

Edgar Filing: INC Research Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

INC Research Holdings, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 25, 2016
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

or
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-36730

INC RESEARCH HOLDINGS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Delaware 27-3403111
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
3201 Beechleaf Court, Suite 600 27604-1547
Raleigh, North Carolina (Zip Code)
(Address of principal executive offices)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (919) 876-9300

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered
Class A Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: INC Research Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing sale price of on June 30, 2015 (based on the closing sale price of \$40.12 on that date), was approximately \$718,968,173. Common stock held by each officer and director and by each person known to the registrant who owned 10% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes. As of February 18, 2016, there were approximately 53,976,093 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding. Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for its 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

INC RESEARCH HOLDINGS, INC.
 FORM 10-K
 For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
	<u>PART I</u>
Item 1.	<u>Business</u> <u>2</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u> <u>19</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> <u>41</u>
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u> <u>41</u>
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> <u>41</u>
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> <u>41</u>
	<u>PART II</u>
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> <u>42</u>
Item 6	<u>Selected Financial Data</u> <u>45</u>
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> <u>51</u>
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> <u>68</u>
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> <u>69</u>
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> <u>110</u>
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> <u>111</u>
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u> <u>111</u>
	<u>PART III</u>
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> <u>112</u>
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u> <u>112</u>
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> <u>112</u>
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u> <u>113</u>
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> <u>113</u>
	<u>Part IV</u>
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> <u>114</u>
	<u>Signatures</u> <u>118</u>
	<u>Exhibit Index</u> <u>119</u>

PART I

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Such forward-looking statements reflect, among other things, our current expectations and anticipated results of operations, all of which are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, market trends, or industry results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Therefore, any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be forward-looking statements and should be evaluated as such. Without limiting the foregoing, the words “anticipates,” “believes,” “can,” “continue,” “could,” “estimates,” “expects,” “intend,” “may,” “might,” “plans,” “projects,” “should,” “would,” “targets,” “will” and the negative thereof and similar words and expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including those described in “Risk Factors” in Part I, Item 1A of this report. Unless legally required, we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking information to reflect actual results or changes in the factors affecting such forward-looking information.

As used in this report, the terms “INC Research Holdings, Inc.,” “Company,” “we,” “us,” and “our” mean INC Research Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries unless the context indicates otherwise.

Item 1. Business.

Overview

We are a leading global contract research organization (“CRO”) based on revenues and are exclusively focused on Phase I to Phase IV clinical development services for the biopharmaceutical and medical device industries. We provide our customers highly differentiated therapeutic alignment and expertise, with a particular strength in complex therapeutic areas, such as central nervous system (“CNS”), oncology and other complex diseases. We consistently and predictably deliver clinical development services in a complex environment and offer a proprietary, operational approach to clinical trials through our Trusted Process[®] methodology. Our service offerings focus on optimizing the development of, and therefore, the commercial potential for, our customers' new biopharmaceutical compounds, enhancing returns on their research and development (“R&D”) investments, and reducing their overhead by offering an attractive variable cost alternative to fixed cost, in-house resources.

Founded more than two decades ago as an academic central nervous system research organization, we have translated that expertise into a global organization with a number of therapeutic specialties, as well as full data services and regulatory capabilities. Over the past decade, we have built our scale and capabilities to become a leading global provider of Phase I to Phase IV clinical development services, with approximately 6,400 employees in over 50 countries across six continents as of December 31, 2015. Our broad global reach has enabled us to provide clinical development services in over 110 countries. Our global footprint provides our customers with broad access to diverse markets and patient populations, local regulatory expertise and local market knowledge.

We provide clinical development services through specialized therapeutic teams that have deep scientific expertise and are strategically aligned with the largest and fastest growing areas of our customers' R&D investments. We believe our therapeutic focus and proprietary methodology have set us apart within our industry. We were named the “Best Contract Research Organization” at the 11th Annual Scrip Awards ceremony in December 2015. The annual Scrip awards competition is organized by Scrip Intelligence to celebrate the contributions of the pharmaceutical, biotech and other allied industries to improving human health worldwide. The Company was selected from among several leading global CROs after being judged based on full range of services provided and quality of relationships built with clients by a distinguished panel of life science industry executives.

We have also developed industry-leading relationships with principal investigators and clinical research sites, as demonstrated by being named the "Top CRO to Work With" among large global CROs in the 2015 CenterWatch Global Investigative Site Relationship Survey (the "2015 CenterWatch Survey") conducted by CenterWatch, a third-party leading publisher in the clinical trials industry. The 2015 CenterWatch Survey covered responses from over 1,900 sites globally that evaluated 11 CROs, including the top five by revenue, across 38 specific relationship attributes. We ranked in the top 3 on 33 out of the 38 specific relationship attributes. We believe the Company's ranking as "Top CRO to Work With" for a second straight time demonstrates the effectiveness of our business model and our ability to deliver high-quality clinical trial results on time and on budget for our customers.

Our extensive range of services supports the entire drug development process from Phase I to Phase IV and allows us to offer our customers an integrated suite of investigative site support and clinical development services. We offer these services across a wide variety of therapeutic areas with deep clinical expertise, with a primary focus on Phase I to Phase IV clinical trials. We provide total biopharmaceutical program development while also providing discrete services for any part of a trial. Our combination of service area experts and depth of clinical capability allows for enhanced protocol design and actionable trial data.

We have two reportable segments: Clinical Development Services and Phase I Services. Clinical Development Services offers a variety of clinical development services, including full-service global studies, as well as ancillary services such as clinical monitoring, investigator recruitment, patient recruitment, data management, study reports to assist customers with their drug development process, quality assurance audits and specialized consulting services. Phase I Services focuses on clinical development services for Phase I trials, which include scientific exploratory medicine, first-in-human studies through proof-of-concept stages and support for Phase I studies in established compounds. For further information about the Company's reportable segments, please see "Note 12 - Segment Information" in our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. For financial information about geographic areas of our revenue and long-lived assets, please see "Note 13 - Operations by Geographic Location" in our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. International operations expose us to risks that differ from those applicable to operating in the United States, including foreign currency translation and transaction risks, risks of changes in tax laws and other risks described further in Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we had total net service revenue of \$914.7 million, net income of \$117.0 million, Adjusted Net Income of \$120.2 million, and Adjusted EBITDA of \$221.4 million. For important disclosures about our non-GAAP measures and a reconciliation of Adjusted Net Income and Adjusted EBITDA to our GAAP net income (loss), see Part II, Item 6, "Selected Financial Data" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. For further information about our consolidated revenues and earnings, see our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" and Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our diversified customer base includes a mix of many of the world's largest biopharmaceutical companies as well as high-growth, small and mid-sized biopharmaceutical companies. We deliver high quality service through our internally developed, metrics-driven Trusted Process[®], which is our proprietary methodology designed to reduce operational risk and variability by standardizing clinical development services and implement quality controls throughout the clinical development process. We believe our Trusted Process[®] leads our customers to faster, better-informed drug development decisions.

We were originally founded in 1998 as INC Research, and our headquarters are located in Raleigh, North Carolina. As a result of a corporate reorganization in connection with a business combination transaction, INC Research Holdings, Inc., was incorporated in Delaware in August 2010.

Our Market

The market for our services includes biopharmaceutical companies that outsource clinical development services. We believe we are well-positioned to benefit from the following market trends:

Trends in late-stage clinical development outsourcing. Within the clinical development market, we primarily focus on Phase II to Phase IV clinical trials. Biopharmaceutical companies continue to prioritize the outsourcing of Phase II to Phase IV clinical trials, particularly in complex, high-growth therapeutic areas such as CNS, oncology and other complex diseases. Additionally, small and mid-sized biopharmaceutical companies typically have limited infrastructure and therefore have a particular proclivity to outsource their clinical development to CROs. We estimate, based on industry sources, including analyst reports, and management's knowledge, that the market for CRO services for Phase II to Phase IV clinical development services will grow at a rate of 7% to 8% annually through 2020, driven by a combination of increased development spend and further outsourcing penetration. In addition, we estimate that total biopharmaceutical spending on drug development in 2015 was approximately \$75.4 billion, of which the clinical development market, which is the market for drug development following pre-clinical research, was approximately \$65.1 billion. Of the \$65.1 billion, we estimate our total addressable market to be \$52.5 billion, after excluding \$12.6 billion of indirect fees paid to principal investigators and clinical research sites, which are not a part of the CRO market. We estimate that total biopharmaceutical spending on clinical development will grow at a rate of 3% to 4% annually through 2020. In 2015, we estimate biopharmaceutical companies outsourced approximately \$26.0 billion of clinical development spend to CROs, representing an 8% increase compared to 2014 and a penetration rate of 49% of our total addressable market. We estimate that this penetration rate will increase to approximately 59% of our total addressable market by 2020. We believe that CROs with deep therapeutic expertise, global reach and capabilities, the ability to conduct increasingly complex clinical trials and maintain strong principal investigator and clinical research site relationships will be well-positioned to benefit from these industry trends.

Optimization of biopharmaceutical R&D efficiency. Market forces and healthcare reform, including the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (collectively, the "Affordable Care Act") and other governmental initiatives, place significant pressure on biopharmaceutical companies to improve cost efficiency. Companies need to demonstrate the relative improvement in quality, safety, and effectiveness of new therapies as compared to existing approved therapies as early as possible in the development process. CROs can help biopharmaceutical companies deploy capital more efficiently, especially because many biopharmaceutical companies do not have adequate in-house development resources. In response to high clinical trial costs, particularly in therapeutic areas such as CNS and oncology, which we believe present the highest mean cost per patient across all clinical trials, biopharmaceutical companies are streamlining operations and shifting development to external providers in order to lower their fixed costs. Based on efficiencies gained through experience, we estimate that CROs have shortened clinical testing timelines by as much as 30%. Full service CROs can deliver operational efficiencies, provide high visibility into trial conduct, and allow biopharmaceutical companies to focus internal resources on their core competencies related to drug discovery and commercialization.

Globalization of clinical trials. Clinical trials have become increasingly global as biopharmaceutical companies seek to accelerate patient recruitment, particularly within protocol-eligible, treatment-naïve patient populations without co-morbidities that could skew clinical outcomes. Additionally, biopharmaceutical companies increasingly seek to expand the commercial potential of their products by applying for regulatory approvals in multiple countries, including in areas of the world with fast-growing economies and middle classes that are spending more on healthcare. As part of the approval process for biopharmaceutical products in newer markets, especially in certain Asian and emerging markets, regulators often require trials to include specific percentages or numbers of people from local populations. Thus, clinical studies to support marketing approval applications frequently include a combination of multinational and domestic trials. These trends emphasize the importance of global experience and geographic coverage, local market knowledge and coordination throughout the development process.

Management of increasingly complex trials. The biopharmaceutical industry operates in an increasingly sophisticated and highly regulated environment and has responded to the demands of novel therapeutics by adapting efficient drug development processes. Complex trial design expertise has emerged as a significant competitive advantage for select CROs that have a track record of successfully navigating country-specific regulatory, trial protocol and patient enrollment barriers, including sometimes subjective, evolving clinical endpoints. Measures of clinical trial complexity significantly increased over the last decade, as evidenced by total procedures per trial protocol increasing by 57% between 2000 and 2011. In addition, the therapeutic areas where we have a particular focus, including CNS, oncology and other complex diseases, often require more complicated testing protocols than other disease indications. For

example, studies related to complex

4

therapeutic areas often require treatment-naïve patients, and sometimes have subjective endpoints, which can be difficult to measure. In addition, many of these studies have longer periods of duration due to these factors and have been increasing in duration over the last 24 months. For example, our average study duration has increased from approximately 30 months as of December 31, 2013 to slightly over 40 months as of December 31, 2015. As a result of these factors, these therapeutic areas demand greater clinical trial proficiency and therapeutic expertise, particularly in light of new methods of testing, such as the use of biomarkers and gene therapy.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that we are well positioned to capitalize on positive trends in the CRO industry and provide differentiated solutions to our customers based on our key competitive strengths set forth below:

Deep and long-standing expertise in the largest and fastest growing therapeutic areas. Since our inception in 1998, we have focused on building world-class therapeutic expertise to better serve our customers. We provide a broad offering of therapeutic expertise, with our core focus in the largest and fastest growing therapeutic areas, including our complex therapeutic areas, which collectively constitute approximately 66% of our backlog as of December 31, 2015. Based on industry data, we estimate that these complex therapeutic areas together represent over 60% of total Phase III drugs under development. We believe we have been growing faster than the market, resulting in market share gains in our key therapeutic areas. In 2015, our net service revenue grew by 13% and our net service revenue for our complex therapeutic areas grew by 18%. Our therapeutic expertise is managed by our senior leadership and delivered by our senior scientific and medical staff and our clinical research associates ("CRAs") within our various therapeutic areas. Industry analysts have reported that therapeutic expertise is the most influential factor for small to mid-cap as well as large sponsors of clinical trials in selecting a CRO. We believe that our expertise in managing complex clinical trials differentiates us from our competitors and has played a key role in our revenue growth, our ability to win new clinical trials and our successful relationship development with principal investigators and clinical research sites.

Clinical development focus and innovative operating model. We derive approximately 98% of our net service revenue from clinical development services without distraction from lower growth, lower margin non-clinical business. Since 2006, we have conducted our clinical trials using our innovative Trusted Process[®] operating model, which standardizes methodologies, increases the predictability of the delivery of our services and reduces operational risk. Since initiation of the Trusted Process[®], we have reduced median study start-up time (defined as the period from finalized protocol to first patient enrolled) on new projects. Based on industry sources for the median study start-up time for the biopharmaceutical industry, we believe we achieve this milestone for our customers at a faster pace than industry medians, due in part to our proprietary Trusted Process[®] operating model. In addition to the absolute reduction of cycle times in critical path milestones, we provide greater operating efficiency, more predictable project schedules and a reduction in overall project timelines. We were named the "Best Contract Research Organization" at the 11th Annual Scrip Awards in December 2015, which we believe is directly attributable to our innovative business model.

Unmatched, industry-leading principal investigator and clinical research site relationships. We have extensive relationships with principal investigators and clinical research sites. We believe these quality relationships are critical for delivering clinical trial results on time and on budget for our customers. Motivated and engaged investigative sites can facilitate faster patient recruitment, increase retention, maintain safety, ensure compliance with protocols as well as with local and international regulations, and streamline reporting. The ability to recruit and retain principal investigators and patients is an integral part of the clinical trial process. We have dedicated personnel focused on enhancing clinical research site relationships; we work with these sites in collaborative partnerships to improve cycle times and standardize start-up activities to drive efficiency. Our focus on principal investigator and clinical research site relationships is unmatched in the industry, as demonstrated by being named "Best Contract Research Organization" in December 2015 by an independent panel for Scrip Intelligence and our ranking as the "Top CRO to Work With" among large global CROs in the 2015 CenterWatch Survey. In the 2015 CenterWatch Survey, we ranked in the top 3 on 33 out of 38 attributes and received an average of 83% of "excellent" or "good" ratings across all attributes, up from approximately 80% in 2013. In addition, we are a top-three ranked CRO on four of the five attributes rated by sites as most important to study conduct success and the number one-ranked CRO for providing professional

medical staff in clinical operations. We also participate at the highest level of membership within the Society for Clinical Research Sites ("SCRS") as a Global Impact Partner ("GIP").

Broad global reach with in-depth local market knowledge. We believe that we are one of a few CROs with the scale, expertise, systems and agility necessary to conduct global clinical trials. We offer our services through a highly skilled staff of approximately 6,400 employees in over 50 countries as of December 31, 2015 and have conducted work in over 110 countries. We continue to have a presence in high-growth international markets such as Asia-Pacific, Latin America, the Middle East and North Africa. Our comprehensive regulatory expertise and extensive local knowledge facilitate timely patient recruitment for complex clinical trials and improved access to treatment-naïve patients and to emerging markets, thereby reducing the time and cost of these trials for our customers while also optimizing the commercialization potential for new therapies.

Diversified, loyal and growing customer base. We have a well-diversified, loyal customer base of over 300 customers that includes many of the world's largest biopharmaceutical companies as well as high-growth, small and mid-sized biopharmaceutical companies. We have several customers with whom we have achieved "preferred provider" or strategic alliance relationships. We define these customer relationships to include ones where we have executed master service agreements in addition to regularly scheduled strategy meetings to discuss the status of our relationship, and for which we serve as a preferred supplier of services. We believe these relationships provide us enhanced opportunities for more business, although they are not a guarantee of future business. In addition, many of our customers are diversified across multiple projects and compounds. Our top five customers represented approximately 71 compounds in 47 indications across 200 active projects and accounted for approximately 34% of our net service revenue in 2015. Our customer base is geographically diverse with well-established relationships in the United States, Europe and Asia. We believe the breadth of our footprint reduces our exposure to potential U.S. and European biopharmaceutical industry consolidation. We believe that the tenure of our customer relationships as well as the depth of penetration of our services reflect our strong reputation and track record. While 86% of our new business awards in 2015 were from repeat customers and our top ten customers have worked with us for an average of more than 10 years, we were also awarded clinical trials from 72 new customers in 2015, with particularly strong growth among small to mid-sized biopharmaceutical companies. We have also increased our penetration in the large biopharmaceutical market, which we define as the top 50 biopharmaceutical companies measured by annual drug revenue, with 59% of our net service revenue in 2015 coming from large biopharmaceutical companies. We believe we have increased our market share in recent years and are well positioned to continue growing our customer base.

Outstanding financial performance. We have achieved significant revenue and EBITDA growth over the past several years. For example, during 2015, we increased our net service revenue, Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income by 13%, 52%, and 169%, respectively, as compared to 2014, and ended the year with net income of \$117.0 million, compared to that of a net loss of \$23.5 million in 2014. The momentum in our business is also reflected in the growth in our backlog and new business awards (which is the value of future net service revenue supported by contracts or pre-contract written communications from customers for projects that have received appropriate internal funding approval, are not contingent upon completion of another trial or event and are expected to commence within the next 12 months, minus the value of cancellations in the same period). Backlog and new business awards are not necessarily predictive of future financial performance because they will likely be impacted by a number of factors, including the size and duration of projects (which can be performed over several years), project change orders resulting in increases or decreases in project scope, and cancellations. For the period from December 31, 2014 to December 31, 2015, our backlog increased by 14% and net new business awards grew by 24%. We believe our outstanding financial profile and strong momentum demonstrate the quality of the platform we have built to position ourselves for continued future growth.

Highly experienced management team with a deep-rooted culture of quality and innovation. We are led by a dedicated and experienced senior management team with significant industry experience and knowledge focused on clinical development. Each of the members of our senior management has 20 years or more of relevant experience, including significant experience across the CRO and biopharmaceutical industries. Our management team has successfully grown our company into a leading CRO through a combination of organic growth and acquisitions and believes we are well positioned to further capitalize on industry growth trends.

Business Strategy

The key elements of our business strategy include:

Focus on attractive, high-growth late-stage clinical development services market. We believe outsourcing late-stage clinical development services to CROs optimizes returns on invested R&D for biopharmaceutical companies. As development spend and outsourcing penetration rates continue to increase, we estimate that the late-stage clinical development services market will grow at a rate of 7% to 8% annually through 2020 and is poised to realize incremental growth relative to the overall CRO market. We believe that our core focus on the late-stage clinical development services market ideally positions us to benefit from this growth trend. Additionally, we believe that our differentiated approach of investing in highly experienced people, making better use of enabling technology and improving the process of clinical development, will allow our customers to generate superior returns.

Leverage our expertise in complex clinical trials. We intend to continue to develop and leverage our therapeutic expertise in complex clinical trials. We believe that our focus on and deep expertise in complex therapeutic areas such as CNS, oncology and other complex diseases better position us to win new clinical trials in these fast growing and large therapeutic areas. This is enhanced by the use of our proprietary Trusted Process® methodology that reduces operational risk and variability by standardizing processes and minimizing delays, instills quality throughout the clinical development process and leads customers to more confident, better-informed drug development decisions. Capitalize on our geographic scale. We intend to leverage our global breadth and scale to drive continued growth. We have built our presence across key markets over time, developing strong relationships with principal investigators and clinical research sites around the world. We have expanded our patient recruitment capabilities, principal investigator relationships and local regulatory knowledge, which should continue to position us well for new customer wins in a wide array of markets. We have added geographic reach through both acquisitions and organic growth in areas such as Asia-Pacific, Latin America and the Middle East and North Africa, which we believe is critical to obtaining larger new business awards from large and mid-sized biopharmaceutical companies. Our long-term growth opportunities are enhanced by our strong reputation in emerging markets and our track record of efficiently managing trials in accordance with regional regulatory requirements.

Continue to enhance our Trusted Process® methodology to deliver superior outcomes. We intend to continue the development and enhancement of our Trusted Process® methodology, which has delivered measurable, beneficial results for our customers and improved drug development decisions. We believe our Trusted Process® will continue to lead to high levels of customer satisfaction. Our Trusted Process® is subject to continual refinement based on feedback from therapeutic leadership, staff and customers as well as the market factors of an evolving regulatory environment and technology innovation. Our Trusted Process® uses best-in-class and industry-leading third-party technology solutions. We expect that through continuous enhancement of our Trusted Process® methodology, we will achieve better alignment of best-in-class technology to enable increased visibility into critical processes, management and controls in the drug development process. We intend to continue to position ourselves to quickly adopt best-in-class technology through effective third-party collaborations without the need for high capital investments and maintenance costs, driving attractive returns on capital.

Continue proven track record of identifying and successfully integrating selective acquisitions to augment our organic growth. Over the past decade, we have developed a systematic approach for integrating acquisitions. We have successfully acquired and integrated ten companies. These strategic acquisitions have increased our size, scale and reach, complementing our organic growth profile as we have become a leading provider of CRO services. Our acquisitions have enabled us to expand our global service offerings across all four phases of biopharmaceutical clinical development while also allowing us to achieve significant synergies and cost reductions. For example, in March 2014 we completed the acquisition of MEK Consulting, which expanded our presence in the high-growth Middle East and North Africa market. We will continue to evaluate opportunities to acquire and integrate selective tuck-in acquisitions within the CRO sector in order to strengthen our competitive position and realize attractive returns on our investments.

Drive our human capital asset base to grow existing relationships. As a clinical service provider, our employees are critical to our ability to deliver our innovative operational model by engaging with customers,

delivering clinical development services in a complex environment, and supporting and executing our growth strategy. All employees undergo comprehensive initial orientation and ongoing training, including a focus on our Trusted Process® methodology. Our recruiting and retention efforts are geared toward maintaining and growing a stable work force focused on delivering results for customers. We have a successful track record of integrating talent from prior acquisitions and believe we have a best-in-class pool of highly experienced project management professionals and CRAs.

Our Services

Our extensive range of services supports the entire clinical development process from Phase I to Phase IV and allows us to offer our customers an integrated suite of investigative site support and clinical development services. We offer these services across a wide variety of therapeutic areas with deep clinical expertise with a primary focus on Phase II to Phase IV clinical trials. We provide total biopharmaceutical program development while also providing discrete services for any part of a trial. The combination of service area experts and the depth of clinical capability allows for enhanced protocol design and actionable trial data. Our comprehensive suite of clinical development services includes, but is not limited to:

Clinical Development Services

Clinical Trial Management	Data Services	Strategic and Regulatory Services	Post-Approval Services
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patient recruitment and retention • Project management • Clinical monitoring • Drug safety / pharmacovigilance • Medical affairs • Quality assurance • Regulatory and medical writing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical data management • Electronic data capture • Biostatistics 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategic development services • Regulatory consulting and submissions • Clinical operations optimization • Pricing and reimbursement planning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specialized support for patient registries • Safety surveillance studies, prospective observational studies • Health outcome research • Patient-reported outcomes • Phase IV effectiveness trials • Health economics studies and retrospective chart reviews

**Functional service
Clinical Trial Management**

We offer a variety of select and stand-alone clinical trial services as well as full-service, global studies through our clinical development services. Our key clinical trial management services include the following:

Patient Recruitment and Retention. Our patient recruitment services group helps identify and manage appropriate vendors, focuses on patient recruitment and retention strategies and acts as a liaison to media outlets and other vendors that we have validated.

Project Management. Our project managers provide customer-focused leadership in managing clinical trials and are accountable for the successful execution of all assigned projects, where success includes on-time, on-budget, and high quality results that lead to satisfied customers. Project managers have the skills, education, experience and training to support the successful conduct of clinical studies.

Clinical Monitoring. Our clinical monitors oversee the conduct of a clinical trial by working with and monitoring clinical research sites to assure the quality of the data. The clinical monitor ensures the trial is conducted according to Good Clinical Practice ("GCP"), International Conference on Harmonisation ("ICH") guidelines and local regulations, to meet the customers' and regulatory authorities' requirements according to the study protocol. CRAs engage with clinical research sites in site initiation, training and patient recruitment. We deploy and manage clinical monitoring

staff in all

8

regions of the globe. By maintaining a therapeutic focus, we attract CRAs who have a strong desire to dedicate themselves to working within a specific therapeutic area, providing an environment where they can further develop their expertise in their chosen area of interest.

Drug Safety/Pharmacovigilance. Our drug safety teams are strategically located across the United States, Europe, Latin America and Asia-Pacific. We provide global drug safety expertise in all phases of clinical research for serious adverse event/adverse event collection, evaluation, classification, reporting, reconciliation, post-marketing safety and pharmacovigilance.

Medical Affairs. We have in-house physicians who provide 24/7 medical monitoring, scientific and medical support for project management teams and clinical research sites. These in-house physicians consist of senior clinicians and former clinical researchers with patient care and trial management expertise.

Quality Assurance. Quality control steps are built into all of our processes. We have an independent quality assurance department that, in addition to conducting independent audits of all ongoing projects and processes as part of our internal quality assurance program, offers contracted quality assurance services to customers, including audits of clinical research sites and of various vendors to the clinical research industry; 'mock' regulatory inspections and clinical research site inspection-readiness training; standard operating procedure development; and quality assurance program development/consultation. Our customers also engage us to conduct third-party audits on behalf of their studies.

Regulatory and Medical Writing. We also offer regulatory and medical writing expertise across the entire biopharmaceutical product lifecycle. Our team has hands-on regulatory and medical writing knowledge gained through experience from working in large biopharmaceutical companies, as well as high-growth, small and mid-sized biopharmaceutical companies, CROs and the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"). Additionally, each member is trained in FDA regulations, including GCP/standard operating practice compliance guidelines and guidelines established by the ICH.

Functional Services. Our functional service provider ("FSP") offering is a tool to help sponsors review their approach to key functional areas of clinical research, specifically those areas not core to their clinical development business. The aim of implementing an FSP approach is greater predictability and more consistent delivery of services across all protocols. We currently operate FSP hubs in North America, South America, Europe and Asia.

Data Services

Our data services include the following:

Clinical Data Management. Our clinical data management services allow us to confirm that the clinical trial database is ready, accurately populated and locked in an expeditious manner, with verification and validation procedures throughout every phase of a clinical trial. This processing is done in synchronization with the clinical team, utilizing the information provided from the trial to help ensure efficient processes are employed, regardless of the data collection method used.

Electronic Data Capture. To compete in today's changing global drug and device development environment, companies must collect and distribute data faster than ever before. We have the ability to manage electronic data capture ("EDC") to help our customers take advantage of the efficiencies available through EDC, which include improved access to data, reduced cycle time, increased productivity and improved relationships with customers, vendors and other parties. We utilize three leading EDC platforms: Medidata Rave, Oracle Clinical Remote Data Capture and Oracle Health Sciences InForm products. Our ability to design, build and deliver high quality databases in all three platforms enables our team to deliver effective EDC solutions.

Biostatistics. Our biostatistics team has a depth of experience with the FDA and European Medicines Agency ("EMA") which allows our teams to provide customers with guidance on building a statistical plan to meet regulatory and safety requirements as well as a careful analysis of the resulting study data. In addition, we provide support for independent drug safety monitoring boards and a full range

of related services. Our biostatisticians are also heavily involved in our Trusted Process[®] methodology, so that protocol and project development can be grounded in advanced statistical methodology. As part of a project team, our biostatisticians can provide data oversight throughout a clinical trial and address any data or data handling issues that may arise.

Strategic and Regulatory Services

Strategic Services. Our strategic consulting group focuses on maximizing the value of scientific knowledge, intellectual property and portfolio content. The key areas of advisory services include strategic drug development, clinical development plans, registration strategies, exit strategies, transitional clarity, good clinical practice compliance strategies, clinical operations optimization, pricing and reimbursement, and due diligence. Strategic consultants include senior personnel from medical and regulatory affairs, clinical research, biostatistics and data management. These individuals provide expertise gained through hands-on experience as former executives from biopharmaceutical companies, CROs and regulatory agencies.

Regulatory Services. We offer regulatory expertise across the entire biopharmaceutical product lifecycle. Our regulatory affairs practice has a global presence with offices in North America, Europe and Asia-Pacific. In addition, subject matter experts are located worldwide to provide global regulatory coverage. Global regulatory services include worldwide regulatory submissions, regulatory strategy and agency meetings, early development consultancy, data safety monitoring board and data review committee management, chemistry manufacturing and controls, contemporary regulatory interpretation, investigational new drug ("IND"), applications and clinical trial authorizations.

Post-Approval Services

Our post-approval services are focused on efficient delivery of studies and support programs. These studies and programs include specialized support for patient registries, safety surveillance studies, prospective observational studies, health outcome research, patient reported outcomes, Phase IV effectiveness trials, health economics studies and retrospective chart reviews. Our proprietary post-approval study management system provides real-time support for sites and up-to-date status reports for sponsors.

Our Trusted Process[®] Methodology

We perform each of these service offerings through our proprietary, operational approach to clinical trials. Our Trusted Process[®] is a metrics-driven methodology that we employ to deliver superior results to our customers. We developed this process to improve reliability and predictability of clinical trial project management. Our Trusted Process[®] methodology has allowed us to reduce operational risk and variability as well as provide faster cycle times. This has resulted in greater operating efficiency, highly predictable project timelines and enhanced customer satisfaction and retention rates.

The Trusted Process[®] methodology is divided into four sub-processes which correlate with the key phases of a clinical project:

PlanActivation[®] — the design phase, where a project is analyzed and a strategy developed utilizing our therapeutic and clinical experience, forming the basis of a customized project proposal. The strategy continues to be refined based on discussions with the customer through new business award.

QuickStart[®] — the initiating phase, which serves to align the customer's and our project teams to a single set of objectives, create shared expectations and develop a joint plan for project implementation.

ProgramAccelerate[®] — the execution and control phase, which includes the processes of patient recruitment, clinical monitoring and data management. In this phase, we proactively process and review data to ensure quality and project timelines are actively managed, while maintaining strong relationships with investigative sites.

QualityFinish[®] — the closing phase, which is triggered by the first enrolled patient completing the clinical trial. This phase focuses on assuring high quality, actionable data is used to develop the final

deliverables which make up the basis of the documentation necessary for filing with regulatory agencies. Since 2006, we have conducted studies using the tools and discipline of the Trusted Process®. We accomplish standardized delivery through support from a company-wide Project Management Office, which defines, maintains and improves procedures relating to the Trusted Process® and ensures consistent application globally. Using this innovative operating model, we have reduced median study start-up time (defined as the period from finalized protocol to first patient enrolled) on new projects. Based on industry sources for the median study start-up time for the pharmaceutical industry, we believe we achieve this milestone for our customers at a faster pace than industry medians, as a result of our proprietary Trusted Process® operating model.

Customers

We have a well-diversified, loyal customer base that includes many of the world's largest biopharmaceutical companies, which we define as the top 50 biopharmaceutical companies measured by annual drug revenue. In addition, we have strong relationships with small and mid-sized biopharmaceutical customers that seek our services for our therapeutic expertise and full-service offering.

Since December 31, 2010, we have significantly increased our exposure to large biopharmaceutical customers through both acquisitions and organic growth, providing us the opportunity to compete for large, global late-stage clinical development trials, preferred provider lists and strategic multi-year relationships. For the year ended December 31, 2015, our net service revenue attributable to large biopharmaceutical companies represented approximately 59% of our total net service revenue and net service revenue attributable to small and mid-sized biopharmaceutical companies represented approximately 41%. Additionally, we serve customers in a variety of locations throughout the world, with approximately 48% of our workforce based in the United States and Canada, 34% in Europe, 11% in Asia-Pacific, 6% in Latin America and 1% in the Middle East and Africa as of December 31, 2015. This diversification allows us to grow our business in multiple customer segments and geographies.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, our top five customers accounted for approximately 34% of our net service revenue which was diversified across approximately 71 compounds in 47 indications across 200 active projects. No customer accounted for 10% or more of net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Our top ten customers have worked with us for an average of more than 10 years as of December 31, 2015. We also have a growing list of "preferred provider" and/or strategic alliance relationships. Further, among the majority of our customers, revenue is diversified by multiple projects for a variety of compounds. For example, 36 of our customers have active projects in more than one therapeutic area, making up 54% of our net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015. We believe that the tenure of our customer relationships as well as the depth of penetration of our services reflects our strong reputation and track record.

New Business Awards and Backlog

We add new business awards to backlog when we enter into a contract or letter of intent or when we receive a written commitment from the customer selecting us as its service provider. Contracts generally have terms ranging from several months to several years. We recognize revenue on these awards as services are performed, provided we have entered into a contractual commitment with the customer. Our new business awards, net of cancellations of prior awards, for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were approximately \$1.18 billion, \$0.95 billion and \$0.81 billion, respectively.

Backlog consists of anticipated future net service revenue from contracts, letters of intent and other written forms of commitments that either have not started but are anticipated to begin in the near future, or are in process and have not been completed. The majority of our contracts can be terminated by our customers with 30 days' notice. Our backlog also reflects any related cancellation or adjustment activity. Our backlog as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was approximately \$1.81 billion, \$1.59 billion and \$1.49 billion, respectively. Included within backlog at December 31, 2015 is approximately \$0.84 billion that we expect to

translate into revenue in 2016. Backlog is not necessarily indicative of future financial performance because it will likely be impacted by a number of factors, including the size and duration of projects (which can be performed over several years), project change orders resulting in increases or decreases in project scope and cancellations.

No assurance can be given that we will be able to realize the net service revenue that is included in the backlog. See Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors - Risk Relating to Our Business - Our backlog might not be indicative of our future revenues, and we might not realize all the anticipated future revenue reflected in our backlog," and Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - New Business Awards and Backlog" for more information.

Sales and Marketing

We employ a team of business development sales representatives and support staff that promote, market and sell our services to biopharmaceutical companies primarily in North America, Europe, Latin America and Asia-Pacific. In addition to significant selling experience, many of these individuals have technical and/or scientific backgrounds. Our business development team works with our senior executives, therapeutic leaders and project team leaders to maintain key customer relationships and engage in business development activities. For many of our largest customer relationships, we have dedicated strategic account management teams to provide customers with a single point of contact to support delivery, cultural and process integration and to facilitate cross-selling opportunities.

We use integrated and customer-focused business development teams to develop joint sales plans for key accounts. We also place our business development personnel with strong operational experience around the globe to help ensure project demands are fulfilled. Each business development employee is generally responsible for a specific group of customers and for strengthening and expanding an effective relationship with that customer. Each individual is responsible for developing his or her customer base on our behalf, responding to customer requests for information, developing and defending proposals, and making presentations to customers.

As part of each customer proposal, our business development personnel consult with potential biopharmaceutical customers early in the project consideration stage in order to determine their requirements. We involve our therapeutic, operational, technical and/or scientific personnel early in each proposal and, accordingly, these individuals along with our business development representatives invest significant time to determine the optimal means to design and execute the potential customer's program requirements. As an example, recommendations we make to a potential customer with respect to a drug development study design and implementation are an integral part of our bid proposal process and an important aspect of the integrated services we offer. Our preliminary efforts relating to the evaluation of a proposed clinical protocol and implementation plan, along with the therapeutic expertise and advice we provide during this process, enhance the opportunity for accelerated initiation and overall success of the trial.

Our marketing team supports our business development organization through various marketing activities to drive brand awareness and positioning, consisting primarily of market and competitive analysis, brand management, market information and collateral development, participation in industry conferences, advertising, e-marketing, publications, and website development and maintenance.

Competition

We compete primarily against other full-service CROs and services provided by in-house R&D departments of biopharmaceutical companies, universities and teaching hospitals. Although the CRO industry has experienced increased consolidation over the past three years, the landscape remains fragmented. Our major competitors include ICON plc, inVentiv Health, Inc., Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings (formerly Covance, Inc.), PAREXEL International Corporation, Pharmaceutical Product Development, LLC, PRA Health Sciences, Inc., Quintiles Transnational Holdings Inc. and numerous specialty and regional players. We generally compete on the basis of the following factors:

- experience within specific therapeutic areas;

- the quality of staff and services;
- the range of services provided;
- the ability to recruit principal investigators and patients into studies expeditiously;
- the ability to organize and manage large-scale, global clinical trials;
- an international presence with strategically located facilities;
- medical database management capabilities;
- the ability to deploy and integrate IT systems to improve the efficiency of contract research;
- experience with a particular customer;
- the ability to form strategic partnerships;
- speed to completion;
- financial strength and stability;
- price; and
- overall value.

Notwithstanding these competitive factors, we believe that our deep therapeutic expertise, global reach and operational strength differentiate us from our competitors.

Government Regulation

Regardless of the country or region in which approval is being sought, before a marketing application for a drug is ready for submission to regulatory authorities, the candidate drug must undergo rigorous testing in clinical trials. The clinical trial process must be conducted in accordance with the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act in the United States and similar laws and regulations in the relevant foreign jurisdictions. These laws and regulations require the drug to be tested and studied in certain ways prior to submission for approval.

In the United States, the FDA regulates the conduct of clinical trials of drug products in human subjects, the form and content of regulatory applications. The FDA also regulates the development, approval, manufacture, safety, labeling, storage, record keeping, and marketing of drug products. The FDA has similar authority and similar requirements with respect to the clinical testing of biological products and medical devices. In the European Union ("EU") and other jurisdictions where our customers intend to apply for marketing authorization, similar laws and regulations apply. Within the EU, these requirements are enforced by the EMA, and requirements vary slightly from one member state to another. In Canada, clinical trials are regulated by the Health Products Food Branch of Health Canada as well as provincial regulations. Similar requirements also apply in other jurisdictions, including Australia, Japan, and other Asian countries, where we operate or where our customers intend to apply for marketing authorization. Sponsors of clinical trials also follow ICH E6 guidelines.

Our services are subject to various regulatory requirements designed to ensure the quality and integrity of the clinical trial process. In the United States, we must perform our clinical development services in compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations, including GCP, which govern, among other things, the design, conduct, performance, monitoring, auditing, recording, analysis, and reporting of clinical trials. Before a human clinical trial may begin, the manufacturer or sponsor of the clinical product candidate must file an IND with the FDA, which contains, among other things, the results of preclinical tests, manufacturer information, and other analytical data. A separate submission to an existing IND must also be made for each successive clinical trial conducted during product development. Each clinical trial must be conducted pursuant to, and in accordance with, an effective IND. In addition, under GCP, each human clinical trial we conduct is subject to the oversight of an independent institutional review board ("IRB") which is an independent committee that has the regulatory authority to review, approve and monitor a clinical trial. The FDA, the IRB, or the sponsor may

suspend or terminate a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the study subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk.

Clinical trials conducted outside the United States are subject to the laws and regulations of the country where the trials are conducted. These laws and regulations might not be similar to the laws and regulations administered by the FDA and other laws and regulations regarding the protection of patient safety and privacy and the control of study pharmaceuticals, medical devices or other study materials. Studies conducted outside the United States can also be subject to regulation by the FDA if the studies are conducted pursuant to an IND or an investigational device exemption for a product candidate that will seek FDA approval or clearance. It is the responsibility of the study sponsor or the parties conducting the studies to ensure that all applicable legal and regulatory requirements are fulfilled.

In order to comply with GCP and other regulations, we must, among other things:

- comply with specific requirements governing the selection of qualified principal investigators and clinical research sites;
- obtain specific written commitments from principal investigators;
- obtain review, approval and supervision of the clinical trials by an IRB or ethics committee;
- obtain favorable opinion from regulatory agencies to commence a clinical trial;
- verify that appropriate patient informed consents are obtained before the patient participates in a clinical trial;
- ensure that adverse drug reactions resulting from the administration of a drug or biologic during a clinical trial are medically evaluated and reported in a timely manner;
- monitor the validity and accuracy of data;
- monitor drug or biologic accountability at clinical research sites; and
- verify that principal investigators and study staff maintain records and reports and permit appropriate governmental authorities access to data for review.

Similar guidelines exist in various states and in other countries. We may be subject to regulatory action if we fail to comply with applicable rules and regulations. Failure to comply with certain regulations can also result in the termination of ongoing research and disqualification of data collected during the clinical trials. For example, violations of GCP could result, depending on the nature of the violation and the type of product involved, in the issuance of a warning letter, suspension or termination of a clinical study, refusal of the FDA to approve clinical trial or marketing applications or withdrawal of such applications, injunction, seizure of investigational products, civil penalties, criminal prosecutions, or debarment from assisting in the submission of new drug applications. See "Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business—If we fail to perform our services in accordance with contractual requirements, regulatory standards and ethical considerations, we could be subject to significant costs or liability and our reputation could be harmed."

We monitor our clinical trials to test for compliance with applicable laws and regulations in the United States and the foreign jurisdictions in which we operate. We have adopted standard operating procedures that are designed to satisfy regulatory requirements and serve as a mechanism for controlling and enhancing the quality of our clinical trials. In the United States, our procedures were developed to ensure compliance with GCP and associated guidelines.

In addition to its comprehensive regulation of safety in the workplace, the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration has established extensive requirements relating to workplace safety for healthcare employers whose workers might be exposed to blood-borne pathogens such as HIV and the hepatitis B virus. Furthermore, certain employees might have to receive initial and periodic training to ensure compliance with applicable hazardous materials regulations and health and safety guidelines. We are subject to similar regulations in Canada and Spain.

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has promulgated rules under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act in connection with the application of security and privacy provisions under the Health Information Portability and Accountability Act (collectively, "HIPAA"). These regulations govern the use, handling and disclosure of personally identifiable medical information. Although we do not consider that our business activities generally cause us to be subject to HIPAA as a covered entity, we endeavor to embrace sound identity protection practices. These regulations also establish procedures for the exercise of an individual's rights and the methods permissible for de-identification of health information. We are also subject to privacy legislation in Canada under the federal Personal Information and Electronic Documents Act, the Act Respecting the Protection of Personal Information in the Private Sector and the Personal Health Information Protection Act, and privacy legislation in the EU under the 95/46/EC Privacy Directive on the protection and free movement of personal data.

Intellectual Property

We develop and use a number of proprietary methodologies, analytics, systems, technologies and other intellectual property in the conduct of our business. We rely upon a combination of confidentiality policies, nondisclosure agreements and other contractual arrangements to protect our trade secrets, and copyright and trademark laws to protect other intellectual property rights. We have obtained or applied for trademarks and copyright protection in the United States and in a number of foreign countries. Our material trademarks include Trusted Process[®], PlanActivation, QuickStart, ProgramAccelerate, QualityFinish, and INC Research and other corporate emblems. Although the duration of trademark registrations varies from country to country, trademarks generally may be renewed indefinitely so long as they are in use and/or their registrations are properly maintained, and so long as they have not been found to have become generic. Although we believe the ownership of trademarks is an important factor in our business and that our success does depend in part on the ownership thereof, we rely primarily on the innovative skills, technical competence and marketing abilities of our employees. We do not have any material licenses, franchises or concessions.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015 we had approximately 6,400 full-time equivalent employees worldwide, with approximately 48% in the United States and Canada, 34% in Europe, 11% in Asia-Pacific, 6% in Latin America and 1% in the Middle East and Africa. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement and we believe our overall relations with our employees are good. Employees in certain of our non-U.S. locations are represented by workers' councils as required by local laws.

The level of competition among employers in the United States and overseas for skilled personnel is high. We believe that our brand recognition and our multinational presence are advantages in attracting qualified candidates. In addition, we believe that the wide range of clinical trials in which we participate allows us to offer broad experience to clinical researchers.

Indemnification and Insurance

In conjunction with our clinical development services, we employ or contract with research institutions and in some jurisdictions principal investigators and pharmacies on behalf of biopharmaceutical companies to serve as research centers and principal investigators in conducting clinical trials to test new drugs on human volunteers. Such testing creates the risk of liability for personal injury or death of volunteers, particularly to volunteers with life-threatening illnesses, resulting from adverse reactions to the drugs administered. It is possible that we could be held liable for claims and expenses arising from any professional malpractice of the principal investigators with whom we contract or employ, or in the event of personal injury to or death of persons participating in clinical trials. In addition, as a result of our operation of Phase I clinical trial facilities, we could be liable for the general risks associated with clinical trials including, but not limited to, adverse events resulting from the administration of drugs to clinical trial participants or the professional malpractice of medical care providers. We also could be held liable for errors or omissions in connection with the services we perform through each of our service groups. For example, we could be held liable for errors or omissions, or breach of contract, if monitoring obligations have been transferred to us and one of our CRA's inaccurately reports from source documents or fails to adequately monitor a human clinical trial resulting in inaccurately recorded results.

We have sought to reduce our risks by implementing the following where practicable:

- securing contractual assurances such as indemnification provisions and provisions seeking to limit or exclude liability contained in our contracts with customers, institutions, pharmacies, vendors and principal investigators;

- securing contractual and other assurances that adequate insurance will be maintained to the extent applicable by customers, institutions, pharmacies, vendors, principal investigators and by us; and

- complying with various regulatory requirements, including monitoring that the oversight of independent review boards and ethics committees are intact where obligations are transferred to us and monitoring the oversight of the procurement by the principal investigator of each participant's informed consent to participate in the study.

The contractual indemnifications we have generally do not fully protect us against certain of our own actions, such as negligence. Contractual arrangements are subject to negotiation with customers, and the terms and scope of any indemnification, limitation of liability or exclusion of liability varies from customer to customer and from trial to trial. Additionally, financial performance of these indemnities is not secured. Therefore, we bear the risk that any indemnifying party against which we have claims may not have the financial ability to fulfill its indemnification obligations to us.

While we maintain professional liability insurance that covers the locations in which we currently do business and that covers drug safety issues as well as data processing and other errors and omissions, it is possible that we could become subject to claims not covered by insurance or that exceed our coverage limits. We could be materially and adversely affected if we were required to pay damages or bear the costs of defending any claim that is outside the scope of, or in excess of, a contractual indemnification provision, beyond the level of insurance coverage or not covered by insurance, or in the event that an indemnifying party does not fulfill its indemnification obligations.

Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information concerning our executive officers as of December 31, 2015:

Name	Age	Position
D. Jamie Macdonald	47	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Gregory S. Rush	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Alistair Macdonald	45	President and Chief Operating Officer
Christopher L. Gaenzle	49	Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary
Michael Gibertini, PhD	58	President, Clinical Development

The following is a biographical summary of the experience of our executive officers:

D. Jamie Macdonald - Chief Executive Officer and Director

Jamie Macdonald has been our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and a member of our Board of Directors since January 2013. He joined our Company in July 2011 as Chief Operating Officer when we acquired Kendle International Inc., or Kendle, where he was the Chief Operating Officer from May 2011 to July 2011. Prior to joining Kendle, Mr. Macdonald served for 15 years in various senior operational and finance roles at Quintiles Transnational Holdings Inc., or Quintiles, where he most recently was Senior Vice President and Head of Global Project Management from December 2008 to January 2011. Prior to Quintiles, Mr. Macdonald began his career in the pharmaceutical sector while in the UK, where he worked with Syntex Corporation (acquired by Roche Holdings, Inc., in 1994), before joining Quintiles through a transfer of undertakings in 1995. Mr. Macdonald earned a B.A. in Economics from Heriot-Watt University in Edinburgh, Scotland, and is a UK qualified Chartered Management Accountant (ACMA).

Gregory S. Rush - Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Greg Rush joined our Company in August 2013 as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), and has continued to serve in that role. From April 2010 to August 2013, Mr. Rush served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Tekelec, Inc., which was acquired by Oracle Corporation in June 2013, after serving as Interim Chief Financial Officer in March 2010. Mr. Rush joined Tekelec as Vice President and Corporate Controller in May 2005 and served as Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer from May 2006 to March 2010. His previous experience also includes roles in various senior financial positions with Siebel Systems, Inc., Quintiles, PricewaterhouseCoopers and Ernst & Young. Mr. Rush received his Bachelor of Science in Business and Master of Accounting degrees from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, graduating with honors, and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Alistair Macdonald - President and Chief Operating Officer

Alistair Macdonald has been our President since January 2015 and Chief Operating Officer since January 2013. He joined our Company in 2002 and has served in various senior leadership roles during that time. Prior to his current role, Mr. Macdonald most recently served as our President, Clinical Development Services from March 2012 to January 2013, where he oversaw Study Start-up, Regulatory Consulting and Submissions, Drug Safety, Phase I Services, Global Clinical Operations Management, Alliance Delivery and Functional Service Provision and our Latin America region. He also served as Executive Vice President of our Global Oncology Unit from February 2011 to March 2012, Executive Vice President, Strategic Development from October 2009 to February 2011, and Senior Vice President, Biometrics from May 2002 to September 2009. He received his Master of Science in Environmental Diagnostics from Cranfield University.

Christopher L. Gaenzle - Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary

Chris Gaenzle joined our Company in April 2012 as General Counsel and Secretary and has continued to serve in that role. Since August 2013, he has also served as our Chief Administrative Officer. Prior to joining our Company, Mr. Gaenzle served for five years in various senior legal positions at Pfizer Inc., where he was

most recently Assistant General Counsel from 2010 to 2012. Prior to Pfizer, Mr. Gaenzle was a partner at Hunton and Williams LLP, where he was a practicing attorney from 1998 to 2007. Mr. Gaenzle has 20 years of private practice and corporate legal experience, the majority of which is in the pharmaceutical, medical and clinical research industries. Mr. Gaenzle received his Bachelor of Arts from Colgate University and his J.D. from Syracuse University.

Michael Gibertini, PhD - President, Clinical Development

Michael Gibertini has been our President, Clinical Development, since January 2015. Dr. Gibertini joined the Company in 2005 as President and General Manager, CNS Clinical Development, providing global leadership for the Company's CNS clinical development programs. Prior to joining the Company, Dr. Gibertini led teams in antidepressant and antipsychotic drug development for a major CNS pharmaceutical company. Dr. Gibertini has over 30 years of experience in the pharmaceutical and CRO industries as well as academic and private/hospital practice settings. Dr. Gibertini received his PhD in clinical psychology from the University of Houston.

Available Information

Our website address is www.incresearch.com. Information on our website is not incorporated by reference herein. Copies of our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and our proxy statements for our annual stockholders meetings, and any amendments to those reports, as well as Section 16 reports filed by our insiders, are available free of charge on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file the reports with, or furnish the reports to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Our SEC filings are also available for reading and copying at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>) containing reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond our control. In evaluating our company, you should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described below together with the other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The occurrence of any of the following risks may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

Risks Related to Our Business

If we do not generate a large number of new business awards, or if new business awards are delayed, terminated, reduced in scope or fail to go to contract, our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

Our business is dependent on our ability to generate new business awards from new and existing customers and maintain existing customer contracts for clinical development services and other services. Our inability to generate new business awards on a timely basis and subsequently enter into contracts for such awards could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The time between when a study is awarded and when it goes to contract is typically several months, and prior to a new business award going to contract, our customers can cancel the award without notice. Once an award goes to contract, the majority of our customers can terminate the contract with 30 days' notice. Our contracts may be delayed or terminated by our customers or reduced in scope for a variety of reasons beyond our control, including but not limited to:

- decisions to forego or terminate a particular trial;
- budgetary limits or changing priorities;
- actions by regulatory authorities;
- production problems resulting in shortages of the drug being tested;
- failure of products being tested to satisfy safety requirements or efficacy criteria;
- unexpected or undesired clinical results for products;
- insufficient patient enrollment in a trial;
- insufficient principal investigator recruitment;
- shift of business to a competitor or internal resources; or
- product withdrawal following market launch.

As a result, contract terminations, delays and modifications are a regular part of our business. In the event of termination, our contracts often provide for fees for winding down the project, which include both fees incurred and actual and non-cancellable expenditures and may include a fee to cover a percentage of the remaining professional fees on the project. These fees may not be sufficient for us to maintain our margins, and termination may result in lower resource utilization rates and therefore lower operating margins. In addition, cancellation of a clinical trial for the reasons noted above may result in the unwillingness or inability of our customer to satisfy certain associated accounts receivable, which may in turn result in a material impact to our results of operations and cash flow.

Historically, cancellations and delays have negatively impacted our operating results. In addition, we might not realize the full benefits of our backlog if our customers cancel, delay or reduce their commitments to us, which may occur if, among other things, a customer decides to shift its business to a competitor or revoke our status as a preferred provider. Thus, the loss or delay of a large business award or the loss or delay of multiple awards could adversely affect our service revenues and profitability. Additionally, a change in the timing of a new business award could affect the period over which we recognize revenue and reduce our revenue in any one quarter.

Our backlog might not be indicative of our future revenues, and we might not realize all of the anticipated future revenue reflected in our backlog.

Backlog consists of anticipated net service revenue awarded from contract and pre-contract commitments that are supported by written communications. Once work begins on a project, revenue is recognized over the duration of the project, provided the award has gone to contract. Projects may be canceled or delayed by the customer or delayed by regulatory authorities for reasons beyond our control. To the extent projects are delayed, the timing of our revenue could be adversely affected. In addition, if a customer terminates a contract, we typically would be entitled to receive payment for all services performed up to the termination date and subsequent customer-authorized services related to terminating the canceled project. Typically, however, we have no contractual right to the full amount of the future revenue reflected in our backlog in the event of a contract termination or subsequent changes in scope that reduce the value of the contract. The duration of the projects included in our backlog, and the related revenue recognition, typically range from a few months to several years. Our backlog might not be indicative of our future revenues, and we might not realize all the anticipated future revenue reflected in our backlog. A number of factors may affect backlog, including:

- the size, complexity and duration of projects or strategic relationships;
- the cancellation or delay of projects;
- the failure of one or more business awards to go to contract; and
- changes in the scope of work during the course of projects.

The rate at which our backlog converts to revenue may vary over time. The revenue recognition on larger, more global projects could be slower than on smaller, more regional projects for a variety of reasons, including, but not limited to, an extended period of negotiation between the time the project is awarded to us and the actual execution of the contract, as well as an increased time frame for obtaining the necessary regulatory approvals.

Our backlog at December 31, 2015 was \$1.81 billion. Although an increase in backlog will generally result in an increase in revenues over time, an increase in backlog at a particular point in time does not necessarily correspond directly to an increase in revenues during any particular period, or at all. The extent to which contracts in backlog will result in revenue depends on many factors, including, but not limited to, delivery against project schedules, scope changes, contract terminations and the nature, duration and complexity of the contracts, and can vary significantly over time.

Our operating results have historically fluctuated between fiscal quarters and may continue to fluctuate in the future, which may adversely affect the market price of our stock.

Our operating results have fluctuated in previous quarters and years and may continue to vary significantly from quarter to quarter and are influenced by a variety of factors, such as:

- timing of contract amendments for changes in scope that could affect the value of a contract and potentially impact the amount of net new business awards and net service revenues from quarter to quarter;
- commencement, completion, execution, postponement or termination of large contracts;
- contract terms for the recognition of revenue milestones;
- progress of ongoing contracts and retention of customers;
- timing of and charges associated with completion of acquisitions and other events;
- changes in the mix of services delivered, both in terms of geography and type of services;

potential customer disputes, penalties or other issues that may impact the revenue we are able to recognize or the collectability of our related accounts receivable; and
exchange rate fluctuations.

Our operating results for any particular quarter are not necessarily a meaningful indicator of future results and fluctuations in our quarterly operating results could negatively affect the market price and liquidity of our shares. We have a history of net losses which may continue and which may negatively impact our ability to achieve or sustain profitability.

Prior to 2015, we had a history of net losses and cannot assure you that we will sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we had net income of \$117.0 million. However, for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 we incurred net losses of \$23.5 million and \$41.5 million, respectively. If we cannot maintain profitability, the value of our stock price may be impacted.

If we underprice our contracts, overrun our cost estimates or fail to receive approval for or experience delays in documentation of change orders, our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

We price our contracts based on assumptions regarding the scope of work required and cost to complete the work. We bear the financial risk if we initially underprice our contracts or otherwise overrun our cost estimates, which could adversely affect our cash flows and financial performance. In addition, contracts with our customers are subject to change orders, which occur when the scope of work we perform needs to be modified from that originally contemplated in our contract with the customers. This can occur, for example, when there is a change in a key study assumption or parameter or a significant change in timing. We may be unable to successfully negotiate changes in scope or change orders on a timely basis or at all, which could require us to incur cost outlays ahead of the receipt of any additional revenue. In addition, under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") we cannot recognize additional revenue anticipated from change orders until appropriate documentation is received by us from the customer authorizing the change. However, if we incur additional expense in anticipation of receipt of that documentation, we must recognize the expense as incurred. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our business depends on the continued effectiveness and availability of our information systems, including the information systems we use to provide services to our customers and to store employee data, and failures of these systems, including cyber-attacks, may materially limit our operations or have an adverse effect on our reputation. Our information systems are comprised of systems we have purchased or developed, legacy information systems from organizations we have acquired and, increasingly, web-enabled and other integrated information systems. In using these information systems, we frequently rely on third-party vendors to provide hosting services, where our infrastructure is dependent upon the reliability of their underlying platforms, facilities and communications systems. We also utilize integrated information systems that we provide customers access to or install for our customers in conjunction with our delivery of services.

As the breadth and complexity of our information systems continue to grow, we will increasingly be exposed to the risks inherent in maintaining the stability of our legacy systems due to prior customization, attrition of employees or vendors involved in their development, and obsolescence of the underlying technology as well as risks from the increasing number and scope of external data breaches on multi-national companies. Because certain customers and clinical trials may be dependent upon these legacy systems, we also face an increased level of embedded risk in maintaining the legacy systems and limited options to mitigate such risk. We are also exposed to risks associated with the availability of all our information systems, including:

disruption, impairment or failure of data centers, telecommunications facilities or other key infrastructure platforms, including those maintained by our third-party vendors;

security breaches of, cyber-attacks on and other failures or malfunctions in our internal systems, including our employee data and communications, critical application systems or their associated hardware; and excessive costs, excessive delays or other deficiencies in systems development and deployment.

The materialization of any of these risks may impede the processing of data, the delivery of databases and services, and the day-to-day management of our business and could result in the corruption, loss or unauthorized disclosure of proprietary, confidential or other data. While we have disaster recovery plans in place, they might not adequately protect us in the event of a system failure. Despite any precautions we take, damage from fire, floods, hurricanes, power loss, telecommunications failures, computer viruses, break-ins and similar events at our various computer facilities or those of our third-party vendors could result in interruptions in the flow of data to us and from us to our customers. Corruption or loss of data may result in the need to repeat a trial at no cost to the customer, but at significant cost to us, the termination of a contract or damage to our reputation. Additionally, significant delays in system enhancements or inadequate performance of new or upgraded systems once completed could damage our reputation and harm our business. Finally, long-term disruptions in the infrastructure caused by events such as natural disasters, the outbreak of war, the escalation of hostilities and acts of terrorism, particularly involving cities in which we have offices, and cyber-attacks such as those recently faced by other multi-national companies could adversely affect our businesses. As our business continues to expand globally, these types of risks may be further increased by instability in the geopolitical climate of certain regions, underdeveloped and less stable utilities and communications infrastructure, and other local and regional factors. Although we carry property and business interruption insurance which we believe is customary for our industry, our coverage might not be adequate to compensate us for all losses that may occur.

Unauthorized disclosure of sensitive or confidential data, whether through systems failure or employee negligence, cyber-attacks, fraud or misappropriation, could damage our reputation and cause us to lose customers. Similarly, we have been and expect that we will continue to be subject to attempts to gain unauthorized access to or through our information systems or those we internally or externally develop for our customers, including a cyber-attack by computer programmers and hackers who may develop and deploy viruses, worms or other malicious software programs, process breakdowns, denial-of-service attacks, malicious social engineering or other malicious activities, or any combination of the foregoing. In addition, we may be susceptible to physical or computer-based attacks by terrorists or hackers due to our role in the CRO industry. These concerns about security are increased when information is transmitted over the Internet. Threats include cyber-attacks such as computer viruses, worms or other destructive or disruptive software, and any of these could result in a degradation or disruption of our services or damage to our properties, equipment and data. They could also compromise data security. If such attacks are not detected immediately, their effect could be compounded. To date these attacks have not had a material impact on our operations or financial results. Nonetheless, successful attacks in the future could result in negative publicity, significant remediation and recovery costs, legal liability and damage to our reputation and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, our liability insurance might not be sufficient in type or amount to cover us against claims related to security breaches, cyber-attacks and other related breaches.

Additionally, we rely on service providers for the timely transmission of information across our global data network. If a service provider fails to provide the communications capacity or services we require for similar reasons, the failure could interrupt our services. Because of the centrality of our processing systems to our business, any interruption or degradation could adversely affect the perception of our brands' reliability and harm our business. We are subject to regulation in the areas of consumer privacy and data use and security.

Privacy, data use and security continue to receive heightened legislative and regulatory focus in the United States, Europe and elsewhere. For example, in many jurisdictions victims must be notified in the event of a data breach and those jurisdictions that have these laws are continuing to increase the circumstances and the breadth of these notices. Our failure or the failure of our clients to comply with these laws and regulations could result in fines, sanctions, litigation and damage to our global reputation and our brands.

Our customer or therapeutic area concentration may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

If any large customer decreases or terminates its relationship with us, our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected. For the year ended December 31, 2015, our top ten customers based on revenue accounted for approximately 47% of our net service revenue and our top ten customers based on backlog accounted for approximately 47% of our total backlog. Although no customer accounted for 10% or more of total net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015, various subsidiaries of Otsuka Holdings Co., Ltd., accounted for approximately 14% and 15% of our net service revenue in the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Additionally, various subsidiaries of Astellas Pharma, Inc. accounted for 12% of net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014. It is possible that an even greater portion of our revenues will be attributable to a smaller number of customers in the future, including as a result of our entering into strategic provider relationships with customers. Also, consolidation in our potential customer base results in increased competition for important market segments and fewer available customer accounts.

Additionally, conducting multiple clinical trials for different sponsors in a single therapeutic class involving drugs with the same or similar chemical action may adversely affect our business if some or all of the trials are canceled because of new scientific information or regulatory judgments that affect the drugs as a class. Similarly, marketing and selling products for different sponsors with similar drug action subjects us to risk if new scientific information or regulatory judgment prejudices the products as a class, leading to compelled or voluntary prescription limitations or withdrawal of some or all of the products from the market.

Our business is subject to international economic, political and other risks that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

We have operations in many foreign countries, including, but not limited to, countries in the Asia-Pacific region, Europe, Latin America and the Middle East and Africa. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 56% of our workforce was located outside of the United States, and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, approximately 29% of our net service revenue was billed to locations outside the United States. Our international operations are subject to risks and uncertainties inherent in operating in these regions, including:

- conducting a single trial across multiple countries is complex, and issues in one country, such as a failure to comply with or unanticipated changes to local regulations or restrictions such as restrictions on import or export of clinical trial material or availability of clinical trial data may affect the progress of the trial in the other countries, resulting in delays or potential termination of contracts, which in turn may result in loss of revenue;

- the United States or other countries could enact legislation or impose regulations or other restrictions, including unfavorable labor regulations, tax policies, data protection regulations or economic sanctions, which could have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct business in or expatriate profits from the countries in which we operate; foreign countries are expanding or may expand their banking regulations that govern international currency transactions, particularly cross-border transfers, which may inhibit our ability to transfer funds into or within a jurisdiction, impeding our ability to pay our principal investigators, vendors and employees, thereby impacting our ability to conduct trials in such jurisdictions;

- foreign countries are expanding or may expand their regulatory framework with respect to patient informed consent, protection and compensation in clinical trials, additional transparency reporting requirements (similar to the Physician Payment Sunshine Act in the United States), which could delay, inhibit or prohibit our ability to conduct trials in such jurisdictions;

- the regulatory or judicial authorities of foreign countries might not enforce legal rights and recognize business procedures in a manner in which we are accustomed or would reasonably expect;

- changes in political and economic conditions, including inflation, may lead to changes in the business environment in which we operate, as well as changes in foreign currency exchange rates;

potential violations of existing or newly adopted local laws or anti-bribery laws, such as the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA"), and the UK Bribery Act of 2010, may cause a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation;

- customers in foreign jurisdictions may have longer payment cycles, and it may be more difficult to collect receivables in those jurisdictions;
- natural disasters, pandemics or international conflict, including terrorist acts, could interrupt our services, endanger our personnel or cause project delays or loss of trial materials or results;
- political unrest, such as the current situations in Ukraine and the Middle East, could delay or disrupt the ability to conduct clinical trials; and
- foreign governments may enact currency exchange controls that may limit the ability to fund our operations or significantly increase the cost of maintaining operations.

These risks and uncertainties could negatively impact our ability to, among other things, perform large, global projects for our customers. Furthermore, our ability to deal with these issues could be affected by applicable U.S. laws. Any such risks could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

Governmental authorities may question our intercompany transfer pricing policies or change their laws in a manner that could increase our effective tax rate or otherwise harm our business.

As a U.S. company doing business in international markets through subsidiaries, we are subject to foreign tax and intercompany pricing laws, including those relating to the flow of funds between the parent and subsidiaries.

Regulators in the United States and in foreign markets closely monitor our corporate structure and how we account for intercompany fund transfers. If regulators challenge our corporate structure, transfer pricing mechanisms or intercompany transfers, our operations may be negatively impacted and our effective tax rate may increase. Tax rates vary from country to country and if regulators determine that our profits in one jurisdiction should be increased, we might not be able to fully utilize all foreign tax credits that are generated, which would increase our effective tax rate. Additionally, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development ("OECD"), has issued certain proposed guidelines regarding base erosion and profit sharing. Once these guidelines are formally adopted by the OECD, it is possible that separate taxing jurisdictions may also adopt some form of these guidelines. In such case, we may need to change our approach to intercompany transfer pricing in order to maintain compliance under the new rules. Our effective tax rate may increase or decrease depending on the current location of global operations at the time of the change. Finally, we might not always be in compliance with all applicable customs, exchange control, Value Added Tax and transfer pricing laws despite our efforts to be aware of and to comply with such laws. If these laws change we may need to adjust our operating procedures and our business could be adversely affected.

If we are unable to successfully increase our market share, our ability to grow our business and execute our growth strategies could be materially adversely affected.

A key element of our growth strategy is increasing our market share both within the clinical development market and in the geographic markets in which we operate. As we grow our market share, we might not have or adequately build the competencies necessary to perform our services satisfactorily or may face increased competition. If we are unable to succeed in increasing our market share, we will be unable to implement this element of our growth strategy, and our ability to grow our business could be adversely affected.

Upgrading the information systems that support our operating processes and evolving the technology platform for our services pose risks to our business.

Continued efficient operation of our business requires that we implement standardized global business processes and evolve our information systems to enable this implementation. We have continued to undertake significant programs to optimize business processes with respect to our services. Our inability to effectively manage the implementation of new information systems or upgrades and adapt to new processes designed into these new or upgraded systems in a timely and cost-effective manner may result in disruption to our business and negatively affect our operations.

We have entered into agreements with certain vendors to provide systems development, integration and hosting services that develop or license to us the information technology ("IT") platforms and capacity for programs to optimize our business processes. If such vendors or their products fail to perform as required or if there are substantial delays in developing, implementing and updating our IT platforms, our customer delivery may be impaired, and we may have to make substantial further investments, internally or with third parties, to achieve our objectives. For example, we rely on an external vendor to provide the clinical trial management software used in managing the completion of our customer clinical trials. If that externally provided system is not properly maintained we might not be able to meet the obligations of our contracts or may need to incur significant costs to replace the system or capability. Additionally, our progress may be limited by parties with existing or claimed patents who seek to enjoin us from using preferred technology or seek license payments from us.

Meeting our objectives is dependent on a number of factors which might not take place as we anticipate, including obtaining adequate technology-enabled services, depending upon our third-party vendors to develop and enhance existing applications to adequately support our business, creating IT-enabled services that our customers will find desirable and implementing our business model with respect to these services. Also, increased IT-related expenditures and our potential inability to anticipate increases in service costs may negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

If we fail to perform our services in accordance with contractual requirements, regulatory standards and ethical considerations, we could be subject to significant costs or liability and our reputation could be harmed.

We contract with biopharmaceutical companies to perform a wide range of services to assist them in bringing new drugs to market. Our services include monitoring clinical trials, data and laboratory analysis, EDC, patient recruitment and other related services. Such services are complex and subject to contractual requirements, regulatory standards and ethical considerations. For example, we must adhere to applicable regulatory requirements such as the FDA, current GCP regulations, which govern, among other things, the design, conduct, performance, monitoring, auditing, recording, analysis, and reporting of clinical trials. If we fail to perform our services in accordance with these requirements, regulatory agencies may take action against us or our customers. Such actions may include sanctions such as injunctions or failure of such regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval of products, imposition of clinical holds or delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, rejection of data collected in our studies, license revocation, product seizures or recalls, operational restrictions, civil or criminal penalties or prosecutions, damages or fines. Additionally, there is a risk that actions by regulatory authorities, if they result in significant inspectional observations or other measures, could harm our reputation and cause customers not to award us future contracts or to cancel existing contracts. Any such action could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

Such consequences could arise if, among other things, the following occur:

Improper performance of our services. The performance of clinical development services is complex and time-consuming. For example, we may make mistakes in conducting a clinical trial that could negatively impact or obviate the usefulness of the trial or cause the results of the trial to be reported improperly. If the trial results are compromised, we could be subject to significant costs or liability, which could have an adverse impact on our ability to perform our services and our reputation could be harmed. For example:

- non-compliance generally could result in the termination of ongoing clinical trials or the disqualification of data for submission to regulatory authorities;

- compromise of data from a particular trial, such as failure to verify that adequate informed consent was obtained from subjects or improper monitoring of data, could require us to repeat the trial under the terms of our contract at no further cost to our customer, but at a substantial cost to us; and

- breach of a contractual term could result in liability for damages or termination of the contract.

Large clinical trials can cost hundreds of millions of dollars and improper performance of our services could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, damage our reputation and result in the termination of current contracts by or failure to obtain future contracts from the affected customer or other customers.

Interactive Voice/Web Response Technology malfunction. We develop, maintain and use third-party computer run interactive voice/web response systems to automatically manage the randomization of patients in a given clinical trial to different treatment arms and regulate the supply of investigational drugs, all by means of interactive voice/web response systems. An error in the design, programming or validation of these systems could lead to inappropriate assignment or dosing of patients which could give rise to patient safety issues, invalidation of the trial or liability claims against us. Furthermore, negative publicity associated with such a malfunction could have an adverse effect on our business and reputation. Additionally, errors in randomization may require us to repeat the trial at no further cost to our customer, but at a substantial cost to us.

Investigation of customers. From time to time, one or more of our customers are audited or investigated by regulatory authorities or enforcement agencies with respect to regulatory compliance of their clinical trials, programs or the marketing and sale of their drugs. In these situations, we have often provided services to our customers with respect to the clinical trials, programs or activities being audited or investigated, and we are called upon to respond to requests for information by the authorities and agencies. There is a risk that either our customers or regulatory authorities could claim that we performed our services improperly or that we are responsible for clinical trial or program compliance. If our customers or regulatory authorities make such claims against us and prove them, we could be subject to damages, fines or penalties. In addition, negative publicity regarding regulatory compliance of our customers' clinical trials, programs or drugs could have an adverse effect on our business and reputation.

Insufficient customer funding to complete a clinical trial. As noted above, clinical trials can cost hundreds of millions of dollars. There is a risk that we may initiate a clinical trial for a customer, and then the customer becomes unwilling or unable to fund the completion of the trial. In such a situation, notwithstanding the customer's ability or willingness to pay for or otherwise facilitate the completion of the trial, we may be ethically bound to complete or wind down the trial at our own expense.

In addition to the above U.S. laws and regulations, we must comply with the laws of all countries where we do business, including laws governing clinical trials in the jurisdiction where the trials are performed. Failure to comply with applicable requirements could subject us to regulatory risk, liability and potential costs associated with redoing the trials, which could damage our reputation and adversely affect our operating results.

Any future litigation against us could be costly and time-consuming to defend.

We may become subject, from time to time, to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business or pursuant to governmental or regulatory enforcement activity. While we do not believe that the resolution of any currently pending lawsuits against us will, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, litigation to which we subsequently become a party might result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources, which might seriously harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Insurance might not cover such claims, might not provide sufficient payments to cover all of the costs to resolve one or more such claims, and might not continue to be available on terms acceptable to us. In particular, any claim could result in potential liability for us if the claim is outside the scope of the indemnification agreement we have with our customers, our customers do not abide by the indemnification agreement as required or the liability exceeds the amount of any applicable indemnification limits or available insurance coverage. A claim brought against us that is uninsured or underinsured could result in unanticipated costs and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

Our business exposes us to potential liability for personal injury or claims that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

Our business involves clinical trial management, which is one of our clinical development service offerings and includes the testing of new drugs on human volunteers. This business exposes us to the risk of liability for personal injury or death to patients resulting from, among other things, possible unforeseen adverse side effects or improper administration of a drug or device. Many of these volunteers and patients are already seriously ill and are at risk of further illness or death. Although we attempt to negotiate indemnification arrangements with our customers or vendors, we might not be able to collect under these arrangements and

our exposure could exceed any contractual limits on indemnification. Any claim or liability could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

If our insurance does not cover all of our indemnification obligations and other liabilities associated with our operations, our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows may be materially adversely affected. We maintain insurance designed to provide coverage for ordinary risks associated with our operations and our ordinary indemnification obligations which we believe to be customary for our industry. The coverage provided by such insurance might not be adequate for all claims we may make or may be contested by our insurance carriers. If our insurance is not adequate or available to pay all claims or exposures associated with our operations, or if we are unable to purchase adequate insurance at reasonable rates in the future, our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

If we are unable to attract suitable principal investigators and recruit and enroll patients for clinical trials, our clinical development business might suffer.

The recruitment of principal investigators and patients for clinical trials is essential to our business. Principal investigators are typically located at hospitals, clinics or other sites and supervise the administration of the investigational drug to patients during the course of a clinical trial. Patients generally include people from the communities in which the clinical trials are conducted. Our clinical development business could be adversely affected if we are unable to attract suitable and willing principal investigators or recruit and enroll patients for clinical trials on a consistent basis. The expanding global nature of clinical trials increases the risk associated with attracting suitable principal investigators and patients, especially if these trials are conducted in regions where our resources or experience may be more limited. For example, if we are unable to engage principal investigators to conduct clinical trials as planned or enroll sufficient patients in clinical trials, we might need to expend additional funds to obtain access to more principal investigators and patients than planned or else be compelled to delay or modify the clinical trial plans, which may result in additional costs to us or cancellation of the trial by our customer. If realized, these risks may also inhibit our ability to attract new business, particularly in certain regions.

Many of the costs for our Phase I Services segment are fixed in nature, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Since a large amount of the operating costs for our Phase I Services segment are relatively fixed while revenue is subject to fluctuation, moderate variations in the commencement, progress or completion of the Phase I studies in our Phase I Services segment may cause variations in our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Expenses must be recognized when incurred and the delay of a contract could adversely affect our service revenues and profitability. Net service revenue from our Phase I Services segment for the year ended December 31, 2015 represented less than 2% of our total net service revenue for that period.

If we lose the services of key personnel or are unable to recruit experienced personnel, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation could be materially adversely affected.

Our success substantially depends on the collective performance, contributions and expertise of our senior management team and other key personnel including qualified management, professional, scientific and technical operating staff and business development personnel. There is significant competition for qualified personnel, particularly those with higher educational degrees, in the biopharmaceutical and related services industries. In addition, the close proximity of some of our facilities to offices of our major competitors could adversely impact our ability to successfully recruit and retain key personnel. The departure of any key executive, or our inability to continue to identify, attract and retain qualified personnel or replace any departed personnel in a timely fashion, might impact our ability to grow our business and compete effectively in our industry and might negatively affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

Exchange rate fluctuations may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Approximately 25% of our fiscal year 2015 net service revenues were contracted in currencies other than U.S. dollars and 40% of our direct and operating costs are incurred in countries with functional currencies other than U.S. dollars. Our financial statements are reported in U.S. dollars and changes in foreign currency exchange rates could significantly affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Exchange rate fluctuations between local currencies and the U.S. dollar create risk in several ways, including:

Foreign Currency Risk from Differences in Customer Contract Currency and Operating Costs Currency. The majority of our global contracts are denominated in U.S. dollars or Euros while the currency used to fund our operating costs in foreign countries is denominated in various different currencies. Fluctuations in the exchange rates of the currencies we use to contract with our customers and the currencies in which we incur cost to complete those contracts can have a significant impact on our results of operations.

Foreign Currency Translation Risk. The revenue and expenses of our international operations are generally denominated in local currencies and translated into U.S. dollars for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, exchange rate fluctuations will affect the translation of international results into U.S. dollars for purposes of reporting our consolidated results.

Foreign Currency Transaction Risk. We are subject to foreign currency transaction risk for fluctuations in exchange rates during the period of time between the consummation and cash settlement of a transaction. We earn revenue from our service contracts denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars over a period of several months and, in many cases, over several years. Accordingly, exchange rate fluctuations during this period may affect our profitability with respect to such contracts.

We may limit these risks through exchange rate fluctuation provisions stated in our service contracts, or we may hedge our transaction risk with foreign currency exchange contracts or options. We have not, however, mitigated all of our foreign currency transaction risk, and we may experience fluctuations in financial results from our operations outside the United States and foreign currency transaction risk associated with our service contracts.

Unfavorable economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Unfavorable economic conditions, including disruptions in the credit and capital markets, could have a negative effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. For example, our customers might not be able to raise money to conduct existing clinical trials, or to fund new drug development and related future clinical trials. In addition, economic or market disruptions could negatively impact our vendors, contractors, or principal investigators which might have a negative effect on our business.

Our effective income tax rate may fluctuate, which may adversely affect our results of operations.

Our effective income tax rate is influenced by our projected profitability in the various taxing jurisdictions in which we operate. Changes in the distribution of profits and losses among taxing jurisdictions may have a significant impact on our effective income tax rate, which in turn could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. Factors that may affect our effective income tax rate include, but are not limited to:

• the requirement to exclude from our quarterly worldwide effective income tax calculations the benefit for losses in jurisdictions where no income tax benefit can be recognized;

• actual and projected full year pre-tax income;

• the repatriation of foreign earnings to the United States;

• uncertain tax positions;

• changes in tax laws in various taxing jurisdictions;

• audits by taxing authorities;

the establishment of valuation allowances against deferred income tax assets if we determine that it is more likely than not that future income tax benefits will not be realized;

the release of a previously established valuation allowances against deferred income tax assets if we determine that it is more likely than not that future income tax benefits will be realized; and

changes in the relative mix and size of clinical studies in various tax jurisdictions.

These changes may cause fluctuations in our effective income tax rate that could adversely affect our results of operations and cause fluctuations in our earnings and earnings per share.

We may be limited in our ability to utilize, or may not be able to utilize, net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards to reduce our future tax liability.

As of December 31, 2015, we had U.S. federal NOL carryforwards of approximately \$39.0 million and state NOL carryforwards of \$121.9 million, which are limited annually due to certain change in ownership provisions of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("IRC"). Based on our estimates, approximately \$5.1 million of our federal NOL carryforwards are subject to limitation under Section 382 of the Code and will expire unused. Our federal NOL carryforwards will begin to expire in 2018 and will completely expire in 2033. Our state NOL carryforwards may be used over various periods ranging from one to 20 years. See "Note 9 - Income Taxes" to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a further discussion of our tax loss carryovers and current limitations on our ability to utilize NOLs.

Future ownership changes within the meaning of IRC Section 382(g) may subject our tax loss carryforwards to annual limitations which would restrict our ability to use them to offset our taxable income in periods following the ownership changes. In general, the annual use limitation equals the aggregate value of our equity at the time of the ownership change multiplied by a specified tax-exempt interest rate.

We have only a limited ability to protect our intellectual property rights, and these rights are important to our success. We develop, use and protect our proprietary methodologies, analytics, systems, technologies and other intellectual property. Existing laws of the various countries in which we provide services or solutions offer only limited protection of our intellectual property rights, and the protection in some countries may be very limited. We rely upon a combination of trade secrets, confidentiality policies, nondisclosure agreements, and other contractual arrangements, and copyright and trademark laws, to protect our intellectual property rights. These laws are subject to change at any time and certain agreements might not be fully enforceable, which could further restrict our ability to protect our innovations. Our intellectual property rights might not prevent competitors from independently developing services similar to or duplicative of ours or alleging infringement by us of their intellectual property rights in certain jurisdictions. The steps we take in this regard might not be adequate to prevent or deter infringement or misappropriation of our intellectual property or claims against us for alleged infringement or misappropriation by competitors, former employees or other third parties. Furthermore, we might not be able to detect unauthorized use of, or take appropriate and timely steps to enforce, our intellectual property rights. Enforcing our rights might also require considerable time, money and oversight, and we might not be successful in enforcing our rights.

If we are unable to successfully integrate potential future acquisitions, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

We have completed a number of acquisitions in the past and anticipate that a portion of our future growth may come from strategic tuck-in acquisitions. The success of any acquisition will depend upon, among other things, our ability to effectively integrate acquired personnel, operations, products and technologies into our business and to retain the key personnel and customers of our acquired businesses. In addition, we may be unable to identify suitable acquisition opportunities or obtain any necessary financing on commercially acceptable terms. We may also spend time and money investigating and negotiating with potential acquisition targets but not complete the transaction. Any acquisition could involve other risks, including, among others, the assumption of additional liabilities and expenses, difficulties and expenses in connection with integrating the acquired companies and achieving the expected benefits, issuances of potentially dilutive securities or interest-bearing debt, loss of key employees of the acquired companies, transaction expenses, diversion of

management's attention from other business concerns and, with respect to the acquisition of international companies, the inability to overcome differences in international business practices, language and customs. Our failure to successfully integrate potential future acquisitions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Potential future investments in our customers' businesses or drugs could have a negative impact on our financial results.

Although we historically have not engaged in business transactions with our customers other than to provide our services, we may in the future enter into arrangements with our customers or other drug companies in which we take on some of the risk of the potential success or failure of their businesses or drugs, including making strategic investments in our customers or other drug companies, providing financing to customers or other drug companies or acquiring an interest in the revenues from customers' drugs or in entities developing a limited number of drugs. Our financial results would be adversely affected if any such investments or the underlying drugs result in losses or do not achieve the level of success that we anticipate and/or our return or payment from any such drug investment or financing is less than our direct and indirect costs with respect to these arrangements.

Our relationships with existing or potential customers who are in competition with each other may adversely impact the degree to which other customers or potential customers use our services, which may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The biopharmaceutical industry is highly competitive, with biopharmaceutical companies each seeking to persuade payers, providers and patients that their drug therapies are better and more cost-effective than competing therapies marketed or being developed by competing firms. In addition to the adverse competitive interests that biopharmaceutical companies have with each other, biopharmaceutical companies also have adverse interests with respect to drug selection and reimbursement with other participants in the healthcare industry, including payers and providers. Biopharmaceutical companies also compete to be first to market with new drug therapies. We regularly provide services to biopharmaceutical companies who compete with each other, and we sometimes provide services to such customers regarding competing drugs in development. Our existing or future relationships, particularly broader strategic provider relationships, with our biopharmaceutical customers may therefore deter other biopharmaceutical customers from using our services or may result in our customers seeking to place limits on our ability to serve other biopharmaceutical industry participants. In addition, our further expansion into the broader healthcare market may adversely impact our relationships with biopharmaceutical customers, and such customers may elect not to use our services, reduce the scope of services that we provide to them or seek to place restrictions on our ability to serve customers in the broader healthcare market with interests that are adverse to theirs. Any loss of customers or reductions in the level of revenues from a customer could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our results of operations may be adversely affected if we fail to realize the full value of our goodwill and intangible assets.

As of December 31, 2015, we had goodwill and net intangible assets of \$705.3 million, which constituted approximately 58% of our total assets. We periodically (at least annually unless triggering events occur that cause an interim evaluation) evaluate goodwill and other acquired intangible assets for impairment. Any future determination requiring the write off of a portion of our goodwill or other acquired intangible assets could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations. If we are not able to realize the value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, we may be required to incur material charges relating to the impairment of those assets. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an impairment of intangible assets of \$8.0 million and goodwill of \$9.2 million associated with our Global Consulting, a component of the Clinical Development segment, and Phase I Services reporting units. During the first quarter of 2015, we continued to observe deteriorating performance in our Phase I Services reporting unit, resulting in a triggering event requiring the evaluation of both long-lived assets and goodwill for potential impairment. As a result of this evaluation, for the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded a total asset impairment charge of \$3.9 million, consisting of a long-lived assets impairment charge of \$1.0 million and a goodwill impairment charge of \$2.9 million. As of December 31, 2015, there were no

intangible assets associated with Phase I Services. Similar impairment charges in the future could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

30

We face risks arising from the restructuring of our operations which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

From time to time, we have adopted cost savings initiatives to improve our operating efficiency through various means such as reduction of overcapacity, primarily in our costs of services (billable) function, or other realignment of resources. For example, during 2015, we initiated restructuring activities to better align our resources worldwide, resulting in the reduction in workforce by approximately 70 employees, primarily in the United States and certain countries in Europe primarily within clinical operations, principally within the Clinical Development Services operations group and several corporate administrative functions. We completed these restructuring activities by the end of 2015. In the second quarter of 2014, we initiated restructuring activities related to the closure of our Glasgow facility and partial closure of our Cincinnati facility. The plan was substantially completed by December 31, 2014. Similarly, in March 2013, we adopted a plan to better align headcount and costs with current geographic sources and mix of revenue. The plan was completed by December 31, 2013 and involved the elimination of approximately 325 employee and contract positions. As a result of these restructuring activities, we incurred significant one-time costs, which consist primarily of severance, retention bonuses, professional fees, IT costs, facility closure costs, legal expenses and various other costs. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 we incurred total pre-tax charges of \$1.8 million, \$6.2 million and \$11.8 million, respectively, associated with our restructuring initiatives. Restructuring presents significant potential risks of events occurring that could adversely affect us, including a decrease in employee morale, a greater number of employment claims, the failure to achieve targeted cost savings and the failure to meet operational targets and customer requirements due to the loss of employees and any work stoppages that might occur, which, individually or in aggregate, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

We operate in many different jurisdictions and we could be adversely affected by violations of the FCPA, UK Bribery Act of 2010 and/or similar worldwide anti-corruption laws.

The FCPA, UK Bribery Act of 2010 and similar worldwide anti-corruption laws prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Our internal policies mandate compliance with these anti-corruption laws. We operate in many parts of the world that have experienced corruption to some degree and, in certain circumstances, anti-corruption laws have appeared to conflict with local customs and practices. Despite our training and compliance programs, we cannot assure that our internal control policies and procedures will protect us from acts in violation of anti-corruption laws committed by persons associated with us, and our continued expansion outside the United States, including in developing countries, could increase such risk in the future. Violations of the FCPA or other non-U.S. anti-corruption laws, or even allegations of such violations, could disrupt our business and result in a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and reputation. For example, violations of anti-corruption laws can result in restatements of, or irregularities in, our financial statements as well as severe criminal or civil sanctions. In some cases, companies that violate the FCPA might be debarred by the U.S. government and/or lose their U.S. export privileges. In addition, U.S. or other governments might seek to hold us liable for successor liability FCPA violations or violations of other anti-corruption laws committed by companies that we acquire or in which we invest. Changes in anti-corruption laws or enforcement priorities could also result in increased compliance requirements and related costs which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The failure of third parties to provide us critical support services could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

We depend on third parties for support services vital to our business. Such support services include, but are not limited to, laboratory services, third-party transportation and travel providers, freight forwarders and customs brokers, drug depots and distribution centers, suppliers or contract manufacturers of drugs for patients participating in clinical trials, and providers of licensing agreements, maintenance contracts or other services. In addition, we also rely on third-party CROs and other contract clinical personnel for clinical services either in regions where we have limited resources, or in cases where demand cannot be met by our internal staff. The failure of any of these third parties to adequately provide us critical support services could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of

operations, cash flows or reputation.

31

The operation of our Phase I clinical facility and the services we provide there including direct interaction with clinical trial patients or volunteers could create potential liability that may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and reputation.

We operate one facility where Phase I clinical trials are conducted. Phase I clinical trials ordinarily involve testing an investigational drug on a limited number of healthy individuals, typically 20 to 120 persons, to evaluate its safety, determine a safe dosage range and identify side effects. Some of these trials involve the administration of investigational drugs to known substance abusers. Failure to operate such a facility in accordance with applicable regulations could result in that facility being shut down, which could disrupt our operations and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and reputation. Additionally, we face risks resulting from the administration of drugs to volunteers, including adverse events, and the professional malpractice of medical care providers. We also directly employ nurses and other trained employees who assist in implementing the testing involved in our clinical trials, such as drawing blood from healthy volunteers. Any professional malpractice or negligence by such principal investigators, nurses or other employees could potentially result in liability to us in the event of personal injury to or death of a volunteer in clinical trials. This liability, particularly if it were to exceed the limits of any indemnification agreements and insurance coverage we may have, may adversely affect our business and financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and reputation.

Risks Related to Our Industry

We face intense competition in many areas of our business and, if we do not compete effectively, our business may be harmed.

The CRO industry is highly competitive. We often compete for business with other CROs and internal development departments, some of which could be considered large CROs in their own right. We also compete with universities and teaching hospitals. Some of these competitors have greater financial resources and a wider range of service offerings over a greater geographic area than we do. If we do not compete successfully, our business will suffer. The industry is highly fragmented, with numerous smaller specialized companies and a handful of full-service companies with global capabilities similar to ours. Increased competition has led to price and other forms of competition, such as acceptance of less favorable contract terms, which could adversely affect our operating results. In recent years our industry has experienced consolidation. This trend is likely to produce more competition from the resulting larger companies, and ones without the cost pressures of being public, for both customers and acquisition candidates. In addition, there are few barriers to entry for smaller specialized companies considering entering the industry. Because of their size and focus, small CROs might compete effectively against larger companies such as us, especially in lower cost geographic areas, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Outsourcing trends in the biopharmaceutical industry and changes in aggregate spending and research and development budgets could adversely affect our operating results and growth rate.

Our revenues depend on the level of R&D expenditures, size of the drug-development pipelines and outsourcing trends of the biopharmaceutical industry, including the amount of such R&D spend that is outsourced and subject to competitive bidding among CROs. Accordingly, economic factors and industry trends that affect biopharmaceutical companies affect our business. Biopharmaceutical companies continue to seek long-term strategic collaborations with global CROs with favorable pricing terms. Competition for these collaborations is intense and we might not be selected, in which case a competitor may enter into the collaboration and our business with the customer, if any, may be limited. Our success depends in part on our ability to establish and maintain preferred provider relationships with large biopharmaceutical companies. Our failure to develop or maintain these preferred provider relationships could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Furthermore, in order to obtain preferred provider relationships, we may have to reduce the prices for our services, which could negatively impact our gross margin for these services.

In addition, if the biopharmaceutical industry reduces its outsourcing of clinical trials or such outsourcing fails to grow at projected rates, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially and adversely affected. We may also be negatively impacted by consolidation and other factors in the biopharmaceutical industry, which may slow decision making by our customers, result in the delay or

cancellation of existing projects, cause reductions in overall R&D expenditures, or lead to increased pricing pressures. Further, in the event that one of our customers combines with a company that is using the services of one of our competitors, the combined company could decide to use the services of that competitor or another provider. All of these events could adversely affect our business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

If we fail to comply with federal, state, and foreign healthcare laws, including fraud and abuse laws, we could face substantial penalties and our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects could be adversely affected.

Even though we do not and will not order healthcare services or bill directly to Medicare, Medicaid or other third-party payers, certain federal and state healthcare laws and regulations pertaining to fraud and abuse are and will be applicable to our business. We could be subject to healthcare fraud and abuse laws of both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of available statutory and regulatory exceptions, it is possible that some of our business activities could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. If we or our operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, imprisonment, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, any of which could materially adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results.

We may be affected by healthcare reform and potential additional reforms which may adversely impact the biopharmaceutical industry and reduce the need for our services or negatively impact our profitability.

Numerous government bodies are considering or have adopted healthcare reforms and may undertake, or are in the process of undertaking, efforts to control healthcare costs through legislation, regulation and agreements with healthcare providers and biopharmaceutical companies, including many of our customers. By way of example, in March 2010, the Affordable Care Act was signed into law. Among other things, this law imposes cost-containment measures intended to reduce or constrain the growth of healthcare spending, enhances remedies against healthcare fraud and abuse, adds new requirements for biopharmaceutical companies to disclose payments to physicians, including principal investigators, imposes new taxes and fees on biopharmaceutical manufacturers and imposes additional health policy reforms. We are uncertain as to the full effect of these reforms on our business at this time and are unable to predict what legislative proposals, if any, will be adopted in the future. If regulatory cost-containment efforts limit the profitability of new drugs by, for example, continuing to place downward pressure on pharmaceutical pricing and/or increasing regulatory burdens and operating costs of the biopharmaceutical industry, our customers may reduce their R&D spending, which could reduce the business they outsource to us. In addition, if regulatory requirements are relaxed or simplified drug approval procedures are adopted, the demand for our services could decrease.

Government bodies have adopted and may continue to adopt new healthcare legislation or regulations that are more burdensome than existing regulations. For example, product safety concerns and recommendations by the Drug Safety Oversight Board could change the regulatory environment for drug products, and new or heightened regulatory requirements may increase our expenses or limit our ability to offer some of our services. We might have to incur additional costs to comply with these or other new regulations, and failure to comply could harm our financial condition, results or operations, cash flows, and reputation. Additionally, new or heightened regulatory requirements may have a negative impact on the ability of our customers to conduct industry-sponsored clinical trials, which could reduce the need for our post-approval development services.

Current and proposed laws and regulations regarding the protection of personal data could result in increased risks of liability or increased cost to us or could limit our service offerings.

The confidentiality, collection, use and disclosure of personal data, including clinical trial patient-specific information, are subject to governmental regulation generally in the country in which the personal data was collected or used. For example, U.S. federal regulations under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended, ("HIPAA") generally require individuals' written authorization, in addition to any required informed consent, before protected health information ("PHI") may be used for research and such regulations specify standards for de-identifications and for limited data sets. We may also be subject to applicable state privacy and security laws and

regulations in states in which we operate. We are

33

indirectly affected by the privacy provisions surrounding individual authorizations because many principal investigators with whom we are involved in clinical trials are directly subject to them as a HIPAA "covered entity." In addition, we obtain identifiable health information from third parties that are subject to such regulations. While we do not believe we are a "business associate" under HIPAA, regulatory agencies may disagree. Because of amendments to the HIPAA data security and privacy rules that were promulgated on January 25, 2013, some of which went into effect on March 26, 2013, there are some instances where HIPAA "business associates" of a "covered entity" may be directly liable for breaches of PHI and other HIPAA violations. These amendments may subject "business associates" to HIPAA's enforcement scheme, which, as amended, can yield up to \$1.5 million in annual civil penalties for each HIPAA violation.

In the EU personal data includes any information that relates to an identified or identifiable natural person with health information carrying additional obligations, including obtaining the explicit consent from the individual for collection, use or disclosure of the information. In addition, we are subject to EU rules with respect to cross-border transfers of such data out of the EU. The United States, the EU and its member states, and other countries where we have operations, such as Japan, South Korea, Malaysia, the Philippines, Russia and Singapore, continue to issue new privacy and data protection rules and regulations that relate to personal data and health information. Failure to comply with certain certification/registration and annual re-certification/registration provisions associated with these data protection and privacy regulations and rules in various jurisdictions, or to resolve any serious privacy or security complaints, could subject us to regulatory sanctions, delays in clinical trials, criminal prosecution or civil liability. Federal, state and foreign governments may propose or have adopted additional legislation governing the collection, possession, use or dissemination of personal data, such as personal health information, and personal financial data as well as security breach notification rules for loss or theft of such data. Additional legislation or regulation of this type might, among other things, require us to implement new security measures and processes or bring within the legislation or regulation de-identified health or other personal data, each of which may require substantial expenditures or limit our ability to offer some of our services. Additionally, if we violate applicable laws, regulations or duties relating to the use, privacy or security of personal data, we could be subject to civil liability or criminal prosecution, be forced to alter our business practices and suffer reputational harm. In the next few years, the European data protection framework may be revised as a generally applicable data regulation. The text has not yet been finalized, but it contains new provisions specifically directed at the processing of health information, sanctions of up to 2% of worldwide gross revenue and extra-territoriality measures intended to bring non-EU companies under the proposed regulation.

Actions by regulatory authorities or customers to limit the scope of or withdraw an approved drug from the market could result in a loss of revenue.

Government regulators have the authority, after approving a drug or device, to limit its indication for use by requiring additional labeled warnings or to withdraw the drug or device's approval for its approved indication based on safety concerns. Similarly, customers may act to voluntarily limit the availability of approved drugs or devices or withdraw them from the market after we begin our work. If we are providing services to customers for drugs or devices that are limited or withdrawn, we may be required to narrow the scope of or terminate our services with respect to such drugs or devices, which would prevent us from earning the full amount of service revenue anticipated under the related service contracts.

If we do not keep pace with rapid technological change, our services may become less competitive or obsolete. The biopharmaceutical industry generally, and drug development and clinical research more specifically, are subject to rapid technological change. Our current competitors or other businesses might develop technologies or services that are more effective or commercially attractive than, or render obsolete, our current or future technologies and services. If our competitors introduce superior technologies or services and if we cannot make enhancements to remain competitive, our competitive position would be harmed. If we are unable to compete successfully, we may lose customers or be unable to attract new customers, which could lead to a decrease in our revenue and have an adverse impact on our financial condition.

In addition, the operation of our business relies on IT infrastructure and systems delivered across multiple platforms. The failure of our systems to perform could severely disrupt our business and adversely affect our results of operations. Our systems are also vulnerable to demise from natural or man-made disasters, terrorist

34

attacks, computer viruses or hackers, power loss or other technology system failures. These events could adversely affect our business or results of operations.

The biopharmaceutical industry has a history of patent and other intellectual property litigation and we might be involved in costly intellectual property lawsuits.

The biopharmaceutical industry has a history of intellectual property litigation and these lawsuits will likely continue in the future. Accordingly, we may face patent infringement suits by companies that have patents for similar business processes or other suits alleging infringement of their intellectual property rights. Legal proceedings relating to intellectual property could be expensive, take significant time and divert management's attention from other business concerns, regardless of the outcome of the litigation. In the event an infringement lawsuit was brought against us and we did not prevail, we might have to pay substantial damages and we could be required to stop infringing activity or obtain a license to use technology on unfavorable terms.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness

Our substantial debt could adversely affect our financial condition.

As of December 31, 2015, our total principal amount of indebtedness was \$505.0 million and we had up to \$119.2 million of additional borrowing capacity available under our revolving credit facility. Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and thus make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our senior secured facilities. If our cash flow is not sufficient to service our debt and adequately fund our business, we may be required to seek further additional financing or refinancing or dispose of assets. We might not be able to influence any of these alternatives on satisfactory terms or at all. Our substantial indebtedness could also:

- increase our vulnerability to adverse general economic, industry or competitive developments;
 - require us to dedicate a more substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flows to fund working capital, investments, acquisitions, capital expenditures, and other general corporate purposes;
- limit our ability to make required payments under our existing contractual commitments, including our existing long-term indebtedness;
- limit our ability to fund a change of control offer;
- require us to sell certain assets;
- restricting us from making strategic investments, including acquisitions or causing us to make non-strategic divestitures;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt;
- cause us to incur substantial fees from time to time in connection with debt amendments or refinancings;
- increase our exposure to rising interest rates because a substantial portion of our borrowings is at variable interest rates; and
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds or to borrow on terms that are satisfactory to us.

Despite our level of indebtedness, we are able to incur more debt and undertake additional obligations. Incurring such debt or undertaking such additional obligations could further exacerbate the risks to our financial condition.

We may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although covenants under our five-year \$675.0 million credit agreement ("2015 Credit Agreement") entered into on May 14, 2015, which is comprised of a \$525.0 million term loan A and a \$150.0 million revolving line of credit, limit our ability to incur certain additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions, and the indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. To the extent we incur additional indebtedness, the risks associated with our leverage described above, including our possible inability to service our debt obligations, would increase.

Servicing our debt will require a significant amount of cash, and our ability to generate sufficient cash depends on many factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments on and refinance our debt, make strategic acquisitions and to fund capital expenditures depends on our ability to generate cash flow in the future. To some extent, our ability to generate future cash flow is subject to general economic, financial, competitive and other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that:

- our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations;
- we will continue to realize the cost savings, revenue growth and operating improvements that resulted from the execution of our long-term strategic plan; or
- future sources of funding will be available to us in amounts sufficient to enable us to fund our liquidity needs.

We also may experience difficulties repatriating cash from foreign subsidiaries and accounts due to law, regulation or contracts which could further constrain our liquidity. If we cannot fund our liquidity needs, we will have to take actions such as reducing or delaying capital expenditures, marketing efforts, strategic acquisitions, investments and alliances, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our debt or seeking additional equity capital. We cannot assure you that any of these remedies could, if necessary, be effected on commercially reasonable or favorable terms, or at all, or that they would permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. Any inability to generate sufficient cash flow or refinance our debt on favorable terms could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. In addition, if we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our substantial leverage, including the risk that we will be unable to service our debt or generate enough cash flow to fund our liquidity needs, could intensify.

Covenant restrictions under our 2015 Credit Agreement may limit our ability to operate our business.

Our 2015 Credit Agreement contains covenants that may restrict our ability to, among other things, borrow money, pay dividends, make capital expenditures, make strategic acquisitions and effect a consolidation, merger or disposal of all or substantially all of our assets. Although the covenants in our Credit Agreement are subject to various exceptions, we cannot assure you that these covenants will not adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in other activities that may be in our best interest. In addition, in certain circumstances, our long-term debt requires us to maintain a specified financial ratio and satisfy certain financial condition tests, which may require that we take action to reduce our debt or to act in a manner contrary to our business objectives. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our senior secured facilities. If an event of default under our 2015 Credit Agreement occurs, the lenders thereunder could elect to declare all amounts outstanding, together with accrued interest, to be immediately due and payable. In such case, we might not have sufficient funds to repay all the outstanding amounts. In addition, our 2015 Credit Agreement is secured by first priority security interests on substantially all of our real and personal property, including the capital stock of certain of our subsidiaries. If an event of default under our 2015 Credit Agreement occurs, the lenders thereunder could exercise their rights under the related security documents. Any acceleration of amounts due under our 2015 Credit Agreement or the substantial exercise by the lenders of their rights under the security documents would likely have a material adverse effect on us.

Interest rate fluctuations may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Because we have substantial variable rate debt, fluctuations in interest rates may affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We may attempt to minimize interest rate risk and lower our overall borrowing costs through the utilization of derivative financial instruments, primarily interest rate swaps. As of December 31, 2015 we had approximately \$505.0 million of total indebtedness with variable interest rates.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Stock

Our stock price might fluctuate significantly, which could cause the value of your investment in our common stock to decline.

Since our initial public offering in November 2014 (the "IPO"), the price of our common stock, as reported by NASDAQ, has ranged from a low of \$19.61 on November 7, 2014 to a high of \$51.69 on August 3, 2015. In addition, securities markets worldwide have experienced, and are likely to continue to experience, significant price and volume fluctuations. This market volatility, as well as general economic, market or political conditions, could reduce the market price of our common stock regardless of our results of operations. The public market for our common stock continues to be relatively new, and its trading price is likely to be volatile and subject to significant price fluctuations in response to many factors, including:

- market conditions or trends in our industry, including with respect to the regulatory environment, or the economy as a whole;
- fluctuations in quarterly operating results, as well as differences between our actual financial and operating results and those expected by investors;
- guidance, if any, that we provide to the public, any changes in this guidance or our failure to meet this guidance;
- changes in financial estimates or ratings by any securities analysts who follow our common stock, our failure to meet those estimates or the failure of those analysts to initiate or maintain coverage of our common stock;
- changes in key personnel;
- entry into new markets;
- announcements by us or our competitors of new service offerings or significant acquisitions, divestitures, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- actions by competitors;
- changes in operating performance and stock market valuations of other companies;
- investors' perceptions of our prospects and the prospects of the industry;
- the public's response to press releases or other public announcements by us or third parties, including our filings with the SEC;
- announcements related to litigation;
- changes in the credit ratings of our debt;
- the development and sustainability of an active trading market for our common stock;
- investor perceptions of the investment opportunity associated with our common stock relative to other investment alternatives;
- future sales of our common stock by our officers, directors and significant shareholders;
- other events or factors, including those resulting from system failures and disruptions, cyber-attacks,

earthquakes, hurricanes, war, acts of terrorism, other natural disasters or responses to these events; and changes in accounting principles.

These and other factors may cause the market price and demand for shares of our common stock to fluctuate substantially, which may otherwise negatively affect the liquidity of our common stock. In that event, the price of our common stock would likely decrease. In the past, when the market price of a stock has been volatile, security holders have often instituted class action litigation against the company that issued the stock. If we become involved in this type of litigation, regardless of the outcome, we could incur substantial legal costs and our management's attention could be diverted from the operation of our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We do not expect to pay any cash dividends for the foreseeable future.

We do not anticipate that we will pay any dividends to holders of our common stock for the foreseeable future. Any payment of cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our financial condition, capital requirements, legal requirements, earnings and other factors. Our ability to pay dividends is restricted by the terms of our 2015 Credit Agreement and might be restricted by the terms of any indebtedness that we incur in the future. Consequently, you should not rely on dividends in order to receive a return on your investment. For additional information on our dividend policy, see Part II, Item 5 "Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Future sales of our common stock in the public market could cause the market price of our common stock to decrease significantly.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market by our shareholders may cause the market price of our common stock to decrease significantly. The perception that such sales could occur could also depress the market price of our common stock. Any such sales could also create public perception of difficulties or problems with our business and might also make it more difficult for us to raise capital through the sale of equity securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate.

As of December 31, 2015, we had 53,871,484 outstanding shares of Class A common stock, of which: 21,550,250 shares are "restricted securities," as defined under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, and are eligible for sale in the public market subject to the requirements of Rule 144; and 3,646,535 shares are shares of outstanding options and restricted stock units that, if exercised, will result in these additional shares becoming available for sale subject in some cases to Rule 144 and Rule 701 under the Securities Act.

Our Sponsors have significant influence over our company, and their interests may be different from or conflict with those of our other shareholders.

The Sponsors collectively beneficially own approximately 38% of our outstanding common stock. As a consequence, the Sponsors continue to be able to exert a significant degree of influence over our management and affairs and matters requiring shareholder approval, including the election of directors, a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets, and any other significant transaction. Additionally, the Sponsors are parties to a stockholders agreement (the "Stockholders Agreement"). This Stockholders Agreement, among other things, requires such shareholders to vote in favor of certain nominees to our Board. The interests of the Sponsors might not always coincide with our interests or the interests of our other shareholders. For instance, this concentration of ownership and/or the restrictions imposed by the Stockholders Agreement may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of us otherwise favored by our other shareholders and could depress our stock price.

The Sponsors each make investments in companies and may, from time to time, acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. Each of the Sponsors may also pursue, for its own account, acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business, and as a result, those acquisition opportunities might not be available to us. Our organizational documents contain provisions renouncing any interest or expectancy held by our directors affiliated with the Sponsors in certain corporate

opportunities. Accordingly, the interests of the Sponsors may supersede ours, causing the Sponsors or their affiliates to compete against us or to pursue opportunities instead of us, for which we have no recourse. Such actions on the part of the Sponsors and inaction on our part could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The Sponsors control four seats on our Board. Since the Sponsors could invest in entities that directly or indirectly compete with us, when conflicts arise between the interests of the Sponsors and the interests of our shareholders, these directors might not be disinterested.

Although we are no longer a "controlled company" within the meaning of the NASDAQ rules, we are relying on exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements during transition periods of up to one year.

We are no longer a "controlled company" within the meaning of the corporate governance standards contained in the NASDAQ listing standards. Consequently, as of December 7, 2015, the NASDAQ requires that we:

• appoint at least a majority of independent directors to our compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees within 90 days;

• appoint a majority of independent directors to our Board within one year; and

• appoint compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees composed entirely of independent directors within one year.

We intend to utilize the transition periods described above to achieve full compliance with these NASDAQ requirements. As a result, at this time we have a majority of independent directors, but our compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees do not consist entirely of independent directors. Accordingly, our shareholders do not, and during these transition periods will not, have the same protections afforded to shareholders of companies that are subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the NASDAQ. In addition, if we are unable to comply with the heightened corporate governance requirements prior to the prescribed NASDAQ deadlines, we may incur penalties or our shares could be delisted.

Provisions of our corporate governance documents and Delaware law could make an acquisition of our company more difficult and may prevent attempts by our shareholders to replace or remove our current management, even if beneficial to our shareholders.

Provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws contain provisions that delay, defer or discourage transactions involving an actual or potential change in control of us or change in our management that shareholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares. These provisions could also limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock, thereby depressing the market price of our common stock. In addition, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our shareholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for shareholders to replace members of our Board of Directors. Because our Board of Directors is responsible for appointing the members of our management team, these provisions could in turn affect any attempt to replace current members of our management team. Among others, these provisions include, (1) our ability to issue preferred stock without shareholder approval, (2) the requirement that our shareholders may not act without a meeting, (3) requirements for advance notification of shareholder nominations and proposals contained in our bylaws, (4) the absence of cumulative voting for our directors, (5) requirements for shareholder approval of certain business combinations and (6) the limitations on director nominations contained in our Stockholders Agreement.

Additionally, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law (the "DGCL") prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested shareholder, generally a person which together with its affiliates owns, or within the last three years has owned, 15% of our voting stock, for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested shareholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. The existence of the foregoing provision could also limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock, thereby depressing the market price of our common stock.

If securities analysts or industry analysts downgrade our shares, publish negative research or reports, or do not publish reports about our business, our share price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock is to some extent influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us, our business and our industry. If one or more analysts adversely change their recommendation regarding our shares or our competitors' stock, our share price might decline. If one or more analysts cease coverage of us or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we might lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our share price or trading volume to decline.

We are incurring increased costs and obligations as a result of being a public company.

As a relatively new public company, we are required to comply with certain additional corporate governance and financial reporting practices and policies required of a publicly traded company. As a result, we have and will continue to incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we were not required to incur as a privately held company, due to compliance requirements of the Exchange Act, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Sarbanes-Oxley"), the Dodd-Frank Act, the listing requirements of the NASDAQ, and other applicable securities rules and regulations. The Exchange Act requires, among other things, that we file annual, quarterly, and current reports with respect to our business and operating results with the SEC. We are also required to ensure that we have the ability to prepare financial statements that are fully compliant with all SEC reporting requirements on a timely basis. Compliance with these rules and regulations will increase our legal and financial compliance costs, and might make some activities more difficult, time-consuming or costly and increase demand on our systems and resources. We might not be successful in complying with these obligations and the significant commitment of resources required for complying with them could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our internal control over financial reporting is required to meet all the standards of Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley, and failure to achieve and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could have a material adverse effect on our stock price, reputation, business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley requires annual management assessments of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, starting with this annual report. Management and our independent registered public accounting firm are required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting on an annual basis beginning with this annual report. The rules governing the standards that must be met to assess our internal control over financial reporting are complex and require significant documentation, testing and possible remediation of our existing controls and could result in incurring significant additional expenditures. We had to design, implement and test our internal control over financial reporting in order to comply with this obligation. The process necessary to meet these requirements was time consuming, costly, and complicated, and we will need to evaluate and refine the process on an ongoing basis. We might encounter problems or delays in completing the implementation of any required improvements and receiving a favorable attestation in connection with the attestation provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. Further, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting may exist or otherwise be discovered in the future. If we are not able to meet the compliance requirements of the applicable provisions of Section 404, we will be unable to issue securities in the public markets through the use of a shelf registration statement. In addition, failure to achieve and maintain an effective internal control environment could limit our ability to report our financial results accurately and timely, result in misstatements and restatements of our consolidated financial statements, cause investors to lose confidence and have a material adverse effect on our stock price, reputation, business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We are a holding company and rely on dividends and other payments, advances and transfers of funds from our subsidiaries to meet our obligations and pay any dividends.

We have no direct operations and no significant assets other than ownership of 100% of the capital stock of our subsidiaries. Because we conduct our operations through our subsidiaries, we depend on those entities for dividends and other payments to generate the funds necessary to meet our financial obligations, and to pay any dividends with respect to our common stock. Legal and contractual restrictions in our 2015 Credit Agreement and other agreements which may govern future indebtedness of our subsidiaries, as well as the financial condition and operating requirements of our subsidiaries, may limit our ability to obtain cash from our subsidiaries. The earnings from, or other available assets of, our subsidiaries might not be sufficient to pay dividends or make distributions or loans to enable us to pay any dividends on our common stock or other obligations. Any of the foregoing could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

As of December 31, 2015, we had 70 facilities located in 44 countries. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we utilized approximately 82% of our available facility space. Most of our facilities consist solely of office space. We lease all of our facilities, with the exception of office space owned in Madrid, Spain. Our headquarters and principal executive offices are located in Raleigh, North Carolina, where we lease space in two locations totaling approximately 187,700 square feet. The leases for both of our Raleigh locations expire in February 2019.

In addition, we lease substantial facilities in Austin, Texas; Beijing, China; Camberley, United Kingdom; Cincinnati, Ohio; Gurgaon, India; Mexico City, Mexico; Munich, Germany; Paris, France; Toronto, Canada and Wilmington, North Carolina, with leases expiring between 2016 and 2019. We also maintain offices in various other Asian-Pacific, European, Latin American and North American locations, including Australia, the Middle East and Africa. None of our leases is individually material to our business model and all either have options to renew or are located in major markets where we believe there are adequate opportunities to continue business operations at terms satisfactory to us.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are party to legal proceedings incidental to our business. While our management currently believes the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information for Common Stock

On November 7, 2014, our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ under the symbol "INCR". Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ for the period indicated.

	High	Low
Fiscal Year 2015:		
Fourth Quarter	\$50.40	\$37.51
Third Quarter	\$51.69	\$37.53
Second Quarter	\$42.45	\$29.03
First Quarter	\$34.54	\$22.17
	High	Low
Fiscal Year 2014:		
Fourth Quarter (from November 7, 2014)	\$26.85	\$19.61

Holders of Record

On February 18, 2016, there were approximately 34 shareholders of record of our common stock. This number does not include shareholders for whom shares are held in "nominee" or "street" name.

Dividend Policy

Since becoming a public company, we have not declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock, nor do we intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. However, in the future, subject to the factors described below and our future liquidity and capitalization, we may change this policy and choose to pay dividends.

We are a holding company that does not conduct any business operations of our own. As a result, our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock is dependent upon cash dividends and distributions and other transfers from our subsidiaries. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends is currently restricted by the terms of our Credit Agreement, and may be further restricted by any future indebtedness we or they incur. In addition, under Delaware law, our Board of Directors may declare dividends only to the extent of our surplus (which is defined as total assets at fair market value minus total liabilities, minus statutory capital) or, if there is no surplus, out of our net profits for the then current and/or immediately preceding fiscal year.

Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will take into account restrictions in our debt instruments, including our 2015 Credit Agreement, general economic business conditions, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, our capital requirements, our business prospects, the ability of our operating subsidiaries to pay dividends and make distributions to us, legal restrictions, and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant. For additional information on these restrictive covenants, see Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources" and "Note 4 - Debt and Leases" to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we paid special dividends of \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, to holders of our former Class C common stock. The Company redeemed the outstanding share of Class C common stock and eliminated the Class C common stock from its authorized capital stock in connection with the IPO in November 2014. Additionally, we utilized proceeds from our IPO of \$3.4 million to terminate the advisory services agreement and \$3.4 million to redeem Class C common stock.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

We did not have any sales of unregistered securities during 2015.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

In May 2015, we repurchased 5,053,482 shares of our Class A common stock pursuant to an agreement with investment funds affiliated with our Sponsors, Avista Capital Partners, L.P. ("Avista") and Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan Board ("OTPP"), in a private transaction at a price of approximately \$29.68 per share, resulting in a total purchase price of approximately \$150.0 million. In conjunction with this transaction, our Sponsors and certain other shareholders sold in a registered secondary offering at the same price 8,050,000 shares of our common stock, including 1,050,000 shares that were offered and sold pursuant to the underwriters' exercise in full of its option to purchase additional shares.

In December 2015, we repurchased 3,000,000 shares of our Class A common stock pursuant to an agreement with investment funds affiliated with our Sponsors, Avista and OTPP, in a private transaction at a price of \$45.00 per share, resulting in a total purchase price of approximately \$135.0 million. In conjunction with this transaction, our Sponsors sold in a registered secondary offering at the same price 6,000,000 shares of our common stock.

Stock Performance Graph

The information included under the heading “Stock Performance Graph” is “furnished” and not “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed to be “soliciting material” subject to Regulation 14A or incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Our common stock is listed for trading on the NASDAQ under the symbol “INCR.” The Stock Price Performance Graph set forth below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock for the period from November 7, 2014 through December 31, 2015, with the cumulative total return of the Nasdaq Composite Index and the Nasdaq Health Care Index over the same period. The comparison assumes \$100 was invested on November 7, 2014 in the common stock of INC Research Holdings, Inc., in the Nasdaq Composite Index, and in the Nasdaq Health Care Index and assumes reinvestment of dividends, if any.

The stock price performance shown on the graph above is not necessarily indicative of future price performance. Information used in the graph was obtained from the Nasdaq Stock Market, a source believed to be reliable, but we are not responsible for any errors or omissions in such information.

Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by Part II, Item 5 of Form 10-K regarding equity compensation plans is incorporated herein by reference to “Part III, Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.”

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following tables set forth our selected consolidated financial data for the periods ending on and as of the dates indicated. We derived the consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 from our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We derived the consolidated statements of operations data for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. You should read the consolidated financial data set forth below together with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto included in Part II, Item 8, and Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of future results of operations.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011(1)
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Net service revenue(2)	\$914,740	\$809,728	\$652,418	\$579,145	\$437,005
Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	484,499	369,071	342,672	289,455	218,981
Total revenue	1,399,239	1,178,799	995,090	868,600	655,986
Costs and operating expenses:					
Direct costs	542,404	515,059	432,261	389,056	279,840
Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	484,499	369,071	342,672	289,455	218,981
Selling, general, and administrative	156,609	145,143	117,890	109,428	95,063
Restructuring and other costs (3)	1,785	6,192	11,828	35,380	27,839
Transaction expenses (4)	1,637	7,902	508	—	10,322
Asset impairment charges (5)	3,931	17,245	—	4,000	—
Depreciation	18,140	21,619	19,175	19,915	15,700
Amortization	37,874	32,924	39,298	58,896	48,436
Income (loss) from operations	152,360	63,644	31,458	(37,530)	(40,195)
Other income (expense), net:					
Interest expense, net	(15,448)	(52,787)	(60,489)	(62,007)	(65,482)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(9,795)	(46,750)	—	—	—
Other income (expense), net	3,857	7,689	(1,649)	4,679	11,519
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	130,974	(28,204)	(30,680)	(94,858)	(94,158)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(13,927)	4,734	(10,849)	35,744	34,611
Net income (loss)	117,047	(23,470)	(41,529)	(59,114)	(59,547)
Class C common stock dividends	—	(375)	(500)	(500)	(4,500)
Redemption of New Class C common stock	—	(3,375)	—	—	—
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$117,047	\$(27,220)	\$(42,029)	\$(59,614)	\$(64,047)
Earnings per share attributable to common shareholders:					
Basic	\$2.02	\$(0.51)	\$(0.81)	\$(1.14)	\$(1.46)
Diluted	\$1.95	\$(0.51)	\$(0.81)	\$(1.14)	\$(1.46)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	57,888	53,301	52,009	52,203	43,875
Diluted	60,146	53,301	52,009	52,203	43,875

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011(1)
	(in thousands)				
Statement of Cash Flow Data:					
Net cash provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$204,740	\$131,447	\$37,270	\$42,999	\$(18,533)
Investing activities	(21,111)	(27,853)	(17,714)	(12,974)	(369,670)
Financing activities	(211,399)	(67,698)	(6,841)	(18,932)	422,053
Other Financial Data:					
EBITDA(10)	\$202,436	\$79,126	\$88,282	\$45,960	\$35,460
Adjusted EBITDA(10)	221,360	145,276	105,521	84,366	65,450
Adjusted Net Income (Loss)(10)	120,174	44,647	16,290	1,539	(9,950)
Adjusted Diluted Earnings per share(10)	\$2.00	\$0.83	\$0.31	\$0.03	\$(0.23)
Capital expenditures	(21,111)	(25,551)	(17,714)	(9,591)	(4,763)
Dividends paid	—	(375)	(500)	(500)	(4,500)
Redemption of New Class C common stock	—	(3,375)	—	—	—
Net new business awards(9)	1,176,533	949,790	814,177	676,250	449,254
	As of December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011(1)
	(in thousands)				
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$85,011	\$126,453	\$96,972	\$81,363	\$70,960
Total assets(7)	1,211,219	1,241,365	1,227,455	1,250,985	1,366,033
Total debt and capital leases(7)(8)	501,839	416,257	588,823	587,517	597,721
Total shareholders' equity	217,434	392,209	276,207	316,830	379,490
Other Financial Data:					
Backlog(6)	\$1,813,178	\$1,589,386	\$1,490,787	\$1,320,548	\$1,221,641
Net Book-to-Bill ratio(9)	1.3x	1.2x	1.2x	1.2x	1.0x

(1) We acquired Trident Clinical Research Pty Ltd., or Trident, on June 1, 2011 and Kendle on July 12, 2011. The financial results of these entities have been included as of and since the dates of these acquisitions.

(2) During the second and third quarters of 2014, we experienced higher-than-normal change order activity estimated to be between \$6 million and \$12 million. Net service revenue for 2014 after adjusting for the estimated impact of \$9.0 million in higher-than-normal change order activity was \$800.7 million.

(3) Restructuring and other costs consist of: (i) severance costs associated with the reduction of our workforce in line with our future business operations and duplicative staff; and (ii) lease obligation and termination costs in connection with the abandonment and closure of redundant facilities as a result of our restructuring initiatives.

Other costs consist primarily of information technology and other consulting and legal fees attributable to our integration of Kendle.

(4) Transaction expenses for the year ended December 31, 2015 were \$1.6 million and primarily consisted of fees associated with the Company's May, August, and December 2015 secondary common stock offerings, debt placement and refinancing and other corporate transactions. Transaction expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$7.9 million and primarily consisted of \$4.2 million in debt issuance costs and third party fees associated with the debt refinancing in February and November 2014, \$3.4 million of fees associated with the termination of the Avista Capital Partners, L.P. consulting agreement, and \$0.3 million of legal fees associated with the MEK Consulting acquisition. Transaction expenses of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 related to third-party fees associated with debt refinancing and the legal fees associated with our acquisition of

MEK Consulting which was completed in March 2014. Transaction expenses of \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 related to legal fees, accounting fees and the noncapitalizable portion of bank fees related to our acquisitions of Kendle and Trident.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded a \$3.9 million impairment charge related to goodwill and long-lived assets associated with our Phase I Services reporting unit. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a \$17.2 million impairment charge related to intangible assets and goodwill associated with our (5) Global Consulting, a component of the Clinical Development segment, and Phase I Services reporting units. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a \$4.0 million impairment charge related to the goodwill associated with our Phase I Services reporting unit.

Backlog consists of anticipated future net service revenue from contract and pre-contract commitments that are supported by written communications. The dollar amount of our backlog consists of anticipated future net service revenue from business awards that either have not started but are anticipated to begin in the next 12 months, or are in process and have not been completed. The majority of our contracts can be terminated by our customers with 30 days' notice. Backlog has been adjusted to reflect any cancellations or adjustments to the related contracts and (6) changes in the foreign currency exchange rates of awards not denominated in U.S. dollars. Included within backlog at December 31, 2015 is approximately \$0.84 billion that we expect to generate revenue in 2016, with the remainder expected to translate into revenue beyond 2016. Backlog is not necessarily indicative of future financial performance because it will likely be impacted by a number of factors, including the size and duration of projects, which can be performed over several years, project change orders resulting in increases or decreases in project scope, and cancellations.

During 2015, we adopted ASU 2015-03, Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the (7) Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. As a result, total assets, total debt and capital leases have been reduced by \$3.2 million, \$3.7 million, \$5.7 million, \$6.7 million and \$7.9 million of debt issuance costs associated with the our Term Loans and 2015 Revolver as of December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(8) Total debt and capital leases includes \$5.5 million, \$4.6 million, \$6.7 million and \$8.0 million of unamortized discounts as of December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net new business awards represent the value of future net service revenue awarded during the period supported by contracts or written pre-contract communications from our customers for projects that have received appropriate internal funding approval, are not contingent upon completion of another trial or event, and are expected to commence within the next 12 months, minus the value of cancellations in the same period. Net book-to-bill ratio represents "net new business awards" divided by net service revenue. We believe net book-to-bill ratio is (9) commonly used in our industry and represents a useful indicator of our potential future revenue growth rate in that it measures the rate at which we are generating net new business awards compared to our current revenues. Net book-to-bill is better viewed on a trailing twelve month basis due to the variability within any particular quarter that can be caused by a very large award or cancellation. However, we cannot assure you that the net book-to-bill rate is predictive of future financial performance because it will likely be impacted by a number of factors, including the size and duration of projects, which can be performed over several years, project change orders resulting in increases or decreases in project scope, and cancellations.

We report our financial results in accordance with GAAP. To supplement this information, we also use the following non-GAAP financial measures in this report: EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, and Adjusted Net Income (10) (Loss) and Diluted Adjusted Earnings per share. For a discussion of the non-GAAP financial measures in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, see "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below. Investors are encouraged to review the following reconciliations of these non-GAAP measures to our closest reported GAAP measures.

Reconciliation of GAAP Measures to Non-GAAP Measures

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)				
EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA:					
Net income (loss)	\$117,047	\$(23,470)	\$(41,529)	\$(59,114)	\$(59,547)
Interest expense, net	15,448	52,787	60,489	62,007	65,482
Income tax expense (benefit)	13,927	(4,734)	10,849	(35,744)	(34,611)
Depreciation	18,140	21,619	19,175	19,915	15,700
Amortization	37,874	32,924	39,298	58,896	48,436
EBITDA	202,436	79,126	88,282	45,960	35,460
Restructuring and other costs	1,785	6,192	11,828	35,380	27,839
Transaction expenses(b)	1,637	7,902	508	—	10,322
Asset impairment charges	3,931	17,245	—	4,000	—
Share-based compensation	5,074	3,370	2,419	1,248	1,176
Contingent consideration treated as compensation expense(a)	559	918	253	1,867	1,540
Monitoring and advisory fees(c)	—	462	582	590	632
Other (income) expense	(3,857)	(7,689)	1,453	(1,944)	(9,864)
Loss (gain) on unconsolidated affiliates	—	—	196	(2,735)	(1,655)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	9,795	46,750	—	—	—
Change order adjustment(d)	—	(9,000)	—	—	—
Adjusted EBITDA	\$221,360	\$145,276	\$105,521	\$84,366	\$65,450
Adjusted Net Income:					
Net income (loss)	\$117,047	\$(23,470)	\$(41,529)	\$(59,114)	\$(59,547)
Amortization	37,874	32,924	39,298	58,896	48,436
Restructuring and other costs	1,785	6,192	11,828	35,380	27,839
Transaction expenses(b)	1,637	7,902	508	—	10,322
Asset impairment charges	3,931	17,245	—	4,000	—
Share-based compensation	5,074	3,370	2,419	1,248	1,176
Contingent consideration treated as compensation expense(a)	559	918	253	1,867	1,540
Monitoring and advisory fees(c)	—	462	582	590	632
Other (income) expense	(3,857)	(7,689)	1,453	(1,944)	(9,864)
Loss (gain) on unconsolidated affiliates	—	—	196	(2,735)	(1,655)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	9,795	46,750	—	—	—
Change order adjustment(d)	—	(9,000)	—	—	—
Adjust income tax to normalized rate(e)	(53,671)	(30,957)	1,282	(36,649)	(28,829)
Adjusted Net Income (Loss)	\$120,174	\$44,647	\$16,290	\$1,539	\$(9,950)
Adjusted Diluted Earnings Per Share:					
Adjusted diluted earnings per share	\$2.00	\$0.83	\$0.31	\$0.03	\$(0.23)
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding(f)	60,146	53,858	52,033	52,236	43,875

(a) Consists of contingent consideration expense incurred as a result of acquisitions and accounted for as compensation expense under GAAP.

(b) Represents fees associated with our initial public offering, registered secondary offerings, debt placement and refinancing, and costs incurred in connection with business combinations and potential acquisitions.

Represents monitoring and advisory fees paid to affiliates of Avista Capital Partners, L.P in the periods prior to the initial public offering in November 2014, as well as reimbursements of expenses paid to affiliates of Avista Capital Partners, L.P. and affiliates of Teaches Private Capital pursuant to the Expense Reimbursement Agreement. These arrangements were terminated upon completion of our initial public offering.

During the second and third quarters of 2014, we experienced higher-than-normal change order activity estimated to be between \$6 million and \$12 million. Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted Net Income, and Adjusted diluted earnings per share for 2014 have been adjusted by \$9.0 million to remove the impact of this higher-than-normal change order activity.

Our effective tax rate has been adjusted to an overall effective rate of 36% in 2015 and 37% in 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011, in order to reflect the removal of the tax impact of our valuation allowances recorded against our deferred tax assets and changes in the assertion to indefinitely reinvest the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. Historically, we recorded a valuation allowance against some of our deferred tax assets, but we believe that these valuation allowances cause significant fluctuations in our financial results that are not indicative of our underlying financial performance. Specifically, the majority of our revenue in 2015, 2014 and 2013 was generated in jurisdictions in which we recognized no tax expense or benefit due to changes in this valuation allowance. In 2014 we reversed the valuation allowance in one of our foreign jurisdictions, net of establishment of additional valuation allowances in certain jurisdictions, creating a tax benefit of \$18.2 million. During 2015, we reversed the valuation allowance in our U.S. jurisdiction, creating a tax benefit of \$31.9 million. In addition, 2015 has also been adjusted for the elimination of a \$2.6 million benefit from the release of a reserve for a pre-acquisition uncertain tax position associated with Kendle International, Inc., a company we acquired in July 2011.

Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding has been adjusted to give effect to dilutive securities for purposes of calculating adjusted diluted earnings per share by 557, 24, and 33 shares for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These shares were excluded from the calculation of GAAP earnings per share as we reported a net loss for the period.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We report our financial results in accordance with GAAP. To supplement this information, we also use the following non-GAAP financial measures in this Annual Report on Form 10-K: EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Diluted Adjusted Earnings per share. Management believes that these non-GAAP measures provide useful supplemental information to management and investors regarding the underlying performance of our business operations. We use these non-GAAP measures to, among other things, evaluate our operating performance on a consistent basis, calculate incentive compensation for our employees and assess compliance with various metrics associated with our 2015 Credit Agreement.

EBITDA represents earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA represents EBITDA, further adjusted to exclude the impact of higher-than-normal revenue change order activity and certain expenses and transactions that we believe are not representative of our core operating results, including management fees that terminated upon our IPO, restructuring costs, transaction expenses, non-cash share-based compensation expense, contingent consideration related to acquisitions, asset impairment charges, loss on extinguishment of debt, results of and gains or losses from the sale of unconsolidated affiliates, and other income (expense).

Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Diluted Adjusted Earnings per share represent net income (loss) adjusted to exclude the impact of higher-than-normal revenue change order activity and certain expenses and transactions that we believe are not representative of our core operating results, including management fees that terminated upon our IPO, acquisition-related amortization, restructuring costs, transaction expenses, non-cash share-based compensation expense, contingent consideration related to acquisitions, asset impairment charges, loss on extinguishment of debt, results of and gains or losses from the sale of unconsolidated affiliates, other income (expense) and an adjustment to our tax rate to reflect an expected long-term tax rate that excludes the impact of our valuation allowances and historical NOLs.

We believe that EBITDA is a useful metric for investors as it is a common metric used by investors, analysts and debt holders to measure our ability to service our debt obligations, fund capital expenditures and meet working capital requirements.

49

Each of the non-GAAP measures are used by management and the Board of Directors to evaluate our core operating results as it excludes certain items whose fluctuations from period-to-period do not necessarily correspond to changes in the core operations of the business. Adjusted Net Income(Loss) (including Adjusted Diluted Earnings per Share) are used by management and the Board to assess our business, as well as by investors and analysts, to measure our performance. Adjusted EBITDA is also a useful measurement for management and investors to measure our ability to service our debt obligations.

These non-GAAP measures are performance measures only and are not measures of our cash flows or liquidity. EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Diluted Adjusted Earnings per share are non-GAAP financial measures that are not in accordance with, or an alternative for, measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with GAAP and may be different from similarly titled non-GAAP measures used by other companies. Non-GAAP measures have limitations in that they do not reflect all of the amounts associated with our results of operations as determined in accordance with GAAP. Some of the limitations are:

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the significant interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt; although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized may have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income (Loss) do not reflect the cash requirements for such replacements; and

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, and Adjusted Net Income (Loss) do not reflect our actual tax expense or, in the case of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, the cash requirements to pay our taxes.

See the consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for our GAAP results. Additionally, for reconciliations of EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Diluted Adjusted Earnings per share to our closest reported GAAP measures see "Selected Financial Data - Reconciliation of GAAP Measures to Non-GAAP Measures" above.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with Part II, Item 6, "Selected Financial Data" and the consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements related to future events and our future financial performance that are based on current expectations and subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including those described in "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of, and elsewhere in, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview of Our Business and Services

We are a leading global CRO, exclusively focused on Phase I to Phase IV clinical development services for the biopharmaceutical and medical device industries. We provide our customers highly differentiated therapeutic alignment and expertise, with a particular strength in complex therapeutic areas, such as central nervous system, or CNS, oncology and other complex diseases. We consistently and predictably deliver clinical development services in a complex environment and offer a proprietary, operational approach to clinical trials through our Trusted Process[®] methodology. Our service offerings focus on optimizing the development of and, therefore, the commercial potential for, our customers' new biopharmaceutical compounds, enhancing returns on their research and development, or R&D, investments, and reducing their overhead by offering an attractive variable cost alternative to fixed cost, in-house resources.

Our extensive range of services supports the entire clinical development process from Phase I to Phase IV and allows us to offer our customers an integrated suite of investigative site support and clinical development services. We offer these services across a wide variety of therapeutic areas with deep clinical expertise with a primary focus on Phase II to Phase IV clinical trials. We provide total biopharmaceutical program development while also providing discrete services for any part of a trial. Our combination of service area experts and depth of clinical capability allows for enhanced protocol design and actionable trial data.

We have two reportable segments: Clinical Development Services and Phase I Services. Clinical Development Services offers a variety of clinical development services, including full-service global studies, as well as ancillary services such as clinical monitoring, investigator recruitment, patient recruitment, data management, study reports to assist customers with their drug development process, and specialized consulting services. Phase I Services focuses on clinical development services for Phase I trials that include scientific exploratory medicine, first-in-human studies through proof-of-concept stages and support for Phase I studies in established compounds. For financial information regarding revenue and long-lived assets by geographic areas, please see "Note 13 - Operations by Geographic Location" to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations herein is presented on a consolidated basis. Because our Clinical Development Services segment accounts for substantially all of our business operations and approximately 98% of our net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015, we believe that a discussion of our reportable segments' operations would not be meaningful disclosure for investors. See further discussion in "Note 12 - Segment Information" to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We earn net service revenue primarily for services performed under contracts for global clinical drug trials, based upon a combination of milestones and output measures that are specific to the services performed and defined by the contract. Engagements for Phase II to Phase IV clinical trials, which represent the majority of our revenue, are typically long duration contracts ranging from several months to several years. The contracts for these engagements typically cover the detailed scope of work, phases, milestones, billing schedules and processes for review of work and clinical results. Contracts are individually priced and negotiated based on the anticipated level of effort required to complete the project, the complexity and performance risks, and the level of competition in the market.

Direct costs associated with these contracts consist principally of compensation expense and benefits associated with our employees and other employee-related costs. While we can manage the majority of these costs relative to the amount of contracted services we have during any given period, direct costs as a

percentage of net service revenue can vary from period to period. Such fluctuations are due to a variety of factors, including, among others: (i) the level of staff utilization created by our ability to effectively manage our workforce, (ii) adjustments to the timing of work on specific customer contracts, (iii) the experience mix of personnel assigned to projects, and (iv) the service mix and pricing of our contracts. In addition, as global projects wind down or as delays and cancellations occur, staffing levels in certain countries or functional areas can become misaligned with the current business volume.

New Business Awards and Backlog

We add new business awards to backlog when we enter into a contract or when we receive a written commitment from the customer selecting us as its service provider, provided that (i) the customer has received appropriate internal funding approval, (ii) the project or projects are not contingent upon completion of another trial or event, (iii) the project or projects are expected to commence within the next 12 months and (iv) the customer has entered or intends to enter into a comprehensive contract as soon as practicable. Contracts generally have terms ranging from several months to several years. We recognize revenue on these awards as services are performed, provided we have entered into a contractual commitment with the customer.

Our new business awards, net of cancellations of prior awards, for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were \$1.18 billion, \$0.95 billion and \$0.81 billion, respectively, representing a 23.9% increase from 2014 to 2015 and a 16.7% increase from 2013 to 2014. Net new business awards were higher for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to (i) a lower cancellation rate in 2015 compared to 2014, (ii) the continued growth of our business across therapeutic areas, and (iii) the timing of the conversion of our relationship with a major customer from primarily that of a functional service provider to a more traditional full service arrangement. New business awards have varied and will continue to vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

The dollar amount of our backlog consists of anticipated future net service revenue from business awards that either (1) have not started but are anticipated to begin in the future, or (2) are in process and have not been completed. Our backlog also reflects any cancellation or adjustment activity related to these contracts. The average duration of our contracts will fluctuate from period to period in the future based on the contracts comprising our backlog at any given time. The majority of our contracts can be terminated by our customers with 30 days' notice. The dollar amount of our backlog is adjusted each quarter for foreign currency fluctuations. During the year ended December 31, 2015, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates resulted in an unfavorable impact on our December 31, 2015 backlog in the amount of approximately \$38.0 million, primarily due to the weakening of the Euro and British Pound against the U.S. dollar. Our backlog as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$1.81 billion, \$1.59 billion and \$1.49 billion, respectively, representing a 14.1% increase from 2014 to 2015 and a 6.6% increase from 2013 to 2014. Included within backlog at December 31, 2015 is approximately \$0.84 billion that we expect to translate into revenue in 2016, with the remainder expected to generate revenue beyond 2016.

We believe that backlog and net new business awards might not be consistent indicators of future revenue because they have been, and likely will be, affected by a number of factors, including the variable size and duration of projects, many of which are performed over several years, and cancellations and changes to the scope of work during the course of projects. Additionally, projects may be canceled or delayed by the customer or delayed by regulatory authorities. Projects that have been delayed for less than 12 months remain in backlog, but the anticipated timing of the recognition of revenue is uncertain. We generally do not have a contractual right to the full amount of the revenue reflected in our backlog. If a customer cancels an award, we might be reimbursed for the costs we have incurred. Fluctuations in our reported backlog and net new business award levels also result from the fact that we may receive a small number of relatively large orders in any given reporting period. Because of these large orders, our backlog and net new business awards in that reporting period might reach levels that are not sustained in subsequent reporting periods. As we increasingly compete for and enter into large contracts that are more global in nature, we expect the rate at which our backlog and net new business awards convert into revenue to decrease, or lengthen. See Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business—Our backlog might not be indicative of our future revenues, and we might not realize all of the anticipated future revenue reflected in our backlog" for more information.

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

The following table sets forth amounts from our consolidated financial statements along with the percentage change for years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change					
	2015	2014	2013	2015 to 2014		2014 to 2013			
Net service revenue	\$914,740	\$809,728	\$652,418	\$105,012	13.0	%	\$157,310	24.1	%
Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	484,499	369,071	342,672	115,428	31.3	%	26,399	7.7	%
Total revenue	1,399,239	1,178,799	995,090	220,440	18.7	%	183,709	18.5	%
Costs and expenses:									
Direct costs	542,404	515,059	432,261	27,345	5.3	%	82,798	19.2	%
Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	484,499	369,071	342,672	115,428	31.3	%	26,399	7.7	%
Selling, general, and administrative	156,609	145,143	117,890	11,466	7.9	%	27,253	23.1	%
Restructuring and other costs	1,785	6,192	11,828	(4,407)	(71.2)	%	(5,636)	(47.6)	%
Transaction expenses	1,637	7,902	508	(6,265)	(79.3)	%	7,394	1,455.5	%
Asset impairment charges	3,931	17,245	—	(13,314)	(77.2)	%	17,245	—	%
Depreciation and amortization	56,014	54,543	58,473	1,471	2.7	%	(3,930)	(6.7)	%
Total operating expenses	1,246,879	1,115,155	963,632	131,724	11.8	%	151,523	15.7	%
Income from operations	152,360	63,644	31,458	88,716	139.4	%	32,186	102.3	%
Total other (expense) income, net	(21,386)	(91,848)	(62,138)	70,462	76.7	%	(29,710)	(47.8)	%
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	130,974	(28,204)	(30,680)	159,178	564.4	%	2,476	8.1	%
Income tax (expense) benefit	(13,927)	4,734	(10,849)	(18,661)	(394.2)	%	15,583	143.6	%
Net income (loss)	\$117,047	\$(23,470)	\$(41,529)	\$140,517	598.7	%	\$18,059	43.5	%

Net Service Revenue and Reimbursable Out-of-Pocket Expenses

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, total revenue was comprised of the following (dollars in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change					
	2015	2014	2013	2015 to 2014		2014 to 2013			
Net service revenue	\$914,740	\$809,728	\$652,418	\$105,012	13.0	%	\$157,310	24.1	%
Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	484,499	369,071	342,672	115,428	31.3	%	26,399	7.7	%
Total revenue	\$1,399,239	\$1,178,799	\$995,090	\$220,440	18.7	%	\$183,709	18.5	%

Net service revenue increased \$105.0 million, or 13.0%, to \$914.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$809.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in 2015 is primarily driven by continued strong

awards over the last two years, a lower cancellation rate of previously awarded business and a positive revenue mix. The growth in our revenue in 2015 was across all therapeutic areas and was particularly strong in the complex therapeutic areas. Our net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015, was negatively impacted by fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and contractual currency

53

adjustment provisions resulting in a \$45.4 million decrease as the U.S. Dollar has strengthened compared to the prior year.

Net service revenue increased \$157.3 million, or 24.1%, to \$809.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$652.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase is primarily driven by the ongoing strength of new business awards throughout the preceding 18-month period and higher contract change order activity relative to historical levels, along with a lower cancellation rate of previously awarded business. The growth in our revenue in 2014 was particularly strong in complex therapeutic areas and within a strategic Functional Service Provider ("FSP") customer. In addition, our net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 reflected change order activity that was higher than our historical average and resulted in incremental revenue growth of approximately \$6.0 million to \$12.0 million for the year. Net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 was negatively impacted by \$2.2 million due to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates compared to the prior year.

Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses increased 31.3%, or \$115.4 million, to \$484.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$369.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses increased 7.7%, or \$26.4 million, to \$369.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$342.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. These increases were principally due to overall increases in net service revenue during both periods, as well as an increase in the number of studies in which we procured principal investigator services. These reimbursements are offset by an equal amount reported under the same caption in the "Costs and operating expenses" section of the Consolidated Statements of Operations in our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, accordingly, have no impact on gross margin. Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses fluctuate significantly from period to period based on the timing of program initiation or closeout and the mix of program complexity and do not necessarily change in direct correlation to net service revenues.

Net service revenue from our top five customers accounted for approximately 34%, 37% and 34% of net service revenue for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. No customer accounted for 10% or more of total net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015. Various subsidiaries of Otsuka Holdings Co., Ltd., accounted for approximately 14% and 15% of net service revenue for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Various subsidiaries of Astellas Pharma, Inc., accounted for 12% of net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Direct Costs and Reimbursable Out-of-Pocket Expenses

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, direct costs and reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	For the Years Ended			Change					
	December 31,			2015 to 2014		2014 to 2013			
	2015	2014	2013						
Direct costs	\$542,404	\$515,059	\$432,261	\$27,345	5.3	%	\$82,798	19.2	%
Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	484,499	369,071	342,672	115,428	31.3	%	26,399	7.7	%
Total Direct costs and Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	\$1,026,903	\$884,130	\$774,933	\$142,773	16.1	%	\$109,197	14.1	%

The following is a summary of the year-over-year fluctuation in components of direct costs during the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2015 to 2014	Year Ended December 31, 2014 to 2013
Change in:		
Salaries, benefits, and incentive compensation	\$33,093	\$74,761
Other	(5,748) 8,037
Total	\$27,345	\$82,798

Direct costs increased by \$27.3 million, or 5.3%, to \$542.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$515.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to increased salaries, benefits and incentive compensation. This increase in employee-related expenses was partially offset by a reduction in direct costs of \$37.8 million related to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the prior year. Other direct costs decreased by \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the prior year primarily due to (i) 2014 including a provision for non-recoverable Value Added Tax ("VAT") expenses, as compared to 2015, including a release of a portion of these liabilities, (ii) certain other one-time benefits primarily due to the favorable resolution of disputed pass-through costs realized in the first and third quarters of 2015, (iii) decreases in subcontract services (iv) a reduction in certain non-labor project related costs and (iv) an increase in vendor contract rebates. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in expenses related to contract labor, travel, facilities, and information technology costs to support revenue growth.

As discussed above, direct costs for the year ended December 31, 2015 included certain one-time benefits that we do not believe are representative of ongoing operations, which we estimate to be approximately \$6.6 million.

Specifically, in the first quarter of 2015 we realized benefits of \$5.1 million related to (i) a favorable resolution of several VAT and other tax items, (ii) a change in estimate related to 2014 employee incentive compensation, and (iii) a favorable settlement of disputed pass-through costs. During the third quarter of 2015, we realized a benefit of \$4.9 million from the favorable resolution of additional disputed pass-through costs; however, we had initially recorded approximately \$3.4 million of these obligations in the first half of 2015, resulting in the net favorable impact on the full year ended December 31, 2015 of approximately \$1.5 million.

Direct costs increased by \$82.8 million, or 19.2%, to \$515.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$432.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to increased salaries, benefits and incentive compensation. The increase in salaries, benefits and incentive compensation is primarily due to higher compensation expense and contract labor costs associated with additional headcount in line with our increased revenues and an increase in incentive compensation as a result of our improved financial performance. Other costs increased primarily due to an increase in facilities and information technology related costs, travel related expenses and an increase of \$4.3 million in VAT related expenses that cannot be recovered from customers due to changes in tax regulations related to certain foreign operations, partially offset by a decrease related to professional services costs.

As we continue to expand our business and initiate new studies, the increase in headcount-related expenses may outpace our revenue growth. However, we continue to see the benefits from a number of our cost-saving initiatives including (i) leveraging our therapeutic management overhead infrastructure over the expanded revenue base, (ii) improving the utilization of our facilities, and (iii) the consolidation of our clinical trial management systems resulting in our achieving better efficiencies due to standardization.

As noted above, reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses increased by 31.3%, or \$115.4 million, to \$484.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$369.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses increased \$26.4 million, or 7.7%, to \$369.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$342.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses fluctuate significantly from period to period based on the timing of program initiation or closeout and the mix of program complexity and do not necessarily change in direct correlation to net service revenues.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, selling, general and administrative expenses were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	For the Years Ended			Change	
	December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2015 to 2014	2014 to 2013
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 156,609	\$ 145,143	\$ 117,890	\$ 11,466	7.9 % \$ 27,253
Percentage of net service revenue	17.1	% 17.9	% 18.1	%	23.1 %

The following is a summary of the year-over-year fluctuation in components of our selling, general and administrative expenses during the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2015 to 2014	Year Ended December 31, 2014 to 2013
Change in:		
Salaries, benefits, and incentive compensation	\$ 8,507	\$ 15,059
Professional services fees	830	6,674
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(2,579)) 2,358
Facilities and IT related costs	1,867	1,672
Marketing	3,191	(614)
Travel	513	1,251
Other	(863)) 853
Total	\$ 11,466	\$ 27,253

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$11.5 million, or 7.9%, to \$156.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$145.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was primarily driven by (i) an increase in salaries, benefits and incentive compensation, principally as a result of the additions in personnel to support the growth of our business and the newly established public company infrastructure, (ii) an increase in facilities and information technology related costs, professional fees, and travel costs, primarily driven by increased headcount, as discussed above, and (iii) an increase in marketing expenses primarily driven by the higher level of advertising and trade show activities in 2015. These costs were partially offset by a positive change in bad debt expense as a result of the collection of previously reserved amounts receivable.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, our selling, general and administrative expenses were positively impacted by settlement of certain employee related liabilities totaling approximately \$1.1 million. In addition, included within the net increase in selling, general and administrative expenses during 2015 is a net reduction from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates of \$5.6 million compared to the prior year.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$27.3 million, or 23.1%, to \$145.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$117.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily driven by (i) an increase in salaries, benefits, and incentive compensation from increased headcount and incentive compensation resulting from our revenue growth, (ii) an increase in professional services fees as a result of our IPO, (iii) an increase in allowance for doubtful accounts commensurate with the growth in our business, (iv) an increase in facilities and information technology related cost to support our headcount growth, and (v) an increase in travel costs as a result of increased headcount and growth in our global operations. Partially offsetting these increases is a reduction in marketing expenses in 2014 due to the launch of our new branding campaign in the fourth quarter of 2013.

As a result of our cost savings initiatives and our ability to leverage the selling, general and administrative functions as we have grown revenue, these expenses as a percentage of net service revenue declined to 17.1% from 17.9% and 18.1% for years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These decreases were attributable to (i) our ability to leverage selling, general and administrative functions as we grow revenue, (ii) our cost-savings initiatives, (iii) the favorable impact of the settlement of certain liabilities noted above, and (iv) the reduction in bad debt expense due to recoveries of previously reserved amounts receivable. While we expect to continue to leverage our selling, general and administrative costs in the future such that these costs grow at a lower rate than revenues over the long-term, there is no assurance that this trend will continue during 2016.

Restructuring and Other Costs

Restructuring and other costs were \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily consisting of employee severance costs of \$2.7 million, partially offset by a net reduction in facility closure costs of \$0.9 million. During the year, we reversed previously accrued liabilities as a result of completing negotiations with respect to exiting certain facilities and reduced our exit cost estimates related to certain lease agreements as a result of subleasing a portion of facilities. These adjustments were partially offset by expenses related to early lease termination fees and accruals for closure of smaller locations as we continue to optimize our facilities portfolio.

Restructuring and other costs were \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily consisting of facilities closure expenses totaling \$3.4 million and severance costs totaling \$2.7 million. Our restructuring activities consisted primarily of the closure of our Glasgow facility and partial closure of our Cincinnati facility initiated in the second quarter of 2014.

Restructuring and other costs were \$11.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily comprised of severance costs of \$7.9 million and lease costs of \$1.8 million for abandoned facilities related to the 2013 restructuring plan. This plan was adopted to better align headcount and costs with our current geographic sources and mix of revenue and included a reduction of approximately 325 employee and contract positions. Restructuring and other costs also include \$2.1 million in legal fees and consulting fees, primarily incurred in connection with legal entity restructuring related to the 2011 acquisition of Kendle.

Transaction Expenses

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we incurred transaction expenses of \$1.6 million, primarily consisting of third-party fees associated with our stock repurchases in May and December of 2015 and our secondary common stock offerings in May, August and December of 2015.

Transaction expenses were \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and primarily consisted of (i) \$4.2 million of debt issuance costs and third-party fees associated with the debt refinancing transactions in February and November 2014, (ii) a \$3.4 million payment to Avista to terminate our consulting services agreement, and (iii) \$0.3 million of legal fees associated with our March 2014 acquisition of MEK Consulting, a full service CRO with operations in the Middle East.

Transaction expenses were \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily consisting of third-party fees associated with the debt refinancing and legal fees associated with the MEK Consulting acquisition.

Goodwill and Intangible Asset Impairment Charges

We evaluate goodwill for impairment annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill might be impaired. We perform our annual impairment test by estimating the fair value of each reporting unit using a combination of the income and market approaches for purposes of estimating our total fair value of the reporting unit.

During the second quarter of 2014, we determined that Global Consulting, a component of the Clinical Development segment, and Phase I Services reporting units were not performing according to management's expectations, requiring an evaluation of the impairment of the goodwill and intangible assets. As a result of this evaluation, we recorded a \$9.2 million impairment of goodwill and an \$8.0 million impairment of intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2014.

During the first quarter of 2015, we continued to observe deteriorating performance within our Phase I Services reporting unit due to reduced revenue resulting from cancellations and lower than expected new business awards. This resulted in a triggering event, requiring an evaluation of both long-lived assets and goodwill for potential impairment. As a result of this evaluation, we recorded a total asset impairment charge of 3.9 million, comprised of a long-lived assets impairment charge of \$1.0 million and a goodwill impairment charge of \$2.9 million, which was the total remaining goodwill balance of our Phase I Services reporting unit as of the evaluation date. There were no further asset impairment charges during 2015.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Total depreciation and amortization expense increased to \$56.0 million, for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$54.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Amortization expense increased \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to the reduction in estimated useful lives of certain intangible assets during the second quarter of 2014. These increases were partially offset by a \$3.5 million decrease in depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, principally due to (i) lower capital expenditures in 2015 and (ii) the write-off of long-lived assets in the Phase I Services reporting unit during the first quarter of 2015.

Total depreciation and amortization expense decreased to \$54.5 million, for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$58.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease is principally attributable to a decrease in amortization expense of \$6.4 million, or 16.2%, resulting from the intangible assets that were impaired or became fully amortized, partially offset by the increase in amortization expense as a result of the reduction of estimated useful lives of certain intangible assets. Additionally depreciation expense increased primarily due to (i) our continued investment in our IT infrastructure, and (ii) the reduction in the estimated useful lives on several assets during the first quarter of 2014 due to the consolidation of data centers and information systems.

Other Income and Expense, Net

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the components of total other expenses, net were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change			
	2015	2014	2013	2015 to 2014		2014 to 2013	
Interest income	\$192	\$249	\$310	\$(57)	(22.9)%	\$(61)	(19.7)%
Interest expense	(15,640)	(53,036)	(60,799)	37,396	70.5%	7,763	12.8%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(9,795)	(46,750)	—	36,955	79.0%	(46,750)	—%
Other income (expense), net	3,857	7,689	(1,649)	(3,832)	(49.8)%	9,338	566.3%
Total other (expense) income, net	\$(21,386)	\$(91,848)	\$(62,138)	\$70,462	76.7%	\$(29,710)	(47.8)%

Interest expense decreased \$37.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 and \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in interest expense during the last two years is the result of decreased interest rates as a result of our debt repayment and refinancing activities during the fourth quarter of 2014 and second quarter of 2015.

The loss on extinguishment of debt was \$9.8 million and \$46.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, as a result of the May 2015 and November 2014 debt refinancing transactions.

Other income (expense), net, decreased \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 and increased \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. Other income is primarily comprised of foreign currency gains and losses and the changes are principally driven by fluctuations in exchange rates.

Income Tax (Expense) Benefit

Income tax (expense) benefit was an expense of \$13.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to a benefit of \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as a result of becoming profitable in 2015. Income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2015 were positively impacted by an income tax benefit of \$31.9 million recognized as a result of the release of the valuation allowance on certain deferred tax assets, primarily U.S. operating loss carryforwards during the fourth quarter of 2015. The release of the valuation allowance was due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that a portion of our deferred tax assets will be realized through future U.S. taxable income. This conclusion was based, in part, on our achieving sustained profitability in 2015 in the United States coupled with the reliability on our projections of ongoing positive future earnings. Therefore, we released a significant portion of the valuation allowances related to these deferred tax assets.

At December 31, 2015, we assessed both positive and negative evidence available to estimate whether future taxable income will be available to permit the use of the existing deferred tax assets. Accordingly, notwithstanding the accumulation of historical losses experienced in the United States, based on our achieving sustained profitability in 2015 we reevaluated our ability to consider other subjective evidence, such as the reliability of our projections for future growth. Given our current and anticipated sustained future earnings in the United States, we expect we will no longer need a significant portion of the valuation allowance related to these deferred tax assets. As a result of this change in assertion, the release of the valuation allowance on the net deferred tax assets associated with the operating loss carryforwards in the United States resulted in the recognition of certain related deferred tax assets and a decrease to income tax expense in the current period.

Other variances from the statutory rate of 35% were due to foreign income inclusions related to deemed dividends from foreign subsidiaries, and the geographical split of pre-tax income.

Income tax benefit (expense) was a benefit of \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to an expense of \$10.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2014 were impacted by an income tax benefit of \$18.2 million recognized as a result of the release of the valuation allowance on certain foreign deferred tax assets, primarily operating loss carryforwards, partially offset by the establishment of a valuation allowance in certain international jurisdictions, and a \$10.0 million income tax benefit recognized as a result of a release of a tax liability on the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries.

The release of the valuation allowance in 2014 was due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that a portion of our deferred tax assets will be realized through future taxable income. This conclusion was based, in part, on our achieving sustained profitability in 2014 in these international jurisdictions and projections of positive future earnings. Therefore, we released a significant portion of the valuation allowances related to these deferred tax assets.

At December 31, 2014, we reevaluated our assertion as to indefinite reinvestment of our undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries following the IPO and significant pay down of our debt held in the United States. With this reduction in debt and related interest expense, we expect to be able to support the cash needs of the domestic subsidiaries without repatriating undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries. As a result of this change in assertion, we reversed the full balance of the deferred tax liability of \$10.0 million related to the United States and withholding taxes provided on our 2013 undistributed foreign earnings. No tax benefit was recorded as a result of the release of the deferred tax liability as there was a full valuation allowance on the net deferred tax assets in the United States. The undistributed earnings that remain indefinitely reinvested would create additional U.S. taxable income if these earnings were distributed to the United States in the form of dividends, or otherwise. Depending on the tax position in the year of repatriation, we may have to pay additional U.S. income taxes. Withholding taxes may also apply to the repatriated earnings.

Other variances from the statutory rate of 35% were due to (i) income or losses generated in jurisdictions where no income tax expense or benefit will be realized due to a full valuation allowance on the associated deferred tax assets, (ii) recognition of certain foreign related unrecognized tax benefits and (iii) the geographical split of pre-tax income.

Net Income (Loss)

Net income was \$117.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to a net loss of \$23.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The year-over-year change in our financial results from a net loss to that of net income was primarily due to (i) the impact of increased net service revenue, (ii) the overall decrease of operating expenses as a percentage of net service revenue, (iii) the decrease in asset impairment charges compared to the prior year, and (iv) the decrease in interest expense as a result of our 2014 and 2015 debt refinancing activities. Partially offsetting these improvements to our financial results for the current year was the \$9.8 million loss on extinguishment of debt associated with the 2015 debt refinancing recognized in the second quarter of 2015 and a change in income tax expense of \$18.7 million.

Net loss decreased to \$23.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$41.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily because of the impact of increased net service revenue, the overall decrease of operating expenses as a percentage of net service revenue and the income tax benefit from the net release of a valuation allowance of \$18.2 million recorded during 2014, partially offset by an increase in other expenses, net.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Key measures of our liquidity are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Balance sheet statistics:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$85,011	\$126,453
Restricted cash	452	505
Working capital (excluding restricted cash)	(52,998) 46,587

We fund our operations and growth, including acquisitions, primarily with our working capital, cash flow from operations as well as funds available for borrowing under our \$150.0 million revolving credit facility. Our principal liquidity requirements are to fund our debt service obligations, capital expenditures, expansion of services, possible acquisitions, integration and restructuring costs, geographic expansion, working capital and other general corporate purposes. Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe our cash and cash equivalents, cash generated from operations and funds available under our revolving credit facility will be sufficient to meet our working capital needs, capital expenditures, scheduled debt and interest payments, income tax obligations and other currently anticipated liquidity requirements for at least the next 12 months.

On May 14, 2015, we entered into a new five-year \$675.0 million 2015 Credit Agreement, consisting of a \$525.0 million term loan facility and a \$150.0 million revolving line of credit, letter of credit and swingline facility. On June 15, 2015, we made a \$50.0 million prepayment on the term loan, which will be applied against regularly-scheduled quarterly principal payments. See "Note 4 - Debt and Leases" to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on the terms and conditions of our 2015 Credit Agreement.

As of December 31, 2015, we had total principal amount of indebtedness (including capital leases) of approximately \$505.0 million. Further, we had undrawn commitments available for additional borrowings under our revolving credit facility of \$119.2 million (net of \$30.0 million in revolver borrowings and \$0.8 million in outstanding letters of credit) which we may use for working capital and other purposes. The issuance of additional debt and the related incremental interest expense could adversely affect our operations and financial condition or limit our ability to secure additional capital and other resources.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures and necessary working capital will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. However, the ability to meet our cash needs through cash flows from operations will depend on the demand for our services, as well as general economic, financial, competitive and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Our business might not generate cash flow in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay the principal of, or interest on, our indebtedness, or to fund our other liquidity needs, including working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions,

investments and other general corporate requirements. If we cannot fund our liquidity needs, we will have to take actions such as reducing or delaying capital expenditures, acquisitions or investments, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our debt, reducing the scope of our operations and growth plans, or seeking additional equity capital. There can be no assurances that any of these remedies could, if necessary, be affected on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, or that they would permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. Our 2015 Credit Agreement limits the use of proceeds from any disposition of assets and, as a result, we may not be allowed, under this agreement, to use the proceeds from any such dispositions to satisfy all current debt service obligations.

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities were as follows (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change				
	2015	2014	2013	2015 to 2014		2014 to 2013		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$204,740	\$131,447	\$37,270	\$73,293	55.8	% \$94,177	252.7	%
Net cash used in investing activities	(21,111)	(27,853)	(17,714)	6,742	24.2	% (10,139)	(57.2)%
Net cash used in financing activities	(211,399)	(67,698)	(6,841)	(143,701)	(212.3)% (60,857)	(889.6)%

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2015, our operating activities provided \$204.7 million in cash flow, consisting of a net income of \$117.0 million, adjusted for net non-operating and non-cash items of \$79.9 million primarily related to depreciation and amortization of intangible assets, loss on extinguishment of debt, share-based compensation and related tax benefits, changes in deferred income taxes, asset impairment charges, stock repurchase costs, amortization of capitalized loan fees, and foreign currency adjustments. In addition, \$7.8 million of cash was provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities, consisting primarily of an increase in deferred revenue and accounts payables and accrued expenses, partially offset by the increase in billed and unbilled accounts receivable and other assets and liabilities.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, our operating activities provided \$131.4 million in cash flow, consisting of a net loss of \$23.5 million, adjusted for net non-operating and non-cash items of \$109.4 million primarily related to depreciation and amortization of intangible assets, amortization of capitalized loan fees, share-based compensation, allowance for doubtful accounts, impairment of goodwill and intangible assets, and loss on extinguishment of debt and other debt refinancing cost, partially offset by foreign currency adjustments and deferred income taxes. In addition, \$45.5 million of cash was provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities, consisting primarily of an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses and an increase in deferred revenue, partially offset by an increase in billed and unbilled accounts receivable.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, our operating activities provided \$37.3 million in cash flow, consisting of a net loss of \$41.5 million, adjusted for net non-cash items of \$72.6 million primarily related to depreciation and amortization of intangible assets, amortization of capitalized loan fees, share-based compensation and deferred income taxes. In addition, \$6.2 million of cash was provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities, consisting primarily of an increase in deferred revenue, an increase in other assets and liabilities, partially offset by decrease in account receivable and unbilled revenue, net.

Cash flows from operations increased by \$73.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to the \$140.5 million increase in net income (loss) net of the \$29.5 million change in adjustments for non-operating and non-cash items principally associated with a loss on the extinguishment of debt and debt refinancing costs. This increase was partially offset by a decline in cash received from working capital of \$37.7 million.

Cash flows from operations increased by \$94.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to year-over-year increase of \$39.7 million in cash provided from working capital, a \$18.1 million decrease in net loss and a \$36.9 million change in adjustments

61

for non-operating and non-cash items principally associated with a loss on the extinguishment of debt and debt refinancing costs of \$49.2 million.

The changes in operating assets and liabilities result primarily from the net movement in accounts receivable, unbilled revenue, and deferred revenue, coupled with changes in accrued expenses. Fluctuations in billed and unbilled receivables and unearned revenue occur on a regular basis as we perform services, achieve milestones or other billing criteria, send invoices to customers and collect outstanding accounts receivable. This activity varies by individual customer and contract. We attempt to negotiate payment terms that provide for payment of services prior to or soon after the provision of services, but the levels of unbilled services and unearned revenue can vary significantly from period to period.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we used \$21.1 million in cash for investing activities, comprised of the purchases of property and equipment primarily related to our ongoing growth and investments to improve the efficiency of our operations and utilization of our facilities. We continue to closely monitor our capital expenditures while making strategic investments in the development of our information technology infrastructure to meet the needs of our workforce. For 2016, we expect our total capital expenditures to be between approximately \$26.0 million to \$30.0 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we used \$27.9 million in cash for investing activities, comprised of the purchase of \$25.6 million of property and equipment and a cash payment of \$2.3 million toward the purchase of MEK Consulting. During 2014, we increased our investment in property and equipment primarily due to the implementation of an upgrade to our ERP system and our continued expansion globally.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we used \$17.7 million in cash for investing activities, comprised of the purchase of \$17.7 million of property and equipment.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2015, financing activities used \$211.4 million in cash, primarily driven by payments of \$285.0 million related to the stock repurchases in May and December of 2015, \$3.2 million related to payments for tax withholdings related to employee stock option activity, stock repurchase costs of \$1.4 million and payments of \$1.0 million related to the 2014 MEK Consulting acquisition. These cash outflows were partially offset by net inflows of \$79.6 million, consisting primarily of (i) the proceeds of \$95.0 million from the 2015 debt refinancing and \$30.0 million under our revolver and (ii) proceeds of \$3.7 million from the exercise of stock options, partially offset by the June 2015 prepayment of \$50.0 million of debt principal under the 2015 Credit Agreement.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, financing activities used \$67.7 million in cash, primarily driven by \$217.5 million in net repayments of long-term debt, principally in conjunction with the 2014 debt refinancing and repayment of our 2011 senior notes, \$3.4 million in payments related to the redemption of our Class C and Class D common stock, and \$2.7 million in repayments on capital lease obligations. Partially offsetting these outflows were \$156.1 million in net proceeds received from the issuance of common stock related to our IPO.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, financing activities used \$6.8 million in cash, primarily driven by \$4.0 million in net repayments on long-term debt and capital leases obligations, \$1.4 million of treasury stock repurchases and \$1.3 million of contingent consideration related to the Trident Acquisition.

Inflation

Our long-term contracts, those in excess of one year, generally include inflation or cost of living adjustments for the portion of the services to be performed beyond one year from the contract date. In the event actual inflation rates are greater than our contractual inflation rates or cost of living adjustments, inflation could have a material adverse effect on our operations or financial condition.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our expected material contractual payment obligations as of December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	Payment Due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	More than 5 Years
Long-term debt	\$505,000	\$30,000	\$81,251	\$393,749	\$—
Interest on long-term debt	40,680	10,431	19,430	10,819	—
Noncancellable purchase commitments	37,649	22,131	14,326	1,192	—
Operating leases	52,181	19,402	25,981	5,471	1,327
Capital leases	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$635,510	\$81,964	\$140,988	\$411,231	\$1,327

The interest payments on long-term debt in the above table are based on interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2015. See "Note 4 - Debt and Leases" to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on the terms and conditions of our 2015 Credit Agreement.

We have recorded a tax liability for unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions of \$9.4 million which has not been included in the above table due to the uncertainties in the timing of the settlement of the income tax positions.

We are a party to supplier contracts related to clinical services that if canceled would require payment for services performed and potentially additional services required to protect the safety of subjects. The value of these potential wind-down provisions is not practical to estimate.

We have a lease agreement for our corporate headquarters in Raleigh, North Carolina, that extends through February of 2019, which is accounted for as an operating lease. We can exit the lease in February 2018, with payment of a \$0.8 million termination fee.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements except for operating leases entered into in the normal course of business.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses during the period, as well as disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis, including those related to revenue recognition, share-based compensation, valuation of goodwill and identifiable intangibles, tax-related contingencies and valuation allowances, allowance for doubtful accounts, litigation contingencies, among others. These estimates are based on the information available to management at the time these estimates, judgments and assumptions are made. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when all of the following conditions are satisfied: (1) there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement; (2) the service offering has been delivered to the customer; (3) the collection of the fees is reasonably assured; and (4) the arrangement consideration is fixed or determinable. We record revenues net of any tax assessments by governmental authorities, such as value added taxes, that are imposed on and concurrent with specific revenue generating transactions. In some cases, contracts provide for consideration that is contingent upon the occurrence of uncertain future events. We recognize contingent revenue when the contingency has been resolved and all other criteria for revenue recognition have been met.

Our arrangements are primarily service contracts and historically, a majority of the net service revenue has been earned under contracts which range in duration from several months to several years. Most of our contracts can be terminated by the customer with a 30 day notice. In the event of termination, our contracts often provide for fees for winding down the project, which include both fees incurred and actual expenses and non-cancellable expenditures and may include a fee to cover a percentage of the remaining professional fees on the project. We do not recognize revenue with respect to start-up activities including contract and scope negotiation, feasibility analysis and conflict of interest review associated with contracts. The costs for these activities are expensed as incurred.

The majority of our contracts are for clinical research services and, to a lesser extent, consulting services. These contracts represent a single unit of accounting. Clinical research service contracts generally take the form of fee-for-service, fixed-fee-per-unit and fixed-price contracts, with the majority of the contracts being fixed-fee-per-unit. For fee-for-service contracts, fees are billed based on a contractual rate basis and the Company recognizes revenue on these arrangements as services are performed, primarily on a time and materials basis. For fixed-price contracts (including fixed-fee and fixed-price-per-unit arrangements), revenue is recognized as services are performed based upon a proportional performance basis, which we assess using output measures specific to the service provided.

Examples of output measures include, among others, study management months, number of sites activated, number of site initiation visits, and number of monitoring visits completed. Revenue is determined by dividing the actual units of work completed by the total units of work required under the contract and multiplying that ratio by the total contract value. The total contract value, or total contractual payments, represents the aggregate contracted price for each of the agreed upon services to be provided.

Changes in the scope of work are common, especially under long-term contracts, and generally result in a renegotiation of future contract pricing terms and change in contract value. If the customer does not agree to contract modification, we could bear the risk of cost overruns. Renegotiated amounts are not included in net revenues until written authorization is received, the amount is earned and realization is assured.

We offer volume discounts to our large customers based on annual volume thresholds. We record an estimate of the annual volume rebate as a reduction of revenue throughout the period based on the estimated total rebate to be earned for the period.

Billed and Unbilled Accounts Receivable and Deferred Revenues

Accounts receivable are recorded at net realizable value. Unbilled accounts receivable arise when services have been rendered for which revenue has been recognized but the customers have not been billed. In general, prerequisites for billings and payments are established by contractual provisions, including predetermined payment schedules, which may or may not correspond to the timing of the performance of services under the contract.

In some cases, payments received are in excess of revenue recognized. Deferred revenues represent receipts of payments from customers in advance of services being provided and the related revenue being earned or reimbursable expenses being incurred. As the contracted services are subsequently performed and the associated revenue is recognized, the deferred revenues balance is reduced by the amount of the revenue recognized during the period.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain a credit approval process and make significant judgments in connection with assessing customers' ability to pay throughout the contractual obligation. Despite this assessment, from time to time, customers are unable to meet their payment obligations. We continuously monitor customers' credit worthiness and apply judgment in establishing a provision for estimated credit losses based on historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified.

Goodwill, Intangible Assets and Long-Lived Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in business combinations. We evaluate goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill might be impaired. The Company performs its annual impairment test by estimating the fair value of each reporting unit using a combination of the income approach (a discounted cash flow analysis) and the market approach (a guideline transaction method) for purposes of estimating the total enterprise value for the reporting unit.

Intangible assets consist primarily of trademarks, backlog and customer relationships. Finite-lived trademarks, backlog and technologies are being amortized on a straight-line basis. Customer relationships are being amortized at the greater of actual customer attrition or a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives. Certain trademarks have an indefinite life and are not amortized but instead are evaluated for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that they might be impaired. Finite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment upon the occurrence of certain triggering events.

Long-lived assets, including fixed assets and intangible assets, are regularly reviewed to determine if facts and circumstances indicate that the useful life is shorter than we originally estimated or that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. If such facts and circumstances exist, we assess the recoverability of identified assets by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flows associated with the related asset or group of assets over their remaining lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairments, if any, are based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets and occur in the period in which the impairment determination was made.

Share-Based Compensation

We recognize share-based compensation expense for stock option awards provided to our employees and non-employee directors. We measure share-based compensation cost at grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award and recognize the service-based cost on a straight-line basis (net of estimated forfeitures) over the vesting period. Share-based compensation expense has been reported in direct costs and selling, general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statements of operations based upon the classification of the individuals who were granted share-based awards.

We calculate the fair value of each option award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The model requires the use of subjective assumptions, including the fair value of the underlying common shares on the date of grant, the expected life of the award, share price volatility and risk-free interest rate. In developing our assumptions, we take into account the following:

Fair value of our common stock. Due to the absence of an active market for our common stock prior to our IPO, the fair value of our common stock on the date of the grant was determined in good faith by our Board of Directors with the assistance of management, based on a number of objective and subjective factors consistent with the methodologies outlined in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Practice Aid, Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation, or the AICPA Practice Aid. Each quarter a contemporaneous valuation of our common stock was performed by a related party. For all contemporaneous valuations performed, two commonly accepted valuation approaches were applied to estimate our enterprise value: the guideline public company method and the guideline transactions method. These methods both select a valuation multiple from comparable public companies or transactions, making adjustments for our strengths and weaknesses relative to the selected companies and transactions and applied it to our operating data to determine enterprise value. Subsequent to the IPO, the fair value of our common

stock is based upon the market price of our common stock on the date of the grant as listed on the NASDAQ.

Expected Term. The expected term represents the period that our option awards are expected to be outstanding. As we do not have sufficient historical experience for determining the expected term, we have based our expected term on the simplified method available under GAAP, which utilizes the midpoint between the vesting date and the end of the contractual term.

Expected Volatility. We use the historical volatilities of a selected peer group because we do not have sufficient trading history to determine the volatility of our common stock. We intend to continue to rely on this information until a sufficient amount of historical information regarding the volatility of our own stock becomes available, or unless the circumstances change such that the identified companies are no longer similar to us.

Risk-Free Interest Rate. We use the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with an equivalent remaining term of the options for each option group to represent the risk-free interest rate.

Expected Dividend Yield. We have not paid and do not expect to pay dividends on our common stock, therefore, we use a zero-percent dividend rate.

Once we have determined an estimated fair value, we adjust that value for expected forfeitures to represent the value of the award that we expect to vest. We estimate forfeitures based on a historical analysis of our actual forfeiture experience. We recognize the expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award. At the end of each period, we review the estimated forfeiture rate and, as applicable, make changes to the rate calculations to reflect new developments. Share-based compensation cost is recorded in direct costs and selling, general and administrative in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss based on the employees' respective function.

We record deferred tax assets for awards that result in deductions on our income tax returns, based on the amount of compensation cost recognized and the statutory tax rate in the jurisdiction in which we will receive a deduction.

Differences between the deferred tax assets recognized for financial reporting purposes and the actual tax deduction reported on the income tax return are recorded in additional paid-in capital (if the tax deduction exceeds the deferred tax asset) or in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss (if the deferred tax asset exceeds the tax deduction and no additional paid-in capital exists from previous awards).

Income Taxes

We and our U.S. subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. Our other subsidiaries file tax returns in their local jurisdictions.

We provide for income taxes on all transactions that have been recognized in the consolidated financial statements. Specifically, we estimate our tax liability based on current tax laws in the statutory jurisdictions in which we operate. Accordingly, the impact of changes in income tax laws on deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are recognized in net earnings in the period during which such changes are enacted. We record deferred tax assets and liabilities based on temporary differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities and for tax benefit carryforwards using enacted tax rates in effect in the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. During 2015, we elected to early adopt ASU No. 2015-17, Income Taxes - Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, on a prospective basis. As such, all current year deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as noncurrent assets and liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, however, prior periods were not retrospectively adjusted. We provide valuation allowances against deferred tax assets for amounts that are not considered more likely than not to be realized. The valuation of the deferred tax asset is dependent on, among other things, our ability to generate a sufficient level of future taxable income. In estimating future taxable income, we have considered both positive and negative evidence, such as historical and forecasted results of operations, and have considered the implementation of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies.

We recognize a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if we believe it is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination based on the technical merits of the position. Judgment is required in determining what constitutes an individual tax position, as well as the assessment of the outcome of each tax position. We consider many factors when evaluating and estimating tax positions and tax benefits. In addition, the calculation of tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations in domestic and foreign jurisdictions. The amount of the accrual for which an exposure exists is measured as the largest amount of benefit determined on a cumulative probability basis that we believe is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement of the position. If the calculation of liability related to uncertain tax positions proves to be more or less than the ultimate assessment, a tax expense or benefit, respectively, would result. Unrecognized tax benefits, or a portion of unrecognized tax benefits, are presented as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. We do not foresee any reasonably possible change in the unrecognized tax benefits in the next 12 months, but circumstances can change due to unexpected changes in the tax laws.

Our policy has been to provide U.S. income taxes on earnings of foreign subsidiaries only to the extent those earnings are expected to be repatriated. Beginning in 2014, we considered the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries to be indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States to support future growth in foreign markets and to maintain current operating needs of foreign locations. Accordingly, we have not provided a deferred income tax liability related on those undistributed earnings.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 will eliminate transaction- and industry-specific revenue recognition guidance under current U.S. GAAP and replace it with a principle -based approach for determining revenue recognition. ASU 2014-09 will require that companies recognize revenue based on the value of transferred goods or services as they occur in the contract. The ASU also will require additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Deferral of the Effective Date, which delayed the effective date of ASU 2014-09 by one year and modified the standard to allow early adoption. For public entities, the standard is now effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. Entities can transition to the standard either retrospectively or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this standard on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. ASU 2014-15 will explicitly require management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern at each annual and interim period, and to provide related footnote disclosures in certain circumstances. Disclosures will be required if conditions give rise to substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the report issuance date. If conditions do not give rise to substantial doubt, no disclosures will be required specific to going concern uncertainties. The ASU defines substantial doubt using a likelihood threshold of "probable" similar to the current use of that term in U.S. GAAP for loss contingencies and provides example indicators. ASU 2014-15 is effective for reporting periods ending after December 15, 2016, and early adoption is permitted. We do not believe the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements or related footnote disclosures.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01, Income Statement - Extraordinary and Unusual Items. ASU 2015-01 will eliminate from U.S. GAAP the concept of extraordinary items and will no longer require an entity to separately classify, present, and disclose extraordinary events and transactions. ASU 2015-01 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. We do not believe the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements or related footnote

disclosures.

67

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-05, Customer's Accounting For Fees Paid In A Cloud Computing Arrangement, which provides guidance for a customer's accounting for cloud computing costs. Under ASU 2015-05, if a software cloud computing arrangement contains a software license, customers should account for the license element of the arrangement in a manner consistent with the acquisition of other software licenses. If the arrangement does not contain a software license, customers should account for the arrangement as a service contract. This standard may be applied either prospectively to all arrangements entered into or materially modified after the effective date, or retrospectively. ASU 2015-05 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. We do not believe the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements or related footnote disclosures.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk.

Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and other relevant market rate or price changes. In the ordinary course of business, we are exposed to various market risks, including changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates, and we regularly evaluate our exposure to such changes. Our overall risk management strategy seeks to balance the magnitude of the exposure and the cost and availability of appropriate financial instruments. From time to time, we have utilized forward exchange contracts to manage our foreign currency exchange rate and interest rate risk.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

Approximately 25%, 28% and 27% of our net service revenues for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Our financial statements are reported in U.S. dollars and, accordingly, fluctuations in exchange rates will affect the translation of our revenues and expenses denominated in foreign currencies into U.S. dollars for purposes of reporting our consolidated financial results.

During 2015, 2014 and 2013, the most significant currency exchange rate exposures were the Euro and British pound. A hypothetical change of 10% in average exchange rates used to translate all foreign currencies to U.S. dollars would have impacted income before income taxes for 2015 by approximately \$5.5 million. The impact of this could be partially offset by exchange rate fluctuation provisions stated in some of our contracts with customers designed to mitigate our exposure to fluctuations in currency exchange rates over the life of the contract. For example during the year ended December 31, 2015, our revenue was reduced by \$6.5 million to reflect the reduced operating costs required to fulfill the contracts as a result of the fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We do not have significant operations in countries in which the economy is considered to be highly-inflationary.

We are subject to foreign currency transaction risk for fluctuations in exchange rates during the period of time between the consummation and cash settlement of a transaction. Accordingly, exchange rate fluctuations during this period may affect our profitability with respect to such contracts. We are able to partially offset our foreign currency transaction risk through exchange rate fluctuation adjustment provisions stated in our contracts with customers, or we may hedge our transaction risk with foreign currency exchange contracts.

Interest Rates

We are subject to market risk associated with changes in interest rates. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had \$505.0 million and \$425.0 million, respectively, outstanding under credit agreements subject to variable interest rates. Each quarter-point increase or decrease in the applicable interest rate at December 31, 2015 and 2014 would change our interest expense by approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, per year.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Internal Control Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>78</u>

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of INC Research Holdings, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of INC Research Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of INC Research Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its presentation of debt issuance costs as a result of the adoption of the amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification resulting from Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs, effective December 31, 2015 and the classification of deferred tax assets and liabilities as a result of the adoption of the amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification resulting from Accounting Standards Update 2015-17, Income Taxes: Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, effective December 31, 2015.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), INC Research Holdings, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 24, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina
February 24, 2016

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of INC Research Holdings, Inc.

We have audited INC Research Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). INC Research Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, INC Research Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 of INC Research Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries and our report dated February 24, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
Raleigh, North Carolina
February 24, 2016

INC RESEARCH HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Net service revenue	\$914,740	\$809,728	\$652,418
Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	484,499	369,071	342,672
Total revenue	1,399,239	1,178,799	995,090
Costs and operating expenses:			
Direct costs	542,404	515,059	432,261
Reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses	484,499	369,071	342,672
Selling, general, and administrative	156,609	145,143	117,890
Restructuring and other costs	1,785	6,192	11,828
Transaction expenses	1,637	7,902	508
Asset impairment charges	3,931	17,245	—
Depreciation	18,140	21,619	19,175
Amortization	37,874	32,924	39,298
Total operating expenses	1,246,879	1,115,155	963,632
Income from operations	152,360	63,644	31,458
Other income (expense), net:			
Interest income	192	249	310
Interest expense	(15,640)) (53,036) (60,799
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(9,795)) (46,750) —
Other income (expense), net	3,857	7,689	(1,649)
Total other (expense) income, net	(21,386)) (91,848) (62,138)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	130,974	(28,204)) (30,680)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(13,927)) 4,734	(10,849)
Net income (loss)	117,047	(23,470)) (41,529)
Class C common stock dividends	—	(375)) (500)
Redemption of New Class C common stock	—	(3,375)) —
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$117,047	\$(27,220)) \$(42,029)
Earnings per share attributable to common shareholders:			
Basic	\$2.02	\$(0.51)) \$(0.81)
Diluted	\$1.95	\$(0.51)) \$(0.81)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	57,888	53,301	52,009
Diluted	60,146	53,301	52,009

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

INC RESEARCH HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
	(in thousands)		
Net income (loss)	\$ 117,047	\$(23,470)	\$(41,529)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax benefit (expense) of \$0, \$44 and (\$44), respectively	(15,343)	(16,359)	70
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 101,704	\$(39,829)	\$(41,459)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

INC RESEARCH HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in thousands, except share data)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$85,011	\$126,453
Restricted cash	452	505
Accounts receivable:		
Billed, net	158,315	130,270
Unbilled	139,697	118,101
Current portion of deferred income taxes	—	16,177
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	38,571	34,758
Total current assets	422,046	426,264
Property and equipment, net	44,813	43,725
Goodwill	553,008	556,863
Intangible assets, net	152,340	190,359
Deferred income taxes, less current portion	12,073	15,665
Other long-term assets	26,939	8,489
Total assets	\$1,211,219	\$1,241,365
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$22,497	\$16,548
Accrued liabilities	111,262	111,655
Deferred revenue	311,029	246,902
Current portion of long-term debt	29,804	3,615
Capital lease obligations	—	452
Total current liabilities	474,592	379,172
Long-term debt, less current portion	472,035	412,190
Deferred income taxes	28,066	30,368
Other long-term liabilities	19,092	27,426
Total liabilities	993,785	849,156
Commitments and contingencies (Note 16)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized, 0 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 600,000,000 shares authorized, 53,871,484 and 61,233,850 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively	539	612
Additional paid-in capital	559,910	634,946
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(41,543)	(26,200)
Accumulated deficit	(301,472)	(217,149)
Total shareholders' equity	217,434	392,209
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,211,219	\$1,241,365

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

74

INC RESEARCH HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
	(in thousands)		
Operating activities			
Net income (loss)	\$117,047	\$(23,470)	\$(41,529)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	56,014	54,543	58,473
Stock repurchase costs	1,637	—	—
Amortization of capitalized loan fees	1,346	5,700	7,073
Share-based compensation	5,074	3,370	2,419
Provision (recovery) for doubtful accounts	(144)) 2,435	77
Deferred income taxes	4,134	(14,837)) 3,646
Foreign currency adjustments	(795)) (7,390)) 409
Asset impairment charges	3,931	17,245	—
Loss on extinguishment of debt and other debt refinancing costs	9,795	49,227	—
Excess income tax benefits from share-based awards	(975)) —	—
Other adjustments	(82)) (853)) 477
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable billed and unbilled	(54,073)) (24,259)) (2,537)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	8,186	25,743	(438)
Deferred revenue	68,500	42,742	7,036
Other assets and liabilities	(14,855)) 1,251	2,164
Net cash provided by operating activities	204,740	131,447	37,270
Investing activities			
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired	—	(2,302)) —
Purchase of property and equipment	(21,111)) (25,551)) (17,714)
Net cash used in investing activities	(21,111)) (27,853)) (17,714)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

INC RESEARCH HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Continued)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
	(in thousands)		
Financing activities			
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	\$525,000	\$288,365	\$2,835
Payments of debt financing costs	(4,987)) (5,364) —
Payments on long-term debt	(475,001) (164,095) (3,520
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	45,000	—	—
Repayment of revolving credit facility	(15,000) —	—
Payment of notes payable and breakage fees	—	(336,385) —
Payments related to business combinations	(973) —	(1,266
Principal payments toward capital lease obligations	(452) (2,680) (3,307
Payments of stock repurchase costs	(1,423) —	—
Payments for repurchase of common stock	(285,000) (38) (1,390
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	—	156,113	—
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	3,656	145	307
Payments related to tax withholding for share-based compensation	(3,194) —	—
Excess income tax benefits from share-based awards	975	—	—
Dividends paid	—	(375) (500
Redemption of New Class C and D common stock	—	(3,384) —
Net cash used in financing activities	(211,399) (67,698) (6,841
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(13,672) (6,415) 2,894
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(41,442) 29,481	15,609
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	126,453	96,972	81,363
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	\$85,011	\$126,453	\$96,972
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information			
Cash paid for income taxes	\$8,251	\$6,304	\$2,896
Cash paid for interest	17,533	64,347	54,191
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities			
Capital lease obligations for purchase of property and equipment	\$—	\$—	\$470
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.			

INC RESEARCH HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount					
	(in thousands)						
Balance at December 31, 2012	104,015	\$1,051	\$477,853	\$(5,361)	\$(9,911)	\$(146,802)	\$316,830
Treasury stock acquired	(292)	—	—	(1,390)	—	—	(1,390)
Exercise of stock options	72	—	307	—	—	—	307
Share-based compensation	—	—	2,419	—	—	—	2,419
Dividends paid	—	—	—	—	—	(500)	(500)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(41,529)	(41,529)
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax expense, \$44	—	—	—	—	70	—	70
Balance at December 31, 2013	103,795	1,051	480,579	(6,751)	(9,841)	(188,831)	276,207
Issuance of common stock	9,324	93	156,020	—	—	—	156,113
Redemption of New Class D common stock	(51,910)	(519)	510	—	—	—	(9)
Treasury stock acquired	(4)	—	—	(38)	—	—	(38)
Treasury stock retired	—	(14)	(5,677)	6,789	—	(1,098)	—
Exercise of stock options	29	1	144	—	—	—	145
Share-based compensation	—	—	3,370	—	—	—	3,370
Dividends paid	—	—	—	—	—	(375)	(375)
Redemption of New Class C common stock	—	—	—	—	—	(3,375)	(3,375)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(23,470)	(23,470)
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax benefit, \$44	—	—	—	—	(16,359)	—	(16,359)
Balance at December 31, 2014	61,234	612	634,946	—	(26,200)	(217,149)	392,209
Stock Repurchase	(8,054)	(80)	(83,550)	—	—	(201,370)	(285,000)
Net Exercise of stock options and payment for tax withholding	156	2	(3,196)	—	—	—	(3,194)
Exercise of stock options							