

BJs RESTAURANTS INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 06, 2012  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended July 3, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 0-21423

**BJ S RESTAURANTS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**California**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**33-0485615**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

**7755 Center Avenue**

**Suite 300**

**Huntington Beach, California 92647**

**(714) 500-2400**

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including  
area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or

Edgar Filing: BJs RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such

files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No .

As of August 6, 2012, there were 27,990,805 shares of Common Stock of the Registrant outstanding.

**Table of Contents**

**BJ S RESTAURANTS, INC.**

**Form 10-Q**

**For the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> <u>July 3, 2012 (Unaudited) and January 3, 2012</u>	1
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income</u> <u>Thirteen and Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011</u>	2
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> <u>Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011</u>	3
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	9
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	23
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	23
<b>PART II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	24
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	25
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	25
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	26

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****BJ S RESTAURANTS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands)**

	<b>July 3, 2012 (Unaudited)</b>	<b>January 3, 2012 (Audited)</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$10,952	\$22,391
Marketable securities	22,507	23,005
Accounts and other receivables	14,632	14,539
Inventories	5,995	5,963
Prepays and other current assets	6,228	7,902
Deferred income taxes	14,184	13,199
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>74,498</b>	<b>86,999</b>
Property and equipment, net	420,246	390,591
Long-term marketable securities	12,167	7,739
Goodwill	4,673	4,673
Notes receivable	280	334
Other assets, net	13,314	11,743
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$525,178</b>	<b>\$502,079</b>
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$19,012	\$23,757
Accrued expenses	47,156	50,411
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>66,168</b>	<b>74,168</b>
Deferred income taxes	36,033	31,561
Deferred rent	16,562	15,219
Deferred lease incentives	49,246	45,928
Other liabilities	3,250	2,754
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>171,259</b>	<b>169,630</b>
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders equity:		
Preferred stock, 5,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding		
Common stock, no par value, 125,000 shares authorized and 27,984 and 27,749 shares issued and outstanding as of July 3, 2012 and January 3, 2012, respectively	180,016	179,054

Edgar Filing: BJs RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Capital surplus	35,648	32,722
Retained earnings	138,255	120,673
Total shareholders' equity	353,919	332,449
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$525,178	\$502,079

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****BJ S RESTAURANTS, INC.****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(In thousands, except per share data)

	For The Thirteen Weeks Ended		For The Twenty-Six Weeks Ended	
	July 3, 2012	June 28, 2011	July 3, 2012	June 28, 2011
Revenues	\$180,696	\$152,887	\$348,300	\$297,749
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	45,049	37,886	86,240	73,606
Labor and benefits	61,846	52,315	120,362	102,780
Occupancy and operating	36,972	31,045	71,734	60,361
General and administrative	11,181	9,794	21,894	19,712
Depreciation and amortization	10,060	8,256	19,586	16,203
Restaurant opening	2,568	1,685	3,647	2,686
Loss on disposal of assets	397	283	451	668
Legal settlements	350	1,020	350	1,020
Total costs and expenses	168,423	142,284	324,264	277,036
Income from operations	12,273	10,603	24,036	20,713
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	79	56	148	88
Interest expense	(10)	(27)	(34)	(55)
Gain on investment settlement	289	614	289	614
Other income, net	97	96	430	334
Total other income	455	739	833	981
Income before income taxes	12,728	11,342	24,869	21,694
Income tax expense	3,761	3,174	7,287	6,331
Net income	\$8,967	\$8,168	\$17,582	\$15,363
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$0.32	\$0.30	\$0.63	\$0.56
Diluted	\$0.31	\$0.28	\$0.61	\$0.53
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:				
Basic	27,981	27,644	27,947	27,537

Edgar Filing: BJs RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Diluted	28,983	29,161	28,994	29,021
---------	--------	--------	--------	--------

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****BJ S RESTAURANTS, INC.****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)**

	<b>For The Twenty-Six Weeks Ended</b>	
	<b>July 3, 2012</b>	<b>June 28, 2011</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$17,582	\$15,363
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation and amortization	19,586	16,203
Deferred income taxes	3,487	2,870
Stock-based compensation expense	2,114	2,139
Loss on disposal of assets	451	668
Gain on investment settlement	(289)	(614)
<b>Changes in assets and liabilities:</b>		
Accounts and other receivables	1,357	1,418
Inventories	(32)	(1,817)
Prepays and other current assets	1,674	1,764
Other assets, net	(1,784)	(752)
Accounts payable	1,767	3,413
Accrued expenses	(3,255)	(1,335)
Deferred rent	1,343	1,200
Deferred lease incentives	3,318	2,006
Other liabilities	496	525
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>47,815</b>	<b>43,051</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(56,092)	(41,387)
Proceeds from marketable securities sold	21,929	13,952
Purchases of marketable securities	(25,371)	(18,973)
Collection of notes receivable	54	51
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(59,480)</b>	<b>(46,357)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	729	1,313
Taxes paid on vested stock units under employee plans	(15)	-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	962	4,263
Landlord contribution for tenant improvements, net	(1,450)	(1,878)
<b>Net cash provided by financing activities</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>3,698</b>
<b>Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>(11,439)</b>	<b>392</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period</b>	<b>22,391</b>	<b>31,518</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of period</b>	<b>\$10,952</b>	<b>\$31,910</b>



Edgar Filing: BJs RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

**Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:**

Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest	\$-	\$-
---	-----	-----

Cash paid for income taxes	\$1,273	\$1,686
----------------------------	---------	---------

**Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:**

Fixed assets acquired by accounts payable	\$1,506	\$1,098
---	---------	---------

Stock-based compensation capitalized	\$99	\$91
--------------------------------------	------	------

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BJs Restaurants, Inc. (referred to herein as the Company or in the first person notations we, us and our) and our wholly owned subsidiaries. The financial statements presented herein include all material adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the period. Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information, and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires us to make certain estimates and assumptions for the reporting periods covered by the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Actual amounts could differ from these estimates.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been omitted pursuant to requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). A description of our accounting policies and other financial information is included in our audited consolidated financial statements as filed with the SEC on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2012. We believe that the disclosures included in our accompanying interim financial statements and footnotes are adequate to make the information not misleading, but should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K. The accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of January 3, 2012, has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements.

**Reclassifications**

Certain reclassifications of prior periods financial statement amounts have been made to conform to the current periods format.

**2. MARKETABLE SECURITIES**

Our investment policy restricts the investment of our excess cash balances to instruments with historically minimal volatility, such as money market funds, U.S. Treasury and direct agency obligations, municipal and bank securities, and investment-grade corporate debt securities. All highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase are classified as cash equivalents and included with cash and cash equivalents on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Marketable securities, which we have the intent and ability to hold until maturity, are classified as held-to-maturity securities and reported at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. We determine the appropriate classification of our marketable securities at the time of purchase and reevaluate the held-to-maturity designation as of each balance sheet date. Marketable securities are classified as either short-term or long-term based on each instrument's underlying contractual maturity date. Marketable securities with maturities of 12 months or less are classified as short-term and marketable securities with maturities greater than 12 months are classified as long-term. Gains or losses are determined on the specific identification cost method and recorded as a charge to earnings, when realized.

Investments in marketable securities consist of the following (in thousands):

	July 3, 2012		January 3, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Average Maturity (1)	Amortized Cost	Average Maturity (1)
<b>Short-term marketable securities:</b>				
Municipal securities, U.S. Treasury and direct agency obligations	\$20,447	7 months	\$22,005	8 months
Domestic corporate obligations	2,060	10 months	1,000	2 months
	\$22,507		\$23,005	
<b>Long-term marketable securities:</b>				

Edgar Filing: BJs RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Municipal securities and direct agency obligations	\$10,067	17 months	\$5,643	17 months
Domestic corporate obligations	2,100	14 months	2,096	16 months
	\$12,167		\$7,739	

---

## **Table of Contents**

- (1) Average maturity is determined from the respective balance sheet dates as reported in the table as the lesser of the original maturity date or the expected put date for each investment type.

The domestic corporate obligations included as long-term marketable securities are issued under the Temporary Loan Guaranty Program of the U.S. Government and are fully insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

### **3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT**

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, a framework for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities was established by defining a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. These tiers include:

Level 1: Defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Level 2: Defined as pricing inputs other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. Level 2 includes those financial instruments that are valued using models or other valuation methodologies. These models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors, and current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures.

Level 3: Defined as pricing inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value.

For assets that are measured using quoted market prices in active markets, fair value is the published market price per unit multiplied by the number of units held without consideration of transaction costs or, as described above, effectively Level 1. At July 3, 2012, we had approximately \$45.6 million of cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities. Our marketable securities are held by institutional brokers, classified as held-to-maturity securities and reported at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. We have placed a majority of our temporary excess cash with major financial institutions and institutional brokers that, in turn, invest in instruments with historically minimal volatility, such as money market funds, U.S. Treasury and direct agency obligations, municipal and bank securities, and investment-grade corporate debt securities. Our investment policy limits the amount of exposure to any one institution or investment. We have not experienced any losses on these marketable securities to date, and we believe that we are not exposed to significant risk of loss on these marketable securities.

### **4. LONG-TERM DEBT**

#### *Line of Credit*

On February 17, 2012, we entered into a \$75 million unsecured revolving line of credit ( *Line of Credit* ) with a major financial institution. The Line of Credit expires on January 31, 2017, and may be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes. We utilize the Line of Credit principally for letters of credit that are required to support certain of our self-insurance programs and for working capital and construction requirements as needed. As of July 3, 2012, there were no funded borrowings outstanding under the Line of Credit and there were outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$8.9 million. The Line of Credit bears interest at either LIBOR plus a percentage not to exceed 1.50%, or at a rate ranging from the financial institution's prime rate to 0.75% below the financial institution's prime rate based on a Lease Adjusted Leverage Ratio as defined in the Line of Credit agreement. The Line of Credit agreement requires compliance with a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio, a Lease Adjusted Leverage Ratio and certain non-financial covenants. As of July 3, 2012, we were in compliance with these covenants.

### **5. NET INCOME PER SHARE**

**Table of Contents**

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing the net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options issued by us to sell common stock at set prices were exercised and if restrictions on restricted stock units issued by us were to lapse. The consolidated financial statements present basic and diluted net income per share. Common share equivalents included in the diluted computation represent shares to be issued upon assumed exercises of outstanding stock options and the assumed lapsing of the restrictions on restricted stock units using the treasury stock method.

The following table presents a reconciliation of basic and diluted net income per share computations and the number of dilutive securities (stock options and restricted stock units) that were included in the dilutive net income per share computation (in thousands).

	For The Thirteen Weeks Ended		For The Twenty-Six Weeks Ended	
	July 3, 2012	June 28, 2011	July 3, 2012	June 28, 2011
<b>Numerator:</b>				
Net income for basic and diluted net income per share	\$8,967	\$8,168	\$17,582	\$15,363
<b>Denominator:</b>				
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	27,981	27,644	27,947	27,537
Effect of dilutive common stock equivalents	1,002	1,517	1,047	1,484
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	28,983	29,161	28,994	29,021

For the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011, there were approximately 0.3 million and 0.1 million shares of common stock equivalents, respectively, that have been excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share because they are anti-dilutive. For the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011, there were approximately 0.2 million and 0.1 million shares of common stock equivalents, respectively, that have been excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share because they are anti-dilutive.

**6. RELATED PARTY**

As of July 3, 2012, we believe that Jacmar Companies and their affiliates (collectively referred to herein as "Jacmar") owned approximately 11.5% of our outstanding common stock. Jacmar, through its affiliation with Distribution Market Advantage, Inc. ("DMA"), a national foodservice distribution consortium whose participants are prominent regional foodservice distributors, is currently our largest supplier of food, beverage, paper products and supplies. We began using DMA for our national foodservice distribution in July 2006 after an extensive competitive bidding process. We are currently in the process of finalizing a new five-year agreement with DMA, after conducting another extensive competitive bidding process, which will be deemed effective in July 2012. Jacmar services our restaurants in California and Nevada, while other DMA distributors service our restaurants in all other states. We also understand that Jacmar and its affiliates are the controlling shareholders of the Shakey's pizza parlor chain. We believe that Jacmar sells products to us at prices comparable to those offered by unrelated third parties based on our competitive bidding process. Jacmar supplied us with \$39.2 million and \$32.7 million of food, beverage, paper products and supplies for the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011, respectively, which represents 24.8% and 24.4% of our total costs of sales and operating and occupancy costs, respectively. We had trade payables related to these products of \$3.8 million and \$4.4 million, at July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011, respectively. Jacmar does not provide us with any produce, liquor, wine or beer products, all of which are provided by other vendors and included in total cost of sales.

**7. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

We have two stock-based compensation plans - the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan and the 1996 Stock Option Plan - under which we may issue shares of our common stock to team members, officers, directors and consultants. Upon effectiveness of the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), the 1996 Stock Option Plan was closed for purposes of new grants. Both of these plans have been approved by our shareholders. Under the Plan, we have granted incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, and restricted stock units ("RSUs"). On June 8, 2010, at our annual shareholder meeting, our shareholders approved an amended Plan which, among other things, (i) increased the number of shares available for issuance by 1.2 million shares, (ii) changed the fungible ratio on restricted stock awards from 2:1 to 1.5:1, (iii) extended the termination date of the Plan to 10 years following the date of approval by the shareholders, and (iv) made certain other administrative changes.



**Table of Contents**

Under the Plan, we issue RSUs as a component of the annual equity grant award to officers and other team members and in connection with the BJs Gold Standard Stock Ownership Program (the GSSOP). The GSSOP is a longer-term equity incentive program that utilizes Company RSUs or stock options and is dependent on each participant's extended service with us in their respective positions and their achievement of certain agreed-upon performance objectives during that service period (i.e., five years).

The fair value of the RSUs is the quoted market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of each RSU is expensed over the period during which its related restrictions are expected to lapse (i.e., generally five years). Stock options generally vest at 20% per year or cliff vest, either ratably in years three through five or 100% in year five, and expire 10 years from date of grant. RSUs generally vest at 20% per year for non-GSSOP RSU grantees and generally cliff vest either at 33% on the third anniversary and 67% on the fifth anniversary or at 100% after five years for GSSOP participants.

The following table presents information related to stock-based compensation (in thousands):

	For The Thirteen Weeks Ended		For The Twenty-Six Weeks Ended	
	July 3, 2012	June 28, 2011	July 3, 2012	June 28, 2011
Labor and benefits stock-based compensation	\$249	\$342	\$552	\$706
General and administrative stock-based compensation	\$789	\$753	\$1,562	\$1,433
Capitalized stock-based compensation (1)	\$46	\$46	\$99	\$91

(1) Capitalized stock-based compensation is included in Property and equipment, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**Stock Options**

The exercise price of the stock options under the Company's stock-based compensation plans equals or exceeds 100% of the fair market value of the shares at the date of option grant. Stock options generally vest at 20% per year or cliff vest, either ratably in years three through five or 100% in year five, and expire ten years from date of grant. Stock option activity during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, was as follows:

	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
	Weighted	Average	Weighted	Average
	Shares	Exercise Price	Shares	Exercise Price
	(in thousands)	(in thousands)	(in thousands)	Price
Outstanding options at January 3, 2012	1,791	\$18.53	1,113	\$16.85
Granted	99	\$46.22		
Exercised	(59)	\$16.44		
Forfeited	(5)	\$30.96		
Outstanding options at July 3, 2012	1,826	\$20.09	1,097	\$16.96

The fair value of each stock option grant issued is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

For the Twenty-Six Weeks Ended  
July 3, 2012      June 28, 2011

Edgar Filing: BJs RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

Expected volatility	37.7%	39.8%
Risk free interest rate	0.7%	2.0%
Expected option life	5 years	5 years
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Fair value of options granted	\$15.94	\$13.29



**Table of Contents**

U.S. GAAP requires us to make certain assumptions and judgments regarding the grant date fair value. These judgments include expected volatility, risk free interest rate, expected option life, dividend yield and vesting percentage. These estimations and judgments are determined by us using many different variables that, in many cases, are outside of our control. The changes in these variables or trends, including stock price volatility and risk free interest rate, may significantly impact the grant date fair value resulting in a significant impact to our financial results. As of July 3, 2012, total unrecognized stock based compensation expense related to non-vested stock options was \$3.9 million, which is expected to be generally recognized over the next five years.

**Restricted Stock Units**

Restricted stock unit activity during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, was as follows:

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Fair Value
Outstanding RSUs at January 3, 2012	616	\$20.48
Granted	73	\$48.41
Vested or released	(149)	\$19.48
Forfeited	(50)	\$23.93
Outstanding RSUs at July 3, 2012	490	\$24.88

The fair value of the RSUs is the quoted market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of each RSU is expensed over the period during which the restrictions are expected to lapse (i.e., five years). We recorded stock-based compensation expense related to RSUs of approximately \$1.0 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012. In addition, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested RSUs was \$6.5 million, which is expected to be generally recognized over the next five years.

**8. INCOME TAXES**

We utilize the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes are recognized based on the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. The provision for income taxes represents the tax payable for the period and the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

We recognize the impact of a tax position in our consolidated financial statements if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are included in income tax expense.

As of July 3, 2012, a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

Balance at January 3, 2012	\$ 870
Increase based on tax positions taken during the current period	20
Balance at July 3, 2012	\$ 890

If approximately \$0.8 million of the unrecognized tax benefit recorded was reversed, our effective tax rate would be impacted. Additionally, we anticipate a decrease of \$0.6 million to our liability for unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve-month period due to the settlement of potential outstanding liabilities.

Our uncertain tax positions are related to tax years that remain subject to examination by tax authorities. As of July 3, 2012, the earliest tax year still subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service is 2008. The earliest year still subject to examination by a significant state or local

taxing jurisdiction is 2007.

**9. DIVIDEND POLICY AND STOCK REPURCHASES**

---

**Table of Contents**

We have not paid any dividends since our inception and have currently not allocated any funds for the payment of dividends. Rather, it is our current policy to retain earnings, if any, for expansion of our operations, remodeling and investing in our existing restaurants and other general corporate purposes. We have no plans to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon our financial condition, operating results and other factors our Board of Directors deem relevant. Our credit facility contains, and debt instruments that we enter into in the future may contain, covenants that place limitations on the amount of dividends we may pay. We did not have any stock repurchases during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, and we currently do not have any plan to repurchase our common stock.

**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING DISCLOSURE**

Certain information included in this Form 10-Q and other materials filed or to be filed by us with the SEC (as well as information included in oral or written statements made by us or on our behalf) may contain forward-looking statements about our current and expected performance trends, growth plans, business goals and other matters. These statements may be contained in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in our press releases, in other written communications, and in oral statements made by or with the approval of one of our authorized officers. Words or phrases such as believe, plan, will likely result, expect, intend, will continue, is anticipated, estimate, could, would, should, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements, and any other statements that are not historical facts, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as codified in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended from time to time (the Act). The cautionary statements made in this Form 10-Q should be read as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this Form 10-Q.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. Except for the historical information contained herein, the discussion in this Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements that involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, such as statements of our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. The risks described in this Form 10-Q, as well as the risks identified in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2012, are not the only risks we face. These statements reflect our current perspectives and outlook with respect to BJ's future expansion plans, key business initiatives, expected operating conditions and other factors. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment, and new risk factors emerge from time to time. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are currently unaware of, or that we currently deem immaterial, also may become important factors that affect us. It is not possible for us to predict the impact of all of these factors on our business, financial condition or results of operation or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given the volatility of the operating environment and its associated risks and uncertainties, investors should not rely on forward-looking statements as any prediction or guarantee of actual results.

These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements concerning:

- our restaurant concept, its competitive advantages and our strategies for its continued evolution and expansion;
- the rate and scope of our planned future restaurant development;
- the estimated total domestic capacity for our larger-format restaurants;
- anticipated dates on which we will commence or complete the development and opening of new restaurants;
- expectations as to the timing and success of the planned expansion of our contract brewing strategy for our proprietary handcrafted beers and sodas;
- expectations for consumer spending on casual dining restaurant occasions in general;
- expectations as to the availability and costs of key commodities used in our restaurants and brewing operations;
- expectations as to our menu price increases and their effect, if any, on revenue and results of operations;
- expectations as to the effectiveness of our planned operational, menu, marketing and capital expenditure initiatives;

**Table of Contents**

expectations as to our capital requirements and actual or available borrowings on our line of credit; expectations as to our future revenues, operating costs and expenses; and other statements of expectations, beliefs, future plans and strategies, anticipated developments and other matters that are not historical facts.

These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, including financial, regulatory, consumer behavior, demographic, industry growth and trend projections, that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the statements. Significant factors that could prevent us from achieving our stated goals include, but are not limited to:

Our success depends substantially on the favorable image, credibility and value of the BJ's brand and our reputation for offering guests a higher quality, more differentiated total dining experience at a good value.

Any deterioration in general economic conditions may affect consumer spending and may adversely affect our revenues, operating results and liquidity.

If we do not successfully expand our restaurant operations, our growth rate and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Our ability to open new restaurants on schedule in accordance with our targeted capacity growth rate may be adversely affected by delays or problems associated with securing suitable restaurant locations and leases, recruiting and training qualified managers and hourly team members to correctly operate our new restaurants and by other factors, some of which are beyond our control and the timing of which is difficult to forecast accurately.

Access to sources of capital and our ability to raise capital in the future may be limited, which could adversely affect our business and our expansion plans.

Any deterioration in general economic conditions could also have a material adverse impact on our landlords or on businesses neighboring our locations, which could adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

Any failure of our existing or new restaurants to achieve expected results could have a negative impact on our consolidated revenues and financial results, including a potential impairment of the long-lived assets of certain restaurants.

Our growth may strain our infrastructure and resources, which could slow our development of new restaurants and adversely affect our ability to manage our existing restaurants.

Any decision to either reduce or accelerate the pace of openings may positively or adversely affect our comparative financial performance.

Our future operating results may fluctuate significantly due to our relatively small number of existing restaurants and the expenses required to open new restaurants.

A significant number of our restaurants are concentrated in California, Texas and Florida which make us particularly sensitive to economic, regulatory, weather and other risk factors and conditions that are more prevalent in those states.

Our operations are susceptible to changes in our food, labor and related employee benefits (including, but not limited to, group health insurance coverage for our team members), energy and supply costs which could adversely affect our profitability.

Our costs to construct new restaurants are susceptible to both material and labor cost fluctuations which could adversely affect our return on investment results for new restaurants.

Our increasing dependence on contract brewers could have an adverse effect on our operations if they cease to supply us with our proprietary handcrafted beer and sodas.

Government laws and regulations affecting the operation of our restaurants, including (but not limited to) those that apply to the acquisition and maintenance of our brewing and retail liquor licenses, minimum wages, consumer health and safety, group health insurance coverage, nutritional disclosures, and employment-related documentation requirements could increase our operating costs, cause unexpected disruptions to our operations and restrict our growth.

Our internal brewing, contract brewing and beer distribution arrangements are subject to periodic reviews and audits by various federal, state and local governmental and regulatory agencies and could be adversely affected either as a result of different interpretations of the laws and regulations that govern such arrangements or by new laws and regulations enacted or promulgated by such governments or agencies.

For a more detailed description of these risk factors and other considerations, see Part II, Item 1A Risk Factors of this Form 10-Q and the risk factors identified in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2012.

---

## Table of Contents

### GENERAL

As of August 6, 2012, we owned and operated 123 restaurants located in the states of California, Texas, Florida, Arizona, Nevada, Colorado, Ohio, Oregon, Oklahoma, Washington, Kentucky, Indiana, Louisiana and Kansas. Our restaurants operate under the BJ's Restaurant & Brewery®, BJ's Restaurant & Brewhouse®, BJ's Pizza & Grill®, or BJ's Grill® names. Our menu features our BJ's award-winning, signature deep-dish pizza, our proprietary handcrafted beers and other beers, as well as a wide selection of appetizers, entrees, pastas, sandwiches, specialty salads and desserts, including our Pizookie® dessert. Our BJ's Restaurant & Brewery® restaurants feature on-premise brewing facilities where BJ's proprietary handcrafted beers are produced for some of our restaurants. Currently, three of our restaurants have active brewing operations on-premise, while the remainder of our proprietary beer requirements is provided by third-party craft brewers (contract brewers) using our proprietary recipes. Our seven BJ's Pizza & Grill® restaurants are a smaller format, full-service restaurant when compared to our large format BJ's Restaurant and Brewhouse® and BJ's Restaurant and Brewery® locations and reflect the original format of the BJ's restaurant concept that was first introduced in 1978. Our BJ's Restaurant and Brewhouse® format currently represents our primary expansion vehicle. In October 2011, we opened our first BJ's Grill® location in Anaheim Hills, California. BJ's Grill® is a smaller footprint restaurant that is currently intended to serve as a live research and development restaurant, where certain food, beverage, facility, technological and operational enhancements will be tested for potential application to our larger restaurants. We have no current intention to open additional BJ's Grill® locations during fiscal 2012; however, we may consider opening such locations in the future.

Our revenues are comprised of food and beverage sales at our restaurants. Revenues from restaurant sales are recognized when payment is tendered at the point of sale. Revenues from our gift cards are recognized upon redemption in our restaurants. Gift card breakage is recognized as other income on our Consolidated Statements of Income. Gift card breakage is recorded when the likelihood of the redemption of the gift cards becomes remote, which is typically after 24 months from original gift card issuance.

Cost of sales is comprised of food and beverage costs. The components of cost of sales are variable and typically fluctuate directly with sales volumes. Labor and benefit costs include direct hourly and management wages, bonuses and payroll taxes and fringe benefits for restaurant employees, including stock-based compensation that is directly related to restaurant level team members.

Occupancy and operating expenses include restaurant supplies, credit card fees, marketing costs, fixed rent, percentage rent, common area maintenance charges, utilities, real estate taxes, repairs and maintenance and other related restaurant costs.

General and administrative costs include all corporate, field supervision and administrative functions that support existing operations and provide infrastructure to facilitate our future growth. Components of this category include corporate management, field supervision and corporate hourly staff salaries and related employee benefits (including stock-based compensation expense), travel and relocation costs, information systems, the cost to recruit and train new restaurant management employees, corporate rent, and legal, professional and consulting fees.

Depreciation and amortization principally include depreciation on capital expenditures for restaurants.

Restaurant opening expenses, which are expensed as incurred, consist of the costs of hiring and training the initial hourly work force for each new restaurant, travel, the cost of food and supplies used in training, grand opening promotional costs, the cost of the initial stocking of operating supplies and other direct costs related to the opening of a restaurant, including rent during the construction and in-restaurant training period.

While we currently expect to pursue the renewal of substantially all of our expiring restaurant leases, no guarantee can be given that such leases will be renewed or, if renewed, that rents will not increase substantially. We currently plan to close our smaller format BJ's Pizza & Grill® restaurant located in Boulder, Colorado upon the expiration of its lease in August 2012 and relocate this restaurant to another site in Boulder, Colorado, that can accommodate a larger-format, more productive BJ's Restaurant and Brewhouse®.

In calculating comparable company-owned restaurant sales, we include a restaurant in the comparable base once it has been open for 18 months. Guest traffic for our restaurants is estimated based on representative values that we assign to certain menu items or individual guest tickets. In turn, these values are also utilized to calculate our estimated average guest check amount.

**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income expressed as percentages of total revenues. The results of operations for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

	For The Thirteen Weeks Ended		For The Twenty-Six Weeks Ended	
	July 3, 2012	June 28, 2011	July 3, 2012	June 28, 2011
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	24.9	24.8	24.8	24.7
Labor and benefits	34.2	34.2	34.6	34.5
Occupancy and operating	20.5	20.3	20.6	20.3
General and administrative	6.2	6.4	6.3	6.6
Depreciation and amortization	5.6	5.4	5.6	5.4
Restaurant opening	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.9
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
Legal settlements	0.2	0.7	0.1	0.3
<b>Total costs and expenses</b>	<b>93.2</b>	<b>93.1</b>	<b>93.1</b>	<b>93.0</b>
Income from operations	6.8	6.9	6.9	7.0
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	-	-	-	-
Interest expense	-	-	-	-
Gain on investment settlement	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2
Other income, net	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total other income	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3
Income before income taxes	7.0	7.4	7.1	7.3
Income tax expense	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
<b>Net income</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>

***Thirteen Weeks Ended July 3, 2012 (second quarter of 2012) Compared to Thirteen Weeks Ended June 28, 2011 (second quarter of 2011).***

**Revenues.** Total revenues increased by \$27.8 million, or 18.2%, to \$180.7 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$152.9 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. The increase in revenues consisted of an increase of approximately \$22.2 million in sales from new restaurants not yet in our comparable sales base and an approximate 4.4% increase in comparable restaurant sales. The increase in comparable restaurant sales resulted from an estimated effective menu price increase factor of approximately 3.2%, coupled with an estimated 0.8% benefit from increased guest traffic and an estimated net benefit of approximately 0.4% from guest purchase incidence rates and menu mix shifts. We estimate that comparable sales amounts for the full second quarter of 2012 benefited approximately 0.3% from the calendar shift of the July 4<sup>th</sup> holiday from a Monday in the prior year's quarter to a Wednesday for the current year's quarter. Accordingly, this calendar shift will unfavorably impact our comparable restaurant sales comparisons for the third quarter of 2012.

Our restaurants, like most in casual dining, are impacted by inflationary pressures for the costs of certain commodities, labor and other operating expenses. We attempt to offset the impact of inflation on our cost structure with purchasing economies of scale, productivity and efficiency improvements, menu merchandising and menu price increases. If our guests do not accept our menu price increases, either by reducing their

## Edgar Filing: BJ's RESTAURANTS INC - Form 10-Q

visits to our restaurants or by changing their purchasing patterns at our restaurants, the expected benefit of any menu price increase could be negated and our operating margins could be adversely impacted. We currently expect our estimated effective menu price increase for fiscal 2012 to be in the 3% range on an annualized basis. However, depending on inflationary pressures, costs for key inputs and general economic conditions for consumer discretionary spending, our actual menu pricing for fiscal 2012 may be greater or less than our current expectations. Additionally, to help protect guest traffic and to respond to the actions of our competitors, we may consider the promotion of selective menu offerings or introduce new menu offerings at reduced or lower price points which could have the effect of further reducing any benefit from menu price increases. As a relatively small casual dining restaurant chain, we do not have the financial resources to match the marketing and advertising spending levels of our larger casual dining competitors. Accordingly, increased marketing and advertising spending by our larger competitors may also adversely impact general levels of guest traffic in our restaurants. Furthermore, we believe that our guest traffic levels will also be dependent upon consumer confidence, discretionary consumer spending and other economic conditions including energy prices, commodity inflation and overall employment.

---

**Table of Contents**

All potential menu price increases must be carefully considered in light of their ultimate acceptability by our restaurant guests. Additionally, other factors outside of our control, such as inclement weather, shifts in the holiday calendar, competitive restaurant intrusions into our trade areas, heavy promotional and discounting activities by our competitors, general economic and competitive conditions and other factors, as described in the Risk Factors section in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2012, can impact comparable sales.

*Cost of Sales.* Cost of sales increased by \$7.2 million, or 18.9%, to \$45.0 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$37.9 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 15 new restaurants since the thirteen weeks ended June 28, 2011. As a percentage of revenues, cost of sales increased slightly to 24.9% for the current thirteen week period from 24.8% for the prior year comparable thirteen week period. This slight percentage increase was primarily due to increased commodity costs and planned shifts in our menu mix toward the sale of more higher priced menu items, including steaks and seafood entrees, that also have higher food cost percentages, partially offset by increased revenues from our estimated effective menu price increases.

We do anticipate that cost of sales in our new restaurants will typically be higher during the first several months of operations than in our mature restaurants, as our restaurant management teams become accustomed to optimally predicting, managing and servicing sales volumes at our new restaurants. Accordingly, a comparatively large number of new restaurant openings in any single quarter may significantly impact total cost of sales comparisons for our entire business. Additionally, restaurants opened in new markets may initially experience higher commodity costs than our established restaurants, where we have greater market penetration that generally results in greater purchasing and distribution economies of scale.

We provide our customers a large variety of menu items and, as a result, we are not overly dependent on a single group of commodities. However, based on current trends and expectations, we believe the overall cost environment for food commodities will likely be subject to upward pressure during 2012 and into 2013, primarily due to domestic and worldwide agricultural, supply/demand and other macroeconomic factors that are outside of our control, including the severe drought conditions in key domestic grain producing regions. While we continue to work with our suppliers to control food costs, and while we have taken steps to enter into longer-term agreements for some of the commodities used in our restaurant operations, there can be no assurance that future supplies and costs for such commodities will not significantly increase due to weather and other market conditions outside of our control. Additionally, there are some commodities that we are unable to contract for long periods of time, such as fluid dairy items, fresh seafood and many produce items, or where we have currently chosen not to contract for long periods of time such as our grand beef. There are also certain commodity items, such as certain produce items and certain seafood items, in which the contracts principally consist of collar agreements whereby the costs are subject to floors and ceilings. It is our current intention to attempt to offset our expected commodity cost increases through certain cost savings and productivity/efficiency initiatives and menu mix shifts, coupled with selective menu price increases. However, there can be no assurance that we will be entirely successful in this respect.

The cost to produce and distribute our proprietary handcrafted beer is included in our cost of sales. We currently have qualified three contract brewers to produce our high-quality handcrafted beer. During fiscal 2012, we currently anticipate that our qualified contract brewers will produce approximately 70 to 75% of our estimated requirement of approximately 70,000 barrels of our proprietary handcrafted beer. Our longer-term objective is to have large contract brewers produce substantially all of our larger-volume beers. We currently expect to continue to create and brew our smaller-volume seasonal and specialty beers; however, we may eventually decide to also move the majority of this production to contract brewers as we continue to grow our restaurant base and therefore increase our demand for our proprietary handcrafted beer. We believe the larger-scale contract brewers have greater economies of scale, stronger quality control systems and more effective, leverageable supply chain relationships than we have as a relatively small restaurant company. Additionally, this allows our brewery department to focus on creating and developing distinctive and unique beer flavors for us as opposed to focusing on the production and logistics of large scale brewing. As a result, over the next several years, we expect that the production cost of our larger-volume proprietary handcrafted beers can be gradually reduced, while simultaneously providing an improvement in the overall consistency of our beer. However, freight costs from our contract brewing locations will likely absorb a large portion of those production cost savings for a period of time until we can further increase the number of restaurants we operate and negotiate more favorable terms with our transportation vendors. Contract brewers also produce substantially all of our handcrafted soda and cider products, the costs of which are also included in our cost of sales.



---

**Table of Contents**

*Labor and Benefits.* Labor and benefit costs for our restaurants increased by \$9.5 million, or 18.2%, to \$61.8 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$52.3 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 15 new restaurants since the thirteen weeks ended June 28, 2011. As a percentage of revenues, labor and benefit costs remained at 34.2% for both the current thirteen week period and for the prior year comparable thirteen week period. Included in labor and benefits for the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011 was approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, or 0.1% and 0.2% of revenues, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units granted in accordance with our Gold Standard Stock Ownership Program for certain restaurant management team members.

Our restaurants can be affected by increases in federal and state minimum wages and state unemployment insurance taxes. Additionally, some states have annual minimum wage increases correlated with either state or federal increases in the consumer price index. In the past, we have been able to react to changes in our key operating costs, including minimum wage increases, by gradually increasing our menu prices and improving our productivity in our restaurants. However, we cannot guarantee that all or any future cost increases can be offset by increased menu prices or that increased menu prices will be accepted by our restaurant guests without any resulting changes in their visit frequencies or purchasing patterns.

For new restaurants, labor expenses will typically be higher than normal during the first several months of operations, if not longer in some cases, until our restaurant management team at each new restaurant becomes more accustomed to optimally predicting, managing and servicing the sales volumes expected at our new restaurants. Accordingly, a comparatively large number of new restaurant openings in any single quarter may significantly impact labor cost comparisons for the entire Company.

*Occupancy and Operating.* Occupancy and operating expenses increased by \$5.9 million, or 19.1%, to \$37.0 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$31.0 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 15 new restaurants since the thirteen weeks ended June 28, 2011. As a percentage of revenues, occupancy and operating expenses increased to 20.5% for the current thirteen week period from 20.3% for the prior year comparable thirteen week period. This percentage increase was principally due to higher marketing costs offset by our ability to leverage the fixed component of these expenses over a higher revenue base as a result of comparable sales increases.

*General and Administrative.* General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.4 million, or 14.2%, to \$11.2 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$9.8 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. The increase in general and administrative costs was primarily due to higher field supervision and support costs. Also included in general and administrative costs in each of the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011, was \$0.8 million of stock-based compensation expense. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses decreased to 6.2% for the current thirteen week period from 6.4% for the prior year comparable thirteen week period. This percentage decrease was primarily due to our ability to leverage the fixed component of these expenses over a higher revenue base.

*Depreciation and Amortization.* Depreciation and amortization increased by \$1.8 million, or 21.9%, to \$10.1 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$8.3 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. As a percentage of revenues, depreciation and amortization increased to 5.6% for the current thirteen week period from 5.4% for the prior year period. This percentage increase was principally a result of increased construction costs for new restaurants and depreciation on our new operating toolsets, restaurant remodels and initiatives.

*Restaurant Opening.* Restaurant opening expense increased by \$0.9 million, or 52.4%, to \$2.6 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$1.7 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. This increase is primarily due to opening costs related to five restaurant openings during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, and one opening shortly thereafter as compared to three restaurant openings during the thirteen weeks ended June 28, 2011, and one restaurant opening shortly thereafter. Our opening costs will fluctuate from period to period, depending upon, but not limited to, the number of restaurant openings, the size and concept of the restaurants being opened, the location of the restaurants and the complexity of the staff hiring and training process.

*Loss on Disposal of Assets.* Loss on disposal of assets increased by \$0.1 million, or 40.3%, to \$0.4 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$0.3 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. For the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, these costs related to the write off of the remaining net book value of assets due to the upcoming closure and relocation of our small-format restaurant in Boulder, Colorado, coupled with the disposal of certain unproductive restaurant assets in connection with our ongoing productivity/efficiency initiatives and facility image enhancement activities.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Legal Settlements.* Legal settlement expenses of approximately \$0.4 million, or 0.2% of revenues, during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, relates to the settlement of a trademark infringement civil action as compared to legal settlement expenses of approximately \$1.0 million, or 0.7% of revenues, during the thirteen weeks ended June 28, 2011, which related to the settlements of certain California employment practices lawsuits that had been outstanding since 2009 and 2010. See Part II Other Information; Item 1 Legal Proceedings of this Form 10-Q for additional information regarding pending and recently settled legal proceedings.

*Interest Income.* Interest income increased by \$0.02 million, or 41.1%, to \$0.08 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$0.06 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to slightly higher interest rates and investment balances compared to last year.

*Interest Expense.* Interest expense was \$0.01 million during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, compared to \$0.03 million during the comparable thirteen week period of 2011.

*Gain on Investment Settlement.* Gain on investment settlement of approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million for the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, and the comparable thirteen week period of 2011, respectively, relate to the settlement agreement reached in December 2009 with our former broker-dealer for the full liquidation of our auction rate securities investment portfolio. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, we are entitled to potential future recoveries of our loss on that portfolio based on the performance of those auction rate securities through December 2012. In connection with this settlement, during the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, and the comparable thirteen week period of 2011, certain of these aforementioned securities were redeemed at par, resulting in additional cash recoveries.

*Other Income, Net.* Other income, net, remained at \$0.1 million for the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, and for the comparable thirteen week period of 2011.

*Income Tax Expense.* Our effective income tax rate for the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, was 29.5% compared to 28.0% for the comparable thirteen week period of 2011. The effective income tax rate for the thirteen weeks ended July 3, 2012, differed from the statutory income tax rate primarily due to tax credits. We currently estimate our effective tax rate to be in the approximate range of 29% to 30% for fiscal 2012. However, our actual effective tax rate for fiscal 2012 may be different than our current estimate due to actual revenues, pre-tax income and tax credits achieved during the year and the deductibility of any subsequent disqualified dispositions related to incentive stock options.

***Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 3, 2012 (second quarter to date of 2012) Compared to Twenty-Six Weeks Ended June 28, 2011 (second quarter to date of 2011).***

*Revenues.* Total revenues increased by \$50.6 million, or 17.0%, to \$348.3 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$297.7 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. The increase in revenues consisted of an increase of approximately \$40.3 million in sales from new restaurants not yet in our comparable sales base and an approximate 3.9% increase in comparable restaurant sales. The increase in comparable restaurant sales resulted from an estimated effective menu price increase factor of approximately 3.1%, coupled with an estimated 0.8% benefit from increased guest traffic.

*Cost of Sales.* Cost of sales increased by \$12.6 million, or 17.2%, to \$86.2 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$73.6 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 15 new restaurants since the twenty-six weeks ended June 28, 2011. As a percentage of revenues, cost of sales increased to 24.8% for the current twenty-six week period from 24.7% for the prior year comparable twenty-six week period. This slight percentage increase was primarily due to increased commodity costs and planned shifts in our menu mix toward the sale of more higher priced menu items, including steaks and seafood entrees, that also have higher food cost percentages, partially offset by increased revenues from our estimated effective menu price increases.

*Labor and Benefits.* Labor and benefit costs for our restaurants increased by \$17.6 million, or 17.1%, to \$120.4 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$102.8 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 15 new restaurants since the twenty-six weeks ended June 28, 2011. As a percentage of revenues, labor and benefit costs slightly increased to 34.6% for the current twenty-six week period from 34.5% for the prior year comparable twenty-six week period. This slight percentage increase was primarily related to higher hourly kitchen and training labor due to the intensiveness and complexity of our new menu offerings, partially offset by our ability to leverage our fixed and semi-fixed labor costs over a higher revenue base as a result of comparable sales increases. Included in labor and benefits for the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011 was approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million or 0.2% of revenues for each year, of stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units granted in accordance with our Gold Standard Stock Ownership Program for certain restaurant management team members.



**Table of Contents**

*Occupancy and Operating.* Occupancy and operating expenses increased by \$11.4 million, or 18.8%, to \$71.7 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$60.4 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to the opening of 15 new restaurants since the twenty-six weeks ended June 28, 2011. As a percentage of revenues, occupancy and operating expenses increased to 20.6% for the current twenty-six week period from 20.3% for the prior year comparable twenty-six week period. This percentage increase was principally due to higher marketing, property and general liability insurance costs, partially offset by our ability to leverage the fixed component of these expenses over a higher revenue base as a result of comparable sales increases.

*General and Administrative.* General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.2 million, or 11.1%, to \$21.9 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$19.7 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. The increase in general and administrative costs was primarily due to higher field supervision and support costs. Also included in general and administrative costs for the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012 and June 28, 2011, was \$1.6 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses decreased to 6.3% for the current twenty-six week period from 6.6% for the prior year comparable twenty-six week period. This percentage decrease was primarily due to our ability to leverage the fixed component of these expenses over a higher revenue base.

*Depreciation and Amortization.* Depreciation and amortization increased by \$3.4 million, or 20.9%, to \$19.6 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$16.2 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. As a percentage of revenues, depreciation and amortization increased to 5.6% for the current twenty-six week period from 5.4% for the prior year period. This percentage increase was principally a result of increased construction costs for new restaurants and depreciation on our new operating toolsets, restaurant remodels and initiatives.

*Restaurant Opening.* Restaurant opening expense increased by \$1.0 million, or 35.8%, to \$3.6 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$2.7 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. This increase is primarily due to opening costs related to seven restaurant openings during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, and one opening shortly thereafter as compared to five restaurant openings during the twenty-six weeks ended June 28, 2011, and one restaurant opening shortly thereafter. Restaurant opening expenses for any given quarter will typically include expenses associated with restaurants opened during the quarter as well as expenses related to restaurants opened towards the end of the prior quarter and restaurants opening in subsequent quarters.

*Loss on Disposal of Assets.* Loss on disposal of assets decreased by \$0.2 million, or 32.5%, to \$0.5 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$0.7 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. For the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, these costs were primarily related to the write off of the remaining net book value of assets related to the upcoming closure and relocation of our small-format restaurant in Boulder, Colorado, coupled with the disposal of certain unproductive restaurant assets in connection with our ongoing productivity/efficiency initiatives and facility image enhancement activities.

*Legal Settlements.* Legal settlement expenses of approximately \$0.4 million, or 0.1% of revenues, during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, relates to the settlement of a trademark infringement civil action as compared to legal settlements of approximately \$1.0 million, or 0.3% of revenues, during the twenty-six weeks ended June 28, 2011, which related to the settlements of certain California employment practices lawsuits that had been outstanding since 2009 and 2010. See Part II Other Information; Item 1 Legal Proceedings of this Form 10-Q for additional information regarding pending and recently settled legal proceedings.

*Interest Income.* Interest income increased by \$0.06 million, or 68.2%, to \$0.1 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$0.09 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to slightly higher interest rates and investment balances compared to last year.

**Table of Contents**

*Interest Expense.* Interest expense was \$0.03 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, compared to \$0.06 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011.

*Gain on Investment Settlement.* Gain on investment settlement of approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million for the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, and the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011, respectively, relate to the settlement agreement reached in December 2009 with our former broker-dealer for the full liquidation of our auction rate securities investment portfolio. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, we are entitled to potential future recoveries of our loss on that portfolio based on the performance of those auction rate securities through December 2012. In connection with this settlement, during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, and the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011, certain of these aforementioned securities were redeemed at par, resulting in additional cash recoveries.

*Other Income, Net.* Other income, net, increased by \$0.1 million, or 28.4%, to \$0.4 million during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, from \$0.3 million during the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. This increase is primarily due to greater gift card breakage income. Based on an analysis of our gift card program since its inception, we determined that at 24 months after issuance date, the likelihood of gift card redemption is remote.

*Income Tax Expense.* Our effective income tax rate for the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, was 29.3% compared to 29.2% for the comparable twenty-six week period of 2011. The effective income tax rate for the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, differed from the statutory income tax rate primarily due to tax credits. We currently estimate our effective tax rate to be in the approximate range of 29% to 30% for fiscal 2012. However, our actual effective tax rate for fiscal 2012 may be different than our current estimate due to actual revenues, pre-tax income and tax credits achieved during the year and the deductibility of any subsequent disqualified dispositions related to incentive stock options.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, a summary of our key liquidity measurements (dollar amounts in thousands):

	<b>July 3, 2012</b>	<b>January 3, 2012</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$10,952	\$22,391
Total marketable securities	\$34,674	\$30,744
Net working capital	\$8,330	\$12,831
Current ratio	1.1:1.0	1.2:1.0

  

	<b>For The Twenty-Six Weeks Ended July 3, 2012</b>	<b>June 28, 2011</b>
Cash provided by operating activities	\$47,815	\$43,051
Capital expenditures	\$56,092	\$41,387

Our fundamental corporate finance philosophy is to maintain a conservative balance sheet in order to support our long-term restaurant expansion plan with sufficient financial flexibility, to provide the financial resources necessary to protect and enhance the competitiveness of our restaurant and brewing operations, to provide our restaurant landlords with confidence as to our intent and ability to honor all of our financial obligations under our restaurant leases, and to provide a prudent level of financial capacity to manage the risks and uncertainties of conducting our business operations on a larger scale. We obtain capital resources from our ongoing operations, employee stock option exercises and tenant improvement allowances from our landlords. Additionally, in the past we have obtained capital resources from public stock offerings. As an additional source of liquidity, we have a \$75 million credit facility in place that expires on January 31, 2017.

Our capital requirements are principally related to our restaurant expansion plans and restaurant enhancements and initiatives. While our ability to achieve our growth plans is dependent on a variety of factors, some of which are outside of our control, our primary growth objective for each of fiscal 2012 and 2013 is to achieve a low double-digit increase in total restaurant operating weeks from the development and opening of new restaurants, coupled with the carryover impact of partial-year 2011 and 2012 openings, respectively. Depending on the expected level of future new restaurant development and expected tenant improvement allowances that we receive from our landlords, as well as our other planned capital investments including ongoing maintenance capital expenditures, our base of



---

**Table of Contents**

established restaurant operations may not yet be large enough to generate enough cash flow from operations to totally fund our planned expansion over the longer run. We currently estimate the total domestic capacity for our brewhouse restaurant format to be at least 425 large-format restaurants. Accordingly, we will continue to actively monitor overall conditions in the capital markets with respect to the potential sources and timing of additional financing for our planned future expansion. However, there can be no assurance that such financing will be available when required or available on terms acceptable to us. If we are unable to secure additional capital resources, we may be required to reduce our longer-term planned rate of expansion.

Similar to many restaurant chains, we typically utilize operating lease arrangements (principally ground leases) for the majority of our restaurant locations. We believe our operating lease arrangements continue to provide appropriate leverage for our capital structure in a financially efficient manner. However, we are not limited to the use of lease arrangements as our only method of opening new restaurants. While our operating lease obligations are not currently required to be reflected as indebtedness on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, the minimum rents and other related lease obligations, such as common area expenses, under our lease agreements must be satisfied by cash flows from our ongoing operations. Accordingly, our lease arrangements reduce, to some extent, our capacity to utilize funded indebtedness in our capital structure.

We also require capital resources to evolve, maintain and to increase the productive capacity of our existing base of restaurants and brewery operations and to further expand and strengthen the capabilities of our corporate and information technology infrastructures. Our requirement for working capital is not significant since our restaurant guests pay for their food and beverage purchases in cash or credit cards at the time of the sale. Thus, we are able to sell many of our inventory items before we have to pay our suppliers for such items.

We typically seek to lease our restaurant locations for primary periods of 15 to 20 years under operating lease arrangements. Our rent structures vary from lease to lease, but generally provide for the payment of both minimum and contingent (percentage) rent based on sales, as well as other expenses related to the leases (for example, our pro-rata share of common area maintenance, property tax and insurance expenses). In addition, many of our lease arrangements include the opportunity to secure tenant improvement allowances to partially offset the cost of developing and opening the related restaurants. Generally, landlords recover the cost of such allowances from increased minimum rents. We currently expect to secure approximately \$10 to \$13 million of committed tenant improvement allowances from our planned new restaurant openings during fiscal 2012. However, in light of current conditions in the credit and real estate development markets, there can be no assurance that such allowances will continue to be available to us. From time to time, we may also decide to purchase the underlying land for a new restaurant if that is the only way to secure a highly desirable site. Currently, we own the land that underlies five of our restaurants. We may also decide to sell and then lease back the land that we own, depending on conditions in the sale/leaseback market and other factors. We disburse cash for certain site-related work, buildings, leasehold improvements, furnishings, fixtures and equipment to build out our leased and owned premises. We own substantially all of the equipment, furniture and trade fixtures in our restaurants and currently plan to do so in the future.

Our cash flows from operating activities, as detailed in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, provided \$47.8 million of net cash from operations during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, representing a \$4.7 million increase from the \$43.1 million provided by during the twenty-six week period of 2011. The increase in cash from operating activities for the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, in comparison to twenty-six weeks ended June 28, 2011, is primarily due to the increase in net income and higher depreciation expense as a result of more restaurants in operation, offset by the timing of accrued expenses and accounts payable payments.

For the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, total capital expenditures were approximately \$56.1 million, of which expenditures for the acquisition of restaurant and brewery equipment and leasehold improvements to construct new restaurants were \$41.0 million. These expenditures were primarily related to the construction of our seven new restaurants that opened during the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, as well as expenditures related to five additional restaurants expected to open in the third quarter of fiscal 2012. In addition, total capital expenditures related to the maintenance and key productivity initiatives of existing restaurants and expenditures for restaurant and corporate systems were \$10.0 million and \$5.1 million, respectively.

---

## **Table of Contents**

We filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) on January 7, 2010 with respect to various types of securities not to exceed an aggregate value of \$75 million. We do not have any immediate intentions or commitments to sell securities and will be required to file a post-effective amendment or file a new registration statement in the event we desire to publicly sell securities. The terms of any future offering of securities would be established at the time of such offering subject to market conditions. In addition, the Form S-3 also included the registration of 3,801,730 shares of common stock previously acquired by certain shareholders from the Company in various transactions several years ago. We registered those shares to fulfill our contractual obligations under a registration rights agreement with those shareholders.

We have a \$75 million unsecured revolving line of credit ( Line of Credit ) that expires on January 31, 2017, and may be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes. We utilize the Line of Credit principally for letters of credit that are required to support certain of our self-insurance programs and for working capital and construction requirements as needed. Borrowings under the Line of Credit will bear interest at either LIBOR plus a percentage not to exceed 1.50%, or at a rate ranging from the financial institution's prime rate to 0.75% below the financial institution's prime rate based on a Lease Adjusted Leverage Ratio as defined in the Line of Credit agreement. The Line of Credit agreement also requires compliance with a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio, a Lease Adjusted Leverage Ratio and certain non-financial covenants. While we have the Line of Credit in place and it can be currently drawn upon, it is possible that creditors could place limitations or restrictions on our ability to borrow from the Line of Credit.

Our capital expenditures during fiscal 2012 will continue to be significant as we currently plan to open as many as 16 new restaurants, including one relocation of an existing restaurant during the year, in addition to our necessary maintenance and key initiative-related capital expenditures. As of August 6, 2012, we have entered into fifteen signed leases for restaurants to be opened this year and fiscal 2013. Additionally, we expect to enter into several more leases during fiscal 2012 for future restaurants. We currently anticipate our total capital expenditure for fiscal 2012, including all expenditure categories, to be approximately \$100 to \$105 million. We expect to fund our anticipated capital expenditures for fiscal 2012 with current cash and investment balances on hand, expected cash flow from operations and expected tenant improvement allowances of approximately \$10 to \$13 million. Our future cash requirements will depend on many factors, including the pace of our expansion, conditions in the retail property development market, construction costs, the nature of the specific sites selected for new restaurants, and the nature of the specific leases and associated tenant improvement allowances available, if any, as negotiated with landlords.

We significantly depend on our expected cash flow from operations, coupled with agreed-upon landlord tenant improvement allowances, to fund the majority of our planned capital expenditures for 2012 and 2013. If our business does not generate enough cash flow from operations as expected, or if our landlords are unable to honor their agreements with us, and replacement funding sources are not otherwise available to us from borrowings under our credit facility or other alternatives, we may not be able to expand our operations at the pace currently planned.

As of August 6, 2012, we had an uncollected outstanding tenant improvement allowance from one landlord in the amount of \$1.2 million for one of our restaurants which opened in fiscal 2008. We do not believe that this uncollected allowance will have a material impact on our overall liquidity. Our lease with the landlord allows us to reduce our rent payable and thereby collect certain penalties as provided in our lease in the event that our tenant improvement allowances cannot be collected. In August 2011, we filed a lawsuit against the landlord for breach of contract and fraud. Concurrently, we are attempting to negotiate an amendment to the lease with the landlord in an effort to resolve the outstanding litigation.

## **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

We do not participate in transactions that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or variable interest entities ( VIEs ), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow limited purposes. As of July 3, 2012, we are not involved in any off-balance sheet arrangements.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### **IMPACT OF INFLATION**

Our profitability is dependent, among other things, on our ability to anticipate and react to changes in the costs of key operating resources, including food and other raw materials, labor, energy and other supplies and services. Substantial increases in costs and expenses could impact our operating results to the extent that such increases cannot be passed along to our restaurant guests. While we have taken steps to enter into agreements for some of the commodities used in our restaurant operations, there can be no assurance that future supplies and costs for such commodities will not fluctuate due to weather (including the ongoing severe drought conditions in key domestic grain producing regions) and other market conditions outside of our control. We are currently unable to contract for certain commodities, such as fluid dairy, fresh seafood and most fresh produce items, for long periods of time. Consequently, such commodities can be subject to unforeseen supply and cost fluctuations. The impact of inflation on food, labor, energy and occupancy costs can significantly affect the profitability of our restaurant operations.

Many of our restaurant team members are paid hourly rates related to the federal or state minimum wage. In addition, numerous state and local governments have their own minimum wage requirements that are generally greater than the federal minimum wage and are subject to annual increases based on changes in their local consumer price indices. Additionally, a general shortage in the availability of qualified restaurant management and hourly workers in certain geographical areas in which we operate has caused related increases in the costs of recruiting and compensating such team members. Certain operating and other costs, such as health benefits, taxes, insurance and other outside services, continue to increase with the general level of inflation and may also be subject to other cost and supply fluctuations outside of our control.

While we have been able to partially offset inflation and other changes in the costs of key operating resources by gradually increasing prices for our menu items, coupled with more efficient purchasing practices, productivity improvements and greater economies of scale, there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to do so in the future. From time to time, competitive conditions could limit our menu pricing flexibility. In addition, macroeconomic conditions that impact consumer discretionary spending for food away from home could make additional menu price increases imprudent. There can be no assurance that all of our future cost increases can be offset by higher menu prices, or that higher menu prices will be accepted by our restaurant guests without any resulting changes in their visit frequencies or purchasing patterns. Many of the leases for our restaurants provide for contingent rent obligations based on a percentage of sales. As a result, rent expense will absorb a proportionate share of any menu price increases in our restaurants. There can be no assurance that we will continue to generate increases in comparable restaurant sales in amounts sufficient to offset inflationary or other cost pressures.

### **SEASONALITY AND ADVERSE WEATHER**

Our business is subject to seasonal fluctuations. Our results of operations have historically been impacted by seasonality, which directly impacts tourism at our coastal California locations. The summer months (June through August) have traditionally been higher volume periods than other periods of the year. Additionally, our restaurants in the Midwest and Eastern states, including Florida will be impacted by weather and other seasonal factors that typically impact other restaurant operations in those regions. Holidays (and shifts in the holiday calendar), severe winter weather, hurricanes, tornados, thunderstorms and similar conditions may impact restaurant sales volumes seasonally in some of the markets where we operate. Many of our restaurants are located in or near shopping centers and malls that typically experience seasonal fluctuations in sales. Quarterly results have been and will continue to be significantly impacted by the timing of new restaurant openings and their associated restaurant opening expenses. As a result of these and other factors, our financial results for any given quarter may not be indicative of the results that may be achieved for a full fiscal year.

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Critical accounting policies require the greatest amount of subjective or complex judgments by management and are important to portraying our financial condition and results of operations. Judgments or uncertainties regarding the application of these policies may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. We consider the following policies to be the most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

#### ***Fair Value of Marketable Securities and Cash Equivalents***

We measure the fair value of our marketable securities using quoted market prices in active markets. All of our marketable securities are currently classified as held-to-maturity, included as short-term and long-term marketable securities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and reported at amortized cost with related gains and losses reflected in earnings once realized in accordance with U.S. GAAP. We believe that the fair value of our marketable securities equaled the quoted market price of our marketable securities at July 3, 2012.



---

## **Table of Contents**

We believe the carrying value of cash equivalents approximates fair value because of the short-term nature of those instruments.

### ***Property and Equipment***

We record all property and equipment at cost. Property and equipment accounting requires estimates of the useful lives for the assets for depreciation purposes and selection of depreciation methods. We believe the useful lives reflect the actual economic life of the underlying assets. We have elected to use the straight-line method of depreciation over the estimated useful life of an asset or the primary lease term of the respective lease, whichever is shorter. Renewals and betterments that materially extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized while maintenance and repair costs are charged to operations as incurred. Judgment is often required in the decision to distinguish between an asset which qualifies for capitalization versus an expenditure which is for maintenance and repairs. When property and equipment are sold or otherwise disposed of, the asset account and related accumulated depreciation and amortization accounts are relieved, and any gain or loss is included in earnings. Additionally, any interest capitalized for new restaurant construction would be included in Property and equipment, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

### ***Impairment of Long-Lived Assets***

We assess potential impairments of our long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. The assets are generally reviewed for impairment in total as well as on a restaurant by restaurant basis. Factors considered include, but are not limited to, significant underperformance by the restaurant relative to expected historical or projected future operating results, significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business, and significant negative industry or economic trends. The recoverability is assessed in most cases by comparing the carrying value of the asset to the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. This assessment process requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding future restaurant cash flows and estimated useful lives, which are subject to a significant degree of judgment. If these assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record impairment charges for these assets or for the entire restaurant.

### ***Self-Insurance Liability***

We are self-insured for a portion of our general liability insurance and our employee workers' compensation programs. We maintain coverage with a third party insurer to limit our total exposure for these programs. The accrued liability associated with these programs is based on our estimate of the ultimate costs to settle known claims as well as claims incurred but not yet reported to us ( IBNR claims ) as of the balance sheet date. Our estimated liability is based on information provided by our insurance broker and a third party actuary, combined with our judgments regarding a number of assumptions and factors, including the frequency and severity of claims, our claims development history, case jurisdiction, related legislation, and our claims settlement practice. Significant judgment is required to estimate IBNR claims as parties have yet to assert such claims. If actual claims trends, including the severity or frequency of claims, differ from our estimates, our financial results could be significantly impacted.

### ***Income Taxes***

We provide for income taxes based on our estimate of federal and state tax liabilities. Our estimates include, but are not limited to, effective state and local income tax rates, allowable tax credits for items such as FICA taxes paid on reported tip income and estimates related to depreciation expense allowable for tax purposes. We usually file our income tax returns several months after our fiscal year-end. We file our tax returns with the advice and compilation of tax consultants. All tax returns are subject to audit by federal and state governments, usually years after the returns are filed, and could be subject to differing interpretation of the tax laws.

Deferred tax accounting requires that we evaluate net deferred tax assets to determine if these assets will more likely than not be realized in the foreseeable future. This test requires projection of our taxable income into future years to determine if there will be taxable income sufficient to realize the tax assets (future tax deductions and FICA tax credit carryforwards). The preparation of the projections requires considerable judgment and is subject to change to reflect future events and changes in the tax laws.

## **Table of Contents**

The accounting for uncertainty in tax positions requires that we recognize the impact of a tax position in our consolidated financial statements if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of July 3, 2012, unrecognized tax benefits recorded was approximately \$0.9 million.

### *Leases*

We lease the majority of our restaurant locations. We account for our leases in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which require that our leases be evaluated and classified as operating or capital leases for financial reporting purposes. The term used for this evaluation includes renewal option periods only in instances in which the exercise of the renewal option can be reasonably assured and failure to exercise such option would result in an economic penalty. All of our restaurant leases are classified as operating leases. We disburse cash for leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures and equipment to build out and equip our leased premises. Tenant improvement allowance incentives may be available to partially offset the cost of developing and opening the related restaurants, pursuant to agreed-upon terms in our leases. Tenant improvement allowances can take the form of cash payments upon the opening of the related restaurants, full or partial credits against minimum or percentage rents otherwise payable by us or a combination thereof. All tenant improvement allowances received by us are recorded as a deferred rent obligation and amortized over the term of the lease. The related cash received from the landlord is reflected as Landlord contribution for tenant improvements, net within financing activities of our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The lease term used for straight-line rent expense is calculated from the date we obtain possession of the leased premises through the lease termination date. We expense rent from possession date through restaurant open date as preopening expense. Once a restaurant opens for business, we record straight-line rent over the lease term plus contingent rent to the extent it exceeded the minimum rent obligation per the lease agreement.

There is potential for variability in the rent holiday period, which begins on the possession date and ends on the restaurant open date, during which no cash rent payments are typically due under the terms of the lease. Factors that may affect the length of the rent holiday period generally relate to construction related delays. Extension of the rent holiday period due to delays in restaurant opening will result in greater preopening rent expense recognized during the rent holiday period and lesser occupancy expense during the rest of the lease term (post-opening).

For leases that contain rent escalations in which the amount of the future rent is certain or can be reasonably calculated, we record the total rent payable during the lease term, as determined above, on the straight-line basis over the term of the lease (including the rent holiday period beginning upon our possession of the premises), and record the difference between the minimum rents paid and the straight-line rent as a lease obligation. Certain leases contain provisions that require additional rental payments based upon restaurant sales volume (contingent rentals). Contingent rentals are accrued each period as the liabilities are incurred, in addition to the straight-line rent expense noted above. This results in some variability in occupancy expense as a percentage of revenues over the term of the lease in restaurants where we pay contingent rent.

Management makes judgments regarding the probable term for each restaurant property lease, which can impact the classification and accounting for a lease as capital or operating, the rent holiday and/or escalations in payments that are taken into consideration when calculating straight-line rent and the term over which leasehold improvements for each restaurant are amortized. These judgments may produce materially different amounts of depreciation, amortization and rent expense than would be reported if different assumed lease terms were used.

In an exposure draft issued in 2010, the FASB, together with the International Accounting Standards Board, has proposed a comprehensive set of changes in accounting for leases. While the Exposure Draft addresses new financial accounting rules for both lessors and lessees, the primary focus will likely be on changes affecting lessees. The lease accounting model contemplated by the new standard is a right of use model that assumes that each lease creates an asset (the lessee's right to use the leased asset) and a liability (the future rental payment obligations) which should be reflected on a lessee's balance sheet to fairly represent the lease transaction and the lessee's related financial obligations. Currently, all of our restaurant leases and our home office lease are accounted for as operating leases, with no related assets and liabilities on our balance sheet. The FASB has reopened the Exposure Draft for comments and has therefore not identified a proposed effective date for the issuance of the final standard. Changes in these accounting rules or their interpretation, or changes in underlying assumptions, estimates or judgments by us could significantly change our reported or expected financial performance. See Item 1A, Risk Factors, Future changes in financial accounting standards may significantly change our reported results of operations in this Form 10-Q.

---

**Table of Contents*****Stock-Based Compensation***

Under shareholder approved stock-based compensation plans, we have granted incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, and restricted stock units that generally vest over five years and expire ten years from the date of grant. Stock-based compensation is measured in accordance with U.S. GAAP based on the underlying fair value of the awards granted. In valuing stock options, we are required to make certain assumptions and judgments regarding the grant date fair value utilizing the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. These judgments include expected volatility, risk free interest rate, expected option life, dividend yield and vesting percentage. These estimations and judgments are determined by us using many different variables that, in many cases, are outside of our control. The changes in these variables or trends, including stock price volatility and risk free interest rate, may significantly impact the grant date fair value resulting in a significant impact to our financial results. The cash flow tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) are required to be classified as financing cash flows.

**Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The following discussion of market risks contains forward-looking statements. Actual results may differ materially from the following discussion based on general conditions in the financial and commodity markets.

Our market risk exposures are related to cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities. We invest our excess cash in highly liquid short-term marketable securities with maturities of two years or less as of the date of purchase. These investments are not held for trading or other speculative purposes. Changes in interest rates affect the investment income we earn on our marketable securities and, therefore, impact our cash flows and results of operations. For the twenty-six weeks ended July 3, 2012, the average interest rate earned on cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities was approximately 0.7%. As of July 3, 2012, our cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities consisted of money market funds, treasury bills, agency bonds, municipal and bank securities and domestic corporate obligations with a cost or fair value of approximately \$45.6 million. Cash may be in excess of FDIC insurance limits. The majority of our marketable securities, both short-term and long-term, are AAA-rated and directly or indirectly guaranteed by the U.S. Government. We believe we are not exposed to significant risk on cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities. The fair market value of our marketable securities is subject to interest rate risk and would decline in value if market interest rates increased. If market interest rates were to increase immediately and uniformly by 10% from the levels existing as of July 3, 2012, the decline in the fair value of the portfolio would not be material to our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We purchase food and other commodities for use in our operations based upon market prices established with our suppliers. Many of the commodities purchased by us can be subject to volatility due to market supply and demand factors outside of our control, whether contracted for or not. To manage this risk in part, we attempt to enter into fixed-price purchase commitments, with terms typically up to one year, for some of our commodity requirements. However, it may not be possible for us to enter into fixed-price contracts for certain commodities or we may choose not to enter into fixed-price contracts for certain commodities. Dairy costs can also fluctuate due to government regulation. We believe that substantially all of our food and supplies are available from several sources, which helps to diversify our overall commodity cost risk. We also believe that we have some flexibility and ability to increase certain menu prices, or vary certain menu items offered, in response to food commodity price increases. Some of our commodity purchase arrangements may contain contractual features that limit the price paid by establishing certain price floors or caps. We do not use financial instruments to hedge commodity prices, since our purchase arrangements with suppliers, to the extent that we can enter into such arrangements, help control the ultimate cost that we pay.

**Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES*****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of July 3, 2012, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed at a reasonable assurance level and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.



---

**Table of Contents**

***Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

There has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during our second fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are subject to private lawsuits, administrative proceedings and demands that arise in the ordinary course of our business and which typically involve claims from guests, employees and others related to operational, employment, real estate and intellectual property issues common to the foodservice industry. A number of these claims may exist at any given time. We believe that most of our guest claims will be covered by our general liability insurance, subject to certain deductibles and coverage limits. Punitive damages awards and employee unfair practice claims, however, are not covered by our general liability insurance. To date, we have not been ordered to pay punitive damages with respect to any claims, but there can be no assurance that punitive damages will not be awarded with respect to any future claims. We could be affected by adverse publicity resulting from allegations in lawsuits, claims and proceedings, regardless of whether these allegations are valid or whether we are ultimately determined to be liable. We currently believe that the final disposition of these types of lawsuits, proceedings and claims will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. It is possible, however, that our future results of operations for a particular quarter or fiscal year could be impacted by changes in circumstances relating to lawsuits, proceedings or claims.

The following paragraphs describe certain legal actions recently settled or pending:

**Labor Related Matters**

On February 4, 2009, a former team member filed a putative class action complaint in the Superior Court for the County of Fresno, California on behalf of himself and other current and former servers working in the Company's California restaurants. The complaint alleged causes of action for failure to pay wages for on-call time in violation of the California Labor Code, unfair competition in violation of the California Business and Professions Code, and associated penalties for failure to pay wages in a timely manner. The complaint sought unspecified damages, a constructive trust, restitution, injunctive relief, interest, attorneys' fees and costs. On August 14, 2009, a first amended complaint was filed, in which two other former team members joined the action as plaintiffs. The Company answered the operative complaint denying the allegations. The parties reached a settlement in principle of this action in September 2011, and the settlement agreement was fully executed in May 2012. The parties are currently seeking court approval of the settlement. The terms of this settlement are not considered by the Company to be material to its consolidated financial position. The Company recognized a legal settlement expense and related liability in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 for this matter.

On August 25, 2009, a former team member filed a putative class action in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, California on behalf of himself and other current and former restaurant managers in California. The complaint, as amended, alleged that the Company's California kitchen managers were misclassified as exempt from overtime and other California wage-and-hour requirements. It alleged causes of action for failure to pay overtime wages, failure to provide meal and rest periods, failure to pay wages in a timely manner, failure to provide accurate wage statements, failure to keep accurate payroll records, penalties associated with these claims, and failure to reimburse class members for business expenses in violation of the California Labor Code and unfair competition in violation of the California Business and Professions Code. The complaint sought unspecified damages, restitution, injunctive relief, interest, attorneys' fees and costs. In January 2010, on the Company's motion, the Court ordered the venue of the case transferred to Orange County. The Company responded to the third amended complaint, the operative complaint, denying the allegations. The parties reached a settlement in principle of this action in July 2011, which was executed fully in February 2012. The parties are currently seeking court approval of the settlement.

**Table of Contents**

The terms of this settlement are not considered by the Company to be material to its consolidated financial position. The Company recognized a legal settlement expense and related liability in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 for this matter.

On March 24, 2011, Baroness Small Estates, Inc. filed a civil action against BJs in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, alleging the Company infringed one of its trademarks. After mediation, the parties resolved the matter and a legal settlement expense of \$0.4 million was recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 for this matter. The terms of this settlement are not considered by the Company to be material to its consolidated financial position.

**PART II****Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

A discussion of the significant risks associated with investments in our securities, as well as other matters, is set forth in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2012. There have been no material changes in the risks related to us from those disclosed in such Annual Report. These cautionary statements are to be used as a reference in connection with any forward-looking statements. The factors, risks and uncertainties identified in these cautionary statements are in addition to those contained in any other cautionary statements, written or oral, which may be made or otherwise addressed in connection with a forward-looking statement or contained in any of our subsequent filings with the SEC. The risks described in this Form 10-Q are not the only risks we face. New risks and uncertainties arise from time to time and we cannot predict those events or how they may affect us. There may be other risks and uncertainties that are not currently known or that are currently deemed by us to be immaterial; however, they may ultimately adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

**Item 6. EXHIBITS****Exhibit****Number Description**

- |      |  |
|------|--|
| 3.1  | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company, as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 28, 1996, as amended by the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2/A filed with the Commission on August 1, 1996, and the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2A filed with the Commission on August 22, 1996, (File No. 3335182-LA) (as amended, the Registration Statement). |
| 3.2  | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibits 3.1 of the Form 8-K filed on June 4, 2007.   |
| 3.3  | Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2004.   |
| 3.4  | Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2010.   |
| 4.1  | Specimen Common Stock Certificate of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registration Statement.  |
| 10.1 | BJs Restaurants, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended.  |
| 31   | Section 302 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.   |
| 32   | Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.  |
| 101  | The following materials from BJs Restaurants, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 3, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income; (iii) Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (iv) Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.  |



**Table of Contents**

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BJ'S RESTAURANTS, INC.

(Registrant)

August 6, 2012  
Gerald W. Deitchle

By: /s/ GERALD W. DEITCHLE

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ GREGORY S. LEVIN

Gregory S. Levin

Executive Vice President,

Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)