which was established to reduce the level of vesting in the event of a fundamental decline in Company performance in the third year

Stock options weighted 30% that become exercisable in four equal annual installments

Time-based restricted stock units weighted 20% settled in stock that vest in four equal annual installments. The Committee determined to eliminate the cash-based performance units that used a relative TSR performance measure due to the reduction in the number of publicly traded education industry peers. An adjusted EBITDA

performance measure provides an alternative to TSR that is a good indicator of the Company s operating performance and focuses participants on key drivers of the organization s future direction and financial achievement, which is intended to balance the desire of stockholders for performance-based compensation while providing motivation to participants. The use of stock-settled RSUs instead of a cash-based performance unit award furthers the Company s objective of continuing to build an ownership culture.

2018 Named Executive Officer Matters. Effective March 1, 2018, the Board of Directors of the Company appointed Ashish Ghia Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. In connection with this appointment, the Committee approved the following revised compensation arrangements for Mr. Ghia: an increased base salary from \$350,000 to \$375,000 per year; an annual incentive target value of 75% of base salary; and a long term incentive target value of 125% of base salary.

39

In connection with its annual review of executive officer compensation, the Committee made adjustments for several of the named executive officers for 2018. Todd Nelson's annual incentive target value was increased from 100% to 125% of base salary, and his long term incentive target value was increased from 260% to 327% of base salary. No changes were made to Mr. Nelson's base salary. The base salaries for Andrew Hurst and John Kline were increased to \$378,000 and \$363,000, respectively. The Committee also granted restricted stock units to certain of the named executive officers in addition to the regular 2018 annual awards received in accordance with the officers' established long-term incentive award target values. Jeffrey Ayers received 7,000, Andrew Hurst received 3,000 and John Kline received 3,000. The terms of these additional restricted stock unit awards are consistent with the terms of the time-based restricted stock units awarded as part of the regular annual 2018 long-term incentive awards and were made in recognition of employee contributions and as a retention tool given the recipient's critical role within the organization.

VII. Other Compensation and Benefits

Executive officers are entitled to the same employee benefits available to all full-time employees (subject to the satisfaction of minimum service and other eligibility requirements). Such benefits include health and welfare benefits, vacation and other time off, and our 401(k) Plan, including a Company matching contribution.

Certain executive officers, including the named executive officers, also receive additional benefits and perquisites, including an executive severance plan for those executive officers designated by the Committee to participate, which is described below in Compensation Tables Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control Executive Severance Plan; an executive relocation plan; coverage under our directors and officers insurance policy; and indemnification agreements providing indemnification of, and advancing of expenses to, our named executive officers and certain other designated employees to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law.

When the Committee reviews our executive compensation programs to ensure a competitive position against our comparison group, it generally does not compare and review benefits and perquisites relative to the comparison group, as it considers these benefits and perquisites to be relatively immaterial when compared to the other components of our executive compensation program.

VIII. Regulatory Considerations

Federal income tax regulations and U.S. generally accepted accounting principles impact the cost and recognized expense of our executive compensation programs and influence the Committee s design of our executive compensation strategies.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code includes potential limitations on the deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid to the Company s Chief Executive Officer and certain other executive officers (the Covered Executives). Based on the regulations issued by the Internal Revenue Service, we believe we have in the past (prior to 2018) generally taken the necessary actions to allow the deductibility of payments under the Key Executive AIP and with respect to stock options and performance-based shares or units granted under our programs. In contrast, time-based restricted stock or units granted under our plans generally do not qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). Therefore, the vesting of time-based restricted stock or units in some cases may result in a loss of tax deductibility of related compensation. While we view preserving tax deductibility as an important objective, we believe the primary purpose of our compensation program is to support our strategy and the long-term interests of our stockholders. In specific instances we have, and in the future we may, authorize compensation arrangements that are not fully tax deductible but which promote other important objectives of the Company and of our executive compensation program. For example, certain elements of Mr. Nelson s compensation

may not comply with the Section 162(m) requirements for deductibility to the extent overall compensation exceeds \$1 million.

40

A critical Internal Revenue Code requirement for deductibility, in addition to the performance criteria, is that the Committee cannot increase the size of any payout or award, though it may have the discretion to decrease the size of payments and awards. The Company s annual and long-term incentive programs have been designed and administered in a manner generally intended to preserve federal income tax deductions. For example, under the Key Executive AIP, the Committee established the maximum cash incentive potentially payable to each named executive officer who was an executive officer at the time the Key Executive AIP was approved and specified other executive officers who potentially are subject to the Section 162(m) limits on deductibility. For 2017, the Committee established maximum incentives potentially payable provided the Company achieved \$475 million in total Company consolidated revenue. In the first quarter of 2018, the Committee certified that the Company had met this measure. The Committee then determined the amount of the 2017 annual incentives to be paid by applying the 2017 performance metrics as described in V. 2017 Compensation Decisions Annual Incentive Award Program.

From time to time, the Committee has utilized certain types of restricted stock or units as an incentive and retention tool. These awards may utilize time-based vesting; performance-based vesting that is intended to maintain total deductibility for purposes of Section 162(m), as well as performance-based vesting that retains broader discretion and is therefore not intended to maintain deductibility for purposes of Section 162(m). Where deductibility is not completely maintained (i.e., in the case of time-vesting stock and units, as well as certain performance-vesting awards where broader discretion is maintained), such awards may not be deductible as a compensation expense to the extent the compensation is paid to any Covered Executive and amounts attributable to the potentially non-deductible stock or units awarded, plus any other non-performance-based earnings, exceed \$1,000,000 for such Covered Executive in the year the shares or units vest. The Committee believes such time-based restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards and the potentially non-deductible performance-based awards further the best interests of the Company and its stockholders as the awards are an inducement to retain talented executives and align their interests with those of our stockholders.

The exemption from Section 162(m) s deduction limit for performance-based compensation has been repealed, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, such that compensation paid to our Covered Executives in excess of \$1 million will not be deductible unless it qualifies for transition relief applicable to certain arrangements in place as of November 2, 2017.

The Committee administers our incentive, equity and severance plans to comply with federal tax rules affecting nonqualified deferred compensation, other tax rules and accounting rules, such as FASB ASC Topic 718 *Compensation Stock Compensation* (which specifies the accounting treatment and cost of various equity-based awards).

IX. Corporate Governance Matters

Compensation Recovery Policy

The Board of Directors adopted a compensation recovery policy in January 2010. The policy requires, in appropriate circumstances and to the extent permitted by governing law, the reimbursement of any annual or long-term incentive payment to a Company executive officer (for purposes of the Exchange Act) if:

The payment was predicated upon achieving certain financial results that were subsequently the subject of a material restatement of Company financial statements filed with the SEC;

The Board determines that the executive engaged in intentional misconduct that caused or substantially caused the need for the material restatement; and

A lower payment would have been made to the executive based on the restated financial results. In each such instance, the Company will, to the extent practicable, seek to recover from the individual executive the amount by which that executive s incentive payments for the relevant periods exceeded the lower payment that would have been made based on the restated financial results.

41

Risk Assessment and Mitigation

The Committee has defined certain design guidelines for our compensation programs which are intended to mitigate harmful risk taking. As part of the Committee s review of 2017 executive compensation, the Committee confirmed the effective implementation of these features and, based on the following assessment, concluded that the Company s compensation programs do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company:

Base salaries are generally competitive and are not subject to performance risk;

Incentive programs are carefully balanced between annual and long-term performance and cash and equity-based compensation;

Annual cash incentive and long-term incentive programs are capped;

Annual long-term incentive awards to executive officers are generally weighted 30% to stock options that vest in four equal annual installments for alignment with stockholders, 20% to time-based restricted stock units that vest in four equal annual installments for retention incentive, and 50% to performance units that cliff vest after three years subject to a relative TSR performance measure;

Performance cycles for performance units are three years and overlap to reduce incentive to maximize performance in any one period at the expense of another;

Annual incentive performance goals are recalibrated annually to maintain directional alignment with pay and performance relative to the Company s historical performance and broader market performance and best estimates of future expectations;

The determination of annual incentive program performance results is generally subject to the Committee s discretionary assessment of the appropriate treatment of unusual, nonoperational or nonrecurring items;

Executives and directors are subject to stock ownership guidelines, which include retention ratios and holding periods;

Adoption of a policy to recoup improper payments or gains from incentive compensation paid or granted to executives; and

Prohibition of executive officers and directors hedging or pledging Company stock.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our Board of Directors believes that the executive officers should be active participants in improving stockholder value by maintaining a predetermined level of ownership of our common stock. The Board initially adopted stock ownership guidelines in 2005 that require certain executive officers to own stock equal in value to a multiple of salary based on the officer s position. In order to better align with market practices, the Board of Directors revised the terms of these stock ownership guidelines effective June 2, 2014. The stock ownership targets are based on the following multiples of base salary:

Designated Offers Subject to Guidelines	Multiple of Base Salary
Chief Executive Officer	6
Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer	3
Executive Vice Presidents and all other equivalent	
managerial-level officers directly reporting to the Chief	
Executive Officer	2
All other officers subject to the guidelines	1

Although there is no specific period of time by which designated officers are required to achieve the applicable ownership guideline, executive officers are expected to make continuous progress toward their

respective ownership guideline and to comply with certain retention ratios until their respective guideline has been achieved. The CEO is to hold 75% of net shares received from equity awards until the applicable guideline has been achieved. All other designated officers are to hold 50% of net shares received from equity until the applicable guideline has been achieved. Following attainment of the applicable stock ownership guideline, designated officers and directors are required to hold 50% of net shares received from equity awards for one year from the later of the date of exercise or vesting.

Net shares for purposes of these retention ratios and holding periods are defined as those shares that remain after deducting the exercise price, if applicable in the event of the exercise of options or SARs or similar instruments, and after deducting any shares the Company withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations.

Once a designated officer has achieved the applicable ownership guideline according to an annual valuation, they will be considered in compliance, regardless of any changes in base salary (except for promotional increases) or the price of the Company s common stock, so long as the officer continues to own at least the number of shares of CEC common stock owned in order to achieve the applicable guideline. If an officer receives a promotion which involves a change in base salary or if an officer does not continue to own at least the number of shares of CEC common stock owned in order to achieve the applicable guideline, then the applicable retention ratio will apply until the applicable ownership guideline is again achieved.

The Committee has discretion to reduce equity awards or to pay a portion of the annual cash incentive in the form of restricted stock to executive officers who are not in compliance with the retention requirements or ownership targets under the guidelines. All current designated officers and directors were in compliance with the retention ratio and holding period requirements as of the 2017 annual valuation.

Report of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is composed solely of independent directors, as that term is defined in NASDAQ s listing standards, as well as under Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act and Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this Proxy Statement with the Company s management. Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE*

Patrick W. Gross (Chairperson)

Gregory L. Jackson

Richard D. Wang

* Members listed served on the Compensation Committee during 2017 and participated in the review, discussions and recommendation with respect to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Compensation Tables

2017 Summary Compensation Table

The following table shows compensation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer as of December 31, 2017 and the three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as our executive officers as of December 31, 2017. Information regarding our former Chief Financial Officer who served during a portion of 2017 is also included. These officers are our 2017 named executive officers. Information regarding amounts in certain columns follows the table.

		Salary (\$)	В	Sonus (\$)		Stock Awards (\$)		Option Awards (I	on-Equity ncentive Plan npensation		All Other apensation	on Total (\$)
Todd S. Nelson President and Chief Executive Officer (1)	2017 2016 2015	\$ 770,000 \$ 770,000 \$ 298,375	\$	000,000			\$ \$ \$	520,521 1,025,597	\$ 1	3,540,000 1,540,000 306,991	\$	9,725 9,680 35,480	\$ 5,186,788 \$ 4,603,153 \$ 4,898,640
Ashish R. Ghia Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (2)	2017	\$ 306,542	\$		\$	275,539	\$		\$	385,675	\$	5,400	\$ 973,156
Jeffrey D. Ayers Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	2016	\$ 387,600 \$ 387,600 \$ 380,000	\$		\$ \$ \$	484,178	\$ \$ \$	243,582	\$ \$ \$	969,000 969,000 632,347	\$ \$ \$	5,400 5,300 9,500	\$ 1,567,920 \$ 2,089,660 \$ 1,267,188
Andrew H. Hurst Senior Vice President Colorado Technical University John R. Kline	2016 2015	\$ 367,200 \$ 347,182 \$ 320,000	\$ \$	56,000	\$ \$ \$	415,858 107,136	\$	144,404 79,816	\$ \$	724,000 324,403 156,425	\$ \$ \$	5,400 5,300 4,265	\$ 667,642
Senior Vice President American InterContinental University (2)	2017	\$ 346,800	Þ		Ф	156,638	Ф	110,617	Ф	442,000	Ф	3,400	\$ 1,061,455
Andrew J. Cederoth Former Chief Financial Officer (3)		\$ 325,288 \$ 327,250		7,500	\$	92,064 121,298				478,125	\$' \$	756,650 3,687	\$ 1,312,268 \$ 1,120,126

⁽¹⁾ Mr. Nelson joined the Company, and first became an executive officer, on August 12, 2015.

^{(2) 2017} was the first year that Messrs. Ghia and Kline were named executive officers. Accordingly, the compensation

disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table for them relates only to compensation for 2017.

(3) Mr. Cederoth served as an executive officer from April 1, 2016 through September 20, 2017. Salary. In December 2017, in recognition of the Company's continued focus on providing excellent student service and solid momentum in its pursuit of sustainable and responsible growth, a lump sum payment was made to all eligible employees, including the executive officers other than Mr. Nelson, in lieu of a typical company-wide annual merit increase for 2018. The amount of the lump sum payment to the applicable executive officers was 2% of base salary and is included in the Salary column.

Stock and Option Awards. These columns show the grant date fair value of the restricted stock unit and stock option awards granted to our named executive officers, excluding the effect of actual or estimated forfeitures. See Note 13 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 for information regarding the assumptions used in the valuation of equity-based awards.

Amounts in the Stock Awards column for 2016 (other than for Mr. Cederoth) include the grant date fair value of the OEA awards, one-time performance-based restricted stock awards designed to build a culture of ownership, to put focus on achieving the Company s strategic plan which will support student outcomes and create stockholder value, and to encourage retention of talent. See Compensation Discussion & Analysis

44

V. 2016 Compensation Decisions Outstanding Performance-Based Awards 2016 Ownership Equity Awards for information about these awards. The one-time nature of these awards in 2016 impacts the comparability of amounts for the years reported.

For the portion of the restricted stock unit awards that are subject to performance conditions, the grant date fair value is based upon the probable outcome of such conditions as determined at the date of grant and for all such awards shown, that grant date value is based upon achieving the target level of performance. The OEA awards and Mr. Nelson s 2016 and 2017 restricted stock unit awards are the only awards included in these columns that are subject to performance conditions. These awards provide for a single payout amount at each vesting date if the applicable performance conditions are satisfied. The probable outcome of the performance conditions as determined at the date of grant was that the performance measures would be achieved. Because there is no greater payout amount possible, the maximum attainment value is the same as the grant date fair value of these awards at target performance.

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. Annual cash incentives earned for any year are generally paid to the named executive officers in the first quarter of the following year. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis V. 2017 Compensation Decisions Annual Incentive Award Program for Key Executives and Annual Incentive Award Program for more information regarding these amounts.

In 2013, the Company introduced cash-based performance units which used relative TSR over a three-year performance period as a new long-term incentive vehicle. For Mr. Ayers, the 2015-2017 amounts reported in this column also include amounts earned pursuant to the performance units granted in 2013-2015, and, for Messrs. Nelson, Ghia and Hurst, the 2017 amount reported in this column also include amounts earned pursuant to the performance units granted in 2015. The three-year performance period of this award vehicle impacts the comparability of amounts reported.

All Other Compensation. All Other Compensation for 2017 includes the following components:

	Severance		
Name	Payments (a)	Other (b)	Total
Todd S. Nelson	\$	\$ 9,725	\$ 9,725
Ashish R. Ghia	\$	\$ 5,400	\$ 5,400
Jeffrey D. Ayers	\$	\$ 5,400	\$ 5,400
Andrew H. Hurst	\$	\$ 5,400	\$ 5,400
John R. Kline	\$	\$ 5,400	\$ 5,400
Andrew J. Cederoth	\$ 751.250	\$ 5,400	\$ 756,650

- (a) Includes amounts paid or payable in accordance with the terms of the Company s Executive Severance Plan.
- (b) Includes Company matching 401(k) plan contributions as well as, for Mr. Nelson, \$4,325 for term life insurance premiums. Information regarding non-discriminatory group welfare benefit plans is excluded from the Summary Compensation Table as permitted by applicable regulations.

Table of Contents

89

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2017

The following table provides information concerning the awards made to our named executive officers during 2017. Information regarding amounts in certain columns follows the table. All awards were made under the 2016 Plan.

		E	stin	nated Fut	ure	è	Estimated Future Payouts Under		Option 1 Awards:		e Grant Date
	a		nde	Payouts r Non-Eq ve Plan A		•	Equity Incentive Plan Awards		of Securities		Fair Value of Stock
Name	Grant Date	Threshold	r	Target	M	[aximu Th r	esho Td rg et axi		•		and Option Awards
Todd S.		*		Ü			J		•		
Nelson	02/13/2017 03/06/2017 03/06/2017 03/06/2017						41,752		122,516	\$ 8.30	\$ 520,521 \$ 346,542
A alai ala D	03/00/2017						41,732				φ 540,542
Ashish R. Ghia	02/13/2017	\$ 30,088	\$	150,442	\$	300,883					
	03/06/2017			78,624		157,248					
	03/06/2017 11/08/2017							12,312 14,244			\$ 102,190 \$ 173,349
Jeffrey D.											
Ayers	02/13/2017			,		617,500					
	03/06/2017 03/06/2017	\$118,750	\$	237,500	\$	475,000			29 096	\$ 8 30	\$ 123,617
	03/06/2017							9,916	2,,0,0	φ 0.50	\$ 82,303
Andrew											
H. Hurst	02/13/2017			234,000		585,000					
	03/06/2017 03/06/2017	\$ 112,500	\$	225,000	\$	450,000			27 564	\$ 8 30	\$117,108
	03/06/2017							9,396	21,304	φ 0.50	\$ 77,987
John R.											
Kline	02/13/2017	\$ 44,200	\$	221,000	\$	552,500					
	03/06/2017	\$ 106,250	\$	212,500	\$	425,000			26.026	Φ 0.20	Φ 1 1 O C 1 T
	03/06/2017 03/06/2017							8,872	26,036	\$ 8.30	\$ 110,617 \$ 73,638
	03/06/2017							10,000			\$ 83,000
Andrew J.											
Cederoth	02/13/2017			318,750		796,875					
	03/06/2017	\$ 132,813	\$	265,625	\$	531,250					

03/06/2017	32,544 \$8.30	\$138,266
03/06/2017	11,092	\$ 92,064

Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards. AIP General: The first row of amounts in these columns for each applicable person shows estimated possible future payouts of awards under our 2017 annual cash incentive award program. Amounts provided are calculated on a full year basis using the 2017 annual base salary for each officer. The performance measures and attainment are discussed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis V. 2017 Compensation Decisions Annual Incentive Award Program above. Amounts actually earned by the named executive officers are included in the 2017 Summary Compensation Table under the column Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. AIP Threshold Amounts: The threshold amounts provided are the amounts payable for achieving threshold performance levels under the program; however, performance below the threshold performance levels will result in no payout. For purposes of calculating the threshold amounts, the individual component of the program has been assumed to be \$0 because there is no threshold level of performance specified which will provide a minimum amount payable for the individual component. AIP Target Amounts: The program established payout amounts for achievement of target Company and individual performance. AIP Maximum Amounts: The maximum payouts are established by the 2017 Annual Incentive Award Program for Key Executives for those executive officers named therein; for Mr. Ghia, the maximum amount provided is the 200% maximum amount payable with respect to each component of the program.

The second row of amounts in these columns for each person shows estimated possible future payouts of awards (when made) under cash-based performance units granted in 2017 which use relative TSR over a three-year period as the performance measure. The performance units provide for a 50% payout if a threshold level of performance is met, a target payout amount and a maximum payout of 200% of target. If the threshold level of performance is not met, there will be no payout under the performance units. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis V. 2017 Compensation Decisions Long-Term Incentive Compensation Awards for more information regarding the grant of performance units in 2017.

Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards. Mr. Nelson s award reflected in these columns provides for a single payout amount at the vest date if the applicable performance condition is satisfied. That number of shares is provided in the chart as the target. There are no threshold or maximum payouts for these awards. The performance target and vesting provisions for this award in 2017 are discussed above in Compensation Discussion & Analysis V. 2017 Compensation Decisions Long-Term Incentive Compensation Awards.

All Other Stock Awards. This column shows the number of time-vesting restricted stock units granted to each of the named executive officers during 2017. These awards vest 25% per year over four years, subject to continued employment with the Company.

All Other Option Awards. This column shows the number of stock options granted to each of the named executive officers during 2017. These options become exercisable 25% per year over four years, subject to continued employment with the Company.

Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards. This column shows the exercise price for the stock options granted, which was the closing price of our common stock as reported on NASDAQ on the grant date.

Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards. This column shows the grant date fair value of the 2017 restricted stock unit and stock option awards granted to our named executive officers, excluding the effect of actual or estimated forfeitures. For the portion of the restricted stock unit awards that are subject to performance conditions, that grant date value is based upon the probable outcome of such conditions. Amounts are calculated in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718 Compensation Stock Compensation. See Note 13 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 for information regarding the assumptions used in the valuation of equity awards.

47

Outstanding Equity Awards at Year End 2017

The following table includes information as of December 31, 2017 about all unexercised options to purchase shares of our common stock and unvested restricted stock units held by the named executive officers.

		Option A	Awards			Stock	Awards	Equity
	of Securities Underlyin <u>d</u> Unexercisdd Options Exercisa ll d	of Securities Inderlying Inexercised Options (nexercisable	Option exercise	Option Expiration	Stock That Have Not	Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not	Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
эгапі раце	(1)	(1)	Price	Date	vestea	(2)	vestea	(2)
03/07/2016	105,902 125,366	317,706	\$ 4.49	03/07/2026	57,033(5) 57,033(6)	\$ \$ \$ \$ 359,525 \$ 359,525	41,752(4) 100,000(7)	\$ 504,364 \$ 688,959 \$ 688,959 \$ 1,208,000 \$
11/08/2017								\$
03/07/2016 03/07/2016 03/14/2016 03/06/2015 03/06/2015					18,684(12) 18,684(13) 2,770(14) 2,770(15)	\$ 225,703 \$ 225,703 \$ \$ 33,462 \$ 33,462	22,400(7)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 270,592 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
03/06/2017								\$
03/07/2016 03/14/2016	21,990	·			13,545(13) 4,138(14)	\$ 163,624 \$ \$ 49,987	56,000(7)	\$ \$ \$ 676,480 \$
	03/06/2017 03/07/2016 03/07/2016 03/07/2016 03/14/2015 08/12/2015 11/08/2017 03/06/2017 03/07/2016 03/07/2016 03/06/2015 03/06/2015 03/06/2014 03/06/2016 03/07/2016 03/07/2016 03/07/2016 03/07/2016 03/07/2016 03/06/2015	of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisal Grant Date (1) 03/06/2017 03/07/2016 03/14/2016 08/12/2015 11/08/2017 03/06/2017 03/06/2017 03/07/2016 03/14/2016 03/06/2015 03/06/2015 03/06/2017 03/07/2016 03/06/2017 03/07/2016 03/06/2015 03/06/2015 03/06/2015 03/07/2016 03/06/2015 03/07/2016 03/06/2015	Number Number of of Securities Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Options Options Exercisal Jet exercisal Securities (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Of Securities Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Options Option Exercisal Unexercised Exercisal Unexercised Options Option Exercisal Unexercised Options Option Exercisal Unexercised Options Option Exercisal Unexercise Options Option Exercisal Unexercise Options Option Options Options	Number of of Securities Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Options Option Exercise Wile (1) (1) Price Date 03/06/2017 122,516 \$ 8.30 03/06/2027 03/07/2016 03/14/2016 03/14/2015 125,366 125,366 \$ 4.15 08/12/2025 08/12/2015 11/08/2017 03/06/2017 03/06/2017 03/07/2016 03/14/2016 03/07/2016 03/14/2016 03/07/2016	Number of of of Number of Securities Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Options Option Exercisal Mexercised Coptions Option Option	Number Number of of Securities Securities Securities Securities Securities Option Carcella Option Exercisal Independent Independen	Number Number of of of Number Securities Stock Stoc

Edgar Filing: CAREER EDUCATION CORP - Form DEF 14A

	03/04/2014 03/04/2013 03/01/2012 03/14/2011 03/03/2010 02/25/2009 03/13/2008 02/25/2008	35,655 77,572 20,364 27,196 23,552 21,540 39,500 5,000	11,885 \$ 7.33 \$ 2.72 \$ 8.63 \$ 21.80 \$ 29.02 \$ 26.15 \$ 13.32 \$ 15.32	03/04/2024 03/04/2023 02/28/2022 03/13/2021 03/02/2020 02/24/2019 03/12/2018 02/24/2018	4,358(17)	\$ 52,645 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Andrew								
H. Hurst	03/06/2017		27,564 \$ 8.30	03/06/2027	9,396(11)	\$ 113,504	\$	
	03/07/2016	14,911	44,733 \$ 4.49	03/07/2026	8,031(12)	\$ 97,014	\$	
	03/07/2016				8,031(13)	\$ 97,014	\$	
	03/14/2016				, ,	\$	55,600(7) \$	671,648
	06/12/2015				4,531(18)	\$ 54,734	\$	
	03/06/2015	11,852	11,852 \$ 5.90	03/06/2025	2,230(14)	\$ 26,938	\$	
	03/06/2015				2,230(15)	\$ 26,938	\$	
	05/12/2014	17,104	5,702 \$ 5.00	05/12/2024	3,659(18)	\$ 44,201	\$	
	05/12/2014				3,659(19)	\$ 44,201	\$	
John R.								
Kline	03/06/2017		26,036 \$ 8.30	03/06/2027	8,872(11)	\$ 107,174	\$	
	03/06/2017				10,000(12)	\$120,800	\$	
	03/14/2016					\$	55,600(7) \$	671,648
	11/06/2015	28,324	28,324 \$ 4.07	11/06/2025	8,120(20)	\$ 98,090	\$,
	11/06/2015				8,120(21)	\$ 98,090	\$	
A 1								

Andrew

J.

Cederoth

(3)

⁽¹⁾ Unless otherwise indicated, stock options become exercisable 25% per year over four years.

- (2) The dollar value of these awards is calculated using the closing market price of \$12.08 per share of our common stock on December 29, 2017, as reported on NASDAQ.
- (3) The employment of Mr. Cederoth terminated in 2017. In connection therewith, his unvested restricted stock units and unexercisable stock options were forfeited.
- (4) One-fourth of these restricted stock units vest on each of March 14, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021, subject to satisfaction of the performance condition.
- (5) One-third of these restricted stock units vest on each of March 14, 2018, 2019 and 2020. The performance condition for this award was satisfied.
- (6) One-third of these cash-settled restricted stock units vest on each of March 14, 2018, 2019 and 2020. The performance condition for this award was satisfied.
- (7) The remaining OEA performance-based restricted stock units vest 62.5% on March 14, 2018 and 37.5% on March 14, 2019, subject in each case to the achievement of the applicable adjusted EBITDA performance measure(s).
- (8) One-half of these restricted stock units vest on each of September 14, 2018 and 2019.
- (9) One-half of these cash-settled restricted stock units vest on each of September 14, 2018 and 2019.
- (10) One-fourth of these restricted stock units vest on each of December 14, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.
- (11) One-fourth of these restricted stock units vest on each of March 14, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.
- (12) One-third of these restricted stock units vest on each of March 14, 2018, 2019 and 2020.
- (13) One-third of these cash-settled restricted stock units vest on each of March 14, 2018, 2019 and 2020.
- (14) One-half of these restricted stock units vest on each of March 14, 2018 and 2019.
- (15) One-half of these cash-settled restricted stock units vest on each of March 14, 2018 and 2019.

- (16) These cash-settled restricted stock units vested on March 14, 2018.
- (17) These restricted stock units vested on March 14, 2018.
- (18) These restricted stock units vest on June 14, 2018.
- (19) These cash-settled restricted stock units vest on June 14, 2018.
- (20) One-half of these restricted stock units vest on each of December 14, 2018 and 2019.
- (21) One-half of these cash-settled restricted stock units vest on each of December 14, 2018 and 2019.

49

Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2017

The following table includes information regarding option exercises by our named executive officers and vesting of restricted stock units held by them during the year ended December 31, 2017.

	Option	Awards	Stock Awards
	Number of Shares	Value	Number of Shares Value
	Acquired on	Realized on	Acquired on Realized on
Name	Exercise	Exercise(1)	Vesting Vesting(2)
Todd S. Nelson			353,201 \$ 3,350,583
Ashish R. Ghia			26,398 \$ 209,864
Jeffrey D. Ayers			42,192 \$ 335,426
Andrew H. Hurst			33,333 \$ 286,918
John R. Kline			22,020 \$ 211,274
Andrew J. Cederoth	14,294	\$ 87,016	5,088 \$ 49,862

- (1) The aggregate dollar value realized on exercise was calculated by multiplying the number of shares acquired upon vesting by the difference between the market price of the Company s common stock as reported on NASDAQ at exercise and the exercise price.
- (2) The aggregate dollar value realized on vesting was calculated by multiplying the number of restricted stock units (stock and cash settled) which vested by the closing price of the Company s common stock as reported on NASDAQ on the vesting date.

50

Employment Arrangements and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

None of our current named executive officers has a fixed term employment contract and all are at will employees. However, we have entered into certain agreements and maintain certain plans that require us to provide compensation to the named executive officers in the event of certain terminations of their employment or if the Company experiences a change in control. The amount of compensation payable to each named executive officer employed by the Company at December 29, 2017 (the last business day of 2017) for such terminations is shown in the tables below under the heading Potential Payments, and the amount of compensation paid or payable to our former Chief Financial Officer who was not employed by the Company at December 29, 2017 is discussed below in this section.

Executive Severance Plan. The Company's executive officers are eligible to participate in the Company's Executive Severance Plan and may be eligible to receive benefits thereunder in the event such an executive officer's employment is involuntarily terminated. The Employee Benefits Committee, as the plan administrator, or its authorized designee, is responsible for determining whether an eligible executive officer's employment is terminated involuntarily by the Company and, if so, whether such eligible executive officer will receive benefits under this plan, in accordance with the terms thereof. Involuntary terminations do not include terminations for cause (as defined under this plan); terminations due to agreements between the Company and the employee under which the employee becomes a consultant or independent contractor; terminations due to death, disability, retirement (including voluntary retirement under any special early retirement incentive program) or any form of voluntary termination. Termination for cause means the employee is discharged by us for poor performance, nonperformance or misconduct. Misconduct includes, but is not limited to, insubordination, dishonesty, theft, violation of Company rules and willful destruction of Company property.

To receive the severance benefits, the terminated executive must sign a release of claims against the Company and enter into a non-solicitation, non-competition and confidentiality agreement with the Company to the extent permitted by governing law and allowed under the ethical rules of any applicable professional licensing organizations that will last for one year (such agreement, a *Separation Agreement*). The Executive Severance Plan is intended to provide benefits that are exempt from the requirements of Code Section 409A, but provides that to the extent any benefit payable is determined to be subject to Section 409A, benefits will be paid in accordance with Section 409A.

Severance benefits under this plan include base pay, target cash incentive payments under the Company s annual incentive program and certain benefits coverage, as follows:

A lump sum payment equal to the sum of (1) the terminating executive s annual salary at the time of termination, plus (2) an amount equal to the terminating executive s target bonus for the year in which termination occurs.

For those executives who participate in our health, dental and vision plans and timely elect to continue that coverage under federal COBRA law, partially subsidized COBRA insurance premiums so that the executive pays the same cost that similarly situated active employees of the Company pay for such coverage for a period of time beginning immediately after the employment termination and lasting for one year.

Outplacement assistance from a Company-selected provider that is reimbursed or paid for by the Company.

Severance Arrangements Pursuant to Nelson Letter Agreement. Pursuant to Mr. Nelson s employment letter agreement entered into on July 30, 2015 when he joined the Company (the Nelson Letter Agreement), Mr. Nelson is entitled to certain enhanced severance benefits. Mr. Nelson is entitled to receive the following payments and benefits if terminated by the Company without cause or if he resigned for good reason (as such terms are defined in the Nelson Letter Agreement, and each a Qualifying Termination), subject to his execution and non-revocation of a general release of claims: (i) a lump sum payment equal to two times the sum

51

of his annual base salary and target cash annual incentive, (ii) a pro rata portion of the cash annual incentive for the year in which the termination occurs, based on actual performance, and (iii) partially subsidized COBRA insurance premiums so that Mr. Nelson pays the same cost that similarly situated active employees of the Company pay for such coverage for a period of time beginning immediately after the employment termination and lasting for 18 months. Pursuant to the Nelson Letter Agreement, Mr. Nelson is subject to confidentiality and non-disparagement covenants during and after his employment with the Company terminates. Mr. Nelson is also subject to non-competition and employee and customer non-solicitation covenants both during and for a period of two years following termination of his employment.

Incentive Plan Termination of Employment Provisions. Under the 2016 Plan and 2008 Plan (except as provided below for Mr. Nelson), outstanding restricted stock, restricted stock unit and performance unit awards immediately vest and become non-forfeitable in case of death or disability, but are forfeited in the event of other forms of employment termination. The amount payable with respect to the outstanding performance units in case of death or disability will be a pro rata amount of the target value based on the length of service during the three-year performance period. For outstanding stock option awards (except as provided below for Mr. Nelson), if the termination is due to:

Death or disability, options become fully exercisable and remain exercisable from the date of termination due to death or disability until the first to occur of (a) the expiration date of the option and (b) one year after the date of termination. Any options not exercised within the allowed time period are automatically forfeited.

Retirement, options continue to vest for three years (but not longer than the option s term) and any vested and exercisable options are exercisable from the date of retirement until the first to occur of (x) the expiration date of the option and (y) three years after the retirement date; any options that have not become exercisable are automatically forfeited. Any options not exercised within the allowed time period also are automatically forfeited. Retirement means termination after age 55 with at least five years of service.

Involuntary termination for reasons other than cause, options that are exercisable on the date of termination remain exercisable from that termination date until the first to occur of (a) the expiration date of the option and (b) 90 days from the termination date. Any unexercisable options on the date of termination are automatically forfeited and options not exercised within the allowed time period are automatically forfeited.

Voluntary termination of employment or service (for reasons other than retirement, death or disability), options that are exercisable on the date of termination remain exercisable from that termination date until the first to occur of (a) the expiration date of the option and (b) 30 days after the termination date. Any unexercisable options on the termination date are automatically forfeited. Any options not exercised within the allowed time period also are automatically forfeited.

Termination for cause, the participant immediately and automatically forfeits all options to purchase shares of our stock.

The stock option and restricted stock unit awards made to Mr. Nelson in 2015 include vesting provisions that differ from those described above. In the event of a Qualifying Termination: (i) the stock options vest in full on the

termination date and remain exercisable for three years after termination; and (ii) the restricted stock units vest in full on the termination date, subject to achievement of any applicable performance measure. In the event of death or disability, the stock options vest in full and remain exercisable for one year and the restricted stock units vest in full. In the event of retirement, vested stock options rights remain exercisable for three years following such retirement, but in no event beyond their original term.

Equity Plan Change in Control Provisions. Under the 2016 Plan and 2008 Plan, a change in control is deemed to have occurred if any of the following events occur:

Any corporation, person or other entity (other than us, our majority-owned subsidiary or any of its subsidiaries, or an employee benefit plan (or related trust) sponsored or maintained by us), including persons or entities acting as a group, becomes the beneficial owner of stock representing more than 35% of our common stock.

Our merger or consolidation with or into another corporation other than a majority-owned subsidiary, or an agreement to sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets, and the members of the Board of Directors prior to that approval do not represent a majority of the directors of the surviving, resulting or acquiring entity or the parent of that entity.

The consummation of a plan of liquidation.

Within any period of 24 consecutive months, the members of the Board of Directors immediately prior to the 24-month period, together with any persons first elected as directors (other than as a result of any settlement of a proxy or consent solicitation contest or any action taken to avoid a contest) during the 24-month period by or on the recommendation of the Board immediately prior to that 24-month period and who constituted a majority of the Board at the time of election, cease to constitute a majority of the Board.

The 2016 Plan and 2008 Plan are double-trigger plans that provides that upon both (1) a change in control and (2) an involuntary termination of the award holder s employment or service by us or our successor other than for cause (as defined in the applicable plan) during the two-year period following the change in control, that award holder s stock options shall become exercisable and shares of restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units shall become vested. All performance goals will be deemed to have been met.

Mr. Cederoth. Mr. Cederoth ceased serving as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective September 20, 2017. Mr. Cederoth participated in the Company's Executive Severance Plan, which provided him a lump sum payment of \$743,750, representing one year of base salary plus his target bonus for the year, and eligibility for subsidized COBRA insurance premiums for a 12-month period (so that he would pay the same cost that a similarly situated active employee of the Company pays for such coverage), which he did not utilize. Also, as a participant in the Executive Severance Plan, Mr. Cederoth became eligible for outplacement assistance, the estimated cost of which is \$7,500. All unvested incentive compensation awards held by Mr. Cederoth forfeited upon his departure.

Potential Payments. The following tables describe the benefits to which the named executive officers who were employed by the Company at December 29, 2017 (the last business day of the year) would have been entitled under the arrangements described above (1) if the named executive officer had terminated employment pursuant to (a) a voluntary termination, (b) retirement, (c) an involuntary termination other than for cause, (d) the named executive officer s death or disability, or (e) a termination for cause (as defined in the applicable plan or any applicable employment letter agreement), in any such case on December 29, 2017, or (2) upon a change in control of the Company and a concurrent involuntary termination of the named executive officer s employment on December 29, 2017. For Mr. Nelson, the involuntary termination other than for cause scenario also includes a resignation by Mr. Nelson for good reason (as defined in the Nelson Letter Agreement). Information regarding the calculation of

certain amounts is provided below the tables. Information regarding non-discriminatory group welfare benefit plans is excluded from the tables below as permitted by applicable regulations.

Todd S. Nelson

Executive Benefits and			Involuntary		For
	Voluntary	Normal	Not for Cause	Death or	Cause Change in
Payments Upon Termination	Termination	Retirement	Termination	DisabilityTer	rmination Control
Compensation:					
Lump Sum	\$	\$	\$ 3,080,000	\$	\$ 3,080,000
Accrued Annual Incentive	\$ 1,540,000	\$ 1,540,000	\$ 1,540,000	\$ 1,540,000	\$ 1,540,000
Restricted Stock Units	\$	\$	\$ 719,050	\$ 3,809,331	\$ 3,809,331
Stock Options	\$	\$ 3,752,874	\$ 994,152	\$ 3,868,651	\$ 3,868,651
Performance Units	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
Benefits and Perquisites:					
Life Insurance Proceeds	\$	\$	\$	\$ 770,000	\$ \$
COBRA Benefits	\$	\$	\$ 17,334	\$	\$ \$ 17,334
Outplacement	\$	\$	\$ 7,500	\$	\$ \$ 7,500
Total:	\$ 3,540,000	\$ 7,292,874	\$ 8,358,036	\$12,987,982	\$ \$16,322,816

Ashish R. Ghia

				Involuntary				For				
Executive Benefits and	V	oluntary]	Normal	No	t for Cause		Death or	Cause	(Change in	
Payments Upon Termination	Termination			etirement	Termination]	DisabilityTerminati		on Control		
Compensation:												
Lump Sum	\$		\$		\$	612,500	\$		\$	\$	612,500	
Accrued Annual Incentive	\$	300,883	\$	300,883	\$		\$	300,883	\$	\$		
Restricted Stock Units	\$		\$		\$		\$	1,138,673	\$	\$	1,138,673	
Stock Options	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	\$		
Performance Units	\$	84,792	\$	84,792	\$	84,792	\$	169,240	\$	\$	250,776	
Benefits and Perquisites:												
COBRA Benefits	\$		\$		\$	9,413	\$		\$	\$	9,413	
Outplacement	\$		\$		\$	7,500	\$		\$	\$	7,500	
_												
Total:	\$	385,675	\$	385,675	\$	714,205	\$	1,608,796	\$	\$	2,018,862	

Jeffrey D. Ayers

			Involuntary		For	
Executive Benefits and	Voluntary	Normal	Not for Cause	Death or	Cause C	hange in
Payments Upon Termination	Termination	Retirement	Termination	DisabilityTe	ermination (Control
Compensation:						
Lump Sum	\$	\$	\$ 627,000	\$	\$ \$	627,000
Accrued Annual Incentive	\$ 494,000	\$ 494,000	\$	\$ 494,000	\$ \$	
Restricted Stock Units	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,276,131	\$ \$	1,276,131

Edgar Filing: CAREER EDUCATION CORP - Form DEF 14A

Stock Options	\$	\$	847,550	\$	\$ 875,046	\$ \$	875,046
Performance Units	\$ 475,000	\$	475,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 712,500	\$ \$	950,000
Benefits and Perquisites:							
COBRA Benefits	\$	\$		\$ 15,196	\$	\$ \$	15,196
Outplacement	\$	\$		\$ 7,500	\$	\$ \$	7,500
Total:	\$ 969,000	\$ 1	,816,550	\$ 1,124,696	\$ 3,357,677	\$ \$	3,750,873

Andrew H. Hurst

Executive Benefits and			Involuntary	_	or
	Voluntary		Not for Cause		ause Change in
Payments Upon Termination	Termination	Retirement	Termination	DisabilityTerm	ination Control
Compensation:					
Lump Sum	\$	\$	\$ 594,000	\$	\$ 594,000
Accrued Annual Incentive	\$ 468,000	\$ 468,000	\$	\$ 468,000 \$	\$
Restricted Stock Units	\$	\$	\$ 54,734	\$1,176,193 \$	\$1,176,193
Stock Options	\$	\$ 531,283	\$	\$ 557,331 \$	\$ 557,331
Performance Units	\$ 256,000	\$ 256,000	\$ 256,000	\$ 424,867 \$	\$ 621,800
Benefits and Perquisites:					
COBRA Benefits	\$	\$	\$ 11,556	\$ \$	\$ 11,556
Outplacement	\$	\$	\$ 7,500	\$	\$ 7,500
_					
Total:	\$ 724,000	\$ 1,255,283	\$ 923,790	\$ 2,626,391 \$	\$ 2,968,380

John R. Kline

Executive Benefits and				Inv	oluntary			For		
Payments Upon Termination		untary nination			for Cause mination			Cause erminatio		hange in Control
Compensation:										
Lump Sum	\$		\$	\$	561,000	\$		\$	\$	561,000
Accrued Annual Incentive	\$ 4	142,000	\$ 442,000	\$		\$	442,000	\$	\$	
Restricted Stock Units	\$		\$	\$		\$:	1,095,801	\$	\$ 1	,095,801
Stock Options	\$		\$ 300,687	\$		\$	325,291	\$	\$	325,291
Performance Units	\$		\$	\$		\$	70,833	\$	\$	212,500
Benefits and Perquisites:										
COBRA Benefits	\$		\$	\$	15,196	\$		\$	\$	15,196
Outplacement	\$		\$	\$	7,500	\$		\$	\$	7,500
Total:	\$ 4	142,000	\$ 742,687	\$	583,696	\$ 1	1,933,925	\$	\$ 2	2,217,288

Lump Sum. Except for certain enhanced benefits for Mr. Nelson pursuant to the Nelson Letter Agreement, severance arrangements for the named executive officers are governed by the Company s current Executive Severance Plan, which is described above in this Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control section.

Accrued Annual Incentive. The Company's cash annual incentive program is a calendar year program. Assuming a December 31, 2017 termination date for purposes of this item, the named executive officers would receive the cash incentive accrued and payable for calendar year 2017 (other than a for cause termination). Amounts payable pursuant to the annual incentive program are not duplicative of amounts payable pursuant to the Executive Severance Plan, and therefore the tables above include annual incentive amounts as part of the Lump Sum amount payable pursuant to the

Executive Severance Plan in the event of an involuntary termination other than for cause (except for Mr. Nelson whose employment letter agreement provides for enhanced severance benefits).

Restricted Stock Units. For purposes of the tables above, compensation for the vesting of time-based and performance-based restricted units equals the \$12.08 closing price per share of our common stock as reported on NASDAQ on December 29, 2017, multiplied by the number of vesting units. All outstanding performance conditions are assumed to have been met.

Performance Units. Compensation with respect to the performance units granted in 2015 was earned on December 31, 2017. Assuming a December 31, 2017 termination date for purposes of this item, the applicable named executive officers would receive payment thereunder (other than a for cause termination). Compensation with respect to the performance units granted in 2016 and 2017 for the events in the tables above reflect the outcomes provided for pursuant to the terms of the performance units.

Stock Options. Stock option compensation for the events in the tables above equals the difference between the exercise price and the \$12.08 closing price per share of our common stock as reported on NASDAQ on December 29, 2017, multiplied by the number of options or rights becoming exercisable in connection with the termination event.

Life Insurance Proceeds. The amount included for Mr. Nelson relates to a Company-paid group life insurance plan which provides for a higher maximum payout for the chief executive officer than for other participants.

COBRA Benefits. The Executive Severance Plan provides that the Company will pay a portion of medical, dental and vision premiums for terminated executives for the period of time after termination that is equal to the number of weeks of pay for which the executive is eligible (or the COBRA period, if shorter), if an eligible executive is a participant in the Company s medical, dental or vision insurance plans at the time of termination and after termination timely elects to continue such insurance coverage under federal COBRA law. The executive pays a premium amount that a similarly situated active employee of the Company pays for such coverage. Amounts in the table above calculated pursuant to the current Executive Severance Plan assume one year of partially subsidized COBRA premiums, except for Mr. Nelson whose employment letter agreement provides for 18 months of COBRA benefits.

Outplacement. The Executive Severance Plan provides that the Company will provide outplacement assistance from a provider selected by the Company and upon the terms and conditions as shall be communicated to the executive at the time of his or her employment termination or as soon as possible thereafter. Therefore, amounts in the table above are estimates only.

Normal Retirement. For purposes of the tables above, the named executive officers are assumed to be retirement eligible under the 2016 Plan and 2008 Plan.

Change in Control. The Company s Executive Severance Plan governs the severance arrangements applicable to the named executive officers in the tables above (except that Mr. Nelson has certain enhanced severance benefits). The Company s Executive Severance Plan does not contain provisions addressing change in control. For purposes of determining amounts payable to the named executive officers assuming a concurrent change in control and termination of employment effective December 29, 2017, the termination is deemed to be involuntary not for cause termination.

2017 Pay Ratio Disclosure

As required by Section 953(b) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), the Company has calculated the mandated ratio of the median of the 2017 annual total compensation of all employees to the 2017 annual total compensation of Mr. Nelson, our President and Chief Executive Officer (our CEO), in accordance with the requirements of the SEC s rule.

Additionally, given the Company s significant part-time workforce, a supplemental ratio that excludes both adjunct faculty and federal work-study student workers has also been calculated. As these part-time employees may work varying schedules and times throughout the year to support our students, the supplemental ratio provides another

perspective on the median employee and ratio.

The pay ratios were calculated as follows:

Pay Ratio = Annual Total Compensation of CEO
Annual Total Compensation of median employee

With respect to the mandated ratio calculation, the Company identified the median employee by comparing the amount of total taxable wages for federal income tax purposes as reflected in our payroll records as reported to the Internal Revenue Service in Box 1 on Form W-2 for 2017 for all individuals, excluding the CEO, who were employed by the Company on December 31, 2017. This includes all full-time and part-time employees, including adjunct faculty and federal work-study student workers, but does not include independent contractors and leased workers. Compensation was annualized for more than 650 employees who were hired by the Company in 2017 but did not work for the Company for the entire year. No annualization was applied to any adjunct faculty or federal work-study student workers due to their varying work schedules throughout the year. After identifying the median employee, 2017 annual total compensation was calculated in the same manner as reflected in the 2017 Summary Compensation Table above for our CEO.

The same methodology was used to calculate the supplemental ratio, except that compensation for 1,880 adjunct faculty and federal work-study student workers was excluded when determining the median employee.

The following table shows the mandated ratio between the 2017 annual total compensation of our CEO, as reflected in the Total column of the 2017 Summary Compensation Table above, and the median of the 2017 annual total compensation of all employees, as well as the supplemental ratio resulting from the exclusion of adjunct faculty and federal work-study student workers when determining the median compensation. These pay ratios are reasonable estimates calculated in a manner consistent with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K based on our payroll records and the methodology described above.

	Median 2017	CEO to
	Annual Total	Median Pay
Pay Ratio	Compensation	Ratio
Mandated Ratio	\$40,965	127:1
(Includes all employees)		
Supplemental Ratio (Evaluates adjunct faculty and fadoral work study study student workers)	\$54,144	96:1

(Excludes adjunct faculty and federal work-study student workers)