

ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC  
Form 10-K  
February 13, 2014  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013**

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Commission file number 001-16545**

**Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc.**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Delaware**  
*(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)*  
**2000 Westchester Avenue,**

**13-4146982**  
*(IRS Employer Identification No.)*  
**10577**

**Purchase, New York**  
*(Address of principal executive offices)*

*(Zip Code)*

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(914) 701-8000**

**SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:**

**Title of Each Class**

**Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered**

Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value

The NASDAQ Global Select Market

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for at least the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates based upon the closing price of Common Stock as reported on The NASDAQ Global Select Market as of June 30, 2013 was approximately \$1,080,891,036. In determining this figure, the registrant has assumed that all directors, executive officers and persons known to it to beneficially own ten percent or more of such Common Stock are affiliates. This assumption shall not be deemed conclusive for any other purpose. As of February 3, 2014, there were 25,038,629 shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:**

Certain portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement relating to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, are incorporated by reference into Part III.

**Table of Contents**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I.</b>		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	12
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	21
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	22
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	24
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	24
<b>PART II.</b>		
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	25
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	26
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	27
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	49
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	51
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	86
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	86
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	87
<b>PART III.</b>		
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	87
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	88
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters</u>	89
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	89
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	89
<b>PART IV.</b>		
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	90

**Table of Contents**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K (this Report), as well as other reports, releases and written and oral communications issued or made from time to time by or on behalf of Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc. (AAWW), contain statements that may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Those statements are based on management's beliefs, plans, expectations and assumptions, and on information currently available to management. Generally, the words will, may, should, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, continue, believe, seek, project, estimate and similar expressions used in this Report that do not relate to his are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements in this Report are not representations or guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Such risks, uncertainties and assumptions include, but are not limited to, those described in Item 1A, Risk Factors. Many of such factors are beyond AAWW's control and are difficult to predict. As a result, AAWW's future actions, financial position, results of operations and the market price for shares of AAWW's common stock could differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. Readers are therefore cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. AAWW does not intend to publicly update any forward-looking statements that may be made from time to time by, or on behalf of, AAWW, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**ITEM 1. BUSINESS**  
**Glossary**

The following represents terms and statistics specific to our business and industry. They are used by management to evaluate and measure operations, results, productivity and efficiency.

Block Hour	The time interval between when an aircraft departs the terminal until it arrives at the destination terminal.
C Check	High-level or heavy airframe maintenance checks, which are more intensive in scope than Line Maintenance and are generally performed between 18 and 24 months depending on aircraft type.
D Check	High-level or heavy airframe maintenance checks, which are the most extensive in scope and are generally performed every six and eight years depending on aircraft type.
Heavy Maintenance	Scheduled maintenance activities, which are the most extensive in scope and are primarily based on time intervals, including, but not limited to, C Checks, D Checks and engine overhauls.
Line Maintenance	Unscheduled maintenance to rectify events occurring during normal day-to-day operations.
Non-heavy Maintenance	Discrete maintenance activities for the overhaul and repair of specific aircraft components.
Yield	The average amount a customer pays to fly one tonne of cargo one mile.

## Table of Contents

### Overview

AAWW is a holding company with a principal operating subsidiary, Atlas Air, Inc. ( Atlas ), which is wholly-owned. It also maintains a 49% interest in Global Supply Systems Limited ( GSS ) and has a 51% economic interest and 75% voting interest in Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc. ( Polar ). AAWW is also the parent company of several wholly-owned subsidiaries related to our dry leasing services (collectively referred to as Titan ). When used in this Report, the terms we, us, our, and the Company refer to AAWW and all entities in our consolidated financial statements.

We are a leading global provider of outsourced aircraft and aviation operating services. As such, we manage and operate the world's largest fleet of 747 freighters. We provide unique value to our customers by giving them access to highly reliable new production freighters that deliver the lowest unit cost in the marketplace combined with outsourced aircraft operating services that we believe lead the industry in terms of quality and global scale. Our customers include airlines, express delivery providers, freight forwarders, the U.S. military and charter brokers. We provide global services with operations in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, the Middle East, North America and South America.

Our primary service offerings include the following:

ACMI, whereby we provide outsourced cargo and passenger aircraft operating solutions, including the provision of an aircraft, crew, maintenance and insurance, while customers assume fuel, demand and Yield risk;

CMI, which is part of our ACMI business segment, whereby we provide outsourced cargo and passenger aircraft operating solutions including the provision of crew, maintenance and insurance, while customers provide the aircraft and assume fuel, demand and Yield risk;

AMC Charter, whereby we provide cargo and passenger aircraft charter services for the U.S. Military Air Mobility Command ( AMC ). The AMC pays a fixed charter fee that includes fuel, insurance, landing fees, overfly and all other operational fees and costs;

Commercial Charter, whereby we provide cargo and passenger aircraft charter services to customers, including brokers, cruise-ship operators, freight forwarders, direct shippers and airlines. The customer pays a fixed charter fee that includes fuel, insurance, landing fees, overfly and all other operational fees and costs; and

Dry Leasing, whereby we provide aircraft and engine leasing solutions.

We believe that the scale, scope and quality of our outsourced services are unparalleled in our industry. The relative operating cost efficiency of our current 747-8F, 747-400F and 777-200LRF aircraft, including their superior fuel efficiency, range, capacity and loading capabilities, creates a compelling value proposition for our customers and positions us well for future growth.

## **Table of Contents**

We are focused on the further enhancement of our ACMI market position with our new 747-8F aircraft. During 2013, we took delivery of two 747-8F aircraft from The Boeing Company ( Boeing ), completing our order for nine aircraft. We are currently the only operator offering these aircraft to the ACMI market. We also hold rights to purchase an additional 13 747-8F aircraft, providing us with flexibility to further expand our fleet in response to market conditions. We believe that our current fleet, which also includes our 747-400F aircraft, represents one of the most efficient, reliable freighter fleets in the market. Our primary placement for these aircraft will continue to be long-term ACMI outsourcing contracts with high-credit-quality customers.

During 2013, we significantly expanded our Dry Leasing business with the acquisition of three 777-200LRF aircraft. We also acquired an additional three 777-200LRF aircraft in January 2014. All six aircraft are Dry Leased to customers on a long-term basis. The addition of the 777 freighters further diversifies our business mix and enhances our predictable, long-term revenue and earnings streams.

AAWW was incorporated in Delaware in 2000. Our principal executive offices are located at 2000 Westchester Avenue, Purchase, New York 10577, and our telephone number is (914) 701-8000.

## **Operations**

*Introduction.* We currently operate our service offerings through the following reportable segments: ACMI, AMC Charter, Commercial Charter and Dry Leasing. All reportable business segments are directly or indirectly engaged in the business of air transportation services but have different commercial and economic characteristics, which are separately reviewed by management. Financial information regarding our reportable segments can be found in Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of Part II of this Report (the Financial Statements ).

*ACMI.* Historically, the core of our business has been providing cargo aircraft outsourcing services to customers on an ACMI basis in exchange for guaranteed minimum revenues at predetermined levels of operation for defined periods of time. ACMI provides a predictable annual revenue and cost base by minimizing the risk of fluctuations such as Yield, fuel and demand risk in the air cargo business. Our ACMI revenues and most of our costs under ACMI and CMI contracts are denominated in U.S. dollars, minimizing currency risks associated with international business.

We also offer CMI cargo and passenger services to customers, which is similar to ACMI flying except that the customer provides the aircraft. In 2013, we continued to expand our CMI business with the addition of services for two 767-300 cargo aircraft and a VIP configured 767-200 passenger aircraft. We currently provide CMI services for fourteen customer-owned aircraft. The aircraft are generally operated under the traffic rights of the customer. Certain direct operating expenses, such as fuel, overfly and landing fees and ground handling, are generally borne by the customer, which also bears the commercial risk for revenue.

All of our ACMI and CMI contracts provide that the aircraft remain under our exclusive operating control, possession and direction at all times. These contracts further provide that both the contracts and the routes to be operated may be subject to prior and/or periodic approvals of the U.S. or foreign governments.

As a percentage of our operating revenue, ACMI revenue represented 45.6% in 2013, 41.4% in 2012 and 45.2% in 2011. As a percentage of our operated Block Hours, ACMI represented 72.6% in 2013, 70.2% in 2012 and 74.9% in 2011. We recognize ACMI revenue, which includes CMI, as we operate the actual Block Hours on behalf of a customer or according to the guaranteed minimum Block Hours defined in contracts. The original length of these contracts generally ranges from two to twenty years, although we do offer contracts of shorter duration. In addition, we have also operated short-term, ACMI cargo and passenger services and we expect to continue to provide such services.

*AMC Charter.* Our AMC Charter business primarily provides full planeload passenger and cargo aircraft to the AMC. We participate in the U.S. Civil Reserve Air Fleet ( CRAF ) Program under contracts with the AMC,

## **Table of Contents**

which typically cover a one-year period. We have made a substantial number of our aircraft available for use by the U.S. Military in support of their operations and we operate such flights pursuant to cost-plus contracts. Atlas bears all direct operating costs for both passenger and cargo aircraft, which include fuel, insurance, overfly, landing and ground handling expenses. However, the price of fuel used during AMC flights is fixed by the U.S. Military. The contracted charter rates (per mile) and fuel prices (per gallon) are fixed by the AMC generally for twelve-month periods. We receive reimbursements from the AMC each month if the price of fuel paid by us to vendors for the AMC Charter flights exceeds the fixed price. If the price of fuel paid by us is less than the fixed price, then we pay the difference to the AMC.

Airlines may participate in the CRAF Program either alone or through a teaming arrangement. We are a member of the team led by FedEx Corporation ( FedEx ). We pay a commission to the FedEx team, based on the revenues we receive under our AMC contracts. The AMC buys cargo capacity on two bases: a fixed basis, which is awarded both annually and quarterly, and expansion flying, which is awarded on an as-needed basis throughout the contract term. While the fixed business is predictable, Block Hour levels for expansion flying are difficult to predict and thus are subject to fluctuation. We also earn commissions on subcontracting certain flying of oversized cargo and less than full planeload missions, or in connection with flying cargo into areas of military conflict where we cannot perform these services ourselves.

We began flying passenger charters for the AMC in 2011. Since then, we have expanded our passenger fleet with the purchase of two 747-400 and three 767-300ER passenger aircraft. In addition to AMC flying, we use these aircraft to fly passengers for private charter customers, charter brokers and other airlines.

As a percentage of our operating revenue, AMC Charter revenue represented 21.5% in 2013, 29.7% in 2012 and 31.7% in 2011. As a percentage of our operated Block Hours, AMC Charter represented 10.7% in 2013, 14.7% in 2012 and 14.0% in 2011.

*Commercial Charter.* Our Commercial Charter business segment provides full planeload cargo and passenger capacity to customers for one or more flights based on a specific origin and destination. The Commercial Charter business is generally booked on a short-term, as-needed, basis. In addition, Atlas provides limited airport-to-airport cargo services to select markets, including several cities in South America. The Commercial Charter business is similar to the AMC Charter business in that we are responsible for all direct operating costs as well as the commercial revenue risk. Atlas also bears direct sales costs incurred through our own sales force and through commissions paid to general sales agents.

As a percentage of our operating revenue, Commercial Charter revenue represented 29.9% in 2013, 27.4% in 2012 and 21.4% in 2011. As a percentage of our operated Block Hours, Commercial Charter represented 16.0% in 2013, 14.4% in 2012 and 10.1% in 2011.

*Dry Leasing.* Our Dry Leasing business provides a specific aircraft or engine without crew, maintenance or insurance to a customer for compensation that is typically based on a fixed monthly amount. This business is primarily operated by Titan, which is principally a cargo aircraft dry lessor, but also owns and manages aviation assets such as passenger narrow-body aircraft, engines and related equipment. Titan also markets its expertise in asset management, passenger-to-freighter conversion and other aviation-related technical services. As a percentage of our operating revenue, Dry Leasing revenue represented 2.1% in 2013, 0.7% in 2012 and 0.7% in 2011.

## **Global Supply Systems**

AAWW holds a 49% interest in GSS, a private company, which is accounted for as a consolidated subsidiary of AAWW (see Note 2 to our Financial Statements). Atlas Dry Leases three of our 747-8F aircraft to GSS, which pays for rent and a provision for maintenance costs associated with the aircraft. GSS, in turn, provides ACMI services for these aircraft to British Airways Plc ( British Airways ). In January 2014, British Airways notified us that they would be terminating our ACMI agreement and returning three 747-8F aircraft in April 2014.



## **Table of Contents**

### **DHL Investment and Polar**

DHL Network Operations (USA), Inc. ( DHL ) holds a 49% equity interest and a 25% voting interest in Polar (see Note 3 to our Financial Statements). AAWW owns the remaining 51% equity interest and 75% voting interest. Under a 20-year blocked space agreement (the BSA ), Polar provides air cargo capacity to DHL. In addition, Atlas and Polar have a flight services agreement, whereby Atlas is compensated by Polar on a per Block Hour basis, subject to a monthly minimum Block Hour guarantee, at a predetermined rate that escalates annually. Under the flight services agreement, Atlas provides Polar with crew, maintenance and insurance for the aircraft. Under separate agreements, Atlas and Polar supply administrative, sales and ground support services to one another. Deutsche Post AG ( DP ) has guaranteed DHL's (and Polar's) obligations under the various agreements described above. AAWW has agreed to indemnify DHL for and against various obligations of Polar and its affiliates. Collectively, these agreements are referred to in this Report as the DHL Agreements . The DHL Agreements provide us with a minimum guaranteed annual revenue stream from 747-400 aircraft that have been dedicated to Polar for DHL and other customers' freight over the life of the agreements.

Polar provides full flying for DHL's trans-Pacific express network and DHL provides financial support and also assumes the risks and rewards of the operations of Polar. In addition to its trans-Pacific routes, Polar also flies between the Asia Pacific regions, the Middle East and Europe on behalf of DHL and other customers.

Polar operates six 747-400 freighter aircraft and two 747-8F aircraft that are subleased from us. Atlas operates one additional 747-400 aircraft to support the Polar network and DHL through an alliance agreement whereby Atlas provides ACMI services to Polar. We also provide incremental charter capacity to Polar on an as-needed basis.

We began CMI flying five 767-200 freighters owned by DHL in their North American network in 2012. During 2013, we expanded our CMI flying in DHL's intra-Asia network with two new 767-300ERF aircraft owned by them.

### **Long-Term Revenue Commitments**

The following table sets forth the guaranteed minimum revenues expected to be received from our existing ACMI (including CMI) and Dry Leasing customers for the years indicated (in thousands):

2014	\$ 533,817
2015	404,163
2016	315,145
2017	249,159
2018	197,322
Thereafter	957,696
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,657,302</b>

### **Sales and Marketing**

We have regional sales offices in the United States, England, Hong Kong and Singapore, which cover the Americas, Europe, Africa, the Middle East and the Asia Pacific regions. These offices market our ACMI (including CMI) and Dry Leasing services directly to other airlines and logistic companies. They also market our cargo and passenger Commercial Charter services to charter brokers, cruise-ship operators, freight forwarders, direct shippers and airlines. Additionally, we have a dedicated Government and Defense Group that directly manages our military cargo and passenger operations.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Maintenance**

Maintenance represented our third-largest operating expense for the year ended December 31, 2013. Primary maintenance activities include scheduled and unscheduled work on airframes and engines. Scheduled maintenance activities encompass those activities specified in a carrier's maintenance program approved by the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration ( FAA ) and the U.K. Civil Aviation Authority ( CAA ). The costs necessary to adhere to these maintenance programs may increase over time, based on the age of the equipment or due to FAA or CAA airworthiness directives ( ADs ).

Scheduled airframe maintenance includes daily and weekly checks, as well as heavy maintenance checks, involving more complex activities that can generally take from one to four weeks to complete. Unscheduled maintenance, known as Line Maintenance, rectifies events occurring during normal day-to-day operations. Scheduled maintenance activities such as C and D Checks, are progressively higher in scope and duration than Line Maintenance, and are considered heavy airframe maintenance checks. All lettered checks are currently performed by third-party service providers that are compensated on a time-and-material basis as we believe they provide the most reliable and efficient means of maintaining our aircraft fleet.

Our FAA and CAA-approved maintenance programs allow our engines to be maintained on an on condition basis. Under this arrangement, engines are sent to third-party maintenance providers for repair based on life-limited parts and/or performance deterioration.

Under the ADs issued pursuant to the FAA's Aging Aircraft Program, we are subject to extensive aircraft examinations and may be required to undertake structural modifications to our fleet from time to time to address any problems of corrosion and structural fatigue. As part of the FAA's overall Aging Aircraft Program, it has issued increased inspection and maintenance requirements depending on aircraft type and ADs requiring certain additional aircraft modifications. We believe all aircraft in our fleet are in compliance with all existing ADs. It is possible, however, that additional ADs applicable to the types of aircraft or engines included in our fleet could be issued in the future and that the cost of complying with such ADs could be substantial.

### **Insurance**

We maintain insurance of the types and in amounts deemed adequate and consistent with current industry standards. Principal coverage includes: liability for injury to members of the public, including passengers; damage to our property and that of others; and loss of, or damage to, flight equipment, whether on the ground or in flight.

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, we and other airlines have been unable to obtain coverage for claims resulting from acts of terrorism, war or similar events (war-risk coverage) at reasonable rates from the commercial insurance market. We have, as have most other U.S. airlines, purchased our war-risk coverage through a special program administered by the U.S. government. The FAA is currently providing war-risk coverage for hull, passenger, cargo loss, crew and third-party liability insurance through September 30, 2014. Unless the U.S. Congress enacts legislation extending the program, U.S. federal government coverage will end on that date. It is possible that the U.S. Congress will fail to vote to extend the program or will extend it only in more limited form. If the federal war-risk coverage program is terminated or extended with significantly less coverage in the future, we could face a significant increase in the cost of war-risk coverage, and because of competitive pressures in the industry, our ability to pass this additional cost on to customers may be limited.

### **Governmental Regulation**

*General.* Atlas and Polar are subject to regulation by the U.S. Department of Transportation ( DOT ) and the FAA, among other U.S. and foreign government agencies. The DOT primarily regulates economic issues affecting air service, such as certification, fitness and citizenship, competitive practices, insurance and consumer protection. The DOT has the authority to investigate and institute proceedings to enforce its economic regulations

---

**Table of Contents**

and may assess civil penalties, revoke operating authority or seek criminal sanctions. Atlas and Polar each hold DOT-issued certificates of public convenience and necessity plus exemption authority to engage in scheduled air transportation of property and mail in domestic, as well as enumerated international markets, and charter air transportation of passengers, property and mail on a worldwide basis.

The DOT conducts periodic evaluations of each air carrier's fitness and citizenship. In the area of fitness, the DOT seeks to ensure that a carrier has the managerial competence, compliance disposition and financial resources needed to conduct the operations for which it has been certificated. Additionally, each U.S. air carrier must remain a U.S. citizen by (i) being organized under the laws of the United States or a state, territory or possession thereof; (ii) requiring its president and at least two-thirds of its directors and other managing officers to be U.S. citizens; (iii) allowing no more than 25% of its voting stock to be owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by foreign nationals and (iv) not being otherwise subject to foreign control. The DOT broadly interprets control to exist when an individual or entity has the potential to exert substantial influence over airline decisions through affirmative action or the threatened withholding of consents and/or approvals. We believe the DOT will continue to find Atlas and Polar's fitness and citizenship favorable and conclude that Atlas and Polar are in material compliance with the DOT requirements described above.

In addition to holding the DOT-issued certificate and exemption authority, each U.S. air carrier must hold a valid FAA-issued air carrier certificate and FAA-approved operations specifications authorizing operation in specific regions with specified equipment under specific conditions and is subject to extensive FAA regulation and oversight. The FAA is the U.S. government agency primarily responsible for regulation of flight operations and, in particular, matters affecting air safety, such as airworthiness requirements for aircraft, operating procedures, mandatory equipment and the licensing of pilots, mechanics and dispatchers. The FAA monitors compliance with maintenance, flight operations and safety regulations and performs frequent spot inspections of aircraft, employees and records. The FAA also has the authority to issue ADs and maintenance directives and other mandatory orders relating to, among other things, inspection of aircraft and engines, fire retardant and smoke detection devices, increased security precautions, collision and windshear avoidance systems, noise abatement and the mandatory removal and replacement of aircraft parts that have failed or may fail in the future. In addition, the FAA mandates certain record-keeping procedures. The FAA has the authority to modify, temporarily suspend or permanently revoke an air carrier's authority to provide air transportation or that of its licensed personnel, after providing notice and a hearing, for failure to comply with FAA rules, regulations and directives. The FAA is empowered to assess civil penalties for such failures or institute proceedings for the imposition and collection of monetary fines for the violation of certain FAA regulations and directives. The FAA is also empowered to modify, suspend or revoke an air carrier's authority on an emergency basis, without providing notice and a hearing, where significant safety issues are involved. We believe Atlas and Polar are in material compliance with applicable FAA rules and regulations and maintain all documentation required by the FAA.

In December 2011, the FAA adopted a rule to impose new flight and duty time requirements with the stated goal of reducing pilot fatigue. The rule took effect on January 14, 2014. The rule applies to our passenger operations but not to our all-cargo operations. The Independent Pilots Association, representing United Parcel Service, Inc. ( UPS ) pilots, have filed a judicial appeal, in which they are challenging the FAA decision not to include all-cargo operations in the rule. Should the appeal be successful or the FAA decide on its own initiative to change the final rule to include all-cargo operations, that would result in material increased crew costs for Atlas and Polar, as well as air carriers that predominately fly nighttime and long-haul flights. It could also have a material impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition by limiting crew scheduling flexibility and increasing operating costs, especially with respect to long-range flights.

*International.* Air transportation in international markets (the vast majority of markets in which Atlas, Polar and GSS operate) is subject to extensive additional regulation. The ability of Atlas, Polar and GSS to operate in other countries is governed by aviation agreements between the United States and the respective countries (in the case of Europe, the European Union (the EU )) or, in the absence of such an agreement, by

## Table of Contents

principles of reciprocity. Sometimes, as in the case of Japan and China, aviation agreements restrict the number of carriers that may operate, their frequency of operation, or the routes over which they may fly. This makes it necessary for the DOT to award route and operating rights to U.S. air carrier applicants through competitive route proceedings. International aviation agreements are periodically subject to renegotiation, and changes in U.S. or foreign governments could result in the alteration or termination of such agreements, diminish the value of existing route authorities or otherwise affect Atlas' and Polar's international operations. Foreign government authorities also impose substantial licensing and business registration requirements and, in some cases, require the advance filing and/or approval of schedules or rates. Moreover, the DOT and foreign government agencies typically regulate alliances and other commercial arrangements between U.S. and foreign air carriers, such as the ACMI and CMI arrangements that Atlas maintains. Approval of these arrangements is not guaranteed and may be conditional. In addition, approval during one time period does not guarantee approval in future periods.

A foreign government's regulation of its own air carriers can also affect our business. For instance, the EU places limits on the ability of EU carriers to use ACMI aircraft operated by airlines of non-EU member states. The regulations have a negative impact on our ACMI business opportunities. Similarly, the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) has proposed new rules that would prohibit EU airlines from providing ACMI and CMI services through non-EU airlines without first satisfying their regulators that the aircraft to be used adhere to both international and EASA-imposed requirements. Finalization of the proposed regulations could increase costs and inhibit business opportunities.

*Airport Access.* The ability of Atlas, Polar and our ACMI and CMI customers to operate is dependent on their ability to gain access to airports of their choice at commercially desirable times and on acceptable terms. In some cases, this is constrained by the need for the assignment of takeoff and landing slots or comparable operational rights. Like other air carriers, Atlas and Polar are subject to such constraints at slot-restricted airports in cities such as Chicago and a variety of foreign locations (e.g., Tokyo, Shanghai and Incheon). The availability of slots is not assured and the inability of Polar or Atlas' other ACMI customers to obtain additional slots could inhibit efforts to provide expanded services in certain international markets. In addition, nighttime flight restrictions have been imposed or proposed by various airports in Europe, Canada and the U.S. Depending on their severity, these could have an adverse operational impact.

Access to the New York airspace presents an additional challenge. Because of congestion in the New York area, especially at John F. Kennedy International Airport (JFK), the FAA imposes hourly limits on JFK operations of those carriers offering scheduled services.

As a further means to address congestion, the FAA allows U.S. airports to raise landing fees to defray the costs of airfield facilities under construction or reconstruction. Any landing fee increases implemented would have an impact on airlines generally.

*Security.* The U.S. Transportation Security Administration (TSA) extensively regulates aviation security through rules, regulations and security directives that are designed to prevent unauthorized access to passenger and freighter aircraft and the introduction of prohibited items including firearms and explosives onto an aircraft. Atlas and Polar currently operate pursuant to a TSA-approved risk-based security program that, we believe, adequately maintains the security of all aircraft in the fleet. We work closely with the TSA to ensure that we have available security research and intelligence information to assist us. There can be no assurance, however, that we will remain in compliance with the existing and any additional security requirements imposed by TSA or by U.S. Congress without incurring substantial costs, which may have a material adverse effect on our operations. To mitigate any such increase, we are working closely with the Department of Homeland Security and other government agencies to ensure that a risk-based management approach is utilized to target specific at-risk cargo. This approach will limit any exposure to regulation that would require 100% screening of all cargo at an excessive cost. Additionally, foreign governments and regulatory bodies (such as the European Commission) impose their own aviation security requirements and have increasingly tightened such requirements. This may have an adverse impact on our operations, especially to the extent the new requirements may necessitate redundant or costly measures or be in conflict with TSA requirements.

## **Table of Contents**

*Environmental.* We are subject to various federal, state and local laws relating to the protection of the environment, including the discharge or disposal of materials and chemicals and the regulation of aircraft noise, which are administered by numerous state, local and federal agencies. For instance, the DOT and the FAA have authority under the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 and under the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 to monitor and regulate aircraft engine noise. We believe that all aircraft in our fleet materially comply with current DOT, FAA and international noise standards.

We are also subject to the regulations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) regarding air quality in the United States. All of our aircraft meet or exceed applicable EPA fuel venting requirements and smoke emissions standards.

There is significant U.S. and international government interest in implementing measures to respond to the problem of climate change and greenhouse gas emissions. Various governments, including the United States, are pursuing measures to regulate climate change and greenhouse gas emissions.

In October 2013, the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) reached a non-binding agreement to address climate change by developing global-market-based measures to assist in achieving carbon neutral growth beginning in 2020. ICAO will direct the effort in the hope of securing a definitive agreement in 2016. Additionally, the European Union (EU) continues to pursue a parallel track through its Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS). Under the EU mechanism, airlines serving the EU must report flight activity on an ongoing basis. Following the end of every year, the legislation requires each airline to tender the number of carbon emissions allowances corresponding to carbon emissions generated by its flight activity during the year. If the airline's flight activity during the year has produced carbon emissions exceeding the number of carbon emissions allowances that it has been awarded, the airline must acquire carbon emissions allowances from other airlines in the open market. For 2013, in recognition of ICAO's ongoing work, the EU suspended application of the ETS except as it applies to intra-EU flying. The suspension expired by its terms at the end of 2013. Unless the EU acts, the ETS will be applicable to all flights to or from Europe in 2014. Proposals have been made to limit applicability of the ETS during 2014, but there can be no assurance that they will be adopted or, if adopted, that they will be as favorable to airlines as the 2013 ETS suspension.

In the United States, various constituencies have continued to advocate for controls on greenhouse gas emissions. Previously, both houses of the U.S. Congress passed legislation to impose a carbon-related tax on fuel sold to airlines and other entities. However, that bill has not been signed into law. Also, at the urging of states and environmental organizations, the EPA has taken steps that could lead to EPA regulation of greenhouse gas emissions from aircraft.

*Other Regulations.* Air carriers are also subject to certain provisions of the Communications Act of 1934 because of their extensive use of radio and other communication facilities and are required to obtain an aeronautical radio license from the Federal Communications Commission. Additionally, we are subject to U.S. and foreign antitrust requirements and international trade restrictions imposed by U.S. presidential determination and U.S. government agency regulation, including the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the U.S. Department of the Treasury. We endeavor to comply with such requirements at all times. We are also subject to state and local laws and regulations at locations where we operate and at airports that we serve. Our operations may become subject to additional international, U.S. federal, state and local requirements in the future. We believe that we are in material compliance with all currently applicable laws and regulations.

*Civil Reserve Air Fleet.* Atlas and Polar both participate in the CRAF Program, which permits the U.S. Department of Defense to utilize participants' aircraft during national emergencies when the need for military airlift exceeds the capability of military aircraft. Participation in the CRAF Program could adversely restrict our commercial business in times of national emergency.

*Future Regulation.* The U.S. Congress, the DOT, the FAA, the TSA and other government agencies are currently considering, and in the future may consider, adopting new laws, regulations and policies regarding a

## Table of Contents

wide variety of matters that could affect, directly or indirectly, our operations, ownership and profitability. It is impossible to predict what other matters might be considered in the future and to judge what impact, if any, the implementation of any future proposals or changes might have on our businesses.

### **Competition**

The market for ACMI services is competitive. We believe that the most important basis for competition in the ACMI market is the efficiency and cost effectiveness of the aircraft assets and the scale, scope and quality of the outsourced operating services provided. Atlas is currently the only provider of ACMI services with the modern 747-8F aircraft. The primary providers presently in the 747-400F and 747-400 BCF/SF ACMI markets include the following: Atlas; Air Atlanta Icelandic; Kalitta Air, LLC; Southern Air, Inc.; and Global Aviation Holdings, Inc. (its subsidiaries, World Airways, Inc. and North American Airlines, Inc., are both currently in Chapter 11 bankruptcy). In addition, Southern Air, Inc. provides 777 aircraft in the ACMI market.

While our AMC Charter business has been profitable each year since 2004, the formation of additional competing teaming arrangements, increased participation of other independent carriers, an increase by other air carriers in their commitment of aircraft to the CRAF program, the withdrawal of any of our current team members, or a reduction of the number of aircraft pledged to the CRAF program by our team, and the uncertainty of future demand for commercial airlift by the U.S. Military, could adversely affect the amount of AMC business awarded to us in the future. To the extent that we receive a reduction in our awards or expansion business, we intend to redeploy the available aircraft to our other business segments.

The Commercial Charter market is highly competitive, with a number of operators that include Kalitta Air, LLC; Southern Air, Inc.; and passenger airlines providing similar services utilizing both 747-400s and 747-200s. We believe that we offer a superior aircraft in the 747-400, and we will continue to develop new opportunities in the Commercial Charter market for 747-400 aircraft not otherwise deployed in our ACMI or AMC business.

The Dry Leasing business is competitive. We believe that we have an advantage over other cargo aircraft lessors in this business as a result of our relationships in the cargo market and our insights and expertise as an operator of aircraft. Titan also competes in the passenger aircraft leasing market to develop key customer relationships, enter strategic geographic markets, and/or acquire feedstock aircraft for future freighter conversion. The primary competitors in the aircraft leasing market include GE Capital Aviation Services; International Lease Finance Corp.; AWAS; Guggenheim Aviation Partners, LLC; CIT Aerospace; Aviation Capital Group Corp.; Air Castle Ltd.; AerCap Holdings N.V.; and RBS Aviation Capital, among many others.

### **Fuel**

Historically, aircraft fuel is one of the most significant expenses for us. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, fuel costs represented 27.9%, 30.8%, and 31.2%, respectively, of our total operating expenses. Fuel prices and availability are subject to wide price fluctuations based on geopolitical issues, supply and demand, which we can neither control nor accurately predict. The following table summarizes our total fuel consumption and costs:

	2013	2012	2011
Gallons consumed (in thousands)	124,949	131,012	111,848
Average price per gallon, including tax	\$ 3.28	\$ 3.33	\$ 3.47
Cost (in thousands)	\$ 410,353	\$ 436,618	\$ 388,579
Fuel burn gallons per Block Hour (excluding ACMI)	2,867	2,875	3,255

Our exposure to fluctuations in fuel price is limited to a portion of our Commercial Charter business only. For this business, we shift a portion of the burden of price increases to customers by imposing a surcharge. While we believe that fuel price volatility in 2013, 2012 and 2011 was partly reduced as a result of increased fuel

## **Table of Contents**

surcharges, these surcharges did not completely offset the impact of the underlying increases in fuel prices on our Commercial Charter business. The ACMI segment has no direct fuel price exposure because ACMI and CMI contracts require our customers to pay for aircraft fuel. Similarly, we generally have no fuel price risk in the AMC business because the price is set under our contract, and we receive or make payments to adjust for price increases and decreases from the contractual rate. AMC fuel expense was \$150.5 million in 2013, \$194.9 million in 2012 and \$221.3 million in 2011.

In the past, we have not experienced significant difficulties with respect to fuel availability. Although we do not currently anticipate a significant reduction in the availability of aircraft fuel, a number of factors, such as geopolitical uncertainties in oil-producing nations and shortages of and disruptions to refining capacity or transportation of aircraft fuel from refining facilities, make accurate predictions unreliable. For example, hostilities and political turmoil in oil-producing nations could lead to disruptions in oil production and/or to substantially increased oil prices. Any inability to obtain aircraft fuel at competitive prices would materially and adversely affect our results of operation and financial condition.

## **Employees**

Our business depends on highly qualified management, operations and flight personnel. As a percentage of our consolidated operating expenses, salaries, wages and benefits accounted for approximately 20.3% in 2013, 20.7% in 2012 and 21.0% in 2011. As of December 31, 2013, we had 1,792 employees, 959 of whom were pilots. We maintain a comprehensive training program for our pilots in compliance with FAA requirements, in which each pilot regularly attends recurrent training programs.

Pilots and flight dispatchers of Atlas and Polar are represented by the International Brotherhood of Teamsters (the "IBT"). These employees represented approximately 53.5% of our workforce as of December 31, 2013. We are subject to risks of work interruption or stoppage as permitted by the Railway Labor Act of 1926 (the "Railway Labor Act"), and may incur additional administrative expenses associated with union representation of our employees.

In September 2011, we completed, and have since implemented, a five-year collective bargaining agreement ("CBA") with our pilots, which will not become amendable until September 2016. The terms of the CBA result in a single pilot workforce that serves both Atlas and Polar.

In November 2012, we completed, and have since implemented, a five-year collective bargaining agreement with the Atlas and Polar dispatchers. These dispatchers have been represented by the IBT since 2009.

## **Available Information**

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports, filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), are available free of charge through our corporate internet website, [www.atlasair.com](http://www.atlasair.com), as soon as reasonably practicable after we have electronically filed such material with, or furnished it to, the SEC.

The public may read and copy any materials that we file with SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

The information on our website is not, and shall not be deemed to be, part of this Report or incorporated into any other filings we make with the SEC.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

You should carefully consider each of the following Risk Factors and all other information in this Report. These Risk Factors are not the only ones facing us. Our operations could also be impaired by additional risks and uncertainties. If any of the following risks and uncertainties develops into actual events, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

#### **RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS**

##### **Risks Related to Our Business Generally**

*Continued slowness or deterioration in the airfreight market, global economic conditions or financial markets could adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and ability to access capital markets.*

Airfreight demand has historically been highly dependent on global economic conditions. Although global economic conditions have recently improved, the airfreight market has not returned to historical levels of growth. If demand for our services, Yields or lease rates fail to improve, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We cannot accurately predict the effect and duration of any airfreight market or economic slowdown or the timing and strength of a subsequent recovery.

In addition, we may face significant challenges if conditions in the financial markets deteriorate. Our business is capital intensive and growth depends on the availability of capital for new aircraft, among other things. If today's capital availability deteriorates, we may be unable to raise the capital necessary to finance business growth or other initiatives. Our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to do so, which could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions.

*We could be adversely affected if any of our existing aircraft are underutilized or we fail to redeploy or deploy aircraft with customers at favorable rates. We could also be adversely affected from the loss of one or more of our aircraft for an extended period of time.*

Our operating revenues depend on our ability to effectively deploy all the aircraft in our fleet and maintain high utilization of our aircraft at favorable rates. If we have underutilized aircraft, we would seek to redeploy those aircraft in our other lines of business or sell them. If we are unable to successfully redeploy our existing aircraft at favorable rates or sell them on favorable terms, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, if one or more of our aircraft are out of service for an extended period of time, our operating revenues would decrease and we may have difficulty fulfilling our obligations under one or more of our existing contracts. The loss of revenue resulting from any such business interruption, and the cost, long lead time and difficulties in sourcing a replacement aircraft, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

*Our substantial lease and debt obligations, including aircraft lease and other obligations, could impair our financial condition and adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations or capital requirements, all of which could limit our financial resources and ability to compete, and may make us more vulnerable to adverse economic events.*

As of December 31, 2013, we had total debt obligations of approximately \$1.7 billion and total aircraft operating leases and other lease obligations of \$1.3 billion. These obligations have increased significantly and will increase further if we enter into financing arrangements for future aircraft purchases. Our outstanding financial obligations could have negative consequences, including:

making it more difficult to satisfy our debt and lease obligations;

requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations for interest, principal and lease payments and reducing our ability to use our cash flows to fund working capital and other general corporate requirements;



## **Table of Contents**

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions; and

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and in our industry.

Our ability to service our debt and meet our lease and other obligations as they come due is dependent on our future financial and operating performance. This performance is subject to various factors, including factors beyond our control, such as changes in global and regional economic conditions, changes in our industry, changes in interest or currency exchange rates, the price and availability of aircraft fuel and other costs, including labor and insurance. Accordingly, we cannot provide assurance that we will be able to meet our debt service, lease and other obligations as they become due and our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected under these circumstances.

***Certain of our debt obligations contain a number of restrictive covenants. In addition, many of our debt and lease obligations have cross default and cross acceleration provisions.***

Restrictive covenants in certain of our debt and lease obligations, under certain circumstances, could impact our ability to:

pay certain dividends or repurchase stock;

consolidate or merge with or into other companies or sell substantially all our assets;

expand significantly into lines of businesses beyond existing business activities or those which are cargo-related and/or aviation-related and similar businesses; and/or

modify the terms of debt or lease financing arrangements.

In certain circumstances, a covenant default under one of our debt instruments could cause us to be in default of other obligations as well. Any unremedied defaults could lead to an acceleration of the amounts owed and potentially could cause us to lose possession or control of certain aircraft.

***Our financial condition may suffer if we experience unanticipated costs as a result of ongoing lawsuits, claims and investigations related to alleged improper matters related to use of fuel surcharges and other rate components for air cargo services.***

The Company, Polar and Polar LLC ( Old Polar ), formerly Polar Air Cargo, Inc., have been named defendants, along with a number of other cargo carriers, in several class actions in the United States arising from allegations about the pricing practices of a number of air cargo carriers that have now been consolidated for pretrial purposes in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The consolidated complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants, including the Company, Polar and Old Polar, manipulated the market price for air cargo services sold domestically and abroad through the use of fuel and other surcharges, in violation of U.S. Federal, state and EU antitrust laws. The suit seeks treble damages and injunctive relief.

The Company and Old Polar, along with a number of other cargo carriers, have also been named in two civil class action suits in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec, Canada, which are substantially similar to the U.S. class action suits described above. Moreover, we have submitted relevant information and documentation to certain foreign regulators in connection with investigations initiated by such authorities into pricing practices of certain international air cargo carriers. These proceedings are continuing, and additional investigations and proceedings may be commenced and charges may be brought in these and other jurisdictions. Other parties may be added to these proceedings, and authorities may request additional information from us. If Old Polar or the Company were to incur an unfavorable outcome in connection with one or more of the related investigations or the litigation described above, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

## **Table of Contents**

In addition to the litigation and investigations described above, we are subject to a number of Brazilian customs claims, as well as other claims, lawsuits and pending actions which we consider to be routine and incidental to our business (see Note 12 to our Financial Statements). If we were to receive an adverse ruling or decision on any such claims, it could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***Global trade flows are typically seasonal, and our business segments, including our ACMI customers' business, experience seasonal variations.***

Global trade flows are typically seasonal in nature, with peak activity occurring during the retail holiday season, which generally begins in September / October and lasts through mid-December. Our ACMI contracts have contractual utilization minimums that typically allow our customers to cancel an agreed-upon percentage of the guaranteed hours of aircraft utilization over the course of a year. Our ACMI customers often exercise those cancellation options early in the first quarter of the year, when the demand for air cargo capacity is historically low following the seasonal holiday peak in the fourth quarter of the previous year. While our revenues typically fluctuate seasonally as described above, a significant proportion of the costs associated with our business, such as aircraft rent, depreciation and facilities costs, are fixed and cannot easily be reduced to match the seasonal drop in demand. As a result, our net operating results are typically subject to a high degree of seasonality.

***As a U.S. government contractor, we are subject to a number of procurement and other rules and regulations that affect our business. A violation of these rules and regulations could lead to termination or suspension of our government contracts and could prevent us from entering into contracts with government agencies in the future.***

To do business with government agencies, including the AMC, we must comply with, and are affected by, many rules and regulations, including those related to the formation, administration and performance of U.S. government contracts. These rules and regulations, among other things:

require, in some cases, procurement from small businesses;

require disclosure of all cost and pricing data in connection with contract negotiations;

give rise to U.S. government audit rights;

impose accounting rules that dictate how we define certain accounts, define allowable costs and otherwise govern our right to reimbursement under certain cost-based U.S. government contracts;

establish specific health, safety and doing-business standards; and

restrict the use and dissemination of information classified for national security purposes and the exportation of certain products and technical data.

These rules and regulations affect how we do business with our customers and, in some instances, impose added costs on our business. A violation of these rules and regulations could result in the imposition of fines and penalties or the termination of our contracts. In addition, the violation of certain other generally applicable rules and regulations could result in our suspension or debarment as a government contractor.

***Fuel price volatility and fuel availability could adversely affect our business and operations.***

The price of aircraft fuel is unpredictable and can be volatile. While we have been able to reduce our exposure to fuel risk significantly, we do bear some risk of fuel exposure for our Commercial Charter operations.

## Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

In addition, while our ACMI contracts require our customers to pay for aircraft fuel, if fuel costs increase significantly, our customers may reduce the volume and frequency of cargo shipments or find less costly alternatives for cargo delivery, such as land and sea carriers. Such instances could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

## **Table of Contents**

In the past, we have not experienced significant difficulties with respect to fuel availability. Although we do not currently anticipate a significant reduction in the availability of aircraft fuel, a number of factors, such as geopolitical uncertainties in oil-producing nations and shortages of and disruptions to refining capacity, make accurate predictions unreliable. For example, hostilities and political turmoil in oil-producing nations could lead to disruptions in oil production and/or to substantially increased oil prices. Any inability to obtain aircraft fuel at competitive prices could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***We are party to a collective bargaining agreement covering our U.S. pilots and a collective bargaining agreement covering our U.S. dispatchers, which could result in higher labor costs than those faced by some of our non-unionized competitors. This could put us at a competitive disadvantage and/or result in a work interruption or stoppage.***

Atlas pilots are represented by the IBT under a five-year collective bargaining agreement signed in 2011. Atlas and Polar dispatchers are represented by the IBT under a five-year collective bargaining agreement signed in 2012. We are subject to risks of increased labor costs associated with having a partially unionized workforce, as well as a greater risk of work interruption or stoppage. We cannot provide assurance that disputes, including disputes with certified collective bargaining representatives of our employees, will not arise in the future or that any outcome of such disputes will result in an agreement on terms satisfactory to us.

***Insurance coverage may become more expensive and difficult to obtain and may not be adequate to insure all of our risks. In addition, if our Dry Lease customers have inadequate insurance coverage or fail to fulfill their indemnification obligations, it could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.***

Aviation insurance premiums historically have fluctuated based on factors that include the loss history of the industry in general, and the insured carrier in particular. Future terrorist attacks and other adverse events involving aircraft could result in increases in insurance costs and could affect the price and availability of such coverage. We have, as have most other U.S. airlines, purchased our war-risk coverage through a special program administered by the U.S. federal government. The FAA is currently providing war-risk hull and cargo loss, crew and third-party liability insurance through September 30, 2014. Unless the U.S. Congress enacts legislation extending the program, U.S. federal government coverage will end on that date. It is possible that the U.S. Congress will fail to vote to extend the program or will extend it only in more limited form. If the federal war-risk coverage program is terminated or extended with significantly less coverage in the future, we could face an increase in the cost of war-risk coverage, and because of competitive pressures in the industry, our ability to pass this additional cost on to customers may be limited. We may also be unable to secure the same scope of war-risk insurance coverage as we have today.

We participate in an insurance pooling arrangement with DHL and its partners. This allows us to obtain aviation hull and liability and hull deductible coverage at reduced rates. If we are no longer included in this arrangement for any reason or if other pool members have coverage incidents, we may incur higher insurance costs.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain our existing coverage on terms favorable to us, that the premiums for such coverage will not increase substantially or that we will not bear substantial losses and lost revenue from accidents or other adverse events. Substantial claims resulting from an accident in excess of related insurance coverage or a significant increase in our current insurance expense could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Additionally, while we carry insurance against the risks inherent to our operations, which we believe are consistent with the insurance arrangements of other participants in our industry, we cannot provide assurance that we are adequately insured against all risks.

## **Table of Contents**

Lessees are required under our Dry Leases to indemnify us for, and insure against, liabilities arising out of the use and operation of the aircraft, including third-party claims for death or injury to persons and damage to property for which we may be deemed liable. Lessees are also required to maintain public liability, property damage and all-risk hull and war-risk hull insurance on the aircraft at agreed upon levels. If our lessees insurance is not sufficient to cover all types of claims that may be asserted against us or if our lessees fail to fulfill their indemnification obligations, we would be required to pay any amounts in excess of our insurance coverage, which could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***We rely on third party service providers. If these service providers do not deliver the high level of service and support required in our business, we may lose customers and revenue.***

We rely on third parties to provide certain essential services on our behalf, including maintenance, ground handling and flight attendants. In certain locations, there may be very few sources, or sometimes only a single source, of supply for these services. If we are unable to effectively manage these third parties, they may provide inadequate levels of support which could harm our customer relationships and have an adverse impact on our operations and the results thereof. Any material problems with the efficiency and timeliness of our contracted services, or an unexpected termination of those services, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***Some of our aircraft are periodically deployed in potentially dangerous situations, which may result in harm to our passengers, employees or contractors and/or damage to our aircraft/cargo.***

Some of our aircraft are deployed in potentially dangerous locations and carry hazardous cargo incidental to the services we provide in support of our customers' activities. Some areas through which our flight routes pass are subject to geopolitical instability, which increases the risk of death or injury to our passengers, employees or contractors or a loss of, or damage to, our aircraft and/or its cargo. While we maintain insurance to cover injury to our passengers, employees and contractors as well as the loss/damage of aircraft/cargo, except for limited situations, we do not have insurance against the loss arising from business interruption. It may be difficult to replace lost or substantially damaged aircraft due to the high capital requirements and long delivery lead times for new aircraft or to locate appropriate in-service aircraft for lease or sale. Any injury to passengers, employees or contractors or loss/damage of aircraft/cargo could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***We could be adversely affected by a failure or disruption of our computer, communications or other technology systems.***

We are heavily and increasingly dependent on technology to operate our business. The computer and communications systems on which we rely could be disrupted due to various events, some of which are beyond our control, including natural disasters, power failures, terrorist attacks, equipment failures, software failures and computer viruses and hackers. We have taken certain steps to implement business resiliency to help reduce the risk of some of these potential disruptions. There can be no assurance, however, that the measures we have taken are adequate to prevent or remedy disruptions or failures of these systems. Any substantial or repeated failure of these systems could impact our operations and customer service, result in the loss of important data, loss of revenues, and increased costs, and generally harm our business. Moreover, a failure of certain of our vital systems could limit our ability to operate our flights for an extended period of time, which would have a material adverse impact on our business and operations.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Risks Related to Our ACMI Business**

*We depend on a limited number of significant customers for our ACMI business, and the loss of one or more of such customers could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.*

Our ACMI business depends on a limited number of customers, which has typically averaged between six and eight. In addition, as a percentage of our total operating revenue, Polar accounted for 17.8% in 2013, 16.5% in 2012 and 17.2% in 2011. We typically enter into long-term ACMI contracts with our customers. The terms of our existing contracts are scheduled to expire on a staggered basis. There is a risk that any one of our significant ACMI customers may not renew their ACMI contracts with us on favorable terms or at all, perhaps due to reasons beyond our control. For example, certain of our airline ACMI customers may not renew their ACMI contracts with us because they decide to exit the dedicated cargo business or as they take delivery of new aircraft in their own fleet. Select customers have the opportunity to terminate their long-term agreements in advance of the expiration date, following a significant amount of notice to allow for remarketing of the aircraft. Such agreements generally contain a significant early termination fee paid by the customer.

Entering into ACMI contracts with new customers generally requires a long sales cycle, and as a result, if our ACMI contracts are not renewed, and there is a resulting delay in entering into new contracts, it could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

*Our agreements with several ACMI customers require us to meet certain performance targets, including certain departure/arrival reliability standards. Failure to meet these performance targets could adversely affect our financial results.*

Our ability to derive the expected economic benefits from our transactions with certain ACMI customers depends substantially on our ability to successfully meet strict performance standards and deadlines for aircraft and ground operations, which become increasingly stringent over time. If we do not meet these requirements, we may not be able to achieve the projected revenues and profitability from these contracts, and we could be exposed to certain remedies, including termination of the BSA in the most extreme of circumstances, as described below.

### **Risks Related to the BSA with DHL**

*Our agreements with DHL confer certain termination rights to them which, if exercised or triggered, may result in our inability to realize the full benefits of the BSA with DHL.*

The BSA gives DHL the option to terminate the agreements for convenience by giving notice to us before the tenth or fifteenth anniversary of the agreement's commencement date. Further, DHL has a right to terminate the BSA for cause following a specified management resolution process if we default on our performance or we are unable to perform for reasons beyond our control. If DHL exercises any of these termination rights, we would not be able to earn the revenues and profitability from these contracts.

### **Risks Related to Our AMC Charter Business**

*We derive a significant portion of our revenues from our AMC Charter business, and a substantial portion of these revenues have been generated pursuant to expansion flying, as opposed to fixed contract arrangements with the AMC. We expect the revenues from our AMC Charter business to continue to decline from current levels.*

As a percentage of our operating revenue, revenue derived from our AMC Charter business was approximately 21.5% in 2013, 29.7% in 2012 and 31.7% in 2011. Historically, the revenues derived from expansion flights for the AMC significantly exceeded the value of the fixed flight component of our AMC contract.

---

**Table of Contents**

Future revenues and profitability from this business are expected to continue to decline from historic levels as a result of reduced AMC demand. Revenues and profitability from our AMC Charter business are derived from one-year contracts with the AMC. Our current AMC contract runs from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014. Changes in national and international political priorities can significantly affect the volume of our AMC Charter business. Any decrease in U.S. military activity could reduce our AMC Charter business. In addition, our share of the total AMC Charter business depends on several factors, including the total fleet size we commit to the CRAF program and the total number of aircraft deployed by our teaming arrangement partners and competitors in the program.

The AMC also holds all carriers to certain on-time performance requirements as a percentage of flights flown and, as a result of reduced AMC demand, it may become more difficult to comply with those requirements. To the extent that we fail to meet those performance requirements or if we fail to pass bi-annual AMC audits, revenues and profitability from our AMC Charter business could decline through a suspension or termination of our AMC contract. Our revenues and profitability could also decline due to a reduction in the revenue rate we are paid by the AMC, a greater reliance by the AMC on its own fleet or a reduction in our allocation of AMC flying. Any reduction in our AMC flying could also negatively impact our Commercial Charter revenue from trips related to one-way AMC missions. We expect revenues and profitability from our AMC Charter business to continue to decline from current levels as the U.S. Military continues to withdraw troops from areas of conflict around the world. If we are unable to effectively deploy the resultant capacity, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***Our AMC Charter business is sensitive to teaming arrangements which affect our relative share of AMC flying and the associated profitability. If one of our team members reduces its commitments or withdraws from the program, and/or if other carriers on other teams commit additional aircraft to this program, our share of AMC flying may decline. In addition, any changes made to the commissions that we pay and/or receive for AMC flying or changes to the CRAF contracting mechanism could impact the revenues and/or profitability of this business.***

Each year, the AMC allocates its air capacity requirements to different teams of CRAF participating airlines based on a mobilization value point system that is determined by the amount and types of aircraft that each team of airlines pledges to the CRAF program. We participate in the CRAF program through a teaming arrangement with other airlines, led by FedEx. Our team is one of three major teams participating in the CRAF program during our current contract year. Several factors could adversely affect the amount of AMC flying that is allocated to us, including:

changes in the CRAF contracting mechanism;

the formation of new competing teaming arrangements;

the withdrawal of any of our team's current partners, especially FedEx;

a reduction of the number of aircraft pledged to the CRAF program by us or other members of our team; or

increased participation of other carriers on other teams in the CRAF program.

Any changes to the CRAF program that would result in a reduction in our share of, or profitability from, AMC flying could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

## **Table of Contents**

### **RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDUSTRY**

*The market for air cargo services is highly competitive and if we are unable to compete effectively, we may lose current customers or fail to attract new customers. We could also be adversely affected if a large number of long-haul freighter aircraft or freighter aircraft of different equipment types are introduced into the market.*

Each of the markets we participate in is highly competitive and fragmented. We offer a broad range of aviation services and our competitors vary by geographic market and type of service and include other international and domestic contract carriers, regional and national ground handling and logistics companies, internal cargo units of major airlines and third party cargo providers. Competition in the air cargo and transportation market is influenced by several key factors, including quality, price and availability of assets and services. Some of our competitors have filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 and have emerged or could emerge from bankruptcy in a stronger, more competitive position. Regulatory requirements to operate in the U.S. domestic air cargo market have been reduced, facilitating the entry into domestic markets by non-U.S. air cargo companies. If we were to lose any major customers and/or fail to attract customers, it could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Additionally, an increase in the number of aircraft in the freight market could cause Yields and rates to fall and/or could negatively affect our customer base. If either circumstance were to occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

*We are subject to extensive governmental regulations and failure to comply with these regulations in the U.S. and abroad, or the adoption of any new laws, policies or regulations or changes to such regulations, may have an adverse effect on our business.*

Our operations and our lessees' operations are subject to complex aviation and transportation laws and regulations, including Title 49 of the U.S. Code, under which the DOT and the FAA exercise regulatory authority over air carriers. In addition, our business activities and our lessees' business activities fall within the jurisdiction of various other federal, state, local and foreign authorities, including the U.S. Department of Defense, the TSA, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control and the U.S. EPA. In addition, other countries in which we operate have similar regulatory regimes to which we are subjected. These laws and regulations may require us to maintain and comply with the terms of a wide variety of certificates, permits, licenses, noise abatement standards, maintenance and other requirements and our failure to do so could result in substantial fines or other sanctions. These U.S. and foreign aviation regulatory agencies have the authority to modify, amend, suspend or revoke the authority and licenses issued to us for failure to comply with provisions of law or applicable regulations and may impose civil or criminal penalties for violations of applicable rules and regulations. Such fines or sanctions, if imposed, could have a material adverse effect on our mode of conducting business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, U.S. and foreign governmental authorities may adopt, amend or interpret accounting standards, tax laws, regulations or treaties that could require us to take additional and potentially costly compliance steps or result in the grounding of some of our aircraft, which could increase our operating costs or result in a loss of revenues.

International aviation is increasingly subject to requirements imposed or proposed by foreign governments. This is especially true in the areas of transportation security, aircraft noise and emissions control, and greenhouse gas emissions. These may be duplicative of, or incompatible with U.S. government requirements, resulting in increased compliance efforts and expense. Even standing alone, foreign government requirements can be burdensome.

Foreign governments also place temporal and other restrictions on the ability of their own airlines to use aircraft operated by other airlines. For example, as a result of EU regulations finalized in 2008, EU airlines generally secure aircraft capacity from U.S. and other non-EU airlines for a maximum of two seven-month periods. This restriction could negatively impact our revenue and profitability. Additionally, the EASA is

considering a proposal to require EU airlines to establish to the satisfaction of their regulatory agencies that the



## **Table of Contents**

aircraft capacity secured from and operated by U.S. and other non-EU airlines meet internationally set standards and additional EASA requirements. These and other similar regulatory developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### ***Initiatives to address global climate change may adversely affect our business and increase our costs.***

To address climate change, governments continue to pursue various means to reduce aviation-related greenhouse gas emissions. Measures that are ultimately adopted could result in substantial costs for us.

In October 2013, the ICAO reached a non-binding agreement to address climate change by developing global-market-based measures to assist in achieving carbon neutral growth beginning in 2020. ICAO will direct the effort in the hope of securing a definitive agreement in 2016. Additionally, the EU continues to pursue a parallel track through its ETS. Under the EU mechanism, airlines serving the EU must report flight activity on an ongoing basis. Following the end of every year, the legislation requires each airline to tender the number of carbon emissions allowances corresponding to the carbon emissions generated by its flight activity during the year. If the airline's flight activity during the year has produced carbon emissions exceeding the number of carbon emissions allowances that it has been awarded, the airline must acquire carbon emissions allowances from other airlines in the open market. For 2013, in recognition of ICAO's ongoing work, the EU suspended application of the ETS except as it applies to intra-EU flying. The suspension expired at the end of 2013. Unless the EU acts, the ETS will be applicable to all flights to or from Europe in 2014. Proposals have been made to limit applicability of the ETS during 2014, but there can be no assurance that they will be adopted or, if adopted, that they will be as favorable to airlines as the 2013 ETS suspension.

In the United States, various constituencies have continued to advocate for controls on greenhouse gas emissions. Previously, both houses of the U.S. Congress passed legislation to impose a carbon-related tax on fuel sold to airlines and other entities. However, a bill has not been signed into law. Also, at the urging of States and environmental organizations, the EPA has taken steps that could lead to EPA regulation of greenhouse gas emissions from aircraft.

Regardless of the outcome of these activities, it is possible that some type of climate change measures ultimately will be imposed in a manner adversely affecting airlines. The costs of complying with potential new environmental laws or regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### ***The airline industry is subject to numerous security regulations and rules that increase costs. Imposition of more stringent regulations and rules than those that currently exist could materially increase our costs.***

The TSA has increased security requirements in response to increased levels of terrorist activity, and has adopted comprehensive new regulations governing air cargo transportation, including all-cargo services, in such areas as cargo screening and security clearances for individuals with access to cargo. Additional measures, including a requirement to screen cargo, have been proposed, which, if adopted, may have an adverse impact on our ability to efficiently process cargo and would increase our costs and those of our customers. The cost of compliance with increasingly stringent regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### ***Our future operations might be constrained by new FAA flight and duty time rules.***

In 2009, following expressions of concern about pilot fatigue on certain long-range flights, the FAA convened an Aviation Rulemaking Committee (ARC) comprised of various aviation stakeholders to recommend changes to the flight and duty time rules applicable to pilots. This was followed in 2010 by FAA issuance of a notice of proposed rulemaking containing new proposed flight and duty time rules. In December 2011, following the completion of a lengthy rulemaking process intended to reduce pilot fatigue, the FAA adopted a final rule containing new flight and duty time limitations and rest requirements. The rule went into effect in January 2014, resulting in more stringent scheduling requirements for pilots operating our passenger flights.

## **Table of Contents**

Finding that the costs of applying the new rule to all-cargo flights would greatly exceed the benefits, the FAA decided not to apply the rule to all-cargo operations. The Independent Pilots Association, representing UPS pilots, filed a judicial appeal of the FAA decision to exclude all-cargo operations from the rule. The appeal was suspended to allow the FAA to complete revisions to its cost-benefit analysis but remains pending. Legislation to require the FAA to apply the rule to all-cargo operations has also been introduced in Congress. Application of the new flight and duty time rule to all-cargo operations pursuant to a court, FAA or Congressional directive would result in materially increased crew costs, as well as air carriers that predominantly fly nighttime and long-haul flights, and could have a material impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition by limiting crew scheduling flexibility and increasing operating costs, especially with respect to long-haul flights.

## **RISKS RELATED TO OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK**

### *U.S. citizenship requirements may limit common stock voting rights.*

Under U.S. federal law and DOT requirements, we must be owned and actually controlled by citizens of the United States, a statutorily defined term requiring, among other things, that not more than 25% of our issued and outstanding voting stock be owned and controlled, directly or indirectly, by non-U.S. citizens. The DOT periodically conducts airline citizenship reviews and, if it finds that this requirement is not met, may require adjustment of the rights attendant to the airline's issued shares.

As one means to effect compliance, our certificate of incorporation and by-laws provide that the failure of non-U.S. citizens to register their shares on a separate stock record, which we refer to as the Foreign Stock Record, results in a suspension of their voting rights. Our by-laws further limit the number of shares of our capital stock that may be registered on the Foreign Stock Record to 25% of our issued and outstanding shares. Registration on the Foreign Stock Record is made in chronological order based on the date we receive a written request for registration. As a result, if a non-U.S. citizen acquires shares of our common stock and does not or is not able to register those shares on our Foreign Stock Record, they may lose their ability to vote those shares.

### *Provisions in our restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws and Delaware law might discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of the Company and, therefore, depress the trading price of our common stock.*

Provisions of our restated certificate of incorporation, by-laws and Delaware law may render more difficult or discourage any attempt to acquire our company, even if such acquisition may be believed to be favorable to the interests of our stockholders. These provisions may also discourage bids for our common stock at a premium over market price or adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

### *Our common stock share price has been subject to fluctuations in value.*

The trading price of our common shares is subject to material fluctuations in response to a variety of factors, including quarterly variations in our operating results, conditions of the air freight market and global economic conditions or other events and factors that are beyond our control.

In the past, following periods of significant volatility in the overall market and in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has been instituted against these companies in some circumstances. If this type of litigation were instituted against us following a period of volatility in the market price for our common stock, it could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

## **ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. PROPERTIES****Aircraft**

The following tables provide information about AAWW's aircraft and customer-provided aircraft, not including retired or permanently parked aircraft, as of December 31, 2013:

**AAWW Aircraft**

<b>Aircraft Type</b>	<b>Tail #</b>	<b>Configuration</b>	<b>Ownership</b>	<b>Financing Type</b>
<i>ACMI, AMC Charter and Commercial Charter Segments</i>				
747-8F	N850GT	Freighter	Owned	Notes
747-8F	N851GT	Freighter	Owned	Notes
747-8F	N852GT	Freighter	Owned	Notes
747-8F	N853GT	Freighter	Owned	Notes
747-8F	N854GT	Freighter	Owned	Term Loan
747-8F	N855GT	Freighter	Owned	Notes
747-8F	G-GSSD	Freighter	Owned	Term Loan
747-8F	G-GSSE	Freighter	Owned	Term Loan
747-8F	G-GSSF	Freighter	Owned	Term Loan
747-400	N409MC	Freighter	Owned	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N475GT	Freighter	Owned	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N493MC	Freighter	Owned	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N477GT	Freighter	Owned	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N476GT	Freighter	Owned	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N496MC	Freighter	Owned	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N499MC	Freighter	Owned	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N408MC	Freighter	Leased	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N412MC	Freighter	Leased	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N492MC	Freighter	Leased	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N497MC	Freighter	Leased	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N498MC	Freighter	Leased	Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates
747-400	N415MC	Freighter	Leased	Operating Lease
747-400	N416MC	Freighter	Leased	Operating Lease
747-400	N418MC	Freighter	Leased	Operating Lease
747-400	N450PA	Freighter	Leased	Operating Lease
747-400	N451PA	Freighter	Leased	Operating Lease
747-400	N452PA	Freighter	Leased	Operating Lease
747-400	N453PA	Freighter	Leased	Operating Lease
747-400	N454PA	Freighter	Leased	Operating Lease
747-400	N419MC	Freighter	Owned	None
747-400	N429MC	Converted Freighter	Owned	None
747-400	N464MC	Passenger	Owned	Term Loan
747-400	N465MC	Passenger	Owned	Term Loan
767-300ER	N640GT	Passenger	Owned	Term Loan
767-300ER	N641GT	Passenger	Owned	Term Loan
767-300ER	N642GT	Passenger	Owned	Term Loan
<i>Dry Leasing Segment</i>				
777-200LRF	36200	Freighter	Owned	Term Loan
777-200LRF	36201	Freighter	Owned	Term Loan
777-200LRF	35606	Freighter	Owned	Notes
757-200	B-2808	Freighter	Owned	Term Loan
737-800	29681	Passenger	Owned	Term Loan
737-800	35071	Passenger	Owned	None
737-300	26284	Freighter	Owned	None



**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes AAWW's aircraft as of December 31, 2013:

Aircraft Type	Configuration	Owned	Operating Leased	Total	Average Age Years
<b>ACMI, AMC Charter and Commercial Charter Segments</b>					
747-8F	Freighter	9		9	1.4
747-400	Freighter	8	13	21	13.9
747-400	Converted Freighter	1		1	20.5
747-400	Passenger	2		2	21.7
767-300ER	Passenger	3		3	20.6
<b>Total</b>		<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>12.2</b>
<b>Dry Leasing Segment</b>					
777-200LRF	Freighter	3		3	3.8
757-200	Freighter	1		1	24.4
737-800	Passenger	2		2	6.5
737-300	Freighter	1		1	21.1
<b>Total</b>		<b>7</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>10.0</b>
<b>Total Fleet</b>		<b>30</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>11.8</b>

Lease expirations for our operating leased aircraft included in the above tables range from February 2020 to February 2025.

**Customer-provided Aircraft for our CMI Business**

The following table summarizes customer-provided aircraft as of December 31, 2013:

Aircraft Type	Tail #	Configuration	Ownership
747-400	263	Passenger	Sonangol*
747-400	322	Passenger	Sonangol*
747-400	718	Dreamlifter	Boeing
747-400	747	Dreamlifter	Boeing
747-400	249	Dreamlifter	Boeing
747-400	780	Dreamlifter	Boeing
767-200	650	Freighter	DHL
767-200	651	Freighter	DHL
767-200	652	Freighter	DHL
767-200	653	Freighter	DHL
767-200	655	Freighter	DHL
767-200	767	Passenger	MLW**
767-300	643	Freighter	DHL
767-300	644	Freighter	DHL

\* Aircraft owned by the Sonangol Group, the multinational energy company of Angola.

\*\* Aircraft owned by MLW Air, LLC ( MLW Air ).

**Table of Contents**

**Ground Facilities**

Our principal office is located in Purchase, New York, where we lease approximately 120,000 square feet under a long-term lease, for which the current term expires in 2017. This office includes both operational and administrative support functions, including flight and crew operations, maintenance and engineering, material management, human resources, legal, sales and marketing, finance and information technology. In addition, we lease a variety of smaller offices and ramp space at various station and regional locations on a short-term basis.

**ITEM 3. *LEGAL PROCEEDINGS***

The information required in response to this Item is set forth in Note 12 to our Financial Statements, and such information is incorporated herein by reference. Such description contains all of the information required with respect hereto.

**ITEM 4. *MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES***

Not applicable.

**Table of Contents****PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Market Information**

Since 2006, our common stock has been traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol **AAWW**.

**Market Price of Common Stock**

The following table sets forth the closing high and low sales prices per share of our common stock for the periods indicated.

	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
<b>2013 Quarter Ended</b>		
December 31	\$ 49.64	\$ 36.40
September 30	\$ 48.50	\$ 42.43
June 30	\$ 47.10	\$ 37.40
March 31	\$ 47.89	\$ 40.76
<b>2012 Quarter Ended</b>		
December 31	\$ 54.99	\$ 40.23
September 30	\$ 56.98	\$ 42.07
June 30	\$ 52.26	\$ 40.68
March 31	\$ 52.11	\$ 38.70

The last reported sale price of our common stock on The NASDAQ National Market on December 31, 2013 was \$41.15 per share. As of February 3, 2014, there were approximately 25.0 million shares of our common stock issued and outstanding, and 52 holders of record of our common stock.

In 2008, we established a stock repurchase program, which authorizes the repurchase of up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. Purchases may be made at our discretion from time to time on the open market, through negotiated transactions, block purchases, accelerated share repurchase programs or exchange or non-exchange transactions. During 2013, we actively repurchased \$72.1 million of shares of our common stock under two accelerated stock repurchase programs. As of December 31, 2013, we have repurchased a total of 2,423,820 shares of our common stock for approximately \$91.0 million, at an average cost of \$37.55 per share under our stock repurchase program. In November 2013, we announced an increase of \$51.0 million to our stock repurchase program, resulting in \$60.0 million of available authorization remaining at December 31, 2013.

**Equity Compensation Plans**

See Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters for information regarding our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2013.

**Dividends**

We have never paid a cash dividend with respect to our common stock and we do not anticipate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. Moreover, certain of our financing arrangements contain financial covenants that could limit our ability to pay cash dividends.

**Table of Contents****Foreign Ownership Restrictions**

Under our by-laws, U.S. federal law and DOT regulations, we must be controlled by U.S. citizens. In this regard, our President and at least two-thirds of our board of directors and officers must be U.S. citizens and not more than 25% of our outstanding voting common stock may be held by non-U.S. citizens. We believe that, during the period covered by this Report, we were in compliance with these requirements.

**Performance Graph**

The following graph compares the performance of AAWW common stock to the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index, the Russell 2000 Index and the AMEX Airline Index for the period beginning December 31, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2013. The comparison assumes \$100 invested in each of our common stock, the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index, the Russell 2000 Index and the AMEX Airline Index and reinvestment of all dividends.

**Total Return Between 12/31/08 and 12/31/13**

	<b>Cumulative Return</b>	<b>12/31/08</b>	<b>12/31/09</b>	<b>12/31/10</b>	<b>12/30/11</b>	<b>12/31/12</b>	<b>12/31/13</b>
AAWW		\$100.00	\$197.09	\$295.40	\$203.33	\$234.50	\$217.72
Russell 2000 Index		\$100.00	\$125.22	\$156.90	\$148.35	\$170.06	\$232.98
S&P 500		\$100.00	\$123.45	\$139.23	\$139.23	\$157.90	\$204.63
AMEX Airline Index		\$100.00	\$139.32	\$193.82	\$133.72	\$182.40	\$287.51

**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the selected statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 have been derived from our audited Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report. The selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, and selected statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 have been derived from our audited Financial Statements not included in this Report.



**Table of Contents**

Effective April 8, 2009, we began reporting GSS on a consolidated basis. Our Operating Statistics, Operating Revenue and Operating Expenses reflect the consolidation of GSS as of that date. Previously, GSS was accounted for under the equity method. In the following table, all amounts are in thousands, except for per share data.

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
<b>Statement of Operations Data:</b>					
Total operating revenues	\$ 1,656,900	\$ 1,646,032	\$ 1,398,216	\$ 1,337,774	\$ 1,061,546
Total operating expenses	1,470,110	1,419,541	1,247,116	1,109,888	911,539
Operating income / (loss)	186,790	226,491	151,100	227,886	150,007
Net income	93,989	129,714	96,309	142,956	76,156
Less: Net income / (loss) Attributable to noncontrolling interests	152	(213)	226	1,146	(1,620)
Net income Attributable to Common Stockholders	\$ 93,837	\$ 129,927	\$ 96,083	\$ 141,810	\$ 77,776
Earnings per share (Basic)	\$ 3.67	\$ 4.92	\$ 3.66	\$ 5.50	\$ 3.59
Earnings per share (Diluted)	\$ 3.66	\$ 4.89	\$ 3.64	\$ 5.44	\$ 3.56
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Total assets	\$ 3,718,259	\$ 3,152,685	\$ 2,390,998	\$ 1,936,102	\$ 1,740,873
Long-term debt (less current portion)*	\$ 1,539,139	\$ 1,149,282	\$ 680,009	\$ 391,036	\$ 526,680
Total equity	\$ 1,322,125	\$ 1,288,104	\$ 1,141,375	\$ 1,050,090	\$ 888,757

\* See Note 7 to our Financial Statements for further discussion.

**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report.

**Business Overview**

We are a leading global provider of outsourced aircraft and aviation operating services. As such, we manage and operate the world's largest fleet of Boeing 747 freighters. We provide unique value to our customers by giving them access to highly reliable new production freighters that deliver the lowest unit cost in the marketplace combined with outsourced aircraft operating services that we believe lead the industry in terms of quality and global scale. Our customers include airlines, express delivery providers, freight forwarders, the U.S. military and charter brokers. We provide global services with operations in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, the Middle East, North America and South America.

We believe that the following competitive strengths will allow us to capitalize on opportunities that exist in the global airfreight industry:

***Market leader with leading-edge technology and innovative, value-creating solutions:***

We manage the world's largest fleet of 747 freighters. The new 747-8F is the largest and most efficient long-haul commercial freighter currently available and we are currently the only operator offering these aircraft

## **Table of Contents**

to the ACMI market. Our current cargo fleet includes nine 747-8F aircraft, twenty-two 747-400 freighter aircraft and our CMI customers provide us with two 747-400 passenger aircraft, five 767-200 cargo aircraft, two 767-300 cargo aircraft, four Dreamliners and one VIP-configured 767-200 passenger aircraft, which are included in our operating fleet statistics. In addition, we also have two 747-400 and three 767-300ER passenger aircraft. Our operating model deploys our aircraft to drive maximum utilization and value from our fleet. The scale of our fleet enables us to have aircraft available globally to respond to our customers' needs, both on a planned and ad hoc basis. We believe that this provides us with a commercial advantage over our competitors that operate with smaller and less flexible fleets.

Since November of 2011, we have taken delivery of nine new 747-8F aircraft, which have improved operating performance relative to the 747-400. The new aircraft create additional operating leverage to drive growth and to help us maintain our industry leading position for the foreseeable future. Both the 747-8F and 747-400, the current core of our ACMI segment, are industry leaders for operating performance in the intercontinental air freighter market due to cost and capacity advantages over other freighters.

During 2013, we significantly expanded our Dry Leasing business with the acquisition of three 777-200LR aircraft. We also acquired an additional three 777-200LR aircraft in January 2014. All six aircraft are Dry Leased to customers on a long-term basis. The addition of the 777 freighters further diversifies our business mix with leading-edge technology.

### ***Stable base of contractual revenue and reduced operational risk:***

Our focus on providing long-term contracted aircraft and operating solutions to customers stabilizes our revenues and reduces our operational risk. Typically, ACMI and CMI contracts with customers generally range from two to five years, although some contracts have shorter or longer durations. Under ACMI, CMI and Dry Leasing, our customers assume fuel, Yield and demand risk resulting in reduced operational risk for AAWW. ACMI, CMI and Dry Leasing contracts typically provide us with a guaranteed minimum level of revenue and target level of profitability.

Our contract with DHL includes the allocation of blocked space capacity on a long-term basis for up to 20 years. This arrangement eliminates Yield and demand risks, similar to the rest of our ACMI business, for a minimum of six 747-400 aircraft, with an additional two 747-8F aircraft and one 747-400 aircraft under separate ACMI agreements.

Our AMC Charter services are typically operated under an annual contract with the U.S. military, whereby the military assumes Yield and fuel price risk.

### ***Focus on asset optimization:***

By managing the largest fleet of 747 freighter aircraft, we achieve significant economies of scale in areas such as aircraft maintenance, crew efficiency, crew training, inventory management and purchasing. We believe the addition of the 747-8F aircraft further enhances our efficiencies as these new aircraft have operational, maintenance and spare parts commonality with our existing fleet of 747-400s, as well as a common pilot-type rating.

Our mix of aircraft is closely aligned with our customer needs. We believe that our new 747-8F aircraft and our existing 747-400 fleet are well-suited to meet the current and anticipated requirements of our customers.

We continually evaluate our fleet to ensure that we offer the most efficient and effective mix of aircraft. Our service model is unique in that we offer a portfolio of operating solutions that complement our freighter aircraft businesses. We believe this allows us to improve the returns we generate from our asset base by allowing us to flexibly redeploy aircraft to meet changing market conditions, ensuring the maximum utilization of our fleet. Our

## **Table of Contents**

AMC and Commercial Charter services complement our ACMI services by allowing us to increase aircraft utilization during open time and to react to changes in demand and Yield in these segments. We have employees situated around the globe who closely monitor demand for commercial charter services in each region, enabling us to redeploy available aircraft quickly. We also endeavor to manage our portfolio to stagger contract terms to mitigate our remarketing risks and aircraft down time.

### ***Long-term strategic customer relationships and unique service offerings:***

We combine the global scope and scale of our efficient aircraft fleet with high quality, cost-effective operations and premium customer service to provide unique, fully integrated and reliable solutions for our customers. We believe this approach results in customers that are motivated to seek long-term relationships with us. This has historically allowed us to command higher prices than our competitors in several key areas. These long-term relationships help us to build resilience into our business model.

Our customers have access to our solutions, such as inter-operable crews, flight scheduling, fuel efficiency planning, and maintenance spare coverage, which, we believe, set us apart from other participants in the aircraft operating solutions market. Furthermore, we have access to valuable operating rights to restricted markets such as Brazil, Japan and China. We believe our freighter services allow our customers to effectively expand their capacity and operate dedicated freighter aircraft without simultaneously taking on exposure to fluctuations in the value of owned aircraft and, in the case of our ACMI and CMI contracts, long-term expenses relating to crews and maintenance. Dedicated freighter aircraft enable schedules to be driven by cargo rather than passenger demand (for those customers that typically handle portions of their cargo operations via belly capacity on passenger aircraft), which we believe allows our customers to drive higher contribution from cargo operations.

We are focused on providing safe, secure and reliable services. Both Atlas and Polar successfully completed the International Air Transport Association's Operational Safety Audit (IOSA), a globally recognized safety and quality standard.

We provide outsourced aviation services and solutions to some of the world's premier airlines and largest freight forwarders. We will take advantage of opportunities to maintain and expand our relationships with our existing customers, while seeking new customers and new geographic markets.

### ***Experienced management team:***

Our management team has extensive operating and leadership experience in the airfreight, airline, aircraft leasing and logistics industries at companies such as United Airlines, US Airways, Lufthansa Cargo, GE Capital Aviation Services, Air Canada, Ansett Worldwide Aviation Services, Canadian Airlines, Continental Airlines, SH&E Air Transport Consultancy, ASTAR Air Cargo and KLM Cargo, as well as the United States Navy, Air Force and Federal Air Marshal Service. Our management team is led by William J. Flynn, who has over 30 years of experience in freight and transportation and has held senior management positions with several transportation companies. Prior to joining AAWW, Mr. Flynn was President and CEO of GeoLogistics, a global transportation and logistics enterprise.

## **Business Strategy**

Our strategy includes the following:

### ***Aggressively manage our fleet with a focus on leading-edge aircraft:***

We continue to actively manage our fleet of leading-edge wide-body freighter aircraft to meet customer demands. The 747-8F aircraft are primarily utilized in our ACMI business while our 747-400s are utilized in our

## **Table of Contents**

ACMI, AMC and Commercial Charter business. We aggressively manage our fleet and will evaluate potential opportunities for adding incremental aircraft to ensure that we provide our customers with the most efficient aircraft to meet their needs.

We have expanded our Dry Leasing business with the recent addition of six modern, efficient 777-200LRF aircraft and will continue to explore opportunities to invest in additional aircraft.

### ***Focus on securing long-term contracts:***

We will continue to focus on securing long-term contracts with customers, which provide us with stable revenue streams and predictable margins. In addition, these agreements limit our direct exposure to fuel and other costs and mitigate the risk of fluctuations in both Yield and demand in the airfreight business, while also improving the overall utilization of our fleet.

### ***Drive significant and ongoing efficiencies and productivity improvements:***

We continue to enhance our organization through an initiative called Continuous Improvement. We created a separate department to drive the process and to involve all areas of the organization in the effort to reexamine, redesign and improve the way we do business.

Our Continuous Improvements efforts during 2013 have reduced costs, compared to 2012, in the following areas: Maintenance, from our engine and spare part purchase programs rather than incurring more expensive repairs for existing parts; Passenger and ground handling, from reduced catering rates; Travel, from reduced rates negotiated with vendors; and rate reductions on various other operating expenses through procurement initiatives.

### ***Selectively pursue and evaluate future acquisitions and alliances:***

From time to time, we explore business combinations and alliances with other cargo airlines, services providers, dry leasing and other companies to enhance our competitive position, geographic reach and service portfolio.

## **Business Developments**

Our ACMI results for 2013, compared to 2012, were positively impacted by the following events:

Between March and November 2012, we began CMI flying five 767-200 freighters owned by DHL.

In May and July 2012, we took delivery of two 747-8F aircraft that we placed in service with Panalpina Air & Ocean Ltd ( Panalpina ) under an ACMI agreement, which replaced two 747-400F aircraft.

In June 2012, we began ACMI flying a 747-400F aircraft for Etihad Airways ( Etihad ), which was the first 747-400F aircraft in its global network.

In July 2012, we began ACMI flying an additional 747-400F aircraft for Polar and DHL, which increased the size of our fleet flying for DHL from eight to nine aircraft.

In October and December 2012, we took delivery of two 747-8F aircraft that we placed into ACMI service with Polar and DHL, replacing two 747-400 aircraft.

In January and February 2013, we began CMI flying two new 767-300ERF aircraft owned by DHL.

In April 2013, we began ACMI flying a 747-400F aircraft for Chapman Freeborn Airchartering Ltd. ( Chapman Freeborn ), which was the first dedicated 747-400F aircraft in its network.

In May 2013, we took delivery of a 747-8F aircraft that we placed into ACMI service with Etihad, which was the first 747-8F aircraft in its global network.

**Table of Contents**

In July 2013, we began CMI flying a VIP-configured 767-200 passenger aircraft owned by MLW Air. MLW Air's 767-200 is the only all-first class 767 commercial charter aircraft with worldwide operations registered with the FAA.

In September 2013, we began ACMI flying a 747-400F for Astral Aviation Limited (Astral Aviation), which was the first 747-400F aircraft in its global network.

In December 2013, we signed an ACMI agreement for a 747-8F aircraft with BST Logistics (Hong Kong) Company Limited, a business partner of Navitrans International Freight Forwarding Co., Ltd. Service is expected to begin in February 2014.

In January 2014, British Airways notified us that they would be terminating our ACMI agreement and returning three 747-8F aircraft in April 2014. As a result, British Airways is required to pay early termination fees. We expect to deploy these aircraft in profitable revenue operations once redelivered to us.

AMC Charter Cargo and Passenger Block Hours have been negatively impacted by reduced demand from the AMC, which continued to decline during 2013 and is expected to decline further in 2014.

Commercial Charter Block Hours increased significantly during 2013, reflecting our redeployment of 747-400 aircraft from ACMI during remarketing periods and the deployment of a 747-8F aircraft until its placement with an ACMI customer. However, Commercial Charter Yields have been negatively impacted by softer demand and excess capacity in the air cargo market for most of 2013. In addition, Commercial Charter has been negatively impacted by a reduction in the number of one-way AMC missions and a change in the proportion of those missions from outbound U.S. to inbound U.S. These changes reduced the opportunity to use return legs for Commercial Charters.

As a result of changes in AMC and Commercial Charter demand, we continually assess opportunities for our 747-400 freighter aircraft and will make adjustments to our capacity as necessary. Some of these actions may involve grounding or disposing of aircraft, which could result in asset impairments or other charges in future periods. In December 2013, we permanently parked two 747-400BCF aircraft that we leased due to 747-8F aircraft delivery delays. With the completed deliveries of our 747-8F aircraft and the reduction in AMC and Commercial Charter demand, these two aircraft are no longer needed. As a result, we recorded a special charge of \$17.8 million related to the early termination of the operating leases.

In March and July 2013, Titan purchased three recently-manufactured Boeing 777-200LRF aircraft that are Dry Leased to customers on a long-term basis.

In January 2014, Titan purchased three additional recently-manufactured Boeing 777-200LRF aircraft that are Dry Leased to a customer on a long-term basis.

**Table of Contents****Results of Operations**

*Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012*

**Operating Statistics**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Financial Statements and notes thereto and other financial information appearing and referred to elsewhere in this report.

The table below sets forth selected Operating Statistics in:

	2013	2012	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Block Hours</b>				
ACMI	115,358	107,130	8,228	7.7%
<b>AMC Charter:</b>				
Cargo	6,331	10,423	(4,092)	(39.3)%
Passenger	10,718	12,024	(1,306)	(10.9)%
Commercial Charter	25,480	21,965	3,515	16.0%
Other	1,050	1,165	(115)	(9.9)%
Total Block Hours	158,937	152,707	6,230	4.1%
<b>Revenue Per Block Hour</b>				
ACMI	\$ 6,545	\$ 6,368	\$ 177	2.8%
AMC Charter	\$ 20,901	\$ 21,743	\$ (842)	(3.9)%
Cargo	\$ 22,299	\$ 23,677	\$ (1,378)	(5.8)%
Passenger	\$ 20,075	\$ 20,066	\$ 9	NM
Commercial Charter	\$ 19,471	\$ 20,500	\$ (1,029)	(5.0)%
<b>Fuel</b>				
<b>AMC</b>				
Average fuel cost per gallon	\$ 3.57	\$ 3.35	\$ 0.22	6.6%
Fuel gallons consumed (000s)	42,164	58,178	(16,014)	(27.5)%
<b>Commercial Charter</b>				
Average fuel cost per gallon	\$ 3.14	\$ 3.32	\$ (0.18)	(5.4)%
Fuel gallons consumed (000s)	82,785	72,834	9,951	13.7%
<b>Segment Operating Fleet (average aircraft equivalents during the period)</b>				
<b>ACMI*</b>				
747-8F Cargo	7.8	4.3	3.5	81.4%
747-400 Cargo	14.4	16.4	(2.0)	(12.2)%
767-300 Cargo	1.8		1.8	NM
767-200 Cargo	5.0	2.5	2.5	100.0%
747-400 Passenger	1.3	1.1	0.2	18.2%
767-300 Passenger	0.2	0.1	0.1	100.0%
767-200 Passenger	0.5		0.5	NM
Total	31.0	24.4	6.6	27.0%
<b>AMC Charter</b>				
747-400 Cargo	2.5	2.9	(0.4)	(13.8)%
747-200 Cargo		0.2	(0.2)	(100.0)%
747-400 Passenger	1.5	1.7	(0.2)	(11.8)%
767-300 Passenger	2.6	2.3	0.3	13.0%
Total	6.6	7.1	(0.5)	(7.0)%





**Table of Contents**

	2013	2012	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Commercial Charter</b>				
747-8F Cargo	0.6		0.6	NM
747-200 Cargo		0.2	(0.2)	(100.0)%
747-400 Cargo	7.8	5.8	2.0	34.5%
747-400 Passenger	0.2	0.2		NM
767-300 Passenger	0.2	0.2		NM
<b>Total</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>37.5%</b>
<b>Dry Leasing</b>				
777-200 Cargo	1.7		1.7	NM
757-200 Cargo	1.0	1.0		NM
737-300 Cargo	1.0	0.4	0.6	150.0%
737-800 Passenger	2.0	2.0		NM
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>67.6%</b>
<b>Total Operating Aircraft</b>	<b>52.1</b>	<b>41.3</b>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>26.2%</b>
<b>Out-of-service**</b>	<b>0.9</b>		<b>0.9</b>	<b>NM</b>

\* ACMI average fleet excludes spare aircraft provided by CMI customers.

\*\* All of our out-of-service aircraft are completely unencumbered.

NM represents year-over-year changes are not meaningful.

**Operating Revenue**

The following table compares our Operating Revenue (in thousands):

	2013	2012	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Operating Revenue</b>				
ACMI	\$ 755,008	\$ 682,189	\$ 72,819	10.7%
AMC Charter	356,340	488,063	(131,723)	(27.0)%
Commercial Charter	496,112	450,277	45,835	10.2%
Dry Leasing	35,168	11,843	23,325	197.0%
Other	14,272	13,660	612	4.5%
<b>Total Operating Revenue</b>	<b>\$ 1,656,900</b>	<b>\$ 1,646,032</b>	<b>\$ 10,868</b>	<b>0.7%</b>

ACMI revenue increased \$72.8 million, or 10.7%, primarily due to the entry of 747-8F aircraft into service and increased CMI flying, partially offset by the redeployment of 747-400 aircraft into other segments. ACMI Block Hours were 115,358 in 2013, compared to 107,130 in 2012, an increase of 8,228 Block Hours, or 7.7%. The increase in Block Hours was primarily driven by the start-up of ACMI 747-8F flying for DHL in October 2012 and Etihad in May 2013, as well as the start-up of ACMI 747-400 flying for Chapman Freeborn in April 2013 and Etihad in June 2012. The increase in Block Hours was also driven by the start-up of CMI flying of two 767-300 cargo aircraft for DHL in the first quarter of 2013, five 767-200 cargo aircraft for DHL during 2012 and one 767-200 passenger aircraft for MLW Air in July 2013, as well as an increase in CMI flying for Boeing. In addition, we utilized our passenger aircraft to provide short-term ACMI flying for other airlines. Partially offsetting these increases was the deployment of certain 747-400 cargo aircraft to other segments. ACMI Revenue per Block Hour was \$6,545 for 2013, compared to \$6,368 in 2012, an increase of \$177 per Block Hour,



**Table of Contents**

or 2.8%. The increase in Revenue per Block Hour primarily reflects the impact of higher rates on an increased number of 747-8F aircraft, partially offset by lower rates on increased CMI flying.

*AMC Charter revenue* decreased \$131.7 million, or 27.0%, primarily driven by a reduction in both AMC Charter Cargo and Passenger flying. AMC Charter Block Hours were 17,049 in 2013 compared to 22,447 in 2012, a decrease of 5,398 Block Hours, or 24.0%. The decrease in AMC Charter Block Hours was primarily driven by reduced cargo and passenger demand from the AMC. AMC Charter Revenue per Block Hour was \$20,901 in 2013 compared to \$21,743 in 2012, a decrease of \$842 per Block Hour, or 3.9%, primarily due to a higher proportion of Block Hours flown on passenger aircraft and a change in the number and direction of one-way AMC missions. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in the average pegged fuel price during 2013. For 2013, the AMC average pegged fuel price was \$3.57 per gallon compared to \$3.35 in 2012. The pegged fuel price is set by the AMC and the impact to revenue from changes in the pegged fuel price is generally offset by a corresponding impact to fuel expense.

*Commercial Charter revenue* increased \$45.8 million, or 10.2%, due to an increase in Block Hours, partially offset by a decrease in Revenue per Block Hour. Commercial Charter Block Hours were 25,480 in 2013, compared to 21,965 in 2012, representing an increase of 3,515 Block Hours, or 16.0%. The increase in Block Hours was primarily due to the deployment of 747-400 and a 747-8F cargo aircraft during ACMI marketing periods and a change in the number and direction of one-way AMC missions. Revenue per Block Hour was \$19,471 for 2013, compared to \$20,500 in 2012, a decrease of \$1,029 per Block Hour, or 5.0%. This reflects the impact of lower Yields from softer demand and excess capacity in the air cargo market, lower fuel prices and the impact of a reduction in Commercial Charter return legs of one-way AMC missions. Partially offsetting these decreases were higher rates on 747-8F aircraft and passenger charters for sporting events, concert tours, VIP and other private charters.

*Dry Leasing revenue* increased \$23.3 million, or 197.0%, primarily due to the acquisition of one 777-200LRF aircraft in March 2013 and two 777-200LRF aircraft in July 2013 that are leased to customers on a long-term basis.

**Operating Expenses**

The following table compares our Operating Expenses (in thousands):

	2013	2012	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Operating Expenses</b>				
Aircraft fuel	\$ 410,353	\$ 436,618	\$ (26,265)	(6.0)%
Salaries, wages and benefits	299,136	293,881	5,255	1.8%
Maintenance, materials and repairs	162,972	165,069	(2,097)	(1.3)%
Aircraft rent	160,415	154,968	5,447	3.5%
Navigation fees, landing fees and other rent	90,733	71,698	19,035	26.5%
Depreciation and amortization	86,389	62,475	23,914	38.3%
Passenger and ground handling services	72,503	69,886	2,617	3.7%
Travel	61,420	56,461	4,959	8.8%
Loss (gain) on disposal of aircraft	351	(2,417)	(2,768)	(114.5)%
Special charge	18,642		18,642	NM
Other	107,196	110,902	(3,706)	(3.3)%
<b>Total Operating Expenses</b>	<b>\$ 1,470,110</b>	<b>\$ 1,419,541</b>		

*Aircraft fuel* decreased \$26.3 million, or 6.0%, primarily due to reduced AMC fuel consumption and fuel price decreases in Commercial Charter, partially offset by increases in Commercial Charter fuel consumption and AMC fuel prices. AMC fuel consumption decreased by 16.0 million gallons, or 27.5%, reflecting the decrease in

**Table of Contents**

Block Hours operated and a higher proportion of Block Hours flown on smaller 767 passenger aircraft. The average fuel price per gallon for the AMC Charter business was \$3.57 for 2013, compared to \$3.35 in 2012, an increase of 6.6%. Commercial Charter fuel consumption increased by 10.0 million gallons, or 13.7%, primarily driven by the increase in Block Hours operated. The average fuel price per gallon for the Commercial Charter business was \$3.14 for 2013, compared to \$3.32 in 2012, a decrease of 5.4%. We do not incur fuel expense in our ACMI or Dry Leasing businesses as the cost of fuel is borne by the customer.

*Salaries, wages and benefits* increased \$5.3 million, or 1.8%, primarily driven by costs to support additional aircraft operating in 2013.

*Maintenance, materials and repairs* decreased by \$2.1 million, or 1.3%, primarily driven by a decrease of \$19.6 million for 747-400 aircraft, partially offset by increases of \$13.4 million for 747-8F aircraft and \$5.5 million for 767 aircraft. Heavy Maintenance expense on 747-400 aircraft decreased approximately \$6.0 million primarily due to a reduction in D Checks and engine overhauls compared to 2012. Heavy Maintenance expense on 767 aircraft increased approximately \$2.6 million primarily due to an increase in the number of C Checks in 2013. Non-heavy Maintenance expense on 747-400 aircraft decreased \$1.9 million. Line Maintenance expense increased \$11.2 million for 747-8F aircraft and \$2.8 million for 767 aircraft primarily driven by increased flying. Line Maintenance expense decreased \$11.7 million for 747-400 aircraft primarily driven by decreased flying. Heavy airframe maintenance events and engine overhauls for 2013 and 2012 were:

<b>Heavy Maintenance Events</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>Increase / (Decrease)</b>
747-8F C Checks	3		3
747-400 C Checks	12	11	1
747-400 D Checks	1	3	(2)
767 C Checks	3		3
CF6-80 engine overhauls	12	14	(2)

*Aircraft rent* increased \$5.4 million, or 3.5%, primarily due to return condition obligations incurred prior to permanently parking two leased 747-400BCFs in December 2013.

*Navigation fees, landing fees and other rent* increased \$19.0 million, or 26.5%, primarily due to an increase in purchased capacity from subcontracting certain Commercial Charter flights and a higher proportion of flying to commercial airports relative to military bases during 2013. We reclassified purchased capacity from Aircraft rent to Navigation fees, landing fees, and other rent and reclassified previously reported amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

*Depreciation and amortization* increased \$23.9, or 38.3%, primarily due to additional operating aircraft in 2013.

*Passenger and ground handling services* increased \$2.6 million, or 3.7%, primarily due to higher rates for ground handling from Commercial Charter flying to more expensive locations, partially offset by a reduction in rates for passenger catering during 2013.

*Travel* increased \$5.0 million, or 8.8%, primarily due to increased travel for crew related to increased flying during 2013.

*Special charge* in 2013 represents a lease termination charge of \$17.8 million related to two leased 747-400BCFs that were permanently parked in December 2013 and an impairment charge of \$0.8 million related to a customer relationship intangible asset (see Note 4 to our Financial Statements).

**Table of Contents**

*Other* decreased \$3.7 million, or 3.3%, primarily due to a decrease in commission expense on lower AMC Charter revenue.

**Non-operating Expenses (Income)**

The following table compares our Non-operating Expenses (Income) (in thousands):

	2013	2012	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Non-operating Expenses (Income)</b>				
Interest income	\$ (19,813)	\$ (19,636)	\$ 177	0.9%
Interest expense	83,659	64,532	19,127	29.6%
Capitalized interest	(2,350)	(18,727)	(16,377)	(87.5)%
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	5,518	576	4,942	NM
Other expense (income), net	1,954	(5,529)	7,483	NM

*Interest expense* increased \$19.1 million, or 29.6%, primarily due to an increase in our average debt balances related to the financing of aircraft purchases throughout 2012 and 2013.

*Capitalized interest* decreased \$16.4 million, or 87.5%, resulting from 747-8F aircraft that entered service.

*Loss on early extinguishment of debt* was primarily related to the refinancing of term loans with lower rate notes (see Note 7 to our Financial Statements).

*Other expense (income), net* increased \$7.5 million, primarily due to an insurance gain of \$6.3 million related to flood damage at a warehouse in 2012.

*Income taxes.* Our effective income tax rates were 20.2% in 2013 and 36.8% in 2012. During 2013, we recognized income tax benefits related to extraterritorial income ( ETI ) from certain of our aircraft based on a decision in a court case and also related to our assertion to indefinitely reinvest the net earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries outside the U.S. That assertion is expected to provide an ongoing benefit to our effective income tax rate. In addition, the reduction in the effective rate reflected the net impact of resolution of income tax liabilities in both periods.

**Segments**

We use an economic performance metric ( Direct Contribution ) representing Income (loss) before taxes excluding Special charges, pre-operating expenses, nonrecurring items, gains and losses on the disposal of aircraft, Loss on early extinguishment of debt, unallocated revenue and unallocated costs, which shows the profitability of each segment after allocation of direct operating and ownership costs. The following table compares the Direct Contribution for our reportable segments (see Note 11 to our Financial Statements for the reconciliation to Operating income) (in thousands):

	2013	2012	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Direct Contribution:</b>				
ACMI	\$ 227,829	\$ 191,497	\$ 36,332	19.0%
AMC Charter	52,489	99,591	(47,102)	(47.3)%
Commercial Charter	57	32,079	(32,022)	(99.8)%
Dry Leasing	14,017	4,598	9,419	204.8%
Total Direct Contribution	\$ 294,392	\$ 327,765	\$ (33,373)	(10.2)%
Unallocated income and expenses, net	\$ 152,059	\$ 124,331	\$ 27,728	22.3%



**Table of Contents****ACMI Segment**

ACMI Direct Contribution increased \$36.3 million, or 19.0%, primarily due to higher profitability on our new 747-8F aircraft and increased CMI flying for DHL and Boeing during 2013, partially offset by the redeployment of 747-400 aircraft into other segments.

**AMC Charter Segment**

AMC Charter Direct Contribution decreased \$47.1 million, or 47.3%, primarily due to a decrease in Block Hours resulting from lower AMC cargo demand and lower rates from a change in the number and direction of one-way AMC missions. In addition, AMC Charter Direct Contribution was impacted by a reduction in passenger demand.

**Commercial Charter Segment**

Commercial Charter Direct Contribution decreased \$32.0 million, primarily due to a reduction in Revenue per Block Hour driven by softer demand, excess capacity in the air cargo market and a reduction in Commercial Charter return legs of one-way AMC missions. Partially offsetting these items was an increase in Block Hours, primarily due to the redeployment of 747-400 and 747-8F aircraft during ACMI marketing periods, and higher rates on 747-8F aircraft and passenger charters for sporting events, concert tours, VIP and other private charters. In addition, Commercial Charter Direct Contribution was negatively impacted by increased aircraft ownership costs from the deployment of 747-400 cargo aircraft into this segment, increases in volume-driven operating expenses, higher costs from flying to more expensive locations and increased heavy maintenance expense.

**Dry Leasing Segment**

Dry Leasing Direct Contribution increased \$9.4 million, primarily due the addition of one 777-200LRF aircraft in March 2013 and two 777-200LRF aircraft in July 2013 that are being leased to customers on a long-term basis.

**Unallocated income and expenses, net**

Unallocated income and expenses, net increased \$27.7 million, or 22.3%, primarily due to a reduction in capitalized interest of \$16.4 million on 747-8F aircraft that entered service, an insurance gain related to flood damage at a warehouse in 2012 and an increase in employee costs to support additional aircraft.

**Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011****Operating Statistics**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Financial Statements and notes thereto and other financial information appearing and referred to elsewhere in this report.

The table below sets forth selected Operating Statistics in:

	2012	2011	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Block Hours</b>				
ACMI	107,130	102,695	4,435	4.3%
AMC Charter:				
Cargo	10,423	17,840	(7,417)	(41.6)%
Passenger	12,024	1,368	10,656	NM
Commercial Charter	21,965	13,879	8,086	58.3%
Other	1,165	1,273	(108)	(8.5)%

Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Total Block Hours	152,707	137,055	15,652	11.4%
-------------------	---------	---------	--------	-------

37



**Table of Contents**

	2012	2011	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Revenue Per Block Hour</b>				
ACMI	\$ 6,368	\$ 6,159	\$ 209	3.4%
AMC Charter	\$ 21,743	\$ 23,049	\$ (1,306)	(5.7)%
Cargo	\$ 23,677	\$ 22,739	\$ 938	4.1%
Passenger	\$ 20,066	\$ 27,086	\$ (7,020)	(25.9)%
Commercial Charter	\$ 20,500	\$ 21,581	\$ (1,081)	(5.0)%
<b>Fuel</b>				
<b>AMC</b>				
Average fuel cost per gallon	\$ 3.35	\$ 3.63	\$ (0.28)	(7.7)%
Fuel gallons consumed (000s)	58,178	60,976	(2,798)	(4.6)%
<b>Commercial Charter</b>				
Average fuel cost per gallon	\$ 3.32	\$ 3.29	\$ 0.03	0.9%
Fuel gallons consumed (000s)	72,834	50,872	21,962	43.2%
<b>Segment Operating Fleet (average aircraft equivalents during the period)</b>				
<b>ACMI*</b>				
747-8F Cargo	4.3	0.2	4.1	NM
747-400 Cargo	16.4	20.3	(3.9)	(19.2)%
747-200 Cargo		0.2	(0.2)	NM
767-200 Cargo	2.5		2.5	NM
747-400 Passenger	1.1	1.0	0.1	10.0%
767-300 Passenger	0.1		0.1	NM
Total	24.4	21.7	2.7	12.4%
<b>AMC Charter</b>				
747-400 Cargo	2.9	1.6	1.3	81.3%
747-200 Cargo	0.2	3.5	(3.3)	(94.3)%
747-400 Passenger	1.7	0.8	0.9	112.5%
767-300 Passenger	2.3		2.3	NM
Total	7.1	5.9	1.2	20.3%
<b>Commercial Charter</b>				
747-400 Cargo	5.8	2.0	3.8	190.0%
747-200 Cargo	0.2	1.7	(1.5)	(88.2)%
747-400 Passenger	0.2		0.2	NM
767-300 Passenger	0.2		0.2	NM
Total	6.4	3.7	2.7	73.0%
<b>Dry Leasing</b>				
757-200 Cargo	1.0	1.0		NM
737-300 Cargo	0.4		0.4	NM
737-800 Passenger	2.0	1.2	0.8	66.7%
Total	3.4	2.2	1.2	54.5%
<b>Total Operating Aircraft</b>	41.3	33.5	7.8	23.3%
<b>Out-of-service**</b>		0.4	(0.4)	NM

\* ACMI average fleet excludes spare aircraft provided by CMI customers.

\*\* All of our out-of-service aircraft are completely unencumbered.

**Table of Contents****Operating Revenue**

The following table compares our Operating Revenue (in thousands):

	2012	2011	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Operating Revenue</b>				
ACMI	\$ 682,189	\$ 632,509	\$ 49,680	7.9%
AMC Charter	488,063	442,725	45,338	10.2%
Commercial Charter	450,277	299,528	150,749	50.3%
Dry Leasing	11,843	9,695	2,148	22.2%
Other	13,660	13,759	(99)	(0.7)%
<b>Total Operating Revenue</b>	<b>\$ 1,646,032</b>	<b>\$ 1,398,216</b>	<b>\$ 247,816</b>	<b>17.7%</b>

*ACMI revenue* increased \$49.7 million, or 7.9%, primarily due to the entry of our 747-8F aircraft into service and increased CMI flying, partially offset by the redeployment of 747-400 aircraft into other segments. ACMI Block Hours were 107,130 in 2012, compared to 102,695 in 2011, an increase of 4,435 Block Hours, or 4.3%. The increase in Block Hours was primarily driven by the start-up of CMI flying of five 767 cargo aircraft for DHL during 2012 and an increase in CMI flying for Boeing. Partially offsetting these increases were the return of 747-400 cargo aircraft during 2012, which were temporarily redeployed to other segments. Two of these aircraft were subsequently redeployed in ACMI to Etihad in June 2012 and DHL in July 2012. ACMI Revenue per Block Hour was \$6,368 in 2012, compared to \$6,159 in 2011, an increase of \$209 per Block Hour, or 3.4%. The increase in Revenue per Block Hour primarily reflects the impact of higher rates for 747-8F aircraft, which began flying during the fourth quarter of 2011, with additional aircraft beginning to fly in the second, third and fourth quarters of 2012. Partially offsetting this increase was the impact of lower rates for CMI flying in 2012.

*AMC Charter revenue* increased \$45.3 million, or 10.2%, driven by increased AMC Charter Passenger flying that began in May 2011, partially offset by a reduction in AMC Charter Cargo revenue. AMC Charter Block Hours were 22,447 in 2012 compared to 19,208 in 2011, an increase of 3,239 Block Hours, or 16.9%. The increase in AMC Charter Block Hours was due to 10,656 incremental AMC Charter Passenger Block Hours from flying four additional passenger aircraft in 2012 resulting in \$204.2 million of increased revenue, partially offset by a decrease of 7,417 AMC Charter Cargo Block Hours driven by reduced cargo demand from the AMC. AMC Charter Revenue per Block Hour was \$21,743 in 2012 compared to \$23,049 in 2011, a decrease of \$1,306 per Block Hour, or 5.7%, due to a higher volume of passenger flying on smaller 767 aircraft, a decrease in the average pegged fuel price and a reduction in the number of one-way AMC missions. Partially offsetting these items were premiums earned on flying additional, more efficient 747-400 cargo aircraft during 2012 in place of less efficient 747-200 aircraft in 2011. During 2012, the AMC average pegged fuel price was \$3.35 per gallon compared to \$3.63 in 2011. The pegged fuel price is set by the AMC and the impact to revenue from changes in the pegged fuel price is generally offset by a corresponding impact to fuel expense.

*Commercial Charter revenue* increased \$150.7 million, or 50.3%, due to an increase in Block Hours, partially offset by a decrease in Revenue per Block Hour. Commercial Charter Block Hours were 21,965 in 2012, compared to 13,879 in 2011, representing an increase of 8,086 Block Hours, or 58.3%. The increase in Block Hours was primarily due to the redeployment of 747-400 aircraft from ACMI during remarketing periods and the deployment of an additional 747-400 cargo aircraft in South America. In addition, we were able to utilize our passenger aircraft for sporting event, concert tour, VIP and other private charters. Revenue per Block Hour was \$20,500 in 2012, compared to \$21,581 in 2011, a decrease of \$1,081 per Block Hour, or 5.0%, which reflects the impact of lower Yields on increased air cargo capacity and softer demand during 2012 compared to 2011 and the impact of a reduction in Commercial Charter return legs due to fewer AMC one-way missions.

*Dry Leasing revenue* was relatively unchanged.

**Table of Contents****Operating Expenses**

The following table compares our Operating Expenses (in thousands):

	2012	2011	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Operating Expenses</b>				
Aircraft fuel	\$ 436,618	\$ 388,579	\$ 48,039	12.4%
Salaries, wages and benefits	293,881	261,844	32,037	12.2%
Maintenance, materials and repairs	165,069	167,749	(2,680)	(1.6)%
Aircraft rent	154,968	159,362	(4,394)	(2.8)%
Navigation fees, landing fees and other rent	71,698	54,786	16,912	30.9%
Depreciation and amortization	62,475	39,345	23,130	58.8%
Passenger and ground handling services	69,886	31,460	38,426	122.1%
Travel	56,461	44,037	12,424	28.2%
Gain on disposal of aircraft	(2,417)	(364)	2,053	NM
Special charge		5,441	(5,441)	NM
Other	110,902	94,877	16,025	16.9%
<b>Total Operating Expenses</b>	<b>\$ 1,419,541</b>	<b>\$ 1,247,116</b>		

*Aircraft fuel* increased \$48.0 million, or 12.4%, due to approximately \$66.5 million in increased consumption, partially offset by \$18.5 million from lower fuel prices. Commercial Charter fuel consumption increased by 22.0 million gallons, or 43.2%, primarily driven by the increase in Block Hours operated, partially offset by the use of more efficient 747-400 aircraft during 2012 in comparison to less efficient 747-200 aircraft used in 2011. The average fuel price per gallon for the Commercial Charter business was \$3.32 in 2012, compared to \$3.29 in 2011, an increase of 0.9%. AMC fuel consumption decreased by 2.8 million gallons, or 4.6%, reflecting the use of more efficient, twin-engine 767 passenger aircraft and 747-400 cargo aircraft during 2012 in place of less efficient 747-200 cargo aircraft in 2011, partially offset by the increase in Block Hours operated. The average fuel price per gallon for the AMC Charter business was \$3.35 in 2012, compared to \$3.63 in 2011, a decrease of 7.7%. We do not incur fuel expense in our ACMI or Dry Leasing businesses as the cost of fuel is borne by the customer.

*Salaries, wages and benefits* increased \$32.0 million, or 12.2%, primarily driven by higher Block Hours, increased wages for crew and hiring additional employees to support our new aircraft.

**Table of Contents**

*Maintenance, materials and repairs* decreased by \$2.7 million, or 1.6%, driven by a reduction in maintenance expense of \$27.8 million for 747-200 aircraft, partially offset by increases of \$11.0 million for 747-400 aircraft and \$14.1 million for other aircraft. Heavy Maintenance expense on 747-400 aircraft increased approximately \$3.5 million due to an increase in the number of C Checks and additional maintenance expense on engines, partially offset by a reduction in D Checks compared to 2011. Heavy Maintenance expense on 747-200 aircraft decreased approximately \$16.5 million due to the retirement of this fleet during the first quarter of 2012. Non-heavy Maintenance expense on 747-400 aircraft decreased \$1.2 million. Line Maintenance expense increased \$8.7 million for 747-400 aircraft and \$14.1 million for 747-8F and 767 aircraft. Line Maintenance expense decreased \$11.3 million on 747-200 aircraft due to the retirement of this fleet during the first quarter of 2012. The following table compares our heavy maintenance events and engine overhauls for 2012 and 2011:

<b>Heavy Maintenance Events</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Increase / (Decrease)</b>
747-400 C Checks	11	6	5
747-400 D Checks	3	5	(2)
747-200 C Checks		4	(4)
CF6-80 engine overhauls	14	12	2
CF6-50 engine overhauls		2	(2)

*Aircraft rent* decreased \$4.4 million, or 2.8%, primarily due to subcontracting certain Commercial Charter and AMC flights with our ACMI customers during the second and third quarters of 2012, partially offset by the purchase of engines in 2012 that were previously leased.

*Navigation fees, landing fees and other rent* increased \$16.9 million, or 30.9%, primarily due to increased flying during 2012.

*Depreciation and amortization* increased \$23.1, or 58.8%, primarily due to additional operating aircraft in 2012.

*Passenger and ground handling services* increased \$38.4 million, or 122.1%, primarily due to increased AMC passenger catering and contract services for flight attendants related to increased passenger flying, which began in May 2011. We reclassified passenger catering and contract services for flight attendants from Other operating expenses to Passenger and ground handling services and reclassified previously reported amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

*Travel* increased \$12.4 million, or 28.2%, primarily due to increased travel for flight attendants and pilots related to increased flying during 2012.

*Gain on disposal of aircraft* resulted from the sale of retired 747-200 airframes and engines during 2012.

*Special charge* in 2011 represents a fleet retirement charge of \$5.4 million, related to employee termination benefits and the write-down of the 747-200 fleet, including related engines, rotatable inventory, expendable parts and other equipment to their estimated fair value or scrap value, as appropriate. See Note 4 to our Financial Statements.

*Other* increased \$16.0 million, or 16.9%, primarily due to increases in commissions for higher AMC Charter Revenue, taxes on domestic passenger flights and increased insurance due to additional operating aircraft.

**Table of Contents****Non-operating Expenses (Income)**

The following table compares our Non-operating Expenses (Income) (in thousands):

	2012	2011	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Non-operating Expenses (Income)</b>				
Interest income	\$ (19,636)	\$ (20,193)	\$ (557)	(2.8)%
Interest expense	64,532	42,120	22,412	53.2%
Capitalized interest	(18,727)	(27,636)	(8,909)	(32.2)%
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	576		576	NM
Other expense (income), net	(5,529)	(180)	5,349	NM

*Interest expense* increased \$22.4 million, or 53.2%, primarily due to an increase in our average debt balances related to the financing of three 747-8F aircraft during the fourth quarter of 2011 and four 747-8F aircraft throughout 2012.

*Capitalized interest* decreased \$8.9 million, or 32.2%, resulting from 747-8F aircraft that entered service.

*Other expense (income), net* increased \$5.3 million, primarily due to an insurance gain of \$6.3 million related to flood damage at a warehouse in 2012, partially offset by an unrealized loss on a foreign currency denominated deposit in Brazil (see Note 12 to our Financial Statements).

*Income taxes.* Our effective income tax rates were 36.8% in 2012 and 38.6% in 2011. During 2012, we resolved income tax examinations in Hong Kong for the periods 2001 through 2010. In addition, the statute of limitations expired for certain income tax benefits claimed on our U.S. federal income tax returns for prior periods. Both of these items favorably impacted the effective income tax rate for 2012.

**Segments**

The following table compares the Direct Contribution for our reportable segments (see Note 11 to our Financial Statements for the reconciliation to Operating income) (in thousands):

	2012	2011	Increase / (Decrease)	Percent Change
<b>Direct Contribution:</b>				
ACMI	\$ 191,497	\$ 148,320	\$ 43,177	29.1%
AMC Charter	99,591	86,962	12,629	14.5%
Commercial Charter	32,079	40,200	(8,121)	(20.2)%
Dry Leasing	4,598	4,631	(33)	(0.7)%
Total Direct Contribution	\$ 327,765	\$ 280,113	\$ 47,652	17.0%
Unallocated income and expenses	\$ 124,331	\$ 118,047	\$ 6,284	5.3%

**ACMI Segment**

Direct Contribution related to the ACMI segment increased \$43.2 million, or 29.1%, primarily due to higher profitability on our new 747-8F aircraft and increased CMI flying for Boeing and DHL during 2012. Partially offsetting these improvements was an increase in crew costs.

**AMC Charter Segment**

Direct Contribution related to the AMC Charter segment increased \$12.6 million, or 14.5%, primarily due to increased passenger Block Hours, as well as lower Heavy Maintenance from the deployment of 747-400 aircraft



## **Table of Contents**

into this segment in place of 747-200 aircraft flown during 2011. Partially offsetting these items was a decrease in cargo Block Hours resulting from lower AMC cargo demand, a reduction in the number of one-way AMC missions and increases in crew costs and volume-driven operating expenses. In addition, AMC Charter Direct Contribution was negatively impacted by increases in aircraft ownership costs from the deployment of 747-400 aircraft into this segment in place of 747-200 aircraft.

### ***Commercial Charter Segment***

Direct Contribution related to the Commercial Charter segment decreased \$8.1 million, or 20.2%, primarily due to a reduction in Revenue per Block Hour driven by an increase in global air cargo capacity combined with softer demand, a reduction in Commercial Charter return legs due to fewer AMC one-way missions and the higher cost of operating an inefficient 747-200 fleet size during the first quarter of 2012. Partially offsetting these items was an increase in Block Hours, primarily due to the redeployment of 747-400 aircraft from ACMI during remarketing periods and deployment of an additional 747-400 cargo aircraft in South America. In addition, Commercial Charter Direct Contribution was negatively impacted by increases in aircraft ownership costs (from the deployment of 747-400 aircraft into this segment in place of 747-200 aircraft) and higher crew costs.

### ***Dry Leasing Segment***

Direct Contribution related to the Dry Leasing segment was relatively unchanged.

### ***Unallocated income and expenses***

Unallocated income and expenses increased \$6.3 million, or 5.3%, primarily due to a reduction in capitalized interest on 747-8F aircraft that entered service, an increase in ground staff costs to support the expansion of our aircraft operating fleet and incremental employee costs related to the retirement of our 747-200 fleet, partially offset by a reduction in pre-operating expense related to the introduction of new aircraft types in 2011 and an insurance gain related to flood damage at a warehouse in 2012.

### **Reconciliation of GAAP to non-GAAP Financial Measures**

To supplement our Financial Statements presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ), we present certain non-GAAP financial measures to assist in the evaluation of our business performance. These non-GAAP financial measures include Adjusted Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders and adjusted diluted earnings per share ( Adjusted Diluted EPS ), which exclude certain items that impact year-over-year comparisons of our results. These non-GAAP financial measures may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with GAAP.

We use these non-GAAP financial measures in assessing the performance of our ongoing operations and in planning and forecasting future periods. We believe that these adjusted measures provide meaningful information to assist investors and analysts in understanding our business results and assessing our prospects for future performance.

**Table of Contents**

The following is a reconciliation of Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders and Diluted EPS to the corresponding non-GAAP financial measures (in thousands, except per share data):

	2013	2012	Percent Change
<b>Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders</b>	\$ 93,837	\$ 129,927	(27.8%)
<b>After-tax impact from:</b>			
Special charge (a)	11,714		
Loss on early extinguishment of debt (b)	5,160	367	
Fleet retirement costs (c)		2,252	
ETI tax benefit	(14,160)		
Insurance gain (d)		(4,032)	
Loss (gain) on disposal of aircraft	224	(1,540)	
<b>Adjusted Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders</b>	\$ 96,775	\$ 126,974	(23.8%)
<b>Diluted EPS</b>	\$ 3.66	\$ 4.89	(25.2%)
<b>After-tax impact from:</b>			
Special charge (a)	0.46		
Loss on early extinguishment of debt (b)	0.20	0.01	
Fleet retirement costs (c)		0.08	
ETI tax benefit	(0.55)		
Insurance gain (d)		(0.15)	
Loss (gain) on disposal of aircraft	0.01	(0.06)	
<b>Adjusted Diluted EPS</b>	\$ 3.78	\$ 4.78	(20.9%)

	2012	2011	Percent Change
<b>Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders</b>	\$ 129,927	\$ 96,083	35.2%
<b>After-tax impact from:</b>			
Fleet retirement costs (c)	2,252		
Pre-operating expenses (e)		9,455	
Special charge (a)		3,466	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	367		
Insurance gain (d)	(4,032)		
Gain on disposal of aircraft	(1,540)	(232)	
<b>Adjusted Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders</b>	\$ 126,974	\$ 108,772	16.7%
<b>Diluted EPS</b>	\$ 4.89	\$ 3.64	34.3%
<b>After-tax impact from:</b>			
Fleet retirement costs (c)	0.08		
Pre-operating expenses (e)		0.36	
Special charge (a)		0.13	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	0.01		
Insurance gain (d)	(0.15)		
Gain on disposal of aircraft	(0.06)	(0.01)	
<b>Adjusted Diluted EPS</b>	\$ 4.78	\$ 4.12	16.0%





## **Table of Contents**

Items do not sum due to rounding.

- a) Included in Special charge in 2013 were lease termination charges related to two leased 747-400BCFs and an impairment charge for a customer relationship intangible asset. Included in Special charge in 2011 were asset impairment and employee termination charges related to the retirement of the 747-200 fleet.
- b) Loss on early extinguishment of debt was related to the financing of 747-8F and 777-200LRF aircraft.
- c) Fleet retirement costs included incremental employee costs related to the retirement of our 747-200 fleet.
- d) Insurance gain was related to flood damage at a warehouse.
- e) Pre-operating expenses in 2011 were related to the introduction of new aircraft types and included incremental costs incurred due to 747-8F aircraft delivery delays.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Significant liquidity events in 2013 were as follows:

#### *Treasury Stock Transactions*

Between February and April 2013, we repurchased 903,301 shares for \$36.5 million under an accelerated share repurchase program ( ASR ) agreement. Between May and August 2013, we repurchased 820,276 shares for \$35.6 million under a second ASR agreement. See Note 15 to our Financial Statements for a discussion of our stock repurchase program.

#### *Debt Transactions*

In January 2013, we prepaid \$40.2 million for the amounts outstanding under two term loans, which were due in the third quarter of 2013.

In March 2013, we entered into a term loan for \$119.5 million to finance the purchase of a 777-200LRF aircraft that is Dry Leased to a customer on a long-term basis.

In March 2013, we entered into a bridge loan for \$105.4 million to finance the delivery of our eighth 747-8F aircraft.

In May 2013, we entered into a note guaranteed by the Export-Import Bank of the United States ( Ex-Im Bank ) for \$143.0 million to finance the delivery of our ninth 747-8F aircraft.

In July 2013, we assumed a term loan for \$90.5 million to finance the purchase of a 777-200LRF aircraft that is Dry Leased to a customer on a long-term basis. In September 2013, we refinanced that term loan through the issuance of fixed-rate notes.

In July 2013, we entered into a term loan for \$110.0 million to finance the purchase of a 777-200LRF aircraft that is Dry Leased to a customer on a long-term basis.

*Operating Activities.* Net cash provided by operating activities for 2013 was \$305.0 million, compared to \$258.5 million for 2012. The increase primarily reflects changes in the timing of working capital.

## Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

*Investing Activities.* Net cash used for investing activities was \$590.0 million for 2013, consisting primarily of \$573.4 million of purchase deposit and delivery payments for flight equipment, which included \$2.4 million of capitalized interest, and \$29.5 million of core capital expenditures, excluding flight equipment. Partially offsetting these investing activities were \$9.1 million of proceeds from insurance. Purchase deposit and delivery payments for flight equipment were primarily related to the purchase of two 747-8F cargo aircraft, three 777-200LRF cargo aircraft, and spare engines. All capital expenditures for 2013 were funded through working

## **Table of Contents**

capital, except for the aircraft financed as discussed above. Net cash used for investing activities was \$547.8 million for 2012, consisting primarily of \$520.8 million of purchase deposit and delivery payments for flight equipment, which included \$18.7 million of capitalized interest on our 747-8F aircraft order, \$31.3 million of core capital expenditures and \$6.7 million from investments in debt securities. Partially offsetting these investing activities were \$4.3 million of proceeds from short-term investments, \$3.3 million of proceeds from insurance and \$3.2 million of proceeds from disposal of aircraft.

*Financing Activities.* Net cash provided by financing activities was \$197.0 million for 2013, which primarily reflected the proceeds from debt issuance of \$709.5 million and a \$21.9 million refund from prepayments for the two ASRs, partially offset by \$412.2 million of payments on debt obligations, \$81.0 million related to the purchase of treasury stock, \$21.9 million related to prepayments under the two ASRs and \$19.8 million of debt issuance costs. The proceeds from debt issuance and payments of debt obligations reflect the refinancing of \$231.0 million in term loans with two Ex-Im Bank guaranteed notes. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$512.0 million for 2012, which primarily reflected the proceeds from debt issuance of \$1,211.6 million, partially offset by \$662.6 million of payments on debt obligations and \$34.1 million of debt issuance costs. The proceeds from debt issuance and payments of debt obligations reflect the refinancing of \$570.7 million in term loans under an Ex-Im Bank facility with four Ex-Im Bank guaranteed notes.

We consider Cash and cash equivalents, Short-term investments, Restricted cash and Net cash provided by operating activities to be sufficient to meet our debt and lease obligations, to fund capital expenditures for 2014 and to repurchase shares of our stock. Core capital expenditures for 2014 are expected to be approximately \$50.0 million, which excludes flight equipment and capitalized interest. In January 2014, we entered into six term loans in the aggregate amount of \$432.0 million to finance the purchase of three 777-200LR aircraft that are Dry Leased to a customer on a long-term basis. In addition, we refinanced the bridge loan for our eighth 747-8F with an Ex-Im Bank guaranteed note for \$140.6 million in January 2014.

We may access external sources of capital from time to time depending on our cash requirements, assessments of current and anticipated market conditions, and the after-tax cost of capital. To that end, we filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC in 2012 that enables us to sell a yet to be determined amount of debt and/or equity securities over the subsequent three years, depending on market conditions, our capital needs and other factors. Our access to capital markets can be adversely impacted by prevailing economic conditions and by financial, business and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. Additionally, our borrowing costs are affected by market conditions and may be adversely impacted by a tightening in credit markets.

Two 747-8F aircraft delivered to us in 2013 qualified for 50% bonus tax depreciation. As a result of bonus tax depreciation claimed on aircraft delivered to us, we do not expect to pay any significant U.S. federal income tax until 2017 or later. Our business operations are subject to income tax in several non-U.S. jurisdictions. We expect GSS to pay U.K. cash income taxes commensurate with its earnings. We do not expect to pay cash income taxes in any other jurisdiction for at least several years. We currently do not intend to repatriate cash from certain foreign subsidiaries that is indefinitely reinvested outside the U.S. Any repatriation of cash from these subsidiaries or certain changes in U.S. tax laws could result in additional tax expense and payment of U.S. federal and certain state taxes.

**Table of Contents****Contractual Obligations**

The table below provides details of our balances available under credit agreements and future cash contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013 (in millions):

	Available Credit	Total Obligations	Payments Due by Period			
			2014	2015 - 2016	2017 - 2018	Thereafter
Debt (1)	\$ 151.1	\$ 1,738.0	\$ 266.5	\$ 318.6	\$ 328.0	\$ 824.9
Interest on debt (2)		319.0	63.2	103.0	74.9	77.9
Aircraft operating leases		1,251.9	131.3	260.9	260.4	599.3
Other operating leases		13.7	4.6	8.2	0.3	0.6
<b>Total Contractual Obligations</b>	<b>\$ 151.1</b>	<b>\$ 3,322.6</b>	<b>\$ 465.6</b>	<b>\$ 690.7</b>	<b>\$ 663.6</b>	<b>\$ 1,502.7</b>

(1) Debt reflects gross amounts (see Note 7 to our Financial Statements for a discussion of the related unamortized discount).

(2) Amount represents interest on fixed and floating rate debt at December 31, 2013.

We maintain a non-current liability for unrecognized income tax benefits. To date, we have not resolved the ultimate cash settlement of this liability. As a result, we are not in a position to estimate with reasonable certainty the date upon which this liability would be payable.

**Description of Our Debt Obligations**

See Note 7 to our Financial Statements for a description of our debt obligations.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

Thirteen of our forty-three operating aircraft are under operating leases (this excludes aircraft provided by CMI customers). Five are leased through trusts established specifically to purchase, finance and lease aircraft to us. These leasing entities meet the criteria for variable interest entities. All fixed price options reflect a fair market value purchase option, and as such, we are not the primary beneficiary of the leasing entities. We are generally not the primary beneficiary of the leasing entities if the lease terms are consistent with market terms at the inception of the lease and the leases do not include a residual value guarantee, fixed-price purchase option or similar feature that would obligate us to absorb decreases in value or entitle us to participate in increases in the value of the aircraft. We have not consolidated any of the aircraft-leasing trusts because we are not the primary beneficiary. In addition, we reviewed the other ten Atlas aircraft that are under operating leases but not financed through a trust and determined that none of them would be consolidated upon the application of accounting for consolidations. Our maximum exposure under all operating leases is the remaining lease payments, which amounts are reflected in the future lease commitments above and described in Note 8 to our Financial Statements.

There were no changes in our off-balance sheet arrangements during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates***General Discussion of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates*

An appreciation of our critical accounting policies and estimates is important to understand our financial results. Our Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with GAAP. Our critical policies require management to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported. Actual results may differ significantly from those estimates. The following is a brief description of our current critical accounting policies involving significant management judgment:



## **Table of Contents**

### *Accounting for Long-Lived Assets*

We record our property and equipment at cost, and once assets are placed in service, we depreciate them on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values over periods not to exceed forty years for flight equipment (from date of original manufacture) and three to five years for ground equipment.

We record impairment charges on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets may be impaired, the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amount and the net book value of the assets exceeds their estimated fair value. In making these determinations, we use certain assumptions, including, but not limited to: (i) estimated fair value of the assets and (ii) estimated future cash flows expected to be generated by these assets, which are based on additional assumptions such as asset utilization, revenue generated, associated costs, length of service and estimated residual values. To conduct impairment testing, we group assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of cash flows of other assets and liabilities. For flight equipment used in our ACMI, AMC Charter and Commercial Charter segments, assets are grouped at the operating fleet level. For flight equipment used in our Dry Leasing segment, assets are grouped on an individual basis.

In developing these estimates for flight equipment, we use industry data for the equipment types and our anticipated utilization of the assets.

### *Heavy Maintenance*

We account for heavy maintenance costs for airframes and engines used in our ACMI, AMC Charter and Commercial Charter segments using the direct expense method. Under this method, heavy maintenance costs are charged to expense upon induction, based on our best estimate of the costs. This method can result in expense volatility between quarterly and annual periods, depending on the number and type of heavy maintenance events performed.

We account for heavy maintenance costs for airframes and engines used in our Dry Leasing segment using the deferral method. Under this method, we capitalize the cost of heavy maintenance events, which are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated period until the next maintenance event is required.

### *Income Taxes*

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of reporting items in our income tax returns at different times than the items are reflected in our financial statements. These temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities that are calculated by applying enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. If necessary, deferred income tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance to an amount that is determined to be more likely than not recoverable. We must make significant estimates and assumptions about future taxable income and future tax consequences when determining the amount, if any, of the valuation allowance.

In addition, we establish tax reserves when we believe that certain tax positions are subject to challenge and may not be sustained on audit. These reserves are based on subjective estimates and assumptions involving the relative filing positions and the potential exposure from audits and litigation.

### *Business Combinations and Intangible Assets*

We account for business combinations using the purchase method. Under the purchase method, we record net assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated fair value on the date of acquisition. The determination of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed requires us to make estimates and

---

## **Table of Contents**

assumptions that affect our financial statements. Intangible assets acquired in connection with business combinations that have finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives. The estimated useful lives are based on estimates of the period during which the assets are expected to generate revenue. Intangible assets with finite lives are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may no longer be recoverable. If an evaluation of the undiscounted future cash flows indicates impairment, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value, which is based on either its appraised value or its discounted future cash flows.

### *Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. We record an allowance for doubtful accounts as our best estimate of the probable amount of credit losses resulting from the inability or unwillingness of our customers to make required payments. We review the allowance at least monthly and charge off account balances when we determine that it is probable that the receivable will not be recovered.

### *Legal and Regulatory Matters*

We are party to legal and regulatory proceedings with respect to a variety of matters. We evaluate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome of these proceedings each quarter. Our judgments are subjective and are based on the status of the legal or regulatory proceedings, the merits of our defenses and consultation with legal counsel. Due to the inherent uncertainties of the legal and regulatory proceedings in the multiple jurisdictions in which we operate, our judgments may be different from the actual outcomes.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 2 to our Financial Statements for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

### **ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We currently do not hedge against foreign currency fluctuations or aircraft fuel. The potential loss arising from adverse changes to the price and availability of aircraft fuel and interest rates is discussed below. The sensitivity analyses presented herein do not consider the effects that such adverse changes might have on our overall financial performance, nor do they consider additional actions we may take to mitigate our exposure to such changes. Variable rate leases are not considered market-sensitive financial instruments and, therefore, are not included in the interest rate sensitivity analysis below.

*Aircraft Fuel.* Our results of operations are affected by changes in the price and availability of aircraft fuel. Market risk is estimated at a hypothetical 20% increase or decrease in the 2013 average cost per gallon of fuel. Based on actual 2013 fuel consumption for the Commercial Charter business segment, such an increase would have resulted in an increase to aircraft fuel expense of approximately \$52.0 million in 2013. We have limited fuel risk on our Commercial Charter business. In the AMC Charter Segment, the contracted fuel prices are established and fixed by the AMC. We receive reimbursements from the AMC each month if the price of fuel paid by us to vendors for the AMC Charter flights exceeds the fixed price; if the price of fuel paid by us is less than the fixed price, then we pay the difference to the AMC. Therefore, we have limited exposure to changes in fuel prices in the AMC Charter Segment. ACMI and Dry Leasing do not create an aircraft fuel market risk, as the cost of fuel is borne by the customer.

*Variable Interest Rates.* Our earnings are affected by changes in interest rates due to the impact those changes have on interest expense from variable rate debt instruments and on interest income generated from our cash and investment balances. As of December 31, 2013, approximately \$216.5 million of our debt at face value had variable interest rates. If interest rates would have increased or decreased by a hypothetical 20% in the underlying rate as of December 31, 2013, our annual interest expense would have changed in 2013 by approximately \$1.3 million.



**Table of Contents**

*Fixed Rate Debt.* On December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$1.5 billion of fixed rate long-term debt. If interest rates were 20% lower than the stated rate, the fair value of this debt would have been \$64.1 million higher as of December 31, 2013.

*Foreign Currency.* We are exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and equity prices that could affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our largest exposure comes from the Brazilian real, the British pound and the Japanese yen.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	52
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	53
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	54
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	55
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	56
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	57
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	58

---

**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule appearing under Item 15(a) (2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* (1992 Edition) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company’s management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Florham Park, New Jersey

February 12, 2014

**Table of Contents****Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets***(in thousands, except share data)*

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
<b>Assets</b>		
<b>Current Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 321,816	\$ 409,763
Short-term investments	10,904	10,119
Restricted cash	6,491	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$1,402 and \$3,172, respectively	132,159	127,704
Prepaid maintenance	31,620	22,293
Deferred taxes	54,001	26,390
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	36,962	36,726
Total current assets	593,953	632,995
<b>Property and Equipment</b>		
Flight equipment	2,969,379	2,209,782
Ground equipment	46,951	39,230
Less: accumulated depreciation	(256,685)	(185,419)
Purchase deposits for flight equipment	69,320	147,946
Property and equipment, net	2,828,965	2,211,539
<b>Other Assets</b>		
Long-term investments and accrued interest	130,267	140,498
Deposits and other assets	131,216	132,120
Intangible assets, net	33,858	35,533
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 3,718,259</b>	<b>\$ 3,152,685</b>
<b>Liabilities and Equity</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 65,367	\$ 20,789
Accrued liabilities	194,292	152,467
Current portion of long-term debt	157,486	154,760
Total current liabilities	417,145	328,016
<b>Other Liabilities</b>		
Long-term debt	1,539,139	1,149,282
Deferred taxes	371,655	315,949
Other liabilities	68,195	71,334
Total other liabilities	1,978,989	1,536,565
Commitments and contingencies		
<b>Equity</b>		
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 28,200,213 and 27,672,924 shares issued, 25,038,629 and 26,443,441, shares outstanding (net of treasury stock), as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively		
	282	277
Additional paid-in-capital	561,481	544,421
Treasury stock, at cost; 3,161,584 and 1,229,483 shares, respectively	(125,826)	(44,850)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(10,677)	(14,263)
Retained earnings	892,513	798,676
Total stockholders' equity	1,317,773	1,284,261

Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Noncontrolling interest	4,352	3,843
Total equity	1,322,125	1,288,104
<b>Total Liabilities and Equity</b>	<b>\$ 3,718,259</b>	<b>\$ 3,152,685</b>

*See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*

**Table of Contents****Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations***(in thousands, except per share data)*

	<b>For the Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>Operating Revenue</b>			
ACMI	\$ 755,008	\$ 682,189	\$ 632,509
AMC charter	356,340	488,063	442,725
Commercial charter	496,112	450,277	299,528
Dry leasing	35,168	11,843	9,695
Other	14,272	13,660	13,759
<b>Total Operating Revenue</b>	<b>1,656,900</b>	<b>1,646,032</b>	<b>1,398,216</b>
<b>Operating Expenses</b>			
Aircraft fuel	410,353	436,618	388,579
Salaries, wages and benefits	299,136	293,881	261,844
Maintenance, materials and repairs	162,972	165,069	167,749
Aircraft rent	160,415	154,968	159,362
Navigation fees, landing fees and other rent	90,733	71,698	54,786
Depreciation and amortization	86,389	62,475	39,345
Passenger and ground handling services	72,503	69,886	31,460
Travel	61,420	56,461	44,037
Loss (gain) on disposal of aircraft	351	(2,417)	(364)
Special charge	18,642		5,441
Other	107,196	110,902	94,877
<b>Total Operating Expenses</b>	<b>1,470,110</b>	<b>1,419,541</b>	<b>1,247,116</b>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<b>186,790</b>	<b>226,491</b>	<b>151,100</b>
<b>Non-operating Expenses (Income)</b>			
Interest income	(19,813)	(19,636)	(20,193)
Interest expense	83,659	64,532	42,120
Capitalized interest	(2,350)	(18,727)	(27,636)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	5,518	576	
Other expense (income), net	1,954	(5,529)	(180)
<b>Total Non-operating Expenses (Income)</b>	<b>68,968</b>	<b>21,216</b>	<b>(5,889)</b>
<b>Income before income taxes</b>	<b>117,822</b>	<b>205,275</b>	<b>156,989</b>
Income tax expense	23,833	75,561	60,680
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>93,989</b>	<b>129,714</b>	<b>96,309</b>
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	152	(213)	226
<b>Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders</b>	<b>\$ 93,837</b>	<b>\$ 129,927</b>	<b>\$ 96,083</b>
<b>Earnings per share:</b>			
Basic	\$ 3.67	\$ 4.92	\$ 3.66

Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Diluted	\$	3.66	\$	4.89	\$	3.64
<b>Weighted average shares:</b>						
Basic		25,541		26,419		26,227
Diluted		25,627		26,549		26,422

*See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*

**Table of Contents****Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income***(in thousands)*

	<b>For the Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>Net Income</b>	\$ 93,989	\$ 129,714	\$ 96,309
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss):</b>			
Interest rate derivatives:			
Net change in fair value	1,386	(713)	(24,887)
Reclassification into earnings	3,064	2,652	
Income tax benefit (expense)	(1,207)	(704)	9,034
Foreign currency translation:			
Translation adjustment	700	256	(42)
Income tax benefit (expense)		122	22
Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation:			
Amortization			(442)
Income tax benefit (expense)			164
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss)</b>	<b>3,943</b>	<b>1,613</b>	<b>(16,151)</b>
<b>Comprehensive Income</b>	<b>97,932</b>	<b>131,327</b>	<b>80,158</b>
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	509	(20)	216
<b>Comprehensive Income Attributable to Common Stockholders</b>	<b>\$ 97,423</b>	<b>\$ 131,347</b>	<b>\$ 79,942</b>

*See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*



**Table of Contents****Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows***(in thousands)*

	<b>For the Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>Operating Activities:</b>			
Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders	\$ 93,837	\$ 129,927	\$ 96,083
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	152	(213)	226
Net Income	93,989	129,714	96,309
Adjustments to reconcile Net Income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	101,671	72,194	47,313
Accretion of debt securities discount	(8,889)	(8,560)	(8,341)
Provision for allowance for doubtful accounts	178	837	335
Special charge	18,642		5,441
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	5,518	576	
Loss (gain) on disposal of aircraft	351	(2,417)	(364)
Deferred taxes	22,856	75,365	81,616
Stock-based compensation expense	16,690	18,202	12,528
Changes in:			
Accounts receivable	(6,029)	(25,217)	(12,914)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(4,298)	48,213	(50,303)
Deposits and other assets	4,106	(26,027)	(21,854)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	60,215	(24,383)	(6,808)
Net cash provided by operating activities	305,000	258,497	142,958
<b>Investing Activities:</b>			
Capital expenditures	(29,531)	(31,266)	(37,374)
Purchase deposits and delivery payments for flight equipment	(573,416)	(520,770)	(764,268)
Changes in restricted cash	(6,491)		
Investment in debt securities		(6,658)	
Proceeds from short-term investments	5,569	4,342	6,165
Proceeds from insurance	9,109	3,300	
Proceeds from disposal of aircraft	4,780	3,215	1,480
Net cash used for investing activities	(589,980)	(547,837)	(793,997)
<b>Financing Activities:</b>			
Proceeds from debt issuance	709,484	1,211,560	360,250
Refund of accelerated share repurchase	21,886		
Prepayment of accelerated share repurchase	(21,886)		
Proceeds from stock option exercises			4,733
Purchase of treasury stock	(80,976)	(3,351)	(9,251)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation expense	465	551	3,117
Payment of debt issuance costs	(19,769)	(34,141)	(6,980)
Payments of debt	(412,171)	(662,627)	(102,571)
Net cash provided by financing activities	197,033	511,992	249,298
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(87,947)	222,652	(401,741)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of period	409,763	187,111	588,852
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period	\$ 321,816	\$ 409,763	\$ 187,111

**Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities:**

Acquisition of flight equipment and assumed debt	\$ 90,498	\$	\$
Acquisition of flight equipment included in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 21,823	\$	\$

*See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*

**Table of Contents****Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity***(in thousands, except share data)*

	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity
<b>Balance at December 31, 2010</b>	\$ 270	\$ (32,248)	\$ 505,297	\$ 458	\$ 572,666	\$ 1,046,443	\$ 3,647	\$ 1,050,090
Net Income (loss)					96,083	96,083	226	96,309
Other comprehensive income (loss)				(16,141)		(16,141)	(10)	(16,151)
Stock option and restricted stock compensation			12,528			12,528		12,528
Purchase of 138,443 shares of treasury stock		(9,251)				(9,251)		(9,251)
Exercise of 122,354 employee stock options	1		4,732			4,733		4,733
Issuance of 383,839 shares of restricted stock	4		(4)					
Tax benefit on restricted stock and stock options			3,117			3,117		3,117
<b>Balance at December 31, 2011</b>	\$ 275	\$ (41,499)	\$ 525,670	\$ (15,683)	\$ 668,749	\$ 1,137,512	\$ 3,863	\$ 1,141,375
Net Income (loss)					129,927	129,927	(213)	129,714
Other comprehensive income (loss)				1,420		1,420	193	1,613
Stock option and restricted stock compensation			18,202			18,202		18,202
Purchase of 72,131 shares of treasury stock		(3,351)				(3,351)		(3,351)
Issuance of 210,808 shares of restricted stock	2		(2)					
Tax benefit on restricted stock and stock options			551			551		551
<b>Balance at December 31, 2012</b>	\$ 277	\$ (44,850)	\$ 544,421	\$ (14,263)	\$ 798,676	\$ 1,284,261	\$ 3,843	\$ 1,288,104
Net Income (loss)					93,837	93,837	152	93,989
Other comprehensive income (loss)				3,586		3,586	357	3,943
Stock option and restricted stock compensation			16,690			16,690		16,690
Purchase of 1,932,101 shares of treasury stock		(80,976)				(80,976)		(80,976)
Issuance of 527,289 shares of restricted stock	5		(5)					
Prepayment of accelerated share repurchase			(21,886)			(21,886)		(21,886)
Refund of accelerated share repurchase			21,886			21,886		21,886
Reversal of prior year deferred tax			(90)			(90)		(90)
Tax benefit on restricted stock and stock options			465			465		465
<b>Balance at December 31, 2013</b>	\$ 282	\$ (125,826)	\$ 561,481	\$ (10,677)	\$ 892,513	\$ 1,317,773	\$ 4,352	\$ 1,322,125

*See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*



---

**Table of Contents**

**Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc.**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2013**

**1. Basis of Presentation**

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the holding company, Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc. ( AAWW ) and its consolidated subsidiaries. AAWW is the parent company of its principal operating subsidiary, Atlas Air, Inc. ( Atlas ), and of Polar Air Cargo LLC ( Old Polar ). AAWW is also the parent company of several subsidiaries related to our dry leasing services (collectively referred to as Titan ). In addition, we are the primary beneficiary of Global Supply Systems Limited ( GSS ), a consolidated subsidiary. AAWW has a 51% equity interest and 75% voting interest in Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc. ( Polar ). We record our share of Polar s results under the equity method of accounting.

Noncontrolling interest represents the interest not owned by us and is recorded for consolidated entities in which we own less than 100% of the interest. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. We account for investments in entities under the equity method of accounting when we hold between 20% and 50% ownership in the entity and exercise significant influence or when we are not the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. The terms we, us, our, and the Company mean AAWW and all entities included in its consolidated financial statements.

We provide outsourced aircraft and aviation operating services throughout the world, serving Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, the Middle East, North America and South America through: (i) contractual service arrangements, including those through which we provide aircraft to customers and value-added services, including crew, maintenance and insurance ( ACMI ), as well as those through which we provide crew, maintenance and insurance, with the customer providing the aircraft ( CMI ); (ii) military charter services provided to the U.S. Military Air Mobility Command (the AMC )( AMC Charter ); (iii) seasonal, commercial and ad hoc charter services ( Commercial Charter ); and (iv) dry leasing aircraft and engines ( Dry Leasing or Dry Lease ).

Except for per share data, all dollar amounts are in thousands unless otherwise noted.

**2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the Financial Statements and the related disclosures. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Estimates are used in determining, among other items, asset lives and residual values, cash flows for impairment analysis, maintenance accruals, valuation allowances (including, but not limited to, those related to receivables, expendable inventory and deferred taxes), income tax accounting, business combinations and related intangible assets, stock-based compensation, self-insurance employee benefit accruals and contingent liabilities (including, but not limited to litigation accruals).

***Revenue Recognition***

ACMI and CMI revenue are typically recognized as the block hours are operated on behalf of a customer during a given month, as defined contractually, based on flight departure. The time interval between when an aircraft departs the terminal until it arrives at the destination terminal is measured in hours and called Block Hours . If a customer flies below the minimum contracted Block Hour guarantee, the contracted minimum revenue amounts are recognized as revenue. We recognize revenue for AMC and Commercial Charter upon flight departure.

## **Table of Contents**

We record Dry Lease rental income on a straight-line basis over the term of the operating lease. Rentals received but unearned under the lease agreements are recorded in deferred revenue and included in Accrued liabilities until earned. In certain cases, leases provide for additional rentals based on usage, which is recorded as revenue as it is earned under the terms of the lease. Usage is calculated based on hourly usage or number of flights operated, depending on the lease agreement, and is typically reported monthly by the lessee.

The Company recognizes revenue for management and administrative support services when the services are provided.

### ***Cash and Cash Equivalents***

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, demand deposits and other cash investments that are highly liquid in nature and have original maturities of three months or less at acquisition.

### ***Short-Term Investments***

Short-term investments are primarily comprised of certificates of deposit, current portions of debt securities and money market funds.

### ***Restricted Cash***

Cash that is typically restricted under secured aircraft debt agreements, whereby it can only be used to make principal and interest payments on the related debt secured by those aircraft.

### ***Accounts Receivable***

We perform a monthly evaluation of our accounts receivable and establish an allowance for doubtful accounts based on our best estimate of probable credit losses resulting from the inability or unwillingness of our customers to make required payments. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when we determine that it is probable that the receivable will not be recovered.

### ***Escrow Deposits and Letters of Credit***

We had \$5.7 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$6.3 million as of December 31, 2012, for certain deposits required in the normal course of business for various items including, but not limited to, surety and customs bonds, airfield privileges, judicial deposits, insurance and cash pledged under standby letters of credit related to collateral. These amounts are included in Deposits and other assets.

### ***Long-term Investments***

Long-term investments consist of debt securities, including accrued interest, for which management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity. These investments are classified as held-to-maturity and are reported at amortized cost. Interest on debt securities and accretion of discounts using the effective interest method are included in Interest income.

### ***Expendable Parts***

Expendable parts, materials and supplies for flight equipment are carried at average acquisition costs and are included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets. When used in operations, they are charged to maintenance expense. Allowances for excess and obsolescence for expendable parts expected to be on hand at the date aircraft are retired from service are provided over the estimated useful lives of the related aircraft and engines. These allowances are based on management estimates, which are subject to change as conditions in the business evolve. The net book value of expendable parts inventory was \$30.9 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$27.5 million at December 31, 2012. The allowance for expendable obsolescence was \$11.7 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$8.9 million at December 31, 2012.

**Table of Contents*****Property and Equipment***

We record property and equipment at cost and depreciate these assets on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives or average remaining fleet lives to their estimated residual values. We review these assumptions at least annually and adjust depreciation on a prospective basis. Expenditures for major additions, improvements and flight equipment modifications are generally capitalized and depreciated over the shorter of the estimated life of the improvement or the modified assets remaining life or remaining lease term if any modifications or improvements are made to operating lease equipment. Substantially all property and equipment is specifically pledged as collateral for our indebtedness. The estimated useful lives of our property and equipment are as follows:

	<b>Range</b>
Flight equipment	6 to 40 years
Computer software and equipment	3 to 5 years
Ground handling equipment and other	3 to 5 years

Depreciation expense related to property and equipment was \$83.9 million in 2013, \$60.2 million in 2012 and \$37.0 million in 2011.

The net book value of flight equipment on Dry Lease to customers was \$507.1 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$88.0 million as of December 31, 2012. The accumulated depreciation for flight equipment on Dry Lease to customers was \$18.7 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$7.9 million as of December 31, 2012.

Rotable parts are recorded in Property and equipment, net, and are depreciated over their average remaining fleet lives and written off when they are determined to be beyond economic repair. The net book value of rotable parts inventory was \$97.5 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$82.8 million as of December 31, 2012.

***Capitalized Interest on Pre-delivery Deposits***

Interest on funds used to finance the acquisition of flight equipment up to the date the asset is ready for its intended use is capitalized and included in the cost of the asset if the asset is actively under construction. Included in capitalized interest is the interest paid on the pre-delivery deposit borrowings directly associated with the acquisition of flight equipment. The remainder of capitalized interest recorded on the acquisition of flight equipment is determined by taking the weighted average cost of funds associated with our other debt and applying it against the amounts paid as pre-delivery deposits. Pre-delivery deposits for our 747-8F aircraft included capitalized interest of \$23.3 million as of December 31, 2012.

***Impairment of Long-Lived Assets***

We record impairment charges on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets may be impaired, the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amount and the net book value of the assets exceeds their estimated fair value. In making these determinations, we use certain assumptions, including, but not limited to: (i) estimated fair value of the assets and (ii) estimated future cash flows expected to be generated by these assets, which are based on additional assumptions such as asset utilization, revenue generated, associated costs, length of service and estimated residual values. To conduct impairment testing, we group assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of cash flows of other assets and liabilities. For flight equipment used in our ACMI, AMC Charter and Commercial Charter segments, assets are grouped at the operating fleet level. For flight equipment used in our Dry Leasing segment, assets are grouped on an individual basis.

In developing these estimates for flight equipment, we use industry data for the equipment types and our anticipated utilization of the assets.

## **Table of Contents**

During 2011, we recorded impairment charges on our 747-200 aircraft, as well as the related engines, rotatable inventory and other equipment (see Note 4).

### ***Variable Interest Entities and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***

We hold a 49% interest in GSS, a private company. GSS is a variable interest entity and we are the primary beneficiary of GSS for financial reporting purposes. Atlas dry leases three 747-8F owned aircraft to GSS. The leases provide for payment of rent and a provision for maintenance costs associated with the aircraft. GSS provides ACMI services to British Airways Plc ( British Airways ) using these three aircraft. In January 2014, British Airways notified us that they would be terminating our ACMI agreement and returning three 747-8F aircraft in April 2014.

Our investment in GSS was \$2.8 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2012 and our maximum exposure to losses from the entity is limited to our investment in GSS and any operating losses of GSS. GSS does not have any third-party debt obligations.

We hold a 50% interest in Global Aviation Technical Solutions Co, Ltd. ( GATS ), a joint venture with an unrelated third party. The purpose of the joint venture is to purchase rotatable parts and provide repair services for those parts, primarily for our 747-8F aircraft. The joint venture is a variable interest entity and we have not consolidated GATS because we are not the primary beneficiary as we do not exercise financial control. Our investment in GATS was \$13.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$12.3 million as of December 31, 2012 and our maximum exposure to losses from the entity is limited to our investment, which is composed primarily of rotatable inventory parts. GATS does not have any third-party debt obligations.

A portion of our operating aircraft are owned or effectively owned and leased through trusts established specifically to purchase, finance and lease aircraft to us. We have not consolidated any aircraft in the related trusts because we are not the primary beneficiary. Our maximum exposure under these operating leases is the remaining lease payments, which amounts are reflected in the future lease commitments more fully described in Note 8.

### ***Income Taxes***

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of reporting items in our income tax returns at different times than the items are reflected in our financial statements. These temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities that are calculated by applying enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. If necessary, deferred income tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance to an amount that is determined to be more likely than not recoverable. We must make significant estimates and assumptions about future taxable income and future tax consequences when determining the amount, if any, of the valuation allowance.

In addition, we establish tax reserves when we believe that certain tax positions are subject to challenge and may not be sustained on audit. These reserves are based on subjective estimates and assumptions involving the relative filing positions and the potential exposure from audits and litigation.

### ***Debt Issuance Costs***

Costs associated with the issuance of debt are capitalized and amortized over the life of the respective debt obligation, using the effective interest method of amortization. Amortization of debt issuance costs was \$7.5 million in 2013, \$2.2 million in 2012 and \$0.5 million in 2011, and was included as a component of Interest expense.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***Heavy Maintenance***

We account for heavy maintenance costs for airframes and engines used in our ACMI, AMC Charter and Commercial Charter segments using the direct expense method. Under this method, heavy maintenance costs are charged to expense upon induction, based on our best estimate of the costs. This method can result in expense volatility between quarterly and annual periods, depending on the number and type of heavy maintenance events performed.

We account for heavy maintenance costs for airframes and engines used in our Dry Leasing segment using the deferral method. Under this method, we capitalize the cost of heavy maintenance events, which are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated period until the next maintenance event is required.

### ***Prepaid Maintenance Deposits***

Certain of our aircraft financing agreements require security deposits to our finance providers to ensure that we perform major maintenance as required. These are substantially refundable to us and are, therefore, accounted for as deposits and included in Prepaid maintenance and in Deposits and other assets. Such amounts were \$48.8 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$58.2 million at December 31, 2012.

### ***Foreign Currency***

While most of our revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, our results of operations may be exposed to the effect of fluctuations in the U.S. dollar value of foreign currency-denominated operating revenues and expenses. Our largest exposures come from the Brazilian real, British pound and Japanese yen. We do not currently have a foreign currency hedging program related to our foreign currency-denominated transactions. Gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included in Non-operating expenses (income).

### ***Stock-Based Compensation***

We have various stock-based compensation plans for certain employees and outside directors, which are described more fully in Note 13. We recognize compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for each award based on the fair value on grant date. We estimate grant date fair value for all option grants using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. We estimate option and restricted stock/unit forfeitures at the time of grant and periodically revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. As a result, we record stock-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest.

### ***Litigation Accruals***

We are party to certain legal and regulatory proceedings with respect to a variety of matters. We evaluate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome of these proceedings under accounting guidance for contingencies. These judgments are subjective based on numerous factors, which may include the status of the legal or regulatory proceedings, the merits of our defenses and consultation with legal counsel. The actual outcomes of these proceedings may differ materially from our judgments. Legal costs are accrued as incurred and recorded in Other operating expenses.

### ***Supplemental Cash Flow Information***

Cash interest paid to lenders is calculated on the face amount of our various debt instruments based on the contractual interest rates in effect during each payment period.

The amortization of debt discount shown as a reconciling item in cash flows from operating activities is the difference between interest expense and cash interest owed to lenders. This amount arises from the amortization of the difference between the fair value of our debt recorded on the balance sheet and the face amount of debt payable to lenders.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes interest and income taxes paid:

	2013	2012	2011
Interest paid	\$ 68,026	\$ 54,790	\$ 37,616
Income taxes paid, net of refunds	\$ 238	\$ (27,371)	\$ 4,236

**Reclassifications**

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods' consolidated financial statement amounts and related note disclosures to conform to the current year's presentation.

**Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements**

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new guidance requiring additional information about reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income, including changes in accumulated other comprehensive income balances by component and significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The new guidance was effective as of the beginning of 2013 and its adoption did not have any impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2013, the FASB issued updated income tax presentation guidance that requires entities to net unrecognized tax benefits with certain deferred tax assets when specific requirements are met. We adopted this guidance effective December 31, 2013 and its adoption did not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**3. DHL Investment and Polar**

DHL Network Operations (USA), Inc. (DHL), a subsidiary of Deutsche Post AG (DP), holds a 49% equity interest and a 25% voting interest in Polar. Polar is a variable interest entity and we do not consolidate Polar because we are not the primary beneficiary as the risks associated with the direct costs of operation are with DHL. Under a 20-year blocked space agreement (the BSA), Polar provides air cargo capacity to DHL. In addition to the BSA, Atlas and Polar have a flight services agreement, whereby Atlas is compensated by Polar on a per Block Hour basis, subject to a monthly minimum Block Hour guarantee, at a predetermined rate that escalates annually. Under the flight services agreement, Atlas provides Polar with crew, maintenance and insurance for the aircraft. Under other separate agreements, Atlas and Polar supply administrative, sales and ground support services to one another. DP has guaranteed DHL's (and Polar's) obligations under the various transaction agreements described above. AAWW has agreed to indemnify DHL for and against various obligations of Polar and its affiliates. Collectively, these agreements are referred to herein as the DHL Agreements. The DHL Agreements provide us with a guaranteed revenue stream from 747-400 aircraft that have been dedicated to Polar for outsourced airport-to-airport wide-body cargo aircraft solutions for the benefit of DHL and other customers' freight due to monthly minimum Block Hour guarantees over the life of the agreements.

In accordance with the DHL Agreements, Polar flies for DHL's trans-Pacific express network and DHL provides financial support and also assumed the risks and rewards of the operations of Polar. In addition to its trans-Pacific routes, Polar is also flying between the Asia Pacific regions, Middle East and Europe on behalf of DHL and other customers.

The BSA established DHL's capacity purchase commitments on Polar flights. DHL has the right to terminate the 20-year BSA at the tenth and fifteenth anniversaries of commencement, which was on October 27, 2008. Either party may terminate for cause (as defined) at any time. With respect to DHL, cause includes Polar's inability to meet certain departure and arrival criteria for an extended period of time and upon certain change-of-control events, in which case DHL may be entitled to liquidated damages from Polar. Under such

**Table of Contents**

circumstances, DHL is further entitled to have an affiliate assume any or all of the six 747-400 freighter Dry Leases for the remainder of the term under each such Dry Lease, with Polar liable up to an agreed amount of such lease obligations. In the event of any termination during the Dry Lease term, DHL is required to pay the lease obligations for the remainder of the head lease and guarantee Polar's performance under the leases.

In addition to the six 747-400 freighter aircraft there are two 747-8F aircraft operated by Polar, and one additional 747-400 freighter aircraft is operated by Atlas to support the Polar network and DHL through an alliance agreement whereby Atlas provides ACMI services to Polar as of December 31, 2013. We also provide charter capacity to Polar on an as-needed basis. Except for any liquidated damages that we could incur as described above, we do not have any continuing financial exposure to fund debt obligations or operating losses of Polar. The following table summarizes our transactions with Polar:

<b>Revenue and Expenses:</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
ACMI segment revenue from Polar	\$ 283,021	\$ 259,757	\$ 228,896
Other revenue from Polar	\$ 11,380	\$ 11,349	\$ 11,349
Ground handling and airport fees paid to Polar	\$ 1,174	\$ 1,654	\$ 1,683
<b>Accounts receivable/payable as of December 31:</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	
Receivables from Polar	\$ 4,249	\$ 4,264	
Payables to Polar	\$ 3,464	\$ 140	
<b>Aggregate Carrying Value of Polar Investment as of December 31:</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	
	\$ 4,870	\$ 4,870	

**4. Special Charge**

In December 2013, we permanently parked two 747-400BCF aircraft that we had leased following 747-8F aircraft delivery delays. With the completed deliveries of our 747-8F aircraft and the reduction in AMC and Commercial Charter demand, these two aircraft are no longer needed. As a result, we recorded a special charge of \$17.8 million related to the early termination of the operating leases. Substantially all cash payments related to this charge are expected to be paid by 2015.

In January 2014, British Airways notified us that they would be terminating our ACMI agreement and returning three 747-8F aircraft in April 2014. We recorded an impairment charge of \$0.8 million at December 31, 2013 related to a customer relationship intangible asset.

We record impairment charges on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances ( Triggering Events ) indicate that the assets may be impaired. In 2011, we determined that Triggering Events occurred, performed an impairment test and concluded that the carrying value of our 747-200 fleet was no longer recoverable.

We viewed the 747-200 fleet, as well as the related engines, rotatable inventory and other equipment as one asset group in developing our cash flow models. In determining fair value, we considered the effects of the current market environment, age of the assets, marketability and excess capacity. Our estimate of fair value was not based on distressed sales or forced liquidations. Instead, it appropriately considered the current market conditions in conjunction with other indicators and represents a Level 3 input, as defined in Note 10. The fair value for each of the aircraft and spare engines remaining in service was adjusted based on estimates of maintenance status. For engines and airframes that are permanently parked, fair value was determined to be scrap value.

**Table of Contents**

In 2011, we recorded a fleet retirement charge of \$5.4 million. Of this amount, \$4.1 million related to an impairment of the 747-200 fleet, as well as the related engines, rotatable inventory and other equipment to their estimated fair value or scrap value, as appropriate. All 747-200 aircraft and related spare engines have been sold. In addition, we recorded a \$1.3 million charge related to employee termination benefits for 747-200 crewmembers.

**5. Intangible Assets, net**

The following table presents our Intangible assets, net as of December 31:

	2013	2012
Fair value adjustment on operating leases	\$ 45,531	\$ 45,531
Lease intangibles	14,230	10,205
Customer relationship		2,438
Less: accumulated amortization	(25,903)	(22,641)
	\$ 33,858	\$ 35,533

Fair value adjustment on operating leases represents the capitalized discount recorded to adjust leases of our 747-400 aircraft to fair market value in 2004. The lease intangible resulted from the acquisition of various aircraft that are primarily Dry Leased to customers on a long-term basis. The customer relationship intangible asset primarily resulted from the consolidation of GSS and was written off as of December 31, 2013 (see Note 4).

Amortization expense related to intangible assets amounted to \$4.9 million in 2013, \$4.7 million in 2012 and \$4.7 million in 2011.

The estimated future amortization expense of intangible assets as of December 31, 2013 is as follows:

2014	\$ 4,760
2015	4,538
2016	3,826
2017	3,535
2018	3,259
Thereafter	13,940
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 33,858</b>

**6. Accrued Liabilities**

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31:

	2013	2012
Maintenance	\$ 43,813	\$ 38,475
Salaries, wages and benefits	36,450	32,734
Deferred revenue	26,279	18,619
Aircraft fuel	14,905	19,882
Other	72,845	42,757
Accrued liabilities	\$ 194,292	\$ 152,467



**Table of Contents****7. Debt**

Our debt obligations, as of December 31:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Ex-Im Bank guaranteed notes	\$ 739,741	\$ 560,078
Term loans	702,668	450,652
EETCs	254,216	293,312
Total debt	1,696,625	1,304,042
Less current portion of debt	(157,486)	(154,760)
Long-term debt	\$ 1,539,139	\$ 1,149,282

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had \$41.4 million and \$46.8 million, respectively, of unamortized discount related to the fair market value adjustments recorded against debt in prior years.

Many of our financing instruments contain limitations on our ability to, among other things, pay certain dividends or make certain other restricted payments, consummate certain asset sales, merge or consolidate with any other person or sell, assign, transfer, lease, convey or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets.

**Description of our Debt Obligations*****Ex-Im Bank Guaranteed Notes***

On January 30, 2012, we entered into a term loan facility for up to \$864.8 million with Apple Bank for Savings, guaranteed by the Export-Import Bank of the United States ( Ex-Im Bank ) to finance up to six 747-8F aircraft deliveries (the Ex-Im Bank Facility ). The Ex-Im Bank Facility consists of up to six separate term loans, each secured by a mortgage on a 747-8F aircraft. In connection with entry into the Ex-Im Bank Facility, we have agreed to pay usual and customary commitment and other fees associated with this type of financing. Borrowings under the Ex-Im Bank Facility initially accrue interest at a variable rate, payable quarterly at London InterBank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ), plus a margin. The Ex-Im Bank Facility provides options to refinance the loans through the issuance of bonds in the capital markets or to convert the loans to a fixed rate. The Ex-Im Bank Facility contains customary covenants and event of default provisions. In addition, there are certain operating conditions under the Ex-Im Bank Facility that we must meet.

On July 10, 2013, we purchased a 777-200LRF aircraft that is leased to a customer on a long-term basis. As part of the transaction, we assumed a \$90.5 million term loan secured by a mortgage on the aircraft (manufacturer serial number 35606) with a remaining term of 93 months. This term loan was guaranteed by Ex-Im Bank although it is not part of our Ex-Im Bank Facility. On September 27, 2013, we refinanced this term loan through the issuance of fixed-rate notes with a remaining term of 90 months in the amount of \$88.0 million (the Second 2013 Ex-Im Guaranteed Notes ). The Second 2013 Ex-Im Guaranteed Notes accrue interest at a fixed rate with principal and interest payable quarterly.

Except for the Second 2013 Ex-Im Guaranteed Notes, all of our Ex-Im Bank guaranteed notes were issued under the Ex-Im Bank Facility. Each of these borrowings were initially funded as variable-rate loans secured by an aircraft and were subsequently refinanced with the issuance of fixed-rate notes with principal and interest payable quarterly.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the terms and balances for each note guaranteed by Ex-Im Bank as of December 31 (in millions):

	Issue Date	Face Value	Collateral Aircraft Tail Number	Original Term	Fixed Interest Rate	2013	2012
First 2013 Ex-Im Guaranteed Note	2013	\$ 143.0	N855GT	12 years	1.83%	\$ 137.6	\$
Second 2013 Ex-Im Guaranteed Note	2013	88.0	MSN 35606	90 months	1.84%	85.3	
First 2012 Ex-Im Guaranteed Note	2012	142.0	N850GT	12 years	2.02%	126.1	136.8
Second 2012 Ex-Im Guaranteed Note	2012	142.7	N851GT	12 years	1.73%	129.3	140.0
Third 2012 Ex-Im Guaranteed Note	2012	142.8	N852GT	12 years	1.56%	129.2	140.1
Fourth 2012 Ex-Im Guaranteed Note	2012	143.2	N853GT	12 years	1.48%	132.2	143.2
						\$ 739.7	\$ 560.1

**Term Loans**

We have entered into various term loans to finance the acquisition of aircraft. Each term loan requires payment of principal and interest paid quarterly in arrears. Funds available under each term loan agreement are subject to certain up-front and commitment fees, and funds drawn under the loan agreements bear interest at LIBOR, plus a margin. Each facility is guaranteed by us and subject to customary covenants and events of default.

The following table summarizes the terms and balances for each term loan outstanding as of December 31 (in millions):

	Issue Date	Face Value	Collateral Aircraft Tail Number	Original Term	Interest Rate Type	Interest Rate at		2013	2012
						2013	2012		
First 2013 Term Loan	2013	\$ 119.5	MSN 36201	89 months	Variable	3.08%	0.00%	\$ 112.9	\$
First 2013 Bridge Loan	2013	105.4	N854GT	1 year	Variable	4.66%	0.00%	103.6	
Third 2013 Term Loan	2013	110.0	MSN 36200	88 months	Fixed	4.18%	0.00%	107.9	
First 2012 Term Loan	2012	35.7	N464MC, N465MC N640GT, N641GT	5 years	Fixed	6.91%	6.91%	23.0	30.4
Second 2012 Term Loan	2012	8.5	N642GT	5 years	Fixed	6.89%	6.89%	5.8	7.5
Third 2012 Term Loan	2012	26.0	MSN 29681	7 years	Fixed	4.27%	4.27%	22.3	26.0
First 2011 Term Loan	2011	120.3	G-GSSD	12 years	Fixed	6.16%	6.16%	108.0	113.6
Second 2011 Term Loan	2011	120.0	G-GSSE	12 years	Fixed	6.37%	6.37%	108.6	114.5
Third 2011 Term Loan	2011	120.0	G-GSSF	12 years	Fixed	6.37%	6.37%	108.6	114.5
2010 Term Loan	2010	8.1	B-2808	50 months	Fixed	4.33%	4.33%	2.0	4.0
First 2008 Term Loan	2008	58.4	N419MC	5 years	Variable	0.00%	2.61%		23.5
Second 2008 Term Loan	2008	41.6	N429MC	5 years	Variable	0.00%	2.45%		16.7
								\$ 702.7	\$ 450.7

**Leveraged Lease Structure**

In three separate transactions in 1998, 1999 and 2000, we issued enhanced equipment trust certificates ( EETCs ) to finance the acquisition of twelve 747-400F aircraft, five of which are financed as leveraged leases. In a leveraged lease, the owner trustee is the owner of record for the aircraft. Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association ( Wells Fargo ) serves as the owner trustee with respect to the leveraged leases in each of our EETC transactions. As the owner trustee of the aircraft, Wells Fargo serves as the lessor of the aircraft under the EETC lease between us and the owner trustee. Wells Fargo also serves as trustee for the beneficial owner of





**Table of Contents**

the aircraft, the owner participant. The original owner participant for each aircraft invested (on an equity basis) approximately 20% of the original cost of the aircraft. The remaining approximately 80% of the aircraft cost was financed with debt issued by the owner trustee on a non-recourse basis in the form of equipment notes.

The equipment notes were generally issued in three series, for each aircraft, designated as Series A, B and C equipment notes. The loans evidenced by the equipment notes were funded by the public offering of EETCs. Like the equipment notes, the EETCs were issued in three series, for each EETC transaction designated as Series A, B and C EETCs. Each series of EETCs was issued by the trustee for separate Atlas pass through trusts with the same designation as the series of EETCs issued. Each of these pass through trustees is also the holder and beneficial owner of the equipment notes bearing the same series designation.

We could be subject to additional monthly lease rentals ( AMLR ), which could require payment of up to an additional \$0.1 million per month in rent on each of the five leased EETC aircraft, subject to an \$11.0 million per aircraft limit over the remaining term. The AMLR payments would be applied to the underlying notes in the leveraged leases, and would only arise if we exceed certain financial targets and if it is determined that the then fair market monthly rental for the aircraft exceeds a certain level. We have not made any AMLR payments and do not anticipate making any AMLR payments in 2014. We perform this test annually in the third quarter.

In connection with each of these secured debt financings, we executed equipment notes with original interest rates ranging from 6.88% to 9.70% and according to the terms of the equipment notes, principal payments vary and are payable monthly through each maturity.

With respect to the seven EETC-financed aircraft that are currently owned by us, there is no leveraged lease structure or EETC lease. We are the beneficial owner of the aircraft and the issuer of the equipment notes with respect thereto. The equipment notes issued with respect to owned aircraft are with full recourse to us.

The following table summarizes the terms and balances for each EETC outstanding as of December 31 (in millions):

	Issue Date	Face Value	Collateral Aircraft Tail Number	Original Term	Fixed Equipment Note Rates	Effective Interest Rate	2013	2012
2000 EETC	2000	\$ 108.5	N409MC	20 years	8.71% to 9.70%	11.31%	\$ 47.2	\$ 51.5
1999EETC	1999	108.3	N476GT	20 years	6.88% to 8.77%	13.94%	31.7	36.0
	1999	108.4	N496MC	20 years	6.88% to 8.77%	13.94%	41.2	45.9
	1999	109.9	N499MC	20 years	6.88% to 8.77%	7.52%	42.3	48.8
1998 EETC	1998	105.6	N475GT	20 years	7.38% to 8.01%	13.89%	39.6	44.8
	1998	103.1	N493MC	20 years	7.38% to 8.01%	13.72%	39.5	45.4
	1998	107.9	N477GT	20 years	7.38% to 8.01%	7.54%	12.7	20.9
							\$ 254.2	\$ 293.3

**Table of Contents****Future Cash Payments for Debt**

The following table summarizes the cash required to be paid by year and the carrying value of our debt reflecting the terms that were in effect as of December 31, 2013:

2014	\$ 266,525
2015	157,610
2016	160,977
2017	161,610
2018	166,393
Thereafter	824,878
<b>Total debt cash payments</b>	<b>1,737,993</b>
Less: unamortized debt discount	(41,368)
<b>Debt</b>	<b>\$ 1,696,625</b>

**8. Commitments****Leases**

The following table summarizes rental expenses in:

	2013	2012	2011
Aircraft	\$ 160,415	\$ 154,968	\$ 159,362
Purchased capacity, office, vehicles and other	\$ 34,062	\$ 21,335	\$ 13,735

As of December 31, 2013, 13 of our 43 operating aircraft were leased, all of which were operating leases with initial lease term expiration dates ranging from 2014 to 2025, with an average remaining lease term of 9.1 years. Certain of our operating leases contain renewal options and escalations. In addition, we lease engines under short-term lease agreements on an as-needed basis. We record rent expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

The following table summarizes our minimum annual rental commitments as of the periods indicated under non-cancelable aircraft, real estate and other operating leases with initial or remaining terms of more than one year, reflecting the terms that were in effect as of December 31, 2013:

	Aircraft Operating Leases	Other Operating Leases	Total
2014	\$ 131,309	\$ 4,623	\$ 135,932
2015	131,241	4,342	135,583
2016	129,632	3,902	133,534
2017	129,480	142	129,622
2018	130,955	145	131,100
Thereafter	599,247	633	599,880
<b>Total payments</b>	<b>\$ 1,251,864</b>	<b>\$ 13,787</b>	<b>\$ 1,265,651</b>



**Table of Contents**

As discussed in Note 3, Polar Dry Leases aircraft from Old Polar that are leased from a third party and are included in the table above under aircraft operating leases. The following table summarizes the contractual amount of minimum Dry Lease income under these non-cancelable aircraft Dry Leases, reflecting the terms that were in effect as of December 31, 2013:

	<b>Dry Lease Income</b>
2014	\$ 63,360
2015	63,360
2016	63,360
2017	63,360
2018	52,800
Thereafter	
	<b>\$ 306,240</b>

***Guarantees and Indemnifications***

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into numerous real estate leasing, equipment and aircraft financing arrangements that have various guarantees included in the contracts. These guarantees are primarily in the form of indemnities. In both leasing and financing transactions, we typically indemnify the lessors and any financing parties against tort liabilities that arise out of the use, occupancy, manufacture, design, operation or maintenance of the leased premises or financed aircraft, regardless of whether these liabilities relate to the negligence of the indemnified parties. Currently, we believe that any future payments required under many of these guarantees or indemnities would be immaterial, as most tort liabilities and related indemnities are covered by insurance (subject to deductibles). However, payments under certain tax indemnities related to certain of our financing arrangements, if applicable, could be material, and would not be covered by insurance, although we believe that these payments are not probable. Certain leased premises, such as maintenance and storage facilities, typically include indemnities of such parties for any environmental liability that may arise out of or relate to the use of the leased premises. We also provide standard indemnification agreements to officers and directors in the ordinary course of business.

***Financings and Guarantees***

Our financing arrangements typically contain a withholding tax provision that requires us to pay additional amounts to the applicable lender or other financing party, if withholding taxes are imposed on such lender or other financing party as a result of a change in the applicable tax law.

These increased costs and withholding tax provisions continue for the entire term of the applicable transaction and there is no limitation on the maximum additional amount we could be required to pay under such provisions. Any failure to pay amounts due under such provisions generally would trigger an event of default and, in a secured financing transaction, would entitle the lender to foreclose upon the collateral to realize the amount due.

**Table of Contents****9. Income Taxes**

The significant components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
<i>Current:</i>			
Federal	\$	\$	\$ (22,082)
State and local	116	173	682
Foreign	861	23	464
<b>Total current expense (benefit)</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>(20,936)</b>
<i>Deferred:</i>			
Federal	26,354	69,352	77,252
State and local	(2,111)	4,867	2,639
Foreign	(1,387)	1,146	1,725
<b>Total deferred expense</b>	<b>22,856</b>	<b>75,365</b>	<b>81,616</b>
<b>Total income tax expense</b>	<b>\$ 23,833</b>	<b>\$ 75,561</b>	<b>\$ 60,680</b>

The domestic and foreign earnings before income taxes are as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
United States	\$ 108,709	\$ 204,034	\$ 155,899
Foreign	9,113	1,241	1,090
<b>Income before income taxes</b>	<b>\$ 117,822</b>	<b>\$ 205,275</b>	<b>\$ 156,989</b>

A reconciliation of differences between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and the effective income tax rates for the periods defined below is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
U.S. federal statutory income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State and local taxes based on income, net of federal benefit	1.1%	1.6%	1.5%
Change in state deferred tax rate	(1.9%)	0.0%	0.0%
Extraterritorial income tax benefit	(12.3%)	0.0%	0.0%
Other expenses not deductible for tax purposes	1.5%	0.7%	0.8%
Favorable resolution of income tax issues for prior periods	(1.8%)	(1.2%)	0.0%
Tax effect of foreign operations	(1.5%)	0.8%	0.8%
Other	0.1%	(0.1%)	0.5%
<b>Effective income tax rate</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>38.6%</b>

The effective income tax rate for 2013 differs from effective income tax rates for prior years primarily due to a 2013 income tax benefit of \$14.2 million related to extraterritorial income from certain of our aircraft. We recognized this income tax benefit based on a decision in a recent court case. In addition, the rate for 2013 also reflects a reduction in state income taxes resulting from changes in our mix of flying as well as the favorable resolution of income tax issues for prior periods.

## Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

As a result of current and expected future growth in our Dry Leasing business, we determined to indefinitely reinvest the net earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries engaged in this business outside of the U.S. Our effective income tax rate for the period ended December 31, 2013 was favorably impacted by this determination. At

**Table of Contents**

December 31, 2013, our undistributed net earnings of foreign subsidiaries for which deferred taxes have not been provided were \$10.8 million, and the unrecognized deferred tax liability associated with these earnings was \$3.8 million.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities represent the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. The net deferred tax asset (liability) was comprised of the following as of December 31:

	Assets (Liabilities)			
	2013		2012	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Fixed assets	\$	\$ (643,197)	\$	\$ (593,483)
Net operating loss carryforwards and credits	47,161	300,110	21,251	306,124
Aircraft leases		12,704		10,959
Interest rate derivatives		7,124		8,330
Stock-based compensation		7,190		8,251
Accrued compensation	9,219		7,079	
Maintenance expense	(1,120)	509	(2,444)	718
Equity investments in affiliates		585		(668)
Revaluation of debt		(2,467)		(2,748)
Accrued expenses	(1,156)	(2,024)	(457)	(3,081)
Acquisition of EETC debt		(12,407)		(9,353)
Other	146	4,011	(147)	4,775
Valuation allowance	(4,643)	(43,133)	(2,375)	(45,320)
Obsolescence reserve	4,381		3,249	
	\$ 53,988	\$ (370,995)	\$ 26,156	\$ (315,496)

	Assets (Liabilities)			
	2013		2012	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
<i>Deferred taxes included within:</i>				
Deferred taxes	\$ 54,001	\$	\$ 26,390	\$
Accrued liabilities	(13)		(234)	
Deferred taxes		(371,655)		(315,949)
Deposits and other assets		660		453
	\$ 53,988	\$ (370,995)	\$ 26,156	\$ (315,496)

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had U.S. federal tax net operating losses ( NOLs ) of approximately \$761.0 million and \$733.3 million, respectively, net of unrecognized tax benefits and valuation allowances, which will expire through 2033, if not utilized. The increase in NOLs during 2013 resulted from the impact of 50% bonus tax depreciation on two 747-8F aircraft placed in service during the year. We had U.S. federal tax credits of \$4.5 million and \$4.8 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Additionally, as of December 31, 2013, we had foreign NOLs for Hong Kong and Singapore of approximately \$185.9 million with no expiration date.

Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code ) imposes an annual limitation on the amount of a corporation's U.S. federal taxable income that can be offset by NOLs if it experiences an ownership change, as defined. We experienced ownership changes in 2004 and 2009. Accordingly, the use of our NOLs generated

**Table of Contents**

prior to these ownership changes is subject to the annual limitation. If certain substantial changes in our ownership occur prospectively, there could be an additional annual limitation on the amount of utilizable carryforwards. Certain tax attributes, including NOLs, reflected on our federal income tax returns, as filed, differ significantly from those reflected in the Financial Statements.

On each reporting date, management assesses whether we are more likely than not to realize some or all of our deferred tax assets. After our assessment, we maintained a valuation allowance of \$47.8 million and \$47.0 million against our deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The valuation allowance is attributable to a limitation on NOL utilization resulting from the ownership change under Section 382. Due to this limitation, we expect a portion of our NOLs generated in 2004 and prior years to eventually expire unused.

Included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets are tax receivables of \$0.6 million and \$0.6 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In 2012, we received a refund of \$27.6 million of U.S. federal income taxes paid for 2010.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending unrecognized income tax benefits is as follows for:

	2013	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$ 73,518	\$ 75,951	\$ 75,681
Additions for tax positions related to the current year		310	333
Additions for tax positions related to prior years	5,822	307	21
Reductions for tax positions related to prior years	(2,661)	(3,050)	(84)
Ending balance	\$ 76,679	\$ 73,518	\$ 75,951

If recognized, all of the unrecognized income tax benefits of \$76.7 million as of December 31, 2013, would favorably impact the effective income tax rate. We will maintain a liability for unrecognized income tax benefits until these uncertain positions are resolved or until the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, if earlier.

Our policy is to record tax-related interest expense and penalties, if applicable, as a component of income tax expense. We recorded a tax-related interest benefit of \$1.8 million in 2013 and tax-related interest expense of \$0.6 million in 2012. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the cumulative liability for tax-related interest was \$2.1 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. We have not recorded any liability for income tax-related penalties, and the tax authorities historically have not assessed any.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, the 2010 through 2012 income tax returns remain subject to examination. The Internal Revenue Service is currently examining the 2010 and 2011 federal income tax returns. We also file income tax returns in multiple states as well as in Hong Kong and Singapore. Generally, the 2009 through 2012 income tax returns remain subject to examination in the states where we file. In addition, the 2007 through 2013 Hong Kong income tax returns and the 2011 through 2013 Singapore income tax returns are subject to examination. No state or foreign income tax examinations are in process.

We adopted updated income tax accounting guidance at December 31, 2013 (see Note 2). As a result, we reclassified \$50.6 million between two accounts within Other Liabilities at December 31, 2012 to conform to the current presentation in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.



**Table of Contents****10. Financial Instruments**

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). Inputs used to measure fair value are classified in the following hierarchy:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Other inputs that are observable directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or inactive quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs reflecting assumptions about the inputs used in pricing the asset or liability.
- We endeavor to utilize the best available information to measure fair value.

We maintain Cash and cash equivalents, Short-term investments and Restricted cash, which include cash on hand, demand deposits, other cash investments that are highly liquid in nature and have original maturities of three months or less at acquisition, money market funds, certificates of deposit and the current portion of debt securities. The carrying value of Cash and cash equivalents, Short-term investments and Restricted cash is based on cost, which approximates fair value.

Long-term investments consist of debt securities for which we have both the ability and the intent to hold until maturity. These investments are classified as held-to-maturity and reported at amortized cost. The fair value of our Long-term investments is based on a discounted cash flow analysis using the contractual cash flows of the investments and a discount rate derived from unadjusted quoted interest rates for debt securities of comparable risk. Such debt securities represent investments in Pass-Through Trust Certificates related to EETCs issued by Atlas in 1998, 1999 and 2000. Interest on debt securities and accretion of discounts using the effective interest method are included in Interest income.

The fair value of our EETCs is measured based on Level 3 inputs. When available, we use quoted market prices of our equipment notes as a basis for valuing the EETCs. If not available, fair value is based on a discounted cash flow analysis using current borrowing rates for instruments with similar terms.

The fair values of our term loans and the Ex-Im Bank guaranteed notes are based on a discounted cash flow analysis using current borrowing rates for instruments with similar terms.

The fair value of our interest rate derivatives was based on Level 2 inputs utilized in expected cash flow models. The incorporated market inputs include the implied forward LIBOR yield curve for the same period as the future interest rate swap settlements. These derivatives were designated as hedging instruments.

The following table summarizes the carrying amount, estimated fair value and classification of our financial instruments as of:

	Carrying Value	Fair Value	December 31, 2013		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Assets</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 321,816	\$ 321,816	\$ 321,816	\$	\$
Short-term investments	10,904	10,904			10,904
Restricted cash	6,491	6,491	6,491		
Interest rate derivatives	9,182	9,182		9,182	
Long-term investments and accrued interest	130,267	174,795			174,795
	\$ 478,660	\$ 523,188	\$ 328,307	\$ 9,182	\$ 185,699

**Table of Contents**

	December 31, 2013				
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Interest rate derivatives	\$ 7,796	\$ 7,796	\$	\$ 7,796	\$
Term loans	702,668	701,421			701,421
Ex-Im Bank guaranteed notes	739,741	718,703			718,703
EETCs	254,216	329,973			329,973
	\$ 1,704,421	\$ 1,757,893	\$	\$ 7,796	\$ 1,750,097

	December 31, 2012				
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Assets</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 409,763	\$ 409,763	\$ 409,763	\$	\$
Short-term investments	10,119	10,119			10,119
Long-term investments and accrued interest	140,498	177,740			177,740
	\$ 560,380	\$ 597,622	\$ 409,763	\$	\$ 187,859
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Term loans	\$ 450,652	\$ 461,530	\$	\$	\$ 461,530
Ex-Im Bank guaranteed notes	560,078	556,742			556,742
EETCs	293,312	325,187			325,187
	\$ 1,304,042	\$ 1,343,459	\$	\$	\$ 1,343,459

The following table presents the carrying value, gross unrealized gain (loss) and fair value of our long-term investments by contractual maturity as of:

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Carrying Value	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Fair Value
<b>Debt securities</b>						
Due after one but within five years	\$	\$	\$	\$ 8,365	\$ 1,404	\$ 9,769
Due after five but within ten years	130,267	44,528	174,795	132,133	35,838	167,971
<b>Total</b>	\$ 130,267	\$ 44,528	\$ 174,795	\$ 140,498	\$ 37,242	\$ 177,740

**11. Segment Reporting**

We have the following four reportable segments: ACMI (which includes CMI), AMC Charter, Commercial Charter and Dry Leasing. We use an economic performance metric ( Direct Contribution ) that shows the profitability of each segment after allocation of operating and ownership costs. Direct Contribution represents Income before income taxes excluding the following: Special charges, pre-operating expenses, nonrecurring items, gains on the disposal of aircraft, Loss on early extinguishment of debt, unallocated revenue and unallocated fixed costs. Direct operating and ownership costs include crew costs, maintenance, fuel, ground operations, sales costs, aircraft rent, interest expense related to aircraft debt, interest income on debt securities and aircraft depreciation. Unallocated income and expenses include corporate overhead, non-aircraft



## **Table of Contents**

depreciation, interest income, capitalized interest, foreign exchange gains and losses, other revenue and other non-operating costs, including pre-operating expenses. Management uses Direct Contribution to measure segment profitability. Each segment has different operating and economic characteristics that are separately reviewed by our senior management.

Management allocates the costs attributable to aircraft operation and ownership among the various segments based on the aircraft type and activity levels in each segment. Depreciation and amortization expense, aircraft rent, maintenance expense, and other aircraft related expenses are allocated to segments based upon aircraft utilization because certain individual aircraft are utilized across segments interchangeably. In addition, certain ownership costs are directly apportioned to the ACMI segment. Other allocation methods are standard activity-based methods that are commonly used in the industry.

The ACMI segment provides aircraft, crew, maintenance and insurance services to customers. Also included in the ACMI segment are the results of operations for CMI. CMI provides crew, maintenance and insurance services, with the customer providing the aircraft. Under ACMI and CMI contracts, customers guarantee a monthly level of operation at a predetermined rate for a defined period of time. The customer bears the commercial revenue risk and the obligation for other direct operating costs, including fuel.

The AMC Charter segment primarily provides full planeload charter flights to the AMC. In addition to cargo flights, the AMC Charter segment includes passenger flights, which we began providing in the second quarter of 2011. We also earn commissions on subcontracting certain flying of oversized cargo and less than full planeload missions, or in connection with flying cargo into areas of military conflict where we cannot perform the services on our own. Revenue from the AMC Charter business is typically derived from one-year contracts on a cost-plus basis with the AMC. Our current AMC contract runs from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014. Although we are responsible for the direct operating costs of the aircraft, the price paid for fuel consumed during AMC flights is fixed by the U.S. Military. We receive reimbursement from the AMC each month if the price of fuel paid by us to vendors for AMC missions exceeds the fixed price. Alternatively, if the price of fuel paid by us is less than the fixed price, we pay the difference to the AMC each month.

The Commercial Charter segment provides full planeload air cargo and passenger aircraft charters to charter brokers, cruise-ship operators, freight forwarders, direct shippers and airlines. Charters are often paid in advance and we typically bear the direct operating costs.

The Dry Leasing segment provides for the leasing of aircraft and engines to customers.

Other represents revenue for services that are not allocated to any segment, including administrative and management support services and flight simulator training.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth Operating Revenue and Direct Contribution for our reportable business segments reconciled to Operating Income and Income before Income Taxes:

	2013	2012	2011
<b>Operating Revenue:</b>			
ACMI	\$ 755,008	\$ 682,189	\$ 632,509
AMC Charter	356,340	488,063	442,725
Commercial Charter	496,112	450,277	299,528
Dry Leasing	35,168	11,843	9,695
Other	14,272	13,660	13,759
<b>Total Operating Revenue</b>	<b>\$ 1,656,900</b>	<b>\$ 1,646,032</b>	<b>\$ 1,398,216</b>
<b>Direct Contribution:</b>			
ACMI	\$ 227,829	\$ 191,497	\$ 148,320
AMC Charter	52,489	99,591	86,962
Commercial Charter	57	32,079	40,200
Dry Leasing	14,017	4,598	4,631
<b>Total Direct Contribution for Reportable Segments</b>	<b>294,392</b>	<b>327,765</b>	<b>280,113</b>
Add back (subtract):			
Unallocated income and expenses, net	(152,059)	(124,331)	(118,047)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(5,518)	(576)	
Special charge	(18,642)		(5,441)
Loss (gain) on disposal of aircraft	(351)	2,417	364
<b>Income before Income Taxes</b>	<b>117,822</b>	<b>205,275</b>	<b>156,989</b>
Add back (subtract):			
Interest income	(19,813)	(19,636)	(20,193)
Interest expense	83,659	64,532	42,120
Capitalized interest	(2,350)	(18,727)	(27,636)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	5,518	576	
Other expense (income), net	1,954	(5,529)	(180)
<b>Operating Income</b>	<b>\$ 186,790</b>	<b>\$ 226,491</b>	<b>\$ 151,100</b>

We are exposed to a concentration of revenue to the AMC and Polar (see Note 3 for further discussion regarding Polar). No other customer accounted for 10.0% of our Total Operating Revenue. Accounts receivable from the AMC were \$6.6 million and \$14.0 million as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We have not experienced any credit issues with either of these customers.

	2013	2012	2011
<b>Depreciation and amortization expense:</b>			
ACMI	\$ 45,737	\$ 34,965	\$ 22,057
AMC Charter	10,647	10,720	5,879
Commercial Charter	10,615	7,415	4,294
Dry Leasing	11,669	4,873	3,031
Unallocated	7,721	4,502	4,084
<b>Total Depreciation and Amortization</b>	<b>\$ 86,389</b>	<b>\$ 62,475</b>	<b>\$ 39,345</b>



## **Table of Contents**

### **12. Labor and Legal Proceedings**

#### **Labor**

Pilots and flight dispatchers of Atlas and Polar are represented by the International Brotherhood of Teamsters (the IBT). These employees represented approximately 53.5% of our workforce as of December 31, 2013. We are subject to risks of work interruption or stoppage as permitted by the Railway Labor Act of 1926 (the Railway Labor Act) and may incur additional administrative expenses associated with union representation of our employees.

In September 2011, we completed, and have since implemented, a five-year collective bargaining agreement with our pilots, which will not become amendable until September 2016. The terms of the agreement resulted in a single pilot workforce that serves both Atlas and Polar.

In November 2012, we completed, and have since implemented, a five-year collective bargaining agreement with the Atlas and Polar dispatchers. These dispatchers have been represented by the IBT since 2009.

#### **Legal Proceedings**

##### ***Department of Justice Investigation and Related Litigation***

In 2010, Old Polar entered into an agreement with the United States Department of Justice (the DOJ) to resolve issues relating to the previously disclosed DOJ investigation concerning alleged manipulation by cargo carriers of fuel surcharges and other rate components for air cargo services (the DOJ Investigation).

As a result of the DOJ Investigation, the Company and Old Polar have been named defendants, along with a number of other cargo carriers, in several class actions in the United States arising from allegations about the pricing practices of a number of air cargo carriers that have now been consolidated for pretrial purposes in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The consolidated complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants, including the Company and Old Polar, manipulated the market price for air cargo services sold domestically and abroad through the use of surcharges, in violation of United States, state, and European Union antitrust laws. The suit seeks treble damages and injunctive relief.

In 2007, the Company and Old Polar commenced an adversary proceeding in bankruptcy court against each of the plaintiffs in this class action litigation seeking to enjoin the plaintiffs from prosecuting claims against the Company and Old Polar that arose prior to 2004, the date on which the Company and Old Polar emerged from bankruptcy. In 2007, the plaintiffs consented to the injunctive relief requested and the bankruptcy court entered an order enjoining plaintiffs from prosecuting Company claims arising prior to 2004.

The court in the antitrust class actions has heard and decided a number of procedural motions. Among those was the plaintiffs' motion to join Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc. as an additional defendant, which the court granted on April 13, 2011. There was substantial pretrial written discovery and document production, and a number of depositions were taken. A court hearing on whether or not to certify the case as a class action was held in October 2013 and oral arguments were held in November 2013. We are unable to reasonably predict the court's ruling or the ultimate outcome of the litigation.

The Company, Old Polar and a number of other cargo carriers have also been named as defendants in civil class action suits in the provinces of British Columbia, Ontario and Quebec, Canada that are substantially similar to the class action suits in the United States. The plaintiffs in the British Columbia case have indicated they do not intend to pursue their lawsuit against the Company and Old Polar. We are unable to reasonably predict the outcome of the litigation in Ontario and Quebec.

## **Table of Contents**

If the Company or Old Polar were to incur an unfavorable outcome in connection with one or more of the matters described above, such outcome is not expected to materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, and/or cash flows.

### ***Brazilian Customs Claim***

Old Polar was cited for two alleged customs violations in Sao Paulo, Brazil, relating to shipments of goods dating back to 1999 and 2000. Each claim asserts that goods listed on the flight manifest of two separate Old Polar scheduled service flights were not on board the aircraft upon arrival and therefore were improperly brought into Brazil. The two claims, which also seek unpaid customs duties, taxes and penalties from the date of the alleged infraction, are approximately \$8.3 million in aggregate based on December 31, 2013 exchange rates.

In both cases, we believe that the amounts claimed are substantially overstated due to a calculation error when considering the type and amount of goods allegedly missing, among other things. Furthermore, we may seek appropriate indemnity from the shipper in each claim as may be feasible. In the pending claim for one of the cases, we have received an administrative decision dismissing the claim in its entirety, which remains subject to a mandatory appeal by the Brazil customs authorities. As required to defend such claims, we have made deposits pending resolution of these matters. The balances were \$5.7 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$6.3 million as of December 31, 2012, and are included in Deposits and other assets.

We are currently defending these and other Brazilian customs claims and the ultimate disposition of these claims, either individually or in the aggregate, is not expected to materially affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### ***Trademark Matters***

Since 2005, we have been involved in ongoing litigation in Europe against Atlas Transport, an unrelated and unaffiliated entity, over the use of the name Atlas. Following application by us to register the mark ATLAS AIR in the European Union (EU), opposition from Atlas Transport and follow-up filings by us, the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (OHIM), which handles trademark matters in the EU, declared Atlas Transport's own trademark ATLAS partially invalid because of the prior existence of our Benelux trademark registration. In 2008, OHIM's First Board of Appeal upheld the lower panel's decision, and Atlas Transport appealed that decision to the EU General Court (formally the Court of First Instance), which upheld the court's decision on May 18, 2011. Atlas Transport appealed that ruling to the European Court of Justice (ECJ). On March 9, 2012, the ECJ denied the appeal, bringing to an end that aspect of the OHIM proceedings. The Company's request for OHIM to resume another aspect of the proceedings remains pending.

In 2007, Atlas Transport also filed a lawsuit in the Netherlands challenging the validity of our Benelux trademark. In 2009, following completion of its proceedings, the court issued a judgment in favor of us. Atlas Transport appealed that decision to the Dutch Court of Appeal, but the judgment took effect immediately upon entry. The appeal remains pending and an oral hearing is currently scheduled for March 20, 2014.

In 2009, Atlas Transport instituted a trademark infringement lawsuit against us in the regional court in Hamburg, Germany. The amended complaint alleges that Atlas Air has been unlawfully using Atlas Transport's trademark in Germany without permission and should be required to render information on the scope of use and pay compensation. In a supplementary motion, Atlas Transport asserts a cease and desist claim against Atlas Air, to be considered if the court denies the claim for compensation. On May 31, 2011, the court dismissed the case and Atlas Transport filed an appeal, which remains pending.

We believe that the ultimate disposition of these claims, either individually or in the aggregate, will not materially affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.



**Table of Contents****Other**

We have certain other contingencies incident to the ordinary course of business. Management believes that the ultimate disposition of such other contingencies is not expected to materially affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**13. Stock-Based Compensation Plans**

In 2004, we implemented a Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2004 LTIP). The 2004 LTIP provided for awards of up to approximately 2.8 million shares of AAWW's common stock to employees in various forms. These included non-qualified options, incentive stock options, share appreciation rights, restricted shares, restricted share units, performance shares and performance units, dividend equivalents and other share-based awards. In 2007, the stockholders approved a revised Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan), which replaced the 2004 LTIP. An aggregate of 0.6 million shares of common stock was reserved for issuance to participants under the 2007 Plan. No new awards have been made under the 2004 LTIP since the adoption of the 2007 Plan in May 2007. Awards outstanding under the 2004 LTIP will continue to be governed by the terms of that plan and agreements under which they were granted. The 2007 Plan limits the terms of awards to ten years and prohibits the granting of awards more than ten years after the effective date of the 2007 Plan. The stockholders approved an additional 1.3 million shares in 2013, 0.8 million shares in 2011 and 0.5 million shares in 2010 of our common stock to be reserved under the 2007 Plan.

As of December 31, 2013, the 2007 Plan had a total of 1.9 million shares of common stock available for future award grants to management and members of the board of directors. Our compensation expense for both plans was \$15.4 million in 2013, \$16.8 million in 2012 and \$11.4 million in 2011. Income tax benefit recognized for share-based compensation arrangements was \$3.1 million in 2013, \$6.7 million in 2012 and \$4.8 million in 2011. The excess cash tax effect classified as a financing cash inflow was a benefit of \$0.5 million in 2013, a benefit of \$0.6 million in 2012 and a benefit of \$3.1 million in 2011.

**Non-qualified Stock Options**

The portion of the 2007 Plan and the 2004 LTIP applicable to employees is administered by the compensation committee of the board of directors, which also establishes the terms of the awards.

Non-qualified stock options granted under both the 2007 Plan and the 2004 LTIP vest over a three or four year period and expire seven to ten years from the date of grant. As of December 31, 2013, options to acquire a total of 1.3 million shares of common stock have been granted to management under both plans. No options have been granted since 2007. While non-qualified stock options may be granted at any price, they have never been granted with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant.

A summary of our options as of December 31, 2013 and changes during the year then ended is presented below:

	Number of Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding as of December 31, 2012	69,473	\$ 50.89		
Granted				
Exercised				
Forfeited, net of adjustments				
Outstanding as of December 31, 2013	69,473	\$ 50.89	2.3	\$ 119
Exercisable as of December 31, 2013	69,473	\$ 50.89	2.3	\$ 119

**Table of Contents**

No options were exercised in 2013 and 2012. The total intrinsic value of options exercised in 2011 was \$3.2 million and the cash received was \$4.7 million.

As of December 31, 2013, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted and all options have vested.

***Restricted Share Awards***

Restricted shares granted under the 2007 Plan and the 2004 LTIP vest and are expensed over three, four or five year periods. Restricted share awards have been granted in both shares and units. As of December 31, 2013, a total of 2.4 million restricted shares have been granted under both plans. All shares were valued at their fair market value on the date of issuance. Unrecognized compensation cost as of December 31, 2013 is \$20.6 million and will be recognized over the remaining weighted average life of 2.2 years.

A summary of our restricted shares as of December 31, 2013 and changes during the year then ended are presented below:

<b>Restricted Share Awards</b>	<b>Number of Shares</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value</b>
Unvested as of December 31, 2012	562,055	\$ 26.44
Granted	328,837	49.85
Vested	(269,188)	45.95
Forfeited	(12,730)	46.80
Unvested as of December 31, 2013	608,974	\$ 30.03

The total fair value of shares vested on vesting date was \$12.4 million in 2013, \$9.8 million in 2012 and \$14.3 million in 2011.

***Performance Share Awards***

Performance shares granted under the 2007 Plan are expensed over three years which generally is the requisite service period. Awards generally become vested if (1) we achieve certain specified performance levels compared to a peer group of companies during a three-year period starting in the grant year and ending on December 31 three years later (the Performance Period), and (2) the employee remains employed by us through the determination date which can be no later than four months following the end of the Performance Period. Partial vesting may occur for certain employee terminations. Performance share awards have been granted to executives in shares and units. All shares are valued at their fair market value on the date of issuance. The estimated compensation expense recognized for performance share awards is net of estimated forfeitures. We assess the performance levels in the first quarter of each year for the prior year after each of the peer companies has filed its financial statements. We review the results, adjust the estimated performance level and record any change to compensation cost. As of December 31, 2013, a total of 1.0 million performance shares have been granted. Unrecognized compensation cost as of December 31, 2013 is \$6.3 million and will be recognized over the remaining weighted average life of 1.5 years.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of our performance shares as of December 31, 2013 and changes during the year then ended are presented below:

Performance Share Awards	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Unvested as of December 31, 2012	444,050	\$ 47.94
Granted	209,008	43.46
Vested	(258,101)	39.49
Forfeited	(2,755)	50.01
Unvested as of December 31, 2013	392,202	\$ 51.10

The total fair value, on vesting date, of shares vested in 2013 was \$10.2 million, zero in 2012 and \$11.5 million in 2011.

**14. Profit Sharing, Incentive and Retirement Plans*****Profit Sharing and Incentive Plans***

We have an annual incentive compensation program for management employees. The program provides for payments to eligible employees based upon our financial performance, service performance and attainment of individual performance goals, among other things. In addition, our profit sharing plan allows IBT-represented crewmembers to receive payments from the plan based upon Atlas financial performance. The profit sharing plan is subject to a minimum financial performance threshold. For both plans, we had accruals of \$17.0 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$14.9 million as of December 31, 2012 in Accrued liabilities. We recognized compensation expense associated with both plans totaling \$7.7 million in 2013, \$20.5 million in 2012 and \$21.9 million in 2011.

***401(k) and 401(m) Plans***

Participants in our retirement plan may contribute a portion of their annual compensation to a 401(k) plan on a pre-tax basis, subject to aggregate limits under the Code. In addition to 401(k) contributions, participants may contribute a portion of their eligible compensation to a 401(m) plan on an after-tax basis. On behalf of participants in the plan who make elective compensation deferrals, we provide a matching contribution subject to certain limitations. Employee contributions in the plan are vested at all times and our matching contributions are subject to a three-year cliff vesting provision, except for employees who are represented by a collective bargaining agreement and are subject to a three-year graded vesting provision. We recognized compensation expense associated with the plan matching contributions totaling \$7.7 million in 2013, \$7.5 million in 2012 and \$6.7 million in 2011.

**15. Stock Repurchase**

We record the repurchase of our shares of common stock at cost based on the settlement date of the transaction. These shares are classified as treasury stock, which is a reduction to stockholders' equity. Treasury shares are included in authorized and issued shares but excluded from outstanding shares.

In 2008, we established a stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. As of December 31, 2013, we had repurchased 2,423,820 shares of our common stock for approximately \$91.0 million, at an average cost of \$37.55 per share under this program. In November 2013, we announced an increase of \$51.0 million to our stock repurchase program, resulting in \$60.0 million of available authorization remaining. Purchases may be made at our discretion in the form of open market repurchase

**Table of Contents**

programs, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated share repurchase programs or a combination of these methods. The actual timing and amount of our repurchases will depend on Company and market conditions.

On February 19, 2013, we entered into an accelerated share repurchase program agreement ( ASR ) with a financial institution for the repurchase of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of a minimum of \$25.0 million up to a maximum of \$50.0 million (the First 2013 ASR ). On April 25, 2013, the First 2013 ASR was settled and, in the aggregate, we repurchased 903,301 shares for \$36.5 million at an average cost of \$40.40 per share.

On May 22, 2013, we entered into a second ASR with a financial institution for the repurchase of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of a minimum of \$35.0 million up to a maximum of \$44.0 million (the Second 2013 ASR ). On August 13, 2013, the Second 2013 ASR was settled and, in the aggregate, we repurchased 820,276 shares for \$35.6 million at an average cost of \$43.43 per share.

Under both ASRs, the number of shares repurchased by us was generally based on the volume weighted average price of our common stock during the terms of the ASRs less a pre-determined discount. We accounted for both ASRs as a repurchase of common stock and as forward contracts indexed to our own common stock. We have determined that the forward contracts met all of the applicable criteria for equity classification and, therefore, neither ASR was accounted for as a derivative instrument.

In addition, we repurchased 208,524 and 72,131 shares of common stock from management in connection with the vesting of awards at an average price of \$42.50 per share in 2013 and \$46.46 per share in 2012, and held the shares as treasury shares. The payments were used to pay the statutory tax withholdings of employees related to restricted shares that had previously vested.

**16. Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings per share ( EPS ) represent net income attributable to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the measurement period. Diluted EPS represent net income attributable to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the measurement period while also giving effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the period. Anti-dilutive options that were out of the money for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were de minimis and excluded.

The calculations of basic and diluted EPS were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
<b>Numerator:</b>			
Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders	\$ 93,837	\$ 129,927	\$ 96,083
<b>Denominator:</b>			
Basic EPS weighted average shares outstanding	25,541	26,419	26,227
Effect of dilutive stock options and restricted stock	86	130	195
Diluted EPS weighted average shares outstanding	25,627	26,549	26,422
<b>EPS:</b>			
Basic	\$ 3.67	\$ 4.92	\$ 3.66
Diluted	\$ 3.66	\$ 4.89	\$ 3.64

**Table of Contents**

Diluted shares reflect the potential dilution that could occur from stock options and restricted shares using the treasury stock method. The calculation does not include restricted shares and units in which performance or market conditions were not satisfied of 0.5 million in 2013, 0.4 million in 2012 and 0.3 million in 2011.

**17. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The following table summarizes the components of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

	<b>Interest Rate Derivatives</b>	<b>Foreign Currency Translation</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ (15,853)	\$ 170	\$ (15,683)
Net change in fair value	(713)		(713)
Reclassification into earnings	2,652		2,652
Translation adjustment		125	125
Tax effect	(704)	60	(644)
Balance as of December 31, 2012	(14,618)	355	(14,263)
Net change in fair value	1,386		1,386
Reclassification into earnings	3,064		3,064
Translation adjustment		343	343
Tax effect	(1,207)		(1,207)
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ (11,375)	\$ 698	\$ (10,677)

**Interest Rate Derivatives**

We were exposed to changes in interest rates for the anticipated debt issuances related to the financing of three Boeing 777-200LRF aircraft that we purchased in January 2014 (see Note 19). We used forward-starting interest rate swaps in the fourth quarter of 2013 to effectively fix the interest rate on the three 777-200LRF financings. The use of forward-starting interest rate swaps effectively converted our floating-rate forecasted debt issuances to a fixed rate basis. When entering into forward-starting interest rate swaps, we become exposed to both market risk and credit risk. We were subject to market risk with respect to changes in the underlying benchmark interest rate that impacts the fair value of the forward-starting interest rate swaps. We were subject to counterparty credit risk when the value of the forward-starting interest rate swaps are a gain and the risk exists that the counterparty will fail to perform under the terms of the contract. We manage our counterparty credit risk by only entering into forward-starting interest rate swaps with major financial institutions with investment-grade credit ratings. We manage our market risk by matching the terms of each forward-starting interest rate swap with a specified expected debt issuance. We do not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge. This process includes specific identification of the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction, the nature of the risk being hedged and how the hedging instrument's effectiveness will be assessed. Both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, we assess whether the derivatives used in a projected transaction are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows or the fair value of hedged items.

In December 2013, we entered into six forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total notional value of \$432.5 million to hedge the risk of changes in quarterly interest payments due to fluctuations in the forward 90-day LIBOR swap rate for anticipated debt issuances in January 2014. We designated these forward-starting interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. Changes in the fair value of the effective portion of the forward-starting interest rate swaps are recorded as a gain or loss in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the

**Table of Contents**

underlying hedged item is recognized in net income. We classify both the net earnings and cash flow impact from these forward-starting interest rate swaps consistent with the underlying hedged item. If the debt is not issued and the forward-starting interest rate swaps are terminated, any gain or loss from the termination would be recorded in net income immediately. Hedging ineffectiveness and a net earnings impact would occur if the change in the value of the hedge did not offset the change in the value of the underlying hedged item.

As of December 31, 2013, the fair value of those forward-starting interest rate swaps was an asset of \$9.2 million included in Deposits and other assets, and a liability of \$7.8 million included within Accrued liabilities. We recorded unrealized pre-tax gains of \$1.4 million and after-tax gains of \$1.3 million in Other comprehensive loss for changes in the fair value of our forward-starting interest rate swaps for year ended December 31, 2013.

In January, 2014, we terminated all six of the forward-starting interest rate swaps in connection with the debt issuances, which converted a previously unrealized gain of \$1.1 million into a realized gain in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). There was no ineffectiveness associated with these hedges upon their termination.

As of December 31, 2013, there was \$19.8 million of unamortized realized loss before taxes remaining in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to terminated forward-starting interest rate swaps, which had been designated as cash flow hedges to effectively fix the interest rates on two 747-8F financings in 2011. The loss is amortized and reclassified into Interest expense over the remaining life of the related debt. Realized losses reclassified into earnings were \$3.1 million in 2013 and \$3.1 million in 2012. Realized losses expected to be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months are \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2013.

**18. Selected Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)**

The following tables summarize the 2013 and 2012 quarterly results:

	<b>First Quarter</b>	<b>Second Quarter</b>	<b>Third Quarter</b>	<b>Fourth Quarter</b>
<b>2013*</b>				
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 377,336	\$ 403,573	\$ 405,390	\$ 470,601
Operating Income	22,597	48,461	57,706	58,027
Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders	\$ 20,078	\$ 20,060	\$ 23,741	\$ 29,958
EPS:				
Basic	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.20
Diluted	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.19
<b>2012**</b>				
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 359,304	\$ 424,705	\$ 409,251	\$ 452,772
Operating Income	20,579	56,118	62,265	87,529
Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders	\$ 12,835	\$ 30,852	\$ 33,858	\$ 52,382
EPS:				
Basic	\$ 0.49	\$ 1.17	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.98
Diluted	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.97

\* Included in the fourth quarter of 2013 is a special charge of \$18.6 million.

\*\* Included in the fourth quarter of 2012 is an insurance gain of \$6.3 million related to flood damage at a warehouse.



**Table of Contents****19. Subsequent Events**

In January 2014, we purchased three 777-200LRF aircraft that are leased to a customer on a long-term basis. As part of the transaction, we entered into six separate term loans in the aggregate amount of \$432.0 million each secured by a mortgage on the aircraft and the attached leases. In connection with entry into these term loans, we paid usual and customary fees. The term loans accrue interest with principal and interest payable quarterly and contain customary covenants and event of default provisions.

The following table summarizes the terms and amounts for each term loan (in millions):

	<b>Issue Date</b>	<b>Face Value</b>	<b>Collateral Aircraft Tail Number</b>	<b>Original Term</b>	<b>Interest Rate Type</b>	<b>Interest Rate</b>
First 2014 Term Loan	2014	\$ 115.0	MSN 38969	114 months	Fixed	4.48%
Second 2014 Term Loan	2014	30.8	MSN 38969	114 months	Fixed	7.30%
Third 2014 Term Loan	2014	115.0	MSN 37138	118 months	Fixed	4.57%
Fourth 2014 Term Loan	2014	29.0	MSN 37138	118 months	Fixed	7.38%
Fifth 2014 Term Loan	2014	115.0	MSN 39286	116 months	Fixed	4.51%
Sixth 2014 Term Loan	2014	27.2	MSN 39286	116 months	Fixed	7.35%
		\$ 432.0				

In January 2014, we refinanced the First 2013 Bridge Loan with an Ex-Im Bank guaranteed note in the amount of \$140.6 million secured by a mortgage on a 747-8F aircraft (aircraft tail number N854GT) for a period of 134 months. In connection with entry into this guaranteed note, we paid usual and customary fees. This guaranteed note accrues interest at a fixed rate of 2.67% with principal and interest payable quarterly and contains customary covenants and event of default provisions.

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**  
None.

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer ( Principal Executive Officer ) and our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer ( Principal Financial Officer ), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on this evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013.

**Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting, as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Management conducted an assessment of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992 Edition)*. Based on the assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting is effective. Our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.



**Table of Contents****Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended December 31, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The required information is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Information concerning the executive officers is included below. We have adopted a code of conduct that applies to all of our employees, along with a Code of Ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and members of the board of directors (the Code of Ethics). The Code of Ethics is monitored by our Audit Committee, and includes certain provisions regarding disclosure of violations and waivers of, and amendments to, the Code of Ethics by covered parties. A copy of the Code of Ethics is available on our website at [www.atlasair.com](http://www.atlasair.com).

The following is a list of the names, ages and background of our current executive officers:

**William J. Flynn.** Mr. Flynn, age 60, has been our President and Chief Executive Officer since June 2006. Mr. Flynn has a 30 year career in international supply chain management and freight transportation. Prior to joining us, Mr. Flynn served as President and Chief Executive Officer of GeoLogistics Corporation since 2002 where he led a successful turnaround of the company's profitability and the sale of the company in September 2005. Prior to his tenure at GeoLogistics, Mr. Flynn served as a Senior Vice President at CSX Transportation, one of the largest Class 1 railroads operating in the U. S., from 2000 to 2002. Mr. Flynn spent over 20 years with Sea-Land Service, Inc., a global provider of container shipping services. He served in roles of increasing responsibility in the U.S., Latin America and Asia. Mr. Flynn ultimately served as head of the company's Asia operations. Mr. Flynn is also a director of Republic Services, Inc. During the previous five years, he served as a director of Horizon Lines, Inc. Mr. Flynn holds a Bachelors degree in Latin American studies from the University of Rhode Island and a Masters degree in the same field from the University of Arizona.

**John W. Dietrich.** Mr. Dietrich, age 49, has been Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since September 2006. Prior thereto, Mr. Dietrich was Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Human Resources Officer from February 2004. He was named Vice President and General Counsel in March 2003, where he was also responsible for our Human Resources and Corporate Communications functions. Mr. Dietrich joined Atlas in 1999 as Associate General Counsel. Prior to joining us, he was a litigation attorney at United Airlines from 1992 to 1999, where he provided legal counsel to all levels of management, particularly on employment and commercial litigation issues. He also serves as a director of the National Air Courier Association. Mr. Dietrich earned a Bachelors of Science degree from Southern Illinois University and received his Juris Doctorate, *cum laude*, from John Marshall Law School. He is a member of the New York, Illinois and Colorado Bars.

**Adam R. Kokas.** Mr. Kokas, age 42, has been Executive Vice President since January 2014 and General Counsel and Secretary since October 2006 and our Chief Human Resources Officer since November 2007. Prior to January 2014, he was Senior Vice President from October 2006. Mr. Kokas joined us from Ropes & Gray LLP, where he was a partner in their Corporate Department, focusing on general corporate, securities, transactions and business law matters. Prior to joining Ropes & Gray, Mr. Kokas was a partner at Kelley Drye & Warren LLP, where he joined as an associate in 2001. At both Kelley Drye and Ropes & Gray, Mr. Kokas represented us in a variety of matters, including corporate finance and merger and acquisition transactions,

**Table of Contents**

corporate governance matters, strategic alliances, securities matters, and other general corporate issues. Mr. Kokas earned a Bachelor of Arts degree from Rutgers University and is a *cum laude* graduate of the Boston University School of Law, where he was an Edward M. Hennessey scholar. Mr. Kokas is a member of the New York and New Jersey Bars. Mr. Kokas has also been the Chairman of the Board of the Cargo Airline Association (a non-profit trade organization) since June 2011.

**Michael T. Steen.** Mr. Steen, age 47, has been Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer since November 2010. Prior to November 2010, he was our Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer from April 2007. Mr. Steen joined us from Exel plc where he served as Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing. Mr. Steen led the sales and marketing activities for Exel Freight's management and technology sector. Following Exel's acquisition by Deutsche Post World Net, he held senior-level positions with the merged company in global supply chain logistics. Prior to joining Exel, he served in a variety of roles with KLM Cargo over 11 years, including Vice President of the Americas, Head of Global Sales and Marketing for the Logistics Unit and Director of Sales for EMEA. Mr. Steen has also been a member of the Board of Directors of TIACA (a not-for-profit trade association for the air cargo industry) since November 2007 and served as its Chairman from 2010 to 2013. Mr. Steen earned a degree in economic science from Katrinelund in Gothenburg, Sweden, and is an alumnus of the Advanced Executive Program at the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University.

**Spencer Schwartz.** Mr. Schwartz, age 47, has been Executive Vice President since January 2014 and Chief Financial Officer since June 2010. Prior to January 2014, he was Senior Vice President from June 2010. Prior to June 2010, he was our Vice President and Corporate Controller from November 2008. Mr. Schwartz joined us from MasterCard Incorporated, where he was employed for over 12 years and served as Group Head of Global Risk Management; Senior Vice President and Business Financial Officer; Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer; and Vice President of Taxation. Prior to joining MasterCard, Mr. Schwartz held financial positions of increasing responsibility with Price Waterhouse LLP (now PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) and Carl Zeiss, Inc. Mr. Schwartz earned a Bachelors degree in Accounting from The Pennsylvania State University and a Masters degree in Business Administration, with a concentration in management, with honors, from New York University's Leonard N. Stern School of Business. He is a certified public accountant.

**Keith H. Mayer.** Mr. Mayer, age 48, has been Vice President and Corporate Controller since November 2010. Mr. Mayer joined us from PepsiCo, Inc. (PepsiCo). In his most recent role at PepsiCo, he served as Chief Financial Officer of an international coffee partnership between PepsiCo and Starbucks Corporation. Mr. Mayer also served PepsiCo in a variety of roles since 1999, including Director of External Reporting, Assistant Controller for PepsiCo International, Senior Group Manager of Financial Accounting for Frito-Lay North America, and Group Manager of Technical Accounting. Prior to joining PepsiCo, Mr. Mayer held financial positions of increasing responsibility with Coopers & Lybrand LLP (now PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP). Mr. Mayer earned a Bachelors degree in Accounting from the University of Bridgeport where he graduated *magna cum laude*. He is a certified public accountant.

Executive Officers are elected by our board of directors, and their terms of office continue until the next annual meeting of the board of directors or until their successors are elected and have qualified. There are no family relationships among our executive officers.

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The required information is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The required information is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The following table summarizes the securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans at December 31, 2013:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,451,048	\$ 2.44(1)	1,502,636
Total	1,451,048	\$ 2.44	1,502,636

(1) Includes 1,381,575 of restricted and performance shares and units, which have no exercise price and 69,473 stock options having an average exercise price of \$50.89.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The required information is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

The required information is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Table of Contents**

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a) 1. Financial Statements:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedule:

Schedule II Valuation of Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required or the information is included elsewhere in the Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

3. Exhibits: (see accompanying Exhibit Index included after the signature page of this Report for a list of exhibits filed or furnished with or incorporated by reference in this Report).

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on February 12, 2014.

ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS, INC.  
(Registrant)

By: /s/ William J. Flynn  
William J. Flynn  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on February 12, 2014 on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated.

Signature	Capacity
* Eugene I. Davis Eugene I. Davis	Chairman of the Board
/s/ William J. Flynn William J. Flynn	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Spencer Schwartz Spencer Schwartz	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
/s/ Keith H. Mayer Keith H. Mayer	Vice President and Corporate Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)
* Robert F. Agnew Robert F. Agnew	Director
* Timothy J. Bernlohr Timothy J. Bernlohr	Director
* James S. Gilmore, III James S. Gilmore, III	Director
* Carol B. Hallett Carol B. Hallett	Director
* Frederick McCorkle Frederick McCorkle	Director
* Duncan J. McNabb Duncan J. McNabb	Director

\*By: /s/ William J. Flynn  
William J. Flynn,  
as Attorney-in-fact for each of the persons  
indicated

**Table of Contents****SCHEDULE II****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

(in thousands)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
<b>For the Year ended December 31, 2013</b>				
Allowances deducted in the balance sheet from the assets to which they apply:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 3,172	\$ 178	\$ (1,948)(a)	\$ 1,402
<b>For the Year ended December 31, 2012</b>				
Allowances deducted in the balance sheet from the assets to which they apply:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,931	\$ 837	\$ 404(a)	\$ 3,172
<b>For the Year ended December 31, 2011</b>				
Allowances deducted in the balance sheet from the assets to which they apply:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,900	\$ 335	\$ (304)(a)	\$ 1,931

(a) Primarily represents the write-off of accounts net of recoveries

**Table of Contents****EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.1(4)	Certificate of Incorporation of the Company.
3.2(17)	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc., dated as of October 1, 2010.
4.1.1(1)	Form of 8.707% Atlas Air Pass Through Certificates, Series 2000-1A (included in Exhibit 4.1.21).
4.1.2(1)	Form of 9.057% Atlas Air Pass Through Certificates, Series 2000-1B (included in Exhibit 4.1.22).
4.1.3(1)	Form of 9.702% Atlas Air Pass Through Certificates, Series 2000-1C (included in Exhibit 4.1.23).
4.1.4(3)	7.20% Atlas Air Pass Through Certificate 1999-1A-1, Certificate No. A-1-1.
4.1.5(3)	7.20% Atlas Air Pass Through Certificate 1999-1A-1, Certificate No. A-1-2.
4.1.6(3)	6.88% Atlas Air Pass Through Certificate 1999-1A-2, Certificate No. A-2-1.
4.1.7(3)	7.63% Atlas Air Pass Through Certificate 1999-1B-1, Certificate No. B-1.
4.1.8(3)	8.77% Atlas Air Pass Through Certificate 1999-1C-1, Certificate No. C-1.
4.1.9(2)	Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of February 9, 1998, between Atlas Air, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to the Atlas Air Pass Through Trust 1998-1A-0.
4.1.10(2)	Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of February 9, 1998, between Atlas Air, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to the Atlas Air Pass Through Trust 1998-1A-S.
4.1.11(2)	Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of February 9, 1998, between Atlas Air, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to the Atlas Air Pass Through Trust 1998-1B-0.
4.1.12(2)	Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of February 9, 1998, between Atlas Air, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to the Atlas Air Pass Through Trust 1998-1B-S.
4.1.13(2)	Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of February 9, 1998, between Atlas Air, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to the Atlas Air Pass Through Trust 1998-1C-0.
4.1.14(2)	Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of February 9, 1998, between Atlas Air, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to the Atlas Air Pass Through Trust 1998-1C-S.
4.1.15(3)	Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of April 13, 1999, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, and Atlas Air, Inc..
4.1.16(3)	Trust Supplement No. 1999-1A-1, dated April 13, 1999, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, and Atlas Air, Inc. to Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1999.
4.1.17(3)	Trust Supplement No. 1999-1A-2, dated April 13, 1999, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, and Atlas Air, Inc. to Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1999.
4.1.18(3)	Trust Supplement No. 1999-1B, dated April 13, 1999, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, and Atlas Air, Inc. to Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1999.
4.1.19(3)	Trust Supplement No. 1999-1C, dated April 13, 1999, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, and Atlas Air, Inc. to Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of April 1, 1999.
4.1.20(1)	Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2000, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee and Atlas Air, Inc..
4.1.21(1)	Trust Supplement No. 2000-1A, dated January 28, 2000, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, and Atlas Air, Inc. to Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2000.





**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.1.22(1)	Trust Supplement No. 2000-1B, dated January 28, 2000, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, and Atlas Air, Inc. to Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2000.
4.1.23(1)	Trust Supplement No. 2000-1C, dated January 28, 2000, between Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, and Atlas Air, Inc. to Pass Through Trust Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2000
4.1.24(2)	Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 9, 1998, among the Company, Wilmington Trust Company and First Security Bank, National Association ( Note Purchase Agreement 1998 )
4.1.25(1)	Form of Leased Aircraft Participation Agreement (Participation Agreement among Atlas Air, Inc., Lessee, First Security Bank, National Association, Owner Trustee, and Wilmington Trust Company, Mortgagee and Loan Participant) (Exhibit A-1 to Note Purchase Agreement 1998).
4.1.26(1)	Form of Owned Aircraft Participation Agreement (Participation Agreement between Atlas Air, Inc., Owner, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Mortgagee, Subordination Agent and Trustee) (Exhibit C-1 to Note Purchase Agreement 1998).
4.1.27(1)	Form of Lease (Lease Agreement between First Security Bank, National Association, Lessor, and Atlas Air, Inc., Lessee) (Exhibit A-2 to Note Purchase Agreement 1998).
4.1.28(3)	Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 13, 1999, among Atlas Air, Inc., Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, Wilmington Trust Company, as Subordination Agent, First Security Bank, National Association, as Escrow Agent, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Paying Agent ( Note Purchase Agreement 1999 ).
4.1.29(3)	Form of Leased Aircraft Participation Agreement (Participation Agreement among Atlas Air, Inc., Lessee, First Security Bank, National Association, Owner Trustee, and Wilmington Trust Company, Mortgagee and Loan Participant) (Exhibit A-1 to Note Purchase Agreement 1999).
4.1.30(3)	Form of Lease (Lease Agreement between First Security Bank, National Association, Lessor, and Atlas Air, Inc., Lessee) (Exhibit A-2 to Note Purchase Agreement 1999).
4.1.31(3)	Form of Owned Aircraft Participation Agreement (Participation Agreement between Atlas Air, Inc., Owner, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Mortgagee, Subordination Agent and Trustee) (Exhibit C-1 to Note Purchase Agreement 1999).
4.1.32(1)	Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2000, among Atlas Air, Inc., Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, Wilmington Trust Company, as Subordination Agent, First Security Bank, National Association, as Escrow Agent, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Paying Agent ( Note Purchase Agreement 2000 ).
4.1.33(1)	Form of Leased Aircraft Indenture (Trust Indenture and Mortgage between First Security Bank, National Association, Owner Trustee, and Wilmington Trust Company, Mortgagee) (Exhibit A-3 to Note Purchase Agreement 2000).
4.1.34(1)	Form of Leased Aircraft Trust Agreement (Exhibit A-5 to Note Purchase Agreement 2000).
4.1.35(1)	Form of Owned Aircraft Indenture (Trust Indenture and Mortgage between Atlas Air, Inc., Owner, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Mortgagee) (Exhibit C-2 to Note Purchase Agreement 2000).
4.1.36(3)	Form of Leased Aircraft Indenture (Trust Indenture and Mortgage between First Security Bank, National Association, Owner Trustee, and Wilmington Trust Company, Mortgagee) (Exhibit A-3 to Note Purchase Agreement 2000).
4.1.37(3)	Form of Leased Aircraft Trust Agreement (Exhibit A-5 to Note Purchase Agreement 2000).
4.1.38(3)	Form of Owned Aircraft Indenture (Trust Indenture and Mortgage between Atlas Air, Inc., Owner, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Mortgagee) (Exhibit C-2 to Note Purchase Agreement 2000).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.1.39(9)	Leased Aircraft Restructure Agreement with regard to Aircraft N491MC, dated July 27, 2004, by and among Atlas Air, Inc., Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association as Owner Trustee, Wilmington Trust Company as Mortgagee, Class A Trustee and Subordination Agent, and DAF Investments, Ltd. as Owner Participant, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.
4.1.40(8)	1998 Class A Pass Through Trust Supplement, dated July 27, 2004, between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company as Class A Trustee.
4.1.41(8)	Amendment to 1999 Class A-1 Pass Through Trust Supplement, dated July 27, 2004, between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company as Class A-1 Trustee
4.1.42(8)	Amendment to 2000 Class A Pass Through Trust Supplement between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company as Class A Trustee dated July 27, 2004.
4.1.43(9)	Trust Indenture and Mortgage Supplement No. 3, dated July 27, 2004, by and between Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association (f/k/a First Security Bank, National Association), Owner Trustee, and Wilmington Trust Company, Mortgagee, pertaining to Aircraft N491MC, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.
4.2(19)	Facility Agreement, among Atlas Air, Inc. (as Borrower), Each Loan Participant Identified on Schedule I thereto, Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale (as Agent) and Bank of Utah (as Security Agent).
4.3(21)	Participation Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2012, among Helios Leasing I LLC, as Lessor, Helios Leasing Trust, as Lessor Parent, Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, Atlas Air, Inc., as Lessee, Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture Trustee, Apple Bank for Savings, as Initial Guaranteed Lender, Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association, as Security Trustee, and Export-Import Bank of the United States. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.).
4.4(22)	Indenture, dated as of May 1, 2012, by and among Helios Leasing I LLC, Apple Bank for Savings, Wilmington Trust Company, not in its individual capacity but solely as Indenture Trustee, Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association, and Export-Import Bank of the United States.
4.5(22)	Secured Fixed Rate Global Note, dated June 19, 2012.
4.6(22)	Secured Fixed Rate Global Note, dated July 31, 2012.
4.7(24)	Secured Fixed Rate Global Note, dated October 10, 2012.
4.8(24)	Secured Fixed Rate Global Note dated, December 12, 2012.
4.9(26)	Secured Fixed Rate Global Note, dated May 28, 2013.
4.10	Secured Fixed Rate Global Note, dated January 30, 2014, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 4.10.
10.1(9)	Lease Agreement, dated July 29, 1998, between First Security Bank, National Association and Atlas Air, Inc. with respect to Aircraft N491MC, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.
10.1.1(9)	Amendment No. 1 to Lease Agreement dated as of July 27, 2004 between Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association (f/k/a First Security Bank, National Association), as Lessor and Atlas Air, Inc., as Lessee with respect to Aircraft N491MC, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.2(10)	Employment Agreement, dated April 21, 2006, between Atlas Air, Inc. and William J. Flynn.
10.2.1(15)	Amendment, dated as of December 31, 2008, to the Employment Agreement between Atlas Air, Inc. and William J. Flynn.
10.2.2(16)	Amendment, dated as of July 1, 2011, to the Employment Agreement between Atlas Air, Inc. and William J. Flynn.
10.3(9)	Lease, dated July 16, 2002, between Tuolumne River Aircraft Finance, Inc. as Lessor and Atlas Air, Inc., as Lessee with respect to Aircraft N416MC, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.
10.3.1(9)	Amendment Agreement, dated August 1, 2003, between Tuolumne River Aircraft Finance, Inc., as Lessor and Atlas Air, Inc. as Lessee in respect of Lease dated July 16, 2002 with respect to Aircraft N416MC, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.
10.4(9)	Sublease, dated October 24, 2001, between General Electric Capital Corporation, as Sublessor and Polar Air Cargo, Inc. as Sublessee with respect to Aircraft N450PA, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act
10.4.1(9)	Amendment Agreement, dated August 1, 2003, between General Electric Capital Corporation, as Sublessor and Polar Air Cargo, Inc. as Sublessee in respect of Sublease, dated October 24, 2001, with respect to Aircraft N450PA, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.
10.4.2(8)	Second Amendment Agreement, dated January 31, 2005, between General Electric Capital Corporation, as Sublessor and Polar Air Cargo, Inc. as Sublessee in respect of Sublease, dated October 24, 2001, with respect to Aircraft N450PA, together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.
10.5(9)	Lease Agreement, dated July 24, 2002, between Charles River Aircraft Finance, Inc. as Lessor and Polar Air Cargo, Inc. as Lessee with respect to Aircraft N454PA
10.5.1(9)	Amendment Agreement, dated August 1, 2003, between Charles River Aircraft Finance, Inc. as Lessor and Polar Air Cargo, Inc. as Lessee in respect of Lease Agreement dated July 24, 2002 with respect to Aircraft N454PA.
10.5.2(9)	Second Amendment Agreement, dated January 31, 2005, between Charles River Aircraft Finance, Inc. as Lessor and Polar Air Cargo, Inc. as Lessee in respect of Lease Agreement, dated July 24, 2002, with respect to Aircraft N454PA.
10.6.1(11)	Purchase Agreement No. 3134, dated as of September 8, 2006, between The Boeing Company and Atlas Air, Inc. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission).
10.6.2(18)	Supplemental Agreement No. 1 to Purchase Agreement No. 3134 between The Boeing Company and Atlas Air, Inc. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission).
10.6.3(18)	Supplemental Agreement No. 2 to Purchase Agreement No. 3134 between The Boeing Company and Atlas Air, Inc. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission).

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit	
Number	Description
10.7(9)	Engine Maintenance Contract, dated April 30, 2004, between the Company and MTU Maintenance Hannover GmbH, with regard to CF6 80C2 Engines in the 1998 EETC Transaction together with schedule of substantially identical documents omitted from filing pursuant to Rule 12b-31 promulgated under the Exchange Act.
10.8(11)	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as September 19, 2006, between Atlas Air, Inc. and John W. Dietrich.
10.8.1(15)	Amendment, dated as of December 31, 2008, to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Atlas Air, Inc. and John W. Dietrich.
10.8.2(16)	Amendment, dated as of July 1, 2011, to the Employment Agreement between Atlas Air, Inc. and John W. Dietrich.
10.9(25)	Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc. Annual Incentive Program for Senior Executives, amended as of February 25, 2013.
10.10(9)	Contract, dated October 1, 2004, between HQ AMC/A34TM and the Company.
10.11(27)	Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc. 2007 Incentive Plan (as amended).
10.11.1(25)	Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc. 2013 Long Term Cash Incentive Program.
10.11.2(21)	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement.
10.11.3(21)	Form of Performance Share Unit Agreement.
10.12(16)	Benefits Program for Executive Vice President and Senior Vice Presidents, Amended and Restated as of July 1, 2011.
10.13(23)	Board of Directors Compensation Program.
10.14(14)	Atlas Air, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan.
10.14.1(15)	Amendment, dated as of December 31, 2008, to Atlas Air, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan.
10.15(7)	Form of Directors and Officers Indemnification Agreement.
10.16(6)	Amendment No. 1 to Stock Purchase Agreement/Amendment No. 1 to Transaction Guarantee Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2007, among Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc., DHL Network Operations (USA), Inc. and Deutsche Post AG.
10.17(12)	Stock Purchase Agreement with DHL.
10.18(13)	Blocked Space Agreement, dated June 28, 2007, between Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc. and DHL Network Operations (USA), Inc. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.).
10.19(13)	Amendment No. 1, dated as of July 30, 2007, to Blocked Space Agreement between Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc. and DHL Network Operations (USA), Inc.
10.20(13)	Flight Services Agreement, dated as of June 28, 2007, between Atlas Air, Inc. and Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.).
10.21(13)	Indemnity Agreement, dated as of June 28, 2007, among Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc., Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc. and DHL Network Operations (USA), Inc.
10.22(13)	Contribution Agreement, dated as of June 28, 2007, between Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc. and Polar Air Cargo Worldwide, Inc. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.).
10.23(22)	Atlas Air, Inc. 401(K) Restoration and Voluntary Deferral Plan.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.24(20)	Plea Agreement, dated September 2, 2010, between the United States of America and Polar Air Cargo, L.L.C.
10.25	Loan Agreement [37138], dated as of December 20, 2013, among MSN 37138 Ltd. (as Borrower), BNP Paribas (New York Branch), Landesbank Hessen-Thüringer Girozentrale and Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale (as Lenders) and BNP Paribas (New York Branch) (as Agent), which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.25. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)
10.26	Loan Agreement [38969], dated as of December 20, 2013, among MSN 38969 Ltd. (as Borrower), BNP Paribas (New York Branch), Landesbank Hessen-Thüringer Girozentrale and Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale (as Lenders) and BNP Paribas (New York Branch) (as Agent), which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.26. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)
10.27	Loan Agreement [39286], dated as of December 20, 2013, among MSN 39286 Pte. Ltd., BNP Paribas (Singapore Branch), Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale (Singapore Branch) (as Lenders) and BNP Paribas (New York Branch) (as Agent), which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.27. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)
10.28	Loan Agreement [37138], dated as of December 20, 2013, among MSN 37138 Ltd. (as Borrower), Investec Bank plc (as Lender) and Investec Bank plc (as Agent), which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.28. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)
10.29	Loan Agreement [38969], dated as of December 20, 2013, among MSN 38969 Ltd. (as Borrower), Investec Bank plc (as Lender) and Investec Bank plc (as Agent), which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.29. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)
10.30	Loan Agreement [39286], dated as of December 20, 2013, among MSN 39286 Pte. Ltd. (as Borrower), Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale (Singapore Branch) (as Lender) and Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale (Singapore Branch) (as Agent), which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.30. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)
10.31	Amended and Restated Sale Agreement between Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association (not in its individual capacity but as owner trustee for GAIF II Investment Twenty-Eight, LLC) and MSN 38969 Ltd., an indirect subsidiary of the Company, relating to the purchase of one Boeing 777F airframe with manufacturer's serial number 38969 and two GE90 Engines with engine serial numbers 906970 and 906971, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.31. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)
10.32	Amended and Restated Sale Agreement between Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association (not in its individual capacity but as owner trustee for GAIF II Investment Nineteen, LLC) and MSN 37138 Ltd., an indirect subsidiary of the Company, relating to the purchase of one Boeing 777F airframe with manufacturer's serial number 37138 and two GE90 Engines with engine serial numbers 907037 and 907038, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.32. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)
10.33	Amended and Restated Sale Agreement between Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association (not in its individual capacity but as owner trustee for GAIF II Investment Sixteen, LLC) and MSN 39286 Pte. Ltd., an indirect subsidiary of the Company, relating to the purchase of one Boeing 777F airframe with manufacturer's serial number 39286 and two GE90 Engines with engine serial numbers 907006 and 907007, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 10.33. (Portions of this document have been redacted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.)

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
14.1(5)	Atlas Air Worldwide Holdings, Inc. Code of Ethics applicable to the Chief Executive Officer, Senior Financial Officers and members of the Board of Directors.
21.1	Subsidiaries List, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 21.1.
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 23.1.
24.1	Power of Attorney, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 24.1.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, furnished herewith.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, furnished herewith.
32.1	Certification of periodic financial report pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 32.1.
32.2	Certification of periodic financial report pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, which is filed herewith as Exhibit 32.2.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document. *
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. *
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. *
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. *
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document. *
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. *

\* Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, (v) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be part of any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to Atlas Air's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-36268).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to Atlas Air's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to Atlas Air's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-71833).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 16, 2001.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 26, 2013.

Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

- (6) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 14, 2005.

**Table of Contents**

- (8) Incorporated by reference to exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 1, 2010.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.
- (19) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012.
- (22) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.
- (23) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.
- (24) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.



Edgar Filing: ATLAS AIR WORLDWIDE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

- (25) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- (26) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013.
- (27) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 22, 2013.