

COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

.. Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-24429

COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

13-3728359
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Glenpointe Centre West
500 Frank W. Burr Blvd.

Teaneck, New Jersey
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

07666
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (201) 801-0233

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's class of common stock, as of August 1, 2008:

| Class | Number of Shares |
|---|-------------------------|
| Class A Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share | 291,625,351 |

Table of Contents

COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PART I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 2 |
| Item 1. <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 2 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Unaudited) as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 6 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 11 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 25 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 26 |
| PART II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 26 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 26 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 39 |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 39 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 40 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 41 |

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

2

Table of Contents

COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Revenues | \$ 685,427 | \$ 516,514 | \$ 1,328,533 | \$ 976,784 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of revenues (exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense shown separately below) | 380,867 | 292,326 | 747,132 | 547,235 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 167,105 | 120,464 | 315,958 | 229,963 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 17,777 | 13,053 | 34,070 | 25,313 |
| Income from operations | 119,678 | 90,671 | 231,373 | 174,273 |
| Other income (expense), net: | | | | |
| Interest income | 4,864 | 6,450 | 11,084 | 13,121 |
| Other income (expense), net | (485) | 529 | 3,469 | 512 |
| Total other income (expense), net | 4,379 | 6,979 | 14,553 | 13,633 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 124,057 | 97,650 | 245,926 | 187,906 |
| Provision for income taxes | 20,201 | 15,373 | 40,197 | 30,183 |
| Net income | \$ 103,856 | \$ 82,277 | \$ 205,729 | \$ 157,723 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.55 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.52 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding Basic | 289,709 | 288,105 | 288,940 | 286,954 |
| Dilutive effect of shares issuable under stock-based compensation plans | 9,623 | 15,992 | 10,252 | 16,852 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding Diluted | 299,332 | 304,097 | 299,192 | 303,806 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 103,856 | \$ 82,277 | \$ 205,729 | \$ 157,723 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (1,790) | 1,690 | 2,565 | 2,298 |
| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities, net | (335) | | (3,836) | |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 101,731 | \$ 83,967 | \$ 204,458 | \$ 160,021 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except par values)

| | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 521,193 | \$ 339,845 |
| Short-term investments | 29,979 | 330,580 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$10,299 and \$6,339, respectively | 525,398 | 382,960 |
| Unbilled accounts receivable | 57,734 | 53,496 |
| Deferred income tax assets, net | 65,363 | 75,470 |
| Other current assets | 68,953 | 59,828 |
| Total current assets | 1,268,620 | 1,242,179 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$166,358 and \$142,981, respectively | 409,810 | 356,047 |
| Long-term investments | 162,117 | |
| Goodwill | 157,737 | 148,789 |
| Intangible assets, net | 47,165 | 45,565 |
| Deferred income tax assets | 28,118 | 11,949 |
| Other assets | 36,214 | 33,777 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,109,781 | \$ 1,838,306 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 50,809 | \$ 36,176 |
| Deferred revenue | 24,249 | 29,020 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 253,389 | 275,488 |
| Total current liabilities | 328,447 | 340,684 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities, net | 10,453 | 15,145 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 16,507 | 14,267 |
| Total liabilities | 355,407 | 370,096 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 5) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.10 par value, 15,000 shares authorized, none issued | | |
| Class A common stock, \$.01 par value, 500,000 shares authorized, 291,593 and 288,012 shares issued and outstanding, at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively | 2,916 | 2,880 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 532,237 | 450,567 |
| Retained earnings | 1,205,289 | 999,560 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 13,932 | 15,203 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,754,374 | 1,468,210 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 2,109,781 | \$ 1,838,306 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 205,729 | \$ 157,723 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34,070 | 25,313 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 4,164 | 1,622 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7,006 | 8,896 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 23,447 | 16,950 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock option exercises | (15,157) | (30,401) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Trade accounts receivable | (140,751) | (91,604) |
| Other current assets | 1,286 | (19,580) |
| Other assets | (5,087) | (7,454) |
| Accounts payable | 13,721 | (1,876) |
| Other current and noncurrent liabilities | (31,402) | 4,932 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 97,026 | 64,521 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (85,210) | (70,871) |
| Purchases of investments | (108,110) | (302,725) |
| Proceeds from maturity or sale of investments | 239,966 | 244,702 |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (20,956) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 25,690 | (128,894) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issued shares | 40,292 | 35,988 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock option exercises | 15,157 | 30,401 |
| Cash flows provided by financing activities | 55,449 | 66,389 |
| Effect of currency translation on cash and cash equivalents | 3,183 | 1,032 |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 181,348 | 3,048 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 339,845 | 265,937 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 521,193 | \$ 268,985 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****(dollar amounts in thousands)****Note 1 Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation (Cognizant or the Company) in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and Article 10 of Regulation S-X under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements (and notes thereto) included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. In the opinion of the Company's management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been included, and all adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Operating results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected to occur for the entire year. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year numbers to conform to the current year presentation.

Note 2 Acquisitions

In June 2008, the Company acquired substantially all the assets of Strategic Vision Consulting, Inc. (SVC), an U.S. based company specializing in IT consulting in the media and entertainment industry, for initial consideration of approximately \$12,100 (including direct transaction costs) in cash and stock. The stock consideration consisted of 62,340 shares of Class A common stock valued at \$2,206. Additional purchase price, not to exceed \$14,000, payable in cash, is contingent on SVC achieving certain financial and operating targets during an earn-out period and will be recorded when the contingency is resolved. The Company completed this acquisition primarily to strengthen its service capabilities in the media and entertainment industry. The Company has made a preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired. The allocation is subject to revision upon completion of the Company's appraisal of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

In March 2008, the Company entered into a business alliance with T-Systems, a global IT services provider, to jointly go to market targeting select corporations with global delivery requirements for system integration services. As part of this alliance, the Company acquired T-Systems Indian subsidiary for approximately \$11,900, net of cash acquired.

In November 2007, the Company acquired marketRx, Inc. (marketRx), an U.S.-based leading provider of data analytics and process outsourcing to global life sciences companies in the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical devices segments for initial net cash consideration of approximately \$136,000 (net of cash acquired of \$305 and including direct transaction costs). In addition, the purchase price also included the estimated fair value of unvested stock options assumed by Cognizant. The Company completed this acquisition to strengthen its life sciences industry expertise as well as its data analytics capabilities in order to leverage such capabilities across multiple industries. The Company has made a preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired. The allocation is subject to revision upon completion of the Company's appraisal of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Note 3 Cash Equivalents and Short and Long-Term Investments

The following is a summary of short-term and long-term investments:

| | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Auction rate securities | \$ 1,840 | \$ 282,800 |
| Other | 10,809 | 1,638 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 12,649 | 284,438 |
| Time deposits | 17,330 | 46,142 |

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|---------|----|---------|
| Total short-term investments | \$ | 29,979 | \$ | 330,580 |
| Long-term investments available-for-sale auction rate securities | \$ | 162,117 | \$ | |

The carrying value of the time deposits approximated fair value as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. Realized gains or losses, if any, on these investments were insignificant for the periods presented.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff

Table of Contents

Position No. FAS 157 2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 , which provides a one year deferral of the effective date of SFAS 157 for non financial assets and non financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements at fair value at least annually. Therefore, the Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 with respect to its financial assets and liabilities only. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under SFAS No. 157 as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value under SFAS No. 157 must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The standard describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value which are the following:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis in accordance with SFAS No. 157 as of June 30, 2008:

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Cash Equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 185,969 | \$ | \$ | \$ 185,969 |
| Agency discount notes | | 12,164 | | 12,164 |
| Investments: | | | | |
| Available-for-sales securities - current | | 10,809 | 1,840 | 12,649 |
| Available-for-sales securities - non-current | | | 162,117 | 162,117 |
| Total | \$ 185,969 | \$ 22,973 | \$ 163,957 | \$ 372,899 |

Level 3 assets consist of AAA-rated municipal bonds with an auction reset feature, auction rate securities, whose underlying assets are generally student loans which are substantially backed by the federal government. In February 2008, auctions began to fail for these securities and each auction since then, involving securities remaining in the Company's portfolio as of June 30, 2008, has failed. As of June 30, 2008, the Company classified its investment in auction rate securities as long-term investments, with the exception of one security that has been called and is scheduled to be redeemed in the third quarter of 2008. The classification of the auction rate securities as long term investments was due to continuing auction failures, the securities' stated maturity of greater than one year and the Company's ability to hold such securities beyond one year. These investments are recorded at fair value. The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial assets for the following periods:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Balance at the beginning of the period | \$ 170,421 | \$ |
| Transfers in: auction rate securities with failed auctions | | 176,325 |
| Transfers out: redemptions of called securities | (5,900) | (5,900) |

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Unrealized losses included in other accumulated comprehensive income | (564) | (6,468) |
| Balance at the end of the period | \$ 163,957 | \$ 163,957 |

The Company has estimated the fair value of these auction rate securities using a discounted cash flow analysis which considered the following key inputs: (i) the underlying structure of each security; (ii) the timing of expected future principal and interest payments; and (iii) discount rates that are believed to reflect current market conditions and the relevant risk associated with each security. The Company estimated that the fair market value of these securities at June 30, 2008 was \$163,957. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company recorded unrealized losses of \$564 (\$335, net of tax) and \$6,468 (\$3,836, net of tax)

Table of Contents

in other comprehensive income reported in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income. The Company concluded that the unrealized losses are temporary based on the following: (i) the Company believes that the decline in market value is due to general market conditions; (ii) the auction rate securities continue to be of high credit quality and interest is paid when due; and (iii) the Company has the intent and ability to hold the auction rate securities until a recovery in market value occurs. The fair value of these auction rate securities could change significantly in the future and the Company may be required to record additional unrealized losses or an other than temporary impairment charge against net income if there are further reductions in fair value in future periods.

In addition to the debt securities noted above, the Company had approximately \$17,390 of time deposits included in cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments as of June 30, 2008.

Below is a summary of the Company's investments of available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2008:

| | Number of Holdings | Market Value | Unrealized Loss |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Investments in an unrealized loss position: | | | |
| Less than 12 months | 35 | \$ 163,957 | \$ 6,468 |
| 12 months or longer | | | |

As of December 31, 2007, the Company did not have any available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position. As of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, none of the Company's investments in time deposits were in an unrealized loss position.

Note 4 Income Taxes

The Company's Indian subsidiaries (collectively referred to as Cognizant India) are export-oriented companies, which, under the Indian Income Tax Act of 1961, are entitled to claim tax holidays for a period of ten consecutive years for each Software Technology Park (STP) with respect to export profits for each STP. Substantially all of the earnings of Cognizant India are attributable to export profits. The majority of the Company's STPs in India are currently entitled to a 100% exemption from Indian income tax. In May 2008, the Indian government extended the tax holidays for STPs by one year from March 31, 2009 to March 31, 2010. In addition, the Company has located several new development centers in areas designated as Special Economic Zones (SEZ). Development centers operating in SEZ will be entitled to certain income tax incentives for periods up to 15 years. The incremental Indian taxes related to the taxable STPs, for which the income tax holiday has expired, have been incorporated into the Company's effective income tax rate for 2008. The effective tax rate of 16.3% for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008 increased from 15.7% and 16.1% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. The increase in the effective income tax is primarily attributed to a net benefit recorded during the second quarter of 2007 for the effective settlement of certain foreign income tax positions. Excluding discrete items, the effective rate for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was 16.2% and 16.4%, respectively. This decrease was primarily due to the Company's overall growth, which resulted in a greater percentage of Cognizant India's revenues falling under the income tax holiday and net reductions in statutory income tax rates, primarily in Europe. The principal difference between the income tax rates for the 2008 and 2007 periods and the United States federal statutory rate is the effect of the tax holiday in India.

During 2007, the Indian government passed tax legislation that, among other items, subjects Indian taxpayers to a Minimum Alternative Tax (MAT). The MAT may be credited against Indian income taxes due in future years. The Company has recorded a deferred income tax asset for taxes due under the MAT.

Note 5 Commitments and Contingencies

As of June 30, 2008, the Company had outstanding fixed capital commitments of approximately \$93,939 related to its India development center expansion program.

The Company is involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such claims and legal actions, if decided adversely, is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, many of the Company's engagements involve projects that are critical to the operations of its customers' business and provide benefits that are difficult to quantify. Any failure in a customer's computer system could result in a claim for substantial damages against the Company, regardless of the Company's responsibility for such failure. Although the Company attempts to contractually limit its liability for damages arising from negligent acts, errors, mistakes, or omissions in rendering its software development and maintenance services, there can be no assurance that the

Table of Contents

limitations of liability set forth in its contracts will be enforceable in all instances or will otherwise protect the Company from liability for damages. Although the Company has general liability insurance coverage, including coverage for errors or omissions, there can be no assurance that such coverage will continue to be available on reasonable terms or will be available in sufficient amounts to cover one or more large claims, or that the insurer will not disclaim coverage as to any future claim. The successful assertion of one or more large claims against the Company that exceed available insurance coverage or changes in the Company's insurance policies, including premium increases or the imposition of large deductible or co-insurance requirements, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

Note 6 Segment Information

The Company's reportable segments are: Financial Services, which includes customers providing banking/transaction processing, capital markets and insurance services; Healthcare, which includes healthcare providers and payers as well as life sciences customers; Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics, which includes manufacturers, retailers, travel and other hospitality customers, as well as customers providing logistics services; and Other, which is an aggregation of industry segments which, individually, are less than 10% of consolidated revenues and segment operating profit. The Other reportable segment includes media and information services, communications and high technology operating segments. The Company's sales managers, account executives, account managers and project teams are aligned in accordance with the specific industries they serve.

The Company's chief operating decision maker evaluates the Company's performance and allocates resources based on segment revenues and operating profit. Segment operating profit is defined as income from operations before unallocated costs. Expenses included in segment operating profit consist principally of direct selling and delivery costs as well as a per seat charge for use of the Company's IT development centers. Certain expenses, such as general and administrative, and a portion of depreciation and amortization, are not specifically allocated to specific segments as management does not believe it is practical to allocate such costs to individual segments because they are not directly attributable to any specific segment. Further, stock-based compensation expense and the related stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax are not allocated to individual segments in internal management reports used by the chief operating decision maker. Accordingly, these expenses are separately disclosed as unallocated and adjusted only against the total income from operations of the Company. Additionally, management has determined that it is not practical to allocate identifiable assets, by segment, since such assets are used interchangeably among the segments.

Revenues from external customers and segment operating profit, before unallocated expenses, for the Financial Services, Healthcare, Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics, and Other reportable segments for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Financial Services | \$ 314,162 | \$ 243,059 | \$ 606,541 | \$ 457,239 |
| Healthcare | 164,501 | 118,625 | 324,152 | 228,665 |
| Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics | 106,871 | 77,214 | 204,358 | 146,997 |
| Other | 99,893 | 77,616 | 193,482 | 143,883 |
| Total revenues | \$ 685,427 | \$ 516,514 | \$ 1,328,533 | \$ 976,784 |
| Segment Operating Profit: | | | | |
| Financial Services | \$ 111,979 | \$ 80,809 | \$ 213,180 | \$ 155,078 |
| Healthcare | 65,427 | 41,080 | 131,065 | 81,260 |
| Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics | 35,535 | 21,311 | 71,597 | 45,328 |
| Other | 33,742 | 26,363 | 69,331 | 51,585 |
| Total segment operating profit | 246,683 | 169,563 | 485,173 | 333,251 |
| Less: unallocated costs ⁽¹⁾ | 127,005 | 78,892 | 253,800 | 158,978 |
| Income from operations | \$ 119,678 | \$ 90,671 | \$ 231,373 | \$ 174,273 |

- (1) Includes \$10,464 and \$23,448 of stock-based compensation expense and \$5,915 and \$6,832 of stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, and \$9,512 and \$16,950 of stock-based compensation expense for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents*Geographic Area Information*

Revenue and long-lived assets, by geographic area, are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Revenues⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| North America ⁽²⁾ | \$ 536,257 | \$ 435,246 | \$ 1,050,117 | \$ 826,318 |
| Europe ⁽³⁾ | 138,965 | 75,847 | 260,160 | 140,489 |
| Asia | 10,205 | 5,421 | 18,256 | 9,977 |
| Total | \$ 685,427 | \$ 516,514 | \$ 1,328,533 | \$ 976,784 |

| | As of | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
| Long-lived Assets⁽⁴⁾ | | |
| North America ⁽²⁾ | \$ 11,823 | \$ 12,860 |
| Europe | 2,924 | 1,873 |
| Asia ⁽⁵⁾ | 395,063 | 341,314 |
| Total | \$ 409,810 | \$ 356,047 |

- (1) Revenues are attributed to regions based upon customer location.
- (2) Substantially all relates to operations in the United States.
- (3) Includes revenue from operations in the United Kingdom of \$82,040 and \$48,025 and \$157,173 and \$90,325 for the three months and six months ended June, 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.
- (4) Long-lived assets include property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization.
- (5) Substantially all of these long-lived assets relate to the Company's operations in India.

Note 7 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS No. 159), which is effective for the Company's financial statements beginning January 1, 2008. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to measure eligible financial assets, financial liabilities and firm commitments at fair value, on an instrument-by-instrument basis, that are otherwise not permitted to be accounted for at fair value under other generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value measurement election is irrevocable and subsequent changes in fair value must be recorded in earnings. Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 159 and has chosen not to elect the fair value option for any items that are not already required to be measured at fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141(R)). This Statement replaces SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how an acquiring company: recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree; recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) further changes the accounting treatment for certain specific items, including: acquisition costs will be generally expensed as incurred; acquired contingent liabilities will be recorded at fair value at the acquisition date and subsequently measured at either the higher of such amount or the amount determined under existing guidance for non-acquired contingencies; in-process research and development will be recorded at fair value as an indefinite-lived intangible asset at the acquisition date; restructuring costs associated with a business combination will be generally expensed subsequent to the acquisition date; and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally will affect income tax expense. SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to the Company's business combinations for

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent s ownership interest, and the valuation of retained

Table of Contents

noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS No. 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This statement is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact that SFAS No. 160 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
Executive Summary**

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, our revenue increased to \$685.4 million and \$1,328.5 million compared to \$516.5 million and \$976.8 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007. Net income increased to \$103.9 million and \$205.7 million, respectively, or \$0.35 and \$0.69 per diluted share, including stock-based compensation expense and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, net of tax, equal to \$0.04 and \$0.08 per diluted share, during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008. This is compared to net income of \$82.3 million and \$157.7 million, respectively, or \$0.27 and \$0.52 per diluted share, including stock-based compensation expense, net of tax, equal to \$0.03 and \$0.04 per diluted share, during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007. The key drivers of our revenue growth during the quarter ended June 30, 2008 were as follows:

greater penetration of the European market, where we experienced revenue growth of 83.2% for the quarter as compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2007;

strong performance of our Healthcare and Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics segments, which had revenue growth of approximately 39% and 38%, respectively, for the quarter as compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2007, however, Healthcare only grew 3% sequentially from the quarter ended March 31, 2008;

expansion of our service offerings, which enabled us to cross-sell new services to our customers and meet the rapidly growing demand for complex large-scale outsourcing solutions;

increased penetration at existing customers, including strategic customers; and

continued expansion of the market for global delivery of IT services and business process outsourcing.

We saw a continued increase in demand from our customers for a broad range of IT solutions, particularly high performance web development initiatives and complex systems development engagements, testing, enterprise resource planning, or ERP, infrastructure management, business process outsourcing and business intelligence. We finished the quarter with 520 active clients compared to 430 as of June 30, 2007 and increased the number of strategic clients by five during the quarter bringing the total number of our strategic clients to 118. We define a strategic client as one offering the potential to generate between \$5 million and \$50 million or more in annual revenues at maturity. Our top five and top ten customers accounted for approximately 20% and 31%, respectively, of our total revenues during the quarter ended June 30, 2008 as compared to approximately 25% and 35%, respectively, for the quarter ended June 30, 2007. As we continue to add new customers and increase our penetration at existing customers, we expect the percentage of revenues from our top five and top ten customers to continue to decline over time.

In Europe, we continue to experience strong growth. During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, our revenue from European customers increased by 83.2% to approximately \$139.0 million compared to approximately \$75.8 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2007. For the quarter ended June 30, 2008, revenue from Europe, excluding the UK, increased by approximately \$29.1 million from approximately \$27.8 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$56.9 million. Europe will continue to be an area of heavy investment for us in 2008 as we see this region as a growth opportunity for the long term.

Our revenue growth is also attributed to increasing market acceptance of, and strong demand for, offshore IT software and services and business process outsourcing. Recent NASSCOM (India's National Association of Software and Service Companies) reports state that India's IT software and services and business process outsourcing sectors were an estimated \$40.4 billion industry for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, with IT

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

software and services exports and business process outsourcing exports growing 28% and 30%, respectively. The Indian IT software and services and business process outsourcing sectors are expected to grow to \$50 billion during the 2009 fiscal year.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, we saw the effects of the slowing economy impacting industry groups in addition to financial services. This was evident in our Healthcare segment, which reported 3% sequential revenue growth from the quarter ended March 31, 2008. Our Financial Services, Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics and Other business segments reported sequential revenue growth of 7.4%, 9.6% and 6.7%, respectively, from the quarter ended March 31, 2008. We expect the slowing economy may negatively impact growth across all of our business segments in the second half of the year. This negative trend towards reduced spending is partially mitigated by the fact that we expect the slowing economy will encourage certain companies to utilize the on-site/offshore delivery model as a means to reduce overall IT costs. We have reduced our planned hiring for 2008 in response to the lower than originally anticipated growth during 2008.

Table of Contents

Our operating margin decreased to approximately 17.5% for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 compared to 17.6% for the quarter ended June 30, 2007. Excluding stock-based compensation costs of approximately \$10.5 million and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$5.9 million, operating margin for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 was approximately 19.8%. This was in line with our historic targeted operating margin range, excluding stock-based compensation costs and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, of 19% to 20% of total revenues. Historically, we have invested our profitability above the 19% to 20% operating margin level, which excludes stock-based compensation and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, back into our business, which we believe is a significant contributing factor to our strong revenue growth. This investment is primarily focused in the areas of: (i) hiring client partners and relationship personnel with specific industry experience or domain expertise; (ii) training our technical staff in a broader range of IT service offerings; (iii) strengthening our business analytic capabilities; (iv) strengthening and expanding our portfolio of services; (v) continuing to expand our geographic presence for both sales and delivery; and (vi) recognizing and rewarding exceptional performance by our employees. In addition, this investment includes maintaining a level of resources, trained in a broad range of service offerings, to be well positioned to respond to our customer requests to take on additional projects. For the year ending December 31, 2008, we expect to continue to invest amounts in excess of our historical targeted operating margin levels back into the business.

During 2007 and the first quarter of 2008, we experienced pressure on our cost structure due to the appreciation of the Indian rupee versus the U.S. dollar on a year-over-year basis. This is in addition to the continuing wage inflation, primarily in India, that we have experienced over the last several years. During the second quarter of 2008, approximately 31% of our global costs were denominated in the Indian rupee. However, for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, the Indian rupee depreciated against the U.S. dollar, favorably impacting our operating margin by approximately 16 basis points or 0.16%. Each additional 1% change in the Indian rupee will have the effect of changing our operating margin by approximately 26 basis points or 0.26 percentage points. During 2008, we expect to continue to optimize the global utilization rates of our technical staff and control discretionary spending in response to the slowing economy. Accordingly, we believe this balanced approach will permit us to continue to maintain operating margins in our historic targeted operating margin range, which excludes stock compensation costs and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, of 19% to 20% of total revenues and permit us to continue to make the necessary investments to continue to grow the Company.

We finished the second quarter of 2008 with total headcount of approximately 59,300, an increase of approximately 13,750 over the total headcount at June 30, 2007. The increases in the number of our technical personnel and the related infrastructure costs, to meet the demand for our services, are the primary drivers of the increase in our operating expenses in 2008. Annualized turnover, including both voluntary and involuntary, was approximately 15% during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The majority of our turnover occurs in India. As a result, annualized attrition rates on-site at clients are below our global attrition rate. In addition, attrition is weighted towards the more junior members of our staff. We have experienced wage inflation in India, which may continue in the future; however, this has not had a material impact on our results of operations as Indian wages represented less than 20% of our total operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

Our current India real estate development program now includes planned construction of approximately 4.3 million square feet of new space. The expanded program, which commenced during the quarter ended March 31, 2007, includes the expenditure of approximately \$330 million on land acquisition, facilities construction and furnishings to build new state-of-the-art IT development centers in regions primarily designated as Special Economic Zones located in India. During 2008, we plan to spend approximately \$240 million for capital expenditures, the majority of which relates to our India real estate development program.

At June 30, 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$551.2 million and working capital of approximately \$940.2 million. Accordingly, we do not anticipate any near-term liquidity issues.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Risks

Management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported for assets and liabilities, including the recoverability of tangible and intangible assets, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates. The most significant estimates relate to the recognition of revenue and profits based on the percentage of completion method of accounting for certain fixed-bid contracts, the allowance for doubtful accounts, income taxes, valuation of goodwill and other long-lived assets, valuation of short and long-term investments, assumptions used in valuing stock-based compensation arrangements, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual amounts may differ from the estimates used in the preparation of the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

We believe the following critical accounting policies require a higher level of management judgments and estimates than others in preparing the consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition. Revenues related to our highly complex information technology application development contracts, which are predominantly fixed-priced contracts, are recognized as the service is performed using the percentage of completion method of accounting. Under this method, total contract revenue during the term of an agreement is recognized on the basis of the percentage that each contract's total labor cost to date bears to the total expected labor cost (cost to cost method). This method is followed where reasonably dependable estimates of revenues and costs can be made. Management reviews total expected labor costs on an ongoing basis. Revisions to our estimates may result in increases or decreases to revenues and income and are reflected in the consolidated financial statements in the periods in which they are first identified. If our estimates indicate that a contract loss will be incurred, a loss provision is recorded in the period in which the loss first becomes probable and reasonably estimable. Contract losses are determined to be the amount by which the estimated costs of the contract exceed the estimated total revenues that will be generated by the contract and are included in cost of revenues in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations. Contract losses for the 2008 and 2007 periods presented were immaterial.

Stock-Based Compensation. Under the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, Share-based Payment (SFAS No. 123R), stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized as expense over the vesting period. Determining the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term over which stock options will be outstanding before they are exercised, the expected volatility of our stock, the number of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited and the expected exercise proceeds for stock-based awards subject to the Indian fringe benefit tax. If actual results differ significantly from our estimates, stock-based compensation expense and our results of operations could be materially impacted.

Income Taxes. Determining the consolidated provision for income tax expense, deferred tax assets and liabilities and related valuation allowance, if any, involves judgment. As a global company, we are required to calculate and provide for income taxes in each of the jurisdictions where we operate. Changes in the geographic mix or estimated level of annual pre-tax income can also affect the overall effective income tax rate. Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted Financial Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of SFAS No. 109 (FIN 48). The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement.

Tax exposures can involve complex issues and may require an extended period to resolve. Although we believe we have adequately reserved for our uncertain tax positions, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or the refinement of an estimate. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will impact the provision for income taxes in the period in which such determination is made. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate, as well as the related net interest.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate whether a valuation allowance is needed to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. While we have considered future taxable income and on-going prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for the valuation allowance, in the event we determine that we will be able to realize deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would increase income in the period such determination was made. Likewise, should we determine that we will not be able to realize all or part of the net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would be charged to income in the period such determination was made.

Our Indian subsidiaries, collectively referred to as Cognizant India, are export-oriented companies, which, under the Indian Income Tax Act of 1961, are entitled to claim tax holidays for a period of ten consecutive years for each Software Technology Park (STP) with respect to export profits for each STP. Substantially all of the earnings of Cognizant India are attributable to export profits. The majority of our STPs in India are currently entitled to a 100% exemption from Indian income tax. In May 2008, the Indian government extended the tax holidays for STPs by one year from March 31, 2009 to March 31, 2010. The incremental Indian taxes related to the taxable STPs, for which the income tax holding has expired, have been incorporated into our effective income tax rate for 2008. In anticipation of the complete phase out of the tax holidays in March 2010, we will continue to locate a portion of our new development centers in areas designated as Special Economic Zones (SEZ). Development centers operating in SEZ will be entitled to certain income tax incentives for periods up to 15 years. Under current Indian tax law, export profits after March 31, 2010 from our existing STPs will be fully taxable at the Indian statutory rate (33.99% as of June 30, 2008) in effect at such time. If the tax holidays relating to our Indian STPs are not extended or new tax incentives are not introduced that would effectively extend the income tax holiday benefits beyond March 31, 2010, we expect that our effective income tax rate would increase significantly beginning in calendar year 2010.

Table of Contents

Short-term and Long-term Investments. As of June 30, 2008, we had \$192.1 million in short-term and long-term investments. We have historically invested these amounts in municipal debt securities with interest rates that reset through a Dutch auction process and in corporate notes and bonds, U.S. government agencies, bank time deposits and commercial paper meeting certain criteria. We classify our marketable and debt securities as available-for-sale at the time of purchase and evaluate such designation as of each balance sheet date. We evaluate our investments periodically for possible other-than-temporary impairment by reviewing factors such as the length of time and extent to which fair value has been below cost basis, the financial condition of the issuer and our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time, which may be sufficient for anticipated recovery of market value. An impairment charge would be recorded to the extent that the carrying value of our available-for-sale securities exceeds the fair market value of the securities and the decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary.

Determining the fair value of our investment in municipal auction rate securities with unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity requires judgment, including determining the appropriate holding period and discount rate to be used in valuing such securities. We value our investment in municipal auction rate securities using a discounted cash flow analysis which incorporates the following key inputs: (i) the underlying structure of each security; (ii) frequency of cash flows; (iii) expected holding period for the security; and (iv) discount rates that are believed to reflect current market conditions and the relevant risk associated with each security. In estimating the holding period, we considered the current developments in the auction rate market including: our ability to hold the securities for such period of time, recent calls of municipal auction rate securities by issuers and the possible reestablishment of an active market for the municipal auction rate securities that we hold. Based upon these factors, we used a holding period of five years for securities with a stated maturity beyond five years, which represents the period of time we anticipate will elapse before a liquidity event will occur. An increase or decrease in the holding period by two years would change the fair value of our investment in municipal auction rate securities by approximately \$2 million. We derive the discount rate by considering observable interest rate yields for bonds supported by student loans and pricing of new municipal bond issuances, and adding an illiquidity premium to such rates. The illiquidity premium was estimated by management considering current market conditions, including very limited recent trading activity for municipal auction rate securities. As of June 30, 2008, we used a weighted-average illiquidity premium of 150 basis points, down from 172 as of March 31, 2008. The reduction in the illiquidity premium was precipitated by recent developments that may lead to the re-establishment of a market for our auction rate securities, the redemption of one of our holdings during the quarter, the announcement of the call of another one of our holdings and recent news that many municipal bond issuers are considering calls. An increase or decrease to the illiquidity premium of 100 basis points would change the estimated fair value of our investment in municipal auction rate securities by approximately \$7 million. The collapse of the market for municipal auction rate securities occurred in the first quarter of 2008. We anticipate there will be ongoing developments in the market for the municipal auction rate securities that we hold. Accordingly, our estimates of the expected holding period and illiquidity premium used in valuing such securities are reasonably likely to change in the short-term.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts is determined by evaluating the relative credit-worthiness of each customer, historical collections experience and other information, including the aging of the receivables. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

Goodwill. We evaluate goodwill for impairment at least annually, or as circumstances warrant. When determining the fair value of our reporting units, we utilize various assumptions, including projections of future cash flows. Any adverse changes in key assumptions about our businesses and their prospects or an adverse change in market conditions may cause a change in the estimation of fair value and could result in an impairment charge. As of June 30, 2008, our goodwill balance was approximately \$157.7 million.

Long-Lived Assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, we review long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. In general, we will recognize an impairment loss when the sum of undiscounted expected future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of such asset. The measurement for such an impairment loss is then based on the fair value of the asset. If such assets were determined to be impaired, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Risks. Most of our IT development centers, including a majority of our employees, are located in India. As a result, we may be subject to certain risks associated with international operations, including risks associated with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations and risks associated with the application and imposition of protective legislation and regulations relating to import and export or otherwise resulting from foreign policy or the variability of foreign economic or political conditions. Additional risks associated with

Table of Contents

international operations include difficulties in enforcing intellectual property rights, limitations on immigration programs, the burdens of complying with a wide variety of foreign laws, potential geo-political and other risks associated with terrorist activities and local and cross border conflicts, potentially adverse tax consequences, tariffs, quotas and other barriers. We are also subject to risks associated with our overall compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The inability of our management and our independent registered public accounting firm to provide us with an unqualified report as to the adequacy and effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting for future year ends could result in adverse consequences to us, including, but not limited to, a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could cause the market price of our stock to decline. See Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors .

Results of Operations**Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2007**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain financial data expressed for the three months ended June 30:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | 2008 | % of Revenues | 2007 | % of Revenues | Increase/ (Decrease) | % Increase/ (Decrease) |
|---|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 685,427 | 100.0% | \$ 516,514 | 100.0% | \$ 168,913 | 32.7% |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of revenues ⁽¹⁾ | 380,867 | 55.5 | 292,326 | 56.6 | 88,541 | 30.3 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses ⁽²⁾ | 167,105 | 24.4 | 120,464 | 23.3 | 46,641 | 38.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 17,777 | 2.6 | 13,053 | 2.5 | 4,724 | 36.2 |
| Income from operations | 119,678 | 17.5% | 90,671 | 17.6% | 29,007 | 32.0 |
| Other income (expense), net | 4,379 | | 6,979 | | (2,600) | (37.3) |
| Provision for income taxes | 20,201 | | 15,373 | | 4,828 | 31.4 |
| Net income | \$ 103,856 | 15.2% | \$ 82,277 | 15.9% | 21,579 | 26.2 |

(1) Includes stock-based compensation expense of \$4,733 in 2008 and \$4,828 in 2007, and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$2,084 in 2008 and is exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense.

(2) Includes stock-based compensation expense of \$5,731 in 2008 and \$4,684 in 2007, and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$3,831 in 2008 and is exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense.

The following table includes non-GAAP income from operations, excluding stock-based compensation and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, a measure defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission as a non-GAAP financial measure. This non-GAAP financial measure is not based on any comprehensive set of accounting rules or principles and should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP, and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies. In addition, this non-GAAP measure, the financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and reconciliations of our GAAP financial statements to such non-GAAP measure should be carefully evaluated.

We seek to manage the company to a targeted operating margin, excluding stock-based compensation costs and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, of 19% to 20% of revenues. Accordingly, we believe that non-GAAP income from operations, excluding stock-based compensation costs and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, is a meaningful measure for investors to evaluate our financial performance. For our internal management reporting and budgeting purposes, we use financial statements that do not include stock-based compensation expense and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense for financial and operational decision making, to evaluate period-to-period comparisons and for making comparisons of our operating results to that of our competitors. Moreover, because of varying available valuation methodologies and the variety of award types that companies can use under SFAS No. 123R, we believe that providing a non-GAAP financial measure that excludes stock-based compensation expense and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense allows investors to make additional comparisons between

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

our operating results and those of other companies. Accordingly, we believe that the presentation of non-GAAP income from operations when read in conjunction with our reported GAAP income from operations can provide useful supplemental information to our management and to investors regarding financial and business trends relating to our financial condition and results of operations.

A limitation of using non-GAAP income from operations versus income from operations reported in accordance with GAAP is that non-GAAP income from operations, excludes costs, namely, stock-based compensation and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense that are recurring. Stock-based compensation and the related Indian fringe benefit tax expense will continue to be for the foreseeable future a significant recurring expense in our business. In addition, other companies may calculate non-GAAP financial

Table of Contents

measures differently than us, thereby limiting the usefulness of this non-GAAP financial measure as a comparative tool. We compensate for these limitations by providing specific information regarding the GAAP amounts excluded from non-GAAP income from operations and evaluating such non-GAAP financial measures with financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

A reconciliation of income from operations as reported and non-GAAP income from operations, excluding stock-based compensation expense and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, is as follows for the three months ended June 30:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | 2008 | % of Revenues | 2007 | % of Revenues |
|---|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Income from operations, as reported | \$ 119,678 | 17.5% | \$ 90,671 | 17.6% |
| Add: stock-based compensation expense | 10,464 | 1.5 | 9,512 | 1.8 |
| Add: stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense | 5,915 | 0.8 | | |
| Non-GAAP income from operations, excluding stock-based compensation expense and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense | \$ 136,057 | 19.8% | \$ 100,183 | 19.4% |

Revenue. Revenue increased by 32.7%, or approximately \$168.9 million, from approximately \$516.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$685.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. This increase is primarily attributed to greater acceptance of the on-site/offshore delivery model among an increasing number of industries, continued interest in using the on-site/offshore delivery model as a means to reduce overall IT costs and greater penetration in the European market. Revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 increased by approximately \$119.0 million and revenue from new customers added since June 30, 2007 was approximately \$49.9 million or approximately 7.3% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2008. In addition, revenue from European customers for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 increased by \$63.1 million over the comparable 2007 quarter. We had approximately 520 active clients as of June 30, 2008 as compared to 430 active clients as of June 30, 2007. In addition, we experienced strong demand across all of our business segments for an increasingly broad range of services with all of our business segments experiencing revenue growth between approximately 29% and 39% over the comparable 2007 quarter. Revenue from our Healthcare business segment increased by 38.7%, or approximately \$45.9 million, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007. In addition, our Financial Services and Retail/Manufacturing/Logistics business segments accounted for approximately \$71.1 million and \$30.0 million, respectively, of the \$168.9 million increase in revenue. Our IT consulting and technology services and IT outsourcing revenues increased by approximately 31.8% and 33.5%, respectively, compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2007 and represented approximately 47.4% and 52.6%, respectively, of total revenues for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. No customer accounted for sales in excess of 10% of revenues during the quarter ended June 30, 2008 or 2007.

Cost of Revenues (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization Expense). Cost of revenues consists primarily of the cost of salaries, stock-based compensation expense and related stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, payroll taxes, benefits, immigration and project-related travel for technical personnel, the cost of subcontracting and the cost of sales commissions related to revenues. Our cost of revenues increased by 30.3%, or approximately \$88.5 million, from approximately \$292.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$380.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase was primarily due to higher compensation and benefits costs of approximately \$84.1 million, primarily resulting from the increase in the number of technical personnel.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, stock-based compensation expense and the related stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, employee benefits, travel, promotion, communications, management, finance, administrative and occupancy costs. Selling, general and administrative expenses, including depreciation and amortization, increased by 38.5%, or approximately \$51.4 million, from approximately \$133.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$184.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008, and increased as a percentage of revenue from 25.8% to 27.0%. The percentage increase was due primarily to an increase in stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$3.8 million, expenses incurred to expand our sales and marketing activities and increased infrastructure expenses to support our growth.

Income from Operations. Income from operations increased 32.0%, or approximately \$29.0 million, from approximately \$90.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$119.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008, representing operating margins of 17.6% and 17.5% of revenues, respectively. The decrease in operating margin was due primarily to increased stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax costs partially offset by the depreciation of the Indian rupee versus the U.S. dollar. Excluding stock-based compensation expense of \$10.5 million and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$5.9 million in 2008 and stock-based compensation of

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

\$9.5 million in 2007, operating margin for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007 was 19.8% and 19.4% of revenues, respectively.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Expense), Net. Other income (expense), net consists primarily of interest income and foreign currency gains or losses. The decrease in other income (expense), net of \$2.6 million is attributed to a period over period decrease of \$1.1 million in foreign currency income attributed to the remeasurement of certain balance sheet accounts for movements in foreign currency rates and a reduction of \$1.5 million in interest income, from approximately \$6.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to \$4.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. Interest income decreased primarily due to lower average short-term interest rates in 2008 compared to 2007.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes increased from approximately \$15.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$20.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The effective tax rate of 15.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased to 16.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in our effective income tax rate is primarily attributed to a net benefit recorded in the prior year period for the effective settlement of certain foreign income tax positions. Excluding discrete items, our effective tax rate was 16.4% and 16.2% for the quarter ending June 30, 2007 and 2008, respectively. This decrease was primarily due to our overall growth, which resulted in a greater percentage of Cognizant India's revenues falling under the income tax holiday and net reductions in statutory income tax rates, primarily in Europe.

Net Income. Net income increased from approximately \$82.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$103.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, representing 15.9% and 15.2% of revenues, respectively. The decrease in net income as a percentage of revenues is primarily attributed to the increase in stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense and the decrease in interest income and foreign currency income, partially offset by the favorable impact of the depreciation of the Indian rupee versus the U.S. dollar.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain financial data for the six months ended June 30:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | 2008 | % of Revenues | 2007 | % of Revenues | Increase | % Increase |
|--|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| Revenues | \$ 1,328,533 | 100.0% | \$ 976,784 | 100.0% | \$ 351,749 | 36.0% |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Cost of revenues ⁽¹⁾ | 747,132 | 56.2 | 547,235 | 56.0 | 199,897 | 36.5 |
| Selling, general and administrative ⁽²⁾ | 315,958 | 23.8 | 229,963 | 23.6 | 85,995 | 37.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34,070 | 2.6 | 25,313 | 2.6 | 8,757 | 34.6 |
| Income from operations | 231,373 | 17.4% | 174,273 | 17.8% | 57,100 | 32.8 |
| Other income (expense), net | 14,553 | | 13,633 | | 920 | 6.7 |
| Provision for income taxes | 40,197 | | 30,183 | | 10,014 | 33.2 |
| Net income | \$ 205,729 | 15.5% | \$ 157,723 | 16.1% | 48,006 | 30.4 |

(1) Includes stock-based compensation expense of \$10,254 in 2008 and \$8,096 in 2007, and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$2,509 in 2008 and is exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense.

(2) Includes stock-based compensation expense of \$13,194 in 2008 and \$8,854 in 2007, and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$4,323 in 2008 and is exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense.

The following table includes non-GAAP income from operations, excluding stock-based compensation and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, a measure defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission as a non-GAAP financial measure. This non-GAAP financial measure is not based on any comprehensive set of accounting rules or principles and should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP, and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies. In addition, this non-GAAP measure, the financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and reconciliations of our GAAP financial statements to such non-GAAP measure should be carefully evaluated.

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

We seek to manage the company to a targeted operating margin, excluding stock-based compensation costs and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, of 19% to 20% of revenues. Accordingly, we believe that non-GAAP income from operations, excluding stock-based compensation costs and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, is a meaningful measure for investors to evaluate our financial performance. For our internal management reporting and budgeting purposes, we use financial statements that do not include stock-based compensation expense and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense for financial and operational

Table of Contents

decision making, to evaluate period-to-period comparisons and for making comparisons of our operating results to that of our competitors. Moreover, because of varying available valuation methodologies and the variety of award types that companies can use under SFAS No. 123R, we believe that providing a non-GAAP financial measure that excludes stock-based compensation expense and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense allows investors to make additional comparisons between our operating results and those of other companies. Accordingly, we believe that the presentation of non-GAAP income from operations when read in conjunction with our reported GAAP income from operations can provide useful supplemental information to our management and to investors regarding financial and business trends relating to our financial condition and results of operations.

A limitation of using non-GAAP income from operations versus income from operations reported in accordance with GAAP is that non-GAAP income from operations, excludes costs, namely, stock-based compensation and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense that are recurring. Stock-based compensation and the related Indian fringe benefit tax expense will continue to be for the foreseeable future a significant recurring expense in our business. In addition, other companies may calculate non-GAAP financial measures differently than us, thereby limiting the usefulness of this non-GAAP financial measure as a comparative tool. We compensate for these limitations by providing specific information regarding the GAAP amounts excluded from non-GAAP income from operations and evaluating such non-GAAP financial measures with financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

A reconciliation of income from operations as reported and non-GAAP income from operations, excluding stock-based compensation expense and stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, is as follows for the six months ended June 30:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | 2008 | % of Revenues | 2007 | % of Revenues |
|---|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Income from operations, as reported | \$ 231,373 | 17.4% | \$ 174,273 | 17.8% |
| Add: stock-based compensation expense | 23,448 | 1.8 | 16,950 | 1.8 |
| Add: stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense | 6,832 | 0.5 | | |
| Non-GAAP income from operations, excluding stock-based compensation expense | \$ 261,653 | 19.7% | \$ 191,223 | 19.6% |

Revenue. Revenue increased by 36.0%, or approximately \$351.7 million, from approximately \$976.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$1,328.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. This increase is primarily attributed to greater acceptance of the on-site/offshore delivery model among an increasing number of industries, continued interest in using the on-site/offshore delivery model as a means to reduce overall IT costs and greater penetration in the European market. Revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 increased by approximately \$273.9 million and revenue from new customers added since June 30, 2007 was approximately \$77.8 million or approximately 5.9% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008. In addition, revenue from European customers during the six months ended June 30, 2008 increased by \$119.7 million over the comparable six-month period in 2007. We had approximately 520 active clients as of June 30, 2008 as compared to 430 active clients as of June 30, 2007. In addition, we experienced strong demand across all of our business segments for an increasingly broad range of services. Our Financial Services and Healthcare business segments accounted for approximately \$149.1 million and \$95.5 million, respectively, of the \$351.7 million increase. Our IT consulting and technology services and IT outsourcing revenues increased by approximately 37.0% and 35.1%, respectively, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007 and represented approximately 47.8% and 52.2%, respectively, of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008. No customer accounted for sales in excess of 10% of revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2008 or 2007.

Cost of Revenues (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization Expense). Cost of revenues consists primarily of the cost of salaries, stock-based compensation expense and related stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, payroll taxes, benefits, immigration and project-related travel for technical personnel, the cost of subcontracting and the cost of sales commissions related to revenues. Our cost of revenues increased by 36.5%, or approximately \$199.9 million, from approximately \$547.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$747.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase was primarily due to higher compensation and benefits costs of approximately \$194.2 million, primarily resulting from the increase in the number of technical personnel.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, stock-based compensation expense and the related stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense, employee benefits, travel, promotion, communications, management, finance, administrative and occupancy costs. Selling, general and administrative expenses, including depreciation and amortization, increased by 37.1%, or approximately \$94.8 million, from approximately \$255.3 million during the six months ended June 30,

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

2007 to approximately \$350.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008, and increased as a percentage of revenue from 26.1% to 26.3%. As a percentage of revenues, the increase in such expenses was due primarily to an increase in stock based compensation costs of \$4.3 million and an increase in related stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$4.3 million.

Table of Contents

Income from Operations. Income from operations increased 32.8%, or approximately \$57.1 million, from approximately \$174.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$231.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008, representing operating margins of 17.8% and 17.4% of revenues, respectively. The decrease in operating margin was due primarily to an increase in stock-based compensation costs and the related stock based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of approximately \$13.3 million. Excluding stock-based compensation expense and the related stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$30.3 million in 2008 and \$17.0 million in 2007, operating margin for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was 19.7% and 19.6%, respectively.

Other Income (Expense), Net. Other income (expense), net consists primarily of interest income and foreign currency gains or losses. The increase in other income (expense), net of \$0.9 million is attributed to an increase of approximately \$2.9 million in other income due to remeasurement of certain balance sheet accounts for movements in foreign currency rates, partially offset by a reduction in interest income of \$2.0 million from \$13.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$11.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. Interest income decreased primarily due to lower average short-term interest rates in 2008 compared to 2007.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes increased from approximately \$30.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$40.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The effective tax rate of 16.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2007 increased to 16.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in our effective income tax rate is primarily attributed to a net benefit recorded in the prior year period for the effective settlement of certain foreign income tax positions. Excluding discrete items, our effective tax rate was 16.4% and 16.2% for the six months ending June 30, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was primarily due to our overall growth, which resulted in a greater percentage of Cognizant India's revenue falling under the income tax holiday and net reductions in statutory income tax rates, primarily in Europe.

Net Income. Net income increased from approximately \$157.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$205.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, representing 16.1% and 15.5% of revenues, respectively. The decrease as a percentage of revenue is primarily attributed to an increase in stock-based Indian fringe benefit tax expense of \$6.8 million during 2008.

Results by Business Segment

Our reportable segments are: Financial Services, which includes customers providing banking/transaction processing, capital markets and insurance services; Healthcare, which includes healthcare providers and payers as well as life sciences customers; Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics, which includes manufacturers, retailers, travel and other hospitality customers, as well as customers providing logistics services; and Other, which is an aggregation of industry operating segments which, individually, are less than 10% of consolidated revenues and segment operating profit. The Other reportable segment includes media and information services, communications and high technology operating segments. Our sales managers, account executives, account managers and project teams are aligned in accordance with the specific industries they serve.

Our chief operating decision maker evaluates Cognizant's performance and allocates resources based on segment revenues and operating profit. Segment operating profit is defined as income from operations before unallocated costs. Generally, operating expenses for each operating segment have similar characteristics and are subject to the same factors, pressures and challenges. However, the economic environment and its effects on industries served by our operating groups may affect revenue and operating expenses to differing degrees. Expenses included in segment operating profit consist principally of direct selling and delivery costs as well as a per seat charge for use of the development centers. Certain expenses, such as general and administrative, and a portion of depreciation and amortization, are not specifically allocated to specific segments as management does not believe it is practical to allocate such costs to individual segments because they are not directly attributable to any specific segment. Further, stock-based compensation expense and the related Indian stock-based fringe benefit tax are not allocated to individual segments in internal management reports used by the chief operating decision maker. Accordingly, these expenses are adjusted only against the total income from operations.

As of June 30, 2008, we had 520 active customers. Accordingly, we provide a significant volume of services to many customers in each of our business segments. Therefore, a loss of a significant customer or a few significant customers in a particular segment could materially reduce revenues for such segment. However, no individual customer exceeded 10% of our consolidated revenues for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In addition, the services we provide to our larger customers are often critical to the operations of such customers and a termination of our services would require an extended transition period with gradual declining revenues.

Table of Contents**Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2007**

Revenues from external customers and segment operating profit, before unallocated expenses, for the Financial Services, Healthcare, Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics and Other reportable segments for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2008 | June 30, 2007 | Increase | % |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Financial Services | \$ 314,162 | \$ 243,059 | \$ 71,103 | 29.3% |
| Healthcare | 164,501 | 118,625 | 45,876 | 38.7 |
| Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics | 106,871 | 77,214 | 29,657 | 38.4 |
| Other | 99,893 | 77,616 | 22,277 | 28.7 |
| Total Revenues | \$ 685,427 | \$ 516,514 | \$ 168,913 | 32.7 |
| Segment Operating Profit: | | | | |
| Financial Services | \$ 111,979 | \$ 80,809 | \$ 31,170 | 38.6% |
| Healthcare | 65,427 | 41,080 | 24,347 | 59.3 |
| Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics | 35,535 | 21,311 | 14,224 | 66.7 |
| Other | 33,742 | 26,363 | 7,379 | 28.0 |
| Total Segment Operating Profit | \$ 246,683 | \$ 169,563 | \$ 77,120 | 45.5 |

Financial Services Segment

Revenue. Revenue increased by 29.3%, or approximately \$71.1 million, from approximately \$243.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$314.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in revenue was driven by continued expansion of existing customer relationships as well as revenue contributed by new customers. The increase in revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 and customers added since such date was approximately \$65.2 million and approximately \$5.9 million, respectively. Within the segment, growth was particularly strong among our banking customers, where revenue increased approximately \$53.4 million over the second quarter of last year. The increase can also be attributed to leveraging sales and marketing investments in this business segment as well as greater acceptance of the onsite/offshore IT services delivery model.

Segment Operating Profit. Segment operating profit increased 38.6%, or approximately \$31.2 million, from approximately \$80.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$112.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in segment operating profit was attributable primarily to increased revenues and achieving operating efficiencies, including continued leverage on prior sales and marketing investments and the impact of the depreciation of the Indian rupee, partially offset by additional headcount to support our revenue growth.

Healthcare Segment

Revenue. Revenue increased by 38.7%, or approximately \$45.9 million, from approximately \$118.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$164.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in revenue was driven by continued expansion of existing customer relationships as well as revenue contributed by new customers. The increase in revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 and customers added since such date was approximately \$32.5 million and approximately \$13.4 million, respectively. Within the segment, growth was particularly strong among our life sciences customers, where revenue increased by approximately \$30.8 million over the second quarter of last year. The increase can also be attributed to leveraging sales and marketing investments in this business segment as well as greater acceptance of the onsite/offshore IT services delivery model.

Segment Operating Profit. Segment operating profit increased 59.3%, or approximately \$24.3 million, from approximately \$41.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$65.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in segment operating profit was attributable primarily to increased revenues and achieving operating efficiencies, including continued leverage on prior

sales and marketing investments and the impact of the depreciation of the Indian rupee, partially offset by additional headcount to support our revenue growth.

Table of Contents**Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics Segment**

Revenue. Revenue increased by 38.4%, or approximately \$29.7 million, from approximately \$77.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$106.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in revenue was driven by continued expansion of existing customer relationships as well as revenue contributed by new customers. The increase in revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 and customers added since such date was approximately \$15.9 million and approximately \$13.8 million, respectively. The increase can also be attributed to leveraging sales and marketing investments in this business segment as well as greater acceptance of the onsite/offshore IT services delivery model.

Segment Operating Profit. Segment operating profit increased 66.7%, or approximately \$14.2 million, from approximately \$21.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$35.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in segment operating profit was attributable primarily to increased revenues and achieving operating efficiencies, including continued leverage on prior sales and marketing investments and the impact of the depreciation of the Indian rupee, partially offset by additional headcount to support our revenue growth.

Other Segment

Revenue. Revenue increased by 28.7%, or approximately \$22.3 million, from approximately \$77.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$99.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in revenue was driven by continued expansion of existing customer relationships as well as revenue contributed by new customers. The increase in revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 and customers added since such date was approximately \$5.6 million and approximately \$16.7 million, respectively. Within the Other segment, growth was particularly strong among our high technology customers, where revenue increased approximately \$10.4 million over the second quarter of last year. The increase can also be attributed to leveraging sales and marketing investments in this business segment as well as greater acceptance of the onsite/offshore IT services delivery model.

Segment Operating Profit. Segment operating profit increased 28.0%, or approximately \$7.4 million from approximately \$26.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$33.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in segment operating profit was attributable primarily to increased revenues and the impact of the depreciation of the Indian rupee offset by additional headcount to support our revenue growth.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Revenues from external customers and segment operating profit, before unallocated expenses, for the Financial Services, Healthcare, Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics and Other reportable segments for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2008 | June 30, 2007 | Increase | % |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-------|
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Financial Services | \$ 606,541 | \$ 457,239 | \$ 149,302 | 32.7% |
| Healthcare | 324,152 | 228,665 | 95,487 | 41.8 |
| Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics | 204,358 | 146,997 | 57,361 | 39.0 |
| Other | 193,482 | 143,883 | 49,599 | 34.5 |
| Total revenues | \$ 1,328,533 | \$ 976,784 | \$ 351,749 | 36.0 |
| Segment Operating Profit: | | | | |
| Financial Services | \$ 213,180 | \$ 155,078 | \$ 58,102 | 37.5% |
| Healthcare | 131,065 | 81,260 | 49,805 | 61.3 |
| Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics | 71,597 | 45,328 | 26,269 | 58.0 |
| Other | 69,331 | 51,585 | 17,746 | 34.4 |

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|------|
| Total segment operating profit | \$ | 485,173 | \$ | 333,251 | \$ | 151,922 | 45.6 |
|--------------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|------|

Financial Services Segment

Revenue. Revenue increased by 32.7%, or approximately \$149.3 million, from approximately \$457.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$606.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in revenue was driven by continued expansion of existing customer relationships as well as new customers. The increase in revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 and customers added since such date was approximately \$140.7 million and approximately \$8.6 million,

Table of Contents

respectively. Within the segment, growth was particularly strong among our banking customers, where revenue increased approximately \$111.4 million over last year. The increase can also be attributed to leveraging sales and marketing investments in this business segment as well as greater acceptance of the onsite/offshore IT services delivery model.

Segment Operating Profit. Segment operating profit increased 37.5%, or approximately \$58.1 million, from approximately \$155.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$213.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in segment operating profit was attributable primarily to increased revenues and achieving operating efficiencies, including continued leverage on prior sales and marketing investments, partially offset by additional headcount to support our revenue growth, the impact of the appreciation of the Indian rupee and wage inflation, primarily in India.

Healthcare Segment

Revenue. Revenue increased by 41.8%, or approximately \$95.5 million, from approximately \$228.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$324.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in revenue was driven by continued expansion of existing customer relationships as well as new customers. The increase in revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 and customers added since such date was approximately \$70.8 million and approximately \$24.7 million, respectively. Within the segment, growth was particularly strong among our life sciences customers, where revenue increased by approximately \$67.8 million over last year. The increase can also be attributed to leveraging sales and marketing investments in this business segment as well as greater acceptance of the onsite/offshore IT services delivery model.

Segment Operating Profit. Segment operating profit increased 61.3%, or approximately \$49.8 million, from approximately \$81.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$131.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in segment operating profit was attributable primarily to increased revenues and achieving operating efficiencies, including continued leverage on prior sales and marketing investments, partially offset by additional headcount to support our revenue growth, the impact of the appreciation of the Indian rupee and wage inflation, primarily in India.

Manufacturing/Retail/Logistics Segment

Revenue. Revenue increased by 39.0%, or approximately \$57.4 million, from approximately \$147.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$204.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in revenue within the manufacturing, logistics and retail groups was driven by continued expansion of existing customer relationships as well as new customers. The increase in revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 and customers added since such date was approximately \$37.7 million and approximately \$19.7 million, respectively. The increase can also be attributed to leveraging sales and marketing investments in this business segment as well as greater acceptance of the onsite/offshore IT services delivery model.

Segment Operating Profit. Segment operating profit increased 58.0%, or approximately \$26.3 million, from approximately \$45.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$71.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in segment operating profit was attributable primarily to increased revenues and achieving operating efficiencies, including continued leverage on prior sales and marketing investments, partially offset by additional headcount to support our revenue growth, the impact of the appreciation of the Indian rupee and wage inflation, primarily in India.

Other Segment

Revenue. Revenue increased by 34.5%, or approximately \$49.6 million, from approximately \$143.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$193.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in revenue was primarily due to continued expansion of existing customer relationships as well as new customers. The increase in revenue from customers existing as of June 30, 2007 and customers added since such date was approximately \$24.8 million and approximately \$24.8 million, respectively. Within the Other segment, growth was particularly strong among our high technology customers, where revenue increased approximately \$25.8 million over last year. The increase can also be attributed to leveraging sales and marketing investments in this business segment and greater acceptance of the onsite/offshore IT services delivery model.

Segment Operating Profit. Segment operating profit increased 34.4%, or approximately \$17.7 million from approximately \$51.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 to approximately \$69.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in segment operating profit was attributable primarily to increased revenues offset by additional headcount to support our revenue growth, the impact of the appreciation of the Indian rupee and wage inflation, primarily in India.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$551.2 million. We have used, and plan to use, such cash for: (i) expansion of existing operations, including our offshore IT development centers; (ii) continued development of new service lines; (iii) possible acquisitions of related businesses; (iv) formation of joint ventures; (v) stock repurchases and

Table of Contents

(vi) general corporate purposes, including working capital. As of June 30, 2008, we had working capital of approximately \$940.2 million as compared to working capital of approximately \$901.5 million as of December 31, 2007. Accordingly, we do not anticipate any near-term liquidity issues.

Net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$97.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to approximately \$64.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase is primarily attributed to the increase in net income in 2008 partially offset by investments in working capital. Trade accounts receivable increased from approximately \$383.0 million at December 31, 2007 to approximately \$525.4 million at June 30, 2008. Unbilled accounts receivable increased from approximately \$53.5 million at December 31, 2007 to approximately \$57.7 million at June 30, 2008. The increase in trade accounts receivable and unbilled receivables as of June 30, 2008 was due primarily to increased revenues and a higher number of days of sales outstanding. We monitor turnover, aging and the collection of accounts receivable through the use of management reports that are prepared on a customer basis and evaluated by our finance staff. At June 30, 2008, our days of sales outstanding, including unbilled receivables, was approximately 77 days as compared to 67 days at December 31, 2007 and 71 days as of June 30, 2007.

Our investing activities provided cash of approximately \$25.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to a net use of cash of approximately \$128.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in cash from investing activities primarily relates to the conversion of a portion of our municipal bond auction rate securities investments to cash and cash equivalents partially offset by increased capital expenditure spending in 2008 to expand our offshore IT development centers and payments for acquisitions.

Our financing activities provided net cash of approximately \$55.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to approximately \$66.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease relates to a lower level of cash proceeds from the lower levels of excess income tax benefits generated on stock option exercises in 2008.

As of June 30, 2008, our long-term investments included \$162.1 million of AAA-rated auction-rate municipal debt securities that are collateralized by debt obligations supported by student loans, substantially backed by the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP). Since February 14, 2008, auctions failed for all the auction-rate securities still in our portfolio as of June 30, 2008. The current instability in the credit markets has affected our ability to liquidate these securities in the short term. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs, the issuer calls or restructures the underlying security, the underlying security matures or a buyer outside the auction process emerges. We believe that the failed auctions experienced to date are not a result of the deterioration of the underlying credit quality of the securities. During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, we recognized a pre-tax unrealized loss of \$0.6 million relating to these securities, which we believe is not other-than-temporary and was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in our consolidated statement of financial position. However, if such losses become other-than-temporary in the future, we would record an impairment charge against net income at that time.

We believe we will be able to recover our investment in auction-rate municipal debt securities due to: (i) the strength of the underlying collateral, substantially backed by FFELP, (ii) the AAA credit rating of the securities held by us, (iii) recent news that certain municipal issuers of auction-rate securities with failed auctions have announced plans to call such securities and (iv) recent calls and announcements of calls of securities held by us. All of the auction-rate municipal debt securities held by us are callable by the issuer at par. If future auctions continue to fail, we believe the issuers of the auction-rate securities held by us will likely continue to call these securities to avoid paying the higher penalty interest rates associated with failed auctions. However, it could take until the final maturity of the underlying securities (up to 33 years) to realize our investments' recorded value. Based on our expected operating cash flows, and our other sources of cash, we do not anticipate the lack of liquidity on these investments will affect our ability to execute current and planned operations and needs for at least the next 12 months.

Our ability to expand and grow our business in accordance with current plans, to make acquisitions and form joint ventures and to meet our long-term capital requirements beyond a 12-month period will depend on many factors, including the rate, if any, at which our cash flow increases, our ability and willingness to accomplish acquisitions and joint ventures with capital stock, our continued intent to permanently reinvest earnings from India and the availability of public and private debt and equity financing. We cannot be certain that additional financing, if required, will be available on terms favorable to us, if at all.

Commitments and Contingencies

Our current India real estate development program now includes planned construction of approximately 4.3 million feet of new space. The expanded program, which commenced during the quarter ended March 31, 2007, includes the expenditure of approximately \$330 million on land acquisition, facilities construction and furnishings to build new state-of-the-art IT development centers in regions primarily designated as Special Economic Zones located in India. As of June 30, 2008, we had outstanding fixed capital commitments of approximately \$93.9 million related to our India development center expansion program.

Table of Contents

In connection with the acquisition of substantially all the assets of Strategic Vision Consulting, Inc., which we refer to as SVC, additional purchase price, not to exceed \$14.0 million, is contingent on SVC achieving certain financial and operating targets during an earn-out period. We will fund such payment, if any, from operating cash flow. Contingent purchase price payments relating to acquisitions are recorded when the contingencies are resolved. The contingent consideration, if paid, will be recorded as an additional element of the cost of the acquired company.

We are involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such claims and legal actions, if decided adversely, is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our quarterly or annual operating results, cash flows, or consolidated financial position. Additionally, many of our engagements involve projects that are critical to the operations of our customers' businesses and provide benefits that are difficult to quantify. Any failure in a customer's computer system could result in a claim for substantial damages against us, regardless of our responsibility for such failure. Although we attempt to contractually limit our liability for damages arising from negligent acts, errors, mistakes, or omissions in rendering our application design, development and maintenance services, there can be no assurance that the limitations of liability set forth in our contracts will be enforceable in all instances or will otherwise protect us from liability for damages. Although we have general liability insurance coverage, including coverage for errors or omissions, there can be no assurance that such coverage will continue to be available on reasonable terms or will be sufficient in amount to cover one or more large claims, or that the insurer will not disclaim coverage as to any future claim. The successful assertion of one or more large claims against us that exceed available insurance coverage or changes in our insurance policies, including premium increases or the imposition of large deductible or co-insurance requirements, could have a material adverse effect on our quarterly and annual operating results, financial position and cash flows.

Foreign Currency Translation

Overall, we believe that we have limited revenue risk resulting from movement in foreign exchange rates as approximately 78% of our revenues for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 are generated from customers located in North America. However, a portion of our costs in India, representing approximately 31% of our global operating costs for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, are denominated in the Indian rupee and subject to foreign exchange rate fluctuations, which has an impact on our results of operations. In addition, a portion of our balance sheet is exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations, which may result in non-operating foreign exchange transaction gains and losses. On an ongoing basis, we manage a portion of this risk by limiting our net monetary asset exposure to the Indian rupee in our Indian subsidiaries.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which provides a one year deferral of the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements at fair value at least annually. Therefore, we have adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 with respect to our financial assets and liabilities only. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under SFAS No. 157 as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value under SFAS No. 157 must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The standard describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value which are the following:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS No. 159), which is effective for our financial statements beginning

Table of Contents

January 1, 2008. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to measure eligible financial assets, financial liabilities and firm commitments at fair value, on an instrument-by-instrument basis, that are otherwise not permitted to be accounted for at fair value under other generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value measurement election is irrevocable and subsequent changes in fair value must be recorded in earnings. Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 159 and have chosen not to elect the fair value option for any items that are not already required to be measured at fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141(R)). This Statement replaces FASB Statement No. 141, *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how an acquiring company: recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree; recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) further changes the accounting treatment for certain specific items, including: acquisition costs will be generally expensed as incurred; acquired contingent liabilities will be recorded at fair value at the acquisition date and subsequently measured at either the higher of such amount or the amount determined under existing guidance for non-acquired contingencies; in-process research and development will be recorded at fair value as an indefinite-lived intangible asset at the acquisition date; restructuring costs associated with a business combination will be generally expensed subsequent to the acquisition date; and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally will affect income tax expense. SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to our business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest, and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS No. 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS No. 160 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the potential impact that SFAS No. 160 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Effects of Inflation

Our most significant costs are the salaries and related benefits for our programming staff and other professionals. Competition in India, the United States and Europe for professionals with advanced technical skills necessary to perform our services offered have caused wages to increase at a rate greater than the general rate of inflation. As with other IT service providers, we must adequately anticipate wage increases, particularly on our fixed-price contracts. There can be no assurance that we will be able to recover cost increases through increases in the prices that we charge for our services in the United States and elsewhere. We have experienced wage inflation in India; however, this has not had a material impact on our results of operations as Indian wages represented less than 20% of our total operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

Forward Looking Statements

The statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) that involve risks and uncertainties. Such forward-looking statements may be identified by, among other things, the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should or anticipates or negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. From time to time, we or our representatives have made or may make forward-looking statements, orally or in writing. Such forward-looking statements may be included in various filings made by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or press releases or oral statements made by or with the approval of one of our authorized executive officers. These forward-looking statements, such as statements regarding anticipated future revenues, earnings, capital expenditures and other statements regarding matters that are not historical facts, involve predictions. Our actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from the results expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. There are a number of important factors that could cause our results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. These factors include those set forth in Item 1A. *Risk Factors* .

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk in the ordinary course of doing business as we transact or hold a portion of our funds in foreign currencies, particularly the Indian rupee. Accordingly, we periodically evaluate the need for hedging

Table of Contents

strategies, including the use of derivative financial instruments, to mitigate the effect of foreign currency fluctuations and may use such instruments in the future to reduce foreign currency exposure to appreciation or depreciation in the value of certain foreign currencies. In 2008 and 2007, we have not entered into any hedging contracts or off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons that are likely to affect liquidity or the availability of or requirements for capital resources.

We do not believe we are exposed to material direct risks associated with changes in interest rates other than with our cash and cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments. As of June 30, 2008, we had \$713.3 million of cash and cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments which are impacted almost immediately by changes in short-term interest rates. We limit our credit risk by investing primarily in AAA/Aaa rated securities as rated by Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch rating services and restricting amounts that can be invested with any single issuer.

As of June 30, 2008, our long-term investments included \$162.1 million of AAA-rated auction-rate municipal debt securities that are collateralized by debt obligations supported by student loans, substantially backed by the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP). Since February 14, 2008, auctions failed for all the auction-rate securities in our portfolio as of June 30, 2008. The current instability in the credit markets has affected our ability to liquidate these securities in the short term. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs, the issuer calls or restructures the underlying security, the underlying security matures or a buyer outside the auction process emerges. We believe that the failed auctions experienced to date are not a result of the deterioration of the underlying credit quality of the securities. During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, we recognized a pre-tax unrealized loss of \$0.6 million relating to these securities, which we believe is not other-than-temporary and was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in our consolidated statement of financial position. However, if such losses become other-than-temporary in the future, we would record an impairment charge against net income at that time.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2008. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2008, our disclosure controls and procedures were (1) effective in that they were designed to ensure that material information relating to us, including our consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to our chief executive officer and chief financial officer by others within those entities, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures, and (2) effective in that they provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in our reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Factors That May Affect Future Results

In addition to the risks and uncertainties described elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, if any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects could be materially adversely affected. In such case, the trading price of our Common Stock could decline.

A substantial portion of our assets and operations are located in India and we are subject to regulatory, economic and political uncertainties in India.

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

We intend to continue to develop and expand our offshore facilities in India where, as of June 30, 2008, a majority of our technical professionals were located. While wage costs are lower in India than in the United States and other developed countries for comparably skilled professionals, wages in India are increasing at a faster rate than in the United States, which could result in our incurring increased costs for technical professionals and reduced operating margins. In addition, there is intense competition in India for skilled technical professionals and we expect that competition to increase.

India has also experienced civil unrest and terrorism and has been involved in conflicts with neighboring countries. In recent years, there have been military confrontations between India and Pakistan that have occurred in the region of Kashmir and along the

Table of Contents

India-Pakistan border. The potential for hostilities between the two countries has been high in light of tensions related to recent terrorist incidents in India and the unsettled nature of the regional geopolitical environment, including events in and related to Afghanistan and Iraq. If India were to become engaged in armed hostilities, particularly if these hostilities were protracted or involved the threat of or use of weapons of mass destruction, our operations would be materially adversely affected. In addition, U.S. companies may decline to contract with us for services in light of international terrorist incidents or armed hostilities even where India is not involved because of more generalized concerns about relying on a service provider utilizing international resources.

In the past, the Indian economy has experienced many of the problems confronting the economies of developing countries, including high inflation, erratic gross domestic product growth and shortages of foreign exchange. Recently, the current Indian government won a vote of confidence by a narrow margin. The Indian government has exercised and continues to exercise significant influence over many aspects of the Indian economy, and Indian government actions concerning the economy could have a material adverse effect on private sector entities, including us. In the past, the Indian government has provided significant tax incentives and relaxed certain regulatory restrictions in order to encourage foreign investment in specified sectors of the economy, including the software development services industry. Programs that have benefited us include, among others, tax holidays, liberalized import and export duties and preferential rules on foreign investment and repatriation. Notwithstanding these benefits, India's central and state governments remain significantly involved in the Indian economy as regulators. In recent years, the Indian government has introduced non-income related taxes, including the fringe benefit tax and new service taxes, and income related taxes, including the Minimum Alternative Tax. A change in government leadership in India or change in policies of the existing government in India that results in the elimination of any of the benefits realized by us from our Indian operations or the imposition of new taxes could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are investing substantial cash assets in new facilities and physical infrastructure, and our profitability could be reduced if our business does not grow proportionately.

As of June 30, 2008, we had contractual commitments of approximately \$93.9 million related to capital expenditures on construction or expansion of our IT development centers. We may encounter cost overruns or project delays in connection with new facilities. These expansions will likely increase our fixed costs and if we are unable to grow our business and revenues proportionately, our profitability will be reduced.

Our international sales and operations are subject to many uncertainties.

Revenues from customers outside North America represented approximately 22% of our revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 17% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2007. We anticipate that revenues from customers outside North America will continue to account for a material portion of our revenues in the foreseeable future and may increase as we expand our international presence, particularly in Europe. In addition, a majority of our employees and almost all of our IT development centers are located in India. As a result, we may be subject to risks associated with international operations, including risks associated with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations and risks associated with the application and imposition of protective legislation and regulations relating to import or export or otherwise resulting from foreign policy or the variability of foreign economic conditions. From time to time, we may engage in hedging transactions to mitigate our risks relating to exchange rate fluctuations. Additional risks associated with international operations include difficulties in enforcing intellectual property rights, the burdens of complying with a wide variety of foreign laws, potentially adverse tax consequences, tariffs, quotas and other barriers and potential difficulties in collecting accounts receivable. In addition, we may face competition in other countries from companies that may have more experience with operations in such countries or with international operations. We may also face difficulties integrating new facilities in different countries into our existing operations, as well as integrating employees that we hire in different countries into our existing corporate culture. Our international expansion plans may not be successful and we may not be able to compete effectively in other countries. There can be no assurance that these and other factors will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our operating results may be adversely affected by fluctuations in the Indian rupee and other foreign currency exchange rates.

Although we report our operating results in U.S. dollars, a portion of our revenues and expenses are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates can have a number of adverse effects on us. Because our consolidated financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars, we must translate revenues, expenses and income, as well as assets and liabilities, into U.S. dollars at exchange rates in effect during or at the end of each reporting period. Therefore, changes in the value of the U.S. dollar against other currencies will affect our revenues, income from operations and the value of balance-sheet items originally denominated in other currencies. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, the appreciation of the Indian rupee versus the U.S. dollar negatively impacted our operating margins by 190 basis points or 1.9 percentage points as compared to June 30, 2007. There is no guarantee that our financial results will not be adversely affected by currency exchange rate fluctuations, including further appreciation of the Indian rupee versus the U.S. dollar, or that any efforts by us to engage in currency hedging activities would be

Table of Contents

effective. In addition, in some countries we could be subject to strict restrictions on the movement of cash and the exchange of foreign currencies, which could limit our ability to use this cash across our global operations. Finally, as we continue to leverage our global delivery model, more of our expenses are incurred in currencies other than those in which we bill for the related services. An increase in the value of certain currencies, such as the Indian rupee, against the U.S. dollar could increase costs for delivery of services at off-shore sites by increasing labor and other costs that are denominated in local currency.

We face intense competition from other IT service providers.

The intensely competitive IT professional services market includes a large number of participants and is subject to rapid change. This market includes participants from a variety of market segments, including:

systems integration firms;

contract programming companies;

application software companies;

Internet solutions providers;

the professional services groups of computer equipment companies; and

infrastructure management and outsourcing companies.

The market also includes numerous smaller local competitors in the various geographic markets in which we operate. Our direct competitors who use the on-site/offshore business model include, among others, Infosys Technologies, Tata Consultancy Services and WIPRO. In addition, many of our competitors have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources and greater name recognition than we do. Some of these larger competitors, such as Accenture, Electronic Data Systems and IBM Global Services, have offshore operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to sustain our current levels of profitability or growth as competitive pressures, including competition for skilled IT development professionals and pricing pressure from competitors employing an on-site/offshore business model, increase.

We may not be able to sustain our current level of profitability.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and the year ended December 31, 2007, we had an operating margin of 17.4% and 17.9%, respectively. Our operating margin has declined as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, which required us to record stock compensation expense for equity-based compensation awards, primarily stock option grants by us, in our consolidated statement of operations effective January 1, 2006. In addition, effective April 1, 2007, the government in India has imposed a fringe benefit tax on the company for the income generated upon the exercise of stock options or vesting of performance stock units for employees who worked in India during the vesting period for such award. Although we recover the fringe benefit tax from the employee's proceeds upon sale or vesting of the stock-based compensation award, we are required under U.S. GAAP to record the fringe benefit tax as an operating expense, reducing our profitability, while the recovery of the fringe benefit tax by us from the employee is reported as an addition to additional paid-in capital. Our operating margin may decline further if we experience declines in demand and pricing for our services, imposition of new non-income related taxes or due to adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In addition, wages in India are increasing at a faster rate than in the United States, which could result in us incurring increased costs for technical professionals. Additionally, the number and type of equity-based compensation awards and the assumptions used in valuing equity-based compensation awards may change resulting in increased stock compensation expense and lower margins. Although we have been able to partially offset wage increases and foreign currency fluctuations through further leveraging of our low-cost operating structure, obtaining price increases, and issuing a lower number of stock options and other equity-based compensation awards in proportion to our overall headcount, we cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to do so in the future.

Our business will suffer if we fail to develop new services and enhance our existing services in order to keep pace with the rapidly evolving technological environment.

The IT services market is characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, changing customer preferences and new product and service introductions. Our future success will depend on our ability to develop solutions that keep pace with changes in the IT services market. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in developing new services addressing evolving technologies on a timely or cost-effective basis or, if these services are developed, that we will be successful in the marketplace. In addition, we cannot assure you that products, services or technologies developed by others will not render our services non-competitive or obsolete. Our failure to address these developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our ability to remain competitive will also depend on our ability to design and implement, in a timely and cost-effective manner, solutions for customers that both leverage their legacy systems and appropriately utilize newer technologies such as Web 2.0 models, software-as-a-service, and service oriented architectures. Our failure to design and implement solutions in a timely and cost-effective manner could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

We may face difficulties in providing end-to-end business solutions for our clients that could cause clients to discontinue their work with us, which in turn could harm our business.

We have been expanding the nature and scope of our engagements and have added new service offerings, such as IT consulting, business process outsourcing, systems integration and outsourcing of entire portions of IT infrastructure. The success of these service offerings is dependent, in part, upon continued demand for such services by our existing and new clients and our ability to meet this demand in a cost-competitive and effective manner. In addition, our ability to effectively offer a wider breadth of end-to-end business solutions depends on our ability to attract existing or new clients to these service offerings. To obtain engagements for such end-to-end solutions, we also are more likely to compete with large, well-established international consulting firms, resulting in increased competition and marketing costs. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that our new service offerings will effectively meet client needs or that we will be able to attract existing and new clients to these service offerings.

The increased breadth of our service offerings may result in larger and more complex projects with our clients. This will require us to establish closer relationships with our clients and a thorough understanding of their operations. Our ability to establish such relationships will depend on a number of factors, including the proficiency of our IT professionals and our management personnel. Our failure to understand our client requirements or our failure to deliver services which meet the requirements specified by our clients could result in termination of client contracts, and we could be liable to our clients for significant penalties or damages.

Larger projects may involve multiple engagements or stages, and there is a risk that a client may choose not to retain us for additional stages or may cancel or delay additional planned engagements. These terminations, cancellations or delays may result from the business or financial condition of our clients or the economy generally, as opposed to factors related to the quality of our services. Such cancellations or delays make it difficult to plan for project resource requirements, and inaccuracies in such resource planning may have a negative impact on our profitability.

Our results of operations may be affected by the rate of growth in the use of technology in business and the type and level of technology spending by our clients.

Our business depends in part upon continued growth in the use of technology in business by our clients and prospective clients and their customers and suppliers. In challenging economic environments, our clients may reduce or defer their spending on new technologies in order to focus on other priorities. At the same time, many companies have already invested substantial resources in their current means of conducting commerce and exchanging information, and they may be reluctant or slow to adopt new approaches that could disrupt existing personnel, processes and infrastructures. If the growth of use of technology in business or our clients' spending on technology in business declines, or if we cannot convince our clients or potential clients to embrace new technology solutions, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Competition for highly skilled technical personnel is intense and the success of our business depends on our ability to attract and retain highly skilled professionals.

Our future success will depend to a significant extent on our ability to attract, train and retain highly skilled IT development professionals. In particular, we need to attract, train and retain project managers, IT engineers and other senior technical personnel. We believe there is a shortage of, and significant competition for, IT development professionals in the United States and India with the advanced technological skills necessary to perform the services we offer. We have subcontracted, to a limited extent in the past, and may do so in the future, with other service providers in order to meet our obligations to our customers. Our ability to maintain and renew existing engagements and obtain new business will depend, in large part, on our ability to attract, train and retain technical personnel with the skills that keep pace with continuing changes in information technology, evolving industry standards and changing customer preferences. Further, we must train and manage our growing work force, requiring an increase in the level of responsibility for both existing and new management personnel. We cannot assure you that the management skills and systems currently in place will be adequate or that we will be able to train and assimilate new employees successfully. Our failure to attract, train and retain current or future employees could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our growth may be hindered by immigration restrictions.

Our future success will depend on our ability to attract and retain employees with technical and project management skills from developing countries, especially India. The vast majority of our IT professionals in the United States and in Europe are Indian nationals. The ability of Indian nationals to work in the United States depends on their ability and our ability to obtain the necessary visas and work permits.

Table of Contents

The H-1B visa classification enables U.S. employers to hire qualified foreign workers in positions that require an education at least equal to a Baccalaureate Degree in the United States in specialty occupations such as IT systems engineering and systems analysis. The H-1B visa usually permits an individual to work and live in the United States for a period of up to six years. Under certain circumstances, H-1B visa extensions after the six-year period may be available. There is a limit on the number of new H-1B petitions that United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, or CIS, one of the successor agencies to the Immigration and Naturalization Service, may approve in any federal fiscal year, and in years in which this limit is reached, we may be unable to obtain H-1B visas necessary to bring foreign employees to the United States. Currently, the limit is 65,000 for holders of United States or United States-equivalent bachelor degrees (the general cap), and 20,000 for holders of advanced degrees from United States post-secondary educational institutions. For fiscal year 2009 CIS reached its general cap, as well as its cap for United States advanced degree holders on April 8, 2008. We will be able to file H-1B petitions with CIS against the fiscal year 2010 caps beginning April 1, 2009 for work in H-1B status beginning on October 1, 2010. However, as a part of our advanced planning process, we believe that we have sufficient employees visa-ready to meet our anticipated business growth in the current year. In addition, there are strict labor regulations associated with the H-1B visa classification. Larger users of the H-1B visa program are often subject to investigations by the Wage and Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor. A finding by the United States Department of Labor of willful or substantial failure by us to comply with existing regulations on the H-1B classification may result in back-pay liability, substantial fines, and/or a ban on future use of the H-1B program and other immigration benefits. We are currently subject to such an investigation as described in the immediately following risk factor.

We also regularly transfer employees from India to the United States to work on projects and at client sites, using the L-1 visa classification. The L-1 visa allows companies abroad to transfer certain managers, executives and employees with specialized company knowledge to related United States companies such as a parent, subsidiary, affiliate, joint venture, or branch office. We have an approved Blanket L Program, under which the corporate relationships of our transferring and receiving entities have been pre-approved by the CIS, thus enabling individual L-1 visa applications to be presented directly to a visa-issuing United States consular post abroad rather than undergoing the pre-approval process through CIS in the United States. In recent years, both the United States consular posts that review initial L-1 applications and the CIS offices, which adjudicate petitions for extensions of L-1 status, have become more restrictive with respect to this category. As a result, the rate of refusals of initial L-1 petitions and of extensions has increased. In addition, even where L-1 visas are ultimately granted and issued, security measures undertaken by United States consular posts around the world have delayed visa issuances. Our inability to bring qualified technical personnel into the United States to staff on-site customer locations would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

On December 8, 2004, President Bush signed the L-1 Visa Reform Act, which was part of the fiscal year 2005 Omnibus Appropriations Act (Public Law 108-447 at Division J, Title IV). This legislation contained several important changes to the laws governing L-1 visa holders. All of the changes took effect on June 8, 2005. Under one provision of the new law, all L-1 applicants, including those brought to the United States under a Blanket L Program, must have worked abroad with the related company for one full year in the prior three years. The provision allowing Blanket L applicants who had worked abroad for the related company for six months during the qualifying three-year period was revoked. In addition, L-1B holders (intracompany transferees with specialized company knowledge) may not be primarily stationed at the work site of another employer if the L-1B holder will be controlled and supervised by an employer other than the petitioning employer. Finally, L-1B status may not be granted where placement of the L-1B visa holder at a third party site is part of an arrangement to provide labor for the third party, rather than placement at the site in connection with the provision of a product or service involving specialized knowledge specific to the petitioning employer.

We do not place L-1B workers at third party sites where they are under the primary supervision of a different employer, nor do we place L-1B workers at third party sites in an arrangement to provide labor for the third party, without providing a service involving our specialized knowledge. Since implementation of the new law, we consistently establish this fact to CIS's satisfaction. However, if CIS and/or the United States Department of State, through its visa-issuing consular posts abroad, decide to interpret these provisions in a very restrictive fashion, this could impair our ability to staff our projects in the United States with resources from our entities abroad. In addition, CIS has not yet issued regulations governing these new provisions. If such regulations are restrictive in nature, this could impair our ability to staff our projects in the United States with resources from our entities abroad.

We also process immigrant visas for lawful permanent residence for employees to fill positions for which there are no able, willing, and qualified United States workers available to fill the positions. Compliance with existing United States immigration and labor laws, or changes in those laws making it more difficult to hire foreign nationals or limiting our ability to successfully obtain permanent residence for our foreign employees in the United States, could require us to incur additional unexpected labor costs and expenses or could restrain our ability to retain the skilled professionals we need for our operations in the United States. Any of these restrictions or limitations on our hiring practices could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition to immigration restrictions in the United States, there are certain restrictions on transferring our employees to work in the United Kingdom, where we have experienced significant growth. The United Kingdom requires that employees who are not

Table of Contents

nationals of the European Economic Area (EEA), which includes nationals of all European Union countries (except Bulgaria and Romania) plus Iceland, Norway, Liechtenstein, and Switzerland, obtain an intra-company transfer work permit before beginning to perform work. Under the work permit regulations, for us to transfer our non-EEA employees to the United Kingdom, we must demonstrate that the employee had been employed by us for at least six months prior to the transfer and that the position in the United Kingdom requires someone with either: (1) a United Kingdom equivalent degree level qualification; or (2) a Higher National Diploma (HND) level occupational qualification which is relevant to the UK position; or (3) a general HND level qualification, which is not relevant to the UK position, plus one year's work experience doing the type of job for which the work permit is sought; or (4) at least three years of full time experience using high-level specialist skills acquired by performing the type of job for which the work permit is sought. These restrictions restrain our ability to add the skilled professionals we need for our operations in Europe and could have an adverse affect on our international strategy to expand our presence in Europe. As a result, the work permit legislation in the United Kingdom could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Immigration and work permit laws and regulations in the United States, the United Kingdom, and other countries are subject to legislative and administrative changes as well as changes in the application of standards and enforcement. Immigration and work permit laws and regulation can be significantly affected by political forces and levels of economic activity. Our international expansion strategy and our business, results of operations, and financial condition may be materially adversely affected if changes in immigration and work permit laws and regulations or the administration or enforcement of such laws or regulations impair our ability to staff projects with IT professionals who are not citizens of the country where the work is to be performed.

Our results of operations and business may be affected by an investigation currently being conducted by the Wage and Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor.

There are strict labor regulations associated with the H-1B visa classification. Larger users of the H-1B visa program are often subject to investigations by the Wage and Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor. The Department of Labor has commenced an investigation to determine if we have complied with the elements of the Labor Condition Application(s) (ETA Form 9035) used by us to hire certain H-1B non-immigrant workers. We believe the Department of Labor is primarily focused on whether our employees with H-1B renewals were paid at the appropriate pay level. We do not currently have a timetable in which this investigation will be concluded. While we believe we have complied with the applicable regulations, an adverse finding by the United States Department of Labor may result in back-pay liability, substantial fines, and/or a ban on future use of the H-1B program and other immigration benefits, which could potentially have a harmful effect on our business and results of operations.

Our global operations expose us to numerous and sometimes conflicting legal and regulatory requirements, and violation of these regulations could harm our business.

Because we provide services to clients throughout the world, we are subject to numerous, and sometimes conflicting, legal rules on matters as diverse as import/export controls, content requirements, trade restrictions, tariffs, taxation, sanctions, government affairs, internal and disclosure control obligations, data privacy and labor relations. Violations of these regulations in the conduct of our business could result in fines, criminal sanctions against us or our officers, prohibitions on doing business and damage to our reputation. Violations of these regulations in connection with the performance of our obligations to our clients also could result in liability for monetary damages, fines and/or criminal prosecution, unfavorable publicity, restrictions on our ability to process information and allegations by our clients that we have not performed our contractual obligations. Due to the varying degrees of development of the legal systems of the countries in which we operate, local laws might be insufficient to protect our rights. Our failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Anti-outsourcing legislation, if adopted, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and impair our ability to service our customers.

The issue of companies outsourcing services to organizations operating in other countries is a topic of political discussion in many countries, including the United States, which is our largest market. For example, measures aimed at limiting or restricting outsourcing by U.S. companies are under discussion in Congress and in numerous state legislatures to address concerns over the perceived association between offshore outsourcing and the loss of jobs in the United States. While no substantive anti-outsourcing legislation has been introduced to date, given the ongoing debate over this issue, the introduction of such legislation is possible. If introduced, such measures are likely to fall within two categories: (1) a broadening of restrictions on outsourcing by federal and state government agencies and on government contracts with firms that outsource services directly or indirectly, and/or (2) measures that impact private industry, such as tax disincentives or intellectual property transfer restrictions. In the event that any of these measures become law, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected and our ability to service our customers could be impaired.

Table of Contents

In addition, from time to time there has been publicity about negative experiences associated with offshore outsourcing, such as theft and misappropriation of sensitive client data, particularly involving service providers in India. Current or prospective clients may elect to perform certain services themselves or may be discouraged from transferring services from onshore to offshore providers to avoid negative perceptions that may be associated with using an offshore provider. Any slowdown or reversal of existing industry trends toward offshore outsourcing would seriously harm our ability to compete effectively with competitors that provide services from within the country in which our clients operate.

Legislation enacted in certain European jurisdictions and any future legislation in Europe, Japan or any other country in which we have clients restricting the performance of business process services from an offshore location could also have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. For example, new legislation recently enacted in the United Kingdom, based on the 1977 EC Acquired Rights Directive that has been adopted in some form by many European Union, or EU, countries, provides that if a company outsources all or part of its business to a service provider or changes its current service provider, the affected employees of the company or of the previous service provider are entitled to become employees of the new service provider, generally on the same terms and conditions as their original employment. In addition, dismissals of employees who were employed by the company or the previous service provider immediately prior to that transfer are automatically considered unfair dismissals that entitle such employees to compensation. As a result, in order to avoid unfair dismissal claims we may have to offer, and become liable for, voluntary redundancy payments to the employees of our clients in the United Kingdom and other EU countries who have adopted similar laws who outsource business to us. This legislation may materially affect our ability to obtain new business from companies in the EU and to provide outsourced services to companies in the EU in a cost-effective manner.

Hostilities involving the United States, the United Kingdom, and other countries in which we provide on-site services to our clients, and other acts of terrorism, violence or war could delay or reduce the number of new purchase orders we receive and impair our ability to service our customers, thereby adversely affecting our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Hostilities involving the United States and other acts of terrorism, violence or war, such as the attacks of September 11, 2001 in the United States, the attacks of July 7, 2005 in the United Kingdom, and the continuing conflict in Iraq, could materially adversely affect our operations and our ability to service our customers. Hostilities involving the United States, the United Kingdom, and other countries in which we provide on-site services to our clients could cause customers in these countries to delay their decisions on IT spending, which could affect our financial results. In addition, acts of terrorism, violence or war could give rise to military or travel disruptions and restrictions affecting our employees. As of June 30, 2008, a majority of our technical professionals were located in India, and the vast majority of our technical professionals in the United States and Europe were Indian nationals who were able to work in the United States and Europe only because they held current visas and work permits. Travel restrictions could cause us to incur additional unexpected labor costs and expenses or could restrain our ability to retain the skilled professionals we need for our operations in the United States and Europe.

Although we continue to believe that we have a strong competitive position in the United States, we continue to increase our efforts to geographically diversify our clients and revenue. Despite our efforts to diversify, hostilities involving the United States the United Kingdom, and other countries in which we provide on-site services to our clients, and other acts of terrorism, violence or war may reduce the demand for our services and negatively affect our revenues and profitability.

Our revenues are highly dependent on clients primarily located in the United States and Europe, as well as on clients concentrated in certain industries, and economic slowdowns or factors that affect the economic health of the United States, Europe or these industries may affect our business.

Approximately 79% of our revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2008 were derived from customers located in North America. In the same period, approximately 20% of our revenues were derived from customers located in Europe. If the United States or European economy weakens or otherwise slows, pricing for our services may be depressed and our customers may reduce or postpone their technology spending significantly, which may in turn lower the demand for our services and negatively affect our revenues and profitability. Additionally, any recession in the United States economy could have an adverse impact on our revenues because a large portion of our revenues are derived from the United States. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2008, we earned approximately 46% of our revenues from the financial services and insurance industries. Any significant decrease in the growth of the financial services industry, or significant consolidation in that industry or decrease in growth or consolidation in other industry segments on which we focus, could reduce the demand for our services and negatively affect our revenues and profitability.

Our ability to operate and compete effectively could be impaired if we lose key personnel or if we cannot attract additional qualified personnel.

Our future performance depends to a significant degree upon the continued service of the key members of our management team, as well as marketing, sales and technical personnel, and our ability to attract and retain new management and other personnel.

Table of Contents

We do not maintain key man life insurance on any of our executive officers or significant employees. Competition for personnel is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to retain our key employees or that we will be successful in attracting and retaining new personnel in the future. The loss of any one or more of our key personnel or the failure to attract and retain key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Restrictions in non-competition agreements with our executive officers may not be enforceable.

We have entered into non-competition agreements with our executive officers. We cannot assure you, however, that the restrictions in these agreements prohibiting such executive officers from engaging in competitive activities are enforceable. Further, substantially all of our professional non-executive staff are not covered by agreements that would prohibit them from working for our competitors. If any of our key professional personnel leaves our employment and joins one of our competitors, our business could be adversely affected.

Our earnings may be adversely affected if we change our intent not to repatriate earnings in India.

Effective January 1, 2002, pursuant to Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 23, Accounting for Income Taxes-Special Areas, we no longer accrue incremental U.S. taxes on all Indian earnings recognized in 2002 and subsequent periods as these earnings are considered to be indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States. While we have no plans to do so, events may occur in the future that could effectively force us to change our intent on repatriating Indian earnings. If we change our intent and repatriate such earnings, we will have to accrue the applicable amount of taxes associated with such earnings and pay taxes at a substantially higher rate than our effective income tax rate in 2008. These increased taxes could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Over the next few years we will lose certain tax benefits provided by India to companies in our industry.

Our Indian subsidiaries are export-oriented companies, which, under the Indian Income Tax Act of 1961, are entitled to claim tax holidays for a period of ten consecutive years for each Software Technology Park (STP) with respect to export profits for each STP. Substantially all of the earnings of our Indian subsidiaries are attributable to export profits. The majority of our STPs in India are currently entitled to a 100% exemption from Indian income tax. Under current law, these tax holidays will be completely phased out by March 31, 2010. Under current Indian tax law, export profits after March 31, 2010 from our existing STPs will be fully taxable at the Indian statutory rate (33.99% as of June 30, 2008) in effect at such time. If the tax holidays relating to our Indian STPs are not extended or new tax incentives are not introduced that would effectively extend the income tax holiday benefits beyond March 31, 2010, we expect that our effective income tax rate would increase significantly beginning in calendar year 2010.

In anticipation of the complete phase out of the tax holidays on March 31, 2010, we expect to locate a portion of our new development centers in areas designated as Special Economic Zones (SEZ). Development centers operating in SEZ will be entitled to certain income tax incentives for periods of up to 15 years. In the past year, the Indian government announced a number of changes in its policies applicable to SEZs. The Indian government has discussed making further changes in the SEZ policies. Certain of our development centers currently operate in SEZs and many of our future planned development centers are likely to operate in SEZs. A change in the Indian government's policies affecting SEZs in a manner that adversely impacts the incentives for establishing and operating facilities in SEZs could have a material adverse effect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If our pricing structures do not accurately anticipate the cost and complexity of performing our work, then our contracts could be unprofitable.

We negotiate pricing terms with our clients utilizing a range of pricing structures and conditions. We contract to provide services either on a time-and-materials basis or on a fixed-price basis. Our pricing is highly dependent on our internal forecasts and predictions about our projects and the marketplace, which might be based on limited data and could turn out to be inaccurate. If we do not accurately estimate the costs and timing for completing projects, our contracts could prove unprofitable for us or yield lower profit margins than anticipated. We face a number of risks when pricing our contracts, as many of our projects entail the coordination of operations and workforces in multiple locations and utilizing workforces with different skill sets and competencies across geographically distributed service locations. Our pricing, cost and profit margin estimates for the work that we perform frequently include anticipated long-term cost savings from transformational and other initiatives that we expect to achieve and sustain over the life of the contract. There is a risk that we will underprice our projects, fail to accurately estimate the costs of performing the work or fail to accurately assess the risks associated with potential contracts. In particular, any increased or unexpected costs, delays or failures to achieve anticipated cost savings, or unexpected risks we encounter in connection with the performance of this work, including those caused by factors outside our control, could make these contracts less profitable or unprofitable, which could have an adverse effect on our profit margin.

Table of Contents

In addition, a significant portion of our projects are on a fixed-price basis, subjecting us to the foregoing risks to an even greater extent. Fixed-price contracts accounted for approximately 26% of our revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008. We expect that an increasing number of our future projects will be contracted on a fixed-price basis. In addition to the other risks described in the paragraph above, we bear the risk of cost over-runs and operating cost inflation in connection with projects covered by fixed-price contracts. Our failure to estimate accurately the resources and time required for a fixed-price project, or our failure to complete our contractual obligations within the time frame committed, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we do not continue to improve our operational, financial and other internal controls and systems to manage our rapid growth, our business may suffer and the value of our shareholders' investment may be harmed.

Our anticipated growth will continue to place significant demands on our management and other resources. Our growth will require us to continue to develop and improve our operational, financial and other internal controls, both in the United States, India and elsewhere. In particular, our continued growth will increase the challenges involved in:

recruiting and retaining sufficiently skilled technical, marketing and management personnel;

adhering to our high quality standards;

maintaining high levels of client satisfaction;

developing and improving our internal administrative infrastructure, particularly our financial, operational, communications and other internal systems; and

preserving our culture, values and entrepreneurial environment.

As part of our growth strategy, we are expanding our operations in Europe, Asia and Latin America. We may not be able to compete effectively in these markets and the cost of entering these markets may be substantially greater than we expect. If we fail to compete effectively in the new markets we enter, or if the cost of entering those markets is substantially greater than we expect, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected. In addition, if we cannot compete effectively, we may be required to reconsider our strategy to invest in our international expansion plans and change our intent on the repatriation of our earnings.

We rely on a few customers for a large portion of our revenues.

Our top five customers generated approximately 20% of our revenues for six months ended June 30, 2008 and 24% of our revenues in the year ended December 31, 2007. The volume of work performed for specific customers is likely to vary from year to year, and a major customer in one year may not use our services in a subsequent year. The loss of one of our large customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We generally do not have long-term contracts with our customers and our results of operations could be adversely affected if our clients terminate their contracts with us on short notice.

Consistent with industry practice, we generally do not enter into long-term contracts with our customers. A majority of our contracts can be terminated by our clients with short notice. As a result, we are substantially exposed to volatility in the market for our services, and may not be able to maintain our level of profitability.

When contracts are terminated, we lose the anticipated revenues and might not be able to eliminate associated costs in a timely manner. Consequently, our profit margins in subsequent periods could be lower than expected. If we are unable to market our services on terms we find acceptable, our financial condition and results of operations could suffer materially.

Our profitability could suffer if we are not able to maintain favorable pricing rates.

Our profit margin, and therefore our profitability, is dependent on the rates we are able to recover for our services. If we are not able to maintain favorable pricing for our services, our profit margin and our profitability could suffer. The rates we are able to recover for our services are affected by a number of factors, including:

our clients' perceptions of our ability to add value through our services;

competition;

introduction of new services or products by us or our competitors;

our competitors' pricing policies;

Table of Contents

our ability to accurately estimate, attain and sustain contract revenues, margins and cash flows over increasingly longer contract periods;

bid practices of clients and their use of third-party advisors;

the use by our competitors and our clients of off-shore resources to provide lower-cost service delivery capabilities; and

general economic and political conditions.

Our operating results may experience significant quarterly fluctuations.

We historically have experienced significant quarterly fluctuations in our revenues and results of operations and expect these fluctuations to continue. Among the factors causing these variations have been:

the number, timing, scope and contractual terms of IT development and maintenance projects in which we are engaged;

delays incurred in the performance of those projects;

the accuracy of estimates of resources and time required to complete ongoing projects; and

general economic conditions.

In addition, our future revenues, operating margins and profitability may fluctuate as a result of:

changes in pricing in response to customer demand and competitive pressures;

the mix of on-site and offshore staffing;

the ratio of fixed-price contracts versus time-and-materials contracts;

employee wage levels and utilization rates;

changes in foreign exchange rates, including the Indian rupee versus the U. S. dollar;

the timing of collection of accounts receivable;

enactment of new taxes, including fringe benefit taxes in India;

the timing of the exercise of stock options or vesting of performance stock units subject to the Indian fringe benefit tax;

changes in domestic and international income tax rates and regulations; and

changes to levels and types of stock-based compensation awards and assumptions used to determine the fair value of such awards. A high percentage of our operating expenses, particularly personnel and rent, are relatively fixed in advance of any particular quarter. As a result, unanticipated variations in the number and timing of our projects or in employee wage levels and utilization rates may cause significant variations in our operating results in any particular quarter, and could result in losses. Any significant shortfall of revenues in relation to our expectations, any material reduction in utilization rates for our professional staff or variance in the on-site, offshore staffing mix, an unanticipated termination of a major project, a customer's decision not to pursue a new project or proceed to succeeding stages of a current project or the completion during a quarter of several major customer projects could require us to pay underutilized employees and could therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

As a result of these factors, it is possible that in some future periods, our revenues and operating results may be significantly below the expectations of public market analysts and investors. In such an event, the price of our common stock would likely be materially and adversely affected.

Our profitability could suffer if we are not able to maintain favorable utilization rates.

The cost of providing our services, including the utilization rate of our professionals, affects our profitability. If we are not able to maintain an appropriate utilization rate for our professionals, our profit margin and our profitability may suffer. Our utilization rates are affected by a number of factors, including:

our ability to transition employees from completed projects to new assignments and to hire and assimilate new employees;

our ability to forecast demand for our services and thereby maintain an appropriate headcount in each of our geographies and workforces;

our ability to manage attrition; and

our need to devote time and resources to training, professional development and other non-chargeable activities.

Table of Contents

Liability claims for damages caused by disclosure of confidential information or system failures could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Many of our engagements involve projects that are critical to the operations of our customers' businesses and provide benefits that are difficult to quantify. Any failure in a customer's computer system could result in a claim for substantial damages against us, regardless of our responsibility for the failure. Although we attempt to limit by contract our liability for damages arising from negligent acts, errors, mistakes or omissions in rendering our IT development and maintenance services, we cannot assure you that any contractual limitations on liability will be enforceable in all instances or will otherwise protect us from liability for damages.

In addition, we often have access to or are required to collect and store confidential client and customer data. If any person, including any of our employees, penetrates our network security or misappropriates sensitive data, we could be subject to significant liability from our clients or from our clients' customers for breaching contractual confidentiality provisions or privacy laws. Unauthorized disclosure of sensitive or confidential client and customer data, whether through breach of our computer systems, systems failure or otherwise, could damage our reputation and cause us to lose clients.

Although we have general liability insurance coverage, including coverage for errors or omissions, there can be no assurance that coverage will continue to be available on reasonable terms or will be sufficient in amount to cover one or more large claims, or that the insurer will not disclaim coverage as to any future claim. The successful assertion of one or more large claims against us that exceed available insurance coverage or changes in our insurance policies, including premium increases or the imposition of large deductible or co-insurance requirements, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may be subject to legacy Dun & Bradstreet liabilities that could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

In 1996, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation split itself into three separate companies: The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, Cognizant Corporation and ACNielsen Corporation. In connection with the split-up transaction, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, Cognizant Corporation (renamed Nielsen Media Research), of which we were once a part, and ACNielsen Corporation (now a subsidiary of the Dutch company VNU N.A.) entered into a distribution agreement. In the 1996 distribution agreement, each party assumed the liabilities relating to the businesses allocated to it and agreed to indemnify the other parties and their subsidiaries against those liabilities and certain other matters. The 1996 distribution agreement also prohibited each party thereto from distributing to our stockholders any business allocated to it unless the distributed business delivered undertakings agreeing to be jointly and severally liable to the other parties under the 1996 distribution agreement for the liabilities of the distributing parent company under the 1996 distribution agreement. IMS Health made such undertaking when it was spun off by Nielsen Media Research in 1998 and, accordingly, IMS Health and Nielsen Media Research are jointly and severally liable to R.H. Donnelly and ACNielsen for Cognizant Corporation obligations under the terms of the 1996 distribution agreement. IMS Health has requested similar undertakings from us as a condition to the distribution of our shares in the exchange offer. IMS Health is obligated to procure similar undertakings from us to Nielsen Media Research and Synavant Inc. with respect to liabilities allocated to IMS Health in connection with Nielsen Media Research's spin-off of IMS Health and IMS Health's spin-off of Synavant Inc. In connection with the exchange offer, we gave these undertakings and, as a result, we may be subject to claims in the future in relation to legacy liabilities.

Claims have arisen in the past and may arise in the future under the 1996 distribution agreement or the distribution agreements relating to Nielsen Media Research's spin-off of IMS Health and IMS Health's spin-off of Synavant Inc., in which case we may be jointly and severally liable for any losses suffered by the parties entitled to indemnification. IMS Health has agreed to indemnify us for any and all liabilities that arise out of our undertakings to be jointly and severally liable for these liabilities, but if for any reason IMS Health does not perform on our indemnification obligation, these liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights, our business may be adversely affected.

Our future success will depend in part on our ability to protect our proprietary methodologies and other intellectual property. We presently hold no patents or registered copyrights, and rely upon a combination of copyright and trade secret laws, non-disclosure and other contractual arrangements and various security measures to protect our intellectual property rights. Existing laws of some countries in which we provide services or solutions might offer only limited protection of our intellectual property rights. India is a member of the Berne Convention, and has agreed to recognize protections on copyrights conferred under the laws of foreign countries, including the laws of the United States. We believe that laws, rules, regulations and treaties in effect in the United States and India are adequate to protect us from misappropriation or unauthorized use of our copyrights. However, there can be no assurance that these laws will not change and, in particular, that the laws of India or the United States will not change in ways that may prevent or restrict the transfer of software components, libraries and toolsets from India to the United States or from the United States to India. There can be no assurance that the steps we have taken to protect our intellectual property rights will be adequate to deter misappropriation of

Table of Contents

any of our intellectual property, or that we will be able to detect unauthorized use and take appropriate steps to enforce our rights. Unauthorized use of our intellectual property may result in development of technology, products or services which compete with our products and unauthorized parties may infringe upon or misappropriate our products, services or proprietary information. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our business may be adversely affected.

Our services or solutions could infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others or we might lose our ability to utilize the intellectual property of others.

We cannot be sure that our services and solutions, or the solutions of others that we offer to our clients, do not infringe on the intellectual property rights of third parties, and we could have infringement claims asserted against us or against our clients. These claims could harm our reputation, cost us money and prevent us from offering some services or solutions. In a number of our contracts, we have agreed to indemnify our clients for any expenses or liabilities resulting from claimed infringements of the intellectual property rights of third parties. In some instances, the amount of these indemnities could be greater than the revenues we receive from the client. Any claims or litigation in this area, whether we ultimately win or lose, could be time-consuming and costly, injure our reputation or require us to enter into royalty or licensing arrangements. We might not be able to enter into these royalty or licensing arrangements on acceptable terms. If a claim of infringement were successful against us or our clients, an injunction might be ordered against our client or our own services or operations, causing further damages. We expect that the risk of infringement claims against us will increase if our competitors are able to obtain patents for software products and processes. Any infringement claim or litigation against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We could lose our ability or be unable to secure the right to utilize the intellectual property of others. Third-party suppliers of software, hardware or other intellectual assets could be unwilling to permit us to use their intellectual property or be acquired or used, and this could impede or disrupt use of their products or services by us and our clients. If our ability to provide services and solutions to our clients is impaired, our operating results could be adversely affected.

We may be unable to integrate acquired companies or technologies successfully and we may be subject to certain liabilities assumed in connection with our acquisitions that could harm our operating results.

We believe that opportunities exist in the fragmented IT services market to expand our business through selective strategic acquisitions and joint ventures. We believe that acquisition and joint venture candidates may enable us to expand our geographic presence, especially in the European market, enter new technology areas or expand our capacity. We cannot assure you that we will identify suitable acquisition candidates available for sale at reasonable prices, consummate any acquisition or joint venture or successfully integrate any acquired business or joint venture into our operations. Further, acquisitions and joint ventures involve a number of special risks, including diversion of management's attention, failure to retain key personnel, unanticipated events or circumstances and legal liabilities, some or all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We may finance any future acquisitions with cash, debt financing, the issuance of equity securities or a combination of the foregoing. We cannot assure you that we will be able to arrange adequate financing on acceptable terms. In addition, acquisitions financed with the issuance of our equity securities could be dilutive.

Although we conduct due diligence in connection with each of our acquisitions, there may be liabilities that we fail to discover or that we inadequately assess in our due diligence efforts. In particular, to the extent that prior owners of any acquired businesses or properties failed to comply with or otherwise violated applicable laws or regulations, or failed to fulfill their contractual obligations to customers, we, as the successor owner, may be financially responsible for these violations and failures and may suffer reputational harm or otherwise be adversely affected. While we generally require the selling party to indemnify us for any and all liabilities associated with such liabilities, if for any reason the seller does not perform their indemnification obligation, we may be held responsible for such liabilities. In addition, as part of an acquisition, we may assume responsibilities and obligations of the acquired business pursuant to the terms and conditions of services agreements entered by the acquired entity that are not consistent with the terms and conditions that we typically accept and require. Although we attempt to structure acquisitions in such a manner as to minimize the liability that could arise from such contractual commitments, we cannot assure you that any of our efforts to minimize the liability will be effective in all instances or will otherwise protect us from liability for damages under such agreements. The discovery of any material liabilities associated with our acquisitions for which we are unable to receive indemnification for could harm our operating results.

System failure or disruptions in telecommunications could disrupt our business and result in lost customers and curtailed operations which would reduce our revenue and profitability.

To deliver our services to our customers, we must maintain a high speed network of satellite, fiber optic and land lines and active voice and data communications 24 hours a day between our main offices in Chennai, our other IT development centers in India and globally and the offices of our customers worldwide. Although we maintain redundancy facilities and satellite communications links, any systems failure or a significant

Edgar Filing: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

lapse in our ability to transmit voice and data through satellite and telephone communications could result in lost customers and curtailed operations which would reduce our revenue and profitability.

Table of Contents

Provisions in our charter, by-laws and stockholders' rights plan and provisions under Delaware law may discourage unsolicited takeover proposals.

Provisions in our charter and by-laws, each as amended, our stockholders' rights plan and Delaware General Corporate Law, or DGCL, may have the effect of deterring unsolicited takeover proposals or delaying or preventing changes in our control or management, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current market prices. In addition, these documents and provisions may limit the ability of stockholders to approve transactions that they may deem to be in their best interests. Our board of directors has the authority, without further action by the stockholders, to fix the rights and preferences, and issue shares of preferred stock. Our charter provides for a classified board of directors, which will prevent a change of control of our board of directors at a single meeting of stockholders. The prohibition of our stockholders' ability to act by written consent and to call a special meeting will delay stockholder actions until annual meetings or until a special meeting is called by our chairman or chief executive officer or our board of directors. The supermajority-voting requirement for specified amendments to our charter and by-laws allows a minority of our stockholders to block those amendments. The DGCL also contains provisions preventing stockholders from engaging in business combinations with us, subject to certain exceptions. These provisions could also discourage bids for our common stock at a premium as well as create a depressive effect on the market price of the shares of our common stock.

Compliance with new and changing corporate governance and public disclosure requirements adds uncertainty to our compliance policies and increases our costs of compliance.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to accounting, corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, other SEC regulations, and the NASDAQ Global Select Market rules, are creating uncertainty for companies like ours. These laws, regulations and standards may lack specificity and are subject to varying interpretations. Their application in practice may evolve over time, as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs of compliance as a result of ongoing revisions to such corporate governance standards.

In particular, our efforts to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related regulations regarding our required assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting and our external auditors' audit of that assessment requires the commitment of significant financial and managerial resources. We consistently assess the adequacy of our internal controls over financial reporting, remediate any control deficiencies that may be identified, and validate through testing that our controls are functioning as documented. While we do not anticipate any material weaknesses, the inability of management and our independent auditor to provide us with an unqualified report as to the adequacy and effectiveness, respectively, of our internal controls over financial reporting for future year ends could result in adverse consequences to us, including, but not limited to, a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could cause the market price of our stock to decline.

We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure, and our efforts to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards in this regard have resulted in, and are likely to continue to result in, increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. In addition, the laws, regulations and standards regarding corporate governance may make it more difficult for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance. Further, our board members, chief executive officer and chief financial officer could face an increased risk of personal liability in connection with their performance of duties. As a result, we may face difficulties attracting and retaining qualified board members and executive officers, which could harm our business. If we fail to comply with new or changed laws, regulations or standards of corporate governance, our business and reputation may be harmed.

Funds associated with auction-rate securities that we hold as investments may not be liquid or accessible for in excess of 12 months and our auction-rate securities may decline in value.

As of June 30, 2008, our short and long-term investments included \$164.0 million of AAA-rated auction-rate municipal debt securities that are collateralized by debt obligations supported by student loans, substantially backed by the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP). Since February 14, 2008, auctions failed for all the auction-rate securities in our portfolio as of June 30, 2008. The current instability in the credit markets has affected our ability to liquidate these securities in the short term. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs, the issuer calls or restructures the underlying security, the underlying security matures or a buyer outside the auction process emerges. We believe that the failed auctions experienced to date are not a result of the deterioration of the underlying credit quality of the securities. During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, we recognized a pre-tax unrealized loss of \$0.6 million relating to these securities, which we believe is not other-than-temporary and was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in our consolidated statement of financial position. However, if such losses become other-than-temporary in the future, we would record an impairment charge against net income at that time which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we did not repurchase any shares of our Class A common stock.

On June 6, 2008, we acquired substantially all of the assets of Strategic Vision Consulting, Inc., which we refer to as SVC, an U.S. based company specializing in IT consulting in the media and entertainment industry, for initial consideration of approximately \$12.1 million (including direct transaction costs) in cash and stock. The persons who held stock in SVC received stock consideration consisting of an aggregate of 62,340 shares of our Class A common stock, which were valued at \$2.2 million. An additional purchase price, not to exceed \$14 million, payable in cash, is contingent on SVC achieving certain financial and operating targets during an earn-out period. The issuance of shares of our Class A common stock was made in reliance upon the exemption afforded by the provisions of Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. See Note 2 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q for additional information concerning the acquisition.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Our annual meeting of stockholders, or the Annual Meeting, was held on June 10, 2008. There were present at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy stockholders holding an aggregate of 262,759,557 shares of our Class A common stock out of a total number of 288,839,154 shares of Class A common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting.

The matters that were voted on at the Annual Meeting were:

(A) A proposal to elect the following two nominees as our Class II Directors to serve until our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified:

Robert W. Howe; and

Robert E. Weissman;

(B) A proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2008.

The results of the votes of the Annual Meeting were as follows:

| Proposal | Number of Shares of Class A Common Stock | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| | For | Against | Abstain |
| Election of the following two nominees as Class II Directors: | | | |
| Robert W. Howe | 260,164,079 | 681,819 | 1,913,659 |
| Robert E. Weissman | 254,846,567 | 5,990,036 | 1,922,954 |

| Proposal | Number of Shares of Class A Common Stock | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| | For | Against | Abstain |
| Proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2008 | 256,675,436 | 4,157,260 | 1,926,861 |
| Accordingly, our stockholders elected Robert W. Howe and Robert E. Weissman to serve as Class II Directors until our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified. Each of the following Directors who were not up for reelection at the Annual Meeting continue to serve as Directors since the Annual Meeting: Lakshmi Narayanan, John E. Klein, Thomas M. Wendel and Francisco D. Souza. | | | |

Our stockholders also ratified the selection by the audit committee of our board of directors of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

(a) Exhibits.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of principal financial and accounting officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. 1350. |
| 32.2 | Certification of principal financial and accounting officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. 1350. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation

Date: August 8, 2008

By: /s/ Francisco D Souza,
Francisco D Souza,
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 8, 2008

By: /s/ Gordon Coburn
Gordon Coburn,
Chief Financial and Operating

Officer and Treasurer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of principal financial and accounting officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. 1350. |
| 32.2 | Certification of principal financial and accounting officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. 1350. |