

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP
Form 10-K
December 11, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the transition period _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-33177

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland **22-1897375**

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

3499 Route 9 North, Suite 3-C, Freehold, NJ 07728

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (732)- 577-9996

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock \$.01 par value per share - NASDAQ Global Select Market

7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock \$.01 par value per share, \$25 liquidation value per share -
NASDAQ Global Select Market

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
 Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the 12 preceding months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment of this Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock of the registrant held by nonaffiliates of the registrant at March 31, 2008 was \$177,800,256.

There were 24,585,851 shares of Common Stock and 1,322,500 shares of 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock outstanding as of December 1, 2008.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Exhibits incorporated by reference are listed in Part IV, Item 15 (a) (3).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Item | Page |
|---|------|
| No. | No. |
| <u>Part I</u> | |
| 1 Business. | 3 |
| 1A Risk Factors. | 5 |
| 1B Unresolved Staff Comments. | 11 |
| 2 Properties. | 12 |
| 3 Legal Proceedings. | 16 |
| 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security-Holders. | 16 |
| <u>Part II</u> | |
| 5 Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. | 17 |
| 6 Selected Financial Data. | 20 |
| 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation. | 22 |
| 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk. | 37 |
| 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. | 38 |
| 9 Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure. | 39 |
| 9A Controls and Procedures. | 40 |
| 9B Other Information. | 41 |
| <u>Part III</u> | |
| 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance. | 42 |
| 11 Executive Compensation. | 44 |
| 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters. | 55 |
| 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence. | 57 |
| 14 Principal Accounting Fees and Services. | 58 |
| <u>Part IV</u> | |
| 15 Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules. | 60 |
| Signatures | 118 |

PART I

ITEM 1 BUSINESS

General Development of the Business

In this 10-K, we, us, our, or the Company, refers to Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, together with predecessors and subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise.

The Company is a corporation operating as a qualified real estate investment trust (REIT) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code), and intends to maintain its qualification as a REIT in the future. As a qualified REIT, with limited exceptions, the Company will not be taxed under Federal and certain state income tax laws at the corporate level on taxable income that it distributes to its shareholders. For special tax provisions applicable to REITs, refer to Sections 856-860 of the Code.

The Company was established in 1968 as a New Jersey Business Trust (NJBT). In 1990, the NJBT merged into a newly formed Delaware corporation. On May 15, 2003, the Company changed its state of incorporation from Delaware to Maryland by merging with and into a Maryland corporation (the Reincorporation). The Reincorporation was approved by the Company's shareholders at the Company's annual meeting on May 6, 2003. In 2005, the Company formed a wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary organized in Maryland, named MREIC Financial, Inc. MREIC Financial, Inc. had no activity from inception through September 30, 2008.

On July 31, 2007, the Company completed its strategic combination with Monmouth Capital Corporation (Monmouth Capital), a New Jersey Corporation (the merger). As a result of the merger, each share of Monmouth Capital's common stock outstanding at the time of the merger was converted into and exchanged for the right to receive .655 shares of the Company's common stock and the Company became the owner of all of the outstanding stock of Monmouth Capital. As a result of this transaction, the Company issued 3,727,706 shares of common stock valued at approximately \$32,400,000. The total cost of the merger paid by the Company was approximately \$33,970,000, which included the value of outstanding stock options of Monmouth Capital and certain transaction costs. The assets and liabilities of Monmouth Capital as of the effective time of the merger were recorded by the Company at their respective fair values and added to those of the Company.

The Company's primary business is the ownership of real estate. Its investment focus is to own net leased industrial properties which are leased to investment-grade tenants on long-term leases. In addition, the Company holds a portfolio of REIT securities.

Narrative Description of Business

Currently, the Company derives its income primarily from real estate rental operations. Rental and reimbursement revenue was \$39,480,885, \$28,296,938 and \$24,698,242 for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Total assets were \$389,077,597 and \$366,908,245 as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company has approximately 6,068,000 square feet of space that it leases, of which approximately 2,793,000 square feet, or 46%, is leased to Federal Express Corporation (FDX) and its subsidiaries and approximately 279,000 square feet, or 5%, is leased to Keebler Company, a subsidiary of the Kellogg Company. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, rental and reimbursement revenue from properties leased to these companies approximated 61%, 55% and 55%, respectively, of total rental and reimbursement revenue. The Company's weighted-average lease expiration was 5.6 years as of September 30, 2008 and its average rent per occupied square foot as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$5.28 and \$5.61, respectively. At September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company's occupancy was 98%.

At September 30, 2008, the Company owns fifty-eight rental properties. (See Item 2 for detailed description of the properties.) These properties are located in twenty-five states: Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, North Carolina, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

All properties are leased on a net basis except the industrial park in Monaca, Pennsylvania and the shopping center in Somerset, New Jersey.

In fiscal 2008, the Company purchased two net-leased industrial properties for a total cost of approximately \$18,800,000 and sold two net-leased properties for a total sales price of \$10,735,000. The Company anticipates additional acquisitions in 2009. The funds for these acquisitions are expected to come from the Company's available line of credit, mortgages, other bank borrowings, proceeds from the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (DRIP), private placements and public placements of additional common or preferred stock. To the extent that funds or appropriate properties are not available, fewer acquisitions will be made. Because of the contingent nature of contracts to purchase real property, the Company announces acquisitions only upon closing.

The Company competes with other investors in real estate for attractive investment opportunities. These investors include other equity real estate investment trusts, limited partnerships, syndications and private investors, among others. Competition in the market areas in which the Company operates is significant and affects the Company's ability to acquire or expand properties, occupancy levels, rental rates, and operating expenses of certain properties. Management has built relationships with merchant builders which have historically provided the Company with investment opportunities which fit the Company's investment policy.

The Company continues to invest in both debt and equity securities of other REITs. The Company from time to time may purchase these securities on margin when the interest and dividend yields exceed the cost of the funds. This securities portfolio, to the extent not pledged to secure borrowing, provides the Company with liquidity and additional income. Such securities are subject to risk arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, primarily interest rate risk relating to debt securities and equity price risk relating to equity securities. From time to time, the Company may use derivative instruments to mitigate interest rate risk.

Investment and Other Policies

The Company's investment policy is to concentrate its investments in the area of long-term net-leased industrial properties to investment grade tenants. The Company's strategy is to obtain a favorable yield spread between the yield from the net-leased industrial properties and mortgage interest costs. In addition, management believes that investments in well-located industrial properties provide a potential for long-term capital appreciation. There is the risk that, upon expiration of current leases, the properties will become vacant or re-leased at lower rents. The results obtained by the Company by re-leasing the properties will depend on the market for industrial properties at that time.

The Company seeks to invest in well-located, modern buildings leased to investment grade tenants on long-term leases. In management's opinion, newly built facilities leased to FDX or FDX subsidiaries meet these criteria. The Company has a concentration of properties leased to FDX and FDX subsidiaries. This is a risk factor that shareholders should consider. FDX is a publicly-owned corporation and information on its financial and business operations is readily available to the Company's shareholders.

The Company had operated as part of a group of three public companies (all REITs) which included UMH Properties, Inc. (UMH) and Monmouth Capital (the affiliated companies). Monmouth Capital was merged into the Company on July 31, 2007. The Company continues to operate in conjunction with UMH. UMH has focused its investing in manufactured home communities. General and administrative expenses are allocated between the two remaining affiliated companies based on use or services provided. The Company currently has ten employees. Allocations of salaries and benefits are made between the affiliated companies based on the amount of the employees' time dedicated to each affiliated company.

Property Management

All of the wholly-owned properties are managed by Cronheim Management Services, Inc. (CMS), a division of David Cronheim Company, a related party as discussed in Note No. 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. During fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company was subject to management contracts with CMS. For each of the calendar years 2008, 2007, and 2006 the management fee was fixed at \$380,000. CMS provides sub-agents as regional managers for the Company's properties and compensates them out of this management fee. CMS also received \$3,219, \$33,273 and \$15,419 in lease commissions in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. CMS received \$42,558 for a real estate commission on the sale of the South Brunswick, New Jersey property in 2007. The David Cronheim Mortgage Corporation, an affiliated company, received \$-0-, \$47,250 and \$-0- in mortgage brokerage commissions in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The industrial property in Carlstadt, New Jersey is managed by Marcus Associates, an entity affiliated with the 49% minority partner of the entity, Palmer Terrace Realty Associates, LLC, which owns the property. Management fees paid to Marcus Associates for 2008 and 2007 (from the time of the merger) totaled \$12,993 and \$2,166, respectively. The industrial properties in Wheeling, Illinois and El Paso, Texas, are managed by Jones Development Company, an entity affiliated with the 37% and 35% minority partners of Wheeling Partners, LLC and Jones EPI, LLC, respectively, which own the properties, respectively. Management fees paid to Jones Development Company for 2008 and 2007 (from the time of the merger) were \$20,327 and \$3,477.

Additional information about the Company can be found on the Company's website which is located at www.mreic.com. The Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission are made available through a link on the Company's website or by calling Investor Relations.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

Real Estate Industry Risks

We face risks associated with local real estate conditions in areas where we own properties. We may be affected adversely by general economic conditions and local real estate conditions. For example, an oversupply of industrial properties in a local area or a decline in the attractiveness of our properties to tenants and potential tenants would have a negative effect on us.

Other factors that may affect general economic conditions or local real estate conditions include:

- population and demographic trends;
- employment and personal income trends;
- zoning, use and other regulatory restrictions;
- income tax laws;
- changes in interest rates and availability and costs of financing;
- competition from other available real estate;
- our ability to provide adequate maintenance and insurance; and
- increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities and real estate taxes, which may not be offset by increased rents.

We may be unable to compete with our larger competitors and other alternatives available to tenants or potential tenants of our properties. The real estate business is highly competitive. We compete for properties with other real estate investors and purchasers, including other real estate investment trusts, limited partnerships, syndications and private investors, many of whom have greater financial resources, revenues, and geographical diversity than we have. Furthermore, we compete for tenants with other property owners. All of our industrial properties are subject to significant local competition. We also compete with a wide variety of institutions and other investors for capital funds necessary to support our investment activities and asset growth. In addition, our portfolio of industrial properties faces competition from other properties within each submarket where our industrial properties are located. To the extent that we are unable to effectively compete in the marketplace, our business may be adversely affected.

We are subject to significant regulation that inhibits our activities and may increase our costs. Local zoning and use laws, environmental statutes and other governmental requirements may restrict expansion, rehabilitation and reconstruction activities. These regulations may prevent us from taking advantage of economic opportunities. Legislation such as the Americans with Disabilities Act may require us to modify our properties and noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. Future legislation may impose additional requirements. We cannot predict what requirements may be enacted or amended or what costs we will incur to comply with such requirements.

Our investments are concentrated in the industrial sector and our business would be adversely affected by an economic downturn in that sector. Our investments in real estate assets are primarily concentrated in the industrial distribution sector. This concentration may expose us to the risk of economic downturns in this sector to a greater extent than if our business activities included a more significant portion of other sectors of the real estate industry.

Risks Associated with Our Properties

We may be unable to renew leases or relet space as leases expire. While we seek to invest in well-located, modern buildings leased to investment-grade tenants on long term leases, a number of our properties are subject to short term leases. When a lease expires, a tenant may elect not to renew it. We may not be able to relet the property on similar terms, if we are able to relet the property at all. The terms of renewal or re-lease (including the cost of required renovations and/or concessions to tenants) may be less favorable to us than the prior lease. If we are unable to relet all or a substantial portion of our properties, or if the rental rates upon such reletting are significantly lower than expected rates, our cash generated before debt repayments and capital expenditures, and our ability to make expected distributions to stockholders, may be adversely affected. We have established an annual budget for renovation and reletting expenses that we believe is reasonable in light of each property's operating history and local market characteristics. This budget, however, may not be sufficient to cover these expenses.

Our business is substantially dependent on FDX. FDX is our largest tenant. As of September 30, 2008, FDX leases approximately 46% of the total square footage that we own and provides approximately 56% the Company's annual rental and reimbursement revenue. If FDX terminated its leases with us or was unable to make lease payments because of a downturn in its business or otherwise, our financial condition and ability to make distributions to stockholders will be materially and adversely affected.

We have been and may continue to be affected negatively by tenant financial difficulties and leasing delays. At any time, a tenant may experience a downturn in its business that may weaken its financial condition. Similarly, a general decline in the economy may result in a decline in the demand for space at our industrial properties. As a result, our tenants may delay lease commencement, fail to make rental payments when due, or declare bankruptcy. Any such event could result in the termination of that tenant's lease and losses to us, resulting in a decrease of distributions to investors. We receive a substantial portion of our income as rents under long-term leases. If tenants are unable to comply with the terms of their leases because of rising costs or falling revenues, we, in our sole discretion, may deem it advisable to modify lease terms to allow tenants to pay a lower rental rate or a

smaller share of operating costs, taxes and insurance. If a tenant becomes insolvent or bankrupt, we cannot be sure that we could recover the premises from the tenant promptly or from a trustee or debtor-in-possession in any bankruptcy proceeding relating to the tenant. We also cannot be sure that we would receive rent in the proceeding sufficient to cover our expenses with respect to the premises. If a tenant becomes bankrupt, the federal bankruptcy code will apply and, in some instances, may restrict the amount and recoverability of our claims against the tenant. A tenant's default on its obligations to us could adversely affect our financial condition and the cash we have available for distribution.

We may be unable to sell properties when appropriate because real estate investments are illiquid. Real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly and, therefore, will tend to limit our ability to vary our property portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. In addition, the Code limits our ability to sell our properties. The inability to respond promptly to changes in the performance of our property portfolio could adversely affect our financial condition and ability to service debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

Environmental liabilities could affect our profitability. We face possible environmental liabilities. Environmental laws today can impose liability on a previous owner or operator of a property that owned or operated the property at a time when hazardous or toxic substances were disposed on, or released from, the property. A conveyance of the property, therefore, does not relieve the owner or operator from liability. As a current or former owner and operator of real estate, we may be required by law to investigate and clean up hazardous substances released at the properties we currently own or operate, or have in the past owned or operated. We may also be liable to the government or to third parties for property damage, investigation costs and cleanup costs. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs the government incurs in connection with the contamination. Contamination may adversely affect our ability to sell or lease real estate or to borrow using the real estate as collateral. We are not aware of any environmental liabilities relating to our investment properties which would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets, or results of operations. However, we cannot assure you that environmental liabilities will not arise in the future.

Actions by our competitors may decrease or prevent increases of the occupancy and rental rates of our properties.

We compete with other owners and operators of real estate, some of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. If our competitors offer space at rental rates below current market rates or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose potential tenants, and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge in order to retain tenants when our tenants' leases expire. As a result, our financial condition, cash flow, cash available for distribution, market price of our preferred and common stock and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected.

Coverage under our existing insurance policies may be inadequate to cover losses. We generally maintain insurance policies related to our business, including casualty, general liability and other policies, covering our business operations, employees and assets. However, we would be required to bear all losses that are not adequately covered by insurance. In addition, there are certain losses that are not generally insured because it is not economically feasible to insure against them, including losses due to riots or acts of war. If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occurs with respect to one or more of our properties, then we could lose the capital we invested in the properties, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the properties and, in the case of debt, which is with recourse to us, we would remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the properties.

Although we believe that our insurance programs are adequate, we cannot assure you that we will not incur losses in excess of our insurance coverage, or that we will be able to obtain insurance in the future at acceptable levels and

reasonable costs.

We face risks associated with property acquisitions. We acquire individual properties and portfolios of properties, and intend to continue to do so. Our acquisition activities and their success are subject to the following risks:

-

when we are able to locate a desired property, competition from other real estate investors may significantly increase the purchase price;

-

acquired properties may fail to perform as expected;

-

the actual costs of repositioning or redeveloping acquired properties may be higher than our estimates;

-

acquired properties may be located in new markets where we face risks associated with an incomplete knowledge or understanding of the local market, a limited number of established business relationships in the area and a relative unfamiliarity with local governmental and permitting procedures;

-

we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisition of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations, and as a result, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected; and

-

we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse. As a result, if a claim were asserted against us based upon ownership of those properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle it, which could adversely affect our cash flow.

Financing Risks

We face risks generally associated with our debt. We finance a portion of our investments in properties and marketable securities through debt. We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our cash flow will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest. In addition, debt creates risks, including:

-

rising interest rates on our floating rate debt;

-

failure to repay or refinance existing debt as it matures, which may result in forced disposition of assets on disadvantageous terms;

- refinancing terms less favorable than the terms of existing debt; and

- failure to meet required payments of principal and/or interest.

We mortgage our properties, which subjects us to the risk of foreclosure in the event of non-payment and face risks associated with the use of debt to fund acquisitions, including refinancing risk. We mortgage our properties to secure payment of indebtedness and if we are unable to meet mortgage payments, then the property could be foreclosed upon or transferred to the mortgagee with a consequent loss of income and asset value. A foreclosure of one or more of our properties could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, ability to make distributions to our shareholders and the market price of our preferred and common stock.

We face risks related to balloon payments. Certain of our mortgages will have significant outstanding principal balances on their maturity dates, commonly known as balloon payments. There can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance the debt on favorable terms or at all. To the extent we cannot refinance debt on favorable terms or at all, we may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms or pay higher interest rates, either of which would have an adverse impact on our financial performance and ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

We face risks associated with our dependence on external sources of capital. In order to qualify as a REIT, we are required each year to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, and we are subject to tax on our income to the extent it is not distributed. Because of this distribution requirement, we may not be able to fund all future capital needs from cash retained from operations. As a result, to fund capital needs, we

rely on third-party sources of capital, which we may not be able to obtain on favorable terms, if at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends upon a number of factors, including (i) general market conditions; (ii) the market's perception of our growth potential; (iii) our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions; and (iv) the market price of our capital stock. Additional debt financing may substantially increase our debt-to-total capitalization ratio. Additional equity issuance may dilute the holdings of our current common stockholders.

We may become more highly leveraged. Our governing documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness we may incur. Accordingly, our board of directors may vote to incur additional debt and would do so, for example, if it were necessary to maintain our status as a REIT. We might become more highly leveraged as a result, and our financial condition and cash available for distribution to stockholders might be negatively affected and the risk of default on our indebtedness could increase.

Covenants in our credit agreements could limit our flexibility and adversely affect our financial condition. The terms of our various credit agreements and other indebtedness require us to comply with a number of customary financial and other covenants, such as maintaining debt service coverage and leverage ratios and maintaining insurance coverage. These covenants may limit our flexibility in our operations, and breaches of these covenants could result in defaults under the instruments governing the applicable indebtedness even if we had satisfied our payment obligations. If we were to default under credit agreements, our financial condition would be adversely affected.

Other Risks

We may amend our business policies without your approval. Our board of directors determines our growth, investment, financing, capitalization, borrowing, REIT status, operations and distributions policies. Although our board of directors has no present intention to amend or reverse any of these policies, they may be amended or revised without notice to stockholders. Accordingly, stockholders may not have control over changes in our policies. We cannot assure you that changes in our policies will serve fully the interests of all stockholders.

The market value of our preferred and common stock could decrease based on our performance and market perception and conditions. The market value of our preferred and common stock may be based primarily upon the market's perception of our growth potential and current and future cash dividends, and may be secondarily based upon the real estate market value of our underlying assets. The market price of our preferred and common stock is influenced by their respective distributions relative to market interest rates. Rising interest rates may lead potential buyers of our stock to expect a higher distribution rate, which would adversely affect the market price of our stock. In addition, rising interest rates would result in increased expense, thereby adversely affecting cash flow and our ability to service our indebtedness and pay distributions.

There are restrictions on the transfer of our capital stock. To maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, actually or by attribution, by five or fewer individuals, as defined in the Code to also include certain entities, during the last half of a taxable year. Accordingly, our charter and bylaws contain provisions restricting the transfer of our capital stock.

Our earnings are dependent, in part, upon the performance of our investment portfolio. As permitted by the Code, we invest in and own securities of other real estate investment trusts. To the extent that the value of those investments declines or those investments do not provide a return, our earnings could be adversely affected.

We are subject to restrictions that may impede our ability to effect a change in control. Certain provisions contained in our charter and bylaws and certain provisions of Maryland law may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us and thereby inhibit a change in control. These provisions include the following:

-

Our charter provides for three classes of directors with the term of office of one class expiring each year, commonly referred to as a "staggered board." By preventing stockholders from voting on the election of

more than one class of directors at any annual meeting of stockholders, this provision may have the effect of keeping the current members of our board of directors in control for a longer period of time than stockholders may desire.

•

Our charter generally limits any holder from acquiring more than 9.8% (in value or in number, whichever is more restrictive) of our outstanding equity stock (defined as all of our classes of capital stock, except our excess stock). While this provision is intended to assure our ability to remain a qualified REIT for Federal income tax purposes, the ownership limit may also limit the opportunity for stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of common stock that might otherwise exist if an investor was attempting to assemble a block of shares in excess of 9.8% of the outstanding shares of equity stock or otherwise effect a change in control.

•

The request of the holders of a majority or more of our common stock is necessary for stockholders to call a special meeting. We also require advance notice by common stockholders for the nomination of directors or proposals of business to be considered at a meeting of stockholders.

•

Our board of directors may authorize and issue securities without stockholder approval. Under our charter, the board has the power to classify and reclassify any of our unissued shares of capital stock into shares of capital stock with such preferences, rights, powers and restrictions as the board of directors may determine. The authorization and issuance of a new class of capital stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interests.

Maryland business statutes may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us. Maryland law provides protection for Maryland corporations against unsolicited takeovers by limiting, among other things, the duties of the directors in unsolicited takeover situations. The duties of directors of Maryland corporations do not require them to (a) accept, recommend or respond to any proposal by a person seeking to acquire control of the corporation, (b) authorize the corporation to redeem any rights under, or modify or render inapplicable, any stockholders rights plan, (c) make a determination under the Maryland Business Combination Act or the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act, or (d) act or fail to act solely because of the effect of the act or failure to act may have on an acquisition or potential acquisition of control of the corporation or the amount or type of consideration that may be offered or paid to the stockholders in an acquisition. Moreover, under Maryland law the act of a director of a Maryland corporation relating to or affecting an acquisition or potential acquisition of control is not subject to any higher duty or greater scrutiny than is applied to any other act of a director. Maryland law also contains a statutory presumption that an act of a director of a Maryland corporation satisfies the applicable standards of conduct for directors under Maryland law.

The Maryland Business Combination Act provides that unless exempted, a Maryland corporation may not engage in business combinations, including mergers, dispositions of 10 percent or more of its assets, certain issuances of shares of stock and other specified transactions, with an interested stockholder or an affiliate of an interested stockholder for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder, and thereafter unless specified criteria are met. An interested stockholder is generally a person owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, 10 percent or more of the voting power of the outstanding stock of the Maryland corporation. In our charter, we have expressly elected that the Maryland Business Combination Act not govern or apply to any transaction with UMH Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation.

We may fail to qualify as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be allowed to deduct distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and will be subject to Federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, at regular corporate rates. In addition, we might be barred from qualification as a REIT for the four years following disqualification. The additional tax incurred at regular corporate rates would reduce significantly the cash flow available for distribution to stockholders and for debt service.

Furthermore, we would no longer be required to make any distributions to our stockholders as a condition to REIT qualification. Any distributions to stockholders would be taxable as ordinary income to the extent of our

current and accumulated earnings and profits, although such dividend distributions would be subject to a top federal tax rate of 15% through 2010. Corporate distributees, however, may be eligible for the dividends received deduction on the distributions, subject to limitations under the Code.

To qualify as a REIT, we must comply with certain highly technical and complex requirements. We cannot be certain we have complied, and will always be able to comply, with the requirements to qualify as a REIT because there are few judicial and administrative interpretations of these provisions. In addition, facts and circumstances that may be beyond our control may affect our ability to continue to qualify as a REIT. We cannot assure you that new legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not change the tax laws significantly with respect to our qualification as a REIT or with respect to the Federal income tax consequences of qualification. We believe that we have qualified as a REIT since our inception and intend to continue to qualify as a REIT. However, we cannot assure you that we are qualified or will remain qualified.

There is a risk of changes in the tax law applicable to real estate investment trusts. Because the Internal Revenue Service, the United States Treasury Department and Congress frequently review federal income tax legislation, we cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new federal tax laws, regulations, interpretations or rulings will be adopted. Any of such legislative action may prospectively or retroactively modify our tax treatment and, therefore, may adversely affect taxation of us and/or our investors.

We may be unable to comply with the strict income distribution requirements applicable to REITs. To maintain qualification as a REIT under the Code, a REIT must annually distribute to its shareholders at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, excluding the dividends paid deduction and net capital gains. This requirement limits our ability to accumulate capital. We may not have sufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the distribution requirements. Difficulties in meeting the distribution requirements might arise due to competing demands for our funds or to timing differences between tax reporting and cash receipts and disbursements, because income may have to be reported before cash is received, because expenses may have to be paid before a deduction is allowed or because deductions may be disallowed or limited, or the Internal Revenue Service may make a determination that adjusts reported income. In those situations, we might be required to borrow funds or sell properties on adverse terms in order to meet the distribution requirements and interest and penalties could apply which could adversely affect our financial condition. If we fail to make a required distribution, we would cease to be taxed as a REIT.

Notwithstanding our status as a REIT, we are subject to various federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. For example, we will be taxed at regular corporate rates on any undistributed taxable income, including undistributed net capital gains, provided, however, that properly designated undistributed capital gains will effectively avoid taxation at the security holder level. We may also have to pay some state income or franchise taxes because not all states treat REITs in the same manner as they are treated for Federal income tax purposes.

ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2 - PROPERTIES

The Company operates as a REIT. Our portfolio is primarily comprised of real estate holdings, some of which have been long-term holdings carried on our financial statements at depreciated cost. It is believed that their current market values exceed both the original cost and the depreciated cost.

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the Company's real estate investments as of September 30, 2008:

| <u>State</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Acquisition</u> | <u>Type</u> | <u>Square</u> <u>Footage</u> | <u>Mortgage</u> <u>Balance</u> <u>9/30/08</u> |
|--------------|------------------|--|-------------|---------------------------------|---|
| AL | Huntsville | 2005 | Industrial | 56,698 | \$2,085,660 |
| AZ | Tolleson | 2003 | Industrial | 288,211 | 7,972,908 |
| CO | Colorado Springs | 2006 | Industrial | 68,286 | 3,138,089 |
| CO | Denver | 2005 | Industrial | 69,865 | 2,969,400 |
| CT | Newington | 2001 | Industrial | 54,812 | 1,597,852 |
| FL | Cocoa | 2008 | Industrial | 89,101 | 6,996,921 |
| FL | Ft. Myers | 2003 | Industrial | 90,020 | 2,637,935 |
| FL | Jacksonville | 1999 | Industrial | 95,883 | 3,436,915 |
| FL | Lakeland | 2007 | Industrial | 31,096 | 1,375,000 |
| FL | Orlando | 2008 | Industrial | 110,638 | 5,800,000 |
| FL | Punta Gorda | 2007 | Industrial | 34,624 | 2,766,650 |
| FL | Tampa (FDX Gr) | 2004 | Industrial | 170,779 | 11,051,227 |
| FL | Tampa (FDX) | 2006 | Industrial | 95,662 | 5,424,686 |
| FL | Tampa (Kellogg) | 2007 | Industrial | 68,385 | 3,581,604 |
| | Augusta (FDX | | | | |
| GA | Gr) | 2005 | Industrial | 59,358 | 2,104,979 |
| GA | Augusta (FDX) | 2007 | Industrial | 30,332 | 1,125,000 |
| GA | Griffin | 2006 | Industrial | 215,720 | 9,471,388 |
| IL | Burr Ridge | 1997 | Industrial | 12,477 | 477,558 |
| IL | Elgin | 2002 | Industrial | 89,052 | 3,494,333 |
| IL | Granite City | 2001 | Industrial | 184,800 | 6,329,209 |
| IL | Montgomery | 2007 | Industrial | 171,200 | 5,839,349 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|------|------------|---------|------------|
| IL | Schaumburg | 1997 | Industrial | 73,500 | 1,323,003 |
| IL | Wheeling (1) | 2007 | Industrial | 123,000 | 6,253,177 |
| IO | Urbandale | 1994 | Industrial | 36,150 | -0- |
| KS | Edwardsville | 2003 | Industrial | 179,280 | 3,539,226 |
| MD | Beltsville | 2001 | Industrial | 147,668 | 3,854,395 |
| MI | Orion | 2007 | Industrial | 193,371 | 11,894,901 |
| MI | Romulus | 1998 | Industrial | 72,000 | 1,262,421 |
| MN | White Bear Lake | 2007 | Industrial | 59,425 | 2,312,693 |
| MO | O' Fallon | 1994 | Industrial | 102,135 | -0- |
| MO | Kansas City | 2007 | Industrial | 65,067 | 3,156,934 |
| MO | Liberty | 1998 | Industrial | 98,200 | 2,005,972 |
| MO | St. Joseph | 2001 | Industrial | 388,671 | 5,728,114 |
| MS | Jackson | 1993 | Industrial | 26,340 | -0- |
| MS | Richland | 1994 | Industrial | 36,000 | -0- |
| NC | Fayetteville | 1997 | Industrial | 148,000 | 3,550,000 |
| NC | Greensboro | 1993 | Industrial | 40,560 | -0- |

| <u>State</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Acquisition</u> | <u>Type</u> | <u>Square</u> <u>Footage</u> | <u>Mortgage</u> <u>Balance</u> <u>9/30/2008</u> |
|--------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|---|
| NC | Monroe | 2001 | Industrial | 160,000 | 2,739,207 |
| NC | Winston-Salem | 2002 | Industrial | 106,507 | 3,872,111 |
| NE | Omaha | 1999 | Industrial | 88,140 | 1,949,017 |
| NJ | Carlstadt (2) | 2007 | Industrial | 59,400 | 2,712,115 |
| NJ | Somerset (3) | 1970 | Shopping Center | 42,773 | -0- |
| NY | Cheektowaga | 2007 | Industrial | 84,923 | 2,217,304 |
| NY | Orangeburg | 1993 | Industrial | 50,400 | -0- |
| OH | Bedford Heights | 2007 | Industrial | 84,600 | 3,836,642 |
| OH | Richfield | 2006 | Industrial | 79,485 | 5,334,180 |
| OH | Union Township | 2000 | Industrial | 103,818 | 3,553,377 |
| PA | Monaca | 1997 | Industrial | 291,474 | -0- |
| PA | Quakertown | 2007 | Industrial | 37,660 | -0- |
| SC | Hanahan (Norton) | 2005 | Industrial | 306,000 | 7,685,724 |
| SC | Hanahan (FDX Gr) | 2005 | Industrial | 91,776 | 2,893,827 |
| TN | Chattanooga | 2007 | Industrial | 67,775 | 3,016,609 |
| TN | Shelby County | 2007 | Land | N/A | -0- |
| TX | El Paso (4) | 2007 | Industrial | 91,854 | 5,597,572 |
| VA | Charlottesville | 1999 | Industrial | 49,900 | 1,395,821 |
| VA | Richmond (Carrier) | 2007 | Industrial | 60,000 | -0- |
| VA | Richmond (FDX) | 2001 | Industrial | 112,870 | 3,331,966 |
| VA | Roanoke | 2007 | Industrial | 83,000 | 4,479,678 |
| WI | Cudahy | 2001 | Industrial | 139,564 | 2,774,983 |
| | | | | 6,068,285 | \$191,947,632 |

(1)

The Company owns a 63% controlling equity interest.

(2)

The Company owns a 51% controlling equity interest.

(3)

The Company has an undivided 2/3 interest.

(4)

The Company has a 65% controlling equity interest.

| <u>State</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>Tenant</u> | <u>Annual Rent</u> | <u>Lease Expiration</u> |
|--------------|------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|
| AL | Huntsville | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | \$ 278,000 | 08/31/14 |
| AZ | Tolleson | Western Container Corp | 1,243,000 | 04/30/12 |
| CO | Colorado Springs | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | 645,000 | 09/30/18 |
| CO | Denver | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | 421,000 | 07/31/18 |
| CT | Newington | Keebler Company | 340,000 | 02/28/11 |
| FL | Cocoa | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | 739,000 | 11/19/16 |
| FL | Ft. Myers | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | 400,000 | 10/31/11 |
| FL | Jacksonville | Federal Express Corporation | 575,000 | 05/31/13 |
| FL | Lakeland | Federal Express Corporation | 165,000 | 11/30/12 |
| FL | Orlando | Federal Express Corporation | 644,000 | 11/30/17 |
| FL | Punta Gorda | Federal Express Corporation | 304,000 | 06/30/17 |
| FL | Tampa | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | 1,412,000 | 01/31/19 |
| FL | Tampa | Federal Express Corporation | 572,000 | 09/30/17 |
| FL | Tampa | Kellogg Sales Company | 444,000 | 12/31/09 |
| GA | Augusta | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | 453,000 | 08/31/18 |
| GA | Augusta | Federal Express Corporation | 142,000 | 11/30/12 |
| GA | Griffin | Caterpillar Logistics Services, Inc. | 1,094,000 | 11/30/16 |
| IL | Burr Ridge | Sherwin-Williams Company | 152,000 | 10/31/09 |
| IL | Elgin | Joseph T. Ryerson | 614,000 | 01/31/12 |
| IL | Granite City | Anheuser-Busch, Inc. | 1,147,000 | 05/31/11 |
| IL | Montgomery | Home Depot USA, Inc. | 898,000 | 06/30/10 |
| IL | Schaumburg | Federal Express Corporation (1) | 496,000 | 03/31/17 |
| IL | Wheeling (2) | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | 1,386,000 | 5/31/17 |
| IA | Urbandale | Keystone Automotive | 129,000 | 03/31/17 |
| KS | Edwardsville | Carlisle Tire & Wheel Company | 671,000 | 05/31/12 |
| MD | Beltsville | | 1,426,000 | 07/31/18 |

| | | | | |
|----|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | | |
| | | Fedex Ground Package System. Inc | 1,285,000 | 06/30/17 |
| MI | Orion | | | |
| MI | Romulus | Federal Express Corporation | 450,000 | 05/31/11 |
| MN | White Bear Lake | Federal Express Corporation | 433,000 | 04/01/11 |
| MO | O' Fallon | PPG Industries | 449,000 | 06/30/09 |
| MO | Kansas City | Kellogg Sales Company | 368,000 | 07/31/12 |
| MO | Liberty | Johnson Controls, Inc. (3) | 624,000 | 12/31/08 |
| MO | St. Joseph | Mead Corporation (4) | 1,204,000 | 11/30/15 |
| MS | Jackson | Vacant | -0- | N/A |
| MS | Richland | Federal Express Corporation | 140,000 | 3/31/14 |
| NC | Fayetteville | Maidenform, Inc. | 396,000 | 12/31/12 |
| NC | Greensboro | Keebler Company (5) | 173,000 | 08/31/09 |

| <u>State</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>Tenant</u> | <u>Annual Rent</u> | <u>Lease Expiration</u> |
|--------------|-----------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|
| NC | Monroe | HD Supply, Inc. Fedex Ground Package System. | 594,000 | 10/31/11 |
| NC | Winston-Salem | Inc | 637,000 | 12/31/11 |
| NE | Omaha | Federal Express Corporation | 535,000 | 10/31/13 |
| NJ | Carlstadt (2) | Macy's East, Inc. | 371,000 | 03/31/14 |
| NJ | Somerset | various (6) Fedex Ground Package System. | 391,000 | various |
| NY | Cheektowaga | Inc | 645,000 | 10/31/16 |
| NY | Orangeburg | Keebler Company | 353,000 | 12/31/09 |
| OH | Bedford Heights | Federal Express Corporation Fedex Ground Package System. | 456,000 | 08/31/13 |
| OH | Richfield | Inc | 645,000 | 10/31/16 |
| OH | Union Township | RPS Ground (FDX) | 499,000 | 08/31/13 |
| PA | Monaca | various | 400,000 | various |
| PA | Quakertown | MagiKitchen Norton McNaughton of Squire, Inc. | 286,000 | 03/31/15 |
| SC | Hanahan | Fedex Ground Package System. | 1,301,000 | 04/29/15 |
| SC | Hanahan | Inc | 659,000 | 07/31/18 |
| TN | Chattanooga | Federal Express Corporation | 370,000 | 10/27/12 |
| TN | Shelby County | N/A Fedex Ground Package System. | N/A | N/A |
| TX | El Paso (2) | Inc | 668,000 | 09/30/15 |
| VA | Charlottesville | Federal Express Corporation | 368,000 | 08/31/10 |
| VA | Richmond | Carrier Sales | 396,000 | 05/31/11 |
| VA | Richmond | Federal Express Corporation | 707,000 | 10/21/09 |
| VA | Roanoke | DHL Fedex Ground Package System. | 593,000 | 12/07/16 |
| WI | Cudahy | Inc | 901,000 | 06/30/17 |
| | | | \$34,087,000 | |

(1)

Lease has an early termination option in 2012.

(2)

Estimated annual rent is the full rent per the lease. The Company consolidates the results of these properties due to its controlling equity interest.

(3)

Subleased to Lear Corporation

(4)

Subleased to Hallmark.

(5)

Subleased to Highways and Skyways of NC, Inc.

(6)

The Company owns an undivided 2/3 interest. Estimated annual rent reflects the Company's proportionate share of the total rent.

The Company's weighted-average lease expiration was 5.6 years as of September 30, 2008 and its average rent per occupied square foot as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$5.28 and \$5.61, respectively. As of September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company's occupancy was 98%. All properties were 100% occupied at September 30, 2008 except for the following:

| <u>Property</u> | <u>Occupancy</u> |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Monaca, PA | 61% |
| Jackson, MS | Lease is being negotiated |

During 2008, the Company executed or extended the following leases:

| | Former | Previous | Renewal | New |
|----------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Rent | Lease | Rent | Lease |
| <u>Property</u> | <u>PSF</u> | <u>Expiration</u> | <u>PSF</u> | <u>Expiration</u> |
| Romulus, MI | \$5.71 | 5/31/08 | \$6.24 | 5/31/11 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 5.68 | 5/31/08 | 6.00 | 5/31/13 |
| Charlottesville, VA | 7.27 | 8/31/08 | 7.37 | 8/31/10 |
| Omaha, NE | 5.86 | 10/31/08 | 6.07 | 10/31/13 |
| Beltsville, MD | 8.19 | 12/31/10 | 9.66 | *7/31/18 |
| Denver, CO | 6.98 | 9/30/14 | 8.08 | *7/31/18 |
| Hanahan, SC (FDX Gr) | 6.89 | 10/14/14 | 7.19 | *7/31/18 |
| Augusta, GA (FED Gr) | 7.89 | 8/31/14 | 8.03 | *8/31/18 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 7.74 | 9/30/15 | 9.44 | *9/30/18 |

* Buildings were expanded in 2008 and existing lease terms were extended for an additional ten years.

On June 3, 2008, the Company sold an 84,376 square foot industrial building in Franklin, Massachusetts for a sale price of \$6,685,000. The property was leased to Kellogg Sales Company at the time of sale and was leased through January 2010 at an annual rent of approximately \$527,000 or \$6.25 per square foot. The Company recognized a gain on sale of \$3,268,496.

On July 22, 2008, the Company sold its 44,719 square foot industrial property in Ramsey, New Jersey for a sale price of \$4,050,000. The property was leased to Bogen Photo at the time of the sale and was leased through March 2010 at an annual rent of approximately \$330,000 or \$ 7.38 per square foot. The Company recognized a gain on the sale of \$3,522,120.

ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted during the fourth quarter of 2008 to a vote of security holders through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

PART II

ITEM 5 - MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED

STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY

SECURITIES

The shares of common stock of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, under the symbol "MNRTA". The per share range of high and low market prices and distributions paid to common shareholders during each fiscal quarter of the last two fiscal years were as follows:

Fiscal 2008

Fiscal 2007

Market Price

Market Price

| Fiscal Qtr. | High | Low | Distrib. | Fiscal Qtr. | High | Low | Distrib. |
|-------------|--------|--------|----------|-------------|--------|--------|----------|
| First | \$8.49 | \$7.80 | \$.15 | First | \$8.59 | \$7.95 | \$.15 |
| Second | 8.28 | 7.60 | .15 | Second | 8.95 | 8.16 | .15 |
| Third | 8.04 | 6.33 | .15 | Third | 9.05 | 8.39 | .15 |
| Fourth | 8.14 | 6.15 | .15 | Fourth | 8.90 | 7.50 | .15 |
| | | | \$ | | | | \$.60 |
| | | | .60 | | | | |

On September 30, 2008, the closing price of our common stock was \$7.79.

As of September 30, 2008, there were approximately 1,028 shareholders of record who held shares of common stock of the Company.

It is the Company's intention to continue distributing quarterly dividends. On October 1, 2008 the Company declared a dividend of \$.15 per share to be paid on December 15, 2008 to shareholders of record on November 17, 2008. Future dividend policy will depend on the Company's earnings, capital requirements, financial condition, availability and cost of bank financing and other factors considered relevant by the board of directors.

On December 5, 2006, the Company issued 1,322,500 shares of 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share (Series A Preferred Stock). The Series A Preferred Stock ranks, as to dividend rights and rights upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, senior to our common stock and equal to any equity securities that we may issue in the future, the terms of which specifically provide that such equity securities rank equal to the Series A Preferred Stock. We are required to pay cumulative dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock in the amount of \$1.90625 per share each year, which is equivalent to 7.625% of the \$25.00 liquidation value per share. On October 1, 2008, the board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.4766 per share to be paid December 15, 2008 to shareholders of record as of November 17, 2008.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes information, as of September 30, 2008, relating to equity compensation plans of the Company (including individual compensation arrangements) pursuant to which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance:

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding Securities reflected in column (a)) |
|--|---|--|---|
| | (a) | (b) | (c) |
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders | 1,332,170 | \$7.77 | 1,255,000 |
| Equity Compensation Plans not Approved by Security Holders | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Total | 1,332,170 | \$7.77 | 1,255,000 |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|

Comparative Stock Performance

The following line graph compares the total return of the Company's common stock for the last five fiscal years to the FTSE NAREIT Composite Index (US), published by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), and the S&P 500 Index for the same period. The total return reflects stock price appreciation and dividend reinvestment for all three comparative indices. The information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but neither its accuracy nor its completeness is guaranteed.

ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected financial and other information for the Company as of and for each of the years in the five year period ended September 30, 2008. The Company merged with Monmouth Capital on July 31, 2007 and activity related to Monmouth Capital from that date is included in 2008 and 2007. This table should be read in conjunction with all of the financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere herein.

| OPERATING DATA: | 2008 | 2007 | <u>September 30,</u> 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Rental and Reimbursement Revenue | \$39,480,885 | \$28,296,938 | \$24,698,242 | \$22,478,334 | \$19,370,984 |
| (Loss) Gain on Securities Transactions, net | (3,660,283) | 156,723 | 50,983 | 1,541,952 | 1,714,395 |
| Interest and Dividend Income | 1,871,262 | 1,467,444 | 1,028,151 | 1,525,325 | 1,801,107 |
| Total Expenses | 20,679,970 | 15,264,134 | 12,389,029 | 11,107,307 | 9,701,507 |
| Gain (Loss) on Sale of Investment Property | 6,790,616 | 4,634,564 | (28,385) | -0- | -0- |
| Income from Equity Investment | -0- | -0- | -0- | 82,500 | 110,000 |
| Gain on Dissolution of Equity Investment | -0- | -0- | -0- | 1,269,179 | -0- |
| Interest Expense | 13,138,767 | 8,969,087 | 8,298,077 | 7,993,039 | 6,970,090 |
| Income from Continuing Operations | 3,733,383 | 5,712,586 | 5,090,270 | 7,788,027 | 6,315,972 |
| Discontinued Operations | 7,289,512 | 5,105,052 | 1,075,318 | 1,258,795 | 1,356,663 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net Income | 11,022,895 | 10,817,638 | 6,165,588 | 9,046,822 | 7,672,635 |
| Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders | 8,501,551 | 8,947,885 | 6,165,588 | 9,046,822 | 7,672,635 |
| Income from Continuing Operations Per Share | .15 | .27 | .26 | .43 | .39 |
| Basic | | | | | |
| Diluted | .15 | .27 | .26 | .43 | .39 |
| Net Income Per Common Share | | | | | |
| Basic | .35 | .41 | .32 | .50 | .47 |
| Diluted | .35 | .41 | .31 | .50 | .47 |

**BALANCE SHEET
DATA:**

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Total Assets | \$389,077,597 | \$366,908,245 | \$241,906,933 | \$217,841,402 | \$195,487,662 |
| Real Estate Investments, Net | 349,352,651 | 321,409,179 | 220,210,796 | 191,744,473 | 166,879,808 |
| Mortgage Notes Payable | 191,947,632 | 174,352,038 | 122,194,039 | 111,968,518 | 97,530,963 |
| Subordinated Convertible Debentures | 14,990,000 | 14,990,000 | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| 7.625% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock | 33,062,500 | 33,062,500 | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Shareholders Equity | 159,910,964 | 167,214,302 | 107,566,977 | 102,560,241 | 92,907,840 |

**CASH FLOW
DATA:**

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net Cash Provided (Used) By: | | | | | |
| Operating Activities | \$17,438,835 | \$13,224,299 | \$11,991,556 | \$11,429,276 | \$9,725,898 |
| Investing Activities | (39,831,002) | (25,526,868) | (32,691,106) | (19,643,014) | (35,417,062) |
| Financing Activities | 16,345,092 | 21,668,476 | 16,806,026 | 13,211,677 | 26,068,148 |

September 30,

| OTHER INFORMATION: | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| Average Number of Common | | | | | |
| Shares Outstanding - Basic | 24,131,497 | 21,050,803 | 19,555,278 | 17,967,360 | 16,206,433 |
| Funds from Operations* | \$11,397,238 | \$11,606,920 | \$11,753,324 | \$13,794,594 (A) | \$ 11,718,456 |
| Cash Dividends Per Common | | | | | |
| Share | .60 | .60 | .60 | .58 | .58 |

* Funds from operations (FFO), is defined as net income applicable to common shareholders, excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable assets, plus depreciation and amortization of intangible assets. FFO should be considered as a supplemental measure of operating performance used by REITs. The Company believes that FFO is helpful to investors as one of several measures of the performance of a REIT. FFO excludes historical cost depreciation as an expense and may facilitate the comparison of REITs which have different cost bases. The items excluded from FFO are significant components in understanding the Company's financial performance.

FFO (1) does not represent cash flow from operations as defined by generally accepted accounting principles; (2) should not be considered as an alternative to net income as a measure of operating performance or cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities; and (3) is not an alternative to cash flow as a measure of liquidity. FFO, as calculated by the Company, may not be comparable to similarly entitled measures reported by other REITs.

The Company's FFO is calculated as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Net Income | \$11,022,895 | \$10,817,638 | \$6,165,588 | \$9,046,822 | \$ 7,672,635 |
| Less: Preferred Dividend | (2,521,344) | (1,869,753) | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| (Gain) Loss on Sale of | | | | -0- | -0- |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| Investment Property (B) | (6,790,616) | (4,634,564) | 28,385 | | |
| Depreciation | 7,940,327 | 6,326,935 | 4,869,134 | 4,358,318 | 3,853,845 |
| Depreciation Related to Discontinued Operations | 86,858 | 230,982 | 320,409 | 192,024 | 191,976 |
| Amortization of In-Place Lease Intangible Assets | 1,659,118 | 735,682 | 369,808 | 197,430 | -0- |
| FFO | \$11,397,238 | \$11,606,920 | \$11,753,324 | \$13,794,594 (A) | \$11,718,456 |

(A)

Includes Gain on Dissolution of Equity Investment.

(B)

Consists of the gain on sale of the Franklin, MA and Ramsey, NJ properties in 2008, the South Brunswick, NJ property in 2007 and loss on sale of the Wichita, KS property in 2006. These gains (losses) are included in discontinued operations.

ITEM 7 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL
CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

Safe Harbor Statement

Statements contained in this Form 10-K, including the documents that are incorporated by reference, that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Also, when we use any of the words anticipate, assume, believe, estimate, expect, intend, or similar e we are making forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not guaranteed and are based on our current intentions and on our current expectations and assumptions. These statements, intentions, expectations and assumptions involve risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control, which could cause actual results or events to differ materially from those we anticipate or project, such as:

- the ability of our tenants to make payments under their respective leases, our reliance on certain major tenants and our ability to re-lease properties that are currently vacant or that become vacant;
- our ability to obtain suitable tenants for our properties;
- changes in real estate market conditions and general economic conditions;
- the inherent risks associated with owning real estate, including local real estate market conditions, governing laws and regulations and illiquidity of real estate investments;
- our ability to sell properties at an attractive price;

- our ability to repay debt financing obligations;
- our ability to refinance amounts outstanding under our credit facilities at maturity on terms favorable to us;
- the loss of any member of our management team;
- our ability to comply with certain debt covenants;
- our ability to integrate acquired properties and operations into existing operations;
- continued ability to access the debt or equity markets ;
- the availability of other debt and equity financing alternatives;
- changes in interest rates under our current credit facilities and under any additional variable rate debt arrangements that we may enter into in the future;
- our ability to successfully implement our selective acquisition strategy;
- our ability to maintain internal controls and processes to ensure all transactions are accounted for properly, all relevant disclosures and filings are timely made in accordance with all rules and regulations, and any potential fraud or embezzlement is thwarted or detected;
- changes in federal or state tax rules or regulations that could have adverse tax consequences; and
- our ability to qualify as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes.

You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, as events described or implied in such statements may not occur. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

The Company is a REIT. The Company's primary business is the ownership and management of industrial buildings subject to long-term leases to investment grade tenants. The Company owns fifty-seven industrial properties and one shopping center with a total of 6,068,000 square feet. Total real estate investments were \$349,352,651 at September 30, 2008. These properties are located in twenty-five states: Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, North Carolina, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

- 22 -

The Company's weighted-average lease expiration was 5.6 and 5.4 years as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively and its average rent per occupied square foot as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$5.28 and \$5.61, respectively. At September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company's occupancy was 98%.

During fiscal 2008, the Company acquired approximately \$18,839,000 in industrial properties (two properties), totaling approximately 200,000 square feet of industrial space. During fiscal 2008, the Company sold 129,095 square feet of industrial space (two properties) for a selling price of approximately \$10,735,000. During fiscal 2007, the Company acquired approximately \$28,561,000 in industrial properties (three properties), totaling approximately 311,000 square feet of industrial space. Also in 2007, the Company merged with Monmouth Capital and acquired a controlling interest in approximately 1,035,000 square feet of industrial property. See additional information on the merger with Monmouth Capital in Note No. 2 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

The Company has a concentration of FDX leased properties. At September 30, 2008, the percentage of FDX and subsidiaries leased square footage as a total of the Company's rental space was 46%, with 17% leased with FDX and 29% leased with FDX subsidiaries. The percentage of rental and reimbursement revenue from FDX was 56% for the year ended September 30, 2008. This is a risk factor that shareholders should consider.

The Company's revenue primarily consists of rental and reimbursement revenue from the ownership of industrial rental property. Rental and reimbursement revenue increased \$11,183,947, or 40%, for the year ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the year ended September 30, 2007. Total expenses (excluding interest expense) increased \$5,415,836, or 35%, for the year ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the year ended September 30, 2007. The increases were due mainly to the revenue and expenses relating to acquisitions made during 2008 and 2007 and the merger with Monmouth Capital as of July 31, 2007. Interest expense increased \$4,169,680 or 46% due mainly to the origination of \$29,400,000 in new mortgages in 2008 and increased outstanding balances on the Company's line of credit and margin loans. The Company sold two properties during 2008 for approximately \$10,735,000 and recognized a gain on sale of \$6,790,616.

The Company has approximately \$5,000,000 million in cash, \$21,000,000 in REIT securities, and \$3,000,000 available on its line of credit as of September 30, 2008. The Company believes that funds generated from operations and the DRIP, the funds available on the line of credit, together with the ability to finance and refinance its properties will provide sufficient funds to adequately meet its obligations over the next year.

The Company intends to continue to increase its real estate investments in fiscal 2009 through acquisitions or expansions of properties. The growth of the real estate portfolio depends on the availability of suitable properties which meet the Company's investment criteria and appropriate financing. Competition in the market areas in which the Company operates is significant and affects acquisitions, occupancy levels, rental rates and operating expenses of certain properties.

Revenues also include interest and dividend income and gain (loss) on securities transactions. The Company holds a portfolio of securities of other REITs with a fair value of \$21,005,663 as of September 30, 2008. The Company invests in REIT securities on margin from time to time when the Company can achieve an adequate yield spread. The REIT securities portfolio provides the Company with liquidity and additional income until suitable acquisitions of real property are found. As of September 30, 2008, the Company's portfolio consisted of 64% preferred stocks and 36% common stocks. The Company's weighed-average yield on the securities portfolio for 2008 was approximately 9.5%. Interest and dividend income increased \$403,818 or 28% in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was due mainly to higher invested funds balance. The Company purchased approximately \$17,000,000 in securities during 2008 partially offset by sales of securities with a cost basis of approximately \$776,000. During 2008, the Company recognized approximately \$3,105,000 in impairment losses due to the writing down of the carrying value of certain securities which were considered other than temporarily impaired and a loss on closed futures contracts of approximately \$742,000. The Company has unrealized losses of \$6,139,451 in its REIT

securities portfolio as of September 30, 2008. Additional impairment losses may be recognized if the REIT securities market remains at current levels and the financial results of the underlying companies deteriorate. The REIT securities market has recently been driven to inordinately low prices and high yields. We believe this to be the result of indiscriminate selling and not the result of normal pricing considerations. The dividends received from our securities investments continue to meet our expectations. It is our intent to hold these securities long-term. As the credit markets begin to function again, more efficient pricing should return to the securities markets.

See PART I, Item 1 Business and Item 1A Risk Factors for a more complete discussion of the economic and industry-wide factors relevant to the Company and the opportunities and challenges, and risks on which the Company is focused.

Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operation are based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Company's consolidated financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Significant accounting policies are defined as those that involve significant judgment and potentially could result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Management believes the following significant accounting policies are affected by our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements. For a detailed description of these and other accounting policies, see Note No. 1 in the Notes to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

Real Estate Investments

The Company applies Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, (Statement 144) to measure impairment in real estate investments. Rental properties are individually evaluated for impairment when conditions exist which may indicate that it is probable that the sum of

expected future cash flows (on an undiscounted basis without interest) from a rental property is less than its historical net cost basis. These expected future cash flows consider factors such as future operating income, trends and prospects as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. Upon determination that a permanent impairment has occurred, rental properties are reduced to their fair value. For properties to be disposed of, an impairment loss is recognized when the fair value of the property, less the estimated cost to sell, is less than the carrying amount of the property measured at the time there is a commitment to sell the property and/or it is actively being marketed for sale. A property to be disposed of is reported at the lower of its carrying amount or its estimated fair value, less its cost to sell. Subsequent to the date that a property is held for disposition, depreciation expense is not recorded.

Upon acquisition of a property, the Company allocates the purchase price of the property based upon the fair value of the assets acquired, which generally consist of land, buildings, leasing commissions and intangible assets, including in-place leases and above and below market leases. The Company allocates the purchase price to the fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property determined by third party appraisal of the property obtained in conjunction with the purchase. Acquired above and below market leases are valued based on the present value of the difference between prevailing market rates and the in-place rates over the remaining lease term.

The purchase price is further allocated to in-place lease values based on management's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and the Company's overall relationship with the respective tenant. Acquired above and below market leases are amortized over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective

leases. The value of in-place lease intangibles is amortized to expense over the remaining lease term. If a tenant terminates its lease early, the unamortized portion of the tenant improvements, leasing commissions above and below market leases and the in-place lease value is immediately charged to expense.

Securities Available for Sale

Investments in non-real estate assets consist primarily of marketable securities. Management individually reviews and evaluates our marketable securities for impairment on an annual basis, or when events or circumstances occur. Management considers, among other things, credit aspects of the issuer, amount of decline in fair value over cost and length of time in a continuous loss position. If a decline in fair value is determined to be other than temporary, an impairment charge is recognized in earnings and the cost basis of the individual security shall be written down to fair value as the new cost basis.

The Company classifies its securities among three categories: Held-to-maturity, trading and available-for-sale. The Company's securities at September 30, 2008 and 2007 are all classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value based on quoted market prices. Gains or losses on the sale of securities are calculated based on the average cost method and are accounted for on a trade date basis. Unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of Shareholders' Equity until realized.

Revenue Recognition and Estimates

Rental income from tenants with leases having scheduled rental increases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Leases typically provide for reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, and other operating costs. These occupancy charges are recognized as earned. Estimates are used to establish amounts receivable and revenue from tenants for such things as annualized rents, real estate taxes and other cost recoveries. In addition, an estimate is made with respect to whether a provision for allowance for doubtful accounts receivable and loans receivable is necessary. The allowance for doubtful accounts reflects management's estimate of the amounts of the recorded accounts receivable and loans receivable at the balance sheet date that will not be realized from cash receipts in subsequent periods. If cash receipts in subsequent periods vary from our estimates, or if the Company's tenants financial condition deteriorates as a result of operating difficulties, additional changes to the allowance may be required.

Results of Operation

Occupancy and Rent per Occupied Square Foot

The Company's weighted-average lease expiration was 5.6 years and 5.4 years as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively and its average rent per occupied square foot for fiscal 2008 and 2007 was \$5.28 and \$5.61, respectively.

As of September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company's occupancy was 98%. All properties were 100% occupied at September 30, 2008 except for the following:

| <u>Property</u> | <u>Occupancy</u> |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Monaca, PA | 61% |
| Jackson, MS | Lease is being negotiated |

- 25 -

Lease Renewals and Extensions

| | Former | Previous | Renewal | New |
|----------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Rent | Lease | Rent | Lease |
| <u>Property</u> | <u>PSF</u> | <u>Expiration</u> | <u>PSF</u> | <u>Expiration</u> |
| Romulus, MI | \$5.71 | 5/31/08 | \$6.24 | 5/31/11 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 5.68 | 5/31/08 | 6.00 | 5/31/13 |
| Charlottesville, VA | 7.27 | 8/31/08 | 7.37 | 8/31/10 |
| Omaha, NE | 5.86 | 10/31/08 | 6.07 | 10/31/13 |
| Beltsville, MD | 8.19 | 12/31/10 | 9.66 | *7/31/18 |
| Denver, CO | 6.98 | 9/30/14 | 8.08 | *7/31/18 |
| Hanahan, SC (FDX Gr) | 6.89 | 10/14/14 | 7.19 | *7/31/18 |
| Augusta, GA (FED Gr) | 7.89 | 8/31/14 | 8.03 | *8/31/18 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 7.74 | 9/30/15 | 9.44 | *9/30/18 |

* Buildings were expanded in 2008 and existing lease terms were extended for an additional ten years.

Expansions

The Company expanded the industrial building in Beltsville, Maryland. Construction was completed in August 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$5,400,000. The building was expanded from 109,705 square feet to 147,668 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$898,835 (\$8.19 per square foot) to \$1,426,104 (\$9.66 per square foot) and the lease was extended through July 2018.

The Company expanded the industrial building leased to FDX Ground in Augusta, Georgia. Construction was completed in August 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$1,665,000. The building was expanded from 38,210 square feet to 59,358 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$278,579 (\$7.29 per square foot) to \$453,457 (\$7.64 per square foot) and the lease was extended through August 2018.

The Company expanded the industrial building leased to FDX Ground in Hanahan, South Carolina. Construction was completed in July 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$2,900,000. The building was expanded from 54,286 square feet to 91,776 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$373,823 (\$6.89 per square foot) to \$675,239 (\$7.36 per square foot) and the lease was extended through July 2018.

The Company expanded the industrial building leased to FDX Ground in Denver, Colorado. Construction was completed in July 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$1,412,000. The building was expanded from 60,361 square feet to 69,865 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$421,460 (\$6.98 per square foot) to \$564,206 (\$8.08 per square foot) and the lease was extended through July 2018. In addition to this expansion, the Company had agreed to purchase an additional 2.19 acres of land adjacent to the existing property to accommodate future development anticipated by the tenant. This purchase is now not expected to close and FDX Ground will reimburse the Company for funds expended to date of \$100,000.

The Company expanded the industrial building leased to FDX Ground in Colorado Springs, Colorado. Construction was completed in September 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$2,300,000. The building was expanded from 53,202 square feet to 68,370 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$411,823 (\$7.74 per square foot) to \$644,729 (\$9.43 per square foot) and the lease was extended through September 2018.

Comparison of Year Ended September 30, 2008 to Year Ended September 30, 2007

The following tables summarize the Company's rental and reimbursement revenue, real estate taxes, operating expenses, and depreciation expense by category. Same store properties are properties owned prior to October 1, 2006.

Expanded properties are properties which completed an expansion in 2008. Monmouth Capital properties are the properties acquired in the strategic transaction with Monmouth Capital on July 31, 2007 and results from that date are included in the Company's results for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. Acquired properties are properties that were acquired subsequent to September 30, 2006. Other amounts relate to general corporate expenditures.

As of September 30, 2008 and 2007, the occupancy rates of the Company's same store properties were 98% and 98%, respectively.

| <u>Rental and Reimbursement Revenues</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$24,094,652 | \$23,223,564 | \$871,088 | 4% |
| Expanded Properties | 3,090,465 | 2,866,307 | 224,158 | 8% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 8,963,126 | 1,401,526 | 7,561,600 | 540% |
| Acquired Properties | 3,332,642 | 805,541 | 2,527,101 | 318% |
| Total | \$39,480,885 | \$28,296,938 | \$11,183,947 | 40% |

Rental and reimbursement revenue from same store properties increased due to renewal of leases at higher rental rates.

Rental and reimbursement revenue from expanded properties increased due to the completion of the expansions on the Beltsville, MD, Denver, CO, Augusta, GA, Colorado Springs, CO and Hanahan, SC (FDX Gr) buildings in late fiscal 2008. Rental and reimbursement revenue from the Monmouth Capital properties increased due to the full year of ownership in fiscal 2008 as compared to two months of ownership in fiscal 2007. Rental and reimbursement revenue from acquired properties increased due to the purchase of the two industrial properties totaling 199,739 square feet during fiscal 2008 in Cocoa, FL and Orlando, FL and also due to a full year of ownership of the properties

in Roanoke, VA, Orion, MI and Punta Gorda, FL.

| <u>Real Estate Taxes</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$4,023,079 | \$4,058,417 | (\$35,338) | 0% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 1,750,874 | 196,300 | 1,554,574 | 792% |
| Acquired Properties | 354,157 | 48,207 | 305,950 | 635% |
| Total | \$6,128,110 | \$4,302,924 | \$1,825,186 | 42% |

Real estate taxes from same store properties decreased slightly due to a decrease in estimated taxes assessed in certain property locations partially offset by increases in estimated taxes in other locations. Real estate taxes from the Monmouth Capital properties increased due to the full year of ownership in fiscal 2008 as compared to two months of ownership in fiscal 2007. Real estate taxes for acquired properties increased due to the purchase of the two industrial properties totaling 199,739 square feet during fiscal 2008 in Cocoa, FL and Orlando, FL and also due to a full year of ownership of the properties in Roanoke, VA, Orion, MI and Punta Gorda, FL. These properties are subject to net leases which require the tenants to absorb the real estate taxes as well as insurance and the majority of the repairs and maintenance. As such, the Company is reimbursed by the tenants for these real estate taxes. The reimbursement income is included in rental and reimbursement revenue.

| <u>Operating Expenses</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$2,114,332 | \$1,919,253 | \$195,079 | 10% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 1,310,060 | 250,629 | 1,059,431 | 423% |
| Acquired Properties | 389,136 | 79,191 | 309,945 | 392% |
| Total | \$3,813,528 | \$2,249,073 | \$1,564,455 | 70% |

Operating expenses from same store properties increased due to an increase in insurance costs and unreimbursable repairs and maintenance. Operating expenses from the Monmouth Capital properties increased due to the full year of ownership in fiscal 2008 as compared to two months of ownership in fiscal 2007. Operating expenses from acquired properties increased due to the purchase of the two industrial properties totaling 199,739 square feet during fiscal 2008 in Cocoa, FL and Orlando, FL and also due to a full year of ownership of the properties in Roanoke, VA, Orion, MI and Punta Gorda, FL.

| <u>Depreciation</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$5,556,358 | \$5,300,405 | \$255,953 | 5% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 1,675,304 | 781,066 | 894,238 | 115% |
| Acquired Properties | 708,665 | 245,464 | 463,201 | 188% |
| Total | \$7,940,327 | \$6,326,935 | \$1,613,392 | 26% |

Depreciation from same store properties increased slightly due mainly to capital projects placed in service during the year. Depreciation expense from the Monmouth Capital properties increased due to the full year of ownership in fiscal 2008 as compared to two months of ownership in fiscal 2007. Depreciation expense from acquired properties increased due to the purchase of the two industrial properties totaling 199,739 square feet during fiscal 2008 in Cocoa, FL and Orlando, FL and also due to a full year of ownership of the properties in Roanoke, VA, Orion, MI and Punta Gorda, FL.

| <u>Interest Expense</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Same Store Properties | \$7,623,934 | \$6,847,711 | \$776,223 | 12% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 2,398,974 | 411,766 | 1,987,208 | 483% |
| Acquired Properties | 1,552,066 | 1,360,787 | 191,279 | 14% |
| Debentures | 1,199,200 | 199,866 | 999,334 | 500% |
| Other | 626,677 | 183,391 | 443,286 | 242% |
| Capitalized Interest | (262,084) | (34,434) | (227,650) | (661%) |
| | | | | |
| Total | \$13,138,767 | \$8,969,087 | \$4,169,680 | 46% |

Interest expense for same store properties increased due to the new and refinanced mortgages on the properties in Fayetteville, NC, Jacksonville, FL, Tampa, FL (FDX) and Union City, OH. The increase in interest from the new mortgages was partially offset by reduced interest expense related to principal repayments made during the year. Interest expense from the Monmouth Capital properties increased due to the full year of ownership in fiscal 2008 as compared to two months of ownership in fiscal 2007 and the new mortgages originated on the properties in Augusta, GA (FDX) and Lakeland, FL. Interest expense for acquired properties increased primarily due to the mortgages related to the purchase of the two industrial properties totaling 199,739 square feet during fiscal 2008 in Cocoa, FL and Orlando, FL and also due to a full year of ownership of the properties in Roanoke, VA, Orion, MI and Punta Gorda, FL. Interest expense related to the debentures increased due to the full year of debentures outstanding at 8% during 2008 as compared to two months in 2007. Other interest relates to interest on the

Company's line of credit and margin loans. The increase relates to increased average balances on these lines, partially offset by a decrease in interest rates. Capitalized interest relates to the amount of interest capitalized during 2008 and 2007 to construction in progress related to property expansions.

General and administrative expenses increased \$412,803, or 17% in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase relates mainly to increases in personnel costs, professional fees and franchise taxes.

Interest and dividend income increased \$403,818, or 28%, in 2008 as compared to 2007. This is due mainly to an increase in the size of the REIT securities portfolio and an increase in the yield from this portfolio. The securities portfolio increased from \$13,436,992 as of September 30, 2007 to \$21,005,663 as of September 30, 2008. The Company increased the size of its REIT securities portfolio due to the proceeds received from the preferred stock offering in 2007 and through the merger with Monmouth Capital. The REIT securities portfolio yield for 2008 was 9.5% as compared to 7.6% for 2007.

(Loss) Gain on securities transactions, net consisted of the following:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|---------------|-----------|
| Gross realized gains | \$225,678 | \$471,707 |
| Gross realized losses | (38,880) | (45,561) |
| Net gain (loss) on closed futures contracts | (742,307) | (272,080) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on open futures contracts | -0- | 102,657 |
| Impairment loss | (3,104,774) | (100,000) |
| Total (Loss) Gain on Securities Transactions, net | (\$3,660,283) | \$156,723 |

Gain (loss) on securities transactions, net decreased \$3,817,006 in 2008 as compared to 2007. The decrease is due mainly to the impairment loss of \$3,104,774 due to the writing down of the carrying value of seven REIT securities which were considered other than temporarily impaired. The Company has unrealized losses of \$6,139,451 in its REIT securities portfolio as of September 30, 2008. Additional impairment losses may be recognized if the REIT securities market remains at current levels and the financial results of the underlying companies deteriorate. The REIT securities market has recently been driven to inordinately low prices and high yields. We believe this to be the result of indiscriminate selling and not the result of normal pricing considerations. The dividends received from our

securities investments continue to meet our expectations. It is our intent to hold these securities long-term. As the credit markets begin to function again, more efficient pricing should return to the securities markets.

Comparison of Year Ended September 30, 2007 to Year Ended September 30, 2006

The following tables summarize the Company's rental and reimbursement revenue, real estate taxes, operating expenses, and depreciation expense by category. Same store properties are properties owned prior to October 1, 2005.

Acquired properties are properties that were acquired subsequent to September 30, 2005. Monmouth Capital properties are the properties acquired in the strategic transaction with Monmouth Capital on July 31, 2007 and results from that date are included in the Company's results for the year ended September 30, 2007. Other amounts relate to general corporate expenditures.

As of September 30, 2007 and 2006, the occupancy rates of the Company's same store properties were 98% and 85%, respectively.

| <u>Rental and Reimbursement Revenues</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$22,872,644 | \$23,027,715 | (\$155,071) | (1%) |
| Acquired Properties | 4,022,768 | 1,670,527 | 2,352,241 | 141% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 1,401,526 | -0- | 1,401,526 | -0-% |
| Total | \$28,296,938 | \$24,698,242 | \$3,598,696 | 15% |

Rental and reimbursement revenue from same store properties decreased slightly due to the vacancy experienced at the Fayetteville, North Carolina property. The Fayetteville property was leased in August 2007. Rental and reimbursement revenue from acquired properties increased due to the purchase of the three industrial properties totaling 310,995 square feet during fiscal 2007 in Roanoke, Virginia; Orion, Michigan; and Punta Gorda, Florida.

The increase is also due to a full year of ownership of the properties in Richfield, Ohio; Colorado Springs, Colorado; Tampa, Florida; and Griffin, Georgia, purchased in 2006.

| <u>Real Estate Taxes</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$3,634,631 | \$3,497,766 | \$136,865 | 4% |
| Acquired Properties | 471,993 | 92,626 | 379,367 | 410% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 196,300 | -0- | 196,300 | -0-% |
| Total | \$4,302,924 | \$3,590,392 | \$712,532 | 20% |

Real estate taxes from same store properties increased due to increases in taxes assessed in certain property locations. Real estate taxes from acquired properties increased due to the purchase of the three industrial properties totaling 310,995 square feet during fiscal 2007 noted above and the full year of ownership of the properties noted above purchased during fiscal 2006. These properties are subject to net leases which require the tenants to absorb the real

estate taxes as well as insurance and the majority of the repairs and maintenance. As such, the Company is reimbursed by the tenants for these real estate taxes. The reimbursement income is included in rent and reimbursement revenue.

| <u>Operating Expenses</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$1,612,249 | \$1,579,748 | \$32,501 | 2% |
| Acquired Properties | 386,195 | 168,645 | 217,550 | 129% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 250,629 | -0- | 250,629 | -0-% |
| Total | \$2,249,073 | \$1,748,393 | \$500,680 | 29% |

Operating expenses from same store properties increased due to an increase in insurance costs and unreimbursable repairs and maintenance. Operating expenses from acquired properties increased due to the purchase of the three industrial properties totaling 310,995 square feet during fiscal 2007 noted above and the full year of ownership of the properties noted above purchased during fiscal 2006. The increase in total operating expenses related to the acquired properties and the Monmouth Capital properties is predominantly due to an approximately \$366,000 increase in amortization of the intangible assets associated with these acquired properties.

| <u>Depreciation</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$4,557,073 | \$4,497,533 | \$59,540 | 1% |
| Acquired Properties | 988,796 | 371,601 | 617,195 | 166% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 781,066 | -0- | 781,066 | -0-% |
| Total | \$6,326,935 | \$4,869,134 | \$1,457,801 | 30% |

Depreciation from same store properties increased slightly due mainly to capital projects placed in service during the year. Depreciation from acquired properties increased due to the purchase of the three industrial properties totaling 310,995 square feet during fiscal 2007 noted above and the full year of ownership of the properties noted above purchased during fiscal 2006.

| <u>Interest Expense</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Same Store Properties | \$6,847,711 | \$7,471,753 | (\$624,042) | (8%) |
| Acquired Properties | 1,360,787 | 606,688 | 754,099 | 124% |
| Monmouth Capital Properties | 411,766 | -0- | 411,766 | -0-% |
| Debentures | 199,866 | -0- | 199,866 | -0-% |
| Other | 183,391 | 219,636 | (36,245) | 17% |
| Capitalized Interest | (34,434) | (-0-) | (34,434) | (-0-%) |
| Total | \$8,969,087 | \$8,298,077 | \$671,010 | 8% |

Interest expense for same store properties decreased due to the principal repayments of the mortgages on those properties. Interest expense for acquired properties increased primarily due to the mortgages related to the acquisitions of three industrial properties totaling 310,995 square feet during fiscal 2007 noted above and the full year of ownership of the properties noted above purchased during fiscal 2006. Other interest relates to interest on the Company's line of credit and margin loans. The decrease relates to decreased average balances on these lines. Capitalized interest relates to the amount of interest capitalized during 2007 to construction in progress related to property expansions.

General and administrative expenses increased \$204,092, or 9% in 2007 as compared to 2006. The increase relates mainly to increases in personnel costs, professional fees and franchise taxes.

Interest and dividend income increased \$439,293, or 42%, in 2007 as compared to 2006. This is due mainly to an increase in the size of the REIT securities portfolio and an increase in the yield from this portfolio. The securities portfolio increased from \$10,395,767 as of September 30, 2006 to \$13,436,992 as of September 30, 2007. The Company increased the size of its REIT securities portfolio due to the proceeds received from the preferred stock offering in 2007 and through the merger with Monmouth Capital. The REIT securities portfolio yield for 2007 was consistent with 2006 at 7.6%

Gain on securities transactions, net consisted of the following:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Gross realized gains | \$471,707 | \$73,480 |
| Gross realized losses | (45,561) | (50,844) |
| Net gain (loss) on closed futures contracts | (272,080) | 188,534 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on open futures contracts | 102,657 | (87,187) |
| Impairment loss | (100,000) | (73,000) |
| Total Gain on Securities Transactions, net | \$156,723 | \$50,983 |

Gain on securities transactions, net increased \$105,740, or 207%, in 2007 as compared to 2006. The increase is due mainly to increased gain on sales of securities during 2007 as compared to 2006, as the Company took advantage of the unrealized gains in the portfolio during 2007. The increased gain was partially offset by net losses on futures contracts in 2007 as compared to net gains on futures contracts in 2006. The Company invests in futures contracts of ten-year treasury notes with the objective of reducing the exposure of the preferred equity and debt securities portfolio to interest rate fluctuations and the risk of rolling over fixed rate debt at higher interest rates upon maturity.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations in 2008 include the operations of properties in Franklin, Massachusetts and Ramsey, New Jersey which were sold in 2008. Discontinued operations in 2007 include the 2 properties sold in 2008 and a vacant property in S. Brunswick, New Jersey, sold in August 2007. Discontinued operations in 2006 include the three aforementioned properties sold in 2008 and 2007 and a vacant property in Wichita, Kansas, which was sold in March 2006. The following table summarizes the components of discontinued operations:

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Rental and reimbursement revenue | \$707,740 | \$1,113,902 | \$1,845,670 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Real Estate Taxes | (76,598) | (261,244) | (306,233) |
| Operating Expenses | (45,388) | (151,188) | (115,325) |
| Depreciation | (86,858) | (230,982) | (320,409) |
| Income from Operations of Disposed Property | 498,896 | 470,488 | 1,103,703 |
| Gain (Loss) on Sale of Investment Property | 6,790,616 | 4,634,564 | (28,385) |
| Income from Discontinued Operations | \$7,289,512 | \$5,105,052 | \$1,075,318 |

Cash flows from discontinued operations for the year ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are combined with the cash flows from operations within each of the three categories presented. Cash flows from discontinued operations are as follows:

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from Operations | (\$6,253,279) | (\$4,013,412) | 1,462,801 |
| Cash flows from Investing Activities | 10,486,277 | 8,150,557 | 1,320,854 |
| Cash flows from Financing Activities | (4,232,998) | (4,137,145) | (2,783,655) |

The absence of cash flows from discontinued operations is not expected to materially affect future liquidity and capital resources.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

The Company has not executed any off-balance sheet arrangements.

The following is a summary of the Company's contractual obligations as of September 30, 2008:

| Contractual | | Less than 1 | | | More than |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| <u>Obligations</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>year</u> | <u>1-3 years</u> | <u>3-5 years</u> | <u>5 years</u> |
| Mortgage Notes | | | | | |
| Payable | \$191,947,632 | \$10,928,540 | \$24,788,529 | \$47,757,498 | \$108,473,065 |
| Debentures | 14,990,000 | 1,000,000 | -0- | -0- | 13,990,000 |
| Retirement Benefits | 548,133 | 60,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 248,133 |
| Construction Contracts | 1,794,000 | 1,794,000 | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Total | \$209,279,765 | \$13,782,540 | \$24,908,529 | \$47,877,498 | \$122,711,198 |

Mortgage notes payable represents the principal amounts outstanding by scheduled maturity. The interest rates on these mortgages are fixed rates ranging from 5.22% to 8.48%. The above table does not include the Company's obligation under its line of credit and margin loan as described in Note No. 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Debentures represent the repayment of the 8% Convertible Subordinated debentures of \$4,770,000 due in 2013 and \$10,220,000 in 2015. On October 10, 2008, the Company repurchased \$1,000,000 in debentures due 2013 from UMH at par.

Retirement benefits represent post-retirement benefits that are not funded and therefore will be paid from the assets of the Company. The liability is being accrued and expensed over the payment terms.

Construction contracts relate to the remaining commitments under the construction contracts to expand the industrial buildings in Beltsville, MD, Denver, CO, Hanahan, SC (FDX), Colorado Springs, Co, Augusta, GA (FDX Gr) and Griffin, GA.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company operates as a real estate investment trust deriving its income primarily from real estate rental operations. The Company's shareholders' equity decreased from \$167,214,302 as of September 30, 2007 to \$159,910,964 as of September 30, 2008, principally due to payments of common distributions in excess of net income and an increase in the unrealized loss on available for sale securities, partially offset by issuance of common shares in the DRIP. See further discussion below.

The Company's ability to generate cash adequate to meet its needs is dependent primarily on income from its real estate investments and securities portfolio, the sale of real estate investments and securities, refinancing of mortgage debt, leveraging of real estate investments, availability of bank borrowings, proceeds from the DRIP, proceeds from public offerings and private placements, and access to the capital markets. Purchases of new properties, payments of expenses related to real estate operations, capital improvement programs, debt service, general and administrative expenses, and distribution requirements place demands on the Company's liquidity.

The Company intends to operate its existing properties from the cash flows generated by the properties. However, the Company's expenses are affected by various factors, including inflation. Increases in operating expenses raise the breakeven point for a property and, to the extent that they cannot be passed on through higher rents, reduce the amount of available cash flow which can adversely affect the market value of the property.

The current economic situation and the lack of liquidity in the lending environment may impact management's ability to grow by acquiring additional properties or REIT securities. Industrial space demand is very closely correlated to GDP growth. Current economic indicators show the US economy to be contracting. The length and depth of this recession are unknown at this time. However, the high caliber of our tenants, coupled with the long duration of our leases, should enable the Company to perform well despite the slowing economy. As of September 30, 2008, the Company had \$5,348,262 in cash and cash equivalents, \$21,005,663 in marketable securities subject to margin loans of \$2,299,947, and has \$2,749,000 available on its line of credit. At September 30, 2008, the Company owned fifty-eight properties of which ten are not subject to mortgages; however the Company's line of credit contains covenants which may restrict the Company from placing financing on a certain amount of unencumbered properties.

The Company has been raising capital through its DRIP, private placements and the public placement of common and preferred stock and investing in net-leased industrial properties. The REIT securities market has recently been driven to inordinately low prices and high yields. This has resulted in substantial unrealized losses on our holdings. We believe this to be the result of indiscriminate selling and not the result of normal pricing considerations. The dividends received from our securities investments continue to meet our expectations. It is our intent to hold these securities long-term. As the credit markets begin to function again, more efficient pricing should return to the securities markets. The Company believes that funds generated from operations and the DRIP, the funds available on the line of credit, together with the ability to finance and refinance its properties will provide sufficient funds to adequately meet its obligations over the next few years.

The Company's focus is on real estate investments. The Company has historically financed purchases of real estate primarily through mortgages. During 2008, the Company made acquisitions of two industrial properties, totaling approximately \$18,839,000. During 2007, the Company made acquisitions of three industrial properties, totaling approximately \$28,561,000. Also during 2007, the Company merged with Monmouth Capital and acquired a controlling interest in fourteen industrial properties for total cost of approximately \$33,970,000. The Company issued 3,727,706 of the Company's common shares for the outstanding shares of Monmouth Capital. In fiscal 2009, the Company plans to continue to acquire net-leased industrial properties. The Company also intends to expand its properties when requested by the tenants. The funds for these acquisitions and expansions may come from the Company's available line of credit, other bank borrowings and proceeds from the DRIP or private placements or additional public offerings of preferred and common stock. In 2007, the Company closed on an offering of 1,322,500 shares of our 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, at a \$25.00 liquidation value, for total net proceeds after underwriting discounts and commission and other expenses of approximately \$31,584,000 (see Note No. 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). To the extent that funds or appropriate properties are not available, fewer acquisitions or expansions will be made.

The Company also invests in debt and equity securities of other REITs as a proxy for real estate when suitable acquisitions are not available, for liquidity, and for additional income. The Company from time to time may purchase these securities on margin when there is an adequate yield spread. During fiscal 2008, the Company's securities

portfolio increased by \$7,568,671, primarily due to purchases of \$17,154,962. The increase was partially offset by sales of securities with a cost of \$776,024, write-downs for impairment losses of \$3,104,774 and an increase in the unrealized loss of \$5,705,493. The Company's securities are purchased on margin from time to time when a favorable interest rate spread can be achieved. The margin loan balance was \$2,299,947 and \$0- as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Cash flows provided from operating activities were \$17,438,835, \$13,224,299 and \$11,991,556 for fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase in cash flows provided from operating activities is due to increased acquisitions of properties and expanded operations.

Cash flows used in investing activities were \$39,831,002, \$25,526,868 and \$32,691,106 for fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Cash flows used in investing activities in 2008 increased as compared to 2007 due mainly to the purchase of REIT securities and increased building expansions. The proceeds from the sale of two industrial properties were used to fund these purchases and expansions. Cash flows used in investing activities

decreased in 2007 as compared to 2006 due mainly to the proceeds from the sale of one industrial property and decreased acquisitions as compared to the prior year.

Cash flows provided from financing activities were \$16,345,092, \$21,668,476 and \$16,806,026 for fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Cash flows from financing activities decreased in 2008 as compared to 2007 due mainly to the preferred stock offering proceeds of \$31,584,466 in 2007. Cash flows from financing activities increased in 2007 as compared to 2006 due mainly to proceeds from the preferred stock offering in 2007.

As of September 30, 2008, the Company had total assets of \$389,077,597 and liabilities of \$225,547,477. The Company's total debt plus Series A Preferred Stock to market capitalization as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 was 56% and 53%, respectively. The Company believes that it has the ability to meet its obligations and to generate funds for new investments.

The Company has a DRIP, in which participants can purchase stock from the Company at a price of approximately 95% of market. During fiscal 2007, the Company modified its DRIP plan to allow for the DRIP to purchase shares on the open market at market value for participants, rather than purchasing shares directly from the Company at a discount. It is anticipated, although no assurances can be given, that the level of participation in the DRIP in 2009 will be comparable to 2008.

During 2008, the Company paid \$14,474,111 as a dividend of \$0.60 per common share. Of the \$14,474,111 in dividends paid, \$2,886,993 was reinvested pursuant to the terms of the DRIP. Management anticipates maintaining the annual dividend rate of \$0.60 per common share although no assurances can be given since various economic factors can reduce the amount of cash flow available to the Company for common dividends. All decisions with respect to the payment of dividends are made by the Company's board of directors.

In 2008, the Company paid \$2,521,344 in preferred dividends. The Company is required to pay cumulative dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock in the amount of \$1.90625 per share per year, which is equivalent to 7.625% of the \$25.00 liquidation value per share.

During the year ended September 30, 2008, one employee exercised options to purchase 14,000 shares of common stock for \$99,820. During the year ended September 30, 2007, no stock options were exercised. During the year

ended September 30, 2006, one officer exercised stock options to purchase 20,000 shares for a total of \$142,600.

During the year ended September 30, 2002, nine officers, directors and key employees exercised their stock options and purchased 255,000 shares for a total of \$1,617,488. Of this amount, 225,000 shares, for a total of \$1,439,363, were exercised through the issuance of notes receivable from officers. These notes receivable are at an interest rate of 5%, mature on April 30, 2012 and are collateralized by the underlying common shares. As of September 30, 2008, the balance of these notes receivable was \$1,201,563.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not impose fair value measurements on items not already accounted for at fair value; rather it applies, with certain exceptions, to other accounting pronouncements that either require or permit fair value measurements. Under SFAS No. 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market. The standard clarifies that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP FAS 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all non-financial assets and liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the Financial Statements on a recurring

basis until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active" which clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 for a financial asset in an inactive market. The Company plans to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 157 for assets and liabilities recognized at fair value on a recurring basis effective October 1, 2008.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Statement No. 159 "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Furthermore, SFAS 159 establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company plans to adopt SFAS 159 beginning October 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS 159 on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141R, "Business Combinations" (SFAS 141R) and SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - An Amendment of ARB No. 51" (SFAS 160). SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 require most identifiable assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests and goodwill acquired in a business combination to be recorded at full fair value and require noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) to be reported as a component of equity, which changes the accounting for transactions with noncontrolling interest holders. The provisions of SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 are effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009. SFAS 141R will be applied to business combinations occurring after the effective date and SFAS 160 will be applied prospectively to all changes in noncontrolling interests, including any that existed at the effective date. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 but does not believe that the adoption of these statements will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why derivative instruments are used, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is evaluating the impact of SFAS 161 but does not believe that the adoption of this statement will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS 162). The current hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles is set forth in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SFAS 162 is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework, or hierarchy, for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for nongovernmental entities. This Statement is effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission's approval of the Public Company Oversight Board Auditing amendments to SAS 69. The Company is evaluating the impact of SFAS 162 but does not believe that the adoption of this statement will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations, as the Statement does not directly impact the accounting principles applied in the preparation of the Company's financial statements

ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKETRISK

The Company is exposed to interest rate changes primarily as a result of its line of credit, margin loans and long-term debt used to maintain liquidity and fund capital expenditures and acquisitions of the Company's real estate investment portfolio. The Company's interest rate risk management objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower its overall borrowing costs. To achieve its objectives, the Company borrows primarily at fixed rates. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company invested in futures contracts of 10-year treasury notes with the objective of reducing exposure of the preferred equity and debt securities portfolio to interest rate fluctuations and to mitigate the risk of rolling over fixed-rate debt at higher interest rates upon maturity. The Company has discontinued its hedging program and closed out its futures contracts in May 2008. There are no open contracts as of September 30, 2008.

The following table sets forth information as of September 30, 2008, concerning the Company's long-term debt obligations, including principal payments by scheduled maturity, weighted average interest rates and estimated fair value:

| Long Term Debt: Fixed Rate | Fiscal | Carrying Value | Average Interest Rate | Fair Value |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | 2009 | \$ -0- | -0% | |
| | 2010 | 534,710 | 5.24% | |
| | 2011 | -0- | -0% | |
| | 2012 | 23,957,999 | 6.51% | |
| | 2013 | 9,318,393 | 6.85% | |
| | Thereafter | 158,136,530 | 6.44% | |
| | Total | \$ 191,947,632 | 6.47% | \$ 191,178,000 |

The Company has \$14,990,000 in 8% debentures outstanding as of September 30, 2008, with \$4,770,000 due in 2013 and \$10,220,000 due in 2015.

The Company also has a variable rate line of credit maturing in March, 2011 of \$15,000,000. The balance outstanding as of September 30, 2008 was \$12,251,000. The interest rate is based on LIBOR plus 200 basis points and interest is due monthly. The interest rate was 4.49% as of September 30, 2008.

Additionally, the Company has the ability to obtain margin loans, secured by its marketable securities. The balance outstanding on the margin loan was \$2,299,947 as of September 30, 2008. The interest rate on the margin account is the bank's margin rate and was 3.75% as of September 30, 2008. The value of marketable securities was \$21,005,663 as of September 30, 2008.

The Company also invests in both debt and equity securities of other REITs and is primarily exposed to equity price risk from adverse changes in market rates and conditions. All securities are classified as available for sale and are carried at fair value.

ITEM 8 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data listed in Part IV, Item 15 (a) (1) are incorporated herein by reference and filed as part of this report.

The following is the Unaudited Selected Quarterly Financial Data:

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

THREE MONTHS ENDED

| FISCAL 2008 | 12/31/07 | 3/31/08 | 6/30/08 | 9/30/08 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Rental and Reimbursement | | | | |
| Revenue | \$9,534,303 | \$9,652,697 | \$9,587,285 | \$10,706,600 |
| Total Expenses | 4,758,505 | 5,096,718 | 4,893,892 | 5,930,855 |
| Other Income (Expense) | (5,140,117) | (4,100,402) | (2,960,213) | (2,727,056) |
| Income (Loss) from Continuing | | | | |
| Operations | (398,687) | 414,191 | 1,641,475 | 2,076,404 |
| Income from Discontinued | | | | |
| Operations (1) | 175,908 | 171,655 | 3,410,193 | 3,531,756 |
| Net Income (Loss) | (222,779) | 585,846 | 5,051,668 | 5,608,160 |
| Net Income (Loss) Applicable to | | | | |
| Common Shareholders | (853,212) | (44,457) | 4,421,364 | 4,977,856 |
| Net Income (Loss) Applicable to | | | | |
| Common Shareholders per | (.04) | (.01) | .18 | .22 |

Share

| FISCAL 2007 | 12/31/06 | 3/31/07 | 6/30/07 | 9/30/07 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Rental and Reimbursement | | | | |
| Revenue | \$6,471,130 | \$6,857,305 | \$6,424,706 | \$8,543,797 |
| Total Expenses | 3,345,042 | 3,705,654 | 3,214,927 | 4,998,511 |
| Other Income (Expense) | (1,830,347) | (1,717,456) | (1,082,686) | (2,714,431) |
| Income from Continuing | | | | |
| Operations | 1,295,741 | 1,434,195 | 2,127,093 | 855,557 |
| Income from Discontinued | | | | |
| Operations (2) | 229,059 | 19,386 | 159,289 | 4,697,318 |
| Net Income | 1,524,800 | 1,453,581 | 2,286,382 | 5,552,875 |
| Net Income Applicable to | | | | |
| Common Shareholders | 1,524,800 | 844,336 | 1,656,128 | 4,922,621 |
| Net Income Applicable to Common | .07 | .03 | .08 | .23 |
| Shareholders per Share | | | | |

(1) During June 2008 and July 2008, the Company sold industrial properties in Franklin, Massachusetts, and Ramsey, New Jersey, respectively, and recognized a gain on sale of \$3,268,496 and \$3,522,120, respectively.

(2) During August 2007, the Company sold an industrial property in South Brunswick, New Jersey and recognized a gain on sale of \$4,634,564.

ITEM 9 - CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON

ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

On June 25, 2008, the Company dismissed Reznick Group, P.C. (Reznick) as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The decision to change accountants was approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company.

The audit reports of Reznick on the consolidated financial statements of the Company and subsidiaries as of and for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. The audit reports of Reznick on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2007 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

In connection with the audits of the two fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and the subsequent interim period preceding such dismissal, there were no (1) disagreements with Reznick on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Reznick, would have caused them to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreements in connection with its report or (2) reportable events of the kind described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

The Company provided Reznick with a copy of the disclosure contained in Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2008 and requested that Reznick furnish it with a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether it agrees with the above statements. Reznick's letter, dated June 26, 2008, is filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2008.

Effective as of June 25, 2008, the Company engaged PKF, Certified Public Accountants, a Professional Corporation (PKF) as the Company's new independent registered public accounting firm to audit the Company's consolidated financial statements. The decision to engage PKF was approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors as of such date.

During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 and the subsequent interim period preceding such engagement, the Company has not consulted PKF regarding either (a) the application of accounting principles to any completed or contemplated transaction, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's

consolidated financial statements; or (b) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K or a reportable event as described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

We have provided a copy of the disclosures in this report to PKF and offered them the opportunity to furnish a letter to the Commission contemplated by Item 304(a)(2)(ii)(D) of Regulation S-K. PKF has advised that it does not intend to furnish such a letter to the Commission.

- 39 -

ITEM 9A - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a)

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains controls and procedures designed to ensure that it is able to collect the information that is required to be disclosed in the reports it files with the SEC, and to process, summarize and disclose this information within the time period specified by the rules of the SEC. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer are responsible for establishing, maintaining and enhancing these controls and procedures. Based on their evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2008, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b)

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial statement preparation and presentation.

Management assessed the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008. This assessment was based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2008.

PKF, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued their report on their audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, a copy of which is included herein.

- 40 -

(c)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation

We have audited Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, based upon the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that

transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, (3) receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (4) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008 based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation as of September 30, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended and our report dated December 10, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

New York, New York
December 10, 2008

/s/ PKF
Certified Public Accountants
A Professional Corporation

ITEM 9B OTHER INFORMATION

None

ITEM 10 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The following are the Directors and Executive Officers of the Company as of September 30, 2008:

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Age</u> | <u>Present Position with the Company; Business Experience During Past Five Years; Other Directorships</u> | <u>Director Since</u> |
|----------------------|------------|--|-----------------------|
| Anna T. Chew | 50 | Chief Financial Officer (1991 to present) and Director. Vice President (1995 to present) and Director (1994 to present) of UMH Properties, Inc., an affiliated company. Certified Public Accountant. | 2007 |
| Daniel D. Cronheim | 54 | Director. Attorney at Law (1979 to present). President (2000 to present) of David Cronheim Mortgage Company. . President (1997 to present) of Cronheim Management Services, Inc. Executive Vice President (1989 to present) and General Counsel (1983 to present) of David Cronheim Company; Director (2000 to present) of Hilltop Community Bank. | 1989 |
| Catherine B. Elflein | 47 | Independent Director. Certified Public Accountant. Director of Treasury and Risk Management (2006 to present) at Celgene Corporation; Controller of Captive Insurance Companies (2004-2006) and Director Treasury Operations (1998-2004) at Celanese Corporation. | 2007 |
| Neal Herstik | 49 | Independent Director. Attorney at Law, Gross, Truss & Herstik, PC (1997 to present). Co-founder and former President, Manalapan-Englishtown Education Foundation, Inc., a non-profit corporation (1995 to 2001). | 2004 |
| Matthew I. Hirsch | 49 | Independent Director. Attorney at law (1985 to present). Adjunct Professor of Law (1993 to present) Widener | 2000 |

University School of Law.

| | | | |
|-----------------|----|--|------|
| Joshua Kahr | 34 | Independent Director. Principal of Kahr Real Estate Services (2002 to Present), a real estate advisory firm based in New York City. Senior Director, GVA Williams (2000 to 2002). | 2007 |
| Eugene W. Landy | 74 | President and Chief Executive Officer (1968 to present) and Director. Attorney at Law. Chairman of the Board (1995 to present), President (1969 to 1995) of UMH Properties, Inc., an affiliated company. | 1968 |

- 42 -

Present Position with the Company; Business

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Age</u> | <u>Experience During Past Five Years; Other Directorships</u> | <u>Director Since</u> |
|------------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Michael P. Landy | 46 | Executive Vice President Investments and Director. Vice President Investments (2001 to present) of UMH Properties, Inc., an affiliated company. President (1998 to 2001) of Siam Records, LLC. Chief Engineer and Technical Director (1987 to 1998) of GRP Recording Company. | 2007 |
| Samuel A. Landy | 48 | Director. Attorney at Law (1985 to present). President (1995 to present), Vice President (1991 to 1995) and Director (1992 to present) of UMH Properties, Inc., an affiliated company. | 1989 |
| Cynthia J. Morgenstern | 39 | Executive Vice President and Director. Vice President (1996 to 2001) Summit Bank, Commercial Real Estate Division. | 2002 |
| Scott L. Robinson | 38 | Independent Director. Vice President, Senior REIT Analyst at Macquarie Capital (USA) Inc. (2008 to present); Director, The REIT Center at New York University (present); Vice President Citi Markets and Banking (2007 to 2008) at Citigroup. Senior REIT and CMBS analyst at Standard & Poor's, (1998 to 2007). | 2005 |
| Eugene Rothenberg | 75 | Independent Director. Investor. Retired physician. Director (1977 to present) of UMH Properties, Inc. an affiliated company. | 2007 |
| Maureen E. Vecere | 39 | Controller (2003 to present) and Treasurer (2004 to present). Certified Public Accountant. | N/A |
| Stephen B. Wolgin | 54 | | 2003 |

Independent Director. Managing Director of U.S. Real Estate Advisors, Inc. (2000 to present), a real estate advisory services group based in New York; Partner with the Logan Equity Distressed Fund (2007 to present); prior affiliations with J.P. Morgan, Odyssey Associates, The Prudential Realty Group, Standard & Poor's Corporation, and Grubb and Ellis.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of the directors or executive officers, except that Samuel A. Landy and Michael P. Landy are the sons of Eugene W. Landy, the President and a Director of the Company.

Audit Committee

The Company has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3 (a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)). The members of the audit committee are Stephen Wolgin (Chairman), Matthew I. Hirsch, Scott Robinson and Catherine Elflein. The Company's board of directors has determined that Stephen B. Wolgin and Catherine B. Elflein are financial experts and are independent.

Delinquent Filers

There have been no delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of regulation S-K, to the best of management's knowledge.

Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the Code of Ethics). The Code of Ethics can be found at the Company's website at www.mreic.com. In addition, the Code of Ethics was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 14, 2004 with the Company's September 30, 2004 Form 10-K. The Company will satisfy any disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding a waiver from any provision of the Code of Ethics for principal officers or directors by disclosing the nature of such amendment of waiver on our website.

ITEM 11 - EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee (for purposes of this analysis, the Committee) of the Board has been appointed to discharge the Board's responsibilities relating to the compensation of the Company's executive officers. The Committee has the overall responsibility for approving and evaluating the executive officer compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company. The Committee's primary objectives include serving as an independent and objective party to review such compensation plans, policies and programs.

Throughout this report, the individuals who served as the Company's president and chief executive officer and executive vice president during fiscal 2008, as well as the other individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table presented below in Item 11 of this report, are sometimes referred to in this report as the named executive officers.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Compensation Committee believes that a well-designed compensation program should align the goals of the shareholders with the goals of the chief executive officer, and that a significant part of the executive's compensation, over the long term, should be dependent upon the value created for shareholders. In addition, all executives should be held accountable through their compensation for the performance of the Company, and compensation levels should also reflect the executive's individual performance in an effort to encourage increased individual contributions to the Company's performance. The compensation philosophy, as reflected in the Company's employment agreements with its executives, is designed to motivate executives to focus on operating results and create long-term shareholder value by:

establishing a plan that attracts, retains and motivates executives through compensation that is competitive with a peer group of other publicly-traded real estate investment trusts, or REITs;

linking a portion of executives' compensation to the achievement of the Company's business plan by using measurements of the Company's operating results and shareholder return; and

building a pay-for-performance system that encourages and rewards successful initiatives within a team environment.

The Compensation Committee believes that each of the above factors is important when determining compensation levels for named executive officers. The Committee reviews and approves the employment contracts for the president and chief executive officer and executive vice presidents, including performance goals and objectives. The Committee annually evaluates performance of the executive officers in light of those goals and objectives. The Committee considers the Company's performance, relative shareholder return, the total compensation provided to comparable officers at similarly-situated companies, and compensation given to named executive officers in prior years. The Company uses the annual Compensation Survey published by NAREIT as a guide to setting compensation levels. Participant company data is not presented in a manner that specifically identifies any named individual or company. This survey details compensation by position type with statistical salary and bonus information for each position. The Compensation Committee compares the Company's salary and bonus amounts to the ranges presented for reasonableness. To that end, the Committee believes executive compensation packages provided by the Company to its executive officers should include both base salaries and annual bonus awards that reward corporate and individual performance, as well as give incentives to those executives who meet or exceed established goals.

Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

The Committee makes all final compensation decisions for the Company's named executive officers. The president annually reviews the performance of the executive vice president, investments and controller and then presents his conclusions and recommendations to the Committee with respect to base salary adjustments and annual cash bonus and stock option awards. The Committee exercises its own discretion in modifying any recommended adjustments or awards, but does consider the recommendations from the president.

Role of Grants of Stock Options in Compensation Analysis

The Committee views the grant of stock options as a form of long-term compensation. The Committee believes that the grant of these options promotes the Company's goal of retaining key employees, and aligns the key employee's

interests with those of the Company's shareholders from a long-term perspective.

Role of Employment Agreements in Determining Executive Compensation

Each of the Company's currently employed named executive officers is a party to an employment agreement. These agreements provide for base salaries, bonuses and customary fringe benefits.

Base Salaries

Base salaries are paid for ongoing performance throughout the year. In order to compete for and retain talented executives who are critical to the Company's long-term success, the Committee has determined that the base salaries of named executive officers should approximate those of executives of other equity REITs that compete with the Company for employees, investors and business, while also taking into account the named executive officers' performance and tenure and the Company's performance relative to its peer companies within the REIT industry using the NAREIT Compensation Survey described above.

Bonuses

In addition to the provisions for base salaries under the terms of our employment agreements, the president is entitled to receive annual cash bonuses for each calendar year during the term of the agreement, based on the achievement of certain performance goals set by the Committee. The following are the bonus targets and recommended compensation for the president which the Compensation Committee uses as a guide in determining the bonus for the president:

| | Threshold | Target | Outstanding |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Growth in market cap | 7.5% | 12.5% | 20% |
| Bonus | \$7,500 | \$15,000 | \$30,000 |
| Growth in FFO/share | 7.5% | 12.5% | 20% |
| Bonus | \$7,500 | \$15,000 | \$30,000 |
| G r o w t h i n dividend/share | 5% | 10% | 15% |
| Bonus | \$10,000 | \$20,000 | \$40,000 |
| Total Bonus Potential | \$25,000 | \$50,000 | \$100,000 |

In addition to its determination of the executive's individual performance levels for 2008, the Committee also compared the executive's total compensation for 2008 to that of similarly-situated personnel in the REIT industry using the NAREIT Compensation Survey described above.

Stock Options

The employment agreements also provide that certain executives are eligible for grants of stock options.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

The Company's employment agreements provide the named executive officers with perquisites and other personal benefits that the Company and the Committee believe are reasonable and consistent with its overall compensation program to better enable the Company to attract and retain superior employees for key positions. The Committee periodically reviews the levels of perquisites and other personal benefits provided to the executive officers.

The named executive officers are provided the following benefits under the terms of their employment agreements: an allotted number of paid vacation weeks; eligibility for the executive, spouse and dependents in all Company sponsored employee benefits plans, including 401(k) plan, group health, accident, and life insurance, on terms no less favorable than applicable to any other executive; use of an automobile; and, supplemental long-term disability insurance, at the Company's cost, as agreed to by the Company and the executive. Attributed costs of the personal benefits described above for the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, are included in All Other Compensation of the Summary Compensation Table provided below under Item 11 of this report.

In addition, the named executive officers' employment agreements each contain provisions relating to change in control events and severance upon termination for events other than without cause or good reason (as defined under the terms of the employment agreements). These change in control and severance terms are designed to promote stability and continuity of senior management. Information regarding these provisions is included in Employment Contracts provided below in Item 11 of this report.

Evaluation

Mr. Eugene Landy is under an employment agreement with the Company. His base compensation under his amended contract was increased in 2004 to \$175,000 per year. Subsequent to the merger with Monmouth Capital in July 2007, his annual salary was increased to \$225,000 (The Summary Compensation Table for Mr. Eugene Landy shows a salary of \$225,000 and \$78,815 in director's fees and fringe benefits).

The Committee also reviewed the progress made by Ms. Cynthia J. Morgenstern, Executive Vice President. Ms. Morgenstern is under an employment agreement with the Company. Her base compensation under this contract is \$224,191 for 2008. Ms. Morgenstern received bonuses totaling \$17,542 and \$39,583 in director's fees and fringe benefits.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this report.

Compensation Committee:

Stephen B. Wolgin

Matthew I. Hirsch

Summary Compensation Table

The following Summary Compensation Table shows compensation paid or accrued by the Company for services rendered during 2008, 2007, and 2006 to the named executive officers. There were no other executive officers whose aggregate compensation allocated to the Company exceeded \$100,000.

| Name and Principal Position | Fiscal Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Option Awards (\$) (7) | Change in Pension Value And Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Eugene W. Landy President and CEO | 2008 | \$225,000 | \$-0- | \$22,750 | \$43,815 (1) | \$35,500 (2) | \$327,065 |
| | 2007 | 183,333 | 30,000 | -0- | 44,273 (1) | 19,000 (2) | 276,606 |
| | 2006 | 175,000 | 7,500 | 31,850 | 44,697 (1) | 16,000 (2) | 275,047 |
| Anna T, Chew (3) Chief Financial Officer | 2008 | \$73,400 | \$-0- | \$11,500 | \$-0- | \$-0- | \$84,900 |
| | 2007 | 37,000 | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | 37,000 |
| | 2006 | 29,267 | -0- | 23,000 | -0- | -0- | 52,267 |
| Cynthia J. Morgenstern Executive Vice President | 2008 | \$224,191 | \$17,542 | \$11,500 | \$-0- | \$39,583 (4) | \$292,816 |
| | 2007 | 208,550 | 16,423 | -0- | -0- | 39,115 (4) | 264,088 |
| | 2006 | 189,500 | 15,038 | 23,000 | -0- | 34,430 (4) | 261,968 |
| Michael P. Landy | 2008 | \$181,500 | \$14,192 | \$5,750 | \$-0- | \$23,376 (5) | \$224,818 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|-------------|-----------|
| Executive Vice Pres - | 2007 | 165,000 | 13,038 | \$-0- | \$-0- | 8,868 (5) | 186,906 |
| Investments | 2006 | 150,000 | 9,962 | 11,500 | -0- | 3,535 (5) | 174,997 |
| Maureen E. Vecere | 2008 | \$130,075 | \$10,596 | \$5,750 | \$-0- | \$4,465 (6) | \$150,886 |
| Controller and | 2007 | 118,250 | 9,770 | -0- | \$-0- | 3,514 (6) | 131,534 |
| Treasurer | 2006 | 107,500 | 9,192 | 11,500 | -0- | 2,399 (6) | 130,591 |

Notes:

(1)

Amount is accrual for pension and other benefits of \$43,815, \$44,273 and \$44,697 for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, in accordance with Mr. Landy's employment contract.

(2)

Represents Director's fees of \$18,000, \$19,000 and \$16,000 for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, paid to Mr. Landy; and legal fees paid to the firm of Eugene W. Landy of \$17,500, \$-0- and \$-0- for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(3)

Ms. Anna Chew, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, is an employee of and is paid by UMH Properties, Inc, an affiliated REIT. Approximately \$73,400 of her compensation cost is allocated by UMH and reimbursed by the Company, pursuant to a cost sharing agreement between the Company and UMH. Please see UMH annual report on Form 10-K for details of Ms. Chew employment agreement and compensation arrangement.

(4)

Represents Director's fees of \$18,000, \$19,000 and \$16,000 in 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively and fringe benefits and discretionary contributions by the Company to the Company's 401(k) Plan allocated to an account of the named executive officer.

(5)

Represents Director's fees of \$18,000, and \$4,000 in 2008 and 2007, respectively, and fringe benefits and discretionary contributions by the Company to the Company's 401(k) Plan allocated to an account of the named executive officer. Approximately 35% of this employee's compensation cost is allocated to and reimbursed by UMH, pursuant to a cost sharing agreement between the Company and UMH.

(6)

Represents discretionary contributions by the Company to the Company's 401(k) Plan allocated to an account of the named executive officer.

(7)

These values were established using the Black-Scholes stock option valuation model. See Note No. 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for assumptions used in the model. The actual value of the options will depend upon the performance of the Company during the period of time the options are outstanding and the price of the Company's common stock on the date of exercise.

Stock Option Plan

Options to purchase 245,000 shares were granted during 2008 and options to purchase 14,000 shares were exercised during 2008. No options were granted or exercised during fiscal 2007. Due to the merger with Monmouth Capital in 2007, options to purchase 214,000 shares of Monmouth Capital became exercisable in accordance with their existing terms for 140,170 shares of the Company stock at exercise prices adjusted for the stock conversion ratio. To the extent that an option to purchase Monmouth Capital common stock was not yet vested at the effective time of the merger, the option remained subject to the same terms and conditions of vesting as in effect immediately before the merger. The table below includes the named executive officers' converted Monmouth Capital options.

The following table sets forth for the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, information regarding stock options outstanding at September 30, 2008:

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

| Name | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (3) | Option exercise price (\$) | Option expiration date |
|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Eugene W. Landy | -0- | 65,000 (1) | 8.22 | 12/12/15 |
| | 16,375 | -0- | 8.05 | 1/22/15 |
| | 65,000 | -0- | 8.15 | 8/02/14 |
| | 16,375 | -0- | 8.70 | 9/21/13 |
| | 65,000 | -0- | 8.28 | 8/10/13 |
| | 65,000 | -0- | 7.89 | 8/3/12 |
| | 65,000 | -0- | 6.90 | 1/22/11 |
| | 65,000 | -0- | 7.13 | 6/21/10 |
| | 32,750 | -0- | 5.04 | 10/04/09 |
| Anna T. Chew | -0- | 50,000 (2) | 7.80 | 3/10/16 |
| | 6,550 | -0- | 8.05 | 1/22/15 |
| | 50,000 | -0- | 8.04 | 9/12/14 |
| | 6,550 | -0- | 8.70 | 9/21/13 |
| | 50,000 | -0- | 8.28 | 8/10/13 |
| | 50,000 | -0- | 7.41 | 5/20/12 |
| | 16,000 | -0- | 7.13 | 6/21/10 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------|--------|------------|------|---------|
| Cynthia J. | -0- | 50,000 (2) | 7.80 | 3/10/16 |
| Morgenstern | 6,550 | -0- | 8.05 | 1/22/15 |
| | 50,000 | -0- | 8.04 | 9/12/14 |
| | 6,550 | -0- | 8.70 | 9/21/13 |
| | 50,000 | -0- | 8.28 | 8/10/13 |
| | 50,000 | -0- | 7.41 | 5/20/12 |
| Michael P. | -0- | 25,000 (2) | 7.80 | 3/10/16 |
| Landy | 9,825 | -0- | 8.05 | 1/22/15 |
| | 25,000 | -0- | 8.04 | 9/12/14 |
| | 9,825 | -0- | 8.70 | 9/21/13 |
| | 25,000 | -0- | 8.28 | 8/10/13 |
| Maureen E. | -0- | 25,000 (2) | 7.80 | 3/10/16 |
| Vecere | 6,550 | -0- | 8.05 | 1/22/15 |
| | 25,000 | -0- | 8.04 | 9/12/14 |
| | 6,550 | -0- | 8.70 | 9/21/13 |
| | 25,000 | -0- | 8.28 | 8/10/13 |
| | 15,000 | -0- | 7.41 | 5/20/12 |

(1)

These options become exercisable on December 12, 2008.

(2)

These options become exercisable on March 10, 2009.

(3)

All options are exercisable one year from date of grant.

Employment Agreements

Effective January 1, 2004, Eugene W. Landy entered into an amended employment agreement with the Company that will expire on December 31, 2009. Mr. Eugene Landy's amended employment agreement provides for annual base compensation of \$175,000 and a pension payment of \$50,000 per year, payable each year through December 31, 2013, which will increase to \$55,000 per year if the Company completes a transaction that results in a 100% increase in the Company's market capitalization. Prior to the merger with Monmouth Capital, Mr. Eugene Landy was paid \$50,000 for acting as the president of Monmouth Capital. This additional salary amount was assumed by the Company upon consummation of the merger and its continuation was approved by the board of directors. Pursuant to the amended employment agreement, Mr. Eugene Landy will receive, each year, an option to purchase 65,000 shares of the Company common stock and may receive bonuses in amounts determined by the Company's board of directors, based upon progress towards achieving certain target levels of growth in market capitalization, funds from operations and dividends per share. The amended employment agreement provides that Mr. Eugene Landy is entitled to five weeks paid vacation and to participate in the Company's employee benefits plans at any time he is entitled to receive pension benefits. The amended employment agreement also provides for aggregate severance payments of \$500,000, payable to Mr. Eugene Landy upon the termination of his employment for any reason, in increments of \$100,000 per year for five years, disability payments, payable to Mr. Eugene Landy in the event of his disability (as defined in the amended employment agreement) for a period of three years, equal to Mr. Eugene Landy's salary and a death benefit of \$500,000 payable to Mr. Eugene Landy's designated beneficiary. Upon the termination of Mr. Eugene Landy's employment following or as a result of certain types of transactions that lead to a significant increase in the Company's market capitalization, the amended employment agreement provides that Mr. Eugene Landy will receive a grant of 35,000 to 65,000 shares of the Company common stock, depending on the amount of the increase in the Company's market capitalization, all of his outstanding options to purchase shares of the Company common stock will become immediately vested and he will be entitled to continue to receive benefits under the Company's health, dental, insurance and similar plans for one year. The merger does not trigger any of these provisions of the amended

employment agreement, although the growth in market capitalization of the Company that would occur upon closing of the merger is one of many factors that the Company's board of directors may consider in determining the amount of Mr. Eugene Landy's bonus, if any. The amended employment agreement is terminable by the Company's board of directors at any time by reason of Mr. Eugene Landy's death or disability or for cause, which is defined in the amended employment agreement as a termination of the agreement if the Company's board of directors determines in good faith that Mr. Eugene Landy failed to substantially perform his duties to the Company (other than due to his death or disability), or has engaged in conduct the consequences of which are materially adverse to the Company, monetarily or otherwise. Upon termination of the amended employment agreement, Mr. Eugene Landy will remain entitled to the disability, severance, death and pension benefits provided for in the amended employment agreement. On April 14, 2008, the Company executed the Third Amendment (the amendment) to Eugene W. Landy's employment agreement. The amendment provides that in the event of a change in control of the Company, Eugene W. Landy shall receive a lump sum payment of \$2,500,000, provided that the sale price of the Company is at least \$10 per share of common stock. A change of control shall be defined as the consummation of a reorganization, merger, share exchange, consolidation, or sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company. This change of control provision shall not apply to any combination between the Company and UMH Properties, Inc. Payment shall be made simultaneously with the closing of the transaction, and only in the event that the transaction closes.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company and Cynthia J. Morgenstern entered into an employment agreement that will expire on December 31, 2009. Under this employment agreement, Ms. Morgenstern is entitled to receive a base salary of \$208,550 for the year ending December 31, 2007, and is entitled to increases of 7.5% for the years ending December 31, 2008 and 2009, plus bonuses, if any, in amounts determined by the Company's board of

directors or president. Pursuant to this employment agreement, the Company's president must request annually that the Company's stock option committee grant Ms. Morgenstern an option to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock, although the employment agreement does not require that the stock option committee grant any options. Ms. Morgenstern's employment agreement provides for four weeks paid vacation, the use of an automobile, reimbursement of her reasonable and necessary business expenses and that Ms. Morgenstern is entitled to participate in the Company's employee benefit plans. Ms. Morgenstern's employment agreement also requires the Company to reimburse Ms. Morgenstern for the cost of a disability insurance policy such that, in the event of Ms. Morgenstern's disability for a period of more than 90 days, Ms. Morgenstern will receive benefits equal to her then-current salary. In the event of a merger, sale or change of control of the Company, which is defined in Ms. Morgenstern's employment agreement as a change in voting control of the Company or change in control of 25% or more of the Company's board of directors by other than its existing directors and excludes transactions between the Company and UMH, Ms. Morgenstern will have the right to terminate the employment agreement or extend the employment agreement for three years from the date of the change in control. If there is a termination of employment for any reason, the employee shall be entitled to receive one year's compensation at the date of termination. The compensation is to be at the greater of current compensation at the date of merger or change in control.

Effective January 1, 2006, Monmouth Capital and Michael P. Landy entered into a three-year employment agreement, under which the employee receives an annual base salary of \$150,000 for 2006 with increases of 10% for 2007 and 2008, plus bonuses and customary fringe benefits. The employee will also receive four weeks vacation. Mr. M. Landy's employment agreement also requires the Company to reimburse him for the cost of a disability insurance policy such that, in the event of his disability for a period of more than 90 days, the employee will receive benefits equal to his then-current salary. In the event of a merger, sale or change of control of the Company, which is defined in Mr. M. Landy's employment agreement as a change in voting control of the Company or change in control of 25% or more of the Company's board of directors by other than its existing directors and excludes transactions between the Company and UMH, the employee will have the right to terminate the employment agreement or extend the employment agreement for one year from the date of the change in control. If there is a termination of employment for any reason, the employee shall be entitled to receive one year's compensation at the date of termination. The compensation is to be at the greater of current compensation at the date of merger or change in control.

Approximately 33% of Mr. M. Landy's compensation is allocated to and reimbursed by UMH pursuant to a cost sharing agreement between the Company and UMH.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company and Maureen E. Vecere entered into a three-year employment agreement, under which Ms. Vecere receives an annual base salary of \$107,500 for 2006 with increases of 10% for 2007 and 2008, plus bonuses and customary fringe benefits. The employee also receives four weeks vacation. Ms. Vecere's employment agreement also requires the Company to reimburse Ms. Vecere for the cost of a disability insurance policy such that, in the event of Ms. Vecere's disability for a period of more than 90 days, Ms. Vecere will receive benefits equal to her then-current salary. In the event of a merger, sale or change of control of the Company, which is defined in Ms. Vecere's employment agreement as a change in voting control of the Company or change in control of 25% or more of the Company's board of directors by other than its existing directors and excludes transactions

between the Company and UMH, Ms. Vecere will have the right to terminate the employment agreement or extend the employment agreement for one year from the date of the change in control. If there is a termination of employment for any reason, the employee shall be entitled to receive one year's compensation at the date of termination. The compensation is to be at the greater of current compensation at the date of merger or change in control.

- 52 -

Director Compensation

The Directors receive a fee of \$1,500 for each Board Meeting attended, and an additional fixed annual fee of \$10,000 payable quarterly. Directors appointed to board committees receive \$150 for each meeting attended. Those specific committees are Nominating Committee, Compensation Committee, Audit Committee and Stock Option Committee. The table below sets forth a summary of director compensation for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

| Director | Annual Board Cash Retainer (\$) | Meeting Fees (\$) | Committee Fees (\$) | Option Awards (\$) | Total (\$) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Ernest Bencivenga (1) | \$10,000 | \$6,500 | \$-0- | \$-0- | \$16,500 |
| Anna T. Chew (2) | 10,000 | 8,000 | -0- | -0- | 18,000 |
| Daniel D. Cronheim | 10,000 | 8,000 | -0- | -0- | 18,000 |
| Catherine B. Elflein (3) | 10,000 | 6,500 | 600 | -0- | 17,100 |
| Neal Herstik | 10,000 | 6,100 | 150 | -0- | 16,250 |
| Matthew I. Hirsch (3)(4) | 10,000 | 8,100 | 900 | -0- | 19,000 |
| Charles Kaempffer (1) | 10,000 | 6,000 | 600 | -0- | 16,600 |
| Joshua Kahr (2) | 10,000 | 6,000 | -0- | -0- | 16,000 |
| Eugene W. Landy | 10,000 | 8,000 | -0- | -0- | 18,000 |
| Michael P. Landy (2) | 10,000 | 8,000 | -0- | -0- | 18,000 |
| Samuel A. Landy | 10,000 | 8,000 | -0- | -0- | 18,000 |
| Cynthia J. Morgenstern | 10,000 | 8,000 | -0- | -0- | 18,000 |
| Scott L. Robinson (3) | 10,000 | 8,000 | 600 | -0- | 18,600 |
| Eugene Rothenberg (2) | 10,000 | 6,000 | -0- | -0- | 16,000 |
| Stephen B. Wolgin (3)(4) | 10,000 | 7,600 | 900 | -0- | 18,500 |
| Total | \$150,000 | \$108,800 | \$3,750 | \$-0- | \$262,550 |

(1)

Emeritus directors are retired directors who are not entitled to vote on board resolutions however they receive directors fees for participation in the board meetings.

(2)

These directors were former Monmouth Capital board members who were appointed to the Company's board of directors upon consummation of the merger.

(3)

The audit committee for 2008 consists of Mr. Hirsch, Mr. Wolgin, Mr. Robinson and Ms. Elflein. The board had determined that Mr. Wolgin and Ms. Elflein are considered audit committee financial experts within the meaning of the rules of the SEC and are financially sophisticated within the meaning of the listing requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market

(4)

Mr. Hirsch and Mr. Wolgin are members of the compensation committee.

Other Information

Except as provided in the specific agreements described above, the Company has no pension or other post-retirement plans in effect for officers, directors or employees. The Company's employees may elect to participate in the 401(k) plan of UMH Properties, Inc.

Daniel D. Cronheim is a Director of the Company and Executive Vice President of David Cronheim Company (Cronheim). Daniel Cronheim received \$18,000, \$19,000 and \$16,000 for Director's fees in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The David Cronheim Company received \$3,219, \$33,273 and \$15,419 in lease commissions in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The David Cronheim Mortgage Corporation, an affiliated company, received \$-0-, \$47,250 and \$-0- in mortgage brokerage commissions in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. CMS received \$42,558 for a real estate commission on the sale of the South Brunswick, New Jersey property in 2007.

During fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company was subject to management contracts with CMS. For the calendar year 2008, 2007, and 2006, the management fee was fixed at \$380,000. CMS provides sub-agents as regional managers for the Company's properties and compensates them out of this management fee. The Company paid CMS \$375,477, \$367,976 and \$367,976 in fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, for the management of the properties.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

There are no compensation committee interlocks and no member of the compensation committee has served as an officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries at any time.

**ITEM 12 - SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND
MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table lists information with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock (the shares) as of September 30, 2008 by:

-
- each person known by the Company to beneficially own more than five percent of the Company's outstanding shares;
-
- the Company's directors;
-
- the Company's executive officers; and
-
- all of the Company's executive officers and directors as a group.

Unless otherwise indicated, the person or persons named below have sole voting and investment power and that person's address is c/o Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, Juniper Business Plaza, 3499 Route 9 North, Suite 3-C, Freehold, New Jersey 07728. In determining the number and percentage of shares beneficially owned by each person, shares that may be acquired by that person under options exercisable within 60 days of September 30, 2008 are deemed beneficially owned by that person and are deemed outstanding for purposes of determining the total number of outstanding shares for that person and are not deemed outstanding for that purpose for all other shareholders.

| <u>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner</u> | <u>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)</u> | <u>Percentage of Shares Outstanding(2)</u> |
|--|--|---|
|--|--|---|

Oakland Financial Corporation

34200 Mound Road

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Sterling Heights, Michigan 48310 | 2,402,847 (3) | 9.78% |
| UMH Properties Inc. | 2,083,849(4) | 8.48% |
| Anna T. Chew | 277,253(5) | 1.12% |
| Daniel D. Cronheim | 80,332(6) | * |
| Catherine B. Elflein | 3,050(7) | * |
| Neal Herstik | 7,527(8) | * |
| Matthew I. Hirsch | 56,706(9) | * |
| Joshua Kahr | 982 | * |
| Eugene W. Landy | 1,576,797(10) | 6.30% |
| Samuel A. Landy | 377,331(11) | 1.53% |

- 55 -

| <u>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner</u> | <u>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)</u> | <u>Percentage of Shares Outstanding (2)</u> |
|---|---|---|
| Michael P. Landy | 216,174(12) | * |
| Cynthia J. Morgenstern | 224,834(13) | * |
| Scott Robinson | 7,000(14) | * |
| Eugene D. Rothenberg | 66,519 | * |
| Maureen E. Vecere | 81,233(15) | * |
| Stephen B. Wolgin | 17,993(16) | * |
| Directors and Officers as a group | 2,993,731 | 11.71% |

*Less than 1%.

(1)

Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table and pursuant to applicable community property laws, the Company believes that the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all Shares listed.

(2)

Based on the number of shares outstanding on September 30, 2008 of 24,567,026 common shares.

(3)

Based on Schedule 13D as of July 7, 2008, filed with the SEC by Oakland Financial Corporation (Oakland), Liberty Bell Agency, Inc. (Liberty Bell), and Cherokee Insurance Company (Cherokee), Oakland owns 110,602, Liberty Bell owns 594,813, Cherokee owns 1,574,322, Erie Manufactured Home Properties, LLC, owns 82,542, Apache Ventures,

LLC owns 15,000, and Matthew T. Maroun owns 25,568 as of the close of business on June 30, 2008. This filing with the SEC by Oakland indicates that Oakland shares voting and dispositive power with respect to those Shares with Liberty Bell, Cherokee, Apache Ventures and Erie Manufactured Homes, all of which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Oakland. Matthew T. Moroun is the Chairman of the Board and controlling stockholder of Oakland, Liberty Bell and Cherokee.

(4)

Based on Schedule Form 4 dated September 16, 2008 and filed with the SEC by UMH Properties, Inc., which indicates that UMH has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 2,083,849 shares. Included in the 2,083,849 shares held, UMH owns \$1,000,000 principal amount of the 2003 Debentures, representing 109,170 shares on a converted basis at \$9.16 per share, and \$5,000,000 of the 2005 Debentures, representing 436,681 shares on a converted basis at \$11.45 per share, for a total of 545,852 shares on a converted basis.

(5)

Includes (a) 82,954 Shares owned jointly with Ms. Chew's husband; and (b) 15,199 Shares held in Ms. Chew's 401(k) Plan. As a co-trustee of the UMH 401(k), Ms. Chew has shared voting power over the Shares held by the UMH 401(k). She, however, disclaims beneficial ownership of all of the Shares held by the UMH 401(k), except for the 15,199 Shares held by the UMH 401(k) for her benefit. Includes 179,100 shares issuable upon exercise of a stock option. Excludes 50,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option, which stock option is not exercisable until March 10, 2009.

(6)

Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of a stock option.

(7)

Includes 1,100 shares owned jointly with Ms. Elflein's husband.

(8)

Includes 5,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option.

(9)

Includes 45,706 shares owned jointly with Mr. Hirsch's wife and 11,000 shares issuable upon exercise of a stock option.

(10)

Includes (a) 117,994 shares owned by Mr. Landy's wife; (b) 223,874 shares held in the E.W. Landy Profit Sharing Plan (of which Mr. Landy is a trustee) and has shared voting and dispositive power; (c) 172,315 shares held in the E.W. Landy Pension Plan (of which Mr. Landy is a trustee) and has shared voting and dispositive power; (d) 13,048 shares held in Landy Investments Ltd., over which Mr. Landy has shared voting and dispositive power; (e) 86,200 shares held in the Eugene W. and Gloria Landy Family Foundation, a charitable trust, over which Mr. Landy has shared voting and dispositive power; and (f) 5,000 shares held in Juniper Plaza Associates, over which Mr. Landy has shared voting and dispositive power. Includes 455,500 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options. Excludes 65,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option, which stock option is not exercisable until October 20, 2009.

(11)

Includes (a) 20,165 shares owned by Mr. Landy's wife; (b) 118,450 shares held in custodial accounts for Mr. Landy's minor children under the New Jersey Uniform Transfers to Minors Act with respect to which he disclaims any beneficial interest but he has sole dispositive and voting power; (c) 25,049 shares in the Samuel Landy Family Limited Partnership; and (d) 34,843 shares held in the UMH 401(k) Plan. As a co-trustee of the UMH 401(k), Mr. Landy has shared voting power over the shares held by the UMH 401(k). He, however, disclaims beneficial ownership of all of the shares held by the UMH 401(k), except for the 34,843 shares held by the UMH 401(k) for his benefit. Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options.

(12)

Includes 3,788 shares held in Mr. Landy's 401(k) Plan over which he has sole dispositive power. Includes (a) 11,676 shares owned by Mr. Landy's wife; and (b) 88,318 shares held in custodial accounts for Mr. Landy's minor children under the New Jersey Uniform Transfer to Minors Act in which he disclaims any beneficial interest but has power to vote. Includes 69,650 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option. Excludes 25,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option, which stock option is not exercisable until March 10, 2009.

(13)

Includes 1,681 Shares held in Ms. Morgenstern's 401(k) plan over which she has sole dispositive power. Includes 163,100 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option. Excludes 50,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option, which stock option is not exercisable until March 10, 2009.

(14)

Includes 5,000 Shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option.

(15)

Includes 178 Shares held in Ms. Vecere's 401(k) Plan over which she has sole dispositive power. Includes 2,856 shares held in custodial accounts for Ms. Vecere's minor children under the New Jersey Uniform Transfer to Minors Act in which she disclaims any beneficial interest but she has sole dispositive and voting power. Includes 78,100 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option. Excludes 25,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of a stock option, which stock option is not exercisable until March 10, 2009.

(16)

Includes 1,075 Shares owned by Mr. Wolgin's wife.

ITEM 13 - CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Certain relationships and related party transactions are incorporated herein by reference to Item 15 (a) (1) (IV) Note No. 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - Related Party Transactions.

No director, executive officer, or any immediate family member of such director or executive officer may enter into any transaction or arrangement with the Company without the prior approval of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors will appoint a Business Judgment Committee consisting of independent directors who are also independent of the transaction or arrangement. This Committee will recommend to the Board of Directors approval or disapproval of the transaction or arrangement. In determining whether to approve such a transaction or arrangement, the Business Judgment Committee will take into account, among other factors, whether the transaction was on terms no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to third parties and the extent of the executive officer's or director's involvement in such transaction or arrangement. While the Company does not have specific written standards for approving such related party transactions, such transactions are only approved if it is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders. Additionally, the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics requires all directors, officers and employees who may have a potential or apparent conflict of interest to immediately notify the Company's General Counsel. Further, to identify related party transactions, the Company submits and requires our directors and executive officers to complete director and officer questionnaires identifying any transactions with the Company in which the director, executive officer or their immediate family members have an interest.

See identification of independent directors under Item 10 and committee members under Item 11.

ITEM 14 - PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Reznick served as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 and the first two quarters of the year ended September 30, 2008. The following are fees billed by Reznick in connection with services rendered:

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Audit Fees | \$39,000 | \$165,000 |
| Audit Related Fees | -0- | 10,000 |
| Tax Fees | 49,000 | 34,000 |
| All Other Fees | -0- | -0- |
| Total Fees | \$88,000 | \$209,000 |

Audit fees include professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements, management's assessment of internal controls, and reviews of financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

Audit related fees include services that are normally provided by the Company's independent auditors in connection with statutory and regulatory filings, such as consents and assistance with and review of documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Tax fees include professional services rendered for the preparation of the Company's federal and state corporate tax returns and supporting schedules as may be required by the Internal Revenue Service and applicable state taxing authorities. Tax fees also include other work directly affecting or supporting the payment of taxes, including planning and research of various tax issues.

PKF served as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and year ended September 30, 2008. The following are fees billed by and accrued to PKF in connection with services rendered:

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Audit Fees | \$120,000 | \$-0- |
| Audit Related Fees | -0- | -0- |
| Tax Fees | 30,000 | -0- |
| All Other Fees | -0- | -0- |
| Total Fees | \$150,000 | \$-0- |

Audit fees include professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements, management's assessment of internal controls, and reviews of financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

Audit related fees include services that are normally provided by the Company's independent auditors in connection with statutory and regulatory filings, such as consents and assistance with and review of documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Tax fees include professional services rendered for the preparation of the Company's federal and state corporate tax returns and supporting schedules as may be required by the Internal Revenue Service and applicable state taxing authorities. Tax fees also include other work directly affecting or supporting the payment of taxes, including planning and research of various tax issues.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of audit and permitted non-audit services provided by the Company's principal independent accountants. The policy requires that all services provided by our independent registered public accountants to the Company, including audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services, must be pre-approved by the Committee, and all have been so approved. The pre-approval requirements do not prohibit day-to-day normal tax consulting services, which matters will not exceed \$10,000 in the aggregate.

The Audit Committee has determined that the provision of the non-audit services described above is compatible with maintaining PKF's independence.

- 59 -

PART IV

ITEM 15 - EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

| | <u>PAGE(S)</u> |
|--|----------------|
| (a) (1) | |
| The following Financial Statements are filed as part of this report: | |
| (i) a) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 65 |
| b) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 66 |
| (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 | 67-68 |
| (iii) | |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended | 69-70 |
| September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 | |
| (iv) | |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended | 71-72 |
| September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 | |
| (v) | |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended | 73 |

September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(vi)

74-108

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(a) (2)

The following Financial Statement Schedule is filed as part
of this report:

(i)

Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

109-117

as of September 30, 2008

All other schedules are omitted for the reason that they are not required, are not applicable, or the required information is set forth in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes hereto.

ITEM 15 - EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (3) Exhibits

(2) Plan of Acquisition, Reorganization, Arrangement, Liquidation, or Succession

(i) Agreement and Plan of Merger dated March 24, 2003 by and between MREIC Maryland, Inc., a Maryland corporation ("Monmouth Maryland"), and Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Monmouth Delaware"), dated March 24, 2003 (incorporated by reference to the 2002 proxy filed by the Registrant with The Securities and Exchange Commission on April 7, 2003).(Registration No. 000-04258).

(ii) Agreement and Plan of Merger Among Monmouth Capital Corporation, Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, and Route 9 Acquisition, Inc., dated as of March 26, 2007, (incorporated by reference to the 2007 proxy filed by the Registrant with The Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2007) (Registration No. 001-33177).

(3) Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws

(i) Articles of Incorporation of MREIC Maryland, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the 2002 proxy filed by the Registrant filed with The Securities and Exchange Commission on April 7, 2003).(Registration No. 000-04258).

(ii) Bylaws of MREIC Maryland, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the 2002 proxy filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 7, 2003). (Registration No. 000-04258).

(iii)

Amendment to Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2006). (Registration No. 000-04258).

(iv)

Amendment to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission with Form 8-K on November 22, 2006). (Registration No. 00-04258)

(v) Amendment to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Form 8-A filed by the Registrant filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 1, 2006). (Registration No 001-33177)

(vi) Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Form 8-A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 1, 2006). (Registration No. 001-33177)

(vii) Amendment to Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 23, 2008). (Registration No. 001-33177).

(10) Material Contracts

(i) Employment Agreement with Mr. Eugene W. Landy dated December 9, 1994 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 28, 1994).

(ii) Amendment to Employment agreement with Mr. Eugene W. Landy dated November 5, 2003 (incorporated by reference to the 2004 proxy filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Committee on April 1, 2004) (Registration No. 000-04248).

(iii) Employment Agreement with Cynthia J. Morgenstern dated January 1, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-Q filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007) (Registration No. 001-33177)

(iv) Employment Agreement with Maureen E. Vecere dated April 3, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 14, 2006). (Registration No. 001-33177).

(v) Management Agreement with Cronheim Management Services dated August 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 14, 2006). (Registration No. 001-33177).

(vi) Employment Agreement with Michael P. Landy dated January 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-k filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 13, 2007). (Registration No. 001-33177)

(vii) First Supplemental Indenture, dated July 31, 2007, among Monmouth Capital Corporation, Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee, to Indenture, dated as of October 23, 2003, between Monmouth Capital Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities Exchange Commission on August 1, 2007). (Registration No. 001-33177).

(viii) Indenture, dated as of October 23, 2003, between Monmouth Capital Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities Exchange Commission on August 1, 2007). (Registration No. 001-33177).

(ix) First Supplemental Indenture, dated July 31, 2007, among Monmouth Capital Corporation, Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee, to Indenture, dated as of March 30, 2005, between Monmouth Capital Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities Exchange Commission on August 1, 2007). (Registration No. 001-33177).

(x) Indenture, dated as of March 30, 2005, between Monmouth Capital Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities Exchange Commission on August 1, 2007). (Registration No. 001-33177).

(xi) Third Amendment to Employment Contract of Eugene W. Landy, dated April 14, 2008. (incorporated by reference to the 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 16, 2008. (Registration No. 001-33177)

- (14) Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 14, 2004). (Registration No. 000-04258).
- (21) Subsidiaries of the Registrant
 - (a) Monmouth Capital Corporation, a New Jersey corporation
 - (b) MRC I LLC, a Wisconsin limited liability company
 - (c) MREIC Financial, Inc., a Maryland corporation
 - (d) Palmer Terrace Realty Associates, LLC, a New Jersey limited liability company
 - (e) Wheeling Partners, LLC, an Illinois limited liability company
 - (f) Jones EPI, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company
- (23.1) Consent of Reznick Group.
- (23.2) Consent of PKF, Certified Public Accountants, A Professional Corporation.
- (31.1) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (31.2) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (32) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (99) Audit Committee Charter, as amended January 16, 2008.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation (the Company) as of September 30, 2008 and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended September 30, 2008. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2)(i). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation at September 30, 2008, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended September 30, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2008 based on criteria established

in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 10, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

New York, New York
December 10, 2008

/s/ PKF
Certified Public Accountants
A Professional Corporation

- 65 -

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and
Stockholders of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation as of September 30, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation as of September 30, 2007, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Reznick Group, P.C.

Baltimore, Maryland

December 12, 2007, except for Note 8 as to
which the date is December 11, 2008

- 66 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****AS OF SEPTEMBER 30,**

| <u>ASSETS</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Real Estate Investments: | | |
| Land | \$ 70,909,817 | \$ 65,544,553 |
| Buildings, Improvements and Equipment, net of Accumulated Depreciation of -----\$40,892,112 and \$35,312,263 respectively | 278,442,834 | 255,864,626 |
| Total Real Estate Investments | 349,352,651 | 321,409,179 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 5,348,262 | 11,395,337 |
| Securities Available for Sale at Fair Value | 21,005,663 | 13,436,992 |
| Tenant and Other Receivables | 978,317 | 956,795 |
| Deferred Rent Receivable | 1,235,309 | 1,110,888 |
| Loans Receivable, net | 457,436 | 534,279 |
| Prepaid Expenses | 384,884 | 380,957 |
| Financing Costs, net of Accumulated Amortization of \$1,009,588 and \$848,451, respectively | 2,282,342 | 1,941,870 |
| Lease Costs, net of Accumulated Amortization of \$240,404 and \$200,004 , respectively | 728,491 | 364,691 |
| Intangible Assets, net of Accumulated Amortization of \$2,219,496 and \$907,352, respectively | 7,238,143 | 14,429,287 |
| Other Assets | 66,099 | 947,970 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 389,077,597 | \$ | 366,908,245 |
|---------------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|

See Accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

- 67 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (CONT D)****AS OF SEPTEMBER 30,**

| <u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Liabilities: | | |
| Mortgage Notes Payable | \$ 191,947,632 | \$ 174,352,038 |
| Subordinated Convertible Debentures | 14,990,000 | 14,990,000 |
| Loans Payable | 14,550,947 | 2,500,000 |
| Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses | 1,944,271 | 2,311,266 |
| Other Liabilities | 2,114,627 | 2,054,579 |
| Total Liabilities | 225,547,477 | 196,207,883 |
| Minority Interest | 3,619,156 | 3,486,060 |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Series A 7.625% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred | | |
| Stock, \$33,062,500 liquidation value, 1,322,500 | | |
| Shares Authorized; 1,322,500 Shares Issued | | |
| and Outstanding | \$33,062,500 | \$33,062,500 |
| Common Stock - \$.01 Par Value, 35,000,000 Shares | | |
| Authorized; 24,567,026 and 23,940,696 Shares | | |
| Issued and Outstanding, respectively | 245,671 | 239,407 |
| Excess Stock - \$.01 Par Value, 5,000,000 Shares | | |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Authorized; No Shares Issued or Outstanding | -0- | -0- |
| Additional Paid-In Capital | 133,943,807 | 135,547,916 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | (6,139,451) | (433,958) |
| Loans to Officers, Directors and Key Employees | (1,201,563) | (1,201,563) |
| Undistributed Income | -0- | -0- |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 159,910,964 | 167,214,302 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| | \$ 389,077,597 | \$ 366,908,245 |

See Accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

- 68 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,**

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| INCOME: | | | |
| Rental & Reimbursement Revenue | \$39,480,885 | \$28,296,938 | \$24,698,242 |
| EXPENSES: | | | |
| Real Estate Taxes | 6,128,110 | 4,302,924 | 3,590,392 |
| Operating Expenses | 3,813,528 | 2,249,073 | 1,748,393 |
| General & Administrative Expense | 2,798,005 | 2,385,202 | 2,181,110 |
| Depreciation | 7,940,327 | 6,326,935 | 4,869,134 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 20,679,970 | 15,264,134 | 12,389,029 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): | | | |
| Interest and Dividend Income | 1,871,262 | 1,467,444 | 1,028,151 |
| (Loss) Gain on Securities Transactions, net | (3,660,283) | 156,723 | 50,983 |
| Interest Expense | (13,138,767) | (8,969,087) | (8,298,077) |
| TOTAL OTHER INCOME | | | |
| (EXPENSE) | (14,927,788) | (7,344,920) | (7,218,943) |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING | | | |
| OPERATIONS BEFORE | | | |
| MINORITY | | | |
| INTEREST | | | |
| Minority Interest | 3,873,127 | 5,687,884 | 5,090,270 |
| Minority Interest | 139,744 | (24,702) | -0- |

INCOME FROM CONTINUING

| | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| OPERATIONS | 3,733,383 | 5,712,586 | 5,090,270 |
| DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS: | | | |
| Income from Operations of | | | |
| Disposed Property | 498,896 | 470,488 | 1,103,703 |
| Gain (Loss) on Sale of Investment Property | 6,790,616 | 4,634,564 | (28,385) |
| INCOME FROM | | | |
| DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | 7,289,512 | 5,105,052 | 1,075,318 |
| NET INCOME | 11,022,895 | 10,817,638 | 6,165,588 |
| Preferred Dividend Declared | 2,521,344 | 1,869,753 | -0- |
| NET INCOME APPLICABLE TO | | | |
| COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | \$8,501,551 | \$8,947,885 | \$6,165,588 |

See Accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,**

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| <u>PER SHARE INFORMATION:</u> | | | |
| BASIC EARNINGS - PER SHARE | | | |
| Income from Continuing Operations | \$.15 | \$.27 | \$.26 |
| Less: Preferred Dividends | (.10) | (.10) | -0- |
| Income from Discontinued Operations | .30 | .24 | .06 |
| Net Income Applicable to Common | | | |
| Shareholders Basic | \$.35 | \$.41 | \$.32 |
| DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE | | | |
| Income from Continuing Operations | \$.15 | \$.27 | \$.26 |
| Less: Preferred Dividends | (.10) | (.10) | -0- |
| Income from Discontinued Operations | .30 | .24 | .05 |
| Net Income Applicable to Common | | | |
| Shareholders - Diluted | \$.35 | \$.41 | \$.31 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING: | | | |
| Basic | 24,131,497 | 21,050,803 | 19,555,278 |
| Diluted | 24,172,194 | 21,149,725 | 19,605,069 |

See Accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

- 70 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY****FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008, 2007, AND 2006**

| | Common Stock Issued | | Preferred Stock Issued | | Additional Paid in Capital |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | |
| Balance September 30, 2005 | 18,833,367 | \$188,334 | -0- | \$-0- | \$103,121,873 |
| Shares Issued in Connection | | | | | |
| with the DRIP | 1,333,296 | 13,333 | -0- | -0- | 10,296,957 |
| Shares Issued Through the | | | | | |
| Exercise of Stock Options | 20,000 | 200 | -0- | -0- | 142,400 |
| Distributions | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | (5,575,168) |
| Net Income Applicable to | | | | | |
| Common Shareholders | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Stock Based Compensation | | | | | |
| Expense | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | 126,325 |
| Unrealized Net Holding | | | | | |
| Gains | | | | | |
| on Securities Available | | | | | |
| for | | | | | |
| Sale, Net of | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Reclassification | | | | | |
| Adjustment | | | | | |
| Balance September 30, 2006 | 20,186,663 | 201,867 | -0- | -0- | 108,112,387 |
| Shares Issued in Connection | | | | | |
| with the DRIP | 26,327 | 264 | -0- | -0- | 194,436 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

Shares Issued in Connection

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| with the Merger with | | | | | |
| Monmouth Capital Corp. | 3,727,706 | 37,276 | -0- | -0- | 32,357,927 |
| Shares Issued in Connection | | | | | |
| with a Public Offering | -0- | -0- | 1,322,500 | 33,062,500 | (1,478,034) |
| Distributions | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | (3,743,352) |
| Net Income Applicable to | | | | | |
| Common Shareholders | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Stock Based Compensation | | | | | |
| Expense | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | 104,552 |
| Unrealized Net Holding | | | | | |
| -Loss | | | | | |
| on Securities Available | | | | | |
| for | | | | | |
| Sale, Net of | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Reclassification | | | | | |
| Adjustment | | | | | |
| Balance September 30, 2007 | 23,940,696 | 239,407 | 1,322,500 | 33,062,500 | 135,547,916 |
| Shares Issued in Connection | | | | | |
| with the DRIP | 612,330 | 6,124 | -0- | -0- | 4,226,318 |
| Shares Issued Through the | | | | | |
| Exercise of Stock Options | 14,000 | 140 | -0- | -0- | 99,680 |
| Distributions | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | (5,972,560) |
| Net Income Applicable to | | | | | |
| Common Shareholders | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Stock Based Compensation | | | | | |
| Expense | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | 42,453 |
| Unrealized Net Holding | | | | | |
| Loss | | | | | |
| on Securities Available | | | | | |
| for | | | | | |
| Sale, Net of | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Reclassification | | | | | |

| Adjustment | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Balance September 30, 2008 | 24,567,026 | \$245,671 | 1,322,500 | \$33,062,500 | \$133,943,807 |

See Accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

- 71 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY****FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008, 2007 AND 2006, CONT D.**

| | Loans to Officers, Directors and Key Employees | Undistributed Income | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Shareholders Equity | Comprehensive Income |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Balance September 30, 2005 | \$(1,201,563) | \$-0- | \$451,597 | \$102,560,241 | |
| Shares Issued in Connection with the DRIP | -0- | -0- | -0- | 10,310,290 | |
| Shares Issued Through the Exercise of Stock Options | -0- | -0- | -0- | 142,600 | |
| Distributions | | (6,165,588) | | (11,740,756) | |
| Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders | -0- | 6,165,588 | -0- | 6,165,588 | \$6,165,588 |
| Stock Based Compensation Expense | -0- | -0- | -0- | 126,325 | |
| Unrealized Net Holding Gains on Securities Available for Sale, | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Net of Reclassification | -0- | -0- | 2,689 | 2,689 | 2,689 |
| Adjustment | | | | | |
| Balance September 30, 2006 | (\$1,201,563) | -0- | 454,286 | 107,566,977 | \$6,168,277 |
| Shares Issued in Connection with the DRIP | -0- | -0- | -0- | 194,700 | |
| Shares Issued in Connection with the Merger with Monmouth Capital Corp. | -0- | -0- | -0- | 32,395,203 | |
| Shares Issued in Connection with a Public Offering | -0- | -0- | -0- | 31,584,466 | |
| Distributions Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders | -0- | (8,947,885) | -0- | (12,691,237) | |
| Stock Based Compensation Expense | -0- | 8,947,885 | | 8,947,885 | \$8,947,885 |
| Unrealized Net Holding Loss on Securities Available for Sale, | | | | | |
| Net of Reclassification | -0- | -0- | (888,244) | (888,244) | (888,244) |
| Adjustment | | | | | |
| Balance September 30, 2007 | (\$1,201,563) | \$-0- | (\$433,958) | \$167,214,302 | \$8,059,641 |
| Shares Issued in Connection with the DRIP | -0- | -0- | -0- | 4,232,442 | |
| Shares Issued Through the Exercise of Stock Options | -0- | -0- | -0- | 99,820 | |
| Distributions Net Income Applicable to | -0- | (8,501,551) | -0- | (14,474,111) | |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Common Shareholders | -0- | 8,501,551 | -0- | 8,501,551 | \$8,501,551 |
| Stock Based Compensation | | | | | |
| Expense | -0- | -0- | -0- | 42,453 | |
| Unrealized Net Holding Loss | | | | | |
| on Securities Available for | | | | | |
| Sale, Net of Reclassification | -0- | -0- | (5,705,493) | (5,705,493) | (5,705,493) |
| Adjustment | | | | | |
| Balance September 30, 2008 | (\$1,201,563) | \$-0- | (\$6,139,451) | \$159,910,964 | \$2,796,058 |

See Accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,**

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net Income | \$11,022,895 | \$10,817,638 | \$6,165,588 |
| Noncash Items Included in Net Income: | | | |
| Income (Loss) Allocated to Minority Interest | 139,744 | (24,702) | -0- |
| Depreciation & Amortization | 10,087,318 | 7,585,304 | 5,795,924 |
| Stock Based Compensation Expense | 42,453 | 104,552 | 126,325 |
| Loss (Gain) on Securities Transactions, net | 3,660,283 | (156,723) | (50,983) |
| (Gain) Loss on Sale of Investment Property | (6,790,616) | (4,634,564) | 28,385 |
| Changes in: | | | |
| Tenant, Deferred Rent & Other Receivables | (145,943) | (26,814) | (285,543) |
| Prepaid Expenses & Other Assets | (270,352) | (277,752) | (402,870) |
| Accounts Payable, Accrued Expenses & Other Liabilities | (306,947) | (162,640) | 614,730 |
| NET CASH PROVIDED FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 17,438,835 | 13,224,299 | 11,991,556 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Purchase of Real Estate & Intangible Assets | (18,838,680) | (28,560,668) | (36,925,204) |
| Capital Improvements & Purchases of Equipment | (15,271,228) | (3,811,670) | (174,425) |
| Decrease (Increase) in Construction in Progress | 650,233 | (290,597) | (359,636) |
| Proceeds from Sale of Real Estate | 10,486,277 | 8,150,557 | 1,320,854 |
| Purchase of Securities Available for Sale | (17,897,269) | (5,250,641) | (552,290) |
| Proceeds from Sale of Securities Available for Sale | 962,822 | 4,225,963 | 3,999,595 |
| Collections on Loans Receivable | 76,843 | 10,188 | -0- |
| NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | (39,831,002) | (25,526,868) | (32,691,106) |
| CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Proceeds from Mortgages | 29,400,000 | 19,765,000 | 19,500,000 |
| Principal Payments on Mortgages | (11,804,406) | (7,962,225) | (9,274,479) |
| Net Proceeds from (Payments on) Loans | 12,050,947 | (7,426,019) | 8,218,544 |
| Financing Costs on Debt | (631,608) | (435,963) | (350,173) |
| (Decrease) Increase in minority interest | (6,648) | 509,507 | -0- |
| Proceeds from Issuance of Common Stock | 1,345,449 | 194,700 | 5,803,270 |
| Proceeds from Issuance of Preferred Stock | -0- | 31,584,466 | -0- |
| Proceeds from Exercise of Options | 99,820 | -0- | 142,600 |
| Preferred Dividends Paid | (2,521,344) | (1,869,753) | -0- |
| Dividends Paid, Net of Reinvestments | (11,587,118) | (12,691,237) | (7,233,736) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 16,345,092 | 21,668,476 | 16,806,026 |
| | | | |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (6,047,075) | 9,365,907 | (3,893,524) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year | 11,395,337 | 2,029,430 | 5,922,954 |
| | | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR | \$5,348,262 | \$11,395,337 | \$2,029,430 |

See Accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of the Business

Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation (a Maryland corporation) and its subsidiaries Corporation (the Company) operate as a real estate investment trust (REIT), deriving its income primarily from real estate rental operations. As of September 30, 2008 and 2007, rental properties consisted of fifty-eight holdings. These properties are located in twenty-five states: Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, North Carolina, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin. The Company also owns a portfolio of investment securities.

On July 31, 2007, the Company completed a strategic combination (the merger) with Monmouth Capital Corporation (Monmouth Capital). As a result of the merger, each issued and outstanding share of Monmouth Capital's common stock, par value \$1.00 per share (MCC Common Stock), was converted into and exchanged for the right to receive 0.655 (the exchange ratio) shares (the merger consideration) of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (common stock). The Company issued 3,727,706 shares of common stock as the merger consideration. Following consummation of the merger, Monmouth Capital's outstanding 8.0% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2013 and 8.0% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2015 (the Debentures) remained outstanding obligations of Monmouth Capital and became convertible into shares of the Company's common stock, at conversion prices adjusted to reflect the exchange ratio. As a result of the merger, the Company acquired a controlling interest in fourteen industrial properties totaling approximately 1,035,000 square feet and REIT securities of approximately \$2,700,000.

Use of Estimates

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. In 2001, the Company formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, MRC I, LLC (a Wisconsin limited liability company) to purchase the Cudahy, Wisconsin property and in 2005, the Company formed MREIC Financial, Inc., a taxable REIT subsidiary. In 2007, the Company merged with Monmouth Capital, with Monmouth Capital surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary. Monmouth Capital owns the majority interest in the following limited liability companies:

| <u>Entity</u> | <u>Organized</u> | <u>% Interest</u> |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Palmer Terrace Realty Associates, LLC | New Jersey | 51% |
| Wheeling, Partners, LLC | Illinois | 63.336% |
| Jones EPI, LLC | Delaware | 65% |

The Company consolidates the results of operations of the above limited liability companies with minority interests. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Buildings, Improvements and Equipment

Buildings, improvements and equipment are stated at the lower of depreciated cost or net realizable value. Depreciation is computed based on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, utilizing a half-year convention in the year of purchase. These lives range from 5 to 40 years.

The Company has an undivided 2/3 interest in a shopping center located in Somerset, NJ. The Company is entitled to its proportional share of income from the property and is severally liable for its proportional share of expenses and liabilities. The Company accounts for its undivided interest based upon its pro rata share of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses.

If there is an event or change in circumstances that indicates that the basis of an investment property may not be recoverable, management assesses the possible impairment of value through evaluation of the estimated future cash flows of the property, on an undiscounted basis, as compared to the property's current carrying value. A property's carrying value would be adjusted to fair value, if necessary, to reflect impairment in the value of the property.

Gains on Sale of Real Estate

Gains on the sale of real estate investments are recognized by the full accrual method when the criteria for the method are met. Generally, the criteria are met when the profit on a given sale is determinable, and the seller is not obliged to perform significant activities after the sale to earn the profit.

Acquisitions

The Company records direct costs and deposits associated with potential acquisitions to Other Assets. Upon closing of the acquisition, the costs are reclassified to real estate investments. The costs are expensed if the acquisition is not consummated.

Upon acquisition of a property, the Company allocates the purchase price of the property based upon the fair value of the assets acquired, which generally consist of land, buildings, leasing commissions and intangible assets, including in-place leases and above and below market leases. The Company allocates the purchase price to the fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property generally determined by third party appraisal of the property obtained in conjunction with the purchase.

The purchase price is further allocated to in-place lease values based on management's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and the Company's overall relationship with the respective tenant. Acquired above and below market leases are valued based on the present value of the difference between prevailing market rates and the in-place rates over the remaining lease term. Acquired above and below market leases are amortized to rental revenue over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. The value of in-place lease intangibles is amortized to amortization expense over the remaining lease term. If a tenant terminates its lease early, the unamortized portion of the tenant improvements, leasing commissions, above and below market leases and the in-place lease value is immediately charged to expense.

Securities Available for Sale

The Company classifies its securities among three categories: Held-to-maturity, trading and available-for-sale. The Company's securities at September 30, 2008 and 2007 are all classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value based on quoted market prices. Gains or losses on the sale of securities are calculated based on the average cost method and are accounted for on a trade date basis. Unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of Shareholders' Equity until realized.

A decline in the market value of any security below cost that is deemed to be other than temporary, results in a reduction in the carrying amount to fair value. Any impairment would be charged to earnings and a new cost basis for the security established.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company invested in futures contracts of ten-year treasury notes to reduce exposure of the debt securities portfolio to market rate fluctuations and to reduce the risk of refinancing fixed rate debt at higher interest rates. These futures contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended by SFAS No. 138 and No. 149. The contracts are marked-to-market and the unrealized gain or loss is recorded in the income statement in Gain on Securities Transactions, net with corresponding amounts recorded in Other Assets or Other Liabilities on the balance sheet. Gain or loss on settled futures contracts are also recorded as a component of Gain on Securities Transactions, net. The Company closed out its futures contracts in May 2008.

Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all cash and investments with an original maturity of three months or less. The Company maintains its cash in bank accounts in amounts that may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in these accounts in the past .. The fair value of cash and cash equivalents approximates their current carrying amounts since all such items are short-term in nature.

Loans Receivable

The Company assumed loans receivable collateralized by manufactured homes in the merger with Monmouth Capital. Interest income on loans receivable is accrued until, in the opinion of management, the collection of such interest appears doubtful. An allowance is recorded when it appears doubtful that the Company will collect the full principal amount. The fair value of loans receivable approximates its current carrying amounts.

Intangible Assets, Lease Costs and Financing Costs

Intangible assets, consisting primarily of the value of in-place leases, are amortized to expense over the remaining terms of the respective leases. Upon termination of a lease, the unamortized portion is immediately charged to expense. Amortization expense related to these intangible assets was \$1,659,118, \$735,683 and \$369,809, for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company estimates that aggregate amortization expense will be approximately \$1,612,000, \$1,567,000, \$1,527,000, \$1,428,000 and \$1,370,000 for each of the years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. The weighted-average amortization period upon acquisition for intangible assets recorded during 2008 and 2007 was -0- and 8.5 years, respectively.

Costs incurred in connection with the execution of leases are deferred and are amortized over the term of the respective leases. Unamortized lease costs are charged to expense upon cancellation of leases prior to the expiration of lease terms. Costs incurred in connection with obtaining mortgages and other financings and refinancing are deferred and are amortized over the term of the related obligations. Unamortized costs are charged to expense upon prepayment of the obligation. Amortization expense related to these deferred assets was \$425,399 \$304,352 and \$236,574, for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company estimates that aggregate amortization expense will be \$484,000, \$437,000, \$397,000, \$379,000 and 326,000 for the years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income from tenants with leases having scheduled rental increases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Leases typically provide for reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, and other operating costs. These occupancy charges are recognized as earned.

The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts against the portion of tenant and other receivables, loans receivable and deferred rent receivable which are estimated to be uncollectible. For accounts receivable the Company deems uncollectible, the Company uses the direct write-off method.

Discontinued Operations

The Company has adopted FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (FAS 144). FAS 144 addresses financial accounting and reporting for the disposal of long-lived assets that are considered a component. A component is comprised of operations and cash flows that can clearly be distinguished, operationally and for financial reporting purposes, from the rest of the Company. FAS 144 requires that the results of operations and gains or losses on the sale of a component of an entity be presented in discontinued operations if both of the following criteria are met: (a) the operations and cash flows of the property have been (or will be) eliminated from the ongoing operations of the Company as a result of the disposal transaction and (b) the Company will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the property after the disposal transaction. FAS 144 also requires prior period results of operations for these properties to be restated and presented in discontinued operations in prior consolidated statements of operations.

Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is calculated by dividing net income plus interest expense related to the Debentures by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus the weighted-average number of net shares that would be issued upon exercise of stock options pursuant to the treasury stock method, plus

the number of shares resulting from the possible conversion of the Debentures during the period. Interest expense of \$1,199,200 and \$599,600 for 2008 and 2007 and common shares totaling 1,413,319 related to potential conversion of the Debentures are excluded from the calculation for 2008 and 2007 due to their antidilutive effect. Options to purchase common shares in the amount of 32,241, 98,922 and 49,791 are included in the diluted weighted average shares outstanding for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. As of September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, options to purchase 914,420, 53,710 and 310,000 shares, respectively, were antidilutive.

Stock Option Plan

The Company accounts for stock options in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123). SFAS 123R requires that compensation cost for all stock awards be calculated and amortized over the service period (generally equal to the vesting period). This compensation cost is determined using option pricing models, intended to estimate the fair value of the awards at the grant date. Compensation costs of \$42,453, \$104,552 and \$126,325 have been recognized in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Included in Note No. 13 to these consolidated financial statements are the assumptions and methodology.

Income Tax

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company will not be taxed on the portion of its income which is distributed to shareholders, provided it distributes at least 90% of its taxable income, has at least 75% of its assets in real estate investments and meets certain other requirements for qualification as a REIT. The Company is subject to franchise taxes in some of the states in which the Company owns property.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) consists of unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the consolidated financial statements for the prior years have been reclassified to conform to the financial statement presentation for the current year.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not impose fair value measurements on items not already accounted for at fair value; rather it applies, with certain exceptions, to other accounting pronouncements that either require or permit fair value measurements. Under SFAS No. 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market. The standard clarifies that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when

pricing the asset or liability. In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP FAS 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all non-financial assets and liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the Financial Statements on a recurring basis until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active" which clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 for a financial asset in an inactive market. The Company plans to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 157 for assets and liabilities recognized at fair value on a recurring basis effective October 1, 2008.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Statement No. 159 The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Furthermore, SFAS 159 establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company plans to adopt SFAS 159 beginning October 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS 159 on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141R, Business Combinations (SFAS 141R) and SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - An Amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160). SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 require most identifiable assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests and goodwill acquired in a business combination to be recorded at full fair value and require noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) to be reported as a component of equity, which changes the accounting for transactions with noncontrolling interest holders. The provisions of SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 are effective for our fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009. SFAS 141R will be applied to business combinations occurring after the effective date

and SFAS 160 will be applied prospectively to all changes in noncontrolling interests, including any that existed at the effective date. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS 141R and SFAS 160 but does not believe that the adoption of these statements will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why derivative instruments are used, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is evaluating the impact of SFAS 161 but does not believe that the adoption of this statement will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS 162). The current hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles is set forth in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SFAS 162 is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework, or hierarchy, for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for nongovernmental entities. This Statement is effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission's approval of the Public Company Oversight Board Auditing amendments to SAS 69. The Company is evaluating the impact of SFAS 162 but does not believe that the adoption of this statement will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations, as the Statement does not directly impact the accounting principles applied in the preparation of the Company's financial statements.

NOTE 2 MERGER WITH MONMOUTH CAPITAL CORPORATION

On July 31, 2007, the Company merged with Monmouth Capital, a REIT, which had a controlling equity interest in fourteen industrial properties. Monmouth Capital became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Management believes the merger has provided a number of strategic and financial benefits. The following are the industrial properties held by Monmouth Capital:

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Sq Feet</u> | <u>Ownership</u> | <u>Tenant</u> |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Carlstadt, NJ | 59,400 | 51% | Macy's East, Inc. |
| White Bear Lake, MN | 59,425 | 100% | Federal Express Corp |
| Cheektowaga, NY | 84,923 | 100% | Federal Express Corp |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------|
| Wheeling, IL | 123,000 | 63.336% | FedEx Ground |
| Richmond, VA | 60,000 | 100% | Carrier Sales & Dist |
| Quakertown, PA | 37,660 | 100% | MagiKitchen, Inc. |
| Montgomery, IL | 171,200 | 100% | Home Depot USA, Inc. |
| Tampa, FL | 68,385 | 100% | Kellogg Sales Company |
| Lakeland, FL | 31,096 | 100% | Federal Express Corp |
| Augusta, GA | 30,332 | 100% | Federal Express Corp |
| El Paso, TX | 91,854 | 65% | FedEx Ground |
| Chattanooga, TN | 67,775 | 100% | Federal Express Corp |
| Bedford Heights, OH | 84,600 | 100% | Federal Express Corp |
| Kansas City, MO | 65,067 | 100% | Kellogg Sales Company |
| | 1,034,717 | | |

These properties were subject to various mortgages as further detailed in Note No. 10.

As a result of the merger, pursuant to the terms of an Indenture, dated as of October 23, 2003, between Monmouth Capital and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (Trustee), Monmouth Capital's outstanding 8%

Convertible Subordinated Debentures Due 2013 became convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at an adjusted conversion price of \$9.16 per share and, pursuant to the terms of an Indenture, dated as of March 30, 2005, between Monmouth Capital and the Trustee, Monmouth Capital's outstanding 8% Convertible Subordinated Debentures Due 2015 became convertible into shares of the Company's Common Stock at an adjusted conversion price of \$11.45 per share.

During 2008, the Company finalized the allocation of purchase price resulting in a reclassification of \$3,847,000 from intangible assets to real estate investments. The final allocation of the aggregate purchase price to the tangible and intangible net assets, is as follows:

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Real estate investments | \$84,450,220 |
| Intangible assets | 5,108,304 |
| Securities available for sale | 3,522,668 |
| Cash | 1,204,754 |
| Notes receivable | 544,467 |
| Other assets | 29,069 |
| Mortgages | (40,355,224) |
| Convertible subordinated debentures | (14,990,000) |
| Notes payable | (1,707,475) |
| Accrued and other liabilities | (835,477) |
| Minority interest | (3,001,255) |
| Total allocated Purchase Price to net assets acquired | \$33,970,051 |

The results of operations of the real estate acquired from Monmouth Capital have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements since the merger date of July 31, 2007.

NOTE 3 REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

The following is a summary of the cost and accumulated depreciation of the Company's land, buildings, improvements and equipment at September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| September 30, 2008 | Property | | Buildings | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | Type | Land | Improvements & | Accumulated |
| | | | Equipment | Depreciation |
| ALABAMA: | | | | |
| Huntsville | Industrial | \$742,500 | \$ 2,724,418 | \$223,857 |
| ARIZONA: | | | | |
| Tolleson | Industrial | 1,320,000 | 13,329,000 | 1,879,649 |
| COLORADO: | | | | |
| Colorado Springs | Industrial | 1,270,000 | 5,832,770 | 270,712 |
| Denver | Industrial | 1,150,000 | 5,202,267 | 365,933 |
| CONNECTICUT: | | | | |
| Newington | Industrial | 410,000 | 2,966,486 | 571,234 |
| FLORIDA: | | | | |
| Cocoa | Industrial | 1,881,316 | 8,623,564 | 110,554 |
| Ft. Myers | Industrial | 1,910,000 | 2,537,968 | 364,942 |
| Jacksonville | Industrial | 1,165,000 | 4,805,016 | 1,165,003 |
| Lakeland | Industrial | 261,000 | 1,621,163 | 62,352 |
| Orlando | Industrial | 2,200,000 | 6,133,800 | 78,638 |
| Punta Gorda | Industrial | 660,000 | 3,441,992 | 120,893 |
| Tampa (FDX Ground) | Industrial | 5,000,000 | 12,660,003 | 1,461,142 |
| Tampa (FDX) | Industrial | 2,830,000 | 4,704,531 | 279,900 |
| Tampa (Kelloggs) | Industrial | 1,867,000 | 3,684,794 | 146,593 |
| GEORGIA: | | | | |
| Augusta (FDX Ground) | Industrial | 613,000 | 4,436,367 | 289,629 |
| Augusta (FDX) | Industrial | 380,000 | 1,400,943 | 53,880 |
| Griffin | Industrial | 760,000 | 13,692,115 | 877,659 |
| ILLINOIS: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Burr Ridge | Industrial | 270,000 | 1,259,794 | 345,757 |
| Elgin | Industrial | 1,280,000 | 5,529,488 | 921,544 |
| Granite City | Industrial | 340,000 | 12,046,675 | 2,008,282 |
| Montgomery | Industrial | 1,365,000 | 9,860,683 | 375,212 |
| Schaumburg | Industrial | 1,039,800 | 3,866,158 | 1,135,973 |
| Wheeling (2) | Industrial | 5,112,120 | 13,399,758 | 451,152 |
| IOWA: | | | | |
| Urbandale | Industrial | 310,000 | 1,854,515 | 671,938 |
| KANSAS: | | | | |
| Edwardsville | Industrial | 1,185,000 | 5,835,401 | 820,680 |
| MARYLAND: | | | | |
| Beltsville | Industrial | 3,200,000 | 10,959,452 | 1,209,982 |
| MICHIGAN: | | | | |
| Orion | Industrial | 3,630,000 | 13,053,289 | 464,829 |
| Romulus | Industrial | 531,000 | 3,665,961 | 988,827 |
| MINNESOTA: | | | | |
| White Bear Lake | Industrial | 1,393,000 | 3,764,126 | 146,102 |

September 30, 2008
(cont d)

| | Property Type | Land | Buildings Improvements & Equipment | Accumulated Depreciation |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| MISSOURI: | | | | |
| Kansas City | Industrial | 660,000 | 4,068,374 | 157,025 |
| Liberty | Industrial | 723,000 | 6,519,412 | 1,758,992 |
| O' Fallon | Industrial | 264,000 | 3,569,775 | 1,190,981 |
| St. Joseph | Industrial | 800,000 | 11,753,964 | 2,260,262 |
| MISSISSIPPI: | | | | |
| Jackson | Industrial | 218,000 | 1,360,404 | 643,677 |
| Richland | Industrial | 211,000 | 1,267,000 | 452,642 |
| NORTH CAROLINA: | | | | |
| Fayetteville | Industrial | 172,000 | 4,687,862 | 1,335,297 |
| Greensboro | Industrial | 327,100 | 1,868,700 | 919,922 |
| Monroe | Industrial | 500,000 | 4,981,022 | 830,135 |
| Winston-Salem | Industrial | 980,000 | 5,670,918 | 954,856 |
| NEBRASKA: | | | | |
| Omaha | Industrial | 1,170,000 | 4,467,417 | 1,078,748 |
| NEW JERSEY: | | | | |
| Carlstadt (2) | Industrial | 1,194,000 | 3,645,501 | 116,822 |
| Freehold Corporate Office | Equipment | -0- | 50,469 | 48,386 |
| Somerset (1) | Shopping Center | 55,182 | 1,214,894 | 1,162,938 |
| NEW YORK: | | | | |
| Cheektowaga | Industrial | 4,768,000 | 3,883,971 | 192,748 |
| Orangeburg | Industrial | 694,720 | 2,999,606 | 1,509,712 |
| OHIO: | | | | |
| Bedford Heights | Industrial | 990,000 | 4,895,670 | 188,491 |
| Richfield | Industrial | 1,000,000 | 7,197,945 | 461,404 |
| Union Township | Industrial | 695,000 | 4,366,253 | 821,498 |

PENNSYLVANIA:

| | | | | |
|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Monaca | Industrial | 330,772 | 2,486,136 | 1,770,417 |
| Quakertown | Industrial | 1,014,000 | 1,806,000 | 72,621 |

SOUTH CAROLINA:

| | | | | |
|------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Hanahan (FDX) | Industrial | 930,000 | 6,473,976 | 346,925 |
| Hanahan (Norton) | Industrial | 1,129,000 | 11,843,474 | 1,062,118 |

TENNESSEE:

| | | | | |
|---------------|-------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Chattanooga | Industrial | 300,000 | 4,464,711 | 171,713 |
| Shelby County | Vacant Land | 11,065 | -0- | -0- |

TEXAS:

| | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| El Paso (2) | Industrial | 2,088,242 | 4,514,427 | 173,631 |
|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|

VIRGINIA:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Charlottesville | Industrial | 1,170,000 | 2,845,000 | 693,006 |
| Richmond (FDX) | Industrial | 1,160,000 | 6,436,570 | 1,242,671 |
| Richmond (Carrier) | Industrial | 446,000 | 3,910,500 | 148,029 |
| Roanoke | Industrial | 1,853,000 | 4,817,298 | 179,215 |

WISCONSIN:

| | | | | |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Cudahy | Industrial | 980,000 | 8,375,200 | 1,084,382 |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Total as of September 30, 2008 | | \$70,909,817 | \$319,334,946 | \$40,892,112 |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|

| September 30, 2007 | | | Buildings | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | Property | | Improvements & | Accumulated |
| | Type | Land | Equipment | Depreciation |
| ALABAMA: | | | | |
| Huntsville | Industrial | \$742,500 | \$ 2,724,418 | \$157,203 |
| ARIZONA: | | | | |
| Tolleson | Industrial | 1,320,000 | 13,329,000 | 1,537,894 |
| COLORADO: | | | | |
| Colorado Springs | Industrial | 1,270,000 | 3,821,000 | 146,953 |
| Denver | Industrial | 1,150,000 | 3,890,300 | 249,368 |
| CONNECTICUT: | | | | |
| Newington | Industrial | 410,000 | 2,966,486 | 494,948 |
| FLORIDA: | | | | |
| Ft. Myers | Industrial | 1,910,000 | 2,533,575 | 296,761 |
| Jacksonville | Industrial | 1,165,000 | 4,785,266 | 1,034,444 |
| Lakeland | Industrial | 261,000 | 1,621,163 | 20,784 |
| Punta Gorda | Industrial | 660,000 | 2,990,000 | 38,333 |
| Tampa (FDX Ground) | Industrial | 5,000,000 | 12,660,003 | 1,136,488 |
| Tampa (FDX) | Industrial | 2,830,000 | 4,704,531 | 164,400 |
| Tampa (Keebler) | Industrial | 1,969,500 | 3,887,294 | 49,622 |
| GEORGIA: | | | | |
| Augusta (FDX Ground) | Industrial | 613,000 | 3,026,409 | 193,957 |
| Augusta (FDX) | Industrial | 380,000 | 1,400,943 | 17,961 |
| Griffin | Industrial | 760,000 | 13,692,115 | 526,739 |
| ILLINOIS: | | | | |
| Burr Ridge | Industrial | 270,000 | 1,259,794 | 309,408 |
| Elgin | Industrial | 1,280,000 | 5,529,488 | 779,768 |
| Granite City | Industrial | 340,000 | 12,046,675 | 1,699,210 |
| Montgomery | Industrial | 2,110,000 | 9,529,000 | 122,168 |
| Schaumburg | Industrial | 1,039,800 | 3,866,158 | 1,007,847 |
| Wheeling (2) | Industrial | 4,720,000 | 10,544,247 | 134,269 |
| IOWA: | | | | |
| Urbandale | Industrial | 310,000 | 1,854,515 | 616,749 |

KANSAS:

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Edwardsville | Industrial | 1,185,000 | 5,815,148 | 670,935 |
|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|

MASSACHUSETTS:

| | | | | |
|----------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Franklin | Industrial | 566,000 | 4,163,000 | 1,440,052 |
|----------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|

MARYLAND:

| | | | | |
|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Beltsville | Industrial | 3,200,000 | 5,958,773 | 993,091 |
|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|

MICHIGAN:

| | | | | |
|-------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|
| Orion | Industrial | 3,630,000 | 11,581,000 | 148,468 |
|-------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|

| | | | | |
|---------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Romulus | Industrial | 531,000 | 3,665,961 | 894,832 |
|---------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|

MINNESOTA:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| White Bear Lake | Industrial | 1,470,000 | 3,566,000 | 45,718 |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------|

MISSOURI:

| | | | | |
|-------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------|
| Kansas City | Industrial | 660,000 | 4,049,832 | 51,921 |
|-------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------|

| | | | | |
|---------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Liberty | Industrial | 723,000 | 6,519,412 | 1,590,294 |
|---------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|

| | | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| O' Fallon | Industrial | 264,000 | 3,569,775 | 1,084,627 |
|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|

| | | | | |
|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|
| St. Joseph | Industrial | 800,000 | 11,753,964 | 1,958,894 |
|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|

September 30, 2007
(cont d)

| | Property Type | <u>Land</u> | <u>Buildings Improvements & Equipment</u> | <u>Accumulated Depreciation</u> |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| MISSISSIPPI: | | | | |
| Jackson | Industrial | 218,000 | 1,357,269 | 595,545 |
| Richland | Industrial | 211,000 | 1,267,000 | 420,148 |
| NORTH CAROLINA: | | | | |
| Fayetteville | Industrial | 172,000 | 4,491,993 | 1,208,695 |
| Greensboro | Industrial | 327,100 | 1,868,700 | 862,043 |
| Monroe | Industrial | 500,000 | 4,981,022 | 702,422 |
| Winston-Salem | Industrial | 980,000 | 5,670,918 | 798,205 |
| NEBRASKA: | | | | |
| Omaha | Industrial | 1,170,000 | 4,425,500 | 964,482 |
| NEW JERSEY: | | | | |
| Carlstadt (2) | Industrial | 2,168,877 | 1,821,123 | 23,348 |
| Freehold Corporate Office | Equipment | -0- | 50,469 | 38,285 |
| Ramsey | Industrial | 52,639 | 1,361,358 | 920,426 |
| Somerset (1) | Shopping Center | 55,182 | 1,208,489 | 1,123,274 |
| NEW YORK: | | | | |
| Cheektowaga | Industrial | 1,278,656 | 5,098,343 | 65,365 |
| Orangeburg | Industrial | 694,720 | 2,999,606 | 1,410,724 |
| OHIO: | | | | |
| Bedford Heights | Industrial | 990,000 | 4,893,912 | 62,742 |
| Richfield | Industrial | 1,000,000 | 7,197,945 | 276,841 |
| Union Township | Industrial | 695,000 | 4,366,253 | 734,515 |
| PENNSYLVANIA: | | | | |
| Monaca | Industrial | 330,772 | 2,218,894 | 1,708,578 |
| Quakertown | Industrial | 1,069,500 | 1,905,500 | 24,423 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Hanahan (FDX) | Industrial | 930,000 | 3,695,453 | 219,631 |
| Hanahan (Norton) | Industrial | 1,129,000 | 11,843,474 | 758,439 |
| TENNESSEE: | | | | |
| Chattanooga | Industrial | 300,000 | 4,464,711 | 57,240 |
| Shelby County | Vacant Land | 11,065 | -0- | -0- |
| TEXAS: | | | | |
| El Paso (2) | Industrial | 2,088,242 | 4,514,427 | 57,877 |
| VIRGINIA: | | | | |
| Charlottesville | Industrial | 1,170,000 | 2,845,000 | 620,058 |
| Richmond (FDX) | Industrial | 1,160,000 | 6,436,570 | 1,072,835 |
| Richmond (Carrier) | Industrial | 470,000 | 3,715,000 | 47,628 |
| Roanoke | Industrial | 1,853,000 | 4,817,298 | 58,663 |
| WISCONSIN: | | | | |
| Cudahy | Industrial | 980,000 | 8,355,417 | 865,725 |
| Total as of September 30, 2007 | | \$65,544,553 | \$291,176,889 | \$35,312,263 |

(1)

This represents the Company's 2/3 undivided interest in the property.

(2)

The Company owns a majority interest in the entities which own these properties.

NOTE 4 ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

Fiscal 2008

Acquisitions

On November 30, 2007, the Company purchased an 89,101 square foot industrial building in Cocoa, Florida. The building is 100% net-leased to FDX Ground through November 19, 2016. The purchase price including closing costs was approximately \$10,505,000. The Company obtained a mortgage of \$7,150,000 (see Note No. 10) at a fixed interest rate of 6.29% and used its line of credit to fund the acquisition.

On September 25, 2008, the Company purchased an 110,638 square foot industrial building in Orlando, Florida. The building is 100% net-leased to FDX through November 30, 2017. The purchase price including closing costs was approximately \$8,335,000. The Company obtained a mortgage of \$5,800,000 (see Note No. 10) at a fixed interest rate of 6.56% and used proceeds from the sale of the industrial property in Franklin, Massachusetts to fund the acquisition. This property is the replacement property in a like-kind exchange transaction that will qualify for tax-deferred treatment under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code (see disposition below).

Dispositions

On June 3, 2008, the Company sold an 84,376 square foot industrial building in Franklin, Massachusetts for \$6,685,000 (\$79 per square foot). The property was leased to Kellogg Sales Company at the time of the sale and was leased through January 2010 at an annual rent of approximately \$6.25 per square foot or \$527,000. The Company recognized a gain on the sale of \$3,268,496. The operating results and gain on sale are presented as discontinued operations. The property was not subject to a mortgage, and is intended to serve as the relinquished property in a 1031 like-kind exchange transaction.

On July 22, 2008, the Company sold its 44,719 square foot industrial property in Ramsey, New Jersey to HSM Acquisitions Partners, Inc. and other related parties, for a selling price of \$4,050,000. The decision to sell the property and the terms of the sale were recommended by the Company's Business Judgment Committee, whose members consist of independent directors. The Business Judgment Committee obtained an independent appraisal of the property to assist in determining the contract terms. The Company believes that the terms of the sale are comparable to what the Company could have agreed to with an unrelated party. The purchaser is not a totally unrelated party in that a one-third interest in the purchasing group is the President of the Company's real estate advisor who is also related to one of the Directors of the Company. The majority of the purchasing entity is not a related party. No real estate commission was paid on this transaction. The operating results and gain on sale are presented as discontinued operations. The Company recognized a gain on the sale of \$3,522,120.

Expansions:

The Company expanded the industrial building in Beltsville, Maryland. Construction was completed in August 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$5,400,000. The building was expanded from 109,705 square feet to 147,668 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$898,835 (\$8.19 per square foot) to \$1,426,104 (\$9.66 per square foot) and the lease was extended through July 2018.

The Company expanded the industrial building leased to FDX Ground in Augusta, Georgia. Construction was completed in August 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$1,665,000. The building was expanded from 38,210 square feet to 59,358 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$278,579 (\$7.29 per square foot) to \$453,457 (\$7.64 per square foot) and the lease was extended through August 2018.

The Company expanded the industrial building leased to FDX Ground in Hanahan, South Carolina. Construction was completed in July 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$2,900,000. The building

was expanded from 54,286 square feet to 91,776 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$373,823 (\$6.89 per square foot) to \$675,239 (\$7.36 per square foot) and the lease was extended through July 2018.

The Company expanded the industrial building leased to FDX Ground in Denver, Colorado. Construction was completed in July 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$1,412,000. The building was expanded from 60,361 square feet to 69,865 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$421,460 (\$6.98 per square foot) to \$564,206 (\$8.08 per square foot) and the lease was extended through July 2018. In addition to this expansion, the Company had agreed to purchase an additional 2.19 acres of land adjacent to the existing property to accommodate future development anticipated by the tenant. This purchase is now not expected to close and FDX Ground will reimburse the Company for funds expended to date of \$100,000.

The Company expanding the industrial building leased to FDX Ground in Colorado Springs, Colorado. Construction was completed in September 2008 and total costs are expected to be approximately \$2,300,000. The building was expanded from 53,202 square feet to 68,370 square feet. Annual rent increased from \$411,823 (\$7.74 per square foot) to \$644,729 (\$9.43 per square foot) and the lease was extended through September 2018.

Fiscal 2007

Acquisitions

On December 8, 2006, the Company purchased an 83,000 square foot industrial building in Roanoke, Virginia. The building is 100% net-leased to DHL through December 7, 2016 with a lease guaranteed by Airborne Freight Corporation. The purchase price including closing costs was approximately \$7,171,000. The Company initially used proceeds from the December 2006 preferred stock offering to finance the acquisition. On April 11, 2007, the Company obtained a \$4,725,000 mortgage (see Note No. 10). Management estimated that the value allocated to the lease in place at purchase was approximately \$463,810.

On July 31, 2007, the Company merged with Monmouth Capital Corporation. See information on properties held by Monmouth Capital in Note No. 2.

On August 3, 2007, the Company purchased a 193,371 square foot industrial building in Orion, Michigan. The building is 100% net-leased for ten years to FedEx Ground Package Systems, Inc., a subsidiary of FDX. The purchase price including closing costs was approximately \$17,260,000. The Company paid \$100,000 in cash, obtained a mortgage of \$12,200,000 and obtained the balance from its margin loan. The mortgage is payable at a fixed rate of 6.57% and matures on August 31, 2017. Management estimated that the value allocated to the lease in-place at purchase was approximately \$497,000.

On September 13, 2007, the Company purchased a 34,624 square foot industrial building in Punta Gorda, Florida. The building is 100% net-leased for ten years to FDX. The purchase price including closing costs was approximately \$4,130,000. The Company paid \$50,000 in cash, obtained a mortgage of \$2,840,000 and obtained the balance from its line of credit with North Fork Bank. The mortgage is payable at a fixed rate of 6.29% and matures on October 1, 2017.

Dispositions

On August 3, 2007, the Company sold a 144,520 square foot industrial building in South Brunswick for \$8,501,500. The property was vacant at the time of the sale and was formerly leased through November 30, 2006 at an annual rent of approximately \$5.25 per square foot or \$759,000. The Company recognized a gain on the sale of \$4,634,564. The operating results and gain on sale are presented as discontinued operations. In connection with the sale, the Company loaned the buyer \$190,000 in exchange for a promissory note. The promissory note, which was at an interest rate of 7.0%, was originally due August 30, 2007. The maturity date was extended to April 30, 2008 and the interest rate was increased to 8% as of November 1, 2007. Subsequent to year end, the buyer paid all interest due through October 31, 2007 and agreed to a \$5,000 principal payment per month plus interest until the due date, when the remaining \$160,000 plus interest will be due. The Company has stopped accruing interest on this note and has

recorded an allowance of \$100,000 as of September 30, 2008. The balance outstanding on the note as of September 30, 2008 was \$183,167 which includes principal of \$175,000 and accrued interest of \$8,166.67.

Expansions

The Company expanded the industrial building in Cudahy, Wisconsin during 2007. The building was expanded from 114,123 square feet to 139,564 square feet with total construction cost of approximately \$3,216,000.

The annual rent increased from \$572,123 (\$5.01 psf) to \$886,122 (\$6.35 psf) upon the completion of the expansion in July 2007 and the lease was extended through 2017.

Monmouth Capital expanded the industrial building in Wheeling, Illinois which was acquired in the merger. The building was expanded from 107,160 square feet to 123,000 square feet at an estimated construction cost of approximately \$1,358,000. The annual rent increased from \$1,019,052 (\$9.51 psf) to \$1,218,600 (\$9.90 psf) upon the completion of the expansion in July 2007, and the lease was extended through 2017. The Company also expanded the parking lot at the building for total anticipated costs of approximately \$1,988,000. As of September 30, 2007, construction in progress related to the parking lot was \$231,260. The parking lot expansion was completed in November 2007 at which time the annual rent increased from \$1,218,600 (\$9.90 psf) to \$1,385,532 (\$11.26 psf).

NOTE 5 LOANS RECEIVABLE AND OTHER ASSETS

The Company assumed loans receivable in the merger with Monmouth Capital. The following is a summary of the loans held as of September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| | Rate | Date | 9/30/08 | 9/30/07 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Financed Manufactured Homes | 10%-15% | Various | \$472,147 | \$543,820 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Allowance for Losses | (14,711) | (9,541) |
| Loans Receivable, net | \$457,436 | \$534,279 |

From 1994 through March 2001, Monmouth Capital sold and financed manufactured home units. Inventory related to repossessions totaled \$21,805 as of September 30, 2007 and are recorded at lower of cost or market. The inventory of repossessed manufactured homes existing as of September 30, 2007 was sold to UMH during the year at book value.

At September 30, 2008 and 2007, loans receivable consists of 29 and 32 loans, respectively. These loans range from approximately \$1,000 to approximately \$51,000. Loans receivable for financed manufactured homes are secured by the property financed. Generally, the terms of the loans do not exceed 20 years.

Other Assets consists of the following as of September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| | <u>9/30/08</u> | <u>9/30/07</u> |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Deposits and pre-acquisition costs | \$66,099 | \$173,275 |
| Construction in progress | -0- | 650,233 |
| Unrealized gain on open futures contracts | -0- | 102,657 |
| Inventory | -0- | 21,805 |
| Total | \$66,099 | \$947,970 |

NOTE 6 INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the estimated value of the leases in-place at acquisition for the following properties and are amortized over the term of the lease:

| | <u>9/30/08</u> | <u>9/30/07</u> |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Denver, CO | \$90,000 | \$90,000 |
| Hanahan, SC (Norton) | 1,524,000 | 1,524,000 |
| Augusta, GA | 210,000 | 210,000 |
| Richfield, OH | 440,000 | 440,000 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 440,000 | 440,000 |
| Griffin, GA | 684,525 | 684,525 |
| Roanoke, VA | 463,810 | 463,810 |
| Carlstadt, NJ | 95,000 | -0- |
| White Bear Lake, MN | -0- | 464,000 |
| Cheektowaga, NY | -0- | 1,003,000 |
| Wheeling, IL | 2,151,000 | 3,918,000 |
| Richmond, VA (Carrier) | 104,000 | 515,000 |
| Quakertown, PA | 630,000 | 665,000 |
| Montgomery, IL | -0- | 261,000 |
| Tampa, FL (Kelloggs) | 19,000 | 20,000 |
| Lakeland, FL | 136,727 | 136,727 |
| El Paso, TX | 1,423,449 | 1,423,449 |
| Chattanooga, TN | 26,651 | 26,651 |
| Bedford Heights, OH | 328,836 | 328,836 |
| Kansas City, MO | 193,641 | 193,641 |
| Orion, MI | 497,000 | 2,049,000 |
| Punta Gorda, FL | -0- | 480,000 |
| Total | 9,457,639 | 15,336,639 |
| Accumulated Amortization | (2,219,496) | (907,352) |
| Net | \$7,238,143 | \$14,429,287 |

Effective March 31, 2008, the Company finalized the purchase price allocation associated with the merger of Monmouth Capital and certain other acquisitions which occurred during 2007 and \$5,879,000 was reclassified from Intangible Assets to Real Estate Investments.

Amortization expense related to these intangible assets was \$1,659,118, \$735,683 and \$369,809 for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company estimates that aggregate amortization expense will be approximately \$1,612,000, \$1,567,000, \$1,527,000, \$1,428,000 and \$1,370,000 for each of the years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 7 SIGNIFICANT CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

The Company has approximately 6,068,000 square feet of property, of which approximately 2,793,000 square feet, or 46%, is leased to FDX and subsidiaries (17% to FDX and 29% to FDX subsidiaries) and approximately 279,000 square feet, or 5%, is leased to Keebler Company (a subsidiary of the Kellogg Company). Rental and reimbursement revenue from FDX and subsidiaries totaled approximately \$21,918,000, \$14,266,000 and \$12,383,000 for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Rental and reimbursement revenue from Keebler/Kellogg totaled approximately \$2,081,000, \$1,891,000 and \$1,613,000 for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, rental income and occupancy charges from properties leased to these companies approximated 61%, 55% and 55% of total rental and reimbursement revenue, respectively.

Information on these tenants is provided below. The information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but neither its accuracy nor its completeness is guaranteed.

| Tenant | S&P Credit Rating at September 30, 2008 |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Federal Express Corporation (FDX) | BBB/Stable/NR |
| Kellogg Company (K) | BBB+/Stable/A-2 |

NOTE 8 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations in 2008 include the operations of properties in Franklin, Massachusetts and Ramsey, New Jersey which were sold in 2008. Discontinued operations in 2007 include the 2 properties sold in 2008 and a vacant property in S. Brunswick, New Jersey sold in August 2007. Discontinued operations in 2006 include the three aforementioned properties sold in 2008 and 2007 and a vacant property in Wichita, Kansas which was sold in March 2006. The following table summarizes the components of discontinued operations:

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Rental and reimbursement revenue | \$707,740 | \$1,113,902 | \$1,845,670 |
| Real Estate Taxes | (76,598) | (261,244) | (306,233) |
| Operating Expenses | (45,388) | (151,188) | (115,325) |
| Depreciation | (86,858) | (230,982) | (320,409) |
| Income from Operations of Disposed Property | 498,896 | 470,488 | 1,103,703 |
| Gain (Loss) on Sale of Investment Property | 6,790,616 | 4,634,564 | (28,385) |
| Income from Discontinued Operations | \$7,289,512 | \$5,105,052 | \$1,075,318 |

Cash flows from discontinued operations for the year ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are combined with the cash flows from operations within each of the three categories presented. Cash flows from discontinued operations are as follows:

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from Operations | (\$6,253,279) | (\$4,013,412) | 1,462,801 |
| Cash flows from Investing Activities | 10,486,277 | 8,150,557 | 1,320,854 |
| Cash flows from Financing Activities | (4,232,998) | (4,137,145) | (2,783,655) |

The absence of cash flows from discontinued operations is not expected to materially affect future liquidity and capital resources.

NOTE 9 SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

Dividend income for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled \$1,659,665, \$1,292,636 and \$913,721, respectively. Interest income for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled \$211,597, \$174,808 and \$114,430, respectively.

The Company received proceeds of \$962,822, \$4,225,963 and \$3,999,595, on sales or redemptions of securities available for sale during 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company recorded the following (Loss) Gain on Securities Transactions, net:

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Gross realized gains | \$225,678 | \$471,707 | \$73,480 |
| Gross realized losses | (38,880) | (45,561) | (50,844) |
| Net gain (loss) on closed futures | | | |
| contracts | (742,307) | (272,080) | 188,534 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on open futures | | | |
| contracts | -0- | 102,657 | (87,187) |
| Impairment loss | (3,104,774) | (100,000) | (73,000) |
| Total | (\$3,660,283) | \$156,723 | \$50,983 |

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company invested in futures contracts of ten-year treasury notes with a notional amount of \$9,000,000 with the objective of reducing the exposure of the preferred equity and debt securities portfolio to interest rate fluctuations and the risk of rolling over the fixed rate debt at higher rates. Changes in the market value of these derivatives have been recorded in gain on securities available for sale transactions, net with corresponding amounts recorded in other assets or other liabilities on the balance sheet. The Company closed out its contract as of May 2008. There were no open contracts as of September 30, 2008. The fair value of the derivatives at September 30, 2007 and 2006 was a gain (loss) of \$102,657 and (\$87,187), respectively, and is included in gain on securities transactions, net.

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company recorded a (loss) gain of (\$742,307), (\$272,080) and \$188,534, respectively, on settled futures contracts. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company recognized a loss of \$3,104,774, \$100,000 and \$73,000, respectively, due to writing down the carrying value of securities available for sale, which were considered other than temporarily impaired.

The Company's securities available for sale consist primarily of debt securities and common and preferred stock of other REITs. The Company does not own more than 10% of the outstanding shares of any of these securities, nor does it have controlling financial interest.

- 90 -

The following is a listing of investments in debt and equity securities at September 30, 2008:

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Series</u> | <u>Interest Rate/ Dividend</u> | <u>Number of Shares</u> | <u>Cost</u> | <u>Estimated Market Value</u> |
|---|---------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Equity Securities - Preferred Stock: | | | | | |
| AMB Property Corporation | O | 7.00% | 7,400 | \$159,600 | \$136,160 |
| American Land Lease, Inc. | P | 7.75% | 17,000 | 340,763 | 272,000 |
| Apartment Management and Investment Co. | T | 8.00% | 36,000 | 838,708 | 639,000 |
| Brandywine Realty Trust | C | 7.50% | 3,000 | 57,410 | 47,040 |
| Brandywine Realty Trust | D | 7.375% | 18,700 | 418,606 | 264,605 |
| CapLease, Inc. | A | 8.125% | 3,000 | 58,718 | 52,320 |
| CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. | C | 7.75% | 19,000 | 413,214 | 290,700 |
| Corporate Office Properties Trust | H | 7.50% | 16,000 | 389,885 | 320,000 |
| Cousins Properties, Inc. | B | 7.50% | 44,000 | 982,290 | 748,000 |
| Developers Diversified Realty Corporation | H | 7.375% | 22,000 | 525,462 | 309,320 |
| Digital Realty Trust, Inc. | A | 8.50% | 12,500 | 295,368 | 234,375 |
| Digital Realty Trust, Inc. | B | 7.875% | 5,100 | 110,366 | 97,920 |
| Duke Realty Corp | O | 8.375% | 29,000 | 714,836 | 536,210 |
| Entertainment Properties Trust | D | 7.375% | 5,800 | 112,953 | 83,056 |
| FelCor Lodging Trust Incorporated | A | \$ 1.95 | 28,500 | 560,755 | 349,125 |
| FelCor Lodging Trust Incorporated | C | 8.00% | 24,700 | 476,535 | 271,700 |
| First Industrial Realty Trust, Inc. | J | 7.25% | 3,000 | 57,668 | 48,750 |
| First Industrial Realty Trust, Inc. | K | 7.25% | 3,000 | 57,420 | 49,170 |
| Glimcher Realty Trust | F | 8.75% | 3,000 | 44,138 | 34,740 |
| Glimcher Realty Trust | G | 8.125% | 14,000 | 206,068 | 148,120 |
| Grace Acquisitions I | B | 8.75% (1) | 31,000 | 331,328 | 243,164 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Health Care REIT, Inc. | D | 7.875% | 12,500 | 308,105 | 271,000 |
| Hospitality Properties Trust | B | 8.875% | 32,000 | 742,584 | 512,000 |
| Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc. | E | 8.875% | 2,500 | 62,495 | 43,500 |
| HRPT Properties Trust | B | 8.75% | 11,800 | 296,273 | 197,886 |
| HRPT Properties Trust | D | 6.05% | 38,000 | 694,093 | 524,400 |
| Innkeepers USA | P | 8.00% | 30,000 | 358,628 | 180,000 |
| iStar Financial, Inc. | E | 7.875% | 42,000 | 892,267 | 235,200 |
| Kimco Realty Corporation | G | 7.75% | 34,500 | 820,336 | 704,145 |
| LaSalle Hotel Properties | B | 8.375% | 7,200 | 156,785 | 135,000 |
| LaSalle Hotel Properties | D | 7.50% | 25,000 | 528,212 | 362,500 |
| LaSalle Hotel Properties | E | 8.00% | 3,000 | 62,768 | 51,750 |
| Lexington Realty Trust | B | 8.04% | 24,700 | 562,005 | 372,970 |
| Lexington Realty Trust | C | 6.50% | 9,500 | 364,456 | 318,725 |
| Lexington Realty Trust | D | 7.55% | 3,000 | 51,018 | 40,425 |
| LTC Properties, Inc. | F | 8.00% | 19,000 | 466,558 | 397,100 |
| Maguire Properties, Inc. | A | 7.625% | 30,600 | 362,408 | 290,700 |
| National Retail Properties, Inc. | C | 7.375% | 17,900 | 399,770 | 357,964 |
| Omega Healthcare Investors, Inc. | D | 8.375% | 16,400 | 397,301 | 359,160 |
| Post Properties, Inc. | B | 7.625% | 12,800 | 293,690 | 179,200 |
| PS Business Parks | H | 7.00% | 20,700 | 452,082 | 359,766 |
| PS Business Parks | M | 7.20% | 23,000 | 532,697 | 378,350 |
| ProLogis | G | 6.75% | 5,000 | 121,828 | 85,500 |
| Regency Centers Corp | E | 6.70% | 2,500 | 51,530 | 43,725 |
| Saul Centers, Inc. | A | 8.00% | 18,000 | 450,000 | 405,000 |

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Series</u> | <u>Interest Rate/ Dividend</u> | <u>Number of Shares</u> | <u>Cost</u> | <u>Estimated Market Value</u> |
|--|---------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| SL Green Realty Corporation | C | 7.625% | 26,000 | 639,611 | 445,380 |
| SL Green Realty Corporation | D | 7.875% | 5,500 | 132,213 | 95,150 |
| Supertel Hospitality, Inc. | A | 8.00% | 17,000 | 170,004 | 136,000 |
| Taubman Centers, Inc. | G | 8.00% | 20,000 | 478,998 | 430,000 |
| Taubman Centers, Inc. | H | 7.625% | 5,900 | 131,981 | 118,000 |
| | | 10.00% | | | |
| Thornburg Mortgage, Inc. | F | (1) | 2,000 | 8,500 | 1,100 |
| Vornado Realty Trust | I | 6.625% | 9,300 | 190,778 | 153,450 |
| Total Equity Securities - Preferred Stock | | | | 18,332,065 | 13,360,521 |
| Equity Securities - Common Stock | | | | | |
| American Land Lease, Inc. | | | 4,000 | 92,862 | 77,720 |
| Brandywine Realty Trust | | | 18,679 | 300,653 | 299,424 |
| CapLease, Inc. | | | 11,000 | 88,236 | 87,230 |
| CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. | | | 20,000 | 447,917 | 401,600 |
| Colonial Properties Trust | | | 20,000 | 431,761 | 373,800 |
| Duke Realty Corp | | | 5,000 | 118,327 | 122,900 |
| FelCor Lodging Trust Incorporated | | | 45,000 | 465,794 | 322,200 |
| First Industrial Realty Trust, Inc. | | | 20,000 | 512,608 | 573,600 |
| Getty Realty Corporation | | | 7,000 | 119,547 | 155,190 |
| Glimcher Realty Trust | | | 10,000 | 100,907 | 104,400 |
| Hospitality Properties Trust | | | 20,000 | 490,831 | 410,400 |
| HRPT Properties Trust | | | 40,000 | 308,816 | 275,600 |
| iStar Financial, Inc. | | | 29,400 | 388,374 | 76,440 |
| Liberty Property Trust | | | 2,000 | 69,531 | 75,300 |
| Mack-Cali Realty Corporation | | | 3,100 | 93,165 | 104,997 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Mission West Properties, Inc. | 60,100 | 622,321 | 585,374 |
| Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust | 25,000 | 513,422 | 471,250 |
| Sun Communities, Inc. | 118,000 | 2,468,432 | 2,337,580 |
| Thornburg Mortgage, Inc. | 4,050 | 8,181 | 7,088 |
| UMH Properties, Inc. (2) | 109,745 | 1,151,350 | 762,728 |
| Total Equity Securities - Common Stock | | 8,793,035 | 7,624,821 |

Debt Securities:

| | | | | |
|--|------|---------|--------|--------|
| Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) | 6.5% | 500,000 | 20,014 | 20,321 |
| Total Debt Securities | | | 20,014 | 20,321 |

Total Securities Available for Sale \$27,145,114 \$ \$21,005,663

(1) Issuer suspended dividend during 2008.

(2) Investment is an affiliate. See footnote 14 for further discussion.

The following is a listing of investments in debt and equity securities at September 30, 2007:

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Series</u> | <u>Interest Rate/ Dividend</u> | <u>Number of Shares</u> | <u>Cost</u> | <u>Estimated Market Value</u> |
|---|---------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Equity Securities - Preferred Stock: | | | | | |
| American Land Lease, Inc. | P | 7.75% | 5,000 | \$109,228 | \$111,250 |
| Apartment Management and Investment Co. | T | 8.00% | 13,000 | 325,000 | 321,750 |
| Brandywine Realty Trust | D | 7.375% | 8,000 | 196,400 | 188,800 |
| Corporate Office Properties Trust | H | 7.50% | 14,000 | 346,400 | 329,000 |
| Developers Diversified Realty Corporation | H | 7.375% | 17,000 | 425,000 | 408,850 |
| Equity Inns, Inc. | B | 8.75% | 31,000 | 758,750 | 534,750 |
| FelCor Lodging Trust Incorporated | A | \$ 1.95 | 11,000 | 250,812 | 258,060 |
| FelCor Lodging Trust Incorporated | C | 8.00% | 5,000 | 125,136 | 118,800 |
| Health Care REIT, Inc. | D | 7.875% | 8,500 | 213,110 | 213,690 |
| Hospitality Properties Trust | B | 8.875% | 1,000 | 25,356 | 25,070 |
| HRPT Properties Trust | B | 8.75% | 12,000 | 302,733 | 303,840 |
| Innkeepers USA | P | 8.00% | 29,000 | 580,000 | 464,000 |
| iStar Financial, Inc. | E | 7.875% | 16,000 | 400,000 | 370,240 |
| LaSalle Hotel Properties | D | 7.50% | 6,500 | 162,504 | 147,745 |
| Lexington Corporate Properties Trust | B | 8.04% | 14,700 | 367,500 | 362,502 |
| LTC Properties, Inc. | F | 8.00% | 14,000 | 349,750 | 339,640 |
| Maguire Properties, Inc. | A | 7.625% | 29,600 | 656,290 | 636,696 |
| Omega Healthcare Investors, Inc. | D | 8.375% | 9,500 | 237,500 | 235,220 |
| Post Properties, Inc. | B | 7.625% | 6,000 | 148,028 | 146,460 |
| PS Business Parks | H | 7.00% | 6,000 | 150,000 | 135,000 |
| PS Business Parks | M | 7.20% | 18,000 | 432,540 | 418,680 |
| ProLogis | G | 6.75% | 4,000 | 100,000 | 92,560 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Saul Centers, Inc. | A | 8.00% | 18,000 | 450,000 | 444,960 |
| SL Green Realty Corporation | C | 7.625% | 24,000 | 596,600 | 586,800 |
| Supertel Hospitality, Inc. | A | 8.00% | 17,000 | 170,004 | 229,330 |
| Total Equity Securities - Preferred Stock | | | | 7,878,641 | 7,423,693 |

Equity Securities - Common Stock

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------|-----------|-----------|
| American Land Lease, Inc. | | | 2,000 | \$51,857 | \$44,860 |
| Cogdell Spencer, Inc. | | | 5,000 | 109,356 | 92,500 |
| DCT Industrial, Inc. | | | 1,000 | 12,250 | 10,470 |
| Health Care Property Investors | | | 23,000 | 527,738 | 762,910 |
| iStar Financial, Inc. | | | 12,500 | 444,897 | 424,875 |
| Mission West Properties, Inc. | | | 60,100 | 622,321 | 730,215 |
| Sun Communities, Inc. | | | 110,000 | 3,588,074 | 3,308,801 |
| Thornburg Mortgage, Inc. | | | 15,500 | 199,936 | 199,175 |
| UMH Properties, Inc. (2) | | | 30,000 | 414,000 | 417,600 |
| Total Equity Securities - Common Stock | | | | 5,970,429 | 5,991,406 |

Debt Securities:

| | | | | | |
|---|--|------|---------|--------|--------|
| Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) | | 6.5% | 500,000 | 21,880 | 21,893 |
| Total Debt Securities | | | | 21,880 | 21,893 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| Total Securities Available for Sale | | | | \$13,870,950 | \$13,436,992 |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------|--------------|

(2) Investment is an affiliate. See Note No. 14 for further discussion.

The Company had 66 securities that were temporarily impaired investments at September 30, 2008. The following is a summary:

| <u>Description of Securities</u> | <u>Less than 12 Months</u> | | <u>12 Months or Longer</u> | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | <u>Fair Value</u> | <u>Unrealized Losses</u> | <u>Fair Value</u> | <u>Unrealized Losses</u> |
| Preferred stock | \$8,481,120 | \$2,494,321 | \$4,879,401 | \$2,477,223 |
| Common stock | 6,410,714 | 1,275,376 | 77,720 | 15,142 |
| Total | \$14,891,834 | \$3,769,697 | \$4,957,121 | \$2,492,365 |

The following is a summary of the range of losses:

| Number of | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Individual Securities</u> | <u>Range of Loss</u> |
| 10 | Less than or equal to 10% |
| 25 | Less than or equal to 20% |
| 16 | Less than or equal to 30% |
| 9 | Less than or equal to 40% |
| 3 | Less than or equal to 50% |
| 3 | Greater than 50% |

The Company has determined that these securities are temporarily impaired as of September 30, 2008. The Company normally holds REIT securities long term and has the ability and intent to hold these securities to recovery.

The Company had margin loan balances of \$2,299,947 and \$-0- as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, which were collateralized by the securities portfolio.

NOTE 10 - MORTGAGE NOTES, LOANS PAYABLE AND CONVERTIBLE SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES**Mortgage Notes Payable:**

The following is a summary of mortgage notes payable at September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| <u>Property</u> | <u>Fixed Rate</u> | <u>Maturity Date</u> | <u>Balance 9/30/08</u> | <u>Balance 9/30/07</u> |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| O Fallon, MO | 8.50% | 12/01/07 | \$-0- | \$26,342 |
| Jackson, MS | 8.50% | 08/01/08 | -0- | 75,794 |
| Tampa, FL (Kellogg) | 5.24% | 03/01/10 | 534,710 | 554,592 |
| White Bear Lake, MN | 7.04% | 01/01/12 | 2,312,693 | 2,509,913 |
| Winston Salem, NC | 7.10% | 02/01/12 | 3,872,111 | 4,040,674 |
| Schaumburg, IL | 8.48% | 07/01/12 | 1,323,003 | 1,610,533 |
| Montgomery, IL | 6.50% | 11/01/12 | 5,839,349 | 5,989,225 |
| Tolleson, AZ | 5.80% | 11/01/12 | 7,972,908 | 8,564,284 |
| Ft. Myers, FL | 6.33% | 12/01/12 | 2,637,935 | 2,749,558 |
| Liberty, MO | 7.065% | 03/01/13 | 2,005,972 | 2,379,784 |
| Fayetteville, NC | 6.63% | 06/01/13 | 3,550,000 | -0- |
| Augusta, GA (FDX) | 6.63% | 06/01/13 | 1,125,000 | -0- |
| Lakeland, FL | 6.63% | 06/01/13 | 1,375,000 | -0- |
| Romulus, MI | 7.56% | 07/01/13 | 1,262,421 | 1,454,182 |
| Burr Ridge, IL | 8.00% | 01/01/14 | 477,558 | 579,825 |
| Omaha, NE | 7.15% | 01/01/14 | 1,949,017 | 2,244,456 |
| Charlottesville, VA | 6.90% | 07/01/14 | 1,395,821 | 1,587,058 |
| Tampa, FL (Kellogg) | 5.71% | 03/01/15 | 3,046,894 | 3,155,067 |
| Union Township, OH | 8.25% | 03/01/15 | -0- | 1,932,648 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------|--------|----------|------------|------------|
| Richmond, VA (FDX) | 6.12% | 12/01/15 | 3,331,966 | 3,691,499 |
| St. Joseph, MO | 8.12% | 03/01/16 | 5,728,114 | 6,218,693 |
| Wheeling, IL | 5.68% | 03/01/16 | 6,253,177 | 6,569,530 |
| Beltsville, MD | 7.53% | 05/01/16 | 3,854,395 | 4,217,842 |
| Cudahy, WI | 8.15% | 05/01/16 | 2,774,983 | 3,029,277 |
| Newington, CT | 8.10% | 05/01/16 | 1,597,852 | 1,746,781 |
| Granite City, IL | 7.11% | 11/01/16 | 6,329,209 | 6,889,094 |
| Griffin, GA | 6.37% | 10/01/16 | 9,471,388 | 9,744,087 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 6.92% | 12/01/16 | 2,136,915 | 2,403,233 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 6.00% | 12/01/16 | 1,300,000 | -0- |
| Monroe, NC | 7.11% | 12/01/16 | 2,739,207 | 2,956,066 |
| El Paso, TX | 5.40% | 01/05/17 | 5,597,572 | 5,825,039 |
| Chattanooga, TN | 5.96% | 05/01/17 | 3,016,609 | 3,144,244 |
| Elgin, IL | 6.97% | 05/01/17 | 3,494,333 | 3,778,236 |
| Hanahan, SC (Norton) | 7.36% | 05/01/17 | 7,685,724 | 7,872,578 |
| Roanoke, VA | 5.96% | 05/30/17 | 4,479,678 | 4,650,415 |
| Kansas City, MO | 6.11% | 07/01/17 | 3,156,934 | 3,236,079 |
| Edwardsville, KS | 7.375% | 07/01/17 | 3,539,226 | 3,791,462 |
| Orion, MI | 6.57% | 08/01/17 | 11,894,901 | 12,175,332 |
| Cheektowaga, NY | 6.78% | 10/01/17 | 2,217,304 | 2,390,355 |
| Punta Gorda, FL | 6.29% | 10/01/17 | 2,766,650 | 2,840,000 |

| <u>Property</u> | <u>Fixed Rate</u> | <u>Maturity Date</u> | <u>Balance 9/30/08</u> | <u>Balance 9/30/07</u> |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Cocoa, FL | 6.29% | 12/01/17 | 6,996,921 | -0- |
| Richfield, OH | 5.22% | 01/01/18 | 5,334,180 | 5,555,622 |
| Bedford Heights, OH | 5.96% | 01/05/18 | 3,836,642 | 3,947,158 |
| Union Township, OH | 6.80% | 06/01/18 | 3,553,377 | -0- |
| Tampa, FL (FDX) | 5.65% | 04/01/18 | 5,424,686 | -0- |
| Orlando, FL | 6.56% | 10/01/18 | 5,800,000 | -0- |
| Tampa, FL (FDX Gr) | 6.00% | 03/01/19 | 11,051,227 | 11,474,697 |
| Denver, CO | 6.07% | 11/01/19 | 2,969,400 | 3,151,839 |
| Hanahan, SC (FDX Gr) | 5.54% | 01/21/20 | 2,893,827 | 3,056,375 |
| Augusta, GA (FDX Gr) | 5.54% | 01/27/20 | 2,104,979 | 2,223,217 |
| Huntsville, AL | 5.50% | 03/01/20 | 2,085,660 | 2,212,270 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 5.41% | 01/01/21 | 3,138,089 | 3,328,278 |
| Carlstadt, NJ | 7.75% | 08/15/21 | 1,923,643 | 1,962,684 |
| Carlstadt, NJ | 5.95% | 05/17/27 | 788,472 | 816,121 |
| Total Mortgage Notes Payable | | | \$191,947,632 | \$174,352,038 |

Principal on the foregoing debt is scheduled to be paid as follows:

| | | |
|---------------------------|------|--------------|
| Year Ending September 30, | 2009 | \$10,928,540 |
| | 2010 | 12,201,898 |
| | 2011 | 12,586,631 |
| | 2012 | 17,790,855 |
| | 2013 | 29,966,643 |

Thereafter 108,473,065

\$191,947,632

Loans Payable:

Capital One, N.A.

The Company closed on a \$25,000,000 line of credit with Capital One, N.A. (formerly North Fork Bank) on June 28, 2006 (the old line). On June 9, 2008, the Company modified its line of credit agreement (the modified line). The new \$15,000,000 modified line is unsecured and can be used for working capital purposes or acquisitions. This modified line replaces the \$25 million old line of credit which was designated \$5 million for working capital and \$20 million for acquisitions and was at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 185 basis points. The modified line's rate is variable at LIBOR plus 200 basis points and its term is through March 31, 2011. The interest rate was 4.49% as of September 30, 2008 on the modified line and 7.68%, as of September 30, 2007 on the old line. The Company must keep not less than \$1,000,000 in average net collected balances at Capital One, N.A. and meet certain loan covenants as contained in the loan agreement, including a 65% loan to value ratio on certain negatively pledged properties. Fees paid related to this modification in 2008 were \$43,675 and are being amortized over the term of the modified line. The balance outstanding as of September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$12,251,000 and \$2,500,000, respectively.

Margin Loans

The Company uses margin loans for purchasing securities, for temporarily funding for acquisitions, and for working capital purposes. The interest rate charged on the margin loan is the bank's margin rate and was 3.75% and 7.00% as of September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively and is due on demand. At September 30, 2008 and 2007, the margin loans totaled \$2,299,947 and \$-0-, respectively and are collateralized by the Company's securities portfolio. The Company must maintain a coverage ratio of approximately 50%.

Convertible Subordinated Debentures

Debentures due 2013:

Monmouth Capital has \$4,770,000 of 8% Convertible Subordinated Debentures outstanding, due 2013 (the 2013 Debentures). Interest is paid semi-annually in arrears on April 30 and October 31 of each year. The 2013 Debentures are convertible into common stock of the Company at any time prior to redemption or maturity, at the conversion price of \$9.16 per share (equivalent to a rate of 109.17 shares of common stock for each \$1,000 principal amount), subject to adjustment under certain conditions.

The Company may redeem the 2013 Debentures, at its option, in whole or in part, at any time on and after October 31, 2004 at the redemption prices set below. The redemption price, expressed as a percentage of the principal amount, is 100% for the 12-month period beginning on:

| <u>Period</u> | <u>Redemption Price</u> |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| October 23, 2008 | 105% |
| October 23, 2009 and thereafter | 100% |

In each case, the Company will pay accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date fixed for redemption. No sinking fund is provided for the 2013 Debentures. On October 10, 2008, the Company repurchased \$1,000,000 principal amount of 2013 Debentures from UMH Properties, Inc, (a related REIT).

Debentures due 2015:

Monmouth Capital has \$10,220,000 of 8% Convertible Subordinated Debentures outstanding, due 2015 (the 2015 Debentures). Interest is paid semi-annually in arrears on April 30 and October 31 of each year, commencing October 31, 2005. The 2015 Debentures are convertible into common stock of the Company at any time prior to redemption or maturity, at the conversion price of \$11.45 per share (equivalent to a rate of 87.336 shares of common stock for each \$1,000 principal amount), subject to adjustment under certain conditions.

The Company may redeem the 2015 Debentures, at its option, in whole or in part, at any time on and after March 30, 2006 at the redemption prices set below. The redemption price, expressed as a percentage of the principal amount, is as follows for the 12-month periods beginning on:

| <u>Period</u> | <u>Redemption Price</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| March 30, 2009 | 110% |
| March 30, 2010 | 105% |
| March 30, 2011 and Thereafter | 100% |

In each case, the Company will pay accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date fixed for redemption. No sinking fund is provided for the 2015 Debentures.

NOTE 11 - OTHER LIABILITIES

Other liabilities consist of the following:

| | 9/30/08 | 9/30/07 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Deferred rent liability | \$-0- | \$18,096 |
| Below market lease intangible liability | 398,424 | 457,791 |
| Rent paid in advance | 1,435,060 | 1,270,467 |
| Tenant security deposits | 227,055 | 254,137 |
| Other | 54,088 | 54,088 |
| Total | \$2,114,627 | \$2,054,579 |

NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTION PLAN

On July 26, 2007, the 2007 Stock Option Plan (the 2007 Plan) was approved by the shareholders authorizing the grant to officers, directors and key employees, of options to purchase up to 1,500,000 shares of common stock. Options may be granted any time up through December 31, 2016. No option shall be available for exercise beyond ten years.

All options are exercisable after one year from the date of grant. The option price shall not be below the fair market value at date of grant. Canceled or expired options are added back to the pool of shares available under the Plan.

The Company accounts for stock options in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123). SFAS 123R requires that compensation cost for all stock awards be calculated and amortized over the service period (generally equal to the vesting period).

During the year ended September 30, 2008, eleven employees were granted options to purchase a total of 245,000 shares. The fair value of these options was approximately \$64,150 based on the assumptions noted below and is being amortized over the 1-year vesting period. No options were granted, exercised or expired during 2007. Due to the merger with Monmouth Capital, options to purchase 214,000 shares of Monmouth Capital became exercisable in accordance with their existing terms for 140,170 shares of the Company stock at exercise prices adjusted for the stock conversion ratio. To the extent that an option to purchase Monmouth Capital common stock was not yet vested at the effective time of the merger, the option remained subject to the same terms and conditions of vesting as in effect immediately before the merger.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Sholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants in 2008 and 2006:

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Dividend yield | 7.59% | 7.43% |
| Expected volatility | 15.08% | 16.07% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.20% | 4.77% |
| Expected lives (years) | 8 | 8 |
| Estimated forfeitures | -0- | -0- |

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plan as of September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

| | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Weighted | | Weighted | | Weighted | |
| | Average | | Average | | Average | |
| | 2008 | Exercise | 2007 | Exercise | 2006 | Exercise |
| | Shares | Price | Shares | Price | Shares | Price |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 1,101,170 | \$7.75 | 961,000 | \$7.75 | 731,000 | \$7.62 |
| Granted | 245,000 | 7.91 | -0- | -0- | 250,000 | 8.07 |
| Monmouth Capital | | | | | | |
| Converted Options | -0- | -0- | 140,170 | 7.59 | -0- | -0- |
| Exercised | (14,000) | 7.13 | (-0-) | -0- | (20,000) | 7.13 |
| Expired | (-0-) | -0- | (-0-) | -0- | (-0-) | -0- |
| Outstanding at end of year | 1,332,170 | 7.77 | 1,101,170 | 7.73 | 961,000 | 7.75 |
| Exercisable at end of year | 1,087,170 | | 1,047,460 | | 711,000 | |
| Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year | | \$.26 | | \$ -0- | | \$.47 |

The following is a summary of stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2008:

| <u>Date of Grant</u> | <u>Number of Grants</u> | <u>Number of Shares</u> | <u>Option Price</u> | <u>Expiration Date</u> |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------|----|-----------|--------|----------|
| 10/04/01 | 1 | 32,750 | \$5.04 | 10/04/09 |
| 06/21/02 | 11 | 167,000 | 7.13 | 06/21/10 |
| 01/22/03 | 1 | 65,000 | 6.90 | 01/22/11 |
| 05/20/04 | 10 | 155,000 | 7.41 | 05/20/12 |
| 08/03/04 | 1 | 65,000 | 7.89 | 08/03/12 |
| 08/10/05 | 11 | 245,000 | 8.28 | 08/10/13 |
| 09/10/05 | 11 | 53,710 | 8.70 | 09/10/13 |
| 08/02/06 | 1 | 65,000 | 8.15 | 08/02/14 |
| 09/12/06 | 11 | 185,000 | 8.04 | 09/12/14 |
| 01/22/07 | 11 | 53,710 | 8.05 | 01/22/15 |
| 12/12/07 | 1 | 65,000 | 8.22 | 12/12/15 |
| 03/10/08 | 10 | 180,000 | 7.80 | 03/10/16 |
| | | 1,332,170 | | |

As of September 30, 2008, there were options to purchase 1,255,000 shares available for grant under the 2007 Plan.

NOTE 13 - INCOME FROM LEASES

The Company derives income primarily from operating leases on its commercial properties. In general, these leases are written for periods up to ten years with various provisions for renewal. These leases generally contain clauses for reimbursement (or direct payment) of real estate taxes, maintenance, insurance and certain other operating expenses of the properties. Minimum base rents due under noncancellable leases as of September 30, 2008 are approximately scheduled as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|-------------|---------------|
| 2009 | \$33,032,000 |
| 2010 | 30,564,000 |
| 2011 | 28,218,000 |
| 2012 | 23,947,000 |
| 2013 | 19,374,000 |
| thereafter | 61,591,000 |
| Total | \$196,726,000 |

NOTE 14 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Eugene W. Landy received \$18,000, \$19,000 and \$16,000 during 2008, 2007 and 2006 as Director. The firm of Eugene W. Landy received \$17,500, \$-0- and \$-0- during 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, as legal fees. On January 1, 2004, Eugene W. Landy's Employment Agreement with the Company was amended to extend for five years to December 31, 2009. Mr. Landy's amended Employment Agreement provides for (1) an increase in his annual base compensation from \$150,000 to \$175,000; (2) an increase in his severance payment from \$300,000 payable \$100,000 a year for three years to \$500,000 payable \$100,000 a year for five years; and (3) an increase from \$40,000 a year to \$50,000 a year of his pension benefits payable for ten years; and (4) an extension of three years of his pension payments. In 2007, subsequent to the merger with Monmouth Capital, the Board increased his salary to \$225,000 per year. Mr. Landy receives bonuses and customary fringe benefits, including health insurance and five weeks vacation.

Additionally, there will be bonuses voted by the board of directors. The Employment Agreement is terminable by either party at any time subject to certain notice requirements. On April 14, 2008, the Company executed the Third Amendment (the amendment) to Eugene W. Landy's employment agreement. The amendment provides that in the event of a change in control of the Company, Eugene W. Landy shall receive a lump sum payment of \$2,500,000,

provided that the sale price of the Company is at least \$10 per share of common stock. A change of control shall be defined as the consummation of a reorganization, merger, share exchange, consolidation, or sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company. This change of control provision shall not apply to any combination between the Company and UMH Properties, Inc. Payment shall be made simultaneously with the closing of the transaction, and only in the event that the transaction closes.

The Company has a note receivable from Mr. Landy with a balance of \$984,375 at September 30, 2008 and 2007 which is included in Loans to Officers, Directors and Key Employees included under Shareholders' Equity. This note was signed on April 30, 2002 and is due on April 30, 2012. The interest rate is fixed at 5% and the note is collateralized by 150,000 shares of the Company stock. In addition, the Company had a note receivable outstanding from Mr. Landy for \$180,000 which was included in Tenant and Other Receivables as of September 30, 2006 and 2005. This note was signed on July 25, 2002 and was due and paid in full on July 25, 2007. The interest rate on this loan reset to the prime rate annually on the anniversary date and the note was not collateralized. Interest earned on both of these notes during 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$49,219, \$63,653 and \$61,069, respectively.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company and Cynthia J. Morgenstern entered into an employment agreement that will expire on December 31, 2009. Under this employment agreement, Ms. Morgenstern is entitled to receive a base salary of \$208,550 for the year ending December 31, 2007, and is entitled to increases of 7.5% for the years ending December 31, 2008 and 2009, plus bonuses, if any, in amounts determined by the Company's board of directors or president. Pursuant to this employment agreement, the Company's president must request annually that the Company's stock option committee grant Ms. Morgenstern an option to purchase 50,000 shares of the

Company's common stock, although the employment agreement does not require that the stock option committee grant any options. Ms. Morgenstern's employment agreement provides for four weeks paid vacation, the use of an automobile, reimbursement of her reasonable and necessary business expenses and that Ms. Morgenstern is entitled to participate in the Company's employee benefit plans. Ms. Morgenstern's employment agreement also requires the Company to reimburse Ms. Morgenstern for the cost of a disability insurance policy such that, in the event of Ms. Morgenstern's disability for a period of more than 90 days, Ms. Morgenstern will receive benefits equal to her then-current salary. In the event of a merger, sale or change of control of the Company, which is defined in Ms. Morgenstern's employment agreement as a change in voting control of the Company or change in control of 25% or more of the Company's board of directors by other than its existing directors and excludes transactions between the Company and UMH, Ms. Morgenstern will have the right to terminate the employment agreement or extend the employment agreement for three years from the date of the change in control. Ms. Morgenstern received \$18,000, \$19,000 and \$16,000 during 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, as Director.

Effective January 1, 2006, Monmouth Capital and Michael P. Landy entered into a three-year employment agreement, under which Mr. Michael Landy receives an annual base salary of \$150,000 for 2006 with increases of 10% for 2007 and 2008, plus bonuses and customary fringe benefits. The employee will also receive four weeks vacation. Mr. Michael Landy's employment agreement also requires the Company to reimburse Mr. Michael Landy for the cost of a disability insurance policy such that, in the event of Mr. Michael Landy's disability for a period of more than 90 days, Mr. Michael Landy will receive benefits equal to his then-current salary. In the event of a merger, sale or change of control of the Company, which is defined in Mr. Michael Landy's employment agreement as a change in voting control of the Company or change in control of 25% or more of the Company's board of directors by other than its existing directors and excludes transactions between the Company and UMH, Mr. Michael Landy will have the right to terminate the employment agreement or extend the employment agreement for one year from the date of the change in control. Approximately 35% and 33% of Mr. Michael Landy's compensation was allocated to UMH in 2008 and 2007, respectively. Mr. Michael Landy's received \$18,000 and \$4,000 during 2008 and 2007 respectively, as Director.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company and Maureen E. Vecere entered into a three-year employment agreement, under which Ms. Vecere receives an annual base salary of \$107,500 for 2006 with increases of 10% for 2007 and 2008, plus bonuses and customary fringe benefits. The employee will also receive four weeks vacation. Ms. Vecere's employment agreement also requires the Company to reimburse Ms. Vecere for the cost of a disability insurance policy such that, in the event of Ms. Vecere's disability for a period of more than 90 days, Ms. Vecere will receive benefits equal to her then-current salary. In the event of a merger, sale or change of control of the Company, which is defined in Ms. Vecere's employment agreement as a change in voting control of the Company or change in control of 25% or more of the Company's board of directors by other than its existing directors and excludes transactions between the Company and UMH., Ms. Vecere will have the right to terminate the employment agreement or extend the employment agreement for one year from the date of the change in control.

Daniel D. Cronheim is a Director of the Company and Executive Vice President of David Cronheim Company (Cronheim). Daniel Cronheim received \$18,000, \$19,000 and \$16,000 for Director's fees in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The David Cronheim Company received \$3,219, \$33,273 and \$15,419 in lease commissions in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The David Cronheim Mortgage Corporation, an affiliated company, received \$-0-, \$47,250 and \$-0- in mortgage brokerage commissions in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Cronheim Management Company received \$42,558 for a real estate commission on the sale of the South Brunswick, New Jersey property in 2007.

Cronheim Management Services, Inc. (CMS), a division of David Cronheim Company, received the sum of \$375,477, \$367,976 and \$367,976 for management fees during the years ended 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company was subject to a management contract with CMS. For the calendar years 2008, 2007 and 2006, the management fee was fixed at \$380,000. Management believes that the aforesaid fees are no more than what the Company would pay for comparable services elsewhere.

Prior to the merger with Monmouth Capital on July 31, 2007, the Company operated as part of a group of three public companies (all REITs) which included the Company, UMH Properties, Inc (UMH) and Monmouth

Capital Corporation (the affiliated companies). Some general and administrative expenses were allocated between the affiliated companies based on use or services provided. Allocations of salaries and benefits are made based on the amount of the employees' time dedicated to each affiliated company. On July 31, 2007, Monmouth Capital was merged into the Company. Subsequent to July 31, 2007, shared expenses are allocated between the Company and UMH.

There are five Directors of the Company who are also Directors and shareholders of UMH. The Company holds common stock of UMH in its securities portfolio. See Note No. 9 for current holdings. On October 10, 2008, the Company repurchased \$1,000,000 principal amount at par of 2013 Debentures which were held by UMH as of September 30, 2008.

During 2007 (pre-merger) and 2006, the Company purchased 4,539 and 4,219 common shares of Monmouth Capital, respectively, through the Monmouth Capital Corporation Dividend Reinvestment Plan. During 2004 the Company invested \$500,000 in the Monmouth Capital Corporation Convertible Subordinated Debenture, due 2013. Interest received on the investment in the Convertible Subordinated Debenture during 2007 (pre-merger) and 2006 and 2005 was \$40,000 and \$40,000, respectively. The \$500,000 Subordinated Convertible Debenture was cancelled upon the closing of the merger.

As described in Note No. 4, on July 22, 2008, the Company sold its 44,719 square foot industrial property in Ramsey, New Jersey to HSM Acquisitions Partners, Inc. and other related parties, for a selling price of \$4,050,000. The decision to sell the property and the terms of the sale were recommended by the Company's Business Judgment Committee, whose members consist of independent directors. The Business Judgment Committee obtained an independent appraisal of the property to assist in determining the contract terms. The Company believes that the terms of the sale are comparable to what the Company could have agreed to with an unrelated party. The purchaser is not a totally unrelated party in that a one-third interest in the purchasing group is the President of the Company's real estate advisor who is also related to one of the Directors of the Company. The majority of the purchasing entity is not a related party. No real estate commission was paid on this transaction.

NOTE 15 - TAXES

Income Tax

The Company has elected to be taxed as a Real Estate Investment Trust under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and the comparable New Jersey Statutes. Under such provisions, the Company will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income distributed currently to shareholders, provided that at least 90% of its taxable income is distributed. As the Company has and intends to continue to distribute all of its income currently, no provision has been made for income taxes. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. In addition, taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state, and local income taxes.

Federal Excise Tax

The Company does not have a Federal excise tax liability for the calendar years 2008, 2007 and 2006, since it intends to or has distributed all of its annual income.

Reconciliation Between GAAP Net Income and Taxable Income

The following table reconciles net income applicable to common shares to taxable income for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007, and 2006:

| | 2008 | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Estimated | 2007 | 2006 |
| | <u>(unaudited)</u> | <u>Actual</u> | <u>Actual</u> |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 8,501,551 | 8,947,885 | \$ 6,165,588 |
| Book / tax difference on gains / losses from capital transactions | (234,304) | (4,877,063) | 551,282 |
| Stock option expense | (57,367) | 104,552 | 126,325 |
| Incentive stock options exercised | -0- | -0- | (6,214) |
| Deferred compensation | (6,185) | (5,727) | (15,303) |
| Other book / tax differences, net | 1,090,022 | 269,039 | 459,407 |
| Taxable income before adjustments | 9,293,717 | 4,438,686 | 7,281,085 |
| Less capital gains | 555,509 | (343,476) | (419,701) |
| Estimated taxable income subject to 90% dividend requirement | \$ 9,849,226 | \$ 4,095,210 | \$ 6,861,384 |

Reconciliation Between Cash Dividends Paid and Dividends Paid Deduction

The following table reconciles cash dividends paid with the dividends paid deduction for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007, and 2006:

| | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 |
|---|--------------------|----|---------------|----|---------------|
| | Estimated | | | | |
| | <u>(unaudited)</u> | | <u>Actual</u> | | <u>Actual</u> |
| Cash dividends paid | \$ 14,474,111 | \$ | 12,691,237 | \$ | 11,740,756 |
| Less: Portion designated capital gains distribution | 555,509 | | (343,476) | | (419,701) |
| Less: Return of capital | (4,124,885) | | (5,465,956) | | (4,302,165) |
| Estimated dividends paid deduction | \$ 10,904,735 | \$ | 6,881,805 | \$ | 7,018,890 |

NOTE 16 - SHAREHOLDERS EQUITYCommon Stock

The Company implemented a dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan (the DRIP) effective December 15, 1987, as amended. Under the terms of the DRIP and subsequent amendments, shareholders who participate may reinvest all or part of their dividends in additional shares of the Company at a discounted price directly from the Company, from authorized but unissued shares of the Company common stock or at market price when purchased by the Company's transfer agent on the open market. When purchased in the open market, the purchase price per share will be the weighted average purchase price per share paid by the transfer agent for all of the shares purchased. In determining the weighted average purchase price, purchases may be aggregated for both dividend reinvestment and optional cash purchases, or independent calculations may be made, at the discretion of the Company. According to the terms of the DRIP, shareholders may also purchase additional shares by making optional cash payments monthly.

Amounts received, including dividend reinvestment of \$2,886,993, \$-0- and \$4,507,020, in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and shares issued in connection with the Plan for the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| Amounts Received | \$4,232,442 | \$194,700 | \$10,310,290 |
| Shares Issued | 612,330 | 26,327 | 1,333,296 |

The following cash distributions were paid to common shareholders during the years ended September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| Quarter Ended | <u>2008</u> | | <u>2007</u> | | <u>2006</u> | |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| | Amount | Per Share | Amount | Per Share | Amount | Per Share |
| December 31 | \$3,593,204 | \$.15 | \$3,031,949 | \$.15 | \$2,848,186 | \$.15 |
| March 31 | 3,593,204 | .15 | 3,031,949 | .15 | 2,915,143 | .15 |
| June 30 | 3,626,840 | .15 | 3,031,949 | .15 | 2,973,308 | .15 |
| September 30 | 3,660,863 | .15 | 3,595,390 | .15 | 3,004,119 | .15 |
| | \$14,474,111 | \$.60 | \$12,691,237 | \$.60 | \$11,740,756 | \$.60 |

On October 1, 2008 the board of directors declared a dividend of \$.15 per share to be paid on December 15, 2008 to shareholders of record on November 17, 2008.

Preferred Stock

On December 5, 2006, the Company issued 1,322,500 shares of 7.625% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share (Series A Preferred Stock), for net cash proceeds of \$32,021,031 after underwriting discounts and commissions of \$1,041,469. Other expenses incurred for the preferred offering, including legal and other professional fees, were \$436,565 and were included in additional paid in capital. The annual dividend of \$1.90625 per share, or 7.625% of the \$25.00 per share liquidation value, is payable quarterly in arrears on March 15, June 15, September 15, and December 15, commencing on March 15, 2007.

The Series A Preferred Stock has no maturity and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed or otherwise repurchased. Except in limited circumstances relating to the Company's qualification as a REIT, and as described below, the Series A Preferred Stock is not redeemable prior to December 5, 2011. On and after December 5, 2011, at any time and from time to time, the Series A Preferred Stock will be redeemable in whole, or in part, at the Company's option, at a cash redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to the date of redemption.

During any period of time that both (i) the Series A Preferred Stock is not listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange or The NASDAQ Stock Market and (ii) the Company is not subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), but any shares of Series A Preferred Stock are outstanding, the Company will (a) increase the cumulative cash dividends payable on the Series A Preferred Stock to a rate of 8.625% per year of the \$25.00 liquidation value per share, which is equivalent to \$2.15625 per share per year, and (b) have the option to redeem the outstanding Series A Preferred Stock, in whole but not in part, within 90 days after the date upon which the shares the Company ceases to be listed and cease to be subject to such reporting requirements, for a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the redemption date.

Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock generally have no voting rights, except if the Company fails to pay dividends for six or more quarterly periods, whether or not consecutive, or with respect to certain specified events.

The board of directors has declared and paid the following dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock for the years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| Declaration | Record | Payment | | Dividend |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| <u>Date</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Dividend</u> | <u>per Share</u> |
| 10/1/07 | 11/15/07 | 12/17/07 | \$630,336 | \$0.4766 |
| 1/17/08 | 2/15/08 | 3/17/08 | 630,336 | 0.4766 |
| 4/15/08 | 5/15/08 | 6/16/08 | 630,336 | 0.4766 |
| 7/1/08 | 8/15/08 | 9/15/08 | 630,336 | 0.4766 |
| | | | \$2,521,344 | \$1.90625 |

| Declaration | Record | Payment | | Dividend |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| <u>Date</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Dividend</u> | <u>per Share</u> |
| 1/10/07 | 2/28/07 | 3/15/07 | \$609,081 | \$0.4607 |
| 4/3/07 | 5/31/07 | 6/15/07 | 630,336 | 0.4766 |
| 7/2/07 | 8/31/07 | 9/15/07 | 630,336 | 0.4766 |
| | | | \$1,869,753 | \$1.4139 |

On October 1, 2008, the board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.4766 per share to be paid December 15, 2008 to shareholders of record as of November 17, 2008.

NOTE 17 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company is required to disclose certain information about fair values of financial instruments, as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments.

Limitations

Estimates of fair value are made at a specific point in time based upon where available, relevant market prices and information about the financial instrument. Such estimates do not include any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. For a portion of the Company's financial instruments, no quoted market value exists. Therefore, estimates of fair value are necessarily based on a number of significant assumptions (many of which involve events outside the control of management). Such assumptions include assessments of current economic conditions, perceived risks associated with these financial instruments and their counterparties; future expected loss experience and other factors. Given the uncertainties surrounding these assumptions, the reported fair values represent estimates only and, therefore, cannot be compared to the historical accounting model. Use of different assumptions or methodologies is likely to result in significantly different fair value estimates.

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents approximates their current carrying amounts since all such items are short-term in nature. The fair value of securities available for sale is based upon quoted market values. The fair value of variable rate mortgage notes payable and loans payable approximate their current carrying amounts since such amounts payable are at approximately a weighted-average current market rate of interest. At September 30, 2008, the fair value (estimated based upon expected cash outflows discounted at current market rates) and carrying value of fixed rate mortgage notes payable amounted to \$191,178,000 and \$191,947,632, respectively. At

September 30, 2007, the fair value and carrying value of fixed rate mortgage notes payable amounted to \$175,306,000 and \$174,352,038, respectively.

NOTE 18 - CASH FLOW AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME INFORMATION

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company paid cash for interest of \$13,383,667, \$8,353,320 and \$8,298,971, respectively. The 2008 and 2007 amounts are net of interest capitalized of \$262,084 and \$34,434, respectively.

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company had \$2,886,993, \$-0- and \$4,507,020, respectively, of dividends which were reinvested that required no cash transfers.

The following is the non-cash investing activities related to the merger with Monmouth Capital during 2007. (see Note No. 2):

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Real estate investments | \$83,235,331 |
| Intangible assets | 5,108,304 |
| Securities available for sale | 3,313,448 |
| Note receivable | 544,467 |
| Other assets | 29,069 |
| Accrued and other liabilities | (835,477) |
| Mortgages | (40,355,224) |
| Subordinated convertible debentures | (14,990,000) |
| Notes payable | (1,707,475) |
| Minority interest | (3,001,255) |
| Common stock | (37,276) |
| Additional paid in capital | (31,303,912) |

The following are the reclassification adjustments related to securities available for sale included in Accumulated

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|--|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising | | | |
| during the year | (\$5,518,695) | (\$462,098) | \$25,325 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for losses | | | |
| realized in income | (186,798) | (426,146) | (22,636) |
| Net unrealized (loss) gains | (\$5,705,493) | (\$888,244) | \$2,689 |

NOTE 19 CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

The Company is subject to claims and litigation in the ordinary course of business. Management does not believe that any such claim or litigation will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated balance sheet or results of operations.

As of September 30, 2008, the Company had approximately \$1,794,000 in commitments under the construction contracts for the building expansions.

NOTE 20 SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On October 10, 2008, the Company repurchased \$1,000,000 in Debentures due 2013 from UMH at par.

NOTE 21 SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following is the Unaudited Selected Quarterly Financial Data:

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

THREE MONTHS ENDED

| FISCAL 2008 | 12/31/07 | 3/31/08 | 6/30/08 | 9/30/08 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Rental and Reimbursement | | | | |
| Revenue | \$9,534,303 | \$9,652,697 | \$9,587,285 | \$10,706,600 |
| Total Expenses | 4,758,505 | 5,096,718 | 4,893,892 | 5,930,855 |
| Other Income (Expense) | (5,140,117) | (4,100,402) | (2,960,213) | (2,727,056) |
| Income (Loss) from Continuing | | | | |
| Operations | (398,687) | 414,191 | 1,641,475 | 2,076,404 |
| Income from Discontinued | | | | |
| Operations (1) | 175,908 | 171,655 | 3,410,193 | 3,531,756 |
| Net Income (Loss) | (222,779) | 585,846 | 5,051,668 | 5,608,160 |
| Net Income (Loss) Applicable to | | | | |
| Common Shareholders | (853,212) | (44,457) | 4,421,364 | 4,977,856 |
| Net Income (Loss) Applicable to | | | | |
| Common Shareholders per | (.04) | (.01) | .18 | .22 |
| Share | | | | |
| FISCAL 2007 | 12/31/06 | 3/31/07 | 6/30/07 | 9/30/07 |

Rental and Reimbursement

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenue | \$6,471,130 | \$6,857,305 | \$6,424,706 | \$8,543,797 |
| Total Expenses | 3,345,042 | 3,705,654 | 3,214,927 | 4,998,511 |
| Other Income (Expense) | (1,830,347) | (1,717,456) | (1,082,686) | (2,714,431) |
| Income from Continuing | | | | |
| Operations | 1,295,741 | 1,434,195 | 2,127,093 | 855,557 |
| Income from Discontinued | | | | |
| Operations (2) | 229,059 | 19,386 | 159,289 | 4,697,318 |
| Net Income | 1,524,800 | 1,453,581 | 2,286,382 | 5,552,875 |
| Net Income Applicable to | | | | |
| Common Shareholders | 1,524,800 | 844,336 | 1,656,128 | 4,922,621 |
| Net Income Applicable to Common | | | | |
| Shareholders per Share | .07 | .03 | .08 | .23 |

(1) During June 2008 and July 2008, the Company sold industrial properties in Franklin, Massachusetts, and Ramey, New Jersey, respectively, and recognized a gain on sale of \$3,268,496 and \$3,522,120, respectively.

(2) During August 2007, the Company sold an industrial property in South Brunswick, New Jersey and recognized a gain on sale of \$4,634,564.

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

| Column A | Column B | | Column C | Column D |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|--|
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Buildings and Improvements | Capitalization Subsequent to Acquisition |
| Shopping Center | | | | |
| Somerset, NJ | \$ -0- | \$ 55,182 | \$ 637,097 | \$ 577,797 |
| Vacant Land | | | | |
| Shelby County, TN | -0- | 11,065 | -0- | -0- |
| Industrial Buildings | | | | |
| Monaca, PA | -0- | 330,772 | 878,081 | 1,608,055 |
| Orangeburg, NY | -0- | 694,720 | 2,977,372 | 22,234 |
| Greensboro, NC | -0- | 327,100 | 1,853,700 | 15,000 |
| Jackson, MS | -0- | 218,000 | 1,233,500 | 126,904 |
| Urbandale, IO | -0- | 310,000 | 1,758,000 | 96,515 |
| Richland, MS | -0- | 211,000 | 1,195,000 | 72,000 |
| O'Fallon, MO | -0- | 264,000 | 3,302,000 | 267,775 |
| Fayetteville, NC | 3,550,000 | 172,000 | 4,467,885 | 219,977 |
| Schaumburg, IL | 1,323,003 | 1,039,800 | 3,694,320 | 171,838 |
| Burr Ridge, IL | 477,558 | 270,000 | 1,236,599 | 23,195 |
| Romulus, MI | 1,262,421 | 531,000 | 3,653,883 | 12,078 |
| Liberty, MO | 2,005,972 | 723,000 | 6,510,546 | 8,866 |
| Omaha, NE | 1,949,017 | 1,170,000 | 4,425,500 | 41,917 |
| Charlottesville, VA | 1,395,821 | 1,170,000 | 2,845,000 | -0- |
| Jacksonville, FL | 3,436,915 | 1,165,000 | 4,668,080 | 136,936 |
| Union Twp, OH | 3,553,377 | 695,000 | 3,342,000 | 1,024,253 |
| Richmond, VA | 3,331,966 | 1,160,000 | 6,413,305 | 23,265 |
| St. Joseph, MO | 5,728,114 | 800,000 | 11,753,964 | -0- |
| Newington, CT | 1,597,852 | 410,000 | 2,961,000 | 5,486 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Cudahy, WI | 2,774,983 | 980,000 | 5,050,997 | 3,324,203 |
| Beltsville, MD | 3,854,395 | 3,200,000 | 5,958,773 | 5,000,679 |
| Granite City, IL | 6,329,209 | 340,000 | 12,046,675 | -0- |
| Monroe, NC | 2,739,207 | 500,000 | 4,981,022 | -0- |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 3,872,111 | 980,000 | 5,610,000 | 60,918 |
| Elgin, IL | 3,494,333 | 1,280,000 | 5,529,488 | -0- |
| Tolleson, AZ | 7,972,908 | 1,320,000 | 13,329,000 | -0- |
| Ft. Myers, FL | 2,637,935 | 1,910,000 | 2,499,093 | 38,875 |
| Edwardsville, KS | 3,539,226 | 1,185,000 | 5,815,148 | 20,253 |
| Tampa, FL | 11,051,227 | 5,000,000 | 12,660,003 | -0- |
| Denver, CO | 2,969,400 | 1,150,000 | 3,890,300 | 1,311,967 |
| Hanahan, SC (Norton) | 7,685,724 | 1,129,000 | 11,831,321 | 12,153 |
| Hanahan, SC (FDX) | 2,893,827 | 930,000 | 3,426,362 | 3,047,614 |
| Augusta, GA (FDX Gr) | 2,104,979 | 613,000 | 3,026,409 | 1,409,958 |
| Huntsville, AL | 2,085,660 | 742,500 | 2,724,418 | -0- |
| Richfield, OH | 5,334,180 | 1,000,000 | 7,197,945 | -0- |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 3,138,089 | 1,270,000 | 3,821,000 | 2,011,770 |
| Tampa, FL | 5,424,686 | 2,830,000 | 4,704,531 | -0- |
| Griffin, GA | 9,471,388 | 760,000 | 13,692,115 | -0- |
| Roanoke, VA | 4,479,678 | 1,853,000 | 4,817,298 | -0- |
| Orion, MI | 11,894,901 | 3,630,000 | 13,053,289 | -0- |

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

| Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D | |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Buildings and Improvements | |
| | | | Capitalization | |
| | | | Subsequent to Acquisition | |
| Carlstadt, NJ | 2,712,115 | 1,194,000 | 3,645,501 | -0- |
| Wheeling, IL | 6,253,177 | 5,112,120 | 9,186,606 | 4,213,152 |
| White Bear Lake, MN | 2,312,693 | 1,393,000 | 3,764,126 | -0- |
| Cheektowaga, NY | 2,217,304 | 4,768,000 | 3,883,971 | -0- |
| Richmond, VA (Carrier) | -0- | 446,000 | 3,910,500 | -0- |
| Quakertown, PA | -0- | 1,014,000 | 1,806,000 | -0- |
| Montgomery, IL | 5,839,349 | 1,365,000 | 9,860,683 | -0- |
| Tampa, FL (Kellogg) | 3,581,604 | 1,867,000 | 3,684,794 | -0- |
| Augusta, GA (FDX) | 1,125,000 | 380,000 | 1,400,943 | -0- |
| Lakeland, FL | 1,375,000 | 261,000 | 1,621,163 | -0- |
| El Paso, TX | 5,597,572 | 2,088,242 | 4,514,427 | -0- |
| Chattanooga, TN | 3,016,609 | 300,000 | 4,464,711 | -0- |
| Bedford Heights, OH | 3,836,642 | 990,000 | 4,893,912 | 1,758 |
| Kansas City, MO | 3,156,934 | 660,000 | 4,049,832 | 18,542 |
| Punta Gorda, FL | 2,766,650 | 660,000 | 3,441,992 | -0- |
| Cocoa, FL | 6,996,921 | 1,881,316 | 8,623,564 | -0- |
| Orlando, FL | 5,800,000 | 2,200,000 | 6,133,800 | -0- |
| | \$ 191,947,632 | \$ 70,909,817 | \$ 294,358,544 | \$ 24,925,933 |

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

| Column A | | Column E (1) (2) | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------------|--|
| | | Gross Amount at Which Carried | | |
| | | September 30, 2008 | | |
| Description | Land | Bldg & Imp | Total | |
| Shopping Center | | | | |
| Somerset, NJ | \$ 55,182 | \$ 1,214,894 | \$ 1,270,076 | |
| Vacant Land | | | | |
| Shelby County, TN | 11,065 | -0- | 11,065 | |
| Industrial Buildings | | | | |
| Monaca, PA | 330,772 | 2,466,914 | 2,797,686 | |
| Orangeburg, NY | 694,720 | 2,999,606 | 3,694,326 | |
| Greensboro, NC | 327,100 | 1,868,700 | 2,195,800 | |
| Jackson, MS | 218,000 | 1,360,404 | 1,578,404 | |
| Urbandale, IO | 310,000 | 1,854,515 | 2,164,515 | |
| Richland, MS | 211,000 | 1,267,000 | 1,478,000 | |
| O'Fallon, MO | 264,000 | 3,569,775 | 3,833,775 | |
| Fayetteville, NC | 172,000 | 4,687,862 | 4,859,862 | |
| Schaumburg, IL | 1,039,800 | 3,866,158 | 4,905,958 | |
| Burr Ridge, IL | 270,000 | 1,259,794 | 1,529,794 | |
| Romulus, MI | 531,000 | 3,665,961 | 4,196,961 | |
| Liberty, MO | 723,000 | 6,519,412 | 7,242,412 | |
| Omaha, NE | 1,170,000 | 4,467,417 | 5,637,417 | |
| Charlottesville, VA | 1,170,000 | 2,845,000 | 4,015,000 | |
| Jacksonville, FL | 1,165,000 | 4,805,016 | 5,970,016 | |
| Union Twp, OH | 695,000 | 4,366,253 | 5,061,253 | |
| Richmond, VA | 1,160,000 | 6,436,570 | 7,596,570 | |
| St. Joseph, MO | 800,000 | 11,753,964 | 12,553,964 | |
| Newington, CT | 410,000 | 2,966,486 | 3,376,486 | |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Cudahy, WI | 980,000 | 8,375,200 | 9,355,200 |
| Beltsville, MD | 3,200,000 | 10,959,452 | 14,159,452 |
| Granite City, IL | 340,000 | 12,046,675 | 12,386,675 |
| Monroe, NC | 500,000 | 4,981,022 | 5,481,022 |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 980,000 | 5,670,918 | 6,650,918 |
| Elgin, IL | 1,280,000 | 5,529,488 | 6,809,488 |
| Tolleson, AZ | 1,320,000 | 13,329,000 | 14,649,000 |
| Ft. Myers, FL | 1,910,000 | 2,537,968 | 4,447,968 |
| Edwardsville, KS | 1,185,000 | 5,835,401 | 7,020,401 |
| Tampa, FL | 5,000,000 | 12,660,003 | 17,660,003 |
| Denver, CO | 1,150,000 | 5,202,267 | 6,352,267 |
| Hanahan, SC (Norton) | 1,129,000 | 11,843,474 | 12,972,474 |
| Hanahan, SC (FDX) | 930,000 | 6,473,976 | 7,403,976 |
| Augusta, GA (FDX Gr) | 613,000 | 4,436,367 | 5,049,367 |
| Huntsville, AL | 742,500 | 2,724,418 | 3,466,918 |
| Richfield, OH | 1,000,000 | 7,197,945 | 8,197,945 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 1,270,000 | 5,832,770 | 7,102,770 |
| Tampa, FL | 2,830,000 | 4,704,531 | 7,534,531 |
| Griffin, GA | 760,000 | 13,692,115 | 14,452,115 |
| Roanoke, VA | 1,853,000 | 4,817,298 | 6,670,298 |
| Orion, MI | 3,630,000 | 13,053,289 | 16,683,289 |

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

| Column A | Column E (1) (2) Gross Amount at Which Carried September 30, 2008 | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|
| Description | Land | Bldg & Imp | Total |
| Carlstadt, NJ | 1,194,000 | 3,645,501 | 4,839,501 |
| Wheeling, IL | 5,112,120 | 13,399,758 | 18,511,878 |
| White Bear Lake, MN | 1,393,000 | 3,764,126 | 5,157,126 |
| Cheektowaga, NY | 4,768,000 | 3,883,971 | 8,651,971 |
| Richmond, VA (Carrier) | 446,000 | 3,910,500 | 4,356,500 |
| Quakertown, PA | 1,014,000 | 1,806,000 | 2,820,000 |
| Montgomery, IL | 1,365,000 | 9,860,683 | 11,225,683 |
| Tampa, FL (Kellogg) | 1,867,000 | 3,684,794 | 5,551,794 |
| Augusta, GA (FDX) | 380,000 | 1,400,943 | 1,780,943 |
| Lakeland, FL | 261,000 | 1,621,163 | 1,882,163 |
| El Paso, TX | 2,088,242 | 4,514,427 | 6,602,669 |
| Chattanooga, TN | 300,000 | 4,464,711 | 4,764,711 |
| Bedford Heights, OH | 990,000 | 4,895,670 | 5,885,670 |
| Kansas City, MO | 660,000 | 4,068,374 | 4,728,374 |
| Punta Gorda, FL | 660,000 | 3,441,992 | 4,101,992 |
| Cocoa, FL | 1,881,316 | 8,623,564 | 10,504,880 |
| Orlando, FL | 2,200,000 | 6,133,800 | 8,333,800 |
| | \$ 70,909,817 | \$ 319,265,255 | \$ 390,175,072 |

- 111 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

| Column A | Column F | Column G | Column H | Column I |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Description | Accumulated Depreciation | Date of Construction | Date Acquired | Depreciable Life |
| Shopping Center | | | | |
| Somerset, NJ | \$ 1,162,938 | 1970 | 1970 | 10-33 |
| Vacant Land | | | | |
| Shelby County, TN | -0- | N/A | 2007 | N/A |
| Industrial Buildings | | | | |
| Monaca, PA | 1,751,195 | 1977 | 1977 (A) | 5-31.5 |
| Orangeburg, NY | 1,509,712 | 1990 | 1993 | 31.5 |
| Greensboro, NC | 919,922 | 1988 | 1993 | 31.5 |
| Jackson, MS | 643,677 | 1988 | 1993 | 39 |
| Urbandale, IO | 671,938 | 1985 | 1994 | 39 |
| Richland, MS | 452,642 | 1986 | 1994 | 39 |
| O'Fallon, MO | 1,190,981 | 1989 | 1994 | 39 |
| Fayetteville, NC | 1,335,297 | 1996 | 1997 | 39 |
| Schaumburg, IL | 1,135,973 | 1997 | 1997 | 39 |
| Burr Ridge, IL | 345,757 | 1997 | 1997 | 39 |
| Romulus, MI | 988,827 | 1998 | 1998 | 39 |
| Liberty, MO | 1,758,992 | 1997 | 1998 | 39 |
| Omaha, NE | 1,078,748 | 1999 | 1999 | 39 |
| Charlottesville, VA | 693,006 | 1998 | 1999 | 39 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 1,165,003 | 1998 | 1999 | 39 |
| Union City, OH | 821,498 | 1999 | 2000 | 39 |
| Richmond, VA | 1,242,671 | 2000 | 2001 | 39 |
| St. Joseph, MO | 2,260,262 | 2000 | 2001 | 39 |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|------|----|
| Newington, CT | 571,234 | 2001 | 2001 | 39 |
| Cudahy, WI | 1,084,382 | 2001 | 2001 | 39 |
| Beltsville, MD | 1,209,982 | 2000 | 2001 | 39 |
| Granite City, IL | 2,008,282 | 2001 | 2001 | 39 |
| Monroe, NC | 830,135 | 2001 | 2001 | 39 |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 954,856 | 2001 | 2002 | 39 |
| Elgin, IL | 921,544 | 2002 | 2002 | 39 |
| Tolleson, AZ | 1,879,649 | 2002 | 2002 | 39 |
| Ft. Myers, FL | 364,942 | 1974 (B) | 2002 | 39 |
| Edwardsville, KS | 820,680 | 2002 | 2003 | 39 |
| Tampa, FL | 1,461,142 | 2004 | 2004 | 39 |
| Denver, CO | 365,933 | 2005 | 2005 | 39 |
| Hanahan, SC (Norton) | 1,062,118 | 2002 | 2005 | 39 |
| Hanahan, SC (FDX) | 346,925 | 2005 | 2005 | 39 |
| Augusta, GA (FDX Gr) | 289,629 | 2005 | 2005 | 39 |
| Huntsville, AL | 223,857 | 2005 | 2005 | 39 |
| Richfield, OH | 461,404 | 2005 | 2006 | 39 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 270,712 | 2005 | 2006 | 39 |
| Tampa, FL | 279,900 | 1997 | 2006 | 39 |
| Griffin, GA | 877,659 | 2002/2005(C) | 2006 | 39 |
| Roanoke, VA | 179,215 | 1996 | 2007 | 39 |
| Orion, MI | 464,829 | 2007 | 2007 | 39 |

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

| Column A Description | Column F Accumulated Depreciation | Column G Date of Construction | Column H Date Acquired | Column I Depreciable Life |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Carlstadt, NJ | 116,822 | 1977 | 2007 | 39 |
| Wheeling, IL | 451,152 | 2003 | 2007 | 39 |
| White Bear Lake, MN | 146,102 | 2001 | 2007 | 39 |
| Cheektowaga, NY | 192,748 | 2002 | 2007 | 39 |
| Richmond, VA (Carrier) | 148,029 | 2004 | 2007 | 39 |
| Quakertown, PA | 72,621 | 1988 | 2007 | 39 |
| Montgomery, IL | 375,212 | 2004 | 2007 | 39 |
| Tampa, FL (Kellogg) | 146,593 | 1989 | 2007 | 39 |
| Augusta, GA (FDX) | 53,880 | 1993 | 2007 | 39 |
| Lakeland, FL | 62,352 | 1993 | 2007 | 39 |
| El Paso, TX | 173,631 | 2005 | 2007 | 39 |
| Chattanooga, TN | 171,713 | 2002 | 2007 | 39 |
| Bedford Heights, OH | 188,491 | 1998 | 2007 | 39 |
| Kansas City, MO | 157,025 | 2002 | 2007 | 39 |
| Punta Gorda, FL | 120,893 | 2007 | 2007 | 39 |
| Cocoa, FL | 110,554 | 2006 | 2008 | 39 |
| Orlando, FL | 78,638 | 1997 | 2008 | 39 |

\$ 40,824,504

(A) Buildings &
improvements re-acquired in

1986.

(B) Property was renovated in
2001.

(C) Property consist of 2
buildings

- 113 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE III

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (CONT. D.)

(1)

Reconciliation

REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

| | 9/30/08 | 9/30/07 | 9/30/06 |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Balance-Beginning of Year | \$ 356,651,751 | \$ 252,106,723 | \$ 218,913,315 |
| Additions: | | | |
| Acquisitions | 24,428,244 | 106,248,243 | 34,830,679 |
| Improvements | 15,238,074 | 3,811,670 | 174,425 |
| Total Additions | 39,666,318 | 110,059,913 | 35,005,104 |
| Sales | (6,142,997) | (5,514,885) | (1,811,696) |
| Balance-End of Year | \$ 390,175,072 | \$ 356,651,751 | \$ 252,106,723 |

ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | 9/30/08 | 9/30/07 | 9/30/06 |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Balance-Beginning of Year | \$ 35,254,756 | \$ 30,705,823 | \$ 25,988,830 |
| Depreciation | 8,017,084 | 6,547,825 | 5,179,450 |
| Sales | (2,447,336) | (1,998,892) | (462,457) |
| Balance-End of Year | \$ 40,824,504 | \$ 35,254,756 | \$ 30,705,823 |

- 114 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIESNOTES TO SCHEDULE IIISEPTEMBER 30,

(1)

Reconciliation

| | | | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 |
|---------------------|-------------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| Balance | Beginning of Year | \$ | 356,651,751 | \$ | 252,106,723 | \$ | 218,913,315 |
| Additions: | | | | | | | |
| Somerset, NJ | | | 6,405 | | -0- | | 33,977 |
| Monaca, PA | | | 267,242 | | -0- | | 50,500 |
| Orangeburg, NY | | | -0- | | 13,911 | | -0- |
| Greensboro, NC | | | -0- | | -0- | | -0- |
| Jackson, MS | | | 3,135 | | -0- | | -0- |
| Urbandale, IA | | | -0- | | 85,950 | | -0- |
| Richland, MS | | | -0- | | -0- | | -0- |
| O Fallon, MO | | | -0- | | 211,059 | | 28,905 |
| Fayetteville, NC | | | 195,869 | | -0- | | -0- |
| Schaumburg, IL | | | -0- | | 148,646 | | 23,192 |
| Burr Ridge, IL | | | -0- | | 6,115 | | -0- |
| Romulus, MI | | | -0- | | -0- | | -0- |
| Liberty, MO | | | -0- | | -0- | | -0- |
| Omaha, NE | | | 41,917 | | -0- | | -0- |
| Charlottesville, VA | | | -0- | | -0- | | -0- |
| Jacksonville, FL | | | 19,750 | | 93,985 | | 3,363 |
| Union Township, OH | | | -0- | | 3,450 | | -0- |
| Richmond, VA | | | -0- | | 20,265 | | -0- |
| St. Joseph, MO | | | -0- | | -0- | | -0- |
| Newington, CT | | | 19,783 | | -0- | | -0- |
| Cudahy, WI | | | -0- | | 3,216,096 | | -0- |
| Beltsville, MD | | | 5,000,679 | | -0- | | -0- |
| Granite City, IL | | | -0- | | -0- | | -0- |

Edgar Filing: MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| Monroe, NC | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Winston Salem, NC | -0- | -0- | 18,712 |
| Elgin, IL | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Tolleson, AZ | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Ft. Myers, FL | 4,393 | -0- | -0- |
| Edwardsville, KS | 20,253 | -0- | -0- |
| Tampa, FL (FDX Ground) | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Denver, CO | 1,311,967 | -0- | -0- |
| Hanahan, SC (Norton) | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Hanahan, SC (FDX) | 2,778,523 | 12,153 | -0- |
| Augusta, GA | 1,409,958 | -0- | 904 |
| Huntsville, AL | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Richfield, OH | -0- | -0- | 8,197,945 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 2,011,770 | -0- | 5,091,000 |
| Tampa, FL (FDX) | -0- | -0- | 7,104,531 |
| Griffin, GA | -0- | 40 | 14,452,075 |
| Roanoke, VA | -0- | 6,428,908 | -0- |
| Orion, MI | 1,472,289 (3) | 15,211,000 | -0- |
| Shelby County, TN | -0- | 11,065 | -0- |
| Carlstadt, NJ | 849,501 (3) | 3,990,000 | -0- |
| Wheeling, IL | 3,247,631 (3) | 15,264,247 | -0- |
| White Bear Lake, MN | 121,126 (3) | 5,036,000 | -0- |
| Cheektowaga, NY | 2,274,972 (3) | 6,376,999 | -0- |
| Richmond, VA (Carrier) | 171,500 (3) | 4,185,000 | -0- |

- 115 -

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIESNOTES TO SCHEDULE III, (CONT. D)SEPTEMBER 30,

(1)

Reconciliation (cont. d)

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Quakertown, PA | (\$155,000) (3) | \$2,975,000 | \$-0- |
| Montgomery, IL | (413,317) (3) | 11,639,000 | -0- |
| Tampa, FL (Kellogg) | (305,000) (3) | 5,856,794 | -0- |
| Augusta, GA (FDX) | -0- | 1,780,943 | -0- |
| Lakeland, FL | -0- | 1,882,163 | -0- |
| El Paso, TX | -0- | 6,602,669 | -0- |
| Chattanooga, TN | -0- | 4,764,711 | -0- |
| Bedford Heights, OH | 1,758 | 5,883,912 | -0- |
| Kansas City, MO | 18,542 | 4,709,832 | -0- |
| Punta Gorda, FL | 451,992 (3) | 3,650,000 | -0- |
| Cocoa, FL | 10,504,880 | -0- | -0- |
| Orlando, FL | 8,333,800 | -0- | -0- |
| Total Additions | 39,666,318 | 110,059,913 | 35,005,104 |
| Total Disposals | (6,142,997) | (5,514,885) | (1,811,696) |
| Balance End of Year | \$ 390,175,072 | \$ 356,651,751 | \$ 252,106,723 |

(2)

The aggregate cost for Federal tax purposes approximates historical cost.

(3)

Increases and decreases were due to finalization of the purchase price allocation from the MCC merger.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MONMOUTH REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT

CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Eugene W. Landy

Eugene W. Landy, President, Chief

Executive Officer and Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Anna T. Chew

Anna T. Chew, Chief Financial Officer

and Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Daniel D. Cronheim

Daniel D. Cronheim, Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Catherine B. Elflein

Catherine B. Elflein, Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Neal Herstik

Neal Herstik, Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Matthew I. Hirsch

Matthew I. Hirsch, Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Joshua Kahr

Joshua Kahr, Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Michael P. Landy

Michael P. Landy

Executive Vice President Investments

and Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Samuel A. Landy

Samuel A. Landy, Director

- 117 -

Date: December 11, 2008

By:

/s/ Cynthia J. Morgenstern

Cynthia J. Morgenstern

Executive Vice President and Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Scott L. Robinson

Scott L. Robinson, Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Eugene Rothenberg

Eugene Rothenberg, Director

Date: December 11, 2008

By: /s/ Stephen B. Wolgin

Stephen B. Wolgin, Director

