

Medidata Solutions, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
August 13, 2010  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**  
**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-34387

**Medidata Solutions, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

<b>Delaware</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	<b>13-4066508</b> (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
<b>79 Fifth Avenue, 8th Floor</b>  <b>New York, New York</b> (Address of principal executive offices)	<b>10003</b> (Zip Code)
<b>(212) 918-1800</b>  (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

## Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer       Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  Yes  No

As of August 6, 2010, the registrant had 23,777,339 shares of common stock outstanding.

**Table of Contents**

**MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q**

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010**

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Page</b>	
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2009</u>	1
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	16
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	28
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	29
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	30
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	30
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	30
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	31
Item 4.	<u>[Removed and Reserved]</u>	31
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	31
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	31
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	32
	<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	33

**Table of Contents****PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**  
**MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	December 31, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,223	\$ 39,449
Marketable securities	64,869	36,566
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$267 and \$197, respectively	18,998	18,887
Prepaid commission expense	3,062	3,045
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,978	3,566
Deferred income taxes	139	139
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>113,269</b>	<b>101,652</b>
Restricted cash	532	532
Marketable securities – long term	2,112	13,072
Furniture, fixtures and equipment, net	11,463	12,960
Goodwill	9,799	9,799
Intangible assets, net	3,674	4,404
Other assets	965	990
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 141,814</b>	<b>\$ 143,409</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,398	\$ 3,073
Accrued payroll and other compensation	7,329	10,837
Accrued expenses and other	5,279	7,543
Deferred revenue	73,552	69,842
Capital lease obligations	1,338	2,735
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>89,896</b>	<b>94,030</b>
Noncurrent liabilities:		
Deferred revenue, less current portion	22,226	27,868
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	308	781
Other long-term liabilities	541	498
<b>Total noncurrent liabilities</b>	<b>23,075</b>	<b>29,147</b>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>112,971</b>	<b>123,177</b>
Commitments and contingencies		

Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 5,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding		
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 100,000 shares authorized, 23,765 and 22,900 shares issued; 23,737 and 22,895 shares outstanding, respectively	238	229
Additional paid-in capital	117,849	113,674
Treasury stock, 28 and 5 shares, respectively	(400)	(69)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(317)	(246)
Accumulated deficit	(88,527)	(93,356)
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>28,843</b>	<b>20,232</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 141,814</b>	<b>\$ 143,409</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues				
Application services	\$ 32,162	\$ 24,523	\$ 61,892	\$ 48,188
Professional services	8,151	9,505	16,063	19,442
Total revenues	40,313	34,028	77,955	67,630
Cost of revenues(1)(2)				
Application services	6,327	5,845	12,394	11,515
Professional services	6,492	6,839	13,001	13,452
Total cost of revenues	12,819	12,684	25,395	24,967
Gross profit	27,494	21,344	52,560	42,663
Operating costs and expenses:				
Research and development(1)	6,680	5,789	13,014	11,286
Sales and marketing(1)(2)	7,927	6,745	15,420	13,458
General and administrative(1)	8,479	8,037	16,774	14,858
Total operating costs and expenses	23,086	20,571	45,208	39,602
Operating income	4,408	773	7,352	3,061
Interest and other (expense) income:				
Interest expense	(67)	(405)	(147)	(839)
Interest income	100	36	193	63
Other income (expense), net	164	(3)	133	(8)
Total interest and other income (expense), net	197	(372)	179	(784)
Income before income taxes	4,605	401	7,531	2,277
Provision for income taxes	1,640	201	2,702	383
Net income	\$ 2,965	\$ 200	\$ 4,829	\$ 1,894
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.23
Diluted	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.11
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	22,881	7,385	22,807	7,212
Diluted	23,686	17,769	23,664	17,596

(1) Stock-based compensation expense included in cost of revenues and operating costs and expenses is as follows:

Cost of revenues	\$ 180	\$ 65	\$ 285	\$ 156
Research and development	133	105	228	268
Sales and marketing	378	220	662	468

## Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

General and administrative	967	530	1,633	1,031
Total stock-based compensation	\$ 1,658	\$ 920	\$ 2,808	\$ 1,923

(2) Amortization expense of intangible assets included in cost of revenues and operating costs and expenses is as follows:

Cost of revenues	\$ 277	\$ 420	\$ 554	\$ 841
Sales and marketing	88	36	176	72
Total amortization of intangible assets	\$ 365	\$ 456	\$ 730	\$ 913

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 2 -

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)**

(Amounts in thousands)

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 4,829	\$ 1,894
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation and amortization	4,811	5,141
Stock-based compensation	2,808	1,923
Amortization of discounts or premiums on marketable securities	576	
Excess tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation awards	(549)	
Deferred income taxes	29	28
Amortization of debt issuance costs	27	67
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>		
Accounts receivable	(111)	3,949
Prepaid commission expense	(17)	(236)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(3,412)	(470)
Other assets	25	(52)
Accounts payable	481	(905)
Accrued payroll and other compensation	(3,508)	(1,077)
Accrued expenses and other	(1,103)	661
Deferred revenue	(1,932)	1,178
Other long-term liabilities	8	36
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>2,962</b>	<b>12,137</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment	(4,447)	(2,151)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(33,374)	
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	15,499	
Decrease in restricted cash		13
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(22,322)</b>	<b>(2,138)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	827	3
Excess tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation awards	549	
Repayment of obligations under capital leases	(1,870)	(2,486)
Acquisition of treasury stock	(331)	
Payment of debt issuance costs	(21)	
Proceeds from initial public offering, net of underwriting discounts and commissions		82,026
Payment of costs associated with initial public offering		(2,563)
Payment of preferred stock accumulated accrued dividends		(2,282)
Repayment of debt obligation		(750)
<b>Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities</b>	<b>(846)</b>	<b>73,948</b>
<b>Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>(20,206)</b>	<b>83,947</b>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(20)	32



Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents	Beginning of period	39,449	9,784
Cash and cash equivalents	End of period	\$ 19,223	\$ 93,763

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 3 -

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS, CONTINUED (Unaudited)****(Amounts in thousands)**

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
<b>Cash paid during the period for:</b>		
Interest	\$ 114	\$ 766
Income taxes	\$ 2,114	\$ 316
<b>Noncash activities:</b>		
Furniture, fixtures and equipment acquired through capital lease obligations	\$	\$ 1,149
Furniture, fixtures and equipment acquired but not yet paid for at period-end	\$ 415	\$ 431
Accrued costs associated with initial public offering	\$	\$ 1,173
Conversion of convertible redeemable preferred stock to common stock	\$	\$ 11,206
Accretion of preferred stock issuance costs	\$	\$ 21

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. ORGANIZATION**

Medidata Solutions, Inc. ( Medidata or the Company ) provides software-as-a-service ( SaaS ) based clinical technology solutions that enhance the efficiency of its customers' clinical development processes and optimize their research and development investments. The Company's solutions allow its customers to achieve clinical results by streamlining the design, planning and management of key aspects of the clinical development process, including protocol development, contract research organization negotiation, investigator contracting, the capture and management of clinical trial data and the analysis and reporting of that data on a worldwide basis.

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Except to the extent updated or described below, the Company's significant accounting policies as of June 30, 2010 are substantially the same as those at December 31, 2009, which are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) on March 15, 2010.

**Basis of Presentation** The accompanying interim condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are unaudited and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) and applicable rules and regulations of the SEC for interim financial reporting. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules that would ordinarily be required by GAAP for complete financial statements. These unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 15, 2010.

The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals considered necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of June 30, 2010, results of its operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010.

**Income Taxes** The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes, as prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 740, *Income Taxes*, which recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized.

In addition, the Company follows ASC 740-10 for the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the consolidated financial statements. Under ASC 740-10, the Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position.

For the provision for income taxes at interim periods, the Company follows ASC 740-270, *Income Taxes - Interim Reporting*, and has developed an estimate of the annual effective tax rate based upon the facts and circumstances known at the time. The Company's effective tax rate is based on expected income, statutory rates and permanent differences applicable to the Company in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates.

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Segment Information** As defined by ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, the Company operates as a single segment, as management makes operating decisions and assesses performance based on one single operating unit. The Company recorded revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 in the following geographic areas, based on the country in which revenues were generated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues:				
United States of America	\$ 25,890	\$ 22,646	\$ 48,829	\$ 45,668
Japan	4,431	3,797	8,843	7,028
United Kingdom	3,540	2,692	6,942	5,579
Switzerland	2,284	1,593	4,619	3,253
Other	4,168	3,300	8,722	6,102
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 40,313</b>	<b>\$ 34,028</b>	<b>\$ 77,955</b>	<b>\$ 67,630</b>

The following table summarizes long-term assets by geographic area as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Long-term assets:		
United States of America	\$ 26,917	\$ 39,895
United Kingdom	853	976
Japan	775	886
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 28,545</b>	<b>\$ 41,757</b>

**Comprehensive Income** ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*, established standards for reporting and displaying comprehensive income into its components (revenues, expenses, gains and losses) in a full set of general-purpose financial statements. The Company's other comprehensive income components result from foreign currency translation adjustments, as well as unrealized holding gains and losses for investments in available-for-sale securities. The following table sets forth the calculation of comprehensive income (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 2,965	\$ 200	\$ 4,829	\$ 1,894
Foreign currency translation adjustment	60	318	(115)	209
Net unrealized gain on marketable securities	14		44	
<b>Total comprehensive income</b>	<b>\$ 3,039</b>	<b>\$ 518</b>	<b>\$ 4,758</b>	<b>\$ 2,103</b>

**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements** In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, *Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*. ASU No. 2009-13 amends the current guidance on arrangements with multiple deliverables under ASC

## Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

605-25, *Revenue Recognition - Multiple-Element Arrangements*, to (a) eliminate the separation criterion that requires entities to establish objective and reliable evidence of fair value for undelivered elements; (b) establish a selling price hierarchy to help entities allocate arrangement consideration to the separate units of account; (c) eliminate the residual allocation method which will be replaced by the relative selling price allocation method for all arrangements; and (d) significantly expand the disclosure requirements. ASU No. 2009-13 is effective for new or materially modified arrangements in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. If early adoption is elected and the period of adoption is not the beginning of the fiscal year, retrospective application from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption and additional disclosure are required. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of these provisions of ASU No. 2009-13 on its consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In October 2009, the FASB also issued ASU No. 2009-14, *Certain Revenue Arrangements that Include Software Elements*. ASU No. 2009-14 amends the scoping guidance for software arrangements under ASC 985-605, *Software Revenue Recognition*, to exclude tangible products that contain software elements and nonsoftware elements that function together to interdependently deliver the product's essential functionality. Such tangible products being excluded from ASU No. 2009-14 will instead fall under the scope of ASU No. 2009-13. The FASB also provided several considerations and examples for entities applying this guidance. The effective date for ASU No. 2009-14 is consistent with ASU No. 2009-13 as stated above. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of these provisions of ASU No. 2009-14 on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Improving Disclosure about Fair Value Measurement*. ASU No. 2010-06 amends ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, to add new requirements for disclosure about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. It also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. ASU No. 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements, which are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted ASU No. 2010-06 on January 1, 2010 and the adoption did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-13, *Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades*, which amends ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, to clarify that a share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity securities trades must not be considered a market, performance, or service condition. Therefore, an entity should not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies for classification in equity. ASU No. 2010-13 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010, and will be applied prospectively. All of the Company's stock-based awards granted to its international employees were classified as equity awards in accordance with its current accounting policy, which is consistent with the accounting treatment contained in this ASU No. 2010-13. Therefore, the adoption of this ASU No. 2010-13 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**3. MARKETABLE SECURITIES AND FAIR VALUE**

The Company manages its cash equivalents and marketable securities as a single investment portfolio that is intended to be available to meet the Company's current cash requirements. Cash equivalents substantially consist of investment in money market funds. Marketable securities, in which we classify as available-for-sale securities, primarily consist of high quality commercial paper, corporate bonds, U.S. government obligations, bank certificates of deposit and foreign government bonds. Marketable securities with remaining effective maturities of twelve months or less from the balance sheet date are classified as short-term; otherwise, they are classified as long-term on the consolidated balance sheet. The following table provides the Company's marketable securities by security type as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

	Cost	As of June 30, 2010		Estimated Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Commercial paper and corporate bonds	\$ 29,289	\$ 7	\$ (19)	\$ 29,277
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agency debt securities	32,226	24	(3)	32,247
Bank certificates of deposit	4,450			4,450
Foreign government bonds	1,007			1,007
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 66,972</b>	<b>\$ 31</b>	<b>\$ (22)</b>	<b>\$ 66,981</b>

	Cost	As of December 31, 2009		Estimated Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Commercial paper and corporate bonds	\$ 33,374	\$ 17	\$ (49)	\$ 33,342
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agency debt securities	9,599		(4)	9,595
Bank certificates of deposit	6,701			6,701
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 49,674</b>	<b>\$ 17</b>	<b>\$ (53)</b>	<b>\$ 49,638</b>

Contractual maturities of the Company's marketable securities as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2010		As of December 31, 2009	
	Cost	Estimated	Cost	Estimated
		Fair Value		Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 64,862	\$ 64,869	\$ 36,550	\$ 36,566
Due after one through five years	2,110	2,112	13,124	13,072
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 66,972</b>	<b>\$ 66,981</b>	<b>\$ 49,674</b>	<b>\$ 49,638</b>

The following table provides the fair market value and the gross unrealized losses of the Company's marketable securities with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by security type as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	In Loss Position for Less than 12 Months			
	As of June 30, 2010		As of December 31, 2009	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Commercial paper and corporate bonds	\$ 18,683	\$ (19)	\$ 15,341	\$ (49)

Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agency debt securities	3,087	(3)	9,595	(4)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 21,770</b>	<b>\$ (22)</b>	<b>\$ 24,936</b>	<b>\$ (53)</b>

None of the Company's marketable securities has been in a continuous unrealized loss position for more than twelve months as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

- 8 -



---

**Table of Contents**

**MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

At June 30, 2010, the Company had a gross unrealized loss of approximately \$22 thousand primarily due to a decrease in the fair value of certain corporate bond securities and U.S. government agency debt securities. The Company regularly reviews its investment portfolio to identify and evaluate investments that have indications of possible impairment. Factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include:

the length of time and extent to which fair value has been lower than the cost basis;

the financial condition, credit quality and near-term prospects of the investee; and

whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security prior to recovery.

As the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company has determined that the gross unrealized losses on such investments at June 30, 2010 are temporary in nature. Accordingly, the Company did not consider that its investments in marketable securities were other-than-temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2010.

The Company began to invest in marketable securities in October 2009. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recorded an insignificant amount of net realized gains from the sale of marketable securities.

ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, establishes a framework for measuring fair value. That framework provides a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC 820-10 are described as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, include:

quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;

quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active;

inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability;

inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

## Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3      Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology and significant to the fair value measurement for the asset or liability.

- 9 -

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Financial assets (excluding cash balances) measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2010			As of December 31, 2009		
	Fair Value			Fair Value		
	Measurement Using Level 1	Level 2	Total	Measurement Using Level 1	Level 2	Total
Money market funds	\$ 8,757	\$	\$ 8,757	\$ 27,114	\$	\$ 27,114
Total cash equivalents	8,757		8,757	27,114		27,114
Commercial paper and corporate bonds		29,277	29,277		33,342	33,342
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agency debt securities	7,008	25,239	32,247	5,995	3,600	9,595
Bank certificates of deposit		4,450	4,450		6,701	6,701
Foreign government bonds		1,007	1,007			
Total marketable securities	7,008	59,973	66,981	5,995	43,643	49,638
Total financial assets	\$ 15,765	\$ 59,973	\$ 75,738	\$ 33,109	\$ 43,643	\$ 76,752

The Company's financial assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are generally classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Investments in money market funds and U.S. Treasury debt securities have been classified as Level 1 since these securities are valued based upon \$1.00 net asset value per share or unadjusted quoted prices in active markets. Investments in commercial paper, corporate bonds, U.S. government agency debt securities, bank certificates of deposit and foreign government bonds have been classified as Level 2 since these securities are valued based on quoted prices in less active markets or significant inputs which are directly or indirectly observable. The valuation techniques used to measure the fair values of corporate bonds, U.S. government agency debt securities and foreign government bonds were derived from the inputs of market prices from multiple sources at each reporting period. The fair value was then determined based on a consensus price or a weighted average price for each security. For the remaining financial assets classified as Level 2, substantially all of the securities had a short maturity within one year with high credit ratings. Therefore, the valuation techniques used to measure the fair values were primarily derived from accretion of purchase price to its face value over the term of maturity or quoted market prices for similar instruments if available. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, there were no transfers of financial assets between Level 1 and Level 2.

The carrying amount of accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

**4. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

There was no change in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Intangible assets are summarized as follows (in thousands):

Gross Carrying	As of June 30, 2010		As of December 31, 2009	
	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying

Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	Amount		Amount		Amount
Acquired technology	\$ 2,400	\$ (1,100)	\$ 1,300	\$ (860)	\$ 1,540
Database	1,900	(871)	1,029	(681)	1,219
Customer relationships	1,600	(400)	1,200	(224)	1,376
Customer contracts	1,600	(1,455)	145	(1,331)	269
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,500</b>	<b>\$ (3,826)</b>	<b>\$ 3,674</b>	<b>\$ (3,096)</b>	<b>\$ 4,404</b>

- 10 -

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Annual amortization for the next five years is expected to be as follows (in thousands):

Remainder of year ending December 31, 2010	\$ 729
Years ending December 31,	
2011	1,377
2012	1,308
2013	260
2014	

**5. DEBT**

The Company has a \$10.0 million revolving line of credit ( Revolving Credit Line ) under its senior secured credit facility ( Credit Facility ) that matures in September 2013. In June 2010, the Company entered into the second loan modification agreement ( Second Modification ) with the lender. Pursuant to the terms of the Second Modification, the Credit Facility was amended to:

reduce fees payable by the Company on its \$10.0 million Revolving Credit Line under the Credit Facility by (a) eliminating the 2.25% margin on prime rate borrowings and (b) decreasing the undrawn revolving credit line fee from 0.500% of the average undrawn balance to an annual rate of 0.375% of the average undrawn balance;

provide the Company with an option to borrow under the Revolving Credit Line at an interest rate based on the U.S. London Interbank Offer Rate ( LIBOR ) plus a margin of 2.5%;

simplify the Company's financial reporting procedures by eliminating monthly financial reporting obligations and amending certain reporting procedures; and

replace the Company's prior financial covenants with a simplified adjusted quick ratio covenant of 2.00:1.00 as defined in the Second Modification and provide that in the event that the Company has less than \$10.0 million of cash or cash equivalents in accounts with the lender in excess of the Company's borrowings under the Credit Facility, the Company would also be required to satisfy a minimum trailing-two-quarter cash flow covenant, commencing at \$3 million for the period ended June 30, 2010 and increasing each quarter by \$1 million up to \$6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 and thereafter.

Since the Second Modification did not amend the total amount of the \$10.0 million revolving line of credit nor change the remaining term, the Company concluded that the borrowing capacity remained unchanged after the amendment. As a result, the \$21 thousand of new debt issuance costs incurred from the Second Modification will be deferred and amortized together with the existing unamortized debt issuance costs over the remaining term of the Credit Facility in accordance with ASC 470-50-40-21. As of June 30, 2010, the remaining unamortized balance was approximately \$0.2 million and is classified in other long-term liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Besides the \$0.2 million reduction of the available amount due to a standby letter of credit in connection with the office lease executed under the Credit Facility in July 2009, the Revolving Credit Line remains undrawn. As of June 30, 2010, approximately \$9.8 million of the Revolving Credit Line was still available for future borrowings.

**6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

The Company accounts for the stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the components of stock-based compensation expense were summarized in the following table (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Stock options	\$ 1,101	\$ 886	\$ 1,966	\$ 1,889
Restricted stock awards	557	34	842	34
<b>Total stock-based compensation</b>	<b>\$ 1,658</b>	<b>\$ 920</b>	<b>\$ 2,808</b>	<b>\$ 1,923</b>

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Expected volatility	60%	63%	60%	63%
Expected life	6 years	6 years	6 years	6 years
Risk-free interest rate	2.47%	2.93%	2.47%	2.52%
Dividend yield				

The following table summarizes the activity under the stock option plans as of June 30, 2010, and changes during the six months then ended (in thousands, except per share data):

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	2,813	\$ 8.85		
Granted	337	15.35		
Exercised	(318)	2.60		
Forfeited	(6)	17.30		
Expired	(31)	19.45		
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	2,795	\$ 10.21	6.82	\$ 15,916
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	1,681	\$ 7.07	5.51	\$ 14,747
Vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2010	2,745	\$ 10.12	6.78	\$ 15,886

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of stock options granted during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$8.75 and \$8.47, respectively, and the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$8.75 and \$8.55, respectively. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.2 million and \$1 thousand, respectively, and the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$4.1 million and \$59 thousand, respectively.

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's nonvested restricted stock awards as of June 30, 2010, and changes during the six months then ended (in thousands, except per share data):

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2010	286	\$ 14.02

Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Granted	548	15.35
Vested	(69)	14.03
Forfeited	(3)	15.35
Nonvested at June 30, 2010	762	\$ 14.97

As of June 30, 2010, there was a total of \$20.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to all non-vested stock-based compensation awards granted, as recorded in accordance with ASC 718. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average remaining period of 2.7 years for stock options and 3.5 years for restricted stock awards. The total fair value of shares vested during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$2.1 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, and the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$3.0 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.



**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****7. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

The Company follows ASC 260, *Earnings Per Share*, in calculating earnings per share. Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share includes the determinants of basic net income per share and, in addition, gives effect to the potential dilution that would occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock are exercised, vested or converted into common stock unless they are anti-dilutive.

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are shown in the following table (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Numerator</b>				
Numerator for basic earnings per share:				
Net income	\$ 2,965	\$ 200	\$ 4,829	\$ 1,894
Preferred stock dividends and accretion		(118)		(243)
Net income available to common stockholders	2,965	82	4,829	1,651
Numerator for diluted earnings per share:				
Effect of dilutive preferred stock		118		243
Net income available to common stockholders with assumed conversion	\$ 2,965	\$ 200	\$ 4,829	\$ 1,894
<b>Denominator</b>				
Denominator for basic earnings per share:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	22,881	7,385	22,807	7,212
Denominator for diluted earnings per share:				
Dilutive potential common shares:				
Preferred stock		9,015		9,015
Stock options	736	1,368	789	1,368
Restricted stock awards	69	1	68	1
Weighted average common shares outstanding with assumed conversion	23,686	17,769	23,664	17,596
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.23
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.11
Total number of anti-dilutive shares of stock options and nonvested stock excluded from calculation of diluted earnings per share	1,440	904	1,121	885

**8. INCOME TAXES**

The Company's effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was approximately 36%, and was differs from the federal statutory rate of 34% primarily due to full valuation allowance against the majority of domestic net deferred tax assets, foreign tax rate differential, stock-based compensation and state and local income taxes. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company's

## Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

income tax expense primarily consisted of foreign income taxes imposed on its foreign subsidiaries in the United Kingdom and Japan. The Company's domestic income tax was fully covered by its U.S. federal and state net operating loss carryforwards prior to December 2009.

- 13 -

---

**Table of Contents****MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, the Company assesses the available positive and negative evidence to estimate if sufficient future taxable income will be generated to utilize its existing deferred tax assets. To date, a significant piece of objective negative evidence evaluated has been the cumulative loss incurred over the three year period ended December 31, 2009. Such objective evidence limits the ability to consider other subjective evidence such as the Company's projections for future growth. Based on this evaluation, as of December 31, 2009 and as updated through June 30, 2010, the Company has provided a valuation allowance against the majority of its domestic net deferred tax assets as their future utilization remains uncertain at this time. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could be adjusted if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period change or if objective negative evidence in the form of cumulative losses is no longer present and additional weight may be given to subjective evidence such as the Company's projections for growth.

The Company had approximately \$0.2 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2009. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, there was no change relating to the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits. In July 2010, the Company filed the amended tax returns with the state of Texas along with the tax payment, including interest and penalties, of approximately \$0.2 million. As a result, the entire balance of unrecognized tax benefits has been removed subsequent to June 30, 2010.

**9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

**Legal Matters** The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims which have arisen in the ordinary course of business. The Company records an estimated liability for these matters when an adverse outcome is considered to be probable and can be reasonably estimated.

In 2006, it was claimed that certain applications offered to the Company's customers potentially infringed on intellectual property rights held by a third party (the Claimant). As a result of negotiations with the Claimant, the Company entered into a license and settlement agreement in June 2007, pursuant to which the Company licensed the intellectual property held by the Claimant for use in its future sales to customers and settled all past infringement claims. The Company paid a settlement amount of \$2.2 million to the Claimant in 2007. In June 2009, the Claimant initiated a lawsuit against the Company claiming breach of contract. The complaint includes allegations that the Company has failed to pay unspecified royalties relating to sales of the Company's products. The Company believes that the allegations in this lawsuit are without merit. The Company filed an answer in July 2009, denying all material allegations and asserting numerous affirmative defenses. The Company also asserted counterclaims for a declaratory judgment that no royalties are owed with respect to sales of the Company's products, as well as a counterclaim for Claimant's breach of the license and settlement agreement. The parties are now engaged in the discovery process. Since the probable outcome and the future economic impact of this litigation on the Company remain uncertain, the Company is unable to develop an estimate of its potential liability, if any, as it relates to this litigation. As a result, the Company did not record a liability as of June 30, 2010 nor December 31, 2009. The Claimant also filed patent infringement lawsuits against two of the Company's customers. See *Indemnification* below for additional information.

In 2006, a former employee of the Company made a claim seeking compensation of approximately \$1.6 million in relation to a wrongful dismissal lawsuit. Subsequently, the claim was reduced to approximately \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2008. The court rendered its decision in January 2009, which awarded approximately \$0.1 million to the plaintiff. The plaintiff filed a notice of appeal in September 2009, which remains pending. The Company will continue to vigorously defend this claim until it is ultimately resolved. The Company had accrued approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million which was included in accrued payroll and other compensation on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Since this accrual is denominated in the same functional currency as the claim in Euros, its balance is subject to change due to foreign currency adjustment.

**Indemnification** In 2008, two customers requested the Company to indemnify them in connection with patent infringement lawsuits filed by the Claimant who also filed a lawsuit against the Company in June 2009 as discussed above. The Company agreed to defend and indemnify one of these customers with respect to the allegations, claims, and defenses relating to its use of the Company's software. As the estimated indemnification obligation concerning this claim was determined to be probable and could be reasonably estimated, the Company had accrued \$0.5 million which was included in accrued expenses and other on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009. In March 2010, the Company reached a final agreement with this customer and paid a settlement amount of \$0.5 million to fully settle this indemnification obligation. To date, no claims have been asserted against the second customer with respect to its use of the Company's products.



**Table of Contents**

**MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Contractual Warranties*** The Company typically provides contractual warranties to its customers covering its product and services. To date, any refunds provided to customers have been immaterial.

***Change in Control Agreements*** In January 2009, the Company entered into change in control agreements with its chief executive officer and certain other executive officers. These agreements provide for payments to be made to such officers upon involuntary termination of their employment by the Company without cause or by such officers for good reason as defined in the agreements, within a two-year period following a change in control. The agreements provide that, upon a qualifying termination event, such officers will be entitled to (a) a severance payment equal to the officer's base salary plus target bonus amount; (b) continuation of health benefits for 12 months; (c) immediate vesting of any remaining unvested equity awards; and (d) a tax gross up payment under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code sufficient to reimburse the officer for 50% of any excise tax payable as a result of any termination payments following a change in control, if applicable.

- 15 -

**Table of Contents****Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements reflect our current estimates, expectations and projections about our future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, the information concerning our possible future results of operations, business and growth strategies, financing plans, expectations that regulatory developments or other matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition, our competitive position and the effects of competition, the projected growth of the industry in which we operate, the benefits and synergies to be obtained from our completed and any future acquisitions, and statements of management's goals and objectives, and other similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as may, should, could, would, predicts, potential, continue, expects, anticipates, future, intends, plans, believes, estimates, appears, projects and similar expressions, as well as statements in the future tense, identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times at, or by which, such performance or results will be achieved. Forward-looking information is based on information available at the time and/or management's good faith belief with respect to future events, and is subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual performance or results to differ materially from those expressed in the statements. Important factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to the factors discussed under the Risk Factors section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, on March 15, 2010.*

*The following is a discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations and should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes to condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes to audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.*

**Overview**

We are a leading global provider of software-as-a-service, or SaaS, based clinical technology solutions that enhance the efficiency of our customers' clinical development processes and optimize their research and development investments. Our solutions allow our customers to achieve clinical results more efficiently and effectively by streamlining the design, planning and management of key aspects of the clinical development process, including protocol development, contract research organization, or CRO, negotiation, investigator contracting, the capture and management of clinical trial data and the analysis and reporting of that data on a worldwide basis.

The demand for electronic clinical solutions, such as those provided by us, has been driven by the increasing complexity and cost associated with paper-based trials and inefficiencies with early generation electronic data capture, or EDC, solutions. Paper-based trials may delay the clinical development process, impair data quality and prevent real-time decision making, while traditional EDC solutions have faced challenges with integration, site requirements, customization and scalability.

We have grown our revenues significantly since inception by expanding our customer base, increasing penetration with existing customers, enhancing our products and services and growing our indirect channel. In order to achieve and sustain our growth objectives, we have and will continue to invest in key areas, including: new personnel, particularly in direct domestic and international sales activities; resources to support our product development, including product functionality and platform; marketing programs to build brand awareness; and infrastructure to support growth.

We derive a majority of our application services revenues through multi-study arrangements for a pre-determined number of studies. We also offer our application services on a single-study basis that allows customers to use our solution for a limited number of studies or to evaluate it prior to committing to multi-study arrangements. We invest heavily in training our Medidata Rave customers, their investigators and other third parties to configure clinical trials independently. We believe this knowledge transfer accelerates customer adoption of our solutions.

---

## **Table of Contents**

We use a number of metrics to evaluate and manage our business. These metrics include customer growth, customer retention rate, revenues from lost customers, geographic contribution, and backlog.

Our customer base has grown from 50 at January 1, 2007 to 198 at June 30, 2010. Our relationships with some of these customers include multiple divisions and business units at various domestic and international locations. We generate revenues from sales to new customers as well as sales and renewals from our existing customers. Our global direct sales organization represents our primary source of sales, with an increasing number of sales generated through our CRO relationships. Our customer retention rate was 95.4% and 98.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We calculate customer retention based upon the number of customers that existed both at the beginning and end of the relevant period. Traditionally, we maintain a high percentage of customer retention and hence the revenue impact from lost customers is insignificant to our total revenues. Revenues from lost customers for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 accounted for 0.4% and 0.3%, respectively, of total prior year revenues. To calculate the impact of customers lost during the period, we consider the revenues recognized from lost customers during the most recent prior fiscal year as a percentage of total company revenues from the same period. We believe revenues from lost customers coupled with customer retention rate give the best sense of volume and scale of customer loss and retention. Our presentation of customer retention and revenues from lost customers may differ from other companies in our industry.

We manage our business as one reportable segment. Historically, we have generated most of our revenues from sales to customers located in the United States. However, revenues generated from customers located in Europe and Asia (including Australia) represent a significant portion of overall revenues. Revenues generated from customers located in Europe represented approximately 23% and 20% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and approximately 24% and 20% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Revenues generated from customers in Asia represented approximately 12% of total revenues for each of the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and approximately 13% and 11% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We expect sales from customers in Europe and Asia to continue to represent a significant portion of total sales as we continue to serve existing and new customers in these markets.

Our backlog is primarily associated with application services and represents the total future contract value of outstanding, multi-study and single-study arrangements, billed and unbilled, at a point in time. Thus, our backlog includes deferred revenue. Revenue generated in any given period is a function of revenue recognized from the beginning of period backlog, contract renewals, and new customer contracts. For this reason, backlog at the beginning of any period is not necessarily indicative of long-term future performance. We monitor as an annual metric the amount of revenues expected to be recognized from backlog over the current fiscal year, or full year backlog. As of January 1, 2010, we had full year backlog of approximately \$132 million. We also track, quarterly, the remaining amount of revenue to be recognized from backlog in the current year, or remaining backlog, which as of June 30, 2010 is approximately \$75 million. Our presentation of backlog may differ from other companies in our industry.

We consider the global adoption of clinical development technologies to be essential to our future growth. Our future growth will also depend on our ability to sustain the high levels of customer satisfaction and our ability to increase sales to existing customers. In addition, the market for our products is often characterized by rapid technological change and evolving regulatory standards. Our future growth is dependent on the successful development and introduction of new products and enhancements. To address these challenges, we will continue to expand our direct and indirect sales channels in domestic and international markets, pursue research and development as well as acquisition opportunities to expand and enhance our product offerings, expand our marketing efforts, and drive customer adoption through our knowledge transfer professional services offerings. Our success in these areas will depend upon our abilities to execute on our operational plans, interpret and respond to customer and regulatory requirements, and retain key staff.

## **Sources of Revenues**

We derive revenues from application services and professional services. Application services consist of multi-study or single-study arrangements, which give our customers the right to use our software solutions, hosting and site support, as well as clinical trial planning software solutions we acquired from Fast Track Systems, Inc. in March 2008. Professional services consist of assisting our customers and partners with the design, workflow, implementation and management of their clinical trials.

## **Table of Contents**

Our application services are principally provided for both multi-study arrangements, which grant customers the right to manage up to a predetermined number of clinical trials for a term generally ranging from three to five years, as well as single-study arrangements that allow customers to use application services for an individual study or to evaluate our application services prior to committing to multi-study arrangements. Many of our customers have migrated from single-study arrangements to multi-study arrangements and multi-study arrangements represent the majority of our application services revenues.

Our professional services provide our customers with reliable, repeatable and cost-effective implementation and training in the use of our application services. Professional services revenues have represented a significant portion of overall revenues to date. We expect professional services revenues to decline as a percentage of total revenues as our customers and partners become more adept at the management and configuration of their clinical trials as part of our knowledge transfer efforts.

### **Cost of Revenues**

Cost of revenues consists primarily of costs related to hosting, maintaining and supporting our application suite and delivering our professional services and support. These costs include salaries, benefits, bonuses and stock-based compensation for our data center and professional services staff. Cost of revenues also includes outside service provider costs, data center and networking expenses and allocated overhead. We allocate overhead such as depreciation expense, rent and utilities to all departments based on relative headcount. As such, a portion of general overhead expenses are reflected in cost of revenues. The costs associated with providing professional services are recognized as such costs are incurred and are significantly higher as a percentage of revenue than the costs associated with delivering our application services due to the labor costs associated with providing professional services. Over the long term, we believe that cost of revenues as a percentage of total revenues will decrease.

### **Operating Costs and Expenses**

**Research and Development.** Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel and related expenses for our research and development staff, including salaries, benefits, bonuses and stock-based compensation, and allocated overhead. We have focused our research and development efforts on expanding the functionality and ease of use of our applications. We expect research and development costs to increase in absolute dollars in the future as we intend to release new features and functionality designed to maximize the efficiency and effectiveness of the clinical development process for our customers. Over the long term, we believe that research and development expenses as a percentage of total revenues will remain relatively constant.

**Sales and Marketing.** Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel and related expenses for our sales and marketing staff, including salaries, benefits, bonuses and stock-based compensation, commissions, travel costs, and marketing and promotional events, corporate communications, advertising, other brand building and product marketing expenses and allocated overhead. Our sales and marketing expenses have increased in absolute dollars primarily due to our ongoing substantial investments in customer acquisition. We expect sales and marketing expenses to increase in absolute dollars. Over the long term, we believe that sales and marketing expenses will decline slightly as a percentage of total revenues.

**General and Administrative.** General and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel and related expenses for executive, legal, quality assurance, finance and human resources, including salaries, benefits, bonuses and stock-based compensation, professional fees, insurance premiums, allocated overhead and other corporate expenses. On an ongoing basis, we expect general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars as we continue to add administrative personnel and incur additional professional fees and other expenses resulting from continued growth and the compliance requirements of operating as a public company. Over the long term, we believe that general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues will decrease.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Income Tax Expense**

Prior to 2009, income tax expense primarily consisted of foreign income taxes imposed on our foreign subsidiaries in the United Kingdom and Japan. We have U.S. federal and state net operating loss carryforwards available to offset future taxable income which do not fully expire until 2028. We do not realize an income tax benefit for the majority of our net operating loss carryforwards and other domestic net deferred tax assets as we have yet to determine that it is more likely than not that our future taxable income will be sufficient to utilize these tax benefits.

In assessing the realizability of our deferred tax assets, including the net operating loss carryforwards, we assess the available positive and negative evidence to estimate if sufficient future taxable income will be generated to utilize its existing deferred tax assets. To date, a significant piece of objective negative evidence evaluated has been the cumulative loss incurred over the three year period ended December 31, 2009. Such objective evidence limits the ability to consider other subjective evidence such as our projections for future growth. Based on this evaluation, as of December 31, 2009 and as updated through June 30, 2010, we have provided a valuation allowance against the majority of its domestic net deferred tax assets as their future utilization remains uncertain at this time. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could be adjusted if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period change or if objective negative evidence in the form of cumulative losses is no longer present and additional weight may be given to subjective evidence such as our projections for growth.

Moreover, in 2009, due to the cumulative impact of our initial public offering, or IPO, in June 2009, coupled with our secondary offering in December 2009, an ownership change as defined by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, or Section 382, occurred in early December 2009. As a result, utilization of our federal net operating loss carryforwards are subject to an annual limitation under Section 382. While the value of our available federal net operating loss carryforwards was not materially impacted by Section 382, it will take a longer period of time to utilize these federal net operating loss carryforwards to offset any of our future taxable income. Due to this Section 382 limitation, as well as the temporary suspension of net operating loss carryforward utilization in the State of California and income taxes incurred in other state and local jurisdictions, we incurred domestic income tax expense beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009. We expect our income tax expense to increase in absolute dollars and as a percentage of our operating income as we become more profitable domestically and our international operations continue to grow.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our critical accounting policies, including the assumptions and judgments underlying them, require the application of significant judgment in the preparation of our financial statements, and as a result they are subject to a greater degree of uncertainty. In applying these policies, we use our judgment to determine the appropriate assumptions to be used in calculating estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates. Our critical accounting policies consist of revenue recognition, stock-based compensation, goodwill and intangibles and income taxes, descriptions of which are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2009.

### **Results of Operations**

We recognize revenues from applications services arrangements ratably over the terms of these arrangements. As a result, a substantial majority of our application services revenues in each quarter are generated from arrangements entered into during prior periods. Consequently, an increase or a decrease in new application services arrangements in any one quarter may not significantly affect our results of operations in that quarter.

**Table of Contents**

Additionally, when we sell application services and professional services in a combined arrangement, which is our typical practice, we recognize revenues from professional services ratably over the term of the arrangement, rather than as the professional services are delivered, which varies throughout the arrangement term. Accordingly, a significant portion of the revenues for professional services performed in any reporting period will be deferred to future periods. We recognize expenses related to our professional services in the period in which the expenses are incurred.

As a result, our professional services revenues and gross margin for any reporting period may not be reflective of the professional services delivered during that reporting period or of the current business trends with respect to our professional services.

The following table sets forth our consolidated results of operations as a percentage of total revenues for the periods shown:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Application services	79.8%	72.1%	79.4%	71.3%
Professional services	20.2%	27.9%	20.6%	28.7%
Total revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Cost of revenues:</b>				
Application services	15.7%	17.2%	15.9%	17.0%
Professional services	16.1%	20.1%	16.7%	19.9%
Total cost of revenues	31.8%	37.3%	32.6%	36.9%
Gross profit	68.2%	62.7%	67.4%	63.1%
<b>Operating costs and expenses:</b>				
Research and development	16.6%	17.0%	16.7%	16.7%
Sales and marketing	19.7%	19.8%	19.8%	19.9%
General and administrative	21.0%	23.6%	21.5%	22.0%
Total operating costs and expenses	57.3%	60.4%	58.0%	58.6%
Operating income	10.9%	2.3%	9.4%	4.5%

*Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Three Months Ended June 30, 2009*

**Revenues**

	2010		Three Months Ended June 30, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	%
<b>Revenues:</b>						
Application services	\$ 32,162	79.8%	\$ 24,523	72.1%	\$ 7,639	31.2%
Professional services	8,151	20.2%	9,505	27.9%	(1,354)	(14.2)%
Total revenues	\$ 40,313	100.0%	\$ 34,028	100.0%	\$ 6,285	18.5%

## Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

*Total revenues.* Total revenues increased \$6.3 million, or 18.5%, to \$40.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$34.0 million for the same period in 2009. The increase in revenues was primarily due to a \$7.6 million increase in revenues from application services, partially offset by a \$1.3 million decrease in revenues from professional services. At the start of the second quarter of 2010, we had approximately \$103 million of 2010 remaining backlog. As of June 30, 2010, the total 2010 remaining backlog was approximately \$75 million.

- 20 -

**Table of Contents**

*Application services revenues.* Revenues from application services increased \$7.6 million, or 31.2%, to \$32.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$24.5 million for the same period in 2009. The majority of the increase in application services revenues was derived from increased activity in our existing large customers, primarily resulting from new studies and renewals. We also benefited from increased product uptake and cross-selling to existing customers, as well as new customer additions. During the second quarter of 2010, we added 15 customers to reach the total of 198 customers as of June 30, 2010. Revenues from new customers accounted for 25.8% of the total increase in application services revenues. We also continued to benefit from the revenue stream recognized from our multi-study arrangements, which increased by 41.5% compared with the prior period. Revenues also expanded significantly from international customers compared with the prior period, while revenues from domestic customers grew at a steady rate. Revenues from customers based in Europe and Asia grew 50.2% and 40.6%, respectively, whereas revenues from customers based in North America grew 23.9%.

*Professional services revenues.* Revenues from professional services decreased \$1.3 million, or 14.2%, to \$8.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$9.5 million for the same period in 2009. Our professional services continue to represent a smaller portion of total revenues as our strategy has been to emphasize software-as-a-service based solutions which by their nature require less follow-on professional services as well as provide knowledge transfer services to our customers. Consistent with the prior quarter, this has contributed to lower professional services revenues compared with the prior year. An additional factor contributing to the decline was a change in the estimated fair value utilized to determine the value of our professional services revenues.

**Cost of Revenues**

	2010		Three Months Ended June 30, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	%
Cost of revenues:						
Application services	\$ 6,327	15.7%	\$ 5,845	17.2%	\$ 482	8.2%
Professional services	6,492	16.1%	6,839	20.1%	(347)	(5.1)%
Total cost of revenues	\$ 12,819	31.8%	\$ 12,684	37.3%	\$ 135	1.1%

*Total cost of revenues.* Total cost of revenues increased \$0.1 million, or 1.1%, to \$12.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$12.7 million for the same period in 2009.

*Cost of application services revenues.* Cost of application services revenues increased \$0.5 million, or 8.2%, to \$6.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$5.8 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was principally due to an increase in personnel-related costs. We continued to increase staffing levels in our Houston data center to support our growth in business.

*Cost of professional services revenues.* Cost of professional services decreased \$0.3 million, or 5.1%, to \$6.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$6.8 million for the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily due to lower consulting-related costs and personnel-related costs. The decrease was associated with our continuing effort to reduce our reliance on outside consultants and aligned with our strategy on knowledge transfer to our customers, which ultimately required less professional services.

**Table of Contents****Operating Costs and Expenses**

	2010		Three Months Ended June 30, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	%
(Amount in thousands)						
Operating costs and expenses:						
Research and development	\$ 6,680	16.6%	\$ 5,789	17.0%	\$ 891	15.4%
Sales and marketing	7,927	19.7%	6,745	19.8%	1,182	17.5%
General and administrative	8,479	21.0%	8,037	23.6%	442	5.5%
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>\$ 23,086</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>\$ 20,571</b>	<b>60.4%</b>	<b>\$ 2,515</b>	<b>12.2%</b>

*Total operating costs and expenses.* Total operating costs and expenses increased \$2.5 million, or 12.2%, to \$23.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$20.6 million for the same period in 2009. Costs increased in each department with the largest increase in sales and marketing.

*Research and development expenses.* Research and development expenses increased \$0.9 million, or 15.4%, to \$6.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$5.8 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs of \$0.6 million, which was attributable to our increase in staffing levels in order to support our strategy to continue to enhance and broaden our products offerings.

*Sales and marketing expenses.* Sales and marketing expenses increased \$1.2 million, or 17.5%, to \$7.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$6.7 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to higher personnel-related costs of \$0.4 million, as we expanded our sales team to support our continuing growth in sales. The increase was also due to higher overall marketing costs to support the launch of several new products and existing product upgrades in 2010.

*General and administrative expenses.* General and administrative expenses increased \$0.4 million, or 5.5%, to \$8.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$8.0 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs of \$0.6 million and other costs of \$0.2 million, partially offset by a decrease in professional and consulting fees of \$0.4 million. The increase in personnel-related costs was primarily due to higher stock-based compensation costs resulting from a full quarter impact in 2010 from our equity awards granted in June 2009, as well as the additional costs associated with our annual equity awards granted in May 2010. The decrease in professional and consulting fees was primarily due to lower audit fees and less reliance on outside consultants.

**Income Tax Expense**

Income tax expense increased \$1.4 million to \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from \$0.2 million for the same period in 2009. Consistent with the prior quarter, the increase was primarily driven by higher domestic income taxes incurred in 2010 which resulted from our inability to fully utilize our federal net operating loss carryforwards due to a limitation as defined by Section 382. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was approximately 36%. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, our total income tax expense primarily consisted of foreign income taxes imposed on our foreign subsidiaries in the United Kingdom and Japan. Our foreign income taxes were fairly consistent for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared with the same period in 2009.

**Table of Contents****Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2009****Revenues**

	2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount (Amount in thousands)	% of Revenues	Amount	%
<b>Revenues:</b>						
Application services	\$ 61,892	79.4%	\$ 48,188	71.3%	\$ 13,704	28.4%
Professional services	16,063	20.6%	19,442	28.7%	(3,379)	(17.4)%
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>\$ 77,955</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 67,630</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 10,325</b>	<b>15.3%</b>

*Total revenues.* Total revenues increased \$10.3 million, or 15.3%, to \$77.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$67.6 million for the same period in 2009. The increase in revenues was primarily due to a \$13.7 million increase in revenues from application services, partially offset by a \$3.4 million decrease in revenues from professional services. At the start of 2010, we had approximately \$132 million of full year backlog. As of June 30, 2010, the total 2010 remaining backlog was approximately \$75 million.

*Application services revenues.* Revenues from application services increased \$13.7 million, or 28.4%, to \$61.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$48.2 million for the same period in 2009. The majority of the increase in application services revenues was derived from increased activity in our existing large customers, primarily resulting from new studies and renewals. We also benefited from increased product uptake and cross-selling to existing customers, as well as new customer additions. We increased the number of customers to 198 compared with 154 a year ago and carried on our success in adding new midmarket customers. Revenues from new customers accounted for 19.6% of the total increase in application services revenues. We also continued to benefit from the revenue stream recognized from our multi-study arrangements, which increased by 40.4% compared with the prior period. Revenues also expanded significantly from international customers compared with the prior period, while revenues from domestic customers grew at a steady rate. Revenues from customers based in Europe and Asia grew 51.3% and 45.9%, respectively, whereas revenues from customers based in North America grew 18.8%.

*Professional services revenues.* Revenues from professional services decreased \$3.4 million, or 17.4%, to \$16.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$19.4 million for the same period in 2009. Our professional services continue to represent a smaller portion of total revenues as our strategy has been to emphasize software-as-a-service based solutions which by their nature require less follow-on professional services as well as provide knowledge transfer services to our customers. This has contributed to lower professional services revenues compared with the prior year. An additional factor contributing to the decline was a change in the estimated fair value utilized to determine the value of our professional services revenues.

**Cost of Revenues**

	2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount (Amount in thousands)	% of Revenues	Amount	%
<b>Cost of revenues:</b>						
Application services	\$ 12,394	15.9%	\$ 11,515	17.0%	\$ 879	7.6%
Professional services	13,001	16.7%	13,452	19.9%	(451)	(3.4)%
<b>Total cost of revenues</b>	<b>\$ 25,395</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>\$ 24,967</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>\$ 428</b>	<b>1.7%</b>

*Total cost of revenues.* Total cost of revenues increased \$0.4 million, or 1.7%, to \$25.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$25.0 million for the same period in 2009.



**Table of Contents**

*Cost of application services revenues.* Cost of application services revenues increased \$0.9 million, or 7.6%, to \$12.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$11.5 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was principally due to an increase in personnel-related costs. We continued to increase staffing levels in our Houston data center to support our growth in business.

*Cost of professional services revenues.* Cost of professional services decreased \$0.5 million, or 3.4%, to \$13.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$13.5 million for the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily due to lower consulting-related costs and personnel-related costs. The decrease was associated with our continuing effort to reduce our reliance on outside consultants and aligned with our strategy on knowledge transfer to our customers, which ultimately required less professional services.

**Operating Costs and Expenses**

	2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009		Change	
	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	%
(Amount in thousands)						
Operating costs and expenses:						
Research and development	\$ 13,014	16.7%	\$ 11,286	16.7%	\$ 1,728	15.3%
Sales and marketing	15,420	19.8%	13,458	19.9%	1,962	14.6%
General and administrative	16,774	21.5%	14,858	22.0%	1,916	12.9%
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>\$ 45,208</b>	<b>58.0%</b>	<b>\$ 39,602</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>\$ 5,606</b>	<b>14.2%</b>

*Total operating costs and expenses.* Total operating costs and expenses increased \$5.6 million, or 14.2%, to \$45.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$39.6 million for the same period in 2009. Costs increased across the board in each department.

*Research and development expenses.* Research and development expenses increased \$1.7 million, or 15.3%, to \$13.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$11.3 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs of \$1.4 million, which was attributable to our increase in staffing levels in order to support our strategy to continue to enhance and broaden our products offerings.

*Sales and marketing expenses.* Sales and marketing expenses increased \$2.0 million, or 14.6%, to \$15.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$13.4 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was principally due to higher personnel-related costs of \$1.2 million, as we expanded our sales team to support our continuing growth in sales. The increase was also due to higher overall marketing costs to support the launch of several new products and existing product upgrades in 2010.

*General and administrative expenses.* General and administrative expenses increased \$1.9 million, or 12.9%, to \$16.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$14.9 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in both professional fees and personnel-related costs of \$0.8 million, and other costs of \$0.3 million. The increase in professional fees was primarily due to higher legal fees incurred in association with our ongoing litigation and indemnification obligation matters, as well as additional professional fees incurred as a result of being a public company since June 2009. The increase in personnel-related costs was primarily due to higher stock-based compensation costs resulting from a full six months impact in 2010 from our equity awards granted in June 2009, as well as the additional costs associated with our annual equity awards granted in May 2010. The increase was also attributable to higher staffing levels in order to manage our increased administrative workload and other additional costs incurred as a public company.

**Income Tax Expense**

Income tax expense increased \$2.3 million to \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$0.4 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily driven by higher domestic income taxes incurred in 2010 which resulted from our inability to fully utilize our federal net operating loss carryforwards due to a limitation as defined by Section 382. Our effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was approximately 36%. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our total income tax expense primarily consisted of foreign income taxes imposed on our foreign subsidiaries in the United Kingdom and Japan. Our foreign income taxes were fairly consistent for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared with the same period in 2009.





---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our principal sources of liquidity were cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities of \$86.2 million and \$89.1 million, respectively. Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$20.2 million during the first six months of 2010 primarily due to the net purchases of marketable securities and the funding of capital expenditures. We manage our cash equivalents and marketable securities as a single investment portfolio that is intended to be available to meet our current cash requirements. Cash equivalents substantially consist of investment in money market funds. Marketable securities, in which we classify as available-for-sale securities, primarily consist of high quality commercial paper, corporate bonds, U.S. government debt obligations, bank certificates of deposit and foreign government bonds. Marketable securities with remaining effective maturities of twelve months or less from the balance sheet date are classified as short-term; otherwise, they are classified as long-term on the consolidated balance sheet.

We have a \$10.0 million revolving line of credit under our senior secured credit facility, as amended, that matures in September 2013. Except for the \$0.2 million reduction of the available amount due to a standby letter of credit issued in connection with the office lease executed under our credit agreement in July 2009, the revolving line of credit remains undrawn. As of June 30, 2010, approximately \$9.8 million of the revolving line of credit was still available for future borrowings. Due to the structure of the credit agreement, any future borrowings under the revolving line of credit will be classified as a current liability. As of June 30, 2010, the effective interest rate for our senior secured credit facility, as amended, was 2.85%, if borrowing under the U.S. London Interbank Offer Rate, or LIBOR, option. See *Revolving Line of Credit* section below for a summary of the second loan modification to our senior secured credit facility, which is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the second loan modification filed as Exhibit 10.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

We believe that our cash flows from operations, existing cash and cash equivalents and highly liquid marketable securities and our availability under our existing revolving line of credit will be sufficient to satisfy the anticipated cash requirements associated with our existing operations for the foreseeable future. For the remainder of 2010, we expect to make approximately \$5 million in capital expenditures, primarily to enhance the stability and increase the capacity in our Houston data center. We expect to acquire our capital equipment through purchases as opposed to capital lease arrangements.

#### ***Revolving Line of Credit***

In June 2010, we entered into the second loan modification agreement with the lender to amend our existing senior secured credit facility. Pursuant to the terms of the second loan modification agreement, our senior secured credit facility was amended to:

reduce fees payable by us on our \$10.0 million revolving line of credit under the senior secured credit facility by (a) eliminating the 2.25% margin on prime rate borrowings and (b) decreasing the undrawn revolving credit line fee from 0.500% of the average undrawn balance to an annual rate of 0.375% of the average undrawn balance;

provide us with an option to borrow under the revolving line of credit at an interest rate based on the LIBOR, plus a margin of 2.5%;

simplify our financial reporting procedures by eliminating monthly financial reporting obligations and amending certain reporting procedures; and

replace our prior financial covenants with a simplified adjusted quick ratio covenant of 2.00:1.00 as defined in the second loan modification agreement and provide that in the event that we have less than \$10.0 million of cash or cash equivalents in accounts with the lender in excess of our borrowings under the senior secured credit facility, we would also be required to satisfy a minimum trailing-two-quarter cash flow covenant, commencing at \$3 million for the period ended June 30, 2010 and increasing each quarter by \$1 million up to \$6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 and thereafter.

#### ***Cash Flows***

##### ***Cash Flows Provided By Operating Activities***

## Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Cash flows provided by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$3.0 million, which consisted primarily of a net income of \$4.8 million, non-cash adjustments of depreciation and amortization of \$4.8 million and stock-based compensation of \$2.8 million, partially offset by a decrease in accrued payroll and other compensation of \$3.5 million and an increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$3.4 million. The decrease in operating cash flows was primarily due to the payments of our annual bonuses and multi-year software-related fees.

- 25 -

---

**Table of Contents**

Cash flows provided by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$12.1 million, which consisted primarily of a net income of \$1.9 million, non-cash adjustments of depreciation and amortization and stock-based compensation of \$5.1 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, and a decrease in accounts receivable of \$3.9 million, partially offset by a decrease in accounts payable and accrued payroll and other compensation of \$2.0 million. The decrease in accounts receivable was due to strong customer collection activity. The decrease in accounts payable and accrued payroll and other compensation was primarily due to payments of annual bonuses to our employees.

***Cash Flows Used In Investing Activities***

Cash flows used in investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$22.3 million, which was related to the \$33.4 million purchases of marketable securities and the \$4.4 million purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment, partially offset by the \$15.5 million in proceeds from the maturity of marketable securities. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, we did not acquire any equipment through capital lease arrangements.

Cash flows used in investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 were related to the \$2.1 million of purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment. We also acquired \$1.1 million of equipment through capital lease arrangements.

***Cash Flows Used In or Provided by Financing Activities***

Cash flows used in financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.8 million, which was primarily due to \$1.9 million of capital lease principal payments and \$0.3 million relating to the acquisition of treasury stock in connection with the vesting of restricted stock awards activities, partially offset by \$0.8 million of proceeds from stock options exercise and \$0.5 million of excess tax benefit realized from stock options exercise and restricted stock awards vesting.

Cash flows provided by financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$73.9 million, which was primarily due to \$82.0 million of proceeds from the IPO, net of underwriting discounts and commissions. It was partially offset by \$2.5 million of capital lease principal payments, \$2.5 million of costs associated with our IPO, \$2.3 million of preferred stock dividends payment and \$0.8 million of quarterly repayments of the term loan under our senior secured credit facility.

***Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingencies***

In March 2010, we entered into a lease amendment to renew and expand our office in Edison, New Jersey. Pursuant to the amended lease agreement, the renewed lease has a term of five years which will commence when the expansion space is ready for occupancy, which is estimated in January 2011. We will continue to occupy the existing space during the interim period until the expansion space is ready. The expansion will provide us an additional 10,536 rentable square feet of office space. The total amount of obligations is approximately \$3.6 million over the term of this amended lease agreement, including the interim period.

Other than stated above, there was no material change in our contractual obligations during the first six months of 2010.

In 2006, one of our former employees made a claim seeking compensation of approximately \$1.6 million in relation to a wrongful dismissal lawsuit. Subsequently, the claim was reduced to approximately \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2008. The court rendered its decision in January 2009, which awarded approximately \$0.1 million to the plaintiff. The plaintiff filed a timely notice of appeal in September 2009, which remains pending. We will continue to vigorously defend this claim until it is ultimately resolved. We have an accrual of approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, in association with this claim. Since this accrual is denominated in the same functional currency as the claim in Euros, its balance is subject to change due to foreign currency adjustment.

**Table of Contents**

In 2006, it was claimed that certain applications offered to our customers potentially infringed on intellectual property rights held by a third party. As a result of negotiations with the claimant, we entered into a license and settlement agreement in June 2007, pursuant to which we licensed the intellectual property held by the claimant for use in our future sales to customers and settled all past infringement claims. We paid a settlement amount of \$2.2 million to the claimant in 2007. In June 2009, the claimant initiated a lawsuit against us claiming breach of contract. The complaint includes allegations that we have failed to pay unspecified royalties relating to sales of our products. We believe that the allegations in this lawsuit are without merit. We filed an answer in July 2009, denying all material allegations and asserting affirmative defenses. We also asserted counterclaims for a declaratory judgment that no royalties are owed with respect to sales of our products, as well as a counterclaim for claimant's breach of the license and settlement agreement. The parties are now engaged in the discovery process. Since the probable outcome and the future economic impact of this litigation on us remain uncertain, we are unable to develop an estimate of our potential liability, if any, as it relates to this litigation. As a result, we did not record a liability as of June 30, 2009 nor December 31, 2009. The claimant also filed patent infringement lawsuits against two of our customers as discussed below.

In 2008, two customers requested us to indemnify them in connection with patent infringement lawsuits filed by the claimant who also filed a lawsuit against us in June 2009 as discussed above. We agreed to defend and indemnify one of these customers with respect to the allegations, claims, and defenses relating to its use of our software. As the estimated indemnification obligation concerning this claim was determined to be probable and could be reasonably estimated, we had accrued \$0.5 million which was included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009. In March 2010, we reached a final agreement with this customer and paid a settlement amount of \$0.5 million to fully settle this indemnification obligation. To date, no claims have been asserted against the second customer with respect to its use of Medidata's products.

In January 2009, we entered into agreements with certain of our executive officers that provide them with certain benefits upon the termination of their employment following a change of control in our company. The agreements provide that, upon a qualifying event, such officers will be entitled to (a) a severance payment equal to the officer's base salary plus target bonus amount; (b) continuation of health benefits for 12 months; (c) immediate vesting of any remaining unvested equity awards; and (d) a tax gross up payment under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code sufficient to reimburse the officer for 50% of any excise tax payable as a result of any termination payments following a change in control, if applicable.

***Effects of Recently Issued Accounting Standards***

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Accounting Standards Update, or ASU, No. 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*. ASU No. 2009-13 amends the current guidance on arrangements with multiple deliverables under Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, 605-25, *Revenue Recognition - Multiple-Element Arrangements*, to (a) eliminate the separation criterion that requires entities to establish objective and reliable evidence of fair value for undelivered elements; (b) establish a selling price hierarchy to help entities allocate arrangement consideration to the separate units of account; (c) eliminate the residual allocation method which will be replaced by the relative selling price allocation method for all arrangements; and (d) significantly expand the disclosure requirements. ASU No. 2009-13 is effective for new or materially modified arrangements in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. If early adoption is elected and the period of adoption is not the beginning of the fiscal year, retrospective application from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption and additional disclosure are required. Retrospective application for all prior periods presented in the financial statements is also permitted, but not required. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of these provisions of ASU No. 2009-13 on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB also issued ASU No. 2009-14, *Certain Revenue Arrangements that Include Software Elements*. ASU No. 2009-14 amends the scoping guidance for software arrangements under ASC 985-605, *Software - Revenue Recognition*, to exclude tangible products that contain software elements and nonsoftware elements that function together to interdependently deliver the product's essential functionality. Such tangible products being excluded from ASU No. 2009-14 will instead fall under the scope of ASU No. 2009-13. The FASB also provided several considerations and examples for entities applying this guidance. The effective date for ASU No. 2009-14 is consistent with ASU No. 2009-13 as stated above. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of these provisions of ASU No. 2009-14 on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Improving Disclosure about Fair Value Measurement*. ASU No. 2010-06 amends ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, to add new requirements for disclosure about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances,

---

## **Table of Contents**

and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. It also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. ASU No. 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements, which are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. We adopted ASU No. 2010-06 on January 1, 2010 and the adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-13, *Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades*, which amends ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, to clarify that a share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity securities trades must not be considered a market, performance, or service condition. Therefore, an entity should not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies for classification in equity. ASU No. 2010-13 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010, and will be applied prospectively. All of our stock-based awards granted to our international employees were classified as equity awards in accordance with our current accounting policy, which is consistent with the accounting treatment contained in this ASU No. 2010-13. Therefore, the adoption of this ASU No. 2010-13 is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

### **Dividends**

We currently expect to retain any future earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As of June 30, 2010, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities of financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Other than our operating leases for office space and computer equipment, we do not engage in off-balance sheet financing arrangements.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

#### ***Interest Rate Sensitivity***

We had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents totaling \$19.2 million at June 30, 2010. Our cash equivalents are invested in money market funds, the fair value of which is not materially affected by fluctuations in interest rates. We also had investment in marketable securities, in which we classify as available-for-sale securities, totaling \$67.0 million at June 30, 2010. Substantially all of our marketable securities are fixed income securities, which primarily consist of high quality commercial paper, corporate bonds, U.S. government debt obligations, bank certificates of deposit and foreign government bonds. The unrestricted cash and cash equivalents as well as marketable securities are held for working capital purposes. We manage our cash equivalents and marketable securities as a single investment portfolio that is intended to be available to meet our current cash requirements. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. Due to the short-term nature and high credit ratings of these investments, we believe that we do not have any material exposure to changes in the fair value of our investment portfolio as a result of changes in interest rates. Declines in interest rates, however, would reduce future investment income.

We have a floating rate revolving line of credit under our senior secured credit facility, as amended, which is currently undrawn. Accordingly, we will be exposed to fluctuations in interest rates if such revolving line of credit is drawn. Assuming the maximum available amount of our revolving line of credit was drawn as of June 30, 2010, each hundred basis point change in prime rate or LIBOR would result in a change in interest expense by an average of approximately \$0.1 million annually.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Exchange Rate Sensitivity***

We have two separate exposures to currency fluctuation risk: subsidiaries outside the United States which use a foreign currency as their functional currency which are translated into U.S. dollars for consolidation; and non-U.S. dollar invoiced revenues.

Changes in foreign exchange rates for our subsidiaries that use a foreign currency as their functional currency are translated into U.S. dollars and result in cumulative translation adjustments, which are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). We have translation exposure to various foreign currencies, including the Euro, British Pound Sterling and Japanese Yen. The potential loss resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in quoted foreign currency exchange rates amounts to \$0.4 million as of June 30, 2010.

We generally invoice our customers in U.S. dollars. However, we invoice a portion of customers in foreign currencies, the majority of which is denominated in Euro, Swiss Franc and Canadian dollars. As such, the fluctuations in such currencies could impact our operating results.

### ***Impact of Inflation***

We do not believe that inflation has had a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. If our costs were to become subject to significant inflationary pressures, we might not be able to offset these higher costs fully through price increases. Our inability or failure to do so could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

### ***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

ASC 825-10, *Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure about fair value of financial instruments. The carrying amounts of our financial instruments, which consist of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. Fair values of marketable securities are based on unadjusted quoted market prices or pricing models using current market data that are observable either directly or indirectly. All methods of assessing fair value result in a general approximation of value, and such value may never actually be realized.

## **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of June 30, 2010, an evaluation was performed with the participation of our Disclosure Committee and our management, including the Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and the Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon such evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2010.

### **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting, during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

See Note 9, Commitments and Contingencies – Legal Matters, to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a description of current legal proceedings.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond our control. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 are those which we believe are the material risks we face. There have been no material changes in our risk factors since our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. Any of those disclosed risk factors or additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently deem immaterial, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds  
Sales of Unregistered Securities**

Not applicable.

**Use of Proceeds from our IPO**

In July 2009, we used a portion of the net proceeds from our IPO in June 2009 to prepay the entire outstanding indebtedness of the term loan under our credit facility. The total payoff amount of \$14.7 million included the outstanding principal balance of \$14.3 million, as well as accrued interest and termination fees of \$0.4 million. A portion of the remaining net proceeds from our IPO has been invested into high quality marketable securities. We plan to use these remaining net proceeds for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

**Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers**

From time to time, we granted restricted stock awards to our employees pursuant to the terms of our 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan, or 2009 Plan. Under the provisions of our 2009 Plan, the plan participants are allowed to cover their income tax withholding obligation through net shares upon the vesting of their restricted shares. On the date of vesting of restricted shares, we determine the number of vested shares to be withheld based on their fair value at closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, which equals to the amount of plan participants income tax withholding obligation.

A summary of our repurchases of shares of our common stock for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was as follows:

		Total Number of Shares Purchased(1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased under the Plans or Programs
April 1	April 30, 2010		\$		
May 1	May 31, 2010				
June 1	June 30, 2010	20,872	15.87		



Edgar Filing: Medidata Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total	20,872	\$ 15.87
-------	--------	----------

- (1) Represents the number of shares acquired as payment by employees of applicable statutory minimum withholding taxes owed upon vesting of restricted stock granted under our 2009 Plan.

- 30 -

**Table of Contents**

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]**

**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

The information required by this Item 6 is set forth on the exhibit index that follows the signature page of this report.

- 31 -

**Table of Contents**

**Signature**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.**

By: /s/ BRUCE D. DALZIEL

Bruce D. Dalziel

*Chief Financial Officer*

*(Principal financial officer and duly*

*authorized to sign on behalf of the registrant)*

Date: August 13, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.1	Second Loan Modification Agreement entered into as of June 30, 2010 by and among Medidata Solutions, Inc., Medidata FT, Inc. and Silicon Valley Bank (Incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit to Medidata Solutions, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 1, 2010).
31.1*	Certification of CEO pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act.
31.2*	Certification of CFO pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act.
32.1*	Certification of CEO pursuant to Rules 13a-14(b) or 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. 1350.
32.2*	Certification of CFO pursuant to Rules 13a-14(b) or 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. 1350.

\* Filed herewith.